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#### Abstract

Erdős, Hajnal and Szemerédi proved that any subset $G$ of vertices of a shift graph $\mathrm{Sh}_{n}^{k}$ has the property that the independence number of the subgraph induced by $G$ satisfies $\alpha\left(\operatorname{Sh}_{n}^{k}[G]\right) \geqslant\left(\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon\right)|G|$, where $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. In this note we prove that for $k=2$ and $n \rightarrow \infty$ there are graphs $G \subseteq\binom{[n]}{2}$ with $\alpha\left(\operatorname{Sh}_{n}^{2}[G]\right) \leqslant\left(\frac{1}{4}+o(1)\right)|G|$, and $\frac{1}{4}$ is best possible. We also consider a related problem for infinite shift graphs.


## 1. Introduction

For $n>k \in \mathbb{N}$ the shift graph $\mathrm{Sh}_{n}^{k}$ with

$$
V\left(\operatorname{Sh}_{n}^{k}\right)=\left\{\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right): 1 \leqslant x_{1}<\ldots<x_{k} \leqslant n\right\}
$$

is a graph in which two vertices $\mathbf{x}=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right)$ and $\mathbf{y}=\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{k}\right)$ are adjacent if $x_{i}=y_{i+1}$ for all $i \in\{1, \ldots, k-1\}$ (or $y_{i}=x_{i+1}$ for all $i \in\{1, \ldots, k-1\}$ ). Shift graphs were introduced by Erdős and Hajnal [3],[4] and are standard examples of graphs with large chromatic number and large odd girth. More precisely, while the odd girth of $\mathrm{Sh}_{n}^{k}$ is $2 k+1$, they proved* that $\mathrm{Sh}_{n}^{k}$ has chromatic number $(1+o(1)) \log ^{(k-1)} n$, where $\log ^{(k-1)}$ stands for $k-1$ times iterated $\log _{2}$.

Shift graphs have another interesting property: For each finite set $G \subseteq V\left(\operatorname{Sh}_{n}^{k}\right)$ the induced subgraph $\mathrm{Sh}_{n}^{k}[G]$ has a relatively large independent set with respect to $|G|$. In other words, the property "having a large independent subset" is hereditary for $\mathrm{Sh}_{n}^{k}$. Namely, for

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{n}^{k}=\min \left\{\frac{\alpha\left(\mathrm{Sh}_{n}^{k}[G]\right)}{|G|}: \varnothing \neq G \subseteq V\left(\mathrm{Sh}_{n}^{k}\right)\right\} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Erdős, Hajnal and Szemerédi [5, Theorem 1] proved the following.
Theorem 1.1 (Erdős, Hajnal, Szemerédi). For positive integers $k<n$

$$
\alpha_{n}^{k} \geqslant \frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{k} .
$$

The second author was supported by NSF grant DMS 1764385.
*In [4] authors considered infinite graphs, however their proof can be adapted for finite case (see [1] and [6] for more detailed description).

As for the upper bound, for $n \geqslant 2 k+1$ the shift graph $\mathrm{Sh}_{n}^{k}$ contains an odd cycle and so $\alpha_{n}^{k}<1 / 2$. Therefore, Theorem 1.1 yields a lower bound which for large values of $k$ is essentially optimal.

Nevertheless, determining the values of $\alpha_{n}^{k}$ for fixed $k$ and large $n$ seems to represent an interesting and non-trivial problem. We will concentrate our attention on the case $k=2$. In this case the bound from Theorem 1.1 is not optimal, as we observe that $\alpha_{n}^{2} \geqslant 1 / 4$ for all $n$, and prove a matching upper bound.

Theorem 1.2. $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{n}^{2}=\frac{1}{4}$.
In [2], Czipszer, Erdős and Hajnal proved that the densest independent set of the infinite graph $\mathrm{Sh}_{\mathbb{N}}^{2}$ has density $1 / 4$ (see Section 3 for precise formulation). We complement their result by showing that the infinite shift graph $\mathrm{Sh}_{\mathbb{N}}^{2}$ does not have a similar hereditary property, i.e., there exists $G \subseteq V\left(\operatorname{Sh}_{\mathbb{N}}^{2}\right)$ such that any independent set in $\operatorname{Sh}_{\mathbb{N}}^{2}[G]$ has density zero in $G$ (see Theorem 3.1).

## 2. Proof of Theorem 1.2

Note that $\alpha_{n}^{2}=\min \left\{\frac{\alpha\left(\mathrm{Sh}_{n}^{2}[G]\right)}{|G|}: \varnothing \neq G \subseteq V\left(\mathrm{Sh}_{n}^{2}\right)\right\}$ is a nonincreasing positive sequence, so the sequence $\left\{\alpha_{n}^{2}\right\}$ has a limit. Additionally, we will often view $G \subseteq V\left(\operatorname{Sh}_{n}^{2}\right)$ as a graph with $V(G)=[n]$ and set of edges equal to $G$. Subsequently $|G|$ will denote both a size of $G$ as a subset of $V\left(\mathrm{Sh}_{n}^{2}\right)$, and the number of edges in $G$ when it is viewed as a graph.
2.1. Lower bound. We first show that the value of the limit in Theorem 1.2 is at least $1 / 4$.

Claim 2.1. For every set $G \subseteq V\left(\operatorname{Sh}_{n}^{2}\right)$ we have $\alpha\left(\operatorname{Sh}_{n}^{2}[G]\right) \geqslant \frac{1}{4}|G|$.
Proof. Let $G \subseteq V\left(\mathrm{Sh}_{n}^{2}\right)$ be given. Consider a random colouring $c:[n] \rightarrow\{r, b\}$ such that every $i \in[n]$ is coloured red/blue with probability $1 / 2$ independently of other elements of $[n]$.

Let $G_{c}$ be a random subset of $G$ defined by

$$
G_{c}=\{(i, j) \in G: i<j, c(i)=b, c(j)=r\}
$$

Then such $G_{c}$ is always an independent set in $\mathrm{Sh}_{n}^{2}$. Moreover, $\mathbb{P}\left(e \in G_{c}\right)=\frac{1}{4}$ for every $e \in G$, and so $\mathbb{E}\left(\left|G_{c}\right|\right)=\frac{1}{4}|G|$. Therefore $\alpha\left(\operatorname{Sh}_{n}^{2}[G]\right) \geqslant \frac{1}{4}|G|$.
2.2. Upper bound. We now proceed and prove the upper bound

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{n}^{2} \leqslant \frac{1}{4} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In what follows for every $\varepsilon>0$, integer $d$ satisfying $\frac{3+\ln d}{4 d} \leqslant \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$, and for every integer $n \geqslant n_{0}(\varepsilon, d)$ that is a multiple of $2^{d}$, we will construct a graph $G_{\varepsilon}(n, d) \subseteq V\left(\mathrm{Sh}_{n}^{2}\right)$ with

$$
\alpha\left(\operatorname{Sh}_{n}^{2}\left[G_{\varepsilon}(n, d)\right]\right) \leqslant\left(\frac{1}{4}+\varepsilon\right)\left|G_{\varepsilon}(n, d)\right|
$$

To be more precise, for such $\varepsilon$ and $d$ we inductively build $G_{\varepsilon}(n, d)$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\alpha\left(\operatorname{Sh}_{n}^{2}\left[G_{\varepsilon}(n, d)\right]\right)}{\left|G_{\varepsilon}(n, d)\right|} \leqslant\left(\frac{1}{4}+\frac{3+\ln d}{4 d}+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right) . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\left\{\alpha_{n}^{2}\right\}$ is nonincreasing, (3) implies that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{n}^{2} \leqslant 1 / 4+\varepsilon$, which subsequently implies (2) by letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.

While constructing $G_{\varepsilon}(n, d)$ we will use random bipartite graphs. Recall that if $G$ is a graph and $X, Y \subseteq V(G)$ then $G[X, Y]$ is a graph consisting of edges of $G$ with one vertex in $X$ and another in $Y$. Finally let $e_{G}(X, Y)=|E(G[X, Y])|$ and we will omit subscript when $G$ is obvious from the context. The following claim can be easily verified by considering a random graph and so the proof of Claim 2.2 is postponed to Appendix.

Claim 2.2. For $\varepsilon>0$ and $d \in \mathbb{N}$ there is $n_{0}=n_{0}(\varepsilon, d)$ such that for all $n \geqslant n_{0}$ that are divisible by $2^{d}$ the following holds. Let $[n]=S \cup L$, where $S=\left\{1, \ldots, \frac{n}{2}\right\}$ and $L=[n] \backslash S$. There exists a bipartite graph $B_{\varepsilon}(n, d)$ with bipartition $V\left(B_{\varepsilon}(n, d)\right)=S \sqcup L$ such that
(i) $\left|B_{\varepsilon}(n, d)\right|=\frac{n^{2}}{2^{d+1}}$.
(ii) for all $X \subseteq S$ and $Y \subseteq L$

$$
e(X, Y)=\frac{1}{2^{d-1}}|X||Y| \pm \frac{\varepsilon n^{2}}{2^{d+2}}
$$

Construction of $G_{\varepsilon}(n, d)$.
Definition 2.3. For every even $n$ let $G_{\varepsilon}(n, 1)$ be such that

$$
G_{\varepsilon}(n, 1)=\left\{(i, j): 1 \leqslant i \leqslant \frac{n}{2}<j \leqslant n\right\}
$$

i.e., $G_{\varepsilon}(n, 1)$ is a complete balanced bipartite graph.

For $d \in \mathbb{N}$ define graph $G_{\varepsilon}(n, d)$ recursively for all sufficiently large ${ }^{\dagger} n$ such that $2^{d} \mid n$. Let $[n]=S \cup L$, where $S=\left\{1, \ldots, \frac{n}{2}\right\}$ and $L=[n] \backslash S$. Then define

$$
G_{\varepsilon}(n, d)=G_{\varepsilon}(S, d-1) \cup G_{\varepsilon}(L, d-1) \cup B_{\varepsilon}(n, d),
$$

[^0]where $G_{\varepsilon}(S, d-1)=G_{\varepsilon}\left(\frac{n}{2}, d-1\right), V\left(G_{\varepsilon}(L, d-1)\right)=L$ and $G_{\varepsilon}(L, d-1) \cong G_{\varepsilon}\left(\frac{n}{2}, d-1\right)$, and $B_{\varepsilon}(n, d)$ is a graph guaranteed by Claim 2.2.

To summarize, every $G_{\varepsilon}(n, d)=G$ satisfies the following properties (for $S_{n}=\left\{1, \ldots, \frac{n}{2}\right\}$ and $\left.L_{n}=[n] \backslash S_{n}\right)$ :
(i) $e_{G}\left(S_{n}, L_{n}\right)=\frac{n^{2}}{2^{d+1}}$.
(ii) for all $X \subseteq S_{n}$ and $Y \subseteq L_{n}$

$$
e(X, Y)=\frac{1}{2^{d-1}}|X||Y| \pm \frac{\varepsilon n^{2}}{2^{d+2}}
$$

(iii) $G\left[S_{n}\right] \cong G\left[L_{n}\right]=G_{\varepsilon}\left(\frac{n}{2}, d-1\right)$

Using properties (i) and (iii) and induction on $d$ it is easy to verify that for all $d \in \mathbb{N}$ and $n$ divisible by $2^{d}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|G_{\varepsilon}(n, d)\right|=d \frac{n^{2}}{2^{d+1}} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will now proceed with proving (3). First let $G \subseteq V\left(\mathrm{Sh}_{n}^{2}\right)$ and let $I \subseteq G$ be an independent set in $\mathrm{Sh}_{n}^{2}$. In other words there is no $1 \leqslant i<j<k \leqslant n$ with both $(i, j)$ and $(j, k)$ in $I$. One can observe that for each such $I \subseteq G$ there exists a 2-colouring $c:[n] \rightarrow\{r, b\}$ with $c(i)=r$ and $c(j)=b$ whenever $(i, j) \in I$, and then

$$
\begin{equation*}
I \subseteq G_{c}=\{(x, y) \in G: x<y, c(x)=b, c(y)=r\} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, in order to prove (3) we will show that for $G=G_{\varepsilon}(n, d)$ and any $c:[n] \rightarrow\{r, b\}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left|G_{c}\right|}{|G|} \leqslant \frac{1}{4}+\frac{3+\ln d}{4 d}+\frac{\varepsilon}{2} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the rest of our calculation let $\varepsilon$ be fixed. We will now prove (6) by induction on $d$. In order to make use of recursive structure of $G_{\varepsilon}(n, d)$ we will prove a version of (6) with an additional assumption that $|\{i: c(i)=b\}|=\alpha n$.

To that end for $d \in \mathbb{N}, \alpha \in[0,1]$ and $n \geqslant n_{0}(\varepsilon, d)$ let

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{d}^{\alpha}(n)=d \cdot \max _{c}\left\{\frac{\left|G_{c}\right|}{|G|}: G=G_{\varepsilon}(n, d),|\{i: c(i)=b\}|=\alpha n\right\} . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will prove the following estimate on $f_{d}^{\alpha}(n)$.
Claim 2.4. For every $d \in \mathbb{N}, \alpha \in[0,1]$ and $n \geqslant n_{0}(\varepsilon, d)$

$$
f_{d}^{\alpha}(n) \leqslant(d+3)\left(\alpha-\alpha^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{4} \ln d+\frac{d \varepsilon}{2} .
$$

From (7) it follows that for $G=G_{\varepsilon}(n, d)$ and any colouring $c$ we have

$$
\frac{\left|G_{c}\right|}{|G|} \leqslant \max _{\alpha \in[0,1]} \frac{f_{d}^{\alpha}(n)}{d}
$$

Then by Claim 2.4 we get

$$
\frac{\left|G_{c}\right|}{|G|} \leqslant \frac{1}{4} \frac{d+3}{d}+\frac{\ln d}{4 d}+\frac{\varepsilon}{2},
$$

establishing (6) and (3). Hence it remains to prove Claim 2.4 in order to finish the proof of the upper bound.

Proof of Claim 2.4. We prove a slightly stronger inequality for all $n \geqslant n_{0}(\varepsilon, d)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{d}^{\alpha}(n) \leqslant(d+3)\left(\alpha-\alpha^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=3}^{d+1} \frac{1}{i}+\frac{d \varepsilon}{2} . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The proof is by induction on $d$. For $d=1$ recall that $G=G_{\varepsilon}(n, 1)$ is a complete bipartite graph between $S_{n}$ and $L_{n}$. Let $c:[n] \rightarrow\{r, b\}$ be such that for $B=\{i: c(i)=b\}$ we have $|B|=\alpha n$. Then in view of (5) the maximum value of $\left|G_{c}\right|$ is achieved when $B=[\alpha n]$ and so

$$
f_{1}^{\alpha}(n)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
2 \alpha, \quad \alpha \in\left[0, \frac{1}{2}\right] \\
2-2 \alpha, \quad \alpha \in\left[\frac{1}{2}, 1\right]
\end{array}\right.
$$

Now it is easy to verify that $f_{1}^{\alpha} \leqslant 4\left(\alpha-\alpha^{2}\right)$ for all $\alpha \in[0,1]$, establishing (8) in the case $d=1$.

To prove inductive step let $G=G_{\varepsilon}(n, d)$ and let $c:[n] \rightarrow\{r, b\}$ be such that for $B=$ $\{i: c(i)=b\}$ we have $|B|=\alpha n$. As before, let $S=\left\{1, \ldots, \frac{n}{2}\right\}$ and $L=[n] \backslash S$. Let $B_{S}, B_{L}$, $R_{S}$ and $R_{L}$ denote the set of blue and red vertices in $S$ and $L$ respectively. We will further refine our analysis by assuming that $\left|B_{S}\right|=x \frac{n}{2}$ with some $x \in[0,2 \alpha]$. Since $\left|B_{S}\right|+\left|R_{S}\right|=\frac{n}{2}$, $\left|B_{S}\right|+\left|B_{L}\right|=|B|=\alpha n$, and $\left|B_{L}\right|+\left|R_{L}\right|=\frac{n}{2}$, we have $\left|R_{S}\right|=(1-x) \frac{n}{2},\left|B_{L}\right|=(2 \alpha-x) \frac{n}{2}$, and consequently $\left|R_{L}\right|=(1-2 \alpha+x) \frac{n}{2}$ (see Figure 1). Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|G_{c}\right|=\left|G_{c}[S]\right|+\left|G_{c}[L]\right|+e_{G}\left(B_{S}, R_{L}\right) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 1. Proportions of red and blue vertices in $G_{c}[S]$ and $G_{c}[L]$.

Now, by (iii) $G[S]=G_{\varepsilon}\left(\frac{n}{2}, d-1\right)$ and we assumed $\left|B_{S}\right|=x \frac{n}{2}$, so

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|G_{c}[S]\right| \stackrel{(7)}{\leqslant} \frac{f_{d-1}^{x}\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)}{d-1}|G[S]| \stackrel{(4)}{=} \frac{n^{2}}{2^{d+2}} f_{d-1}^{x}\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, since $\left|B_{L}\right|=(2 \alpha-x) \frac{n}{2}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|G_{c}[L]\right| \leqslant \frac{n^{2}}{2^{d+2}} f_{d-1}^{2 \alpha-x}\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

And finally, since $G=G_{\varepsilon}(n, d)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{G}\left(B_{S}, R_{L}\right) \stackrel{(i i)}{\leqslant} \frac{1}{2^{d-1}}\left|B_{S}\right|\left|R_{L}\right|+\frac{\varepsilon n^{2}}{2^{d+2}}=\frac{n^{2}}{2^{d+1}}\left(x(1-2 \alpha+x)+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right) . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (9) with (10), (11), and (12) we obtain

$$
\left|G_{c}\right| \leqslant \frac{n^{2}}{2^{d+1}}\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(f_{d-1}^{x}\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)+f_{d-1}^{2 \alpha-x}\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)\right)+x(1-2 \alpha+x)+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right)
$$

Finally, $|G|=\left|G_{\varepsilon}(n, d)\right| \stackrel{(4)}{=} d \frac{n^{2}}{2^{d+1}}$ and so by (7) we deduce that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{d}^{\alpha}(n) \leqslant \max _{x \in \mathbb{R}}\left\{\frac{1}{2}\left(f_{d-1}^{x}\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)+f_{d-1}^{2 \alpha-x}\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)\right)+x(1-2 \alpha+x)+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right\} . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

The last inequality allows us to incorporate induction hypothesis. In particular, by induction hypothesis we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{d-1}^{x}\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) & \leqslant(d+2)\left(x-x^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=3}^{d} \frac{1}{i}+\frac{(d-1) \varepsilon}{2} \\
f_{d-1}^{2 \alpha-x}\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) & \leqslant(d+2)(2 \alpha-x)(1-2 \alpha+x)+\frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=3}^{d} \frac{1}{i}+\frac{(d-1) \varepsilon}{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

and these two inequalities together with (13), after some simple but tedious algebraic manipulations yield

$$
f_{d}^{\alpha}(n) \leqslant \max _{x \in \mathbb{R}}\left\{-(d+1) x^{2}+(1+2 \alpha(d+1)) x+(d+2)\left(\alpha-2 \alpha^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=3}^{d} \frac{1}{i}+\frac{d \varepsilon}{2}\right\} .
$$

In other words $f_{d}^{\alpha}(n) \leqslant \max _{x \in \mathbb{R}}\{g(x)\}$, where $g(x)=a x^{2}+b x+c$ with $a=-(d+1)$. Since $a<0$ we have $\max _{x \in \mathbb{R}} g(x)=g\left(\frac{-b}{2 a}\right)=c-\frac{b^{2}}{4 a}$. Therefore after another set of algebraic manipulations we obtain

$$
f_{d}^{\alpha}(n) \leqslant \max _{x \in \mathbb{R}}\{g(x)\} \leqslant(d+3)\left(\alpha-\alpha^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=3}^{d+1} \frac{1}{i}+\frac{d \varepsilon}{2},
$$

finishing the proof of the inductive step and Claim 2.4.

## 3. Infinite graphs

Recall that Theorem 1.2 states

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \min \left\{\frac{\alpha\left(\mathrm{Sh}_{n}^{2}[G]\right)}{|G|}: \varnothing \neq G \subseteq V\left(\mathrm{Sh}_{n}^{2}\right)\right\}=\frac{1}{4} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, considering $I=\left\{(i, j): 1 \leqslant i \leqslant \frac{n}{2}<j \leqslant n\right\}$ we clearly have $\alpha\left(\operatorname{Sh}_{n}^{2}\right) \geqslant\left\lfloor\frac{n^{2}}{4}\right\rfloor$. Moreover $\left\lfloor\frac{n^{2}}{4}\right\rfloor$ is optimal, since any graph $G \subseteq V\left(\operatorname{Sh}_{n}^{2}\right)$ with $|G| \geqslant\left\lfloor\frac{n^{2}}{4}\right\rfloor+1$ contains a triangle and hence such $G$ is not an independent set in $\mathrm{Sh}_{n}^{2}$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\alpha\left(\mathrm{Sh}_{n}^{2}\right)}{\left|\mathrm{Sh}_{n}^{2}\right|}=\frac{1}{2} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

It may be interesting to note that infinite version of (15) was considered by Czipszer, Erdős and Hajnal [2] who proved that if $I$ is independent set in countable shift graph $\mathrm{Sh}_{\mathbb{N}}^{2}$, then the density of $I$ does not exceed $1 / 4$, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left|I \cap\binom{[n]}{2}\right|}{\binom{n}{2}} \leqslant \frac{1}{4} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

(Here $\frac{1}{4}$ is clearly optimal, since $I=\{(i, j): i<j, i$ odd, $j$ even $\}$ is independent in $\mathrm{Sh}_{\mathbb{N}}^{2}$.) To complete this discussion we provide an infinite variant of (14).

Theorem 3.1. There is $G \subseteq V\left(\operatorname{Sh}_{\mathbb{N}}^{2}\right)$ such that if $I$ is an independent set in $\operatorname{Sh}_{\mathbb{N}}^{2}[G]$, then

$$
\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left|I \cap\binom{[n]}{2}\right|}{\left|G \cap\binom{[n]}{2}\right|}=0
$$

Proof. Consider an infinite ordered tree $G$ with $V(G)=\mathbb{N}$, and with vertices labeled $v_{i}^{j}$, where $j$ denotes the "level" $L_{j}$ that vertex $v_{i}^{j}$ belongs to and $i$ denotes the order in which vertices are listed on the level.

Consider a labeling of vertices of $G$ by integers satisfying $v_{i}^{j}<v_{i}^{j^{\prime}}$ if $j<j^{\prime}$ and $v_{i}^{j}<v_{i^{\prime}}^{j}$ if $i<i^{\prime}$ such that for all $v_{i}^{j}$ the finite set $N^{+}\left(v_{i}^{j}\right)$ of all children of $v_{i}^{j}$ forms an interval (and these intervals on the level $L_{j+1}$ follow the order of their parents on $L_{j}$, see Figure 2). Finally we will assume that for all $v_{i}^{j}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|N^{+}\left(v_{i}^{j}\right)\right| \geqslant 2^{j} \sum_{v<v_{i}^{j}}\left|N^{+}(v)\right| . \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, let $I \subseteq G$ be an infinite independent set in $\operatorname{Sh}_{\mathbb{N}}^{2}$ and let $\left(v_{k}^{j-1}, v_{i}^{j}\right) \in I$, where $v_{k}^{j-1}$ and $v_{i}^{j}$ are parent and child respectively. Let $w=\max \left\{N^{+}\left(v_{i}^{j}\right)\right\}$ be the largest son of $v_{i}^{j}$ and let $W=\{1, \ldots, w\}$ (see Figure 2). Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
G[W]=\bigcup_{v \leqslant v_{i}^{j}}\left\{(v, u): u \in N^{+}(v)\right\} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 2. Infinite tree $G$, vertices are ordered top to bottom, left to right. Edges of $I$ are labeled with red.

In particular in view of (17)

$$
\begin{equation*}
|G[W]| \geqslant\left|\left\{\left(v_{i}^{j}, u\right): u \in N^{+}(v)\right\}\right|=\left|N^{+}\left(v_{i}^{j}\right)\right| . \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

On other hand, since $\left(v_{k}^{j-1}, v_{i}^{j}\right) \in I$ and $I$ is independent set in $\mathrm{Sh}_{\mathbb{N}}^{2}$, the set $I$ does not contain any other edge incident to $v_{i}^{j}$. Consequently,

$$
\begin{equation*}
|I[W]| \leqslant\left|\bigcup_{v<v_{i}^{j}}\left\{(v, u): u \in N^{+}(v)\right\}\right| \stackrel{(17)}{\leqslant} 2^{-j}\left|N^{+}\left(v_{i}^{j}\right)\right| . \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

In view of (19) and (20) we have $|I[W]| /|G[W]| \leqslant \frac{1}{2 j}$. Now, since $I$ is infinite there are edges $\left(v_{k}^{j-1}, v_{i}^{j}\right) \in I$ with sufficiently large $j$, hence the ratio $|I[W]| /|G[W]|$ can be made arbitrary small, finishing the proof.

## 4. Concluding remarks

In [5] it was proved ${ }^{\ddagger}$ that for any $n, k$

$$
\alpha_{n}^{k} \geqslant\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{k}, \text { if } k \text { is even }  \tag{21}\\
\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2 k}, \text { if } k \text { is odd }
\end{array}\right.
$$

It remains an open problem to determine for any $k \geqslant 3$ the exact value of $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{n}^{k}$. For $k=4$ we were able to improve the constant in the lower bound (21) from $\frac{1}{4}$ to $\frac{3}{8} \S$ and for $k=3$ we believe that estimate in (21) is sharp.

Problem 4.1. Show that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{n}^{3}=\frac{1}{3}$.
Finally, all of the results in this paper can be reformulated in terms of subgraphs with no increasing paths of length two. For instance, Theorem 1.2 implies that for any $\varepsilon>0$ there exists an vertex-ordered graph $G$ such that if $G^{\prime} \subseteq G$ with $\left|G^{\prime}\right| \geqslant\left(\frac{1}{4}+\varepsilon\right)|G|$, then $G^{\prime}$ contains an increasing path of length two, i.e. there are $i<j<k$ with $(i, j),(j, k) \in G^{\prime}$. One can ask similar questions for longer increasing paths.

Problem 4.2. For any $\varepsilon>0$ does there exist an ordered graph $G$ such that if $G^{\prime} \subseteq G$ with $\left|G^{\prime}\right| \geqslant\left(\frac{1}{3}+\varepsilon\right)|G|$, then $G^{\prime}$ contains an increasing path of length three?

Note that in regards to Problem 4.2, one can consider a random coloring $c$ of $V(G)$ with colors $\{0,1,2\}$ and define $G^{\prime}$ to be the collection of all $(i, j) \in E(G)$ with $i<j$ and $c(i)<c(j)$. Then such $G^{\prime}$ on average contains $\frac{1}{3}|G|$ edges and has no increasing paths of length three, motivating constant $\frac{1}{3}$ in the problem.

[^1]
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## Appendix

Proof of Claim 2.2. Let $B_{\varepsilon}(n, d)=G$, where $G$ is a random graph between $S$ and $L$ obtained by selecting a random subset of size $\frac{n^{2}}{2^{d+1}}$ without replacement from $K_{S, L}$ (complete bipartite graph between $S$ and $L$ ). Then $G$ satisfies (i) and we will show that $G$ satisfies (ii) almost surely.

For every $X \subseteq S$ and $Y \subseteq L, e(X, Y)=e_{G}(X, Y)$ is distributed as a hypergeometric random variable $\mathrm{H}\left(\frac{n^{2}}{4}, \frac{n^{2}}{2^{d+1}},|X||Y|\right)$ with expectation $\frac{1}{2^{d-1}}|X||Y|$. Let $B_{X, Y}$ be the event that

$$
\left|e_{G}(X, Y)-\frac{1}{2^{d-1}}\right| X||Y||>\frac{\varepsilon n^{2}}{2^{d+2}}
$$

i.e., $B_{X, Y}$ is the event that (ii) fails for given $X$ and $Y$.

We will use a concentration inequality for hypergeometric random variables (this version is a corollary of Theorem 2.10 and inequalities (2.5),(2.6) of Janson, Luczak, Rucinski [7]).

Theorem 4.3. Let $Z \sim H(N, m, k)$ be a hypergeometric random variable with the expectation $\mu=\frac{m k}{N}$, then for $t \geqslant 0$

$$
\mathbb{P}(|Z-\mu|>t) \leqslant 2 \exp \left(\frac{-t^{2}}{2(\mu+t / 3)}\right)
$$

For a given $X \subseteq L$ and $Y \subseteq R$, as a consequence of Theorem 4.3 with $Z=e_{G}(X, Y)$, $t=\frac{\varepsilon n^{2}}{2^{d+2}}$ and $\mu=\frac{1}{2^{d-1}}|X||Y| \leqslant \frac{n^{2}}{2^{d+1}}$ we get

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(B_{X, Y}\right)=e^{-\Omega\left(n^{2}\right)}
$$

where constant in $\Omega()$ term depends on $\varepsilon$ and $d$ only. Therefore,

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(\bigcup_{X, Y} B_{X, Y}\right) \leqslant \sum_{X, Y} \mathbb{P}\left(B_{X, Y}\right) \leqslant 2^{n} e^{-\Omega\left(n^{2}\right)}=o(1)
$$

In particular, $\mathbb{P}(G$ satisfies $($ ii $))=\mathbb{P}\left(\bigcap_{X, Y} \overline{B_{X, Y}}\right)=1-o(1)$. Hence, $G$ almost surely satisfies (ii).
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[^0]:    ${ }^{\dagger} n \geqslant 2^{i} n_{0}(\varepsilon, d-i)$ for all $i \in\{0,1, \ldots, d-2\}$, where $n_{0}(\varepsilon, d-i)$ is the number provided by Claim 2.2.

[^1]:    ${ }^{\ddagger}$ the result follows from the proof of Theorem 1 in [5]
    $\S_{\alpha}\left(\operatorname{Sh}_{n}^{4}[G]\right) \geqslant \frac{3}{8}|G|$ can be proved by considering a random colouring $c:[n] \rightarrow\{0,1\}$ and forming an independent set in $\mathrm{Sh}_{n}^{4}$ by taking hyperedges of $G$ of form 1000,1110 , or $x 01 y$ for some $x, y \in\{0,1\}$.

