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#### Abstract

There is a known hyperkähler structure on any complexified Hermitian symmetric space $G / K$, whose construction relies on identifying $G / K$ with both a (co)adjoint orbit and the cotangent bundle to the compact Hermitian symmetric space $G_{u} / K_{0}$. Via a family of explicit diffeomorphisms, we show that almost all of the complex structures are equivalent to the one on $G / K$; via a family of related diffeomorphisms, we show that almost all of the symplectic structures are equivalent to the one on $T^{*}\left(G_{u} / K_{0}\right)$. We highlight the intermediate Kähler structures, which share a holomorphic action of $G$ related to the one on $G / K$, but moment geometry related to that of $T^{*}\left(G_{u} / K_{0}\right)$. As an application, for the real form $G_{0} \subset G$ corresponding to $G_{0} / K_{0}$, the Hermitian symmetric space of noncompact type, we give a strategy for study of the action on $G / K$ using the moment-critical subsets for the intermediate structures. We give explicit computations for $S L(2)$.


## 1. Introduction

This paper serves two purposes:

- To extend understanding of the hyperkähler structure on complexified Hermitian symmetric spaces.
- To begin an exploration of moment-map techniques in this specific hyperkähler context.
We begin by addressing the first of these purposes. The hyperkähler structure has been developed by several authors, including D. Burns [6], A. Dancer and R. Szöke [7], and culminating in the papers of O. Biquard and P. Gauduchon [1], [2], [3]. (See Section 2 below for notation and basic facts about Hermitian symmetric spaces, and Section 3 for an overview of hyperkähler structures.) The structure relies on two realizations of the complexified Hermitian symmetric spaces, and structures on them, that are linked in a nontrivial way. One such realization is as the adjoint orbit of an element $\Upsilon \in \mathfrak{g}$ by a complex semisimple group $G$. On this space, there is an obvious complex structure, which we denote $J_{1}$, and the Kirillov-Kostant-Souriau holomorphic symplectic form, which we denote $-\omega_{3}+i \omega_{2}$ (breaking the complex-valued form into its real and imaginary parts). The second such realization of the complexified Hermitian symmetric space is as the cotangent bundle to a flag manifold $G / Q$; this gives a complex structure $J_{3}$, as well as the usual form on a cotangent bundle. We can write this form as $\omega_{1}+i \omega_{2}$ (where, crucially, the two occurrences of $\omega_{2}$ coincide). The flag manifold $G / Q$ can be viewed as the adjoint orbit of $\Upsilon$ by a compact real form $G_{u}$ of $G$. The bridge between these two realizations (recalled in Section 6) is a $G_{u}$-equivariant map that allows us to consider $J_{1}$ and $\omega_{3}$ in the second realization and $J_{3}$ and $\omega_{1}$ in the first realization. Thus in both realizations, we can see the

[^0]totality of the hyperkähler structure (complex structures $J_{1}, J_{3}, J_{2}:=J_{3} J_{1}$; real symplectic forms $\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}, \omega_{3}$; metric $\left.m\right)$.

A feature of every hyperkähler structure is the existence, not only of $J_{1}, J_{2}$, and $J_{3}$, but of a complex structure for each unit linear combination $J_{\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}\right)}:=\lambda_{1} J_{1}+\lambda_{2} J_{2}+$ $\lambda_{3} J_{3}$, which has an associated symplectic form $\omega_{\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}\right)}:=\lambda_{1} \omega_{1}+\lambda_{2} \omega_{2}+\lambda_{3} \omega_{3}$. We can identify the triple $\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}\right)$ with a point $\lambda$ in the extended complex plane $\mathbb{C} \cup\{\infty\}$ via stereographic projection (Definition 3.1). Remarkably, the complex number $\lambda$ plays a role in an explicit $G_{u}$-equivariant self-bijection $T_{\lambda}$ of the complexified Hermitian symmetric space, which provides a new understanding of how the complex structure $J_{\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}\right)}$ and the symplectic form $\omega_{\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}\right)}$ vary with $\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}\right)$. The main results are in Section 10 . Among them:

- Via the map $T_{\lambda}$, each $J_{\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}\right)}$ except $\pm J_{3}$ is equivalent to $J_{1}$ (Theorem 10.1.)
- Via a map related to $T_{\lambda}$, for any $\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}\right)$ with $\lambda_{3} \neq 0$, the symplectic form $\omega_{\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}\right)}$ is equivalent to a scalar multiple of $\omega_{3}$ (Theorem 10.4).
Thus, from the point of view of complex structures, we can regard $J_{1}$ and the $G$-orbit of $\Upsilon$ as a model for almost all the complex structures and for the associated holomorphic actions of $G$, with a degeneracy at $\pm J_{3}$. From the point of view of real symplectic geometry and associated moment maps, we can regard the cotangent bundle to the $G_{u}$ orbit of $\Upsilon$ as a model, with degeneracy along the circle of complex structures that contains $J_{1}$ and $J_{2}$.

We now turn briefly to the second purpose of this paper. There is a theory of the use of moment-map techniques to investigate actions of complex reductive groups, notably developed in the work of F. Kirwan ([14]; see also [16], [13]). This theory has received a significant extension to certain actions of real reductive groups, by P. Heinzner, G. Schwarz, and H. Stötzel [9], [10]. Their techniques apply here to the study of the action of $G_{0}$ (the real form of $G$ corresponding to the Hermitian symmetric space of noncompact type) on the complexified Hermitian symmetric space. In fact, there is a family of actions of $G_{0}$, the family being parametrized by a 2 -sphere, each action corresponding to a choice of $\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}\right)$, with its complex structure, symplectic form, and moment map. Here, our main results shed light, both qualitatively and computationally, on these actions: we have that most actions are equivalent to the usual one on the $G$-orbit of $\Upsilon$; most moment-critical subsets are equivalent to the ones for the action on the cotangent bundle to $G / Q$; and the maps $T_{\lambda}$ allow us to make explicit computations. This points out the singular nature of both of our initial realizations of the complexified Hermitian symmetric space (the ones associated to $J_{1}$ and $J_{3}$ ), since for most choices of complex structure (a choice of $\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}\right)$ with $\lambda_{3} \notin\{0,1,-1\}$ ), the action is equivalent to the one associated to $J_{1}$ (but not $J_{3}$ ), and the symplectic geometry and moment-critical subsets are equivalent to the ones associated to $J_{3}$ (but not $J_{1}$ ). It is not hard to imagine that study of these intermediate structures would lead to new perspectives on the more familiar $J_{1}$ and $J_{3}$ structures, e.g., in the construction of representations. A full working out for arbitrary Hermitian symmetric spaces is beyond the scope of this paper, but in Section 12, we present a detailed account (without proofs) for $G=S L(2, \mathbb{C})$.

It should be noted that hyperkähler structures on coadjoint orbits are known to exist outside of the setting of complexified Hermitian symmetric spaces, and it would be interesting to know how the results of this paper extend. However, only in the Hermitian symmetric setting is the hyperkähler structure known so explicitly.

We conclude this introduction with a few words about the structure of this paper, and our computational strategy and proofs (both of the new results and the exposition of the
hyperkähler structure). The formulas for $\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}, \omega_{3}$, and the metric $m$ depend on specific choices of tangent vectors, and are expressed as modifications of the Killing form. We have expressed these modifications in terms of operators that are written as power series, and which ultimately derive from the exponential map. These operators are defined in Section 4, where we prove some basic properties; in the same section, we define the operators $T_{\lambda}$, which are so important in understanding the deformation results stated in Section 10. Our version of the development of the hyperkähler structure on complexified Hermitian symmetric spaces is carried out in Section 7 and the first part of Section 8. Most of this is straightforward, using the results developed in Section 4. The second half of Section 8 includes some additional information (e.g., about Kähler potentials) that is not needed elsewhere. In Section 9, we recall and prove known formulas for the moment maps; we expect to use this in future work, but it is not needed elsewhere in the paper. Our main results about deformations are stated in Section 10 and proved in Section 11. The technical results from Section 4 are used, along with some minor bookkeeping involving the Killing form. The more substantive arguments in Section 11 are made necessary by an essential but complicating property of the map $T_{\lambda}$ : it relies on a map $t_{\lambda}: \mathfrak{m} \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}$ that does not generally preserve the real form $\mathfrak{m}_{0}$. Since the description of the hyperkähler structure relies on a decomposition of the complexified Hermitian symmetric space that involves $\mathfrak{m}_{0}$ (Section 6.2.1), the map $T_{\lambda}$ is a bit of challenge. The more complicated arguments in Section 11, at their heart, contend with the problem of resolving tangent vectors into parts corresponding to $\mathfrak{m}_{0}$ and $i \mathfrak{m}_{0}$.

## 2. Notation and recap of Hermitian symmetric spaces

See [8], [18].
German letters denote Lie algebras of the corresponding Lie groups.
We use $I$ to denote the identity map, and $J$ (with various subscripts) to denote complex structures.

Let $G$ be a simple algebraic group over $\mathbb{C}$, endowed with commuting antiholomorphic involutions $\sigma$ and $\theta$. Assume that the fixed point group $G_{u}:=G^{\theta}$ is a maximal compact subgroup of $G$. The fixed point group $G_{0}:=G^{\sigma}$ is another real form of $G$. We use $\sigma$ and $\theta$ to denote the involutions of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ as well.

Let $K$ denote the fixed points of the algebraic involution $\sigma \theta$. Note that $K$ is a complex reductive group, and $K_{0}:=K \cap G_{0}$ is both a compact real form of $K$ and a maximal compact subgroup of $G_{0}$. We use $\Re$ and $\Im$ to denote the real and imaginary parts with respect to the decomposition $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{g}_{u} \oplus i \mathfrak{g}_{u}$ (as well as for the real and imaginary parts of complex numbers).

We have Lie algebra decompositions $\mathfrak{g}_{0}=\mathfrak{k}_{0} \oplus \mathfrak{m}_{0}$ and $\mathfrak{g}_{u}=\mathfrak{k}_{0} \oplus \mathfrak{m}_{u}$ (with $\mathfrak{m}_{u}=i \mathfrak{m}_{0}$ ); these are $\pm 1$ eigenspaces decompositions for $\theta$ and $\sigma$, respectively, and are orthogonal decompositions with respect to the Killing form. By complexifying, we have $\mathfrak{k}=\mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathfrak{k}_{0}$ and $\mathfrak{m}=\mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathfrak{m}_{0}$, and then $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{m}$ is the $\pm 1$ eigenspace decomposition of $\mathfrak{g}$ with respect to $\sigma \theta$.

We assume thoughout that $G_{u} / K_{0}$ is an Hermitian symmetric space (irreducible and of compact type); it follows that $G_{0} / K_{0}$ is an Hermitian symmetric space of noncompact type. There is a unique (up to sign) element $\Upsilon \in \mathfrak{k}_{0}$ in the center of $\mathfrak{k}_{0}$ such that the eigenvalues of $a d \Upsilon$ on $\mathfrak{m}$ are $\pm i$. We write $\mathfrak{m}=\mathfrak{m}^{+} \oplus \mathfrak{m}^{-}$for the eigenspace decomposition, noting that $\mathfrak{m}^{+}$and $\mathfrak{m}^{-}$are complex vector spaces. We let $J: \mathfrak{m} \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}$ denote the operator $a d_{\Upsilon}$;
the restriction of $J$ to $\mathfrak{m}_{0}$ (resp. $\mathfrak{m}_{u}$ ) gives the almost complex structure on $G_{0} / K_{0}$ (resp. $\left.G_{u} / K_{0}\right)$ at the base point.

Using the definition of $\Upsilon$, we have that $\sigma\left(\mathfrak{m}^{+}\right)=\mathfrak{m}^{-}=\theta\left(\mathfrak{m}^{+}\right)$, and likewise, $\sigma$ and $\theta$ send $\mathfrak{m}^{-}$to $\mathfrak{m}^{+}$. It follows that every element $X$ of $\mathfrak{m}_{0}$ can be written as $(I+\sigma) X^{+}=(I-\theta) X^{+}$ for a unique $X^{+} \in \mathfrak{m}^{+}$, and each element of $\mathfrak{m}_{u}$ is $(I+\theta) X^{+}=(I-\sigma) X^{+}$for a unique $X^{+} \in \mathfrak{m}^{+}$. Note that $a d_{\Upsilon}\left((I+\sigma)\left(X^{+}\right)\right)=(I+\sigma)\left(i X^{+}\right)$, with the analogous statement when $\sigma$ is replaced with $\theta$. Thus the $\mathbb{R}$-linear map $\mathfrak{m}^{+} \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}_{0}, X^{+} \mapsto(I+\sigma) X^{+}$intertwines the almost complex structures $i$ and $J$, with analogous statement for $\mathfrak{m}_{u}$ and $\theta$.

Since $\theta$ is a Lie algebra automorphism of $\mathfrak{g}_{0}$, we have that $\left[\mathfrak{k}_{0}, \mathfrak{k}_{0}\right] \subseteq \mathfrak{k}_{0},\left[\mathfrak{k}_{0}, \mathfrak{m}_{0}\right] \subseteq \mathfrak{m}_{0}$, and $\left[\mathfrak{m}_{0}, \mathfrak{m}_{0}\right] \subseteq \mathfrak{k}_{0}$, with similar statements for $\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{k}$, and $\mathfrak{m}$. From the fact that $\Upsilon$ is in the center of $\mathfrak{k}$, it follows easily that $\left[\mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{m}^{+}\right] \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^{+}$and $\left[\mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{m}^{-}\right] \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^{-}$. The restriction of the Killing form to either $\mathfrak{m}^{+}$or $\mathfrak{m}^{-}$is identically zero. Since the bracket of any two elements of $\mathfrak{m}^{+}$would be an eigenvector of $a d_{\Upsilon}$ with eigenvalue $2 i$, and since this eigenvalue does not occur, we have that $\left[\mathfrak{m}^{+}, \mathfrak{m}^{+}\right]=\{0\}$, and likewise $\left[\mathfrak{m}^{-}, \mathfrak{m}^{-}\right]=\{0\}$. If $Z \in \mathfrak{m}_{0}$, then the action of $a d_{Z}$ on $\mathfrak{g}_{0}$ is diagonalizable with real eigenvalues, and the action of $a d_{Z}^{2}$ on $\mathfrak{m}_{0}$ is diagonalizable with nonnegative real eigenvalues.

Any Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{t} \subseteq \mathfrak{k}$ contains $\Upsilon$; moreover $\mathfrak{t}$ is a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ (since any element of $\mathfrak{g}$ commuting with $\mathfrak{t}$ would commute with $\Upsilon$, but $a d_{\Upsilon}$ has eigenvalues $\pm i$ on $\mathfrak{m}$ ). The Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{t}$ is the Lie algebra of a maximal complex torus $T$ of $K$ and $G$. We fix a Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{t} \subset \mathfrak{k}$ that is stable under $\theta$ (such exist, and all such are conjugate under $K_{0}$ ). Since $\Upsilon \in \mathfrak{t}$, we see that each root space $\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}$ is contained in $\mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{m}^{+}$, or $\mathfrak{m}^{-}$. We may take an ordering of the roots $\Phi(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{t})$ so that $\mathfrak{m}^{+}$is contained in the sum of the positive root spaces.

Given $\alpha \in \Phi\left(\mathfrak{m}^{+}, \mathfrak{t}\right)$, one can choose $e_{\alpha} \in \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}$ such that ( $h_{\alpha}:=\left[e_{\alpha}, \sigma e_{\alpha}\right], e_{\alpha}, f_{\alpha}:=\sigma e_{\alpha}$ ) forms a standard split $s l_{2}$-triple, meaning $\left[h_{\alpha}, e_{\alpha}\right]=2 e_{\alpha},\left[h_{\alpha}, f_{\alpha}\right]=-2 f_{\alpha}$, and $\left[e_{\alpha}, f_{\alpha}\right]=h_{\alpha}$. Note that $h_{\alpha} \in i \mathfrak{k}_{0} \cap \mathfrak{t}, e_{\alpha}+f_{\alpha} \in \mathfrak{m}_{0}$, and $e_{\alpha}-f_{\alpha} \in \mathfrak{m}_{u}$. Define $x_{\alpha}=e_{\alpha}+f_{\alpha}$ and $y_{\alpha}=i\left(e_{\alpha}-f_{\alpha}\right)$. With regard to complex structures, we have that $a d_{\Upsilon} x_{\alpha}=y_{\alpha}$ and $a d_{\Upsilon} y_{\alpha}=-x_{\alpha}$. We define $\Upsilon_{\alpha}=\frac{i}{2} h_{\alpha}$. We have the commutation relations $\left[\Upsilon_{\alpha}, x_{\alpha}\right]=y_{\alpha}$, $\left[\Upsilon_{\alpha}, y_{\alpha}\right]=-x_{\alpha}$, and $\left[x_{\alpha}, y_{\alpha}\right]=-4 \Upsilon_{\alpha} .{ }^{1}$ We obtain a real basis of $\mathfrak{m}_{0}$ from the elements $x_{\alpha}$ and $y_{\alpha}$, where $\alpha$ runs through the positive $\mathfrak{m}$-roots.

There exists a maximal set $\Psi=\left\{\psi_{1}, \ldots, \psi_{r}\right\}$ of strongly orthogonal $\mathfrak{m}^{+}$-roots (meaning that for $i \neq j, \psi_{i} \pm \psi_{j}$ are not roots). For any $\psi_{i}$ and $\psi_{j}$ in $\Psi$ with $i \neq j$, each element in $\left\{e_{\psi_{i}}, f_{\psi_{i}}, h_{\psi_{i}}, x_{\psi_{i}}, y_{\psi_{i}}, \Upsilon_{\psi_{i}}\right\}$ commutes with each element in $\left\{e_{\psi_{j}}, f_{\psi_{j}}, h_{\psi_{j}}, x_{\psi_{j}}, y_{\psi_{j}}, \Upsilon_{\psi_{j}}\right\}$. Otherwise said: let $\mathfrak{g}[\psi]=\operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\left\{e_{\psi}, f_{\psi}, h_{\psi}\right\} \simeq \operatorname{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$; then for $i \neq j$, each element of $\mathfrak{g}\left[\psi_{i}\right]$ commutes with each element of $\mathfrak{g}\left[\psi_{j}\right]$. Let $\mathfrak{a}_{0}=\operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\left\{x_{\psi}: \psi \in \Psi\right\}$, an abelian subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}_{0}$, contained in $\mathfrak{m}_{0}$, and maximal with respect to these properties; let $\mathfrak{a}=\mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathfrak{a}_{0}$. Any two maximal abelian subalgebras of $\mathfrak{m}_{0}$ are $K_{0}$-conjugate, and every element of $\mathfrak{m}_{0}$

[^1]lives in some such maximal abelian subalgebra. We define $\Upsilon^{\prime} \in \mathfrak{t} \cap \mathfrak{k}_{0}$ by the formula $\Upsilon=\Upsilon^{\prime}+\sum_{\psi \in \Psi} \Upsilon_{\psi}$; note that $\Upsilon^{\prime}$ commutes with each $\mathfrak{g}[\psi]$.

Let $\mathfrak{t}^{-}=\sum_{\psi \in \Psi} \mathbb{C} h_{\psi}$, and let $\mathfrak{t}^{+}=\{h \in \mathfrak{t}: \psi(h)=0$ for all $\psi \in \Psi\}$, the orthogonal complement in $\mathfrak{t}$ of $\mathfrak{t}^{-}$. Elements of $\Phi\left(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{t}^{-}\right)$are called restricted roots. Results of Harish-Chandra and Moore give descriptions of restricted roots and their multiplicities; in particular, the only possible restricted roots are the restrictions to $\mathfrak{t}^{-}$of $\pm \frac{1}{2} \psi_{s} \pm \frac{1}{2} \psi_{t}$ and $\pm \frac{1}{2} \psi_{t}$ for roots $\psi_{s}, \psi_{t} \in \Psi$. Define $c \in G_{u}$ by $c=\exp \left(\sum_{\psi \in \Psi} \frac{i \pi}{4} y_{\psi}\right)$, a (full) Cayley transform. Under the adjoint representation, $c$ sends $h_{\psi}$ to $x_{\psi}, x_{\psi}$ to $-h_{\psi}$, and $y_{\psi}$ to itself. Note that $A d_{c}$ centralizes $\mathfrak{t}^{+}$and conjugates $\mathfrak{t}^{-}$to $\mathfrak{a}$. Let $\mathfrak{h}=A d_{c} \mathfrak{t}=\mathfrak{t}^{+} \oplus \mathfrak{a}$, another Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$. The map $A d_{c}$ allows us to identify the roots systems $\Phi(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{t})$ and $\Phi(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$. Elements of $\Phi(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{a})$ are again called restricted roots. The Weyl group $N_{K_{0}}\left(\mathfrak{a}_{0}\right) / Z_{K_{0}}\left(\mathfrak{a}_{0}\right)$ acts on $\left\{ \pm x_{\psi}\right\}_{\psi \in \Psi}$ as signed permutations.

Let $\mathfrak{q}=\mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{m}^{-}$; it is the Lie algebra of a parabolic subgroup $Q \subseteq G$. It is well-known that $G_{u} \cap Q=K_{0}$ and that $G_{u}$ acts transitively on $G / Q$. Moreover, if we let $\mathcal{O}_{u} \subset \mathfrak{g}_{u}$ denote the $\operatorname{Ad}\left(G_{u}\right)$-orbit of $\Upsilon$, then the $G_{u}$-stabilizer of $\Upsilon$ is $K_{0}$. We obtain the identifications $\mathcal{O}_{u}:=A d_{G_{u}}(\Upsilon) \simeq G_{u} / K_{0} \simeq G / Q$. There is a corresponding realization of the noncompact Hermitian symmetric space as $G_{0} / K_{0} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{0} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}_{0}$, where $\mathcal{O}_{0}$ is the $\operatorname{Ad}\left(G_{0}\right)$-orbit of $\Upsilon$. We define $\mathcal{O} \subset \mathfrak{g}$, the $\operatorname{Ad}(G)$-orbit of $\Upsilon$; here $\mathcal{O} \simeq G / K$. The isomorphism of $G_{u} / K_{0}$ with $G / Q$, and the inclusion of $G_{0} / K_{0}$ in $G / Q$, give complex structures on $\mathcal{O}_{u}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{0}$; these agree with the almost complex structures defined by $\Upsilon$. The space $\mathcal{O}$ is the "complexified Hermitian symmetric space" in the title of this paper.

## 3. HyperkÄhler structures

See [3], [11].
We recall that a hyperkähler structure on a real manifold $M$ encompasses the following features:

- Almost complex structures $J_{1}, J_{2}, J_{3}$ on $M$ that obey the usual quaternionic multiplication rules. It follows that for each unit vector $\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$, the operator $J_{\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}\right)}:=\lambda_{1} J_{1}+\lambda_{2} J_{2}+\lambda_{3} J_{3}$ gives an almost complex structure.
- Integrable complex structures underlying the above almost complex structures.
- A Riemannian metric $m$ on $M$ that is invariant under each $J_{\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}\right)}$.
- For each unit vector $\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}\right)$, a real, closed, nondegenerate, $J_{\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}\right)}$-invariant 2 -form $\omega_{\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}\right)}$ on $M$. This form is given explicitly by the rule $\omega_{\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}\right)}(v, w)=$ $-m\left(v, J_{\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}\right)} w\right)$. If $\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}, \omega_{3}$ denote the 2-forms associated to $J_{1}, J_{2}, J_{3}$, then $\omega_{\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}\right)}=\sum_{i} \lambda_{i} \omega_{i}$.
- For each $J_{\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}\right)}$, a class of nondegenerate holomorphic symplectic forms (where "class" means up to scalar multiples by $\left.\mathbb{C}^{*}\right)$. For $J_{\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}\right)}$ fixed, one such holomorphic symplectic form is obtained via unit vectors $\left(\lambda_{1}^{\prime}, \lambda_{2}^{\prime}, \lambda_{3}^{\prime}\right)$ and $\left(\lambda_{1}^{\prime \prime}, \lambda_{2}^{\prime \prime}, \lambda_{3}^{\prime \prime}\right)$, where $\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}\right),\left(\lambda_{1}^{\prime}, \lambda_{2}^{\prime}, \lambda_{3}^{\prime}\right)$, and $\left(\lambda_{1}^{\prime \prime}, \lambda_{2}^{\prime \prime}, \lambda_{3}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ are mutually orthogonal and obey the righthand rule. The associated holomorphic symplectic form is $\omega_{\left(\lambda_{1}^{\prime}, \lambda_{2}^{\prime}, \lambda_{3}^{\prime}\right)}+i \omega_{\left(\lambda_{1}^{\prime \prime}, \lambda_{2}^{\prime \prime}, \lambda_{3}^{\prime \prime}\right)}$.
There is redundancy among these conditions. In Proposition 8.1, we use a smaller set of conditions that determine the hyperkähler structure.

Our main results will rely on identifying the complex structures $\left\{J_{\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}\right)}\right\}$ with points in the extended complex plane:

Definition 3.1. For $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \cup\{\infty\}$, let $\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}\right)$ denote the point on the unit 2-sphere in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ defined by stereographic projection from the south pole:

$$
\lambda_{1}=\frac{\lambda+\bar{\lambda}}{1+|\lambda|^{2}} \quad \lambda_{2}=\frac{\lambda-\bar{\lambda}}{i\left(1+|\lambda|^{2}\right)} \quad \lambda_{3}=\frac{1-|\lambda|^{2}}{1+|\lambda|^{2}}
$$

If $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \cup\{\infty\}$, let $J_{(\lambda)}$ denote $J_{\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}\right)}$, with $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}$ as above. Similarly, we use $\omega_{\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}\right)}$ and $\omega_{(\lambda)}$ interchangeably.

Note that for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^{*}$ exactly when $J_{(\lambda)}$ is not $\pm J_{3}$, as $J_{3}=J_{(0)}$ and $-J_{3}=J_{(\infty)}$. Note moreover that $J_{1}=J_{(1)}$ and $J_{2}=J_{(i)}$.

## 4. Computational preliminaries

In this section, we define and study some operators that are essential for expressing the hyperkähler structure on $\mathcal{O}$.

- In $\S 4.2$ : For $Z \in \mathfrak{m}_{0}$, the linear map $E_{Z}: \mathfrak{m} \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{m}_{0} \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}_{0}$ is the power series in $a d_{Z}$ associated to the differential of the exponential map $\mathfrak{m}_{0} \rightarrow G_{0} / K_{0}$, or equally well, the even part of the differential of the exponential map $\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow G$.
- In §4.4: For $Z \in \mathfrak{m}_{0}$, the linear map $S_{Z}: \mathfrak{m} \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{m}_{0} \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}_{0}$ is the power series in $a d_{Z}$ that gives $W \mapsto p r o j j_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\mathfrak{g}} A d_{\exp Z} W$.

These power series have only even terms. In Proposition 4.2 and Corollary 4.3 (§4.1), we verify the crucial fact that such power series commute with their $J$-conjugates.

- In §4.5: The real analytic map $P: \mathfrak{m}_{0} \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}_{0}$ is closely related to the map $Z \mapsto$ $\operatorname{proj}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\mathfrak{g}}\left(A d_{\exp Z} \Upsilon\right)$.
- In $\S 4.6$ : The maps $t_{\lambda}: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ and $T_{\lambda}: \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$ are crucial for stating the deformation results in §10.
4.1. Power series and $a d_{Z}^{2}$. Let $p(z)$ denote a power series with complex coefficients, let $Z=Z^{+}+Z^{-} \in \mathfrak{m}$, and suppose that $p\left(a d_{Z}\right)$ converges as an operator on $\mathfrak{g}$. We write $p(z)=p_{\text {even }}(z)+p_{\text {odd }}(z)$ to represent the decomposition into sums of even and odd powers of $z$. It is trivial that $p_{\text {even }}\left(a d_{Z}\right)$ preserves $\mathfrak{k}$ and $\mathfrak{m}$ while $p_{\text {odd }}\left(a d_{Z}\right)$ maps $\mathfrak{k}$ to $\mathfrak{m}$ and $\mathfrak{m}$ to $\mathfrak{k}$. If $Z \in \mathfrak{g}_{0}$ (resp. $\mathfrak{g}_{u}$ ) and if the power series has real coefficients, then these statements also hold with subscripts of 0 (resp. $u$ ) inserted. Recall that $\mathfrak{m}_{0}=i \mathfrak{m}_{u}$; throughout, we use the fact that if $Z \in \mathfrak{m}_{0}$ and $p$ has real coefficients, then $p_{\text {even }}\left(a_{Z}\right)$ preserves both $\mathfrak{m}_{0}$ and $\mathfrak{m}_{u}$.

Simple computations underlie the following lemma:
Lemma 4.1. Let $Z, W \in \mathfrak{m}$ and $X \in \mathfrak{k}$.
(1) $a d_{J Z}^{2}(W)=-J\left(a d_{Z}^{2}(J W)\right)=-a d_{Z^{-}}^{2} W^{+}+a d_{Z^{-}} a d_{Z^{+}} W^{-}+a d_{Z^{+}} a d_{Z^{-}} W^{+}-a d_{Z^{+}}^{2} W^{-}$.
(2) If $p$ only has even-order terms, then as endomorphisms of $\mathfrak{m}$, we have $p\left(a d_{J Z}\right)=$ $-J \circ p\left(a d_{Z}\right) \circ J$.
(3) $[J Z, J W]=[Z, W]$ and $J[X, W]=[X, J W]$.

Proposition 4.2. For all $Z \in \mathfrak{m}$, $a d_{J Z}^{2}$ and $a d_{Z}^{2}$ commute as endomorphisms of $\mathfrak{m}$.
Proof. We repeatedly will use that $a d_{[A, B]}=a d_{A} a d_{B}-a d_{B} a d_{A}$.
Write $Z=Z^{+}+Z^{-}$and $J Z=i\left(Z^{+}-Z^{-}\right)$. Substituting in the equation $a d_{Z}^{2} a d_{J Z}^{2}=$ $a d_{J Z}^{2} a d_{Z}^{2}$, expanding, and eliminating terms with the zero-operators $a d_{Z^{+}}^{3}$ or $a d_{Z^{-}}^{3}$, we see that the proposition is equivalent to $0=a d_{Z^{+}}^{2} a d_{Z^{-}} a d_{Z^{+}}-a d_{Z^{+}} a d_{Z^{-}} a d_{Z^{+}}^{2}$ and $0=$ $a d_{Z^{-}}^{2} a d_{Z^{+}} a d_{Z^{-}}-a d_{Z^{-}} a d_{Z^{+}} a d_{Z^{-}}^{2}$, and invoking symmetry, it is sufficient to prove the second equation. The operator $a d_{Z^{-}}^{2} a d_{Z^{+}} a d_{Z^{-}}-a d_{Z^{-}} a d_{Z^{+}} a d_{Z^{-}}^{2}$ is zero on $\mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{m}^{-}$; we must prove
that it is zero as a function from $\mathfrak{m}^{+}$to $\mathfrak{m}^{-}$, which is to say, $a d_{Z^{-}} a d_{\left[Z^{-}, Z^{+}\right]} a d_{Z^{-}} W^{+}=0$ for all $W^{+} \in \mathfrak{m}^{+}$.

We begin with $0=a d_{Z^{-}} a d_{Z}^{+} W^{+}$, valid since $\mathfrak{m}^{+}$is abelian. Rewrite this equation as $0=a d_{\left[Z^{-}, Z^{+}\right]} W^{+}+a d_{Z^{+}} a d_{Z^{-}} W^{+}$. Next apply $a d_{Z^{-}}$; after using the Jacobi identity, we obtain the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=a d_{\left[Z^{-},\left[Z^{-}, Z^{+}\right]\right]} W^{+}+a d_{Z^{-}} a d_{Z^{+}} a d_{Z^{-}} W^{+}+a d_{\left[Z^{-}, Z^{+}\right]} a d_{Z^{-}} W^{+} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Again apply $a d_{Z^{-}}$, yielding the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=a d_{Z^{-}} a d_{\left[Z^{-},\left[Z^{-}, Z^{+}\right]\right]} W^{+}+a d_{Z^{-}}^{2} a d_{Z^{+}} a d_{Z^{-}} W^{+}+a d_{Z^{-}} a d_{\left[Z^{-}, Z^{+}\right]} a d_{Z^{-}} W^{+} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The first term on the righthand side is equal to $a d_{\left[Z^{-},\left[Z^{-}, Z^{+}\right]\right]} a d_{Z^{-}} W^{+}$(since $a d_{Z^{-}}^{3}$ is the zero-operator) and can be rewritten as $a d_{Z^{-}} a d_{\left[Z^{-}, Z^{+}\right]} a d_{Z^{-}} W^{+}-a d_{\left[Z^{-}, Z^{+}\right]} a d_{Z^{-}}^{2} W^{+}$. Thus the right-hand side of (2) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 a d_{Z^{-}} a d_{\left[Z^{-}, Z^{+}\right]} a d_{Z^{-}} W^{+}+a d_{Z^{-}}^{2} a d_{Z^{+}} a d_{Z^{-}} W^{+}-a d_{\left[Z^{-}, Z^{+}\right]} a d_{Z^{-}}^{2} W^{+} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The last two terms in (3) sum to

$$
a d_{Z^{-}} a d_{Z^{-}} a d_{Z^{+}} a d_{Z^{-}} W^{+}-a d_{Z^{-}} a d_{Z}^{+} a d_{Z^{-}}^{2} W^{+}+a d_{Z^{+}} a d_{Z^{-}}^{3} W^{+}=a d_{Z^{-}} a d_{\left[Z^{-}, Z^{+}\right]} a d_{Z^{-}} W^{+}
$$

Thus the right side of (2) is equal to $3 a d_{Z^{-}} a d_{\left[Z^{-}, Z^{+}\right]} a d_{Z^{-}} W^{+}$, proving the proposition.
Corollary 4.3. If $p$ and $q$ have only even-order terms, then as endomorphisms of $\mathfrak{m}$, $p\left(a d_{J Z}\right)$ commutes with $q\left(a d_{Z}\right)$.

Throughout this paper, we will apply Lemma 4.1(2) and Corollary 4.3 to the maps $E_{Z}$ and $S_{Z}$ defined below.

Lemma 4.4. Let $T: \mathfrak{m} \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}$ be $\mathbb{C}$-linear (which is to say, $\mathbb{R}$-linear and commuting with multiplication by i). The following are equivalent:
(1) $T$ commutes with $J$.
(2) $T$ commutes with $\frac{1}{2}(I-i J)$ (projection from $\mathfrak{m}$ to $\mathfrak{m}^{+}$).
(3) $T$ commutes with $\frac{1}{2}(I+i J)$ (projection from $\mathfrak{m}$ to $\mathfrak{m}^{-}$).
(4) $T\left(\mathfrak{m}^{+}\right) \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^{+}$and $T\left(\mathfrak{m}^{-}\right) \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^{-}$.

Proof. Each of the conditions 1, 2, 3 is equivalent to the statement that for arbitrary $W=W^{+}+W^{-} \in \mathfrak{m}$, the $\mathfrak{m}^{-}$part of $T\left(W^{+}\right)$equals the $\mathfrak{m}^{+}$part of $T\left(W^{-}\right)$. If this condition holds, then by taking $W^{-}=0$ (resp. $W^{+}=0$ ), we obtain the two statements in condition 4. On the other hand, condition 4 implies that the $\mathfrak{m}^{-}$part of $T\left(W^{+}\right)$equals the $\mathfrak{m}^{+}$part of $T\left(W^{-}\right)$since both are zero.

Lemma 4.5. Let $Z \in \mathfrak{m}$, and let $p$ be a series with only even order terms. If $p\left(a d_{Z}\right)$ and $p\left(a d_{J Z}\right)$ converge as operators on $\mathfrak{m}$, then $p\left(a d_{Z}\right) \circ p\left(a d_{J Z}\right): \mathfrak{m} \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}$ satisfies the conditions of Lemma 4.4.

Proof. Using Lemma 4.1(2) and Corollary 4.3 we see that the operator commutes with $J$.
4.2. The maps $E_{Z}$ and $F_{Z}$. Beginning with the exponential map $\exp : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow G$ and with fixed $Z \in \mathfrak{g}$, we have the linear map $d \exp _{Z}: \mathfrak{g} \simeq T_{Z} \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow T_{\exp Z} G$. There exist linear endomorphisms of $\mathfrak{g}$, denoted $E_{Z, l}$ and $E_{Z, r}$, such that $d \exp _{Z}=d l_{\exp Z} \circ E_{Z, l}=d r_{\exp Z} \circ E_{Z, r}$. We regard $\exp \left(t E_{Z, l}(A)\right)$ as giving a first-order approximation of $\exp (-Z) \exp (Z+t A)$, and $\exp \left(t E_{Z, r}(A)\right)$ as giving a first-order approximation of $\exp (Z+t A) \exp (-Z)$. It is clear that $E_{Z, r}=A d_{\exp Z} \circ E_{Z, l}$. It is also well known that the power series expansions are $E_{Z, l}=$ $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(-a d_{Z}\right)^{n}}{(n+1)!}$ and $E_{Z, r}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(a d_{Z}\right)^{n}}{(n+1)!}$. Considering the even and odd parts, we define $E_{Z}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(a d_{Z}\right)^{2 n}}{(2 n+1)!}$ and $F_{Z}=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left(a d_{Z}\right)^{2 n-1}}{(2 n)!}$; then $E_{Z, l}=E_{Z}-F_{Z}$ and $E_{Z, r}=E_{Z}+F_{Z}$. For $Z \in \mathfrak{m}$, we can regard $E_{Z}$ as an endomorphism of $\mathfrak{m}$.

We note the geometric significance of $E_{Z}$ for the noncompact Hermitian symmetric space (the argument for the compact case being analogous). Let Exp : $\mathfrak{m}_{0} \rightarrow G_{0} / K_{0}$ denote the exponential map at the base point of $G_{0} / K_{0}$ (in the sense of Riemannian geometry); then $d E x p_{Z}: \mathfrak{m}_{0} \simeq T_{Z} \mathfrak{m}_{0} \rightarrow T_{E x p Z}\left(G_{0} / K_{0}\right)$ satisfies $d E x p_{Z}=d l_{\exp Z} \circ E_{Z}$.

### 4.3. A decomposition of $A d_{\exp Z} \Upsilon$.

Lemma 4.6. Let $Z \in \mathfrak{m}$.
(1) The $\mathfrak{k}$-part of $A d_{\exp Z} \Upsilon$ is
$\operatorname{proj}_{\mathfrak{k}}^{\mathfrak{g}}\left(A d_{\exp Z} \Upsilon\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(A d_{\exp Z}+A d_{\exp \sigma \theta Z}\right) \Upsilon=\frac{1}{2}\left(A d_{\exp Z}+A d_{\exp (-Z)}\right) \Upsilon=\left(\Upsilon-F_{Z}(J Z)\right)$
(2) The $\mathfrak{m}$-part of of $A d_{\exp Z} \Upsilon$ is

$$
\operatorname{proj}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\mathfrak{g}}\left(A d_{\exp Z} \Upsilon\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(A d_{\exp Z}-A d_{\exp \sigma \theta Z}\right) \Upsilon=\frac{1}{2}\left(A d_{\exp Z}-A d_{\exp (-Z)}\right) \Upsilon=-E_{Z}(J Z)
$$

Suppose further that $Z \in \mathfrak{m}_{0}$, so that $A d_{\exp Z} \Upsilon \in \mathfrak{g}_{0}=\mathfrak{k}_{0} \oplus \mathfrak{m}_{0}$. Without loss of generality, assume that $Z=\sum c_{\psi} x_{\psi} \in \mathfrak{a}_{0}$.
(1) The $\mathfrak{k}_{0}$-part of $A d_{\exp Z} \Upsilon$ also can be described as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{proj}_{\mathfrak{t}_{0}}^{\mathrm{g}_{0}}\left(A d_{\exp Z} \Upsilon\right) & =\frac{1}{2}\left(A d_{\exp Z}+A d_{\exp \theta Z}\right) \Upsilon \\
& =\Upsilon^{\prime}+\frac{i}{2} \sum \cosh \left(2 c_{\psi}\right) h_{\psi}=\Upsilon+\sum\left(\cosh \left(2 c_{\psi}\right)-1\right) \Upsilon_{\psi}
\end{aligned}
$$

(2) The $\mathfrak{m}_{0}$-part of of $A d_{\exp Z} \Upsilon$ also can be described as

$$
\operatorname{proj}_{\mathfrak{m}_{0}}^{\mathfrak{g}_{0}}\left(A d_{\exp Z} \Upsilon\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(A d_{\exp Z}-A d_{\exp \theta Z}\right) \Upsilon=-\frac{1}{2} \sum \sinh \left(2 c_{\psi}\right) y_{\psi}
$$

Proof. The essential calculation is

$$
\begin{aligned}
A d_{\exp Z} \Upsilon & =\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{a d_{Z}^{2 n}}{(2 n)!} \Upsilon+\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{a d_{Z}^{2 n+1}}{(2 n+1)!} \Upsilon=\Upsilon+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a d_{Z}^{2 n-1}}{(2 n)!} a d_{Z} \Upsilon+\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{a d_{Z}^{2 n}}{(2 n+1)!} a d_{Z} \Upsilon \\
& =\left(\Upsilon-F_{Z}(J Z)\right)-E_{Z}(J Z)
\end{aligned}
$$

We shall need a variant of Lemma 4.6 for $2 \times 2$ matrices, the proof of which is an easy computation:
Lemma 4.7. Let $X=\left(\begin{array}{ll}0 & a \\ b & 0\end{array}\right)$ with $a, b \in \mathbb{C}^{*}$, and let $\sqrt{a b}$ denote either of the complex square roots of $a b$.
(1) $\exp (X)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}\cosh (\sqrt{a b}) & \frac{a \sinh (\sqrt{a b})}{\sqrt{a b}} \\ \frac{b \sinh (\sqrt{a b})}{\sqrt{a b}} & \cosh (\sqrt{a b})\end{array}\right)$
(2) $A d_{\exp X}\left(\begin{array}{cc}1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}\cosh (2 \sqrt{a b}) & -\frac{a \sinh (2 \sqrt{a b})}{\sqrt{a b}} \\ \frac{b \sinh (2 \sqrt{a b})}{\sqrt{a b}} & -\cosh (2 \sqrt{a b})\end{array}\right)$
4.4. The map $S_{Z}$. For $Z \in \mathfrak{m}$, we define $S_{Z}=\frac{1}{2}\left(A d_{\exp Z}+A d_{\exp Z}^{-1}\right)$. We have already encountered this expression, having seen in Lemma 4.6 that $\frac{1}{2}\left(A d_{\exp Z}+A d_{\exp Z}^{-1}\right)(\Upsilon)=$ $\left(\Upsilon-F_{Z}(J Z)\right)$. However, in this section, we are interested in applying $S_{Z}$ to elements of $\mathfrak{m}$.

Since $A d_{\exp Z}^{-1}=A d_{\exp (-Z)}, S_{Z}$ coincides with the even part of $A d_{\exp Z}$, so $S_{Z}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{a d_{2}^{2 n}}{(2 n)!}$. If $Z \in \mathfrak{m}_{0}$, we can regard $S_{Z}$ as an $\mathbb{R}$-linear map from $\mathfrak{m}_{0}$ to $\mathfrak{m}_{0}$. We will see in Section 5 that $\left.S_{Z}\right|_{\mathfrak{m}_{0}}$ is invertible.

Lemma 4.8. Let $Z \in \mathfrak{m}_{0}$, and consider $S_{Z}: \mathfrak{m}_{0} \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}_{0}$.
(1) $S_{Z}=$ pro $_{\mathfrak{m}_{0}}^{\mathfrak{g}_{0}} \circ A d_{\exp Z}=\operatorname{proj}_{\mathfrak{m}_{0}}^{\mathfrak{g}_{0}} \circ A d_{\exp (-Z)}$.
(2) $S_{J Z}^{-1} J S_{J Z}=S_{Z} J S_{Z}^{-1}$, or equivalently, $J S_{J Z} S_{Z}=S_{J Z} S_{Z} J$.
(3) $S_{J Z} \circ S_{Z}: \mathfrak{m} \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}$ commutes with the projections of $\mathfrak{m}$ onto $\mathfrak{m}^{+}$and $\mathfrak{m}^{-}$.

Proof. For (1), give $W \in \mathfrak{m}_{0}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{proj}_{\mathfrak{m}_{0}}^{\mathfrak{g}_{0}} \circ A d_{\exp Z} W & =\left(\frac{I-\theta}{2}\right)\left(A d_{\exp Z} W\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(A d_{\exp Z} W-A d_{\exp (-Z)}(-W)\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left(A d_{\exp Z} W+A d_{\exp (-Z)} W\right)=S_{Z} W
\end{aligned}
$$

Part (2) uses Lemma 4.1(2) and Corollary 4.3. Part (3) is a special case of Lemma 4.5(2).
The example of $S L(2)$ already shows that in general, $S_{Z} \neq S_{J Z}$.
Lemma 4.9. Let $Z \in \mathfrak{m}$.
(1) As a function with domain $\mathfrak{m}, a d_{\Upsilon} \circ\left(A d_{\exp Z}-A d_{\exp (-Z)}\right)$ is identically zero.
(2) As functions from $\mathfrak{m}$ to $\mathfrak{m}$, we have $S_{Z} J S_{Z}=\frac{1}{2} a d_{\left(\left(A d_{\exp Z}+A d_{\exp (-Z)}\right) \Upsilon\right)}$.

Proof. If $A \in \mathfrak{m}$, then $\sigma \theta\left(\left(A d_{\exp Z}-A d_{\exp (-Z)}\right) A\right)=\left(A d_{\exp (-Z)}-A d_{\exp Z}\right)(-A)$, showing that $\left(A d_{\exp Z}-A d_{\exp (-Z)}\right) A \in \mathfrak{k}$, whence (1).

To prove (2), we take the difference of the two sides of the equation, apply to an arbitrary element $A \in \mathfrak{m}$, and multiply by four, obtaining

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(A d_{\exp Z}+A d_{\exp (-Z)}\right) \circ a d_{\Upsilon} \circ\left(A d_{\exp Z}+A d_{\exp (-Z)}\right) A-2\left[\left(A d_{\exp Z}+A d_{\exp (-Z)}\right) \Upsilon, A\right] \\
=\left(A d_{\exp Z}+A d_{\exp (-Z)}\right) \circ a d_{\Upsilon} \circ\left(A d_{\exp Z}+A d_{\exp (-Z)}\right) A-2 A d_{\exp Z} \circ a d d_{\Upsilon} \circ A d_{\exp (-Z)} A \\
-2 A d_{\exp (-Z)} \circ a d_{\Upsilon} \circ A d_{\exp Z} A \\
=\left(A d_{\exp Z}-A d_{\exp (-Z)}\right) \circ a d_{\Upsilon} \circ\left(A d_{\exp Z}-A d_{\exp (-Z)}\right) A
\end{gathered}
$$

which is zero by (1).

We have seen that $S_{Z}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{a d_{Z}^{2 n}}{(2 n)!}=a d_{Z} \circ F_{Z}+I$, and is the even part of $A d_{\exp Z}$. Analogously, we have:

Lemma 4.10. Let $Z \in \mathfrak{m}$. The odd part of $A d_{\exp Z}$ maps $\mathfrak{k}$ to $\mathfrak{m}$ and $\mathfrak{m}$ to $\mathfrak{k}$, and is given by

$$
\frac{1}{2}\left(A d_{\exp Z}-A d_{\exp (-Z)}\right)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{a d_{Z}^{2 n+1}}{(2 n+1)!}=a d_{Z} \circ E_{Z}
$$

4.5. The map $P$. We define the holomorphic ${ }^{2} \operatorname{map} P: \mathfrak{m} \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}$ by $Z \mapsto a d_{\Upsilon} A d_{\exp Z} \Upsilon$.

Since $K$ fixes $\Upsilon$ in the adjoint representation, $P$ is $K$-equivariant. Since $\Upsilon$ is fixed by $\sigma$ and $\theta, P$ commutes with $\sigma$ and $\theta$, so that $P$ restricts to (real analytic) maps from $\mathfrak{m}_{0}$ to $\mathfrak{m}_{0}$ and from $\mathfrak{m}_{u}$ to $\mathfrak{m}_{u}$.

Since $a d \Upsilon$ annihilates $\mathfrak{k}$, we have that $P(Z)$ coincides with $J$ applied to the $\mathfrak{m}$-part of $A d_{\exp Z} \Upsilon$. Thus by Lemma 4.6,

$$
P(Z)=J p r o j_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\mathfrak{g}}\left(A d_{\exp Z} \Upsilon\right)=\frac{1}{2} J\left(A d_{\exp Z}-A d_{\exp (-Z)}\right) \Upsilon=-J E_{Z}(J Z)=E_{J Z} Z,
$$

the last equation using Lemma 4.1. Additionally, if $Z=\sum c_{\psi} x_{\psi} \in \mathfrak{a}_{0}$, by Lemma 4.6 we have $P(Z)=\frac{1}{2} \sum \sinh \left(2 c_{\psi}\right) x_{\psi}$. Since $\sinh : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is bijective, the last expression shows that $\left.P\right|_{\mathfrak{a}_{0}}: \mathfrak{a}_{0} \rightarrow \mathfrak{a}_{0}$ is a real analytic isomorphism, and $P$ maps $\mathfrak{a}$ to $\mathfrak{a}$ (a holomorphic map that is not bijective).

Lemma 4.11. For $P: \mathfrak{m} \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}$ as above,
(1) $P$ commutes with $J$.
(2) If $Z \in \mathfrak{m}^{+}$or $\mathfrak{m}^{-}$, then $P(Z)=Z$.
(3) $P$ is an odd function.

Proof. For (1), from Lemma 4.1(2) we have $E_{J Z}=-J E_{Z} J$, and then $P(J Z)=E_{-Z} J Z=$ $E_{Z} J Z=J E_{J Z} Z=J P(Z)$. For (2), we note that if $Z \in \mathfrak{m}^{ \pm}$, then $J Z= \pm i Z$, and then $P(Z)=E_{J Z} Z=E_{ \pm i Z} Z=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(-a d_{Z}^{2}\right)^{n}}{(2 n+1)!} Z$, and the terms vanish for $n \geq 1$. Part (3) is trivial.
Proposition 4.12. $P: \mathfrak{m}_{0} \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}_{0}$ is invertible.
Proof. We need three background facts:
(1) Each element of $\mathfrak{m}_{0}$ is conjugate by $K_{0}$ to an element of $\mathfrak{a}_{0}$.
(2) The intersection of the $K_{0}$-orbit of a point $A \in \mathfrak{a}_{0}$ with $\mathfrak{a}_{0}$ is a $N_{K_{0}}\left(\mathfrak{a}_{0}\right)$-orbit.
(3) If two points in $\mathfrak{a}_{0}$ have the same $N_{K_{0}}\left(\mathfrak{a}_{0}\right)$-isotropy, then they have the same $K_{0}$ isotropy.
Item (1) already was stated in §2. We'll sketch a justification of (2) and (3). By the LunaRichardson Theorem, we have that the inclusion $\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{m}$ induces an isomorphism between $\mathfrak{a} / / N_{K}(\mathfrak{a})$ and $\mathfrak{m} / / K$ (where the double slashes indicate spaces of closed orbits); moreover, the isomorphism carries the $N_{K}(\mathfrak{a})$-isotropy strata in $\mathfrak{a} / / N_{K}(\mathfrak{a})$ to the $K$-isotropy strata in $\mathfrak{m} / / K$. The isomorphism $\mathfrak{a} / / N_{K}(\mathfrak{a}) \simeq \mathfrak{m} / / K$ shows that the $K$-orbit of a point in $\mathfrak{a}$ intersects $\mathfrak{a}$ in an $N_{K}(\mathfrak{a})$-orbit; the isotropy result shows that if two points in $\mathfrak{a}$ have the same $N_{K}(\mathfrak{a})$ isotropy, then they have the same $K$-isotropy. Note that (2) and (3) are versions of these statements over $\mathbb{R}$. While the transition to actions of real groups can lead to unexpected phenomena, (2) and (3) follow due to special properties of compact real forms [4].

[^2]We now proceed to the proof of Proposition 4.12. By (1) and the fact that $\left.P\right|_{\mathfrak{a}_{0}}: \mathfrak{a}_{0} \rightarrow \mathfrak{a}_{0}$ is surjective, we have that $P$ is surjective.

We prove that $P$ is injective. Let $k \in K_{0}$ and $A, A^{\prime} \in \mathfrak{a}_{0}$. We must show that if $P\left(A^{\prime}\right)=P\left(A d_{k} A\right)$, then $A^{\prime}=A d_{k} A$.

Since $P(A) \in \mathfrak{a}_{0}$ and $A d_{k} P(A)=P\left(A^{\prime}\right) \in \mathfrak{a}_{0}$, by (2), there exists $n \in N_{K_{0}}\left(\mathfrak{a}_{0}\right)$ such that $A d_{k} P(A)=A d_{n} P(A)$. Since $P\left(A d_{n} A\right)=P\left(A^{\prime}\right)$ and since $A, A d_{n} A \in \mathfrak{a}_{0}$, we conclude that $A d_{n} A=A^{\prime}$.

Writing $m=k^{-1} n \in K_{0}$, our goal is to show that $A d_{m} A=A$, given that $A d_{m} P(A)=$ $P(A)$. By (3), it is enough to verify that $A$ and $P(A)$ have the same $N_{K_{0}}\left(\mathfrak{a}_{0}\right)$-isotropy. For this, we recall, that the Weyl group $N_{K_{0}}\left(\mathfrak{a}_{0}\right) / Z_{K_{0}}\left(\mathfrak{a}_{0}\right)$ acts on $\mathfrak{a}_{0}=\operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\left\{x_{\psi}\right\}$ as signed permutations, and observe that for $A=\sum c_{\psi} x_{\psi}$ and $P(A)=\sum \frac{\sinh \left(2 c_{\psi}\right)}{2} x_{\psi}$, we have $c_{\psi^{\prime}}=c_{\psi}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.-c_{\psi}\right)$ if and only if $\frac{\sinh \left(2 c_{\psi}^{\prime}\right)}{2}=\frac{\sinh \left(2 c_{\psi}\right)}{2}$ (resp $-\frac{\sinh \left(2 c_{\psi}\right)}{2}$ ), using that the hyperbolic sine function is bijective and odd.
Lemma 4.13. The differential $d P_{Z}: \mathfrak{m}_{0} \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}_{0}$ is given by $S_{J Z} \circ E_{Z}$.
Proof. Let $B \in \mathfrak{m}_{0}$ and let $\sim$ denote the equivalence relation "having the same tangent vector at $t=0$." We then have $P(Z+t B)=a d_{\Upsilon} A d_{\exp (Z+t B)} \Upsilon \sim a d_{\Upsilon} A d_{\exp Z}\left(\left(I+t a d_{E_{Z, l} B}\right) \Upsilon\right)$, so we are reduced to showing that $a d_{\Upsilon} \circ A d_{\exp Z} \circ a d_{E_{Z, l}} \Upsilon=S_{J Z} E_{Z} B$. Now $E_{Z, l} B=$ $E_{Z} B-F_{Z} B$, with $F_{Z} B \in \mathfrak{k}_{0}$, hence $a d_{E_{Z, l} B} \Upsilon=a d_{E_{Z} B} \Upsilon=-J \circ E_{Z}(B) \in \mathfrak{m}_{0}$. Finally, $a d_{\Upsilon} \circ A d_{\exp Z} \circ a d_{E_{Z, l}} \Upsilon=-a d_{\Upsilon} \circ A d_{\exp Z} \circ J \circ E_{Z}(B)=-J \circ p r o j_{\mathfrak{m}_{0}}^{\mathfrak{g} 0} A d_{\exp Z} \circ J \circ E_{Z}(B)=$ $-J \circ S_{Z} \circ J \circ E_{Z}(B)=S_{J Z} \circ E_{Z}(B)$.
4.6. The maps $t_{\lambda}$ and $T_{\lambda}$.

Definition 4.14. Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^{*}$. We define a $\mathbb{C}$-linear bijective endomorphism $t_{\lambda}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ by $t_{\lambda}$ equalling the identity map on $\mathfrak{k}$, multiplication by $\lambda$ on $\mathfrak{m}^{+}$, and multiplication by $\lambda^{-1}$ on $\mathfrak{m}^{-}$.
Lemma 4.15. (1) For any $c \in \mathbb{C}$ with $e^{c i}=\lambda$, we have $t_{\lambda}=A d_{\exp (c \Upsilon)}$.
(2) The map $t_{\lambda}$ is a Lie algebra isomorphism, and commutes with $A d_{k}$ for all $k \in K$.
(3) $t_{\lambda}\left(\mathfrak{m}_{0}\right)=\left\{\lambda Z^{+}+\lambda^{-1} \sigma Z^{+}: Z^{+} \in \mathfrak{m}^{+}\right\}=\left\{Z^{+}+\frac{1}{|\lambda|^{2}} \sigma Z^{+}: Z^{+} \in \mathfrak{m}^{+}\right\}$, and these equal the real form of $\mathfrak{m}$ defined by the complex conjugation $Z^{+} \mapsto \frac{1}{\left.\lambda\right|^{2}} \sigma Z^{+}$, $Z^{-} \mapsto|\lambda|^{2} \sigma Z^{-}$.
(4) If $Z \in \mathfrak{m}$, then ad ${t_{\lambda} Z}_{2}=t_{\lambda} \circ a d_{Z}^{2} \circ t_{1 / \lambda}$ as operators on $\mathfrak{m}$.
(5) If $p$ is a power series with even order terms, then $p\left(a d_{t_{\lambda} Z}\right)=t_{\lambda} \circ p\left(a d_{Z}\right) \circ t_{1 / \lambda}$ as operators on $\mathfrak{m}$.
(6) With notation as in Definition 3.1, $I+i \lambda_{3} J=t_{\lambda}\left(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2} J\right)$ as operators on $\mathfrak{m}$.
(7) If $A \in \mathfrak{m}$, then $\theta\left(t_{\lambda} A\right)=t_{\bar{\lambda}}^{-1} \theta A$ and $\sigma\left(t_{\lambda} A\right)=t_{\lambda}^{-1} \sigma A$.

Proof. For Part 1, note that $A d_{\exp (c \Upsilon)}=\exp \left(a d_{c \Upsilon}\right)$, and recall that $c \Upsilon$ acts via $a d$ on $\mathfrak{m}^{+}, \mathfrak{k}$, and $\mathfrak{m}^{-}$with eigenvalues $c i, 0$, and $-c i$, respectively. Part 2 follows immediately from Part 1. Part 3 is a simple computation. Part 4 is proved by computing that both operators act as $\lambda^{2} a d_{Z^{+}}^{2}+\frac{1}{\lambda^{2}} a d_{Z^{-}}^{2}+a d_{Z^{+}} a d_{Z^{-}}+a d_{Z^{-}} a d_{Z^{+}}$on $\mathfrak{m}$, and then Part 5 follows immediately. Part 6 is proved by computing that the operators both act as multiplication by $\frac{2|\lambda|^{2}}{1+|\lambda|^{2}}$ on $\mathfrak{m}^{+}$and as multiplication by $\frac{2}{1+|\lambda|^{2}}$ on $\mathfrak{m}^{-}$. Part 7 is an easy computation.
Definition 4.16. For $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^{*}$, we define $T_{\lambda}: \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$ by the rule $A d_{g_{u}} A d_{\exp Z} \Upsilon \mapsto$ $A d_{g_{u}} A d_{\exp t_{\lambda} Z} \Upsilon$.

It is easy to see that $T_{\lambda}$ is well-defined and $G_{u}$-equivariant.
Proposition 4.17. $T_{\lambda}$ is a bijection.
Proof. This will be restated below as Corollary 10.7 and proved in Section 11, as a consequence of an explicit formula for $T_{\lambda}$ (Theorem 10.6).

### 4.7. The Killing form.

Lemma 4.18. (1) For all $A, B \in \mathfrak{g}$, we have $\kappa([\Upsilon, A], B)=\kappa(\Upsilon,[A, B])=-\kappa(A,[\Upsilon, B])$.
(2) For all $A, B \in \mathfrak{m}$, we have $\kappa(J A, B)=-\kappa(A, J B)$ and $\kappa(J A, J B)=\kappa(A, B)$.
(3) If $Z \in \mathfrak{m}$, and if $p$ is a power series with only even order terms, such that $p\left(a d_{Z}\right)$ converges as an operator on $\mathfrak{m}$ (e.g., $p\left(a d_{Z}\right)=E_{Z}$ or $\left.S_{Z}\right)$, then $\kappa\left(p\left(a d_{Z}\right) A, B\right)=$ $\kappa\left(A, p\left(a d_{Z}\right) B\right)$.
(4) If $Z \in \mathfrak{m}_{0}$, and $A, B \in \mathfrak{m}$ are eigenvectors of ad $d_{Z}^{2}$ with eigenvalues $\nu_{1} \neq \nu_{B}$, then $\kappa(A, B)=0$.
(5) If $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^{*}$ and $C, D \in \mathfrak{m}$, then $\kappa\left(t_{\lambda} C, t_{\lambda} D\right)=\kappa(C, D)$.
(6) If $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^{*}$ and $C, D \in \mathfrak{m}_{0}$, then $\kappa(C, D)=2 \Re\left(\kappa\left(C^{+}, D^{-}\right)\right)$and $\kappa\left(t_{\lambda} C, D\right)=$ $\lambda \kappa\left(C^{+}, D^{-}\right)+\frac{1}{\lambda} \overline{\kappa\left(C^{+}, D^{-}\right)}$.

Proof. (1) is the associativity of the Killing form, and (2) follows since $J=a d_{\Upsilon}$ on $\mathfrak{m}$ and $J^{2}=-I$. The proof of (3) reduces to the case $\kappa\left(a d_{Z}^{2} A, B\right)=\kappa\left(A, a d_{Z}^{2} B\right)$, which follows from $\kappa\left(a d_{Z} A, B\right)=-\kappa\left(A, a d_{Z} B\right)$. Part (4) follows easily from (3). Part (5) follows immediately from Lemma 4.15(1), or by decomposing $t_{\lambda} C$ and $t_{\lambda} D$ into their $\mathfrak{m}^{+}$and $\mathfrak{m}^{-}$ parts and using that $\mathfrak{m}^{+}$is orthogonal to $\mathfrak{m}^{-}$under the Killing form. Part (6) is proved using the fact that $C=C^{+}+\sigma\left(C^{+}\right), D=D^{-}+\sigma\left(D^{-}\right)$, and the orthogonality of $\mathfrak{m}^{+}$and $\mathfrak{m}^{-}$.

## 5. Eigenvalues and eigenvectors

(Beyond Theorem 5.2, this section is not needed in the sequel.)
The data for the hyperkähler structure ( $\S 7, \S 8)$ rely on the maps $E_{Z}, E_{J Z}, S_{Z}$, and $S_{J Z}$, for $Z \in \mathfrak{m}_{0}$. Since these are power series in $a d_{Z}^{2}$ or $a d_{J Z}^{2}$, one can understand their actions on $\mathfrak{m}_{0}$ once one understands the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of $a d_{Z}^{2}$ and $a d_{J Z}^{2}$ on $\mathfrak{m}_{0}$. The computations in this section address that question.

From Proposition 4.2, we conclude that $a d_{Z}^{2}$ and $a d_{J Z}^{2}$ are simultaneously diagonalizable on $\mathfrak{m}_{0}$ (with nonnegative real eigenvalues), and then $E_{Z}, E_{J Z}, S_{Z}$, and $S_{J Z}$, are simultaneously diagonalizable.

From $a d_{J Z}^{2}=-J a d_{Z}^{2} J$, it is easy to see that:
Lemma 5.1. If $Z, A \in \mathfrak{m}_{0}$, and if ad $d_{Z}^{2} A=\nu_{1} A$ and $a d_{J Z}^{2} A=\nu_{2} A$, then $a d_{Z}^{2}(J A)=\nu_{2} J A$ and $a d_{J Z}^{2}(J A)=\nu_{1} J A$.

With the notation of Lemma 5.1, using the power series for $E_{Z}, E_{J Z}, S_{Z}$, and $S_{J Z}$, we have
Theorem 5.2. $A$ is an eigenvector with eigenvalues $\frac{\sinh \sqrt{\nu_{1}}}{\sqrt{\nu_{1}}}, \frac{\sinh \sqrt{\nu_{2}}}{\sqrt{\nu_{2}}}, \cosh \sqrt{\nu_{1}}$, and $\cosh \sqrt{\nu_{2}}$ respectively, and $J A$ is an eigenvector with eigenvalues $\frac{\sinh \sqrt{\nu_{2}}}{\sqrt{\nu_{2}}}, \frac{\sinh \sqrt{\nu_{1}}}{\sqrt{\nu_{1}}}, \cosh \sqrt{\nu_{2}}$, and $\cosh \sqrt{\nu_{1}}$ respectively. ${ }^{3}$

[^3]We see that $\mathfrak{m}_{0}$ decomposes into $J$-stable subspaces on which the actions of $E_{Z}, E_{J Z}$, $S_{Z}$, and $S_{J Z}$ are easily understood, once we obtain the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of $a d_{Z}^{2}$ and $a d_{J Z}^{2}$ on $\mathfrak{m}_{0}$. That is the remaining goal of this section, culminating in Theorem 5.3 and Corollary 5.4. We will see that these are expressed easily in terms of restricted roots. More precisely, we will describe the $J$-complex subspace $\left(\mathfrak{m}_{0}\right)_{\left\{\nu_{1}, \nu_{2}\right\}}$ of $\mathfrak{m}_{0}$ which is the direct sum of two (real) subspaces, namely, the first being the vectors on which $a d_{Z}^{2}=\nu_{1}$ and $a d_{J Z}^{2}=\nu_{2}$, the second being the vectors on which $a d_{Z}^{2}=\nu_{2}$ and $a d_{J Z}^{2}=\nu_{1}$. (Each of these subspaces is a real form of $\left(\mathfrak{m}_{0}\right)_{\left\{\nu_{1}, \nu_{2}\right\}}$ relative to $\mathbb{C}=\mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R} J$, and each is $J$ times the other.) We also will identify the eigenvalue pairs $\left\{\nu_{1}, \nu_{2}\right\}$ that occur.

Using the notation from $\S 2$, we may assume that $Z=\sum \lambda_{\psi} x_{\psi}$ and $J Z=\sum \lambda_{\psi} y_{\psi}$, where the sums are over the maximal collection of strongly orthogonal positive $\mathfrak{m}$-roots $\{\psi\}$. We write $Z=(I+\sigma) Z^{+}$and $A=(I+\sigma) A^{+}$. By expanding $a d_{Z}^{2}$ and $a d_{J Z}^{2}$, it is easily seen that the system of equations $\left\{a d_{Z}^{2} A=\nu_{1} A, a d_{J Z}^{2} A=\nu_{2} A\right\}$ is equivalent to the system

$$
a d_{Z^{+}} a d_{\sigma Z^{+}} A^{+}=\frac{\nu_{1}+\nu_{2}}{2} A^{+} \quad\left(a d_{Z^{+}}^{2} \circ \sigma\right) A^{+}=\frac{\nu_{1}-\nu_{2}}{2} A^{+}
$$

We shall consider the slightly simpler system

$$
a d_{Z^{+}} a d_{\sigma Z^{+}} A^{+}=\frac{\nu_{1}+\nu_{2}}{2} A^{+} \quad a d_{Z^{+}}^{2} a d_{\sigma Z^{+}}^{2} A^{+}=\left(\frac{\nu_{1}-\nu_{2}}{2}\right)^{2} A^{+}
$$

where the second equation is obtained by applying $a d_{Z^{+}}^{2} \circ \sigma$ twice to $A^{+}$; we see that $A^{+}$is a solution to this system exactly when $A \in\left(\mathfrak{m}_{0}\right)_{\left\{\nu_{1}, \nu_{2}\right\}}$, and will write $A^{+} \in \mathfrak{m}_{\left\{\nu_{1}, \nu_{2}\right\}}^{+}$.

Write $A^{+}=\sum A_{\alpha}$, where $\alpha$ runs through the positive restricted $\mathfrak{m}$-roots.
Examining the first equation in the system, we have that

$$
a d_{Z^{+}} a d_{\sigma Z^{+}} A^{+}=a d_{\left[Z^{+}, \sigma Z^{+}\right]} A^{+}=a d_{\sum \lambda_{\psi}^{2} h_{\psi}} A^{+}=\sum_{\alpha} a d_{\sum \lambda_{\psi}^{2} h_{\psi}} A_{\alpha}=\sum_{\alpha} \alpha\left(\sum \lambda_{\psi}^{2} h_{\psi}\right) A_{\alpha}
$$

So the first equation in the system holds exactly when $\alpha\left(\sum \lambda_{\psi}^{2} h_{\psi}\right)=\frac{\nu_{1}+\nu_{2}}{2}$ whenever $A_{\alpha} \neq 0$.

Turning to the second equation in the system, we compute that

$$
\begin{aligned}
a d_{Z^{+}}^{2} a d_{\sigma Z^{+}}^{2} A^{+} & =a d_{Z^{+}}\left(a d_{\left[Z^{+}, \sigma Z^{+}\right]}+a d_{\sigma Z^{+}} a d_{Z^{+}}\right) a d_{\sigma Z^{+}} A^{+} \\
& =a d_{Z^{+}} a d_{\left[Z^{+}, \sigma Z^{+}\right]} a d_{\sigma Z^{+}} A^{+}+\left(a d_{Z^{+}} a d_{\sigma Z^{+}}\right)^{2} A^{+} \\
& =a d_{Z^{+}} a d_{\left[Z^{+}, \sigma Z^{+}\right]} a d_{\sigma Z^{+}} A^{+}+\left(\frac{\nu_{1}+\nu_{2}}{2}\right)^{2} A^{+}
\end{aligned}
$$

where the last step follows from the first equation in the system. Thus we may replace the second equation in the system with

$$
a d_{Z^{+}} a d_{\left[Z^{+}, \sigma Z^{+}\right]} a d_{\sigma Z^{+}} A^{+}=\left(\left(\frac{\nu_{1}-\nu_{2}}{2}\right)^{2}-\left(\frac{\nu_{1}+\nu_{2}}{2}\right)^{2}\right) A^{+}=-\nu_{1} \nu_{2} A^{+}
$$

We can express the left side of this equation in terms of roots, as follows. (Sums with indices $\psi$ and $\gamma$ are over the maximal set of strongly orthogonal positive $\mathfrak{m}$-roots.)

$$
\begin{aligned}
a d_{Z^{+}} a d_{\left[Z^{+}, \sigma Z^{+}\right]} a d_{\sigma Z^{+}} A^{+}= & \left(a d_{\sum_{\psi} \lambda_{\psi} e_{\psi}}\right) \circ\left(a d_{\sum_{\gamma} \lambda_{\gamma}^{2} h_{\gamma}}\right) \circ\left(a d_{\sum_{\psi} \lambda_{\psi} f_{\psi}}\right)\left(\sum_{\alpha} A_{\alpha}\right) \\
= & \left(a d_{\sum_{\psi} \lambda_{\psi} e_{\psi}}\right) \circ\left(a d_{\sum_{\gamma} \lambda_{\gamma}^{2} h_{\gamma}}\right) \circ\left(\sum_{\alpha}\left(\sum_{\psi} \lambda_{\psi}\left[f_{\psi}, A_{\alpha}\right]\right)\right) \\
= & \left(a d_{\sum_{\psi} \lambda_{\psi} e_{\psi}}\right) \circ\left(\sum_{\alpha} \sum_{\psi} \lambda_{\psi} \cdot(\alpha-\psi)\left(\sum_{\gamma} \lambda_{\gamma}^{2} h_{\gamma}\right) \cdot\left[f_{\psi}, A_{\alpha}\right]\right) \\
= & \left(a d_{\sum_{\psi} \lambda_{\psi} e_{\psi}}\right) \circ\left(\sum_{\alpha} \sum_{\psi}\left(\frac{\nu_{1}+\nu_{2}}{2}-2 \lambda_{\psi}^{2}\right) \cdot \lambda_{\psi} \cdot\left[f_{\psi}, A_{\alpha}\right]\right) \\
= & \sum_{\alpha} \sum_{\psi}\left(\frac{\nu_{1}+\nu_{2}}{2}-2 \lambda_{\psi}^{2}\right) \cdot \lambda_{\psi}^{2} \cdot\left[h_{\psi}, A_{\alpha}\right] \\
& \left.=\sum_{\alpha}\left(\left(\frac{\nu_{1}+\nu_{2}}{2}\right) \cdot \alpha\left(\sum_{\psi} \lambda_{\psi}^{2} h_{\psi}\right)-\left[f_{\psi}, A_{\alpha}\right]\right]=\left[\left[e_{\gamma}, f_{\psi}\right], A_{\alpha}\right]=\delta_{\gamma, \psi}\left[h_{\psi}, A_{\alpha}\right]\right) \\
= & \left.\sum_{\psi}\left(\left(\frac{\nu_{1}+\nu_{2}}{2} h_{\psi}\right)\right) A_{\alpha}-2 \alpha\left(\sum_{\psi} \lambda_{\psi}^{4} h_{\psi}\right)\right) A_{\alpha}
\end{aligned}
$$

This expression equals $-\nu_{1} \nu_{2} A^{+}$if and only if, for each $\alpha$ with $A_{\alpha} \neq 0$, we have $\alpha\left(\sum_{\psi} \lambda_{\psi}^{4} h_{\psi}\right)=$ $\frac{\nu_{1}^{2}+6 \nu_{1} \nu_{2}+\nu_{2}^{2}}{8}$.

We summarize this argument with the following theorem. Using the notation developed through this section, we have:
Theorem 5.3. Let $Z=\sum \lambda_{\psi} x_{\psi}$. The space $\mathfrak{m}_{\left\{\nu_{1}, \nu_{2}\right\}}^{+}$is the direct sum of the restricted root spaces $\mathfrak{m}_{\alpha}^{+}$, where $\alpha$ satisfies the two conditions $\alpha\left(\sum_{\psi} \lambda_{\psi}^{2} h_{\psi}\right)=\frac{\nu_{1}+\nu_{2}}{2}$ and $\alpha\left(\sum_{\psi} \lambda_{\psi}^{4} h_{\psi}\right)=$ $\frac{\nu_{1}^{2}+6 \nu_{1} \nu_{2}+\nu_{2}^{2}}{8}$.

Of course, for fixed $Z,\left(\mathfrak{m}_{0}\right)_{\left\{\nu_{1}, \nu_{2}\right\}}=\{0\}$ for almost all choices of $\left\{\nu_{1}, \nu_{2}\right\}$, with the equations in Theorem 5.3 predicting the exact choices of $\left\{\nu_{1}, \nu_{2}\right\}$ for which $\left(\mathfrak{m}_{0}\right)_{\left\{\nu_{1}, \nu_{2}\right\}}$ is nonzero. By simple algebra, we have:

Corollary 5.4. Fix $Z$ as above, let $\alpha$ be a positive restricted $\mathfrak{m}$-root, and write $x=$ $\alpha\left(\sum_{\psi} \lambda_{\psi}^{2} h_{\psi}\right)$ and $y=\alpha\left(\sum_{\psi} \lambda_{\psi}^{4} h_{\psi}\right)$. Then $\mathfrak{m}_{\alpha}^{+}$is contained in $\mathfrak{m}_{\left\{\nu_{1}, \nu_{2}\right\}}^{+}$, where $\left\{\nu_{1}, \nu_{2}\right\}=$ $\left\{x \pm \sqrt{2 x^{2}-2 y}\right\}$.

Hence, if we desire to know the simultaneous eigenvalue pairs of $a d_{Z}^{2}$ and $a d_{J Z}^{2}$ on $\mathfrak{m}_{0}$, we run through each positive restricted $\mathfrak{m}$-root $\alpha$, compute the values of $x$ and $y$, and obtain the eigenvalue pairs $\left\{x \pm \sqrt{2 x^{2}-2 y}\right\}$. We recall that from classification, the possibilities for $\alpha$ are $\psi_{s}, \frac{1}{2}\left(\psi_{s}+\psi_{t}\right)$ with $s<t$, and (for some but not all Hermitian symmetric spaces)
$\frac{1}{2} \psi_{s}$. Relative to $Z=\sum \lambda_{\psi} x_{\psi}$, we find $\left\{\nu_{1}, \nu_{2}\right\}=\left\{4 \lambda_{s}^{2}, 0\right\},\left\{\left(\lambda_{s} \pm \lambda_{t}\right)^{2}\right\}$, and $\left\{\lambda_{s}^{2}\right\}$ (with $\nu_{1}=\nu_{2}$ ) respectively, for the three cases for $\alpha$.

## 6. SOME GEOMETRIC IDENTIFICATIONS

The purpose of this section is to present several realizations of the cotangent bundle to $G_{u} / K_{0}$, as well as identifications among them.

### 6.1. Cotangent bundles and twisted products.

6.1.1. Two twisted products. We identify the real cotangent bundle to $G_{u} / K_{0}$ with $G_{u} *^{K_{0}} \mathfrak{m}_{u}$. As a cotangent vector, $\left[g_{u}, W\right]$ sends the tangent vector $\left.\frac{d}{d t}\right|_{0} g_{u} \exp (t A) K_{0}$ to $\kappa(A, W)$. (Here it is enough to consider $\left.A \in \mathfrak{m}_{u}.\right)^{4}$

We identify the complex cotangent bundle to $G / Q$ with $G * Q \mathfrak{m}^{-}$. As a complex cotangent vector, $\left[g, W^{-}\right]$sends the tangent vector $\left.\frac{d}{d t}\right|_{0} g \exp \left(t A^{+}\right) Q$ to $2 \kappa\left(A^{+}, W^{-}\right)$. Here $G *^{Q} \mathfrak{m}^{-}$ carries an obvious complex structure, which we denote by $J_{3}$. Moreover, the obvious action of $G$ on $G *{ }^{Q} \mathfrak{m}^{-}$is holomorphic.
6.1.2. Identifying the two twisted products. As we now describe, there is a simple $G_{u^{-}}$ equivariant bijection (denoted $\Theta$ ) between $G *^{Q} \mathfrak{m}^{-}$and $G_{u} *^{K_{0}} \mathfrak{m}_{u}$. Note that by the Iwasawa decomposition of $G$ (or the transitivity of $G_{u}$ on $G / Q$ ), any $g \in G$ can be written as $g=g_{u} q$ for some $g_{u} \in G_{u}$ and $q \in Q$. We then have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Theta: G *^{Q} \mathfrak{m}^{-} & \rightarrow G_{u} *^{K_{0}} \mathfrak{m}_{u} \\
{\left[g, W^{-}\right]=\left[g_{u} q, W^{-}\right]=\left[g_{u}, A d_{q}\left(W^{-}\right)\right] } & \mapsto\left[g_{u},(I+\theta)\left(A d_{q}\left(W^{-}\right)\right)\right] \\
{\left[g_{u}, W^{-}\right] } & \leftarrow\left[g_{u},(I+\theta) W^{-}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

(This tells us how $G$ acts on $G_{u} *^{K_{0}} \mathfrak{m}_{u} J_{3}$-holomorphically.)
We make an observation regarding the compatibility of these identifications. Let $g_{u} \in$ $G_{u}$, let $W=(I+\theta) W^{-} \in \mathfrak{m}$ and let $A=(I+\theta) A^{+} \in \mathfrak{m}$. In $G_{u} / K_{0}$, the tangent vector given by the equivalence class of the curve $g_{u} \exp (t A) K_{0}$ is sent by the cotangent vector $\left[g_{u}, W\right]$ to $\kappa(A, W)=\kappa\left(A^{+}, W^{-}\right)+\kappa\left(\theta A^{+}, \theta W^{-}\right)=2 \Re\left(\kappa\left(A^{+}, W^{-}\right)\right)$. However, the curve $g_{u} \exp (t A) K_{0} \sim g_{u} \exp \left(t A^{+}\right) \exp \left(t \theta A^{+}\right) K_{0}$ is identified in $G / Q$ with the curve $g_{u} \exp \left(t A^{+}\right) Q$, which is sent by the cotangent vector $\left[g_{u}, W^{-}\right]$to $2 \kappa\left(A^{+}, W^{-}\right)$.

### 6.2. Cotangent bundles and adjoint orbits.

6.2.1. $\mathcal{O}$ is the cotangent bundle to $\mathcal{O}_{u}$. Crucially, the cotangent bundle to the Hermitian symmetric space $\mathcal{O}_{u}$ can be identified with $\mathcal{O} \subset \mathfrak{g}$, the $A d(G)$-orbit of $\Upsilon$. Verifying that $\mathcal{O}$ gives the cotangent bundle to $\mathcal{O}_{u}$ relies on an orbit decomposition, as follows. By a decomposition result of Kobayashi [15], $G=G_{u} \times \exp \left(\mathfrak{m}_{0}\right) \times \exp \left(i \mathfrak{k}_{0}\right)$; from which it follows that $\mathcal{O} \simeq G / K \simeq G_{u} *^{K_{0}} \mathfrak{m}_{0}$, which is the cotangent bundle to $G_{u} / K_{0} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{u}$.

We will constantly make use of the following fact (see [15]): every element of $\mathcal{O}$ is expressible as $A d_{g_{u}} A d_{\exp Z} \Upsilon$, where $g_{u} \in G_{u}$ and $Z \in \mathfrak{m}_{0}$. The projection of the cotangent bundle on the zero fiber is then given by $\mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{u}, A d_{g_{u}} A d_{\exp Z} \Upsilon \mapsto A d_{g_{u}} \Upsilon$.

[^4]Note that $\mathcal{O}$ carries an obvious complex structure (which we will denote $J_{1}$ ) and relative to this complex structure, the obvious $G$-action is holomorphic (even algebraic).
6.2.2. Two identifications of $\mathcal{O}$ and $G_{u} *^{K_{0}} \mathfrak{m}_{u}$. As indicated in Section 6.2.1, we have a bijection

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi_{\text {triv }}: \mathcal{O} & \rightarrow G_{u} *^{K_{0}} \mathfrak{m}_{u} \\
A d_{g_{u}} A d_{\exp Z} \Upsilon & \mapsto\left[g_{u}, Z\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Unfortunately, $\Phi_{\text {triv }}$ is not the best isomorphism to use from the point of view of pseudokähler structures, as indicated by the work of other authors. We also will need a map $\Phi$, defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi: \mathcal{O} & \rightarrow G_{u} *^{K_{0}} \mathfrak{m}_{u} \\
A d_{g_{u}} A d_{\exp Z} \Upsilon & \mapsto\left[g_{u}, J \Im\left(A d_{\exp Z} \Upsilon\right)\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

We spot the appearance of the map $P$ :
Lemma 6.1. (1) We have the equalities

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi\left(A d_{g_{u}} A d_{\exp Z} \Upsilon\right) & =\left[g_{u}, J \Im\left(A d_{\exp Z} \Upsilon\right)\right]=\left[g_{u},-\frac{i}{2} J\left(A d_{\exp (Z)}-A d_{\exp (-Z)}\right) \Upsilon\right] \\
& =\left[g_{u},-i a d_{\Upsilon} A d_{\exp Z} \Upsilon\right]=\left[g_{u},-i J p r o j_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\mathfrak{g}}\left(A d_{\exp Z} \Upsilon\right)\right] \\
& =\left[g_{u},-i J p r o j_{\mathfrak{m}_{0}} j_{0}\left(A d_{\exp Z} \Upsilon\right)\right]=\left[g_{u},-i P(Z)\right]=\left[g_{u},-i E_{J Z} Z\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

(2) If $Z=\sum c_{\psi} x_{\psi} \in \mathfrak{a}_{0}$, then $\Phi\left(A d_{g_{u}} A d_{\exp Z} \Upsilon\right)=\left[g_{u}, \frac{1}{2 i} \sum \sinh \left(2 c_{\psi}\right) x_{\psi}\right]$.
(3) $\Phi$ is a bijection.
(4) $\Phi^{-1}$ takes $\left[g_{u}, W\right]$ to $A d_{g_{u}} A d_{\exp \left(P^{-1}(-i W)\right)} \Upsilon$.

Proof. For (1) and (2), see the equalities in the beginning of Section 4.5. Part (3) follows from the fact that $P: \mathfrak{m}_{0} \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}_{0}$ is a bijection (Proposition 4.12), and (4) follows from (1).

## 7. Quaternionic structure

In this section, we give the complex structures $J_{1}, J_{2}, J_{3}$ on $\mathcal{O} \simeq G *^{Q} \mathfrak{m}^{-}$. We begin with notation for some easily-described tangent vectors. (At various points in the development of the hyperkähler structure, some of these are easier to work with than others.) The complex structures themselves are defined in Definition 7.3. We describe the almost complex structures in terms of our various tangent vectors.
7.1. Identifications of tangent spaces. Fix $g_{u} \in G_{u}$ and $Z \in \mathfrak{m}_{0}$. Let $(I+\theta) W^{-}=$ $W=-i P(Z) \in \mathfrak{m}_{u}$. Let $(A, B) \in \mathfrak{m}_{u} \times \mathfrak{m}_{u}$. Write $A=A^{+}+A^{-}$with $A^{+}=\theta A^{-}$and similarly for $B$. We use these data to produce a variety of tangent vectors to $T^{*}\left(G_{u} / K_{0}\right)$, in its various manifestations.

Let $(A, B)^{r}=\left.\frac{d}{d t}\right|_{0} A d_{g_{u}} A d_{\exp Z} A d_{\exp t(A+i B)} \Upsilon$, a tangent vector to $\mathcal{O}$ at $A d_{g_{u}} A d_{\exp Z} \Upsilon$.
Let $(A, B)^{\Upsilon}=\left.\frac{d}{d t}\right|_{0} A d_{g_{u}} A d_{\exp t A} A d_{\exp (Z+t i B)} \Upsilon$, a tangent vector to $\mathcal{O}$ at $A d_{g_{u}} A d_{\exp Z} \Upsilon$.
Let $(A, B)^{\sharp}=\left.\frac{d}{d t}\right|_{0}\left[g_{u} \exp (t A), W+t B\right]$, a tangent vector to $G_{u} *^{K_{0}} \mathfrak{m}_{u}$ at $\left[g_{u}, W\right]$.
Let $(A, B)^{b}=\left.\frac{d}{d t}\right|_{0}\left[g_{u} \exp \left(t A^{+}\right), W^{-}+t B^{-}\right]$, a tangent vector to $G *^{Q} \mathfrak{m}^{-}$at $\left[g_{u}, W^{-}\right]$.
Lemma 7.1. These tangent vectors are related by the rules
(1) $(A, B)^{r}=\left(S_{Z} A, E_{Z} B\right)^{r}$
(2) $d \Phi(A, B)^{r}=\left(A, S_{J Z} E_{Z} B\right)^{\sharp}$
(3) $d \Theta(A, B)^{b}=(A, B)^{\sharp}$.

Proof. For (1), we compute that

$$
\begin{aligned}
(A, B)^{\curlyvee} \leftrightarrow A d_{g_{u}} A d_{\exp (t A)} A d_{\exp (Z+t i B)} \Upsilon & \sim A d_{g_{u}} A d_{\exp (t A)} A d_{\exp (Z)} A d_{\exp \left(t E_{Z, l}(i B)\right)} \Upsilon \\
& =A d_{g_{u}} A d_{\exp (Z)} A d_{\exp \left(t A d_{\exp (-Z)} A\right)} A d_{\exp \left(t E_{Z, l}(i B)\right)} \Upsilon
\end{aligned}
$$

To prove that this gives the tangent vector $\left(S_{Z} A, E_{Z} B\right)$, we need to show that

$$
A d_{\exp \left(t\left(E_{Z, l}(i B)+A d_{\exp (-Z)} A\right)\right)} \Upsilon \sim A d_{\exp t\left(S_{Z} A+i E_{Z} B\right)} \Upsilon
$$

but this is immediate from $\left[E_{Z, l} B, \Upsilon\right]=\left[E_{Z} B, \Upsilon\right]$ (Section 4.2) and $\left[A d_{\exp (-Z)} A, \Upsilon\right]=$ $\left[S_{Z} A, \Upsilon\right]$ (Lemma 4.8(1)).

For (2), applying $\Phi$ to $A d_{g_{u}} A d_{\exp (t A)} A d_{\exp (Z+t i B)} \Upsilon$, we obtain $\left[g_{u} \exp (t A),-i P(Z+t i B)\right]$; then use Lemma 4.13.

For (3), we compute that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Theta^{-1}\left[g_{u} \exp (t A), W+t B\right] & =\left[g_{u} \exp (t A), W^{-}+t B^{-}\right] \sim\left[g_{u} \exp \left(t A^{+}\right) \exp \left(t A^{-}\right), W^{-}+t B^{-}\right] \\
& =\left[g_{u} \exp \left(t A^{+}\right), A d_{\exp \left(t A^{-}\right)}\left(W^{-}+t B^{-}\right)\right] \\
& =\left[g_{u} \exp \left(t A^{+}\right), W^{-}+t B^{-}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

where at the last step, we use $\left[\mathfrak{m}^{-}, \mathfrak{m}^{-}\right]=0$.

### 7.2. Complex structures.

Definition 7.2. We define $\Gamma: \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$ to be the bijection $A d_{g_{u}} A d_{Z} \Upsilon \mapsto A d_{g_{u}} A d_{J Z} \Upsilon$.
Definition 7.3. We define the following complex structures on $\mathcal{O}$ :
(1) $J_{1}$ is the usual complex structure on $\mathcal{O} \simeq G / K$ (coming from the complex structure on $G$ ).
(2) $J_{2}$ is the complex structure on $\mathcal{O}$ defined so that the bijection $\Gamma:\left(\mathcal{O}, J_{2}\right) \rightarrow\left(\mathcal{O}, J_{1}\right)$ is holomorphic. (See Definition 7.2.)
(3) $J_{3}$ is the complex structure on $\mathcal{O}$ defined so that the bijection $\Theta^{-1} \circ \Phi:\left(\mathcal{O}, J_{3}\right) \rightarrow$ $G *^{Q} \mathfrak{m}^{-}$is holomorphic, where $G *^{Q} \mathfrak{m}^{-}$has the usual complex structure coming from $G, Q$, and $\mathfrak{m}^{-}$. (Here the almost complex structure on $\mathfrak{m}^{-}$is $i$, not $-i$.)
By abuse of notation, we define complex structures $J_{1}, J_{2}$, and $J_{3}$ on $G *^{Q} \mathfrak{m}^{-}$so that $\Theta^{-1} \circ \Phi$ is holomorphic for the corresponding complex structure on $\mathcal{O}$, and on $G_{u} *^{K_{0}} \mathfrak{m}_{u}$ so that $\Phi$ is holomorphic.

## Lemma 7.4.

(1) $J_{1}(A, B)^{-}=(-B, A)^{-}$
(2) $J_{3}(A, B)^{b}=(J A,-J B)^{b}$
(3) $J_{3}(A, B)^{\sharp}=(J A,-J B)^{\sharp}$

Proof. The first two statements are immediate, and the third follows from Lemma 7.1(3).

We then compute the almost complex structures for the 'hat' tangent vectors:

## Lemma 7.5 .

(1) $J_{1}(A, B)^{r}=\left(-S_{Z}^{-1} E_{Z} B, E_{Z}^{-1} S_{Z} A\right)^{4}$
(2) $J_{2}(A, B)^{\wedge}=\left(-J S_{Z}^{-1} E_{Z} B,-E_{Z}^{-1} S_{Z} J A\right)^{\wedge}$
(3) $J_{3}(A, B)^{r}=\left(J A,-E_{Z}^{-1} S_{Z} J S_{Z}^{-1} E_{Z} B\right)^{r}$

Proof. (1) We have that

$$
J_{1}(A, B)^{r}=J_{1}\left(S_{Z} A, E_{Z} B\right)^{r}=\left(-E_{Z} B, S_{Z} A\right)^{-}=\left(-S_{Z}^{-1} E_{Z} B, E_{Z}^{-1} S_{Z} A\right)
$$

(2) Using (1), it is easy to compute that $d \Gamma^{-1} \circ J_{1} \circ d \Gamma$ carries

$$
\left.\frac{d}{d t}\right|_{0} A d_{g_{u}} A d_{\exp (t A)} A d_{\exp (Z+t i B)} \Upsilon \quad \text { to }\left.\quad \frac{d}{d t}\right|_{0} A d_{g_{u}} A d_{\exp \left(t A^{\prime}\right)} A d_{\exp \left(Z+t i B^{\prime}\right)} \Upsilon
$$

where

$$
\left(A^{\prime}, B^{\prime}\right)=\left(-S_{J Z}^{-1} E_{J Z} J B,-J E_{J Z}^{-1} S_{J Z} A\right)=\left(-J S_{Z}^{-1} E_{Z} B,-E_{Z}^{-1} S_{Z} J A\right)
$$

(3) We use Lemmas 7.4 and 7.1, and compute
 which equals $\left(J A,-E_{Z}^{-1} S_{Z} J S_{Z}^{-1} E_{Z} B\right)^{4}$ by Lemma 4.8(2).

Lemma 7.5 immediately yields that $\left(J_{1}, J_{2}, J_{3}\right)$ comprise a quaternionic structure:
Lemma 7.6. $J_{1} J_{2}=J_{3}=-J_{2} J_{1}$.
Lemma 7.1 allows us to express the almost complex structures in Lemma 7.5 for the 'tilde' and 'sharp' tangent vectors. The computations are trivial.

Lemma 7.7. For the 'tilde' tangent vectors:
(1) $J_{1}(A, B)^{r}=(-B, A)^{-}$
(2) $J_{2}(A, B)^{r}=\left(-S_{Z} J S_{Z}^{-1} B,-S_{Z} J S_{Z}^{-1} A\right)^{-}$
(3) $J_{3}(A, B)^{r}=\left(S_{Z} J S_{Z}^{-1} A,-S_{Z} J S_{Z}^{-1} B\right)^{r}$

For the 'sharp' tangent vectors:
(1) $J_{1}(A, B)^{\sharp}=\left(-S_{Z}^{-1} S_{J Z}^{-1} B, S_{J Z} S_{Z} A\right)^{\sharp}$
(2) $J_{2}(A, B)^{\sharp}=\left(-J S_{Z}^{-1} S_{J Z}^{-1} B,-S_{J Z} S_{Z} J A\right)^{\sharp}$
(3) $J_{3}(A, B)^{\sharp}=(J A,-J B)^{\sharp}$
with identical formulae for the 'flat' tangent vectors. (Recall that for the 'sharp' tangent vectors, we are considering tangent vectors at $\left[g_{u}, W\right]$, where $W=-i P(Z)$.)

## 8. Hyperkähler structure

In this section, we deduce formulas for the metric and for the real symplectic forms $\omega_{1}$, $\omega_{2}, \omega_{3}$, and some additional facts. The starting point is to identify $i\left(\omega_{2}+i \omega_{3}\right)$ with the KKS form on $\mathcal{O}$; it turns out that $\omega_{1}+i \omega_{2}$ gives the usual holomorphic symplectic form on $G *^{Q} \mathfrak{m}^{-}$.

Using ([12], Lemma 6.8), the hyperkähler structure on $\mathcal{O}$ is established once the following has been verified:
Proposition 8.1. On $\mathcal{O}$,
(1) There exists a pair of complex structures $\left(J_{2}, J_{3}\right)$ on $\mathcal{O}$ whose associated almost complex structures anticommute.
(2) There exist closed real 2-forms $\omega_{2}$, $\omega_{3}$ on $\mathcal{O}$ that are $J_{2}$ - and $J_{3}$-invariant, respectively.
(3) For all vectors $v$ and $w$ tangent to $\mathcal{O}$ at a point, we have $\omega_{2}\left(v, J_{2} w\right)=\omega_{3}\left(v, J_{3} w\right)$.
(4) The (real symmetric bilinear) form defined by $m(v, w)=\omega_{2}\left(v, J_{2} w\right)=\omega_{3}\left(v, J_{3} w\right)$ is positive-definite.

Proof. (1) was verified in Lemma 7.6. For (2), we define $i\left(\omega_{2}+i \omega_{3}\right)$ to be the complex Kostant-Kirillov-Souriau form on $\mathcal{O}$, which is the form (for $g \in G$ and $A_{1}, B_{1}, A_{2}, B_{2} \in \mathfrak{g}_{u}$ ) that at the point $A d_{g} \Upsilon \in \mathcal{O}$, sends the pair of tangent vectors

$$
\left(\left.\frac{d}{d t}\right|_{0} A d_{\exp \left(t\left(A_{1}+i B_{1}\right)\right)} A d_{g} \Upsilon,\left.\frac{d}{d t}\right|_{0} A d_{\exp \left(t\left(A_{2}+i B_{2}\right)\right)} A d_{g} \Upsilon\right)
$$

to $\kappa\left(A d_{g} \Upsilon,\left[A_{1}+i B_{1}, A_{2}+i B_{2}\right]\right)$. Thus $\omega_{2}$ (resp. $\omega_{3}$ ) is the imaginary part (resp. the opposite of the real part) of the KKS form. Using the 'tilde' tangent vectors, we immediately obtain the expressions for $\omega_{2}$ and $\omega_{3}$ that appear in Proposition 8.3 below (using Lemma 4.18(1) to obtain the second version of each formula). Since the KKS form is closed, it follows that $\omega_{2}$ and $\omega_{3}$ are closed. Using Lemmas 4.1(2) and 4.18(3) and Corollary 4.3, it is easy to prove the required invariance of these forms. Using the same tools along with Lemma 4.1(3), one can then verify the equation in (3) and the formulas for $m$ in Proposition 8.3. The metric is nondegenerate and positive; this follows from the fact that the Killing form is negative-definite on $\mathfrak{g}_{u}$, along with Lemma 4.18(4) and Theorem 5.2.

It is straightforward to obtain the following explicit formulae for $m, \omega_{1}, \omega_{2}, \omega_{3}$, and certain holomorphic symplectic forms, given $g_{u}, Z$, and $W$ as earlier.The formulae involve the operators $E_{Z}, S_{Z}, S_{J Z}$; the effect of these operators is easy to understand in light of Section 5. Note that $\omega_{1}$ and $\omega_{2}$ are most easily described using the $\sharp$ or $b$ tangent vectors, whereas $\omega_{3}$ is more natural in the $\sim$ vectors.

Proposition 8.2. On the pair of tangent vectors $\left(A_{1}, B_{1}\right)^{\prime},\left(A_{2}, B_{2}\right)^{\text {r }}$, we have

- $m=-\kappa\left(\Upsilon,\left[S_{Z} A_{1}, S_{Z} J A_{2}\right]+\left[E_{Z} B_{1}, S_{Z} J S_{Z}^{-1} E_{Z} B_{2}\right]\right)$
$=-\kappa\left(S_{J Z} S_{Z} A_{1}, A_{2}\right)-\kappa\left(S_{J Z} S_{Z}^{-1} E_{Z} B_{1}, E_{Z} B_{2}\right)$
- $\omega_{1}=\kappa\left(\Upsilon,\left[E_{Z} B_{1}, S_{Z} J A_{2}\right]-\left[A_{1}, S_{Z} J E_{Z} B_{2}\right]\right)$
$=-\kappa\left(A_{1}, S_{J Z} E_{Z} B_{2}\right)+\kappa\left(S_{J Z} E_{Z} B_{1}, A_{2}\right)$
- $\omega_{2}=\kappa\left(\Upsilon,\left[S_{Z} A_{1}, E_{Z} B_{2}\right]+\left[E_{Z} B_{1}, S_{Z} A_{2}\right]\right)=\kappa\left(J S_{Z} A_{1}, E_{Z} B_{2}\right)-\kappa\left(E_{Z} B_{1}, J S_{Z} A_{2}\right)$
- $\omega_{3}=\kappa\left(\Upsilon,\left[E_{Z} B_{1}, E_{Z} B_{2}\right]-\left[S_{Z} A_{1}, S_{Z} A_{2}\right]\right)=\kappa\left(J E_{Z} B_{1}, E_{Z} B_{2}\right)-\kappa\left(J S_{Z} A_{1}, S_{Z} A_{2}\right)$
- $\omega_{2}+i \omega_{3}=-i \kappa\left(\Upsilon,\left[S_{Z} A_{1}+i E_{Z} B_{1}, S_{Z} A_{2}+i E_{Z} B_{2}\right]\right)$
$=-i \kappa\left(J\left(S_{Z} A_{1}+i E_{Z} B_{1}\right), S_{Z} A_{2}+i E_{Z} B_{2}\right)$
Proposition 8.3. On the pair of tangent vectors $\left(A_{1}, B_{1}\right),\left(A_{2}, B_{2}\right)$, we have
- $m=-\kappa\left(\Upsilon,\left[A_{1}, S_{Z} J S_{Z}^{-1} A_{2}\right]+\left[B_{1}, S_{Z} J S_{Z}^{-1} B_{2}\right]\right)$
$=-\kappa\left(S_{J Z} S_{Z}^{-1} A_{1}, A_{2}\right)-\kappa\left(S_{J Z} S_{Z}^{-1} B_{1}, B_{2}\right)$
- $\omega_{1}=\kappa\left(\Upsilon,\left[B_{1}, S_{Z} J S_{Z}^{-1} A_{2}\right]-\left[A_{1}, S_{Z} J S_{Z}^{-1} B_{2}\right]\right)$
$=\kappa\left(S_{J Z} S_{Z}^{-1} B_{1}, A_{2}\right)-\kappa\left(A_{1}, S_{J Z} S_{Z}^{-1} B_{2}\right)$
- $\omega_{2}=\kappa\left(\Upsilon,\left[A_{1}, B_{2}\right]+\left[B_{1}, A_{2}\right]\right)=\kappa\left(J A_{1}, B_{2}\right)-\kappa\left(B_{1}, J A_{2}\right)$
- $\omega_{3}=\kappa\left(\Upsilon,\left[B_{1}, B_{2}\right]-\left[A_{1}, A_{2}\right]\right)=\kappa\left(J B_{1}, B_{2}\right)-\kappa\left(J A_{1}, A_{2}\right)$
- $\omega_{2}+i \omega_{3}=-i \kappa\left(\Upsilon,\left[A_{1}+i B_{1}, A_{2}+i B_{2}\right]\right)=-i \kappa\left(J\left(A_{1}+i B_{1}\right), A_{2}+i B_{2}\right)$

Proposition 8.4. On the pair of tangent vectors $\left(A_{1}, B_{1}\right)^{\sharp},\left(A_{2}, B_{2}\right)^{\sharp}$, we have

- $m=-\kappa\left(\Upsilon,\left[S_{Z} A_{1}, S_{Z} J A_{2}\right]+\left[S_{J Z}^{-1} B_{1}, S_{J Z}^{-1} J B_{2}\right]\right)$
$=-\kappa\left(S_{J Z} S_{Z} A_{1}, A_{2}\right)-\kappa\left(S_{J Z}^{-1} S_{Z}^{-1} B_{1}, B_{2}\right)$
- $\omega_{1}=\kappa\left(\Upsilon,\left[B_{1}, J A_{2}\right]-\left[A_{1}, J B_{2}\right]\right)=-\kappa\left(A_{1}, B_{2}\right)+\kappa\left(B_{1}, A_{2}\right)$
- $\omega_{2}=\kappa\left(\Upsilon,\left[A_{1}, B_{2}\right]+\left[B_{1}, A_{2}\right]\right)=-\kappa\left(A_{1}, J B_{2}\right)+\kappa\left(J B_{1}, A_{2}\right)$
- $\omega_{3}=\kappa\left(\Upsilon,\left[S_{J Z}^{-1} B_{1}, S_{J Z}^{-1} B_{2}\right]-\left[S_{Z} A_{1}, S_{Z} A_{2}\right]\right)$
$=\kappa\left(S_{J Z}^{-1} S_{Z}^{-1} J B_{1}, B_{2}\right)-\kappa\left(S_{J Z} S_{Z} J A_{1}, A_{2}\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \bullet \omega_{1} \\
&+i \omega_{2}=\kappa\left(\Upsilon,\left[A_{1},(i I-J) B_{2}\right]+\left[B_{1},(i I+J) A_{2}\right]\right) \\
&=-\kappa\left(A_{1}, B_{2}\right)+\kappa\left(B_{1}, A_{2}\right)-i \kappa\left(A_{1}, J B_{2}\right)+i \kappa\left(J B_{1}, A_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The formulae in Proposition 8.4 are also valid for the 'flat' vector fields. However, it is also convenient to express these formulae in terms of $A_{1}^{+}, A_{1}^{-}, B_{2}^{+}, B_{2}^{-}$. Recall that by Lemma 4.8(3), $S_{J Z} \circ S_{Z}$ preserves $\mathfrak{m}^{+}$.

Proposition 8.5. On the pair of tangent vectors $\left(A_{1}, B_{1}\right)^{b},\left(A_{2}, B_{2}\right)^{b}$, we have

- $m=-2 \Re\left(\kappa\left(S_{J Z} S_{Z} A_{1}^{+}, A_{2}^{-}\right)+\kappa\left(S_{J Z}^{-1} S_{Z}^{-1} B_{1}^{+}, B_{2}^{-}\right)\right)$
- $\omega_{1}=2 \Re\left(\kappa\left(B_{1}^{+}, A_{2}^{-}\right)-\kappa\left(A_{1}^{+}, B_{2}^{-}\right)\right)$
- $\omega_{2}=-2 \Im\left(\kappa\left(A_{1}^{+}, B_{2}^{-}\right)+\kappa\left(B_{1}^{+}, A_{2}^{-}\right)\right)$
- $\omega_{3}=2 \Im\left(\kappa\left(S_{J Z} S_{Z} A_{1}^{+}, A_{2}^{-}\right)-\kappa\left(S_{J Z}^{-1} S_{Z}^{-1} B_{1}^{+}, B_{2}^{-}\right)\right)$
- $\omega_{1}+i \omega_{2}=2 \kappa\left(B_{1}^{-}, A_{2}^{+}\right)-2 \kappa\left(A_{1}^{+}, B_{2}^{-}\right)$

In the remainder of this section, we present some facts about the symplectic forms and Kähler potentials.

Proposition 8.6. Under the identification of $G *{ }^{Q} \mathfrak{m}^{-}$as the complex contangent bundle of $G / Q$,
(1) At $\left[g, W^{-}\right] \in G *^{Q} \mathfrak{m}^{-}$, the canonical 1-form $\lambda_{\mathbb{C}}$ on $G *^{Q} \mathfrak{m}^{-}$sends $(A, B)^{b}$ to $2 \kappa\left(A^{+}, W^{-}\right)$.
(2) The canonical (complex) 2-form $d \lambda_{\mathbb{C}}$ equals $\omega_{1}+i \omega_{2}$.

Proof. Part (1) comes from the identification in Section 6.1. For (2), we need to compute $d \lambda_{\mathbb{C}}$. To get around the fact that the 'flat' tangent vectors do not give vector fields on $G *{ }^{Q} \mathfrak{m}^{-}$, we work in $G \times \mathfrak{m}^{-}$, whose quotient by $Q$ gives $G *^{Q} \mathfrak{m}^{-}$. On $G \times \mathfrak{m}^{-}$, for each $\left(A^{+}, B^{-}\right) \in \mathfrak{m}^{+} \times \mathfrak{m}^{-}$, we have a globally defined vector field $\left(A^{+}, B^{-}\right)^{\ominus}=$ $\left.\frac{d}{d t}\right|_{0}\left(g \exp \left(t A^{+}\right), W^{-}+t B^{-}\right)$. We note that the bracket of two 'heart' vector fields is zero; in the first component, we use that $\left[\mathfrak{m}^{+}, \mathfrak{m}^{+}\right]=0$, and the statement is obvious in the second component. Also, note that for the obvious lift of $\lambda_{\mathbb{C}}$ to $G \times \mathfrak{m}^{-}$, we have $\left(A_{1}^{+}, B_{1}^{-}\right)^{\ominus}\left(\lambda_{\mathbb{C}}\left(A_{2}^{+}, B_{2}^{-}\right)^{\ominus}\right)=\left(A_{1}^{+}, B_{1}^{-}\right)^{\ominus}\left(2 \kappa\left(A_{2}^{+}, W^{-}\right)\right)=2 \kappa\left(A_{2}^{+}, B_{1}^{-}\right)$. Using the usual formula for the external derivative of a 1-form, ${ }^{5}$ we obtain $d \lambda_{\mathbb{C}}\left(\left(A_{1}^{+}, B_{1}^{-}\right)^{\ominus},\left(A_{2}^{+}, B_{2}^{-}\right)^{\ominus}\right)=$ $2 \kappa\left(A_{2}^{+}, B_{1}^{-}\right)-2 \kappa\left(A_{1}^{+}, B_{2}^{-}\right)$. Pushing this down to $G *^{Q} \mathfrak{m}^{-}$and comparing with Proposition 8.5, we obtain (2).

In a similar way,
Proposition 8.7. Under the identification of $G_{u} *^{K_{0}} \mathfrak{m}_{u}$ as the real contangent bundle of $G_{u} / K_{0}$,
(1) At $\left[g_{u}, W\right] \in G_{u} *^{K_{0}} \mathfrak{m}_{u}$, the canonical 1-form $\lambda_{\mathbb{R}}$ on $G_{u} *^{K_{0}} \mathfrak{m}_{u}$ sends $(A, B)^{\sharp}$ to $\kappa(A, W)$, and coincides with $\Re\left(\lambda_{\mathbb{C}}\right)$.
(2) The canonical (real) 2-form $d \lambda_{\mathbb{R}}$ equals $\omega_{1}$.

We also regard $\lambda_{\mathbb{C}}$ and $\lambda_{\mathbb{R}}$ as 1-forms on $\mathcal{O}$, via $\Phi$.
Definition 8.8. Define $\phi: \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, A d_{g_{u}} A d_{\exp Z} \Upsilon \mapsto \kappa\left(A d_{\exp Z} \Upsilon, \Upsilon\right)$.
The following proposition, combined with Proposition 8.7, shows that $\phi$ is a Kähler potential for $\omega_{1}$, relative to $J_{1}$, as proved in [1].

[^5]Proposition 8.9. $\lambda_{\mathbb{R}}=d_{1}^{c} \phi$, where $d_{1}^{c}$ denotes $d^{c}$ with respect to the complex structure $J_{1}$.
Proof. Let $A, B \in \mathfrak{m}_{u}$. We compare $\lambda_{\mathbb{R}}(A, B)^{\wedge}$ with $\left(d_{1}^{c} \phi\right)(A, B)^{\wedge}$.
On the one hand, by Lemma 7.1, $(A, B)^{\wedge}$ at $A d_{g_{u}} A d_{\exp Z} \Upsilon$ corresponds under $\Phi$ to $\left(A, S_{J Z} E_{Z} B\right)^{\sharp}$ at $\left[g_{u},-i P(Z)\right]$, which by Proposition $8.7(1)$, is sent by $\lambda_{\mathbb{R}}$ to $\kappa(A,-i P(Z))$. On the other hand, by Lemma 7.5(1),

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(d_{1}^{c} \phi\right)(A, B)^{\wedge} & =\left(J_{1}(A, B)\right)^{\wedge}(\phi) \\
& =\left.\frac{d}{d t}\right|_{0} \kappa\left(A d_{\exp \left(Z+t i E_{Z}^{-1} S_{Z} A\right)} \Upsilon, \Upsilon\right) \\
& =\left.\frac{d}{d t}\right|_{0} \kappa\left(A d_{\exp \left(t i E_{Z, r} E_{Z}^{-1} S_{Z} A\right)} A d_{\exp Z} \Upsilon, \Upsilon\right) \\
& =i \kappa\left(\left[E_{Z, r} E_{Z}^{-1} S_{Z} A, A d_{\exp Z} \Upsilon\right], \Upsilon\right)=i \kappa\left(E_{Z, r} E_{Z}^{-1} S_{Z} A,\left[A d_{\exp Z} \Upsilon, \Upsilon\right]\right) \\
& =\kappa\left(E_{Z, r} E_{Z}^{-1} S_{Z} A,-i P(Z)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

the last equality following from the definition of $P(Z)$. Since $\kappa(\mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{m})=0$, we may replace $E_{Z, r}$ with $E_{Z}$, yielding $\kappa\left(S_{Z} A,-i P(Z)\right)$. By Lemma $4.18(3)$, this equals $\kappa\left(A,-i S_{Z} P(Z)\right)$. Taking $\mathfrak{a}_{0}$ so that $Z \in \mathfrak{a}_{0}$, we have that $P(Z) \in \mathfrak{a}_{0}$ (Section 4.5), and it follows that $\kappa\left(A,-i S_{Z} P(Z)\right)=\kappa(A,-i P(Z))$ by Section 5 .

In fact, $\phi$ is related to another Kähler potential, viewed in the setting of $G_{u} *^{K_{0}} \mathfrak{m}_{u}$ :
Definition 8.10. Define $\phi^{\prime}: G_{u} *^{K_{0}} \mathfrak{m}_{u} \rightarrow \mathbb{R},\left[g_{u}, W\right] \mapsto 2 \kappa\left(P\left(\frac{1}{2} P^{-1}(i W)\right), P\left(\frac{1}{2} P^{-1}(i W)\right)\right)$.
Proposition 8.11. $\phi^{\prime}$ is a Kähler potential for $\omega_{1}$, relative to $J_{1}$.
Proof. Beginning with the definition of $\phi$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi\left(A d_{g_{u}} A d_{\exp Z} \Upsilon\right) & =\kappa\left(A d_{\exp Z} \Upsilon, \Upsilon\right)=\kappa\left(A d_{\exp Z / 2} \Upsilon, A d_{\exp -Z / 2} \Upsilon\right) \\
& =\kappa(X+Y, X-Y)=\kappa(X, X)-\kappa(Y, Y)
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X=\operatorname{pr}_{\mathfrak{k}}^{\mathfrak{g}} A d_{\exp Z / 2} \Upsilon=\frac{1}{2}\left(A d_{\exp Z / 2}+A d_{\exp -Z / 2}\right) \Upsilon \in \mathfrak{k}_{0} \\
& Y=\operatorname{pr}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\mathfrak{g}} A d_{\exp Z / 2} \Upsilon=\frac{1}{2}\left(A d_{\exp Z / 2}-A d_{\exp -Z / 2}\right) \Upsilon \in \mathfrak{m}_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

But one computes easily that $\kappa(X, X)=\frac{1}{2} \kappa(\Upsilon, \Upsilon)+\frac{1}{2} \phi\left(A d_{g_{u}} A d_{\exp Z} \Upsilon\right)$. Combining with the first equation in the proof, one concludes that $\phi\left(A d_{g_{u}} A d_{\exp Z} \Upsilon\right)=-2 \kappa(Y, Y)+\kappa(\Upsilon, \Upsilon)$.

Recall that $Z=P^{-1}(i W)$. We claim that $Y=-i P\left(\frac{1}{2} P^{-1}(i W)\right)$. This is equivalent to $\operatorname{pr}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\mathfrak{g}} A d_{\exp Z / 2} \Upsilon=-i P\left(\frac{1}{2} Z\right)$, which follows directly from the definition of $P$.

Finally, $\phi\left(A d_{g_{u}} A d_{\exp Z} \Upsilon\right)=2 \kappa\left(P\left(\frac{1}{2} P^{-1}(i W)\right), P\left(\frac{1}{2} P^{-1}(i W)\right)\right)+\kappa(\Upsilon, \Upsilon)$, so $\phi$ and $\phi^{\prime}$ differ by a constant.

## 9. Moment maps

We give moment maps for the $G_{u}$-action on $G_{u} * K_{0} \mathfrak{m}_{u}$ (Proposition 9.2) and on $\mathcal{O}$ (Proposition 9.4, relative to the symplectic structures $\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}$, and $\omega_{3}$. The definition of a moment map is required in the proofs in $\S 11$, but the formulae for $\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}$, and $\mu_{3}$ derived in this section are not needed there.

Definition 9.1. Let $(M, \omega)$ be a symplectic manifold and suppose that $H$ is a simple Lie group that acts symplectically on $M$. A moment map is an $H$-equivariant map $\mu: M \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}$ with the property that

$$
\kappa\left(d \mu_{p}\left(\chi_{p}\right), X\right)=\omega\left(\chi_{p}, \eta_{X}\right)
$$

for all $p \in M$, for all vectors $\chi_{p}$ tangent to $M$ at $p$, and for all $X \in \mathfrak{h}$. Here $\eta_{X}$ denotes the tangent vector (at $p$ ) induced by $X$ via the action of $H .{ }^{6}$
Proposition 9.2. On $G_{u} *^{K_{0}} \mathfrak{m}_{u}$, with respect to the symplectic forms $\omega_{1}$, $\omega_{2}$, and $\omega_{3}$, we have moment maps $\mu_{i}: G_{u} *^{K_{0}} \mathfrak{m}_{u} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{u}$, given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu_{1}\left(\left[g_{u}, W\right]\right) & =A d_{g_{u}} W \\
\mu_{2}\left(\left[g_{u}, W\right]\right) & =A d_{g_{u}} J W \\
\mu_{3}\left(\left[g_{u}, W\right]\right) & =\frac{1}{2} A d_{g_{u}}\left(A d_{\exp \left(P^{-1}(i W)\right)}+A d_{\exp \left(-P^{-1}(i W)\right)}\right) \Upsilon
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. With the putative formula for $\mu_{1}$ and $A, B \in \mathfrak{m}_{u}$, at $\left[g_{u}, W\right]$, we have that $d \mu_{1}(A, B)^{\sharp}=$ $A d_{g_{u}} B+A d_{g_{u}}[A, W]$. Let $X \in \mathfrak{g}_{u}$; it is easy to see that at $\left[g_{u}, W\right]$, we have $\eta_{A d_{g_{u}} X}=$ $\left(\operatorname{proj}_{\mathfrak{m}_{u}}^{\mathfrak{g}_{u}} X,\left[p r o j_{\mathfrak{e}_{0}}^{\mathfrak{g}_{u}} X, W\right]\right)^{\sharp}$. We must verify the equation $\kappa\left(\operatorname{Ad}_{g_{u}} B+A d_{g_{u}}[A, W], A d_{g_{u}} X\right)=$ $\omega_{1}\left((A, B)^{\sharp},\left(\operatorname{pro}_{\mathfrak{m}_{u}}^{\mathfrak{g}_{u}} X,\left[\operatorname{pro}_{\mathfrak{k}_{0}}^{\mathfrak{g}_{u}} X, W\right]\right)^{\sharp}\right)$. Using the fact that $\mathfrak{k}$ and $\mathfrak{m}$ are orthogonal under $\kappa$, the left side reduces to $\kappa\left(B, \operatorname{proj}_{\mathfrak{m}_{u}}^{\mathfrak{g}_{u}} X\right)+\kappa\left([A, W], \operatorname{proj}_{\mathfrak{k}_{0}}^{\mathfrak{g}_{u}} X\right)$. So does the right side, using Proposition 8.4 and the associativity of the Killing form. The proof for $\mu_{2}$ is similar. The proof for $\mu_{3}$ will be given at the end of the proof of Proposition 9.4.
Corollary 9.3. The $\mathfrak{g}$-valued, $G$-equivariant, $J_{3}$-holomorphic moment map on $G *^{Q} \mathfrak{m}^{-}$ relative to the holomorphic symplectic form $\omega_{1}+i \omega_{2}$, is given by $\left(\mu_{1}+i \mu_{2}\right)\left(\left[g, W^{-}\right]\right)=$ $2 A d_{g} W^{-}$.

Proof. Let $g=g_{u} q \in G$, with $g_{u} \in G_{u}$ and $q \in Q$. Then $\left[g, W^{-}\right]$is mapped by $\Theta$ to $\left[g_{u},(I+\theta)\left(A d_{q}\left(W^{-}\right)\right)\right]$, which (Proposition 9.2) is sent by $\mu_{1}+i \mu_{2}$ to

$$
\begin{aligned}
A d_{g_{u}}\left((I+i J)(I+\theta)\left(A d_{q}\left(W^{-}\right)\right)\right) & =A d_{g_{u}}\left((I+i J+\theta+i J \theta)\left(A d_{q} W^{-}\right)\right) \\
& =A d_{g_{u}}\left((I+i J+\theta-\theta i J)\left(A d_{q} W^{-}\right)\right) \\
& =2 A d_{g_{u}} A d_{q} W^{-}=2 A d_{g} W^{-},
\end{aligned}
$$

using that $A d_{q} W^{-} \in \mathfrak{m}^{-}$.
Proposition 9.4. On $\mathcal{O}$, with respect to the symplectic forms $\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}$, and $\omega_{3}$, we have moment maps $\mu_{i}: \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{u}$, given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu_{1}\left(A d_{g_{u}} A d_{\exp Z} \Upsilon\right) & =\Im\left(A d_{g_{u}} A d_{\exp (J Z)} \Upsilon\right) \\
\mu_{2}\left(A d_{g_{u}} A d_{\exp Z} \Upsilon\right) & =-\Im\left(A d_{g_{u}} A d_{\exp Z} \Upsilon\right), \text { or otherwise said, } \\
\mu_{2}\left(A d_{g} \Upsilon\right) & =-\Im\left(A d_{g} \Upsilon\right) \\
\mu_{3}\left(A d_{g_{u}} A d_{\exp Z} Z\right) & =\Re\left(A d_{g} \Upsilon\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. We first consider $\mu_{2}$. We note that $A d_{g_{u}} A d_{\exp Z} \Upsilon$ is sent by $\Phi$ to $\left[g_{u},-i P(Z)\right]$; Proposition 9.2 tells us that this is sent by $\mu_{2}$ to $-i A d_{g_{u}} J P(Z)=i A d_{g_{u}} p r o j_{\mathfrak{i g}_{u}}^{\mathfrak{g}}\left(A d_{\exp Z} \Upsilon\right)=$

[^6]$-\Im\left(A d_{g_{u}} A d_{\exp Z} \Upsilon\right)$. The expression for $\mu_{1}$ is obtained in a similar way. It remains to consider $\mu_{3}$.

With the putative formula $\mu_{3}\left(A d_{g_{u}} A d_{\exp Z} \Upsilon\right)=\frac{1}{2} A d_{g_{u}}\left(A d_{\exp Z}+A d_{\exp (-Z)}\right) \Upsilon$, we have easily that

$$
\begin{aligned}
d \mu_{3}\left((A, B)^{\prime}\right) & =\frac{1}{2} A d_{g_{u}}\left[A,\left(A d_{\exp Z}+A d_{\exp (-Z)}\right) \Upsilon\right] \\
& +\frac{1}{2} A d_{g_{u}}\left(A d_{\exp Z}\left[E_{Z} i B, \Upsilon\right]+A d_{\exp (-Z)}\left[E_{(-Z)}(-i B), \Upsilon\right]\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

which simplifies to $\frac{1}{2} A d_{g_{u}}\left[A,\left(A d_{\exp Z}+A d_{\exp (-Z)}\right) \Upsilon\right]-\frac{i}{2} A d_{g_{u}}\left(A d_{\exp Z}-A d_{\exp (-Z)}\right) J E_{Z} B$. It follows that for $X \in \mathfrak{g}_{u}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\kappa\left(d \mu_{m}\left(\chi_{m}\right), A d_{g_{u}} X\right) & =\kappa\left(\frac{1}{2} A d_{g_{u}}\left[A,\left(A d_{\exp Z}+A d_{\exp (-Z)}\right) \Upsilon\right], A d_{g_{u}} X\right) \\
& -\kappa\left(\frac{i}{2} A d_{g_{u}}\left(A d_{\exp Z}-A d_{\exp (-Z)}\right) J E_{Z} B, A d_{g_{u}} X\right) \\
& =\kappa\left(\frac{1}{2}\left[A,\left(A d_{\exp Z}+A d_{\exp (-Z)}\right) \Upsilon\right]-\frac{i}{2}\left(A d_{\exp Z}-A d_{\exp (-Z)}\right) J E_{Z} B, X\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand, from

$$
\begin{aligned}
A d_{\exp \left(t A d_{g_{u}} X\right)} A d_{g_{u}} A d_{\exp Z} \Upsilon & =A d_{g_{u}} A d_{\exp (t X)} A d_{\exp Z} \Upsilon \\
& \sim A d_{g_{u}} A d_{\exp \left(t p r o j j_{\mathfrak{m}_{u}}{ }^{\mathfrak{g} u} X\right)} A d_{\exp \left(t p r o j_{\mathfrak{k}_{0}}^{\mathfrak{g}_{u}} X\right)} A d_{\exp Z} \Upsilon \\
& \sim A d_{g_{u}} A d_{\exp \left(t p r o j j_{\mathfrak{m}_{u}}{ }^{\mathfrak{g} u} X\right)} A d_{\exp \left(Z+t\left[p r o j_{\mathfrak{k}_{0}}^{\mathfrak{g}_{u}} X, Z\right]\right)} \Upsilon
\end{aligned}
$$

we conclude that $\eta_{A d_{g_{u}} X}=\left(\operatorname{proj}_{\mathfrak{m}_{u}}^{\mathfrak{g}_{u}} X,-i\left[\operatorname{proj}_{\mathfrak{k}_{0}}^{\mathfrak{g}_{u}} X, Z\right]\right)$ ). From Proposition 8.2 and Lemma 4.18, it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\omega_{3}\left((A, B)^{\wedge}, \eta_{A d_{g_{u}} p r o j_{\mathfrak{m}_{u}}^{\mathfrak{g}_{u}} X}\right) & =-\kappa\left(J S_{Z} A, S_{Z} \operatorname{pro}_{\mathfrak{m}_{u}}^{\mathfrak{g}_{u}} X\right)+\kappa\left(J E_{Z} B,-i E_{Z}\left[p r o j_{\mathfrak{k}_{0}}^{\mathfrak{g}_{u}} X, Z\right]\right) \\
& =-\kappa\left(S_{Z} J S_{Z} A, \operatorname{proj} j_{\mathfrak{m}_{u}}^{\mathfrak{g}_{u}} X\right)-i \kappa\left(a d_{Z} E_{Z} J E_{Z} B, p r o j_{\mathfrak{k}_{0}}^{\mathfrak{g}_{u}} X\right) \\
& =-\kappa\left(S_{Z} J S_{Z} A, X\right)-i \kappa\left(a d_{Z} E_{Z} J E_{Z} B, X\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

using the orthogonality of $\mathfrak{k}_{0}$ and $\mathfrak{m}_{0}$.
To verify the formula for $\mu_{3}$, by Definition 9.1, we must verify that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2}\left[A,\left(A d_{\exp Z}+A d_{\exp (-Z)}\right) \Upsilon\right] & =-S_{Z} J S_{Z} A \\
\frac{1}{2}\left(A d_{\exp Z}-A d_{\exp (-Z)}\right) J E_{Z} B & =a d_{Z} E_{Z} J E_{Z} B
\end{aligned}
$$

The first equation follows from Lemma 4.9(2), while the second equation follows from Lemma 4.10.

Finally, we obtain the version of $\mu_{3}$ in Proposition 9.2 using Lemmas 6.1(4) and 4.11(3).

Corollary 9.5. The $\mathfrak{g}$-valued, $G$-equivariant, $J_{1}$-holomorphic moment map on $\mathcal{O}$, relative to the holomorphic symplectic form $-i \omega_{2}+\omega_{3}$, is $-i \mu_{2}+\mu_{3}$, which is simply the inclusion map.

## 10. Statements of Main Results

The following theorem shows that for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^{*}, T_{\lambda}$ intertwines $J_{(\lambda)}$ with $J_{1}$. Thus, except for $\pm J_{3}$, all complex structures on $\mathcal{O}$ arising from the hyperkähler structure are $G_{u^{-}}$ equivariantly equivalent to $J_{1}$ via an explicit map.
Theorem 10.1. Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^{*}$, let $A, B \in \mathfrak{m}_{u}$, let $Z \in \mathfrak{m}_{0}$, and let $g_{u} \in G_{u}$. We have

$$
d T_{\lambda}^{-1} \circ J_{1} \circ d T_{\lambda}(A, B)_{g_{u}, Z}^{\ulcorner }=J_{(\lambda)}(A, B)_{g_{u}, Z}^{{ }_{2}}
$$

Recall that $J_{1}$ gives the usual complex structure on $\mathcal{O}$, and the usual holomorphic action of $G$ on $\mathcal{O}$ is $(g, x) \mapsto A d_{g}(x)$ (where $x \in \mathcal{O}$ ). For $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^{*}$ (which is to say, $J_{(\lambda)} \neq \pm J_{3}$ ), we have:

Corollary 10.2. (1) For $\mathcal{O}$ equipped with the complex structure $J_{(\lambda)}$, there is a holomorphic action of $G$ given by $(g, x) \mapsto T_{\lambda}^{-1} \circ A d_{g} \circ T_{\lambda}(x)$.
(2) This holomorphic action extends the standard action of $G_{u}$ on $\mathcal{O}$ via $A d$.
(3) This holomorphic action is transitive. ${ }^{7}$

The map $T_{\lambda}$ also intertwines holomorphic symplectic forms for the complex structures $J_{(\lambda)}$ and $J_{1}$, as we now make precise. Beginning with $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^{*}$, we can construct a holomorphic symplectic form relative to $J_{(\lambda)}$ as follows. We use the notation from Section 2. Noting that the vector $\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}\right)$ is orthogonal to the vector $\frac{1}{\lambda_{1}^{2}+\lambda_{2}^{2}}\left(-\lambda_{2}, \lambda_{1}, 0\right)$ and that their cross product is $\frac{1}{\lambda_{1}^{2}+\lambda_{2}^{2}}\left(-\lambda_{1} \lambda_{3},-\lambda_{2} \lambda_{3}, \lambda_{1}^{2}+\lambda_{2}^{2}\right)$, we obtain the holomorphic symplectic form

$$
\frac{1}{\lambda_{2}^{2}+\lambda_{2}^{2}}\left(\left(-\lambda_{2}-i \lambda_{1} \lambda_{3}\right) \omega_{1}+\left(\lambda_{1}-i \lambda_{2} \lambda_{3}\right) \omega_{2}+i\left(\lambda_{1}^{2}+\lambda_{2}^{2}\right) \omega_{3}\right)
$$

which simplifies to $\frac{i}{2}\left(\lambda-\lambda^{-1}\right) \omega_{1}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\lambda+\lambda^{-1}\right) \omega_{2}+i \omega_{3}$. In fact, this is the pullback via the map $T_{\lambda}$ of the standard holomorphic symplectic form relative to $J_{1}$ :
Theorem 10.3. $\left(d T_{\lambda}\right)^{*}\left(\omega_{2}+i \omega_{3}\right)=\frac{i}{2}\left(\lambda-\lambda^{-1}\right) \omega_{1}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\lambda+\lambda^{-1}\right) \omega_{2}+i \omega_{3}$
Above, we showed the equivalence between the complex structures $J_{1}$ and $J_{(\lambda)} \neq \pm J_{3}$, their associated holomorphic $G$ actions, and their associated holomorphic symplectic forms. Below, we show that in contrast, the real symplectic forms associated to the various $J_{(\lambda)}$ are deformations of the real symplectic form $\omega_{3}$ associated to $J_{3}$, except when $|\lambda|=1$.

Specifically, given $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^{*}$ with $|\lambda| \neq 1$, define $\nu=\nu(\lambda)=\frac{\lambda(1+|\lambda|)}{\mid \lambda(1-|\lambda|)} \in \mathbb{C}^{*}$. The following theorem shows that the pullback via $T_{\nu}$ of the standard real symplectic form for $J_{3}$ is a nonzero constant multiple of the standard real symplectic form for $J_{(\lambda)}$ :
Theorem 10.4. $\frac{1-|\lambda|^{2}}{1+|\lambda|^{2}}\left(d T_{\nu}\right)^{*}\left(\omega_{3}\right)=\omega_{(J)}$
It follows that for each real symplectic form $\omega_{\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}\right)}$ with $\lambda_{3} \neq 0$, the corresponding moment map is, up to scalar multiple, a deformation (via $T_{\nu}$ ) of the moment map $\mu_{3}$ associated with $\omega_{3}$ :
Corollary 10.5. For all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^{*}$ with $|\lambda| \neq 1$, we have $\mu_{(\lambda)}=\frac{1-|\lambda|^{2}}{1+|\lambda|^{2}} \mu_{3} \circ T_{\nu}$.

[^7]Finally, we give explicit formulas for the function $T_{\lambda}$, both on $\mathcal{O}$, and (via $\Phi$ ) on $G_{u} *^{K_{0}} \mathfrak{m}_{u}$.
Theorem 10.6. (1) Let $g_{u} \in G_{u}$ and let $Z \in \mathfrak{m}_{0}$; without loss of generality we may assume that $Z=\sum c_{\psi} x_{\psi} \in \mathfrak{a}_{0}$ for some $\left\{c_{\psi}\right\} \subset \mathbb{R}$. Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^{*}$, and write $\lambda=|\lambda| u^{2}$ where $|u|=1$. We then have that $T_{\lambda}: \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$ is given by $A d_{g_{u}} A d_{\exp Z} \Upsilon \mapsto$ $A d_{g_{u}^{\prime}} A d_{\exp Z^{\prime}} \Upsilon$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
g_{u}^{\prime} & =g_{u} \cdot \Pi_{\psi} \exp \left(i \cdot s_{\psi} \cdot t_{u^{2}}\left(y_{\psi}\right)\right) \\
Z^{\prime} & =\sum_{\psi} r_{\psi} \cdot t_{u^{2}}\left(x_{\psi}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s_{\psi}=\frac{1}{2} \arctan \left(\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{|\lambda|}-|\lambda|\right) \tanh \left(2 c_{\psi}\right)\right) \\
& r_{\psi}=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{arcsinh}\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{|\lambda|}+|\lambda|\right) \sinh \left(2 c_{\psi}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

(2) Let $g_{u} \in G_{u}$ and let $W \in \mathfrak{m}_{u}$; without loss of generality we may assume that $W=$ $\sum i d_{\psi} x_{\psi} \in i \mathfrak{a}_{0}$ for some $\left\{d_{\psi}\right\} \subset \mathbb{R}$. Take $\lambda$ as above. We then have that $\Phi \circ T_{\lambda} \circ \Phi^{-1}:$ $G_{u} *^{K_{0}} \mathfrak{m}_{u} \rightarrow G_{u} *^{K_{0}} \mathfrak{m}_{u}$ is given by $\left[g_{u}, W\right] \mapsto\left[g_{u}^{\prime}, W^{\prime}\right]$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
g_{u}^{\prime} & =g_{u} \cdot \Pi_{\psi} \exp \left(i \cdot s_{\psi} \cdot t_{u^{2}}\left(y_{\psi}\right)\right) \\
W^{\prime} & =\frac{i}{2}\left(\frac{1}{|\lambda|}+|\lambda|\right) \sum_{\psi} d_{\psi} \cdot t_{u^{2}}\left(x_{\psi}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(t_{\lambda}+t_{1 / \bar{\lambda}}\right) W
\end{aligned}
$$

and where

$$
s_{\psi}=\frac{1}{2} \arctan \left(\left(\frac{1}{|\lambda|}-|\lambda|\right)\left(\frac{-d_{\psi}}{1+4 d_{\psi}^{2}}\right)\right)
$$

Note that for $\lambda$ as written, the role of $u$ is relatively uninteresting, compared to the situation when $|\lambda| \neq 1$. Note also the remarkably simple formula $W^{\prime}=\frac{1}{2}\left(t_{\lambda}+t_{1 / \bar{\lambda}}\right) W$.
Corollary 10.7. $T_{\lambda}$ is a bijection.

## 11. Proofs of Main Results

### 11.1. Proof of Theorem 10.1.

Proposition 11.1. Let $g \in G$, and $X, A_{0}, B_{0}, C, D \in \mathfrak{m}$. The following are equivalent:
(1) $\left.\frac{d}{d t}\right|_{0} A d_{g} A d_{\exp t C} A d_{\exp (X+i t D)} \Upsilon=\left.\frac{d}{d t}\right|_{0} A d_{g} A d_{\exp t i A_{0}} A d_{\exp \left(X-t B_{0}\right)} \Upsilon$
(2) $S_{X} C+i E_{X} D=i S_{X} A_{0}-E_{X} B_{0}$

Proof.

$$
\text { 1. } \begin{aligned}
& \Leftrightarrow A d_{\exp (X+i t D)} \Upsilon \sim A d_{\exp t\left(i A_{0}-C\right)} A d_{\exp \left(X-t B_{0}\right)} \Upsilon \\
& \Leftrightarrow A d_{\exp X} A d_{\exp t E_{X, r}(i D)} \Upsilon \sim A d_{\exp t\left(i A_{0}-C\right)} A d_{\exp X} A d_{\exp t E_{X, r}\left(-B_{0}\right)} \Upsilon \\
& \Leftrightarrow A d_{\exp X} A d_{\exp t E_{X, r}(i D)} \Upsilon \sim A d_{\exp X} A d_{\exp t\left(A d_{\exp }-X\left(i A_{0}-C\right)-E_{X, r}\left(B_{0}\right)\right)} \Upsilon \\
& \Leftrightarrow E_{X, r}(i D) \equiv A d_{\exp -X}\left(i A_{0}-C\right)-E_{X, r}\left(B_{0}\right) \bmod \mathfrak{k} \\
& \Leftrightarrow E_{X}(i D)=S_{X}\left(i A_{0}-C\right)-E_{X}\left(B_{0}\right) \Leftrightarrow 2 .
\end{aligned}
$$

We proceed to the proof of Theorem 10.1. Let $g_{0} \in G_{u}, Z \in \mathfrak{m}_{0}$, and $A, B \in \mathfrak{m}_{u}$. We compute:

$$
\begin{aligned}
(A, B)_{g_{u}, Z} & =\left.\frac{d}{d t}\right|_{0} A d_{g_{u}} A d_{\exp t A} A d_{\exp (Z+i t B)} \Upsilon \\
& \left.\stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{d T_{\lambda}} \frac{d}{d t}\right|_{0} A d_{g_{u}} A d_{\exp t A} A d_{\exp \left(t_{\lambda} Z+t i t_{\lambda} B\right)} \Upsilon \\
& \left.\stackrel{\rightarrow}{J_{1}} \frac{d}{d t}\right|_{0} A d_{g_{u}} A d_{\exp t i A} A d_{\exp \left(t_{\lambda} Z-t t_{\lambda} B\right) \Upsilon}
\end{aligned}
$$

We apply Proposition 11.1 with $X=t_{\lambda} Z, A_{0}=A$, and $B_{0}=t_{\lambda} B$; this determines $C, D$ by the equation $S_{t_{\lambda} Z} C+i E_{t_{\lambda} Z} D=i S_{t_{\lambda} Z} A-E_{t_{\lambda} Z} t_{\lambda} B$ with the additional assumption that $C$ and $t_{\lambda}^{-1} D$ are in $\mathfrak{m}_{u}$. With these assumptions, the displayed calculation above continues as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\left.\frac{d}{d t}\right|_{0} A d_{g_{u}} A d_{\exp t C} A d_{\exp t_{\lambda} Z+i t t_{\lambda}\left(t_{\lambda}^{-1} D\right)} \Upsilon \\
& \left.\underset{d T_{\lambda}^{-1}}{\mapsto} \frac{d}{d t}\right|_{0} A d_{g_{u}} A d_{\exp t C} A d_{\exp Z+i t D} \Upsilon \\
& =\left(C, t_{\lambda}^{-1} D\right)_{g_{u}, Z}
\end{aligned}
$$

Comparing with Definition 3.1, we see that the proof of Theorem 10.1 is complete after we have verified the following proposition:

Proposition 11.2. With notation as above,

$$
\begin{aligned}
C & =\lambda_{1}\left(-S_{Z}^{-1} E_{Z} B\right)+\lambda_{2}\left(-J S_{Z}^{-1} E_{Z} B\right)+\lambda_{3} J A \\
t_{\lambda}^{-1} D & =\lambda_{1}\left(E_{Z}^{-1} S_{Z} A\right)+\lambda_{2}\left(-E_{Z}^{-1} S_{Z} J A\right)+\lambda_{3}\left(-E_{Z}^{-1} S_{Z} J S_{Z}^{-1} E_{Z} B\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. So defined, we would have that $C$ and $t_{\lambda}^{-1} D$ are in $\mathfrak{m}_{u}$, so the only question is whether the putative formulas for $C$ and $D$ satisfy the equation $S_{t_{\lambda} Z} C+i E_{t_{\lambda} Z} D=i S_{t_{\lambda} Z} A-$ $E_{t_{\lambda}} Z_{\lambda} B$. Substituting the putative formulas for $C$ and $D$ into the equation and separating the conditions on $A$ and $B$, it is sufficient to verify that

$$
\begin{aligned}
i t_{\lambda} S_{t_{\lambda} Z}(A) & =i\left(\lambda_{1} E_{t_{\lambda} Z} t_{\lambda} E_{Z}^{-1} S_{Z}-\lambda_{2} E_{t_{\lambda} Z} t_{\lambda} E_{Z}^{-1} S_{Z} J-i \lambda_{3} S_{t_{\lambda} Z} J\right) A \\
-E_{t_{\lambda} Z} t_{\lambda} B & =-\left(\lambda_{1} S_{t_{\lambda} Z} S_{Z}^{-1} E_{Z}+\lambda_{2} S_{t_{\lambda} Z} J S_{Z}^{-1} E_{Z} B+i \lambda_{3} E_{t_{\lambda} Z} t_{\lambda} E_{Z}^{-1} S_{Z} J S_{Z}^{-1} E_{Z}\right) B
\end{aligned}
$$

and after applying Lemma 4.15(4) and simplifying, this reduces to

$$
\begin{aligned}
t_{\lambda}^{-1} & =\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2} J-i \lambda_{3} t_{\lambda}^{-1} J \\
I & =\lambda_{1} t_{\lambda}^{-1}+\lambda_{2} t_{\lambda}^{-1} J+i \lambda_{3} J
\end{aligned}
$$

The first of these is equivalent to the equation that was verified in Lemma 4.15(6). The second is similar, but with the substitution $\lambda \mapsto 1 / \lambda, \lambda_{1} \mapsto \lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2} \mapsto-\lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3} \mapsto-\lambda_{3}$.
11.2. Proof of Corollary 10.2. Part 1 is immediate from Theorem 10.1, which gives Part 3. Part 2 is a consequence of the fact that $T_{\lambda}$ is $G_{u}$-equivariant (Definition 4.16).
11.3. Proof of Theorem 10.3. We use the "hat" tangent vectors, with $g_{u} \in G_{u}$ and $Z \in \mathfrak{m}_{0}$ fixed, and with $A, B \in \mathfrak{m}_{u}$. We calculate $d T_{\lambda}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left.(A, B)_{g_{u}, Z}^{)_{2}} \underset{d T_{\lambda}}{\longmapsto} \frac{d}{d t}\right|_{0} A d_{g_{u}} A d_{\exp (t A)} A d_{\exp \left(t_{\lambda} Z+i t t_{\lambda} B\right)} \Upsilon \\
&=\left.\frac{d}{d t}\right|_{0} A d_{g_{u}} A d_{\exp (t A)} A d_{\exp \left(t_{\lambda} Z\right)} A d_{\exp \left(i t E_{t_{\lambda}} Z\left(t_{\lambda} B\right)\right)} \Upsilon \\
&=\left.\frac{d}{d t}\right|_{0} A d_{g_{u}} A d_{\exp \left(t_{\lambda} Z\right)} A d_{\exp \left(t A d_{\exp \left(-t_{\lambda} Z\right)}(A)\right)} A d_{\exp \left(i t E_{t_{\lambda}} Z\left(t_{\lambda} B\right)\right)} \Upsilon \\
&=\left.\frac{d}{d t}\right|_{0} A d_{g_{u}} A d_{\exp \left(t_{\lambda} Z\right)} A d_{\exp \left(t\left(A d_{\exp \left(-t_{\lambda} Z\right)}(A)+i t E_{t_{\lambda}} Z\left(t_{\lambda} B\right)\right)\right)} \Upsilon
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, from the definition of $\omega_{2}+i \omega_{3}$ as $-i$ times the KKS form, we have, on the one hand, that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\omega_{2}+i \omega_{3}\right)( & \left.d T_{\lambda}\left(A_{1}, B_{1}\right), d T_{\lambda}\left(A_{2}, B_{2}\right)\right) \\
= & -i \kappa\left(\Upsilon,\left[A d_{\exp \left(-t_{\lambda} Z\right)} A_{1}+i E_{t_{\lambda} Z} t_{\lambda} B_{1}, A d_{\exp \left(-t_{\lambda} Z\right)} A_{2}+i E_{t_{\lambda} Z} t_{\lambda} B_{2}\right]\right) \\
= & -i \kappa\left(\left[\Upsilon, A d_{\exp \left(-t_{\lambda} Z\right)} A_{1}+i E_{t_{\lambda} Z} t_{\lambda} B_{1}\right], A d_{\exp \left(-t_{\lambda} Z\right)} A_{2}+i E_{t_{\lambda} Z} t_{\lambda} B_{2}\right) \\
= & -i \kappa\left(J S_{t_{\lambda} Z} A_{1}+i J E_{t_{\lambda} Z} t_{\lambda} B_{1}, S_{t_{\lambda} Z} A_{2}+i E_{t_{\lambda} Z} t_{\lambda} B_{2}\right) \\
= & -i \kappa\left(J S_{t_{\lambda} Z} A_{1}+i t_{\lambda} J E_{Z} B_{1}, S_{t_{\lambda}} Z A_{2}+i t_{\lambda} E_{Z} B_{2}\right) \quad(\text { Lemma } 4.15(  \tag{5}\\
=- & i \kappa\left(J S_{t_{\lambda} Z} A_{1}, S_{t_{\lambda} Z} A_{2}\right)+i \kappa\left(t_{\lambda} J E_{Z} B_{1}, t_{\lambda} E_{Z} B_{2}\right) \\
& +\kappa\left(t_{\lambda} J E_{Z} B_{1}, S_{t_{\lambda} Z} A_{2}\right)+\kappa\left(J S_{t_{\lambda} Z} A_{1}, t_{\lambda} E_{Z} B_{2}\right) \\
=- & i \kappa\left(J S_{t_{\lambda} Z} A_{1}, S_{t_{\lambda} Z} A_{2}\right)+i \kappa\left(t_{\lambda} J E_{Z} B_{1}, t_{\lambda} E_{Z} B_{2}\right) \\
& +\kappa\left(t_{\lambda} J E_{Z} B_{1}, t_{\lambda} S_{Z} t_{\lambda}^{-1} A_{2}\right)+\kappa\left(J t_{\lambda} S_{Z} t_{\lambda}^{-1} A_{1}, t_{\lambda} E_{Z} B_{2}\right) \\
=- & i \kappa\left(J S_{t_{\lambda} Z} A_{1}, S_{t_{\lambda} Z} A_{2}\right)+i \kappa\left(J E_{Z} B_{1}, E_{Z} B_{2}\right) \\
& \quad-\kappa\left(E_{Z} B_{1}, J S_{Z} t_{\lambda}^{-1} A_{2}\right)+\kappa\left(J S_{Z} t_{\lambda}^{-1} A_{1}, E_{Z} B_{2}\right),
\end{align*}
$$

the last equality via Lemmas 4.18(5) and 4.18(2). On the other hand, we can use Proposition 8.2 to compute that $\frac{i}{2}\left(\lambda-\lambda^{-1}\right) \omega_{1}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\lambda+\lambda^{-1}\right) \omega_{2}+i \omega_{3}$ evaluates on our pair of "hat" vectors to

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{i}{2}\left(\lambda-\lambda^{-1}\right)\left(-\kappa\left(A_{1}, S_{J Z} E_{Z} B_{2}\right)\right. & \left.+\kappa\left(S_{J Z} E_{Z} B_{1}, A_{2}\right)\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{2}\left(\lambda+\lambda^{-1}\right)\left(\kappa\left(J S_{Z} A_{1}, E_{Z} B_{2}\right)-\kappa\left(E_{Z} B_{1}, J S_{Z} A_{2}\right)\right) \\
& +i\left(\kappa\left(J E_{Z} B_{1}, E_{Z} B_{2}\right)-\kappa\left(J S_{Z} A_{1}, S_{Z} A_{2}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

After collecting terms and using Lemmas 4.1(2) and 4.18(3) and the projection formulas in Lemma 4.4(2,3), the expression simplifies to

$$
\begin{aligned}
-i \kappa\left(J S_{Z} A_{1}, S_{Z} A_{2}\right) & +i \kappa\left(J E_{Z} B_{1}, E_{Z} B_{2}\right) \\
& +\kappa\left(J S_{Z} \circ\left(\lambda \operatorname{proj}_{\mathfrak{m}^{-}}^{\mathfrak{m}}+\lambda^{-1} \operatorname{proj}_{\mathfrak{m}^{+}}^{\mathfrak{m}}\right) A_{1}, E_{Z} B_{2}\right) \\
& +\kappa\left(E_{Z} B_{1}, J S_{Z}\left(-\lambda \operatorname{proj}_{\mathfrak{m}^{-}}^{\mathfrak{m}}-\lambda^{-1} \operatorname{proj}_{\mathfrak{m}^{+}}^{\mathfrak{m}}\right) A_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

To prove Theorem 10.3, we compare like terms. It is immediate that the corresponding $B_{1}, B_{2}$ terms are equal. The corresponding $A_{1}, B_{2}$ and $B_{1}, A_{2}$ terms are equal, simply by interpreting $t_{\lambda}^{-1}$ as $\lambda \operatorname{proj}_{\mathfrak{m}^{-}}^{\mathfrak{m}}+\lambda^{-1}$ proj $_{\mathfrak{m}^{+}}^{\mathfrak{m}}$. For the $A_{1}, A_{2}$ terms, we must show
that $\kappa\left(J S_{t_{\lambda} Z} A_{1}, S_{t_{\lambda} Z} A_{2}\right)=\kappa\left(J S_{Z} A_{1}, S_{Z} A_{2}\right)$. Using Lemmas 4.1(2) and 4.18(3), this is equivalent to $\kappa\left(J S_{J t_{\lambda} Z} S_{t_{\lambda} Z} A_{1}, A_{2}\right)=\kappa\left(J S_{J Z} S_{Z} A_{1} A_{2}\right)$. By Lemma 4.5, the operators $S_{J t_{\lambda} Z} S_{t_{\lambda} Z}$ and $S_{J Z} S_{Z}$ map $\mathfrak{m}^{+}$to $\mathfrak{m}^{+}$and $\mathfrak{m}^{-}$to $\mathfrak{m}^{-}$; then by Lemma 4.15(5) we have that $S_{J t_{\lambda} Z} S_{t_{\lambda} Z}=t_{\lambda} S_{J Z} S_{Z} t_{\lambda}^{-1}$. Using that $\kappa$ restricted to either $\mathfrak{m}^{+}$or $\mathfrak{m}^{-}$is zero, we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\kappa\left(J S_{J t_{\lambda} Z} S_{t_{\lambda} Z} A_{1}, A_{2}\right) & =\kappa\left(J S_{J t_{\lambda} Z} S_{t_{\lambda} Z} A_{1}^{+}, A_{2}^{-}\right)+\kappa\left(J S_{J t_{\lambda} Z} S_{t_{\lambda} Z} A_{1}^{-}, A_{2}^{+}\right) \\
& =\kappa\left(J t_{\lambda} S_{J Z} S_{Z} t_{\lambda}^{-1} A_{1}^{+}, A_{2}^{-}\right)+\kappa\left(J t_{\lambda} S_{J Z} S_{Z} t_{\lambda}^{-1} A_{1}^{-}, A_{2}^{+}\right) \\
& =\kappa\left(i \lambda S_{J Z} S_{Z} \lambda^{-1} A_{1}^{+}, A_{2}^{-}\right)+\kappa\left(-i \lambda^{-1} S_{J Z} S_{Z} \lambda A_{1}^{-}, A_{2}^{+}\right) \\
& =\kappa\left(i S_{J Z} S_{Z} A_{1}^{+}, A_{2}^{-}\right)+\kappa\left(-i S_{J Z} S_{Z} A_{1}^{-}, A_{2}^{+}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since this is independent of the variable $\lambda$, it coincides with the value when $\lambda=1$, which is $\kappa\left(J S_{J Z} S_{Z} A_{1}, A_{2}\right)$.

We record a result of our computation:

## Proposition 11.3.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\omega_{2}+i \omega_{3}\right)\left(d T_{\lambda}\left(A_{1}, B_{1}\right)^{\kappa}, d T_{\lambda}\left(A_{2}, B_{2}\right)\right) & =-i \kappa\left(J S_{Z} A_{1}, S_{Z} A_{2}\right)+i \kappa\left(J E_{Z} B_{1}, E_{Z} B_{2}\right) \\
& +\kappa\left(J S_{Z} t_{\lambda}^{-1} A_{1}, E_{Z} B_{2}\right)-\kappa\left(E_{Z} B_{1}, J S_{Z} t_{\lambda}^{-1} A_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

11.4. Proof of Theorem 10.4. On the one hand, since $\omega_{3}$ is the imaginary part of $\omega_{2}+i \omega_{3}$, we can apply Proposition 11.3, with help from Lemma 4.15(7), to conclude that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\omega_{3}\right)\left(d T_{\nu}\left(A_{1}, B_{1}\right), d T_{\nu}\left(A_{2}, B_{2}\right)\right) & =-\kappa\left(J S_{Z} A_{1}, S_{Z} A_{2}\right)+\kappa\left(J E_{Z} B_{1}, E_{Z} B_{2}\right) \\
& +\kappa\left(J S_{Z} \circ \frac{t_{\nu}^{-1}-t_{\bar{\nu}}}{2 i}\left(A_{1}\right), E_{Z} B_{2}\right) \\
& -\kappa\left(E_{Z} B_{1}, J S_{Z} \circ \frac{t_{\nu}^{-1}-t_{\bar{\nu}}}{2 i}\left(A_{2}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand, $\omega_{(J)}=\lambda_{1} \omega_{1}+\lambda_{2} \omega_{2}+\lambda_{3} \omega_{3}$, so applying Proposition 8.2, we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\omega_{(J)}\left(\left(A_{1}, B_{1}\right)^{\prime},\left(A_{2}, B_{2}\right)^{\prime}\right) & =\lambda_{1}\left(-\kappa\left(A_{1}, S_{J Z} E_{Z} B_{2}\right)+\kappa\left(S_{J Z} E_{Z} B_{1}, A_{2}\right)\right) \\
& +\lambda_{2}\left(\kappa\left(J S_{Z} A_{1}, E_{Z} B_{2}\right)-\kappa\left(E_{Z} B_{1}, J S_{Z} A_{2}\right)\right) \\
& +\lambda_{3}\left(\kappa\left(J E_{Z} B_{1}, E_{Z} B_{2}\right)-\kappa\left(J S_{Z} A_{1}, S_{Z} A_{2}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

which, by familiar techniques, simplifies to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\lambda_{3} \kappa\left(J S_{Z} A_{1}, S_{Z} A_{2}\right)+\lambda_{3} \kappa\left(J E_{Z} B_{1}, B_{2}\right) \\
& \quad+\kappa\left(J S_{Z} \circ\left(\lambda_{1} J+\lambda_{2}\right) A_{1}, E_{Z} B_{2}\right)-\kappa\left(E_{Z} B_{1}, J S_{Z} \circ\left(\lambda_{1} J+\lambda_{2}\right) A_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

We have immediately the desired result with regard to the $A_{1}, A_{2}$ and $B_{1}, B_{2}$ terms. To finish the proof, we need $t_{\nu}^{-1}-t_{\bar{\nu}}=2 i \frac{\lambda_{1} J+\lambda_{2}}{\lambda_{3}}$ as operators on $\mathfrak{m}$. This is easily verified; both operators are multiplication by $\frac{-4 \bar{\lambda}}{1-|\lambda|^{2}}$ on $\mathfrak{m}^{+}$and multiplication by $\frac{4 \lambda}{1-|\lambda|^{2}}$ on $\mathfrak{m}^{-}$.
11.5. Proof of Corollary 10.5. First, the putative formula for $\mu_{(\lambda)}$ is $G_{u}$-equivariant since $T_{\nu}$ and $\mu_{3}$ both are $G_{u}$-equivariant.

Second, we must verify the key formula for moment maps, as stated in Definition 9.1. We let $p \in \mathcal{O}$, let $\chi_{p}$ be a vector tangent to $\mathcal{O}$ at $p$, let $X \in \mathfrak{g}_{u}$, and let $\left(\eta_{X}\right)_{p}=\left.\frac{d}{d t}\right|_{0} A d_{\exp (t X)} p$. We must show

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1-|\lambda|^{2}}{1+|\lambda|^{2}} \kappa\left(d\left(\mu_{3} \circ T_{\nu}\right)\left(\chi_{p}\right), X\right)=\omega_{(\lambda)}\left(\chi_{p},\left(\eta_{X}\right)_{p}\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\omega_{(\lambda)}=\frac{1-|\lambda|^{2}}{1+|\lambda|^{2}} d T_{\nu}^{*}\left(\omega_{3}\right)$ by Theorem 10.4, we see that Equation 4 is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\kappa\left(d\left(\mu_{3} \circ T_{\nu}\right)\left(\chi_{p}\right), X\right)=d T_{\nu}^{*} \omega_{3}\left(\chi_{p},\left(\eta_{X}\right)_{p}\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The left side of (5) is $\kappa\left(d \mu_{3}\left(d T_{\nu}\left(\chi_{p}\right)\right), X\right)$. The right side of (5) is $\omega_{3}\left(d T_{\nu}\left(\chi_{p}\right), d T_{\nu}\left(\eta_{X}\right)_{p}\right)$. However, $d T_{\nu}\left(\eta_{X}\right)_{p}=\left(\eta_{X}\right)_{T_{\nu}(p)}$. We see this since, on the one hand

$$
\begin{equation*}
d T_{\nu}\left(\eta_{X}\right)_{p}=\left.\frac{d}{d t}\right|_{0} T_{\nu}\left(A d_{\exp (t X)} p\right), \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and on the other hand,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\eta_{X}\right)_{T_{\nu}(p)}=\left.\frac{d}{d t}\right|_{0} A d_{\exp (t X)}\left(T_{\nu}(p)\right) ; \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

these are equal since $T_{\nu}$ is $G_{u}$-equivariant. With these substitutions, Equation 5 becomes

$$
\kappa\left(d \mu_{3}\left(d T_{\nu}\left(\chi_{p}\right)\right), X\right)=\omega_{3}\left(d T_{\nu}\left(\chi_{p}\right),\left(\eta_{X}\right)_{T_{\nu}(p)}\right)
$$

which is valid since $\mu_{3}$ is the moment map relative to $\omega_{3}$.
11.6. Proof of Theorem 10.6. We begin with $\mathcal{O}$. By strong orthogonality, the proof reduces to a calculation in $S L(2)$, namely,

$$
\left.\left.{ }^{A d} \exp ^{(c c} \begin{array}{cc}
0 & c \lambda  \tag{8}\\
c / \lambda & 0
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & -1
\end{array}\right)=A d \underset{\exp \left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & -s u^{2} \\
s \bar{u}^{2} & 0
\end{array}\right)}{ } \begin{array}{c}
A d \\
\exp \\
r \bar{u}^{2} \\
0
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 u^{2}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & -1
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $r$ and $s$ are as in the statement of the theorem.
On the one hand, by Lemma 4.7, the left side of Equation 8 is $\left(\begin{array}{cc}\cosh 2 c & -\lambda \sinh 2 c \\ \frac{\sinh 2 c}{\lambda} & -\cosh 2 c\end{array}\right)$. Using Lemma 4.7, matrix multiplication, and trigonometric identities, one can show that the right side of Equation 8 is

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\cosh (2 r) \cos (2 s) & u^{2} \cosh (2 r) \sin (2 s)-u^{2} \sinh (2 r) \\
\bar{u}^{2} \cosh (2 r) \sin (2 s)+\bar{u}^{2} \sinh (2 r) & -\cosh (2 r) \cos (2 s)
\end{array}\right)
$$

We are finished if we can verify the equations
(a) $\cosh (2 c)=\cosh (2 r) \cos (2 s)$
(b) $|\lambda| \sinh (2 c)=\sinh (2 r)-\cosh (2 r) \sin (2 s)$
(c) $\frac{\sinh (2 c)}{|\lambda|}=\sinh (2 r)+\cosh (2 r) \sin (2 s)$
which is to say
(a) $\cosh (2 c)=\cosh (2 r) \cos (2 s)$
(b) $\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{|\lambda|}+|\lambda|\right) \sinh (2 c)=\sinh (2 r)$
(c) $\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{|\lambda|}-|\lambda|\right) \sinh (2 c)=\cosh (2 r) \sin (2 s)$

Equation (b) follows immediately from the definition of $r$. Dividing the corresponding sides of (c) and (a), one obtains the equation $\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{|\lambda|}-|\lambda|\right) \tanh (2 c)=\tan (2 s)$, which is true by the definition of $s$. We conclude by verifying Equation (a). Note that since cosh takes on positive values and since $\cos (2 s)$ is positive by the definition of $s$, it is sufficient to verify that (a) $\cosh ^{2}(2 c)=\cosh ^{2}(2 r) \cos ^{2}(2 s)$. We can write $\cosh ^{2}(2 r)$ as $1+\sinh ^{2}(2 r)$ and $\cos ^{2}(2 s)$ as $\frac{1}{1+\tan ^{2}(2 s)}$ and then use the definitions of $r$ and $s$ to $\operatorname{simplify} \cosh ^{2}(2 r) \cos ^{2}(2 s)$;
after algebraic manipulation and the use of the Pythagorean trigonometric identities, this reduces to $\cosh ^{2}(2 c)$. This concludes the proof of the first part of Theorem 10.6.

In the second part of Theorem 10.6, we observe that by the formula in Section 4.5, $\Phi^{-1}\left[g_{u}, W\right]=A d_{g_{u}} A d_{\exp \left(\sum c_{\psi} x_{\psi}\right)} \Upsilon$, where $c_{\psi}=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{arcsinh}\left(-2 d_{\psi}\right)$. This is sent by $T_{\lambda}$ to $A d_{g_{u}^{\prime}} A d_{\exp Z^{\prime}} \Upsilon$, where $g_{u}^{\prime}$ and $Z^{\prime}$ are as in Part 1 of the theorem. We consider these in turn. First, in the formula for $g_{u}^{\prime}$, we note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
s_{\psi} & =\frac{1}{2} \arctan \left(\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{|\lambda|}-|\lambda|\right) \tanh \left(2 c_{\psi}\right)\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \arctan \left(\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{|\lambda|}-|\lambda|\right) \tanh \left(\operatorname{arcsinh}\left(-2 d_{\psi}\right)\right)\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \arctan \left(\left(\frac{1}{|\lambda|}-|\lambda|\right) \frac{-d_{\psi}}{1+4 d_{\psi}^{2}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

using that $\tanh (\operatorname{arcsinh} z)=\frac{z}{\sqrt{1+z^{2}}}$. Second, we know that $Z^{\prime}=\sum_{\psi} r_{\psi} \cdot t_{u^{2}}\left(x_{\psi}\right)$; then note that in computing $\Phi\left(A d_{g_{u}^{\prime}} A d_{\exp Z^{\prime}} \Upsilon\right)$, in the second slot the entry $W^{\prime}$ will be

$$
\begin{aligned}
-i P\left(\sum_{\psi} r_{\psi} \cdot t_{u^{2}}\left(x_{\psi}\right)\right) & =-i \cdot \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\psi} \sinh \left(2 r_{\psi}\right) \cdot t_{u^{2}}\left(x_{\psi}\right) \\
& =-\frac{i}{2} \sum_{\psi}-\left(\frac{1}{|\lambda|}+|\lambda|\right) d_{\psi} \cdot t_{u^{2}}\left(x_{\psi}\right) \\
& =\frac{i}{2}\left(\frac{1}{|\lambda|}+|\lambda|\right) \sum_{\psi} d_{\psi} \cdot t_{u^{2}}\left(x_{\psi}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The formula $W^{\prime}=\frac{1}{2}\left(t_{\lambda}+t_{1 / \lambda}\right) W$ follows immediately.
11.7. Proof of Corollary 10.7. This is easily seen in the setting $G_{u} *^{K_{0}} \mathfrak{m}_{u}$. The key observation is that $\frac{1}{2}\left(t_{\lambda}+t_{1 / \bar{\lambda}}\right): \mathfrak{m}_{u} \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}_{u}$ is bijective. Note that by Theorem 10.6, $T_{\lambda}$ sends $\left[g_{u}, W\right]$ to $\left[g_{u}^{\prime}, \frac{1}{2}\left(t_{\lambda}+t_{1 / \bar{\lambda}}\right) W\right]$, where $g_{u}^{\prime}$ equals $g_{u}$ times an element of $G_{u}$ that depends on $W$. For this, it is an easy exercise to see that $T_{\lambda}$ is a bijection.

## 12. Application: A new view of an old action

In this section, we apply the main results of $\S 10$, focusing on the action of $G_{0}$ on $\mathcal{O}$.
On the one hand, recall that for each $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^{*}$, there is a holomorphic action of $G$ on $\mathcal{O}$, relative to the complex structure $J_{(\lambda)}$. By Corollary 10.2, each of these actions is equivalent to the usual action of $G$ on $\mathcal{O}$ via the explicit intertwining map $T_{\lambda}$. For $\lambda=0$ or $\infty$, the the holomorphic action degenerates to the usual holomorphic action of $G$ on $T^{*}(G / Q)$.

On the other hand, as developed in [9], [10], for any choice of $\lambda$, there is a moment-critical subset of $\mathcal{O}$ (or equally well, of $T^{*}(G / Q)$ ), which arises via the composition

$$
\mathcal{O} \xrightarrow{\mu_{(\lambda)}} \mathfrak{g}_{u} \xrightarrow{\text { proj }} \mathfrak{m}_{u}
$$

These moment-critical sets are $K_{0}$-stable and often do a good job at representing the $G_{0}$ orbits. By Corollary 10.5, if $\lambda \neq 1$, then the moment-critical subset for $J_{(\lambda)}$ is obtained by pushing forward the moment-critical subset for $J_{3}$ using the explicit intertwining map $T_{\nu}$.

These two ideas come together in the following way. We take the usual action (with complex structure $J_{1}$ ) of $G_{0}$ on $\mathcal{O}$ as the fundamental topic of interest. The momentcritical subset represents the topologically closed $G_{0}$-orbits-a typical outcome for actions on an affine variety [13]. However, both the $G_{0}$-orbit structure and moment-critical sets for the action of $G_{0}$ on $T^{*}(G / Q)$ (with complex structure $J_{3}$ ) are quite different than for $J_{1}$. Then for intermediate complex structures $J_{(\lambda)}(0<|\lambda|<1)$, the $G_{0}$-orbit structure resembles that for $J_{1}$, and the moment-critical subsets resemble those for $J_{3}$. The new window on the action of $G_{0}$ on $\mathcal{O}$ comes from considering these $J_{(\lambda)}$. Specifically, we use $T_{\nu}$ to push forward the moment-critical subset for $J_{3}$, obtaining the moment-critical subset for $J_{(\lambda)}$. Here, since the holomorphic action of $G_{0}$ is just a camouflaged version of the action on $\mathcal{O}$, we push forward the $J_{(\lambda)}$-moment-critical subset via $T_{\lambda}^{-1}$ to obtain a new momentcritical subset for the usual action of $G_{0}$ on $\mathcal{O}$. The remarkable fact is that one obtains a quite different moment-critical subset than for $J_{1}$, that in particular, represents different $G_{0}$-orbits. In particular, it represents at least some of the non-closed orbits.

Below, we show how this works out for $S L(2)$. We will borrow freely from some of the (complicated) results in [5], where the reader can find justifications of some of the background outlined below.

In this example, we have $G_{u}=S U(2), G_{0}=S U(1,1)$, and $K_{0}=\left\{\left(\begin{array}{cc}e^{i \theta} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-i \theta}\end{array}\right): \theta \in \mathbb{R}\right\}$. The $K_{0}$-orbit of each point in $\mathcal{O}$ is represented by an element of the form

$$
A d\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\cos s & -\sin s  \tag{*}\\
\sin s & \cos s
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
e^{i c} & 0 \\
0 & e^{-i c}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\cosh r & \sinh r \\
\sinh r & \cosh r
\end{array}\right)^{\Upsilon}
$$

which under the identification of $\mathcal{O}$ with $G *^{Q} \mathfrak{m}^{-}$, corresponds to the point

$$
\left[\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\cos s & -\sin s  \tag{**}\\
\sin s & \cos s
\end{array}\right), \frac{1}{2 i}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 0 \\
e^{-2 i c} \cdot \sinh 2 r & 0
\end{array}\right)\right]
$$

We regard $G *^{Q} \mathfrak{m}^{-}$as the cotangent bundle to the 2-sphere, with the base being $G / Q \simeq S^{2}$ and the fiber over the base point being $\mathfrak{m}^{-} \simeq \mathbb{R}^{2}$. In the version $(*), s$ determines latitude on the 2 -sphere (with $s=0$ giving the north pole, $s=\pi / 4$ giving a point on the equator, and $s=\pi / 2$ giving the south pole). The parameter $r$ reflects magnitude in the fiber, while a change of $\theta$ produces rotation in the fiber. Under this correspondence, the north pole is obtained when $s=0=r$ and corresponds to $\Upsilon \in \mathcal{O}$, and the south pole is obtained when $s=\pi / 2$ and $r=0$, and this corresponds to $-\Upsilon$. More generally, the correspondence ( $* *$ ) carries $\mathcal{O}_{0}$ (resp. $-\mathcal{O}_{0}$ ) to the cotangent plane at the north (resp. south) pole, and $\mathcal{O}_{u}$ to the base 2 -sphere. The $K_{0}$-conjugacy class of $\frac{i}{2}\left(\begin{array}{ll}0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0\end{array}\right)$ gives the equator of the 2 -sphere; in $(*)$, it is achieved by $s=\pi / 4$ and $r=0$.

Below, we discuss the $G_{0}$-orbit structure and moment-critical subsets for the complex structures $J_{3}$ and $J_{1}$, then display the push-forward of the $J_{3}$-moment-critical subsets by $T_{\lambda}^{-1} \circ T_{\nu}$.

### 12.1. The complex structure $J_{3}$.

12.1.1. $G_{0}$-orbit structure. We interpret in the context of the cotangent bundle. The group $G_{0}$ acts on the base with three orbits: the northern hemisphere, the southern hemisphere, and the equator. These are represented by $\Upsilon,-\Upsilon$, and the point as in $(*)$ with $s=\pi / 4$ and $c, r=0$. As homogeneous spaces, the first two are isomorphic to $G_{0} / K_{0}$ and the third
is $G_{0} / B_{0}$, where $B_{0}$ consists of the real points of a split Borel subgroup. The closure of either of the hemispheres contains the equator.

Any other $G_{0}$-orbit in $G *^{Q} \mathfrak{m}^{-}$projects under $G *^{Q} \mathfrak{m}^{-} \rightarrow G / Q$ to one of the three orbits above.

There is a ray of orbits projecting to the upper hemisphere, each of them intersecting the cotangent space at the north pole in a single $K_{0}$-orbit (a circle). Each orbit is represented by a point of the form $(*)$ with $s=0=c$, and a choice of $r>0$ that uniquely determines the orbit. Each such orbit is closed and is isomorphic to $G_{0} /\{ \pm I\}$ as a homogeneous space. There is a similar situation for orbits over the southern hemisphere.

Finally, there are orbits that project to the equator. There is a circle of such orbits, each isomorphic to $G_{0} / U_{0}$, where $U_{0}$ is the group of real points of the unipotent radical of a split Borel subgroup, extended by $\pm I$. Each orbit is represented by a point as in $(*)$ with $s=\pi / 4, r=1$ (or any positive number), and a choice of $\theta \in[0, \pi)$ that uniquely determines the $G_{0}$-orbit. Each of these orbits contains the equator in its closure.
12.1.2. The moment-critical subset. The $K_{0}$-orbits of the moment-critical subsets are represented by:

- Points $(*)$ with $s=0, c=0$, and $r \geq 0$. The $K$-orbits of these points comprise the cotangent space at the north pole. Thus, each $G_{0}$-orbit in $G *^{Q} \mathfrak{m}^{-}$projecting to the upper hemisphere intersects the moment-critical subset in a single $K_{0}$-orbit, namely, the points in the orbit that lie above the north pole. This applies both to the closed $G_{0}$-orbits $(r>0)$, and the upper hemisphere itself $(r=0)$. There are similar considerations for the southern hemisphere, using $s=\pi / 2$.
- The point $(*)$ with $s=\pi / 4$ and $r=0=c$. The $K_{0}$-orbit of this point is exactly the equator of the 2 -sphere. Thus the equator is a $G_{0}$-orbit but consists entirely of momentcritical points; the non-closed $G_{0}$-orbits projecting to the equator are not represented by moment-critical points.


### 12.2. The complex structure $J_{1}$.

12.2.1. $G_{0}$-orbit structure. The set $\mathcal{O}$ contains one-parameter families of orbits isomorphic to $G_{0} /\{ \pm I\}$-all but four of them topologically closed, along with two orbits isomorphic to $G_{0} / K_{0}$, and one isomorphic to $G_{0} / T_{0}$, where $T_{0}$ is the group of real points of a split torus. To explain how these orbits fit together, it's useful to consider a $G_{0}$-invariant function on $\mathcal{O}$. We have that $\mathcal{O}=\left\{\frac{i}{2}\left(\begin{array}{cc}A & B \\ C & -A\end{array}\right): A, B, C \in \mathbb{C}\right.$ and $\left.A^{2}+B C=1\right\}$; the real-valued function $f=\frac{2|A|^{2}-|B|^{2}-|C|^{2}}{2}$ is $G_{0}$-invariant and has range $(-\infty, 1]$.

The exceptional $G_{0}$-orbits occur when $f= \pm 1$. There are two orbits on which $f=1$, namely the orbits $\pm \mathcal{O}_{0}$ of $\pm \Upsilon$, respectively, which are closed in $\mathcal{O}$ and isomorphic to $G_{0} / K_{0}$. Given a point $\frac{i}{2}\left(\begin{array}{cc}A & B \\ C & -A\end{array}\right)$ at which $f=1$, one can determine whether it is in the $G_{0}$-orbit of $\Upsilon$ or $-\Upsilon$ according to whether the real part of $A$ is positive or negative.

There are five orbits on which $f=-1$. One of them is topologically closed, namely, the orbit of $\frac{i}{2}\left(\begin{array}{ll}0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0\end{array}\right)$, which is the orbit isomorphic to $G_{0} / T_{0}$. Four orbits contain this orbit in their closures; they are isomorphic to $G_{0} /\{ \pm I\}$. They are represented by $\frac{i}{2}\left(\begin{array}{cc}1 & 2 \\ 0 & -1\end{array}\right)$,
$\frac{i}{2}\left(\begin{array}{cc}-1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right), \frac{i}{2}\left(\begin{array}{cc}1 & 0 \\ 2 & -1\end{array}\right)$, and $\frac{i}{2}\left(\begin{array}{cc}-1 & 0 \\ 2 & 1\end{array}\right)$. Given that $f=-1$, the closed orbit is determined by the conditions $\operatorname{Re}(A)=0$ and $|B|=|C|$. The other four orbits are separated by the signs of $\operatorname{Re}(A)$ and $|B|-|C|$.

There are two orbits for each value of $f$ with $-1<f<1$, each topologically closed and isomorphic to $G_{0} /\{ \pm I\}$. The sign of $\Re A$ separates the two orbits. An interesting choice of representatives occurs when $C=B$ and $A, B \in \mathbb{R}^{*}$. We obtain matrices $\frac{i}{2}\left(\begin{array}{cc}A & B \\ B & -A\end{array}\right)$ with $A^{2}+B^{2}=1$, on which $f$ takes the value $2 A^{2}-1 \in(-1,1)$.

Similarly, when $f<-1$, there are two $G_{0}$-orbits for each choice of the invariant $f$, each closed and isomorphic to $G_{0} /\{ \pm 1\}$. The sign of $|B|-|C|$ separates the two orbits. Interesting representatives occur with the choice $A=0, B>0, B \neq 1$. We obtain matrices $\frac{i}{2}\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & B \\ B^{-1} & 0\end{array}\right)$, on which $f$ takes the value $-\left(B^{2}+B^{-2}\right) / 2 \in(-\infty,-1)$.

### 12.2.2. The moment-critical subset.

- One collection of critical points comes from points $(*)$ with $r=0$. Viewed in $G *^{Q} \mathfrak{m}^{-}$, this constitutes the base 2 -sphere; viewed in $\mathcal{O}$, it is $\mathcal{O}_{u}$. The point $(*)$ is

$$
A d\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\cos s & -\sin s \\
\sin s & \cos s
\end{array}\right) \Upsilon=\frac{i}{2}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\cos 2 s & \sin 2 s \\
\sin 2 s & -\cos 2 s
\end{array}\right)
$$

which maps under $f$ to $\cos ^{2} 2 s-\sin ^{2} 2 s=\cos 4 s$. We obtain all matrices of this form by a choice of $s \in[0, \pi)$. The choices $s=0$ and $s=\pi / 2$ produce $\pm \Upsilon$, at which $f=1$, and the choice $s=\pi / 4$ produces $\frac{i}{2}\left(\begin{array}{ll}0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0\end{array}\right)$, which lies on the closed orbit with $f=-1$. The choices $s$ and $\pi / 2+s$ (with $s \in(0, \pi / 4)$ ) produce representatives of the two orbits at which $f=\cos 4 s \in(-1,1)$.

- A second collection of critical points (overlapping with the first) comes from points (*) with $s=\pi / 4, c=\pi / 2$, and $r$ arbitrary. Together, these can be viewed as a line bundle over the equator in $G *^{Q} \mathfrak{m}^{-}$, or as the $K$-conjugacy class of $\frac{i}{2}\left(\begin{array}{ll}0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0\end{array}\right)$ in $\mathcal{O}$. The point $(*)$ is

$$
{ }_{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & -1 \\
1 & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\cosh r & \sinh r \\
\sinh r & \cosh r
\end{array}\right) \Upsilon=\frac{i}{2}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & e^{-2 r} \\
e^{2 r} & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

which maps under $f$ to $\cosh 4 r$.
Thus, we see that the critical sets comprise the representatives of the closed orbits described in 12.2.1.
12.3. Alternate moment-critical points for the action of $G_{0}$ on $\mathcal{O}$. Fix $\lambda$ with $0<$ $|\lambda|<1$ and take $\nu$ as in Section 10. Using Theorem 10.6, we can compute $T_{\lambda}^{-1} \circ T_{\nu}$ for $S L(2)$. We consider the moment-critical subsets for $J_{3}$ (§12.1.2) and report their images under $T_{\lambda}^{-1} \circ T_{\nu}$.

- Regarding the first type, the moment-critical point (cotangent vector at the north pole)

$$
A d\left(\begin{array}{cc}
e^{i c} & 0 \\
0 & e^{-i c}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\cosh r & \sinh r \\
\sinh r & \cosh r
\end{array}\right) \Upsilon=\frac{i}{2}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\cosh 2 r & e^{2 i c} \sinh 2 r \\
-e^{-2 i c} \sinh 2 r & -\cosh 2 r
\end{array}\right)
$$

pushes forward under $T_{\lambda}^{-1} \circ T_{\nu}$ to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A d\left(\begin{array}{cc}
e^{i c} & 0 \\
0 & e^{-i c}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\cos s^{\prime} & \sin s^{\prime} \\
-\sin s^{\prime} & \cos s^{\prime}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\cosh r^{\prime} & \sinh r^{\prime} \\
\sinh r^{\prime} & \cosh r^{\prime}
\end{array}\right)^{\Upsilon} \\
& =\frac{i}{2}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\cos 2 s^{\prime} \cosh 2 r^{\prime} & e^{2 i c}\left(\sinh 2 r^{\prime}-\sin 2 s^{\prime} \cosh 2 r^{\prime}\right) \\
-e^{-2 i c}\left(\sinh 2 r^{\prime}+\sin 2 s^{\prime} \cosh 2 r^{\prime}\right) & -\cos 2 s^{\prime} \cosh 2 r^{\prime}
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s^{\prime}=\frac{1}{2} \arctan \left(\frac{-(\sinh 2 r) \sqrt{\left(\cosh ^{2} 2 r\right)\left(1-|\lambda|^{2}\right)^{2}+4|\lambda|^{2}}}{2|\lambda|}\right) \\
& r^{\prime}=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{arcsinh}\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{|\lambda|}-|\lambda|\right) \sinh 2 r\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

are odd functions of $r$.
One computes that the invariant $f$ takes the value

$$
f=1-2 \sin ^{2}\left(2 s^{\prime}\right) \cosh ^{2}\left(2 r^{\prime}\right)=1-\tanh ^{2}(2 r)\left(4|\lambda|^{2}+\left(1-|\lambda|^{2}\right)^{2} \cosh ^{2}(2 r)\right) / 2|\lambda|^{2}
$$

from which it follows that $f$ takes on all values in $(-\infty, 1]$ on this critical set. The function $f$ takes on the value -1 in this critical set at points $\frac{i}{2}\left(\begin{array}{cc}A & B \\ C & -A\end{array}\right)$ where we see that $A$ is real and positive, hence at non-closed orbits.

- The second type, consisting of points on the equator, are fixed by $T_{\lambda}^{-1} \circ T_{\nu}$. These are the "overlap" in the two critical sets for $J_{1}$, as reported in $\S 12.2 .2$.

Thus, we obtain a critical subset which, on the set $f=-1$, represents the closed orbit (via critical points of the second type), and two of the four non-closed orbits (via critical points of the first type). This is remarkably different from what was described for $J_{1}$ in Section 12.2.2.

## References

[1] Olivier Biquard and Paul Gauduchon, La métrique hyperkählérienne des orbites coadjointes de type symétrique d'un groupe de Lie complexe semisimple, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Sér. I Math. 323 (1996), 1259-1264
[2] Olivier Biquard and Paul Gauduchon, Hyperkähler metrics on cotangent bundles of hermitian symmetric spaces, in Geometry and Physics (J. Andersen, J. Dupon, H. Pedersen, A. Swan, ed.), 287-298, Lect. Notes Pure Appl. Math. 184, Marcel Dekker, 1996
[3] Olivier Biquard and Paul Gauduchon, Géométrie hyperkählérienne des espaces Hermitiens symétriques complexifiés, Sém. Théor. Spectr. Géom 16 (1998), 127-173
[4] Ralph H, Bremigan, Quotients for algebraic group actions over non-algebraically closed fields, J. reine angew. Math. 453 (1994), 21-47
[5] Ralph J. Bremigan, Real moment map and hyperkähler geometry techniques: the cotangent bundle to the 2-sphere and $S L(2, \mathbb{C})$-adjoint orbits, Algebra Colloq. 19 (2012), 181-218
[6] Daniel Burns, Some examples of the twistor construction, Contributions to several complex variables, Hon. W. Stoll (A. Howard, P. M. Wong, ed.), 51-67, Aspects Math. E9, Vieweg, 1986
[7] Andrew Dancer and Róbert Szöke, Symmetric spaces, adapted complex structures and hyperkähler structures, Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2) 48 (1997), 27-38
[8] Daniel Drucker, Exceptional Lie Algebras and the Structure of Hermitian Symmetric Spaces, Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society 208, 1978
[9] Peter Heinzner, Gerald W. Schwarz, Cartan decomposition of the moment map, Math. Ann. 337 (2007), 197-232
[10] Peter Heinzner, Gerald W. Schwarz, Henrik Stötzel, Stratifications with respect to actions of real reductive groups, Compos. Math. 144 (2008), 163-185
[11] Nigel Hitchin, Hyper-Kähler manifolds, Séminare Bourbaki exp. N 748, Astérisque 206 (1992), 137-166
[12] Nigel Hitchin, The self-duality equations on a Riemann surface, Proc. London Math. Soc. 55 (1987), 59-126
[13] George Kempf, Linda Ness, The length of vectors in representation spaces, Algebraic geometry (Proc. Summer Meeting, Univ. Copenhagen, Copenhagen, 1978), 233-243
[14] Frances Kirwan, Cohomology of Quotients in Symplectic and Algebraic Geometry, Mathematical Notes 31, Princeton University Press, 1984
[15] S. Kobayashi, Proper action on a homogeneous space of reductive type, Math. Ann. 285 (1989), 249-263
[16] Linda Ness, A stratification of the null cone via the moment map, with an appendix by David Mumford, Amer. J. Math. 1067 (1984), 1281-1329
[17] R. W. Richardson, Orbits, invariants, and representations associated to involutions of reductive groups, Invent. math. 66 (1982), 287-312
[18] Joseph A. Wolf, Fine structure of Hermitian symmetric spaces, 271-357, Pure and Applied Mathematics 8, Dekker, 1972

Department of Mathematical Sciences, Ball State University, Muncie IN 47306-0490
Email address: bremigan@bsu.edu


[^0]:    Date: July 23, 2019.
    Key words and phrases. hyperkähler, Hermitian symmetric space, moment map.
    Primary 53C26; Secondary 32M15, 53C35, 53D20.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ A good example to keep in mind is $G_{u}=S U(2), G_{0}=S U(1,1)$. For any $C \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|C|=1$, we can take $e_{\alpha}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & C \\ 0 & 0\end{array}\right), f_{\alpha}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & 0 \\ \bar{C} & 0\end{array}\right)$, and $h_{\alpha}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1\end{array}\right)$. Then $x_{\alpha}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & C \\ \bar{C} & 0\end{array}\right), y_{\alpha}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & i C \\ -i \bar{C} & 0\end{array}\right)$, and $\Upsilon_{\alpha}=\frac{i}{2}\left(\begin{array}{cc}1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1\end{array}\right)$. For the choice $C=1$, we would have $e_{\alpha}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0\end{array}\right)$, $f_{\alpha}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0\end{array}\right)$, $h_{\alpha}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1\end{array}\right), x_{\alpha}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0\end{array}\right), y_{\alpha}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & i \\ -i & 0\end{array}\right)$, and $\Upsilon_{\alpha}=\frac{i}{2}\left(\begin{array}{cc}1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1\end{array}\right)$. With regard to the Cayley transform, we have $c=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1\end{array}\right)$ and $c^{2}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0\end{array}\right)$.

[^2]:    ${ }^{2}$ with respect to the complex structure given by $i$

[^3]:    ${ }^{3}$ In particular, note that if $\nu_{1}=0$, then $E_{Z}(A)=A=S_{Z}(A)$.

[^4]:    ${ }^{4}$ Recall that if $A \subset B$ are groups and $A$ acts on a set $X$, then $B *{ }^{A} X$ is the space of $A$-orbits on $B \times X$, where ${ }^{a}(b, x)=\left(b a^{-1},{ }^{a} x\right)$. The image of $(b, x)$ in $B *^{A} X$ is denoted $[b, x]$. The twisted product $B *^{A} X$ is a bundle over $B / A$ with fiber $X$.

[^5]:    ${ }^{5} d \lambda(X, Y)=X(\lambda(Y))-Y(\lambda(X))-\lambda([X, Y])$

[^6]:    ${ }^{6}$ It is customary to define moment maps as having target $\mathfrak{h}^{*}$, but if $H$ is simple, our definition is equivalent.

[^7]:    ${ }^{7}$ Note the change at $J_{3}$. Here the holomorphic action is the usual action of $G$ on $G *{ }^{Q} \mathfrak{m}^{-}$, which is not transitive.

