

## MODULARITY AND HEIGHTS OF CM CYCLES ON KUGA-SATO VARIETIES

CONGLING QIU

ABSTRACT. We prove a higher weight general Gross-Zagier formula for CM cycles on Kuga-Sato varieties over the modular curve  $X(N)$ . To formulate and prove this result, we prove the modularity of CM cycles, in the sense that the Hecke modules they generate are semisimple whose irreducible components are associated to higher weight holomorphic cuspidal automorphic representations of  $GL_2, \mathbb{Q}$ . The higher weight general Gross-Zagier formula is proved using arithmetic relative trace formulas. The proof of the modularity of CM cycles is inspired by arithmetic theta lifting.

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
Acknowledgements	4
2. CM cycles on Kuga-Sato varieties	4
2.1. Kuga-Sato varieties	5
2.2. CM cycles and height pairing	6
2.3. Modularity	8
2.4. Height pairing and derivatives	10
3. Relative trace formulas and height pairing	11
3.1. Orbital integrals	11
3.2. Automorphic distributions	14
3.3. Local distributions	16
3.4. Local comparison I	17
3.5. Proof of Theorem 2.4.1	22
4. Theta lifting and modularity	23
4.1. Theta series and Eisenstein series	23
4.2. Whittaker functions	25
4.3. Local comparison II	30
4.4. Proof of Theorem 2.3.3	34
4.5. Proof of Theorem 2.3.6 and Theorem 2.3.8	40
4.6. Aid from the weight 2 case I	41
4.7. Aid from the weight 2 case II	42
References	43

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 11G18, 11G40, 11F12, 11F27, 11F72.

*Key words and phrases*. CM cycles, Kuga-Sato varieties, Gross-Zagier formula, modularity.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

**1.1. Gross-Zagier formula and modularity.** Let  $K$  be an imaginary quadratic field. On modular curves, there are explicit algebraic points representing elliptic curves with CM, i.e. complex multiplication, by  $K$ . In [10], Gross and Zagier established a remarkable formula which relates Néron-Tate heights of CM points on the modular curve  $X_0(N)$  and central derivatives of quadratic base change  $L$ -functions associated to holomorphic modular forms of weight 2. The formula was proved under the Heegner condition on  $K$  and  $N$ , whence these CM points are called Heegner points.

For a holomorphic newform  $f$  of weight 2, let  $J_0(N)_f$  be the  $f$ -isotypical component of the Jacobian of  $X_0(N)$ . For a degree-0 divisor  $P$  of Heegner points (modified by the cusp  $\infty$ ), the Gross-Zagier formula says that its  $f$ -component  $P_f \in J_0(N)_f$  has height

$$\langle P_f, P_f \rangle = c \cdot L'(f, K, 1)$$

for an explicit nonzero constant  $c$ . A key step in the proof is the following explicit “unramified modularity of generating series of heights”: for Heegner points  $x, y$ , and the Hecke operator  $T_m$  with  $m$  prime to  $N$ , the Néron-Tate height pairing

$$\langle x - \infty, T_m(y - \infty) \rangle$$

is the  $m$ -th coefficient of an explicit holomorphic modular form of weight 2.

A Kuga-Sato variety over  $X_0(N)$  is a self-product of the universal elliptic curve (up to the cusps). On a Kuga-Sato variety, one can define CM cycles over CM points, whose fibers are modified graphs of CM endomorphisms. In [32], S. Zhang proved a Gross-Zagier type formula for Heegner cycles on Kuga-Sato varieties over  $X_0(N)$  and higher weight modular forms. S. Zhang followed Gross and Zagier’s approach, and established analogous “unramified modularity of generating series of heights”. A corollary of this result is the following “unramified modularity of Heegner cycles”: the module over the unramified Hecke algebra generated by a Heegner cycle, modulo the kernel of the (unconditionally defined) Beilinson-Bloch height pairing with itself, is a direct sum of some unramified Hecke modules of modular forms. Indeed, the formulation of the higher weight Gross-Zagier formula requires this modularity of Heegner cycles.

In the weight 2 case, the Gross-Zagier formula was generalized by S. Zhang [33][34] to CM points on some quaternionic Shimura curves under certain local conditions. Later, Yuan, S. Zhang and W. Zhang [31] proved the general version of the Gross-Zagier formula over all quaternionic Shimura curves. Their approach is close to the original one of Gross and Zagier. A key new ingredient they employed is the “modularity of generating series of Hecke operators”. In the setting of Gross and Zagier [10], it in particular implies that

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} T_m q^m$$

is a modular form of weight 2, valued in  $\text{Pic}(X_0(N)^2)$ . Here  $T_0$  is an explicit constant term.

The approach of Gross and Zagier has now been developed to the theory of arithmetic theta lifting by Kudla [15] et. al. An essential ingredient in this theory is the “modularity of generating series of special cycles” [30], which contains the “modularity of generating series of Hecke operators” as a special case. It is worth mentioning that recently Li and Liu [17][18] used arithmetic theta lifting to prove an analog of the Gross-Zagier formula for higher dimensional unitary Shimura varieties.

However, since the work of S. Zhang [32], no progress has been made on generalizing the higher weight Gross-Zagier formula. One may attempt to generalize the higher weight Gross-Zagier formula following the approach of Yuan, S. Zhang and W. Zhang [31]. There are two essential ingredients about “modularity” which are unknown. First, one needs the modularity of CM cycles generated by the full Hecke algebra (not just the unramified Hecke algebra), even merely to formulate the general higher weight Gross-Zagier formula. This modularity is also needed for several other purposes (see [31, 1.5.2, 1.5.3, 1.5.8, 1.5.10]). Second, one needs the “modularity of generating series of Hecke operators” on Kuga-Sato

varieties, which is key to this approach. We remind the reader that the “full modularity of CM cycles” is not a direct consequence of the “modularity of generating series of Hecke operators”. Besides the modularity ingredients, one also needs Hirzebruch-Zagier type computation of intersection numbers on the product of Kuga-Sato varieties (see [31, 1.5.7])

**1.2. Our results.** In this paper, we prove a higher weight analog of the general Gross-Zagier formula of Yuan, S. Zhang and W. Zhang [31] on Kuga-Sato varieties over the modular curve  $X(N)$ .

To formulate this result, we need the following modularity of CM cycles. Let  $CM$  be the module over the full Hecke algebra generated by a CM cycle on a Kuga-Sato variety. Let  $\overline{CM}$  be the quotient of  $CM$  by the kernel of the Beilinson-Bloch height pairing on  $CM$ . We propose a modularity conjecture.

**Conjecture 1.2.1** (Conjecture 2.3.2). *As a module over the full Hecke algebra,  $\overline{CM}$  is semi-simple whose irreducible components are the Hecke modules associated to higher weight holomorphic cuspidal automorphic representations of  $GL_{2,\mathbb{Q}}$  with trivial central character.*

In fact, we allow twists of the CM cycle by characters, and Conjecture 1.2.1 changes accordingly.

**Theorem 1.2.2** (Theorem 2.3.3). *Conjecture 1.2.1 holds if we restrict  $\overline{CM}$  to the unramified Hecke algebra.*

By the strong multiplicity one theorem, this theorem gives us a decomposition

$$\overline{CM} = \bigoplus_{\pi} \overline{CM}(\pi)$$

according to representations as in Conjecture 1.2.1. Thus we can refine Conjecture 1.2.1 to each component (see Conjecture 2.3.5).

**Theorem 1.2.3** (Theorem 2.3.6). *The refinement of Conjecture 1.2.1 holds for  $\overline{CM}(\pi)$  if  $L(1/2, \pi_K) = 0$ .*

Now we can state the higher weight general Gross-Zagier formula. Let  $\overline{CM}[\pi]$  be the Hom space from the Hecke module associated to  $\pi$  to  $\overline{CM}(\pi)$ . This is the analog of the Mordell-Weil group of  $J_0(N)_f$  generated by the Heegner divisor  $P$ , in the original setting of Gross and Zagier. In particular, there is a natural height pairing on  $\overline{CM}[\pi]$ . Assume the truth of the refinement of Conjecture 1.2.1 for  $\overline{CM}(\pi)$  (in particular, if  $L(1/2, \pi_K) = 0$ ). For  $\phi \in \pi^\infty$  (the finite component of  $\pi$ ), we can construct a point  $l_\phi \in \overline{CM}[\pi]$ . This is the analog of the point  $P_f \in J_0(N)_f$ .

**Theorem 1.2.4** (Theorem 2.4.3). *There is an explicit nonzero constant  $c$  such that*

$$\langle l_\phi, l_\varphi \rangle = c \cdot L'(1/2, \pi_K) \alpha_{\pi^\infty}(\phi, \varphi).$$

Here is  $\alpha_{\pi^\infty}(\phi, \varphi)$  the integral of the matrix coefficient of  $\phi, \varphi$  along  $\mathbb{A}_K^{\infty, \times}$ .

We also prove some results relating  $\overline{CM}(\pi)$  and  $\overline{CM}[\pi]$  with the local root numbers, see 2.3 and 2.4.

**1.3. Our approach.** Instead of arithmetic theta lifting, we use the arithmetic variant of Jacquet’s relative trace formula (RTF for short) method to prove the higher weight general Gross-Zagier formula. The key observation is the following: our results on modularity of CM cycles are enough for the application of the arithmetic RTF method. However, our strategy to the modularity results is in fact inspired by the arithmetic theta lifting in the work of Yuan, S. Zhang and W. Zhang [31].

The arithmetic RTF method was proposed by W. Zhang [36] to attack the arithmetic Gan-Gross-Prasad conjecture, which is an exact generalization of the Gross-Zagier formula to unitary Shimura varieties. Subsequently, the author used this method to prove the general Gross-Zagier formula over function fields [22].

**1.4. Plan of proofs.** Let  $H(f)$  be the height pairing of a CM cycle and its Hecke translation by a Schwartz function  $f$  on the adelic group of  $\mathrm{GL}_{2,\mathbb{Q}}$ .

Our arithmetic RTF approach toward the higher weight general Gross-Zagier formula largely follow our previous work over function fields [22]. The new ingredient is at the archimedean place. To compare the archimedean part of the “arithmetic relative trace”  $H(f)$  for  $\mathrm{GL}_{2,\mathbb{Q}}$  and “arithmetic relative trace” for  $\mathrm{GL}_{2,K}$ , we truncate the Green function. The comparison involving the truncated Green function is similar to the one in [22]. The part of  $H(f)$  involving the remaining term of the Green function is the integral of a function on  $X(N)$  along  $K^\times \backslash \mathbb{A}_K^{\infty,\times}$ . The arithmetic RTF approach also works in the weight 2 case, where we use the analogs of the computations in [22] at finite places and the new ingredient at the infinite place. In W. Zhang’s original setting [36] on the arithmetic Gan-Gross-Prasad conjecture, our new ingredient at the infinite place can also be applied to make the choice of the Green current more flexible.

The roles of the modularity results in the arithmetic RTF approach are as follows. The unramified modularity of the whole  $\mathcal{CM}$  is for the spectral decomposition of the arithmetic relative trace  $H(f)$ . The full modularity is used to apply the theorem of Tunnell [25] and Saito [23] so that we only need to deal with some very nice  $f$ .

Now we explain the strategy to the modularity results. First, we compare the height pairing  $H(f)$  to the value of the Whittaker function at  $g = 1$  of a mixed theta-Eisenstein series of  $\mathrm{GL}_{2,\mathbb{Q}}$ . Here  $\mathrm{GL}_{2,\mathbb{Q}}$  should be considered as  $\mathrm{GSp}_{2,\mathbb{Q}}$  in the theta lifting setting. The formation of the theta-Eisenstein series involves Schwartz functions on an adelic quadratic space, on which the Hecke algebra of  $\mathrm{GL}_{2,\mathbb{Q}}$  acts. Here  $\mathrm{GL}_{2,\mathbb{Q}}$  should be considered as a subgroup of the similitude orthogonal group of the quadratic space in the theta lifting. The above comparison is Hecke equivariant. Moreover, we must allow  $f$  to be all test functions, instead of the very nice test functions in [31]. Thus we need to do more local computations than [31]. Second, we use the modularity of the analytic side to prove our modularity results. This is the main technical part of the paper. In particular, we need the global results of Yuan, S. Zhang and W. Zhang [31] and Yuan, S. Zhang [29] from the weight 2 case to prove some local results used in the proof.

**1.5. Generalization.** We explain the reason to only work with Kuga-Sato varieties over modular curves, and outline some possible future works over quaternionic Shimura curves. In our comparison between  $H(f)$  and the value of the Whittaker function, we first impose some weak regularity condition on the test function  $f$  to avoid self-intersection. Then we reinterpret S. Zhang’s comparison [32] to cover certain test functions without the regularity condition. Finally, we use the action by the adelic group of  $\mathbb{A}_K^{\infty,\times}$  to generate all test functions. Our comparison under the regularity condition is local and can be generalized to Shimura curves with some more effort. However, there is no analog of S. Zhang’s work for general Shimura curves yet. For Shimura curves over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , Wen [26] is working on the analog of S. Zhang’s work. After Wen’s work, we should be able to generalize our results to Shimura curves over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . We hope to return to Shimura curves over totally real fields in the future.

**1.6. Structure of the paper.** In Section 2, we first define Kuga-Sato varieties, Hecke operators and CM cycles. Then we state our results in 2.3 and 2.4. In Section 3, we first review the arithmetic RTFs. Then we prove the higher weight general Gross-Zagier formula. In Section 4, we prove the modularity of CM cycles. This section is relatively long, and its structure is explained in the beginning of the section.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author thanks Yifeng Liu, Xinyi Yuan and Shouwu Zhang for their help.

#### 2. CM CYCLES ON KUGA-SATO VARIETIES

We first define Kuga-Sato varieties, Hecke operators and CM cycles. Then we state our theorems on the modularity of CM cycles, and on height pairings of CM cycles.

Fix an integer  $k \geq 2$  through out this paper.

**2.1. Kuga-Sato varieties.** Let  $N$  be the product of two relatively prime integers which are  $\geq 3$ ,  $\xi_N$  a primitive  $N$ -th root of unity. Let  $X(N)$  be the compactification of the moduli space of elliptic curves over  $\mathbb{Q}$ -schemes, with full level  $N$  structure. Let  $X = X(N)$  if  $N$  is clear from the context. Let  $E \rightarrow X$  be the universal semistable elliptic curve. Let  $Y = Y(N)$  be the canonical desingularization of  $E^{2k-2}$ , the  $(2k-2)$ -tuple product of  $E$  over  $X$  [32, 2.2].

**2.1.1. Integral models.** There is a morphism  $\pi : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  of regular, flat, and projective  $\mathbb{Z}[\xi_N]$ -schemes such that  $\mathcal{X}_{\mathbb{Q}[\xi_N]} \simeq X_{\mathbb{Q}[\xi_N]}$  and  $\mathcal{E}$  is a semistable elliptic curve over  $\mathcal{X}$  whose generic fiber is  $E_{\mathbb{Q}[\xi_N]}$  (see [32, Theorem 2.1.1]). Let  $\mathcal{Y}$  be the canonical desingularization of  $\mathcal{E}^{2k-2}$  over  $\mathcal{X}$  [32, 2.2].

For a finite extension  $F$  of  $\mathbb{Q}[\xi_N]$ , we construct regular proper models  $\mathcal{X}'$  and  $\mathcal{Y}'$  of  $X_F$  and  $Y_F$  over  $\text{Spec} \mathcal{O}_F$  as follows. Let  $\mathcal{X}'$  be the minimal desingularization of  $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{O}_F}$ . Let  $\mathcal{E}' = \mathcal{E} \times_{\mathcal{X}} \mathcal{X}'$ . The non-smooth locus of  $\mathcal{E}' \rightarrow \mathcal{X}'$  is supported at the cusps. Since a neighborhood of the cusps of  $\mathcal{X}$  is smooth over  $\mathbb{Z}[\xi_N]$  [16, Theorem 8.6.8], the desingularization procedure in [32, 2.2] still applies to  $\mathcal{E}'^{2k-2}$ . The desingularization  $\mathcal{Y}'$  satisfies  $\mathcal{Y}'_F \simeq Y_F$ , and it comes with a natural morphism  $\mathcal{Y}' \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}_{\mathcal{O}_F}$ .

**Remark 2.1.1.** Let  $\mathcal{N}$  be a neighborhood of the cusps of  $\mathcal{X}$  which is smooth over  $\mathbb{Z}[\xi_N]$ . Then  $\mathcal{X}'|_{\mathcal{N}} = \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{O}_F}$  and  $\mathcal{Y}'|_{\mathcal{N}} = (\mathcal{Y}|_{\mathcal{N}})_{\mathcal{O}_F}$ .

**2.1.2. Group and semi-group action.** Let  $\mathbb{A}$  be the ring of adeles of  $\mathbb{Q}$  and  $\mathbb{A}^\infty$  the ring of finite adeles. Let  $\mathbb{G} \subset \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}^\infty)$  be the subset of elements with integral coefficients. Let  $X(N)^\circ$  be the noncuspidal part of  $X(N)$  and  $Y(N)^\circ$  its preimage in  $Y(N)$ . Let

$$X_\infty^\circ := \varprojlim_N X(N)^\circ, \quad Y_\infty^\circ := \varprojlim_N Y(N)^\circ,$$

where the transition morphisms are finite flat. We define a left  $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}^\infty)$ -action on  $X_\infty^\circ$  and a left  $\mathbb{G}$ -action on  $Y_\infty^\circ$  following Drinfeld [4, 5.D].

For  $g \in \mathbb{G}$ ,  $g$  defines an endomorphism of  $(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})^2$ . Let  $S$  be a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -scheme and  $A$  an elliptic curve over  $S$  together with an infinite level structure  $\psi : (\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})^2 \rightarrow A(S)$ , i.e. for every positive integer  $n$ , the restriction of  $\psi$  to  $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{n}]$  is a (Drinfeld)  $\Gamma(n)$ -structure [16, 3.1]. This gives an  $S$ -point of  $X_\infty^\circ$ . Let  $H \subset A$  be the sum of  $\psi(\alpha)$ 's with  $\alpha$  running over the kernel of the endomorphism  $g$  on  $(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})^2$ , which is a subgroup scheme of  $A$  [16, Proposition 1.11.2]. Define  $\psi_1$  so that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})^2 & \xrightarrow{\psi} & A(S) \\ \downarrow g & & \downarrow \\ (\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})^2 & \xrightarrow{\psi_1} & A/H(S) \end{array} .$$

Here the right vertical arrow is the natural quotient morphism.

Let  $E$  be the universal elliptic curve over  $X_\infty^\circ$ . Applying the above construction, we get a quotient elliptic curve  $E'$  over  $X_\infty^\circ$  with an infinite level structure, and a quotient map  $E \rightarrow E'$  over  $X_\infty^\circ$ . The elliptic curve  $E'$  over  $X_\infty^\circ$  is the pullback of  $E$  via a morphism  $X_\infty^\circ \rightarrow X_\infty^\circ$ , which we define as the action of  $g$  on  $X_\infty^\circ$ . For  $g \in \mathbb{G} \cap \mathbb{Q}^\times$ , the action is trivial. Thus we have an action of  $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}^\infty)$  on  $X_\infty^\circ$  by letting  $\mathbb{Q}^\times$  act trivially.

**Remark 2.1.2.** The “right multiplication” on  $X_\infty^\circ$  by  $g$  in [31, 3.1.1], is our action of  $g^{-1}$ .

Since  $Y_\infty^\circ = E^{2k-2}$ , the composition the above morphisms  $E \rightarrow E' \rightarrow E$  defines a morphism  $g : Y_\infty^\circ \rightarrow Y_\infty^\circ$  for  $g \in \mathbb{G}$ .

2.1.3. *Hecke action on cohomological Chow cycles.* Let  $\text{Ch}^k(Y(N))$  be the spaces of codimension  $k$  Chow cycles of  $Y(N)_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}$  with  $\mathbb{C}$ -coefficients. We define a right action of  $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}^\infty)$  on  $\varinjlim_N \text{Ch}^k(Y(N))$ .

For given  $N$  and  $g \in \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}^\infty)$ , the above construction defines a morphism  $g_{N',N} : Y(N')^\circ \rightarrow Y(N)^\circ$  for a large enough multiple  $N'$  of  $N$ . Let  $\Gamma_{g_{N',N}}$  be the Zariski closure of the graph of  $g_{N',N}$  in  $Y(N') \times Y(N)$ . For  $z \in \text{Ch}^k(Y(N))$ , let  $g^*z \in \text{Ch}^k(Y(N'))$  be the pullback of  $z$  by  $\Gamma_{g_{N',N}}$ . The image of  $g^*z$  in  $\varinjlim_N \text{Ch}^k(Y(N))$  does not depend on the choice of  $N'$ . Define the natural right action of  $g$  on  $\varinjlim_N \text{Ch}^k(Y(N))$  to be

$$R_g(z) := [\det(g)]^{-(k-1)} g^* z.$$

Here  $[\det(g)]$  is the positive integer which generate the ideal of  $\mathbb{Z}$  corresponding to the idele  $\det(g)$ . Then  $R_g$  is identity for  $g \in \mathbb{G} \cap \mathbb{Q}^\times$ . Let  $\mathbb{Q}^\times$  act trivially on  $\varinjlim_N \text{Ch}^k(Y(N))$ . Thus we have a right action  $R$  of  $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}^\infty)$  on  $\varinjlim_N \text{Ch}^k(Y(N))$ .

Let  $\mathcal{S}(\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}^\infty))$  be the space of Schwartz functions. The induced action of  $f \in \mathcal{S}(\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}^\infty))$  on  $\varinjlim_N \text{Ch}^k(Y(N))$  is

$$R_f := \int_{g \in \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}^\infty)} f(g) R_g dg.$$

**Remark 2.1.3.** *A more well-known definition of the Hecke action (in the unramified case) is as follows [32, p 122]. For  $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ , let  $A_{p^i}$  be the characteristic function of  $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_p) \begin{bmatrix} p^i & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ . For a positive integer  $n$  coprime to  $N$ , let  $A_n = \bigotimes_{p|n} A_{p^{\text{ord}_p n}}$ . Let  $Y(N, n)^\circ$  be the noncuspidal part of the Kuga-Sato variety of elliptic curves with the canonical full level  $N$  structure, and a subgroup of order  $n$ . Let  $Z^\circ$  be the quotient of  $Y(N, n)^\circ$  by the  $(2k-2)$ -tuple product of the subgroup of order  $n$  of the universal elliptic curve (and remember the full level  $N$  structure). Then we have natural morphisms*

$$Y(N)^\circ \leftarrow Y(N, n)^\circ \rightarrow Z^\circ \rightarrow Y(N)^\circ.$$

Let  $C$  be the pushforward of the fundamental cycle of  $Y(N, n)^\circ$  to  $Y(N) \times Y(N)$ . Then up to the choice of the measure, the Hecke action  $R(A_n)$  coincides with the pushforward by  $n^{-(k-1)}C$ .

Let  $\xi$  be a cusp form of  $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$  of level  $N$  and weight  $2k$ , and  $\phi_\xi$  the associated cusp form of  $\text{GL}_2, \mathbb{Q}$  as in [7, (3.4)]. The pullback of  $\xi$  by  $n^{-(k-1)}C$  [14, 1.11] and the usual Hecke action of  $A_n$  on  $\phi_\xi$  are compatible [7, Lemma 3.7].

2.1.4. *Hecke action on homological Chow cycles.* Define a left action of  $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}^\infty)$  on  $\varprojlim_N \text{Ch}^k(Y(N))$  as follows. Let  $g \in \mathbb{G}$  act on  $\varprojlim_N \text{Ch}^k(Y(N))$  by

$$L_g := [\det(g)]^{-(k-1)} g_*.$$

Then  $L_g$  is identity for  $g \in \mathbb{G} \cap \mathbb{Q}^\times$ . Let  $\mathbb{Q}^\times$  act trivially on  $\varprojlim_N \text{Ch}^k(Y(N))$ . Thus we have a left action  $L$  of  $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}^\infty)$  on  $\varprojlim_N \text{Ch}^k(Y(N))$ .

For  $f \in \mathcal{S}(\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}^\infty))$ , we have the induced action  $L_f$  on  $\varprojlim_N \text{Ch}^k(Y(N))$ .

2.1.5. *Relation.* Consider the natural inclusion

$$(2.1) \quad \iota : \varinjlim_N \text{Ch}^k(Y(N)) \rightarrow \varprojlim_N \text{Ch}^k(Y(N))$$

$$z = \{z_N\}_N \mapsto \{\text{Vol}(U(N))z_N\}_N.$$

Then the restriction of  $L_g$  via  $\iota$  is  $R_{g^{-1}}$ .

## 2.2. CM cycles and height pairing.

2.2.1. *CM cycles.* Let  $K$  be an imaginary quadratic field with a fixed embedding to  $\mathbb{C}$ . For an elliptic curve  $E$  over an integral domain  $R$  of characteristic 0 with CM by  $K$ , define the CM cycle  $Z(E)$  of  $E$  as follows. Choose a positive integer  $D$  such that  $\sqrt{-D} \in K$  and is an endomorphism of  $E$  (note that  $D$  depends on  $E$ ). Let  $\Gamma$  be the graph of  $\sqrt{-D}$ . Let  $\Gamma_0$  be the divisor

$$\Gamma - E \times \{0\} - D\{0\} \times E$$

of  $E \times E$ . Then  $\Gamma_0^{k-1}$  is a  $(k-1)$ -cycle of  $E^{2k-2}$ . Define the CM cycle of  $E$  to be

$$Z(E) = c \sum_{\sigma} \text{sgn}(\sigma) \sigma^* (\Gamma_0^{k-1}),$$

where  $\sigma$  runs over the symmetric group of  $2k-2$  elements which acts on  $E^{2k-2}$  naturally, and  $c$  is a positive constant such that the self intersection number of  $Z(E)_{\text{Frac}R}$  in  $E_{\text{Frac}R}^{2k-2}$  is  $(-1)^{k-1}$ . Then the Chow cycle of  $Z(E)_{\text{Frac}R}$  does not depend on the choice of  $D$  (see [32, Proposition 2.4.1]).

Let  $\text{GL}(\mathbb{A}^\infty)$  act on  $X_\infty^\circ$  as in 2.1.2. Fix an embedding  $\mathbb{A}_K^\times \hookrightarrow \text{GL}(\mathbb{A}^\infty)$ . Fix a CM point  $P_0 \in X_\infty^\circ(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})^{K^\times}$ , which is defined over  $K^{\text{ab}}$  (see [31, 3.1.2]). For  $g \in \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}^\infty)$ , let  $P_g$  be the image of  $g^{-1} \cdot P_0 \in X_\infty$  to  $X(N)$  by  $X_\infty \rightarrow X(N)$ . Then  $P_g$  is defined over a finite extension  $F$  of  $\mathbb{Q}[\xi_N]$ . Let  $Z_g$  be the pushforward to  $Y(N)_F$  of the CM cycle (of the fiber of  $Y(N)_F$ ) at  $P_g$ . Then  $Z_g$  is cohomological trivial [32, 3.1].

2.2.2. *Translation.* Let  $Z^k(Y(N))$  be the space of codimension  $k$  cycles of  $Y(N)_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}$  with  $\mathbb{C}$ -coefficients.

Let  $\Omega$  be a character of  $K^\times \backslash \mathbb{A}_K^\times$  trivial on  $K_\infty^\times$ . Define

$$Z_\Omega := \int_{K^\times \backslash \mathbb{A}_K^\times} Z_t \Omega(t) dt,$$

where we endow  $K^\times \backslash \mathbb{A}_K^\times$  with the Haar measure such that  $\text{Vol}(K^\times \backslash \mathbb{A}_K^\times) = 1$ . As  $N$  varies,  $Z_\Omega$  is compatible under pushforward. Thus we consider  $Z_\Omega$  as an element in  $\varprojlim_N Z^k(Y(N))$ .

Let  $U(N)$  be the principal congruence subgroup of  $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}^\infty)$  corresponding to  $N$ . For  $f \in \mathcal{S}(\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}^\infty))$  right  $U$ -invariant, define the translation of  $z_\Omega$  by  $f$  to be

$$Z_{\Omega, f} = \frac{1}{\text{Vol}(U(N))} \int_{K^\times \backslash \mathbb{A}_K^\times} \int_{\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}^\infty)} f(g) Z_{tg} dg \Omega(t) dt.$$

As  $N$  varies,  $Z_{\Omega, f}$  is compatible under pullback. Thus we consider  $Z_{\Omega, f}$  as an element in  $\varprojlim_N Z^k(Y(N))$ .

Now we interpret  $Z_{\Omega, f}$  by Hecke action. For a cycle  $Z$ , let  $[Z]$  be its Chow cycle. Under (2.1), we have

$$(2.2) \quad [Z_{\Omega, f}] = \iota(L_{f^\vee} [Z_\Omega]).$$

where  $f^\vee(g) = f(g^{-1})$ .

The following relations will be useful later:

$$(2.3) \quad R_g [Z_{\Omega, f}] = [Z_{\Omega, f^g}],$$

where  $f^g(h) = f(hg^{-1})$ , and

$$(2.4) \quad R_{f_1} [Z_{\Omega, f}] = [Z_{\Omega, f * f_1}],$$

where the convolution is

$$f * f_1(h) = \int_{g \in \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}^\infty)} f(g) f_1(g^{-1}h) dg.$$

2.2.3. *Galois formulation.* Regarding  $\Omega$  as a character of  $\text{Gal}(E^{\text{ab}}/E)$  via the class field theory, then

$$[Z_\Omega] := \int_{\text{Gal}(E^{\text{ab}}/E)} [Z_1]^\tau \Omega(\tau) d\tau,$$

and

$$[Z_{\Omega, f}] = \frac{1}{\text{Vol}(U(N))} \int_{\text{Gal}(E^{\text{ab}}/E)} \int_{\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}^\infty)} f(g) [Z_g]^\tau dg \Omega(\tau) d\tau,$$

where  $\text{Gal}(E^{\text{ab}}/E)$  is endowed with the Haar measure such that  $\text{Vol}(\text{Gal}(E^{\text{ab}}/E)) = 1$ .

2.2.4. *Height pairing.* Let  $F$  be a finite extension of  $\mathbb{Q}[\xi_N]$ . Let  $\mathcal{Y}$  be the regular proper model of  $Y(N)_F$  over  $\text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_F$  with the morphism to  $\mathcal{Y}_{\mathcal{O}_F}$  as in 2.1.1. For a CM cycle  $Z_g$  defined over  $F$ , let  $\mathcal{Z}_g$  be the pushforward to  $\mathcal{Y}_{\mathcal{O}_F}$  of the CM cycle (of the fiber of  $\mathcal{Y}_{\mathcal{O}_F}$ ) at the Zariski closure of the CM point  $P_g$  in  $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{O}_F}$ . Let  $\mathcal{Z}'_g$  be the pullback of the Chow cycle of  $\mathcal{Z}_g$  to  $\mathcal{Y}$  ([24, 3.1, Theorem 3]).

Let  $Z_{g_1}, Z_{g_2}$  be two CM cycles defined over  $F$ . Then the restrictions of  $\mathcal{Z}'_{g_1}$  and  $\mathcal{Z}'_{g_2}$  to the irreducible components of the special fibers are cohomological trivial [32, 3.1]. So the Beilinson-Bloch height pairing [1][3] is unconditionally defined, and given by an arithmetic intersection pairing [8]:

$$(2.5) \quad \langle Z_{g_1}, Z_{g_2} \rangle_{Y(N)} = \frac{1}{[F:\mathbb{Q}]} (-1)^k (\mathcal{Z}'_{g_1}, G_{g_1}) \cdot (\mathcal{Z}'_{g_2}, G_{g_2}).$$

Here  $G_{g_i}$  is the ‘‘admissible’’ Green current of  $Z_{g_i}$  in the sense of the first paragraph of [32, p 125]. Note that if we replace one of  $\mathcal{Z}'_{g_1}$  and  $\mathcal{Z}'_{g_2}$  by its horizontal part, we still get the same height pairing through the arithmetic intersection pairing.

By [1], the height pairing between CM cycles factors through their images in  $\text{Ch}^k(Y(N))$ .

2.2.5. *Vary the level.* By the projection formula [1], the natural height pairing between cohomological and homological cycles

$$H(f) = \langle Z_{\Omega, f}, Z_{\Omega^{-1}} \rangle := \langle Z_{\Omega, f}, Z_{\Omega^{-1}} \rangle_{Y(N)}$$

does not depend on the choice of  $N$  (as long as  $f$  is right  $U(N)$ -invariant). Similarly, the normalized height pairing between cohomological cycles

$$\langle Z_{\Omega, f_1}, Z_{\Omega^{-1}, f_2} \rangle^\sharp := \text{Vol}(U(N)) \langle Z_{\Omega, f_1}, Z_{\Omega^{-1}, f_2} \rangle_{Y(N)}$$

does not depend on the choice of  $N$  (as long as  $f$  is right  $U(N)$ -invariant). Indeed, by the projection formula, (2.2) and (2.4), we have

$$(2.6) \quad \langle Z_{\Omega, f_1}, Z_{\Omega^{-1}, f_2} \rangle^\sharp = \langle Z_{\Omega, f_1 * f_2^\vee}, Z_{\Omega^{-1}} \rangle.$$

2.3. **Modularity.** Consider the following subspace of  $\varinjlim_N Z^k(Y(N))$ :

$$CM(\Omega) := \{Z_{\Omega, f} : f \in \mathcal{S}(\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}^\infty))\}.$$

Let  $\overline{CM}(\Omega)$  be the quotient of  $CM(\Omega)$  by the kernel of the normalized height pairing with  $CM(\Omega^{-1})$ . Define  $\overline{CM}(\Omega^{-1})$  similarly. By (2.3) and the projection formula, the right  $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}^\infty)$ -action  $R$  on  $\varinjlim_N \text{Ch}^k(Y(N))$  (see 2.1.3) induces right  $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}^\infty)$ -actions on  $\overline{CM}(\Omega)$  and  $\overline{CM}(\Omega^{-1})$ .

**Definition 1.** Let the left action of  $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}^\infty)$  on  $\overline{CM}(\Omega)$  be the opposite of the right action  $R$ .

Then the left action is compatible with the one induced from the left action on homological Chow cycles, see 2.1.5. Under the left action, the central character of  $\overline{CM}(\Omega)$  is  $\Omega$ .

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be the set of cuspidal automorphic representations  $\pi$  of  $\text{GL}_{2, \mathbb{Q}}$  such that

- $\pi_\infty$  is the holomorphic discrete series of weight  $2k$ ,
- $\pi$  has central character  $\Omega^{-1}|_{\mathbb{A}^\times}$  (so  $\pi_K \otimes \Omega$  is self-dual with root number  $\epsilon(1/2, \pi_K \otimes \Omega) = \pm 1$ ).



For  $\pi \in \mathcal{A}$ , let  $\pi^\infty$  be the finite component. Let  $\tilde{\pi}^\infty$  be the admissible dual of  $\pi^\infty$ . Let

$$\overline{CM}[\pi] := \text{Hom}_{\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}^\infty)}(\tilde{\pi}^\infty, \overline{CM}(\Omega)),$$

$$\overline{CM}[\tilde{\pi}] := \text{Hom}_{\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}^\infty)}(\pi^\infty, \overline{CM}(\Omega^{-1})).$$

**Remark 2.3.1.** *The reader should think of  $\overline{CM}[\pi]$  as a subgroup of the ‘‘Mordell-Weil group’’ of the submotive of  $\{Y(N)\}_N$  corresponding to  $\pi$ . We remind the reader of the weight 2 case in [31, p. 78]: there is a decomposition of abelian varieties up to isogeny,*

$$\varinjlim_N \text{Jac}(X(N)) = \bigoplus_{\Pi} \tilde{\Pi}^{\text{op}} \otimes_{\text{End}(\Pi)} A_{\Pi},$$

where  $\Pi$  runs over irreducible admissible representations of  $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}^\infty)$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$  such that  $\Pi_{\mathbb{C}}$  is a direct sum of the finite components of cuspidal automorphic representations of weight 2, and  $L(s, A_{\Pi}) = L(s - 1/2, \Pi)$ . If  $\varinjlim_N \text{Jac}(X(N))$  is equipped with the opposite of the right action (see Remark 2.1.2), this decomposition respects the  $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}^\infty)$ -action on both sides.

We have natural embeddings of  $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}^\infty)$ -modules

$$(2.7) \quad \bigoplus_{\pi \in \mathcal{A}} \tilde{\pi}^\infty \otimes \overline{CM}[\pi] \hookrightarrow \overline{CM}(\Omega), \quad \bigoplus_{\pi \in \mathcal{A}} \pi^\infty \otimes \overline{CM}[\tilde{\pi}] \hookrightarrow \overline{CM}(\Omega^{-1}).$$

We propose the following modularity conjecture, following S. Zhang [35].

**Conjecture 2.3.2.** *The embeddings (2.7) are surjective.*

Conjecture 2.3.2 is a consequence of the conjecture of Beilinson and Bloch on the injectivity of Abel-Jacobi map [1, Lemma 5.6].

2.3.1. *Unramified modularity.* For a finite set  $S$  of finite places of  $\mathbb{Q}$ , let  $\mathbb{A}^{S, \infty}$  be the ring of finite adèles without  $S$ -component. Let  $U^S = \prod_{p \notin S} \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ . Let  $\mathcal{H}^S \subset \mathcal{S}(\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}^{S, \infty}))$  be the usual unramified Hecke algebra, i.e. the sub-algebra (under convolution) of bi- $U^S$ -invariant functions. Let

$$CM(\Omega, \mathcal{H}^S) := \{Z_{\Omega, f} : f \in \mathcal{S}(\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}_S)) \otimes \mathcal{H}^S\}.$$

Let  $\overline{CM}(\Omega, \mathcal{H}^S)$  be the image of  $CM(\Omega, \mathcal{H}^S)$  in  $\overline{CM}(\Omega)$ . Then  $\overline{CM}(\Omega, \mathcal{H}^S)$  is a  $\mathcal{H}^S$ -submodule of  $\overline{CM}(\Omega)$ .

Let  $\mathcal{A}^{U^S} \subset \mathcal{A}$  be the subset of representations with nonzero  $U^S$ -invariant vectors. For  $\pi \in \mathcal{A}^{U^S}$ , let  $L_{\pi^S}$  (resp.  $L_{\tilde{\pi}^S}$ ) be the character of  $\mathcal{H}^S$  on the 1-dimensional space of  $U^S$ -invariant vectors in  $\pi^{S, \infty}$  (resp.  $\tilde{\pi}^{S, \infty}$ ). Let

$$\overline{CM}[\pi^S] := \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}^S}(L_{\pi^S}, \overline{CM}(\Omega, \mathcal{H}^S)),$$

$$\overline{CM}[\tilde{\pi}^S] := \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}^S}(L_{\tilde{\pi}^S}, \overline{CM}(\Omega^{-1}, \mathcal{H}^S)),$$

**Theorem 2.3.3.** *Assume that  $S$  contains 2 and all finite places of  $\mathbb{Q}$  ramified in  $K$  or ramified for  $\Omega$ . Then we have*

$$\overline{CM}(\Omega, \mathcal{H}^S) = \bigoplus_{\pi \in \mathcal{A}^{U^S}} L_{\tilde{\pi}^S} \otimes \overline{CM}[\pi^S],$$

and the corresponding equality for  $\overline{CM}(\Omega^{-1}, \mathcal{H}^S)$ .

**Remark 2.3.4.** *As one may expect, the assumption that  $S$  contains 2 comes from local theta lifting, see Lemma 4.1.2.*

2.3.2. *Full modularity.* For  $\pi \in \mathcal{A}$ , let

$$\overline{CM}(\pi) = \lim_{\substack{\longrightarrow \\ S'}} L_{\tilde{\pi}^S} \otimes \overline{CM}[\pi^S] \subset \overline{CM}(\Omega),$$

which is stable by the  $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}^\infty)$ -action. By the strong multiplicity one theorem [21],  $\overline{CM}(\Omega)$  is the direct sum of all  $\overline{CM}(\pi)$ 's. Define  $\overline{CM}(\tilde{\pi}) \subset \overline{CM}(\Omega^{-1})$  similarly. Conjecture 2.3.2 is equivalent to the truth of the following conjecture for all  $\pi \in \mathcal{A}$ .

**Conjecture 2.3.5.** *We have*

$$\overline{CM}(\pi) = \tilde{\pi}^\infty \otimes \overline{CM}[\pi],$$

and the corresponding equality for  $\overline{CM}(\tilde{\pi})$ .

**Theorem 2.3.6.** *Assume that  $L(1/2, \pi_K \otimes \Omega) = 0$ . Then Conjecture 2.3.5 holds.*

The theorem of Tunnell [25] and Saito [23], implies the following result.

**Proposition 2.3.7.** *Assume Conjecture 2.3.5. Then  $\overline{CM}(\pi) = \{0\}$  if  $\epsilon(1/2, \pi_{K_p} \otimes \Omega_p)(-1) = -1$  for some  $p < \infty$ .*

We prove the following unconditional result.

**Theorem 2.3.8.** *Assume that  $\epsilon(1/2, \pi_{K_p} \otimes \Omega_p)(-1) = -1$  for more than one  $p < \infty$ , then  $\overline{CM}(\pi) = \{0\}$  and  $\overline{CM}(\tilde{\pi}) = \{0\}$ . In particular, Conjecture 2.3.5 holds.*

## 2.4. Height pairing and derivatives.

2.4.1. *Local periods.* Let  $\eta$  be the Hecke character of  $\mathbb{Q}^\times$  associated to  $K$  via the class field theory.

Fix the following measures. For a finite place  $p$ , endow  $\mathbb{Q}_p^\times$  with the Haar measure such that  $\mathrm{Vol}(\mathbb{Z}_p^\times) = 1$ . This gives the measure on  $K_p^\times/\mathbb{Q}_p^\times$  for  $p$  split in  $K$ . For  $p$  unramified in  $K$ , endow  $K_p^\times/\mathbb{Q}_p^\times$  with the Haar measure such that  $\mathrm{Vol}(K_p^\times/\mathbb{Q}_p^\times) = 1$ . For  $p$  ramified in  $K$ , endow  $K_p^\times/\mathbb{Q}_p^\times$  with the Haar measure such that  $\mathrm{Vol}(K_p^\times/\mathbb{Q}_p^\times) = 2|D_p|_p^{1/2}$  where  $D_p$  is the local discriminant. See also [31, 1.6.2].

Let  $\pi_p$  be an irreducible unitary admissible representation of  $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ . For  $\phi_p \in \pi_p$  and  $\tilde{\phi}_p \in \tilde{\pi}_p$ , let

$$\alpha_{\pi_p}^\sharp(\phi_p \otimes \tilde{\phi}_p) = \alpha_{\pi_p}^\sharp(\phi_p, \tilde{\phi}_p) := \frac{L(1, \eta_p)L(1, \pi_p, \mathrm{ad})}{\zeta_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(2)L(1/2, \pi_p, K_p \otimes \Omega_p)} \int_{K_p^\times/\mathbb{Q}_p^\times} (\pi_p(t)\phi_p, \tilde{\phi}_p) \Omega_p(t) dt.$$

Then  $\alpha_{\pi_p}^\sharp(\phi_p \otimes \tilde{\phi}_p) = 1$  if  $K_p, \Omega_p, \pi_p$  are unramified,  $\phi_p, \tilde{\phi}_p$  are  $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ -invariant, and  $(\phi_p, \tilde{\phi}_p) = 1$ . Let

$$\alpha_{\pi^\infty}^\sharp = \prod_{p < \infty} \alpha_{\pi_p}^\sharp.$$

2.4.2. *Yuan-Zhang-Zhang type formula I.* Fix the Haar measure on  $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  so that

$$\mathrm{Vol}(\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)) = 1.$$

For  $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}^\infty))$ , let  $z_{\Omega, f}$  be the image of  $Z_{\Omega, f}$  in  $\overline{CM}(\Omega)$ . For  $\pi \in \mathcal{A}$ , let  $z_{\Omega, f, \pi} \in \overline{CM}(\pi)$  be the  $\pi$ -component of  $z_{\Omega, f}$  via Theorem 2.3.3. For  $\phi \in \pi^\infty$  and  $\tilde{\phi} \in \tilde{\pi}^\infty$ , let  $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}^\infty))$  such that the image of  $f^\vee$  in  $\pi^\infty \otimes \tilde{\pi}^\infty \subset \mathrm{Hom}(\tilde{\pi}^\infty, \pi^\infty)$  via the usual (left) Hecke action is  $\phi \otimes \tilde{\phi}$ . Define

$$(2.8) \quad z_{\phi \otimes \tilde{\phi}} := z_{\Omega, f, \pi} \in \overline{CM}(\pi),$$

which does not depend on the choice of  $f$  under Conjecture 2.3.5. Similarly, we define  $z_{\tilde{\phi} \otimes \phi} \in \overline{CM}(\tilde{\pi})$ .

The following formula is the counterpart of the projector version of the general Gross-Zagier formula [31, Theorem 3.15] of Yuan, S. Zhang, and W. Zhang.

**Theorem 2.4.1.** *Assume that Conjecture 2.3.5 holds for  $\pi$ . For  $\phi \in \pi^\infty$  and  $\tilde{\phi} \in \tilde{\pi}^\infty$ , we have*

$$(2.9) \quad \langle z_{\phi \otimes \tilde{\phi}}, z_{\Omega^{-1}} \rangle = \frac{L'(1/2, \pi_K \otimes \Omega)}{L(1, \eta)^2 L(1, \pi, \text{ad})} \frac{(2k-2)!}{2(k-1)! \cdot (k-1)!} \alpha_{\pi^\infty}^\#(\phi \otimes \tilde{\phi}).$$

**Remark 2.4.2.** *As one may expect, the number  $\frac{(2k-2)!}{(k-1)! \cdot (k-1)!}$  is (part of) a local factor at  $\infty$ , see (3.12).*

2.4.3. *Yuan-Zhang-Zhang type formula II.* Now we reformulate Theorem 2.4.1 in the form of the general Gross-Zagier formula [31, Theorem 1.2] of Yuan, S. Zhang, and W. Zhang. This is suggested by S. Zhang.

We define a height pairing between  $\overline{CM}[\pi]$  and  $\overline{CM}[\tilde{\pi}]$ , following S. Zhang [35]. For  $l \in \overline{CM}[\pi]$  and  $\tilde{l} \in \overline{CM}[\tilde{\pi}]$ ,  $\phi \in \pi^\infty$  and  $\tilde{\phi} \in \tilde{\pi}^\infty$  with  $(\phi, \tilde{\phi}) \neq 0$ , let

$$(2.10) \quad \langle l, \tilde{l} \rangle = \frac{\langle l(\tilde{\phi}), \tilde{l}(\phi) \rangle^\#}{(\phi, \tilde{\phi})}.$$

By Schur's lemma, the pairing (2.10) does not depend on the choices of  $\phi, \tilde{\phi}$ .

Assume that Conjecture 2.3.5 holds for  $\pi$ . For  $\phi \in \pi$ , let

$$l_\phi \in \overline{CM}[\pi] := \text{Hom}_{\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}^\infty)}(\tilde{\pi}^\infty, \overline{CM}(\Omega))$$

be defined by  $\tilde{\phi} \mapsto z_{\phi \otimes \tilde{\phi}}$ . Since  $z_{\phi \otimes \tilde{\phi}}$ 's span  $\overline{CM}(\pi)$ , every element in  $\overline{CM}[\pi]$  equals some  $l_\phi$ . Similarly, every element in  $\overline{CM}[\tilde{\pi}]$  equals  $l_{\tilde{\phi}} : \phi \mapsto z_{\tilde{\phi} \otimes \phi}$  for some  $\tilde{\phi} \in \tilde{\pi}$ .

The following theorem is a consequence of Theorem 2.4.1.

**Theorem 2.4.3.** *Assume that Conjecture 2.3.5 holds for  $\pi$ . For  $\phi \in \pi^\infty$  and  $\tilde{\phi} \in \tilde{\pi}^\infty$ , we have*

$$\langle l_\phi, l_{\tilde{\phi}} \rangle = \frac{L'(1/2, \pi_K \otimes \Omega)}{L(1, \eta)^2 L(1, \pi, \text{ad})} \frac{(2k-2)!}{2(k-1)! \cdot (k-1)!} \alpha_{\pi^\infty}^\#(\phi, \tilde{\phi}).$$

**Corollary 2.4.4.** *Assume that Conjecture 2.3.5 holds for  $\pi$ . If  $L'(1/2, \pi_K \otimes \Omega) = 0$ , then  $\overline{CM}(\pi) = \{0\}$ .*

**Remark 2.4.5.** *Compare Corollary 2.4.4 with Theorem 2.3.8 and the remark below it.*

**Corollary 2.4.6.** *Assume that  $L'(1/2, \pi_K \otimes \Omega) \neq 0$ . If  $\epsilon(1/2, \pi_{K_p} \otimes \Omega_p) \Omega_p(-1) = 1$  for all  $p < \infty$ , then  $\dim \overline{CM}[\pi] = 1$ . Otherwise,  $\overline{CM}[\pi] = \{0\}$ .*

*Proof.* By Theorem 2.3.6, Conjecture 2.3.5 holds for  $\pi$ . Thus the ‘‘otherwise’’ part follows from Proposition 2.3.7. Now assume that  $\epsilon(1/2, \pi_{K_p} \otimes \Omega_p) \Omega_p(-1) = 1$  for all  $p < \infty$ . By the theorem of Tunnell [25] and Saito [23],  $\dim \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{A}_K^\infty \times}(\pi^\infty \otimes \Omega^\infty, \mathbb{C}) = 1$ . Let  $l \neq 0$  be in this Hom space. Let  $\phi_0 \in \pi^\infty$  such that  $l(\phi_0) \neq 0$ . Then by Theorem 2.4.3,  $l_\phi = \frac{l(\phi)}{l(\phi_0)} l_{\phi_0}$ .  $\square$

### 3. RELATIVE TRACE FORMULAS AND HEIGHT PAIRING

We first review the relative trace formulas of Jacquet [12][13]. Then we use the arithmetic relative trace formula approach to prove Theorem 2.4.1.

**3.1. Orbital integrals.** Let  $F$  be a field,  $E$  a separable quadratic field extension of  $F$ ,  $z \mapsto \bar{z}$  the Galois conjugation, and  $\text{Nm} : E^\times \rightarrow F^\times$  the norm map. (We omit the case  $E = F \oplus F$ , and refer to [22, 4.3].)

3.1.1. *Orbits I.* Let  $G = \mathrm{GL}_{2,E}$ . Let  $\mathcal{V} \subset G$  be the subset of invertible Hermitian matrices over  $F$  with respect to  $E$ . Let  $E^\times \times F^\times$  act on  $\mathcal{V}$  via

$$(a, z) \cdot s = \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} s \begin{bmatrix} \bar{a} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} z.$$

There is a bijection

$$\mathrm{Inv} : E^\times \backslash \mathcal{V} / F^\times \simeq (F^\times - \{1\}) \cup \{0, \infty\},$$

which maps  $A = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ \bar{b} & d \end{bmatrix}$  to

$$\frac{ad}{b\bar{b}} = \frac{\det(A)}{b\bar{b}} + 1.$$

Then  $\gamma \in \mathcal{V}$  is regular for this action if  $\mathrm{Inv}(\gamma) \in F^\times - \{1\}$ . Let  $\mathcal{V}_{\mathrm{reg}} \subset \mathcal{V}$  be the regular locus.

Let  $g \in G$  act on  $\mathcal{V}$  by  $g \cdot s := gs\bar{g}^t$ . Let  $H_0 \subset G$  be the unitary group associated to

$$w = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{V}.$$

If  $F$  is a local field, for  $f \in \mathcal{S}(G)$ , let  $\Phi_f \in \mathcal{S}(G \cdot w)$ , such that

$$(3.1) \quad \Phi_f(g \cdot w) = \int_{H_0} f(gh)dh.$$

The map  $f \mapsto \Phi_f$  is surjective to  $\mathcal{S}(G \cdot w)$ . If  $F$  is a global field, the same definite applies to  $f \in \mathcal{S}(G(\mathbb{A}_F))$ .

Let  $(1 - \mathrm{Nm}(E^\times)) := \{1 - \mathrm{Nm}(a) : a \in E^\times\}$ . Then

$$\mathrm{Inv}(G \cdot w) = (1 - \mathrm{Nm}(E^\times)) \cup \{\infty\}.$$

3.1.2. *Orbits II.* Let  $B$  be a quaternion algebra over  $F$  containing  $E$ . There exists  $j \in B$  such that  $B = E \oplus Ej$ ,  $j^2 = \epsilon \in F^\times$  and  $jz = \bar{z}j$  for  $z \in E$  (see [22, 4.1]). Then the reduced norm on  $B$  can be expressed by  $q(a + bj) = \mathrm{Nm}(a) - \mathrm{Nm}(b) \cdot \epsilon$ .

Let  $E^\times \times E^\times$  act on  $B^\times$  by

$$(h_1, h_2) \cdot \gamma = h_1^{-1} \gamma h_2.$$

Define an invariant for this action:  $\mathrm{inv}(a + bj) = \epsilon \mathrm{Nm}(b) / \mathrm{Nm}(a)$ . This induces a bijection

$$\mathrm{inv} : E^\times \backslash B^\times / E^\times \simeq (\epsilon \mathrm{Nm}(E^\times) - \{1\}) \cup \{0, \infty\}.$$

Then  $\delta = a + bj$  is regular for this action if  $\mathrm{inv} \neq 0, \infty$ , equivalently  $ab \neq 0$ . Let  $B_{\mathrm{reg}}^\times \subset B^\times$  be the regular locus.

**Lemma 3.1.1.** *For  $g \in B_{\mathrm{reg}}^\times$ ,  $\mathrm{inv}(g) \in 1 - \mathrm{Nm}(E^\times)$  if and only if  $q(g) \in \mathrm{Nm}(E^\times)$ .*

3.1.3. *Orbital integrals and derivatives.* Let  $F$  be a local field. Let  $\eta$  be the quadratic character of  $F^\times$  associated to  $E$  via the class field theory. Let  $\Omega$  be a continuous character of  $E^\times$  and  $\omega = \Omega|_{F^\times}$ .

For  $\Phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{V})$ ,  $\gamma \in \mathcal{V}_{\mathrm{reg}}$ , and  $s \in \mathbb{C}$ , define the orbital integral

$$(3.2) \quad O(s, \gamma, \Phi) := \int_{E^\times} \int_{F^\times} \Phi \left( z \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \gamma \begin{bmatrix} \bar{a} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) \eta \omega^{-1}(z) \Omega(a) |a|_E^s dz da.$$

The integral (3.2) converges absolutely and defines a holomorphic function on  $s$ . For  $x \in F^\times - \{1\}$ , let

$$\gamma(x) = \begin{bmatrix} x & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{V}_{\mathrm{reg}}.$$

Then  $\mathrm{Inv}(\gamma(x)) = x$ . Define  $O(s, x, \Phi) := O(s, \gamma(x), \Phi)$ . Let  $O'(0, x, \Phi)$  be the  $s$ -derivative at  $s = 0$ .

We have the following easy lemma.

**Lemma 3.1.2.** *For  $x \in F^\times - \{1\}$  and near 1,  $O'(0, x, \Phi)$  is a Schwartz function.*

For  $f \in \mathcal{S}(B^\times)$  and  $\delta \in B_{\text{reg}}^\times$ , define the orbital integral

$$O(\delta, f) := \int_{E^\times/F^\times} \int_{E^\times} f(h_1^{-1}\delta h_2) \Omega(h_1) \Omega^{-1}(h_2) dh_2 dh_1.$$

For  $x \in \epsilon \text{Nm}(E^\times) - \{1\}$  (where  $\epsilon$  is as in 3.1.2), choose  $b \in E^\times$  such that  $x = \epsilon \text{Nm}(b)$ . Let

$$\delta(x) := \begin{bmatrix} 1 & b\epsilon \\ \bar{b} & 1 \end{bmatrix} = 1 + bj \in B^\times.$$

Then  $\text{inv}(\delta(x)) = x$ . Define  $O(x, f) := O(\delta(x), f)$ . Easy to check that  $O(x, f)$  does not depend the choice of  $b$ .

We have the following characterization of  $O(x, f)$  by [12, p. 332, Proposition] (which contains a mistake: it swapped the behaviors of  $O(x, f)$  for  $x$  near 0 and near  $\infty$ ).

**Proposition 3.1.3.** *Let  $\phi$  be a function on  $\epsilon \text{Nm}(E^\times) - \{1\}$ . Then  $\phi(x) = O(x, f)$  for some  $f \in \mathcal{S}(B^\times)$  if and only if the following conditions hold:*

- (1) *the function  $\phi$  is locally constant on  $\epsilon E^\times - \{1\}$ ;*
- (2) *the function  $\phi$  vanishes near 1;*
- (3) *there exists a smooth function  $A_1(x)$  near 0, such that  $\phi(x) = A_1(x)$  for  $x$  near 0;*
- (4) *there exists a smooth function  $A_2(x)$  near 0 such that  $\phi(x) = \Omega(a)A_2(x)$  for  $x = \epsilon a\bar{a}$  near  $\infty$ ;*

**Definition 2.** (1) *For  $f \in \mathcal{S}(B^\times)$ ,  $\Phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{V})$  is a transfer of  $f$  if  $O(0, x, \Phi) = O(x, f)$  for  $x \in \epsilon \text{Nm}(E^\times) - \{1\}$ , and  $O(0, x, \Phi) = 0$  for  $x \in F^\times - \{1\} - \epsilon \text{Nm}(E^\times)$ .*

(2) *For  $f' \in \mathcal{S}(G)$ , the definition in (1) applies if it applies to  $\Phi_{f'}$ .*

We have the following easy lemma and its corollary.

**Lemma 3.1.4.** *The map  $\text{Inv}$  realizes  $(G \cdot w) \cap \mathcal{V}_{\text{reg}}$  as a trivial  $E^\times \times F^\times$ -bundle over  $(1 - \text{Nm}(E^\times)) - \{0\}$ .*

**Corollary 3.1.5.** *For  $f \in \mathcal{S}(B_{\text{reg}}^\times)$  such that  $O(x, f)$  vanishes outside  $(1 - \text{Nm}(E^\times))$ , there is a transfer  $\Phi \in \mathcal{S}((G \cdot w) \cap \mathcal{V}_{\text{reg}})$ .*

Let  $B^\dagger$  be the quaternion algebra non-isomorphic to  $B$  and let  $\epsilon^\dagger$  be associated to  $B^\dagger$  as in 3.1.2 (so  $\epsilon^\dagger \neq \epsilon \in F^\times / \text{Nm}(E^\times)$ ).

**Proposition 3.1.6.** *Let  $f \in \mathcal{S}(B^\times)$  such that  $O(x, f)$  vanishes outside  $(1 - \text{Nm}(E^\times))$ , and  $O(x, f)$  extends to a smooth function  $A(x)$  on  $F^\times$  whose restriction to  $\epsilon^\dagger \text{Nm}(E^\times)$  is supported near 0. Then there is a transfer  $\Phi \in \mathcal{S}(G \cdot w)$  of  $f$ , and  $f^\dagger \in \mathcal{S}(B^{\dagger, \times})$ , such that for  $x \in \epsilon^\dagger \text{Nm}(E^\times)$ , we have*

$$(3.3) \quad O'(0, x, \Phi) = A(x) \log |x| + O(x, f)$$

and both sides of (3.3), as functions on  $\epsilon^\dagger \text{Nm}(E^\times)$ , are supported near 0.

By Proposition 3.1.3, Lemma 3.1.4 and Corollary 3.1.5, Proposition 3.1.6 is implied by the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.1.7.** *Let  $A(x)$  be a smooth function on a neighborhood of  $0 \in F$ .*

(1) *There is  $\Phi \in \mathcal{S}(G \cdot w)$  such that  $O(0, x, \Phi) = A(x)$  for  $x$  in  $(1 - \text{Nm}(E^\times)) - \{0\}$  and near 0, and  $O'(0, x, \Phi)$  extends to a smooth function on a neighborhood of  $0 \in F$ .*

(2) *There is  $\Phi \in \mathcal{S}(G \cdot w)$  such that  $O(0, x, \Phi) = \eta(x)A(x)$  for  $x$  in  $(1 - \text{Nm}(E^\times)) - \{0\}$  and near 0, and  $O'(0, x, \Phi) = \eta(x)(-2A(x) \log |x|_F + C(x))$  where  $C(x)$  is a smooth function on a neighborhood of  $0 \in F$ .*

(3) *There is  $\Phi \in \mathcal{S}(G \cdot w)$  such that  $O(0, x, \Phi) = \Omega(b)A(x^{-1})$  for  $x = -b\bar{b}$  in  $(1 - \text{Nm}(E^\times)) - \{0\}$  and near  $\infty$ .*

*Proof.* (1) Let  $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(G \cdot w)$  be supported near  $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$  and nonzero at  $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ . A direct computation shows that  $O(0, x, \phi)$  extends to a smooth nonvanishing function on a neighborhood of  $0 \in F$ . Then the pullback of  $A(x)/O(0, x, \phi)$ , via  $\text{Inv}$ , to a neighborhood of  $\text{Inv}^{-1}(\{0\})$  in  $G \cdot w$  extends to a smooth function on  $G \cdot w$ . Let  $\Phi$  be the product of  $\phi$  with this smooth extension, and we proved the first part of the lemma. A direct computation shows that  $O'(0, x, \phi)$  extends to a smooth function on a neighborhood of  $0 \in F$ . So is  $O'(0, x, \Phi)$

(2) Consider  $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(G \cdot w)$  supported near  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ . The argument is similar to the one in the proof of (1).

(3) Consider  $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(G \cdot w)$  supported near  $\begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ . The argument is similar to the one in the proof of (1).  $\square$

3.1.4. *A matrix coefficient at  $\infty$ .* Let  $H$  be the division quaternion algebra over  $\mathbb{R}$  with an embedding of  $\mathbb{C}$ . In the notation of 3.1.2, we choose  $\epsilon = -1$ . (Then  $H = \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}j$  is the usual way of expressing the Hamilton quaternion algebra.)

Let  $\rho_{2k}$  be the  $(2k - 1)$ -dimensional representation of  $H^\times$  with trivial central character whose Jacquet-Langlands correspondence to  $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{R})$  is the holomorphic discrete series of weight  $2k$ . Fix an invariant inner product  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  on  $\rho_{2k}$ , and let  $e$  be the unique unit vector which is  $\mathbb{C}^\times$ -invariant (see [9]). Let  $f_\infty \in \mathcal{S}(H^\times)$  such that

$$(3.4) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^\times} f_\infty(zg)dz = (\rho_{2k}(g)e, e).$$

Let  $P_{k-1}(t)$  be the  $(k - 1)$ -th Legendre polynomial, i.e. the multiple of  $\frac{d^{k-1}}{dt^{k-1}}(t^2 - 1)^{k-1}$  whose value at 1 is 1. By [5, Lemma 4.14] and a direct computation, we have

$$(3.5) \quad O(\delta, f_\infty) = P_{k-1} \left( \frac{1 + \text{inv}(\delta)}{1 - \text{inv}(\delta)} \right) \text{Vol}(\mathbb{C}^\times / \mathbb{R}^\times)^2$$

for  $\delta \in H_{\text{reg}}^\times$ . We remind the reader that there is a sign mistake in the statement of [5, Lemma 4.14], which is easy to spot by checking [5, Lemma 4.8] (the proof of [5, Lemma 4.14] is based on [5, Lemma 4.8]).

3.2. **Automorphic distributions.** Let  $F$  be a global field, and let  $E$  be a separable quadratic field extension. Let  $\Omega$  be a character  $E^\times \backslash \mathbb{A}_E^\times$ ,  $\omega = \Omega|_{\mathbb{A}_F^\times}$ , and  $\omega_E := \omega \circ \text{Nm}$ .

3.2.1. *General linear side.* Let  $G = \text{GL}_{2,E}$ . Let  $A$  be the diagonal torus of  $G$  and let  $Z$  be the center. Let  $H \subset G$  be the similitude unitary group associated to  $w$ , and let  $\kappa$  be the similitude character.

For  $f' \in \mathcal{S}(G(\mathbb{A}_E))$ , define a kernel function on  $G(\mathbb{A}_E) \times G(\mathbb{A}_E)$ :

$$K(x, y) := \int_{Z(E) \backslash Z(\mathbb{A}_E)} \left( \sum_{g \in G(E)} f'(x^{-1}gzy) \right) \omega_E^{-1}(z) dz.$$

For  $a = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & 0 \\ 0 & a_2 \end{bmatrix}$ , let  $\Omega(a) = \Omega(a_1/a_2)$ . For  $s \in \mathbb{C}$ , formally define the distribution  $O(s, \cdot)$  on  $G(\mathbb{A}_E)$  by assigning to  $f' \in \mathcal{S}(G(\mathbb{A}_E))$  the integral

$$(3.6) \quad O(s, f') = \int_{Z(\mathbb{A}_E)A(E) \backslash A(\mathbb{A}_E)} \int_{Z(\mathbb{A}_E)H(F) \backslash H(\mathbb{A}_F)} K(a, h) \Omega(a) \eta \omega^{-1}(\kappa(h)) |a|_E^s dh da.$$

A slight modification of the proof of [22, Lemma 4.4.2] shows that the integral  $O(s, f')$  in (3.6) converges absolutely under the following assumption.

**Assumption 1.** Assume that  $\Phi_{f'}(g) = 0$  for  $g \in \mathbb{A}_E^\times(\mathcal{V} - \mathcal{V}_{\text{reg}})\mathbb{A}_F^\times$ .

We always assume Assumption 1. Also assume that  $f'$  is a pure tensor (so is  $\Phi_{f'}$ ). Then we have a decomposition

$$(3.7) \quad O'(0, f') = \sum_{x \in F^\times - \{1\}} \sum_{v \in \Xi} O'(0, x, \Phi_{f', v}) O(x, \Phi_{f'}^v),$$

where  $\Xi$  is the set of places of  $F$ . The sum (3.7) converges absolutely.

3.2.2. *Quaternion side.* Let  $B$  be a quaternion algebra over  $F$ . For  $f \in \mathcal{S}(B^\times(\mathbb{A}_F))$ , define a kernel function on  $B^\times(\mathbb{A}_F) \times B^\times(\mathbb{A}_F)$ :

$$(3.8) \quad k(x, y) := \sum_{g \in B^\times} f(x^{-1}gy).$$

Define a distribution  $O(\cdot)$  on  $B^\times(\mathbb{A}_F)$  by assigning to  $f \in \mathcal{S}(B^\times(\mathbb{A}_F))$  the integral

$$O(f) := \int_{E^\times \setminus \mathbb{A}_E^\times / \mathbb{A}_F^\times} \int_{E^\times \setminus \mathbb{A}_E^\times} k(h_1, h_2) \Omega(h_1) \Omega^{-1}(h_2) dh_2 dh_1.$$

This integral converges absolutely under the following assumption.

**Assumption 2.** Assume that  $f$  vanishes on  $\mathbb{A}_E^\times(B^\times - B_{\text{reg}}^\times)\mathbb{A}_E^\times$ .

We always assume Assumption 2. Then we have a decomposition

$$O(f) = \sum_{x \in \epsilon \text{Nm}(E^\times) - \{1\}} O(x, f),$$

where  $\epsilon$  is as in 3.1.2, and

$$O(x, f) = \int_{\mathbb{A}_E^\times / \mathbb{A}_F^\times} \int_{\mathbb{A}_E^\times} f(h_1^{-1} \delta(x) h_2) \Omega(h_1) \Omega^{-1}(h_2) dh_2 dh_1.$$

3.2.3. *The case of an incoherent transfer.* Let  $\mathbb{B}$  be a quaternion algebra over  $\mathbb{A}_F$  such that for an odd number of places  $v$  of  $F$ ,  $\mathbb{B}_v$  is the division quaternion algebra over  $F_v$  (such  $\mathbb{B}$  is called incoherent). Assume that  $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{B}^\times)$  is a pure tensor. Assume that  $f' \in \mathcal{S}(G(\mathbb{A}_E))$  is a pure tensor and a transfer of  $f$  (at every place), and satisfies Assumption 1. Let  $\Phi = \Phi_{f'}$ .

We rearrange the decomposition of  $O'(0, f')$  in (3.7) according to the decomposition

$$F^\times - \{1\} = \coprod \text{inv}(B_{\text{reg}}^\times),$$

where the union is over all quaternion algebras over  $F$  containing  $E$  as an  $F$ -subalgebra. Let  $B$  be such a quaternion algebra, and  $x \in \text{inv}(B_{\text{reg}}^\times)$ . If  $B$  and  $\mathbb{B}$  are not isomorphic at more than one place, then by the transfer condition, for every place  $u$ , the product

$$O(0, x, \Phi^u) = \prod_{v \neq u} O(0, x, \Phi_v)$$

contains at least one local component with value 0. So  $O(0, x, \Phi^u) = 0$ .

Let  $\Xi_n$  be the set of places of  $F$  nonsplit in  $E$ . For  $v \in \Xi_n$ , let  $B(v)$  be the unique quaternion algebra over  $F$  such that  $\mathbb{B}^v \simeq B(\mathbb{A}_F^v)$ . For  $x \in \text{inv}(B(v)_{\text{reg}}^\times)$ , let  $O(x, f^v)$  be the orbital integral defined by regarding  $f^v$  as a function on  $B^\times(\mathbb{A}_F^v)$ . Then by the transfer condition, for every  $u \in \Xi$ ,  $O(0, x, \Phi^u) \neq 0$  only if  $u = v$ . In this case  $O(0, x, \Phi^v) = O(x, f^v)$ . To sum up, we have the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.2.1.** *There is a decomposition*

$$O'(0, f') = \sum_{v \in \Xi_n} \sum_{x \in \text{inv}(B(v)_{\text{reg}}^\times)} O'(0, x, \Phi_v) O(x, f^v).$$

**Definition 3.** Let  $O'(0, f')_v$  be the summand corresponding to  $v$  in the equation in Lemma 3.2.1.

3.2.4. *Globalization.* Let  $B$  be a quaternion algebra over  $F$ .

**Lemma 3.2.2.** Let  $v_1, \dots, v_n$  be distinct places of  $F$  such that  $B_{v_i}$  is a division algebra, and for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , let  $\pi_i$  be an irreducible representation of  $B_{v_i}^\times$ . Assume that  $\text{Hom}_{E_{v_i}^\times}(\pi_i \otimes \Omega, \mathbb{C}) \neq 0$ . Then there is an automorphic representation  $\pi$  of  $B^\times$  and  $\phi \in \pi$  such that  $\pi_{v_i} \simeq \pi_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , and

$$\int_{E^\times \backslash \mathbb{A}_E^\times / \mathbb{A}_F^\times} \phi(h) \Omega(h) dh \neq 0.$$

*Proof.* The proof mimics the argument in [11]. We apply the relative trace formula associated to the automorphic distribution in 3.2.2. In particular, we choose a pure tensor  $f$  in 3.2.2 such that the twisted average of  $f_{v_i}$  by  $\omega_{v_i}$  along the center is a matrix coefficient of  $\pi_{v_i}$ . Moreover, we choose another place  $v_0$  such that  $\text{supp} f_{v_0} \subset B_{v_0, \text{reg}}^\times$ . The rest of the proof is the same with [11].  $\square$

**3.3. Local distributions.** Let  $F$  be a local field and  $E/F$  a separable quadratic field extension. Let  $\Omega$  be a unitary character of  $E^\times$ ,  $\omega = \Omega|_{F^\times}$ , and  $\omega_E := \omega \circ \text{Nm}$ . Let  $\psi$  be a nontrivial additive character of  $F$ , and  $\psi_E = \psi \circ \text{Tr}$  where  $\text{Tr} : E \rightarrow F$  is the trace map. (We omit the case  $E = F \oplus F$ , and refer to [22, 6.4].)

3.3.1. *Local distributions on  $\text{GL}_{2,E}$ .* Let  $\sigma$  be an infinite dimensional irreducible unitary representation of  $G = \text{GL}_{2,E}$  with central character  $\omega_E^{-1}$ . Let  $W(\sigma, \psi_E)$  be the  $\psi_E$ -Whittaker model of  $\sigma$ . For  $W \in W(\sigma, \psi_E)$ , define the local toric period

$$(3.9) \quad \lambda(s, W) := \int_{E^\times} W \left( \begin{bmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) |x|_E^s \Omega(x) dx$$

and the local base change period

$$(3.10) \quad \mathcal{P}(W) := \int_{F^\times} W \left( \begin{bmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) \eta \omega(x) dx.$$

Assume that  $\sigma$  is tempered, then the integral (3.9) converges for  $\text{Re}(s) > -1/2$ . For  $f \in \mathcal{S}(G)$ , define

$$I_\sigma(s, f) = \sum_W \lambda(s, \pi(f)W) \overline{\mathcal{P}(W)}$$

where the sum is over an orthonormal basis of  $W(\sigma, \psi_E)$ .

3.3.2. *Quaternion side.* Let  $B$  be a quaternion algebra over  $F$  containing  $E$ . Let  $\pi$  be an irreducible unitary representation of  $B^\times$  with central character  $\omega^{-1}$ . For  $u \in \pi$  and  $v \in \tilde{\pi}$ , define

$$\alpha_\pi(u, v) := \int_{E^\times / F^\times} (\pi(t)u, v) \Omega(t) dt.$$

By abuse of notation, for  $f \in \mathcal{S}(B^\times)$ , let

$$(3.11) \quad \alpha_\pi(f) := \sum_u \alpha_\pi(\pi(f)u, \tilde{u}).$$

where the sum is over an orthonormal basis  $\{u\}$  of  $\pi$ , and  $\{\tilde{u}\}$  is the dual basis of  $\tilde{\pi}$ .

**Proposition 3.3.1.** Assume that  $F$  has characteristic 0, and  $\alpha_\pi \neq 0$ . Then for a transfer  $f' \in \mathcal{S}(G')$  of  $f$ , we have

$$I_{\pi_E}(0, f') = c_\pi \alpha_\pi(f),$$

where  $c_\pi$  is an explicit nonzero constant.



*Proof.* The proposition follows from the globalization argument in [2, Proposition 5.7.1] and the proof of [13, Proposition 5] (see also [22, Proposition 6.3.1]).  $\square$

The constant  $c_\pi$  in Proposition 3.3.1 depends on the choices of the measures. In the non-archimedean case, it can be read from the equation in [22, Proposition 6.3.3]. It has the same shape in the archimedean case. Note that our measure on  $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  in 2.4.3 is  $\zeta_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(2)$  times the one in [13][22][31].

3.3.3. *Archimedean case.* Let  $H, \rho_{2k}, e, f_\infty$  be as in 3.1.4. Then by the discussion in [22, 6.2], we have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{\rho_{2k}}(f_\infty) &= \mathrm{Vol}(\mathbb{C}^\times/\mathbb{R}^\times) \int_{H^\times} f_\infty(g)(\rho_{2k}(g)e, e) dg \\ &= \mathrm{Vol}(\mathbb{C}^\times/\mathbb{R}^\times) \mathrm{Vol}(H^\times/\mathbb{R}^\times) \frac{1}{2k-1} \end{aligned}$$

Choose measures so that  $\mathrm{Vol}(\mathbb{C}^\times/\mathbb{R}^\times) = 2$ , and  $\mathrm{Vol}(H^\times/\mathbb{R}^\times) = 4\pi$ . (Our measure on  $\mathrm{Vol}(H^\times)$  is  $\zeta_{\mathbb{R}}(2)$  times the one in [13] [31], as the measure on  $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  forementioned.) Let  $\alpha^\sharp$  be the normalization of  $\alpha$  by the local  $L$ -factors as in 2.4.1, then

$$(3.12) \quad \alpha_{\rho_{2k}}^\sharp(f_\infty) = 4 \frac{(2k-2)!}{(k-1)! \cdot (k-1)!}.$$

3.4. **Local comparison I.** We compare the height distribution  $H(f) = \langle Z_{\Omega, f}, z_{\Omega^{-1}} \rangle$  on  $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}^\infty)$  with the automorphic distribution on  $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}_K)$ . The conclusion is (3.24).

3.4.1. *Notations.* We will need the following notations for quaternion algebras. For a quaternion algebra  $B$  over a field  $F$ , we always use  $q$  to denote the reduced norm map. (If  $B$  is the matrix algebra, then  $q$  is the determinant, and we will consistently use  $q$  to replace  $\det$  from now on.) Let  $E$  be a separable quadratic field extension of  $F$ . For an embedding of  $E \hookrightarrow B$ , define an invariant on  $B^\times$  for the bi- $E^\times$ -action

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda : B^\times &\rightarrow \mathbb{Q}, \\ \lambda(a + bj) &= \frac{q(bj)}{q(a + bj)}, \end{aligned}$$

where the notation is the same as in 3.1.2. The relation with the invariant defined in 3.1.2 is

$$\lambda(\delta) = \frac{-\mathrm{inv}(\delta)}{1 - \mathrm{inv}(\delta)}.$$

Let  $B$  be a quaternion algebra over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . For a finite place  $p$  of  $\mathbb{Q}$ , let  $B(p)$  be the unique quaternion algebra over  $\mathbb{Q}$  such that  $B(p)_v$  is division only for  $v = p$  and  $v = \infty$ . Let  $B(\infty)$  be the matrix algebra.

We need three more notations. Let

$$c_K := \mathrm{Vol}(K^\times \backslash \mathbb{A}_K^\times / \mathbb{A}^\times) \mathrm{Vol}(K^\times \backslash \mathbb{A}_K^\times / \mathbb{R}^\times).$$

Let  $P_{k-1}(t)$  be the  $(k-1)$ -th Legendre polynomial, i.e. the multiple of  $\frac{d^{k-1}}{dt^{k-1}}(t^2-1)^{k-1}$  whose value at 1 is 1. Let  $Q_{k-1}$  be the Legendre function of the second kind, i.e.,

$$Q_{k-1}(t) = \int_{u=0}^{\infty} (t + \sqrt{t^2-1} \cosh u)^{-k} du, \quad t > 1.$$

Then (see [10, p. 294, (5.7)])

$$(3.13) \quad Q_{k-1}(t) = \frac{1}{2} P_{k-1}(t) \log \frac{t+1}{t-1} + (\text{polynomial in } t),$$

and

$$(3.14) \quad Q_{k-1}(t) = O(t^{-k}), \quad \text{for } t \rightarrow \infty.$$

3.4.2. *Height pairing.* Let  $N$  be a positive integer as in Section 2 and let  $U = U(N)$  be the corresponding principal congruence subgroup of  $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}^\infty)$ . Let  $S$  be a finite set of finite places of  $\mathbb{Q}$  which contains all places of  $\mathbb{Q}$  ramified in  $K$  or ramified for  $\Omega$ , and all prime factors of  $N$ .

Fix  $f_S = \otimes_{p \in S} f_p \in \mathcal{S}(\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}_S))$  where each  $f_p$  is right  $U_p$ -invariant. Let  $f = f_S \otimes f^S$  where  $f^S \in \mathcal{H}^S$ . Such test functions will be enough for our proof of Theorem 2.4.1 in 3.5.

For a given  $f_S$ , let us consider the defining fields and height pairings of the involved CM cycles  $f^S \in \mathcal{H}^S$  varies. Let  $F$  be the finite abelian extension of  $K$  corresponding to

$$\mathbb{A}_K^{\infty, \times} \bigcap_{g_S \in \mathrm{supp} f_S} g_S U g_S^{-1}$$

via the class field theory. Then by the CM theory, all CM cycles  $Z_{tg_S}$ 's,  $t \in \mathbb{A}_K^{\infty, \times}$  and  $g_S \in \mathrm{supp} f_S$ , are defined over  $F$ . Then for  $g^S \in \mathcal{S}(\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}^{S, \infty}))$ ,  $Z_{tg_S g^S}$  is defined over a finite extension  $F'$  of  $F$  unramified from  $S$ . So we can define height pairing  $\langle Z_{tg_S g^S}, Z_{t'} \rangle$ ,  $t' \in \mathbb{A}_K^{\infty, \times}$ , as in 2.2.4 using the integral model  $\mathcal{Y}'$  of  $Y(N)$  over  $\mathrm{Spec} \mathcal{O}_F$  which is smooth outside  $S$ .

Fix an  $f_S$  satisfying the following assumption (we will further specify such an  $f_S$  in 3.5).

**Assumption 3.** *For every  $p \in S$ ,  $\mathrm{supp} f_p \subset \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)_{\mathrm{reg}}$ , the regular locus for the  $K_p^\times \times K_p^\times$ -action.*

Then  $Z_{\Omega, f_S}$  and  $Z_{\Omega^{-1}}$  do not intersect. So we have the decomposition of the height pairing into local heights over places of  $\mathbb{Q}$ :

$$(3.15) \quad H(f) = \sum_v H(f)_v.$$

Here the local height at  $v$  comes from all places of  $F$  over  $v$ . For  $p < \infty$ , we have

$$(3.16) \quad H(f)_p = i(f)_p + j(f)_p,$$

where  $i(f)_p$  comes from the intersections of horizontal cycles,  $j(f)_p$  comes from the intersections between horizontal and vertical cycles.

3.4.3. *Desingularization.* Let  $\mathcal{Y}' \rightarrow \mathcal{X}'$  be the integral model of  $Y(N) \rightarrow X(N)$  as in 2.1.1. For  $t \in \mathbb{A}_K^{\infty, \times}$ , let  $P_t$  be the CM point as in 2.2.1, and let  $Z'_t$  be the cycle on  $\mathcal{Y}'$  as in 2.2.4. The desingularization of  $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{O}_F}$  (to get  $\mathcal{X}'$ ) only happens at supersingular points. And locally at supersingular points,  $\mathcal{Y}' \rightarrow \mathcal{X}'$  is  $\mathcal{Y} \times_{\mathcal{X}} \mathcal{X}' \rightarrow \mathcal{X}'$ . Then the vertical part of  $Z'_t$  is the product of the CM cycle at the reduction of  $P_t$  in  $\mathcal{X}$  and a divisor  $D_t$  supported on the exceptional divisors of  $\mathcal{X}'$ .

3.4.4. *Split  $p$ .* Let  $p$  be split in  $K$ . Under Assumption 3, the underlying CM points of  $Z_{\Omega, f_S}$  and  $Z_{\Omega^{-1}}$  do not have common any reduction (see [31, 8.4] or [22, 9.5.1]). So  $i(f)_p = 0$ . Since the CM points have ordinary reductions, and the vertical part of  $Z'_g$  is supported over supersingular points,  $j(f)_p = 0$ .

3.4.5. *Nonsplit  $p$ ,  $i$ -part.* Let  $p$  be nonsplit in  $K$ . Let  $\mathcal{S}_{U_p}$  be the supersingular Lubin-Tate formal deformation space of level  $U_p$  [22, 9.3.2], which is defined over the integer rings of the completed maximal unramified extension of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ . Then the corresponding base change of the formal neighborhood of the supersingular locus in  $\mathcal{X}$  is given as follows:

$$B(p)^\times \backslash \mathcal{S}_{U_p} \times B(p)^\times (\mathbb{A}^{p, \infty}) / U(N)^p.$$

Let  $F_p = F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}_p$ , which is a product of finite extensions of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ . Let  $\mathcal{O}_{F_p^{\mathrm{ur}}}$  be the product of the integer rings of the completed maximal unramified extensions of the components of  $F_p$ . Let  $\mathcal{S}'_{U_p}$  be the minimal desingularization of the base change of  $\mathcal{S}_{U_p}$  to  $\mathcal{O}_{F_p^{\mathrm{ur}}}$ .

Let  $t \in K_p^\times$  act on  $B(p)_p^\times$  via right multiplication by  $t^{-1}$ , and act on  $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  via left multiplication by  $t$ . Then there is a natural map from  $B(p)_p^\times \times_{K_p^\times} \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  to  $\mathcal{S}_{U_p}$  parametrizing CM liftings [34, 5.5]. So, we have a map from  $B(p)_p^\times \times_{K_p^\times} \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  to  $\mathcal{S}'_{U_p}$  by strict transform. Abusing notation, we use

$(\delta, g) \in B(p)_p^\times \times_{K_p^\times} \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  to denote the corresponding CM point in  $S'_{U_p}$ . The multiplicity function  $m_p(\delta, g)$  on  $B(p)_p^\times \times_{K_p^\times} \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p) - \{(1, 1)\}$  is defined as  $1/[F : \mathbb{Q}]$  times the intersection multiplicity between  $(\delta, g)$  and  $(1, 1)$  (see [22, Definition 21] or [31, 8.2.1]). It is a smooth function. Note that  $m_p$  in fact depends on  $U_p$  though we do not indicate this dependence in the notation.

We recall the following properties of the multiplicity function (see [22, 9.3.3]).

**Lemma 3.4.1.** (1) If  $m_p(\delta, g) \neq 0$ , then  $q(\delta)q(g) \in q(U_p)$ .  
 (2) We have  $m_p(\delta^{-1}, g^{-1}) = m_p(\delta, g)$ .

By definition, and after a simple change of variable, we have

$$i(f)_p = \frac{\mathrm{Vol}(U)}{c_K} \int_{K^\times \backslash \mathbb{A}_K^\times / \mathbb{A}^\times} \int_{K^\times \backslash \mathbb{A}_K^\times / \mathbb{R}^\times} \sum_{g \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}^\infty)/U} f(t_1^{-1}t_2g)[q(g)]^{k-1} \\ (\overline{Z_{t_2g}} \cdot \overline{Z_{t_2}})_p \Omega^{-1}(t_2)\Omega(t_1)dt_2dt_1 \cdot \log p$$

Here  $\overline{Z_{t_2g}}$  and  $\overline{Z_{t_2}}$  are horizontal parts of  $Z'_{t_2g}$  and  $Z'_{t_2}$  in  $\mathcal{Y}'_{\mathcal{O}_{F_p^{\mathrm{ur}}}}$ , i.e. the Zariski closures of  $Z_{t_2g}$  and  $Z_{t_2}$  in  $\mathcal{Y}'_{\mathcal{O}_{F_p^{\mathrm{ur}}}}$ , and  $(\overline{Z_{t_2g}} \cdot \overline{Z_{t_2}})_p$  is  $1/[F : \mathbb{Q}]$  times their intersection number. And  $[q(g)]$  is the positive rational number generating the fractional ideal of  $\mathbb{Z}$  corresponding to the idele  $q(g)$ .

Let  $P_{t_2,0}$  be the reduction of the CM point  $P_{t_2}$  on  $X(N)$  in the integral model  $\mathcal{X}'$ . Let  $\mathcal{Y}'_{P_{t_2,0}}$  be the fiber of  $\mathcal{Y}'$  over  $P_{t_2,0} \in \mathcal{X}'$ . Then  $\mathcal{Y}'_{P_{t_2,0}} = E_{t_2,0}^{2k-2}$ , where  $E_{t_2,0}$  is the reduction of the CM elliptic curve corresponding to  $P_{t_2}$  (see 3.4.3). Let  $Z_{t_2,0} \subset \mathcal{Y}'_{P_{t_2,0}}$  be the special fiber of  $\overline{Z_{t_2}}$ , which is the CM cycle in  $\mathcal{Y}'_{P_{t_2,0}}$ . By [32, Proposition 3.3.1] and [22, Lemma 9.3.7], if  $P_{t_2g} \neq P_{t_2}$ , then

$$(3.17) \quad (\overline{Z_{t_2g}} \cdot \overline{Z_{t_2}}) = (-1)^k \sum_{\delta \in B(p)^\times} m_p(t_{2,p}^{-1}\delta t_{2,p}, g_p^{-1}) 1_{U^p}((t_2^{p,\infty} g^p)^{-1} \delta t_2^{p,\infty}) (\delta^* Z_{t_2,0} \cdot Z_{t_2,0})_{\mathcal{Y}'_{P_{t_2,0}}}.$$

Here  $B(p)$  is identified with the endomorphism ring of  $E_{t_2,0}$ , and  $(\delta^* Z_{t_2,0} \cdot Z_{t_2,0})_{\mathcal{Y}'_{P_{t_2,0}}}$  is the intersection number on  $\mathcal{Y}'_{P_{t_2,0}}$ .

By [32, 4.5.3] (for the CM cycle  $Z_{t_2,0}$ ,  $\sigma = \mathrm{id}$  and  $\mathfrak{a} = (1)$  in the notations in loc. cit., and the proof is purely over  $\overline{\mathbb{F}_p}$ ), we have

$$(3.18) \quad (\delta^* Z_{t_2,0} \cdot Z_{t_2,0})_{\mathcal{Y}'_{P_{t_2,0}}} = (-q(\delta))^{k-1} P_{k-1}(1 - 2\lambda(\delta)).$$

By Lemma 3.4.1, the nonzero contribution in (3.17) is only from  $\delta$  such that  $q(\delta) \in q(t_2^\infty g t_2^{\infty,-1})q(U)$ . Since  $B(p)_\infty$  division,  $q(\delta) > 0$ . So  $q(\delta) = [q(g)]$ . Thus, we have

$$(3.19) \quad i(f)_p = \frac{-\mathrm{Vol}(U)}{c_K} \int_{K^\times \backslash \mathbb{A}_K^\times / \mathbb{A}^\times} \int_{K^\times \backslash \mathbb{A}_K^\times / \mathbb{R}^\times} \sum_{g \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}^\infty)/U} f(g) \sum_{\delta \in B(p)^\times} \\ P_{k-1}(1 - 2\lambda(\delta)) m_p(t_{1,p}^{-1}\delta t_{2,p}, g_p^{-1}) 1_{U^p}((t_1^{p,\infty} g^p)^{-1} \delta t_2^{p,\infty}) \Omega^{-1}(t_2)\Omega(t_1)dt_2dt_1 \cdot \log p$$

Note that  $m_p(\cdot, g_p^{-1})$  only depends on  $g_p U_p$  by Lemma 3.4.1 (2). So the sum over  $g$  is well-defined.

Let  $f_\infty$  be as in (3.4). By the orbital integral of  $f_\infty$  computed in (3.5) and Assumption 3, we have

$$(3.20) \quad i(f)_p = \frac{-1}{c_K} \sum_{\delta \in K^\times \backslash B(p)_{\mathrm{reg}}^\times / K^\times} O(\delta, f^p \otimes f_\infty) \\ \int_{K_p^\times / \mathbb{Q}_p^\times} \int_{K_p^\times} \sum_{g \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)/U_p} f_p(g) m_p(t_1^{-1}\delta t_2, g^{-1}) \Omega_p^{-1}(t_2)\Omega_p(t_1)dt_2dt_1 \cdot \log p.$$

3.4.6. *Nonsplit  $p$ ,  $j$ -part.* Let  $p \in S$  which is nonsplit in  $K$ . Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be the union of the exceptional divisors of  $\mathcal{X}'$  over  $p$ . Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be the exceptional divisor of  $\mathcal{S}'_{U_p}$ , which inherits a  $B(p)_p^\times$ -action from the  $B(p)_p^\times$ -action on  $\mathcal{S}'_{U_p}$ . Then

$$(3.21) \quad \mathcal{P} \simeq B(p)^\times \backslash \mathcal{V} \times \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}^{p,\infty})/U^p.$$

For a vertical divisor  $C$  of  $\mathcal{S}'_{U_p}$  supported on  $\mathcal{V}$  and  $g \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}^{p,\infty})$ , let  $[C, g]$  be the corresponding divisor of  $\mathcal{P}$  via (3.21). Define a function  $l_C$  on  $B(p)_p^\times \times_{K_p^\times} \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  by letting  $l_C(\delta, g)$  be the intersection number of  $C$  and  $(\delta, g)$  in  $\mathcal{S}'_{U_p}$ .

There is a natural map  $\mathcal{S}'_{U_p} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  given by the degree of the quasi-isogeny in the definition of the deformation space  $\mathcal{S}'_{U_p}$  [22, 9.3.2]. Thus we have a map  $\mathcal{S}'_{U_p} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ . It induce a map  $\mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ .

**Lemma 3.4.2** ([22, Lemma 9.3.15]). *The function  $l_C$  satisfies the following properties:*

- (1) for  $h \in B(p)_p^\times \times_{K_p^\times} \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ ,  $l_C(h) \neq 0$  only if the image of the support of  $C$  in  $\mathbb{Z}$  by the map  $\mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  contains the image of  $h$  by  $B(p)_p^\times \times_{K_p^\times} \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ ;
- (2) for  $b \in B(p)_p^\times$  and  $h \in B(p)_p^\times \times_{K_p^\times} \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ ,  $l_C(bh) = l_C(h)$ ;
- (3)  $l_C$  is smooth.

Let  $D_t$  be as in 3.4.3. Write  $D_1$  as  $[C, 1]$  for a divisor  $C$  of  $\mathcal{S}'_{U_p}$  supported on  $\mathcal{V}$ . Then  $D_{t_2} = [t_{2p}C, t_2^p]$ . By Lemma 3.4.2 (2), a similar process of unfolding as in (3.19) and refolding as in (3.20), we have

$$(3.22) \quad j(f)_p = \frac{-1}{c_K} \sum_{\delta \in K^\times \backslash B(p)_{\mathrm{reg}}^\times / K^\times} O(\delta, f^p f_\infty) \\ \int_{K_p^\times / \mathbb{Q}_p^\times} \int_{K_p^\times} \sum_{g \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)/U_p} f_p(g) l_C(t_1^{-1} \delta t_2, g^{-1}) \Omega_p^{-1}(t_2) \Omega_p(t_1) dt_2 dt_1 \cdot \log p.$$

3.4.7. *Test functions.* Assume that  $q(\mathrm{supp} f) \subset \mathrm{Nm}(\mathbb{A}_E^{\infty, \times})$ . Then by Lemma 3.1.1, we can use Corollary 3.1.5 and Proposition 3.1.6. Let  $f'_v$  be a transfer of  $f_v$  for every  $v \in S \cup \{\infty\}$ . Here for  $v \in S$ , we only need Corollary 3.1.5 by Assumption 3, while for  $v = \infty$ , we use Proposition 3.1.6. Let  $f'^S \in \mathcal{S} \left( \mathrm{GL}_2 \left( \mathbb{A}_K^{S, \infty} \right) \right)$  be the unramified transfer of  $f^S$  given by the fundamental lemma [22, Proposition 7.3.1], see also [12, Section 4] [13, Proposition 3] (here again we need the assumption on  $q(\mathrm{supp} f)$ ). Let

$$f' = \bigotimes_{p \in S} f'_p \bigotimes f'_\infty \bigotimes f'^S.$$

3.4.8. *Matching for  $p \notin S$ .* The full arithmetic fundamental lemma proved in [22, Proposition 10.2.1] and (3.20) imply the following lemma. (Note that the  $j(f)_p = 0$  here.)

**Lemma 3.4.3.** *For a finite place  $p \notin S$  and nonsplit, we have*

$$2H(f)_p = \frac{1}{c_K} O'(0, f')_p,$$

where the right hand side is defined in Definition 3.

3.4.9. *Coherence for  $p \in S$ .*

**Lemma 3.4.4.** *For  $p \in S$  and nonsplit, there exists  $f_{(p)} \in \mathcal{S}(B(p)_p)$  such that*

$$2H(f)_p = O(f_{S-\{p\}} \otimes f_{(p)} \otimes f^S \otimes f_\infty).$$

*Proof.* Let

$$f_{(p)}(\delta) = -2c_K \sum_{g \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)/U_p} f_p(g) ((m_p + l_C)(\delta, g^{-1}))$$

which is well-defined on  $B(p)_p$  by Assumption 3. It is in  $\mathcal{S}(B(p)_p)$  by Lemma 3.4.1 and Lemma 3.4.2. Then the lemma follows from (3.20) and (3.22).  $\square$

3.4.10. *Local height at  $\infty$ .* Recall the complex uniformization of the noncuspidal part  $X(N)^\circ$  of  $X(N)$ :

$$X(N)_{\mathbb{C}}^\circ \simeq B(\infty)_{>0} \backslash \mathcal{H} \times B(\infty)(\mathbb{A}^\infty)^\times / U(N)$$

Here  $B(\infty)$  is the matrix algebra,  $B(\infty)_{>0} \subset B(\infty)$  consists of elements with positive norms (i.e. positive determinants), and  $\mathcal{H}$  is the upper half place. For  $(z_1, g_1), (z_2, g_2) \in X(N)_{\mathbb{C}}^\circ$ , let

$$(3.23) \quad G_k((z_1, g_1), (z_2, g_2)) = - \sum_{\delta \in B(\infty)_{>0}} Q_{k-1}(d(z_1, \delta z_2)) 1_U(g_1^{-1} \delta g_2),$$

where  $d$  is the hyperbolic distance. The sum is absolutely convergent by (3.14). If  $(z_1, g_1), (z_2, g_2)$  are distinct CM points, the local height pairing between the CM cycles over them defined by ‘‘admissible’’ Green currents as in 2.2.4 is  $G_k((z_1, g_1), (z_2, g_2))$  [32, Proposition 3.4.1]. The hyperbolic distance between CM points  $z_0 \in \mathcal{H}$  and  $\delta z_0$ , where  $\delta \in B(\infty)_{\infty, >0} \cap B(\infty)_{\infty, \mathrm{reg}}^\times$  is [31, 8.1.1]

$$d(z_0, \delta z_0) = 1 - 2\lambda(\delta).$$

Now we truncate  $Q_{k-1}$ . Note that  $\lambda(B(\infty)_{\infty, >0} \cap B(\infty)_{\infty, \mathrm{reg}}^\times) = (-\infty, 0)$  so that  $1 - 2\lambda$  takes values in  $(1, \infty)$ . Let  $\phi$  be a smooth function on  $[1, \infty)$  with compact support such that  $\phi([1, 2]) = \{1\}$ . Let  $Q_{k-1}^\phi = Q_{k-1}\phi$ , and

$$G_k^\phi((z_1, g_1), (z_2, g_2)) = - \sum_{\delta \in B(\infty)_{>0}} Q_{k-1}^\phi(d(z_1, z_2)) 1_U(g_1^{-1} \delta g_2).$$

For  $y \in X(N)_{\mathbb{C}}^\circ$ , let  $D_y(x) = G_k(x, y) - G_k^\phi(x, y)$ . The  $D_y$  is a smooth bounded function on  $X(N)_{\mathbb{C}}^\circ$  by (3.14).

Since  $1 - 2\lambda = \frac{1 + \mathrm{inv}}{1 - \mathrm{inv}}$  (see 3.4.1),  $\lambda(\delta) \in (-\infty, 0)$  corresponds to  $\mathrm{inv}(\delta) \in (0, 1)$ . Recall that  $f_\infty$  satisfies (3.5) and we choose  $f'_\infty$  by Proposition 3.1.6 (see 3.4.7). Proposition 3.1.6 and (3.13) provides an  $f'_\infty$  and an  $f_{(\infty)} \in \mathcal{S}(B(\infty)_\infty)$  such that

$$O'(0, x, \Phi_{f'}) = -2Q_{k-1}^\phi \left( \frac{1+x}{1-x} \right) + O(x, f_{(\infty)})$$

and  $O(x, f_{(\infty)})$  vanishes outside  $(0, 1)$

**Remark 3.4.5.** *It is not hard to prove that for every  $f'$ ,  $O'(0, x, \Phi_{f'})$  is Schwartz if  $x \in (0, 1)$  and  $x \rightarrow 1$ . However,  $Q_{k-1} \left( \frac{1+x}{1-x} \right)$  is not Schwartz as  $x \rightarrow 1$  (see (3.14)). This is the reason for the truncation above.*

By a similar process of unfolding as in (3.19) and refolding as in (3.20), we have the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.4.6.** *Let  $f$  and  $f'$  be as in 3.4.7. Then there exists  $f_{(\infty)} \in \mathcal{S}(B(\infty)_\infty)$  and a smooth bounded function  $D$  on  $X(N)_{\mathbb{C}}^\circ$  such that*

$$2H(f)_\infty = \frac{1}{c_K} O'(0, f')_\infty + O(f \otimes f_{(\infty)}) + \int_{\mathbb{Q}^\times \backslash \mathbb{A}_K^\infty \times / \mathbb{A}^\infty \times} \rho(f) \Phi(t) dt.$$

3.4.11. *Conclusion.* Now we apply the results in 3.4. By Lemma 3.2.1, Lemma 3.4.3, Lemma 3.4.4 and Lemma 3.4.6, with the notations as in these lemmas, we have

$$(3.24) \quad \begin{aligned} 2H(f) &= \frac{1}{c_K} O'(0, f') + \sum_{p \in S_n} O(f_{S-\{p\}} \otimes (f_{(p)} - f_{(p)'}) \otimes f^S \otimes f_\infty) \\ &\quad + O(0, f_S \otimes f^S \otimes f_\infty) + \int_{\mathbb{Q}^\times \backslash \mathbb{A}_K^\infty \times / \mathbb{A}^\infty \times} \rho(f) D(t) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Here  $S_n \subset S$  is the subset of places nonsplit in  $K$ .

3.5. **Proof of Theorem 2.4.1.** Since Conjecture 2.3.5 holds for  $\pi$ , both sides of (2.9) are in

$$P_{\pi^\infty} := \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{A}_K^\infty \times \times \mathbb{A}_K^\infty \times} ((\pi^\infty \otimes \Omega^\infty) \otimes (\tilde{\pi}^\infty \otimes \Omega^{-1, \infty}), \mathbb{C}).$$

We may assume that  $P_{\pi^\infty}$  is nonzero, otherwise, (2.9) becomes trivial. The theorem of Tunnell [25] and Saito [23] implies that  $\dim P_{\pi^\infty} = 1$ . Thus we only need to prove (2.9) for one element in  $\pi^\infty \otimes \tilde{\pi}^\infty$ , which is not annihilated by  $\alpha_{\pi^\infty}^\sharp$ .

For a finite place  $p$  of  $\mathbb{Q}$  and  $f_p \in \mathcal{S}(\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p))$ , let  $\alpha_{\pi_p}^\sharp(f_p)$  be defined by the formula (3.11) with  $\alpha$  replaced by  $\alpha^\sharp$ .

**Lemma 3.5.1.** *For every finite place  $p$ , there exists  $f_p$  such that  $\text{supp} f_p \subset \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)_{\text{reg}}$ ,  $q(\text{supp} f_p) \subset \text{Nm}(K_p^\times)$ , and  $\alpha_{\pi_p}^\sharp(f_p) \neq 0$ .*

*Proof.* For  $p$  nonsplit in  $K$ , by [22, 6.2], there exists a smooth function  $\omega$  on  $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  nonzero at 1, such that

$$\alpha_{\pi_p}^\sharp(f_p) = \int_{\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)} f_p(g) \omega(g) dg.$$

Let  $V$  be an open neighborhood of 1 on which  $\omega$  is constant. Let  $V^\circ \subset V \cap \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)_{\text{reg}} \cap q^{-1}(\text{Nm}(K_p^\times))$  be open compact and nonempty. Let  $f_p = 1_{V^\circ}$ .

For  $p$  split in  $K$ , it always holds that  $q(\text{supp} f_p) \subset \text{Nm}(K_p^\times)$ . Then we apply [37, Theorem A.2].  $\square$

Let  $N$  be large enough such that  $\pi^{U(N)} \neq \{0\}$ . For  $p \in S$ , let  $f_p$  be as in Lemma 3.5.1.

By Theorem 2.3.3, we can perform the spectral decomposition on (3.24) according to the  $\mathcal{H}^S$ -action. Note that  $z_{\Omega, f_S f^S}$  is the image of  $z_{\Omega, f_S \otimes 1_{U^S}}$  by  $f^{S, \vee}$  under the left action defined in Definition 1, and  $L_{\tilde{\pi}}(f^{S, \vee}) = L_\pi(f^S)$ .

By the strong multiplicity one theorem, the  $L_\pi$ -component in each term on the right hand side of (3.24) is indeed the  $\pi$ -component. Then by the theorem of Tunnell [25] and Saito [23], our assumption that  $P_{\pi^\infty} \neq \{0\}$  implies that the  $\pi$ -component of the second term on the right hand side of (3.24) is 0, and the fact that  $\text{Hom}_{K^\times}(\pi_\infty \otimes \Omega_\infty, \mathbb{C}) = \{0\}$  (see [9]) implies that the  $L_\pi$ -component of the third and fourth term on the right hand side of (3.24) is 0. (Surely, the vanishing of the fourth term also follows by considering weights.) Then the local-global period as in [22, Proof of Theorem 3.1.6], combined with Proposition 3.3.1 and (3.12), implies that

$$(3.25) \quad \langle z_{\Omega, f_S f^S, \pi}, z_{\Omega^{-1}} \rangle = \frac{1}{c_K} \frac{L'(1/2, \pi_K \otimes \Omega)}{L(1, \pi, \text{ad})} \frac{(2k-2)!}{(k-1)! \cdot (k-1)!} \prod_{p < \infty} \alpha_{\pi_p}^\sharp(f_p).$$

Here we take  $f^S$  to be a pure tensor. If  $f_p = 1_{\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)}$  for  $p \notin S$ , then  $\alpha_{\pi_p}^\sharp(f_p) = 1$ . By our choice of  $f_p, p \in S$  in Lemma 3.5.1,  $\prod_{p < \infty} \alpha_{\pi_p}^\sharp(f_p) \neq 0$ .

Since  $\text{Vol}(K^\times \backslash \mathbb{A}_K^\times / \mathbb{A}^\times) = 2L(1, \eta)$  (see [31, 1.6.3]), we have

$$c_K = (2L(1, \eta))^2 \text{Vol}(\mathbb{Q}^\times \backslash \mathbb{A}^\times / \mathbb{R}^\times) = 2L(1, \eta)^2.$$

Note that the image of  $f^\vee$  in  $\pi \otimes \tilde{\pi}$  is  $\sum_\phi \pi(f)\phi \otimes \tilde{\phi}$  where the sum is over an orthonormal basis  $\{\phi\}$  of  $\pi^\infty$ , and  $\{\tilde{\phi}\}$  is the dual basis of  $\tilde{\pi}^\infty$ . Comparing it with the definition of  $\alpha_{\pi_p}^\sharp(f_p)$ , Theorem 2.4.1 follows.

#### 4. THETA LIFTING AND MODULARITY

We first recall some basics of theta series and Eisenstein series. We form a mixed theta-Eisenstein series of  $\mathrm{GL}_{2,\mathbb{Q}}$  of weight  $2k$  [31]. Then we study its Whittaker function after holomorphic projection. Then we compare the height pairing  $H(f)$  with the value of the Whittaker function at  $g = 1$ . Then we prove the modularity of CM cycles based on the comparison. Finally, we use the global results from the weight 2 case to prove some local results used in the proof of the modularity.

##### 4.1. Theta series and Eisenstein series.

4.1.1. *Weil representation.* Let  $F$  be a local field with an absolute value  $|\cdot|$ . Let  $\psi$  be a nontrivial additive character of  $F$  and endow  $F$  with the self-dual Haar measure. Let  $(V, q)$  be a nondegenerate quadratic space over  $F$  of even dimension and endow  $V$  with the self-dual Haar measure. Let  $\nu$  be the similitude character of similitude orthogonal group  $\mathrm{GO}(V)$ . The Weil representation of  $\mathrm{GL}_2(F) \times \mathrm{GO}(V)$  on  $\mathcal{S}(V \times F^\times)$  (the space of Schwartz functions) is defined as follows [31, 2.1.3]:

- $r(1, h)\Phi(x, u) = \Phi(h^{-1}x, \nu(h)u)$  for  $h \in \mathrm{GO}(V)$ ;
- $r\left(\begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{bmatrix}, 1\right)\Phi(x, u) = \chi_{(V, uq)}(a)|a|^{\dim V/2}\Phi(ax, u)$  for  $a \in F^\times$ , where  $\chi_{(V, uq)}$  is the quadratic character associated to the quadratic space  $(V, uq)$ ;
- $r\left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, 1\right)\Phi(x, u) = \psi(buq(x))\Phi(x, u)$  for  $b \in F$ ;
- $r\left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{bmatrix}, 1\right)\Phi(x, u) = |a|^{-\dim V/4}\Phi(x, a^{-1}u)$  for  $a \in F^\times$ ;
- $r\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, 1\right)\Phi(x, u) = \gamma_{(V, uq)}(\mathcal{F}_{(V, uq)}\Phi)(x, u)$  for  $a \in F^\times$ , where  $\gamma_{(V, uq)}$  (resp.  $\mathcal{F}_{(V, uq)}$ ) is the Weil index (resp. Fourier transform on the  $x$ -variable) for the quadratic space  $(V, uq)$ .

**Remark 4.1.1.** (1) *The action of  $\mathrm{GO}(V)$  extends to all functions on  $V \times F^\times$ .*

(2) *The action of  $\mathrm{GL}_2(F) \times \mathrm{GO}(V)$  extends to all functions  $\Phi$  such that for  $u_0 \in F^\times$ ,  $\Phi(x, u_0) \in \mathcal{S}(V)$ .*

Let  $\mathrm{O}(V)$  act on  $\mathcal{S}(V)$  in the usual way:  $h \in \mathrm{O}(V)$  sends  $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(V)$  to  $\phi(h^{-1}\cdot)$ .

**Lemma 4.1.2.** *Assume that the residue characteristic of  $F$  is not 2. Assume that  $V$  has a self-dual lattice  $L$ . Let  $\mathrm{O}(L) \subset \mathrm{O}(V)$  be the stabilizer of  $L$ . Let  $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(V)$  be  $\mathrm{O}(L)$ -invariant. Then for every  $a \in F^\times$ , there exists  $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathrm{GL}_2(F))$ , right  $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_F)$ -invariant, such that*

$$(4.1) \quad \phi \otimes 1_{a\mathcal{O}_F^\times} = \int_{\mathrm{GL}_2(F)} r(g, 1)(1_L \otimes 1_{\mathcal{O}_F^\times})dg.$$

*Proof.* The lemma is a simple corollary of [6, Theorem 10.2]. □

4.1.2. *Theta series and Eisenstein series.* We follow [31, 4.1, 6.1].

Let  $V_1$  be a nondegenerate quadratic space over  $\mathbb{Q}$  of even dimension. For  $\Phi_1 = \Phi_1^\infty \otimes \Phi_{1,\infty}$ , where  $\Phi_1^\infty \in \mathcal{S}(V_1(\mathbb{A}^\infty) \times \mathbb{A}^{\infty,\times})$  and  $\Phi_{1,\infty}$  is a smooth function on  $V_1(\mathbb{R}) \times \mathbb{R}^\times$  such that  $\Phi_{1,\infty}(x, u) \in \mathcal{S}(V_1(\mathbb{R}))$  for every  $u$ , the theta series

$$\theta(g, u, \Phi_1) = \sum_{x \in V_1(\mathbb{Q})} r(g, 1)\Phi_1(x, u)$$

is absolutely convergent for every  $g \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A})$ . Note that  $\theta(g, u, \Phi_1)$  is only  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Q})$ -invariant.

For  $g \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}), h \in \mathrm{GO}(V_{\mathbb{A}})$ , define

$$(4.2) \quad \theta(g, h, \Phi_1) = \sum_{(x, u) \in V_1(\mathbb{Q}) \times \mathbb{Q}^\times} r(g, h) \Phi_1(x, u),$$

The sum (4.2) only involves finitely many  $u$  and converges absolutely. Then (4.2) defines a smooth  $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}) \times \mathrm{GO}(V)$ -invariant function on  $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}) \times \mathrm{GO}(V_{\mathbb{A}})$ .

Let  $\mathbb{V}_2$  be a nondegenerate quadratic space over  $\mathbb{A}$  of even dimension. For  $\Phi_2 = \Phi_2^\infty \otimes \Phi_{2, \infty}$  where  $\Phi_2^\infty \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{V}_{2, v}^\infty \times \mathbb{A}^{\infty, \times})$  and  $\Phi_{2, \infty}$  is a smooth function on  $\mathbb{V}_{2, \infty} \times \mathbb{R}^\times$  such that  $\Phi_{2, \infty}(x, u) \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{V}_2(\mathbb{R}))$  for every  $u$ , the Eisenstein series

$$(4.3) \quad E(s, g, u, \Phi_2) = \sum_{\gamma \in P(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q})} \delta(\gamma g)^s r(\gamma g, 1) \Phi_2(0, u)$$

is absolutely convergent for  $\mathrm{Res}$  large enough, has a meromorphic continuation to the whole complex plane, and is holomorphic at  $s = 0$ . Here  $P$  is the standard Borel subgroup and  $\delta$  the standard modular character [31, 1.6.6]. Note that  $E(s, g, u, \Phi_2)$  is only  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Q})$ -invariant.

Define a function on  $V_1(\mathbb{A}) \times \mathbb{V}_2 \times \mathbb{A}^\times$  by

$$\Phi_1 \otimes \Phi_2((x, y), u) = \Phi_1(x, u) \Phi_2(y, u).$$

Define the mixed theta-Eisenstein series [31, 2.3, 6.1]

$$(4.4) \quad I(s, g, \Phi_1 \otimes \Phi_2) = \sum_{u \in \mathbb{Q}^\times} \theta(g, u, \Phi_1) E(s, g, u, \Phi_2),$$

which is a smooth  $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q})$ -invariant function on  $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A})$ . The definition of the mixed theta-Eisenstein series extends by linearity.

**4.1.3. Local Whittaker function.** We recall the local Whittaker functions of Eisenstein series [31, p. 186], which will be used later. Its value at  $g = 1$  is given as follows. Let  $v$  be a place of  $\mathbb{Q}$ . For  $a \in \mathbb{Q}_v, u \in \mathbb{Q}_v^\times$  and  $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{V}_{2, v} \times \mathbb{Q}_v^\times)$ , define a (not necessarily convergent) Whittaker integral

$$(4.5) \quad W_a(s, u, \phi) := \int_{F_v} \delta \left( \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right)^s r \left( \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) \phi(0, u) \psi_v(-ab) db.$$

**4.1.4. Gaussian.** We need special Schwartz functions at the infinite place.

For  $a \in \mathbb{R}^\times, m$  a positive integer, the standard holomorphic  $a$ -th Whittaker function on  $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{R})$  of weight  $m$  is

$$W_a^{(m)}(g) = |y|^{m/2} e^{2\pi i a(x+yi)} e^{mi\theta} \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{R}_{>0}}(ay)$$

where  $g$  has Iwasawa decomposition

$$g = \begin{bmatrix} c & 0 \\ 0 & c \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} y & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta & \sin \theta \\ -\sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{bmatrix}.$$

For non-negative integer  $l$ , let

$$p_l(t) = \sum_{j=0}^l \binom{l}{j} \frac{(-t)^j}{j!}.$$

For  $V = \mathbb{C}$  and  $q(z) = \pm z \bar{z}$ , define the standard Gaussian of weight  $2l + 1$

$$\Psi(z, u) = p_l(4\pi u |q(z)|) e^{-2\pi u |q(z)|} \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{R}_{>0}}(u).$$

By [27, p 350, A2],  $r(g, 1)\Psi(0, u)$  is of weight  $2l + 1$ . Then a direct computation shows that

$$(4.6) \quad r(g, 1)\Psi(z, u) = |y|^{1/2} p_l(4\pi u |q(z)|) e^{2\pi i u |q(z)|(x+yi)} e^{(2l+1)i\theta} \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{R}_{>0}}(uy), z \neq 0,$$

$$(4.7) \quad r(g, 1)\Psi(0, u) = |y|^{1/2} e^{(2l+1)i\theta} \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{R}_{>0}}(uy),$$



where  $g$  has Iwasawa decomposition as above.

**4.2. Whittaker functions.** Recall that we fixed an integer  $k > 1$ .

**4.2.1. Quaternion algebra as a quadratic space.** Let  $B$  be a quaternion algebra over a field  $F$  with reduced norm  $q$ . Let  $(b_1, b_2) \in B^\times \times B^\times$  act on  $B$  by

$$x \mapsto b_1 x b_2^{-1}.$$

This gives an embedding of  $B^\times \times B^\times$ , modulo the diagonal embedding of  $F^\times$ , into the similitude orthogonal group  $\mathrm{GO}(B)$  of the quadratic space  $(B, q)$ . We use  $[b_1, b_2]$  to denote the image of  $(b_1, b_2)$  in  $\mathrm{GO}(B)$ . Then  $\mathrm{GO}(B) = B^\times \times B^\times / F^\times \rtimes \{1, \iota\}$  with  $\iota([b_1, b_2]) = [b_2, b_1]$ . And  $\mathrm{SO}(B) = \{[b_1, b_2] : q(b_1) = q(b_2)\}$ ,  $\mathrm{O}(B) = \mathrm{SO}(B) \rtimes \{1, \iota\}$ .

**4.2.2. Gaussian.** Fix an embedding  $\mathbb{A}_K \hookrightarrow \mathbb{B}$  and a decomposition  $\mathbb{B} = \mathbb{A}_K \oplus \mathbb{A}_K j$  as in 3.1.2. We require that  $j_\infty^2 = -1$ . Let

$$(4.8) \quad \Phi_\infty = \Phi_{\infty,1} \otimes \Phi_{\infty,2}$$

where  $\Phi_{\infty,1}$  is the standard Gaussian on  $K_\infty$  of weight 1 and  $\Phi_{\infty,2}$  is the standard Gaussian on  $K_\infty j$  of weight  $2k - 1$  defined in 4.1.4. For  $\Phi^\infty \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{B}^\infty \times \mathbb{A}^{\infty, \times})$ , let  $\Phi = \Phi^\infty \otimes \Phi_\infty$ .

**4.2.3. Holomorphic projection.** For an automorphic form  $\phi$  on  $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A})$ , let  $\mathrm{Pr} \phi$  be the orthogonal projection of  $\phi$  to the space of holomorphic cusp forms on  $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A})$  of weight  $2k$ . I.e. for every holomorphic cusp form  $\varphi$  on  $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A})$  of weight  $2k$ , the Petersson inner product of  $\phi$  and  $\varphi$  equals the one of  $\mathrm{Pr} \phi$  and  $\varphi$ . Fix the standard additive character  $\psi$  of  $\mathbb{A}$  (see [31, 1.6.1]). The  $\psi$ -Whittaker function of  $\mathrm{Pr} \phi$  is denoted by  $\mathrm{Pr} \phi_\psi$ .

**4.2.4. Theta series.** Before the Whittaker function of the mixed theta-Eisenstein series, we study the one for a theta series, which is simpler and will also be used.

Assume that  $\mathbb{B} = B_\mathbb{A}$  where  $B$  is a quaternion algebra over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Then we have the theta series  $\theta(g, h, \Phi)$  defined in (4.2).

**Lemma 4.2.1.** For  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^\times$ , we have

$$\theta \left( \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{bmatrix} g, h, \Phi \right) = O_{g,h}(\|a\| \|b\|)$$

where  $\|a\| = \max\{|a|, |a^{-1}|\}$ .

*Proof.* Only need to check the constant term of  $\theta(g, h, \Phi)$ , which is the finite sum

$$\sum_{u \in \mathbb{Q}^\times} r(g, h) \Phi(0, u).$$

The growth is checked directly by definition. □

Then the  $\psi$ -Whittaker function  $\mathrm{Pr} \theta(g, h, \Phi)_\psi$  of the holomorphic projection of  $\theta(g, h, \Phi)$  can be computed using [10, p. 288, (5.1)]. (The growth condition in the classical setting in loc.cit., translated into our adelic setting, is  $O_{g,h}(\|a\| \|b\|)^{k-\epsilon}$  for some  $\epsilon > 0$ , which holds since  $k > 1$ .) Combined with (4.6) and the discussion above [10, p. 291, Proposition (5.6)], we have

$$(4.9) \quad \mathrm{Pr} \theta(g, h, \Phi)_\psi = \frac{(4\pi)^{k-1} (k-1)!}{(2k-2)!} \sum_{y \in B^\times} r(g^\infty, h^\infty) \Phi^\infty(y, q(y)^{-1}) W_1^{(2k)}(g_\infty) \cdot P_{k-1}(1 - 2\lambda(y))$$

if  $h_\infty$  fixes  $\Phi_\infty$ . Here  $W_1^{(2k)}$  is the standard holomorphic Whittaker function (see 4.1.4),  $P_{k-1}(t)$  is the  $(k-1)$ -th Legendre polynomial (see 3.4.1) and  $\lambda$  is the invariant defined in 3.4.1.

4.2.5. *Local Whittaker function: nonsplit case.* Now we study the Whittaker function of our mixed theta-Eisenstein series  $I(s, g, \Phi)$  on  $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A})$  defined in (4.4). We first study (a function which will be) its local component.

Let  $p$  be a finite place of  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Let  $\mathrm{ord}_p$  be the standard discrete valuation on  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ .

Assume that  $p$  is nonsplit in  $K$ . Let  $K_p^1 \subset K_p$  be the subset of norm 1 elements, with the measure as in [31, 1.6.2]. Let  $\eta_p$  be the quadratic character of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  associated to  $K_p$  via the class field theory.

For  $a \in \mathbb{Q}_p$ ,  $u \in \mathbb{Q}_p^\times$  and  $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(K_p j_p \times \mathbb{Q}_p^\times)$ , let

$$W_a^\circ(s, u, \phi) = \gamma_{(K_p j_p, uq)}^{-1} W_a(s, u, \phi)$$

where  $\gamma_{(K_p j_p, uq)}$  is the Weil index for the quadratic space  $(K_p j_p, uq)$ , and  $W_a$  is the Whittaker integral (4.5).

**Remark 4.2.2.** *We remind the reader that in [31, 6.1.1], the normalization of the Whittaker integral for  $a = 0$  differs from the one for  $a \neq 0$ . Our normalization here is uniform for  $a = 0$  and  $a \neq 0$ . This uniformity will be useful in 4.2.8.*

By [31, Proposition 6.10 (1)], we have

$$(4.10) \quad W_a^\circ(s, u, \phi) := (1 - p^{-s}) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p^{-ns+n} \int_{D_n(a)} \phi(t, u) d_u t,$$

where  $d_u t$  is the self-dual measure on the quadratic space  $(K_p j_p, uq)$  and

$$D_n(a) := \{t \in K_p j_p : uq(t) \in a + p^n \mathbb{Z}_p\}.$$

**Lemma 4.2.3.** *If  $a \neq 0$  or  $\phi(0, u) = 0$ , then (4.10) converges absolutely and defines a holomorphic function on  $s$ .*

*Proof.* If  $a \neq 0$ , then (4.10) is a finite sum. For the case  $\phi(0) = 0$ , see Lemma 4.2.4 (2).  $\square$

**Lemma 4.2.4.** *Fix  $u \in \mathbb{Q}_p^\times$ . Let  $W(a) = \frac{d}{ds}|_{s=0} W_a^\circ(s, u, \phi)$ , as a function on  $\mathbb{Q}_p^\times$ .*

(1) *Regard  $W(a)$  as a function on  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  with singularity at 0. Then it has compact support.*

(2) *If  $\phi(0, u) = 0$ , then  $W(a)$  extends to a Schwartz function on  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ .*

(3) *Let  $u \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ . If  $\phi(0, u) = 1$ , then  $\frac{L(1, \eta_p)}{\mathrm{Vol}(K_p^1)} W(a) - \frac{\mathrm{ord}_p(a)}{2} \cdot 1_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \cdot \log p$  extends to a Schwartz function on  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ . (One may replace  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  by any open compact neighborhood of 0.)*

*Proof.* We use (4.10).

(1) For  $a$  large enough,  $\phi(\cdot, u)$  is 0 on  $D_n(a)$ .

(2) Without loss of generality, assume that  $q(\mathrm{supp}\phi(\cdot, u)) \subset p^A \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$  where  $A$  is an integer. If  $\mathrm{ord}_p(a) \geq A + 1$ , then the nonzero summands in (4.10) are  $n = 0, \dots, A$  (if  $A < 0$ , then every summands in (4.10) is 0). And for these  $n$ 's,  $D_n(a)$  does not depend on  $a$ . So  $W_a(s, 1, u)$  does not depend on  $a$ . Thus the extension follows.

(3) follows from a direct computation. For example, see [31, p. 195] and [29, p. 598]. Or one may bypass the computation as follows: by [31, p. 195] and [29, p. 598], (3) holds if  $\phi(\cdot, u) = 1_{\mathcal{O}_{K_p j_p}}$ . The general case follows by applying (2).  $\square$

For  $\phi_1 \in \mathcal{S}(K_p \times \mathbb{Q}_p^\times)$ ,  $\phi_2 \in \mathcal{S}(K_p j_p \times \mathbb{Q}_p^\times)$ , and  $(y_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{B}_p = K_p \oplus K_p j_p$ , let

$$\phi_1 \otimes \phi_2((y_1, x_2), u) := \phi_1(y_1, u) \phi_2(x_2, u).$$

Let  $B(p)_p$  be the quaternion algebra over  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  non-isomorphic to  $\mathbb{B}_p$ . Fix an embedding  $K_p \hookrightarrow B(p)_p$  and a decomposition  $B(p)_p = K_p \oplus K_p j(p)$  as in 3.1.2.

First, let  $p$  be nonsplit in  $K$ . For

$$(y_1, y_2) \in B(p)_p - K_p = K_p \oplus (K_p j(p) - \{0\}),$$

and  $u \in \mathbb{Q}_p^\times$ , define

$$(4.11) \quad k_{\phi_1 \otimes \phi_2}((y_1, y_2), u) = \frac{L(1, \eta_p)}{\text{Vol}(K_p^1)} \cdot \phi_1(y_1, u) \cdot \frac{d}{ds} \Big|_{s=0} W_{uq(y_2), p}^\circ(s, u, \phi_2).$$

For a general  $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{B}_p \times \mathbb{Q}_p^\times)$ , we define  $k_\phi$  by linear extension. Then  $k_\phi$  is a smooth function on  $(B(p) - K_p) \times \mathbb{Q}_p^\times$ .

Lemma 4.2.4 (2) implies the following lemma.

**Lemma 4.2.5.** *Assume that  $\phi$  vanishes on  $K_p \times \mathbb{Q}_p^\times$ . Then  $k_\phi$  extends to a Schwartz function on  $B(p)_p \times \mathbb{Q}_p^\times$ .*

4.2.6. *Local Whittaker function: split case.* Assume that  $p$  is split in  $K$ . For  $u \in \mathbb{Q}_p^\times$  and  $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(K_p j_p \times \mathbb{Q}_p^\times)$ , let

$$W_0^\circ(s, u, \phi) = \gamma_{(K_p j_p, uq)}^{-1} \frac{\zeta_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(s+1)}{\zeta_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(s)} W_0(s, u, \phi).$$

**Remark 4.2.6.** *Here our normalization of the Whittaker integral is the same with the one in [31, 6.1.1], and differs from the one for in the nonsplit case, see Remark 4.2.2.*

Note that  $\frac{\zeta_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(s+1)}{\zeta_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(s)}$  has a zero at  $s = 0$ , and  $W_0^\circ(s, u, \phi)$  has analytic continuation to  $s = 0$ . In fact, later we will only consider  $\phi$  such that  $\phi(0, u) = 0$ . In this case, we have an analog of Lemma 4.2.3 so that  $W_0(s, u, \phi)$  is automatically holomorphic at  $s = 0$ .

For  $y \in K_p$  and  $u \in \mathbb{Q}_p^\times$ , define

$$(4.12) \quad c_{\phi_1 \otimes \phi_2}(y, u) = \phi_1(y, u) \cdot \frac{d}{ds} \Big|_{s=0} W_{0,p}^\circ(s, u, \phi_2).$$

For a general  $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{B}_p \times \mathbb{Q}_p^\times)$ , we define  $c_\phi$  by linear extension.

We have the following analog of Lemma 4.2.5, which is deduced from an analog of Lemma 4.2.4 (2).

**Lemma 4.2.7.** *Assume that  $\phi$  vanishes on  $K_p \times \mathbb{Q}_p^\times$ . Then  $c_\phi$  extends to a Schwartz function on  $K_p \times \mathbb{Q}_p^\times$ .*

4.2.7. *Mixed theta-Eisenstein series.* Assume that for an odd number of places  $v$  of  $\mathbb{Q}$  (including  $\infty$ ),  $\mathbb{B}_v$  is the division quaternion algebra over  $\mathbb{Q}_v$ . For a place  $v$  of  $\mathbb{Q}$  nonsplit in  $K$ , let  $B(v)$  be the unique quaternion algebra over  $\mathbb{Q}$  such that  $B(v)(\mathbb{A}_F^v) \simeq \mathbb{B}^v$ , and fix an embedding  $K \hookrightarrow B(v)$  so that  $\mathbb{A}_K^v \subset B(v)(\mathbb{A}_F^v)$  is identified with the  $\mathbb{A}_K^v \subset \mathbb{B}^v$ . Let  $B(p)_p$  be the quaternion algebra over  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  non-isomorphic to  $\mathbb{B}_p$ . Fix a decomposition  $B(v) = K \oplus Kj(v)$  as in 3.1.2.

Recall the mixed theta-Eisenstein series  $I(s, g, \Phi)$  on  $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{A})$  defined in (4.4). Let  $I'(0, g, \Phi)$  be the derivative at  $s = 0$ .

**Lemma 4.2.8.** *For  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^\times$ , we have*

$$I' \left( 0, \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{bmatrix} g, \Phi \right) = O_g(\|a\|(\log \|a\|) \|b\|(\log \|b\|))$$

where  $\|a\| = \max\{|a|, |a^{-1}|\}$ .

*Proof.* The growth is computed in [31, Lemma 6.13] and [31, Proposition 6.14] in the weight 2 case, and the same computation can be done in our weight  $2k$  setting. Let  $W(g)$  be the derivative at 0 of the 0-th Whittaker function of  $\Phi_{\infty, 2}$  (normalized or not). Then we only need to show that

$$W \left( \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{bmatrix} g \right) = O_g \left( \|a\|^{1/2} (\log \|a\|) \|b\|^{1/2} (\log \|b\|) \right).$$

This fact follows from [28, Lemma 2.3 (1)]. □

We further assume the following assumption.

**Assumption 4.** *There is a finite places  $p_0$  such that  $\Phi = \Phi^{p_0} \otimes \Phi_{p_0}$  with  $\Phi_{p_0}$  vanishes on  $K_{p_0} \times \mathbb{Q}_{p_0}^\times$ .*

One effect of Assumption 4 is the following lemma.

**Lemma 4.2.9.** *Let  $\phi = \phi^\infty \otimes \phi_\infty$  where  $\phi^\infty \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{V}_2^\infty \times \mathbb{A}^{\infty, \times})$  is a pure tensor and  $\phi_\infty$  is a smooth function on  $\mathbb{V}_{2, \infty} \times \mathbb{R}^\times$  such that  $\phi_\infty(x, u) \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{V}_{2, \infty})$  for every  $u$ . Let  $E'_0(0, 1, u)$  be the value at  $g = 1$  of the constant term of  $\frac{d}{ds}|_{s=0} E(s, g, u, \phi)$  (see 4.3). Assume that  $\phi = \phi_{p_0} \otimes \phi_{p_0}$  such that  $\phi_{p_0}(0, u) = 0$ .*

(1) *If  $p_0$  is nonsplit in  $K$ , then*

$$E'_0(0, 1, u) = 2 \frac{L(1, \eta_{p_0})}{\text{Vol}(K_{p_0}^1)} \cdot \frac{d}{ds}|_{s=0} W_{0, p_0}^\circ(s, u, \phi_{p_0}) \cdot \phi_0^{p_0}(0, u).$$

(2) *If  $p_0$  is split in  $K$ , then*

$$E'_0(0, 1, u) = \frac{d}{ds}|_{s=0} W_{0, p_0}^\circ(s, u, \phi_{p_0}) \cdot \phi_0^{p_0}(0, u).$$

*Proof.* The lemma follows from the same reasoning as in the proof of [31, Proposition 6.7] (with different normalization when  $p$  is not split in  $K$ , see Remark 4.2.2).  $\square$

For a finite place  $p$  nonsplit in  $K$ , let

$$(4.13) \quad \mathcal{K}_\Phi^{(p)} = \sum_{y \in B(p)^\times} (\Phi^{p, \infty} \cdot k_{\Phi_p})(y, q(y)^{-1}) \cdot P_{k-1}(1 - 2\lambda(y)) \cdot W_1^{(2k)}(1)$$

where  $W_1^{(2k)}$ ,  $P_{k-1}$ , and  $\lambda$  are the ones in (4.9). This is a finite sum. If  $p = p_0$ ,  $k_{\Phi_p}(y, q(y)^{-1})$  is well-defined for  $y \in K^\times$  by Assumption 4 and Lemma 4.2.5. If  $p \neq p_0$ , the summand in (4.13) corresponding to  $y \in K^\times$  is understood as 0 by Assumption 4. For the infinite place, let

$$(4.14) \quad \mathcal{K}_\Phi^{(\infty)} := \sum_{y \in B(\infty)_{>0} - K^\times} \Phi^\infty(y, q(y)^{-1}) \cdot Q_{k-1}(1 - 2\lambda(y)) \cdot W_1^{(2k)}(1),$$

where  $Q_{k-1}$  is the Legendre function of the second kind (see 3.4.1).

The  $\psi$ -Whittaker function  $\text{Pr } I'(0, 1, \Phi)_\psi$  of holomorphic projection of  $I'(0, g, \Phi)$  is computed using [10, p. 288, (5.1)]. (The growth condition in the classical setting in loc.cit., translated into our adelic setting, is  $O_g((\|a\| \|b\|)^{k-\epsilon})$  for some  $\epsilon > 0$ , which holds since  $k > 1$ .)

First, assume that  $p_0$  is nonsplit in  $K$ . By (4.7), [28, Lemma 2.3 (2) (3)] and the discussion above [10, p. 294, (5.8)], we have

$$(4.15) \quad \text{Pr } I'(0, 1, \Phi)_\psi = -2 \sum_v \int_{\mathbb{A}^\times K^\times \backslash \mathbb{A}_K^\times} \mathcal{K}_{r(1, [t, t])_\Phi}^{(v)} dt$$

where the sum is over all nonsplit places of  $\mathbb{Q}$  and the volume of  $\mathbb{A}^\times K^\times \backslash \mathbb{A}_K^\times$  is chosen to be 1. Note that  $r(1, [t, t])_\Phi$  also satisfies the condition in Assumption 4.

Second, assume that  $p_0$  is split in  $K$ . Then

$$(4.16) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{Pr } I'(0, 1, \Phi)_\psi &= -2 \sum_v \int_{\mathbb{A}^\times K^\times \backslash \mathbb{A}_K^\times} \mathcal{K}_{r(1, [t, t])_\Phi}^{(v)} dt \\ &\quad - \sum_{y \in K^\times} (\Phi^{p_0, \infty} \cdot c_{\Phi_{p_0}})(y, q(y)^{-1}) \cdot W_1^{(2k)}(1). \end{aligned}$$

**Remark 4.2.10.** (1) *Formally, the above holomorphic projection procedure is the same as [31, Proposition 6.5, Proposition 6.12] [29, p. 586] which are in the weight 2 case, modulo the convergence issue in loc. cit..*

(2) *The formula [28, Lemma 2.3 (2)] used above is also implied by (4.6) and the local Siegel-Weil formula [31, Theorem 2.2].*

4.2.8. *A local analytic kernel*  $k_p(y, x)$ . Let  $p$  be a finite place of  $\mathbb{Q}$  nonsplit in  $K$ . Let  $U_p$  be an open compact subgroup of  $\mathbb{B}_p^\times$ . Let  $t \in K_p^\times$  act on  $B(p)_p^\times$  via right multiplication by  $t^{-1}$ , and act on  $\mathbb{B}_p^\times$  via left multiplication by  $t$ . Define a function  $k_p$  on  $B(p)_p^\times \times_{K_p^\times} \mathbb{B}_p^\times / U_p - \{(1, 1)\}$  by

$$k_p(y, x) = k_{1_{x^{-1}U_p \times q(x)q(U_p)}}(y, q(y^{-1})).$$

(If  $y \in K_p^\times$  and  $x \notin K_p^\times$ , we use Lemma 4.2.5.) The invariance by  $K_p^\times$  can be checked directly using (4.5) and (4.11). Note that  $k_p$  in fact depends on  $U_p$  though we do not indicate this dependence in the notation. Later, we will fix a  $U_p$ , and compare  $k_p$  with the multiplicity function  $m_p$  defined in 3.4.5, see Corollary 4.3.5 and Corollary 4.4.9.

We can recover (part of)  $k_\phi$  from  $k_p$  by the following tautological formula

$$(4.17) \quad k_\phi(y, q(y)^{-1}) = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{B}_p^\times / U_p} k_p(y, x^{-1}) \phi(x, 1/q(x)).$$

In particular, for  $a \in F^\times$ , we have

$$(4.18) \quad k_\phi(ay, a^{-1}q(y)^{-1}) = k_{r(a,1)\phi}(y, q(y)^{-1}).$$

Now we study properties of  $k_p$ . First, we consider its support.

**Lemma 4.2.11.** *If  $k_p(y, x) \neq 0$ , then  $q(y)q(x) \in q(U_p)$ .*

*Proof.* Express  $k_{\Phi_p}$  using (4.10) and (4.11), consider the  $\mathbb{Q}_p^\times$ -components of  $\mathbb{B}_p^\times \times \mathbb{Q}_p^\times$  and  $B(p)_p^\times \times \mathbb{Q}_p^\times$ . The lemma follows directly.  $\square$

Second, we consider  $k_p(y, x)$  with  $x \in K_p^\times$ . By the  $K_p^\times$ -action we only need to consider the case  $x = 1$ .

**Lemma 4.2.12.** *There is an open compact subgroup  $U'$  of  $B(p)_p^\times$  such that:*

(1)  $U' \cap K_p^\times = U \cap K_p^\times$  as a subgroup of  $K_p^\times$ ;

(2) the function  $k_p(y, 1) - \frac{\text{ord}_p(\lambda(y))}{2} \cdot 1_{U'}$  on  $B(p)_p^\times - K_p^\times$  extends to a smooth function on  $B(p)_p^\times$ . Here  $\lambda$  is the invariant defined in 3.4.1.

*Proof.* Let  $U_0 \subset U_p$  be an open compact subgroup of the form

$$(4.19) \quad U_0 = (1 + U) \oplus Uj \subset \mathbb{B}_p = K_p \oplus K_p j_p$$

where  $U$  is an open compact subgroup of  $K_p^\times$  (small enough so that  $U_0$  is indeed a group). Let  $\{t_1, \dots, t_n\}$  be a set of representatives of  $(K_p^\times U_0 \cap U_p) / U_0$ . Let

$$k_i(y) = k_{t_i 1_{U_0 \times q(U_p)}}(y, q(y^{-1}))$$

and express  $k_i(y)$  using (4.10) and (4.11). By Lemma 4.2.4 (3),  $k_i(y)$  satisfies the conditions in the lemma. Let  $k(y) = \sum_{i=1}^n k_i(y)$ . By the  $K_p^\times$ -invariance of  $\lambda$ ,  $k(y)$  also satisfies the conditions in the lemma. Note that

$$1_{U_p \times q(U_p)} - \sum_{i=1}^n 1_{t_i U_0 \times q(U_p)}$$

vanishes on  $K_p \times \mathbb{Q}_p^\times$ . By Lemma 4.2.5,  $k_p(y, 1) - k(y)$  extends to a Schwartz function on  $B(p)_p \times \mathbb{Q}_p^\times$ . Then the lemma follows.  $\square$

By Lemma 4.2.5 and Lemma 4.2.12, we have the following corollary.

**Corollary 4.2.13.** *The function  $k_p$  on  $B(p)_p^\times \times_{K_p^\times} \mathbb{B}_p^\times / U_p - \{(1, 1)\}$  is smooth.*

Finally, we consider  $k_p(y, x)$  with  $x$  far away from  $K_p^\times$ , in the sense that  $\lambda(x)$  is far away from 0.

**Lemma 4.2.14.** *Assume that  $\mathbb{B}_p$  is the matrix algebra. Let  $V$  be an open compact neighborhood of 0 in  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ . For  $U_p$  small enough and  $V$  large enough,  $k_p(y, x) = 0$  for all  $y \in B(p)_p^\times$  and  $x \in \mathbb{B}_p^\times$  with  $\lambda(x) \notin V$ .*

*Proof.* Fix a compact subset  $C$  of  $B(p)_p^\times$  such that

$$C \times \mathbb{B}_p^\times \rightarrow B(p)_p^\times \times_{K_p^\times} \mathbb{B}_p^\times$$

is surjective (here we use the fact that  $B(p)_p$  is the division algebra, which follows from the assumption that  $\mathbb{B}_p$  is the matrix algebra). Since  $\lambda$  is  $K_p^\times$ -invariant, we may and we do assume that  $y \in C$ .

By Lemma 4.2.11,  $k_p(y, x) = 0$  unless  $q(x^{-1}) \in q(C)q(U_p)$ . Now assume  $q(x^{-1}) \in q(C)q(U_p)$ . Write  $x = (x_1, x_2)$  under  $\mathbb{B}_p = K_p \oplus K_p j_p$ . Then  $\lambda(x) = q(x_2)/q(x) \notin V$  with  $V$  large enough is equivalent to that  $\text{ord}_p(q(x_2))$  is small enough. Since  $q(x)$  is bounded,  $\text{ord}_p(q(x_2)) = \text{ord}_p(q(x_1))$ . Choose  $U_p$  to be as in (4.19). For  $u_1 \in U, u_2 \in Uj$ ,

$$x(u_1 + u_2) = (x_1 u_1 + x_2 u_2) + (x_2 u_1 + x_1 u_2)$$

Choose  $U$  small enough such that  $\text{ord}_p(q(x_2 u_1 + x_1 u_2)) = \text{ord}_p(q(x_2))$  for every pair of  $u_1 \in 1+U, u_2 \in Uj$ . Thus for the integrand  $\phi_2(t, 1)$  in (4.10) to be nonzero, we necessarily have  $\text{ord}_p(q(t)) = \text{ord}_p(q(x_2))$ . Now we check the condition  $q(t) \in q(y_2) + p^n \mathbb{Z}_p$  defining the integration domain  $D_n(q(y_2))$  of (4.10), where  $n \geq 0$ . Let  $V$  be large enough such that  $\text{ord}_p(q(t)) = \text{ord}_p(q(x_2)) < 0$ . Since  $B(p)_p$  is the division algebra,  $q(y_2) \notin \text{Nm}(K_p^\times)$ . Thus  $D_n(q(y_2))$  is empty, and  $k_p(y, x) = 0$ .  $\square$

**4.3. Local comparison II.** We compare the height pairing  $H(f) = \langle Z_{\Omega, f}, z_{\Omega^{-1}} \rangle$  with  $\text{Pr } I'(0, 1, \Phi)_\psi$ , the derivative of the mixed Eisenstein series (more precisely, the value at  $g = 1$  of its  $\psi$ -Whittaker function) computed in (4.15) and (4.16). The main conclusion is (4.39).

**4.3.1. Notations.** Let  $\mathbb{B}$  be the quaternion algebra  $\mathbb{B} = H \times M_2(\mathbb{A}^\infty)$  over  $\mathbb{A}$  where  $H$  is the division quaternion algebra over  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $M_2$  denotes the matrix algebra over  $\mathbb{Z}$ . We consistently use  $\mathbb{B}^{\infty, \times}$  to replace  $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}^\infty)$  used before. Thus we do not confuse the  $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}^\infty)$  used in Section 3.4 with the  $\text{GL}_2$  used in the Weil representation in 4.1.

Let  $B(v)$  be the quaternion algebra over  $\mathbb{Q}$  as in 4.2.7, i.e. for a finite place  $p$  of  $\mathbb{Q}$ , let  $B(p)$  be the unique quaternion algebra over  $\mathbb{Q}$  such that  $B(p)_v$  is division only for  $v = p$  and  $v = \infty$ , and  $B(\infty)$  is the matrix algebra.

For a quaternion algebra  $B$  over a local field, let  $h \in B^\times$  act on  $\mathcal{S}(B \times F^\times)$  via  $r(1, [h, 1])$ , where  $r$  is the Weil representation and  $[h, 1]$  is defined in 4.2.1. Then the induced action of  $f \in \mathcal{S}(B^\times)$  on  $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(B \times F^\times)$  is given by

$$(4.20) \quad r(f)\phi(x, u) := \int_{h \in B^\times} \phi(h^{-1}x, q(h)u) f(h) dh.$$

We choose measures so that

$$\text{Vol}(K^\times \backslash \mathbb{A}_K^{\infty, \times} / \mathbb{A}^{\infty, \times}) = \text{Vol}(K^\times \backslash \mathbb{A}_K^{\infty, \times}) = 1.$$

**4.3.2. Review height decomposition.** Let  $S$  be a finite set of finite places of  $\mathbb{Q}$  which contains 2 and all finite places of  $\mathbb{Q}$  ramified in  $K$  or ramified for  $\Omega$ . Let  $S_n \subset S$  be the subset of places nonsplit in  $K$ . Let  $N$  be the product of two relatively prime integers which are  $\geq 3$  such that the prime factors of  $N$  are contained in  $S$ . Let  $U = U(N)$  be the corresponding principal congruence subgroup of  $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}^\infty)$ .

We consider right  $U$ -invariant Schwartz functions of the form  $f = f_S \otimes f^S$ . (Note that we do not require the bi- $U^S$ -invariance of  $f^S$  as in 3.4.) Assume that  $f_S = \otimes_{p \in S} f_p$  is a pure tensor for simplicity. Also assume the following assumption until 4.4 where we prove Theorem 2.3.3 and Theorem 2.3.6.

**Assumption 5.** *There exists  $p_0 \in S$  such that  $f_{p_0}$  vanishes on  $K_{p_0}^\times$ .*

Though Assumption 5 is weaker than Assumption 3 (and we relaxed the invariance on  $f^S$ ), the decompositions of  $H(f)$  in 3.4 still hold after suitable modifications, which are given below. By Assumption 5,  $Z_{\Omega, f_S}$  and  $Z_{\Omega^{-1}}$  do not intersect. So we have the decomposition of  $H(f)$  into local heights as in (3.15) and (3.16). We continue to use the notations in (3.15) and (3.16).

We treat the case that  $p$  is split in  $K$  in 4.3.8.

Now let  $p$  be a finite place of  $\mathbb{Q}$  nonsplit in  $K$ . Let  $m_p$  be the multiplicity function on  $B(p)_p^\times \times_{K_p^\times} \mathbb{B}_p^\times - \{(1, 1)\}$  defined in 3.4.5. For  $t_1, t_2 \in \mathbb{A}_K^{\infty, \times}$ , let

$$(4.21) \quad h(f, t_1, t_2)_p := \text{Vol}(U) \sum_{\delta \in B(p)^\times} P_{k-1}(1 - 2\lambda(\delta)) \sum_{x \in \mathbb{B}^{\infty, \times}/U} f(x_p) m_p(t_{1,p}^{-1} \delta t_{2,p}, x_p^{-1}) f(x^p) 1_{U^p}((t_1^p x^p)^{-1} \delta t_2^p).$$

Here  $P_{k-1}(t)$  is the  $(k-1)$ -th Legendre polynomial (see 3.4.1) and  $\lambda$  is the invariant defined in 3.4.1.

**Remark 4.3.1.** (1) Note that  $m_p(\cdot, x_p^{-1})$  only depends on  $x_p U_p$  by Lemma 3.4.1 (2). So the sum over  $x$  is well-defined.

(2) If  $p = p_0$  and  $x_p \in K_p^\times$ ,  $f(x_p) = 0$  by Assumption 5. Then  $f(x_p) m_p(t_{1,p}^{-1} \delta t_{2,p}, x_p^{-1})$  is understood as 0. If  $p \neq p_0$  and  $\delta \in K^\times$ ,  $f(x^p) 1_{U^p}((t_1^p x^p)^{-1} \delta t_2^p) = 0$  by Assumption 5. Then the summand in (4.21) corresponding to  $\delta \in K^\times$  is understood as 0.

Then (3.19) is rewritten as follows:

$$(4.22) \quad i(f)_p = - \int_{K^\times \setminus \mathbb{A}_K^{\infty, \times} / \mathbb{A}^{\infty, \times}} \int_{K^\times \setminus \mathbb{A}_K^{\infty, \times}} h(f, t_1, t_2)_p \log p \cdot \Omega^{-1}(t_2) \Omega(t_1) dt_2 dt_1.$$

4.3.3. Rewrite the local height following [31]. Consider the following basic Schwartz function on  $\mathbb{B}^\infty$ :

$$\Phi_0 = 1_{U_S \times q(U_S)} \bigotimes_{M_2(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^S) \times \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^{S, \times}} 1 \bigotimes \Phi_\infty,$$

where  $\Phi_\infty$  is the Gaussian on  $\mathbb{B}_\infty$  as in (4.8) and  $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^S$  is the direct product of  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  for  $p \notin S$ . Let

$$\Phi = r(f) \Phi_0.$$

Then  $\Phi$  is right invariant by  $U$ , and

$$(4.23) \quad \Phi^\infty(x, q(x)^{-1}) = \text{Vol}(U) f(x).$$

Moreover, if  $u_S q(x_S) \notin q(U_S)$ , then

$$(4.24) \quad \Phi_S(x_S, u_S) = 0.$$

In particular, Assumption 4 holds for  $\Phi$  by Assumption 5.

For  $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{B}_p \times \mathbb{Q}_p^\times)$  right invariant by  $U_p$ , define a function  $m_\phi$  on  $(B(p)_p^\times - K_p^\times) \times \mathbb{Q}_p^\times$  as in [31, Notation 8.3]:

$$(4.25) \quad m_\phi(y, u) = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{B}_p^\times / U_p} m_p(y, x^{-1}) \phi(x, uq(y)/q(x)).$$

The sum in (4.25) only involves finitely many nonzero terms. Moreover, if  $\phi(x) = 0$  for  $x \in K_p \times \mathbb{Q}_p^\times$ , then  $m_\phi(y, u)$  extends to  $B(p)_p^\times \times \mathbb{Q}_p^\times$  by the same formula.

By Lemma 3.4.1 (2), (4.21), (4.23) and a direct computation, we have

$$(4.26) \quad h(f, t_1, t_2)_p = \sum_{y \in B(p)^\times} P_{k-1}(1 - 2\lambda(y)) r(1, [t_1, t_2]) \Phi^{p, \infty}(y, q(y)^{-1}) m_{r(1, [t_1, t_2]) \Phi_p}(y, q(y)^{-1}),$$

4.3.4. Comparison for  $p$  nonsplit in  $K$ . We compare the local component of  $H(f)$  and  $\text{Pr } I'(0, 1, \Phi)_\psi$  for a finite place  $p$  nonsplit in  $K$ . By (4.15) and (4.22), up to the  $j$ -part which will be dealt in 4.3.7, we need to compare  $h(f, t_1, t_2)_p$  and  $\mathcal{K}_{r(1, [t_1, t_2]) \Phi}^{(p)}$  defined in (4.13). Then by (4.26), we are led to compare  $k_\phi$  and  $m_\phi \cdot \log p$  for  $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{B}_p \times \mathbb{Q}_p^\times)$ . This is the content of 4.3.5 and 4.3.6.

4.3.5. *Matching for  $p \notin S$ .* Assume that  $p \notin S$  and nonsplit in  $K$ .

**Lemma 4.3.2.** *For  $y \in B(p)_p^\times$ , we have*

$$k_{r(1, [t_1, t_2])\Phi_p}(y, q(y)^{-1}) = m_{r(1, [t_1, t_2])\Phi_p}(y, q(y)^{-1}) \cdot \log p.$$

*Proof.* By the descriptions of  $\text{GO}(\mathbb{B}_p)$  and  $\text{O}(\mathbb{B}_p)$  in 4.2.1 and a direct computation, we see that  $\Phi_p$  is a linear combination of functions in the form of the right hand side of (4.1) in Lemma 4.1.2. By Lemma 4.1.2, we may assume that  $\Phi_p = r(g, 1)\Phi_{0,p}$  for some  $g$  in the standard Borel subgroup of  $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ . Then the lemma follows from (4.18) and [31, Lemma 6.6, Proposition 8.8].  $\square$

Then by (4.13) and (4.26), we have

$$W_1^{(2k)}(1)h(f, t_1, t_2)_p \log p = \mathcal{K}_{r(1, [t_1, t_2])\Phi}^{(p)}.$$

Since  $j(f)_p = 0$ , by (4.22), we have

$$(4.27) \quad W_1^{(2k)}(1)H(f)_p = - \int_{K^\times \backslash \mathbb{A}_K^\infty \times / \mathbb{A}^\infty \times} \int_{K^\times \backslash \mathbb{A}_K^\infty \times} \mathcal{K}_{r(1, [t_1, t_2])\Phi}^{(p)} \Omega^{-1}(t_2) \Omega(t_1) dt_2 dt_1.$$

4.3.6. *Coherence for  $p \in S_n$ : difference between  $i$ -part and the analytic kernel.* Let  $p \in S_n$ .

**Lemma 4.3.3** ([22, Lemma 9.3.13]). *There is an open compact subgroup  $U'$  of  $B(p)_p^\times$  such that:*

- (1)  $U' \cap K_p^\times = U \cap K_p^\times$  as a subgroup of  $K_p^\times$ ;
- (2)  $m_p(y, 1) - \frac{\text{ord}_p(\lambda(y))}{2} \cdot 1_{U'}$  extends to a smooth function on  $B(p)_p^\times$ . Here  $\lambda$  is the invariant defined in 3.4.1.

By Lemma 3.4.1 (1), Lemma 4.2.11 (2), Lemma 4.2.12 and Lemma 4.3.3, we have the following corollary. (This corollary could be regarded as an analog of the ‘‘arithmetic smooth matching’’ [22, Proposition 10.4.1].)

**Corollary 4.3.4.** *The function  $k_p(y, 1) - m_p(y, 1) \cdot \log p$  on  $y \in B(p)_p^\times - K_p^\times$  extends to a smooth function on  $B(p)_p^\times$ .*

Combined with Corollary 4.2.13, we have the following corollary.

**Corollary 4.3.5.** *The function  $k_p(y, x) - m_p(y, x) \cdot \log p$  extends to a smooth function on  $B(p)_p^\times \times_{K_p^\times} \mathbb{B}_p^\times$ .*

Let  $d_p$  be such a smooth extension. Then  $d_p$  is supported on the union of the supports of  $k_p$  and  $m_p$ . For  $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{B}_p \times \mathbb{Q}_p^\times)$ , define a smooth function  $d_\phi$  on  $B(p)_p^\times \times \mathbb{Q}_p^\times$  by

$$(4.28) \quad d_\phi(y, u) = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{B}_p^\times / U_p} d_p(y, x^{-1}) \phi(x, uq(y)/q(x)).$$

The sum in (4.28) only involves finitely many nonzero terms. By (4.17), we have

$$d_\phi(y, q(y)^{-1}) = k_\phi(y, q(y)^{-1}) - m_\phi(y, q(y)^{-1}).$$

Moreover, by Lemma 3.4.1 (1), Lemma 4.2.11, Corollary 4.3.5, and that  $\Phi_p \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{B}_p^\times \times \mathbb{Q}_p^\times)$  (see (4.23) and (4.24)), we have

$$d_{r(1, [t_1, t_2])\Phi_p} \in \mathcal{S}(B(p)_p^\times \times \mathbb{Q}_p^\times).$$

(In particular, its extension to  $B(p)_p \times \mathbb{Q}_p^\times$  by 0 is a Schwartz function.) By [31, Lemma 6.6, Lemma 8.5], we have  $k_{r(1, [t_1, t_2])\Phi_p} = r(1, [t_1, t_2])k_{\Phi_p}$  and  $m_{r(1, [t_1, t_2])\Phi_p} = r(1, [t_1, t_2])m_{\Phi_p}$  (see Remark 4.1.1). Thus



$d_{r(1,[t_1,t_2])\Phi_p} = r(1,[t_1,t_2])d_{\Phi_p}$ . Then by (4.9), (4.13), (4.22), (4.26), we have

$$(4.29) \quad \begin{aligned} & W_1^{(2k)}(1)i(f)_p \\ &= - \int_{K \times \backslash \mathbb{A}_K^{\infty, \times} / \mathbb{A}^{\infty, \times}} \int_{K \times \backslash \mathbb{A}_K^{\infty, \times}} \mathcal{K}_{r(1,[t_1,t_2])\Phi}^{(p)} \Omega^{-1}(t_2) \Omega(t_1) dt_2 dt_1 \\ & \quad + \int_{K \times \backslash \mathbb{A}_K^{\infty, \times} / \mathbb{A}^{\infty, \times}} \int_{K \times \backslash \mathbb{A}_K^{\infty, \times}} \text{Pr } \theta(1, [t_1, t_2], d_{\Phi_p} \otimes \Phi^p)_{\psi} \Omega^{-1}(t_2) \Omega(t_1) dt_2 dt_1. \end{aligned}$$

4.3.7. *Coherence for  $p \in S_n$ :  $j(f)_p$ .* For  $j(f)_p$ , the treatment is similar, and we use the discussion in 3.4.6. Let  $l_C$  be the smooth function on  $B(p)_p^{\times} \times_{K_p^{\times}} \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  as in (3.22). Here  $C$  is a vertical divisor of the desingularized deformation space  $\mathcal{S}'_{U_p}$ .

For  $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{B}_p \times \mathbb{Q}_p^{\times})$ , define a smooth function  $l_{\phi}$  on  $B(p)_p^{\times} \times \mathbb{Q}_p^{\times}$ :

$$l_{\phi}(y, u) = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{B}_p^{\times} / U_p} l_C(y, x^{-1}) \phi(x, uq(y)/q(x)) \cdot \log p.$$

This sum only involves finitely many nonzero terms. By Lemma 3.4.2 and that  $\Phi_p \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{B}_p^{\times} \times \mathbb{Q}_p^{\times})$  (see (4.23) and (4.24)), it is easy to check that

$$(4.30) \quad l_{r(1,[t_1,t_2])\Phi_p} \in \mathcal{S}(B(p)_p^{\times} \times \mathbb{Q}_p^{\times}).$$

Then similar to (4.29), we have

$$(4.31) \quad W_1^{(2k)}(1)j(f)_p = - \int_{K \times \backslash \mathbb{A}_K^{\infty, \times} / \mathbb{A}^{\infty, \times}} \int_{K \times \backslash \mathbb{A}_K^{\infty, \times}} \text{Pr } \theta(1, [t_1, t_2], l_{\Phi_p} \otimes \Phi^p)_{\psi} \Omega^{-1}(t_2) \Omega(t_1) dt_2 dt_1.$$

**Lemma 4.3.6.** *There exists an open compact subgroup  $U'_p$  of  $B(p)_p^{\times}$  such that  $l_C$  is left  $U'_p$ -invariant. In particular,  $l_{\phi}$  is left  $U'_p$ -invariant for all  $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{B}_p \times \mathbb{Q}_p^{\times})$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $B(p)_p^{\times}$  act on the desingularized deformation space  $\mathcal{S}'_{U_p}$  by the functoriality of the minimal desingularization. Let  $U'_p$  be the stabilizer of  $C$ . Then the lemma follows from Lemma 3.4.2 (2).  $\square$

4.3.8. *Split  $p$ .* Let  $p$  be split in  $K$ . Then  $j(f)_p = 0$ . Moreover, if  $p \neq p_0$ , then  $i(f)_p = 0$ . The proof is the same as the proof in 3.4.4. Now further assume that  $p = p_0$  (the one in Assumption 5).

**Lemma 4.3.7.** *There exists  $n_{\Phi_p} \in \mathcal{S}(K_p^{\times} \times \mathbb{Q}_p^{\times})$  such that*

$$i(f)_p = \int_{K \times \backslash \mathbb{A}_K^{\infty, \times} / \mathbb{A}^{\infty, \times}} \int_{K \times \backslash \mathbb{A}_K^{\infty, \times}} \sum_{y \in K^{\times}} r(1, [t_1, t_2]) (\Phi^{p, \infty} \cdot n_{\Phi_p}(y, q(y)^{-1})) \Omega^{-1}(t_2) \Omega(t_1) dt_2 dt_1.$$

*Proof.* The proof is similar to the above discussion for nonsplit places.

First, we obtain an analog of (3.19). Let  $v_1, v_2$  be the two places of  $K$  over  $p = p_0$ . Then  $i(f)_p = \frac{1}{2}i(f)_{v_1} + \frac{1}{2}i(f)_{v_2}$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} i(f)_{v_i} &= \frac{-\text{Vol}(U)}{c_K} \int_{K \times \backslash \mathbb{A}_K^{\times} / \mathbb{A}^{\times}} \int_{K \times \backslash \mathbb{A}_K^{\times} / \mathbb{R}^{\times}} \sum_{g \in \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}^{\infty}) / U} f(g) \sum_{\delta \in E^{\times}} \\ & \quad m_{v_i}(t_{2,p}^{-1} \delta^{-1} t_{1,p} g_p) 1_{U^p} ((t_1^{p, \infty} g^p)^{-1} \delta t_2^{p, \infty}) \Omega^{-1}(t_2) \Omega(t_1) dt_2 dt_1 \cdot \log p. \end{aligned}$$

Here  $m_{v_i}$  is an intersection multiplicity function on  $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)/U_p$  in the ordinary case [34, 5.5 Ordinary case] (see also [29, p. 613]), analog to the one in 3.4.5. This equation is a combination of the ordinary intersection number on integral model of the modular curve (see [31, 8.4] or [29, Proposition 8.5]) and the following analog of (3.18): for  $\delta \in K^{\times}$ ,

$$(4.32) \quad (\delta^* Z_{t_2,0} \cdot Z_{t_2,0}) \gamma'_{t_2,0} = (-q(\delta))^{k-1}.$$

The notations in (4.32) are literally defined in the same way as in the paragraph above (3.18). (To deduce (4.32), we note that  $\delta^*[Z_{t_2,0}] = q(\delta)^{k-1}[Z_{t_2,0}]$  for the Chow cycles and the self-intersection number of  $[Z_{t_2,0}]$  is  $(-1)^{k-1}$  by our normalization in 2.2.1.)

Second, we use (4.23) to deduce the equation in the lemma, where

$$(4.33) \quad n_{\Phi_p}(y, u) = \frac{\log p}{2} \sum_{x \in N_p U_p / U_p - \{1\}} \Phi_p(yx, u) m_{v_1}(x) + \frac{\log p}{2} \sum_{x \in N_p^t U_p / U_p - \{1\}} \Phi_p(yx, u) m_{v_2}(x)$$

is a priori only a smooth function on  $K_p \times \mathbb{Q}_p^\times$ . (See [29, Proposition 8.5].) Here  $N_p \subset \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  is the upper triangular unipotent subgroup and  $N_p^t$  is the transpose.

Finally, we show that  $n_{\Phi_p}(y, u)$  is a Schwartz functions on  $K_p^\times \times \mathbb{Q}_p^\times$ . We only need to show that for a fixed  $u$ ,  $n_{\Phi_p}(\cdot, u)$  has compact support on  $K_p^\times$ . Identify  $\mathbb{B}_p$  with  $M_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ . Let  $\phi_p = \Phi_p(\cdot, u)$ . Then the projection of  $\mathrm{supp} \phi_p$  to the diagonal of  $M_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  is compact. Since  $\Phi_p \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{B}_p^\times \times \mathbb{Q}_p^\times)$  (see (4.23) and (4.24)),  $q(\mathrm{supp} \phi_p) \subset \mathbb{Q}_p^\times$  is compact. Consider the expression (4.33). Let  $y \in K_p^\times$  be the diagonal matrix  $\mathrm{diag}(a, b)$ . Then for  $x \in N_p$ , the diagonal of  $yx$  is  $\mathrm{diag}(a, b)$  and  $\det(yx) = ab$ . So the condition  $yx \in \mathrm{supp} \phi_p$  implies that  $a, b$  are contained in a compact subset of  $\mathbb{Q}_p^\times$  independent of  $x$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 4.3.8.** *We have  $2n_{\Phi_p}(y, q(y)^{-1}) = c_{\Phi_p}(y, q(y)^{-1})$  for all  $y \in K_p^\times$ , where  $c_{\Phi_p}$  is defined in (4.12).*

The lemma will be proved in 4.7.

Note that  $r(1, [t, t])\phi(y, q(y)^{-1}) = \phi(y, q(y)^{-1})$  for  $y \in K_p^\times$  and all  $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{B}_p \times \mathbb{Q}_p^\times)$ . Thus we have

$$(4.34) \quad W_1^{(2k)}(1)H(f)_p = - \int_{K^\times \setminus \mathbb{A}_K^{\infty, \times}} \sum_{y \in K^\times} r(1, [t, 1]) (\Phi^{p_0, \infty} \cdot c_{\Phi_{p_0}})(y, q(y)^{-1}) \Omega(t) dt.$$

4.3.9. *Local height at  $\infty$ .* By (3.23) and a similar (and easier, since we do not need holomorphic projection) process as in [31, 8.1] (which contains a sign mistake due to the sign mistake in the definition of the Green function in [31, 7.1.3]), we have

$$(4.35) \quad W_1^{(2k)}(1)H(f)_\infty = - \int_{K^\times \setminus \mathbb{A}_K^{\infty, \times} / \mathbb{A}^{\infty, \times}} \int_{K^\times \setminus \mathbb{A}_K^{\infty, \times}} \mathcal{K}_{r(1, [t_1, t_2])\Phi}^{(\infty)} \Omega^{-1}(t_2) \Omega(t_1) dt_2 dt_1.$$

See (4.14) for the definition of  $\mathcal{K}_\Phi^{(\infty)}$ .

4.3.10. *Conclusion.* Define the following automorphic forms of  $\mathrm{GL}_{2, \mathbb{Q}}$ :

$$(4.36) \quad I(g, \Phi) := \int_{K^\times \setminus \mathbb{A}_K^{\infty, \times}} \mathrm{Pr} I'(0, g, r(1, [t, 1])\Phi) \Omega(t) dt;$$

$$(4.37) \quad \theta_{d,p}(g, \Phi) := \int_{K^\times \setminus \mathbb{A}_K^{\infty, \times} / \mathbb{A}^{\infty, \times}} \int_{K^\times \setminus \mathbb{A}_K^{\infty, \times}} \mathrm{Pr} \theta(g, [t_1, t_2], d_{\Phi_p} \otimes \Phi^p) \Omega^{-1}(t_2) \Omega(t_1) dt_2 dt_1;$$

$$(4.38) \quad \theta_{l,p}(g, \Phi) := \int_{K^\times \setminus \mathbb{A}_K^{\infty, \times} / \mathbb{A}^{\infty, \times}} \int_{\mathbb{Q}^\times \setminus \mathbb{A}^{\infty, \times}} \mathrm{Pr} \theta(g, [t_1, t_2], l_{\Phi_p} \otimes \Phi^p) \Omega^{-1}(t_2) \Omega(t_1) dz dt_1.$$

Assume Assumption 5. Combining (4.15), (4.16), (4.27), (4.29), (4.31), (4.34) and (4.35), we have

$$(4.39) \quad 2W_1^{(2k)}(1)H(f) = I(1, \Phi)_\psi + \sum_{p \in S_n} (\theta_{d,p}(1, \Phi)_\psi - \theta_{l,p}(1, \Phi)_\psi).$$

Here each term on the right hand is the value of the corresponding  $\psi$ -Whittaker function at  $g = 1$ .

Finally, we remark that without Assumption 5, an analog of (4.39) will be deduced from a result of S. Zhang [32], see (4.44).

#### 4.4. Proof of Theorem 2.3.3.

4.4.1. *Notations.* We continue to use the notations in 4.3. Also recall that  $\mathcal{A}$  is our set of automorphic representations of  $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q})$  defined in 2.3. In view our convention on the use of the quaternion algebra  $\mathbb{B}$ , we consistently consider  $\mathcal{A}$  as a set of admissible representations of  $\mathbb{B}^\times$  via the Jacquet-Langlands correspondence to  $\mathbb{B}^\times$  (which only happens at  $\infty$  and is injective when restricted to  $\mathcal{A}$ ). For an open compact subgroup  $V \subset \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}^\infty)$ , let  $\mathcal{A}^V \subset \mathcal{A}$  be the finite subset of representations with nonzero  $V$ -invariant vectors.

We fix the standard maximal compact subgroup  $U^S$  of  $(\mathbb{B}^{S,\infty})^\times = \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}^{S,\infty})$ . Recall that  $\mathcal{H}^S$  is the Hecke algebra of bi- $U^S$ -invariant Schwartz functions on  $(\mathbb{B}^{S,\infty})^\times$ .

4.4.2. *Refine Theorem 2.3.3.* We shall prove Theorem 2.3.3 for  $\overline{CM}(\Omega^{-1}, \mathcal{H}^S)$ , which our previous set-up is more handy to deal with.

For  $f_S \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}_S)$ , consider a subspace of  $CM(\Omega^{-1}, \mathcal{H}^S)$ :

$$CM(\Omega^{-1}, f_S \mathcal{H}^S) := \{Z_{\Omega^{-1}, f_S \otimes f^S} : f^S \in \mathcal{H}^S\}.$$

Let  $\overline{CM}(\Omega^{-1}, f_S \mathcal{H}^S)$  be the image of  $CM(\Omega^{-1}, f_S \mathcal{H}^S)$  in  $\overline{CM}(\Omega^{-1})$ .

**Theorem 4.4.1.** *There is an open compact subgroup  $V_S \subset \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}_S)$  such that  $\overline{CM}(\Omega^{-1}, f_S \mathcal{H}^S)$ , as an  $\mathcal{H}^S$ -module, is a direct sum of  $L_{\pi^S}$ 's of multiplicity at most one, where  $\pi \in \mathcal{A}^{V_S U^S}$ .*

*Proof.* By (2.4),  $Z_{\Omega^{-1}, f_S \otimes f^{S,\vee}}$  is the image of  $Z_{\Omega^{-1}, f_S \otimes 1_{U^S}}$  by  $f^S$  under the left action defined in Definition 1. By the finiteness of  $\mathcal{A}^{V_S U^S}$ , it is enough to show that if  $f^S$  acts on  $\pi$  as 0 for all  $\pi \in \mathcal{A}^{V_S U^S}$ , then  $\langle Z_{\Omega, f_0}, Z_{\Omega^{-1}, f_S \otimes f^{S,\vee}} \rangle^\sharp = 0$  for all  $f_0 \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{B}^{\infty, \times})$ . By (2.6), it is enough to show that  $H(f_0 * (f_S^\vee \otimes f^S)) = 0$ . Thus the theorem follows from Proposition 4.4.2 below.  $\square$

Let  $N$  be a product of two relatively prime integers which are  $\geq 3$ . Assume that the prime factors of  $N$  are contained in  $S$ . Let  $U = U(N)$  be the corresponding principal congruence subgroup of  $\mathbb{B}^{\infty, \times} = \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}^\infty)$ . (Then the component of  $U$  outside  $S$  coincides with  $U^S$ , and we do not have ambiguity about the notation  $U^S$ .)

**Proposition 4.4.2.** *There is an open compact subgroup  $V_{S_n} \subset U_{S_n}$  such that if  $f^S \in \mathcal{H}^S$  acts as 0 on all representations in  $\mathcal{A}^{V_{S_n} U^{S_n}}$ , then for every pure tensor  $f_S = \otimes_{p \in S} f_p$  right invariant by  $U_S$ , we have  $H(f_S \otimes f^S) = 0$ .*

We will prove Proposition 4.4.2 in 4.4.6, using the comparison (4.39). Before the proof, we need some preparations on the analytic kernels (i.e. the right hand side) in (4.39).

Below we always let  $f = f_S \otimes f^S$ . Assume that  $f_S = \otimes_{p \in S} f_p$  and  $f^S \in \mathcal{H}^S$ . The right invariance of  $f_S$  will be imposed in 4.4.5.

4.4.3. *Integral representation of  $L$ -function.* We study the first term on the right hand side of the comparison (4.39), using the fact that it is a kernel function for integral representations of the twisted base change  $L$ -functions. The main results are Lemma 4.4.4-4.4.6.

Let  $\Phi_0^\infty$  be a Schwartz function on  $\mathbb{B}^\infty \times \mathbb{A}^{\infty, \times}$  invariant by  $r(1, [1, h])$  for  $h \in U$ . (Recall that  $r$  is the Weil representation and  $[1, h]$  is defined in 4.2.1.) Let

$$\Phi_0 = \bigotimes_{p \in S} \Phi_{0,p} \bigotimes \Phi_0^{S,\infty} \bigotimes \Phi_\infty,$$

where  $\Phi_\infty$  is the Gaussian as in (4.8). Let

$$(4.40) \quad \Phi = r(f) \Phi_0.$$

Here we use  $r(1, [h, 1])$  to define  $r(f)$ , see (4.20). Then  $\Phi$  is still nvariant by  $r(1, [1, h])$  for  $h \in U$ .

Let  $I(g, \Phi)$  be the automorphic form of  $\mathrm{GL}_{2,\mathbb{Q}}$  as in (4.36) (with the current  $\Phi$  as the input). Let  $\sigma$  be a cuspidal automorphic representation of  $\mathrm{GL}_{2,\mathbb{Q}}$  and  $\phi \in \sigma$ . Consider the Petersson pairing  $\langle I(g, \Phi), \phi \rangle$

of  $I(g, \Phi)$  and  $\phi$ . We assume that the central character of  $\sigma$  is  $\Omega^{-1}|_{\mathbb{A}^\times}$  and  $\sigma_\infty$  is holomorphic discrete of weight  $2k$ . Otherwise,  $\langle I(g, \Phi), \phi \rangle = 0$ . Let  $\pi$  be the Jacquet-langlands correspondence of  $\sigma$  to  $\mathbb{B}$ .

Let  $W$  be the  $\psi^{-1}$ -Whittaker function of  $\phi$ . Without loss of generality, assume that  $W$  is a pure tensor. Also assume that  $\Phi$  is a pure tensor. For a place  $v$  of  $\mathbb{Q}$ , define a local integral

$$P_v(s, \Omega_v, \Phi_v, W_v) = \int_{K_v^\times / \mathbb{Q}_v^\times} \Omega_v(t) dt \int_{N_v \backslash \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_v)} \delta(g)^s W_v(g) r((g, 1)) \Phi_v(t^{-1}, q(t)) dg.$$

Here  $N_v$  is the upper triangular unipotent subgroup and  $\delta$  the standard modular character [31, 1.6.6]. Let

$$P_v^\circ(s, \Omega_v, \Phi_v, W_v) = \frac{L((s+1)/2, \pi_{K_v} \otimes \Omega_v)}{L(s+1, \eta_v)} P_v(s, \Omega_v, \Phi_v, W_v),$$

where  $\eta$  is the Hecke character of  $\mathbb{Q}^\times$  associated to  $K$  via the class field theory. Then (up to normalizing the measures)

$$P_v^\circ(0, \Omega_v, \Phi_v, W_v) = \alpha_{\pi_v}^\#(\Theta_v(\Phi_v \otimes W_v)),$$

where  $\alpha_{\pi_v}^\#$  is defined in 2.4.1 (and  $\alpha_{\pi_\infty}^\#$  is defined similarly), and  $\Theta_v(\Phi_v \otimes W_v) \in \pi_v \boxtimes \tilde{\pi}_v$  is the normalized local Shimizu lifting [31, 2.2.2]. Let  $\Theta = \prod_v \Theta_v$  where the product is over all places of  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

By [31, 2.3], (up to normalization of the measures) we have

$$\langle I(\cdot, \Phi), \phi \rangle = \frac{d}{ds} \Big|_{s=0} \left( \prod_v P_v(s, \Omega, \Phi, W) \right).$$

where the product is over all places of  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

If  $L(1/2, \pi_K \otimes \Omega) = 0$ , then

$$(4.41) \quad \langle I(\cdot, \Phi), \phi \rangle = \frac{L'(1/2, \pi_K \otimes \Omega)}{L(1, \eta)} \alpha_\pi^\#(\Theta(\Phi \otimes W)).$$

Assume that  $L(1/2, \pi_K \otimes \Omega) \neq 0$ . Then  $\epsilon(1/2, \pi_K \otimes \Omega) = 1$ . Since  $\epsilon(1/2, \pi_{K_\infty} \otimes \Omega_\infty) \Omega_\infty(-1) = -1$  (indeed,  $\Omega_\infty$  is trivial), there exists  $p \in S$  (necessary nonsplit in  $K$ ) such that  $\epsilon(1/2, \pi_{K_p} \otimes \Omega_p) \Omega_p(-1) = -1$ . Let  $\pi'_p$  be the Jacquet-Langlands correspondence of  $\sigma_p$  to  $B(p)_p^\times$ . By the theorem of Tunnell [25] and Saito [23],  $\mathrm{Hom}_{K_p}(\pi_p \otimes \Omega_p, \mathbb{C}) = 0$  and  $\mathrm{Hom}_{K_p}(\pi'_p \otimes \Omega_p, \mathbb{C}) \neq 0$ . In particular,  $\alpha_{\pi'_p}^\#(\Theta_p(\Phi_p \otimes W_p)) = 0$  and  $\pi'_p \neq \{0\}$ . From  $\alpha_{\pi_p}^\#(\Theta_p(\Phi_p \otimes W_p)) = 0$ , we have

$$(4.42) \quad \langle I(\cdot, \Phi), \phi \rangle = \frac{L(1/2, \pi_K \otimes \Omega)}{L(1, \eta)} (P_p^\circ)'(0, \Omega_p, \Phi_p, W_p) \alpha_{\pi'_p}^\#(\Theta^p(\Phi^p \otimes W^p)).$$

We need to control  $(P_p^\circ)'(0, \Omega_p, \Phi_p, W_p)$  using  $\pi'_p$ , as follows.

**Lemma 4.4.3.** *Assume that  $p$  is nonsplit in  $K$  such that  $\epsilon(1/2, \pi_{K_p} \otimes \Omega_p) \Omega_p(-1) = -1$ . There is an open compact subgroup  $U'_p$  of  $B(p)_p^\times$  such that  $(P_p^\circ)'(0, \Omega_p, \Phi_p, W_p) = 0$  unless  $\pi'_p$  has a nonzero  $U'_p$ -invariant vector. Here  $U'_p$  is uniform for all such  $\pi_p$  and all  $f_p \in \mathcal{S}(\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p))$ . (Note that  $\Phi_p = r(f_p) \Phi_{0,p}$ , see (4.40).)*

We will prove Lemma 4.4.3 in 4.6.

**Lemma 4.4.4.** *There is an open compact subgroup  $V_{S_n} \subset U_{S_n}$  such that if  $f^S \in \mathcal{H}^S$  acts as 0 on all representations in  $\mathcal{A}^{V_{S_n} U^{S_n}}$ , then  $I(g, \Phi) = 0$ .*

*Proof.* We show that there exists  $V_{S_n}$  such that for every  $f^S$  as in the lemma and every cusp form  $\phi$  of  $\mathrm{GL}_{2, \mathbb{Q}}$ ,  $\langle I(g, \Phi), \phi \rangle = 0$ . Then the lemma follows. We may and we do assume that  $\phi \in \sigma$  as above. Let  $\pi$  and  $W$  be as above.

Since  $\Theta$  is  $\mathbb{B}^\times \times \mathbb{B}^\times$ -equivariant [31, 2.2.2] (we only use the second  $\mathbb{B}^\times$  here) and  $\Phi$  is right  $U$ -invariant,  $\Theta(\Phi \otimes W) \in \pi \boxtimes \tilde{\pi}$  is  $U$ -invariant, where  $U$  acts on  $\tilde{\pi}$ . By (4.41) and (4.42), a necessary condition for  $\langle I(\cdot, \Phi), \phi \rangle$  to be nonzero is:

- (1) if  $L(1/2, \pi_K \otimes \Omega) = 0$ , then  $\pi \in \mathcal{A}^U$ ;
- (2) if  $L(1/2, \pi_K \otimes \Omega) \neq 0$ , then there exists  $p \in S_n$  such that the Jacquet-Langlands correspondence of  $\sigma$  to  $B(p)^\times$  has a nonzero  $U'_p U^p$ -invariant vector, where  $U'_p$  is as in Lemma 4.4.3.

In case (2), there are still only finitely many such  $\sigma$ . Let  $V_p$  an open compact subgroup of  $U_p$  such that the Jacquet-Langlands correspondence of  $\sigma_p$ , for every such  $\sigma$ , to  $\mathbb{B}_p^\times$  has a nonzero  $V_p$ -invariant vector. Thus  $\pi \in \mathcal{A}^{V_{S_n} U^{S_n}}$ . Let  $f^S$  act as 0 on  $\pi$ , we only need to show that  $\langle I(\cdot, \Phi), \phi \rangle = 0$ .

Note that by the  $\mathbb{B}^\times \times \mathbb{B}^\times$ -equivariance of  $\Theta$  (we only use the first  $\mathbb{B}^\times$  here), we have

$$\Theta^{S, \infty}(\Phi^{S, \infty} \otimes W^{S, \infty}) = \pi(f^S) \Theta^{S, \infty} \left( \Phi_0^{S, \infty} \otimes W^{S, \infty} \right).$$

Then  $\langle I(\cdot, \Phi), \phi \rangle = 0$  by (4.41) and (4.42).  $\square$

**Lemma 4.4.5.** *Assume that  $\Phi_0^{S, \infty}$  is invariant by the standard maximal compact subgroups of  $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}^{S, \infty})$  and  $(\mathbb{B}^{S, \infty})^\times$ , where  $g \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}^{S, \infty})$  acts by  $r(g, 1)$  and  $h \in (\mathbb{B}^{S, \infty})^\times$  acts by  $r(1, [h, 1])$ . We have  $I(g, \Phi) = \rho_{\mathrm{GL}_2}(f^{S, \vee}) I(g, \Phi_0)$ . Here  $\rho_{\mathrm{GL}_2}(f^S)$  is the usual Hecke action of  $f^S$ , regarded as a Schwartz function on  $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}^{S, \infty})$  via  $(\mathbb{B}^{S, \infty})^\times = \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}^{S, \infty})$ .*

*Proof.* It is enough to show that for every cusp form  $\phi$  of  $\mathrm{GL}_{2, \mathbb{Q}}$ , we have

$$\langle I(g, \Phi), \phi \rangle = \langle I(g, \Phi_0), \rho_{\mathrm{GL}_2}(f^S) \phi \rangle$$

Again, we assume that  $\phi \in \sigma$  as above, and let  $\pi$  and  $W$  be as above. By (4.41) and (4.42), the lemma follows from the following equations for  $p \notin S$ :

$$\Theta_p(\Phi_p \otimes W_p) = \pi_p(f_p) \Theta_p(\Phi_{0, p} \otimes W_p) = \Theta_p(\Phi_{0, p} \otimes \sigma_p(f_p) W_p),$$

where the first equation is the  $\mathbb{B}_p^\times \times \mathbb{B}_p^\times$ -equivariance of  $\Theta_p$ , and the second equation is the unramified Shimizu lifting.  $\square$

Define a ‘‘special value’’ version of  $I(g, \Phi)$  by

$$J(g, \Phi) := \int_{K^\times \backslash \mathbb{A}_K^{\infty, \times}} \mathrm{Pr} I(0, g, r(1, [t, 1]) \Phi) \Omega(t) dt.$$

**Lemma 4.4.6.** *In Lemma 4.4.4 and Lemma 4.4.5, replacing  $I(g, \Phi)$  by  $J(g, \Phi)$ , the same results hold.*

*Proof.* The proofs are similar to the proofs of Lemma 4.4.4 and Lemma 4.4.5. It is in fact easier since we only need to use  $\langle J(\cdot, \Phi), \phi \rangle = \frac{L(1/2, \pi_K \otimes \Omega)}{L(1, \eta)} \alpha_\pi^\#(\Theta(\Phi \otimes W))$  instead of (4.41) and (4.42). In particular, we do not need Lemma 4.4.3.  $\square$

4.4.4. *More on the multiplicity function  $m_p$ .* We study the first term in the second term on the right hand side of the comparison (4.39). The main result is Corollary 4.4.9.

Let  $\lambda$  be the invariant defined in 3.4.1. We abuse notation and use  $\lambda$  to denote this invariant on both  $\mathbb{B}_p$  and  $B(p)_p$ .

**Lemma 4.4.7.** *Let  $V$  be an open compact neighborhood of 0 in  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ . For  $V$  large enough, there exists an open compact subgroup  $U'_p$  of  $B(p)_p^\times$  such that for all  $x \in \mathbb{B}_p^\times$  with  $\lambda(x) \notin V$ ,  $m_p(y, x)$  is left  $U'_p$ -invariant as a function on  $y$ .*

*Proof.* We need some notations defined in 3.4.5. Let  $\mathcal{S}_{U_p}$  be the base change to  $\mathcal{O}_{F_p^{\mathrm{ur}}}$  of the supersingular formal deformation space of level  $U_p$ . (This notation is slightly different from 3.4.5 for convenience.) Let  $\mathcal{S}'_{U_p}$  be the minimal desingularization of  $\mathcal{S}_{U_p}$ . For  $(y, x) \in B(p)_p^\times \times_{K_p^\times} \mathbb{B}_p^\times$ , let  $(y, x)$  denote its image in  $\mathcal{S}_{U_p}$  and let  $(y, x)'$  denote its image in  $\mathcal{S}'_{U_p}$ . Then  $m_p(y, x)$  is the intersection number of  $(y, x)'$  and  $(1, 1)'$  on  $\mathcal{S}'_{U_p}$ , and we let  $\mu_p(y, x)$  be the intersection number of  $(y, x)$  and  $(1, 1)$  on  $\mathcal{S}_{U_p}$ .

We have the following explicit formula of  $\mu_p(y, x)$  [19, Theorem 1.3]:

$$\mu_p(y, x) = c \int_{g \in U_p x} |(1 - \lambda(y)) - (1 - \lambda(g))|_p dg,$$

where  $c$  is a nonzero constant independent of  $y, g$ . Recall  $1 - \lambda(y) = \frac{1}{1 - \text{inv}(y)}$  (see 3.4.1) where  $\text{inv}(y) \in \epsilon \text{Nm}(K_p^\times) \cup \{0, \infty\}$  with  $\epsilon \notin \text{Nm}(K_p^\times)$  (see 3.1.2). Since  $|1 - \text{inv}(y)|_p \geq 1$ ,  $|1 - \lambda(y)|_p \leq 1$ . Thus  $\mu_p(y, x)$  does not depend on  $y$  for  $\lambda(x)$  large enough.

By the projection formula,  $m_p(y, x) - \mu_p(y, x)$  is the intersection number of  $(y, x)' \in \mathcal{S}'_{U_p}$  with the vertical part  $C$  of the preimage of  $(1, 1) \in \mathcal{S}_{U_p}$  by the morphism  $\mathcal{S}'_{U_p} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{U_p}$ . Let  $U'_p$  be the stabilizer of  $C$ . Then the lemma follows from Lemma 3.4.2 (2).  $\square$

**Remark 4.4.8.** *The condition that  $\mathbb{B}_p$  is a matrix algebra is essential in Lemma 4.4.7. If  $\mathbb{B}_p$  is a division algebra, an explicit formula of the corresponding  $\mu_p(y, x)$  is not known yet.*

**Corollary 4.4.9.** *For  $U_p$  small enough, there exists an open compact subgroup  $U'_p$  of  $B(p)_p^\times$  such that  $d_p = k_p - m_p$  is left  $U'_p$ -invariant. In particular,  $d_\phi$  (see (4.28)) is left  $U'_p$ -invariant for all  $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{B}_p \times \mathbb{Q}_p^\times)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $V$  be an open compact neighborhood of 0 in  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  such that both Lemma 4.2.14 and Lemma 4.4.7 hold. We only need to show that for  $x \in \lambda^{-1}(V) \subset \mathbb{B}_p^\times$ ,  $d_p(y, x)$  is left  $U'_p$ -invariant for some  $U'_p$ . By Lemma 3.4.1 (1), Lemma 4.2.11 and Corollary 4.3.5, we only need to show that

$$\{(y, x) \in B(p)_p^\times \times_{K_p^\times} \mathbb{B}_p^\times : \lambda(x) \in V, q(y)q(x) \in q(U_p)\}$$

is compact. Up to the  $K_p^\times$ -action, we may assume that  $y$  is in a fixed compact subset of  $B(p)_p^\times$  (here we use that  $B(p)_p$  is a division algebra), so that  $q(x)$  is in a fixed compact subset  $U_1 \subset \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ . Write  $x = a + bj_p$  as in 3.1.2. Then  $b$  is in a fixed compact subset  $U_2 \subset K^\times$  by  $\lambda(x) \in V$ . By  $q(x) \in U_1$ ,  $a$  is in a fixed compact subset  $U_3 \subset K$ . Let  $U_3 = U_{3,1} \cup U_{3,2}$  where  $U_{3,1}$  is a compact subset of  $K_p^\times$  and  $U_{3,2}$  is a small compact neighborhood of 0. If  $a \in U_{3,1}$ ,  $a + bj \in U_{3,1}(1 + U_{3,1}U_2j)$ , which is compact. If  $a \in U_{3,2}$ , then by  $q(x) \in U_1$ ,  $b$  is in a compact subset  $U_{2,1} \subset K_p^\times$ . Then  $a + bj \in U_{2,1}(U_{2,1}U_3 + j)$ , which is compact.  $\square$

4.4.5. *Proposition 4.4.2 under Assumption 5.* Still, let  $\Phi = r(f)\Phi_0$  be as in (4.40). Assume that  $f_S = \otimes_{p \in S} f_p$  is right invariant by  $U_S$ . For  $p \in S_n$ , let the automorphic forms  $\theta_{d,p}(g, \Phi)$  and  $\theta_{l,p}(g, \Phi)$  of  $\text{GL}_{2, \mathbb{Q}}$  be as in (4.37) and (4.38).

**Lemma 4.4.10.** *There is an open compact subgroup  $V_{S_n} \subset U_{S_n}$  such that if  $f^S \in \mathcal{H}^S$  acts as 0 on all representations in  $\mathcal{A}^{V_{S_n} U^{S_n}}$ , then for every  $f_S$  satisfying Assumption 5,  $\theta_{d,p}(g, \Phi) = \theta_{l,p}(g, \Phi) = 0$  for all  $p \in S_n$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $U'_p \subset B(p)^\times$  such that both Lemma 4.3.6 and Corollary 4.4.9 hold. There are only finitely many automorphic representations  $\pi$  of  $B(p)^\times$  with nonzero  $U'_p U^p$ -invariant vectors such that the central character of  $\pi$  is  $\Omega^{-1}|_{\mathbb{A}^\times}$  and the Jacquet-Langlands correspondence of  $\pi_\infty$  to  $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{R})$  is holomorphic discrete of weight  $2k$ . Choose  $V_p \subset U_p$  such that for every such  $\pi$ , the Jacquet-Langlands correspondence of  $\pi_p$  to  $\mathbb{B}_p^\times$  has nonzero  $V_p$ -invariant vectors. Let  $V_{S_n} = \prod_{p \in S_n} V_p$ .

We show that for every  $f = f_S \otimes f^S$  as in the lemma and every cusp form  $\phi$  of  $\text{GL}_{2, \mathbb{Q}}$ , the Petersson pairings  $\langle \theta_{d,p}(g, \Phi), \phi \rangle$  and  $\langle \theta_{l,p}(g, \Phi), \phi \rangle$  are 0, where  $p \in S_n$ . Let  $\phi$  be in a cuspidal automorphic representation  $\sigma$ . We assume that the central character of  $\sigma$  is  $\Omega^{-1}|_{\mathbb{A}^\times}$  and  $\sigma_\infty$  is holomorphic discrete of weight  $2k$ . (Otherwise,  $\langle \theta_{d,p}(g, \Phi), \phi \rangle = \langle \theta_{l,p}(\cdot, \Phi), \phi \rangle = 0$  already holds.) Consider  $\langle \text{Pr} \theta(\cdot, h, d_{\Phi_p} \otimes \Phi_0^p), \phi \rangle$  as a function on  $h \in (B(p)^\times \times B(p)^\times)(\mathbb{A})$ . Let us show that

$$\langle \text{Pr} \theta(\cdot, h, d_{\Phi_p} \otimes \Phi^p), \phi \rangle = 0.$$

Then  $\langle \theta_{d,p}(g, \Phi), \phi \rangle = 0$  by definition.

Note that  $\langle \text{Pr } \theta(\cdot, h, d_{\Phi_p} \otimes \Phi_0^p), \phi \rangle$  lies in the global Shimizu lifting  $\pi' \boxtimes \tilde{\pi}'$  of  $\sigma$  [31, 2.2]. Here  $\pi'$  is the Jacquet-Langlands correspondence of  $\sigma$  to  $B(p)^\times$ . By the  $(B(p)^\times \times B(p)^\times)(\mathbb{A})$ -equivariance of the formation of the theta series,  $\langle \text{Pr } \theta(\cdot, h, d_{\Phi_p} \otimes \Phi_0^p), \phi \rangle$  is invariant by  $U'_p \times U^p \subset (B(p)^\times \times B(p)^\times)(\mathbb{A})$ . Thus  $\pi'$  has a nonzero  $U'_p U^p$ -invariant vector. By the  $(B(p)^\times \times B(p)^\times)(\mathbb{A})$ -equivariance again, we have

$$(4.43) \quad \langle \text{Pr } \theta(\cdot, h, d_{\Phi_p} \otimes \Phi^p), \phi \rangle = \pi'(f^p) \langle \text{Pr } \theta(\cdot, h, d_{\Phi_p} \otimes \Phi_0^p), \phi \rangle.$$

Since  $f^S \in \mathcal{H}^S$  acts as 0 on  $\pi'$  by our choice of  $V_{S_n}$ ,  $\langle \text{Pr } \theta(\cdot, h, d_{\Phi_p} \otimes \Phi^p), \phi \rangle = 0$  by (4.43).

By the same argument, we have  $\langle \theta_{l,p}(\cdot, \Phi), \phi \rangle = 0$ . The lemma is proved.  $\square$

Combining (4.39), Lemma 4.4.4 and Lemma 4.4.10, we have the following corollary.

**Corollary 4.4.11.** *Proposition 4.4.2 holds under Assumption 5 on  $f_S$ .*

4.4.6. *Proof of Proposition 4.4.2.* For a positive integer  $N_0$  with prime factors in  $S$ , let  $U_0(N_0)$  be the open compact subgroup of  $\mathbb{B}^{\infty, \times}$  corresponding to the usual congruence subgroup  $\Gamma_0(N_0)$ .

**Theorem 4.4.12.** *Assume that  $N_0$  is the product of two relatively prime integers which are  $\geq 3$  whose prime factors are all split in  $K$ . Then Proposition 4.4.2 holds for  $f_S = 1_{U_0(N_0)_S}$ .*

*Proof.* The theorem follows from a result of S. Zhang [32, Theorem 0.2.1].

Let  $S_{2k}(N_0)$  be the space of  $U_0(N_0)$ -invariant automorphic forms of  $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q})$  holomorphic of weight  $2k$ . For a positive integer  $n$  with prime factors outside  $S$ , let  $A_n \in \mathcal{H}^S$  be as in Remark 2.1.3. Let  $\psi_n := \psi(n \cdot)$ . By [32, Theorem 0.2.1], there exists  $\Phi_{N_0, S} \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{B}_S^\times)$  such that for

$$\Phi_{N_0} = \Phi_{N_0, S} \otimes \otimes_{M_2(\mathbb{Z}^S) \times \mathbb{Z}^{S, \times}} \otimes \Phi_\infty,$$

where  $\Phi_\infty$  is the Gaussian as in (4.8), we have  $I(g, \Phi_{N_0}), J(g, \Phi_{N_0}) \in S_{2k}(N_0)$ , and

$$H(1_{U_0(N)_S} \otimes A_n) = a \cdot I(1, \Phi_{N_0})_{\psi_n} + b \cdot J(1, \Phi_{N_0})_{\psi_n}.$$

Here  $a, b$  are constants independent of  $n$  (indeed,  $a, b$  are multiples of  $L(1, \eta^\infty)$  and  $L'(1, \eta^\infty)$  respectively, see [10, p. 271]). Regarded  $A_n$  as a Schwartz function on  $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}^{S, \infty})$  via  $(\mathbb{B}^{S, \infty})^\times = \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}^{S, \infty})$ . Then

$$I(1, \Phi_{N_0})_{\psi_n} = (\rho_{\text{GL}_2}(A_n) I(1, \Phi_{N_0}))_\psi,$$

and

$$J(1, \Phi_{N_0})_{\psi_n} = (\rho_{\text{GL}_2}(A_n) J(1, \Phi_{N_0}))_\psi.$$

Indeed,  $\text{span } I(g, \Phi_{N_0})$  (resp.  $J(g, \Phi_{N_0})$ ) as a linear combination of Hecke eigenforms (for all Hecke operators  $A_n$ 's). Then the corresponding equation follows from the classical relation between Fourier coefficients and Hecke eigenvalues. On  $S_{2k}(N_0)$ ,  $\rho_{\text{GL}_2}(A_n) = \rho_{\text{GL}_2}(A_n^\vee)$ . Then by Lemma 4.4.5 and Lemma 4.4.6, we have

$$(4.44) \quad H(1_{U_0(N)_S} \otimes A_n) = a \cdot I(1, \Phi)_\psi + b \cdot J(1, \Phi)_\psi,$$

where  $\Phi = r(1_{U_0(N)_S} \otimes A_n) \Phi_0$ , see (4.40). Since the center Then the theorem is implied by Lemma 4.4.4 and Lemma 4.4.6.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.4.13.** *Functions satisfying Assumption 5 and left translations of  $1_{U_0(N_0)_S}$  by  $\mathbb{A}_{K, S}^\times$  span  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{B}_S^\times)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $W \subset \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{B}_S^\times)$  be the span. Let  $p \in S$ . Then  $\{f_p \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{B}_p^\times - K_p^\times)\}$  and left translations of  $1_{U_0(N_0)_p}$  by  $K_p^\times$  span  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{B}_p^\times)$ . Thus  $W$  contains  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{B}_p^\times) \otimes \{1_{U_0(N_0)_{S-\{p\}}}\}$  and its left translations by  $\mathbb{A}_{K, S}^\times$ . Thus the lemma is reduced to the same statement for  $S - \{p\}$ . So the lemma is proved by induction.  $\square$

Note that the truth of  $H(f_S \otimes f^S) = 0$  and the right invariance of  $f_S$  do not change if we left translate  $f_S$  by  $\mathbb{A}_{K, S}^\times$ . Thus Proposition 4.4.2 follows from Corollary 4.4.11 and Theorem 4.4.12. So Theorem 4.4.1 and Theorem 2.3.3 are proved.

**4.5. Proof of Theorem 2.3.6 and Theorem 2.3.8.** We shall prove Theorem 2.3.6 and Theorem 2.3.8 for  $\overline{CM}(\tilde{\pi})$ , which our previous set-up is more handy to deal with.

Let  $T$  be a finite set of finite places of  $\mathbb{Q}$  which contains all places of  $\mathbb{Q}$  ramified in  $K$  or ramified for  $\Omega$ . (We changed the previous notation  $S$  to  $T$  for later convenience.) For  $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}_T)) \otimes \mathcal{H}^T$ , let  $z_{\Omega^{-1}, f}$  be the image of  $Z_{\Omega^{-1}, f}$  in  $\overline{CM}(\Omega^{-1})$ . For  $\pi \in \mathcal{A}^{U^T}$ , let  $z_{\Omega^{-1}, f, \tilde{\pi}}$  be the  $L_{\pi^T}$ -component of  $z_{\Omega^{-1}, f}$  via Theorem 2.3.3. By (2.4) and Definition 1, Theorem 2.3.6 and Theorem 2.3.8 are equivalent to the following theorem.

**Theorem 4.5.1.** (1) Assume that  $L(1/2, \pi_K \otimes \Omega) = 0$ . If  $f_T \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}_T)$  acts as 0 on  $\pi_T$ , then  $z_{\Omega^{-1}, f_T^\vee \otimes f^T, \tilde{\pi}} = 0$  for all  $f^T \in \mathcal{H}^T$ .

(2) Assume that  $\epsilon(1/2, \pi_{K_p} \otimes \Omega_p)\Omega_p(-1) = -1$  for more than one  $p < \infty$ . Then  $z_{\Omega^{-1}, f_T^\vee \otimes f^T, \tilde{\pi}} = 0$  for all  $f_T \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}_T)$  and  $f^T \in \mathcal{H}^T$ .

The proof of Theorem 4.5.1 is a continuation of the proof of Theorem 4.4.1.

*Proof of Theorem 4.5.1.* By definition,  $z_{\Omega^{-1}, f_T^\vee \otimes f^T, \tilde{\pi}}$  is given as follows. Choose a finite subset  $\mathcal{A}_1$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  containing  $\pi$  such that of  $z_{\Omega^{-1}, f_T^\vee \otimes f^T}$  lies in the sum of the  $L_{\tilde{\pi}_1^T}$ -components of  $\overline{CM}(\Omega^{-1}, \mathcal{H}^T)$  over all  $\pi_1 \in \mathcal{A}_1$ . Choose  $f_1^T \in \mathcal{H}^T$  which acts as identity on  $\pi_1$  if  $\pi_1 = \pi$ , and as 0 otherwise. (This is possible by the strong multiplicity one theorem [21].) Then  $z_{\Omega^{-1}, f_T^\vee \otimes f^T, \tilde{\pi}} = z_{\Omega^{-1}, (f_T^\vee \otimes f^T) * f_1^{T, \vee}}$ .

We choose  $\mathcal{A}_1$  and  $f_1^T$  as follows. Let  $p_0 \notin T$  be a finite place of  $\mathbb{Q}$  inert in  $K$  such that  $f_{p_0} = 1_{\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_{p_0})}$ . Let  $S = T \cup \{p_0\}$  and let  $\mathcal{A}_1$  be  $\mathcal{A}^{V_{S_n} U^{S_n}}$  in Proposition 4.5.2 below. Choose  $f_1^S \in \mathcal{H}^S$  which acts as identity on  $\pi_1 \in \mathcal{A}_1$  if  $\pi_1 = \pi$ , and as 0 otherwise. Let  $f_{1, p_0} \in \mathcal{H}_{p_0}$  (the unramified Hecke algebra at  $p_0$ ) vanish on  $K_{p_0}^\times$  and act as identity on  $\pi$ . (The existence of such  $f_{1, p_0}$  is easy to prove.) Let  $f_1^T = f_{1, p_0} \otimes f_1^S$ . By (2.6), the theorem follows from Proposition 4.5.2 below.  $\square$

**Proposition 4.5.2.** Let  $f_S \in \mathcal{S}(\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}_S))$  be right  $U_S$ -invariant such that Assumption 5 holds. Let  $V_{S_n} \subset U_{S_n}$  be as in the proof of Lemma 4.4.4 and Lemma 4.4.10. Assume that  $f^S \in \mathcal{H}^S$  acts as 0 on all representations in  $\mathcal{A}^{V_{S_n} U^{S_n}}$  other than  $\pi$ . Then  $H(f_S \otimes f^S) = 0$  under (1) or (2):

- (1)  $L(1/2, \pi_K \otimes \Omega) = 0$  and  $f_S$  acts as 0 on  $\pi$ ;
- (2)  $\epsilon(1/2, \pi_{K_v} \otimes \Omega_v)\Omega_v(-1) = -1$  for more than one  $v < \infty$ .

We use the proofs of Lemma 4.4.4 and Lemma 4.4.10. The new ingredient is to apply the Waldspurger formula under the condition  $L(1/2, \pi_K \otimes \Omega) = 0$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\Phi = r(f_S \otimes f^S)\Phi_0$  be as in (4.40). By (4.39), we only need to show that for every cusp form  $\phi$  of  $\mathrm{GL}_{2, \mathbb{Q}}$ , the Petersson pairings  $\langle I(g, \Phi), \phi \rangle$ ,  $\langle \theta_{d, p}(g, \Phi), \phi \rangle$  and  $\langle \theta_{l, p}(g, \Phi), \phi \rangle$  for  $p \in S_n$  are 0. As in the proof of Lemma 4.4.4 and Lemma 4.4.10, let  $\sigma$  be a cuspidal automorphic representation of  $\mathrm{GL}_{2, \mathbb{Q}}$  with central character  $\Omega^{-1}|_{\mathbb{A}^\times}$  and  $\sigma_\infty$  holomorphic discrete of weight  $2k$ . And we may assume that  $\phi \in \sigma$ .

First, we claim that  $\langle \theta_{d, p}(g, \Phi), \phi \rangle$  and  $\langle \theta_{l, p}(g, \Phi), \phi \rangle$  are 0 for all  $p \in S_n$ . Let  $\pi'$  be the Jacquet-Langlands correspondence of  $\sigma$  to  $B(p)^\times$ . By the reasoning in the paragraph of (4.43) in the proof of Lemma 4.4.10 and our assumption on  $f^S \in \mathcal{H}^S$ ,  $\langle \mathrm{Pr} \theta(\cdot, h, d_{\Phi_p} \otimes \Phi^p), \phi \rangle$  is 0 unless  $\pi'^{S, \infty} = \pi^{S, \infty}$  as representations of  $B(p)^\times(\mathbb{A}^{S, \infty}) \simeq (\mathbb{B}^{S, \infty})^\times$ . Assume this is case. Then  $\pi$  is the Jacquet-Langlands correspondence of  $\sigma$  to  $\mathbb{B}^\times$ . In particular,  $L(1/2, \pi'_K \otimes \Omega) = L(1/2, \pi_K \otimes \Omega)$ , and  $\pi'^p = \pi^p$  as representations of  $B(p)^\times(\mathbb{A}^p) \simeq (\mathbb{B}^p)^\times$ . If  $L(1/2, \pi_K \otimes \Omega) = 0$ , by the Waldspurger formula [31, 1.4.2] (or more directly, the fourth equation on [31, p 44]), the claim follows. Now assume that  $\epsilon(1/2, \pi_{K_v} \otimes \Omega_v)\Omega_v(-1) = -1$  for more than one  $v < \infty$ . (Such  $v$  is necessary in  $S_n$ .) In particular, there is  $v \in S_n - \{p\}$  such that  $\epsilon(1/2, \pi'_{K_v} \otimes \Omega_v)\Omega_v(-1) = -1$ . By the theorem of Tunnell [25] and Saito [23],  $\mathrm{Hom}_{K_v}(\pi'_v \otimes \Omega_v, \mathbb{C}) = 0$ . Recall that as a function on  $h \in (B(p)^\times \times B(p)^\times)(\mathbb{A})$ ,  $\langle \mathrm{Pr} \theta(\cdot, h, d_{\Phi_p} \otimes \Phi_0^p), \phi \rangle$  lies in the global Shimizu lifting  $\pi' \boxtimes \tilde{\pi}'$  of  $\sigma$  [31, 2.2]. By the definition (4.37) of  $\theta_{d, p}(g, \Phi)$ ,  $\langle \theta_{d, p}(g, \Phi), \phi \rangle = 0$ . Similarly,



$\langle \theta_{l,p}(g, \Phi), \phi \rangle = 0$ . Thus the claim follows. (Since local Shimizu lift is involved in the fourth equation on [31, p 44], we can use it to replace the global Shimizu lifting. However, this is overkill.)

Now, we prove that  $\langle I(g, \Phi), \phi \rangle = 0$ . By the reasoning in the proof of Lemma 4.4.4 and the assumption on  $f^S$ , the Petersson pairing  $\langle I(g, \Phi), \phi \rangle$  is 0 unless the Jacquet-langlands correspondence of  $\sigma$  to  $\mathbb{B}$  is  $\pi$ . Assume (1) so that we are in the case of (4.41). Then  $I(g, \Phi) = 0$  by the assumption on  $f_S$ . Assume (2). There is  $v \in S_n - \{p\}$  such that  $\epsilon(1/2, \pi_{K_v} \otimes \Omega_v) \Omega_v(-1) = -1$ . Then both (4.41) and (4.42) are 0 by the theorem of Tunnell [25] and Saito [23].  $\square$

**Remark 4.5.3.** *In condition (1), the part for  $f_S$  is only used in proving that  $\langle I(g, \Phi), \phi \rangle = 0$ .*

**4.6. Aid from the weight 2 case I.** We prove Lemma 4.4.3 in this subsection. Though it is purely local and purely analytic, we prove it via a global method and use the work of Yuan, S. Zhang and W. Zhang [31] essentially. We expect that there should be a local proof of Lemma 4.4.3.

First, we recall [31, (1.5.6)] (see also [31, 7.4.3], the second equation on page 227). Let  $\mathbb{B}$  be as in 4.3.1. Let  $\Phi^{(2)} = \Phi_p^{(2)} \otimes \Phi_\infty^{(2)} \otimes \Phi^{(2),p,\infty} \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{B}^\times)$  such that

- (1)  $\Phi_p^{(2)} = \Phi_p$  where  $\Phi_p$  is the one in Lemma 4.4.3;
- (2)  $\Phi_\infty^{(2)}$  is the standard Gaussian used in [31, 4.1.1] (same with our definition (4.8) if we let  $k = 1$  in (4.8));
- (3)  $\Phi^{(2),p,\infty}$  satisfies the degeneracy conditions in [31, 5.2] such that  $\alpha_{\sigma^{(2),p}}^\sharp(\Theta^p(\Phi^{(2),p} \otimes W^{(2),p})) \neq 0$ .

The existence of such  $W_p^{(2)}$  and  $\Phi^{(2),p,\infty}$  is established in [31, Proposition 5.8]. Note that at  $p$ , we do not require the degeneracy condition [31, Assumption 5.3]. However, the analog of (4.29) in this setting still holds by the same proof. Then [31, (1.5.6)] still holds, which is an equation between automorphic forms of  $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q})$  of weight 2:

$$(4.45) \quad cZ(g, \Omega, \Phi^{(2)}) = I(g, \Phi^{(2)}) + \sum_{v \in S_n} (\theta_{d,v}(g, \Phi^{(2)}) - \theta_{l,v}(g, \Phi^{(2)})).$$

Here  $c$  is a nonzero constant,  $Z(g, \Omega, \Phi^{(2)})$  is the height pairing between a CM divisor and its translation by the generating series of Hecke operators (which is an automorphic form!), and the terms on the right hand side are defined as in 4.3.10, with holomorphic projection of weight 2.

**Remark 4.6.1.** (1) For  $\theta_{d,v}(g, \Phi^{(2)})_\psi$  and  $\theta_{l,v}(g, \Phi^{(2)})_\psi$ , the holomorphic projection in 4.3.10 is redundant.

(2) To be precise, in the definition of  $\theta_{l,v}(g, \Phi^{(2)})_\psi$ , the  $l_{\Phi_v}$  is the one in [31, YZZ]. It is formed in the same way as the one in (4.30), with a different vertical divisor of the desingularized deformation space  $S'_{U_v}$ . So it has the same properties with the one in (4.30). (Note that we do not need to know the vertical divisor explicitly.)

Let the notations be as in Lemma 4.4.3. Then

- $B(p)$  is the quaternion algebra over  $\mathbb{Q}$  only division at  $v = p$  and  $v = \infty$ ;
- $\pi'_p$  is an irreducible admissible representation of  $B(p)_p^\times$  such that  $\mathrm{Hom}_{K_p}(\pi'_p \otimes \Omega_p, \mathbb{C}) \neq 0$ ;
- $\pi_p$  is the Jacquet-Langlands correspondence of  $\pi'_p$  to  $\mathbb{B}_p^\times$  with  $\mathrm{Hom}_{K_p}(\pi_p \otimes \Omega_p, \mathbb{C}) = 0$ ;
- $W_p$  is in the  $\psi_p^{-1}$ -Whittaker model of the Jacquet-Langlands correspondence of  $\pi'_p$  to  $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ .

By Lemma 3.2.2, there exists an automorphic representation  $\pi^{(2)}$  of  $B(p)^\times$  such that

- $\pi_p^{(2)} = \pi'_p$ , and  $\pi_\infty^{(2)}$  is the trivial representation;
- $\mathrm{Hom}_{K_v}(\pi_v^{(2)} \otimes \Omega_v, \mathbb{C}) \neq 0$  for every place  $v$  of  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

Let  $\sigma^{(2)}$  be the Jacquet-Langlands correspondence of  $\pi^{(2)}$  to  $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q})$ . Then  $W$  is in the  $\psi_p^{-1}$ -Whittaker model of  $\sigma_p^{(2)}$ . Let  $\phi^{(2)} \in \sigma^{(2)}$ , and  $\Phi^{(2)} \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{B}^\times)$  such that the  $\psi^{-1}$ -Whittaker function  $W^{(2)}$  of  $\phi^{(2)}$

satisfies  $W^{(2)} = W_p^{(2)} \otimes W^{(2),p}$  with  $W_p^{(2)} = W_p$ . Consider the Petersson inner product of each term of (4.45) with  $\phi^{(2)}$ .

- Let  $v \in S_n$ . Recall that as a function on  $h \in (B(v)^\times \times B(v)^\times)(\mathbb{A})$ ,  $\langle \text{Pr } \theta(\cdot, h, d_{\Phi_v^{(2)}} \otimes \Phi_0^{(2),v}), \phi^{(2)} \rangle$  lies in the global Shimizu lifting  $\pi(v) \boxtimes \tilde{\pi}(v)$  of  $\sigma^{(2)}$  [31, 2.2]. Here  $\pi(v)$  is the Jacquet-Langlands correspondence of  $\sigma^{(2)}$  to  $B(v)^\times$ . If  $v \neq p$ , then  $\pi(v)_p = \pi_p$  as representations of  $B(v)_p^\times \simeq \mathbb{B}_p^\times$ . Since  $\text{Hom}_{K_p}(\pi_p \otimes \Omega_p, \mathbb{C}) = 0$ , by the definition (4.37) of  $\theta_{d,v}(g, \Phi^{(2)})$ ,  $\langle \theta_{d,v}(g, \Phi^{(2)}), \phi^{(2)} \rangle = 0$ . Similarly,  $\langle \theta_{l,v}(g, \Phi^{(2)}), \phi^{(2)} \rangle = 0$ .
- By [31, Theorem 3.22] and  $\text{Hom}_{K_p}(\pi_p \otimes \Omega_p, \mathbb{C}) = 0$ ,  $\langle Z(g, \Omega, \Phi^{(2)}), \phi^{(2)} \rangle = 0$ .

Thus (4.45) implies

$$\langle I(\cdot, \Phi^{(2)}), \phi^{(2)} \rangle + \langle \theta_{d,p}(\cdot, \Phi^{(2)}), \phi^{(2)} \rangle - \langle \theta_{l,p}(\cdot, \Phi^{(2)}), \phi^{(2)} \rangle = 0.$$

Then by (4.42) and the condition (3) on  $\Phi$ , Lemma 4.4.3 is implied by the following lemma

**Lemma 4.6.2.** *There is an open compact subgroup  $U'_p$  of  $B(p)_p^\times$  such that the Petersson pairings  $\langle \theta(\cdot, h, d_{\Phi_p^{(2)}} \otimes \Phi^{(2),p}), \phi^{(2)} \rangle$  and  $\langle \theta(\cdot, h, l_{\Phi_p^{(2)}} \otimes \Phi^{(2),p}), \phi^{(2)} \rangle$  are 0 for all  $h \in (B(p)^\times \times B(p)^\times)(\mathbb{A})$  unless  $\pi_p^{(2)}$  has a nonzero  $U'_p$ -invariant vector.*

*Proof.* The proof is similar to (part of) the proof of Lemma 4.4.10. As functions on  $h \in (B(p)^\times \times B(p)^\times)(\mathbb{A})$ ,  $\langle \theta(\cdot, h, d_{\Phi_p} \otimes \Phi^{(2),p}), \phi^{(2)} \rangle$  and  $\langle \theta(\cdot, h, l_{\Phi_p} \otimes \Phi^{(2),p}), \phi^{(2)} \rangle$  lie in the global Shimizu lifting [31, 2.2] of  $\sigma^{(2)}$ , which is  $\pi^{(2)} \boxtimes \tilde{\pi}^{(2)}$  [31, 2.2]. Let  $U'_p$  be as in Lemma 4.3.6 and Lemma 4.4.7. By the  $(B(p)^\times \times B(p)^\times)(\mathbb{A})$ -equivariance of the formation of the theta series,  $\langle \theta(\cdot, h, d_{\Phi_p} \otimes \Phi^{(2),p}), \phi^{(2)} \rangle$  and  $\langle \theta(\cdot, h, l_{\Phi_p} \otimes \Phi^{(2),p}), \phi^{(2)} \rangle$  are invariant by  $U'_p$ , where  $U'_p$  acts on the  $\pi^{(2)}$ -component of  $\pi^{(2)} \boxtimes \tilde{\pi}^{(2)}$ . Then the lemma follows.  $\square$

**4.7. Aid from the weight 2 case II.** We prove Lemma 4.3.8 in this subsection. Though it is purely local and purely analytic, we prove it via a global method and use the work of Yuan and S. Zhang [29] essentially. We expect that there should be a local proof of Lemma 4.3.8.

We use the the comparison on [29, p 625-627], between the holomorphic projection  $\text{Pr } I'(0, g, \phi)$  and the height pairing  $Z(g, (1, 1), \phi)$  between a CM point and its translation by the generating series of Hecke operators (unlike in (4.45), where we use a CM divisor), for certain test function  $\phi$ . The conclusion from this comparison is (4.46), from which we prove Lemma 4.3.8.

First, we choose the test function. Let the notations be as in Lemma 4.3.8. In particular,  $p$  is split in  $K$  and  $\Phi_p$  vanishes on  $K_p \times \mathbb{Q}_p^\times$ . And  $\mathbb{B}$  is as in 4.3.1. We choose  $\phi^p \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{B}^p \times \mathbb{A}^{p,\times})$  as follows. Let  $p_1, p_2, p_3$  be finite places of  $\mathbb{Q}$  split in  $K$ . Choose  $\phi^p = \phi_\infty \otimes \phi_{p_1} \otimes \phi_{p_2} \otimes \phi_{p_3} \otimes \phi^{p,p_1,p_2,p_3,\infty}$  such that

- $\phi_\infty$  is the standard Gaussian in  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{B}_\infty \times \mathbb{R}^\times)$  used in [29, 6.1] (same as [31, 4.1.1], it is also our definition (4.8) if we let  $k = 1$  in (4.8));
- $\phi_{p_1} \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{B}_{p_1} \times \mathbb{Q}_p^\times)$  is arbitrary;
- for  $i = 2, 3$ ,  $\phi_{p_i} \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{B}_{p_i} \times \mathbb{Q}_{p_i}^\times)$  such that  $r(g)\phi_{p_i}(0, u) = 0$  for all  $g \in \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_{p_i})$  and  $u \in \mathbb{Q}_{p_i}^\times$  (this is [31, Assumption 5.4], equivalently [31, Assumption 7.1]);
- for  $v \neq p, p_1, p_2, p_3, \infty$ , let  $\phi_v = r(1, [t_v, 1])1_{M_2(\mathbb{Z}_v) \times \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_v^\times}$  for some  $t_v \in K_v^\times$ .

Let  $\phi = \phi^p \otimes \Phi_p$ . Let  $U$  be an open compact subgroup  $\mathbb{B}^{\infty,\times}$  such that  $\phi$  is right  $U$ -invariant. Moreover, for  $v \neq p, p_1, p_2, p_3, \infty$ , assume that  $U_v$  is standard maximal compact subgroup of  $\mathbb{B}_v^\times = \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_v)$ .

Now we perform the comparison on [29, p 625-627].

- First, [29, Theorem 7.2] still holds. Moreover, in the expression of  $\text{Pr } I'(0, g, \phi)_U$  on [29, p 625], the third and fifth term vanish since  $\Phi_p$  vanishes on  $K_p \times \mathbb{Q}_p^\times$ . And the fourth term contains only the contribution from the place  $p$  by the same reason.
- Second, [29, Theorem 8.6] still holds. Moreover, in the expression of  $Z(g, (1, 1), \phi)_U$  on [29, p 625], the third (i.e. the  $j$ -part) and fourth term vanish. Indeed, the third term only comes from

the split places  $p, p_1, p_2, p_3$  and each contribution vanishes by the condition on  $\phi_{p_2}, \phi_{p_3}$ , see [31, 8.5.1]. The fourth term vanishes since  $\Phi_p$  vanishes on  $K_p \times \mathbb{Q}_p^\times$ . And the second term contains only the contribution from the place  $p$  by the same reason.

- Third, the results (1)-(3) on [29, p 626] hold in our setting and we do not need (4) by the vanishing of the  $j$ -part. Now explain the validity of (1)-(3). (1) is the same in [29, p 626] and our setting. (2) is the same modulo the facts  $k_{r(1, [t_v, 1])\phi_v} = r(1, [t_v, 1])k_{\phi_v}$  and  $m_{r(1, [t_v, 1])\phi_v} = r(1, [t_v, 1])m_{\phi_v}$  [31, Lemma 6.6, Lemma 8.5]. (3) only involves the place  $p$  and is given by Lemma 4.2.7 and Lemma 4.3.7.

Finally, since the difference  $\text{Pr } I'(0, g, \phi)_U - Z(g, (1, 1), \phi)_U$  automorphic (we are in the weight 2 situation), by the same pseudo-theta series argument (i.e. [29, Lemma 6.1 (2)]), we have the analog of the identity above [29, Proposition 9.2]:

$$(4.46) \quad 0 = \sum_{y \in K, u \in \mathbb{Q}^\times} r_K(g) (\phi^p \cdot (2n_{\Phi_p} - c_{\Phi_p})) (y, u)$$

where  $r_K$  is the Weil representation of  $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{A})$  on the quadratic space  $\mathbb{A}_K$ .

**Remark 4.7.1.** *Our identity is simpler than the one above [29, Proposition 9.2], due to the vanishing terms in the first and second point in the last paragraph. In [29, Proposition 9.2], the vanishing terms are explicitly computed for very good test functions.*

Taking the  $\psi$ -Whittaker function, the value at  $g = 1$  gives

$$(4.47) \quad 0 = \sum_{y \in K^\times} (\phi^p \cdot (2n_{\Phi_p} - c_{\Phi_p})) (y, q(y)^{-1}).$$

**Lemma 4.7.2.** *For every  $y_0 \in K^\times$ , there exists  $\phi^p$  as above such that the only nonzero summand in (4.47) is the one corresponding to  $y_0$ .*

*Proof.* Note that (4.47) is a finite sum. For  $v \neq p, p_1, p_2, p_3, \infty$ , let  $t_v = y_0$ . For  $i = 2, 3$ , by [31, Prop 5.15], there exists  $\phi_{p_i}$  nonzero at  $(1, 1)$ . Since the assumption on  $\phi_{p_i}$  is invariant under the action of  $\mathbb{B}_{p_i}^\times$ , we can choose  $\phi_{p_i}$  to be nonzero at  $(y_0, q(y_0)^{-1})$ . Note that  $\phi_\infty(y, u) \neq 0$  for all  $y, u$ . Choose  $\phi_{p_1}$  whose support is in a small enough neighborhood of  $y_0$  so that the only possible nonzero term in (4.47) is the one given by  $y_0$ . Then the lemma follows.  $\square$

Thus  $(2n_{\Phi_p} - c_{\Phi_p})(y, q(y)^{-1}) = 0$  for  $y \in K^\times$ . By continuity, Lemma 4.3.8 follows.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Beilinson, Alexander A. Height pairing between algebraic cycles. K-theory, Arithmetic and Geometry. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1987. 1-26.
- [2] Beuzart-Plessis, Raphaël. Plancherel formula for  $\text{GL}_n(F) \backslash \text{GL}_n(E)$  and applications to the Ichino-Ikeda and formal degree conjectures for unitary groups. arXiv preprint arXiv:1812.00047 (2018).
- [3] Bloch, Spencer. Height pairings for algebraic cycles. Proceedings of the Luminy conference on algebraic K-theory (Luminy, 1983). J. Pure Appl. Algebra 34 (1984), no. 2-3, 119-145.
- [4] Drinfel'd, Vladimir G. Elliptic modules. Mathematics of the USSR-Sbornik 23.4 (1974): 561.
- [5] Feigon, Brooke, and David Whitehouse. Averages of central  $L$ -values of Hilbert modular forms with an application to subconvexity. Duke Mathematical Journal 149.2 (2009): 347-410.
- [6] Howe, R.  $\theta$ -series and invariant theory. Automorphic forms, representations and L-functions (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Oregon State Univ., Corvallis, Ore., 1977), Part. Vol. 1. 1979.
- [7] Gelbart, Stephen S. Automorphic forms on adèle groups. No. 83. Princeton University Press, 1975.
- [8] Gillet, Henri, and Christophe Soulé. Arithmetic intersection theory. Publications Mathématiques de l'IHÉS 72 (1990): 93-174.
- [9] Gross, Benedict H. Local orders, root numbers, and modular curves. American Journal of Mathematics 110.6 (1988): 1153-1182.

- [10] Gross, Benedict H., and Don B. Zagier. Heegner points and derivatives of  $L$ -sequence. *Inventiones mathematicae* 84.2 (1986): 225-320.
- [11] Hakim, Jeffrey, and Fiona Murnaghan. Globalization of distinguished supercuspidal representations of  $GL(n)$ . *Canadian Mathematical Bulletin* 45.2 (2002): 220-230.
- [12] Jacquet, Hervé. Sur un résultat de Waldspurger. II. *Compositio Mathematica* 63.3 (1987): 315-389.
- [13] Jacquet, Hervé, and Chen Nan. Positivity of quadratic base change  $L$ -functions. *Bulletin de la Société mathématique de France* 129.1 (2001): 33-90.
- [14] Katz, Nicholas M.  $p$ -adic properties of modular schemes and modular forms. *Modular functions of one variable III*. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1973. 69-190.
- [15] Kudla, Stephen S. Modular forms and arithmetic geometry. *Current Developments in Mathematics, 2002*. International Press of Boston, 2003. 135-179.
- [16] Katz, Nicholas M., and Barry Mazur. *Arithmetic moduli of elliptic curves*. No. 108. Princeton University Press, 1985.
- [17] Li, Chao, and Yifeng Liu. Chow groups and  $L$ -derivatives of automorphic motives for unitary groups, I. arXiv preprint arXiv:2006.06139 (2020).
- [18] Li, Chao, and Yifeng Liu. Chow groups and  $L$ -derivatives of automorphic motives for unitary groups, II. arXiv preprint arXiv:2101.09485 (2021).
- [19] Li, Qirui. An intersection number formula for CM cycles in Lubin-Tate towers. arXiv preprint arXiv:1803.07553 (2018).
- [20] Liu, Yifeng. Arithmetic theta lifting and  $L$ -derivatives for unitary groups, II. *Algebra & Number Theory* 5.7 (2012): 849-921.
- [21] Piatetski-Shapiro, Ilya I. Multiplicity one theorems. *Automorphic forms, representations and L-functions (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Oregon State Univ., Corvallis, Ore., 1977)*, Part. Vol. 1. 1979.
- [22] Qiu, Congling. The Gross-Zagier-Zhang formula over function fields. arXiv preprint arXiv:1903.02092v4 (2019).
- [23] Saito, Hiroshi. On Tunnell's formula for characters of  $GL(2)$ . *Compositio Mathematica* 85.1 (1993): 99-108.
- [24] Soulé, Christophe, et al. *Lectures on Arakelov geometry*. No. 33. Cambridge university press, 1994.
- [25] Tunnell, Jerrold B. Local  $\epsilon$ -factors and characters of  $GL(2)$ . *American Journal of Mathematics* 105.6 (1983): 1277-1307.
- [26] Wen, Boya. Heights of CM-cycles over Shimura curves and derivatives of  $L$ -series, in preparation.
- [27] Xue, Hui. Central values of  $L$ -functions over CM fields. *Journal of Number Theory* 122.2 (2007): 342-378.
- [28] Yang, Tonghai. Taylor expansion of an Eisenstein series. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society* 355.7 (2003): 2663-2674.
- [29] Yuan, Xinyi, and Shou-Wu Zhang. On the averaged Colmez conjecture. *Annals of Mathematics* 187.2 (2018): 533-638.
- [30] Yuan, Xinyi, Shou-Wu Zhang, and Wei Zhang. The Gross-Kohnen-Zagier theorem over totally real fields. *Compositio Mathematica* 145.5 (2009): 1147-1162.
- [31] Yuan, Xinyi, Shouwu Zhang, and Wei Zhang. *The Gross-Zagier formula on Shimura curves*. No. 184. Princeton University Press, 2013.
- [32] Zhang, Shouwu. Heights of Heegner cycles and derivatives of  $L$ -series. *Inventiones mathematicae* 130.1 (1997): 99-152.
- [33] Zhang, Shou-Wu. Heights of Heegner points on Shimura curves. *Annals of mathematics* 153.1 (2001): 27-147.
- [34] Zhang, Shou-Wu. Gross-Zagier Formula for  $GL_2$ . *Asian Journal of Mathematics* 5 (2001): 183-290.
- [35] Zhang, Shouwu. Linear forms, algebraic cycles, and derivatives of  $L$ -series. *Science China Mathematics* 62.11 (2019): 2401-2408.
- [36] Zhang, Wei. On arithmetic fundamental lemmas. *Inventiones mathematicae* 188.1 (2012): 197-252.
- [37] Zhang, Wei. Fourier transform and the global Gan-Gross-Prasad conjecture for unitary groups. *Annals of Mathematics* 180.3(2014):971-1049.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, YALE UNIVERSITY, NEW HAVEN CT 06511, UNITED STATES

*Email address:* congling.qiu@yale.edu