

On multivariable matrix spectral factorization method

Lasha Ephremidze^{a,b,*}, Ilya M. Spitkovsky^a

^a Division of Science and Mathematics, New York University Abu Dhabi (NYUAD),
Saadiyat Island, 129188, Abu Dhabi, United Arab Emirates

^b Razmadze Mathematical Institute of I. Javakhishvili Tbilisi State University, 6,
Tamarashvili Str., 0177, Tbilisi, Georgia

Abstract. Spectral factorization is a prominent tool with several important applications in various areas of applied science. Wiener and Masani proved the existence of matrix spectral factorization. Their theorem has been extended to the multivariable case by Helson and Lowdenslager. Solving the problem numerically is challenging in both situations, and also important due to its practical applications. Therefore, several authors have developed algorithms for factorization. The Janashia-Lagvilava algorithm is a relatively new method for matrix spectral factorization which has proved to be useful in several applications. In this paper, we extend this method to the multivariable case. Consequently, a new numerical algorithm for multivariable matrix spectral factorization is constructed.

Key words: Matrix spectral factorization, multivariable systems, unitary matrix functions.

AMS subject classifications. 47A68, 65E99

1. INTRODUCTION

Spectral factorization was initiated in the works of Wiener [38] and Kolmogorov [27] as the scalar spectral factorization problem in relation to linear prediction theory of stationary stochastic processes, it has been extended to the matrix case by Wiener and Masani [40]. Their matrix spectral factorization (MSF) theorem asserts that if S is a positive definite integrable $d \times d$ matrix function defined on the unit circle \mathbb{T} in the complex plane, $S \in L^1(\mathbb{T})^{d \times d}$, which satisfies the Paley-Wiener condition

$$(1.1) \quad \log \det S \in L^1(\mathbb{T}),$$

then it admits the factorization

$$(1.2) \quad S(t) = S_+(t)S_+^*(t).$$

Here $S_+ \in \mathbb{H}^2(\mathbb{T})^{d \times d}$, i.e., S_+ can be analytically extended inside \mathbb{T} to a square integrable matrix function (for exact definitions see Sect. 2) and A^* stands for the Hermitian conjugate of A . The spectral factor S_+ can be selected outer and it is the unique up to a constant right unitary factor.

Representation (1.2) plays a crucial role in the study of systems of singular integral equations [19], in linear estimation [26], quadratic and H^∞ control [1], [15], communications [14], wavelets and filter design [7], [37], Granger causality estimation in neuroscience [8], etc. In many of these applications, it is important to actually compute S_+ approximately for a given matrix function S which becomes a challenging problem. Therefore, starting with Wiener's

* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: le23@nyu.edu (L. Ephremidze), ims2@nyu.edu (I. M. Spitkovsky).

original efforts [41] to create a sound computational method of MSF, dozens of different algorithms have appeared in the literature (see the survey papers [29], [36] and references therein, and also [6], [23] for more recent results).

A novel approach to the approximate factorization problem (1.2), without imposing any restriction on S beyond the necessary and sufficient condition (1.1) for the existence of spectral factorization, was originally developed by Janashia and Lagvilava in [24] for 2×2 matrices. This approach was subsequently extended to matrices of arbitrary dimension in [25], efficiently algorithmized in [12], and successfully applied, e.g., in [31].

Helson and Lowdenslager [21] further generalized Wiener-Masani MSF theorem to the multivariable case. To this end, let $N > 1$ be a positive integer and let $H_N \subset \mathbb{Z}^N$ be the *half-plane of lattice points* defined recursively: $H_1 = \mathbb{Z}_+ = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ and $H_N = \{(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_N) \in \mathbb{Z}^N : k_1 > 0 \text{ or } k_1 = 0 \text{ and } (k_2, \dots, k_N) \in H_{N-1}\}$. We say that $f \in L^1(\mathbb{T}^N)$ is of *analytic type* (with respect to the half-plane H_N) if $C_{\mathbf{k}}\{f\} = 0$ for each $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^N \setminus H_N$, where $C_{\mathbf{k}}\{f\}$ are the Fourier coefficients of f . The set of such functions will be denoted by $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{T}^N)$. Finally, let $\mathbb{H}^2(\mathbb{T}^N) = \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{T}^N) \cap L^2(\mathbb{T}^N)$.

The Helson-Lowdenslager MSF theorem [21] asserts that if

$$(1.3) \quad 0 < S \in L^1(\mathbb{T}^N)^{d \times d}$$

and satisfies the condition

$$(1.4) \quad \log \det S \in L^1(\mathbb{T}^N),$$

then there exists a unique (up to a constant unitary matrix) factorization

$$(1.5) \quad S(\mathbf{t}) = S_+(\mathbf{t})S_+^*(\mathbf{t}), \quad \mathbf{t} \in \mathbb{T}^N,$$

where $S_+ \in \mathbb{H}^2(\mathbb{T}^N)^{d \times d}$ is a matrix function of outer analytic type (see Sect. 2 for definitions).

Wiener-Masani MSF theorem is used to process vector data depending on a single parameter, e.g., stationary time series collected by simultaneous observations at several different locations. However, due to the complex nature of the phenomena, data might be dependent on several parameters, e.g., color images on 2-D screen, or 3-D tomographic medical images. In such situations, the Helson-Lowdenslager MSF theorem enters the scene. Therefore a lot of effort was put in the development of computational methods for N -D MSF [5], [30], [32], [4] [17], [2], [18]. Clearly, improved methods of such factorization will further increase the applicability of the Helson-Lowdenslager theorem.

In this paper we extend the Janashia-Lagvilava method of MSF to the multivariable case, and hence introduce a novel computational algorithm for the Helson-Lowdenslager matrix spectral factorization. The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we introduce necessary notation and preliminary observations. In Section 3, we consider the uniqueness of N -D MSF. Section 4 deals with multivariable scalar spectral factorization. In Sections 5 and 6, we give an essential component of the proposed multivariable MSF algorithm and present its general description. We prove the convergence properties of the method in Section 7 and provide some results of numerical simulations in Section 8. Finally, in the Appendix, we demonstrate the application of spectral factorization in Granger causality.

2. NOTATION AND PRELIMINARY OBSERVATIONS

Throughout the paper, a positive integer $N \geq 1$ denotes the dimension of the torus \mathbb{T}^N . The latter is equipped with the normalized Lebesgue measure $\mu_N = d\mathbf{t}/(2\pi)^N$. The half-plane of lattice points H_N is defined in the Introduction. Note that H_N has the following

properties: i) $\mathbf{0} \in H_N$; ii) $\mathbf{k} \in H_N$ if and only if $-\mathbf{k} \notin H_N$ unless $\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{0}$; iii) $\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2 \in H_N$ imply $\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2 \in H_N$.

The complex conjugate of $a \in \mathbb{C}$ is denoted by \bar{a} and A^* stands for the Hermitian conjugate of $A \in \mathbb{C}^{d \times d}$. For any set \mathbb{S} , the notation $\mathbb{S}^{d \times d}$ is used for the set of $d \times d$ matrices with entries from \mathbb{S} . For $M \in \mathbb{S}^{d \times d}$ and $m \leq d$, $[M]_{m \times m}$ denotes the $m \times m$ leading principle submatrix of M . A matrix function S is called *factorable* if (1.3) and (1.4) hold. The notation $S > 0$ means that it is positive definite a.e.

Let $L^p(\mathbb{T}^N)$, $p > 0$, be the standard Lebesgue space of p -integrable functions with usual definition of the norm $\|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T}^N)}$ for $p \geq 1$.

The Fourier coefficients of $f \in L^1(\mathbb{T}^N)$ are defined by the formula

$$C_{\mathbf{k}}\{f\} = \int_{\mathbb{T}^N} f(\mathbf{t}) \mathbf{t}^{-\mathbf{k}} d\mu_N,$$

where $\mathbf{t}^{\mathbf{k}} = t_1^{k_1} t_2^{k_2} \dots t_N^{k_N}$ for $\mathbf{t} = (t_1, t_2, \dots, t_N) \in \mathbb{T}^N$, $\mathbf{k} = (k_1, k_2, \dots, k_N) \in \mathbb{Z}^N$, and $f \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{T}^N)$ means that $C_{\mathbf{k}}\{f\} = 0$ for each multi-index \mathbf{k} outside H_N (as in the Introduction),

$$\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{T}^N) := \{f \in L^1(\mathbb{T}^N) : C_{\mathbf{k}}\{f\} = 0 \text{ for each } \mathbf{k} \notin H_N\}.$$

On several occasions, we need to expand a function $f \in L^2(\mathbb{T}^N)$ into ‘‘Fourier’’ series with respect to the first variable

$$(2.1) \quad f(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_N) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} t_1^k C_{1k}\{f\}(t_2, \dots, t_N) \text{ where } C_{1k}\{f\} \in L^2(\mathbb{T}^{N-1}).$$

For each k , the function $C_{1k}\{f\}$ is defined a.e. on \mathbb{T}^{N-1} by

$$C_{1k}\{f\}(t_2, \dots, t_N) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{T}} f(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_N) t_1^{-k} dt_1,$$

and equation (2.1) holds for a.e. $(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_N) \in \mathbb{T}^N$.

If a function $f \in L^2(\mathbb{T}^N)$ has the form

$$f(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_N) = \sum_{k=0}^n t_1^k \alpha_k(t_2, \dots, t_N) \text{ where } \alpha_k \in L^2(\mathbb{T}^{N-1}),$$

then we say that

$$(2.2) \quad f \in \mathcal{P}_+^n(\mathbb{T}_1^N).$$

For a function f defined by (2.1), we let

$$(2.3) \quad \tilde{f}(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_N) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} t_1^{-k} \overline{C_{1k}\{f\}(t_2, \dots, t_N)}.$$

Clearly

$$\tilde{f}(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_N) = \overline{f(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_N)} \text{ a.e. on } \mathbb{T}^N.$$

For a measurable function $f : \mathbb{T}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ we define $f_{t_2, t_3, \dots, t_N} : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ for a.a. $(t_2, t_3, \dots, t_N) \in \mathbb{T}^{N-1}$ by

$$f_{t_2, t_3, \dots, t_N}(t) = f(t, t_2, t_3, \dots, t_N).$$

Obviously, because of Fubini’s theorem,

$$(2.4) \quad f \in L^p(\mathbb{T}^N) \implies f_{t_2, t_3, \dots, t_N} \in L^p(\mathbb{T}) \text{ for a.e. } (t_2, t_3, \dots, t_N) \in \mathbb{T}^{N-1}.$$

For $f \in L^1(\mathbb{T}^N)$, let $\hat{f} \in L^1(\mathbb{T}^{N-1})$ be defined by

$$(2.5) \quad \hat{f}(t_2, t_3, \dots, t_N) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} f_{t_2, t_3, \dots, t_N}(t) d\mu_1 = \int_{\mathbb{T}} f(t, t_2, t_3, \dots, t_N) d\mu_1.$$

Let

$$(2.6) \quad \mathbb{H}^p(\mathbb{T}^N) := \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{T}^N) \cap L^p(\mathbb{T}^N), \quad \text{where } p \geq 1,$$

be the class of analytic type functions (defined in the Introduction for $p = 2$). The following recursive characterization of $\mathbb{H}^p(\mathbb{T}^N)$ will be useful in the sequel.

PROPOSITION 2.1. *Let $f \in L^p(\mathbb{T}^N)$, where $p \geq 1$ and $N \geq 2$. Then*

$$(2.7) \quad f \in \mathbb{H}^p(\mathbb{T}^N)$$

if and only if

$$(2.8) \quad f_{t_2, t_3, \dots, t_N} \in \mathbb{H}^p(\mathbb{T})$$

for a.e. $(t_2, t_3, \dots, t_N) \in \mathbb{T}^{N-1}$ and

$$(2.9) \quad \hat{f} \in \mathbb{H}^p(\mathbb{T}^{N-1}).$$

Proof. We have $f_{t_2, t_3, \dots, t_N} \in L^p(\mathbb{T})$ for a.e. $(t_2, t_3, \dots, t_N) \in \mathbb{T}^{N-1}$ due to (2.4), and it follows from definition (2.5), Jensen's inequality $(\int_{\mathbb{T}} |g| d\mu_1)^p \leq \int_{\mathbb{T}} |g|^p d\mu_1$, and Fubini's theorem that $\hat{f} \in L^p(\mathbb{T}^{N-1})$.

Suppose (2.7) holds. For each integer $k < 0$, the function $h_k \in L^1(\mathbb{T}^{N-1})$ defined by

$$(2.10) \quad h_k(t_2, t_3, \dots, t_N) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} f(t, t_2, t_3, \dots, t_N) t^{-k} d\mu_1$$

has all Fourier coefficients equal to 0, because

$$C_{\mathbf{k}}\{h_k\} = \int_{\mathbb{T}^N} f(t, \mathbf{t}) t^{-k} \mathbf{t}^{-\mathbf{k}} d\mu_1 d\mu_{N-1} = 0$$

for any $\mathbf{k} = (k_2, k_3, \dots, k_N)$, where $\mathbf{t} = (t_2, t_3, \dots, t_N)$, since $f \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{T}^N)$. Hence, for a.e. $(t_2, t_3, \dots, t_N) \in \mathbb{T}^{N-1}$, the integral in (2.10) is equal to 0 for all $k < 0$, and therefore (2.8) holds. For $k = 0$ and $\mathbf{k} \notin H_{N-1}$, we still have

$$0 = \int_{\mathbb{T}^N} f(t, \mathbf{t}) t^{-k} \mathbf{t}^{-\mathbf{k}} d\mu_1 d\mu_{N-1} = \int_{\mathbb{T}^{N-1}} \hat{f}(\mathbf{t}) \mathbf{t}^{-\mathbf{k}} d\mu_{N-1},$$

and therefore $\hat{f} \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{T}^{N-1})$ and (2.9) holds.

Suppose now that (2.8) and (2.9) hold. Then $f \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{T}^N)$ can be proved by direct application of Fubini's theorem reversing the above obtained implications. Therefore (2.7) holds. \square

Next, for convenience of presentation of the obtained results, we introduce the Hardy spaces

$$(2.11) \quad \mathbb{H}^p(\mathbb{T}^N) \quad \text{for } p > 0.$$

For $N = 1$, the Hardy space $\mathbb{H}^p = \mathbb{H}^p(\mathbb{T})$ is defined for all $p > 0$ by

$$\mathbb{H}^p := \left\{ f \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{D}) : \sup_{\rho < 1} \int_0^{2\pi} |f(\rho e^{i\theta})|^p d\theta < \infty \right\}.$$

The functions from \mathbb{H}^p , where $p > 0$, and their boundary values can be identified (see, e.g. [28]). Therefore, we can assume that $\mathbb{H}^p = \mathbb{H}^p(\mathbb{T}) \subset L^p(\mathbb{T})$ for $0 < p \leq \infty$, and this definition agrees with (2.6) for $p \geq 1$ and $N = 1$. (However, we can speak about the values of a function $f \in \mathbb{H}^p(\mathbb{T})$ inside the unit disk if necessary). Nevertheless, the definition (2.6) cannot be extended to arbitrary $p > 0$ because the question whether $f \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{T}^N)$ arises only when f

is integrable. However, the equivalent characterization of $\mathbb{H}^p(\mathbb{T}^N)$ according to Proposition 2.1 enables us to extend this definitions to (2.11).

DEFINITION 2.1. *Assume $\mathbb{H}^p(\mathbb{T}^1) = \mathbb{H}^p(\mathbb{T}) = \mathbb{H}^p$. We say that $f \in \mathbb{H}^p(\mathbb{T}^N)$, where $p > 0$ and $N \geq 2$, if and only if $f_{t_2, t_3, \dots, t_N} \in \mathbb{H}^p$ for a.a. $(t_2, t_3, \dots, t_N) \in \mathbb{T}^{N-1}$ and $\hat{f}_1 \in \mathbb{H}^p(\mathbb{T}^{N-1})$, where \hat{f}_1 is defined by the equality*

$$(2.12) \quad \hat{f}_1(t_2, t_3, \dots, t_N) := f_{t_2, t_3, \dots, t_N}(0) = f_{t_2, t_3, \dots, t_N}(z)|_{z=0}.$$

Note that this definition of $\mathbb{H}^p(\mathbb{T}^N)$ differs from the standard Hardy space defined in the theory of several complex variables for $N > 1$ (see [35], p. 84).

REMARK 2.1. *It follows from Definition 2.1 that if $f \in \mathbb{H}^p(\mathbb{T}^N)$, then $f(z, t_2, \dots, t_N)$ is defined for a.a. $(t_2, \dots, t_N) \in \mathbb{T}^{N-1}$ and each $z \in \mathbb{D}$. Furthermore, for $1 \leq k < N$, $f(0, \dots, 0, z, t_{k+1}, \dots, t_N)$ is defined for a.a. $(t_k, t_{k+1}, \dots, t_N) \in \mathbb{T}^{N-k}$ and each $z \in \mathbb{D}$. Therefore, similarly to (2.12), one can define the function $\hat{f}_k : \mathbb{T}^{N-k} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by*

$$(2.13) \quad \hat{f}_k(t_{k+1}, \dots, t_N) := f(0, \dots, 0, 0, t_{k+1}, \dots, t_N)$$

If $p \geq 1$, then $\hat{f}_1 = \hat{f}$ a.e. on \mathbb{T}^{N-1} , where \hat{f} is defined by (2.5), and

$$(2.14) \quad \hat{f}_k(t_{k+1}, \dots, t_N) = \int_{\mathbb{T}^k} f(\cdot, t_{k+1}, \dots, t_N) d\mu_k.$$

In particular,

$$(2.15) \quad f(\mathbf{0}) := f(0, 0, \dots, 0, 0) = \int_{\mathbb{T}^N} f d\mu_N = C_{\mathbf{0}}\{f\}$$

(the definition in (2.15) makes sense, and thus will be used, for all $p > 0$).

The prominent property of Hardy space functions

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} \log |h(t)| d\mu_1 > -\infty,$$

for any $0 \not\equiv h \in \mathbb{H}^p$ (see [34, Th. 17.17]), is no longer valid for arbitrary function $0 \not\equiv f \in \mathbb{H}^p(\mathbb{T}^N)$ for $N > 1$, because it may happen that

$$(2.16) \quad \int_{\mathbb{T}^N} \log |f(\mathbf{t})| d\mu_N = -\infty$$

(see a counterexample at [21, p. 176]). However, it is possible to single out the situations where (2.16) may occur.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $f \in \mathbb{H}^p(\mathbb{T}^N)$, for $p > 0$ and $N \geq 2$, and suppose (2.16) holds. Then*

$$(2.17) \quad \hat{f}_{N-1} \equiv 0.$$

Proof. We use the well-known estimation

$$(2.18) \quad \log |h(0)| \leq \int_{\mathbb{T}} \log |h(t)| d\mu_1$$

for any $h \in \mathbb{H}^p$ (see [34, Th. 17.17]), which together with (2.12) implies that

$$(2.19) \quad \int_{\mathbb{T}^N} \log |f(\mathbf{t})| d\mu_N \geq \int_{\mathbb{T}^{N-1}} \log |\hat{f}_1(t_2, t_3, \dots, t_N)| d\mu_{N-1}.$$

Hence, it follows from (2.16) that the second integral in (2.19) is also $-\infty$.

We can carry out the same reasoning for the function \hat{f}_1 instead of f , and continuing recursively in the same manner, we will obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^{N-k}} \log |\hat{f}_k| d\mu_{N-k} = -\infty \text{ for } k = 1, 2, \dots, N-1.$$

Hence, we get $\hat{f}_{N-1} \in \mathbb{H}^p(\mathbb{T})$ and $\int_{\mathbb{T}} \log |\hat{f}_{N-1}| d\mu_1 = -\infty$, which implies (2.17). \square

Next we define the set of *outer* type functions from $\mathbb{H}^p(\mathbb{T}^N)$, $p > 0$, which is denoted by $\mathbb{H}_O^p(\mathbb{T}^N)$. For $N = n = 1$, the definition is classical: $0 \neq f \in \mathbb{H}^p$, where $p > 0$, is called outer, $f \in \mathbb{H}_O^p(\mathbb{T}) =: \mathbb{H}_O^p$, if

$$(2.20) \quad f(z) = c \cdot \exp \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{e^{i\theta} + z}{e^{i\theta} - z} \log |f(e^{i\theta})| d\theta \right), \quad |c| = 1,$$

which is equivalent to (see [34, Th. 17.17])

$$(2.21) \quad \log |f(0)| = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log |f(e^{i\theta})| d\theta.$$

For $N > 1$, the definition will be given recursively.

DEFINITION 2.2. *We say that $f \in \mathbb{H}_O^p(\mathbb{T}^N)$, $p > 0$, if and only if*

$$(2.22) \quad f_{t_2, t_3, \dots, t_N} \in \mathbb{H}_O^p \text{ for a.e. } (t_2, t_3, \dots, t_N) \in \mathbb{T}^{N-1}$$

and $\hat{f}_1 \in \mathbb{H}_O^p(\mathbb{T}^{N-1})$, where \hat{f}_1 is defined by (2.12).

Note that the definition of $\mathbb{H}_O^p(\mathbb{T}^N)$ coincides with the corresponding concept introduced in [21, p. 181] for $p \geq 1$. Namely, the following lemma holds.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $f \in \mathbb{H}^p(\mathbb{T}^N)$, $p > 0$. Then*

$$(2.23) \quad f \in \mathbb{H}_O^p(\mathbb{T}^N)$$

if and only if

$$(2.24) \quad \int_{\mathbb{T}^N} \log |f(\mathbf{t})| d\mu_N = \log |f(\mathbf{0})| > -\infty$$

where $f(\mathbf{0})$ is defined by (2.15).

Proof. As mentioned above, the equivalence of these two conditions is a well-known fact for $N = 1$, therefore, we need to consider the case $N \geq 2$.

Note first that $f \in \mathbb{H}_O^p(\mathbb{T}^N) \implies \int_{\mathbb{T}^N} \log |f(t)| d\mu_N \neq -\infty$ since otherwise $\hat{f}_{N-1} \equiv 0$ by Lemma 2.1, which contradicts the definition of $\mathbb{H}_O^p(\mathbb{T}^N)$ space.

On the other hand, both (2.23) and (2.24) are equivalent to the sequence of equations

$$(2.25) \quad \int_{\mathbb{T}^N} \log |f| d\mu_N = \int_{\mathbb{T}^{N-1}} \log |\hat{f}_1| d\mu_{N-1} = \dots = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \log |\hat{f}_{N-1}| d\mu_1 = \log |f(\mathbf{0})|$$

(because of successive application of (2.19), where we have to have “=” instead of “ \geq ”; see also (2.15)). Therefore, (2.23) and (2.24) are equivalent. \square

DEFINITION 2.3. *We say that a matrix function $F \in H^p(\mathbb{T}^N)^{d \times d}$ is of outer type and use the notation $F \in H^p(\mathbb{T}^N)^{d \times d}_O$ if $\det F \in \mathbb{H}_O^r(\mathbb{T}^N)$ for some $r > 0$.*

Next, we introduce the following imbedded spaces, $\mathbb{H}^p(\mathbb{T}^N) \subset \mathbb{H}^p(\mathbb{T}_{N-1}^N) \subset \dots \subset \mathbb{H}^p(\mathbb{T}_1^N) \subset L^p(\mathbb{T}^N)$, which is used later.

DEFINITION 2.4. For $N \geq 2$ and $1 \leq l < N$, we say that $f \in \mathbb{H}^p(\mathbb{T}_l^N)$ (resp. $f \in \mathbb{H}_O^p(\mathbb{T}_l^N)$) if and only if $f \in L^p(\mathbb{T}^N)$ and, for a.a. $(t_{l+1}, t_{l+2}, \dots, t_N) \in \mathbb{T}^{N-l}$,

$$f(\cdot, t_{l+1}, \dots, t_N) \in \mathbb{H}^p(\mathbb{T}^l) \quad (\text{resp. } f(\cdot, t_{l+1}, \dots, t_N) \in \mathbb{H}_O^p(\mathbb{T}^l))$$

as a function of variables (t_1, t_2, \dots, t_l) .

The classes of matrix functions $\mathbb{H}^p(\mathbb{T}_l^N)^{d \times d}$ and $\mathbb{H}_O^p(\mathbb{T}_l^N)^{d \times d}$ are defined similarly.

REMARK 2.2. Note that if $f \in H^p(\mathbb{T}_l^N)$ and $k \leq l$, then Remark 2.1 remains valid and \hat{f}_k can be defined by (2.13). Furthermore, if $f, g \in H_O^p(\mathbb{T}_l^N)$ and $|f| = |g|$ a.e. on \mathbb{T}^N , then

$$|\hat{f}_{k-1}(z, t_{k+1}, t_{k+2}, \dots, t_N)| = |\hat{g}_{k-1}(z, t_{k+1}, t_{k+2}, \dots, t_N)|$$

for a.a. $(t_{k+1}, t_{k+2}, \dots, t_N) \in \mathbb{T}^{N-k}$ and each $z \in \mathbb{D}$ (it is assumed that $\hat{f}_0 = f$), consequently,

$$|\hat{f}_k| = |\hat{g}_k| \quad \text{a.e. on } \mathbb{T}^{N-k}$$

for each $k = 1, 2, \dots, l$.

REMARK 2.3. It follows from Definitions 2.1, 2.2, and 2.4 that if $f \in \mathbb{H}_O^p(\mathbb{T}_l^N)$, where $l < N$, and

$$g(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_N) = f(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_N)h(t_{l+1}, t_{l+2}, \dots, t_N)$$

for some $h \in L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^{N-l})$, then $g \in \mathbb{H}_O^p(\mathbb{T}_l^N)$ as well. This fact is often tacitly used in what follows.

A matrix function $U \in L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^N)^{d \times d}$ is called unitary if $U(\mathbf{t})U^*(\mathbf{t}) = I_d$ for a.e. $\mathbf{t} \in \mathbb{T}^N$, where I_d stands for the $d \times d$ unit matrix.

Integration of matrix functions and convergence of matrix valued sequences are understood entry-wise.

In Section 7 we use the following stability result on matrix spectral factorization proved in [10] for $N = 1$.

Theorem 2.1. ([10], Th. 1) Let $0 < S^{\{n\}} \in L^1(\mathbb{T})$, $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, be a sequence of positive definite integrable matrix functions such that

$$\|S^{\{n\}} - S^{\{0\}}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T})} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\mathbb{T}} \log \det S^{\{n\}}(t) dt \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{T}} \log \det S^{\{0\}}(t) dt.$$

Then

$$\|S_+^{\{n\}} - S_+^{\{0\}}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} \rightarrow 0.$$

The proof of the following proposition, which is valid for arbitrary finite measure space, follows easily from the necessary and sufficient condition for the convergence in the norm: $\|f_n - f\|_{L^p} \rightarrow 0$, where $p \geq 1$, if and only if $f_n \rightrightarrows f$ and $\sup_{n > k, \mu(E) < \delta} \int_E |f_n|^p d\mu \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty, \delta \rightarrow 0$, where \rightrightarrows stands for the convergence in measure.

PROPOSITION 2.2. If $h_n \in L^1$, $f_n \in L^p$, $p \geq 1$, $n = 0, 1, \dots$, $f_n \rightrightarrows f_0$, $|f_n(t)|^p \leq |h_n(t)|$ and $\|h_n - h_0\|_{L^1} \rightarrow 0$, then $\|f_n - f_0\|_{L^p} \rightarrow 0$.

COROLLARY 2.1. If $\|f_n - f\|_{L^p} \rightarrow 0$, $p \geq 1$, and $|u_n(t)| \leq 1$, $n = 0, 1, \dots$, $u_n \rightrightarrows u$, then $\|f_n u_n - f u\|_{L^p} \rightarrow 0$.

3. UNIQUENESS OF MULTIVARIABLE MATRIX SPECTRAL FACTORIZATION

Definitions 2.1 and 2.2 allow us to formulate generalized Smirnov's theorem (namely, $L^p(\mathbb{T}) \ni f = g/h$, $g \in \mathbb{H}^q$, $h \in \mathbb{H}_O^r \implies f \in \mathbb{H}^p$; see [28, p.109]) for the N -dimensional case. This result is used to provide a simple proof of the uniqueness of the Helson-Lowdenslager MSF theorem (see Proposition 3.2 below). Note that the uniqueness is not discussed in the original formulation of this theorem in [21], [22].

PROPOSITION 3.1. *Suppose $f \in L^p(\mathbb{T}^N)$ can be represented as a ratio*

$$(3.1) \quad f = \frac{g}{h}$$

with $g \in \mathbb{H}^q(\mathbb{T}^N)$ and $h \in \mathbb{H}_O^r(\mathbb{T}^N)$, where $p, q, r > 0$ are arbitrary. Then

$$(3.2) \quad f \in \mathbb{H}^p(\mathbb{T}^N).$$

First we prove the following

Lemma 3.1. *If $f \in L^p(\mathbb{T}^N)$, $p > 0$, and $f_{t_2, t_3, \dots, t_N} \in \mathbb{H}^p$ for a.e. $(t_2, t_3, \dots, t_N) \in \mathbb{T}^{N-1}$, then (see (2.12))*

$$(3.3) \quad \hat{f}_1 \in L^p(\mathbb{T}^{N-1}).$$

Proof. Indeed, if $h \in \mathbb{H}^p$, $p > 0$, then $|h|^p = \exp(p \log |h|)$ is a subharmonic function in \mathbb{D} , and therefore $\int_0^{2\pi} |h(\rho e^{i\theta})|^p d\theta$ is increasing on $(0, 1)$ as a function of ρ (see [16, §1.6]). Thus, $|h(0)|^p = \lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0^+} (1/2\pi) \int_0^{2\pi} |h(\rho e^{i\theta})|^p d\theta \leq \lim_{\rho \rightarrow 1^-} (1/2\pi) \int_0^{2\pi} |h(\rho e^{i\theta})|^p d\theta = \int_{\mathbb{T}} |h|^p d\mu_1$. Consequently

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^{N-1}} |\hat{f}_1|^p d\mu_{N-1} = \int_{\mathbb{T}^{N-1}} |f(0, \cdot)|^p d\mu_{N-1} \leq \int_{\mathbb{T}^{N-1}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}} |f(t, \cdot)|^p d\mu_1 \right) d\mu_{N-1} = \int_{\mathbb{T}^N} |f|^p d\mu_N.$$

Thus, (3.3) holds. \square

Proof of Proposition 3.1. The proof can be carried out by induction with respect to N and the goal is achieved by using Definitions 2.1 and 2.2. Indeed, for $N = 1$, the statement amounts to the above-mentioned generalized Smirnov's theorem. Thus, we can make the assumption that the proposition is correct if we take $N - 1$ instead of N .

On the other hand, the hypothesis of the proposition implies that

$$f_{t_2, t_3, \dots, t_N} = g_{t_2, t_3, \dots, t_N} / h_{t_2, t_3, \dots, t_N} \in \mathbb{H}^p$$

due to the one-dimensional theorem, as long as (2.4) holds and Definitions 2.1 and 2.2 imply that $g_{t_2, t_3, \dots, t_N} \in \mathbb{H}^q$ and $h_{t_2, t_3, \dots, t_N} \in \mathbb{H}_O^r$. This in turn implies (3.3) by Lemma 3.1. We also have $\hat{f}_1 = f(0, \cdot) = g(0, \cdot) / h(0, \cdot) = \hat{g}_1 / \hat{h}_1$ with $\hat{g}_1 \in \mathbb{H}^p(\mathbb{T}^{N-1})$ and $\hat{h}_1 \in \mathbb{H}_O^p(\mathbb{T}^{N-1})$ (by virtue of Definitions 2.1 and 2.2). Hence, $\hat{f}_1 \in \mathbb{H}^p(\mathbb{T}^{N-1})$ by the assumption of the induction and (3.2) holds by Definition 2.1. \square

REMARK 3.1. *Using Hölder's inequality and induction similar to the proof above, one can prove that if $f \in \mathbb{H}^p(\mathbb{T}^N)$ and $g \in \mathbb{H}^q(\mathbb{T}^N)$, then $fg \in \mathbb{H}^{\frac{pq}{p+q}}(\mathbb{T}^N)$. (Therefore, the exponent r in Definition 2.3 can be taken equal to p/d .) Furthermore, if $f \in \mathbb{H}_O^p(\mathbb{T}^N)$ and $g \in \mathbb{H}_O^q(\mathbb{T}^N)$ then $fg \in \mathbb{H}_O^{\frac{pq}{p+q}}(\mathbb{T}^N)$.*

We are now ready to prove the uniqueness of factorization in the Helson-Lowdenslager MSF theorem, which is similar to the one presented in [9] for the Wiener-Masani MSF theorem.

PROPOSITION 3.2. *Let $0 < S \in L^1(\mathbb{T}^N)^{d \times d}$ and suppose (1.4) holds. If*

$$(3.4) \quad S = S_+ S_+^* = \Xi_+ \Xi_+^*$$

are two spectral factorizations of S with spectral factors of outer type, $S_+, \Xi_+ \in \mathbb{H}_O^2(\mathbb{T}^N)^{d \times d}$, then there exists a constant unitary matrix $U \in \mathbb{C}^{d \times d}$ such that

$$(3.5) \quad S_+ = \Xi_+ U.$$

Proof. The equations in (3.4) imply that

$$(\Xi_+^{-1} S_+) (S_+^* (\Xi_+^*)^{-1}) = I_d,$$

so that $U = \Xi_+^{-1} S_+$ is a unitary matrix function, i.e., $U^{-1} = U^*$ a.e. on \mathbb{T}^N . The entries of a unitary matrix are bounded. Hence

$$U \in L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^N)^{d \times d}.$$

Since $\det \Xi_+$ is of outer analytic type and $\Xi_+^{-1} = (\det \Xi_+)^{-1} \text{adj}(\Xi_+)$, we have

$$U = \frac{V}{w}, \quad \text{where } V \in \mathbb{H}^q(\mathbb{T}^N)^{d \times d} \text{ and } w \in \mathbb{H}^r(\mathbb{T}^N) \text{ for some } q, r > 0$$

(see Remark 3.1). Hence we can apply Proposition 3.1 for the entries of U and conclude that

$$U \in \mathbb{H}^\infty(\mathbb{T}^N)^{d \times d}.$$

By changing the roles of Ξ_+ and S_+ in this discussion, we get

$$U^{-1} \in \mathbb{H}^\infty(\mathbb{T}^N)^{d \times d}.$$

Hence,

$$U, U^* \in \mathbb{H}^\infty(\mathbb{T}^N)^{d \times d}.$$

which means that entries of U have all Fourier coefficients except C_0 equal to 0. Consequently, they are constant. \square

4. MULTIVARIABLE SCALAR SPECTRAL FACTORIZATION

Helson-Lowdenslager spectral factorization theorem in the scalar case asserts that: *if $0 < f \in L^1(\mathbb{T}^N)$ and $\int_{\mathbb{T}^N} \log f d\mu_N > -\infty$, then there exists a unique (up to a constant factor of modulus 1) function $f_+ \in \mathbb{H}_O^2(\mathbb{T}^N)$ such that*

$$(4.1) \quad f = |f_+|^2 \text{ a.e. on } \mathbb{T}^N.$$

If $N = 1$, then the function f_+ can be written explicitly: $f_+(t) = \lim_{r \rightarrow 1^-} f_+(rt)$, where

$$f_+(z) = c \cdot \exp \left(\frac{1}{4\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{e^{i\theta} + z}{e^{i\theta} - z} \log f(e^{i\theta}) d\theta \right), \quad |c| = 1, \quad |z| < 1$$

(cf. (2.20)). Note that f_+ can be also written as

$$f_+(t) = c \cdot \sqrt{f(t)} \exp \left(\frac{1}{2} i \mathcal{S}(\log f)(t) \right),$$

where $\mathcal{S}(f)$ stands for the conjugate of $f \in L^1(\mathbb{T})$:

$$\mathcal{S}(f)(e^{i\tau}) = \frac{1}{2\pi} (P) \int_0^{2\pi} f(e^{i\theta}) \cot \frac{\tau - \theta}{2} d\theta.$$

In the multivariable case, it is sufficient for our purposes to construct a factorization

$$f = f_{+,1} \overline{f_{+,1}},$$

where $f_{+,1} \in \mathbb{H}_O^2(\mathbb{T}_1^N)$. Such factorization can be written in the explicit form

$$(4.2) \quad f_{+,1}(\mathbf{t}) = \sqrt{f(\mathbf{t})} \exp\left(i\mathcal{S}_1(\log \sqrt{f(\mathbf{t})})\right)$$

if we introduce the singular operator $\mathcal{S}_1 : L^1(\mathbb{T}^N) \rightarrow L^p(\mathbb{T}^N)$, $p < 1$, with respect to the first variable by the formula

$$\mathcal{S}_1(h)(e^{i\tau}, t_2, \dots, t_N) = \frac{1}{2\pi}(P) \int_0^{2\pi} h(e^{i\theta}, t_2, \dots, t_N) \cot \frac{\tau - \theta}{2} d\theta.$$

Note that we can write f_+ in (4.1) explicitly as

$$(4.3) \quad f_+(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_N) = f_{+,1}(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_N) \prod_{k=1}^{N-1} \exp\left(i\mathcal{S}_1(\check{f}_k)(t_{k+1}, \dots, t_N)\right),$$

where $\check{f}_k : \mathbb{T}^{N-k} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, N-1$, are the functions defined by (cf. (2.13)):

$$(4.4) \quad \check{f}_k(t_{k+1}, \dots, t_N) = \int_{\mathbb{T}^k} \log \sqrt{f(\cdot, t_{k+1}, \dots, t_N)} d\mu_k$$

In particular, for $2 \leq l \leq N$, we have $f = f_{+,l} \overline{f_{+,l}}$, where

$$f_{+,l}(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_N) := f_{+,1}(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_N) \prod_{k=1}^{l-1} \exp\left(i\mathcal{S}_1(\check{f}_k)(t_{k+1}, \dots, t_N)\right) \in H_O^2(T_l^N)$$

and, because of (2.21) and Fubini's theorem (see also (2.25)), the expression (4.4) is equal to

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_0^{2\pi} \log |f_{+,l}(0, \dots, 0, e^{i\theta}, t_{l+1}, \dots, t_N)| d\theta = \frac{1}{2} \log |f_+(0, \dots, 0, 0, t_{l+1}, \dots, t_N)|.$$

5. DESCRIPTION OF $S = S_{+,1} S_{+,1}^*$ FACTORIZATION ALGORITHM

In this section, we describe the algorithmic steps for the factorization

$$(5.1) \quad S(t) = S_{+,1}(t) S_{+,1}^*(t),$$

where

$$(5.2) \quad S_{+,1} \in \mathbb{H}^2(\mathbb{T}_1^N)^{d \times d},$$

of a matrix (1.3) which satisfies (1.4). The existence of such factorization follows from the corresponding 1-D Wiener-Masani theorem since Fubini's theorem guarantees that, for a.e. $(t_2, \dots, t_N) \in \mathbb{T}^{N-1}$,

$$S(\cdot, t_2, \dots, t_N) \in L^1(\mathbb{T}) \quad \text{and} \quad \log \det S(\cdot, t_2, \dots, t_N) \in L^1(\mathbb{T}).$$

Below we provide constructive procedures for factorization (5.1). These procedures stem from the corresponding 1-D MSF algorithm proposed in [25]. The main idea which demonstrates the possibility of such generalization is presented in our recent paper [13].

Procedure 1. We perform lower-upper factorization

$$(5.3) \quad S(\mathbf{t}) = M_1(\mathbf{t}) M_1^*(\mathbf{t}),$$

where

$$(5.4) \quad M_1(\mathbf{t}) = \begin{pmatrix} f_1(\mathbf{t}) & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \xi_{21}(\mathbf{t}) & f_2(\mathbf{t}) & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \xi_{d-1,1}(\mathbf{t}) & \xi_{d-1,2}(\mathbf{t}) & \cdots & f_{d-1}(\mathbf{t}) & 0 \\ \xi_{d1}(\mathbf{t}) & \xi_{d2}(\mathbf{t}) & \cdots & \xi_{d,d-1}(\mathbf{t}) & f_d(\mathbf{t}) \end{pmatrix}$$

with $f_i \in \mathbb{H}_O^2(\mathbb{T}_1^N)$, $1 \leq i \leq d$, and $\xi_{ij} \in L^2(\mathbb{T}^N)$, $2 \leq i \leq d$, $1 \leq j < i$. As in the $N = 1$ case, (5.3) can be achieved by pointwise Cholesky factorization of $S(\mathbf{t})$ and then applying formula (4.2) for the diagonal entries. Note that $\det M_1 \in \mathbb{H}_O^{2/d}(\mathbb{T}_1^N)$.

Procedure 2. The factor $S_{+,1}$ is represented as

$$(5.5) \quad S_{+,1}(\mathbf{t}) = M_1(\mathbf{t})\mathbf{U}_2(\mathbf{t})\mathbf{U}_3(\mathbf{t}) \cdots \mathbf{U}_r(\mathbf{t}).$$

Each \mathbf{U}_m , $m = 2, 3, \dots, d$, has the form

$$(5.6) \quad \mathbf{U}_m(\mathbf{t}) = \begin{pmatrix} U_m(\mathbf{t}) & 0 \\ 0 & I_{r-m} \end{pmatrix},$$

where U_m is a unitary matrix function with a special structure

$$(5.7) \quad U_m(\mathbf{t}) = \begin{pmatrix} u_{11}(\mathbf{t}) & u_{12}(\mathbf{t}) & \cdots & u_{1,m-1}(\mathbf{t}) & u_{1m}(\mathbf{t}) \\ u_{21}(\mathbf{t}) & u_{22}(\mathbf{t}) & \cdots & u_{2,m-1}(\mathbf{t}) & u_{2m}(\mathbf{t}) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ u_{m-1,1}(\mathbf{t}) & u_{m-1,2}(\mathbf{t}) & \cdots & u_{m-1,m-1}(\mathbf{t}) & u_{m-1,m}(\mathbf{t}) \\ \widetilde{u_{m1}}(\mathbf{t}) & \widetilde{u_{m2}}(\mathbf{t}) & \cdots & \widetilde{u_{m,m-1}}(\mathbf{t}) & \widetilde{u_{mm}}(\mathbf{t}) \end{pmatrix},$$

(see (2.3)) with $u_{ij} \in \mathbb{H}^\infty(\mathbb{T}_1^N)$, such that

$$(5.8) \quad \det U_m(\mathbf{t}) = 1 \quad \text{for a.e. } \mathbf{t} \in \mathbb{T}^N$$

and

$$[Q_m]_{m \times m} := [M_1 \mathbf{U}_2 \cdots \mathbf{U}_m]_{m \times m} \in (\mathbb{H}^2(\mathbb{T}_1^N))_O^{m \times m}.$$

Procedure 3. The unitary matrix functions (5.6) are constructed recursively. We assume that $\mathbf{U}_2, \mathbf{U}_3, \dots, \mathbf{U}_{m-1}$ have already been constructed and obtain U_m by the following steps:

Step 1. Consider the matrix function F of the form

$$(5.9) \quad F(\mathbf{t}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & 0 \\ \zeta_1(\mathbf{t}) & \zeta_2(\mathbf{t}) & \zeta_3(\mathbf{t}) & \cdots & \zeta_{m-1}(\mathbf{t}) & f_m(\mathbf{t}) \end{pmatrix},$$

where the last row of F is the same as the last row of $[Q_{m-1}]_{m \times m}$. We have $\zeta_i \in L^2(\mathbb{T}^N)$, $1 \leq i \leq m-1$, and $f_m \in \mathbb{H}_O^2(\mathbb{T}_1^N)$.

Suppose

$$f_m(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_N) = \sum_0^\infty t_1^k \gamma_k(t_2, \dots, t_N), \quad \text{where } \gamma_k \in L^2(\mathbb{T}^{N-1}),$$

$$\zeta_i(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_N) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^\infty t_1^k \alpha_{i,k}(t_2, \dots, t_N), \quad \text{where } \alpha_{i,k} \in L^2(\mathbb{T}^{N-1}),$$

and

$$\zeta_{+,i}(t_1, \cdot) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} t_1^k \alpha_{i,k}(\cdot) \quad \text{and} \quad \zeta_{-,i}(t_1, \cdot) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{-1} t_1^k \alpha_{i,k}(\cdot).$$

Step 2. Decompose F as

$$F(\mathbf{t}) = F_+(\mathbf{t})F_-(\mathbf{t}),$$

where F_+ and F_- have the same structure as F while their last rows are replaced by

$$[\zeta_{+,1}, \zeta_{+,2}, \dots, \zeta_{+,(m-1)}, 1] \quad \text{and} \quad [\zeta_{-,1}, \zeta_{-,2}, \dots, \zeta_{-,(m-1)}, f_m],$$

respectively.

Step 3. For a sufficiently large n , approximate the matrix function F_- by $F_-^{\{n\}}$ of the same structure as (5.9) but with the last row replaced by

$$[\zeta_{-,1}^{\{n\}}, \zeta_{-,2}^{\{n\}}, \dots, \zeta_{-,(m-1)}^{\{n\}}, f_m^{\{n\}}],$$

where

$$\zeta_{-,i}^{\{n\}}(t_1, \cdot) = \sum_{k=-n}^{-1} t_1^k \alpha_{i,k}(\cdot) \quad \text{and} \quad f_m^{\{n\}}(t_1, \cdot) = \sum_{k=0}^n t_1^k \gamma_k(\cdot).$$

Step 4. For the matrix function $F_-^{\{n\}}$, construct the corresponding unitary matrix function $U_m^{\{n\}}$ of the form (5.7), where $u_{ij} \in \mathcal{P}_+(\mathbb{T}_1^N)$ (see (2.2)), which satisfies (5.8) such that

$$F_-^{\{n\}} U_m^{\{n\}} \in (\mathcal{P}_+(\mathbb{T}_1^N))^{m \times m}.$$

This construction can be realized pointwise for a.e. $(t_2, t_3, \dots, t_N) \in \mathbb{T}^{N-1}$ by the corresponding 1-D theorem proved in [25] (see Theorem 1 and its proof therein).

The matrix function U_m is obtained as a limit of $U_m^{\{n\}}$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$. The convergent properties of the algorithm is analyzed in Sections 7.

As it is done in [25], for a simplicity of the presentation, we can assume that each ξ_{ij} in (5.4) is approximated by

$$(5.10) \quad \xi_{ij}(\mathbf{t}) \approx \xi_{ij}^{\{(i-j)n\}}(\mathbf{t}) = \sum_{k=-(i-j)n}^{\infty} t_1^k C_{1k} \{\xi_{ij}\}(t_2, \dots, t_N),$$

and then we get the approximation of (5.5)

$$(5.11) \quad S_{+,1}^{\{n\}}(\mathbf{t}) = M_1^{\{n\}}(\mathbf{t}) \mathbf{U}_2^{\{n\}}(\mathbf{t}) \mathbf{U}_3^{\{2n\}}(\mathbf{t}) \dots \mathbf{U}_r^{\{(r-1)n\}}(\mathbf{t}) =: M_1^{\{n\}}(\mathbf{t}) \mathcal{U}^{\{n\}}(\mathbf{t}),$$

where $M_1^{\{n\}}$ is obtained from (5.4) by making the approximations (5.10) and each $\mathbf{U}_m^{\{(m-1)n\}}$ is constructed according to the above described procedures taking $(m-1)n$ instead of n in Step 3. We recall that $\det \mathcal{U}^{\{n\}} = 1$ a.e. and, therefore,

$$S_{+,1}^{\{n\}} \in \mathbb{H}^2(\mathbb{T}_1^N)_{\mathcal{O}}^{d \times d} \quad \text{for all } n = 1, 2, \dots$$

The convergence

$$(5.12) \quad \|S_{+,1}^{\{n\}} - S_{+,1}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^N)} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

is proved in Section 7.

6. DESCRIPTION OF THE MULTIVARIABLE MSF METHOD

In this section, we outline a general scheme of the proposed N-D MSF method which can be utilized as a computational algorithm.

Recursively with respect to l , we factorize the matrix (1.3) as

$$(6.1) \quad S(t) = S_{+,l}(t)S_{+,l}^*(t),$$

$l = 1, 2, \dots, N$, where

$$(6.2) \quad S_{+,l} \in \mathbb{H}^2(\mathbb{T}_l^N)_O^{d \times d}.$$

By virtue of Definitions 2.4, factorization (1.5) is achieved as soon as we reach $l = N$, i.e.

$$S_+ = S_{+,N}.$$

The basic procedure is the factorization

$$(6.3) \quad S(t) = S_{+,1}(t)S_{+,1}^*(t)$$

described in the previous section (for uniqueness purposes, we assume that $S_{+,1}(0, t_2, \dots, t_N)$ is positive definite in (6.3), however, it does not play any role).

For a factorable $S \in L^1(\mathbb{T}^N)^{d \times d}$, where $N \geq 2$, we denote the resulting factor $S_{+,1} \in \mathbb{H}^2(\mathbb{T}_1^N)_O^{d \times d}$ by

$$(6.4) \quad S_{+,1} =: \mathcal{SF}_N[S].$$

In addition, we define the matrix function

$$(6.5) \quad \hat{S}_{+,1} \in L^2(\mathbb{T}^{N-1})^{d \times d}$$

for a.e. $(t_2, \dots, t_N) \in \mathbb{T}^{N-1}$ by the equation (cf. Remark 2.1)

$$(6.6) \quad \hat{S}_{+,1}(t_2, \dots, t_N) = S_{+,1}(0, t_2, \dots, t_N) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{T}} S_{+,1}(t, t_2, \dots, t_N) dt.$$

Relation (6.5) holds because of (5.2) and the Fubini theorem. We also have

$$(6.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{T}^N} \log |\det S_{+,1}| d\mu_1 &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^N} \int_{\mathbb{T}^{N-1}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}} \log |\det S_{+,1}(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_N)| dt_1 \right) dt_2 \dots dt_N \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^N} \int_{\mathbb{T}^{N-1}} \log |\det S_{+,1}(0, t_2, \dots, t_N)| dt_2 \dots dt_N = \int_{\mathbb{T}^{N-1}} \log |\det \hat{S}_{+,1}| d\mu_{N-1} \end{aligned}$$

(the second equality holds due to (2.21)) which, together with (1.4) and (6.3), implies that

$$(6.8) \quad \log |\det \hat{S}_{+,1}| \in L^1(\mathbb{T}^{N-1}).$$

Applying the operator \mathcal{SF}_N defined by (6.4), we proceed with factorization (6.1) as follows: The relations (6.5) and (6.8) imply that

$$(6.9) \quad S_1 := \hat{S}_{+,1} \hat{S}_{+,1}^* \in L^1(\mathbb{T}^{N-1})^{d \times d}$$

is a factorable matrix function and the operator $\mathcal{SF}_{(N-1)}$ can be applied to it. Consequently, $\mathcal{U}_2 := \hat{S}_{+,1}^{-1} \mathcal{SF}_{(N-1)}[S_1]$ is a unitary matrix function and if we define

$$(6.10) \quad S_{+,2}(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_N) := S_{+,1}(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_N) \mathcal{U}_2(t_2, \dots, t_N),$$

we get

$$S(t) = S_{+,2}(t)S_{+,2}^*(t), \quad \text{and } S_{+,2} \in \mathbb{H}^2(\mathbb{T}_2^N)_O^{d \times d}.$$

Similarly, if the factorization

$$S(t) = S_{+,l-1}(t)S_{+,l-1}^*(t),$$

has already been constructed, where $S_{+,l-1} \in \mathbb{H}^2(\mathbb{T}_{l-1}^N)_O^{d \times d}$, then we define

$$(6.11) \quad \hat{S}_{+,l-1}(t_l, \dots, t_N) = S_{+,l-1}(0, \dots, 0, t_l, \dots, t_N) = \int_{\mathbb{T}^{l-1}} S_{+,l-1}(\cdot, t_l, \dots, t_N) d\mu_{l-1}$$

and

$$(6.12) \quad S_{l-1} = \hat{S}_{+,l-1} \hat{S}_{+,l-1}^*,$$

apply the operator $\mathcal{SF}_{(N-l+1)}$ to S_{l-1} to get a unitary matrix function

$$(6.13) \quad \mathcal{U}_l(t_l, \dots, t_N) := \hat{S}_{+,l-1}^{-1}(t_l, \dots, t_N) \mathcal{SF}_{(N-l+1)}[S_{l-1}](t_l, \dots, t_N),$$

and obtain

$$(6.14) \quad S_{+,l}(t_1, \dots, t_N) = S_{+,l-1}(t_1, \dots, t_N) \mathcal{U}_l(t_l, \dots, t_N)$$

which satisfies (6.1) and (6.2).

Summarizing, we get

$$(6.15) \quad S_+ = S_{+,1} \mathcal{U}_2 \mathcal{U}_3 \dots \mathcal{U}_N.$$

In order to satisfy the uniqueness condition, we can take the spectral factor which is positive definite at the origin

$$S_+(z)(S_+(\mathbf{0}))^{-1} \sqrt{S_+(\mathbf{0})(S_+(\mathbf{0}))^*}.$$

Note also that

$$(6.16) \quad \hat{S}_{+,l}(t_{l+1}, \dots, t_N) = \hat{S}_{+,l-1}(0, t_{l+1}, \dots, t_N).$$

In actual computations, the equations presented in this section are changed with approximations. For sufficiently large positive integers n_1, n_2, \dots, n_N , we proceed as follows: First we approximate $S_{+,1}$ as it is described in Procedure 3 of the previous section

$$(6.17) \quad S_{+,1} \approx S_{+,1}^{\{n_1\}} =: \mathcal{SF}_N^{\{n_1\}}[S].$$

Then we compute $\hat{S}_{+,1}^{\{n_1\}}(t_2, \dots, t_N) = S_{+,1}^{\{n_1\}}(0, t_2, \dots, t_N)$, approximate

$$S_1 \approx S_1^{\{n_1\}} = \hat{S}_{+,1}^{\{n_1\}} (\hat{S}_{+,1}^{\{n_1\}})^*,$$

and obtain its approximate factor $\mathcal{SF}_{(N-1)}^{\{n_2\}}[S_1^{\{n_1\}}]$ which gives an approximation of $S_{+,2}$ as (cf. (6.10))

$$S_{+,2} \approx S_{+,2}^{\{n_1 n_2\}} = S_{+,1}^{\{n_1\}} (\hat{S}_{+,1}^{\{n_1\}})^{-1} \mathcal{SF}_{(N-1)}^{\{n_2\}}[S_1^{\{n_1\}}] =: S_{+,1}^{\{n_1\}} \mathcal{U}_2^{\{n_1 n_2\}}.$$

Continuing in this manner, we obtain

$$S_+ = S_{+,N} \approx S_{+,N}^{\{n_1 \dots n_N\}} = S_{+,1}^{\{n_1\}} \mathcal{U}_2^{\{n_1 n_2\}} \dots \mathcal{U}_N^{\{n_1 n_2 \dots n_N\}},$$

where the following functions are defined recursively

$$(6.18) \quad \mathcal{U}_l^{\{n_1 n_2 \dots n_l\}} = (\hat{S}_{+,l-1}^{\{n_1 \dots n_{l-1}\}})^{-1} \mathcal{SF}_{(N-l+1)}^{\{n_l\}}[S_{l-1}^{\{n_1 \dots n_{l-1}\}}],$$

(6.19)

$$\hat{S}_{+,l-1}^{\{n_1 \dots n_{l-1}\}}(t_l, \dots, t_N) = S_{+,l-1}^{\{n_1 \dots n_{l-1}\}}(0, \dots, 0, t_l, \dots, t_N) = \int_{\mathbb{T}^{l-1}} S_{+,l-1}^{\{n_1 \dots n_{l-1}\}}(\cdot, t_l, \dots, t_N) d\mu_{l-1}$$

$$(6.20) \quad S_{l-1}^{\{n_1 \dots n_{l-1}\}} = \hat{S}_{+,l-1}^{\{n_1 \dots n_{l-1}\}} (\hat{S}_{+,l-1}^{\{n_1 \dots n_{l-1}\}})^*,$$

$$(6.21) \quad S_{+,l}^{\{n_1 n_2 \dots n_l\}} = S_{+,l-1}^{\{n_1 \dots n_{l-1}\}} \mathcal{U}_l^{\{n_1 n_2 \dots n_l\}}.$$

It is proved in the next section that

$$(6.22) \quad S_{+,N}^{\{n_1, \dots, n_N\}} \rightarrow S_+ \text{ in } L^2(\mathbb{T}^N)$$

as all integers $n_1, n_2, \dots, n_N \rightarrow \infty$

7. CONVERGENCE PROPERTIES OF THE ALGORITHM

In this section, we show that although the N -D MSF algorithm is described “pointwise”, it has convergence properties globally. Namely, we prove convergences (5.12) and (6.22). To this end, we need to introduce some additional definitions and prove auxiliary statements.

We say that a sequence $f_n \in L^2(\mathbb{T}^N)$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, is convergent to $f \in L^p(\mathbb{T}^N)$, $p \geq 1$, “restricted to hyperplanes”, denoted

$$f_n \rightsquigarrow f \text{ in } L^p(\mathbb{T}^N),$$

if for each $l = 2, 3, \dots, N$ and for a.e. $(t_l, t_{l+1}, \dots, t_N) \in \mathbb{T}^{N-l+1}$,

$$f_n(\cdot, t_l, \dots, t_N) \rightarrow f(\cdot, t_l, \dots, t_N) \text{ in } L^p(\mathbb{T}^{l-1})$$

as functions of variables $(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{l-1})$. It is assumed that $f_n \rightarrow f$ in $L^p(\mathbb{T}^N)$ as well.

REMARK 7.1. *Simple examples show that, in general, $f_n \rightarrow f \not\Rightarrow f_n \rightsquigarrow f$ (in the same $L^p(\mathbb{T}^N)$). This happens because convergence in norm does not imply convergence almost everywhere.*

For convenience of references, we prove some lemmas which easily follow from the Fubini theorem.

Lemma 7.1. *Let $f \in L^2(\mathbb{T}^N)$, and let*

$$f_n(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_N) = \sum_{k=-n}^n t_1^k C_{1k}\{f\}(t_2, \dots, t_N).$$

Then

$$(7.1) \quad f_n \rightsquigarrow f \text{ in } L^2(\mathbb{T}^N).$$

Proof. For a.a. $(t_l, \dots, t_N) \in \mathbb{T}^{N-l+1}$, we have

$$f_{t_l \dots t_N} := f(\cdot, t_l, \dots, t_N) \in L^2(\mathbb{T}^{l-1})$$

and

$$C_{1k}\{f\}(t_2, \dots, t_N) = C_{1k}\{f_{t_l \dots t_N}\}(t_2, \dots, t_{l-1}) \text{ for a.a. } (t_2, \dots, t_{l-1}) \in \mathbb{T}^{l-2}.$$

Therefore, for a.a. $(t_l, \dots, t_N) \in \mathbb{T}^{N-l+1}$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{T}^{l-1}} \left| f_{t_l \dots t_N}(t_1, \dots, t_{l-1}) - \sum_{k=-n}^n t_1^k C_{1k}\{f\}(t_2, \dots, t_N) \right|^2 dt_1 \dots dt_{l-1} = \\ & \int_{\mathbb{T}^{l-2}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}} \left| f_{t_l \dots t_N}(t_1, \dots, t_{l-1}) - \sum_{k=-n}^n t_1^k C_{1k}\{f_{t_l \dots t_N}\}(t_2, \dots, t_{l-1}) \right|^2 dt_1 \right) dt_2 \dots dt_{l-1} \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

since the second integral in the last expression converges to 0 for a.a. $(t_2, \dots, t_{l-1}) \in \mathbb{T}^{l-2}$ (due to pointwise application of the Parseval’s identity) and it is majorized by

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} |f_{t_l \dots t_N}(t_1, \dots, t_{l-1})|^2 dt_1 \in L^2(\mathbb{T}^{l-2}).$$

Hence the convergence “restricted to hyperplanes” (7.1) follows. \square

For a function $f \in L^1(\mathbb{T}^N)$ and $1 \leq j \leq N-1$, slightly abusing the notation (cf. (2.5)), let $\hat{f} \in L^1(\mathbb{T}^{N-j})$ be the function defined by

$$\hat{f}(t_{j+1}, \dots, t_N) = \int_{\mathbb{T}^j} f(\cdot, t_{j+1}, \dots, t_N) d\mu_j.$$

Lemma 7.2. *Let*

$$f_n \mapsto f \quad \text{in } L^p(\mathbb{T}^N),$$

where $p \geq 1$. Then, for each $j = 1, 2, \dots, N-1$,

$$(7.2) \quad \hat{f}_n \mapsto \hat{f} \quad \text{in } L^p(\mathbb{T}^{N-j}).$$

Proof. Let $j+1 < l \leq N$. For a.a. $(t_l, t_{l+1}, \dots, t_N) \in \mathbb{T}^{N-l+1}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\hat{f}_n(\cdot, t_l, \dots, t_N) - \hat{f}(\cdot, t_l, \dots, t_N)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T}^{l-j-1})}^p \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\right)^{l-j-1} \int_{\mathbb{T}^{l-j-1}} \left| \int_{\mathbb{T}^j} f_n(\cdot, t_{j+1}, \dots, t_N) d\mu_j - \int_{\mathbb{T}^j} f(\cdot, t_{j+1}, \dots, t_N) d\mu_j \right|^p dt_{j+1} \cdots dt_{l-1} \\ &\leq \left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\right)^{l-j-1} \int_{\mathbb{T}^{l-j-1}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}^j} |f_n(\cdot, t_{j+1}, \dots, t_N) - f(\cdot, t_{j+1}, \dots, t_N)|^p d\mu_j \right) dt_{j+1} \cdots dt_{l-1} \\ &= \|f_n(\cdot, t_l, \dots, t_N) - f(\cdot, t_l, \dots, t_N)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T}^{l-1})}^p \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

which implies (7.2). \square

The basic step in the proof of the convergence is the following

Theorem 7.1. *Let $S^{\{n\}}$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, and S satisfy (1.3),*

$$(7.3) \quad S^{\{n\}} \mapsto S \quad \text{in } L^1(\mathbb{T}^N)$$

and, for a.a. $(t_2, t_3, \dots, t_N) \in \mathbb{T}^{N-1}$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} \log \det S^{\{n\}}(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_N) dt_1 \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{T}} \log \det S(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_N) dt_1.$$

Suppose

$$(7.4) \quad S^{\{n\}}(\mathbf{t}) = S_{+,1}^{\{n\}}(\mathbf{t}) \left(S_{+,1}^{\{n\}}(\mathbf{t}) \right)^*$$

is the factorization of $S^{\{n\}}$ defined according to Section 5. Then

$$(7.5) \quad S_{+,1}^{\{n\}} \mapsto S_{+,1} \quad \text{in } L^2(\mathbb{T}^N).$$

Proof. By virtue of 1-dimensional Theorem 2.1, for a.a. $(t_2, t_3, \dots, t_N) \in \mathbb{T}^{N-1}$, we have

$$S_{+,1}^{\{n\}}(\cdot, t_2, \dots, t_N) \rightarrow S_{+,1}(\cdot, t_2, \dots, t_N) \quad \text{in } L^2(\mathbb{T}).$$

This implies the convergence in measure for each $l = 2, \dots, N$ and a.a. $(t_l, \dots, t_N) \in \mathbb{T}^{N-l+1}$:

$$S_{+,1}^{\{n\}}(\cdot, t_l, \dots, t_N) \rightrightarrows S_{+,1}(\cdot, t_l, \dots, t_N) \quad \text{on } \mathbb{T}^{l-1}.$$

Equation (7.4) guarantees that the squares of absolute values of the entries of matrix functions $S_{+,1}^{\{n\}}(\cdot, t_l, \dots, t_N)$ are bounded by diagonal entries of $S^{\{n\}}(\cdot, t_l, \dots, t_N)$ which are convergent in $L^1(\mathbb{T}^{l-1})$ by virtue of the definition of the convergence (“restricted to hyperplanes”) in (7.3). Therefore, Proposition 2.2 implies that

$$S_{+,1}^{\{n\}}(\cdot, t_l, \dots, t_N) \rightarrow S_{+,1}(\cdot, t_l, \dots, t_N) \quad \text{in } L^1(\mathbb{T}^{l-1}).$$

Hence (7.5) holds. \square

Theorem 7.1 implies the convergence

$$(7.6) \quad S_{+,1}^{\{n_1\}} \rightsquigarrow S_{+,1} \text{ in } L^2(\mathbb{T}^N)$$

for $S_{+,1}^{\{n_1\}}$ defined by (5.11), which in particular contains (5.12). Indeed, $S_{+,1}^{\{n_1\}}$ is a spectral factor of $M_1^{\{n_1\}}(M_1^{\{n_1\}})^*$ which can be taken in the role of $S^{\{n\}}$ in Theorem 7.1. By virtue of Lemma 7.1 and Hölder's inequality, we have

$$M_1^{\{n_1\}}(M_1^{\{n_1\}})^* \rightsquigarrow M_1 M_1^* = S \text{ in } L^1(\mathbb{T}),$$

and determinants are also equal for each n_1

$$\det \left(S_{+,1}^{\{n_1\}} (S_{+,1}^{\{n_1\}})^* \right) = \det (M_1 M_1^*) = \det S$$

because of the structure of matrices $S_{+,1}^{\{n_1\}}$ and $M_1^{\{n_1\}}$, see (5.11). Hence, the hypothesis of Theorem 7.1 are satisfied and (7.6) holds.

The important observation is that as the factorization proceeds the determinants of the obtained matrices remain unchanged, namely

$$(7.7) \quad \det S_l^{\{n_1 \dots n_l\}} = \det S_l \text{ for each } l = 2, \dots, N.$$

This can be justified recursively by using the definitions (6.4), (6.17), (6.11), (6.12), (6.19), (6.20), and the property (6.16):

$$\begin{aligned} \det S_{l-1}^{\{n_1 \dots n_{l-1}\}} &= \det S_{l-1} \Rightarrow \left| \det \left(\mathcal{S}\mathcal{F}_{(N-l+1)}^{\{n_l\}} [S_{l-1}^{\{n_1 \dots n_{l-1}\}}] \right) \right| = \left| \det \left(\mathcal{S}\mathcal{F}_{(N-l+1)} [S_{l-1}] \right) \right| \\ &\text{(see Remark 2.2 and also (6.7))} \Rightarrow \left| \det \hat{S}_{+,l}^{\{n_1 \dots n_l\}} \right| = \left| \det \hat{S}_{+,l} \right| \Rightarrow \det S_l^{\{n_1 \dots n_l\}} = \det S_l. \end{aligned}$$

We are now ready to show

$$(7.8) \quad S_{+,N}^{\{n_1 \dots n_N\}} \rightsquigarrow S_{+,N} = S_+ \text{ in } L^2(\mathbb{T}^N),$$

and consequently (6.22), by using again the recursive steps. The convergence (7.6) can be used as a starting point. Now assume that

$$S_{+,l-1}^{\{n_1 \dots n_{l-1}\}} \rightsquigarrow S_{+,l-1} \text{ in } L^2(\mathbb{T}^N).$$

This implies that (see (6.11) and (6.19))

$$\hat{S}_{+,l-1}^{\{n_1 \dots n_{l-1}\}} \rightsquigarrow \hat{S}_{+,l-1} \text{ in } L^2(\mathbb{T}^{N-l+1})$$

by virtue of Lemma 7.2, which in turn implies that (see (6.12) and (6.20))

$$S_{l-1}^{\{n_1 \dots n_{l-1}\}} \rightsquigarrow S_{l-1} \text{ in } L^1(\mathbb{T}^{N-l+1})$$

because of Hölder's inequality. Combining this with (7.7), we obtain

$$\mathcal{S}\mathcal{F}_{(N-l+1)}^{\{n_l\}} [S_{l-1}^{\{n_1 \dots n_{l-1}\}}] \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{S}\mathcal{F}_{(N-l+1)} [S_{l-1}] \text{ in } L^2(\mathbb{T}^{N-l+1}).$$

by virtue of Theorem 7.1. Consequently (see (6.13) and (6.18)), $\mathcal{U}_l^{\{n_1 n_2 \dots n_l\}} \rightrightarrows \mathcal{U}_l$ and applying Corollary 2.2 we get (see (6.14) and (6.21))

$$S_{+,l}^{\{n_1 \dots n_l\}} = S_{+,l-1}^{\{n_1 \dots n_{l-1}\}} \mathcal{U}_l^{\{n_1 n_2 \dots n_l\}} \rightsquigarrow S_{+,l} \text{ in } L^2(\mathbb{T}^N).$$

8. NUMERICAL SIMULATIONS

The computer code for numerical testing of the proposed algorithm was written in MATLAB. A simple outer type polynomial matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 4 + y - xy^{-1} + x + 2xy & 1 + 2y + x + xy \\ 1 + y + 2xy^{-1} + 2x + 2y & 5 + y + xy^{-1} - x + xy \end{pmatrix}$$

(we relabel $x = t_1$ and $y = t_2$; the integer coefficients are also chosen for notational simplicity) was designed, which is positive definite at the origin, and S was constructed as

$$(8.1) \quad S = AA^*.$$

Then (8.1) is an exact spectral factorization of

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} S_{11} & S_{12} \\ S_{21} & S_{22} \end{pmatrix},$$

where $S_{11} =$

$$9x^{-1}y^{-1} + 9x^{-1} - x^{-1}y - x^{-1}y^2 - 2y^{-2} + 8y^{-1} + 30 + 8y - 2y^2 - xy^{-2} - xy^{-1} + 9x + 9xy;$$

$S_{12} =$

$$9x^{-1}y^{-1} + 11x^{-1} + 9x^{-1}y + 4x^{-1}y^2 - 2y^{-2} + 6y^{-1} + 16 + 17y + 5y^2 - xy^{-2} + xy^{-1} + 9x + 7xy;$$

$S_{21} =$

$$7x^{-1}y^{-1} + 9x^{-1} + x^{-1}y - x^{-1}y^2 + 5y^{-2} + 17y^{-1} + 16 + 6y - 2y^2 + 4xy^{-2} + 9xy^{-1} + 11x + 9xy;$$

$$S_{22} = 7x^{-1}y^{-1} + 8x^{-1}y + 3x^{-1}y^2 + 5y^{-2} + 12y^{-1} + 43 + 12y + 5y^2 + 3xy^{-2} + 8xy^{-1} + 7xy;$$

In less than 2 seconds, a complete 16 digit accuracy of MatLab double precision has been achieved on a computer with the following characteristics: Intel(R) Core(TM) i7 8650U CPU, 1.90 GHz, RAM 16.00 Gb.

As was mentioned in the Introduction, there exist several multivariable MSF methods available in the literature. However, none of them provide numerical examples of factorized multivariable matrices. Therefore, we were unable to carry out a comparative analysis of the proposed method based on numerical simulations.

9. APPENDIX: APPLICATION TO GRANGER CAUSALITY

Granger causality [20] has emerged in recent years as one of the leading statistical techniques in neuroscience for inferring directions of neural interactions and information flow in the brain from collected multidimensional data. In this Appendix, for illustrative purposes, we demonstrate the application of spectral factorization in Granger causality. The basic idea can be traced back to Wiener [39].

For two jointly stationary processes $\dots, X_{-1}, X_0, X_1, X_2 \dots$ and $\dots, Y_{-1}, Y_0, Y_1, Y_2 \dots$, let

$$X_{n+1} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k X_{n-k} + \varepsilon_n$$

be the autoregressive representation of the process X , and let

$$X_{n+1} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_k X_{n-k} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k Y_{n-k} + \eta_n$$

be its joint representation, where ε and η are corresponding noise terms. It is assumed that X_k, Y_k belong to a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} and

$$(9.1) \quad \langle Z_n^i, Z_{n+k}^j \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{T}} t^{-k} d\nu_{ij},$$

where $i, j = 1, 2$; $Z^1 = X$, $Z^2 = Y$; and $\nu = (\nu_{ij})$ is a matrix spectral measure defined on \mathbb{T} . Therefore, the value $\sigma_1 = \|\varepsilon_n\|$ measures the accuracy of the autoregressive prediction of X_n based on its previous values, whereas the value $\Sigma_1 = \|\eta_n\|$ represents the accuracy of predicting the same value X_n based on the previous values of both X and Y . According to Wiener [39] and Granger [20], if Σ_1 is less than σ_1 in some suitable statistical sense, then Y is said to have a causal influence on X . This causal influence is quantified by (see [8])

$$(9.2) \quad F_{Y \rightarrow X} = \ln \frac{\sigma_1}{\Sigma_1}.$$

Recently the concept of multi-step Granger causality has also been introduced [3] with

$$(9.3) \quad F_{Y \rightarrow X}^L = \ln \frac{\sigma_L}{\Sigma_L},$$

where

$$(9.4) \quad \sigma_L = \inf_{a_k} \left\| X_{n+L} - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k X_{n-k} \right\|$$

and

$$\Sigma_L = \inf_{b_k, c_k} \left\| X_{n+L} - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_k X_{n-k} - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k Y_{n-k} \right\|.$$

As usually is the case in applications, let us assume below that the stationary processes are regular and non-deterministic. Therefore, the spectral measure is absolutely continuous

$$\nu(t) = S(t) dt$$

and the matrix function S satisfies the factorability condition (1.1) (see [33]).

By virtue of (9.8), the process $\dots, X_{-1}, X_0, X_1, X_2 \dots$ is unitary equivalent to $\{t^n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ in the Hilbert space $L^2(d\nu_{11})$. Therefore, if $\nu_{11}(t) = f(t) dt$ and $f(t) = f_+(t) \overline{f_+(t)}$ is the spectral factorization of f , then (9.4) can be expressed by

$$(9.5) \quad \sigma_L = \sqrt{\sum_{k=0}^{L-1} |C_k \{f_+\}|^2} = \|\mathbb{P}_{L-1}[f_+]\|,$$

where \mathbb{P}_L stands for the projection operator acting as $\mathbb{P}_L : \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k t^k \rightarrow \sum_{k=0}^L c_k t^k$. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_L^2 &= \inf_{a_k} \left\| x_{n+L} - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k x_{n-k} \right\|^2 = \inf_{a_k} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_T \left| t^{-L} - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k t^k \right|^2 f(t) dt \\ &= \inf_{a_k} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_T \left(t^{-L} - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k t^k \right) \overline{\left(t^{-L} - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k t^k \right)} f_+(t) dt \\ &= \inf_{a_k} \left\| t^{-L} \mathbb{P}_{L-1}[f_+] + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} C_{k+L} \{f_+\} t^k - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k t^k f_+(t) \right\|_2^2 \\ &= \left\| t^{-L} \mathbb{P}_{L-1}[f_+] \right\|_2^2 + \inf_{a_k} \left\| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} C_{k+L} \{f_+\} t^k - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k t^k f_+(t) \right\|_2^2 = \|\mathbb{P}_{L-1}[f_+]\|_2^2, \end{aligned}$$

The infimum in the previous line is equal to zero because of the Beurling theorem (see, e.g. [28]).

This reasoning can be extended to the matrix case, and it can be proved that

$$(9.6) \quad \Sigma_L = \sqrt{\sum_{k=0}^{L-1} (|C_k \{S_{11}^+\}|^2 + |C_k \{S_{12}^+\}|^2)},$$

where S_{11}^+ and S_{12}^+ are the corresponding entries in the spectral factor of S_+ . Indeed, using the notation $\|(a, b)\|_2^2 = \|a\|_2^2 + \|b\|_2^2$ for a and b from $L^2(\mathbb{T})$ and similarly to the scalar case,

we get in the matrix case (cf. [40, 7.9]):

$$\begin{aligned}
\Sigma_L^2 &= \inf_{N,\alpha,\beta} \left\| x_{n+L} - \sum_{k=0}^N \alpha_k x_{n-k} - \sum_{k=0}^N \beta_k y_{n-k} \right\|^2 \\
&= \inf_{N,\alpha_k,\beta_k} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \left((t^{-L}, 0) - \sum_{k=0}^N (\alpha_k, \beta_k) t^k \right) S(t) \left((t^L, 0)^T - \sum_{k=0}^N (\alpha_k, \beta_k)^* t^{-k} \right) dt = \\
&\inf_{N,\alpha_k,\beta_k} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \left((t^{-L}, 0) - \sum_{k=0}^N (\alpha_k, \beta_k) t^k \right) S^+(t) (S^+(t))^* \left((t^L, 0)^T - \sum_{k=0}^N (\alpha_k, \beta_k)^* t^{-k} \right) dt = \\
&\inf_{N,\alpha_k,\beta_k} \left\| t^{-L} (\mathbb{P}_{L-1}[S_{11}^+], \mathbb{P}_{L-1}[S_{12}^+]) + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(C_{k+L}\{S_{11}^+\}, C_{k+L}\{S_{12}^+\} \right) t^k - \sum_{k=0}^N (\alpha_k, \beta_k) t^k S^+(t) \right\|_2^2 \\
&= \left\| (\mathbb{P}_{L-1}[S_{11}^+], \mathbb{P}_{L-1}[S_{12}^+]) \right\|_2^2 + \inf_{N,\alpha_k,\beta_k} \left\| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(C_{k+L}\{S_{11}^+\}, C_{k+L}\{S_{12}^+\} \right) t^k - \sum_{k=0}^N (\alpha_k, \beta_k) t^k S^+(t) \right\|_2^2 \\
&= \left\| \mathbb{P}_{L-1}[S_{11}^+] \right\|_2^2 + \left\| \mathbb{P}_{L-1}[S_{12}^+] \right\|_2^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Since S^+ is an outer analytic matrix function, the last infimum is 0 by the vector generalization of Beurling theorem (see, e.g. [11]). Thus (9.6) holds.

Due to (9.3), (9.5), and (9.6), we have

$$(9.7) \quad F_{Y \rightarrow X}^L = \ln \frac{\sum_{k=0}^{L-1} |C_k\{f_+\}|^2}{\sum_{k=0}^{L-1} (|C_k\{S_{11}^+\}|^2 + |C_k\{S_{12}^+\}|^2)}.$$

Consequently, the spectral factorization plays a crucial role in estimating the Granger causality.

The multivariable matrix spectral factorization algorithm proposed in this paper provides perspective to develop the multivariate Granger causality theory for the situation where the collected data depends on more than one parameter (e.g. when we have a spatio-temporal dependence of random variables on indices). For simplicity of notation, we assume that the number of these parameters is two and we have jointly stationary processes X_{nm} and Y_{nm} . Then there exists a spectral measure $\nu = (\nu_{ij})$ defined on \mathbb{T}^2 such that

$$(9.8) \quad \langle Z_{mn}^i, Z_{m+k,n+l}^j \rangle = C_{kl} = \int_{\mathbb{T}} t_1^{-k} t_2^{-l} d\nu_{ij}(t_1, t_2),$$

where $Z^1 = X$ and $Z^2 = Y$. Again we assume that ν is absolutely continuous: $\nu(\mathbf{t}) = S(\mathbf{t}) d\mathbf{t}$, and S satisfies the factorability condition (1.4). The half-plane $H_2 \subset \mathbb{Z}^2$ imposes the ‘‘temporal order’’ in \mathbb{Z}^2 then, namely

$$(n, m) \geq (k, l) \iff (n - k, m - l) \in H_2$$

and the corresponding Granger causality can be defined by

$$F_{Y \rightarrow X}^{LM} = \ln \frac{\sigma_{LM}}{\Sigma_{LM}},$$

where

$$(9.9) \quad \sigma_{LM} = \inf_{a_{kl}} \left\| X_{n+L,m+M} - \sum_{(k,l) \in H_2} a_{kl} X_{n-k,m-l} \right\|$$

and

$$(9.10) \quad \Sigma_{LM} = \inf_{b_{kl}, c_{kl}} \left\| X_{n+L,m+M} - \sum_{(k,l) \in H_2} b_{kl} X_{n-k,m-l} - \sum_{(k,l) \in H_2} c_{kl} Y_{n-k,m-l} \right\|.$$

Denoting the (multivariable) scalar spectral factor of S_{11} by f_+ and the (multivariable) matrix spectral factor of S by S^+ , one can show that

$$\sigma_{LM}^2 = \sum_{(0,0) \leq (k,l) < (L,M)} |C_{kl}\{f_+\}|^2$$

and

$$\Sigma_{LM}^2 = \sum_{(0,0) \leq (k,l) < (L,M)} (|C_{kl}\{S_{11}^+\}|^2 + |C_{kl}\{S_{12}^+\}|^2),$$

similarly to (9.5) and (9.6). Therefore, similarly to (9.7), we have

$$F_{Y \rightarrow X}^{LM} = \ln \frac{\sum_{(0,0) \leq (k,l) < (L,M)} |C_{kl}\{f_+\}|^2}{\sum_{(0,0) \leq (k,l) < (L,M)} (|C_{kl}\{S_{11}^+\}|^2 + |C_{kl}\{S_{12}^+\}|^2)}.$$

10. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors were supported in part by Faculty Research funding from the Division of Science and Mathematics, New York University Abu Dhabi. The first author was also partially supported by the Shota Rustaveli National Science Foundation of Georgia (Project No. FR-18-2499).

REFERENCES

- [1] B. D. O. Anderson and J. B. Moore, *Linear optimal control*, Prentice-Hall, Inc., Englewood Cliffs, N.J., 1971.
- [2] D. Napp Avelli and H. L. Trentelman, *Algorithms for multidimensional spectral factorization and sum of squares*, Linear Algebra Appl. **429** (2008), no. 5-6, 1114–1134.
- [3] L. Barnett and A. K. Seth, *Inferring the temporal structure of directed functional connectivity in neural systems: some extensions to granger causality*, 2019 IEEE International Conference on Systems, Man and Cybernetics (SMC), 2019, pp. 4395–4402.
- [4] S. Basu, *A constructive algorithm for 2-D spectral factorization with rational spectral factors*, IEEE Trans. Circuits Systems I Fund. Theory Appl. **47** (2000), no. 9, 1309–1318.
- [5] N. K. Bose and Y. Q. Shi, *2-D Wilson spectral factorization*, IEEE Trans. Acoust. Speech and Signal Process **36** (1988), no. 1, 125–129.
- [6] A. Böttcher and M. Halwax, *A Newton method for canonical Wiener-Hopf and spectral factorization of matrix polynomials*, Electron. J. Linear Algebra **26** (2013), 873–897.
- [7] Ingrid Daubechies, *Ten lectures on wavelets*, CBMS, vol. 61, SIAM, Philadelphia, PA, 1992.
- [8] M. Dhamala, G. Rangarajan, and M Ding, *Analyzing information flow in brain networks with nonparametric granger causality*, NeuroImage **41** (2008), 354–362.
- [9] L. Ephremidze, G. Janashia, and E. Lagvilava, *A simple proof of the matrix-valued Fejér-Riesz theorem*, J. Fourier Anal. Appl. **15** (2009), no. 1, 124–127.
- [10] ———, *On approximate spectral factorization of matrix functions*, J. Fourier Anal. Appl. **17** (2011), no. 5, 976–990.
- [11] L. Ephremidze and E. Lagvilava, *Remark on outer analytic matrix-functions*, Proc. A. Razmadze Math. Inst. **152** (2010), 29–32.
- [12] L. Ephremidze, F. Saied, and I. Spitkovsky, *On the algorithmization of Janashia-Lagvilava matrix spectral factorization method*, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory **64** (2018), no. 2, 728–737.
- [13] L. Ephremidze and I. Spitkovsky, *On the generalization of Janashia-Lagvilava method for arbitrary fields*, Georgian Math. J. (2021), (to appear).
- [14] R. F. H. Fischer, *Precoding and signal shaping for digital transmission*, Wiley-IEEE Press, Piscataway, NJ, 2002.
- [15] B. A. Francis, *A course in H_∞ control theory*, Lecture Notes in Control and Information Sciences, vol. 88, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1987.

- [16] J. B. Garnett, *Bounded analytic functions*, Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 96, Academic Press, Inc., New York-London, 1981.
- [17] J. S. Geronimo and M. Lai, *Factorization of multivariate positive Laurent polynomials*, J. Approx. Theory **139** (2006), no. 1-2, 327–345.
- [18] J. S. Geronimo and H. J. Woerdeman, *Positive extensions, Fejér-Riesz factorization and autoregressive filters in two variables*, Ann. of Math. (2) **160** (2004), no. 3, 839–906.
- [19] I. C. Gohberg and M. G. Kreĭn, *Systems of integral equations on the half-line with kernels depending on the difference of the arguments*, Uspehi Mat. Nauk (N.S.) **13** (1958), no. 2 (80), 3–72.
- [20] C.W.J. Granger, *Investigating causal relations by economic models and cross-spectral methods*, Econometrica **37** (1969), 424–438.
- [21] H. Helson and D. Lowdenslager, *Prediction theory and Fourier series in several variables*, Acta Math. **99** (1958), 165–202.
- [22] ———, *Prediction theory and Fourier series in several variables. II*, Acta Math. **106** (1961), 175–213.
- [23] A. Jafarian and J. G. McWhirter, *A novel method for multichannel spectral factorization*, Proc. Europ. Signal Process. Conf. (2012), 27–31.
- [24] G. Janashia and E. Lagvilava, *A method of approximate factorization of positive definite matrix functions*, Studia Math. **137** (1999), no. 1, 93–100.
- [25] G. Janashia, E. Lagvilava, and L. Ephremidze, *A new method of matrix spectral factorization*, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory **57** (2011), no. 4, 2318–2326.
- [26] T. Kailath, B. Hassibi, and A. H. Sayed, *Linear estimation*, Prentice-Hall, Inc., N.J., 1999.
- [27] A. N. Kolmogorov, *Stationary sequences in Hilbert's space*, Bolletin Moskovskogo Gosudarstvenogo Universiteta. Matematika **2** (1941), 40pp.
- [28] P. Koosis, *Introduction to H_p spaces*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge-New York, 1980.
- [29] V. Kučera, *Factorization of rational spectral matrices: A survey of methods*, in Proc. IEEE Int. Conf. Control, Edinburgh **2** (1991), 1074–1078.
- [30] A. Kummert, *Spectral factorization of two-variable para-hermitian polynomial matrices*, Multidimens. Syst. Signal Process. **1** (1990), no. 3, 327–339.
- [31] J. N. MacLaurin and P. A. Robinson, *Determination of effective brain connectivity from activity correlations*, Phys. Rev. E **99** (2019), 042404.
- [32] J. J. Murray, *Matrix two-dimensional spectral factorization*, IEEE Trans. Circuits and Systems II: Analog and Digit. Signal Process. **40** (1993), no. 8, 509–511.
- [33] Y.A. Rozanov, *Stationary random processes*, Holden-Day, San Francisco, CA, 1967.
- [34] W. Rudin, *Real and complex analysis*, third ed., McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York, 1987.
- [35] ———, *Function theory in the unit ball of \mathbb{C}^n* , Classics in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2008, Reprint of the 1980 edition.
- [36] A. H. Sayed and T. Kailath, *A survey of spectral factorization methods*, Linear Algebra Appl. **8** (2001), no. 6-7, 467–496.
- [37] G. Strang and T. Nguyen, *Wavelets and filter banks*, Wellesley-Cambridge Press, MA, 1996.
- [38] N. Wiener, *Extrapolation, Interpolation, and Smoothing of Stationary Time Series*, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, N. Y., 1949.
- [39] ———, *The theory of prediction*, Modern Mathematics for Engineers, Series 1, 1956.
- [40] N. Wiener and P. Masani, *The prediction theory of multivariate stochastic processes. I. The regularity condition*, Acta Math. **98** (1957), 111–150.
- [41] ———, *The prediction theory of multivariate stochastic processes. II. The linear predictor*, Acta Math. **99** (1958), 93–137.