

Improved Lang–Weil bounds for a geometrically irreducible hypersurface over a finite field

Kaloyan Slavov

June 8, 2021

Abstract

We sharpen to nearly optimal the known asymptotic and explicit bounds for the number of \mathbb{F}_q -rational points on a geometrically irreducible hypersurface over a (large) finite field. The proof involves a Bertini-type probabilistic combinatorial technique. Namely, we study the number of \mathbb{F}_q -points on the intersection of the given hypersurface with a random plane.

1 Introduction

Let $n \geq 2$, $d \geq 1$, and let \mathbb{F}_q be a finite field. Let $X \subset \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^n$ be a geometrically irreducible hypersurface of degree d . Lang and Weil [4] have established the bound

$$||X(\mathbb{F}_q)| - q^{n-1}| \leq (d-1)(d-2)q^{n-3/2} + C_d q^{n-2}, \quad (1)$$

where C_d depends only on d and n .

We summarize the smallest known possible values of C_d available in the literature.

a) Suppose that $n = 2$. Aubry and Perret [1] prove that

$$q - (d-1)(d-2)\sqrt{q} - d + 1 \leq |X(\mathbb{F}_q)| \leq q + (d-1)(d-2)\sqrt{q} + 1. \quad (2)$$

b) Ghorpade and Lachaud [3] prove that one can take $C_d = 12(d+3)^{n+1}$ in (1).

c) Cafure and Matera [2] prove that one can take $C_d = 5d^{13/3}$ in (1); moreover, if $q > 15d^{13/3}$, one can take $C_d = 5d^2 + d + 1$.

2020 *Mathematics subject classification*. Primary 14G15, 11T06, 14G05; Secondary 05B25.

Key words and phrases. Lang–Weil bound, hypersurface, Bertini’s theorem, random sampling.

This research was supported by NCCR SwissMAP of the SNSF.

d) The author [6] has established the lower bound (for any $\varepsilon > 0$)

$$|X(\mathbb{F}_q)| \geq q^{n-1} - (d-1)(d-2)q^{n-3/2} - (d+2+\varepsilon)q^{n-2}$$

for $q \gg 1$ (with an explicit condition on q).

e) The author's Theorem 8 in the preprint [7] implies that for every $\varepsilon > 0$, $\varepsilon' > 0$, we have

$$|X(\mathbb{F}_q)| \leq q^{n-1} + (d-1)(d-2)q^{n-3/2} + ((2+\varepsilon)d+1+\varepsilon')q^{n-2}$$

as long as $q \gg 1$ (again with an explicit condition on q).

In this note we tighten the known asymptotic and explicit bounds for $|X(\mathbb{F}_q)|$ when q is large relative to d .

We first look at upper bounds.

Theorem 1. *Let $X \subset \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^n$ be a geometrically irreducible hypersurface of degree d . Then*

$$|X(\mathbb{F}_q)| \leq q^{n-1} + (d-1)(d-2)q^{n-3/2} + (1 + \pi^2/6)q^{n-2} + O_d(q^{n-5/2}), \quad (3)$$

where the implied constant depends only on d and can be computed effectively.

We can exhibit explicit bounds, as in the theorem below.

Theorem 2. *Let $X \subset \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^n$ be a geometrically irreducible hypersurface of degree d . Suppose that $q > 15d^{13/3}$. Then*

$$|X(\mathbb{F}_q)| \leq q^{n-1} + (d-1)(d-2)q^{n-3/2} + 5q^{n-2}. \quad (4)$$

Example 3 (Cylinder over a maximal curve). Let $d \geq 3$ be such that $d-1$ is a prime power. Let q be an odd power of $(d-1)^2$. Consider the curve $C = \{y^{d-1} + y = x^d\}$ in $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^2$. It is known (see, for example, [8]) that $\#C(\mathbb{F}_q) = q + (d-1)(d-2)\sqrt{q}$. Thus the number of \mathbb{F}_q -points on $C \times \mathbb{A}^{n-2}$ is $q^{n-1} + (d-1)(d-2)q^{n-3/2}$.

Remark 4. While the cylinder $C \times \mathbb{A}^{n-2}$ in Example 3 is nonsingular, its Zariski closure in \mathbb{P}^n has a large (in fact, $(n-3)$ -dimensional) singular locus. In general, let $X \subset \mathbb{A}^n$ be a geometrically irreducible hypersurface such that $\#X(\mathbb{F}_q) \geq q^{n-1} + (d-1)(d-2)q^{n-3/2} - O_d(q^{n-2})$ for large q . Theorem 6.1 in [3] implies that the Zariski closure of X in \mathbb{P}^n must have singular locus of dimension $n-3$ or $n-2$.

As in Theorem 4 in [6], we can exhibit a forbidden interval for $|X(\mathbb{F}_q)|$. Notice that X is not necessarily geometrically irreducible in the statement below.

Theorem 5. *Let $X \subset \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^n$ be a hypersurface of degree d . If*

$$|X(\mathbb{F}_q)| \leq \frac{3}{2}q^{n-1} - (d-1)(d-2)q^{n-3/2} - (d^2 + d + 1)q^{n-2}, \quad (5)$$

then in fact

$$|X(\mathbb{F}_q)| \leq q^{n-1} + (d-1)(d-2)q^{n-3/2} + 12q^{n-2}. \quad (6)$$

Remark 6. Let us write $g(d) + \dots$ for an effectively computable $g(d) + g_1(d)$, where $g_1(d) = o(g(d))$ for $d \rightarrow \infty$. Theorem 5 has content when the right-hand side of (5) exceeds the right-hand side of (6), which takes place for $q > 16d^4 + \dots$. Thus in the presence of Theorem 2, Theorem 5 addresses the range $16d^4 + \dots < q < 15d^{13/3}$. Notice that in the Lang–Weil bound (1), the approximation term q^{n-1} dominates the error precisely when $q > d^4 + \dots$. This is why it is reasonable to frame the entire discussion of the Lang–Weil bound in the range $q > d^4 + \dots$. For example, any lower Lang–Weil bound is trivial for q below this threshold.

We improve the lower bounds for $|X(\mathbb{F}_q)|$ as well. The proof of Theorem 4 in [6] actually gives a lower bound which is tighter for $q \gg 1$ than the one stated in [6].

Theorem 7. *Let $X \subset \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^n$ be a geometrically irreducible hypersurface of degree d . Then*

$$|X(\mathbb{F}_q)| \geq q^{n-1} - (d-1)(d-2)q^{n-3/2} - dq^{n-2} - O_d(q^{n-5/2}), \quad (7)$$

where the implied constant depends only on d and can be computed explicitly.

We give a version with an explicit lower bound as well.

Theorem 8. *Let $X \subset \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^n$ be a geometrically irreducible hypersurface of degree d . Suppose that $q > 15d^{13/3}$. Then*

$$|X(\mathbb{F}_q)| \geq q^{n-1} - (d-1)(d-2)q^{n-3/2} - (d+0.6)q^{n-2}. \quad (8)$$

Example 9. As in Example 3, let $d \geq 3$ be such that $q_0 := d-1$ is a prime power. The curve $\{y^{d-1}z + yz^{d-1} = x^d\}$ in \mathbb{P}^2 over \mathbb{F}_{q_0} intersects the line $x=0$ at d distinct points defined over an extension \mathbb{F}_{q_1} of \mathbb{F}_{q_0} . Let q be an even power of q_1 . Then the affine curve $C := \{y^{d-1}z + yz^{d-1} = 1\}$ in $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^2$ satisfies $\#C(\mathbb{F}_q) = q - (d-1)(d-2)\sqrt{q} - d + 1$. Consequently, the number of \mathbb{F}_q -points on the hypersurface $C \times \mathbb{A}^{n-2}$ in \mathbb{A}^n is $q^{n-1} - (d-1)(d-2)q^{n-3/2} - (d-1)q^{n-2}$.

In fact, the proofs of Theorems 1 and 7 give an algorithm that takes as input a half-integer $r \geq 0$ and constants¹ $C_d^{(j)}$ and $D_d^{(j)}$ for each half-integer $1/2 \leq j \leq r$ such that

$$|X(\mathbb{F}_q)| \leq q^{n-1} + \sum_{j=1/2}^r C_d^{(j)} q^{n-1-j} + O_d(q^{n-r-3/2}) \quad (\text{summation over half-integers})$$

and

$$|X(\mathbb{F}_q)| \geq q^{n-1} - \sum_{j=1/2}^r D_d^{(j)} q^{n-1-j} - O_d(q^{n-r-3/2}) \quad (\text{summation over half-integers}),$$

¹We refer to $C_d^{(j)}$ and $D_d^{(j)}$ interchangeably as constants or as functions of d depending on the context.

and returns as output four additional $C_d^{(r+1/2)}$, $C_d^{(r+1)}$, $D_d^{(r+1/2)}$, and $D_d^{(r+1)}$ such that

$$|X(\mathbb{F}_q)| \leq q^{n-1} + \sum_{j=1/2}^{r+1} C_d^{(j)} q^{n-1-j} + O_d(q^{n-r-5/2}) \quad (\text{summation over half-integers})$$

and

$$|X(\mathbb{F}_q)| \geq q^{n-1} - \sum_{j=1/2}^{r+1} D_d^{(j)} q^{n-1-j} - O_d(q^{n-r-5/2}) \quad (\text{summation over half-integers}).$$

Initiating the algorithm with $r = 0$ and the rather weak version

$$q^{n-1} - O_d(q^{n-3/2}) \leq |X(\mathbb{F}_q)| \leq q^{n-1} + O_d(q^{n-3/2})$$

of (1), we obtain (3) and (7). In turn, taking (3) and (7) as input, we obtain

Corollary 10. *Let $X \subset \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^n$ be a geometrically irreducible hypersurface of degree d . Then*

$$|X(\mathbb{F}_q)| \geq q^{n-1} - (d-1)(d-2)q^{n-3/2} - dq^{n-2} - 2(d-1)(d-2)q^{n-5/2} \\ - (2(d-1)^2(d-2)^2 + d^2/2 + d + 2 + \pi^2/6)q^{n-3} - O_d(q^{n-7/2}). \quad (9)$$

A lower Lang–Weil bound can be useful in proving that a geometrically irreducible hypersurface $X \subset \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^n$ has an \mathbb{F}_q -rational point. It is known (see Theorem 5.4 in [2] and its proof) that if $q > 1.5d^4 + \dots$, then $X(\mathbb{F}_q) \neq \emptyset$. Notice that the approximation term q^{n-1} in (9) dominates the remaining explicit terms already for $q > d^4 + \dots$. Based on this heuristic, we state

Conjecture 11. *There exists an effectively computable function $g_1(d) = o(d^4)$ as $d \rightarrow \infty$ with the following property. Let $X \subset \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^n$ be a geometrically irreducible hypersurface of degree d . Then $X(\mathbb{F}_q) \neq \emptyset$ as long as $q > d^4 + g_1(d)$.*

In contrast to the upper bounds, all lower bounds above (including (2) and Example 9) contain a d in the coefficient of q^{n-2} . This discrepancy disappears if we work in projective space.

Theorem 12. *Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^n$ be a geometrically irreducible hypersurface of degree d . Then*


$$|X(\mathbb{F}_q)| \geq q^{n-1} - (d-1)(d-2)q^{n-3/2} - O_d(q^{n-5/2}) \quad \text{and} \\ |X(\mathbb{F}_q)| \leq q^{n-1} + (d-1)(d-2)q^{n-3/2} + (1 + \pi^2/6)q^{n-2} + O_d(q^{n-5/2}).$$

Example 13 (Cone over a maximal curve). Let (d, q_0) be such that there exists a (nonsingular) maximal curve $C = \{f = 0\}$ in \mathbb{P}^2 over \mathbb{F}_{q_0} of degree d . Let q be a power of q_0 and let $X = \{f = 0\} \subset \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^n$ be a projective cone over C . Then

$$\#X(\mathbb{F}_q) = q^{n-1} \pm (d-1)(d-2)q^{n-3/2} + q^{n-2} + q^{n-3} + \cdots + 1,$$

with \pm depending on whether q is an odd or an even power of q_0 . Thus the gap between what is achieved in this example and what is established in Theorem 12 is $q^{n-2} + O_d(q^{n-5/2})$ in the case of the lower bound and $(\pi^2/6)q^{n-2} + O_d(q^{n-5/2})$ in the case of the upper bound.

This paper builds upon the author's earlier work [6] and is inspired by T. Tao's discussion [9] of the Lang–Weil bound through random sampling and the idea of Cafure–Matera [2] to slice X with planes. A *plane* is a 2-dimensional affine linear subvariety of $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^n$. If $H \subset \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^n$ is any plane, then $\#(X \cap H)(\mathbb{F}_q)$ is either q^2 , 0, or $\approx kq$, where k is the number of geometrically irreducible \mathbb{F}_q -irreducible components of $X \cap H$. For $0 \leq k \leq d$, we exhibit a small interval $I_k = [a_k, b_k]$ containing kq so that if we also define $I_\infty = \{q^2\}$, then each $\#(X \cap H)(\mathbb{F}_q)$ belongs to $\bigcup I_k$.



The problem when it comes to the upper bound is that when k is large, planes H with $\#(X \cap H)(\mathbb{F}_q) \in I_k$ contribute significantly towards the count $\#X(\mathbb{F}_q)$. However, it turns out that the number of such H 's decreases quickly as k grows.

2 A collection of small intervals

Lemma 14 ([5], Lemma 5). *Let $C \subset \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^2$ be a curve of degree d . Let k be the number of geometrically irreducible \mathbb{F}_q -irreducible components of C . Then*

$$|\#C(\mathbb{F}_q) - kq| \leq (d-1)(d-2)\sqrt{q} + d^2 + d + 1.$$

It will be crucial to give a refined upper bound when $k = 1$.

Lemma 15. *Let $C \subset \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^2$ be a curve of degree d . Suppose that C has exactly one geometrically irreducible \mathbb{F}_q -irreducible component. Then*

$$|C(\mathbb{F}_q)| \leq q + (d-1)(d-2)\sqrt{q} + 1.$$

Proof. Let C_1, \dots, C_s be the \mathbb{F}_q -irreducible components of C . Suppose that C_1 is geometrically irreducible, but C_i is not for $i \geq 2$. Let $e = \deg(C_1)$. Note that $(d, e) \neq (2, 1)$.

Using the Aubry–Perret bound (2) for C_1 and Lemma 2.3 in [2] for each C_i with $i \geq 2$, we estimate

$$\begin{aligned} |C(\mathbb{F}_q)| &\leq |C_1(\mathbb{F}_q)| + \sum_{i=2}^s |C_i(\mathbb{F}_q)| \\ &\leq q + (e-1)(e-2)\sqrt{q} + 1 + \sum_{i=2}^s (\deg C_i)^2/4 \\ &\leq q + (e-1)(e-2)\sqrt{q} + 1 + (d-e)^2/4 \\ &\leq q + (d-1)(d-2)\sqrt{q} + 1; \end{aligned}$$

to justify the last inequality in the chain, note that it is equivalent to

$$(d-e) \left((d+e-3)\sqrt{q} - \frac{d-e}{4} \right) \geq 0$$

and holds true because either $e = d$, or else $d - e > 0$ and we can write

$$(d+e-3)\sqrt{q} - \frac{d-e}{4} \geq (d+e-3)\sqrt{2} - \frac{d-e}{4} \geq \frac{(4\sqrt{2}-1)d + (4\sqrt{2}+1)e - 12\sqrt{2}}{4} > 0$$

(using that $e \geq 1$ and $d \geq 3$ on the last step). \square

Let $a_0 = 0$, $b_0 = d^2/4$, $a_1 = q - (d-1)(d-2)\sqrt{q} - d + 1$, $b_1 = q + (d-1)(d-2)\sqrt{q} + 1$. For $2 \leq k \leq d$, set $a_k = kq - (d-1)(d-2)\sqrt{q} - d^2 - d - 1$ and $b_k = kq + (d-1)(d-2)\sqrt{q} + d^2 + d + 1$. Finally, set $a_\infty = b_\infty = q^2$. Define $I_k := [a_k, b_k]$ for $k \in \{0, \dots, d\} \cup \{\infty\}$.

Lemma 16. *Let $X \subset \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^n$ be a hypersurface of degree d . Let $H \subset \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^n$ be a plane. Then $\#(X \cap H)(\mathbb{F}_q) \in I_k$ for some $k \in \{0, \dots, d\} \cup \{\infty\}$.*

Proof. If $X \cap H = \emptyset$, then $\#(X \cap H)(\mathbb{F}_q) = 0 \in I_0$. If $H \subset X$, then $X \cap H = H$ and $\#(X \cap H)(\mathbb{F}_q) = q^2 \in I_\infty$. Suppose that $X \cap H \neq \emptyset$ and $H \not\subset X$. Let k be the number of geometrically irreducible \mathbb{F}_q -irreducible components of the degree d plane curve $X \cap H \subset H \simeq \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^2$. Then $0 \leq k \leq d$. If $k = 0$, the proof of Lemma 11 in [6] gives $\#(X \cap H)(\mathbb{F}_q) \leq d^2/4$. If $k = 1$, we use Lemma 15 and the lower bound from (2) applied to a geometrically irreducible \mathbb{F}_q -irreducible component (necessarily of degree $\leq d$) of X . For $2 \leq k \leq d$, use Lemma 14. \square

Alternatively, one could take $b_d = dq$ by the Schwartz–Zippel lemma.

When it comes to giving an upper bound for $|X(\mathbb{F}_q)|$, it will be more convenient to work with $J_1 := I_0 \cup I_1$ and $J_i := I_i$ for $i \in \{2, \dots, d\} \cup \{\infty\}$.

3 Probability estimates

We spell out in detail the proof of Theorem 1; the proofs of the remaining results will then require only slight modifications. The implied constant in each O -notation is allowed to depend only on d (a priori, possibly also on n), but not on q or X .

Proof of Theorem 1. Set $N := |X(\mathbb{F}_q)|$. For a plane $H \subset \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^n$ chosen uniformly at random, consider $\#(X \cap H)(\mathbb{F}_q)$ as a random variable. Let μ and σ^2 denote its mean and variance. Lemma 10 in [6] and (1) imply

$$\mu = \frac{N}{q^{n-2}} \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma^2 \leq \frac{N}{q^{n-2}} \leq q + O(\sqrt{q}). \quad (10)$$

Write

$$\frac{N}{q^{n-2}} = \mu \leq \sum_{k \in \{1, \dots, d\} \cup \{\infty\}} \text{Prob} \left(\#(X \cap H)(\mathbb{F}_q) \in J_k \right) b_k. \quad (11)$$

For $k \in \{1, \dots, d\} \cup \{\infty\}$, denote

$$p_k := \text{Prob} \left(\#(X \cap H)(\mathbb{F}_q) \in J_k \right).$$

We can assume that q is large enough so that the intervals J_1, \dots, J_d are pairwise disjoint. Let $k \in \{2, \dots, d\}$. If H is a plane such that $\#(X \cap H)(\mathbb{F}_q) \in J_k \cup \dots \cup J_d$, then

$$|\#(X \cap H)(\mathbb{F}_q) - \mu| \geq a_k - \frac{N}{q^{n-2}} \geq (k-1)q - O(\sqrt{q}). \quad (12)$$

Define t via $(k-1)q - O(\sqrt{q}) = t\sigma$; then Chebyshev's inequality and the variance bound (10) imply

$$\begin{aligned} p_k + \dots + p_d &= \text{Prob} \left(\#(X \cap H)(\mathbb{F}_q) \in J_k \cup \dots \cup J_d \right) \leq \frac{1}{t^2} \\ &= \frac{\sigma^2}{((k-1)q - O(\sqrt{q}))^2} \\ &\leq \frac{q + O(\sqrt{q})}{((k-1)q - O(\sqrt{q}))^2} \\ &= \frac{1}{(k-1)^2 q} + O(q^{-3/2}). \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

If H is a plane such that $\#(X \cap H)(\mathbb{F}_q) = q^2$, then

$$|\#(X \cap H)(\mathbb{F}_q) - \mu| = q^2 - \frac{N}{q^{n-2}} \geq q^2 - O(q).$$

Define t via $q^2 - O(q) = t\sigma$; then

$$p_\infty \leq \frac{1}{t^2} = \frac{\sigma^2}{(q^2 - O(q))^2} \leq \frac{q + O(\sqrt{q})}{(q^2 - O(q))^2} = q^{-3} + O(q^{-7/2}), \quad \text{and hence} \quad p_\infty b_\infty = O(q^{-1}).$$

Note that $b_k - b_{k-1} = q + O(1)$ for $2 \leq k \leq d$. We now go back to (11) and apply the Abel summation formula:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{N}{q^{n-2}} = \mu &\leq (p_1 + \cdots + p_d)b_1 + (p_2 + \cdots + p_d)(b_2 - b_1) + \cdots + p_d(b_d - b_{d-1}) + p_\infty b_\infty \\ &\leq b_1 + \frac{1}{1^2} + \cdots + \frac{1}{(d-1)^2} + O(q^{-1/2}) \\ &\leq q + (d-1)(d-2)\sqrt{q} + 1 + \pi^2/6 + O(q^{-1/2}). \end{aligned}$$

Multiply both sides by q^{n-2} to arrive at (3).

Going through all the explicit inequalities with a O -term, one can compute explicitly a possible value of the constant implicit in (3). In fact, since there is a choice of C_d in the Lang–Weil bound that depends only on d and not on n , a second look at all the inequalities written down in the proof above reveals that the implied constant in (3) can likewise be chosen to not depend on n . \square

For the rest of the paper, we follow the notation and proof of Theorem 1.

Proof of Theorem 7. Say that a plane H is “bad” if $\#(X \cap H)(\mathbb{F}_q) \in I_0$ and “good” otherwise. If $H \subset \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^2$ is a bad plane, then

$$|\#(X \cap H)(\mathbb{F}_q) - \mu| \geq \frac{N}{q^{n-2}} - \frac{d^2}{4} \geq q - O(\sqrt{q}).$$

By computations similar to the ones in the proof of Theorem 1, the probability that a plane is bad is at most $q^{-1} + O(q^{-3/2})$. Every good plane contributes at least a_1 to the mean. Therefore

$$\frac{N}{q^{n-2}} = \mu \geq (1 - q^{-1} - O(q^{-3/2}))(q - (d-1)(d-2)\sqrt{q} - d + 1),$$

\square

giving (7).

Proof of Corollary 10. Modify the proof of Theorem 7, but use the upper bound for N from (3) and the lower bound for N from (7) respectively for the upper bound on σ^2 and the lower bound on $N/q^{n-2} - d^2/4$. \square

Proof of Theorem 12. We now slice with a random plane $H \subset \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^n$. The mean μ of $\#(X \cap H)(\mathbb{F}_q)$ is $N\rho_1$, where $N = |X(\mathbb{F}_q)|$ and $\rho_1 = (q^3 - 1)/(q^{n+1} - 1)$ is the probability that a plane passes through a given point. Let ρ_2 be the probability that a plane passes through two distinct given points. Explicitly (in terms of q -binomial coefficients), $\rho_2 = \binom{n-1}{1}_q / \binom{n+1}{3}_q$. One verifies directly that $\rho_2 \leq \rho_1^2$ and expresses σ^2 as in [9]:

$$N^2\rho_1^2 + \sigma^2 = \mu^2 + \sigma^2 = \mu + N(N-1)\rho_2 \leq \mu + N^2\rho_2$$

to deduce $\sigma^2 \leq \mu$.

We can still take $I_0 = [0, d^2/4]$. Use the projective version of (2) (Corolalry 2.5 in [1]). Adapt I_1 with $a_1 = q - (d-1)(d-2)\sqrt{q} + 1$. Use $I_\infty = \{q^2 + q + 1\}$. Up to a summand d to account for points at infinity, the remaining a_k and b_k are unchanged.

Proceed as in the proof of Theorems 1 and 7. On the very last step in proving either bound, mutiply by $1/\rho_1$ rather than by q^{n-2} and use that $1/\rho_1 = q^{n-2} + O(q^{n-5})$. \square

4 Explicit versions

Proof of Theorem 2. The statement clearly holds for $d = 1$, so assume that $d \geq 2$. We will use the explicit Cafure–Matera bound for N . Replace the variance bound (10) by

$$\sigma^2 \leq \frac{N}{q^{n-2}} \leq q + (d-1)(d-2)\sqrt{q} + 5d^2 + d + 1 \leq (8.44/7.44)q;$$

to verify the last inequality above, we argue as follows. For any $c_1 > 0$ and $c_2 > 0$, the function $q \mapsto q/(c_1\sqrt{q} + c_2)$ is increasing. Therefore

$$\frac{q}{(d-1)(d-2)\sqrt{q} + 5d^2 + d + 1} > \frac{15d^{13/3}}{(d-1)(d-2)\sqrt{15d^{13/6}} + 5d^2 + d + 1}.$$

It remains to check that the function $g(d)$ on the right-hand side above satisfies $g(d) > 7.44$ for any integer $d \geq 2$. On the one hand, g grows like $d^{1/6}$ so one easily exhibits a d_0 such that $g(d) > 7.44$ for $d > d_0$. Then a simple computer calculation checks that $g(d) > 7.44$ for integers $d \in \{2, \dots, d_0\}$ as well.

In the same way, one readily checks that the intervals J_1, \dots, J_d are pairwise disjoint.

For $k \in \{2, \dots, d\}$, replace (12) by

$$a_k - \frac{N}{q^{n-2}} \geq (k-1)q - 2(d-1)(d-2)\sqrt{q} - 2(3d^2 + d + 1) \geq (5.45/7.45)(k-1)q;$$

to check the last inequality, one has to consider only $k = 2$ and to argue as above.

For $k \in \{2, \dots, d\}$, (13) is now replaced by

$$p_k + \dots + p_d \leq \frac{(8.44/7.44)q}{((5.45/7.45)(k-1)q)^2} < \frac{2.12}{(k-1)^2q}.$$

To bound $p_\infty b_\infty$, note that $q > 15d^{13/3} > 15 \times 2^{13/3} > 302$, so

$$p_\infty b_\infty \leq \frac{(8.44/7.44)q}{(q^2 - (8.44/7.44)q)^2} q^2 = \frac{8.44 \times 7.44q}{(7.44q - 8.44)^2} < 0.01.$$

Since $b_k - b_{k-1} = q$ for $3 \leq k \leq d$, but $b_2 - b_1 = q + d^2 + d$, we have to estimate $(d^2 + d)/q < (d^2 + d)/15d^{13/3} < 0.02$. The Abel summation argument now gives

$$\frac{N}{q^{n-2}} \leq q + (d-1)(d-2)\sqrt{q} + 1 + 2.12(\pi^2/6 + 0.02) + 0.01 < q + (d-1)(d-2)\sqrt{q} + 5. \quad \square$$

Proof of Theorem 5. Again, assume $d \geq 2$. We can assume that the right-hand side of (6) is less than the right-hand side of (5); i.e.,

$$4(d-1)(d-2)\sqrt{q} + 2(d^2 + d + 13) < q.$$

This inequality implies in particular that the intervals J_1, \dots, J_d are pairwise disjoint. Note that it is equivalent to $q > r(d)^2$, where $r(d)$ is the positive root of the quadratic equation $x^2 - 4(d-1)(d-2)x - 2(d^2 + d + 13) = 0$.

Due to (5), now we can use the variance bound $\sigma^2 \leq N/q^{n-2} \leq (3/2)q$. Also, (5) gives

$$a_k - \frac{N}{q^{n-2}} = kq - (d-1)(d-2)\sqrt{q} - (d^2 + d + 1) - \frac{N}{q^{n-2}} \geq \frac{k-1}{2}q$$

for $2 \leq k \leq d$. Therefore $p_k + \dots + p_d$ is now bounded by $6/((k-1)^2q)$.

We bound $(d^2 + d)/q$ by $(d^2 + d)/(r(d))^2 < 0.16$ for $d \geq 2$. Finally, note that $q > r(2)^2 = 38$, so $q \geq 41$, and we can bound $p_\infty b_\infty$ by $6q/(2q-3)^2 < 0.04$. Therefore

$$\frac{N}{q^{n-2}} \leq q + (d-1)(d-2)\sqrt{q} + 1 + 6(\pi^2/6 + 0.16) + 0.04 < q + (d-1)(d-2)\sqrt{q} + 12. \quad \square$$

Proof of Theorem 8. As above, assume that $d \geq 2$. We bound the variance as

$$\sigma^2 \leq \frac{N}{q^{n-2}} \leq q + (d-1)(d-2)\sqrt{q} + 5d^2 + d + 1 \leq (8.44/7.44)q.$$

Also,

$$\frac{N}{q^{n-2}} - \frac{d^2}{4} \geq q - (d-1)(d-2)\sqrt{q} - 21d^2/4 - d - 1 \geq (6.44/7.44)q.$$

From here, we bound the probability that a plane is bad by $1.6/q$. Thus

$$\frac{N}{q^{n-2}} \geq \left(1 - \frac{1.6}{q}\right) (q - (d-1)(d-2)\sqrt{q} - d + 1) \geq q - (d-1)(d-2)\sqrt{q} - (d + 0.6). \quad \square$$

References

- [1] Y. Aubry, M. Perret, A Weil theorem for singular curves, Contemporary mathematics, American Mathematical Society, 1996, Walter de Gruyter, pp.1–8. 10.1515/9783110811056.1. hal-00976485.
- [2] A. Cafure, G. Matera, Improved explicit estimates on the number of solutions of equations over a finite field, Finite Fields and Their Applications 12 (2006) 155–185.
- [3] S. Ghorpade, G. Lachaud, Étale cohomology, Lefschetz theorems and number of points of singular varieties over finite fields, Moscow Math J. 2 (3) (2002) 589–631.
- [4] S. Lang, A. Weil, Number of points of varieties in finite fields. Amer. J. Math., 76 (1954) 819–827.

- [5] W. Schmidt, A lower bound for the number of solutions of equations over finite fields, *J. Number Theory* 6 (6) (1974) 448–480.
- [6] K. Slavov. An application of random plane slicing to counting \mathbb{F}_q -points on hypersurfaces, *Finite Fields and Their Applications* 48 (2017), 60–68.
- [7] K. Slavov, An application of random plane slicing to counting \mathbb{F}_q -points on hypersurfaces, arxiv: 1703.05062v3.
- [8] H. Stichtenoth, *Algebraic Function Fields and Codes*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg.
- [9] T. Tao, The Lang–Weil bound, <http://terrytao.wordpress.com/2012/08/31/the-lang-weil-bound/>, 2012.