

Genus 1 Curves in Severi–Brauer Surfaces

David J. Saltman
Center for Communications Research
805 Bunn Drive
Princeton, NJ 08540

May 13, 2021

Abstract

In a talk at the Banff International Research Station in 2015 Asher Auel asked questions about genus one curves in Severi-Brauer varieties $SB(A)$. More specifically he asked about the smooth cubic curves in Severi-Brauer surfaces, that is in $SB(D)$ where D/F is a degree three division algebra. Even more specifically, he asked about the Jacobian, E , of these curves. In this paper we give a version of an answer to both these questions, describing the surprising connection between these curves and properties of the algebra A . Let F contain ρ , a primitive third root of one. Since D/F is cyclic, it is generated over F by x, y such that $xy = \rho yx$ and we call x, y a skew commuting pairs. The connection mentioned above is between the Galois structure of the three torsion points $E[3]$ and the Galois structure of skew commuting pairs in extensions $D \otimes_F K$. Given a description of which E arise, we then describe, via Galois cohomology, which C arise.

1 Introduction

In algebraic geometry, perhaps the simplest object is projective space. The next simplest object might be a Severi–Brauer variety, which is only interesting when the ground field F is not algebraically closed. Severi–Brauer varieties are defined by a central simple algebra A/F . In fact, the Severi–Brauer variety, $\text{SB}(A)$, is defined as the variety of minimal right ideals of A . More precisely, if A/F has degree n (i.e. dimension n^2 over F), then $\text{SB}(A)$ is a closed subvariety of the Grassmann variety $G_n(A)$ consisting of the n dimensional subspaces of A which are right ideals. It follows that $\text{SB}(A)$ has a rational point if and only if $A \cong M_n(F)$, or in words, if A is split. If $A = \text{End}_F(V)$ is split, then $\text{SB}(A)$ is isomorphic to the projective space \mathbb{P}^{n-1} , since any such right ideal I can be identified with the line $L \subset V$ which is the range of all nonzero elements of I .

This explains why we view $\text{SB}(A)$ as “almost” projective space. After a finite extension of F , $\text{SB}(A)$ is projective space. That is, $\text{SB}(A)$ is a form of projective space.

If A/F has degree two, that is, A/F is a quaternion algebra, then $\text{SB}(A)$ is a curve and a form of the projective line. Quite a bit is known about these curves, since they are just the smooth conics in \mathbb{P}^2 .

Thus, perhaps, it pays to consider the case of next highest dimension, the Severi–Brauer variety of a degree-three division algebra D/F . Note that the division algebra case is the interesting one. If A/F is degree three and not a division algebra, then A is split and $\text{SB}(A)$ is \mathbb{P}^2 .

Of course $\text{SB}(D)$ is a form of \mathbb{P}^2 , and to understand it one might want to understand the curves in $\text{SB}(D)$. To start with, suppose $L \subset \text{SB}(D)$ is a line, by which we mean it becomes a line when we split D . If we extend scalars to the separable closure \bar{F} , then

$D \otimes_F \bar{F} = \text{End}_{\bar{F}}(V)$ and the line L is identified with a subspace $W \subset V$ of dimension 2. To such a W we can associate the ideal, J , of all elements with range in W , which is of dimension 6 over \bar{F} . If L is defined over F , then J is Galois invariant and so yields an ideal of D of dimension 6. This implies D is split, the case we are avoiding.

Suppose, then, that $C \subset \text{SB}(D)$ is an absolutely irreducible smooth degree two curve or a conic. (The other cases are easy.) It follows that C is smooth. C has no rational point, so C is of the form $\text{SB}(D')$ for D'/F a quaternion division algebra. That is, there is a stalk $R = \mathcal{O}_C$ of the sheaf of regular functions on $\text{SB}(D)$ such that R/M is the field of fractions, K' , of $\text{SB}(D')$.

Almost by definition, $\text{SB}(D)$ splits D . Since R is a stalk, R splits D . By the functionality of the Brauer group, K' splits D . But the kernel of $\text{Br}(F) \rightarrow \text{Br}(K')$ is generated by D' , implying that D is trivial or Brauer equivalent to D' , and both are a contradiction. Thus $\text{SB}(D)$ does not contain any absolutely irreducible conics.

There is a better way to view the above result. Let \bar{F} be the separable closure of F , so $\text{SB}(D) \times_F \bar{F} = \mathbb{P}_{\bar{F}}^2$. The Picard group of $\mathbb{P}_{\bar{F}}^2$ consists of the standard line bundles $\mathcal{O}(n)$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Artin ([2] p. 203) observed that the Picard group of $\text{SB}(D)$ is generated by \mathcal{L} , the unique line bundle that pulls back to $\mathcal{O}(3)$ on $\mathbb{P}_{\bar{F}}^2$. Viewing the Picard group as the divisor class group, we have that all curves on $\text{SB}(D)$ have degree a multiple of three.

If C is an reducible curve of degree 3, then since no lines are Galois invariant it must be a union a three distinct lines which do not all intersect. That is, C is a triangle determined by three distinct but Galois conjugate points. This means that $\text{SB}(D)$ has plenty of triangles, namely, one for each maximal subfield. If C is an absolutely irreducible but not smooth curve, then C has one or two singular points which must be Galois conjugate and so D is split by a degree

one or two extension, which is impossible.

Thus we can turn to the situation we are really interested in, where $C \subset \text{SB}(D)$ is a cubic smooth absolutely irreducible curve, which is a curve of genus one. All this discussion motivates the question asked by Asher Auel, namely, to characterize the genus one curves in $\text{SB}(D)$.

It is not hard to see from basic considerations that the j invariants that appear form a dense set in \mathbb{P}_F^1 . Using the techniques of [9], Várilly-Alvarado and Viray have given an explicit subset of such j invariants which appear. Asher himself observed in unpublished work that cubic curves with $j = 0$ appear. The techniques developed here can distinguish between curves of the same j invariant, which are not isomorphic. Instead of j invariants, what is important for our main result is the group of three torsion points as a Galois module. Given that the elliptic curve E appears as the Jacobian of a curve C , we describe which C arise via Galois cohomology. Note the connection between these results and the result of [5] p. 331 which, for fixed E , gives the connection between cohomology and maps from genus one curves to Severi-Brauer varieties. The author would like to thank Asher Auel for the original question but most importantly for his detailed responses to earlier versions of this paper.

2 Preliminaries

Let A/F be a central simple algebra of degree three. Set \bar{F} to be the separable closure of F , and \bar{G} the Galois group of \bar{F}/F . Define $\text{SB}(A)$ to be the Severi–Brauer variety of the central simple algebra A . Recall that $\text{SB}(A)$ is the variety of right ideals of A of dimension 3 over F . For simplicity we assume the characteristic of F is not two or three.

We know that $\text{SB}(A)$ is a form of \mathbb{P}^2 and that the line bundle $\mathcal{O}(3)$ is defined on $\text{SB}(A)$, which is also clear because this is the anticanonical bundle. We want to be more concrete, however. The tensor power

$A^3 = A \otimes_F A \otimes_F A$ has an action of the symmetric group S_3 and we consider B , the algebra of S_3 fixed elements. By [7], this action of S_3 is induced by conjugation by a group $S_3 \subset (A^3)^*$. That is, B is the centralizer of $F[S_3] \subset A^3$. But $F[S_3]$ is the direct sum $F \oplus F \oplus M_2(F)$ where the first F corresponds to the trivial representation. If $e \in F[S_3]$ is the associated idempotent to this first summand, we set $S^3(A) = eBe$. Note that if we extend scalars to \bar{F} , so $A \otimes_F \bar{F} = \text{End}_{\bar{F}}(\bar{V})$, then $S^3(A \otimes_F \bar{F}) = \text{End}_{\bar{F}}(S^3(\bar{V}))$, where $S^3(\bar{V})$ is the symmetric power of the vector space \bar{V} . For this reason we call $S^3(A)$ the symmetric power of A . Note that this implies that $S^3(A)$ has degree 10. Also note that as $A^3 = M_{27}(F)$ is split, $S^3(A)$ is also split and so $S^3(A) = M_{10}(F)$.

In particular, $\text{SB}(S^3(A)) = \mathbb{P}^9$ is the nine dimensional projective space. There is an embedding $\text{SB}(A) \rightarrow \text{SB}(S^3(A))$ defined by $I \rightarrow e(I \otimes I \otimes I)^{S_3}e \subset S^3(A)$. Extending scalars to \bar{F} again, this is just the Segre embedding $\mathbb{P}^2(\bar{F}) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^9(\bar{F})$. Finally, the line bundle $\mathcal{O}(1)_{\mathbb{P}^9}$ is defined on $\mathbb{P}^9 = \text{SB}(S^3(A))$. If L is the restriction of this bundle to $\text{SB}(A)$, then after extending scalars to \bar{F} , L becomes $\mathcal{O}(3)_{\mathbb{P}^2}$ which shows this bundle is defined over $\text{SB}(A)$.

Lemma 1. *There is a line bundle L on $\text{SB}(A)$ which becomes $\mathcal{O}(3)_{\mathbb{P}^2}$ after scalar extension to \bar{F} and is the restriction of $\mathcal{O}(1)_{\mathbb{P}^9}$ on $\text{SB}(S^3(A))$.*

The bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(3)$ has a ten dimensional space, \bar{W} , of global sections which are the cubic forms in three variables. That is, if $s \in \bar{W}$, then the zeroes of s are a cubic curve in \mathbb{P}^2 , and for all s in a Zariski open set this is a smooth cubic curve of genus one. Now L has a space of global sections of dimension 10, W , over F and so a $s \in W$ defines a cubic curve C in $\text{SB}(A)$. Once again, for a Zariski open set of s this C is smooth and of genus one. Of course, except in the trivial case A is split, $\text{SB}(A)$ has no rational points and so C has no rational points. However, we can define $E(C)$ as the Jacobian of C and $E(C)$ is an elliptic curve. The question we attack in this paper is the question of

which $E(C)$ appear for a given A , and given $E(C)$ which C appear.

Of course the group $\mathrm{PGL}_3(\bar{F})$ acts on $\mathbb{P}^2(\bar{F})$ and this action extends to an action on $\mathbb{P}^9(\bar{F})$. Viewing this as an action of $\mathrm{GL}_3(\bar{F})$, this induces an action on the global sections of $\mathcal{O}(3)$ which is just the change of variables action on the cubic forms.

We need to descend this group action to F . Let \bar{G} be the Galois group of \bar{F}/F . Of course $A \otimes_F \bar{F} = M_3(\bar{F})$ so there is a Galois action of \bar{G} on $\mathrm{GL}_3(\bar{F})$ such that the \bar{G} fixed elements are A^* . Hilbert's Theorem 90 shows that G fixed elements of $\mathrm{PGL}_3(\bar{F})$ lift to \bar{G} fixed elements of $\mathrm{GL}_3(\bar{F})$, so A^*/F^* is the group of \bar{G} fixed elements of $\mathrm{PGL}_3(\bar{F})$. Moreover, A^*/F^* is the group of F automorphisms of A and hence A^*/F^* acts on $\mathrm{SB}(A)$ and $\mathrm{SB}(S^3(A))$. Of course, after extending scalars to \bar{F} , this is just the action of $\mathrm{PGL}_3(\bar{F})$ on \mathbb{P}^2 and \mathbb{P}^9 .

3 Classical Invariant Theory

Let us review the classical invariant theory of cubic curves over \bar{F} . This material is very far from new, but it is useful to review so we can treat the non-algebraically closed case. As a general reference we suggest [3, Chapter 3].

Let \bar{T} be the projective space of \bar{W} , that is, $\bar{T} = \mathbb{P}^9$. Then \bar{T} can be viewed as the space of cubic curves. We begin by recalling some basic facts about a smooth cubic curve. Any line in \mathbb{P}^2 intersects \bar{C} in three points, counting multiplicity. Recall that a point P on \bar{C} is called an inflection point if the tangent line to \bar{C} at P has an intersection with \bar{C} that is at least multiplicity 3 (any tangent line has multiplicity 2). For the moment fix one inflection point $[0]$ on \bar{C} . Looking at all the intersections of lines with \bar{C} , we see that the embedding $\bar{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ is defined by the line bundle $\mathcal{L}(3[0])$.

The Jacobian $E(\bar{C})$ of \bar{C} is the group of degree 0 divisors modulo

principal divisors. In particular, $E(\bar{C})$ is an abelian group. If we fix $[0]$ as above, then $\bar{C} \cong E(\bar{C})$ via $[P] \rightarrow [P] - [0]$. Moreover, there is a natural action $E(\bar{C}) \times \bar{C} \rightarrow \bar{C}$ in which, for example, it is true that $[P] - [0]$ acting on $[0]$ is $[P]$. Thus $E(\bar{C})$ is a subgroup of the automorphism group of \bar{C} which we call the translations and $E(\bar{C})$ acts transitively on \bar{C} .

Since $\bar{C} \cong E(\bar{C})$ a choice of $[0]$ imparts an additive group structure on \bar{C} . It will be useful to recall the classical construction of this structure. If P, Q, R are three points on \bar{C} then we say these points "sum to zero" if they are colinear and hence the intersection points of some line with \bar{C} . To make a group with zero $[0]$, for any point $[P]$, one defines $[-P]$ by the condition that $[P]$, $[-P]$, and $[0]$ are colinear. Then $[P] + [Q] = [R]$ if $[P]$, $[Q]$, and $[-R]$ are colinear. Thus "summing to zero" is independent of the choice of $[0]$.

The automorphisms of \bar{C} have the form $T \times G$ where $T = E(\bar{C})$ is the group of translations and G is the group of automorphisms that fix $[0]$. Thus if \bar{C} and \bar{C}' are two isomorphic smooth cubic curves in \mathbb{P}^2 , there is an isomorphism between them that preserves the embedding implying that there is an isomorphism between them that extends to \mathbb{P}^2 . That is, \bar{C} and \bar{C}' are in the same $PGL_3(\bar{F})$ orbit in \mathbb{P}^9 . The quotient $\mathbb{P}^9 / PGL_3(\bar{F})$ is birationally \mathbb{P}^1 and we will later remind the reader that there is an induced map map to the j line which is generically 12 to 1. The action of $PGL_3(\bar{F})$ on cubic curves will be key to our argument.

Let $S^+ \subset PGL_3(\bar{F})$ be the stabilizer of some \bar{C} . Then S^+ consists of the automorphisms of \bar{C} which preserve the embedding in \mathbb{P}^2 . All the elements of G preserve the embedding, but only the elements of T of order 3 preserve the embedding. It follows that $S^+ = S \times G$ where S is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$ and is the group of translations by three torsion elements of $E(\bar{C})$. If the j invariant of \bar{C} is not 0 or

1728, then $G = S^+/S = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ and G is generated by the -1 map on C viewed as an elliptic curve with $[0]$ as the zero element. If $j = 0$ then $S^+/S = C_6$ the cyclic group of order 6, and if $j = 1728$ then $S^+/S = C_4$.

Given \bar{C} , we let I be the set of its nine inflection points. In the following discussion we have two goals. First, we observe that we can define a nine point "Jacobian", $E(I)$, for I that does not involve \bar{C} . We accomplish this by restricting the argument reviewed above for all of \bar{C} . Second, we want to relate this $E(I)$ to the stabilizer of \bar{C} in $\text{PGL}_3(\bar{F})$ and, in particular, to the group of translations. What we will show is that if we pick one point in I to be the identity, then the other 8 points correspond to points on the elliptic curve $E(\bar{C})$ of order 3. The next result describes some properties of I where we assume some \bar{C} exists but not anything specific about it.

Lemma 2. *Suppose $P, Q \in I$ are distinct. Then there is exactly one third point $R \in I$ such that P, Q, R are colinear in \mathbb{P}^2 and R is distinct from both P and Q .*

Proof. We identify \bar{C} with $E(\bar{C})$ via a choice of $[0]$. A line in \mathbb{P}^2 meets any \bar{C} in three points. Thus, $R \in \bar{C}$ is well defined. Moreover, $P + Q + R$ is zero in \bar{C} , and so R must also be a three torsion point and hence an inflection point and hence in I . If, say, $R = Q$ then $P + 2Q = 0$ in \bar{C} which implies $P = Q = R$. \square

Because of the above we say three points of I are colinear if they are distinct and colinear in \mathbb{P}^2 , or are all the same.

We can form a group from this relationship on I , by repeating for I the construction of $E(\bar{C})$ but restricted to these nine points. Let $E(I)$ be the set of equivalence classes of all pairs (P, Q) , $P, Q \in I$, where we say $(P, Q) \sim (R, S)$ if there is a single $T \in I$ such that P, S, T and R, Q, T are colinear. Note that all pairs (P, P) are equivalent. We let $\{(P, Q)\}$ be the equivalence class containing (P, Q) .

Lemma 3. (a) If $(P, Q) \sim (R, S)$ then $(P, R) \sim (Q, S)$.

(b) If $(P, Q) \sim (R, Q)$ then $P = R$.

(c) For any $P, Q, R \in I$ there are unique $S, S' \in I$ such that $(P, Q) \sim (R, S) \sim (S', R)$.

(d) If $(P, Q) \sim (Q, P)$ then $P = Q$.

(e) If we fix Q then the map $P \rightarrow \{(P, Q)\}$ is a bijection between I and $E(I)$.

Proof. Part a) is direct from the definition. For b), we have P, Q, T and R, Q, T colinear and so $P = R$. Turning to c), we have R, Q, T colinear for a unique T and define S by taking P, T, S colinear (defining S' is similar). For d), only P is colinear with P and P (P is an inflection point). Part e) follows from a) and b). \square

We can now proceed to define the group structure on $E(I)$. We say $\{(P, Q)\} + \{(R, S)\} = \{(P, U)\}$ if $(R, S) \sim (Q, U)$. One can check this is well defined with identity the class of (P, P) . Also $\{(P, Q)\} + \{(Q, R)\} = \{(P, R)\}$ so $\{P, Q\} + \{Q, P\} = \{P, P\}$. Since colinearity is a symmetric relationship this defines an abelian group. Finally, and importantly, there is a natural action of $E(I)$ on I defined by $P + \{(Q, P)\} = Q$.

Lemma 4. (a) If $\alpha \in E(I)$ then $3\alpha = 0$.

(b) If $\alpha \in E(I)$ then $(P, Q) \sim (P + \alpha, Q + \alpha)$.

(c) P, Q, R are colinear if and only if for any (hence all) S , $\{(P, S)\} + \{(Q, S)\} + \{(R, S)\} = 0$. In particular, P, Q , and R are colinear if and only if $(Q, P) \sim (P, R)$.

(d) If P, Q, R are colinear then so is $P + \alpha, Q + \alpha, R + \alpha$ for any $\alpha \in E(I)$.

(e) If $P \in I$ and $\alpha \in E(I)$, then P , $P + \alpha$ and $P + 2\alpha$ are colinear.

Proof. To begin with a), if P, Q, R are colinear then $(P, Q) \sim (Q, R) \sim (R, P)$ and $3\{P, Q\} = \{(P, Q)\} + \{(Q, R)\} + \{(R, P)\} = \{P, P\}$. As for b), if α contains (S, P) and (T, Q) then $(S, P) \sim (T, Q)$ so $(P, Q) \sim (S, T)$. Turning to c), the independence from the choice of S is clear from adding $3\{(S', S)\}$ to both sides. Thus we need only consider $\{(P, P)\} + \{(Q, P)\} + \{(R, P)\} = 0$ or $\{(Q, P)\} + \{(R, P)\} = 0$ or $(Q, P) \sim (P, R)$ which means the line through Q and R also goes through P . Part d) is now clear. As for 3), let $\alpha = \{(Q, P)\} = \{(R, Q)\}$ so P, Q, R are colinear. Then $P + \alpha = Q$ and $2\alpha = \{(Q, P)\} + \{(R, Q)\} = \{(R, P)\}$ so $P + 2\alpha = R$. \square

Let us, briefly, assume F is not necessarily equal to \bar{F} . Suppose $C \supset I$ is a cubic curve defined over F with Jacobian E and I are the inflection points. Then $E \times C \cong C \times C$ via the morphism $(\alpha, P) \rightarrow (P + \alpha, P)$. Thus there is a projection $C \times C \rightarrow E$ that maps $(P, Q) \rightarrow [P] - [Q]$ where $[P] - [Q]$ is the degree-zero divisor on C . The diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} I \times I & \subset & C \times C \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ E(I) & \subset & E(C) \end{array}$$

commutes. All of this makes sense over \bar{F} where $I \times I$ is a zero dimensional reduced variety. Of course, $I \times I$ is defined over any field where I is defined. The above diagram imparts to $E(I)$ a variety structure defined over any field F where C and hence I are defined, though of course when $F \neq \bar{F}$, then $K_1 = \text{Spec}(E(I))$ and $K_2 = \text{Spec}(I \times I)$ are direct sums of fields, perhaps strictly containing F . If I is defined over F but C is not, we can proceed as follows. The \bar{F} points of $I \times I$ are morphisms $\phi_{P,Q} : K_2 \rightarrow \bar{F}$ and there is one for each P, Q . Then we can define K_1 to be $\{f \in K_2 \mid \phi_{P,Q}(f) =$

$\phi_{R,S}(f)$ whenever $(P, Q) \sim (R, S)$. Since this is an linear condition on f this is compatible with the definition of K_1 over F 's where C is not defined. All of which is saying:

Lemma 5. *$I \times I \rightarrow E(I)$ is a morphism of zero dimensional varieties whenever I is defined.*

Corollary 1. *Suppose \bar{C}' also contains I . Then I forms the set of inflection points of \bar{C}' also. $E(I)$ can be identified with the three torsion points of both the Jacobians of \bar{C} and \bar{C}' .*

We know classically that the action of $E(I)$ on I extends first to an action on \bar{C} , namely translation by three torsion points, and further therefore to an action on \mathbb{P}^2 . Thus $E(I)$ corresponds to a subgroup $S \subset \mathrm{PGL}_3(\bar{F})$. We can give more detail about S , and this is well known (see [4] p. 131). Let F_3 be the field of three elements. From the definition S is generated by x, y each of order three which commute in $\mathrm{PGL}_3(\bar{F})$ and represent a choice of basis, α, β over $F_3 = \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$ of $E(I)$. Let $\tilde{x}, \tilde{y} \in \mathrm{GL}_3(\bar{F})$ be preimages of x, y where we can assume (over \bar{F}), that $\tilde{x}^3 = \tilde{y}^3 = 1$. Up to conjugation, there are two possibilities. Either \tilde{x} and \tilde{y} commute or $\tilde{x}\tilde{y} = \rho\tilde{y}\tilde{x}$ for a nontrivial 3 root of one ρ . We will argue the that later holds, a classical fact related to the existence of the Weil pairing.

Fix $P \in I$. Then $P, P+\alpha, P+2\alpha$ are colinear; as are $P+\beta, P+\beta+\alpha$, and $P+\beta+2\alpha$ as well as $P+2\beta, P+2\beta+\alpha, P+2\beta+2\alpha$. All of these lines are left invariant by α . Note that these are three distinct lines because any line contains at most three points of I . Lifting to $\mathrm{GL}_3(\bar{F})$ acting on \mathbb{A}^3 , there are three distinct two-dimensional subspaces preserved by \tilde{x} . But \tilde{x} has three distinct eigenvalues and so these are precisely all the two-dimensional subspaces preserved by \tilde{x} . We can make the same argument for \tilde{y} and conclude that \tilde{y} preserves the spaces associated to the lines $P, P+\beta, P+2\beta$, as well as $P+\alpha, P+\alpha+\beta, P+\alpha+2\beta$ and $P+2\alpha, P+2\alpha+\beta, P+2\alpha+2\beta$. Note that the spaces preserved

by \tilde{x} and \tilde{y} are independent of the choice of \tilde{x}, \tilde{y} and only depend on x and y .

Lemma 6. *Fix a third root of unity ρ . After perhaps changing y to y^2 , we have $\tilde{x}\tilde{y} = \rho\tilde{y}\tilde{x}$. The action of \tilde{y} permutes the eigenspaces of x and vice versa. If V_1, V_2, V_3 are the two-dimensional spaces preserved by \tilde{x} and W_1, W_2, W_3 the same for \tilde{y} , then points of I correspond to the nine intersections $V_i \cap W_j$. S acts transitively on I .*

Proof. This is well known and appears in [4] p. 131. We include a proof because the details of the proof will be useful. If \tilde{x}, \tilde{y} commuted they would have the same eigenspaces and hence the same preserved two-dimensional subspaces. This proves the first statement. The second is now clear and the third statement just says, as observed above, that each of the lines preserved by x and y share one point of I . It is immediate that S acts transitively. \square

Thus associated to I is a subgroup $S \subset PGL_3(\bar{F})$ where S is the image of $\tilde{S} \subset GL_3(\bar{F})$ and \tilde{S} is generated by \tilde{x}, \tilde{y} with $\tilde{x}^3 = 1, \tilde{y}^3 = 1$, and $\tilde{x}\tilde{y} = \rho\tilde{y}\tilde{x}$. All such \tilde{S} are conjugate in $GL_3(\bar{F})$, and so all such S are conjugate in $PGL_3(\bar{F})$.

The first consequence of Lemma 6 is that S has a pairing

$$S \times S \rightarrow \langle \rho \rangle$$

defined by the map $(x, y) \rightarrow \rho^i$ where x, y lift to $\tilde{x}, \tilde{y} \in GL_3$ and $\tilde{x}\tilde{y} = \rho^i\tilde{y}\tilde{x}$. It follows that the preimage of S in $GL_3(\bar{F})$ contains the Heisenberg group of order 27. That is, if we choose \tilde{S} to be generated by preimages \tilde{x}, \tilde{y} with $1 = \tilde{x}^3 = \tilde{y}^3$, then \tilde{S} is the Heisenberg group.

The identification of S with $E(I)$ via their action on I therefore amounts to an isomorphism $\psi : S \rightarrow E(I)$ where $\psi(s)$ is the equivalence class containing $(s(P), P)$. We have:

Lemma 7. *ψ is well defined and is a group isomorphism. Moreover, as a subset of PGL_3 , S induces the trivial action on $E(I)$.*

A consequence of Lemma 7 is that $E(I)$ has a pairing inherited from S . We quote a result from [3, Chapter 3].

Theorem 1. *The stabilizer of I in $\mathrm{PGL}_3(\bar{F})$ has the form*

$$S \rtimes SL_2(F_3).$$

To understand the above theorem set $\mathrm{Aff}(I)$ to be the group of bijections of I which preserve colinearity, and we view $E(I) \subset \mathrm{Aff}(I)$ via the action of $E(I)$ on I , which preserves colinearity by Lemma 4.

Lemma 8. *There is an exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow E(I) \rightarrow \mathrm{Aff}(I) \rightarrow GL_2(F_3) \rightarrow 0$$

where $\mathrm{Aff}(I) \rightarrow GL_2(F_3)$ is induced by taking the action on $E(I)$.

Proof. Let $\phi : I \rightarrow I$ be a bijection that preserves the colinearity relationship. Then ϕ induces an automorphism (i.e., element of $GL_2(F_3)$) of $E(I)$. This defines the morphism above. If ϕ is the identity on $E(I)$, then $(P, Q) \sim (\phi(P), \phi(Q))$ for all P, Q so $(\phi(P), P) \sim (\phi(Q), Q)$ and there is an $\alpha \in E(I)$ containing all $(\phi(P), P)$. Thus $\phi(P) = P + \alpha$. Finally, if $A \in GL_2(F_3)$ and $P \in I$ we define $A_P : I \rightarrow I$ by letting $A_P(Q)$ be the element of I such that $(A_P(Q), P) \in A(\{(Q, P)\})$. By the lemma above A_P preserves colinearity and shows that there is a splitting $GL_2(F_3) \rightarrow \mathrm{Aff}(I)$ for every choice of P . In particular the map is onto. As we saw in Lemma 4, the action of $E(I)$ on I induces the trivial action on $E(I)$. \square

Soon we will also need to consider $\mathrm{SAff}(I) \subset \mathrm{Aff}(I)$ which is the preimage of $SL_2(F_3)$.

It will be useful to notice that the action of $\mathrm{Aff}(I)$ on I induces a canonical element of $\gamma \in H^1(\mathrm{Aff}(I), E(I))$. Define $d : \mathrm{Aff}(I) \rightarrow E(I)$ by setting $d(g) = \{(g(P), P)\}$ for a choice of P . Then for $g, h \in \mathrm{Aff}(I)$, $d(gh) = \{(gh(P), P)\} = \{(gh(P), g(P))\} + \{(g(P), P)\} =$

$g(\{(h(P), P)\} + \{(g(P), P)\}) = g(d(h)) + d(g)$ and thus d is a 1 cocycle. If we define $d'(g) = \{(g(Q), Q)\}$ then $d(g) - d'(g) = g(\{(P, Q)\}) - \{(P, Q)\}$ and d and d' are cohomologous and any choice of P yields the same cohomology class we call γ . If we restrict d to $E(I)$ then d is the identity, viewed as an element of $\text{Hom}(E(I), E(I)) = H^1(E(I), E(I))$. Of course if γ_S is the restriction of γ to $\text{SAff}(I)$, then γ_S also restricts to the identity on $E(I)$.

Proposition 1. $\gamma \in H^1(\text{Aff}(I), E(I))$ is the unique element which restricts to the identity of $H^1(E(I), E(I)) = \text{Hom}(E(I), E(I))$. The same holds for $\gamma_S \in H^1(\text{SAff}(I), E(I))$.

Proof. Let $G = GL_2(F_3)$ or $SL_2(F_3)$ and $H = \text{Aff}(I)$ or $\text{SAff}(I)$ so $H \rightarrow G$ has kernel $E(I)$. The Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence shows that there is an exact sequence $H^1(G, E(I)) \rightarrow H^1(H, E(I)) \rightarrow H^1(E(I), E(I))$ and so it suffices to show that $H^1(G, E(I)) = H^1(G, F_3 \oplus F_3) = 0$. Since $SL_2(F_3)$ has index 2 in $GL_2(F_3)$, it suffices to show $H^1(SL_2(F_3), E(I)) = 0$. As a finite group, one can calculate that $SL_2(F_3) = Q \rtimes F_3^+$ where Q is the quaternion group of order 8. Since $H^1(Q, F_3 \oplus F_3) = 0$ and $(F_3 \oplus F_3)^Q = 0$, we are done by another use of Hochschild-Serre. \square

Now let $H \subset \text{PGL}_3(\bar{F})$ be the stabilizer of I . We have a homomorphism $\eta : H \rightarrow \text{Aff}(I)$. Furthermore, any $\phi \in \text{PGL}_3(\bar{F})$ which is the identity on I must be trivial. Thus η is an injection. $S \subset H$ acts trivially on I and hence $\eta(S) = E(I)$. We saw above that $E(I)$ has a pairing which must be preserved by H and so $\eta(H) \subset \text{SAff}(I)$. The above theorem amounts to saying that $\eta(H) = \text{SAff}(I)$.

If S^+ is the stabilizer of \bar{C} , then it must stabilize I and hence $S^+ \subset H$ while $S \subset S^+$ is precisely the kernel of the action of S^+ on $E(I)$.

Let \mathcal{I} be the set of nine point subsets of \mathbb{P}^2 which are the inflections points of smooth cubic \bar{C} . Let \mathcal{S} be the set of nine element subgroups

of $PGL_3(\bar{F})$ where any $S \in \mathcal{S}$ is the image of $\tilde{S} \subset GL_3(\bar{F})$ and \tilde{S} is generated by \tilde{x}, \tilde{y} with $\tilde{x}^3 = 1, \tilde{y}^3 = 1,$ and $\tilde{x}\tilde{y} = \rho\tilde{y}\tilde{x}.$

Theorem 2. *The above discussion yields a one to one correspondence between \mathcal{I} and $\mathcal{S}.$*

Proof. We saw above that S determines $I,$ once we observe that the description there is independent of the basis of S chosen. Since all the elements of \mathcal{S} are conjugate, the subset I must be the inflection points of some smooth cubic $\bar{C}.$ For the converse, I determines its stabilizer $H,$ and S is the kernel of the action of H on $E(I).$ \square

Note that if \bar{G} is the Galois group of $\bar{F}/F,$ then the above correspondence clearly commutes with the action of $\bar{G}.$

It follows from Theorem 2 that if $\phi \in PGL_3(\bar{F}),$ then $\phi S \phi^{-1} = S$ if and only if $\phi(I) = I.$ We have

Theorem 3. *H is the normalizer of S in $PGL_3(\bar{F}).$*

We can add to our understanding of Theorem 1 as follows. We saw above that if ϕ normalizes S then $\phi(I) = I$ and so ϕ maps to $\text{SAff}(I).$ Conversely suppose if $a, b, c, d \in F_3$ are such that $ad - bc = 1.$ Write $x_1 = \tilde{x}^a \tilde{y}^b, \tilde{y}_1 = \tilde{x}^c \tilde{y}^d$ and note that $\tilde{x}_1^3 = \tilde{y}_1^3 = 1$ and $\tilde{x}_1 \tilde{y}_1 = \rho \tilde{y}_1 \tilde{x}_1.$ Thus setting $\tilde{x} \rightarrow \tilde{x}_1$ and $\tilde{y} \rightarrow \tilde{y}_1$ defines an automorphism of $M_3(\bar{F})$ and ϕ exists by Noether-Skolem. Thus H maps onto $\text{SAff}(I).$

For a given $I,$ we can look at all the curves \bar{C} which contain $I.$ It is classically known that this forms a line L_I in \mathbb{P}^9 and all j invariants appear somewhere on a point of this line. It is instructive to outline how we know this. First of all, let $\tilde{N} \subset GL_3(\bar{F})$ be in the preimage of $H = N(S).$ Let $S = \langle x \rangle \oplus \langle y \rangle$ and let $x', y' \in \tilde{N}$ be preimages with $x'^3 = 1 = y'^3.$ If $\tilde{S} \subset \tilde{N}$ is the group generated by x', y' then \tilde{S} is the Heisenberg group and $\tilde{S}\bar{F}^*$ is the full preimage of $S.$ Thus $\tilde{N}/\tilde{S}\bar{F}^* = N(S)/S = \text{SL}_2(F_3).$ Let $M \subset \tilde{H}$ be the abelian group generated by x' and $\rho.$

Of course, \bar{F}^3 is a module over \tilde{N} . Obviously one could describe this module but we do not need this. It suffices to note that as a \tilde{S} module it is $\text{Ind}_M^{\tilde{S}} L$ where M acts on $L = \bar{F}^1 v$ by setting $x'(v) = \rho v = \rho(v)$. That is, $V = \bar{F}^3$ has a basis $\{v_0, v_1, v_2\}$ where $\bar{F}v_i$ is an x' eigenspace with eigenvalue ρ^i and y permutes the v_i . Let V^* be the dual of V with dual basis v_0^*, v_1^*, v_2^* . Of course $S^3(V^*)$ is spanned by the degree-three monomials in the v_i^* . Moreover, the inverse image of I in V are the nine lines L_{ij} where L_{ij} is the simultaneous zero of v_i^* and $w_j^* = v_0^* + \rho^j v_1^* + \rho^{2j} v_2^*$ which is the eigenvector for y .

Of course ρ acts trivially on $S^3(V^*)$, and the \tilde{S} fixed subspace is spanned by $v_0^* v_1^* v_2^*$ and $(v_0^*)^3 + (v_1^*)^3 + (v_2^*)^3$. Thus the projective space of C 's fixed by \tilde{S} is a projective line. This is the classical Hessian pencil and associated Hessian normal form.

Having made this computation, we are ready to prove:

Theorem 4. *Suppose $S \subset PGL_3(\bar{F})$ is associated with $I \subset \mathbb{P}^2$. Then a cubic curve \bar{C} contains I if and only if S fixes \bar{C} or equivalently that \tilde{S} fixes \bar{C} , where \tilde{S} is the Heisenberg group in the preimage of S in $GL_3(\bar{F})$.*

Proof. If \tilde{S} fixes \bar{C} , then \bar{C} is in the span of $v_0^* v_1^* v_2^*$ and $(v_0^*)^3 + (v_1^*)^3 + (v_2^*)^3$. We need to show that any such \bar{C} is in the ideal (v_i^*, w_j^*) for any i and j . This implies that $I \subset \bar{C}$. We compute that $w_0^* v_1^* w_2^* = (v_0^*)^3 + (v_1^*)^3 + (v_2^*)^3 + (-3)(v_0^* v_1^* v_2^*)$ and this direction is obvious.

Conversely, suppose $I \subset \bar{C}$. We need to show that \tilde{S} fixes \bar{C} . Note that any zero set of an f contains I if and only if $f \in \cap_{i,j} (v_i^*, w_j^*) = J$. Clearly J and hence $J \cap S^3(V^*)$ is preserved by \tilde{H} . It thus suffices to show that no eigenvector for \tilde{H} , with nontrivial eigenvalue, is in J . We can check this one by one. $(v_0^*)^3 + \rho(v_1^*)^3 + \rho^2(v_2^*)^3$ is clearly not in (v_0^*, w_0^*) and the same holds for $(v_0^*)^3 + \rho^2(v_1^*)^3 + \rho(v_2^*)^3$. The \tilde{H} span of $(v_1^*)^3 v_2^*$ has basis this vector and $(v_2^*)^2 v_0, (v_0^*)^2 v_1$. Any eigenvector has a nonzero $(v_1^*)^3 v_2^*$ term and since $(v_1^*)^3 v_2^* \notin (v_0^*, w_0^*)$ it follows that

this eigenvector is not in I . The same argument works for the \tilde{S} span of $(v_1^*)^2 v_0^*$. \square

We defined L_I to the line of \bar{C} 's which contain I or equivalently which are fixed by S . It follows that the normalizer, $N(S)$, of S in $PGL_3(\bar{F})$ acts on $L(I)$. Since all the S 's and I 's are conjugate, any $PGL_3(\bar{F})$ orbit intersects L_I . If $\bar{C}, \bar{C}' \in L_I \cap O$ and $\bar{C}' = g(\bar{C})$ then both these cubics have stabilizer containing S . Thus $g \in N(S)$. The quotient of L_I by $N(S)$ is a \mathbb{P}^1 , and the associated map $L_I \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ can be viewed as taking any curve \bar{C} to its j invariant. A generic element of L_I , specifically one with $j \neq 0, 1728$, has stabilizer S^+ where S^+/S has order 2. Thus $L_I \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ is generically a Galois cover with group $N(S)/S^+ \cong SL_2(F_3)/\pm 1$ which has order 12. However we need to be more careful. Assume first that \bar{C} has $j \neq 0, 1728$. While there are 12 cubic curves $\bar{C} \supset I$, there are 24 associated maps $\iota : I \rightarrow \bar{C}$ and $b : \bar{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2(\bar{F})$, because if $s \in S^+$, we can replace ι by $s\iota$ and b by bs^{-1} , preserving $b\iota$ which is the fixed embedding of I . Of course when $j = 0$ there are 4 curves \bar{C} and when $j = 1728$ there are 6 such curves \bar{C} , but there are always 24 embeddings and 24 maps $\iota : I \rightarrow \bar{C}$. Thus with fixed embedding $I \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$, choosing an embedding $\bar{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ is also specifying an embedding $\iota : I \rightarrow \bar{C}$.

We defined $\psi : S \rightarrow E(I)$ above. Fix an embedding $I \subset \mathbb{P}_{\bar{F}}^2$. Assume $\bar{C} \supset I$. We want to extend ψ to an identification of S and $E(I)$ with the three torsion points of $E(\bar{C}) = E$, the Jacobian of the genus one curve \bar{C} . Given $\iota : I \rightarrow \bar{C}$, there is an induced $E(\iota) : E(I) \rightarrow E$ given by $(P, Q) \rightarrow P - Q$. If $S^+ \subset PGL_3(\bar{F})$ is the stabilizer of \bar{C} we remarked above that S^+/S was the automorphism group of $E(\bar{C})$ because the translation action of S on \bar{C} becomes trivial on $E(\bar{C})$. Given a fixed ι , we define $\phi_C : S \rightarrow E(\bar{C})$ as $E(\iota) \circ \psi$. That is, $\phi_C(s) = s(P) - P$ for any choice of P in I . Let $\eta : S^+/S \cong \text{Aut}(E(\bar{C}))$ be the isomorphism mentioned above.

Lemma 9. *Assume $\bar{C} \subset \mathbb{P}_{\bar{F}}^2$. Then there is an induced injective group homomorphism $\phi_C = E(\iota) \circ \psi : S \rightarrow E(I) \rightarrow E(\bar{C}) = E$. If $t \in S^+$, then $\phi_C(tst^{-1}) = \eta(t)(\phi_C(s))$. All choices of ϕ_C have the form $\phi'_C(s) = \phi_C(tst^{-1})$. In particular, if $j \neq 0, 1728$, only ϕ_C and $-\phi_C$ arise.*

Proof. All we have to show is that ϕ_C is an injective homomorphism. If $\phi_{\bar{C}}(s)$ is the identity then $s(P) = P$ for one and hence all P . We compute that $\phi_{\bar{C}}(st) = st(P) - P = st(P) - t(P) + t(P) - P = \phi_{\bar{C}}(s) + \phi_{\bar{C}}(t)$. \square

If $g \in N(S)$, then $g(\bar{C}) = \bar{C}'$ is isomorphic to \bar{C} (via g) and thus $E(C')$ and $E(C)$ can be identified using g . We have the commuting diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} I & \rightarrow & \bar{C} \\ g \downarrow & & \downarrow g \\ I & \rightarrow & g(\bar{C}). \end{array}$$

Given such a g , let $\bar{g} \in N(S)/S = SL_2(F_3)$ be the image, which defines the action of g on $E(I)$ and the action of g on S by conjugation. If $b : \bar{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2(\bar{F})$ is an embedding, then g defines an embedding $bg^{-1} : \bar{C}' = g(\bar{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2(\bar{F})$ and $g \circ \iota$ is the associated embedding $I \rightarrow \bar{C}'$.

Proposition 2. (a) $\bar{g} \circ \psi = \psi \circ \bar{g}$.

(b) *There is a choice of $\phi_{g(\bar{C})}$ such that $\phi_{g(\bar{C})} = \phi_C \circ \bar{g}$.*

(c) *Suppose \bar{C} and \bar{C}' are curves with isomorphic Jacobians which we identify via this isomorphism. Let $\phi_{\bar{C}'}$ and $\phi_{\bar{C}}$ be choices of maps, as above, $S \rightarrow E(\bar{C}) = E(\bar{C}')$. If $\phi_{\bar{C}'} = s\phi_{\bar{C}}$ for s in the automorphism group of $E(\bar{C})$ then $\bar{C}' = \bar{C}$ as subvarieties of \mathbb{P}^2 .*

(d) $\phi_C : S \rightarrow E[3]$ preserves the pairing (where $E[3]$ has the Weil pairing).

Proof. $(\psi \circ \bar{g})(s) = \{(gsg^{-1}(P), P)\} = \{(gsg^{-1}(g(P)), g(P))\} = g(\{(s(P), P)\})$ which proves a). As for b), we use the embedding $g \circ \iota : I \rightarrow \bar{C}'$ to define $\phi_{\bar{C}'}$. We have $\phi_{\bar{C}'}(s) = E(g \circ \iota)(\psi(s)) = E(g \circ \iota)(s(g^{-1}P), g^{-1}P) = E(g)(s(g^{-1}P) - g^{-1}P) = gsg^{-1}P - P = \phi_C(gsg^{-1})$.

If $E(\bar{C})$ and $E(\bar{C}')$ are isomorphic then $\bar{C} \cong \bar{C}'$. Thus there is a $g \in N(S)$ such that $g(\bar{C}) = \bar{C}'$. Since \bar{g} acts linearly on S and $E(\bar{C})$ we have $\phi_{\bar{C}} \circ \bar{g} = \bar{g} \circ \phi_{\bar{C}}$ Part c) follows because if \bar{g} maps to S^+/S then $g \in S^+$ which stabilizes \bar{C} . Part d) follows from the description of the Weil pairing in [8] p. 98. \square

4 General F

Now assume F is a general field of characteristic not 2 or 3. Let \bar{F} be the separable closure and \bar{G} the Galois group of \bar{F}/F . It will be useful to consider fields $F \subset K \subset \bar{F}$ where K/F is Galois with group G . If, say, C is a structure defined over F we let C_K mean the extension of scalars. If $C \subset \text{SB}(A)$ (and hence defined over F) then so is $E = E(C)$ and $I \subset \text{SB}(A)$, but E maybe defined over fields where C is not. It is equally true that $I \subset \text{SB}(A)$ may be defined over F and $C_K \supset I_K$ but C not defined over F . Let C above have stabilizer S^+ , and distinguished $S \subset S^+$. G acts on $K \times_F \text{SB}(A)$ and $K \times_F \text{SB}(S^3(A)) = P_K^9$, as well as on A_K^* and A_K^*/K^* . If $K = \bar{F}$ we can identify A_K with $M_3(\bar{F})$ and A_K^*/K^* with $PGL_3(\bar{F})$ and so $M_3(\bar{F}) PGL_3(\bar{F})$ has induced \bar{G} actions but not the obvious ones, but rather actions where $M_3(\bar{F})^{\bar{G}} = A$ and $(PGL_3(\bar{F}))^{\bar{G}} = A^*/F^*$. Similarly \bar{G} acts on $\mathbb{P}^2(\bar{F})$ with quotient $SB(A)$. If $\sigma \in \bar{G}$ and $g \in PGL_3(\bar{F})$ and $P \in \mathbb{P}^2(\bar{F})$ then $\sigma(g)(\sigma(P)) = \sigma(g(P))$. Recall the line bundle $\mathcal{O}(1)_{\mathbb{P}^9}$ descended to a line bundle L on $SB(A)$ and \bar{L} on $\mathbb{P}^2(\bar{F})$. Of course, the global sections of \bar{L} have a \bar{G} action whose invariants are the global sections of L . The curves we are studying are exactly the

zeroes of global sections of L .

If $C \subset SB(A)$, then G preserves I as a set. That is, I is a zero dimensional reduced subvariety of $SB(A)$. Moreover, \bar{G} preserves I as a set if and only if it preserves the associated $S \subset PGL_3(\bar{F})$ as a set (not elementwise). Since \bar{G} preserves C , it preserves S^+ , but may act nontrivially on S^+/S because \bar{G} may act nontrivially on the automorphism group of $E(C)$. Of course, if $j \neq 0, 1728$ then $S^+/S = C_2$ and \bar{G} acts trivially on this.

We fix the embedding $I \subset SB(A)$ and call it a . If a is also the induced embedding $\bar{I} \subset \mathbb{P}^2(\bar{F})$, and $\sigma \in \bar{G}$, then $\sigma \circ a = a \circ \sigma$. Since the set of lines in \mathbb{P}^2 are preserved by G , G preserves colinearity and there is an induced map $G \rightarrow \text{Aff}(I)$. If $S \subset PGL_3(\bar{F})$ is preserved by \bar{G} , then G also preserves the pairing $S \times S \rightarrow \langle \rho \rangle$ where \bar{G} might act nontrivially on $\langle \rho \rangle$.

We want to use ϕ_C to understand how G or \bar{G} acts on the set of C_K . If \bar{G} preserves I , then it permutes the set of \bar{C} 's containing I . Then \bar{G} also acts on $E(I)$ and we have defined $\psi : S \rightarrow E(I)$ independent of any \bar{C} . However, if $E(C)$ is defined over F , then G acts on $E(C)$. We prove:

Proposition 3. *Assume $I \subset SB(A)$ is such that $\bar{I} \subset \mathbb{P}^2(\bar{F})$ is a set of inflection points for a smooth degree 3 curve $\bar{C} \subset \mathbb{P}^2(\bar{F})$.*

(a) $\psi \circ \sigma = \sigma \circ \psi$

(b) *If $C \subset SB(A)$ contains I then $\sigma \phi_C \sigma^{-1} = \phi_C$*

(c) *Suppose that for all $\sigma \in \bar{G}$, $\sigma \phi_C \sigma^{-1} = \phi_C \circ t$ for some $t \in S^+/S$ as set maps. Then \bar{C} is \bar{G} invariant and defines $C \subset SB(A)$.*

Proof. $\psi \circ \sigma(s) = (\sigma s \sigma^{-1}(\sigma(P), \sigma(P))) = \sigma((s(P), P)) = \sigma \circ \psi(s)$ which proves a). As for b), the assumptions imply that $\iota : I \rightarrow \bar{C}$ is preserved by \bar{G} and b) follows from a).

Turning to c), $\sigma\iota\sigma^{-1} = \iota'$ defines an embedding $I \rightarrow \bar{C}'$ where $\bar{C}' = \sigma(\bar{C})$. If $b : \bar{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2(\bar{F})$ is this embedding, then $a = b\iota = (\sigma b\sigma^{-1}) \circ (\sigma\iota\sigma^{-1})$ and $\sigma b\sigma^{-1}$ is an embedding for C' . Moreover, $\sigma\phi_C\sigma^{-1} = E(\sigma\iota\sigma^{-1}) \circ \psi$ and so $\sigma\phi_C\sigma^{-1} = t\phi_{C'}$ for some $t \in S^+/S$. Thus $C' = C$. That is, \bar{G} preserves \bar{C} as a set. If $q \in \bar{L}$ has zeroes the set \bar{C} , then \bar{G} preserves $\bar{F}q$ and hence, by Hilbert 90, there is a $q \in L$ whose zeroes $C \subset SB(A)$ define \bar{C} over F . \square

We can now state and prove our main theorem.

Theorem 5. *Let E be an elliptic curve over F . Let A/F be a degree-three algebra. Let K/F be the Galois extension with group G obtained by adjoining all the three torsion points $E[3]$ to F . There is a $C \subset SB(A)$ with $E(C) = E$ if and only if there is a subgroup $S \subset (A \otimes_F K)^*/K^*$ such that S is preserved by G , S is generated by x, y with preimage x', y' such that $x'y' = \rho y'x'$, and S is isomorphic to $E(C)[3]$ as \bar{G} modules with pairing.*

Proof. We restrict ourselves to the $PGL_3(\bar{F})$ orbit associated with E . If C is as given, then C defines $I \subset SB(A)$ and so an associated $S \subset PGL_3(\bar{F})$. By Lemma 3, $\phi_C : S \rightarrow E[3]$ is a G morphism. Note that, in particular, the elements of S are fixed by the Galois group of \bar{F}/K and so $S \subset (A \otimes_F K)^*/K^*$.

Conversely, suppose $\phi : S \rightarrow E[3]$ is a G isomorphism preserving the pairing. Let S define I and let $\bar{C} \supset I$. We would like to prove there is a $g \in N(S)$ such that $g(\bar{C})$ comes from a curve in $SB(A)$. Let $\bar{g} = \phi_C^{-1} \circ \phi \in SL_2(F_3)$ and lift \bar{g} to $g \in N(S)$. Note that \bar{g} has determinant one because ϕ preserves the pairing. Now $\phi = \phi_C \circ g = \phi_{g(C)}$ preserves the \bar{G} action so we are done by Proposition 3. \square

Assume I is defined over F . It is clear that the elements of \bar{G} act as affine transformations of I and so there is a homomorphism $\bar{G} \rightarrow \text{Aff}(I)$. Let us consider the possible images of \bar{G} in $\text{Aff}(I)$. Set

G_0 to be the image of \bar{G} in $GL_2(F_3)$. If $I \subset C$ and C is defined over F then G_0 is the Galois group of K/F where K is the field defined by adjoining all the three torsion points of $E(C)$ and so if \bar{H} is the kernel of $\bar{G} \rightarrow G_0$, then \bar{H} is the Galois group of \bar{F}/K .

If the primitive third root ρ is in F , then $G_0 \subset SL_2(F_3)$. Otherwise, the image of $G_0 \rightarrow GL_3(F_3) \rightarrow F_3^*$, the second map being the determinant, is the Galois group of $F(\rho)/F$. Other than this, we cannot restrict G_0 in any way.

Of course \bar{H} maps to $S \cong E(I)$ with image we call H_0 . If \bar{J} is the kernel of $\bar{H} \rightarrow H_0$, then $\bar{F}^{\bar{J}}$ is $K(I)$, obtained by adjoining the inflection points themselves to K . Note that since S acts by translation, adjoining one point of I over K is equivalent to adjoining them all.

Suppose I corresponds to $S \subset A_K^*/K^*$. Let $x, y \in S$ be a basis and lift to \tilde{x}, \tilde{y} . Then I consists of $L_x \cap L_y$ where $L_x \subset \bar{F}^3$ is a two dimensional space preserved by \tilde{x} , and similarly for L_y . Summing over the L_y , we have that all L_x (and L_y) are defined over $K(I)$. That is \tilde{x} and \tilde{y} have degree three equations which split. We will say, in this case, that x (and y) splits.

We can say this another way. Taking cubes defines an injective morphism $S/K^* \rightarrow K^*/(K^*)^3$. If $T \subset K^*/(K^*)^3$ is the image, we can form the field $K(T^{1/3})$ of all cube roots and let $T^{1/3} \subset K(T^{1/3})$ be the cube roots of all elements in T . By Kummer theory the Galois group of $K(T^{1/3})/K$ is $\text{Hom}(T^{1/3}/K^*, \mu_3)$. The cube and cuberoot maps compose to form a well defined homomorphism $S \rightarrow T^{1/3}/K^*$. Using the pairing on S and duality we get a homomorphism $\text{Hom}(T^{1/3}/K^*, \mu_3) \rightarrow S$ and the composition $\bar{H} \rightarrow \text{Hom}(T^{1/3}/K^*, \mu_3) \rightarrow S$ can be easily seen to be the map above.

Lemma 10. $K(I) = K(T^{1/3})$. *The Galois group of $K(I)/K$ has degree 1, 3, or 9 and if it is not 9 then $A_K \cong M_3(K)$. If $K(I)/K$ has degree 3 then no point of I is rational over K none the less.*

Proof. Over $K(T^{1/3})$ both x and y split and so I is defined over this field. Conversely, $K(T^{1/3})$ is clearly the smallest field where x splits for any $x \in S$. The Galois group of $K(I)/K$ is a subgroup of S . If $K(T^{1/3})/K$ has degree 1 or 3, then for some nontrivial $\tilde{z} = \tilde{x}^a \tilde{y}^b$ we have \tilde{z}^3 is a cube which forces the splitting of A_K . \square

Let G_1 be the image of \bar{G} in $\text{Aff}(I)$, so we have an exact sequence $1 \rightarrow H_0 \rightarrow G_1 \rightarrow G_0 \rightarrow 1$ which is induced by the split exact sequence $1 \rightarrow E(I) \rightarrow \text{Aff}(I) \rightarrow GL_2(F_3) \rightarrow 1$. In fact the first sequence also splits which is almost but not quite immediate. If H_0 is trivial there is nothing to prove, and if $H_0 \cong E(I)$ the splitting is immediate. If H_0 has order three then this extension defines an $\alpha \in H^2(G_0, H_0)$ and it also is the case that $\alpha = 0$ as follows. If nontrivial, C_3 is the 3 - Sylow subgroup of G_0 and it suffices to observe that α restricts to 0 in $H^2(C_3, H_0)$. This follows because one can check that $\text{Aff}(I)$ has no elements of order 9.

We further investigate the possibilities. In general we know that G_0 can be any subgroup of $GL_2(F_3)$, and if $H_0 = 1$ or $H_0 \cong E(I)$ there is nothing more to say. When H_0 has order 3 we can restrict G_0 further. Then \tilde{S} is generated by \tilde{x} and \tilde{y} where \tilde{y} is split and \tilde{x} is not. Clearly A_K is split. G_0 acts on H_0 via conjugation and clearly G_0 preserves the cyclic subgroup generated by y . If $\rho \in F$, this implies that $G_0 = 1$, G_0 is a subgroup of the cyclic group C_6 . If $\rho \notin F$, then G_0 is a subgroup of $G_0 = S_3 \oplus C_2$ containing the central subgroup C_2 .

We want to use Theorem 5 to describe the C that appear for a $E(C)$ given by that theorem. Recall that any such C is a principal homogeneous space over $E(C)$, and all such spaces are classified by the Galois cohomology group $H^1(\bar{G}, E(\bar{C}))$. Note that this is the correct cohomology group because $E(\bar{C})$ is the group of automorphisms of \bar{C} as a principal homogeneous space over $E(\bar{C})$.

More precisely, let \bar{C} be such a space and let P be a point on \bar{C} .

Define $e : \bar{G} \rightarrow E(\bar{C})$ by setting $e(\sigma) = \sigma(P) - P \in E(\bar{C})$. It is easy to check that e is a one cocycle. Note that if we pick another point Q on \bar{C} and use that to define $e' : \bar{G} \rightarrow E(\bar{C})$, then $e(\sigma) - e'(\sigma) = \sigma(\alpha) - \alpha$ for $\alpha = P - Q$ the element of $E(\bar{C})[3]$. Thus \bar{C} defines a class in $\gamma' \in H^1(\bar{G}, E(\bar{C}))$.

In our situation it makes sense to define the cycle using an inflection point $P \in I \subset \bar{C}$. Furthermore let $\phi : S \rightarrow E(\bar{C})[3]$ be the Galois preserving isomorphism. Note that ϕ is a ϕ_C from the previous section, so there is an embedding $I \subset \bar{C}$ such that $\phi(s) = s(P) - P$ for any choice of P . It is clear that any $\sigma \in \bar{G}$ defines an affine map on I , so we have a homomorphism $\Phi : \bar{G} \rightarrow \text{Aff}(I)$.

The composition $\bar{G} \rightarrow \text{Aff}(I) \rightarrow GL_2(F_3)$ defines the action of \bar{G} on $E(I)$ and hence $E(\bar{C})[3]$ and hence on S . Let $\gamma \in H^1(\text{Aff}(I), E(I))$ be the canonical class defined in Proposition 1 that It is clear from the above description of γ

Theorem 6. $\Phi^*(\gamma) \in H^1(\bar{G}, E(\bar{C})[3])$ maps to $\gamma' \in H^1(\bar{G}, E(\bar{C}))$ and γ' defines \bar{C} as a principal homogeneous space over $E(C)$.

The connection between \bar{C} and the algebra A is stated in [5] and briefly recalled next. Since $S \subset PGL_3(\bar{F})$ we have a composition $\eta : H^1(\text{Aff}(I), E(I)) \rightarrow H^1(\bar{G}, E(\bar{C})[3]) \rightarrow H^1(\bar{G}, PGL_3(\bar{F})) \rightarrow H^2(\bar{G}, \bar{F}^*)$ where, we recall, the last map is not a homomorphism because $H^1(\bar{G}, PGL_3(\bar{F}))$ is not a group.

Proposition 4. $\eta(\gamma) \in H^2(\bar{G}, \bar{F}^*)$ defines the Brauer class of A/F . Thus if $C \subset \text{SB}(A)$ then C is defined by the image of a $\gamma' \in H^1(\bar{G}, E(\bar{C})[3])$ which maps to the Brauer class of A/F .

Proof. We have a given action of \bar{G} on $M_3(\bar{F})$ such that the invariant ring is A and such that the quotient of the action on $\mathbb{P}^2(\bar{F})$ is $\text{SB}(A)$. If $C \subset \text{SB}(A)$ and $P \in I \subset \bar{C}$, then P defines the cocycle $e(\sigma) : \bar{G} \rightarrow E(\bar{C})[3]$. Then $e(\sigma)^{-1}\sigma$ defines a new action on $\mathbb{P}^2(\bar{F})$ which

fixes P and hence has quotient variety $\mathbb{P}^2(F)$, so new invariant ring $M_3(F)$. \square

5 Examples

Now we start giving examples, including examples where a $E(C)$ does not appear. We start with the easiest corollary of Theorem 5. Let E be an elliptic curve defined of F and $K \supset F$ be the field gotten by adjoining all the three torsion points of E . Assume $K = F$ or $K = F(\rho)$ where ρ is a third root of one. The next result is proven in [5] and [6] for the $K = F$ case and in the preprint [1] for the $K = F(\rho)$ case, by different means.

Corollary 2. *If E/F is an elliptic curve where all three torsion points are defined over $F(\rho)$, and A/F is a degree-three central simple algebra, then there is an $C \subset \text{SB}(A)$ such that $E(C) = E$.*

Proof. When $K = F$ this is immediate because A/F is cyclic. When $K = F(\rho)$ we note the following. First, if σ generates the Galois group of K/F then E has a three torsion point P such that $\sigma(P) = P$ and a three torsion point Q such that $\sigma(Q) = -Q$. If $L \supset K$ is such that L/F is dihedral, then $L = L' \otimes_F K$ where $L' = F(a^{1/3})$ and σ fixes $a^{1/3}$. If M'/F is cyclic of degree 3 and $M = M' \otimes K$ then $M = K(b^{1/3})$ where $\sigma(b^{1/3}) \in b^{-1/3}F^*$. Again, E is the Jacobian of some $C \subset \text{SB}(A)$ by 5 and the fact that A/F is cyclic. \square

Let K still be the extension field of F obtained by adjoining all the three torsion points of $E(C)$. To begin with, assume K/F is cyclic of degree three. If C exists, there is an $S \subset (A \otimes_F K)^*/K^*$ generated by images of $x', y' \in A \otimes_F K$ such that $x'y' = \rho y'x'$ and S is preserved by $G = \langle \sigma \rangle$. It follows that we can choose x', y' such that $\sigma(y') \in y'K^*$ and $\sigma(x') \in x'y'K^*$. Suppose $\sigma(y') = y'z$. Since σ has order three

on $A \otimes_F K$, we have $z\sigma(z)\sigma^2(z) = 1$ and so $z = \sigma(u)/u$ for $u \in K^*$. Replacing y' by $y'u^{-1}$ we may assume $\sigma(y') = y'$ or $y' \in A$. Now write $\sigma(x') = x'y'w$ for $w \in K^*$. Again using that σ has order three, we have $x' = \sigma^3(x') = \sigma^2(x'y'w) = \sigma(x'y'wy'\sigma(w)) = x'y'wy'\sigma(w)y'\sigma^2(w)$ or $1 = y'^3w\sigma(w)\sigma^2(w)$. That is, A has the form (a, b) where $(a, K/F)$ is trivial. Said another way, A is split by an $F(a^{1/3})$ such that $(a, K/F)$ is split.

The above condition is actually necessary and sufficient.

Proposition 5. *Let A/F , E and K/F be as above. Then there is a $C \subset \text{SB}(A)$ with Jacobian E if and only if A is split by $F(a^{1/3})$ where $(a, K/F)$ is trivial.*

Proof. We saw one direction above. Suppose A is split by $F(a^{1/3})$ and $a = N_{K/F}(w)$. Then $N_{K/F}(a/w^3) = a^3/N_{K/F}(w)^3 = 1$. Thus there is a $b' \in K$ with $\sigma(b')/b' = a/w^3$. Let B'/K be the degree-three cyclic algebra generated by x', y' where $x'^3 = a$, $y'^3 = b'$, and $x'y' = \rho y'x'$. The action of σ on K extends to B' by setting $\sigma(x') = x'$ and $\sigma(y') = y'x'w^{-1}$. Then as above $\sigma^3(y') = \sigma^2(y'x'w^{-1}) = \sigma(y'x'w^{-1}x'\sigma(w^{-1})) = y'x'w^{-1}x'\sigma(w^{-1})x'\sigma^2(w^{-1}) = y'aN_{K/F}(w^{-1}) = y'$. It follows that this extension of σ has order 3 on B' and thus the invariant algebra B is central simple of degree three over F . Since B contains x' it is split by $F(a^{1/3})$. Consider $B^\circ \otimes A$ which is also split by $F(a^{1/3})$ and hence is represented by an algebra $A' = (a, c)$ over F . Then $A \otimes_F K$ is similar to $D = B' \otimes_K (a, c) = (a, b')_K \otimes (a, c)_K \sim (a, b'c)_K$. More precisely, let $e \in F(a^{1/3}) \otimes_F F(a^{1/3})$ be the separating idempotent. Then e can be viewed as an element of D , $A_K = eDe$, and e is σ fixed. Let $x'', y'' \in (a, c)$ be the elements with $x''^3 = a$, $y''^3 = c$, and $x''y'' = \rho y''x''$. Set $\alpha = e(x' \otimes 1)e$, $\beta = e(y' \otimes y'')e$, both elements in $eDe = A_K$ (but remember e is the identity there). Clearly $\alpha^3 = a$ because e commutes with x' . For the same reason, $\alpha\beta = \rho\beta\alpha$. Also, $\sigma(\beta) = e(\sigma(y') \otimes \sigma(y''))e = e(y'x'w^{-1} \otimes y'')e = (e(y' \otimes y''))(x'w^{-1} \otimes$

1)) $e = e(y' \otimes y'')ee(x'w^{-1} \otimes 1)e = \beta\alpha w^{-1}$. If S is the image of α, β in $(A \otimes_F K)^*/K^*$ we are done. \square

As a route to a counter example (that is a case where $E(C)$ does not appear), let F be a field complete with respect to a discrete valuation ring with prime π . Suppose K/F ramifies at a prime π and A/F is a division algebra unramified at π . Then any norm of K/F has the form $u^3\pi^r$ and if r is prime to 3, $F(\pi^{r/3})$ cannot be a subfield of the unramified A . Thus if K/F , and E are as in Proposition 5, E cannot appear as an $E(C)$ for $C \subset \text{SB}(A)$. More generally, if F is a discrete valued field with prime π and the above hold over the completion F_π , then again E does not appear. For a specific example, according to Bruce Jordan, let E be the elliptic curve $y^2 + y = x^3 - x^2 - 10x - 20$. This is $X(11)$, If K/\mathbb{Q} is obtained by adjoining the three torsion points, then K/\mathbb{Q} ramifies over \mathbb{Q} only at 3, and 11 and with ramification index 3 or 6. Thus there is an $F \supset \mathbb{Q}$ such that K/F is cyclic of degree three and ramifies at a prime p extending 11. Note that F must contain ρ . Furthermore over K_p/F_p is ramified and obtained by adjoining the three torsion points of E to F_p . Now the p -adic fields have no unramified division algebras but if we adjoin an indeterminate we can create one. The degree-three algebra $A = (K_p(t)/F_p(t), t)$ over $F_p(t)$ is unramified and unsplit at p . It follows that E does not appear as $E(C)$ for a $C \subset \text{SB}(A)$.

One might hope that when K/F is more general, there might be a result similar to Proposition 17, that is a criterion with a cohomological flavor. The next result makes clear there can be an obstruction of a more arithmetic nature, involving the non-appearance of the dihedral group of order 6 as a Galois group.

Corollary 3. *Suppose E/F is an elliptic curve and K/F is the field obtained by adjoining all the three torsion points of E . Assume K/F is cyclic of order 2 and F contains ρ . Let D/F be a division algebra*

of degree three. Then there is a $C \subset \text{SB}(D)$ with $E(C) = E$ if and only if there is a field L/K splitting D such that L/F is Galois with group S_3 , the dihedral group of order 6.

Proof. Let σ generate the Galois group of K/F . Assume $S \subset (D \otimes K)^*/K^*$ exists as in Theorem 5. Then σ acts as -1 on S . If L/K is any of the cyclic field extensions coming from S , then L/F is dihedral Galois.

Conversely, suppose such an L/K exists. Then $D \otimes_F K = (a, b)_K$ where $\sigma(a) = a^{-1}z^3$ for $z \in K^*$. Since D is fixed by σ , $\sigma(b) = b^{-1}N(u)$ where $u \in L^*$ and $N : L^* \rightarrow K^*$ is the norm map. There is a surjection $K^*/(K^*)^3 \rightarrow K^*/N(L^*)$ which is morphism of modules over the group ring $F_3[\langle \sigma \rangle]$. Let $\bar{b} \in K^*/N(L^*)$ be the image of b . Since this group ring is semisimple, there is a preimage $\tilde{b} \in K^*/(K^*)^2$ such that \tilde{b} maps to \bar{b} and $\sigma(\tilde{b}) = (\tilde{b})^{-1}$. That is, there is a $b' \in K^*$ such that b' maps to \tilde{b} which maps to \bar{b} . That is, $b' = bN(u')$ for some $u' \in L^*$ and $\sigma(b') = b'^{-1}w^3$ for some $w \in K^*$. We can write $D \otimes_F K = (a, b')_K$ and so $D \otimes_F K$ contains x, y with $x^3 = a$, $y^3 = b$, $\sigma(x) = x^{-1}z$, $\sigma(y) = y^{-1}w$ and $xy = \rho yx$. If $S \subset (D \otimes_F K)^*/K^*$ is generated by the image of x and y , then S is as needed. \square

The above result can be used in two ways to get interesting examples. Let K be a finite extension of some \mathbb{Q}_p and E an elliptic curve over K with the following properties. First, suppose p is prime to three and K contains a primitive third root of one. In addition, assume all the three torsion points of E are rational over K . It follows that there is no L/K an extension of fields such that the Galois group is S_3 . Let K'/K be a quadratic extension of fields and E'/K the corresponding quadratic twist. Then $\text{Gal}(K'/K)$ acts on the three torsion points as -1 . Let D/K be either of the degree-three division algebras. $D \otimes_K K'$ cannot have the required S because of the following. Suppose S existed, and let L/K' be a cyclic extension defined by

a rank one subgroup of S . Then L/K would have Galois group S_3 , a contradiction. Thus E' does not appear as an $E(C)$ for $C \subset \text{SB}(D)$ but E does.

The above is an example where there are two elliptic curves with the same j invariant where only one of the them appears as an $E(C)$ for $C \subset \text{SB}(D)$. For a different kind of example, assume F is a number field containing ρ and E/F is an elliptic curve such that the field, K , formed by adjoining all the three torsion is such that K/F is cyclic Galois of degree four with $\langle \sigma \rangle$ as Galois group. Note that this property is also true for all the quadratic twists of E over F . By density, there are infinitely many primes p of F such that $K_p = K \otimes_F F_p$ is a field where F_p is the completion. For all but finitely many of these primes p , for all finite $K' \supset F_p$ there is no Galois extension of fields L/K' with Galois group S_3 . Assume $D \otimes_F F_p$ is a division algebra for one of these primes p and K'_p is such that $F_p \subset K'_p \subset K_p$ and K_p/K'_p has Galois group $\langle \sigma^2 \rangle$. If $S \subset D^*/F^*$ existed as in Theorem 16, the same would be true for $(D \otimes_F K_p)^*/K_p^*$. Since σ^2 acts on S as -1 , this is a contradiction. Hence for such D and E , there are no $C \subset \text{SB}(D)$ such that $E(C)$ has the same j invariant as E .

References

- [1] Antieau, Benjamin and Auel, Asher "Explicit Descent on Elliptic Curves and Splitting Brauer Classes", preprint
- [2] Artin, Michael "Brauer–Severi Varieties" in **Brauer Groups in Ring Theory and Algebraic Geometry**, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 917, Springer Berlin-New York 1982
- [3] Dolgachev, Igor **Classical Algebraic Geometry**, Cambridge University Press, 2012

- [4] O'Neil, Catherine "Jacobians of Genus One Curves",
Mathematical Research Letters **8** (2001), 125-140
- [5] O'Neil, Catherine "The Period-Index Obstruction
for Elliptic Curves", J of Number Theory **95**, (2002)
p. 329-39
- [6] O'Neil, Catherine Erratum to: "The period-index
obstruction for elliptic curves", J. Number Theory
109 (2004), no. 2, 390.
- [7] Saltman, David J **Lectures on Division Algebras**,
CBMS conference series 94, 1999 p. 33
- [8] Silverman, Joseph H. **The Arithmetic of Elliptic Curves, 2nd Ed.**,
Springer-Verlag Dordrecht Heidelberg London New York 2009
- [9] Várilly-Alvarado, Anthony and Viray, Bianca
"Smooth Compactifications of Certain Normic Bundles",
European Journal of Math. (2015) 1 p. 250-259