

BRIDGELAND STABILITY OF MINIMAL INSTANTON BUNDLES ON FANO THREEFOLDS

XUQIANG QIN

ABSTRACT. We prove that minimal instanton bundles on a Fano threefold X of Picard rank one and index two are semistable objects in the Kuznetsov component $\mathrm{Ku}(X)$, with respect to the stability conditions constructed by Bayer, Lahoz, Macrì and Stellari. When the degree of X is at least 3, we show torsion free generalizations of minimal instantons are also semistable objects. As a result, we describe the moduli space of semistable objects with same numerical classes as minimal instantons in $\mathrm{Ku}(X)$. We also investigate the stability of acyclic extensions of non-minimal instantons.

CONTENTS

1.	Introduction	1
2.	Review on Fano threefolds and minimal instanton bundles	3
3.	Review on stability conditions	5
4.	Bridgeland stability of minimal instanton bundles	9
5.	Description of the moduli space $M_\sigma(\mathrm{Ku}(X), 2[\mathcal{I}_i])$	11
6.	Non-minimal instanton bundles	16
	References	19

1. INTRODUCTION

Instanton bundles first appeared on the 4-sphere S^4 as a way to describe Yang-Mills instantons. They serve as bridges between algebraic geometry and mathematical physics. The notion of mathematical instanton bundles was first introduced on \mathbb{P}^3 , then generalized to Fano threefolds by Faenzi[Fa14] and Kuznetsov[Ku12].

Definition 1.1. [Ku12] Let X be a Fano threefold of Picard rank 1 and index 2. An *instanton bundle of charge n* on X is a stable vector bundle E of rank 2 with $c_1(E) = 0$, $c_2(E) = n$, enjoying the instantonic vanishing condition:

$$H^1(X, E(-1)) = 0.$$

Any instanton bundle E will have charge $c_2(E) \geq 2$. The instanton bundles of charge 2 are called the *minimal instantons*. By definition, the moduli space of minimal instanton bundles on X is an open subscheme of the moduli space M of Gieseker-semistable rank 2 sheaves with $c_1 = 0$, $c_2 = 2$ and $c_3 = 0$. When X is a cubic threefold, [Dr00] classified sheaves in M and described M as a blow-up of the intermediate Jacobian of X . His work

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 14F08, 14J30, 14J45; Secondary 14D21.

Key words and phrases. Instanton bundles, Bridgeland stability conditions, moduli spaces, Fano threefolds, semiorthogonal decompositions.

was generalized to X of degree 5 and 4 by the author [Qin1][Qin2].

On the other hand, Bridgeland [Br07] introduced the notion of stability conditions on a triangulated category. He showed that the set parametrizing stability conditions on a triangulated category has a natural structure of a complex manifold. Since then stabilities on the bounded derived category $D^b(X)$ of a smooth projective variety X have been intensely studied. [Br07][Ma07][Ok06] gave complete descriptions of the stability manifold $\text{Stab}(X)$ when X is a smooth projective curve. When X is a smooth projective surface, stability conditions and related moduli spaces were studied in [Br08][ABCH][AB13][LZ18][Nu16], among many other papers. When the dimension of X is at least three, the construction of Bridgeland stability conditions becomes challenging. We refer the readers to [BMT][BMSZ][Li19] for information on stabilities on Fano threefolds.

Bayer, Lahoz, Macri and Stellari [BLMS] provided a criterion to define stability condition on the right orthogonal complements of an exceptional collection in a triangulated category. They applied the criterion to Fano threefolds of Picard rank one and cubic fourfolds and induced stability conditions on them. See [LLMS][LPZ1][LPZ2] for some applications on cubic fourfolds. For our purpose, let X be a Fano threefold of Picard rank one and index two. The bounded derived category $D^b(X)$ has the following semiorthogonal decomposition:

$$D^b(X) = \langle \text{Ku}(X), \mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{O}_X(H) \rangle$$

where H is the ample generator of the Picard group. The triangulated subcategory $\text{Ku}(X)$ is called the *Kuznetsov component*. Explicit computations in [PY20] shows that the criterion of [BLMS] induces a family $\sigma(\alpha, \beta)$ of stability conditions on $\text{Ku}(X)$ where (α, β) lies in a triangular region in the half plane $\mathbb{R}_{>0} \times \mathbb{R}$. Moreover, [PY20] showed that the family lies in a single orbit \mathcal{K} with respect to the standard action of $\tilde{\text{GL}}_2^+(\mathbb{R})$ on the stability manifold $\text{Stab}(\text{Ku}(X))$ of $\text{Ku}(X)$.

Pertusi and Yang [PY20] showed that the ideal sheaves of lines on X (which are easily checked to be in $\text{Ku}(X)$) are stable objects with respect to any $\sigma \in \mathcal{K}$. Using this, they were able to identify the Fano surface of lines on X with (if the degree of X is 1, an irreducible component of) the moduli space of $\sigma(\alpha, \beta)$ -stable objects with the numerical class $[Z_l]$ in $\text{Ku}(X)$.

Minimal instantons are known to be objects in $\text{Ku}(X)$ and they have twice the numerical class of $[Z_l]$. It is natural to ask if one can generalize the results of Pertusi and Yang [PY20] to minimal instantons. Our first result establishes their (semi)stability.

Theorem 1.2. *Let X be a Fano threefold of Picard rank one, index two and degree d . Let E be a minimal instanton bundle on X . Then E is σ -semistable for any $\sigma \in \mathcal{K}$. If $d \geq 2$, then E is σ -stable.*

When $d \geq 3$, the classifications in [Dr00][Qin1][Qin2] showed that torsion free (but not locally free) generalizations of minimal instanton bundles are also objects in $\text{Ku}(X)$. Our second result compares the moduli space of Gieseker-semistable rank 2 sheaves with $c_1 = 0, c_2 = 2, c_3 = 0$ with the moduli space of σ -semistable objects of numerical class $2[Z_l]$ in $\text{Ku}(X)$.

Theorem 1.3. *Let X be a Fano threefold of Picard rank one, index two and degree d . If $d \geq 3$, then for any $\sigma \in \mathcal{K}$, the moduli space M_d of Gieseker-semistable sheaves on X with Chern character $(2, 0, -2, 0)$ and satisfying $H^1(E(-1)) = 0$ is isomorphic to a moduli space $M_\sigma(\text{Ku}(X), 2[Z_l])$ of σ -semistable objects in $\text{Ku}(X)$ with numerical class twice of that of an ideal sheaf of a line in X .*

As a result, $M_\sigma(\mathrm{Ku}(X), 2[\mathcal{I}_i])$ is a smooth projective variety of dimension 5 by the description of M_d in [Dr00][Qin1][Qin2] for $d \geq 3$ (see Section 2). We mention that by [Dr00][Qin2], the vanishing $H^1(E(-1)) = 0$ is satisfied by any Gieseker-semistable sheaves E with Chern character $(2, 0, -2, 0)$ for $d = 3$ and 4.

We note most of our arguments for Theorem 1.3 work for $d = 1, 2$. However there is no complete description of M_2 or M_1 to the author's knowledge.

In the last section, we consider non-minimal instantons. Kuznetsov[Ku12] showed that one can associate to such an instanton a unique vector bundle in $\mathrm{Ku}(X)$, called its *acyclic extension* (for details see Section 6). We establish the (semi)stability of these acyclic extensions of instantons with charge 3.

Theorem 1.4. *Assume $d \neq 1$. Let $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ be the acyclic extension of an instanton bundle \mathcal{E} of charge 3. Then $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ is σ -semistable for any stability condition $\sigma \in \mathcal{K}$. If $d \geq 3$, $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ is σ -stable.*

Other related work. Lahoz, Macrì and Stellari[LMS] constructed the first examples of Bridgeland stability conditions on the Kuznetsov component of a cubic threefold. They proved that the moduli space of semistable objects with numerical class $2[\mathcal{I}_i]$ is isomorphic to M_3 for properly chosen stabilities. We note it is not known whether the stability constructed there is in \mathcal{K} .

In a recent work [BBF+], the authors studied the moduli space of semistable objects with numerical class $[\mathcal{I}_i] + [S(\mathcal{I}_i)]$ with respect to some $\sigma \in \mathcal{K}$ on a cubic threefold, where S is the Serre functor of $\mathrm{Ku}(X)$. They showed the moduli space is isomorphic to an blow-up of the theta divisor of the intermediate Jacobian.

Petkovic and Rota[PR20] classified the stable objects in the moduli space containing the Fano surface of lines when $d = 1$.

During the completion of this paper, the author was made aware by Zhiyu Liu and Shizhuo Zhang of their independent preprint[LZ21] in which they claim similar results to Theorem 1.2 and 1.3.

Acknowledgement. The author is very grateful to Laura Pertusi for answering the author's questions on Bridgeland stabilities, for many interesting discussions, as well as her comments on an early draft of this paper. The author would like to thank Justin Sawon for interesting discussions and his support. The author would like to thank Zhiyu Liu and Shizhuo Zhang for informing him of their result and sending their draft.

2. REVIEW ON FANO THREEFOLDS AND MINIMAL INSTANTON BUNDLES

2.1. Fano threefolds of Picard rank 1 and index 2. A Fano variety X is a smooth projective variety whose anticanonical divisor $-K_X$ is ample. Its index $i(X)$ is defined to be the largest integer so that $-K_X = i(X)H$ for some ample divisor H . It is well-known that $i(X) \leq \dim(X) + 1$ (see [IP99]), with equality holds only if X is $\mathbb{P}^{\dim(X)}$. For a Fano threefold X , this means $i(X)$ is either 1, 2, 3 or 4. If $i(X) = 3$, then X is a smooth quadric in \mathbb{P}^4 . If $i(X) = 1$ or 2, the most interesting cases are those with $\mathrm{Pic}(X) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ and they were classified by Iskovskih[IP99].

In this paper, we will only be interested in Fano threefolds X with Picard rank 1 and index 2. Let H be the ample generator of $\mathrm{Pic}(X)$. Let $d := H^3$ denote the degree of X . Then Iskovskih's classification[IP99] asserts $1 \leq d \leq 5$, and:

- if $d = 5$, $X_5 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^6$ is a codimension 3 linear section of $\mathrm{Gr}(2, 5)$ in its Plücker embedding;
- if $d = 4$, $X_4 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^5$ is a complete intersection of two smooth quadrics;
- if $d = 3$, $X_3 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^4$ is a cubic threefold;

- if $d = 2$, $X_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^3$ is a double cover of \mathbb{P}^3 ramified in a quartic surface;
- if $d = 1$, X_1 is a hypersurface of degree 6 in a weighted projective space $\mathbb{P}(1, 1, 1, 2, 3)$.

Let X be a Fano threefold of Picard rank 1 and index 2. Then

$$H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}) = H^4(X, \mathbb{Z}) = H^6(X, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}$$

and they are generated by the class of a hyperplane, a line and a point respectively. As a result, we will refer to the Chern classes of coherent sheaves on X as integers. The ample generator of the Picard group will be denoted by $\mathcal{O}_X(1)$, thus $\omega_X \cong \mathcal{O}_X(-2)$. It is an elementary computation to check that

$$\mathrm{td}(\mathcal{T}_X) = (1, 1, 1 + \frac{d}{3}, 1).$$

2.2. Derived categories of of Fano threefolds of index 2. Let X be a Fano threefold of Picard rank 1 and index 2. In this section, we review some facts about the bounded derived category of coherent sheaves on X . For basic notions on derived category and semiorthogonal decomposition, we refer the readers to [Huy].

Definition 2.1. [Ku09] The collection of line bundles $\{\mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{O}_X(1)\}$ is exceptional. The Kuznetsov component $\mathrm{Ku}(X)$ is defined by the semiorthogonal decomposition

$$\mathrm{D}^b(X) = \langle \mathrm{Ku}(X), \mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{O}_X(1) \rangle.$$

We describe $\mathrm{Ku}(X)$ for $2 \leq d \leq 5$:

- if $d = 5$, $\mathrm{Ku}(X_5) \cong \mathrm{D}^b(Q_3)$ where Q_3 is the Kronecker quiver with three arrows;
- if $d = 4$, $\mathrm{Ku}(X_4) \cong \mathrm{D}^b(C)$ where C is a smooth projective curve of genus 2;
- if $d = 3$, $\mathrm{Ku}(X_3)$ has a Serre functor $S_{\mathrm{Ku}(X_3)}$ satisfying $S_{\mathrm{Ku}(X_3)}^3 = [5]$;
- if $d = 2$, $\mathrm{Ku}(X_2)$ has a Serre functor $S_{\mathrm{Ku}(X_2)}$ satisfying $S_{\mathrm{Ku}(X_2)} = \iota[2]$ where ι is the involution induced by the double cover.

2.3. Instanton bundles. Let X be a Fano threefold of Picard rank 1, index 2 and degree d .

Definition 2.2. [Ku12] An *instanton bundle of charge n* on X is a stable vector bundle E of rank 2 with $c_1(E) = 0, c_2(E) = n$, enjoying the instantonic vanishing condition:

$$H^1(X, E(-1)) = 0.$$

We mention that the charge $c_2(E) \geq 2$ [Ku12, Corollary 3.2]. Instanton bundles of charge 2 are called the *minimal instantons*. We also mention here that if E is a minimal instanton, then $E \in \mathrm{Ku}(X)$ by [Ku12, Lemma 3.1].

By definition, the moduli space of minimal instanton bundles is an open subscheme of the moduli space of Gieseker-semistable sheaves with Chern character $(2, 0, -2, 0)$. The classification of Gieseker-semistable sheaves with Chern character $(2, 0, -2, 0)$ was first achieved by Druel[Dr00] for $d = 3$, and later generalized to $d = 5, 4$ by the author[Qin1][Qin2].

Proposition 2.3. *Assume $3 \leq d \leq 5$. Let E be a Gieseker-semistable sheaf on X with Chern character $(2, 0, -2, 0)$. If E is stable, then either E is locally free or E is associated to a smooth conic $Y \subset X$ so that we have an exact sequence:*

$$0 \rightarrow E \rightarrow H^0(\theta(1)) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \theta(1) \rightarrow 0$$

where θ is the theta-characteristic of Y .

If E is strictly Gieseker-semistable, then E is the extension of two ideal sheaves of lines.

Remark 2.4. Along with the above classification, it was proved in [Dr00][Qin2] that for $d = 3$ or 4 , any Gieseker-semistable sheaf E with Chern character $(2, 0, -2, 0)$ satisfies the vanishing condition:

$$(2.5) \quad H^1(E(-1)) = 0.$$

In [Qin1], the author conjectured that the same thing holds for $d = 5$. We also mention that for a Gieseker-semistable sheaf E with Chern character $(2, 0, -2, 0)$, satisfying (2.5) is equivalent to $E \in \text{Ku}(X)$.

Using this description, the moduli space of Gieseker-semistable sheaves with Chern character $(2, 0, -2, 0)$ were studied in [Dr00][Qin1][Qin2].

Theorem 2.6. *Assume $3 \leq d \leq 5$. The moduli space M_d of Gieseker-semistable sheaves with Chern character $(2, 0, -2, 0)$ and satisfying the vanishing condition (2.5) is a smooth projective variety of dimension 5. More specifically:*

- if $d = 5$, M_5 is isomorphic to \mathbb{P}^5 ;
- if $d = 4$, M_4 is a \mathbb{P}^3 -bundle over the Jacobian of the genus 2 curve C mentioned in Section 2.2;
- if $d = 3$, M_3 is isomorphic to the blow-up of the intermediate Jacobian in (minus) the Fano surface of lines.

3. REVIEW ON STABILITY CONDITIONS

3.1. Slope-stability and Gieseker-stability. Let X be a smooth projective threefold. Fix an ample line bundle H on X . When X is a Fano threefold of Picard rank one, we will always take the ample generator of the Picard group as H .

Definition 3.1. For any coherent sheaf F on X , the (*Mumford-Takemoto*)-slope is defined as

$$\mu_H(F) := \begin{cases} \frac{H^2 \text{ch}_1(F)}{H^3 \text{ch}_0(F)} & \text{if } \text{ch}_0(F) \neq 0; \\ +\infty & \text{if } \text{ch}_0(F) = 0. \end{cases}$$

A coherent sheaf F is slope-(semi)stable if for any non-trivial proper subsheaf $F' \subset F$, we have $\mu_H(F') < (\leq) \mu_H(F/F')$.

Next we recall the notion of Gieseker-stability. We will also use the notion of 2-Gieseker-stability introduced in [BBF+, Seciton 4] and follow their exposition.

Definition 3.2. We define a pre-order on the polynomial ring $\mathbb{R}[m]$ as follows:

- (1) For all non-zero $f \in \mathbb{R}[m]$, we have $f \prec 0$.
- (2) If $\deg(f) > \deg(g)$ for non-zero $f, g \in \mathbb{R}[m]$, then $f \prec g$.
- (3) If $\deg(f) = \deg(g)$ for non-zero $f, g \in \mathbb{R}[m]$ and let l_f and l_g be the leading coefficient of f and g , then $f \preceq g$ if and only if $f(m)/l_f \leq g(m)/l_g$ for $m \gg 0$.

For any $F \in \text{Coh}(X)$, we denote its *Hilbert polynomial* by $P(F) := \chi(F(mH)) = \sum_{i=0}^3 a_i m^i$. Moreover, let $P_2(F) := \sum_{i=1}^3 a_i m^i$.

Definition 3.3. (1) We say F is *Gieseker-(semi)stable* if for all nontrivial proper subsheaf $F' \subset F$, the inequality $P(F') \prec (\preceq) P(F)$ holds.
 (2) We say F is *2-Gieseker-(semi)stable* if for all nontrivial proper subsheaf $F' \subset F$, the inequality $P(F') \prec (\preceq) P(F/F')$ holds.

The three notions imply each other in the following way:

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{slope-stable} \Rightarrow 2\text{-Gieseker-stable} \Rightarrow \text{Gieseker-stable} \\ \Downarrow \\ \text{slope-semistable} \Leftarrow 2\text{-Gieseker-semistable} \Leftarrow \text{Gieseker-semistable} \end{array}$$

3.2. (Weak) stability conditions on triangulated categories. In this section we review elements in the theory of (weak) stability conditions on threefolds which are essential for our discussion. We refer the readers to [Br07] for basic notions of t-structure, slicing.

Let \mathcal{D} be a triangulated category. We first recall the notion of a heart.

Definition 3.4. A *heart of a bounded t-structure* on \mathcal{D} is a full additive subcategory \mathcal{A} such that:

- (1) if $i > j$ are integers, then $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(A[i], B[j]) = 0$ for all $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$.
- (2) for any nonzero object $F \in \mathcal{D}$, there exists a sequence of morphisms

$$0 = F_0 \xrightarrow{\phi_1} F_1 \xrightarrow{\phi_2} \cdots \xrightarrow{\phi_m} F_m = F$$

so that $\text{Cone}(\phi_i)$ is of the form $A_i[k_i]$ for $A_i \in \mathcal{A}$ and integers $k_1 > k_2 > \cdots > k_m$.

Note a heart \mathcal{A} of a t-structure is an abelian category.

Definition 3.5. Let \mathcal{A} be an abelian category. A group homomorphism $Z : K(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is called a *weak stability function* if for any nonzero object $F \in \mathcal{A}$, $\Im Z(F) \geq 0$ and $\Re Z(F) = 0$ only if $\Re Z(F) \leq 0$.

We call Z a *stability function* if in addition, $\Im Z(F) = 0$ implies $\Re Z(F) < 0$ for $F \neq 0$.

Fix a finite rank lattice Λ and surjective group homomorphism $v : K(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \Lambda$.

Definition 3.6. A *weak stability condition* on \mathcal{D} with respect to Λ is a pair $\sigma = (\mathcal{A}, Z)$ where \mathcal{A} is the heart of a bounded t-structure on \mathcal{D} and $Z : \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a group homomorphism, such that the following conditions hold:

- (1) The composition $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{v} \Lambda \xrightarrow{Z} \mathbb{C}$ is a weak stability function. For $F \in \mathcal{A}$, we write $Z(F) := Z(v(F))$ for simplicity. We define

$$\mu_{\sigma}(F) = \begin{cases} -\frac{\Re Z(F)}{\Im Z(F)} & \text{if } \Im Z(F) > 0; \\ +\infty & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

We call F σ -(semi)stable if for all nonzero subobject $F' \subset F$, we have

$$\mu_{\sigma}(F') < (\leq) \mu_{\sigma}(F/F').$$

- (2) Any object of \mathcal{A} has a Harder-Narasimhan(HN) filtration in σ -semistable objects (called HN factors).
- (3) There exists a quadratic form Q on $\Lambda \otimes \mathbb{R}$ such that $Q(F) \geq 0$ for any σ -semistable object $F \in \mathcal{A}$ and Q is negative definite when restricted to the kernel of Z .

If in addition $Z \circ v : K(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a stability function, we call σ a *Bridgeland stability condition*.

We use $\text{Stab}(\mathcal{D})$ to denote the set of Bridgeland stability conditions on \mathcal{D} . Bridgeland[Br07] showed that $\text{Stab}(\mathcal{D})$ has the structure of a complex manifold. Moreover, if we use $\tilde{\text{GL}}_2^+(\mathbb{R})$ to denote the universal cover of $\text{GL}_2^+(\mathbb{R})$, then there is a right group action of $\tilde{\text{GL}}_2^+(\mathbb{R})$ on $\text{Stab}(\mathcal{D})$. We refer the readers to [Br07] for details of this action.

Given a weak stability condition $\sigma = (\mathcal{A}, Z)$ on \mathcal{D} , one can construct a new heart of a bounded t-structure via the method of *tilting*: let $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$, define

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{T}_\sigma^\mu &= \{E \in \mathcal{A} : \text{all HN factors } F \text{ of } E \text{ have slope } \mu_\sigma(F) > \mu\}; \\ \mathcal{F}_\sigma^\mu &= \{E \in \mathcal{A} : \text{all HN factors } F \text{ of } E \text{ have slope } \mu_\sigma(F) \leq \mu\}.\end{aligned}$$

Proposition 3.7. [HRS] *The category*

$$\mathcal{A}_\sigma^\mu = \langle \mathcal{T}_\sigma^\mu, \mathcal{F}_\sigma^\mu[1] \rangle$$

is the heart of a bounded t-structure on \mathcal{D} .

Next we review the notion of tilt-stability. We will follow the exposition of [BLMS, Section 2]. For $j = 0, \dots, 3$, define $\Lambda_H^j \cong \mathbb{Z}^{j+1}$ as the lattice generated by vectors of the form

$$(H^3 \text{ch}_0(F), H^2 \text{ch}_1(F), \dots, H^{3-j} \text{ch}_j(F)) \in \mathbb{Q}^{j+1}$$

together with the natural map $v_H^j : K(X) \rightarrow \Lambda_H^j$.

Then the pair $(\text{Coh}(X), Z_H)$ with

$$Z_H(F) = -H^2 \text{ch}_1(F) + iH^3 \text{ch}_0(F)$$

defines a weak stability condition with respect to Λ_H^1 . In this case we can take the quadratic form $Q = 0$ since Z is injective. This notion of stability coincide with (Mumford-Takemoto)-slope-stability and will be referred to as such. We will use μ_H to denote the slope to H . Any slope-semistable sheaf F satisfies the Bogomolov-Gieseker inequality:

$$(3.8) \quad \Delta_H(F) := (H^2 \text{ch}_1(F))^2 - 2H^3 \text{ch}_0(F) \cdot H \text{ch}_2(F) \geq 0.$$

Choose a parameter $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$. By Proposition 3.7,

Definition 3.9. We denote by $\text{Coh}_H^\beta(X) \subset \text{D}^b(X)$ the heart of a bounded t-structure obtained by tilting the slope stability at $\mu_H = \beta$.

Remark 3.10. When X is a Fano threefold of Picard rank 1, we will always take the ample generator of the Picard group as H and drop the subscript H from related notations.

For a coherent sheaf F , we consider the twisted Chern character $\text{ch}^\beta(F) = e^{-\beta H} \text{ch}(F)$. More explicitly:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{ch}_0^\beta &= \text{ch}_0 \\ \text{ch}_1^\beta &= \text{ch}_1 - \beta H \text{ch}_0 \\ \text{ch}_2^\beta &= \text{ch}_2 - \beta H \text{ch}_1 + \frac{\beta^2}{2} H^2 \text{ch}_0 \\ \text{ch}_3^\beta &= \text{ch}_3 - \beta H \text{ch}_2 + \frac{\beta^2}{2} H^2 \text{ch}_1 - \frac{\beta^3}{6} H^3 \text{ch}_0.\end{aligned}$$

Proposition 3.11. [BLMS, Proposition 2.12] *Given $\alpha > 0$, $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$, the pair $\sigma_{\alpha, \beta} = (\text{Coh}^\beta(X), Z_{\alpha, \beta})$ with*

$$Z_{\alpha, \beta} = \frac{1}{2} \alpha^2 H^3 \text{ch}_0^\beta(F) - H \text{ch}_2^\beta(F) + iH^2 \text{ch}_1^\beta(F)$$

defines a weak stability condition on $\text{D}^b(X)$ with respect to Λ^2 . The quadratic form Q can be given by the discriminant Δ_H defined in (3.8).

These weak stability conditions vary continuously as $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbb{R}_{>0} \times \mathbb{R}$ varies.

When the choices of (α, β) are clear, $\sigma_{\alpha, \beta}$ -(semi)stability is usually referred to as *tilt*-(semi)stability. The notion of 2-Gieseker-stability occurs as limit of tilt stability:

Proposition 3.12. [BBF+, Proposition 4.8][Br08, Proposition 14.2] *Let $F \in D^b(X)$ and $\beta < \mu(F)$. Then $F \in \text{Coh}^\beta(X)$ and F is $\sigma_{\alpha,\beta}$ -(semi)stable for $\alpha \gg 0$ if and only if $F \in \text{Coh}(X)$ and F is 2-Gieseker-(semi)stable.*

We will also need to following result of [Li19, Proposition 3.2]. The original proposition in [Li19] is more general, our version follows from it by explicit computation.

Proposition 3.13. [Li19, Proposition 3.2] *Let X be a Fano threefold of Picard rank 1 and index 2 and F be $\sigma_{\alpha,\beta}$ -stable with $\text{ch}_0(F) \neq 0$ for some $\alpha > 0, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$.*

- (1) *If $d = 5$ and $-\sqrt{\frac{3}{20}} \leq \mu_H(F) \leq \sqrt{\frac{3}{20}}$, then $\frac{H\text{ch}_2(F)}{H^3\text{ch}_0(F)} \leq 0$.*
- (2) *If $d = 4$ and $\frac{\sqrt{3}}{4} \leq |\mu_H(F)| \leq 1 - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{4}$, then $\frac{H\text{ch}_2(F)}{H^3\text{ch}_0(F)} \leq \frac{1}{2}(\mu_H(F))^2 - \frac{3}{32}$.*
- (3) *If $d = 3$ and $-\frac{1}{2} \leq \mu_H(F) \leq \frac{1}{2}$, then $\frac{H\text{ch}_2(F)}{H^3\text{ch}_0(F)} \leq 0$.*
- (4) *If $d = 3$ and $\frac{1}{2} < |\mu_H(F)| \leq 1$, then $\frac{H\text{ch}_2(F)}{H^3\text{ch}_0(F)} \leq |\mu_H(F)| - \frac{1}{2}$.*
- (5) *If $d = 2$ and $-\frac{1}{2} \leq \mu_H(F) \leq \frac{1}{2}$, then $\frac{H\text{ch}_2(F)}{H^3\text{ch}_0(F)} \leq 0$.*

Moreover, if the equality holds in any of the cases above, then $\text{ch}_0(F)$ is 1 or 2.

Finally we recall a variant of the tilt stability conditions, which is needed in the next section. Fix $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$, apply Proposition 3.7 to $\sigma_{\alpha,\beta}$, we obtain a heart, which we denote by

$$\text{Coh}_{\alpha,\beta}^\mu(X) := \mathcal{A}_{\sigma_{\alpha,\beta}}^\mu.$$

Let $u \in \mathbb{C}$ be the unit vector in the upper half plane with $\mu = -\frac{\Re u}{\Im u}$.

Proposition 3.14. [BLMS, Proposition 2.15] *The pair $\sigma_{\alpha,\beta}^\mu := (\text{Coh}_{\alpha,\beta}^\mu(X), Z_{\alpha,\beta}^\mu)$, where*

$$Z_{\alpha,\beta}^\mu := \frac{1}{u} Z_{\alpha,\beta}$$

is a weak stability condition on $D^b(X)$ with respect to Λ^2 .

3.3. Stability conditions on the Kuznetsov component. Let X be a Fano threefold of Picard rank 1 and index 2. We will induce stability conditions on $\text{Ku}(X)$ from the weak stability conditions $\sigma_{\alpha,\beta}^0$ of Proposition 3.14. Set $\mathcal{A}(\alpha,\beta) := \text{Coh}_{\alpha,\beta}^0(X) \cap \text{Ku}(X)$ and $Z(\alpha,\beta) = Z_{\alpha,\beta}^0|_{\text{Ku}(X)}$.

Theorem 3.15. [BLMS, Theorem 6.8][PY20, Theorem 3.3] *Suppose $-\frac{1}{2} \leq \beta < 0, 0 < \alpha < -\beta$, or $-1 < \beta < -\frac{1}{2}, 0 < \alpha \leq 1 + \beta$. Then the pair*

$$\sigma(\alpha,\beta) := (\mathcal{A}(\alpha,\beta), Z(\alpha,\beta))$$

is a Bridgeland stability condition on $\text{Ku}(X)$ with respect to $\Lambda_{\text{Ku}(X)}^2$, where

$$\Lambda_{\text{Ku}(X)}^2 := \text{Im}(K(\text{Ku}(X))) \rightarrow K(X) \rightarrow \Lambda^2 \cong \mathbb{Z}^2.$$

We mention the existence of such a Bridgeland stability condition on $\text{Ku}(X)$ was first proved in [BLMS, Theorem 6.8] with $\beta = -\frac{1}{2}$. The specific triangular region was computed in [PY20, Theorem 3.3].

In fact, the stability conditions constructed above are the same up to the action of $\tilde{\text{GL}}_2^+(\mathbb{R})$.

Proposition 3.16. [PY20, Proposition 3.6] *Fix $0 < \alpha_0 < \frac{1}{2}$. For any (α,β) with $-\frac{1}{2} \leq \beta < 0, 0 < \alpha < -\beta$, or $-1 < \beta < -\frac{1}{2}, 0 < \alpha \leq 1 + \beta$, there is $\tilde{g} \in \tilde{\text{GL}}_2^+(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\sigma(\alpha,\beta) = \sigma(\alpha_0, -\frac{1}{2}) \cdot \tilde{g}$.*

In light of this result, we set

$$\mathcal{K} := \sigma(\alpha_0, -\frac{1}{2}) \cdot \tilde{\text{GL}}_2^+(\mathbb{R}) \subset \text{Stab}(\text{Ku}(X))$$

Then all stability conditions constructed in Theorem 3.15 are in \mathcal{K} .

4. BRIDGELAND STABILITY OF MINIMAL INSTANTON BUNDLES

For the rest of this paper, let X be a Fano threefold of Picard rank one, index two and degree d . Let E be a minimal instanton bundle on X . The Chern character of E is

$$\text{ch}(E) = (2, 0, -2, 0),$$

and the twisted Chern character with respect to $-\frac{1}{2}$ till degree 2 is

$$\text{ch}_{\leq 2}^{-\frac{1}{2}}(E) = (2, H, \frac{d-8}{4}).$$

Proposition 4.1. *Let E be a minimal instanton bundle on X . Then E is $\sigma(\alpha_0, -\frac{1}{2})$ -semistable for some $0 < \alpha_0 < \frac{1}{2}$. As a result, E is semistable for any stability condition in \mathcal{K} .*

Proof. E is stable by definition. By [Sa14, Lemma 1.23], E is μ -stable. As $\mu_H(E) = 0 > -\frac{1}{2}$, we have $E \in \text{Coh}^{-\frac{1}{2}}(X)$. Since $H^2 \text{ch}_1^{-\frac{1}{2}} > 0$, E is $\sigma_{\alpha, -\frac{1}{2}}$ -stable for $\alpha \gg 0$ by [BMS, Lemma 2.7(c)]

Next we show that E is $\sigma_{\alpha, -\frac{1}{2}}$ -semistable for some $\alpha < \frac{1}{2}$. A wall would be given by a short exact sequence in the heart $\text{Coh}^{-\frac{1}{2}}(X)$ of the form

$$0 \rightarrow E' \rightarrow E \rightarrow E'' \rightarrow 0,$$

such that the following conditions hold:

- (1) $\mu_{\alpha, -\frac{1}{2}}(E') = \mu_{\alpha, -\frac{1}{2}}(E) = \mu_{\alpha, -\frac{1}{2}}(E'')$;
- (2) $\Delta_H(E') \geq 0, \Delta_H(E'') \geq 0$;
- (3) $\Delta_H(E') \leq \Delta_H(E), \Delta_H(E'') \leq \Delta_H(E)$;
- (4) $\text{ch}_{\leq 2}^{-\frac{1}{2}}(E') \neq (1, \frac{1}{2}H, \frac{d-8}{8}), \text{ch}_{\leq 2}^{-\frac{1}{2}}(E'') \neq (2, H, \frac{d-8}{4})$.

Note we require the last condition because $\text{ch}(E)$ is not primitive and we are considering walls that might break the semistability of E .

The truncated twisted characters of E' and E'' have to satisfy

$$(2, H, \frac{d-8}{4}) = (a, \frac{b}{2}H, \frac{c}{8}) + (2-a, \frac{2-b}{2}H, \frac{2d-16-c}{8})$$

for some $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since E' and E'' are in $\text{Coh}^{-\frac{1}{2}}(X)$, we have $b \geq 0$ and $2-b \geq 0$. Thus $b = 0, 1$ or 2 .

As $\mu_{\alpha, -\frac{1}{2}}(E) = \frac{d-8-4d\alpha^2}{4d}$ and $\Delta_H(E) = 8d$, the first three conditions become

- (1) $\frac{1}{b}(\frac{c}{4d} - \alpha^2 a) = \frac{d-8-4d\alpha^2}{4d} = \frac{1}{2-b}(\frac{2d-16-c}{4d} - \alpha^2(2-a))$;
- (2) $(\frac{b}{2})^2 - \frac{ac}{4d} \geq 0, (\frac{2-b}{2})^2 - \frac{(2-a)(2d-16-c)}{4d} \geq 0$
- (3) $(\frac{b}{2})^2 - \frac{ac}{4d} \leq \frac{8}{d}, (\frac{2-b}{2})^2 - \frac{(2-a)(2d-16-c)}{4d} \leq \frac{8}{d}$.

Suppose $b = 0$. If $a \neq 0$, then

$$4d\alpha^2 = \frac{c}{a} > 0 \text{ and } ac \leq 0$$

which is impossible. If $a = 0$, then $c = 0$ by the first equation. This means either E' or E'' has twisted Chern character $(2, H, \frac{d-8}{4})$. The case that E' has twisted Chern character

$(2, H, \frac{d-8}{4})$ is excluded by condition (4). If E'' has twisted Chern character $(2, H, \frac{d-8}{4})$, then E would have a subobject with infinite slope, again contradicting the stability of E for $\alpha \gg 0$. The case $b = 2$ can be excluded by symmetry and the above argument.

Suppose $b = 1$, then $2 - b = 1$. By condition (4), we can assume $a \neq 1$, then either a or $2 - a$ will be greater than or equal to 2. We assume $a \geq 2$ without loss of generality. We have then either $\text{ch}(E')$ or $\text{ch}(E'')$ being equal to

$$(a, \frac{1}{2}(1-a)H, \dots)$$

which implies a must be odd and $a \geq 3$. Using (1) and the assumption $b = 1$, we obtain

$$c = d - 8 + 4d\alpha^2(a - 1).$$

Combine this with the second equation in (2), we obtain

$$\frac{(a-2)(4d\alpha^2(a-1) - d + 8)}{4d} \leq \frac{1}{4}$$

which simplifies to

$$4d\alpha^2(a-1) \leq \frac{d}{a-2} + d - 8 \leq 2d - 8.$$

This leads to contradictions when $1 \leq d \leq 4$, since the left hand side is positive. In these cases there are no walls for the tilt-semistability of E .

If $d = 5$, the above equation becomes

$$\alpha^2 \leq \frac{1}{10(a-1)} \leq 1/20.$$

In this case there are no walls for $\alpha > \frac{1}{\sqrt{20}}$.

As a result, for all $1 \leq d \leq 5$, we can choose $\frac{1}{\sqrt{20}} < \alpha_0 < \frac{1}{2}$ so that E is $\sigma_{\alpha_0, -\frac{1}{2}}$ -semistable. Since E is torsion free, this implies E is $\sigma_{\alpha_0, -\frac{1}{2}}^0$ -semistable and thus $\sigma(\alpha_0, -\frac{1}{2})$ -semistable for the same $0 < \alpha_0 < \frac{1}{2}$. By [PY20, Section 3.3], E is semistable for all stability conditions in $\mathcal{K} \subset \text{Stab}(\text{Ku}(X))$. \square

Corollary 4.2. *Let E be a minimal instanton bundle on X . If the degree of X satisfy $d \geq 2$, then E is stable for any stability condition in \mathcal{K} .*

Proof. It suffices to show the stability of E for $\sigma(\alpha_0, -\frac{1}{2})$. Suppose E is strictly $\sigma(\alpha_0, -\frac{1}{2})$ -semistable. By [PY20, Lemma 3.9], it has two Jordan-Hölder factors, each having numerical class as that of the ideal sheaf of a line. By [PY20, Proposition 4.6], the Jordan-Hölder factors are ideal sheaves of lines. This contradicts the μ -stability of E . Thus E is $\sigma(\alpha_0, -\frac{1}{2})$ -stable. \square

For the rest of this section, assume $d \geq 3$. We look at non-locally free generalizations of minimal instanton bundles. Let C be a smooth conic on X with $d \geq 3$. Note $C \simeq \mathbb{P}^1$ and we denote by θ its theta characteristic (dual of the ample generator in $\text{Pic}(C)$). Let E be the torsion free sheaf defined by the short exact sequence

$$(4.3) \quad 0 \rightarrow E \rightarrow H^0(\theta(1)) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \theta(1) \rightarrow 0.$$

Then by [Dr00][Qin1][Qin2], E is Gieseker-stable and $E \in \text{Ku}(X)$.

Proposition 4.4. *Let E be a sheaf on X defined by (4.3). Then E is stable for any stability condition in \mathcal{K} .*

Proof. The proof is essentially the same as above. Although E is not slope-stable, we note since E is Gieseker-stable, it is 2-Gieseker-semistable. By Proposition 3.12, E is $\sigma_{\alpha, -\frac{1}{2}}$ -semistable for $\alpha \gg 0$. We can then apply the arguments for walls in Proposition 4.1 to show E is semistable for stability conditions in \mathcal{K} . The fact that E is stable follows from the arguments of Corollary 4.2. \square

Remark 4.5. By [PY20, Proposition 4.4], extensions of ideal sheaves of lines on X are strictly σ -semistable for every $\sigma \in \mathcal{K}$. In fact, the proof of Corollary 4.2 shows that they are the only strictly σ -semistable objects with numerical class $2[\mathcal{I}_l]$.

5. DESCRIPTION OF THE MODULI SPACE $M_\sigma(\mathrm{Ku}(X), 2[\mathcal{I}_l])$

In this section we assume $d \geq 3$. We will show that for $\sigma \in \mathcal{K}$, σ -semistable objects in $\mathrm{Ku}(X)$ with numerical class $2[\mathcal{I}_l] \in \mathcal{N}(\mathrm{Ku}(X))$ are Gieseker-semistable sheaves with Chern character $(2, 0, -2, 0)$ up to shifts. We will then use this result to describe $M_\sigma(\mathrm{Ku}(X), 2[\mathcal{I}_l])$. We need the following two lemmas.

Lemma 5.1. *Let E be a 2-Gieseker-semistable sheaf with $\mathrm{rk}(E) = 2$, $c_1(E) = 0$, $c_2(E) = 2$ and $c_3(E) = 0$ on X . Then E is Gieseker-semistable.*

Proof. Suppose E is Gieseker-unstable. Then the Harder-Narasimhan filtration of E in $\mathrm{Coh}(X)$ is of the form

$$0 \rightarrow E' \rightarrow E \rightarrow E'' \rightarrow 0$$

with E', E'' Gieseker-semistable of rank 1 and $p(E') \succ p(E)$. Note $\mathrm{ch}(E) = (2, 0, -2, 0)$. Since E is 2-Gieseker-semistable, it is easy to see that we must have $\mathrm{ch}_1(E') = \mathrm{ch}_1(E'') = 0$ and $\mathrm{ch}_2(E') = \mathrm{ch}_2(E'') = -1$. Then E' and E'' are ideal sheaves of closed subschemes of dimension 1, which we denote by D' and D'' respectively. Since E' is Gieseker-destabilizing, $\mathrm{ch}(E') = (1, 0, -1, m)$ with $m \geq 1$. The Hilbert polynomial of D' is thus $P_{D'}(t) = t + 1 - m$, this contradicts [Sa14, Corollary 1.38(1)]. \square

Lemma 5.2. *Let $E \in \mathcal{O}_X^{\frac{1}{2}}$ be an object with Chern character $(2, 0, -2, 0)$. Then the vertical line $\beta = -1$ does not intersect any actual wall for the $\sigma_{\alpha, \beta}^0$ -stability of E .*

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Proposition 4.1. We provide it for the convenience of the readers. The twisted Chern character of E is

$$\mathrm{ch}_{\leq 2}^{-1}(E) = (2, 2H, d - 2)$$

A wall will provide a equation of the twisted Chern characters:

$$(5.3) \quad (2, 2H, d - 2) = (a, bH, \frac{c}{2}) + (2 - a, (2 - b)H, d - 2 - \frac{c}{2}).$$

where $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$ satisfying

- (1) $\frac{1}{b}(\frac{c}{2} - \frac{1}{2}d\alpha^2 a) = \frac{d-2-d\alpha^2}{2} = \frac{1}{2-b}(d - 2 - \frac{c}{2} - \frac{1}{2}d\alpha^2(2 - a))$;
- (2) $b^2 - \frac{ac}{d} \geq 0$, $(2 - b)^2 - \frac{(2-a)(2d-4-c)}{d} \geq 0$
- (3) $b^2 - \frac{ac}{d} \leq \frac{8}{d}$, $(2 - b)^2 - \frac{(2-a)(2d-4-c)}{d} \leq \frac{8}{d}$.

By the definition of a stability function on an abelian category, b and $2 - b$ cannot have opposite signs, so $b(2 - b) \geq 0$ and thus $0 \leq b \leq 2$.

Suppose $b = 0$. If $a \neq 0$, then

$$\frac{1}{2}d\alpha^2 = \frac{c}{2a} > 0 \text{ and } \frac{ac}{d} \leq 0$$

which is impossible. If $a = 0$, then $c = 0$. If the twisted Chern character $(a, bH, \frac{c}{2})$ corresponds to a subobject, then $d - 2 - d\alpha_0^2 = 0$. So $\alpha_0^2 = 1 - \frac{2}{d}$. In this case we have a short exact sequence in $\text{Coh}_{\alpha_0, -1}^0$

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Z \rightarrow E[s] \rightarrow B \rightarrow 0$$

where $s \in \mathbb{Z}$ is a potential shift and Z is 0-dimensional subscheme on X . One can easily check $\mathcal{O}_X \in \text{Coh}_{(\alpha_0, -1)}^0$. By the assumption that $E \in \mathcal{O}_X^\perp$, we have $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{O}_Z) = \text{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_X, B[-1]) = 0$, this is absurd if Z is non-empty. On the other hand, having a quotient object with twisted Chern character $(0, 0, 0)$ does not affect semistability. The case $b = 2$ follows by symmetry.

Suppose $b = 1$, we have $c = d\alpha^2(a - 1) + d - 2$. Without loss of generality, we assume $a \geq 1$. Note if $a = 1$, the two terms on the right hand side of equation (5.3) are equal, which means such a wall will not affect semistability. For $a \geq 2$, the first equation of (2) provides

$$a(a - 1)\alpha^2 \leq 1 - a\left(1 - \frac{2}{d}\right).$$

For $d \geq 4$, we get

$$a(a - 1)\alpha^2 \leq 1 - 2\left(1 - \frac{1}{2}\right) = 0.$$

which is absurd since the left hand side is positive.

For $d = 3$, we obtain similar contradiction as above if $a \geq 3$. If $a = 2$, $\alpha^2 \leq \frac{1}{6}$. In this case c cannot be an integer. \square

Proposition 5.4. *Assume $d \neq 1$. If $F \in \text{Ku}(X)$ is σ -stable for some $\sigma \in \mathcal{K}$ with $[F] = 2[\mathcal{I}_l] \in \mathcal{N}(\text{Ku}(X))$, then $F \cong E[2k]$ for some Gieseker-stable sheaf E of rank 2, $c_1(E) = 0$, $c_2(E) = 2$, $c_3(E) = 0$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.*

Proof. We follow the proof of [PY20, Proposition 4.6]. Set $\text{ch}(F) := (a_0, a_1, a_2, a_3)$. As $[F] = 2[\mathcal{I}_l] \in \mathcal{N}(\text{Ku}(X))$ and $\chi(\mathcal{I}_l, \mathcal{I}_l) = -1$, the following conditions hold:

$$\chi(\mathcal{O}_X, F) = 0, \chi(\mathcal{O}_X(1), F) = 0, \chi(\mathcal{I}_l, F) = -2, \chi(F, \mathcal{I}_l) = -2$$

By Hirzebruch-Riemann-Roch Theorem and a similar computation as [PY20], we obtain $\text{ch}(F) = 2\text{ch}(\mathcal{I}_l) = (2, 0, -2, 0)$.

By [PY20, Proposition 3.6] and the assumption, F is $\sigma(\alpha, \beta)$ -stable for every $(\alpha, \beta) \in V$. In particular, for all $(\alpha, \beta) \in V$ satisfying $\beta^2 - \alpha^2 \leq 2/d$, we have $F[2k + 1] \in \mathcal{A}(\alpha, \beta)$ for some integer k . Up to shifting, we assume $G := F[1] \in \mathcal{A}(\alpha, \beta)$ is $\sigma(\alpha, \beta)$ -stable for (α, β) satisfying $\beta^2 - \alpha^2 \leq 2/d$. Then G has slope

$$\sigma_{\alpha, \beta}^0(G) = \frac{-\beta}{\frac{1}{d} + \frac{1}{2}\alpha^2 - \frac{1}{2}\beta^2}.$$

In particular, $\sigma_{\alpha, \beta}^0(G) = +\infty$ if

$$(5.5) \quad \beta^2 - \alpha^2 = 2/d.$$

Since $d \geq 3$, then there exists pairs $(\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta}) \in V$ so that (5.5) holds. G is $\sigma_{\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta}}^0$ semistable as it has the largest slope $(+\infty)$ in heart. By Lemma 5.2, $\beta = -1$ is not on a wall for the $\sigma_{\alpha, \beta}^0$ -stability of G . Moreover, the semicircle \mathcal{C} with center $(0, -\frac{d+2}{2d})$ and radius $\frac{d-2}{2d}$ gives a numerical wall for G , potentially realized by $\mathcal{O}_X(-1)[2] \in \text{Coh}_{\alpha, \beta}^0(X)$.

Assume that \mathcal{C} is not an actual wall for G . All other walls would be nested semicircles in \mathcal{C} . Thus we may choose $(\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta}) = (\frac{d-2}{2d}, -\frac{d+2}{2d}) \in \mathcal{C}$, so that G is $\sigma_{\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta}}^0$ -semistable and remains so for $\bar{\beta}$ approaching $-\frac{1}{2}$.

By definition of $\text{Coh}_{\alpha, -\frac{1}{2}}^0(X)$, we have a triangle

$$A[1] \rightarrow G \rightarrow B$$

where A (resp. B) is in $\text{Coh}^{-\frac{1}{2}}(X)$ with $\sigma_{\alpha, -\frac{1}{2}}$ -semistable factors having slope $\mu_{\alpha, -\frac{1}{2}} \leq 0$ (resp. ≥ 0). Since G is $\sigma_{\alpha, -\frac{1}{2}}^0$ -semistable, B is either 0 or supported on points. In any case, $A[1]$ is also $\sigma_{\alpha, -\frac{1}{2}}^0$ -semistable, which implies A is $\sigma_{\alpha, -\frac{1}{2}}$ -semistable. Note $\text{ch}(A) = (2, 0, -2, l)$, where $l \geq 0$ is the length of the support of B . The wall computation in Proposition 4.1 shows that A is $\sigma_{\alpha, -\frac{1}{2}}$ -semistable for every $\alpha > 0$ if $d = 3, 4$ and $\alpha > \frac{1}{\sqrt{20}}$ if $d = 5$. By Proposition 3.12, A is a 2-Gieseker-semistable sheaf. When $d = 5$, applying [BLMS, Conjecture 4.1],[Li19] for $\alpha = \frac{1}{\sqrt{20}}$ $\beta = -\frac{1}{2}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} l &\leq \frac{4}{3}\alpha^2 + \frac{1}{3} + \frac{8}{3d} \\ &\leq \frac{4}{3} \cdot \frac{1}{20} + \frac{1}{3} + \frac{8}{15} = \frac{14}{15} \end{aligned}$$

Thus $l = 0$ if $d = 5$. When $d \neq 5$, applying [BLMS, Conjecture 4.1],[Li19] for $\alpha = 0$ $\beta = -\frac{1}{2}$, we obtain

$$l \leq \frac{1}{3} + \frac{8}{3d}$$

for $d \geq 3$. Then l is 0 or 1 for $3 \leq d \leq 4$. We claim $l = 0$. Suppose otherwise, since $G \in \text{Ku}(X)$, we have $H^i(A) = 0$ for all i except when $i = 2$ and $H^2(A) = \mathbb{C}$. Let q be a generic point on X and consider the elementary modification A' of A defined by the short exact sequence

$$(5.6) \quad 0 \rightarrow A' \rightarrow A \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_q \rightarrow 0.$$

Then A' is a 2-Gieseker-semistable sheaf with $\text{ch}(A') = (2, 0, -2, 0)$. By Lemma 5.1, A' is Gieseker-semistable. By [Dr00],[Qin2], $A' \in \text{Ku}(X)$. Along with (5.6), we see $H^2(A) = 0$, which contradicts our computation above. Hence $l = 0$ for all $d = 3, 4, 5$. Consequently, $G = A[1]$ and $F = A \in \text{Ku}(X)$ is a 2-Gieseker-semistable sheaf. By Lemma 5.1, F is a Gieseker-semistable sheaf with rank 2, $c_1(E) = 0$, $c_2(E) = 2$, $c_3(E) = 0$. F is Gieseker-stable since otherwise F would be an extension of two ideal sheaves of lines, making it strictly σ -semistable.

Assume \mathcal{C} defines an actual wall for G and G becomes unstable for $\beta \rightarrow -\frac{1}{2}$. Set $(\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta}) = (\frac{d-2}{2d}, -\frac{d+2}{2d})$. G is then strictly $\sigma_{\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta}}^0$ -semistable and we have a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow P \rightarrow G \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 0$$

in $\text{Coh}_{\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta}}^0(X)$, where P, Q are $\sigma_{\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta}}^0$ -semistable with infinite slope. It is a tedious exercise to find the potential cases using

- $\Im(Z_{\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta}}^0(P)) = \Im(Z_{\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta}}^0(Q)) = 0$;
- $\Re(Z_{\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta}}^0(P)) \leq 0, \Re(Z_{\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta}}^0(Q)) \leq 0$;
- $\Delta(P) \geq 0, \Delta(Q) \geq 0$

We list them here:

- (1) $d = 5, \text{ch}_{\leq 2}(P) = (1, -1, \frac{5}{2}), \text{ch}_{\leq 2}(Q) = (-3, 1, -\frac{1}{2})$;
- (2) $d = 4, \text{ch}_{\leq 2}(P) = (0, -1, 3), \text{ch}_{\leq 2}(Q) = (-2, 1, -1)$;
- (3) $d = 4, \text{ch}_{\leq 2}(P) = (1, -1, 2), \text{ch}_{\leq 2}(Q) = (-3, 1, 0)$;
- (4) $d = 4, \text{ch}_{\leq 2}(P) = (2, -2, 4), \text{ch}_{\leq 2}(Q) = (-4, 2, -2)$;
- (5) $d = 3, \text{ch}_{\leq 2}(P) = (0, -1, \frac{5}{2}), \text{ch}_{\leq 2}(Q) = (-2, 1, -\frac{1}{2})$;

- (6) $d = 3, \text{ch}_{\leq 2}(P) = (1, -2, 4), \text{ch}_{\leq 2}(Q) = (-3, 2, -2);$
- (7) $d = 3, \text{ch}_{\leq 2}(P) = (2, -3, \frac{11}{2}), \text{ch}_{\leq 2}(Q) = (-4, 3, -\frac{7}{2});$
- (8) $d = 3, \text{ch}_{\leq 2}(P) = (1, -1, \frac{3}{2}), \text{ch}_{\leq 2}(Q) = (-3, 1, \frac{1}{2});$
- (9) $d = 3, \text{ch}_{\leq 2}(P) = (2, -2, 3), \text{ch}_{\leq 2}(Q) = (-4, 2, -1);$
- (10) $d = 3, \text{ch}_{\leq 2}(P) = (3, -3, \frac{9}{2}), \text{ch}_{\leq 2}(Q) = (-5, 3, -\frac{5}{2});$
- (11) $d = 3, \text{ch}_{\leq 2}(P) = (4, -4, 6), \text{ch}_{\leq 2}(Q) = (-6, 4, -4).$

All the cases are ruled out by Lemmas 5.7 to 5.10. This completes the proof. \square

Lemma 5.7. *Cases (2)(6) can be ruled out.*

Proof. Note in both cases $\Delta(Q) = 0$ and $\gcd(\text{ch}_0(Q), \text{ch}_1(Q)) = 0$. Let $\mathcal{H}^i(Q)$ denote the i -th cohomology of Q in $\text{Coh}^{\bar{\beta}}(X)$. Since Q is $\sigma_{\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta}}^0$ -semistable, we see $\mathcal{H}^0(Q)$ is either 0 or supported on points. Moreover, $\mathcal{H}^{-1}(Q)$ is $\sigma_{\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta}}$ -semistable with $\mu_{\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta}}(\mathcal{H}^{-1}(Q)) = 0$. By [BMS, Corollary 3.10], $\mathcal{H}^{-1}(Q)$ is in fact $\sigma_{\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta}}$ -stable. Then cases (2)(6) are ruled out by Proposition 3.13(2) and (4) respectively. \square

Lemma 5.8. *Cases (5)(7) can be ruled out.*

Proof. Arguing as in the previous lemma, we get $\mathcal{H}^{-1}(Q)$ is $\sigma_{\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta}}$ -semistable with $\mu_{\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta}}(\mathcal{H}^{-1}(Q)) = 0$. If $\mathcal{H}^{-1}(Q)$ is in fact $\sigma_{\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta}}$ -stable, then cases (5)(7) can be ruled out by Proposition 3.13(3) and (4) respectively.

If $\mathcal{H}^{-1}(Q)$ is strictly $\sigma_{\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta}}$ -semistable. Note for any $F \in \text{Coh}^{\bar{\beta}}(X)$, $\Delta(F)/d$ is an integer. In both cases (5)(7), we have $\Delta(\mathcal{H}^{-1}(Q))/3 = 1$. By [BMS, Lemma 3.9] [BMS, Corollary 3.10], there is a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow Q' \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^{-1}(Q) \rightarrow Q'' \rightarrow 0$$

in $\text{Coh}^{\bar{\beta}}$ where Q' and Q'' are $\sigma_{\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta}}$ -semistable, with $\mu_{\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta}}(Q') = \mu_{\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta}}(Q'') = 0$ and $\Delta(Q') = \Delta(Q'') = 0$. It is a straightforward computation to check that we will have either Q' or Q'' with $\text{ch}_{\leq 2} = (3, -2, 2)$. We can then draw a contradiction using the arguments in the previous lemma for case (6). \square

Lemma 5.9. *Case (1) can be ruled out.*

Proof. As before, $\mathcal{H}^{-1}(Q)$ is $\sigma_{\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta}}$ -semistable with $\mu_{\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta}}(\mathcal{H}^{-1}(Q)) = 0$. If $\mathcal{H}^{-1}(Q)$ is in fact $\sigma_{\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta}}$ -stable, then cases (1) can be ruled out by Proposition 3.13(1).

If $\mathcal{H}^{-1}(Q)$ is strictly $\sigma_{\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta}}$ -semistable. We have $\Delta(\mathcal{H}^{-1}(Q))/5 = 2$. By [BMS, Lemma 3.9] [BMS, Corollary 3.10], there is a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow Q' \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^{-1}(Q) \rightarrow Q'' \rightarrow 0$$

in $\text{Coh}^{\bar{\beta}}$ where Q' and Q'' are $\sigma_{\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta}}$ -semistable, with $\mu_{\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta}}(Q') = \mu_{\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta}}(Q'') = 0$ and $\Delta(Q'), \Delta(Q'')$ either 0 or 5. We denote $\text{ch}_{\leq 2}(Q') = (a, bH, \frac{c}{2})$, where $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then $\text{ch}_{\leq 2}(Q'') = (3 - a, (-1 - b)H, \frac{1-c}{2})$

$$\mu_{\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta}}(Q') = 0 \iff 2a + 7b + c = 0,$$

$$\Delta(Q')/5 = 0 \text{ or } 1 \iff 5b^2 - ac = 0 \text{ or } 1,$$

$$\Delta(Q'')/5 = 0 \text{ or } 1 \iff 5(1 + b)^2 - (3 - a)(1 - c) = 0 \text{ or } 1.$$

It is then straightforward but tedious to check the above equations have no integer solutions in (a, b, c) . \square

Lemma 5.10. *Cases (3)(4)(8)(9)(10)(11) can be ruled out.*

Proof. In these cases $\text{ch}_{\leq 2}(P) = n \cdot (1, -H, \frac{H^2}{2})$. Let $\mathcal{H}^i(P)$ denote the i -th cohomology of P in $\text{Coh}^{\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta}}(X)$. Since P is $\sigma_{\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta}}^0$ -semistable, we see $\mathcal{H}^0(P)$ is either 0 or supported on points. Moreover, $\mathcal{H}^{-1}(P)$ is $\sigma_{\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta}}$ -semistable. Denote $\text{ch}(\mathcal{H}^{-1}(P)) = (-n, nH, -\frac{nH^2}{2}, m)$. By [BMS, Conjecture 4.1][Li19], $m \leq n\frac{H^3}{6}$. Note $\Delta(\mathcal{H}^{-1}(P)) = 0$. By [BMS, Corollary 3.10], either $\mathcal{H}^{-1}(P)$ is $\sigma_{\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta}}$ -stable or it is strictly $\sigma_{\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta}}$ -semistable and its Jordan-Hölder factors have $\text{ch}_{\leq 2}$ proportional to $\text{ch}_{\leq 2}(\mathcal{H}^{-1}(P))$. Let R_i ($1 \leq i \leq N$) be the factors in a Jordan-Hölder filtration of $\mathcal{H}^{-1}(P)$, then $\text{ch}(R_i) = (-k_i, k_iH, -\frac{k_iH^2}{2}, r_i)$ for positive integers k_i such that $k_1 + \dots + k_N = n$. By [BMS, Corollary 3.11(c)] and using $H^j(R_i)$ to denote the j -th cohomology of R_i in $\text{Coh}(X)$, $H^0(R_i)$ has zero dimensional support (say of length l_i) and $H^{-1}(R_i)$ is a slope-semistable sheaf with Chern character $(k_i, -k_iH, \frac{k_iH^2}{2}, -r_i + l_i)$. Then $H^{-1}(R_i) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(1)$ is a slope-semistable sheaf with Chern character $(k_i, 0, 0, k_i\frac{H^3}{6} - r_i + l_i)$. By [BBF+, Proposition 4.18(i)],

$$(5.11) \quad k_i \frac{H^3}{6} - r_i + l_i \leq 0$$

for each $1 \leq i \leq N$. Note

$$\sum_{i=1}^N r_i = m \leq n \frac{H^3}{6}$$

Combined with (5.11), we have $\sum_{i=0}^N l_i = 0$. Since all l_i are nonnegative integers, we have $l_i = 0$ and $r_i = k_i\frac{H^3}{6}$ for all i . By [BBF+, Proposition 4.18(i)], we see $R_i \cong \mathcal{O}_X(-1)^{\oplus k_i}[1]$ for all $1 \leq i \leq N$. Hence $\mathcal{H}^{-1}(P) = \mathcal{O}_X(-1)^{\oplus n}[1]$. Next we claim $\mathcal{H}^0(P) = 0$. Suppose otherwise, then we have a sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(-1)^{\oplus n}[2] \rightarrow G \rightarrow Q' \rightarrow 0$$

in $\text{Coh}_{\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta}}^0(X)$, where Q' is defined by $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^0(P) \rightarrow Q' \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 0$. Since $G \in \text{Ku}(X)$ and $H^i(\mathcal{O}_X(-1)) = 0$ for all i , we have $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_X, Q') = 0$. We have the long exact sequence

$$\rightarrow \text{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_X, Q[-1]) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{H}^0(P)) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_X, Q') \rightarrow$$

Note the first term is 0 since \mathcal{O}_X and Q are in the same heart, thus $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{H}^0(P)) = 0$ and in turn $\mathcal{H}^0(P) = 0$, i.e. $P = \mathcal{O}_X(-1)^{\oplus n}[2]$.

We can assume that n is maximal, that is, $\mathcal{O}_X(-1)[2]$ is not a subobject of Q in $\text{Coh}_{\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta}}^0(X)$. It is easy to compute that $\chi(\mathcal{O}_X(-1)[2], Q) = -2d - n$ and $\chi(P, Q) = -2nd - n^2 < 0$. Note $\text{Hom}(P, Q[i])$ is 0 if $i < 0$ or $i > 3$. We have

$$\text{Hom}(P, Q[3]) = \text{Hom}(Q, \mathcal{O}_X(-3)^{\oplus n}[2]) = 0.$$

Then $\text{Hom}(P, Q[1]) > 0$. Moreover, since $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_X(-1)[2], Q[1]) \geq 2d + n > n$, we can define G' as an extension

$$0 \rightarrow Q \rightarrow G' \rightarrow P \rightarrow 0$$

in $\text{Coh}_{\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta}}^0(X)$ which is determined by n independent vectors in $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_X(-1)[2], Q[1])$.

We claim G' is $\sigma_{\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta}}^0$ -semistable above the wall \mathcal{C} in a neighbourhood of $(\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta})$. By our previous three lemmas and the first paragraph of this proof, it suffices to show $\mathcal{O}_X(-1)[2]$ is not a subobject of G' in $\text{Coh}_{\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta}}^0(X)$. Suppose otherwise. Since n is maximal, the induced map from the subobject $\mathcal{O}_X(-1)[2]$ to $P = \mathcal{O}_X(-1)^{\oplus n}[2]$ is nontrivial. However, the composition of this induced map $\mathcal{O}_X(-1)[2] \rightarrow P$ with $P \rightarrow Q[1]$ (defined by G') is trivial, which contradicts our construction of G' .

Since $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_X, G'[i]) = 0$ for all i , by Lemma 5.2, G' is $\sigma_{\alpha, \beta}^0$ -semistable for $\beta \rightarrow -\frac{1}{2}$. We can argue as in the previous case and conclude that $G' = F'[1]$ where F' is a Gieseker-semistable sheaf with Chern character $(2, 0, -2, 0)$. Then $F' \in \text{Ku}(X)$, so $\text{Hom}(G', P) = 0$ gives a contradiction. \square

Remark 5.12. We note the same arguments work for case (1) until the last line when we conclude $F' \in \text{Ku}(X)$. See Remark 2.4.

Theorem 5.13. *Let X be a Fano threefold of Picard rank one, index two and degree d . If $d \geq 3$, then for any $\sigma \in \mathcal{K}$, the moduli space of Gieseker-semistable sheaves on X with Chern character $(2, 0, -2, 0)$ and satisfying $H^1(E(-1)) = 0$ is isomorphic to a moduli space $M_\sigma(\text{Ku}(X), 2[\mathcal{I}_l])$ of σ -semistable objects in $\text{Ku}(X)$ with numerical class twice of that of an ideal sheaf of a line in X .*

Proof. By Propositions 4.1, 4.4, 5.4, Corollary 4.2 and Remark 4.5, we see the notion of Gieseker-semistable sheaves on X with Chern character $(2, 0, -2, 0)$ and satisfying $H^1(E(-1)) = 0$ is the same as σ -semistable objects in $\text{Ku}(X)$ with numerical class $2[\mathcal{I}_l]$ up to shifts. Moreover, the S -equivalences are compatible. As a result, having a family of Gieseker-semistable sheaves on X with Chern character $(2, 0, -2, 0)$ and satisfying $H^1(E(-1)) = 0$ is equivalent to having a family of σ -semistable objects in $\text{Ku}(X)$ with numerical class $2[\mathcal{I}_l]$ up to shifts. We can then identify their respective moduli functors. Since the first functor is co-represented by the moduli spaces in Theorem 2.6, so is the second. \square

Remark 5.14. For $d = 4$, there is another way to understand the previous theorem. Recall there is an equivalence $\text{Ku}(X) \cong \text{D}^b(C)$ for a smooth projective curve C of genus 2 (see [Ku12, Section 5] for the precise definition of the equivalence). By [Ma07, Theorem 2.7], we have $\mathcal{K} \cong \text{Stab}(\text{Ku}(X)) \cong \text{Stab}(C) = \sigma_0 \cdot \tilde{\text{GL}}_2^+(\mathbb{R})$, where σ_0 is the slope stability on the curve C . Then for any $\sigma \in \mathcal{K}$, σ -semistable objects in $\text{Ku}(X)$ with numerical class $2[\mathcal{I}_l]$ corresponds to semistable vector bundles of rank 2 and degree 0 on C . The previous theorem for $d = 4$ will then follow from [Qin2, Theorem 1.5].

6. NON-MINIMAL INSTANTON BUNDLES

In this section, we explore some applications of our methods from the previous sections to non-minimal instanton bundles. Let \mathcal{E} be an instanton bundle and let n be its charge. Then \mathcal{E} is an object in $\text{Ku}(X)$ if and only if $n = 2$. On the other hand, one can associate to \mathcal{E} a unique vector bundle of rank n which is an object in $\text{Ku}(X)$:

Lemma 6.1. [Ku12, Lemma 3.5 and 3.6] *For each instanton bundle \mathcal{E} there exists a unique short exact sequence*

$$(6.2) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{E}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X^{n-2} \rightarrow 0,$$

where $\tilde{\mathcal{E}} \in \text{Ku}(X)$ is a simple slope-semistable vector bundle with Chern character $\text{ch}(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}) = (n, 0, -n, 0)$. $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ is called the acyclic extension of \mathcal{E} .

Remark 6.3. As remarked in [Ku12], $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ is nothing but the universal extension of $H^1(E) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X$ by \mathcal{E} . It can be also viewed as the left mutation $\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{E}$ of \mathcal{E} through \mathcal{O}_X . It is clear that if $n = 2$, $\mathcal{E} = \tilde{\mathcal{E}}$.

One can now ask for $n \geq 3$, whether $\tilde{\mathcal{E}} \in \text{Ku}(X)$ is σ -stable with respect to $\sigma \in \mathcal{K}$. We will show that the answer to this question is positive for $n = 3$. From now on, we assume $n = 3$.

Lemma 6.4. *The acyclic extension $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ of \mathcal{E} is 2-Gieseker-semistable.*

Proof. Suppose the lemma is not true. Then $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ is Gieseker-unstable with a maximal destabilizing subsheaf M that will also make $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ 2-Gieseker-unstable. M is torsion free with rank either 1 or 2. Since $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ is slope-semistable, $c_1(M) = 0$. If M has rank 2, then $\text{ch}_{\leq 2}(M) = (2, 0, -1 + a_m)$ and $\text{ch}_{\leq 2}(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}/M) = (1, 0, -2 - a_m)$, where $a_m \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{O}_X, \tilde{\mathcal{E}}/M$ are Gieseker-semistable, $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{E}, \tilde{\mathcal{E}}/M) = \text{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_X, \tilde{\mathcal{E}}/M) = 0$. As a result $\text{Hom}(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}, \tilde{\mathcal{E}}/M) = 0$, which is absurd. If M has rank 1, then $\text{ch}_{\leq 2}(M) = (1, 0, 0)$. Since $\tilde{\mathcal{E}} \in \text{Ku}(X)$, $M \not\cong \mathcal{O}_X$. Then M is the ideal sheaf of some zero dimensional subscheme Z_M . We have long exact sequence

$$\rightarrow \text{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_X, \tilde{\mathcal{E}}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(M, \tilde{\mathcal{E}}) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(\mathcal{O}_{Z_M}, \tilde{\mathcal{E}}) \rightarrow$$

Both the left term and the right term vanish because $\tilde{\mathcal{E}} \in \text{Ku}(X)$ is a vector bundle. As a result, $\text{Hom}(M, \tilde{\mathcal{E}}) = 0$, which is absurd. \square

Remark 6.5. One of the obstructions for applying our method here to instantons of higher charge is showing $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ is 2-Gieseker-semistable.

Proposition 6.6. *Assume $d \neq 1$. Let $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ be the acyclic extension of an instanton bundle \mathcal{E} of charge 3. Then $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ is σ -semistable for any stability condition $\sigma \in \mathcal{K}$.*

Proof. By Lemma 6.4 and [BBF+, Proposition 4.8], $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ is $\sigma_{\alpha, -\frac{1}{2}}$ semistable for $\alpha \gg 0$. We proceed as the proof of Proposition 4.1 to show there is no wall that will make $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ tilt-unstable along $\beta = -\frac{1}{2}$. A wall would be given by a sequence in $\text{Coh}^{-\frac{1}{2}}(X)$:

$$0 \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{E}}' \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{E}} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{E}}'' \rightarrow 0$$

in which the truncated twisted Chern characters satisfy

$$\left(3, \frac{3}{2}H, \frac{3}{8}(d-8)\right) = \left(a, \frac{b}{2}H, \frac{c}{8}\right) + \left(3-a, \frac{3-b}{2}H, \frac{3d-24-c}{8}\right)$$

for some $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$. As in Proposition 4.1, the wall condition and Bogomolov inequality imply

- (1) $\frac{1}{b}\left(\frac{c}{4d} - \alpha^2 a\right) = \frac{d-8-4d\alpha^2}{4d} = \frac{1}{3-b}\left(\frac{3d-24-c}{4d} - \alpha^2(3-a)\right)$;
- (2) $\left(\frac{b}{2}\right)^2 - \frac{ac}{4d} \geq 0$, $\left(\frac{3-b}{2}\right)^2 - \frac{(3-a)(3d-24-c)}{4d} \geq 0$
- (3) $\left(\frac{b}{2}\right)^2 - \frac{ac}{4d} \leq \frac{18}{d}$, $\left(\frac{3-b}{2}\right)^2 - \frac{(3-a)(3d-24-c)}{4d} \leq \frac{18}{d}$.

Since $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}', \tilde{\mathcal{E}}''$ are in $\text{Coh}^{-\frac{1}{2}}(X)$, we have $b = 0, 1, 2$ or 3 . We can easily eliminate the cases of $b = 0$ and $b = 3$ as in the proof of Proposition 4.1. Note $\frac{b-a}{2}H$ is the first Chern character of either $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}'$ or $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}''$. Hence a, b have the same parity. One of a and $3-a$ will be at least two. Without loss of generality, we assume $a \geq 2$.

Suppose $b = 2$. Condition (1) implies $c = 2d - 16 + 4d\alpha^2(a-2)$. We observe that if $a = 2$, then $\left(a, \frac{b}{2}H, \frac{c}{8}\right) = \left(2, H, \frac{2d-16}{8}\right)$ is proportional to the truncated twisted character of $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$. This case will not affect tilt-semistability and can be ignored. If $a \geq 4$, the second inequality of (2) simplifies to

$$4d\alpha^2(a-2) \leq \frac{d}{a-3} + d - 8 \leq 2d - 8.$$

This immediately leads to a contradiction for $d \leq 4$ since the left hand side is positive while the right hand side is non-positive. For $d = 5$, the above equation becomes

$$\alpha^2 \leq \frac{1}{10(a-2)} \leq \frac{1}{20}.$$

In this case there are no walls for $\alpha > \frac{1}{\sqrt{20}}$.

Suppose $b = 1$. Condition (1) implies $c = d - 8 + 4d\alpha^2(a - 1)$. If $a \geq 5$, the second inequality of (2) simplifies to

$$4d\alpha^2(a - 1) \leq \frac{4d}{a - 3} + 2d - 16 \leq 4d - 16.$$

Arguing as above we see there are no walls when $d \leq 4$ and no wall with $\alpha > \frac{1}{\sqrt{20}}$ when $d = 5$. If $a = 3$, the formula for c along with the first inequality of (2) implies $d - 8 < c \leq \frac{d}{3}$. Moreover, using the formula for twisted Chern character, $c = 3d - 8c_2$ where $c_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ is the second Chern class of either \mathcal{E}' or \mathcal{E}'' . Hence $c \equiv 3d \pmod{8}$. As a result, we have:

- for $d = 5$, $c = -1$ and $\alpha = \frac{1}{\sqrt{20}}$;
- for $d = 4$, no solution;
- for $d = 3$, $c = 1$ and $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$;
- for $d = 2$, $c = -2$ and $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$.

Next we eliminate the cases when $d = 3$ and 2. For $d = 3$, either the destabilizing subobject or quotient, which we denote by \mathcal{G} , has truncated twisted character $(3, \frac{1}{2}H, \frac{1}{8})$. Then $\Delta(\mathcal{G}) = 0$. By [BMS, Corollary 3.10], \mathcal{G} is $\sigma_{\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}}$ -stable. Now we can exclude this case by Proposition 3.13(3). For $d = 2$, either the destabilizing subobject or quotient, which we denote by \mathcal{G}' , has truncated twisted character $(3, \frac{1}{2}H, -\frac{1}{4})$. Then it is easy to see \mathcal{G}' is $\sigma_{\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}}$ -stable by checking the twisted first Chern class of its subobjects and quotients. Now we can exclude this case by Proposition 3.13(5) and the fact that the rank of \mathcal{G}' is 3.

To summarize, for $2 \leq d \leq 4$, there are no walls on $\beta = -\frac{1}{2}$; for $d = 5$, there are no walls with $\alpha > \frac{1}{\sqrt{20}}$ on $\beta = -\frac{1}{2}$. We conclude using the argument at the end of the proof for Proposition 4.1. \square

Corollary 6.7. *Assume $d \geq 3$. The acyclic extension $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ of an instanton bundle \mathcal{E} of charge 3 is σ -stable for any stability condition $\sigma \in \mathcal{K}$.*

Proof. It remains to show $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ is not strictly σ -semistable. Suppose otherwise, by [PY20, Theorem 1.1] and Theorem 5.13, the Jordan-Hölder factors (with respect to σ) of $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ are either ideal sheaves of lines on X or rank 2 semistable sheaves with Chern class $c_1 = 0$, $c_2 = 2$ and $c_3 = 0$. It suffices to show none of these sheaves can have nonzero morphisms to $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$. Let $l \subset X$ be a line. We have long exact sequence

$$\rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_X, \tilde{\mathcal{E}}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{I}_l, \tilde{\mathcal{E}}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Ext}^1(\mathcal{O}_l, \tilde{\mathcal{E}}) \rightarrow$$

It is straightforward to check the left and right term both vanish, thus $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{I}_l, \tilde{\mathcal{E}}) = 0$.

Let E be a minimal instanton bundle. We have long exact sequence

$$\rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(E, \mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(E, \tilde{\mathcal{E}}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(E, \mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow$$

The left term is 0 by Gieseker-stability, while the right term is $H^0(X, E) = 0$ since E is self-dual. Thus $\mathrm{Hom}(E, \tilde{\mathcal{E}}) = 0$.

Let E' be a stable but non-locally free sheaf with Chern character $(2, 0, -2, 0)$. By Proposition 2.3, we have long exact sequence

$$\rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus 2}, \tilde{\mathcal{E}}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(E', \tilde{\mathcal{E}}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Ext}^1(\theta(1), \tilde{\mathcal{E}}) \rightarrow$$

where θ is the theta character of a smooth conic in X . It is straightforward to check the left and right term both vanish, thus $\mathrm{Hom}(E', \tilde{\mathcal{E}}) = 0$. \square

REFERENCES

- [ABCH] D. Arcara, A. Bertram, I. Coskun, J. Huizenga, *The minimal model program for the Hilbert scheme of points on the plane and Bridgeland stability*, Adv. Math. 235 (2013):580–626.
- [AB13] D. Arcara, A. Bertram, *Bridgeland-stable moduli spaces for K -trivial surfaces*, with an appendix b Max Lieblich, J. Eur. Math. Soc. 15 (2013):1–38.
- [BBF+] A. Bayer, S. Beentjes, S. Feyzbakhsh, G. Hein, D. Martinelli, F. Rezaee, B. Schmidt, *The desingularization of the theta divisor of a cubic threefold as a moduli space*, arXiv:2011.12240, 2020.
- [BLMS] A. Bayer, M. Lahoz, E. Macrì, P. Stellari, *Stability conditions on Kuznetsov components*, appendix joint also with X. Zhao, arXiv:1703.10839v4, 2017
- [BMS] A. Bayer, E. Macrì, P. Stellari, *The space of stability conditions on abelian threefolds, and on some Calabi-Yau threefolds*, Invent. Math., 206 (2016):869–933.
- [BMSZ] M. Bernardara, E. Macrì, B. Schmidt, X. Zhao, *Bridgeland stability conditions on Fano threefolds*, Épijournal Geom. Algébrique 1, 2 (2017).
- [BMT] A. Bayer, E. Macrì, Y. Toda, *Bridgeland stability conditions on threefolds I: Bogomolov-Gieseker type inequalities*, J. Algebraic Geom. 23 (2014):117–163,
- [Br07] T. Bridgeland, *Stability conditions on triangulated categories*, Ann. of Math., 166 (2007):317–345.
- [Br08] T. Bridgeland, *Stability conditions on $K3$ surfaces*, Duke Math. J., 141 (2008):241–291.
- [Dr00] S. Druel, *Espace des modules des faisceaux de rang 2 semistables de classes de Chern $c_1 = 0, c_2 = 2$ et $c_3 = 0$ sur la cubique de \mathbb{P}^4* , Int. Math. Res. Not, 19 (2000):985–1004.
- [Fa14] D. Faenzi, *Even and odd instanton bundles on Fano threefolds of Picard number one*, Manuscripta Math. 144 (2014):199–239.
- [HRS] D. Happel, I. Reiten, S. Smalø, *Tilting in abelian categories and quasitilted algebras*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., 1996.
- [Huy] D. Huybrechts, *Fourier-Mukai transforms in algebraic geometry*, Oxford University Press, 2006.
- [HuyL] D. Huybrechts, M. Lehn *The Geometry of Moduli Spaces of Sheaves*, Second edition, Cambridge University Press, 2010.
- [IP99] V. Iskovskikh, Yu. Prokhorov, *Fano Varieties, Algebraic Geometry V*, Springer, Berlin, 1999.
- [KPS] A. Kuznetsov, Yu. Prokhorov, C. Shramov, *Hilbert schemes of lines and conics and automorphism groups of Fano threefolds*, Japanese J. Math., 13 (2018):109–185.
- [Ku04] A. Kuznetsov, *Derived categories of cubic and V_{14} threefolds*, Proc. Steklov Inst. Math., 246 (2004):183–207.
- [Ku09] A. Kuznetsov, *Derived category of Fano threefolds*, Proc. Steklov Inst. Math., 264 (2009):110–122.
- [Ku12] A. Kuznetsov, *Instanton bundles on Fano threefolds*, Cent. Eur. J. Math., 10 (2012):1198–1231.
- [Li19] C. Li, *Stability conditions on Fano threefolds of Picard number one*, J. Eur. Math. Soc., 21 (2019):709–726.
- [LLMS] M. Lahoz, M. Lehn, E. Macrì, P. Stellari, *Generalized twisted cubics on a cubic fourfold as a moduli space of stable objects*, J. Math. Pures Appl. 114 (2018): 85–117.
- [LMS] M. Lahoz, E. Macrì, P. Stellari, *ACM bundles on cubic threefolds*, Algebr. Geom., 2 (2015):231–269.
- [LPZ1] C. Li, L. Pertusi, X. Zhao, *Twisted cubics on cubic fourfolds and stability conditions*, arXiv:1802.01134, 2018.
- [LPZ2] C. Li, L. Pertusi, X. Zhao, *Elliptic quintics on cubic fourfolds, O’Grady 10, and Lagrangian fibrations*, arXiv:2007.14108, 2020.
- [LZ18] C. Li, X. Zhao, *The MMP for deformations of Hilbert schemes of points on the projective plane*, Algebr. Geom., 5 (2018):328–358.
- [LZ21] Z. Liu, S. Zhang, *Bridgeland moduli spaces and moduli spaces of sheaves on X_{14} and Y_3* , arXiv:2106.01961, 2021.
- [Ma07] E. Macrì, *Stability conditions on curves*, Math. Res. Letters, 14 (2007):657–673.
- [Nu16] H. Nuer, *Projectivity and birational geometry of Bridgeland moduli spaces on an Enriques surface*, Proc. London Math. Soc., 113 (2016):345–386.
- [Ok06] S. Okada, *Stability manifold of \mathbb{P}^1* , J. Algebraic Geom. 15 (2006):487–505.
- [PR20] M. Petkovic, F. Rota, *A note on the Kuznetsov component of the Veronese double cone*, arXiv:2007.05555, 2020.
- [PY20] L. Pertusi, S. Yang, *Some remarks on Fano threefolds of index two and stability conditions*, to appear in Int. Math. Res. Not., arXiv:2004.02798, 2021.
- [Qin1] X. Qin, *Compactification of the moduli space of minimal instantons on the Fano 3-fold V_5* , J. Pure Appl. Algebra, DOI: 10.1016/j.jpaa.2020.106526, 2021.
- [Qin2] X. Qin, *Moduli space of instanton sheaves on the Fano 3-fold V_4* , arXiv:1810.04739, 2018.

- [Sa14] G. Sanna, *Rational curves and instantons on the Fano threefold V_5* , Phd thesis, arXiv:1411.7994, 2014.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, THE UNIVERSITY OF NORTH CAROLINA AT CHAPEL HILL, 205 S COLUMBIA ST, CHAPEL HILL, NC 27514, USA
Email address: qinx@unc.edu