

SAUSAGES AND BUTCHER PAPER

DANNY CALEGARI

ABSTRACT. For each $d > 1$ the *shift locus of degree d* , denoted \mathcal{S}_d , is the space of normalized degree d polynomials in one complex variable for which every critical point is in the attracting basin of infinity under iteration. It is a complex analytic manifold of complex dimension $d - 1$.

We are able to give an explicit description of \mathcal{S}_d as a complex of spaces over a contractible \tilde{A}_{d-2} building, and to describe the pieces in two quite different ways:

- (1) (combinatorial): in terms of dynamical extended laminations; or
- (2) (algebraic): in terms of certain explicit ‘discriminant-like’ affine algebraic varieties.

From this structure one may deduce numerous facts, including that \mathcal{S}_d has the homotopy type of a CW complex of real dimension $d - 1$; and that \mathcal{S}_3 and \mathcal{S}_4 are $K(\pi, 1)$ s.

The method of proof is rather interesting in its own right. In fact, along the way we discover a new class of complex surfaces (they are complements of certain singular curves in \mathbb{C}^2) which are homotopic to locally CAT(0) complexes; in particular they are $K(\pi, 1)$ s.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. The shift locus	4
3. Elaminations	6
4. Butcher Paper	10
5. Formal shift space	12
6. Degree 2	15
7. Degree 3	15
8. Degree 4 and above	21
9. Sausages	27
10. Fundamental Groups	37
11. Acknowledgments	39
References	39

1. INTRODUCTION

For each $d > 1$ the *shift locus of degree d* , denoted \mathcal{S}_d , is the space of normalized (i.e. monic with roots summing to zero) degree d polynomials in one complex variable for which every critical point is in the attracting basin of infinity under iteration. A polynomial in \mathcal{S}_d is called a *shift polynomial*. These are the polynomials

Date: January 6, 2022.

whose dynamics are the easiest to understand; perhaps in compensation, their parameter spaces appear to be extremely complicated. Much is known about the geometry and topology of \mathcal{S}_d and much is still mysterious.

The main point of this paper is to describe a canonical decomposition of \mathcal{S}_d (and some equivalent spaces) into pieces, giving \mathcal{S}_d the explicit structure of a ‘complex of spaces’ over a rather nice space (a contractible \tilde{A}_{d-2} building) and to give two, quite different, descriptions of the pieces.

One description is combinatorial, in terms of certain iterated fiber bundles resp. their orbifolded quotients that we call *monkey prisms* resp. *monkey turnovers*. In this description, the fibers and their monodromy are encoded quite explicitly in objects called *dynamical elaminations*; the word ‘elamination’ here is shorthand for ‘extended lamination’ — a lamination with ‘extra’ structure. Elaminations are related to the sorts of laminations used elsewhere in holomorphic dynamics (see e.g. [28]) but are in some ways quite different. Their definitions and basic properties are given in § 3, and they are a key tool throughout the remainder of the paper.

The other description is algebraic, in terms of certain complex affine varieties, which arise as moduli spaces of maps between infinite nodal genus 0 surfaces called *sausages*. The relationship between sausages and shift polynomials is of an essentially topological nature, so that although both objects and their moduli spaces carry natural complex analytic structures, the maps between them do *not* respect this structure. This seems to be unavoidable: the shift locus is a highly transcendental object, whereas the moduli spaces we construct are algebraic.

One interesting consequence of this relationship between these two ways of seeing the shift locus is that information which is obscure on one side can become transparent on the other. Here is an example. In degree 3, the Shift Locus can be described (up to homotopy) as a space obtained from the 3-sphere by drilling out a trefoil knot, and gluing in a bundle over S^1 whose fiber is a disk minus a Cantor set. This Cantor set can be thought of as an infinite nested intersection $K = \cap E_n$ of subsets of the disk, where each E_n is itself a finite union of disks. The monodromy permutes each E_n , and it is a fact (Theorem 7.9) that the orbits of this permutation are cycles whose lengths are powers of 2. The only proof of this that I know is to interpret the permutation action of the monodromy as an action on the roots of a certain polynomial obtained by iterated quadratic extensions.

The value of mathematical machinery is that it can prove theorems whose statement does not mention the machinery. As a consequence of our structure theorems we are able to deduce some facts about the topology of the shift locus, especially in low degrees. In particular:

Homotopy Theorem. *\mathcal{S}_d has the homotopy type of a $(d - 1)$ -complex (i.e. a complex of half the real dimension of \mathcal{S}_d as a manifold). For $d = 3$ or 4 it is a $K(\pi, 1)$. For $d = 3$ it is homotopic to a CAT(0) 2-complex.*

This is an amalgamation of Theorems 7.5, 8.7 and 8.12. In fact, it is plausible that \mathcal{S}_d is a $K(\pi, 1)$ in every degree.

In degree 3 we are able to give an extremely explicit description. \mathcal{S}_3 is homeomorphic to a product $X_3 \times \mathbb{R}$ where X_3 is a 3-manifold obtained from S^3 by drilling out a right-handed trefoil, and gluing in a bundle $D_\infty \rightarrow N_\infty \rightarrow S^1$ where each fiber $D_\infty(t)$ over $t \in S^1$ is a disk minus a Cantor set. In fact, we are able to give a completely explicit description of D_∞ and its monodromy in terms of an object

called the *Tautological Elamination*. There is one Tautological Elamination $\Lambda_T(t)$ for each t . These elaminations vary continuously in the so-called collision topology (defined in § 3.2), and the $D_\infty(t)$ are obtained by an operation called *pinching*. Finally, the monodromy is completely described by the formula $\mathcal{F}_t\Lambda_T(s) = \Lambda_T(s+t)$ where \mathcal{F}_t is an explicit flow on the space of elaminations.

In words: the monodromy on D_∞ is the composition of infinitely many fractional Dehn twists in a disjoint collection of circles, associated to the elamination Λ_T in a concrete manner. The combinatorics of Λ_T is rather complicated and beautiful; Theorem 7.9 and § 9.5 describe some of its properties.

One intermediate result that we believe is interesting in its own right, is the discovery of a new class of affine complex surfaces which are $K(\pi, 1)$ s:

Regular Value Theorem. *Let Y_n be the space of degree 3 polynomials $z^3 + pz + q$ for which n specific complex regular values (e.g. the n th roots of unity) are regular values. Then Y_n is homotopic to a locally CAT(0) complex, and consequently is a $K(\pi, 1)$.*

Even the case $n = 2$ is new, so far as we know.

1.1. **Apology.** ‘Butcher’ in the title of this paper and throughout is a rather inelegant pun on the name Böttcher which, Curt McMullen informs me, translates to *cooper* in English (i.e. a maker of casks). However etymologically misguided, I have decided to keep ‘butcher’ for the sake of the sausages.

1.2. **Other Work.** I would like to compare and connect the constructions and techniques in this paper to prior and ongoing work of other mathematicians. First and foremost I would like to emphasize the resemblance of elements of the theory of dynamical elaminations to the DeMarco–Pilgrim theory of *pictographs* as explained in [22] (to the degree that I understand them). In fact, DeMarco, sometimes in collaboration with Pilgrim or McMullen, has developed a sophisticated and intricate picture of the shift locus over many years and papers; e.g. [21, 20]. The fact that \mathcal{S}_d has the homotopy type of a $(d-1)$ -complex follows from DeMarco’s thesis [19], where it is proved that \mathcal{S}_d is a Stein manifold. I wish I better understood the relationship between her work and the point of view we develop here.

Recently, Blokh et. al. [2] have developed a theory of laminations to parameterize the pinching of components of (higher degree) Mandelbrot sets. I believe there is a family resemblance of their laminations to the tautological elamination we introduce in § 7.1 and its variants and completions in higher degree, but the precise relationship is unclear.

The significance of configuration-space techniques (e.g. braiding of roots, attractors, etc.) to complex dynamics has been apparent at least since the work of McMullen [25] and Goldberg–Keen [23]. This is a vast story that I only touch on briefly in § 10.

Branner–Hubbard [8], in a tour de force, found a detailed description of much of the parameter space of degree 3 polynomials. In particular, they showed that \mathcal{S}_3 (away from a piece with easily understood topology) has the structure of a bundle over a circle (up to homotopy) whose fiber has free fundamental group. This is perfectly parallel to our Theorem 7.4. However, in their theory (which is more concretely tied to polynomials) the monodromy is completely opaque, and the culmination of their description (in § 11.4) is only meant to indicate how formidable an explicit computation would be. Whereas in our theory, we have a completely

explicit description of the fiber (it is the disk obtained by pinching the tautological elamination) and the monodromy (rotation by \mathcal{F}_t).

2. THE SHIFT LOCUS

Fix an integer $d > 1$, and let $f(z) = \sum b_j z^{d-j}$ be a complex polynomial of degree d , so that $b_0 \neq 0$. A change of variables $z \rightarrow \alpha z + \beta$ with $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}^*$ conjugates f to a polynomial

$$f(z) = \sum \frac{b_j}{\alpha} (\alpha z + \beta)^{d-j} - \frac{\beta}{\alpha} = \alpha^{d-1} b_0 z^d + \alpha^{d-2} (d\beta b_0 + b_1) z^{d-1} + \dots$$

Setting $\alpha = b_0^{1/(d-1)}$ and $\beta = -b_1/db_0$ we can put f in *normal form*

$$f(z) = z^d + a_2 z^{d-2} + a_3 z^{d-3} + \dots + a_d$$

There is non-uniqueness in the choice of α ; different choices differ by multiplication by a $(d-1)$ st root of unity ζ , which multiplies the coefficient a_j by ζ^{d-j-1} .

Definition 2.1 (Shift locus). The *shift locus* of degree $d \geq 2$, denoted \mathcal{S}_d , is the space of normalized degree d polynomials f for which every critical point of f is in the attracting basin of infinity.

The critical points of f are the roots of f' . To say a point c is in the attracting basin of infinity means that the iterates $c, f(c), f^2(c), \dots$ converge to infinity.

Note that the property of being in the shift locus is expressed in purely dynamical terms. Thus we could define \mathcal{S}_d to be the space of *conjugacy classes* of polynomials with a certain dynamical property. The relationship between that definition and the one we adopt comes down to an ambiguity of $\mathbb{Z}/(d-1)\mathbb{Z}$ in the representation of a conjugacy class by a normalized polynomial.

The coefficients of a normalized degree d polynomial embed \mathcal{S}_d as a subset of \mathbb{C}^{d-1} . It is clear that \mathcal{S}_d is open, since for any polynomial f the punctured disk $E(R) := \{z : |z| > R\}$ is in the attracting basin of infinity for sufficiently big R (depending continuously on f), and f is in \mathcal{S}_d if and only if there is some integer n so that $f^n(c) \in E(R)$ for all critical points c .

Recall the following definition:

Definition 2.2 (Julia Set). The *Julia set* J_f of a polynomial f is the closure of the set of repelling periodic orbits of f .

The complement of J_f in the Riemann sphere is the *Fatou set* Ω_f ; it is the maximal (necessarily open) set on which f and all its iterates together form a normal family. Actually, it is perhaps more natural to take this to be the definition of the Fatou set, and to define the Julia set to be its complement. The Julia set and the Fatou set are both totally invariant (i.e. $f(J_f) = J_f = f^{-1}(J_f)$ and similarly for Ω_f). The Julia set is always nonempty and perfect. See e.g. Milnor [26], § 4.

Proposition 2.3. *A polynomial f is in the shift locus if and only if the Julia set J_f is a Cantor set on which the action of f is uniformly expanding.*

Proof. If J_f is a Cantor set, its complement is connected and is therefore equal to the attracting basin of infinity. If f is uniformly expanding on J_f then $|f'|$ is bounded below on J_f by a positive constant, so J_f can't contain any critical points and f is in the shift locus.

Conversely, suppose f is in the shift locus. Since ∞ is an attracting fixed point, there is a connected neighborhood U of ∞ with $f(U) \subset U$. Because f is a polynomial, ∞ is its own unique preimage under f ; it follows by induction that for each n , the set $V_n := f^{-n}(U)$ is both forward-invariant and connected (because each component contains ∞). Because f is in the shift locus, there is an n so that all the critical points are contained in V_n . Let K be the complement of V_n , so that K is a finite union of disks.

Because all the critical points are in V_n , each point in K has exactly d distinct preimages; these vary continuously as a function of K , and since each component D of K is simply-connected, $f^{-1}|_D$ has d well-defined continuous branches with disjoint image. By the Schwarz Lemma the branches of f^{-1} are uniformly contracting in the hyperbolic metric on each component of K ; thus the diameters of the components of $f^{-n}(K)$ converge (at a geometric rate) to zero, so that $\Lambda := \bigcap_n f^{-n}(K)$ is totally disconnected and f is uniformly expanding on Λ .

Evidently the complement of Λ is the basin of infinity, so $J_f = \Lambda$. Since J_f is always perfect, it is a Cantor set, and f is uniformly expanding on J_f , as claimed. \square

Example 2.4 (Mandelbrot set). A quadratic polynomial $z \rightarrow z^2 + c$ has 0 as its unique critical point. The set of $c \in \mathbb{C}$ for which 0 is *not* in the basin of infinity of $z \rightarrow z^2 + c$ is called the *Mandelbrot set* \mathcal{M} ; see Figure 1. Thus \mathcal{M} is the complement of \mathcal{S}_2 in \mathbb{C} . The connectivity of the Mandelbrot set (proved by Douady and Hubbard [18]) is equivalent to the fact that \mathcal{S}_2 is homeomorphic to an (open) annulus.




FIGURE 1. The Mandelbrot set \mathcal{M} (interior in white) is the complement of \mathcal{S}_2 in \mathbb{C}

Example 2.5 (Discriminant complement). Let $f(z)$ be any degree d polynomial with distinct roots (i.e. for which 0 is not a critical value). Then $g(z) := \lambda f(z)$ is (conjugate to a polynomial) in the shift locus for $|\lambda| \gg 1$. To see this, let U be any neighborhood of infinity for which $f(U)$ does not contain 0. Then for sufficiently large $|\lambda|$ we have $g(U) \subset U$ (so that U is contained in the attracting basin of infinity for g). Furthermore, g and f have the same critical points, so for sufficiently large $|\lambda|$ we have $g(c) \in U$ for every critical point c of g .

We can think of this as showing that near infinity, \mathcal{S}_d is ‘nearly equal’ to the complement of the discriminant locus $\mathbb{C}^{d-1} - \Delta$. We shall elaborate on this remark in the sequel.

Example 2.6 (Cantor set J_f). In degree two, J_f is a Cantor set precisely when f is in the shift locus, but for degree bigger than two it is possible for J_f to be a Cantor set for f not in the shift locus.

For example, consider the polynomial $f(z) := \alpha z(z-1)^2$ with α real and positive. The fixed points are 0 and $\beta^\pm := 1 \pm \sqrt{1 - (\alpha - 1)/\alpha}$ and the critical points are $1/3$ and 1. Since $f(1) = 0$, the polynomial f is never in the shift locus. If $f(1/3) > \beta^+$ then $f^{-1}([0, \beta^+])$ is real and properly contained in $[0, \beta^+]$, and $J_f = \bigcap_n f^{-n}([0, \beta^+])$ is a totally real Cantor set. This happens for $\alpha > 9$.

In the limiting case $\alpha = 9$, the Julia set J_f is the real interval $[0, 4/3]$.

Suppose f is in the shift locus, so that J_f is a Cantor set, equal to the complement of the basin of infinity. Then f has d distinct fixed points, all in J_f .

Because the dynamics of f on J_f is expanding, it is structurally stable there. So if f_t is a family of polynomials in the shift locus with Julia sets J_{f_t} , there are open sets $U(t)$ containing J_{f_t} and maps $\varphi_t : U(0) \rightarrow U(t)$ conjugating $f_t|_{U(t)}$ to $f_0|_{U(0)}$. In particular, we obtain a *monodromy representation* ρ from the fundamental group $\pi_1(\mathcal{S}_d)$ to the *mapping class group* of $\mathbb{C} - \text{Cantor set}$. This is an example of a so-called *big mapping class group*; see e.g. [30] for background and an introduction to the theory of such groups.

The dynamics of any f on J_f is conjugate to the action of the shift on the space of one-sided sequences in a d letter alphabet; this justifies the name. One way to see this is to take a compact K containing J_f in its interior for which $f|_K$ has d inverse branches f_1, \dots, f_d , and the $f_j(K)$ are disjoint subsets of the interior of K . Then J_f is in bijection with the set of right infinite words in the $\{f_j\}$.

The geometry of \mathcal{S}_d is very complicated. For $d = 2$ the space \mathcal{S}_2 is the complement in \mathbb{C} of the Mandelbrot set; showing that \mathcal{S}_2 is conformal to a punctured disk is equivalent to showing that the Mandelbrot set is connected. The main goal of this paper is to develop tools to describe the topology of \mathcal{S}_d for higher d .

3. ELAMINATIONS

In this section we introduce the concept of an *elamination*. Laminations, as introduced by Thurston, are a key tool in low-dimensional geometry, topology and dynamics; see e.g. [27], Chapter 8.5. The reader already familiar with laminations can think of the term ‘elamination’ as an abbreviation for ‘extended lamination’, or ‘enhanced lamination’ — an ordinary lamination with some extra structure.

Elaminations are an essential combinatorial tool that will be used throughout the sequel, especially beginning with § 4, so throughout this section we just spell out the basic theory, deferring the connection to dynamics until the sequel. There are some points of contact between elaminations — and in particular the ‘collision topology’ on the space \mathcal{EL} — to the theory partially developed by Thurston in [29]; but there are many points of difference, and it seems pointless to try to force the two theories into a common framework.

Elaminations (and laminations for that matter) have several more-or-less equivalent identities, and it is useful to be able to move back and forth between them.

By abuse of notation, we will often use the same symbol or term to refer to the underlying abstract object or any of its equivalent manifestations.

We fix the following notation here and throughout the rest of the paper: let \mathbb{D} denote the *closed* unit disk in the complex plane \mathbb{C} , and let $\mathbb{E} := \mathbb{C} - \mathbb{D}$ denote its *open* exterior.

Definition 3.1 (Circle Lamination). A *leaf* is a finite subset of the unit circle of cardinality at least 2. A leaf is *simple* if it consists of 2 points; a leaf of *multiplicity* n consists of $n + 1$ points.

A *circle lamination* is a set of leaves, no two of which have 2 element subsets that are linked. A circle lamination is simple if all its leaves are simple.

Most authors require laminations to be closed in the space of finite subsets of S^1 (in the Hausdorff topology), but we explicitly do *not* require this.

Definition 3.2 (Geodesic Lamination). A *simple geodesic leaf* is a complete geodesic in \mathbb{D} with its hyperbolic metric. A *geodesic leaf of multiplicity* $n > 1$ is an ideal $(n + 1)$ -gon.

A *geodesic lamination* is a set of geodesic leaves no two of which cross in \mathbb{D} . A geodesic lamination is simple if all its leaves are simple.

Every ideal $(n + 1)$ -gon in \mathbb{D} determines an unordered set of $n + 1$ endpoints in S^1 and conversely. Two $(n + 1)$ -gons in \mathbb{D} cross if and only if two pairs of their endpoints link in S^1 . Thus there is a natural correspondence between circle laminations and geodesic laminations.

Definition 3.3 (Elamination). For each $z \in \mathbb{E}$ we let $\ell(z)$ denote the straight line segment from $z/|z|$ to z . We call $\ell(z)$ a *radial segment*. The *height* of the segment $\ell(z)$ is $\log(|z|)$.

An *extended leaf of height* $h > 0$ is the union of a geodesic leaf in \mathbb{D} (the *vein*) with radial segments in \mathbb{E} (the *tips*) all of height h , attached at the endpoints of the vein. An extended leaf is *simple* if the vein is simple.

An *extended lamination*, or *elamination* for short, is a set of extended leaves with the following properties:

- (1) lamination: distinct leaves have distinct veins, and the set of all veins of all leaves forms a geodesic lamination (called the *vein* of the elamination);
- (2) properness: there are only finitely many extended leaves with height $\geq \epsilon$ for any $\epsilon > 0$ (thus every elamination has only countably many leaves); and
- (3) saturation: to be defined below.

Let us now explain the meaning of saturation. Let Λ be an elamination, and let ℓ be a leaf with height h . Let pq be an oriented edge of ℓ , and let L be the finite set of leaves of Λ on the positive side of pq with height $\geq h$. Let L_p (resp. L_q) denote the subset of L of leaves with an endpoint with the same argument as p (resp. q). Since leaves of Λ do not cross, and distinct leaves have distinct veins, the leaves L_p are ordered by how they separate each other from pq ; thus if L_p is nonempty there is a *closest* $\ell_p \in L_p$ to pq (and similarly for L_q). A leaf ℓ_p (resp. ℓ_q) if it exists, is called an *elder sibling* for ℓ at p (resp. at q).

Saturation means the following two conditions hold for every ℓ :

- (1) an elder sibling of ℓ has height h' strictly bigger than h ; and
- (2) if L_p is nonempty so is L_q and vice versa; and furthermore $\ell_p = \ell_q$.

We say that a leaf ℓ is *saturated* by an elder sibling. Another way to say this is that if the vein of ℓ shares one endpoint with the vein of a taller leaf ℓ' , and there are no other ℓ'' (also taller than ℓ) in the way, then the vein of ℓ actually shares two endpoints with ℓ' .

3.1. Pinching. Let Λ be an elamination. We define an operation called *pinching* that associates to Λ a Riemann surface Ω obtained from \mathbb{E} by suitable cut and paste along the tips of Λ .

Construction 3.4 (Pinching). Let Λ be an elamination. For each leaf λ with multiplicity n and with tips $\sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_n$ enumerated in cyclic order in S^1 , cut open \mathbb{E} along the σ_j and glue the right side of each σ_j to the left side of σ_{j-1} (indices taken mod $n+1$) by a Euclidean isometry.

The resulting Riemann surface Ω is said to be obtained from Λ by *pinching*. We also write $\Omega = \mathbb{E} \bmod \Lambda$.

Lemma 3.5 (Planar). Ω obtained from an elamination Λ by pinching is planar.

Proof. This is equivalent to the fact that the leaves do not cross. \square

By construction, the function $\log |\cdot| : \mathbb{E} \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ is preserved under pinching, and therefore descends to a well-defined proper function on Ω that we refer to as the *height function* or sometimes as the *Green's function*, and denote h . Furthermore, $d \arg$ is a well-defined 1-form on Ω , so the level sets of the height function are finite unions of metric graphs. We sometimes denote $d \arg$ by $d\theta$. In fact, the combination $dh + id\theta$ is just the image of $d \log(z)$ on \mathbb{E} , which makes sense because this 1-form is preserved by cut-and-paste. By abuse of notation therefore we sometimes write $dh + id\theta = d \log(z)$. This 1-form has a zero of multiplicity m for each leaf of multiplicity m .

Definition 3.6 (Monkey pants). A *monkey pants* is a (closed) disk with at least two (open) subdisks removed. If P is a monkey pants, a function $\pi : P \rightarrow [t_1, t_2]$ is *monkey Morse* if it is a submersion away from finitely many points in the interior which are all saddles or monkey saddles, and if $\pi^{-1}(t_2)$ is equal to a distinguished boundary component $\partial^+ P$ (the *waist*) and $\pi^{-1}(t_1)$ is equal to the other components $\partial^- P$ (the *cuffs*).

Let Ω be the Riemann surface associated to an elamination. If $0 < t_1 < t_2$ are numbers not equal to the height of any leaf, then $\Omega([t_1, t_2]) := h^{-1}[t_1, t_2] \subset \Omega$ is a monkey pants, and h restricted to $\Omega([t_1, t_2])$ is monkey Morse. There is one saddle point for each simple leaf with height in $[t_1, t_2]$, and one monkey saddle with multiplicity equal to the multiplicity of a non-simple leaf.

Suppose Λ is a finite elamination, which pinches \mathbb{E} to Ω . Then Ω is a plane minus $n+1$ disks, where n is the number of leaves of Λ counted with multiplicity. If t is the least height of leaves of Λ , then $\Omega((0, t))$ is a disjoint union of $n+1$ annuli whose inner ‘boundary components’ (where $h \rightarrow 0$) can be compactified by $n+1$ circles. We refer to this collection of circles as $S^1 \bmod \Lambda$. Thus: just as \mathbb{E} is compactified (away from ∞) by S^1 , the surface $\mathbb{E} \bmod \Lambda$ is compactified (away from ∞) by $S^1 \bmod \Lambda$.

3.2. Push over and amalgamation. Denote the set of elaminations by \mathcal{EL} . We would like to define a natural topology on \mathcal{EL} . In a nutshell, a family of elaminations

Λ_t in \mathcal{EL} varies continuously if and only if the Riemann surfaces $\Omega_t = \mathbb{E} \bmod \Lambda_t$ do.

Because of properness, an elamination Λ has only finitely many leaves of height bigger than any positive ϵ . When these leaves have disjoint veins, it is obvious what it means to say that they vary continuously in a family: it just means that the heights and arguments vary continuously.

When two leaves of different heights collide, the shorter leaf becomes *saturated* by the taller (which becomes at that moment its elder sibling); if we continue the motion in the obvious way, the shorter leaf becomes unsaturated as it moves away from the taller leaf, and the net result is that the shorter leaf has been *pushed over* the taller one. The meaning of this is illustrated in Figure 2.




FIGURE 2. Pushing a shorter leaf over a taller one; at the intermediate step the shorter leaf is saturated by the taller one

When two leaves of the same height collide, saturation dictates that they must become amalgamated into a common leaf; see Figure 3.




FIGURE 3. When two simple leaves of the same height collide, they amalgamate to form a leaf of multiplicity 2

We now define a topology on \mathcal{EL} called the *collision topology*.

Definition 3.7 (Collision Topology). A family of elaminations Λ_t varies *continuously* in \mathcal{EL} in the collision topology if every finite subset of leaves varies continuously when they are disjoint, and varies by push over or amalgamation when they collide.

The whole point of the collision topology is that it is compatible with pinching.

Lemma 3.8 (Continuous quotient). *If Λ_t varies continuously in \mathcal{EL} then Ω_t vary continuously as Riemann surfaces.*

Proof. The only thing to check is that push over and amalgamation are continuous under pinching; but this is essentially by definition. \square

4. BUTCHER PAPER

4.1. Böttcher Coordinates. Let $f(z) := z^d + a_2 z^{d-2} + \cdots + a_d$ be a degree d polynomial in normal form. Lucjan Böttcher, a Polish mathematician who worked in Lvov in the beginning of the 20th century, showed [3] that f is conjugate to $z \rightarrow z^d$ in a neighborhood of infinity:

Proposition 4.1 (Böttcher Coordinates). *Let $f(z) := z^d + a_2 z^{d-2} + \cdots + a_d$ be a degree d polynomial in normal form. Then f is holomorphically conjugate to $z \rightarrow z^d$ on some neighborhood of infinity.*

For a proof see e.g. Milnor [26], Thm. 9.1.

4.2. Holomorphic 1-form. Let's let ϕ be the holomorphic conjugacy promised by Proposition 4.1 normalized so that $\phi f \phi^{-1}(z) = z^d$ near infinity. The map ϕ is only defined in a neighborhood of infinity, but we can extend it inductively over larger and larger domains by using the functional equation. Recall that \mathbb{E} denotes the exterior of the closed unit disk in \mathbb{C} ; i.e. \mathbb{E} is the basin of infinity of $z \rightarrow z^d$. The function $\log z$ is not single-valued on \mathbb{E} , but its differential dz/z is. The map $z \rightarrow z^d$ pulls back dz/z to $d \cdot dz/z$ (we use the notation $d \cdot$ to indicate multiplication by the degree d to distinguish it from the exterior derivative of forms). If we define $\alpha := \phi^* dz/z$ in a neighborhood of infinity, we can extend α uniquely to all of the Fatou set Ω_f by iteratively solving $f^* \alpha = d \cdot \alpha$. Thus α is a holomorphic 1-form on Ω_f with zeroes at the critical points of f and their preimages.

4.3. Horizontal/Vertical foliations. The real and imaginary parts of α and dz/z give rise to foliations on Ω_f and on \mathbb{E} related by ϕ near infinity. We call these the *horizontal* and the *vertical* foliations respectively.

On \mathbb{E} these foliations are nonsingular; the horizontal leaves are the circles $|z| = \text{constant}$ and the vertical leaves are the rays $\arg(z) = \text{constant}$. The corresponding foliations on Ω_f have saddle singularities at simple critical points and their preimages, and monkey saddle singularities at critical points (and their preimages) of multiplicity bigger than one (as roots of f'). Evidently ϕ may be extended by analytic continuation along every nonsingular vertical leaf, and along every singular leaf from infinity until the first singularity. These singularities are critical points and their preimages; this is a proper subset of Ω_f .

4.4. Construction of the dynamical elamination. Let $L_f \subset \Omega_f$ be the complement of this (maximal) domain of definition of ϕ , and $L \subset \mathbb{E}$ the complement of $\phi(\Omega_f - L_f)$. These subsets are both closed and backwards invariant. The complements $\Omega_f - L_f$ and $\mathbb{E} - L$ are open, simply connected, and dense. The set L consists of a countable collection of radial segments; in the generic case there are exactly two such segments $\ell(q^\pm)$ for each critical or pre-critical point p . One may think of q^\pm as the ‘image’ of p under ϕ . If c is a simple critical point with image $v = f(c)$ then $\phi(v)$ will have d preimages under $z \rightarrow z^d$, whereas v will only have $d - 1$ preimages under f ; the two of the preimages of $\phi(v)$ that correspond to c are q^\pm .

Example 4.2. If f has real coefficients, ϕ preserves the real axis. Thus the vertical leaves with $\arg(\phi(z)) \in \pi d^{-n} \mathbb{Z}$ consist of the z with $f^n(z)$ real. The polynomial $f(z) := z^3 + 3z + 3^{-1/2}$ has critical points at $\pm i$ with initial forward orbit

$$\pm i \rightarrow 3^{-1/2} \pm 2i \rightarrow -23 \cdot 3^{-3/2} \approx -4.42635$$

Figure 4 shows some vertical leaves in Ω_f and in \mathbb{E} in the preimage of the negative real axis. L_f and L are in red. The set $L_f \cup J_f$ is a dendrite.




FIGURE 4. Vertical leaves in Ω_f and in \mathbb{E} for $f(z) := z^3 + 3z + 3^{-1/2}$

Note that $\arg(\phi(f^2(i))) = \pi$ and $\arg(\phi(f(i))) = \pi/3$. The absolute value $|\phi(i)|$ is well-defined, and equal to approximately 1.18, but $\arg(\phi(i))$ is multi-valued, and takes values $7\pi/9$ and $\pi/9$.

One may repair this multi-valuedness of ϕ by doing cut-and-paste on \mathbb{E} : cut open \mathbb{E} along the segments L and reglue edges in pairs, so that each copy of $\ell(q^+)$ is glued to a copy of $\ell(q^-)$ in the unique manner which is orientation-reversing and compatible with the dynamics $z \rightarrow z^d$. The result is a new Riemann surface Ω on which the map $z \rightarrow z^d$ on $\mathbb{E} - L$ extends uniquely to a holomorphic degree d map $F : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$ and for which $\phi : \Omega_f - L_f \rightarrow \mathbb{E} - L$ extends to a holomorphic isomorphism $\phi : \Omega_f \rightarrow \Omega$ conjugating f to F .

Another way to say this is that L is the set of tips of a simple elamination Λ , with one leaf for each pair $\ell(q^\pm)$. And Ω is precisely the Riemann surface obtained from Λ by pinching, together with the 1-form dz/z whose real and imaginary parts are the (derivatives of) height and argument respectively.

When one talks about constructing a Riemann surface by gluing Euclidean polygons, one sometimes says the Riemann surface is built ‘from paper’ (see e.g. [14]). As a mnemonic therefore, and by abuse of homonymy, we say that Ω is built from *butcher paper*.

In case some critical points are not simple, there might be three (or more) segments in L associated to some (pre)-critical points, and some segment $\ell(q^\pm)$ associated to a critical point c might be a subsegment of some precritical $\ell(r^\pm)$ associated to another critical point. Exactly as in the simple case, these sets form the tips of the leaves of an elamination Λ (no longer simple) and $\Omega = \mathbb{E} \bmod \Lambda$.

Definition 4.3 (Dynamical Elamination). The elamination Λ obtained from f as above is called the *dynamical elamination* associated to f .

If we need to stress the dependence of Λ on f we denote it $\Lambda(f)$.

Lemma 4.4. *The assignment $\Phi : f \rightarrow \Lambda(f)$ is a continuous function from \mathcal{S}_d to \mathcal{EL} that we call the butcher map.*

Proof. The Fatou sets Ω_f together with their vertical/horizontal foliations vary continuously as a function of f . Since $\Lambda(f)$ can be recovered from Ω_f under the identification of $\mathbb{E} \bmod \Lambda(f)$ with Ω_f , and since we defined the topology on \mathcal{EL} so that the inverse of pinching is continuous, the lemma follows. \square

5. FORMAL SHIFT SPACE

In this section we shall characterize the dynamical elaminations $\Lambda(f)$ that arise from shift polynomials by the construction in § 4.4, and describe an inverse map. The existence of this inverse is the Realization Theorem 5.4, due essentially to DeMarco–McMullen, although we express things in rather different language.

In this section we use logarithmic coordinates and fix the notation $\log(z) = r + i\theta$ for $z \in \mathbb{E}$, so that $r \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and $\theta \in \mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}$, and we denote the radial segment associated to z by $\ell(r, \theta)$. In (r, θ) coordinates, the map $z \rightarrow z^d$ acts as multiplication by d . We call r the *height* and θ the *angle* of the segment $\ell(r, \theta)$.

5.1. Dynamical Elaminations. The geometry and combinatorics of L is best expressed in the language of elaminations. Let's fix the degree d in what follows.

Definition 5.1 (Critical data). A (degree d) *critical leaf* is an extended leaf whose tips have angles that are equal mod $2\pi d^{-1}$.

If C_1, \dots, C_e is a finite set of degree d critical leaves, we say the *critical multiplicity* of C_j is equal to its ordinary multiplicity, minus 1 for every C_k with greater height which shares a pair of ideal points with C_j .

A (degree d) *critical set* is a finite elamination consisting of degree d critical leaves C_1, \dots, C_e whose critical multiplicities sum to $d - 1$.

The map $z \rightarrow z^d$ acts on radial segments by $\ell(r, \theta) \rightarrow \ell(dr, d\theta)$. This induces a (partially) defined action on extended leaves, that might reduce multiplicity if distinct tips have angles that differ by a multiple of $2\pi d^{-1}$. If λ is a leaf for which all tips have angles that differ by a multiple of $2\pi d^{-1}$, the image of λ under $z \rightarrow z^d$ is undefined. For instance, $z \rightarrow z^d$ is undefined on any critical leaf. If P is a leaf, we denote its image under $z \rightarrow z^d$ by P^d .

Definition 5.2 (Dynamical Elamination). A *dynamical elamination* L is an elamination containing a finite subset of leaves C which is a degree d critical set, and such that $z \rightarrow z^d$ maps $L - C$ to L in a d to 1 manner. We say L is *generated by* C .

Figure 5 indicates a simple dynamical elamination of degree 3.

Proposition 5.3 (Dynamical elamination). *Let C be a degree d critical set. Then there is a unique dynamical elamination L generated by C .*

Proof. Recall that the notation $S^1 \bmod C$ denotes the result of pinching the unit circle along C . From the definition of a critical set, $S^1 \bmod C$ is the union of d disjoint circles, each canonically isomorphic to $\mathbb{R}/\frac{1}{d}\mathbb{Z}$ (with respect to the angle coordinates it inherits from S^1). Thus the map $z \rightarrow z^d$ maps each of these circles isomorphically to the unit circle. An extended leaf in $S^1 \bmod C$ canonically pulls back to an extended leaf on the unit circle by taking the preimage of the tips to be the tips of the preimage. We may therefore inductively construct L as the union of L_n where $L_0 = C$ and L_j is obtained from L_{j-1} by taking the preimages of L_j in $S^1 \bmod C$ and pulling back to an elamination on S^1 . Uniqueness is clear. \square




FIGURE 5. Simple dynamical elamination of degree 3; critical leaves are in red

We refer to the preimages of the critical leaves as *precritical leaves*, and we say that the *depth* of a precritical leaf P is the number of iterates of the dynamical map which take it to some C_i .

5.2. Realization. Let L be a degree d dynamical elamination generated by C , and let Ω be the Riemann surface obtained from L by pinching. The map $z \rightarrow z^d$ induces a degree d proper holomorphic map F from Ω to itself with $d - 1$ critical points counted with multiplicity, which are the endpoints of the tips of the C .

The *Realization Theorem* says that the action of F on Ω is holomorphically conjugate to the action of some (unique) shift polynomial f on its Fatou set.

Theorem 5.4 (Realization). *Let C be a degree d critical set with dynamical elamination L and associated Riemann surface $F : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$. Then there is a unique conjugacy class of degree d polynomial f in the shift locus for which $f|_{\Omega_f}$ is holomorphically conjugate to $F|_{\Omega}$.*

Essentially the same theorem is proved by DeMarco–McMullen [21], Thm. 7.1 although in different language, and with quite a different proof. One distinctive feature of our proof of Theorem 5.4 is that it finds the desired embedding of Ω in \mathbb{CP}^1 by a rapidly convergent algorithm; we expect this might be useful e.g. for computer implementation.

Proof. The Riemann surface Ω has one isolated puncture (corresponding to ∞) and a Cantor set J of ends (the ‘image’ of the unit circle under iterated cut-and-paste along ∂^-L). The map F extends holomorphically over the isolated puncture; we claim that it also extends (uniquely, holomorphically) over J . The resulting

extension will be a degree d holomorphic self-map from a sphere to itself, which is conjugate to a polynomial.

We now explain how to extend the dynamics of F over J holomorphically. Let X be the subset of Ω consisting of points with height $\leq t$ where t is less than the height of any critical leaf, and let Y be the closure of $X - F^{-1}(X)$. Then Y is a (typically disconnected) compact planar surface with outer boundary $\partial^+Y := \partial X$, and inner boundary $\partial^-Y := \partial Y - \partial^+Y$. The map $F : \partial^-Y \rightarrow \partial^+Y$ is a d -fold covering map for which every component maps homeomorphically to its image; thus we may define $F_1, \dots, F_d : \partial^+Y \rightarrow \partial^-Y$ to be branches of F^{-1} with disjoint images whose union is ∂^-Y .

Suppose that $\partial^+Y = \partial X$ has e components. Let D denote the disjoint union of e copies of the unit disk \mathbb{D} . We would like to find a holomorphic embedding $\psi : X \rightarrow D$, so that $J := D - \psi(X)$ is a Cantor set, and so that F (or, really, its conjugate by ψ) extends holomorphically over J .

Let \mathcal{T} denote the Teichmüller space of holomorphic embeddings $\psi : Y \rightarrow D$ taking components of ∂^+Y to components of ∂D , and normalized to take fixed values on three marked points on each component. We define a *skinning map* $\sigma : \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$ as follows. Given ψ , we cut out $D - \psi(Y)$ and sew in d copies of D by gluing their boundaries to $\psi(\partial^-Y)$ along the identifications

$$\partial D \xrightarrow{\psi^{-1}} \partial^+Y \xrightarrow{F_j} \partial^-Y \xrightarrow{\psi} \psi(\partial^-Y)$$

We then uniformize the resulting surface D' to obtain a holomorphic identification $D' \rightarrow D$, and the restriction of this uniformization to Y (which we identify with its image in D' under ψ) is $\sigma(\psi)$. The skinning map is holomorphic, and therefore distance non-increasing in the Teichmüller metric. In fact it is evidently strictly distance decreasing; furthermore, orbits are easily seen to be bounded. Thus σ is uniformly strictly distance decreasing, and there is a (unique) fixed point (actually convergence to the fixed point is easy to see directly by considering moduli of accumulating annuli around points of J).

By construction, this fixed point gives the desired embedding of X and extension of F . \square

We denote by \mathcal{DL}_d the space of degree d dynamical elaminations, thought of as a subspace of \mathcal{EL} . Theorem 5.4 produces a continuous inverse to the butcher map $\Phi : \mathcal{S}_d \rightarrow \mathcal{EL}$ called the *realization map* $\Psi : \mathcal{DL}_d \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_d$; in particular, the spaces \mathcal{S}_d and \mathcal{DL}_d are homeomorphic.

The location of the tips of the critical leaves define local holomorphic coordinates on \mathcal{DL}_d giving it the structure of a complex manifold. With respect to these coordinates, Φ and Ψ are holomorphic; thus \mathcal{DL}_d and \mathcal{S}_d are isomorphic as complex manifolds.

5.3. Squeezing. There is a free proper \mathbb{R} action on \mathcal{DL}_d which simultaneously multiplies the heights of the critical leaves by some fixed positive real number e^t . We call this transformation *squeezing*, and refer to the \mathbb{R} action as the *squeezing flow*.

Since the squeezing flow is (evidently) proper, it gives \mathcal{DL}_d the structure of a global product:

Corollary 5.5. *Each \mathcal{DL}_d is homeomorphic to a product $\mathcal{DL}_d = X_d \times \mathbb{R}$ where X_d is a real manifold of dimension $2d - 3$.*

For concreteness, we may think of X_d as the subspace of \mathcal{DL}_d where the largest critical height is equal to 1.

5.4. Rotation. If P is a leaf in L , we let $e^{i2\pi t}P$ denote the result of rotating P anticlockwise through t , mod leaves of greater height. This makes sense unless P collides with a leaf of the same height. If P and Q are leaves of different height, the operations of rotating P and rotating Q commute.

If L is a dynamical elamination of degree d with distinct critical leaves, let L_j be the critical leaf C_j and its preimages. Suppose no two critical leaves have heights whose ratio is a power of d ; we say L has *generic heights*. Then for a vector $s := s_1, \dots, s_{d-1}$ of real numbers we can simultaneously rotate all the leaves of each L_j of height h through angle hs_j , mod leaves of greater height; since leaves of the same height are all rotated through the same angle, they never collide and this operation is well-defined. Denote the result by $\mathcal{F}_s L := \cup_j e^{i2\pi hs_j} L_j$.

Lemma 5.6 (Torus orbits). *If L is a degree d dynamical elamination with generic heights $h(C)$, then $\mathcal{F}_s L \in \mathcal{DL}_d$. Furthermore the orbit map $\mathbb{R}^{d-1} \rightarrow \mathcal{DL}_d$ factors through a torus $T_L := \mathbb{R}^{d-1}/\Gamma_L$ where Γ_L is contained in $d^{-n}h(C)^{-1}\mathbb{Z}^{d-1}$ for some n .*

Proof. By induction, for each precritical leaf P we have $(e^{i\theta}P)^d = e^{i\theta d}P^d$ mod leaves of greater height. Thus $\mathcal{F}_s L$ is a degree d dynamical elamination.

For each critical leaf C_j the angles of C_j vary continuously in a component of S^1 mod leaves of greater height. Since the angles of these leaves of greater height all differ by multiples of d^{-n} for some fixed n , the length of this component is a multiple of $\mathbb{R}/d^{-n}\mathbb{Z}$. The lemma follows. \square

6. DEGREE 2

Our goal in the sequel is to investigate the topology and combinatorics of \mathcal{S}_d . As a warm-up, and in order to introduce the main ideas in a relatively clean context, we describe in the next few sections the special cases of degrees 2, 3 and 4. After developing the theory of the past few sections, the case of degree 2 is almost a triviality.

Theorem 6.1 (Douady–Hubbard [18]). *The space \mathcal{S}_2 is holomorphically equivalent to a punctured disk.*

Proof. A degree 2 dynamical elamination L is generated by a single (necessarily simple) critical leaf C . The tips of C are of the form $\ell(z)$ and $\ell(-z)$ for some $z \in \mathbb{E}$. Since every other leaf of L has smaller height than C , the number z^2 is a continuous function of \mathcal{DL}_2 , and conversely we can recover C and therefore L from z^2 . Hence \mathcal{DL}_2 is holomorphically isomorphic to the quotient of \mathbb{E} by ± 1 . \square

Corollary 6.2. *The Mandelbrot Set \mathcal{M} (i.e. the complement of \mathcal{S}_2 in \mathbb{C}) is connected.*

7. DEGREE 3

7.1. The Tautological Elamination. Throughout this section we refer to the *angles* of a leaf P of an elamination as the arguments of the tips divided by 2π ; thus angles take values in the circle $S^1 = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$.

For some small $\epsilon > 0$ and angles $t, s \in S^1$ let $L(t, s)$ be the degree 3 dynamical elamination with simple critical leaves C_1, C_2 where C_1 has height 1 and angles $\{t, t + 1/3\}$, and C_2 has height $1 - \epsilon$ and angles $\{s, s + 1/3\}$. Note that this forces $s \in (t + 1/3, t + 2/3)$.

If we fix t and vary s in $(t + 1/3, t + 2/3)$, then whenever $3^n s$ is equal to t or $t + 1/3$, the leaf C_2 collides with a leaf P of $L(t, s)$ which is a depth n preimage of C_1 . We define an elamination $\Lambda_T(t)$ whose leaves are the union of the leaves P^3 over all P in all $L(t, s)$ of this kind.

Example 7.1. Let $t = 0$ and $s = 5/9$. Thus C_1 has angles $\{0, 1/3\}$ and C_2 has angles $\{5/9, 8/9\}$. There is a unique leaf P with angles $\{s = 5/9, s'\}$ which collides with C_2 for which $P^9 = C_1$ and neither P nor P^3 crosses C_1 or C_2 (actually, because P is saturated by C_2 , it has angles $\{s = 5/9, s', 8/9\}$ but we ignore this point, since the tips with angles $5/9$ and $8/9$ become equal in P^3 and it is the leaf P^3 that is in $\Lambda_T(0)$). The leaf P^3 has angles $\{3s = 2/3, 3s'\}$; since $9s' = 1/3 \pmod{\mathbb{Z}}$, for P^3 not to cross C_1 or C_2 we must have $3s' = 7/9$. Thus, in order for P not to cross C_1 or C_2 we must have $s' = 16/27$. See Figure 6.




FIGURE 6. P and P^3 (in blue) have angles $\{5/9, 16/27, 8/9\}$ and $\{2/3, 7/9\}$.

The leaf P^3 with height $1/3$ and angles $\{2/3, 7/9\}$ is therefore a leaf of $\Lambda_T(0)$.

Definition 7.2 (Tautological Elamination). Fix $t \in S^1$. The *tautological elamination* $\Lambda_T(t)$ is the union of P^3 over all leaves $P \in L(t, s)$ in the preimage of C_1 over all values of s at which $C_2 \in L(t, s)$ collides with P .

If $P \in L(t, s)$ is a depth n preimage of C_1 that collides with C_2 , we refer to its image $P^3 \in \Lambda_T(t)$ as a *depth* $(n - 1)$ *leaf* of $\Lambda_T(t)$.

Proposition 7.3. For all t , $\Lambda_T(t)$ is an elamination. Furthermore, $\Lambda_T(t + s) = e^{i2\pi hs} \Lambda_T(t)$ for any t, s .

Proof. As we vary C_2 fixing its height, the preimages of C_1 are occasionally pushed over preimages of C_2 of greater height. But a depth 1 preimage P of C_1 has height $1/3$, which is greater than the height of any preimage of C_2 , so P is only pushed over C_2 itself. Since the angles of C_2 differ by $1/3$, pushing P over C_2 does not change its image P^3 . So we can simply add P^3 to $\Lambda_T(t)$.

Now imagine shrinking the height of C_2 to $1/3(1 - \epsilon)$ and then varying its angles again. The depth 1 preimages of C_1 pinch the unit circle into smaller circles, and C_2 is confined to a single component. Since C_1 now has height $< 1/3$, the depth 2 preimages Q of C_1 in this component have bigger height than any preimage of C_2 , so they stay fixed until they collide with C_2 , and we can simply add the Q^3 to $\Lambda_T(t)$. In other words: the depth 2 leaves of $\Lambda_T(t)$ are the cubes of the depth 2 preimages of C_1 in the component of S^1 pinched along the depth 1 preimages of C_1 containing C_2 . It follows that these leaves are disjoint, and do not cross depth 1 leaves.

Inductively, shrink the height of C_2 to $3^{-n}(1 - \epsilon)$. It is confined to a component of S^1 pinched along the depth $\leq n$ preimages of C_1 , and as it moves around this component, it collides with some depth $(n + 1)$ preimages R of C_1 and we add R^3 to $\Lambda_T(t)$. It follows (as before) that these leaves are disjoint and do not cross leaves of depth $\leq n$. This proves that $\Lambda_T(t)$ is an elamination.

To see how $\Lambda_T(t)$ varies with t , shrink C_2 down to the height of a depth n preimage P it has just collided with. Then rotate C_1 and simultaneously rotate C_2 at speed 3^{-n} (modulo leaves of greater height) so that it continues to collide with P . \square

Figure 7 depicts subsets of the tautological elaminations up to depth six associated to $\theta_1 = 1/12$ in units where the unit circle has length 1.




FIGURE 7. Tautological elaminations $\Lambda_T(1/12)$ to depths 1, 2, 3, 4 and 6

7.2. Topology of \mathcal{S}_3 . Let $\Omega(t) = \mathbb{E} \bmod \Lambda_T(t)$, and let $D_\infty(t)$ be the subsurface of $\Omega(t)$ of height $\leq 3(1 - \epsilon)$. Then $D_\infty(t)$ is a disk minus a Cantor set, and as t varies, the $D_\infty(t)$ vary by ‘rotating’ the level sets of height h through angle $ht/3$. By Proposition 7.3 this family of motions for $t \in [0, 1]$ induces a mapping class φ of $D(0)$ to itself. The mapping torus N_∞ of φ is the total space of a fiber bundle over S^1 whose fiber over t is $D_\infty(t)$.

Figure 8 shows a tautological elamination $\Lambda_T(5/6)$ and the disk D_∞ obtained by pinching it (to depth 7). These pictures were generated by the program `shifty` [13] which pinches elaminations recursively one leaf at a time, instead of simultaneously pinching all leaves of fixed depth. Thus the picture of D_∞ is only a combinatorial approximation, and is not conformally accurate.

Theorem 7.4 (Topology of \mathcal{S}_3). *The space \mathcal{S}_3 is homeomorphic to a product $X_3 \times \mathbb{R}$ where X_3 is the 3-manifold obtained from the 3-sphere S^3 by drilling out a neighborhood of a right-handed trefoil and inserting the mapping torus N_∞ , so that the longitude intersects the circle $\partial D_\infty(t)$ at angle t .*

Proof. This follows more or less directly from the definitions. Let’s examine the subspace Y_3 of X_3 for which $h(C_1) = 1$ and $h(C_2) \leq (1 - \epsilon)$. If we fix θ_1 and




FIGURE 8. Tautological elamination $\Lambda_T(5/6)$ and the disk obtained by pinching it

the height $h := h(C_2)$ then we obtain a (1-dimensional) subspace $\Gamma(\theta_1, h)$ of Y_3 . Evidently $\Gamma(\theta_1, h)$ is obtained from the circle of possible θ_2 values $[\theta_1 + 1/3, \theta_1 + 2/3]$ /endpoints by suitable cut and paste. By multiplying angles by 3 we can identify this space of θ_2 values with the unit circle S^1 ; so $\Gamma(\theta_1, h)$ is obtained from S^1 by cut and paste. We claim it is precisely equal to the result of cut and paste along the leaves of $\Lambda_T(t)$ of height $> h$

To see this, think about a component γ of $\Gamma(\theta_1, h)$; its preimage $\tilde{\gamma}$ in S^1 is a union of segments. The discontinuities of θ_2 in $\Gamma(\theta_1, h)$ occur precisely when C_2 is pushed over a precritical leaf of C_1 of height $> h$; thus the boundary of each component of $S^1 - \tilde{\gamma}$ is a precritical leaf P of C_1 so that C_2 collides with P in some dynamical elamination $L(\theta_1, s)$. But then by definition P^3 is a leaf of $\Lambda_T(\theta_1)$, and all leaves of $\Lambda_T(\theta_1)$ arise this way. This proves the claim, and shows that Y_3 is homeomorphic to N_∞ .

It remains to show that $X_3 - Y_3$ is homeomorphic to the complement of the right handed trefoil. For each $h \in (1/3, 1)$ the slice of X_3 for which $h(C_2) = h$ is just a torus T , with coordinates $\theta_1 \in S^1$ and $\theta_2 \in [\theta_1 + 1/3, \theta_1 + 2/3]$ /endpoints. When $h = 1$ we can no longer distinguish C_1 and C_2 , so this torus is quotiented out by the involution switching θ_1 and θ_2 coordinates; the quotient is a circle bundle over an interval with orbifold endpoints of orders 2 and 3 — see Figure 9. Thus $X_3 - Y_3$ is a circle bundle over a disk with two orbifold points, one of order 2 and one of order 3; this is the standard Seifert fibered structure on $S^3 - \text{trefoil}$. \square

7.3. Geometry and topology of X_3 . Let $\Lambda_T(\theta_1, n)$ denote the finite elamination consisting of the leaves of $\Lambda_T(\theta_1)$ of depth $\leq n$ (i.e. they correspond in the construction of the tautological elamination to depth n preimages of C_1).

Let $\Omega_n(\theta_1)$ be the Riemann surface obtained by pinching $\Lambda_T(\theta_1, n)$ and let $D_n(\theta_1)$ be the subsurface of height $3(1 - \epsilon)$. Then each $D_{n+1}(\theta_1)$ is obtained by pinching $D_n(\theta_1)$ along the depth $(n + 1)$ leaves, and we can think of $D_\infty(\theta_1)$ as the limit. Likewise we can define mapping tori N_n which are $D_n(\theta_1)$ bundles over the θ_1 circle S^1 .




FIGURE 9. Quotient of the torus T by the involution switching θ_1 and θ_2 is a circle bundle over an interval with orbifold endpoints of orders 2 and 3.

Let M_n denote the result of inserting N_n into the right-handed trefoil complement in S^3 . Then M_n is a link complement, $S^3 - K_n$ where K_0 is the trefoil itself and each K_{n+1} is obtained from K_n by (a rather simple) satellite of its components. The limit $K_\infty = S^3 - X_3$ is a Cantor set bundle over S^1 ; one sometimes calls such objects *Solenoids*.

We now state and prove two theorems, which describe \mathcal{S}_3 in geometric resp. topological terms. The geometric statement is that \mathcal{S}_3 is homotopic to a *locally CAT(0) 2-complex*. This means a 2-dimensional CW complex (in the usual sense) with a path metric of non-positive curvature; see e.g. [9] for an introduction to the theory of CAT(0) spaces.

The most important corollary of this structure for us is that a locally CAT(0) complex is a $K(\pi, 1)$; the proof is a generalization of the usual proof of the Cartan–Hadamard theorem for complete Riemannian manifolds of nonpositive curvature (which are themselves examples of locally CAT(0) spaces). Thus (for example) $\pi_1(\mathcal{S}_3)$ is torsion free, and has vanishing homology with any coefficients in dimension greater than 2.

Theorem 7.5 (CAT(0) 2-complex). \mathcal{S}_3 is a $K(\pi, 1)$ with the homotopy type of a locally CAT(0) 2-complex.

Proof. Up to homotopy, we can take M_0 to be the *spine* of the trefoil complement; this is the mapping torus of a theta graph by an order three isometry that permutes the edges by a cyclic symmetry. It can be thickened slightly to M_0 by gluing on a metric product (flat) torus times interval. Each M_n has boundary a union of totally geodesic flat tori, and each M_{n+1} is obtained by gluing a flat annulus whose boundary components are parallel geodesics in ∂M_n (circlewise, the endpoints of a leaf of the tautological elamination of depth $(n + 1)$) and then gluing a flat torus times interval on each resulting boundary component to thicken. The union is homeomorphic to X_3 .

Simply gluing the spines at each stage without thickening gives a homotopic complex which is evidently CAT(0). \square

Corollary 7.6. $\pi_1(\mathcal{S}_3)$ is torsion-free, and homology with any coefficients vanishes in dimension greater than 2.

The topological statement is that X_3 is homeomorphic to a Solenoid complement of a particularly simple kind: one obtained as an infinite increasing union of iterated cables.

Theorem 7.7 (Link complement). *The degree 3 shift locus \mathcal{S}_3 is homeomorphic to $X_3 \times \mathbb{R}$ where X_3 is S^3 minus a Solenoid K_∞ obtained as a limit of a sequence of links K_n where*

- (1) K_0 is the right-handed trefoil; and
- (2) Each component α of K_n gives rise to new components $\alpha_0 \cup \alpha_c$ of K_{n+1} , where α_0 is the core of a neighborhood of α (i.e. we can think of it just as α itself) and α_c is a finite collection of (p_α, q_α) cables of α_0 , for suitable p_α, q_α .

Proof. The only thing to prove is the second bullet point. Let α be a component of K_n . The boundary of a tubular neighborhood of α is the mapping torus of a finite collection of boundary circles of $D_n(0)$ which are permuted by the monodromy φ . Let m be the least power of φ that takes one such boundary component $\gamma \subset \partial^- D_n(0)$ to itself. Then φ^m acts on γ by rotation through $2\pi p_\alpha/q_\alpha$.

The depth $(n+1)$ leaves of Λ_T on the component γ form a finite elamination permuted by φ^m . Think of this as determining a finite geodesic lamination of \mathbb{D} . The complementary components are in bijection with the components γ_j of $\partial^- D_{n+1}(0)$ obtained by pinching γ , and we must understand how φ^m acts on them. A finite order rotation of \mathbb{D} has a unique fixed point — the center. So there is a unique component γ_0 invariant under φ^m , and all the other components are freely permuted with period q_α . Evidently under taking mapping tori γ_0 is associated to the core α_0 and the other γ_j are associated to components α_c which are all (p_α, q_α) cables of α_0 . \square

Corollary 7.8 (Homology of \mathcal{S}_3). *H_1 and H_2 of \mathcal{S}_3 (and of $\pi_1(\mathcal{S}_3)$) is free abelian on countably infinitely many generators. $H_0 = \mathbb{Z}$ and $H_n = 0$ for all $n > 2$.*

In fact, it is possible to get more precise information about the denominators q_α , and in fact we are able to show:

Theorem 7.9 (Powers of 2). *The orbit lengths under φ of the cuffs of D_n (and hence all denominators q_α in Theorem 7.7) are powers of 2.*

In fact, the proof of Theorem 7.9 goes via arithmetic, and will be given in § 9; technically, the proof is a consequence of Theorem 9.20 and Example 9.10. We do not actually know a direct combinatorial proof of this theorem in terms of the combinatorics of the tautological elamination, and believe it would be worthwhile to try to find one. We explore the combinatorics of the tautological elamination further in § 9.5.

The tautological elamination has exactly 3^{n-1} leaves of depth n and therefore $(3^n - 1)/2$ leaves of depth $\leq n$. It follows that D_n is a disk with $(3^n + 1)/2$ holes. However, the monodromy φ permutes these nontrivially, and K_n has one component for each orbit.

The links K_n have 1, 2, 5, 11 components for $n = 0, 1, 2, 3$, though the degrees with which these components wrap around the cores of their parents are quite complicated. Thickened neighborhoods of K_n for $n = 0, 1, 2$ are depicted in Figure 10.




FIGURE 10. Thickened neighborhoods of K_j for $j = 0, 1, 2$. X_3 is homeomorphic to $S^3 - K_\infty$

8. DEGREE 4 AND ABOVE

8.1. Weyl chamber. As in the case of degree 3, we set $\mathcal{S}_4 = X_4 \times \mathbb{R}$ where X_4 is the quotient of \mathcal{S}_4 by the orbits of the squeezing flow.

Order the critical heights with multiplicity so that $h_1 \geq h_2 \geq h_3$ and define a map $\rho : X_4 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ with coordinates $t_j := -\log_4 h_j$. If we identify X_4 with the subspace for which $h_1 = 1$ then $t_1 = 0$ and the image of ρ is the subset of $(t_2, t_3) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ with $0 \leq t_2 \leq t_3$. Another normalization is to set $\sum t_j = 0$ in which case the image of ρ may be identified with the Weyl chamber W associated to the root system A_2 .

Within this chamber we have a further stratification. Define $t_{ij} := t_i - t_j$ and refer to the level sets $t_{ij} = n \in \mathbb{Z}$ as *walls*. The walls define a cell decomposition τ of W into right angled triangles with dual cell decomposition τ' .

We shall describe a natural partition of X_4 into manifolds with corners $X_4(v)$, for vertices v of τ , where $X_4(v)$ is defined to be the preimage under ρ of the cell of τ' dual to v . These submanifolds are typically disconnected, and the way their components are glued up in X_4 will give X_4 the structure of a *complex of spaces over a contractible \tilde{A}_2 building*.

8.2. Two partitions. Let's suppose critical leaves are simple, and we label them C_j compatibly with the ordering on heights.

There are two combinatorially distinct ways for C_1 to sit in the circle: the angles of the segments are either antipodal, or they are distance $1/4$ apart (remember we are working in units where the circle has total length 1). When $h(C_1)$ is strictly larger than the other $h(C_j)$ the leaf C_1 is the unique leaf of greatest height. Thus the difference of the angles is locally constant; it follows that the subset of X_4 where $h(C_2) < 1$ is disconnected. In fact, it is easy to see it has exactly two components according to the placement of C_1 .

Where C_1 is an antipodal leaf, it pinches the unit circle into two circles of length $1/2$, each bisected by one of C_2 and C_3 . The restriction of the dynamical elimination in each of these length $1/2$ circles is symmetric under the antipodal map.

When C_1 is not antipodal, it pinches the unit circle into circles of length $1/4$ and $3/4$, with C_2 and C_3 both contained in the longer circle. The leaf C_2 pinches this circle into circles of length $1/2$ and $1/4$, and C_3 divides the length $1/2$ circle antipodally.

8.3. Monkey prisms, monkey turnovers. Let's fix a generic (t_2, t_3) in the interior of W , so that none of $t_2, t_3, t_3 - t_2$ are integers. Denote the fiber of ρ over (t_2, t_3) by $T(t_2, t_3)$. These fibers are disjoint union of 3-tori, orbits of the \mathbb{R}^3 action \mathcal{F}_s on \mathcal{DL}_4 described in Lemma 5.6. These tori piece together to form a product throughout each open triangle of τ . We let θ_j (taking values in \mathbb{R}^3 mod a suitable lattice) denote angle coordinates on one of these tori.

As we pass through a wall where some $t_{ij} \in \mathbb{N}$, circle factors in these tori pinch as follows. The angle coordinates θ and the log height coordinates t determine a dynamical elamination. When $t_{ij} = n$ the circle parameterized by θ_i is pinched along the precritical leaves of C_j of depth n . As we move around in the fiber, the dynamical elamination varies by a rotation, so the way in which the θ_i circle pinches depends only on which component we are in, and the value of the local coordinates θ_j with $j < i$. In other words, the structure locally is that of a certain kind of iterated fiber bundle called a *monkey bundle*.

Recall from Definition 3.6 the terms monkey pants and monkey Morse functions.

Definition 8.1 (Monkey bundle). A *monkey bundle* of order n consists of the following data:

- (1) A finite sequence of fiber bundles $\Omega_2 \rightarrow E_2 \rightarrow S^1$ and $\Omega_j \rightarrow E_j \rightarrow E_{j-1}$ for $3 \leq j \leq n$ where each Ω_j is a monkey pants;
- (2) a map $\pi_j : E_j \rightarrow [0, 1]$ whose restriction to each Ω_j fiber is monkey Morse; and such that
- (3) if $E := E_n$ is the total space, and $\pi : E \rightarrow [0, 1]^{n-1}$ denotes the map whose factors restrict to π_j on each E_j , then for each j the image of the critical points in the Ω_j fibers is a collection of affine hyperplanes.

The cube $[0, 1]^{n-1}$ together with the hyperplanes which are the images of fiber-wise critical points under π should be thought of as a *graphic* in the sense of Cerf theory; see e.g. [15]. We say that a curve in $[0, 1]^{n-1}$ crosses a hyperplane of the graphic *positively* if it corresponds to the positive direction in the factor $\pi_j : E_j \rightarrow [0, 1]$ to which the hyperplane is associated.

Definition 8.2 (Monkey prism; monkey turnover). Suppose E is a monkey bundle with projection $\pi : E \rightarrow [0, 1]^{n-1}$. Suppose $\Delta \subset [0, 1]^{n-1}$ is a convex polyhedron for which there is a vertex $v \in \Delta$ so that the ray from v to every other point in Δ crosses the graphic in the positive direction. Then we call $P := \pi^{-1}(\Delta)$ a *monkey prism*.

Suppose $\pi : P \rightarrow \Delta$ is a monkey prism, and some collection of finite groups act on some boundary strata of P preserving π . Then the quotient space Q of P together with the data of its induced projection to Δ is called a *monkey turnover*.

Lemma 8.3 (Prism is $K(\pi, 1)$). A *monkey prism* of order n is a $K(\pi, 1)$ with the homotopy type of an n -complex. A *monkey turnover* of order n has the homotopy type of an n -complex.

Proof. A monkey pants is homotopic to a graph, and iterated fibrations of $K(\pi, 1)$ s are $K(\pi, 1)$ s. Thus a monkey bundle is a $K(\pi, 1)$ with the homotopy type of an n -complex.

The universal cover \tilde{E} of a monkey bundle E is a (noncompact) manifold with corners, and interior homeomorphic to a product $\mathbb{R}^2 \times \cdots \times \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ where each \mathbb{R}^2 factor has a singular foliation with leaf space an oriented tree.

If $F \subset E$ is a monkey prism associated to a polyhedron $\Delta \subset [0, 1]^{n-1}$ then the preimage $\tilde{F} \subset \tilde{E}$ is bounded in each \mathbb{R}^2 factor by a collection of lines of the foliation, and is homeomorphic to a disjoint union of \mathbb{R}^2 s. As we move along a straight ray in Δ from the distinguished vertex we might cross hyperplanes of the graphic, but by hypothesis we only cross in the positive direction. As we cross a hyperplane, the part of \tilde{F} in some \mathbb{R}^2 fibers splits apart, but pieces can never recombine; thus \tilde{F} is homeomorphic to \mathbb{R}^{2n-1} so that F is also a $K(\pi, 1)$ with the homotopy type of an n -complex.

Since orbifolding is compatible with π , a monkey turnover also has the homotopy type of an n -complex. \square

From the description of the fibers of ρ and how they pinch as we cross a wall, the following is immediate:

Lemma 8.4. *Let Δ be a cell of the dual cellulation τ' . Then $\rho^{-1}(\Delta)$ is a disjoint union of monkey prisms and monkey turnovers with respect to the map ρ .*

Figure 9 is a simple example of the way a fiber can be quotiented in a monkey turnover.

There does not seem to be any obvious reason why monkey turnovers in generality should be $K(\pi, 1)$ s. However it will turn out that the turnovers that occur in the partition of X_4 are $K(\pi, 1)$ s. The reason for this is subtle, and only proved in § 9.

There is another natural cellulation κ of W associated to the subset of walls of the form $t_{i1} \in \mathbb{N}$; i.e. the walls of the integer lattice in \mathbb{R}^2 . They decompose W into squares and right-angled triangles. Let κ' be the dual cellulation; the cells of κ' are triangles, squares and rectangles, and the cells of κ' are in bijection with the cells of τ' . Since τ and κ have the same set of vertices, there is a bijection between the top dimensional cells of τ' and κ' .

In the sequel it will be convenient to compare the monkey prisms and turnovers associated to τ' with those associated to κ' .

Lemma 8.5 (Equivalent Cells). *Let K and T be cells of the cellulations κ' and τ' associated to a vertex v . Then the components of $\rho^{-1}(K)$ and of $\rho^{-1}(T)$ are homeomorphic, and are isotopic inside X_4 .*

Proof. There is an isotopy of the frontiers of the cells from one to the other which never introduces any new tangency with the graphic. Since fibers are arranged in a product structure away from the graphic, the lemma follows. \square

The prisms and turnovers associated to cells of κ' are naturally homeomorphic to the *moduli spaces* introduced in § 9.3.

8.4. $K(\pi, 1)$. Decompose W into cells dual to the cellulation by walls; note that typical cells (those dual to interior vertices of W) are hexagons. The preimage under ρ of each of these cells is a disjoint union of monkey prisms and monkey turnovers, and the walls in each cell are the graphic. Thus X_4 is a *complex of spaces* in the sense of Corson [16]. The associated complex is built from copies of cells of τ according to the pattern of inclusion of connected components; thus it is an example of an \tilde{A}_2 *building*, which comes with an immersion to W . See e.g. Brown [10] for an introduction to the theory of buildings.

Theorem 8.6 (Complex of spaces). X_4 is a complex of monkey prisms and monkey turnovers over a contractible \tilde{A}_2 building B .

Proof. The direction of pinching is transverse to the walls, so there is a unique path in the building from every point to the origin projecting to a ray in W . \square

In retrospect, the inductive picture of X_3 we obtained in § 7 as an infinite union of knot and link complements, exhibits it as a complex of monkey prisms and monkey turnovers (actually, only one monkey turnover) over a contractible \tilde{A}_1 building (i.e. a tree).

The next theorem is the analog in degree 3 of Theorem 7.5.

Theorem 8.7 ($K(\pi, 1)$). \mathcal{S}_4 is a $K(\pi, 1)$ with the homotopy type of a 3-complex.

We have already seen that the monkey prisms (and consequently also monkey turnovers) in X_4 have the homotopy type of 3-complexes. The same is therefore true of X_4 .

X_4 is assembled from monkey prisms and monkey turnovers associated to the vertices of B . The edges and triangles are associated to lower dimensional monkey prisms and turnovers included as facets in the boundary. The monkey prisms and their boundary strata are all $K(\pi, 1)$ s by Lemma 8.3, and the inclusions of boundary strata are evidently injective at the level of π_1 . It remains to show that the same holds for the monkey turnovers.

We defer the proof of this to § 9, but for the moment we give some examples to underline how complicated the monkey turnovers can be.

Example 8.8 ($K(B_4, 1)$). The turnover associated to the vertex $(0, 0)$ homotopy retracts onto the fiber $\rho^{-1}(0, 0)$. This is the (3 real dimensional) configuration space of degree 4 dynamical elaminations with all critical leaves of height 1. This turns out to be a spine for the configuration space of 4 distinct unordered points in \mathbb{C} ; i.e. it is a $K(B_4, 1)$ (an analogous statement holds in every degree). There are several ways to see this; one elegant method is due to Thurston, and explained in [29]. We shall see a quite different and completely transparent demonstration of this fact in § 9.

Example 8.9 (Star of David). There are two monkey turnovers associated to the vertex $(1, 1)$ of τ in W , corresponding to the two combinatorially distinct ways for C_1 to sit in S^1 .

When C_1 is antipodal, the leaves C_2 and C_3 sit on either side and do not interact with each other. For each fixed value of C_1 the other two leaves vary as a product $P \times P$ of pairs of pants. Monodromy around the C_1 circle switches the two factors by an involution.

When C_1 is not antipodal, the leaves C_2 and C_3 may interact, and the topology is significantly more complicated. This component is also a bundle over S^1 whose fiber is a certain 4-manifold Y_2 that we call the *Star of David* (the explanation for the name will come in § 9). It is built from five pieces; two of these pieces are homotopic to trefoil complements (i.e. they are $K(B_3, 1)$ s). The other three pieces are homotopic to tori, which attach to the other components along a subspace homotopic to a wedge of two circles; in other words this decomposition does *not* form an injective complex of $K(\pi, 1)$ s. In fact, the fundamental group of Y_2 is obtained from the free product of two B_3 s by adding three commutation relations. The five pieces are illustrated in Figure 11.




FIGURE 11. One of the two monkey turnovers associated to the vertex $(1, 1)$ is a Y_2 bundle over S^1 , where Y_2 is built from five pieces associated to the configurations indicated in the figure. The first two pieces are $K(B_3, 1)$ s and the last three are $K(\mathbb{Z}^2, 1)$ s. C_1 and its preimages with greater height than C_2, C_3 are in red.

8.5. Degree d . Most of what we have done in this section generalizes to degree d readily. Set $\mathcal{S}_d = X_d \times \mathbb{R}$, and order critical heights with multiplicity so that $1 = h_1 \geq h_2 \geq \dots \geq h_{d-1}$. Define $\rho : X_d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d-2}$ with coordinates $t_j := -\log_d h_j$ for $j = 2, \dots, d-1$. The image of X_d is the Weyl chamber W , which is partitioned by walls $t_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}$ where $t_{ij} := t_i - t_j$ into the cells of a cell decomposition τ with dual decomposition τ' . If we identify \mathbb{R}^{d-2} affinely with the subspace of \mathbb{R}^{d-1} with coordinates summing to 0, then τ becomes the *symplectic honeycomb*; see e.g. Coxeter [17]. For example, in degree 5 the cells of τ are regular tetrahedra and octahedra, and the cells of τ' are regular rhombic dodecahedra.

Let κ be the cellulation defined only by the subset of walls t_{i1} and let κ' be the dual cellulation. Then we have:

Lemma 8.10 (Equivalent Cells). *Let K and T be cells of the cellulations κ' and τ' associated to a vertex v . Then the components of $\rho^{-1}(K)$ and of $\rho^{-1}(T)$ are homeomorphic, and are isotopic inside X_d .*

Theorem 8.11 (Complex of spaces). *\mathcal{S}_d is a complex of monkey prisms and monkey turnovers over a contractible \tilde{A}_{d-2} -building.*

Theorem 8.12 (Homotopy dimension). *\mathcal{S}_d has the homotopy type of a $(d-1)$ -complex (i.e. a complex of half the real dimension of \mathcal{S}_d as a manifold).*

The proofs are all perfectly analogous to the proofs of Lemma 8.5, Theorem 8.6 and (the relevant part of) Theorem 8.7.

8.6. Tautological Elaminations. It is straightforward to generalize Definition 7.2 to higher degree for the critical leaves of least height. Fix C_1, C_2, \dots, C_{d-2} at heights $h_1 \geq h_2 \geq \dots \geq h_{d-2}$, and let C_{d-1} at height $h_{d-2} - \epsilon$ vary. Every time C_{d-1} collides with a leaf P which is a preimage of C_j for $j < d-1$ we add P^d to the tautological elamination.

It is harder to decide on a definition for the other critical leaves. This is because the elamination associated to C_j depends on the fixed locations of C_k with $k < j$ and an *equivalence class* of fixed locations of C_k with $k > j$. We explain.

Definition 8.13 (Degree d Tautological Elaminations). Fix a degree d and an index $1 < i \leq d-1$. Fix locations of leaves C_j for $j \neq i$ where the C_j with $j < i$ have heights $h_1 \geq h_2 \geq \dots \geq h_{i+1}$, and the C_j with $j > i$ have height 0. We shall define the leaves of the tautological elamination $\Lambda_T(C)$ associated to $C := C_1, \dots, \hat{C}_i, \dots, C_{d-1}$ of depth n . Insert C_i somewhere at height $h_{i+1} - \epsilon$ compatibly with the other

leaves, and construct the leaves of the dynamical elamination associated to the critical data $C \cup C_i$ which are preimages of C_j up to depth n . As we vary C_i , the leaves C_j with $j < i$ stay fixed but the C_j with $j > i$ are pushed over C_i and over preimages of higher depth critical leaves. Whenever C_i collides with a preimage P of a higher C_j we add P^d to the tautological elamination.

The C_j with $j > i$ are ‘hidden parameters’; we need them to determine the location of the preimages of greater height, but they do not themselves contribute any leaves to Λ_T .

As the angles of C_j , $j < i$ vary by a vector of parameters t (and C_j , $j > i$ are pushed over by this motion) the tautological elaminations vary by the flow \mathcal{F}_t .

Within each monkey prism the pinching is described by these tautological elaminations. Let’s fix a cell τ' dual to a vertex v where $t_j = n_j$ and a monkey prism which is a component of $\rho^{-1}(\tau')$. The way in which the fiber Ω_i over $C_{<i} \in E_{i-1}$ pinches depends on which component we are in; implicitly, this choice of component determines an equivalence class of the location of C_j with $j > i$ and therefore determines a tautological elamination. The depth $\leq n_i - n_j$ preimages of the C_j in the tautological elamination describe the pinching of Ω_i as a function of $C_{<i}$. The proof is perfectly parallel to that of Theorem 7.4.

8.7. Completed Tautological Elamination. Fix $C := C_1, \dots, \hat{C}_i, \dots, C_{d-1}$ as above. It is possible to define a suitable ‘completion’ of the tautological elamination $\Lambda_T(C)$ as follows.

Definition 8.14 (Completed Tautological Elamination). Fix d and C as above. In the construction of the tautological elamination, set the formal height of C_i to be equal to 0, and define \mathcal{L}_n to be the set of leaves of the form P^d where P is a depth n preimage of C_i that collides with C_i itself.

Although they have height 0, the \mathcal{L}_n have a well-defined vein in \mathbb{D} . Note that some pairs of leaves of \mathcal{L}_n cross each other in \mathbb{D} . Nevertheless we can think of \mathcal{L}_n as a closed subset of the space of geodesic leaves in \mathbb{D} and take the lim sup $\mathcal{L}_\infty := \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{L}_n$ (i.e. there is a leaf in \mathcal{L}_∞ for each convergent sequence of leaves in a subsequence of the \mathcal{L}_n). Then we define the *completed* tautological elamination associated to C to be $\bar{\Lambda}_T(C) := \Lambda_T(C) \cup \mathcal{L}_\infty$.

The leaves of $\bar{\Lambda}_T(C) - \Lambda_T(C)$ are called *flat* since they have height 0, to distinguish them from the *ordinary* leaves of $\Lambda_T(C)$.

Theorem 8.15 (Limit is lamination). *The vein of $\bar{\Lambda}_T(C)$ is a geodesic lamination (i.e. leaves of \mathcal{L}_∞ do not cross $\Lambda_T(C)$ or each other).*

The proof of this will appear in a forthcoming paper.

Pinching along $\bar{\Lambda}_T(C)$ is the same as pinching along $\Lambda_T(C)$, since the flat leaves all have height zero, so do not actually intrude into \mathbb{E} . However, it *does* make sense to pinch the closure $\bar{\mathbb{E}} \subset \mathbb{C} \cup \infty$ along $\bar{\Lambda}_T(C)$, exactly as before by cut and paste along the tips of $\Lambda_T(C)$, and then by quotienting the endpoints of the flat leaves to single points. Let’s call the result $\Omega_T(C)$. Because we added limits in the definition of $\bar{\Lambda}_T(C)$, $\Omega_T(C)$ is Hausdorff. It is a compactification of $\Omega_T(C)$ away from ∞ , by locally connected spaces (isolated points or monotone quotients of circles).

Notice that this construction is non-vacuous even when $d = 2$; it reproduces Thurston’s quadratic geolamination [28], which is a proposed topological model for

the boundary of the Mandelbrot set (proposed, since it is famously unknown if the Mandelbrot set is locally connected).

Thus it seems reasonable to conjecture that the boundary components of $\overline{\Omega}_T(C)$ should parameterize (modulo the question of local connectivity) the boundaries of the components of the complement of S_d in the slice associated to C . Compare with [2].

9. SAUSAGES

In this section we introduce a completely new way to see the pieces in the building decomposition of X_d via algebraic geometry. It will turn out that the monkey prisms and monkey turnovers in X_d all become homeomorphic (after taking a product with an interval) to (rather explicit) complex affine varieties — moduli spaces of certain objects called *sausage shifts*.

9.1. Sausages: the basic idea. Everyone likes sausages. Now we will see them made. The basic idea is illustrated in Figure 12.



FIGURE 12. making sausages

A dynamical elamination is a machine that, by a process of repeatedly pinching leaves in order of height, extrudes a long, complicated Riemann surface Ω (a Fatou set); by tying this Riemann surface off at periodic values of $-\log_d h$, we decompose it into manageable genus zero chunks: sausages.

Thus the Riemann surface Ω is tied off into a tree of sausages, and the dynamics of F on Ω decomposes into polynomial maps between the sausages, whose moduli spaces are described by (elementary) algebraic geometry.

9.2. Definitions.

9.2.1. Tagged Points. Let f be a holomorphic map between open subsets of \mathbb{C} taking p to q . If $f'(p)$ is nonzero, df is a \mathbb{C} -linear isomorphism from T_p to T_q . Thus after scaling by a suitable positive real number, it induces an isometry of unit tangent circles. We denote these unit tangent circles by U and the induced map as $Uf : U_p \rightarrow U_q$.

If p is a critical point of multiplicity m , then f maps infinitesimal round circles centered at p to infinitesimal round circles centered at q by a degree $(m + 1)$ covering. By abuse of notation we write $Uf : U_p \rightarrow U_q$ for this map. In holomorphic

coordinates for which f is $z \rightarrow z^{m+1}$ this map is just multiplication by $(m+1)$ on U_0 (really we are using an implicit identification between the tangent space T_q and its $(m+1)$ st tensor power).

Definition 9.1 (Tagged Point). A *tagged point* is a point p together with an element $u_p \in U_p$. The *zero tag* is the point $0 \in \mathbb{C}$ together with the unit vector $u_0 \in U_0$ tangent to the positive real axis.

If f is a holomorphic map taking a tagged point p to a tagged point q we say it *preserves tags* if $Uf(u_p) = u_q$. If f is a holomorphic function, a *tagged root* is a tagged point p with $f(p) = 0$ for which $Uf(u_p)$ is the zero tag.

9.2.2. *Sausages*. Let T be a locally finite rooted tree. Every vertex v but the root has a unique *parent* — the unique vertex adjacent to v on the unique embedded path in T from v to the root. If w is the parent of v we say v is a child of w . Every edge of T is *oriented* from child to parent.

Definition 9.2 (Bunch of sausages). Let T be a locally finite rooted tree. A *bunch of sausages* over T is an infinite nodal genus 0 Riemann surface S made from a copy of \mathbb{CP}^1 for each vertex v of T (the *sausages*, which we denote \mathbb{CP}_v^1) and for each v a finite set of *marked tagged points* $Z_v \subset \mathbb{CP}_v^1 - \infty$ and a bijection σ from the children of v to the set Z_v , so that if w is a child of v , the point ∞ in the sausage \mathbb{CP}_w^1 is attached to the point $\sigma(w) \in Z_v \subset \mathbb{CP}_v^1$.

If T is a rooted tree, for each vertex w of T there is a rooted subtree $T_w \subset T$ with root w . If S is a bunch of sausages over T , then $S_w \subset S$ denotes the bunch of sausages associated to the subtree T_w .

A *morphism* between rooted trees T, T' is a simplicial map $\tau : T \rightarrow T'$ taking roots to roots, and directed edges to directed edges. Thus if w is a child of v , the image $\tau(w)$ is a child of $\tau(v)$.

Definition 9.3 (Augmentation). If T is a rooted tree, the *augmentation* of T , denoted T' , is the rooted tree obtained from T by adding a new root v' and an edge from the root v of T to v' . If S is a bunch of sausages over T , the *augmentation* of S , denoted S' , is the bunch of sausages over T' obtained by attaching $\mathbb{CP}_{v'}^1$ along $0 = Z_{v'}$ to ∞ in Z_v .

Definition 9.4 (Polynomial). Let S be a bunch of sausages over a locally finite tree T . A *degree d polynomial* p is a degree d tagged holomorphic map from S to its augmentation S' over a morphism $\tau : T \rightarrow T'$. This means that for every vertex w of T there is a polynomial map $p_w : \mathbb{CP}_w^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}_{\tau(w)}^1$ of degree d_w in normal form taking Z_w to $Z_{\tau(w)}$, and so that

- (1) if v is the root, the polynomial p_v has degree d and its roots are exactly $Z_v \subset \mathbb{CP}_v^1$, and furthermore as tagged points Z_v are tagged roots of p_v ;
- (2) the root polynomial p_v has more than one root; i.e. p_v is not the polynomial z^d ;
- (3) for every vertex w with $\tau(w) = u$ the map $p_w : \mathbb{CP}_w^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}_u^1$ takes Z_w to Z_u as tagged points, and Z_w is the entire preimage $p_w^{-1}(Z_u)$; and
- (4) if w is the child of u with $\sigma(w) = z \in Z_u \subset \mathbb{CP}_u^1$ then the degree d_w of the polynomial p_w is equal to the multiplicity of z as a preimage under p_u .

The second bullet point is a kind of nondegeneracy condition: if the root polynomial p_v were z^d , then S would already be the augmentation of some other sausage polynomial.

Lemma 9.5. *Let S be a bunch of sausages over T , and let $p : S \rightarrow S'$ be a degree d polynomial over a morphism $\tau : T \rightarrow T'$. Then for every vertex $w' \in S'$ the sum of degrees $\sum_{\tau(w)=w'} d_w = d$, and every point in S' has exactly d preimages, counted with multiplicity.*

Proof. This is true for the root vertex by bullet (1) from Definition 9.4, and by induction by bullets (2) and (3). \square

This lemma justifies the terminology ‘polynomial map’.

Definition 9.6. Let S be a bunch of sausages over T , and p a polynomial map of degree d . Let w be a vertex of T , and let $c \in \mathbb{CP}_w^1 - \infty$ be a critical point for p_w . We say c is a *genuine* critical point if one of the following occurs:

- (1) c is not in Z_w ; or
- (2) c is in Z_w but more than one sausage is attached at c ;

and is *false* otherwise. In the second case, the multiplicity of c is equal to one less than the number of sausages attached at c .

We say p is a *degree d shift polynomial* and (S, p) is a *degree d sausage shift* if there are exactly $d - 1$ genuine critical points, counted with multiplicity.

Bullet (2) in the Definition 9.4 is equivalent to saying that the root sausage contains at least one genuine critical point.

If p is a shift polynomial, there is a minimal finite rooted subtree $U \subset T$ containing all the genuine critical points. Thus for $w \in T - U$, every polynomial p_w is degree 1; since it is in normal form it is the identity map $p_w(z) = z$.

Corollary 9.7. *Let S be a bunch of sausages over T , and let p be a degree d shift polynomial. Then the space $\mathcal{E}(T)$ of ends of T is a Cantor set, and the action of p on $\mathcal{E}(T)$ is conjugate to the one-sided shift on right-infinite words in a d -letter alphabet.*

9.2.3. Isomorphism of polynomials. The definition of a sausage polynomial includes data in the form of tags that is essential if we want to construct a map from sausage polynomials to shift polynomials, as we shall do in § 9.4. In order for this map to be injective we must quotient out by a (finite) equivalence relation that we now explain.

Let S be a bunch of sausages over a tree T , and let p be a degree d polynomial as in Definition 9.4. Let u be a vertex of T , let $z \in Z_u \subset \mathbb{CP}_u^1$, and let w be the child of u with $\sigma(w) = z$. If z is a critical point of p_u of multiplicity m then p_w has degree $m + 1$; i.e. the degree of p_u near z agrees with the degree of p_w near infinity. In the sequel we will ‘cut open’ \mathbb{CP}_u^1 at z and \mathbb{CP}_w^1 at infinity, and sew together the two resulting boundary circles in a dynamically compatible way, lining up the tag at z in \mathbb{CP}_u^1 with the positive real axis at infinity in \mathbb{CP}_w^1 .

The tag at z maps under p_u to the tag at $p_u(z)$; thus given p_u and the choice of tag at $p_u(z)$ we have freedom in the choice of a compatible tag at z : different choices differ by multiplication by an $(m + 1)$ st root of unity ζ . If we multiply the tag at z by ζ , we must at the same time change the coordinates on \mathbb{CP}_w^1 by multiplication by ζ . Changing coordinates on \mathbb{CP}_w^1 inductively affects the data associated to w and the subtree T_w and its preimages under p in the obvious way. For example, $p_w(z)$ is replaced by $p_w(\zeta^{-1}z)$, the marked points Z_w are replaced by their preimages ζZ_w , etc.

We say two sausage shifts are *isomorphic* if they are related by a finite sequence of modifications of this sort. There are $\prod_{w \in T} \prod_{z \in Z_w} (m(z) + 1)$ polynomials in an isomorphism class, where $m(z)$ is the multiplicity of z as a critical point of p_w , and where the product is taken over all $z \in T_w$ for all $w \in T$. Note that for a sausage shift, this product is finite, since all but finitely many p_w have degree 1.

9.3. Moduli spaces. For each fixed combinatorial type of degree d sausage shift, there is an associated *moduli space* of isomorphism classes with the given combinatorics, parameterized locally by the coefficients of the vertex polynomials p_w of degrees > 1 . We shall see in Theorem 9.15 that moduli spaces for sausage shifts with generic heights have complex dimension $d-1$, and in fact they have the natural structure of iterated bundles of complex affine varieties in an obvious way.

This is best explained by examples.

Example 9.8 (Degree 2). The root polynomial p_v is of the form $z^2 - c$ for some nonzero c . Since every other polynomial has degree 1 (and is therefore the identity function z) S is a rooted dyadic tree, where each parent has two children attached at $\pm\sqrt{c}$. The moduli space of such sausages is evidently \mathbb{C}^* . This is homeomorphic (but *not* holomorphically isomorphic) to \mathcal{S}_2 .

Example 9.9 (Distinct roots). The simplest case in every degree d is that the root polynomial p_v has distinct roots. Then every other polynomial has degree 1 and S is a rooted d -adic tree, where each parent has d children attached at the roots of p_v . Thus the moduli space is a discriminant complement, and hence a $K(B_d, 1)$.

Example 9.10 (Degree 3). Suppose the root polynomial p_v has two roots, so it is of the form $p_v := (z - c)^2(z + 2c) = z^3 - 3c^2z + 2c^3$ with c nonzero. The root vertex v has two children u, w where u is attached at the double root c (say). Then $p_w = z$ and p_u has degree 2. Either 0 is a genuine critical point for p_u , or p_u is of the form $z^2 + c$ or $z^2 - 2c$. In the latter case u has two children u', w' where u' is attached at 0 and this chain of critical roots $u, u', u^{(2)}, u^{(3)}, \dots$ continues until $p_{u^{(n)}} := z^2 + x$ has a genuine critical point (or equivalently, $x \in \mathbb{C} - Z_t$ where p takes the vertex $u^{(n)}$ to t). If we ignore tags, the moduli space is a bundle over \mathbb{C}^* (parameterized by the choice of c) and whose fiber is $\mathbb{C} - Z_t$.

Notice that the points of Z_t are obtained from $c, -2c$ by repeatedly pulling back under double branch covers of the form $z \rightarrow z^2 + c_j$ where c_j is one of the preimages pulled back so far. The monodromy acts on each of these double branch covers either trivially or by permuting some of the preimages in pairs. It follows that every orbit of the monodromy on Z_t has length a power of 2.

Example 9.11 (Star of David). Suppose that the root polynomial in degree 4 has one simple root and one triple root; i.e. the root polynomial is $p_v := (z - c)^3(z + 3c)$ with c nonzero. The root has two children u, w where u is attached at the triple root c (say). The simplest case is when c and $-3c$ are regular values for p_u . Then the moduli space is a bundle over \mathbb{C}^* whose fiber is a copy of Y_2 , the space of degree 3 polynomials $z^3 + pz + q$ for which two specific distinct complex numbers (in this case c and $-3c$) are regular values. It turns out that this moduli space is homotopic to the monkey turnover described in Example 8.9.

The general structure of moduli spaces should now be starting to become clear. To make a precise statement, we introduce the notion of a *Hurwitz Variety*:

Definition 9.12 (Hurwitz Variety). A *degree d Hurwitz variety* is an affine complex variety of the following form. Fix a finite set $Q \subset \mathbb{C}$ and a conjugacy class of representation σ from $\pi_1(\mathbb{CP}^1 - Q)$ to the symmetric group S_d .

The *Hurwitz Variety* $H(Q, \sigma, d)$ is the space of degree d normalized polynomials of the form $f(z) := z^d + a_2 z^{d-2} + \dots + a_d$ for which $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a degree d branched cover whose monodromy around q is conjugate to $\sigma(q)$ for all $q \in Q$.

For a permutation σ let $|\sigma| = d - \text{number of orbits}$. Thus $|\sigma(q)|$ is the multiplicity of q as a critical value of f , for each $q \in Q$ and each $f \in H(Q, \sigma, d)$. We establish some basic properties of these varieties:

Proposition 9.13 (Basic Properties). *Hurwitz varieties $H(Q, \sigma, d)$ satisfy the following basic properties:*

- (1) *the dimension of $H(Q, \sigma, d)$ is equal to $d - 1 - \sum_q |\sigma(q)|$;*
- (2) *$H(Q, \sigma, d)$ is connected if its dimension is positive;*
- (3) *if there is a homeomorphism from \mathbb{CP}^1 to \mathbb{CP}^1 taking Q to Q' and conjugating σ to σ' then $H(Q, \sigma, d)$ is homeomorphic to $H(Q', \sigma', d)$.*

Proof. The first bullet (i.e. dimension count) is elementary.

If we choose a finite subset $P \subset \mathbb{C} - Q$ and extend σ to P then we can build a degree d branched cover of \mathbb{CP}^1 over $P \cup Q$ with monodromy σ at $P \cup Q$. The genus of this branched cover depends only on σ . Thus the family of covers which are connected and genus 0 form a bundle over the space of pairs $Q \cup P, \sigma$ of a particular combinatorial type, and it is an exercise in finite group theory to show that these fibers are connected when they have positive dimension. Each $H(Q, \sigma, d)$ is a finite branched cover of the associated fiber (the Riemann surface determines the polynomial up to finite ambiguity); this proves the second bullet.

To prove the third bullet, let's modify our homeomorphism $\varphi : \mathbb{CP}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^1$ by an isotopy so that it is equal to the identity in a neighborhood of ∞ , and is K -quasiconformal for some K . For each $f \in H(Q, \sigma, d)$ we can pull back the Beltrami differential $\mu := \bar{\partial}\varphi/\partial\varphi$ to $f^*\mu$ and let $\phi : \mathbb{CP}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^1$ uniquely solve the Beltrami equation for $f^*\mu$, normalized to be tangent to the identity at infinity to second order. Then $\psi(f) := \varphi\phi^{-1}$ is a normalized polynomial, and by construction it is in $H(Q', \sigma', d)$. Letting f range over $H(Q, \sigma, d)$ defines a homeomorphism $\psi : H(Q, \sigma, d) \rightarrow H(Q', \sigma', d)$ as desired. \square

Example 9.14 (Discriminant Variety). If we set $Q = \{0\}$ and σ the map to the identity element, then $H(\{0\}, \text{id}, d)$ is the space of degree d polynomials in normal form with simple roots. In other words, $H(\{0\}, 0, d)$ is the complement of the discriminant variety, and is a $K(B_d, 1)$.

Theorem 9.15 (Moduli spaces). *Every moduli space of a degree d sausage shift of a fixed combinatorial type is an algebraic variety over \mathbb{C} which has the structure of an iterated bundle whose base and fibers are all Hurwitz varieties. Furthermore, it has dimension $d - 1$.*

Proof. Consider a vertex w with parent u and image $v = \tau(w)$. There is a polynomial $p_w : \mathbb{CP}_w^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}_v^1$ whose degree is equal to the multiplicity of u as a preimage under p_u . The points Z_w are the preimages of Z_v under p_w , and the number and multiplicity of these points depends on the monodromy of p_u as a branched cover around Z_v . Thus for a fixed combinatorial type, the polynomials p_w vary in a

Hurwitz Variety whose data is determined by the polynomials in vertices above w . Changing a tag changes the coordinates on the Hurwitz variety by a (finite) automorphism. Thus the moduli space is an iterated bundle as claimed. \square

9.3.1. $K(\pi, 1)$ s. Hurwitz varieties can apparently be quite complicated, topologically. But at least in low degree we have the following theorem, which is by no means obvious, and which I personally find rather startling:

Theorem 9.16 (CAT(0) 2-complex). *Every connected Hurwitz variety $H(Q, \sigma, 3)$ is a $K(\pi, 1)$ with the homotopy type of a locally CAT(0) 2-complex.*

Proof. If any point in Q is a critical value the dimension is 1 or 0 and H is either homotopic to a graph or to a finite set of points. So the only interesting case is when Q is a finite set and σ is the constant map to the identity permutation. In other words, if $|Q| = n$, then $H(Q, \text{id}, 3)$ is the (two complex dimensional) space Y_n of degree 3 polynomials $z^3 + pz + q$ for which the points in Q are regular values. We show these have the homotopy type of locally CAT(0) 2-complexes (and are therefore $K(\pi, 1)$ s).

First we describe the topology. By the third bullet of Proposition 9.13 we can take Q to be the set of n th roots of unity. Then $Y_n = \mathbb{C}^2 - V$, where V is the hyperplane in \mathbb{C}^2 with coordinates p, q for which $\prod_j (-4p^3 - 27(q - \zeta^j)^2) = 0$. By a linear change of coordinates, we can replace this hyperplane by $\prod_j (x^3 - (y - \zeta^j)^2) = 0$.

V intersects the plane $x = 0$ in exactly the n th roots of unity. We foliate the complement of this plane by (real 3-dimensional) open solid tori $S^1 \times \mathbb{C}$ thought of as a bundle over the circle $|x| = t$, and let V_ϵ denote the intersection with V . If we cutoff $|y|$ at some big T , then we get another solid torus $|y| = T, |x| \leq t$ and the union is an S^3 . When $|x| = \epsilon$ is small and positive, V_ϵ splits into a union of n trefoils T_ϵ^j (in this S^3), each obtained as a narrow cable of the circle $y = \zeta^j$. The part of Y_n in the domain $|x| \leq \epsilon$ is homotopic to a wedge of n copies of a $K(B_3, 1)$, one for each trefoil.

When $2|x|^{3/2} = |\zeta^j - \zeta^k|$ the trefoils T^j and T^k intersect at three points, and when $|x|$ increases past this value, they become linked. There are no other intersections. The link of a crossing (in \mathbb{C}^2) is a Hopf link, and the result of pushing across each such crossing attaches a space to Y_n , homotopic to a 2-torus, attached along a subspace homotopic to a wedge of two circles. In other words, it attaches a 2-cell, whose boundary kills the relator which is the commutator of two meridian circles linking the trefoils at the point of intersection.

For each pair of trefoils T^j, T^k , we may choose Garside generators for $\pi_1(S^3 - T^j)$ corresponding to these meridian circles (the Garside presentation for B_3 is of the form $\langle a, b, c \mid ab = bc = ca \rangle$). Thus each pair of trefoils contributes a subgroup of $\pi_1(Y_n)$ of the form

$$\langle a, b, c, x, y, z \mid ab = bc = ca, xy = yz = zx, [a, x] = [b, y] = [c, z] = 1 \rangle$$

However if we follow this chain of relations around a sequence of three trefoils T^j, T^k, T^l for which j, k, l are positively oriented in $\mathbb{Z} \bmod n$ (say), the intersection points of each pair of trefoils is successively displaced by a rotation so that the holonomy of this chain of displacements rotates one third of the way around. Thus for a triple of trefoils with Garside generators (a, b, c) , (n, m, o) and (x, y, z) , the

commutation relations take the form

$$[a, n], [b, m], [c, o], [n, x], [m, y], [o, z], [x, b], [y, c], [z, a]$$

Here is another way of packaging the same information. Build a graph with vertices at the $3n$ th roots of unity, and with edges straight line segments between each pair of roots whose ratio is a 3rd root of unity. Then $\pi_1(Y_n)$ is generated by the edges of this graph, with relations that each triple of edges that form a (n equilateral) triangle are Garside generators for a B_3 , and each pair of disjoint edges commutes. Furthermore, Y_n is homotopic to the presentation 2-complex associated to this presentation. We shall show this 2-complex (or: a closely related and homotopic complex) can be given a CAT(0) structure.

Actually, there is a beautiful trick, that I learned from Jon McCammond, arising from his work with Tom Brady [5] on the construction of CAT(0) *orthoscheme complexes* for (certain) braid groups. First replace each Garside presentation $\langle a, b, c \mid ab = bc = ca \rangle$ by a presentation of the form $\langle a, b, c, d \mid ab = bc = ca = d \rangle$. A presentation complex can be built from three triangles with edges abd^{-1} etc. The trick is to make these *right angled* regular Euclidean triangles — i.e. to set the lengths of a, b, c to be 1, and the length of d to be $\sqrt{2}$. Let K denote the resulting complex (see Figure 13), and let K' be the complex built from n copies of K (one for each B_3) and one Euclidean square with edge length 1 for each commutation relation as above. We claim the resulting complex is CAT(0).




FIGURE 13. K is obtained from this complex by gluing free edges with the same colors in pairs.

Let's see why. The complex K (and K' for that matter) has one vertex; since these complexes are 2-dimensional and Euclidean, we just need to check that the link of the vertex has no loop of length $< 2\pi$. The link L of the vertex of K is a *theta graph*, with three edges of length π . The intersections with the long edge d are the vertices of the theta graph, and the intersections with the edges a, b, c give rise to six points (let's call these *short points*), each at distance $\pi/4$ from some vertex.

The link L' of K' is obtained from n disjoint copies of L by gluing a 4-cycle with edges of length $\pi/2$ for each commutation relation. Each such 4-cycle can be thought of as a complete bipartite graph on two sets of two points, and each pair of points is attached to distinct short points in a copy of L . Since short points in L are all distance π apart, no cycle in the graph associated to two B_3 s and their commutators has length $< 2\pi$. By the way, this shows that $\pi_1(Y_2)$ is CAT(0).

There is a simplicial map from L' to the complete graph K_n with edges all of length $\pi/2$ which just collapses each copy of L to a point, and identifies edges between the same pair of copies of L . A loop γ in L' of length $< 2\pi$ would project to a (possibly immersed) simplicial ‘loop’ in K_n of simplicial length at most 3. If the projection has simplicial length 0 then γ is contained in a copy of L which we already know has no loops of length $< 2\pi$. Simplicial length 1 is impossible. If the projection of γ has simplicial length 2 in K_n then γ is contained in a subgraph formed from a pair of copies of L which (as we have just discussed) has no loops of length $< 2\pi$. If the projection of γ has simplicial length 3 then it passes through a cycle of three L s, and because of the holonomy described above, a length $3\pi/2$ path in γ has endpoints on the same copy of L but at *different* short points. Thus γ has length at least 2π and we are done. \square

Together with Theorem 9.15 this immediately implies:

Corollary 9.17. *Every moduli space in degree 4 is a $K(\pi, 1)$.*

Question 9.18. *Is every Hurwitz Variety a $K(\pi, 1)$? Is every Hurwitz Variety homotopic to a CAT(0) complex?*

9.4. The sausage map. Let $\hat{\mathcal{S}}_d$ be the subspace of \mathcal{S}_d for which $\log_d(h_1) \in (-1/2, 1/2)$, where h_1 is the greatest critical height, and \log_d denotes log to the base d . This space is homeomorphic to $X_d \times (-1/2, 1/2)$, which is to say it is homeomorphic to \mathcal{S}_d itself.

For $f \in \hat{\mathcal{S}}_d$ let $L \in \mathcal{DL}_d$ be the dynamical elamination associated to f by the butcher map, and let Ω be the Riemann surface obtained by pinching L (so that Ω is canonically isomorphic to the Fatou set of f).

Let $\hat{\Omega}$ be the subspace of Ω with $\log_d(h) \leq 1/2$ and let S be the quotient space of $\hat{\Omega}$ obtained by collapsing each component with $\log_d(h) \in 1/2 + \mathbb{Z}$ to a point (which we call a *node*).

Each component V of S minus its nodes can be given a (branched) Euclidean structure with horizontal coordinate θ and vertical coordinate $\nu(h)$, where $\nu : \mathbb{R}^+ - d^{1/2+\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a function that stretches each interval $(d^{n-1/2}, d^{n+1/2})$ to \mathbb{R} by a homeomorphism (depending on n) in such a way that the map $z \rightarrow z^d$ on Ω is conformal in the new coordinates.

Let’s explain this in terms of \mathbb{E} . In logarithmic coordinates h, θ we can think of \mathbb{E} as a half-open Euclidean cylinder which is the product of the unit circle with the positive real numbers. The map $z \rightarrow z^d$ becomes multiplication by d , which we denote $\times d$. For each integer n let I_n denote the open interval $(d^{n-1/2}, d^{n+1/2})$ and let A_n be the annulus in \mathbb{E} where $h \in I_n$, and let $A := \cup_n A_n \subset \mathbb{E}$. Thus $\mathbb{E} - A$ is a countable set of circles with $\log_d(h) \in 1/2 + \mathbb{Z}$. Thus $\times d$ takes A_n to A_{n+1} for each n .

Choose (arbitrarily) an orientation-preserving diffeomorphism $\nu_0 : I_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and for each n define $\nu_n : I_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $\nu_n(h) := d^n \nu_0(d^{-n}h)$. Thus, by induction, $\nu_{n+1}(dh) = d\nu_n(h)$ for all n and all $h \in I_n$. Then define $\mu : A \rightarrow S^1 \times \mathbb{R}$ by $\mu(\theta, h) = (\theta, \nu_n(h))$ for $(\theta, h) \in A_n$. Thus μ semi-conjugates $\times d$ on A to $\times d$ on $S^1 \times \mathbb{R}$. If we identify $S^1 \times \mathbb{R}$ conformally with \mathbb{C}^* by exponentiating, then μ semi-conjugates $\times d$ on A to $z \rightarrow z^d$ on \mathbb{C}^* . If we keep a separate ‘copy’ $\mathbb{C}_n^* := \mu(A_n)$ for each n , then we could say that μ conjugates $\times d$ on A to the self-map of $\cup_n \mathbb{C}_n^*$ that sends each \mathbb{C}_n^* to \mathbb{C}_{n+1}^* by $z \rightarrow z^d$.

The components of S minus its nodes are obtained from the A_n by cut and paste along segments of L , an operation which respects the Euclidean structure both in h, θ and $\nu(h), \theta$ coordinates

With respect to this branched Euclidean structure, the closure of each V (i.e. putting the nodes back in) is a compact Riemann surface; in fact, it is isomorphic to \mathbb{CP}^1 , and it is natural to choose ∞ to be the (unique) node of greatest height. Thus S becomes an infinite nodal genus 0 Riemann surface. Furthermore although the quotient map from $\hat{\Omega}$ to S is very far from being holomorphic, the map $z \rightarrow z^d$ on Ω does descend to a *holomorphic* map p from S to its augmentation giving S the structure of a bunch of sausages, and p the structure of a degree d shift polynomial. Notice that the images of the critical points are precisely the genuine critical points of the sausage polynomial.

Tags are defined at the nodes by identifying the unit tangent bundle at each node with a circle in Ω , and inductively pulling back tags compatibly with the dynamics of $z \rightarrow z^d$ so that the tag at the unique node in the root of the augmentation corresponds to the argument $\theta = 0$ (this is well-defined, since θ takes values in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} in the subspace of Ω with h greater than any critical height).

Theorem 9.19 (Sausage map). *The sausage map is surjective, and is 1-1 on the subspace of \hat{S}_d for which no critical leaf C_j has $\log_d(h_j) \in 1/2 + \mathbb{Z}$. This subspace maps bijectively to the set of isomorphism classes of degree d sausage shifts.*

Proof. It suffices to define a (continuous) inverse. Here is the construction. Cut open a bunch of sausages along its set of nodes and sew in a copy of the unit tangent circle U at each point. Reparameterize the vertical coordinate on each component by the inverse of μ (here we must choose the correct branch depending on the combinatorial distance to the root). Each component becomes in this way a bordered Riemann surface. The point ∞ in each \mathbb{CP}_w^1 gets a canonical tag, namely the vector associated to the positive real axis. Thus we obtain a collection of bordered surfaces, so that each border is a round circle with a tag, and we glue these up respecting arguments and tags. By the definition of isomorphism, the gluing is well-defined on an isomorphism class of sausage shift. The result is a complete planar Riemann surface Ω with one punctured end, and the sausage polynomial descends to a degree d self-map on Ω with $(d-1)$ critical points, counted with multiplicity. By the Realization Theorem 5.4 this is the Fatou set of a unique shift polynomial. \square

Theorem 9.20 (Monkey pieces are moduli spaces). *The sausage map induces homeomorphisms from $(-1/2, 1/2)$ times the open monkey prisms and monkey turnovers arising in the decomposition in Theorem 8.11 to the moduli spaces of degree d sausage shifts of each fixed combinatorial type.*

Proof. The factor of $(-1/2, 1/2)$ comes from the difference between \hat{S}_d and X_d , via orbits of the squeezing flow.

This is a consequence of Theorem 9.19 and Lemma 8.10. Explicitly: the components of the images of the sausage map are (up to this factor of $(-1/2, 1/2)$) both the subspaces of $\rho^{-1}(W)$ in the preimage of the cells κ' , and at the same time they are (by definition) the moduli spaces of generic degree d sausage shifts. \square

Together with Corollary 9.17 and the discussion in § 8.4 this completes the proof of Theorem 8.7. Moreover, together with Example 9.10, this completes the proof of Theorem 7.9.

9.5. Sausages and combinatorics of the Tautological Elamination. We have already seen (Example 9.10) that moduli spaces reveal nontrivial information about the tautological elamination. Let Λ_T denote the (depth 3) tautological elamination for some fixed θ_1 , and let $\Lambda_{T,n}$ denote the subset of leaves of depth $\leq n$. We have seen that monodromy permutes the components of $S^1 \bmod \Lambda_{T,n}$ in such a way that the orbits have length a power of 2.

We claim that these components all have *lengths* of the form $2^m/3^n$ for various m . Fix a sausage polynomial as in Example 9.10 where the root vertex v has $Z_v = c, -2c$, and where there is a chain of vertices u_1, \dots, u_n mapping to vertices $v = t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n$ by polynomials $p_j := z^2 + c_j$ so that 0 is a fake critical point for each $j < n$ (i.e. $c_j \in Z_{t_j}$) and a genuine one for $j = n$ (c_n is not in Z_{t_n}).

The components of $S^1 \bmod \Lambda_{T,n}$ associated to sausages of this combinatorial form are in bijection with the points of Z_{t_n} . Each $w \in Z_{t_n}$ maps by a succession of polynomials of degrees 1 or 2 until it reaches c or $-2c$ (which themselves are mapped to 0 by p_v). The length of a component is multiplied by $1/3$ when we pull back a regular value, and is multiplied by $2/3$ when we pull back a critical value. This proves the claim.

Table 1 shows the number of components of length $\ell/3^n$ at each depth n (omitted entries are zeroes).

$n \setminus \ell$	1	2	2^2	2^3	2^4	2^5	2^6	2^7	2^8	2^9	2^{10}	2^{11}	2^{12}
0	1												
1	1	1											
2	3	1	1										
3	7	6	0	1									
4	21	16	3	0	1								
5	57	51	13	0	0	1							
6	171	149	39	5	0	0	1						
7	499	454	117	23	0	0	0	1					
8	1497	1348	360	66	9	0	0	0	1				
9	4449	4083	1061	207	41	0	0	0	0	1			
10	13347	12191	3252	591	126	17	0	0	0	0	1		
11	39927	36658	9738	1799	370	81	0	0	0	0	0	1	
12	119781	109898	29292	5351	1125	240	33	0	0	0	0	0	1

TABLE 1. Number of components of length $\ell/3^n$ at depth n

Note that there is a unique component with $\ell = 2^n$ for each n ; this corresponds to the sausages for which $t_j = u_{j-1}$, $p_{u_1} = z^2 + c$ and $p_{u_j} = z^2$ for $1 < j < n$. The next biggest components have length $2^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}/3^n$.

The (n, ℓ) entry in this table is the number of components of length $\ell/3^n$ at depth n . If we denote this entry $N(n, \ell)$ then

$$\sum_{\ell} N(n, \ell) = (3^n + 1)/2 \text{ and } \sum_{\ell} N(n, \ell) \cdot \ell = 3^n$$

Example 9.21 (Recurrence). Eric Rains observed the recurrence relation in the first column that

$$N(2n, 1) = 3 \cdot N(2n - 1, 1) \text{ and } N(2n + 1, 1) = 3 \cdot N(2n, 1) - 2 \cdot N(n, 1)$$

(a similar recurrence holds in higher degree). The proof of this is surprisingly delicate, and will appear in a forthcoming paper [12].

Example 9.22 (Short ℓ sequences). One reason to be interested in the lengths of components of $S^1 \bmod \Lambda_{T,n}$ is that it gives us insight into the geometry of the complement of \mathcal{S}_3 . Actually, it is easy enough to describe the picture in arbitrary degree.

For each degree d the *shift complement* is $\mathbb{C}^{d-1} - \mathcal{S}_d$. When critical points are simple, order them by height $h_1 \geq h_2 \geq \dots h_{d-1}$, and define a *butcher's slice* $B(C_1, \dots, C_{d-2})$ to be the subset of \mathcal{S}_d with C_1, \dots, C_{d-2} fixed and $h_{d-1} < h_{d-2}$. There is a tautological elamination $\Lambda_T(C_1, \dots, C_{d-2})$ (see § 8.6), and the result of pinching gives a Riemann surface Ω_T for which the subset of height $< h_{d-2}$ is holomorphically equivalent to B .

For the sake of simplicity, let's suppose $1 = h_1 = h_2 = \dots h_{d-2}$ so that the leaves of Λ_T of depth n all have height d^{-n} . A chain of successive components of $S^1 \bmod \Lambda_{T,n}$ with lengths $\ell_n \cdot d^{-n}$ determines a system of disjoint annuli in the butcher's slice with moduli $1/\ell_n$. So if $\sum_n 1/\ell_n$ diverges (for instance, if the sequence ℓ_n is bounded), the modulus goes to infinity and the end of B converges to an *isolated* point in the complement of the shift locus. Call such an end of B a *small end*. All but countably many of the (uncountable) ends of B are small.

As we exit a small end of B , points in the Julia set collide in the limit to give rise to a non-shift Cantor Julia set (c.f. Example 2.6; also compare with Branner [6]). The local path component of the shift complement containing this limit point has complex dimension $d - 2$, and is parameterized by the escaping critical points. There are uncountably many of these local path components, parameterized locally by the small ends of B .

Dragging critical points off to the (Cantor) Julia set one by one defines a nested sequence of holomorphic submanifolds of the shift complement, each parameterized by the remaining escaping critical points. When $C_{j+1} \dots C_{d-2}$ have been dragged off to J_f , we can define a butcher's slice by fixing C_1, \dots, C_{j-1} and letting C_j vary; this slice is the subset of height $< h_{j-1}$ in the Riemann surface $\Omega_T(C)$ associated to the tautological elamination $\Lambda_T(C)$ with critical data $C := C_1, \dots, \hat{C}_j, \dots, C_{d-1}$ for a suitable equivalence class of C_{j+1}, \dots, C_{d-2} (see § 8.6). Small ends of these butcher's slices locally parameterize the space of these $(j - 1)$ -dimensional submanifolds.

10. FUNDAMENTAL GROUPS

10.1. Braid Groups. Let $\Delta_d \subset \mathbb{C}^{d-1}$ be the discriminant variety, parameterizing degree d polynomials in normal form $z^d + a_2 z^{d-2} + \dots a_d$ with multiple roots. The group $\pi_1(\mathbb{C}^{d-1} - \Delta_d)$ acts as permutations of these roots; the permutation representation is a surjective map from $\pi_1(\mathbb{C}^{d-1} - \Delta_d)$ to the symmetric group S_d .

This map is very far from being injective. A loop in $\mathbb{C}^{d-1} - \Delta_d$ defines not just a permutation of roots, but a *braid*: the mapping class represented by the combinatorial manner in which the points move around each other. In other words, there is a *monodromy representation* $\text{Mon} : \pi_1(\mathbb{C}^d - \Delta_d) \rightarrow B_d$ where B_d is Artin's

braid group on d strands. Forgetting the braiding determines a surjection $\text{Art} : B_d \rightarrow S_d$.

Thus we obtain a factorization

$$\pi_1(\mathbb{C}^{d-1} - \Delta_d) \xrightarrow{\text{Mon}} B_d \xrightarrow{\text{Art}} S_d$$

where the first map is an isomorphism, and the second indicates that B_d is functorially obtained from S_d by the algebraic process of *Artinization*.

10.2. Shift automorphisms. Let Σ_d denote the space of right-infinite words on a d letter alphabet; i.e. $\Sigma_d := \{1, \dots, d\}^{\mathbb{N}}$. This is a Cantor set in the product topology, and the shift σ acts as a d to 1 expanding map. Let \hat{S}_d denote the group $\hat{S}_d := \text{Aut}(\Sigma_d, \sigma)$; i.e. the group of homeomorphisms of the Cantor set commuting with the shift.

In [1], Blanchard–Devaney–Keen showed that the natural map $\pi_1(\mathcal{S}_d) \rightarrow \hat{S}_d$ is surjective, in every degree d . As before, this is very far from being injective (as we shall shortly see).

Monodromy defines a representation $\text{Mon} : \pi_1(\mathcal{S}_d) \rightarrow \text{Mod}(\mathbb{C} - \text{Cantor set})$, but this map is certainly not an isomorphism, since $\pi_1(\mathcal{S}_d)$ is countable whereas $\text{Mod}(\mathbb{C} - \text{Cantor set})$ has the cardinality of the continuum. Actually, the image can be lifted to $\text{Mod}(\text{Disk} - \text{Cantor set})$, since all shift polynomials (in normal form) are tangent to second order near infinity. Let's denote the image by \hat{B}_d .

Forgetting the braiding defines a surjective homomorphism $A : \text{Mod}(\text{Disk} - \text{Cantor set}) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\text{Cantor set})$, and the image of \hat{B}_d is \hat{S}_d . I proved (see [11]) that $\text{Mod}(\text{Disk} - \text{Cantor set})$ is left-orderable, and therefore torsion-free, whereas \hat{S}_d is generated by torsion.

In any case we have a factorization of the Blanchard–Devaney–Keen map as

$$\pi_1(\mathcal{S}_d) \xrightarrow{\text{Mon}} \hat{B}_d \xrightarrow{A} \hat{S}_d$$

Neither map seems easy to understand. On the other hand, with Juliette Bavard and Yan Mary He we were able to show:

Theorem 10.1 (Bavard–Calegari–He). *In degree 3 the map $\text{Mon} : \pi_1(\mathcal{S}_3) \rightarrow \hat{B}_3$ is an isomorphism.*

The proof of this theorem shall (hopefully!) appear in a forthcoming paper. The most optimistic conjecture I can make is:

Conjecture 10.2 (Monodromy Conjecture). *The map $\text{Mod} : \pi_1(\mathcal{S}_d) \rightarrow \hat{B}_d$ is an isomorphism in every degree.*

The only real evidence I have in favor of this conjecture is that it is not obviously falsified by the simplest cases I was able to fully analyze.

If $Y = H(Q, \sigma, e)$ is a Hurwitz variety, the preimage of Q under $f \in Y$ is a finite subset of \mathbb{C} whose cardinality is constant as a function of f , and therefore we obtain a monodromy map $M : \pi_1(Y) \rightarrow B_n$ for suitable n depending on Y . If Y is a Hurwitz variety that arises as a fiber of a moduli space, the image of $\pi_1(Y) \rightarrow \pi_1(\mathcal{S}_d) \rightarrow \hat{B}_3$ factors through this B_n , so the monodromy conjecture implies that the maps M are injective. In fact, at least in low dimensions, the monodromy conjecture is *equivalent* to injectivity on these pieces, since both $\pi_1(\mathcal{S}_d)$ and \hat{B}_d are built up in understandable ways from these pieces (this is how Theorem 10.1 is proved).

In any case, this is something we can test, since the groups $\pi_1(Y)$ and B_n are rather explicit, especially in low degree.

Example 10.3 (Star of David). The ‘hard’ pieces in degree 4 are the Star of David and its generalizations as discussed in Theorem 9.16.

Recall the moduli space Y_2 from Example 9.11, and the description of its fundamental group in Theorem 9.16. This fundamental group (let’s call it G) has a presentation

$$G := \langle a, b, c, x, y, z \mid ab = bc = ca, xy = yz = zx, [a, x] = [b, y] = [c, z] = 1 \rangle$$

The monodromy map to B_6 arises by thinking of the generators as the edges of a Star of David in the plane, and taking each generator to the braid that cycles the endpoints of the edge around each other in a narrow ellipse contained in a neighborhood of the edge.

There is an isometric embedding from the CAT(0) complex for G described in Theorem 9.16 to the Brady–McCammond complex for B_6 , which has been shown to be CAT(0) by Haettel–Kielak–Schwer. If the image is totally geodesic, this would imply that $G \rightarrow B_6$ is injective. This seems quite plausible, but we have not checked it.

11. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I would like to thank Laurent Bartholdi, Juliette Bavard, Pierre Deligne, Laura DeMarco, Yan Mary He, Sarah Koch, Jeff Lagarias, Chris Leininger, Jon McCammond, Curt McMullen, Madhav Nori, Kevin Pilgrim, Eric Rains, Alden Walker, Henry Wilton and the anonymous referee for their help. Most of what I know about polynomial dynamics (which is not much) I learned from Sarah and from Curt at various points in time.

I would also like to extend thanks to the students who attended the graduate topics course I taught on this material at the University of Chicago in Winter 2019, and to Sam Kim who solicited some talks and a paper for the celebration of the 25th anniversary of the founding of KIAS, and without whom I might have never been sufficiently motivated to write any of this up.

REFERENCES

- [1] P. Blanchard, R. L. Devaney and L. Keen, *The dynamics of complex polynomials and automorphisms of the shift*, Invent. Math. **104** (1991), 545–580
- [2] A. Blokh, L. Oversteegen, R. Ptacek and V. Timorin, *Lamination models for some spaces of polynomials of any degree*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **265** (2020), no. 1288
- [3] L. Böttcher, *The principal laws of convergence of iterates and their application to analysis* (Russian), Izv. Kazan. Fiz.-Mat. Obshch. **14** (1904), 137–152
- [4] M. Boyle, J. Franks and B. Kitchens, *Automorphisms of the One-Sided Shift and Subshifts of Finite Type*, Erg. Thy. Dyn. Sys. **10** (1990), 421–449
- [5] T. Brady and J. McCammond, *Braids, Posets and Orthoschemes*, Algebr. Geom. Topol. **10** (2010), no. 4, 2277–2314
- [6] B. Branner, *Cubic polynomials: turning around the connectedness locus*, in L. Goldberg and A. Phillips, eds, Topological Methods in Modern Mathematics, pp. 391–427. Publish or Perish, 1993.
- [7] B. Branner and J. Hubbard, *The iteration of cubic polynomials. I. The global topology of parameter space*, Acta Math. **160** (1988), no. 3–4, 143–206
- [8] B. Branner and J. Hubbard, *The iteration of cubic polynomials. II. Patterns and parapat-terns*, Acta Math. **169** (1992), no. 3–4, 229–325

- [9] M. Bridson and A. Haefliger, *Metric spaces of non-positive curvature*, Grundlehren der Math. Wiss., **319** Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1999
- [10] K. Brown, *Buildings*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1988
- [11] D. Calegari, *Circular groups, planar groups, and the Euler class*, Proceedings of the Casson Fest, 431–491. Geom. Topol. Monogr. **7**, Geom. Topol. Publ., Coventry, 2004
- [12] D. Calegari, *Combinatorics of the Tautological Lamination*, preprint, to appear
- [13] D. Calegari, *shifty*, computer program; source available on request
- [14] A. de Carvalho and T. Hall, *Riemann surfaces out of paper*, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3) **108** (2014), no. 3, 541–574
- [15] J. Cerf, *La stratification naturelle des espaces de fonctions différentiables réelles et le théorème de la pseudo-isotopie*, Inst. Haut. Études Sci. Publ. Math., **39** (1970) 51–73
- [16] J. Corson, *Complexes of groups*, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3) **65** (1) (1992), 199–224
- [17] H. Coxeter, *Regular Polytopes*, Third edition. Dover Publications, Inc., New York, 1973.
- [18] A. Douady and J. Hubbard, *Itération des polynômes quadratiques complexes*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. **294** (1982), no. 3, 123–126
- [19] L. DeMarco, *Dynamics of rational maps: a current on the bifurcation locus*, Math. Res. Lett. **8** (2001), no. 1-2, 57–66
- [20] L. DeMarco, *Combinatorics and topology of the shift locus*, Conformal dynamics and hyperbolic geometry, 35–48, Contemp. Math., 573, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2012.
- [21] L. DeMarco and C. McMullen, *Trees and the dynamics of polynomials*, Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4) **41** (2008), no. 3, 337–382
- [22] L. DeMarco and K. Pilgrim, *The classification of polynomial basins of infinity*, Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4) **50** (2017), no. 4, 799–877.
- [23] L. Goldberg and L. Keen, *The mapping class group of a generic quadratic rational map and automorphisms of the 2-shift*, Invent. Math. **101** (1990), no. 2, 335–372
- [24] T. Haettel, D. Kielak, P. Schwer, *The 6-strand braid group is CAT(0)*, Geom. Dedicata **182** (2016), 263–286
- [25] C. McMullen, *Braiding of the attractor and the failure of iterative algorithms*, Invent. Math. **91** (1988), no. 2, 259–272
- [26] J. Milnor, *Dynamics in one complex variable*, Third edition. Ann. of Math. Stud., 160. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2006.
- [27] W. Thurston, *Thurston's Notes*, available online from MSRI <http://library.msri.org/books/gt3m/>
- [28] W. Thurston, *On the geometry and dynamics of iterated rational maps*, Edited by Dierk Schleicher and Nikita Selinger and with an appendix by Schleicher. Complex dynamics, 3–137, A. K. Peters, Wellesley, MA, 2009.
- [29] W. Thurston, H. Baik, Y. Gao, J. Hubbard, T. Lei, K. Lindsey and D. Thurston, *Degree d invariant laminations*, What's next? the mathematical legacy of William P. Thurston, 259–325, Ann. of Math. Stud., 205, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 2020.
- [30] N. Vlamiš, *Big mapping class groups: an overview*, In the tradition of Thurston, 459–496, Springer, Cham, 2020.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO, CHICAGO, ILLINOIS, 60637

Email address: dannyc@math.uchicago.edu