

FROBENII ON MORAVA E -THEORETICAL QUANTUM GROUPS

YAPING YANG AND GUFANG ZHAO

To Leo, with love.

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we study a family of new quantum groups labelled by a prime number p and a natural number n constructed using the Morava E -theories. We define the quantum Frobenius homomorphisms among these quantum groups. This is a geometric generalization of Lusztig's quantum Frobenius from the quantum groups at a root of unity to the enveloping algebras. The main ingredient in constructing these Frobenii is the transchromatic character map of Hopkins, Kuhn, Ravenal, and Stapleton. As an application, we prove a Steinberg-type formula for irreducible representations of these quantum groups. Consequently, we prove that, in type A the characters of certain irreducible representations of these quantum groups satisfy the formulas introduced by Lusztig in 2015.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Topology preliminaries	4
3. Quiver representations	12
4. Quiver with automorphism and folding of affine quantum groups	15
5. The quantum Frobenius maps	22
6. Discussions and examples	26
7. Power operations and another construction in the A_1 -case	28
8. The Frobenius phenomenon on representations	33
9. The monoidal structure	36
10. The Steinberg tensor product theorem	42
11. Characters of irreducible modules	47
Appendix A. The coproduct and Stable envelope	50
References	58

1. INTRODUCTION

It is well-known that the representation theory of Lusztig quantum groups at a root of unity [Lu87] has many similarities to that of algebraic groups over a field of positive characteristic. Their characters of irreducible modules coincide in certain cases [AJS94]. In both settings, the Frobenius morphism is responsible to many interesting features of their representation theories. There is, however, a major difference between these two. The Frobenius morphism of the algebraic group, having the same domain and target, can be iterated. On the other hand, the Frobenius morphism of the quantum group, known as the quantum Frobenius,

Date: June 1, 2021.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 17B37; Secondary 55N22, 16G20.

Key words and phrases. Quantum Frobenius homomorphism, quantum group, Morava E -theory, quiver variety, transchromatic character map.

goes from Lusztig quantum group at a root of unity to the enveloping algebra of the Lie algebra, and hence can not be iterated. The present paper can be understood as finding an analogue of iteration of the quantum Frobenius. Roughly speaking, we consider a family of (relatively) new quantum groups labelled by a prime number p and an integer $n \in \mathbb{N}$ (the *level*) constructed using the Morava E -theories. We construct an algebra homomorphism from the level n quantum group at a p th root of unity to the level $n - t$ quantum group, for any $0 < t \leq n$. (Here a root of unity means a p -torsion point in the formal group of the Morava E -theory.) On the level of representations, the homomorphism scales the weights by p^t , and hence has the feature expected of a Frobenius morphism.

These Morava E -theoretical quantum groups (or rather a variant of them) are first considered in [YZ14, Section 4], and are speculated to be related to Lusztig's new character formulas in 2015 with some pieces of evidence given in [YZ14, Section 6]. The construction in [YZ14] uses the approach of the cohomological Hall algebras, which is helpful in doing explicit calculations in the quantum groups. The present paper is made to be self-contained. We focus on the realization of these quantum groups in terms of the Morava E -theoretical convolution algebras of the Nakajima quiver varieties.

The aforementioned Lusztig's character formulas [Lus15] are obtained based on iteration of Frobenius of the algebraic group in positive characteristic, and a character formula from [Lu87] for irreducible representations with "reduced highest weights". The latter are known to describe irreducible representations of quantum group at a root of unity, but not those of algebraic groups. Therefore, to find the meaning of the new character formulas from [Lus15], one is naturally led to "iteration of quantum Frobenius", which is the main topic of the present paper. Using the Frobenii on Morava E -theoretically quantum group, we address this meaning of Lusztig's new character formulas.

1.1. Overview. Let p be a prime number. Let E_n^* be the integral Morava E -theory studied by Hopkins and Miller. Let Q be a finite Dynkin quiver, with the set of vertices I . Labelling the simple roots α_i of the corresponding Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} by $i \in I$, each dominant weight of \mathfrak{g} is given by $w \in \mathbb{N}^I$. Let $\mathfrak{M}(w)$ be the Nakajima quiver variety with framing w (§ 3.2), let $Z(w)$ be the Steinberg variety, which is a Lagrangian subvariety in $\mathfrak{M}(w) \times \mathfrak{M}(w)$. All these varieties are endowed with $\mathrm{GL}_w \times \mathbb{C}^*$ -actions. Applying the equivariant E_n^* -theory, $E_{n, \mathrm{GL}_w \times \mathbb{C}^*}^*(Z(w))$ has an algebra structure by convolution (§ 2.1).

Let $\gamma : \Lambda_n := (\mathbb{Z}/p)^n \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_w \times \mathbb{C}^*$ be a group homomorphism. Let \mathbb{F} be a field of characteristic zero satisfying certain properties spelled out explicitly in §8. In particular, \mathbb{F} is an $E_{n, \Lambda_n}^*(\mathrm{pt})$ -field, and should be thought as a field containing cyclotomic integers of $E_n^0(\mathrm{pt})$. We define the Morava- E -theoretical quantum group to be the subalgebra of the convolution algebra (Definition 5.3)

$$U_w^n(\gamma, \mathbb{F}) \subset \mathbb{F} \otimes_{E_{n, \Lambda_n}^*(\mathrm{pt})} E_{n, \mathrm{GL}_w \times \mathbb{C}^*}^*(Z(w))$$

generated by certain tautological classes. Specializations of the equivariant parameters are to be understood as restricting to a finite subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_w \times \mathbb{C}^*$. For example, the quantum parameter q (coming from the extra \mathbb{C}^* -equivariance) lies in $E_n^*(BS^1)$, which is the formal group of the E_n^* -theory. We then specialize q to be ϵ , a *root of unity*, which in this setting means a p -torsion point on the formal group $E_n^*(B\mathbb{Z}/p)$. Note that the set of all the p -torsion points, roughly speaking, has cardinality p^n (see Section 5 for details). When $n = 1$, this is the usual notion of the p -th roots of 1 in \mathbb{C}^* . The main focus of the paper is the study of the quantum groups $U_w^n(\gamma, \mathbb{F})$ and their representations. These quantum groups should be thought of as n -loop analogues of Lusztig's quantum groups, where each loop is specialized at a root of unity. This heuristic is to be elaborated on in § 1.3.

For any positive integer t such that $0 < t \leq n$, assume w is divisible by p^t , and assume further γ is of the form $\gamma = \alpha \oplus \gamma'$, where $\alpha : \Lambda_t \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_w \times \mathbb{C}^*$ is the group homomorphism defined in §5.1 and $\gamma' : \Lambda_{n-t} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{w/p^t} \times \mathbb{C}^* \xrightarrow{\Delta} \mathrm{GL}_w \times \mathbb{C}^*$ is a group homomorphism. We construct the quantum Frobenius map

$$\mathrm{Fr}_{n, n-t} : U_w^n(\gamma, \mathbb{F}) \rightarrow U_{w/p^t}^{n-t}(\gamma', \mathbb{F}),$$

as an algebra homomorphism (Theorem 5.2). Geometrically, it is obtained by modifying the transchromatic character map of Hopkins, Kuhn, Ravenal [HKR00], and Stapleton [St11], which starts from the Morava E_n^* -theory and lands in a cohomology theory of chromatic level $n - t$.

One constructs the irreducible representations $L_w^n(\gamma, \mathbb{F})$ of $U_w^n(\gamma, \mathbb{F})$ by taking the irreducible quotients of the Weyl modules obtained from the quiver varieties (see §8.2) similar as [Nak01]. If $p^t | w$, denote by $\mathrm{Fr}_{n, n-t}^* L_{w/p^t}^{n-t}(\gamma', \mathbb{F})$ the representation of $U_w^n(\gamma, \mathbb{F})$ obtained by pulling back the irreducible module $L_{w/p^t}^{n-t}(\gamma', \mathbb{F})$ of $U_{w/p^t}^{n-t}(\gamma', \mathbb{F})$ along $\mathrm{Fr}_{n, n-t}$. We prove the following Steinberg tensor product formula of the irreducible representations. Let $X^+ = \mathbb{N}^I$ be the set of dominant weights and let

$$X_{\mathrm{red}}^+ := \{w \in X^+ \mid (\alpha_i^\vee, w) < p, \forall i \in I\}.$$

Theorem A. (Theorem 10.5) Let $w = w' + pw''$, where $w' \in X_{\mathrm{red}}^+$ and $w'' \in X^+$. Let $\gamma : \Lambda_n \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_w \times \mathbb{C}^*$ be the group homomorphism $\gamma = \gamma' \times (\alpha \oplus \gamma'')$ where $\gamma' : \Lambda_n \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{w'} \times \mathbb{C}^*$, $\gamma'' : \Lambda_{n-1} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{w''} \times \mathbb{C}^*$ are group homomorphisms and $\alpha : \Lambda_1 \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{pw''} \times \mathbb{C}^*$ is the homomorphism defined in § 5.1. Under the conditions on the field \mathbb{F} specified in §10.3, there is an isomorphism of representations of $U_w^n(\gamma, \mathbb{F})$

$$L_w^{(n)}(\gamma, \mathbb{F}) \cong L_{w'}^{(n)}(\gamma', \mathbb{F}) \otimes \mathrm{Fr}_{n, n-1}^* L_{w''}^{(n-1)}(\gamma'', \mathbb{F}),$$

where $U_w^n(\gamma, \mathbb{F})$ acts on the right hand side via the coproduct (36) in the setting of Section 10.2.

When the weight w lies in the reduced region X_{red}^+ , we choose $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) : \Lambda_n \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_w \times \mathbb{C}^*$ be a group homomorphism satisfying the property in §8.3. In Theorem 10.1, we show the irreducible representation $L_w^{(n)}(\alpha, \mathbb{F})$ at level n is isomorphic to the irreducible representation $L_w^{(1)}(\alpha_1, \mathbb{F})$ at level 1. In particular, $L_w^{(n)}(\alpha, \mathbb{F})$ for $w \in X_{\mathrm{red}}^+$ can be understood using the representation theory of the quantum loop algebra $U_\epsilon(\mathrm{Lg})$ at roots of unity.

1.2. Lusztig's character formulas. Let G be an almost simple, simply connected algebraic group over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic $p > 0$. Fix $T \subset G$ a maximal torus of G and let $X := \mathrm{Hom}(T, k^*)$. Based on the ideas from [Lu87], in 2015 Lusztig introduced a family of characters, denoted by E_λ^n [Lus15], as elements in $\mathbb{Z}[X]$ for each dominant weight $\lambda \in X^+$ of G . We refer the readers to Lusztig's original paper for the details of the character formulas. Here we only recall some context and properties relevant for the present paper. The character formula $E_\lambda^n \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$, labelled by a prime number p and a positive integer n , is defined inductively with E_λ^0 the Weyl character formula. For an element $\xi = \sum_\mu c_\mu e^\mu \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$, we write $\xi^{[t]} := \sum_\mu c_\mu e^{p^t \mu} \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$. For any $\lambda \in X^+$ we can write uniquely $\lambda = \sum_{i \geq 0} p^i \lambda_i$, where $\lambda_i \in X_{\mathrm{red}}^+$. Then

$$E_\lambda^k = E_{\lambda_0}^1 (E_{\lambda_1}^1)^{[1]} \dots (E_{\lambda_{k-1}}^1)^{[k-1]} (E_{\sum_{j \geq k} p^{j-k} \lambda_j})^{[k]}.$$

In particular, E_λ^1 is known to be the character of irreducible representation of Lusztig's quantum group at a root of unity with highest weight λ [Lu87]. For λ in the reduced region X_{red}^+ , we have

$$E_\lambda^1 = E_\lambda^2 = \dots = E_\lambda^\infty.$$

Lusztig's conjecture [Lu87] can then be formulated as, for p large enough, the limit character E_λ^∞ is equal to that of the irreducible modular representation of G with highest weight λ .

This statement is false for small p . We refer interested readers to [W13] for a discussion about the precise meaning of being small. In particular, E_λ^1 describes only the characters of irreducible representations of the quantum group, not the algebraic group. To motivate the present paper, it suffices to say that, for $k > 1$, the meaning of the formula E_λ^k had been unknown. This opens the possibility of the existence of new quantum groups whose irreducible representations have characters given by E_λ^n , for the intermediate n and any $\lambda \in X^+$. The motivation of the present paper is to construct such family of quantum groups with the desired properties.

Associated to an arbitrary oriented cohomology theory A^* , in earlier work a quantum group $U(A^*, \mathfrak{g})$ is constructed [YZ16] as the Drinfeld double of preprojective A^* -cohomological Hall algebra of the Dynkin quiver of Q . When A^* is taken to be $K(p, n)$, the Morava- K -theory for a prime number p and chromatic level n , some properties of $U(K(p, n), \mathfrak{g})$ are studied in [YZ14, § 6], including a stabilization property that for n large enough compared to λ the character of irreducible modules of $U(K(p, n), \mathfrak{g})$ stabilizes.

In the present paper, by using the realization of the quantum groups in terms of the Morava E -theoretical convolution algebras $U_w^n(\gamma, \mathbb{F})$, we study the characters of their irreducible representations. Using the Steinberg tensor product theorem (Theorem 10.5) and the result for the reduced region (Theorem 10.1), together with a theorem of Chari and Pressley [CP97], we show, in type A , there exists group homomorphism γ , such that (Corollary 11.6)

$$(1) \quad \text{ch}(L_w^n(\gamma, \mathbb{F})) = E_w^n.$$

Following Nakajima [Nak04], we define the ϵ, t -character $\text{ch}_{\epsilon, t}(L_w^n(\gamma, \mathbb{F}))$ of $L_w^n(\gamma, \mathbb{F})$. The Steinberg tensor product theorem (Theorem 10.5) and the result of the reduced region (Theorem 10.1) then give a formula for $\text{ch}_{\epsilon, t}(L_w^n(\gamma, \mathbb{F}))$ for all types, see (28).

1.3. Why Morava E_n -theory? We close the introduction with an informal cartoon illustration on the intuition behind the present paper, as why the Morava E_n -theory is the correct object to consider for iteration of quantum Frobenius and hence Lusztig's formula. Lusztig's formula satisfies the property $E_{p^n w}^{(n)} = [E_w^{(0)}]^{[n]}$. This suggests that were these characters of representations of some quantum group $U_q(\mathfrak{g}, n)$, the quantum group should have a Frobenius map that can be iterated n times with the final target the enveloping algebra of \mathfrak{g} .

Roughly speaking, Hopkins, Kuhn, Ravenel show that Morava theories have the following feature. The p -torsion points in the formal group of E_n^* is isomorphic to $E_n^*(B\mathbb{Z}/p)$, which roughly speaking is isomorphic

to $(\mathbb{Z}/p)^n$. We can view $(\mathbb{Z}/p)^n$ as the roots of unity on $\overbrace{S^1 \times S^1 \times \cdots \times S^1}^{n\text{-copies}}$. Using them to construct the quantum group $U_q(\mathfrak{g}, n)$, and specialize at roots of unity, we would obtain a deformation of the enveloping algebra of $\mathcal{O}_{F[p]} \otimes \mathfrak{g}$, where $F[p]$ is the set of p -torsion points in the formal group law of E_n^* . By the discussion above, $\mathcal{O}_{F[p]} \otimes \mathfrak{g}$ should be viewed as p -localized n -fold loop algebra of \mathfrak{g} . Thus, $U_q(\mathfrak{g}, n)$ at roots of unity should be thought as p -localized n -fold loop quantum group.

Applying the quantum Frobenius morphism $\text{Fr}_{n, n-1}$, we reduce one of the copies of $\mathbb{Z}/p \subset S^1$, so that we end up with p -torsion points in $\overbrace{S^1 \times S^1 \times \cdots \times S^1}^{(n-1)\text{-copies}}$. The chromatic level of the cohomology theory drops by 1. By iteration of this is the procedure, we can get rid of those S^1 's in n steps and end up with the enveloping algebra of \mathfrak{g} , with irreducible representations described by Weyl's formula $E_w^{(0)}$.

Acknowledgments. We thank David Ben-Zvi, Marc Levine, Ivan Mirković, who back in 2016 encouraged us to study quantum groups built from Morava E -theories. We thank Nora Ganter for drawing our attention to [St11] and patiently explaining her related work. We thank David Gepner and Arun Rum for helpful discussions. During the preparation of the present paper, Y.Y. was partially supported by the Australian Research Council (ARC) via grants DE190101231 and DP210103081; G.Z. was partially supported by ARC via DE190101222 and DP210103081.

2. TOPOLOGY PRELIMINARIES

We recall some basics in oriented cohomology theory, convolution algebra, Morava E -theory, transchromatic character map, as well as the Hopkins-Kuhn-Ravenel theorem. We then use it to give a localization formula for convolution algebra in Morava E -theory.

2.1. Convolution algebra in oriented cohomology theory. We work with a topological oriented cohomology theory A^* , which for any compact Lie group G we extend to an equivariant version by Borel construction A_G^* , although most of the results hold with other equivariant cohomology theories. Such a theory has enough structure to define a convolution algebra. A version of such in the algebraic setting is spelled out in detail in [ZZ17, § 5.1]. A topological version works in the same fashion. For the convenience of the readers, we briefly recall some basics.

In this paper we mainly consider topological spaces which are either quasi-projective complex manifolds or complex varieties with an action of finite groups or more generally compact Lie groups. In the present paper for simplicity we make the convention that all complex algebraic varieties are assumed to be separated quasi-projective of finite type over \mathbb{C} , but not necessarily irreducible. We call such a variety a complex manifold if it is furthermore smooth. For a complex manifold M , we consider the pointed topological space $(M \sqcup \text{pt}, \text{pt})$. If M has an action of a compact Lie group G , we let G act on $M \sqcup \text{pt}$ by the trivial action on pt .

For a complex manifold M with a G -action, by abusing of notation, we denote by $A_G^*(M)$ the equivariant A^* -cohomology of the pair $(M \sqcup \text{pt}, \text{pt})$. For a not-necessarily-smooth subvariety invariant under the G -action $i : S \hookrightarrow M$, we denote $A_G^*(M, M \setminus S)$ by $A_G^*(S)$, and the natural map $A_G^*(S) \rightarrow A_G^*(M)$ by i_* . Recall that a complex orientation on A^* gives rise, for a rank- r vector bundle $V \rightarrow M$ on a smooth manifold, to an isomorphism $A^*(M) \cong A^{*+r}(V, V \setminus M)$ where $M \hookrightarrow V$ is the zero-section. This construction extends equivariantly. Hence, the above definition of $A_G^*(S)$ has no ambiguity in the smooth case. Note that this definition however does not respect the cohomological grading, hence extra care would be needed to keep track the degree. We do not use the cohomological degree in the present paper, hence for simplicity we ignore this issue.

Let $f : M \rightarrow N$ be an equivariant map between complex manifolds, and $i : S \hookrightarrow M$, $j : T \hookrightarrow N$ embeddings of G -invariant subvarieties so that the diagram is Cartesian

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S & \longrightarrow & T \\ i \downarrow & & \downarrow j \\ M & \xrightarrow{f} & N. \end{array}$$

Then we have the map induced by the map of pairs $f^* : A_G^*(T) := A_G^*(N, N \setminus T) \rightarrow A_G^*(M, M \setminus S) =: A_G^*(S)$, which makes the following diagram commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A_G^*(M) & \xleftarrow{f^*} & A_G^*(N) \\ \uparrow i_* & & \uparrow j_* \\ A_G^*(S) & \xleftarrow{f^*} & A_G^*(T). \end{array}$$

This map is called the refined Gysin pullback in [ZZ17, § 5.2] and is denoted by $f^!$ therein, and the commutative diagram above is the base-change property.

For an equivariant vector bundle V on X , let $s : X \rightarrow V$ be the zero section. The equivariant Euler class operator $e^G(V) \cup : A_G^*(X) \rightarrow A_G^*(X)$ is defined to be s^*s_* . This definition is functorial with respect to pullback of vector bundle along an equivariant map $f : Y \rightarrow X$. The usual Thom-Pontrjagin construction defines pushforward for any equivariant projective morphism $f : M \rightarrow N$, which again extends to the singular case and gives $f_* : A_G^*(S) \rightarrow A_G^*(T)$. This map satisfies the projection formula with respect to the pullback.

Recall that a complex orientation on A^* also gives rise to a genus, i.e., a ring homomorphism from the complex cobordism $MU^* \rightarrow A^*$. The genus is furthermore functorial with respect to pullbacks and pushforwards. In particular, the pullbacks and pushforwards in MU^* are easily described in terms of cobordant

classes of complex manifolds [Q71, § 1]. The orientation also gives A^* a formal group law, so that the formal group law on MU^* is the universal one of Lazard and that the genus is compatible with formal group laws. We refer the readers to [Ad74, § II.1.] for the details. This discussion extends equivariantly using the Borel construction.

Let M_i be smooth quasi-projective H -varieties for $i = 1, 2, 3$, and let $Z_{12} \subseteq M_1 \times M_2$ and $Z_{23} \subseteq M_2 \times M_3$ be H -stable closed subvarieties, not necessarily smooth. Let $\text{pr}_{i,j} : M_1 \times M_2 \times M_3 \rightarrow M_i \times M_j$ be the projection. Denote $Z_{12} \times_{M_2} Z_{23} \rightarrow M_1 \times M_2 \times M_3$ to be the fiber product $\text{pr}_{12}^{-1}(Z_{12}) \times_{(M_1 \times M_2 \times M_3)} \text{pr}_{23}^{-1}(Z_{23})$; and define $Z_{12} \circ Z_{23} \subseteq M_1 \times M_3$ to be the image of $Z_{12} \times_{M_2} Z_{23}$ under the projection $\text{pr}_{13} : M_1 \times M_2 \times M_3 \rightarrow M_1 \times M_3$. Note that in general $Z_{12} \circ Z_{23}$ is not smooth even when both Z_{12} and Z_{23} are.

The following Proposition allows us to consider convolution algebras and their representations. The equivariant K -theory version is [CG10, 2.7.5].

Proposition 2.1. (1) *Assume that the natural map $Z_{12} \times_{M_2} Z_{23} \rightarrow Z_{12} \circ Z_{23}$ is proper. Then, there is a well-defined map*

$$* : A_H(Z_{12}) \otimes_{A_H(\text{pt})} A_H(Z_{23}) \rightarrow A_H(Z_{12} \circ Z_{23}).$$

(2) *The map $*$ is associative in the usual sense.*

(3) *Suppose $M \rightarrow M_0$ is an H -equivariant projective morphism. Let M_x be the fiber at $x \in M_0$. Let $H_x \subset H$ be the stabilizer of x so that H_x acts on M_x . Let Z be an H -stable closed subvariety of $M \times_{M_0} M$. Then, the induced morphism $A_H(Z) \otimes_{A_H(M_x)} A_H(M_x) \rightarrow A_{H_x}(M_x)$ is well-defined.*

(4) *In particular, when $Z = M \times_{M_0} M$, the R -module homomorphism above extends to a homomorphism of R -algebras $A_H(Z) \rightarrow \text{End}_R(A_{H_x}(M_x))$.*

Example 2.2. We look at special cases that will be used. Let $M_i \rightarrow M_0$ be projective equivariant morphisms of quasi-projective H -varieties with M_i smooth for $i = 1, 2$. Let $i_Z : Z \rightarrow M_1 \times_{M_0} M_2$ be an H -stable smooth closed subvariety, proper over M . Take $M_3 = \text{pt}$ and $Z_{12} = Z$, $Z_{23} = M_2$, and $Z_{13} = M_1$. Then for any $\eta \in A_H(Z)$ we have an operator $\eta *_Z \in \text{Hom}_R(A_H(M_2), A_H(M_1))$ such that $\eta *_Z \mu = \text{pr}_{1*} \left((\text{pr}_2^* \mu) \cdot \eta \right) \in A_H(M_1)$ for any $\mu \in A_H(M_2)$, where $\text{pr}_i : Z \rightarrow M_i$ is the composition of i_Z with the i -th projection $M_1 \times_{M_0} M_2 \rightarrow M_i$.

2.2. Recollection of Morava E -theory. For any oriented cohomology theory A^* , we denote $A^*(\text{pt})$ by A^* , as a graded ring. The degree zero piece is denoted by A^0 . In the present paper, we will mostly take A^* to be the Morava E -theory. There are many versions of the Morava E -theory. We focus on the integral version of Hopkins and Miller, denoted by E_n . Interested readers are referred to [HKR00, Rez98] for details. Let $W(\mathbb{F}_{p^n})$ be the ring of Witt vectors of the finite field \mathbb{F}_{p^n} . The theory E_n has coefficient ring given by

$$E_n^* \cong W(\mathbb{F}_{p^n})[[w_1, \dots, w_{n-1}]]\langle u^\pm \rangle,$$

where w_i has degree zero, and u has degree $p^n - 1$. Therefore,

$$E_n^0 \cong W(\mathbb{F}_{p^n})[[w_1, \dots, w_{n-1}]].$$

For each $0 < t \leq n$, let $K(n-t)$ be the ‘‘integral lifts’’ of the Morava K -theory with coefficient ring $W(\mathbb{F}_{p^{n-t}})[u, u^{-1}]$. Let $(E_n^0)_{K(n-t)}$ be the localization of E_n^0 along $K(n-t)$. This is a height $(n-t)$ -theory. The coefficient ring is given by

$$(E_n^0)_{K(n-t)} \cong W(\mathbb{F}_{p^n})[[w_1, \dots, w_{n-1}]]\langle w_{n-t}^\pm \rangle_{(p, w_1, \dots, w_{n-t-1})}^\wedge.$$

In other words, the ring $(E_n^0)_{K(n-t)}$ is obtained from E_n^* by inverting the element w_{n-t} and then completing with respect to the ideal $(p, w_1, \dots, w_{n-t-1})$.

In the present paper, for simplicity, we follow Stapleton [St11] and pass to the separable closer $\overline{\mathbb{F}_p}$ and still refer the resulting theory as E_n , so that for the purpose of the present paper, $E_n^0 \cong W(\overline{\mathbb{F}_p})[[w_1, \dots, w_{n-1}]]$. We remark that with a more careful albeit tedious treatment all the results can be done over explicit finite extensions of $W(\mathbb{F}_{p^n})[[w_1, \dots, w_{n-1}]]$.

Let $[p]x := \overbrace{x +_F x +_F x + \cdots +_F x}^{\text{p-copies}}$ be the p -series of F . By [HKR00, Lemma 5.7], there is an isomorphism

$$E_n^*(B\mathbb{Z}/p) = E_n^*[[x]]/([p]x)$$

By [HKR00, Theorem 5.6], let L be an E_n^* -algebra that is also an algebraically closed graded field of characteristic 0. Then,

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{E_n^*}(E_n^*(B\mathbb{Z}/p), L) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/p)^n.$$

As shown in [St11], there is a universal $(E_n^0)_{K(n-t)}$ -algebra C_{n-t} such that the formal group on $(E_n^0)_{K(n-t)}$ splits into the direct sum of a height $n-t$ formal group and a constant $\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p^t$. Furthermore, C_{n-t} is non-zero and flat over E_n^0 . We now briefly recall the construction of C_{n-t} from [St11]. For any t , set $\Lambda_t := (\mathbb{Z}/p)^{\oplus t}$. Let

$$C'_{n-t} := (E_n^0)_{K(n-t)} \otimes_{E_n^0} E_n^0(B\Lambda_t) = (E_n^0)_{K(n-t)}(B\Lambda_t).$$

The algebra C_{n-t} is the localization

$$C_{n-t} := S_\Lambda^{-1} C'_{n-t},$$

where $S_\Lambda \subset (E_n^0)_{K(n-t)} \otimes_{E_n^0} E_n^0(B\Lambda_t)$ is the multiplicative set described as follows. Occasionally, we need to keep track of the dependence on n , and hence in that case write C_{n-t}^* as $C_{n,n-t}^*$.

In general, let A^* be an arbitrary cohomology theory. Any irreducible representation χ of Λ_t defines a line bundle L_χ on $B\Lambda_t$. The multiplicative set S_Λ in $A^*(B\Lambda_t)$ is generated by

$$(2) \quad \{c_1^A(L_\chi) \mid \chi \text{ is a non-trivial irreducible representation of } \Lambda_t\}.$$

2.3. Cyclotomic rings associated to A^* .

Definition 2.3. The t -th cyclotomic ring of A^* is defined to be the localization

$$\Phi_t(A^*) := A^*(B\Lambda_t)\left[\frac{1}{S_\Lambda}\right], \text{ where } S_\Lambda \text{ is defined in (2).}$$

We also write $\Phi_t(A^*)$ simply as Φ_t^A , or Φ_t when A^* is understood from the context. If $A^* \rightarrow B^*$ is a graded ring homomorphism, then we have the base-change $\Phi_t^B := \Phi_t^A \otimes_{A^*} B^*$. If B^* defines a cohomology theory coming from a flat ring homomorphism $A^0 \rightarrow B^0$, then $A^*(B\Lambda_t) \rightarrow B^*(B\Lambda_t)$ sends c_1^A in A^* -theory to c_1^B in B^* -theory, and hence Φ_t^B defined above is isomorphic to $B^*(B\Lambda_t)\left[\frac{1}{S_\Lambda}\right]$.

Remark 2.4. By definition of C_{n-t}^* , under the map $E_n^*(B\Lambda_t) \rightarrow C_{n-t}^*$ the image of the set S_Λ is already invertible. However, in the application in § 5 we need to consider $C_{n-t}^*(B\Lambda_t)$ and the invert S_Λ in this sense, i.e., the Chern classes are taken in C_{n-t}^* -theory. Hence $\Phi_t^{C_{n-t}^*}$ is still useful in our setting. See also Remark 6.1.

Example 2.5. In this example, we take A^* to be the K-theory. We then have the isomorphism

$$K_{\mathbb{Z}/p}^0(\mathrm{pt})_{\mathbb{C}} \cong \mathrm{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}/p)_{\mathbb{C}} \cong \mathbb{C}[z]/(z^p - 1),$$

where $\mathrm{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}/p)$ is the representation ring of \mathbb{Z}/p and z corresponds to the one dimensional representation L_ζ sending a generator of \mathbb{Z}/p to a primitive p th root of unity.

Clearly S is the multiplicative set generated by

$$\{c_1(L_\zeta^{\otimes k}) \mid k = 1, 2, 3, \dots, p-1\} = \{1 - z^k \mid k = 1, 2, 3, \dots, p-1\}.$$

Then, the cyclotomic ring in Definition 2.3 is

$$\Phi_1(K_{\mathbb{C}}^0) = \mathbb{C}[z]/(z^p - 1)\left[\prod_{k=1}^{p-1} \frac{1}{1 - z^k}\right] = \mathbb{C}[z]/(\Phi(z)),$$

where $\Phi(z) = 1 + z + z^2 + \cdots + z^{p-1}$ is the cyclotomic polynomial. Taking spectrum, $\text{Spec}(K_{\mathbb{Z}/p}^0(\text{pt})_{\mathbb{C}})$ is the closed subvariety of \mathbb{C} consisting of all p -th roots of unity in \mathbb{C}^* , and $\text{Spec}(\mathbb{C}[z]/\Phi(z))$ is the one consisting of all p -th roots of unity but 1.

Note that the equality also holds integrally

$$\Phi_1(K^0) = \mathbb{Z}[z]/(\Phi(z)).$$

That is, the first cyclotomic ring of K -theory is the ring of cyclotomic integers.

The embedding $e \hookrightarrow G$ of the trivial group e into G induces a ring homomorphism $E^*(BG) \rightarrow E^*(\text{pt})$. Taking spectrum, we get an $E^*(\text{pt})$ -point which we denote by $1 \in \text{Spec } E^*(BG) = \text{Spec } E_G^*(\text{pt})$.

Lemma 2.6. *Let G be a finite group, and let X be a finite G CW-complex. Assume the G action on X is free. Then, $E_G^*(X)$ as a module over $E_G^*(\text{pt})$ is supported on the point 1 arising from $e \in G$.*

Proof. As the action of G on X is free, we have the isomorphism

$$E_G^*(X) = E^*(EG \times_G X) \cong E^*(EG \times X/G) \cong E_e^*(X/G).$$

Therefore, the support of $E_G^*(X)$ is on $1 \in \text{Spec } E_G^*(\text{pt})$ as the support of $E_e^*(X/G)$ is on 1. \square

For any order p element $b \in G$, we denote by $\langle b \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}/p$ the cyclic subgroup generated by b . In Lemma 2.6, let us consider the special case when G is generated by $b \in G$. In (2), putting $\langle b \rangle$ in place of Λ_t , we have a multiplicative set $S_b \subseteq E_{\langle b \rangle}^*(\text{pt})$. We then have the natural ring homomorphism of localization

$$E_{\langle b \rangle}^*(\text{pt}) \rightarrow E_{\langle b \rangle}^*(\text{pt})\left[\frac{1}{S_b}\right]$$

Lemma 2.7. *Assume $\langle b \rangle$ acts freely on X . Then, we have*

$$E_{\langle b \rangle}^*(X) \otimes_{E_{\langle b \rangle}^*(\text{pt})} (E_{\langle b \rangle}^*(\text{pt})\left[\frac{1}{S_b}\right]) = 0.$$

Proof. By Lemma 2.6, the module $E_{\langle b \rangle}^*(X)$ is supported on the point 1. For any element f in S_b , which is the c_1 of a non-trivial representation, we have f vanishes at 1 and hence annihilates $E_{\langle b \rangle}^*(X)$. \square

2.4. The transchromatic character maps. Generalizing the character map of Hopkins, Kuhn, and Ravenel [HKR00], a character map from the Morava E_n^* -theory to the C_{n-t}^* -theory for $0 < t \leq n$ has been constructed [St11], which we now recall.

Let G be a finite group, and let X be a finite G CW-complex. Fix a group homomorphism $\alpha : \Lambda_t \rightarrow G$. Let $\iota : X^{\text{im } \alpha} \rightarrow X$ be the embedding of the fixed points of $\text{im } \alpha$. We have the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Lambda_t \times X^{\text{im } \alpha} & \xrightarrow{\alpha \times \iota} & G \times X \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X^{\text{im } \alpha} & \xrightarrow{\iota} & X \end{array}$$

where the vertical maps are the group actions and the action of Λ_t on $X^{\text{im } \alpha}$ is trivial. Therefore, it induces a map on spaces

$$E\Lambda_t \times_{\Lambda_t} X^{\text{im } \alpha} \cong B\Lambda_t \times X^{\text{im } \alpha} \rightarrow EG \times_G X.$$

Applying E_n^* , we obtain

$$E_n^*(EG \times_G X) \rightarrow E_n^*(B\Lambda_t \times X^{\text{im } \alpha}) \cong E_n^*(B\Lambda_t) \otimes E_n^*(X^{\text{im } \alpha}).$$

Composing the ring homomorphism $E_n^*(B\Lambda_t) \rightarrow C_{n-t}^*$, we obtain the transchromatic character map

$$\chi_{n,n-t}^G : E_n^*(EG \times_G X) \rightarrow C_{n-t}^*(X^{\text{im } \alpha}).$$

Let E^* be a complex oriented theory with associated formal group law F . We assume the graded ring E^* and F with height- n satisfy the following condition.

Assumption 2.1.

- E^* is local with maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} , and complete in the \mathfrak{m} -adic topology.
- The graded residue field E^*/\mathfrak{m} has characteristic $p > 0$.
- $p^{-1}E^*$ is not zero.
- The mod \mathfrak{m} reduction of F has height $n < \infty$ over E^*/\mathfrak{m} .

Assume E^* is a cohomology theory satisfying Assumption 2.1 with height n . Define

$$L(E^*) := S_{\Lambda}^{-1}E^*(B\Lambda_n) = C_0^*.$$

Theorem 2.8. [HKR00, Theorem C] *The character map $\chi_{n,0}^G$ induces an isomorphism*

$$L(E^*) \otimes_{E^*} E^*(EG \times_G X) \cong L(E^*) \otimes_{E^*} E^*(\sqcup_{\alpha \in \text{hom}(\Lambda_n, G)} X^{\text{im } \alpha})^G$$

2.5. The equivariant Euler class. Now we give one application of the theorem of Hopkins, Kuhn, Ravenel, which is useful for later.

Let X be a complex variety with the trivial action of a compact Lie group A . Let $\pi : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow X$ be an A -equivariant vector bundle [CG10, Chapter 5.1] on X . The vector bundle decomposes according to the A -action as

$$(3) \quad \bigoplus_{i \in \hat{A}} \mathcal{E}_i \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} V_i$$

where V_i is an irreducible representation of A made into a trivial vector bundle on X , and \mathcal{E}_i has fiberwise the trivial A -action but not necessarily trivial as a vector bundle.

The following proposition should be compared with [CG10, Proposition 5.10.3].

Proposition 2.9. *Let $A = A_1 \times A_2$ such that A_1 and A_2 are finite groups and A_1 is abelian. Let $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow X$ be an A -equivariant vector bundle. Assume A_1 acts trivially on X and in the decomposition (3) under A_1 -action each L_i with $\mathcal{E}_i \neq 0$ is non-trivial as A_1 -representation. Assume E^* is a cohomology theory satisfying Assumption 2.1 with height h . Assume further that E^* has Künneth formula with respect to A_1 . Let $E_{\text{loc}} = S^{-1}E_{A_1}^*(pt) \otimes_{E^0} L$, where S is the multiplicative set generated by*

$$\{c_1^{A_1}(V) \in E_{A_1}^*(pt) \mid V \text{ a non-trivial irreducible representation of } A_1\}.$$

Then, multiplication by the A -equivariant Euler class $e(\mathcal{E})$ induces an isomorphism on the localized E -theories

$$E_A^*(X) \otimes_{E_{A_1}^*} E_{\text{loc}} \xrightarrow{e(\mathcal{E})} E_A^*(X) \otimes_{E_{A_1}^*} E_{\text{loc}}.$$

Here recall that L is the same as given in Theorem 2.8. By construction, we have two algebra morphisms $L \rightarrow E_{\text{loc}}$ as well as $E_{A_1}^* \rightarrow E_{\text{loc}}$, which agree when both are restricted to E^0 . That is, we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & E_{\text{loc}} & \\ \nearrow & & \nwarrow \\ L & & E_{A_1}^* \\ \nwarrow & & \nearrow \\ & E^0 & \end{array}$$

We need a few lemmas.

Lemma 2.10. *Let R be a commutative ring with an idempotent decomposition $R = \bigoplus_{i \in I} R_i$ such that the index set I is finite. Let H be a finite group acting compatibly on both I and R (the H action on R preserves the ring structure). That is, for an idempotent decomposition $a = \sum_i a_i$ of $a \in R$ and for any $h \in H$, we have*

the idempotent decomposition $ha = \sum_i h(a_i)$, such that $h(a_i) \in R_{h(i)}$. For $a \in R^H$, if each a_i in R_i is invertible, then a is invertible in R^H .

Proof. Let $a = \sum_i a_i$ be the idempotent decomposition of $a \in R^H$. The assumption that a is invariant under H implies

$$ha_i = a_{h(i)}, \text{ for any } h \in H.$$

Each a_i is invertible in R_i . Hence, $h \frac{1}{a_i} = \frac{1}{a_{h(i)}}$, for any $h \in H$. Clearly, $\sum_i \frac{1}{a_i}$ is inverse to a in R and is H invariant. This completes the proof. \square

Lemma 2.11. *Let A_1, A_2 be two abelian groups and L_1, L_2 be the irreducible representations of A_1, A_2 respectively. If $c_1^{A_1}(L_1)$ is invertible, then, so is $c_1^{A_1 \times A_2}(L_1 \otimes L_2)$.*

Proof. Denote the image of $c_1^{A_1}(L_1) \in E_{A_1}(\text{pt})$ under the natural map

$$E_{A_1}(\text{pt}) \rightarrow E_{A_1 \times A_2}(\text{pt})$$

by the same notation $c_1^{A_1}(L_1)$. Then, we have

$$c_1^{A_1 \times A_2}(L_1 \otimes L_2) = c_1^{A_1}(L_1) + c_1^{A_2}(L_2) + h.o.t.,$$

where $h.o.t.$ consists of higher order terms in $c_1^{A_1}(L_1)$ and $c_1^{A_2}(L_2)$. By the assumption that $c_1^{A_1}(L_1)$ is invertible, multiplying $c_1^{A_1 \times A_2}(L_1 \otimes L_2)$ by $(c_1^{A_1}(L_1))^{-1}$, we obtain

$$(4) \quad 1 + (c_1^{A_1}(L_1))^{-1} c_1^{A_2}(L_2) + (c_1^{A_1}(L_1))^{-1} h.o.t..$$

Recall that $E_{A_1 \times A_2}(\text{pt})$ is defined via the Borel construction, hence it is complete with respect to Chern classes. Therefore, (4) is invertible. This completes the proof. \square

Proof of Proposition 2.9. By Künneth formula, and the assumption of the trivial A_1 -action we have $E_A^*(X) \cong E_{A_1}^* \otimes_{E^0} E_{A_2}^*(X)$. Hence $E_A^*(X) \otimes_{E_{A_1}^*} E_{\text{loc}} = E_{A_2}^*(X) \otimes_{E^0} E_{\text{loc}}$. Using Theorem 2.8, we have

$$E_{\text{loc}} \otimes_{E_{A_1}^*} (E_A^*(X)) \cong S^{-1} E_{A_1}^* \otimes_{E^0} (L \otimes_{E^0} E_{A_2}^*(X)) \cong S^{-1} E_{A_1}^* \otimes_{E^0} \left(\bigoplus_{\beta: (\mathbb{Z}/p)^h \rightarrow A_2} L \otimes_{E^0} E_{(\mathbb{Z}/p)^h}^*(X^{\text{im} \beta}) \right)^{A_2}.$$

Therefore, to prove the proposition, without loss of generality, we may assume that A_2 acts trivially on X as well. Now Lemma 2.11 above reduces the proof to a standard argument (c.f., proof of [CG10, Proposition 5.10.3]).

Step 1: Assume $\mathcal{E} = X \times F$ is a trivial vector bundle. We have projections in the following diagram

$$\mathcal{E} = X \times F \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{p \times \text{id}_F} F \\ \left(\begin{array}{ccc} \downarrow \pi & & \pi_F \downarrow \\ X & \xrightarrow{p} & \text{pt} \end{array} \right) \downarrow s_F \end{array}$$

with $s_F : \text{pt} \rightarrow F$ the zero section of F on pt . Therefore, $e(\mathcal{E})$, which comes from the pullback of a class in $E_A^*(\text{pt})_{\text{loc}}$, induces an isomorphism thanks to Lemma 2.11.

Step 2: We use induction on $\dim(X)$. If $\dim(X) = 0$ then each irreducible component of X is a point and by Step 1 the Proposition follows.

Let $U \subset X$ be the Zariski open dense subset such that U is stable under A -action and $E|_U$ is trivial. Let $Y := X \setminus U$ be the complement. Then, $\dim(Y) < \dim(X)$. Write the long exact sequence in E^* -theory

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \cdots & \longrightarrow & E_A^{*-1}(Y)_{\text{loc}} & \longrightarrow & E_A^*(U)_{\text{loc}} & \longrightarrow & E_A^*(X)_{\text{loc}} \longrightarrow E_A^*(Y)_{\text{loc}} \longrightarrow \cdots \\ & & \downarrow e(\mathcal{E}) & & \downarrow e(\mathcal{E}) & & \downarrow e(\mathcal{E}) \\ \cdots & \longrightarrow & E_A^{*-1}(Y)_{\text{loc}} & \longrightarrow & E_A^*(U)_{\text{loc}} & \longrightarrow & E_A^*(X)_{\text{loc}} \longrightarrow E_A^*(Y)_{\text{loc}} \longrightarrow \cdots \end{array}$$

The restriction of \mathcal{E} to U being trivial, the multiplication by $e(\mathcal{E})$ induces an isomorphism on $E_A^*(U)_{\text{loc}}$ by Step 1. Also, $\dim(Y) < \dim(X)$, hence $e(\mathcal{E})$ induces an isomorphism on $E_A^*(Y)_{\text{loc}}$ by the induction hypothesis. The Proposition follows from the 5 lemma. \square

Let G be a finite group and $A_1 \times A_2$ a subgroup with $A_1 \cong (\mathbb{Z}/p)^t$ with $t \leq h$. Let S be the multiplicative set generated by $\{c_1^{A_1}(V) \in E_{A_1}^*(\text{pt}) \mid V \text{ a non-trivial irreducible representation of } A_1\}$. Thanks to Lemma 2.11, we have the following composition of maps $S^{-1}E_{A_1}^* \rightarrow S^{-1}E_{A_1 \times (\mathbb{Z}/p)^{h-t}}^* \rightarrow L$.

Corollary 2.12. *Notations as above. Let X be a smooth G -variety, and let N be the normal bundle of the embedding $i : X^{A_1} \hookrightarrow X$, and e_N its Euler class. Then, multiplication by e_N induces an isomorphism on*

$$L \otimes_{E_{A_1}} E_{A_1 \times A_2}(X^{A_1}) \rightarrow L \otimes_{E_{A_1}} E_{A_1 \times A_2}(X^{A_1}).$$

Moreover, the pullback

$$i^* : L \otimes_{E_{A_1}} E_{A_1 \times A_2}(X) \rightarrow L \otimes_{E_{A_1}} E_{A_1 \times A_2}(X^{A_1})$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. Consider the isomorphism of [HKR00] $L \otimes_{E^0} E_G(X) \cong L \otimes_{E_{(\mathbb{Z}/p)^h}} (\oplus_{\alpha: (\mathbb{Z}/p)^h \rightarrow G} E_{(\mathbb{Z}/p)^h}^*(X^{\text{im } \alpha}))^G$, and base-change via the ring map $L \otimes_{E^0} E_G \rightarrow L \otimes_{E_{A_1}} E_G$, we obtain

$$L \otimes_{E_{A_1}} E_G(X) \cong L \otimes_{E_{A_1 \times (\mathbb{Z}/p)^{h-t}}} (\oplus_{\beta: (\mathbb{Z}/p)^{h-t} \rightarrow A_2} E_{A_1 \times (\mathbb{Z}/p)^{h-t}}^*(X^{A_1 \times \text{im } \beta}))^{A_2} \cong L \otimes_{E_{A_1}} (\oplus_{\beta: (\mathbb{Z}/p)^{h-t} \rightarrow A_2} E_{A_1}^*(X^{A_1 \times \text{im } \beta}))^{A_2}.$$

Observe that $X^{A_1 \times \text{im } \beta} = (X^{A_1})^{\text{im } \beta}$ and that the vector bundle N on X^{A_1} satisfies the assumption of Proposition 2.13, hence, the same argument as that of Proposition 2.9 implies the assertion. \square

2.6. Localization of convolution algebras. The following proposition is deduced from a standard argument, which can be found, say, in [CG10, Theorem 5.11.10]. However, it is useful in our setting. For the convenience of the readers, we sketch the proof below.

Proposition 2.13. *Assume E is a cohomology theory satisfying Assumption 2.1 with height h . Assume $A = A_1 \times A_2$ with A_1 and A_2 finite groups with $A_1 = (\mathbb{Z}/p)^t$ with $t \leq h$. Assume M_i is smooth with A -action $i = 1, 2, 3$, and the A -equivariant Euler class of the normal bundle $M_i^{A_1} \subseteq M_i$ is denoted by e_i .*

Then, $\chi = (1 \boxtimes \frac{1}{e_2}) \circ i^ : E_A^*(Z_{1,2}) \rightarrow E_A^*(Z_{1,2}^{A_1})$ is well-defined when applying $- \otimes_{E_{A_1}} L$ and commutes with convolutions.*

Proof. Let $Z_{12} \subset M_1 \times M_2$, $Z_{23} \subset M_2 \times M_3$. Let $p_{ij} : M_1 \times M_2 \times M_3 \rightarrow M_i \times M_j$ be the projection. Let $\mathcal{F}_{12} \in E_G^*(Z_{12})$, $\mathcal{F}_{23} \in E_G^*(Z_{23})$. Let $e_i \in E_A^*(M_i^{A_1})$, $i = 1, 2, 3$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
(\chi\mathcal{F}_{12}) * (\chi\mathcal{F}_{23}) &= (p_{13})_* (p_{12}^*(\chi\mathcal{F}_{12}) \otimes p_{23}^*(\chi\mathcal{F}_{23})) && \text{by definition of } * \\
&= (p_{13})_* \left((1 \boxtimes \frac{1}{e_2} \boxtimes \frac{1}{e_3}) \otimes (i^*\mathcal{F}_{12} \otimes i^*\mathcal{F}_{23}) \right) && \text{by definition of } \chi \\
&= (p_{13})_* \left(p_{13}^*(e_1 \boxtimes 1) \otimes \left(\frac{1}{e_1} \boxtimes \frac{1}{e_2} \boxtimes \frac{1}{e_3} \right) \otimes (i^*\mathcal{F}_{12} \otimes i^*\mathcal{F}_{23}) \right) \\
&\quad \text{by the equality } 1 \boxtimes \frac{1}{e_2} \boxtimes \frac{1}{e_3} = p_{13}^*(e_1 \boxtimes 1 \otimes \left(\frac{1}{e_1} \boxtimes \frac{1}{e_2} \boxtimes \frac{1}{e_3} \right)) \\
(5) \quad &= (e_1 \boxtimes 1) \otimes (p_{13})_* \left(\left(\frac{1}{e_1} \boxtimes \frac{1}{e_2} \boxtimes \frac{1}{e_3} \right) \otimes (i^*\mathcal{F}_{12} \otimes i^*\mathcal{F}_{23}) \right) && \text{by the projection formula}
\end{aligned}$$

The commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
M_1 \times M_2 \times M_3 & \xrightarrow{p_{13}} & M_1 \times M_3 \\
\uparrow i & & \uparrow i \\
M_1^{A_1} \times M_2^{A_1} \times M_3^{A_1} & \xrightarrow{p_{13}} & M_1^{A_1} \times M_3^{A_1}
\end{array}$$

induces the following commutative diagram on cohomology

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
E_A^*(M_1 \times M_2 \times M_3) & \xrightarrow{(p_{13})_*} & E_A^*(M_1 \times M_3) \\
\downarrow \frac{1}{e_1} \boxtimes \frac{1}{e_2} \boxtimes \frac{1}{e_3} & & \downarrow \frac{1}{e_1} \boxtimes \frac{1}{e_3} \\
L \otimes_{E_{A_1}} E_A^*(M_1^{A_1} \times M_2^{A_1} \times M_3^{A_1}) & \xrightarrow{(p_{13})_*} & L \otimes_{E_{A_1}} E_A^*(M_1^{A_1} \times M_3^{A_1})
\end{array}$$

The vertical maps are the inverse of i_* . As a consequence, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(5) &= (e_1 \boxtimes 1) \otimes \left(\left(\frac{1}{e_1} \boxtimes \frac{1}{e_3} \right) (p_{13})_* (i^*\mathcal{F}_{12} \otimes i^*\mathcal{F}_{23}) \right) \\
&= (1 \boxtimes \frac{1}{e_3}) i^*(\mathcal{F}_{12} * \mathcal{F}_{23}) && \text{by } e_1 \frac{1}{e_1} = 1 \text{ and definition of } * \\
&= \chi(\mathcal{F}_{12} * \mathcal{F}_{23}) && \text{by definition of } \chi
\end{aligned}$$

□

3. QUIVER REPRESENTATIONS

We recall some notations and recollect some basics on quiver representations, Nakajima quiver varieties, and cohomological Hall algebras. Experts in this area are recommended to skip ahead to § 3.3, where we introduce the quantum groups.

3.1. Generality of quiver representations. Recall that a quiver $\Gamma = (\Gamma_0, \Gamma_1)$ has a finite set of vertices Γ_0 and a finite set of arrows Γ_1 , with each arrow $h \in \Gamma_1$ having a outgoing vertex $\text{out}(h) \in \Gamma_0$ and an incoming vertex $\text{in}(h)$ making Γ an oriented graph. A representation is a functor V from the graph Γ to the category of finite dimensional vector spaces, with V^i the vector space associated to $i \in \Gamma_0$. Abusing notations, we also write $V = \bigoplus_{i \in \Gamma_0} V^i$ as the underlying vector space. We call an element $\gamma = (\gamma^i)_{i \in \Gamma_0} \in \mathbb{N}^{\Gamma_0}$ a dimension vector.

A representation of the quiver V has the dimension vector with $\gamma^i = \dim V^i$ for $i \in \Gamma_0$. For each dimension vector $\gamma \in \mathbb{N}^{\Gamma_0}$, let

$$\text{Rep}(\Gamma, \gamma) := \prod_{h \in \Gamma_1} \text{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^{\gamma^{\text{out}(h)}}, \mathbb{C}^{\gamma^{\text{in}(h)}}).$$

The group $\text{GL}_{\gamma} := \prod_{i \in I} \text{GL}_{\gamma^i}$ acts on $\text{Rep}(\Gamma, \gamma)$ by conjugation.

Assume there is a subset $\Gamma_0' \subset \Gamma_0$, called the *frozen* vertices, with the complement $\Gamma_0 \setminus \Gamma_0' \subseteq \Gamma_0$. Any $\theta \in \mathbb{Q}^{\Gamma_0'}$ defines a rational character of $\text{GL}_{\gamma'}$ for any $\gamma' \in \mathbb{N}^{\Gamma_0'}$, which we use as GIT stability condition, and hence has an open subset

$$\text{Rep}(\Gamma, \gamma)^{\theta} \subseteq \text{Rep}(\Gamma, \gamma)$$

consisting of θ -semi-stable points. We have the GIT quotient $\coprod_{\gamma \in \mathbb{N}^{\Gamma_0}} \text{Rep}(\Gamma, \gamma)^{\theta} / \text{GL}_{\gamma}$. Note that on each $\text{Rep}(\Gamma, \gamma)^{\theta} / \text{GL}_{\gamma}$ there is an action on $\text{GL}_{\gamma'}$ together with an equivariant projective morphism to the categorical quotient

$$\text{Rep}(\Gamma, \gamma)^{\theta} / \text{GL}_{\gamma} \rightarrow \text{Rep}(\Gamma, \gamma) / \text{GL}_{\gamma}.$$

We introduce some notations. For a quiver $Q = (I, H)$, the opposite quiver $Q^{\text{op}} = (I, H^{\text{op}})$ has the same set of vertices I as Q , and the set of arrows H^{op} has a fixed one-to-one correspondence with H so that for each $h \in H$, the corresponding arrow $h^* \in H^{\text{op}}$ has $\text{out}(h^*) = \text{in}(h)$ and $\text{in}(h^*) = \text{out}(h)$. The double quiver $\overline{Q} = Q \sqcup Q^{\text{op}}$ has the same set of vertices I as Q , and the set of arrows is $H \sqcup H^{\text{op}}$. Note that for any $v \in \mathbb{N}^I$ we have an GL_v -equivariant isomorphism $\text{Rep}(\overline{Q}, v) \cong T^* \text{Rep}(Q, v)$.

For a quiver Q , let Q^{\heartsuit} be the *framed quiver*. The set of vertices of Q^{\heartsuit} is $I \sqcup I'$, where I' is another copy of the set I , equipped with the bijection $I \rightarrow I'$, $i \mapsto i'$. The set of arrows of Q^{\heartsuit} is, by definition, the disjoint union of H and a set of additional edges $j_i : i \rightarrow i'$, one for each vertex $i \in I$. We follow the tradition that $v \in \mathbb{N}^{I'}$ is the notation for the dimension vector at I , and $w \in \mathbb{N}^I$ is the dimension vector at I' . Also, we consider the vertices I' as frozen.

3.2. Nakajima quiver varieties. In this section, we review the Nakajima quiver varieties and their convolution algebra. Interested readers can find most of the missing details in [Nak01].

Let $\overline{Q^{\heartsuit}} = Q^{\heartsuit} \sqcup Q^{\heartsuit, \text{op}}$ be the double of Q^{\heartsuit} . We have the isomorphism

$$\text{Rep}(\overline{Q^{\heartsuit}}, (v, w)) \cong T^* \text{Rep}(Q^{\heartsuit}, v, w).$$

Let $\mu_{v, w} : T^* \text{Rep}(Q^{\heartsuit}, v, w) \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}_v^* \cong \mathfrak{gl}_v$ be the moment map

$$\mu_{v, w} : (x, x^*, i, j) \mapsto \sum [x, x^*] + i \circ j \in \mathfrak{gl}_v.$$

For any $\theta = (\theta_i)_{i \in I} \in \mathbb{Z}^I$, let $\chi_{\theta} : \text{GL}_v \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$ be the character $g = (g_i)_{i \in I} \mapsto \prod_{i \in I} \det(g_i)^{-\theta_i}$. The set of χ_{θ} -semistable points in $T^* \text{Rep}(Q^{\heartsuit}, v, w)$ is denoted by $\text{Rep}(\overline{Q^{\heartsuit}}, v, w)^{\text{ss}}$. The Nakajima quiver variety is defined to be the Hamiltonian reduction

$$\mathfrak{M}_{\theta}(v, w) := \mu_{v, w}^{-1}(0) //_{\theta} \text{GL}_v.$$

In this paper, we use the stability condition $\theta^+ = (1, \dots, 1)$ and denote $\mathfrak{M}_{\theta}(v, w)$ by $\mathfrak{M}(v, w)$ for simplicity. The categorical quotient is denoted by $\mathfrak{M}_0(v, w)$ to avoid possible confusion. We also write $\mu_{v, w}^{-1}(0)^s$ for the θ^+ -stable locus in $\mu_{v, w}^{-1}(0)$. We write $\mathfrak{M}(w) = \sqcup_{v \in \mathbb{N}^I} \mathfrak{M}(v, w)$ and $\mathfrak{M}_0(w) = \cup_{v \in \mathbb{N}^I} \mathfrak{M}_0(v, w)$. The meaning of \cup here is explained in [Nak01, § 2.5].

3.2.1. *Hecke correspondence and tautological bundles.* As $\mathfrak{M}(w)$ has many connected components, so is $Z(w) := \mathfrak{M}(w) \times_{\mathfrak{M}_0(w)} \mathfrak{M}(w)$. We describe one component relevant to us together with a tautological line bundle on it.

For two dimension vectors v_1 and v_2 , the composition $\mathfrak{M}(v_i, w) \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_0(v_i, w) \subset \mathfrak{M}_0(v_1 + v_2, w)$ are denoted by π_i . Let

$$Z(v_1, v_2, w) := \{(x_1, x_2) \in \mathfrak{M}(v_1, w) \times \mathfrak{M}(v_2, w) \mid \pi_1(x_1) = \pi_2(x_2)\}$$

be the Steinberg variety. By the construction of $\mathfrak{M}(v, w)$, we have the tautological vector bundle

$$\mu_v^{-1}(0)^{ss} \times_{\mathrm{GL}_v} V \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}(v, w)$$

associated to the principal GL_v -bundle $\mu_v^{-1}(0)^{ss} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}(v, w)$. Here V is the GL_v representation with dimension vector v . We denote the vector bundle by $\mathcal{V}(v, w)$. We now consider the case when $v_1 = v_2 - e_k$, where e_k is the dimension vector whose entry k is 1, and other entries are 0. The Hecke correspondence $\mathfrak{F}_k(v_2 - e_k, v_2, w)$ [Nak01, § 5.1] is an irreducible, smooth component of $Z(v_1, v_2, w)$, and a Lagrangian subvariety of $\mathfrak{M}(v_2 - e_k, w) \times \mathfrak{M}(v_2, w)$ as shown by Nakajima. In *loc. cit.* $\sqcup_{v_2} \mathfrak{F}_k(v_2 - e_k, v_2, w)$ is denoted by $\mathfrak{F}_k(w)$. The tautological line bundle \mathcal{L}_k of $\mathfrak{F}_k(v_2 - e_k, v_2, w)$ is defined to be the quotient

$$\mathcal{L}_k := \mathcal{V}(v_2, w) / \mathcal{V}(v_2 - e_k, w).$$

Let $\mathfrak{M}(v_1, w) \times \mathfrak{M}(v_2, w) \xrightarrow{(1,2)} \mathfrak{M}(v_2, w) \times \mathfrak{M}(v_1, w)$ be the exchange of factors. Denote $(1, 2)(\mathfrak{F}_k(v_2, v_2 + e_k, w))$ by $\mathfrak{F}_k(v_2 + e_k, v_2, w)$. As on $\mathfrak{F}_k(v_2 - e_k, v_2, w)$, we have a natural line bundle over $\mathfrak{F}_k(v_2 + e_k, v_2, w)$. Let us denote it by

$$\mathcal{L}_k^{\mathrm{op}} := \mathcal{V}(v_2 + e_k, w) / \mathcal{V}(v_2, w).$$

Similarly, there are generalized Hecke correspondences [Nak01, § 5.3] $\mathfrak{F}_k^{(\pm n)} = \sqcup_v \mathfrak{F}(v, v \pm ne_k, w)$ with each $\mathfrak{F}(v, v \pm ne_k, w)$ a smooth component of $Z(v, v \pm ne_k, w)$. There is a rank- n tautological vector bundle $\mathcal{V}_k^{(n)}$ on $\mathfrak{F}(v, v + ne_k, w)$ (resp. a rank- n tautological vector bundle $\mathcal{V}_k^{(n), \mathrm{op}}$ on $\mathfrak{F}(v, v - ne_k, w)$).

3.2.2. *The torus action.* Let $\sqcup_{v \in \mathbb{N}^I} \mathfrak{M}(v, w)$ be the Nakajima quiver variety and $\mathbb{G} := \mathbb{C}^* \times \mathrm{GL}_w$. We now describe the action of \mathbb{G} on $\mathfrak{M}(w)$ and \mathfrak{M}_0 [Nak01, Section 2.7]. The action of GL_w is defined by its natural action on $\mathrm{Rep}(\overline{Q}^\vee, (v, w))$. It preserves the moment map equation $[x, x^*] + ij = 0$ and commutes with the conjugation action of GL_v . Hence it induces an action on $\mathfrak{M}(w)$ and \mathfrak{M}_0 .

For each pair $k, l \in I$ such that the number of arrows b' from k to l is at least 1, we fix a numbering $1, 2, \dots, b'$ on the arrows from k to l . It induces a numbering $h_1, \dots, h_{b'}, h_1^*, \dots, h_{b'}^*$ on oriented edges between k and l . Define a weight function $m : H \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ by

$$m(h_s) = b' + 1 - 2s, \quad m(h_s^*) = -b' - 1 + 2s.$$

In the special case when there is only one arrow h from k to l . We have $m(h) = m(h^*) = 0$. The \mathbb{C}^* -action on $\mathrm{Rep}(\overline{Q}^\vee, (v, w))$ is by

$$B_h \mapsto t^{m(h)+1} B_h, \quad i \mapsto ti, \quad j \mapsto tj, \quad \text{for } t \in \mathbb{C}^*.$$

This \mathbb{G} -action makes the projective morphism $\mathfrak{M} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_0$ equivariant.

3.3. **Cohomological Hall algebras and affine quantum groups.** This is not used in the present paper in an essential way and is included in the present paper for the context and future reference.

For any $v \in \mathbb{N}^I$, let $\mu_v : \mathrm{Rep}(\overline{Q}, v) \cong T^* \mathrm{Rep}(Q, v) \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}_v, (x, x^*) \mapsto \sum [x, x^*]$ be the moment map. On $\mu_v^{-1}(0)$ there is an action of $\mathrm{GL}_v \times \mathbb{C}^*$ where \mathbb{C}^* -acts the same way as in § 3.2.2. On $\oplus_v A_{\mathrm{GL}_v \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\mu_v^{-1}(0))$ there is a structure of an associative algebra, called the preprojective cohomological Hall algebra (COHA) associated to the cohomology theory E^* and the quiver Q [YZ14]. The construction follows closely that in [Gr94b, KS11, SV13].

Let $\mathcal{H}(E^*, Q)$ (resp. $\mathcal{H}'(E^*, Q)$) be the subalgebra of $\oplus_v A_{\mathrm{GL}_v \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\mu^{-1}(0))$ generated by elements in $A_{\mathrm{GL}_{ne_k} \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\mu_{ne_k}^{-1}(0))$, where n varies in \mathbb{N} and k varies in I (resp. generated by elements in $A_{\mathrm{GL}_{e_k} \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\mu_{e_k}^{-1}(0))$, where k varies in I). We call $\mathcal{H}(E^*, Q)$ (resp. $\mathcal{H}'(E^*, Q)$) the Lusztig spherical COHA (resp. De Concini-Kac spherical COHA).

Similarly, for any w , let $A_{\mathbb{G}}^*(Z(w))$ be the convolution algebra. Consider the subalgebra $U_w(\mathbb{G}, E^*)$ (resp. $U'_w(\mathbb{G}, E^*)$) generated by the Chern classes of the tautological vector bundles $\mathcal{V}_k^{(n)}$ and $\mathcal{V}_k^{(n), \mathrm{op}}$ as n varies in \mathbb{N} and k varies in I (resp. generated by the Chern classes of the tautological line bundles \mathcal{L}_k and $\mathcal{L}_k^{\mathrm{op}}$ as k varies in I). Here when $n = 0$, the Hecke correspondence is the diagonal $\mathfrak{M}(w)$, and the tautological bundles are $\mathcal{V}(v, w)$. Although this is not used in the present paper, the Kirwan surjectivity [MN18] shows $A_{\mathbb{G}}(\mathfrak{M}(w))$ is tautologically generated.

When the quiver Q has no edge loops, [YZ14, Theorem 5.6] is true for the generalized Hecke correspondence $\mathfrak{P}(v, v + ne_k, w)$ with the following replacements. We replace e_k by ne_k , replace $c_1(\mathcal{L}_k)$ by the Chern classes of $\mathcal{V}_k^{(n)}$, and replace $A_{\mathrm{GL}_{e_k} \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\mu_{e_k}^{-1}(0)) = A_{\mathbb{C}^*}(\mathrm{pt})[z^{(k)}]$ by $A_{\mathrm{GL}_{ne_k} \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\mu_{ne_k}^{-1}(0)) = A_{\mathbb{C}^*}(\mathrm{pt})[z_1^{(k)}, \dots, z_n^{(k)}]^{\Sigma_n}$. As a consequence of the generalized statement of [YZ14, Theorem 5.6], there is an algebra homomorphisms $\mathcal{H}(A^*, Q) \rightarrow U_w(\mathbb{G}, A^*)$ which restricts to a homomorphism $\mathcal{H}'(A^*, Q) \rightarrow U'_w(\mathbb{G}, A^*)$. It is compatible with their respective actions on the A^* -cohomology of the Nakajima quiver variety

$$(6) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{H}(E^*, Q) & \xrightarrow{\Phi_w} & U_w(\mathbb{G}, E^*) \\ & \searrow^{a_{\mathcal{H}}} & \swarrow_{a_Z} \\ & \mathrm{End}(E_{\mathbb{G}}^*(\mathfrak{M}(w))) & \end{array}$$

One can take the (reduced) Drinfeld double of $\mathcal{H}(E^*, Q)$ as in [YZ16], denoted by $D(\mathcal{H}(E^*, Q))$. The morphism Φ_w extends to an epimorphism $D(\Phi_w)$ from $D(\mathcal{H}(E^*, Q))$ to $U_w(\mathbb{G}, E^*)$. Therefore we have the isomorphism $D(\mathcal{H}(E^*, Q))/\ker(D\Phi_w) \cong U_w(\mathbb{G}, E^*)$. The highest weight modules of $D(\mathcal{H}(E^*, Q))$ of weight w factors through $U_w(\mathbb{G}, E^*)$. The algebra $U_w(\mathbb{G}, E^*)$ is the main focus of the present paper. This algebra should rather be thought of as the E^* -theory analogue of affine q -Schur algebra [D03].

4. QUIVER WITH AUTOMORPHISM AND FOLDING OF AFFINE QUANTUM GROUPS

We use the Hopkins-Kuhn-Ravenel theorem to define convolution algebra and cohomological Hall algebra of a quiver with automorphism. We then apply it to a special example, i.e., Nakajima cyclic quiver variety.

4.1. The definition. Let $\Gamma = (\Gamma_0, \Gamma_1)$ be a quiver. An admissible automorphism of the quiver $\Gamma = (\Gamma_0, \Gamma_1)$ [Lus93, Chapter 12.1.1] consists of a permutation $a : \Gamma_0 \rightarrow \Gamma_0$ and a permutation $a : \Gamma_1 \rightarrow \Gamma_1$ such that for any $h \in \Gamma_1$, we have $a(\mathrm{out}(h)) = \mathrm{out}(a(h))$, $a(\mathrm{in}(h)) = \mathrm{in}(a(h))$ and such that there is no edge joining two vertices in the same a -orbit. In the present paper for simplicity we assume that a is of order p which is a prime number.

Let V be a representation of Γ , then, the automorphism a induces another representation a^*V with $(a^*V)_i = V_{a(i)}$ for any $i \in \Gamma_0$ and similar for the linear maps assigned to $h \in \Gamma_1$. For any dimension vector $\gamma \in \mathbb{N}^{\Gamma_0}$, and any representation V of dimension γ , let $a^*\gamma \in \mathbb{N}^{\Gamma_0}$ be the dimension vector of a^*V . Hence, we get an action of a on \mathbb{N}^{Γ_0} , and decomposes the latter into a -orbits. Our assumption on the order of a implies that each orbit is either free or a singleton. Furthermore, for each orbit we fix a system of isomorphisms $b : a^*\mathbb{C}^\gamma \cong \mathbb{C}^{a^*\gamma}$ of Γ_0 -graded vector spaces for each $\gamma \in \mathbb{N}^{\Gamma_0}$ so that $b^p = \mathrm{id}$. In particular, if γ is fixed by a , this gives an order- p linear automorphism b on \mathbb{C}^γ such that $a(V_i) = V_{a(i)}$ for each $i \in \Gamma_0$. Such a structure induces an action of b on $\sqcup_{\gamma \in \mathbb{N}^{\Gamma_0}} \mathrm{Rep}(\Gamma, \gamma)$ which is compatible with the action of a on \mathbb{N}^{Γ_0} . Furthermore, b

induces an isomorphism of groups by conjugation $\mathrm{GL}_\gamma \cong \mathrm{GL}_{a^*\gamma}$, which is compatible with their actions

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{GL}_\gamma & \xrightarrow{b} & \mathrm{GL}_{a^*\gamma} \\ \circlearrowleft & & \circlearrowleft \\ \mathrm{Rep}(\Gamma, \gamma) & \xrightarrow{b} & \mathrm{Rep}(\Gamma, a^*\gamma) \end{array}$$

Assume there is a subset $\Gamma''_0 \subset \Gamma_0$ closed under a , called the *frozen* vertices, with the complement $\Gamma'_0 \subseteq \Gamma_0$. When drawing a quiver, we draw the frozen vertices with \square and the unfrozen ones with \circ . We consider \mathbb{Q}^{Γ_0} as the space of stability conditions for $\mathrm{GL}_{\gamma'} := \prod_{i \in \Gamma'_0} \mathrm{GL}(V_i)$. Let $\theta \in \mathbb{Q}^{\Gamma_0}$ be a stability condition fixed by a . Then, we have an action of b on $(\coprod_{\gamma \in \mathbb{N}^{\Gamma_0}} \mathrm{Rep}(\Gamma, \gamma)^\theta) / \mathrm{GL}_{\gamma'}$. Note that this action is compatible with the action of a on \mathbb{N}^{Γ_0} and the action of $\mathrm{GL}_{\gamma''} := \prod_{i \in \Gamma''_0} \mathrm{GL}(V_i)$. As in the case of Nakajima quiver varieties, we will also consider the $\mathrm{GL}_{\gamma'}$ -quotients of closed subsets $N_\gamma \subseteq \mathrm{Rep}(\Gamma, \gamma)^\theta$ that are invariant under b . For simplicity, we fix $\gamma'' \in \mathbb{N}^{\Gamma''_0}$ invariant under a , and let $N(\gamma'') = \sqcup_{\gamma' \in \mathbb{N}^{\Gamma'_0}} N(\gamma', \gamma'')$ which is endowed with the action of $\mathrm{GL}_{\gamma''}$ and an equivariant projective morphism

$$N(\gamma'') \rightarrow \cup_{\gamma' \in \mathbb{N}^{\Gamma'_0}} \mathrm{Rep}(\Gamma, (\gamma', \gamma'')) / \mathrm{GL}_{\gamma'}.$$

Here \cup is taken in the same sense as [Nak01, § 2.5].

We say $\mathrm{Rep}(\Gamma, \gamma)$ (resp. $\mathrm{Rep}(\Gamma, \gamma)^\theta / \mathrm{GL}_{\gamma'}$ or N_γ) is an *even component* if γ is fixed by a and hence b induces an action of $\langle b \rangle = \mathbb{Z}/p$ on this component. We say $\mathrm{Rep}(\Gamma, \gamma)$ or resp. $\mathrm{Rep}(\Gamma, \gamma)^\theta / \mathrm{GL}_{\gamma'}$ or N_γ is an *uneven component* if γ is not fixed by a . In each of the cases, we write $|\mathrm{even}|$ for the union of the even components. In this case we have easily the following.

Lemma 4.1. *Notations and terminologies as above, assume $\mathrm{Rep}(\Gamma, \gamma)$ (resp. $\mathrm{Rep}(\Gamma, \gamma)^\theta / \mathrm{GL}_{\gamma'}$ or N_γ) is an uneven component, then b induces an action of $\langle b \rangle = \mathbb{Z}/p$ on $\sqcup_{v \in \langle a^* \rangle \gamma} \mathrm{Rep}(\Gamma, v)$ (resp. $\sqcup_{v \in \langle a^* \rangle \gamma} \mathrm{Rep}(\Gamma, v)^\theta / \mathrm{GL}_{\gamma'}$ or $\sqcup_{v \in \langle a^* \rangle \gamma} N_v$) which is a free action, where $\langle a^* \rangle \gamma = \{(a^*)^i \gamma \mid i = 0, 1, \dots, p-1\}$.*

Proof. Recall the b is compatible with the a -action on \mathbb{N}^{Γ_0} , which in turn is free in the case of uneven components. \square

We would like to consider the cohomological Hall algebras and the convolution algebras in the setting of a quiver with an automorphism, although again the former is not needed for the purpose of the present paper. For this, it suffices to notice that by the discussion above

$$\mathcal{H}_{\langle b \rangle}(\Gamma, a) := \oplus_{\gamma \in \mathbb{N}^{\Gamma_0} / a} A_{\langle b \rangle}^* \times_{\prod_{v \in \langle a^* \rangle \gamma} \mathrm{GL}_v} (\sqcup_{v \in \langle a^* \rangle \gamma} \mathrm{Rep}(\Gamma, v))$$

and the Hall multiplication is well-defined equivariantly. Here $\mathbb{N}^{\Gamma_0} / a$ consists of representatives of the a -orbits. So is the convolution product on

$$\mathcal{A}_{\langle b \rangle} := A_{\langle b \rangle}^* \times_{\mathrm{GL}_{\gamma''}} \left(N(\gamma'') \times_{(\sqcup_{\gamma' \in \mathbb{N}^{\Gamma'_0}} \mathrm{Rep}(\Gamma, (\gamma', \gamma''))^\theta / \mathrm{GL}_{\gamma'})} N(\gamma'') \right).$$

Definition 4.2. Notations as above, we call $\mathcal{H}_{\langle b \rangle}(\Gamma, a) \otimes_{A_{\langle b \rangle}^*(\mathrm{pt})} A_{\langle b \rangle}^*(\mathrm{pt})[\frac{1}{S}]$ (resp. $\mathcal{A}_{\langle b \rangle} \otimes_{A_{\langle b \rangle}^*(\mathrm{pt})} A_{\langle b \rangle}^*(\mathrm{pt})[\frac{1}{S}]$) endowed with the multiplication induced from that on $\mathcal{H}_{\langle b \rangle}(\Gamma, a)$ (resp. $\mathcal{A}_{\langle b \rangle}$) the cohomological Hall algebra (resp. the convolution algebra) of quiver with automorphisms.

The above definition is analogue of and motivated by Lusztig's construction of constructible Hall algebra of a quiver with automorphism.

Proposition 4.3. *Notations as above, the multiplications on $\mathcal{H}_{\langle b \rangle}(\Gamma, a)$ (resp. $\mathcal{A}_{\langle b \rangle}$) and $\mathcal{H}_{\langle b \rangle}(\Gamma, a) \otimes_{A_{\langle b \rangle}^*(\mathrm{pt})} A_{\langle b \rangle}^*(\mathrm{pt})[\frac{1}{S}]$ (resp. $\mathcal{A}_{\langle b \rangle} \otimes_{A_{\langle b \rangle}^*(\mathrm{pt})} A_{\langle b \rangle}^*(\mathrm{pt})[\frac{1}{S}]$) are associative. Furthermore, the natural map $\mathcal{H}_{\langle b \rangle}(\Gamma, a) \rightarrow$*

$\mathcal{H}_{\langle b \rangle}(\Gamma, a) \otimes_{A_{\langle b \rangle}^*(pt)} A_{\langle b \rangle}^*(pt)[\frac{1}{S}]$ (resp. $\mathcal{A}_{\langle b \rangle} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\langle b \rangle} \otimes_{A_{\langle b \rangle}^*(pt)} A_{\langle b \rangle}^*(pt)[\frac{1}{S}]$) is a map of associative algebras which sends the uneven components to zero.

Proof. One observes that in the proof of associativity in either case [CG10, § 2.7.18] (see also Proposition 2.1 for the statement in the present generality) and [YZ14, Theorem 4.1], the proof carries through $\langle b \rangle$ -equivariantly and hence defines $A_{\langle b \rangle}^*$ -algebras. Change of scalars with respect to the central subalgebra $A_{\langle b \rangle}^*$ preserves associativity.

The statement about uneven components follows directly from Lemmas 2.7 and 4.1. \square

Examples are to be given in § 7, where the algebra is identified explicitly.

4.2. Two examples. Let $Q = (I, H)$ be a quiver. Let μ_l be the set of l -th roots of 1 and $\zeta \in \mu_l$ be the primitive l -th root of unity.

We introduce two quivers with automorphism associated to $Q = (I, H)$. The first one is

$$(7) \quad Q_l = (I \times \mu_l, H_l, a),$$

where

- (1) the set of vertices of Q_l is $I_l = I \times \mu_l = \{(i, r) \mid i \in I, r \in \mu_l\}$,
- (2) the set of edges is $H_l = \{h_r : (i, r) \rightarrow (j, s) \mid \text{if there is an edge } i \xrightarrow{h} j \text{ in } H \text{ and } r = \zeta^{1+m(h)} s\}$, where $m(h) + 1$ is the weight of h .
- (3) the automorphism is given as $a : I_l \rightarrow I_l, (i, r) \mapsto (i, \zeta r)$, $a : H_l \rightarrow H_l, h_r \mapsto h_{\zeta r}$

The second quiver with automorphism is

$$Q \times \mu_l = (I \sqcup \cdots \sqcup I, H \sqcup \cdots \sqcup H, a),$$


where

- (1) the set of vertices of $Q \times \mu_l$ is $I \sqcup \cdots \sqcup I = I \times \mu_l = \{(i, r) \mid i \in I, r \in \mu_l\}$,
- (2) the set of edges is $H \sqcup \cdots \sqcup H = \{h_r : (i, r) \rightarrow (j, s) \mid \text{if there is an edge } i \xrightarrow{h} j \text{ in } H \text{ and } r = s\}$.
- (3) the automorphism is given as $a : (i, r) \mapsto (i, \zeta r)$, $a : h_r \mapsto h_{\zeta r}$

The following pictures illustrate the two quivers with automorphism. Let Q be the following quiver.

$$Q : \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & i & \xrightarrow{h} & j \\ & \circ & \longrightarrow & \circ \end{array}$$

We then have



Let $v = (v_{i,r})_{i \in I, r \in \mu_l} \in \mathbb{N}^{I \times \mu_l}$ be a dimension vector of Q_l . The dimension vector a^*v on the vertex $a(i, r) = (i, \zeta r)$ is given as $(a^*v)_{a(i,r)} := v_{i,r}$. For an $I \times \mu_l$ -graded vector space V with dimension vector v , let a^*V be the vector space with dimension vector a^*v . That is, a^*V is isomorphic to V as vector spaces, but it

has a different grading than that on V . For simplicity, we write V_ζ as the I -graded vector space obtained as the direct summand of V with the μ_I -component ζ .

The automorphism a gives the pullback

$$a^* : \text{Rep}(Q_I, v) \rightarrow \text{Rep}(Q_I, a^*v), (V_{\text{out}(h),r} \xrightarrow{x} V_{\text{in}(h),s}) \mapsto (a^*V_{\text{out}(h),r} \xrightarrow{x} a^*V_{\text{in}(h),s}).$$

4.3. Fixed point subvariety of the quiver variety. Let $\mathfrak{M}(w)$ be the Nakajima quiver variety defined in § 3.2. Assume the framing vector $w = (w^i)_{i \in I}$ is divisible by p , that is, $p|w^i$ for each $i \in I$. Fix a group homomorphism

$$(8) \quad \alpha : \mathbb{Z}/p \rightarrow \mathbf{G} = \mathbb{C}^* \times \text{GL}_w, \alpha(1) = (\zeta, g_0),$$

such that

- ζ is a primitive p -th root of 1,
- the eigenvalues of g_0 are all the p -th roots of 1 and each has multiplicity w/p .

One can write g_0 as the following diagonal matrix with id the identity matrix of size w/p

$$g_0 = \begin{bmatrix} \text{id} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \zeta \text{id} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \zeta^2 \text{id} & \cdots & 0 \\ & \vdots & & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \zeta^{p-1} \text{id} \end{bmatrix}$$

Let $x \in \mathfrak{M}(w)^{\text{im } \alpha}$. Take a representative $(B, i, j) \in \mu^{-1}(0)^s$ of x . We then have [Nak01, Section 4]

$$(9) \quad a \star (B, i, j) = \rho(a)^{-1} \cdot (B, i, j), \text{ for any } a \in \text{im } \alpha$$

The map $a \mapsto \rho(a)$ is a homomorphism as the action of GL_V on $\mu^{-1}(0)^s$ is free. Following [Nak01], we have the decomposition

$$\mathfrak{M}(w)^{\text{im } \alpha} = \bigsqcup_{\rho} \mathfrak{M}[\rho],$$

where ρ runs the set of homomorphisms $\text{im } \alpha \rightarrow \text{GL}(v)$ (with various v) and $\mathfrak{M}[\rho]$ is a union of connected components of $\mathfrak{M}(w)^{\text{im } \alpha}$. Note that $\mathfrak{M}[\rho]$ depends only on the $\text{GL}(v)$ -conjugacy class of ρ .

For the fixed homomorphism α (8), let

$$b = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \text{id} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \text{id} & \cdots & 0 \\ & \vdots & & & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \text{id} \\ \text{id} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

be the block matrix where id is the identity matrix with size w/p . In particular, b gives an identification

$$b : W_{\zeta^s} \xrightarrow{\cong} W_{\zeta^{s+1}}$$

of the g_0 -eigenspaces. The conjugation bg_0b^{-1} is given by ζg_0 , whose eigenvalues are obtained by a cyclic permutation of that of g_0 .

Let $\langle b \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}/p$ be the cyclic group generated by b . Then, $\langle b \rangle$ is a subgroup in the normalizer of $C(\text{im } \alpha) \subset \text{GL}_w \times \mathbb{C}^*$. Indeed, $C(\text{im } \alpha) = \prod_{s=0}^{p-1} (\text{GL}_{w/p}) \times \mathbb{C}^*$. The action of b on an element $(A, t) \in C(\text{im } \alpha)$ is given by

$$(bAb^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} A_1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & A_2 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & A_3 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & & & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & A_0 \end{bmatrix}, t), \text{ where } A = \begin{bmatrix} A_0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & A_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & A_2 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & & & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & A_{p-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

We now show there is an action of $\langle b \rangle \times C(\text{im } \alpha)$ on the fixed points $\mathfrak{M}(w)^{\text{im } \alpha}$.

Lemma 4.4. *Let $\mathbb{C}^* \subset \text{GL}_w, t \mapsto (t \text{id}_w)$ be the diagonal \mathbb{C}^* which lies in the center of GL_w . The action of this \mathbb{C}^* on $\mathfrak{M}(w)$ is trivial.*

Proof. For any $(B_h, i, j) \in \mathfrak{M}(w), t \in \mathbb{C}^*$, we have

$$t \star (B_h, i, j) = (B_h, t^{-1}i, tj) = (t^{-1} \text{id}_V) \cdot (B_h, i, j)$$

Thus, (B_h, i, j) is fixed under the action of t . \square

Lemma 4.5. *We have the equality $\mathfrak{M}(w)^{\text{im } \alpha} = \mathfrak{M}(w)^{\text{im } bab^{-1}}$.*

Proof. By Lemma 4.4, the action of ξid_w on $\mathfrak{M}(w)$ is trivial. The claim follows from the following identity

$$\mathfrak{M}(w)^{\text{im } bab^{-1}} = \mathfrak{M}(w)^{(\zeta, b g_0 b^{-1})} = \mathfrak{M}(w)^{(\zeta, \zeta g_0)} = \mathfrak{M}(w)^{(\zeta, g_0)} = \mathfrak{M}(w)^{\text{im } \alpha}.$$

\square

As a consequence, the action of $\langle b \rangle$ on $\mathfrak{M}(w)^{\text{im } \alpha}$ is given by

$$b : \mathfrak{M}(w)^{\text{im } \alpha} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}(w)^{\text{im } bab^{-1}} = \mathfrak{M}(w)^{\text{im } \alpha}.$$

By $C(\text{im } \alpha) = C(\text{im } bab^{-1})$ and Lemma 4.5, we have the following commutative diagram

$$(10) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} C(\text{im } \alpha) \times \mathfrak{M}(w)^{\text{im } \alpha} & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{M}(w)^{\text{im } \alpha} \text{ given by } \\ \downarrow (b(-)b^{-1}, b) & & \downarrow b \\ C(\text{im } \alpha) \times \mathfrak{M}(w)^{\text{im } \alpha} & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{M}(w)^{\text{im } \alpha} \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} (a, x) & \longmapsto & ax \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (bab^{-1}, bx) & \longmapsto & bax \end{array}$$

Thus, we have an action

$$(\langle b \rangle \times C(\text{im } \alpha)) \times \mathfrak{M}(w)^{\text{im } \alpha} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}(w)^{\text{im } \alpha}.$$

4.4. Cyclic quiver variety and the fixed point subvariety. In this section, we recall the *cyclic quiver variety* defined in [Nak04, Section 4]. Let Q be a quiver, and \overline{Q}^∇ the doubled framed quiver, we have the quiver with automorphism $(\overline{Q}^\nabla)_l$ as in (7). Let V, W be the $I \times \mu_l$ -graded vector spaces with dimension vectors $(v, w) = (v_{i,r}, w_{i,r})_{i \in I, r \in \mu_l}$. Denoted by $V_{i,r}$ its $i \times r$ -component. Define vector spaces

$$\begin{aligned} L^\bullet(V, W)^{[n]} &:= \oplus_{i \in I, r \in \mu_l} \text{Hom}(V_{i,r}, W_{i,r \zeta^n}) \\ E^\bullet(V, W)^{[n]} &:= \oplus_{h \in H, r \in \mu_l} \text{Hom}(V_{\text{out}(h), r}, W_{\text{in}(h), r \zeta^n}) \\ M^\bullet(V, W) &:= E^\bullet(V, V)^{[-1]} \oplus L^\bullet(W, V)^{[-1]} \oplus L^\bullet(V, W)^{[-1]}. \end{aligned}$$

Define the moment map $\mu_l : M^\bullet(V, W) \rightarrow L^\bullet(V, V)^{[-2]}$ by

$$(\mu_l)_{k,r}(B, i, j) \mapsto \sum_{\text{in}(h)=k} \epsilon(h) B_{h, r \zeta^{-1}} B_{h^*, \zeta} + i_{k, r \zeta^{-1}} j_{k,r},$$

where $(\mu_l)_{k,r}$ is the (k, r) -component of μ_l . The group $\prod_{k,r} \mathrm{GL}(V_{k,r})$ acts on M^\bullet by conjugation as follows

$$(B, i, j) \mapsto g \cdot (B, i, j) = (g_{\mathrm{in}(h),r} \zeta^{-1} B_{h,r} g_{\mathrm{out}(h),r}^{-1}, g_{k,a} \zeta^{-1} i_{k,r}, j_{k,r} g_{\mathrm{out}(h),r}^{-1}).$$

Define the cyclic quiver variety to be the quotient

$$\mathfrak{M}^{\mathrm{cyc}}(v, w) := (\mu_l)_{v,w}^{-1}(0)^s / \prod_{k,r} \mathrm{GL}(V_{k,r}).$$

Recall $\mathfrak{M}[\rho] \subset \mathfrak{M}^{\mathrm{im} \alpha}$. We regard V as an $\mathrm{im} \alpha$ -module via $\rho : \mathrm{im} \alpha \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V)$ and consider the weight space decomposition of V as

$$V = \bigoplus_s V_{\zeta^s}, \text{ where } V_{\zeta^s} := \{v \in V \mid \rho(1)v = \zeta^s v\}.$$

We say a component of $\mathfrak{M}[\rho]$ is an even component if

$$\dim(V_{\zeta^0}) = \dim(V_{\zeta^s}) = \cdots = \dim(V_{\zeta^{p-1}}).$$

The following is basically from [Nak01, § 4.1], which we briefly review so that we can keep track of the quiver automorphism.

Proposition 4.6. (1). *There is an isomorphism of varieties*

$$\mathfrak{M}^{\mathrm{im} \alpha} \cong \mathfrak{M}^{\mathrm{cyc}}(v, w).$$

Furthermore, the above isomorphism commutes with the actions of $C(\mathrm{im} \alpha) \cong \mathrm{GL}(w) \times \mathbb{C}^*$, where $C(\mathrm{im} \alpha) \subset \prod_i \mathrm{GL}(\bigoplus_{r \in \mu_i} W_{i,r}) \times \mathbb{C}^*$ is the centralizer of $\mathrm{im} \alpha$ and $\mathrm{GL}(w) = \prod_{i,r} \mathrm{GL}(W_{i,r})$, and sends an even component on one side to an even component on the other side and hence induces $\mathfrak{M}^{\mathrm{im} \alpha}|_{\mathrm{even}} \cong \mathfrak{M}^{\mathrm{cyc}}(v, w)|_{\mathrm{even}}$.

(2). *The isomorphism $\mathfrak{M}^{\mathrm{im} \alpha} \cong \mathfrak{M}^{\mathrm{cyc}}(v, w)$ is compatible with the $\langle b \rangle$ -actions on both sides.*

Here recall that $\mathfrak{M}^{\mathrm{im} \alpha}|_{\mathrm{even}}$ is the union of all the even components of $\mathfrak{M}^{\mathrm{im} \alpha}$.

Proof. We prove (1). Recall we have the decomposition $\mathfrak{M}(w)^{\mathrm{im} \alpha} = \coprod_{\rho} \mathfrak{M}[\rho]$. Take a representative $(B, i, j) \in \mu^{-1}(0)^s$ of $x \in \mathfrak{M}[\rho]$. Let


$$W = \bigoplus_s W_{\zeta^s}, \text{ where } W_{\zeta^s} := \{w \in W \mid g_0 w = \zeta^s w\}.$$

be the weight space decomposition of W under the action of $\mathrm{im} \alpha$.

We have the decomposition of V as

$$V = \bigoplus_s V_{\zeta^s}, \text{ where } V_{\zeta^s} := \{v \in V \mid \rho(1)v = \zeta^s v\}.$$

The triple (B, i, j) satisfies (9) if and only if i maps W_{ζ^s} to $V_{\zeta^{s-1}}$, j maps V_{ζ^s} to $W_{\zeta^{s-1}}$, and B_h maps $V_{\zeta^s}^{\mathrm{out}(h)}$ to $V_{\zeta^{s-\mathrm{in}(h)+1}}^{\mathrm{in}(h)}$. We illustrate this condition (of i, j) in the following picture.



As a consequence, the restriction of (B, i, j) on the weight spaces gives an element in $\mathfrak{M}^{\mathrm{cyc}}(v, w)$.

Now we prove (2). We analyze the quiver automorphism a and the action of b on $\mathfrak{M}(w)^{\mathrm{im} \alpha}$. For $b \in \mathrm{GL}(W)$, the action of b on $(B_h, i, j) \in \mathfrak{M}[\rho]$ is given as

$$b \star (B_h, i, j) = (B_h, i \circ b^{-1}, b \circ j) = b_V \cdot (B_h, i \circ b^{-1}, b \circ j) = (b_V B_h b_V^{-1}, b_V i b_V^{-1}, b_V j b_V^{-1}),$$

where $b_V : \bigoplus_{s=0}^{p-1} V_{\zeta^s} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{s=0}^{p-1} V_{\zeta^{s+1}}$ is the cyclic permutation

$$b_V = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \text{id}_{V_\zeta} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \text{id}_{V_{\zeta^2}} & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \text{id}_{V_{\zeta^{p-1}}} \\ \text{id}_{V_1} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

which permutes the direct summands as follows.

$$\begin{array}{c} V_1 \oplus V_\zeta \oplus \cdots \oplus V_{\zeta^{p-1}} \\ \swarrow \quad \searrow \quad \swarrow \quad \searrow \\ V_\zeta \oplus V_{\zeta^2} \oplus \cdots \oplus V_1 \end{array}$$

For the morphism $i : \bigoplus_{s=0}^{p-1} W_{\zeta^s} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{s=0}^{p-1} V_{\zeta^s}$ such that $i(W_{\zeta^s}) \subset V_{\zeta^{s-1}}$. The conjugation $b_V i b^{-1}$ is given as

$$\begin{array}{c} W_\zeta \oplus W_{\zeta^2} \oplus \cdots \oplus W_{\zeta^{p-1}} \\ \swarrow \quad \searrow \quad \swarrow \quad \searrow \\ W_1 \oplus W_\zeta \oplus \cdots \oplus W_{\zeta^{p-1}} \\ \swarrow \quad \searrow \quad \swarrow \quad \searrow \\ V_1 \oplus V_\zeta \oplus \cdots \oplus V_{\zeta^{p-1}} \\ \swarrow \quad \searrow \quad \swarrow \quad \searrow \\ V_\zeta \oplus V_{\zeta^2} \oplus \cdots \oplus V_1 \end{array}$$

b^{-1} (between top two rows), i (between middle two rows), b_V (between bottom two rows)

Therefore, we have $b_V i b^{-1} = a^*(i)$. Similar equality holds for j and B_h . Therefore, we have, $a^*(B_h, i, j) = b \star (B_h, i, j)$. \square

4.5. Quiver varieties associated to $(\overline{Q^\vee})_l$ and $\overline{Q^\vee} \times \mu_l$. In this subsection, we compare the cyclic quiver varieties associated to the two quivers with automorphisms from Example 4.2.

In $\mathfrak{M}_{(\overline{Q^\vee})_l}^{\text{cyc}}(w)$, we consider the following locus consisting of those $(B_h, i, j) = ((B_h)_s, i_s, j_s)_{s=0, \dots, p-1}$ with

$$(B_h)_s : V_{\zeta^s}^{\text{out}(h)} \rightarrow V_{\zeta^{s-(m(h)+1)}}, i_s : W_{\zeta^s}^{(k)} \rightarrow V_{\zeta^{s-1}}^{(k)}, j_s : V_{\zeta^s}^{(k)} \rightarrow W_{\zeta^{s-1}}^{(k)},$$

satisfy the commutative diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} V_{\zeta^s}^{\text{out}(h)} & \xrightarrow{(B_h)_s} & V_{\zeta^{s-(m(h)+1)}}, & W_{\zeta^s}^{(k)} & \xrightarrow{i_s} & V_{\zeta^{s-1}}^{(k)} & & V_{\zeta^s}^{(k)} & \xrightarrow{j_s} & W_{\zeta^{s-1}}^{(k)} \\ \downarrow b & & \downarrow b & \downarrow b & & \downarrow b & & \downarrow b & & \downarrow b \\ V_{\zeta^{s+1}}^{\text{out}(h)} & \xrightarrow{(B_h)_{s+1}} & V_{\zeta^{s-m(h)}} & W_{\zeta^{s+1}}^{(k)} & \xrightarrow{i_{s+1}} & V_{\zeta^s}^{(k)} & & V_{\zeta^{s+1}}^{(k)} & \xrightarrow{j_{s+1}} & W_{\zeta^s}^{(k)} \end{array}$$

We denote this locus by $\mathfrak{M}_{(\overline{Q^\vee})_l}^{\text{cyc}}(w)|_\Delta$. In other words, it is the $\langle b \rangle$ -fixed points. It is straightforward to verify that the diagonal $\text{GL}(w/p) \subset \prod_p \text{GL}(w/p)$ and \mathbb{C}^* act on $\mathfrak{M}_{(\overline{Q^\vee})_l}^{\text{cyc}}(w)|_\Delta$.

It is clear that $\mathfrak{M}_{\overline{Q^\vee} \times \mu_l}^{\text{cyc}}(w) \cong \prod_p \mathfrak{M}(w/p)$. There is an diagonal embedding $\mathfrak{M}(w/p) \xrightarrow{\Delta} \prod_p \mathfrak{M}(w/p)$.

Proposition 4.7. (1) *We have*

$$\mathfrak{M}_{(\overline{Q^\vee})_l}^{\text{cyc}}(w)|_\Delta \cong \mathfrak{M}_{\overline{Q^\vee} \times \mu_l}^{\text{cyc}}(w)|_\Delta \cong \mathfrak{M}(w/p).$$

(2) *The above isomorphisms are compatible with the action of $\text{GL}(w/p) \times \mathbb{C}^*$.*

Proof. We prove (1). Define a map

$$\mathfrak{M}_{(\mathcal{Q}^\circ)_l}^{\text{cyc}}(w)|_\Delta \rightarrow \prod_p \mathfrak{M}(w/p)|_\Delta.$$

by assigning to $((B_h)_s, i_s, j_s)$ the following compositions

$$(11) \quad V_{\zeta^{s+1}}^{(k)} \xrightarrow{j_{s+1}} W_{\zeta^s}^{(k)}, \quad W_{\zeta^s}^{(k)} \xrightarrow{i_s} V_{\zeta^{s-1}}^{(k)} \xrightarrow{b^2} V_{\zeta^{s+1}}^{(k)}, \quad V_{\zeta^{s+1}}^{(\text{out}(h))} \xrightarrow{(B_h)_{s+1}} V_{\zeta^{s-m(h)}}^{(\text{in}(h))} \xrightarrow{b^{m(h)+1}} V_{\zeta^{s+1}}^{(\text{in}(h))}.$$

It is straightforward to see that this gives an isomorphism.

Now we prove (2). Recall the action of $\text{GL}(w/p) \times \mathbb{C}^*$ on $\mathfrak{M}_{(\mathcal{Q}^\circ)_l}^{\text{cyc}}(w)$ is given as

$$(B_h, i, j) \mapsto (t^{m(h)+1} B_h, tig, tg^{-1} j), \text{ where } (g, t) \in \text{GL}(w/p) \times \mathbb{C}^*.$$

We have the following diagram of the actions

$$\begin{array}{ccc} ((g, t), (B_h, i, j)) & \longmapsto & ((g, t), (b^{m(h)+1} B_h, b^2 i, j)) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (t^{m(h)+1} B_h, tig, tg^{-1} j) & \longmapsto & (t^{m(h)+1} b^{m(h)+1} B_h, tb^2 ig, tg^{-1} j) \end{array}$$

As a consequence, the isomorphism in (1) is compatible with respect to the $\text{GL}(w/p) \times \mathbb{C}^*$ action. \square

4.6. Restriction to the diagonal. Let $i_\Delta : \mathfrak{M}(w/p) \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}(w)^{\text{im } \alpha}$ be the embedding, obtained via composing the isomorphism from Proposition 4.7 with the embedding $\mathfrak{M}(w)^{\text{im } \alpha}|_\Delta \subseteq \mathfrak{M}(w)^{\text{im } \alpha}$, which without causing confusions we also refer to as the diagonal embedding. Let H be a finite subgroup of $\text{GL}(w/p) \times \mathbb{C}^*$. Note that the action of H on $\mathfrak{M}(w)^{\text{im } \alpha}$ comes from the composition of the isomorphism in Proposition 4.7 and that in Proposition 4.6. Explicitly this comes from the diagonal embedding of $\text{GL}(w/p) \times \mathbb{C}^* \hookrightarrow \text{GL}(w) \times \mathbb{C}^*$ with image landing in $C(\text{im } \alpha) \cong \prod_p \text{GL}(w/p) \times \mathbb{C}^*$. Recall there is an action of $\langle b \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}/p$ on $\mathfrak{M}(w)^{\text{im } \alpha}$.

Lemma 4.8. *The action of $\langle b \rangle$ is free on the complement of $\mathfrak{M}(w)^{\text{im } \alpha}|_\Delta$ in $\mathfrak{M}(w)^{\text{im } \alpha}$, denoted by $\mathfrak{M}(w)^{\text{im } \alpha} \setminus \Delta$. In particular,*

- (1) *pulling back $i_\Delta^* : E_{\langle b \rangle \times H}^*(\mathfrak{M}(w)^{\text{im } \alpha}) \rightarrow E_{\langle b \rangle \times H}^*(\mathfrak{M}(w)^{\text{im } \alpha}|_\Delta)$ becomes an isomorphism after applying $-\otimes_{E_{\langle b \rangle}^*} \Phi_1$;*
- (2) *similarly, $i_\Delta^* : E_{\langle b \rangle \times H}^*(Z(w)^{\text{im } \alpha}) \rightarrow E_{\langle b \rangle \times H}^*(Z(w)^{\text{im } \alpha}|_\Delta)$ becomes an isomorphism after applying $-\otimes_{E_{\langle b \rangle}^*} \Phi_1$;*
- (3) *the Euler class of the normal bundle of the embedding $\mathfrak{M}(w)^{\text{im } \alpha}|_\Delta \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{M}(w)^{\text{im } \alpha}$ becomes invertible after applying $-\otimes_{E_{\langle b \rangle}^*} \Phi_1^L$.*

Proof. It is clear that $\langle b \rangle$ -acts freely on $\mathfrak{M}(w)^{\text{im } \alpha} \setminus \Delta$. We then use the localizing exact sequence on cohomology

$$\cdots \rightarrow E_{\langle b \rangle \times H}^{*-1}(\mathfrak{M}(w)^{\text{im } \alpha}|_\Delta) \rightarrow E_{\langle b \rangle \times H}^*(\mathfrak{M}(w)^{\text{im } \alpha} \setminus \Delta) \rightarrow E_{\langle b \rangle \times H}^*(\mathfrak{M}(w)^{\text{im } \alpha}) \rightarrow E_{\langle b \rangle \times H}^*(\mathfrak{M}(w)^{\text{im } \alpha}|_\Delta) \rightarrow \cdots.$$

By Lemma 2.7, the term $E_{\langle b \rangle \times H}^*(\mathfrak{M}(w)^{\text{im } \alpha} \setminus \Delta)$ vanishes when applying $-\otimes_{E_{\langle b \rangle}^*} \Phi_1$. Hence we are done.

The statement (2) about $Z(w)$ is similar. The statement (3) about Euler class of the normal bundle is a direct consequence of Lemma 2.9. \square

5. THE QUANTUM FROBENIUS MAPS

In this section, we show that the transchromatic character map of [HKR00, St11], modified by the Euler class of a normal bundle, defines an algebra homomorphism between the convolution algebras. This is the quantum Frobenius homomorphism in the title of the present paper.

5.1. Group homomorphisms. Recall $\Lambda_t = (\mathbb{Z}/p)^t$. Let us consider a group homomorphism

$$\alpha : \Lambda_t \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(W) \times \mathbb{C}^*$$

satisfying the following conditions. Write $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_t)$ where $\alpha_i : \mathbb{Z}/p \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(W) \times \mathbb{C}^*$, $1 \mapsto (g_i, \zeta)$ for $i = 1, \dots, t$. Assuming $p^t | w$, the g_1 -eigenspace decomposition of $W = W_1 \oplus \dots \oplus W_p$ is so that the eigenspaces are isomorphic as I -graded vector spaces, and hence we fix such an isomorphism $b_1 : W_i \cong W_{i+1}$. Similarly, we assume that g_2 -eigenspace on each of W_i have the same property, with a fixed operator b_2 . We continue this pattern. In other words, b_k is the cyclic permutation of the eigenspaces of g_k and the order of b_k is p , for $1 \leq k \leq t$. For $1 \leq i \neq j \leq t$, b_i, b_j commute. Let $W = \bigoplus_{1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_k, \dots, i_t \leq p} W_{i_1, \dots, i_k, \dots, i_t}$ be the common eigenspace decomposition of (g_1, \dots, g_t) . Under the above assumption, we have the following operators b_1, \dots, b_t in $\mathrm{GL}(W)$, such that $b_k(W_{i_1, \dots, i_k, \dots, i_t}) \subseteq W_{i_1, \dots, i_{k+1}, \dots, i_t}$, $k = 1, \dots, t$. Let $\langle b \rangle$ be the abelian subgroup generated by b_1, \dots, b_t . We then have

$$\langle b \rangle = \langle b_1 \rangle \oplus \langle b_2 \rangle \oplus \dots \oplus \langle b_t \rangle \cong (\mathbb{Z}/p)^{\oplus t}.$$

For each k , $\langle b_k \rangle$ acts on $(\mathbb{Z}/p)^p$ by cyclic permutation and it induces the following morphism

$$\langle b_k \rangle \wr \alpha_k := \langle b_k \rangle \ltimes (\alpha_k \oplus b_k \alpha_k b_k^{-1} \oplus \dots \oplus b_k^{p-1} \alpha_k b_k^{-p+1}) : \langle b_k \rangle \ltimes (\mathbb{Z}/p)^{\oplus p} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_w \times \mathbb{C}^*.$$

When $k \neq l$, we have $b_k \alpha_l b_k^{-1} = \alpha_l$. Therefore, we have the following morphism

$$\langle b \rangle \wr \alpha := (\langle b_1 \rangle \wr \alpha_1) \times \dots \times (\langle b_t \rangle \wr \alpha_t) : \langle b \rangle \ltimes ((\mathbb{Z}/p)^p)^t \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_w \times \mathbb{C}^*.$$

The centralizer $C(\mathrm{im} \alpha)$ is isomorphic to $\prod_{p'} \mathrm{GL}(w/p^t) \times \mathbb{C}^*$. Let $H \subseteq \mathrm{GL}(w/p^t) \times \mathbb{C}^*$ be any finite subgroup. We similarly have

$$\langle b \rangle \wr (\alpha \times H) := \langle b \rangle \ltimes ((\alpha \times H) \times b(\alpha \times H)b^{-1} \times \dots \times \dots \times b^{p-1}(\alpha \times H)b^{-p+1}).$$

It is clear that there is a group homomorphism

$$(12) \quad \langle b \rangle \wr (\alpha \times H) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(w) \times \mathbb{C}^*.$$

In $((\mathbb{Z}/p)^p)^t \cong (\Lambda_t)^p$, we take a diagonal subgroup Λ_t , such that $\langle b \rangle$ acts trivially on Λ_t . Let $\Delta(\alpha) : \Lambda_t \subset ((\mathbb{Z}/p)^p)^t \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_w \times \mathbb{C}^*$ be the group homomorphism. We have the equality

$$Z(w)^{\mathrm{im} b^j \alpha b^{-i}} = Z(w)^{\mathrm{im} \alpha} = Z(w)^{\mathrm{im} \Delta(\alpha)}, i = 0, \dots, p-1.$$

By the diagram (10), we have an action of $\langle b \rangle \wr (\alpha \times H)$ on $Z(w)^{\mathrm{im} \alpha}$. It restricts to an action of the subgroup $\langle b \rangle \times \Delta(\alpha) \times \Delta(H) \cong \langle b \rangle \times \Lambda_t \times H$ of $\langle b \rangle \wr (\alpha \times H)$. We make the following conventions. For $\alpha : A \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{w_1}$ and $\beta : A \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{w_2}$, we write $\alpha \times \beta : A \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{w_1+w_2}$ the composition of $\alpha \times \beta : A \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{w_1} \times \mathrm{GL}_{w_2}$ with the inclusion $\mathrm{GL}_{w_1} \times \mathrm{GL}_{w_2} \hookrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{w_1+w_2}$. Similarly when A and B are both abelian groups and $\alpha : A \rightarrow G$ and $\beta : B \rightarrow G$, we write $\alpha \oplus \beta : A \oplus B \rightarrow G$.

5.2. Restriction to diagonal. Assume $p^t | w$. Let $\alpha : \Lambda_t \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_w \times \mathbb{C}^*$ be a group homomorphism as above. We have as in Lemma 4.8 the diagonal embedding $\mathfrak{M}(w/p) \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{M}^{\mathrm{im} \alpha_1}$. By assumption of α , we have α_2 being obtained from a map $\mathbb{Z}/p \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{w/p} \times \mathbb{C}^*$, which without causing confusions is still denoted by α_2 . In particular, we have $\mathfrak{M}(w/p^2) \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{M}(w/p)^{\mathrm{im} \alpha_2}$. Composition of the two diagonal embeddings gives us $i_\Delta : \mathfrak{M}(w/p^2) \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{M}(w)^{\mathrm{im} \alpha_1 \oplus \alpha_2}$.

In general repeating this process we get $\mathfrak{M}(w)^{\mathrm{im} \alpha}|_\Delta \cong \mathfrak{M}(w/p^t)$ and the embedding $i_\Delta : \mathfrak{M}(w/p^t) \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{M}(w)^{\mathrm{im} \alpha}$. Let H be a finite subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}(w/p^t) \times \mathbb{C}^*$, embedded into $C(\mathrm{im} \alpha) \cong \prod_{p'} \mathrm{GL}(w/p^t) \times \mathbb{C}^*$ diagonally as in § 5.1. Note that by construction the conjugation action of $\langle b \rangle \cong (\mathbb{Z}/p)^t$ is trivial on H and hence the actions of $\langle b \rangle$ and H commute on $\mathfrak{M}(w)^{\mathrm{im} \alpha}$.

Lemma 5.1. *Let $i_\Delta : \mathfrak{M}(w/p^t) \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{M}(w)^{\mathrm{im} \alpha}$ be the diagonal embedding, which is $\langle b \rangle \times \Delta(\alpha) \times H$ -equivariant. Then,*

- (1) pulling back $i_\Delta^* : E_{\langle b \rangle \times \Delta(\alpha) \times H}^*(\mathfrak{M}(w)^{\text{im } \alpha}) \rightarrow E_{\langle b \rangle \times \Delta(\alpha) \times H}^*(\mathfrak{M}(w)^{\text{im } \alpha}|_\Delta)$ becomes an isomorphism after applying $-\otimes_{E_{\langle b \rangle}^*} \Phi_t$;
- (2) similarly, $i_\Delta^* : E_{\langle b \rangle \times \Delta(\alpha) \times H}^*(Z(w)^{\text{im } \alpha}) \rightarrow E_{\langle b \rangle \times \Delta(\alpha) \times H}^*(Z(w)^{\text{im } \alpha}|_\Delta)$ becomes an isomorphism after applying $-\otimes_{E_{\langle b \rangle}^*} \Phi_t$;
- (3) the Euler class of the normal bundle of the embedding $\mathfrak{M}(w)^{\text{im } \alpha}|_\Delta \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{M}(w)^{\text{im } \alpha}$ becomes invertible after applying $-\otimes_{E_{\langle b \rangle}^*} \Phi_t^L$.

Proof. We use Lemma 4.8 inductively. Without loss of generality, we show this for $t = 2$. Note that $-\otimes_{E_{(\mathbb{Z}/p)^2}^*} \Phi_2$ is a localization, which can be done in two steps, inverting c_1 of non-trivial representations of the second \mathbb{Z}/p -factor, and then those of the first \mathbb{Z}/p -factor. We factor i_Δ into $i_{\Delta_2} : \mathfrak{M}(w/p) \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{M}^{\text{im } \alpha_1}$ and $i_{\Delta_1} : \mathfrak{M}(w/p^2) \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{M}(w/p)^{\text{im } \alpha_1 \oplus \alpha_2}$. Pulling back via Δ_2 is an isomorphism when inverting c_1 of non-trivial representations of the second \mathbb{Z}/p -factor, and that of Δ_1 is an isomorphism when inverting c_1 of non-trivial representations of the first \mathbb{Z}/p -factor.

To show the statement of the normal bundle, note that the normal bundle of i_Δ is an extension of the normal bundle of i_{Δ_1} by that of i_{Δ_2} and hence its Euler class is the product that of i_{Δ_1} and i_{Δ_2} . Each individual factor is invertible by Lemma 4.8. \square

To summarize, we have the following diagram of equivariance

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\langle b \rangle \times \Delta(\alpha) \times H & \subset & \langle b \rangle \wr (\alpha \times H) & \subset & \mathbf{G} \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\mathfrak{M}(w/p^t) & \hookrightarrow & \mathfrak{M}(w)^{\text{im } \alpha} & \hookrightarrow & \mathfrak{M}
\end{array}$$

5.3. Coefficients. We de-clutter and de-clash notations here. Let E^* be the base ring the E_n^* -theory. Let $L(E_n^*)$ be the construction of [HKR00] via localization of $E^*(B\Lambda_n)$. We avoid using L for Bousfield localization, instead, let $E_{K_{n-t}}$ be the localization of E_n^* with respect to the Morava K-theory K_{n-t} . We then have ring homomorphisms $E_n^* \rightarrow (E_n^*)_{K_{n-t}} \rightarrow C_{n-t}^*$ by definition. Recall that [St11, Proposition 2.17] with the unique maximal ideal of E_n^0 contained in $I_t \subset E_{K_{n-t}}$, the ring homomorphism $E_{K_{n-t}}/I_t \rightarrow C_{n-t}/I_t$ is faithfully flat. Hence, the unique maximal ideal of E_n^0 can be lifted (although non-uniquely in general) to a maximal ideal of C_{n-t}^0 . Let $C_{n-t}^{\wedge*}$ be the completion of C_{n-t}^* at any one of such maximal ideals. Here the purpose of the completion is so that $C_{n-t}^{\wedge*}$ satisfies Assumption 2.1 of height $n-t$. Similar to $L(E_n^*)$, we also have $L(C_{n-t}^{\wedge*})$.

Let $L_{K_{n-t}E}$ be the base-change of $L(E_n^*)$ from E_n to $E_{K_{n-t}}$, so that $L_{K_{n-t}E}$ is a ring over $E_{K_{n-t}}$ and the formal group law of E_n base-changed to $L_{K_{n-t}E}$ is constant $(\mathbb{Z}/p)^n$. On the other hand, the ring homomorphism $E_{K_{n-t}} \rightarrow C_{n-t}$ is universal with respect to the property that the formal group of E_n base-changed to a sum of $(\mathbb{Z}/p)^t$ with a formal group of height $n-t$. Hence, the map $E_{K_{n-t}} \rightarrow L_{K_{n-t}E}$ factors through C_{n-t} . The height- $(n-t)$ factor on C_{n-t} when base-changed to $L_{K_{n-t}E}$ becomes constant $(\mathbb{Z}/p)^{n-t}$. The universal property of $L(C_{n-t}^{\wedge*})$ then implies that the base-change of $L_{K_{n-t}E}$ to $C_{n-t}^{\wedge*}$ is isomorphic to $L(C_{n-t}^{\wedge*})$. The above is summarized in the commutative diagram.

$$(13) \quad \begin{array}{ccc}
C_{n-t}^{\wedge*} & \longrightarrow & L(C_{n-t}^{\wedge*}) \\
\uparrow & & \uparrow \\
C_{n-t} & \longrightarrow & L_{K_{n-t}E} \\
\uparrow & \nearrow & \uparrow \\
E_{K_{n-t}} & & \\
\uparrow & & \uparrow \\
E_n & \longrightarrow & L(E_n^*)
\end{array}$$

Let us consider $E_{\langle b \rangle \times \Lambda_t \times H}^*$ for a finite group H as in § 5.2. Recall that we have ring homomorphisms $S_\Lambda^{-1} E_{\Lambda_t}^* \rightarrow C_{n-t}^*$ as well as $S_b^{-1} E_{\langle b \rangle}^* \rightarrow \Phi_t^E$. Recall Lemma 2.11 implies that after inverting S_Λ the $\Lambda_t \times \langle b \rangle$ -equivariant c_1 of non-trivial Λ_t -representations are invertible; similar for S_b . Hence, we obtain

$$S_\Lambda^{-1} S_b^{-1} E_{\Lambda_t \times \langle b \rangle}^* \cong S_\Lambda^{-1} E_{\Lambda_t}^* \otimes_{E^0} S_b^{-1} E_{\langle b \rangle}^* \rightarrow C_{n-t}^0 \otimes_{E^0} S_b^{-1} E_{\langle b \rangle}^* \rightarrow L_{K_{n-t}E} \otimes_{E^0} S_b^{-1} E_{\langle b \rangle}^* \cong \Phi_t^{L_{K_{n-t}E}}.$$

More generally, for any finite HCW -complex X with trivial $\Lambda_t \times \langle b \rangle$ -action, we then have

$$(14) \quad E_{\Lambda_t \times \langle b \rangle \times H}^*(X) \rightarrow S_\Lambda^{-1} S_b^{-1} E_{\Lambda_t \times \langle b \rangle \times H}^*(X) \rightarrow \Phi_t^{L_{K_{n-t}E}} \otimes_{C_{n-t}^0} C_{n-t,H}^*(X).$$

5.4. Definition of Frobenii. The morphism $\text{Fr}_{n,n-t}$ is defined to be the composition of the following sequence of morphisms.

(a) The change of group morphism

$$E_G^*(Z) \rightarrow E_{\langle b \rangle \wr (\alpha \times H)}^*(Z).$$

(b) Let $i : Z^{\text{im } \alpha}|_\Delta \hookrightarrow Z$ be embedding of the fixed point set of $\text{im } \alpha$ -action. The pullback

$$i^* : E_{\langle b \rangle \wr (\alpha \times H)}^*(Z) \rightarrow E_{\langle b \rangle \times \Lambda_t \times H}^*(Z^{\text{im } \alpha}|_\Delta) \cong E_{\langle b \rangle \times \Lambda_t \times H}^*(Z(w/p^t)).$$

(c) The natural localization maps

$$E_{\langle b \rangle \times \Lambda_t \times H}^*(Z^{\text{im } \alpha}|_\Delta) \rightarrow S_\Lambda^{-1} S_b^{-1} E_{\langle b \rangle \times \Lambda_t \times H}^*(Z^{\text{im } \alpha}|_\Delta) \rightarrow \Phi_t^{L_{K_{n-t}E}} \otimes_{C_{n-t}^0} C_{n-t,H}^*(Z(\frac{w}{p^t})).$$

(d) Let N be the normal bundle of the embedding $i : \mathfrak{M}(w)^{\text{im } \alpha}|_\Delta \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{M}(w)$ with equivariant Euler class denoted by e . Multiplication by $1 \boxtimes \frac{1}{e}$

$$1 \boxtimes \frac{1}{e} : \Phi_t^{L_{K_{n-t}E}} \otimes_{C_{n-t}^0} C_{n-t,H}^*(Z(\frac{w}{p^t})) \rightarrow \Phi_t^{L_{K_{n-t}E}} \otimes_{C_{n-t}^0} C_{n-t,H}^*(Z(\frac{w}{p^t})).$$

We assemble all the discussions so far into the following.

Theorem 5.2. *The morphism $\text{Fr}_{n,n-t}$ is an algebra homomorphism.*

Proof. First of all, we factor the maps in (b) and (c) above into two steps each. Note that the embedding $i : Z(w)^{\text{im } \alpha}|_\Delta \hookrightarrow Z(w)$ factors as $i_\alpha : Z^{\text{im } \alpha} \hookrightarrow Z(w)$ and $i_\Delta : Z^{\text{im } \alpha}|_\Delta \rightarrow Z^{\text{im } \alpha}$. The normal bundle of i is an extension that of i_α by that of i_Δ , and hence its Euler class is a product that of the two individual factors $e = e_\Delta \cdot e_\alpha$.

We have $\frac{1}{e_\alpha} i_\alpha^* : E_{\langle b \rangle \times \Delta(\alpha) \times H}^*(Z(w)) \rightarrow E_{\langle b \rangle \times \Delta(\alpha) \times H}^*(Z(w)^{\text{im } \alpha}) \otimes_{E_{\Lambda_t}} L(E^*) \cong E_{\langle b \rangle \times H}^*(Z(w)^{\text{im } \alpha}) \otimes_{E^0} L(E^*)$ from Proposition 2.13, which is an algebra homomorphism.

Now we have the diagonal pullback divided by e_Δ , which exists thanks to Lemma 5.1

$$\frac{1}{e_\Delta} i_\Delta^* : E_{\langle b \rangle \times H}^*(Z(w)^{\text{im } \alpha}) \otimes_{E_{\langle b \rangle}^*} \Phi_t^{L(E^*)} \rightarrow E_{\langle b \rangle \times H}^*(Z(w)^{\text{im } \alpha}|_\Delta) \otimes_{E_{\langle b \rangle}^*} \Phi_t^{L(E^*)}.$$

The algebra structures on $E_{\langle b \rangle \times H}^*(Z(w)^{\text{im } \alpha})$ and $E_{\langle b \rangle \times H}^*(Z(w)^{\text{im } \alpha}|_\Delta)$ are both given by convolution. The same calculation (with M_t replaced by $\mathfrak{M}(w)^{\text{im } \alpha}$ and $M_t^{A_1}$ replaced by $\mathfrak{M}(w)^{\text{im } \alpha}|_\Delta$) as in the proof of Proposition 2.13 now yields that this is also an algebra homomorphism.

Base-change from E^0 to $E_{K_{n-t}}$, the discussion from § 5.3 then gives an algebra homomorphism

$$E_{\langle b \rangle \times H}^*(Z(w)^{\text{im } \alpha}|_\Delta) \otimes_{E_{\langle b \rangle}^*} \Phi_t^{L(E^*)} \rightarrow \Phi_t^{L_{K_{n-t}E}} \otimes_{C_{n-t}^0} C_{n-t,H}^*(Z(\frac{w}{p^t})).$$

The map $\text{Fr}_{n,n-t}$ then is the composition of (a) with the three algebra homomorphisms above. \square

In what follows, we also use the terminology Frobenius for variants of the above map, when the domain is modified with \mathbb{G} replaced by a finite subgroup G with $\langle b \rangle \wr (\alpha \times H) \subseteq G \subseteq \mathbb{G}$, or when the target is further scalar changed along any ring homomorphism $\Phi_t^{L_{K_{n-t}E}} \rightarrow R$. Starting from § 8 we consider representations over a field \mathbb{F} which factors through $\Phi_t^{L_{C_{n-t}^{\wedge^*}}}$.

Definition 5.3. Let $G \subset \mathrm{GL}_w \times \mathbb{C}^*$ be a subgroup. Let \mathbb{F} be a field of characteristic zero endowed with a map from $E_G^*(\mathrm{pt})$. Define

$$U_w^n(G, \mathbb{F}) \subset \mathbb{F} \otimes_{E_G^*(\mathrm{pt})} E_{n, \mathrm{GL}_w \times \mathbb{C}^*}^*(Z(w))$$

to be the subalgebra generated by the Chern classes of the tautological vector bundles $\mathcal{V}_k^{(n)} \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}(v, v+n\alpha_k, w)$ and $\mathcal{V}_k^{(n), \mathrm{op}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}(v+n\alpha_k, v, w)$ where n varies in \mathbb{N} and k varies in I .

Let

$$U_w^m(G, \mathbb{F}) \subset \mathbb{F} \otimes_{E_G^*(\mathrm{pt})} E_{n, \mathrm{GL}_w \times \mathbb{C}^*}^*(Z(w))$$

be the subalgebra generated by the Chern class of the tautological line bundles $\mathcal{L}_k \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}(v, v+\alpha_k, w)$ and $\mathcal{L}_k^{\mathrm{op}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}(v+\alpha_k, v, w)$ where k varies in I . Recall the quantum groups $U_w(\mathbb{G}; E_n)$ and $U'_w(\mathbb{G}; E_n)$ from § 3.3. The algebras $U_w^n(G, \mathbb{F})$ and $U_w^m(G, \mathbb{F})$ are their specializations along the map $E_G^*(\mathrm{pt}) \rightarrow E_G^*(\mathrm{pt}) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$.

Let $\gamma : \Lambda_n \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$ be a group homomorphism. When G is chosen to be $\mathrm{im} \gamma$, we denote $U_w^n(\mathrm{im} \gamma, \mathbb{F})$ by $U_w^n(\gamma, \mathbb{F})$ for short. Sometimes, we skip n or skip (γ, \mathbb{F}) in the notation and simply denote $U_w^n(\gamma, \mathbb{F})$ by $U_w(\gamma, \mathbb{F})$ or U_w^n if it is understood from the context.

Notice that the normal bundle N in (d) can be expressed in terms of the tautological bundles of the quiver variety, and hence e is a tautological class. As a consequence, suppose $p^t \mid w$, $\gamma = \alpha \oplus \gamma'$, where $\alpha : \Lambda_t \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_w \times \mathbb{C}^*$ is as in §5.1 and $\gamma' : \Lambda_{n-t} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{w/p^t} \times \mathbb{C}^* \xrightarrow{\Delta} \mathrm{GL}_w \times \mathbb{C}^*$ is a group homomorphism. Assume further that the $\Phi_t^{L(E^*)}$ -field \mathbb{F} factors through $\Phi_t^{L_{K_{n-t}E}}$, then we have the Frobenius homomorphism

$$(15) \quad \mathrm{Fr}_{n, n-t} : U_w^n(\gamma, \mathbb{F}) \rightarrow U_{w/p^t}^{n-t}(\gamma', \mathbb{F}).$$

6. DISCUSSIONS AND EXAMPLES

This section consists of some miscellaneous discussions around Theorem 5.2.

6.1. Some remarks. We expect the target of the Frobenius map above to be explained as a version of power operations. This expectation is made precise in the A_1 -case in § 7.4.

Remark 6.1. The localization of inverting S_Λ, S_b in (c) has two purposes. One is so that the multiplication $1 \boxtimes \frac{1}{e}$ is well-defined. Another, as we have seen in § 2.2, shows up in the definition of transchromatic character map $E_n^* \rightarrow E_n^*(B(\Lambda_t))[\frac{1}{S_\Lambda}] \rightarrow C_{n-t}^*$.

More about the coefficients.

Remark 6.2. (1) This is used in § 8.4. We have $E_n \rightarrow (E_n)_{K_{n-t}} \rightarrow C_{n-t}$, and any maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} of C_{n-t} as in § 5.3, the formal group on C_{n-t}/\mathfrak{m} is of height $n-t$ of a perfect field of characteristic p . The theorem of Lazard [La55] implies that this is isomorphic to the formal group law of K_{n-t} . The universal deformation theorem of Hopkins and Miller [Rez98] then implies the existence of a morphism (although non-unique) $E_{n-t} \rightarrow C_{n-t}^\wedge$ with an isomorphism between the formal group law on the latter and that of the pushforward from E_{n-t} .

(2) Now let $t = t_1 + t_2$. The paragraph above gives the first row of the following diagram. Localization with respect to $K(n-t)$ gives the second row. Here we retain the convention of writing localization.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 E_{n-t_1} & \longrightarrow & C_{n,n-t_1}^\wedge & \longleftarrow & E_n \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 E_{n-t_1, K(n-t)} & \longrightarrow & C_{n-t_1, K(n-t)}^\wedge & \longleftarrow & E_{n, K(n-t)} \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & \searrow & \downarrow \\
 C_{n-t_1, n-t} & \longrightarrow & C_\star & \longrightarrow & C_{n, n-t}
 \end{array}$$

Taking C_\star as the base-change filling the lower left corner, universal properties then give all the remaining arrows in the diagram.

6.2. Iteration of the Frobenius. Let $t = t_1 + t_2$ and $p^t|w$. Assume $n \geq t$, and $\alpha : \Lambda_t \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_w \times \mathbb{C}^*$ with $\alpha' : \Lambda_{t_1} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_w \times \mathbb{C}^*$, $\alpha'' : \Lambda_{t_2} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{w/p^{t_1}} \times \mathbb{C}^*$ and $H \subseteq \mathrm{GL}_{w/p^t} \times \mathbb{C}^*$ a finite subgroup. Let $\langle b \rangle = \langle b' \rangle \oplus \langle b'' \rangle$ be the operators as before. Let $H' \subseteq \mathrm{GL}_{w/p^{t_1}} \times \mathbb{C}^*$ be the subgroup $\langle b'' \rangle \wr (\alpha'' \wr (\langle b' \rangle \times H))$. We have the two Frobenii

$$\begin{aligned}
 E_{n, \mathbb{G}}^*(Z(w)) &\rightarrow \Phi_{t_1}^{L(C_{n,n-t_1}^\wedge)} \otimes_{C_{n,n-t_1}} C_{n,n-t_1, H'}^*(Z(w/p^{t_1})) \\
 \text{as well as } E_{n-t_1, H'}^*(Z(w/p^{t_1})) &\rightarrow \Phi_{t_2}^{L(C_{n-t_1, n-t}^\wedge)} \otimes_{C_{n-t_1, n-t}} C_{n-t_1, n-t, H}^*(Z(w/p^t)).
 \end{aligned}$$

Remark 6.2(2) then gives the base-change of the latter $C_{n,n-t_1, H'}^* \rightarrow \Phi_{t_2}^{L(C_\star)} \otimes_{C_\star} C_{\star, H}^*(Z(w/p^t))$, which then is endowed with a map to $\Phi_{t_2}^{L(C_{n,n-t}^\wedge)} \otimes_{C_{n,n-t}} C_{n,n-t, H}^*(Z(w/p^t))$ that preserves the convolution algebra structures.

Taking $\alpha = \alpha' \oplus \alpha''$, we also have $E_{n, \mathbb{G}}^*(Z(w)) \rightarrow \Phi_t^{L(C_{n,n-t}^\wedge)} \otimes_{C_{n,n-t}} C_{n,n-t, H}^*(Z(w/p^t))$. Recall that Lemma 2.11 gives a map $\Phi_{t_1}^{L(C_{n,n-t_1}^\wedge)} \otimes_{C_{n,n-t_1}} \Phi_{t_2}^{L(C_{n,n-t}^\wedge)} \rightarrow \Phi_t^{L(C_{n,n-t}^\wedge)}$. The same argument as the last paragraph in the proof of Theorem 5.2 yields that the following diagram commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 E_{n, \mathbb{G}}^*(Z(w)) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Fr}_{n, n-t_1}} & \Phi_{t_1}^{L(C_{n,n-t_1}^\wedge)} \otimes C_{n,n-t_1, H'}^\wedge(Z(w/p^{t_1})) \\
 & \searrow \mathrm{Fr}_{n, n-t} & \downarrow \mathrm{Fr}_{n-t_1, n-t} \\
 & & \Phi_t^{L(C_{n,n-t}^\wedge)} \otimes C_{n-t, H}^*(Z(w/p^t)).
 \end{array}$$

Let $\gamma : \Lambda_n \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_w \times \mathbb{C}^*$, $\gamma' : \Lambda_{n-t_1} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{w/p^{t_1}} \times \mathbb{C}^*$ and $\gamma'' : \Lambda_{n-t} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{w/p^t} \times \mathbb{C}^*$ be group homomorphisms, such that

$$\gamma = \alpha' \oplus \alpha'' = \alpha \oplus \alpha'', \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma' = \alpha' \oplus \alpha''.$$

It induces the following commutative diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 U_w^n(\gamma, \mathbb{F}) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Fr}_{n, n-t_1}} & U_{w/p^{t_1}}^{n-t_1}(\gamma', \mathbb{F}) \\
 & \searrow \mathrm{Fr}_{n, n-t} & \downarrow \mathrm{Fr}_{n-t_1, n-t} \\
 & & U_{w/p^t}^{n-t}(\gamma'', \mathbb{F})
 \end{array}$$

In this sense, we say the Frobenius $\mathrm{Fr}_{n, n-t}$ can be obtained as the composition of $\mathrm{Fr}_{n, n-t_1}$ and $\mathrm{Fr}_{n-t_1, n-t}$. This gives the iteration of the Frobenius maps.

6.3. Examples.

- (1) Assume the weight w is divisible by p^n . Starting with the Morava E_n -theory, the quantum Frobenius $\text{Fr}_{n,0}$ lands in

$$\mathbb{F} \otimes_{E_0} E_0(Z(w/p^n)), \text{ (precisely } \Phi_n^{L(E_0)} \otimes C_0^\wedge(Z(w/p^n)).$$

The target is the non-equivariant cohomology with height 0 formal group law. There is an algebra homomorphism [Nak98]

$$U(\mathfrak{g})_{\mathbb{F}} \rightarrow U_{w/p^n}^0(1, \mathbb{F})$$

from the enveloping algebra of the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} with coefficients in the field \mathbb{F} , to a non-equivariant cohomology. It is shown by Nakajima that furthermore the irreducible representations of $U(\mathfrak{g})_{\mathbb{F}}$ with highest weight less than w are in one-to-one correspondence with those of $\mathbb{F} \otimes_{E_0} E_0(Z(w/p^n))$.

- (2) Although the equivariant K -theory of taking Grothendieck group of the equivariant vector bundles is not a special case of the Morava theories, the construction of the Frobenius maps applies to this equivariant K -theory with the Theorem C of [HKR00] replaced by the Atiyah-Segal formula. We make the following substitutions

E_1^* is replaced by the equivariant K-theory K , E_0^0 is replaced by the cohomology theory H ,

$L(E_1^0) = L((E_1^0)_{K(0)})$ is replaced by $L(K)$, which is a cyclotomic field,

and $\Phi_1^{L(E_1^0)}$ is replaced by $\Phi_1^{L(K)}$, which is the cyclotomic ring of $L(K)$.

Let $U_\epsilon(L\mathfrak{g})$ be the quantum loop algebra with $\epsilon^p = 1$. We have an algebra homomorphism

$$U_\epsilon(L\mathfrak{g})_{\Phi_1^{L(K)}} \rightarrow U_w^1(\gamma, \Phi_1^{L(K)}).$$

The Frobenius map (for all $w \in X^+$) lifts to an algebra homomorphism

$$U_\epsilon(L\mathfrak{g})_{\Phi_1^{L(K)}} \rightarrow U(\mathfrak{g})_{\Phi_1^{L(K)}}$$

with coefficient in the cyclotomic ring $\Phi_1^{L(K)}$. This recovers Lusztig's quantum Frobenius map [Lu87, Proposition 7.5]. Recall that the use of the cyclotomic ring is crucial in the construction of Lusztig's quantum Frobenius maps. As explained in Example 2.5, passing to $\Phi_1^{L(K)}$ is an analogue to Lusztig's construction of taking the Grothendieck group of equivariant category of constructible sheaves [Lus93, Chapter 11]. Lusztig's construction has been used by McGerty [Mc11] in a categorification of quantum Frobenius, where the cyclotomic ring occurs in the same way as in Example 2.5.

However, note that in the coefficients $\Phi_1^{L(K)}$, if Φ_1^K is cyclotomic ring, then $L(K)$ is an "extra" cyclotomic ring. Passing to $L(K)$ is necessary only because we are working with the quantum loop algebras instead of the finite dimensional ones. Roughly speaking, the loop parameters contributes to these "extra" cyclotomic extensions. It is out of scope of the present paper but in principal one could construct the finite dimensional quantum groups associated to the Morava E_n theory without going to the loop algebras, hence, potentially remove L and only uses $\Phi_t^{E_n}$.

Similar to how K -theory is to E_1^* , in [Ba89] E_2^* is proven to be a direct summand of elliptic cohomology spectrum localized at p . Using [KM07] in place of [HKR00] and the Atiyah-Segal formula, we expect to obtain a quantum Frobenius from the elliptic quantum group of [YZ17] at a torsion point of the elliptic curve, to quantum loop algebra at a root of unity.

7. POWER OPERATIONS AND ANOTHER CONSTRUCTION IN THE A_1 -CASE

We give a different construction of the Frobenius map when Q is of type A_1 . Although only a special case, we include this for its conceptual nature in terms of topology.

7.1. The definition. We first recall some basic properties of power operations, which are needed for the present paper. We suggest experts in this area to skip § 7.1, and refer interested readers to [A95, G06] for more details. Let E^* be an oriented cohomology theory. Let Σ_r be the symmetric group on r letters. For a finite group G , set

$$G \wr \Sigma_r := G^r \rtimes \Sigma_r.$$

Definition 7.1. (see [BMMS86, VIII.1.1] and [G06, Definition 4.3]) An H_∞ -structure on E is a collection of maps (power operations)

$$P_r : E_G^*(X) \rightarrow E_{G \wr \Sigma_r}^*(X^r)$$

with finite group G and finite G CW-complex X satisfying the following conditions

- (a) $P_1 = \text{id}$ and $P_0(x) = 1$.
- (b) the (external) product of two power operations is $P_j(x) \wedge P_k(x) = \text{res}_{\Sigma_j \times \Sigma_k}^{\Sigma_{j+k}}(P_{j+k}(x))$.
- (c) the composition of two power operations is $P_j(P_k(x)) = \text{res}_{\Sigma_k \wr \Sigma_j}^{\Sigma_{jk}}(P_{jk}(x))$.
- (d) the P_j 's preserve (external) products $P_j(x \wedge y) = \text{res}_{\Sigma_j}^{\Sigma_j \times \Sigma_j}(P_j(x) \wedge P_j(y))$, where the restriction is along the map

$$[(X \wr G)^2]^j \wr \Sigma_j \rightarrow [(X \wr G)^2]^j \wr (\Sigma_j \times \Sigma_j) \cong [(X \wr G)^j \wr \Sigma_j]^2.$$

Example 7.2. The power operations in the equivariant cobordism, expressed in terms of generators, are given by

$$P_r^{\text{MU}} : \text{MU}_G^{2*}(X) \rightarrow \text{MU}_{G \wr \Sigma_r}^{2r*}(X^r), [M \rightarrow X] \mapsto [M^r \rightarrow X^r] \wr \Sigma_r.$$

We work on a cohomology theory E^* with an H_∞ -structure, such that there is an H_∞ -map $\text{MU}_G^*(X) \rightarrow E_G^*(X)$. That is, we have the following commutative square.

$$(16) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \text{MU}_G^*(X) & \longrightarrow & E_G^*(X) \\ \downarrow P_r & & \downarrow P_r \\ \text{MU}_{G \wr \Sigma_r}^*(X^r) & \longrightarrow & E_{G \wr \Sigma_r}^*(X^r) \end{array}$$

where the vertical maps are the power operations for MU_G^* and E_G^* respectively. We refer this assumption as the H_∞ -assumption.

Recall that for two formal group laws F_1 and F_2 over a commutative ring R , a homomorphism is power series $h(u) \in R[[u]]$, such that

$$h(u_1 +_{F_1} u_2) = h(u_1) +_{F_2} h(u_2).$$

It is an isomorphism is $h(u) = au \pmod{u^2}$ with $a \in R$ a unit. Let $[p]_F(t) := t +_F t +_F \cdots +_F t \in R[[t]]$ be the p -series of the formal group law F . Then, $[p]_F$ is a homomorphism of group laws (isogeny)

$$F \xrightarrow{[p]_F} F.$$

Let D_k be the ring extension of E_n obtained by adjoining all the roots of the p^k -series $[p]_F(t)$ of F . Let $p^k F(D_k)$ be the subgroup of points of order p^k . Then,

$$p^k F(D_k) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/p^k \mathbb{Z})^n.$$

For any finite subgroup $H \subset_{p^k} p^k F(D_k)$, let $H^* = \text{Hom}(H, \mathbb{C}^*)$ be the character group of H . Taking the dual of the embedding $H \subset (\mathbb{Z}/p^k \mathbb{Z})^n \cong_{p^k} p^k F(D_k)$ induces a map $(\mathbb{Z}/p^k \mathbb{Z})^n \rightarrow H^*$. Then, the construction of [HKR00] gives $\chi : E_n^*(BH^*) \rightarrow D_k \subset S^{-1}E_n^*(B(\mathbb{Z}/p^k \mathbb{Z})^n)$. It is shown by Ando that there is an

transformation of ring-valued functors

$$E_n^*(X) \xrightarrow{\Psi^H} D_k \otimes_{E_n} E_n^*(X)$$

such that the following diagram commutes [A95, Theorem 1]

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{MU}^{2*}(X) & \xrightarrow{p_{H^*}^{\mathrm{MU}}} \mathrm{MU}^{2r^*}(EH^* \times_{H^*} X) & \xrightarrow{t} E_n^*(EH^* \times_{H^*} X), \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \chi^H \\ E_n^*(X) & \xrightarrow{\Psi^H} & D_k \otimes_{E_n} E_n^*(X) \end{array}$$

where χ^H is given by [A95, Definition 3.3.5]

$$\chi^H : E_n^*(EH^* \times_{H^*} X) \xrightarrow{\Delta^*} E_n^*(BH^*) \otimes_{E_n} E_n^*(X) \xrightarrow{\chi^{\otimes 1}} D_k \otimes_{E_n} E_n^*(X).$$

Proposition 7.3. [A95, Theorem 2 and Proposition 3.6.1] *Notations as above, taking H to be $H =_{p^k} F(D_k)$, then Φ^H factors through $E_n(X) \subseteq D_k \otimes_{E_n} E_n^*(X)$. In particular, we obtain an operation*

$$E_n^*(X) \xrightarrow{\Psi^{p^k}} E_n^*(X)$$

which is a natural transformation of rings. It induces the identity on coefficients and its effect on the Euler class $c_1 L$ of a line bundle $L \rightarrow X$ is given by the p^k series

$$\Psi^{p^k}(c_1 L) = [p^k]_F(c_1 L).$$

7.2. Power operations and convolution algebras. Now we retain the setup of § 2.1, where M is smooth with an action of a finite group G and an equivariant projective map $M \rightarrow N$. Let $Z = M \times_N M$ with the induced diagonal G -action.

Lemma 7.4. *Assume E^* satisfies the H_∞ -assumption. Then, the power operation P_n is an algebra homomorphism*

$$P_n : E_G^*(Z) \rightarrow E_{G \wr \Sigma_n}^*(Z^n).$$

Moreover,

$$P_n : E_G^*(M) \rightarrow E_{G \wr \Sigma_n}^*(M^n).$$

is a module homomorphism under the algebra homomorphism above.

Proof. It is clear that the power operation P_n on $\mathrm{MU}_G^*(Z)$ commutes with pullback, pushforward and tensor product. Indeed, we only need to check this for cobordism classes of manifolds where for any $f : X \rightarrow Y$, we have $f^* : [M \rightarrow Y] \mapsto [f^* M \rightarrow X]$, and $f_* : [N \rightarrow X] \mapsto [N \rightarrow X \rightarrow Y]$. For two elements $[M \rightarrow Z]$ and $[N \rightarrow Z]$, the tensor product is given by $[M \times N \rightarrow Z]$. Thus,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} [M \rightarrow Y] \xrightarrow{f^*} [f^* M \rightarrow X] & \text{and} & [N \rightarrow X] \xrightarrow{f_*} [N \rightarrow X \rightarrow Y] \\ \downarrow P_n & & \downarrow P_n \\ [M^n \rightarrow Y^n] \xrightarrow{(f^n)^*} [f^*(M^n) = f^*(M)^n \rightarrow X^n] & & [N^n \rightarrow X^n] \xrightarrow{(f^n)_*} [N^n \rightarrow X^n \rightarrow Y^n] \end{array}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{ccc} ([M \rightarrow Z], [N \rightarrow Z]) \xrightarrow{\otimes} [M \times N \rightarrow Z] & & \\ \downarrow P_n & & \downarrow P_n \\ ([M^n \rightarrow Z^n], [N^n \rightarrow Z^n]) \xrightarrow{\otimes} [M^n \times N^n \rightarrow Z^n] & & \end{array}$$

By the commutativity of the square (16) and the fact that the top and the bottom maps commute with pullback and pushforward, we obtain the power operation P_n on $E^*(Z)$ commutes with pullback, pushforward and tensor product. This implies the assertion, as the algebra structures of $E_G^*(Z)$ and $E_{G \wr \Sigma_n}^*(Z^n)$ are given by convolution. Similar for the modules. \square

Lemma 7.5. *Let $\mathbb{Z}/p \subseteq \Sigma_p$ be the subgroup of p -cycles, and let $\Delta : M \rightarrow M^p$ be the diagonal embedding. Then, the action of \mathbb{Z}/p is free on the complement of $\Delta(M)$. In particular, pulling back $\Delta^* : E_{\mathbb{Z}/p \times G}^*(M^p) \rightarrow E_{\mathbb{Z}/p \times G}^*(M)$ becomes an isomorphism after applying $-\otimes_{E_{\mathbb{Z}/p}^*} \Phi_1$.*

Proof. This follows the same argument as that of Lemma 4.8. \square

In Lemma 7.4, take $n = p$ and then composing with the restriction from $G \wr \Sigma_p$ to the subgroup $\mathbb{Z}/p \times G$, we obtain an algebra homomorphism the target of which is the domain of the Δ^* from Lemma 4.8.

Now we discuss the iteration of this process. By condition Definition 7.1(c), the composition $P_p \circ P_p : E_G^*(X) \rightarrow E_{G \wr \Sigma_p}^*(X^p) \rightarrow E_{(G \wr \Sigma_p) \wr \Sigma_p}^*(X^{p^2})$ can be obtained by $E_G^*(X) \rightarrow E_{G \wr \Sigma_p}^*(X^p) \rightarrow E_{(G \wr \Sigma_p) \wr \Sigma_p}^*(X^{p^2})$. We are interested in restriction to further subgroups $\mathbb{Z}/p \wr \mathbb{Z}/p$ and $\Delta(\mathbb{Z}/p) \times \mathbb{Z}/p$. Lemma 7.4 now yields that $\text{res}_{(G \wr \Sigma_p) \wr \Sigma_p}^{G \wr \Sigma_p \times \mathbb{Z}/p} \circ P_{p^2} : E_G^*(Z) \rightarrow E_{G \wr \Sigma_p \times \mathbb{Z}/p}^*(Z^{p^2})$ is an algebra homomorphism of convolution algebra, and similar for the module coming from M .

Lemma 7.6. *Let $\Delta : X \hookrightarrow X^{p^2}$ be the diagonal embedding, which is equivariant under $\Delta(\mathbb{Z}/p) \times \mathbb{Z}/p$ -action. Then, the pullback via the diagonal $E_{\Delta(\mathbb{Z}/p) \times \mathbb{Z}/p}^*(X^{p^2}) \rightarrow E_{\Delta(\mathbb{Z}/p) \times \mathbb{Z}/p}^*(X)$ is an isomorphism after applying $-\otimes_{E_{(\mathbb{Z}/p)^2}^*} \Phi_2$.*

Proof. This follows the same argument as that of Lemma 5.1. \square

To summarize, we have the following.

Proposition 7.7. *There is an algebra structure on $\Phi_t \otimes_{E_{\Lambda_t}^*} E_{G \times \Lambda_t}^*(Z)$ and a module structure on $\Phi_t \otimes_{E_{\Lambda_t}^*} E_{G \times \Lambda_t}^*(M)$, such that $\Delta^* \circ P_{p^t} : E_G^*(Z) \rightarrow \Phi_t \otimes_{E_{\Lambda_t}^*} E_{G \times \Lambda_t}^*(Z)$ is an algebra homomorphism, and $\Delta^* \circ P_{p^t} : E_G^*(M) \rightarrow \Phi_t \otimes_{E_{\Lambda_t}^*} E_{G \times \Lambda_t}^*(M)$ is a module homomorphism.*

We note that the algebra structure on $\Phi_t \otimes_{E_{\Lambda_t}^*} E_{G \times \Lambda_t}^*(Z)$ above comes from that of $E_{G^{p^t}}^*(Z^{p^t})$ via Δ^* . In other words, on $\Phi_t \otimes_{E_{\Lambda_t}^*} E_{G \times \Lambda_t}^*(Z)$, there is a natural convolution product. However, the pullback Δ^* does not respect to this convolution multiplication (see the proof of Theorem 5.2).

7.3. Cyclic quivers of \mathfrak{sl}_2 . Let \mathfrak{g} be \mathfrak{sl}_2 . Let $w \in \mathbb{N}$ be a positive integer. Let

$$X = T^* \text{Gr}(w) = (\sqcup_{v=0}^w T^* \text{Gr}(v, w))$$

be the cotangent bundle of Grassmannian. That is,

$$T^* \text{Gr}(w) = \{(V \subset W = \mathbb{C}^w, x) \mid V \text{ is a subvector space of } W, x \in \text{End}(\mathbb{C}^w), \text{ such that } x(W) \subset V, x(V) = 0\}$$

Let $\mathcal{N} \subset \text{End}(\mathbb{C}^w)$ be the nilpotent cone. We have the natural map

$$\pi : T^*(\text{Gr}(w)) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}, (V \subset W = \mathbb{C}^w, x) \mapsto x.$$

Let $\mathbb{G} = \mathbb{C}^* \times \text{GL}_w$. The action of GL_w is induced by its action on \mathbb{C}^w . The action of \mathbb{C}^* is by $x \mapsto tx$. Under the action of $g_0 \in \text{End}(W)$, we have the eigenspace decomposition $W = \bigoplus_{s=0}^{p-1} W_{\zeta^s}$. Thus,

$$\mathcal{N}^{(g_0, \zeta)} = \{x \in \mathcal{N} \mid g_0 x g_0^{-1} = \zeta^{-1} x\} = \{x \in \mathcal{N} \mid x(W_{\zeta^s}) \subset W_{\zeta^{s-1}}, s = 0, \dots, p-1\},$$

$$\text{and } T^*(\text{Gr}(w))^{(g_0, \zeta)} = \{(V = \bigoplus_{s=0}^{p-1} V_{\zeta^s} \subset W = \bigoplus_{s=0}^{p-1} W_{\zeta^s}, x) \mid$$

$$V_{\zeta^s} \text{ is a subvector space of } W_{\zeta^s}, x \in \text{End}(W) \text{ such that } x(W_{\zeta^s}) \subset V_{\zeta^{s-1}}, x(V) = 0\}.$$

Under the action of b , we have

$$(\oplus_{s=0}^{p-1} V_{\zeta^s}, x) \mapsto (\oplus_{s=1}^p V_{\zeta^s}, bxb^{-1})$$


The components of $T^*(\text{Gr}(w))^{(g_0, \zeta)}$ are labeled by the dimension vectors of V . Note that by the choice of g_0 , all the eigenspaces W_{ζ^s} have the same dimension. The component of $T^*(\text{Gr}(w))^{(g_0, \zeta)}$ is an *even* component, if $\dim(V_{\zeta^0}) = \dim(V_{\zeta^1}) = \cdots = \dim(V_{\zeta^{p-1}})$. Otherwise, it is an *uneven* component.

In the case of A_1 , we have the following result which is stronger than Proposition 4.7.

Proposition 7.8. *We have the isomorphism*

$$T^* \text{Gr}(w)^{\text{im } \alpha}|_{\text{even}} \cong \prod_p (T^* \text{Gr}(w/p))|_{\text{even}}.$$

Proof. If $p = 2$, it is clear from their quiver descriptions that $\mathfrak{M}_{(Q^\vee)_2}^{\text{cyc}}(w)$ and $\mathfrak{M}_{Q^\vee \times \mu_2}^{\text{cyc}}(w)$ are tautologically the same as $T^* \text{Gr}(w/p) \times T^* \text{Gr}(w/p)$.



For general p , the b identifies $W_1, W_\zeta, \dots, W_{\zeta^{p-1}}$. It induces an isomorphism between the two Grassmannians

$$b : \text{Gr}(a, W_{\zeta^i}) \cong \text{Gr}(a, W_{\zeta^j}).$$

where $a = \dim(V_0)$. Hence, on the tautological sequences


$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_{\zeta^{i+1}} \rightarrow W_{\zeta^i} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}_i \rightarrow 0, \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_{\zeta^{j+1}} \rightarrow W_{\zeta^j} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}_j \rightarrow 0,$$

we have an isomorphism of vector bundles

$$b^* \mathcal{V}_{\zeta^{j+1}} \cong \mathcal{V}_{\zeta^{i+1}}.$$

The blue arrows in the following picture on the left become the embeddings of the tautological subbundles on the Grassmannians $\text{Gr}(V_{\zeta^{i+1}}, W_{\zeta^i})$. They can be identified with the blue arrows in the picture on the right.

The red arrows on the left, a priori, are bundle maps from W_{ζ^i} to $V_{\zeta^{i-1}}$. Using the identification of $b^* \mathcal{V}_{\zeta^{i-1}} \cong \mathcal{V}_{\zeta^{i+1}}$, the red arrows define elements in $\mathcal{H} \text{om}_{\text{Gr}(a, W_{\zeta^i})}(W_{\zeta^i}, V_{\zeta^{i+1}})$ and can be identified with the red arrows in the picture on the right. This gives an isomorphism between $\text{Gr}(w)^{\text{im } \alpha}|_{\text{even}}$ and $\prod_p \text{Gr}(w/p)|_{\text{even}}$.



In the above picture, the two vertices connected by the dashed arrows are identified. □

7.4. A_1 -case via power operations. In the case of A_1 , by Proposition 7.8, we have the isomorphisms

$$Z(w)^{\text{im}\alpha}|_{\text{even}} \cong \prod_{p^t} Z(w/p^t)|_{\text{even}}, \quad \mathfrak{M}(w)^{\text{im}\alpha}|_{\text{even}} \cong \prod_{p^t} \mathfrak{M}(w/p^t)|_{\text{even}}.$$

In this case, we give a ‘‘correct’’ construction of the Frobenius morphism where the power operation naturally show up.

Let $i_\alpha : Z(w)^{\text{im}\alpha}|_{\text{even}} \hookrightarrow Z(w)$ be the embedding. We have the pullback map

$$i_\alpha^* : E_{n,\mathbb{G}}^*(Z(w)) \rightarrow E_{n,\langle b \rangle \wr (\alpha \times H)}^*(Z(w)^{\text{im}\alpha}).$$

Let e_α be the Euler class of the normal bundle of the second factor $\mathfrak{M}(w)^{\text{im}\alpha} \times \mathfrak{M}(w)^{\text{im}\alpha} \subseteq \mathfrak{M}(w) \times \mathfrak{M}(w)$ restricted to $Z(w)^{\text{im}\alpha}|_{\text{even}} \subseteq \mathfrak{M}(w)^{\text{im}\alpha}|_{\text{even}} \times \mathfrak{M}(w)^{\text{im}\alpha}|_{\text{even}}$. Observe that e_α is invertible in $S_\Lambda^{-1} E_{n,\langle b \rangle \wr (\alpha \times H)}^*(Z(w)^{\text{im}\alpha})$ thanks to Lemma 2.9. Proposition 2.13 then implies that

$$\frac{i_\alpha^*}{e_\alpha} : E_{n,\mathbb{G}}^*(Z(w)) \rightarrow S_\Lambda^{-1} E_{n,\langle b \rangle \wr (\alpha \times H)}^*(Z(w)^{\text{im}\alpha}|_{\text{even}}) \cong S_\Lambda^{-1} E_{n,\langle b \rangle \wr (\alpha \times H)}^*\left(\prod_p Z(w/p)|_{\text{even}}\right)$$

is an algebra homomorphism, where the latter isomorphism follows from Proposition 7.8. Observe also that $S_b^{-1} E_{n,\langle b \rangle \wr (\alpha \times H)}^*(\prod_{p^t} Z(w/p^t)|_{\text{even}}) \cong S_b^{-1} E_{n,\langle b \rangle \wr (\alpha \times H)}^*(\prod_{p^t} Z(w/p^t))$ thanks to Proposition 4.3.

Composing these and using (14), we obtain

$$(17) \quad \frac{i_\alpha^*}{e_\alpha} : E_{n,\mathbb{G}}^*(Z(w)) \rightarrow S_\Lambda^{-1} S_b^{-1} E_{n,\langle b \rangle \wr (\alpha \times H)}^*\left(\prod_{p^t} Z(w/p^t)\right) \rightarrow \Phi_t^{L_{K_{n-t}E}} \otimes_{C_{n-t,\langle b \rangle}} C_{n-t,\langle b \rangle \wr H}^*\left(\prod_{p^t} Z(w/p^t)\right).$$

Pullback along the diagonal embedding $\Delta : Z(w/p^t) \subset \prod_{p^t} Z(w/p^t)$, by Lemma 7.6, we get an isomorphism

$$\Delta^* : \Phi_t^{L_{K_{n-t}E}} \otimes_{C_{n-t,\langle b \rangle}} C_{n-t,\langle b \rangle \wr H}^*\left(\prod_{p^t} Z(w/p^t)\right) \cong \Phi_t^{L_{K_{n-t}E}} \otimes_{C_{n-t,\langle b \rangle}} C_{n-t,\langle b \rangle \wr H}^*(Z(w/p^t)).$$

After inverting p , p^t is a unit, then, the p^t -series of F

$$[p^t]_F(z) = p^t z + \dots$$

becomes an isomorphism of formal group laws. Therefore, by Proposition 7.3, the following composition is an isomorphism of $\Phi_t^{L_{K_{n-t}E}}$ -modules

$$\Phi_t^{L_{K_{n-t}E}} \otimes_{C_{n-t}} C_{n-t,H}^*(Z(w/p^t)) \xrightarrow{P_{p^t}^{C_{n-t,\langle b \rangle}}} \Phi_t^{L_{K_{n-t}E}} \otimes_{C_{n-t,\langle b \rangle}} C_{n-t,\langle b \rangle \wr H}^*\left(\prod_{p^t} Z(w/p^t)\right) \xrightarrow{\cong} \Phi_t^{L_{K_{n-t}E}} \otimes_{C_{n-t}} C_{n-t,H}^*(Z(w/p^t)).$$

In particular, $P_{p^t}^{C_{n-t,\langle b \rangle}}$ is an isomorphism and the inverse map exists.

Composing (17) and the inverse of the power operation, we obtain an algebra homomorphism by Lemma 7.4.

$$\tilde{\text{Fr}}_{n,n-t} : E_{n,\mathbb{G}}^*(Z(w)) \xrightarrow{\frac{i_\alpha^*}{e_\alpha}} \Phi_t^{L_{K_{n-t}E}} \otimes_{C_{n-t,\langle b \rangle}} C_{n-t,\langle b \rangle \wr H}^*\left(\prod_{p^t} Z(w/p^t)\right) \xrightarrow[\cong]{(P_{p^t}^{C_{n-t,\langle b \rangle}})^{-1}} \Phi_t^{L_{K_{n-t}E}} \otimes_{C_{n-t}} C_{n-t,H}^*(Z(w/p^t)).$$

8. THE FROBENIUS PHENOMENON ON REPRESENTATIONS

In this section we gather some basic properties of the effect of Frobenii on representation theory of the convolution algebras.

8.1. The standard modules. Assume $p^t \mid w$. We define a morphism on the modules

$$(18) \quad \text{Fr}_{n,n-t}^{\mathfrak{M}} : E_{n,\mathbb{G}}^*(\mathfrak{M}(w)) \rightarrow \Phi_t(C_{n-t}^*) \otimes_{C_{n-t}^*(\text{pt})} C_{n-t,H}^*(\mathfrak{M}(\frac{w}{p^t})),$$

similar to § 5.4, with the space $Z(w)$ substituted by $\mathfrak{M}(w)$, and do not multiply by $\frac{1}{e}$ in (d). More precisely, $\text{Fr}_{n,n-t}^{\mathfrak{M}}$ is defined as the composition of the following morphisms.

(a) The change of group morphism induced from (12)

$$E_{\mathbb{G}}^*(\mathfrak{M}(w)) \rightarrow E_{\langle b \rangle \langle \alpha \times H \rangle}^*(\mathfrak{M}(w)).$$

(b) Let $i : \mathfrak{M}(w)^{\text{im } \alpha} \mid_{\Delta} \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{M}(w)$ be embedding of the fixed point set of $\text{im } \alpha$ -action. The pullback i^* along i .

$$i^* : E_{\langle b \rangle \langle \alpha \times H \rangle}^*(\mathfrak{M}(w)) \rightarrow E_{\langle b \rangle \times \Lambda_t \times H}^*(\mathfrak{M}(w)^{\text{im } \alpha} \mid_{\Delta}).$$

(c) The localization

$$E_{\langle b \rangle \times \Lambda_t \times H}^*(\mathfrak{M}(w)^{\text{im } \alpha} \mid_{\Delta}) \rightarrow S_{\Lambda}^{-1} S_b^{-1} E_{\langle b \rangle \times \Lambda_t \times H}^*(\mathfrak{M}(w)^{\text{im } \alpha} \mid_{\Delta}).$$

(d) The natural map

$$S_{\Lambda}^{-1} S_b^{-1} E_{\langle b \rangle \times \Lambda_t \times H}^*(\mathfrak{M}(w)^{\text{im } \alpha} \mid_{\Delta}) \rightarrow \Phi_t^{L_{K_{n-t}E}} \otimes_{C_{n-t}^0} C_{n-t,H}^*(\mathfrak{M}(\frac{w}{p^t})).$$

Theorem 8.1. *The morphism $\text{Fr}_{n,n-t}^{\mathfrak{M}}$ is compatible with the algebra homomorphism $\text{Fr}_{n,n-t}$. That is, we have the following diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E_{\mathbb{G}}^*(Z) & \xrightarrow{\text{Fr}_{n,n-t}} & \Phi_t^{L_{K_{n-t}E}} \otimes_{C_{n-t}^0} C_{n-t,H}^*(Z(\frac{w}{p^t})) \\ \circlearrowleft & & \circlearrowleft \\ E_{\mathbb{G}}^*(\mathfrak{M}(w)) & \xrightarrow{\text{Fr}_{n,n-t}^{\mathfrak{M}}} & \Phi_t^{L_{K_{n-t}E}} \otimes_{C_{n-t}^0} C_{n-t,H}^*(\mathfrak{M}(\frac{w}{p^t})) \end{array}$$

Proof. It follows from a similar proof as Theorem 5.2, which relies on Proposition 2.13, with the following changes. Let $M_1 = M_2 := \mathfrak{M}(w)$ and $M_3 := \{\text{pt}\}$. Let $Z_{12} := \mathfrak{M}(w) \times_{\mathfrak{M}_0} \mathfrak{M}(w) \subset M_1 \times M_2$, $Z_{23} := M_2 \times M_3 = \mathfrak{M}(w)$ and $Z_{13} := M_1 \times M_3 = \mathfrak{M}(w)$. Let $p_{ij} : M_1 \times M_2 \times M_3 \rightarrow M_i \times M_j$ be the projection. Thus, we have the convolution diagram which gives the action of $E_{n,\mathbb{G}}^*(Z(w))$ on $E_{n,\mathbb{G}}^*(\mathfrak{M}(w))$.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & M_1 \times M_2 \times M_3 & & \\ & \swarrow p_{12} & \downarrow p_{13} & \searrow p_{23} & \\ Z_{12} \subseteq M_1 \times M_2 & & M_1 \times M_3 \supseteq Z_{13} & & M_2 \times M_3 \supseteq Z_{23} \end{array}$$

Choose $e_i \in E_A^*(M_i^{\text{im } \alpha})$, $i = 1, 2$ and e_3 to be 1. We can repeat the argument of the proof of Proposition 2.13 under this setting. □

8.2. The irreducible modules. For the Morava E_n^* -theory, $w \in \mathbb{N}^I$, and a group homomorphism $\gamma : \Lambda_n \rightarrow \mathbb{G} := \text{GL}_w \times \mathbb{C}^*$, let us consider representations of the convolution algebra $E_{n,\mathbb{G}}^*(Z(w))$ valued in a field \mathbb{F} , which we assume to be endowed with a ring homomorphism $E_{n,\Lambda_n}^*(\text{pt}) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$.

We start with the algebra $U_w^n(\gamma, \mathbb{F}) \subset \mathbb{F} \otimes_{E_{n,\Lambda_n}} E_{n,\mathbb{G}}^*(Z(w))$, which acts on the module

$$(19) \quad V_w^n(\gamma, \mathbb{F}) := \bigoplus_{v \in \mathbb{N}^I} (V_w^n(\gamma, \mathbb{F})_v) = \bigoplus_v (\mathbb{F} \otimes_{E_{n,\Lambda_n}} E_{n,\mathbb{G}}^*(\mathfrak{M}(v, w))).$$

This module $V_w^n(\gamma, \mathbb{F})$ is an analogue of the local Weyl module [Ka02] of the quantum loop algebra at a root of unity. Define the highest weight vector $|\emptyset\rangle$ of $V_w^n(\gamma, \mathbb{F})$ such that $|\emptyset\rangle$ is the unity of \mathbb{F} under the isomorphism

$$\mathbb{F} \otimes_{E_{n,\Lambda_n}^*} E_{n,\mathbb{G}}^*(\mathfrak{M}(0, w)) = \mathbb{F} \otimes_{E_{n,\Lambda_n}} E_{n,\mathbb{G}}(\text{pt}).$$

Let $L_w^n(\gamma, \mathbb{F})$ be the irreducible quotient module of $V_w^n(\gamma, \mathbb{F})$ with the highest weight vector $|\emptyset\rangle$.

Let X be the weight lattice. We identify X with \mathbb{Z}^I by choosing the fundamental weights $\{\bar{\omega}_1, \bar{\omega}_2, \dots, \bar{\omega}_n\}$. The decomposition $V_w^n(\gamma, \mathbb{F}) = \bigoplus_{v \in \mathbb{N}^I} V_w^n(\gamma, \mathbb{F})_v$ gives the weight space decomposition, where each weight space $V_w^n(\gamma, \mathbb{F})_v$ has weight

$$\mu(v, w) = \sum_k w_k \bar{\omega}_k - \sum_k v_k \alpha_k, \quad \text{where } \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\} \text{ are the roots.}$$

It induces the weight space decomposition of the irreducible quotient $L_w^n(\gamma, \mathbb{F}) = \bigoplus_{v \in \mathbb{N}^I} L_w^n(\gamma, \mathbb{F})_v$, where $L_w^n(\gamma, \mathbb{F})_v$ is the quotient of $V_w^n(\gamma, \mathbb{F})_v$ and has weight $\mu(v, w)$.

8.3. Group homomorphisms. In this section, we drop the assumption that $p^f \mid w$ and consider the weight w in general. Fix a positive integer n and a prime number p . Let $w \in \mathbb{N}^I$ be any dimension vector. Consider the set of group homomorphisms

$$\alpha : \Lambda_n \rightarrow \text{GL}_w \times \mathbb{C}^*$$

satisfying the following property P_w^n .

(1): In the case when $w \in X_{\text{red}}^+$, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, write $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n)$ for $\alpha_i : \mathbb{Z}/p \rightarrow \text{GL}_w \times \mathbb{C}^*$. We have $\alpha_1(1)$ is a diagonal matrix of the form

$$\alpha_1 = \left(\begin{array}{ccccc} 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \zeta & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \zeta^2 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & & & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \zeta^{w-1} \end{array} \right), \zeta$$

and

$$\alpha_i = t_i \alpha_1, i = 2, 3, \dots, n, \quad \text{where } t_i \text{ is any } p\text{-th root of 1.}$$

(2): If $w = w_0 + pw_1$ for $w_0 \in X_{\text{red}}^+$, then α is of the form $\alpha = \alpha^{(0)} \times \alpha^{(1)}$, where

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha^{(0)} : \Lambda_n &\rightarrow T_{w_0} \times \mathbb{C}^* \subset \text{GL}_{w_0} \times \mathbb{C}^*, \\ \alpha^{(1)} : \Lambda_n &\rightarrow T_{pw_1} \times \mathbb{C}^* \subset \text{GL}_{pw_1} \times \mathbb{C}^*. \end{aligned}$$

Here $T_w \subset \text{GL}_w$ is the maximal torus consisting of diagonal matrices. Furthermore, $\alpha^{(0)}$ satisfies property $\text{P}_{w_0}^n$, and

$$\alpha^{(1)} = \alpha' \oplus (b\alpha'b^{-1}) \oplus (b^2\alpha'b^{-2}) \oplus \cdots \oplus (b^{p-1}\alpha'b^{1-p}),$$

for some $\alpha' : \Lambda_{n-1} \rightarrow \text{GL}_{w_1} \times \mathbb{C}^*$ satisfying $\text{P}_{w_1}^{n-1}$. Here we decompose the vector space $W^{(1)}$ (with $\dim(W^{(1)}) = pw_1$) as $W^{(1)} = W_1^{(1)} \oplus W_\zeta^{(1)} \oplus \cdots \oplus W_{\zeta^{p-1}}^{(1)}$ (with $\dim(W_\zeta^{(1)}) = w_1$), and b is the automorphism that identifies the eigenspaces

$$b : W_1^{(1)} \xrightarrow{\cong} W_\zeta^{(1)}, b : W_\zeta^{(1)} \xrightarrow{\cong} W_{\zeta^2}^{(1)}, \dots, b : W_{\zeta^{p-2}}^{(1)} \xrightarrow{\cong} W_{\zeta^{p-1}}^{(1)}, b : W_{\zeta^{p-1}}^{(1)} \xrightarrow{\cong} W_1^{(1)}$$

Example 8.2. We give one example that satisfies the property P_w^n , for illustration purpose. We take $w = p^t w'$, where $w' \in X_{\text{red}}^+$. We assume $n \geq t$. We decompose the vector space W as

$$W = \bigoplus_{0 \leq a_i \leq p-1} W_{\zeta^{a_1, \dots, \zeta^{a_t}}}, \quad \text{where } \dim(W_{\zeta^{a_1, \dots, \zeta^{a_t}}}) = w'.$$

Choose $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_t)$ such that $W_{\zeta^{\alpha_1}, \dots, \zeta^{\alpha_t}}$ is the common eigenspace for $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_t)$ with eigenvalue ζ^{α_i} for $\alpha_i, i = 1, \dots, t$. Choose $\alpha_{t+1} = \dots = \alpha_n$ such that on each $W_{\zeta^{\alpha_1}, \dots, \zeta^{\alpha_t}}, \alpha_{t+1}$ is the following diagonal matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \zeta & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \zeta^2 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & & & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & \zeta^{w'-1} \end{pmatrix}, \zeta.$$

This homomorphism α satisfies \mathbb{P}_w^n .

Corollary 8.3. (1) *If $w \in X_{\text{red}}^+$, we have*

$$\mathfrak{M}(w)^{\text{im } \alpha_1} = \mathfrak{M}(w)^{\text{im } \alpha_2} = \dots = \mathfrak{M}(w)^{\text{im } \alpha_n}.$$

(2) *If $p^t | w$ for some integer t such that $1 < t \leq n$, then the common eigenspaces for $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_t$ all have dimension $w/(p^t)$. That is, let $W = \oplus W_{a_1, \dots, a_t}$ where $W_{a_1, \dots, a_t} := \{w \in W \mid \alpha_i(1)w = a_i w, i = 1, \dots, t\}$, then*

$$\dim(W_{a_1, \dots, a_t}) = w/(p^t).$$

8.4. Frobenius pullback of irreducible representations. Now we assume that the field \mathbb{F} factors through $E_n \rightarrow \Phi_t^{L(C_{n-t}^\wedge)}$, and that γ is obtained from γ' via § 8.3(2) that is, there exists a group homomorphism $\alpha : \Lambda_t \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$ be as §5 so that $\gamma = \alpha \times \gamma'$. Remark 6.2(1) provides us with a map $E_{n-t} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$, and hence $U_{w/p^t}^{C_{n-t}}(\gamma', \mathbb{F}) \cong U_{w/p^t}^{n-t}(\gamma', \mathbb{F})$. In the definition of Frobenius homomorphism §5, take H to be γ' we obtain

$$\text{Fr}_{n, n-t} : U_w^n(\gamma, \mathbb{F}) \twoheadrightarrow U_{w/p^t}^{n-t}(\gamma', \mathbb{F})$$

which is clearly surjective. Let L be any irreducible representation of the target $U_{w/p^t}^{n-t}(\gamma', \mathbb{F})$. Let $\text{Fr}_{n, n-t}^* L$ be the representation of $U_w^n(\gamma, \mathbb{F})$ that is isomorphic to L as vector spaces and the $U_w^n(\gamma, \mathbb{F})$ -module structure is obtained by $\text{Fr}_{n, n-t}$. The surjectivity of $\text{Fr}_{n, n-t}$ implies that $\text{Fr}_{n, n-t}^* L$ is irreducible.

Taking L to be $L_{w/p^t}^{n-t}(\gamma', \mathbb{F})$, now we observe that the action of $U_w^n(\gamma, \mathbb{F})$ on $\text{Fr}_{n, n-t}^* L_{w/p^t}^{n-t}(\gamma', \mathbb{F})$ factors through that on $V_w^n(\alpha \times \gamma', \mathbb{F})$ and the map $V_w^n(\alpha \times \gamma', \mathbb{F}) \rightarrow \text{Fr}_{n, n-t}^* L_{w/p^t}^{n-t}(\gamma', \mathbb{F})$ preserves the highest weight vector and hence implies the corollary below.

Corollary 8.4. *Under the above assumption of \mathbb{F} and assume further that w is divisible by p^t . Let $\alpha : \Lambda_t \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$ be as §5. Then, we have an isomorphism of irreducible representations*

$$L_w^n(\alpha \times \gamma', \mathbb{F}) \cong \text{Fr}_{n, n-t}^*(L_{w/p^t}^{n-t}(\gamma', \mathbb{F})).$$

Proof. Indeed, by construction the map $\text{Fr}_{n, n-t}$ factors through $E_{n, \langle b \rangle \times \Delta(\gamma)}^*(Z(w))$ where b is as in § 5, which via $- \otimes_{E_{n, \langle b \rangle \times \Delta(\alpha)}} E_{n, \langle b \rangle \times \Delta(\alpha)}^* \Phi_t^{L(C_{n-t}^\wedge)}$ acts on $E_{n, \langle b \rangle \times \Delta(\alpha)}^*(\mathfrak{M}(w)) \otimes_{E_{n, \langle b \rangle \times \Delta(\alpha)}} \Phi_t^{L(C_{n-t}^\wedge)} \rightarrow V_w^n(\alpha \times \gamma', \mathbb{F})$. Theorem 8.1 then concludes that

$$V_w^n(\alpha \times \gamma', \mathbb{F}) \rightarrow \text{Fr}_{n, n-t}^* V_{w/p^t}^{n-t}(\gamma', \mathbb{F}) \rightarrow \text{Fr}_{n, n-t}^* L_{w/p^t}^{n-t}(\gamma', \mathbb{F}).$$

The highest weight vector $|\emptyset\rangle$ up to scalar is the only non-zero vector in $E_{n, \mathbb{G}}^*(\mathfrak{M}(0, w))$ which is mapped isomorphically under the Frobenius. \square

9. THE MONOIDAL STRUCTURE

We gather some structures of the convolution algebras considered in the present paper, including a localized coproduct. The convolution algebra is not a Hopf algebra, but these structures are sufficient to carry out constructions needed for later. In particular, we prove an analogue of Serre relation for integrable representations, which is used in the proof of the Steinberg tensor product theorem in the next section.

9.1. Coproduct. We construct a localized coproduct. This depends on a choice of $\gamma = \gamma_1 \times \gamma_2$ with $\gamma_i : \Lambda_n \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{w_i} \times \mathbb{C}^*$ and $w = w_1 + w_2$, together with a decomposition $W \cong W_1 \oplus W_2$ as I -graded vector spaces. Such a choice determines the embedding of groups $\mathrm{GL}_{w_1} \times \mathrm{GL}_{w_2} \subseteq \mathrm{GL}_w$, and an embedding $i : \mathfrak{M}(w_1) \times \mathfrak{M}(w_2) \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{M}(w)$ which is compatible with the actions. Let G be a subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_{w_1} \times \mathrm{GL}_{w_2} \times \mathbb{C}^*$ containing the image of γ such that $G = G_1 \times G_2$, where G_i is a subgroup $\mathrm{GL}_{w_i} \times \mathbb{C}^*$ containing $\mathrm{im} \gamma_i$. It induces the following embedding of the Steinberg varieties.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Z(w_1) \times Z(w_2) & \xhookrightarrow{i} & Z(w) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (\mathfrak{M}(w_1) \times \mathfrak{M}(w_1)) \times (\mathfrak{M}(w_2) \times \mathfrak{M}(w_2)) & \xhookrightarrow{\quad} & \mathfrak{M}(w) \times \mathfrak{M}(w) \end{array}$$

Let N be the normal bundle of $\mathfrak{M}(w_1) \times \mathfrak{M}(w_2) \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{M}(w)$. We denote by N_2 the pullback of N via the second projection $(\mathfrak{M}(w_1) \times \mathfrak{M}(w_2))^2 \xrightarrow{pr_2} \mathfrak{M}(w_1) \times \mathfrak{M}(w_2)$. Its restriction to the subvariety $Z(w_1) \times Z(w_2)$ is still denoted by N_2 . We define $\Delta_{w_1, w_2}^{\mathrm{loc}} : E_{n, G}^*(Z(w)) \rightarrow E_{n, G}^*(Z(w_1) \times Z(w_2))_{\mathrm{loc}}$ as $\Delta_{w_1, w_2}^{\mathrm{loc}} := \frac{i^*}{e(N_2)}$ which induces a map

$$\Delta_{w_1, w_2}^{\mathrm{loc}} : U_w(G, \mathbb{F}) \rightarrow U_{w_1}(G_1, \mathbb{F}) \otimes U_{w_2}(G_2, \mathbb{F})_{\mathrm{loc}}.$$

We simply write Δ^{loc} if w_1 and w_2 are understood from context.

Proposition 9.1. *The map Δ^{loc} is co-associative in the obvious sense, and is an algebra homomorphism.*

Proof. Let $\gamma_i : \Lambda_n \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{w_i} \times \mathbb{C}^*$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$ be group homomorphisms with $\gamma = \gamma_1 \times \gamma_2 \times \gamma_3$. We have the embeddings

$$\begin{aligned} i_{w_a, w_b} &: \mathfrak{M}(w_a) \times \mathfrak{M}(w_b) \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{M}(w_a + w_b), 1 \leq a < b \leq 3 \\ i_{w_a + w_b, w_c} &: \mathfrak{M}(w_a + w_b) \times \mathfrak{M}(w_c) \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{M}(w_a + w_b + w_c), 1 \leq a \neq b \neq c \leq 3 \\ i_{w_1, w_2, w_3} &: \mathfrak{M}(w_1) \times \mathfrak{M}(w_2) \times \mathfrak{M}(w_3) \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{M}(w_1 + w_2 + w_3). \end{aligned}$$

The normal bundle of i_{w_1, w_2, w_3} is an extension of that of $i_{w_1 + w_2, w_3}$ by that of $i_{w_1, w_2} \times \mathrm{id}_{\mathfrak{M}(w_3)}$; similarly it is an extension of the normal bundle of $i_{w_1, w_2 + w_3}$ by that of $\mathrm{id}_{\mathfrak{M}(w_1)} \times i_{w_2, w_3}$. This implies the co-associativity.

The fact that Δ^{loc} is an algebra homomorphism follows from a similar proof as that of Theorem 5.2. \square

Let $U_w := U_w(G, \mathbb{F})$ denote the convolution algebra for short. The flipping of the two copies of the quiver varieties $\mathfrak{M}(w) \times_{\mathfrak{M}_0(w)} \mathfrak{M}(w) \xrightarrow{(1,2)} \mathfrak{M}(w) \times_{\mathfrak{M}_0(w)} \mathfrak{M}(w)$ induces an anti-homomorphism, or equivalently a homomorphism

$$\sigma : U_w \rightarrow U_w^{\mathrm{op}}$$

on the convolution algebras. By construction, $M_w := E_{n, G}^*(\mathfrak{M}(w))$ is a right module of U_w . The contragredient dual M_w^\vee is the right module of U_w obtained from the obvious left module structure of the dual vector space, composed with σ .

Although we will not consider this in the present paper, it is worth to remark that the duality can also be realized via the opposite stability condition. More precisely, we have the isomorphism of quiver varieties with opposite stability conditions induced by the longest element of the Weyl group

$$\mathfrak{M}^{\theta^+}(w) \xrightarrow[\cong]{w_0} \mathfrak{M}^{\theta^-}(w).$$

Then U_w acts on $M_w \cong E_{n, G}^*(\mathfrak{M}^{\theta^-}(w))$ from the left using the above isomorphism. Moreover, the argument [CG10, (3.6.10)] implies that the standard modules of the convolution algebras are self-dual.

9.2. The adjoint representations. To begin with, we show there exists an antipode S for the coproduct Δ^{loc} . We have localized Drinfeld coproduct Δ^{Dr} on the COHA $\mathcal{H}(E^*, Q)$ constructed in [YZ16, Section 3]. When E^* is the cohomology theory, the antipode S^{Dr} of Δ^{Dr} is constructed in [RSYZ20, Section 2.1]. In general, the same formula works for an arbitrary formal group law, hence gives the antipode S^{Dr} of Δ^{Dr} for $\mathcal{H}(E^*, Q)$ when E^* is a generalized oriented cohomology theory. Let $\Phi_w : \mathcal{H}(E^*, Q) \rightarrow U_w(\mathbb{G}, E^*)$ be the algebra homomorphism from (6). The pair $(\Delta^{\text{Dr}}, S^{\text{Dr}})$ descends to the pair of Drinfeld coproduct and the corresponding antipode of the covolution algebra $U_w(\mathbb{G}, E^*)$ via Φ_w , still denoted by $(\Delta^{\text{Dr}}, S^{\text{Dr}})$.

The two localized coproducts Δ^{loc} and Δ^{Dr} of $U_w := U_w(\mathbb{G}, E^*)$ are related by a conjugation. That is, there exists an invertible element F in $E_{\text{GL}_{w_1} \times \text{GL}_{w_2} \times \mathbb{C}^*}^*(\mathfrak{M}(w_1) \times \mathfrak{M}(w_2))_{\text{loc}}$, for $w = w_1 + w_2$ such that,

$$\Delta^{\text{Dr}} = F^{-1} * \Delta^{\text{loc}} * F : U_w \rightarrow (U_{w_1} \otimes U_{w_2})_{\text{loc}}.$$

See [VV02, Section 7.2] for the construction of F when E^* is the K -theory, where Δ^{loc} is denoted by Δ'_U , Δ^{Dr} is denoted by Δ°_U , and F is denoted by Ω . In other words, Δ^{loc} is obtained from Δ^{Dr} by twisting via F . By [KT94, Proposition 5.1], Δ^{loc} has an antipode, denoted by S , constructed from F and S^{Dr} .

There is an action of U_w on the space $\text{Hom}(L_{w_1}, M_{w_2})$ as follows. Identify $\text{Hom}(L_{w_1}, M_{w_2})$ with $L_{w_1}^\vee \otimes M_{w_2}$ as vector spaces. Let U_w act on $L_{w_1}^\vee$ by $(X \cdot f)(a) := f(S(X) \cdot a)$, where $X \in U_w$, $f \in L_{w_1}^\vee$ and $a \in L_{w_1}$. This gives an action of U_w on $\text{Hom}(L_{w_1}, M_{w_2})$ via the algebra homomorphism

$$U_w \xrightarrow{\Delta_{w_1, w_2}^{\text{loc}}} (U_{w_1} \otimes U_{w_2})_{\text{loc}} \xrightarrow{S \otimes 1} (U_{w_1}^{\text{op}} \otimes U_{w_2})_{\text{loc}}.$$

Let $V_{\bar{w}}$ be a module of $U_{\bar{w}}$. In the case when $w_1 = w_2 = \bar{w}$. We call the action

$$\text{ad} : U_{2\bar{w}} \otimes \text{Hom}(V(\bar{w}), V(\bar{w})) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(V(\bar{w}), V(\bar{w}))$$

the adjoint representation of $U_{2\bar{w}}$.

Pick an element X in $E_{n,G}^*(\mathfrak{P}(v, v + k\alpha_i, 2\bar{w}))$, for some $k \geq 2$, and $i \in I$. The coproduct gives us

$$(20) \quad E_{n,G}^*(\mathfrak{P}(v, v + k\alpha_i, 2\bar{w})) \xrightarrow{\Delta^{\text{loc}}} \bigoplus_{\substack{v_1+v_2=v, \\ k_1+k_2=k}} (E_{n,G}^*(\mathfrak{P}(v_1, v_1 + k_1\alpha_i, \bar{w})) \otimes E_{n,G}^*(\mathfrak{P}(v_2, v_2 + k_2\alpha_i, \bar{w})))_{\text{loc}},$$

$$X \mapsto X_1 \otimes X_2$$

Let Y be an element in $E_{n,G}^*(\mathfrak{P}(v', v' + \alpha_j, \bar{w}))$. We define an operation

$$E_{n,G}^*(\mathfrak{P}(v, v + k\alpha_i, 2\bar{w})) \otimes E_{n,G}^*(\mathfrak{P}(v', v' + \alpha_j, \bar{w})) \rightarrow E_{n,G}^*(\mathfrak{P}(\bar{w}))_{\text{loc}}, \quad (X, Y) \mapsto \text{ad}(X)(Y)$$

as follows. Here σ means considering SX_1 as a class on $\mathfrak{P}_{\bar{w}}^{\text{op}}$. We view

$$\text{ad}(X) := (S\sigma \otimes 1)\Delta^{\text{loc}}(X) = S(\sigma X_1) \otimes X_2$$

as an element in $E_{n,G}^*(\mathfrak{P}_{\bar{w}}^{\text{op}} \times \mathfrak{P}_{\bar{w}})_{\text{loc}}$. Recall we have the embedding

$$\mathfrak{P}_{\bar{w}}^{\text{op}} \times \mathfrak{P}_{\bar{w}} \subset M_2 \times M_1 \times M_3 \times M_4,$$

where $M_i = \mathfrak{M}(\bar{w})$. The element Y in $E_{n,G}^*(\mathfrak{P}(v', v' + \alpha_j, \bar{w}))$. We have the embedding $\mathfrak{P}(v', v' + \alpha_j, \bar{w}) \subset M_2 \times M_3$. Consider the following correspondence

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M_1 \times \Delta(M_2) \times \Delta(M_3) \times M_4 & \xrightarrow{\pi_{14}} & M_1 \times M_4 \\ \downarrow \Delta & & \\ (M_2 \times M_1 \times M_3 \times M_4) \times (M_2 \times M_3) & & \end{array}$$

We define

$$\text{ad}(X)(Y) := (\pi_{14})_* \Delta^*(\text{ad}(X) \boxtimes Y).$$

Lemma 9.2. *We have the expansion*

$$\mathrm{ad}(X)Y = S(X') * Y + Y * X'' + \text{l.o.t.},$$

where $*$ means the convolution product, and *l.o.t.* consists of the lower order, i.e., elements in $E_{n,G}^*(\mathfrak{P}(*, * + k'\alpha_i, \bar{w}))_{\mathrm{loc}}$ for $k' < k$.

Proof. To begin with, by (20), we have the expansion of $\mathrm{ad}(X)$ as

$$\mathrm{ad}(X) := (S \otimes 1) \circ \Delta^{\mathrm{loc}}(X) = (S \otimes 1)(X' \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes X'' + \text{l.o.t.} \otimes \text{l.o.t.}) = SX' \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes X'' + \text{l.o.t.} \otimes \text{l.o.t.},$$

where X' is an element in $E_{n,G}^*(\mathfrak{P}(v_1, v_1 + k\alpha_i, \bar{w}))$ and X'' is in $E_{n,G}^*(\mathfrak{P}(v_2, v_2 + k\alpha_i, \bar{w}))$. Now the lemma is reduced to the following equalities, which we claim to be true

$$\begin{aligned} (\pi_{14})_* \Delta^*((X' \otimes 1) \boxtimes Y) &= X' * Y, \\ (\pi_{14})_* \Delta^*((1 \otimes X'') \boxtimes Y) &= Y * X''. \end{aligned}$$

Recall that $X' * Y$ is defined as follows. For $X' \in E_{n,G}^*(\mathfrak{P}(v_1, v_1 + k\alpha_i, \bar{w}))$, and the embedding $\mathfrak{P}(v_1, v_1 + k\alpha_i, \bar{w}) \subset M_2 \times M_1$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & M_1 \times M_2 \times M_3 & \\ \pi_{12} \swarrow & \downarrow \pi_{13} & \searrow \pi_{23} \\ M_1 \times M_2 & M_1 \times M_3 & M_2 \times M_3 \end{array}$$

By definition, $X' * Y := (\pi_{13})_*(\pi_{12}^*(X') \cdot \pi_{23}^*(Y))$. Note that $X' \otimes 1$ is supported on $M_2 \times M_1 \times \Delta(M_3) \subset M_2 \times M_1 \times M_3 \times M_4$. This implies the claim about X' . The claim about X'' is similar. \square

9.3. The Serre relation. The following is a version of the Serre relation.

Proposition 9.3. *For any elements $X \in U_{2\bar{w}}$ and $Y \in U_{\bar{w}}$ with $X \in \bigoplus_v E_{n,G}^*(\mathfrak{P}(v, v + k\alpha_i, 2\bar{w}))$ being a Chern class of the tautological vector bundle $\mathcal{V}_i^{(k)} \rightarrow \mathfrak{P}(v, v + k\alpha_i, 2\bar{w})$ or $\mathcal{V}_i^{(k),\mathrm{op}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{P}(v + k\alpha_i, v, 2\bar{w})$ and $Y \in \bigoplus_{v'} E_{n,G}^*(\mathfrak{P}(v', v' + \alpha_j, \bar{w}))$ a Chern class of $\mathcal{L}_j \rightarrow \mathfrak{P}(v', v' + \alpha_j, \bar{w})$ or $\mathcal{L}_j^{\mathrm{op}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{P}(v' + \alpha_j, v', \bar{w})$, we have*

$$\mathrm{ad}(X)Y = 0, \text{ unless } k \leq 1.$$

By definition of the adjoint representation, we start with X which is a cohomology class on $\mathfrak{P}(v, v + k\alpha_i, 2\bar{w}) \subset \mathfrak{M}(v, 2\bar{w}) \times \mathfrak{M}(v + k\alpha_i, 2\bar{w})$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{ad}(X) &\in \bigoplus_{v_1+v_2=v, k_1+k_2=k} E_{n,G}^*(\mathfrak{M}(v_1, \bar{w}) \times \mathfrak{M}(v_2, \bar{w}) \times \mathfrak{M}(v_1 + k_1\alpha_i, \bar{w}) \times \mathfrak{M}(v_2 + k_2\alpha_i, \bar{w}))_{\mathrm{loc}} \\ &\cong \bigoplus_{v_1+v_2=v, k_1+k_2=k} E_{n,G}^*(\mathfrak{M}(v_1, \bar{w}) \times \mathfrak{M}(v_1 + k_1\alpha_i, \bar{w}) \times \mathfrak{M}(v_2, \bar{w}) \times \mathfrak{M}(v_2 + k_2\alpha_i, \bar{w}))_{\mathrm{loc}}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that the only non-zero component of $\mathrm{ad}(X)(Y)$ has $v_2 = v_1 + k_1\alpha_i + \alpha_j$, $v' := v_1 + k_1\alpha_i$. Let $v'' := v_2 + k_2\alpha_i = v_1 + k\alpha_i + \alpha_j$. Then,

$$Y \in E_{n,G}^*(\mathfrak{M}(v', \bar{w}) \times \mathfrak{M}(v' + \alpha_j, \bar{w})) = E_{n,G}^*(\mathfrak{M}(v_1 + k_1\alpha_i, \bar{w}) \times \mathfrak{M}(v_2, \bar{w}))$$

$$\text{and } \mathrm{ad}(X)(Y) \in E_{n,G}^*(\mathfrak{M}(v_1, \bar{w}) \times \mathfrak{M}(v'', \bar{w}))_{\mathrm{loc}}$$

Proof of Proposition 9.3. We rely on an argument similar to Nakajima [Nak01, Section 11], which has a modification of quiver varieties

$$\mathfrak{M}(v, w) \leftarrow \widehat{\mathfrak{M}}(v, w) \hookrightarrow \tilde{\mathfrak{M}}^0(v, w) \hookrightarrow \tilde{\mathfrak{M}}(v, w)$$

where the first map is a principal $\prod_{l \neq i} \mathrm{GL}_{v_l}$ -bundle, the second map is a closed embedding determined by the moment map equation, and the third map is an open embedding determined by the stability condition. We refer the readers to *loc. cit.* for the detailed definitions. For our purpose it suffices to mention that $\tilde{\mathfrak{M}}(v, w)$ parametrizes embeddings $\{V^{(i)} \rightarrow W^{(i)} \oplus (\bigoplus_{h:i \rightarrow j} V^{(j)})\}$. This modification induces the modification

of the Hecke correspondences. As we have $v_2 = v' + \alpha_j$, and $v'' = v_1 + k\alpha_i + \alpha_j$, thus, on the vertex j , we have $v''^{(j)} = v_1^{(j)} + \alpha_j$. In particular, the element Y comes from a cohomology class on the components

$$\mathfrak{P}(v', v_2, w) \leftarrow \hat{\mathfrak{P}}(v', v_2, w) \subset \tilde{\mathfrak{P}}(v', v_2, w) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} V'^{(i)} & \longrightarrow & W^{(i)} \oplus (\oplus_{h:i \rightarrow j} V'^{(j)}) \\ \parallel & & \downarrow \\ V_2^{(i)} & \longrightarrow & W^{(i)} \oplus (\oplus_{h:i \rightarrow j} V_2^{(j)}) \\ & & \downarrow \\ & & L^{(j)} \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\text{and } \mathfrak{P}(v_1, v', w) \leftarrow \hat{\mathfrak{P}}(v_1, v', w) \subset \tilde{\mathfrak{P}}(v_1, v', w) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} V_1^{(i)} & \longrightarrow & W^{(i)} \oplus (\oplus_{h:i \rightarrow j} V_1^{(j)}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ V''^{(i)} & \longrightarrow & W^{(i)} \oplus (\oplus_{h:i \rightarrow j} V''^{(j)}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ Q^{(i)} & \longrightarrow & L^{(j)} \end{array} \right\}$$

where $Q^{(i)}$ is of rank k at the vertex i , and $L^{(j)}$ is of rank 1 at the vertex j .

The correspondence on which $\text{ad}(X)$ lives is $\mathfrak{P}(v, v+k\alpha_i, 2\bar{w}) \cap (\mathfrak{M}(v_1, \bar{w}) \times \mathfrak{M}(v', \bar{w}) \times \mathfrak{M}(v_2, \bar{w}) \times \mathfrak{M}(v'', \bar{w}))$. The modification $\tilde{\mathfrak{P}}(v, v+k\alpha_i, 2\bar{w}) \cap (\mathfrak{M}(v_1, \bar{w}) \times \mathfrak{M}(v', \bar{w}) \times \mathfrak{M}(v_2, \bar{w}) \times \mathfrak{M}(v'', \bar{w}))$ parametrizes the following set of diagrams

$$(21) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} & & V'^{(i)} \\ & \nearrow & \parallel \\ V_1^{(i)} & \longrightarrow & W^{(i)} \oplus (\oplus_{h:i \rightarrow j} V_1^{(j)}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & V_2^{(i)} \\ & \searrow & \parallel \\ V''^{(i)} & \longrightarrow & W^{(i)} \oplus (\oplus_{h:i \rightarrow j} V''^{(j)}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ Q^{(i)} & \xrightarrow{B_{ij}} & L^{(j)} \end{array} \right\}.$$

Now let \mathcal{I} be the image of the map B_{ij} and let K be the kernel of B_{ij} . We take $K'' \subset V''^{(i)}$ to be the preimage of K under the projection $V''^{(i)} \rightarrow Q^{(i)}$. Then, there is a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow K'' \rightarrow V''^{(i)} \rightarrow \mathcal{I} \rightarrow 0.$$

Furthermore, since $V_2^{(i)} = V'^{(i)}$ and it maps to zero in $L^{(j)}$, we have $V_2^{(i)} \subset K''$. The space parametrizing diagrams (21) is isomorphic to the space parametrizing diagrams

$$(22) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} & & V'^{(i)} \\ & \nearrow & \parallel \\ V_1^{(i)} & \longrightarrow & W^{(i)} \oplus (\oplus_{h:i \rightarrow j} V_1^{(j)}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & V_2^{(i)} \\ & \searrow & \parallel \\ K'' \hookrightarrow V''^{(i)} & \longrightarrow & W^{(i)} \oplus (\oplus_{h:i \rightarrow j} V''^{(j)}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ K \hookrightarrow Q^{(i)} & \xrightarrow{B_{ij}} & L^{(j)} \end{array} \right\}$$

In particular, the inclusion $V_2^{(i)} \hookrightarrow V''^{(i)}$ becomes the composition of two inclusions

$$V_2^{(i)} \hookrightarrow K'' \hookrightarrow V''^{(i)}.$$

That is, (22) is the fiber product of

$$(23) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} & V^{(1)} & \\ & \parallel & \\ V_1^{(i)} & \longrightarrow & W^{(i)} \oplus (\oplus_{h:i \rightarrow j} V_1^{(j)}) \\ & \parallel & \\ & V_2^{(i)} & \\ & \parallel & \\ K'' & \xrightarrow{0} & W^{(i)} \oplus (\oplus_{h:i \rightarrow j} V''^{(j)}) \\ & \searrow & \downarrow \\ & & L^{(j)} \end{array} \right\}$$

and

$$(24) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} & V_1^{(i)} & \\ & \parallel & \\ V''^{(i)} & \longrightarrow & W^{(i)} \oplus (\oplus_{h:i \rightarrow j} V_1^{(j)}) \\ & \parallel & \\ & K'' & \\ & \parallel & \\ V''^{(i)} & \longrightarrow & W^{(i)} \oplus (\oplus_{h:i \rightarrow j} V''^{(j)}) \\ & \searrow & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{I} & \longrightarrow & L^{(j)} \end{array} \right\}$$

fibered over

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} V_1^{(i)} & \longrightarrow & W^{(i)} \oplus (\oplus_{h:i \rightarrow j} V_1^{(j)}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ K'' & \longrightarrow & W^{(i)} \oplus (\oplus_{h:i \rightarrow j} V''^{(j)}) \end{array} \right\}$$

As X is a tautological class, hence can be expressed in terms of Chern classes of the tautological quotient on $\mathfrak{B}(v, v + k\alpha_i, 2\bar{w})$ and its restriction to $\mathfrak{B}(v, v + k\alpha_i, 2\bar{w}) \cap (\mathfrak{M}(v_1, \bar{w}) \times \mathfrak{M}(v', \bar{w}) \times \mathfrak{M}(v_2, \bar{w}) \times \mathfrak{M}(v'', \bar{w}))$ can be expressed in terms of Chern classes of $\text{coker}(V_1^{(i)} \rightarrow V^{(i)})$ and $\text{coker}(V_2^{(i)} \rightarrow V''^{(i)})$, the latter in turn can be expressed in terms of those of \mathcal{I} and those of $\text{coker}(V_2^{(i)} \rightarrow K'')$. In other words, one computes $\text{ad}(X)(Y)$ as follows. Let $\Delta^{\text{loc}}(X) = \sum X_1 \otimes X_2$, and $\Delta^{\text{loc}}(X_2) = \sum X'_2 \otimes X''_2$, with X_1 , X'_2 and X''_2 coming from $\text{coker}(V_1^{(i)} \rightarrow V^{(i)})$, \mathcal{I} and $\text{coker}(V_2^{(i)} \rightarrow K'')$ respectively. Then, there exists a tautological class H depending on X_2 only such that $X_2 = (\sum X'_2 * X''_2) * H$. (An explicit formula of H can be found but not needed for the purpose of this paper.) Therefore,

$$\text{ad}(X)(Y) = \sum S(X_1) * Y * X_2 = \sum S(X_1) * Y * X'_2 * X''_2 * H.$$

We claim that X'_2 commutes with Y . Roughly speaking, K'' maps to 0 in $L^{(j)}$ and (24) is so that as if vertices i and j are not adjacent. If i and j are not adjacent, the operators commute and the adjoint action is

zero thanks to the antipode relation. Indeed, (24) is isomorphic to the space of diagrams

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} & K'' & \\ & \swarrow \quad \searrow & \\ V_1^{(i)} \subseteq V^{(i)} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & W^{(i)} \oplus (\oplus_{h:i \rightarrow j} V_1^{(j)}) \\ & \parallel & \downarrow \\ & K'' & \\ K'' & \xrightarrow{\quad} & W^{(i)} \oplus (\oplus_{h:i \rightarrow j} V''^{(j)}) \\ & \searrow \quad \swarrow & \\ & 0 & L^{(j)} \end{array} \right\}$$

This is the convolution of

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} K'' & \xrightarrow{\quad} & W^{(i)} \oplus (\oplus_{h:i \rightarrow j} V_1^{(j)}) \\ \parallel & & \downarrow \\ K'' & \xrightarrow{\quad} & W^{(i)} \oplus (\oplus_{h:i \rightarrow j} V''^{(j)}) \end{array} \right\}$$

with space of flags $\{V_1^i \hookrightarrow V^i \hookrightarrow K''\}$.

Let $\Delta^{\text{loc}}(X_1) = \sum X'_1 \otimes X''_1$. By co-associativity, we have

$$\sum X'_1 \otimes X''_1 \otimes X_2 = \sum X_1 \otimes X'_2 \otimes X''_2.$$

As S is the antipode, we have $\sum S(X'_1)X''_1 = \epsilon(X_1) = \sum X'_1 S(X''_1)$. Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ad}(X)(Y) &= \sum S(X_1) * Y * X'_2 * X''_2 * H \\ &= \sum S(X_1) * X'_2 * Y * X''_2 * H \\ &= \sum S(X'_1) * X''_1 * Y * X_2 * H \\ &= \sum \epsilon(X_1) * Y * X_2 * H. \end{aligned}$$

As $\dim(\mathcal{I}) \leq \dim L^{(j)} = 1$, we have $Y * X_2 = 0$ unless X_2 increases dimension vector by no more than e_j . If $k \geq 2$, then X_1 must increase dimension vector by $(k-1)e_i \geq e_i$. In this case, $\epsilon(X_1) = 0$. Therefore, $\text{ad}(X)(Y) = 0$ for $k \geq 2$. \square

Pick an arbitrary element X'' in $E_{n,G}^*(Z(v_2, v_2 + k\alpha_i, \bar{w}))$ with $k \geq 2$. There exists $X \in E_{n,G}^*(Z(v, v + k\alpha_i, 2\bar{w}))$, such that

$$\Delta^{\text{loc}}(X) = X' \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes X'' + \text{l.o.t.}$$

Let Y be a tautological class in $E_{n,G}^*(Z(v', v' + \alpha_j, \bar{w}))$, i.e., a generator of $U_{\bar{w}}^n$. By Lemma 9.2 and Proposition 9.3, we have

$$(25) \quad 0 = \text{ad}(X)Y = S(X') * Y + Y * X'' + \text{l.o.t.}$$

10. THE STEINBERG TENSOR PRODUCT THEOREM

In this section, we prove two results about irreducible representations of the new quantum groups. The first result (Theorem 10.1) is a comparison of the irreducible modules of $U_{\bar{w}}^n$ and the quantum loop algebra when the highest weights lie in the reduced region. The second result (Theorem 10.5) is the Steinberg tensor product formula.

10.1. Reduced highest weights. In this section we assume \mathbb{F} is an algebraically closed field endowed with a ring homomorphism $E_{n,\Lambda_n} \rightarrow L(E_n) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$. By an abuse of notation, in this section, the algebra U_w^1 and its representations are constructed from K -theory with coefficients in the field \mathbb{F} . The Morava theory E_1 is related to K -theory by a completion. That is, we write E for E_n , then $E_1 \rightarrow E_{K_1}$ induces the ring homomorphism $L(E_1) \rightarrow L(E_{K_1})$ as in Remark 6.2(1). Therefore, passing to an extension if necessary, we may assume there is a ring homomorphism $E_{1,\mathbb{Z}/p} \rightarrow L(E_1) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$. Let

$$X_{\text{red}}^+ := \{\lambda \in X \mid 0 \leq \langle \lambda, \alpha_i^\vee \rangle < p, \text{ for any } i \in I\}.$$

Theorem 10.1. *Assume $w \in X_{\text{red}}^+$. Let α be the group homomorphism satisfying the property P_w^n as in §8.3. Let $L_w^{(n)}(\alpha, \mathbb{F})$ be the simple representation of U_w^n . Then, we have an isomorphism of vector spaces*

$$L_w^{(n)}(\alpha, \mathbb{F}) \cong L_w^{(1)}(\alpha_1, \mathbb{F}).$$

Recall we have the group homomorphism

$$\alpha : \Lambda_n \rightarrow \text{GL}_w \times \mathbb{C}^*.$$

Write α as $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$, where $\alpha_i : \mathbb{Z}/p \rightarrow \text{GL}_w \times \mathbb{C}^*$, $1 \mapsto (g_i, \zeta)$.

Proof. The assumption of $w \in X_{\text{red}}^+$ implies each eigenspace of g_1 is one-dimensional. By the choices of α in §8.3, we have $Z^{\text{im}\alpha} = Z^{\text{im}\alpha_1}$ by Corollary 8.3(1).

For the above choice of α , we have the action of $\text{im}\alpha$ on Z . By [HKR00, Theorem C], we have the isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} L(E_n) \otimes_{E_n} E_{n,\text{im}(\alpha)}^*(Z) &\cong L(E_n) \otimes_{E_n} E_n^*(E \text{ im } \alpha \times_{\text{im } \alpha} (\sqcup_{\gamma \in \text{Hom}(\Lambda_n, \text{im } \alpha)} Z^{\text{im } \gamma})) \\ &\cong \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \text{Hom}(\Lambda_n, \text{im}(\alpha))} L(E_n) \otimes_{E_n} E_n^*(E \text{ im } \alpha \times_{\text{im } \alpha} Z^{\text{im } \gamma}) \end{aligned}$$

It decomposes the ring $L(E_n) \otimes_{E_n} E_{n,\text{im}(\alpha)}^*(Z)$ into direct sums. In particular, each summand is given by an idempotent of $L(E_n) \otimes_{E_n} E_n^*(\text{pt})$. Let 1_α be the idempotent coming from the identity map $1 \in \text{Hom}(\Lambda_n, \text{im } \alpha)$. We pick the direct summand $L(E_n) \otimes_{E_n} E_n^*(B \text{ im } \alpha \times Z^{\text{im } \alpha})$ by localizing at 1_α :

$$(L(E_n) \otimes_{E_n} E_{n,\text{im}(\alpha)}^*(Z))_{1_\alpha} \cong L(E_n) \otimes_{E_n} E_n^*(B \text{ im } \alpha \times Z^{\text{im } \alpha}).$$

Let $i_\alpha : Z^{\text{im } \alpha} \hookrightarrow Z$ be the embedding of the fixed points. The above isomorphism is induced by pullback i_α^* . Note that both sides have algebra structures by convolution. Similar to the proof of Theorem 5.2, we modify the above isomorphism by $\frac{1}{e^n(N_2)}$, where N_2 is the normal bundle of the embedding $\mathfrak{M}(w)^{\text{im } \alpha} \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{M}(w)$ of the second factor, and e^n is the Euler class in E_n -theory. Therefore, for the field \mathbb{F} containing $L(E_n)$ and $L(E_1)$, for $w \in X_{\text{red}}^+$, we have the following diagram

$$(26) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} (\mathbb{F} \otimes_{E_n} E_{n,\text{im } \alpha}^*(Z))_{1_\alpha} & \longrightarrow & (\mathbb{F} \otimes_{E_1} E_{1,\text{im } \alpha_1}^*(Z))_{1_{\alpha_1}} \\ \cong \downarrow \frac{i_\alpha}{e^n(N_2)} & & \cong \downarrow \frac{i_{\alpha_1}}{e^1(N_2)} \\ \mathbb{F} \otimes_{E_n} E_n^*(B \text{ im } \alpha \times Z^{\text{im } \alpha}) & \xlongequal{\quad} & \mathbb{F} \otimes_{E_1} E_1^*(B \text{ im } \alpha_1 \times Z^{\text{im } \alpha_1}) \end{array}$$

Here e^1 is the K -theory Euler class. The top horizontal arrow is defined to be the composition of the three other isomorphisms in the diagram. It is an algebra homomorphism since $e^n(N_2)$ and $e^1(N_2)$ agree in $L_n^*(B \text{ im } \alpha \times Z^{\text{im } \alpha})$.

This implies the isomorphism of the representations $L_w^{(n)}(\alpha, \mathbb{F}) \cong L_w^{(1)}(\alpha_1, \mathbb{F})$. This finishes the proof. \square

Recall that the subalgebra U_w^n of U_w^n is generated by the tautological classes in

$$E_{n,\mathbb{G}}^*(\mathfrak{B}(v, v+1, w)) \text{ and } E_{n,\mathbb{G}}^*(\mathfrak{M}(v+1, v, w)).$$

Corollary 10.2. *Assume $w \in X_{\text{red}}^+$. The isomorphism $(U_w^n)_{1_\alpha} \cong (U_w^1)_{1_{\alpha_1}}$ from (26) induces an isomorphism of the subalgebra $(U_w^m)_{1_\alpha} \cong (U_w^1)_{1_{\alpha_1}}$.*

Proof. Note that the normal bundle of the embeddings above can be expressed in terms of tautological bundles. It is straightforward to check the isomorphism maps the subalgebra $(U_w^m)_{1_\alpha}$ to $(U_w^1)_{1_{\alpha_1}}$. \square

In the quantum loop case, $U_w^1(G, \mathbb{F})$ is the image of the De Concini-Kac form in $K_G(Z(w))_{\mathbb{F}}$. By [Nak01, Theorem 14.3.2], we have $L_w^{(1)}(\alpha, \mathbb{F})$ is an irreducible module over the quantum loop algebra and an irreducible module of the convolution algebra simultaneously.

Denote by $\text{Res } L_w^{(n)}(\alpha, \mathbb{F})$ the restriction of the irreducible module $L_w^{(n)}(\alpha, \mathbb{F})$ to U_w^m .

Corollary 10.3. *Let $w \in X_{\text{red}}^+$. Then, $\text{Res } L_w^{(n)}(\alpha, \mathbb{F})$ is an irreducible module over U_w^m .*

Proof. Let $(P_i)_{i \in I}$ be the Drinfeld polynomial determined by α . Hence $\deg(P_i) = w_i$ for each $i \in I$. As $w \in X_{\text{red}}^+$, each Drinfeld polynomial P_i is not divisible by $1 - au^p$ for any non-zero $a \in \mathbb{C}$ for degree reasons. Hence, by [CP97, Theorem 9.2] $\text{Res } L_w^{(1)}(\alpha, \mathbb{F})$ is irreducible over U_w^1 . The assertion now follows from Theorem 10.1 and Corollary 10.2. \square

10.2. The tensor product of modules with dynamical parameters. The coproduct from § 9.1 is a localized coproduct, which is enough for the purpose of establishing the Serre relations. In this section, as a preparation for the Steinberg tensor product formula, we consider the tensor product of irreducible modules of the convolution algebra using the coproduct constructed in Appendix A.

Let $w = w' + pw''$ and choose

$$A = \left[\begin{array}{c|c} \text{id}_{w'} & 0 \\ \hline 0 & t \text{id}_{pw''} \end{array} \right]$$

to be the torus where id_w is the identity matrix of size w . We then have the embedding of A -fixed points

$$\mathfrak{M}(w)^A = \mathfrak{M}(w') \times \mathfrak{M}(pw'') \subset \mathfrak{M}(w).$$

Fix a virtual bundle $T^{1/2}\mathfrak{M}(w) \in K_T(\mathfrak{M}(w))$, such that,

$$T\mathfrak{M}(w) = T^{1/2}\mathfrak{M}(w) + \hbar^{-1} \otimes (T^{1/2}\mathfrak{M}(w))^\vee,$$

referred to as the *polarization* of $\mathfrak{M}(w)$. Let $\Theta^{E^*} : K_G(\mathfrak{M}(w)) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(\text{Spec } E_G^*(w))$ be the group homomorphism determined by the Euler class of the G -equivariant vector bundle on $\mathfrak{M}(w)$. (See Appendix A and [ZZ15, Proposition 3.12] for details).

Let ρ be the Poincare line bundle on

$$\text{Spec}(E_G^*(\mathfrak{M}(w))) \times \mathbb{B},$$

as in Definition A.4, where $\mathbb{B} = \text{Spec } E_{\mathbb{C}^*}^*(\text{pt}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}^I = \text{Spec } E_{\mathbb{C}^*}^*(\text{pt}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \text{Hom}(\text{GL}_v, \mathbb{C}^*)$.

Note that, $\text{Spec } E_{\mathbb{C}^*}^*(\text{pt}) = F$ is the formal group of E^* . Thus, there is a group structure on \mathbb{B} . We denote this group structure by \otimes .

Definition 10.4. (1) Define the Weyl module with dynamical parameter to be

$$V_{w,\rho} := \Theta^{E^*}(T^{1/2}\mathfrak{M}(w)) \otimes \rho,$$

which is a line bundle on $\text{Spec}(E_G^*(\mathfrak{M}(w))) \times \mathbb{B}$. Let \mathcal{U}_w be the convolution algebra with dynamical parameters defined in §A.4. Then, $V_{w,\rho}$ carries a representation of the convolution algebra \mathcal{U}_w by (35).

(2) Let $L_{w,\rho}$ be the irreducible representation of \mathcal{U}_w that is obtain by taking the quotient of $V_{w,\rho}$.

For the purpose of the present paper, we fix a \mathbb{F} -point in \mathbb{B} so that representations are in the category of \mathbb{F} -vector spaces. In particular, the character of $L_{w,\rho}$ is the same as that of $L_w^{(n)}(G, \mathbb{F})$.

Given two Weyl modules $V_{w',\rho_1}, V_{pw'',\rho_2}$, which are line bundles on

$$\mathrm{Spec}(E_G^*(\mathfrak{M}(w'))) \times E^*(\mathrm{pt}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}^I \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{Spec}(E_G^*(\mathfrak{M}(pw''))) \times E^*(\mathrm{pt}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}^I$$

respectively. Define the tensor product of V_{w',ρ_1} and V_{pw'',ρ_2} to be

$$\left(\Theta^{E^*}(T^{1/2}\mathfrak{M}(w')) \boxtimes \Theta^{E^*}(T^{1/2}\mathfrak{M}(pw'')) \right) \otimes (\rho_1 \boxtimes \rho_2) \otimes \rho^{-1} \otimes \delta,$$

which is a line bundle on $\mathrm{Spec}(E_G^*(\mathfrak{M}(w'))) \times \mathrm{Spec}(E_G^*(\mathfrak{M}(pw''))) \times \mathbb{B}$. Here δ is the dynamical shift defined in (33). It carries a module structure of \mathcal{U}_w via the coproduct Δ^{E^*} (36).

Similarly, define the tensor product of irreducible modules

$$L_{w',\rho_1} \otimes_{\rho} L_{pw'',\rho_2} := (L_{w',\rho_1} \boxtimes L_{pw'',\rho_2}) \otimes \rho^{-1} \otimes \delta.$$

Then, \mathcal{U}_w acts on the tensor $L_{w',\rho_1} \otimes_{\rho} L_{pw'',\rho_2}$ via the coproduct Δ^{E^*} , as $L_{w',\rho_1} \otimes_{\rho} L_{pw'',\rho_2}$ is a quotient of $V_{w',\rho_1} \otimes_{\rho} V_{pw'',\rho_2}$ through the composition

$$V_{w,\rho} \rightarrow V_{w',\rho_1} \otimes_{\rho} V_{pw'',\rho_2} \twoheadrightarrow L_{w',\rho_1} \otimes_{\rho} L_{pw'',\rho_2}.$$

We obtain an \mathcal{U}_w -module homomorphism

$$L_{w',\rho_1} \otimes_{\rho} L_{pw'',\rho_2} \rightarrow L_{w,\rho}.$$

When we specialize the dynamical parameters to be trivial, the convolution algebra \mathcal{U}_w with parameter and the Weyl module $V_{w,\rho}$ with dynamical parameter become the usual convolution algebra U_w and the Weyl module $V_w(G) := E_{n,G}^*(\mathfrak{M}(w))$. We remark that the dynamical shifting naturally shows up when considering tensor product of modules, the reason for including it into the present paper.

Moreover, assume $p^i|w_1$ and $p^i|w_2$, and that $b_i \in G$ for $i = 1, \dots, t$, then $\Delta_{w_1, w_2}^{E^*}$ commutes with the Frobenii $\mathrm{Fr}_{n, n-t}$. The condition that G contains b_i is equivalent to asking G to contain the subgroup $\gamma \wr \langle b \rangle$ which is used in the construction of the Frobenii. The statement that $\Delta_{w_1, w_2}^{E^*}$ commutes with the Frobenii follows from the definition of Δ^{E^*} and the observation that the subvariety $Z(w_1) \times Z(w_2) \subseteq Z(w)$ is realized as the fixed locus of an element $g \in Z(G)$ and hence taking fixed points under g and α commutes.

10.3. The Steinberg tensor product theorem. Let $w = w' + pw''$, where $w' \in X_{\mathrm{red}}^+$ and $w'' \in X^+$. Let $\gamma : \Lambda_n \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_w \times \mathbb{C}^*$ be as in § 5.1 so that we have $\gamma = \gamma' \times \gamma''$ with $\gamma' : \Lambda_n \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{w'} \times \mathbb{C}^*$ and $\gamma'' : \Lambda_n \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{pw''} \times \mathbb{C}^*$.

We have a chain of ring homomorphisms $E_n \rightarrow E_{n,(\mathbb{Z}/p)} \rightarrow C_{n-1}^{\wedge} \rightarrow L(C_{n-1}^{\wedge}) \rightarrow \Phi_1^{L(C_{n-1}^{\wedge})}$ as in § 5.3. As in Remark 6.2(1), we also have $E_{n-1} \rightarrow C_{n-1}^{\wedge}$. In this section we assume that the field \mathbb{F} is endowed with a ring homomorphism $\Phi_1^{L(C_{n-1}^{\wedge})} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$.

Theorem 10.5. *Notations as above, there is an isomorphism of representations of U_w^n*

$$L_w^{(n)}(\gamma, \mathbb{F}) \cong L_{w'}^{(n)}(\gamma', \mathbb{F}) \otimes_{\rho} L_{pw''}^{(n)}(\gamma'', \mathbb{F}).$$

We notice that the trivial representation triv_w of any U_w^n for $w \in \mathbb{N}^I$ can be constructed geometrically. Indeed, following Nakajima [Nak01, Proposition 13.3] we have a standard module $M_{\gamma,x}$ depending on $x \in \mathfrak{M}_0(w)$, where $\mathfrak{M}_0(w)$ has a stratification with strata labelled by v_0 which determines the highest weight of $M_{\gamma,x}$ as $w - v_0$. The trivial representation is obtained at $v_0 = w$.

Start with the representation $L_w := L_w^{(n)}(\alpha, \mathbb{F})$ of U_w^n . It is a module over the subalgebra U_w^m by restriction. By Corollary 10.3, $L_{w'} := L_{w'}^{(n)}(\gamma', \mathbb{F})$ is irreducible over $U_{w'}^m$. Tensoring with the trivial representation $\mathrm{triv}_{pw''}$,

we have $L_{w'} \cong L_{w'} \otimes_{\rho} \text{triv}_{pw''}$, which is irreducible over U_w^m . This gives an U_w^m -injective homomorphism by irreducibility

$$L_{w'} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} V \hookrightarrow L_w,$$

where $V := \text{Hom}_{U_w^m}(L_{w'}, L_w)$ is the multiplicity space of $L_{w'}$ in L_w .

Lemma 10.6. (1) *We have the identification*

$$V \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(L_{w'}, L_w)^{U_{w'+w}^m}.$$

(2) *The space V carries an action of $U_{w'+w}^n$.*

Proof. We first prove (1). It is clear that

$$\text{Hom}_{U_{w'+w}^m}(\text{triv}, L_{w'}^{\vee} \otimes L_w) \cong \text{Hom}_{U_{w'+w}^m}(\text{triv}, \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(L_{w'}, L_w)) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(L_{w'}, L_w)^{U_{w'+w}^m}.$$

Here the module structure on the dual comes from the contragredient duality [CG10, (3.6.10)]. For any $U_{w'+w}^m$ -morphism $f : \text{triv} \rightarrow L_{w'}^{\vee} \otimes_{\rho} L_w$, the composition of $U_{2w'+w}$ -morphisms

$$L_{w'} \otimes_{\rho} \text{triv} \xrightarrow{\text{id} \otimes f} L_{w'} \otimes_{\rho} L_{w'}^{\vee} \otimes_{\rho} L_w \rightarrow \text{triv} \otimes_{\rho} L_w$$

gives an element in $\text{Hom}_{U_{2w'+w}^m}(L_{w'}, L_w)$. This proves an isomorphism

$$\text{Hom}_{U_{2w'+w}^m}(L_{w'}, L_w) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(L_{w'}, L_w)^{U_{2w'+w}^m}.$$

Now tensoring with the trivial representation, we have

$$\text{Hom}_{U_w^m}(L_{w'}, L_w) \cong \text{Hom}_{U_{2w'+w}^m}(L_{w'} \otimes_{\rho} \text{triv}, L_w \otimes_{\rho} \text{triv}) \cong \text{Hom}_{U_{2w'+w}^m}(L_{w'}, L_w).$$

This completes the proof of (1).

For (2), for simplicity of the notations, we write $\bar{w} = w' + w$. Note that $U_{\bar{w}}^n$ is generated by $E_{n,G}^*(Z(v, v + k\alpha_i, \bar{w}))$ for various $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $i \in I$. Pick an arbitrary X'' in $E_{n,G}^*(Z(v_2, v_2 + k\alpha_i, \bar{w}))$ with $k \geq 2$. Let Y be a tautological class in $E_{n,G}^*(Z(v', v' + \alpha_j, \bar{w}))$, i.e., a generator of $U_{\bar{w}}^m$. By (25), for any $f \in V$, we have

$$0 = \text{ad}(X)Y(f) = S(X') * Y(f) + Y * X''(f) + \text{l.o.t.}(f),$$

where the l.o.t. means the linear combination of product of elements in $E_{n,G}^*(Z(v, v + k'\alpha_i, w))$, where $k' < k$. As $Yf = 0$ for $Y \in U_w^m$ and $\text{l.o.t.}(f) = 0$ by induction on k , we conclude that $Y(X''f) = 0$. This shows $X''f \in V$. As can be seen from the proof of Lemma 9.2, X'' and X determine each other. The choice of X is arbitrary, and hence this induces an action of $U_{\bar{w}}$ on V . \square

Proof of Theorem 10.5. Consider the tensor product $L' := L_{w'} \otimes_{\rho} L_{pw''} := L_{w'}^{(n)}(\gamma', \mathbb{F}) \otimes_{\rho} L_{pw''}^{(n)}(\gamma'', \mathbb{F})$ and the U_w^m -homomorphism $L_{w'} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} V' \rightarrow L'$, where $V' := \text{Hom}_{U_w^m}(L_{w'}, L')$ is the multiplicity space for L' . We claim that $V' = L_{pw''}$. Indeed, U_w acts on the tensor $L' = L_{w'} \otimes_{\rho} L_{pw''}$ through the algebra homomorphism

$$U_w \xrightarrow{\Delta_{w', pw''}^{E^*}} U_{w'} \otimes U_{pw''}$$

The module $L_{pw''}$ is isomorphic to the Frobenius pullback $\text{Fr}_{n,n-1}^*(L_{w'}^{n-1})$, where $\text{Fr}_{n,n-1} : U_{pw''}^n \rightarrow U_{w'}^{n-1}$ is the Frobenius morphism. Restricting to the subalgebras, $\text{Fr}_{n,n-1}$ kills the generators of $U_{pw''}^n$, therefore U_w' acts trivially on $L_{pw''}$. This shows $L_{pw''}$ is the multiplicity space of $L_{w'}$ in L' .

Note that the natural map $V' \rightarrow V$ is an $U_{w'+w}^n$ -module homomorphism. As V' is irreducible over $U_{pw'}^n$ and hence irreducible over $U_{w'+w}^n$, we obtain that $V' \hookrightarrow V$ is injective. Now we have the following commutative diagram of $U_{2w'+w}^n$ -modules homomorphisms.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} L_{w'} \otimes V' & \xrightarrow{\cong} & L' \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ L_{w'} \otimes V & \xrightarrow{\quad} & L_w \end{array}$$

It implies that $L' \cong L_w$. This completes the proof. \square

11. CHARACTERS OF IRREDUCIBLE MODULES

We retain the assumptions on \mathbb{F} and γ as in § 10. In this section we study the character of the irreducible representations $L_w^n(\gamma, \mathbb{F})$ associated to \mathbb{F} and γ .

11.1. The ϵ, t -character. Following Nakajima [Nak04], we define the ϵ, t -character of certain modules of $U_w^n(\gamma, \mathbb{F})$ as follows. Let V, W be two $I \times (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^n$ -graded vector spaces. Denote by $V_{i,a}$ and $W_{i,a}$ the (i, a) -component respectively, where $i \in I, a \in (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^n$. Recall the Weyl module $V_w^n(\gamma, \mathbb{F})$ (19) is

$$\begin{aligned} V_w^n(\gamma, \mathbb{F}) &= \oplus_v \mathbb{F} \otimes_{E_{n,\Lambda_n}} E_{n,\mathbb{G}}^*(\mathfrak{M}(v, w)) \\ &\cong \oplus_v H_*^{BM}(\mathfrak{M}(v, w)^{\text{im } \gamma}; \mathbb{F}) \end{aligned}$$

where the second isomorphism follows from [HKR00, Theorem C]. The simple module $L_w^n(\gamma, \mathbb{F})$ as a quotient of $V_w^n(\gamma, \mathbb{F})$ also has the decomposition $L_w^n(\gamma, \mathbb{F}) = \oplus_{k,V,W} L_w^n(\gamma, F)_{k,V,W}$, where $L_w^n(\gamma, F)_{k,V,W}$ is the quotient of $\mathbb{F} \otimes H_k(\mathfrak{M}^{\text{im } \gamma}(V, W))$. Set

$$e^V := \prod_{i,a} V_{i,a}^{\dim V_{i,a}} \text{ and } e^W := \prod_{i,a} W_{i,a}^{\dim W_{i,a}} \in \mathbb{Z}[V_{i,a}, W_{i,a}]_{i \in I, a \in (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^n}.$$

Define the ϵ, t -character of $V_w^n(\gamma, \mathbb{F})$ and $L_w^n(\gamma, \mathbb{F})$ to be

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ch}_{\epsilon,t}(V_w^n(\gamma, \mathbb{F})) &:= \sum_{[V]} (-t)^k \dim_{\mathbb{F}} H_k^{BM}(\mathfrak{M}(v, w)^{\text{im } \gamma}; \mathbb{F}) e^V e^W \in \mathbb{Z}[t, t^{-1}, V_{i,a}, W_{i,a}]_{i \in I, a \in (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^n}. \\ \text{ch}_{\epsilon,t}(L_w^n(\gamma, \mathbb{F})) &:= \sum_{[V]} (-t)^k \dim_{\mathbb{F}} L_w^n(\gamma, F)_{k,V,W} e^V e^W \in \mathbb{Z}[t, t^{-1}, V_{i,a}, W_{i,a}]_{i \in I, a \in (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^n}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we define the *character* of $V_w^n(\gamma, \mathbb{F})$ and $L_w^n(\gamma, \mathbb{F})$ to be

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ch}(V_w^n(\gamma, \mathbb{F})) &:= \sum_{v \in \mathbb{N}^I} \dim(V_w^n(\gamma, \mathbb{F})_v) e^{\mu(v,w)} \in \mathbb{Z}[X] \\ \text{ch}(L_w^n(\gamma, \mathbb{F})) &:= \sum_{v \in \mathbb{N}^I} \dim(L_w^n(\gamma, \mathbb{F})_v) e^{\mu(v,w)} \in \mathbb{Z}[X]. \end{aligned}$$

Consider the ring homomorphism [Nak04, Section 2]

$$\Pi : \mathbb{Z}[t, t^{-1}, V_{i,a}, W_{i,a}]_{i \in I, a \in (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^n} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[y_i, y_i^{-1}], t \mapsto 1, \prod_{i,a} V_{i,a}^{v_{i,a}} W_{i,a}^{w_{i,a}} \mapsto \prod_{i,a} y_i^{u_{i,a}},$$

where $u^{i,a} := w_{i,a} - v_{i,a\epsilon^{-1}} - v_{i,a\epsilon} + \sum_{j: C_{ji} = -1} v_{j,a}$. We then have

$$\Pi \circ \text{ch}_{\epsilon,t}(V_w^n(\gamma, \mathbb{F})) = \text{ch}(V_w^n(\gamma, \mathbb{F})) \quad \text{and} \quad \Pi \circ \text{ch}_{\epsilon,t}(L_w^n(\gamma, \mathbb{F})) = \text{ch}(L_w^n(\gamma, \mathbb{F})).$$

We now compute the character $\text{ch}_{\epsilon,t}(L_w^n(\gamma, \mathbb{F}))$ using the Steinberg tensor product Theorem 10.5 and Theorem 10.1.

For an element $\hat{\xi} = \sum_{i,b} c_{i,b} V_{i,b}^{v_{i,b}} W_{i,b}^{w_{i,b}} \in \mathbb{Z}[t, t^{-1}, V_{i,b}, W_{i,b}]_{i \in I, b \in (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^{n-t}}$, we define

$$\hat{\xi}^{[t]} := \sum_{i,b} c_{i,b} \left(\prod_{\xi \in (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^t} V_{i,b\xi} \right)^{v_{i,b}} \left(\prod_{\xi \in (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^t} W_{i,b\xi} \right)^{w_{i,b}} \in \mathbb{Z}[t, t^{-1}, V_{i,b}, W_{i,b}]_{i \in I, b \in (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^n}.$$

The following is a direct consequence of Corollary 8.4.

Corollary 11.1. *Assume that w is divisible by p^t . Let $\alpha : \Lambda_t \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$ and γ' be as §5. We have*

$$(27) \quad \text{ch}_{\epsilon,t}(L_w^n(\alpha \times \gamma', \mathbb{F})) = \text{ch}_{\epsilon,t}(L_{w/p^t}^{n-t}(\gamma', \mathbb{F}))^{[t]}$$

as elements in $\mathbb{Z}[t, t^{-1}, V_{i,a}, W_{i,a}]_{i \in I, a \in (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^n}$.

Let $w = w^{(0)} + pw^{(1)} + \dots + p^{n-1}w^{(n-1)} + p^n w'$, where $w^{(i)} \in X_{\text{red}}^+$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$ and $w' \in X^+$. As in § 8.3, we assume $\gamma = \gamma_0 \times \dots \times \gamma_n$, where each $\gamma_i : \Lambda_n \rightarrow \text{GL}_{p^{i w^{(i)}}}$ can be written as $\gamma_i = \alpha_i \oplus \bar{\gamma}_i$ with $\alpha_i : \Lambda_i \rightarrow \text{GL}_{p^{i w^{(i)}}}$. For simplicity, we write $\text{ch}_{\epsilon,t}(L_w^1(\gamma, \mathbb{F}))$ as $\hat{E}_{w,\gamma}^1$. The formula $\hat{E}_{w,\gamma}^1$ is known for type ADE [Nak04, Theorem 8.4] in terms of the analogous Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials (see also [H04, Conjecture 6.6] for a generalization). Iterating (27) we obtain

$$(28) \quad \text{ch}_{\epsilon,t}(L_w^n(\gamma, \mathbb{F})) = (E_{w'}^{(0)})^{[n]} \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} (\hat{E}_{w^{(i)}, \bar{\gamma}_i}^1)^{[i]}.$$

as elements in $\mathbb{Z}[t, t^{-1}, V_{i,a}, W_{i,a}]_{i \in I, a \in (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^n}$.

11.2. Lusztig character formulas. In this section, we compute the character $\text{ch}(L_w^n(\gamma, \mathbb{F}))$ and compare it with Lusztig's character formula $E_w^{(n)} \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ constructed in [Lus15].

Recall that for an element $\xi = \sum_{\mu} c_{\mu} e^{\mu} \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$, we write $\xi^{[t]} := \sum_{\mu} c_{\mu} e^{p^t \mu} \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$. Note that for an element $\hat{\xi} \in \mathbb{Z}[t, t^{-1}, V_{i,b}, W_{i,b}]_{i \in I, b \in (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^{n-t}}$, we have the equality

$$\Pi(\hat{\xi}^{[t]}) = (\Pi(\hat{\xi}))^{[t]}.$$

As a consequence of the formula (27), we have the following.

Corollary 11.2. *Assume the weight w is of the form $w = p^n w'$ with $w' \in X^+$. Then,*

$$\text{ch}(L_w^n(\gamma, \mathbb{F})) = E_{w'}^{(n)}.$$

Proof. Lusztig's character formula satisfies $E_w^{(n)} = (E_{w'}^{(0)})^{[n]}$. By (27), we have

$$\text{ch}(L_w^n(\gamma, \mathbb{F})) = \text{ch}(L_{w'}^0(\mathbb{F}))^{[n]} = (E_{w'}^{(0)})^{[n]} = E_{w'}^{(n)}.$$

The middle equality follows from the discussion of Example 6.3. By [Nak98], $L_{w'}^0(\mathbb{F})$ is an irreducible representation of the enveloping algebra \mathfrak{g} over characteristic zero with highest weight w' . Therefore, its character is given by the Weyl character formula $E_{w'}^{(0)}$. \square

In general, we conjecture that

Conjecture 11.3. Let $w \in X_{\text{red}}^+$, there exists γ satisfying § 8.3 with

$$\text{ch}(L_w^1(\gamma, \mathbb{F})) = E_w^{(1)}.$$

This conjecture immediately implies the following conjecture thanks to formula (28).

Conjecture 11.4. For general w , there exists a group homomorphism γ such that

$$\text{ch}(L_w^n(\gamma, \mathbb{F})) = E_w^{(n)}.$$

Below we prove Conjecture 11.3 when the quiver Q is of type A . The proof relies on two facts. One of them is the well-known fact that $E_w^{(1)}$ is the character formula of the irreducible representation of Lusztig's quantum group at a p -th root of 1, which holds for any simple Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} . Another is a result of Chari and Pressley realizing in type A each irreducible representation of Lusztig's quantum group as a quotient of the standard module of the quantum loop algebra. In principle the latter could be done in all types using the formula for the ϵ, t -character. However, the combinatorics of both formulas are complicated, and hence we leave this out of the present paper.

11.3. Proof of Conjecture 11.3 in type A .

11.3.1. *Evaluation representations of quantum group at the roots of unity.* We recall some facts about the evaluation representations of the quantum loop algebra $U_\epsilon(L\mathfrak{sl}_{n+1})$, when ϵ is a root of unity. The references are [CP94] (for a generic parameter) and [AN06] (for a root of unity).

Consider the Lie algebra \mathfrak{sl}_{n+1} . Let $L\mathfrak{sl}_{n+1} = \mathfrak{sl}_{n+1} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]$ be the loop algebra of \mathfrak{sl}_{n+1} . We set $I = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Let $\{\alpha_i\}_{i \in I}$ be the set of simple roots of \mathfrak{sl}_{n+1} and $\{\varpi_i\}_{i \in I}$ be the fundamental weights given by

$$(29) \quad \varpi_i := \frac{1}{n+1} \left((n-i+1) \sum_{k=1}^i k\alpha_k + i \sum_{k=i+1}^n (n-k+1)\alpha_k \right).$$

Let ϵ be a primitive l -th root of unity and assume $\gcd(l, n+1) = 1$. We consider the quantum group $U_\epsilon(\mathfrak{sl}_{n+1})$ and the quantum loop algebra $U_\epsilon(L\mathfrak{sl}_{n+1})$ of restricted type defined by Lusztig [Lu87, CP97, AN06]. Let $U_\epsilon^{\text{fin}}(\mathfrak{sl}_{n+1}) \subset U_\epsilon(\mathfrak{sl}_{n+1})$ and $U_\epsilon^{\text{fin}}(L\mathfrak{sl}_{n+1}) \subset U_\epsilon(L\mathfrak{sl}_{n+1})$ be the \mathbb{C} -subalgebras of small quantum groups (see [AN06, Section 3.2] for the definitions). Every finite dimensional irreducible representation of $U_\epsilon^{\text{fin}}(\mathfrak{sl}_{n+1})$ (resp. $U_\epsilon^{\text{fin}}(L\mathfrak{sl}_{n+1})$) is a highest weight representation and is determined by the highest weight. There exists a one-to-one correspondence from the set of the highest weights to $(\mathbb{Z}/l\mathbb{Z})^n$ (resp. $\mathbb{C}_l[t]^n$, where $\mathbb{C}_l[t] = \{P \in \mathbb{C}[t] \mid P \text{ is not divisible by } 1 - ct^l \text{ for all } c \in \mathbb{C}^*\}$). We denote by $V(\lambda)$ the irreducible module of $U_\epsilon^{\text{fin}}(\mathfrak{sl}_{n+1})$ corresponding to $\lambda \in (\mathbb{Z}/l\mathbb{Z})^n$ and $V(\mathbf{P})$ the one of $U_\epsilon^{\text{fin}}(L\mathfrak{sl}_{n+1})$ corresponding to $\mathbf{P} = (P_1, P_2, \dots, P_n) \in \mathbb{C}_l[t]^n$.

Let $U_\epsilon(\mathfrak{gl}_{n+1})$ be the quantum group of \mathfrak{gl}_{n+1} . This is denoted by $U'_\epsilon(\mathfrak{sl}_{n+1})$ in [AN06, Definition 2.1 and Section 4], a notation which we avoid using in order to reduce clashes.

For any $a \in \mathbb{C}$, there exist evaluation homomorphisms of algebras [CP94, Proposition 12.2.10][AN06, Section 4 (4.2)]

$$\text{ev}_a^\pm : U_\epsilon^{\text{fin}}(L(\mathfrak{sl}_{n+1})) \rightarrow U_\epsilon^{\text{fin}}(\mathfrak{gl}_{n+1}).$$

For any $\lambda \in (\mathbb{Z}/l\mathbb{Z})^n$, we regard $V(\lambda)$ as a $U_\epsilon^{\text{fin}}(\mathfrak{gl}_{n+1})$ representation ([AN06, Section 6]). Let $V_a(\lambda)^\pm$ be the $U_\epsilon^{\text{fin}}(L(\mathfrak{sl}_{n+1}))$ -module obtained from $V(\lambda)$ by using the evaluation homomorphism ev_a^\pm , where [AN06, Definition 4.12] for $\lambda = (\lambda_i)_{i \in I} \in (\mathbb{Z}/l\mathbb{Z})^n$, we set $\lambda_{\varpi_i} := \sum_{j \in I} \lambda_j(\varpi_i, \varpi_i)$ and

$$a_+^\lambda := a\epsilon^{-\lambda_{\varpi_1} + \lambda_{\varpi_n} + n}, \quad a_-^\lambda := a(-1)^{n+1} \epsilon^{\lambda_{\varpi_1} - \lambda_{\varpi_n} + 2n+1}.$$

The evaluation representation $V_a(\lambda)^\pm$ is a finite dimensional irreducible $U_\epsilon^{\text{fin}}(L(\mathfrak{sl}_{n+1}))$ -module, as $V(\lambda)$ is irreducible as a $U_\epsilon^{\text{fin}}(\mathfrak{sl}_{n+1})$ -module.

Theorem 11.5. ([AN06, Theorem 4.8 and Theorem 4.13], see also [CP94, Proposition 12.2.13]) *There exists a unique Drinfeld polynomial $\mathbf{P}_a^\pm = (P_{i,a})_{i \in I} \in \mathbb{C}_l[t]^n$, such that*

$$V_a(\lambda)^\pm \cong V(\mathbf{P}_a^\pm) \text{ as a } U_\epsilon^{\text{fin}}(L(\mathfrak{sl}_{n+1})) \text{ module.}$$

Explicitly, let $i \in I$ such that $P_{i,a}^\pm \neq 0$. Then ,

$$P_{i,a}^\pm = \prod_{j=1}^{\lambda_i} (t - a^{-1} \epsilon^{c_{i,j}^\pm}), \text{ where } c_{i,j}^\pm := \pm \left(\sum_{k=1}^{i-1} \lambda_k - \sum_{k=i+1}^n \lambda_k + i \right) + \lambda_i - 2j + 1.$$

11.3.2. *Characters of evaluation representations.* Now let $w = (w^i)_{i \in I} \in X^+$ be the highest weight. We have the isomorphisms

$$K_{\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}}(\text{pt}) \cong \mathbb{C}[t^\pm]/(t^p = 1) \text{ and } K_{T_w \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\text{pt}) \cong \mathbb{C}[q^\pm] \otimes (\otimes_{i \in I} \mathbb{C}[z_1^{(i)}, \dots, z_{w^i}^{(i)}]).$$

Let $\gamma = (\gamma^1, \dots, \gamma^i) : \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow T_w \times \mathbb{C}^* \subset \text{GL}_w \times \mathbb{C}^*$ be a group homomorphism such that

$$\gamma^i : \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow T_{w^i} \times \mathbb{C}^*, 1 \mapsto \left(\begin{bmatrix} \zeta^{n_1^{(i)}} & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \zeta^{n_{w^i}^{(i)}} \end{bmatrix}, \zeta \right).$$

where each $n_j^{(i)} \in \{0, 1, \dots, p-1\}$. Then, γ induces the following ring homomorphism

$$K_{T_w \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\text{pt}) \rightarrow K_{\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}}(\text{pt}), q \mapsto t, z_j^{(i)} \mapsto t^{n_j^{(i)}}.$$

Corollary 11.6. *When the quiver is of type A, Conjecture 11.3 is true, with the choice of group homomorphism γ^\pm as above where $n_i^{(i)} = c_{i,j}^\pm$.*

The formula of $c_{i,j}$ is given in Theorem 11.5.

Proof. The irreducible module $V(\mathbf{P}^\pm)$ in Theorem 11.5 factors through the quantum group $U_w^1(\gamma^\pm, \mathbb{C})$ ([Nak01, Section 13])

$$U_\epsilon(L(\mathfrak{sl}_{n+1})) \rightarrow U_w^1(\gamma^\pm, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \text{End}(V(\mathbf{P}^\pm)).$$

In particular, we have the following equalities of characters

$$\text{ch}(V(\mathbf{P}^\pm)) = \text{ch}(V(w)) = E_w^1.$$

□

Consequently, Conjecture 11.4 is also true in type-A.

APPENDIX A. THE COPRODUCT AND STABLE ENVELOPE

In this section, we construct a coproduct of the convolution algebra associated to E^* . The construction relies on an E^* -class which is obtained from the Maulik-Okounkov stable envelope and the character map of Hopkins, Kuhn and Ravenal. We construct this E^* class in §A.2 and the coproduct in §A.5. The main theorem of this section is Theorem A.7 the proof of which makes use of Proposition 2.13.

A.1. The stable envelopes of Maulik-Okounkov. Let X be a non-singular algebraic variety with a holomorphic symplectic form ω . Assume there is a proper map $\pi : X \rightarrow X_0$ where X_0 is affine. Let \mathbf{G} be a reductive group which acts on X , so that ω transforms via a character of \mathbf{G} , and π is \mathbf{G} -equivariant. Let $A \subset T \subset \mathbf{G}$ be a subtorus such that ω is fixed by A . Let $\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{t} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ be the corresponding Lie algebras.

Let $\text{Cochar}(A) := \{\sigma : \mathbb{C}^* \rightarrow A\}$ be the lattice of cocharacters of A . We have the real vector space

$$\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{R}} = \text{Cochar}(A) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R} \subset \mathfrak{a}.$$

Define the torus roots to be the A -weights $\{\alpha_i\}$ occurring in the normal bundle to X^A in X [MO19, Definition 3.2.1]. Note that each weight α of A defines a rational hyperplane, denoted by α^\perp , in $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{R}}$. The root hyperplanes partition $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{R}}$ into finitely many (open) chambers $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{R}} \setminus \cup \alpha_i^\perp = \sqcup \mathfrak{C}_i$.

Let $\mathfrak{C} = \mathfrak{C}_i$ be a chamber for some i . For $Y \subset X^A$, we denote by $\text{Att}_{\mathfrak{C}}(Y)$ the set of points attracted to Y . By definition,

$$\text{Att}_{\mathfrak{C}}(Y) := \{(x, y) \in X \times Y \mid \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \sigma(t)x = y, \text{ for all } \sigma : \mathbb{C}^* \rightarrow A \text{ in } \mathfrak{C}\}$$

In particular, we have a limit map $\text{Att}_{\mathfrak{C}}(Y) \rightarrow Y$ and a closed embedding $\text{Att}_{\mathfrak{C}}(Y) \rightarrow X$. Define a partial order on the set of connected components of X^A as follows.

$$Z \geq Z' \Leftrightarrow \overline{\text{Att}_{\mathfrak{C}}(Z)} \cap Z' \neq \emptyset$$

For any connected component Z , define the *full attracting set* to be

$$\text{Att}_{\mathfrak{C}}^f(Z) := \bigsqcup_{Z' \leq Z} \text{Att}_{\mathfrak{C}}(Z').$$

As shown in [MO19, Lemma 3.2.7], $\text{Att}_{\mathfrak{C}}^f(Z)$ is closed in X .

Let $Z \subset X^A$ be a connected component. Denote by $\pi_Z : \text{Att}_{\mathfrak{C}}(Z) \times Z \rightarrow Z \times Z$ the natural map. Let

$$L_{\mathfrak{C}} := \overline{\cup_Z \pi_Z^{-1}(\Delta)} \subset X \times X^A$$

be the closure of the preimage of Δ , where the union is over all connected components of X^A . Then, $L_{\mathfrak{C}}$ is an A -invariant Lagrangian subvariety and $L_{\mathfrak{C}}|_{X \times Z}$ is supported on $\text{Att}_{\mathfrak{C}}^f(Z)$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & L_{\mathfrak{C}} \subset X^A \times X & \\ p_1 \swarrow & & \searrow p_2 \\ X^A & & X \end{array}$$

where $p_1 : L_{\mathfrak{C}} \rightarrow X^A$ and $p_2 : L_{\mathfrak{C}} \rightarrow X$ are the compositions of the inclusion $L_{\mathfrak{C}} \subset X^A \times X$ with the two projections from $X^A \times X$ respectively. Note that $L_{\mathfrak{C}}$ is a Lagrangian subvariety.

We fix a virtual bundle $T^{1/2}X \in K_T(X)$, such that,

$$TX = T^{1/2}X + \hbar^{-1} \otimes (T^{1/2}X)^{\vee},$$

referred to as the polarization of X . The product of the non-zero A -weights in the restriction of $T^{1/2}X$ to X^A gives a polarization ϵ in the sense of [MO19, Definition 3.3.1].

Choose a chamber \mathfrak{C} and a polarization $T^{1/2}X$. We have the following characterization of the stable envelope of Maulik and Okounkov. Let Z be a component of X^A and let N_Z be the normal bundle to Z in X . We have the T -invariant decomposition

$$N_Z = N_- \oplus N_+$$

into A -weights that are positive and negative on \mathfrak{C} respectively.

Theorem A.1. [MO19, Theorem 3.3.4 and Proposition 3.5.1] *There exists a unique cohomology class $\text{Stab}_{\mathfrak{C}}^{MO} \in H_T(X \times X^A)$ such that*

- (1) *The restriction of $\text{Stab}_{\mathfrak{C}}^{MO}$ to $X \times Z$ is supported on $\text{Att}_{\mathfrak{C}}^f(Z) \times Z$.*
- (2) *The restriction to $Z \times Z$ equals $e(N_-) \cap \Delta$, according to the polarization. Here $e(N_-)$ is the A -equivariant Euler class of N_- .*
- (3) *For $Z' < Z$, the restriction of $\text{Stab}_{\mathfrak{C}}^{MO}$ to $Z' \times Z$ has A -degree less than $\frac{1}{2} \text{codim}(Z')$.*

A.2. A Morava E^* -class. Following the same notations as before, let X be an algebraic variety with a symplectic form ω and a proper map $\pi : X \rightarrow X_0$. Let \mathbb{G} be a reductive group acting on X . Consider the inclusions $A \subset T \subset \mathbb{G}$ of subgroups, where T is the maximal torus and A is a subtorus. They satisfy the assumptions in §A.1.

Let $G \subset \mathbb{G}$ be a finite subgroup such that G commutes with A and that G fixes ω . For any group homomorphism

$$\alpha : \Lambda_t \rightarrow G \subset \mathbb{G},$$

as π is \mathbb{G} -equivariant, we have an induced proper map $\pi_\alpha : X^{\text{im } \alpha} \rightarrow X_0^{\text{im } \alpha}$ on the fixed point locus. The centralizer $C_{\text{im } \alpha}(\mathbb{G})$ of $\text{im } \alpha$ in \mathbb{G} acts on $X^{\text{im } \alpha}$. We have inclusions of subgroups $A \subset T \subset C_{\text{im } \alpha}(\mathbb{G})$, where T is the maximal torus of $C_{\text{im } \alpha}(\mathbb{G})$. By assumption, $\text{im } \alpha$ fixes the symplectic form ω , thus $X^{\text{im } \alpha}$ is symplectic.

We now apply the Maulik-Okounkov construction to the variety $X^{\text{im } \alpha}$ with actions of $C_{\text{im } \alpha}(\mathbb{G})$ and A . Choose the chamber \mathfrak{C} in $\mathfrak{a} = \text{Lie}(A)$ of A . As the actions of $\text{im } \alpha$ and A commutes, the decomposition $X^A = \sqcup_{Z \in \pi_0(X^A)} Z$ gives a decomposition

$$X^{\text{im } \alpha, A} = \sqcup_{Z \in \pi_0(X^A)} Z^{\text{im } \alpha}.$$

By definition, we have

$$\text{Att}_{\mathfrak{C}}(Z^{\text{im } \alpha}) = \{(x, y) \in X^{\text{im } \alpha} \times Z^{\text{im } \alpha} \mid \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \sigma(t)x = y, \forall \sigma : \mathbb{C}^* \rightarrow A \text{ in } \mathfrak{C}\} = \text{Att}_{\mathfrak{C}}(Z)^{\text{im } \alpha}$$

Let $\pi_\alpha : \text{Att}_{\mathfrak{C}}(Z^{\text{im } \alpha}) \times Z^{\text{im } \alpha} \rightarrow Z^{\text{im } \alpha} \times Z^{\text{im } \alpha}$ be the natural map. Let

$$L_{\mathfrak{C}, \alpha} = \cup_{Z \in \pi_0(X^A)} \overline{\pi_\alpha^{-1}(\Delta_{Z^{\text{im } \alpha}})} \subset X^{\text{im } \alpha} \times X^{\text{im } \alpha, A}.$$

be the Lagrangian subvariety. We then have

$$L_{\mathfrak{C}, \alpha} = L_{\mathfrak{C}}^{\text{im } \alpha}.$$

For each $\alpha \in \text{hom}(\Lambda_n, G)$, the stable envelope constructed in Theorem A.1 for $X^{\text{im } \alpha}$ induces $\text{Stab}_\alpha^{\text{MO}} \in L(E^*) \otimes_{E^*} E_T^*(L_{\mathfrak{C}}^{\text{im } \alpha})$, since $L(E^*)$ has additive formal group law.

Lemma A.2. *We have $\sum_\alpha \text{Stab}_\alpha^{\text{MO}} \in L(E^*) \otimes_{E^*} E_T^*(\sqcup_{\alpha \in \text{hom}(\Lambda_n, G)} L_{\mathfrak{C}}^{\text{im } \alpha})$ is G -invariant.*

Proof. Let g be an element in G . As G and A commute, the action of G on X gives a map

$$g : X^{\text{im } g^{-1}\alpha g} \times (X^{\text{im } g^{-1}\alpha g})^A \rightarrow X^{\text{im } \alpha} \times (X^{\text{im } \alpha})^A.$$

Furthermore,

$$g(\text{Att}_{\mathfrak{C}}(Z^{\text{im } g^{-1}\alpha g})) = \text{Att}_{\mathfrak{C}}(Z^{\text{im } \alpha}), \quad \text{and} \quad g(L_{\mathfrak{C}}^{\text{im } g^{-1}\alpha g}) = L_{\mathfrak{C}}^{\text{im } \alpha}.$$

Let

$$g^* : L(E^*) \otimes_{E^*} E_T^*(L_{\mathfrak{C}}^{\text{im } \alpha}) \rightarrow L(E^*) \otimes_{E^*} E_T^*(L_{\mathfrak{C}}^{\text{im } g^{-1}\alpha g})$$

be the pullback along g . Denote by $g^*(\text{Stab}_\alpha^{\text{MO}})$ the image of $\text{Stab}_\alpha^{\text{MO}}$ in $L(E^*) \otimes_{E^*} E_T^*(L_{\mathfrak{C}}^{\text{im } g^{-1}\alpha g})$. We now verify that

$$g^*(\text{Stab}_\alpha^{\text{MO}}) = \text{Stab}_{g^{-1}\alpha g}^{\text{MO}}.$$

This follows from the uniqueness in Theorem A.1. We spell out the argument below.

(1) The support condition. We know that $L_{\mathfrak{C}}^{\text{im } g^{-1}\alpha g}|_{X^{\text{im } g^{-1}\alpha g} \times Z^{\text{im } g^{-1}\alpha g}} = \text{Att}_{\mathfrak{C}}^f(Z^{\text{im } g^{-1}\alpha g})$. Under the g -action, it is mapped to $g(\text{Att}_{\mathfrak{C}}^f(Z^{\text{im } g^{-1}\alpha g})) = \text{Att}_{\mathfrak{C}}^f(Z^{\text{im } \alpha})$. Therefore, the restriction of $g^*(\text{Stab}_\alpha^{\text{MO}})$ to $X^{\text{im } g^{-1}\alpha g} \times Z^{\text{im } g^{-1}\alpha g}$ is supported on $\text{Att}_{\mathfrak{C}}^f(Z^{\text{im } g^{-1}\alpha g}) \times Z^{\text{im } g^{-1}\alpha g}$.

(2) The leading term condition. By definition $\text{Stab}_\alpha^{MO} |_{Z^{\text{im}\alpha} \times Z^{\text{im}\alpha}} = e(N_\alpha) \cap \Delta_{Z^{\text{im}\alpha}}$, where N_α is the normal bundle to $Z^{\text{im}\alpha}$ in $X^{\text{im}\alpha}$. Consider the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} N_-^{g^{-1}\alpha g} & \xrightarrow{g} & N_-^\alpha \\ \left(\begin{array}{c} \downarrow \\ \downarrow \end{array} \right)_{s_2} & & \left(\begin{array}{c} \downarrow \\ \downarrow \end{array} \right)_{s_1} \\ Z^{\text{im}g^{-1}\alpha g} & \xrightarrow{g} & Z^{\text{im}\alpha} \end{array}$$

where s_1, s_2 are the zero sections of N_-^α and $N_-^{g^{-1}\alpha g}$. We have the equalities

$$g^*(e(N_-^\alpha)) = g^*s_1^*s_{1*}(1) = s_2^*g^*s_{1*}(1) = s_2^*s_{2*}(1) = e(N_-^{g^{-1}\alpha g}).$$

Therefore,

$$g^*(\text{Stab}_\alpha^{MO}) |_{Z^{\text{im}g^{-1}\alpha g} \times Z^{\text{im}g^{-1}\alpha g}} = e(N_-^{\text{im}g^{-1}\alpha g}) \cap \Delta_{Z^{\text{im}g^{-1}\alpha g}}.$$

(3) The degree condition. For $Z' < Z$, we have

$$\deg_A(g^* \text{Stab}_\alpha^{MO} |_{Z'^{\text{im}g^{-1}\alpha g} \times Z'^{\text{im}g^{-1}\alpha g}}) = \deg_A(\text{Stab}_\alpha |_{Z'^{\text{im}\alpha} \times Z'^{\text{im}\alpha}}) < \frac{1}{2} \text{codim}(Z'^{\text{im}\alpha}) = \frac{1}{2} \text{codim}(Z'^{\text{im}g^{-1}\alpha g}).$$

We conclude that $\sum_\alpha \text{Stab}_\alpha^{MO}$ is G -invariant. \square

By an abuse of notation, we still denote by Stab_α^{MO} the image of Stab_α^{MO} under the map

$$L(E^*) \otimes_{E^*} E_T^*(L_\mathbb{C}^{\text{im}\alpha}) \rightarrow L(E^*) \otimes_{E^*} E_{\mathbb{C}^*}^*(L_\mathbb{C}^{\text{im}\alpha}).$$

We now apply the [HKR00, Theorem C] to the Lagrangian subvariety $L_\mathbb{C}$ with the action of $G \times \mathbb{C}^* \subseteq \mathbb{G}$, where G is a finite group fixing ω of X , and \mathbb{C}^* scales ω via a character. Applying the construction of [HKR00], we obtain a morphism

$$(30) \quad HKR : L(E^*) \otimes_{E^*} E_G^*(E_{\mathbb{C}^*} \times_{\mathbb{C}^*} L_\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow L(E^*) \otimes_{E^*} E^*(\sqcup_{\alpha \in \text{hom}(\Lambda_n, G)} E_{\mathbb{C}^*} \times_{\mathbb{C}^*} L_\mathbb{C}^{\text{im}\alpha})^G.$$

Note that [HKR00, Theorem C] applies to finite G -CW complexes only. Nevertheless, we claim that the morphism (30) is an isomorphism. Indeed, $B\mathbb{C}^* = \mathbb{P}^\infty$, and hence the above morphism can be realized as the limit of

$$HKR_k : L(E^*) \otimes_{E^*} E_G^*((\mathbb{C}^{k+1} \setminus \{0\}) \times_{\mathbb{C}^*} L_\mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{\cong} L(E^*) \otimes_{E^*} E^*(\sqcup_{\alpha \in \text{hom}(\Lambda_n, G)} (\mathbb{C}^{k+1} \setminus \{0\}) \times_{\mathbb{C}^*} L_\mathbb{C}^{\text{im}\alpha})^G.$$

By [HKR00, Theorem C], the above morphism HKR_k is an isomorphism. Thus, (30) is an isomorphism, which can be written as $L(E^*) \otimes_{E^*} E_{G \times \mathbb{C}^*}^*(L_\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow L(E^*) \otimes_{E^*} E_{\mathbb{C}^*}^*(\sqcup_{\alpha \in \text{hom}(\Lambda_n, G)} L_\mathbb{C}^{\text{im}\alpha})^G$.

Definition A.3. Define the E^* -class $\text{Stab}_\alpha^{E^*} \in L(E^*) \otimes_{E^*} E_{G \times \mathbb{C}^*}^*(L_\mathbb{C})$ by

$$\text{Stab}^{E^*} := HKR^{-1} \left(\sum_\alpha \text{Stab}_\alpha^{MO} e(N(X^{\text{im}\alpha} \subset X)) \right),$$

where $N(e(N(X^{\text{im}\alpha} \subset X)))$ is the normal bundle of the embedding $X^{\text{im}\alpha} \subset X$.

Let HKR_α be the restriction of HKR (30) to the component α . Modify HKR_α by $\frac{HKR_\alpha}{e(N(X^{\text{im}\alpha} \subset X))}$ and denote the resulting map $\sum_\alpha \frac{HKR_\alpha}{e(N(X^{\text{im}\alpha} \subset X))}$ by $\frac{HKR}{e(N(X^{\text{im}\alpha} \subset X))}$ for simplicity. Then, under the map $\frac{HKR}{e(N(X^{\text{im}\alpha} \subset X))}$, we have

$$\text{Stab}^{E^*} \mapsto \sum_\alpha \text{Stab}_\alpha^{MO}.$$

A.3. The dynamical twist. In this section, we define a version of convolution algebra and standard module with dynamical parameters. The usual standard modules are recovered when the dynamical twist is trivial. The dynamical twist is not necessary in the present paper, although it naturally appears in all the cohomology theories. We include it here for completeness and future references.

We begin with the parameter space of the dynamical parameters. This is motivated and reformulated from the dynamical shifts in [AO16, O20]. Let E^* be any oriented cohomology theory. Let $\tilde{G} := G \times \mathbb{C}^*$.

Suppose $X = \bar{X}/H$ is obtained by a GIT-quotient, and it carries a representation of G . For example, we may take X to be the quiver varieties. Let

$$\mathbb{B} := \text{Spec } E_{\mathbb{C}^*}^*(\text{pt}) \otimes \text{Hom}(H, \mathbb{C}^*).$$

We have the isomorphism of $\text{Spec } E_{\mathbb{C}^*}^*(\text{pt})$ with the formal group law F of E^* . There is a group structure on \mathbb{B} for which we denote by \otimes .

The projection $X = \bar{X}/H \rightarrow \text{pt}/H$ induces a map

$$(31) \quad \text{Spec}(E_{\tilde{G}}^*(X)) \times \mathbb{B} = \text{Spec}(E_{H \times \tilde{G}}^*(\bar{X})) \times \mathbb{B} \rightarrow \text{Spec}(E_H^*(\text{pt})) \times \mathbb{B}$$

Each character $\sigma \in \text{Hom}(H, \mathbb{C}^*)$ of H induces a morphism

$$\sigma : \text{Spec } E_H^*(\text{pt}) \rightarrow \text{Spec } E_{\mathbb{C}^*}^*(\text{pt}).$$

These morphisms give rise to the following natural map

$$(32) \quad \text{Spec}(E_H^*(\text{pt})) \times \mathbb{B} = \text{Spec}(E_H^*(\text{pt})) \times \text{Spec } E_{\mathbb{C}^*}^*(\text{pt}) \otimes \text{Hom}(H, \mathbb{C}^*) \rightarrow \text{Spec } E_{\mathbb{C}^*}^*(\text{pt}) \times \text{Spec } E_{\mathbb{C}^*}^*(\text{pt}).$$

Note that $\text{Spec } E_{\mathbb{C}^*}^*(\text{pt})$ is the formal group law F of E^* . On $F \times F$, we have the universal line bundle denoted by ρ_F . Indeed, we have a map $F \times F \rightarrow F \times \text{Pic}(F)$, $(a, b) \mapsto (a, \mathcal{O}(0 - b))$, the fiber of ρ_F at the point (a, b) is $\mathcal{O}(0 - b)_a$.

Definition A.4. Let ρ be the line bundle on

$$\text{Spec}(E_{\tilde{G}}^*(X)) \times \mathbb{B}$$

obtained by pulling back ρ_F along (32) and (31), called the Poincare line bundle.

Let V be a \tilde{G} -equivariant vector bundle on X , let $e^{E^*}(V) := s^* s_*(1) \in E_{\tilde{G}}^*(X)$ be the equivariant Euler class of V , where s is the zero section of V . Then, the ideal sheaf $(e^{E^*}(V))$ is a line bundle on $\text{Spec } E_{\tilde{G}}^*(X)$, denoted by $\Theta^{E^*}(V)$. The assignment $V \mapsto \Theta^{E^*}(V)$ extends to a group homomorphism [ZZ15, Proposition 3.12]

$$\Theta^{E^*} : K_{\tilde{G}}^*(X) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(\text{Spec } E_{\tilde{G}}^*(X)).$$

We are interested in the line bundle

$$\Theta^{E^*}(T^{1/2}X) \otimes \rho \quad \text{on} \quad \text{Spec}(E_{\tilde{G}}^*(X)) \times \mathbb{B}.$$

Recall we have the following two maps

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & L_{\mathbb{C}} & \subset X^A \times X \\ & \swarrow p_1 & \searrow p_2 \\ X^A & & X \end{array}$$

We have the pullback $p_2^* : E_{\tilde{G}}^*(X) \rightarrow E_{\tilde{G}}^*(L_{\mathbb{C}})$ (ring homomorphism) along p_2 . Let $\Theta^{E^*}(p_1)$ denote the image under Θ^{E^*} of the relative tangent bundle of p_1 . Note that due to the singularities of $L_{\mathbb{C}}$, the definition of $\Theta(Tp_1)$ is via the smooth embedding § 2.1 similar to [GKV95, 2.5.2]. Then, $\Theta^{E^*}(p_1)$ is a module over the ring $E_{\tilde{G}}^*(L_{\mathbb{C}})$. We view $\Theta^{E^*}(p_1)$ as a module over $E_{\tilde{G}}^*(X^A)$ via the ring homomorphism

$p_1^* : E_{\tilde{G}}^*(X^A) \rightarrow E_{\tilde{G}}^*(L_{\mathbb{C}})$. We have the pushforward along p_1 , which is a module morphism over the ring $E_{\tilde{G}}^*(X^A)$.

$$p_{1*} : \Theta^{E^*}(p_1) \rightarrow E_{\tilde{G}}^*(X^A).$$

Convolution with the class Stab^{E^*} from Definition A.3 induces the following morphism

$$p_{1*}(p_2^*(\bullet) \cdot \text{Stab}^{E^*}) : \Theta^{E^*}(T^{1/2}X) \otimes \rho \rightarrow \Theta^{E^*}(T^{1/2}X^A) \otimes \rho_A \otimes \delta,$$

where

- $\Theta^{E^*}(T^{1/2}X) \otimes \rho$ is a line bundle on the space $\text{Spec}(E_{\tilde{G}}^*(X)) \times \mathbb{B}$,
- $\Theta^{E^*}(T^{1/2}X^A) \otimes \rho_A$ is on $\text{Spec}(E_{\tilde{G}}^*(X^A)) \times \mathbb{B}$ with ρ_A the Poincare line bundle,
- The following line bundle on $\text{Spec}(E_{\tilde{G}}^*(X^A)) \times \mathbb{B}$

$$(33) \quad \delta := \Theta^{E^*}(T^{1/2}X^A)^{-1} \otimes \Theta^{E^*}(p_1)^{-1} \otimes \Theta^{E^*}(T^{1/2}X) \otimes \rho \otimes (\rho_A)^{-1}$$

For the embedding $X^A \subset X$, we have the natural map $\text{Spec}(E_{\tilde{G}}^*(X^A)) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(E_{\tilde{G}}^*(X))$. Here we restrict $\Theta^{E^*}(T^{1/2}X)$ to X^A . We call δ the dynamical shift.

Lemma A.5. *The degree of δ is zero.*

Proof. We know that ρ and ρ^A are degree zero line bundles. It suffices to show $\Theta^{E^*}(T^{1/2}X^A)^{-1} \otimes \Theta^{E^*}(p_1)^{-1} \otimes \Theta^{E^*}(T^{1/2}X)$ has degree zero.

We have

$$\begin{aligned} T^{1/2}X - Tp_1 - T^{1/2}X^A &= T^{1/2}X - T^{1/2}X^A - TL_{\mathbb{C}} + TX^A \\ &= T^{1/2}X + \hbar^{-1} \otimes (T^{1/2}X^A)^{\vee} - TL_{\mathbb{C}}. \end{aligned}$$

As $L_{\mathbb{C}}$ is Lagrangian, then $TL_{\mathbb{C}}$ is a polarization of $X \times X^A$. We have $T^{1/2}X + \hbar^{-1} \otimes (T^{1/2}X^A)^{\vee}$ is another polarization of $X \times X^A$. Thus, $T^{1/2}X - Tp_1 - T^{1/2}X^A$ is a difference of two polarizations. See [AO16, Section 3.2.2] for the formula in terms of Chern roots. Therefore, $\Theta^{E^*}(T^{1/2}X - Tp_1 - T^{1/2}X^A)$ has degree zero. \square

A.4. The convolution algebra and its module. In this section, we introduce the convolution algebra when there is a dynamical parameter. Let $Z_{12} \subset X_1 \times X_2$. Consider the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & Z_{12} & \subset X_1 \times X_2 \\ & \swarrow \pi_1 & \searrow \pi_2 \\ X_1 & & X_2 \end{array}$$

where $\pi_i : Z_{12} \rightarrow X_i$ are the composition of the inclusion $Z_{12} \subset X_1 \times X_2$ with the two projections from $X_1 \times X_2$ respectively. Let us consider

$$(34) \quad \mathcal{U}_{12} = (\Theta^{E^*}(T^{1/2}(X_2)) \otimes \rho_2)^{-1} \otimes \Theta^{E^*}(\pi_1) \otimes (\Theta^{E^*}(T^{1/2}(X_1)) \otimes \rho_1),$$

where we view $\Theta^{E^*}(T^{1/2}(X_i)) \otimes \rho_i$ as a module over $E_{\tilde{G}}^*(Z_{12})$ via π_i^* . The tensor product in (34) is over modules of $E_{\tilde{G}}^*(Z_{12})$.

We have, with $\Theta^{E^*}(T^{1/2}X) \otimes \rho$, the action map

$$(35) \quad \pi_{1*}\pi_2^* : \mathcal{U}_{12} \otimes (\Theta^{E^*}(T^{1/2}(X_2)) \otimes \rho_2) \rightarrow \Theta^{E^*}(T^{1/2}(X_1)) \otimes \rho_1.$$

A.5. The coproduct. In this section, we define the coproduct Δ^{E^*} of the convolution algebra in a similar way as [Nak12]. Taking the opposite chamber \mathbb{C}^{op} in $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{R}}$, we have the Lagrangian subvariety $L_{\mathbb{C}^{\text{op}}} \subset X \times X^A$. Let $(\text{Stab}_{\mathbb{C}^{\text{op}}}^{E^*})^{-1} \in L(E^*) \otimes_{E^*} E^*(E\tilde{G} \times_{\tilde{G}} L_{\mathbb{C}^{\text{op}}})$ be the E^* class such that

$$\frac{HKR}{e(N(X^{\text{im } \alpha A} \subset X^A))} ((\text{Stab}_{\mathbb{C}^{\text{op}}}^{E^*})^{-1}) = (\text{Stab}_{\alpha, \mathbb{C}^{\text{op}}}^{MO})^{-1},$$

where $(\text{Stab}_{\alpha, \mathbb{C}^{\text{op}}}^{MO})^{-1}$ is the class c^{-1} defined in [Nak12, Proposition 3.7] by taking c to be $\text{Stab}_{\alpha, \mathbb{C}^{\text{op}}}^{MO}$. Explicitly, let $(\text{Stab}_{\alpha, \mathbb{C}^{\text{op}}}^{MO})^{-}$ to be the class defined similarly to $\text{Stab}_{\alpha, \mathbb{C}}^{MO}$ by choosing the opposite chamber \mathbb{C}^{op} and swapping the first the second factor of $X^A \times X$. Define

$$(\text{Stab}_{\alpha, \mathbb{C}^{\text{op}}}^{MO})^{-1} := ((\text{Stab}_{\alpha, \mathbb{C}^{\text{op}}}^{MO})^{-} * \text{Stab}_{\alpha, \mathbb{C}}^{MO})^{-1} * (\text{Stab}_{\alpha, \mathbb{C}^{\text{op}}}^{MO})^{-},$$

where $*$ is the convolution.

Consider the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & L_{\mathbb{C}^{\text{op}}} \times L_{\mathbb{C}} \subset (X_1 \times X_1^A) \times (X_2^A \times X_2) & & \\ & \swarrow p_{12} \times p_{34} & \downarrow p_{23} & \searrow p_{14} & \\ (X_1 \times X_1^A) \times (X_2^A \times X_2) & & X_1^A \times X_2^A & & X_1 \times X_2 \end{array}$$

where p_{ij} are the composition of the inclusion $L_{\mathbb{C}^{\text{op}}} \times L_{\mathbb{C}} \subset X_1 \times X_1^A \times X_2^A \times X_2$ with the (i, j) th projection.

Motivated by the construction of coproduct in [Nak12], we consider the convolution

$$p_{23*} (p_{12}^* (\text{Stab}_{\mathbb{C}^{\text{op}}}^{E^*})^{-1} \cdot p_{14}^*(\bullet) \cdot p_{34}^* (\text{Stab}_{\mathbb{C}}^{E^*})).$$

It induces the following morphism, which will be the coproduct of the convolution algebra.

$$(36) \quad \Delta^{E^*} : \mathcal{U}_{12} \rightarrow (\Theta^{E^*}(T^{1/2}(X_2^A)) \otimes \rho_{2,A} \otimes \delta_2)^{-1} \otimes \Theta^{E^*}(\pi_1^A) \otimes (\Theta^{E^*}(T^{1/2}(X_1^A)) \otimes \rho_{1,A} \otimes \delta_1) =: \mathcal{U}_{12}^A,$$

where $\pi_1^A : Z_{12}^A \rightarrow X_1^A$ and where $\rho_{i,A}$ is the Poincare line bundle on $\text{Spec}(E_G^*(X_i^A)) \times \mathbb{B}$ and δ_i is the dynamical shifts in (33). The morphism is induced from the composition of the following map

$$E_G^*(X_1 \times X_2) \otimes \Theta^{E^*}(p_{23}) \xrightarrow{p_{14}^*} E_G^*(L_{\mathbb{C}^{\text{op}}} \times L_{\mathbb{C}}) \otimes \Theta^{E^*}(p_{23}) \xrightarrow{(\text{Stab}_{\mathbb{C}^{\text{op}}}^{E^*})^{-1} \boxtimes \text{Stab}_{\mathbb{C}}^{E^*}} \Theta^{E^*}(p_{23}) \xrightarrow{(p_{23})_*} E_G^*(X_1^A \times X_2^A).$$

The coproduct (36) is compactible with their respect actions on the modules. That is, the following diagram commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{U}_{12} \otimes \Theta^{E^*}(T^{1/2}X_2 \otimes \rho_2) & \xrightarrow{\Delta^{E^*} \otimes \text{Stab}_{X_2}} & \mathcal{U}_{12}^A \otimes \Theta^{E^*}(T^{1/2}X_2^A \otimes \rho_{2,A} \otimes \delta_2) \\ \downarrow \text{action} & & \downarrow \text{action} \\ \Theta^{E^*}(T^{1/2}X_1 \otimes \rho_1) & \xrightarrow{\text{Stab}_{X_1}} & \Theta^{E^*}(T^{1/2}X_1^A \otimes \rho_{1,A} \otimes \delta_1) \end{array}$$

Recall that we denote by $\frac{HKR}{e(N(X_1^{\text{im } \alpha A} \subset X_1^A))}$ the modified map $\sum_{\alpha} \frac{HKR_{\alpha}}{e(N(X_1^{\text{im } \alpha A} \subset X_1^A))}$ of HKR. Let Δ^{MO} be the coproduct defined using the Maulik-Okounkov stable classes, that is, $\Delta^{MO} := p_{23*} (p_{12}^* (\text{Stab}_{\mathbb{C}^{\text{op}}}^{MO})^{-1} \cdot p_{14}^*(\bullet) \cdot p_{34}^* (\text{Stab}_{\mathbb{C}}^{MO}))$.

Lemma A.6. We have $(\frac{HKR}{e(N(X_1^{\text{im } \alpha A} \subset X_1^A))}) \Delta^{E^*}(x) = \Delta^{MO}(\frac{HKR}{e(N(X_2^{\text{im } \alpha} \subset X_2)}) (x)$, for any $x \in \mathcal{U}_{12}$.

Proof. We apply Proposition 2.13 with $M_1 = X_1^A \times X_2^A$, $M_2 := X_1 \times X_2$ and $M_3 = \text{pt}$. Denote $L(E^*) \otimes_{E^*} E_G^*(-)$ by $L(E^*)_{\tilde{G}}(-)$ for short, where $\tilde{G} = G \times \mathbb{C}^*$. When applying the [HKR00, Theorem C] in the following, we treat the extra \mathbb{C}^* the same way as in §A.2.

We have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} L(E^*)_{\tilde{G}}^*(M_1 \times M_2) \otimes L(E^*)_{\tilde{G}}^*(M_2) & \xrightarrow[\frac{e(N(X_2^{\text{im}\alpha} \subset X))e(N(X_1^{\text{im}\alpha A} \subset X_1^A))}{\text{HKR}} \boxtimes \frac{\text{HKR}}{e(N(X_2^{\text{im}\alpha} \subset X_2))}]{} & L(E^*)_{\tilde{G}}^*(M_1^{\text{im}\alpha} \times M_2^{\text{im}\alpha}) \otimes L(E^*)_{\tilde{G}}^*(M_2^{\text{im}\alpha}) \\ \downarrow a^{E^*} & & \downarrow a^{MO} \\ L(E^*)_{\tilde{G}}^*(M_1) & \xrightarrow[\frac{\text{HKR}}{e(N(X_1^{\text{im}\alpha A} \subset X_1^A))}]{} & L(E^*)_{\tilde{G}}^*(M_1^{\text{im}\alpha}) \end{array}$$

Under the top horizontal map, we have

$$\frac{\text{HKR}}{e(N(X_2^{\text{im}\alpha} \subset X_2))e(N(X_1^{\text{im}\alpha A} \subset X_1^A))} : (\text{Stab}_{\mathbb{C}^{\text{op}}}^{E^*})^{-1} \boxtimes \text{Stab}_{\mathbb{C}}^{E^*} \mapsto (\text{Stab}_{\alpha, \mathbb{C}^{\text{op}}}^{MO})^{-1} \boxtimes \text{Stab}_{\alpha, \mathbb{C}}^{MO}.$$

For any element $x \in E_G^*(M_2)$, note that $\Delta^{E^*}(x) = a^{E^*}((\text{Stab}_{\mathbb{C}^{\text{op}}}^{E^*})^{-1} \boxtimes \text{Stab}_{\mathbb{C}}^{E^*} \boxtimes x)$ and $\Delta^{MO}(x) := a^{MO}((\text{Stab}_{\mathbb{C}^{\text{op}}}^{MO})^{-1} \boxtimes \text{Stab}_{\mathbb{C}}^{MO} \boxtimes x)$. The commutativity of the above diagram implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta^{MO}\left(\frac{\text{HKR}}{e(N(X_2^{\text{im}\alpha} \subset X_2))}(x)\right) &= a^{MO}\left((\text{Stab}_{\alpha, \mathbb{C}^{\text{op}}}^{MO})^{-1} \boxtimes \text{Stab}_{\alpha, \mathbb{C}}^{MO} \boxtimes \frac{\text{HKR}}{e(N(X_2^{\text{im}\alpha} \subset X_2))}(x)\right) \\ &= \frac{\text{HKR}}{e(N(X_1^{\text{im}\alpha A} \subset X_1^A))} (a^{E^*}((\text{Stab}_{\mathbb{C}^{\text{op}}}^{E^*})^{-1} \boxtimes \text{Stab}_{\mathbb{C}}^{E^*} \boxtimes x)) \\ &= \frac{\text{HKR}}{e(N(X_1^{\text{im}\alpha A} \subset X_1^A))} \Delta^{E^*}(x). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

Theorem A.7. *Notations as above,*

- (1) Δ^{E^*} is coassociative;
- (2) Δ^{E^*} is an algebra homomorphism.

Proof. The statement (1) follows from the following torus reduction of the stable classes. Let $A' \subset A$ be a subtorus of the torus A , we have a triangle of embeddings

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X^A & \longrightarrow & X \\ & \searrow & \nearrow \\ & X^{A'} & \end{array}$$

There are three classes $(\text{Stab}_{\mathbb{C}^{\text{op}}}^{E^*})^{-1} \boxtimes \text{Stab}_{\mathbb{C}}^{E^*} \in E_G^*(X_1 \times X_1^A \times X_2^A \times X_2)$, $(\text{Stab}_{\mathbb{C}'^{\text{op}}}^{E^*})^{-1} \boxtimes \text{Stab}_{\mathbb{C}'}^{E^*} \in E_G^*(X_1 \times X_1^{A'} \times X_2^{A'} \times X_2)$, and $(\text{Stab}_{\mathbb{C}''^{\text{op}}}^{E^*})^{-1} \boxtimes \text{Stab}_{\mathbb{C}''}^{E^*} \in E_G^*(X_1^{A'} \times X_1^A \times X_2^A \times X_2^{A'})$. Set $N_1 := X_1 \times X_2$. We have the following maps.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & N_1 \times N_1^{A'} \times N_1^A & & \\ & \swarrow p_{12} & \downarrow p_{13} & \searrow p_{23} & \\ X_1 \times X_1^{A'} \times X_2^{A'} \times X_2 & & X_1 \times X_1^A \times X_2^A \times X_2 & & X_1^{A'} \times X_1^A \times X_2^A \times X_2^{A'} \end{array}$$

To show the coassociativity of Δ^{E^*} , it suffices to show the following equality

$$(37) \quad (\text{Stab}_{\mathbb{C}^{\text{op}}}^{E^*})^{-1} \boxtimes \text{Stab}_{\mathbb{C}}^{E^*} = ((\text{Stab}_{\mathbb{C}'^{\text{op}}}^{E^*})^{-1} \boxtimes \text{Stab}_{\mathbb{C}'}^{E^*}) * ((\text{Stab}_{\mathbb{C}''^{\text{op}}}^{E^*})^{-1} \boxtimes \text{Stab}_{\mathbb{C}''}^{E^*}),$$

where $\mathfrak{C}' \subset \mathfrak{C}$ is a face such that $\mathfrak{a}' = \text{Lie } A' = \text{Span}(\mathfrak{C}')$. The cone \mathfrak{C} projects to a cone in $\mathfrak{a}/\mathfrak{a}'$ which we denote by \mathfrak{C}'' .

We now verify the equation (37). Consider the following embeddings of fixed point subvarieties

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 N_1 \times N_1^{A'} \times N_1^A & \xleftarrow{\iota} & N_1^{\text{im } \alpha} \times N_1^{\text{im } \alpha A'} \times N_1^{\text{im } \alpha A} & & \\
 \begin{array}{c} \swarrow p_{12} \\ \downarrow p_{13} \\ \searrow p_{23} \end{array} & & \begin{array}{c} \swarrow p_{12}^\alpha \\ \downarrow p_{13}^\alpha \\ \searrow p_{23}^\alpha \end{array} & & \\
 N_1 \times N_1^{A'} & & N_1^{\text{im } \alpha} \times N_1^{\text{im } \alpha A'} & & N_1^{\text{im } \alpha} \times N_1^{\text{im } \alpha A} \\
 \swarrow \iota_{12} & \leftarrow \iota_{13} & \swarrow \iota_{23} & & \\
 & & & &
 \end{array}$$

By Proposition 2.13, we know $\frac{HKR}{e(N_2)}$ commutes with convolution. That is,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{HKR}{e(N(X_2^{\text{im } \alpha} \subset X_2))e(N(X_1^{\text{im } \alpha A} \subset X_1^A))} (((\text{Stab}_{\mathfrak{C}'\text{op}}^{E^*})^{-1} \boxtimes \text{Stab}_{\mathfrak{C}'}^{E^*}) * ((\text{Stab}_{\mathfrak{C}''\text{op}}^{E^*})^{-1} \boxtimes \text{Stab}_{\mathfrak{C}''}^{E^*})) \\
 &= \frac{HKR}{e(N(X_2^{\text{im } \alpha} \subset X_2))e(N(X_1^{\text{im } \alpha A'} \subset X_1^{A'}))} (\text{Stab}_{\mathfrak{C}'\text{op}}^{E^*})^{-1} \boxtimes \text{Stab}_{\mathfrak{C}'}^{E^*} \\
 & \quad * \frac{HKR}{e(N(X_2^{\text{im } \alpha A'} \subset X_2^{A'}))e(N(X_1^{\text{im } \alpha A} \subset X_1^A))} (\text{Stab}_{\mathfrak{C}''\text{op}}^{E^*})^{-1} \boxtimes \text{Stab}_{\mathfrak{C}''}^{E^*} \\
 &= (\text{Stab}_{\alpha, \mathfrak{C}'\text{op}}^{MO})^{-1} \boxtimes \text{Stab}_{\alpha, \mathfrak{C}'}^{MO} * ((\text{Stab}_{\alpha, \mathfrak{C}''\text{op}}^{MO})^{-1} \boxtimes \text{Stab}_{\alpha, \mathfrak{C}''}^{MO}).
 \end{aligned}$$

It is known that [MO19, Lemma 3.6.1]

$$(\text{Stab}_{\alpha, \mathfrak{C}'\text{op}}^{MO})^{-1} \boxtimes \text{Stab}_{\alpha, \mathfrak{C}'}^{MO} = ((\text{Stab}_{\alpha, \mathfrak{C}'\text{op}}^{MO})^{-1} \boxtimes \text{Stab}_{\alpha, \mathfrak{C}'}^{MO}) * ((\text{Stab}_{\alpha, \mathfrak{C}''\text{op}}^{MO})^{-1} \boxtimes \text{Stab}_{\alpha, \mathfrak{C}''}^{MO}), \text{ for each } \alpha.$$

and also

$$\frac{HKR}{e(N(X_2^{\text{im } \alpha} \subset X_2))e(N(X_1^{\text{im } \alpha A} \subset X_1^A))} : (\text{Stab}_{\mathfrak{C}'\text{op}}^{E^*})^{-1} \boxtimes \text{Stab}_{\mathfrak{C}'}^{E^*} \mapsto (\text{Stab}_{\alpha, \mathfrak{C}'\text{op}}^{MO})^{-1} \boxtimes \text{Stab}_{\alpha, \mathfrak{C}'}^{MO}$$

This implies (37) and hence the coassociativity.

The statement (2) follows from (1) and Lemma A.6. By [Nak12, Theorem 3.20], Δ^{MO} is an algebra homomorphism, that is, $\Delta^{MO}(a * b) = \Delta^{MO}(a) * \Delta^{MO}(b)$. For simplicity, we write $\chi := \frac{HKR}{e(N_2)}$ for short. We have the following isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned}
 \chi \Delta^{E^*}(a * b) &= \Delta^{MO}(\chi(a * b)) = \Delta^{MO}(\chi(a) * \chi(b)) = \Delta^{MO}(\chi(a)) * \Delta(\chi(b)) \\
 &= \chi \Delta^{E^*}(a) * \chi \Delta^{E^*}(b) = \chi(\Delta^{E^*}(a) * \Delta^{E^*}(b)).
 \end{aligned}$$

The injectivity of χ implies that

$$\Delta^{E^*}(a * b) = \Delta^{E^*}(a) * \Delta^{E^*}(b).$$

□

We remark that any convolution algebra has an anti-involution coming from $X \times X \rightarrow X \times X$ by swamping the two copies. The anti-involution induces a ‘‘contragradient duality’’, which is compatible with the tensor structure in the usual sense.

REFERENCES

- [AO16] M. Aganagic, A. Okounkov, *Elliptic stable envelopes*, to appear in JAMS. [arXiv:1604.00423](https://arxiv.org/abs/1604.00423). A.3, A.3
 [AN06] Y. Abe, T. Nakashima, *Evaluation representations of quantum affine algebras at roots of unity*. J. Math. Phys. 47 (2006), no. 8, 083514, 28 pp. 11.3.1, 11.3.1, 11.5
 [Ad74] J.F. Adams, *Stable Homotopy and Generalised Homology*, The University of Chicago Press, (1974). 2.1

- [A95] M. Ando, *Isogenies of formal group laws and power operations in the cohomology theories E_n* . Duke Math. J. 79 (1995), no. 2, 423–485. [7.1](#), [7.1](#), [7.3](#)
- [AJS94] H. H. Andersen, J. C. Jantzen, and W. Soergel, *Representations of quantum groups at a p th root of unity and of semisimple groups in characteristic p : independence of p* . Asterisque 220 (1994). [1](#)
- [Ba89] A. Baker, *On the homotopy type of the spectrum representing elliptic cohomology*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 107 (1989), no. 2, 537–548. [MR0982399](#) [6.3](#)
- [BMMS86] R. R. Bruner, J.P. May,, J.E. McClure, M. Steinberger, *H_∞ ring spectra and their applications*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1176. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1986. viii+388 pp. [7.1](#)
- [CP94] V. Chari and A. Pressley, *A guide to quantum groups*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1994. xvi+651 pp. [11.3.1](#), [11.3.1](#), [11.5](#)
- [CP97] V. Chari and A. Pressley, *Quantum affine algebras at roots of unity*. Represent. Theory 1 (1997), 280–328. [1.2](#), [10.1](#), [11.3.1](#)
- [CG10] N. Chriss, V. Ginzburg, *Representation theory and complex geometry*. Modern Birkhäuser Classics. Birkhäuser Boston, Ltd., Boston, MA, 2010. [2.1](#), [2.5](#), [2.5](#), [2.5](#), [2.6](#), [4.1](#), [9.1](#), [10.3](#)
- [D03] S. Doty, *Presenting generalized q -Schur algebras*. Represent. Theory 7 (2003), 196–213. [3.3](#)
- [G06] N. Ganter, *Orbifold genera, product formulas and power operations*. Adv. Math. 205 (2006), no. 1, 84–133. [7.1](#), [7.1](#)
- [Gr94b] I. Grojnowski, *Affinizing quantum algebras: From D -modules to K -theory*, preprint, (1994). [3.3](#)
- [GKV95] V. Ginzburg, M. Kapranov, and E. Vasserot, *Elliptic algebras and equivariant elliptic cohomology*, Preprint, (1995). [arXiv:9505012](#) [A.3](#)
- [H04] D. Hernandez, *The t -analogs of q -characters at roots of unity for quantum affine algebras and beyond*. J. Algebra 279 (2004), no. 2, 514–557. [11.1](#)
- [HKR00] M. Hopkins, N. Kuhn, D. Ravenel, *Generalized group characters and complex oriented cohomology theories*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 13 (2000), no. 3, 553–594. [MR1758754](#) [1.1](#), [2.2](#), [2.4](#), [2.8](#), [2.5](#), [5](#), [5.3](#), [2](#), [6.3](#), [7.1](#), [10.1](#), [11.1](#), [A.2](#), [A.2](#), [A.5](#)
- [Ka02] M. Kashiwara, *On level-zero representations of quantized affine algebras*. Duke Math. J., 112(1):117–175, (2002). [8.2](#)
- [KM07] N. Kitchloo, J. Morava, *Thom Spectra for Loopgroup representations*, Elliptic cohomology, 214–238, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., 342, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2007. [6.3](#)
- [KS11] M. Kontsevich, Y. Soibelman, *Cohomological Hall algebra, exponential Hodge structures and motivic Donaldson-Thomas invariants*, Commun. Number Theory Phys. 5 (2011), no. 2, 231–352. [MR2851153](#) [3.3](#)
- [KT94] S. M. Khoroshkin, V. N. Tolstoy, *Twisting of quantum (super-) algebras*. Generalized symmetries in physics (Clausthal, 1993), 42–54, World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ, 1994. [9.2](#)
- [La55] M. Lazard, *Sur les groupes de Lie formels à un paramètre*, Bulletin de la Société Mathématique de France, Tome 83 (1955), pp. 251–274. [1](#)
- [Lu87] G. Lusztig, *modular representations and quantum groups*. Classical groups and related topics (Beijing, 1987), 59–77, Contemp. Math., 82, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1989. [MR0982278](#) [1](#), [1.2](#), [2](#), [11.3.1](#)
- [Lus93] G. Lusztig, *Introduction to quantum groups*, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1993. [4.1](#), [2](#)
- [Lus15] G. Lusztig, *On the character of certain irreducible modular representations*. Represent. Theory 19 (2015), 3–8. [MR3316914](#); Joint Seminar, Mathematical Sciences Research Institute, October 28, 2014. [1](#), [1.2](#), [11.2](#)
- [Mc11] K. McGerty, *Geometrization of the quantum Frobenius*, preprint, (2011). [2](#)
- [MN18] Kevin McGerty, Thomas Nevins, *Kirwan surjectivity for quiver varieties*, Inventiones mathematicae volume 212, 161–187 (2018). [3.3](#)
- [MO19] D. Maulik, A. Okounkov, *Quantum groups and quantum cohomology*. Astérisque No. 408 (2019), ix+209 pp. [A.1](#), [A.1](#), [A.5](#)
- [Nak98] H. Nakajima, *Quiver varieties and Kac-Moody algebras*, Duke Math. 91 (1998), 515–560 [1](#), [11.2](#)
- [Nak01] H. Nakajima, *Quiver varieties and finite dimensional representations of quantum affine algebras*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 14 (2001), no. 1, 145–238. [MR1808477](#) [arXiv:9912158](#) [1.1](#), [3.2](#), [3.2.1](#), [3.2.2](#), [4.1](#), [4.3](#), [4.3](#), [4.4](#), [9.3](#), [10.1](#), [10.3](#), [11.3.2](#)
- [Nak04] H. Nakajima, *Quiver varieties and t -analogs of q -characters of quantum affine algebras*. Ann. of Math. (2) 160 (2004), no. 3, 1057–1097. [1.2](#), [4.4](#), [11.1](#), [11.1](#)
- [Nak12] H. Nakajima, *Quiver varieties and tensor products, II*. Symmetries, integrable systems and representations, 403–428, Springer Proc. Math. Stat., 40, Springer. [A.5](#), [A.5](#)
- [O20] A. Okounkov, *Inductive construction of stable envelopes*, preprint, (2020). [arXiv:2007.09094](#). [A.3](#)
- [Q71] D. Quillen, *Elementary Proofs of Some Results of Cobordism Theory Using Steenrod Operations*, Advances in Mathematics 7, 29–56 (1971). [2.1](#)
- [Rez98] C. Rezk, *Notes on the Hopkins-Miller theorem*. Homotopy theory via algebraic geometry and group representations (Evanston, IL, 1997), 313–366, Contemp. Math., 220, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1998. [2.2](#), [1](#)
- [RSYZ20] M. Rapcak, Y. Soibelman, Y. Yang, Z. Zhao, *Cohomological Hall algebras and perverse coherent sheaves on toric Calabi-Yau 3-folds*, preprint, (2020). [arXiv:2007.13365](#). [9.2](#)

- [SV13] O. Schiffmann, E. Vasserot, *The elliptic Hall algebra and the K-theory of the Hilbert scheme of \mathbb{A}^2* . Duke Math. J. **162** (2013), no. 2, 279–366. MR3018956 [3.3](#)
- [St11] N. Stapleton, *Transchromatic generalized character maps*. Algebr. Geom. Topol. **13** (2013), no. 1, 171–203. [1.1](#), [1.3](#), [2.2](#), [2.4](#), [5](#), [5.3](#)
- [VV02] M. Varagnolo, E. Vasserot, *Standard modules of quantum affine algebras*. Duke Math. J. **111** (2002), no. 3, 509–533. [9.2](#)
- [W13] G. Williamson, *Schubert calculus and torsion explosion*, With a joint appendix with Alex Kontorovich and Peter J. McNamara. J. Amer. Math. Soc. **30** (2017), no. 4, 1023–1046. [arXiv:1309.5055](#) [1.2](#)
- [YZ14] Y. Yang and G. Zhao, *The cohomological Hall algebra of a preprojective algebra*, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. **116** (2018), 1029–1074. [arXiv:1407.7994](#) [1](#), [1.2](#), [3.3](#), [4.1](#)
- [YZ16] Y. Yang and G. Zhao, *Cohomological Hall algebras and affine quantum groups*. Selecta Math., **24**(2) (2018), 1093–1119. [arXiv:1604.01865](#) [1.2](#), [3.3](#), [9.2](#)
- [YZ17] Y. Yang and G. Zhao, *Quiver varieties and elliptic quantum groups*. Preprint, (2017). [arXiv:1708.01418](#) [6.3](#)
- [ZZ17] G. Zhao and C. Zhong, *Geometric representations of the formal affine Hecke algebras*. Adv. Math. **317** (2017), 50–90. [arXiv:1406.1283](#) [2.1](#)
- [ZZ15] G. Zhao and C. Zhong, *Elliptic affine Hecke algebras and their representations*. preprint, (2015). [arXiv:1507.01245](#). A revised version: <https://drive.google.com/file/d/1SbZC679z1gCkobWY0vufShzqoh0ozdCB/view>. [10.2](#), [A.3](#)

THE UNIVERSITY OF MELBOURNE, SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, 813 SWANSTON STREET, PARKVILLE VIC 3010, AUSTRALIA
Email address: yaping.yang1@unimelb.edu.au

THE UNIVERSITY OF MELBOURNE, SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, 813 SWANSTON STREET, PARKVILLE VIC 3010, AUSTRALIA
Email address: gufangz@unimelb.edu.au