

Matrix extension of multidimensional dispersionless integrable hierarchies *

L.V. Bogdanov †

Landau Institute for Theoretical Physics RAS

Abstract

We consistently develop a recently proposed scheme of matrix extension of dispersionless integrable systems for the general case of multidimensional hierarchies, concentrating on the case of dimension $d \geq 4$. We present extended Lax pairs, Lax-Sato equations, matrix equations on the background of vector fields and the dressing scheme. Reductions, construction of solutions and connections to geometry are discussed. We consider separately a case of Abelian extension, for which the Riemann-Hilbert equations of the dressing scheme are explicitly solvable and give an analogue of Penrose formula in the curved space.

Keywords: Dispersionless integrable system, gauge fields, self-dual Yang-Mills equations

1 Introduction

Multidimensional dispersionless integrable systems correspond to the Lax pairs of the type

$$[X_1, X_2] = 0, \\ X_1 = \partial_{t_1} + \sum_{i=1}^N F_i \partial_{x_i} + F_0 \partial_\lambda, \quad X_2 = \partial_{t_2} + \sum_{i=1}^N G_i \partial_{x_i} + G_0 \partial_\lambda. \quad (1)$$

where λ is a ‘spectral parameter’, functions F_k, G_k are holomorphic in λ and depend on the variables t_1, t_2, x_n . We will consider polynomials (or Laurent polynomials) in λ . This class includes dispersionless limits of integrable (2+1)-dimensional equations (dispersionless Kadomtsev-Petviashvili equation,

*This research was performed in the framework of State Assignment of Ministry of Science and Higher Education of the Russian Federation, topic 0029-2021-0004 (Quantum field theory).

†leonid@itp.ac.ru

dispersionless 2DTL hierarchy) and equations of complex relativity, integrable by the twistor method (Plebański heavenly equations, hyper-Kähler hierarchies etc.).

Recently we proposed [1, 2, 3] a scheme of matrix extension of Lax pairs (1), leading to gauge covariant Lax pairs of the type

$$\nabla_{X_1} = X_1 + A_1, \quad \nabla_{X_2} = X_2 + A_2, \quad (2)$$

A_1, A_2 are matrix-valued functions of space-time variables holomorphic in λ (polynomials, Laurent polynomials).

Lax pairs of this structure were already present in the seminal work of Zakharov and Shabat [4] (1979), where it was noticed that the commutation relation splits into (scalar) vector field part and Lie algebraic part, which gives the equations similar to the self-dual Yang-Mills equations but on some background the origin of which was not clear at the moment.

Indeed, the commutator of two covariant vector fields is itself of the form of covariant vector field, it contains vector field part and matrix (Lie algebraic) part,

$$[\nabla_{X_1}, \nabla_{X_2}] = [X_1, X_2] + X_1 A_2 - X_2 A_1 + [A_1, A_2]$$

Compatibility condition contains a vector fields part ($(N + 2)$ -dimensional dispersionless system)

$$[X_1, X_2] = 0$$

and a matrix part (matrix equations on the dispersionless background)

$$X_1 A_2 - X_2 A_1 + [A_1, A_2] = 0$$

To define a closed system of matrix equations for the coefficients of polynomials (meromorphic functions) A_1, A_2 , the holomorphic structure of A_1, A_2 (the order of zeroes and poles) should be consistent with the holomorphic structure of vector fields, and the gauge should be fixed (it is also possible to consider gauge-invariant matrix equations without fixing the gauge). The scheme of matrix extension we discuss below allows to construct prolongation terms A_1, A_2 with a correct structure, satisfying a compatibility condition, thus giving a solution to matrix equations on the dispersionless background.

Compatibility conditions for the Lax pair (1) imply (local) existence of common solutions for linear equations

$$X_1 \psi_i = 0, \quad X_2 \psi_i = 0.$$

These equations, according to Frobenius theorem, have $N + 1$ functionally independent solutions $\psi_i(\lambda, t_1, t_2, \mathbf{x})$ – ‘wave functions’ of linear operators of background dispersionless integrable system, a general solution is

$$\psi = f(\psi_0, \dots, \psi_N)$$

Let us introduce a matrix-valued wave function for the extended system

$$\nabla_{X_1}\Phi_0 = (X_1 + A_1)\Phi_0 = 0, \quad \nabla_{X_2}\Phi_0 = (X_2 + A_2)\Phi_0 = 0.$$

Locally Φ may be considered as series in λ with matrix coefficients. A general solution to linear equations is of the form

$$\Phi = \Phi_0 F(\psi_0, \dots, \psi_N),$$

where F is a matrix-valued complex analytic function of $(N + 1)$ variables. It is easy to check that extended linear equations are equivalent to

$$(X_1\Phi)\Phi^{-1} = -A_1, \quad (X_2\Phi)\Phi^{-1} = -A_2$$

where A_1, A_2 are polynomials in λ with matrix coefficients (Laurent polynomials, meromorphic functions). This is a characteristic analytic property of Φ , important for algebraic definition of the hierarchy (Lax-Sato equations) and for construction of solutions by means of Riemann-Hilbert problem.

To construct Φ , we may consider matrix Riemann-Hilbert problem

$$\Phi_{\text{in}} = \Phi_{\text{out}} R(\psi_1, \dots, \psi_N),$$

defined on some curve in the complex plane (we will usually consider the unit circle), where $\psi_i(\lambda, \mathbf{t})$ are wave functions of the dispersionless Lax pair. This problem provides analyticity of the functions $(X_1\Phi)\Phi^{-1}, (X_2\Phi)\Phi^{-1}$, thus leading to extended Lax pair (2) and defining the matrix prolongation functions A_1, A_2 .

In the present work we consistently develop a scheme of matrix extension of dispersionless integrable systems for the general case of multidimensional hierarchies with polynomial in spectral variable vector fields, mainly for dimension $d \geq 4$. We briefly describe the picture of the basic dispersionless hierarchy. On the background of the basic hierarchy we construct the matrix extension, connected with the introduction of gauge covariant vector fields. We present extended Lax pairs, Lax-Sato equations, matrix equations on the background of vector fields and the dressing scheme. Reductions and construction of solutions are discussed. We consider separately a case of Abelian extension, for which the Riemann-Hilbert problem equations of the dressing scheme are explicitly solvable and give an analogue of Penrose formula in the curved space.

2 Basic hierarchy

A general $(N+2)$ -dimensional hierarchy with polynomial vector fields [5], [6] is defined by the generating relation

$$(\Omega_0)_- := (J_0^{-1} d\Psi^0 \wedge d\Psi^1 \wedge \dots \wedge d\Psi^N)_- = 0, \quad (3)$$

where Ψ^0, \dots, Ψ^N are the series

$$\Psi^0 = \lambda + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Psi_n^0(\mathbf{t}^1, \dots, \mathbf{t}^N) \lambda^{-n}, \quad (4)$$

$$\Psi^k = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} t_n^k (\Psi^0)^n + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Psi_n^k(\mathbf{t}^1, \dots, \mathbf{t}^N) (\Psi^0)^{-n}, \quad (5)$$

$1 \leq k \leq N$, $\mathbf{t}^k = (t_0^k, \dots, t_n^k, \dots)$, $(\dots)_-$ is a projection to negative powers of λ , J_0 is a determinant of Jacobian matrix J ,

$$J_0 = \det J, \quad J_{ij} = \partial_i \Psi^j, \quad 0 \leq i, j \leq N, \quad (6)$$

where $\partial_0 = \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda}$, $\partial_k = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^k}$ ($1 \leq k \leq N$), $x^k = t_0^k$.

Generating relation (3) is equivalent to the set of Lax-Sato equations

$$\partial_n^k \Psi = \sum_{i=0}^N ((J^{-1})_{ki} (\Psi^0)^n)_+ \partial_i \Psi, \quad 0 \leq n \leq \infty, 1 \leq k \leq N, \quad (7)$$

where $\Psi = (\Psi^0, \dots, \Psi^N)$, $(\dots)_+$ is a projection to nonnegative powers of λ . First flows of the hierarchy read

$$\partial_1^k \Psi = (\lambda \partial_k - \sum_{p=1}^N (\partial_k u_p) \partial_p - (\partial_k u_0) \partial_\lambda) \Psi, \quad 0 < k \leq N, \quad (8)$$

where $u_0 = \Psi_1^0$, $u_k = \Psi_1^k$, $1 \leq k \leq N$. A compatibility condition for any pair of linear equations (8) (e.g., with ∂_1^k and ∂_1^q , $k \neq q$) implies closed nonlinear $(N+2)$ -dimensional system of PDEs for the set of functions u_k , u_0 , which can be written in the form

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_1^k \partial_q \hat{u} - \partial_1^q \partial_k \hat{u} + [\partial_k \hat{u}, \partial_q \hat{u}] &= (\partial_k u_0) \partial_q - (\partial_q u_0) \partial_k, \\ \partial_1^k \partial_q u_0 - \partial_1^q \partial_k u_0 + (\partial_k \hat{u}) \partial_q u_0 - (\partial_q \hat{u}) \partial_k u_0 &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

where \hat{u} is a vector field, $\hat{u} = \sum_{p=1}^N u_p \partial_p$.

In the case $N = 2$ we have two vector fields (8) [5]

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_1^1 &= \partial_1^1 - \lambda \partial_1 + (\partial_1 u_1) \partial_1 + (\partial_1 u_2) \partial_2 + (\partial_1 u_0) \partial_\lambda, \\ \nabla_1^2 &= \partial_1^2 - \lambda \partial_2 + (\partial_2 u_1) \partial_1 + (\partial_2 u_2) \partial_2 + (\partial_2 u_0) \partial_\lambda, \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

giving a Lax pair for a 4-dimensional closed set of second order equations for three functions u_0 , u_1 , u_2 with independent variables t_1^1 , t_1^2 , x^1 , x^2 ,

$$\begin{aligned} Q u_2 &= \partial_2 u_0, \quad Q u_1 = -\partial_1 u_0, \\ (\partial_1^1 + (\partial_1 u_1) \partial_1 + (\partial_1 u_2) \partial_2) \partial_2 u_0 &= (\partial_1^2 + (\partial_2 u_1) \partial_1 + (\partial_2 u_2) \partial_2) \partial_1 u_0, \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

where linear operator Q is

$$Q = \partial_1^2 \partial_1 - \partial_1^1 \partial_2 + (\partial_2 u_1) \partial_1 \partial_1 - (\partial_1 u_2) \partial_2 \partial_2 - ((\partial_1 u_1) - (\partial_2 u_2)) \partial_1 \partial_2. \quad (12)$$

This system can be easily rewritten in equivalent form of two third order equations for functions u_1, u_2 used in the work [10], where it was demonstrated that it describes a general local form of the self-dual conformal structure (complex analytic case or real case with neutral signature, modulo coordinate transformations). Linear operator Q represents the conformal structure in the form of a symmetric bivector (inverse metric), respectively the metric (representative of the conformal structure) is given by

$$g = dt_1^2 dx^1 - dt_1^1 dx^2 - (\partial_2 u_1) dt_1^2 dt_1^2 - ((\partial_1 u_1) - (\partial_2 u_2)) dt_1^2 dt_1^1 + (\partial_1 u_2) dt_1^1 dt_1^1. \quad (13)$$

The reduction of the hierarchy to volume-preserving flows $J_0 = 1$ corresponds to divergence-free vector fields, for vector fields (10) it leads to introduction of the potential Θ , $u_1 = \Theta_y$, $u_2 = -\Theta_x$, $x = x^1$, $y = x^2$. After the identification $z = -t_1^1$, $w = t_1^2$, $\phi = u_0$ we get the Dunajski system generalising the second heavenly equation [7]

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta_{wx} + \Theta_{zy} + \Theta_{xx}\Theta_{yy} - \Theta_{xy}^2 &= \phi, \\ \phi_{xw} + \phi_{yz} + \Theta_{yy}\phi_{xx} + \Theta_{xx}\phi_{yy} - 2\Theta_{xy}\phi_{xy} &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

and further reduction to linearly degenerate case $\phi = 0$ gives the second heavenly equation [8]

$$\Theta_{wx} + \Theta_{zy} + \Theta_{xx}\Theta_{yy} - \Theta_{xy}^2 = 0. \quad (15)$$

Operator Q defining the conformal structure is of the same form for the Dunajski system and the second heavenly equation,

$$Q = \partial_w \partial_x + \partial_z \partial_y + \Theta_{yy} \partial_x \partial_x + \Theta_{xx} \partial_y \partial_y - 2\Theta_{xy} \partial_x \partial_y,$$

it coincides with the linearisation of the second heavenly equation. Respectively, the metric is given by the expression

$$g = dw dx + dz dy - \Theta_{yy} dw^2 + 2\Theta_{xy} dw dz - \Theta_{xx} dz^2. \quad (16)$$

Dressing scheme

The dressing scheme for the hierarchy (3),(7) can be formulated in terms of $(N+1)$ -component nonlinear Riemann-Hilbert problem on the unit circle S in the complex plane of the variable λ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_{\text{in}}^0 &= F_0(\Psi_{\text{out}}^0, \Psi_{\text{out}}^1, \dots, \Psi_{\text{out}}^N), \\ \Psi_{\text{in}}^k &= F_k(\Psi_{\text{out}}^0, \Psi_{\text{out}}^1, \dots, \Psi_{\text{out}}^N), \quad 1 \leq k \leq N, \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

where the functions $\Psi_{\text{in}}^0(\lambda, \mathbf{t})$, $\Psi_{\text{in}}^k(\lambda, \mathbf{t})$ are analytic inside the unit circle, the functions $\Psi_{\text{out}}^0(\lambda, \mathbf{t})$, $\Psi_{\text{out}}^k(p, \mathbf{t})$ are analytic outside the unit circle and have an expansion of the form (4), (5). The functions F_0, F_k are suggested to define a

diffeomorphism in \mathbb{C}^{N+1} , $\mathbf{F} \in \text{Diff}(N+1)$, they represent the dressing data. In compact form the problem (17) can be written as

$$\Psi_{\text{in}} = \mathbf{F}(\Psi_{\text{out}}). \quad (18)$$

It is straightforward to demonstrate that the problem (17) implies the analyticity of the differential form

$$\Omega_0 = J_0^{-1} d\Psi^0 \wedge d\Psi^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge d\Psi^N, \quad (19)$$

(where the independent variables of the differential include all the times \mathbf{t} and the spectral variable λ) in the complex plane and the generating relation (3), thus defining a solution of the hierarchy. Considering a reduction to the group of volume-preserving diffeomorphisms $\mathbf{F} \in \text{SDiff}(N+1)$, we obtain a reduction of the general hierarchy (3) to the case $J_0 = 1$ (divergence-free vector fields),

$$(d\Psi^0 \wedge d\Psi^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge d\Psi^N)_- = 0.$$

3 Matrix extension

To construct matrix extension of involutive distribution of polynomial in spectral parameter vector fields with the basis X_i we introduce a matrix-valued function Φ possessing the property that all the functions $(X_i\Phi)\Phi^{-1}$ are polynomial in spectral variable. Existence of such functions in terms of series is implied by the compatibility of Lax-Sato equations, they can be constructed analytically using the Riemann-Hilbert problem (see below). The function Φ is suggested to be bounded and invertible in the spectral plane and analytic in some neighborhood of infinity. Extended linear problems are given by the relations

$$X_i\Phi = ((X_i\Phi)\Phi^{-1})_+\Phi \quad (20)$$

where $((X_i\Phi)\Phi^{-1})_+$ is a polynomial part of the Laurent series at infinity containing finite number of matrix fields, and extended vector fields are

$$\nabla_{X_i} = X_i - ((X_i\Phi)\Phi^{-1})_+.$$

Let us consider canonically normalised Φ as series

$$\Phi = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Phi_n(\mathbf{t})\lambda^{-n} \quad (21)$$

For polynomial vector fields of the form (1), relations (20) are

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{t_1}\Phi &= (-F + ((F\Phi)\Phi^{-1})_+)\Phi, \\ \partial_{t_2}\Phi &= (-G + ((G\Phi)\Phi^{-1})_+)\Phi, \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

where

$$F = \sum_{i=1}^N F_i \partial_{x_i} + F_0 \partial_\lambda, \quad G = \sum_{i=1}^N G_i \partial_{x_i} + G_0 \partial_\lambda.$$

These relations may be considered as Lax-Sato equations defining the evolution of the series Φ with matrix coefficients depending on the variables x_1, \dots, x_N with respect to the times t_1, t_2 .

Proposition 1. *For commuting polynomial vector fields X_1, X_2 of the form (1), Lax-Sato equations (22) for series (21) are compatible.*

Proof. Compatibility means that cross-derivatives over times are equal by virtue of equations (22),

$$\Delta = \partial_{t_1}(-G + ((G\Phi)\Phi^{-1})_+) \Phi - \partial_{t_2}(-F + ((F\Phi)\Phi^{-1})_+) \Phi = 0.$$

On the one hand,

$$\Delta = \{ \partial_{t_1}((G\Phi)\Phi^{-1})_+ - \partial_{t_2}((F\Phi)\Phi^{-1})_+ + [((G\Phi)\Phi^{-1})_+, ((F\Phi)\Phi^{-1})_+] \} \Phi$$

and $\Delta\Phi^{-1}$ contains only non-negative powers in λ , $(\Delta\Phi^{-1})_- = 0$. On the other hand, relations (22) can be evidently rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{t_1} \Phi &= -((F\Phi)\Phi^{-1})_- \Phi, \\ \partial_{t_2} \Phi &= -((G\Phi)\Phi^{-1})_- \Phi. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$-\Delta = \{ \partial_{t_1}((G\Phi)\Phi^{-1})_- - \partial_{t_2}((F\Phi)\Phi^{-1})_- + [((G\Phi)\Phi^{-1})_-, ((F\Phi)\Phi^{-1})_-] \} \Phi,$$

and $\Delta\Phi^{-1}$ contains only negative powers in λ , $(\Delta\Phi^{-1})_+ = 0$, thus $\Delta = 0$. \square

Matrix dressing on the background

Function Φ for matrix extension of the basic hierarchy (3),(7) can be constructed using a matrix Riemann-Hilbert (RH) problem

$$\Phi_{\text{in}} = \Phi_{\text{out}} R(\Psi^0, \dots, \Psi^N), \quad (23)$$

defined on some curve in the complex plane (we will usually consider the unit circle). Here Ψ^0, \dots, Ψ^N are wave functions of the basic hierarchy taken on the curve.

We suggest that solution Φ of the RH problem is bounded and invertible, normalisation by unit matrix at infinity fixes the gauge and leads to closed systems of equations for matrix coefficients of extended Lax pairs. The dispersionless hierarchy corresponding to integrable distribution with the basis X_i plays the role of the background.

For canonically normalised matrix-valued function Φ the expansion at infinity is of the form (21)

$$\Phi_{\text{out}} = \mathbf{I} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Phi_n(\mathbf{t}) \lambda^{-n}$$

Sometimes it is useful to retain gauge freedom and consider solutions of the RH problem with the expansion

$$\tilde{\Phi} = \tilde{\Phi}_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \tilde{\Phi}_n(\mathbf{t}) \lambda^{-n}, \quad (24)$$

canonically normalised function is then expressed as $\Phi = \tilde{\Phi}_0^{-1} \tilde{\Phi}$.

From matrix RH problem we get analyticity of the functions $(X_i \Phi) \Phi^{-1}$ and of the matrix-valued form

$$\Omega = \Omega_0 \wedge d\Phi \cdot \Phi^{-1},$$

leading to additional generating relation for the extended hierarchy

$$(\Omega_0 \wedge d\Phi \cdot \Phi^{-1})_- = 0. \quad (25)$$

Together generating relations (3), (25) imply polinomiality of the series $(X_i \Phi) \Phi^{-1}$ and Lax-Sato equations for the series (21), (4), (5) defining the evolution of these series.

For linearly degenerate case $\Psi^0 = \lambda$ vector fields do not contain the derivative over λ , the dressing data contain an explicit dependence over the curve parameter, for which it is enough to be continuous, and to construct solutions we can also use the $\bar{\partial}$ problem [9]

$$\bar{\partial}\Phi = \Phi R(\lambda, \bar{\lambda}, \Psi^1, \dots, \Psi^N),$$

that expands the opportunities to construct explicit solutions considerably.

Lax-Sato equations and extended vector fields

The second generating relation gives Lax-Sato equations for series Φ on the vector field background

$$\partial_n^k \Psi = V_n^k(\lambda) \Psi, \quad (26)$$

$$\partial_n^k \Phi = (V_n^k(\lambda) - ((V_n^k(\lambda) \Phi) \cdot \Phi^{-1})_+) \Phi, \quad (27)$$

where vector fields $V_n^k(\lambda)$ are defined by formula (7). Respectively, extended vector fields are expressed as

$$\nabla_n^k = \partial_n^k - V_n^k(\lambda) + (V_n^k(\lambda) \Phi) \cdot \Phi^{-1}_+, \quad (28)$$

in gauge-invariant form

$$\tilde{\nabla}_n^k = \partial_n^k - V_n^k(\lambda) - ((\partial_n^k - V_n^k(\lambda))\tilde{\Phi}) \cdot \tilde{\Phi}^{-1}_+. \quad (29)$$

Lax-Sato equations for the first flows are given by formulae (8) and (27),

$$\partial_1^k \Phi = (\lambda \partial_k - (\partial_k \hat{u}) - (\partial_k u_0) \partial_\lambda - (\partial_k \Phi_1)) \Phi, \quad 0 < k \leq N, \quad (30)$$

where \hat{u} is a vector field, $\hat{u} = \sum_{p=1}^N u_p \partial_p$ (see also (9)), and corresponding extended vector fields are

$$\nabla_1^k = \partial_1^k - \lambda \partial_k + (\partial_k \hat{u}) + (\partial_k u_0) \partial_\lambda + (\partial_k \Phi_1), \quad (31)$$

in gauge-invariant form

$$\nabla_1^k = \partial_1^k - \lambda \partial_k + (\partial_k \hat{u}) + (\partial_k u_0) \partial_\lambda + \lambda A_k + B_k, \quad (32)$$

$$A_k = (\partial_k \tilde{\Phi}_0) \tilde{\Phi}_0^{-1},$$

$$B_k = (\partial_k \tilde{\Phi}_1) \tilde{\Phi}_0^{-1} - (\partial_k \tilde{\Phi}_0) \tilde{\Phi}_0^{-1} \tilde{\Phi}_1 \tilde{\Phi}_0^{-1} - ((\partial_1^k + (\partial_k \hat{u})) \tilde{\Phi}_0) \tilde{\Phi}_0^{-1}.$$

Substituting series for Φ (21) to Lax-Sato equations (30), we get recursion relations expressing all the coefficients of the series through the first coefficient Φ_1 and scalar functions u_0, \dots, u_n

$$\partial_k \Phi_{m+1} = (\partial_1^k + (\partial_k \hat{u})) \Phi_m - (m-1)(\partial_k u_0) \Phi_{m-1} + (\partial_k \Phi_1) \Phi_m$$

Commutation relation for each pair of extended vector fields (31) gives $(N+2)$ -dimensional dispersionless equation (9) and a matrix $(N+2)$ -dimensional equation of SDYM type on the background of its solution,

$$(\partial_1^k + (\partial_k \hat{u})) \partial_q \Phi_1 - (\partial_1^q + (\partial_q \hat{u})) \partial_k \Phi_1 + [(\partial_k \Phi_1), (\partial_q \Phi_1)] = 0 \quad (33)$$

In gauge invariant form

$$[\nabla_k, \nabla_q] = 0,$$

$$[\nabla_1^k, \nabla_1^q] = (\partial_k u_0) \nabla_q - (\partial_q u_0) \nabla_k$$

$$[\nabla_1^k, \nabla_q] = [\nabla_1^q, \nabla_k],$$

$$\text{where} \quad \nabla_1^p = \partial_1^p + (\partial_p \hat{u}) + B_p, \quad \nabla_p = \partial_p + A_p, \quad 1 \leq p \leq N,$$

we have three equations for four matrix functions A_k, A_q, B_k, B_q on the background of the basic dispersionless system.

For the case of trivial background $\hat{u} = 0$ we get a set of consistent 4-dimensional SDYM equations in a space of $2N$ variables

$$\partial_1^k \partial_q \Phi_1 - \partial_1^q \partial_k \Phi_1 + [(\partial_k \Phi_1), (\partial_q \Phi_1)] = 0,$$

in gauge invariant form

$$[\nabla_k, \nabla_q] = 0,$$

$$[\nabla_1^k, \nabla_1^q] = 0$$

$$[\nabla_1^k, \nabla_q] = [\nabla_1^q, \nabla_k],$$

$$\text{where} \quad \nabla_1^p = \partial_1^p + B_p, \quad \nabla_p = \partial_p + A_p, \quad 1 \leq p \leq N.$$

The case $N = 2$ was considered in the work [1], where it was demonstrated that equations on the background have a very direct and natural geometric interpretation. For this case we have two extended vector fields (31),

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_1^1 &= \partial_1^1 - \lambda \partial_1 + (\partial_1 u_1) \partial_1 + (\partial_1 u_2) \partial_2 + (\partial_1 u_0) \partial_\lambda + (\partial_1 \Phi_1), \\ \nabla_1^2 &= \partial_1^2 - \lambda \partial_2 + (\partial_2 u_1) \partial_1 + (\partial_2 u_2) \partial_2 + (\partial_2 u_0) \partial_\lambda + (\partial_2 \Phi_1).\end{aligned}$$

giving a Lax pair for 4-dimensional equation with independent variables t_1^1, t_1^2, x_1, x_2 ,

$$(\partial_1^1 + (\partial_1 \hat{u})) \partial_2 \Phi_1 - (\partial_1^2 + (\partial_2 \hat{u})) \partial_1 \Phi_1 + [(\partial_1 \Phi_1), (\partial_2 \Phi_1)] = 0 \quad (34)$$

representing a general local form of SDYM equations on the background of self-dual conformal structure [10] (for neutral signature, modulo coordinate transformations and a gauge). In terms of linear operator Q (12) representing a conformal structure in the form of symmetric bivector (inverse metric) this equation reads

$$Q\Phi_1 = [(\partial_1 \Phi_1), (\partial_2 \Phi_1)]$$

For second heavenly equation (15), describing general self-dual vacuum solutions of Einstein equations (for real case with neutral signature), we have

$$(\partial_w \partial_x + \partial_z \partial_y + \Theta_{yy} \partial_x \partial_x + \Theta_{xx} \partial_y \partial_y - 2\Theta_{xy} \partial_x \partial_y) \Phi_1 = [(\partial_1 \Phi_1), (\partial_2 \Phi_1)],$$

the metric of the background space is given by expression (16),

$$g = dw dx + dz dy - \Theta_{yy} dw^2 + 2\Theta_{xy} dw dz - \Theta_{xx} dz^2.$$

It is hard to expect such a simple geometric interpretation in the case $N > 2$. First, there is no geometric interpretation of general dispersionless equations (9), it is only clear that they correspond to some special set of commutation relations of $2N$ vector fields in $2N$ -dimensional space (if we consider all pairs of indices). However, a reduced multidimensional linearly-degenerate case with Hamiltonian vector fields corresponds to hyper-Kähler hierarchies [11], which are of geometric origin. This could probably be a good starting point for geometric interpretation of multidimensional matrix equations on dispersionless background. In the hyper-Kähler case the dimension is even, $N=2M$, covariant vector fields (8) are of the form

$$\nabla_1^k = \partial_1^k - \lambda \partial_k + \{(\partial_k \Theta), \dots\}_{\mathbf{x}} + (\partial_k \Phi_1), \quad (35)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\{f, \dots\}_{\mathbf{x}} &= \sum_{p=1}^M (\partial_{M+p} f) \partial_p - (\partial_p f) \partial_{M+p}, \\ \{f, g\}_{\mathbf{x}} &= \sum_{p=1}^M (\partial_{M+p} f) (\partial_p g) - (\partial_p f) (\partial_{M+p} g).\end{aligned}$$

Equations (9) reduce to the first equations of hyper-Kähler hierarchy

$$\partial_1^k \partial_q \Theta - \partial_1^q \partial_k \Theta + \{\partial_k \Theta, \partial_q \Theta\}_{\mathbf{x}} = 0,$$

for $M=1$ we obtain second heavenly equation (15). Matrix equations (33) on the background of hyper-Kähler hierarchy take the form

$$\partial_1^k \partial_q \Phi_1 - \partial_1^q \partial_k \Phi_1 + \{\partial_k \Theta, \partial_q \Phi_1\}_{\mathbf{x}} - \{\partial_q \Theta, \partial_k \Phi_1\}_{\mathbf{x}} = [(\partial_q \Phi_1), (\partial_k \Phi_1)].$$

Abelian case and Penrose formula

In the Abelian case equations (33) become linear, l.h.s. represents an action of linear differential operators of the second order on the scalar function ϕ_1 (we will use ϕ instead of Φ in Abelian case). But nevertheless these equations could be of interest for several reasons. First, in three and four dimension, where we have an interpretation of equations in terms of gauge fields, the Abelian case corresponds to electromagnetic fields on geometric background and could be of interest by itself. Second, the arising linear operators are connected with the symbol of linearisation of basic dispersionless equations and can be useful for the study of stability of solutions and singularities of these equations. For example, for second heavenly equation (15) linear operator Q is exactly the linearisation of the equation. And finally, the scalar RH problem (23) can be solved explicitly, and as a result we obtain an analogue of Penrose formula in curved space. If we know some solution of dispersionless system together with a general wave function on the unit circle, this formula gives a solution of corresponding linear equations on the background, depending on an arbitrary function of $(N+1)$ variables.

Thus in the Abelian case we have a scalar series (21)

$$\phi = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \phi_n(\mathbf{t}) \lambda^{-n}, \quad (36)$$

Lax-Sato equations for the first flows are

$$\partial_1^k \phi = (\lambda \partial_k - (\partial_k \hat{u}) - (\partial_k u_0) \partial_\lambda - (\partial_k \phi_1)) \phi, \quad 0 < k \leq N, \quad (37)$$

and equations (33) become linear,

$$((\partial_1^k + (\partial_k \hat{u})) \partial_q - (\partial_1^q + (\partial_q \hat{u})) \partial_k) \phi_1 = 0. \quad (38)$$

A special solutions to Lax-Sato equations (37) (and the whole set of Abelian Lax-Sato equations (27)) is given by the Jacobian J_0 (6) (see [12] for more detail),

$$\partial_1^k J_0 = \left(\lambda \partial_k - (\partial_k \hat{u}) - (\partial_k u_0) \partial_\lambda - (\partial_k \sum_{i=1}^N \partial_i u_i) \right) J_0, \quad 0 < k \leq N,$$

and the function $\phi_1 = \sum_{i=1}^N \partial_i u_i$ (divergence of vector field \hat{u}) is a special solution to equations (38).

Riemann-Hilbert problem (23) in the scalar case

$$\phi_{\text{in}} = \phi_{\text{out}} R(\Psi^0, \dots, \Psi^N),$$

is solved in the standard way after taking logarithm

$$\ln \phi_{\text{in}} - \ln \phi_{\text{out}} = r(\Psi^0, \dots, \Psi^N), \quad R = e^r,$$

by the explicit formula

$$\ln \phi = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint \frac{r(\Psi^0, \dots, \Psi^N)}{\mu - \lambda} d\mu.$$

Respectively,

$$\phi = \exp \left(\frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint \frac{r(\Psi^0, \dots, \Psi^N)}{\mu - \lambda} d\mu \right),$$

and for the function ϕ_1 we have

$$\phi_1 = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint r(\Psi^0, \dots, \Psi^N) d\mu \quad (39)$$

Here $r(\Psi^0, \dots, \Psi^N)$ is an arbitrary complex analytic function of its arguments, it represents a general solution (wave function) of linear equations (37), defined on the unit circle in the complex plane. Any wave function $\Psi(\mathbf{t}, \lambda)$ defined on the unit circle (or other closed curve) gives a solution to equations (38),

$$\phi_1 = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint \Psi(\mathbf{t}, \mu) d\mu, \quad (40)$$

it can be easily checked directly. Indeed,

$$((\partial_1^k + (\partial_k \hat{u}))\partial_q - (\partial_1^q + (\partial_q \hat{u}))\partial_k) \Psi = ((\partial_q u_0)\partial_k - (\partial_k u_0)\partial_q)\partial_\lambda \Psi,$$

and integration by λ over a closed contour gives zero, thus expression (40) is a solution to equations (38).

For N=2 we have one linear equation (38)

$$\begin{aligned} Q\phi_1 &= 0, \\ Q &= \partial_w \partial_x - \partial_z \partial_y + (\partial_y u_1)\partial_x \partial_x - (\partial_x u_2)\partial_y \partial_y - ((\partial_x u_1) - (\partial_y u_2))\partial_x \partial_y, \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

where operator Q (12) corresponds to symmetric bivector of self-dual conformal structure; notations for times correspond to equation (14). This equation represent a general local form of Abelian self-dual Yang-Mills equations (self-dual equations of electromagnetic field) on the background of conformal structure

with neutral signature in a special gauge. The corresponding metric (representative of conformal structure) is given by formula (13),

$$g = dw dx - dz dy - (\partial_y u_1) dw dw - ((\partial_x u_1) - (\partial_y u_2)) dz dw + (\partial_x u_2) dz dz.$$

Solutions to equation (41) are given by formula (39) with $N=2$,

$$\phi_1 = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint r(\Psi^0, \Psi^1, \Psi^2) d\mu. \quad (42)$$

For trivial background $\hat{u} = 0$, $\Psi^0 = \lambda$, $\Psi^1 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} t_n^1 \lambda^n$, $\Psi^2 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} t_n^2 \lambda^n$, equation (41) reduces to 4-dimensional wave equation for neutral signature with constant coefficients

$$(\partial_w \partial_x - \partial_z \partial_y) \phi_1 = 0,$$

formula (42) takes the form

$$\phi_1 = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint r(\lambda, \lambda z + x, \lambda w + y) d\mu.$$

This formula is easily recognised as a version of Penrose formula [13], [14] for solutions of the wave equation written for the case of neutral signature. Thus formula (42) can be considered as a generalisation of Penrose formula to the case of operators connected with the self-dual conformal structure.

Acknowledgements

The author is grateful to E.A. Kusnetsov for useful comment concerning the topic of this work.

Conflict of Interest

The author declares no conflicts of interest.

References

- [1] L.V. Bogdanov, SDYM equations on the self-dual background, J. Phys. A 50, 19LT02 (2017)
- [2] L.V. Bogdanov, Matrix Extension of the Manakov-Santini System and an Integrable Chiral Model on an Einstein-Weyl Background, Theor. Math. Phys., 201(3), 1701-1709 (2019)
- [3] L.V. Bogdanov, Dispersionless integrable systems and the Bogomolny equations on an Einstein-Weyl geometry background, Theoretical and Mathematical Physics 205 (1), 1279-1290 (2020)

- [4] V.E. Zakharov and A.B. Shabat, Integration of the nonlinear equations of mathematical physics by the method of the inverse scattering problem. II, Funk. Anal. Prilozh. 13 (3) 13-22 (1979) [Funct. Anal. Appl. 13, 166-174 (1979)].
- [5] L. V. Bogdanov, V. S. Dryuma and S. V. Manakov, Dunajski generalization of the second heavenly equation: dressing method and the hierarchy, J Phys. A: Math. Theor. **40** (2007) 14383–14393
- [6] L.V. Bogdanov, A class of multidimensional integrable hierarchies and their reductions, Theoretical and Mathematical Physics **160**(1) (2009) 887–893
- [7] M. Dunajski, Anti-self-dual four–manifolds with a parallel real spinor, Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. A **458**, 1205 (2002)
- [8] J.F. Plebański, Some solutions of complex Einstein equations, J. Math. Phys. **16**, 2395–2402 (1975)
- [9] L.V. Bogdanov and B.G. Konopelchenko, On the $\bar{\partial}$ -dressing method applicable to heavenly equation, Physics Letters A **345**(1-3) (2005) 137–143
- [10] M. Dunajski, E.V. Ferapontov and B. Kruglikov, On the Einstein-Weyl and conformal self-duality equations, Journal of Mathematical Physics 56(8), 083501 (2015).
- [11] K. Takasaki, An infinite number of hidden variables in hyper-Kähler metrics, J. Math. Phys. **30**(7), 1515–1521 (1989)
- [12] L.V. Bogdanov, Interpolating differential reductions of multidimensional integrable hierarchies, Theor. Math. Phys., 167(3), 705-713 (2011)
- [13] R. Penrose, Solutions of the zero-rest-mass equations, J. Math. Phys. 10, 38–39 (1969)
- [14] M. Dunajski, Solitons, Instantons, and Twistors, Oxford University Press (2010)