

# DWORK CRYSTALS III

FRITS BEUKERS, MASHA VLASENKO

## 1. INTRODUCTION

We recall the main points of our earlier papers [2] and [3] titled Dwork crystals I and II. In this paper we shall refer to them as Part I and Part II. Let  $p$  be an odd prime and  $R$  a  $p$ -adic ring. By that we mean a characteristic zero domain such that  $\cap_{s \geq 1} p^s R = \{0\}$  and which is  $p$ -adically complete. Common examples are  $\mathbb{Z}_p, \mathbb{Z}_p[[t]]$  and the  $p$ -adic completion of  $\mathbb{Z}_p[[t]][1/t]$ , the so-called Amice ring. We also assume our ring is equipped with a so-called Frobenius lift  $\sigma$ , i.e. an endomorphism  $\sigma : R \rightarrow R$  such that  $\sigma(r) \equiv r^p \pmod{p}$  for all  $r \in R$ .

The main player is a Laurent polynomial  $f(\mathbf{x})$  in the variables  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$  with coefficients in  $R$ . The Newton polytope of  $f$  is the convex hull of the support of  $f$  and is denoted by  $\Delta$ . On  $\Delta$  we have rudimentary topology whose closed sets are the faces of  $\Delta$ . Let  $\mu \subset \Delta$  be any open subset. By  $\Omega_f(\mu)$  we define the  $R$ -module generated by rational functions of the form

$$k! \frac{A(\mathbf{x})}{f(\mathbf{x})^{k+1}} \quad \text{with } \text{Supp}(A) \subset k\mu \text{ and } k \geq 0.$$

When  $\mu = \Delta$  we simply write  $\Omega_f(\Delta) = \Omega_f$ . By  $\widehat{\Omega}_f$  we denote the  $p$ -adic completion of  $\Omega_f$ . In Part I we have defined the Cartier operator  $\mathcal{C}_p : \widehat{\Omega}_f(\mu) \rightarrow \widehat{\Omega}_{f^\sigma}(\mu)$ . Here  $f^\sigma$  simply denotes  $f$  with  $\sigma$  applied to its coefficients. One can expand the elements of  $\widehat{\Omega}_f$  as formal Laurent series, and our Cartier operation has a particularly simple expression on such expansions:

$$\mathcal{C}_p \left( \sum_{\mathbf{u}} a_{\mathbf{u}} \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{u}} \right) = \sum_{\mathbf{u}} a_{p\mathbf{u}} \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{u}}.$$

Using  $\mathcal{C}_p$  we defined the submodule of formal derivatives defined by

$$\mathcal{F}_1(\mu) = \{\omega \in \widehat{\Omega}_f(\mu) \mid \mathcal{C}_p^s(\omega) \in p^s \widehat{\Omega}_{f^{\sigma^s}}(\mu), s \geq 1\}.$$

In Part I we called this module  $U_f(\mu)$ . It was explained there that  $\mathcal{F}_1(\mu)$  coincides with the submodule of formal derivatives, we will recall this argument in Section 3 below. Let us adopt the habit of writing  $\mathcal{F}_1(\Delta)$  as  $\mathcal{F}_1$  and denote the formal derivatives in  $\widehat{\Omega}_{f^\sigma}(\mu)$  as  $\mathcal{F}_1^\sigma(\mu)$ . From the definition of  $\mathcal{F}_1$  we see immediately that  $\mathcal{C}_p(\mathcal{F}_1) \subset p\mathcal{F}_1^\sigma$ .

We have also defined the so-called *Hasse-Witt matrix*  $HW(\mu)$  with entries  $HW_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}}(\mu)$  indexed by  $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in \mu_{\mathbb{Z}}$ . Here  $\mu_{\mathbb{Z}} = \mu \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$ . This is the matrix denoted by  $\beta_p(\mu)$  in Part I. One of the main results in Part I is the following.

**Theorem 1.1** ([2], Thm 4.3). *Write  $hw(\mu) = \det HW(\mu)$ . Suppose  $hw(\mu)$  is not divisible by  $p$ . Let  $\widehat{R}$  be the  $p$ -adic completion of  $R[1/hw(\mu)]$ . Let  $\Omega_f^{(1)}(\mu)$  be the submodule of  $\Omega_f(\mu)$  generated by the elements  $\frac{\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{u}}}{f}$  with  $\mathbf{u} \in \mu_{\mathbb{Z}}$ . Then we have the isomorphism*

$$\widehat{\Omega}_f(\mu) \cong \Omega_f^{(1)}(\mu) \oplus \mathcal{F}_1(\mu)$$

---

Work of Frits Beukers was supported by the Netherlands Organisation for Scientific Research (NWO), grant TOP1EW.15.313. Work of Masha Vlasenko was supported by the National Science Centre of Poland (NCN), grant UMO-2020/39/B/ST1/00940.

as  $\widehat{R}$ -modules. Moreover,

$$\mathcal{C}_p(\Omega_f(\mu)) \subset \Omega_f^{(1)}(\mu) + p\mathcal{F}_1^\sigma(\mu).$$

In particular  $\widehat{\Omega}_f(\mu)/\mathcal{F}_1$  is a free  $\widehat{R}$ -module of finite rank  $\#\mu_{\mathbb{Z}}$ , the number of lattice points in  $\mu$ . Since  $\mathcal{C}_p : \mathcal{F}_1 \rightarrow p\mathcal{F}_1^\sigma$  we see that  $\mathcal{C}_p$  is an  $\widehat{R}$ -linear map between the finite rank modules  $\widehat{\Omega}_f(\mu)/\mathcal{F}_1$  and  $\widehat{\Omega}_{f^\sigma}(\mu)/\mathcal{F}_1^\sigma$ . Note that we use the somewhat lazy, but suggestive, notation  $\widehat{\Omega}_f(\mu)/\mathcal{F}_1$  for what should be  $\widehat{\Omega}_f(\mu)/\mathcal{F}_1(\mu)$ . The determination of the matrix of  $\mathcal{C}_p$  between these finite rank modules is a principal goal of our considerations. In Parts I, II we have described this matrix as a  $p$ -adic limit of quotients of Hasse-Witt type matrices, which leads to Dwork-type congruences. A recurring example of such congruences comes from  $f = 1 - tg(\mathbf{x})$  where  $g$  is a Laurent polynomial with coefficients in  $\mathbb{Z}$  and whose Newton polytope has  $\mathbf{0}$  as the unique lattice point in its interior. The base ring  $R$  is a suitable extension of  $\mathbb{Z}_p[t]$ . We define the power series

$$F(t) = \sum_{n \geq 0} g_n t^n, \quad g_n = \text{constant term in } g(\mathbf{x})^n.$$

We also define its truncated versions  $F_m(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{m-1} g_n t^n$ . Using  $\mu = \Delta^\circ$  the space  $\Omega_f^{(1)}(\Delta^\circ)$  has rank 1 and is generated by  $1/f$ . Theorem 4.3 in [2] states that

$$(1) \quad \mathcal{C}_p \left( \frac{1}{f} \right) \equiv \lambda(t) \frac{1}{f^\sigma} \pmod{p\mathcal{F}_1}$$

for some  $\lambda(t)$  in the  $p$ -adic completion of  $\mathbb{Z}_p[t, 1/F_p(t)]$ . In [3] we show that  $\lambda(t) = F(t)/F(t^\sigma)$  and as application the following.

**Theorem 1.2.** *For any odd prime  $p$  and any integers  $m, s \geq 1$  we have*

$$(2) \quad \frac{F(t)}{F(t^\sigma)} \equiv \frac{F_{mp^s}(t)}{F_{mp^{s-1}}(t^\sigma)} \pmod{p^s}$$

This theorem was first proven (with  $m = 1$ ) in [8]. In Theorem 3.2 and (7) of [3] it was proven with the Frobenius lift  $t \rightarrow t^p$ , but one can easily see that the proof holds for any Frobenius lift  $\sigma$ . The prototype for such congruences was given by Dwork [4] for the case of the hypergeometric function  $F(t) = F(1/2, 1/2; 1|t)$ .

There are many papers in which some very special form of (2) is proven, but modulo  $p^{2s}$  or even  $p^{3s}, p^{4s}, \dots$ . Usually this is with  $m = s = 1$  and a specialization of  $t$ . These strengthenings are often called *supercongruences*, a name coined by Jan Stienstra in the 1980's. Such supercongruences arise only in very special situations and not much is known about a general mechanism behind them. The original motivation for the present paper was to discover such a mechanism. The basic idea is to consider  $\Omega_f$  modulo formal  $k$ -th derivatives with  $k \geq 2$ . Define

$$\mathcal{F}_k := \{\omega \in \widehat{\Omega}_f | \mathcal{C}_p^s(\omega) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{ks}} \text{ for all } s \geq 1\}.$$

In Section 3 we give a more refined definition. In this paper we shall be interested in  $\widehat{\Omega}_f/\mathcal{F}_k$ . In Theorem 4.3 and Remark 5.11 we formulate conditions under which this  $R$ -module has finite rank. In Section 7 we consider an application to  $f = 1 - tg$  where  $g$  are special Laurent polynomials with a large symmetry group. We call them *Dwork families* since they generalize the classical families of algebraic hypersurfaces that Dwork considered. Our main result is Theorem 7.16 which states that there is a Frobenius lift  $\sigma$  such that (1) with  $\lambda(t) = F(t)/F(t^\sigma)$  holds modulo  $p^2\mathcal{F}_2$  instead of  $p\mathcal{F}_1$ . Any other Frobenius lift gives us far less elegant results. We call this special Frobenius lift the *excellent lift*, a name already coined by Dwork. It is possible to derive supercongruences modulo  $p^{2s}$  for

the series expansion coefficients of  $1/f$ , see Proposition 5.14, but unfortunately not (yet) of the Dwork congruence type. Numerical experiment suggests that congruences (2) hold modulo  $p^{2s}$  for Dwork families with the excellent Frobenius lift  $t^\sigma$ . We formulated this as Conjecture 7.18.

In the final section of the paper we show that the excellent Frobenius lift can be written as a  $p$ -adic limit of rational functions in  $t$  with prescribed denominators. This has already been done by Dwork in [4, §7,§8] in the context of families of elliptic curves, where this is called Deligne's theorem. It is interesting to see that this approximation property also holds outside the context of elliptic curves.

Although our study has not yet yielded the desired supercongruences, we did encounter an application of our work to the problem of  $p$ -integrality of so-called instanton numbers. This is a question which arose in the famous work of Candelas, De la Ossa, Green and Parkes in their 1991 study of mirror symmetry within string theory. We shall develop this application in a separate paper to appear soon.

## 2. DWORK CRYSTALS

In this section we define submodules of  $\Omega_f$  which are preserved by the Cartier operation in a reasonable sense. An example is given by modules  $\Omega_f(\mu) \subset \Omega_f$  mentioned in the introduction. Here  $\mu$  is an open subset of  $\Delta$  in the sense of [2, Prop 3.4] and  $\Omega_f(\mu)$  consists of elements  $A(\mathbf{x})/f(\mathbf{x})^k \in \Omega_f$  whose numerators  $A(\mathbf{x})$  are supported in  $k\mu$ ,  $k \geq 1$ . Now we would like to refine this construction by imposing further restrictions on polynomials in the numerators.

We remind the reader that  $R$  is a  $p$ -adic ring with a fixed Frobenius lift  $\sigma$ . For a Laurent polynomial  $A(\mathbf{x}) = \sum a_{\mathbf{u}} \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{u}}$ , the polynomial  $\sum \sigma(a_{\mathbf{u}}) \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{u}}$  is denoted by  $A^\sigma$  or  $\sigma(A)$ . Our Cartier operator  $\mathcal{C}_p$  acts on polynomials by the formula

$$\mathcal{C}_p \left( \sum a_{\mathbf{u}} \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{u}} \right) = \sum a_{p\mathbf{u}} \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{u}}.$$

**Definition 2.1.** *Let  $L$  be an  $R$ -submodule of the Laurent polynomials with support in  $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}\Delta$  and coefficients in  $R$ . We call  $L$   $(\mathcal{C}_p, f)$ -compatible if it satisfies the following assumptions.*

- (a) *If  $P(\mathbf{x}) \in L$  and all coefficients of  $P$  are divisible by  $p$ , then  $P(\mathbf{x})/p \in L$ . We say that  $L$  is  $p$ -saturated.*
- (b)  *$f(\mathbf{x})L \subset L$ .*
- (c)  *$\mathcal{C}_p(L) \subset L^\sigma$ , where  $L^\sigma$  is the  $R$ -module generated by the elements  $A^\sigma$  for  $A \in L$ .*

With a small effort one can show

**Lemma 2.2.** *If  $L$  is  $(\mathcal{C}_p, f)$ -compatible, then  $L^\sigma$  is  $(\mathcal{C}_p, f^\sigma)$ -compatible.*

**Example 2.3.** *A very important example in our work is given when  $f(\mathbf{x}) = 1 - tg(\mathbf{x})$ , where  $g$  is Laurent polynomial with coefficients in  $\mathbb{Z}$  and a reflexive Newton polytope  $\Delta$ . The base ring is  $R = \mathbb{Z}_p[[t]]$ . For the Frobenius lift  $\sigma$  we assume that  $t^\sigma/t^p \in 1 + pt\mathbb{Z}_p[[t]]$ . We remind the reader that a lattice polytope  $\Delta \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is reflexive if it is of maximal dimension and each of its codimension 1 faces can be given by an equation  $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i = 1$  with coefficients  $a_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ . It follows from this definition that  $\mathbf{0}$  is the unique lattice point in the interior  $\Delta^\circ$ . Another property of reflexive polytopes that we will use is that to every lattice point  $\mathbf{p}$  there is a unique integer  $k \geq 1$  such that  $\mathbf{p}$  lies on the boundary of  $k\Delta$ . We define the degree of a monomial  $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{u}}$  as the smallest integer  $d$  such that  $\mathbf{u} \in d\Delta$ . Notation:  $\deg(\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{u}})$  or  $\deg(\mathbf{u})$ . By the degree of a Laurent polynomial  $A$  we mean the maximum degree of the monomials it contains. Notation  $\deg(A)$ . We remark that reflexivity of the polytope implies that  $\deg(p\mathbf{u}) = p \deg(\mathbf{u})$ .*

**Definition 2.4.** *In the situation of Example 2.3 the module of admissible polynomials is the  $\mathbb{Z}_p[[t]]$ -module generated by the terms  $t^{\deg(\mathbf{u})}\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{u}}$  for  $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ .*

**Lemma 2.5.** *The module of admissible polynomials is  $(\mathcal{C}_p, f)$ -compatible.*

*Proof.* Property (a) of Definition 2.1 is obvious. Since  $f$  is admissible and the product of admissible polynomials is again admissible, property (b) is also clear. It suffices to verify property (c) on the generators  $t^{\deg(\mathbf{u})}\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{u}}$ . If  $\mathbf{u}$  is not divisible by  $p$ , its Cartier image is 0. If  $\mathbf{u} = p\mathbf{v}$ , then  $\mathcal{C}_p(t^{\deg(p\mathbf{v})}\mathbf{x}^{p\mathbf{v}}) = t^{p\deg(\mathbf{v})}\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{v}}$ . Up to a factor in  $1 + t\mathbb{Z}_p[[t]]$  this equals  $(t^\sigma)^{\deg(\mathbf{v})}\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{v}} \in L^\sigma$ .  $\square$

We list two other examples of  $(\mathcal{C}_p, f)$ -compatible modules.

**Example 2.6.** *Let  $\Gamma$  be a sublattice of finite index in  $\mathbb{Z}^n$  such that  $\text{Supp}(f) \subset \Gamma$  and where  $p$  does not divide  $[\mathbb{Z}^n : \Gamma]$ . Then the module of polynomials whose support lies in  $\Gamma$  is  $(\mathcal{C}_p, f)$ -compatible.*

**Example 2.7.** *A monomial substitution is a substitution of the form  $x_i \rightarrow \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{m}_i}, i = 1, \dots, n$ , where  $\mathbf{m}_i \in \mathbb{Z}^n$  and  $|\det(\mathbf{m}_1, \dots, \mathbf{m}_n)| = 1$ . Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be a finite group of monomial substitutions that fix  $f$  and such that  $p$  does not divide  $\#\mathcal{G}$ . Then the module of polynomials that are fixed under  $\mathcal{G}$  is  $(\mathcal{C}_p, f)$ -compatible. To prove this we use the fact that  $\mathcal{C}_p$  commutes with elements of  $\mathcal{G}$ .*

Explicit examples, and combinations of them, will appear later in this paper.

**Definition 2.8.** *Let  $L$  be a  $(\mathcal{C}_p, f)$ -compatible  $R$ -module of Laurent polynomials and  $\mu \subset \Delta$  an open set. The  $R$ -submodule of  $\Omega_f$  generated by*

$$(m-1)! \frac{A(\mathbf{x})}{f(\mathbf{x})^m}, \quad \text{with } A \in L \text{ and } \text{Supp}(A) \subset m\mu$$

*is denoted by  $\Omega_{L,f}(\mu)$ . Its  $p$ -adic completion is denoted by  $\widehat{\Omega}_{L,f}(\mu)$ .*

**Remark 2.9.** *As a consequence of property (a) of Definition 2.1 the  $R$ -submodule  $\Omega_{L,f}(\mu)$  is  $p$ -saturated in  $\Omega_f$ . This property will be used on several occasions.*

**Proposition 2.10.** *The operator  $\mathcal{C}_p$  maps  $\widehat{\Omega}_{L,f}(\mu)$  to  $\widehat{\Omega}_{L^\sigma, f^\sigma}(\mu)$ .*

*Proof.* According to formula (4) in [2], the Cartier-action on rational functions is given by the formula

$$(3) \quad \mathcal{C}_p \left( (m-1)! \frac{A(\mathbf{x})}{f(\mathbf{x})^m} \right) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{p^r}{r!} \times \frac{(m-1)!}{([\frac{m}{p}] - 1)!} \times (r + [\frac{m}{p}] - 1)! \frac{\mathcal{C}_p(A(\mathbf{x})f(\mathbf{x})^{p[\frac{m}{p}] - m}G(\mathbf{x})^r)}{f^\sigma(\mathbf{x})^{r + [\frac{m}{p}]}}$$

where  $pG(\mathbf{x}) = f^\sigma(\mathbf{x}^p) - f(\mathbf{x})^p$ . We show that each term

$$C_r := \mathcal{C}_p(A(\mathbf{x})f(\mathbf{x})^{p[\frac{m}{p}] - m}G(\mathbf{x})^r)$$

belongs to  $L^\sigma$  and has support in  $([\frac{m}{p}] + r)\mu$ . Multiply  $C_r$  with  $p^r$  and observe that  $p^r G(\mathbf{x})^r$  is an integer linear combination of products  $f(\mathbf{x})^{pr_1} f^\sigma(\mathbf{x}^p)^{r_2}$  with  $r_1 + r_2 = r$ . Notice that

$$\mathcal{C}_p(A(\mathbf{x})f(\mathbf{x})^{p[\frac{m}{p}] - m} f(\mathbf{x})^{pr_1} f^\sigma(\mathbf{x}^p)^{r_2}) = f^\sigma(\mathbf{x})^{r_2} \mathcal{C}_p(A(\mathbf{x})f(\mathbf{x})^{p[\frac{m}{p}] - m} f(\mathbf{x})^{pr_1}).$$

By  $(\mathcal{C}_p, f)$ -compatibility of  $L$  we see that the last argument of  $\mathcal{C}_p$  lies in  $L$  and has support in  $(p[\frac{m}{p}] + pr_1)\mu$ . Its  $\mathcal{C}_p$ -image lies in  $L^\sigma$  with support in  $([\frac{m}{p}] + r_1)\mu$ . Since  $L^\sigma$  is  $(\mathcal{C}_p, f^\sigma)$ -compatible, we see that after multiplication by  $f^\sigma(\mathbf{x})^{r_2}$  we get an element in  $L^\sigma$  with support in  $[\frac{m}{p}] + r_1 + r_2 = [\frac{m}{p}] + r$ . So we find that  $p^r C_r$  lies in  $L^\sigma$ . Since  $L^\sigma$  is  $p$ -saturated we conclude that  $C_r \in L^\sigma$ .  $\square$

**Definition 2.11.** A Dwork crystal is an  $R$ -module of the form  $M = \widehat{\Omega}_{L,f}(\mu)$ , where  $L$  is a  $(\mathcal{C}_p, f)$ -compatible  $R$ -module of Laurent polynomials and  $\mu \subset \Delta$  is an open subset. For a fixed  $k \geq 1$  the  $R$ -module of functions  $\frac{A(\mathbf{x})}{f(\mathbf{x})^k} \in M$  is called the level  $k$ -part of  $M$  and denoted by  $M(k)$ .

**Example 2.12.** Note that when  $L$  is the entire module of Laurent polynomials we recover the crystals  $M = \widehat{\Omega}_f(\mu)$  that were studied in [2] and [3]. In the situation of Example 2.4, when  $L$  is the  $\mathbb{Z}_p[[t]]$ -module of admissible polynomials we call  $\widehat{\Omega}_{L,f}(\mu)$  the admissible crystals. Notation:  $\widehat{\Omega}_f^{\text{adm}}(\mu)$ .

**Remark 2.13.** Let  $M = \widehat{\Omega}_{L,f}(\mu)$  be a Dwork crystal. Then  $M^\sigma = \widehat{\Omega}_{L^\sigma, f^\sigma}(\mu)$  is also a Dwork crystal. Proposition 2.10 states that the Cartier operation  $\mathcal{C}_p : \widehat{\Omega}_f \rightarrow \widehat{\Omega}_{f^\sigma}$  restricts to  $\mathcal{C}_p : M \rightarrow M^\sigma$ .

**Remark 2.14.** Let  $p \geq k$ . Then there is a natural inclusion  $\iota_k : M(k-1) \rightarrow M(k)$  given by

$$\iota_k : (k-2)! \frac{A(\mathbf{x})}{f^{k-1}} \mapsto \frac{1}{k-1} (k-1)! \frac{A(\mathbf{x})f}{f^k}.$$

Note that for all examples of  $L$  mentioned above the modules  $M(k)$  are free.

### 3. HIGHER FORMAL DERIVATIVES

The idea of this paper to consider  $\widehat{\Omega}_f$  modulo higher derivatives than only first derivatives as above. We first give an informal motivation. In Part I we embedded  $\widehat{\Omega}_f$  in an  $R$ -module  $\Omega_{\text{formal}}$  by taking Laurent series expansions with respect to a vertex  $\mathbf{v} \in \Delta$ . We assumed that the coefficient of  $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{v}}$  in  $f$  is a unit in  $R$ .

**Definition 3.1.** Denote by  $d^k \Omega_{\text{formal}}$  the  $R$ -module generated by elements of the form  $\theta_{i_1} \cdots \theta_{i_k} A$ , where  $\theta_i = x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$  and  $A \in \Omega_{\text{formal}}$ . We call this the space of formal  $k$ -th derivatives.

We have the following analogue of [2, Lemma 2.2] (Katz's lemma).

**Lemma 3.2.** A series  $h \in \Omega_{\text{formal}}$  is in  $d^k \Omega_{\text{formal}}$  if and only if  $\mathcal{C}_p^s(h) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{ks} \Omega_{\text{formal}}}$  for all integers  $s \geq 1$ .

Its proof is similar to the proof in [2].

In all our considerations we shall be interested in modules of the type  $\widehat{\Omega}_f \cap d^k \Omega_{\text{formal}}$ . In the case  $k = 1$  this is  $U_f$  defined in [2, Def 4.1]. A more formal definition, which is independent of the choice of Laurent expansions, is the following.

**Definition 3.3.** Let  $M$  be any Dwork crystal. We define

$$\mathcal{F}_k M := \{\omega \in M \mid \mathcal{C}_p^s(\omega) \in p^{sk} M^{\sigma^s}\} \text{ for all } s \geq 1.$$

We have the following analogue of [2, Prop 4.2].

**Proposition 3.4.** With the notations as above we have

$$\mathcal{F}_k M = M \cap d^k \Omega_{\text{formal}}.$$

Its proof runs parallel to the proof in [2]. As a consequence we can also call  $\mathcal{F}_k M$  the submodule of formal  $k$ -th derivatives in  $M$ .

A direct consequence of Definition 3.3 is the following.

**Corollary 3.5.** The operator  $\mathcal{C}_p$  sends  $\mathcal{F}_k M$  to  $p^k \mathcal{F}_k(M^\sigma)$ .



We suspect that  $M/\mathcal{F}_k$  has always finite rank, but we shall only be able to show this under conditions which are parallel to the invertibility of the Hasse-Witt matrix in the case  $k = 1$ . This will be the main theme of this paper.

#### 4. THE MAIN THEOREM

Throughout this section we let  $M$  be a Dwork crystal. Suppose that  $p \geq k + 1$ . We determine  $\mathcal{C}_p$  modulo  $p^k$  using (3) with  $m = k$ . Because  $p \geq k + 1$  it simplifies to

$$(4) \quad \mathcal{C}_p \left( \frac{A(\mathbf{x})}{f(\mathbf{x})^k} \right) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{p^r}{r!} \times r! \frac{\mathcal{C}_p(A(\mathbf{x})f(\mathbf{x})^{p-k}G(\mathbf{x})^r)}{f^\sigma(\mathbf{x})^{r+1}},$$

where  $pG(\mathbf{x}) = f^\sigma(\mathbf{x}^p) - f(\mathbf{x})^p$ . Notice that  $\text{ord}_p(p^r/r!) > r - r/(p-1) \geq r - r/k$ . So when  $r \geq k$  we get  $\text{ord}_p(p^r/r!) \geq k$ . When  $r < k$  notice that  $\text{ord}_p(p^r/r!) \geq r$ . We thus see that

$$(5) \quad \mathcal{C}_p(M(k)) \subset \sum_{i=1}^k p^{i-1}M^\sigma(i) + p^kM^\sigma.$$

**Definition 4.1.** We will say that  $\mathcal{C}_p$  is maximal on  $M(k)$  if

- (i) To every  $\omega' \in M^\sigma(k)$  there exists  $\omega \in M(k)$  such that  $\mathcal{C}_p(\omega) \equiv p^{k-1}\omega' \pmod{p^kM^\sigma}$ .
- (ii) If  $\mathcal{C}_p(\omega) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^kM^\sigma}$  then  $\omega \in pM(k)$ .

**Remark 4.2.** Notice that when  $k = 1$ , maximality of  $\mathcal{C}_p$  on  $M(1)$  comes down to  $\mathcal{C}_p : M(1) \pmod{p} \rightarrow M^\sigma(1) \pmod{p}$  being an isomorphism. The corresponding matrix is the Hasse-Witt matrix, which is thus invertible modulo  $p$ . This is the pivotal assumption for our main Theorem 4.3 in [2].

**Theorem 4.3.** Let  $M$  be a Dwork crystal. Suppose that  $p \geq k + 1$  and that  $\mathcal{C}_p$  is maximal on  $M^{\sigma^i}(\ell)$  for all  $i \geq 0$  and  $\ell = 1, 2, \dots, k$ . Then

$$(6) \quad M \cong M(k) \oplus \mathcal{F}_k M.$$

**Remark 4.4.** In Proposition 5.8 we will give a simple criterion for the maximality of  $\mathcal{C}_p$  on the  $M^{\sigma^i}(\ell)$ . It is in terms of a generalization of the Hasse-Witt determinant condition from [2].

*Proof.* We are going to prove the decomposition simultaneously for all  $M^{\sigma^i}$  and use induction on  $k$ . The case  $k = 0$ , when we agree that  $\mathcal{F}_0 M = M$  and  $M(0)$  is zero, is trivial.

Suppose now that  $k > 0$  and our claim is true for  $k - 1$ . We thus have

$$(7) \quad M \cong M(k-1) \oplus \mathcal{F}_{k-1} M$$

and the same decomposition for all  $M^{\sigma^i}$ . We will show that

$$(8) \quad \mathcal{F}_{k-1} M \cong \mathcal{F}_{k-1} M(k) \oplus \mathcal{F}_k M.$$

Here we used the notation  $\mathcal{F}_{k-1} M(k)$  for the formal  $k - 1$ -st derivatives in  $M(k)$ . More precisely,  $\mathcal{F}_{k-1} M \cap M(k)$ . As a consequence of the induction hypothesis and (8) we get

$$M \cong M(k-1) \oplus \mathcal{F}_{k-1} M \cong M(k-1) \oplus \mathcal{F}_{k-1} M(k) \oplus \mathcal{F}_k M.$$

Restriction of (7) to  $M(k)$  yields  $M(k) \cong M(k-1) \oplus \mathcal{F}_{k-1} M(k)$  (as  $R$ -modules) and hence we find that  $M \cong M(k) \oplus \mathcal{F}_k M$ , as desired.

To prove (8) we would like to use [2, Prop 4.5] with

$$M_i = \mathcal{F}_{k-1} M^{\sigma^i}, \quad \phi_i = p^{1-k} \mathcal{C}_p \text{ and } N_i = \mathcal{F}_{k-1} M^{\sigma^i}(k).$$

By Corollary 3.5 we know that  $\phi_i$  maps  $M_{i-1}$  to  $M_i$ . We shall check that the assumptions of [2, Prop 4.5] are satisfied, namely:

- (i)  $\phi_i(M_{i-1}) \subset N_i + pM_i$ ;
- (ii)  $N_i \cap pM_i = pN_i$ ;
- (iii) the induced maps  $\bar{\phi}_i : N_{i-1}/pN_{i-1} \rightarrow N_i/pN_i$  are isomorphisms.

Under these conditions [2, Prop 4.5] implies that

$$M_i = N_i \oplus U_i,$$

where  $U_i = \{\omega \in M_i : \phi_{i+s} \circ \dots \circ \phi_{i+1}(\omega) \in p^s M_{i+s}, s \geq 1\} = \mathcal{F}_k M^\sigma$ . In particular, with  $i = 0$  we will obtain (8). It remains to check (i)-(iii).

For (i), the case  $i = 1$  is clearly sufficient. Let  $\omega \in \mathcal{F}_{k-1}M$ . Since  $\mathcal{C}_p$  maps  $M$  to  $M^\sigma(k)$  modulo  $p^k M^\sigma$ , there exist  $\omega_1 \in M^\sigma(k)$  and  $\omega_2 \in M^\sigma$  such that  $\mathcal{C}_p(\omega) = \omega_1 + p^k \omega_2$ . We also know that  $\mathcal{C}_p(\omega) \in p^{k-1} \widehat{\mathcal{F}_{k-1}M}^\sigma$ . Hence  $\omega_1$  is divisible by  $p^{k-1}$  in  $M$ . By the  $p$ -torsion freeness of  $M^\sigma(k)$  we can rewrite  $\omega_1$  as  $p^{k-1} \omega_1$  with  $\omega_1 \in M^\sigma(k)$ . Hence  $p^{1-k} \mathcal{C}_p(\omega) = \omega_1 + p \omega_2$ . By our induction hypothesis we can write  $\omega_2 = \omega_3 + \nu$  with  $\omega_3 \in M^\sigma(k-1) \subset M^\sigma(k)$  and  $\nu \in \mathcal{F}_{k-1}M^\sigma$ . Thus we get  $p^{1-k} \mathcal{C}_p(\omega) = \omega_1 + p \omega_3 + p \nu$ . Since  $\nu \in \mathcal{F}_{k-1}M^\sigma$  and  $p^{1-k} \mathcal{C}_p(\omega) \in \mathcal{F}_{k-1}M^\sigma$ , we conclude that  $\omega_1 + p \omega_3 \in \mathcal{F}_{k-1}M^\sigma(k)$ . This finishes verification of (i).

To check (ii) it is sufficient to consider the case  $i = 0$ . Let  $\omega \in \mathcal{F}_{k-1}M(k)$  and suppose that  $\omega/p \in \mathcal{F}_{k-1}M$ . By our induction hypothesis there exist unique  $\omega_1 \in M(k-1)$  and  $m \in \mathcal{F}_{k-1}M$  such that  $\omega/p = \omega_1 + m$ . Hence  $\omega = p\omega_1 + pm$  and  $p\omega_1 \in M(k-1) \cap \mathcal{F}_{k-1}M = \{0\}$ . Hence  $\omega_1 = 0$  and  $\omega/p = m \in \mathcal{F}_{k-1}M(k)$ . This finishes our proof of (ii).

To verify (iii) it is sufficient to consider the case  $i = 1$ . We will show that maximality of  $\mathcal{C}_p$  on  $M^{(k)}$  implies that the induced map

$$\bar{\phi}_1 = \overline{p^{1-k} \mathcal{C}_p} : \mathcal{F}_{k-1}M(k)/p \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{k-1}M^\sigma(k)/p$$

is an isomorphism. Injectivity follows immediately from (ii) in Definition 4.1. To show surjectivity, let us consider any  $\omega' \in \mathcal{F}_{k-1}M^\sigma(k)$ . We will construct an inverse image of  $\omega'$ . By (i) in Definition 4.1 there exist  $\omega_0 \in M(k)$  and  $\nu \in M^\sigma$  such that  $\mathcal{C}_p(\omega_0) = p^{k-1} \omega' + p^k \nu$ . By our induction hypothesis there exist  $\nu_0 \in M^\sigma(k-1)$  and  $f_0 \in \mathcal{F}_{k-1}M^\sigma$  such that  $\nu = \nu_0 + f_0$ . Hence  $\mathcal{C}_p(\omega_0) = p^{k-1} \omega' + p^k \nu_0 + p^k f_0$ .

We now construct inductively a sequence of perturbations  $\omega_s$  of  $\omega_0$  and  $\nu_s, f_s$  such that for all  $s \geq 0$ ,

- (a)  $\omega_{s+1} \equiv \omega_s \pmod{p^{s+1}}$  and  $f_{s+1} \equiv f_s \pmod{p^{s+1}}$ ,
- (b)  $\mathcal{C}_p(\omega_s) = p^{k-1} \omega' + p^{k+s} \nu_s + p^k f_s$ .

Let  $s \geq 0$  and suppose we have constructed  $\omega_s, \nu_s, f_s$ . Using maximality there exist  $\eta \in M(k)$  and  $\zeta \in M^\sigma$  such that  $\mathcal{C}_p(\eta) = p^{k-1} \nu_s + p^k \zeta$ . Using our induction hypothesis we find  $\zeta' \in M^\sigma(k-1)$  and  $\zeta'' \in \mathcal{F}_{k-1}M^\sigma$  such that  $\zeta = \zeta' + \zeta''$ . Hence

$$\mathcal{C}_p(\eta) = p^{k-1} \nu_s + p^k \zeta' + p^k \zeta''.$$

Subtract  $p^{s+1}$  times this equality from equality (b) above. We obtain

$$\mathcal{C}_p(\omega_s - p^{s+1} \eta) = p^{k-1} \omega' - p^{k+s+1} \zeta' + p^k (f_s - p^{s+1} \zeta'').$$

The induction step is completed once we define  $\omega_{s+1} = \omega_s - p^{s+1} \eta$ ,  $\nu_{s+1} = -\zeta'$  and  $f_{s+1} = f_s - p^{s+1} \zeta''$ . Let  $\omega = \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \omega_s$ . Thus it follows that  $\mathcal{C}_p(\omega) \equiv p^{k-1} \omega' \pmod{p^k \mathcal{F}_{k-1}M^\sigma}$ . Application of  $\mathcal{C}_p^{s-1}$  yields  $\mathcal{C}_p^s(\omega) \in p^{(k-1)s} \mathcal{F}_{k-1}M^\sigma$  for all  $s \geq 1$ . Hence  $\omega \in \mathcal{F}_{k-1}M(k)$ . Thus we find that to any  $\omega' \in \mathcal{F}_{k-1}M^\sigma(k)$  there exists  $\omega \in \mathcal{F}_{k-1}M^{(k)}$  such that  $\mathcal{C}_p(\omega) - p^{k-1} \omega' \in p^k \mathcal{F}_{k-1}M^\sigma$ . And hence  $\phi_1(\omega) \equiv \omega' \pmod{p \mathcal{F}_{k-1}M^\sigma}$ . This completes our verification of (iii), and therefore the theorem is proved.  $\square$

A consequence which will often be used is the following.

**Corollary 4.5.** *Let notations be as in Theorem 4.3. Then*

$$(9) \quad \mathcal{C}_p(M) \subset M^\sigma(k) + p^k \mathcal{F}_k M^\sigma.$$

*Proof.* From (5) and Theorem 4.3 we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}_p(M) &\subset M^\sigma(k) + p^k M^\sigma = M^\sigma(k) + p^k (M^\sigma(k) + \mathcal{F}_k M^\sigma) \\ &= M^\sigma(k) + p^k \mathcal{F}_k M^\sigma, \end{aligned}$$

as asserted.  $\square$

## 5. HASSE-WITT MATRICES

In order to use Theorem 4.3 we shall require a practical criterion to verify maximality of  $\mathcal{C}_p$  on  $M(k)$  in the sense of definition 4.1. In Part I we used the Hasse-Witt matrix which is essentially the matrix corresponding to the Cartier map modulo  $p$ . Formula (5) then show shows that  $\mathcal{C}_p$  maps  $M(k)$  to  $M^\sigma(k)$  modulo  $p^k$ . In this section we will assume that the  $M(k)$  are free modules. This is the case in all our examples of Dwork crystals, see Remark 2.14. We now like to consider the Cartier action modulo  $p^k$  on a Dwork crystal  $M$ . Consider (4) modulo  $p^k$ . We can rewrite it as

$$\mathcal{C}_p \left( \frac{A(\mathbf{x})}{f(\mathbf{x})^k} \right) \equiv \frac{1}{f^\sigma(\mathbf{x})^k} \mathcal{C}_p \left( A(\mathbf{x}) f(\mathbf{x})^{p-k} \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} (f^\sigma(\mathbf{x}^p) - f(\mathbf{x})^p)^r f^\sigma(\mathbf{x}^p)^{k-r-1} \right) \pmod{p^k M^\sigma}.$$

Let us define

$$(10) \quad F^{(k)}(\mathbf{x}) = f(\mathbf{x})^{p-k} \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} (f^\sigma(\mathbf{x}^p) - f(\mathbf{x})^p)^r f^\sigma(\mathbf{x}^p)^{k-r-1},$$

then

$$\mathcal{C}_p \left( \frac{A(\mathbf{x})}{f(\mathbf{x})^k} \right) \equiv \frac{1}{f^\sigma(\mathbf{x})^k} \mathcal{C}_p(A(\mathbf{x}) F^{(k)}(\mathbf{x})) \pmod{p^k M^\sigma}.$$

To write down a matrix for  $\mathcal{C}_p \pmod{p^k}$ , we assume that  $M(k)$  has a free basis  $\frac{b_i(\mathbf{x})}{f(\mathbf{x})^k}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m_k$  where  $m_k$  is the rank of  $M(k)$ . We remark that, given a basis of  $M(k)$ , we immediately have a basis for  $M^\sigma(k)$  given by  $\frac{b_i^\sigma}{(f^\sigma)^k}$  where  $b_i^\sigma$  is simply  $b_i$  with  $\sigma$  applied to its coefficients.

**Definition 5.1.** *The  $k$ -th Hasse-Witt matrix is the  $m_k \times m_k$ -matrix  $HW^{(k)}(M)$  with entries given by the formula*

$$HW^{(k)}(M)_{i,j} = \text{coefficient of } b_j^\sigma(\mathbf{x}) \text{ in } \mathcal{C}_p(b_i(\mathbf{x}) F^{(k)}(\mathbf{x})).$$

Strictly speaking,  $HW^{(k)}(M)$  is the transpose of the matrix of  $\mathcal{C}_p$  modulo  $p^k$ , with the entries lifted to  $R$ .

In the case  $M = \widehat{\Omega}_f(\mu)$  we can use the basis  $\frac{\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{u}}}{f(\mathbf{x})^k}$  with  $\mathbf{u} \in k\mu$ . The  $k$ -th Hasse-Witt matrix now has the entries

$$HW^{(k)}(M)_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}} = \text{coefficient of } \mathbf{x}^{p\mathbf{v}-\mathbf{u}} \text{ in } F^{(k)}(\mathbf{x}).$$

In the case  $k = 1$  this is precisely the Hasse-Witt matrix from Parts I,II. We then abbreviate  $HW^{(k)}(\widehat{\Omega}_f(\mu))$  by  $HW^{(k)}(\mu)$ .

In the case  $M = \widehat{\Omega}_f^{\text{adm}}(\mu)$ , with  $f = 1 - tg$ , we use the basis  $t^{\deg(\mathbf{u})} \frac{\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{u}}}{f(\mathbf{x})^k}$  with  $\mathbf{u} \in k\mu$ . The associated Hasse-Witt matrix is then a twist of  $HW^{(k)}(\mu)$ , where the entries with indexes  $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}$  are multiplied with  $(t^\sigma)^{\deg(\mathbf{v})} / t^{\deg(\mathbf{u})}$ . Notation:  $HW_{\text{adm}}^{(k)}(\mu)$ .



By construction we have that

$$(11) \quad \mathcal{C}_p \left( \frac{b_i}{f^k} \right) \equiv \sum_{j=1}^{m_k} HW_{i,j}^{(k)}(M) \frac{b_j^\sigma}{(f^\sigma)^k} \pmod{p^k M}.$$

As a curiosity we mention the following for  $HW^{(k)} := HW^{(k)}(\Omega_f)$ .

**Corollary 5.2.** *With the notations just given we have*

$$HW_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}}^{(k)} \equiv \text{coefficient of } \mathbf{x}^{p\mathbf{v}-\mathbf{u}} \text{ in } \frac{f^\sigma(\mathbf{x}^p)^k}{f(\mathbf{x})^k} \pmod{p^k}.$$

In order to determine the coefficient we have to consider a Laurent series expansion.

*Proof.* In Definition 5.1 we carry out the summation to get

$$\frac{(f^\sigma(\mathbf{x}^p) - f(\mathbf{x})^p)^k - (f^\sigma(\mathbf{x}^p))^k}{-f(\mathbf{x})^k} \equiv \frac{f^\sigma(\mathbf{x}^p)^k}{f(\mathbf{x})^k} \pmod{p^k}.$$

□

**Definition 5.3** (Extended basis). *Let  $M$  be a Dwork crystal and  $p > k$ . An extended basis of  $M(k)$  is a basis  $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_{m_k}$  such that  $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_{m_\ell}$  is a free basis of  $M(\ell)$  for  $\ell = 1, 2, \dots, k$ . In particular  $m_\ell$  is the rank of  $M(\ell)$ .*

The requirement of an extended basis for  $M(k)$  is a mild one, as can be seen from the following examples. The reader may wish to skip the overview of the examples and proceed directly to Proposition 5.8.

**Lemma 5.4.** *Suppose there is a vertex  $\mathbf{b}$  of  $\Delta$  such that the coefficient of  $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{b}}$  in  $f$  is a unit in  $R$ . Then, for any open set  $\mu \subset \Delta$  and  $p > k$  the module  $\Omega_f(\mu)(k)$  has an extended basis.*

*Proof.* By choosing  $\mathbf{x}^{-\mathbf{b}}f$  instead of  $f$  we can assume that  $\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{0}$ . Without loss of generality we can also assume that the constant term of this new  $f$  is 1. We give a proof by induction on  $k$ .

When  $k = 1$  the statement is obvious. Suppose  $k > 1$  and assume our assertion holds for  $\Omega_f^{(k-1)}$ . It suffices to prove that the standard basis of  $\Omega_f^{(k-1)}$  can be supplemented with the functions  $(k-1)! \frac{\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{u}}}{f^k}$  with  $\mathbf{u} \in (k\mu)_{\mathbb{Z}} \setminus ((k-1)\mu)_{\mathbb{Z}}$  to a free basis of  $\Omega_f^{(k)}$ . In view of our standing assumption  $p > k$  we must show that the  $R$ -span

$$S := \langle \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{u}}f(\mathbf{x}) \text{ with } \mathbf{u} \in ((k-1)\mu)_{\mathbb{Z}} ; \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{u}} \text{ with } \mathbf{u} \in (k\mu)_{\mathbb{Z}} \setminus ((k-1)\mu)_{\mathbb{Z}} \rangle_R$$

contains  $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{u}}$  for every  $\mathbf{u} \in ((k-1)\mu)_{\mathbb{Z}}$ .

Let  $C(\Delta)$  be the positive cone generated by the points of  $\Delta$ . Let  $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in k\mu$ . We say that  $\mathbf{v}$  dominates  $\mathbf{u}$  if  $\mathbf{u} \neq \mathbf{v}$  and  $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbf{v} + C(\Delta)$ . This gives a partial order on the points of  $k\mu$ . Let  $\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_{|(k-1)\mu_{\mathbb{Z}}|}$  be the lattice points in  $(k-1)\mu$  and order them in such a way that  $\mathbf{w}_i$  is not dominated by any  $\mathbf{w}_j$  with  $j < i$ . This can be done by choosing a minimal element  $\mathbf{w}_1$ , and then a minimal element  $\mathbf{w}_2$  in  $\mu_{\mathbb{Z}} \setminus \{\mathbf{w}_1\}$  etcetera.

We now follow an inductive procedure. All terms in  $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{w}_1}f$  except  $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{w}_1}$  are dominated by  $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{w}_1}$ . So these terms have their support in  $(k\mu)_{\mathbb{Z}} \setminus ((k-1)\mu)_{\mathbb{Z}}$ . We thus see that  $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{w}_1}$  is in the span  $S$ . We apply the same argument inductively to  $\mathbf{w}_2, \mathbf{w}_3, \dots$  and thus find that all monomials  $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{u}}$  with  $\mathbf{u} \in (k\mu)_{\mathbb{Z}}$  are in  $S$ . As desired. □

Note that if the condition of Lemma 5.4 is satisfied for  $f$ , then it is also satisfied for  $f^\sigma$ .

**Corollary 5.5.** *Under the assumptions of Lemma 5.4, consider the crystal  $M = \widehat{\Omega}_f(L, \mu)$  where  $L$  is the module of polynomials supported in a lattice  $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{Z}^n$  (as in Example 2.6). Then  $M(k)$  has an extended basis.*

*Proof.* The extended basis in  $\Omega_f(\mu)(k)$  constructed in the proof of Lemma 5.4 consists of elements of the form  $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{u}}/f(\mathbf{x})^\ell$  for  $1 \leq \ell \leq k$  and some chosen  $\mathbf{u} \in (\ell\mu)_{\mathbb{Z}}$ . If  $L$  is the module of polynomials supported in a lattice  $\Gamma$ , we restrict to  $\mathbf{u} \in \Gamma$  to obtain an extended basis in  $M(k)$ .  $\square$

The situation of Example 2.7, where  $L$  is the module of polynomials fixed by a group  $\mathcal{G}$  of monomial substitutions, seems more subtle. We now turn to admissible crystals.

**Lemma 5.6.** *Let  $f(\mathbf{x}) = 1 - tg(\mathbf{x})$  and  $R = \mathbb{Z}_p[[t]]$  be as in Example 2.3. Consider an admissible crystal  $M = \widehat{\Omega}_f^{\text{adm}}(\mu)$ . Then the set*

$$\frac{t^{\deg \mathbf{u}} \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{u}}}{f(\mathbf{x})^\ell} \quad \text{with} \quad \mathbf{u} \in (\ell\mu)_{\mathbb{Z}} \setminus ((\ell-1)\mu)_{\mathbb{Z}}, \quad 1 \leq \ell \leq k$$

*is an extended basis for  $M(k)$ .*

*Proof.* We do induction in  $k$ . The case  $k = 1$  holds trivially. Suppose  $k > 1$  and our claim is true for  $k - 1$ . Let  $\{\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_{m_k}\} = (k\mu)_{\mathbb{Z}}$  be the set of integral points ordered so that their degree is non-decreasing. In particular, the first  $m_{k-1}$  points on the list give the set  $((k-1)\mu)_{\mathbb{Z}}$ . For the inductive step, it suffices to show that the standard basis in  $M(k-1)$

$$t^{\deg(\mathbf{u}_i)} \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{u}_i} / f(\mathbf{x})^{k-1}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq m_{k-1}$$

together with the elements

$$t^k \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{u}_i} / f(\mathbf{x})^k, \quad m_{k-1} < i \leq m_k$$

yield a basis in  $M(k)$ . Let  $E = (E_{ij}) \in R^{m_k \times m_k}$  be the matrix expressing them in the standard basis

$$t^{\deg(\mathbf{u}_j)} \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{u}_j} / f(\mathbf{x})^k, \quad 1 \leq j \leq m_k.$$

We note that modulo  $t$  this matrix is upper-triangular with 1's on the diagonal. Indeed, since  $g = \sum_{\mathbf{w} \in \Delta_{\mathbb{Z}}} g_{\mathbf{w}} \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{w}}$  has coefficients in  $\mathbb{Z}$ , for  $i \leq m_{k-1}$  we have

$$t^{\deg(\mathbf{u}_i)} \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{u}_i} f(\mathbf{x}) = (1 + O(t)) t^{\deg(\mathbf{u}_i)} \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{u}_i} - \sum_{\mathbf{w} \neq \mathbf{0}} g_{\mathbf{w}} t^{\deg(\mathbf{u}_i)+1} \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{u}_i+\mathbf{w}}.$$

If  $\deg(\mathbf{u}_i + \mathbf{w}) = \deg(\mathbf{u}_i) + 1$ , then point  $\mathbf{u}_i + \mathbf{w}$  occurs further than  $\mathbf{u}_i$  on our list. And otherwise the contribution of the respective term to our matrix is  $O(t)$ . When  $i > m_{k-1}$  then  $E_{i,j} = \delta_{i,j}$ . We conclude that  $\det(E) \in 1 + t\mathbb{Z}[[t]]$ . Therefore  $E$  is invertible over  $R = \mathbb{Z}_p[[t]]$ , which concludes the proof of the induction step.  $\square$

We can also consider a mixture of admissible crystals and Example 2.7.

**Corollary 5.7.** *In the situation of Lemma 5.6, let  $\mathcal{G} \subset \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$  be a finite group of monomial substitutions which fixes  $f$  and such that  $p \nmid \#\mathcal{G}$ . Suppose in addition that elements of  $\mathcal{G}$  map  $\mu$  to itself. Consider the crystal  $M = \widehat{\Omega}_f(L, \mu)$  where  $L$  is the module of admissible polynomials fixed by  $\mathcal{G}$ . This is the  $\mathcal{G}$ -invariant part of the admissible crystal corresponding to  $\mu$ :*

$$M = \widehat{\Omega}_f^{\text{adm}}(\mu)^{\mathcal{G}}.$$

*Clearly,  $M(k)$  has an extended basis, for which one can take  $\mathcal{G}$ -orbits of the basis elements from Lemma 5.6.*

Let us now give a practical criterion to verify the maximality of  $\mathcal{C}_p$  on  $M(k)$ .

**Proposition 5.8.** *Let  $p > k$  and let  $M$  be a Dwork crystal such that  $M(k)$  has an extended basis. Let  $m_\ell$  be the rank of  $M(\ell)$  for  $\ell \leq k$ . Then  $\det(HW^{(k)}(M))$  is divisible by  $p^{L(k)}$ , where*

$$L(k) = (k-1)m_k - \sum_{\ell=1}^{k-1} m_\ell.$$

Moreover, if  $\det(HW^{(k)}(M))$  divided by  $p^{L(k)}$  is in  $R^\times$  then  $\mathcal{C}_p$  is maximal on  $M(k)$  in the sense of Definition 4.1.

*Proof.* Let  $\omega_i = b_i/f^k, i = 1, 2, \dots, m_k$  be an extended basis of  $M(k)$ . Using this basis consider (11). Because  $\mathcal{C}_p(M) \subset \sum_{i=1}^k p^{i-1} M^\sigma(i) \pmod{p^k M^\sigma}$  we see that the  $j$ -th column of the Hasse-Witt matrix is divisible by  $p^{\ell(j)-1}$ , where  $\ell(j)$  is determined by  $m_{\ell(j)-1} < j \leq m_{\ell(j)}$ . This implies that the  $p$ -adic order of  $\det(HW^{(k)}(M))$  is at least

$$\sum_{\ell=1}^k (\ell-1)(m_\ell - m_{\ell-1}) = (k-1)m_k - \sum_{\ell=1}^{k-1} m_\ell = L(k).$$

This proves the first statement.

Let  $\tilde{H}W$  be the matrix which is obtained from  $HW^{(k)}$  after division of the  $j$ -th column by  $p^{\ell(j)-1}$ . We do this for all  $j$ . If  $\det(HW^{(k)}(M)) \in p^{L(k)} R^\times$  we see that  $\tilde{H}W$  is invertible. Equation (11) becomes

$$\mathcal{C}_p(\omega_i) \equiv \sum_{j=1}^{m_k} \tilde{H}W_{ij} p^{\ell(j)-1} \sigma(\omega_j) \pmod{p^k M}.$$

Since  $\tilde{H}W$  is invertible, we see that modulo  $p^k M$  all terms  $p^{\ell(j)-1} \sigma(\omega_j)$  are in the image of  $\mathcal{C}_p$ . Hence all terms  $p^{k-1} \sigma(\omega_j)$  are in the image of  $\mathcal{C}_p$  modulo  $p^k$ , and so property (i) of Definition 4.1 is satisfied. Suppose on the other hand that we have  $\lambda_i \in R$  such that  $\mathcal{C}_p(\sum_i \lambda_i \omega_i) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^k M^\sigma}$ . Hence  $\sum_i \lambda_i \tilde{H}W_{ij} p^{\ell(j)-1}$  is divisible by  $p^k$  for every  $j$ . In particular all sums  $\sum_i \lambda_i \tilde{H}W_{ij}$  are divisible by  $p$ . By the invertibility of  $\tilde{H}W$  we find that all  $\lambda_i$  are divisible by  $p$ . Hence property (ii) of Definition 4.1 holds.  $\square$

**Definition 5.9.** *The determinant of the Hasse-Witt matrix  $HW^{(k)}(M)$  divided by  $p^{L(k)}$  is called the  $k$ -th Hasse-Witt determinant and is denoted by*

$$hw^{(k)}(M) := p^{-L(k)} \det(HW^{(k)}(M)).$$

**Remark 5.10.** *Notice that if  $hw^{(k)}(M) \in R^\times$ , then the same holds for  $hw^{(k)}(M^{\sigma^i})$  for all  $i \geq 0$ . Then, as a consequence of Proposition 5.8,  $\mathcal{C}_p$  is maximal on all  $M^{\sigma^i}(k)$ .*

**Remark 5.11.** *Theorem 4.3 can be reformulated by saying that if  $p > k$ ,  $M(k)$  has an extended basis and  $hw^{(\ell)}(M) \in R^\times$  for  $\ell = 1, 2, \dots, k$ , then  $M \cong M(k) \oplus \mathcal{F}_k M$ .*

**Remark 5.12.** *In practice we compute the determinants  $hw^{(\ell)}(M)$  for  $\ell = 1, \dots, k$  and verify that they are not divisible by  $p$ . If that is the case we extend  $R$  to the  $p$ -adic completion of  $R[1/(hw^{(1)} \cdots hw^{(k)})]$ .*

**Remark 5.13.** *In Adolphson-Sperber [1, (2.3)] the authors also define level  $k$  Hasse-Witt matrices, but in the particular case that the interior of  $(k-1)\Delta$  contains no lattice points. In that case it seems to come down to the determination of the coefficient of  $\mathbf{x}^{p\mathbf{v}-\mathbf{u}}$  of  $f^{k(p-1)}$ , where  $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in (k\Delta^\circ)_\mathbb{Z}$ . It would be interesting to see to what extent  $F^{(k)}$  in Definition 5.1 can be replaced by  $f^{k(p-1)}$  while Proposition 5.8 still holds.*

Let us suppose that  $hw^{(\ell)} \in R^\times$  for  $\ell = 1, \dots, k$ . Similarly to Part I, we can define matrices of the Cartier operator and connection on the free quotients

$$Q^{(k)}(M) := M/\mathcal{F}_k M \cong R^h,$$

where  $h$  is the rank of  $M(k)$ . Namely, suppose that  $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_h$  is a basis of  $Q^{(k)}(M)$ . For any Frobenius lift  $\sigma$  and any derivation  $\delta$  of  $R$ , we define the respective  $h \times h$  matrices  $\Lambda = (\lambda_{ij}), N_\delta = (\nu_{ij}) \in R^{h \times h}$  by

$$(12) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}_p(\omega_i) &= \sum_j \lambda_{ij} \omega_j^\sigma \pmod{p^k \mathcal{F}_k M^\sigma}, \\ \delta(\omega_i) &= \sum_j \nu_{ij} \omega_j \pmod{\mathcal{F}_k M}. \end{aligned}$$

An important application of Theorem 4.3 is the existence of so-called *supercongruences for the expansion coefficients* of a function  $\omega \in \Omega_f$ . For a vertex  $\mathbf{b} \in \Delta$  and an exponent vector  $\mathbf{m} \in C(\Delta - \mathbf{b})_{\mathbb{Z}}$  we denote

$$(13) \quad \alpha_{\mathbf{m}}(\omega) = \text{coefficient of } \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{m}} \text{ in the expansion of } \omega \text{ at } \mathbf{b}.$$

Here we should assume that the coefficient  $f_{\mathbf{b}}$  is not divisible by  $p$ . In this case  $\alpha_{\mathbf{m}}(\omega) \in R[f_{\mathbf{b}}^{-1}]$ , see [2, §2] for more details regarding formal expansions. The following Proposition generalizes [6, Thm 6.2] and the analogous [2, Thm 5.7] to a supercongruence version.

**Proposition 5.14.** *Fix  $\mathbf{m} \in C(\Delta - \mathbf{b})_{\mathbb{Z}}$ . Take notations as above. Then the vectors  $\mathbf{a}_{p^s \mathbf{m}} \in R^h$  with components*

$$(\mathbf{a}_{p^s \mathbf{m}})_i = \alpha_{p^s \mathbf{m}}(\omega_i) = \text{coefficient of } \mathbf{x}^{p^s \mathbf{m}} \text{ in } \omega_i$$

satisfy the congruences

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{a}_{p^s \mathbf{m}} &\equiv \Lambda \sigma(\mathbf{a}_{p^{s-1} \mathbf{m}}) \pmod{p^{sk}}, \\ \delta(\mathbf{a}_{p^s \mathbf{m}}) &\equiv N_\delta \mathbf{a}_{p^s \mathbf{m}} \pmod{p^{sk}}. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* (Similar to [2, Thm 5.7] in Part I.) Expand (12) in a Laurent series and take on both sides the coefficient of  $\mathbf{x}^{p^{s-1} \mathbf{m}}$ . Use the fact that  $\alpha_{p^{s-1} \mathbf{m}}(\mathcal{C}_p(\omega_i)) = \alpha_{p^s \mathbf{m}}(\omega_i)$  and  $\alpha_{p^{s-1} \mathbf{m}}(\omega_j^\sigma) = \alpha_{p^{s-1} \mathbf{m}}(\omega_j)^\sigma$ . For the second congruence take the coefficient on both sides of  $\mathbf{x}^{p^s \mathbf{m}}$ . The claimed congruences follow because, by Lemma 3.2, the coefficient of  $\mathbf{x}^{p^s \mathbf{m}}$  of elements of  $\mathcal{F}_k$  are divisible by  $p^{sk}$ .  $\square$

It is also possible to give a system of differential equations for  $\Lambda$  as follows.

**Proposition 5.15.** *Let  $\Lambda$  and  $N_\delta$  be the matrices defined in (12). Then*

$$N_\delta \Lambda = \Lambda N_\delta^\sigma + \delta(\Lambda),$$

where  $N_\delta^\sigma$  is the (transpose) matrix of  $\delta$  on  $Q^{(k)}(M^\sigma)$  in the basis  $\omega_i^\sigma$ .

*Proof.* Denote the column vector with entries  $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_h$  by  $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ . Then (12) can be summarized as  $\mathcal{C}_p(\boldsymbol{\omega}) = \Lambda \boldsymbol{\omega}^\sigma$  and  $\delta(\boldsymbol{\omega}) = N_\delta \boldsymbol{\omega}$ . Apply  $\delta$  to  $\mathcal{C}_p(\boldsymbol{\omega}) = \Lambda \boldsymbol{\omega}^\sigma$ . We get

$$\delta(\mathcal{C}_p(\boldsymbol{\omega})) = \delta(\Lambda \boldsymbol{\omega}^\sigma).$$

Since  $\delta \circ \mathcal{C}_p = \mathcal{C}_p \circ \theta$  the left hand side can be rewritten as  $\mathcal{C}_p(\delta(\boldsymbol{\omega})) = \mathcal{C}_p(N_\delta \boldsymbol{\omega}) = N_\delta \Lambda \boldsymbol{\omega}^\sigma$ . The right hand side can be rewritten as

$$\delta(\Lambda) \boldsymbol{\omega}^\sigma + \Lambda \delta(\boldsymbol{\omega}^\sigma) = \delta(\Lambda) \boldsymbol{\omega}^\sigma + \Lambda N_\delta^\sigma \boldsymbol{\omega}^\sigma.$$

Thus we find that

$$N_\delta \Lambda \boldsymbol{\omega}^\sigma = \delta(\Lambda) \boldsymbol{\omega}^\sigma + \Lambda N_\delta^\sigma \boldsymbol{\omega}^\sigma.$$

Since  $\omega_1^\sigma, \dots, \omega_h^\sigma$  are independent over  $R$  we can drop  $\boldsymbol{\omega}^\sigma$  from this equality and find the desired result.  $\square$

**Remark 5.16.** *The same proposition, with the same proof, can also be shown for the matrices  $\Lambda_\sigma$  and  $N_\delta$  in Theorem 5.3 of [2]. This proposition forms the basis for the so-called Frobenius structure of the system of linear differential equation associated to the matrices  $N_\delta$  for all derivations  $\delta$  of  $R$ . Examples will be worked out in the next sections.*

## 6. A SIMPLE EXAMPLE

Consider the Dwork crystal  $\widehat{\Omega}_f(\mu)$  with  $f = (1-x)(1-y) - axy = 1 - x - y + (1-a)xy$  and for the base ring  $R$  the  $p$ -adic completion of  $\mathbb{Z}_p[[a]][1/a]$ . We see later that the Hasse-Witt condition requires  $a$  to be invertible in  $R$ . As Frobenius lift we shall take  $\sigma : a \rightarrow a^p$ . Later we briefly deal with other Frobenius lifts.

Define  $\omega(a) = 1/f$ . The goal of this section is to prove the following.

**Theorem 6.1.** *We have*

$$\mathcal{C}_p(\omega(a)) \equiv \omega(a^p) \pmod{p^2 \mathcal{F}_2}.$$

This theorem can be proven in a straightforward way by computation of the coefficients of the power series expansion of  $\omega(a)$ . However, we like to give another proof which illustrates the methods from the previous section. First we like to mention a consequence.

**Corollary 6.2.** *We have*

$$\mathcal{C}_p \left( \frac{1}{1-x-y+2xy} \right) \equiv \frac{1}{1-x-y+2xy} \pmod{p^2 \mathcal{F}_2}.$$

*Proof.* Set  $a = -1$  in Theorem 6.1. □

Write  $\frac{1}{1-x-y+2xy} = \sum_{k,l \geq 0} \alpha_{k,l} x^k y^l$ . Then application of Proposition 5.14 gives

$$\alpha_{kp^s, lp^s} \equiv \alpha_{kp^{s-1}, lp^{s-1}} \pmod{p^{2s}}$$

for all  $k, l, s \geq 0$ . This is a special case of a more general phenomenon of supercongruences for Taylor series coefficients of rational functions in several variables. A striking example is Armin Straub's discovery [10] of supercongruences modulo  $p^{3s}$  for the Taylor coefficients of

$$\frac{1}{(1-x_1-x_2)(1-x_3-x_4) - x_1x_2x_3x_4}$$

The diagonal coefficients  $\alpha_{n,n,n,n}$  are the so-called Apéry numbers.

We now prove Theorem 6.1. The Newton polytope  $\Delta$  is the unit square. Let's take

$$\mu = \Delta \setminus \{\text{upper edge} \cup \text{right edge}\}.$$

Then  $(\mu)_{\mathbb{Z}} = \{(0, 0)\}$  and

$$(2\mu)_{\mathbb{Z}} = \Delta_{\mathbb{Z}} = \{(0, 0), (1, 0), (0, 1), (1, 1)\}.$$

Let us verify that  $hw^{(1)}$  and  $hw^{(2)}$  are invertible. The Hasse-Witt matrix  $HW^{(1)}(\mu)$  is a  $1 \times 1$ -matrix with entry the constant coefficient of  $f(x)^{p-1}$ , which is 1. For  $HW^{(2)}(\mu)$  one easily checks that the level 2 part of  $\widehat{\Omega}_f(\mu)$  has the extended basis  $\omega(a), \theta_x \omega(a), \theta_y \omega(a), \delta \omega(a)$  where  $\theta_x = x \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \theta_y = y \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$  and  $\delta = a \frac{\partial}{\partial a}$ . With the order fixed as above, we have

$$(p\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{u})_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in (2\mu)_{\mathbb{Z}}} = \begin{pmatrix} (0, 0) & * & * & * \\ (-1, 0) & (p-1, 0) & * & * \\ (0, -1) & (p, -1) & (0, p-1) & * \\ (-1, -1) & (p-1, -1) & (-1, p-1) & (p-1, p-1) \end{pmatrix}.$$



Since all terms under the diagonal have negative components,  $HW^{(2)}(\mu)$  is an upper-triangular matrix. To read its diagonal entries, it is enough to work modulo  $(x^p, y^p)$ , in particular we have  $f^\sigma(\mathbf{x}^p) \equiv 1$  and the polynomial (10) becomes

$$F^{(2)}(\mathbf{x}) = f(\mathbf{x})^{p-2}(2f^\sigma(\mathbf{x}^p) - f(\mathbf{x})^p) \equiv 2f(\mathbf{x})^{p-2} - f(\mathbf{x})^{2p-2} \pmod{(x^p, y^p)}.$$

The diagonal entries of  $HW^{(2)}(\mu)$  are given by

$$1, -\binom{2p-2}{p-1}, -\binom{2p-2}{p-1}, -\binom{2p-2}{p-1} \sum_{m=0}^{p-1} \binom{p-1}{m}^2 (1-a)^m,$$

from which we get that

$$\binom{2p-2}{p-1}^{-3} \det HW^{(2)}(\mu) \equiv -\sum_{m=0}^{p-1} (1-a)^m \equiv a^{p-1} \pmod{p}.$$

Note that  $\binom{2p-2}{p-1}$  is exactly divisible by  $p$ . Since  $a \in R^\times$ ,  $hw^{(2)}(\mu) := HW^{(2)}(\mu)/p^3$  is invertible. So Theorem 4.3 and Remark 5.11 tell us that

$$\widehat{\Omega}_f(\mu) = R\omega(a) \oplus R\theta_x\omega(a) \oplus R\theta_y\omega(a) \oplus R\delta\omega(a) \oplus \mathcal{F}_2,$$

where  $\mathcal{F}_2$  is short for  $\mathcal{F}_2\widehat{\Omega}_f(\mu)$ . A similar decomposition holds in  $\widehat{\Omega}_{f^\sigma}(\mu)$  and we find that there exist  $\alpha, \alpha_x, \alpha_y, \beta \in R$  such that

$$(14) \quad \mathcal{C}_p(\omega(a)) \equiv \alpha\omega(a^p) + \alpha_x\theta_x\omega(a^p) + \alpha_y\theta_y\omega(a^p) + \beta(\delta\omega)(a^p) \pmod{p^2\mathcal{F}_2^\sigma}$$

where  $\mathcal{F}_2^\sigma$  is short for  $\mathcal{F}_2\widehat{\Omega}_{f^\sigma}(\mu)$ . We can expand  $\omega(a)$  in a power series in  $x, y$  and set  $y = 0$ . We obtain

$$\mathcal{C}_p\left(\frac{1}{1-x}\right) \equiv \alpha\frac{1}{1-x} + \alpha_x\frac{x}{(1-x)^2} \pmod{p^2\mathcal{F}_2}.$$

Since we have trivially that  $\mathcal{C}_p\left(\frac{1}{1-x}\right) = \frac{1}{1-x}$  we conclude that  $\alpha = 1$  and  $\alpha_x = 0$ . Similarly, by setting  $x = 0$ , we find that  $\alpha_y = 0$ . The determination of  $\beta$  is more subtle. We verify that

$$\delta^2\omega(a) = \theta_x\theta_y(xy\omega(a)),$$

hence  $\delta^2\omega(a) \in \mathcal{F}_2$ . We apply the operator  $\delta^2$  to (14) with  $\alpha = 1, \alpha_x = \alpha_y = 0$ . We use the relation  $\delta(\nu(a^p)) = p(\delta\nu)(a^p)$  for any rational function  $\nu$  and get

$$(15) \quad \mathcal{C}_p(\delta^2\omega(a)) \equiv p^2(\delta^2\omega)(a^p) + \delta^2(\beta)(\delta\omega)(a^p) + 2p(\delta\beta)(\delta^2\omega)(a^p) + p^2\beta(\delta^3\omega)(a^p) \pmod{\mathcal{F}_2}.$$

All terms that contain  $\delta^2\omega$  or  $\delta^3\omega$  are in  $\mathcal{F}_2^\sigma$ . Hence we are left with  $(\delta^2\beta)(\delta\omega)(a^p) \in \mathcal{F}_2^\sigma$  and hence

$$\delta^2\beta = 0.$$

Since  $\beta$  is in the completion of  $\mathbb{Z}_p[[a]][1/a]$ , the only possibility is that  $\beta$  is a constant. What we have done here is essentially use a short-cut to solve the system of equations in Proposition 5.15. The hard work then resides in the determination of the integration constants, in this case  $\beta$  itself. To that end we have to study supercongruences coming from the power series coefficients of  $\omega(a)$ .

Let  $A_N(a)$  be the coefficient of  $x^N y^N$  in the power series expansion of  $\omega(a)$ . Then, as a consequence of Proposition 5.14,

$$(16) \quad A_{p^s}(a) \equiv A_{p^{s-1}}(a^p) + \beta(\delta A_{p^{s-1}})(a^p) \pmod{p^{2s}}.$$

**Lemma 6.3.** *The coefficient  $A_N(a)$  is a polynomial of degree  $N$  in  $a$  with leading term  $a^N$ .*

*Proof.* Consider the geometric series expansion

$$\omega(a) = \sum_{r \geq 0} (x + y - xy + axy)^r.$$

Replace  $x, y$  by  $x/\sqrt{a}$  and  $y/\sqrt{a}$ . Then we see that the coefficient of  $x^N y^N$  is a polynomial in  $1/\sqrt{a}$  with the constant term coming from  $r = N$ . We immediately see that the constant term is 1. Our assertion now follows by replacement of  $x, y$  by  $x\sqrt{a}, y\sqrt{a}$ .  $\square$

As corollary we see that  $\delta A_N(a)$  has again degree  $N$  but highest degree coefficient  $N$ . Taking the coefficients of  $a^{p^s}$  in (16) we find that

$$1 \equiv 1 + \beta p^s \pmod{p^{2s}}.$$

Hence  $\beta \equiv 0 \pmod{p^s}$  for all  $s \geq 1$  and thus we conclude  $\beta = 0$ . This proves Theorem 6.1.

Finally we formulate a version of Theorem 6.1 for general Frobenius lifts that have the property that  $a^\sigma/a^p \in 1 + pa\mathbb{Z}_p[[a]]$ . To that end we start with Theorem 6.1 and re-expand  $\omega(a^p)$  in  $\widehat{\Omega}_{f^\sigma}$ . We use the expansion

$$h(be^x) = \sum_{r \geq 0} \frac{1}{r!} (\delta^r h)(b) x^r$$

for any function  $h$  and apply it to  $h = \omega$ ,  $b = a^\sigma$  and  $x = \log(a^p/a^\sigma)$ . Note that  $x$  is a power series expansion in  $a$ , which is divisible by  $p$  for all odd primes  $p$ . Hence

$$\omega(a^p) = \sum_{r \geq 0} \frac{1}{r!} (\delta^r \omega)(a^\sigma) \log(a^p/a^\sigma)^r$$

is a  $p$ -adically converging series. Note that for  $r \geq 2$  the terms are in  $\mathcal{F}_2^\sigma$ . Thus we find that

$$\omega(a^p) \equiv \omega(a^\sigma) + \log(a^\sigma/a^p) (\delta\omega)(a^\sigma) \pmod{\mathcal{F}_2^\sigma}.$$

Hence it follows from Theorem 6.1 that

**Corollary 6.4.** *For any Frobenius lift  $\sigma$  we have*

$$\mathcal{C}_p(\omega(a)) \equiv \omega(a^\sigma) + \log(a^\sigma/a^p) (\delta\omega)(a^\sigma) \pmod{\mathcal{F}_2^\sigma}.$$

We thus see that the coefficients of the Cartier operator modulo  $\mathcal{F}_2$  depend on the choice of the Frobenius lift  $\sigma$ . The choice  $a \mapsto a^p$  gives us the simplest coefficients, in particular  $\beta = 0$ . It reminds us of what Dwork called an *excellent Frobenius lift*, see [5, Section 2].

## 7. DWORK FAMILIES

We give an application when  $f = 1 - tg$  where  $g$  is a Laurent polynomial with Newton polytope  $\Delta$  and coefficients in  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Here  $t$  is a parameter. We assume that  $\Delta$  is reflexive, in which case  $\mathbf{0}$  is the unique lattice point in  $\Delta^\circ$ . Let  $R = \mathbb{Z}_p[[t]]$ . As Frobenius lift  $\sigma$  we take the endomorphism of  $\mathbb{Z}_p[[t]]$  which sends  $t$  to  $t^\sigma \in t^p(1 + pt\mathbb{Z}_p[[t]])$  and fixes  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ . The most commonly used examples are those where  $\sigma$  is given by  $u^\sigma = u^p$  for some  $u \in 1 + t\mathbb{Z}_p[[t]]$ .

**Remark 7.1.** *It is not hard to see that the operators  $\theta_i := x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$  and  $\theta := t \frac{d}{dt}$  send  $\Omega_f^{\text{adm}}$  to itself.*

Let us denote  $D(x_1, \dots, x_r) = x_1 + \dots + x_r + \frac{1}{x_1 \dots x_r}$ . The Dwork-crystals we consider here are associated to  $g = D(x_1, \dots, x_r)$  or, more generally,  $g = \prod_{i=1}^\ell D(x_{i1}, \dots, x_{ir})$ . Here we have  $\ell$  variable sets  $\mathbf{x}_i = (x_{i1}, \dots, x_{ir})$  consisting of  $r$  variables. Examples are

$$g(x, y, z) = \left(x + \frac{1}{x}\right) \left(y + \frac{1}{y}\right) \left(z + \frac{1}{z}\right)$$

or

$$g(x, y, z, u) = \left( x + y + \frac{1}{xy} \right) \left( z + u + \frac{1}{zu} \right).$$

We call the family of varieties  $1 - tg(\mathbf{x}) = 0$  a *Dwork family* with parameters  $r, \ell$ . The connection with Dwork families one usually finds in the literature is as follows. Consider for example the quartic Dwork family whose affine form reads  $x_1^4 + x_2^4 + x_3^4 + 1 = \lambda x_1 x_2 x_3$ . It has a symmetry group consisting of substitutions  $x_i \rightarrow \zeta_i x_i$  with  $\zeta_i^4 = 1$  for all  $i$  and  $\zeta_1 \zeta_2 \zeta_3 = 1$ . The functions  $\xi_i = x_i^4 / (x_1 x_2 x_3)$  generate the field of invariants under this group. One easily sees that  $\xi_1 + \xi_2 + \xi_3 + \frac{1}{\xi_1 \xi_2 \xi_3} = \lambda$ , which is precisely  $D(\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3) = \lambda$ . The Newton polytope of these polynomials  $g(\mathbf{x})$  have  $\mathbf{0}$  as the unique lattice point in their interior. So we can apply [3, (7)], which is a generalization of a theorem by Mellit and Vlasenko, [8]. It says the following. Let  $F(t) = \sum_{n \geq 0} f_n t^n$  where  $f_n$  is the constant term of  $g(\mathbf{x})^n$ . For every positive integer  $m$  let  $F_m(t)$  be the truncation of  $F(t)$  given by  $\sum_{n=0}^{m-1} f_n t^n$ . Then, for every odd prime  $p$  and every  $m, s \geq 1$  we have

$$\frac{F(t)}{F(t^\sigma)} \equiv \frac{F_{mp^s}(t)}{F_{mp^{s-1}}(t^\sigma)} \pmod{p^s}.$$

Note that in [3] we have the Frobenius lift  $t \rightarrow t^p$ , but the proof runs equally well with any Frobenius lift. The congruence is to be read as a congruence in  $\mathbb{Z}_p[[t]]$ . It is not hard to see that if we take  $g(\mathbf{x}) = D(x_1, \dots, x_r)$ , we get

$$F(t) = \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{((r+1)k)!}{(k!)^{r+1}} t^{(r+1)k}$$

which is equal to

$$F(t) = {}_r F_{r-1} \left( \frac{1}{r+1}, \frac{2}{r+1}, \dots, \frac{r}{r+1}; 1, 1, \dots, 1 \mid ((r+1)t)^{r+1} \right).$$

When we take  $g = \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} D(x_{i1}, \dots, x_{ir})$  we get the hypergeometric function with the parameter set  $(\frac{i}{r+1})_{i=1, \dots, r}$  repeated  $\ell$  times and argument  $((r+1)^\ell t)^{r+1}$ . The corresponding hypergeometric equation reads

$$(17) \quad \mathcal{P}y = 0 \text{ with } \mathcal{P} = -\theta^{r\ell} + ((r+1)^\ell t)^{r+1} (\theta+1)^\ell \dots (\theta+r)^\ell.$$

Given the Laurent polynomial  $g(\mathbf{x})$ , let  $\mathcal{G}$  be the set of monomial substitutions of  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  that preserve  $g(\mathbf{x})$ . When  $g = D(x_1, \dots, x_r)$  the group  $\mathcal{G}$  generated by the permutations of  $x_1, \dots, x_r$  and the substitution  $x_1 \rightarrow 1/(x_1 \cdots x_r)$ . One easily sees that  $\mathcal{G} \cong S_{r+1}$ , the symmetric group on  $r+1$  symbols. In particular we see that  $\mathcal{G}$  is transitive on the vertices of the Newton polytope which we denote by  $\Delta_r$ .

When  $\ell > 1$  and  $g(x)$  is a product of  $\ell$  copies of  $D(x_1, \dots, x_r)$  with disjoint variable sets, the Newton polytope is the Cartesian product  $(\Delta_r)^\ell$ . The group  $\mathcal{G}$  acquires the additional permutations of the variable sets and we have  $\mathcal{G} \cong S_{r+1} \times S_\ell$ . Again, this group acts transitively on the vertices of  $(\Delta_r)^\ell$ . This is of course a lattice polytope (i.e its vertices are in a lattice), but for the lattice we will take the lattice generated by the vertices of  $\Delta_r^\ell$ . We call this lattice  $\Gamma(r, \ell) \subset \mathbb{Z}^{r\ell}$ . For example, when  $g = (x + \frac{1}{x})(y + \frac{1}{y})$  the lattice  $\Gamma(1, 2)$  consists of all  $(a, b) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$  with  $a + b$  even.

**Proposition 7.2.** *With definitions and notations as above we have the following properties.*

- (i) *The index of  $\Gamma(r, \ell)$  in  $\mathbb{Z}^{r\ell}$  is  $(r+1)^{\ell-1}$ .*
- (ii) *The set of interior points of  $(2\Delta_r^\ell)^\circ$  that lie in  $\Gamma(r, \ell)$  is precisely the set of vertices of  $\Delta_r^\ell$  and  $\mathbf{0}$ .*

*Proof.* We describe every point in  $(\mathbb{R}^r)^\ell$  by an  $\ell \times r$ -matrix in the natural way. The lattice  $\Gamma(r, \ell)$  is generated by the matrices whose rows are vertices of  $\Delta_r$ . The vertices of  $\Delta_r$  are given by

$$(1, 0, \dots, 0), (0, 1, 0, 0), \dots, (0, 0, \dots, 1), (-1, -1, \dots, -1) \in \mathbb{Z}^r.$$

Notice that the sum of coordinates of each vertex is  $1 \pmod{r+1}$ . So we see that  $\Gamma(r, \ell)$  is contained in the lattice  $L'$  of  $\ell \times r$ -matrices whose row sums are all equal modulo  $r+1$ . In fact,  $\Gamma(r, \ell)$  coincides with  $L'$ . To show this we first check that any matrix divisible by  $(r+1)$  belongs to  $\Gamma(r, \ell)$ . Take any pair of indices  $(i, j)$ . Consider the sum of  $r+1$  matrices where the  $i$ th row runs over vertices of  $\Delta_r$  and all other rows are some fixed vertices, the resulting matrix has zeroes in the  $i$ th row. Now subtract  $(r+1)$  times the matrix with the  $j$ th vertex  $e_j = (0, \dots, 1, \dots, 0)$  in the  $i$ th row and the same set of vertices in all remaining rows. We see that  $\Gamma(r, \ell)$  contains the matrix with the entry  $(r+1)$  in the position  $(i, j)$  and all other entries zero. As the second step let us check that one can subtract from any matrix  $m = (m_{ij}) \in L'$  a linear combination of generators of  $\Gamma(r, \ell)$  so that the difference is divisible by  $r+1$ . Start with subtracting the sum of  $m_{1j}$  times the matrix with  $e_j$  in the first row and any fixed set of vertices in all other rows. The resulting matrix has zeroes in the first row and, since it belongs to  $L'$ , all its row sums are divisible by  $r+1$ . We again denote it by  $m = (m_{ij})$ . Now we subtract the sum of  $m_{2j}$  times the matrix with  $e_j$  in the second row and any fixed set of vertices in all other rows. Note that the resulting matrix has two upper rows divisible by  $r+1$ . We now repeat the same procedure with annihilating the third row and so on, until all rows become divisible by  $r+1$ . We thus proved that  $\Gamma(r, \ell) = L'$ .

To compute the index of  $L'$  in  $\mathbb{Z}^{\ell \times r}$ , consider the surjective homomorphism of abelian groups  $\mathbb{Z}^{\ell \times r} \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/(r+1))^\ell$  given by row sums modulo  $(r+1)$ . Since  $L'$  is the preimage of the diagonal, we have  $[\mathbb{Z}^{\ell \times r} : L'] = (r+1)^\ell / (r+1) = (r+1)^{\ell-1}$ .

This proves part (i).

We have topologically that  $2(\Delta_r^\ell)^\circ = (2\Delta_r^\circ)^\ell$ . Hence any point  $\mathbf{q}$  in  $2(\Delta_r^\ell)^\circ$  with integer coordinates has rows which are either lattice points of  $\Delta$  or  $\mathbf{0}$ . The further requirement  $\mathbf{q} \in L'$  states that all row sums of  $\mathbf{q}$  are equal modulo  $r+1$ . This means that either all rows are vertex points, hence  $\mathbf{q}$  is a vertex of  $\Delta_r^\ell$ , or all rows are  $\mathbf{0}$ , i.e.  $\mathbf{q} = \mathbf{0}$ .  $\square$

For any Dwork family with parameters  $r, \ell$  we consider the Dwork-crystal

$$M(r, \ell) := \widehat{\Omega}_f(L, \Delta^\circ)$$

where  $L$  is the  $\mathbb{Z}_p[[t]]$ -module of admissible Laurent polynomials (see Definition 2.4) supported in the lattice  $\Gamma(r, \ell)$  and fixed by  $\mathcal{G}$ . We assume that  $p$  is odd and does not divide  $\det(\Gamma(r, \ell)) = (r+1)^{\ell-1}$ . Under this condition, and using the fact that elements of  $\mathcal{G}$  map  $\Delta^\circ$  to itself and commute with  $\mathcal{C}_p$ , it is not hard to verify that  $L$  is  $(\mathcal{C}_p, f)$ -compatible. Hence  $M(r, \ell)$  is indeed a Dwork crystal. As a  $\mathbb{Z}_p[[t]]$ -module it is generated by elements  $(k-1)! t^{\deg(A)} \frac{A(\mathbf{x})}{f(\mathbf{x})^k}$  with  $\text{Supp}(A) \subset k\Delta^\circ \cap \Gamma(r, \ell)$  and  $A(\mathbf{x})$  fixed under  $\mathcal{G}$ .

**Proposition 7.3.** *Let notations be as above and suppose  $p$  does not divide  $2(r+1)$ . Then  $M(r, \ell)/\mathcal{F}_2$  is a free  $\mathbb{Z}_p[[t]]$ -module generated by  $1/f, \theta(1/f)$ .*

*Proof.* We use Theorem 4.3 for  $k=2$  and the Dwork crystal  $M(r, \ell)$ . In view of Remark 5.11, if  $hw^{(1)}(M(r, \ell))$  and  $hw^{(2)}(M(r, \ell))$  are invertible, then  $M(r, \ell)/\mathcal{F}_2 \cong M(r, \ell)(2)$ . Note that  $M(r, \ell)(2)$  consists of all admissible functions  $\frac{A(\mathbf{x})}{f(\mathbf{x})^2}$  where  $A(\mathbf{x})$  has support in  $2(\Delta_r^\ell)^\circ$  and  $A(\mathbf{x})$  is  $\mathcal{G}$ -symmetric. By Proposition 7.2 the support of  $A(\mathbf{x})$  is in the vertices of  $\Delta_r^\ell$  and  $\mathbf{0}$ . Since  $\mathcal{G}$  is transitive on the vertices we see that  $A(\mathbf{x})$  has the form  $a + btg(\mathbf{x})$  with  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_p[[t]]$ . Hence  $A/f^2 = a/f + b\theta(1/f)$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}_p[[t]]$ -linear combination of  $1/f$  and  $\theta(1/f)$ .

It remains to compute  $hw^{(2)}$  and  $hw^{(1)}$ . It is clear that  $1/f, \theta(1/f)$  is an extended basis of  $M(r, \ell)(2)$ . The Hasse-Witt matrix  $HW^{(2)}(M(r, \ell))$  in this case is a  $2 \times 2$ -matrix with indices 0, 1,

$$\begin{aligned} HW_{00}^{(2)} &= \text{const term of } F^{(2)}(\mathbf{x}) \\ HW_{10}^{(2)} &= \text{const term of } tg(\mathbf{x})F^{(2)}(\mathbf{x}) \\ HW_{01}^{(2)} &= \text{coeff of } t^\sigma g(\mathbf{x}) \text{ in } \mathcal{C}_p(F^{(2)}(\mathbf{x})) \\ HW_{11}^{(2)} &= \text{coeff of } t^\sigma g(\mathbf{x}) \text{ in } \mathcal{C}_p(tg(\mathbf{x})F^{(2)}(\mathbf{x})). \end{aligned}$$

Here

$$F^{(2)}(\mathbf{x}) = 2f(\mathbf{x})^{p-2}f^\sigma(\mathbf{x}^p) - f(\mathbf{x})^{2p-2}.$$

Notice that immediately  $HW_{00}^{(2)}|_{t=0} = 1$  and  $HW_{10}^{(2)}|_{t=0} = 0$ .

In order to determine  $HW_{11}^{(2)}$  we might as well determine the coefficient of any non-constant term in  $\mathcal{C}_p(tg(\mathbf{x})F^{(2)}(\mathbf{x}))$ , say  $t^\sigma \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{b}}$ . So we need to determine the coefficient of  $t^\sigma \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{b}}$  in  $tg(\mathbf{x})F^{(2)}(\mathbf{x})$ . Let us expand modulo  $t^{p+1}$ ,

$$tg(\mathbf{x})F^{(2)}(\mathbf{x}) \equiv - \sum_{r=0}^{p-2} 2 \binom{p-2}{r} (-tg(\mathbf{x}))^{r+1} + \sum_{r=0}^{p-1} \binom{2p-2}{r} (-tg(\mathbf{x}))^{r+1} \pmod{t^{p+1}}.$$

The first summation doesn't contribute anything to the term with  $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{b}}$ . The only term in the second summation that contributes to  $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{b}}$  is  $\binom{2p-2}{p-1} (-tg(\mathbf{x}))^p$ . The only term in this  $p$ -th power that contributes to  $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{b}}$  is  $(\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{b}})^p$ . Hence the desired coefficient is  $\binom{2p-2}{p-1} (-t)^p / t^\sigma \equiv -\binom{2p-2}{p-1} \pmod{t}$ . So we see that

$$HW^{(2)} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 + O(t) & O(t) \\ * & -\binom{2p-2}{p-1} + O(t) \end{pmatrix}.$$

We get  $\det(HW^{(2)}) = -\binom{2p-2}{p-1} + O(t)$ . From Proposition 5.8 it follows that  $p$  divides  $\det(HW^{(2)})$ . Moreover,  $p$  divides  $\binom{2p-2}{p-1}$  exactly once and thus we see that  $hw^{(2)} = \det(HW^{(2)})/p \in \mathbb{Z}_p[[t]]^\times$ .

The Hasse-Witt matrix  $HW^{(1)}$  is  $1 \times 1$ -matrix whose entry is easily seen to be  $1 + O(t)$ . Thus we conclude that  $hw^{(1)}(M(r, \ell))$  and  $hw^{(2)}(M(r, \ell))$  are invertible.  $\square$

**Proposition 7.4.** *Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be the hypergeometric operator defined in (17). Suppose that  $(r, \ell) \neq (1, 1)$  and let  $p$  be any prime. Then  $\mathcal{P}(1/f) \in \mathcal{F}_2$ .*

*Proof.* We first prove the claim when  $\ell = 1$ . We expand  $1/f$  at the vertex  $\mathbf{b} = (-1, \dots, -1)$ . Consider the geometric series expansion

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{f} &= - \sum_{k \geq 1} t^{-k} \left( \frac{1}{\prod_i x_i} + x_1 + \dots + x_r \right)^{-k} \\ &= - \sum_{k \geq 1} t^{-k} \left( \frac{x_1 \cdots x_r}{1 + x_1 \cdots x_r (x_1 + \dots + x_r)} \right)^k. \end{aligned}$$

We now expand

$$\left( \frac{x_1 \cdots x_r}{1 + x_1 \cdots x_r (x_1 + \dots + x_r)} \right)^k$$



as

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{m \geq 0} (x_1 \cdots x_r)^k \binom{k+m-1}{m, k-1} (-x_1 \cdots x_r (x_1 + \cdots + x_r))^m \\
 &= \sum_{m_1, \dots, m_r \geq 0} (-1)^{\sum_i m_i} \binom{k + \sum_i m_i - 1}{\sum_i m_i, k-1} \binom{\sum_i m_i}{m_1, \dots, m_r} x_1^{m_1+k+\sum_i m_i} \cdots x_r^{m_r+k+\sum_i m_i} \\
 &= \sum_{m_1, \dots, m_r \geq 0} (-1)^{\sum_i m_i} \binom{k + \sum_i m_i - 1}{m_1, \dots, m_r, k-1} x_1^{m_1+k+\sum_i m_i} \cdots x_r^{m_r+k+\sum_i m_i},
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $\binom{a}{a_1, \dots, a_q}$  denotes the multinomial coefficient  $\frac{a!}{a_1! \cdots a_q!}$ . We now set  $u_j = m_j + \sum_i m_i + k$  for  $j = 1, \dots, r$ . Then one easily sees that  $m_j = u_j - \frac{k + \sum_i u_i}{r+1}$ . Rewrite the summation as

$$\left( \frac{x_1 \cdots x_r}{1 + x_1 \cdots x_r (x_1 + \cdots + x_r)} \right)^k = \sum_{u_1, \dots, u_r \geq 0} \alpha_{\mathbf{u}, k} \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{u}},$$

where

$$(18) \quad \alpha_{\mathbf{u}, k} = (-1)^{\frac{k + \sum_i u_i}{r+1} - k} \frac{\left(\frac{k + \sum_i u_i}{r+1} - 1\right)!}{(k-1)! \prod_{j=1}^r \left(u_j - \frac{k + \sum_i u_i}{r+1}\right)!}$$

if  $r+1$  divides  $k + \sum_i u_i$  and  $u_j \geq \frac{k + \sum_i u_i}{r+1}$  for all  $j$ , and  $\alpha_{\mathbf{u}, k} = 0$  otherwise. We find that the expansion coefficients in  $1/f = \sum_{\mathbf{u} \in (\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1})^r} \alpha_{\mathbf{u}, k} \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{u}}$  are given explicitly by

$$\alpha_{\mathbf{u}} = \sum_{k=1}^{\min_i(u_i)} \alpha_{\mathbf{u}, k} t^{-k}.$$

(r+1) | k + (\sum u\_i)

We shall check that  $p^s | \mathbf{u}$  implies  $p^{2s} | \mathcal{P}(\alpha_{\mathbf{u}})$  for any  $s \geq 1$ . This means that  $\mathcal{P}(1/f) \in d^2 \Omega_{\text{formal}}$  and Proposition 3.4 then implies  $\mathcal{P}(1/f) \in \mathcal{F}_2$ , as desired. Let us write

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{P}(\alpha_{\mathbf{u}}) &= \mathcal{P} \left( \sum_{k \geq 1} \alpha_{\mathbf{u}, k} t^{-k} \right) \\
 &= (-1)^{r+1} \sum_{k \geq 1} t^{-k} \left( k^r \alpha_{\mathbf{u}, k} - (r+1)^{r+1} (k+1) \cdots (k+r) \alpha_{\mathbf{u}, k+r+1} \right) \\
 &= (-1)^{r+1} \sum_{k \geq 1} t^{-k} \frac{\alpha_{\mathbf{u}, k}}{k} \left( k^{r+1} - \left( k + \left( \sum_i u_i \right) \prod_{j=1}^r \left( k + \left( \sum_i u_i \right) - (r+1) u_j \right) \right) \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

For the largest index  $k$  in the sum we assumed that  $\alpha_{\mathbf{u}, k+r+1} = 0$  and the final expression is valid for the maximal  $k$  because one of the factors in the right-most product vanishes. Consider the expression in the large brackets as a polynomial in  $k$ . Note that the terms at  $k^{r+1}$  and  $k^r$  cancel and the coefficient at  $k^{r+1-j}$  is divisible by  $p^{sj}$  for  $2 \leq j \leq r+1$ . Thus the terms with  $j < r+1$  are divisible by  $p^{2s}$  also after division by  $k$ . It remains to consider the constant term. Take the factor  $\sum u_i - (r+1)u_1$  from this constant term and consider

$$\frac{\alpha_{\mathbf{u}, k}}{k} (\sum u_i - (r+1)u_1) = \frac{\alpha_{\mathbf{u}, k}}{k} (k + \sum u_i - (r+1)u_1) - \alpha_{\mathbf{u}, k}.$$

Clearly the second term  $\alpha_{\mathbf{u}, k}$  is in  $\mathbb{Z}$  and from the shape of  $\alpha_{\mathbf{u}, k}$  we see that the first term is also a multinomial coefficient and therefore in  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Since there are at least two other factors divisible by  $p^s$  in the constant term, we arrive at our conclusion. We thus proved

that  $\mathcal{P}(1/f) \in \mathcal{F}_2$ . Note that in the excluded case  $r = 1$  we only obtain  $p^s | \mathcal{P}(\alpha_{\mathbf{u}})$  in the last argument with the constant term, which shows that  $\mathcal{P}(1/f) \in \mathcal{F}_1$  when  $r = \ell = 1$ . Let us now consider the case  $\ell > 1$ . Parallel to the computation in the case  $\ell = 1$  we find that the expansion coefficients of  $1/f = \sum_{\mathbf{u} \in (\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1})^{r\ell}} \beta_{\mathbf{u}} \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{u}}$  at the vertex  $\mathbf{b} = (-1, \dots, -1)$  can be computed as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{f} &= - \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{1}{t^k} \prod_{m=1}^{\ell} \left( \frac{\prod_i x_{mi}}{1 + x_{m1} \dots x_{mr} (x_{m1} + \dots + x_{mr})} \right)^k \\ &= - \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{1}{t^k} \prod_{m=1}^{\ell} \left( - \sum_{\mathbf{u}_m \in (\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1})^r} \alpha_{\mathbf{u}_m, k} \mathbf{x}_m^{\mathbf{u}_m} \right) = (-1)^{\ell+1} \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{1}{t^k} \sum_{\mathbf{u} \in (\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1})^{r\ell}} \alpha_{\mathbf{u}_1, k} \dots \alpha_{\mathbf{u}_\ell, k} \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{u}}. \end{aligned}$$

Here we write  $\mathbf{u} = (\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_\ell)$  and  $\alpha_{\mathbf{v}, k}$  for  $\mathbf{v} \in (\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1})^r$  are the coefficients defined above in the first part of our proof, with the convention that  $\alpha_{\mathbf{v}, k} = 0$  when  $k > \min_i(v_i)$  or  $(r+1) \nmid k + (\sum_i v_i)$ . Clearly one has

$$\beta_{\mathbf{u}} = \sum_k t^{-k} \beta_{\mathbf{u}, k} \quad \text{with} \quad \beta_{\mathbf{u}, k} = (-1)^{\ell+1} \alpha_{\mathbf{u}_1, k} \dots \alpha_{\mathbf{u}_\ell, k}.$$

The rest of the proof goes along the same lines as in the case  $\ell = 1$ . Assume that  $p^s | \mathbf{u}$  and consider

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}(\beta_{\mathbf{u}}) &= (-1)^{r\ell+1} \sum_k t^{-k} (k^{r\ell} \beta_{\mathbf{u}, k} - (r+1)^{\ell(r+1)} (k+1)^\ell \dots (k+r)^\ell \beta_{\mathbf{u}, k+r+1}) \\ &= (-1)^{(r+1)\ell} \sum_k t^{-k} \left( \prod_{m=1}^{\ell} \frac{\alpha_{\mathbf{u}_m, k}}{k} \right) \left( k^{(r+1)\ell} - \prod_{m=1}^{\ell} (k + \sum_i u_{mi}) \prod_{j=1}^r (k + \sum_i u_{mi} - (r+1)u_{mj}) \right). \end{aligned}$$

The expression in the large parentheses on the right is a polynomial in  $k$  of degree at most  $(r+1)\ell - 2$  where the coefficient at  $k^{(r+1)\ell - j}$  has  $p$ -adic order at least  $js \geq 2s$ . Since we still need to divide by  $k^\ell$ , it remains to consider the terms at  $k^j$  with  $j < \ell$  specifically. The coefficient of our polynomial at  $k^j$  is a product of  $(r+1)\ell - j$  factors of the shape  $\sum_i u_{mi}$  or  $(\sum_i u_{mi}) - (r+1)u_{mj}$ . Note that there are at least  $\ell - j$  indices  $m$  for which  $k + (\sum_i u_{mi}) - (r+1)u_{m1}$  is present in the considered coefficient, and for each of them  $\alpha_{\mathbf{u}_m, k} (k + (\sum_i u_{mi}) - (r+1)u_{m1})/k \in \mathbb{Z}$  by the argument used in the first part of our proof. After removing these  $\ell - j$  factors there are still  $(r+1)\ell - j - (\ell - j) = r\ell \geq 2$  factors in the product, and each of them is divisible by  $p^s$ . Thus we can conclude that  $p^{2s} | \mathcal{P}(\beta_{\mathbf{u}})$ . This completes the proof of our claim.  $\square$

**Remark 7.5.** *The fact that  $\mathcal{P}(1/f) \in \mathcal{F}_2$  can also be shown by proving that  $(d\Omega_f) \cap (\Omega_f^\circ)^{\mathcal{G}} \subset \mathcal{F}_2$  and  $\mathcal{P}(1/f) \in d\Omega_f$ . This requires a bit of extra work though.*

For the remaining part of this section we assume that  $p$  does not divide  $2(r+1)$ . This is to assure that  $1/f$  and  $\theta(1/f)$  yield a free basis of the quotient of the Dwork crystal  $M(r, \ell)$  modulo  $\mathcal{F}_2$ , see Proposition 7.3.

**Corollary 7.6.** *Let  $A, B \in \mathbb{Z}_p[[t]]$  be such that  $\theta^2(1/f) \equiv A(t)\theta(1/f) + B(t)(1/f) \pmod{\mathcal{F}_2}$ . Suppose that  $(r, \ell) \neq (1, 1)$ . Then the operator  $\mathcal{Q} := \theta^2 - A\theta - B$  is a right factor of the hypergeometric operator  $\mathcal{P}$  defined in (17).*

*Proof.* Then by right-division we find that there exist  $\mathcal{R} \in \mathbb{Z}_p[[t]][\theta]$  and  $C, D \in \mathbb{Z}_p[[t]]$  such that

$$\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{R} \circ \mathcal{Q} + C\theta + D.$$

Hence  $C\theta(1/f) + D(1/f) \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathcal{F}_2}$ . By the independence of  $1/f, \theta(1/f)$  it follows that  $C = D = 0$  and hence  $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{R} \circ \mathcal{Q}$ .  $\square$

Consider the second order operator  $\mathcal{Q} = \theta^2 - A(t)\theta - B(t)$  as defined in Proposition 7.6. Since  $\mathcal{Q}$  is a right-factor of the hypergeometric operator  $\mathcal{P}$ , which has local exponents 0 at  $t = 0$ , we find that  $\mathcal{Q}$  also has local exponents 0. Concretely this means that  $A(0) = B(0) = 0$ . Consequently the differential equation  $\mathcal{Q}(y) = 0$  has the holomorphic solution

$$F(t) = \sum_{m \geq 0} \left( \frac{((r+1)m)!}{(m!)^{r+1}} \right)^\ell t^{(r+1)m}$$

and the logarithmic solution  $F(t) \log t + G(t)$ , where

$$G(t) = \sum_{m \geq 1} \left( \frac{((r+1)m)!}{(m!)^{r+1}} \right)^\ell \left( \sum_{j=m+1}^{(r+1)m} \frac{\ell}{j} \right) t^{(r+1)m}.$$

These are the holomorphic and logarithmic solution of the hypergeometric operator  $\mathcal{P}$ .

**Proposition 7.7.** *Suppose  $p$  does not divide  $2(r+1)$ . Then there exist  $\lambda_0(t), \lambda_1(t) \in \mathbb{Z}_p[[t]]$  such that*

$$\mathcal{C}_p(1/f) \equiv \lambda_0(t)(1/f^\sigma) + \lambda_1(t)\theta(1/f)^\sigma \pmod{p^2 \mathcal{F}_2^\sigma}.$$

Furthermore,  $\lambda_0(0) = 1$  and  $\lambda_1(t)$  is divisible by  $p$ .

*Proof.* The first statement follows from Corollary 4.5 in our particular example. From (5) it follows that  $\lambda_1(t)$  is divisible by  $p$ . To show that  $\lambda_0(0) = 1$ , expand  $1/f$  as power series in  $t$  and take constant terms everywhere. By this we mean taking the coefficient of  $\mathbf{x}^0$  in the term at every power of  $t$ . For  $1/f$  the resulting series is  $F(t)$ . In [3, §2] we defined this *constant term* map on  $\widehat{\Omega}_f$  and studied its properties. Since  $\mathcal{F}_2 \subset \mathcal{F}_1$  and the *constant terms* of elements in  $\mathcal{F}_2$  are zero, see [3, Prop 2.2], we get

$$F(t) = \lambda_0(t)F(t^\sigma) + \lambda_1(t)(\theta F)(t^\sigma).$$

Set  $t = 0$  on both sides and we see that  $1 = \lambda_0(0)$ .  $\square$

We like to determine the coefficients  $\lambda_0(t), \lambda_1(t)$  of the Cartier operator and use Proposition 5.15 in our case, which has rank 2. We take  $\delta = \theta$  and note that

$$N_\theta = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ A(t) & B(t) \end{pmatrix}, \quad N_\theta^\sigma = \frac{\theta(t^\sigma)}{t^\sigma} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ A(t^\sigma) & B(t^\sigma) \end{pmatrix}.$$

The first row of  $\Lambda$  is given by  $\lambda_0(t), \lambda_1(t)$ . To determine the second row we apply  $\theta$  to  $\mathcal{C}_p(\omega) = \lambda_0\omega^\sigma + \lambda_1(\theta\omega)^\sigma$ . We get  $\mathcal{C}_p(\theta\omega) = \mu_0(t)\omega^\sigma + \mu_1(t)(\theta\omega)^\sigma$ , where  $\mu_1(t) \in \mathbb{Z}_p[[t]]$  is divisible by  $p$ .

Let  $Y$  be a fundamental matrix solution of the system  $\theta Y = N_\theta Y$  and  $Y^\sigma$  a fundamental matrix solution of  $\theta Y^\sigma = N_\theta^\sigma Y^\sigma$ . Write  $\Lambda(t) = Y\Lambda_0(Y^\sigma)^{-1}$  for some unknown matrix  $\Lambda_0$  and substitute this in the differential equation in Proposition 5.15. A straightforward calculation shows that  $\theta\Lambda_0 = 0$ , hence the entries of  $\Lambda_0$  are constants. In our example we take

$$Y = \begin{pmatrix} F(t) & F(t) \log t + G(t) \\ \theta F(t) & \theta(F(t) \log t + G(t)) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} F(t) & G(t) \\ (\theta F)(t) & F(t) + (\theta G)(t) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \log t \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and its  $\sigma$ -image,

$$\begin{pmatrix} F(t^\sigma) & G(t^\sigma) \\ (\theta F)(t^\sigma) & F(t^\sigma) + (\theta G)(t^\sigma) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \log t^\sigma \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since  $Y\Lambda_0(Y^\sigma)^{-1}$  has entries in  $\mathbb{Z}_p[[t]]$ , the terms with  $\log t$  should vanish. This can only happen if  $\Lambda_0$  has the form

$$\Lambda_0 = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_0 & p\alpha_1 \\ 0 & p\alpha_0 \end{pmatrix}$$

for certain  $\alpha_0, \alpha_1 \in \mathbb{Q}_p$ . After cancellation of the  $\log t$  terms we can set  $t = 0$  and observe that  $\Lambda(0) = \Lambda_0$ . Since  $\lambda_0(0) = 1$  and  $\lambda_1(t)$  is divisible by  $p$  this implies that  $\alpha_0 = 1$  and  $\alpha_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ . A straightforward computation then shows that  $\lambda_1(t)$ , the upper right entry in  $\Lambda$ , is equal to

$$(19) \quad \lambda_1(t) = \frac{pF(t)F(t^\sigma)}{W(t)^\sigma} \left( \frac{1}{p} \log t^p/t^\sigma + \alpha_1 + \frac{G(t)}{F(t)} - \frac{1}{p} \frac{G(t^\sigma)}{F(t^\sigma)} \right),$$

where

$$W(t) = \det(Y) = F^2 + F(\theta G) - (\theta F)G$$

and  $W^\sigma = \det(Y^\sigma)$ . The determinant  $W(t)$  is called the second *Wronskian determinant*,  $F$  itself being the first Wronskian. We collect some useful properties in the following proposition.

**Proposition 7.8.** *With the notations as above we have  $W(t) \in 1 + t\mathbb{Z}_p[[t]]$  and*

$$hw^{(2)}(M(r, \ell)) \equiv W(t)^{1-p} \pmod{p}.$$

*Proof.* Notice that a priori  $W(t) \in 1 + t\mathbb{Q}_p[[t]]$ . Take the determinant on both sides of  $\Lambda = Y\Lambda_0(Y^\sigma)^{-1}$ . We get  $\frac{1}{p} \det(\Lambda) = \frac{W(t)}{W(t^\sigma)}$ . Since  $\det(\Lambda) = \lambda_0\mu_1 - \lambda_1\mu_0$  is divisible by  $p$ , we get that  $W(t)/W(t^\sigma) = \phi(t) \in \mathbb{Z}_p[[t]]$ . By recursion we can then argue that  $W(t) \in 1 + t\mathbb{Z}_p[[t]]$ .

From (11) we know that  $\Lambda \equiv HW^{(2)}(M(r, \ell)) \pmod{p^2}$ . Taking determinants,  $phw^{(2)} \equiv \det(\Lambda(t)) \pmod{p^2}$ . Divide by  $p$  on both sides and use  $\frac{1}{p} \det(\Lambda(t)) = W(t)/W(t^\sigma)$  to conclude that  $hw^{(2)} \equiv W(t)/W(t^\sigma) \equiv W(t)^{1-p} \pmod{p}$ .  $\square$

Note that  $W(t)^{1-p} \pmod{p}$  is a polynomial as a consequence of Proposition 7.8.

To prove Corollary 7.10 we recall the so-called Dieudonné-Dwork lemma.

**Lemma 7.9.** *Let  $\phi(t) \in t\mathbb{Q}_p[[t]]$  and suppose that*

$$\phi(t) - \frac{1}{p}\phi(t^\sigma) \in \mathbb{Z}_p[[t]].$$

*Then  $\exp(\phi(t)) \in \mathbb{Z}_p[[t]]$ .*

We have the following corollary.

**Corollary 7.10.** *Define  $q(t) := t \exp(G(t)/F(t))$ . When  $p$  does not divide  $2(r+1)$  one has  $q(t) \in \mathbb{Z}_p[[t]]$ .*

*Proof.* that the Cartier upper right entry  $\lambda_1(t)$  is given by formula (19). By Proposition 7.7 we know that  $\lambda_1(t) \in p\mathbb{Z}_p[[t]]$ . Together with  $F(t), W \in \mathbb{Z}_p[[t]]^\times$  this implies that

$$\frac{1}{p} \log(t^p/t^\sigma) + \alpha_1 + \frac{G(t)}{F(t)} - \frac{1}{p} \frac{G(t^\sigma)}{F(t^\sigma)} \in \mathbb{Z}_p[[t]].$$

Since  $t^\sigma/t^p \in 1 + pt\mathbb{Z}_p[[t]]$  and  $\alpha_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_p$  this implies

$$\frac{G(t)}{F(t)} - \frac{1}{p} \frac{G(t^\sigma)}{F(t^\sigma)} \in \mathbb{Z}_p[[t]].$$

Application of the Dieudonné-Dwork lemma then gives our conclusion.  $\square$

**Remark 7.11.** *This corollary is known as the  $p$ -integrality of the mirror map. The power series  $q(t)$  is called the canonical coordinate. Its inverse power series is known as the mirror map. It is a power series in  $q$  and  $p$ -integrality means that the coefficients are in  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ . It was pointed out by Vologodsky in [11] that in general  $p$ -integrality of the mirror map is a direct consequence of the Frobenius structure of the Picard-Fuchs equation*

associated to  $f$ . This is precisely how it is shown in our particular example, since  $\mathcal{Q}$  is a right factor of the Picard-Fuchs operator  $\mathcal{P}$ . In the case of hypergeometric equations of so-called MUM-type it is also known through work of Krattenthaler and Rivoal, [7], who use explicit congruences for hypergeometric coefficients studied by Dwork.

Finally we like to show that  $\alpha_1 = 0$ . For that we need the following.

**Lemma 7.12.** *Suppose  $g(\mathbf{x}) \in \mathbb{Z}[x_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_n^{\pm 1}]$  and  $(x_1 \cdots x_n)g(\mathbf{x})$  is a polynomial in  $\mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  with constant term 1. Let  $A_N(t)$  be the coefficient of  $(x_1 \cdots x_n)^N$  in the power series expansion of  $1/(1 - tg)$ . Then  $A_N$  is a polynomial of degree  $N$  in  $1/t$  with leading term  $-1/t^N$ .*

*Proof.* Consider the geometric expansion

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{1 - tg(\mathbf{x})} &= -\frac{x_1 \cdots x_n/t}{1 - (x_1 \cdots x_n)/t + (x_1 \cdots x_n)g - 1} \\ &= -\sum_{r \geq 0} \frac{x_1 \cdots x_n}{t} \left( \frac{x_1 \cdots x_n}{t} - (x_1 \cdots x_n)g - 1 \right)^r. \end{aligned}$$

Replace  $x_i$  by  $t^{1/n}x_i$  for all  $i$ . Then we see that the coefficient of  $(x_1 \cdots x_n)^N$  in the new power series is a polynomial in  $t^{1/n}$ . The constant term can only come from the term with  $r = N - 1$  and it equals  $-1$ . After the reverse replacement of  $x_i$  by  $t^{-1/n}x_i$  we see that our Lemma follows.  $\square$

In our case of Dwork families the expansion coefficients of  $1/f$  were computed in the proof of Proposition 7.4, from which one can deduce that

$$A_N(t) = -t^N \sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor \frac{N-1}{r+1} \rfloor} (-1)^{\ell r m} \left( \frac{(N-1-m)!}{(N-1-(r+1)m)!m!^r} \right)^\ell t^{(r+1)m}.$$

However, we will not use this explicit formula for  $A_N(t)$  in what follows. From the previous lemma we also see that  $(\theta A_N)(t)$  is a polynomial of degree  $N$  in  $1/t$  with leading term  $N/t^N$ . Let us apply Proposition 5.14. We get

$$A_{p^s}(t) \equiv \lambda_0(t)A_{p^{s-1}}(t^\sigma) + \lambda_1(t)(\theta A_{p^{s-1}})(t^\sigma) \pmod{p^{2s}}.$$

Multiply on both sides with  $t^{p^s}$  and set  $t = 0$ . Then

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} t^{p^s} A_{p^s}(t) = -1, \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} t^{p^s} A_{p^{s-1}}(t^\sigma) = -1, \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} t^{p^s} (\theta A_{p^{s-1}})(t^\sigma) = p^{s-1}.$$

We get, using  $\lambda_0(0) = 1$  and  $\lambda_1(0) = p\alpha_1$ , that

$$-1 \equiv -1 + p\alpha_1 \pmod{p^{2s}}$$

For all  $s \geq 1$ . Hence  $\alpha_1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p^s}$  for all  $s \geq 1$  and thus we conclude that  $\alpha_1 = 0$ . We have thus shown

**Proposition 7.13.** *The coefficient  $\lambda_1(t)$  lies in  $p\mathbb{Z}_p[[t]]$  and we have*

$$\frac{1}{p}\lambda_1(t) = \frac{F(t)F(t^\sigma)}{W(t)^\sigma} \left( \frac{1}{p} \log(t^p/t^\sigma) + \frac{G(t)}{F(t)} - \frac{1}{p} \frac{G(t^\sigma)}{F(t^\sigma)} \right)$$

In the simple example of Section 6 we had seen that there exists a Frobenius lift  $\sigma$  which renders the action of  $\mathcal{C}_p$  modulo  $\mathcal{F}_2$  particularly simple. It turns out that in the set of Dwork families there is also such an excellent lift.



**Proposition 7.14.** *Let  $q \in \mathbb{Z}_p[[t]]$  be the canonical coordinate defined above. Choose  $\sigma$  such that  $q^\sigma = q^p$ . Then*

$$\frac{1}{p} \log(t^p/t^\sigma) + \frac{G(t)}{F(t)} - \frac{1}{p} \frac{G(t^\sigma)}{F(t^\sigma)} = 0.$$

*Proof.* Recall that  $q = t \exp(G(t)/F(t))$ . We also have  $q^\sigma = t^\sigma \exp(G(t^\sigma)/F(t^\sigma))$ . By using  $q^\sigma = q^p$  we get  $(t^p/t^\sigma) \exp(pG(t)/F(t) - G(t^\sigma)/F(t^\sigma)) = 1$ . Taking the logarithm on both sides gives our proposition.  $\square$

**Definition 7.15.** *We call  $\sigma$  such that  $q^\sigma = q^p$  the excellent Frobenius lift.*

**Theorem 7.16.** *Suppose  $p$  does not divide  $2(r+1)$  and let  $\sigma$  be the excellent Frobenius lift. Then*

$$\mathcal{C}_p \left( \frac{1}{f} \right) \equiv \frac{F(t)}{F(t^\sigma)} \frac{1}{f^\sigma} \pmod{\mathcal{F}_2^\sigma}.$$

*Proof.* By Propositions 7.13 and 7.14 it is clear that  $\mathcal{C}_p(1/f) \equiv \lambda_0(t)/f^\sigma \pmod{\mathcal{F}_2^\sigma}$ . We now apply the *constant term* map of [3, §2], which was also used in the proof of Proposition 7.7. This gives us  $F(t) = \lambda_0(t)F(t^\sigma)$ , hence the determination of  $\lambda_0(t)$  follows.  $\square$

**Remark 7.17.** *Note that a form of Theorem 7.16 in the context of the Legendre family of elliptic curves and in a very different language also occurs in Dwork's  $p$ -adic cycles, [4, Thm 8.1].*

Let  $F_N(t)$  be the  $N$ -th truncation of the power series  $F(t)$ , i.e we drop all terms  $t^k$  with  $k \geq N$ . Experiment seems to suggest the following.

**Conjecture 7.18.** *Let  $\sigma$  be the excellent Frobenius lift. With the notation as above we have*

$$\frac{F(t)}{F(t^\sigma)} \equiv \frac{F_{mp^s}(t)}{F_{mp^{s-1}}(t^\sigma)} \pmod{p^{2s}}$$

for all integers  $m, s \geq 1$ .

When we started this investigation it was our hope to prove this conjecture. Unfortunately we did not succeed.

## 8. THE EXCELLENT FROBENIUS LIFT

In 'p-Adic cycles', [4] Dwork devotes the last sections 7 and 8 to the modular relations of degree  $p+1$  between modular functions of the form  $h(\tau)$  and  $h(p\tau)$ . Dwork observed that the Frobenius lift  $h(\tau) \rightarrow h(p\tau)$  is a particular lift with very desirable properties. Eventually, in the context of the Legendre family of elliptic curves, he calls them 'excellent lifts'. We have seen examples of such lifts in Theorems 6.1 and 7.16. In this section we shall point out the relation with the modular equations that arise in the case of the Dwork families with  $r\ell = 2$  and  $r\ell = 3$ . But first of all we prove the following result regarding the  $p$ -adic approximation of the excellent Frobenius lifts  $t^\sigma \in \mathbb{Z}_p[[t]]$  for Dwork families by rational functions.

**Theorem 8.1.** *Consider the Dwork family with parameters  $r, \ell$  as in the previous section. Assume that  $p$  does not divide  $2(r+1)$  and let  $R$  be the  $p$ -adic completion of the ring  $\mathbb{Z}_p[t, 1/hw^{(1)}, 1/hw^{(2)}]$ . Then the excellent Frobenius lift  $t^\sigma$  is an element of  $R$ .*

**Remark 8.2.** *Note that the same ring  $R$  can be defined as the  $p$ -adic completion of a ring  $\mathbb{Z}_p[t, 1/Q_1, 1/Q_2]$  where  $Q_1, Q_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_p[t]$  are any polynomials which are congruent modulo  $p$  to the first and second Hasse-Witt determinants  $hw^{(1)}, hw^{(2)}$  respectively.*

By definition  $hw^{(1)}$  is the coefficient of  $\mathbf{x}^0$  in  $(1-tg(\mathbf{x}))^{p-1}$ . Using the equality  $(1-x)^{p-1} \equiv 1+x+\dots+x^{p-1} \pmod{p}$  we then find that  $hw^{(1)} \equiv F_p(t) \pmod{p}$ , where  $F_p$  is the  $p$ -truncation of the power series solution  $F(t)$  to the respective hypergeometric differential operator  $\mathcal{P}$ . Alternatively,  $hw^{(1)} \equiv F(t)^{1-p} \pmod{p}$ .

Also recall Proposition 7.8 which states that  $hw^{(2)} \equiv W(t)^{1-p} \pmod{p}$ , where  $W(t)$  is the second Wronskian determinant of  $\mathcal{P}$ .

*Proof.* Recall that  $t^\sigma$  is defined by

$$p \log t + p \frac{G(t)}{F(t)} - \log t^\sigma - \frac{G(t^\sigma)}{F(t^\sigma)} = 0.$$

Furthermore, by (19) applied with the Frobenius lift  $t \rightarrow t^p$ , we get

$$p \frac{G(t)}{F(t)} - \frac{G(t^p)}{F(t^p)} = \frac{W(t^p)}{F(t^p)F(t)} \lambda_1(t),$$

where  $\lambda_1(t)$  lies in  $pR$ . We have used  $\alpha_1 = 0$  here. Subtract the first equation from the second to get

$$\log(t^\sigma/t^p) + \frac{G(t^\sigma)}{F(t^\sigma)} - \frac{G(t^p)}{F(t^p)} = \frac{W(t^p)}{F(t^p)F(t)} \lambda_1(t).$$

Since  $t^\sigma \equiv t^p \pmod{p}$  we can use this equation as a  $p$ -adic perturbation equation to compute  $t^\sigma$ .

First we determine the denominators of the power series  $G(t)/F(t)$ . Notice that

$$\theta \left( \log t + \frac{G(t)}{F(t)} \right) = \frac{W(t)}{F(t)^2}.$$

Let us define  $H(t) = \frac{W(t)}{F(t)^2}$ . We have seen that  $W(t), F(t) \in 1 + t\mathbb{Z}_p[[t]]$ , hence  $H(t) = 1 + \sum_{n \geq 1} a_n t^n$  with  $a_n \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ . By inverse derivation we conclude that

$$\frac{G(t)}{F(t)} = \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{a_n}{n} t^n.$$

Let us put  $t^\sigma = t^p(1+h)$ . We then determine  $h$  by recursion from the equation

$$\sum_{r \geq 1} (-1)^r \frac{h^r}{r} + \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{a_n}{n} t^{pn} (1+h)^n - \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{a_n}{n} t^{pn} = H(t^p) \frac{F(t^p)}{F(t)} \lambda_1(t).$$

We can rewrite the second and third summation as

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{a_n}{n} t^{pn} \sum_{r=1}^n \binom{n}{r} h^r = \sum_{n, r \geq 1} a_n (n-1) \cdots (n-r+1) t^{pn} \frac{h^r}{r!}.$$

Together with the summation  $\sum_r (-h)^r/r$  this equals

$$\sum_{n \geq 0, r \geq 1} a_n (n-1) \cdots (n-r+1) t^{pn} \frac{h^r}{r!}.$$

Notice that

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} a_n (n-1) \cdots (n-r+1) t^{pn} = ((\theta-1) \cdots (\theta-r+1) H)(t^p).$$

Also note that  $\theta H(t)/H(t) = \theta W(t)/W(t) - 2\theta F(t)/F(t)$ . From Part II we know that  $\theta F/F \in R$ . We also know that  $\theta W/W$  equals the coefficient  $A(t)$  in the second order equation in Corollary 7.6, hence belongs to  $R$ . So we see that  $\theta H(t)/H(t) \in R$ . By

repeated derivation we can show that  $\theta^m H(t)/H(t) \in R$  for all  $m \geq 1$ . Hence we can write

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} a_n (n-1) \cdots (n-r+1) t^{pn} = A_r(t^p) H(t^p) \text{ for some } A_r \in R.$$

In particular  $A_1(t) = 1$ . Our equation for  $h$  becomes

$$H(t^p) \sum_{r \geq 1} A_r \frac{h^r}{r!} = H(t^p) \frac{F(t^p)}{F(t)} \lambda_1(t).$$

By Lemma 8.3 below there exists an inverse power series

$$B(z) := \sum_{r \geq 1} B_r \frac{z^r}{r!}$$

with  $B_r \in R$  for all  $r \geq 1$ , such that  $h = B\left(\frac{F(t^p)}{F(t)} \lambda_1(t)\right)$ . We know that  $F(t^p)/F(t) \in R$  and  $\lambda_1(t) \in pR$ . Thus we conclude that the series  $h = B\left(\frac{F(t^p)}{F(t)} \lambda_1(t)\right)$  converges  $p$ -adically and thus belongs again to  $R$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 8.3.** *Let  $R$  be a ring. Consider the series  $A(z) = \sum_{r \geq 1} A_r \frac{z^r}{r!}$  with  $A_r \in R$  for all  $r \geq 1$  and  $A_1 = 1$ . Then there exists a series of the form  $B(z) = \sum_{r \geq 1} B_r \frac{z^r}{r!}$  with  $B_r \in R$  for all  $r \geq 1$  such that  $B(A(z)) = z$ .*

*Proof.* For every  $r \geq 1$ , apply the  $r$ -th derivative  $\frac{d^r}{dz^r}$  to the expression  $B(A(z)) = z$  and substitute  $z = 0$ . This way one obtains an expression for  $B_r = B^{(r)}(0)$  as a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -linear combination of products of  $B_i$  with  $i < r$  and  $A_j$  with  $j \leq r$ .  $\square$

The interest of Theorem 8.1 lies in the fact that given  $t_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_p$  such that  $hw^{(1)}(t_0), hw^{(2)}(t_0) \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ , we can compute  $t^\sigma$  at the point  $t_0$  by  $p$ -adic approximation. Let us call this value  $t^\sigma(t_0)$ . This gives us the possibility to specialize Theorem 7.16 to values  $t_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_p$  (or in a finite extension). It turns out that in the elliptic curve cases of our Dwork families  $t^\sigma$  and  $t$  are related by the classical modular relations and thus the value  $t^\sigma(t_0)$  can be computed algebraically.

Let us now restrict our attention to the Dwork families of last section with  $r\ell = 2$  and 3. In those cases the mirror map is related to elliptic modular functions.

Let us start with  $r\ell = 2$ , so

$$f = 1 - t \left( x + \frac{1}{x} \right) \left( y + \frac{1}{y} \right) \quad \text{or} \quad f = 1 - t \left( x + y + \frac{1}{xy} \right).$$

In both cases the Picard-Fuchs equation  $\mathcal{P}y = 0$  is a Gaussian hypergeometric equation, but with  $t$  replaced by  $t^2$ , respectively  $t^3$ . The map  $t \mapsto \tau := \frac{\log tF(t)+G(t)}{F(t)}$  is called the Schwarz map. Here we use the notations  $F(t), G(t)$  from the previous section. Classical Schwarz theory of hypergeometric functions tells us that the inverse of the Schwarz map is related to modular functions of level 2 and 3. More concretely, in the first case we get

$$t(q) = q - 4q^3 + 14q^5 - 40q^7 + 101q^8 - \cdots = q \prod_{n \geq 1} \frac{(1+q^{4n})^8}{(1+q^{2n})^4},$$

Letting  $q = e^{\pi i \tau / 2}$ , the function  $t(e^{\pi i \tau / 2})$  is modular with respect to the congruence subgroup  $\Gamma(4)$ . By a classical identity of Felix Klein we have

$$F(t(q)) = 1 + 4 \sum_{n \geq 1} \binom{-4}{n} \frac{q^{2n}}{1 - q^{2n}},$$

which is a weight 1 modular form with respect to  $\Gamma(4)$ .

In the case  $r = 2, \ell = 1$  we get

$$t(q) = q - 5q^4 + 32q^7 - 198q^{10} + 1214q^{12} - \dots$$

which, with  $q = e^{2\pi i/3}$ , is a modular function with respect to  $\Gamma(3)$ . Furthermore,

$$F(t(q)) = 1 + 6 \sum_{n \geq 1} \binom{-3}{n} \frac{q^{3n}}{1 - q^{3n}},$$

a modular form of weight 1. In both cases there exists for every prime  $p$  a symmetric polynomial  $\Phi_p(X, Y)$  of degree  $p + 1$  in each variable such that  $\Phi_p(t(q), t(q^p)) = 0$ . Moreover,  $\Phi_p(X, Y) \equiv (X - Y^p)(X^p - Y) \pmod{p}$ .

The case  $r = 1, \ell = 2$  is related to the Legendre family of elliptic curves. In [4, §7] it is shown that in this case  $t^\sigma$  is contained in the  $p$ -adic completion of the ring  $\mathbb{Z}_p[t, 1/hw^{(1)}]$  (so without  $hw^{(2)}$ ). This is referred to as 'Deligne's theorem' by Dwork.

A particular CM-value is  $t_0 = i/4$ , which is attained by  $t(\tau)$  at  $\tau = (1 + i)/2$ . The curve  $1 - \frac{i}{4}(x + 1/x)(y + 1/y) = 0$  is an elliptic curve which is isomorphic to  $Y^2 = X^3 - X$ . The substitutions

$$x \rightarrow i \frac{x + i}{x - i}, \quad y \rightarrow -i + \frac{1}{2} \left( x + \frac{1}{x} \right) (i - y)$$

give an order 4 automorphism of the curve corresponding to complex multiplication by  $i = \sqrt{-1}$ . Let  $p$  be a prime which is  $1 \pmod{4}$ . According to CM-theory the equation  $\Phi_p(i/4, Y) = 0$  has two solutions  $i/4$  and  $p - 1$  other solutions, which are not in  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ , but in  $\mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_p)$  where  $\zeta_p$  is a primitive  $p$ -th root of unity. Hence  $i/4$  is the only unramified solution to  $\Phi_p(i/4, Y) = 0$ . Since  $t^\sigma(i/4)$  is in  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  we conclude that  $t^\sigma(i/4) = i/4$ .

As a corollary to Theorem 7.16 we find

**Corollary 8.4.** *Let  $f_0 = 1 - \frac{i}{4}(x + 1/x)(y + 1/y)$  and  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  prime. Then*

$$\mathcal{C}_p \left( \frac{1}{f_0} \right) \equiv \lambda \frac{1}{f_0} \pmod{p^2 \mathcal{F}_2}$$

where  $\lambda$  is the unit root of the  $\zeta$ -function of  $f_0 = 0$ . Since  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$  is the endomorphism ring we see that  $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$  and  $|\lambda|^2 = p$ .

When  $r\ell = 3$  then, by Clausen's formula, we know that

$${}_3F_2(1/4, 1/2, 3/4; 1, 1|z) = {}_2F_1(1/8, 3/8; 1|z)^2$$

and

$${}_3F_2(1/2, 1/2, 1/2; 1, 1|z) = {}_2F_1(1/4, 1/4; 1|z)^2.$$

Hence there should again be a relation with modular functions through the properties of Gauss's hypergeometric functions. When  $r = 1, \ell = 3$  we have

$$t(q) = q - 12q^3 + 78q^5 - 376q^7 + \dots = \prod_{n \geq 1} \frac{(1 + q^{4n})^{12}}{(1 + q^{2n})^{12}}$$

and

$$F(t(q)) = 1 + 8 \sum_{n \geq 1, 4|n} \frac{nq^{2n}}{1 - q^{2n}}.$$

When  $r = 3, \ell = 1$  we get

$$t(q) = q - 26q^5 + 597q^9 - 13794q^{13} + 319202q^{17} - \dots$$

and

$$F(t(q)) = 1 + 24 \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{(2n + 1)q^{4(2n+1)}}{1 - q^{4(2n+1)}}.$$

Both  $q$ -expansions of  $F(t)$  are clearly modular forms of weight 2.

In cases when  $r\ell \geq 4$  we cannot expect any modular behaviour. However, we do like to put forward the following conjecture. Notice that in all our Dwork families the point  $t_0 = 1/(r+1)^\ell$  corresponds to the singularity  $\neq 0, \infty$  of the Picard-Fuchs equation.

**Conjecture 8.5.** *Choose a Dwork family and let  $p$  be a prime not dividing  $2(r+1)$ . Suppose either of the following holds:*

- (1)  $r\ell = 2$  or  $3$  and the value of  $hw^{(1)}$  at  $(r+1)^{-\ell}$  is in  $\mathbb{Z}_p^\times$
- (2)  $r\ell \geq 4$  and the values of  $hw^{(1)}$  and  $hw^{(2)}$  at  $t_0 = (r+1)^{-\ell}$  are in  $\mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ .

Then the specialization of  $t^\sigma$  at  $t_0 = (r+1)^{-\ell}$  equals  $(r+1)^{-\ell}$ .

**Remark 8.6.** *The reason to distinguish case (1) in this conjecture is because when  $r\ell \leq 3$  one has  $hw^{(2)}(t_0) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  for any odd prime  $p$ . For example, when  $r\ell = 2$  one has  $W(t) = (1 - ((r+1)^\ell t)^{r+1})^{-1}$  and therefore  $hw^{(2)}(t) \equiv W(t)^{1-p} = (1 - ((r+1)^\ell t)^{r+1})^{p-1} \pmod{p}$ . Similarly, when  $r\ell = 3$ , we have  $hw^{(2)} \equiv F_p^2$  times the Wronskian of a Gauss hypergeometric equation, which again has the form  $(1 - ((r+1)^\ell t)^{r+1})^{-1}$ . This is a consequence of Clausen's theorem. Since  $t^\sigma$  is approximated by rational functions with powers of  $hw^{(2)}$  in their denominators, we wouldn't be able to determine its value at  $t_0$  for a single prime  $p$ . However, our experiments suggest that in the cases with  $r\ell = 2$  and  $3$  the excellent Frobenius lift  $t^\sigma$  actually belongs to the  $p$ -adic completion of  $\mathbb{Z}[t, 1/hw^{(1)}]$ . As was mentioned earlier, this fact was proved by Dwork in the case of Legendre family of elliptic curves corresponding to  $r = 1, \ell = 2$ .*

Finally, until now we excluded the case  $r = \ell = 1$  from our Dwork families because in that case  $\mathcal{P}(1/f) \notin \mathcal{F}_2$ . It turns out that this case has its own interest, when given the right interpretation. Let  $f = 1 - t(x + 1/x)$ . The corresponding Picard-Fuchs equation is given by the first order hypergeometric operator  $(1 - 4t^2)\theta - 4t^2$ . Notice that

$$\theta((1 - 4t^2)\theta - 4t^2) \frac{1}{f} = \theta_x^2 \frac{1}{f} \in \mathcal{F}_2.$$

A basis of solutions of the equation  $\theta((1 - 4t^2)\theta - 4t^2)y = 0$  is given as in the previous section with  $r = \ell = 1$ . It turns out that

$$t(q) = \frac{q}{1 + q^2} = \frac{1}{q + 1/q}.$$

Hence Theorem 7.16 implies

$$\mathcal{C}_p \left( 1 - \frac{x + 1/x}{q + 1/q} \right)^{-1} \equiv \frac{1 + q^2}{1 - q^2} \frac{1 - q^{2p}}{1 + q^{2p}} \left( 1 - \frac{x + 1/x}{q^p + 1/q^p} \right)^{-1} \pmod{\mathcal{F}_2}.$$

It turns out we have exact equality.

**Proposition 8.7.** *For all primes  $p$  we have*

$$\mathcal{C}_p \left( 1 - \frac{x + 1/x}{q + 1/q} \right)^{-1} = \frac{1 + q^2}{1 - q^2} \frac{1 - q^{2p}}{1 + q^{2p}} \left( 1 - \frac{x + 1/x}{q^p + 1/q^p} \right)^{-1}.$$

*Proof.* One easily derives that

$$\left( 1 - \frac{1}{q + 1/q} (x + 1/x) \right)^{-1} = \frac{1 + q^2}{1 - q^2} \left( \frac{1}{1 - qx} - \frac{1}{1 - q^{-1}x} \right).$$

Since  $\mathcal{C}_p(1/(1 - Ax)) = 1/(1 - A^p x)$  for any  $A$  we get as Cartier image

$$\frac{1 + q^2}{1 - q^2} \left( \frac{1}{1 - q^p x} - \frac{1}{1 - q^{-p} x} \right)$$

and our result follows.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] A.Adolphson, S.Sperber, *A generalization of the Hasse-Witt matrix of a hypersurface* (2017), arXiv:1701.04509.
- [2] F.Beukers, M.Vlasenko, *Dwork crystals I*, Int. Math. Res. Notices, published online (2020): <https://doi.org/10.1093/imrn/rnaa119>
- [3] F.Beukers, M.Vlasenko, *Dwork crystals II*, Int. Math. Res. Notices, 2021 (2021), 4427–4444, online: <https://doi.org/10.1093/imrn/rnaa120>
- [4] B. Dwork, *p-adic cycles*, Publications Mathématiques de l’I.H.É.S. 37 (1969), 27–115.
- [5] B. Dwork, *Normalized period matrices II* Annals of Math. 98 (1973), 1–57.
- [6] N. Katz, *Internal reconstruction of unit-root  $F$ -crystals via expansion coefficients. With an appendix by Luc Illusie* Annales scientifiques de l’É.N.S 18 (1985), 245–285.
- [7] C.Krattenthaler, T.Rivoal, *On the integrality of Taylor coefficients of mirror maps*, Duke Math.J. 151 (2010),
- [8] A.Mellit, M.Vlasenko, *Dwork’s congruences for the constant terms of powers of a Laurent polynomial*, Int.J.Number Theory 12 (2016), 313 - 321.
- [9] M. Vlasenko, *Higher Hasse–Witt matrices*, Indagationes Mathematicae 29 (2018), 1411–1424.
- [10] A.Straub, *Multivariate Apéry numbers and supercongruences of rational functions*, Algebra Number Theory 8 (2014), 1985–2008.
- [11] V.Vologodsky, *Integrality of instanton numbers*, arXiv:0707.4617

UTRECHT UNIVERSITY

*Email address:* `f.beukers@uu.nl`

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS OF THE POLISH ACADEMY OF SCIENCES

*Email address:* `m.vlasenko@impan.pl`