

p -NILPOTENCY CRITERIA FOR SOME VERBAL SUBGROUPS

YERKO CONTRERAS ROJAS, VALENTINA GRAZIAN, AND CARMINE MONETTA

ABSTRACT. Let G be a finite group, let p be a prime and let w be a group-word. We say that G satisfies $P(w, p)$ if the prime p divides the order of xy for every w -value x in G of p' -order and for every non-trivial w -value y in G of order divisible by p . With $k \geq 2$, we prove that the k th term of the lower central series of G is p -nilpotent if and only if G satisfies $P(\gamma_k, p)$. In addition, if G is soluble, we show that the k th term of the derived series of G is p -nilpotent if and only if G satisfies $P(\delta_k, p)$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let G be a finite group and let p be a prime. We say that G is p -nilpotent if G has a normal p -complement, that is, a normal subgroup H of G having p' -order and p -power index in G . There are well known results giving sufficient conditions for the p -nilpotency of a finite group, such as Burnside's theorem [9, Theorem 7.4.3], and remarkable criteria like Frobenius' normal p -complement theorem [9, Theorem 7.4.5], which says that a group G is p -nilpotent if and only if $N_G(H)/C_G(H)$ is a p -group for every p -subgroup H of G . These results mainly deal with properties of p -local subgroups and they motivated other works in the subject (see for instance [1] and [2]). In recent years, the problems of nilpotency and p -nilpotency of a group have been studied from a different point of view. In an unpublished work of 2014 [6], Baumslag and Wiegold studied the nilpotency of a finite group looking at the behaviour of elements of coprime orders. Beltrán and Sáez [7] took inspiration to characterize the p -nilpotency of a finite group by means of the orders of its elements:

Theorem A. [7, Theorem A] *Let G be a finite group and let p be a prime. Then G is p -nilpotent if and only if for every p' -element x of G of prime power order and for every p -element $y \neq 1$ of G , p divides $o(xy)$.*

Here $o(x)$ denotes the order of the group element x . We point out that, instead of studying the couple of elements (x, y) , where x is a p' -element of prime power order and y is a non-trivial p -element, one can focus on the couple (x, y) , where x is a p' -element and y is a non-trivial element of order divisible by p .

Corollary B. *Let G be a finite group and let p be a prime. Then G is p -nilpotent if and only if for every $x \in G$ such that p does not divide $o(x)$ and for every $1 \neq y \in G$ such that p divides $o(y)$, p divides $o(xy)$.*

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 20D12, 20F15.

Key words and phrases. p -nilpotency, lower central word, derived word.

The work of Baumslag and Wiegold has been extended to the realm of group-words in a series of papers [3, 4, 5, 8, 12]. In a similar matter, the aim of this work is to generalize Theorem A obtaining a verbal version.

A group-word is any nontrivial element of a free group F on free generators x_1, x_2, \dots , that is, a product of finitely many x_i 's and their inverses. The elements of the commutator subgroup of F are called commutator words. Let $w = w(x_1, \dots, x_k)$ be a group-word in the variables x_1, \dots, x_k . For any group G and arbitrary $g_1, \dots, g_k \in G$, the elements of the form $w(g_1, \dots, g_k)$ are called w -values in G . We denote by G_w the set of all w -values in G . The verbal subgroup of G corresponding to w is the subgroup $w(G)$ of G generated by G_w .

Definition. Let G be a group, let p be a prime and let w be a group-word. We say that G satisfies $P(w, p)$ if the prime p divides $o(xy)$, for every $x \in G_w$ of p' -order and for every non-trivial $y \in G_w$ of order divisible by p .

Let w be a group-word and let p be a prime. If G is a finite group and $w(G)$ is p -nilpotent, then Corollary B implies that G satisfies $P(w, p)$. An interesting question is the following:

Question 1. If G is a finite group satisfying $P(w, p)$, is $w(G)$ p -nilpotent?

In general the answer is negative. For instance, one may consider any non-abelian simple group G , say of exponent e , and the word x^n , where n is a divisor of e such that e/n is prime. If p is a prime dividing the order of G , then G satisfies $P(w, p)$, but $w(G) = G$ is not p -nilpotent.

Even in the case of commutator words we can find counterexamples. Indeed, if $G = Alt(5)$ is the alternating group of degree 5 and w is the word considered in [12, Example 4.2], then G_w consists of the identity and all products of two transpositions. In particular if $p \in \{2, 3, 5\}$ then G satisfies $P(w, p)$, but $w(G) = G$ is a simple group and therefore not p -nilpotent.

However, if we consider the group-word $w = x$, Corollary B says exactly that $w(G)$ is p -nilpotent if and only if G satisfies $P(w, p)$. Actually, this situation is a particular instance of a more general result concerning the commutator word γ_k .

Given an integer $k \geq 1$, the word $\gamma_k = \gamma_k(x_1, \dots, x_k)$ is defined inductively by the formulae

$$\gamma_1 = x_1, \quad \gamma_k = [\gamma_{k-1}, x_k] = [x_1, \dots, x_k] \quad \text{for } k \geq 2,$$

where $[x, y] = x^{-1}y^{-1}xy$, for any group elements x and y . Note that $\gamma_2(G) = G'$ is the derived subgroup of G and in general the subgroup $\gamma_k(G)$ of G corresponds to the k -th term of the lower central series of G .

Our first main theorem is the following:

Theorem C. *Let G be a finite group, let $k \geq 1$ be an integer and let p be a prime. Then $\gamma_k(G)$ is p -nilpotent if and only if G satisfies $P(\gamma_k, p)$.*

It is worth mentioning that Theorem C gives a positive answer to Question 1 for γ_k -words. Such words belong to the larger class of multilinear commutator words, which are words obtained by nesting commutators but using always different variables. For

example the word $[[x_1, x_2], [x_3, x_4, x_5], x_6]$ is a multilinear commutator, while the Engel word $[x_1, x_2, x_2]$ is not. Hence, it is natural to ask if the answer to Question 1 remains positive if G is any finite group and the word considered is any multilinear commutator word. In this direction, we provide another positive answer when w belongs to the family of δ_k -words. Given an integer $k \geq 0$, the word $\delta_k = \delta_k(x_1, \dots, x_{2^k})$ is defined inductively by the formulae

$$\delta_0 = x_1, \quad \delta_k = [\delta_{k-1}(x_1, \dots, x_{2^{k-1}}), \delta_{k-1}(x_{2^{k-1}+1}, \dots, x_{2^k})] \quad \text{for } k \geq 1.$$

We have $\delta_1(G) = G'$ and $\delta_k(G)$ corresponds to the k -th term $G^{(k)}$ of the derived series of G . Since $\delta_h = \gamma_{h+1}$ for $0 \leq h \leq 1$, Theorem C gives an affirmative answer to Question 1 when $w = \delta_0, \delta_1$. For $w = \delta_k$ with $k \geq 2$, we prove the following:

Theorem D. *Let G be a finite soluble group, let $k \geq 2$ be an integer and let p be a prime. Then $G^{(k)}$ is p -nilpotent if and only if G satisfies $P(\delta_k, p)$.*

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let G be a finite group and let p be a prime. We denote by $O_p(G)$ the largest normal p -subgroup of G and by $O_{p'}(G)$ the largest normal p' -subgroup of G . If G is p -nilpotent, then the normal p -complement of G is unique and corresponds to the group $O_{p'}(G)$. In particular we can write $G = PO_{p'}(G)$ for some Sylow p -subgroup P of G . Moreover, if G is p -nilpotent then $O_{p'}(G)$ contains all elements of G having p' -order. Now, Corollary B is a direct consequence of Theorem A and basic properties of p -nilpotent groups.

Proof of Corollary B. Suppose G is p -nilpotent. Then it has a normal p -complement H . Let $x, y \in G$ be such that p does not divide $o(x)$ and p divides $o(y)$, with $y \neq 1$. Then $x \in H$ and $xy \notin H$ as $y \notin H$. Hence p divides $o(xy)$. The other implication follows immediately from Theorem A. \square

If G is a group, we denote by $F(G)$ the Fitting subgroup of G , that is the largest normal nilpotent subgroup of G , and by $Fit_p(G)$ the p -Fitting subgroup of G , that is the largest normal p -nilpotent subgroup of G . Note that $F(G) \leq Fit_p(G)$.

Lemma 2.1. *Let G be a finite group and let p be a prime. If $O_{p'}(G) = 1$ then $Fit_p(G) = O_p(G)$ is a p -group.*

Proof. We have $O_{p'}(Fit_p(G)) \leq O_{p'}(G) = 1$. Since $Fit_p(G)$ is p -nilpotent, we also have $Fit_p(G) = TO_{p'}(Fit_p(G))$ for some Sylow p -subgroup T of $Fit_p(G)$. Hence $Fit_p(G) = T$ is a normal p -subgroup of G . Thus $Fit_p(G) \leq O_p(G)$. On the other hand, $O_p(G)$ is a normal p -nilpotent subgroup of G , and so we conclude that $Fit_p(G) = O_p(G)$. \square

A group G is said to be metanilpotent if it has a normal subgroup N such that both N and G/N are nilpotent.

Lemma 2.2. [4, Lemma 3] *Let p be a prime and G a finite metanilpotent group. Suppose that x is a p -element in G such that $[O_{p'}(F(G)), x] = 1$. Then $x \in F(G)$.*

We recall that a subset X of a group G is said to be commutator-closed if $[X, X] \subseteq X$, and symmetric if $X^{-1} = X$. In [8, Lemma 2.1], it has been showed that a finite soluble group G admits a commutator-closed subset X such that $G = \langle X \rangle$ and every element of X has prime power order. From the proof, it is easy to check that such an X is also symmetric. Hence we have the following.

Lemma 2.3. *Let G be a finite group. If G is soluble then there exists a commutator-closed and symmetric subset X of G such that $G = \langle X \rangle$ and every element of X has prime power order.*

Next results give sufficient conditions for a group to be generated by certain w -values of prime power order.

Lemma 2.4. *Let G be a finite soluble group. Then for every $k \geq 2$ the group $\gamma_k(G)$ is generated by γ_k -values of prime power order.*

Proof. By Lemma 2.3 there exists a commutator-closed and symmetric subset X of G such that $G = \langle X \rangle$ and every element of X has prime power order. Hence by [10, Lemma 3.6 item (c)] we get $\gamma_k(G) = \langle [x_1, \dots, x_t] \mid x_i \in X \cup X^{-1}, t \geq k \rangle$. Note that $X \cup X^{-1} = X$, and $[x_1, \dots, x_t]$ is a γ_k -value for every $t \geq k$. Also, since X is commutator-closed, we get that $[x_1, \dots, x_t] \in X$ has prime power order. Thus, $\gamma_k(G)$ is generated by γ_k -values of prime power order. \square

Lemma 2.5. [4, Lemma 4] *Let k be a positive integer and G a finite group such that $G = G'$. If p is a prime dividing the order of G , then G is generated by γ_k -values of q -power order for primes $q \neq p$.*

Lemma 2.6. [8, Lemma 2.5] *Let G be a finite soluble group and let Q be a Sylow q -subgroup of G . Then for every $i \geq 1$ the group $Q \cap G^{(i)}$ can be generated by δ_i -values lying in Q .*

The following relation between the subgroups $\gamma_k(G)$ and $G^{(k)}$ of G is an immediate consequence of [10, Lemma 3.25].

Lemma 2.7. *If G is a group, then for every $k \geq 0$ we have $G^{(k)} \leq \gamma_{k+1}(G)$.*

We conclude this section with a direct application of [11, Theorem 1], which says that in almost every finite quasisimple group all elements are commutators.

Proposition 2.8. *Let G be a finite quasisimple group and suppose that $Z(G)$ is a p -group. Then every element of G is a commutator, with the following exceptions:*

- (1) $p = 3$, $G/Z(G) \cong \mathbf{A}_6$, $Z(G) \cong C_3$ and the non-central elements of G that are not commutators have order 12;
- (2) $p = 2$, $G/Z(G) \cong \text{PSL}(3, 4)$, $Z(G) \cong C_2 \times C_4$ and the non-central elements of G that are not commutators have order 6;
- (3) $p = 2$, $G/Z(G) \cong \text{PSL}(3, 4)$, $Z(G) \cong C_4 \times C_4$ and the non-central elements of G that are not commutators have order 12.

3. GROUPS WITH PROPERTY $P(w, p)$

In this section w is any group-word. We study the properties of groups satisfying property $P(w, p)$ but being minimal such that $w(G)$ is not p -nilpotent.

Definition 3.1. We say that a group G is a *minimal $P(w, p)$ -exception* if

- G satisfies $P(w, p)$,
- $w(G)$ is not p -nilpotent,
- whenever H is a proper subgroup of G , the group $w(H)$ is p -nilpotent;
- whenever G/N is a proper quotient of G satisfying $P(w, p)$, the group $w(G/N)$ is p -nilpotent.

Next lemma shows that property $P(w, p)$ is closed with respect to forming subgroups and certain images.

Lemma 3.2. *Let G be a finite group satisfying $P(w, p)$.*

- *If $H \leq G$ is a subgroup of G then H satisfies $P(w, p)$.*
- *If $N \trianglelefteq G$ is a normal subgroup of G of p' -order, then G/N satisfies $P(w, p)$.*

Proof. If $H \leq G$ then for every $h \in H_w$ we have $h \in G_w$. Thus H satisfies $P(w, p)$ if G does.

Assume that N is a normal subgroup of G of p' -order and consider $\overline{G} = G/N$. Let $xN \in \overline{G}_w$ be a w -value of p' -order and let $1 \neq yN \in \overline{G}_w$ be a w -value of order divisible by p . Then we can assume that $x, y \in G_w$. Also, p divides the order of y and, since N has p' -order, p does not divide the order of x . Thus by $P(w, p)$ we deduce that p divides the order of xy . In particular $xy \notin N$ and p divides the order of $xyN = xN \cdot yN$. This shows that \overline{G} satisfies property $P(w, p)$. \square

Lemma 3.3. *If G is a minimal $P(w, p)$ -exception then $O_{p'}(G) = 1$ and $Fit_p(G) = O_p(G)$.*

Proof. Set $N = O_{p'}(G)$. Aiming for a contradiction, suppose $N \neq 1$ and consider $\overline{G} = G/N$. Then $|\overline{G}| < |G|$ and by Lemma 3.2 the group \overline{G} satisfies $P(w, p)$. Since G is a minimal $P(w, p)$ -exception we deduce that $w(\overline{G}) = w(G)N/N$ is p -nilpotent. Then $w(G)N/N = S/N \cdot H/N$ where $S/N \in \text{Syl}_p(w(G)N/N)$ and $H/N = O_{p'}(w(G)N/N)$. Set $K = H \cap w(G)$. Since $H \trianglelefteq w(G)N$, we deduce that $K \trianglelefteq w(G)$. Also, $|K|$ divides $|H| = [H : N]|N|$ and so $|K|$ is prime to p . Finally, $[w(G) : K] = [w(G)H : H]$ divides $[w(G)N : H]$, that is a power of p . We deduce that K is a normal p -complement of $w(G)$ and $w(G)$ is p -nilpotent, a contradiction. Therefore we must have $O_{p'}(G) = 1$. The second part of the statement now follows from Lemma 2.1. \square

Lemma 3.4. *Suppose G is a minimal $P(w, p)$ -exception, $G > G'$ and $G'/w(G')$ is nilpotent. Then G' has a normal Sylow p -subgroup.*

Proof. Note that G' satisfies $P(w, p)$ by Lemma 3.2. Since G is a minimal $P(w, p)$ -exception and $|G'| < |G|$ we deduce that $w(G')$ is p -nilpotent. Note that $w(G')$ is a normal subgroup of G' , so $O_{p'}(w(G')) \leq O_{p'}(G') \leq O_{p'}(G) = 1$ by Lemma 3.3.

Hence $O_{p'}(w(G')) = 1$ and since $w(G')$ is p -nilpotent we deduce that $w(G')$ is a p -group. In particular $w(G')$ is nilpotent. By assumption $G'/w(G')$ is nilpotent. Hence the group G' is metanilpotent. Let $F(G')$ denote the Fitting subgroup of G' . Then $F(G') \leq \text{Fit}_p(G') \leq \text{Fit}_p(G) = O_p(G)$ (again by Lemma 3.3), so $F(G')$ is a p -group. Let $P \in \text{Syl}_p(G')$ be a Sylow p -subgroup. Then $F(G') \leq P$. On the other hand, $[P, O_{p'}(F(G'))] = [P, 1] = 1$ and by Lemma 2.2 we get $P \leq F(G')$. Therefore $P = F(G')$ is normal in G' . \square

Lemma 3.5. *Suppose G is a minimal $P(w, p)$ -exception and soluble. If H is a normal subgroup of G and $P \in \text{Syl}_p(H)$ then $C_H(P) \leq P$.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.3 we have $O_{p'}(G) = 1$. Since H is normal in G we have $O_{p'}(H) = 1$ and so $\text{Fit}_p(H) = O_p(H) \leq P$ (with the same proof used to show that $\text{Fit}_p(G) = O_p(G)$). Then $F(H) \leq \text{Fit}_p(H) \leq P$ and so $C_H(P) \leq C_H(F(H))$. Since G is soluble, H is soluble as well and by [9, Theorem 6.1.3] we get

$$C_H(P) \leq C_H(F(H)) \leq F(H) \leq \text{Fit}_p(H) \leq P.$$

Therefore $C_H(P) \leq P$. \square

4. PROOF OF THEOREM C

In this section we consider the word $w = \gamma_k$ and we prove Theorem C.

Note that if a finite group G satisfies property $P(\gamma_2, p)$ then it satisfies property $P(\gamma_k, p)$ for every $k \geq 2$. However, in general the converse is not true. As an example, consider the group G generated by g_1, g_2, g_3, h_1, h_2 subject to the following relations

$$g_i^2 = h_j^3 = 1, \quad [g_2, g_1] = g_3, \quad [h_1, g_1] = [h_1, g_3] = h_1, \quad [h_2, g_3] = h_2, \quad h_1^{g_2} = h_2, \quad h_2^{g_2} = h_1.$$

Then G is a group of order 72 with $\gamma_3(G) \cong C_3 \times C_3$, thus G satisfies property $P(\gamma_3, 3)$. However $h_2 = [h_2, g_3]$ has order 3, $g_3 = [g_2, g_1]$ has order 2 and $h_2 g_3$ has order 2. Thus G does not satisfy property $P(\gamma_2, 3)$.

Lemma 4.1. *Let G be a quasisimple group. Then $G_{\gamma_2} = G_{\gamma_k}$ for every $k \geq 2$. In particular if G is quasisimple, p is a prime and $k \geq 2$, then G satisfies property $P(\gamma_k, p)$ if and only if it satisfies property $P(\gamma_2, p)$.*

Proof. It is enough to prove that $G_{\gamma_2} = G_{\gamma_3}$, then the result will follow by induction on k . Clearly every γ_3 -value is a commutator, so $G_{\gamma_3} \subset G_{\gamma_2}$.

Now, let $g = [a, b] \in G_{\gamma_2}$ be a commutator. Using Ore's conjecture and the fact that G is quasisimple, we can write $a = xz$ where x is a commutator and $z \in Z(G)$. So $g = [xz, b] = [x, b] \in G_{\gamma_3}$. Therefore $G_{\gamma_2} \subset G_{\gamma_3}$. This completes the proof. \square

Lemma 4.2. *Let G be a finite group, p a prime and $k \geq 2$. Suppose that G satisfies $P(\gamma_k, p)$ and let $x \in G_{\gamma_k}$ be a γ_k -value of p' -order. Then for every element $g \in G$, the element $[g,_{k-1} x]$ has p' -order.*

Proof. Note that for every $g \in G$ we have

$$[g,_{k-1} x] \cdot x^{-1} = x^{-[g,_{k-2} x]}.$$

Aiming for a contradiction, suppose there exists $g \in G$ such that p divides the order of $[g,_{k-1} x]$. Then $[g,_{k-1} x] \neq 1$ and by $P(\gamma_k, p)$ we deduce that p divides the order of $[g,_{k-1} x] \cdot x^{-1}$. Thus p divides the order of $x^{-[g,_{k-2} x]}$, that coincides with the order of x , a contradiction. This proves the statement. \square

Lemma 4.3. *Let G be a finite group satisfying $P(\gamma_k, p)$. If P is a p -subgroup of G and $x \in N_G(P)$ is such that $x \in G_{\gamma_k}$ has p' -order, then $[P, x] = 1$.*

Proof. Let $g \in P$ be an element. By Lemma 4.2 the element $[g,_{k-1} x]$ has p' -order. On the other hand, since $x \in N_G(P)$ we have that $[g,_{k-1} x] \in P$. Therefore the only possibility is $[g,_{k-1} x] = 1$. This shows that $[P,_{k-1} x] = 1$. Therefore by [9, Theorem 5.3.6] we get $[P, x] = [P,_{k-1} x] = 1$. \square

Lemma 4.4. *Let G be a finite group and p a prime. Suppose $G = G'$, G satisfies $P(\gamma_k, p)$ and assume that G is minimal (with respect to the order) such that $\gamma_k(G)$ is not p -nilpotent. Then $Fit_p(G) = O_p(G) = Z(G)$ and G is quasisimple.*

Proof. Note that G is a minimal $P(\gamma_k, p)$ -exception. Hence by Lemma 3.3 we have $Fit_p(G) = O_p(G)$. By Lemma 2.5 the group G is generated by γ_k -values of p' -order. Since $Fit_p(G) = O_p(G)$, Lemma 4.2 implies that $Fit_p(G) \leq Z(G)$. On the other hand, $Z(G)$ is abelian and so p -nilpotent and it is normal in G , so $Z(G) \leq Fit_p(G)$. Thus $Fit_p(G) = Z(G)$.

It remains to prove that G is quasisimple. Since $G = G'$, it is enough to show that $G/Z(G)$ is simple. Let $N/Z(G) \triangleleft G/Z(G)$ be a proper normal subgroup of $G/Z(G)$. Then $N \triangleleft G$ is a proper subgroup and N has property $P(\gamma_k, p)$ by Lemma 3.2. Hence $\gamma_k(N)$ is p -nilpotent by minimality of G . Therefore $\gamma_k(N) \leq Fit_p(G) = Z(G)$. In particular $\gamma_{k+1}(N) = [\gamma_k(N), N] = 1$ and so N is nilpotent and $N \leq Fit_p(G) = Z(G)$. Thus $N/Z(G) = 1$. This shows that $G/Z(G)$ is simple and completes the proof. \square

Lemma 4.5. *Let G be a finite quasisimple group, p a prime and $k \geq 2$. If G satisfies $P(\gamma_k, p)$ and $Z(G)$ is a p -group, then every element of G is a γ_k -value.*

Proof. If every element of G is a commutator, then by induction on k we deduce that every element of G is a γ_k -value. Suppose G contains elements that are not commutators. Then by Proposition 2.8 one of the following holds:

- (1) $p = 3$, $G/Z(G) \cong \mathbf{A}_6$ and $Z(G) \cong C_3$;
- (2) $p = 2$, $G/Z(G) \cong \text{PSL}(3, 4)$ and $Z(G) \cong C_2 \times C_4$;
- (3) $p = 2$, $G/Z(G) \cong \text{PSL}(3, 4)$ and $Z(G) \cong C_4 \times C_4$.

Using GAP we can check that none of the groups in the above list satisfies property $P(\gamma_2, p)$ (where $p = 3$ in the first case and $p = 2$ in the others). Hence by Lemma 4.1 the groups in the list do not satisfy property $P(\gamma_k, p)$, a contradiction. \square

Lemma 4.6. *Let G be a finite group, p a prime and $k \geq 2$. Suppose G satisfies $P(\gamma_k, p)$ and is minimal (with respect to the order) such that $\gamma_k(G)$ is not p -nilpotent. Then G is soluble and G' has a normal Sylow p -subgroup.*

Proof. We first show that $G > G'$. Aiming for a contradiction, suppose $G = G'$. Then by Lemma 4.4 we deduce that G is quasisimple and $Z(G) = O_p(G)$ is a p -group. By

Lemma 4.5 every element of G is a γ_k -value. Let $P \leq G$ be a p -subgroup and let $y \in N_G(P)$ be an element of p' -order. Then y is a γ_k -value of p' -order and by Lemma 4.3 we deduce that $[P, y] = 1$. Hence $N_G(P)/C_G(P)$ is a p -group. By Frobenius criterion we conclude that G is p -nilpotent, a contradiction. Hence $G > G'$. The fact that G' has a normal Sylow p -subgroup follows from Lemma 3.4.

It remains to show that G is soluble. Since G is a minimal $P(\gamma_k, p)$ -exception and $G > G'$, $\gamma_k(G')$ is a p -nilpotent normal subgroup of G . Hence $\gamma_k(G') \leq \text{Fit}_p(G)$ is a p -group by Lemma 3.3. By Lemma 2.7 applied to G' we get that $G^{(k)} = (G')^{(k-1)} \leq \gamma_k(G')$. Thus $G^{(k)}$ is a p -group and G is soluble. \square

Proof of Theorem C. If $\gamma_k(G)$ is p -nilpotent then G satisfies property $P(\gamma_k, p)$ by Corollary B. Suppose that G has property $P(\gamma_k, p)$. Aiming for a contradiction, suppose G is minimal (with respect to the order) such that $\gamma_k(G)$ is not p -nilpotent. Then by Lemma 4.6 we get that G is soluble and G' has a normal Sylow p -subgroup T . Set $P = T \cap \gamma_k(G) \in \text{Syl}_p(\gamma_k(G))$. Note that G is a minimal $P(\gamma_k, p)$ -exception, so by Lemma 3.5 we deduce that $C_{\gamma_k(G)}(P) \leq P$. Since $P \trianglelefteq \gamma_k(G)$, Lemma 4.3 implies that every γ_k -value of G has order divisible by p . Using Lemma 2.4 we conclude that every γ_k -value of G has p -power order. Therefore $\gamma_k(G) \leq P$ is a p -group and so it is p -nilpotent, a contradiction. This completes the proof. \square

5. PROOF OF THEOREM D

In this section we consider the word $w = \delta_k$ and we prove Theorem D.

Lemma 5.1. *Let G be a finite group satisfying $P(\delta_k, p)$ and let $x \in G_{\delta_k}$ be a δ_k -value of p' -order. Then for every element $g \in G$, the element $[g, x, x]$ has p' -order.*

Proof. Let $g \in G$ be an element and let $x \in G_{\delta_k}$ be a δ_k -value of p' -order. Note that

$$[g, x, x] = [x^{-g}, x]^x$$

is a δ_k -value of G . Also,

$$[g, x, x] \cdot x^{-1} = x^{-[g, x]}.$$

Now, x^{-1} and $x^{-[g, x]}$ are δ_k -values of G of p' -order (their order is equal to the one of x). Since G satisfies $P(\delta_k, p)$, we deduce that $[g, x, x]$ has p' -order. \square

Lemma 5.2. *Let G be a finite group satisfying $P(\delta_k, p)$. If P is a p -subgroup of G and $x \in N_G(P)$ is such that $x \in G_{\delta_k}$ has p' -order, then $[P, x] = 1$.*

Proof. Let $g \in P$ be an element. By Lemma 5.1 the element $[g, x, x]$ has p' -order. On the other hand, since $x \in N_G(P)$ we have that $[g, x, x] \in P$. Therefore the only possibility is $[g, x, x] = 1$. This shows that $[P, x, x] = 1$. Hence by [9, Theorem 5.3.6] we get $[P, x] = [P, x, x] = 1$. \square

Proof of Theorem D. If $G^{(k)}$ is p -nilpotent then G satisfies property $P(\delta_k, p)$ by Corollary B.

Suppose G is soluble and satisfies $P(\delta_k, p)$ but is minimal such that $G^{(k)}$ is not p -nilpotent. Note that every proper subgroup and every proper quotient of G is soluble, so G is a minimal $P(\delta_k, p)$ -exception. By Lemma 3.2 the group G' satisfies $P(\delta_k, p)$.

Since G is soluble, we have $G > G'$ and so $G^{(k+1)} = \delta_k(G')$ is p -nilpotent. In particular $G^{(k+1)}$ is contained in $\text{Fit}_p(G)$, that is a p -group by Lemma 3.3. Let $P \in \text{Syl}_p(G^{(k)})$. Then $G^{(k+1)} \leq P$ and

$$[P, G^{(k)}] \leq [G^{(k)}, G^{(k)}] = G^{(k+1)} \leq P.$$

Thus P is normal in $G^{(k)}$. By the Schur-Zassenhaus theorem [9, Theorem 6.2.1] there exists a subgroup H of $G^{(k)}$ of p' -order such that $G^{(k)} = PH$. Let q_1, q_2, \dots, q_n be all prime numbers dividing the order of $G^{(k)}$ and distinct from p , with $q_i \neq q_j$ for every $i \neq j$. Let Q_i be a Sylow q_i -subgroup of G and set $\hat{Q}_i = Q_i \cap G^{(k)} \in \text{Syl}_{q_i}(G^{(k)})$. Since G is soluble, by Lemma 2.6 the group \hat{Q}_i is generated by δ_k -values of G lying in Q_i . Note that $H = \langle \hat{Q}_1, \dots, \hat{Q}_n \rangle$, so H is generated by δ_k -values of G of p' -order. Since $P \trianglelefteq G^{(k)}$, we deduce that $[P, H] = 1$ by Lemma 5.2. Thus H is a normal p -complement of $G^{(k)}$ and $G^{(k)}$ is p -nilpotent, a contradiction. This completes the proof. \square

REFERENCES

1. M. Asaad, On p -nilpotence of finite groups, J. Algebra **277** (2004), 157–164.
2. A. Ballester-Bolínches and G. Xiuyun, Some results on p -nilpotence and solubility of finite groups, J. Algebra **228** (2000), 491–496.
3. R. Bastos and C. Monetta, Coprime commutators in finite groups, Comm. Algebra **47** (2019), no. 10, 4137–4147.
4. R. Bastos, C. Monetta, and P. Shumyatsky, A criterion for metanilpotency of a finite group, J. Group Theory **21** (2018), no. 4, 713–718.
5. R. Bastos and P. Shumyatsky, A sufficient condition for nilpotency of the commutator subgroup, Sib. Math. J. **57** (2016), no. 5, 762–763.
6. B. Baumslag and J. Wiegold, A sufficient condition for nilpotency in a finite group, preprint available at arXiv:1411.2877v1 [math.GR] (2014).
7. A. Beltrán and A. Sáez, Existence of normal Hall subgroups by means of orders of products, Math. Nachr. **292** (2019), 720–723.
8. J. da Silva Alves and P. Shumyatsky, On nilpotency of higher commutator subgroups of a finite soluble group, Arch. Math. (Basel) **116** (2021), no. 1, 1–6.
9. D. Gorenstein, Finite groups, second ed., Chelsea Publishing Co., New York, 1980.
10. E. I. Khukhro, p -automorphisms of finite p -groups, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series. 246, 1998.
11. M. W. Liebeck, E. A. O’Brien, A. Shalev, and P. H. Tiep, Commutators in finite quasisimple groups, Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. **43** (2011), no. 6, 1079–1092.
12. C. Monetta and A. Tortora, A nilpotency criterion for some verbal subgroups, Bull. Aust. Math. Soc. **100** (2019), no. 2, 281–289.

FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS – INSTITUTE OF EXACT SCIENCES, UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DO SUL E SUDESTE DO PARÁ, AVENIDA DOS IPÊS, CIDADE UNIVERSITÁRIA, MARABÁ - PARÁ, BRAZIL

Email address: `yerkocr@unifesspa.edu.br`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND APPLICATIONS, UNIVERSITY OF MILANO – BICOCCA, VIA ROBERTO COZZI 55, 20125 MILANO, ITALY

Email address: `valentina.grazian@unimib.it`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF SALERNO, VIA GIOVANNI PAOLO II 132, 84084 FISCIANO (SA), ITALY

Email address: `cmonetta@unisa.it`