

A few more extensions of Putinar's Positivstellensatz to non-compact sets

Paula Escorciolo*

Daniel Perrucci*

Departamento de Matemática, FCEN, Universidad de Buenos Aires, Argentina

IMAS, CONICET-UBA, Argentina

Abstract

We extend previous results about Putinar's Positivstellensatz for cylinders of type $S \times \mathbb{R}$ to sets of type $S \times \mathbb{R}^r$ in some special cases taking into account r and the degree of the polynomial with respect to the variables moving in \mathbb{R}^r (this is to say, in the non-bounded directions). These special cases are in correspondence with the ones where the equality between the cone of non-negative polynomials and the cone of sums of squares holds. Degree bounds are provided.

1 Introduction

One of the most important results in the theory of sums of squares and certificates of non-negativity is Putinar's Positivstellensatz ([14]). This theorem states that given $g_1, \dots, g_s \in \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}] = \mathbb{R}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ such that the quadratic module generated by g_1, \dots, g_s ,

$$M(g_1, \dots, g_s) = \left\{ \sigma_0 + \sigma_1 g_1 + \dots + \sigma_s g_s \mid \sigma_0, \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_s \in \sum \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]^2 \right\} \subset \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]$$

is archimedean, every $f \in \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]$ positive on

$$S = \{ \bar{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid g_1(\bar{x}) \geq 0, \dots, g_s(\bar{x}) \geq 0 \}$$

belongs to $M(g_1, \dots, g_s)$. An explicit expression of f as a member of $M(g_1, \dots, g_s)$ is a certificate of the non-negativity of f on S . Note that the condition of archimedeanity on $M(g_1, \dots, g_s)$ implies that S is compact (see for instance [9, Chapter 5] for the definition and equivalences of archimedeanity).

It is of interest to look for a bound for the degrees of all the different terms in the representation of f as an element of $M(g_1, \dots, g_s)$ which existence is ensured by Putinar's Positivstellensatz. An answer to this question was given by Nie and Schweighofer ([11, Theorem 6]). In the particular case where S is the hypercube $[0, 1]^n$, improved bounds were given in [3] and [8].

*Partially supported by the Argentinian grants UBACYT 20020190100116BA and PIP 11220130100527CO CONICET.

MSC Classification: 12D15, 13J30, 14P10.

Keywords: Putinar's Positivstellensatz, Sums of squares, Degree bounds.

Consider the norm $\|\cdot\|$ in $\mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]$ defined as follows.

$$\text{For } f = \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n \\ |\alpha| \leq d}} \binom{|\alpha|}{\alpha} a_\alpha \bar{X}^\alpha, \quad \|f\| = \max\{|a_\alpha| \mid \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n, |\alpha| \leq d\}$$

where for $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$, $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n$ and $\binom{|\alpha|}{\alpha} = \frac{|\alpha|!}{\alpha_1! \dots \alpha_n!} \in \mathbb{N}$. Then [11, Theorem 6] is the following result.

Theorem 1 (Putinar's Positivstellensatz with degree bound) *Let $g_1, \dots, g_s \in \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]$ such that*

$$\emptyset \neq S = \{\bar{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid g_1(\bar{x}) \geq 0, \dots, g_s(\bar{x}) \geq 0\} \subset (-1, 1)^n,$$

and the quadratic module $M(g_1, \dots, g_s)$ is archimedean. There exists a positive constant c such that for every $f \in \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]$ positive on S , if $\deg f = d$ and $\min\{f(\bar{x}) \mid \bar{x} \in S\} = f^ > 0$, then f can be written as*

$$f = \sigma_0 + \sigma_1 g_1 + \dots + \sigma_s g_s \in M(g_1, \dots, g_s)$$

with $\sigma_0, \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_s \in \sum \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]^2$ and

$$\deg(\sigma_0), \deg(\sigma_1 g_1), \dots, \deg(\sigma_s g_s) \leq c e^{\left(\frac{\|f\| d^2 n^d}{f^*}\right)^c}.$$

A natural question is if it is possible to relax the archimedeanity hypothesis and to extend Putinar's Positivstellensatz to cases where S is non-compact. Even though it is well-known that this is not possible in full generality, results in this direction were given in [6], [7], [10] and [5]. We introduce the notation and definitions needed to state our main result from [5].

We note

$$C = \{(y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid y^2 + z^2 = 1\}.$$

For

$$f = \sum_{0 \leq i \leq m} f_i(\bar{X}) Y^i \in \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}, Y]$$

with $\deg_Y f = m$, we note

$$\bar{f} = \sum_{0 \leq i \leq m} f_i(\bar{X}) Y^i Z^{m-i} \in \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}, Y, Z]$$

its homogeneization only with respect to the variable Y . Such an f is said to be *fully m -ic* on S if for every $\bar{x} \in S$, $f_m(\bar{x}) \neq 0$. We define the norm $\|\cdot\|_\bullet$ on $\mathbb{R}[\bar{X}, Y]$ as follows.

$$\text{For } f = \sum_{0 \leq i \leq m} \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n \\ |\alpha| \leq d}} \binom{|\alpha|}{\alpha} a_{\alpha, i} \bar{X}^\alpha Y^i, \quad \|f\|_\bullet = \max\{|a_{\alpha, i}| \mid 0 \leq i \leq m, \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n, |\alpha| \leq d\}.$$

Note that in this norm, the variable Y is not considered in the same way than the variables \bar{X} . Finally, for $g_1, \dots, g_s \in \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]$, we note

$$M_{\mathbb{R}[\bar{X}, Y]}(g_1, \dots, g_s) = \left\{ \sigma_0 + \sigma_1 g_1 + \dots + \sigma_s g_s \mid \sigma_0, \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_s \in \sum \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}, Y]^2 \right\} \subset \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}, Y]$$

the quadratic module generated by g_1, \dots, g_s in $\mathbb{R}[\bar{X}, Y]$, while the notation $M(g_1, \dots, g_s)$ is kept for the quadratic module generated by g_1, \dots, g_s in $\mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]$.

In [5, Theorem 7], we prove an extension of Putinar's Positivstellensatz to cylinders of type $S \times \mathbb{R}$ which is the following result.

Theorem 2 *Let $g_1, \dots, g_s \in \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]$ such that*

$$\emptyset \neq S = \{\bar{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid g_1(\bar{x}) \geq 0, \dots, g_s(\bar{x}) \geq 0\} \subset (-1, 1)^n$$

and the quadratic module $M(g_1, \dots, g_s) \subset \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]$ is archimedean. There exists a positive constant c such that for every $f \in \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}, Y]$ positive on $S \times \mathbb{R}$, if $\deg_{\bar{X}} f = d$, $\deg_Y f = m$ with f fully m -ic on S and

$$\min\{\bar{f}(\bar{x}, y, z) \mid \bar{x} \in S, (y, z) \in C\} = f^\bullet > 0,$$

then f can be written as

$$f = \sigma_0 + \sigma_1 g_1 + \dots + \sigma_s g_s \in M_{\mathbb{R}[\bar{X}, Y]}(g_1, \dots, g_s)$$

with $\sigma_0, \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_s \in \sum \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}, Y]^2$ and

$$\deg(\sigma_0), \deg(\sigma_1 g_1), \dots, \deg(\sigma_s g_s) \leq c(m+1)2^{\frac{m}{2}} e^{\left(\frac{\|f\|_\bullet (m+1)d^2 (3n)^d}{f^\bullet}\right)^c}.$$

The condition of f being fully m -ic was defined originally in [12]. Under this assumption, in [12, Theorem 3] Powers obtains an extension of Schmüdgen's Positivstellensatz ([15]) to cylinders of type $S \times F$ with $S \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ a compact semialgebraic set and $F \subset \mathbb{R}$ an unbounded closed semialgebraic set. Indeed, the general idea to prove [5, Theorem 7] is the same as in [12, Theorem 3], which is to consider the variable Y as a parameter and to obtain for each specialization of $Y = y \in F$ a certificate of the non-negativity of $f(\bar{X}, y)$ on S , in a uniform way such that all these certificates can be glued together to obtain the desired representation for $f(\bar{X}, Y)$.

Both in [12, Theorem 3] (in the case $F = \mathbb{R}$) and in [5, Theorem 7], in the gluing process, it is used that every univariate polynomial non-negative on \mathbb{R} is a sum of squares in $\mathbb{R}[Y]$. This suggests that the same approach can also be used in every other possible situation where the equality between the cone of non-negative polynomials and the cone of sums of squares holds, which are known to be the case of bivariate polynomials of degree 4 and the case of multivariate polynomials of degree 2 (see for instance [1, Chapter 6]). Indeed, if separate sets of variables are considered, then the equality also holds in the particular case of polynomials in two sets of variables, the first set consisting in a single variable, and the degree of the polynomial with respect to the second set of variables equal to 2 (see for instance [4] or [2, Section 7]).

We extend the notation introduced before. For $r \in \mathbb{N}$, we note $\bar{Y} = (Y_1, \dots, Y_r)$ and

$$C^r = \{(\bar{y}, z) \in \mathbb{R}^{r+1} \mid y_1^2 + \dots + y_r^2 + z^2 = 1\}.$$

Also, for even $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and

$$f = \sum_{\substack{\beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^r \\ |\beta| \leq m}} f_\beta(\bar{X}) \bar{Y}^\beta \in \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}, \bar{Y}]$$

with $\deg_{\bar{Y}} f = m$, we note

$$\bar{f} = \sum_{\substack{\beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^r \\ |\beta| \leq m}} f_\beta(\bar{X}) \bar{Y}^\beta Z^{m-|\beta|} \in \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}, \bar{Y}, Z]$$

its homogeneization only with respect to the variables \bar{Y} . For such an f , we say that f satisfies the condition (\dagger) on S if for every $\bar{x} \in S$,

$$\sum_{\substack{\beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^r \\ |\beta|=m}} f_\beta(\bar{x}) \bar{Y}^\beta \text{ is a positive definite } m\text{-form in } \mathbb{R}^r.$$

Note that if f is positive in $S \times \mathbb{R}^r$ and satisfies condition (\dagger) on S , then \bar{f} is positive on $S \times C^r$.

We extend the definition of the norm $\|\cdot\|_\bullet$ to $\mathbb{R}[\bar{X}, \bar{Y}]$ as follows.

$$\text{For } f = \sum_{\substack{\beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^r \\ |\beta| \leq m}} \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n \\ |\alpha| \leq d}} \binom{|\alpha|}{\alpha} a_{\alpha, \beta} \bar{X}^\alpha \bar{Y}^\beta, \quad \|f\|_\bullet = \max\{|a_{\alpha, \beta}| \mid \beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^r, |\beta| \leq m, \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n, |\alpha| \leq d\}.$$

Finally, for $g_1, \dots, g_s \in \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]$, we note

$$M_{\mathbb{R}[\bar{X}, \bar{Y}]}(g_1, \dots, g_s) = \left\{ \sigma_0 + \sigma_1 g_1 + \dots + \sigma_s g_s \mid \sigma_0, \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_s \in \sum \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}, \bar{Y}]^2 \right\} \subset \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}, \bar{Y}]$$

the quadratic module generated by g_1, \dots, g_s in $\mathbb{R}[\bar{X}, \bar{Y}]$.

Both the norm $\|\cdot\|_\bullet$ and the quadratic module $M_{\mathbb{R}[\bar{X}, \bar{Y}]}$ will be used later on in this paper with many different vectors of variables \bar{Y} , but always distinguishing the same vector of variables \bar{X} .

In this setting, Theorem 2 ([5, Theorem 7]) is the extension of Putinar's Positivstellensatz corresponding to the case $r = 1$. We present below the two new extensions corresponding to the cases $r = 2, m = 4$ and $m = 2$.

Theorem 3 *Let $g_1, \dots, g_s \in \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]$ such that*

$$\emptyset \neq S = \{\bar{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid g_1(\bar{x}) \geq 0, \dots, g_s(\bar{x}) \geq 0\} \subset (-1, 1)^n$$

and the quadratic module $M(g_1, \dots, g_s) \subset \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]$ is archimedean. There exists a positive constant c such that for every $f \in \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}, Y_1, Y_2]$ positive on $S \times \mathbb{R}^2$, if $\deg_{\bar{X}} f = d$, $\deg_{(Y_1, Y_2)} f = 4$, f satisfies condition (\dagger) on S and

$$\min \{ \bar{f}(\bar{x}, y_1, y_2, z) \mid \bar{x} \in S, (y_1, y_2, z) \in C^2 \} = f^\bullet > 0,$$

then f can be written as

$$f = \sigma_0 + \sigma_1 g_1 + \dots + \sigma_s g_s \in M_{\mathbb{R}[\bar{X}, Y_1, Y_2]}(g_1, \dots, g_s)$$

with $\sigma_0, \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_s \in \sum \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}, Y_1, Y_2]^2$ and

$$\deg(\sigma_0), \deg(\sigma_1 g_1), \dots, \deg(\sigma_s g_s) \leq c e^{\left(\frac{\|f\|_\bullet d^2 (3n)^d}{f^\bullet} \right)^c}.$$

Theorem 4 Let $g_1, \dots, g_s \in \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]$ such that

$$\emptyset \neq S = \{\bar{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid g_1(\bar{x}) \geq 0, \dots, g_s(\bar{x}) \geq 0\} \subset (-1, 1)^n$$

and the quadratic module $M(g_1, \dots, g_s) \subset \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]$ is archimedean. There exists a positive constant c such that for every $f \in \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}, \bar{Y}]$ positive on $S \times \mathbb{R}^r$, if $\deg_{\bar{X}} f = d$, $\deg_{\bar{Y}} f = 2$, f satisfies condition (\dagger) on S and

$$\min \{\bar{f}(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, z) \mid \bar{x} \in S, (\bar{y}, z) \in C^r\} = f^\bullet > 0,$$

then f can be written as

$$f = \sigma_0 + \sigma_1 g_1 + \dots + \sigma_s g_s \in M_{\mathbb{R}[\bar{X}, \bar{Y}]}(g_1, \dots, g_s)$$

with $\sigma_0, \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_s \in \sum \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}, \bar{Y}]^2$ and

$$\deg(\sigma_0), \deg(\sigma_1 g_1), \dots, \deg(\sigma_s g_s) \leq cr^2 e^{\left(\frac{\|f\| \bullet r^2 d^2 (3n)^d}{f^\bullet} \right)^c}.$$

Finally, we introduce the notation we need to state the extension corresponding to the case of two separate sets of variables. For $r \in \mathbb{N}$, we note $\bar{Y}_2 = (Y_{21}, \dots, Y_{2r})$. Also, for even $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and

$$f = \sum_{0 \leq i \leq m} \sum_{\substack{\beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^r \\ |\beta| \leq 2}} f_{i,\beta}(\bar{X}) Y_1^i \bar{Y}_2^\beta \in \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}, Y_1, \bar{Y}_2]$$

with $\deg_{Y_1} f = m$ and $\deg_{\bar{Y}_2} f = 2$, we note

$$\bar{f} = \sum_{0 \leq i \leq m} \sum_{\substack{\beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^r \\ |\beta| \leq 2}} f_{i,\beta}(\bar{X}) Y_1^i Z_1^{m-i} \bar{Y}_2^\beta Z_2^{2-|\beta|} \in \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}, Y_1, Z_1, \bar{Y}_2, Z_2]$$

its bihomogeneization only with respect to the variables Y_1 and \bar{Y}_2 , separately. The additional assumption playing the role of condition (\dagger) in this case is the following one. We say that f satisfies the condition (\ddagger) on S if for every $\bar{x} \in S$,

- i) $\sum_{\substack{\beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^r \\ |\beta| \leq 2}} f_{m,\beta}(\bar{x}) \bar{Y}_2^\beta$ is positive in \mathbb{R}^r ,
- ii) $\sum_{\substack{\beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^r \\ |\beta|=2}} f_{m,\beta}(\bar{x}) \bar{Y}_2^\beta$ is a positive definite quadratic form in \mathbb{R}^r ,
- iii) for every $y_1 \in \mathbb{R}$, $\sum_{0 \leq i \leq m} \sum_{\substack{\beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^r \\ |\beta|=2}} f_{i,\beta}(\bar{x}) y_1^i \bar{Y}_2^\beta$ is a positive definite quadratic form in \mathbb{R}^r .

The definition of (\ddagger) is made in such a way that if f is positive in $S \times \mathbb{R}^{r+1}$ and satisfies condition (\ddagger) on S , then \bar{f} is positive on $S \times C \times C^r$.

The extension of Putinar's Positivstellensatz in this final case is the following result.

Theorem 5 Let $g_1, \dots, g_s \in \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]$ such that

$$\emptyset \neq S = \{\bar{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid g_1(\bar{x}) \geq 0, \dots, g_s(\bar{x}) \geq 0\} \subset (-1, 1)^n$$

and the quadratic module $M(g_1, \dots, g_s) \subset \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]$ is archimedean. There exists a positive constant c such that for every $f \in \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}, Y_1, \bar{Y}_2]$ positive on $S \times \mathbb{R}^{r+1}$, if $\deg_{\bar{X}} f = d$, $\deg_{Y_1} f = m$, $\deg_{\bar{Y}} f = 2$, f satisfies condition (\ddagger) on S and

$$\min \left\{ \bar{f}(\bar{x}, y_1, z_1, \bar{y}_2, z_2) \mid \bar{x} \in S, (y_1, z_1) \in C, (\bar{y}_2, z_2) \in C^r \right\} = f^\bullet > 0,$$

then f can be written as

$$f = \sigma_0 + \sigma_1 g_1 + \dots + \sigma_s g_s \in M_{\mathbb{R}[\bar{X}, Y_1, \bar{Y}_2]}(g_1, \dots, g_s)$$

with $\sigma_0, \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_s \in \sum \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}, Y_1, \bar{Y}_2]^2$ and

$$\deg(\sigma_0), \deg(\sigma_1 g_1), \dots, \deg(\sigma_s g_s) \leq c(m+1)2^{\frac{m}{2}} r^2 e^{\left(\frac{\|f\| \bullet (m+1) r^2 d^2 (3n)^d}{f^\bullet} \right)^c}.$$

2 Proof of the main results

The proofs of Theorems 3, 4 and 5 follow the same path than the proof of Theorem 2 ([5, Theorem 7]), which is itself mainly a combination and reorganization of techniques from [11], [12] and [16].

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we denote by $\tilde{\Delta}_n$ the simplex

$$\tilde{\Delta}_n = \left\{ \bar{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} x_i \leq 1 \text{ and } x_i \geq 0 \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq n \right\}$$

and by Δ_n the standard simplex

$$\Delta_n = \left\{ (x_0, \bar{x}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \mid \sum_{0 \leq i \leq n} x_i = 1 \text{ and } x_i \geq 0 \text{ for } 0 \leq i \leq n \right\}.$$

The following two lemmas are slight variations of [5, Lemma 16] and [11, Lemma 11] (see also [5, Lemma 17]).

Lemma 6 Let $f \in \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}, \bar{Y}]$ such that $\deg_{\bar{X}} f = d$ and $\deg_{\bar{Y}} f = m$. For every $\bar{x} \in \tilde{\Delta}_n$ and $(\bar{y}, z) \in C^r$,

$$|\bar{f}(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, z)| \leq \|f\| \bullet \binom{m+r}{r} (d+1).$$

Lemma 7 Let $f \in \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}, \bar{Y}]$ such that $\deg_{\bar{X}} f = d$ and $\deg_{\bar{Y}} f = m$. For every $\bar{x}_1, \bar{x}_2 \in \tilde{\Delta}_n$ and $(\bar{y}, z) \in C^r$,

$$|\bar{f}(\bar{x}_1, \bar{y}, z) - \bar{f}(\bar{x}_2, \bar{y}, z)| \leq \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{n} \|f\| \bullet \binom{m+r}{r} d(d+1) \|\bar{x}_1 - \bar{x}_2\|.$$

We focus on the proof of Theorem 3. Under the stronger assumption of $S \subset \tilde{\Delta}_n^\circ$, Proposition 8 below is just a slight variant of Theorem 3 with a substantially better degree bound (which unfortunately does not have good rescaling properties). Once Proposition 8 is proved, Theorem 3 simply follows by composing with a linear change of variables.

Proposition 8 *Let $g_1, \dots, g_s \in \mathbb{R}[\tilde{X}]$ such that*

$$\emptyset \neq S = \{\bar{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid g_1(\bar{x}) \geq 0, \dots, g_s(\bar{x}) \geq 0\} \subset \tilde{\Delta}_n^\circ$$

and the quadratic module $M(g_1, \dots, g_s)$ is archimedean. There exists a positive constant c such that for every $f \in \mathbb{R}[\tilde{X}, Y_1, Y_2]$ positive on $S \times \mathbb{R}^2$, if $\deg_{\tilde{X}} f = d$, $\deg_{(Y_1, Y_2)} f = 4$, f satisfies condition (\dagger) on S and

$$\min\{\bar{f}(\bar{x}, y_1, y_2, z) \mid \bar{x} \in S, (y_1, y_2, z) \in C^2\} = f^\bullet > 0,$$

then f can be written as

$$f = \sigma_0 + \sigma_1 g_1 + \dots + \sigma_s g_s \in M_{\mathbb{R}[\tilde{X}, Y_1, Y_2]}(g_1, \dots, g_s)$$

with $\sigma_0, \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_s \in \sum \mathbb{R}[\tilde{X}, Y_1, Y_2]^2$ and

$$\deg(\sigma_0), \deg(\sigma_1 g_1), \dots, \deg(\sigma_s g_s) \leq ce \left(\frac{\|f\|_\bullet d^2}{f^\bullet} \right)^c.$$

Proof: Without loss of generality we suppose $\deg g_i \geq 1$ and $|g_i| \leq 1$ in $\tilde{\Delta}_n$ for $1 \leq i \leq s$.

If $d = 0$ then $f \in \mathbb{R}[Y_1, Y_2]$ is positive on \mathbb{R}^2 , and since $\deg_{(Y_1, Y_2)} f = 4$, $f \in \sum \mathbb{R}[Y_1, Y_2]^2$ and any constant $c \geq 4$ works. So from now we suppose $d \geq 1$ and in the case that the final constant c we find turns out to be less than 4, we just replace it by the result of applying [5, Lemma 18] to the 6-uple $(4, 0, c, 0, 1, c)$.

We prove first that there exist $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that

$$h = \bar{f} - \lambda(Y_1^2 + Y_2^2 + Z^2)^2 \sum_{1 \leq i \leq s} g_i \cdot (g_i - 1)^{2k} \in \mathbb{R}[\tilde{X}, Y_1, Y_2, Z]$$

satisfies $h \geq \frac{1}{2}f^\bullet$ in $\tilde{\Delta}_n \times C^2$.

For each $(y_1, y_2, z) \in C^2$ we consider

$$A_{y_1, y_2, z} = \left\{ \bar{x} \in \tilde{\Delta}_n \mid \bar{f}(\bar{x}, y_1, y_2, z) \leq \frac{3}{4}f^\bullet \right\}.$$

Note that $A_{y_1, y_2, z} \cap S = \emptyset$. To exhibit sufficient conditions for λ and k , we consider separately the cases $\bar{x} \in \tilde{\Delta}_n \setminus A_{y_1, y_2, z}$ and $\bar{x} \in A_{y_1, y_2, z}$.

If $\bar{x} \in \tilde{\Delta}_n \setminus A_{y_1, y_2, z}$

$$\begin{aligned} h(\bar{x}, y_1, y_2, z) &= \bar{f}(\bar{x}, y_1, y_2, z) - \lambda(y_1^2 + y_2^2 + z^2)^2 \sum_{1 \leq i \leq s} g_i(\bar{x}) \cdot (g_i(\bar{x}) - 1)^{2k} \\ &\geq \bar{f}(\bar{x}, y_1, y_2, z) - \lambda \sum_{1 \leq i \leq s} |g_i(\bar{x})| \cdot (|g_i(\bar{x})| - 1)^{2k} \\ &> \frac{3}{4}f^\bullet - \frac{\lambda s}{2k+1} \end{aligned}$$

using [11, Remark 12]. Therefore the condition $h(\bar{x}, y_1, y_2, z) \geq \frac{1}{2}f^\bullet$ is ensured if

$$2k + 1 \geq \frac{4\lambda s}{f^\bullet}. \quad (1)$$

If $\bar{x} \in A_{y_1, y_2, z}$, for any $\bar{x}_0 \in S$, by Lemma 7 with $r = 2$ and $m = 4$, we have

$$\frac{f^\bullet}{4} \leq \bar{f}(\bar{x}_0, y_1, y_2, z) - \bar{f}(\bar{x}, y_1, y_2, z) \leq \frac{15}{2}\sqrt{n}\|f\|_\bullet d(d+1)\|\bar{x}_0 - \bar{x}\|,$$

then

$$\frac{f^\bullet}{30\sqrt{n}\|f\|_\bullet d(d+1)} \leq \|\bar{x}_0 - \bar{x}\|$$

and therefore

$$\frac{f^\bullet}{30\sqrt{n}\|f\|_\bullet d(d+1)} \leq \text{dist}(\bar{x}, S). \quad (2)$$

Using [5, Remark 13], there exist $c_1, c_2 > 0$ and $1 \leq i_0 \leq s$ such that $g_{i_0}(\bar{x}) < 0$ and

$$\text{dist}(\bar{x}, S)^{c_1} \leq -c_2 g_{i_0}(\bar{x}). \quad (3)$$

By (2) and (3) we have

$$g_{i_0}(\bar{x}) \leq -\delta. \quad (4)$$

with

$$\delta = \frac{1}{c_2} \left(\frac{f^\bullet}{30\sqrt{n}\|f\|_\bullet d(d+1)} \right)^{c_1} > 0.$$

On the other hand, defining $f_{y_1, y_2, z}^\bullet = \min\{\bar{f}(\bar{x}, y_1, y_2, z) \mid \bar{x} \in S\}$, again by Lemma 7 with $r = 2$ and $m = 4$, we have that

$$|\bar{f}(\bar{x}, y_1, y_2, z) - f_{y_1, y_2, z}^\bullet| \leq \frac{15}{2}\sqrt{n}\|f\|_\bullet d(d+1)\text{diam}(\tilde{\Delta}_n) = \frac{15}{\sqrt{2}}\sqrt{n}\|f\|_\bullet d(d+1). \quad (5)$$

Then, using again [11, Remark 12], (4) and (5) we have

$$\begin{aligned} h(\bar{x}, y_1, y_2, z) &\geq \bar{f}(\bar{x}, y_1, y_2, z) - \lambda g_{i_0}(\bar{x})(g_{i_0}(\bar{x}) - 1)^{2k} - \frac{\lambda(s-1)}{2k+1} \\ &\geq \bar{f}(\bar{x}, y_1, y_2, z) - f_{y_1, y_2, z}^\bullet + f_{y_1, y_2, z}^\bullet + \lambda\delta - \frac{\lambda(s-1)}{2k+1} \\ &\geq -\frac{15}{\sqrt{2}}\sqrt{n}\|f\|_\bullet d(d+1) + f^\bullet + \lambda\delta - \frac{\lambda(s-1)}{2k+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, the condition $h(\bar{x}, y_1, y_2, z) \geq \frac{1}{2}f^\bullet$ is ensured if

$$\lambda \geq \frac{15\sqrt{n}\|f\|_\bullet d(d+1)}{\sqrt{2}\delta} = \frac{c_2 2^{c_1} (15\sqrt{n}\|f\|_\bullet d(d+1))^{c_1+1}}{\sqrt{2}f^{\bullet c_1}} \quad (6)$$

and

$$2k + 1 \geq \frac{2\lambda(s-1)}{f^\bullet}. \quad (7)$$

Since (1) implies (7), it is enough for λ and k to satisfy (1) and (6). So for the rest of the proof we take

$$\lambda = \frac{c_2 2^{c_1} (15\sqrt{n} \|f\|_{\bullet} d(d+1))^{c_1+1}}{\sqrt{2} f_{\bullet}^{c_1}} = c_3 \frac{(15\|f\|_{\bullet} d(d+1))^{c_1+1}}{f_{\bullet}^{c_1}} > 0$$

with $c_3 = \frac{c_2 2^{c_1} \sqrt{n}^{c_1+1}}{\sqrt{2}}$ and

$$k = \left\lceil \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{4\lambda s}{f_{\bullet}} - 1 \right) \right\rceil \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

In this way,

$$\begin{aligned} k &\leq \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{4\lambda s}{f_{\bullet}} - 1 \right) + 1 \\ &= 2c_3 s \left(\frac{15\|f\|_{\bullet} d(d+1)}{f_{\bullet}} \right)^{c_1+1} + \frac{1}{2} \\ &\leq c_4 \left(\frac{15\|f\|_{\bullet} d(d+1)}{f_{\bullet}} \right)^{c_1+1} \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

with $c_4 = 2c_3 s + 1$. Here (and also several times after here) we use Lemma 6 with $r = 2$ and $m = 4$ to ensure

$$\frac{15\|f\|_{\bullet} (d+1)}{f_{\bullet}} \geq 1.$$

Also, if we define $\ell = \deg_{\bar{X}} h$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \ell &\leq \max\{d, (2k+1) \max_{1 \leq i \leq s} \deg g_i\} \\ &\leq \max\left\{d, \left(2c_4 \left(\frac{15\|f\|_{\bullet} d(d+1)}{f_{\bullet}}\right)^{c_1+1} + 1\right) \max_{1 \leq i \leq s} \deg g_i\right\} \\ &\leq c_5 \left(\frac{15\|f\|_{\bullet} d(d+1)}{f_{\bullet}}\right)^{c_1+1} \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

with $c_5 = (2c_4 + 1) \max_{1 \leq i \leq s} \deg g_i$.

On the other hand, using conveniently [11, Proposition 14] and (8),

$$\begin{aligned} \|h\|_{\bullet} &\leq \|f\|_{\bullet} + 2\lambda s \max_{1 \leq i \leq s} \{(\deg g_i + 1)(\|g_i\| + 1)\}^{2k+1} \\ &= \|f\|_{\bullet} + 2c_3 s \frac{(15\|f\|_{\bullet} d(d+1))^{c_1+1}}{f_{\bullet}^{c_1}} \max_{1 \leq i \leq s} \{(\deg g_i + 1)(\|g_i\| + 1)\}^{2k+1} \\ &\leq (2c_3 s + 1) \max_{1 \leq i \leq s} \{(\deg g_i + 1)(\|g_i\| + 1)\} \cdot \\ &\quad \cdot \frac{(15\|f\|_{\bullet} d(d+1))^{c_1+1}}{f_{\bullet}^{c_1}} \max_{1 \leq i \leq s} \{(\deg g_i + 1)(\|g_i\| + 1)\}^{2c_4 \left(\frac{15\|f\|_{\bullet} d(d+1)}{f_{\bullet}}\right)^{c_1+1}} \\ &= c_6 \frac{(15\|f\|_{\bullet} d(d+1))^{c_1+1}}{f_{\bullet}^{c_1}} e^{c_7 \left(\frac{15\|f\|_{\bullet} d(d+1)}{f_{\bullet}}\right)^{c_1+1}} \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

with $c_6 = (2c_3s + 1) \max_{1 \leq i \leq s} \{(\deg g_i + 1)(\|g_i\| + 1)\}$ and $c_7 = \log \left(\max_{1 \leq i \leq s} \{(\deg g_i + 1)(\|g_i\| + 1)\}^{2c_4} \right)$.

So far we have found λ and k such that that $h \geq \frac{1}{2}f^\bullet$ in $\tilde{\Delta}_n \times C^2$, together with bounds for $k, \ell = \deg_{\bar{X}} h$ and $\|h\|_\bullet$. Now, we introduce a new variable X_0 to homogenize with respect to the variables \bar{X} and use Pólya's Theorem. Let

$$h = \sum_{\substack{\beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^2 \\ |\beta| \leq 4}} \sum_{0 \leq j \leq \ell} h_{j,\beta}(\bar{X}) Y_1^{\beta_1} Y_2^{\beta_2} Z^{4-|\beta|}$$

with $h_{j,\beta} \in \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]$ equal to zero or homogeneous of degree j for $\beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^2$, $0 \leq |\beta| \leq 4$ and $0 \leq j \leq \ell$. We define

$$H = \sum_{\substack{\beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^2 \\ |\beta| \leq 4}} \sum_{0 \leq j \leq \ell} h_{j,\beta}(\bar{X}) (X_0 + X_1 + \dots + X_n)^{\ell-j} Y_1^{\beta_1} Y_2^{\beta_2} Z^{4-|\beta|} \in \mathbb{R}[X_0, \bar{X}, Y_1, Y_2, Z]$$

which is bihomogeneous in (X_0, \bar{X}) and (Y_1, Y_2, Z) of bidegree $(\ell, 4)$.

Since $H(x_0, \bar{x}, y_1, y_2, z) = h(\bar{x}, y_1, y_2, z)$ for every $(x_0, \bar{x}, y_1, y_2, z) \in \Delta_n \times C^2$, it is clear that $H \geq \frac{1}{2}f^\bullet$ in $\Delta_n \times C^2$.

On the other hand, for each $(y_1, y_2, z) \in C^2$, we consider $H(X_0, X, y_1, y_2, z) \in \mathbb{R}[X_0, \bar{X}]$. Using again [11, Proposition 14] we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|H(X_0, X, y_1, y_2, z)\| &\leq \sum_{\substack{\beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^2 \\ |\beta| \leq 4}} \sum_{0 \leq j \leq \ell} \|h_{j,\beta}(\bar{X}) (X_0 + \dots + X_n)^{\ell-j} y_1^{\beta_1} y_2^{\beta_2} z^{4-|\beta|}\| \\ &\leq \sum_{\substack{\beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^2 \\ |\beta| \leq 4}} \sum_{0 \leq j \leq \ell} \|h_{j,\beta}(\bar{X}) (X_0 + \dots + X_n)^{\ell-j}\| \\ &\leq \sum_{\substack{\beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^2 \\ |\beta| \leq 4}} \sum_{0 \leq j \leq \ell} \|h_{j,\beta}(\bar{X})\| \\ &\leq 15(\ell + 1) \|h\|_\bullet. \end{aligned}$$

We use now the bound for Pólya's Theorem from [13, Theorem 1]. Take $N \in \mathbb{N}$ given by

$$N = \left\lceil \frac{15(\ell + 1)\ell(\ell - 1)\|h\|_\bullet}{f^\bullet} - \ell \right\rceil + 1.$$

Then for each $(y_1, y_2, z) \in C^2$ we have that $H(X_0, \bar{X}, y_1, y_2, z) (X_0 + X_1 + \dots + X_n)^N \in \mathbb{R}[X_0, \bar{X}]$ is a homogeneous polynomial such that all its coefficients are positive. More precisely, suppose we write

$$H(X_0, \bar{X}, Y, Z) (X_0 + X_1 + \dots + X_n)^N = \sum_{\substack{\alpha = (\alpha_0, \bar{\alpha}) \in \mathbb{N}_0^{n+1} \\ |\alpha| = N + \ell}} b_\alpha(Y_1, Y_2, Z) X_0^{\alpha_0} \bar{X}^{\bar{\alpha}} \in \mathbb{R}[X_0, \bar{X}, Y, Z] \quad (11)$$

with $b_\alpha \in \mathbb{R}[Y_1, Y_2, Z]$ homogeneous of degree 4. The conclusion is that for every $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^{n+1}$ with $|\alpha| = N + \ell$, the polynomial b_α is positive in C^2 , and therefore, since it is a homogenous polynomial, b_α is non-negative in \mathbb{R}^3 .

Before going on, we bound $N + \ell$ using (9) and (10) as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}
N + \ell &\leq \frac{15(\ell + 1)\ell(\ell - 1)\|h\|_{\bullet}}{f_{\bullet}} + 1 \\
&\leq \frac{15\ell^3\|h\|_{\bullet}}{f_{\bullet}} + 1 \\
&\leq 15c_5^3c_6 \left(\frac{15\|f\|_{\bullet}d(d+1)}{f_{\bullet}} \right)^{4(c_1+1)} e^{c_7 \left(\frac{15\|f\|_{\bullet}d(d+1)}{f_{\bullet}} \right)^{c_1+1}} + 1 \\
&\leq c_8 \left(\frac{15\|f\|_{\bullet}d(d+1)}{f_{\bullet}} \right)^{4(c_1+1)} e^{c_7 \left(\frac{15\|f\|_{\bullet}d(d+1)}{f_{\bullet}} \right)^{c_1+1}}
\end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

with $c_8 = 15c_5^3c_6 + 1$.

Now we substitute $X_0 = 1 - X_1 - \dots - X_n$ and $Z = 1$ in (11) and we obtain

$$f = \lambda(Y_1^2 + Y_2^2 + 1)^2 \sum_{1 \leq i \leq s} g_i(g_i - 1)^{2k} + \sum_{\substack{\alpha = (\alpha_0, \bar{\alpha}) \in \mathbb{N}_0^{n+1} \\ |\alpha| = N + \ell}} b_{\alpha}(Y_1, Y_2, 1)(1 - X_1 - \dots - X_n)^{\alpha_0} \bar{X}^{\bar{\alpha}} \in \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}, Y_1, Y_2]. \tag{13}$$

From (13) we want to conclude that $f \in M_{\mathbb{R}[\bar{X}, Y_1, Y_2]}(g_1, \dots, g_s)$ and to find the positive constant c such that the degree bound holds.

The first term on the right hand side of (13) clearly belongs to $M_{\mathbb{R}[\bar{X}, Y_1, Y_2]}(g_1, \dots, g_s)$. Moreover, for $1 \leq i \leq s$,

$$\deg(Y_1^2 + Y_2^2 + 1)^2 g_i(g_i - 1)^{2k} = 4 + (2k + 1) \deg g_i. \tag{14}$$

Now we focus on the second term on the right hand side of (13), which is itself a sum. Take a fixed $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^{n+1}$ with $|\alpha| = N + \ell$.

Since $b_{\alpha}(Y_1, Y_2, 1)$ is non-negative in \mathbb{R}^2 and $\deg_{(Y_1, Y_2)} b_{\alpha}(Y_1, Y_2, 1) \leq 4$, $b_{\alpha}(Y_1, Y_2, 1) \in \sum \mathbb{R}[Y_1, Y_2]^2$. Moreover, we can write $b_{\alpha}(Y_1, Y_2, 1)$ as a sum of squares with the degree of each square bounded by 4. Also, take $v(\alpha) = (v_0, \bar{v}) \in \{0, 1\}^{n+1}$ such that $\alpha_i \equiv v_i \pmod{2}$ for $0 \leq i \leq n$. Denoting $g_0 = 1 \in \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]$, since $S \subset \tilde{\Delta}_n^{\circ}$, by classical Putinar's Positivstellensatz we have representations

$$(1 - X_1 - \dots - X_n)^{v_0} \bar{X}^{\bar{v}} = \sum_{0 \leq i \leq s} \sigma_{v(\alpha)_i} g_i,$$

with $\sigma_{v(\alpha)_i} \in \sum \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]^2$ for $0 \leq i \leq s$, and then

$$(1 - X_1 - \dots - X_n)^{\alpha_0} \bar{X}^{\bar{\alpha}} = (1 - X_1 - \dots - X_n)^{\alpha_0 - v_0} \bar{X}^{\bar{\alpha} - \bar{v}} \sum_{0 \leq i \leq s} \sigma_{v(\alpha)_i} g_i$$

belongs to $M(g_1, \dots, g_s)$ since $(1 - X_1 - \dots - X_n)^{\alpha_0 - v_0} \bar{X}^{\bar{\alpha} - \bar{v}} \in \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]^2$.

We conclude that each term in the sum belongs to $M_{\mathbb{R}[\bar{X}, Y_1, Y_2]}(g_1, \dots, g_s)$. In addition, for $0 \leq i \leq s$ we have

$$\deg b_{\alpha}(Y_1, Y_2, 1)(1 - X_1 - \dots - X_n)^{\alpha_0 - v_0} \bar{X}^{\bar{\alpha} - \bar{v}} \sigma_{v(\alpha)_i} g_i \leq 4 + N + \ell + c_9 \tag{15}$$

with $c_9 = \max\{\deg \sigma_{v_i} g_i \mid v \in \{0, 1\}^{n+1}, 0 \leq i \leq s\}$.

To finish the proof, we only need to bound simultaneously the right hand side of (14) and (15).

On the one hand, using (8),

$$\begin{aligned} 4 + (2k + 1) \max_{1 \leq i \leq s} \deg g_i &\leq 4 + \left(2c_4 \left(\frac{15\|f\|_{\bullet} d(d+1)}{f^{\bullet}} \right)^{c_1+1} + 1 \right) \max_{1 \leq i \leq s} \deg g_i \\ &\leq c_{10} \left(\frac{\|f\|_{\bullet} d^2}{f^{\bullet}} \right)^{c_1+1} \end{aligned}$$

with $c_{10} = (2c_4 + 5)30^{c_1+1} \max_{1 \leq i \leq s} \deg g_i$, since $d \geq 1$.

On the other hand, using (12),

$$\begin{aligned} 4 + N + \ell + c_9 &\leq 4 + c_8 \left(\frac{15\|f\|_{\bullet} d(d+1)}{f^{\bullet}} \right)^{4(c_1+1)} e^{c_7 \left(\frac{15\|f\|_{\bullet} d(d+1)}{f^{\bullet}} \right)^{c_1+1}} + c_9 \\ &\leq c_{11} \left(\frac{\|f\|_{\bullet} d^2}{f^{\bullet}} \right)^{4(c_1+1)} e^{c_{12} \left(\frac{\|f\|_{\bullet} d^2}{f^{\bullet}} \right)^{c_1+1}} \end{aligned}$$

with $c_{11} = (4 + c_8 + c_9)30^{4(c_1+1)}$ and $c_{12} = c_7 30^{c_1+1}$, again since $d \geq 1$.

Finally, we define c as the positive constant obtained applying [5, Lemma 18] to the 6-uple $(c_{10}, c_1 + 1, c_{11}, 4(c_1 + 1), c_{12}, c_1 + 1)$. \square

We are ready now to prove Theorem 3. The proof consists basically in a linear change of variables and the application of Proposition 8, as in the proof of [5, Theorem 7].

Proof of Theorem 3: We consider the affine change of variables $\ell : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ given by

$$\ell(X_1, \dots, X_n) = \left(\frac{X_1 + 1}{2n}, \dots, \frac{X_n + 1}{2n} \right).$$

For $0 \leq i \leq s$, we take $\tilde{g}_i(\bar{X}) = g_i(\ell^{-1}(\bar{X})) \in \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]$ and we define

$$\tilde{S} = \{\bar{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \tilde{g}_1(\bar{x}) \geq 0, \dots, \tilde{g}_s(\bar{x}) \geq 0\}.$$

It is easy to see that

$$\emptyset \neq \tilde{S} = \ell(S) \subseteq \tilde{\Delta}_n^{\circ}.$$

Moreover, since $M(g_1, \dots, g_n)$ is archimedean, $M(\tilde{g}_1, \dots, \tilde{g}_s)$ is also archimedean (see [5, Proof of Theorem 7]).

Let $f \in \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}, Y_1, Y_2]$ be as in the statement of Theorem 3 and let $\tilde{f}(\bar{X}, Y_1, Y_2) = f(\ell^{-1}(\bar{X}), Y_1, Y_2) \in \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}, Y_1, Y_2]$. It can be easily seen that \tilde{f} is positive on $\tilde{S} \times \mathbb{R}^2$, $\deg_{\bar{X}} \tilde{f} = \deg_{\bar{X}} f = d$, $\deg_{(Y_1, Y_2)} \tilde{f} = \deg_{(Y_1, Y_2)} f = 4$, \tilde{f} satisfies condition (†) on \tilde{S} and

$$\min\{\tilde{f}(\bar{x}, y_1, y_2, z) \mid \bar{x} \in \tilde{S}, (y_1, y_2, z) \in C^2\} = \min\{\tilde{f}(\bar{x}, y_1, y_2, z) \mid \bar{x} \in S, (y_1, y_2, z) \in C^2\} = f^{\bullet} > 0.$$

In addition, $\|\tilde{f}\|_{\bullet} \leq \|f\|_{\bullet} (3n)^d$ (again, see [5, Proof of Theorem 7]).

Take c as the positive constant from Proposition 8 applied to $\tilde{g}_1, \dots, \tilde{g}_s$. Therefore, \tilde{f} can be written as

$$\tilde{f} = \tilde{\sigma}_0 + \tilde{\sigma}_1 \tilde{g}_1 + \dots + \tilde{\sigma}_s \tilde{g}_s \in M_{\mathbb{R}[\bar{X}, Y]}(\tilde{g}_1, \dots, \tilde{g}_s)$$

with $\tilde{\sigma}_0, \tilde{\sigma}_1, \dots, \tilde{\sigma}_s \in \sum \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}, Y_1, Y_2]^2$ and

$$\deg(\tilde{\sigma}_0), \deg(\tilde{\sigma}_1 \tilde{g}_1), \dots, \deg(\tilde{\sigma}_s \tilde{g}_s) \leq ce \left(\frac{\|f\|_{\bullet} d^2 (3n)^d}{f_{\bullet}} \right)^c$$

and the final representation for f is simply obtained by composing with ℓ . \square

The proof of Theorem 4 is a straightforward adaptation of the proof of Theorem 3 (and Proposition 8), and we omit it.

To prove Theorem 5, we need the following two auxiliary lemmas, which are again slight variations of [5, Lemma 16] and [11, Lemma 11].

Lemma 9 *Let $f \in \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}, Y_1, \bar{Y}_2]$ such that $\deg_{\bar{X}} f = d$, $\deg_{Y_1} f = m$, and $\deg_{\bar{Y}_2} f = 2$. For every $\bar{x} \in \tilde{\Delta}_n$, $(y_1, z_1) \in C$ and $(\bar{y}_2, z_2) \in C^r$,*

$$|\bar{f}(\bar{x}, y_1, z_1, \bar{y}_2, z_2)| \leq \frac{1}{2} \|f\|_{\bullet} (m+1)(r+1)(r+2)(d+1).$$

Lemma 10 *Let $f \in \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}, Y_1, \bar{Y}_2]$ such that $\deg_{\bar{X}} f = d$, $\deg_{Y_1} f = m$, and $\deg_{\bar{Y}_2} f = 2$. For every $\bar{x}_1, \bar{x}_2 \in \tilde{\Delta}_n$, $(y_1, z_1) \in C$ and $(\bar{y}_2, z_2) \in C^r$,*

$$|\bar{f}(\bar{x}_1, y_1, z_1, \bar{y}_2, z_2) - \bar{f}(\bar{x}_2, y_1, z_1, \bar{y}_2, z_2)| \leq \frac{1}{4} \sqrt{n} \|f\|_{\bullet} (m+1)(r+1)(r+2)d(d+1) \|\bar{x}_1 - \bar{x}_2\|.$$

Then, the proof of Theorem 5 is also a straightforward adaptation of the proof of Theorem 3 (and Proposition 8), with the only caveat that the auxiliary polynomial h (at the beginning of the proof of Proposition 8) should be defined as

$$h = \bar{f} - \lambda (Y_1^2 + Z_1^2)^{\frac{m}{2}} (Y_{21}^2 + \dots + Y_{2r}^2 + Z_2^2) \sum_{1 \leq i \leq s} g_i \cdot (g_i - 1)^{2k} \in \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}, Y_1, Z_1, \bar{Y}_2, Z_2]$$

and then

$$\|h\|_{\bullet} \leq \|f\|_{\bullet} + \lambda s 2^{\frac{m}{2}} \max_{1 \leq i \leq s} \{(\deg g_i + 1)(\|g_i\| + 1)\}^{2k+1}.$$

Acknowledgements: We are very grateful to Michel Coste for suggesting us these extensions of our results from [5].

References

- [1] J. Bochnak, M. Coste, M.-F. Roy, Real algebraic geometry. Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete 36, Springer, Berlin, 1998.
- [2] M. Choi, , T. Lam, B. Reznick, Real zeros of positive semidefinite forms. I. *Math. Z.* 171 (1980), no. 1, 1–26.
- [3] E. de Klerk, M. Laurent, Error bounds for some semidefinite programming approaches to polynomial minimization on the hypercube. *SIAM J. Optim.* 20 (2010), no. 6, 3104–3120.

- [4] D. Ž. Djoković, Hermitian matrices over polynomial rings. *J. Algebra* 43 (1976), no. 2, 359–374.
- [5] P. Escorcielo, D. Perrucci, A version of Putinar’s Positivstellensatz for cylinders. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 224 (2020), no. 12, 106448, 16 pp.
- [6] S. Kuhlmann, M. Marshall, Positivity, sums of squares and the multi-dimensional moment problem. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 354 (2002), no. 11, 4285–4301.
- [7] S. Kuhlmann, M. Marshall, N. Schwartz, Positivity, sums of squares and the multi-dimensional moment problem. II. *Adv. Geom.* 5 (2005), no. 4, 583–606.
- [8] V. Magron, Error bounds for polynomial optimization over the hypercube using Putinar type representations. *Optim. Lett.* 9 (2015), no. 5, 887–895.
- [9] M. Marshall, Positive polynomials and sums of squares. *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*, 146. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2008.
- [10] M. Marshall, Polynomials non-negative on a strip. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 138 (2010), no. 5, 1559–1567.
- [11] J. Nie, M. Schweighofer, On the complexity of Putinar’s Positivstellensatz. *J. Complexity* 23 (2007), no. 1, 135–150.
- [12] V. Powers, Positive polynomials and the moment problem for cylinders with compact cross-section. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 188 (2004), no. 1-3, 217–226.
- [13] V. Powers, B. Reznick, A new bound for Pólya’s theorem with applications to polynomials positive on polyhedra. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 164 (2001), no. 1-2, 221–229.
- [14] M. Putinar, Positive polynomials on compact semi-algebraic sets. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* 42 (1993), no. 3, 969–984.
- [15] K. Schmüdgen, The K-moment problem for compact semi-algebraic sets. *Math. Ann.* 289 (1991), no. 2, 203–206.
- [16] M. Schweighofer, On the complexity of Schmüdgen’s Positivstellensatz. *J. Complexity* 20 (2004), no. 4, 529–543.