

STRUCTURE THEOREM FOR PROJECTIVE KLT PAIRS WITH NEF ANTI-CANONICAL DIVISOR

SHIN-ICHI MATSUMURA AND JUANYONG WANG

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we establish a structure theorem for projective klt pairs (X, Δ) with nef anti-log canonical divisor; specifically, we prove that, up to replacing X with a finite quasi-étale cover, X admits a locally trivial rationally connected fibration onto a projective klt variety with numerically trivial canonical divisor. This structure theorem generalizes previous works for smooth projective varieties and reduces several structure problems to the singular Beauville-Bogomolov decomposition for Calabi-Yau varieties. As an application, projective varieties of klt Calabi-Yau type, which naturally appear as an outcome of the Log Minimal Model Program, are decomposed into building block varieties: rationally connected varieties and Calabi-Yau varieties.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
1.1. Structure of varieties with ‘non-negative’ curvature	2
1.2. Projective klt pairs with nef anti-log canonical divisor	3
1.3. Fundamental groups and slope rationally connected quotients	4
1.4. Outline of the proof of the main result	5
Acknowledgments	8
2. Preliminary Results	8
2.1. Notation and conventions	8
2.2. MRC fibrations	9
2.3. On locally constant fibrations	9
2.4. Singular Hermitian metrics on normal analytic varieties	12
2.5. Quasi-étale Galois covers and semi-stable sheaves	16
2.6. On the flat base change theorem	18
3. Flat Connections of Direct Image Sheaves	19
3.1. Setting and goal in this section	19
3.2. Birational semi-stability for MRC fibrations	21
3.3. Rationally connected fibers and flat connections	23
3.4. Positivity of singular Hermitian metrics on direct image sheaves	25
3.5. Fibrations induced by quasi-étale Galois covers	27
3.6. Comparison of associated direct images sheaves	31
3.7. Proof of the flatness of direct image sheaves	33
4. MRC Fibrations of Klt Pairs with Nef Anti-Log Canonical Divisor	36

Date: October 5, 2021, version 0.01.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 14E30, Secondary 32Q30, 32J25.

4.1. Splitting of tangent sheaves	36
4.2. Case of \mathbb{Q} -factorial terminal pairs with splitting tangent sheaf	39
4.3. Case of klt pairs with nef anti-log canonical divisor	44
References	49

1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout this paper, we work over the complex number field \mathbb{C} .

1.1. Structure of varieties with ‘non-negative’ curvature. A central problem in birational geometry is to reveal the structures of fibrations naturally associated with varieties so that projective varieties can be built from the following basic building blocks: Fano varieties, Calabi-Yau varieties, and (log) canonical models. The abundance conjecture predicts that varieties with ‘semi-negative’ curvature (more precisely, of non-negative Kodaira dimension) can be revealed by Iitaka-Kodaira fibrations via the Minimal Model Program. On the other hand, the pioneering studies [Mor79, SY80] have demonstrated that varieties with ‘semi-positive’ curvature have certain rigidity and are closely related to the geometry of rational curves. Based on this philosophy, several structure theorems have been established for Albanese maps or maximal rationally connected (MRC) fibrations of varieties satisfying various semi-positivity conditions. For example, compact Kähler manifolds with semi-positive holomorphic bisectional curvature: [HSW81, MZ86, Mok88]; projective manifolds with semi-positive holomorphic sectional curvature: [Yan16, HW20, Mat18]; compact complex manifolds with nef tangent bundle: [CP91, DPS94]; projective manifolds with pseudo-effective tangent bundle: [HIM19]; and projective manifolds with nef anti-canonical divisor: [Cao19, CH19, DLB20]. Particularly, projective manifolds with nef anti-canonical divisor cover a large range of varieties with ‘non-negative’ curvature, and the study of anti-canonical divisors is more natural than that of tangent bundles from the viewpoint of birational geometry.

In this paper, we study projective varieties with nef anti-canonical divisor. The class of such varieties includes Fano manifolds and Calabi-Yau manifolds as extreme cases. In these extreme cases, Mori’s bend and break and the Beauville-Bogomolov decomposition have made definitive progress. Furthermore, based on [Pău97, Zha96, Zha05], Cao-Höring established a structure theorem for smooth projective varieties with nef anti-canonical divisor, which interpolates between ‘Fano-like’ (rationally connected) manifolds and Calabi-Yau manifolds.

- (Mori’s bend and break, [Mor79, KMM92a, Cam92]). Fano manifolds (i.e., smooth projective varieties with ample anti-canonical divisor) are rationally connected (i.e., any two points can be connected by a rational curve).
- (Beauville-Bogomolov decomposition, [Bea83]). Calabi-Yau manifolds (i.e., compact Kähler manifolds with numerically trivial canonical divisor) are decomposed, up to a finite étale cover, into the product of abelian varieties, strict Calabi-Yau manifolds, and holomorphic symplectic (hyperkähler) manifolds.

- (Cao-Höring’s structure theorem, [Cao19, CH19]). Projective manifolds with nef anti-canonical divisor are constructed by rationally connected manifolds and Calabi-Yau manifolds.

From the viewpoint of the Minimal Model Program, it is more natural and of great importance to study the structure theorem not only for smooth varieties but also for varieties with klt singularities. In this direction, [Zha06, HM07] generalized the rational connectedness of Fano manifolds to varieties of weak Fano type: if (X, Δ) is a weak Fano pair (i.e., a klt pair with nef and big anti-log canonical divisor), then X is rationally connected. Furthermore, the successive works [GKP16b, Dru18a, GGK19, HP19, CP19, Cam20] generalized the Beauville-Bogomolov decomposition to klt Calabi-Yau varieties, which we call *the singular Beauville-Bogomolov decomposition* in this paper. The most significant problem remaining in this field is to establish a structure theorem for *klt pairs with nef anti-log canonical divisor*, in the form of extending Cao-Höring’s structure theorem, Zhang-Hacon-M^cKernan’s result, and the singular Beauville-Bogomolov decomposition.

1.2. Projective klt pairs with nef anti-log canonical divisor. In this paper, we establish a structure theorem for projective klt pairs with nef anti-log canonical divisor (see [Theorem 1.1](#)), which naturally generalizes Cao-Höring’s structure theorem for smooth projective varieties and Hacon-M^cKernan’s result for the rational connectedness of varieties of weak Fano type. Moreover, our structure theorem reduces the structure problem to the singular Beauville-Bogomolov decomposition for klt Calabi-Yau varieties.

Theorem 1.1. *Let (X, Δ) be a projective klt pair with the nef anti-log canonical divisor $-(K_X + \Delta)$. Then, there exists a finite quasi-étale cover $\nu : X' \rightarrow X$ with a normal projective variety X' satisfying the following properties:*

- (1) X' admits a holomorphic MRC fibration $\psi : X' \rightarrow Y$.
- (2) Y is a projective klt variety with numerically trivial canonical divisor.
- (3) $\psi : X' \rightarrow Y$ is locally constant with respect to the pair (X', Δ') , where Δ' is the Weil \mathbb{Q} -divisor defined by the pullback $\Delta' := \nu^* \Delta$. In particular, the fibration $\psi : X' \rightarrow Y$ is locally trivial with respect to (X', Δ') , i.e., for any small open set $B \subset Y$, we have the isomorphism

$$(\psi^{-1}(B), \Delta') \simeq B \times (F, \Delta'_F)$$

over $B \subset Y$, where F is the fiber of ψ and $\Delta'_F := \Delta'|_F$.

Here, a *finite quasi-étale cover* denotes a finite morphism that is étale in codimension one (c.f. [Definition 2.11](#)), and a *locally constant fibration* will be defined in [Definition 2.3](#). For the time being, this fibration can be regarded as a locally trivial fibration while keeping in mind that this is a much stronger condition. Note that the pullback of the (not necessarily \mathbb{Q} -Cartier) Weil \mathbb{Q} -divisor Δ is well-defined since $\nu : X' \rightarrow X$ is a finite cover.

The variety Y in [Theorem 1.1](#) has a finite quasi-étale cover $Y' \rightarrow Y$ such that Y' is decomposed into the product of abelian varieties, strict Calabi-Yau varieties, and singular holomorphic symplectic varieties, which follows from the singular Beauville-Bogomolov decomposition. Hence, by replacing X' with the fiber product of $X' \times_Y Y'$, we can deduce the following corollary:

Corollary 1.2. *Let (X, Δ) be a projective klt pair with the nef anti-log canonical divisor $-(K_X + \Delta)$. Then, there exists a finite quasi-étale cover $\nu : X' \rightarrow X$ satisfying the properties listed in [Theorem 1.1](#) such that the image Y of $\psi : X' \rightarrow Y$ is decomposed into the product*

$$Y \simeq A \times \prod_i Y_i \times \prod_j Z_j$$

of an abelian variety A , strict Calabi-Yau varieties Y_i , and singular holomorphic symplectic varieties Z_j .

Moreover, [Theorem 1.1](#) establishes a structure theorem of klt Calabi-Yau pairs; precisely, we can obtain a splitting theorem for klt Calabi-Yau pairs (see [Corollary 1.3](#)) by combining [Corollary 1.2](#) with [[Amb05](#), Proposition 4.4, Theorem 4.7] and [[Dru18a](#), Lemma 4.6]. Assuming the abundance conjecture, klt Calabi-Yau pairs naturally appear as an outcome of the Log Minimal Model Program, as minimal models of klt pairs of Kodaira dimension zero and as general fibers of the Iitaka-Kodaira fibration of minimal models of positive Kodaira dimension. [Corollary 1.3](#) shows that they can be further decomposed into building blocks comprising rationally connected varieties and Calabi-Yau varieties.

Corollary 1.3. *Let (X, Δ) be a projective klt pair with the numerically trivial log canonical divisor $K_X + \Delta$. Then, there exists a finite quasi-étale cover $\nu : X' \rightarrow X$ such that (X', Δ') is decomposed into the product*

$$(X', \Delta') \simeq (F, \Delta'_F) \times A \times \prod_i Y_i \times \prod_j Z_j,$$

where Δ' , Δ'_F , F are as in [Theorem 1.1](#) and A , Y_i , Z_j are as in [Corollary 1.2](#).

Note that [Corollary 1.3](#) does not hold for lc pairs in general (see [[EIM20](#), Example 6.2] for a counterexample). [Corollary 1.3](#) reveals the structure of some building blocks in the MMP framework. However, [Theorem 1.1](#) itself is not part of the Minimal Model Program.

1.3. Fundamental groups and slope rationally connected quotients. In this subsection, we provide several topics related to uniformizations, (topological) fundamental groups, and slope rationally connected (sRC) quotients. This subsection does not directly contribute to the proof of [Theorem 1.1](#), but it illustrates the difficulty and interest in handling klt singularities.

We first review the proof of [Theorem 1.1](#) in the case where X is smooth and $\Delta = 0$. The proof of this case can be divided into the following steps:

- Step(1) (Study of fundamental groups, [[Pău97](#)]). The fundamental group $\pi_1(X)$ is shown to have polynomial growth by the theory of Cheeger-Colding [[CC96](#)].
- Step(2) (Study of Albanese maps, [[Cao19](#)]). The Albanese map of X is shown to satisfy the desired structure theorem, and then, together with Step (1), the problem can be reduced to the case of a simply connected X .
- Step(3) (Study of MRC fibrations, [[CH19](#)]). The desired structure theorem for MRC fibrations is completed for a simply connected X .

Note that the works [[Zha96](#), [Zha05](#)] play an essential role in Steps (2) and (3).

After Campana-Cao-Matsumura [[CCM19](#)] initiated the study of klt pairs (X, Δ) , the second author [[Wan20b](#)] revealed that the difficulty in adopting the same strategy as above

arises in Step (1); precisely, we can deduce not only [Theorem 1.1](#) but also a uniformization theorem (see [[Wan20b](#), Conjectures 1]) if the fundamental group $\pi_1(X_{\text{reg}})$ of the regular locus X_{reg} has polynomial growth, which is predicted by [[Wan20b](#), Conjectures 2].

Conjecture 1.4 ([[Wan20b](#), Conjecture 2]). *Let (X, Δ) be a projective klt pair with the nef anti-log canonical divisor $-(K_X + \Delta)$. Then, $\pi_1(X_{\text{reg}})$ has polynomial growth.*

[Conjecture 1.4](#) is a starting point to tackle the case where X is smooth and $\Delta = 0$, but it seems quite difficult to solve [Conjecture 1.4](#) in general. In fact, it is unclear whether the argument in [[Pău97](#)] works even for klt pairs (X, Δ) with a smooth X . The proof in this paper, which is explained in the next subsection, does not need [Conjecture 1.4](#) and is new even in the smooth case.

[Theorem 1.1](#) can be applied to a problem on uniformizations and fundamental groups of klt pairs (X, Δ) with nef anti-log canonical divisor. Indeed, the fiber of $\psi : X' \rightarrow Y$ in [Theorem 1.1](#) is simply connected by [[Tak03](#)] (see [Theorem 2.2](#) for details). Hence, our result reduces the uniformization problem of (X, Δ) to the conjecture below. This conjecture is formulated only for the fundamental group of Calabi-Yau varieties (not of their smooth loci), and thus more straightforward than [Conjecture 1.4](#).

Conjecture 1.5. *Let Y be a projective klt variety with numerically trivial canonical divisor. If Y has vanishing augmented irregularity, then $\pi_1(Y)$ is finite.*

Moreover, [Theorem 1.1](#) opens the doors to study sRC quotients. The sRC quotients, introduced in [[Cam16](#)], are natural generalizations of MRC fibrations to log pairs. It would be an attractive problem to establish a structure theorem of sRC quotients by comparing MRC fibrations of X to sRC quotients of (X, Δ) . Following [[CCM19](#), Conjecture 1.5], we can predict that, up to a finite quasi-étale cover, the pair (X, Δ) admits a locally constant sRC quotient $(X, \Delta) \rightarrow (Z, \Delta_Z)$ with the numerically trivial $K_Z + \Delta_Z$; moreover, the MRC fibration $X \rightarrow Y$ in [Theorem 1.1](#) factorizes through the sRC quotient $(X, \Delta) \rightarrow (Z, \Delta_Z)$.

1.4. Outline of the proof of the main result. In this subsection, we explain the outline of the proof of [Theorem 1.1](#). We encounter [Conjecture 1.4](#) an obstruction to adopt the same strategy described in the previous subsection; hence we suggest a new approach in this paper to study the MRC fibrations without using any results on $\pi_1(X_{\text{reg}})$.

To explain this approach, we first consider a smooth projective variety X such that $-K_X$ is nef and X is simply connected. For simplicity, we assume that X has a holomorphic MRC fibration $\psi : X \rightarrow Y$. Then, we can prove that the fibration $\psi : X \rightarrow Y$ gives the product structure $X \simeq Y \times F$, where F is the fiber of $\psi : X \rightarrow Y$. For the proof, we construct a ψ -ample invertible sheaf L on X such that, for every $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, the direct image sheaf $\psi_*(mL)$ satisfies $c_1(\psi_*(mL)) = 0$ and is weakly positively curved in the sense of singular Hermitian metrics (see [Definition 2.7](#)). This implies that $\psi_*(mL)$ is actually a trivial locally free sheaf on Y , which leads to the decomposition $X \simeq Y \times F$.

The direct image sheaves $\psi_*(mL)$ are not necessarily trivial when X is not simply connected. Nevertheless, we can still expect that $\psi_*(mL)$ is a flat locally free sheaf; moreover, this flatness implies that $\psi : X \rightarrow Y$ is a locally constant fibration. Based on this expectation, we directly obtain a certain flatness of $\psi_*(mL)$, and then, we prove our structure theorem by using the foliation theory and several new ingredients. This is a rough idea of

the proof. Of course, many difficulties exist in implementing this naive idea. Below, we explain the primary difficulties and our strategy for solving them, describing the organization of this paper.

Section 2: In this section, we recall some definitions and preliminary results that will be further used in the proof of the main theorem. Especially, we recall the definitions of *RC fibrations*, *locally constant fibrations*, *singular Hermitian metrics*, and *finite quasi-étale covers*.

Section 3: The purpose of this section is, roughly speaking, to prove that “ $\psi_*(mL)$ ” satisfies a certain flatness condition for every $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$.

Subsections 3.1, 3.2: Let (X, Δ) be a projective klt pair with nef anti-log canonical divisor. A priori, we do not know whether X has a holomorphic MRC fibration, which is one of the difficulties. Therefore, we pursue a birational analog of the above-mentioned arguments; for an MRC fibration $\psi : X \dashrightarrow Y$ with a smooth Y , we take a resolution $\phi : M \rightarrow Y$ of the indeterminacies of ψ with the birational morphism $\pi : M \rightarrow X$ as in the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M & \xrightarrow{\pi} & X \\ & \searrow \phi & \swarrow \psi \\ & & Y \end{array}$$

Based on [Zha05], we prove a certain birational semi-stability result for $\psi : X \dashrightarrow Y$ in Subsection 3.2, which plays a fundamental role in this section. We then construct an appropriate ϕ -big line bundle L on M (see Setting 3.1 for the construction) and consider the reflexive sheaf \mathcal{E}_m on X defined by

$$\mathcal{E}_m := \left(\pi_* (\phi^* (\phi_* (mL))) \right)^{**}$$

for every $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. The purpose of this section is to prove that $\phi_*(mL)$ admits a flat connection on a Zariski open set $Y_0 \subset Y$ of $\text{codim}(Y \setminus Y_0) \geq 2$, up to replacing X with finite quasi-étale covers and \mathbb{Q} -factorizations (See Subsection 3.1 for the precise statement).

Subsections 3.3: In this subsection, we prove that a flat connection on \mathcal{E}_m induces one on $\phi_*(mL)$ over some Zariski open Y_0 of Y . First let us consider the case where X is smooth, which is already highly non-trivial. In this case, we can show that \mathcal{E}_m is weakly positively curved by applying the theory of L^2 -positivity of direct image sheaves (see [BP08, CP17, HPS18, PT18]); then we can deduce that \mathcal{E}_m is a flat locally free sheaf on X by the theory of Hermitian-Einstein metrics for reflexive sheaves (see [BS94] and [CCM19, Proposition 2.7]). Finally, we prove that the flat connection on \mathcal{E}_m induces one on $\phi_*(mL)$ over some Zariski open set Y_0 by the rational connectedness of the general fiber of $\psi : X \dashrightarrow Y$.

The positivity of \mathcal{E}_m still holds if X is \mathbb{Q} -factorial. However, the flatness of \mathcal{E}_m does not hold in general and requires a more dedicated treatment. Yet, even in this singular case, as long as \mathcal{E}_m admits a flat connection, then it induces one on $\phi_*(mL)$ over some Zariski open Y_0 , as shown in Subsection 3.3. This reduces our purpose to proving that \mathcal{E}_m is a flat locally free sheaf on X for every $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$.

Subsections 3.4: In [Subsection 3.4](#), we determine conditions on the singularity of X such that \mathcal{E}_m is a flat locally free sheaf: the \mathbb{Q} -factoriality of X indicates that \mathcal{E}_m is positively curved, and the condition $\text{codim } X_{\text{sing}} \geq 3$ leads to ‘a certain flatness’ of \mathcal{E}_m . Based on this observation, we replace (X, Δ) with a \mathbb{Q} -factorial terminal model by [[BCHM10](#), Corollary 1.4.3]. Thanks to this replacement, the variety X is \mathbb{Q} -factorial and has terminal singularities (in particular, $\text{codim } X_{\text{sing}} \geq 3$); hence we can show that \mathcal{E}_m is weakly positively curved and \mathcal{E}_m satisfies ‘a certain flatness’ by applying [[GKP16a](#), Theorem 1.20] (see [Theorem 2.16](#) for the details). Here ‘the certain flatness’ of \mathcal{E}_m means that there exists a finite quasi-étale cover $\nu_m : X_m \rightarrow X$ such that the reflexive pullback $(\nu_m^* \mathcal{E}_m)^{**}$ is a flat locally free sheaf on X_m , which causes two main problems. One problem is that the cover $\nu_m : X_m \rightarrow X$ depends on $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. To solve this problem, by applying [[GKP16a](#), Theorem 1.1], we take a uniform finite quasi-étale cover $\nu : \bar{X} \rightarrow X$ so that $(\nu^* \mathcal{E}_m)^{**}$ is a flat locally free sheaf on \bar{X} for every $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. The other problem is that we need to compare MRC fibrations of X and \bar{X} , which causes new problems.

Subsection 3.5: We construct an almost holomorphic map $\bar{\psi} : \bar{X} \dashrightarrow \bar{Y}$ with a generically finite morphism $\bar{Y} \rightarrow Y$ from the MRC fibration $\psi : X \dashrightarrow Y$ and the cover $\nu : \bar{X} \rightarrow X$. Furthermore, we obtain \bar{L} and $\bar{\mathcal{E}}_m$ by repeating the same constructions as L and \mathcal{E}_m for $\bar{\psi} : \bar{X} \dashrightarrow \bar{Y}$. We need to compare $\bar{\mathcal{E}}_m$ to $\nu^* \mathcal{E}_m$ by investigating $\bar{\psi} : \bar{X} \dashrightarrow \bar{Y}$ in detail.

The first problem we face is that $\bar{\psi} : \bar{X} \dashrightarrow \bar{Y}$ is not necessarily an MRC fibration of \bar{X} , which causes a trouble: we cannot descend a flat connection of $\bar{\mathcal{E}}_m$ to $\bar{\phi}_*(m\bar{L})$ without the rational connectedness of general fibers of $\bar{\psi} : \bar{X} \dashrightarrow \bar{Y}$. In this case, we confirm that the fiber dimension of MRC fibrations of \bar{X} is strictly less than that of $\psi : X \dashrightarrow Y$, as explained in [Subsection 3.5](#). Then, we can exclude this case by incorporating the induction on the fiber dimension of MRC fibrations into the proof. Therefore, we may assume that $\bar{\psi} : \bar{X} \dashrightarrow \bar{Y}$ is an MRC fibration.

Subsection 3.6: In [Subsection 3.6](#), we will compare $\bar{\mathcal{E}}_m$ to $\nu^* \mathcal{E}_m$ by applying the flat base change theorem. Then, the success of this comparison requires an ‘additional assumption’, which, roughly speaking, states that $\psi' : X' \dashrightarrow Y'$ is birationally equivalent to the fiber product of $Y' \rightarrow Y$ and $\phi : M \rightarrow Y$. Under this additional assumption, we can obtain $\bar{\mathcal{E}}_m = (\nu^* \mathcal{E}_m)^{**}$, and thus $\bar{\mathcal{E}}_m$ is a flat locally free sheaf by the choice of $\nu : \bar{X} \rightarrow X$.

Subsection 3.7: In [Subsection 3.7](#), we consider the remaining case (i.e., the case where this additional assumption is not satisfied). In this case, we can confirm that the induced quasi-étale cover $\nu : \bar{X}_{\bar{y}} \rightarrow X_y$ is non-isomorphic, where X_y and $\bar{X}_{\bar{y}}$ are general fibers of $\psi : X \dashrightarrow Y$ and of $\bar{\psi} : \bar{X} \dashrightarrow \bar{Y}$ respectively. On the other hand, the general fiber X_y is a rationally connected variety with klt singularities, and thus it is simply connected. Hence, if $\nu : \bar{X} \rightarrow X$ is étale, we obtain a contradiction; this case can be excluded. Otherwise, by applying all the arguments in [Section 3](#) for \bar{X} , we find a finite quasi-étale cover $\bar{\nu} : \bar{\bar{X}} \rightarrow \bar{X}$ so that $(\bar{\nu}^* \bar{\mathcal{E}}_m)^{**}$ is a flat locally free sheaf, and then we repeat this process. If this process never terminates, we obtain a sequence of finite quasi-étale covers. Then, by [[GKP16a](#), Theorem 1.1], we can reselect $\bar{X} \rightarrow X$ to be étale, which again leads to a contradiction.

Section 4: In [Section 3](#), we have demonstrated that, after replacing (X, Δ) with finite quasi-étale covers and \mathbb{Q} -factorizations, the direct image sheaves $\phi_*(mL)$ constructed by an MRC fibration $X \dashrightarrow Y$ admit a flat connection on the Zariski open set Y_0 of Y .

In [Section 4](#), from this flatness, we will deduce that X admits a locally constant MRC fibration, up to a finite quasi-étale cover of X , by applying the theory of foliation and a detailed study of the positivity of anti-log canonical divisors. The variety X appearing in the following discussion has been appropriately replaced with its finite quasi-étale cover.

Subsection 4.1: In [Subsection 4.1](#), we prove that the tangent sheaf T_X of X can be decomposed into an algebraically integrable foliation \mathcal{F} with rationally connected leaves and a foliation \mathcal{G} whose canonical divisor $K_{\mathcal{G}}$ satisfies $K_{\mathcal{G}} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$. To this end, we show that an MRC fibration $X \dashrightarrow Y$ is birationally equivalent to the projective space bundle $\mathbb{P}(\phi_*(L))$. Moreover, based on the flatness obtained in [Section 3](#), we prove that $\mathbb{P}(\phi_*(L)) \rightarrow Y$ is a locally constant fibration over Y_0 , which leads to the desired splitting $T_X = \mathcal{G} \oplus \mathcal{F}$.

Subsection 4.2: In [Subsection 4.2](#), we prove that if (X, Δ) is a \mathbb{Q} -factorial terminal pair with splitting tangent sheaf, then X admits a locally constant MRC fibration. To this end, we apply the theory of weakly regular foliations with canonical singularities in [[Dru18b](#)] to the splitting $T_X = \mathcal{G} \oplus \mathcal{F}$ obtained in [Subsection 4.1](#).

Subsection 4.3: By [Subsection 4.1](#) and [Subsection 4.2](#), we demonstrated that, up to a finite quasi-étale cover, a \mathbb{Q} -factorial terminal model $(X^{\text{term}}, \Delta^{\text{term}})$ of (X, Δ) admits a locally constant MRC fibration. In [Subsection 4.3](#), we finally prove that the locally constant MRC fibration of X^{term} induces the desired structure theorem for (X, Δ) . It is worth mentioning that this procedure uses essentially locally constant fibrations. In fact, the reduction argument does not hold for locally trivial fibrations, which is one reason to introduce the notion of ‘locally constant fibrations’.

Acknowledgments. The authors wish to express their thanks to Professors Sébastien Boucksom, Frédéric Campana, Stéphane Druel, Andreas H\"oring, and János Kollár for helpful comments and suggestions. The first author would like to express thanks to Professor Yoshinori Gongyo for a discussion on [Example 3.14](#) and for answering questions, and to Professors Shigefumi Mori, Yuji Odaka, and Ken-ichi Yoshikawa for providing him with an opportunity to talk at the Algebraic Geometry Seminar at Kyoto University, in which one question drew his attention to the case of singular varieties. He was supported by Grant-in-Aid for Young Scientists (A) #17H04821, Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (B) #21H00976, and Fostering Joint International Research (A) #19KK0342 from JSPS. The second author is grateful to Professors Stéphane Druel and Andreas H\"oring for kindly answering his questions on foliations. He was partially supported by the French ANR Research Project “GRACK” and is partially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China.

2. PRELIMINARY RESULTS

2.1. Notation and conventions. Throughout this paper, all the varieties and morphisms are defined over the complex number field, and analytic varieties denote irreducible and reduced complex analytic spaces. We interchangeably use the terms ‘locally free sheaves’ and ‘vector bundles’, and further the terms ‘Cartier divisors’, ‘invertible sheaves’, and ‘line bundles’. For example, a Cartier divisor D is regarded as a line bundle, and Hermitian metrics on D denote those on the line bundle associated with D (note that this convention differs from [[Wan20b](#)]). We often use the additional notation for the tensor products of invertible sheaves L and M (e.g., $-L = L^*$ and $L + M = L \otimes M$).

2.2. MRC fibrations. We recall the definitions and properties of MRC fibrations and rationally (chain) connected varieties.

Definition 2.1. Let X and Y be normal projective varieties. A dominant rational map $\psi : X \dashrightarrow Y$ is called an *RC fibration* if it satisfies the following conditions:

- $\psi : X \dashrightarrow Y$ is almost holomorphic (i.e., its indeterminacy locus is not dominant over Y , and general fibers are connected).
- A general fiber is rationally connected (i.e., any two points can be connected by one rational curve).

There exist maximal rationally chain connected (MRCC) fibrations for normal projective varieties by [Cam92, KMM92b]. Rationally chain connected varieties are not necessarily rationally connected, but the two notions coincide for potentially dlt projective varieties by [HM07, Corollary 1.5]. Hence, for a projective klt pair (X, Δ) , the variety X always admits the MRC fibration (i.e., an RC fibration $\psi : X \dashrightarrow Y$ such that any rational curve in X meeting the fiber $X_y := \psi^{-1}(y)$ is contained in X_y). This definition of MRC fibrations can be rephrased as the condition that K_Y is pseudo-effective when Y is smooth by [BDPP13].

Rationally connected varieties with mild singularities are simply connected by the following theorem, which is often used in this paper.

Theorem 2.2. *Let X be a rationally connected and potentially klt projective variety (i.e., (X, Δ) is a klt pair for some effective Weil \mathbb{Q} -divisor). Then X is simply connected.*

Proof. Let $\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ be a resolution of singularities of X . Then, since X is potentially klt, the natural morphism $\pi_1(\tilde{X}) \rightarrow \pi_1(X)$ is an isomorphism by [Tak03, Theorem 1.1]. The variety \tilde{X} is again rationally connected; hence it is simply connected, and so is X . \square

2.3. On locally constant fibrations. In this subsection, we review the definition of locally constant fibrations and give a criterion for a fiber space to be a locally constant fibration related to the flatness of certain direct images.

Definition 2.3. Let $\phi : X \rightarrow Y$ be a fiber space between normal analytic varieties (i.e., a proper surjective morphism with connected fibers), and let Δ be a Weil \mathbb{Q} -divisor on X .

(1) $\phi : X \rightarrow Y$ is said to be a *locally constant fibration with respect to the pair (X, Δ)* if it satisfies the following conditions:

- $\phi : X \rightarrow Y$ is a (locally trivial) analytic fiber bundle with the fiber F .
- Every component Δ_i of Δ is horizontal (i.e., $\phi(\Delta_i) = Y$).
- There exists a Weil \mathbb{Q} -divisor Δ_F on F and a representation $\rho : \pi_1(Y) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(F)$ of the fundamental group $\pi_1(Y)$ to the automorphism group $\text{Aut}(F)$ such that
 - Δ_F is invariant under the action of $\pi_1(Y)$;
 - (X, Δ) is isomorphic to the quotient $(Y^{\text{univ}} \times F, \text{pr}_2^* \Delta_F) / \pi_1(Y)$ over Y .
 Here Y^{univ} is the universal cover of Y and $\gamma \in \pi_1(Y)$ acts on $Y^{\text{univ}} \times F$ by

$$\gamma \cdot (y, z) := (\gamma \cdot y, \rho(\gamma)(z))$$

for any $(y, z) \in Y^{\text{univ}} \times F$.

- (2) $\phi : X \rightarrow Y$ is simply said to be *locally constant* if it satisfies the above conditions for $\Delta = 0$. In this case, the morphism ϕ is sometimes also called a *suspension of a representation* in the literature.

A locally constant fibration $\phi : X \rightarrow Y$ with respect to a pair (X, Δ) is locally trivial: it is an analytic fiber bundle with fiber F , and there exists a Weil \mathbb{Q} -divisor Δ_F on F such that

$$(\phi^{-1}(B), \Delta) \simeq B \times (F, \Delta_F)$$

over a sufficiently small open set $B \subset Y$. However, the converse implication does not hold in general, e.g., the projective space bundle $\mathbb{P}(E) \rightarrow Y$ of a locally free sheaf E on Y is locally trivial but not necessarily locally constant. The previous works formulate structure theorems with locally trivial fibrations. Nevertheless, it is important to formulate [Theorem 1.1](#) with locally constant fibrations for several reasons; for example, a locally constant fibration induces a splitting of tangent sheaves (see [[Wan20b](#), Remark 1.7] and the proof of [Theorem 4.1](#)); moreover, we need the following lemma (see [Subsection 4.3](#)), which does not hold for locally trivial fibrations in general.

Lemma 2.4. *Let $\phi : X \rightarrow Y$ be a locally constant fibration whose fiber F is a projective variety with vanishing irregularity. Then, for any line bundle L on X , there exists a line bundle L_Y on Y such that the direct image sheaf $\phi_*(L - \phi^*L_Y)$ is a flat vector bundle.*

Proof. Let $p_Y : Y^{\text{univ}} \rightarrow Y$ be the universal cover of Y , and let $p_X : X \times_Y Y^{\text{univ}} \rightarrow X$ be the induced morphism. By local constancy, we obtain the isomorphism $X \times_Y Y^{\text{univ}} \simeq Y^{\text{univ}} \times F$ such that the induced morphism $X \times_Y Y^{\text{univ}} \rightarrow Y^{\text{univ}}$ is equal to the first projection $Y^{\text{univ}} \times F \rightarrow Y^{\text{univ}}$ under this identification. Moreover, there is a representation $\rho : \pi_1(Y) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(F)$ such that X is the quotient of $Y^{\text{univ}} \times F$ by the action of $\pi_1(Y)$. By assumption, the fiber F is a projective variety with vanishing irregularity; hence we have the decomposition of the Picard group: $\text{Pic}(Y^{\text{univ}} \times F) \simeq \text{Pic}(Y^{\text{univ}}) \times \text{Pic}(F)$ by the analytic version of [[Har77](#), §III.12, Exercise 12.6, p. 292]. In particular, there exists a line bundle $L_{Y^{\text{univ}}}$ on Y^{univ} and a line bundle L_F on F such that $p_X^*L \simeq \text{pr}_1^*L_{Y^{\text{univ}}} + \text{pr}_2^*L_F$.

Now p_X^*L is a $\pi_1(Y)$ -invariant line bundle, and $\pi_1(Y)$ acts diagonally on $Y^{\text{univ}} \times F$. This indicates that both $L_{Y^{\text{univ}}}$ and L_F are also $\pi_1(Y)$ -invariant. Consequently, we can find a line bundle L_Y on Y such that $L_{Y^{\text{univ}}} \simeq p_Y^*L_Y$. Below, we briefly explain the construction of L_Y for the reader's convenience. Let us take an open covering $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ of Y with a sufficiently small U_i such that $p_Y^{-1}(U_i)$ is a disjoint union of copies of U_i , i.e., we have $p_Y^{-1}(U_i) \simeq \coprod_{\gamma \in \pi_1(Y)} U_i^{(\gamma)}$ and $U_i^{(\gamma)} \simeq U_i$. Furthermore, we may assume that $L_{Y^{\text{univ}}}$ is a trivial line bundle over $p_Y^{-1}(U_i)$. Let

$$\tilde{g}_{ij} : p_Y^{-1}(U_i) \cap p_Y^{-1}(U_j) = p_Y^{-1}(U_i \cap U_j) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$$

be the transition functions of $L_{Y^{\text{univ}}}$ associated with $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$. Now $L_{Y^{\text{univ}}}$ is $\pi_1(Y)$ -invariant; hence $\tilde{g}_{ij}|_{U_i^{(\gamma)}}$ is independent of $\gamma \in \pi_1(Y)$, which gives rise to a function g_{ij} on $U_i \cap U_j$. Clearly, the family $\{g_{ij}\}$ satisfies the cocycle condition; hence, we obtain a line bundle L_Y on Y from $\{g_{ij}\}$. By construction, we see that $L_{Y^{\text{univ}}} \simeq p_Y^*L_Y$. Since we have $p_X^*(L - \phi^*L_Y) \simeq \text{pr}_2^*L_F$, we obtain

$$p_Y^*\phi_*(L - \phi^*L_Y) \simeq \text{pr}_{1*}\text{pr}_2^*L_F \simeq \mathcal{O}_{Y^{\text{univ}}} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} H^0(F, L_F)$$

from the flat base change theorem, which indicates that $\phi_*(L - \phi^*L_Y)$ is a flat vector bundle. \square

If a fiber space $X \rightarrow Y$ is a locally constant fibration, then every line bundle on X can be modified (up to the tensoring a line bundle on Y) so that its direct image is a flat vector bundle by [Lemma 2.4](#). Conversely, if there exists such a relatively ample line bundle on X , then $X \rightarrow Y$ is necessarily a locally constant fibration:

Proposition 2.5. *Let $h : V \rightarrow W$ be a fiber space from a normal analytic variety V to a complex manifold W , and let D be an effective Weil \mathbb{Q} -divisor on V . Suppose that h is a flat projective morphism, and suppose that there is an h -relatively ample line bundle L on V such that*

- (1) $E_m := h_*(mL)$ is a local system for every $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$;
- (2) for every $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, the natural morphism $\text{Sym}^m E_1 \rightarrow E_m$ is a morphism between local systems (i.e., compatible with the flat connections);
- (3) for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ rendering kD a \mathbb{Z} -divisor, $F_m := h_*(mL - kD)$ is a sub-local system of E_m for every $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$.

Then $h : V \rightarrow W$ is a locally constant fibration with respect to (V, D) .

Proof. We may assume that L is h -very ample. The line bundle L induces a relative embedding $i : V \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}(E_1)$ over W such that $i^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(E_1)}(1) = L$. Let $p : W^{\text{univ}} \rightarrow W$ be the universal cover of W . Then p^*E_1 is a trivial vector bundle, and there are $r + 1$ global sections $e_0, e_1, \dots, e_r \in H^0(W^{\text{univ}}, p^*E_1)$ that are parallel with respect to (the pullback of) the flat connection ∇_{E_1} , where $r + 1 := \text{rk} E_1$.

Let \mathcal{I}_V be the ideal sheaf of V in $\mathbb{P}(E_1)$. By the relative Serre vanishing, for a sufficiently large m , we have a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow S_m := g_*(\mathcal{I}_V \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(E_1)}(m)) \rightarrow g_*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(E_1)}(m)) = \text{Sym}^m E_1 \rightarrow E_m := h_*(mL) \rightarrow 0,$$

where g denotes the natural morphism $\mathbb{P}(E_1) \rightarrow W$. Since \mathcal{I}_V is flat over W (by the flatness of h), the sheaf $S_m = g_*(\mathcal{I}_V \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(E_1)}(m))$ is a vector bundle for a sufficiently large m by [\[ACG11, Proposition \(3.3\), p. 13, Vol.II\]](#). The morphism $g_*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(E_1)}(m)) \rightarrow E_m$ is a morphism of local systems by condition (2). This indicates that S_m is also a local system and the inclusion $S_m \rightarrow \text{Sym}^m E_1$ is also a morphism of local systems since the category of local systems is an Abelian category. Hence, we get global sections $s_1, \dots, s_{t_m} \in H^0(W^{\text{univ}}, p^*S_m)$ that are parallel with respect to the flat connection ∇_{S_m} , where $t_m := \text{rk} S_m$. Every s_i , regarded as a section of $p^*\text{Sym}^m E_1$, is parallel with the pullback of $\nabla_{\text{Sym}^m E_1}$; hence we can write

$$s_i = \sum_{\substack{\alpha=(\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_r) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{r+1} \\ |\alpha|=m}} c_{i,\alpha} \cdot e_0^{\alpha_0} \cdots e_r^{\alpha_r}$$

for some constants $c_{i,\alpha} \in \mathbb{C}$. This implies that the relative embedding $\tilde{V} := V \times_W W^{\text{univ}}$ into $\mathbb{P}^r \times W^{\text{univ}}$ over W^{univ} is defined by polynomials whose coefficients are independent of $w \in W^{\text{univ}}$. Hence \tilde{V} splits into a product $W^{\text{univ}} \times F$, where F is the general fiber of h .

The vector bundle E_1 is induced by a representation $\pi_1(W) \rightarrow \text{GL}(r + 1)$, which gives rise to a representation $\rho : \pi_1(W) \rightarrow \text{PGL}(r + 1)$. For $\gamma \in \pi_1(W)$, the automorphism

$\rho(\gamma) : \mathbb{P}^r \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^r$ sends the fiber V_w at $w \in W$ to $V_{\gamma(w)}$ viewed as subvarieties of \mathbb{P}^r . As seen before, the defining polynomial of V_w in \mathbb{P}^r is independent of w ; hence $\rho(\gamma)$ can be restricted to F so that we get a representation $\rho : \pi_1(W) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(F)$. By construction, the variety V is isomorphic to the quotient of \tilde{V} by the action of $\pi_1(W)$; hence h is a locally constant fibration.

Moreover, since $F_m = h_*(mL - kD)$ is a sub-local system of E_m , the vector bundle $(h|_{kD})_*(mL|_{kD})$ is also a local system. Then, by the same argument as above, we see that the automorphism $\rho(\gamma) \in \text{Aut}(F)$ is also an automorphism of $D_F := D|_F$ for every $\gamma \in \pi_1(W)$; moreover D is isomorphic to the quotient of $D \times_W W^{\text{univ}}$ by the action of $\pi_1(W)$. Hence h is a locally constant fibration with respect to (V, D) . \square

Remark 2.6. [Proposition 2.5](#) is implicitly used in [\[CCM19, Proof of Theorem 4.2\]](#). In addition, let us remark that:

- (a) [Proposition 2.5](#) differs from [\[Wan20b, Proposition 1.8\]](#) at the point that we already assume that the E_m 's are local systems so that we can tackle the non-compact case, and the result of Simpson [\[Sim92\]](#) is not required in the proof; however, we need to assume the compatibility condition (2). Note that the result is not true without the compatibility condition (2), e.g., if W is a non-compact Riemann surface, then every vector bundle on W is trivial. However, there are non-isotrivial flat families over W .
- (b) If we further assume that W is a compact Kähler manifold, then the conditions (1), (2), (3) can be replaced with “ E_m and F_m are both numerically flat vector bundles” by [\[DPS94, Sim92, Den17, Cao13\]](#) (c.f. [\[Cao19, Proposition 2.4\]](#), [\[CCM19, Proposition 2.8\]](#), and [\[Wan20b, Proposition 1.6\]](#)). In fact, the compatibility conditions are automatically satisfied by [\[Cao13, Lemma 4.3.3\]](#).
- (c) From the proof, we see that it is sufficient to check that the conditions (1), (2), (3) hold for $m = 1$ and for some sufficiently large $m = m_0$.

2.4. Singular Hermitian metrics on normal analytic varieties. In this subsection, we introduce singular Hermitian metrics on torsion-free sheaves on normal analytic varieties and check properties for the pullback or push-forward of weakly positively curved sheaves.

Let \mathcal{E} be a torsion-free sheaf on a normal analytic variety X . Throughout this paper, the notation $X_{\mathcal{E}}$ denotes the maximal locally free locus of \mathcal{E} , and X_{reg} (resp. X_{sing}) denotes the regular locus (resp. the singular locus) of X . Note that $\text{codim } X_{\text{sing}} \geq 2$ and $\text{codim}(X \setminus X_{\mathcal{E}}) \geq 2$ by the normality of X and the torsion-freeness of \mathcal{E} .

Let g be a singular Hermitian metric on \mathcal{E} (i.e., a possibly singular Hermitian metric on the vector bundle $\mathcal{E}|_{X_{\text{reg}} \cap X_{\mathcal{E}}}$). See [\[Rau15, Pău16, PT18, HPS18\]](#) for singular Hermitian metrics on vector bundles and see also [\[Wan20a, §1.4, §2.2.4\]](#). Let θ be a smooth $(1, 1)$ -form on X admitting a local potential function (i.e., θ can be locally written as $\theta = dd^c f$ for some smooth function f). Note that d -closed forms do not always admit local potential functions on a singular variety. We write

$$(2.1) \quad \sqrt{-1}\theta_g \succeq \theta \otimes \text{id on } X$$

if the local function $\log |e|_{g^*} - f$ is plurisubharmonic (psh) on $X_{\text{reg}} \cap X_{\mathcal{E}}$ for any (holomorphic) local section e of \mathcal{E}^* , where f is a local potential of θ and g^* is the induced metric on the dual sheaf $\mathcal{E}^* := \text{Hom}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{O}_X)$. The above function is actually psh on X since any psh

functions on a Zariski open set X_0 of $\text{codim}(X \setminus X_0) \geq 2$ can be extended to X by [GR56, Satz 3, p. 181]. The notation $\sqrt{-1}\Theta_g$, which resembles the curvature, is used in (2.1), but an appropriate definition of curvature is not known in the higher-rank case.

Definition 2.7. Let \mathcal{E} be a torsion-free sheaf on a compact normal analytic variety X and ω be a positive $(1, 1)$ -form on X admitting a local potential function.

(1) The sheaf \mathcal{E} is said to be *weakly positively curved* if, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a singular Hermitian metric g_ε on \mathcal{E} such that $\sqrt{-1}\Theta_{g_\varepsilon} \succeq -\varepsilon\omega \otimes \text{id}$ on X .

(2) \mathcal{E} is said to be *pseudo-effective* if, for any integer $m > 0$, there exists a singular Hermitian metric h_m on $(\text{Sym}^m \mathcal{E})^{**}$ such that $\sqrt{-1}\Theta_{h_m} \succeq -\omega \otimes \text{id}$ on X . Here $\text{Sym}^m \mathcal{E}$ is the reflexive hull of the m -th symmetric power of \mathcal{E} .

By definition, weakly positively curved sheaves are always pseudo-effective. Note that there is an algebraic-geometric characterization of the pseudo-effectivity when \mathcal{E} is a locally free sheaf on a smooth projective variety (see [HIM19, Proposition 2.2] and references therein).

This paper considers the pullback of weakly positively curved sheaves by surjective morphisms. If a locally free sheaf is weakly positively curved, its pullback is so (for example, see [PT18]). However, the behavior of torsion-free sheaves under a pullback differs from that of locally free sheaves (see Remark 2.9 below). The following lemma gives a condition to guarantee that torsion-free sheaves satisfy this property.

Lemma 2.8. *Let $\phi : M \rightarrow X$ be a surjective morphism between (not necessarily compact) normal analytic varieties M and X . Let θ be a smooth $(1, 1)$ -form on X admitting a local potential function, and let (\mathcal{E}, g) be a torsion-free sheaf on X with a singular Hermitian metric g satisfying $\sqrt{-1}\Theta_g \succeq \theta \otimes \text{id}$ on X . Assume that the inverse image $\phi^{-1}(X \setminus X_\mathcal{E})$ is of codimension ≥ 2 and that the pullback $\phi^*(\mathcal{E}^*)$ is a reflexive sheaf on M .*

*Then, the induced metric ϕ^*g on $\phi^*\mathcal{E}|_{\phi^{-1}(X_\mathcal{E})}$ can be extended to the singular Hermitian metric on $\phi^*\mathcal{E}$ satisfying*

$$\sqrt{-1}\Theta_{\phi^*g} \succeq \phi^*\theta \otimes \text{id} \text{ on } M.$$

Remark 2.9. (1) The assumptions in Lemma 2.8 are automatically satisfied if $\phi : M \rightarrow X$ is flat or if \mathcal{E} is locally free on X . Indeed, by $\text{codim}(X \setminus X_\mathcal{E}) \geq 2$, the flatness implies $\text{codim} \phi^{-1}(X \setminus X_\mathcal{E}) \geq 2$. Furthermore, the dual sheaf of any coherent sheaf is always reflexive, and the reflexivity is preserved under pullback by flat morphisms (see [Har80, Corollary 1.2, Proposition 1.8]).

(2) In general, the pullback $\phi^*\mathcal{E}$ is not always a torsion-free sheaf. Even if we consider its torsion-free part, the conclusion of the lemma does not hold without the assumptions. For example, the maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}_p \subset \mathcal{O}_{X,p}$ at a given point $p \in X$ admits a singular Hermitian metric g such that $\sqrt{-1}\Theta_g = 0$ on X , which is induced by the trivial metric of \mathcal{O}_X . For the blow-up $\phi : M := \text{Bl}_p(X) \rightarrow X$ at the point $p \in X$, the torsion-free part of the pullback $\phi^*\mathfrak{m}_p$ is the ideal sheaf $\mathcal{O}_M(-E)$ associated with the exceptional divisor E . However $\mathcal{O}_M(-E)$ obviously admits no singular Hermitian metric with semi-positive curvature.

Proof. Let e be a section of $(\phi^*\mathcal{E})^*$ on an open set B in M . Our purpose is to demonstrate that $\log |e|_{\phi^*g^*}$ is a $\phi^*\theta$ -psh function on B (i.e., $dd^c \log |e|_{\phi^*g^*} \geq \phi^*\theta$).

The induced metric ϕ^*g^* is a priori defined on $\phi^{-1}(X_{\text{reg}} \cap X_{\mathcal{E}})$. The conclusion of the lemma follows from [PT18, Lemma 2.3.2] when X is smooth and \mathcal{E} is locally free. Hence $\log |e|_{\phi^*g^*}$ is $\phi^*\theta$ -psh on $B \cap \phi^{-1}(X_{\text{reg}} \cap X_{\mathcal{E}})$. In general, any θ -psh functions on a Zariski open set can be extended on the ambient space if they are bounded above; therefore, it suffices to demonstrate that $\log |e|_{\phi^*g^*}$ is (locally) bounded above.

The assumptions yield the following isomorphisms:

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{H}^0(B, (\phi^*\mathcal{E})^*) \\ & \simeq \mathrm{H}^0(B \cap \phi^{-1}(X_{\mathcal{E}}), (\phi^*\mathcal{E})^*) \text{ by } \mathrm{codim} \phi^{-1}(X \setminus X_{\mathcal{E}}) \geq 2 \text{ and reflexivity,} \\ & \simeq \mathrm{H}^0(B \cap \phi^{-1}(X_{\mathcal{E}}), \phi^*\mathcal{E}^*) \text{ by the local freeness of } \mathcal{E} \text{ and } \phi^*\mathcal{E}, \\ & \simeq \mathrm{H}^0(B, \phi^*(\mathcal{E}^*)) \text{ by } \mathrm{codim} \phi^{-1}(X \setminus X_{\mathcal{E}}) \geq 2 \text{ and reflexivity,} \\ & \simeq \varinjlim_{\phi(B) \subset V: \text{open}} \mathrm{H}^0(V, \mathcal{E}^*) \otimes_{\mathrm{H}^0(V, \mathcal{O}_X)} \mathrm{H}^0(B, \mathcal{O}_M). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the section e can be written as

$$e = \sum_{i=1}^m s_i \otimes f_i$$

for some $s_i \in \mathrm{H}^0(V, \mathcal{E}^*)$ and $f_i \in \mathrm{H}^0(B, \mathcal{O}_M)$. Then, we obtain

$$|e|_{\phi^*g^*}^2 \leq \sum_{i=1}^m |f_i|^2 |s_i \otimes 1|_{\phi^*g^*}^2 = \sum_{i=1}^m |f_i|^2 \phi^* |s_i|_{g^*}^2 \text{ on } B \cap \phi^{-1}(X_{\text{reg}} \cap X_{\mathcal{E}})$$

from the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and the definition of ϕ^*g^* . The function $\log |s_i|_{g^*}$ is θ -psh on V (in particular, bounded above) by $\sqrt{-1}\Theta_g \succeq \theta \otimes \mathrm{id}$. Combining this fact with the above inequality, we see that $|e|_{\phi^*g^*}^2$ is bounded above on B , and thus, it can be extended to the $\phi^*\theta$ -psh function on B . \square

At the end of this subsection, we investigate the push-forward of weakly positively curved sheaves by birational morphisms.

Lemma 2.10. *Let $\pi : M \rightarrow X$ be a birational morphism from a smooth projective variety M to a \mathbb{Q} -factorial projective variety X , and let \mathcal{F} be a weakly positively curved sheaf on M . Then, the push-forward $\pi_*\mathcal{F}$ is weakly positively curved on X .*

Proof. By assumption, there exists singular Hermitian metrics g_{ε} on \mathcal{F} such that

$$\sqrt{-1}\Theta_{g_{\varepsilon}} \succeq -\varepsilon\omega_M \otimes \mathrm{id} \text{ on } M,$$

where ω_M is a Kähler form on M . By comparing ω_M with a Kähler form on X , we will investigate the singular Hermitian metrics h_{ε} on $\pi_*\mathcal{F}$ defined by pushing-forward g_{ε} .

Let ω_X be a Kähler form on X defined by $\omega_X := \Phi^*\omega_{FS}$, where $\Phi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(|A|)$ is the embedding associated with a very ample line bundle A on X and ω_{FS} is the Fubini-Study form on $\mathbb{P}(|A|)$. Note that ω_X locally admits a smooth potential function by construction. By \mathbb{Q} -factoriality, we can take a π -exceptional effective \mathbb{Q} -divisor G on M such that $\pi^*A - G$ is ample on M (c.f. [Kol07, Lemma 2.9, Complement 2.10, pp. 73-74]). We may assume

that ω_M is a Kähler form representing $c_1(\pi^*A - G)$. Then, we can take a smooth $(1, 1)$ -form θ on M such that

$$\theta \in -c_1(G) \text{ and } \omega_M = \pi^*\omega_X + \theta.$$

We will show that there exists a quasi-psh function φ on X such that

$$(2.2) \quad \omega_M = \pi^*\omega_X - [G] + dd^c\pi^*\varphi,$$

where $[G]$ is the integration current associated with the \mathbb{Q} -divisor G . This easily follows from the push-forward of currents when X is smooth. For the reader's convenience, we will give proof for a singular X . We first take a quasi-psh function ψ on M such that

$$\omega_M = \pi^*\omega_X - [G] + dd^c\psi.$$

Let E be the π -exceptional locus. The function φ on $X \setminus \pi(E)$ can be defined by $\varphi = \pi_*\psi$ via the isomorphism $\pi : M \setminus E \simeq X \setminus \pi(E)$. Then, a local smooth function f with $\omega_X = dd^c f$ satisfies that

$$dd^c(\pi^*f + \pi^*\varphi) = \pi^*\omega_X + dd^c\psi = \omega_M \text{ locally on } M \setminus E$$

by $\text{Supp}(G) = E$. This indicates that $f + \varphi$ is a psh function on $X \setminus \pi(E)$. The function φ can be extended to the quasi-psh function on X since f is smooth and $\pi(E)$ is of codimension ≥ 2 . Then, the extended function φ satisfies $\psi = \pi^*\varphi$ on M since ψ and $\pi^*\varphi$ are quasi-psh, which leads to the desired equality (2.2).

We define the singular Hermitian metric h_ε on $\pi_*\mathcal{F}|_{X \setminus \pi(E)}$ by $h_\varepsilon := \pi_*g_\varepsilon$. We will construct weakly positively curved metrics on $\pi_*\mathcal{F}$ by modifying h_ε with φ . For simplicity of the notation, we used the same notation X (resp. M) to denote a sufficiently small open set in X (resp. its inverse image by π). Let us consider the function

$$\varphi_\varepsilon := \log |e|_{h_\varepsilon} \text{ on } X \setminus \pi(E)$$

for a local section e of $(\pi_*\mathcal{F})^*$. Now, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & H^0(X, (\pi_*\mathcal{F})^*) \\ & \simeq H^0(X \setminus \pi(E), (\pi_*\mathcal{F})^*) \text{ by } \text{codim } \pi(E) \geq 2 \text{ and reflexivity,} \\ & \simeq H^0(M \setminus E, \mathcal{F}^*) \text{ by the isomorphism } \pi : X \setminus E \simeq X \setminus \pi(E). \end{aligned}$$

This indicates that the section e of $(\pi_*\mathcal{F})^*$ can be identified with the section in $H^0(M \setminus E, (\pi_*\mathcal{F})^*)$, which we denote by π^*e . Then, by definition, we have

$$\pi^*\varphi_\varepsilon = \pi^*(\log |e|_{h_\varepsilon}^*) = \log |\pi^*e|_{\pi^*h_\varepsilon^*} = \log |\pi^*e|_{g_\varepsilon} \text{ on } M \setminus E.$$

Hence, we see that

$$dd^c\pi^*\varphi_\varepsilon = dd^c \log |\pi^*e|_{g_\varepsilon} \geq -\varepsilon\omega_M = -\varepsilon(\pi^*\omega_X + dd^c\pi^*\varphi) \text{ on } M \setminus E$$

by the selection of g_ε and equality (2.2). This equality indicates that

$$dd^c(\varphi_\varepsilon + \varepsilon\varphi) \geq -\varepsilon\omega_X \text{ on } X \setminus \pi(E).$$

Hence $\varphi_\varepsilon + \varepsilon\varphi$ can be extended to the $\varepsilon\omega_X$ -psh function on X by $\text{codim } \pi(E) \geq 2$. Note that $\varphi_\varepsilon + \varepsilon\varphi$ can be extended because of φ (which may have the pole), but this extendability can not be expected for φ_ε itself. Thus, the metric $H_\varepsilon := h_\varepsilon e^{-\varepsilon\varphi}$ on $\pi_*\mathcal{F}$ satisfies the definition of weakly positively curved metrics. \square

2.5. Quasi-étale Galois covers and semi-stable sheaves. In this subsection, we summarize the definition of quasi-étale (Galois) covers and prove the slope semi-stability for weakly positive curved (pseudo-effective) sheaves with vanishing first Chern class. Galois covers have their origin in the Galois theory of Grothendieck's point, but we follow [GKP16a] and summarize only the points needed in this paper.

Definition 2.11. Let $\nu : X \rightarrow Y$ be a surjective morphism between normal analytic varieties X and Y .

- (1) $\nu : X \rightarrow Y$ is said to be a *cover* (resp. *finite cover*) of Y if every fiber of $\nu : X \rightarrow Y$ is the set of discrete points (resp. $\nu : X \rightarrow Y$ is a finite morphism).
- (2) $\nu : X \rightarrow Y$ is said to be *quasi-étale* if there exists a Zariski closed set $Z \subset X$ of codimension ≥ 2 such that the induced morphism $\nu|_{X \setminus Z} : X \setminus Z \rightarrow Y$ is étale.
- (3) $\nu : X \rightarrow Y$ is said to be *Galois* if there exists a finite group $G \subset \text{Aut}(X)$ such that $\nu : X \rightarrow Y$ coincides with the quotient morphism $X \rightarrow X/G$ induced by G .
- (4) Assume that $\nu : X \rightarrow Y$ is a finite morphism. A finite morphism $\Gamma : W \rightarrow Y$ from a normal analytic variety W is said to be a *Galois closure* of $\nu : X \rightarrow Y$ if there exists a finite morphism $\gamma : W \rightarrow X$ such that
 - $\Gamma = \nu \circ \gamma$;
 - Γ and γ are Galois with respect to some finite groups $H \subset G \subset \text{Aut}(W)$;
 - The branch locus of Γ coincides with that of ν .

Remark 2.12. If Y has maximal algebraic dimension (e.g., Y is quasi-projective or Moishezon), then a cover $\nu : X \rightarrow Y$ is Galois if and only if the field extension $\mathbb{C}(X)/\mathbb{C}(Y)$ is a Galois extension.

For a normal analytic variety X , the category of finite quasi-étale covers of X is equivalent to that of finite index subgroups in the étale fundamental group of X_{reg} . [Theorem 2.13](#) states that the difference between $\pi_1(X)$ and $\pi_1(X_{\text{reg}})$ is not as large for a potentially klt X . [Theorem 2.14](#), which is useful in the application of [Theorem 2.13](#), guarantees the existence of Galois closures with control of the branch locus.

Theorem 2.13 ([GKP16a, Theorem 1.1]). *Let X be a quasi-projective and potentially klt variety. We consider a sequence*

$$\dots \longrightarrow X^{(3)} \xrightarrow{\nu_3} X^{(2)} \xrightarrow{\nu_2} X^{(1)} \xrightarrow{\nu_1} X^{(0)} := X$$

of finite quasi-étale covers $v_{k+1} : X^{(k+1)} \rightarrow X^{(k)}$ such that the composition morphism $X^{(k)} \rightarrow X^{(0)}$ is Galois for any k . Then, all but a finite number of the morphisms v_{k+1} are étale.

Theorem 2.14 ([GKP16a, Theorem 3.7]). *For any finite morphism $\nu : X \rightarrow Y$ between normal projective varieties X and Y , a Galois closure $\Gamma : W \rightarrow Y$ of $\nu : X \rightarrow Y$ exists in the sense of [Definition 2.11\(4\)](#).*

Now, we prove a certain stability and numerical flatness of pseudo-effective sheaves with vanishing first Chern class. First, let us recall the definition of numerically flat locally free sheaves:

Definition 2.15 (Numerically flat locally free sheaves). A locally free sheaf \mathcal{E} on a projective variety X is said to be *numerically flat* if $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})}(1)$ is nef and $c_1(\mathcal{E}) = 0$. Note that we never define the numerical flatness for non-locally free sheaves.

If X is smooth, then a pseudo-effective reflexive sheaf with vanishing first Chern class is a fortiori locally free and numerically flat by [HIM19, Wu20]. This is nevertheless not true if X has singularities, e.g., the tangent sheaf of a Q -abelian variety (see Example 3.14) is pseudo-effective and has vanishing first Chern class, but it is not locally free. However, we can obtain the local freeness and numerical flatness after taking a finite quasi-étale Galois cover by the following theorem:

Theorem 2.16 (cf. [GKP16a, Theorem 1.20], [HIM19, Theorem 1.2]). *Let \mathcal{E} be a reflexive sheaf on a normal projective variety X and A be an ample line bundle on X . Assume that \mathcal{E} is pseudo-effective (which is satisfied if it is weakly positively curved) and satisfies $c_1(\mathcal{E}) = 0$. Assume that X is smooth in codimension two. Then we have:*

- (1) \mathcal{E} is A -slope semi-stable and satisfies that

$$c_2(\mathcal{E}) \cdot A^{\dim X - 2} = 0.$$

- (2) *If we further assume X is potentially klt, then there exists a finite quasi-étale Galois cover $\nu : \bar{X} \rightarrow X$ with \bar{X} normal such that $(\nu^*\mathcal{E})^{**}$ is locally free and numerically flat on \bar{X} .*

Proof. (1) We may assume that A is very ample. Let us consider a surface S and a curve C on X defined by

$$S := H_1 \cap H_2 \cap \cdots \cap H_{n-2} \quad \text{and} \quad C := H_1 \cap H_2 \cap \cdots \cap H_{n-1},$$

where $n := \dim X$ and H_i is a general hypersurface in the linear system $|A|$. Note that $\text{codim } X_{\text{sing}} \geq 3$ by assumption and $\text{codim}(X \setminus X_{\mathcal{E}}) \geq 3$ since \mathcal{E} is a reflexive sheaf. Hence, since H_i is a general hypersurface, the restriction $\mathcal{E}|_S$ to S is locally free and still pseudo-effective. Furthermore, from assumption, we obtain

$$c_1(\mathcal{E}) \cdot c_1(A)^{n-2} := c_1(\mathcal{E}|_S) = c_1(\mathcal{E})|_S = 0.$$

By applying [HIM19, Theorem 1.2] (c.f. also [CCM19, Proposition 2.7] and [Wu20, Corollary of Main Theorem]) to $\mathcal{E}|_S$, we see that $\mathcal{E}|_S$ is numerically flat on S ; thus all the Chern classes of $\mathcal{E}|_S$ vanish. In particular, we have

$$c_2(\mathcal{E}) \cdot c_1(A)^{n-2} := c_2(\mathcal{E}|_S) = 0.$$

Now, we confirm that \mathcal{E} is A -slope semi-stable. If \mathcal{E} is not A -slope semi-stable, we can find a subsheaf $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{E}$ destabilizing \mathcal{E} :

$$\frac{c_1(\mathcal{S}) \cdot c_1(A)^{n-1}}{\text{rk } \mathcal{S}} > \frac{c_1(\mathcal{E}) \cdot c_1(A)^{n-1}}{\text{rk } \mathcal{E}} = 0.$$

We may assume that $\mathcal{Q} := \mathcal{E}/\mathcal{S}$ is torsion-free by taking the saturation of \mathcal{S} . The restriction of the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{E}/\mathcal{S} \rightarrow 0$$

to the curve C is still exact. This yields

$$0 = c_1(\mathcal{E}) \cdot c_1(A)^{n-1} = c_1(\mathcal{S}) \cdot c_1(A)^{n-1} + c_1(\mathcal{Q}) \cdot c_1(A)^{n-1}.$$

Hence, we obtain $c_1(\mathcal{Q}) \cdot c_1(A)^{n-1} < 0$ from the choice of \mathcal{S} . On the other hand, the restriction $\mathcal{E}|_C$ is still pseudo-effective, the quotient of $\mathcal{E}|_C$ is also pseudo-effective. This indicates that $c_1(\mathcal{Q}) \cdot c_1(A)^{n-1} \geq 0$, which is a contradiction.

(2) By applying [GKP16a, Theorem 1.20] to the A -slope semi-stable sheaf \mathcal{E} , we can find a finite quasi-étale Galois cover $\nu : \bar{X} \rightarrow X$ with \bar{X} normal such that $(\nu^*\mathcal{E})^{**}$ is locally free on \bar{X} . It remains to show that $(\nu^*\mathcal{E})^{**}$ is numerically flat. The sheaf $(\nu^*\mathcal{E})^{**}$ is still pseudo-effective and satisfies $c_1((\nu^*\mathcal{E})^{**}) = 0$ since ν is quasi-étale. For a resolution $\bar{\pi} : \bar{M} \rightarrow \bar{X}$ of singularities of \bar{X} , we see that the pullback $\bar{\pi}^*((\nu^*\mathcal{E})^{**})$ is pseudo-effective by applying Lemma 2.8 to the locally free sheaf $(\nu^*\mathcal{E})^{**}$. Furthermore, the pullback satisfies $c_1(\bar{\pi}^*((\nu^*\mathcal{E})^{**})) = 0$. Here, we essentially used the local freeness of $(\nu^*\mathcal{E})^{**}$. Using [HIM19, Theorem 1.2] again, we can conclude that $\bar{\pi}^*((\nu^*\mathcal{E})^{**})$ is numerically flat, and thus $(\nu^*\mathcal{E})^{**}$ is also numerically flat. \square

At the end of this subsection, we give an elementary lemma that will be used later.

Lemma 2.17. *Let $g : X' \rightarrow X$ be a birational morphism and $\eta' : X'_1 \rightarrow X'$ be a finite quasi-étale (resp. Galois in addition) cover between normal projective varieties. Then, there exists a projective variety X_1 with a finite quasi-étale cover (resp. Galois in addition) $\eta_1 : X_1 \rightarrow X$ and a birational morphism $g_1 : X'_1 \rightarrow X_1$ such that $\eta_1 \circ g_1 = g \circ \eta'$.*

Proof. This proof is standard: we simply consider X_1 to be the normalization of X in the functional field of X'_1 . Then, we have the natural finite morphism $\eta : X_1 \rightarrow X$ and the birational morphism $g_1 : X'_1 \rightarrow X_1$. Since η' is a quasi-étale Galois cover, so is η . \square

2.6. On the flat base change theorem. The following proposition is derived from the flat base change theorem. The proof is straightforward, but its formulation is useful for our purpose. Here, we give proof for the convenience of the readers.

Proposition 2.18. *Let X, Y, Z , and M be analytic varieties. Let $g : X \rightarrow Z$ and $f : Y \rightarrow Z$ be proper surjective morphisms, and let $\beta : M \rightarrow P$ be a proper surjective morphism to the fiber product $P := Y \times_Z X$. Let a, b, p , and q denote the corresponding morphisms with the following commutative diagram:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 M & & X \\
 \beta \searrow & \xrightarrow{a} & \downarrow g \\
 P = Y \times_Z X & \xrightarrow{q} & X \\
 p \downarrow & & \downarrow g \\
 Y & \xrightarrow{f} & Z
 \end{array}$$

Let \mathcal{F} be a coherent sheaf on X . We consider Zariski closed sets $A \subset Z$ and $B, C \subset P$ satisfying the following conditions:

- $f : Y \rightarrow Z$ is a flat morphism over $Z \setminus A$.
- $q^*\mathcal{F}$ is a locally free sheaf on $P \setminus B$.
- $\beta : M \rightarrow P$ is an algebraic fiber space over $P \setminus C$ (i.e., $P \setminus C$ is normal and $\beta : M \rightarrow P$ has connected fibers over $P \setminus C$).

Then, the natural morphism (defined on Y)

$$f^*g_*\mathcal{F} \rightarrow p_*q^*\mathcal{F} \rightarrow b_*a^*\mathcal{F}$$

is isomorphic on $Y \setminus (f^{-1}(A) \cup p(B \cup C))$.

Proof. The natural morphism $f^*g_*\mathcal{F} \rightarrow p_*q^*\mathcal{F}$, which is defined on Y , is isomorphic on $Y \setminus f^{-1}(A)$ by the flat base change theorem. Moreover, we see that the induced morphism

$$q^*\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \beta_*\beta^*q^*\mathcal{F} = \beta_*a^*\mathcal{F} \quad \text{on } P$$

is isomorphic on $P \setminus (B \cup C)$, by applying the projection formula to the algebraic fiber space $\beta : M \setminus \beta^{-1}(B \cup C) \rightarrow P \setminus (B \cup C)$. Hence, the morphism

$$p_*q^*\mathcal{F} \rightarrow p_*\beta_*\beta^*q^*\mathcal{F} = p_*\beta_*a^*\mathcal{F} = b_*a^*\mathcal{F}$$

induced by pushing-forward to Y is isomorphic on $Y \setminus p(B \cup C)$. Here, we implicitly used the obvious inclusion $B \cup C \subset p^{-1}(p(B \cup C))$. The above isomorphisms lead to the desired conclusion. \square

3. FLAT CONNECTIONS OF DIRECT IMAGE SHEAVES

In this section, we study \mathbb{Q} -factorial terminal pairs with nef anti-log canonical divisor and appropriate direct image sheaves defined by their MRC fibrations. This section is devoted to the proof of [Theorem 3.2](#), which states that the direct image sheaves satisfy a certain flatness, up to quasi-étale covers and \mathbb{Q} -factorizations. [Theorem 3.2](#) will be applied to \mathbb{Q} -factorial log terminal models of given klt pairs to derive the holomorphicity and local constancy of MRC fibrations (up to a quasi-étale cover), which will be discussed in [Section 4](#). The proof of [Theorem 3.2](#) clarifies why we need a finite quasi-étale cover of X in [Theorem 1.1](#), which never appears when X_{reg} is simply connected in [\[Wan20b\]](#) nor when X is smooth in [\[CCM19\]](#). [Theorem 3.2](#) requires a deeper insight into nef anti-log canonical divisors and a more involved argument than the previous works [\[Cao19, CH19, CCM19, Wan20b\]](#).

3.1. Setting and goal in this section. In this subsection, we give a precise formulation of [Theorem 3.2](#) after we describe our setting that we keep throughout this section.

Setting 3.1. Let (X, Δ) be a projective \mathbb{Q} -factorial terminal pair with the nef anti-log canonical divisor $-(K_X + \Delta)$, and let $\psi : X \dashrightarrow Y$ be an MRC fibration of X to a smooth projective variety Y . Let $\pi : M \rightarrow X$ be a resolution of singularities of X and indeterminacies of $\psi : X \dashrightarrow Y$ with a morphism ϕ in the following diagram:

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} M & \xrightarrow{\pi} & X \\ & \searrow \phi & \swarrow \psi \\ & & Y. \end{array}$$

Let A be a (sufficiently) ample line bundle on X and let E be an effective divisor on M with $\text{Supp}(E) = \text{Exc}(\pi)$, where $\text{Exc}(\pi)$ is the π -exceptional locus. For a fixed integer $c \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ (which we take to be large enough later), we consider the determinant bundle

$$\det \phi_*\mathcal{O}_M(\pi^*A + cE) := \left(\bigwedge^r (\phi_*\mathcal{O}_M(\pi^*A + cE)) \right)^{**},$$

where r is the rank of the direct image sheaf $\phi_*\mathcal{O}_M(\pi^*A + cE)$. We now define the ϕ -big line bundle L_m on M by

$$L_m := \mathcal{O}_M(m(\pi^*A + cE)) - \frac{m}{r}\phi^*\det\phi_*\mathcal{O}_M(\pi^*A + cE),$$

where m is a positive integer with $m/r \in \mathbb{Z}$. Here, we used the additional notation for tensor products and we regard L_m as a line bundle since $m/r \in \mathbb{Z}$ (see [Subsection 2.1](#)). Furthermore, we define the direct image sheaf \mathcal{V}_m on Y by

$$\mathcal{V}_m := \phi_*\mathcal{O}_M(L_m)$$

Note that \mathcal{V}_m is non-zero by definition. The subscript m in \mathcal{V}_m and L_m is not important in most of this section; thus we often omit the subscript m to simplify the notation. Let $Y_0 \subset Y$ be the maximal Zariski open set in Y satisfying the following properties:

- $\phi : M \rightarrow Y$ is a flat morphism over Y_0 .
- ϕ^*P is not π -exceptional for any prime divisor P on Y_0 .

This section aims to prove that \mathcal{V}_m satisfies a certain flatness on Y_0 , up to quasi-étale covers and \mathbb{Q} -factorizations. Our goal in this section is precisely formulated as follows:

Theorem 3.2. *Let (X, Δ) be as in [Setting 3.1](#). Then, there exist sequences of projective terminal pairs (X_i, Δ_i) and $(X_i^{\text{qf}}, \Delta_i^{\text{qf}})$ ($i = 0, 1, \dots, N$) satisfying the following conditions:*

- (1) $(X_0^{\text{qf}}, \Delta_0^{\text{qf}}) = (X_0, \Delta_0) = (X, \Delta)$.
 - (2) $(X_i^{\text{qf}}, \Delta_i^{\text{qf}})$ is a \mathbb{Q} -factorization of (X_i, Δ_i) , i.e., there exists a small birational morphism $\pi_i : X_i^{\text{qf}} \rightarrow X_i$ such that X_i^{qf} is \mathbb{Q} -factorial and $\Delta_i^{\text{qf}} = \pi_i^*\Delta_i$.
 - (3) (X_i, Δ_i) is a quasi-étale cover of $(X_{i-1}^{\text{qf}}, \Delta_{i-1}^{\text{qf}})$, i.e., there exists a finite quasi-étale cover $\nu_i : X_i \rightarrow X_{i-1}^{\text{qf}}$ such that $\Delta_i = \nu_i^*\Delta_{i-1}^{\text{qf}}$.
 - (4) Either (X_N, Δ_N) or $(X_N^{\text{qf}}, \Delta_N^{\text{qf}})$ satisfies the following property (*).
- (*) Let (X', Δ') denote either (X_N, Δ_N) or $(X_N^{\text{qf}}, \Delta_N^{\text{qf}})$, and reconsider [Setting 3.1](#) for (X', Δ') , i.e., we consider the MRC fibration $X' \dashrightarrow Y'$ of X' and direct image sheaves \mathcal{V}'_m on Y' , as in [Setting 3.1](#). Then, for some fixed $c \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ and for every $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ with $m/r \in \mathbb{Z}$, there exists a Zariski closed set $C_m \subset Y'$ such that
- $C_m \subset Y'$ is of codimension ≥ 2 ;
 - \mathcal{V}'_m is locally free on $Y'_0 \setminus C_m$;
 - \mathcal{V}'_m admits a flat connection on $Y'_0 \setminus C_m$.

The pairs (X_i, Δ_i) and $(X_i^{\text{qf}}, \Delta_i^{\text{qf}})$ with (1), (2), (3) are automatically terminal pairs with nef anti-log canonical divisor since $\pi_i : X_i^{\text{qf}} \rightarrow X_i$ is small birational and $\nu_i : X_i \rightarrow X_{i-1}^{\text{qf}}$ is quasi-étale. All of (X_i, Δ_i) and $(X_i^{\text{qf}}, \Delta_i^{\text{qf}})$ are inductively determined by \mathbb{Q} -factorizations π_i and quasi-étale covers ν_i , as in the ‘zigzag diagram’ below. Condition (1) is an initial data and the existence of \mathbb{Q} -factorizations in (2) is guaranteed by [[BCHM10](#), Corollary 1.4.3]. Therefore, an essential part of [Theorem 3.2](#) is to construct quasi-étale covers $\nu_i : X_i \rightarrow X_{i-1}^{\text{qf}}$ in (3) satisfying property (*).

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
X_{i+1}^{\text{qf}} & \xrightarrow[\pi_{i+1}]{\mathbb{Q}\text{-factorization}} & X_{i+1} \\
& & \downarrow \nu_{i+1} \text{ quasi-étale} \\
& & X_i^{\text{qf}} \xrightarrow[\pi_i]{\mathbb{Q}\text{-factorization}} X_i \\
& & \downarrow \nu_i \text{ quasi-étale} \\
& & X_{i-1}^{\text{qf}}.
\end{array}$$

The proof of [Theorem 3.2](#) is so involved that we divide the proof into 7 subsections and explain them in detail. Throughout this section, we keep [Setting 3.1](#) and promise that m always satisfies $m/r \in \mathbb{Z}$.

3.2. Birational semi-stability for MRC fibrations. In this subsection, we confirm a certain birational semi-stability for the MRC fibration $\psi : X \dashrightarrow Y$. Such a semi-stability result, which essentially follows from [[Zha05](#), Main Theorem], was explicitly formulated in [[CH19](#)], [[CCM19](#), Theorem 3.2], and [[Wan20b](#), Proposition 2.2]. [Proposition 3.3](#) is formulated without replacing M and Y with their successive blow-ups and gives a slight generalization of the above results.

Proposition 3.3. *The following statements hold:*

- (a) ϕ^*N_Y is π -exceptional for any effective divisor N_Y on Y with $N_Y \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} K_Y$.
- (b) The Kodaira dimension of Y is zero (i.e., $\kappa(K_Y) = 0$).
- (c) $\pi(\phi^{-1}(Y \setminus Y_0))$ is of codimension ≥ 2 .
- (d) Y_0 has the generalized Liouville property in the following sense: let $(\mathcal{H}_0, \nabla_0)$ be a flat vector bundle on Y_0 satisfying the following condition (\bullet) . Then, every global section of \mathcal{H}_0 is parallel with respect to ∇_0 .
 - (\bullet) There exists a numerically flat vector bundle \mathcal{H} on X such that

$$(\phi^*\mathcal{H}_0, \phi^*\nabla_0) \simeq (\pi^*\mathcal{H}, \nabla) \text{ on } M_0 := \phi^{-1}(Y_0),$$

where $\phi^*\nabla_0$ is the connection on $\phi^*\mathcal{H}_0$ defined by the pullback and ∇ is the (unique) flat connection on $\pi^*\mathcal{H}$ defined by [[Sim92](#), Corollary 3.10 & the discussion after] (which is compatible with filtrations given by [[DPS94](#), Theorem 1.18]). See [Definition 2.15](#) for numerically flat vector bundles.

- (e) ψ is semi-stable in codimension one: let P be a prime divisor on Y_0 and $\phi^*P = \sum_i c_i P_i$ be the irreducible decomposition of ϕ^*P . Then, any non-reduced component P_i (i.e., a component P_i with $c_i > 1$) is π -exceptional.
- (f) Δ is horizontal with respect to $\psi: Y = \phi(\pi^{-1}(\Delta_i))$ for any component Δ_i of Δ .

Remark 3.4. (1) In the proof below, we use the assumption that $\psi : X \dashrightarrow Y$ is an MRC fibration only to deduce that Y is not uniruled. In fact, we can obtain all the conclusions for an almost holomorphic map $\psi : X \dashrightarrow Y$ with a non-uniruled Y , under the weaker assumption that (X, Δ) is log canonical.

(2) The case of $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{O}_{Y_0}$ in property (d) is nothing other than [[Wan20b](#), Proposition 2.2(d)].

Proof. Note that the base variety Y of MRC fibrations is not uniruled by [GHS03]. By [Wan20b, Proposition 2.2], there exists birational morphisms $\pi_M : \tilde{M} \rightarrow M$ and $\pi_Y : \tilde{Y} \rightarrow Y$ such that all the conclusions except for (d) hold for $\tilde{\phi} : \tilde{M} \rightarrow \tilde{Y}$ and $\tilde{\pi} : \tilde{M} \rightarrow X$, where $\tilde{\phi} : \tilde{M} \rightarrow \tilde{Y}$ is an elimination of indeterminacies of the MRC fibration $X \dashrightarrow \tilde{Y}$ in the following diagram:

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} & & \tilde{\pi} & & \\ & \nearrow & \text{---} & \searrow & \\ \tilde{M} & \xrightarrow{\pi_M} & M & \xrightarrow{\pi} & X \\ \tilde{\phi} \downarrow & & \downarrow \phi & \swarrow \psi & \\ \tilde{Y} & \xrightarrow{\pi_Y} & Y & & \end{array}$$

We will deduce the proposition from this case (i.e., the conclusions for $\tilde{\phi} : \tilde{M} \rightarrow \tilde{Y}$ and $\tilde{\pi} : \tilde{M} \rightarrow X$), denoted as the *known case* in this proof.

(a), (b): Since Y is smooth, we have

$$(3.3) \quad \pi_Y^* K_Y = K_{\tilde{Y}} - F,$$

where F is an effective divisor on \tilde{Y} with $\text{Supp}(F) = \text{Exc}(\pi_Y)$. This yields

$$\kappa(K_Y) = \kappa(K_{\tilde{Y}}) = 0.$$

Here, the right-hand equality follows from property (b) in the known case.

Let N_Y be an effective divisor on Y with $N_Y \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} K_Y$. By (3.3), we have $\pi_Y^* N_Y + F \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} K_{\tilde{Y}}$; hence we see that

$$(3.4) \quad \tilde{\phi}^*(\pi_Y^* N_Y + F) \text{ is } \tilde{\pi}\text{-exceptional}$$

from property (b) in the known case. In particular, the pullback $\phi^* N_Y$ is π -exceptional.

(c): By property (b), we can find an effective divisor N_Y on Y with $N_Y \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} K_Y$. Then, from (3.4), we see that $\tilde{\phi}^* F$ is $\tilde{\pi}$ -exceptional. By $\text{Supp}(F) = \text{Exc}(\pi_Y)$, the pullback $\tilde{\phi}^* \text{Exc}(\pi_Y)$ is $\tilde{\pi}$ -exceptional. This indicates that $\text{Exc}(\pi_Y) \subset \tilde{Y} \setminus \tilde{Y}_0$ (i.e., $\tilde{Y} \setminus \text{Exc}(\pi_Y) \supset \tilde{Y}_0$) by the definition of \tilde{Y}_0 . Therefore, the Zariski open set \tilde{Y}_0 can be identified with its image $\pi_Y(\tilde{Y}_0)$ via the isomorphism $\pi_Y : \tilde{Y} \setminus \text{Exc}(\pi_Y) \simeq Y \setminus \pi_Y(\text{Exc}(\pi_Y))$.

The non-flat locus is of codimension ≥ 2 ; hence, under the above identification, we see that \tilde{Y}_0 coincides with Y_0 except for a Zariski closed set of codimension ≥ 2 . This implies that

$$\pi_Y^{-1}(Y \setminus Y_0) \subset \text{Exc}(\pi_Y) \cup (\tilde{Y} \setminus \tilde{Y}_0) \cup Z$$

for some Zariski closed set Z on \tilde{Y}_0 of codimension ≥ 2 . The pullback $\tilde{\phi}^* \text{Exc}(\pi_Y)$ is $\tilde{\pi}$ -exceptional and $\tilde{\pi}(\tilde{\phi}^{-1}(\tilde{Y} \setminus \tilde{Y}_0))$ is of codimension ≥ 2 by property (c) in the known case. Furthermore, the inverse image $\tilde{\phi}^{-1}(Z)$ is of codimension ≥ 2 since $\tilde{\phi} : \tilde{M} \rightarrow \tilde{Y}$ is flat over \tilde{Y}_0 . This confirms property (c).

(d): The generalized Liouville property essentially follows from property (c). Let $s \in H^0(Y_0, \mathcal{H}_0)$. Then s is parallel with respect to ∇_0 if and only if $\phi^* s$ is parallel with respect to $\phi^* \nabla_0 = \nabla|_{\phi^{-1}(Y_0)}$. Since the complement of $\pi(\phi^{-1}(Y_0) \setminus E)$ in X is of codimension 2 by property (c), the section $\phi^* s|_{\phi^{-1}(Y_0) \setminus E}$ on $\phi^{-1}(Y_0) \setminus E \simeq \pi(\phi^{-1}(Y_0) \setminus E)$ induces a section

$\sigma \in H^0(X, \mathcal{H})$ by reflexivity (via the isomorphism $\pi|_{\phi^{-1}(Y_0) \setminus E}$). Then $\pi^*\sigma$ is parallel with respect to ∇ by [Cao13, Theorem 4.3.3], and hence so is $\phi^*\sigma$.

(e): Let P be a prime divisor on Y_0 and let \tilde{P} be the strict transform of P by $\pi_Y : \tilde{Y} \rightarrow Y$. Let P_i be a non-reduced component of the irreducible decomposition of ϕ^*P . Then, the strict transform of P_i by $\pi_M : \tilde{M} \rightarrow M$ is a non-reduced component of the pullback $\tilde{\phi}^*\tilde{P}$, which is $\tilde{\pi}$ -exceptional by property (e) in the known case. Hence P_i is π -exceptional.

(f): Property (f) follows directly from the known case. \square

3.3. Rationally connected fibers and flat connections. We investigate the local freeness and a certain flatness of the reflexive sheaf \mathcal{E}_m on X defined by

$$\mathcal{E}_m := (\pi_*\phi^*\mathcal{V}_m)^{**}.$$

The purpose of this subsection is to prove Proposition 3.5. In the general case, the assumption for \mathcal{E}_m in Proposition 3.5 is not satisfied. A naive strategy in proving Theorem 3.2 is to reduce the general case to the case of \mathcal{E}_m satisfying this assumption by selecting an appropriate quasi-étale cover of X (provided by Theorem 2.16).

Proposition 3.5. *We assume that \mathcal{E}_m is locally free and numerically flat on X for every $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. Then X satisfies property (*) of Theorem 3.2 (without taking any quasi-étale cover or \mathbb{Q} -factorization).*

Proof. We omit the subscript m in \mathcal{V}_m and \mathcal{E}_m in the proof. The variety X in our setting may have singularities; thus, the π -exceptional locus $\text{Exc}(\pi)$ may be dominant over Y , which causes a problem in proving the proposition. To overcome this difficulty, we consider the normalization Γ of the graph of $\psi : X \dashrightarrow Y$. Both $\phi : M \rightarrow Y$ and $\pi : M \rightarrow X$ factorize through Γ , equipped with the morphisms $\varphi : \Gamma \rightarrow Y$, $\mu : \Gamma \rightarrow X$, and $\gamma : M \rightarrow \Gamma$ in the following diagram:

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} & & M & & \\ & & \curvearrowright & \pi & \\ & & \searrow & \searrow & \\ & & \Gamma & \xrightarrow{\mu} & X \\ & \swarrow & \downarrow \varphi & \swarrow \psi & \\ & M & \searrow \gamma & \searrow & \\ & & Y & & \end{array}$$

The π -exceptional locus $\text{Exc}(\pi)$ may be dominant over Y ; however, the μ -exceptional locus $E_\Gamma := \text{Exc}(\mu)$ is not dominant over Y since $\psi : X \dashrightarrow Y$ is almost holomorphic. Note that $E_\Gamma = \text{Exc}(\mu)$ has pure codimension one by the \mathbb{Q} -factoriality of X .

Let $B \subset Y$ be the union of the non-locally free locus of \mathcal{V} and non-flat locus of $\varphi : \Gamma \rightarrow Y$. Note that B is of codimension ≥ 2 by the torsion-freeness of \mathcal{V} and the normality of Y . We first confirm the following claim:

Claim 3.6. *We have*

$$\pi^*\mathcal{E} = \phi^*\mathcal{V} \text{ on } M \setminus (\gamma^{-1}(E_\Gamma) \cup \phi^{-1}(B)).$$

Proof. The sheaf $\varphi^*\mathcal{V}$ is locally free on $\Gamma \setminus \varphi^{-1}(B)$; hence, we obtain

$$\gamma_*\gamma^*\varphi^*\mathcal{V} = \varphi^*\mathcal{V} \text{ on } \Gamma \setminus \varphi^{-1}(B)$$

by applying the projective formula to the algebraic fiber space $\gamma : M \setminus \phi^{-1}(B) \rightarrow \Gamma \setminus \varphi^{-1}(B)$. Then, we see that

$$\mu^*\pi_*\phi^*\mathcal{V} = \mu^*\mu_*\gamma_*\gamma^*\varphi^*\mathcal{V} = \mu^*\mu_*\varphi^*\mathcal{V} = \varphi^*\mathcal{V} \text{ on } \Gamma \setminus (E_\Gamma \cup \varphi^{-1}(B))$$

by using Diagram (3.5) and the isomorphism $\mu : \Gamma \setminus E_\Gamma \simeq X \setminus \mu(E_\Gamma)$. This implies that

$$\pi^*\mathcal{E} = \gamma^*\mu^*((\pi_*\phi^*\mathcal{V})^{**}) = \gamma^*((\mu^*\pi_*\phi^*\mathcal{V})^{**}) = \varphi^*\mathcal{V} \text{ on } M \setminus (\gamma^{-1}(E_\Gamma) \cup \phi^{-1}(B)).$$

Here, we used the definition of \mathcal{E} and the local freeness (reflexivity) of $\varphi^*\mathcal{V}$ on $\Gamma \setminus \varphi^{-1}(B)$. \square

Let us return to the proof of Proposition 3.5. By assumption, we can easily see that the pullback $\pi^*\mathcal{E}$ is a numerically flat locally free sheaf on M ; hence $\pi^*\mathcal{E}$ admits a unique flat connection ∇ by [Sim92, Corollary 3.10 and the discussion after] and [DPS94, Theorem 1.18]. By the definition of B and $\text{codim } B \geq 2$, it is sufficient to show that \mathcal{V} admits a flat connection on $Y_0 \setminus (B \cup C)$ for some Zariski closed set C of codimension ≥ 2 . For simplicity, we assume that $B = \emptyset$ by replacing Y with $Y \setminus B$. We will construct the desired flat connection of \mathcal{V} on $Y_0 \setminus C$ by the following strategy:

- (1) We demonstrate that the connection ∇ of $\pi^*\mathcal{E}$ descends to a flat connection of \mathcal{V} on a Zariski open set in Y , by using $\pi^*\mathcal{E} = \varphi^*\mathcal{V}$ over $Y \setminus \varphi(E_\Gamma)$.
- (2) We demonstrate that the flat connection of \mathcal{V} constructed in (1) can be extended on $Y_0 \setminus C$ for some Zariski closed set C of $\text{codim} \geq 2$.

(1) We first consider only a neighborhood of a given point in Y . Therefore, assuming that Y is a (sufficiently small) open ball, we take a local frame of \mathcal{V} on Y . This frame determines the frame of $\pi^*\mathcal{E}$ on $M \setminus \gamma^{-1}(E_\Gamma)$ by Claim 3.6 (recall that $B = \emptyset$). The flat connection ∇ of $\pi^*\mathcal{E}$ can be written as $\nabla = d + \Xi$. Here d is the exterior derivative and Ξ , which is the so-called connection form, is a $(1,0)$ -form valued in $\text{End}(\pi^*\mathcal{E})$. We regard Ξ as a matrix of $(1,0)$ -forms with respect to the fixed frame. By the flatness of ∇ , the matrix Ξ satisfies the equation

$$d\Xi + \Xi \wedge \Xi = 0.$$

The $(1,1)$ -part of the left-hand side is $\bar{\partial}\Xi$ (which is zero); hence Ξ can be considered as a matrix of holomorphic one-forms on $M \setminus \gamma^{-1}(E_\Gamma)$.

We will confirm that Ξ descends to a holomorphic $(1,0)$ -form Ξ' valued in $\text{End}(\mathcal{V})$ on Y_1 . Here Y_1 is defined by

$$Y_1 := \{y \in Y \setminus \varphi(E_\Gamma) \mid \text{the fiber } M_y := \phi^{-1}(y) \text{ is smooth and rationally connected.}\}$$

Since $\psi : X \dashrightarrow Y$ is an MRC fibration, the fiber $X_y := \psi^{-1}(y)$ of a general point $y \in Y$ is rationally connected, and so is the fiber M_y . This indicates that Y_1 is a non-empty Zariski open set in Y . It is possible that $\varphi(E) = Y$, but we have $\varphi(E_\Gamma) \subsetneq Y$, which is why we consider E_Γ rather than E . The fiber M_y at $y \in Y_1$ has no non-trivial holomorphic one-form. Hence, the components of Ξ , which are holomorphic one-forms, vanish along

the fiber M_y at $y \in Y_1$. Furthermore, we have $\text{End}(\pi^*\mathcal{E}) = \phi^*\text{End}(\mathcal{V})$ over $Y \setminus \varphi(E_\Gamma)$ by [Claim 3.6](#). Therefore, we can find a holomorphic section

$$\Xi' \in H^0(Y_1, \Omega_Y^1 \otimes \text{End}(\mathcal{V})) \text{ such that } \Xi = \phi^*\Xi'.$$

(2) We will prove that Ξ' can be extended to a section on $Y_0 \setminus C$. Let $P \subset Y_0$ be a divisorial component of $Y \setminus Y_1$. It is sufficient to extend Ξ' through a general point $y \in P$. The pullback ϕ^*P is not π -exceptional by the definition of Y_0 . Hence, we can find a reduced component P_0 of ϕ^*P by property (e) in [Proposition 3.3](#). Note that $P_0 \not\subset \gamma^{-1}(E_\Gamma)$ since $\gamma^{-1}(E_\Gamma)$ is π -exceptional. Furthermore, the induced morphism $\phi : P_0 \rightarrow P$ is surjective since $\phi : M \rightarrow Y$ is flat over Y_0 (recall that $P \subset Y_0$). Therefore, for a general point $y \in P$, we can find a point $x \in P_0 \cap M_y$ such that

$$x \notin \gamma^{-1}(E_\Gamma) \text{ and } x \text{ is a smooth point of } \phi.$$

The first property shows that all the components of the matrix $\Xi = \phi^*\Xi'$ are bounded on a neighborhood of x , since Ξ is defined not only on $\phi^{-1}(Y_1)$ but also on $M \setminus \gamma^{-1}(E_\Gamma)$. The second property yields a local section of $\phi : M \rightarrow Y$ from y to x . By pulling back $\Xi = \phi^*\Xi'$ by this local section, we see that all the components of the matrix Ξ' are also bounded on a neighborhood of y . Therefore, the section Ξ' can be extended through a general point $y \in P$ by the Riemann extension theorem.

The extended connection of \mathcal{V} (defined locally on $Y_0 \setminus C$) satisfies the gluing condition as a connection and is flat from the flatness of ∇ . Hence, the glued connection determines the flat connection of \mathcal{V} on $Y_0 \setminus C$. \square

Remark 3.7. The key point in this proof is that a general fiber of $\phi : M \rightarrow Y$ is rationally connected (it has no holomorphic one-form). Furthermore, we implicitly used the fact that $\phi : M \rightarrow Y$ has connected fibers. We can not expect these properties for the new morphism $\bar{M} \rightarrow \bar{Y}$ induced by a quasi-étale cover $\nu : \bar{X} \rightarrow X$ (constructed in [Subsection 3.5](#)), which causes some troubles.

3.4. Positivity of singular Hermitian metrics on direct image sheaves. In this subsection, we prove [Proposition 3.8](#) by applying [Lemma 2.10](#), [Theorem 2.16](#), and positivity of direct image sheaves. When X is smooth, we can show that \mathcal{E} is locally free and numerically flat on X (see [[CCM19](#), Proposition 2.7] or [[HIM19](#), Theorem 1.2]). However, when X is singular, we need to take a quasi-étale cover to obtain the same conclusion for the pullback of \mathcal{E} .

Proposition 3.8. *For every $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, we have the following:*

- (1) \mathcal{E}_m satisfies $c_1(\mathcal{E}_m) = 0$.
- (2) \mathcal{E}_m is weakly positively curved on X .

Moreover, there exists a finite quasi-étale Galois cover $\nu : \bar{X} \rightarrow X$ with a normal \bar{X} such that $(\nu^\mathcal{E}_m)^{**}$ is a numerically flat locally free sheaf on \bar{X} for every $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$.*

Proof. We first deduce the “moreover” part from (1) and (2). It suffices to construct a finite quasi-étale Galois cover $\nu : \bar{X} \rightarrow X$ such that $(\nu^*\mathcal{E}_m)^{**}$ is locally free for every $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. Indeed, assuming (1) and (2), the numerical flatness of $(\nu^*\mathcal{E}_m)^{**}$ automatically follows from the local freeness (see the proof of [Theorem 2.16\(2\)](#)). Since (X, Δ) is a \mathbb{Q} -factorial terminal pair, the variety X has terminal singularities; hence X is smooth in codimension two. This

Remark 3.9. Hereafter, the dependence of \mathcal{E}_m and \mathcal{V}_m on m will become insignificant, and thus, we omit the subscript m in the rest of this section. We emphasize that the \mathbb{Q} -factoriality of X is used only for the proof of (1) and (2).

We will deduce (1) and (2) by [Wan20b, Proposition 2.8, Lemma 2.9], Lemma 2.10, and Theorem 2.16. Note that the assumption of [Wan20b, Proposition 2.8, Lemma 2.9] is satisfied from the \mathbb{Q} -factoriality of X .

We prove conclusion (1). We obtain that $c_1(\pi_*\phi^*\mathcal{V}) = 0$ from [Wan20b, Proposition 2.8] (or [CCM19, Proposition 3.9]). Strictly speaking, for a sufficiently large $c \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, we obtain $c_1(\pi_*\phi^*\mathcal{V}) = 0$ (recall that c is an integer appearing in the definition of \mathcal{V}). The sheaf $\phi^*\mathcal{V}$ is reflexive on $M_0 = \phi^{-1}(Y_0)$ since $\phi : M \rightarrow Y$ is flat over Y_0 by [Har80, Proposition 1.8]. Furthermore, $\pi(M \setminus M_0)$ is of codimension ≥ 2 by property (c) of Proposition 3.3. Hence, we obtain

$$\pi_*\phi^*\mathcal{V} = (\pi_*\phi^*\mathcal{V})^{**} = \mathcal{E} \text{ on } X \setminus \pi(\text{Exc}(\pi))$$

from the isomorphism $\pi : M \setminus \text{Exc}(\pi) \simeq X \setminus \pi(\text{Exc}(\pi))$. Then, we see that $0 = c_1(\pi_*\phi^*\mathcal{V}) = c_1(\mathcal{E})$ by $\text{codim } \pi(E) \geq 2$.

Finally, we prove conclusion (2). By [Wan20b, Lemma 2.9], the sheaf \mathcal{V} is weakly positively curved on Y_0 : For any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a singular Hermitian metric b_ε on $\mathcal{V}|_{Y_0}$ such that

$$\sqrt{-1}\theta_{b_\varepsilon} \succeq -\varepsilon\omega_Y \otimes \text{id} \text{ on } Y_0$$

for some Kähler form ω_Y on Y . The key point here is that ω_Y in the above inequality is a Kähler form on Y (not only on Y_0). Then, we can deduce that $\phi^*\mathcal{V}$ is weakly positively curved on $M \setminus E$:

$$(3.6) \quad \sqrt{-1}\theta_{g_\varepsilon} \succeq -\varepsilon\omega_M \otimes \text{id} \text{ on } M \setminus E,$$

where ω_M is a fixed Kähler form on M . Indeed, the induced morphism $\phi : M_0 = \phi^{-1}(Y_0) \rightarrow Y_0$ satisfies the assumptions of Lemma 2.8 (see also Remark 2.9). By applying Lemma 2.8 to \mathcal{V} (which is weakly positively curved on Y_0), we see that $\phi^*\mathcal{V}$ is weakly positively curved on M_0 . Here, we used the fact that $\phi^*\omega_Y \leq k\omega_M$ holds on M for a sufficiently large k . Any $\varepsilon\omega_M$ -psh functions on the complement of a Zariski closed set of codimension ≥ 2 can be automatically extended to the ambient variety by [GR56, Satz 3, p. 181]; hence, we obtain (3.6) since the divisorial components of $M \setminus M_0$ is contained in $\text{Exc}(\pi)$ by property (c) of Proposition 3.3. Hence, by (3.6) and the proof of Lemma 2.10, we can conclude that $\pi_*\phi^*\mathcal{V}$ (and thus \mathcal{E}) is weakly positively curved on $X \setminus \pi(\text{Exc}(\pi))$. We obtain conclusion (2) from $\text{codim } \pi(\text{Exc}(\pi)) \geq 2$. \square

3.5. Fibrations induced by quasi-étale Galois covers. We fix a finite quasi-étale Galois cover $\nu : \bar{X} \rightarrow X$ obtained from Proposition 3.8. In the following sections, we will compare the original variety X to the quasi-étale cover \bar{X} . Note that $(\bar{X}, \bar{\Delta})$ is not necessarily \mathbb{Q} -factorial, but a terminal pair with nef anti-log canonical divisor, where $\bar{\Delta} := \nu^*\Delta$. In this subsection, we confirm that $\psi : X \dashrightarrow Y$ naturally induces an almost holomorphic map $\bar{\psi} : \bar{X} \dashrightarrow \bar{Y}$, and we then investigate whether $\bar{\psi} : \bar{X} \dashrightarrow \bar{Y}$ is an MRC fibration.

We obtain Diagram 3.7 and $\bar{\psi} : \bar{X} \dashrightarrow \bar{Y}$ through the following procedures:

- (0) Recall that we have Diagram 3.1 and the quasi-étale Galois cover $\nu : \bar{X} \rightarrow X$.

- (1) Let Q be the normalization of the fiber product $M \times_X \bar{X}$ with corresponding morphisms $a : Q \rightarrow \bar{X}$ and $b : Q \rightarrow M$.
- (2) Let $Q \rightarrow Y' \rightarrow Y$ be the Stein factorization of the composition morphism $\phi \circ b : Q \rightarrow Y$ with a finite morphism $\alpha : Y' \rightarrow Y$ from a normal variety Y' .
- (3) Let $\beta : \bar{Y} \rightarrow Y'$ be a strong resolution of singularities of Y' .
- (4) Let $\bar{M} \rightarrow \bar{Q}$ be a strong resolution of singularities of \bar{Q} , equipped with a morphism $\bar{\phi} : \bar{M} \rightarrow \bar{Y}$ and a birational morphism $\bar{\pi} : \bar{M} \rightarrow \bar{X}$, where \bar{Q} is the normalization of the main component of the fiber product $Q \times_{Y'} \bar{Y}$.
- (5) Let $\bar{\psi} : \bar{X} \dashrightarrow \bar{Y}$ be an almost holomorphic map such that $\bar{\phi} : \bar{M} \rightarrow \bar{Y}$ is an elimination of its indeterminacies.

(3.7)

The diagram (3.7) is a commutative diagram with the following nodes and morphisms:

- Top row: \bar{M} (left), \bar{X} (right). Morphism: $\bar{\pi} : \bar{M} \rightarrow \bar{X}$.
- Second row: \bar{Q} (left), Q (middle), \bar{X} (right). Morphisms: $\bar{\phi} : \bar{M} \rightarrow \bar{Q}$, $\tau : \bar{M} \rightarrow Q$, $a : Q \rightarrow \bar{X}$.
- Third row: \bar{Y} (left), M (middle), X (right). Morphisms: $\beta : \bar{Y} \rightarrow M$, $b : Q \rightarrow M$, $\nu : \bar{X} \rightarrow X$.
- Bottom row: \bar{Y} (left), Y' (middle), Y (right). Morphisms: $\alpha : Y' \rightarrow Y$, $\phi : M \rightarrow Y$, $\psi : X \dashrightarrow Y$.
- Other connections: $\sigma : \bar{Y} \rightarrow Y$ (curved arrow at the bottom), $\pi : M \rightarrow X$ (horizontal arrow).

Before considering $\bar{\psi} : \bar{X} \dashrightarrow \bar{Y}$, we investigate how the properties of $\nu : \bar{X} \rightarrow X$ affect $\alpha : Y' \rightarrow Y$ by the lemmas below. The latter lemma plays a crucial role in the proof.

Lemma 3.10. $\alpha : Y' \rightarrow Y$ is a Galois cover.

Proof. The argument is standard, but we give the details for the convenience of the readers. It suffices to show that $\mathbb{C}(Y')$ is a Galois extension of $\mathbb{C}(Y)$ (see Remark 2.12). From the connectivity of the fibers, we see that $\mathbb{C}(Y')$ (resp. $\mathbb{C}(Y)$) is algebraically closed in $\mathbb{C}(\bar{X}) = \mathbb{C}(\bar{M})$ (resp. $\mathbb{C}(X) = \mathbb{C}(M)$). Let $P \in \mathbb{C}(Y)[T]$ be a polynomial with a root in $\mathbb{C}(\bar{Y})$. Considered as a polynomial in $\mathbb{C}(\bar{X})[T]$, the polynomial P splits in $\mathbb{C}(\bar{X})$ since $\nu : \bar{X} \rightarrow X$ is Galois. The field $\mathbb{C}(Y')$ is an algebraically closed subfield in $\mathbb{C}(\bar{X})$ containing $\mathbb{C}(Y)$; thus, the polynomial P must split in $\mathbb{C}(Y')$ (i.e., $\mathbb{C}(Y')$ is a Galois extension of $\mathbb{C}(Y)$). \square

Lemma 3.11. Let R be the branch locus of $\alpha : Y' \rightarrow Y$ (i.e., the minimal Zariski closed subset $R \subset Y$ such that $\alpha : Y' \rightarrow Y$ is étale over $Y \setminus R$). Then, both $\bar{\pi}(\bar{\phi}^{-1}(\sigma^{-1}(R)))$ and $\pi(\phi^{-1}(R))$ are of codimension ≥ 2 .

Proof. Since $\nu : \bar{X} \rightarrow X$ is finite, we have $\text{codim } \bar{\pi}(\bar{\phi}^{-1}(\sigma^{-1}(R))) = \text{codim } \pi(\phi^{-1}(R))$; hence, it suffices to consider only $\pi(\phi^{-1}(R))$. The Zariski closed set $\pi(\phi^{-1}(Y \setminus Y_0))$ is of codimension ≥ 2 by property (c) in Proposition 3.3. Furthermore, the morphism $\phi : M \rightarrow Y$ is flat over Y_0 ; hence, if a Zariski closed set $Z \subset Y_0$ satisfies $\text{codim } Z \geq 2$, then we have $\text{codim } \phi^{-1}(Z) \geq 2$ (in particular, $\text{codim } \pi(\phi^{-1}(Z)) \geq 2$). By these observations,

for the proof of the lemma, we may remove $Y \setminus Y_0$ and $Z \subset Y_0$ of $\text{codim } Z \geq 2$ from Y . Hence, by removing $Y \setminus Y_0$, R_{sing} , and $\alpha(Y'_{\text{sing}})$ and by purity of branch locus, we may assume that R is a smooth hypersurface on Y_0 and that $\beta : \bar{Y} \rightarrow Y'$ is an isomorphism. Our situation can be summarized by the following diagram:

(3.8)

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \bar{M} & \xrightarrow{\bar{\pi}} & \bar{X} \\
 \tau \downarrow & & \nu \downarrow \\
 M & \xrightarrow{\pi} & X \\
 \phi \searrow & & \swarrow \psi \\
 \bar{Y} \simeq Y' & \xrightarrow{\sigma=\alpha} & Y.
 \end{array}$$

Let $\alpha^*R = \sum_{i=1}^m r_i R_i$ be the irreducible decomposition. Now $\alpha : \bar{Y} \rightarrow Y$ is a Galois cover by [Lemma 3.11](#); hence the ramification index r_i is actually independent of i , which we denote by r . Let us consider the irreducible decomposition $\phi^*R = \sum_i c_i P_i$. If all the components P_i are non-reduced, then ϕ^*R is π -exceptional by property (e) in [Proposition 3.3](#), which finishes the proof. Therefore, we may assume that there exists a reduced component, which we denote by P_1 . By choice of P_1 , the morphism $\phi : M \rightarrow Y$ is smooth at a general point $x \in P_1$. On the other hand, the branch locus R' of $\nu : \bar{X} \rightarrow X$ is of codimension ≥ 2 ; if P_1 is contained in $\text{Exc}(\pi) \cup \pi^{-1}(R')$, then $\text{codim } \pi(P_1) \geq 2$; hence we can assume that P_1 is not contained in either $\text{Exc}(\pi)$ or $\pi^{-1}(R')$. This indicates that τ is étale at a general point $x \in P_1$.

Let y be a general point in R . The induced morphism $\phi : P_1 \rightarrow R$ is surjective since $\phi : M \rightarrow Y$ is flat over Y_0 ; hence a general point $x \in M_y \cap P_1$ is also a general point in P_1 . Together with the above argument, we can conclude that a general point $x \in M_y \cap P_1$ satisfies that

$$(3.9) \quad \tau \text{ is étale at every point of } \tau^{-1}(x) \text{ and } \phi \text{ is smooth at } x.$$

Here M_y is the (set-theoretic) fiber of $\phi : M \rightarrow Y$ at y .

Let \bar{y}_i be a (general) point in R_i such that $\{\bar{y}_i\}_{i=1}^m := \alpha^{-1}(y)$. By [Diagram \(3.8\)](#), the fiber \bar{M}_y can be written as the disjoint union

$$\bar{M}_y = \coprod_{i=1}^m \bar{M}_{\bar{y}_i},$$

where $\bar{M}_{\bar{y}_i}$ (resp. \bar{M}_y) is the (set-theoretic) fiber of $\bar{\phi} : \bar{M} \rightarrow \bar{Y}$ at \bar{y}_i (resp. of $\alpha \circ \bar{\phi} : \bar{M} \rightarrow Y$ at y). Then, there exists $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ such that $\tau(\bar{M}_{\bar{y}_i}) \supset P_1 \cap M_y$. Hence, we can find a point $\bar{x}_i \in \bar{M}_{\bar{y}_i}$ such that $x := \tau(\bar{x}_i)$ satisfies property [\(3.9\)](#). By $\phi \circ \tau = \alpha \circ \bar{\phi}$, the differential map

$$T_{\bar{x}_i} \bar{M} \xrightarrow{d\bar{\phi}_*} T_{\bar{y}_i} \bar{Y} \xrightarrow{d\alpha_*} T_y Y$$

coincides with $d\phi_* \circ d\tau_*$, which is surjective at \bar{x}_i by property [\(3.9\)](#). Therefore, we see that $\alpha : Y' \rightarrow Y$ is a smooth morphism at \bar{y}_i from [Diagram \(3.8\)](#), which indicates that $r = r_i = 1$. This means that $\alpha : Y' \rightarrow Y$ is étale at a general point y in R . \square

We will prove [Theorem 3.2](#) by the induction on the fiber dimension of MRC fibrations. When the fiber dimension of $\psi : X \dashrightarrow Y$ is zero, the MRC fibration of X can be selected as the identity map of X . Then, the conclusion of [Theorem 3.2](#) (and also [Theorem 1.1](#)) is obvious.

The induced morphism $\bar{\psi} : \bar{X} \dashrightarrow \bar{Y}$ is an almost holomorphic map, but not necessarily an RC fibration (see [Remark 3.13](#)). In this section, we confirm that the induction hypothesis proves [Theorem 3.2](#) when $\bar{\psi} : \bar{X} \dashrightarrow \bar{Y}$ is not an RC fibration.

Proposition 3.12. (1) *If $\bar{\psi} : \bar{X} \dashrightarrow \bar{Y}$ is not an RC fibration, then the fiber dimension of the MRC fibration $\bar{X} \dashrightarrow Z$ of \bar{X} is strictly less than that of the MRC fibration $\psi : X \dashrightarrow Y$ of X .*

(2) *If $\bar{\psi} : \bar{X} \dashrightarrow \bar{Y}$ is an RC fibration, then it is automatically an MRC fibration.*

Proof. (1) Let p be a general point of \bar{X} . The base variety Y is not uniruled; thus \bar{Y} is not uniruled either. Hence, the image of rational curves passing through $p \in \bar{X}$ by $\bar{\psi}$ should be the singleton $\psi(p)$. Let F be the fiber of the MRC fibration $\bar{X} \dashrightarrow Z$ of \bar{X} passing through $p \in \bar{X}$. Then, the image $\bar{\psi}(F)$ is the singleton $\psi(p)$ since F is rationally connected. The fiber F is thus properly contained in the fiber of $\bar{\psi} : \bar{X} \dashrightarrow \bar{Y}$ at $\psi(p)$ since a general fiber of $\bar{\psi}$ is not rationally connected by assumption.

(2) Since the base variety Y of MRC fibrations is not uniruled, the variety \bar{Y} is not uniruled either, which leads to the conclusion of (2). \square

Remark 3.13. The almost holomorphic map $\bar{\psi} : \bar{X} \dashrightarrow \bar{Y}$ is not necessarily an RC fibration. For example, when the fibers of $\psi : X \dashrightarrow Y$ are rationally connected Q -abelian varieties (see [Example 3.14](#)), the fibers of $\bar{X} \dashrightarrow \bar{Y}$ may be abelian varieties (which are not rationally connected). Such a phenomenon never occurs in the case of a smooth X .

Example 3.14. There exists a rationally connected Q -abelian variety X , i.e., X is the base of an abelian variety A by a finite quasi-étale cover $p : A \rightarrow X$. It seems that such examples first appeared in [[Uen75](#), Example 16.15, p. 207]; these examples were revisited and generalized in [[Cam10](#)], where their rational connectedness was confirmed. See also [[KL09](#), §2, Corollary 24, p. 193] (c.f. [[COT14](#), [COV15](#)], [[MZ18](#), Example 7.3] and references therein). Such a variety X has (at worst) klt singularities from [[KM98](#), Proposition 5.20, p.160]. Furthermore, the canonical divisor K_X is Q -linearly trivial by $p^*K_X \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} K_A = \mathcal{O}_A$. Nevertheless, the variety X is uniruled (more strongly, rationally connected). Due to such examples, one needs a more involved argument for the proof of [Theorem 3.2](#).

When $\bar{\psi} : \bar{X} \dashrightarrow \bar{Y}$ is not an RC fibration, we can obtain the conclusion of [Theorem 3.2](#) from [Proposition 3.12\(1\)](#) and the induction hypothesis. Indeed, the fiber dimension of MRC fibrations of \bar{X}^{qf} is strictly less than that of $\psi : X \dashrightarrow Y$, where $\bar{X}^{\text{qf}} \rightarrow \bar{X}$ is a Q -factorization. (Note that \bar{X} may not be Q -factorial even if we start from Q -factorial X ; therefore, we need to take Q -factorizations). Hence, by using the induction hypothesis to \bar{X}^{qf} , we obtain the conclusion of [Theorem 3.2](#). Based on the above argument and [Proposition 3.12\(2\)](#), we can assume that

$$(3.10) \quad \bar{\psi} : \bar{X} \dashrightarrow \bar{Y} \text{ is an MRC fibration}$$

for the proof of [Theorem 3.2](#).

3.6. Comparison of associated direct images sheaves. In this subsection, under Assumption (3.10), we investigate the direct image sheaf $\bar{\mathcal{V}}$ defined for the MRC fibration $\bar{X} \dashrightarrow \bar{Y}$ in the same setting employed for Setting 3.1. The purpose of this subsection is to compare \mathcal{V} and $\bar{\mathcal{V}}$ under an ‘additional assumption.’

Keep the same notations as in Subsection 3.5. We first explain a precise definition of $\bar{\mathcal{V}}$. Recall that A is an ample line bundle on X , and E is an exceptional divisor of $\pi : M \rightarrow X$. We define \bar{A} and \bar{E} by

$$\bar{A} := \nu^* A \text{ and } \bar{E} := \tau^* E.$$

The line bundle \bar{A} is still ample since ν is finite. Furthermore, we can show that $\text{Supp } \bar{E} = \text{Exc}(\bar{\pi})$. Indeed, since $\nu : \bar{X} \rightarrow X$ is finite, we easily see that $\text{Supp } \bar{E} \subset \text{Exc}(\bar{\pi})$ and $\text{Exc}(a) = b^{-1}(\text{Supp } E)$. Furthermore, $\text{Exc}(\bar{\pi})$ is the union of the inverse image of $\text{Exc}(a)$ to \bar{M} and the exceptional divisor of $\bar{M} \rightarrow Q$ (which we denote by F). Hence, it suffices to show that $F \subset \text{Exc}(\bar{\pi})$. Since $F \subset \bar{\phi}^{-1}(\beta^{-1}(Y'_{\text{sing}}))$ and $Y'_{\text{sing}} \subset \alpha^{-1}(R)$, we have $F \subset \tau^{-1}(\phi^{-1}(R)) \subset \tau^{-1}(E)$ by Lemma 3.11; hence $F \subset \text{Supp } \bar{E}$.

Now \bar{A} and \bar{E} play the same role as A and E in Setting 3.1 for the MRC fibration $\bar{\psi} : \bar{X} \dashrightarrow \bar{Y}$. The direct image sheaf $\bar{\mathcal{V}}$ on \bar{Y} is defined by

$$\bar{\mathcal{V}} := \bar{\phi}_* \left(\mathcal{O}_{\bar{M}}(m(\bar{\pi}^* \bar{A} + c\bar{E})) - \frac{m}{r} \bar{\phi}^* \det \bar{\phi}_* \mathcal{O}_{\bar{M}}(\bar{A} + c\bar{E}) \right).$$

Let us consider the fiber product $P := \bar{Y} \times_Y M$ with corresponding morphisms $q : P \rightarrow M$, $p : P \rightarrow \bar{Y}$, and $r : \bar{M} \rightarrow P$ in the following diagram:

$$(3.11) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \bar{M} & \xrightarrow{\tau} & M \\ \downarrow r & & \downarrow q \\ P = \bar{Y} \times_Y M & \xrightarrow{q} & M \\ \downarrow p & & \downarrow \phi \\ \bar{Y} & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & Y \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{l} \searrow \tau \\ \downarrow \bar{\phi} \end{array}$$

Note that $r : \bar{M} \rightarrow P$ is not always an algebraic fiber space even if P is replaced with its normalization. This fact causes an essential problem in comparing \mathcal{V} with $\bar{\mathcal{V}}$, which will be resolved in Subsection 3.7.

The purpose of this subsection is to prove Proposition 3.15 and Proposition 3.16, which enable us to compare \mathcal{V} with $\bar{\mathcal{V}}$ under an additional assumption of the fiber connectedness of $r : \bar{M} \rightarrow P$.

Proposition 3.15. *Under Assumption (3.10), we further assume the following condition:*

$$(3.12) \quad r : \bar{M} \rightarrow P \text{ is an algebraic fiber space over the normal locus of } P.$$

Then, the natural morphism

$$\bar{\pi}_* \tau^* \phi^* \mathcal{V} = \bar{\pi}_* \bar{\phi}^* \sigma^* \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \bar{\pi}_* \bar{\phi}^* \bar{\mathcal{V}}$$

is an isomorphism in codimension one on \bar{X} .

Proof. Let R be the branch locus of $\alpha : Y' \rightarrow Y$. Note that $\sigma : \bar{Y} \rightarrow Y$ is étale over $Y \setminus R$ since $\beta : \bar{Y} \rightarrow Y'$ is a strong resolution. The non-normal locus of $P = \bar{Y} \times_Y M$ is contained in $p^{-1}(\sigma^{-1}(R))$; hence $r : \bar{M} \rightarrow P$ is an algebraic fiber space over $P \setminus p^{-1}(\sigma^{-1}(R))$ by assumption. By applying [Proposition 2.18](#) to $\pi^*A + cE$, we see that the natural morphism

$$(3.13) \quad \sigma^* \phi_* \mathcal{O}_M(\pi^*A + cE) \rightarrow \bar{\phi}_* \tau^* \mathcal{O}_M(\pi^*A + cE) = \bar{\phi}_* \mathcal{O}_{\bar{M}}(\bar{\pi}^* \bar{A} + c\bar{E})$$

and the induced morphism

$$\sigma^* \det \phi_* \mathcal{O}_M(\pi^*A + cE) \rightarrow \det \bar{\phi}_* \mathcal{O}_{\bar{M}}(\bar{\pi}^* \bar{A} + c\bar{E})$$

are isomorphic on $\bar{Y} \setminus \sigma^{-1}(R)$. From the definition of \mathcal{V} and $\bar{\mathcal{V}}$, we can conclude that the morphism

$$(3.14) \quad \begin{aligned} \sigma^* \mathcal{V} &= \sigma^* \left(\phi_* \mathcal{O}_M(m(\pi^*A + cE)) - \frac{m}{r} \det \phi_* \mathcal{O}_M(\pi^*A + cE) \right) \\ &\rightarrow \bar{\phi}_* \left(\mathcal{O}_{\bar{M}}(m(\bar{\pi}^* \bar{A} + c\bar{E})) - \frac{m}{r} \det \bar{\phi}_* \mathcal{O}_{\bar{M}}(\bar{A} + c\bar{E}) \right) = \bar{\mathcal{V}} \end{aligned}$$

is isomorphic on $\bar{Y} \setminus \sigma^{-1}(R)$. Then, by [Lemma 3.11](#), the induced morphism

$$(3.15) \quad \bar{\pi}_* \tau^* \phi^* \mathcal{V} = \bar{\pi}_* \bar{\phi}^* \sigma^* \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \bar{\pi}_* \bar{\phi}^* \bar{\mathcal{V}}$$

is isomorphic in codimension one on \bar{X} . \square

Proposition 3.16. *Under assumptions (3.10) and (3.12), the reflexive hull $(\bar{\pi}_* \bar{\phi}^* \bar{\mathcal{V}})^{**}$ is a numerically flat locally free sheaf on \bar{X} .*

Proof. We consider the following diagram:

$$(3.16) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} \bar{M} & & \xrightarrow{\bar{\pi}} & & \bar{X} \\ & \searrow c & & \xrightarrow{a} & \\ & & Q & & \\ & & \downarrow b & & \downarrow \nu \\ & & M & \xrightarrow{\pi} & X \end{array}$$

Let us consider the composition

$$\nu^* \bar{\pi}_* \bar{\phi}^* \bar{\mathcal{V}} \rightarrow \bar{\pi}_* \tau^* \phi^* \mathcal{V} = \bar{\pi}_* \bar{\phi}^* \sigma^* \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \bar{\pi}_* \bar{\phi}^* \bar{\mathcal{V}}$$

of the natural morphisms induced by Diagrams (3.11) and (3.16). By [Proposition 3.15](#), the latter morphism is an isomorphism in codimension one. We prove that the former one is also isomorphic in codimension one. The pullback $\phi^* \mathcal{V}$ is locally free on $X \setminus \phi^{-1}(B)$, where B is the non-locally free locus of \mathcal{V} . Then, by $\text{codim } B \geq 2$, we obtain $\text{codim } \pi(\phi^{-1}(B)) \geq 2$, since $\phi : M \rightarrow Y$ is flat over Y_0 and $\pi(\phi^{-1}(Y \setminus Y_0))$ is of codimension ≥ 2 . Hence, since $\nu : \bar{X} \rightarrow X$ is finite, the pullback $\nu^* \phi^* \mathcal{V}$ is locally free on a Zariski open set in \bar{X} whose complement is of codimension ≥ 2 . On the other hand, the branch locus of $\nu : \bar{X} \rightarrow X$ is contained in X_{sing} by purity of branch locus, and the image of the non-normal locus of $M \times_X \bar{X}$ is contained in $\nu^{-1}(X_{\text{sing}})$, which is of codimension ≥ 3 . Hence, by applying [Proposition 2.18](#) to $\phi^* \mathcal{V}$ and Diagram (3.16) (noting that Q and $M \times_X \bar{X}$ are isomorphic

on the normal locus of $M \times_X \bar{X}$, we find that the first morphism is an isomorphism in codimension one.

By considering the reflexive hull, we obtain the isomorphism $(\nu^* \pi_* \phi^* \mathcal{V})^{**} \simeq (\bar{\pi}_* \bar{\phi}^* \bar{\mathcal{V}})^{**}$ by reflexivity. On the other hand, the natural morphism $\nu^* \pi_* \phi^* \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \nu^* ((\pi_* \phi^* \mathcal{V})^{**}) = \nu^* \mathcal{E}$ is isomorphic in codimension one, since $\nu : \bar{X} \rightarrow X$ is quasi-étale. By taking the reflexive hull, we obtain $(\nu^* \pi_* \phi^* \mathcal{V})^{**} \simeq (\nu^* \mathcal{E})^{**}$. By the construction of ν (see [Theorem 3.8](#)), the right-hand side $\nu^* \mathcal{E}$ is locally free and numerically flat, and so is $(\bar{\pi}_* \bar{\phi}^* \bar{\mathcal{V}})^{**}$. \square

The variety \bar{X} may not be \mathbb{Q} -factorial, but we do not use the \mathbb{Q} -factoriality in the proof of [Proposition 3.5](#). Therefore, under Assumptions [\(3.10\)](#) and [\(3.12\)](#), we obtain property [\(*\)](#) of [Theorem 3.2](#) for \bar{X} .

3.7. Proof of the flatness of direct image sheaves. In this subsection, we address the remaining case where Assumption [\(3.12\)](#) is not satisfied. First, let us prove the following auxiliary result:

Proposition 3.17. *Let y be a general point in Y and $\bar{y} \in \sigma^{-1}(y)$. If Assumption [\(3.12\)](#) is not satisfied (i.e., $r : \bar{M} \rightarrow P$ is not an algebraic fiber space over the normal locus of P), the induced morphism*

$$\nu : \bar{X}_{\bar{y}} \rightarrow X_y$$

is finite quasi-étale and non-isomorphic. Here X_y and $\bar{X}_{\bar{y}}$ are the fibers of $\psi : X \dashrightarrow Y$ and $\bar{\psi} : \bar{X} \dashrightarrow \bar{Y}$ at y and \bar{y} respectively.

Proof. Let y be a general point in Y . Note that $\sigma : \bar{Y} \rightarrow Y$ is an étale cover over y and $\psi : X \dashrightarrow Y$ is defined as a holomorphic map over y . The morphism $\nu : \bar{X} \rightarrow X$ is a finite quasi-étale cover; so is the induced morphism $\nu : \bar{X}_{\bar{y}} \rightarrow X_y$. Hence, it is sufficient to show that $\nu : \bar{X}_{\bar{y}} \rightarrow X_y$ is non-isomorphic.

Set $d := \deg \alpha$ and $\sigma^{-1}(y) =: \{\bar{y}_i\}_{i=1}^d$. Then \bar{X}_y can be written as the disjoint union:

$$\bar{X}_y = \coprod_{i=1,2,\dots,d} \bar{X}_{\bar{y}_i},$$

where $\bar{X}_{\bar{y}_i}$ is the fiber of $\psi \circ \nu : \bar{X} \dashrightarrow Y$ at y . Let us consider [Diagram \(3.11\)](#) over one point $\{y\}$. Then, we obtain the following diagram:

$$(3.17) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} & & \bar{\pi} & & \\ & & \curvearrowright & & \\ \bar{M}_y = \coprod_i \bar{M}_{\bar{y}_i} & & & & \bar{X}_y = \coprod_i \bar{X}_{\bar{y}_i} \\ & \searrow \tau & & & \downarrow \nu \\ & & P_y = \coprod_i M_{\bar{y}_i} & \xrightarrow{q} & M_y & \xrightarrow{\pi} & X_y \\ & \searrow r & \downarrow p & & \downarrow \phi & \swarrow \psi & \\ & & \{\bar{y}_i\}_{i=1}^d & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & \{y\}. & & \end{array}$$

The fibers P_y and \bar{M}_y are the disjoint union $\coprod_i M_{\bar{y}_i}$ and $\coprod_i \bar{M}_{\bar{y}_i}$ respectively. Furthermore, the induced morphisms $\bar{\pi} : \bar{M}_{\bar{y}_i} \rightarrow \bar{X}_{\bar{y}_i}$ and $M_{\bar{y}_i} \xrightarrow{q} M_y \xrightarrow{\pi} X_y$ are birational. Hence, if $\nu : \bar{X}_{\bar{y}_i} \rightarrow X_y$ is isomorphic, then $r : \bar{M}_{\bar{y}_i} \rightarrow M_{\bar{y}_i}$ has connected fibers. Then, we see that

$r : \bar{M} \rightarrow P$ has connected fibers over the normal locus of P from the following fact: in general, a surjective morphism $S \rightarrow T$ over U has connected fibers over the normal locus T if and only if the induced morphism $S_u \rightarrow T_u$ has connected fibers for a general point $u \in U$ (see [Uen75, Corollary 1.12, p. 11]). \square

We finally complete the proof of [Theorem 3.2](#). Let us review the arguments in the previous subsections, denoted as Argument (\diamond) .

[Argument (\diamond)] Starting from the original variety $X_0 := X$ equipped with a base $Y_0 := Y$ of its MRC fibration as in [Setting 3.1](#), we take a finite quasi-étale Galois cover

$$\nu_1 := \nu : X_1 := \bar{X} \rightarrow X_0 = X,$$

by [Proposition 3.8](#). Let us consider the following conditions corresponding to Assumptions [\(3.10\)](#) and [\(3.12\)](#):

- (A) The induced almost holomorphic map $\bar{\psi} : \bar{X} \dashrightarrow \bar{Y}$ is an MRC fibration.
- (B) The induced morphism $r : \bar{M} \rightarrow P$ is not an algebraic fiber space over the non-normal locus of P .

If condition (A) is not satisfied, [Theorem 3.2](#) is confirmed by the induction hypothesis on the fiber dimension, as discussed in [Subsection 3.6](#). If condition (A) is satisfied and if condition (B) is not satisfied, then $X_1 = \bar{X}$ satisfies property $(*)$ of [Theorem 3.2](#) by [Propositions 3.5](#) and [Proposition 3.16](#), as discussed in [Subsection 3.6](#). Hence, we may assume that both conditions (A) and (B) are satisfied.

Let Y_1 be the base of the MRC fibration of X_1 constructed in [Subsection 3.5](#) with a generically finite morphism

$$(3.18) \quad \sigma_1 : Y_1 := \bar{Y} \longrightarrow Y_0 = Y.$$

Let y_0 be a general point in Y_0 and $y_1 \in \sigma_1^{-1}(y_0)$. Then, by [Proposition 3.17](#), the induced morphism $\nu_1 : X_{1,y_1} \rightarrow X_{0,y_0}$ is quasi-étale and non-isomorphic, where X_{1,y_1} and X_{0,y_0} are the fibers of $\psi : X_0 = X \dashrightarrow Y_0 = Y$ and $\bar{\psi} : X_1 = \bar{X} \dashrightarrow Y_1 = \bar{Y}$ respectively.

The strategy of the proof is to repeat Argument (\diamond) for a \mathbb{Q} -factorization of X_1 and to then show that this process actually terminates after a finite number of processes.

Next, we aim to construct a quasi-étale cover $\nu_2 : X_2 \rightarrow X_1$ such that $\nu_2 : X_{2,y_2} \rightarrow X_{1,y_1}$ is non-isomorphic and $\nu_2 \circ \nu_1 : X_2 \rightarrow X_0$ is Galois. For this purpose, we now take a small birational \mathbb{Q} -factorization $X_1^{\text{qf}} \rightarrow X_1$ by [[Kol13](#), Corollary 1.37, pp. 29-30] and an MRC fibration $X_1^{\text{qf}} \rightarrow Y^\circ$ of X_1^{qf} as in [Setting 3.1](#). Then, by repeating Argument (\diamond) for the new MRC fibration $X_1^{\text{qf}} \dashrightarrow Y^\circ$, we obtain a quasi-étale Galois cover from [Proposition 3.8](#) with corresponding morphisms:

$$\nu' : \overline{X_1^{\text{qf}}} \rightarrow X_1^{\text{qf}} \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma' : \overline{Y^\circ} \longrightarrow Y^\circ.$$

We may assume that conditions (A) and (B) are satisfied for $\overline{X_1^{\text{qf}}}$ and X_1^{qf} ; otherwise, the desired conclusion of [Theorem 3.2](#) can be obtained, as explained in Argument (\diamond) . By using [Proposition 3.17](#) again, we see that

$$(3.19) \quad \nu' : (\overline{X_1^{\text{qf}}})_z \rightarrow (X_1^{\text{qf}})_{y_1} \text{ is not isomorphic,}$$

where y_1 is a general point in Y_1 and $z \in \sigma'^{-1}(y_1)$. Here, noting Y^o admits a birational map $Y^o \dashrightarrow Y_1$, we regard $y_1 \in Y_1$ as a general point in Y^o via the birational map $Y^o \dashrightarrow Y_1$.

By [Lemma 2.17](#), we take a finite quasi-étale cover $\eta : W \rightarrow X_1$ satisfying [Diagram \(3.20\)](#). We want to define ν_2 (which we are looking for) by η , but $\nu_1 \circ \eta$ is not necessarily a Galois cover, although ν_1 and ν' (and thus η) are Galois. For this reason, by [Theorem 2.14](#), we take a Galois closure $\gamma : X_2 \rightarrow W$ of $\nu_1 \circ \eta$. Then, the branch locus of $\nu_1 \circ \eta \circ \gamma$ coincides with that of $\nu_1 \circ \eta$ (which is of codimension ≥ 2). Hence, we see that

$$\nu_2 := \eta \circ \gamma : X_2 \rightarrow X_1$$

is a finite quasi-étale cover such that $\nu_1 \circ \nu_2$ is a Galois cover.

(3.20)

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & X_2 & \\
 & \downarrow \gamma & \searrow \nu_2 \\
 \overline{X_1^{\text{qf}}} & \longrightarrow & W \\
 \nu' \downarrow & & \downarrow \eta \\
 X_1^{\text{qf}} & \longrightarrow & X_1 \\
 & & \downarrow \nu_1 \\
 & & X^0.
 \end{array}$$

We construct an MRC fibration $X_2 \dashrightarrow Y_2$ with a generically finite morphism

$$\sigma_2 : Y_2 := \overline{Y_1} \longrightarrow Y_1$$

from $\nu_2 : X_2 \rightarrow X_1$ and $X_1 \dashrightarrow Y_1$, explained as in [Subsection 3.5](#). By construction and [\(3.19\)](#), the induced morphism $X_{2,y_2} \rightarrow X_{1,y_1}$ is non-isomorphic, where y_1 is a general point in Y_1 and $y_2 \in \sigma_2^{-1}(y_1)$.

Let us repeat the above argument for a \mathbb{Q} -factorization X_2^{qf} of X_2 . We may assume that conditions (A) and (B) are satisfied for $\overline{X_2^{\text{qf}}}$ and X_2^{qf} ; otherwise, we complete the proof of [Theorem 3.2](#). Then, by the same way, we can construct a quasi-étale cover $\nu_3 : X_3 \rightarrow X_2$ such that $X_{3,y_3} \rightarrow X_{2,y_2}$ is non-isomorphic and $\nu_3 \circ \nu_2 \circ \nu_1$ is Galois, where y_2 is a general point in Y_2 and $y_3 \in \sigma_3^{-1}(y_2)$. If this process terminates (i.e., (A) or (B) is no longer satisfied), there exists a positive integer N such that X_N or its \mathbb{Q} -factorization X_N^{qf} satisfies property (*) of [Theorem 3.2](#).

If this process does not terminate, we can obtain a sequence

$$\cdots \longrightarrow X_3 \xrightarrow{\nu_3} X_2 \xrightarrow{\nu_2} X_1 \xrightarrow{\nu_1} X_0$$

of finite quasi-étale covers $X_{k+1} \rightarrow X_k$ such that the composition morphism $X_k \rightarrow X_0$ is a Galois cover for any k . Then, [Theorem 2.13](#) shows that all but finitely many of the above morphisms should be étale. Hence, we can take k such that $X_{k+1} \rightarrow X_k$ is étale. By construction, the above sequence naturally equips a sequence

$$\cdots \dashrightarrow Y_3 \xrightarrow{\sigma_3} Y_2 \xrightarrow{\sigma_2} Y_1 \xrightarrow{\sigma_1} Y_0$$

of generically finite morphisms $Y_{k+1} \rightarrow Y_k$, where Y_k is a base of MRC fibrations of X_k . By construction (see [Proposition 3.17](#)), the induced morphism $X_{k+1, y_{k+1}} \rightarrow X_{k, y_k}$ is non-isomorphic, where y_k is a general points in Y_k and $y_{k+1} \in \sigma_{k+1}^{-1}(y_k)$. Hence, we can conclude that $X_{k+1, y_{k+1}} \rightarrow X_{k, y_k}$ is a non-isomorphic étale cover. In contrast, the general fiber X_{k, y_k} is a rationally connected variety with terminal singularities; thus, X_{k, y_k} is simply connected by [Theorem 2.2](#). This is a contradiction; hence our procedure should terminate after a finite number of processes. This completes the proof of [Theorem 3.2](#).

Remark 3.18. By the proof of [Theorem 3.2](#), especially that of [Proposition 3.5](#), we see that the flat vector bundle $\mathcal{V}_m|_{Y_0 \setminus C_m}$ satisfies the condition (\bullet) in [Proposition 3.3\(d\)](#) for every m .

4. MRC FIBRATIONS OF KLT PAIRS WITH NEF ANTI-LOG CANONICAL DIVISOR

In this section, we study the MRC fibrations of projective klt pairs with nef anti-log canonical divisor, by applying [Theorem 3.2](#) in [Section 3](#). The purpose of this section is to prove [Theorem 1.1](#).

4.1. Splitting of tangent sheaves. In this subsection, we deduce the splitting of tangent sheaves for projective klt pairs with anti-log canonical divisor from [Theorem 3.2](#).

Theorem 4.1. *Let (X, Δ) be a projective klt pair with nef anti-canonical divisor $-(K_X + \Delta)$. Then, up to replacing X with a finite quasi-étale Galois cover, the MRC fibration of X induces a splitting of the tangent sheaf T_X of X :*

$$T_X \simeq \mathcal{F} \oplus \mathcal{G}$$

such that

- \mathcal{F} is an algebraically integrable foliation whose general leaves are rationally connected fibers of MRC fibrations of X ,
- \mathcal{G} is a (possibly singular) foliation whose canonical divisor $K_{\mathcal{G}} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$.

Here, the tangent sheaf T_X denotes the reflexive sheaf defined by $(\Omega_X^1)^*$ and a (singular) foliation \mathcal{H} denotes a saturated subsheaf $\mathcal{H} \subset T_X$ that is closed under the Lie bracket. By the normality of X , there is a Zariski open subset X° whose complement in X is of codimension ≥ 2 such that $\mathcal{H}|_{X^\circ}$ is a genuine foliation in the usual sense, and the leaves of \mathcal{H} are thus defined. A foliation is said to be *algebraically integrable* if its general leaf is Zariski open in its closure (c.f. [\[GM89\]](#)). See [\[Wan20a, §2.4\]](#) for a general account.

Now, let us turn to the proof of [Theorem 4.1](#). First, we confirm a key lemma, which states that the desired splitting of the tangent sheaf holds for varieties satisfying property $(*)$ of [Theorem 3.2](#).

Lemma 4.2. *Let X be a variety satisfying property $(*)$ of [Theorem 3.2](#). Then, the MRC fibration of X induces a splitting of the tangent sheaf of X with the same properties as those in the statement of [Theorem 4.1](#).*

Proof. This proof is based on [\[CH19, §3.C, Proof of Theorem 1.2\]](#) and [\[Wan20b, Step 3 & Step 4 of the Proof of Theorem 4.1\]](#). For the reader's convenience, let us briefly recall

the proof. Let the conditions be the same as those in [Setting 3.1](#) of [Section 3](#). For a very ample divisor A on X , we set $G := \pi^*A + cE$ and

$$D_{A,c,m} := \frac{1}{r_m} \cdot \text{the Cartier divisor associated with } \det \phi_* \mathcal{O}_M(mG),$$

where $r_m := \text{rk} \phi_* \mathcal{O}_M(mG)$. By replacing M with its successive blow-ups, we assume that the ϕ -relative base locus of G is a divisor. Then G can be written as follows:

$$G = G_f + G_b,$$

where G_b is the ϕ -relative fixed part of the linear system $|G|$ and $G_f := G - G_b$ is the ϕ -relatively generated part. Now, the adjunction morphism admits a factorization

$$\phi^* \phi_* \mathcal{O}_M(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_M(G_f) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_M(G),$$

which pushes down to Y to give the morphisms

$$\phi_* \mathcal{O}_M(G) \rightarrow \phi_* \mathcal{O}_M(G_f) \hookrightarrow \phi_* \mathcal{O}_M(G).$$

By construction, the composition morphism is the identity, and hence the inclusion $\phi_* \mathcal{O}_M(G_f) \hookrightarrow \phi_* \mathcal{O}_M(G)$ is actually an isomorphism. Then, the surjection $\phi^* \phi_* \mathcal{O}_M(G_f) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_M(G_f)$ induces the morphism

$$\pi_G : M \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\phi_* \mathcal{O}_M(G_f))$$

such that

$$\mathcal{O}_M(G_f) = \pi_G^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\phi_* \mathcal{O}_M(G_f))}(1).$$

We define X_G to be the image $\pi_G(X)$ with the induced morphism $\psi_G : X_G \rightarrow Y$, then we obtain the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X & \xleftarrow{\pi} & M & \xrightarrow{\pi_G} & X_G & \hookrightarrow & \mathbb{P}(\phi_* \mathcal{O}_M(G_f)) \\ & \searrow \psi & \downarrow \phi & \swarrow \psi_G & & \searrow p & \\ & & Y & & & & \end{array}$$

By assumption, the variety X satisfies property $(*)$ of [Theorem 3.2](#); hence $\mathcal{V}_m := \phi_* \mathcal{O}_X(mG) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(-mD_{A,c,1})$ is a flat vector bundle over $Y_0 \setminus C_m$ for every m , where $\text{codim} C_m \geq 2$. Then, by [Remark 3.18](#) and by applying the same argument as [[Wan20b](#), Lemma 4.4], we see that $\mathcal{W}_m \simeq \mathcal{V}_m$ over Y_0 , where

$$\mathcal{W}_m := \psi_{G*} \mathcal{O}_{X_G}(m) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(-mD_{A,c,1}).$$

In consequence, for every m , \mathcal{W}_m is a flat vector bundle on $Y_0 \setminus C_m$ and satisfies the condition (\bullet) in [Proposition 3.3\(d\)](#). Then $\mathcal{H}om(\text{Sym}^m \mathcal{W}_1, \mathcal{W}_m)$ is a flat vector bundle on $Y_0 \setminus C_m$ satisfying the condition (\bullet) in [Proposition 3.3\(d\)](#). Thus, each of its global sections is parallel. In particular, this implies that the compatibility condition (2) in [Proposition 2.5](#) is satisfied. Combining this with [Remark 2.6\(c\)](#), we conclude that the morphism $\psi_G : X_G \rightarrow Y$ is a locally constant fibration over Y_0 with the typical fiber F (up to replacing Y_0 with a Zariski open whose complement is of codimension ≥ 2). In particular, the tangent sheaf $T_{\phi_G^{-1}(Y_0)}$ splits into two foliations. Indeed, by the definition of

locally constant fibrations, the fundamental group $\pi_1(Y_0)$ diagonally acts on $Y_0^{\text{univ}} \times F$. In addition to the normality of Y , the natural splitting

$$T_{Y_0^{\text{univ}} \times F} = \text{pr}_1^* T_{Y_0^{\text{univ}}} \oplus \text{pr}_2^* T_F$$

induces a splitting of $T_{\phi_G^{-1}(Y_0)}$. On the other hand, by [Wan20b, Lemma 4.6] (note that the assumption $\pi_1(X_{\text{reg}}) = 1$ is not needed), any divisorial component of the exceptional locus

$$\psi_G|_{\phi_0^{-1}(Y_0)} : \phi_0^{-1}(Y_0) \rightarrow \psi_G^{-1}(Y_0)$$

is contained in the π -exceptional locus E . Hence, the splitting of $T_{\phi_G^{-1}(Y_0)}$ induces a splitting of T_X : $T_X \simeq \mathcal{F} \oplus \mathcal{G}$. By construction, general leaves of \mathcal{F} are fibers of the MRC fibration $\psi : X \dashrightarrow Y$ (in particular, they are rationally connected); thus, from Proposition 3.3(a), we find

$$K_{\mathcal{G}}|_{\pi(\phi^{-1}(Y_0) \setminus E)} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0.$$

This fact indicates that $K_{\mathcal{G}} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$ since both $\pi(E)$ and $\pi(\phi^{-1}(Y \setminus Y_0))$ are of codimension ≥ 2 in X due to Proposition 3.3(c). \square

Now, let us prove Theorem 4.1.

Proof of Theorem 4.1. Considering a \mathbb{Q} -factorial terminal model, we will reduce the general case to the case of Lemma 4.2. Applying [BCHM10, Corollary 1.4.3], we take a \mathbb{Q} -factorial terminal model $g : X^{\text{term}} \rightarrow X$ of (X, Δ) . By construction, there is an effective \mathbb{Q} -divisor Δ^{term} on X^{term} such that

$$K_{X^{\text{term}}} + \Delta^{\text{term}} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} g^*(K_X + \Delta).$$

Hence, the anti-log canonical divisor $-(K_{X^{\text{term}}} + \Delta^{\text{term}})$ is nef. Then, by Theorem 3.2, there is a finite sequence of finite quasi-étale covers $X_{i+1} \rightarrow X_i^{\text{qf}}$ such that X_N or X_N^{qf} satisfies property (*) of Theorem 3.2, which we denote by X' . Here $X_0 = X^{\text{term}}$, and X_i^{qf} is a \mathbb{Q} -factorization of X_i . By Lemma 4.2, the tangent sheaf of X' splits: $T_{X'} \simeq \mathcal{F}' \oplus \mathcal{G}'$.

By applying Lemma 2.17 to each $X_{i+1} \rightarrow X_i^{\text{qf}} \rightarrow X_i$, we can find a projective variety Z_{i+1} equipped with a birational morphism $X_{i+1} \rightarrow Z_{i+1}$ and a finite quasi-étale Galois cover $Z_{i+1} \rightarrow X_i$ in the following commutative diagram:

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} X_{i+1} & \longrightarrow & Z_{i+1} & & \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ X_i^{\text{qf}} & \longrightarrow & X_i & \longrightarrow & Z_i \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & X_{i-1}^{\text{qf}} & \longrightarrow & X_{i-1}. \end{array}$$

Then, by applying Lemma 2.17 to $Z_{i+1} \rightarrow X_i \rightarrow Z_i$ again, we can obtain a finite quasi-étale cover $\bar{X} \rightarrow X$ and a birational morphism $X' \rightarrow \bar{X}$. The composition of $X' \rightarrow \bar{X} \rightarrow X$ equals to that of the natural morphisms $X' \rightarrow X^{\text{term}} \xrightarrow{g} X$. The splitting $T_{X'} \simeq \mathcal{F}' \oplus \mathcal{G}'$ induces a splitting $T_{\bar{X}} \simeq \mathcal{F} \oplus \mathcal{G}$ with the properties in Theorem 4.1 via the birational morphism $X' \rightarrow \bar{X}$. We obtain the desired conclusion by replacing X with \bar{X} . \square

4.2. Case of \mathbb{Q} -factorial terminal pairs with splitting tangent sheaf. The purpose of this subsection is to confirm the following theorem, which asserts that the conclusion of [Theorem 1.1](#) holds for \mathbb{Q} -factorial terminal pairs with the splitting tangent sheaf given in [Theorem 4.1](#).

Theorem 4.3. *Let (X, Δ) be a \mathbb{Q} -factorial terminal pair with the nef anti-log canonical divisor $-(K_X + \Delta)$. Assume that the tangent sheaf of X splits: $T_X \simeq \mathcal{F} \oplus \mathcal{G}$, where \mathcal{F} is an algebraically integrable foliation whose general fiber has rationally connected Zariski closure and \mathcal{G} is a foliation with $K_{\mathcal{G}} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$.*

Then, we have the following:

- (1) X admits a holomorphic MRC fibration $X \rightarrow Y$.
- (2) Y is a projective variety with terminal singularities such that K_Y is numerically trivial.
- (3) $X \rightarrow Y$ is locally constant with respect to the pair (X, Δ) .

Remark 4.4. Before beginning the proof, we note that this result does not directly imply [Theorem 1.1](#), even if (X, Δ) in [Theorem 1.1](#) is a \mathbb{Q} -factorial terminal pair. We should pass to a quasi-étale Galois cover to obtain a splitting of the tangent sheaf T_X ([Theorem 4.1](#)); however, quasi-étale covers of X are no longer \mathbb{Q} -factorial even if X is \mathbb{Q} -factorial. Thus, the argument in [Subsection 4.3](#) is necessary for the proof of [Theorem 1.1](#) even in the \mathbb{Q} -factorial terminal case.

The proof of [Theorem 4.3](#) can be divided into three steps:

Step 1 (Canonicity of the foliation \mathcal{F} and holomorphicity of MRC fibrations).

A key observation in this step is that \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{G} are weakly regular foliations (c.f. [\[Dru18b, Definition 5.4, Lemma 5.8\]](#)). The subsheaf $\mathcal{F} \subset T_X$ is an algebraically integrable foliation, and thus, we intend to apply [\[Dru18b, Theorem 6.1\]](#) to confirm that \mathcal{F} is induced by an equidimensional fiber space. To this end, we first present the following lemma:

Lemma 4.5. *Let the conditions be the same as in [Theorem 4.3](#). Then, the foliation \mathcal{F} has canonical singularities (c.f. [\[Dru18b, Definition 4.1\]](#)). Moreover, there exists a holomorphic MRC fibration $f : X \rightarrow Y$ to a normal projective variety Y (which may differ from the original Y chosen in [Setting 3.1](#)) such that*

- $f : X \rightarrow Y$ has equidimensional fibers,
- \mathcal{F} coincides with the foliation induced by $f : X \rightarrow Y$.

Proof. If $K_{\mathcal{F}}$ is a Cartier divisor, then the lemma follows immediately from [\[Dru18b, Lemma 5.9\]](#). However, in the general case, we only know that $K_{\mathcal{F}}$ is \mathbb{Q} -Cartier. To prove this lemma, we will use the fact that $-(K_X + \Delta)$ is nef and then apply [\[Dru17, Proposition 5.5\]](#). In fact, we will prove more generally that (\mathcal{F}, Δ) is canonical (c.f. [\[Dru17, §5.1\]](#) or [\[Spi20, Definition 2.9\]](#)) and that Δ is horizontal with respect to the MRC fibration of X . In particular, none of the components of Δ are invariant by \mathcal{F} .

Let $f : V \rightarrow Y$ be the morphism induced by the family of leaves of \mathcal{F} , with a birational morphism $\beta : V \rightarrow X$. Then, by [\[AD14b, Remark 3.12\]](#) or [\[AD16, §3.10\]](#) (c.f. [\[AD14a\]](#)), there is an effective β -exceptional divisor B on V such that

$$(4.2) \quad K_{\beta^{-1}\mathcal{F}} + B \sim K_{V/Y} - \text{Ram}(f) + B \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} \beta^*K_{\mathcal{F}},$$

Now, we have $K_{\mathcal{F}} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} K_X$ by $K_G \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$ and X is terminal by the \mathbb{Q} -factoriality of X . Hence, we must have

$$f^*K_Y + \text{Ram}(f) - B \geq 0.$$

In particular, we see that B is f -vertical since B is effective and since the both f^*K_Y and $\text{Ram}(f)$ are f -vertical. Let us consider the general log leaf of \mathcal{F} at a general point $y \in Y$. From [AD14b, Remark 3.12] or [Dru17, 2.13], we see that the general log leaf of \mathcal{F} is $(V_y, B|_{V_y})$. On the other hand, we have $B|_{V_y} = 0$ since B is f -vertical. Moreover, by applying equality (4.2), we see that

$$(V, \beta^*\Delta + B - f^*K_Y - \text{Ram}(f))$$

is terminal (c.f. [Kol97, 3.5 Definition]). Consequently, the pair $(V_y, \beta^*\Delta|_{V_y})$ is terminal for a general point $y \in Y$ by [KM98, Lemma 5.17, pp. 158-159]. Finally, by writing

$$-K_{\mathcal{F}} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} -K_X = -(K_X + \Delta) + \Delta$$

with $-(K_X + \Delta)$ nef and Δ effective, we see that the foliated pair (X, Δ, \mathcal{F}) satisfies the condition of [Dru17, Proposition 5.5]; hence (\mathcal{F}, Δ) is canonical. In particular, the sheaf \mathcal{F} has canonical singularities.

We finally deduce the latter conclusion from the first conclusion. We can apply [Dru18b, Theorem 6.1] to conclude that \mathcal{F} determines an equidimensional fiber space $f : X \rightarrow Y$ onto a normal projective variety Y . By construction, the morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is an MRC fibration and the base variety Y is not uniruled. \square

The authors were recently informed by Stéphane Druel that the holomorphicity of an MRC fibration could be directly deduced from the weak regularity of \mathcal{F} without proving that \mathcal{F} has canonical singularities by [DGP20, Theorem 4.6].

Step 2 (Local constancy of the pullback fibration over a resolution of singularities of Y). As shown in the previous step, the MRC fibration of X is defined everywhere and has equidimensional fibers, which we denote by $f : X \rightarrow Y$. Let us consider a resolution $\mu : Y' \rightarrow Y$ of singularities of Y , and let $X' := X \times_Y Y'$ be the fiber product, equipped with the natural morphisms $\mu_X : X' \rightarrow X$ and $f' : X' \rightarrow Y'$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X' := X \times_Y Y' & \xrightarrow{\mu_X} & X \\ \downarrow f' & & \downarrow f \\ Y' & \xrightarrow{\mu} & Y. \end{array}$$

The purpose of this step is to confirm the following claim:

Claim 4.6. *The pullback fibration $f' : X' \rightarrow Y'$ is locally constant.*

Proof. The morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is semi-stable in codimension one by Proposition 3.3(e), and hence the ramification divisor of f is zero (c.f. [CKT16, Definition 2.16]). Then, from [CKT16, Lemma 2.31] and $K_G \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$, we have

$$K_{X/Y} \sim K_{\mathcal{F}} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} K_X,$$

which indicates that $K_Y \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$. Since $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is equidimensional and Y' is smooth, we have

$$K_{X'/Y'} \simeq \mu_X^* K_{X/Y}$$

by [Kle80, Proposition (9)]. Since Δ is horizontal with respect to f by Proposition 3.3(f), the pullback $\mu_X^* \Delta$ is horizontal with respect to f' due to [Wan20b, Proposition C.1]. Hence, a fortiori, we see that

$$\mu_X^* \Delta = (\mu_X)_*^{-1} \Delta \text{ holds, and thus } -(K_{X'/Y'} + (\mu_X)_*^{-1} \Delta) \text{ is nef.}$$

Here $(\mu_X)_*^{-1} \Delta$ is the strict transform of Δ by μ_X . Moreover, from [Dru17, Proposition 5.6], we see that $(X', (\mu_X)_*^{-1} \Delta)$ is canonical. Then, we can conclude that f' is a locally constant fibration by the same argument presented in [Wan20b, §3.4 and §4.2]. Nevertheless, for later use, we prove the following Theorem 4.7 with greater generality. \square

Theorem 4.7. *Let $h : V \rightarrow W$ be an algebraic fiber space between normal projective varieties with a smooth W . Assume that there is an effective divisor D on V such that (V, D) is klt and $-(K_{V/W} + D)$ is nef. Then, h is a locally constant fibration with respect to (V, D) .*

Before confirming this theorem, let us recall some auxiliary results. By considering a resolution of the singularities of V and by applying the same argument as in [CCM19, Wan20b], we obtain the following:

Proposition 4.8. *Let the conditions be the same as those in Theorem 4.7. Then, we have the following:*

- (a) h is flat.
- (b) D is horizontal with respect to h .
- (c) For every pseudo-effective and ϕ -big divisor G on V , the direct image

$$h_* \mathcal{O}_V(q(K_{V/W} + D) + G)$$

is weakly positively curved for every $q \in \mathbb{Z}$.

- (d) For every ϕ -big divisor G on V and every $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, we set

$$D_{G,m} := \frac{1}{r_m} \cdot \text{the Cartier divisor associated with } \text{deth}_* \mathcal{O}_V(mG)$$

where $r_m := \text{rk } h_* \mathcal{O}_V(mG)$. Then $G - h^* D_{G,1}$ is pseudo-effective.

- (e) Let A be a sufficiently ample divisor on V such that

$$\text{Sym}^k \text{H}^0(V_w, \mathcal{O}_{V_w}(A)) \twoheadrightarrow \text{H}^0(V_w, \mathcal{O}_{V_w}(kA))$$

for general $w \in W$. Then, we have $D_{A,m} \equiv_{\text{num}} m D_{A,1}$ for every $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$.

Proof. (a) is simply [Wan20b, Lemma 3.4], (b) can be deduced from the proof of [Wan20b, Proposition 2.2(b)] or [CCM19, Theorem 1.3], (c) follows from the proof of [CCM19, Lemma 3.4] or [Wan20b, Proposition 2.3], (d) follows from the proof of [CCM19, Lemma 3.5] or [Wan20b, Proposition 2.5], and (e) arises from [CH19, Proposition 3.6] or [Wan20b, Proposition 2.8]. \square

Now, let us turn to the proof of Theorem 4.7.

Proof of Theorem 4.7. Let A be a sufficiently ample divisor on V satisfying the condition of Proposition 4.8(e). From Proposition 4.8(e), we can assume that $D_{A,1}$ is a \mathbb{Z} -divisor, up to replacing A with its multiple. Then, by replacing A with $A - \phi^*D_{A,1}$, we have the following:

- A is pseudo-effective on V by Proposition 4.8(d),
- A is h -very ample,
- $\mathrm{Sym}^k \mathrm{H}^0(V_w, \mathcal{O}_{V_w}(A)) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^0(V_w, \mathcal{O}_{V_w}(kA))$ for a general point $w \in W$,
- $D_{A,1} \sim 0$.

Hence, since h is flat by Proposition 4.8(a), we can examine that $h_*\mathcal{O}_V(mA)$ is reflexive and weakly positively curved for any $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ from Proposition 4.8(c). Moreover, we have $D_{A,m} \equiv_{\mathrm{num}} mD_{A,1} \sim 0$, and hence $h_*\mathcal{O}_V(mA)$ is a numerically flat vector bundle on W due to [CCM19, Proposition 2.7] or [Wu20, §1, Corollary of Main Theorem]. By Proposition 2.5 and Remark 2.6(b) it remains to show that $h_*\mathcal{O}_V(mA - pD)$ is a numerically flat vector bundle for every $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ and for some $p \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ rendering pD a \mathbb{Z} -divisor.

To prove the second statement, let us consider a log resolution $\mu : V' \rightarrow V$ of (V, D) . Since (V, D) is klt, we can write

$$K_{V'} + D' \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} \mu^*(K_V + D) + E$$

with (V', D') being a klt pair and E being an effective μ -exceptional \mathbb{Q} -divisor. Since D is a \mathbb{Q} -divisor, there is $p \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ such that pD and pD' are both \mathbb{Z} -divisors. For a sufficiently large q , let us write

$$qE + m\mu^*A - pD' \sim qK_{V'/W} - q\mu^*(K_{V/W} + D) + (q - p)D' + m\mu^*A.$$

For the klt condition and $q \gg 1$, we have

$$\mathcal{I}(h_{D'}^{\frac{q-p}{q}} \cdot \mu^*h_A^{\frac{m}{q}}) \simeq \mathcal{O}_{V'},$$

where h_A is a singular Hermitian metric on A with semi-positive curvature and $h_{D'}$ is the singular Hermitian metric associated with the effective \mathbb{Q} -divisor D' . Hence, by [Wan20b, Corollary 1.3], the direct image sheaf $(\mu \circ h)_*\mathcal{O}_{V'}(qE + m\mu^*A - pD)$ is weakly positively curved. Moreover, since we have

$$\mu_*(m\mu^*A - pD') = mA - pD,$$

we obtain

$$\mu_*\mathcal{O}_{V'}(qE + m\mu^*A - pD') \simeq (\mu_*\mathcal{O}_V(m\mu^*A - pD'))^{**} \simeq \mathcal{O}_V(mA - pD)$$

when q is sufficiently large (c.f. also [Wan20a, Theorem 1.3.1 and Lemma 1.3.2]). Consequently, the direct image sheaf $h_*\mathcal{O}_V(mA - pD)$ is weakly positively curved, and $D_{mA-pD,1}$ is pseudo-effective. However, since D is effective and $D_{A,m} \equiv_{\mathrm{num}} 0$, we must have $D_{mA-pD,1} \equiv_{\mathrm{num}} 0$. Furthermore, the direct image $h_*\mathcal{O}_V(mA - pD)$ is reflexive, since h is flat and $\mathcal{O}_V(mA - pD)$ is reflexive. Note that we cannot conclude that this term is locally free at this stage since D is not necessarily \mathbb{Q} -Cartier. Thus, from [CCM19, Proposition 2.7] or [Wu20, §1, Corollary of Main Theorem], we see that $h_*\mathcal{O}_V(mA - pD)$ is a numerically flat vector bundle for every $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. \square

As a corollary of Theorem 4.7, we get the following slight generalization of [Wan20b, Theorem A]:

Corollary 4.9. *Let (X, Δ) be a projective klt pair with nef anti-canonical divisor $-(K_X + \Delta)$. Then the Albanese map of X is a locally constant fibration with respect to (X, Δ) .*

Step 3 (Conclusion). It remains to deduce that f is a locally constant fibration from Claim 4.6. First, note that f is a locally trivial fibration by Step 2; hence Y has terminal singularities. Then, we obtain our results from the following:

Lemma 4.10. *Let $h : V \rightarrow W$ be an algebraic fiber space with V and W being projective varieties, and D is an effective divisor on V . Assume that W has klt singularities and that there is a projective birational morphism $\mu : W' \rightarrow W$ such that the base change morphism $h' : V' := V \times_W W' \rightarrow W'$ is a locally constant fibration with respect to (V', D') where D' is the pullback of D . Then h is also a locally constant fibration with respect to (V, D) .*

Proof. Since the fundamental group of klt varieties is birational invariant by [Tak03, Theorem 1.1], we have

$$\pi_1(W') \xrightarrow[\simeq]{\mu_*} \pi_1(W).$$

Let $p_W : W^{\text{univ}} \rightarrow W$ (resp. $p_{W'} : W'^{\text{univ}} \rightarrow W'$) be the universal cover of W (resp. W'). Then, we have $W'^{\text{univ}} \simeq W^{\text{univ}} \times_W W'$, and the induced morphism $\tilde{\mu} : W'^{\text{univ}} \rightarrow W^{\text{univ}}$ is a still projective morphism with $\tilde{\mu}_* \mathcal{O}_{W'^{\text{univ}}} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{W^{\text{univ}}}$. Set $S := V \times_W W^{\text{univ}}$ and $S' := V' \times_{W'} W'^{\text{univ}}$. Then, by construction, we see that

$$S' \simeq V' \times_{W'} W'^{\text{univ}} \simeq V' \times_{W'} W' \times_{W'} W^{\text{univ}} \simeq S \times_{W'} W' \simeq S \times_{W'} W'.$$

Let us set $\mu_V : V' \rightarrow V$ and $\tilde{\mu}_V : S' \rightarrow S$ to be the induced morphisms. Since h' is a locally constant fibration, we have $S' \simeq W'^{\text{univ}} \times F$, where F is the general fiber of h . Now, let us consider the morphism

$$\tilde{\mu} \times \text{id}_F : S' \rightarrow W^{\text{univ}} \times F,$$

which is a projective morphism with connected fibers. Since $\tilde{\mu}_V$ contracts every fiber of $\tilde{\mu} \times \text{id}_F$, by [Wan20b, Lemma 3.4] (c.f. also [Deb01, Lemma 1.15, pp. 12-13]), we see that $\tilde{\mu}_V$ factorizes through $\tilde{\mu} \times \text{id}_F$. Hence, we obtain a bimeromorphic morphism $\beta : W^{\text{univ}} \times F \rightarrow X$ with the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & & W^{\text{univ}} \times F \\
 & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\mu} \times \text{id}_F} & \\
 & \searrow \exists \beta & \\
 S' := V \times_{W'} W'^{\text{univ}} \simeq W'^{\text{univ}} \times F & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\mu}_V} & S := V \times_W W^{\text{univ}} \\
 \downarrow \tilde{h}' = \text{pr}_1 & & \downarrow \tilde{h} \\
 W'^{\text{univ}} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\mu}} & W^{\text{univ}} \\
 & \nearrow \text{pr}_1 &
 \end{array}$$

Now [Wan20b, Lemma 3.3] indicates that β must be an isomorphism, i.e., $S \simeq W^{\text{univ}} \times F$. Hence, we have the induced morphisms $p_{V'} : S' \rightarrow V'$ and $p_V : S \rightarrow V$ with the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
S' \simeq W'^{\text{univ}} \times F & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\mu}_V} & S \simeq W^{\text{univ}} \times F & & \\
\downarrow \tilde{h}' = \text{pr}_1 & \searrow p_{V'} & \swarrow p_V & & \downarrow \tilde{h} \\
& & V' & \xrightarrow{\mu_V} & V \\
& & \downarrow h' & & \downarrow h \\
& & W' & \xrightarrow{\mu} & W \\
& \swarrow p_{W'} & & \swarrow p_W & \\
W'^{\text{univ}} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\mu}} & W^{\text{univ}} & &
\end{array}$$

Since h' is a locally constant fibration, there exists a representation $\rho' : \pi_1(W') \rightarrow \text{Aut}(F)$ such that (V', D') is the quotient of $W'^{\text{univ}} \times (F, D'_F)$ by the action of $\pi_1(W')$ given by

$$\gamma \cdot (w, z) \mapsto (\gamma \cdot w, \rho'(\gamma)(z)).$$

By $\pi_1(W') \xrightarrow[\simeq]{\mu_*} \pi_1(W)$, we obtain a representation $\rho : \pi_1(W) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(F)$ such that $\rho' = \rho \circ \mu_*$. By construction, the center, left, right, and bottom squares in the above diagram are all Cartesian; thus the top square is also Cartesian. Hence (V, D) is the quotient of $W^{\text{univ}} \times F$ by the action of $\pi_1(W)$ given by the same formula (via ρ' replaced with ρ), which indicates that h is a locally constant fibration with respect to (V, D) . \square

At the end of this subsection, we will confirm that $h : X \rightarrow Y$ is locally constant with respect to the pair (X, Δ) , by considering a normalization and applying [Theorem 4.7](#) and [Lemma 4.10](#). Then, the proof of [Theorem 4.3](#) is complete.

4.3. Case of klt pairs with nef anti-log canonical divisor. In this subsection, let us deduce [Theorem 1.1](#) from the case in [Subsection 4.2](#).

Let (X, Δ) be a projective klt pair with the nef anti-log canonical divisor $-(K_X + \Delta)$. Let us take a \mathbb{Q} -factorial terminal model $(X^{\text{term}}, \Delta^{\text{term}})$ of (X, Δ) : Then, by [Theorem 4.1](#), we can obtain a finite quasi-étale cover $X'' \rightarrow X^{\text{term}}$ such that the tangent sheaf of X'' splits. Note that (X'', Δ'') is terminal pair with Δ'' being the pullback of Δ . Let (X', Δ') be a \mathbb{Q} -factorialization of (X'', Δ'') , then splitting of $T_{X''}$ induces a splitting of $T_{X'}$ since a \mathbb{Q} -factorialization is a small birational morphism. By [Lemma 2.17](#), there is a finite quasi-étale cover $\bar{X} \rightarrow X$ along with a birational morphism $X'' \rightarrow \bar{X}$, such that the composition morphism equals the natural morphism $X'' \rightarrow X^{\text{term}} \xrightarrow{g} X$. Up to replacing X with \bar{X} , we can assume that (X', Δ') is a \mathbb{Q} -factorial terminal model of (X, Δ) , and we denote the birational morphism $X' \rightarrow X$ by g .

By construction, the pair (X', Δ') is a \mathbb{Q} -factorial terminal pair with the splitting tangent sheaf $T_{X'} \simeq \mathcal{F}' \oplus \mathcal{G}'$, as in [Theorem 4.1](#). In particular, the pair (X', Δ') satisfies the assumptions of [Theorem 4.3](#); consequently, the foliation \mathcal{F}' induces the locally constant

MRC fibration $f' : X' \rightarrow Y'$, with Y' having terminal singularities and $K_{Y'} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$. The splitting of $T_{X'}$ induces a splitting of the tangent sheaf of X : $T_X \simeq \mathcal{F} \oplus \mathcal{G}$ such that \mathcal{F} (resp. \mathcal{G}) coincides with \mathcal{F}' (resp. \mathcal{G}') over $X \setminus g(\text{Exc}(g))$ via the isomorphism $X' \setminus \text{Exc}(g) \simeq X \setminus g(\text{Exc}(g))$.

This subsection aims to show that $f' : X' \rightarrow Y'$ induces an MRC fibration $f : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfying the conclusions of [Theorem 1.1](#): $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is locally constant with respect to the pair (X, Δ) and Y is a projective klt variety with the numerically trivial K_Y . The proof is divided into three steps:

Step 1 (Holomorphicity of MRC fibrations). First, we prove that f' gives rise to an MRC fibration $f : X \rightarrow Y$ of X defined everywhere.

Theorem 4.11. *Let the conditions remain the same as above. Then, there exists a normal projective variety Y with $K_Y \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$, a birational morphism $g_Y : Y' \rightarrow Y$, and an algebraic fiber space $f : X \rightarrow Y$ such that $f \circ g = g_Y \circ f'$ and f coincides with the MRC fibration of X .*

Proof of Theorem 4.11. The proof of this theorem makes use of [Lemma 2.4](#). Let us take a very ample divisor A on X and set $B = g^*A$; then B is a nef and big divisor on X' . The fiber of f' has vanishing irregularity since it has terminal (and thus rational) singularities and is rationally connected. Hence, from [Lemma 2.4](#), we can write the following:

$$(4.3) \quad B = B_0 + (f')^*B_{Y'}$$

with $B_{Y'}$ being a divisor on Y' . Then $f'_*\mathcal{O}_{X'}(mB_0)$ is a numerically flat vector bundle on Y' for every $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. Indeed, by [Proposition 4.8\(d\)](#), the line bundle B_0 is pseudo-effective, and then, by [Proposition 4.8\(b\)](#), we find that $f'_*\mathcal{O}_{X'}(mB_0)$ is weakly positively curved. Moreover, the sheaf $f'_*\mathcal{O}_{X'}(mB_0)$ is a flat vector bundle by (a); hence, the sheaf is a numerically flat vector bundle. Then, we obtain the following:

Claim 4.12. *Let the conditions be the same as above. Then B_0 is nef, and $B_{Y'}$ is nef and big.*

The proof of the above claim will be given later. Let us accept this claim for now and prove [Theorem 4.11](#). Since the divisor $B_{Y'}$ is nef and big on Y' by [Claim 4.12](#), together with $K_{Y'} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$, the basepoint-free theorem [[KM98](#), §3.1, Theorem 3.3, p. 75] implies that $B_{Y'}$ is semi-ample. Up to multiplying $B_{Y'}$ by a sufficiently big and divisible integer, the divisor $B_{Y'}$ induces a birational morphism $g_Y : Y' \rightarrow Y$ (c.f. [[Laz04](#), §2.1.B, Theorem 2.1.27, pp. 129-131, Vol.I]) and there is a very ample line bundle A_Y on Y such that $B_{Y'} \simeq g_Y^*A_Y$. Up to replacing Y with its normalization, we can assume that Y is normal.

Next, we prove that $g_Y \circ f'$ factorizes through $g : X' \rightarrow X$. To this end, let C be a curve contracted by g . Then, we have $g^*A \cdot C = B \cdot C = 0$ by the choice of C . Since both $B_{Y'}$ and B_0 are nef, we have

$$(f')^*B_{Y'} \cdot C = B_0 \cdot C = 0$$

by equality (4.3). Hence, we can conclude that $(g_Y \circ f')^*A_Y \cdot C = 0$, which indicates that C is contracted by $g_Y \circ f'$. By [[Deb01](#), Lemma 1.15, pp. 12-13], there is a morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ such that $g_Y \circ f' = f \circ g$. Moreover, since g_Y and g are birational, we find that f is equidimensional by [[Mat70](#), (13.B) Theorem 19(1), pp. 79-80]. Moreover, since

g_Y is birational, the foliation \mathcal{F} (induced by \mathcal{F}') coincides with the foliation induced by f (i.e., $\mathcal{F} \simeq T_{X/Y}$). Consequently, the morphism f coincides with the MRC fibration of X . By [Proposition 3.3\(e\)](#), the morphism f is semi-stable in codimension one; hence the ramification divisor of f is zero, and $K_{X/Y} \sim K_{\mathcal{F}} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} K_X$. Therefore, we obtain $K_Y \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$. \square

Proof of Claim 4.12. First, it is sufficient to prove the lemma after Y' is replaced with a resolution of singularities and (X', Δ') is replaced with the pullback by [[Laz04](#), §1.4.A, Example 1.4.4, p. 51 and §2.2.C, Proposition 2.2.43, p. 153, Vol.I]. Hence, we can assume that Y' is smooth. Under such a base change, the morphism f' is still locally constant and (X', Δ') is still \mathbb{Q} -factorial terminal. However, in this case, we no longer have $K_{Y'} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$ but only $\kappa(Y') = 0$; hence $-(K_{X'} + \Delta')$ is no longer nef, but $-(K_{X'/Y'} + \Delta')$ is nef.

Since f' is a locally constant fibration, the universal cover of X' is a product and its corresponding splitting of the tangent sheaf induces the splitting $T_{X'} \simeq T_{X'/Y'} \oplus \mathcal{E}$ with $\mathcal{E} \simeq f'^*T_{Y'}$ (see [[Wan20b](#), Remark 1.7]). In particular, we see that \mathcal{E} is locally free. Then, since X' is terminal, a functorial resolution $\mu : V \rightarrow X'$ satisfies $T_V \simeq \mu^*\mathcal{E} \oplus T_{V/Y'}$ by [[Dru18a](#), Lemma 5.10]. Consequently, the morphism $\mu \circ f'$ is a locally constant fibration by the classical Ehresmann theorem (c.f. [[Hör07](#), 3.17.Theorem] and [[CLN85](#), §V.3, Theorem 1 and Theorem 3, pp. 91-95]).

Since (X', Δ') is terminal, we have

$$K_V + \pi_*^{-1}\Delta' \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} \mu^*(K_{X'} + \Delta') + E$$

for some effective μ -exceptional \mathbb{Q} -divisor E . Since $B = g^*A$ is nef and big and $-(K_X + \Delta)$ is nef, we see that

$$B - (K_{X'/Y'} + \Delta')$$

is big and nef. Moreover, the morphism $\mu \circ f' : V \rightarrow Y'$ is smooth. Therefore, for $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ sufficiently divisible (such that mK_X and $m\Delta$ are \mathbb{Z} -Cartier divisors), the direct image sheaf

$$(\mu \circ f)_*\mathcal{O}_V(mK_{V/Y'} + m\mu^*(B - K_{X'/Y'} - \Delta'))$$

is a nef vector bundle, by a variant of [[Mou97](#), Théorème 1] or [[Fuj16](#), Theorem 1.4 and §5]. Here, in [[Fuj16](#), §5, Proof of Theorem 1.4], we simply replace [[Fuj16](#), Theorem 5.1] or [[PS14](#), Theorem 1.4] by [[PS14](#), Variant 1.5] to obtain the required version. Moreover, from [[Wan20a](#), Lemma 1.3.2], we have

$$(\mu \circ f)_*\mathcal{O}_V(mK_{V/Y'} + m\mu^*(B - K_{X'/Y'} - \Delta')) \simeq f'_*\mathcal{O}_{X'}(mB - m\Delta').$$

Hence $f'_*\mathcal{O}_{X'}(mB - m\Delta')$ is a nef vector bundle, which is non-zero since $B - \Delta' = g^*A - \Delta'$ is effective up to replacing A with its multiple.

By [Theorem 4.7](#), the morphism $X' \rightarrow Y'$ is locally constant with respect to the pair (X', Δ') ; hence, the decomposition of $B - \Delta'$ given by [Lemma 2.4](#) should be written as follows:

$$B - \Delta' = (B_0 - \Delta') + (f')^*B_{Y'}.$$

Therefore $E_{m,\Delta} := f'_*\mathcal{O}_{X'}(mB_0 - m\Delta')$ is a numerically flat vector bundle for every sufficiently divisible $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. Furthermore, from the projection formula, we have

$$f'_*\mathcal{O}_{X'}(mB - m\Delta') \simeq E_{m,\Delta} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{Y'}(mB_{Y'}),$$

which indicates that $B_{Y'}$ is nef.

By [Lemma 2.4](#) and [Proposition 4.8](#), the direct image sheaf

$$E_m := f'_* \mathcal{O}_{X'}(mB_0)$$

is a numerically flat vector bundle for every $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. Since $B_{Y'}$ is nef, by [[Dem01](#), (7.5) Corollary, p. 52] or [[Dem10](#), (7.5) Corollary, p. 66], there is an ample divisor H on Y' (independent of m) such that $H + mB_{Y'}$ is very ample for every m . For a general hypersurface $H_{m,1} \in |H + mB_{Y'}|$, we have the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow E_m \otimes \mathcal{O}_{Y'}(-H) \rightarrow E_m \otimes \mathcal{O}_{Y'}(mB_{Y'}) \rightarrow E_m \otimes \mathcal{O}_{Y'}(mB_{Y'})|_{H_{m,1}} \rightarrow 0.$$

Since E_m is numerically flat, we have $H^0(Y', E_m \otimes \mathcal{O}_{Y'}(-H)) = 0$, and hence we see that

$$h^0(Y', E_m \otimes \mathcal{O}_{Y'}(mB_{Y'})) \leq h^0(H_{m,1}, (E_m \otimes \mathcal{O}_{Y'}(mB_{Y'}))|_{H_{m,1}}).$$

By repeating this process, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} h^0(X', mB') &= h^0(Y', E_m \otimes \mathcal{O}_{Y'}(mB_{Y'})) \\ &\leq \sharp(H_{m,1} \cap H_{m,2} \cap H_{m,\dim Y}) \cdot \text{rk} E_m \\ &\leq (mB_{Y'} + H)^{\dim Y} \cdot h^0(F', mB_0|_{F'}), \end{aligned}$$

where F' is the fiber of f' . By considering $\lim_{m \rightarrow +\infty} (\bullet/m^{\dim X})$ (c.f. [[Laz04](#), §1.2.B, Example 1.2.36, p. 38-39, §2.2.C, Definition 2.2.31 and (2.9), p. 148, vol.I])

$$0 < \text{vol}_{X'}(B) \leq C \cdot \text{vol}_{Y'}(B_{Y'}) \cdot \text{vol}_{F'}(B_0|_{F'})$$

for some constant C (only depending on the dimensions of X and Y). Hence $B_{Y'}$ is big.

Remark 4.13. A similar argument demonstrates that the numerical dimension of B_0 equals $\dim F'$ although we do not need this fact here. We can use [[DPS94](#), Theorem 18] and [[Zuo96](#), Corollary 1] (noting that $\kappa(Y') = 0$) to confirm the bigness of $B_{Y'}$.

It remains to show that B_0 is nef. The argument is similar to that in [[EIM20](#), Proof of Theorem 4.7]. Let H be a very ample divisor on Y' such that it induces an embedding $Y' \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P} H^0(Y', \mathcal{O}_{Y'}(H))$. Since E_m is a flat vector bundle, $E_m \otimes \mathcal{O}_{Y'}(kH)$ is Nakano positive for every $m, k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ (c.f. [[Dem01](#), (3.9) Definition, p. 24] or [[Dem10](#), (3.9) Definition, p. 28]); hence, from the Nakano vanishing theorem (c.f. [[Dem01](#), (4.9), p. 30] or [[Dem10](#), (4.9), p. 35]), we have

$$H^i(Y', E_m \otimes \mathcal{O}_{Y'}((d+1-i)H)) = 0$$

for every $i > 0$, where $d = \dim Y$. Consequently, the vector bundle E_m is $(d+1)$ -regular with respect to H . From [[Laz04](#), Theorem 1.8.3, pp. 99-100], we see that

$$E_m \otimes \mathcal{O}_{Y'}((d+1)H) \simeq f'_* \mathcal{O}_{X'}(mB_0 + (d+1)(f')^*H)$$

is globally generated on Y' . Moreover, since $B_0|_{F'} \sim g^*A|_{F'}$, we find that B_0 is f' -globally generated; hence $mB_0 + (d+1)(f')^*H$ is globally generated. By letting $m \rightarrow +\infty$ we can conclude that B_0 is nef. \square

Step 2 (Isotriviality of the MRC fibration). To confirm that f is a locally constant fibration, we intend to apply [Theorem 4.7](#) and [Lemma 4.10](#). Nevertheless, there are two difficulties to consider:

- To apply [Theorem 4.7](#), we need to consider the base change via a resolution of singularities of Y , but we do not know whether the base change of X still satisfies the assumptions of [Theorem 4.7](#) (i.e., klt condition and nefness).
- We do not demonstrate that Y has klt singularities, which prevents us from applying [Lemma 4.10](#).

To overcome these difficulties, we confirm the following claim:

Claim 4.14. *Let the conditions be the same as above. Then Y has klt singularities, and f is a locally trivial fibration.*

Proof. First, let us demonstrate that Y has klt singularities. Let $\mu : Y_1 \rightarrow Y$ be a resolution of singularities of Y , let $X_1 := X \times_Y Y_1$ be equipped with natural morphisms $\mu_X : X_1 \rightarrow X$ and $f_1 : X_1 \rightarrow Y_1$, and let $\rho : V \rightarrow X_1$ be a resolution of singularities of X_1 . Then, from [[Kle80](#), Proposition (9)], [[Rei94](#), (2.3) Proposition and Step 3 of (3.6)] and [[Wan20b](#), Lemma C.2], we have

$$(4.4) \quad K_{V/Y_1} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} \rho^* \mu_X^* (K_{X/Y} + \Delta) + E_{X_1} - G$$

for some ρ -exceptional divisor E_{X_1} and for some effective divisor G obtained from the conductor divisor of the normalization of X_1 . We can compare K_{Y_1} and $\mu^* K_Y$ using (4.4), and then Y has klt singularities since (X, Δ) is a klt pair.

In the sequel, we show that f is a locally trivial fibration, enabling us to overcome the first difficulty.

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 & & \tilde{g}_Y \times \text{id}_{F'} \\
 & & \curvearrowright \\
 & & Y^{\text{univ}} \times F' \\
 & \swarrow \exists \beta & \\
 X' \times_{Y'} Y'^{\text{univ}} \simeq Y'^{\text{univ}} \times F' & \xrightarrow{\tilde{g}} & X \times_Y Y^{\text{univ}} \\
 \downarrow \tilde{f}' = \text{pr}_1 & & \downarrow \tilde{f} \\
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 & \begin{array}{ccc}
 X' & \xrightarrow{g} & X \\
 \downarrow p_{X'} & & \downarrow p_X \\
 X' & \xrightarrow{g} & X \\
 \downarrow f' & & \downarrow f \\
 Y' & \xrightarrow{g_Y} & Y \\
 \downarrow p_{Y'} & & \downarrow p_Y \\
 Y'^{\text{univ}} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{g}_Y} & Y^{\text{univ}}
 \end{array} & & \\
 \square & & \square \\
 \square & & \square
 \end{array} & & \\
 \end{array}
 \end{array}
 \end{array}$$

Let $p_Y : Y^{\text{univ}} \rightarrow Y$ (resp. $p_{Y'} : Y'^{\text{univ}} \rightarrow Y'$) be the universal cover of Y (resp. of Y'). Since Y' is terminal and Y is klt, we have $\pi_1(Y_1) \simeq \pi_1(Y)$ from [[Tak03](#), Theorem 1.1]. Then, the morphism $g_Y \circ p_{Y'}$ lifts to a projective morphism $\tilde{g}_Y : Y'^{\text{univ}} \rightarrow Y^{\text{univ}}$;

consequently, the bottom square of the commutative diagram is Cartesian. Furthermore, we have $(\tilde{g}_Y)_* \mathcal{O}_{Y'^{\text{univ}}} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{Y^{\text{univ}}}$.

Let us take the fiber product $X \times_Y Y^{\text{univ}}$ equipped with the natural morphisms $p_X : X \times_Y Y^{\text{univ}} \rightarrow X$ and $\tilde{f} : X \times_Y Y^{\text{univ}} \rightarrow Y^{\text{univ}}$. We note that $p_{X'}$ is the universal cover of X' since F' is simply connected, hence $g \circ p_{X'}$ lifts to a morphism

$$\tilde{g} : Y'^{\text{univ}} \times F' \rightarrow X \times_Y Y^{\text{univ}}.$$

Furthermore, we have $\tilde{g}_* \mathcal{O}_{Y'^{\text{univ}} \times F'} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{X \times_Y Y^{\text{univ}}}$, and the morphism \tilde{g} is projective since \tilde{f}' and \tilde{g}_Y are projective.

Let C be a curve contracted by $\tilde{h} \times \text{id}_{F'}$. Then C can be identified with a curve on Y'^{univ} contracted by \tilde{g}_Y , i.e., C is contained in $\tilde{g}_Y^{-1}(y)$ for some $y \in Y^{\text{univ}}$. Note that the intersection numbers below can be computed for some projective variety $\tilde{g}_Y^{-1}(y)$. Hence, we have the following:

$$p_{X'}^* g^* A \cdot C = \text{pr}_1^* p_{Y'}^* g_Y^* A_Y \cdot C + \text{pr}_2^*(B|_{F'}) \cdot C = p_{Y'}^* h^* A_Y \cdot C = 0,$$

which indicates that C is contracted by \tilde{g} . By [Wan20b, Lemma 3.4], the morphism \tilde{g} factorizes through $\tilde{g}_Y \times \text{id}_{F'}$, i.e., there is a projective morphism $\beta : Y^{\text{univ}} \times F' \rightarrow X \times_Y Y^{\text{univ}}$ such that $\tilde{g} = \beta \circ (\tilde{g}_Y \times \text{id}_{F'})$. Furthermore, we have $\beta_* \mathcal{O}_{Y^{\text{univ}} \times F'} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{X \times_Y Y^{\text{univ}}}$; hence β must be birational. Then, we can apply [Wan20b, Lemma 3.3] to conclude that \tilde{f} induces a decomposition of $X \times_Y Y^{\text{univ}}$ into a product $Y^{\text{univ}} \times F$ with F being the general fiber of f . In particular, f is a locally trivial fibration. Moreover, by Theorem 4.3, the morphism $X' \rightarrow Y'$ is locally constant with respect to the pair (X', Δ') . By applying the same argument utilized above, we find that Δ locally splits into a product via a base change to Y^{univ} (in particular, it is locally trivial over Y). \square

Step 3 (Local constancy of the MRC fibration). Finally, let us confirm that f is a locally constant fibration. Let us take $\mu : Y_1 \rightarrow Y$ to be a resolution of singularities of Y . From Step 2, we see that f is locally trivial. Hence, the base change morphism $f_1 : X_1 \rightarrow Y_1$ is still locally trivial and (X_1, Δ_1) is still klt, where $X_1 := X \times_Y Y_1$ and Δ_1 is the base change of Δ . Note that Δ_1 is locally trivial over Y_1 since Δ is locally trivial over Y . The relative anti-canonical divisor $-(K_{X_1/Y_1} + \Delta_1)$, which is equal to the pullback of $-(K_X + \Delta)$, is nef. Now, we can apply Theorem 4.7 to $f_1 : (X_1, \Delta_1) \rightarrow Y_1$, and conclude that f_1 is a locally constant fibration with respect to (X_1, Δ_1) is a locally constant fibration over Y_1 . Then, since Y has klt singularities, the same holds for f and (X, Δ) by Lemma 4.10. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

REFERENCES

- [ACG11] Enrico Arbarello, Maurizio Cornalba, and Phillip Griffiths. *Geometry of Algebraic Curves, Vol. II*, volume 268 of *Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, 2011.
- [AD14a] Carolina Aruajo and Stéphane Druel. Erratum to "Aruajo C., Druel S.: On codimension 1 del Pezzo Foliations on Varieties with Mild Singularities, Math. Ann. 360, 3 (2014), p.769-798". http://druel.perso.math.cnrs.fr/textes/cd1f_erratum.pdf, 2014.
- [AD14b] Carolina Aruajo and Stéphane Druel. On Codimension 1 del Pezzo Foliations on Varieties with Mild Singularities. *Mathematische Annalen*, 360(3-4):769–798, 2014.

- [AD16] Carolina Aruajo and Stéphane Druel. On Fano Foliations 2. In Paolo Cascini, James McKernan, and Jorge Vitório Pereira, editors, *Foliation Theory in Algebraic Geometry*, Simons Symposia, pages 201–248, Cham Heidelberg New York Dordrecht London, 2016. Springer International Publishing.
- [Amb05] Florin Ambro. The moduli b-divisor of an lc-trivial fibration. *Compositio Mathematica*, 141(2):385–403, 2005.
- [BCHM10] Caucher Birkar, Paolo Cascini, Christopher Derek Hacon, and James McKernan. Existence of Minimal Models for Varieties of log General Type. *Journal of the American Mathematical Society*, 23(2):405–468, 2010.
- [BDPP13] Sébastien Boucksom, Jean-Pierre Demailly, Mihai Păun, and Thomas Peternell. The Pseudo-effective Cone of a Compact Kähler Manifold and Varieties of Negative Kodaira Dimension. *Journal of Algebraic Geometry*, 22(2):201–248, 2013.
- [Bea83] Arnaud Beauville. Variétés kählériennes dont la première classe de chern est nulle. *Journal of Differential Geometry*, 18(4):755–782, 1983.
- [BP08] Bo Berndtsson and Mihai Păun. Bergman Kernels and the Pseudoeffectivity of Relative Canonical Bundles. *Duke Mathematical Journal*, 145(2):341–378, 2008.
- [BS94] Shigetoshi Bando and Yum-Tong Siu. Stable sheaves and Einstein-Hermitian metrics. In Toshiki Mabuchi, Junjiro Noguchi, and Tadashi Ochiai, editors, *Geometry and Analysis on Complex Manifolds, Festschrift for Professor S.Kobayashi's 60th Birthday*, pages 39–50, River Edge, NJ, 1994. World Scientific Publishing.
- [Cam92] Frédéric Campana. Connexité rationnelle des variétés de Fano. *Annales scientifiques de l'École normale supérieure*, 25(5):539–545, 1992.
- [Cam10] Frédéric Campana. Remarks on an Example of K.Ueno. In Carel Faber, Gerard van der Geer, and Eduard Looijenga, editors, *Classification of Algebraic Varieties*, volume 7 of *EMS Series of Congress Reports*, pages 115–121, Zurich, 2010. EMS Publishing House.
- [Cam16] Frédéric Campana. Orbifold slope-rational connectedness. Preprint <https://arxiv.org/abs/1607.07829>, 2016.
- [Cam20] Frédéric Campana. The Bogomolov-Beauville-Yau Decomposition for Klt Projective Varieties with Trivial First Chern Class -Without Tears-. Preprint <https://arxiv.org/abs/2004.08261>, 2020. to appear in *Bulletin de la Société Mathématique de France*.
- [Cao13] Junyan Cao. *Théorèmes d'annulation et théorèmes de structure sur les variétés kählériennes compactes*. PhD thesis, Université de Grenoble (ancienne Université Grenoble-Alpes), 2013.
- [Cao19] Junyan Cao. Albanese Maps of Projective Manifolds with Nef Anticanonical Bundles. *Annales scientifiques de l'École normale supérieure*, 52(5):1137–1154, 2019.
- [CC96] Jeff Cheeger and Tobias Holck Colding. Lower Bounds on Ricci Curvature and the Almost Rigidity of Warped Products. *Annals of Mathematics*, 144(1):189–237, 1996.
- [CCM19] Frédéric Campana, Junyan Cao, and Shin-ichi Matsumura. Projective Klt Pairs with Nef Anticanonical Divisor. Preprint <https://arxiv.org/abs/1910.06471>, 2019. to appear in *Algebraic Geometry*.
- [CH19] Junyan Cao and Andreas Höring. A Decomposition Theorem for Projective Manifolds with Nef Anticanonical Bundle. *Journal of Algebraic Geometry*, 28(3):567–597, 2019.
- [CKT16] Benoît Claudon, Stefan Kebekus, and Behrouz Taji. Generic Positivity and Applications to Hyperbolicity of Moduli Spaces. Preprint <https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-01389525/document>, 2016. to appear as a chapter of a book about complex hyperbolicity.
- [CLN85] César Camacho and Alcides Lins Neto. *Geometric Theory of Foliations*. Birkhäuser, Inc., Basel, 1985.
- [COT14] Fabrizio Catanese, Keiji Oguiso, and Tuyen Trung Truong. Unirationality of ueno-campana threefolds. *Manuscripta Mathematica*, 145(3-4):399–406, 2014.
- [COV15] Fabrizio Catanese, Keiji Oguiso, and Alessanro Verra. On the Unirationality of Higher Dimensional Ueno-type Manifolds. *Revue roumaine de mathématiques pures et appliquées*, 60(3):337–353, 2015.

- [CP91] Frédéric Campana and Thomas Peternell. Projective Manifolds whose Tangent Bundles are Numerically Effective. *Mathematische Annalen*, 289(1):169–187, 1991.
- [CP17] Junyan Cao and Mihai Păun. Kodaira Dimension of Algebraic Fibre Space over Abelian Varieties. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 207(1):345–387, 2017.
- [CP19] Frédéric Campana and Mihai Păun. Foliations with Positive Slopes and Birational Stability of Orbifold Cotangent Bundles. *Publications mathématiques de I.H.É.S.*, 129:1–49, 2019.
- [Deb01] Olivier Debarre. *Higher-Dimensional Algebraic Geometry*. Universitext. Springer-Verlag, New York, NY, 2001.
- [Dem01] Jean-Pierre Demailly. Multiplier Ideal Sheaves and Analytic Methods in Algebraic Geometry. In Jean-Pierre Demailly, Lothar Göttsche, and Robert Lazarsfeld, editors, *Vanishing Theorems and Effective Results in Algebraic Geometry*, volume 6 of *ICTP Lecture Notes Series*, pages 1–148, Trieste, 2001. The Abdus Salam International Centre for Theoretical Physics.
- [Dem10] Jean-Pierre Demailly. *Analytic Methods in Algebraic Geometry*, volume 1 of *Surveys of Modern Mathematics*. Higher Education Press; International Press, Beijing; Sommerville, 2010.
- [Den17] Ya Deng. *Le corps d’Okounkov gééralisé et des problèmes liés à l’hyperbolicité et l’image directe*. PhD thesis, Université Grenoble-Alpes & University of Science and Technology of China, 2017.
- [DGP20] Stéphane Druel, Henri Guenancia, and Mihai Păun. A Decomposition Theorem for \mathbb{Q} -Fano Kähler-Einstein Varieties. Preprint <https://arxiv.org/abs/2008.05352>, 2020.
- [DLB20] Stéphane Druel and Frederico Lo Bianco. Numerical Characterization of Some Toric Fiber Bundles. Preprint <https://arxiv.org/abs/2003.13818>, 2020.
- [DPS94] Jean-Pierre Demailly, Thomas Peternell, and Michael Schneider. Compact Complex Manifolds with Numerically Effective Tangent Bundles. *Journal of Algebraic Geomery*, 3(2):295–345, 1994.
- [Dru17] Stéphane Druel. On Foliations with Nef Anti-canonical Bundle. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 369(11):7765–7787, 2017.
- [Dru18a] Stéphane Druel. A Decomposition Theorem for Singular Spaces with Trivial Canonical Class of Dimension at most five. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 211(1):245–296, 2018.
- [Dru18b] Stéphane Druel. Codimension one Foliations with Numerically Trivial Canonical Class on Singular Spaces. Preprint <https://arxiv.org/abs/1809.06905>, 2018. To appear in *Duke Mathematical Journal*.
- [EIM20] Sho Ejiri, Masataka Iwai, and Shin-ichi Matsumura. On Asympotic Base Loci of Relative Anti-canonical Divisors of Algebraic Fibre Spaces. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2005.04566>, 2020.
- [Fuj16] Osamu Fujino. Direct Images of Relative Pluricanonical Bundles. *Algebraic Geometry*, 3(1):50–62, 2016.
- [GGK19] Daniel Greb, Henri Guenancia, and Stefan Kebekus. Klt Varieties with Trivial Canonical Class: Holonomy, Differential Forms and Fundamental Groups. *Geometry & Topology*, 23(4):2051–2124, 2019.
- [GHS03] Tom Graber, Joe Harris, and Jason Starr. Families of Rationally Connected Varieties. *Journal of the American Mathematical Society*, 16(1):57–67, 2003.
- [GKP16a] Daniel Greb, Stefan Kebekus, and Thomas Peternell. Étale Fundamental Groups of Kawamata Log Terminal Spaces, Flat Sheaves and Quotients of Abelian Varieties. *Duke Mathematical Journal*, 165(10):1965–2004, 2016.
- [GKP16b] Daniel Greb, Stefan Kebekus, and Thomas Peternell. Singular Spaces with Trivial Canonical Class. In János Kollár, Osamu Fujino, Shigeru Mukai, and Noboru Nakayama, editors, *Minimal Models and Extremal Rays*, volume 70 of *Advanced Studies in Pure Mathematics*, pages 67–113, Kyoto, 2016. Mathematical Society of Japan.
- [GM89] Xavier Gómez-Mont. Integrals for Holomorphic Foliations with Singularities Having all Leaves Compact. *Annales de l’Institut Fourier*, 39(2):451–458, 1989.
- [GR56] Hans Grauert and Reinhold Remmert. Plurisubharmonische Funktionen in komplexen Räumen. *Mathematische Zeitschrift*, 65:175–194, 1956.
- [Har77] Robin Hartshorne. *Algebraic Geometry*, volume 52 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, NY, 1977.

- [Har80] Robin Hartshorne. Stable Reflexive Sheaves. *Mathematische Annalen*, 254(2):121–176, 1980.
- [HIM19] Genki Hosono, Masataka Iwai, and Shin-ichi Matsumura. On projective manifolds with pseudo-effective tangent bundle. Preprint <https://arxiv.org/abs/1908.06421>, 2019. to appear in *Journal of the Institute of Mathematics of Jussieu*.
- [HM07] Christopher Derek Hacon and James McKernan. On Shokurov’s Rational Connectedness Conjecture. *Duke Mathematical Journal*, 138(1):119–136, 2007.
- [Hör07] Andreas Höring. Uniruled Varieties with Split Tangent Bundles. *Mathematische Zeitschrift*, 256(3):465–479, 2007.
- [HP19] Andreas Höring and Thomas Peternell. Algebraic Integrability of Foliations with Numerically Trivial Canonical Bundle. *Inventiones Mathematicae*, 216(2):395–419, 2019.
- [HPS18] Christopher Derek Hacon, Mihnea Popa, and Christian Schnell. Algebraic Fibre Spaces over Abelian Varieties: Around a Recent Theorem by Cao and Păun. In Nero Budur, Tommaso de Fernex, Roi Docampo, and Kevin Tucker, editors, *Local and Global Methods in Algebraic Geometry*, volume 712 of *Contemporary Mathematics*, pages 143–195, Providence, RI, 2018. American Mathematical Society.
- [HSW81] Alan Howard, Brian Smyth, and Hung-Hsi Wu. On Compact Kähler Manifolds of Nonnegative Bisectional Curvature, I. *Acta Mathematica*, 147:51–56, 1981.
- [HW20] Gordon Heier and Bun Wong. On Projective Kähler Manifolds of Partially Positive Curvature and Rational Connectedness. *Documenta Mathematica*, 25:219–238, 2020.
- [KL09] János Kollár and Michael Larsen. Quotients of Calabi-Yau Varieties. In Yuri Tschinkel and Yuri Zarhin, editors, *Algebra, Arithmetic, and Geometry, Volume II: In honor of Yu.I.Manin*, volume 270 of *Progress in Mathematics*, pages 179–211, Boston, 2009. Birkhäuser.
- [Kle80] Steven Lawrence Kleiman. Relative Duality for Quasi-Coherent Sheaves. *Compositio Mathematica*, 41(1):39–60, 1980.
- [KM98] János Kollár and Shigefumi Mori. *Birational Geometry of Algebraic Varieties*, volume 134 of *Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998.
- [KMM92a] János Kollár, Yoichi Miyaoka, and Shigefumi Mori. Rational Connectedness and Boundedness of Fano Manifolds. *Journal of Differential Geometry*, 36(3):765–779, 1992.
- [KMM92b] János Kollár, Yoichi Miyaoka, and Shigefumi Mori. Rationally Connected Varieties. *Journal of Algebraic Geometry*, 1:429–448, 1992.
- [Kol97] János Kollár. Singularities of Pairs. In János Kollár and Robert Lazarsfeld and David Robert Morrison, editor, *Algebraic Geometry Santa Cruz 1995*, volume 62 of *Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics*, pages 221–287, Providence, RI, 1997. American Mathematical Society.
- [Kol07] János Kollár. *Lectures on Resolution of Singularities*, volume 166 of *Annals of Mathematics Studies*. Princeton University Press, Princeton Oxford, 2007. Corrected Second Printing 1999.
- [Kol13] János Kollár. *Singularities of the Minimal Model Program*, volume 200 of *Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2013.
- [Laz04] Robert Lazarsfeld. *Positivity in Algebraic Geometry I & II*, volume 48 & 49 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, 2004.
- [Mat70] Hideyuki Matsumura. *Commutative Algebra*. Mathematics Lecture Notes Series. W.A.Benjamin, Inc., New York, NY, 1970.
- [Mat18] Shin-ichi Matsumura. On Projective Manifolds with Semi-positive Holomorphic Sectional Curvature. Preprint <https://arxiv.org/abs/1811.04182>, 2018. to appear in *American Journal of Mathematics*.
- [Mok88] Ngaiming Mok. The Uniformisation Theorem for Compact Kähler Manifolds of Nonnegative Holomorphic Bisectional Curvature. *Journal of Differential Geometry*, 27(2):179–214, 1988.
- [Mor79] Shigefumi Mori. Projective Manifolds with Ample Tangent Bundles. *Annals of Mathematics*, 110(3):593–606, 1979.
- [Mou97] Christophe Mourougane. Images Directes de Fibrés en Droites Adjoints. *Publications of the RIMS Kyoto University*, 33(6):893–916, 1997.
- [MZ86] Ngaiming Mok and Jia Qing Zhong. Curvature Characterization of Compact Hermitian Symmetric Spaces. *Journal of Differential Geometry*, 23(1):15–67, 1986.

- [MZ18] Sheng Meng and De-Qi Zhang. Building Blocks of Polarized Endomorphisms of Normal Projective Varieties. *Advances in Mathematics*, 325:243–273, 2018.
- [Pău97] Mihai Păun. Sur le Groupe Fondamental des Variétés kählériennes Compactes à Classe de Ricci Numériquement effective. *Comptes Rendus de l'Académie des Sciences*, 324(11):1249–1254, 1997.
- [Pău16] Mihai Păun. Singular Hermitian Metrics and Positivity of Direct Images of Pluricanonical Bundles. Survey <https://arxiv.org/abs/1606.00174>, 2016.
- [PS14] Mihnea Popa and Christian Schnell. On Direct Images of Pluricanonical Bundles. *Algebra & Number Theory*, 8(9):2273–2295, 2014.
- [PT18] Mihai Păun and Shigeharu Takayama. Positivity of Twisted Relative Pluricanonical Bundles and Their Direct Images. *Journal of Algebraic Geometry*, 27(2):211–272, 2018.
- [Rau15] Hossein Raufi. Singular Hermitian Metrics on Holomorphic Vector Bundles. *Arkiv für Mathematik*, 53(2):359–382, 2015.
- [Rei94] Miles Reid. Nonnormal del Pezzo Surfaces. *Publication of the Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences*, 30(5):695–727, 1994.
- [Sim92] Carlos Tschudi Simpson. Higgs Bundles and Local Systems. *Publications mathématiques de l'I.H.É.S.*, 75(1):5–95, 1992.
- [Spi20] Calum Spicer. Higher-dimensional Foliated Mori Theory. *Compositio Mathematica*, 158(1):1–38, 2020.
- [SY80] Yum-Tong Siu and Shing-Tung Yau. Compact Kähler Manifolds of Positive Bisectional Curvature. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 59(2):189–204, 1980.
- [Tak03] Shigeharu Takayama. Local Simple Connectedness of Resolution of Log-Terminal Singularities. *International Journal of Mathematics*, 14(8):825–836, 2003.
- [Uen75] Kenji Ueno. *Classification Theory of Algebraic Varieties and Compact Complex Spaces*, volume 439 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, 1975.
- [Wan20a] Juanyong Wang. *Positivity of direct images and projective varieties with non-negative curvature*. PhD thesis, Institut Polytechnique de Paris, Aug 2020. <https://tel.archives-ouvertes.fr/tel-02982921>.
- [Wan20b] Juanyong Wang. Structure of Projective Varieties with Nef Anticanonical divisor: the Case of Log Terminal Singularities. Preprint <https://arxiv.org/abs/2005.05782>, 2020. Accepted by *Math. Annal.*
- [Wu20] Xiaojun Wu. Pseudo-effective and Numerically Flat Reflexive Sheaves. Preprint <https://arxiv.org/abs/2004.14676>, 2020.
- [Yan16] Xiaokui Yang. Hermitian Manifolds with Semi-positive Holomorphic Sectional Curvature. *Mathematical Research Letters*, 23(3):939–952, 2016.
- [Zha96] Qi Zhang. On Projective Manifolds with Nef Anticanonical Divisors. *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik*, 478(3):57–60, 1996.
- [Zha05] Qi Zhang. On Projective Varieties with Nef Anticanonical Divisors. *Mathematische Annalen*, 332(3):697–703, 2005.
- [Zha06] Qi Zhang. Rational Connectedness of log \mathbb{Q} -Fano Varieties. *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik*, 2006(590):131–142, 2006.
- [Zuo96] Kang Zuo. Kodaira Dimension and Chern Hyperbolicity of the Shafarevich Maps for Representations of π_1 of Compact Kähler Manifolds. *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik*, 472(2):139–156, 1996.

MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE, TOHOKU UNIVERSITY, 6-3, ARAMAKI AZA-AOBA, AOBA-KU, SENDAI
980-8578, JAPAN.

Email address: mshinichi-math@tohoku.ac.jp

Email address: mshinichi0@gmail.com

ACADEMY OF MATHEMATICS AND SYSTEMS SCIENCE, CHINESE ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, 55 EAST
ROAD ZHONGGUANCUN, 100190 BEIJING, CHINA

Email address: juanyong.wang@amss.ac.cn

Email address: serrediciel@gmail.com