

PROJECTED COMPOSITION OPERATORS ON PSEUDOCONVEX DOMAINS

ŽELJKO ČUČKOVIĆ

ABSTRACT. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ be a smooth bounded pseudoconvex domain and $A^2(\Omega)$ denote its Bergman space. Let $P : L^2(\Omega) \rightarrow A^2(\Omega)$ be the Bergman projection. For a measurable $\varphi : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$, the projected composition operator is defined by $(K_\varphi f)(z) = P(f \circ \varphi)(z)$, $z \in \Omega$, $f \in A^2(\Omega)$. In 1994, Rochberg studied boundedness of K_φ on the Hardy space of the unit disk and obtained different necessary or sufficient conditions for boundedness of K_φ . In this paper we are interested in projected composition operators on Bergman spaces on pseudoconvex domains. We study boundedness of this operator under the smoothness assumptions on the symbol φ on $\overline{\Omega}$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ be a smooth bounded pseudoconvex domain and let $H(\Omega)$ denote the space of holomorphic functions on Ω . Let $L^2(\Omega)$ be the standard space of square-integrable functions with respect to the Lebesgue measure dV with the usual L^2 norm, denoted by $\|\cdot\|_2$. The Bergman space is defined as $A^2(\Omega) = H(\Omega) \cap L^2(\Omega)$. The Bergman projection is the orthogonal projection operator $P : L^2(\Omega) \rightarrow A^2(\Omega)$.

Let $\varphi : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$ be a measurable function given as $\varphi = (\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n)$. We define two operators

$$(D_\varphi f)(z) = (f \circ \varphi)(z), z \in \Omega, f \in A^2(\Omega)$$

and

$$(K_\varphi f)(z) = P(f \circ \varphi)(z), z \in \Omega, f \in A^2(\Omega).$$

The operator K_φ is called the projected composition operator on the Bergman space $A^2(\Omega)$. When φ is a holomorphic self map of Ω , then there is no need to project $f \circ \varphi$, hence $K_\varphi = C_\varphi$ is the usual composition operator. Composition operators have been studied extensively over the last several decades. In particular, for the Hardy and Bergman spaces on the open unit disk \mathbb{D} , as a consequence of the Hardy-Littlewood subordination principle, C_φ is always bounded. Of course in higher dimensions, this will not be the case any more, for example in the open unit ball in \mathbb{C}^n , [C-M, Section 3.5].

Rochberg [R] studied projected composition operators on the Hardy space of the unit disk. He established several theorems related to the boundedness of K_φ . His elegant work laid out a foundation for the study of these operators. A precursor for his work was a paper [P-M-M]. Since then, there has not been much work done on this topic, we mention only a recent paper by Zhao [Zhao], who extended some results of Rochberg.

In this paper we are concerned with the boundedness of K_φ on Bergman spaces, not just on the unit disk \mathbb{D} in the complex plane, but we would like to find boundedness conditions for pseudoconvex domains in \mathbb{C}^n . In the next section we prove two theorems. The first one is for

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 47B33; 32A36; 32T05;

Key words and phrases. Projected composition operators, strongly pseudoconvex domains, $\bar{\partial}$ -Neumann operator.

bounded pseudoconvex domains and the symbol φ that is holomorphic near the boundary. The second theorem is our main result, and we prove it for smooth bounded pseudoconvex domains under the assumption that the symbol satisfies $\bar{\partial}\varphi_i = 0$ on some part of the boundary that is mapped into the boundary, for all i . In both theorems, we can express the boundedness of K_φ in terms of the Carleson measure condition on the pull back measure. If, in addition, we assume that the domain is a smooth bounded strongly pseudoconvex domain, the second theorem leads to a more concrete condition on the boundedness of K_φ in terms of the Bergman kernel and that is the content of the important Corollary 1.

2. MAIN RESULTS

We start this section with two initial results that are not difficult to obtain. The proofs are analogous to the initial results obtained for the unit disk \mathbb{D} . We include some arguments for the sake of completeness of our exposition.

For the operator D_φ the boundedness is expressed in terms of a Carleson measure condition.

Definition 1. *Let Ω be a bounded domain in \mathbb{C}^n . A positive measure μ on Ω is a Carleson measure on $A^2(\Omega)$ if there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for all $f \in A^2(\Omega)$,*

$$\int_{\Omega} |f(z)|^2 d\mu(z) \leq C \|f\|_2^2.$$

Suppose now that Ω is a bounded domain and $\varphi : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$ is measurable. We want to define the pull back measure V_φ on Ω : For a set $E \in \Omega$, $V_\varphi(E) = V(\varphi^{-1}(E))$.

We now state the criterion for boundedness of the operator D_φ .

Proposition 1. *Let Ω be a bounded domain in \mathbb{C}^n . Suppose $\varphi : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$ is measurable. Then the operator D_φ is a bounded operator on $A^2(\Omega)$ if and only if V_φ is a Carleson measure on $A^2(\Omega)$.*

Proof. The proof uses a well known formula for the composition and integration; a good reference is Theorem 2.4.18 in [F].

For $f \in A^2(\Omega)$,

$$\|D_\varphi f\|_2^2 = \int_{\Omega} |f \circ \varphi(z)|^2 dV(z) = \int_{\Omega} |f(w)|^2 dV_\varphi(w).$$

Hence if D_φ is bounded, there exists $C > 0$ such that $\|D_\varphi f\|_2^2 \leq C \|f\|_2^2$ for all $f \in A^2(\Omega)$. This precisely means that

$$\int_{\Omega} |f(w)|^2 dV_\varphi(w) \leq C \|f\|_2^2,$$

for all $f \in A^2(\Omega)$, which implies that dV_φ is Carleson.

Conversely if dV_φ is a Carleson measure, then for $f \in A^2(\Omega)$, following the steps above we show that $\|D_\varphi f\|_2^2 = \int_{\Omega} |f(w)|^2 dV_\varphi(w) \leq C \|f\|_2^2$, $C > 0$, which shows that D_φ is bounded on $A^2(\Omega)$. \square

The first simple example of a bounded K_φ is the case when φ is a measurable function such that $\varphi(\Omega)$ is compactly supported in Ω .

In what follows we use the notation $f(z) \lesssim g(z)$ to denote that there exists a constant C , independent of z such that $f(z) \leq Cg(z)$.

Lemma 1. *Let Ω be a bounded domain in \mathbb{C}^n and let $\varphi : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$ be measurable such that $\varphi(\Omega)$ is compactly supported in Ω . Then K_φ is bounded on $A^2(\Omega)$.*

Proof. Let $f \in A^2(\Omega)$. Then

$$\|K_\varphi f\|_2 \leq \|f \circ \varphi\|_2 = \int_\Omega |(f \circ \varphi)(z)|^2 dV(z) \lesssim \sup_{w \in \varphi(\Omega)} |f(w)|^2.$$

Since $\varphi(\Omega)$ is compact in Ω , the Cauchy Integral Formula gives $|f(w)| \lesssim \|f\|_2$ for all $w \in \varphi(\Omega)$. This then implies that $\|K_\varphi f\|_2^2 \lesssim \|f\|_2^2$, for all $f \in A^2(\Omega)$. Now we conclude that K_φ is a bounded operator on $A^2(\Omega)$. \square

To prove our first theorem, we need to use the $\bar{\partial}$ -Neumann techniques. Hence, we now introduce the $\bar{\partial}$ -Neumann operator N and the Kohn's formula that connects the Bergman projection P and N .

Let $\square = \bar{\partial}^* \bar{\partial} + \bar{\partial} \bar{\partial}^* : L^2_{(0,1)}(\Omega) \rightarrow L^2_{(0,1)}(\Omega)$ be the complex Laplacian, where $\bar{\partial}^*$ is the Hilbert space adjoint of $\bar{\partial} : L^2_{(0,1)}(\Omega) \rightarrow L^2_{(0,2)}(\Omega)$. When Ω is a bounded pseudoconvex domain in \mathbb{C}^n , Hörmander [Hor] showed that \square has a bounded inverse N called the $\bar{\partial}$ -Neumann operator. We also mention the books [Ch-Sh, St2] for a thorough study of the $\bar{\partial}$ -Neumann operator. The $\bar{\partial}$ -Neumann operator is an important tool in several complex variables. Kohn in [K] showed that the Bergman projection is connected to the $\bar{\partial}$ -Neumann operator by the formula $P = I - \bar{\partial}^* N \bar{\partial}$.

The next theorem sort of resembles Rochberg's Theorem 4.2.

Theorem 1. *Let Ω be a bounded pseudoconvex domain, and let $\varphi : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$, $\varphi = (\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n) \in C^1(\bar{\Omega})$. Let $K = \varphi(\partial\Omega) \cap \partial\Omega$ and let $F = \varphi^{-1}(K) \subset \partial\Omega$. Assume there is an open neighborhood U of F such that for every $i = 1, \dots, n$, $\bar{\partial}\varphi_i = 0$ on $U \cap \bar{\Omega}$. Then $K_\varphi - D_\varphi$ is bounded on $A^2(\Omega)$. Hence K_φ is bounded if and only if D_φ is.*

Proof. In case $K = \emptyset$, we apply Lemma 1.

Since U is an open set, it follows that $\bar{\Omega} - U$ is compact in $\bar{\Omega}$, hence $\varphi(\bar{\Omega} - U)$ is also compact in $\bar{\Omega}$. Now it is not difficult to show that

$$\varphi(\bar{\Omega} - U) \cap \partial\Omega = \emptyset.$$

Suppose on the contrary, there exists $z \in \partial\Omega$ such that $z = \varphi(z_1)$, $z_1 \in \bar{\Omega} - U$. If $z_1 \in \Omega$, then $z = \varphi(z_1) \in \Omega$, by the assumption on φ , which is not possible since $z \in \partial\Omega$. If $z_1 \in \partial\Omega - U$, then clearly z_1 is not an element of F . Therefore $z \in \Omega$, since φ only maps elements of the boundary set F into $\partial\Omega$. This is a contradiction again.

Using Kohn's formula, for $f \in A^2(\Omega)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (K_\varphi - D_\varphi)f(z) &= (P - I)(f \circ \varphi)(z) \\ &= -\bar{\partial}^* N \bar{\partial}((f \circ \varphi)(z)) \\ &= -\bar{\partial}^* N \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\partial f}{\partial w_k}(\varphi(z)) \frac{\partial \varphi_k}{\partial \bar{z}_i}(z) d\bar{z}_i \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since Ω is a bounded pseudoconvex domain, the operator $\bar{\partial}^* N$ is bounded by [Hor]. Thus

$$\|(K_\varphi - D_\varphi)f\|_2 \lesssim \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n \left\| \frac{\partial f}{\partial w_k}(\varphi) \frac{\partial \varphi_k}{\partial \bar{z}_i} \right\|_2.$$

Let us fix i and k now. Then since $\frac{\partial \varphi_k}{\partial \bar{z}_i} = 0$ on $U \cap \Omega$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\| \frac{\partial f}{\partial w_k}(\varphi) \frac{\partial \varphi_k}{\partial \bar{z}_i} \right\|_2^2 &= \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{\partial f}{\partial w_k}(\varphi(z)) \right|^2 \left| \frac{\partial \varphi_k}{\partial \bar{z}_i}(z) \right|^2 dV(z) \\
&= \int_{\Omega-U} \left| \frac{\partial f}{\partial w_k}(\varphi(z)) \right|^2 dV(z) \\
&= \int_{\varphi(\Omega-U)} \left| \frac{\partial f}{\partial w_k}(\zeta) \right|^2 dV_{\varphi}(\zeta).
\end{aligned}$$

From the discussion at the beginning of the proof, it follows that $\varphi(\overline{\Omega} - U)$ is compactly supported in Ω , hence by the Cauchy integral formula for the derivatives, we have

$$\int_{\varphi(\Omega-U)} \left| \frac{\partial f}{\partial w_k}(\zeta) \right|^2 dV_{\varphi}(\zeta) \lesssim \|f\|_2^2.$$

This proves that

$$\|(K_{\varphi} - D_{\varphi})f\|_2 \lesssim \|f\|_2,$$

for all $f \in A^2(\Omega)$. In other words, $K_{\varphi} - D_{\varphi}$ is a bounded operator on $A^2(\Omega)$. \square

It is a natural question if we can relax the assumption in the previous theorem that $\bar{\partial}\varphi_i = 0$ in a neighborhood of F for all $i = 1, \dots, n$. Namely, can we assume that for all $i = 1, \dots, n$, we have $\bar{\partial}\varphi_i = 0$ on F only. This problem turns out to be more difficult. The next theorem is the main result of our paper. We show that it is possible to obtain the same conclusion on the boundedness of K_{φ} under some additional smoothness assumptions.

A typical strategy to show boundedness of a new type of operators is to show that they are bounded on a dense subspace of the given space. In Rochberg's case, he used the polynomials that are dense in the Hardy space of the unit disk \mathbb{D} to show that K_{φ} is bounded. Since we work on smooth bounded pseudoconvex domains, to prove our main theorem, we will use the space $A^{\infty}(\overline{\Omega})$, which is the subspace of functions in $C^{\infty}(\overline{\Omega})$ that are holomorphic in Ω . According to a result of [C], for a smooth bounded pseudoconvex domain Ω , $A^{\infty}(\overline{\Omega})$ is dense in $A^2(\Omega)$.

In what follows, for $z \in \Omega$, let $\delta(z)$ denote the distance of z to $\partial\Omega$.

We now state our main theorem.

Theorem 2. *Suppose that Ω is a smooth bounded pseudoconvex domain and let $\varphi : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$, $\varphi = (\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n) \in C^2(\overline{\Omega})$. Let $K = \varphi(\partial\Omega) \cap \partial\Omega$ and let $F = \varphi^{-1}(K) \subset \partial\Omega$. Assume there is an open neighborhood U of F such that φ is 1-1 on $U \cap \Omega$. Also assume that for every $i = 1, \dots, n$, $\bar{\partial}\varphi_i = 0$ on F and the real Jacobian J_{φ} satisfies $|J_{\varphi}(z)| \geq m > 0$ on F , for some $m > 0$. Then $K_{\varphi} - D_{\varphi}$ is bounded on $A^2(\Omega)$. Hence K_{φ} is bounded if and only if D_{φ} is.*

Proof. In case $K = \emptyset$, we apply Lemma 1.

Suppose that $f \in A^{\infty}(\overline{\Omega})$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\|(K_{\varphi} - D_{\varphi})f\|_2^2 &= \|\bar{\partial}^* N \bar{\partial}(f \circ \varphi)\|_2^2 \\
&= \langle \bar{\partial}^* N \bar{\partial}(f \circ \varphi), \bar{\partial}^* N \bar{\partial}(f \circ \varphi) \rangle \\
&= \langle N \bar{\partial}(f \circ \varphi), \bar{\partial}(f \circ \varphi) \rangle \\
&\leq \|N \bar{\partial}(f \circ \varphi)\|_2 \|\bar{\partial}(f \circ \varphi)\|_2 \\
&\leq C \|\bar{\partial}(f \circ \varphi)\|_2^2,
\end{aligned}$$

since N is bounded, for some $C > 0$.

Now we focus on the norm $\|\bar{\partial}(f \circ \varphi)\|_2$. As before

$$\|\bar{\partial}(f \circ \varphi)\|_2 \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n \left\| \frac{\partial f}{\partial w_k}(\varphi) \frac{\partial \varphi_k}{\partial \bar{z}_i} \right\|_2.$$

At this point we would like to use the assumptions on the symbol φ , namely that $\bar{\partial}\varphi_k = 0$ on F for all $k = 1, \dots, n$. This means that for all $i, k = 1, \dots, n$, we have $\frac{\partial \varphi_k}{\partial \bar{z}_i}(z) = 0$, for $z \in F$. Each φ_i is C^2 -smooth near the boundary so we extend it to a smooth function in a neighborhood of F . For a sufficiently small neighborhood $U' \subset U$ of F , which we again call U , we now use the projection $\pi : U \rightarrow \partial\Omega$ which is a smooth map such that for all $z_0 \in \partial\Omega$, $\pi(z_0) = z_0$ and $\pi^{-1}(z_0)$ is a smooth curve in U that intersects $\partial\Omega$ transversally at z_0 . For $z \in U$, we have $|\pi(z) - z| = \delta(z)$. This can be seen as follows: Let $n(\zeta)$ denote the outward unit normal vector to $\partial\Omega$ at ζ . For ϵ small, the map $(t, \zeta) \rightarrow \zeta + tn(\zeta)$ is a diffeomorphism from $(-\epsilon, \epsilon) \times \partial\Omega$ onto a neighborhood of $\partial\Omega$. Moreover, for ϵ small enough, $\pi(z)$ is the point in $\partial\Omega$ closest to z (there is a radius $r > 0$ independent of $\pi(z)$ such that we have interior balls of radius r tangent to $\partial\Omega$ at $\pi(z)$).

Now we can use Taylor's theorem for $\frac{\partial \varphi_k}{\partial \bar{z}_i}$ on open balls centered at any $z_0 \in F$. In this way, for every $i, k = 1, \dots, n$, we obtain small open sets $U_{k,i} \subset U$ containing F such that for every $z \in U_{k,i}$, we have the expansion of $\frac{\partial \varphi_k}{\partial \bar{z}_i}$ around $\pi(z) = z_0 \in F$ and keeping in mind that $\frac{\partial \varphi_k}{\partial \bar{z}_i}(z_0) = 0$, we get for some positive constants $d_{k,j}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{\partial \varphi_k}{\partial \bar{z}_i}(z) \right| &\leq \sum_{j=1}^n d_{k,j} |z - z_0| + O(|z - z_0|^2) \\ &\lesssim |\pi(z) - z| \\ &= \delta(z), z \in U_{k,i}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $|J_\varphi| \geq m > 0$ on F , for some $m > 0$ by the smoothness assumption on φ , the Jacobian will be bounded away from zero on a neighborhood of F , call it U_0 . In other words $|J_\varphi|(z) \geq m_0 > 0$ for $z \in U_0$.

Let $U' = (\cap_{k,i=1}^n U_{k,i}) \cap U_0$, be an open neighborhood of F . Then for any i, k we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{\partial f}{\partial w_k}(\varphi) \frac{\partial \varphi_k}{\partial \bar{z}_i} \right\|_2^2 &= \int_{\bar{\Omega} - U'} \left| \frac{\partial f}{\partial w_k}(\varphi(z)) \right|^2 \left| \frac{\partial \varphi_k}{\partial \bar{z}_i}(z) \right|^2 dV(z) \\ &\quad + \int_{U'} \left| \frac{\partial f}{\partial w_k}(\varphi(z)) \right|^2 \left| \frac{\partial \varphi_k}{\partial \bar{z}_i}(z) \right|^2 dV(z) \\ &\lesssim \|f\|_2^2 + \int_{U'} \left| \frac{\partial f}{\partial w_k}(\varphi(z)) \right|^2 \delta(z)^2 dV(z). \end{aligned}$$

Here in the first integral we used the same Cauchy integral estimate argument as in the proof of Theorem 1 (since $\varphi(\bar{\Omega} - U')$ is compactly supported in Ω) and the fact that φ_k is smooth. In the second integral we used the estimate we showed above.

To finish the estimate of the integral above, we use the assumption that the real Jacobian J_φ satisfies $|J_\varphi| \geq m > 0$ on F , for some $m > 0$. By the smoothness assumption on φ , clearly the Jacobian J_φ is also bounded from above by a bound call it M . For a fixed $p \in F$, let $B(p, r) \subset U'$

for some $r > 0$. Since the boundary of Ω is smooth, there exists a local diffeomorphism Ψ_1 that maps $B(p, r) \cap \overline{\Omega}$ into the upper half-space $H_n^+ = \{z \in \mathbb{R}^{2n} : \text{Im}(z_n) \geq 0\}$.

Also Ψ_1 maps $B(p, r) \cap F$ into the set $F' \subset \{z \in \mathbb{R}^{2n} : \text{Im}(z_n) = 0\}$, and similarly there exists a diffeomorphism Ψ_2 that maps $B(\varphi(p), r) \cap \overline{\Omega}$ into the upper half-space H_n^+ , K into $K' \subset \{z \in \mathbb{R}^{2n} : \text{Im}(z_n) = 0\}$.

Let $p' = \Psi_1(p) \in F'$. Now the map φ is lifted to the map $\psi = \Psi_1 \circ \varphi \circ \Psi_2^{-1} = (\psi_1, \dots, \psi_n)$ that maps a neighborhood V' of p' in H_n^+ into a neighborhood V'' of $\psi(p') \in K'$ in H_n^+ .

We know that every point $z' \in F'$ has coordinates $z' = (x_1, y_1, \dots, x_n, 0)$ and ψ maps $V' \cap F'$ into $V'' \cap K'$, hence $\psi_n(x_1, y_1, \dots, x_n, 0) = 0$ for $z \in V' \cap F'$. Now it is easy to show that $\frac{\partial \psi_n}{\partial x_i} = 0, i = 1, \dots, n$ on $V' \cap F'$ and similarly $\frac{\partial \psi_n}{\partial y_i} = 0, i = 1, \dots, n-1$ on $V' \cap F'$. Thus the Jacobian

$$J_\psi(x_1, y_1, \dots, x_n, 0) = J_\psi(x_1, y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}, x_n) \times \frac{\partial \psi_n}{\partial y_n}.$$

Since Ψ_1 and Ψ_2 are diffeomorphisms, and $m < |J_\varphi(z)| \leq M, z \in F$ for $m, M > 0$, clearly $m' < |J_\psi(z)| \leq M', z \in F'$ for some constants $m', M' > 0$. Hence we may conclude that $|\frac{\partial \psi_n}{\partial y_n}(z)| > m'', z \in V' \cap F'$, for some $m'' > 0$. If we write this partial derivative using its definition, we get that

$$\left| \frac{\psi_n(x_1, y_1, \dots, x_n, h) - \psi_n(x_1, y_1, \dots, x_n, 0)}{h} \right| \geq s > 0$$

for $h > 0, s > 0$ on V' .

As mentioned above, $\psi_n(x_1, y_1, \dots, x_n, 0) = 0$ for $z \in V' \cap F'$, we get that

$$|\psi_n(x_1, y_1, \dots, x_n, h)| \geq s|h|,$$

which shows that $\delta(z) \leq s'\delta(\psi(z))$ on V' . Pulling it back to Ω , the inequality will be preserved and we obtain that

$$\delta(z) \leq s\delta(\varphi(z)), z \in B(p, r)$$

and hence on the whole set U' . Now the second integral above can be estimated

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{U'} \left| \frac{\partial f}{\partial w_k}(\varphi(z)) \right|^2 \delta(z)^2 dV(z) &\lesssim \int_{U'} \left| \frac{\partial f}{\partial w_k}(\varphi(z)) \right|^2 \delta(\varphi(z))^2 dV(z) \\ (1) \qquad \qquad \qquad &= \int_{\varphi(U')} \left| \frac{\partial f}{\partial w_k}(w) \right|^2 (\delta(w))^2 |J_{\varphi^{-1}}(w)| dV(w) \\ &\lesssim \int_{\Omega} |\nabla f(w)|^2 (\delta(w))^2 dV(w), \end{aligned}$$

since J_φ is bounded from below on U' and hence $J_{\varphi^{-1}}$ is bounded above there.

The last integral in (1) is less than equal to $C\|f\|_2^2$ where C is independent of f ; that was proved for Lipschitz domains by Boas and Straube [Bo-St, Proposition 2.1]. As for published references, for a domain with a C^1 boundary, Theorem 1 in [D] essentially shows that the last integral in (1) is dominated (modulo constants) by $\|f\|_2^2$. We would also like to point out that the last integral is in fact equivalent (modulo constants) to $\|f\|_2^2$ for starshaped domains, the result proved by Straube [St1]. Since the smooth domains are locally starshaped, the general case can be deduced from this special case.

Then for any i, k from above, we have

$$\left\| \frac{\partial f}{\partial w_k}(\varphi) \frac{\partial \varphi_k}{\partial \bar{z}_i} \right\|_2 \lesssim \|f\|_2.$$

Now the norm

$$\begin{aligned} \|\bar{\partial}(f \circ \varphi)\|_2 &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n \left\| \frac{\partial f}{\partial w_k}(\varphi) \frac{\partial \varphi_k}{\partial \bar{z}_i} \right\|_2 \\ &\lesssim \|f\|_2, \end{aligned}$$

which shows

$$\|(K_\varphi - D_\varphi)f\|_2^2 \leq \|\bar{\partial}(f \circ \varphi)\|_2^2 \lesssim \|f\|_2^2,$$

for $f \in A^\infty(\bar{\Omega})$. By the density of $A^\infty(\bar{\Omega})$ in $A^2(\Omega)$ we reach the conclusion that $K_\varphi - D_\varphi$ is bounded on $A^2(\Omega)$ and that finishes the proof. \square

Remark. In the proof above we showed that $(K_\varphi - D_\varphi)f = -\bar{\partial}^* N(Tf)$, where T is continuous in the L^2 -norm. Because $\bar{\partial}^* N$ is continuous on $L^2(\Omega)$, $K_\varphi - D_\varphi$ is. However if the pseudoconvex domain Ω has the property that N (and hence $\bar{\partial}^* N$) is compact, we conclude that $K_\varphi - D_\varphi$ is compact. Therefore K_φ and D_φ are simultaneously compact or simultaneously Fredholm. A good reference for when N is compact is [St2].

The following corollary gives us a concrete criterion for boundedness of K_φ under the assumptions of Theorem 2 and the additional condition that Ω is strongly pseudoconvex.

Suppose that Ω is smooth bounded, that is: there exists a C^∞ , real-valued function $r : \text{nbhd}(\bar{\Omega}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\Omega = \{z : r(z) < 0\}$ and $dr \neq 0$ when $r = 0$. The domain Ω is strongly pseudoconvex, if the complex Hessian $i\partial\bar{\partial}r(p)(\xi, \bar{\xi}) > 0$ for all $p \in b\Omega$ and all vectors $\xi \in \mathbb{C}^n$ satisfying $\partial r(p)(\xi) = 0$. Near the boundary $r(z)$ and $\delta(z)$ are equivalent.

Recall now Proposition 1 which characterizes the boundedness of D_φ in terms of the pull back measure V_φ being Carleson for $A^2(\Omega)$. Under the hypotheses of Theorem 2, we can conclude that K_φ is bounded if and only if the measure V_φ is Carleson for $A^2(\Omega)$. However Carleson measures for strongly pseudoconvex domains have been characterized before in the paper [C-Zh]. Later Abate, Raissy and Saracco gave a complete characterization of these measures for the weighted Bergman spaces on strongly pseudoconvex domains [A-R-S]. As expected the characterization of Carleson measures on $A^2(\Omega)$ is expressed in terms of the Bergman kernel $K(z, w)$. Let $k_z(w) = K(z, z)^{-\frac{1}{2}}K(z, w)$ denote the normalized Bergman kernel. The following result is a special case of [C-Zh, Theorem 2.2]:

Theorem 3 (Čučković & Zhao). *Assume that $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ is a smooth bounded strongly pseudoconvex domain. A positive measure μ on Ω is a Carleson measure for $A^2(\Omega)$ if and only if*

$$\sup_{z \in \Omega} \int_{\Omega} |k_z(w)|^2 d\mu(w) < \infty.$$

Now the following corollary follows immediately.

Corollary 1. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ be a smooth bounded strongly pseudoconvex domain. Then under the assumptions of Theorem 2, the operator K_φ is bounded if and only if*

$$\sup_{z \in \Omega} \int_{\Omega} |k_z(\varphi(w))|^2 dV(w) < \infty.$$

At this point we would like to point out that the condition in the corollary above is also necessary and sufficient for boundedness of an arbitrary composition operator C_φ acting on the Bergman space of a smooth bounded strongly pseudoconvex domain. This result is a special case of [C-Zh, Theorem 2.1].

We would like to finish the paper with an example in dimension $n = 1$. A variation of this example could be constructed for the open unit ball in \mathbb{C}^n .

Example 1. Let $0 \leq \chi(z) \leq 1$ be a smooth cut-off function defined in a neighborhood of $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ defined so that $\chi(z) = 1$ for $\frac{3}{4} < |z| < 1 + \epsilon$, $\epsilon > 0$ and $\chi(z) = 0$ for $|z| \leq \frac{1}{2}$.

Define the symbol $\varphi(z) = z(2|z| - |z|^2)\chi(z)$, which is a smooth function on a neighborhood of \mathbb{D} . Then clearly $\varphi(z) = 0$ for $|z| \leq \frac{1}{2}$.

For $|z| = 1$, $\varphi(z) = z$, hence φ maps $\partial\mathbb{D}$ into itself and $\varphi : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$. Thus in our case $F = K = \partial\mathbb{D}$.

We can write $\varphi(z) = u(x, y) + iv(x, y)$, where $u(x, y) = x(\sqrt{x^2 + y^2} - (x^2 + y^2))$ and $v(x, y) = y(\sqrt{x^2 + y^2} - (x^2 + y^2))$. Then one can verify that $\bar{\partial}\varphi = 0$ on F and $J_\varphi(z) = 1$, $z \in F$.

Suppose $z, w \in \{z : \frac{3}{4} < |z| \leq 1\}$, $z = re^{i\theta}$, $w = \rho e^{i\psi}$ and $\varphi(z) = \varphi(w)$. Then we have $r^2(2-r)e^{i\theta} = \rho^2(2-\rho)e^{i\psi}$ which shows that $r^2(2-r) = \rho^2(2-\rho)$ and $\theta = \psi + 2\pi$. Using the fact that $r \rightarrow r^2(2-r)$ is an increasing function on $(0, 1)$, we conclude that $z = w$. Hence φ is a 1-1 function on $\{z : \frac{3}{4} < |z| \leq 1\}$.

We are interested in the question of boundedness of K_φ acting on the Bergman space $A^2(\mathbb{D})$, where the measure is the normalized Lebesgue area measure dA . Recall that the Bergman kernel on the unit disk has the form $K_z(w) = \frac{1}{(1-\bar{z}w)^2}$ and hence $k_z(w) = \frac{1-|z|^2}{(1-\bar{z}w)^2}$.

According to the Corollary 1, K_φ is bounded if and only if

$$\sup_{z \in \Omega} \int_{\Omega} |k_z(\varphi(w))|^2 dA(w) < \infty,$$

which in our case means

$$\sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} (1 - |z|^2)^2 \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{1}{|1 - z\bar{\varphi}(w)|^4} dA(w) < \infty.$$

Hence we need to show that

$$\sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} (1 - |z|^2)^2 \left(\int_0^{1/2} \int_0^{2\pi} 1 dA + \int_{1/2}^1 \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{1}{|1 - z\rho(2\rho - \rho^2)\chi(\rho e^{i\theta})e^{-i\theta}|^4} d\theta \rho d\rho \right) < \infty.$$

Thus we only have to focus on the second integral. To estimate the inside integral we use the Forelli-Rudin integral estimate for the unit circle in dimension $n = 1$:

$$\int_{\partial\mathbb{D}} \frac{1}{|1 - \langle z, \zeta \rangle|^{1+c}} d\zeta \approx (1 - |z|^2)^{-c}.$$

In our case $c = 3$ hence we can estimate the inside integral and get

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{1/2}^1 \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{1}{|1 - z\rho(2\rho - \rho^2)\chi(\rho e^{i\theta})e^{-i\theta}|^4} d\theta \rho d\rho &\leq \int_{1/2}^1 \frac{1}{|1 - |z|^2 \rho^2 (2\rho - \rho^2)|^3} \rho d\rho \\
&\leq \int_{1/2}^1 \frac{1}{(1 - |z|^2 \rho^2)^3} \rho d\rho \\
&= \int_{1/2}^1 \frac{1}{(1 - |z|\rho)^3 (1 + |z|\rho)^3} \rho d\rho \\
&\leq \int_{1/2}^1 \frac{1}{(1 - |z|\rho)^3} d\rho \\
&\stackrel{\text{(using } u = 1 - |z|\rho)}{=} \frac{1}{|z|} \left(\frac{1}{(1 - |z|)^2} - \frac{1}{(1 - \frac{1}{2}|z|)^2} \right) \\
&= \frac{1 - \frac{3}{4}|z|}{(1 - |z|)^2 (1 - \frac{1}{2}|z|)^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Now we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} (1 - |z|^2)^2 \left(\int_{1/2}^1 \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{1}{|1 - z\rho(2\rho - \rho^2)\chi(\rho e^{i\theta})e^{-i\theta}|^4} d\theta \rho d\rho \right) &\leq \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \frac{(1 - |z|^2)^2}{(1 - |z|)^2} \frac{1 - \frac{3}{4}|z|}{(1 - \frac{1}{2}|z|)^2} \\
&\leq \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} (1 + |z|)^2 \left(\frac{1 - \frac{3}{4}|z|}{(1 - \frac{1}{2}|z|)^2} \right) \\
&< \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

Corollary 1 now shows that K_φ is bounded on $A^2(\mathbb{D})$.

3. ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author would like to thank the referee for reading the manuscript carefully and for the suggestions that improved the paper. We also thank Sönmez Şahutoğlu for several illuminating discussions about the content of this paper, Emil Straube for a helpful conversation and for sending us his unpublished manuscript written with Harold Boas. Finally we thank Trieu Le for pointing out a mistake in a previous version of the paper.

REFERENCES

- [A-R-S] M. Abate, J. Raissy & A. Saracco, *Toeplitz operators and Carleson measures in strongly pseudoconvex domains*, J. Funct. Anal. **263** (2012), 3449-3491
- [Bo-St] H. P. Boas & E. J. Straube, *Sobolev norms of harmonic and analytic functions*, Unpublished
- [C] D. Catlin, *Boundary behavior of holomorphic functions on pseudoconvex domains*, J. Differential Geom. **15** (1980), 605-625
- [Ch-Sh] S. Chen & M. Shaw, *Partial differential equations in several complex variables*, Studies in Adv. Math. **19** AMS/IP (2001)
- [C-M] C. Cowen & B. MacCluer, *Composition operators on spaces of analytic functions*, CRC Press, Studies in Advanced Mathematics (1995)
- [C-Zh] Ž. Čučković & R. Zhao, *Essential norm estimates of weighted composition operators between Bergman spaces on strongly pseudoconvex domains*, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. **142** (2007), 525-533
- [D] J. Detraz, *Classes de Bergman de fonctions harmoniques*, Bull. de la S.M.F. **109** (1981), 259-268
- [F] H. Federer, *Geometric measure theory*, Springer, Classics in Mathematics, Berlin (1969)
- [Hor] L. Hörmander, *L^2 estimates and existence theorems for the $\bar{\partial}$ -operator*, Acta Math. **113** (1965), 89-152

- [K] J. J. Kohn, *Harmonic integrals on strongly pseudo-convex manifolds I*, Annals of Math, **78** (1963), 112-148
- [P-M-M] S. Pattanayak, C. K. Mohapatra, & A. K. Mishra, *A new class of composition operators*, Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci. **10** (1987), 473-482
- [R] R. Rochberg, *Projected composition operators on the Hardy space*, Indiana University Math. J. **43** (1994), 441-458
- [St1] E. J. Straube *Interpolation between Sobolev and between Lipschitz spaces of analytic functions on star-shaped domains*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **316** (1989), 653-671
- [St2] E. J. Straube *Lectures on the L^2 -Sobolev theory and of the $\bar{\partial}$ -Neumann Problem*, ESI Lectures in Mathematics and Physics, Eur. Math. Soc. Zurich, 2010
- [Zhao] C. Zhao, *Boundedness of projected composition operators over the unit disc*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **467** (2018), 521-536

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF TOLEDO, TOLEDO, OHIO, 43606
Email address: Zeljko.Cuckovic@utoledo.edu