

# STRUCTURE THEOREM FOR PROJECTIVE KLT PAIRS WITH NEF ANTI-CANONICAL DIVISOR

SHIN-ICHI MATSUMURA AND JUANYONG WANG

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we establish a structure theorem for projective Kawamata log terminal (klt) pairs  $(X, \Delta)$  with nef anti-log canonical divisor; specifically, we prove that up to replacing  $X$  with a finite quasi-étale cover,  $X$  admits a locally trivial rationally connected fibration onto a projective klt variety with numerically trivial canonical divisor. Our structure theorem generalizes previous works for smooth projective varieties and reduces the structure problem to the singular Beauville-Bogomolov decomposition for Calabi-Yau varieties. As an application, the projective varieties of klt Calabi-Yau type, which naturally appear as an outcome of the Log Minimal Model Program, are decomposed into building block varieties, namely, rationally connected varieties and Calabi-Yau varieties.

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
1.1. Structure of varieties with “non-negative” curvature	2
1.2. Projective klt pairs with nef anti-log canonical divisor	3
1.3. Outline of the proof of the main result	5
Acknowledgments	7
2. Preliminary Results	7
2.1. Notation and conventions	7
2.2. MRC fibrations and finite covers	7
2.3. On locally constant fibrations	9
2.4. Singular Hermitian metrics on normal analytic spaces	12
2.5. Quasi-étale Galois covers and semi-stable sheaves	16
2.6. On the flat base change theorem	18
3. Flat Connections of Direct Image Sheaves	19
3.1. Setting and goal in this section	19
3.2. Birational semi-stability for MRC fibrations	21
3.3. Rationally connected fibers and flat connections	23
3.4. Positivity of singular Hermitian metrics on direct image sheaves	26
3.5. Fibrations induced by quasi-étale Galois covers	29
3.6. Comparison of associated direct images sheaves	32
3.7. Proof of the flatness of direct image sheaves	35
4. MRC Fibrations of Klt Pairs with Nef Anti-Log Canonical Divisor	38
4.1. Splitting of tangent sheaves	38

---

*Date:* June 1, 2021, version 0.01.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 14E30, Secondary 32Q30, 32J25.

4.2. Case of $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial terminal pairs with splitting tangent sheaf	40
4.3. Case of klt pairs with nef anti-log canonical divisor	46
References	51

## 1. INTRODUCTION

**1.1. Structure of varieties with “non-negative” curvature.** A central problem in birational geometry is to reveal structures of fibrations naturally associated with varieties such that all of the projective varieties are decomposed into the following basic building blocks: Fano varieties, Calabi-Yau varieties, and (log) canonical models. The abundance conjecture predicts that structures of varieties with “semi-negative” curvature (more precisely, with non-negative Kodaira dimension) can be revealed by Iitaka-Kodaira fibrations via the Minimal Model Program. On the other hand, the pioneering studies ([Mor79, SY80]) have demonstrated that the structures of varieties with “semi-positive” curvature have a certain rigidity and are closely related to the geometry of rational curves. Based on this philosophy, several structure theorems and uniformization theorems have been established for Albanese maps or maximal rationally connected (MRC) fibrations of varieties, under various positivity assumptions of the curvature; including non-negative holomorphic bisectional curvatures ([HSW81, MZ86, Mok88]), non-negative holomorphic sectional curvatures ([Yan16, HW20, Mat18]), nef tangent bundles ([CP91, DPS94]), pseudo-effective tangent bundles ([HIM19]), and nef anti-canonical divisors ([Cao19, CH19, DLB20]). In particular, the study of projective manifolds with nef anti-canonical divisor covers a large range of varieties with “non-negative” curvature; moreover, the study of such varieties is more natural from the viewpoint of birational geometry than that of varieties with positive tangent bundles.

This paper focuses on projective varieties with nef anti-canonical divisor. The class of such varieties includes Fano manifolds and Calabi-Yau manifolds as extreme cases. Mori’s bend and break and the Beauville-Bogomolov decomposition have achieved the most important progress in these extreme cases. Furthermore, based on the successive studies of [Pău97, Zha96, Zha05, Cao19], Cao-Höring established a structure theorem for smooth projective varieties with nef anti-canonical divisor, which interpolates between “Fano-like” (rationally connected) manifolds and Calabi-Yau manifolds.

- (Mori’s bend and break, [Mor79, KMM92a, Cam92]). Fano manifolds (i.e., smooth projective varieties with ample anti-canonical divisor) are rationally connected (i.e., any two points can be connected by a rational curve).
- (Beauville-Bogomolov decomposition, [Bea83]). Calabi-Yau manifolds (i.e., Kähler manifolds with numerically trivial canonical divisor) are decomposed, up to a finite étale cover, into the product of abelian varieties, strict Calabi-Yau manifolds, and holomorphic symplectic (hyperkähler) manifolds.
- (Cao-Höring’s structure theorem, [Cao19, CH19]). Smooth projective varieties with nef anti-canonical divisor are constructed by rationally connected manifolds and Calabi-Yau manifolds.

The above studies have established structure theorems for smooth projective varieties with nef anti-canonical divisor. Nevertheless, from the viewpoint of the Minimal Model Program, it is more natural and of great importance to study the structure not only of smooth varieties but also of varieties with Kawamata log terminal (klt) singularities. In this direction, [Zha06, HM07] generalized the rational connectedness of Fano manifolds to varieties of weak Fano type (as well as lc Fano pairs); if  $(X, \Delta)$  is a weak Fano pair (i.e., a klt pair with nef and big anti-log canonical divisor) for some effective  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor  $\Delta$ , then  $X$  is rationally connected. On the other hand, in the successive works of [GKP16b, Dru18a, GGK19, HP19, CP19, Cam20], the classical Beauville-Bogomolov decomposition was generalized to the singular Beauville-Bogomolov decomposition for klt Calabi-Yau varieties. The most significant problem remaining in this field is to establish a structure theorem for *klt pairs with nef anti-log canonical divisor*, in the form of extending Cao-Höring's structure theorem, Zhang-Hacon-M<sup>c</sup>Kernan's result, and the singular Beauville-Bogomolov decomposition.

**1.2. Projective klt pairs with nef anti-log canonical divisor.** This paper establishes a structure theorem for projective klt pairs with nef anti-log canonical divisor ([Theorem 1.1](#)). Our structure theorem naturally generalizes Cao-Höring's structure theorem for smooth projective varieties and reduces the structure problem of these varieties to the singular Beauville-Bogomolov decomposition for klt Calabi-Yau varieties and Hacon-M<sup>c</sup>Kernan's result for the rational connectedness of varieties of weak Fano type.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $(X, \Delta)$  be a projective klt pair with the nef anti-log canonical divisor  $-(K_X + \Delta)$ . Then, there exists a finite quasi-étale cover  $\nu : X' \rightarrow X$  satisfying the following properties:*

- (1)  $X'$  admits a holomorphic maximal rationally connected fibration  $\psi : X' \rightarrow Y$ .
- (2)  $Y$  is a projective klt variety with numerically trivial canonical divisor.
- (3)  $\psi : X' \rightarrow Y$  is locally constant with respect to the pair  $(X', \Delta')$ , where  $\Delta'$  is the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Weil divisor defined by the pull-back  $\Delta' := \nu^* \Delta$ . In particular, the fibration  $\psi : X' \rightarrow Y$  is locally trivial with respect to  $(X', \Delta')$ , i.e., for any small open set  $B \subset Y$ , we have the isomorphism

$$(\psi^{-1}(B), \Delta') \cong B \times (F, \Delta'_F)$$

over  $B \subset Y$ , where  $F$  is the fiber of  $\psi$  and  $\Delta'_F := \Delta'|_F$ .

See [Definitions 2.6](#) and [2.14](#) for the definitions of locally constant fibrations and quasi-étale covers, respectively. Note that the pull-back of the (non necessarily  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier) Weil  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor  $\Delta$  in the theorem is well-defined since  $\psi : X' \rightarrow Y$  is finite.

The image  $Y$  in this theorem has a finite quasi-étale cover  $Y' \rightarrow Y$  such that  $Y'$  is decomposed into the product of abelian varieties, strict Calabi-Yau varieties, and singular holomorphic symplectic varieties, which follows from the singular Beauville-Bogomolov decomposition. Therefore, by replacing  $X'$  with the fiber product of  $X' \times_Y Y'$ , we can deduce the following corollary:

**Corollary 1.2.** *Let  $(X, \Delta)$  be a projective klt pair with the nef anti-log canonical divisor  $-(K_X + \Delta)$ . Then, there exists a finite quasi-étale cover  $\nu : X' \rightarrow X$  satisfying the*

properties listed in [Theorem 1.1](#) such that the image  $Y$  of the MRC fibration  $\psi : X' \rightarrow Y$  is decomposed into the product

$$Y \cong A \times \prod_i Y_i \times \prod_j Z_j$$

of an abelian variety  $A$ , strict Calabi-Yau varieties  $Y_i$ , and singular holomorphic symplectic varieties  $Z_j$ .

We emphasize that our result can be applied to projective varieties of klt Calabi-Yau type (i.e., projective varieties  $X$  admitting a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor  $\Delta$  such that  $(X, \Delta)$  is a klt pair with numerically trivial log canonical divisor), see [Corollary 1.3](#). Under the assumption of the abundance conjecture, such varieties naturally appear as an outcome of the Log Minimal Model Program, as minimal models of klt pairs with Kodaira dimension zero and as a general fiber of the Iitaka-Kodaira fibration of minimal models with positive Kodaira dimension. [Corollary 1.3](#) confirms that klt Calabi-Yau pairs can be decomposed into new building blocks comprising Calabi-Yau varieties and rationally connected varieties. By applying the result of [\[Amb05\]](#), we can obtain a splitting theorem for klt Calabi-Yau pairs.

**Corollary 1.3.** *Let  $(X, \Delta)$  be a projective klt pair with the numerically trivial log canonical divisor  $K_X + \Delta$ . Then, there exists a finite quasi-étale cover  $\nu : X' \rightarrow X$  such that  $X'$  is decomposed into the product*

$$(X', \Delta') \cong (F, \Delta'_F) \times A \times \prod_i Y_i \times \prod_j Z_j,$$

where  $\Delta', \Delta'_F$  are as in [Theorem 1.1](#),  $A, Y_i, Z_j$  are as in [Corollary 1.2](#), and  $F$  is a rationally connected variety.

Moreover, our result can be applied to problems related to uniformization and (topological) fundamental groups. For a klt pair  $(X, \Delta)$  with nef anti-log canonical divisor, [\[Wan20b, Conjecture 2\]](#) predicts that the fundamental group of the regular locus  $X_{\text{reg}}$  has polynomial growth and that the universal cover of  $X$  is decomposed, up to a finite quasi-étale cover, into the product of a rationally connected variety, a complex Euclidean space  $\mathbb{C}^m$ , and Calabi-Yau varieties with vanishing augmented irregularity. The rationally connected fiber of  $\psi : X' \rightarrow Y$  in the theorem is simply connected by [\[Tak03\]](#) (see [Theorem 2.3](#) for details). Hence, our result reduces the uniformization problem predicted by [\[Wan20b, Conjecture 1\]](#) to the following conjecture. This conjecture is formulated only for the fundamental group of Calabi-Yau varieties (not of their smooth loci), and thus much simpler than [\[Wan20b, Conjecture 2\]](#).

**Conjecture 1.4.** *Let  $Y$  be a projective klt variety with numerically trivial canonical divisor. If  $Y$  has vanishing augmented irregularity, the topological fundamental group of  $Y$  is finite.*

As future work, it would be interesting to investigate slope rationally connected (sRC) quotients for a klt pair  $(X, \Delta)$  with the nef anti-log canonical divisor. SRC quotients, introduced in [\[Cam16\]](#), are generalizations of MRC fibrations to log pairs. Following [\[CCM19, Conjecture 1.5\]](#), it is conjectured that, up to a finite quasi-étale cover of  $X$ , the pair  $(X, \Delta)$  admits a locally constant sRC quotient  $(X, \Delta) \rightarrow (Z, \Delta_Z)$  with the numerically trivial  $K_Z + \Delta_Z$  and that the MRC fibration  $\psi : X \rightarrow Y$  in [Theorem 1.1](#) factorizes through

the sRC quotient  $(X, \Delta) \rightarrow (Z, \Delta_Z)$ . Our result opens the doors to study sRC quotients for klt pairs.

**1.3. Outline of the proof of the main result.** In this subsection, we explain a strategy for proving [Theorem 1.1](#), with a comparison to previous works for smooth projective varieties. First, we review the proof for the case where  $X$  is smooth and  $\Delta = 0$ . In this case, the desired structure theorem has been proven by the successive works [\[Pău97, Zha96, Zha05, Cao19, CH19\]](#) via the following steps:

- (1) (Study of fundamental groups, [\[Pău97\]](#)). The fundamental group of  $X$  is shown to have the polynomial growth, based on the theory of Cheeger-Colding (see [\[CC96\]](#)).
- (2) (Study of Albanese maps, [\[Cao19\]](#)). The Albanese map of  $X$  is demonstrated to satisfy the desired structure theorem, and then, together with Step (1), the problem can be reduced to the case of a simply connected  $X$ .
- (3) (Study of MRC fibrations, [\[CH19\]](#)). The desired structure theorem for the MRC fibration is completed for simply connected  $X$ .

Note that the works of [\[Zha96, Zha05\]](#) play an important role in Steps (2) and (3).

After Campana-Cao-Matsumura ([\[CCM19\]](#)) began to study structure theorems for klt pairs, the second author ([\[Wan20b\]](#)) revealed that difficulty in adopting the same strategy as above arises in Step (1) by settling the singular version of (2) and (3) for klt pairs with nef anti-log canonical divisor ([\[Wan20b\]](#) establishes Step (3) for  $X$  with simply connected smooth locus). The desired structure theorem for klt pairs can be reduced to conjectures on the fundamental group of the regular locus  $X_{\text{reg}}$ . However, it is difficult to investigate the fundamental group of the regular locus  $X_{\text{reg}}$  via the method based on the theory of Cheeger-Colding. Even for klt pairs  $(X, \Delta)$  with a smooth  $X$ , it is unclear whether the method in [\[Pău97\]](#) can be applied.

This paper suggests a new approach to study the MRC fibrations of these varieties without assuming the simple connectedness of  $X$  or  $X_{\text{reg}}$ . To explain this approach, let us review certain important components in Step (3). For simplicity, let us assume that  $X$  has a holomorphic MRC fibration  $\psi : X \rightarrow Y$ . In Step (3), we consider the direct image sheaf  $\psi_*(mL)$  with  $L$  an appropriately chosen  $\psi$ -ample line bundle, such that the first Chern class of  $\psi_*(mL)$  is numerically trivial. The key point in Step (3) (resp. in [\[Wan20b\]](#)) is to prove that  $\psi_*(mL)$  is actually a trivial locally free sheaf when  $X$  (resp.  $X_{\text{reg}}$ ) is simply connected, which leads to the desired structure theorem. In general, the direct image  $\psi_*(mL)$  is not necessarily trivial; yet we can still expect  $\psi_*(mL)$  to be a flat locally free sheaf. Herein, based on this observation, we directly confirm a certain flatness of  $\psi_*(mL)$ , and then, together with the theory of foliations, we prove our structure theorem. This is a rough idea of the proof.

Many difficulties exist for implementing this naive idea. Below, we explain the primary difficulties in the proof and our strategy to solve them. At the same time, we describe the organization of this paper.

**Section 3:** The purpose of this section is to confirm that  $\psi_*(mL)$  satisfies a certain flatness for every  $m$ . However, a priori, we do not know whether  $X$  has a holomorphic MRC fibration  $\psi : X \rightarrow Y$ , which is one of the above-mentioned difficulties. Therefore, we pursue a birational analogue of the above arguments; in particular, after we select a suitable MRC fibration  $\psi : X \dashrightarrow Y$  from an observation of the Chow variety, we consider

the direct image sheaf  $\phi_*(mL)$  and the induced reflexive sheaf

$$\mathcal{E}_m := (\pi_*(\phi^*\phi_*(mL)))^{**}$$

on  $X$ , where  $\phi : M \rightarrow Y$  is a resolution of the indeterminacies with the birational morphism  $\pi : M \rightarrow X$  and  $L$  is an appropriate  $\phi$ -big divisor on  $M$ .

For smooth  $X$ , we can confirm that the sheaf  $\mathcal{E}_m$  is positively curved in the sense of singular Hermitian metrics, by applying the theory of “analytic” positivity of direct image sheaves (see [BP08, CP17, HPS18, PT18]). Moreover, we can show that  $\mathcal{E}$  is locally free and admits a flat connection, by applying the theory of stable reflexive sheaves (see [BS94] and [CCM19, Proposition 2.7]) It follows that the flat connection of  $\mathcal{E}_m$  descends to that of  $\phi_*(mL)$  on a Zariski open set from the rational connectedness of general fibers of  $\psi : X \dashrightarrow Y$ . Nevertheless, we cannot directly extend the above-mentioned results to the variety  $X$  with klt singularities; the former result requires the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factoriality of  $X$ , and the latter result requires  $\text{codim } X_{\text{sing}} \geq 3$ .

To resolve these problems arising from the singularities of  $X$ , we take a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial terminal model  $(X^{\text{term}}, \Delta^{\text{term}})$  of a given projective klt pair  $(X, \Delta)$  by applying [BCHM10, Corollary 1.4.3]. Then we apply [GKP16a, Theorem 1.20] rather than [BS94] to obtain the numerical flatness of  $\mathcal{E}$  (see Theorem 2.18 for details); and from Subsection 3.5 to Subsection 3.7 we prove that the flat connection on  $\mathcal{E}_m$  descends to one on  $\phi_*(mL)$  over a Zariski open of  $Y$ . Nevertheless, by applying Theorem 2.18 we should take a finite quasi-étale cover  $X'$  of  $X$ , and by doing some new difficulties arise:

- As mentioned above, for each  $m$ , we should take a finite quasi-étale cover  $X_m \rightarrow X$  to render the reflexive pullback of  $\mathcal{E}_m$  to  $X_m$  a flat vector bundle; However, this cover depends on  $m$ , so a priori there is no reason that we can take a single cover to make every  $\mathcal{E}_m$  locally free and flat. To overcome this difficulty we apply [GKP16a, Theorem 1.1], see Proposition 3.10.
- From  $\psi$  we can construct a new fibration  $\psi' : X' \dashrightarrow Y'$  and in order to compare the direct images it is important that we choose a  $Y'$  that is finite over  $Y$  (this can be guaranteed by taking a Stein factorization, see Proposition 2.4). However, the new base  $Y'$  is not necessarily smooth, even we start from a smooth  $Y$ , in fact we can at most confirm that  $Y'$  has klt singularities. Therefore we need to extend most results established in [Wan20b] or [CCM19] to the case that  $Y$  is singular, which greatly adds to the complexity of the proof.
- The new fibration  $\psi' : X' \dashrightarrow Y'$  is not necessarily a rationally connected fibration and in this case one cannot descend the flat connexion; in order to overcome this difficulty, we use the induction on the dimension of the general fibre, see Subsection 3.5.
- The direct image of  $\psi'$  does not necessarily coincide with the pullback of  $\phi_*(mL)$ . Under certain assumption, we can apply the flat base change theorem Proposition 2.20 to guarantee this, which is done in Subsection 3.6; if the assumption is not satisfied, we use [GKP16a, Theorem 1.1] to obtain a contradiction, as is done Subsection 3.7.

**Subsection 4.1:** We prove Theorem 1.1 in Section 4. In Subsection 4.1, we prove the splitting of the tangent sheaf  $T_X$  of  $X$  up to a finite quasi-étale cover. We first demonstrate that an MRC fibration  $X \dashrightarrow Y$  is birationally equivalent to the locally constant fibration

of the projective space bundle associated with the direct image  $\phi_*L$ , based on the flatness obtained in [Section 3](#). Then, we deduce that  $T_X$  can be decomposed into a foliation  $\mathcal{G}$  whose canonical divisor  $K_{\mathcal{G}} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$  and an algebraically integrable foliation  $\mathcal{F}$  with rationally connected leaves.

**Subsection 4.2;** In [Subsection 4.2](#), we confirm the desired conclusion for a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial log terminal pair  $(X, \Delta)$  with splitting tangent sheaf: the MRC fibration  $X \rightarrow Y$  for  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial terminal  $X$  can be selected to be a locally constant fibration. To this end, we apply the theory of weakly regular foliations with canonical singularities in [\[Dru18b\]](#).

**Subsection 4.3:** Based on [Subsection 4.1](#) and [Subsection 4.2](#), by replacing  $X$  with a finite quasi-étale cover, we can find a suitable  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial terminal model  $X'$  of  $X$  whose MRC fibration is a locally constant fibration. In [Subsection 4.3](#), we finally prove that the locally constant MRC fibration  $X' \rightarrow Y'$  induces the desired structure theorem for  $X$ . It is worth mentioning that this procedure uses essentially the fact that  $f'$  is a locally constant fibration. In fact, the reduction argument does not hold for locally trivial fibrations, and this consists one of the reasons to introduce the notion of ‘locally constant fibrations’.

**Acknowledgments.** The authors wish to express their thanks to Professors Sébastien Boucksom, Frédéric Campana, Stéphane Druel, Andreas Horing, and János Kollár for helpful comments and suggestions. The first author would like to express thanks to Professor Yoshinori Gongyo for a discussion on [Example 3.6](#) and for answering questions, and to Professors Shigefumi Mori, Yuji Odaka, and Ken-ichi Yoshikawa for providing him with an opportunity to talk at the Algebraic Geometry Seminar at Kyoto University, in which one question drew his attention to the case of singular varieties. He was supported by Grant-in-Aid for Young Scientists (A) #17H04821, Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (B) #21H00976, and Fostering Joint International Research (A) #19KK0342 from JSPS. The second author is grateful to Professors Stéphane Druel and Andreas Horing for kindly answering his questions on foliations. He was partially supported by the French ANR Research Project “GRACK” and is partially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China.

## 2. PRELIMINARY RESULTS

**2.1. Notation and conventions.** Throughout this paper, all varieties and morphisms are defined over the complex number field, and analytic varieties denote irreducible and reduced complex analytic spaces. Furthermore, we interchangeably use the terms “Cartier divisors,” “invertible sheaves,” and “line bundles.” For example, a Cartier divisor  $D$  on an analytic variety  $X$  is considered as a line bundle on  $X$ , and “Hermitian metrics on  $D$ ” denote Hermitian metrics on the line bundle associated with  $D$ .

**2.2. MRC fibrations and finite covers.** We first recall the definitions and properties of MRC fibrations and rationally (chain) connected varieties.

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be normal projective varieties.

- (1) A dominant rational map  $\psi : X \dashrightarrow Y$  is called an *RC fibration* if it satisfies the following conditions:
  - $\psi : X \dashrightarrow Y$  is almost holomorphic (i.e., its indeterminacy locus is not dominant over  $Y$  and general fibers are connected).

- General fibers are rationally connected (i.e., any two points can be connected by one rational curve).
- (2) An RC fibration  $\psi : X \dashrightarrow Y$  is called an *MRC fibration* if there are no horizontal rational curves passing through a general point in  $X$ .

*Remark 2.2.* It follows from [GHS03] that condition in (2) is equivalent to requiring the base variety  $Y$  to be non-uniruled (i.e., not covered by rational curves).

The existence of maximal rationally chain connected (MRCC) fibrations of normal proper varieties has been proven in [Cam92, KMM92b]. Rationally chain connected varieties are not always rationally connected; however, the two notions coincide for projective varieties with dlt singularities by [HM07, Corollary 1.5]. In this paper, we treat only varieties with (at worst) klt singularities; thus, MRCC fibrations are actually MRC fibrations. This justifies our usage of the term ‘‘MRC fibration’’.

The following properties of rationally connected varieties are often used in this paper.

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let  $X$  be a rationally connected and potentially klt projective variety (i.e.,  $(X, \Delta)$  is a klt pair for some effective  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor). Then we have:*

- (1)  $X$  has no (non-zero) holomorphic differential forms.
- (2)  $X$  is simply connected.

*Proof.* Let  $\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$  be a resolution of singularities of  $X$ , then  $\tilde{X}$  is again rationally connected. Then since  $X$  is potentially klt, we have:

- every holomorphic differential form extends to one on  $\tilde{X}$  by [GKKP11, Theorem 1.4];
- the fundamental group of  $X$  is isomorphic to that of  $\tilde{X}$  by [Tak03, Theorem 1.1].

Hence, the theorem follows from the corresponding results for smooth rationally connected varieties as in [Deb01, Corollary 4.18, p. 97].  $\square$

In Section 3, we compare an MRC fibration of a projective variety  $X$  with that of its finite cover  $\bar{X}$ . For this purpose, we prepare the following proposition:

**Proposition 2.4.** *Let  $\nu : \bar{X} \rightarrow X$  be a finite morphism between normal projective varieties. Let  $\pi : M \rightarrow X$  (resp.  $\bar{\pi} : \bar{M} \rightarrow \bar{X}$ ) be an elimination of the indeterminacies of an MRC fibration  $\psi : X \dashrightarrow Y$  (resp.  $\bar{\psi} : \bar{X} \dashrightarrow Y$ ). Assume that  $\bar{M} \rightarrow Y$  factors through  $M \rightarrow Y$  and consider the Stein factorization*

$$\bar{M} \xrightarrow{\bar{\phi}} \bar{Y} \xrightarrow{\sigma} Y$$

of  $\bar{M} \rightarrow Y$  (see Diagram (3.5)). Then we obtain the following:

- (1) There exists an almost holomorphic map  $\bar{X} \dashrightarrow \bar{Y}$  such that  $\bar{\phi} : \bar{M} \rightarrow \bar{Y}$  is an elimination of its indeterminacy locus.
- (2) If  $\bar{\psi} : \bar{X} \dashrightarrow \bar{Y}$  is an RC fibration, it is automatically an MRC fibration.
- (3) If  $\bar{\psi} : \bar{X} \dashrightarrow \bar{Y}$  is not an RC fibration, the fiber dimension of  $\bar{\psi} : \bar{X} \dashrightarrow \bar{Y}$  is strictly smaller than that of an MRC fibration of  $\bar{X}$ .

*Proof.* (1) Let  $\Gamma$  be the normalization of the graph of  $\psi : X \dashrightarrow Y$  and let  $\bar{\Gamma}_X$  be the normalization of the fiber product  $\bar{X} \times_X \Gamma$  with the corresponding morphism  $f : \bar{\Gamma}_X \rightarrow \Gamma$ .



The induced morphism  $\bar{M} \rightarrow \bar{\Gamma}_X$  is birational (in particular, it has connected fibers). Hence, there exists a morphism  $\bar{\Gamma}_X \rightarrow \bar{Y}$  with connected fiber such that  $\bar{\Gamma}_X \rightarrow \bar{Y} \rightarrow Y$  yields the Stein factorization of  $\bar{\Gamma}_X \rightarrow Y$ .

The exceptional divisor  $E_\Gamma$  of  $\Gamma \rightarrow X$  is not dominant over  $Y$  since  $\psi$  is an almost holomorphic map. Furthermore, since  $\nu : \bar{X} \rightarrow X$  is finite, the exceptional locus of  $\bar{\Gamma}_X \rightarrow \bar{X}$  agrees with  $f^*E_\Gamma$ , which is not dominant over  $\bar{Y}$ . Note that the pull-back  $f^*E_\Gamma$  of the Weil divisor  $E_\Gamma$  is well-defined since  $f$  is finite. This implies that  $\bar{X} \dashrightarrow \bar{Y}$  is almost holomorphic. By construction, the morphism  $\bar{\phi} : \bar{M} \rightarrow \bar{Y}$  is an elimination of indeterminacies of  $\bar{X} \dashrightarrow \bar{Y}$ .

(2) Since the base variety  $Y$  of MRC fibrations is not uniruled, its covering space  $\bar{Y}$  is not uniruled either, which leads to the conclusion of (2).

(3) Let  $p$  be a general point of  $\bar{X}$ . The base variety  $Y$  is not uniruled; thus  $\bar{Y}$  is not uniruled either. Hence, there are no rational curves passing through  $p \in \bar{X}$  whose image by  $\bar{\psi}$  is not a point. Let  $F$  be a fiber of the MRC fibration  $\bar{X} \dashrightarrow Z$  of  $\bar{X}$  passing through  $p \in \bar{X}$ . Then, the image  $\bar{\psi}(F)$  must be a singleton since  $F$  is rationally connected. The fiber  $F$  is thus properly contained in the fiber of  $\bar{\psi} : \bar{X} \rightarrow \bar{Y}$  over  $\bar{\psi}(F)$ , since a general fiber of  $\bar{\psi}$  is not rationally connected by assumption. This explanation leads to the conclusion of (3).  $\square$

*Remark 2.5.* The rational map  $\bar{X} \dashrightarrow \bar{Y}$  constructed from the MRC fibration  $\psi : X \dashrightarrow Y$  is almost holomorphic by (1), but not necessarily an RC fibration. For example, when the fibers of  $\psi : X \dashrightarrow Y$  are rationally connected  $\mathcal{Q}$ -abelian varieties (see [Example 3.6](#)), the fibers of  $\bar{X} \dashrightarrow \bar{Y}$  may be abelian varieties (which are not rationally connected). Such a phenomenon never occurs in the case of smooth  $X$ .

**2.3. On locally constant fibrations.** We review the definition of locally constant fibrations and give a preliminary lemma.

**Definition 2.6.** Let  $\phi : X \rightarrow Y$  be a surjective morphism with connected fibers between analytic varieties, and let  $\Delta$  be a Weil  $\mathcal{Q}$ -divisor on  $X$ .

- (1) The morphism  $\phi : X \rightarrow Y$  is said to be a *locally constant fibration with respect to the pair*  $(X, \Delta)$  if it satisfies the following conditions:
- $\phi : X \rightarrow Y$  is a (locally trivial) analytic fiber bundle with the fiber  $F$ .
  - Every component  $\Delta_i$  of  $\Delta$  is horizontal (i.e.,  $\phi(\Delta_i) = Y$ ).
  - There exist a representation

$$\rho : \pi_1(Y) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(F)$$

of the fundamental group  $\pi_1(Y)$  of  $Y$  to the automorphism group  $\text{Aut}(F)$  of  $F$  and a Weil  $\mathcal{Q}$ -divisor  $\Delta_F$  on  $F$  which is invariant under the action of  $\pi_1(Y)$ , so that  $(X, \Delta)$  is isomorphic to the quotient of  $(Y^{\text{univ}} \times F, \text{pr}_2^* \Delta_F)$  over  $Y$  by the action of  $\pi_1(Y)$  on  $Y^{\text{univ}} \times F$ . Here  $Y^{\text{univ}}$  is the universal cover of  $Y$ , and the action of  $\gamma \in \pi_1(Y)$  is defined to be

$$\gamma \cdot (y, z) := (\gamma \cdot y, \rho(\gamma)(z))$$

for any  $(y, z) \in Y^{\text{univ}} \times F$ .

- (2) The morphism  $\phi : X \rightarrow Y$  is simply said to be *locally constant* if it satisfies the above conditions for  $\Delta = 0$ . In this case,  $\phi$  is also sometimes called a *suspension of a representation* in the literatures.

A locally constant fibration  $\phi : X \rightarrow Y$  with respect to a pair  $(X, \Delta)$  is locally trivial; it is an analytic fiber bundle with fiber  $F$  such that there exist a Weil  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor  $\Delta_F$  on  $F$  and an isomorphism

$$(\phi^{-1}(B), \Delta) \cong B \times (F, \Delta_F)$$

over a sufficiently small open set  $B \subset Y$ . However, locally trivial fibrations are not necessarily locally constant: The projective space bundle  $\mathbb{P}(E) \rightarrow Y$  associated with a locally free sheaf  $E$  on  $Y$  is locally trivial but not always locally constant. Structure theorems in the previous works are often formulated for locally trivial fibrations. Nevertheless, it is important to formulate [Theorem 1.1](#) with locally constant fibrations (not locally trivial fibrations): e.g. a locally constant fibration induces a splitting of the tangent sheaf, see [[Wan20b](#), Remark 1.7] and the proof of [Theorem 4.1](#); moreover, we require the following lemma in the proof, which cannot be derived from local triviality.

**Lemma 2.7.** *Let  $\phi : X \rightarrow Y$  be a locally constant fibration such that the fiber  $F$  of  $\phi$  is a projective variety with vanishing irregularity. Then, for any line bundle  $L$  on  $X$ , there exists a line bundle  $L_Y$  on  $Y$  such that*

$$\phi_*(L - \phi^*L_Y)$$

*is a flat vector bundle.*

*Proof.* Let  $p_Y : Y^{\text{univ}} \rightarrow Y$  be the universal cover of  $Y$ , and let  $p_X : X \times_Y Y^{\text{univ}} \rightarrow X$  be the induced morphism. By local constancy, we obtain the isomorphism  $X \times_Y Y^{\text{univ}} \simeq Y^{\text{univ}} \times F$  such that the induced morphism  $X \times_Y Y^{\text{univ}} \rightarrow Y^{\text{univ}}$  is equal to the projection to the first factor under this identification. Moreover, there is a representation  $\rho : \pi_1(Y) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(F)$  such that  $X$  is the quotient of  $Y^{\text{univ}} \times F$  by the action of  $\pi_1(Y)$ . Since  $F$  is a projective variety with vanishing irregularity, there is a line bundle  $L_{Y^{\text{univ}}}$  on  $Y^{\text{univ}}$  and a line bundle  $L_F$  on  $F$  such that  $p_X^*L \simeq \text{pr}_1^*L_{Y^{\text{univ}}} + \text{pr}_2^*L_F$ , by the analytic version of [[Har77](#), §III.12, Exercise 12.6, p. 292].

Now  $p_X^*L$  is an  $\pi_1(Y)$ -invariant line bundle, and  $\pi_1(Y)$  acts diagonally on  $Y^{\text{univ}} \times F$ . Hence, both  $L_{Y^{\text{univ}}}$  and  $L_F$  are  $\pi_1(Y)$ -invariant. Consequently, there is a line bundle  $L_Y$  on  $Y$  such that  $L_{Y^{\text{univ}}} \simeq p_Y^*L_Y$ . For the reader's convenience, let us briefly recall the following argument: Let us take an open covering  $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$  of  $Y$  with sufficiently small  $U_i$  such that  $p_Y^{-1}(U_i)$  is a disjoint union of copies of  $U_i$ . Then, we can write

$$p_Y^{-1}(U_i) \simeq \coprod_{\gamma \in \pi_1(Y)} U_i^{(\gamma)}$$

and each  $U_i^{(\gamma)}$  is isomorphic to  $U_i$ . Furthermore, we may assume that  $L_{Y^{\text{univ}}}$  is a trivial line bundle over  $p_Y^{-1}(U_i)$ . Let

$$\tilde{g}_{ij} : p_Y^{-1}(U_i) \cap p_Y^{-1}(U_j) = p_Y^{-1}(U_i \cap U_j) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$$

be the transition functions of  $L_{Y^{\text{univ}}}$  associated with  $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ . Now  $L_{Y^{\text{univ}}}$  is  $\pi_1(Y)$ -invariant, hence  $\tilde{g}_{ij}|_{U_i^{(\gamma)}}$  is independent of  $\gamma$ , which gives rise to a function  $g_{ij}$  on  $U_i \cap U_j$ . Clearly,

the family  $\{g_{ij}\}$  satisfies the cocycle condition since  $\{\tilde{g}_{ij}\}$  satisfies this condition. Hence, we obtain a line bundle  $L_Y$  on  $Y$ . By construction, we can see that  $L_{Y^{\text{univ}}} \simeq p_Y^* L_Y$ .

Since we have  $p_X^*(L - \phi^* L_Y) \simeq \text{pr}_2^* L_F$ , we obtain

$$p_Y^* \phi_*(L - \phi^* L_Y) \simeq \text{pr}_{1*} \text{pr}_2^* L_F \simeq \mathcal{O}_{Y^{\text{univ}}} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} H^0(F, L_F),$$

from the flat base change theorem, which indicates that  $\phi_*(L - \phi^* L_Y)$  is a flat vector bundle.  $\square$

The lemma above says that every line bundle on a locally constant fibration can be modified (up to the tensoring a line bundle from below) so that its direct image is a flat vector bundle. On the other hand, if one can find such a line bundle that is relatively very ample, then the fibration is necessarily locally constant:

**Proposition 2.8.** *Let  $h : V \rightarrow W$  be an algebraic fiber space between (not necessarily compact) quasi-projective varieties with a smooth  $W$  and let  $D$  be an effective  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor on  $V$ . Assume that  $h$  is flat and that there is a  $h$ -very ample line bundle  $L$  on  $V$  such that for every  $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$  the direct image sheaf  $E_m := h_*(mL)$  is a local system (i.e. a flat vector bundle), and that  $F_m := h_*(mL - kD)$  is a sub-local system of  $E_m$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$  rendering  $kD$  an integral divisor. Then  $h$  is a locally constant fibration with respect to the pair  $(V, D)$ .*

*Proof.* This is the generalized form of [Wan20b, Proposition 1.8] and the proof is essentially the same, which we nevertheless give the details, for the convenience of the readers. The key fact is that local systems consist of an abelian category; in particular we have the compatibility of flat connections with respect to short exact sequences.

Now, since  $L$  is  $h$ -very ample, we have an embedding  $i : V \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}E_1$  over  $W$  so that  $i^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}E_1}(1) = L$ . Let  $\mathcal{I}_V$  and  $\mathcal{I}_{kD}$  be the ideal sheaves of  $V$  and of  $kD$  (as a scheme) in  $\mathbb{P}E_1$  respectively. Take  $p : W^{\text{univ}} \rightarrow W$  to be the universal cover of  $W$ , then  $p^* E_1$  is a trivial vector bundle and there are  $r + 1$  global sections  $e_0, \dots, e_r \in H^0(W^{\text{univ}}, p^* E_1)$  that are parallel with respect to (the pullback of) the flat connection  $\nabla_{E_1}$  where  $r + 1 := \text{rk} E_1$ .

By relative Serre vanishing, for sufficiently large  $m$ , we have a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow g_*(\mathcal{I}_V \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}E_1}(m)) \rightarrow g_*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}E_1}(m)) = \text{Sym}^m E_1 \rightarrow E_m := h_*(mL) \rightarrow 0$$

where  $g$  denotes the natural morphism  $\mathbb{P}E_1 \rightarrow W$ . Set  $S_m := g_*(\mathcal{I}_V \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}E_1}(m))$ , then since  $\mathcal{I}_V$  is flat over  $W$  (by the flatness of  $h$ ),  $S_m$  is a vector bundle for  $m$  sufficiently large by [ACG11, Proposition (3.3), p.13, Vol.II]. Since  $g_*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}E_1}(m))$  and  $E_m$  are both flat vector bundles, then so is  $S_m$ . Hence we get  $t_m$  global sections  $s_1, \dots, s_{t_m} \in H^0(W^{\text{univ}}, p^* S_m)$  that are parallel with respect to the flat connection  $\nabla_{S_m}$  where  $t_m := \text{rk} S_m$ . Since  $W^{\text{univ}}$  is simply connected, every  $s_i$ , regarded as a section of  $p^* \text{Sym}^m E_1$ , is parallel with respect to the pullback connection  $\nabla_{\text{Sym}^m E_1}$ , hence we can write

$$s_i = \sum_{\substack{\alpha=(\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_r) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{r+1} \\ |\alpha|=m}} c_{i,\alpha} \cdot e_0^{\alpha_0} \cdots e_r^{\alpha_r}$$

for some constants  $c_{i,\alpha} \in \mathbb{C}$ . This then implies that the embedding of  $\tilde{V} := V \times_W W^{\text{univ}}$  into  $\mathbb{P}^r \times W^{\text{univ}}$  over  $W^{\text{univ}}$  is defined by polynomials whose coefficients are independent of  $w \in W^{\text{univ}}$ . Hence  $\tilde{V}$  splits into a product  $W^{\text{univ}} \times F$  where  $F$  is the general fibre of  $h$ . Since

$E_1$  is a flat bundle, it is induced by a representation  $\pi_1(W) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(r+1)$ , which gives rise to a representation  $\rho : \pi_1(W) \rightarrow \mathrm{PGL}(r+1)$ . Let  $\gamma \in \pi_1(W)$ , then  $\rho(\gamma) : \mathbb{P}^r \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^r$  sends  $V_w$  to  $V_{\gamma(w)}$  viewed as subvarieties of  $\mathbb{P}^r$ . But as seen before, the defining polynomial of  $V_w$  in  $\mathbb{P}^r$  is independent of  $w$  hence  $\rho(\gamma)$  can be restricted to  $F$  so that we get a representation  $\rho : \pi_1(W) \rightarrow \mathrm{Aut}(F)$ . By construction  $V$  is isomorphic to the quotient of  $\tilde{V}$  by the action of  $\pi_1(W)$ , and hence  $h$  is a locally constant fibration.

Moreover, since  $F_m$  is a sub-local system of  $E_m$ ,  $(h|_{kD})_*(mL|_{kD})$  is also a local system, then by the same argument as above we see that for every  $\gamma \in \pi_1(W)$  the automorphism  $\rho(\gamma) \in \mathrm{Aut}(F)$  is also an automorphism of  $D_F := D|_F$ , and  $D$  is isomorphic to the quotient of  $D \times_W W^{\mathrm{univ}}$  by the action of  $\pi_1(W)$ . Hence  $h$  is a locally constant fibration with respect to  $(V, D)$ .  $\square$

*Remark 2.9.* This result is implicitly used in [CCM19, Proof of Theorem 4.2]. In addition, let us remark that

- (a) Note that the proposition differs from [Wan20b, Proposition 1.8] at the point that we already assume that the  $E_m$ 's are local systems so that we can tackle the non-compact case, and the result of Simpson ([Sim92]) is not required in the proof.
- (b) In the above proposition, if we assume that  $W$  is compact, then the condition ' $E_m$  is a local system and  $F_m$  is a sub-local system of  $E_m$ ' can be weakened to ' $E_m$  and  $F_m$  are both numerically flat vector bundles' by [DPS94, Sim92].
- (c) By the proof of the proposition, we see that to show that  $h$  is locally constant fibration with respect to  $(V, D)$ , we only need to show that  $E_m$  is a local system and  $F_m$  is a sub-local system of  $E_m$  for  $m = 1$  and for some  $m = m_0$  sufficiently large, no need to show this for every  $m$ .

**2.4. Singular Hermitian metrics on normal analytic spaces.** In this subsection, we recall the definition and properties of singular Hermitian metrics on torsion-free sheaves, and consider the pull-back or push-forward of weakly positively curved sheaves.

Let  $\mathcal{E}$  be a torsion-free sheaf on a normal analytic variety  $X$ . Throughout this paper, the notation  $X_{\mathcal{E}}$  denotes the maximal Zariski open set in  $X$  where  $\mathcal{E}$  is locally free, and  $X_{\mathrm{reg}}$  (resp.  $X_{\mathrm{sing}}$ ) denotes the regular locus (resp. the singular locus) of  $X$ . Note that  $X_{\mathrm{sing}}$  and  $X \setminus X_{\mathcal{E}}$  are of codimension  $\geq 2$  by the normality of  $X$  and the torsion-freeness of  $\mathcal{E}$ .

Let  $g$  be a singular Hermitian metric on  $\mathcal{E}$ , corresponding to a (possibly singular) Hermitian metric on the vector bundle  $\mathcal{E}|_{X_{\mathrm{reg}} \cap X_{\mathcal{E}}}$  (see [Rau15, Pău16, PT18, HPS18] for singular Hermitian metrics on vector bundles, see also [Wan20a, §1.4, §2.2.4]). Let  $\theta$  be a smooth  $(1, 1)$ -form on  $X$  admitting a local potential function (i.e.,  $\theta$  can be locally written as  $\theta = dd^c f$  for some smooth function  $f$ ). Note that  $d$ -closed forms do not always admit local potential functions on a singular variety. We write

$$(2.1) \quad \sqrt{-1}\theta_g \succeq \theta \otimes \mathrm{id} \text{ on } X$$

if the local function  $\log |e|_{g^*} - f$  is plurisubharmonic (psh) on  $X_{\mathrm{reg}} \cap X_{\mathcal{E}}$  for any (holomorphic) local section  $e$  of  $\mathcal{E}^*$ , where  $f$  is a local potential of  $\theta$  and  $g^*$  is the induced metric on the dual sheaf  $\mathcal{E}^* := \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{O}_X)$ . The above-mentioned function is actually psh on  $X$  by the normality of  $X$  and the torsion-freeness of  $\mathcal{E}$ , since any psh functions on the complement of a Zariski closed set of codimension  $\geq 2$  can be extended to the ambient

variety by [GR56, Satz 3, p. 181]. The notation  $\sqrt{-1}\Theta_g$ , which resembles the curvature, is used in the above definition; however, an appropriate definition of curvature is not known in the higher-rank case.

**Definition 2.10.** A torsion-free sheaf  $\mathcal{E}$  on a normal analytic variety  $X$  is said to be *weakly positively curved* if, for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a singular Hermitian metric  $g_\varepsilon$  on  $\mathcal{E}$  such that

$$\sqrt{-1}\Theta_{g_\varepsilon} \succeq -\varepsilon\omega \otimes \text{id on } X,$$

where  $\omega$  is a positive  $(1,1)$ -form (independent of  $\varepsilon$ ) on  $X$  admitting a local potential function. This definition does not depend on the selection of  $\omega$  when  $X$  is compact.

This paper considers the pull-back of weakly positively curved sheaves by surjective morphisms. If a locally free sheaf is weakly positively curved, its pull-back (for example, see [PT18]) is also weakly positively curved. However, the behavior of torsion-free sheaves under a pull-back differs from that of locally free sheaves (see Remark 2.12 below). The following lemma gives a condition to guarantee that torsion-free sheaves satisfy this property.

**Lemma 2.11.** *Let  $\phi : M \rightarrow X$  be a surjective morphism between (not necessarily compact) normal analytic varieties  $M$  and  $X$ . Let  $\theta$  be a smooth  $(1,1)$ -form on  $X$  admitting a local potential function and let  $(\mathcal{E}, g)$  be a torsion-free sheaf on  $X$  with a singular Hermitian metric  $g$  satisfying  $\sqrt{-1}\Theta_g \succeq \theta \otimes \text{id}$ . Assume that the inverse image  $\phi^{-1}(X \setminus X_\mathcal{E})$  is of codimension  $\geq 2$  and that the pull-back  $\phi^*(\mathcal{E}^*)$  is a reflexive sheaf on  $M$ .*

*Then, the induced metric  $\phi^*g$  on  $\phi^*\mathcal{E}|_{\phi^{-1}(X_\mathcal{E})}$  can be extended to the singular Hermitian metric on  $\phi^*\mathcal{E}$  satisfying*

$$\sqrt{-1}\Theta_{\phi^*g} \succeq \phi^*\theta \otimes \text{id on } M.$$

*Remark 2.12.* (1) The assumptions in Lemma 2.11 are automatically satisfied if the morphism  $\phi : M \rightarrow X$  is flat or if the sheaf  $\mathcal{E}$  is locally free on  $X$ . Indeed, since  $X \setminus X_\mathcal{E}$  is of codimension  $\geq 2$ , so is  $\phi^{-1}(X \setminus X_\mathcal{E})$  by the flatness. Furthermore, the dual sheaf of any coherent sheaves is always reflexive, and the reflexivity is preserved under pull-back by flat morphisms (see [Har80, Corollary 1.2, Proposition 1.8]).

(2) In general, the pull-back  $\phi^*\mathcal{E}$  is not always a torsion-free sheaf. Furthermore, even if we consider its torsion-free part, the conclusion of the lemma does not hold without the assumptions. For example, the maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}_p \subset \mathcal{O}_{X,p}$  at a given point  $p \in X$  admits a singular Hermitian metric  $g$  such that  $\sqrt{-1}\Theta_g = 0$  on  $X$ , which is induced by the trivial metric of the structure sheaf  $\mathcal{O}_X$ . For the blow-up  $\phi : M := \text{Bl}_p(X) \rightarrow X$  at the point  $p \in X$ , the torsion-free part of the pull-back  $\phi^*\mathfrak{m}_p$  is the ideal sheaf  $\mathcal{O}_M(-E)$  associated with the exceptional divisor  $E$ . However  $\mathcal{O}_M(-E)$  obviously admits no singular Hermitian metric with semi-positive curvature.

*Proof.* Let  $e$  be a section of  $(\phi^*\mathcal{E})^*$  on an open set  $B$  in  $M$ . Our aim is to demonstrate that  $\log |e|_{\phi^*g^*}$  is a  $\phi^*\theta$ -psh function on  $B$  (i.e.,  $dd^c \log |e|_{\phi^*g^*} \geq \phi^*\theta$ ).

The induced metric  $\phi^*g^*$  is a priori defined on  $\phi^{-1}(X_{\text{reg}} \cap X_\mathcal{E})$ . The conclusion of the lemma follows from [PT18, Lemma 2.3.2.], when  $X$  is smooth and  $\mathcal{E}$  is locally free. Hence  $\log |e|_{\phi^*g^*}$  is  $\phi^*\theta$ -psh on  $B \cap \phi^{-1}(X_{\text{reg}} \cap X_\mathcal{E})$ . In general, any  $\theta$ -psh functions on a Zariski

open set can be extended on the ambient space if they are bounded above; therefore, it suffices to demonstrate that  $\log |e|_{\phi^*g^*}$  is (locally) bounded above.

The assumptions yield the following isomorphisms:

$$\begin{aligned} & H^0(B, (\phi^*\mathcal{E})^*) \\ & \cong H^0(B \cap \phi^{-1}(X_\mathcal{E}), (\phi^*\mathcal{E})^*) \text{ by } \text{codim } \phi^{-1}(X \setminus X_\mathcal{E}) \geq 2 \text{ and reflexivity,} \\ & \cong H^0(B \cap \phi^{-1}(X_\mathcal{E}), \phi^*\mathcal{E}^*) \text{ by the local freeness of } \mathcal{E} \text{ and } \phi^*\mathcal{E}, \\ & \cong H^0(B, \phi^*(\mathcal{E}^*)) \text{ by } \text{codim } \phi^{-1}(X \setminus X_\mathcal{E}) \geq 2 \text{ and reflexivity,} \\ & \cong \varinjlim_{\phi(B) \subset V: \text{open}} H^0(V, \mathcal{E}^*) \otimes_{H^0(V, \mathcal{O}_X)} H^0(B, \mathcal{O}_M). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the section  $e$  can be written as follows:

$$e = \sum_{i=1}^m s_i \otimes f_i$$

for some  $s_i \in H^0(V, \mathcal{E}^*)$  and  $f_i \in H^0(B, \mathcal{O}_M)$ . Then, we obtain

$$|e|_{\phi^*g^*}^2 \leq \sum_{i=1}^m |f_i|^2 |1 \otimes s_i|_{\phi^*g^*}^2 = \sum_{i=1}^m |f_i|^2 \phi^* |s_i|_{g^*}^2 \text{ on } B \cap \phi^{-1}(X_{\text{reg}} \cap X_\mathcal{E})$$

from the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and the definition of  $\phi^*g^*$ . The function  $\log |s_i|_{g^*}$  is  $\theta$ -psh on  $V$  since  $\sqrt{-1}\Theta_g \succeq \theta \otimes \text{id}$ , in particular, it is bounded above. Combining this result with the above inequality, we conclude that  $|e|_{\phi^*g^*}^2$  is bounded above on  $B$ , and thus can be extended to the  $\phi^*\theta$ -psh function on  $B$ .  $\square$

At the end of this subsection, we investigate the push-forward of weakly positively curved sheaves by birational morphisms.

**Lemma 2.13.** *Let  $\pi : M \rightarrow X$  be a birational morphism from a smooth projective variety  $M$  to a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial projective variety  $X$ , and let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a weakly positively curved sheaf on  $M$ . Then, the push-forward  $\pi_*\mathcal{F}$  is weakly positively curved on  $X$ .*

*Proof.* By assumption, there exist singular Hermitian metrics  $g_\varepsilon$  on  $\mathcal{F}$  such that

$$\sqrt{-1}\Theta_{g_\varepsilon} \succeq -\varepsilon\omega_M \otimes \text{id} \text{ on } M,$$

where  $\omega_M$  is a Kähler form on  $M$ . By comparing  $\omega_M$  with a Kähler form on  $X$ , we will investigate singular Hermitian metrics  $h_\varepsilon$  on  $\pi_*\mathcal{F}$  defined by pushing-forward  $g_\varepsilon$ .

Let  $\omega_X$  be a Kähler form on  $X$  defined by  $\omega_X := \Phi^*\omega_{FS}$ , where  $\Phi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(|A|)$  is the embedding associated with a very ample line bundle  $A$  on  $X$  and  $\omega_{FS}$  is the Fubini-Study form on  $\mathbb{P}(|A|)$ . Note that the Kähler form  $\omega_X$  locally admits a smooth potential function by construction. By  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factoriality, we can take an effective and  $\pi$ -exceptional  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor  $G$  on  $M$  such that  $\pi^*A - G$  is an ample line bundle on  $M$  (c.f. [Kol07, Lemma 2.9, Complement 2.10, pp. 73-74]). We may assume that  $\omega_M$  is a Kähler form representing the ample class  $c_1(\pi^*A - G)$ . From the construction of  $\omega_X$ , we can take a smooth  $(1, 1)$ -form  $\theta$  on  $M$  such that

$$\theta \in -c_1(G) \text{ and } \omega_M = \pi^*\omega_X + \theta.$$

We will show that there exists a quasi-psh function  $\varphi$  on  $X$  such that

$$(2.2) \quad \omega_M = \pi^*\omega_X - [G] + dd^c\pi^*\varphi,$$

where  $[G]$  is the integration current associated with the effective divisor  $G$ . This easily follows from the push-forward of currents for a smooth  $X$ . For the reader's convenience, we will provide a proof for the case of a singular  $X$ . We first take a quasi-psh function  $\psi$  on  $M$  such that

$$\omega_M = \pi^*\omega_X - [G] + dd^c\psi.$$

Let  $E$  be the  $\pi$ -exceptional locus. The function  $\varphi$  on  $X \setminus \pi(E)$  can be defined by  $\varphi = \pi_*\psi$  via the isomorphism  $\pi : M \setminus E \cong X \setminus \pi(E)$ . Then, for a local smooth function  $f$  with  $\omega_X = dd^c f$ , we find that

$$dd^c(\pi^*f + \pi^*\varphi) = \pi^*\omega_X + dd^c\psi = \omega_M \text{ locally on } M \setminus E$$

by inclusion  $G \subset E$ . This statement indicates that  $f + \varphi$  is a psh function on  $X \setminus \pi(E)$ . The function  $\varphi$  can be extended to the quasi-psh function on  $X$  since  $f$  is smooth and  $\pi(E)$  is of codimension  $\geq 2$ . Then, the extended function  $\varphi$  satisfies  $\psi = \pi^*\varphi$  on  $M$  since  $\psi$  and  $\pi^*\varphi$  are quasi-psh, which leads to the desired equality (2.2).

We define the singular Hermitian metric  $h_\varepsilon$  on  $\pi_*\mathcal{F}|_{X \setminus \pi(E)}$  by  $h_\varepsilon := \pi_*g_\varepsilon$ . We will construct weakly positively curved metrics on  $\pi_*\mathcal{F}$  by modifying  $h_\varepsilon$  with  $\varphi$ . For simplicity of the notation, we used the same notation  $X$  (resp.  $M$ ) to denote a sufficiently small open set in  $X$  (resp. its inverse image by  $\pi$ ). Let us consider the function

$$\varphi_\varepsilon := \log |e|_{h_\varepsilon} \text{ on } X \setminus \pi(E)$$

for a local section  $e$  of  $(\pi_*\mathcal{F})^*$ . Now, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & H^0(X, (\pi_*\mathcal{F})^*) \\ & \cong H^0(X \setminus \pi(E), (\pi_*\mathcal{F})^*) \text{ by } \text{codim } \pi(E) \geq 2 \text{ and reflexivity,} \\ & \cong H^0(M \setminus E, \mathcal{F}^*) \text{ by the isomorphism } \pi : X \setminus E \cong X \setminus \pi(E). \end{aligned}$$

This statement indicates that the section  $e$  of  $(\pi_*\mathcal{F})^*$  can be identified with the section in  $H^0(M \setminus E, (\pi_*\mathcal{F})^*)$ , which we denote by  $\pi^*e$ . Then, by definition, we have

$$\pi^*\varphi_\varepsilon = \pi^*(\log |e|_{h_\varepsilon}^*) = \log |\pi^*e|_{\pi^*h_\varepsilon} = \log |\pi^*e|_{g_\varepsilon} \text{ on } M \setminus E.$$

Hence we can see that

$$dd^c\pi^*\varphi_\varepsilon = dd^c \log |\pi^*e|_{g_\varepsilon} \geq -\varepsilon\omega_M = -\varepsilon(\pi^*\omega_X + dd^c\pi^*\varphi) \text{ on } M \setminus E$$

by the selection of  $g_\varepsilon$  and equality (2.2). This equality indicates that

$$dd^c(\varphi_\varepsilon + \varepsilon\varphi) \geq -\varepsilon\omega_X \text{ on } X \setminus \pi(E).$$

Hence  $\varphi_\varepsilon + \varepsilon\varphi$  can be extended to the  $\varepsilon\omega_X$ -psh function on  $X$  by  $\text{codim } \pi(E) \geq 2$ . Note that  $\varphi_\varepsilon + \varepsilon\varphi$  can be extended because of  $\varphi$  (which may have the pole), but this extendability can not be expected for  $\varphi_\varepsilon$  itself. Thus, the metric  $H_\varepsilon := h_\varepsilon e^{-\varepsilon\varphi}$  on  $\pi_*\mathcal{F}$  satisfies the definition of weakly positively curved metrics.  $\square$

**2.5. Quasi-étale Galois covers and semi-stable sheaves.** In this subsection, following [GKP16a], we summarize the definition of quasi-étale (Galois) covers and prove slope semi-stability for weakly positive curved sheaves with vanishing first Chern class.

**Definition 2.14.** Let  $\nu : X \rightarrow Y$  be a surjective morphism between normal analytic varieties  $X$  and  $Y$ .

- (1)  $\nu : X \rightarrow Y$  is said to be *quasi-étale* if there exists a Zariski closed set  $Z \subset X$  of codimension  $\geq 2$  such that the induced morphism  $\nu|_{X \setminus Z} : X \setminus Z \rightarrow Y$  is étale.
- (2)  $\nu : X \rightarrow Y$  is said to be *Galois* if there exists a finite group  $G \subset \text{Aut}(X)$  such that  $\nu : X \rightarrow Y$  coincides with the quotient morphism  $X \rightarrow X/G$  induced by  $G$ .
- (3) Assume that  $\nu : X \rightarrow Y$  is a finite morphism. A finite morphism  $\Gamma : W \rightarrow Y$  from a normal analytic variety  $W$  is said to be a *Galois closure* of  $\nu : X \rightarrow Y$  if there exists a finite morphism  $\gamma : W \rightarrow X$  with the following properties:
  - $\Gamma = \nu \circ \gamma$ .
  - $\Gamma$  and  $\gamma$  are Galois with respect to some finite groups  $H \subset G \subset \text{Aut}(W)$ .
  - The ramified locus of  $\Gamma$  coincides with that of  $\nu$ .

*Remark 2.15.* A finite morphism  $\nu : X \rightarrow Y$  is Galois if and only if the field extension  $\mathbb{C}(X)/\mathbb{C}(Y)$  is a Galois extension. Indeed, since the problem is local, we can assume that  $X$  and  $Y$  are affine. Then, it is clear that  $\mathcal{O}_Y \simeq \mathcal{O}_X^G$  holds.

The category of finite quasi-étale covers of a normal analytic variety  $X$  is equivalent to that of finite index subgroups in the étale fundamental group of the regular locus  $X_{\text{reg}}$ . [Theorem 2.16](#) states that the difference between the fundamental groups of  $X$  and of  $X_{\text{reg}}$  is not as large for a potentially klt  $X$ . [Theorem 2.17](#), which is useful in the application of [Theorem 2.16](#), guarantees the existence of Galois closures with control of the ramified locus.

**Theorem 2.16** ([GKP16a, Theorem 1.1]). *Let  $X$  be a quasi-projective and potentially klt variety. We consider a sequence*

$$\dots \longrightarrow X^{(3)} \xrightarrow{\nu_3} X^{(2)} \xrightarrow{\nu_2} X^{(1)} \xrightarrow{\nu_1} X^{(0)} := X$$

*of finite quasi-étale covers  $v_{k+1} : X^{(k+1)} \rightarrow X^{(k)}$  with normal  $X^{(k)}$  such that the composition morphism  $X^{(k)} \rightarrow X^{(0)}$  is Galois for any  $k$ . Then, all but a finite number of the morphisms  $v_{k+1} : X^{(k+1)} \rightarrow X^{(k)}$  are étale.*

**Theorem 2.17** ([GKP16a, Theorem 3.7]). *For any finite morphism  $\nu : X \rightarrow Y$  between normal projective varieties  $X$  and  $Y$ , there exists a Galois closure  $\Gamma : W \rightarrow Y$  of  $\nu : X \rightarrow Y$  in the sense of [Definition 2.14\(3\)](#).*

Now, we prove certain stability of pseudo-effective sheaves with vanishing first Chern class, and obtain local freeness and numerical flatness by applying [GKP16a, Theorem 1.20].

**Theorem 2.18** (cf. [GKP16a, Theorem 1.20], [HIM19, Theorem 1.2], [CCM19, Proposition 2.7]). *Let  $\mathcal{E}$  be a reflexive sheaf on a normal projective variety  $X$  and  $A$  be an ample line bundle on  $X$ . Assume that  $\mathcal{E}$  is pseudo-effective (which is satisfied if it is weakly positively curved) and satisfies  $c_1(\mathcal{E}) = 0$ . Assume that  $X$  is smooth in codimension 2.*



(1) Then the sheaf  $\mathcal{E}$  is  $A$ -slope semi-stable and satisfies that

$$c_2(\mathcal{E}) \cdot A^{\dim X - 2} = 0.$$

(2) If we further assume  $X$  is potentially klt, then there exists a finite quasi-étale Galois cover  $\nu : \bar{X} \rightarrow X$  with  $\bar{X}$  normal such that  $(\nu^*\mathcal{E})^{**}$  is locally free and numerically flat on  $\bar{X}$  (i.e.,  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}((\nu^*\mathcal{E})^{**})}(1)$  is nef and  $c_1((\nu^*\mathcal{E})^{**}) = 0$ ).

*Proof.* (1) We may assume that  $A$  is very ample. Let us consider a surface  $S$  and a curve  $C$  on  $X$  defined by

$$S := H_1 \cap H_2 \cap \cdots \cap H_{n-2} \quad \text{and} \quad C := H_1 \cap H_2 \cap \cdots \cap H_{n-1},$$

where  $n := \dim X$  and  $H_i$  is a general hypersurface in the linear system  $|A|$ . Note that  $\mathcal{E}$  is locally free in codimension 2 since it is a reflexive sheaf and  $X$  is smooth in codimension 2. Hence, since  $H_i$  is a general hypersurface, the restriction  $\mathcal{E}|_S$  to  $S$  is locally free and still pseudo-effective. Furthermore, based on the above assumption and  $\text{codim}(X \setminus X_{\mathcal{E}}) \geq 2$ , we obtain

$$c_1(\mathcal{E}) \cdot c_1(A)^{n-2} := c_1(\mathcal{E}|_S) = c_1(\mathcal{E})|_S = 0.$$

By applying [HIM19, Theorem 1.2] (c.f. also [CCM19, Proposition 2.7] and [Wu20, Corollary of Main Theorem]) to  $\mathcal{E}|_S$ , we can see that  $\mathcal{E}|_S$  is numerically flat on  $S$ ; thus all of the Chern classes of  $\mathcal{E}|_S$  vanish. In particular, we have

$$c_2(\mathcal{E}) \cdot c_1(A)^{n-2} := c_2(\mathcal{E}|_S) = 0.$$

Now, we confirm that  $\mathcal{E}$  is  $A$ -slope semi-stable. If  $\mathcal{E}$  is not  $A$ -slope semi-stable, we can find a subsheaf  $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{E}$  destabilizing  $\mathcal{E}$ :

$$\frac{c_1(\mathcal{S}) \cdot c_1(A)^{n-1}}{\text{rk } \mathcal{S}} > \frac{c_1(\mathcal{E}) \cdot c_1(A)^{n-1}}{\text{rk } \mathcal{E}} = 0.$$

We may assume that  $\mathcal{Q} := \mathcal{E}/\mathcal{S}$  is torsion-free by considering the saturation of  $\mathcal{S}$ . The restriction of the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{E}/\mathcal{S} \rightarrow 0$$

to the curve  $C$  is still exact. This yields

$$0 = c_1(\mathcal{E}) \cdot c_1(A)^{n-1} = c_1(\mathcal{S}) \cdot c_1(A)^{n-1} + c_1(\mathcal{Q}) \cdot c_1(A)^{n-1}.$$

Hence, we obtain  $c_1(\mathcal{Q}) \cdot c_1(A)^{n-1} < 0$  from the choice of  $\mathcal{S}$ . On the other hand, the restriction  $\mathcal{E}|_C$  is still pseudo-effective, the quotient of  $\mathcal{E}|_C$  is pseudo-effective as well. This statement indicates that  $c_1(\mathcal{Q}) \cdot c_1(A)^{n-1} \geq 0$ , which is a contradiction.

(2) By applying [GKP16a, Theorem 1.20] to the  $A$ -slope semi-stable sheaf  $\mathcal{E}$ , we can find a finite quasi-étale Galois cover  $\nu : \bar{X} \rightarrow X$  with  $\bar{X}$  normal such that  $(\nu^*\mathcal{E})^{**}$  is locally free on  $\bar{X}$ . It remains to be shown that  $(\nu^*\mathcal{E})^{**}$  is numerically flat. The sheaf  $(\nu^*\mathcal{E})^{**}$  is still pseudo-effective and satisfies the condition  $c_1((\nu^*\mathcal{E})^{**}) = 0$ , since  $\nu$  is quasi-étale. For a resolution  $\bar{\pi} : \bar{M} \rightarrow \bar{X}$  of singularities of  $\bar{X}$ , we can see that the pull-back  $\bar{\pi}^*((\nu^*\mathcal{E})^{**})$  is pseudo-effective by applying Lemma 2.11 to the locally free sheaf  $(\nu^*\mathcal{E})^{**}$ . Furthermore, the pull-back satisfies the condition  $c_1(\bar{\pi}^*((\nu^*\mathcal{E})^{**})) = 0$ . Here, we essentially use the local freeness of  $(\nu^*\mathcal{E})^{**}$ . Using [HIM19, Theorem 1.2] again, we can conclude that  $\bar{\pi}^*((\nu^*\mathcal{E})^{**})$  is numerically flat, and thus  $(\nu^*\mathcal{E})^{**}$  is numerically flat as well.  $\square$

Below, we give an elementary lemma that will be used later.

**Lemma 2.19.** *Let  $g : X' \rightarrow X$  be a birational morphism and  $\eta' : X'_1 \rightarrow X'$  be a finite quasi-étale (resp. Galois in addition) cover between projective varieties. Then, there exists a projective variety  $X_1$  with a finite quasi-étale cover (resp. Galois in addition)  $\eta_1 : X_1 \rightarrow X$  and a birational morphism  $g_1 : X'_1 \rightarrow X_1$  such that  $\eta_1 \circ g_1 = g \circ \eta'$ .*

*Proof.* This proof is standard: We simply consider  $X_1$  to be the normalization of  $X$  in the functional field of  $X'_1$ . Then, we have the natural finite morphism  $\eta : X_1 \rightarrow X$  and the birational morphism  $g_1 : X'_1 \rightarrow X_1$ . Since  $\eta'$  is a quasi-étale Galois cover, so is  $\eta$ .  $\square$

**2.6. On the flat base change theorem.** The following proposition is derived from the flat base change theorem. The proof is straightforward, but its formulation is useful for our purpose. Here, we present a proof for the convenience of the readers.

**Proposition 2.20.** *Let  $X, Y, Z$ , and  $M$  be analytic varieties. Let  $g : X \rightarrow Z$  and  $f : Y \rightarrow Z$  be proper surjective morphisms, and let  $\beta : M \rightarrow P$  be a proper surjective morphism to the fiber product  $P := Y \times_Z X$ . Let  $a, b, p$ , and  $q$  denote the corresponding morphisms with the following commutative diagram:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 M & \xrightarrow{a} & X \\
 \beta \searrow & & \downarrow g \\
 P = Y \times_Z X & \xrightarrow{q} & X \\
 \downarrow p & & \downarrow g \\
 Y & \xrightarrow{f} & Z
 \end{array}$$

*(Note: In the original image, there is also a curved arrow  $b$  from  $M$  to  $Y$  and a curved arrow  $a$  from  $M$  to  $X$ .)*

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a coherent sheaf on  $X$ . We consider Zariski closed sets  $A \subset Z$  and  $B, C \subset P$  satisfying the following conditions:

- $f : Y \rightarrow Z$  is a flat morphism over  $Z \setminus A$ .
- $q^*\mathcal{F}$  is a locally free sheaf on  $P \setminus B$
- $\beta : M \rightarrow P$  is an algebraic fiber space over  $P \setminus C$  (i.e.,  $P \setminus C$  is normal and  $\beta : M \rightarrow P$  has connected fibers over  $P \setminus C$ ).

Then, the natural morphism (defined on  $Y$ )

$$f^*g_*\mathcal{F} \rightarrow p_*q^*\mathcal{F} \rightarrow b_*a^*\mathcal{F}$$

is isomorphic on  $Y \setminus (f^{-1}(A) \cup p(B \cup C))$ .

*Proof.* The natural morphism  $f^*g_*\mathcal{F} \rightarrow p_*q^*\mathcal{F}$ , which is defined on the ambient space  $Y$ , is isomorphic on  $Y \setminus f^{-1}(A)$  by the flat base change theorem. Moreover, we can see that the induced morphism

$$q^*\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \beta_*\beta^*q^*\mathcal{F} = \beta_*a^*\mathcal{F} \quad \text{on } P$$

is isomorphic on  $P \setminus (B \cup C)$ , by applying the projection formula to the algebraic fiber space  $\beta : M \setminus \beta^{-1}(B \cup C) \rightarrow P \setminus (B \cup C)$ . Hence, the morphism

$$p_*q^*\mathcal{F} \rightarrow p_*\beta_*\beta^*q^*\mathcal{F} = p_*\beta_*a^*\mathcal{F} = b_*a^*\mathcal{F}$$

induced by pushing-forward to  $Y$  is isomorphic on  $Y \setminus p(B \cup C)$ . Here, we implicitly used the obvious inclusion  $B \cup C \subset p^{-1}(p(B \cup C))$ . The above isomorphisms lead to the desired conclusion.  $\square$

### 3. FLAT CONNECTIONS OF DIRECT IMAGE SHEAVES

In this section, we investigate  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial terminal pairs with nef anti-log canonical divisor and appropriate direct image sheaves defined by their MRC fibrations. This section is devoted to the proof of [Theorem 3.3](#), which states that the direct image sheaves satisfy a certain flatness, up to a finite quasi-étale cover and  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorization. [Theorem 3.3](#) will be applied to  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial log terminal models of given klt pairs to derive the holomorphicity and local constancy of MRC fibrations (up to a finite quasi-étale cover), which will be discussed in [Section 4](#). The proof of [Theorem 3.3](#) clarifies why we require a finite quasi-étale cover of  $X$  in the statement of [Theorem 1.1](#), which does not appear in the case where  $X_{\text{reg}}$  is simply connected ([\[Wan20b\]](#)) or where  $X$  is smooth ([\[CCM19\]](#)).

**3.1. Setting and goal in this section.** The proof of [Theorem 3.3](#) requires a more generalized setting than [\[CCM19, Setting 3.1\]](#) and [\[Wan20b, Setting 2.1\]](#) in the previous works. In this subsection, we give a precise formulation of [Theorem 3.3](#) after we describe our setting. Throughout this section, we maintain the following setting.

**Setting 3.1.** Let  $(X, \Delta)$  be a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial terminal pair with the nef anti-log canonical divisor  $-(K_X + \Delta)$ , and let  $\psi : X \dashrightarrow Y$  be an MRC fibration of  $X$  to a projective variety  $Y$  with  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Gorenstein singularities. Let  $\pi : M \rightarrow X$  be a resolution of singularities of  $X$  and indeterminacies of  $\psi : X \dashrightarrow Y$ , equipped with the corresponding morphism  $\phi$  in the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M & \xrightarrow{\pi} & X \\ & \searrow \phi & \swarrow \psi \\ & & Y \end{array}$$

Assume that  $Y$  satisfies condition (\*):

- (\*) There exists an elimination of indeterminacies

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Gamma & \longrightarrow & X \\ & \searrow \phi_\Gamma & \downarrow \psi \\ & & Y \end{array}$$

such that  $\phi_\Gamma : \Gamma \rightarrow Y$  is equidimensional and  $\phi : M \rightarrow Y$  factorizes through  $\phi_\Gamma$ .

The existence of  $Y$  with condition (\*) is guaranteed by [Remark 3.2](#) below.

Let  $A$  be a sufficiently ample line bundle on  $X$  and let  $E$  be an effective Cartier divisor on  $M$  whose support coincides with the  $\pi$ -exceptional locus  $\text{Exc}(\pi)$ . Then, we define the  $\phi$ -big line bundle  $L$  on  $M$  by

$$L := L_m := \mathcal{O}_M(m(\pi^* A + cE)) - \frac{m}{r} \phi^* \det \phi_* \mathcal{O}_M(\pi^* A + cE),$$

where  $r$  is the rank of the direct image sheaf  $\phi_*\mathcal{O}_M(\pi^*A + cE)$  and  $c$  (resp.  $m$ ) is a sufficiently large (resp. divisible in addition) integer. Note that the  $\pi$ -exceptional locus has pure codimension one since  $X$  is  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial. Furthermore, we define the direct image sheaf  $\mathcal{V}$  on  $Y$  by

$$\mathcal{V} := \mathcal{V}_m := \phi_*L_m$$

The subscript  $m$  in  $\mathcal{V}_m$  and  $L_m$  is not important in most of this section, and thus, we often omit the subscript  $m$  to simplify the notation. Let  $Y_0 \subset Y$  be the maximal Zariski open set in the regular locus  $Y_{\text{reg}}$  satisfying the following properties:

- $\phi : M \rightarrow Y$  is a flat morphism over  $Y_0$ .
- $\phi^*P$  is not  $\pi$ -exceptional for any prime divisor  $P$  on  $Y_0$ .

*Remark 3.2.* Condition (\*) is a technical assumption to ensure [Proposition 3.4](#). Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be the foliation induced by  $\psi$ . Then, the morphism  $\phi_\Gamma : \Gamma \rightarrow Y$  induced by the family of leaves of  $\mathcal{F}$  satisfies this condition. More generally, if  $Y$  admits a birational morphism to the (unique) subvariety of the Chow variety of  $X$  parameterizing (the closure of) general leaves of  $\mathcal{F}$ , then the normalization of the pull-back of the universal family satisfies condition (\*).

MRC fibrations have ambiguities in the selection of birational models of the base  $Y$ ; thus, we can select an MRC fibration  $X \dashrightarrow Y'$  to a smooth variety  $Y'$  by taking a resolution of singularities of  $Y$ . Nevertheless, we do not assume that  $Y$  is smooth in [Setting 3.1](#), which is the primary difference of our setting from the previous works [[CCM19](#), [Setting 3.1](#)] and [[Wan20b](#), [Setting 2.1](#)]. The reason is that in the proof of [Theorem 3.3](#), we select a suitable finite quasi-étale cover  $\nu : \bar{X} \rightarrow X$  by using [Theorem 2.18](#), then we examine the fibration  $\bar{\psi} : \bar{X} \dashrightarrow \bar{Y}$  naturally induced by this quasi-étale cover (see [Proposition 2.4](#)). The new base  $\bar{Y}$  can be shown to have klt singularities but cannot be expected to be smooth even if we start from a smooth base  $Y$ . Therefore, we consider a singular base  $Y$  in [Setting 3.1](#), and this requires a much more involved argument in the proof of [Theorem 3.3](#).

This section aims to prove that  $\mathcal{V}$  satisfies a certain flatness on  $Y_0$ , up to a finite quasi-étale cover and  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorization. Let us formulate our aim in this section.

**Theorem 3.3.** *There exists a sequence of finite quasi-étale covers  $\nu_i : X_i \rightarrow X_{i-1}^{\text{qf}}$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$  satisfying the following property:*

*After we replace  $X$  with  $X_N$  or  $X_N^{\text{qf}}$ , we reconsider [Setting 3.1](#) for the new variety  $X$ . Then, for any  $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ , there exists a Zariski closed set  $C_m \subset Y$  of codimension  $\geq 2$  such that  $\mathcal{V}_m$  is locally free on  $Y \setminus C_m$  and  $\mathcal{V}_m$  admits a flat connection on  $Y_0 \setminus C_m$ .*

Here  $X_i^{\text{qf}}$  and  $X_i$  are inductively defined as follows:  $X_0$  is defined by  $X_0 := X_0^{\text{qf}} := X$  and  $X_i^{\text{qf}}$  for  $i \geq 1$  is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorization of  $X_i$  with a small birational morphism  $X_i^{\text{qf}} \rightarrow X_i$  (see [[BCHM10](#), [Corollary 1.4.3](#)] for  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorizations).

Note that the cover  $\nu_i : X_i \rightarrow X_{i-1}^{\text{qf}}$  does not depend on  $m$ . Define inductively  $\Delta_i$  to be the pullback of  $\Delta_{i-1}$  by  $\nu_i$  and  $\Delta_i^{\text{qf}}$  to be the strict transform of  $\Delta_i$  to  $X_i^{\text{qf}}$ , then  $(X_i, \Delta_i)$  (resp.  $(X_i^{\text{qf}}, \Delta_i^{\text{qf}})$ ) is a terminal pair with nef anti-log canonical divisor, since  $\nu_i : X_i \rightarrow X_{i-1}^{\text{qf}}$  is a quasi-étale morphism and  $X_i^{\text{qf}} \rightarrow X_i$  is a small birational morphism as in the following

diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 X_i^{\text{qf}} & \xrightarrow{\mathbb{Q}\text{-factorization}} & X_i \\
 & & \downarrow \nu_i: \text{finite quasi-étale} \\
 & & X_{i-1}^{\text{qf}} \xrightarrow{\mathbb{Q}\text{-factorization}} X_{i-1}.
 \end{array}$$

**3.2. Birational semi-stability for MRC fibrations.** In this subsection, we confirm a certain birational semi-stability for  $\psi : X \dashrightarrow Y$ . [Proposition 3.4](#) was explicitly formulated in [\[CH19\]](#), [\[CCM19, Theorem 3.2\]](#), and [\[Wan20b, Proposition 2.2\]](#) for smooth  $Y$ , which essentially follows from [\[Zha05, Main Theorem\]](#). Our proposition generalizes the results mentioned above to the case that  $Y$  has  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Gorenstein singularities and satisfies the condition [\(\\*\)](#); moreover, our result is formulated without replacing  $M$  and  $Y$  with their successive blow-ups.

**Proposition 3.4.** *The following statements hold:*

- (a)  $\phi^*N_Y$  is  $\pi$ -exceptional for any effective  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor  $N_Y$  on  $Y$  with  $N_Y \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} K_Y$ .
- (b)  $\kappa(K_Y) = 0$ .
- (c)  $\pi(\phi^{-1}(Y \setminus Y_0))$  is of codimension  $\geq 2$ .
- (d)  $Y_0$  has the Liouville property.
- (e)  $\psi$  is semi-stable in codimension one: Let  $P$  be a prime divisor on  $Y_0$  and  $\phi^*P = \sum_i c_i P_i$  be the irreducible decomposition of  $\phi^*P$ . Then, any non-reduced component  $P_i$  (i.e., the component  $P_i$  with  $c_i > 1$ ) is  $\pi$ -exceptional.
- (f)  $\Delta$  is horizontal with respect to  $\psi$ .

*Proof.* First, note that no base of MRC fibrations is uniruled by [\[GHS03\]](#). For the case where  $Y$  is smooth, after replacing  $M$  and  $Y$  with their successive blow-ups, we can obtain all of the conclusions in the proposition by [\[Wan20b, Proposition 2.2\]](#). In the sequel, we will deduce the proposition from this case, denoted as the *smooth case* in this proof. We can take birational morphisms  $\pi_M : \tilde{M} \rightarrow M$  and  $\pi_Y : \tilde{Y} \rightarrow Y$  such that  $\tilde{\phi} : \tilde{M} \rightarrow \tilde{Y}$  is an elimination of the indeterminacies of an MRC fibration  $X \dashrightarrow \tilde{Y}$  and that all of the conclusions in the proposition hold for  $\tilde{\phi} : \tilde{M} \rightarrow \tilde{Y}$  and  $\tilde{\pi} : \tilde{M} \rightarrow X$ . Furthermore, we may assume that the  $\pi_Y$ -exceptional locus of  $\pi_Y : \tilde{Y} \rightarrow Y$  has pure codimension one by replacing  $\tilde{Y}$  with its successive blow-ups again. The situation can be summarized by the following commutative diagram:

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & \tilde{\pi} & & \\
 & \tilde{M} & \xrightarrow{\pi_M} & M & \xrightarrow{\pi} & X \\
 & \downarrow \tilde{\phi} & & \downarrow \phi & \swarrow \psi & \\
 & \tilde{Y} & \xrightarrow{\pi_Y} & Y & & 
 \end{array}$$

First, we observe that the pull-back  $\tilde{\phi}^*\text{Exc}(\pi_Y)$  of the  $\pi_Y$ -exceptional divisor  $\text{Exc}(\pi_Y)$  is  $\tilde{\pi}$ -exceptional. To this end, we consider the normalization  $\tilde{\Gamma}$  of the fiber product  $\Gamma \times_Y \tilde{Y}$ . Since  $\Gamma \rightarrow Y$  has equidimensional fibers (see condition [\(\\*\)](#)), the pull-back of  $\text{Exc}(\pi_Y)$  to

$\tilde{\Gamma}$  is exceptional with respect to the induced morphism  $\tilde{\Gamma} \rightarrow \Gamma$ . We can assume that  $\tilde{\phi}$  factorizes through  $\tilde{\Gamma} \rightarrow \tilde{Y}$ ; thus, it follows that  $\tilde{\phi}^*\text{Exc}(\pi_Y)$  is  $\tilde{\pi}$ -exceptional from the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \tilde{M} & & & & \\
 \searrow & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\pi}} & & & \\
 \tilde{\Gamma} & \longrightarrow & \Gamma & \longrightarrow & X \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \swarrow \psi \\
 \tilde{Y} & \xrightarrow{\pi_Y} & Y & & \\
 \uparrow \tilde{\phi} & & & & 
 \end{array}$$

We will deduce [Proposition 3.4](#) from the above observation and the results in the smooth case (i.e., the conclusions for  $\tilde{\phi} : \tilde{M} \rightarrow \tilde{Y}$  and  $\tilde{\pi} : \tilde{M} \rightarrow X$ ).

**(a):** Let  $N_Y$  be an effective  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor on  $Y$  with  $N_Y \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} K_Y$ . By property (b) in the smooth case, we can take an effective  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor  $N_{\tilde{Y}}$  on  $\tilde{Y}$  such that  $N_{\tilde{Y}} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} K_{\tilde{Y}}$ . Then, we have

$$(3.2) \quad N_{\tilde{Y}} + F \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} K_{\tilde{Y}} + F = \pi_Y^* K_Y + G \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} \pi_Y^* N_Y + G$$

for some effective  $\pi_Y$ -exceptional  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisors  $F$  and  $G$  without common components. Here, we used the assumption that  $Y$  is  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Gorenstein to define the pull-back  $\pi_Y^* K_Y$ . The  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor  $\tilde{\phi}^* N_{\tilde{Y}}$  is  $\tilde{\pi}$ -exceptional by property (a) in the smooth case. Furthermore, the pull-backs  $\tilde{\phi}^* F$  and  $\tilde{\phi}^* G$  are  $\tilde{\pi}$ -exceptional by the first observation. Hence  $\pi_M^* \phi^* N_Y = \tilde{\phi}^* \pi_Y^* N_Y$  is  $\mathbb{Q}$ -linearly equivalent to the difference of  $\tilde{\pi}$ -exceptional divisors. Consequently, the intersectional number  $(\pi_M^* \phi^* N_Y \cdot (\tilde{\pi}^* A_X)^{n-1})$  is zero for any ample line bundle  $A_X$  on  $X$ , where  $n := \dim X$ . This implies that  $\pi_M^* \phi^* N_Y$  is  $\tilde{\pi}$ -exceptional, and consequently, the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor  $\phi^* N_Y$  is  $\pi$ -exceptional.

**(b):** We have  $\kappa(K_{\tilde{Y}}) = 0$  by property (b) in the smooth case. Together with equality (3.2), we obtain

$$0 = \kappa(K_{\tilde{Y}}) \leq \kappa(K_{\tilde{Y}} + F) = \kappa(\pi_Y^* K_Y + G) = \kappa(K_Y).$$

Hence we can take an effective  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor on  $Y$  with  $N_Y \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} K_Y$ . Then, we can see that

$$\kappa(K_Y) = \kappa(\phi^* K_Y) = \kappa(\phi^* N_Y) = 0$$

since  $\phi^* N_Y$  is  $\pi$ -exceptional by property (a).

**(c):** The pull-back  $\tilde{\phi}^*\text{Exc}(\pi_Y)$  is  $\tilde{\pi}$ -exceptional by the first observation; thus, we obtain the inclusion  $\text{Exc}(\pi_Y) \subset \tilde{Y} \setminus \tilde{Y}_0$  (i.e.,  $\tilde{Y} \setminus \text{Exc}(\pi_Y) \supset \tilde{Y}_0$ ) from the definition of  $\tilde{Y}_0$ . Furthermore, the singular locus  $Y_{\text{sing}}$  is contained in  $\pi_Y(\text{Exc}(\pi_Y))$ . Thus, the Zariski open set  $\tilde{Y}_0$  can be identified with its image  $\pi_Y(\tilde{Y}_0)$  via the isomorphism  $\pi_Y : \tilde{Y} \setminus \text{Exc}(\pi_Y) \cong Y \setminus \pi_Y(\text{Exc}(\pi_Y))$  and the image  $\pi_Y(\tilde{Y}_0)$  is a Zariski open set in the regular locus  $Y_{\text{reg}}$ .

Under this identification, we see that  $\tilde{Y}_0$  coincides with  $Y_0$  except for a Zariski closed set of codimension  $\geq 2$ . Indeed, if  $P$  is a prime divisor on  $Y_{\text{reg}}$  such that  $\phi^* P$  is  $\pi$ -exceptional, then  $\tilde{\phi}^* \tilde{P}$  is  $\tilde{\pi}$ -exceptional by Diagram (3.1), where  $\tilde{P}$  is the strict transform  $P$  in  $\tilde{Y}$ . (Note that  $P$  is not contained in  $\pi_Y(\text{Exc}(\pi_Y))$  since  $\text{codim}(\pi_Y(\text{Exc}(\pi_Y))) \geq 2$ .) On the other

hand, for any prime divisor  $\tilde{P}$  is a prime divisor on  $\tilde{Y}$  such that  $\tilde{\phi}^*P$  is  $\tilde{\pi}$ -exceptional and that  $\tilde{P}$  is not contained in  $\text{Exc}(\pi_Y)$ , we must have that  $\phi^*P$  is  $\pi$ -exceptional, with  $P = \pi_Y(\tilde{P})$  (Note that  $P$  is a divisor by  $\tilde{P} \not\subset \text{Exc}(\pi_Y)$ ). From the above arguments, we can examine that the difference between  $\tilde{Y}_0$  and  $Y_0$  comes only from the non-flat loci of  $\phi : M \rightarrow Y$  and  $\tilde{\phi} : \tilde{M} \rightarrow \tilde{Y}$ , which are of codimension  $\geq 2$ .

We now have

$$\pi_Y^{-1}(Y \setminus Y_0) \subset \text{Exc}(\pi_Y) \cup (\tilde{Y} \setminus \tilde{Y}_0) \cup Z$$

for some Zariski closed set  $Z$  on the variety  $\tilde{Y}_0$  of codimension  $\geq 2$ . The pull-back  $\tilde{\phi}^*\text{Exc}(\pi_Y)$  is  $\tilde{\pi}$ -exceptional by the first observation and  $\tilde{\pi}(\tilde{\phi}^{-1}(\tilde{Y} \setminus \tilde{Y}_0))$  is of codimension  $\geq 2$  by property (c) in the smooth case. Furthermore, the inverse image  $\tilde{\phi}^{-1}(Z)$  is of codimension  $\geq 2$  since  $\tilde{\phi} : \tilde{M} \rightarrow \tilde{Y}$  is flat over  $\tilde{Y}_0$ . This confirms property (c).

(d): The Liouville property follows from property (c).

(e): Let  $P$  be a prime divisor on  $Y_0$  and let  $P_i$  be a non-reduced component of the irreducible decomposition  $\phi^*P = \sum_i c_i P_i$ . Then, the strict transform of  $P_i$  by  $\pi_M : \tilde{M} \rightarrow M$  is a non-reduced component of the pull-back  $\tilde{\phi}^*\tilde{P}$ , where  $\tilde{P}$  is the strict transform of  $P$  by  $\pi_Y : \tilde{Y} \rightarrow Y$ . The latter component is  $\tilde{\pi}$ -exceptional by property (e) in the smooth case, and thus  $P_i$  is  $\pi$ -exceptional.

(f): Property (f) follows directly from the smooth case.  $\square$

*Remark 3.5.* In this proof, we use the assumption that  $\psi : X \dashrightarrow Y$  is an MRC fibration only to deduce that  $Y$  is not uniruled (i.e., it is not covered by rational curves). Indeed, in the same manner, we can obtain all of the conclusions for an almost holomorphic map  $\psi : X \dashrightarrow Y$  with a non-uniruled  $Y$ .

In general, for a smooth projective variety  $Y$ , the canonical bundle  $K_Y$  is pseudo-effective if and only if  $Y$  is not uniruled. However, this equivalence is not valid for a singular  $Y$  even if  $Y$  has klt singularities. In particular, property (b) does not indicate that  $Y$  is non-uniruled (see the example below).

**Example 3.6.** There exists a rationally connected  $Q$ -abelian variety  $Y$ , i.e.,  $Y$  is the base of an abelian variety  $A$  by a finite quasi-étale cover  $p : A \rightarrow Y$ . It seems that such examples first appeared in [Uen75, Example 16.15, p. 207]; these examples were revisited and generalized in [Cam10], where their rational connectedness was confirmed. See also [KL09, §2, Corollary 24, p. 193] (c.f. [COT14, COV15], [MZ18, Example 7.3] and references therein). Such a variety  $Y$  has (at worst) klt singularities from [KM98, Proposition 5.20, p.160]. Furthermore, the canonical divisor  $K_Y$  is  $\mathbb{Q}$ -linearly trivial by  $p^*K_Y \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} K_A = \mathcal{O}_A$ . Nevertheless, the variety  $Y$  is uniruled (more strongly, rationally connected). Due to such examples, one needs a more involved argument for the proof of [Theorem 3.3](#).

**3.3. Rationally connected fibers and flat connections.** We first investigate the local freeness and numerical flatness of the reflexive sheaf  $\mathcal{E}_m$  on  $X$  defined by

$$\mathcal{E} := \mathcal{E}_m := (\pi_*\phi^*\mathcal{V}_m)^{**}.$$

The purpose of this subsection is to prove [Proposition 3.7](#). Although the assumption for  $\mathcal{E}$  in [Proposition 3.7](#) is not satisfied in the general case, the proposition provides a basic strategy in this section. A naive strategy of proving [Theorem 3.3](#) is to reduce the general

case to the case where  $\mathcal{E}$  satisfies this assumption by selecting a suitable quasi-étale cover of  $X$  (provided by [Theorem 2.18](#)).

**Proposition 3.7.** *We assume that  $\mathcal{E}_m$  is locally free and numerically flat on  $X$  for any  $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ . Then, the property of [Theorem 3.3](#) holds for  $X$  (without taking any quasi-étale cover or  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorization).*

*Proof.* The variety  $X$  in our setting may have singularities; thus, the  $\pi$ -exceptional divisor  $\text{Exc}(\pi)$  may be dominant over  $Y$ , which causes problems in proving the proposition. To overcome this difficulty, we consider the normalization  $\Gamma$  of the graph of the MRC fibration  $\psi : X \dashrightarrow Y$ . In this proof, for simplicity in notation, we use the same notation  $\Gamma$  employed for condition (\*). Both  $\phi : M \rightarrow Y$  and  $\pi : M \rightarrow X$  factorize through  $\Gamma$ . Let  $\varphi : \Gamma \rightarrow Y$ ,  $\gamma : \Gamma \rightarrow X$ , and  $\alpha : M \rightarrow \Gamma$  be the corresponding morphisms and we have the following commutative diagram:

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} M & \xrightarrow{\pi} & X \\ \alpha \searrow & & \nearrow \gamma \\ & \Gamma & \\ \phi \searrow & \downarrow \varphi & \nearrow \psi \\ & Y & \end{array}$$

The  $\pi$ -exceptional divisor  $E$  may be dominant over  $Y$ ; however, the  $\gamma$ -exceptional divisor  $E_\Gamma := \text{Exc}(\gamma)$  is not dominant over  $Y$  since MRC fibrations are almost holomorphic. Note that the exceptional locus of  $\gamma$  has pure codimension one by the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factoriality of  $X$ .

Let  $B \subset Y$  be the union of the non-locally free locus of  $\mathcal{V}$  and non-flat locus of  $\varphi : \Gamma \rightarrow Y$ . Note that  $B$  is of codimension  $\geq 2$  by the torsion-freeness of  $\mathcal{V}$  and normality of  $V$ . For this situation, we first confirm the following claim:

**Claim 3.8.** *Let  $M_0 \subset M$  be the Zariski open set defined by  $M_0 := \phi^{-1}(Y_0)$ . Then, we have*

$$\pi^* \mathcal{E} = \phi^* \mathcal{V} \text{ on } M_0 \setminus (\alpha^{-1}(E_\Gamma) \cup \phi^{-1}(B)).$$

*Proof.* By the definition of  $\mathcal{E}$  and Diagram (3.3), we have

$$\mathcal{E} = (\pi_* \phi^* \mathcal{V})^{**} \quad \text{and} \quad \pi_* \phi^* \mathcal{V} = \gamma_* \alpha_* \alpha^* \varphi^* \mathcal{V} \text{ on } X.$$

The sheaf  $\varphi^* \mathcal{V}$  is locally free on  $\Gamma \setminus \varphi^{-1}(B)$ , and thus we obtain

$$\alpha_* \alpha^* \varphi^* \mathcal{V} = \varphi^* \mathcal{V} \text{ on } \Gamma \setminus \varphi^{-1}(B)$$

by applying the projective formula to the algebraic fiber space  $\alpha : M \setminus \phi^{-1}(B) \rightarrow \Gamma \setminus \varphi^{-1}(B)$ . These observations yield that

$$\gamma^* \pi_* \phi^* \mathcal{V} = \gamma^* \gamma_* \alpha_* \alpha^* \varphi^* \mathcal{V} = \gamma^* \gamma_* \varphi^* \mathcal{V} = \varphi^* \mathcal{V} \text{ on } \Gamma \setminus (E_\Gamma \cup \varphi^{-1}(B)).$$

Here, we used the isomorphism  $\gamma : \Gamma \setminus E_\Gamma \cong X \setminus \gamma(E_\Gamma)$ .

The sheaf  $\mathcal{V}$  is reflexive on  $Y_0$  since  $\phi : M \rightarrow Y$  is flat over  $Y_0$  by the definition of  $Y_0$  (in particular, it has equidimensional fibers over  $Y_0$ ). Hence, the pull-back  $\varphi^* \mathcal{V}$  is reflexive on  $\varphi^{-1}(Y_0) \setminus \varphi^{-1}(B)$  since  $\varphi$  is a flat morphism over  $Y \setminus B$  by the definition of  $B$ . Here, we



used [Har80, Corollary 1.7 and Proposition 1.8]. Together with the above equalities, we obtain

$$\gamma^*\mathcal{E} = \gamma^*((\pi_*\phi^*\mathcal{V})^{**}) = (\gamma^*\pi_*\phi^*\mathcal{V})^{**} = (\varphi^*\mathcal{V})^{**} = \varphi^*\mathcal{V} \text{ on } \varphi^{-1}(Y_0) \setminus (E_\Gamma \cup \varphi^{-1}(B)),$$

by using the isomorphism  $\gamma : \Gamma \setminus E_\Gamma \cong X \setminus \gamma(E_\Gamma)$  again. Pulling-back the above equality by  $\alpha$ , we can conclude that

$$\pi^*\mathcal{E} = \alpha^*\gamma^*\mathcal{E} = \alpha^*\varphi^*\mathcal{V} = \phi^*\mathcal{V} \text{ on } M_0 \setminus (\alpha^{-1}(E_\Gamma) \cup \phi^{-1}(B)).$$

□

Let us return to the proof of Proposition 3.7. By  $\text{codim } B \geq 2$ , the Zariski closed set  $B$  causes no problems for proving Proposition 3.7; thus, we assume that  $B$  is the empty set. By assumption, the sheaf  $\mathcal{E}$  is a numerically flat locally free sheaf; consequently, so is the pull-back  $\pi^*\mathcal{E}$ . Here, we essentially applied the local freeness of  $\mathcal{E}$ . This indicates that  $\pi^*\mathcal{E}$  admits a flat connection  $D$  on  $M$ . We will construct a flat connection of  $\mathcal{V}$  on  $Y_0$  outside a Zariski closed set of codimension  $\geq 2$  via the following strategy:

- (1) We demonstrate that the connection  $D$  of  $\pi^*\mathcal{E}$  descends to a flat connection of  $\mathcal{V}$  on a Zariski open set in  $Y_0$ , using the equality  $\pi^*\mathcal{E} = \phi^*\mathcal{V}$  over  $Y_0 \setminus \varphi(E_\Gamma)$ .
- (2) We demonstrate that the flat connection of  $\mathcal{V}$  constructed in (1) can be extended on a Zariski open set in  $Y_0$  whose complement is of codimension  $\geq 2$ .

(1) We consider only a neighborhood of a given point in  $Y_0$ ; thus, we assume that  $Y_0$  is a (sufficiently small) open ball. Furthermore, we fix a local frame of  $\mathcal{V}$  on the open ball  $Y_0$ . This frame determines the frame of  $\pi^*\mathcal{E}$  on  $M_0 \setminus \alpha^{-1}(E_\Gamma)$  by Claim 3.8. (Recall that  $B$  is assumed to be the empty set.) The flat connection  $D$  of  $\pi^*\mathcal{E}$  can be written as  $D = d + \Xi$ . Here  $d$  is the exterior derivative and  $\Xi$ , which is a so-called connection form, is a  $(1, 0)$ -form valued in  $\text{End}(\pi^*\mathcal{E})$ .

We will confirm that  $\Xi$  descends to  $\Xi'$  on a Zariski open set in  $Y_0$ . We first remark that  $\varphi(E_\Gamma)$  is properly contained in  $Y$ . It is possible that  $\varphi(E) = Y$ ; therefore, we need to consider  $E_\Gamma$  rather than  $E$ . The connection form  $\Xi$  can be considered as a matrix of  $(1, 0)$ -forms with respect to the fixed frame. Furthermore, by the flatness of  $D$ , the connection form  $\Xi$  satisfies the equation

$$d\Xi + \Xi \wedge \Xi = 0.$$

The  $(1, 1)$ -part of the left-hand side is  $\bar{\partial}\Xi$ , and thus  $\Xi$  can be considered as a matrix of holomorphic 1-forms on  $M_0 \setminus \alpha^{-1}(E_\Gamma)$ .

Let  $Y_1$  be the set of points  $y$  in  $Y_0$  whose fiber  $M_y := \phi^{-1}(y)$  is smooth and rationally connected. For a general point  $y$  in  $Y$ , the fiber  $X_y := \psi^{-1}(y)$  is rationally connected, since  $\psi : X \dashrightarrow Y$  is an MRC fibration. Hence, since the induced morphism  $M_y \rightarrow X_y$  is a resolution of singularities of  $X_y$ , the fiber  $M_y$  is (smooth and) rationally connected, which indicates that  $Y_1$  is a non-empty Zariski open set in  $Y_0$ .

The fiber  $M_y$  at  $y \in Y_1$  has no non-trivial holomorphic 1-form since it is a rationally connected manifold. Hence, we can conclude that the components of  $\Xi$ , which are holomorphic 1-forms, vanish along the fiber  $M_y$  at  $y \in Y_1$ . In addition to the equality  $\text{End}(\pi^*\mathcal{E}) = \phi^*\text{End}(\mathcal{V})$  over  $Y_0 \setminus \varphi(E_\Gamma)$ , we can find a holomorphic section

$$\Xi' \in H^0(Y_1 \setminus \varphi(E_\Gamma), \Omega_Y^1 \otimes \text{End}(\mathcal{V})) \text{ such that } \Xi = \phi^*\Xi'.$$

(2) We will prove that  $\Xi'$  can be extended to a Zariski open set in  $Y_0$  whose complement is of codimension  $\geq 2$ . Let  $P \subset Y_0$  be a divisorial component of  $(\varphi(E_\Gamma) \cap Y_0) \setminus Y_1$ . For our purpose, it suffices to show that  $\Xi'$  can be extended through a general point  $y \in P$ . By construction of  $Y_0$ , the pullback divisor  $\phi^*P$  is not  $\pi$ -exceptional, hence there exists a reduced component  $P_0$  of  $\phi^*P$  such that  $P_0$  is non- $\pi$ -exceptional, since  $\psi$  is semi-stable in codimension one (see property (e) in [Proposition 3.4](#)). The component  $P_0$  is not contained in  $\alpha^{-1}(E_\Gamma)$  since  $\alpha^{-1}(E_\Gamma)$  is  $\pi$ -exceptional. Furthermore, the induced morphism  $\phi : P_0 \rightarrow P$  is surjective since  $\phi : M \rightarrow Y$  is flat over  $Y_0$ . Therefore, for a general point  $y \in P$ , we can find a point  $x \in P_0 \cap \phi^{-1}(y)$  such that  $x$  is a smooth point of  $\phi$  and  $x \notin \alpha^{-1}(E_\Gamma)$ . Then, we can obtain a local section of  $\phi : M \rightarrow Y$  from  $y \in P$  to  $x \in P_0$  since  $\phi$  is smooth at  $x$ . The connection form  $\Xi$  is defined not only on  $M_0 \setminus \phi^{-1}(\varphi(E_\Gamma))$  but also on  $M_0 \setminus \alpha^{-1}(E_\Gamma)$ . Hence, all of the components of the matrix  $\Xi = \phi^*\Xi'$  are bounded on a neighborhood of  $x$  by  $x \notin \alpha^{-1}(E_\Gamma)$ . Pulling-back by the local section, we can see that all of the components of the matrix  $\Xi'$  are also bounded on a neighborhood of  $y$ . Therefore, the section  $\Xi'$  can be extended through a general point  $y \in P$  by the Riemann extension theorem.

The extended connection of  $\mathcal{V}$  (defined locally on  $Y_0 \setminus C$ ) satisfies the gluing condition as a connection; thus, the glued connection determines the connection of  $\mathcal{V}$  on  $Y_0 \setminus C$ , where  $C \subset Y_0$  is a Zariski closed set of codimension  $\geq 2$ . Furthermore, it follows that this connection is flat from the flatness of  $D$ .  $\square$

*Remark 3.9.* The key point in this proof is that a general fiber of  $\phi : M \rightarrow Y$  is rationally connected (it has no holomorphic 1-form). Furthermore, we implicitly used the fact that  $\phi : M \rightarrow Y$  has connected fibers. These properties may not be expected for the new morphism  $\bar{M} \dashrightarrow \bar{Y}$  induced by a quasi-étale cover  $\nu : \bar{X} \rightarrow X$  (constructed in [Subsection 3.5](#)), which presents a difficulty.

**3.4. Positivity of singular Hermitian metrics on direct image sheaves.** In this subsection, we prove the following [Proposition 3.10](#) by applying [Lemma 2.13](#), [Theorem 2.18](#), and the positivity of direct image sheaves. For a smooth  $X$ , we can conclude that  $\mathcal{E}$  is locally free and numerically flat on  $X$  as in [[CCM19](#), Proposition 2.7] or [[HIM19](#), Theorem 1.2]. However, for a singular  $X$ , we must take a finite quasi-étale cover such that the same conclusion holds for the pullback of  $\mathcal{E}$ .

**Proposition 3.10.** *For any  $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ , the followings hold:*

- (1)  $\mathcal{E}_m$  satisfies  $c_1(\mathcal{E}_m) = 0$ .
- (2)  $\mathcal{E}_m$  is weakly positively curved on  $X$ .

*Moreover, there exists a finite quasi-étale Galois cover  $\nu : \bar{X} \rightarrow X$  with  $\bar{X}$  normal such that  $(\nu^*\mathcal{E}_m)^{**}$  is a numerically flat locally free sheaf on  $\bar{X}$  for any  $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ .*

*Proof.* First, let us prove that the ‘moreover’ part follows from (1) and (2). Effectively it suffices to construct a finite quasi-étale Galois cover  $\nu : \bar{X} \rightarrow X$  such that  $(\nu^*\mathcal{E}_m)^{**}$  is locally free for any  $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ . Indeed, the numerical flatness of  $(\nu^*\mathcal{E}_m)^{**}$  automatically follows from the local freeness (see the proof of [Theorem 2.18\(2\)](#)). Since  $(X, \Delta)$  is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial terminal pair, the variety  $X$  has terminal singularities; hence  $X$  is smooth in codimension 2. Thus  $X$  and  $\mathcal{E}_m$  satisfy the assumptions of [Theorem 2.18](#). By [Theorem 2.18](#), for each  $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ ,



components of the complement  $M \setminus M_0$  are contained in the  $\pi$ -exceptional divisor  $\text{Exc}(\pi)$  by property (c) of [Proposition 3.4](#). Hence, we obtain

$$\pi_*\phi^*\mathcal{V} = (\pi_*\phi^*\mathcal{V})^{**} = \mathcal{E} \text{ on } X \setminus \pi(E)$$

from the isomorphism  $\pi : M \setminus E \cong X \setminus \pi(E)$ . Then, we can conclude that  $0 = c_1(\pi_*\phi^*\mathcal{V}) = c_1(\mathcal{E})$  by  $\text{codim } \pi(E) \geq 2$ .

Finally, we prove conclusion (2). From [\[Wan20b, Lemma 2.9\]](#), we can examine that  $\mathcal{V}$  is weakly positively curved on  $Y_0$ , which means that, for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a singular Hermitian metric  $b_\varepsilon$  on  $\mathcal{V}|_{Y_0}$  such that

$$\sqrt{-1}\Theta_{b_\varepsilon} \succeq -\varepsilon\omega_Y \otimes \text{id} \text{ on } Y_0$$

for some Kähler form  $\omega_Y$  on  $Y$ . The key point here is that  $\omega_Y$  in the above inequality is a Kähler form on  $Y$  (not only on  $Y_0$ ). Then, we have the following:

**Claim 3.12.** *The sheaf  $\phi^*\mathcal{V}$  is weakly positively curved on  $M \setminus E$ : For any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a singular Hermitian metric  $g_\varepsilon$  on  $\phi^*\mathcal{V}|_{M \setminus E}$  such that*

$$\sqrt{-1}\Theta_{g_\varepsilon} \succeq -\varepsilon\omega_M \otimes \text{id} \text{ on } M \setminus E,$$

where  $\omega_M$  is a fixed Kähler form on  $M$ . This means that, for any local section  $e$  of  $(\phi^*\mathcal{V})^*$ , the norm  $|e|_{g_\varepsilon}$  can be extended to a function satisfying

$$dd^c \log |e|_{g_\varepsilon} \geq -\varepsilon\omega_M \text{ on } M \setminus E.$$

*Proof.* The morphism  $\phi : M \rightarrow Y$  is flat over  $Y_0$ ; thus, the induced morphism  $\phi : M_0 \rightarrow Y_0$  satisfies the assumptions of [Lemma 2.11](#) (see also [Remark 2.12](#)). By applying [Lemma 2.11](#) to  $\mathcal{V}$  (which is weakly positively curved on  $Y_0$ ), we can examine that the pull-back  $\phi^*\mathcal{V}$  is weakly positively curved on  $M_0$ . Here, we used the condition that  $\phi^*\omega_Y \leq k\omega_M$  holds on  $M$  for a sufficiently large  $k$ . Any  $\varepsilon\omega_M$ -psh functions on the complement of a Zariski closed set of codimension  $\geq 2$  can be automatically extended to the ambient variety by [\[GR56, Satz 3, p. 181\]](#); thus, the non-divisorial components of  $\phi^{-1}(Y \setminus Y_0)$  do not cause any problems for the claim. The divisorial components of  $M \setminus M_0$  are contained in  $E$  by property (c) in [Proposition 3.4](#), which leads to the desired conclusion.  $\square$

Together with (the proof of) [Lemma 2.13](#), we can conclude that  $\pi_*\phi^*\mathcal{V}$  (and thus  $\mathcal{E}$ ) is weakly positively curved on  $X \setminus \pi(E)$ . Since  $\text{codim } \pi(E) \geq 2$ , we obtain conclusion (2) for a smooth  $Y$ .

Finally, we complete the proof by reducing the general case to the case of a smooth  $Y$ . For this purpose, we return to the same situation as in [Diagram \(3.1\)](#), and consider  $\tilde{L}$  and  $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}$  as in [Setting 3.1](#) for the  $\tilde{\pi}$ -exceptional divisor  $\tilde{E}$  defined by

$$\tilde{E} := \pi_M^*E + \text{Exc}(\pi_M).$$

We define the  $\tilde{\phi}$ -big line bundle  $\tilde{L}$  by

$$\tilde{L} := m(\tilde{\pi}^*A + c\tilde{E}) - \frac{m}{r}\tilde{\phi}^* \det \tilde{\phi}_* \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{M}}(\tilde{\pi}^*A + c\tilde{E}).$$

and the direct image sheaf  $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}$  by  $\tilde{\mathcal{V}} := \tilde{\phi}_*\tilde{L}$ . We have already proved [Proposition 3.10](#) for  $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}$ . Therefore, if  $\tilde{\pi}_*\tilde{\phi}^*\tilde{\mathcal{V}} = \pi_*\phi^*\mathcal{V}$  holds on  $X$  except for a Zariski closed set of codimension  $\geq 2$ , then we obtain the conclusions of [Proposition 3.10](#) for  $\mathcal{V}$ .

From the definition of  $\tilde{E}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_{Y*}\tilde{\phi}_*\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{M}}(m(\tilde{\pi}^*A + c\tilde{E})) &= \phi_*\pi_{M*}\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{M}}(m(\tilde{\pi}^*A + c\tilde{E})) \\ &= \phi_*\mathcal{O}_M(m(\pi^*A + cE)),\end{aligned}$$

hence  $\pi_{Y*}\tilde{\mathcal{V}} \simeq \mathcal{V}$ . This implies that  $\pi_{M*}\tilde{\phi}^*\tilde{\mathcal{V}} \simeq \phi^*\mathcal{V}$  on  $\phi^{-1}(Y_0 \setminus \pi_Y(\text{Exc}(\pi_Y))) \setminus \text{Exc}(\pi_M)$ . By [Proposition 3.4\(c\)](#) we have that  $\tilde{\pi}_*\tilde{\phi}^*\tilde{\mathcal{V}} \simeq \pi_*\phi^*\mathcal{V}$  on  $X$  except for a Zariski closed set of codimension  $\geq 2$ , which leads to the conclusion.  $\square$

**3.5. Fibrations induced by quasi-étale Galois covers.** Here, we consider a finite quasi-étale Galois cover  $\nu : \bar{X} \rightarrow X$  such that  $(\nu^*\mathcal{E})^{**}$  is a numerically flat locally free sheaf on  $\bar{X}$  ([Proposition 3.10](#)). Then, from [Proposition 2.4](#), we can find an almost holomorphic map  $\bar{\psi} : \bar{X} \dashrightarrow \bar{Y}$  with a finite morphism  $\sigma : \bar{Y} \rightarrow Y$ . The pair  $(\bar{X}, \bar{\Delta})$  is a (not necessarily  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial) terminal pair with nef anti-log canonical divisor since  $\nu : \bar{X} \rightarrow X$  is quasi-étale, where  $\bar{\Delta}$  is the pull-back of the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier divisor  $\Delta$ . However, in general, the new base  $\bar{Y}$  is not  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Gorenstein and  $\bar{\psi} : \bar{X} \dashrightarrow \bar{Y}$  is not an MRC fibration. In this subsection, we will rearrange  $\bar{Y}$  to have klt singularities (in particular,  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Gorenstein), and we will reduce [Theorem 3.3](#) to the case where  $\bar{\psi} : \bar{X} \dashrightarrow \bar{Y}$  is an MRC fibration.

We first review the construction of  $\bar{\psi} : \bar{X} \dashrightarrow \bar{Y}$  in detail. Let  $Q$  be the normalization of the fiber product  $M \times_X \bar{X}$  with the corresponding morphisms  $a : Q \rightarrow \bar{X}$  and  $b : Q \rightarrow M$ , and let  $c : \bar{M} \rightarrow Q$  be a resolution of singularities of  $X$  with the corresponding morphisms  $\tau : \bar{M} \rightarrow M$ ,  $\bar{\pi} : \bar{M} \rightarrow \bar{X}$  in the following commutative diagram:

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} \bar{M} & & & & \bar{\pi} \\ & \searrow c & & & \searrow \\ & Q & \xrightarrow{a} & \bar{X} & \\ & \downarrow b & & \downarrow \nu & \\ \bar{M} & \xrightarrow{\tau} & M & \xrightarrow{\pi} & X \end{array}$$

Let  $Q \rightarrow \bar{Y} \rightarrow Y$  be the Stein factorization of the composition morphism  $\phi \circ b : Q \rightarrow Y$  with a finite morphism  $\sigma : \bar{Y} \rightarrow Y$ . We now obtain an algebraic fiber space  $\bar{\phi} : \bar{M} \rightarrow Q \rightarrow \bar{Y}$ . Hence the decomposition

$$\bar{M} \xrightarrow{\bar{\phi}} \bar{Y} \xrightarrow{\sigma} Y$$

yields the Stein factorization of the composition morphism  $\phi \circ \tau : \bar{M} \rightarrow Y$ . We then have the following diagram:

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \bar{M} & \xrightarrow{\bar{\pi}} & \bar{X} \\ \tau \downarrow & & \downarrow \nu \\ M & \xrightarrow{\pi} & X \\ & \searrow \phi & \swarrow \psi \\ \bar{Y} & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & Y \end{array}$$

Then, we obtain the following:

**Claim 3.13.** *By replacing  $Y$  and  $M$  with their successive blow-ups, we may assume that the following properties holds:*

- $\bar{Y}$  has at worst klt singularities (in particular,  $\bar{Y}$  is  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Gorenstein).
- $\bar{Y}$  satisfies condition  $(*)$  in [Setting 3.1](#).
- $\bar{M}$  is a strong resolution of singularities of  $Q$ . (This property will be used in [Subsection 3.6](#).)

*Proof.* We first demonstrate that, up to replacing  $Y$  with its successive blow-ups, we can perform rearrangements such that

- $Y$  is smooth,
- the ramified locus of  $\sigma : \bar{Y} \rightarrow Y$  is simple normal crossing, and
- there exists  $\bar{\Gamma} \rightarrow \bar{Y}$  satisfying condition  $(*)$ .

For this purpose, we take a resolution  $\pi_Y : \tilde{Y} \rightarrow Y$  of singularities of  $Y$  such that

- the  $\pi_Y$ -exceptional locus  $\text{Exc}(\pi_Y)$  and the inverse image  $\pi_Y^{-1}(R)$  are simple normal crossing, where  $R$  is the branch locus of  $\sigma : \bar{Y} \rightarrow Y$  (i.e.,  $\sigma : \bar{Y} \setminus \sigma^{-1}(R) \rightarrow Y \setminus R$  is étale).
- there is an equidimensional morphism  $\tilde{\Gamma} \rightarrow \tilde{Y}$  that eliminates the indeterminacies of  $X \dashrightarrow \tilde{Y}$  (in fact, up to further blowing up  $\tilde{Y}$ , we can assume that it admits a birational morphism to variety parameterizing the general fiber of  $X \dashrightarrow Y$ , and we consider  $\tilde{\Gamma}$  to be the pull-back of the universal family).

We consider the normalization  $Y'$  of the fiber product  $\bar{Y} \times_Y \tilde{Y}$ . The non-normal locus of the fiber product  $\bar{Y} \times_Y \tilde{Y}$  is contained in the inverse image of  $\pi_Y^{-1}(R)$ . Hence, the induced morphism  $Y' \rightarrow \tilde{Y}$  is still a finite morphism with normal crossing branch locus. We may assume that  $M$  admits a surjective morphism to  $\tilde{Y}$  by replacing  $M$  with its successive blow-ups. Let  $\bar{M}$  be a strong resolution of the fiber product  $Q$ . Then  $\bar{M}$  admits a surjective morphism to  $Y'$  by the universal property of the fiber product and normalization. The Stein factorization of  $\bar{M} \rightarrow \tilde{Y}$  coincides with

$$\bar{M} \longrightarrow Y' \longrightarrow \tilde{Y}$$

since  $\bar{\phi} : \bar{M} \rightarrow \bar{Y}$  has connected fibers. Moreover, if we consider  $\bar{\Gamma}$  to be the normalization of the fiber product  $\tilde{\Gamma} \times_X \bar{X}$ , then  $\bar{M} \rightarrow Y'$  factorizes through  $\bar{\Gamma} \rightarrow Y'$ . Since  $\nu : \bar{X} \rightarrow X$  is finite, then so is  $\bar{\Gamma} \rightarrow \tilde{\Gamma}$ ; consequently, the morphism  $\bar{\Gamma} \rightarrow Y'$  is equidimensional. Hence,

up to replacing  $Y$  and  $\bar{Y}$  with  $\tilde{Y}$  and  $Y'$  respectively, we may assume that  $Y$  and  $\sigma : \bar{Y} \rightarrow Y$  have the desired properties.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \bar{M} & \longrightarrow & Q = M \times_X \bar{X} & \longrightarrow & M \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 Y' & \longrightarrow & \bar{Y} \times_Y \tilde{Y} & \longrightarrow & \tilde{Y} \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi_Y \\
 & & \bar{Y} & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & Y.
 \end{array}$$

Now let us prove that  $\sigma : \bar{Y} \rightarrow Y$  is a Galois cover. It suffices to show that  $\mathbb{C}(\bar{Y})$  is a Galois extension of  $\mathbb{C}(Y)$  (see [Remark 2.15](#)). From the connectivity of the fibers, it follows that  $\mathbb{C}(\bar{Y})$  (resp.  $\mathbb{C}(Y)$ ) is algebraically closed in  $\mathbb{C}(\bar{X}) = \mathbb{C}(\bar{M})$  (resp.  $\mathbb{C}(X) = \mathbb{C}(M)$ ). Let  $P \in \mathbb{C}(Y)[T]$  be a polynomial that has a root in  $\mathbb{C}(\bar{Y})$ . Considered as a polynomial in  $\mathbb{C}(X)[T]$ , the polynomial  $P$  splits in  $\mathbb{C}(\bar{X})$  since  $\bar{X} \rightarrow X$  is Galois. The field  $\mathbb{C}(\bar{Y})$  is an algebraically closed subfield in  $\mathbb{C}(\bar{X})$  containing  $\mathbb{C}(Y)$ ; thus, the polynomial  $P$  must split in  $\mathbb{C}(\bar{Y})$ , i.e.,  $\mathbb{C}(\bar{Y})$  is a Galois extension of  $\mathbb{C}(Y)$ .

We finally show that  $Y$  has klt singularities. To this end, define an effective  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor  $D$  on  $Y$  by

$$D := \sum_i \left(1 - \frac{1}{r_i}\right) D_i,$$

where the  $D_i$  are an irreducible components of  $R$ , and  $r_i$  is the ramification index over  $D_i$ . It follows that the pair  $(Y, D)$  is klt since  $Y$  is smooth and the ramified locus of  $\sigma$  is normal crossing. Note that  $r_i$  depends only on  $D_i$  since  $\Gamma : W \rightarrow Y$  is a Galois morphism. Thus, we have  $K_{\bar{Y}} = \sigma^*(K_Y + D)$ . It follows from [[KM98](#), Proposition 5.20, p.160] that  $\bar{Y}$  has at worst klt singularities.  $\square$

Let us begin to prove [Theorem 3.3](#) by the induction on the fiber dimension of MRC fibrations. Note that the MRC fibration of  $X$  can be selected as the identity map of  $X$  when the fiber dimension of  $\psi : X \dashrightarrow Y$  is zero. Then, the conclusion of [Theorem 3.3](#) (and also [Theorem 1.1](#)) is obvious since  $L$  is a trivial line bundle.

We consider the case where  $\bar{\psi} : \bar{X} \dashrightarrow \bar{Y}$  is not an RC fibration. In this case, the conclusion of [Theorem 3.3](#) can be obtained from [Proposition 2.4\(3\)](#) and the induction hypothesis. Indeed, for a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorization  $\bar{X}^{\text{qf}} \rightarrow \bar{X}$ , the fiber dimension of MRC fibrations of  $\bar{X}^{\text{qf}}$  is strictly smaller than that of  $\psi : X \dashrightarrow Y$ . (Note that  $\bar{X}$  may not be  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial even if we start from  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial  $X$ ; therefore, we must take  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorizations). Hence, by applying the induction hypothesis to  $\bar{X}^{\text{qf}}$ , the conclusion of [Theorem 3.3](#) can be obtained. Based on the above argument and [Proposition 2.4\(2\)](#), we can assume that

$$(3.6) \quad \bar{\psi} : \bar{X} \dashrightarrow \bar{Y} \text{ is an MRC fibration}$$

for the proof of [Theorem 3.3](#).

**3.6. Comparison of associated direct images sheaves.** In this subsection, under Assumption (3.6), we investigate the direct image sheaf  $\bar{\mathcal{V}}$  defined for the MRC fibration  $\bar{X} \dashrightarrow \bar{Y}$  in the same setting employed for Setting 3.1. The purpose of this subsection is to compare  $\mathcal{V}$  and  $\bar{\mathcal{V}}$  under an ‘‘additional assumption.’’

Keep the same notations as in Subsection 3.5 (c.f. Diagram (3.4)). First let us present a precise definition of  $\bar{\mathcal{V}}$ . Recall that  $E$  is the exceptional divisor of  $\pi : M \rightarrow X$  and  $A$  is an ample line bundle on  $X$ . We define  $\bar{A}$  and  $\bar{E}$  by

$$\bar{A} = \nu^*A \text{ and } \bar{E} = \tau^*E.$$

The line bundle  $\bar{A}$  is still ample since  $\nu$  is finite. Furthermore, we claim that  $\text{Supp}(\bar{E})$  coincides with the  $\text{Exc}(\bar{\pi})$ . Indeed, since  $\nu : \bar{X} \rightarrow X$  is finite, then so is  $b$ , thus we easily see that  $\text{Supp}(\bar{E})$  is contained in the  $\text{Exc}(\bar{\pi})$ . On the other hand, by Claim 3.13, we may assume that  $\bar{M}$  is a strong resolution of  $Q$ . The singular locus  $Q_{\text{sing}}$  is contained in  $b^*E$  since  $X_{\text{sing}} \subseteq \pi(E)$  and since  $\nu : \bar{X} \rightarrow X$  is étale over  $X_{\text{reg}}$  (by purity of the branch locus). Thus, the exceptional divisor of  $\bar{M} \rightarrow Q$  is contained in the support of  $c^*b^*E = \tau^*E$ . In addition, the strict transform of the exceptional divisor of  $a : Q \rightarrow X$  is contained in  $\text{Supp}(\tau^*E)$ . Hence, the  $\text{Supp}(\tau^*E) = \text{Exc}(\bar{\pi})$ . The line bundles  $\bar{A}$  and  $\bar{E}$  play the same role as  $A$  and  $E$  for the MRC fibration  $\bar{\psi} : \bar{X} \dashrightarrow \bar{Y}$ . Hence, the direct image  $\bar{\mathcal{V}}$  on  $\bar{Y}$  can be defined by

$$\bar{\mathcal{V}} := \bar{\phi}_* \left( \mathcal{O}_{\bar{M}}(m(\bar{\pi}^*\bar{A} + c\bar{E})) - \frac{m}{r} \bar{\phi}^* \det \bar{\phi}_* \mathcal{O}_{\bar{M}}(\bar{A} + c\bar{E}) \right).$$

Let us consider the fiber product  $P := \bar{Y} \times_Y M$  with the corresponding morphisms  $q : P \rightarrow M$ ,  $p : P \rightarrow \bar{Y}$ , and  $r : \bar{M} \rightarrow P$  in the following commutative diagram:

$$(3.7) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} \bar{M} & & & & \\ & \searrow r & & \xrightarrow{\tau} & \\ & & P = \bar{Y} \times_Y M & \xrightarrow{q} & M \\ & & \downarrow p & & \downarrow \phi \\ & & \bar{Y} & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & Y \end{array}$$

Note that  $r : \bar{M} \rightarrow P$  is not always an algebraic fiber space even if  $P$  is replaced with its normalization. This fact causes an essential problem in comparing  $\mathcal{V}$  with  $\bar{\mathcal{V}}$ , which will be resolved in Subsection 3.7.

The purpose of this subsection is to prove the following Proposition 3.14 and Proposition 3.16, which enable us to compare  $\mathcal{V}$  with  $\bar{\mathcal{V}}$  under the additional assumption on the fiber connectedness of  $r : \bar{M} \rightarrow P$ .

**Proposition 3.14.** *Under Assumption (3.6), we further assume the following condition:*

$$(3.8) \quad r : \bar{M} \rightarrow P \text{ is an algebraic fiber space over the normal locus of } P.$$

Then, the natural morphism

$$\bar{\pi}_* \tau^* \phi^* \mathcal{V} = \bar{\pi}_* \bar{\phi}^* \sigma^* \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \bar{\pi}_* \bar{\phi}^* \bar{\mathcal{V}}$$

is an isomorphism in codimension one on  $\bar{X}$ .



*Proof.* Recall that  $R$  is defined to be the branch locus of the finite morphism  $\sigma : \bar{Y} \rightarrow Y$  (i.e., the morphism  $\sigma : \bar{Y} \setminus \sigma^{-1}(R) \rightarrow Y \setminus R$  is étale). The non-normal locus of the fiber product  $P = \bar{Y} \times_Y M$  is contained in  $p^{-1}(\sigma^{-1}(R))$ , and thus  $r : \bar{M} \rightarrow P$  is an algebraic fiber space over  $P \setminus p^{-1}(\sigma^{-1}(R))$  by assumption. By applying [Proposition 2.20](#) to the line bundle  $\pi^*A + cE$ , we can see that the natural morphism

$$(3.9) \quad \sigma^* \phi_* \mathcal{O}_M(\pi^*A + cE) \rightarrow \bar{\phi}_* \tau^* \mathcal{O}_M(\pi^*A + cE) = \bar{\phi}_* \mathcal{O}_{\bar{M}}(\bar{\pi}^* \bar{A} + c\bar{E})$$

and the morphism

$$\sigma^* \det \phi_* \mathcal{O}_M(\pi^*A + cE) \rightarrow \det \bar{\phi}_* \mathcal{O}_{\bar{M}}(\bar{\pi}^* \bar{A} + c\bar{E})$$

induced by the determinant are isomorphic on  $\bar{Y} \setminus \sigma^{-1}(R)$ . From the definition of  $\mathcal{V}$  and  $\bar{\mathcal{V}}$ , we can conclude that the morphism

$$(3.10) \quad \begin{aligned} \sigma^* \mathcal{V} &= \sigma^* \left( \phi_* \mathcal{O}_M(m(\pi^*A + cE)) - \frac{m}{r} \det \phi_* \mathcal{O}_M(\pi^*A + cE) \right) \\ &\rightarrow \bar{\phi}_* \left( \mathcal{O}_{\bar{M}}(m(\bar{\pi}^* \bar{A} + c\bar{E})) - \frac{m}{r} \det \bar{\phi}_* \mathcal{O}_{\bar{M}}(\bar{A} + c\bar{E}) \right) = \bar{\mathcal{V}} \end{aligned}$$

is isomorphic on  $\bar{Y} \setminus \sigma^{-1}(R)$ . Then, it follows that the induced morphism

$$(3.11) \quad \bar{\pi}_* \tau^* \phi^* \mathcal{V} = \bar{\pi}_* \bar{\phi}^* \sigma^* \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \bar{\pi}_* \bar{\phi}^* \bar{\mathcal{V}}$$

is isomorphic in codimension one on  $\bar{X}$  from the following claim.  $\square$

**Claim 3.15.** *Let  $R$  be the branch locus of the finite morphism  $\sigma : \bar{Y} \rightarrow Y$ . Then,*

$$\text{both } \bar{\pi}(\bar{\phi}^{-1}(\sigma^{-1}(R))) \text{ and } \pi(\phi^{-1}(R)) \text{ are of codimension } \geq 2.$$

*Proof.* The codimension of  $\bar{\pi}(\bar{\phi}^{-1}(\sigma^{-1}(R)))$  is equal to that of  $\pi(\phi^{-1}(R))$  since  $\nu : \bar{X} \rightarrow X$  is finite. The Zariski closed set  $\pi(\phi^{-1}(Y \setminus Y_0))$  is of codimension  $\geq 2$  by property (c) in [Proposition 3.4](#). Furthermore, since the morphism  $\phi : M \rightarrow Y$  is flat over  $Y_0$ , if  $R \cap Y_0$  is of codimension  $\geq 2$  in  $Y_0$ , so is  $\phi^{-1}(R)$  in  $M_0 = \phi^{-1}(Y_0)$ ; then we obtain the conclusion. Hence, it suffices to consider the situation where  $R$  is a prime divisor on  $Y_0$ .

Let  $\sigma^*R = \sum_{i=1}^m r_i R_i$  be the irreducible decomposition. The ramified index  $r_i$  is actually independent of  $i$ , which we denote by  $r$  since  $\sigma : \bar{Y} \rightarrow Y$  is Galois. In the case of  $r = 1$ , the morphism  $\sigma : \bar{Y} \rightarrow Y$  is étale at a general point  $y \in R$ , which is a contradiction.

Let us consider the case of  $r > 1$ . For the irreducible decomposition  $\phi^*R = \sum_i c_i P_i$ , all the non-reduced components are  $\pi$ -exceptional by property (e) in [Proposition 3.4](#), and thus we may assume that there exists a reduced component, which we denote by  $P_1$ . Then the morphism  $\phi : M \rightarrow Y$  is smooth at a general point in  $P_1$ . The branch locus  $R'$  of  $\nu : \bar{X} \rightarrow X$  is of codimension  $\geq 2$ ; hence  $P_1$  is not contained in either the  $\pi$ -exceptional divisor  $E$  or in the inverse image  $\pi^{-1}(R')$  (otherwise we have  $\text{codim } \pi(P_1) \geq 2$ ). Consequently, we can see that  $\tau$  is étale at a general point  $x \in P_1$ . Let  $y$  be a general point in  $R$ . Now the induced morphism  $\phi : P_1 \rightarrow R$  is surjective since  $\phi$  is flat over  $Y_0$ ; hence a general point  $x \in M_y \cap P_1$  is also a general point in  $P_1$ . Together with the above argument, we can conclude that a general point  $x \in M_y \cap P_1$  satisfies that

$$(3.12) \quad \tau \text{ is étale at every point of } \tau^{-1}(x) \text{ and } \phi \text{ is smooth at } x.$$

Here  $M_y$  is the (set-theoretic) fiber of  $\phi : M \rightarrow Y$  at  $y$ .

We may assume that  $\bar{y}_i$  is a general point in  $R_i$ , where  $\{\bar{y}_i\}_{i=1}^m = \sigma^{-1}(y)$ . By Diagram (3.5), the fiber  $\bar{M}_y$  can be written as the disjoint union

$$\bar{M}_y = \coprod_{i=1}^m \bar{M}_{\bar{y}_i},$$

where  $\bar{M}_{\bar{y}_i}$  (resp.  $\bar{M}_y$ ) is the (set-theoretic) fiber of  $\bar{\phi} : \bar{M} \rightarrow \bar{Y}$  at  $\bar{y}_i$  (resp. of  $\sigma \circ \bar{\phi} : \bar{M} \rightarrow Y$  at  $y$ ). Hence, there exists  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$  such that the image  $\tau(\bar{M}_{\bar{y}_i})$  passes through a general point in  $P_1$ . Then, we can find a point  $\bar{x}_i \in \bar{M}_{\bar{y}_i}$  such that  $x := \tau(\bar{x}_i)$  satisfies property (3.12). Now, since  $\phi \circ \tau = \sigma \circ \bar{\phi}$ , the differential map

$$T_{\bar{x}_i} \bar{M} \xrightarrow{d\bar{\phi}_*} T_{\bar{y}_i} \bar{Y} \xrightarrow{d\sigma_*} T_y Y$$

coincides with  $d\phi_* \circ d\tau_*$ , which is surjective from property (3.12). Therefore, we can see that  $\sigma : \bar{Y} \rightarrow Y$  is a smooth morphism at  $\bar{y}_i$  from Diagram (3.5), which indicates that  $r = r_i = 1$ . This leads to a contradiction.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.16.** *Under assumptions (3.6) and (3.8), the reflexive hull  $(\bar{\pi}_* \bar{\phi}^* \bar{\mathcal{V}})^{**}$  is a numerically flat locally free sheaf on  $\bar{X}$ .*

*Proof.* We consider the composition

$$\nu^* \pi_* \phi^* \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \bar{\pi}_* \tau^* \phi^* \mathcal{V} = \bar{\pi}_* \bar{\phi}^* \sigma^* \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \bar{\pi}_* \bar{\phi}^* \bar{\mathcal{V}}$$

of the natural morphisms induced by Diagrams (3.7) and (3.4). We have seen in Proposition 3.14 that the former morphism is an isomorphism in codimension one. We claim that the latter one is also an isomorphism in codimension one. Proposition 2.20. Indeed, the non-locally free locus of  $\phi^* \mathcal{V}$  is contained in the inverse image  $\phi^{-1}(B)$  of the non-locally free locus  $B$  of  $\mathcal{V}$ . Note that  $\text{codim } B \geq 2$ , therefore the image  $\pi(\phi^{-1}(B))$  is of codimension  $\geq 2$ , since  $\phi : M \rightarrow Y$  is flat over  $Y_0$  and  $\pi(\phi^{-1}(Y \setminus Y_0))$  is of codimension  $\geq 2$ . Hence, since  $\nu : \bar{X} \rightarrow X$  is finite, the image by  $\nu$  of the non-locally free locus of  $\phi^* \mathcal{V}$  is of codimension  $\geq 2$  (c.f. diagram (3.4)). Furthermore, the branch locus of  $\nu : \bar{X} \rightarrow X$  is contained in  $X_{\text{sing}}$  by purity of branch locus, and the image of the non-normal locus of  $M \times_X \bar{X}$  is contained in  $\nu^{-1}(X_{\text{sing}})$ , which is of codimension  $\geq 3$ . By applying Proposition 2.20 to  $\phi^* \mathcal{V}$  and Diagram (3.4) (noting that  $Q$  and  $M \times_X \bar{X}$  are isomorphic on the normal locus of  $M \times_X \bar{X}$ ), we find that the first morphism is an isomorphism in codimension one.

By considering the reflexive hull, we obtain the isomorphism

$$(\nu^* \pi_* \phi^* \mathcal{V})^{**} \cong (\bar{\pi}_* \bar{\phi}^* \bar{\mathcal{V}})^{**}$$

by reflexivity. Now, the natural morphism

$$\nu^* \pi_* \phi^* \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \nu^* ((\pi_* \phi^* \mathcal{V})^{**}) = \nu^* \mathcal{E}$$

is isomorphic in codimension one, since  $\nu : \bar{X} \rightarrow X$  is quasi-étale and since  $\pi_* \phi^* \mathcal{V}$  is torsion-free. By considering the reflexive hull again, we can conclude that

$$(\nu^* \pi_* \phi^* \mathcal{V})^{**} \cong (\nu^* \mathcal{E})^{**}.$$

The right-hand side is locally free and numerically flat by the construction of  $\nu$  (see Theorem 3.10).  $\square$

The variety  $\bar{X}$  may not be  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial, but we do not use the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factoriality in the proof of [Proposition 3.7](#). Hence, in addition to [Proposition 3.7](#), the conclusion in [Theorem 3.3](#) holds for  $\bar{X}$  under Assumptions [\(3.6\)](#) and [\(3.8\)](#).

**3.7. Proof of the flatness of direct image sheaves.** In this subsection, we address the remaining case where Assumption [\(3.8\)](#) is not satisfied; i.e.,  $r : \bar{M} \rightarrow P$  is not an algebraic fiber space over the normal locus of  $P$ . First let us prove the following auxiliary result:

**Proposition 3.17.** *For a general point  $y \in Y$ , we consider the fibers  $X_y := \psi^{-1}(y)$  and  $\bar{X}_y := (\psi \circ \nu)^{-1}(y)$ . We write  $\bar{X}_y$  as the disjoint union*

$$\bar{X}_y = \coprod_{i=1,2,\dots,d} \bar{X}_{\bar{y}_i},$$

where  $d := \deg \sigma$ ,  $\sigma^{-1}(y) =: \{\bar{y}_i\}_{i=1}^d$ , and  $\bar{X}_{\bar{y}_i}$  is the fiber of  $\bar{\psi} : \bar{X} \dashrightarrow \bar{Y}$  at  $\bar{y}_i$  (see the proof for the detail of this decomposition). If Assumption [\(3.8\)](#) is not satisfied, the induced morphism  $\nu : \bar{X}_{\bar{y}_i} \rightarrow X_y$  is finite quasi-étale, but not isomorphic.

*Proof.* Let  $y$  be a general point in  $Y$ . In particular, the point  $y$  lies in the unramified locus of  $\sigma : \bar{Y} \rightarrow Y$ . Put  $d := \deg \sigma$  and  $\sigma^{-1}(y) =: \{\bar{y}_i\}_{i=1}^d$ . The morphism  $\nu : \bar{X} \rightarrow X$  is a finite quasi-étale cover; thus, the induced morphism  $\nu : \bar{X}_{\bar{y}_i} \rightarrow X_y$  is a finite quasi-étale cover. Hence, it is sufficient to confirm that  $\nu : \bar{X}_{\bar{y}_i} \rightarrow X_y$  is not isomorphic.

Let us consider Diagrams [\(3.3\)](#) and [\(3.7\)](#) over one point  $\{y\}$ . Then, we obtain the following commutative diagram:

$$(3.13) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} & & \bar{\pi} & & \\ & & \curvearrowright & & \\ \bar{M}_y = \coprod_i \bar{M}_{\bar{y}_i} & & & & \bar{X}_y = \coprod_i \bar{X}_{\bar{y}_i} \\ & \searrow \tau & & & \downarrow \nu \\ & & & & X_y \\ & \searrow r & & & \uparrow \psi \\ P_y = \coprod_i M_{\bar{y}_i} & \xrightarrow{q} & M_y & \xrightarrow{\pi} & X_y \\ & \downarrow p & \downarrow \phi & & \\ & \{\bar{y}_i\}_{i=1}^d & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & \{y\} & \end{array}$$

The fiber  $P_y$  of  $p : P \rightarrow Y$  can be written as the disjoint union  $\coprod_i M_{\bar{y}_i}$  of  $d$  copies of  $M_{\bar{y}_i} \cong M_y := \phi^{-1}(y)$ . Furthermore, the induced morphisms  $\pi : M_y \rightarrow X_y$  is birational, which indicates that the morphism

$$M_{\bar{y}_i} \xrightarrow[\cong]{q} M_y \xrightarrow{\pi} X_y.$$

is birational. On the other hand, the induced morphism  $\bar{\pi} : \bar{M}_{\bar{y}_i} \rightarrow \bar{X}_{\bar{y}_i}$  is birational, where  $\bar{X}_{\bar{y}_i}$  is the fiber of  $\bar{\psi} : \bar{X} \dashrightarrow \bar{Y}$  at  $\bar{y}_i$ . Hence, if  $\nu : \bar{X}_{\bar{y}_i} \rightarrow X_y$  is isomorphic, then  $r : \bar{M}_{\bar{y}_i} \rightarrow M_{\bar{y}_i}$  has connected fibers. In general, a surjective morphism  $S \rightarrow T$  over  $U$  has connected fibers over the normal locus  $T$  if and only if the induced morphism  $S_u \rightarrow T_u$  has connected fibers for a general point  $u \in U$  (see [[Uen75](#), Corollary 1.12, p. 11]), which leads to the desired conclusion.  $\square$

Now, we finally complete the proof of [Theorem 3.3](#). Let us begin by reviewing the arguments in the subsections, presented in Argument  $(\diamond)$ .

**[Argument  $(\diamond)$ ]** Starting from the original variety  $X^{(0)} := X$  equipped with a base  $Y^{(0)} := Y$  of its MRC fibration as in [Setting 3.1](#), we consider a finite quasi-étale Galois cover

$$\nu_1 := \nu : X^{(1)} := \bar{X} \rightarrow X^{(0)} = X$$

satisfying the conclusion of [Proposition 3.10](#). Let us consider the following conditions corresponding to Assumptions [\(3.6\)](#) and [\(3.8\)](#):

- (A) The induced almost holomorphic map  $\bar{\psi} : \bar{X} \dashrightarrow \bar{Y}$  is an MRC fibration.
- (B) The induced morphism  $r : \bar{M} \rightarrow P$  is not an algebraic fiber space over the non-normal locus of  $P$ .

If condition (A) is not satisfied, [Theorem 3.3](#) is confirmed by the induction hypothesis on the fiber dimension, as discussed in [Subsection 3.5](#). If condition (A) is satisfied and if condition (B) is not satisfied, then  $X^{(1)} = \bar{X}$  satisfies the property of [Theorem 3.3](#) by [Propositions 3.7](#) and [Proposition 3.16](#), as discussed in [Subsection 3.6](#). Hence, we may assume that both conditions (A) and (B) are satisfied. Let  $Y^{(1)}$  be the base of the MRC fibration of  $X^{(1)}$  constructed in [Claim 3.13](#). By construction, there exists a generically finite morphism

$$(3.14) \quad \sigma_1 : Y^{(1)} := \bar{Y} \xrightarrow{\sigma} \tilde{Y} \xrightarrow{\pi_Y} Y^{(0)} = Y,$$

where  $\pi_Y : \tilde{Y} \rightarrow Y$  is a successive blow-ups and  $\sigma : Y^{(1)} = \bar{Y} \rightarrow \tilde{Y}$  is a finite Galois morphism. (Recall that we have replaced  $Y$  with  $\tilde{Y}$ , but we do not replace it here.) Let  $y_0$  be a general point in  $Y^{(0)}$  (which is a general point in  $\tilde{Y}$ ) and  $y_1$  be a point in  $\sigma_1^{-1}(y_0)$ . Then, by [Proposition 3.17](#), the induced morphism  $\nu_1 : X_{y_1}^{(1)} \rightarrow X_{y_0}^{(0)}$  is quasi-étale but not isomorphic, where  $X_{y_1}^{(1)}$  and  $X_{y_0}^{(0)}$  are the fibers of  $\psi : X^{(0)} = X \dashrightarrow Y^{(0)} = Y$  and  $\bar{\psi} : X^{(1)} = \bar{X} \dashrightarrow Y^{(1)} = \bar{Y}$  respectively.

The strategy of this proof is to repeat Argument  $(\diamond)$  for a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorization of  $X^{(1)}$  and to then show that this process actually terminates after a finite number of processes.

We now consider a small birational  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorization  $X_{\text{qf}}^{(1)} \rightarrow X^{(1)}$  by [[Kol13](#), Corollary 1.37, pp. 29-30] and consider an MRC fibration  $X_{\text{qf}}^{(1)} \rightarrow Y'$  as in [Setting 3.1](#). Note that  $X^{(1)}$  may not be  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial, and that  $Y'$  is birational to  $Y^{(1)}$ , but not necessarily isomorphic to  $Y^{(1)}$ . Then, by repeating Argument  $(\diamond)$  for  $X_{\text{qf}}^{(1)} \dashrightarrow Y'$  and by applying the construction of [\(3.14\)](#), we obtain a finite quasi-étale Galois cover satisfying the conclusion of [Proposition 3.10](#) and the corresponding maps

$$\nu' : \overline{X_{\text{qf}}^{(1)}} \rightarrow X_{\text{qf}}^{(1)} \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma' : \bar{Y}' \xrightarrow{\sigma} \tilde{Y}' \xrightarrow{\pi_{Y'}} Y' \dashrightarrow Y^{(1)}.$$

We may assume that conditions (A) and (B) are satisfied for  $\overline{X_{\text{qf}}^{(1)}}$  and  $X_{\text{qf}}^{(1)}$ . Otherwise, the desired conclusion of [Theorem 3.3](#) can be obtained, as explained in Argument  $(\diamond)$ . By applying [Proposition 3.17](#) again, we can see that the induced morphism

$$(3.15) \quad \nu_2 : (\overline{X_{\text{qf}}^{(1)}})_z \rightarrow (X_{\text{qf}}^{(1)})_{y_1} \text{ is not isomorphic,}$$

where  $z \in \sigma'^{-1}(y_1)$ . Note that the point  $y_1 \in Y^{(1)}$  can be considered as a point in  $Y'$ , since  $Y' \dashrightarrow Y^{(1)}$  is a birational map and  $y_1$  is a general point in  $Y_1$ .

Using [Lemma 2.19](#), we consider a finite quasi-étale cover  $\eta : Z \rightarrow X^{(1)}$  satisfying [Diagram \(3.16\)](#). Note that  $\nu_1 \circ \eta$  is not necessarily a Galois cover although  $\nu_1$  and  $\nu'$  (and thus  $\eta$ ) are Galois covers. Therefore, by [Theorem 2.17](#), we take a finite morphism  $\gamma : X^{(2)} \rightarrow Z$  giving the Galois closure of  $\nu_1 \circ \eta$ . That is, the composition morphism

$$\nu_1 \circ \eta \circ \gamma : X^{(2)} \rightarrow X^{(0)} = X$$

is a Galois cover and the ramified locus of  $\nu_1 \circ \eta \circ \gamma$  coincides with that of  $\nu_1 \circ \eta$  (which is of codimension  $\geq 2$ ). In particular, we can see that

$$\nu_2 := \gamma \circ \nu_1 \circ \eta : X^{(2)} \rightarrow X^{(1)}$$

is a finite quasi-étale Galois cover.

(3.16)

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & X^{(2)} \\ & & \downarrow \gamma \\ \overline{X_{\text{qf}}^{(1)}} & \longrightarrow & Z \\ \nu' \downarrow & & \downarrow \eta \\ X_{\text{qf}}^{(1)} & \longrightarrow & X^{(1)} \\ & & \downarrow \nu_1 \\ & & X^{(0)}. \end{array}$$

For  $\nu_2 : X^{(2)} \rightarrow X^{(1)}$  and the base  $Y^{(1)}$  of the MRC fibration of  $X^{(1)}$ , we obtain the base  $Y^{(2)}$  of MRC fibrations of  $X^{(2)}$  and the generically finite morphism

$$\sigma_2 : Y^{(2)} := \overline{Y^{(1)}} \longrightarrow \widetilde{Y^{(1)}} \longrightarrow Y^{(1)},$$

constructed in [Claim 3.13](#). By construction and [\(3.15\)](#), the natural morphism  $X_{y_2}^{(2)} \rightarrow X_{y_1}^{(1)}$  is not isomorphic, where  $y_2 \in \sigma_2^{-1}(y_1)$  and  $y_1$  are general points in  $Y^{(1)}$ .

We now repeat [Argument \( \$\diamond\$ \)](#) for a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorization of  $X^{(2)} \dashrightarrow Y^{(2)}$ . Then, if conditions (A) and (B) are satisfied for  $\overline{X_{\text{qf}}^{(2)}}$  and  $X_{\text{qf}}^{(2)}$ , we can construct a quasi-étale cover  $X^{(3)} \rightarrow X^{(2)}$  from a quasi-étale cover of a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorization of  $X^{(2)}$ , using [Lemma 2.19](#) again. (Otherwise, the proof of [Theorem 3.3](#) is completed.) If this process terminates, there exists a positive integer  $N$  such that the variety  $X^{(N)}$  or its  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorization satisfies the property in [Theorem 3.3](#). If conditions (A) and (B) are always satisfied, we can obtain a sequence

$$\dots \rightarrow X^{(3)} \xrightarrow{\nu_3} X^{(2)} \xrightarrow{\nu_2} X^{(1)} \xrightarrow{\nu_1} X^{(0)}$$

of finite quasi-étale covers  $X^{(k+1)} \rightarrow X^{(k)}$  such that the composition morphism  $X^{(k)} \rightarrow X^{(0)}$  is a Galois cover for any  $k$ . Then, it follows from [Theorem 2.16](#) that all but finitely many of the above morphisms should be étale. Hence, we can take  $k$  such that  $X^{(k+1)} \rightarrow X^{(k)}$  is étale.

By construction, the above sequence naturally equips a sequence

$$\dots \dashrightarrow Y^{(3)} \xrightarrow{\sigma_3} Y^{(2)} \xrightarrow{\sigma_2} Y^{(1)} \xrightarrow{\sigma_1} Y^{(0)}$$

of the generically finite morphisms  $Y^{(k+1)} \rightarrow Y^{(k)}$ , where  $Y^{(k)}$  is a base of MRC fibrations of  $X^{(k)}$ . By construction (see [Proposition 3.17](#)), the natural morphism  $X_{y_{k+1}}^{(k+1)} \rightarrow X_{y_k}^{(k)}$  is not an isomorphism, where  $y_{k+1} \in \sigma_{k+1}^{-1}(y_k)$  and  $y_k$  are general points in  $Y^{(k)}$ . Hence, we can conclude that  $X_{y_{k+1}}^{(k+1)} \rightarrow X_{y_k}^{(k)}$  is a non-isomorphic étale cover, for a general point  $y_k \in Y^{(k)}$ . In contrast, the general fiber  $X_{y_k}^{(k)}$  is a rationally connected variety with terminal singularities. Thus, this fiber is simply connected by [Theorem 2.3](#), presenting a contradiction. This completes the proof of [Theorem 3.3](#).

#### 4. MRC FIBRATIONS OF KLT PAIRS WITH NEF ANTI-LOG CANONICAL DIVISOR

In this section, we study the MRC fibrations of projective klt pairs with nef anti-log canonical divisor, by applying [Theorem 3.3](#) in [Section 3](#). The purpose of this section is to prove [Theorem 1.1](#).

**4.1. Splitting of tangent sheaves.** In this subsection, we deduce the splitting of tangent sheaves for projective klt pairs with anti-log canonical divisor from [Theorem 3.3](#).

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $(X, \Delta)$  be a klt pair with  $X$  being a normal projective variety and  $\Delta$  being an effective  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor on  $X$ . Assume that  $-(K_X + \Delta)$  is nef. Then, up to replacing  $X$  with a quasi-étale Galois cover, the MRC fibration of  $X$  induces a splitting of the tangent sheaf  $T_X$  of  $X$ :*

$$T_X \simeq \mathcal{F} \oplus \mathcal{G}$$

such that

- $\mathcal{F}$  is an algebraically integrable foliation whose general leaves are rationally connected fibers of MRC fibrations of  $X$ ,
- $\mathcal{G}$  is a (possibly singular) foliation whose canonical divisor  $K_{\mathcal{G}} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$ .

Here, the tangent sheaf  $T_X$  denotes the reflexive sheaf defined by  $(\Omega_X^1)^*$  and a (singular) foliation  $\mathcal{H}$  denotes a saturated subsheaf  $\mathcal{H} \subset T_X$  that is closed under the Lie bracket. By normality of  $X$  there is a Zariski open subset  $X^\circ$  whose complement in  $X$  is of codimension  $\geq 2$  such that  $\mathcal{H}|_{X^\circ}$  is a genuine foliation in the usual sense, and the leaves of  $\mathcal{H}$  are thus defined. A foliation is said to be *algebraically integrable* if its general leaf is Zariski open in its closure (c.f. [\[GM89\]](#)). See [\[Wan20a, §2.4\]](#) for a general account.

Now, let us turn to the proof of [Theorem 4.1](#). First, we confirm a key lemma, which states that the desired splitting of the tangent sheaf holds for varieties satisfying the property of [Theorem 3.3](#).

**Lemma 4.2.** *Let  $X$  be a variety satisfying the property of [Theorem 3.3](#). Then, the MRC fibration of  $X$  induces a splitting of the tangent sheaf of  $X$  with the same properties as those in the statement of [Theorem 4.1](#).*

*Proof.* This proof is based on [\[CH19, §3.C, Proof of Theorem 1.2\]](#) and [\[Wan20b, Step 3 & Step 4 of the Proof of Theorem 4.1\]](#). For the reader's convenience, let us briefly recall

the proof. Let the conditions be the same as those in [Setting 3.1](#) of [Section 3](#). For a very ample divisor  $A$  on  $X$ , we set  $G := \pi^*A + cE$  and

$$D_{A,c,m} := \frac{1}{r_m} \cdot \text{the Cartier divisor associated with } \det \phi_* \mathcal{O}_M(mG),$$

where  $r_m := \text{rk} \phi_* \mathcal{O}_M(mG)$ . By replacing  $M$  with its successive blow-ups, we can assume that the  $\phi$ -relative base locus of  $G$  is a divisor. Then  $G$  can be written as follows:

$$G = G_f + G_b,$$

where  $G_b$  is the  $\phi$ -relative fixed part of the linear system  $|G|$  and  $G_f := G - G_b$  is the  $\phi$ -relatively generated part. Now, the adjunction morphism admits a factorization

$$\phi^* \phi_* \mathcal{O}_M(G) \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{O}_M(G_f) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_M(G),$$

which pushes down to  $Y$  to give the morphisms

$$\phi_* \mathcal{O}_M(G) \rightarrow \phi_* \mathcal{O}_M(G_f) \hookrightarrow \phi_* \mathcal{O}_M(G).$$

By construction, the composition morphism is the identity, and hence the inclusion  $\phi_* \mathcal{O}_M(G_f) \hookrightarrow \phi_* \mathcal{O}_M(G)$  is actually an isomorphism. Then, the surjection  $\phi^* \phi_* \mathcal{O}_M(G_f) \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{O}_M(G_f)$  induces

the morphism  $\pi_G : M \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\phi_* \mathcal{O}_M(G_f))$  such that  $\mathcal{O}_M(G_f) = \pi_G^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\phi_* \mathcal{O}_M(G_f))}(1)$ .

We define  $X_G$  to be the image  $\pi_G(X)$  with the induced morphism  $\psi_G : X_G \rightarrow Y$ , then we obtain the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X & \xleftarrow{\pi} & M & \xrightarrow{\pi_G} & X_G & \hookrightarrow & \mathbb{P}(\phi_* \mathcal{O}_M(G_f)) \\ & \searrow \psi & \downarrow \phi & \swarrow \psi_G & & \searrow p & \\ & & Y & & & & \end{array}$$

By our hypothesis, the variety  $X$  satisfies the property of [Theorem 3.3](#), i.e.,  $\phi_* \mathcal{O}_X(mG) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(-mD_{A,c,1})$  is a flat vector bundle over  $Y_0$  (up to replacing  $Y_0 \setminus C_m$  where  $\text{codim } C_m \geq 2$ ). Then, by applying the same argument as [[Wan20b](#), Lemma 4.4], we can see that

$$\psi_{G*} \mathcal{O}_{X_G}(m) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(-mD_{A,c,1})$$

is a flat vector bundle on  $Y_0 \setminus C_m$ . By [Proposition 2.8](#) and [Remark 2.9\(c\)](#), the morphism  $\psi_G : X_G \rightarrow Y$  is a locally constant fibration over  $Y_0$  with the typical fiber  $F$  (up to replacing  $Y_0$  with a Zariski open whose complement is of codimension  $\geq 2$ ). In particular, the tangent sheaf  $T_{\phi_G^{-1}(Y_0)}$  splits into two foliations. Indeed, by the definition of locally constant fibrations, the fundamental group  $\pi_1(Y_0)$  diagonally acts on  $Y_0^{\text{univ}} \times F$ , and, in addition to the normality of  $Y$ , the natural splitting

$$T_{Y_0^{\text{univ}} \times F} = \text{pr}_1^* T_{Y_0^{\text{univ}}} \oplus \text{pr}_2^* T_F$$

induces a splitting of  $T_{\phi_G^{-1}(Y_0)}$ . On the other hand, by [Wan20b, Lemma 4.6], any divisorial component of the exceptional locus

$$\psi_G|_{\phi_0^{-1}(Y_0)} : \phi_0^{-1}(Y_0) \rightarrow \psi_G^{-1}(Y_0)$$

is contained in the  $\pi$ -exceptional locus  $E$ . Hence, the splitting of  $T_{\phi_G^{-1}(Y_0)}$  induces a splitting of  $T_X$ :  $T_X \simeq \mathcal{F} \oplus \mathcal{G}$ . By construction, general leaves of  $\mathcal{F}$  are fibers of the MRC fibration  $\psi : X \dashrightarrow Y$  (in particular, they are rationally connected); Thus, from Proposition 3.4(a), we find

$$K_{\mathcal{G}}|_{\pi(\phi^{-1}(Y_0) \setminus E)} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0.$$

This fact indicates that  $K_{\mathcal{G}} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$  since both  $\pi(E)$  and  $\pi(\phi^{-1}(Y \setminus Y_0))$  are of codimension  $\geq 2$  in  $X$  due to Proposition 3.4(c).  $\square$

Now, let us prove Theorem 4.1.

*Proof of Theorem 4.1.* Considering a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial terminal model, we will reduce the general case to the case of Lemma 4.2. Applying [BCHM10, Corollary 1.4.3], we take a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial terminal model  $g : X^{\text{term}} \rightarrow X$  of  $(X, \Delta)$ . By construction, there is an effective  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor  $\Delta^{\text{term}}$  on  $X^{\text{term}}$  such that

$$K_{X^{\text{term}}} + \Delta^{\text{term}} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} g^*(K_X + \Delta),$$

and hence, the anti-log canonical divisor  $-(K_{X^{\text{term}}} + \Delta^{\text{term}})$  is nef. Then, by Theorem 3.3, there is a finite sequence of finite quasi-étale covers  $X_{i+1} \rightarrow X_i^{\text{qf}}$  such that  $X_N$  or  $X_N^{\text{qf}}$  satisfies the property of Theorem 3.3, which we denote by  $X'$ . Here  $X_0 = X^{\text{term}}$ , and  $X_i^{\text{qf}}$  is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorization of  $X_i$ . By Lemma 4.2, the tangent sheaf of  $X'$  splits:  $T_{X'} \simeq \mathcal{F}' \oplus \mathcal{G}'$ .

By applying Lemma 2.19 to each  $X_{i+1} \rightarrow X_i^{\text{qf}} \rightarrow X_i$ , we can find a projective variety  $Z_{i+1}$  equipped with a birational morphism  $X_{i+1} \rightarrow Z_{i+1}$  and a finite quasi-étale Galois cover  $Z_{i+1} \rightarrow X_i$  in the following commutative diagram:

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} X_{i+1} & \longrightarrow & Z_{i+1} & & \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ X_i^{\text{qf}} & \longrightarrow & X_i & \longrightarrow & Z_i \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & X_{i-1}^{\text{qf}} & \longrightarrow & X_{i-1}. \end{array}$$

Then, by applying Lemma 2.19 to  $Z_{i+1} \rightarrow X_i \rightarrow Z_i$  again, we can obtain a finite quasi-étale cover  $\bar{X} \rightarrow X$  and a birational morphism  $X' \rightarrow \bar{X}$ , the composition of which equals to that of the natural morphism  $X' \rightarrow X^{\text{term}} \xrightarrow{g} X$ . The splitting  $T_{X'} \simeq \mathcal{F}' \oplus \mathcal{G}'$  induces a splitting  $T_{\bar{X}} \simeq \mathcal{F} \oplus \mathcal{G}$  with the properties in Theorem 4.1 via the birational morphism  $X' \rightarrow \bar{X}$ . We obtain the desired conclusion by replacing  $X$  with  $\bar{X}$ .  $\square$

**4.2. Case of  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial terminal pairs with splitting tangent sheaf.** The purpose of this subsection is to confirm the following theorem, which asserts that the conclusion of Theorem 1.1 holds for  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial terminal pairs with the splitting tangent sheaf given in Theorem 4.1.



**Theorem 4.3.** *Let  $(X, \Delta)$  be a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial terminal pair with the nef anti-log canonical divisor  $-(K_X + \Delta)$ . Assume that the tangent sheaf of  $X$  splits:  $T_X \simeq \mathcal{F} \oplus \mathcal{G}$ , where  $\mathcal{F}$  is an algebraically integrable foliation whose general fiber has rationally connected Zariski closure and  $\mathcal{G}$  is a foliation with  $K_{\mathcal{G}} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$ .*

*Then, we have the following:*

- (1)  $X$  admits a holomorphic MRC fibration  $X \rightarrow Y$ .
- (2)  $Y$  is a projective variety with terminal singularities such that  $K_Y$  is numerically trivial.
- (3)  $X \rightarrow Y$  is locally constant with respect to the pair  $(X, \Delta)$ .

*Remark 4.4.* Before beginning the proof, we note that this result does not directly imply [Theorem 1.1](#), even if  $(X, \Delta)$  in [Theorem 1.1](#) is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial terminal pair. We should pass to a quasi-étale Galois cover to obtain a splitting of the tangent sheaf  $T_X$  ([Theorem 4.1](#)); however, quasi-étale covers of  $X$  are no longer  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial even if  $X$  is  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial. Thus, the argument in [Subsection 4.3](#) is necessary for the proof of [Theorem 1.1](#) even in the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial terminal case.

The proof of [Theorem 4.3](#) can be divided into three steps:

**Step 1. Canonicity of the foliation  $\mathcal{F}$  and holomorphicity of MRC fibrations.** A key observation in this step is that  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $\mathcal{G}$  are weakly regular foliations (c.f. [[Dru18b](#), Definition 5.4, Lemma 5.8]). The subsheaf  $\mathcal{F} \subset T_X$  is an algebraically integrable foliation, and thus we intend to apply [[Dru18b](#), Theorem 6.1] to confirm that  $\mathcal{F}$  is induced by an equidimensional fiber space. To this end, we first present the following lemma:

**Lemma 4.5.** *Let the conditions be the same as those in [Theorem 4.3](#). Then, the foliation  $\mathcal{F}$  has canonical singularities (c.f. [[Dru18b](#), Definition 4.1]). Moreover, there exists a holomorphic MRC fibration  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  to a normal projective variety  $Y$  (which may differ from the original  $Y$  chosen in [Setting 3.1](#)) such that*

- $f : X \rightarrow Y$  has equidimensional fibers,
- $\mathcal{F}$  coincides with the foliation induced by  $f : X \rightarrow Y$ .

*Proof.* If  $K_{\mathcal{F}}$  is a Cartier divisor, then the lemma follows immediately from [[Dru18b](#), Lemma 5.9]. However, in the general case, we only know that  $K_{\mathcal{F}}$  is  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier. To prove this lemma, we will make use of the fact that  $-(K_X + \Delta)$  is nef and then apply [[Dru17](#), Proposition 5.5]. In fact, we will prove more generally that  $(\mathcal{F}, \Delta)$  is canonical (c.f. [[Dru17](#), §5.1] or [[Spi20](#), Definition 2.9]) and that  $\Delta$  is horizontal with respect to the MRC fibration of  $X$ . In particular, none of the components of  $\Delta$  are invariant by  $\mathcal{F}$ .

Let  $f : V \rightarrow Y$  be the morphism induced by the family of leaves of  $\mathcal{F}$ , with a birational morphism  $\beta : V \rightarrow X$ . Then, by [[AD14b](#), Remark 3.12] or [[AD16](#), §3.10] (c.f. [[AD14a](#)]), there is an effective  $\beta$ -exceptional divisor  $B$  on  $V$  such that

$$(4.2) \quad K_{\beta^{-1}\mathcal{F}} + B \sim K_{V/Y} - \text{Ram}(f) + B \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} \beta^*K_{\mathcal{F}},$$

Now, we have  $K_{\mathcal{F}} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} K_X$  by  $K_{\mathcal{G}} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$  and  $X$  is terminal by the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factoriality of  $X$ . Hence, we must have

$$f^*K_Y + \text{Ram}(f) - B \geq 0.$$

In particular, it follows that  $B$  is  $f$ -vertical since  $B$  is effective and since the both  $f^*K_Y$  and  $\text{Ram}(f)$  are  $f$ -vertical. Let us consider the general log leaf of  $\mathcal{F}$  at a general point  $y \in Y$ . From [AD14b, Remark 3.12] or [Dru17, 2.13], it follows that the general log leaf of  $\mathcal{F}$  is  $(V_y, B|_{V_y})$ . On the other hand, we have  $B|_{V_y} = 0$  since  $B$  is  $f$ -vertical. Moreover, by applying equality (4.2), we can see that

$$(V, \beta^*\Delta + B - f^*K_Y - \text{Ram}(f))$$

is terminal (c.f. [Kol97, 3.5 Definition]). Consequently, the pair  $(V_y, \beta^*\Delta|_{V_y})$  is terminal for a general point  $y \in Y$  by [KM98, Lemma 5.17, pp. 158-159]. Finally, by writing

$$-K_{\mathcal{F}} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} -K_X = -(K_X + \Delta) + \Delta$$

with  $-(K_X + \Delta)$  nef and  $\Delta$  effective, we can see that the foliated pair  $(X, \Delta, \mathcal{F})$  satisfies the condition of [Dru17, Proposition 5.5]; hence  $(\mathcal{F}, \Delta)$  is canonical. In particular, the sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  has canonical singularities.

We finally deduce the latter conclusion from the first conclusion. We can apply [Dru18b, Theorem 6.1] to conclude that  $\mathcal{F}$  determines an equidimensional fiber space  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  onto a normal projective variety  $Y$ . By construction, the morphism  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is an MRC fibration and the base variety  $Y$  is not uniruled.  $\square$

The authors were recently informed by Stéphane Druel that the holomorphicity of an MRC fibration can be directly deduced from the weak regularity of  $\mathcal{F}$  without proving that  $\mathcal{F}$  has canonical singularities by [DGP20, Theorem 4.6].

**Step 2. Local constancy of the pull-back fibration over a resolution of singularities of  $Y$ .** As shown in the previous step, the MRC fibration of  $X$  is defined everywhere and has equidimensional fibers, which we denote by  $f : X \rightarrow Y$ . Let us consider a resolution  $\mu : Y' \rightarrow Y$  of singularities of  $Y$ , and let  $X' := X \times_Y Y'$  be the fiber product, equipped with the natural morphisms  $\mu_X : X' \rightarrow X$  and  $f' : X' \rightarrow Y'$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X' := X \times_Y Y' & \xrightarrow{\mu_X} & X \\ \downarrow f' & & \downarrow f \\ Y' & \xrightarrow{\mu} & Y. \end{array}$$

The purpose of this step is to confirm the following claim:

**Claim 4.6.** *The pull-back fibration  $f' : X' \rightarrow Y'$  is locally constant.*

*Proof.* The morphism  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is semi-stable in codimension one by Proposition 3.4(e), and hence the ramification divisor of  $f$  is zero (c.f. [CKT16, Definition 2.16]). Then, from [CKT16, Lemma 2.31] and  $K_{\mathcal{G}} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$ , we have

$$K_{X/Y} \sim K_{\mathcal{F}} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} K_X,$$

which indicates that  $K_Y \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$ . Since  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is equidimensional and  $Y'$  is smooth, we have

$$K_{X'/Y'} \simeq \mu_X^* K_{X/Y}$$

by [Kle80, Proposition (9)]. Since  $\Delta$  is horizontal with respect to  $f$  by Proposition 3.4 (f), the pull-back  $\mu_X^* \Delta$  is horizontal with respect to  $f'$  due to [Wan20b, Proposition C.1]. Hence, a fortiori, we can see that

$$\mu_X^* \Delta = (\mu_X)_*^{-1} \Delta \text{ holds, and thus } -(K_{X'/Y'} + (\mu_X)_*^{-1} \Delta) \text{ is nef.}$$

Here  $(\mu_X)_*^{-1} \Delta$  is the strict transform of  $\Delta$  by  $\mu_X$ . Moreover, from [Dru17, Proposition 5.6], we can see that  $(X', (\mu_X)_*^{-1} \Delta)$  is canonical. Then, we can conclude that  $f'$  is a locally constant fibration by the same argument presented in [Wan20b, §3.4 and §4.2]. Nevertheless, for later use, we prove the following Theorem 4.7 with greater generality.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.7.** *Let  $h : V \rightarrow W$  be an algebraic fiber space between normal projective varieties with a smooth  $W$ . Assume that there is an effective divisor  $D$  on  $V$  such that  $(V, D)$  is klt and  $-(K_{V/W} + D)$  is nef. Then,  $h$  is a locally constant fibration with respect to  $(V, D)$ .*

Before confirming this theorem, let us recall some auxiliary results. By considering a resolution of the singularities of  $V$  and by applying the same argument as in [CCM19, Wan20b], we obtain the following:

**Proposition 4.8.** *Let the conditions be the same as those in Theorem 4.7. Then, we have the following:*

- (a)  $h$  is flat.
- (b)  $D$  is horizontal with respect to  $h$ .
- (c) For every pseudo-effective and  $\phi$ -big divisor  $G$  on  $V$ , the direct image

$$h_* \mathcal{O}_V(q(K_{V/W} + D) + G)$$

is weakly positively curved for every  $q \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

- (d) For every  $\phi$ -big divisor  $G$  on  $V$  and every  $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ , we set

$$D_{G,m} := \frac{1}{r_m} \cdot \text{the Cartier divisor associated with } \det h_* \mathcal{O}_V(mG)$$

where  $r_m := \text{rk } h_* \mathcal{O}_V(mG)$ . Then  $G - h^* D_{G,1}$  is pseudo-effective.

- (e) Let  $A$  be a sufficiently ample divisor on  $V$  such that

$$\text{Sym}^k H^0(V_w, \mathcal{O}_{V_w}(A)) \rightarrow H^0(V_w, \mathcal{O}_{V_w}(kA))$$

for general  $w \in W$ . Then, we have  $D_{A,m} \equiv_{\text{num}} mD_{A,1}$  for every  $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ .

*Proof.* (a) is simply [Wan20b, Lemma 3.4], (b) can be deduced from the proof of [Wan20b, Proposition 2.2(b)] or [CCM19, Theorem 1.3], (c) follows from the proof of [CCM19, Lemma 3.4] or [Wan20b, Proposition 2.3], (d) follows from the proof of [CCM19, Lemma 3.5] or [Wan20b, Proposition 2.5], and (e) arises from [CH19, Proposition 3.6] or [Wan20b, Proposition 2.8].  $\square$

Now, let us turn to the proof of Theorem 4.7.

*Proof of Theorem 4.7.* Let  $A$  be a sufficiently ample divisor on  $V$  satisfying the condition of Proposition 4.8 (e). From Proposition 4.8 (e), we see that, up to replacing  $A$  with its multiple, we can assume that  $D_{A,1}$  is an integral divisor. Then, by replacing  $A$  with  $A - \phi^* D_{A,1}$ , we have the following:

- $A$  is pseudo-effective on  $V$  by [Proposition 4.8\(d\)](#),
- $A$  is  $h$ -very ample,
- $\mathrm{Sym}^k \mathrm{H}^0(V_w, \mathcal{O}_{V_w}(A)) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^0(V_w, \mathcal{O}_{V_w}(kA))$  for a general point  $w \in W$ ,
- $D_{A,1} \sim 0$ .

Hence, since  $h$  is flat by [Proposition 4.8 \(a\)](#), we can examine that  $h_*\mathcal{O}_V(mA)$  is reflexive and weakly positively curved for any  $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$  from [Proposition 4.8 \(c\)](#). Moreover, we have  $D_{A,m} \equiv_{\mathrm{num}} mD_{A,1} \sim 0$ , and hence  $h_*\mathcal{O}_V(mA)$  is a numerically flat vector bundle on  $W$  due to [[CCM19](#), Proposition 2.7] or [[Wu20](#), §1, Corollary of Main Theorem]. By [Proposition 2.8](#) it remains to show that  $h_*\mathcal{O}_V(mA - pD)$  is a numerically flat vector bundle for every  $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$  and for some  $p \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$  rendering  $pD$  integral.

To prove the second statement, let us consider a log resolution  $\mu : V' \rightarrow V$  of  $(V, D)$ . Since  $(V, D)$  is klt, we can write

$$K_{V'} + D' \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} \mu^*(K_V + D) + E$$

with  $(V', D')$  being a klt pair and  $E$  being an effective  $\mu$ -exceptional  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor. Since  $D$  is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor, there is  $p \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$  such that  $pD$  and  $pD'$  are both integral divisors. For a sufficiently large  $q$ , let us write

$$qE + m\mu^*A - pD' \sim qK_{V'/W} - q\mu^*(K_{V/W} + D) + (q - p)D' + m\mu^*A.$$

For the klt condition and  $q \gg 1$ , we have

$$\mathcal{J}(h_{D'}^{\frac{q-p}{q}} \cdot \mu^*h_A^{\frac{m}{q}}) \simeq \mathcal{O}_{V'},$$

where  $h_A$  is a singular Hermitian metric on  $A$  with semi-positive curvature and  $h_{D'}$  is the singular Hermitian metric associated with the effective  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor  $D'$ . Hence, by [[Wan20b](#), Corollary 1.3], the direct image sheaf  $(\mu \circ h)_*\mathcal{O}_{V'}(qE + m\mu^*A - pD)$  is weakly positively curved. Moreover, since we have

$$\mu_*(m\mu^*A - pD') = mA - pD,$$

we obtain

$$\mu_*\mathcal{O}_{V'}(qE + m\mu^*A - pD') \simeq (\mu_*\mathcal{O}_V(m\mu^*A - pD'))^{**} \simeq \mathcal{O}_V(mA - pD)$$

when  $q$  is sufficiently large (c.f. also [[Wan20a](#), Theorem 1.3.1 and Lemma 1.3.2]). Consequently, the direct image sheaf  $h_*\mathcal{O}_V(mA - pD)$  is weakly positively curved, and  $D_{mA-pD,1}$  is pseudo-effective. However, since  $D$  is effective and  $D_{A,m} \equiv_{\mathrm{num}} 0$ , we must have  $D_{mA-pD,1} \equiv_{\mathrm{num}} 0$ . Furthermore, the direct image  $h_*\mathcal{O}_V(mA - pD)$  is reflexive, since  $h$  is flat and  $\mathcal{O}_V(mA - pD)$  is reflexive. Note that we cannot conclude that this term is locally free at this stage since  $D$  is not necessarily  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier. Thus, from [[CCM19](#), Proposition 2.7] or [[Wu20](#), §1, Corollary of Main Theorem], we can see that  $h_*\mathcal{O}_V(mA - pD)$  is a numerically flat vector bundle for every  $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ .  $\square$

**Step 3. Conclusion.** It remains to deduce that  $f$  is a locally constant fibration from [Claim 4.6](#). First, note that  $f$  is a locally trivial fibration by Step 2; hence  $Y$  has terminal singularities. Then, we obtain our results from the following:

**Lemma 4.9.** *Let  $h : V \rightarrow W$  be an algebraic fiber space with  $V$  and  $W$  being projective varieties and  $D$  is an effective divisor on  $V$ . Assume that  $W$  has klt singularities and that there is a projective birational morphism  $\mu : W' \rightarrow W$  such that the base change morphism  $h' : V' := V \times_W W' \rightarrow W'$  is a locally constant fibration with respect to  $(V', D')$  where  $D'$  is the pullback of  $D$ . Then  $h$  is also a locally constant fibration with respect to  $(V, D)$ .*

*Proof.* Since the fundamental group of klt varieties is birational invariant by [Tak03, Theorem 1.1], we have

$$\pi_1(W') \xrightarrow[\simeq]{\mu_*} \pi_1(W).$$

Let  $p_W : W^{\text{univ}} \rightarrow W$  (resp.  $p_{W'} : W'^{\text{univ}} \rightarrow W'$ ) be the universal cover of  $W$  (resp.  $W'$ ). Then, we have  $W'^{\text{univ}} \simeq W^{\text{univ}} \times_W W'$ , and the induced morphism  $\tilde{\mu} : W'^{\text{univ}} \rightarrow W^{\text{univ}}$  is a still projective morphism with  $\tilde{\mu}_* \mathcal{O}_{W'^{\text{univ}}} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{W^{\text{univ}}}$ . Set  $S := V \times_W W^{\text{univ}}$  and  $S' := V' \times_{W'} W'^{\text{univ}}$ . Then, by construction, we can see that

$$S' \simeq V' \times_W W^{\text{univ}} \simeq V' \times_W W' \times_W W^{\text{univ}} \simeq S \times_W W' \simeq S \times_W W'.$$

Let us set  $\mu_V : V' \rightarrow V$  and  $\tilde{\mu}_V : S' \rightarrow S$  to be the induced morphisms. Since  $h'$  is a locally constant fibration, we have  $S' \simeq W'^{\text{univ}} \times F$ , where  $F$  is the general fiber of  $h$ . Now, let us consider the morphism

$$\tilde{\mu} \times \text{id}_F : S' \rightarrow W^{\text{univ}} \times F,$$

which is a projective morphism with connected fibers. Since  $\tilde{\mu}_V$  contracts every fiber of  $\tilde{\mu} \times \text{id}_F$ , by [Wan20b, Lemma 3.4] (c.f. also [Deb01, Lemma 1.15, pp. 12-13]), we can see that  $\tilde{\mu}_V$  factorizes through  $\tilde{\mu} \times \text{id}_F$ . Hence, we obtain a bimeromorphic morphism  $\beta : W^{\text{univ}} \times F \rightarrow X$  with the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & & W^{\text{univ}} \times F \\
 & \nearrow^{\tilde{\mu} \times \text{id}_F} & \\
 S' := V \times_W W'^{\text{univ}} \simeq W'^{\text{univ}} \times F & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\mu}_V} & S := V \times_W W^{\text{univ}} \\
 \downarrow \tilde{h}' = \text{pr}_1 & & \downarrow \tilde{h} \\
 W'^{\text{univ}} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\mu}} & W^{\text{univ}} \\
 & & \downarrow \text{pr}_1 \\
 & & W^{\text{univ}} \times F
 \end{array}$$

$\exists \beta$  (arrow from  $W^{\text{univ}} \times F$  to  $S$ )  
 $\text{pr}_1$  (arrow from  $W^{\text{univ}} \times F$  to  $W^{\text{univ}}$ )

Now [Wan20b, Lemma 3.3] indicates that  $\beta$  must be an isomorphism, i.e.,  $S \simeq W^{\text{univ}} \times F$ . Hence, we have the induced morphisms  $p_{V'} : S' \rightarrow V'$  and  $p_V : S \rightarrow V$  with the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
S' \simeq W'^{\text{univ}} \times F & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\mu}_V} & S \simeq W^{\text{univ}} \times F & & \\
\downarrow \tilde{h}' = \text{pr}_1 & \swarrow p_{V'} & \searrow p_V & & \downarrow \tilde{h} \\
& & V' \xrightarrow{\mu_V} & V & \\
& & \downarrow h' & \downarrow h & \\
& & W' \xrightarrow{\mu} & W & \\
\downarrow p_{W'} & \swarrow & \searrow & & \downarrow p_W \\
W'^{\text{univ}} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\mu}} & W^{\text{univ}} & & 
\end{array}$$

Since  $h'$  is a locally constant fibration, there exists a representation  $\rho' : \pi_1(W') \rightarrow \text{Aut}(F)$  such that  $(V', D')$  is the quotient of  $W'^{\text{univ}} \times (F, D'_F)$  by the action of  $\pi_1(W')$  given by

$$\gamma \cdot (w, z) \mapsto (\gamma \cdot w, \rho'(\gamma)(z)).$$

By  $\pi_1(W') \xrightarrow[\simeq]{\mu_*} \pi_1(W)$ , we obtain a representation  $\rho : \pi_1(W) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(F)$  such that  $\rho' = \rho \circ \mu_*$ . By construction, the center, left, right, and bottom squares in the above diagram are all Cartesian; thus the top square is also Cartesian. Hence  $(V, D)$  is the quotient of  $W^{\text{univ}} \times F$  by the action of  $\pi_1(W)$  given by the same formula (with  $\rho'$  replaced by  $\rho$ ), which indicates that  $h$  is a locally constant fibration with respect to  $(V, D)$ .  $\square$

At the end of this subsection, we will confirm that  $h : X \rightarrow Y$  is locally constant with respect to the pair  $(X, \Delta)$ , by considering a normalization and applying [Theorem 4.7](#) and [Lemma 4.9](#). Then, the proof of [Theorem 4.3](#) is complete.

**4.3. Case of klt pairs with nef anti-log canonical divisor.** In this subsection, let us deduce [Theorem 1.1](#) from the case in [Subsection 4.2](#).

Let  $(X, \Delta)$  be a klt pair with the nef anti-log canonical divisor  $-(K_X + \Delta)$ . Let us take a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial terminal model  $(X^{\text{term}}, \Delta^{\text{term}})$  of  $(X, \Delta)$ : Then by [Theorem 4.1](#) we can obtain a finite quasi-étale cover  $X'' \rightarrow X^{\text{term}}$  such that the tangent sheaf of  $X''$  splits. Note that  $(X'', \Delta'')$  is terminal pair with  $\Delta''$  being the pullback of  $\Delta$ . Let  $(X', \Delta')$  be a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorialization of  $(X'', \Delta'')$ , then splitting of  $T_{X''}$  induces a splitting of  $T_{X'}$  since a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorialization is a small birational morphism. By [Lemma 2.19](#) there is a finite quasi-étale cover  $\bar{X} \rightarrow X$  along with a birational morphism  $X'' \rightarrow \bar{X}$ , such that the composition morphism equals the natural morphism  $X'' \rightarrow X^{\text{term}} \xrightarrow{g} X$ . Up to replacing  $X$  with  $\bar{X}$ , we can assume that  $(X', \Delta')$  is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial terminal model of  $(X, \Delta)$ , and we denote the birational morphism  $X' \rightarrow X$  by  $g$ .

By construction, the pair  $(X', \Delta')$  is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial terminal pair with the splitting tangent sheaf  $T_{X'} \simeq \mathcal{F}' \oplus \mathcal{G}'$ , as in [Theorem 4.1](#). In particular, the pair  $(X', \Delta')$  satisfies the assumptions of [Theorem 4.3](#); consequently, the foliation  $\mathcal{F}'$  induces the locally constant MRC fibration  $f' : X' \rightarrow Y'$ , with  $Y'$  having terminal singularities and  $K_{Y'} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$ . The splitting of  $T_{X'}$  induces a splitting of the tangent sheaf of  $X$ :  $T_X \simeq \mathcal{F} \oplus \mathcal{G}$  such that  $\mathcal{F}$

(resp.  $\mathcal{G}$ ) coincides with  $\mathcal{F}'$  (resp.  $\mathcal{G}'$ ) over  $X \setminus g(\text{Exc}(g))$  via the isomorphism  $X' \setminus \text{Exc}(g) \simeq X \setminus g(\text{Exc}(g))$ .

This subsection aims to show that  $f' : X' \rightarrow Y'$  induces an MRC fibration  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  satisfying the conclusions of [Theorem 1.1](#):  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is locally constant with respect to the pair  $(X, \Delta)$  and  $Y$  is a projective klt variety with the numerically trivial  $K_Y$ . The proof is divided into three steps:

**Step 1 (Holomorphicity of MRC fibrations).** First, we prove that  $f'$  gives rise to a morphism  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  that is defined everywhere and that coincides with the desired MRC fibration of  $X$ .

**Theorem 4.10.** *Let the conditions remain the same as above. Then, there exist a normal projective variety  $Y$  with  $K_Y \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$ , a birational morphism  $g_Y : Y' \rightarrow Y$ , and an algebraic fiber space  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  such that  $f \circ g = g_Y \circ f'$  and  $f$  coincides with the MRC fibration of  $X$ .*

*Proof of Theorem 4.10.* The proof of this theorem makes use of [Lemma 2.7](#). Let us take a very ample divisor  $A$  on  $X$  and set  $B = g^*A$ ; then  $B$  is a nef and big divisor on  $X'$ . The fiber of  $f'$  has vanishing irregularity since it has terminal (and thus rational) singularities and is rationally connected. Hence, from [Lemma 2.7](#), we can write the following:

$$(4.3) \quad B = B_0 + (f')^*B_{Y'}$$

with  $B_{Y'}$  being a divisor on  $Y'$ . Then  $f'_*\mathcal{O}_{X'}(mB_0)$  is a numerically flat vector bundle on  $Y'$  for every  $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ . Indeed, by [Proposition 4.8 \(d\)](#), the line bundle  $B_0$  is pseudo-effective, and then, by [Proposition 4.8\(b\)](#), we find that  $f'_*\mathcal{O}_{X'}(mB_0)$  is weakly positively curved. Moreover, the sheaf  $f'_*\mathcal{O}_{X'}(mB_0)$  is a flat vector bundle by (a); hence, the sheaf is a numerically flat vector bundle. Then, we obtain the following:

**Claim 4.11.** *Let the conditions be the same as above. Then  $B_0$  is nef, and  $B_{Y'}$  is nef and big.*

The proof of the above claim will be given later. Let us accept this claim for now and prove [Theorem 4.10](#). Since the divisor  $B_{Y'}$  is big and nef on  $Y'$  by [Claim 4.11](#), together with  $K_{Y'} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$ , the basepoint-free theorem [[KM98](#), §3.1, Theorem 3.3, p. 75] implies that  $B_{Y'}$  is semi-ample. Up to multiplying  $B_{Y'}$  by a sufficiently big and divisible integer, the divisor  $B_{Y'}$  induces a birational morphism  $g_Y : Y' \rightarrow Y$  (c.f. [[Laz04](#), §2.1.B, Theorem 2.1.27, pp. 129-131, Vol.I]) and there is a very ample line bundle  $A_Y$  on  $Y$  such that  $B_{Y'} \simeq g_Y^*A_Y$ . Up to replacing  $Y$  with its normalization, we can assume that  $Y$  is normal.

Next, we prove that  $g_Y \circ f'$  factorizes through  $g : X' \rightarrow X$ . To this end, let  $C$  be a curve contracted by  $g$ . Then, we have  $g^*A \cdot C = B \cdot C = 0$  by the choice of  $C$ . Since  $B_{Y'}$  and  $B_0$  are both nef, we have

$$(f')^*B_{Y'} \cdot C = B_0 \cdot C = 0,$$

by equality (4.3). Hence, we can conclude that  $(g_Y \circ f')^*A_Y \cdot C = 0$ , which indicates that  $C$  is contracted by  $g_Y \circ f'$ . By [[Deb01](#), Lemma 1.15, pp. 12-13], there is a morphism  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  such that  $g_Y \circ f' = f \circ g$ . Moreover, since  $g_Y$  and  $g$  are birational, we find that  $f$  is equidimensional by [[Mat70](#), (13.B) Theorem 19(1), pp. 79-80]. Moreover, since  $g_Y$  is birational, the foliation  $\mathcal{F}$  (induced by  $\mathcal{F}'$ ) coincides with the foliation induced by

$f$  (i.e.,  $\mathcal{F} \simeq T_{X/Y}$ ). Consequently, the morphism  $f$  coincides with the MRC fibration of  $X$ . By [Proposition 3.4\(e\)](#), the morphism  $f$  is semi-stable in codimension one; hence the ramification divisor of  $f$  is zero, and  $K_{X/Y} \sim K_{\mathcal{F}} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} K_X$ . Therefore, we obtain  $K_Y \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Claim 4.11.* First, if we can confirm the lemma when  $Y'$  is replaced by a resolution of singularities and  $(X', \Delta')$  is replaced by the pull-back, then the lemma holds for our original  $X'$  and  $Y'$  by [\[Laz04, §1.4.A, Example 1.4.4, p. 51 and §2.2.C, Proposition 2.2.43, p. 153, Vol.I\]](#). Hence, we can assume that  $Y'$  is smooth. Under such a base change, the morphism  $f'$  is still locally constant and  $(X', \Delta')$  is still  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial terminal. However, in this case, we no longer have  $K_{Y'} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$  but only  $\kappa(Y') = 0$ ; hence  $-(K_{X'} + \Delta')$  is no longer nef, but  $-(K_{X'/Y'} + \Delta)$  is nef.

Since  $f'$  is a locally constant fibration, the universal cover of  $X'$  is a product and its corresponding splitting of the tangent sheaf induces the splitting  $T_{X'} \simeq T_{X'/Y'} \oplus \mathcal{E}$  with  $\mathcal{E} \simeq f^*T_Y$  (see [\[Wan20b, Remark 1.7\]](#)). In particular, we can see that  $\mathcal{E}$  is locally free. Then, since  $X'$  is terminal, a functorial resolution  $\mu : V \rightarrow X'$  satisfies  $T_V \simeq \mu^*\mathcal{E} \oplus T_{V/Y'}$  by [\[Dru18a, Lemma 5.10\]](#). Consequently, the morphism  $\mu \circ f'$  is a locally constant fibration by the classical Ehresmann theorem (c.f. [\[Hör07, 3.17.Theorem\]](#) and [\[CLN85, §V.3, Theorem 1 and Theorem 3, pp. 91-95\]](#)).

Since  $(X', \Delta')$  is terminal, we have

$$K_V + \pi_*^{-1}\Delta' \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} \mu^*(K_{X'} + \Delta') + E$$

for some effective  $\mu$ -exceptional  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor  $E$ . Since  $B = g^*A$  is nef and big and  $-(K_X + \Delta)$  is nef, we can see that

$$B - (K_{X'/Y'} + \Delta')$$

is big and nef. Moreover, the morphism  $\mu \circ f' : V \rightarrow Y'$  is smooth. Therefore, for  $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$  sufficiently divisible (such that  $mK_X$  and  $m\Delta$  are integral Cartier divisors), the direct image sheaf

$$(\mu \circ f)_*\mathcal{O}_V(mK_{V/Y'} + m\mu^*(B - K_{X'/Y'} - \Delta'))$$

is a nef vector bundle, by a variant of [\[Mou97, Théorème 1\]](#) or [\[Fuj16, Theorem 1.4 and §5\]](#). Here, in [\[Fuj16, §5, Proof of Theorem 1.4\]](#), we simply replace [\[Fuj16, Theorem 5.1\]](#) or [\[PS14, Theorem 1.4\]](#) by [\[PS14, Variant 1.5\]](#) to obtain the required version. Moreover, from [\[Wan20a, Lemma 1.3.2\]](#), we have

$$(\mu \circ f)_*\mathcal{O}_V(mK_{V/Y'} + m\mu^*(B - K_{X'/Y'} - \Delta')) \simeq f'_*\mathcal{O}_{X'}(mB - m\Delta'),$$

and hence  $f'_*\mathcal{O}_{X'}(mB - m\Delta')$  is a nef vector bundle, which is non-zero since  $B - \Delta' = g^*A - \Delta'$  is effective up to replacing  $A$  with its multiple.

By [Theorem 4.7](#), the morphism  $X' \rightarrow Y'$  is locally constant with respect to the pair  $(X', \Delta')$ ; hence, the decomposition of  $B - \Delta'$  given by [Lemma 2.7](#) should be written as follows:

$$B - \Delta' = (B_0 - \Delta') + (f')^*B_{Y'}.$$

Therefore  $E_{m,\Delta} := f'_*\mathcal{O}_{X'}(mB_0 - m\Delta')$  is a numerically flat vector bundle for every sufficiently divisible  $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ . Furthermore, from the projection formula, we have

$$f'_*\mathcal{O}_{X'}(mB - m\Delta') \simeq E_{m,\Delta} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{Y'}(mB_{Y'}),$$



which indicates that  $B_{Y'}$  is nef.

By [Lemma 2.7](#) and [Proposition 4.8](#), the direct image sheaf

$$E_m := f'_* \mathcal{O}_{X'}(mB_0)$$

is a numerically flat vector bundle for every  $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ . Since  $B_{Y'}$  is nef, by [[Dem01](#), (7.5) Corollary, p. 52] or [[Dem10](#), (7.5) Corollary, p. 66], there is an ample divisor  $H$  on  $Y'$  (independent of  $m$ ) such that  $H + mB_{Y'}$  is very ample for every  $m$ . For a general hypersurface  $H_{m,1} \in |H + mB_{Y'}|$ , we have the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow E_m \otimes \mathcal{O}_{Y'}(-H) \rightarrow E_m \otimes \mathcal{O}_{Y'}(mB_{Y'}) \rightarrow E_m \otimes \mathcal{O}_{Y'}(mB_{Y'})|_{H_{m,1}} \rightarrow 0.$$

Since  $E_m$  is numerically flat, we have  $H^0(Y', E_m \otimes \mathcal{O}_{Y'}(-H)) = \{0\}$ , and hence we can see that

$$h^0(Y', E_m \otimes \mathcal{O}_{Y'}(mB_{Y'})) \leq h^0(H_{m,1}, (E_m \otimes \mathcal{O}_{Y'}(mB_{Y'}))|_{H_{m,1}}).$$

By repeating this process, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} h^0(X', mB') &= h^0(Y', E_m \otimes \mathcal{O}_{Y'}(mB_{Y'})) \\ &\leq \#(H_{m,1} \cap H_{m,2} \cap H_{m,\dim Y}) \cdot \text{rk} E_m \\ &\leq (mB_{Y'} + H)^{\dim Y} \cdot h^0(F', mB_0|_{F'}), \end{aligned}$$

where  $F'$  is the fiber of  $f'$ . By considering  $\lim_{m \rightarrow +\infty} (\bullet/m^{\dim X})$  (c.f. [[Laz04](#), §1.2.B, Example 1.2.36, p. 38-39, §2.2.C, Definition 2.2.31 and (2.9), p. 148, vol.I])

$$0 < \text{vol}_{X'}(B) \leq C \cdot \text{vol}_{Y'}(B_{Y'}) \cdot \text{vol}_{F'}(B_0|_{F'})$$

for some constant  $C$  (only depending on the dimensions of  $X$  and  $Y$ ). Hence  $B_{Y'}$  is big.

*Remark 4.12.* A similar argument demonstrates that the numerical dimension of  $B_0$  equals  $\dim F'$  although we do not need this fact here. We can use [[DPS94](#), Theorem 18] and [[Zuo96](#), Corollary 1] (noting that  $\kappa(Y') = 0$ ) to confirm the bigness of  $B_{Y'}$ .

It remains to be shown that  $B_0$  is nef. The argument is similar to that in [[EIM20](#), Proof of Theorem 4.7]. Let  $H$  be a very ample divisor on  $Y'$  such that it induces an embedding  $Y' \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}H^0(Y', \mathcal{O}_{Y'}(H))$ . Since  $E_m$  is a flat vector bundle,  $E_m \otimes \mathcal{O}_{Y'}(kH)$  is Nakano positive for every  $m, k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$  (c.f. [[Dem01](#), (3.9) Definition, p. 24] or [[Dem10](#), (3.9) Definition, p. 28]); hence, from the Nakano vanishing theorem (c.f. [[Dem01](#), (4.9), p. 30] or [[Dem10](#), (4.9), p. 35]), we have

$$H^i(Y', E_m \otimes \mathcal{O}_{Y'}((d+1-i)H)) = \{0\}$$

for every  $i > 0$ , where  $d = \dim Y$ . Consequently, the vector bundle  $E_m$  is  $(d+1)$ -regular with respect to  $H$ . From [[Laz04](#), Theorem 1.8.3, pp. 99-100], we can see that

$$E_m \otimes \mathcal{O}_{Y'}((d+1)H) \simeq f'_* \mathcal{O}_{X'}(mB_0 + (d+1)(f')^*H)$$

is globally generated on  $Y'$ . Moreover, since  $B_0|_{F'} \sim g^*A|_{F'}$ , we find that  $B_0$  is  $f'$ -globally generated; hence  $mB_0 + (d+1)(f')^*H$  is globally generated. By letting  $m \rightarrow +\infty$  we can conclude that  $B_0$  is nef.  $\square$

**Step 2 (Isotriviality of the MRC fibration.)** To confirm that  $f$  is a locally constant fibration, we intend to apply [Theorem 4.7](#) and [Lemma 4.9](#). Nevertheless, there are two difficulties to consider:

- To apply [Theorem 4.7](#), we need to consider the base change via a resolution of singularities of  $Y$ , but we do not know whether the base change of  $X$  still satisfies the assumptions of [Theorem 4.7](#) (i.e., klt condition and nefness).
- We do not demonstrate that  $Y$  has klt singularities, which prevents us from applying [Lemma 4.9](#).

To overcome these difficulties, we confirm the following claim:

**Claim 4.13.** *Let the conditions be the same as above. Then  $Y$  has klt singularities, and  $f$  is a locally trivial fibration.*

*Proof.* First, let us demonstrate that  $Y$  has klt singularities. Let  $\mu : Y_1 \rightarrow Y$  be a resolution of singularities of  $Y$ , let  $X_1 := X \times_Y Y_1$  be equipped with natural morphisms  $\mu_X : X_1 \rightarrow X$  and  $f_1 : X_1 \rightarrow Y_1$ , and let  $\rho : V \rightarrow X_1$  be a resolution of singularities of  $X_1$ . Then, from [[Kle80](#), Proposition (9)], [[Rei94](#), (2.3) Proposition and Step 3 of (3.6)] and [[Wan20b](#), Lemma C.2], we have

$$(4.4) \quad K_{V/Y_1} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} \rho^* \mu_X^* (K_{X/Y} + \Delta) + E_{X_1} - G$$

for some  $\rho$ -exceptional divisor  $E_{X_1}$  and for some effective divisor  $G$  obtained from the conductor divisor of the normalization of  $X_1$ . We can compare  $K_{Y_1}$  and  $\mu^* K_Y$  using (4.4), and then  $Y$  has klt singularities since  $(X, \Delta)$  is a klt pair.

In the sequel we show that  $f$  is a locally trivial fibration, which enables us to overcome the first difficulty.

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 & \xrightarrow{\tilde{g}_Y \times \text{id}_{F'}} & \\
 & \searrow & \nearrow \\
 X' \times_{Y'} Y'^{\text{univ}} \simeq Y'^{\text{univ}} \times F' & \xrightarrow{\tilde{g}} & X \times_Y Y^{\text{univ}} \\
 \downarrow \tilde{f}' = \text{pr}_1 & & \downarrow \tilde{f} \\
 Y'^{\text{univ}} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{g}_Y} & Y^{\text{univ}}
 \end{array} \\
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 & \xrightarrow{g} & \\
 \downarrow p_{X'} & & \downarrow p_X \\
 X' & \xrightarrow{g} & X \\
 \downarrow f' & & \downarrow f \\
 Y' & \xrightarrow{g_Y} & Y \\
 \downarrow p_{Y'} & & \downarrow p_Y \\
 Y'^{\text{univ}} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{g}_Y} & Y^{\text{univ}}
 \end{array} \\
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 & \xrightarrow{\exists \beta} & \\
 & \searrow & \nearrow \\
 X \times_Y Y^{\text{univ}} & \xrightarrow{\exists \beta} & Y^{\text{univ}} \times F' \\
 \downarrow \text{pr}_1 & & \downarrow \text{pr}_1 \\
 Y^{\text{univ}} & \xrightarrow{\exists \beta} & Y^{\text{univ}}
 \end{array}
 \end{array}$$

Let  $p_Y : Y^{\text{univ}} \rightarrow Y$  (resp.  $p_{Y'} : Y'^{\text{univ}} \rightarrow Y'$ ) be the universal cover of  $Y$  (resp. of  $Y'$ ). Since  $Y'$  is terminal and  $Y$  is klt, we have  $\pi_1(Y_1) \simeq \pi_1(Y)$  from [Tak03, Theorem 1.1]. Then, the morphism  $g_Y \circ p_{Y'}$  lifts to a projective morphism  $\tilde{g}_Y : Y'^{\text{univ}} \rightarrow Y^{\text{univ}}$ ; consequently, the bottom square of the commutative diagram is Cartesian. Furthermore, we have  $(\tilde{g}_Y)_* \mathcal{O}_{Y'^{\text{univ}}} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{Y^{\text{univ}}}$ .

Let us take the fiber product  $X \times_Y Y^{\text{univ}}$  equipped with the natural morphisms  $p_X : X \times_Y Y^{\text{univ}} \rightarrow X$  and  $\tilde{f} : X \times_Y Y^{\text{univ}} \rightarrow Y^{\text{univ}}$ . We note that  $p_{X'}$  is the universal cover of  $X'$  since  $F'$  is simply connected, hence  $g \circ p_{X'}$  lifts to a morphism

$$\tilde{g} : Y'^{\text{univ}} \times F' \rightarrow X \times_Y Y^{\text{univ}}.$$

Furthermore, we have  $\tilde{g}_* \mathcal{O}_{Y'^{\text{univ}} \times F'} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{X \times_Y Y^{\text{univ}}}$ , and the morphism  $\tilde{g}$  is projective since  $\tilde{f}'$  and  $\tilde{g}_Y$  are projective.

Let  $C$  be a curve contracted by  $\tilde{h} \times \text{id}_{F'}$ . Then  $C$  can be identified with a curve on  $Y'^{\text{univ}}$  contracted by  $\tilde{g}_Y$ , i.e.,  $C$  is contained in  $\tilde{g}_Y^{-1}(y)$  for some  $y \in Y^{\text{univ}}$ . Note that the intersection numbers below can be computed for some projective variety  $\tilde{g}_Y^{-1}(y)$ . Hence, we have the following:

$$p_{X'}^* g^* A \cdot C = \text{pr}_1^* p_{Y'}^* g_Y^* A_Y \cdot C + \text{pr}_2^* (B|_{F'}) \cdot C = p_{Y'}^* h^* A_Y \cdot C = 0,$$

which indicates that  $C$  is contracted by  $\tilde{g}$ . By [Wan20b, Lemma 3.4], the morphism  $\tilde{g}$  factorizes through  $\tilde{g}_Y \times \text{id}_{F'}$ , i.e., there is a projective morphism  $\beta : Y^{\text{univ}} \times F' \rightarrow X \times_Y Y^{\text{univ}}$  such that  $\tilde{g} = \beta \circ (\tilde{g}_Y \times \text{id}_{F'})$ . Furthermore, we have  $\beta_* \mathcal{O}_{Y^{\text{univ}} \times F'} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{X \times_Y Y^{\text{univ}}}$ ; hence  $\beta$  must be birational. Then, we can apply [Wan20b, Lemma 3.3] to conclude that  $\tilde{f}$  induces a decomposition of  $X \times_Y Y^{\text{univ}}$  into a product  $Y^{\text{univ}} \times F$  with  $F$  being the general fiber of  $\tilde{f}$ . In particular,  $\tilde{f}$  is a locally trivial fibration. Moreover, by Theorem 4.3, the morphism  $X' \rightarrow Y'$  is locally constant with respect to the pair  $(X', \Delta')$ . By applying the same argument utilized above, we find that  $\Delta$  locally splits into a product via a base change to  $Y^{\text{univ}}$  (in particular, it is locally trivial over  $Y$ ). □

**Step 3 (Local constancy of the MRC fibration.)** Finally, let us confirm that  $f$  is a locally constant fibration. Let us take  $\mu : Y_1 \rightarrow Y$  to be a resolution of singularities of  $Y$ . From Step 2, we can see that  $f$  is locally trivial. Hence, the base change morphism  $f_1 : X_1 \rightarrow Y_1$  is still locally trivial and  $(X_1, \Delta_1)$  is still klt, where  $X_1 := X \times_Y Y_1$  and  $\Delta_1$  is the base change of  $\Delta$ . Note that  $\Delta_1$  is locally trivial over  $Y_1$  since  $\Delta$  is locally trivial over  $Y$ . The relative anti-canonical divisor  $-(K_{X_1/Y_1} + \Delta_1)$ , which is equal to the pull-back of  $-(K_X + \Delta)$ , is nef. Now, we can apply Theorem 4.7 to  $f_1 : (X_1, \Delta_1) \rightarrow Y_1$ , and conclude that  $f_1$  is a locally constant fibration with respect to  $(X_1, \Delta_1)$  is a locally constant fibration over  $Y_1$ . Then, since  $Y$  has klt singularities, the same holds for  $f$  and  $(X, \Delta)$  by Lemma 4.9. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

## REFERENCES

- [ACG11] Enrico Arbarello, Maurizio Cornalba, and Phillip Griffiths. *Geometry of Algebraic Curves, Vol. II*, volume 268 of *Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, 2011.

- [AD14a] Carolina Aruajo and Stéphane Druel. Erratum to "Aruajo C., Druel S.: On codimension 1 del Pezzo Foliations on Varieties with Mild Singularities, *Math. Ann.* 360, 3 (2014), p.769-798". [http://druel.perso.math.cnrs.fr/textes/cd1f\\_erratum.pdf](http://druel.perso.math.cnrs.fr/textes/cd1f_erratum.pdf), 2014.
- [AD14b] Carolina Aruajo and Stéphane Druel. On Codimension 1 del Pezzo Foliations on Varieties with Mild Singularities. *Mathematische Annalen*, 360(3-4):769–798, 2014.
- [AD16] Carolina Aruajo and Stéphane Druel. On Fano Foliations 2. In Paolo Cascini, James McKernan, and Jorge Vitório Pereira, editors, *Foliation Theory in Algebraic Geometry*, Simons Symposia, pages 201–248, Cham Heidelberg New York Dordrecht London, 2016. Springer International Publishing.
- [Amb05] Florin Ambro. The moduli b-divisor of an lc-trivial fibration. *Compositio Mathematica*, 141(2):385–403, 2005.
- [BCHM10] Caucher Birkar, Paolo Cascini, Christopher Derek Hacon, and James McKernan. Existence of Minimal Models for Varieties of log General Type. *Journal of the American Mathematical Society*, 23(2):405–468, 2010.
- [Bea83] Arnaud Beauville. Variétés kählériennes dont la première classe de chern est nulle. *Journal of Differential Geometry*, 18(4):755–782, 1983.
- [BP08] Bo Berndtsson and Mihai Păun. Bergman Kernels and the Pseudoeffectivity of Relative Canonical Bundles. *Duke Mathematical Journal*, 145(2):341–378, 2008.
- [BS94] Shigetoshi Bando and Yum-Tong Siu. Stable sheaves and Einstein-Hermitian metrics. pages 39–50, 1994.
- [Cam92] Frédéric Campana. Connexité rationnelle des variétés de Fano. *Annales scientifiques de l'École normale supérieure*, 25(5):539–545, 1992.
- [Cam10] Frédéric Campana. Remarks on an Example of K.Ueno. In Carel Faber, Gerard van der Geer, and Eduard Looijenga, editors, *Classification of Algebraic Varieties*, volume 7 of *EMS Series of Congress Reports*, pages 115–121, Zurich, 2010. EMS Publishing House.
- [Cam16] Frédéric Campana. Orbifold slope-rational connectedness. Preprint <https://arxiv.org/abs/1607.07829>, 2016.
- [Cam20] Frédéric Campana. The Bogomolov-Beauville-Yau Decomposition for Klt Projective Varieties with Trivial First Chern Class -Without Tears-. Preprint <https://arxiv.org/abs/2004.08261>, 2020. to appear in *Bulletin de la Société Mathématique de France*.
- [Cao19] Junyan Cao. Albanese Maps of Projective Manifolds with Nef Anticanonical Bundles. *Annales scientifiques de l'École normale supérieure*, 52(5):1137–1154, 2019.
- [CC96] Jeff Cheeger and Tobias Holck Colding. Lower Bounds on Ricci Curvature and the Almost Rigidity of Warped Products. *Annals of Mathematics*, 144(1):189–237, 1996.
- [CCM19] Frédéric Campana, Junyan Cao, and Shin-ichi Matsumura. Projective Klt Pairs with Nef Anticanonical Divisor. Preprint <https://arxiv.org/abs/1910.06471>, 2019. to appear in *Algebraic Geometry*.
- [CH19] Junyan Cao and Andreas Höring. A Decomposition Theorem for Projective Manifolds with Nef Anticanonical Bundle. *Journal of Algebraic Geometry*, 28(3):567–597, 2019.
- [CKT16] Benoît Claudon, Stepan Kebekus, and Behrouz Taji. Generic Positivity and Applications to Hyperbolicity of Moduli Spaces. Preprint <https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-01389525/document>, 2016. to appear as a chapter of a book about complex hyperbolicity.
- [CLN85] César Camacho and Alcides Lins Neto. *Geometric Theory of Foliations*. Birkhäuser, Inc., Basel, 1985.
- [COT14] Fabrizio Catanese, Keiji Oguiso, and Tuyen Trung Truong. Unirationality of ueno-campana threefolds. *Manuscripta Mathematica*, 145(3-4):399–406, 2014.
- [COV15] Fabrizio Catanese, Keiji Oguiso, and Alessanro Verra. On the Unirationality of Higher Dimensional Ueno-type Manifolds. *Revue roumaine de mathématiques pures et appliqués*, 60(3):337–353, 2015.
- [CP91] Frédéric Campana and Thomas Peternell. Projective Manifolds whose Tangent Bundles are Numerically Effective. *Mathematische Annalen*, 289(1):169–187, 1991.

- [CP17] Junyan Cao and Mihai Păun. Kodaira Dimension of Algebraic Fibre Space over Abelian Varieties. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 207(1):345–387, 2017.
- [CP19] Frédéric Campana and Mihai Păun. Foliations with Positive Slopes and Birational Stability of Orbifold Cotangent Bundles. *Publications Mathématiques. Institut de Hautes Études Scientifiques*, 129:1–49, 2019.
- [Deb01] Olivier Debarre. *Higher-Dimensional Algebraic Geometry*. Universitext. Springer-Verlag, New York, NY, 2001.
- [Dem01] Jean-Pierre Demailly. Multiplier Ideal Sheaves and Analytic Methods in Algebraic Geometry. In Jean-Pierre Demailly, Lothar Göttsche, and Robert Lazarsfeld, editors, *Vanishing Theorems and Effective Results in Algebraic Geometry*, volume 6 of *ICTP Lecture Notes Series*, pages 1–148, Trieste, 2001. The Abdus Salam International Centre for Theoretical Physics.
- [Dem10] Jean-Pierre Demailly. *Analytic Methods in Algebraic Geometry*, volume 1 of *Surveys of Modern Mathematics*. Higher Education Press; International Press, Beijing; Sommerville, 2010.
- [DGP20] Stéphane Druel, Henri Guenancia, and Mihai Păun. A Decomposition Theorem for  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano Kähler-Einstein Varieties. Preprint <https://arxiv.org/abs/2008.05352>, 2020.
- [DLB20] Stéphane Druel and Federico Lo Bianco. Numerical Characterization of Some Toric Fiber Bundles. Preprint <https://arxiv.org/abs/2003.13818>, 2020.
- [DPS94] Jean-Pierre Demailly, Thomas Peternell, and Michael Schneider. Compact Complex Manifolds with Numerically Effective Tangent Bundles. *Journal of Algebraic Geometry*, 3(2):295–345, 1994.
- [Dru17] Stéphane Druel. On Foliations with Nef Anti-canonical Bundle. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 369(11):7765–7787, 2017.
- [Dru18a] Stéphane Druel. A Decomposition Theorem for Singular Spaces with Trivial Canonical Class of Dimension at most five. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 211(1):245–296, 2018.
- [Dru18b] Stéphane Druel. Codimension one Foliations with Numerically Trivial Canonical Class on Singular Spaces. Preprint <https://arxiv.org/abs/1809.06905>, 2018. To appear in *Duke Mathematical Journal*.
- [EIM20] Sho Ejiri, Masataka Iwai, and Shin-ichi Matsumura. On Asymptotic Base Loci of Relative Anti-canonical Divisors of Algebraic Fibre Spaces. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2005.04566>, 2020.
- [Fuj16] Osamu Fujino. Direct Images of Relative Pluricanonical Bundles. *Algebraic Geometry*, 3(1):50–62, 2016.
- [GGK19] Daniel Greb, Henri Guenancia, and Stephan Kebekus. Klt Varieties with Trivial Canonical Class: Holonomy, Differential Forms and Fundamental Groups. *Geometry & Topology*, 23(4):2051–2124, 2019.
- [GHS03] Tom Graber, Joe Harris, and Jason Starr. Families of Rationally Connected Varieties. *Journal of the American Mathematical Society*, 16(1):57–67, 2003.
- [GKKP11] Daniel Greb, Stephan Kebekus, Sándor József Kovács, and Thomas Peternell. Differential Forms on Log Canonical Spaces. *Publications mathématiques de l’I.H.É.S.*, 114(1):87–169, 2011.
- [GKP16a] Daniel Greb, Stephan Kebekus, and Thomas Peternell. Étale Fundamental Groups of Kawamata Log Terminal Spaces, Flat Sheaves and Quotients of Abelian Varieties. *Duke Mathematical Journal*, 165(10):1965–2004, 2016.
- [GKP16b] Daniel Greb, Stephan Kebekus, and Thomas Peternell. Singular Spaces with Trivial Canonical Class. In János Kollár, Osamu Fujino, Shigeru Mukai, and Noboru Nakayama, editors, *Minimal Models and Extremal Rays*, volume 70 of *Advanced Studies in Pure Mathematics*, pages 67–113, Kyoto, 2016. Mathematical Society of Japan.
- [GM89] Xavier Gómez-Mont. Integrals for Holomorphic Foliations with Singularities Having all Leaves Compact. *Annales de l’Institut Fourier*, 39(2):451–458, 1989.
- [GR56] Hans Grauert and Reinhold Remmert. Plurisubharmonische Funktionen in komplexen Räumen. *Mathematische Zeitschrift*, 65:175–194, 1956.
- [Har77] Robin Hartshorne. *Algebraic Geometry*, volume 52 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, NY, 1977.

- [Har80] Robin Hartshorne. Stable Reflexive Sheaves. *Mathematische Annalen*, 254(2):121–176, 1980.
- [HIM19] Genki Hosono, Masataka Iwai, and Shin-ichi Matsumura. On projective manifolds with pseudo-effective tangent bundle. Preprint <https://arxiv.org/abs/1908.06421>, 2019. to appear in *Journal of the Institute of Mathematics of Jussieu*.
- [HM07] Christopher Derek Hacon and James McKernan. On Shokurov’s Rational Connectedness Conjecture. *Duke Mathematical Journal*, 138(1):119–136, 2007.
- [Hör07] Andreas Höring. Uniruled Varieties with Split Tangent Bundles. *Mathematische Zeitschrift*, 256(3):465–479, 2007.
- [HP19] Andreas Höring and Thomas Peternell. Algebraic Integrability of Foliations with Numerically Trivial Canonical Bundle. *Inventiones Mathematicae*, 216(2):395–419, 2019.
- [HPS18] Christopher Derek Hacon, Mihnea Popa, and Christian Schnell. Algebraic Fibre Spaces over Abelian Varieties: Around a Recent Theorem by Cao and Păun. In Nero Budur, Tommaso de Fernex, Roi Docampo, and Kevin Tucker, editors, *Local and Global Methods in Algebraic Geometry*, volume 712 of *Contemporary Mathematics*, pages 143–195, Providence, RI, 2018. American Mathematical Society.
- [HSW81] Alan Howard, Brian Smyth, and Hung-Hsi Wu. On Compact Kähler Manifolds of Nonnegative Bisectional Curvature, I. *Acta Mathematica*, 147:51–56, 1981.
- [HW20] Gordon Heier and Bun Wong. On Projective Kähler Manifolds of Partially Positive Curvature and Rational Connectedness. *Documenta Mathematica*, 25:219–238, 2020.
- [KL09] János Kollár and Larsen. Quotients of Calabi-Yau Varieties. In Yuri Tschinkel and Yuri Zarhin, editors, *Algebra, Arithmetic, and Geometry, Volume II: In honor of Yu.I.Manin*, volume 270 of *Progress in Mathematics*, pages 179–211, Boston, 2009. Birkhäuser.
- [Kle80] Steven Lawrence Kleiman. Relative Duality for Quasi-Coherent Sheaves. *Compositio Mathematica*, 41(1):39–60, 1980.
- [KM98] János Kollár and Shigefumi Mori. *Birational Geometry of Algebraic Varieties*, volume 134 of *Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998.
- [KMM92a] János Kollár, Yoichi Miyaoka, and Shigefumi Mori. Rational Connectedness and Boundedness of Fano Manifolds. *Journal of Differential Geometry*, 36(3):765–779, 1992.
- [KMM92b] János Kollár, Yoichi Miyaoka, and Shigefumi Mori. Rationally Connected Varieties. *Journal of Algebraic Geometry*, 1:429–448, 1992.
- [Kol97] János Kollár. Singularities of Pairs. In János Kollár and Robert Lazarsfeld and David Robert Morrison, editor, *Algebraic Geometry Santa Cruz 1995*, volume 62 of *Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics*, pages 221–287, Providence, RI, 1997. American Mathematical Society.
- [Kol07] János Kollár. *Lectures on Resolution of Singularities*, volume 166 of *Annals of Mathematics Studies*. Princeton University Press, Princeton Oxford, 2007. Corrected Second Printing 1999.
- [Kol13] János Kollár. *Singularities of the Minimal Model Program*, volume 200 of *Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2013.
- [Laz04] Robert Lazarsfeld. *Positivity in Algebraic Geometry I & II*, volume 48 & 49 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, 2004.
- [Mat70] Hideyuki Matsumura. *Commutative Algebra*. Mathematics Lecture Notes Series. W.A.Benjamin, Inc., New York, NY, 1970.
- [Mat18] Shin-ichi Matsumura. On Projective Manifolds with Semi-positive Holomorphic Sectional Curvature. Preprint <https://arxiv.org/abs/1811.04182>, 2018. to appear in *American Journal of Mathematics*.
- [Mok88] Ngaiming Mok. The Uniformisation Theorem for Compact Kähler Manifolds of Nonnegative Holomorphic Bisectional Curvature. *Journal of Differential Geometry*, 27(2):179–214, 1988.
- [Mor79] Shigefumi Mori. Projective Manifolds with Ample Tangent Bundles. *Annals of Mathematics*, 110(3):593–606, 1979.
- [Mou97] Christophe Mourougane. Images Directes de Fibrés en Droites Adjoints. *Publications of the RIMS Kyoto University*, 33(6):893–916, 1997.
- [MZ86] Ngaiming Mok and Jia Qing Zhong. Curvature Characterization of Compact Hermitian Symmetric Spaces. *Journal of Differential Geometry*, 23(1):15–67, 1986.

- [MZ18] Sheng Meng and De-Qi Zhang. Building Blocks of Polarized Endomorphisms of Normal Projective Varieties. *Advances in Mathematics*, 325:243–273, 2018.
- [Pău97] Mihai Păun. Sur le Groupe Fondamental des Variétés kählériennes Compactes à Classe de Ricci Numériquement effective. *Comptes Rendus de l'Académie des Sciences*, 324(11):1249–1254, 1997.
- [Pău16] Mihai Păun. Singular Hermitian Metrics and Positivity of Direct Images of Pluricanonical Bundles. Survey <https://arxiv.org/abs/1606.00174>, 2016.
- [PS14] Mihnea Popa and Christian Schnell. On Direct Images of Pluricanonical Bundles. *Algebra & Number Theory*, 8(9):2273–2295, 2014.
- [PT18] Mihai Păun and Shigeharu Takayama. Positivity of Twisted Relative Pluricanonical Bundles and Their Direct Images. *Journal of Algebraic Geometry*, 27(2):211–272, 2018.
- [Rau15] Hossein Raufi. Singular Hermitian Metrics on Holomorphic Vector Bundles. *Arkiv für Mathematik*, 53(2):359–382, 2015.
- [Rei94] Miles Reid. Nonnormal del Pezzo Surfaces. *Publication of the Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences*, 30(5):695–727, 1994.
- [Sim92] Carlos Tschudi Simpson. Higgs Bundles and Local Systems. *Publications mathématiques de l'I.H.É.S.*, 75(1):5–95, 1992.
- [Spi20] Calum Spicer. Higher-dimensional Foliated Mori Theory. *Compositio Mathematica*, 158(1):1–38, 2020.
- [SY80] Yum-Tong Siu and Shing-Tung Yau. Compact Kähler Manifolds of Positive Bisectional Curvature. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 59(2):189–204, 1980.
- [Tak03] Shigeharu Takayama. Local Simple Connectedness of Resolution of Log-Terminal Singularities. *International Journal of Mathematics*, 14(8):825–836, 2003.
- [Uen75] Kenji Ueno. *Classification Theory of Algebraic Varieties and Compact Complex Spaces*, volume 439 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, 1975.
- [Wan20a] Juanyong Wang. *Positivity of direct images and projective varieties with non-negative curvature*. PhD thesis, Institut Polytechnique de Paris, Aug 2020. <https://tel.archives-ouvertes.fr/tel-02982921>.
- [Wan20b] Juanyong Wang. Structure of Projective Varieties with Nef Anticanonical divisor: the Case of Log Terminal Singularities. Preprint <https://arxiv.org/abs/2005.05782>, 2020. Accepted by *Math. Annal.*
- [Wu20] Xiaojun Wu. Pseudo-effective and Numerically Flat Reflexive Sheaves. Preprint <https://arxiv.org/abs/2004.14676>, 2020.
- [Yan16] Xiaokui Yang. Hermitian Manifolds with Semi-positive Holomorphic Sectional Curvature. *Mathematical Research Letters*, 23(3):939–952, 2016.
- [Zha96] Qi Zhang. On Projective Manifolds with Nef Anticanonical Divisors. *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik*, 478(3):57–60, 1996.
- [Zha05] Qi Zhang. On Projective Varieties with Nef Anticanonical Divisors. *Mathematische Annalen*, 332(3):697–703, 2005.
- [Zha06] Qi Zhang. Rational Connectedness of log  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano Varieties. *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik*, 2006(590):131–142, 2006.
- [Zuo96] Kang Zuo. Kodaira Dimension and Chern Hyperbolicity of the Shafarevich Maps for Representations of  $\pi_1$  of Compact Kähler Manifolds. *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik*, 472(2):139–156, 1996.

MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE, TOHOKU UNIVERSITY, 6-3, ARAMAKI AZA-AOBA, AOBA-KU, SENDAI  
980-8578, JAPAN.

*Email address:* mshinichi-math@tohoku.ac.jp

*Email address:* mshinichi0@gmail.com

ACADEMY OF MATHEMATICS AND SYSTEMS SCIENCE, CHINESE ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, 55 EAST  
ROAD ZHONGGUANCUN, 100190 BEIJING, CHINA

*Email address:* juanyong.wang@amss.ac.cn

*Email address:* serrediciel@gmail.com