

HOLOMORPHIC FUNCTIONAL CALCULUS AND VECTOR-VALUED LITTLEWOOD-PALEY-STEIN THEORY FOR SEMIGROUPS

QUANHUA XU

Dedicated to the memory of Elias M. Stein

ABSTRACT. We study vector-valued Littlewood-Paley-Stein theory for semigroups of regular contractions $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$ on $L_p(\Omega)$ for a fixed $1 < p < \infty$. We prove that if a Banach space X is of martingale cotype q , then there is a constant C such that

$$\left\| \left(\int_0^\infty \left\| t \frac{\partial}{\partial t} P_t(f) \right\|_X^q \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \right\|_{L_p(\Omega)} \leq C \|f\|_{L_p(\Omega; X)}, \quad \forall f \in L_p(\Omega; X),$$

where $\{P_t\}_{t>0}$ is the Poisson semigroup subordinated to $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$. Let $L_{c,q,p}^P(X)$ be the least constant C , and let $M_{c,q}(X)$ be the martingale cotype q constant of X . We show

$$L_{c,q,p}^P(X) \lesssim \max(p^{\frac{1}{q}}, p') M_{c,q}(X).$$

Moreover, the order $\max(p^{\frac{1}{q}}, p')$ is optimal as $p \rightarrow 1$ and $p \rightarrow \infty$. If X is of martingale type q , the reverse inequality holds. If additionally $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$ is analytic on $L_p(\Omega; X)$, the semigroup $\{P_t\}_{t>0}$ in these results can be replaced by $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$ itself.

Our new approach is built on holomorphic functional calculus. Compared with all the previous approaches, ours is more powerful in several aspects: a) it permits us to go much further beyond the setting of symmetric submarkovian semigroups; b) it yields the optimal orders of growth on p for most of the relevant constants; c) it gives new insights into the scalar case for which our orders of the best constants in the classical Littlewood-Paley-Stein inequalities for symmetric submarkovian semigroups are better than the previous by Stein.

In particular, we resolve a problem of Naor and Young on the optimal order of the best constant in the above inequality when X is of martingale cotype q and $\{P_t\}_{t>0}$ is the classical Poisson and heat semigroups on \mathbb{R}^d .

1. INTRODUCTION

This article pursues our investigation on the vector-valued Littlewood-Paley-Stein theory that was initiated in [64] and further carried out in [41, 65, 66]. Our research in this domain has been profoundly influenced by Stein's monograph [55] and developed in two parallel directions. On the one hand, it deals with the Banach space valued case as in the just quoted articles as well as in the present one; and on the other hand, it extends Littlewood-Paley-Stein theory to the noncommutative setting (see [30, 38] for maximal function inequalities and [29] for square function inequalities).

Note that Betancor and coauthors studied this theory for some special semigroups (cf. [7, 8, 9, 10]); see also [1, 5, 6, 21, 25, 49, 56] for related results. Recently, the theory has found applications to Lipschitz embedding of metric spaces into Banach spaces, and to approximation of Lipschitz maps by linear maps, see, for instance, the papers by Hytönen and Naor [27], Lafforgue and Naor [34], Naor and Young [46].

First, we recall the famous Littlewood-Paley-Stein inequality that is the starting point of all our research in the domain. Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ be a σ -finite measure space and $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$ a symmetric diffusion semigroup on $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ in Stein's sense [55, section III.1]. Namely, $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$ satisfies the following conditions

- T_t is a contraction on $L_p(\Omega)$ for every $1 \leq p \leq \infty$,
- $T_t T_s = T_{t+s}$,

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary: 46B20, 42B25. Secondary: 47D03, 47D06.

Key words: Littlewood-Paley-Stein inequalities, analytic semigroups of regular contractions, martingale type and cotype, Luzin type and cotype, holomorphic functional calculus, ℓ_q -boundedness.

- $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} T_t(f) = f$ in $L_2(\Omega)$ for every $f \in L_2(\Omega)$,
- T_t is positive (i.e. positivity preserving),
- T_t is selfadjoint on $L_2(\Omega)$,
- $T_t(1) = 1$.

The last condition is the markovianity; the next to last is the symmetry. Thus such a semigroup is also called a *symmetric markovian semigroup*. A semigroup satisfying all the above conditions except markovianity is usually called a *symmetric submarkovian semigroup* (the submarkovianity means $T_t(1) \leq 1$).

It is a classical fact that the orthogonal projection F from $L_2(\Omega)$ onto the fixed point subspace of $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$ extends to a contractive projection on $L_p(\Omega)$ for every $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. Then F is also positive and $F(L_p(\Omega))$ is the fixed point subspace of $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$ on $L_p(\Omega)$.

Stein's celebrated extension of the classical Littlewood-Paley inequality asserts that for every symmetric diffusion semigroup $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$ and every $1 < p < \infty$

$$(1.1) \quad \|f - F(f)\|_{L_p(\Omega)} \approx_p \left\| \left(\int_0^\infty \left| t \frac{\partial}{\partial t} T_t(f) \right|^2 \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L_p(\Omega)}, \quad f \in L_p(\Omega).$$

The classical inequality corresponds to the case where $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$ is the Poisson semigroup on the torus \mathbb{T} or the Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^d . Stein's inequality above is the core of [55] in which Stein developed a beautiful general theory. Later, Cowling [12] presented an elegant alternative approach to Stein's theory for symmetric submarkovian semigroups; Cowling's goal is to show that the negative generator of $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$ has a bounded holomorphic functional calculus, then to deduce the maximal inequality on $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$ which is another fundamental result of Stein.

In the present article we are concerned with the vector-valued case. Given a Banach space X let $L_p(\Omega; X)$ denote the L_p -space of strongly measurable functions from Ω to X . It is a well known elementary fact that if T is a positive bounded operator on $L_p(\Omega)$ with $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, then $T \otimes \text{Id}_X$ is bounded on $L_p(\Omega; X)$ with the same norm. For notational convenience, throughout this article, we will denote $T \otimes \text{Id}_X$ by T too. Thus $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$ is also a semigroup of contractions on $L_p(\Omega; X)$ for any Banach space X with $F(L_p(\Omega; X))$ as its fixed point subspace.

The vector-valued Littlewood-Paley-Stein theory consists in investigating (1.1) for $f \in L_p(\Omega; X)$ (with the absolute value on the right hand side replaced by the norm of X). It is not hard to show that the equivalence (1.1) continues to hold in the X -valued setting for the Poisson semigroup on \mathbb{T} iff X is isomorphic to a Hilbert space (cf. [20, 64]). However, if one requires only the validity of one of the two one-sided inequalities, the corresponding family of Banach spaces is much larger: the upper estimate corresponds to 2-uniformly smooth spaces while the lower one to 2-uniformly convex spaces (up to a renorming).

These geometrical properties of Banach spaces can be characterized by martingale inequalities. Recall that a Banach space X is of *martingale cotype* q (with $2 \leq q < \infty$) if there exists a positive constant c such that every finite X -valued L_q -martingale (f_n) satisfies the following inequality

$$\sum_n \mathbb{E} \|f_n - f_{n-1}\|_X^q \leq c^q \sup_n \mathbb{E} \|f_n\|_X^q,$$

where \mathbb{E} denotes the underlying expectation. X is of *martingale type* q (with $1 < q \leq 2$) if the reverse inequality holds (with c^{-1} in place of c). The corresponding best constant will be denoted by $M_{c,q}(X)$ for the martingale cotype q and by $M_{t,q}(X)$ for the martingale type q . Pisier's famous renorming theorem asserts that X is of martingale type (resp. cotype) q iff X admits an equivalent norm that is q -uniformly smooth (resp. convex). We refer the reader to [51, 53, 54] for more information.

Note that in the study of one-sided inequalities in the vector-valued case, the index 2 on the right hand side of (1.1) plays no special role and can be replaced by $1 < q < \infty$, $q \leq 2$ for the upper estimate and $q \geq 2$ for the lower. Now we can summarize the main results of [64, 41, 66] as follows.

Theorem A. *Let X be a Banach space and $1 < q < \infty$.*

- (i) X is of martingale cotype q iff for every symmetric diffusion semigroup $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$ and for every $1 < p < \infty$ (equivalently, for some $1 < p < \infty$) there exists a constant c such that

$$\left\| \left(\int_0^\infty \left\| t \frac{\partial}{\partial t} T_t(f) \right\|_X^q \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \right\|_{L_p(\Omega)} \leq c \|f\|_{L_p(\Omega; X)}, \quad f \in L_p(\Omega; X).$$

- (ii) X is of martingale type q iff for every symmetric diffusion semigroup $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$ and for every $1 < p < \infty$ (equivalently, for some $1 < p < \infty$) there exists a constant c such that

$$\|f - F(f)\|_{L_p(\Omega; X)} \leq c \left\| \left(\int_0^\infty \left\| t \frac{\partial}{\partial t} T_t(f) \right\|_X^q \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \right\|_{L_p(\Omega)}, \quad f \in L_p(\Omega; X).$$

Note that $F(f)$ does not contribute to the norm on the left hand side of the inequality in (i) above since $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} T_t(F(f)) = 0$ for any $t > 0$; so if this inequality holds, it automatically holds with f replaced by $f - F(f)$ on the right hand side. In the sequel, when cotype inequalities will be considered, we will often use simply f instead $f - F(f)$ as in (i). However, for the type inequalities as in (ii), we must use $f - F(f)$ on the left hand side.

Both ‘‘if’’ parts in the above theorem are proved in [64]; for that purpose we need only the case where $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$ is the usual Poisson semigroup on \mathbb{T} (or \mathbb{R}^d as in [41]). This is the easy direction thanks to the classical link between Poisson integral and Brownian motion. The other direction is harder. It is first proved in [64] for the Poisson semigroup on the unit circle, then in [41] for the Poisson semigroup subordinated to any symmetric diffusion semigroup $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$. Left as an open problem in [41], the statement for $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$ itself as above was finally settled in [66]. Note that like in [55], the key tool in [41, 66] is Rota’s martingale dilation of a symmetric diffusion semigroup that allows us to adapt the scalar Littlewood-Paley-Stein theory developed in [55].

The use of Rota’s dilation prevented us from weakening the assumption on a symmetric diffusion semigroup. Cowling’s approach in [12] does not use Rota’s dilation but it requires the semigroup in consideration to be symmetric and submarkovian. It has been an open problem of establishing the results of [41] or [66] in Cowling’s setting. In fact, since a long time it has been a desire to extend all previous results to more general semigroups. This was done in some special cases (Hermite, Laguerre and Bessel semigroups) by Betancor and coauthors (cf. [7, 8, 9, 10]).

The objective of the present article is to resolve the above problems. We will develop a vector-valued Littlewood-Paley-Stein theory for semigroups of regular operators on $L_p(\Omega)$ for a *single* $1 < p < \infty$, thereby going considerably beyond Stein-Cowling’s setting.

Recall that an operator T on $L_p(\Omega)$ ($1 \leq p \leq \infty$) is *regular* if there exists a constant c such that

$$\left\| \sup_k |T(f_k)| \right\|_p \leq c \left\| \sup_k |f_k| \right\|_p$$

for all finite sequences $\{f_k\}_{k \geq 1}$ in $L_p(\Omega)$. The least constant c is called the *regular norm* of T . Obviously, any positive operator is regular with regular norm equal to its operator norm. It is well known that, conversely, if T is regular, then there exists a positive operator S on $L_p(\Omega)$ such that $|T(f)| \leq S(|f|)$ for any $f \in L_p(\Omega)$ with $\|S\|$ equal to the regular norm of T ; such a positive S is unique and called the absolute value of T and denoted by $|T|$ (see [43, Chapter 1]). For presentation simplicity, in this article we will only consider *contractively regular* operators, i.e., those with regular norms less than or equal to 1, and will simply call these operators as regular operators with a light abuse of terminology.

It is well known (and easy to check) that if T is a contraction on $L_p(\Omega)$ for every $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, then T is regular on $L_p(\Omega)$. Like positive operators, a regular operator T extends to a contraction on $L_p(\Omega; X)$ for any Banach space X . This extension will be denoted by T too.

Now let $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$ be a strongly continuous semigroup of regular operators on $L_p(\Omega)$ with $1 < p < \infty$. Extended to $L_p(\Omega; X)$, $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$ remains to be a strongly continuous semigroup of contractions on $L_p(\Omega; X)$. Let again F be the projection from $L_p(\Omega)$ onto the fixed point subspace of $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$. Then F is also regular, so extends to a contractive projection on $L_p(\Omega; X)$. Note that $F(L_p(\Omega; X))$ coincides with the fixed point subspace of $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$ on $L_p(\Omega; X)$.

Let $\{P_t\}_{t>0}$ be the Poisson semigroup subordinated to $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$:

$$(1.2) \quad P_t(f) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-s}}{\sqrt{s}} T_{\frac{t^2}{4s}}(f) ds.$$

Recall that if A denotes the negative infinitesimal generator of $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$ (i.e., $T_t = e^{-tA}$), then $P_t = e^{-t\sqrt{A}}$. Instead of the square root, one can, of course, consider other subordinated semigroups e^{-tA^α} for $0 < \alpha < 1$; but we will not deal with the latter here.

To proceed further, we need to introduce some notions. Define

$$\mathcal{G}_q^T(f) = \left(\int_0^\infty \left\| t \frac{\partial}{\partial t} T_t(f) \right\|_X^q \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}$$

for f in the definition domain of A in $L_p(\Omega; X)$. X is said to be of *Luzin cotype q relative to $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$* if there exists a constant c such that

$$\left\| \mathcal{G}_q^T(f) \right\|_{L_p(\Omega)} \leq c \left\| f - \mathbb{F}(f) \right\|_{L_p(\Omega; X)}$$

for all f as above. The smallest c is denoted by $\mathbb{L}_{c,q,p}^T(X)$. Similarly, we define the *Luzin type q of X* by reversing the above inequality and changing c to c^{-1} , the corresponding type q constant is denoted by $\mathbb{L}_{t,q,p}^T(X)$. See section 4 below for more information.

Remark 1.1. The subordination formula (1.2) immediately implies the pointwise inequality $\mathcal{G}_q^P(f) \leq C \mathcal{G}_q^T(f)$ for any f , where C is an absolute positive constant. It then follows that

$$\mathbb{L}_{c,q,p}^T(X) \geq C \mathbb{L}_{c,q,p}^P(X) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{L}_{t,q,p}^T(X) \leq C \mathbb{L}_{t,q,p}^P(X).$$

In [64], the Luzin type and cotype relative to the Poisson semigroup on the unit circle are shown to be equivalent to the martingale type and cotype, respectively. Theorem A above extends this to symmetric diffusion semigroups.

In the sequel, we will use the following convention: $A \lesssim B$ (resp. $A \lesssim_\varepsilon B$) means that $A \leq CB$ (resp. $A \leq C_\varepsilon B$) for some absolute positive constant C (resp. a positive constant C_ε depending only on ε). $A \approx B$ or $A \approx_\varepsilon B$ means that these inequalities as well as their inverses hold. The index p will be assumed to satisfy $1 < p < \infty$ and p' will denote its conjugate index.

Below is our first principal result.

Theorem 1.2. *Let X be a Banach space and $1 < p, q < \infty$. Let $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$ be a strongly continuous semigroup of regular operators on $L_p(\Omega)$ and $\{P_t\}_{t>0}$ its subordinated Poisson semigroup.*

(i) *If X is of martingale cotype q , then X is of Luzin cotype q relative to $\{P_t\}_{t>0}$ and*

$$\mathbb{L}_{c,q,p}^P(X) \lesssim \max(p^{\frac{1}{q}}, p') \mathbb{M}_{c,q}(X).$$

(ii) *If X is of martingale type q , then X is of Luzin type q relative to $\{P_t\}_{t>0}$ and*

$$\mathbb{L}_{t,q,p}^P(X) \lesssim \max(p, p'^{\frac{1}{q'}}) \mathbb{M}_{t,q}(X).$$

The two above inequalities can be reformulated in another (clearer) way, for instance, the first one reads as

$$\mathbb{L}_{c,q,p}^P(X) \lesssim \begin{cases} p^{\frac{1}{q}} \mathbb{M}_{c,q}(X) & \text{if } p \geq q, \\ p' \mathbb{M}_{c,q}(X) & \text{if } p < q. \end{cases}$$

Remark 1.3. All the growth orders, except the one on $\mathbb{L}_{t,q,p}^P(X)$ as $p \rightarrow 1$, are optimal since they are already so in the scalar case $X = \mathbb{C}$. More precisely,

- (i) $\mathbb{L}_{c,q,p}^P(\mathbb{C}) \gtrsim \max(p^{\frac{1}{q}}, p')$ for all $1 < p < \infty$ when $\{P_t\}_{t>0}$ is the classical Poisson semigroup on \mathbb{R} (see Proposition 8.5 below);
- (ii) $\mathbb{L}_{t,q,p}^P(\mathbb{C}) \gtrsim p$ as $p \rightarrow \infty$ when $\{P_t\}_{t>0}$ is the Poisson semigroup subordinated to a symmetric diffusion semigroup $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$, as shown by Zhendong Xu and Hao Zhang [68]; in fact, they proved the stronger inequality $\mathbb{L}_{t,q,p}^T(\mathbb{C}) \gtrsim p$ as $p \rightarrow \infty$ for a symmetric diffusion semigroup $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$.

Part (i) of the above theorem cannot hold for the semigroup $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$ itself without additional assumption (see Remark 4.4 below). It turns out that the missing condition is the analyticity of $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$ on $L_p(\Omega; X)$. Recall that $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$ is analytic on $L_p(\Omega; X)$ if $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$ extends to a bounded analytic function from an open sector $\Sigma_{\beta_0} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |\arg(z)| < \beta_0\}$ to $B(L_p(\Omega; X))$ for some $0 < \beta_0 \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$, where $B(Y)$ denotes the space of bounded linear operators on a Banach space Y . In this case,

$$(1.3) \quad \mathsf{T}_{\beta_0} = \sup \left\{ \|T_z\|_{B(L_p(\Omega; X))} : z \in \Sigma_{\beta_0} \right\} < \infty.$$

Theorem 1.4. *Let X and p, q be as above.*

(i) *If X is of martingale type q , then X is of Luzin type q relative to $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$ and*

$$\mathsf{L}_{t,q,p}^T(X) \lesssim \max(p, (p')^{\frac{1}{q'}}) \mathsf{M}_{t,q}(X).$$

(ii) *Assume additionally that $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$ satisfies (1.3). Let $\beta_q = \beta_0 \min(\frac{p}{q}, \frac{p'}{q'})$. If X is of martingale cotype q , then X is of Luzin cotype q relative to $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$ and*

$$\mathsf{L}_{c,q,p}^T(X) \lesssim \beta_q^{-3} \mathsf{T}_{\beta_0}^{\min(\frac{p}{q}, \frac{p'}{q'})} \max(p^{\frac{2}{q}}, (p')^{1+\frac{1}{q'}}) \mathsf{M}_{c,q}(X).$$

The two previous theorems considerably improve Theorem A. Firstly, the semigroup $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$ now acts on $L_p(\Omega)$ for a single p . Secondly, the markovianity or submarkovianity is not assumed (in fact, $T_t(1)$ is even not defined if the measure on Ω is infinite). Thirdly, the symmetry is not needed either since the semigroup does not act on $L_2(\Omega)$ if $p \neq 2$.

Another improvement concerns the precise estimates on the best constants, the present estimates are much better than all previously known ones, even in the scalar case (see section 8 below for historical comments). Moreover, except one case, they give the optimal orders of growth as $p \rightarrow 1$ and as $p \rightarrow \infty$, as already pointed out in Remark 1.3. This is perhaps a major novelty of our method.

The aforementioned optimality allows us to answer a question raised by Naor and Young about the optimal orders of $\mathsf{L}_{c,q,p}^P(X)$ and $\mathsf{L}_{c,q,p}^T(X)$ when $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$ is the heat semigroup on \mathbb{R}^d (see the appendix of [47]). In fact, we will show a much stronger result. Let $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be an integrable function satisfying

$$(1.4) \quad \begin{cases} |\varphi(x)| \leq \frac{1}{(1+|x|)^{d+\varepsilon}}, & x \in \mathbb{R}^d \\ |\varphi(x) - \varphi(y)| \leq \frac{|x-y|^\delta}{(1+|x|)^{d+\varepsilon+\delta}} + \frac{|x-y|^\delta}{(1+|y|)^{d+\varepsilon+\delta}}, & x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(x) dx = 0 \end{cases}$$

for some positive constants ε and δ .

We will also need φ to be *nondegenerate* in the sense that there exists another function ψ satisfying (1.4) such that

$$(1.5) \quad \int_0^\infty \widehat{\varphi}(t\xi) \widehat{\psi}(t\xi) \frac{dt}{t} = 1, \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}.$$

This nondegeneracy allows us to use the Calderón reproducing formula. There exist plenty of functions satisfying these conditions, for instance, the kernel of $t \frac{\partial}{\partial t} T_t$, where $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$ is either the heat or Poisson semigroup on \mathbb{R}^d , as well as any Schwartz function φ verifying that for any $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$ there is $t > 0$ such that $\widehat{\varphi}(t\xi) \neq 0$.

Let $\varphi_t(x) = \frac{1}{t^d} \varphi(\frac{x}{t})$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $t > 0$. We define

$$(1.6) \quad G_{q,\varphi}(f)(x) = \left(\int_0^\infty \|\varphi_t * f(x)\|_X^q \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d$$

for any (reasonable) function $f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow X$. Let $\mathsf{L}_{c,q,p}^\varphi(X)$ be the best constant c such that

$$\|G_{q,\varphi}(f)\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq c \|f\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}^d; X)}, \quad f \in L_p(\mathbb{R}^d; X).$$

Similarly, we introduce $\mathsf{L}_{t,q,p}^\varphi(X)$ for the reverse inequality (with c^{-1} instead of c).

Theorem 1.5. *Let X be a Banach space and $1 < p, q < \infty$. Assume that φ satisfies (1.4).*

(i) *If X is of martingale cotype q , then*

$$\mathbb{L}_{c,q,p}^\varphi(X) \lesssim_{d,\varepsilon,\delta} \max(p^{\frac{1}{q}}, p') M_{c,q}(X).$$

(ii) *Assume additionally that φ is nondegenerate. If X is of martingale type q , then*

$$\mathbb{L}_{t,q,p}^\varphi(X) \lesssim_{d,\varepsilon,\delta} \max(p, (p')^{\frac{1}{q}}) M_{t,q}(X).$$

Let $\{\mathbb{H}_t\}_{t>0}$ be the classical heat semigroup on \mathbb{R}^d whose convolution kernel is given by

$$\mathbb{H}_t(x) = (4\pi t)^{-\frac{d}{2}} e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4t}}.$$

Its subordinated Poisson semigroup is the usual Poisson semigroup $\{\mathbb{P}_t\}_{t>0}$ with the convolution kernel

$$\mathbb{P}_t(x) = \frac{c_d t}{(|x|^2 + t^2)^{(d+1)/2}}.$$

The above theorem implies the following corollary that resolves Naor and Young's problem.

Corollary 1.6. *We have*

$$\mathbb{L}_{c,q,p}^{\mathbb{P}}(X) \lesssim \max(p^{\frac{1}{q}}, p') M_{c,q}(X) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{L}_{c,q,p}^{\mathbb{H}}(X) \lesssim_d \max(p^{\frac{1}{q}}, p') M_{c,q}(X).$$

Moreover,

$$\mathbb{L}_{c,q,p}^{\mathbb{H}}(X) \gtrsim \mathbb{L}_{c,q,p}^{\mathbb{P}}(\mathbb{C}) \gtrsim \max(p^{\frac{1}{q}}, p') \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{L}_{c,q,q}^{\mathbb{H}}(X) \gtrsim \mathbb{L}_{c,q,q}^{\mathbb{P}}(X) \gtrsim M_{c,q}(X).$$

Remark 1.7. It is worth to point out that the estimate on $\mathbb{L}_{c,q,p}^{\mathbb{P}}(X)$ is independent of d thanks to Theorem 1.2 (i). It would be interesting to have a dimension free estimate for the heat semigroup too. This is related to another problem, whether the analyticity constant of $\{\mathbb{H}_t\}_{t>0}$ on $L_p(\mathbb{R}^d; X)$ relative to an appropriate angle can be controlled by a dimension free constant (see Example A.2 below).

Problem 1.8. (i) Does the second inequality in the first part of Corollary 1.6 hold with a constant independent of the dimension d ?

(ii) It would be also interesting to determine the optimal orders of $\mathbb{L}_{t,q,p}^{\mathbb{P}}(X)$ and $\mathbb{L}_{t,q,p}^{\mathbb{H}}(X)$ as $p \rightarrow 1$ or $p \rightarrow \infty$.

Note that (i) above remains open even for $X = \mathbb{C}$ (see Problem 7 in [67]). It is also so for (ii) as $p \rightarrow \infty$ (see section 8 below and [67] for more information).

Apart from the inequality $\|\mathcal{G}_q^P(f)\|_{L_p(\Omega)} \leq \mathbb{L}_{c,q,p}^P(X) \|f\|_{L_p(\Omega; X)}$, the following variant is also useful:

$$\left(\int_0^\infty \left\| t \frac{\partial}{\partial t} P_t(f) \right\|_{L_p(\Omega; X)}^r \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \leq c \|f\|_{L_p(\Omega; X)}$$

when X is of martingale cotype q (see, for instance, [34]). Inequalities of this type are less delicate than the previous ones. It is well known that if X is of martingale cotype q , then $L_p(\Omega; X)$ is of martingale cotype $\max(p, q)$, so the above inequality can hold only for $r = \max(p, q)$. We can, of course, consider similar variants in the situation of Theorem 1.4 and Theorem 1.5 as well as their reverse inequalities when X is of martingale type q ; but we will concentrate on the above inequality and on the case $1 < p \leq q$ for illustration.

Theorem 1.2 (i) easily implies the following

Corollary 1.9. *Let $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$ and $\{P_t\}_{t>0}$ be as in Theorem 1.2. Assume that X is of martingale cotype q and $1 < p \leq q$. Then*

$$\left(\int_0^\infty \left\| t \frac{\partial}{\partial t} P_t(f) \right\|_{L_p(\Omega; X)}^q \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \lesssim \max((p')^{\frac{1}{q}}, M_{c,q}(X)) \|f\|_{L_p(\Omega; X)}$$

for all $f \in L_p(\Omega; X)$. Moreover, the constant on the right hand side is optimal as $p \rightarrow 1$.

Like the martingale type and cotype, the Luzin type and cotype behave well with duality as shown by Theorem 4.5 below. This duality theorem allows us to deduce the type case from the cotype case. In contrast with the martingale case, the proof of Theorem 4.5 is much harder and depends on a bounded projection on a certain vector-valued radial tent space. Let \mathbb{R}_+ be equipped with the measure $\frac{dt}{t}$. The *radial space* is $L_p(\Omega; L_q(\mathbb{R}_+; X))$ whose elements h are functions of two variables $\omega \in \Omega$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$, i.e., $h : (\omega, t) \mapsto h_t(\omega)$. The desired projection maps $L_p(\Omega; L_q(\mathbb{R}_+; X))$ onto the subspace of all h of the form $h_t = t \frac{\partial}{\partial t} T_t(f)$ for some $f \in L_p(\Omega; X)$; formally, it is given by

$$(1.7) \quad \mathcal{T}(h)_s = 4 \int_0^\infty st \frac{\partial}{\partial s} T_s \frac{\partial}{\partial t} T_t(h_t) \frac{dt}{t}, \quad s > 0.$$

Here the expression $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} T_t(h_t)$ is interpreted as $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} T_t(f)$ with $f = h_t$. Note that $\mathcal{T}(h)$ is well-defined for nice functions $h \in L_p(\Omega; L_q(\mathbb{R}_+; X))$, for instance, for all compactly supported continuous functions from \mathbb{R}_+ to the definition domain of the generator of $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$ in $L_p(\Omega; X)$. Similarly, we define \mathcal{P} associated to the subordinated Poisson semigroup $\{P_t\}_{t>0}$.

The following is the key result for the duality argument.

Theorem 1.10. *Let $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$ be a strongly continuous semigroup of regular operators on $L_p(\Omega)$ and $\{P_t\}_{t>0}$ its subordinated Poisson semigroup. Let X be a Banach space and $1 < p < \infty$.*

- (i) *The map \mathcal{P} extends to a bounded projection on $L_p(\Omega; L_q(\mathbb{R}_+; X))$ with norm majorized by $C \max((p')^{1-\frac{p}{q}}, p^{1-\frac{p'}{q'}})$ for any $1 \leq q \leq \infty$.*
- (ii) *Assume additionally that $1 < q < \infty$ and $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$ satisfies (1.3) for some $0 < \beta_0 \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$. Then \mathcal{T} extends to a bounded projection on $L_p(\Omega; L_q(\mathbb{R}_+; X))$ with norm majorized by*

$$C \beta_q^{-4} \Gamma_{\beta_0}^{\min(\frac{p}{q}, \frac{p'}{q'})} \max((p')^{1-\frac{p}{q}}, p^{1-\frac{p'}{q'}}) \quad \text{with } \beta_q = \beta_0 \min\left(\frac{p}{q}, \frac{p'}{q'}\right).$$

It is remarkable that the first part of the theorem above holds for any Banach space X and any subordinated Poisson semigroup. Under the stronger assumption that $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$ be a symmetric diffusion semigroup, assertion (i) above is [41, Theorem 3.2]. However, the proof in [41] contains a gap which lies in the reduction of Theorem 3.2 to Lemma 3.3 in [41] via Rota's dilation theorem. Recall that if $\{P_t\}_{t>0}$ is the Poisson semigroup on the torus, assertion (i) above was proved in [64] by using Calderón-Zygmund singular integral theory.

Remark 1.11. The analyticity assumption in Theorem 1.4 and Theorem 1.10 (ii) is unremovable. Recall that $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$ is analytic on $L_p(\Omega; X)$ with $1 < p < \infty$ in one of the following cases:

- $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$ is a symmetric diffusion semigroup and X is superreflexive ([52]);
- $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$ is a convolution semigroup induced by symmetric probability measures on a locally compact abelian group and X is K-convex ([52]);
- $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$ is an analytic semigroup of regular operators on $L_p(\Omega)$ and X is θ -Hilbertian, i.e., a complex interpolation space of a Hilbert space and another Banach space ([65]).

Many classical semigroups are analytic on $L_p(\Omega; X)$ for any X (see Appendix A below). On the other hand, $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$ is analytic on $L_p(\Omega; X)$ iff its adjoint semigroup $\{T_t^*\}_{t>0}$ is analytic on $L_{p'}(\Omega; X^*)$. Thus the class of Banach spaces X such that $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$ is analytic on $L_p(\Omega; X)$ is stable under the passage to duals, subspaces and quotient spaces.

On the other hand, it is well known that the subordinated Poisson semigroup $\{P_t\}_{t>0}$ is always analytic on $L_p(\Omega; X)$ for any Banach space X since its negative generator is a sectorial operator of type $\frac{\pi}{4}$ (see section 2 for more information).

A summary of the main techniques and the contents seems to be in order. Our approach is different from all the previous ones. It is based on holomorphic functional calculus, which constitutes perhaps one of the major ideas of this article. In this regard, it shares some common points with Cowling's approach that deals with the bounded H^∞ functional calculus of the generator of a symmetric submarkovian semigroup and the related maximal inequality. We need, however, to adapt McIntosh's H^∞ functional calculus for our purpose. This is done in the preparatory section 2 in which we introduce a key notion of the article: the ℓ_q -boundedness of a family of operators on $L_p(\Omega; X)$; it gives rise to the definitions of ℓ_q -sectorial operators and ℓ_q -analytic semigroups. We transfer to this setting some well known results about sectorial operators and analytic semigroups.

After this preparation, we prove Theorem 1.10 in section 3. This projection theorem is a crucial ingredient for the duality studied in section 4. Theorem 4.5 establishes our duality result between the Luzin cotype of X and the Luzin type of the dual space X^* ; this result is as nice as the corresponding one in the martingale case, except the links between the involved constants (compare the constants in Theorem 4.5 and those in (6.2) below). This section also contains some general properties of the Luzin type and cotype, in particular, a characterization by lacunary discrete differences (Theorem 4.7).

As Rota's dilation is no longer available in the present situation, we use instead Fendler's dilation for semigroups of regular operators. Fendler's theorem transfers Theorem 1.2 (i) to the special case where $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$ is the translation group of \mathbb{R} . This allows us to exploit techniques from harmonic analysis. Our strategy is built, in a crucial way, on Calderón-Zygmund singular integral theory and modern real-variable Littlewood-Paley theory. We present all this in the preparatory section 5 that will be needed for the proofs of Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 1.5. These proofs constitute the most heavy and technical part of the article. The proofs of Theorem 1.5 and Corollary 1.6 are done in section 6. We then use transference to show Theorem 1.2 in section 7. To that end, we first need to represent the g -function associated to the Poisson semigroup subordinated to the translation group of \mathbb{R} as a singular integral operator. Theorem 1.4 will follow from Theorem 1.2 by functional calculus; Corollary 1.9 is an easy consequence of Theorem 1.2 (i).

An additional major significant aspect of the new approach is the fact that it improves the growth orders on p of the relevant best constants even in the scalar Littlewood-Paley-Stein inequalities, see section 8; moreover, except the case of the Luzin type constant as $p \rightarrow 1$, it yields the optimal orders, which is not the case by the previous methods of Stein and Cowling (see the historical comments in section 8). This shows, to a certain extent, that our method is optimal. Section 8 also contains the optimality of the best constants in Corollary 1.6. We end the article by an appendix that gives some examples of semigroups.

The techniques developed in this article allow one to simplify and extend many recent results in the scalar Littlewood-Paley-Stein theory, in particular, those on positive operators on L_2 with kernels satisfying Gaussian upper estimates. On the other hand, they are also applicable to the noncommutative setting. We will carry out all this elsewhere.

Throughout the article, X will be a Banach space, $1 < p < \infty$ and $1 \leq q \leq \infty$ (but $1 < q < \infty$ most of time). Unless explicitly stated otherwise, $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$ will be a strongly continuous semigroup of regular operators on $L_p(\Omega)$ and $\{P_t\}_{t>0}$ its subordinated Poisson semigroup. These semigroups are extended to $L_p(\Omega; X)$. A will denote the negative generator of $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$, so $T_t = e^{-tA}$ and $P_t = e^{-t\sqrt{A}}$.

2. THE ℓ_q -BOUNDEDNESS

This section is the preparatory part of the article. We will introduce the notion of ℓ_q -boundedness that is the direct extension to the vector-valued setting of the R_q -boundedness introduced by Weis [59]. In fact, though not explicitly stated, this notion appeared before in harmonic analysis with regard to vector-valued inequalities of classical operators. Most results below are the ℓ_q -boundedness analogues of well known results or those of Kunstmann and Ullmann [33] in the scalar case. I learnt the existence of [59, 33] after the submission of this article for publication, and I thank Emiel Lorist for pointing out these references to me.

We start with a brief introduction to holomorphic functional calculus in order to fix notation (see [13] for more information). Recall that a densely defined closed operator B on a Banach space Y is called a *sectorial operator of type α* with $0 \leq \alpha < \pi$ if $\mathbb{C} \setminus \overline{\Sigma_\alpha}$ is contained in the resolvent set of B for any $\gamma > \alpha$ and

$$\sup \{ \|z(z - B)^{-1}\|_{B(Y)} : z \notin \overline{\Sigma_\gamma} \} < \infty,$$

where Σ_γ is the open sector $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : |\arg(z)| < \gamma\}$ in the complex plane. Let $\beta > \gamma$ and $H^\infty(\Sigma_\beta)$ denote the space of bounded analytic functions in Σ_β and $H_0^\infty(\Sigma_\beta)$ its subspace consisting of all φ satisfying

$$|\varphi(z)| \leq \frac{c|z|^\delta}{1 + |z|^{2\delta}} \text{ for some } c > 0 \text{ and } \delta > 0.$$

Let Γ be the boundary of Σ_β , oriented in the positive sense. Then for any $\varphi \in H_0^\infty(\Sigma_\beta)$ the integral

$$\varphi(B) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_\Gamma \varphi(z)(z - B)^{-1} dz$$

defines a bounded operator on Y , where the integral absolutely converges in $B(Y)$.

The following resolution of the identity will be useful later. Let $\psi \in H_0^\infty(\Sigma_\beta)$ such that

$$\int_0^\infty \psi(t) \frac{dt}{t} = 1.$$

Then the following integral

$$(2.1) \quad y = \int_0^\infty \psi(tB)(y) \frac{dt}{t} = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \lim_{C \rightarrow \infty} \int_\varepsilon^C \psi(tB)(y) \frac{dt}{t}$$

exists for every $y \in \overline{\text{im}B}$. This is [28, Proposition 10.2.5]. Let us include its easy verification for completeness. For $\varphi \in H_0^\infty(\Sigma_\beta)$, we have

$$\varphi(z) = \int_0^\infty \psi(tz) \varphi(z) \frac{dt}{t}, \quad z \in \Sigma_\beta.$$

Thus for any $y \in Y$

$$\varphi(B)(y) = \int_0^\infty \psi(tB) \varphi(B)(y) \frac{dt}{t}.$$

Choose

$$\varphi(z) = \frac{n^2 z}{(n+z)(1+nz)}.$$

Then $\varphi(B)(y) \rightarrow y$ in Y as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for any $y \in \overline{\text{im}B}$ (see [13, Theorem 3.8]), whence (2.1) by virtue of the convergence lemma ([13, Lemma 2.1]).

Definition 2.1. A family $\mathcal{F} \subset B(L_p(\Omega; X))$ is said to be ℓ_q -bounded if there exists a constant c such that

$$\left\| \left(\sum_k \|A_k(f_k)\|^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \right\|_{L_p(\Omega)} \leq c \left\| \left(\sum_k \|f_k\|^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \right\|_{L_p(\Omega)}$$

for all finite sequences $\{A_k\} \subset \mathcal{F}$ and $\{f_k\} \subset L_p(\Omega; X)$, with the usual modification for $q = \infty$ in the above inequality.

Remark 2.2. It is clear that the sums in the above definition can be replaced by integrals without changing the constant c . On the other hand, it is easy to show that the absolutely convex hull of an ℓ_q -bounded family is again ℓ_q -bounded with the same constant.

Accordingly, we introduce the ℓ_q -boundedness versions of sectoriality of operators and analyticity of semigroups. Recall that a semigroup $\{S_t\}_{t>0}$ on a Banach space Y is said to be analytic if it extends to an analytic function from Σ_β to $B(Y)$ for some $0 < \beta \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$ and bounded in any smaller sector. In this case, we call $\{S_t\}_{t>0}$ an *analytic semigroup of type β* .

Definition 2.3. (i) A densely defined closed operator B on $L_p(\Omega; X)$ is called an ℓ_q -sectorial operator of type α with $0 \leq \alpha < \pi$ if $\mathbb{C} \setminus \overline{\Sigma_\gamma}$ is contained in the resolvent set of B for any $\gamma > \alpha$ and the family $\{z(z - B)^{-1} : z \notin \overline{\Sigma_\gamma}\}$ is ℓ_q -bounded on $L_p(\Omega; X)$.

(ii) A semigroup $\{S_t\}_{t>0}$ on $L_p(\Omega; X)$ is called an ℓ_q -analytic semigroup of type β with $0 < \beta \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$ if $\{S_t\}_{t>0}$ extends to an analytic function from Σ_β to $B(L_p(\Omega; X))$ and for any $\nu < \beta$ the family $\{S_z : z \in \Sigma_\nu\}$ is ℓ_q -bounded on $L_p(\Omega; X)$.

The following is the ℓ_q -boundedness analogue of a classical characterization of analytic semigroups.

Proposition 2.4. Let $\{S_t\}_{t>0}$ be a strongly continuous bounded semigroup on $L_p(\Omega; X)$ and B its negative generator. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) $\{S_t\}_{t>0}$ is ℓ_q -analytic of type β for some $0 < \beta \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$;
- (ii) B is ℓ_q -sectorial of type α for some $\alpha < \frac{\pi}{2}$;
- (iii) $\{S_t, tBS_t\}_{t>0}$ is ℓ_q -bounded on $L_p(\Omega; X)$.

Proof. The proof is a straightforward adaptation of the classical argument (cf. e.g. the proof of [50, Theorem 5.2]). As we want to track the links between the different constants involved, we give below an outline.

(i) \Rightarrow (ii). Let $\alpha = \frac{\pi}{2} - \beta$. For $\alpha < \gamma < \frac{\pi}{2}$ choose $0 < \nu < \beta$ such that $\gamma + \nu > \frac{\pi}{2}$, for instance, we can take $\nu = \beta - \frac{\gamma - \alpha}{2}$ so that $\gamma + \nu = \frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{\gamma - \alpha}{2}$. Then for any $z = re^{i\theta} \notin \overline{\Sigma_\gamma}$ and $z \neq 0$, we have

$$z(z - B)^{-1} = -z \int_0^\infty e^{tz} S_t dt = -ze^{i \operatorname{sgn}(\theta)\nu} \int_0^\infty e^{tr e^{i(\theta + \operatorname{sgn}(\theta)\nu)}} S_{t e^{i \operatorname{sgn}(\theta)\nu}} dt.$$

If C_ν denotes the ℓ_q -boundedness constant of the family $\{S_\zeta : \zeta \in \Sigma_\nu\}$, then by Remark 2.2 we deduce that $\{z(z - B)^{-1} : z \notin \Sigma_\gamma, z \neq 0\}$ is ℓ_q -bounded with constant C_γ given by

$$C_\gamma \leq C_\nu \sup_{z \notin \Sigma_\gamma} |z| \int_0^\infty |e^{tr e^{i(\theta + \operatorname{sgn}(\theta)\nu)}}| dt \leq \frac{C_\nu}{|\cos(\theta + \operatorname{sgn}(\theta)\nu)|} \leq \frac{C_\nu}{|\cos(\gamma + \nu)|}.$$

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii). Let $\alpha < \gamma < \frac{\pi}{2}$ and Γ be the boundary of Σ_γ oriented in the positive sense. Then

$$tBS_t = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_\Gamma t\lambda e^{-t\lambda} (\lambda - B)^{-1} d\lambda, \quad t > 0.$$

Thus $\{tBS_t\}_{t>0}$ is ℓ_q -bounded with constant

$$C'_d \leq \frac{C_\gamma}{\pi} \sup_{t>0} \int_0^\infty t e^{-tr \cos \gamma} dr = \frac{C_\gamma}{\pi} \int_0^\infty e^{-r \cos \gamma} dr = \frac{C_\gamma}{\pi \cos \gamma}.$$

To show the ℓ_q -boundedness of $\{S_t\}_{t>0}$ we need to slightly modify the contour Γ . Let Γ' be the union of the part of Γ with $|\lambda| \geq 1$ and the arc in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \Sigma_\gamma$ of the circle with the origin as center and radius 1. Then we have

$$S_t = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma'} e^{-t\lambda} (\lambda - B)^{-1} d\lambda, \quad t > 0.$$

The change of variables $\zeta = t\lambda$ yields

$$S_t = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{t\Gamma'} e^{-\zeta} \frac{1}{t} \left(\frac{\zeta}{t} - B\right)^{-1} d\zeta,$$

where $t\Gamma'$ is the union of the part of Γ with $|\lambda| \geq t$ and the arc in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \Sigma_\gamma$ of the circle with the origin as center and radius t . However, the Cauchy formula insures that we can go back to Γ' :

$$S_t = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma'} e^{-\zeta} \frac{1}{t} \left(\frac{\zeta}{t} - B\right)^{-1} d\zeta.$$

This implies that $\{S_t\}_{t>0}$ is ℓ_q -bounded with constant

$$C''_d \leq \frac{C_\gamma}{\pi} \int_1^\infty e^{-r \cos \gamma} \frac{dr}{r} + \frac{C_\gamma}{2\pi} \int_\gamma^{2\pi - \gamma} e^{-\cos \theta} d\theta \leq \frac{CC_\gamma}{\cos \gamma}.$$

(iii) \Rightarrow (i). Let C_d denote the ℓ_q -boundedness constant of $\{S_t, tBS_t\}_{t>0}$. The function $t \mapsto S_t$ is infinitely derivable in \mathbb{R}_+ and for any positive integer n

$$(S_t)^{(n)} = \left(S'_t\right)^n.$$

This shows that $\{t^n (S_t)^{(n)}\}_{t>0}$ is ℓ_q -bounded with constant $(C_d)^n$. Let $\beta = \arctan \frac{1}{eC_d}$. Then $\{S_t\}_{t>0}$ becomes an ℓ_q -analytic semigroup of type β thanks to the following formula

$$S_z = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(S_t)^{(n)}}{n!} (z - t)^n, \quad z \in \Sigma_\beta$$

and for any $\nu < \beta$ the family $\{S_z : z \in \overline{\Sigma_\nu}\}$ is ℓ_q -bounded with constant

$$C_\nu \leq \frac{1}{1 - eC_d \tan \nu}.$$

The proof is thus complete. \square

The following is again the ℓ_q -boundedness version of an elementary result on sectorial operators. The case used later concerns only \sqrt{B} .

Proposition 2.5. *Let B be an ℓ_q -sectorial operator of type α on $L_p(\Omega; X)$ with $\alpha < \pi$. Let $\theta > 0$ such that $\theta\alpha < \pi$. Then B^θ is an ℓ_q -sectorial operator of type $\theta\alpha$ on $L_p(\Omega; X)$.*

Proof. Let $\gamma > \gamma' > \theta\alpha$. Given $z \notin \overline{\Sigma_\gamma}$, writing

$$z = [z^{\theta^{-1}}(z - \lambda^\theta) + (z^{\theta^{-1}}\lambda^\theta - z\lambda)](z^{\theta^{-1}} - \lambda)^{-1},$$

we have

$$z(z - \lambda^\theta)^{-1} = z^{\theta^{-1}}(z^{\theta^{-1}} - \lambda)^{-1} + \varphi(\lambda),$$

where $\varphi(\lambda) = (z^{\theta^{-1}}\lambda^\theta - z\lambda)(z - \lambda^\theta)^{-1}(z^{\theta^{-1}} - \lambda)^{-1}$. Thus

$$z(z - B^\theta)^{-1} = z^{\theta^{-1}}(z^{\theta^{-1}} - B)^{-1} + \varphi(B).$$

Note that φ is analytic in $\Sigma_{\gamma\theta^{-1}}$. Let Γ be the boundary of $\Sigma_{\gamma\theta^{-1}}$. Then

$$\varphi(B) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_\Gamma \varphi(\lambda)(\lambda - B)^{-1} d\lambda = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_\Gamma \varphi(\lambda)[\lambda(\lambda - B)^{-1}] \frac{d\lambda}{\lambda}.$$

The change of variables $\zeta = z^{-\theta^{-1}}\lambda$ yields

$$\int_\Gamma |\varphi(\lambda)| \frac{|d\lambda|}{|\lambda|} = \int_{z^{-\theta^{-1}}\Gamma} \frac{|\zeta^\theta - \zeta|}{|1 - \zeta^\theta||1 - \zeta|} \frac{|d\zeta|}{|\zeta|}.$$

Decomposing the last integral into three parts corresponding to $|\zeta|$ close to 0, 1 and ∞ , respectively, we get

$$\int_\Gamma |\varphi(\lambda)| \frac{|d\lambda|}{|\lambda|} \lesssim \frac{1}{\theta} + \frac{1}{\theta(\gamma - \gamma')^2}.$$

Hence by Remark 2.2, we deduce the desired assertion. \square

Now we return back to our distinguished semigroup $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$ of regular operators on $L_p(\Omega)$. We have extended $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$ to $L_p(\Omega; X)$. Recall our convention that the regular operators considered in this article are all assumed contractively regular. Also recall the fact that T is regular on $L_p(\Omega)$ iff

$$\left\| \sum_k |T(f_k)| \right\|_p \leq \left\| \sum_k |f_k| \right\|_p$$

for all finite sequences $\{f_k\}$ in $L_p(\Omega)$ (see [40]). Consequently, T is regular on $L_p(\Omega)$ iff its adjoint T^* is regular on $L_{p'}(\Omega)$. In particular, $\{T_t^*\}_{t>0}$ is a strongly continuous semigroup of regular operators on $L_{p'}(\Omega)$.

Lemma 2.6. *Let*

$$M_t = \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t T_s ds, \quad t > 0.$$

The family $\{M_t\}_{t>0}$ is ℓ_q -bounded on $L_p(\Omega; X)$ with constant $\max((p')^{1-\frac{p}{q}}, p^{1-\frac{p'}{q}})$.

Proof. The celebrated theorem of Akcoglu [2] asserts that $\{M_t\}_{t>0}$ satisfies the following maximal ergodic inequality

$$\left\| \sup_{t>0} |M_t(f)| \right\|_{L_p(\Omega)} \leq p' \|f\|_{L_p(\Omega)}, \quad f \in L_p(\Omega);$$

see also [31, Theorem 5.2.5]. The regularity of $\{M_t\}_{t>0}$ insures that this inequality remains valid for any $f \in L_p(\Omega; X)$. Thus for any finite sequences $\{t_k\} \subset \mathbb{R}_+$ and $\{f_k\} \subset L_p(\Omega; X)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sup_k \|M_{t_k}(f_k)\|_X \right\|_{L_p(\Omega)} &\leq \left\| \sup_k |M_{t_k}|(\|f_k\|_X) \right\|_{L_p(\Omega)} \\ &\leq \left\| \sup_k |M_{t_k}|(\sup_j \|f_j\|_X) \right\|_{L_p(\Omega)} \\ &\leq p' \left\| \sup_j \|f_j\|_X \right\|_{L_p(\Omega)}. \end{aligned}$$

This means that $\{M_t\}_{t>0}$ is ℓ_∞ -bounded on $L_p(\Omega; X)$ with constant p' . On the other hand, $\{M_t\}_{t>0}$ is bounded, so ℓ_p -bounded on $L_p(\Omega; X)$ with constant 1. Thus by complex interpolation, $\{M_t\}_{t>0}$ is ℓ_q -bounded on $L_p(\Omega; X)$ with constant $(p')^{1-\frac{p}{q}}$ for $q > p$.

The case of $q < p$ is treated by duality. Applying the previous discussion to the adjoint semigroup $\{T_t^*\}_{t>0}$, we deduce that $\{M_t^*\}_{t>0}$ is ℓ_∞ -bounded on $L_{p'}(\Omega; X^*)$ with constant p , so $\{M_t\}_{t>0}$

is ℓ_1 -bounded on $L_p(\Omega; X)$ with constant p . The assertion for $q < p$ then follows by complex interpolation once more. \square

Remark 2.7. The above lemma and the subordination formula (1.2) imply that the Poisson subordinated semigroup $\{P_t\}_{t>0}$ is ℓ_q -bounded on $L_p(\Omega; X)$ with constant $C \max((p')^{1-\frac{p}{q}}, p^{1-\frac{p'}{q'}})$, where C is an absolute constant coming from (1.2).

It is a classical result that the negative generator A of $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$ on $L_p(\Omega; X)$ is a sectorial operator of type $\frac{\pi}{2}$. The following shows that it is moreover ℓ_q -sectorial.

Proposition 2.8. *The negative generator A of $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$ is an ℓ_q -sectorial operator of type $\frac{\pi}{2}$ on $L_p(\Omega; X)$. More precisely, the family*

$$\{z(z - A)^{-1} : z \notin \overline{\Sigma_\alpha}\}$$

is ℓ_q -bounded on $L_p(\Omega; X)$ with constant $C_\alpha \max((p')^{1-\frac{p}{q}}, p^{1-\frac{p'}{q'}})$ for any $\frac{\pi}{2} < \alpha < \pi$.

Consequently, \sqrt{A} is an ℓ_q -sectorial operator of type $\frac{\pi}{4}$ on $L_p(\Omega; X)$. Moreover, the ℓ_q -boundedness constant of $\{z(z - \sqrt{A})^{-1} : z \notin \overline{\Sigma_\alpha}\}$ is majorized by $C_\alpha \max((p')^{1-\frac{p}{q}}, p^{1-\frac{p'}{q'}})$ for any $\frac{\pi}{4} < \alpha < \pi$.

Proof. Let $z \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\operatorname{Re} z < 0$. Then

$$(z - A)^{-1} = \int_0^\infty e^{tz} T_t dt = -z \int_0^\infty t e^{tz} M_t dt.$$

Thus by Lemma 2.6 and Remark 2.2, we deduce that

$$\left\{ \frac{(\operatorname{Re} z)^2}{|z|} (z - A)^{-1} : \operatorname{Re} z < 0 \right\}$$

is ℓ_q -bounded on $L_p(\Omega; X)$ with constant $\max((p')^{1-\frac{p}{q}}, p^{1-\frac{p'}{q'}})$. This implies the assertion on A with the constant C_α given by

$$C_\alpha = \sup_{z \notin \overline{\Sigma_\alpha}} \frac{(\operatorname{Re} z)^2}{|z|^2}.$$

The second part on \sqrt{A} then follows from Proposition 2.5. \square

Proposition 2.9. *Assume that $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$ satisfies (1.3) for some $0 < \beta_0 \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$. Let $1 < q < \infty$ and $\beta_q = \beta_0 \min(\frac{p}{q}, \frac{p'}{q'})$. Then $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$ is an ℓ_q -analytic semigroup of type β_q on $L_p(\Omega; X)$. More precisely, for any $0 < \beta < \beta_q$ the family $\{T_z : z \in \Sigma_\beta\}$ is ℓ_q -bounded on $L_p(\Omega; X)$ with constant majorized by*

$$C(\beta_q - \beta)^{-1} \mathbb{T}_{\beta_0}^{\min(\frac{p}{q}, \frac{p'}{q'})} \max((p')^{1-\frac{p}{q}}, p^{1-\frac{p'}{q'}}).$$

Consequently, A is ℓ_q -sectorial of type $\alpha_q = \frac{\pi}{2} - \beta_q$ on $L_p(\Omega; X)$. More precisely, for any $\alpha_q < \alpha < \frac{\pi}{2}$ the family $\{z(z - A)^{-1} : z \notin \overline{\Sigma_\alpha}\}$ is ℓ_q -bounded on $L_p(\Omega; X)$ with the relevant constant majorized by

$$C(\beta_q - \beta)^{-2} \mathbb{T}_{\beta_0}^{\min(\frac{p}{q}, \frac{p'}{q'})} \max((p')^{1-\frac{p}{q}}, p^{1-\frac{p'}{q'}}) \text{ with } \beta = \frac{\pi}{2} - \alpha.$$

Proof. Define

$$M_z = \frac{1}{z} \int_0^z T_\lambda d\lambda, \quad z \in \Sigma_{\beta_0},$$

where the integral is taken along the segment $[0, z]$. Clearly, M is analytic in Σ_{β_0} . By Lemma 2.6, $\{M_t : t > 0\}$ is ℓ_∞ -bounded (resp. ℓ_1 -bounded) on $L_p(\Omega; X)$ with constant p' (resp. p). On the other hand, (1.3) means that $\{M_z : z \in \Sigma_{\beta_0}\}$ is ℓ_p -bounded on $L_p(\Omega; X)$ with constant \mathbb{T}_{β_0} . Then by complex interpolation, we see that $\{M_z : z \in \Sigma_{\beta_q}\}$ is ℓ_q -bounded on $L_p(\Omega; X)$ with constant $\mathbb{T}_{\beta_0}^{\frac{p}{q}} (p')^{1-\frac{p}{q}}$ for $p < q$ and $\mathbb{T}_{\beta_0}^{\frac{p'}{q'}} p^{1-\frac{p'}{q'}}$ for $p > q$.

We use the identity $T_z = M_z + zM'_z$ to pass from M_z to T_z , so it remains to show that $\{zM'_z : z \in \Sigma_\beta\}$ is ℓ_q -bounded. To this end, let $\delta = \frac{1}{2}(\beta + \beta_q)$. For any $z = re^{i\theta} \in \Sigma_\beta$ let \mathcal{C} be the

circle with center z and radius $r \sin(\delta - |\theta|)$. Note that one of the two rays limiting Σ_δ is a tangent of \mathcal{C} . By the Cauchy integral formula, we have

$$zM'_z = \frac{z}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathcal{C}} \frac{M_\lambda d\lambda}{(\lambda - z)^2}.$$

Since

$$\frac{|z|}{2\pi} \int_{\mathcal{C}} \frac{|d\lambda|}{|\lambda - z|^2} = \frac{1}{\sin(\delta - |\theta|)} \leq \frac{1}{\sin \frac{1}{2}(\beta_q - \beta)},$$

The ℓ_q -boundedness of $\{M_z : z \in \Sigma_\delta\}$ and Remark 2.2 imply that $\{zM'_z : z \in \Sigma_\beta\}$ is ℓ_q -bounded on $L_p(\Omega; X)$ with constant majorized by

$$C(\beta_q - \beta)^{-1} \Upsilon_{\beta_0}^{\min(\frac{p}{q}, \frac{p'}{q'})} \max((p')^{1-\frac{p}{q}}, p^{1-\frac{p'}{q'}}).$$

The last part on the ℓ_q -sectoriality of A follows from the proof of the implication (i) \Rightarrow (ii) of Proposition 2.4, β and ν there being respectively β_q and β now. \square

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.10

Armed with the preparation in section 2, we will follow the proof of [29, Theorem 4.14]. In the sequel, we will use the abbreviation that $\partial = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$. Recall that \mathbb{R}_+ is equipped with the measure $\frac{dt}{t}$. Also recall our convention that $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$ is a strongly continuous semigroup of regular operators on $L_p(\Omega)$ and $\{P_t\}_{t>0}$ its subordinated Poisson semigroup.

We first show part (i) concerning the subordinated Poisson semigroup $\{P_t\}_{t>0}$. Fix $\frac{\pi}{4} < \alpha < \beta < \frac{\pi}{2}$. Let Γ be the boundary of Σ_α . Define $F(z) = -ze^{-z}$. Then $F \in H_0^\infty(\Sigma_\beta)$. For any $t > 0$ we have

$$(3.1) \quad t\partial P_t = F(t\sqrt{A}) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} F(tz)R(z)dz,$$

where $R(z) = (z - \sqrt{A})^{-1}$. Recall that the map \mathcal{P} is defined by (1.7) (with $\{P_t\}_{t>0}$ instead of $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$ there). It can be rewritten as

$$(3.2) \quad \mathcal{P}(h)_s = \frac{2}{\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} \int_0^\infty F(sz)F(tz)zR(z)(h_t) \frac{dt}{t} \frac{dz}{z}, \quad s > 0.$$

Let Γ be equipped with the measure $\frac{|dz|}{|z|}$. We define three maps as follows:

- $\Phi_1 : L_p(\Omega; L_q(\mathbb{R}_+; X)) \rightarrow L_p(\Omega; L_q(\Gamma; X))$ by

$$\Phi_1(h)_z = \int_0^\infty F(tz)h_t \frac{dt}{t}, \quad z \in \Gamma, h \in L_p(\Omega; L_q(\mathbb{R}_+; X)),$$

- $\Phi_2 : L_p(\Omega; L_q(\Gamma; X)) \rightarrow L_p(\Omega; L_q(\mathbb{R}_+; X))$ by

$$\Phi_2(g)_s = \int_{\Gamma} F(sz)g_z \frac{dz}{z}, \quad s > 0, g \in L_p(\Omega; L_q(\Gamma; X))$$

- $\Phi : L_p(\Omega; L_q(\Gamma; X)) \rightarrow L_p(\Omega; L_q(\Gamma; X))$ by

$$\Phi(g)_z = \frac{2}{\pi i} zR(z)(g_z), \quad z \in \Gamma, g \in L_p(\Omega; L_q(\Gamma; X)).$$

Then $\mathcal{P} = \Phi_2\Phi\Phi_1$. Thus it remains to show that the three newly defined maps are all bounded. Consider first the case $q < \infty$. By the Hölder inequality, we have

$$\|\Phi_1(h)_z\|_X^q \leq \left(\int_0^\infty |F(tz)| \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{q-1} \int_0^\infty |F(tz)| \|h_t\|_X^q \frac{dt}{t}.$$

Note that for $z = re^{\pm i\alpha} \in \Gamma$

$$\int_0^\infty |F(tz)| \frac{dt}{t} = \int_0^\infty |F(te^{\pm i\alpha})| \frac{dt}{t} = \frac{1}{\cos \alpha}.$$

On the other hand, for any $t > 0$

$$\int_{\Gamma} |F(tz)| \frac{|dz|}{|z|} = \frac{2}{\cos \alpha}.$$

We then deduce that

$$\|\Phi_1(h)\|_{L_p(\Omega; L_q(\Gamma; X))} \leq \frac{2^{\frac{1}{q}}}{\cos \alpha} \|h\|_{L_p(\Omega; L_q(\mathbb{R}_+; X))}.$$

Thus

$$\|\Phi_1\| \leq \frac{2^{\frac{1}{q}}}{\cos \alpha}.$$

The same upper estimate holds for $\|\Phi_2\|$ too. Finally, the boundedness of Φ is just a reformulation of the ℓ_q -boundedness of $\{\frac{2}{\pi i} zR(z) : z \in \Gamma \setminus \{0\}\}$. Thus by Proposition 2.8,

$$\|\mathcal{P}\| \lesssim \frac{1}{\cos^2 \alpha} \max((p')^{1-\frac{p}{q}}, p^{1-\frac{p'}{q}}).$$

This finishes the proof of the first assertion for $q < \infty$ (choosing α close to $\frac{\pi}{4}$). The boundedness of \mathcal{P} for $q = \infty$ is obtained from that for $q = 1$ by duality.

Let us show that \mathcal{P} is a projection. Let $h \in L_p(\Omega; L_q(\mathbb{R}_+; X))$ be given by $h_t = t\partial P_t(f)$ for some $f \in L_p(\Omega; X)$. Then by (3.2)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}(h)_s &= \frac{2}{\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} \int_0^{\infty} F(sz)F(tz)F(tz)R(z)(f) \frac{dt}{t} dz \\ &= \frac{2}{\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} F(sz)R(z)(f) dz \int_0^{\infty} (F(t))^2 \frac{dt}{t} \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} F(sz)R(z)(f) dz \\ &= F(s\sqrt{A})(f) = s\partial P_s(f). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\mathcal{P}(h) = h$, so \mathcal{P} is a projection. This shows the first assertion (i).

Assertion (ii) on the semigroup $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$ itself is proved exactly in the same way. Indeed, letting $\alpha_q = \frac{\pi}{2} - \beta_q$, by Proposition 2.9, A is ℓ_q -sectorial of type α_q . Let $\beta = \frac{\beta_q}{2}$ and $\alpha = \frac{\pi}{2} - \beta$. Then $\alpha_q < \alpha < \frac{\pi}{2}$ and

$$\frac{1}{\cos^2 \alpha} \approx \frac{1}{\beta_q^2}, \quad \frac{1}{(\beta_q - \beta)^2} \approx \frac{1}{\beta_q^2}.$$

Thus using the estimate on the ℓ_q -sectoriality constant of A and repeating the above argument, we show that \mathcal{T} is bounded with the announced norm estimate.

4. LUZIN TYPE AND COTYPE

In this section we study Banach spaces that are of Luzin coype or type. Before proceeding we briefly discuss the projection F onto the fixed point subspace of $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$ (equivalently, of $\{P_t\}_{t>0}$). By the mean ergodic theorem, F is given by

$$F(f) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t T_s(f) ds, \quad f \in L_p(\Omega).$$

Thus F is also regular, so extends to a contractive projection on $L_p(\Omega; X)$. Then the above formula remains valid for $f \in L_p(\Omega; X)$ and $F(L_p(\Omega; X))$ coincides with the fixed point subspace of $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$ on $L_p(\Omega; X)$. It follows that $L_p(\Omega; X)$ admits the following direct sum decomposition:

$$(4.1) \quad L_p(\Omega; X) = F(L_p(\Omega; X)) \oplus \ker F.$$

On the other hand, $\ker F$ is the closure of $\{(\text{Id} - T_t)(L_p(\Omega; X)) : t > 0\}$. Moreover,

$$(4.2) \quad F(L_p(\Omega; X)) = \ker A = \ker \sqrt{A} \quad \text{and} \quad \ker F = \overline{\text{im} A} = \overline{\text{im} \sqrt{A}}.$$

By the paragraph before Lemma 2.6, we know that the adjoint semigroup $\{T_t^*\}_{t>0}$ is regular on $L_{p'}(\Omega)$ too. Thus the above discussion also applies to the semigroup $\{T_t^*\}_{t>0}$ that is extended to $L_{p'}(\Omega; X^*)$ again. Consequently, (4.1) and (4.2) transfer to this dual setting. We should draw the reader's attention to the fact that $L_{p'}(\Omega; X^*)$ is in general not the dual of $L_p(\Omega; X)$ but an isometric subspace. With this in mind, we have

$$\text{Id}_{X^*} \otimes T_t^* = (\text{Id}_X \otimes T_t)^* \Big|_{L_{p'}(\Omega; X^*)}.$$

A similar formula holds for the negative generator A of $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$ on $L_p(\Omega; X)$ and the negative generator A^* of $\{T_t^*\}_{t>0}$ on $L_{p'}(\Omega; X^*)$, that is, the restriction to $L_{p'}(\Omega; X^*)$ of the adjoint of the former coincides with the latter. Moreover, $F^*|_{L_{p'}(\Omega; X^*)}$ is the fixed point projection associated to $\{T_t^*\}_{t>0}$ on $L_{p'}(\Omega; X^*)$. All this allows us to use duality arguments without any problem as when $L_p(\Omega; X)^* = L_{p'}(\Omega; X^*)$ (which is the case for reflexive X).

According to [64], we introduce the following definition already mentioned before Theorem 1.2.

Definition 4.1. Let $1 \leq q \leq \infty$.

(i) Define

$$(4.3) \quad \mathcal{G}_q^T(f) = \left(\int_0^\infty \|t\partial T_t(f)\|_X^q \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}, \quad f \in L_p(\Omega; X).$$

(ii) X is said to be of *Luzin cotype q relative to $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$* if there exists a constant c such that

$$(4.4) \quad \|\mathcal{G}_q^T(f)\|_{L_p(\Omega)} \leq c \|f\|_{L_p(\Omega; X)}, \quad f \in L_p(\Omega; X).$$

The smallest c is denoted by $\mathbb{L}_{c,q,p}^T(X)$.

(iii) X is said to be of *Luzin type q relative to $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$* if there exists a constant c such that

$$(4.5) \quad \|f - F(f)\|_{L_p(\Omega; X)} \leq c \|\mathcal{G}_q^T(f)\|_{L_p(\Omega)}, \quad f \in L_p(\Omega; X).$$

The smallest c is denoted by $\mathbb{L}_{t,q,p}^T(X)$.

In (4.3), f is implicitly assumed to belong to the definition domain of A in order to guarantee the derivability of $T_t(f)$ in t . Note that if $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$ is analytic on $L_p(\Omega; X)$, $\mathcal{G}_q^T(f)$ is defined for any $f \in L_p(\Omega; X)$. When it is defined, $\mathcal{G}_q^T(f)$ is a positive measurable function on Ω but may not belong to $L_p(\Omega)$ in which case $\|\mathcal{G}_q^T(f)\|_{L_p(\Omega)}$ is interpreted as ∞ (then (4.5) is trivially verified for such f). On the other hand, the above definition implicitly depends on p , but this dependence is not essential thanks to the fact that in most cases, if (4.4) or (4.5) holds for one p , so it does for any allowed p . Thus to lighten the terminology, we have decided to not explicitly mention p in the above notions; anyway, this dependence on p is reflected in the constants $\mathbb{L}_{c,q,p}^T(X)$ and $\mathbb{L}_{t,q,p}^T(X)$.

Remark 4.2. Without additional assumption on $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$, the definition may be insignificant. For instance, if $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$ is the translation group of \mathbb{R} , it is easy to check that

$$\left\| \left(\int_0^\infty |t\partial T_t(f)|^q \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \right\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R})}^p = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\int_0^\infty |tf'(s+t)|^q \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{p}{q}} ds = \infty$$

for any $1 \leq q \leq \infty$ and for any $f \in L_p(\mathbb{R})$ with f' not identically zero. Thus \mathbb{C} is not of Luzin cotype q for any q relative to the translation group of \mathbb{R} .

This remark shows that to have a meaningful theory of Luzin type and cotype some minimal condition should be imposed to $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$. It turns out that this minimal condition is the analyticity of $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$ on $L_p(\Omega; X)$. As shown in section 2, the subordinated Poisson semigroup $\{P_t\}_{t>0}$ always satisfies this condition.

It is sometimes convenient to have a discrete version of $\mathcal{G}_q^T(f)$. Recall that if $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$ is analytic on $L_p(\Omega)$, we have the following maximal inequality

$$(4.6) \quad \left\| \sup_{t>0} |T_t(f)| \right\|_{L_p(\Omega)} \leq \mathbb{T}_{\max} \|f\|_{L_p(\Omega)}, \quad f \in L_p(\Omega)$$

for some constant \mathbb{T}_{\max} (see [38]). A similar inequality holds for the adjoint semigroup $\{T_t^*\}_{t>0}$, the relevant constant being denoted by \mathbb{T}_{\max}^* .

Proposition 4.3. Assume that $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$ is analytic on $L_p(\Omega)$. Let $1 \leq q \leq \infty$ and $a > 1$. Then for any $f \in L_p(\Omega; X)$

$$c_{T,q,a}^{-1} \|\mathcal{G}_q^T(f)\|_{L_p(\Omega)} \leq \left\| \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \|a^k \partial T_{a^k}(f)\|_X^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \right\|_{L_p(\Omega)} \leq C_{T,q,a} \|\mathcal{G}_q^T(f)\|_{L_p(\Omega)},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} c_{T,q,a} &= q^{-\frac{1}{q}}(a^q - 1)^{\frac{1}{q}} \max(\mathbb{T}_{\max}^{1-\frac{p}{q}}, \mathbb{T}_{\max}^{*1-\frac{p'}{q'}}), \\ C_{T,q,a} &= q^{\frac{1}{q}}(1 - a^{-q})^{-\frac{1}{q}} \max(\mathbb{T}_{\max}^{1-\frac{p}{q}}, \mathbb{T}_{\max}^{*1-\frac{p'}{q'}}). \end{aligned}$$

Similar inequalities hold for $\{P_t\}_{t>0}$ in place of $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$ without any additional assumption on $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$, the corresponding constants being given by

$$\begin{aligned} c_{P,q,a} &= C^{-1}q^{-\frac{1}{q}}(a^q - 1)^{\frac{1}{q}} \max((p')^{1-\frac{p}{q}}, p^{1-\frac{p'}{q'}}), \\ C_{P,q,a} &= Cq^{\frac{1}{q}}(1 - a^{-q})^{-\frac{1}{q}} \max((p')^{1-\frac{p}{q}}, p^{1-\frac{p'}{q'}}). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Using (4.6), its adjoint version and repeating the proof of Lemma 2.6, we show that $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$ is ℓ_q -bounded on $L_p(\Omega; X)$ with constant $\max(\mathbb{T}_{\max}^{1-\frac{p}{q}}, \mathbb{T}_{\max}^{*1-\frac{p'}{q'}})$. Write

$$\mathcal{G}_q^T(f)^q = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{a^k}^{a^{k+1}} \|t \partial T_t(f)\|_X^q \frac{dt}{t} = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_1^a \|a^k t \partial T_{a^k t}(f)\|_X^q \frac{dt}{t}.$$

Using $\partial T_{t+s} = T_s \partial T_t$, we have $\partial T_{a^k t}(f) = T_{a^k(t-1)} \partial T_{a^k}(f)$. Then the ℓ_q -boundedness of $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$ on $L_p(\Omega; X)$ yields

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{G}_q^T(f)\|_{L_p(\Omega)} &\leq \max(\mathbb{T}_{\max}^{1-\frac{p}{q}}, \mathbb{T}_{\max}^{*1-\frac{p'}{q'}}) \left\| \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_1^a \|a^k t \partial T_{a^k}(f)\|_X^q \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \right\|_{L_p(\Omega)} \\ &= q^{-\frac{1}{q}}(a^q - 1)^{\frac{1}{q}} \max(\mathbb{T}_{\max}^{1-\frac{p}{q}}, \mathbb{T}_{\max}^{*1-\frac{p'}{q'}}) \left\| \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \|a^k \partial T_{a^k}(f)\|_X^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \right\|_{L_p(\Omega)}. \end{aligned}$$

For the converse inequality, we write

$$\|a^k \partial T_{a^k}(f)\|_X^q = q(1 - a^{-q})^{-1} \int_{a^{-1}}^1 \|a^k t T_{a^k(1-t)} \partial T_{a^k t}(f)\|_X^q \frac{dt}{t}.$$

As above, we then deduce

$$\left\| \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \|a^k \partial T_{a^k}(f)\|_X^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \right\|_{L_p(\Omega)} \leq q^{\frac{1}{q}}(1 - a^{-q})^{-\frac{1}{q}} \max(\mathbb{T}_{\max}^{1-\frac{p}{q}}, \mathbb{T}_{\max}^{*1-\frac{p'}{q'}}) \|\mathcal{G}_q^T(f)\|_{L_p(\Omega)}.$$

The second part on $\{P_t\}_{t>0}$ is just a particular case with $\mathbb{P}_{\max} = Cp'$ and $\mathbb{P}_{\max}^* = Cp$ by virtue of Remark 2.7. \square

Recall the classical fact that $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$ is analytic on $L_p(\Omega; X)$ iff $\{t \partial T_t : t > 0\}$ is uniformly bounded on $L_p(\Omega; X)$. Thus the following remark immediately follows from the above result; it shows in particular that the analyticity of $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$ on $L_p(\Omega; X)$ is necessary for X to be of Luzin cotype q relative to $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$ for some q .

Remark 4.4. Assume that $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$ is analytic on $L_p(\Omega)$. If X is of Luzin cotype (resp. type) q relative to $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$, then X is of Luzin cotype (resp. type) r relative to $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$ for any $r > q$ (resp. $r < q$). Moreover, if X is of Luzin cotype ∞ relative to $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$, then $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$ must be analytic on $L_p(\Omega; X)$.

The following is one of the main results of this section.

Theorem 4.5. *Let X be a Banach space and $1 \leq q \leq \infty$.*

- (i) *X is of Luzin cotype q relative to $\{P_t\}_{t>0}$ iff X^* is of Luzin type q' relative to $\{P_t^*\}_{t>0}$. Moreover, the relevant constants satisfy*

$$\mathbb{L}_{t,q',p'}^{P^*}(X^*) \lesssim \mathbb{L}_{c,q,p}^P(X) \lesssim \max((p')^{1-\frac{p}{q}}, p^{1-\frac{p'}{q'}}) \mathbb{L}_{t,q',p'}^{P^*}(X^*).$$

- (ii) *Assume additionally that $1 < q < \infty$ and $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$ satisfies (1.3) for some $0 < \beta_0 \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$. Then X is of Luzin cotype q relative to $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$ iff X^* is of Luzin type q' relative to $\{T_t^*\}_{t>0}$. Moreover, the relevant constants satisfy*

$$\mathbb{L}_{t,q',p'}^{T^*}(X^*) \lesssim \mathbb{L}_{c,q,p}^T(X) \lesssim \beta_q^{-4} \mathbb{T}_{\beta_0}^{\min(\frac{p}{q}, \frac{p'}{q'})} \max((p')^{1-\frac{p}{q}}, p^{1-\frac{p'}{q'}}) \mathbb{L}_{t,q',p'}^{T^*}(X^*)$$

with $\beta_q = \beta_0 \min(\frac{p}{q}, \frac{p'}{q'})$.

Proof. (i) Assume that X is of Luzin cotype q . Let $g \in L_{p'}(\Omega; X^*)$ with $F^*(g) = 0$. Let $f \in L_p(\Omega; X)$. We want to estimate $\langle f, g \rangle$, where the duality bracket is that between $L_p(\Omega; X)$ and $L_{p'}(\Omega; X^*)$. By (4.1) and its dual version, we can assume $F(f) = 0$, which, together with (4.2), implies that $f \in \ker F = \overline{\text{im}\sqrt{A}}$. With $F(z) = -ze^{-z}$ and by (2.1) we have

$$f = 4 \int_0^\infty F(t\sqrt{A})F(t\sqrt{A})(f) \frac{dt}{t}.$$

Thus by the Hölder inequality and the Luzin cotype q of X

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle f, g \rangle| &= 4 \left| \int_0^\infty \langle F(t\sqrt{A})(f), F(t\sqrt{A}^*)(g) \rangle \frac{dt}{t} \right| \\ &\leq 4 \|\mathcal{G}_q^P(f)\|_{L_p(\Omega)} \|\mathcal{G}_{q'}^{P^*}(g)\|_{L_{p'}(\Omega)} \\ &\leq 4 \mathbb{L}_{c,q,p}^P(X) \|f\|_{L_p(\Omega; X)} \|\mathcal{G}_{q'}^{P^*}(g)\|_{L_{p'}(\Omega)}. \end{aligned}$$

Taking the supremum over f with norm 1, we show that X^* is of Luzin type q' with

$$\mathbb{L}_{t,q',p'}^{P^*}(X^*) \leq 4 \mathbb{L}_{c,q,p}^P(X).$$

To show the converse implication, let $f \in L_p(\Omega; X)$ and $h \in L_{p'}(\Omega; L_{q'}(\mathbb{R}_+; X^*))$ (recalling that \mathbb{R}_+ is equipped with $\frac{dt}{t}$). We have

$$\int_0^\infty \langle t\partial P_t(f), h_t \rangle \frac{dt}{t} = \int_0^\infty \langle f, t\partial P_t^*(h_t) \rangle \frac{dt}{t} = \langle f, g \rangle,$$

where

$$g = \int_0^\infty t\partial P_t^*(h_t) \frac{dt}{t}.$$

Applying Theorem 1.10 (i) to $\{P_t^*\}_{t>0}$ on $L_{p'}(\Omega; X^*)$, we have

$$\|\mathcal{G}_{q'}^{P^*}(g)\|_{L_{p'}(\Omega)} \lesssim \max(p^{1-\frac{p}{q}}, p^{1-\frac{p'}{q'}}) \|h\|_{L_{p'}(\Omega; L_{q'}(\mathbb{R}_+; X^*))}.$$

Combining the above inequalities, we get

$$\left| \int_0^\infty \langle t\partial P_t(f), h_t \rangle \frac{dt}{t} \right| \lesssim \max(p^{1-\frac{p}{q}}, p^{1-\frac{p'}{q'}}) \mathbb{L}_{t,q',p'}^{P^*}(X^*) \|f\|_{L_p(\Omega; X)} \|h\|_{L_{p'}(\Omega; L_{q'}(\mathbb{R}_+; X^*))},$$

which implies the Luzin cotype q of X with

$$\mathbb{L}_{c,q,p}^P(X) \lesssim \max(p^{1-\frac{p}{q}}, p^{1-\frac{p'}{q'}}) \mathbb{L}_{t,q',p'}^{P^*}(X^*).$$

(ii) The proof of this part is similar by using Theorem 1.10 (ii). \square

Corollary 4.6. *Any Banach space X is of Luzin type 1 relative to $\{P_t\}_{t>0}$, so relative to $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$ too. If $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$ is analytic on $L_p(\Omega; X)$, then X is of Luzin cotype ∞ relative to $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$, so X is always of Luzin cotype ∞ relative to the subordinated Poisson semigroup $\{P_t\}_{t>0}$.*

Proof. Indeed, let $f \in L_p(\Omega; X)$ such that $F(f) = 0$. Then by (4.2) and (2.1) we have

$$f = - \int_0^\infty t\partial P_t(f) \frac{dt}{t},$$

whence

$$\|f\|_{L_p(\Omega; X)} \leq \|\mathcal{G}_1^P(f)\|_{L_p(\Omega)}.$$

Thus X is of Luzin type 1 relative to $\{P_t\}_{t>0}$, hence also relative to $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$ by virtue of Remark 1.1. Passing to duality by means of Theorem 4.5, we see that X is of Luzin cotype ∞ relative to $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$ under the analyticity assumption of $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$ on $L_p(\Omega; X)$. \square

The following formulation of the Littlewood-Paley function $\mathcal{G}_q^P(f)$ by discrete lacunary differences is of interest in its own right.

Theorem 4.7. *Let X be a Banach space, $1 \leq q \leq \infty$ and $a > 1$.*

(i) X is of Luzin cotype q relative to $\{P_t\}_{t>0}$ iff there exists a constant c such that

$$(4.7) \quad \left\| \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \|(P_{a^k t} - P_{a^{k+1}t})(f)\|_X^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \right\|_{L_p(\Omega)} \leq c \|f\|_{L_p(\Omega; X)}$$

for all $1 \leq t \leq a$ and $f \in L_p(\Omega; X)$. Moreover, the best c and $\mathfrak{L}_{c,q,p}^P(X)$ are linked by

$$(\log a)^{-\frac{1}{q'}} c \leq \mathfrak{L}_{c,q,p}^P(X) \lesssim (\log a)^{\frac{1}{q}} \frac{a+1}{a-1} \max((p')^{1-\frac{p}{q}}, p^{1-\frac{p'}{q}}) c.$$

(ii) X is of Luzin type q relative to $\{P_t\}_{t>0}$ iff there exists a constant c such that

$$(4.8) \quad \|f - \mathbb{F}(f)\|_{L_p(\Omega; X)} \leq c \left\| \left(\int_1^a \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \|(P_{a^k t} - P_{a^{k+1}t})(f)\|_X^q dt \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \right\|_{L_p(\Omega)}$$

for all $f \in L_p(\Omega; X)$. Moreover, the best c and $\mathfrak{L}_{t,q,p}^P(X)$ are linked by

$$\frac{a-1}{a+1} \left(\max((p')^{1-\frac{p}{q}}, p^{1-\frac{p'}{q}}) \right)^{-1} c \lesssim \mathfrak{L}_{t,q,p}^P(X) \lesssim (a-1)^{\frac{1}{q}} (\log a)^{\frac{1}{q'}} c.$$

(iii) Similar statements hold for $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$ under the additional assumption that $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$ be analytic on $L_p(\Omega; X)$ and $1 < q < \infty$.

Proof. (i) We have

$$\|(P_{a^k t} - P_{a^{k+1}t})(f)\|_X^q = \left\| \int_{a^k t}^{a^{k+1}t} \partial P_s(f) ds \right\|_X^q \leq (\log a)^{\frac{q}{q'}} \int_{a^k t}^{a^{k+1}t} \|s \partial P_s(f)\|_X^q \frac{ds}{s},$$

which implies

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \|(P_{a^k t} - P_{a^{k+1}t})(f)\|_X^q \leq (\log a)^{\frac{q}{q'}} \mathcal{G}_q^P(f)^q.$$

Thus if X is of Luzin cotype q relative to $\{P_t\}_{t>0}$, then (4.7) holds with $c \leq (\log a)^{\frac{1}{q'}} \mathfrak{L}_{c,q,p}^P(X)$.

To show the converse implication, let $b = \frac{1}{2}(1+a)$. We use an idea from [27] (see also [66]) to write

$$\partial P_t = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (\partial P_{b^k t} - \partial P_{b^{k+1}t}) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \partial P_{b^{k+1}t} (P_{b^{k+1}t} - P_{b^k t}).$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{G}_q^P(f)\|_{L_p(\Omega)} &\leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left\| \{t \partial P_{b^{k+1}t} (P_{b^{k+1}t} - P_{b^k t})(f)\}_{t>0} \right\|_{L_p(\Omega; L_q(\mathbb{R}_+; X))} \\ &= 2 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b^{-k} \left\| \{t \partial P_t (P_t - P_{at})(f)\}_{t>0} \right\|_{L_p(\Omega; L_q(\mathbb{R}_+; X))} \\ &= \frac{2(a+1)}{a-1} \left\| \{t \partial P_t (P_t - P_{at})(f)\}_{t>0} \right\|_{L_p(\Omega; L_q(\mathbb{R}_+; X))}. \end{aligned}$$

By Propositions 2.4 and 2.8, the family $\{t \partial P_t\}_{t>0}$ is ℓ_q -bounded with constant $C \max((p')^{1-\frac{p}{q}}, p^{1-\frac{p'}{q}})$. Therefore,

$$\left\| \{t \partial P_t (P_t - P_{at})(f)\}_{t>0} \right\|_{L_p(\Omega; L_q(\mathbb{R}_+; X))} \lesssim \max((p')^{1-\frac{p}{q}}, p^{1-\frac{p'}{q}}) \left\| \{(P_t - P_{at})(f)\}_{t>0} \right\|_{L_p(\Omega; L_q(\mathbb{R}_+; X))}.$$

To estimate the norm on the right hand side, we write

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{\infty} \|(P_t - P_{at})(f)\|_X^q \frac{dt}{t} &= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{a^k}^{a^{k+1}} \|(P_t - P_{at})(f)\|_X^q \frac{dt}{t} \\ &= \int_1^a \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \|(P_{a^k t} - P_{a^{k+1}t})(f)\|_X^q \frac{dt}{t}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that the function

$$t \mapsto \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \|(P_{a^k t} - P_{a^{k+1}t})(f)\|_X^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}$$

is continuous from \mathbb{R}_+ to $L_p(\Omega)$, so there exists $t_0 \in [1, a]$ such that

$$\int_1^a \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \|(P_{a^k t} - P_{a^{k+1} t})(f)\|_X^q \frac{dt}{t} \leq (\log a) \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \|(P_{a^k t_0} - P_{a^{k+1} t_0})(f)\|_X^q.$$

We then deduce the Luzin cotype q of X from (4.7) with

$$\mathbf{L}_{c,q,p}^P(X) \lesssim (\log a)^{\frac{1}{q}} \frac{a+1}{a-1} \max((p')^{1-\frac{p}{q}}, p^{1-\frac{p'}{q}}) c.$$

(ii) The above argument yields the following discretization of $\mathcal{G}_q^P(f)$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{a-1}{a+1} \left(\max((p')^{1-\frac{p}{q}}, p^{1-\frac{p'}{q}}) \right)^{-1} \|\mathcal{G}_q^P(f)\|_{L_p(\Omega)} \\ & \lesssim \left\| \left(\int_1^a \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \|(P_{a^k t} - P_{a^{k+1} t})(f)\|_X^q dt \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \right\|_{L_p(\Omega)} \\ & \leq (a-1)^{\frac{1}{q}} (\log a)^{\frac{1}{q'}} \|\mathcal{G}_q^P(f)\|_{L_p(\Omega)}. \end{aligned}$$

This immediately implies assertion (ii).

(iii) is proved similarly by virtue of Propositions 2.4 and 2.9. \square

We have seen that the proofs of Theorem 4.5 and Theorem 1.10 are based on functional calculus for the special function $F(z) = -ze^{-z}$. It is known that functional calculus allows us to use more general functions.

Definition 4.8. Let B be an ℓ_q -sectorial operator of type α on $L_p(\Omega; X)$ with $\alpha < \pi$. Let $\beta > \alpha$ and $\varphi \in H_0^\infty(\Sigma_\beta)$ be a nonzero function. Define

$$\mathcal{G}_{q,\varphi}^B(f) = \left(\int_0^\infty \|\varphi(tB)(f)\|_X^q \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}, \quad f \in L_p(\Omega; X).$$

The following result is a variant of [42, Theorem 5] (see also [66, Lemma 20]).

Proposition 4.9. Let φ and ψ be two nonzero functions in $H_0^\infty(\Sigma_\beta)$. Then

$$\|\mathcal{G}_{q,\varphi}^B(f)\|_{L_p(\Omega)} \leq C_{B,q,\varphi,\psi} \|\mathcal{G}_{q,\psi}^B(f)\|_{L_p(\Omega)}, \quad f \in L_p(\Omega; X).$$

Proof. Let

$$a = \int_0^\infty \psi(t)^2 \frac{dt}{t}.$$

Then

$$1 = \frac{1}{a} \int_0^\infty \psi(tz)^2 \frac{dt}{t}, \quad z \in \Sigma_\beta.$$

Combined with (2.1), this implies

$$f = \frac{1}{a} \int_0^\infty \psi(tB)^2(f) \frac{dt}{t}, \quad f \in \overline{\text{im} B},$$

whence

$$\varphi(sB)(f) = \frac{1}{a} \int_0^\infty \varphi(sB) \psi(tB) (\psi(tB)(f)) \frac{dt}{t}, \quad s > 0.$$

Let $\alpha < \gamma < \beta$ and Γ be the boundary of Σ_γ . We then deduce

$$\varphi(sB)(f) = \frac{1}{2a\pi i} \int_\Gamma \int_0^\infty \varphi(sz) \psi(tz) z(z-B)^{-1} (\psi(tB)(f)) \frac{dt}{t} \frac{dz}{z}.$$

These equalities are the analogues of (3.1) and (3.2) with $h_t = \psi(tB)(f)$. It remains to repeat the proof of Theorem 1.10 to conclude

$$\|\mathcal{G}_{q,\varphi}^B(f)\|_{L_p(\Omega)} \leq \frac{1}{2|a|\pi} C_{B,\gamma} C_{\varphi,\gamma} C_{\psi,\gamma} \|\mathcal{G}_{q,\psi}^B(f)\|_{L_p(\Omega)},$$

where $C_{B,\gamma}$ is the ℓ_q -boundedness constant of $\{z(z-B)^{-1} : z \in \Gamma \setminus \{0\}\}$,

$$C_{\varphi,\gamma} = \max_{\varepsilon=\pm 1} \int_0^\infty |\varphi(te^{i\varepsilon\gamma})| \frac{dt}{t}$$

and $C_{\psi,\gamma}$ is similarly defined. \square

In particular, combining the previous proposition with the results in section 2, we obtain the following

Corollary 4.10. *Let X be a Banach space and $1 \leq q \leq \infty$.*

- (i) *X is of Luzin cotype q relative to $\{P_t\}_{t>0}$ iff for every nonzero $\varphi \in H_0^\infty(\Sigma_\beta)$ with $\beta > \pi/4$ (equivalently, for some nonzero $\varphi \in H_0^\infty(\Sigma_\beta)$) there exists a constant c such that*

$$\|\mathcal{G}_{q,\varphi}^{\sqrt{A}}(f)\|_{L_p(\Omega)} \leq c \|f\|_{L_p(\Omega; X)}, \quad f \in L_p(\Omega; X).$$

- (ii) *X is of Luzin type q relative to $\{P_t\}_{t>0}$ iff for every nonzero $\varphi \in H_0^\infty(\Sigma_\beta)$ with $\beta > \pi/4$ (equivalently, for some nonzero $\varphi \in H_0^\infty(\Sigma_\beta)$) there exists a constant c such that*

$$\|f - F(f)\|_{L_p(\Omega; X)} \leq c \|\mathcal{G}_{q,\varphi}^{\sqrt{A}}(f)\|_{L_p(\Omega)}, \quad f \in L_p(\Omega; X).$$

- (iii) *Similar statements hold for $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$ when $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$ is analytic on $L_p(\Omega; X)$ and $1 < q < \infty$.*

We conclude this section by some remarks on general ℓ_q -sectorial operators on $L_p(\Omega; X)$ for which we have defined the g -function in Definition 4.8. In fact, what we have done so far for semigroups can be developed for these operators too.

Definition 4.11. Let B be an ℓ_q -sectorial operator of type α on $L_p(\Omega; X)$ with $\alpha < \pi$.

- (i) X is said to be of *Luzin cotype q relative to B* if there exists a constant c such that

$$\|\mathcal{G}_{q,\varphi}^B(f)\|_{L_p(\Omega)} \leq c \|f\|_{L_p(\Omega; X)}$$

for every $f \in \overline{\text{im}B}$ and some nonzero $\varphi \in H_0^\infty(\Sigma_\beta)$ with $\beta > \alpha$.

- (ii) X is said to be of *Luzin type q relative to B* if there exists a constant c such that

$$\|f\|_{L_p(\Omega; X)} \leq c \|\mathcal{G}_{q,\varphi}^B(f)\|_{L_p(\Omega)}$$

for every $f \in \overline{\text{im}B}$ and some nonzero $\varphi \in H_0^\infty(\Sigma_\beta)$ with $\beta > \alpha$.

Proposition 4.9 shows that the above definition is independent of the choice of φ . Assume additionally that B admits a dual operator B' on $L_{p'}(\Omega; X^*)$ in the sense of [13], namely,

$$\langle B(f), g \rangle = \langle f, B'(g) \rangle, \quad f \in \text{Dom}B, \quad g \in \text{Dom}B'.$$

Assume further that B' is also $\ell_{q'}$ -sectorial of type α on $L_{p'}(\Omega; X^*)$.

Proposition 4.12. *Under the above assumption, X is of Luzin cotype q relative to B iff X^* is of Luzin type q' relative to B' .*

Proof. Noting that Theorem 1.10 transfers to the present setting, we can repeat the proof of Theorem 4.5, and so omit the details. \square

It would be interesting to investigate the Luzin type and cotype relative to B as above. Guided by Theorems 1.2 and 1.4, one would like to know those operators B such that the Luzin type or cotype relative to B is implied by the martingale type or cotype. It seems that more structure should be imposed to B in order to get significant results. We have seen that this is indeed the case if B is the negative generator of $\{P_t\}_{t>0}$ or $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$ on $L_p(\Omega; X)$. On the other hand, we have the following proposition that is contained (essentially) in [58]. Note that [58] can be viewed as the particular case of our discussion where Ω is a singleton (the ℓ_q -boundedness then simply becomes the usual boundedness).

The notion of type and cotype referred in the next proposition is the usual Rademacher type and cotype.

Proposition 4.13. *Assume that B has a bounded H^∞ functional calculus. If X is of cotype (resp. type) q , then X is of Luzin cotype (resp. Luzin type) q relative to B .*

Proof. As in [58], this is a simple consequence of Kalton-Weis' theorem on the unconditionality of bounded H^∞ functional calculus (see Theorem 10.4.6 or its discrete version, Theorem 10.4.4. in [28]). \square

Remark 4.14. The assumption on the H^∞ functional calculus of B seems too strong since it implies that X is a UMD space in many cases, for instance, if B is the negative generator of $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$ on $L_p(\mathbb{R}; X)$ when $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$ is the heat or Poisson semigroup on \mathbb{R} .

5. DYADIC MARTINGALES AND SINGULAR INTEGRALS

The proofs of Theorem 1.2 (i) and Theorem 1.5 heavily rely on tools from harmonic analysis, notably from modern real-variable Littlewood-Paley theory. This section is a preparation for using these tools. We will mainly follow Wilson's beautiful treatment in [62] (see also [60, 61]) for the part on Littlewood-Paley theory.

5.1. Dyadic martingales. All cubes in \mathbb{R}^d considered in the sequel are bounded and with sides parallel to the axes. $|Q|$ and $\ell(Q)$ denote respectively the volume and side length of a cube Q ; tQ stands for the cube with the same center as Q and $\ell(tQ) = t\ell(Q)$ for $t > 0$.

Let \mathcal{D} be the family of all dyadic cubes of \mathbb{R}^d , $\mathcal{D}_k \subset \mathcal{D}$ the subfamily of all cubes with side length 2^{-k} for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let \mathcal{A}_k be the σ -algebra generated by \mathcal{D}_k and \mathbb{E}_k the associated conditional expectation. For $f \in L_p(\mathbb{R}^d; X)$,

$$\mathbb{E}_k(f) = \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{D}_k} \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q f \right) \mathbb{1}_Q.$$

Let $d_k(f) = \mathbb{E}_k(f) - \mathbb{E}_{k-1}(f)$ and

$$S_q(f) = \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \|d_k(f)\|_X^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

$S_q(f)$ is the q -variant of the usual martingale square function of f . It is useful to note that $d_k(f)$ is of vanishing mean on every $Q \in \mathcal{D}_{k-1}$ and constant on every $R \in \mathcal{D}_k$.

Thus if X is of martingale type q , then

$$\|f\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}^d; X)} \leq M_{t,q}(X) \|S_q(f)\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}^d)}, \quad f \in L_q(\mathbb{R}^d; X).$$

We will need *dyadic-like families of cubes* that Wilson calls good families. \mathcal{F} is such a family if

- a) for $Q \in \mathcal{F}$, all its 2^d immediate dyadic subcubes belong to \mathcal{F} too;
- b) every $Q \in \mathcal{F}$ is one of the 2^d immediate dyadic subcubes of another one in \mathcal{F} ;
- c) for all $Q, R \in \mathcal{F}$, $Q \subset R$, or $R \subset Q$, or $Q \cap R = \emptyset$.

For \mathcal{F} a dyadic-like family of cubes, we define the associated $S_{q,\mathcal{F}}$:

$$S_{q,\mathcal{F}}(f) = \left(\sum_{Q \in \mathcal{F}} \sum_{R \in \mathcal{F}, R \subset Q, \ell(R) = \frac{\ell(Q)}{2}} \left\| \frac{1}{|R|} \int_R f - \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q f \right\|_X^q \mathbb{1}_R \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

It is easy to see that given a finite number of cubes in \mathcal{F} , we can bring the subfamily consisting of those cubes in \mathcal{F} that are contained in one of the given cubes to a subfamily of \mathcal{D} after appropriate translation and rescaling. Thus we have the following.

Lemma 5.1. *Let \mathcal{F} be a dyadic-like family of cubes. If X is of martingale type q , then*

$$\|f\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}^d; X)} \leq M_{t,q}(X) \|S_{q,\mathcal{F}}(f)\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}^d)}$$

for all $f \in L_q(\mathbb{R}^d; X)$ supported by cubes from \mathcal{F} .

An important case needed later concerns the family $\{3Q\}_{Q \in \mathcal{D}}$. The following is due to Wilson [60].

Lemma 5.2. *The family $\{3Q\}_{Q \in \mathcal{D}}$ is a disjoint union of 3^d dyadic-like families.*

It suffices to consider the case $d = 1$. Then every $3Q$ can be written in the form $[\frac{3j+s}{2^k}, \frac{3(j+1)+s}{2^k})$ with $j, k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $s \in \{0, 1, 2\}$. Let \mathcal{F}_s^k be the collection of all such intervals for given k and s . Then the desired union is $\cup_{s=0}^2 \cup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{F}_{2^k|s \bmod 3}^k$.

Let \mathcal{F} be a dyadic-like family of cubes and $\delta > 0$. Consider a family $\{a_Q\}_{Q \in \mathcal{F}}$ of X -valued functions satisfying the following conditions:

$$(5.1) \quad \text{supp}(a_Q) \subset Q, \quad \int a_Q = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \|a_Q(x) - a_Q(y)\|_X \leq |Q|^{-\frac{1}{q}} \left(\frac{|x-y|}{\ell(Q)} \right)^\delta.$$

These are *smooth atoms*. Let $\{\lambda_Q\}_{Q \in \mathcal{F}}$ be a finite family of complex numbers and $f = \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{F}} \lambda_Q a_Q$.

The following is the adaptation of a lemma due to Wilson to the present setting. We include its proof for the convenience of the reader.

Lemma 5.3. *Under the above assumption, we have*

$$S_{q,\mathcal{F}}(f) \lesssim_{d,\delta} \left(\sum_{Q \in \mathcal{F}} \frac{|\lambda_Q|^q}{|Q|} \mathbf{1}_Q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

Proof. Without loss of generality, we assume that $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{D}$, so $S_{q,\mathcal{F}}(f) = S_q(f)$. Since a_Q is of vanishing mean, $d_k(a_Q) = 0$ whenever $k \leq k(Q)$, where $2^{-k(Q)} = \ell(Q)$. Let $R \in \mathcal{D}_{k-1}$ with $k > k(Q)$ and $R \subset Q$. Then on R ,

$$\begin{aligned} d_k(a_Q) &= \sum_{I \in \mathcal{D}_k, I \subset R} \left(\frac{1}{|I|} \int_I a_Q - \frac{1}{|R|} \int_R a_Q \right) \mathbf{1}_I \\ &= \sum_{I \in \mathcal{D}_k, I \subset R} \frac{1}{|I||R|} \int_{I \times R} (a_Q(x) - a_Q(y)) dx dy \mathbf{1}_I. \end{aligned}$$

Thus by the last condition of (5.1),

$$\|d_k(a_Q)\|_X \lesssim_d |Q|^{-\frac{1}{q}} \left(\frac{\ell(R)}{\ell(Q)} \right)^\delta;$$

hence on R ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|d_k(f)\|_X &\lesssim_d \left(\sum_{Q:Q \supset R} \frac{|\lambda_Q|^q}{|Q|} \left(\frac{\ell(R)}{\ell(Q)} \right)^\delta \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \left(\sum_{Q:Q \supset R} \left(\frac{\ell(R)}{\ell(Q)} \right)^\delta \right)^{\frac{1}{q'}} \\ &\lesssim_{d,\delta} \left(\sum_{Q:Q \supset R} \frac{|\lambda_Q|^q}{|Q|} \left(\frac{\ell(R)}{\ell(Q)} \right)^\delta \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}. \end{aligned}$$

It then follows that

$$\begin{aligned} S_q(f)^q(x) &= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{R \in \mathcal{D}_{k-1}} \|d_k(f)\|_X^q \mathbf{1}_R(x) \\ &\lesssim_{d,\delta} \sum_{R:x \in R} \sum_{Q:Q \supset R} \frac{|\lambda_Q|^q}{|Q|} \left(\frac{\ell(R)}{\ell(Q)} \right)^\delta \mathbf{1}_R(x) \\ &\lesssim_{d,\delta} \sum_Q \frac{|\lambda_Q|^q}{|Q|} \sum_{R:x \in R \subset Q} \left(\frac{\ell(R)}{\ell(Q)} \right)^\delta \mathbf{1}_R(x) \\ &\lesssim_{d,\delta} \sum_Q \frac{|\lambda_Q|^q}{|Q|} \mathbf{1}_Q(x). \end{aligned}$$

This gives the desired assertion. \square

5.2. Singular integrals. Given $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\delta > 0$, let $\mathcal{H}_{\varepsilon,\delta}$ be the class of all integrable functions φ on \mathbb{R}^d satisfying (1.4). Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}_{\varepsilon,\delta}$. We consider the vector-valued kernel K defined by $K(x) = \{\varphi_t(x)\}_{t>0}$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, that is, K is a function from \mathbb{R}^d to $L_q(\mathbb{R}_+)$. With a slight abuse of notation, we use K to denote the associated singular integral too:

$$K(f) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} K(x-y) f(y) dy.$$

Then

$$G_{q,\varphi}(f)(x) = \|K(f)(x)\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_+; X)}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Lemma 5.4. *The kernel K satisfies the regularity properties:*

$$\|K(x)\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_+)} \lesssim_\varepsilon \frac{1}{|x|^d} \quad \text{and} \quad \|K(x+y) - K(x)\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_+)} \lesssim_\varepsilon \frac{|y|^\delta}{|x|^{d+\delta}}, \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d, |x| > 2|y|.$$

Proof. Let $x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$. Then by (1.4),

$$\begin{aligned} \|K(x)\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_+)}^q &= \int_0^\infty |\varphi_t(x)|^q \frac{dt}{t} \leq \int_0^\infty \left[\frac{1}{t^d} \frac{1}{\left(1 + \frac{|x|}{t}\right)^{d+\varepsilon}} \right]^q \frac{dt}{t} \\ &= \frac{1}{|x|^{dq}} \int_0^\infty \frac{t^{\varepsilon q}}{(1+t)^{(d+\varepsilon)q}} \frac{dt}{t} \lesssim_\varepsilon \frac{1}{|x|^{dq}} \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} \|K(x+y) - K(x)\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_+)}^q &\lesssim |y|^{\delta q} \int_0^\infty \left[\frac{1}{t^{d+\delta}} \frac{1}{\left(1 + \frac{|x|}{t}\right)^{d+\varepsilon+\delta}} \right]^q \frac{dt}{t} \\ &= \frac{|y|^{\delta q}}{|x|^{(d+\delta)q}} \int_0^\infty \frac{t^{\varepsilon q}}{(1+t)^{(d+\varepsilon+\delta)q}} \frac{dt}{t} \lesssim_\varepsilon \frac{|y|^{\delta q}}{|x|^{(d+\delta)q}}. \end{aligned}$$

This lemma is proved. \square

5.3. A quasi-orthogonal decomposition. Beside $\mathcal{H}_{\varepsilon,\delta}$ introduced in the previous subsection, we will need its subclass of functions supported in the unit ball. More precisely, let \mathcal{H}_δ^0 be the class of integrable functions φ on \mathbb{R}^d such that

$$(5.2) \quad \text{supp}(\varphi) \subset B(0,1), \quad |\varphi(x) - \varphi(y)| \leq |x - y|^\delta, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(x) dx = 0.$$

Here $B(x,t)$ denotes the ball of \mathbb{R}^d with center x and radius t .

Any function in $\mathcal{H}_{\varepsilon,\delta}$ can be decomposed into a series of functions in \mathcal{H}_δ^0 thanks to the following lemma due to Uchiyama [57] (see also [61]).

Lemma 5.5. *Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}_{\varepsilon,\delta}$. Then there exist a positive constant $C_{\varepsilon,\delta}$ and a sequence of functions $\psi^{(k)} \in \mathcal{H}_\delta^0$ such that*

$$\varphi = C_{\varepsilon,\delta} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{-\varepsilon k} (\psi^{(k)})_{2^k}.$$

Proof. The proof is elementary. Let η be a smooth function supported in $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : \frac{1}{2} < |x| < 2\}$ such that

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \eta(2^{-k}x) = 1, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}.$$

Define

$$\rho_0(x) = \sum_{j \leq -1} \eta(2^{-j}|x|), \quad \rho_k(x) = \eta(2^{-k+1}|x|) \text{ for } k \geq 1$$

and

$$\zeta_k = \frac{\sum_{0 \leq j \leq k} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho_j \varphi}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho_k} \rho_k.$$

Then the desired decomposition is given by

$$\varphi = (\varphi \rho_0 - \zeta_0) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\varphi \rho_k - \zeta_k + \zeta_{k-1}) = C_{\varepsilon,\delta} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{-\varepsilon k} (\psi^{(k)})_{2^k}.$$

\square

By our convention that \mathbb{R}_+ is equipped with the measure $\frac{dt}{t}$, the upper half space \mathbb{R}_+^{d+1} is equipped with the product measure $\frac{dx dt}{t}$. Consistent with our convention before, we write a function $h : \mathbb{R}_+^{d+1} \rightarrow X$ as $h(x,t) = h_t(x)$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$. Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}_{\varepsilon,\delta}$ and $h \in L_q(\mathbb{R}_+^{d+1}; X)$ with compact support. Consider the following function

$$g(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{d+1}} \varphi_t(y-x) h_t(y) \frac{dy dt}{t}.$$

We will decompose g into a series of smooth atoms

$$g = \sum_i \lambda_i a_i,$$

where the a_i 's satisfy (5.1) relative to $\{3Q\}_{Q \in \mathcal{D}}$ and the λ_i 's are reals such that

$$\left(\sum_i |\lambda_i|^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \lesssim_{d,\varepsilon,\delta} \|h\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_+^{d+1}; X)}.$$

This is the so-called the *quasi-orthogonal decomposition* of g . First, using Lemma 5.5, we reduce our problem to the case where φ is supported in the unit ball:

$$\begin{aligned} g(x) &= C_{\varepsilon, \delta} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{-\varepsilon k} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{d+1}} (\psi^{(k)})_{2^k t}(y-x) h_t(y) \frac{dy dt}{t} \\ &= C_{\varepsilon, \delta} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{-\varepsilon k} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{d+1}} (\psi^{(k)})_t(y-x) h_{2^{-k}t}(y) \frac{dy dt}{t}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $h_{2^{-k}\cdot}$ has the same norm as h in $L_q(\mathbb{R}_+^{d+1}; X)$. Thus it suffices to do the decomposition for each $\psi^{(k)}$ in place of φ .

In the following, we will assume that φ itself belongs to \mathcal{H}_δ^0 . The argument below is classical. For $Q \in \mathcal{D}$, let $T_Q = \{(y, t) : y \in Q, \frac{\ell(Q)}{2} < t \leq \ell(Q)\}$. Then $\{T_Q\}_{Q \in \mathcal{D}}$ is a partition of \mathbb{R}_+^{d+1} . So

$$g(x) = \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{D}} \int_{T_Q} \varphi_t(y-x) h_t(y) \frac{dy dt}{t} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{D}} \lambda_Q a_Q(x)$$

with

$$\lambda_Q = \left(\int_{T_Q} \|h_t(y)\|_X^q \frac{dy dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

Clearly,

$$\sum_{Q \in \mathcal{D}} |\lambda_Q|^q = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{d+1}} \|h_t(y)\|_X^q \frac{dy dt}{t} = \|h\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_+^{d+1}; X)}^q.$$

Since φ is supported in the unit ball and of vanishing mean, we see that a_Q is supported in $3Q$ and of vanishing mean too. On the other hand, since φ is in the Hölder class \mathcal{H}_δ^0 , by the Hölder inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} \|a_Q(x) - a_Q(x')\|_X &\leq \left(\int_{T_Q} |\varphi_t(y-x) - \varphi_t(y-x')|^{q'} \frac{dy dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{q'}} \\ &\leq \left(\int_{T_Q} \left[\frac{1}{t^d} \left(\frac{|x-x'|}{t} \right)^\delta \right]^{q'} \frac{dy dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{q'}} \\ &\lesssim_{d, \delta} |Q|^{-\frac{1}{q}} \left(\frac{|x-x'|}{\ell(Q)} \right)^\delta. \end{aligned}$$

Thus a_Q is a smooth atom. This yields the desired quasi-orthogonal decomposition.

Combining the above discussion with Lemmas 5.1 – 5.3, we get the following

Lemma 5.6. *Keep the above notation and assume that X is of martingale type q . Then*

$$\|g\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}^d; X)} \lesssim_{d, \varepsilon, \delta} \mathbf{M}_{t, q}(X) \|h\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_+^{d+1}; X)}.$$

6. PROOFS OF THEOREM 1.5 AND COROLLARY 1.6

With the preparation in section 5, we are in a position to show Theorem 1.5. For clarity, we divide the proof into several steps. X will be assumed of martingale cotype q in the first four steps, and of martingale type q in the last step.

Step 1: A weighted norm inequality. Let φ be a function satisfying (1.4), i.e., $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}_{\varepsilon, \delta}$. Beside the g -function defined by (1.6), we will need the Luzin integral function

$$S_{q, \varphi}(f)(x) = \left(\int_{|y-x|<t} \|\varphi_t * f(y)\|_X^q \frac{dy dt}{t^{d+1}} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}$$

for nice $f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow X$. The key of this proof is the following weighted norm inequality:

For any locally integrable nonnegative function w on \mathbb{R}^d and any $f \in L_q(\mathbb{R}^d; X)$

$$(6.1) \quad \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (S_{q, \varphi}(f)(x))^q w(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \lesssim_{d, \varepsilon, \delta} \mathbf{M}_{c, q}(X) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \|f(x)\|_X^q M(w)(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}},$$

where $M(w)$ denotes the Hardy-Littlewood maximal function of w :

$$M(w)(x) = \sup \left\{ \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B w : x \in B, B \text{ ball} \right\}.$$

First consider the unweighted case, i.e., $w \equiv 1$. By the Fubini theorem, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (S_{q,\varphi}(f)(x))^q dx = c_d \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{d+1}} \|\varphi_t * f(x)\|_X^q \frac{dx dt}{t}.$$

Let $h : \mathbb{R}_+^{d+1} \rightarrow X^*$ be a compactly supported smooth function such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{d+1}} \|h_t(x)\|_{X^*}^{q'} \frac{dx dt}{t} \leq 1.$$

Then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{d+1}} \langle \varphi_t * f(y), h_t(y) \rangle \frac{dy dt}{t} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \langle f(x), g(x) \rangle dx,$$

where

$$g(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{d+1}} \varphi_t(y-x) h_t(y) \frac{dy dt}{t}.$$

Recall that it is well known (and easy to check) that X is of martingale type q iff X^* is of martingale cotype q' with the following relation between the relevant constants:

$$(6.2) \quad \mathbf{M}_{t,q}(X) \leq \mathbf{M}_{c,q'}(X^*) \leq 2\mathbf{M}_{t,q}(X).$$

Thus applying Lemma 5.6 to h and g with (X^*, q') in place of (X, q) there, we get

$$\|g\|_{L_{q'}(\mathbb{R}^d; X^*)} \lesssim_{d,\varepsilon,\delta} \mathbf{M}_{t,q'}(X^*) \|h\|_{L_{q'}(\mathbb{R}_+^{d+1}; X^*)} \lesssim_{d,\varepsilon,\delta} \mathbf{M}_{c,q}(X).$$

Then taking the supremum over all h in the unit ball of $L_{q'}(\mathbb{R}_+^{d+1}; X^*)$, we deduce

$$\|S_{q,\varphi}(f)\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim_{d,\varepsilon,\delta} \mathbf{M}_{c,q}(X) \|f\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}^d; X)}.$$

Namely, the unweighted version of (6.1) holds.

We will deduce the weighted version by a trick from [11]. By Lemma 5.5, we can assume that φ is supported in the unit ball of \mathbb{R}^d . Given a weight w , we write (recalling that $B(y, t)$ denotes the ball of center y and radius t)

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (S_{q,\varphi}(f)(x))^q w(x) dx = c_d \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{d+1}} \|\varphi_t * f(y)\|_X^q \left(\frac{1}{|B(y, t)|} \int_{B(y, t)} w(x) dx \right) \frac{dy dt}{t}.$$

Let

$$F_k = \left\{ (y, t) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{d+1} : 2^k < \frac{1}{|B(y, t)|} \int_{B(y, t)} w(x) dx \leq 2^{k+1} \right\}, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Clearly, $(y, t) \in F_k$ implies $B(y, t) \subset E_k = \{x : M(w)(x) > 2^k\}$. Together with the fact that $\varphi_t(y - \cdot)$ is supported in $B(y, t)$, this implies $\varphi_t * f(y) = \varphi_t * (f \mathbf{1}_{E_k})(y)$ whenever $(y, t) \in F_k$. Thus using the unweighted version already proved (applied to $f \mathbf{1}_{E_k}$), we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (S_{q,\varphi}(f)(x))^q w(x) dx &\lesssim_d \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^k \int_{F_k} \|\varphi_t * f(y)\|_X^q \frac{dy dt}{t} \\ &\lesssim_d \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^k \int_{F_k} \|\varphi_t * (f \mathbf{1}_{E_k})(y)\|_X^q \frac{dy dt}{t} \\ &\lesssim_{d,\varepsilon,\delta} \mathbf{M}_{c,q}(X)^q \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^k \int_{E_k} \|f(x)\|_X^q dx \\ &\lesssim_{d,\varepsilon,\delta} \mathbf{M}_{c,q}(X)^q \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \|f(x)\|_X^q M(w)(x) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Thus (6.1) is proved.

Step 2: Another weighted norm inequality. We need to show that (6.1) remains valid for $G_{q,\varphi}$ instead of $S_{q,\varphi}$:

$$(6.3) \quad \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (G_{q,\varphi}(f)(x))^q w(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \lesssim_{d,\varepsilon,\delta} \mathbf{M}_{c,q}(X) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \|f(x)\|_X^q M(w)(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}},$$

To this end, we have to control the g -function by the Luzin area function. If φ is the Poisson kernel, this is a classical fact thanks to harmonicity. In the present setting, we need a little bit more efforts.

If additionally all partial derivatives of φ with order up to d belong to $\mathcal{H}_{\varepsilon,\delta}$, then we can show

$$G_{q,\varphi}(f)(x) \lesssim_d \sum_{|\alpha| \leq d} S_{q,D^\alpha \varphi}(f)(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

where $D^\alpha = \frac{\partial^{\alpha_1}}{\partial x_1^{\alpha_1}} \cdots \frac{\partial^{\alpha_d}}{\partial x_d^{\alpha_d}}$ for $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d)$ and $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_d$. The proof of this inequality is elementary (see [63, Lemma 4.3]). Thus (6.3) holds for such φ .

For a general φ , we need to adapt the arguments of [61] to the present setting by introducing the vector-valued q -variants of Wilson's intrinsic square functions:

$$S_{q,\varepsilon,\delta}(f)(x)^q = \int_{|y-x| < t} \sup_{\varphi \in \mathcal{H}_{\varepsilon,\delta}} \|\varphi_t * f(y)\|_X^q \frac{dy dt}{t^{d+1}},$$

$$G_{q,\varepsilon,\delta}(f)(x)^q = \int_0^\infty \sup_{\varphi \in \mathcal{H}_{\varepsilon,\delta}} \|\varphi_t * f(x)\|_X^q \frac{dt}{t}.$$

One can show, quite easily, that $S_{q,\varepsilon,\delta}(f)(x) \approx_{d,\varepsilon,\delta} G_{q,\varepsilon,\delta}(f)(x)$ for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ (see [61] for more details).

On the other hand, for a compactly supported smooth function $h : \mathbb{R}_+^{d+1} \rightarrow X^*$ choose a family $\{\varphi^{(y,t)}\}_{(y,t) \in K} \subset \mathcal{H}_{\varepsilon,\delta}$ (K being the support of h) such that

$$\|\varphi_t^{(y,t)} * f(y)\|_X \geq \frac{1}{2} \sup_{\varphi \in \mathcal{H}_{\varepsilon,\delta}} \|\varphi_t * f(y)\|_X, \quad (y,t) \in K.$$

Then by adapting the arguments in subsection 5.3 to the present situation and by repeating step 1, one can estimate the integral

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{d+1}} \langle \varphi_t^{(y,t)} * f(y), h_t(y) \rangle \frac{dy dt}{t} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \langle f(x), \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{d+1}} \varphi_t^{(y,t)}(y-x) h_t(y) \frac{dy dt}{t} \rangle dx$$

to conclude that

$$\|S_{q,\varepsilon,\delta}(f)\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim_{d,\varepsilon,\delta} \mathbf{M}_{c,q}(X) \|f\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}^d; X)}.$$

This implies (6.1) with $S_{q,\psi}$ replaced by $S_{q,\varepsilon,\delta}$ by the passage from the unweighted case to the weighted one. Then the pointwise equivalence $S_{q,\varepsilon,\delta}(f) \approx_{d,\varepsilon,\delta} G_{q,\varepsilon,\delta}(f)$ shows that (6.3) holds for $G_{q,\varepsilon,\delta}$ instead of $G_{q,\varphi}$, whence (6.3) for every $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}_{\varepsilon,\delta}$.

Step 3: Proof of Theorem 1.5 (i) for $p \geq q$. We can now easily prove part (i) of Theorem 1.5 for $p \geq q$. Indeed, the case $p = q$ is just the unweighted version of (6.3). For $p > q$, let w be a nonnegative function on \mathbb{R}^d with L_r -norm equal to 1, where r is the conjugate index of $\frac{p}{q}$. Then for $f \in L_p(\mathbb{R}; X)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (G_{q,\varphi}(f)(x))^q w(x) dx &\lesssim_{d,\varepsilon,\delta} \mathbf{M}_{c,q}(X)^q \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \|f(x)\|_X^q M(w)(x) dx \\ &\lesssim_{d,\varepsilon,\delta} \mathbf{M}_{c,q}(X)^q \|f\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}^d; X)}^q \|M(w)\|_{L_r(\mathbb{R}^d)} \\ &\lesssim_{d,\varepsilon,\delta} r' \mathbf{M}_{c,q}(X)^q \|f\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}^d; X)}^q. \end{aligned}$$

Taking the supremum over all w , we get

$$\|G_{q,\varphi}(f)\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim_{d,\varepsilon,\delta} p^{\frac{1}{q}} \mathbf{M}_{c,q}(X) \|f\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}^d; X)},$$

whence $L_{c,q,p}^\varphi(X) \lesssim_{d,\varepsilon,\delta} p^{\frac{1}{q}} \mathbf{M}_{c,q}(X)$.

Step 4: Proof of Theorem 1.5 (i) for $p < q$. We deal with the case $p < q$ by singular integrals. Let K be the singular integral associated to φ as in subsection 5.2. We reduce to showing that K

is bounded from $L_p(\mathbb{R}^d; X)$ to $L_p(\mathbb{R}^d; L_q(\mathbb{R}_+; X))$. The previous step insures this boundedness for $p = q$. On the other hand, Lemma 5.4 shows that K is a regular Calderón-Zygmund kernel. Thus K satisfies the assumption of [16, Theorem V.3.4]. Note that [16, Theorem V.3.4] is formulated for kernels satisfying the regularities in Lemma 5.4 with $\delta = 1$; however, it is well known that [16, Theorem V.3.4] remains valid for any kernel as in Lemma 5.4 with the same proof. Therefore, K is of weak type $(1, 1)$, so by the vector-valued Marcinkiewicz interpolation theorem (see [4, Theorem 1.3.1] and its proof), K is bounded from $L_p(\mathbb{R}^d; X)$ to $L_p(\mathbb{R}^d; L_q(\mathbb{R}_+; X))$ with norm controlled by $C_{d,\varepsilon,\delta} p' M_{c,q}(X)$ for $1 < p < q$. This finishes the proof of Theorem 1.5 (i).

Step 5: Proof of Theorem 1.5 (ii). In this last step we show part (ii) by duality. Let $\psi \in \mathcal{H}_{\varepsilon,\delta}$ such that (1.5) holds. Let $f \in L_p(\mathbb{R}^d; X)$ and $g \in L_{p'}(\mathbb{R}^d; X^*)$. Then (1.5) implies

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \langle f(x), g(x) \rangle dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{d+1}} \langle \varphi_t * f(x), \psi_t * g(x) \rangle \frac{dx dt}{t}.$$

In the scalar case, this Calderón reproducing formula is proved by taking Fourier transforms of both sides. Then by linearity, the formula extends to the vector-valued case too when both f and g take values in finite dimensional subspaces, which can be assumed by approximation. Therefore,

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \langle f(x), g(x) \rangle dx \right| \leq \|G_{q,\varphi}(f)\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}^d)} \|G_{q',\psi}(g)\|_{L_{p'}(\mathbb{R}^d)}.$$

Since we are in part (ii) of Theorem 1.5, X is of martingale type q , so X^* is of martingale cotype q' and $M_{c,q'}(X^*) \leq 2M_{t,q}(X)$ by (6.2). Thus by part (i) already proved, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|G_{q',\psi}(g)\|_{L_{p'}(\mathbb{R}^d)} &\leq L_{c,q',p'}^\psi(X^*) \|g\|_{L_{p'}(\mathbb{R}^d; X^*)} \\ &\lesssim_{d,\varepsilon,\delta} \max((p')^{\frac{1}{q'}}, p) M_{c,q'}(X^*) \|g\|_{L_{p'}(\mathbb{R}^d; X^*)}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \langle f(x), g(x) \rangle dx \right| \lesssim_{d,\varepsilon,\delta} \max(p, (p')^{\frac{1}{q'}}) M_{t,q}(X) \|G_{q,\varphi}(f)\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}^d)} \|g\|_{L_{p'}(\mathbb{R}^d; X^*)}.$$

Taking the supremum over all g with $\|g\|_{L_{p'}(\mathbb{R}^d; X^*)} \leq 1$, we deduce

$$L_{t,q,p}^\varphi(X) \lesssim_{d,\varepsilon,\delta} \max(p, (p')^{\frac{1}{q'}}) M_{t,q}(X)$$

as desired. So the proof of Theorem 1.5 is complete.

Proof of Corollary 1.6. The first part of this corollary immediately follows from Theorem 1.5. The first two inequalities of the second part are consequences of Remark 1.1 and Proposition 8.5 below. Finally, the last inequality $L_{c,q,q}^{\mathbb{P}}(X) \gtrsim M_{c,q}(X)$ is obtained by combining [41] and [64]. \square

7. PROOFS OF THEOREM 1.2, THEOREM 1.4 AND COROLLARY 1.9

In this section we will first prove Theorem 1.2. Theorem 1.4 and Corollary 1.9 will then follow quite easily. Our strategy for the proof of Theorem 1.2 is to reduce part (i), via transference, to the special case of the translation group to which we can apply Theorem 1.5.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Again, we divide this proof into several steps. X will be assumed to be of martingale cotype q in the first two steps, and of martingale type q in the last step.

Step 1: The case of the translation group. For any $t \in \mathbb{R}$ let τ_t be the translation by t on $L_p(\mathbb{R})$, i.e., $\tau_t(f)(s) = f(s+t)$. Then $\{\tau_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ is a strongly continuous group of positive isometries on $L_p(\mathbb{R})$. As usual, $\{\tau_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ extends to a group of isometries on $L_p(\mathbb{R}; X)$ too. Let $\{P_t^\tau\}_{t>0}$ be the associated Poisson subordinated semigroup. Our aim in this step is to show

$$(7.1) \quad L_{c,q,p}^{P^\tau}(X) \lesssim \max(p^{\frac{1}{q}}, p') M_{c,q}(X).$$

We need to express $t \partial P_t^\tau$ as a convolution operator:

$$\sqrt{t} \partial P_{\sqrt{t}}^\tau(f)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi_t(x-y) f(y) dy = \phi_t * f(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, t > 0.$$

Then

$$(7.2) \quad \mathcal{G}_q^{P\tau}(f)(x) = 2^{-\frac{1}{q}} \left(\int_0^\infty \|\phi_t * f(x)\|_X^q \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} = 2^{-\frac{1}{q}} G_{q,\phi}(f)(x).$$

Elementary computations show that ϕ is the function with Fourier transform

$$(7.3) \quad \widehat{\phi}(\xi) = -\sqrt{-2\pi i \xi} e^{-\sqrt{-2\pi i \xi}} = -\sqrt{2\pi|\xi|} e^{-\frac{i \operatorname{sgn}(\xi)\pi}{4}} \exp\left(-\sqrt{2\pi|\xi|} e^{-\frac{i \operatorname{sgn}(\xi)\pi}{4}}\right).$$

We are going to show that ϕ belongs to the class $\mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2},1}$ introduced in subsection 5.2. More precisely, ϕ satisfies the following estimates:

$$(7.4) \quad |\phi(x)| \lesssim \frac{1}{(1+|x|)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \quad \text{and} \quad |\phi'(x)| \lesssim \frac{1}{(1+|x|)^{\frac{5}{2}}}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Since $\xi^k \widehat{\phi}(\xi)$ is integrable on \mathbb{R} for any nonnegative integer k , ϕ is of class C^∞ with bounded derivatives of any order. Thus it suffices to prove the estimates for $|x| \geq 1$.

Let η be a C^∞ even function on \mathbb{R} , supported in $\{\xi : \frac{1}{2} < |\xi| < 2\}$, such that

$$\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \eta(2^{-j}\xi) = 1, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}.$$

Let $m_j(\xi) = \widehat{\phi}(\xi)\eta(2^{-j}\xi)$ and $\phi^{(j)}$ be defined by $\widehat{\phi^{(j)}} = m_j$. Then

$$\phi = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \phi^{(j)}.$$

Using (7.3), one easily shows

$$\int_{2^{j-1} \leq |\xi| \leq 2^{j+1}} \left| \frac{d^k}{d\xi^k} \widehat{\phi}(\xi) \right| d\xi \lesssim 2^{j(1-k)} \sum_{\ell=0}^k (\sqrt{2^j})^{\ell+1} e^{-\sqrt{\pi 2^{j-1}}}, \quad 0 \leq k \leq 3,$$

This implies

$$(7.5) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| \frac{d^k}{d\xi^k} m_j(\xi) \right| d\xi \lesssim 2^{j(1-k)} \sum_{\ell=0}^k (\sqrt{2^j})^{\ell+1} e^{-\sqrt{\pi 2^{j-1}}}, \quad 0 \leq k \leq 3.$$

Let $x \in \mathbb{R}$ with $|x| > 1$. We consider j according to two cases, I: $2^j|x| \leq 1$ and II: $2^j|x| > 1$.

In Case I, we must have $j \leq -1$. Using (7.5) for $k = 1$, we then have

$$|x\phi^{(j)}(x)| \lesssim \sqrt{2^j}.$$

Thus

$$\sum_{j \in \text{I}} |\phi^{(j)}(x)| \lesssim \frac{1}{|x|^{\frac{3}{2}}}.$$

On the other hand, if $j \in \text{II}$, we use (7.5) for $k = 3$ to get

$$|x^3 \phi^{(j)}(x)| \lesssim 2^{-\frac{3}{2}j}.$$

We deduce again

$$\sum_{j \in \text{II}} |\phi^{(j)}(x)| \lesssim \frac{1}{|x|^{\frac{3}{2}}}.$$

Hence, the first estimate of (7.4) is proved.

The second is shown in a similar way. Indeed, since $\widehat{\phi'}(\xi) = 2\pi i \xi \widehat{\phi}(\xi)$, we have

$$\int_{2^{j-1} \leq |\xi| \leq 2^{j+1}} \left| \frac{d^k}{d\xi^k} \widehat{\phi'}(\xi) \right| d\xi \lesssim 2^{j(2-k)} \sum_{\ell=0}^k (\sqrt{2^j})^{\ell+1} e^{-\sqrt{\pi 2^{j-1}}}, \quad 0 \leq k \leq 3,$$

It then remains to repeat the above argument with ϕ replaced by ϕ' .

Thus by (7.2), Theorem 1.5 implies (7.1). Let us note that for the kernel ϕ here, we can avoid Wilson's intrinsic square functions considered in step 2 of the proof of Theorem 1.5 since $\phi' \in \mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2},1}$ too. Indeed, repeating the proof of (7.4), we show

$$|\phi''(x)| \lesssim \frac{1}{(1+|x|)^{\frac{7}{2}}}.$$

Consequently, as pointed out in step 2 of the proof of Theorem 1.5, we have

$$G_{q,\phi}(f)(x) \lesssim S_{q,\phi}(f)(x) + S_{q,\phi'}(f)(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

The proof of this inequality is very easy. It suffices to consider $x = 0$. Let $y \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $|y| < t$. We write

$$\|\phi_t * f(y)\|_X^q - \|\phi_t * f(0)\|_X^q = \int_0^1 \frac{d}{ds} \|\phi_t * f(sy)\|_X^q ds.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \|\phi_t * f(y)\|_X^q - \|\phi_t * f(0)\|_X^q \right| &\leq q \int_0^1 \|\phi_t * f(sy)\|_X^{q-1} \|\phi'_t * f(sy)\|_X \frac{|y|}{t} ds \\ &\leq q \int_0^{|y|} \|\phi_t * f(s \frac{y}{|y|})\|_X^{q-1} \|\phi'_t * f(s \frac{y}{|y|})\|_X \frac{ds}{t} \\ &\leq q \int_0^{|y|} (\|\phi_t * f(s \frac{y}{|y|})\|_X^q + \|\phi'_t * f(s \frac{y}{|y|})\|_X^q) \frac{ds}{t} \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\|\phi_t * f(0)\|_X^q \leq \|\phi_t * f(y)\|_X^q + q \int_0^{|y|} (\|\phi_t * f(s \frac{y}{|y|})\|_X^q + \|\phi'_t * f(s \frac{y}{|y|})\|_X^q) \frac{ds}{t}.$$

Integrating both sides against $\frac{dydt}{t^2}$ over the cone $\{(y, t) \in \mathbb{R}_+^2 : |y| < t\}$, we deduce the desired inequality.

Step 2: Transference. We now use the transference principle to bring the general case to the special one of the translation group. To that end, we first need to dilate our semigroup $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$ to a group of isometries. Fendler's dilation theorem is at our disposal for this purpose. It insures that there exist another larger measure space $(\tilde{\Omega}, \tilde{\mu})$, a strongly continuous group $\{\tilde{T}_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ of regular isometries on $L_p(\tilde{\Omega})$, a positive isometric embedding D from $L_p(\Omega)$ into $L_p(\tilde{\Omega})$ and a regular projection P from $L_p(\tilde{\Omega})$ onto $L_p(\Omega)$ such that

$$T_t = P\tilde{T}_t D, \quad \forall t > 0.$$

This theorem is proved in [17] for positive T_t and then extended to regular T_t in [18].

To prove part (i) of Theorem 1.2, it suffices to show

$$(7.6) \quad \mathbb{L}_{c,q,p}^P(X) \leq \mathbb{L}_{c,q,p}^{P\tau}(X).$$

By the above dilation, we can assume that $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$ itself is a group of regular isometries on $L_p(\Omega)$. So its extension to $L_p(\Omega; X)$ is a group of isometries too. Recall that $\{M_t\}_{t>0}$ denote the ergodic averages of $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$ in Lemma 2.6. We use $\{M'_t\}_{t>0}$ to denote the corresponding averages of the translation group $\{\tau_t\}_{t>0}$. By (1.2), we have

$$P_t = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^\infty \frac{t}{s^{\frac{3}{2}}} \exp\left(-\frac{t^2}{4s}\right) T_s ds.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} t\partial P_t &= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^\infty \left(\frac{t}{s^{\frac{3}{2}}} - \frac{t^3}{2s^{\frac{5}{2}}}\right) \exp\left(-\frac{t^2}{4s}\right) T_s ds \\ &= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^\infty \left(\frac{t}{s^{\frac{3}{2}}} - \frac{t^3}{2s^{\frac{5}{2}}}\right) \exp\left(-\frac{t^2}{4s}\right) (sM_s)' ds \\ &= \int_0^\infty \varphi\left(\frac{t}{\sqrt{s}}\right) M_s \frac{ds}{s} \\ &= \int_0^\infty \varphi\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{s}}\right) M_{t^2 s} \frac{ds}{s}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\varphi(x) = \frac{1}{16\sqrt{\pi}} (12x - 12x^3 + x^5) e^{-\frac{x^2}{4}}.$$

Let $f \in L_p(\Omega; X)$ be an element of norm 1. Let $a > 0$ (large). Then

$$\int_a^\infty |\varphi(\frac{1}{\sqrt{s}})| \frac{ds}{s} \leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{a}}.$$

Thus for any $t > 0$

$$\left\| \int_a^\infty \varphi(\frac{1}{\sqrt{s}}) M_{t^2 s}(f) \frac{ds}{s} \right\|_X \leq \int_a^\infty |\varphi(\frac{1}{\sqrt{s}})| \|M_{t^2 s}(f)\|_X \frac{ds}{s} \leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{a}} M^*(f),$$

where $M^*(f) = \sup_{v>0} \|M_v(f)\|_X$. By Lemma 2.6,

$$\|M^*(f)\|_{L_p(\Omega)} \leq p' \|f\|_{L_p(\Omega; X)} = p'.$$

Let b be another large number. Then

$$\left\| \left(\int_{b^{-1}}^b \|t \partial P_t(f)\|_X^q \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \right\|_{L_p(\Omega)} \leq \left\| \left(\int_{b^{-1}}^b \left\| \int_0^a \varphi(\frac{1}{\sqrt{s}}) M_{t^2 s}(f) \frac{ds}{s} \right\|_X^q \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \right\|_{L_p(\Omega)} + \frac{C_{p,q,b}}{\sqrt{a}},$$

where $C_{p,q,b} = Cp'q^{-\frac{1}{q}}(2 \log b)^{\frac{1}{q}}$. Denote the first term on the right hand side by I. Using the fact that $\{T_t\}$ is a group of isometries on $L_p(\Omega; X)$, we introduce an additional variable u in the integrand of I:

$$I \leq \left\| \left(\int_{b^{-1}}^b \left\| \int_0^a \varphi(\frac{1}{\sqrt{s}}) M_{t^2 s} T_u(f) \frac{ds}{s} \right\|_X^q \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \right\|_{L_p(\Omega)}, \quad u > 0.$$

Let $c > 0$. Now define $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow L_p(\Omega; X)$ by $g(u) = \mathbb{1}_{(0, ab^2+c]}(u) T_u(f)$. We easily verify that

$$M_{t^2 s} T_u(f) = M_{t^2 s}^\tau(g)(u), \quad 0 < s \leq a, \quad 0 < t \leq b, \quad 0 < u \leq c.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} I^p &\leq \frac{1}{c} \int_0^c \int_\Omega \left(\int_{b^{-1}}^b \left\| \int_0^a \varphi(\frac{1}{\sqrt{s}}) M_{t^2 s}^\tau(g)(u) \frac{ds}{s} \right\|_X^q \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{p}{q}} d\omega du \\ &\leq \frac{1}{c} \left\| \left(\int_{b^{-1}}^b \left\| \int_0^a \varphi(\frac{1}{\sqrt{s}}) M_{t^2 s}^\tau(g) \frac{ds}{s} \right\|_X^q \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \right\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R} \times \Omega)}^p. \end{aligned}$$

Let $(M^\tau)^*(g) = \sup_{v>0} \|M_v^\tau(g)\|_X$, so

$$\|(M^\tau)^*(g)\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R} \times \Omega)} \leq p' \|g\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R} \times \Omega; X)} \leq p'(ab^2 + c)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

Reversing the preceding procedure with $\{P_t\}_{t>0}$ replaced by $\{P_t^\tau\}_{t>0}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I &\leq c^{-\frac{1}{p}} \left\| \left(\int_{b^{-1}}^b \left\| \int_0^\infty \varphi(\frac{1}{\sqrt{s}}) M_{t^2 s}^\tau(g) \frac{ds}{s} \right\|_X^q \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \right\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R} \times \Omega)} + \frac{C_{p,q,b}}{\sqrt{a}} \left(\frac{ab^2 + c}{c} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\leq c^{-\frac{1}{p}} \|\mathcal{G}_q^{P^\tau}(g)\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R} \times \Omega; X)} + \frac{C_{p,q,b}}{\sqrt{a}} \left(\frac{ab^2 + c}{c} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}. \end{aligned}$$

However,

$$\|\mathcal{G}_q^{P^\tau}(g)\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R} \times \Omega)} \leq \mathbf{L}_{c,q,p}^{P^\tau}(X) \|g\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R} \times \Omega; X)} \leq \mathbf{L}_{c,q,p}^{P^\tau}(X) (ab^2 + c)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

Combining all inequalities obtained so far, we finally deduce

$$\left\| \left(\int_{b^{-1}}^b \|t \partial P_t(f)\|_X^q \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \right\|_{L_p(\Omega)} \leq \mathbf{L}_{c,q,p}^{P^\tau}(X) \left(\frac{ab^2 + c}{c} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} + \frac{C_{p,q,b}}{\sqrt{a}} \left(1 + \left(\frac{ab^2 + c}{c} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \right).$$

Letting successively $c \rightarrow \infty$, $a \rightarrow \infty$ and $b \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$\|\mathcal{G}_q^P(f)\|_{L_p(\Omega)} \leq \mathbf{L}_{c,q,p}^{P^\tau}(X),$$

whence (7.6).

Step 3: Duality. Assertion (ii) follows from (i), Theorem 4.5 and (6.2). \square

Remark 7.1. The step 1 of the previous proof of Theorem 1.2 can be largely shortened for the case $p \geq q$. This alternate proof does not rely on the heavy Littlewood-Paley theory. Its key point is to show the boundedness of \mathcal{G}_q^P on $L_q(\Omega; X)$, i.e., for $p = q$ (see the following remark). Assuming this boundedness and showing that K is bounded from $L_\infty(\mathbb{R}^d; X)$ to $BMO(\mathbb{R}^d; L_q(\mathbb{R}_+; X))$ (the latter boundedness is quite easy to get), we can then use the singular integral as in the proof of the step 4 of Theorem 1.5 to conclude the case $p > q$. Unfortunately, this proof yields p as the order of $\mathcal{L}_{c,q,p}^P(X)$ instead of the optimal $p^{\frac{1}{q}}$.

Remark 7.2. The boundedness of \mathcal{G}_q^P on $L_q(\Omega; X)$ can be proved by using Theorem 4.7 and the following inequality from [22]: For a Banach space X of martingale cotype q , we have

$$\left\| \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \|(M_{2^k}^\tau - M_{2^{k+1}}^\tau)(f)\|_X^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \right\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R})} \lesssim M_{c,q}(X) \|f\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}; X)}, \quad f \in L_q(\mathbb{R}; X).$$

By the discussion in the previous remark, the validity of the above inequality characterizes the martingale cotype q of X . More generally, let $1 < p < \infty$ and $2 \leq q < \infty$. Then X is of martingale cotype q iff there exists a constant c such that

$$\left\| \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \|(M_{2^k}^\tau - M_{2^{k+1}}^\tau)(f)\|_X^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \right\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R})} \leq c \|f\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}; X)}, \quad f \in L_p(\mathbb{R}; X).$$

See [23] for related results.

Proof of Theorem 1.4. By Remark 1.1, $\mathcal{L}_{t,q,p}^T(X) \lesssim \mathcal{L}_{t,q,p}^P(X)$. Thus assertion (i) follows from Theorem 1.2 (ii).

Assertion (ii) is an easy consequence of Theorem 1.2 and Corollary 4.10. But we will use Proposition 4.9 in order to explicitly track the relevant constants. Let $\varphi(z) = -ze^{-z}$ and $\psi(z) = -\sqrt{z}e^{-\sqrt{z}}$. Then

$$\mathcal{G}_{q,\varphi}^A(f) = \mathcal{G}_q^T(f) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{G}_{q,\psi}^A(f) = \sqrt{2} \mathcal{G}_q^P(f).$$

By Proposition 2.9 and the notation there, A is ℓ_q -sectorial of type $\alpha_q = \frac{\pi}{2} - \beta_q$. Let $0 < \beta < \beta_q$ and $\alpha = \frac{\pi}{2} - \beta$. Then by Proposition 4.9 and the estimates obtained in its proof, we get

$$\|\mathcal{G}_q^T(f)\|_{L_p(\Omega)} \lesssim (\cos \alpha \cos \frac{\alpha}{2})^{-1} (\beta_q - \beta)^{-2} \mathsf{T}_{\beta_0}^{\min(\frac{\beta}{q}, \frac{\beta'}{q'})} \max((p')^{1-\frac{\beta}{q}}, p^{1-\frac{\beta'}{q'}}) \|\mathcal{G}_q^P(f)\|_{L_p(\Omega)}.$$

Choosing $\beta = \frac{\beta_q}{2}$ yields

$$\|\mathcal{G}_q^T(f)\|_{L_p(\Omega)} \lesssim D \|\mathcal{G}_q^P(f)\|_{L_p(\Omega)},$$

where

$$D = \beta_q^{-3} \mathsf{T}_{\beta_0}^{\min(\frac{\beta}{q}, \frac{\beta'}{q'})} \max((p')^{1-\frac{\beta}{q}}, p^{1-\frac{\beta'}{q'}}).$$

We then deduce Theorem 1.4 (ii) from Theorem 1.2 (i). \square

Proof of Corollary 1.9. By [44, 45],

$$M_{c,q}(L_p(\Omega; X)) \lesssim \max((p')^{\frac{1}{q}}, M_{c,q}(X)).$$

Fix $f_0 \in L_q(\Omega)$ with norm 1. Given $f \in L_p(\Omega; X)$ let $\tilde{f} = f \otimes f_0$. We view \tilde{f} as a function from Ω to $L_p(\Omega; X)$ by $\omega \mapsto f(\omega)f_0$. Applying Theorem 1.2 (i) to this function with X replaced by $L_p(\Omega; X)$ and $p = q$ (noting then that $\max(q^{\frac{1}{q}}, q') \approx 1$ since $q \geq 2$), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \left(\int_0^\infty \left\| t \frac{\partial}{\partial t} P_t(f) \otimes f_0 \right\|_{L_p(\Omega; X)}^q \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \right\|_{L_q(\Omega)} &\lesssim \max((p')^{\frac{1}{q}}, M_{c,q}(X)) \|f \otimes f_0\|_{L_q(\Omega; L_p(\Omega; X))} \\ &= \max((p')^{\frac{1}{q}}, M_{c,q}(X)) \|f\|_{L_p(\Omega; X)}. \end{aligned}$$

The left hand side is equal to

$$\left(\int_0^\infty \left\| t \frac{\partial}{\partial t} P_t(f) \otimes f_0 \right\|_{L_q(\Omega; L_p(\Omega; X))}^q \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} = \left(\int_0^\infty \left\| t \frac{\partial}{\partial t} P_t(f) \right\|_{L_p(\Omega; X)}^q \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

Combining the above estimates we get the desired inequality of the corollary.

To show the optimality of the constant, we consider the special case where $X = \mathbb{C}$ and the classical Poisson semigroup $\{\mathbb{P}_t\}_{t>0}$ on \mathbb{R} . Let $f = \mathbb{P}_1$. Then

$$\|f\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R})} \approx 1 \text{ and } \left\| t \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbb{P}_t(f) \right\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R})} \approx \frac{t}{(t+1)^{1+\frac{1}{p}}}.$$

Thus

$$\left(\int_0^\infty \left\| t \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbb{P}_t(f) \right\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R})}^q \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \approx p'^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

We then deduce the announced optimality. \square

8. THE SCALAR CASE REVISITED AND OPTIMALITY

The approach previously presented gives new insights even in the scalar case with regard to the involved best constants. Let

$$\mathfrak{L}_{c,p}^T = \mathfrak{L}_{c,2,p}(\mathbb{C}) \text{ and } \mathfrak{L}_{t,p}^T = \mathfrak{L}_{t,2,p}(\mathbb{C}).$$

Thus $\mathfrak{L}_{c,p}^T$ and $\mathfrak{L}_{t,p}^T$ are the best constants in the following inequalities

$$(\mathfrak{L}_{t,p}^T)^{-1} \|f - F(f)\|_{L_p(\Omega)} \leq \|\mathcal{G}_2^T(f)\|_{L_p(\Omega)} \leq \mathfrak{L}_{c,p}^T \|f - F(f)\|_{L_p(\Omega)}, \quad f \in L_p(\Omega).$$

Let us restate Theorems 1.2 and 1.4 for $X = \mathbb{C}$ and $q = 2$.

Theorem 8.1. *Let $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$ be a semigroup of regular contractions on $L_p(\Omega)$ with $1 < p < \infty$ and $\{P_t\}_{t>0}$ its subordinated Poisson semigroup.*

(i) *We have*

$$\mathfrak{L}_{c,p}^P \lesssim \max(\sqrt{p}, p') \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{L}_{t,p}^P \lesssim \max(p, \sqrt{p'}).$$

(ii) *Assume that $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$ satisfies (1.3) for $X = \mathbb{C}$. Let $\beta_p = \beta_0 \min(p, p')$. Then*

$$\mathfrak{L}_{c,p}^T \lesssim \beta_p^{-3} \mathfrak{T}_{\beta_0}^{\frac{1}{2} \min(p, p')} \max(p, (p')^{\frac{3}{2}}) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{L}_{t,p}^T \lesssim \max(p, \sqrt{p'}).$$

For symmetric diffusion semigroups we have the following more precise orders than those in part (ii) above. We are very grateful to the anonymous referee for pointing out the references [32, 37] that allow us to improve our previous estimate on $\mathfrak{L}_{c,p}^T$ based on Stein's classical analyticity angle of $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$ on $L_p(\Omega)$.

Corollary 8.2. *Let $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$ be a semigroup of contractions on $L_p(\Omega)$ for every $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. Assume that $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$ is strongly continuous on $L_2(\Omega)$ and each T_t is a selfadjoint operator on $L_2(\Omega)$. Then*

$$\mathfrak{L}_{c,p}^T \lesssim \max(p^{\frac{5}{2}}, (p')^3) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{L}_{t,p}^T \lesssim \max(p, \sqrt{p'}).$$

Proof. First note that T_t is a regular contraction on $L_p(\Omega)$ for any $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and any $t > 0$. The selfadjointness of T_t implies that $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$ is an analytic semigroup of type $\frac{\pi}{2}$ with constant 1 on $L_2(\Omega)$. Then by [37, Corollary 3.2] and [32, Corollary 6.2], $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$ is analytic of type $\beta'_p = \frac{\pi}{2} - \arcsin|1 - \frac{2}{p}|$ with constant 1 on $L_p(\Omega)$ for $1 < p < \infty$. Note that the angle β'_p is optimal and better than Stein's classical one that is $\frac{\pi}{2}(1 - |1 - \frac{2}{p}|)$ (see [55, section III.2]). It remains to apply Theorem 8.1 (ii) with $\beta_0 = \beta'_p \approx \min(\sqrt{\frac{p}{p'}}, \sqrt{\frac{p'}{p}})$ and $\mathfrak{T}_{\beta_0} = 1$. The corresponding β_p is now equivalent to $\min(\frac{1}{\sqrt{p}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{p'}})$. We then deduce the desired assertion from Theorem 8.1. \square

Historical comments. (i) Theorem 8.1 was proved in [38] without any explicit estimates on the best constants; in fact, their growth obtained there is more than exponential.

(ii) If $\{P_t\}_{t>0}$ is the Poisson semigroup on a compact Lie group, Stein's proof in [55, section II.3] yields that $\mathfrak{L}_{c,p}^P \lesssim \max(p, p')$ and $\mathfrak{L}_{t,p}^P \lesssim \max(p, p')$.

(iii) If $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$ is a symmetric diffusion semigroup, Stein's approach in [55, section IV.4] via Rota's dilation yields $\mathfrak{L}_{c,p}^P \lesssim \max(p, (p')^{\frac{3}{2}})$.

(iv) If $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$ is a symmetric submarkovian semigroup, Cowling [12] proved that the negative generator A of $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$ has a bounded holomorphic functional calculus whose relevant constant is of polynomial growth on p as $p \rightarrow 1$ and $p \rightarrow \infty$. Using the equivalence between bounded holomorphic functional calculus and square function inequalities, one can then deduce a polynomial growth of $\mathfrak{L}_{c,p}^T$ and $\mathfrak{L}_{t,p}^T$ too, the resulting orders are less good than those in Corollary 8.2.

Remark 8.3. The orders on $\mathbb{L}_{c,p}^P$ in Theorem 8.1 are optimal both as $p \rightarrow \infty$ and $p \rightarrow 1$ for they are already optimal for the classical Poisson semigroup on \mathbb{R} (see Proposition 8.5 below). Zhendong Xu and Hao Zhang [68] proved that $\mathbb{L}_{t,p}^T \gtrsim p$ as $p \rightarrow \infty$ when $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$ is a symmetric diffusion semigroup, so $\mathbb{L}_{t,p}^P \gtrsim p$ as $p \rightarrow \infty$ for the subordinated Poisson semigroup $\{P_t\}_{t>0}$ too. This shows that our method is optimal.

However, at the time of this writing, we are unable to determine the optimal orders of $\mathbb{L}_{t,p}^P$ as $p \rightarrow 1$ even when $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$ is a symmetric diffusion semigroup.

Problem 8.4. It would be interesting to determine the optimal orders of $\mathbb{L}_{t,p}^P$ as $p \rightarrow 1$ when $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$ is a symmetric submarkovian (or markovian) semigroup. In particular, does there exist a constant C (possibly depending on $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$) such that

$$\|f - F(f)\|_{L_1(\Omega)} \leq C \|\mathcal{G}_2^P(f)\|_{L_1(\Omega)}, \quad \forall f \in L_1(\Omega)?$$

The dual version of the above inequality is related to the BMO space considered in [19]. It is true when $\{P_t\}_{t>0}$ is the Poisson or heat semigroup on \mathbb{R}^d .

We conclude this section by the proof of the optimality of the growth orders of the best constants in Corollary 1.6 in the scalar case, i.e., $X = \mathbb{C}$ (see [67] for more related results). We will denote $\mathbb{L}_{c,q,p}^{\mathbb{P}}(\mathbb{C})$ and $\mathbb{L}_{c,q,p}^{\mathbb{H}}(\mathbb{C})$ simply by $\mathbb{L}_{c,q,p}^{\mathbb{P}}$ and $\mathbb{L}_{c,q,p}^{\mathbb{H}}$, respectively. It suffices to consider \mathbb{R} .

Proposition 8.5. *Let $1 < p, q < \infty$. Then*

$$\mathbb{L}_{c,q,p}^{\mathbb{P}} \gtrsim \max(p^{\frac{1}{q}}, p') \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{L}_{c,q,p}^{\mathbb{H}} \gtrsim \max(p^{\frac{1}{q}}, p').$$

Proof. By Remark 1.1, it suffices to show the assertion on the Poisson semigroup.

Let us first consider the case $p \leq q$. Fix $s > 0$ and let $f = \mathbb{P}_s$. Then

$$t \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbb{P}_t(f)(x) = \frac{t}{\pi} \frac{x^2 - (t+s)^2}{(x^2 + (t+s)^2)^2}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

For $x \geq 6s$, we have

$$\mathcal{G}_q^{\mathbb{P}}(f)(x) \geq \left(\int_{\frac{x}{3}-s}^{\frac{x}{2}-s} \left| t \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbb{P}_t(f)(x) \right|^q \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \gtrsim \frac{1}{x}.$$

Thus

$$\|\mathcal{G}_q^{\mathbb{P}}(f)\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R})} \gtrsim \left(\int_{6s}^{\infty} \frac{1}{x^p} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \gtrsim \frac{s^{-\frac{1}{p}}}{p-1}.$$

On the other hand,

$$\|f\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R})} \approx s^{-\frac{1}{p'}}.$$

Hence, $\mathbb{L}_{c,q,p}^{\mathbb{P}} \gtrsim p'$.

Unfortunately, the above simple argument does not apply to the case $p > q$. Our proof for the latter is much harder. By periodization, it is equivalent to considering the torus \mathbb{T} (equipped with normalized Haar measure). The g -function relative to the Poisson semigroup on \mathbb{T} is defined by

$$G_q^{\mathbb{P}}(f) = \left(\int_0^1 \left| (1-r) \frac{d}{dr} P_r(f) \right|^q \frac{dr}{1-r} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}},$$

where P_r denotes the corresponding Poisson kernel:

$$P_r(\theta) = \frac{1-r^2}{1-2r \cos \theta + r^2}.$$

It is shown in [64] that the inequality

$$\|G_q^{\mathbb{P}}(f)\|_{L_p(\mathbb{T})} \leq \mathbb{L}_{c,q,p}^{\mathbb{P}} \|f\|_{L_p(\mathbb{T})}$$

is equivalent to the corresponding dyadic martingale inequality on $\Omega = \{-1, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$. It is well known that the relevant constant in the latter martingale inequality for $q = 2$ is of order \sqrt{p} as $p \rightarrow \infty$. To reduce the determination of optimal order of $\mathbb{L}_{c,q,p}^{\mathbb{P}}$ to the martingale case, we need to refine an argument in the proof of [64, Theorem 3.1].

Keeping the notation there, let $M = (M_k)_{0 \leq k \leq K}$ be a finite dyadic martingale and

$$M_k - M_{k-1} = d_k(\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_{k-1}) \varepsilon_k,$$

where (ε_k) are the coordinate functions of Ω . The transformation $\varepsilon_k = \text{sgn}(\cos \theta_k)$ establishes a measure preserving embedding of Ω into $\mathbb{T}^{\mathbb{N}}$. Accordingly, define

$$\begin{aligned} a_k(e^{i\theta_1}, \dots, e^{i\theta_{k-1}}) &= d_k(\text{sgn}(\cos \theta_1), \dots, \text{sgn}(\cos \theta_{k-1})), \\ b_k(e^{i\theta_k}) &= \text{sgn}(\cos \theta_k). \end{aligned}$$

Given (n_k) a rapidly increasing sequence of positive integers, put

$$\begin{aligned} a_{k,(n)}(e^{i\theta}) &= a_{k,(n)}(e^{i\theta}; e^{i\theta_1}, \dots, e^{i\theta_{k-1}}) = a_k(e^{i(\theta_1+n_1\theta)}, \dots, e^{i(\theta_{k-1}+n_{k-1}\theta)}), \\ b_{k,(n)}(e^{i\theta}) &= b_{k,(n)}(e^{i\theta}; e^{i\theta_k}) = b_k(e^{i(\theta_k+n_k\theta)}), \\ f_{(n)}(e^{i\theta}) &= f_{(n)}(e^{i\theta}; e^{i\theta_1}, \dots, e^{i\theta_K}) = \sum_{k=1}^K a_{k,(n)}(e^{i\theta}) b_{k,(n)}(e^{i\theta}). \end{aligned}$$

The functions $f_{(n)}$, $a_{k,(n)}$ and $b_{k,(n)}$ are viewed as functions on \mathbb{T} for each $(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_K)$ arbitrarily fixed. Furthermore, by approximation, we can assume that all a_k and b_k are polynomials. Then, if the sequence (n_k) rapidly increases, Lemmas 3.4 and 3.5 of [64] imply

$$\frac{1}{2} G_q^{\text{P}}(f_{(n)}) \leq \left(\sum_{k=1}^K |a_{k,(n)}|^q G_q^{\text{P}}(b_{k,(n)})^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq 2 G_q^{\text{P}}(f_{(n)}).$$

Therefore,

$$(8.1) \quad \left\| \left(\sum_{k=1}^K |a_{k,(n)}|^q G_q^{\text{P}}(b_{k,(n)})^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \right\|_{L_p(\mathbb{T})} \leq 2 L_{c,q,p}^{\text{P}} \|f\|_{L_p(\mathbb{T})}.$$

The discussion so far comes from [64]. Now we require a finer analysis of the g -function $G_q^{\text{P}}(b_{k,(n)})$. To this end we write the Fourier series of the function $b = \text{sgn}(\cos \theta)$:

$$b(e^{i\theta}) = \frac{2}{\pi} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^j}{2j+1} [e^{i(2j+1)\theta} + e^{-i(2j+1)\theta}].$$

Then

$$\frac{d}{dr} \text{P}_r(b_{k,(n)})(e^{i\theta}) = \frac{4}{\pi} n_k r^{n_k-1} \text{Re} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (-1)^j r^{2n_k j} e^{i(2j+1)(\theta_k+n_k\theta)} \right).$$

Elementary computations show

$$\left| \frac{d}{dr} \text{P}_r(b_{k,(n)})(e^{i\theta}) \right|^q \geq c^q n_k^q r^{q(n_k-1)} \cos^q(\theta_k + n_k\theta).$$

Here and below, c, C denote absolute positive constants. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} G_q^{\text{P}}(b_{k,(n)})^q &\geq c^q \cos^q(\theta_k + n_k\theta) n_k^q \int_0^1 (1-r)^{q-1} r^{q(n_k-1)} dr \\ &\approx c^q \left[1 + O\left(\frac{1}{n_k}\right) \right] \cos^q(\theta_k + n_k\theta). \end{aligned}$$

Now lifting both sides of (8.1) to power p , then integrating the resulting inequality over \mathbb{T}^K with respect to $(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_K)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{T}} \int_{\mathbb{T}^K} \left(\sum_{k=1}^K |a_{k,(n)}(e^{i(\theta_1+n_1\theta)}, \dots, e^{i(\theta_{k-1}+n_{k-1}\theta)})|^q \left[1 + O\left(\frac{1}{n_k}\right) \right] \cos^q(\theta_k + n_k\theta) \right)^{\frac{p}{q}} d\theta_1 \cdots d\theta_K d\theta \\ &\leq (C L_{c,q,p}^{\text{P}})^p \int_{\mathbb{T}} \int_{\mathbb{T}^K} |f_{(n)}(e^{i(\theta_1+n_1\theta)}, \dots, e^{i(\theta_K+n_K\theta)})|^p d\theta_1 \cdots d\theta_K d\theta. \end{aligned}$$

For each fixed θ , the change of variables $(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_K) \mapsto (\theta_1 - n_1\theta, \dots, \theta_K - n_K\theta)$ being a measure preserving transformation of \mathbb{T}^K , we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{T}^K} \left(\sum_{k=1}^K |a_{k,(n)}(e^{i\theta_1}, \dots, e^{i\theta_{k-1}})|^q \left[1 + O\left(\frac{1}{n_k}\right)\right] \cos^q \theta_k \right)^{\frac{p}{q}} d\theta_1 \cdots d\theta_K \\ & \leq (CL_{c,q,p}^P)^p \int_{\mathbb{T}^K} |f_{(n)}(e^{i\theta_1}, \dots, e^{i\theta_K})|^p d\theta_1 \cdots d\theta_K. \end{aligned}$$

Letting $n_1 \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{T}^K} \left(\sum_{k=1}^K |d_k(\operatorname{sgn}(\cos \theta_1), \dots, \operatorname{sgn}(\cos \theta_{k-1}))|^q \cos^q \theta_k \right)^{\frac{p}{q}} d\theta_1 \cdots d\theta_K \\ & \leq (CL_{c,q,p}^P)^p \|M_K\|_{L_p(\Omega)}^p. \end{aligned}$$

Now we consider an elementary example where M is simple random walk stopped at ± 2 , namely

$$d_k = \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau \geq k\}} \quad \text{with} \quad \tau = \inf \left\{ k : \left| \sum_{j=1}^k \varepsilon_j \right| = 2 \right\}.$$

Note that the probability of the event $\{\tau = j\}$ is zero for odd j and $2^{-\frac{j}{2}}$ for even j . On the other hand, recalling $\varepsilon_k = \operatorname{sgn}(\cos \theta_k)$ and letting

$$A_j = \left\{ \tau = j, |\cos \theta_k| \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, 1 \leq k \leq j \right\},$$

we easily check that the probability of A_j is $8^{-\frac{j}{2}}$ for even j . Thus for $K \geq j$

$$\sum_{k=1}^K |d_k(\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_{k-1})|^q \cos^q \theta_k \geq \mathbb{1}_{A_j} \sum_{k=1}^j \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau \geq k\}} \cos^q \theta_k \geq 2^{-\frac{q}{2}j} \mathbb{1}_{A_j};$$

consequently, for $K = 2J$ with $J \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^K} \left(\sum_{k=1}^K |d_k(\operatorname{sgn}(\cos \theta_1), \dots, \operatorname{sgn}(\cos \theta_{k-1}))|^q \cos^q \theta_k \right)^{\frac{p}{q}} d\theta_1 \cdots d\theta_K \geq c^q \sum_{j=1}^J j^{\frac{p}{q}} 8^{-j} \geq c^p p^{\frac{p}{q}}.$$

Noting that $|M_K| \leq 2$ and combining all the previous inequalities together, we finally obtain

$$L_{c,q,p}^P \gtrsim p^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

This completes the proof. \square

APPENDIX A. EXAMPLES

There exist plenty of examples of semigroups to which the results of this article apply. Many second order differential operators in analysis generate such semigroups. In the following we will only discuss the cotype case since the type case can be dealt with by duality. Note that it is obvious that if X is of Luzin cotype q relative to $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$, it is so relative to the subordinated Poisson semigroup $\{P_t\}_{t>0}$ of $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$.

A main task in the study of the vector-valued Littlewood-Paley-Stein theory would be the following

Problem A.1. Determine the family of semigroups $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$ such that a Banach space X is of Luzin type (resp. cotype) q relative to $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$ or its subordinated Poisson semigroup $\{P_t\}_{t>0}$ iff X is of martingale type (resp. cotype) q .

Example A.2. Laplacian operators. The classical heat semigroup on \mathbb{R}^d is given by $\mathbb{H}_t = e^{t\Delta}$, where Δ is the Laplacian operator. It is well known (and easy to check) that $\{\mathbb{H}_t\}_{t>0}$ is analytic of angle $\frac{\pi}{2}$ on $L_p(\mathbb{R}^d; X)$ for any $1 \leq p < \infty$ and any Banach space X ; the relevant constant as in (1.3) with $\beta_0 = \frac{\pi}{2}$ depends only on d . By [27, 66], if X is of martingale cotype q , then X is of Luzin cotype q relative to $\{\mathbb{H}_t\}_{t>0}$. Conversely, suppose that X is of Luzin cotype q relative to $\{\mathbb{H}_t\}_{t>0}$, then X is also of Luzin cotype q relative to the classical Poisson semigroup $\{\mathbb{P}_t\}_{t>0}$, thereby X

is of martingale cotype q by virtue of [41]. Thus the Luzin cotype relative to the classical heat semigroup is equivalent to the martingale cotype.

Example A.3. Schrödinger operators. Let Ω be a region in \mathbb{R}^d equipped with Lebesgue measure. Let $a(x) = (a_{ij}(x))_{1 \leq i, j \leq d}$ be a positive matrix whose entries are locally integrable real functions on Ω such that

$$\alpha(x) \leq a(x) \leq \beta(x)$$

for two positive continuous functions α and β on Ω . We consider the following elliptic operator

$$L(f) = - \sum_{i,j=1}^d \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(a_{ij} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j} \right).$$

Given V a nonnegative locally integrable function on Ω , define $A(f) = L(f) + Vf$. It is well known that $-A$ generates a symmetric submarkovian (markovian for $V = 0$) semigroup $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$ on Ω (cf. [15, Theorem 1.8.1]). In particular, $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$ is analytic on $L_p(\Omega)$ for any $1 < p < \infty$. Thus if X is of martingale cotype q , then it is of Luzin cotype q relative to the Poisson semigroup $\{P_t\}_{t>0}$ subordinated to $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$ on $L_p(\Omega; X)$ for any $1 < p < \infty$.

Assume in addition that L is uniformly elliptic, namely, the above two functions α and β are constant. Then the integral kernel $K_t^0(x, y)$ of e^{-tL} satisfies the following Gaussian upper bound (cf. [15, Theorem 3.2.8])

$$K_t^0(x, y) \leq C_{\delta, \alpha} t^{-\frac{d}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{|x-y|^2}{(1+\delta)\beta t}\right), \quad t > 0, x, y \in \Omega, 0 < \delta < 1.$$

By the Trotter formula

$$e^{-tA}(f) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (e^{-\frac{tL}{n}} e^{-\frac{tV}{n}})^n(f),$$

we deduce that the integral kernel $K_t(x, y)$ of e^{-tA} is majorized by $K_t^0(x, y)$:

$$K_t(x, y) \leq K_t^0(x, y).$$

Thus $K_t(x, y)$ satisfies the same Gaussian upper bound as $K_t^0(x, y)$. Let $z \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\operatorname{Re} z > 0$. By [15, Theorem 3.4.8], the complex time heat kernel of e^{-zA} satisfies

$$|K_z(x, y)| \lesssim_{\delta, \alpha} (\operatorname{Re} z)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{|x-y|^2 \operatorname{Re}(z^{-1})}{(1+\delta)\beta}\right).$$

Then we easily show that $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$ extends to an analytic semigroup of type $\frac{\pi}{2}$ on $L_p(\Omega; X)$ for any X and any $1 < p < \infty$ (p can be equal to 1 too). It then follows that X is of Luzin cotype q relative to $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$ whenever X is of martingale cotype q .

As in the case of Laplacian operators, it is likely that the Luzin cotype relative to the semigroups generated by Schrödinger operators characterizes the martingale cotype. This is indeed the case if $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^d$ with $d \geq 3$, $L = -\Delta$ and the potential V satisfies a reverse Hölder inequality (see [1]). Let us formulate the general case explicitly as a conjecture.

Conjecture A.4. Let $\{P_t\}_{t>0}$ be the Poisson semigroup subordinated to the heat semigroup $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$ generated by a Schrödinger operator as above. If a Banach space X is of Luzin cotype q relative to $\{P_t\}_{t>0}$, then X is of martingale cotype q . The same is conjectured for $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$ itself when the underlying differential operator L is uniformly elliptic.

Example A.5. Laplace-Beltrami operators. The preceding examples can be extended to the setting of Riemannian manifolds. Let M be a complete d -dimensional Riemannian manifold with metric g . Let $a(x) = (a_{ij}(x))_{1 \leq i, j \leq d}$ be a positive matrix smoothly depending on $x \in M$. The associated second order elliptic operator L is represented as

$$L(f) = -g^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{i,j=1}^d \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(g^{\frac{1}{2}} a_{ij} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j} \right)$$

in local coordinates. Then $T_t = e^{-tL}$ extends to a symmetric diffusion semigroup on M for all $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ (cf. [14]). Thus our previous results apply to the associated subordinated Poisson semigroup. The most important case is the one where $-L = \Delta$ is the Laplace-Beltrami operator. Then the celebrated theorem of Li and Yau [39] asserts that the integral kernel of $e^{t\Delta}$ has a Gaussian

upper bound under the additional assumption that the Ricci curvature be nonnegative (see also [15, Theorem 5.5.6]). Thus as in the Euclidean case, the heat semigroup $\{e^{t\Delta}\}_{t>0}$ extends to an analytic semigroup on $L_p(M; X)$ for any Banach space X and $1 < p < \infty$. It would be interesting to determine whether the Lusin cotype of X relative to $\{e^{t\Delta}\}_{t>0}$ characterizes the martingale cotype of X .

Example A.6. Hermite operators. The Hermite operator on \mathbb{R}^d is a particular Schrödinger operator: $A = -\Delta + |x|^2$. The associated semigroup $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$ is a symmetric submarkovian semigroup on \mathbb{R}^d . The integral kernel of T_t is given by

$$K_t(x, y) = \left(\frac{2}{\pi \sinh(2t)} \right)^{\frac{d}{2}} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{4} [|x - y|^2 \coth t + |x + y|^2 \tanh t] \right).$$

Using the Trotter formula, we see that $K_t(x, y)$ is less than or equal to the heat kernel:

$$K_t(x, y) \leq \left(\frac{1}{4\pi t} \right)^{\frac{d}{2}} e^{-\frac{|x-y|^2}{t}}.$$

This Gaussian upper bound can be deduced from the above explicit formula of K_t . It then follows that $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$ is an analytic semigroup of type $\frac{\pi}{2}$ on $L_p(\mathbb{R}^d; X)$ for any Banach space X and $1 < p < \infty$. Betancor *et al* showed in [7] that X is of Lusin cotype q relative to $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$ iff X is of martingale cotype q (see also [9] for related results).

Example A.7. Laguerre operators. For simplicity, we only consider the Laguerre semigroup on \mathbb{R}_+ , the multi-dimensional case can be treated by tensor product. In this example, \mathbb{R}_+ is equipped with Lebesgue measure, contrarily to our usual convention. Let $\alpha > -1$ and

$$\mathcal{A} = \frac{1}{2} \left(-\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + x^2 + \frac{1}{x^2} \left(\alpha^2 - \frac{1}{4} \right) \right), \quad x > 0.$$

We have

$$\mathcal{A}(\varphi_k^\alpha) = \lambda_k^\alpha \varphi_k^\alpha, \quad k \in \mathbb{N},$$

where $\lambda_k^\alpha = 2k + |\alpha| + 1$ and

$$\varphi_k^\alpha(x) = \left(\frac{2\Gamma(k+1)}{\Gamma(k+1+\alpha)} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} x^{\alpha+\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} L_k^\alpha(x^2)$$

with L_k^α the k -th polynomial of type α (see [35, p. 76]). $\{\varphi_k^\alpha\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is an orthonormal basis in $L_2(\mathbb{R}_+)$.

For every $f \in L_2(\mathbb{R}_+)$, setting

$$c_k(f) = \int_0^\infty f(x) \varphi_k^\alpha(x) dx,$$

we consider the operator A formally defined by

$$A(f) = \sum_{k=0}^\infty c_k(f) \lambda_k^\alpha \varphi_k^\alpha.$$

Note that $A(f) = \mathcal{A}(f)$ if f is compactly supported and smooth. Then $-A$ generates a symmetric semigroup $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$ of positive contractions on $L_2(\mathbb{R}_+)$:

$$T_t(f) = \sum_{k=0}^\infty c_k(f) e^{-\lambda_k^\alpha t} \varphi_k^\alpha.$$

with kernel given by

$$K_t(x, y) = \frac{1}{\sinh t} \sqrt{xy} I_\alpha \left(\frac{xy}{\sinh t} \right) \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} (x^2 + y^2) \coth t \right),$$

where I_α is the modified Bessel function of the first kind and order α :

$$I_\alpha(z) = 2^{-\alpha} z^\alpha \sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{z^{2k}}{2^{2k} \Gamma(k+1) \Gamma(k+\alpha+1)}.$$

It is proved in [48] that $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$ is contractive on $L_p(\mathbb{R}_+)$ for all $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ iff $\alpha = -\frac{1}{2}$ or $\alpha \geq \frac{1}{2}$, and that $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$ is a bounded semigroup on $L_p(\mathbb{R}_+)$ for all $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ if $-\frac{1}{2} < \alpha < \frac{1}{2}$. However, for $-1 < \alpha < -\frac{1}{2}$, T_t is unbounded on $L_p(\mathbb{R}_+)$ for $p \leq p_\alpha = \frac{2}{2\alpha+3}$ and $p \geq p'_\alpha$.

On the other hand, [7] shows that for $\alpha > -\frac{1}{2}$, a Banach space X is of Luzin cotype q relative to $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$ iff X is of martingale cotype q ; as a byproduct, [7] also shows that for the same range of α , $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$ is analytic on $L_p(\mathbb{R}_+; X)$ for any X .

In the remaining case of $-1 < \alpha < -\frac{1}{2}$, it is quite easy to show that $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$ is a bounded semigroup on $L_p(\mathbb{R}_+)$ for $p_\alpha < p < p'_\alpha$. Let us outline the argument for the convenience of the reader. By dilation invariance via the change of variables $u = x/\sqrt{\sinh t}$ and $v = y/\sqrt{\sinh t}$, the kernel K_t is brought to

$$\varphi(x, y) = \sqrt{xy} I_\alpha(xy) \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(x^2 + y^2) \cosh t\right).$$

Let Φ be the associated integral operator:

$$\Phi(f) = \int_0^\infty \varphi(x, y) f(y) dy.$$

To estimate the norm of Φ in $B(L_p(\mathbb{R}_+; X))$ for $p_\alpha < p < p'_\alpha$, we appeal to the following estimates of the Bessel function (cf. [35, Chapter 5])

$$I_\alpha(z) \approx \frac{z^\alpha}{2^\alpha \Gamma(\alpha + 1)} \text{ as } z \rightarrow 0 \text{ and } I_\alpha(z) \approx \frac{e^z}{\sqrt{\pi z}} \text{ as } z \rightarrow \infty.$$

Accordingly, I_α is decomposed as

$$I_\alpha(xy) = I_\alpha(xy) \mathbb{1}_{xy \leq 1} + I_\alpha(xy) \mathbb{1}_{xy > 1} \lesssim (xy)^\alpha \mathbb{1}_{xy \leq 1} + (xy)^{-1/2} e^{xy} \mathbb{1}_{xy > 1}$$

with the relevant constant depending only on α . Thus

$$\varphi(x, y) \lesssim \varphi_1(x, y) + \varphi_2(x, y),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_1(x, y) &= (xy)^{\alpha + \frac{1}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(x^2 + y^2) \cosh t\right) \mathbb{1}_{xy \leq 1}, \\ \varphi_2(x, y) &= e^{xy} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(x^2 + y^2) \cosh t\right) \mathbb{1}_{xy > 1}. \end{aligned}$$

Let Φ_i be the integral operator corresponding to φ_i . Then by the Hölder inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Phi_1\|_{B(L_p(\mathbb{R}_+; X))} &\leq \left(\int_0^\infty x^{p(\alpha + \frac{1}{2})} e^{-px^2 \frac{\cosh t}{2}} dx\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_0^\infty y^{p'(\alpha + 1/2)} e^{-p'y^2 \frac{\cosh t}{2}} dy\right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \\ &= C_{\alpha, p} (\cosh t)^{-(\alpha + 1)} \leq C_{\alpha, p}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the assumption that $p_\alpha < p < p'_\alpha$. Noting that $\varphi_2(x, y) \leq e^{-(x-y)^2/2}$, we see that $\|\Phi_2\|_{B(L_p(\mathbb{R}_+; X))} \leq 1$.

In particular, $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$ is a symmetric semigroup of positive contractions on $L_2(\mathbb{R}_+)$, so analytic. Applying the previous sections to the associated subordinated Poisson semigroup $\{P_t\}_{t>0}$, we recover the result of [9] that X is of Luzin cotype q relative to $\{P_t\}_{t>0}$ on $L_2(\mathbb{R}; X)$ whenever X is of martingale cotype q . Moreover, [9] shows that the converse is also true. Note that [9] also extends this result to all $p \in (p_\alpha, p'_\alpha)$.

We do not know, however, to determine the analyticity of $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$ on $L_p(\mathbb{R}; X)$ for the range $-1 < \alpha < -\frac{1}{2}$.

Remark A.8. We would like to point out an interesting phenomenon revealed by this example. It is easy to get a semigroup of contractions on L_2 thanks to spectral theory. If the contractions are further positive (or regular), the results of the previous sections apply. In many concrete examples, one can then extrapolate L_2 to L_p using tools from harmonic analysis. This is indeed the case for all previous examples.

Example A.9. Ornstein-Uhlenbeck semigroup. Now \mathbb{R}^d is equipped with the canonical Gaussian measure γ_d . Let $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$ be the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck semigroup on \mathbb{R}^d whose negative generator is given by $A = -\Delta + x \cdot \nabla$. This is again a symmetric diffusion semigroup. By [52], $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$ is analytic on $L_p(\mathbb{R}^d, \gamma_d; X)$ iff X is K-convex (a property weaker than the finite martingale cotype). On the other hand, by [41], X is of Luzin cotype q relative to the Poisson semigroup subordinated to $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$ iff X is of martingale cotype q . We then deduce that the Luzin cotype q of X relative to $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$ itself on $L_p(\mathbb{R}^d, \gamma_d; X)$ characterizes the martingale cotype q of X . It is

worth noting that in contrast to [41], all estimates obtained by the present method or by [66] are dimension free.

Example A.10. Walsh semigroup. Let $\Omega = \{-1, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ be the dyadic group equipped with normalized Haar measure. The coordinate functions $\{\varepsilon_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ on Ω form an independent sequence of symmetric random variables (Rademacher functions). We introduce the Walsh system (w_A) : for any finite subset $A \subset \mathbb{N}$ let

$$w_A = \prod_{k \in A} \varepsilon_k.$$

If $A = \emptyset$, $w_\emptyset = 1$. All such w_A 's form an orthonormal basis of $L_2(\Omega)$. Any $f \in L_2(\Omega)$ admits the following Fourier expansion:

$$f = \sum_A \alpha_A w_A.$$

Define

$$T_t(f) = \sum_A e^{-t|A|} \alpha_A w_A.$$

Then $\{T_t\}_{t > 0}$ is a symmetric diffusion semigroup on Ω , it can be viewed as a baby model of the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck semigroup. Again, by [52], $\{T_t\}_{t > 0}$ is analytic on $L_p(\Omega; X)$ iff X is K-convex.

Remark A.11. Let $\{T_t\}_{t > 0}$ be the semigroup in the above example. Then the Luzin cotyple relative to $\{T_t\}_{t > 0}$ characterizes the martingale cotyple.

Indeed, assume that X is of Luzin cotyple q relative to $\{T_t\}_{t > 0}$. Then by an argument via the central limit theorem as in [3], we can show that X is of Luzin cotyple q relative to the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck semigroup too, so by the previous example, X is of martingale cotyple q .

It would be interesting to show the analogue of Corollary 1.6 for Ornstein-Uhlenbeck or Walsh semigroup.

Problem A.12. Let $\{T_t\}_{t > 0}$ be the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck or Walsh semigroup as above and X be of martingale cotyple q . Does one have

$$\mathbb{L}_{c,q,p}^T(X) \lesssim \max(p^{\frac{1}{q}}, p') M_{c,q}(X)?$$

It would, of course, suffice to consider the Walsh case. On the other hand, it is likely that in the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck setting one could get a dimension dependent estimate $\mathbb{L}_{c,q,p}^T(X) \lesssim d \max(p^{\frac{1}{q}}, p') M_{c,q}(X)$ by standard techniques on the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck semigroup. However, here a dimension free estimate is more important than the corresponding one for the heat semigroup in \mathbb{R}^d in view of analysis in Wiener space.

Example A.13. Translation semigroup. We have already used the translation semigroup $\{\tau_t\}_{t > 0}$ in the proof of Theorem 1.2. It is not analytic on $L_p(\mathbb{R})$ for any p . By (7.6), if X is of Luzin cotyple q relative to the subordinated Poisson semigroup $\{P_t^\tau\}_{t > 0}$ on $L_p(\mathbb{R}; X)$, so is X relative to the Poisson semigroup subordinated to any semigroup of regular contractions $\{T_t\}_{t > 0}$ on $L_p(\Omega; X)$. Consequently, the Luzin cotyple relative to $\{P_t^\tau\}_{t > 0}$ is equivalent to the martingale cotyple.

Example A.14. L_2 -theory. Let A be a positive densely defined operator on $L_2(\Omega)$. Then $-A$ generates an analytic semigroup $\{T_t\}_{t > 0}$ of contractions on $L_2(\Omega)$. Being positivity preserving can be characterized by means of the Dirichlet form associated to A (cf. [15, Theorem 1.3.2]). Many classical examples are built in this way. Note, however, that it can happen that $\{T_t\}_{t > 0}$ extends to a semigroup of bounded operators on $L_p(\Omega)$ for p only in a small symmetric interval around 2 as shown by the Laguerre semigroup. Even worse, it can happen that $\{T_t\}_{t > 0}$ does not extrapolate to $L_p(\Omega)$ for any $p \neq 2$.

Added in proof

After the submission of this article for publication, a few related works have appeared. For instance, A.K. Lerner, E. Lorist and S. Ombrosi [36] found a new proof of Theorem 1.5 (i) by the sparse domination principle, T.P. Hytönen and S. Lappas [26] obtained an estimate close to that appearing in Theorem 1.4 (ii), and G. Hong, Z. Xu and H. Zhang [24] partially resolved Problem 1.8, Problem A.1 and Conjecture A.4.

Acknowledgements. I am extremely grateful to Assaf Naor for many inspiring communications as well as for pointing the problems in his paper [47] joint with Robert Young; the resolution of their problems has forced me to invent new techniques, thereby has lead to Theorem 1.5, Corollary 1.6 and a significant improvement on the orders of the constants in a preliminary version of Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 1.4; our communications have also been a special impulse to the work [67] on the optimal orders of the best constants in the classical Littlewood-Paley inequalities (Assaf also asked himself these questions on the classical case in his own research). I also wish to thank Alexandros Eskenazis, Zhendong Xu and Hao Zhang for useful discussions and careful readings of various versions of the article. I learnt the existence of [59, 33] after the submission of this article for publication, and I thank Emiel Lorist for pointing out these references to me. Finally, I want to thank the three anonymous referees for their numerous helpful comments and suggestions; one of them has pointed out to me the references [37, 32] that have lead to an improvement on the estimate of the constant $\mathcal{L}_{c,p}^T$ in Corollary 8.2.

This work is partially supported by the French ANR project (No. ANR-19-CE40-0002) and the Natural Science Foundation of China (No.12031004).

REFERENCES

- [1] I. Abu-Falahah, P. R. Stinga, and J. L. Torrea. Square functions associated to Schrödinger operators. *Studia Math.* 203 (2011), 171-194.
- [2] M. A. Akcoglu. A pointwise ergodic theorem in L_p -spaces. *Can. J. Math.* 27 (1975), 11075-1082. 1, A
- [3] W. Beckner. Inequalities in Fourier analysis. *Ann. Math.* 102 (1975), 159-182. 2
- [4] J. Bergh, and J. Löfström. *Interpolation spaces*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1976. A.10
- [5] J. J. Betancor, A. J. Castro, J.C. Fariña, and L. Rodríguez-Mesa. UMD Banach spaces and square functions associated with heat semigroups for Schrödinger, Hermite, and Laguerre operators. *Math. Nachr.* 289 (2016), 410-435. 6
- [6] J. J. Betancor, A. J. Castro, and L. Rodríguez-Mesa. Characterization of uniformly convex and smooth Banach spaces by using Carleson measures in Bessel settings. *J. Convex Anal.* 20 (2013), 763-811. 1
- [7] J. J. Betancor, J.C. Fariña, V. Galli, and S. Molina. Uniformly convex and smooth Banach spaces and L^p -boundedness properties of Littlewood-Paley and area functions associated with semigroups. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 482 (2020), no.1, 123534, pp 56. 1
- [8] J. J. Betancor, J. C. Fariña, T. Martínez, and J. L. Torrea. Riesz transform and g -function associated with Bessel operators and their appropriate Banach spaces. *Israel J. Math.* 157 (2007), 259-282. 1, 1, A.6, A.7
- [9] J. J. Betancor, J.C. Fariña, L. Rodríguez-Mesa, A. Sanabria, and J.L. Torrea. Luzin type and cotype for Laguerre g -functions. *Israel J. Math.* 182 (2011), 1-30. 1, 1
- [10] J. J. Betancor, S. Molina, and L. Rodríguez-Mesa. Area Littlewood-Paley functions associated with Hermite and Laguerre operators. *Potential Anal.* 34 (2011), 345-369. 1, 1, A.6, A.7
1, 1
- [11] S-Y. A. Chang, J. M. Wilson, and T. Wolff. Some weighted norm inequalities concerning the Schrödinger operator. *Comm. Math. Helv.* 60 (1985), 217-246. 6
- [12] M. Cowling. Harmonic analysis on semigroups. *Ann. Math.* 117 (1983), 267-283. 1, 1, 8
- [13] M. Cowling, I. Doust, A. McIntosh, and A. Yagi. Banach space operators with a bounded H^∞ functional calculus. *J. Aust. Math. Soc.* 60 (1996), 51-89. 2, 2, 4
- [14] E. B. Davies. Gaussian upper Bounds for the heat kernels of some second-order operators on Riemannian manifolds. *J. Funct. Anal.* 80 (1988), 16-32. A.5
- [15] E. B. Davies. *Heat kernel and spectral theory*. Cambridge University Press, 1989. A.3, A.5, A.14
- [16] J. García-Cuerva, and J.L. Rubio de Francia. *Weighted norm inequalities and related topics*. North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1985. 6
- [17] G. Fendler. Dilations of one parameter semigroups of positive contractions on L_p -spaces. *Canad. J. Math.* 49 (1997), 736-748. 7
- [18] G. Fendler. On dilations and transference for continuous one-parameter semigroups of positive contractions on L^p -spaces. *Ann. Univ. Sarav. Ser. Math.* 9 (1998), number 1, iv+97 pages. 7
- [19] T. Ferguson, T. Mei, B. Simanek. H^∞ -calculus for semigroup generators on BMO. *Adv. Math.* 347 (2019), 408-441. 8
- [20] Y. Han, and Y. Meyer. A characterization of Hilbert spaces and the vector-valued Littlewood-Paley theorem. *Meth. Appl. Anal.* 3 (1996), 228-234. 1
- [21] E. Harboure, J. L. Torrea, and B. Viviani. Vector-valued extensions of operators related to the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck semigroup. *J. Anal. Math.* 91 (2003), 1-29. 1
- [22] G. Hong, and T. Ma. Vector valued q -variation for differential operators and semigroups I. *Math. Z.* 286 (2017), 89-120. 7.2
- [23] G. Hong, W. Liu, and T. Ma. Vector-valued q -variational inequalities for averaging operators and Hilbert transform. *Achiv der Math.* 115 (2020), 423-433. 7.2

- [24] G. Hong, Z. Xu, and H. Zhang. Best constants in the vector-valued Littlewood-Paley-Stein theory. *arXiv*, 2024. A
- [25] T.P. Hytönen. Littlewood-Paley-Stein theory for semigroups in UMD spaces. *Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana* 23 (2007), 973-1009. 1
- [26] T.P. Hytönen, and S. Lappas. Quantitative estimates for bounded holomorphic semigroups. Preprint, . *arXiv*, 2022. A
- [27] T.P. Hytönen, and A. Naor. Heat flow and quantitative differentiation. *J. Euro. Math. Soc.* 21 (2019), 3415-3466. 1, 4, A.2
- [28] T Hytönen, J. van Neerven, M. Veraar, and L. Weis. *Analysis in Banach spaces. Vol. II.* Springer, 2017. 2, 4
- [29] M. Junge, C. Le Merdy, and Q. Xu. H^∞ functional calculus and square functions on noncommutative L^p -spaces. *Astérisque*. vol. 305, 2006. vi+138 pages. 1, 3
- [30] M. Junge, and Q. Xu. Noncommutative maximal ergodic inequalities. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* 20 (2007), 385-439. 1
- [31] U. Krengel. *Ergodic Theory*. De Guyter, 1985. 2
- [32] C. Kriegl. Analyticity angle for non-commutative diffusion semigroups. *J. Lond. Math. Soc.* 83 (2011), 168-186. 8, 8, A
- [33] P. Kunstmann, and A. Ullmann. R_s -sectorial operators and generalized Triebel-Lizorkin spaces. *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.* 20 (2014), 135-185, 2, A
- [34] V. Lafforgue, and A. Naor. Vertical versus horizontal Poincaré inequalities on the Heisenberg group. *Israel J. Math.* 203 (2014), 309-339. 1, 1
- [35] N. N. Lebedev. *Special functions and their applications*. Dover, New York, 1972. A.7
- [36] A.K. Lerner, E. Lorist, and S. Ombrosi. Operator-free sparse domination. *Forum Math. Sigma* 10 (2022), e15, 1-28. A
- [37] V.A. Liskevich, and M.A. Perelmuter. Analyticity of sub-Markovian semigroups. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 123 (1995), 1097-1104. 8, 8, A
- [38] C. Le Merdy, and Q. Xu. Maximal theorems and square functions for analytic operators on L^p -spaces. *J. London Math. Soc.* 86 (2012), 343-365. 1, 4, 8
- [39] P. Li, and S. T. Yau. On the parabolic kernel of the Schrödinger operator. *Acta Math.* 156 (1986), 153-201. A.5
- [40] J. L. Marcolino Nhani. La structure des sous-espaces de treillis. *Dissertationes Math.* 397 (2001), 50 pp. 2
- [41] T. Martínez, J. L. Torrea, and Q. Xu. Vector-valued Littlewood-Paley-Stein theory for semigroups. *Adv. Math.* 203 (2006), 430-475. 1, 1, 1, 6, A.2, A.9
- [42] A. McIntosh, and A. Yagi. Operators of type ω without a bounded H^∞ functional calculus. *Miniconference on Operators in Analysis, Proceedings of the Centre for Mathematics and its Applications* 24 (Australian National University, Canberra, 1989) 159-172. 4
- [43] P. Meyer-Nieberg. *Banach lattices*. Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, New York, 1991. 1
- [44] A. Naor. On the Banach-space-valued Azuma inequality and small-set isoperimetry of Alon-Roichman graphs. *Comb. Probab. Comput.* 21 (2012), 623-634. 7
- [45] A. Naor. Comparison of metric spectral gaps. *Anal. Geom. Metr. Spaces* 2 (2014), 1-52. 7
- [46] A. Naor, and R. Young. Vertical perimeter versus horizontal perimeter. *Ann. Math.* 188 (2018), 171-279. 1
- [47] A. Naor, and R. Young. Foliated corona decompositions. *Acta Math.* 229 (2022), 55-200. 1, A
- [48] A. Nowak, and K. Stempak. On L^p -contractivity of Laguerre semigroups. *Illinois J. Math.* 56 (2012), 433-452. A.7
- [49] C. Ouyang, and Q. Xu. BMO functions and Carleson measures with values in uniformly convex spaces. *Can. J. Math.* 62 (2010), 827-844. 1
- [50] A. Pazy. *Semigroups of linear operators and applications to partial differential equations*. Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, New York, 1983. 2
- [51] G. Pisier. Martingales with values in uniformly convex spaces. *Israel J. Math.*, 20 (1975), 326-350. 1
- [52] G. Pisier. Holomorphic semigroups and the geometry of Banach spaces. *Ann. Math.* 115 (1982), 375-392. 1.11, A.9, A.10
- [53] G. Pisier. Probabilistic methods in the geometry of Banach spaces. *Lect. Notes in Math.* Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1206 (1986), 167-241. 1
- [54] G. Pisier. *Martingales in Banach spaces*. Cambridge University Press, 2016. 1
- [55] E.M. Stein. *Topics in harmonic analysis related to the Littlewood-Paley theory*. Ann. Math. Studies, Princeton, University Press, 1970. 1, 1, 1, 8
- [56] J. L. Torrea, and C. Zhang. Fractional vector-valued Littlewood-Paley-Stein theory for semigroups. *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A.* 144 (2014), 637-667. 1
- [57] A. Uchiyama. A constructive proof of the Fefferman-Stein decomposition of BMO (\mathbf{R}^n). *Acta Math.* 148 1(1982), 215-241. 5.3
- [58] M. Veraar, and L. Weis. Estimates for vector-valued holomorphic functions and Littlewood-Paley-Stein theory. *Studia Math.* 228 (2015), 73-99. 4, 4
- [59] L. Weis. A new approach to maximal L^p -regularity (2001). In: *Evolution Equations and Their Applications in Physical and Life Sciences* (Bad Herrenalb, 1998.) Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math., vol. 215, pp. 195-214. Dekker, New York (2001). 2, A
- [60] J. M. Wilson. Weighted norm inequalities for the continuous square function. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 314 (1989), 661-692. 5, 5.1
- [61] J. M. Wilson. The intrinsic square function. *Rev. Mat. Iberoam.* 23 (2007), 771-791. 5, 5.3, 6

- [62] J. M. Wilson. *Weighted Littlewood-Paley theory and exponential-square integrability*. Lecture Notes in Math. Springer, Berlin, 1924 (2008), pp. xiv+224. 5
- [63] R. Xia, X. Xiong, and Q. Xu. Characterizations of operator-valued Hardy spaces and applications to harmonic analysis on quantum tori. *Adv. Math.* 291 (2016), 183-227. 6
- [64] Q. Xu. Littlewood-Paley theory for functions with values in uniformly convex spaces. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 504 (1998), 195-226. 1, 1, 1, 1, 4, 6, 8, 8
- [65] Q. Xu. H^∞ functional calculus and maximal inequalities for semigroups of contractions on vector-valued L_p -spaces. *Int. Math. Res. Not.* 14 (2015), 5715-5732. 1, 1.11
- [66] Q. Xu. Vector-valued Littlewood-Paley-Stein theory for semigroups II. *Int. Math. Res. Not.* 21 (2020), 7769-7791. 1, 1, 1, 4, 4, A.2, A.9
- [67] Q. Xu. Optimal orders of the best constants in the Littlewood-Paley g -function inequalities. *J. Funct. Anal.* 283 (2022), 109570. 1, 8, A
- [68] Z. Xu, and H. Zhang. From the Littlewood-Paley-Stein inequality to the Burkholder-Gundy inequality. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 376 (2023), 371-389. ii, 8.3

INSTITUTE FOR ADVANCED STUDY IN MATHEMATICS, HARBIN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, HARBIN 150001, CHINA; AND LABORATOIRE DE MATHÉMATIQUES, UNIVERSITÉ DE FRANCHE-COMTÉ, 25030 BESANÇON CEDEX, FRANCE

Email address: `qxu@univ-fcomte.fr`