Manuscript submitted to doi:10.3934/xx.xxxxxxx AIMS' Journals<br>Volume **X**, Number 0**X**, XX 200**X** pp. X–XX

# IMPROVED QUANTUM CODES FROM METACIRCULANT GRAPHS VIA SELF-DUAL ADDITIVE F4-CODES

Padmapani Seneviratne

Department of Mathematics, Texas A&M University-Commerce 2600 South Neal Street, Commerce TX 75428, USA

#### Martianus Frederic Ezerman<sup>∗</sup>

School of Physical and Mathematical Sciences, Nanyang Technological University 21 Nanyang Link, Singapore 637371

(Communicated by the associate editor name)

ABSTRACT. We use symplectic self-dual additive codes over  $\mathbb{F}_4$  obtained from metacirculant graphs to construct, for the first time,  $\lbrack \ell, 0, d \rbrack$  qubit codes with parameters  $(\ell, d) \in \{ (78, 20), (90, 21), (91, 22), (93, 21), (96, 21) \}.$  Secondary constructions applied to the qubit codes result in many qubit codes that perform better than the previous best-known.

1. Introduction. We work on three closely connected objects, namely, a metacirculant graph G, a symplectic self-dual additive code C over  $\mathbb{F}_4$ , and its corresponding quantum stabilizer code  $Q$ . The route is straightforward. Let  $I$  be the identity matrix of a suitable dimension and  $\omega$  be a root of  $x^2 + x + 1 \in \mathbb{F}_2[x]$ . We search for a G whose adjacency matrix  $A(G)$  leads to C, which is generated by the row span of  $A(G) + \omega I$ . This code C, in turn, yields Q via the stabilizer method.

For lengths  $\ell \in \{27, 36\}$  exhaustive searches are feasible, allowing us to construct some families of additive codes that contain some code C with an improved minimum distance when compared with the best that circulant graphs can lead to. For lengths  $\ell \in \{78, 90, 91, 93, 96\}$  we run randomized non-exhausted searches to come up with the improved additive codes. We exhibit numerous instances when the resulting qubit codes Q have better parameters than the best-known comparable quantum codes.

The general construction of quantum stabilizer codes, wherein classical codes are used to describe the quantum error operators, is well-established. Our main reference for the qubit case is the seminal work of Calderbank, Rains, Shor, and Sloane in  $[4]$ . Two recent introductory expositions can be found in  $[9]$  and  $[7]$ .

To get to metacirculant graphs, we recall *circulant graphs*, which have been more extensively studied. A recent survey on the subject can be found in [\[15\]](#page-14-3). The following definition of circulant graphs is given in [\[2\]](#page-13-0).

<sup>2020</sup> *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 94B25, 81P73; Secondary: 05C75.

*Key words and phrases.* additive codes, graph codes, metacirculant graph, quantum codes, self-dual codes.

Nanyang Technological University Grant Number 04INS000047C230GRT01 supports the research carried out by M. F. Ezerman.

<sup>∗</sup> Corresponding author: Martianus Frederic Ezerman.

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  denote the ring of integers modulo n and let

$$
\mathbb{Z}_n^* := \{ x \in \mathbb{Z}_n : 0 < x \le n/2 \}.
$$

The circulant graph  $\Gamma_n(S)$  is the graph with the vertex set  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  where any two vertices x and y are adjacent if and only if  $|x - y|_n \in S$ , with  $S \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_n^*$  and

$$
\begin{cases} |a|_n:=|a| & \text{if } 0\leq a\leq n/2,\\ |a|_n:=n-a & \text{if } n/2
$$

The adjacency matrix of a circulant graph is a circulant matrix. An  $n \times n$  matrix A is called a circulant matrix if it has the form

$$
A = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & a_2 & \cdots & a_{n-1} & a_n \\ a_n & a_1 & \cdots & a_{n-2} & a_{n-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ a_3 & a_4 & \cdots & a_1 & a_2 \\ a_2 & a_3 & \cdots & a_n & a_1 \end{pmatrix} .
$$
 (1)

If the adjacency matrix  $A := A(G)$  of G is circulant, then  $a_1 = 0$  and  $a_i =$  $a_{n+2-i}$  for  $i \in \{2,\ldots,\lfloor n/2 \rfloor\}$ . Circulant matrices are used as building blocks in the constructions of many different classes of codes. Examples include self-dual codes, cyclic codes, and quadratic residue codes.

Circulant graphs are vertex transitive [\[2\]](#page-13-0). They are the Cayley graphs of  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ . In 1982, Alspach and Parsons [\[1\]](#page-13-1) constructed a family of vertex transitive graphs. Each graph in the family has a transitive permutation group as a subgroup of its automorphism group. They named the family metacirculant graphs as it contains the class of circulant graphs.

Li et al. in [\[13,](#page-14-4) Definition 1.1], following D. Marušič in [\[14\]](#page-14-5), call a graph  $\Gamma =$  $(V, E)$  an  $(m, n)$ -metacirculant if  $|V| = mn$  and  $\Gamma$  has two automorphisms  $\rho$  and σ that satisfy some conditions. First,  $\langle \rho \rangle$  is semiregular and has m orbits on V. Second,  $\sigma$  cyclically permutes the m orbits of  $\langle \rho \rangle$  and normalizes  $\langle \rho \rangle$ . Third,  $\sigma^m$ fixes at least one vertex of Γ. In this work, we follow an equivalent combinatorial definition.

<span id="page-1-1"></span>**Definition 1.2.** ([\[1\]](#page-13-1)) Let m, n be two fixed positive integers and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_n$  be a unit. Let  $S_0, S_1, \ldots, S_{\lfloor m/2 \rfloor} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_n$  satisfy the four properties

- 1.  $S_0 = -S_0$ .
- 2.  $0 \notin S_0$ .
- 3.  $\alpha^m S_k = S_k$  for  $1 \leq k \leq \lfloor m/2 \rfloor$ .
- 4. If m is even then  $\alpha^{m/2}S_{m/2} = -S_{m/2}$ .

The meta-circulant graph  $\Gamma := \Gamma(m, n, \alpha, S_0, S_1, \ldots, S_{\lfloor m/2 \rfloor})$  has the vertex set  $V(\Gamma) = \mathbb{Z}_m \times \mathbb{Z}_n$ . Let  $V_0, V_1, \ldots, V_{m-1}$ , where  $V_i := \{(\tilde{i}, \tilde{j}) : 0 \leq j \leq n-1\}$  is a partition of  $V(\Gamma)$ . Let  $1 \leq k \leq \lfloor m/2 \rfloor$ . Vertices  $(i, j)$  and  $(i + k, h)$  are adjacent if and only if  $(h - j) \in \alpha^i S_k$ .

Henceforth, we assume  $m > 1$ , since a metacirculant graph with  $m = 1$  is a circulant graph.

<span id="page-1-0"></span>**Example 1.** The Petersen graph is  $\Gamma(2, 5, 2, \{1, 4\}, \{0\})$ . The vertices are partitioned into

 $V_0 := \{(0, 0), (0, 1), (0, 2), (0, 3), (0, 4)\}$  and  $V_1 := \{(1, 0), (1, 1), (1, 2), (1, 3), (1, 4)\},\$ 

with adjacent vertices  $(0, j)$  and  $(1, j)$  $(1, j)$  $(1, j)$ , for  $0 \leq j \leq 4$ . Figure 1 relabels the vertices lexicographically, that is, the upper layer contains the vertices in  $V_0$  as  $1, \ldots, 5$  while the lower layer presents the vertices in  $V_1$  as  $6, \ldots, 10$ .



FIGURE 1. The Petersen Graph as  $\Gamma(2, 5, 2, \{1, 4\}, \{0\}).$ 

The following fact is well-known.

**Theorem 1.3.** ([\[1\]](#page-13-1)) Let  $\phi(\cdot)$  denote the Euler totient function. If  $gcd(m, n)$ 1 and  $gcd(m, \phi(n)) = 1$ , then the metacirculant  $\Gamma(m, n, \alpha, S_0, S_1, \ldots, S_{\lfloor m/2 \rfloor})$  is isomorphic to a circulant graph.

The next lemma determines the valence.

<span id="page-2-1"></span>**Lemma 1.4.** Any  $G := \Gamma(m > 1, n, \alpha, S_0, S_1, \ldots, S_{\lfloor m/2 \rfloor})$  is regular with valence

<span id="page-2-0"></span>
$$
\begin{cases}\n|S_0| + |S_1| & \text{if } m = 2, \\
|S_0| + |S_{\lfloor m/2 \rfloor} | + 2 \left( \sum_{r=1}^{\lfloor m/2 \rfloor - 1} |S_r| \right) & \text{if } m \ge 4 \text{ is even}, \\
|S_0| + 2 \left( \sum_{r=1}^{\lfloor m/2 \rfloor} |S_r| \right) & \text{if } m \ge 3 \text{ is odd}.\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(2)

*Proof.* Since the automorphism group of  $G$  acts transitively on its vertices,  $G$  is a regular graph. Hence, it suffices to determine the degree of vertex  $(0,0) \in V_0$ . The vertex is adjacent to a vertex  $(0, h) \in V_0$  if and only if  $h \in S_0$ . This implies that  $(0, 0)$  is adjacent to  $|S_0|$  vertices in  $V_0$ .

Next,  $(0,0)$  is adjacent to a vertex  $(k, h) \in V_k$  if and only if  $h \in S_k$ . Hence,  $(0, 0)$  is adjacent to  $|S_k|$  vertices in  $V_k$ . The set  $S_k$  determines the edges between layers  $V_0$  and  $V_k$  whose indices differ by k for  $1 \leq k \leq \lfloor m/2 \rfloor$ . When m is odd, the index 0 of  $V_0$  differs by k from both the indices k and  $m - k$  of  $V_k$  and  $V_{m-k}$ for all  $1 \leq k \leq \lfloor m/2 \rfloor$ . When m is even,  $V_{m/2} = -V_{m/2}$ , which implies that the index 0 of  $V_0$  differs by k from both the indices k and  $m - k$  of  $V_k$  and  $V_{m-k}$  for all  $1 \leq k \leq |m/2|-1$ . Thus, the degree of vertex  $(0,0)$  is as given in Equation [2.](#page-2-0)  $\Box$ 

<span id="page-2-2"></span>**Theorem 1.5.** Let  $S := \{S_0, S_1, \ldots, S_{\lfloor m/2 \rfloor}\}\$ . Let the graph  $G := \Gamma(m, n, \alpha, S)$ be given. Let  $\{V_i : i \in \{0, 1, \ldots, m-1\}\}\$ , with  $V_i := \{(i, j) : 0 \leq j < n\}$ , be the partition of the vertex set into m layers, each containing n vertices. Then G is a multi-partite metacirculant graph with m partitions if and only if  $S_0$  is the empty set.

*Proof.* By Definition [1.2,](#page-1-1) two vertices  $(i, j)$  and  $(i+k, h)$  are adjacent in  $\Gamma(m, n, \alpha, S)$ if and only if  $h - j \in \alpha^i S_k$ . Both vertices are in the same layer  $V_i$  whenever  $k = 0$ . Hence, the two vertices  $(i, j)$  and  $(i, h)$  in  $V_i$  are adjacent if and only if  $h - j \in \alpha^i S_0$ . The set  $S_0$  being empty implies  $h - j \notin \alpha^i S_0$ . Thus, there is no edge between any pair of vertices within the same layer  $V_i$ . □

2. Self-dual additive codes from metacirculant graphs. A code over  $\mathbb{F}_4$  :=  $\{0, 1, \omega, \overline{\omega} = \omega^2 = 1 + \omega\}$  is said to be *additive* if it is  $\mathbb{F}_2$ -linear, *i.e.*, the code is closed under addition but closure under multiplication by the elements in  $\mathbb{F}_4 \setminus \mathbb{F}_2$  is not required. An  $\mathbb{F}_4$ -linear code is additive. An element **c** of C is called a codeword of C. The weight of  $\bf{c}$  is the number of nonzero entries that it has. The minimum distance of  $C$  is the least non-zero weight of all codewords in  $C$ . If  $C$  is an additive code of length  $\ell$  over  $\mathbb{F}_4$ , of size  $2^k$  and minimum distance d, then we denote C by  $(\ell, 2^k, d)_4.$ 

The trace Hermitian inner product of  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$  and  $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, \ldots, y_n)$  in  $\mathbb{F}_4^n$  is given by

$$
\mathbf{x} * \mathbf{y} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} (x_j y_j^2 + x_j^2 y_j).
$$
 (3)

Given an additive code  $C$ , its symplectic dual  $C^*$  is

 $C^* = {\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{F}_4^n : \mathbf{x} * \mathbf{c} = 0 \text{ for all } \mathbf{c} \in C}$ 

and C is said to be (symplectic) self-dual if  $C = C^*$ .

An additive  $\mathbb{F}_4$  self-dual code is called Type II if all of its codewords have even weights. A code which is not Type II is called *Type I*. It is well-known that Type II codes must have even lengths.

Self-dual codes, under various inner products and possible alphabet sets, have been extensively studied due to their rich algebraic, combinatorial, and geometric structures. A major reference on this topic is the book [\[16\]](#page-14-6) authored by Nebe, Rains, and Sloane. Of particular relevance to our family of additive self-dual codes here, labeled as family  $4^{H+}$  in [\[16\]](#page-14-6), is the treatment in Section 6 of Chapter 7 and in Chapter 11.

Let  $A(\Gamma)$  be the adjacency matrix of the graph  $\Gamma$  and I be the identity matrix. The following nice result was first shown by Schlingemann in [\[18\]](#page-14-7) and subsequently discussed in [\[5,](#page-14-8) Section 3]. Every graph represents a self-dual additive code over  $\mathbb{F}_4$  and every self-dual additive code over  $\mathbb{F}_4$  can be represented by a graph. In particular, the additive  $\mathbb{F}_{4}$ -code  $C := C(\Gamma)$  generated by the row span of the matrix  $A(\Gamma) + \omega I$  is symplectic self-dual.

Danielsen and Parker gave a complete classification of all self-dual additive codes over  $\mathbb{F}_4$  for  $n \leq 12$  in [\[5\]](#page-14-8). Follow-up works, covering  $n \leq 50$ , were contributed by Gulliver and Kim in [\[11\]](#page-14-9), by Varbanov in [\[19\]](#page-14-10), by Grassl and Harada in [\[10\]](#page-14-11), and by Saito in [\[17\]](#page-14-12). Their collective efforts focused on codes derived from graphs whose adjacency matrices are either *circulant* or *bordered circulant*.

The following result classifies Type I and Type II additive  $\mathbb{F}_4$  self-dual codes generated by metacirculant graphs.

<span id="page-3-0"></span>**Theorem 2.1.** Let C be an additive  $\mathbb{F}_4$  self-dual code generated by

$$
\Gamma(m, n, \alpha, S_0, S_1, \ldots, S_{\lfloor m/2 \rfloor})
$$
, with  $2 \mid (mn)$ .

Let

$$
\Delta_S := \begin{cases} |S_0| & \text{if } m \text{ is odd,} \\ |S_0| + |S_{\lfloor m/2 \rfloor}| & \text{if } m \text{ is even.} \end{cases}
$$
(4)

Then C is Type II if and only if  $\Delta_S$  is odd.

*Proof.* Let mn be even. An additive self-dual  $\mathbb{F}_4$  code  $C = C(\Gamma)$  is Type II if and only if all vertices of  $\Gamma$  have odd degree [\[5\]](#page-14-8). Lemma [1.4](#page-2-1) gives the valence of  $\Gamma(m, n, \alpha, S_0, S_1, \ldots, S_{\lfloor m/2 \rfloor})$ . By Equation [2,](#page-2-0) the valence is odd if and only if  $\Delta_S$ is odd.  $\Box$ 

The three parameters of a qubit code  $Q \subseteq \mathbb{C}^{2^{\ell}}$  are its length  $\ell$ , dimension K over  $\mathbb{C}$ , and *minimum distance*  $d = d(Q)$ . The notation

$$
((\ell, K, d))
$$
 or  $[\![\ell, k, d]\!]$  with  $k = \log_2 K$ 

signifies that Q encodes K logical qubits as  $\ell$  physical qubits, with d being the smallest number of simultaneous quantum error operators that can send a valid codeword into another.

A symplectic self-dual additive code C over  $\mathbb{F}_4$  of length  $\ell$  and minimum distance d gives an  $\lbrack \lbrack \ell, 0, d \rbrack \rbrack_2$  qubit code Q. Since  $k = 0$ , that is the code Q consists of a single quantum state, one needs to carefully interpret the meaning of minimum distance. As explained in [\[4,](#page-14-0) Sect. III], an  $\lbrack \ell, 0, d \rbrack$  code Q has the property that, when subjected to a decoherence of  $|(d-1)/2|$  coordinates, it is possible to determine exactly which coordinates were decohered. This code can be used, for example, to test if certain storage locations for qubits are decohering faster than they should.

Such a code Q can be of interest in their own right. A famous example is the unique  $[2, 0, 2]$  code that corresponds to the maximally entangled quantum state known as the EPR pair in the famed paper [\[6\]](#page-14-13) of Einstein, Podolsky, and Rosen. More commonly, a zero-dimensional code is used as a seed in some secondary constructions of quantum codes to produce qubit codes with  $k > 0$ .

**Theorem 2.2.** [\[4,](#page-14-0) Theorem 6] Assume that a qubit  $((\ell, K, d > 1))_2$  code Q exists. Then the following qubit codes exist. An  $((\ell, K', d))_2$  code for all  $1 < K' \leq K$  by subcode construction. A  $((\lambda, K, d))_2$  code for all  $K > 1$  and  $\lambda \geq \ell$  by lengthening. An  $((\ell-1, K, d-1))_2$  code by puncturing.

There is also a quantum analogue of the *shortening* construction on classical code, although the former is less straightforward to perform. Interested reader can consult [\[9,](#page-14-1) Section 4.3] for the procedure.

**Example 2.** The  $[12, 6, 6]_4$  dodecacode D yields the unique  $[12, 0, 6]$  qubit code. It can be generated by the metacirculant graph  $G_{12} := \Gamma(2,6,5,\{3\},\{0,3,4,5\}).$ Figure  $2$  shows  $G_{12}$  with the vertices relabeled for convenience. The dodecacode is Type II, with weight distribution

			wt #c   wt #c   wt #c   wt #c   wt #c	
			$1 \t6 \t396 \t8 \t1,485 \t10 \t1,980 \t12 \t234$	

Many known optimal or currently best-performing qubit codes of length  $\ell$  with  $K = 1$  in the literature, *i.e.*,  $[\lbrack \ell, 0, d \rbrack]_2$  with best-known or optimal d, are constructed based on circulant graphs [\[5,](#page-14-8) [10,](#page-14-11) [17\]](#page-14-12). We will soon show that strict improvements can be gained when one starts with metacirculant graphs. We use MAGMA [\[3\]](#page-14-14) for all computations.

3. Higher best-known minimum distances of additive symplectic selfdual codes. This section presents additive symplectic self-dual codes of length  $\ell \in \{27, 36\}$  with stricly higher minimum distances than any that can be derived from circulant graphs. The strict improvements, however, do not extend to the quantum setup. There are  $\lbrack \ell, 0, d \rbrack$  qubit codes, constructed from other approaches



<span id="page-5-0"></span>FIGURE 2.  $G_{12} := \Gamma(2, 6, 5, \{3\}, \{0, 3, 4, 5\})$  of the dodecacode  $\mathcal{D}$ .  $\Box$ 

as recorded in the corresponding entries in [\[8\]](#page-14-15), whose minimum distances are equal to the ones that we derive here.

For length  $\ell = 27$ , Varbanov in [\[19\]](#page-14-10) concluded after an exhaustive search that the circulant graph construction only yields additive self-dual codes  $(27, 2^{27}, \hat{d})$ 4 of highest minimum distance  $d = 8 \geq \hat{d}$ . Using the metacirculant graph construction, we obtain additive self-dual codes with parameters  $(27, 2^{27}, 9)_4$ . They yield  $[\![27, 0, 9]\!]$ qubit codes, which meet the best-known parameters in [\[8\]](#page-14-15).

<span id="page-5-1"></span>**Proposition 1.** There are two inequivalent families of  $(27, 2^{27}, 9)$ <sub>4</sub> additive selfdual codes, each consisting of 108 equivalent codes. They yield  $[27, 0, 9]$  qubit stabilizer codes.

*Proof.* An exhaustive search found 216 metacirculant graphs  $\{\Gamma(3, 9, \alpha, S_0, S_1)\}\$ in two non-isomorphic families, corresponding to two inequivalent codes. Tables [2](#page-8-0) and [3](#page-9-0) give the complete list of  $\alpha$ ,  $S_0$ ,  $S_1$ .

The families  $\mathcal{F}_{27,1}$  and  $\mathcal{F}_{27,2}$  are represented by the graphs

$$
G_{27,1} := \Gamma(3,9,4,\{2,7\},\{0,1,2,3,4,5,8\}) \text{ and}
$$
  
\n
$$
G_{27,2} := \Gamma(3,9,7,\{1,3,6,8\},\{0,5,8\}).
$$
\n(5)

Figure [3](#page-6-0) visualizes  $G_{27,2}$  according to the vertex relabeling done in Table [1](#page-7-0) to highlight its metacirculant structure. One can do similarly for the more complicated looking  $G_{27,1}$ . The even weights in both families of codes have the same number of codewords. The weight distributions are in Table [4.](#page-10-0) П

A self-dual additive  $(36, 2^{36}, 12)_4$  code from circulant graphs does not exist, since the best minimum distance is confirmed to be 11 in  $[10, 17]$  $[10, 17]$ . The metacirculant graph construction increases the known minimum distance of length 36 additive self-dual codes to 12.

<span id="page-5-2"></span>**Proposition 2.** There are 72 metacirculant graphs with  $(m, n) = (2, 18)$ , producing two inequivalent additive symplectic self-dual  $(36, 2^{36}, 12)_4$  Type II codes. We can separate the 72 graphs into two families, each containing 36 isomorphic graphs.



<span id="page-6-0"></span>FIGURE 3.  $G_{27,2} := \Gamma(3,9,4, \{(2,7), \{0,1,2,3,4,5,8\}\})$  from the family  $\mathcal{F}_{27,2}$ . Edges between  $\mathcal{L}_1$  and  $\mathcal{L}_2$  are in red, between  $\mathcal{L}_1$  and  $\mathcal{L}_3$  are in black, between  $\mathcal{L}_2$  and  $\mathcal{L}_3$  are in blue.

They yield [36, 0, 12]<sub>2</sub> qubit codes. The respective families  $\mathcal{F}_{36,1}$  and  $\mathcal{F}_{36,2}$  are represented by the graphs

$$
G_{36,1} := \Gamma(2, 18, 1, \{4, 6, 12, 14\}, \{3, 4, 6, 7, 9, 11, 12, 14, 15\}),
$$
  
\n
$$
G_{36,2} := \Gamma(2, 18, 1, \{4, 6, 12, 14\}, \{1, 4, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 14, 17\}).
$$
\n(6)

*Proof.* The weight distributions of the self-dual codes  $C_{36,1}$  and  $C_{36,2}$  derived, respectively, from  $G_{36,1}$  and  $G_{36,2}$  are in Table [5.](#page-10-1) The list of  $\{\alpha, S_0, S_1\}$  for the metacirculant graphs  $\Gamma(2, 18, \alpha, S_0, S_1)$  that splits into two families is given in Ta-ble [6.](#page-11-0) The two graphs  $G_{36,1}$  and  $G_{36,2}$  are presented in Table [7.](#page-12-0) They share common structures within each of the two layers and differ only on the edges connecting distinct layers. $\Box$ 

## 8 PADMAPANI SENEVIRATNE AND MARTIANUS FREDERIC EZERMAN

<span id="page-7-0"></span>TABLE 1. List of edges of  $G_{27,1}$  and in  $G_{27,2}$ . Vertices 1 to 9 are in  $\mathcal{L}_1$ . Vertices 10 to 18 are in  $\mathcal{L}_2$ . Vertices 19 to 27 are in  $\mathcal{L}_3$ . Edges  $\{(i, j)\}\$ are listed as  $(i, \{j \in J\})$  with  $i \in \mathcal{L}_r$  and  $J \subset \mathcal{L}_s$ 



<span id="page-7-1"></span>4. **Improved qubit codes.** Here we present improved qubit codes  $\llbracket \ell, 0, d \rrbracket_2$  for lengths  $\ell \in \{78, 90, 91, 93, 96\}$ . Due to the large sizes of the relevant graphs, their presentation will be given in the appendix.

Applying secondary constructions yields more qubit codes with strictly better parameters that previously known. There are computational routines used by M. Grassl to perform the propagation rules on the improved codes submitted for inclu-sion to his online table [\[8\]](#page-14-15). We highlight the process only for  $\ell = 78$ , for brevity.

<span id="page-8-0"></span>TABLE 2. List of  $\alpha$  and  $S_0, S_1$  for  $\Gamma(3, 9, \alpha, S_0, S_1)$  corresponding to  $\mathcal{F}_{27,1}$  in Proposition [1.](#page-5-1)

		Family $\mathcal{F}_{27,1}$
$\alpha$	$S_0$	$\{S_1\}$
$\overline{4}$	${2, 7}$	$\{\{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 8\}, \{0, 1, 2, 5, 6, 7, 8\}, \{0, 1, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8\},\$ $\{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 7, 8\}, \{2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8\}, \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7\}\}.$
	${4, 5}$	$\{\{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7\}, \{0, 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8\}, \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8\},\$ $\{1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8\}, \{0, 1, 2, 4, 6, 7, 8\}, \{0, 1, 2, 3, 5, 7, 8\}.$
	${1, 8}$	$\{\{0, 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 8\}, \{1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 8\}, \{0, 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 7\},\$ $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8\}, \{0, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8\}, \{0, 1, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8\}.$
	$\{1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 8\}$	$\{\{0, 2, 4, 6, 7\}, \{0, 3, 4, 5, 8\}, \{0, 1, 3, 5, 7\},\$ $\{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}, \{1, 3, 5, 6, 8\}, \{1, 2, 3, 6, 7\}\}.$ $\{\{0, 1, 2, 3, 8\}, \{3, 4, 5, 6, 7\}, \{0, 2, 3, 5, 7\},\$ $\{1, 3, 4, 6, 8\}, \{0, 3, 4, 7, 8\}, \{2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}.$ $\{\{0, 1, 6, 7, 8\}, \{2, 3, 6, 7, 8\}, \{0, 1, 2, 5, 6\},\$ $\{0, 2, 4, 6, 8\}, \{0, 1, 4, 5, 6\}, \{0, 5, 6, 7, 8\}.$
	$\{1, 2, 7, 8\}$	$\{\{0, 1, 2, 3, 5, 8\}, \{0, 1, 4, 6, 7, 8\}, \{1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7\},\$ $\{0, 2, 5, 6, 7, 8\}, \{2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8\}, \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 7\}\}.$
	$\{2, 4, 5, 7\}$	$\{\{0, 1, 2, 4, 6, 7\}, \{0, 2, 3, 5, 7, 8\}, \{1, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8\},\$ $\{0, 2, 4, 5, 6, 8\}, \{1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 8\}, \{0, 1, 3, 4, 5, 7\}\}.$
	$\{1, 4, 5, 8\}$	$\{\{0, 1, 4, 5, 6, 7\}, \{2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 8\}, \{0, 2, 3, 4, 5, 8\},\$ $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7\}, \{0, 1, 2, 5, 6, 8\}, \{0, 1, 3, 4, 7, 8\}\}.$
7	${2, 7}$	$\{\{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7\}, \{0, 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8\}, \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8\},\$ $\{1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8\}, \{0, 1, 2, 4, 6, 7, 8\}, \{0, 1, 2, 3, 5, 7, 8\}\}.$
	$\{4, 5\}$	$\{\{0, 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 8\}, \{1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 8\}, \{0, 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 7\},\$ $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8\}, \{0, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8\}, \{0, 1, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8\}.$
	${1, 8}$	$\{\{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 8\}, \{0, 1, 2, 5, 6, 7, 8\}, \{0, 1, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8\},\$ $\{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 7, 8\}, \{2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8\}, \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7\}\}.$
	$\{1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 8\}$	$\{\{0, 2, 4, 6, 7\}, \{0, 3, 4, 5, 8\}, \{0, 1, 3, 5, 7\},\$ $\{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}, \{1, 3, 5, 6, 8\}, \{1, 2, 3, 6, 7\}\}.$ $\{\{0, 1, 2, 3, 8\}, \{3, 4, 5, 6, 7\}, \{0, 2, 3, 5, 7\},\$ $\{1, 3, 4, 6, 8\}, \{0, 3, 4, 7, 8\}, \{2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}\}.$ $\{\{0, 1, 6, 7, 8\}, \{2, 3, 6, 7, 8\}, \{0, 1, 2, 5, 6\},\$ $\{0, 2, 4, 6, 8\}, \{0, 1, 4, 5, 6\}, \{0, 5, 6, 7, 8\}\}.$
	$\{1, 2, 7, 8\}$	$\{\{0, 1, 2, 4, 6, 7\}, \{0, 2, 3, 5, 7, 8\}, \{1, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8\},\$ $\{0, 2, 4, 5, 6, 8\}, \{1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 8\}, \{0, 1, 3, 4, 5, 7\}\}.$
	$\{2, 4, 5, 7\}$	$\{\{0, 1, 4, 5, 6, 7\}, \{2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 8\}, \{0, 2, 3, 4, 5, 8\},\$ $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7\}, \{0, 1, 2, 5, 6, 8\}, \{0, 1, 3, 4, 7, 8\}\}.$
	$\{1,4,5,8\}$	$\{\{0, 1, 2, 3, 5, 8\}, \{0, 1, 4, 6, 7, 8\}, \{1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7\},\$ $\{0, 2, 5, 6, 7, 8\}, \{2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8\}, \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 7\}\}.$

<span id="page-8-1"></span>Proposition 3. The metacirculant graph

 $G_{78} := \Gamma(6, 13, 12, \{1, 4, 6, 7, 9, 12\}, \{1, 2, 3, 5, 7, 8, 9, 11\},$ 

 ${1, 2, 4, 5, 8, 10}, {1, 2, 3, 6, 7, 8, 12}$  (7)

yields a new  $(78, 2^{78}, 20)$ <sub>4</sub> Type II additive self-dual code. The corresponding  $Q_{78}$ is a  $\llbracket 78, 0, 20 \rrbracket_2$  qubit code. Prior to our discovery, the best-known was  $\llbracket 78, 0, 19 \rrbracket_2$ and the first known occurence of  $d = 20$  was at  $\ell = 80$ . Our improved qubit code has

<span id="page-9-0"></span>TABLE 3. List of  $\alpha$  and  $S_0, S_1$  for  $\Gamma(3, 9, \alpha, S_0, S_1)$  corresponding to  $\mathcal{F}_{27,2}$  in Proposition [1.](#page-5-1)

		$1 \text{ anny } 27.2$
$\alpha$	$S_0$	$\{S_1\}$
4	$\{3,6\}$	$\{\{1,3,5,7\},\{1,3,5,8\},\{1,2,3,4\},\$
		$\{2, 3, 7, 8\}, \{0, 1, 7, 8\}, \{0, 1, 2, 8\}.$
		$\{\{0, 2, 5, 7\}, \{1, 4, 6, 8\}, \{0, 1, 4, 5\},\$
		$\{3,4,7,8\},\{4,5,6,7\},\{0,4,5,8\}\}.$
		$\{\{0, 2, 4, 7\}, \{1, 2, 6, 7\}, \{1, 2, 5, 6\},\$
		$\{2,4,6,8\}, \{5,6,7,8\}, \{2,3,4,5\}\}.$
	$\{1, 2, 3, 6, 7, 8\}$	$\{\{3,5\}, \{4,6\}, \{0,2\}, \{1,3\}, \{0,7\}, \{6,8\}\}.$
	$\{1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8\}$	$\{\{6,7\},\{0,8\},\{3,4\},\{2,3\},\{5,6\},\{0,1\}\}.$
	$\{2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7\}$	$\{\{0,5\}, \{1,6\}, \{2,6\}, \{3,8\}, \{3,7\}, \{0,4\}\}.$
	$\{3,4,5,6\}$	$\{\{1,3,4\},\{0,1,7\},\{5,6,8\},\$
		$\{0, 2, 8\}, \{4, 6, 7\}, \{2, 3, 5\}\}.$
	$\{2,3,6,7\}$	$\{\{2,3,8\},\{1,6,7\},\{3,4,7\},\$ $\{2, 5, 6\}, \{0, 1, 4\}, \{0, 5, 8\}.$
	$\{1,3,6,8\}$	$\{\{2,6,8\},\{0,4,7\},\{1,3,7\},\$
		$\{1,4,6\},\{0,2,5\},\{3,5,8\}\}.$
$\overline{7}$	$\{3,6\}$	$\{\{1,3,5,7\},\{1,3,5,8\},\{1,2,3,4\},\$
		$\{2, 3, 7, 8\}, \{0, 1, 7, 8\}, \{0, 1, 2, 8\}.$
		$\{\{0, 2, 5, 7\}, \{1, 4, 6, 8\}, \{0, 1, 4, 5\},\$ $\{3,4,7,8\},\{4,5,6,7\},\{0,4,5,8\}\}.$
		$\{\{0, 2, 4, 7\}, \{1, 2, 6, 7\}, \{1, 2, 5, 6\},\$
		$\{2,4,6,8\}, \{5,6,7,8\}, \{2,3,4,5\}\}.$
	$\{1, 2, 3, 6, 7, 8\}$	$\{\{0,5\}, \{1,6\}, \{2,6\}, \{3,8\}, \{3,7\}, \{0,4\}\}\$
	$\{1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8\}$	$\{\{3,5\}, \{4,6\}, \{0,2\}, \{1,3\}, \{0,7\}, \{6,8\}\}\$
	$\{2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7\}$	$\{\{6,7\},\{0,8\},\{3,4\},\{2,3\},\{5,6\},\{0,1\}\}\$
	$\{3,4,5,6\}$	$\{\{2,6,8\},\{0,4,7\},\{1,3,7\},\$
		$\{1,4,6\}, \{0,2,5\}, \{3,5,8\}.$
	$\{2,3,6,7\}$	$\{\{1,3,4\},\{0,1,7\},\{5,6,8\},\$
		$\{0, 2, 8\}, \{4, 6, 7\}, \{2, 3, 5\}.$
	$\{1,3,6,8\}$	$\{\{2,3,8\},\{1,6,7\},\{3,4,7\},\$
		${2, 5, 6}, {0, 1, 4}, {0, 5, 8}.$

Family  $\mathcal{F}_{27,2}$ 

been listed as the current best-known since October 13, 2020 in Grassl's Table [\[8\]](#page-14-15) based on a private communication.

*Proof.* A randomized search in MAGMA found  $G_{78}$ . The graph yields the self-dual additive code  $C_{78}$  of distance  $d = 20$ . By Theorem [2.1,](#page-3-0)  $\Delta_S = |S_0| + |S_3| = 13$ , which implies that  $C_{78}$  is Type II. The qubit code  $Q_{78}$  can then be certified to have the claimed parameters. For an easier inspection, since  $G_{78}$  is too complex to visualize, we list the edges in Table [10.](#page-16-0) 口

By propagation rules, strict improvements were also achieved by puncturing, shortening, lengthening, and taking a subcode of  $Q_{78}$ . Table [9](#page-14-16) provides the details.

<span id="page-9-1"></span>Proposition 4. The metacirculant graph

$$
G_{90} := \Gamma(10, 9, 8, \{1, 8\}, \{0, 1, 2, 4, 5, 8\}, \{5, 6\}, \{2, 4, 5, 6, 8\}, \{0, 1, 2, 4, 7\}, \{0, 5, 7, 8\})
$$
(8)

<span id="page-10-0"></span>

$C_{27,1}$							
wt	$\#\mathbf{c}$	wt	$\#\mathbf{c}$	wt	$\#\mathbf{c}$		
$\overline{0}$	1	15	1,857,060	22	18, 873, 108		
9	591	16	4, 188, 213	23	12, 305, 844		
10	4,077	17	8, 123, 490	24	6, 155, 010		
11	17,901	18	13, 519, 830	25	2, 217, 159		
12	68,868	19	19, 215, 414	26	511, 461		
13	237, 276	20	23, 076, 036	27	56, 581		
14	712, 260	21	23, 077, 548				
	$C_{27,2}$						
wt	$\#\mathbf{c}$	wt	$\#\mathbf{c}$	wt	$\#\mathbf{c}$		
$\overline{0}$	1	15	1, 846, 476	22	18, 873, 108		
9	717	16	4, 188, 213	23	12, 301, 308		
10	4,077	17	8, 139, 366	24	6, 155, 010		
11	16,767	18	13, 519, 830	25	2, 218, 293		
12	68,868	19	19, 199, 538	26	511, 461		
13	241, 812	20	23, 076, 036	27	56, 455		

TABLE 4. The Weight distribution of  $C_{27,1}$  and  $C_{27,2}$ 

<span id="page-10-1"></span>TABLE 5. The Weight distribution of  $C_{36,1}$  and  $C_{36,2}$ 

			$C_{36,1}$			
wt	#c $\in C$	wt	# ${\bf c} \in C$	wt	# $\mathbf{c} \in C$	
$\Omega$	1	20	746, 262, 396	30	11, 670, 433, 632	
12	28,764	22	3, 459, 817, 152	32	3, 176, 936, 829	
14	425, 952	24	10, 296, 739, 656	34	305, 789, 472	
16	9,744,570	26	18, 797, 790, 528	36	4, 362, 804	
18	100, 283, 040	28	20, 150, 861, 940			
	$C_{36,2}$					
wt	#c $\in C$	wt	#c $\in C$	wt	#c $\in C$	
$\Omega$	1	20	742, 341, 996	30	11, 672, 176, 032	
12	208, 44	22	3, 466, 089, 792	32	3, 176, 414, 109	
14	520, 992	24	10, 289, 421, 576	34	305, 884, 512	
16	9, 221, 850	26	18, 804, 063, 168	36	4, 354, 884	
18	102, 025, 440	28	20, 146, 941, 540			

generates a new  $(90, 2^{90}, 21)_4$  additive self-dual code  $C_{90}$ . The resulting  $[\![90, 0, 21]\!]$ qubit code  $Q_{90}$  has better minimum distance than the best-known  $[\![90, 0, 20]\!]$  in  $[\![8]\!]$  $[\![8]\!]$  $[\![8]\!]$ .

*Proof.* The graph  $G_{90}$  and the resulting codes  $C_{90}$  and  $Q_{90}$  as well as their parameters were found by MAGMA searches. The code  $C_{90}$  is Type I by Theorem [2.1,](#page-3-0) since  $\Delta_S = |S_0| + |S_5| = 6$ . For verification,  $G_{90}$  is described by its list of edges in Table [11.](#page-17-0)  $\Box$ 

<span id="page-10-2"></span>Proposition 5. The multi-partite metacirculant graph

 $G_{91} := \Gamma(7, 13, 3, \{\}, \{4, 7, 8, 10, 11, 12\},\$  $\{1, 3, 4, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12\}, \{0, 4, 7, 8, 10, 11, 12\})$  (9)

## 12 PADMAPANI SENEVIRATNE AND MARTIANUS FREDERIC EZERMAN

<span id="page-11-0"></span>TABLE 6. List of input parameters  $\alpha$  and  $S_0, S_1$  for the metacirculant graphs  $\Gamma(2, 18, \alpha, S_0, S_1)$  in Proposition [2.](#page-5-2)

	Family $\mathcal{F}_{36,1}$		
$\alpha$	$S_0, S_1$	$\alpha$	$S_0, S_1$
$\,1$	$\{4, 6, 12, 14\}, \{3, 4, 6, 7, 9, 11, 12, 14, 15\}$	17	$\{2, 6, 12, 16\}, \{1, 4, 7, 8, 9, 11, 12, 13, 16\}$
	$\{2,6,12,16\}, \{1,2,3,6,9,12,15,16,17\}$		$\{2, 6, 12, 16\}, \{0, 1, 4, 7, 10, 13, 14, 15, 17\}$
	$\{6, 8, 10, 12\}, \{0, 1, 3, 4, 6, 12, 14, 15, 17\}$		$\{2, 6, 12, 16\}, \{2, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10, 11, 14, 17\}$
	$\{2, 3, 6, 7, 11, 12, 15, 16\}, \{0, 6, 8, 10, 12\}$		$\{2, 6, 12, 16\}, \{2, 5, 8, 11, 12, 13, 15, 16, 17\}$
	$\{1, 3, 6, 8, 10, 12, 15, 17\}, \{3, 5, 9, 13, 15\}$		$\{2, 6, 12, 16\}, \{1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 10, 13, 16\}$
	$\{3, 4, 5, 6, 12, 13, 14, 15\}, \{3, 7, 9, 11, 15\}$		$\{2, 6, 12, 16\}, \{0, 1, 3, 4, 5, 8, 11, 14, 17\}$
	$\{3, 5, 6, 8, 9, 10, 12, 13, 15\}, \{1, 3, 15, 17\}$		$\{2, 6, 12, 16\}, \{0, 3, 6, 9, 12, 13, 14, 16, 17\}$
	$\{3, 4, 6, 7, 9, 11, 12, 14, 15\}, \{3, 5, 13, 15\}$		$\{2, 6, 12, 16\}, \{0, 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 9, 12, 15\}$
	$\{1, 2, 3, 6, 9, 12, 15, 16, 17\}, \{2, 6, 12, 16\}$		$\{2, 6, 12, 16\}, \{0, 3, 6, 7, 8, 10, 11, 12, 15\}$
	$17\ \{4,6,12,14\},\{0,1,3,5,6,8,9,15,16\}$		$\{6, 8, 10, 12\}, \{0, 2, 8, 10, 11, 13, 14, 15, 17\}$
	$\{4, 6, 12, 14\}, \{5, 6, 8, 9, 11, 13, 14, 16, 17\}$		$\{6, 8, 10, 12\}, \{3, 5, 6, 8, 9, 10, 12, 13, 15\}$
	$\{4, 6, 12, 14\}, \{0, 1, 3, 4, 6, 8, 9, 11, 12\}$		$\{6, 8, 10, 12\}, \{0, 2, 3, 5, 11, 13, 14, 16, 17\}$
	$\{4, 6, 12, 14\}, \{1, 2, 4, 6, 7, 9, 10, 16, 17\}$		$\{6, 8, 10, 12\}, \{0, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 9, 15, 17\}$
	$\{4, 6, 12, 14\}, \{1, 3, 4, 6, 7, 13, 14, 16, 17\}$		$\{6, 8, 10, 12\}, \{1, 7, 9, 10, 12, 13, 14, 16, 17\}$
	$\{4, 6, 12, 14\}, \{2, 3, 5, 6, 8, 10, 11, 13, 14\}$		$\{6, 8, 10, 12\}, \{0, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10, 12\}$
	$\{4, 6, 12, 14\}, \{3, 4, 6, 7, 9, 11, 12, 14, 15\}$		$\{6, 8, 10, 12\}, \{1, 2, 4, 10, 12, 13, 15, 16, 17\}$
	$\{4, 6, 12, 14\}, \{0, 1, 3, 4, 10, 11, 13, 14, 16\}$		$\{6, 8, 10, 12\}, \{0, 1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 13, 15, 16\}$
	$\{4, 6, 12, 14\}, \{0, 2, 3, 5, 7, 8, 10, 11, 17\}$		$\{6, 8, 10, 12\}, \{1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 8, 14, 16, 17\}$
	Family $\mathcal{F}_{36,2}$		
$\alpha$	$S_0, S_1$	$\alpha$	$S_0, S_1$
$\mathbf{1}$	$\{4, 6, 12, 14\}, \{1, 4, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 14, 17\}$	17	$\{2, 6, 12, 16\}, \{1, 2, 4, 5, 9, 13, 14, 16, 17\}$
	$\{2, 6, 12, 16\}, \{1, 2, 4, 5, 9, 13, 14, 16, 17\}$		$\{2, 6, 12, 16\}, \{1, 5, 6, 8, 9, 11, 12, 14, 15\}$
	$\{6, 8, 10, 12\}, \{0, 1, 2, 4, 7, 11, 14, 16, 17\}$		$\{2, 6, 12, 16\}, \{3, 7, 8, 10, 11, 13, 14, 16, 17\}$
	$\{2, 5, 6, 7, 11, 12, 13, 16\}, \{0, 4, 8, 10, 14\}$		$\{2, 6, 12, 16\}, \{3, 4, 6, 7, 9, 10, 12, 13, 17\}$
	$\{1, 4, 5, 6, 12, 13, 14, 17\}, \{1, 7, 9, 11, 17\}$		$\{2, 6, 12, 16\}, \{0, 2, 3, 5, 6, 8, 9, 13, 17\}$
	$\{1, 6, 7, 8, 10, 11, 12, 17\}, \{5, 7, 9, 11, 13\}$		$\{2, 6, 12, 16\}, \{0, 1, 3, 4, 6, 7, 11, 15, 16\}$
	$\{1, 4, 6, 7, 9, 11, 12, 14, 17\}, \{4, 8, 10, 14\}$		$\{2, 6, 12, 16\}, \{1, 2, 6, 10, 11, 13, 14, 16, 17\}$
	$\{1, 2, 5, 6, 9, 12, 13, 16, 17\}, \{5, 7, 11, 13\}$		$\{2, 6, 12, 16\}, \{0, 1, 3, 4, 6, 7, 9, 10, 14\}$
	$\{5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13\}, \{1, 7, 11, 17\}$		$\{2, 6, 12, 16\}, \{2, 3, 5, 6, 8, 9, 11, 12, 16\}$
	$17\{4,6,12,14\},\{1,3,6,9,10,11,12,13,16\}$		$\{6, 8, 10, 12\}, \{2, 6, 9, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 17\}$
	$\{4, 6, 12, 14\}, \{1, 4, 6, 9, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16\}$		$\{6, 8, 10, 12\}, \{0, 3, 7, 10, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16\}$
	$\{4, 6, 12, 14\}, \{2, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 12, 15, 17\}$		$\{6, 8, 10, 12\}, \{0, 4, 7, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 15\}$
	$\{4, 6, 12, 14\}, \{1, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 11, 14, 16\}$		$\{6, 8, 10, 12\}, \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 10, 14, 17\}$
	$\{4, 6, 12, 14\}, \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 8, 11, 13, 16\}$		$\{6, 8, 10, 12\}, \{2, 5, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 13, 16\}$
	$\{4, 6, 12, 14\}, \{1, 4, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 14, 17\}$		$\{6, 8, 10, 12\}, \{3, 6, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 14, 17\}$
	$\{4, 6, 12, 14\}, \{0, 1, 2, 3, 6, 9, 11, 14, 17\}$		$\{6, 8, 10, 12\}, \{0, 1, 3, 6, 10, 13, 15, 16, 17\}$
	$\{4, 6, 12, 14\}, \{2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 9, 12, 14, 17\}$ $\{4, 6, 12, 14\}, \{0, 3, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 13, 16\}$		$\{6, 8, 10, 12\}, \{0, 2, 5, 9, 12, 14, 15, 16, 17\}$ $\{6, 8, 10, 12\}, \{2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 10, 13, 17\}$

generates a new  $(91, 2^{91}, 22)_4$  additive self-dual Type I code  $C_{91}$ . The corresponding  $[\![91, 0, 22]\!]_2$  qubit code  $Q_{91}$  improves the minimum distance of the  $[\![91, 0, 21]\!]$  code in [\[8\]](#page-14-15).

*Proof.* By Theorem [1.5,](#page-2-2)  $G_{91}$  is a multi-partite graph. The vertices are partitioned into 7 layers, each containing 13 vertices. We used MAGMA to verify that the minimum distance d of the generated  $[91, 45.5, d]_4$  self-dual additive code  $C_{91}$  is indeed 22. This code is clearly Type I since its length is odd. The corresponding  $[91, 0, 22]_2$ 

<span id="page-12-0"></span>TABLE 7. List of Edges of  $G_{36,1}$  and  $G_{36,2}$  in Proposition [2.](#page-5-2) Vertices 1 to 18 are in  $\mathcal{L}_1$ . Vertices 19 to 36 are in  $\mathcal{L}_2$ .



qubit code  $Q_{91}$  improves on the  $[91, 0, 21]$  code currently listed as best-known in [\[8\]](#page-14-15). The list of the neighbours of each vertex in  $G_{91}$  is in Table [12.](#page-18-0)  $\Box$ 

<span id="page-12-1"></span>Proposition 6. The metacirculant graph

 $G_{93} := \Gamma(3, 31, 1, \{10, 12, 14, 15, 16, 17, 19, 21\},\$  $\{2, 7, 8, 10, 11, 13, 15, 16, 17, 19\}$  (10)

Graph $G$	$d_{\min}(G)$	$\nu(G)$	$\gamma(G)$	$ \text{Aut}(G) $
$G_{12}$	6	5	4	24
$G_{27,1}$	9	16	6	27
$G_{27,2}$	9	10	4	27
$G_{{\rm 36},1}$	12	13	6	72
$G_{36,2}$	12	13	4	72
$G_{78}$	20	41	7	78
$G_{90}$	21	42	7	90
$G_{91}$	22	44	7	546
$G_{93}$	22	28	4	186
$G_{96}$	22	35	6	96

<span id="page-13-2"></span>Table 8. Properties of the Graphs

generates a new  $(93,2^{93},22)_{4}$  additive self-dual code  $C_{93}$ . The code is Type I due to its odd length. The resulting  $[93, 0, 21]_2$  qubit code  $Q_{93}$  has an improved minimum distance compared with the prior best known  $[93, 0, 20]_2$  code in  $[8]$ .

*Proof.* We used MAGMA to verify that the generated  $(93, 2^{93}, d)_4$  additive self-dual code  $C_{93}$  has minimum distance  $d = 21$ . This Type I code corresponds to a  $[93, 0, 21]_2$  qubit code  $Q_{93}$ . For verification, Table [13](#page-19-0) lists the edges of  $G_{93}$ . □

<span id="page-13-3"></span>Proposition 7. The metacirculant graph

$$
G_{96} := \Gamma(6, 16, 7, \{2, 4, 6, 7, 9, 10, 12, 14\}, \{1, 3, 4, 5, 7, 9, 10\}, \{3, 5, 11, 14\}, \{0, 2, 7, 10, 15\}) \tag{11}
$$

generates a new  $(96, 2^{96}, 22)_4$  additive self-dual Type II code  $C_{96}$ . The resulting  $[96, 0, 22]_2$  qubit code  $Q_{96}$  improves the minimum distance of the prior best-known  $[96, 0, 20]_2$  quantum code in  $[8]$ .

*Proof.* Table [14](#page-20-0) lists the neighbours of each vertex of  $G_{96}$ , for verification. We confirmed that the minimum distance of  $C_{96}$  is 22 by using MAGMA. Since  $\Delta_S$  =  $|S_0| + |S_3| = 13$ , the code is Type II by Theorem [2.1.](#page-3-0) П

5. Concluding Remarks. There are at least two challenging aspects in finding improved symplectic self-dual additive codes over  $\mathbb{F}_4$  or strictly better qubit codes. First, the search space quickly widens as the length  $\ell$  grows. Second, determining the minimum distances of the codes is computationally expensive.

We have shown that focusing on metacirculant graphs which are not isomorphic to the circulant ones is a fruitful approach.

Bringing this work to its end, Table [8](#page-13-2) summarizes the properties of the graphs that we have used above. All of them have diameter 2 and girth 3. Included in the table, for each graph G, are the minimum distance  $d_{\min}(G)$  of the generated additive code  $C := C(G)$ , the valency  $\nu(G)$ , the size  $\gamma(G)$  of the maximum clique, and the size  $|\text{Aut}(G)|$  of the automorphism group of G.

## **REFERENCES**

- <span id="page-13-1"></span>[1] B. Alspach and T. D. Parsons, A construction for vertex-transitive graphs, *Canad. J. Math.*, 34 (1982), 307–318.
- <span id="page-13-0"></span>[2] Zbigniew R. Bogdanowicz, Pancyclicity of connected circulant graphs, *J. Graph Theory*, 22 (1996), 167–174.

Code	Parameters	Propagation rule
$Q_{78.1}$	$\left[\!\left[77,0,19\right]\!\right]_2$	Puncture $Q_{78}$ at $\{78\}$
$Q_{78.2}$	$[[77, 1, 19]]_2$	Shorten $Q_{78}$ at $\{78\}$
$Q_{78.3}$	$[[78, 1, 19]]_2$	Lengthen $Q_{78,2}$ by 1
$Q_{78.4}$	$[[76, 2, 18]]_2$	Shorten $Q_{78}$ at $\{77,78\}$
$Q_{78.5}$	$\lbrack\!\lbrack 76,1,18\rbrack\!\rbrack_2$	Subcode of $Q_{78,4}$
$Q_{78.6}$	$[[77, 2, 18]]_2$	Lengthen $Q_{78,4}$ by 1
$Q_{78.7}$	$[[75, 3, 17]]_2$	Shorten $Q_{78}$ at $\{76, 77, 78\}$
$Q_{78.8}$	$[[76, 3, 17]]_2$	Lengthen $Q_{78.7}$ by 1
$Q_{78.9}$	$[[75, 2, 17]]_2$	Subcode of $Q_{78,7}$

<span id="page-14-16"></span>TABLE 9. New codes from modifying  $Q_{78}$ 

- <span id="page-14-14"></span>[3] W. Bosma, J. Cannon and C. Playoust, The Magma algebra system I: The user language, *J. Symb. Comput.*, 24 (1997), 235–265.
- <span id="page-14-0"></span>[4] A. R. Calderbank, E. M. Rains, P. M. Shor and N. J. A. Sloane, Quantum error correction via codes over GF(4), *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, 44 (1998), 1369–1387.
- <span id="page-14-8"></span>[5] L. E. Danielsen and M. G. Parker, On the classification of all self-dual additive codes over GF(4) of length up to 12, *J. Combin. Theory, Ser. A*, 113 (2006), 1351–1367.
- <span id="page-14-13"></span>[6] A. Einstein, B. Podolsky, and N. Rosen, Can quantum-mechanical description of physical reality be considered complete?, *Phys. Rev.*, 47 (1935), 777–780.
- <span id="page-14-2"></span>[7] Martianus F. Ezerman, Quantum error-control codes, Chapter 27 in W. C. Huffman, J.-L. Kim and P Solé (Eds.), *Concise Encyclopedia of Coding Theory*, 1<sup>st</sup> edition, Chapman and Hall (CRC Press), Boca Raton, 2021.
- <span id="page-14-15"></span>[8] Markus Grassl, Bounds on the minimum distance of linear codes and quantum codes. Online available at <http://www.codetables.de>, 2007, accessed on 2021-04-30.
- <span id="page-14-1"></span>[9] Markus Grassl, Algebraic quantum codes: Linking quantum mechanics and discrete mathematics, *Int. J. Comput. Math.: Comput. Syst. Theory*, (2020) DOI: 10.1080/23799927.2020.1850530
- <span id="page-14-11"></span>[10] M. Grassl and M. Harada, New self-dual additive  $\mathbb{F}_4$ -codes constructed from circulant graphs, *Discrete Math.*, 340 (2017), 399–403.
- <span id="page-14-9"></span>[11] T. A. Gulliver and J-L. Kim, Circulant based extremal additive self-dual codes over GF(4), *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, 50 (2004), 359–366.
- [12] J¨urgen Hackl, TikZ-network manual, preprint, [arXiv:1709.06005](http://arxiv.org/pdf/1709.06005). Source code at <https://github.com/hackl/tikz-network>.
- <span id="page-14-4"></span>[13] C. H. Li, S. J. Song and D. J. Wang, A characterization of metacirculants, *J. Combin. Theory, Ser. A*, 120 (2013), 39–48.
- <span id="page-14-5"></span>[14] Dragan Marušič, On 2-arc-transitivity of Cayley graphs, *J. Combin. Theory, Ser. B*, 87 (2003), 162–196. Corrigendum in *J. Combin. Theory, Ser. B*, 96 (2006), 761–764.
- <span id="page-14-3"></span>[15] Emiliya A. Monakhova, A survey on undirected circulant graphs, *Discrete Math. Algorithms Appl.*, 04 (2012), 1250002.
- <span id="page-14-6"></span>[16] G. Nebe, E. M. Rains and N. J. A. Sloane, *Self-Dual Codes and Invariant Theory*, Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2006.
- <span id="page-14-12"></span>[17] Ken Saito, Self-dual additive  $\mathbb{F}_4$ -codes of lengths up to 40 represented by circulant graphs, *Adv. Math. Commun.*, 13 (2019), 213–220.
- <span id="page-14-7"></span>[18] Dirk Schlingemann, Stabilizer codes can be realized as graph codes, *Quantum Info. Comput.*,  $2(2002), 1533 - 7146.$
- <span id="page-14-10"></span>[19] Zlatko Varbanov, Additive circulant graph codes over GF(4), *Math. Maced.*, 6 (2008), 73–79.

Appendix. The metacirculant graphs defined in Section [4](#page-7-1) are described here in details for reconstruction and verification, should the need arise. For a cleaner presentation, we relabel the vertices as positive integers instead of as tuples  $(i, j)$ with  $0 \leq i < m$  and  $0 \leq j < n$ . Given m and n, vertices in partition  $V_0$ , now called layer  $\mathcal{L}_1$ , are labeled 1 to n. Vertices  $n + 1$  to  $2n$  are in partition  $V_1$ , now called layer  $\mathcal{L}_2$ . We repeat the assignment until all vertices are accounted for.

For  $G_{\ell}$  with  $\ell \in \{78, 93, 96\}$ , the edges in  $G_{\ell}$  are listed according to their layer(s) to highlight the partitioning of the vertices and how different layers are connected. The numbers of layers for  $\ell \in \{90, 91\}$  are  $> 6$ . To keep the description for each graph within a page, we simply list their edges.

Received xxxx 20xx; revised xxxx 20xx.

*E-mail address*: [Padmapani.Seneviratne@tamuc.edu](mailto:Padmapani.Seneviratne@tamuc.edu) *E-mail address*: [fredezerman@ntu.edu.sg](mailto:fredezerman@ntu.edu.sg)

<span id="page-16-0"></span>TABLE 10. The 1599 Edges of  $G_{78}$  in Proposition [3:](#page-8-1) Vertices are partitioned into 6 layers  $\mathcal{L}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{L}_6$ , with 13 vertices in each layer. Vertices  $z, z+1, \ldots, z+12$  are in  $\mathcal{L}_{k+1}$  for  $0 \le k \le 5$  and  $z = 13k+1$ .



<span id="page-17-0"></span>TABLE 11. The 1890 edges of  $G_{90}$  in Propositio[n4.](#page-9-1) Vertices are partitioned into 10 layers  $\mathcal{L}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{L}_{10}$ , with 9 vertices in each layer. Vertices  $z, z+1, \ldots, z+8$  are in  $\mathcal{L}_{k+1}$  for  $0 \le k \le 9$  and  $z = 9k+1$ .



<span id="page-18-0"></span>TABLE 12. The 2002 Edges of  $G_{91}$  in Proposition [5:](#page-10-2) Vertices are partitioned into 7 layers  $\mathcal{L}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{L}_7$ , with 13 vertices in each layer. Vertices  $z, z+1, \ldots, z+12$  are in  $\mathcal{L}_{k+1}$  for  $0 \le k \le 6$  and  $z = 13k+1$ .



## 20 PADMAPANI SENEVIRATNE AND MARTIANUS FREDERIC EZERMAN

<span id="page-19-0"></span>TABLE 13. The 1302 edges of  $G_{93}$  in Propositio[n6.](#page-12-1) Vertices are partitioned into 3 layers of 31 vertices each. Vertices 1 to 31 are in  $\mathcal{L}_1$ . Vertices 32 to 62 are in  $\mathcal{L}_2$ . Vertices 63 to 93 are in  $\mathcal{L}_3$ . Edges  $\{(i, j)\}\$ are listed as  $(i, \{j \in J\})$  with  $i \in \mathcal{L}_r$  and  $J \subset \mathcal{L}_s$ 



<span id="page-20-0"></span>TABLE 14. The 1680 edges of  $G_{96}$  in Propositio[n7.](#page-13-3) Vertices are partitioned into 6 layers  $\mathcal{L}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{L}_{10}$ , with 16 vertices in each layer. Vertices  $z, z+1, \ldots, z+15$  are in  $\mathcal{L}_{k+1}$  for  $0 \le k \le 5$  and  $z = 16k+1$ .

