

Characterizing finite nilpotent groups associated with a graph theoretic equality

Ramesh Prasad Panda Kamal Lochan Patra Binod Kumar Sahoo

Abstract

The power graph of a group is the simple graph whose vertices are the group elements and two vertices are adjacent whenever one of them is a positive power of the other. We characterize the finite nilpotent groups whose power graphs have equal vertex connectivity and minimum degree.

Key words. Nilpotent group, Power graph, Vertex connectivity, Minimal degree

AMS subject classification. 20D15, 05C25, 05C40

1 Introduction

There are various graphs associated with groups which have been studied in the literature, e.g., Cayley graph, commuting graph, generating graph, prime graph. An interesting problem in this respect is to investigate the relation between a group and the associated graph. For instance, an algebraic characterization was given in [6] for the generating sets of Cayley graphs with neighbor connectivity one for a class of groups including abelian groups. Whereas, in [1], the finite quasisimple groups with perfect commuting graphs were classified.

The notion of the directed power graph of a group was introduced by Kelarev and Quinn in [7] (also, see [8] for a semigroup). The underlying undirected graph, simply termed as power graph, was first considered by Chakrabarty et al. in [3]. The *power graph* of a group G , denoted by $\mathcal{P}(G)$, is the simple graph with vertex set G and two vertices u and v are adjacent if $u = v^k$ or $v = u^l$ for some positive integers k and l .

By considering the aforementioned problem for power graphs, Cameron [2] proved that if the power graphs of two finite groups are isomorphic, then they have the same numbers of elements of each order. Curtin and Pourgholi [5] showed that among all finite groups of a given order, the cyclic group of that order has the maximum number of edges in its power graph. Moreover, in [9], Ma and Feng classified all finite groups whose power graphs are uniquely colorable, split or unicyclic. In [11], Panda characterized the finite noncyclic groups of prime exponent in terms of the edge connectivity of their power graphs. In the present paper, working further on the relation between a group and its power graph, we characterize the finite nilpotent groups whose power graphs have equal vertex connectivity and minimum degree.

1.1 Graphs and groups

Let Γ be a simple graph with vertex set V . A vertex $v \in V$ is called a *cut-vertex* of Γ if the induced subgraph of Γ on $V \setminus \{v\}$ is disconnected. The *vertex connectivity* of Γ , denoted

by $\kappa(\Gamma)$, is the minimum number of vertices whose deletion gives a disconnected or trivial subgraph of Γ . The latter case arises only when Γ is a complete graph. Analogously, the *edge connectivity* of Γ , denoted by $\kappa'(\Gamma)$, is the minimum number of edges whose deletion gives a disconnected subgraph of Γ . For $v \in V$, we denote by $\deg(v)$ the degree of v in Γ . The *minimum degree* of Γ , denoted by $\delta(\Gamma)$, is defined by $\delta(\Gamma) = \min\{\deg(v) : v \in V\}$. It is known that $\kappa(\Gamma) \leq \kappa'(\Gamma) \leq \delta(\Gamma)$ and that $\kappa'(\Gamma) = \delta(\Gamma)$ if the diameter of Γ is at most 2.

Let G be a group with identity element e . The order of an element $x \in G$ is denoted by $o(x)$. Recall that G is said to be *nilpotent* if its lower central series $G = G_1 \geq G_2 \geq G_3 \geq G_4 \geq \dots$ terminates at $\{e\}$ after a finite number of steps, where $G_{i+1} = [G_i, G]$ for $i \geq 1$. If G is a finite group, then G being nilpotent is equivalent to any of the following statements:

- (a) Every Sylow subgroup of G is normal (equivalently, there is a unique Sylow p -subgroup of G for every prime divisor p of $|G|$).
- (b) G is the direct product of its Sylow subgroups.
- (c) For $x, y \in G$, x and y commute whenever $o(x)$ and $o(y)$ are relatively prime.

Every abelian group is nilpotent. Also, every finite group of prime power order is nilpotent. A cyclic subgroup M of G is called a *maximal cyclic subgroup* if it is not properly contained in any cyclic subgroup of G . We denote by $\langle x \rangle$ the cyclic subgroup of G generated by an element $x \in G$ and by $\mathcal{M}(G)$ the collection of all maximal cyclic subgroups of G . If G is finite, then every element of G is contained in a maximal cyclic subgroup. This statement, however, need not hold in an infinite group; $(\mathbb{Q}, +)$ is an example. For every positive integer $n \geq 2$, the *dicyclic group* of order $4n$ is given by $Q_{4n} := \langle x, y \mid x^{2n} = e, x^n = y^2, y^{-1}xy = x^{-1} \rangle$. This group has a unique element of order 2, namely $y^2 = x^n$. If n is a power of 2, then Q_{4n} is known as a *generalized quaternion group*.

1.2 Known results

Let G be a finite group. Observe that two distinct vertices $x, y \in G$ are adjacent in $\mathcal{P}(G)$ if and only if $x \in \langle y \rangle$ or $y \in \langle x \rangle$. We note that if $\langle x \rangle = \langle y \rangle$, then $\deg(x) = \deg(y)$. Further, if $\langle x \rangle \in \mathcal{M}(G)$, then $\deg(x) = o(x) - 1$. For a nonempty proper subset A of G , $\mathcal{P}(A)$ denotes the induced subgraph of $\mathcal{P}(G)$ with vertex set A . In that case, for $x \in A$, the degree of x in $\mathcal{P}(A)$ is denoted by $\deg_{\mathcal{P}(A)}(x)$. If $A = G \setminus \{e\}$, then $\mathcal{P}(A)$ is called the *proper power graph* of G , denoted by $\mathcal{P}^*(G)$. The following result was proved in [10, Corollary 4.1].

Proposition 1.1 ([10]). *Let G be a finite group of prime power order. Then $\mathcal{P}^*(G)$ is connected if and only if G is either cyclic or generalized quaternion.*

For any finite group G , the identity element e is adjacent to all other vertices of $\mathcal{P}(G)$. Thus $\mathcal{P}(G)$ is a connected graph with diameter at most 2 and hence $\kappa'(\mathcal{P}(G)) = \delta(\mathcal{P}(G))$. The equality of $\kappa(\mathcal{P}(G))$ and $\delta(\mathcal{P}(G))$, however, need not hold in general: $\mathcal{P}(Q_{4n})$ is an example [12, Theorem 6.9(ii)]. Therefore, going forward, our objective will be to study this equality. By [3, Theorem 2.12], $\mathcal{P}(G)$ is a complete graph if and only if G is cyclic p -group for some prime p . In that case, $\kappa(\mathcal{P}(G)) = |G| - 1 = \delta(\mathcal{P}(G))$. The following proposition was proved in [12, Theorem 6.2(ii)] which gives a necessary condition for $\kappa(\mathcal{P}(G)) = \delta(\mathcal{P}(G))$ when G is not a cyclic p -group.

Proposition 1.2 ([12]). *Let G be a finite group different from a cyclic group of prime power order. Suppose that $\kappa(\mathcal{P}(G)) = \delta(\mathcal{P}(G))$. If $\delta(\mathcal{P}(G)) = \deg(x)$ for some $x \in G$, then $o(x) = 2$ and hence G is of even order.*

For a finite cyclic group G , the following proposition was proved in [12, Theorem 6.7] giving both necessary and sufficient conditions for $\kappa(\mathcal{P}(G)) = \delta(\mathcal{P}(G))$.

Proposition 1.3 ([12]). *Let G be a finite cyclic group. Then $\kappa(\mathcal{P}(G)) = \delta(\mathcal{P}(G))$ if and only if $|G|$ is a prime power or twice of a prime power.*

1.3 Main result

In this paper, we prove the following theorem which characterizes the equality of the vertex connectivity and the minimum degree in the power graphs of finite nilpotent groups.

Theorem 1.4. *Let G be a finite nilpotent group. Then $\kappa(\mathcal{P}(G)) = \delta(\mathcal{P}(G))$ if and only if one of the following holds:*

- (1) G is cyclic group whose order is a prime power or twice of a prime power.
- (2) G is a noncyclic group of order $2^s p^t$, where p is an odd prime, $s \geq 2$ and $t \geq 0$ are integers, satisfying the following:
 - (i) The Sylow 2-subgroup of G is noncyclic and it contains a maximal cyclic subgroup of order 2.
 - (ii) The Sylow p -subgroup of G is cyclic.

We study the degrees of vertices of the power graphs of finite nilpotent groups in Section 2 and then prove Theorem 1.4 in Section 3.

2 Vertex degrees

Throughout this section, G is a finite nilpotent group of order n . We write the prime power factorization of n as

$$n = p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2} \cdots p_r^{\alpha_r},$$

where $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_r$ are positive integers and p_1, p_2, \dots, p_r are prime numbers with $p_1 < p_2 < \cdots < p_r$. Denote by P_i the unique Sylow p_i -subgroup of G for $i \in [r] := \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$. Then $|P_i| = p_i^{\alpha_i}$ for $i \in [r]$ and $G = P_1 P_2 \cdots P_r$, the internal direct product of P_1, P_2, \dots, P_r . For a given $x \in G$, there exists a unique element in P_i , denoted by x_i , for every $i \in [r]$ such that $x = x_1 x_2 \cdots x_r$. Then $\langle x \rangle = \langle x_1 \rangle \langle x_2 \rangle \cdots \langle x_r \rangle$. Further, $\langle x \rangle \in \mathcal{M}(G)$ if and only if $\langle x_i \rangle \in \mathcal{M}(P_i)$ for every $i \in [r]$, see [4, Lemma 2.11]. Therefore, $\langle x \rangle \in \mathcal{M}(G)$ is of minimum order if and only if $\langle x_i \rangle \in \mathcal{M}(P_i)$ is of minimum order for every $i \in [r]$.

For $x \in G$, let τ_x denotes the subset of $[r]$ consisting of those elements $j \in [r]$ for which the j -th component x_j of x is nonidentity, that is,

$$\tau_x := \{j \in [r] : x_j \neq e\}.$$

Note that $\tau_x = [r]$ if $\langle x \rangle \in \mathcal{M}(G)$ and that τ_x is empty if and only if $x = e$. We have $\deg(e) = |G| - 1 = n - 1 \geq \deg(x)$ for every $x \in G \setminus \{e\}$. The following lemma helps us to compute the degrees of vertices of $\mathcal{P}(G)$.

Lemma 2.1. *For $x \in G \setminus \{e\}$, the sets $A_x := \left\{ y \in G : y \neq x \text{ and } x \in \left\langle \prod_{i \in \tau_x} y_i \right\rangle \right\}$ and $B_x := \{y \in G : y \neq x \text{ and } x \in \langle y \rangle\}$ are equal.*

Proof. Clearly, $A_x = B_x$ if $\tau_x = [r]$. So consider that $\tau_x \subsetneq [r]$. Let $y \in A_x$. Then $x = \left(\prod_{i \in \tau_x} y_i \right)^m$ for some integer m . Define the integers $q := \prod_{j \in [r] \setminus \tau_x} o(y_j)$ and $l := mq$. Then $y^l = \left(\prod_{i \in \tau_x} y_i \right)^l = x^q$. Since q and $o(x)$ are relatively prime, we have $\langle x \rangle = \langle x^q \rangle = \langle y^l \rangle$. This implies that $x \in \langle y \rangle$ and so $y \in B_x$. Thus $A_x \subseteq B_x$.

Conversely, consider an element $z \in B_x$. Then $x = z^t = z_1^t z_2^t \cdots z_r^t$ for some integer t . This gives

$$x \left(\prod_{i \in \tau_x} z_i^{-t} \right) = \prod_{j \in [r] \setminus \tau_x} z_j^t. \quad (1)$$

Since the left and right hand sides of (1) are elements of $\prod_{i \in \tau_x} P_i$ and $\prod_{j \in [r] \setminus \tau_x} P_j$ respectively, it follows that $x \left(\prod_{i \in \tau_x} z_i^{-t} \right) = e$. So $x = \prod_{i \in \tau_x} z_i^t = \left(\prod_{i \in \tau_x} z_i \right)^t$ and hence $x \in \left\langle \prod_{i \in \tau_x} z_i \right\rangle$. This gives $z \in A_x$. Thus $B_x \subseteq A_x$. \square

We denote by ϕ the Euler's totient function. Then $\phi(p^k) = p^{k-1}(p-1) = p^{k-1}\phi(p)$ for any prime p and positive integer k , and $\sum_{d|m} \phi(d) = m$ for every positive integer m .

Recall that ϕ is a multiplicative function, that is, $\phi(ab) = \phi(a)\phi(b)$ for any two positive integers a, b which are relatively prime. So $\phi(n) = \phi(p_1^{\alpha_1})\phi(p_2^{\alpha_2})\cdots\phi(p_r^{\alpha_r})$. The following proposition gives an expression for the degree of a nonidentity vertex of $\mathcal{P}(G)$.

Proposition 2.2. *For $x \in G \setminus \{e\}$, we have*

$$\deg(x) = o(x) - \phi(o(x)) + \left(\prod_{j \in [r] \setminus \tau_x} p_j^{\alpha_j} \right) \times \left| \left\{ y \in \prod_{i \in \tau_x} P_i : x \in \langle y \rangle \right\} \right| - 1.$$

Proof. Let A_x and B_x be the subsets of G as defined in Lemma 2.1, and let C_x be the set of all nongenerators of the cyclic subgroup $\langle x \rangle$ of G . Note that the neighbourhood of x in $\mathcal{P}(G)$ is a disjoint union of B_x and C_x . So $\deg(x) = |B_x| + |C_x| = |A_x| + |C_x|$, where the last equality holds by Lemma 2.1. We have $|C_x| = o(x) - \phi(o(x))$, and

$$\begin{aligned} |A_x| &= \left| \left\{ y \in G : y \neq x \text{ and } x \in \left\langle \prod_{i \in \tau_x} y_i \right\rangle \right\} \right| \\ &= \left| \left\{ y \in G : x \in \left\langle \prod_{i \in \tau_x} y_i \right\rangle \right\} \right| - 1 \\ &= \left(\prod_{j \in [r] \setminus \tau_x} p_j^{\alpha_j} \right) \times \left| \left\{ y \in \prod_{i \in \tau_x} P_i : x \in \langle y \rangle \right\} \right| - 1. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, the result follows. \square

We now compute a lower bound for the degrees of nonidentity vertices of $\mathcal{P}(G)$ and also provide necessary and sufficient conditions in order to attain that bound.

Proposition 2.3. Consider $x \in G \setminus \{e\}$ and $M \in \mathcal{M}(G)$ containing x . If $|M| = p_1^{\gamma_1} p_2^{\gamma_2} \cdots p_r^{\gamma_r}$ and $o(x) = \prod_{i \in \tau_x} p_i^{\beta_i}$, where $1 \leq \gamma_j \leq \alpha_j$ for $j \in [r]$ and $1 \leq \beta_i \leq \gamma_i$ for $i \in \tau_x$, then

$$\deg(x) \geq o(x) - \phi(o(x)) + \left(\prod_{j \in [r] \setminus \tau_x} p_j^{\alpha_j} \right) \left(\prod_{i \in \tau_x} (p_i^{\gamma_i} - p_i^{\beta_i - 1}) \right) - 1. \quad (2)$$

Further, equality holds in (2) if and only if x belongs to exactly one maximal cyclic subgroup of $\prod_{i \in \tau_x} P_i$, namely $\prod_{i \in \tau_x} M_i$, where $M_i := M \cap P_i \in \mathcal{M}(P_i)$ for $i \in [r]$.

Proof. We have $M = M_1 M_2 \cdots M_r$ and $x \in \prod_{i \in \tau_x} M_i \subseteq \prod_{i \in \tau_x} P_i$. In order to prove (2), it is enough to show using Proposition 2.2 that

$$\left| \left\{ y \in \prod_{i \in \tau_x} P_i : x \in \langle y \rangle \right\} \right| \geq \prod_{i \in \tau_x} (p_i^{\gamma_i} - p_i^{\beta_i - 1}). \quad (3)$$

Let $\tau_x = \{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_s\}$ for some $s \in [r]$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \left\{ y \in \prod_{i \in \tau_x} M_i : x \in \langle y \rangle \right\} \right| = \sum_{\lambda_1 = \beta_{n_1}}^{\gamma_{n_1}} \sum_{\lambda_2 = \beta_{n_2}}^{\gamma_{n_2}} \cdots \sum_{\lambda_s = \beta_{n_s}}^{\gamma_{n_s}} \phi(p_{n_1}^{\lambda_1} p_{n_2}^{\lambda_2} \cdots p_{n_s}^{\lambda_s}) \\ &= \left(\sum_{\lambda_1 = \beta_{n_1}}^{\gamma_{n_1}} \phi(p_{n_1}^{\lambda_1}) \right) \left(\sum_{\lambda_2 = \beta_{n_2}}^{\gamma_{n_2}} \phi(p_{n_2}^{\lambda_2}) \right) \cdots \left(\sum_{\lambda_s = \beta_{n_s}}^{\gamma_{n_s}} \phi(p_{n_s}^{\lambda_s}) \right) \\ &= (p_{n_1}^{\gamma_{n_1}} - p_{n_1}^{\beta_{n_1} - 1}) (p_{n_2}^{\gamma_{n_2}} - p_{n_2}^{\beta_{n_2} - 1}) \cdots (p_{n_s}^{\gamma_{n_s}} - p_{n_s}^{\beta_{n_s} - 1}) \\ &= \prod_{i \in \tau_x} (p_i^{\gamma_i} - p_i^{\beta_i - 1}). \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

Now (3) follows from (4) and the fact that $\left\{ y \in \prod_{i \in \tau_x} M_i : x \in \langle y \rangle \right\} \subseteq \left\{ y \in \prod_{i \in \tau_x} P_i : x \in \langle y \rangle \right\}$.

Next, we can see that equality holds in (2) if and only if the sets $\left\{ y \in \prod_{i \in \tau_x} M_i : x \in \langle y \rangle \right\}$ and $\left\{ y \in \prod_{i \in \tau_x} P_i : x \in \langle y \rangle \right\}$ are equal. The latter holds if and only if $\prod_{i \in \tau_x} M_i$ is the only maximal cyclic subgroup of $\prod_{i \in \tau_x} P_i$ containing x . \square

The following proposition determines certain vertices of minimum degree among the vertices contained in a Sylow subgroup of G .

Proposition 2.4. For $k \in [r]$, suppose that $\langle y_k \rangle \in \mathcal{M}(P_k)$ is of minimum order. Then $\deg(y_k) \leq \deg(x_k)$ in $\mathcal{P}(G)$ for every $x_k \in P_k$.

Proof. Let $x_k \in P_k \setminus \{e\}$. Consider $\langle z_k \rangle \in \mathcal{M}(P_k)$ containing x_k . Put $o(x_k) = p_k^\beta$ and $o(z_k) = p_k^\gamma$ for some positive integers $\beta \leq \gamma$. Applying Proposition 2.3, that is, inequality

(2) for $\deg(x_k)$ and equality case for $\deg(z_k)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\deg(x_k) - \deg(z_k) &\geq p_k^{\beta-1} - p_k^{\gamma-1} + \left(\prod_{i \in [r] \setminus \{k\}} p_i^{\alpha_i} \right) (p_k^{\gamma-1} - p_k^{\beta-1}) \\ &= \left(\prod_{i \in [r] \setminus \{k\}} p_i^{\alpha_i} - 1 \right) (p_k^{\gamma-1} - p_k^{\beta-1}) \geq 0,\end{aligned}$$

giving $\deg(z_k) \leq \deg(x_k)$. Put $o(y_k) = p_k^\lambda$ for some positive integer λ . Since $o(y_k) \leq o(z_k)$, we have $\lambda \leq \gamma$. Applying the equality case of Proposition 2.3 again, we get

$$\deg(z_k) - \deg(y_k) = (p_k^{\gamma-1} - p_k^{\lambda-1}) \left[(p_k - 1) \left(\prod_{i \in [r] \setminus \{k\}} p_i^{\alpha_i} \right) + 1 \right] \geq 0,$$

giving $\deg(y_k) \leq \deg(z_k)$. Hence $\deg(y_k) \leq \deg(x_k)$. \square

Under certain conditions, the following proposition gives a strict upper bound for $\delta(\mathcal{P}(G))$ when G is of even order.

Proposition 2.5. *Let G be of even order. Suppose that the Sylow 2-subgroup of G is noncyclic containing a maximal cyclic subgroup of order 2 and that all other Sylow subgroups of G are cyclic. If $r \geq 3$, then $\delta(\mathcal{P}(G)) < \prod_{i=2}^r p_i^{\alpha_i}$.*

Proof. Since n is even, we have $p_1 = 2$ and P_1 is the Sylow 2-subgroup of G . Let $k \in \{2, 3, \dots, r\}$ be such that

$$p_k^{\alpha_k} = \min\{p_i^{\alpha_i} : 2 \leq i \leq r\}.$$

Since $r \geq 3$, the set $[r] \setminus \{1, k\}$ is nonempty. We have $p_j^{\alpha_j} > p_k^{\alpha_k}$ for every $j \in [r] \setminus \{1, k\}$ as p_j and p_k are distinct primes and so

$$\left(\prod_{j \in [r] \setminus \{1, k\}} p_j^{\alpha_j} \right) p_k^{\alpha_k-1} - (p_k^{\alpha_k} + p_k^{\alpha_k-1}) > 0. \quad (5)$$

The strict inequality in (5) holds as the first term is an odd integer and the second term is an even integer.

For $i \in \{2, 3, \dots, r\}$, each P_i is cyclic by the given hypothesis. Consider a generator x_k of P_k and an element $x_1 \in P_1$ such that $\langle x_1 \rangle$ is a maximal cyclic subgroup of P_1 of order 2. Then $o(x_1 x_k) = 2p_k^{\alpha_k}$ and $\langle x_1 x_k \rangle$ is a maximal cyclic subgroup of $P_1 P_k$. Any maximal cyclic subgroup of G containing $x_1 x_k$ is of order $2p_2^{\alpha_2} \cdots p_k^{\alpha_k} \cdots p_r^{\alpha_r}$. By the equality case of Proposition 2.3, we get

$$\deg(x_1 x_k) = p_k^{\alpha_k} + p_k^{\alpha_k-1} + \left(\prod_{j \in [r] \setminus \{1, k\}} p_j^{\alpha_j} \right) (p_k^{\alpha_k} - p_k^{\alpha_k-1}) - 1.$$

Then

$$\prod_{i=2}^r p_i^{\alpha_i} - \deg(x_1 x_k) = \left(\prod_{j \in [r] \setminus \{1, k\}} p_j^{\alpha_j} \right) p_k^{\alpha_k-1} - (p_k^{\alpha_k} + p_k^{\alpha_k-1}) + 1 > 0,$$

using (5). Consequently, $\prod_{i=2}^r p_i^{\alpha_i} > \deg(x_1 x_k) \geq \delta(\mathcal{P}(G))$. \square

For $r = 2$, under certain conditions, we next determine some vertices of $\mathcal{P}(G)$ attaining the minimum degree.

Proposition 2.6. *Suppose that $r = 2$, P_1 is noncyclic and P_2 is cyclic. Then the following hold for any $\langle y \rangle \in \mathcal{M}(G)$:*

(i) $\deg(y) < \deg(y_2)$.

(ii) *If $x \in \langle y \rangle$ with $\tau_x = \{1, 2\}$, then $\deg(x) > \deg(y_1)$. In particular, $\deg(y) > \deg(y_1)$.*

Proof. Since P_2 is cyclic, we have $o(y_2) = p_2^{\alpha_2}$ and $o(y) = p_1^{\gamma_1} p_2^{\alpha_2}$ for some integer γ_1 with $1 \leq \gamma_1 \leq \alpha_1 - 1$. Then $o(y_1) = p_1^{\gamma_1}$ and $\deg(y) = o(y) - 1 = p_1^{\gamma_1} p_2^{\alpha_2} - 1$.

(i) By the equality case of Proposition 2.3, we have $\deg(y_2) = p_2^{\alpha_2-1} + p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2-1} (p_2 - 1) - 1$. Then

$$\deg(y_2) - \deg(y) = p_1^{\gamma_1} p_2^{\alpha_2-1} [p_1^{\alpha_1-\gamma_1} (p_2 - 1) - p_2] + p_2^{\alpha_2-1} > 0,$$

as $\alpha_1 > \gamma_1$. Hence $\deg(y_2) > \deg(y)$.

(ii) Put $o(x) = p_1^{\beta_1} p_2^{\beta_2}$, where $1 \leq \beta_1 \leq \gamma_1$ and $1 \leq \beta_2 \leq \alpha_2$. By Proposition 2.3, we have

$$\deg(y_1) = p_1^{\gamma_1-1} + p_1^{\gamma_1} p_2^{\alpha_2} - p_1^{\gamma_1-1} p_2^{\alpha_2} - 1$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \deg(x) &\geq p_1^{\beta_1} p_2^{\beta_2} - \phi(p_1^{\beta_1} p_2^{\beta_2}) + (p_1^{\gamma_1} - p_1^{\beta_1-1}) (p_2^{\alpha_2} - p_2^{\beta_2-1}) - 1 \\ &= p_1^{\beta_1-1} p_2^{\beta_2-1} [p_1 + p_2 - p_1^{\gamma_1-\beta_1+1} - p_2^{\alpha_2-\beta_2+1}] + p_1^{\gamma_1} p_2^{\alpha_2} - 1. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \deg(x) - \deg(y_1) &\geq p_1^{\beta_1-1} p_2^{\beta_2-1} [p_1 + p_2 - p_1^{\gamma_1-\beta_1+1} - p_2^{\alpha_2-\beta_2+1} + p_1^{\gamma_1-\beta_1} p_2^{\alpha_2-\beta_2+1}] - p_1^{\gamma_1-1} \\ &= p_1^{\beta_1-1} p_2^{\beta_2-1} [p_2 + (p_1^{\gamma_1-\beta_1} - 1) (p_2^{\alpha_2-\beta_2+1} - p_1)] - p_1^{\gamma_1-1} \\ &\geq p_1^{\beta_1-1} p_2^{\beta_2-1} [p_2 + p_1^{\gamma_1-\beta_1} - 1] - p_1^{\gamma_1-1} > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\deg(x) > \deg(y_1)$. □

Proposition 2.7. *Suppose that $r = 2$, P_1 is noncyclic and P_2 is cyclic. If $\langle y \rangle \in \mathcal{M}(G)$ is of minimum order, then $\delta(\mathcal{P}(G)) = \deg(y_1)$.*

Proof. We have $|\langle y \rangle| = o(y) = p_1^{\gamma_1} p_2^{\alpha_2}$ for some integer γ_1 with $1 \leq \gamma_1 \leq \alpha_1 - 1$. Let $x \in G \setminus \{e\}$ be arbitrary. We show that $\deg(x) \geq \deg(y_1)$.

First assume that $|\tau_x| = 2$. Consider $\langle z \rangle \in \mathcal{M}(G)$ containing x . Then $o(z_1) \geq o(y_1)$ and Proposition 2.6(ii) implies that $\deg(x) > \deg(z_1)$. The equality case of Proposition 2.3 gives that $\deg(y_1) = \frac{o(y_1)}{p_1} + \phi(o(y_1)) p_2^{\alpha_2} - 1$ and $\deg(z_1) = \frac{o(z_1)}{p_1} + \phi(o(z_1)) p_2^{\alpha_2} - 1$. Since $o(z_1) \geq o(y_1)$, it follows that $\deg(z_1) \geq \deg(y_1)$ and hence $\deg(x) > \deg(y_1)$. In particular, $\deg(y) > \deg(y_1)$.

Now assume that $|\tau_x| = 1$. Then $x \in P_1$ or $x \in P_2$. So $\deg(x) \geq \min\{\deg(y_1), \deg(y_2)\}$ using Proposition 2.4. Since $\deg(y_2) > \deg(y) > \deg(y_1)$ by Proposition 2.6, we get $\deg(x) \geq \min\{\deg(y_1), \deg(y_2)\} = \deg(y_1)$. □

3 Proof of Theorem 1.4

The following proposition proves Theorem 1.4 for the power graphs of groups of prime power order.

Proposition 3.1. *Let G be a finite p -group, where p is a prime. Then $\kappa(\mathcal{P}(G)) = \delta(\mathcal{P}(G))$ if and only if one of the following holds:*

- (i) G is cyclic.
- (ii) G is a noncyclic 2-group containing a maximal cyclic subgroup of order 2.

Proof. If G is a cyclic p -group, then $\mathcal{P}(G)$ is complete and so $\kappa(\mathcal{P}(G)) = |G| - 1 = \delta(\mathcal{P}(G))$. If G is a noncyclic 2-group containing a maximal cyclic subgroup $\langle x \rangle$ of order 2 for some $x \in G$, then the facts that $\deg(x) = 1$ and the identity element e is a cut-vertex of $\mathcal{P}(G)$ imply $\kappa(\mathcal{P}(G)) = 1 = \delta(\mathcal{P}(G))$.

Conversely, assume that $\kappa(\mathcal{P}(G)) = \delta(\mathcal{P}(G))$. We may consider that G is noncyclic. Then Proposition 1.2 implies that G is a 2-group and $\delta(\mathcal{P}(G)) = \deg(x)$ for some element $x \in G$ of order 2. By [12, Theorem 6.9], G is not a generalized quaternion group. Then the proper power graph $\mathcal{P}^*(G)$ is disconnected by Proposition 1.1. It follows that e is a cut-vertex of $\mathcal{P}(G)$, implying $\kappa(\mathcal{P}(G)) = 1$. Thus $\deg(x) = \delta(\mathcal{P}(G)) = \kappa(\mathcal{P}(G)) = 1$. Then it follows that $\langle x \rangle$ is a maximal cyclic subgroup G of order 2. \square

We need the following result whose proof is same as that of [4, Proposition 4.2]. It was originally proved for noncyclic abelian groups.

Proposition 3.2 ([4]). *Let G be a noncyclic nilpotent group of order $2^s p^t$, where p is an odd prime and s, t are positive integers. If the Sylow 2-subgroup of G is neither cyclic nor generalized quaternion, and the Sylow p -subgroup of G is cyclic, then $\kappa(\mathcal{P}(G)) = p^t$.*

Proof of Theorem 1.4. Let G be a finite nilpotent group. If G is cyclic with order a prime power or twice of a prime power, then $\kappa(\mathcal{P}(G)) = \delta(\mathcal{P}(G))$ by Proposition 1.3. Suppose that G is a noncyclic group of order $2^s p^t$, where p is an odd prime, $s \geq 2$ and $t \geq 0$ are integers, in which the Sylow 2-subgroup of G is noncyclic containing a maximal cyclic subgroup of order 2 and the Sylow p -subgroup of G is cyclic. If $t = 0$, then $\kappa(\mathcal{P}(G)) = \delta(\mathcal{P}(G))$ by Proposition 3.1. Assume that $t \geq 1$. The Sylow 2-subgroup of G is not generalized quaternion as it contains a maximal cyclic subgroup of order 2. Therefore, $\kappa(\mathcal{P}(G)) = p^t$ by Proposition 3.2. Let $\langle w_1 \rangle$ be a maximal cyclic subgroup of order 2 of the Sylow 2-subgroup of G and w_2 be a generator of the Sylow p -subgroup of G . Then $\langle w_1 w_2 \rangle$ is a maximal cyclic subgroup of G of minimum order. We have $\delta(\mathcal{P}(G)) = \deg(w_1)$ by Proposition 2.7. Since $o(w_1) = 2$, the equality case of Proposition 2.3 gives that $\deg(w_1) = p^t$. Thus $\delta(\mathcal{P}(G)) = p^t = \kappa(\mathcal{P}(G))$.

Conversely, assume that $\kappa(\mathcal{P}(G)) = \delta(\mathcal{P}(G))$. Put $|G| = n$. By Proposition 3.1, we may consider that n is not a prime power. Write $n = p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2} \cdots p_r^{\alpha_r}$, where $r \geq 2$, p_1, p_2, \dots, p_r are prime numbers with $p_1 < p_2 < \cdots < p_r$ and $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_r$ are positive integers. Let P_i denote the Sylow p_i -subgroup of G for $i \in [r]$.

Let $\delta(\mathcal{P}(G)) = \deg(x)$ for some $x \in G$. Since n is not a prime power, we have $o(x) = 2$ by Proposition 1.2. So $p_1 = 2$ and G is of even order. Let $\langle y \rangle$ be a maximal cyclic subgroup of the Sylow 2-subgroup P_1 of G containing x . We have $\deg(x) = \delta(\mathcal{P}(G)) \leq \deg(y)$. Since $\langle y \rangle$ is a cyclic 2-group, the induced subgraph $\mathcal{P}(\langle y \rangle)$ of $\mathcal{P}(G)$ is complete and so $\deg_{\mathcal{P}(\langle y \rangle)}(x) = \deg_{\mathcal{P}(\langle y \rangle)}(y)$. Further, any element of $G \setminus \langle y \rangle$ that is adjacent to y must be

adjacent with x . It then follows that $\deg(y) \leq \deg(x)$. We thus have $\deg(y) = \deg(x) = \delta(\mathcal{P}(G))$. Then Proposition 1.2 again implies that $o(y) = 2$ and hence $x = y$. Thus $\langle x \rangle$ is a maximal cyclic subgroup of P_1 of order 2. Then by the equality case of Proposition 2.3, we get

$$\delta(\mathcal{P}(G)) = \deg(x) = \prod_{i=2}^r p_i^{\alpha_i} \quad (6)$$

using the fact that $o(x) = 2$.

Let $\langle z \rangle$ be a maximal cyclic subgroup of G containing x . Then $\deg(z) = o(z) - 1$ and $\langle z \rangle \cap P_1$ is a maximal cyclic subgroup of P_1 containing x . Replacing $\langle y \rangle$ by $\langle z \rangle \cap P_1$ in the previous paragraph, we get $\langle z \rangle \cap P_1 = \langle x \rangle$. We have $o(z) = 2p_2^{\gamma_2} \cdots p_r^{\gamma_r}$, where $1 \leq \gamma_i \leq \alpha_i$ for $i \in \{2, 3, \dots, r\}$. Then

$$2p_2^{\gamma_2} \cdots p_r^{\gamma_r} > 2p_2^{\gamma_2} \cdots p_r^{\gamma_r} - 1 = \deg(z) \geq \delta(\mathcal{P}(G)) = \deg(x) = \prod_{i=2}^r p_i^{\alpha_i}$$

gives that $\prod_{i=2}^r p_i^{\alpha_i - \gamma_i} < 2$. This is possible if and only if $\alpha_i = \gamma_i$ for every $i \in \{2, 3, \dots, r\}$.

It then follows that P_2, P_3, \dots, P_r are all cyclic. If P_1 is also cyclic, then G itself is cyclic. Consequently, $r = 2$ and $|G| = n = 2p_2^{\alpha_2}$ by Proposition 1.3.

Now assume that P_1 is noncyclic. Then G is noncyclic. If $r \geq 3$, then $\delta(\mathcal{P}(G)) < \prod_{i=2}^r p_i^{\alpha_i}$ by Proposition 2.5, which contradicts (6). Thus $r = 2$ and $|G| = 2^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2}$, where the Sylow 2-subgroup P_1 of G is noncyclic containing a maximal cyclic subgroup $\langle x \rangle$ of order 2 and the Sylow p_2 -subgroup P_2 of G is cyclic. This completes the proof. \square

Acknowledgment

The first author would like to thank the National Institute of Science Education and Research, Bhubaneswar, for the facilities provided when working as a visiting fellow in the School of Mathematical Sciences. The last author is partially supported by Project No. MTR/2017/000372 of the Science and Engineering Research Board (SERB), Department of Science and Technology, Government of India.

References

- [1] J. R. Britnell and N. Gill. Perfect commuting graphs. *J. Group Theory*, 20(1):71–102, 2017.
- [2] P. J. Cameron. The power graph of a finite group, II. *J. Group Theory*, 13(6):779–783, 2010.
- [3] I. Chakrabarty, S. Ghosh and M. K. Sen. Undirected power graphs of semigroups. *Semigroup Forum*, 78(3):410–426, 2009.
- [4] S. Chattopadhyay, K. L. Patra and B. K. Sahoo. Minimal cut-sets in the power graphs of certain finite non-cyclic groups. *Comm. Algebra*, 49(3):1195–1211, 2021.
- [5] B. Curtin and G. R. Pourgholi. Edge-maximality of power graphs of finite cyclic groups. *J. Algebraic Combin.*, 40(2):313–330, 2014.

- [6] L. L. Doty, R. J. Goldstone and C. L. Suffel. Cayley graphs with neighbor connectivity one. *SIAM J. Discrete Math.*, 9(4):625–642, 1996.
- [7] A. V. Kelarev and S. J. Quinn. A combinatorial property and power graphs of groups. In *Contributions to General Algebra, 12 (Vienna, 1999)*, pp. 229–235. Heyn, Klagenfurt, 2000.
- [8] A. V. Kelarev and S. J. Quinn. Directed graphs and combinatorial properties of semi-groups. *J. Algebra*, 251(1):16–26, 2002.
- [9] X. Ma, and M. Feng. On the chromatic number of the power graph of a finite group. *Indag. Math. (N.S.)*, 26(4):626–633, 2015.
- [10] A. R. Moghaddamfar, S. Rahbariyan and W. J. Shi. Certain properties of the power graph associated with a finite group. *J. Algebra Appl.*, 13(7):1450040, 18, 2014.
- [11] R. P. Panda. A combinatorial characterization of finite groups of prime exponent. *Indag. Math. (N.S.)*, 31(1):1–6, 2020.
- [12] R. P. Panda and K. V. Krishna. On minimum degree, edge-connectivity and connectivity of power graphs of finite groups. *Comm. Algebra*, 46(7):3182–3197, 2018.

Addresses:

Ramesh Prasad Panda (rppanda@niser.ac.in)

Kamal Lochan Patra (klpatra@niser.ac.in)

Binod Kumar Sahoo (bksahoo@niser.ac.in)

- 1) School of Mathematical Sciences, National Institute of Science Education and Research, Bhubaneswar, P.O.-Jatni, District-Khurda, Odisha-752050, India.
- 2) Homi Bhabha National Institute, Training School Complex, Anushakti Nagar, Mumbai-400094, India.