Tautological rings of Hilbert modular varieties

Simon Cooper

May 27, 2021

Abstract

We compute the tautological ring for a Hilbert modular variety at an unramified prime. The method generalises that of van der Geer from the Siegel case.

1 Introduction

In this note we shall compute the tautological ring of Hilbert modular varieties in characteristic p.

For a Shimura variety S_K , its tautological ring $T^{\bullet}(S_K)$ is the subring of its Chow ring with rational coefficients generated by the Chern classes of automorphic vector bundles. This ring was first defined and studied by van der Geer [vdG99] in the Siegel case and later by Wedhorn-Ziegler [Zie18] for Shimura varieties admitting an embedding into a Siegel Shimura variety (i.e. Hodge-type). Given an integral canonical model of a Shimura variety, conjectured to exist at hyperspecial level by Milne [Mil92] (and proven to exist for Hodge- and abeliantype by Kisin [Kis10] and Vasiu [Vas99]), one can also define the tautological ring for the reduction of a Shimura variety to characteristic p. When suitable toroidal compactifications S_K^{tor} exist there is the folk-lore conjecture that

$$T^{\bullet}(S_K^{tor}) \cong H^{2\bullet}(\mathbf{X}^{\vee}(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Q})$$

where \mathbf{X}^{\vee} is the compact dual. Van der Geer proved this in the Siegel case in all characteristics and Wedhorn-Ziegler proved that it holds for Shimura varieties of Hodge-type in characteristic p (where suitable toroidal compactifications of integral models exist by work of Madapusi-Pera [MP19]). We are interested in the related question:

Question 1.1. Is there a similar description of $T^{\bullet}(S_K)$?

In the Siegel case the answer is yes. Indeed, van der Geer proved that $T^{\bullet}(A_g) \cong H^{2\bullet}(\mathbf{X}_{g-1}^{\vee})$, where \mathbf{X}_{g-1} is the double Siegel half-space. In this note we compute $T^{\bullet}(S_K)$ for a Hilbert modular variety in characteristic p (see the beginning of section 5 for a precise statement).

Theorem 1. Let X_K be a Hilbert modular variety at an unramified prime.

$$T^{\bullet}(X_K) \cong H^{2\bullet}(\mathbf{X}^{\vee})/(top \ degree \ part)$$

In particular, the only extra relation is the vanishing of the top Chern class of the Hodge vector bundle pulled back from the Siegel case. It is not clear what a general description for Hodge-type Shimura varieties could be. For example, S_K could itself be compact.

The proof of Theorem 1 generalises the method of van der Geer from the Siegel case, using properness of subvarieties to obtain positivity of certain classes. Pullback gives a surjective map of graded rings

$$H^{2\bullet}(X^{\vee}) \cong T^{\bullet}(S_K^{tor}) \longrightarrow T^{\bullet}(S_K)$$


Our aim is to determine the kernel of this morphism of graded rings. Section 2 recalls the Hilbert modular datum and in section 3 we review Wedhorn-Ziegler's definition of $T^{\bullet}(S_K)$ and computation of $T^{\bullet}(S_K^{tor})$ for the Hilbert modular datum. In section 4 we choose an explicit Siegel embedding and explain that the pullback of the Hodge vector bundle splits into line bundles whose Chern classes generate $T^{\bullet}(S_K^{tor})$. This automatically gives a new relation coming from the vanishing of the top Chern class of the Hodge vector bundle in the Siegel case [vdG99, 1.2]. The final section tackles the problem of showing that there are no further relations. This reduces to an analysis of the highest degree part, which is at most *d*-dimensional. There are *d* elements given by multiples of d-1 generators and we show that they are linearly independent. This requires the properness of certain strata closures with a description of their cycle classes and is the novel difficulty in the Hilbert modular case: in the Siegel case the highest degree part is 1-dimensional so it sufficed to find a non-zero class.

Acknowledgements. The author would like to thank W. Goldring for his support and guidance and D. Petersen for helpful discussions. The author is supported by grant KAW 2018.0356.

2 Hilbert modular datum

We begin by recalling the Shimura datum which gives a Hilbert modular variety and describing the cocharacter datum one obtains from this in characteristic p.

Let F be a totally real field of degree d > 1 over Q. Define **G** as the algebraic group




Define **X** as the $\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{R})$ -conjugacy class of the cocharacter $h: \mathbb{S} \to G_{\mathbb{R}}$ given on \mathbb{R} -points $\mathbb{C}^{\times} \to GL_2(\mathbb{R})^d$ by

$$x + iy \mapsto \left(\begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ y & -x \end{pmatrix} \right)_{i \le d}$$

 (\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{X}) is a Shimura datum, henceforth referred to as the Hilbert modular datum given by F. The associated Shimura variety is the Hilbert modular variety and is of PEL-type. Indeed, Raynaud constructed a model over \mathbb{Z} as a moduli space of principally-polarised abelian varieties with real multiplication by \mathcal{O}_F .

Definition 2.1. Let p be a rational prime unramified in F. Fix an algebraic closure k of \mathbb{F}_p and a hyperspecial level $K = K^p K_p$. Denote X_K the special fibre of the integral model of the Hilbert modular variety at level K and $X_{K,k}$ its base change to k. Fix a smooth proper toroidal compactification X_K^{tor} , which exists by [MP19].

Let G be the special fibre of a smooth reductive \mathbb{Z}_p -model of **G**. We obtain a cocharacter datum (G, μ) as in [GK19, 1.2.2]. If p is inert then G is the fibre product



and if p splits then G is the fibre product

$$\begin{array}{c} G & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{G}_{m,\mathbb{F}_p} \\ & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (GL_{2,\mathbb{F}_p})^d & \stackrel{det}{\longrightarrow} (\mathbb{G}_{m,\mathbb{F}_p})^d \end{array}$$

Fix an embedding $\mathbb{F}_p \hookrightarrow k$ so we can identify $\{\tau \colon \mathbb{F}_p \hookrightarrow k\} \cong \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$. For any unramified prime, the group $G_{\mathbb{F}_{p^d}}$ can be described as the *d*-tuples in GL_2^d which have the same determinant in each factor. Any field extension $\mathbb{F}_{p^d} \subset K$ contains the field of definition $\kappa = \mathbb{F}_p$ of μ and

$$u_K \colon \mathbb{G}_{m,K} \longrightarrow G_K$$


is given by $t \mapsto \left(\begin{pmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right)_{i \leq d}, t).$

Let *P* be the parabolic subgroup associated with μ given on *k*-valued points $P(k) = \{ \begin{pmatrix} a_i & b_i \\ 0 & d_i \end{pmatrix}, a_i d_i \} \subset G(k)$ (this is called $P_-(\mu)$ in [Zie18], \mathbf{P}^- in [GK19]). This has a Levi subgroup $L = Cent(\mu) = \{ \begin{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_i & 0 \\ 0 & d_i \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix}, a_i d_i \} \}$ which is a maximal torus *T*.

3 Tautological ring of a toroidal compactification

In this section we review the computation of $T^{\bullet}(X_{K}^{tor})$.

For a Hodge-type Shimura variety S_K in characteristic p, Wedhorn-Ziegler [Zie18] proved that $T^{\bullet}(S_K^{tor}) \cong A^{\bullet}(G\text{-}\operatorname{Zip}^{\mu})$, where $G\text{-}\operatorname{Zip}^{\mu}$ is the stack introduced in [PWZ15] defined from the cocharacter datum (G, μ) and classifying certain semi-linear algebraic data. In characteristic p the morphism $\sigma \colon S_K \to [P \setminus *]$ given by the P-torsor on S_K appearing in the G-zip H^1_{dR} factors as:



Definition 3.1. Let S_K be a Hodge-type Shimura variety in characteristic p. Its tautological ring is defined $T^{\bullet}(S_K) \coloneqq Im(\sigma^*) = Im(\zeta^* \circ \beta^*) \subset A^{\bullet}(S_K)$. Similarly, for a toroidal compactification $T^{\bullet}(S_K^{tor}) \coloneqq Im(\zeta^{tor,*} \circ \beta^*)$.

In general, ζ is smooth ([Zha18, 3.1.2]) while smoothness of ζ^{tor} is expected to follow from [LS18] (and is known in the PEL case). Wedhorn-Ziegler proved that β^* is surjective and $\zeta^{tor,*}$ is injective, giving $T^{\bullet}(S_K^{tor}) := Im(\zeta^{tor,*} \circ \beta^*) \cong A^{\bullet}(G\text{-}\operatorname{Zip}^{\mu}).$

Now let (G, μ) be the cochacter datum associated with X_K introduced in section 2. Then $\pi: G\text{-}ZipFlag^{\mu} \longrightarrow G\text{-}Zip^{\mu}$ is an isomorphism, where $G\text{-}ZipFlag^{\mu}$ is the stack of zip flags defined in [GK19, 2.1]. Thus, on Chow rings

$$A^{\bullet}(G\operatorname{-Zip}^{\mu}{}_{k}) \cong A^{\bullet}(G\operatorname{-ZipFlag}^{\mu}{}_{k})$$

The Weyl group $W = W_{G,T} = \{\pm 1\}^{\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}}$ acts on the abelian group $X^*(T_k)$ via its action on T_k . This extends to an action on the symmetric algebra $S \coloneqq Sym(X^*(T_k) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q})$ and we denote $I = S^W_+$. Then [Zie18, 8.2] gives

$$A^{\bullet}(G\operatorname{-ZipFlag}^{\mu}_{k}) = S/IS \cong \mathbb{Q}[z_{1}, \dots, z_{d}]/(z_{1}^{2}, \dots, z_{d}^{2})$$

These generators $z_i \in S$ are given by characters

$$z_i \colon \begin{pmatrix} a_j & 0\\ 0 & d_j \end{pmatrix} \mapsto d_i$$

in $X^*(T_k)$. Thus,

$$T^{\bullet}(X_{K,k}^{tor}) \cong \frac{\mathbb{Q}[z_1, \dots, z_d]}{(z_1^2, \dots, z_d^2)}$$

Remark 3.1. This description is essentially independent of the prime. However, one has an action of Frobenius σ on S and this depends on the prime. For example, if p is inert then $\sigma \cdot z_i = z_{i+1}$ for all i, whereas if p is split then the action is trivial. Use σ to also refer to the corresponding permutation of $\{1, \ldots, d\}$.

Remark 3.2. It is possible to interpret the relation z_i^2 geometrically. In the notation of section 5, there is a now-where vanishing section in $H^0(\overline{Z_{w_i}}, L_i \otimes L^p_{\sigma(i)})$ giving $0 = c_1(L_i \otimes L^p_{\sigma(i)}|_{\overline{Z_{w_i}}}) = (z_i + pz_{\sigma(i)})(z_i - pz_{\sigma(i)})$ for each *i*.

4 A Siegel embedding

Notation. Denote $x_i \coloneqq j^*(z_i) \in T^{\bullet}(X_{K,k})$. Denote $V(\rho)$ the vector bundle on $[G \setminus *]$ given by a representation ρ of G.

In this section we prove that the relation $x_1 \ldots x_d = 0$ holds in $T^{\bullet}(X_{K,k})$. Fixing a Siegel embedding gives a description of z_i in terms of the Hodge vector bundle. Namely, the pullback of the Hodge vector bundle \mathbb{E} splits on $X_{K,k}$ into line bundles ω_i with $x_i = c_1(\omega_i)$. The relation follows because $c_d(\mathbb{E}) = 0$ [vdG99, 1.2]. Note that we have made a judicious choice of embedding to give precisely $x_i = c_1(\omega_i)$; this is simply to ease computation. We will work with the following explicit description of the general symplectic group involved in the Siegel Shimura datum.

Example 4.1. Consider the symplectic vector space $V = \mathbb{F}_p^{2d}$ with symplectic form given by $J \coloneqq \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -I_d \\ I_d & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Then $GSp(V) = \{M = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \mid M^T J M = \lambda J \text{ for some } \lambda \in k\}$. Let $\mathcal{A}_{d,K'}$ the moduli of principally-polarised abelian varieties with level K' hyperspecial at p. Then as in section 3 there is a morphism $\sigma_d \colon \mathcal{A}_{d,K'} \to GSp(V)$ -Zip $\to [P_d \setminus *]$.

The Siegel parabolic $P_d = \{ \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ 0 & D \end{pmatrix} \}$ has a Levi quotient isomorphic to $GL_d \times \mathbb{G}_m$. The Hodge vector bundle on $\mathcal{A}_{d,K'}$ is $\mathbb{E} := \sigma_d^* V(\rho_d)$ given by the representation $\rho_d \colon P_d \twoheadrightarrow GL_d \times \mathbb{G}_m \xrightarrow{p_1} GL_d$. Explicitly


$$\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ 0 & D \end{pmatrix} \mapsto D$$

Now consider the standard embedding $\iota: G \hookrightarrow GSp(V) = GSp_{2d}$. This can be described by "embedded squares" over k:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_i & b_i \\ c_i & d_i \end{pmatrix}, \lambda \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}$$

where $A = diag(a_1, \ldots, a_d)$, $B = diag(b_1, \ldots, b_d)$ etc. The parabolic $P \subset G$ maps into the Siegel parabolic $P_d \subset GSp(V)$.

We can explicitly study the pullback of the Hodge vector bundle to $X_{K,k}$. The following diagram commutes, giving $\iota^* \mathbb{E} = \sigma^* V(\rho)$.



Explicitly, $\rho: P \to P_d \to GL_d$ is given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_i & b_i \\ 0 & d_i \end{pmatrix} \mapsto D$$

where $D = diag(d_1, \ldots d_d)$. Consider the characters of P given by

$$\rho_j \colon \begin{pmatrix} a_i & b_i \\ 0 & d_i \end{pmatrix} \mapsto d_j$$

Then $\rho = \rho_1 \oplus \ldots \oplus \rho_d$ and so $V(\rho) \simeq V(\rho_1) \oplus \ldots \oplus V(\rho_d)$ as vector bundles on $[P \setminus *]$. Considering the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X^*(P) & \longrightarrow & X^*(T) \\ & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ A^{\bullet}([P \setminus *]) & \longrightarrow & S & \longrightarrow & S/IS & \longrightarrow & T^{\bullet}(X_{K,k}) \end{array}$$

we see that $x_i = \sigma^* c_1(V(\rho_i))$.

Proposition 4.1. $x_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot x_d = 0$ in $T^{\bullet}(X_{K,k})$.

Proof. On $X_{K,k}$ we have $\iota^* \mathbb{E} = \sigma^* V(\rho)$ so

$$x_1 \dots x_d = c_d(\sigma^* V(\rho)) = c_d(\iota^* \mathbb{E}) = \iota^* c_d(\mathbb{E}) = 0$$

with the last equality given in [vdG99, 1.2].

5 Positivity of classes: proof of Theorem 1

In this section we prove that there are no further relations.

Theorem 1. Let F be a totally real field, p an unramified prime, k an algebraic closure of \mathbb{F}_p , K a hyperspecial level and $X_{K,k}$ the Hilbert modular variety at level K in characteristic p.

$$T^{\bullet}(X_{K,k}) = \frac{\mathbb{Q}[x_1, \dots, x_d]}{(x_1^2, \dots, x_d^2, x_1 \cdot \dots \cdot x_d)}$$

The proof of Theorem 1 follows from:


Proposition 5.1. Let p be a prime unramified in F. The d classes

$$\gamma_i \coloneqq x_1 \dots \widehat{x_i} \dots x_d$$

are linearly independent in $A^{d-1}(X_{K,k})$.

The closures of Ekedahl-Oort strata $\overline{X_{K,w}} = \zeta^{-1}(\overline{Z_w})$ give cycles in $T^{\bullet}(X_K)$ [Zie18, 6.1]. The codimension 1 strata are those indexed by $w_i = (\epsilon_j) \in \{\pm 1\}^d$ with $\epsilon_j = (-1)^{\delta_{ij}+1}$ (length d-1). There are sections s_i of $L_i \otimes L_{\sigma(i)}^{-p}$, where $L_i := \beta^* V(\rho_i)$ on G-Zip^{μ}, such that $Z(s_i) = \overline{Z_{w_i}}$. To be precise, there is a separating system of partial Hasse invariants for the ordinary locus Z_{ord} as defined in [GK18, 3.4.2]. The divisors $D_i := Z(\zeta^* s_i) = \overline{X_{K,w_i}}$ are the Goren-Oort strata closures defined and shown to be smooth and proper in [GO00] and [Gor01]. Indeed, one can see by the modular description of X_K^{tor} that $\overline{X_{K,w_i}}$ doesn't intersect the toroidal boundary: a semi-abelian variety with real multiplication is either abelian or ordinary.

Proof of Proposition 5.1. Denote $\gamma_i^{tor} \coloneqq z_1 \dots \hat{z_i} \dots z_d$ in $A^{d-1}(X_{K,k}^{tor})$ so that $\gamma_i = j^* \gamma_i^{tor}$. We obtain the following commutative diagram.



Assume that $\sum_{i=1}^{d} a_i \gamma_i = 0$ in $A^{d-1}(X_{K,k})$. For each *i* the projection formula [Ful98, 2.6(b)] for the morphism $f_i \circ j$ applied to the class $\sum_{i=1}^{d} a_i \gamma_i^{tor}$ in $A^{d-1}(X_{K,k}^{tor})$ gives

$$(f_i \circ j)_* (f_i \circ j)^* \sum_{i=1}^d a_i \gamma_i^{tor} = [D_i] \sum_{i=1}^d a_i \gamma_i^{tor}$$

 $(f_i \circ j)^* \sum_{i=1}^d a_i \gamma_i^{tor} = f_i^! \sum_{i=1}^d a_i \gamma_i = 0$ by assumption. Since $[D_i] = \zeta^{tor,*}[\overline{Z_i}] = z_i - p z_{\sigma(i)}$ this implies that for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$

$$0 = [D_i] \sum_{i=1}^d a_i \gamma_i^{tor}$$
$$= (z_i - p z_{\sigma(i)}) \sum_{i=1}^d a_i \gamma_i^{tor}$$
$$= (a_i - p a_{\sigma(i)})(z_1 \dots z_d)$$

Since $deg(z_1 \dots z_d) = d > 0$ we have that $a_i - pa_{\sigma(i)} = 0$ for all *i*. It remains to show that the only solution is $a_i = 0$ for all *i*. For *p* inert, $\sigma(i) = i + 1$ (modulo *d*) and this system of linear equations is given by the circulant matrix

$$A \coloneqq \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -p & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -p & & \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & & 1 & -p \\ -p & & & & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

A finite sequence of row operations gives an upper triangular matrix with diagonal entries $1, \ldots, 1, 1 \pm p^n$ for some $n \neq 0$. The determinant of this matrix is non-zero, so the only solution to the system of linear equations is

$$a_1 = \ldots = a_d = 0$$

A similar argument yields the other cases. Hence, $\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_d$ are linearly independent.

The proof of Theorem 1 can now be completed by showing that there are no relations in lower degrees (below d).

Proof of Theorem 1. $T^{\bullet}(X_{K,k})$ is a quotient of $\frac{\mathbb{Q}[x_1,\ldots,x_d]}{(x_1^2,\ldots,x_d^2,x_1,\ldots,x_d)}$. The degree of the generators x_i is 1 so the maximum degree is degree d-1. Suppose that there is a relation

$$\sum_{I \subset \{1,\dots,d\}, |I|=l} a_I z_I = 0$$

in $T^{l}(X_{K,k})$, indexed over multisets, with l < d. For any I_{0} there exists some $i \notin I_{0}$. Let $J = \{1, \ldots, i-1, i+1, \ldots, d\} \setminus I_{0}$. Multiplying by z_{J} gives $\sum_{I} a_{I} z_{I \sqcup J} = 0$ in $T^{d-1}(X_{K,k})$. This can be rewritten uniquely as $\sum_{I} a_{I} z_{I \sqcup J} = \sum b_{j} \gamma_{j}$ by Proposition 5.1. If i is not in $I \sqcup J$ then either $I = I_{0}$ or there is a repeated index so $z_{I \sqcup J} = 0$. Hence, $a_{I_{0}}$ is the only potentially non-zero coefficient of γ_{i} and by linear independence in $T^{d-1}(X_{K,k})$ we have $a_{I_{0}} = 0$. Since this holds for each I_{0} we have that the relation is trivial.

Thus, there are no relations in lower degree and $T^{\bullet}(X_{K,k}) \cong \frac{\mathbb{Q}[x_1,...,x_d]}{(x_1^2,...,x_d^2,x_1,...,x_d)}$

References

[Ful98] William Fulton. Intersection theory, volume 2 of Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas. 3rd Series. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics]. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, second edition, 1998.

- [GK18] Wushi Goldring and Jean-Stefan Koskivirta. Automorphic vector bundles with global sections on G-zip^Z-schemes. Compos. Math., 154(12):2586-2605, 2018.
- [GK19] Wushi Goldring and Jean-Stefan Koskivirta. Strata Hasse invariants, Hecke algebras and Galois representations. Invent. Math., 217:887– 984, 2019.
- [GO00] E. Z. Goren and F. Oort. Stratifications of Hilbert modular varieties. J. Algebraic Geom., 9:111–154, 2000.
- [Gor01] Eyal Z. Goren. Hasse invariants for Hilbert modular varieties. Israel J. Math., 122:157–174, 2001.
- [Kis10] Mark Kisin. Integral models for Shimura varieties of abelian type. J. Amer. Math. Soc., 23(4):967–1012, 2010.
- [LS18] Kai-Wen Lan and Benoît Stroh. Compactifications of subschemes of integral models of Shimura varieties. *Forum Math. Sigma*, 6:Paper No. e18, 105, 2018.
- [Mil92] James S. Milne. The points on a Shimura variety modulo a prime of good reduction. In *The zeta functions of Picard modular surfaces*, pages 151–253. Univ. Montréal, Montreal, QC, 1992.
- [MP19] Keerthi Madapusi Pera. Toroidal compactifications of integral models of Shimura varieties of Hodge type. Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4), 52(2):393–514, 2019.
- [PWZ15] Richard Pink, Torsten Wedhorn, and Paul Ziegler. F-zips with additional structure. Pacific J. Math., 274:183–236, 2015.
- [Vas99] Adrian Vasiu. Integral canonical models of Shimura varieties of preabelian type. Asian J. Math., 3(2):401–518, 1999.
- [vdG99] Gerard van der Geer. Cycles on the moduli space of abelian varieties. In Moduli of curves and abelian varieties, pages 65–89. Friedr. Vieweg, Braunschweig, 1999.
- [Zha18] Chao Zhang. Ekedahl-Oort strata for good reductions of Shimura varieties of Hodge type. Canad. J. Math., 70(2):451–480, 2018.
- [Zie18] Torsten Wedhorn; Paul Ziegler. Tautological rings of Shimura varieties and cycle classes of Ekedahl-Oort strata. preprint, 2018. http://arxiv.org/abs/1811.04843.