

Conflict-Free Coloring: Graphs of Bounded Clique Width and Intersection Graphs

Sriram Bhyravarapu¹, Tim A. Hartmann², Hung P. Hoang³, Subrahmanyam Kalyanasundaram⁴ and I. Vinod Reddy⁵

¹ The Institute of Mathematical Sciences, HBNI, Chennai, India

² Department of Computer Science, RWTH Aachen

³ Department of Computer Science, ETH Zurich

⁴ Department of Computer Science and Engineering, IIT Hyderabad

⁵ Department of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science, IIT Bhilai
sriramb@imsc.res.in, hartmann@algo.rwth-aachen.de,

hung.hoang@inf.ethz.ch, subruk@iith.ac.in, vinod@iitbhilai.ac.in

Abstract. Given an undirected graph G , a conflict-free coloring CFON* (resp. CFCN*) is an assignment of colors to a subset of the vertices of the graph, such that for every vertex there exists a color that is assigned to exactly one vertex in its open neighborhood (resp. closed neighborhood). The CONFLICT-FREE COLORING PROBLEM asks to find the minimum number of colors required for such a CFON* (resp. CFCN*) coloring, called the conflict-free chromatic number, denoted by $\chi_{ON}^*(G)$ (resp. $\chi_{CN}^*(G)$). The decision versions of the problems are NP-complete in general.

In this paper, we show the following results on the CONFLICT-FREE COLORING PROBLEM under open and closed neighborhood settings.

- Both versions of the problem are fixed-parameter tractable parameterized by the combined parameters clique width and the solution size. We also show existence of graphs that have bounded clique width and unbounded conflict-free chromatic numbers (on both versions).
- We study both versions of the problem on distance hereditary graphs which are a subclass of graphs of bounded clique width. We show that $\chi_{CN}^*(G) \leq 3$, for a distance hereditary graph G . On the contrary, we show existence of a distance hereditary graph that has unbounded CFON* chromatic number. On the positive side, we show that block graphs and cographs (which are subclasses of distance hereditary graphs) have bounds of three and two respectively for the CFON* chromatic number, and show that both problems are polynomial time solvable on block graphs and cographs.
- We show that $\chi_{ON}^*(G) \leq 3$, for an interval graph G , improving the bound by Reddy (2018) and also prove that the above bound is tight. Moreover, we give upper bounds for the CFON* chromatic number on unit square and unit disk graphs. Further we show that it is NP-hard to decide if an unit disk graph (resp. unit square graph) can be CFON* colored using one color. This complements the results on CFCN* coloring for these graphs by Fekete and Keldenich (2018), where they show that CFCN* coloring is NP-hard.

- We study CFON* and CFCN* colorings on split graphs and Kneser graphs. For split graphs, we show that the CFON* problem is NP-complete and the CFCN* problem is polynomial time solvable. For Kneser graphs $K(n, k)$, when $n \geq 2k^2 + k$, we show that the CFON* chromatic number is $k + 1$. For the CFCN*, we give an upper bound of k .

1 Introduction

Given an undirected graph $G = (V, E)$, a *conflict-free coloring* is an assignment of colors to a subset of the vertices of G such that every vertex in $V(G)$ has a uniquely colored vertex in its neighborhood. The minimum number of colors required for such a coloring is called the *conflict-free chromatic number*. Given a graph G , the CONFLICT-FREE COLORING PROBLEM asks to find the conflict-free chromatic number of G . This problem was introduced in 2002 by Even, Lotker, Ron and Smorodinsky [11], motivated by the frequency assignment problem in cellular networks where base stations and clients communicate with one another. To avoid interference, it is required that there exists a base station with a unique frequency in the neighborhood of each client. Since the number of frequencies is limited and expensive, it is ideal to minimize the number of frequencies used. Conflict-free coloring has also found applications in the area of sensor networks [14, 27] and coding theory [22].

This problem has been well studied for nearly 20 years (e.g., see [1, 4, 15, 28, 30]). Several variants of the problem have been studied. We focus on the following variant of the problem with respect to both closed and open neighborhoods, which are defined as follows.

Definition 1 (Conflict-Free Coloring). A CFON* coloring of a graph $G = (V, E)$ using k colors is an assignment $C : V \rightarrow \{0\} \cup \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ such that for every $v \in V$, there exists a color $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ such that $|N(v) \cap C^{-1}(i)| = 1$. The smallest number of colors required for a CFON* coloring of G is called the CFON* chromatic number of G , denoted by $\chi_{ON}^*(G)$.

The closed neighborhood variant, CFCN* coloring, is obtained by replacing the open neighborhood $N(v)$ by the closed neighborhood $N[v]$ in the above. The corresponding chromatic number is denoted by $\chi_{CN}^*(G)$.

In the above definition, vertices assigned the color 0 are treated as “uncolored”. Hence in a CFON* coloring (or CFCN* coloring), no vertex can have a vertex colored 0 as its uniquely colored neighbor. The CFON* problem (resp. CFCN* problem) is to compute the minimum number of colors required for a CFON* coloring (resp. CFCN* coloring) of a graph.

Gargano and Rescigno [15] showed that both the problems are NP-complete for $k = 2$. Abel et al. in [1] later showed it for all k and even for planar graphs. Given this complexity result, two natural approaches to further the investigation of these problems are (i) to study their parameterized complexity and (ii) to

restrict the classes of graphs for which the problems may be more efficiently solved.

The first direction on the parameterized complexity of conflict-free coloring has been of recent research interest for both neighborhoods. Both problems are fixed-parameter tractable (FPT) when parameterized by tree width [2, 4], distance to cluster (distance to disjoint union of cliques) [29] and neighborhood diversity [15]. Further, with respect to distance to threshold graphs there is an additive approximation algorithm in FPT-time [29].⁶ More recently, Gonzalez and Mann [17] showed that both the variants are polynomial time solvable when the mim-width and solution size are constant.

We consider the parameterized complexity of CFON* and CFCN* problems with respect to the parameter clique width, which generalizes all the above parameters besides mim-width. Specifically, for every graph G , $cw(G) \leq 3 \cdot 2^{tw(G)-1}$, where $tw(G)$ and $cw(G)$ denote the tree width of G and the clique width of G respectively [7]. Graphs with distance to cluster at most $k \in \mathbb{N}$, have clique width of at most $O(2^k)$ [31]. It is easy to see that graphs with neighborhood diversity at most $k \in \mathbb{N}$ has clique width at most $O(2k)$. We show that the CFON* and CFCN* problems are FPT with respect to the combined parameters clique width and the number of colors used. Though mim-width is a generalization of clique width, the results in [17] are not FPT algorithms and hence are incomparable with our results on clique width and solution size. The other previously mentioned FPT results [2, 4, 15, 29] do not additionally need the solution size as a parameter. However, the conflict-free chromatic numbers are bounded by a function of tree width, distance to cluster, or neighborhood diversity [2, 29]. A hierarchy of these parameters is illustrated in Figure 1.

In the direction of restricted classes of graphs, there has been many results on planar graphs, the latest of which is by Huang, Guo, and Yuan [19], who showed that four colors are sufficient to CFON* color planar graphs. Given the motivation from the frequency assignment problem, it is natural to consider the intersection graphs. Several intersection graphs have been considered, such as string graphs and circle graphs [20]. Fekete and Keldenich [12] studied CFCN* coloring on common intersection graphs such as interval graphs, unit disk graphs and unit square graphs. (See the reference therein for further related works on intersection graphs.) Reddy [29] showed that interval graphs can be CFON* colored by at most four colors. It was an open question from [12, 29] that whether the 4-color bound can be improved (or is tight) and does there exist a polynomial time algorithm for the CFON* problem on interval graphs. Recently, the latter problem was proved affirmatively by Gonzalez and Mann [17].

Moreover, continuing the line of investigation, we study these problems on other restricted graph classes such as distance-hereditary graphs, Kneser graphs and split graphs. Similar for other graph classes that were studied before, we consider the two main questions on the the worst-case bounds on the required

⁶ Some of the above FPT results are shown for the “full-coloring variant” of the problem (as defined in Definition 2). Our clique width result can also be adapted for the full-coloring variant.




Fig. 1. A schematic showing the relation between various parameters. There is an arrow from parameter k_1 to k_2 , if there exists a function f such that for all graphs G , we have $k_2(G) \leq f(k_1(G))$.

number of colors and the computational complexity to decide whether k colors are sufficient to conflict-free color these classes of graphs.

1.1 Results and Discussion

We now discuss the results of the paper. A summary of the results for CFON* and CFCN* colorings, are presented in Tables 1 and 2 respectively.

- In Section 3, we show FPT algorithms for both CFON* and CFCN* problems with respect to the combined parameters clique width w and the solution size k , that runs in $2^{O(w3^k)}n^{O(1)}$ time, where n is the number of vertices. We show that unlike some other parameters, the conflict-free chromatic numbers are not bounded by a function of clique width. Towards this, we show the existence of graphs G such that $cw(G) = 3$ and $\chi_{CN}^*(G) = O(\log n)$. We show a similar bound for the CFON* chromatic number. This rules out the possibility that our algorithms are FPT when parameterized by clique width alone. However, one could show the FPT result through a different approach.
- In Section 4, we discuss certain graphs with bounded clique width. In particular, for distance-hereditary graphs G , we show that $\chi_{CN}^*(G) \leq 3$. Hence, our CFCN* coloring FPT algorithm in Section 3 implies a polynomial time algorithm for this class of graphs. Their CFON* chromatic number, however, is unbounded. However, we show that it is bounded for two subclasses, cographs and block graphs, and hence the CFON* problem is polynomial time solvable on them.

- In Section 5, we show that for interval graphs G , $\chi_{ON}^*(G) \leq 3$, and that this bound is tight. Moreover, two colors are sufficient to CFON* color proper interval graphs. We also show that the CFCN* problem is polynomial time solvable on interval graphs.
- In Section 6, we consider the unit square and unit disk intersection graphs. We show that $\chi_{ON}^*(G) \leq 27$ for unit square graphs G . For unit disk graphs G , we show that $\chi_{ON}^*(G) \leq 54$. No upper bound was known previously. In Section 7, we show that the CFON* problem is NP-complete on unit disk intersection graphs and unit square intersection graphs.
- In Section 8, we study both problems on Kneser graphs $K(n, k)$. We show that $k + 1$ colors are sufficient when $n \geq 2k + 1$ and are also necessary when $n \geq 2k^2 + k$. For CFCN* coloring of $K(n, k)$, we prove an upper bound of k colors for $n \geq 2k + 1$.
- In Section 9, we study both problems on split graphs. We show that the CFON* problem is NP-complete and the CFCN* problem is polynomial time solvable.

Graph Class	Upper Bound	Lower Bound	Complexity
(G, cw, k)	-	-	FPT
Distance hereditary graphs	-	$\Omega(\log n)$	-
Block graphs	3	3 (Fig. 5)	P
Cographs	2	2 (K_3)	P
Interval graphs	3	3 (Fig. 6)	P [17]
Proper interval graphs	2	2 (K_3)	P [17]
Unit square graphs	27	3 (Fig. 7)	NP-hard
Unit disk graphs	54	3 (Fig. 7)	NP-hard
Kneser graphs $K(n, k)$	$k + 1$	$k + 1$	-
Split graphs	-	-	NP-hard

Table 1. Bounds and algorithmic status on various graph classes for the CFON* problem. Here a “Lower Bound” of ℓ indicates existence of a graph G such that $\chi_{ON}^*(G) = \ell$. If the algorithmic status (whether P or NP-hard) for a graph class is unknown, we indicate it by “-”. The graphs for lower bounds are indicated in parentheses. K_3 refers to the complete graph on 3 vertices. The lower bound proof for distance hereditary graphs is shown in Lemma 15. The lower bound for Kneser graphs only applies for $n \geq 2k^2 + k$; see Lemma 36.

2 Preliminaries

Throughout the paper, we assume that the graph G is connected. Otherwise, we apply the algorithm on each component independently. We also assume that G does not contain any isolated vertices as the CFON* problem is not defined for an

Graph Class	Upper Bound	Lower Bound	Complexity
(G, cw, k)	-	-	FPT
Distance Hereditary Graphs	3	2 (Fig. 3)	P
Block graphs	2	2 (Fig. 3)	P
Cographs	2	2 ($K_{2,2}$)	P
Interval graphs	2 [12]	2 (Fig. 3)	P
Proper interval graphs	2 [12]	2 (Fig. 3)	P
Unit square graphs	4 [12]	2 (Fig. 3)	NP-hard [12]
Unit disk graphs	6 [12]	2 (Fig. 3)	NP-hard [12]
Kneser graphs $K(n, k)$	k	-	-
Split graphs	2	2 (Fig. 3)	P

Table 2. Bounds and algorithmic status on various graph classes for the CFCN* problem. Here a “Lower Bound” of ℓ indicates existence of a graph G such that $\chi_{CN}^*(G) = \ell$. If the algorithmic status (whether P or NP-hard) for a graph class is unknown, we indicate it by “-”. For all graph classes except cographs, the bull graph in Figure 3 is the graph that requires 2 colors. For cographs, the complete bipartite graph $K_{2,2}$ is the graph that requires 2 colors.

isolated vertex. We use $[k]$ to denote the set $\{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ and $C : V(G) \rightarrow \{0\} \cup [k]$ to denote the color assigned to a vertex. A *universal vertex* is a vertex that is adjacent to all other vertices of the graph. In some of our algorithms and proofs, it is convenient to distinguish between vertices that are intentionally left uncolored, and the vertices that are yet to be assigned any color. The assignment of color 0 is used to denote that a vertex is left “uncolored”.

To avoid clutter and to simplify notation, we use the shorthand notation vw to denote the edge $\{v, w\}$. The open neighborhood of a vertex $v \in V(G)$ is the set of vertices $\{w : vw \in E(G)\}$ and is denoted by $N(v)$. Given a conflict-free coloring C , a vertex $w \in N(v)$ is called a *uniquely colored neighbor* of v if $C(w) \neq 0$ and $\forall x \in N(v) \setminus \{w\}, C(w) \neq C(x)$. The closed neighborhood of v is the set $N(v) \cup \{v\}$, denoted by $N[v]$. The notion of a uniquely colored neighbor in the closed neighborhood variant is analogous to the open neighborhood variant, and is obtained by replacing $N(v)$ by $N[v]$. We sometimes use the mapping $h : V \rightarrow V$ to denote the uniquely colored neighbor of a vertex. We also extend C for vertex sets by defining $C(V') = \bigcup_{v \in V'} C(v)$ for $V' \subseteq V(G)$. To refer to the multi-set of colors used in V' , we use $C_{\{\!\!\}\}(V')$. The difference between $C_{\{\!\!\}\}(V')$ and $C(V')$ is that we use multiset union in the former.

A parameterized problem is denoted as $(I, k) \subseteq \Sigma^* \times \mathbb{N}$, where Σ is fixed alphabet and k is called the parameter. We say that the problem (I, k) is *fixed-parameter tractable* (FPT in short) with respect to the parameter k if there exists an algorithm which solves the problem in time $f(k)|I|^{O(1)}$, where f is a computable function. For more details on parameterized complexity, we refer the reader to the texts [8, 10].

In many of the sections, we also refer to the full coloring variant of the conflict-free coloring problem, defined as follows.

Definition 2 (Conflict-Free Coloring – Full Coloring Variant). A CFON coloring of a graph $G = (V, E)$ using k colors is an assignment $C : V \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ such that for every $v \in V$, there exists an $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ such that $|N(v) \cap C^{-1}(i)| = 1$. The smallest number of colors required for a CFON coloring of G is called the CFON chromatic number of G , denoted by $\chi_{\text{CFON}}(G)$.

The corresponding closed neighborhood variant is denoted CFCN coloring, and the chromatic number is denoted $\chi_{\text{CFCN}}(G)$.

A full conflict-free coloring, where all the vertices are colored with a non-zero color, is also a partial conflict-free coloring (as defined in Definition 1) while the converse is not true. It is clear that one extra color suffices to obtain a full coloring variant from a partial coloring variant. However, it is not always clear if the extra color is actually necessary.

Related to the conflict-free coloring problem is the classical NP-complete graph coloring problem. In this problem, given a graph G , we would like to minimize the number of colors required to color every vertex in G such that adjacent vertices have different colors. The solution to this problem is called the *chromatic number* of G , denoted by $\chi(G)$. Observe that such a coloring gives a CFCN coloring, but in general, the CFCN chromatic number is much lower than the chromatic number. For example, $\chi(K_n) = n$ but $\chi_{\text{CFCN}}(K_n) = 2$ where K_n is a clique on n vertices.

3 FPT with Clique Width and Number of Colors

In this section, we study the conflict-free coloring problem with respect to the combined parameters clique width $\text{cw}(G)$ and number of colors k . We present FPT algorithms for both the CFON* and CFCN* problems.

Definition 3 (Clique width [7]). Let $w \in \mathbb{N}$. A w -expression Φ defines a graph G_Φ where each vertex receives a label from $[w]$, using the following four recursive operations with indices $i, j \in [w]$, $i \neq j$:

1. *Introduce*, $\Phi = v(i)$: G_Φ is a graph consisting a single vertex v with label i .
2. *Disjoint union*, $\Phi = \Phi' \oplus \Phi''$: G_Φ is a disjoint union of $G_{\Phi'}$ and $G_{\Phi''}$.
3. *Relabel*, $\Phi = \rho_{i \rightarrow j}(\Phi')$: G_Φ is the graph $G_{\Phi'}$ where each vertex labeled i in $G_{\Phi'}$ now has label j .
4. *Join*, $\Phi = \eta_{i,j}(\Phi')$: G_Φ is the graph $G_{\Phi'}$ with additional edges between each pair of vertices u of label i and v of label j .

The clique width of a graph G denoted by $\text{cw}(G)$ is the minimum number w such that there is a w -expression Φ that defines G .

In the following, we assume that a w -expression Ψ of G is given. There is an FPT-algorithm that, given a graph G and integer w , either reports that $\text{cw}(G) > w$ or outputs a $(2^{3w+2} - 1)$ -expression of G [26]. Further, we can assume WLOG that a w -expression uses at most n disjoint unions and $O(nw^2)$ other operations [7].

A w -expression Ψ is an *irredundant w -expression of G* , if no edge is introduced twice in Ψ . Given a w -expression of G , it is possible to get an irredundant w -expression of G in polynomial time [7]. For a coloring of G , a vertex v is said to be *conflict-free dominated* by the color c , if exactly one vertex in $N(v)$ is assigned the color c . In general, a vertex v is said to be conflict-free dominated by a set of colors S , if each color in S conflict-free dominates v . Also, a vertex v is said to *miss the color c* if there exists no vertex in $N(v)$ that is assigned the color c . In general, a vertex v is said to miss a set of colors T , if every color in T is missed by v .

Now, we prove the main theorem of this section.

Theorem 4. *Given a graph G , a w -expression of G and an integer k , it is possible to decide if $\chi_{ON}^*(G) \leq k$ in $2^{O(w3^k)} n^{O(1)}$ time.*

Proof. We give a dynamic program that works bottom-up over a given irredundant w -expression Ψ of G . For each subexpression Φ of Ψ , we have a boolean table entry $d[\Phi; N; M]$ with

$$N = (n_{1,0}, \dots, n_{1,k}, \dots, n_{w,0}, \dots, n_{w,k}) \in \{0, 1, 2\}^{w(k+1)}, \text{ and}$$

$$M = (M_1, \dots, M_w) \quad \text{where for } a \in [w], M_a = (m_{a,S_1,T_1}, \dots, m_{a,S_{3^k},T_{3^k}}) \in \{0, 1\}^{3^k}$$

where S_ℓ, T_ℓ are all the possible pairs of disjoint subsets of the set of colors $[k]$. Note that there are 3^k many pairs of disjoint subsets $S_\ell, T_\ell \in [k]$.

The boolean entry $d[\Phi; N; M]$ is then set to TRUE if and only if there exists a vertex-coloring $C : V(G_\Phi) \rightarrow \{0\} \cup [k]$ such that:

- For each label $a \in [w]$ and color $q \in \{0\} \cup [k]$, the variable $n_{a,q}$ in N is the number of vertices of G_Φ with label a that are colored q , capped at two. In other words, if $n_{a,q}^*$ be the number of vertices with label a that are colored q , then $n_{a,q} = \min\{2, n_{a,q}^*\}$.
- For each label $a \in [w]$ and disjoint sets $S, T \subseteq [k]$, the variable $m_{a,S,T}$ in M has value 1, if and only if there exists a vertex v with label a , such that v is conflict-free dominated by exactly the colors in S and the set of colors that misses v is exactly T .

Intuitively, for this coloring, N describes the number of occurrences of a color among the vertices of a particular label. Moreover, M gives a “profile” of vertices in the graph by their labels and the sets of colors that they are conflict-free dominated and are missed.

To decide if k colors are sufficient to CFON* color G , we consider the expression Ψ with $G_\Psi = G$. We answer ‘yes’ if and only if there exists an entry $d[\Psi; N; M]$ set to TRUE where $m_{a,\emptyset,T} = 0$ for each $a \in [w]$ and for each $T \subseteq [k]$. This means there exists a coloring such that there is no label $a \in [w]$ with a vertex v that is not conflict-free dominated.

Now, we show how to compute $d[\Phi; N; M]$ at each operation.

1. $\Phi = v(i)$.

The graph G_Φ represents a node with one vertex v that is labeled $i \in [w]$. For each color $q \in \{0\} \cup [k]$, we set the entry $d[\Phi; N; M] = \text{TRUE}$ if and only if $n_{i,q} = 1$, $m_{i,\emptyset,[k]} = 1$ and all other entries of N and M are 0.

2. $\Phi = \Phi' \oplus \Phi''$.

The graph G_Φ results from the disjoint union of graphs $G_{\Phi'}$ and $G_{\Phi''}$.

We set $d[\Phi; N; M] = \text{TRUE}$ if and only if there exist entries $d[\Phi'; N'; M']$ and $d[\Phi''; N''; M'']$ such that $d[\Phi'; N'; M'] = \text{TRUE}$, $d[\Phi''; N''; M''] = \text{TRUE}$ and the following conditions are satisfied:

- (a) For each label $a \in [w]$ and color $q \in \{0\} \cup [k]$, $n_{a,q} = \min\{2, n'_{a,q} + n''_{a,q}\}$.
- (b) For each label $a \in [w]$ and disjoint $S, T \subseteq [k]$, $m_{a,S,T} = \min\{1, m'_{a,S,T} + m''_{a,S,T}\}$.

We may determine each table entry of $d[\Phi; N, M]$ for every N, M as follows. We initially set $d[\Phi; N, M]$ to FALSE for all N, M . We iterate over all combinations of table entries $d[\Phi'; N'; M']$ and $d[\Phi''; N''; M'']$. For each combination of TRUE entries $d[\Phi'; N'; M']$ and $d[\Phi''; N''; M'']$, we update the corresponding entry $d[\Phi; N; M]$ to TRUE. This corresponding entry $d[\Phi; N; M]$ has variables $n_{a,q}$ which is the sum of $n'_{a,q}$ and $n''_{a,q}$ limited by two, and variables $m_{a,S,T}$ which is the sum of $m'_{a,S,T}$ and $m''_{a,S,T}$ limited by one. Thus, to compute every entry for $d[\Phi; ;]$ we visit at most $(3^{w(k+1)}2^{w3^k})^2$ combinations of table entries and for each of those compute $w(k+1) + w3^k$ values for N and M .

3. $\Phi = \rho_{i \rightarrow j}(\Phi')$.

The graph G_Φ is obtained from the graph $G_{\Phi'}$ by relabeling the vertices of label i in $G_{\Phi'}$ with label j where $i, j \in [w]$. Hence, $n_{i,q} = 0$ for each $q \in \{0\} \cup [k]$ and $m_{i,S,T} = 0$ for each disjoint $S, T \subseteq [k]$.

We set $d[\Phi; N; M] = \text{TRUE}$ if and only if there exists an entry $d[\Phi'; N'; M']$ such that $d[\Phi'; N'; M'] = \text{TRUE}$ in $G_{\Phi'}$ and satisfies the following conditions:

- (a) For each color $q \in \{0\} \cup [k]$, each label $a \in [w] \setminus \{i, j\}$ and disjoint $S, T \subseteq [k]$, $n_{a,q} = n'_{a,q}$ and $m_{a,S,T} = m'_{a,S,T}$.
- (b) For each color $q \in \{0\} \cup [k]$, $n_{j,q} = \min\{2, n'_{i,q} + n'_{j,q}\}$ and $n_{i,q} = 0$.
- (c) For each disjoint $S, T \subseteq [k]$, $m_{j,S,T} = \min\{1, m'_{i,S,T} + m'_{j,S,T}\}$ and $m_{i,S,T} = 0$.

We may determine each table entry of $d[\Phi; N; M]$ for every N, M as follows. We initially set $d[\Phi; N; M]$ to FALSE for all N, M . We iterate over all the TRUE table entries $d[\Phi'; N'; M']$, and for each such entry we update the corresponding entry $d[\Phi; N; M]$ to TRUE, if applicable. To compute every entry for $d[\Phi; ;]$ we visit at most $3^{w(k+1)}2^{w3^k}$ table entries $d[\Phi'; ;]$ and for each of those compute $w(k+1) + w3^k$ values for M and N .

4. $\Phi = \eta_{i,j}(\Phi')$.

The graph G_Φ is obtained from the graph $G_{\Phi'}$ by connecting each vertex with label i with each vertex with label j where $i, j \in [w]$. Consider a vertex v labeled i in $G_{\Phi'}$. Suppose v contributes to the variable $m'_{i,\widehat{S},\widehat{T}}$, i.e., v is conflict-free dominated by exactly \widehat{S} and the set of colors that misses v is exactly \widehat{T} . After this operation, the vertex v may contribute to the variable

$m_{i,S,T}$ in G_Φ where the choice of the sets S and T in G_Φ depends on the colors assigned to the vertices labeled j in $G_{\Phi'}$.

More specifically, we set $d[\Phi; N; M] = \text{TRUE}$ if and only if there exists an entry $d[\Phi'; N'; M']$ such that $d[\Phi'; N'; M'] = \text{TRUE}$ in $G_{\Phi'}$ and satisfies the following conditions:

- (a) For each label $a \in [w]$ and color $q \in \{0\} \cup [k]$, $n_{a,q} = n'_{a,q}$.
- (b) For each label $a \in [w] \setminus \{i, j\}$ and disjoint $S, T \subseteq [k]$, $m_{a,S,T} = m'_{a,S,T}$.
- (c) For the label i and disjoint $S, T \subseteq [k]$, $m_{i,S,T} = 1$ if and only if there are disjoint subsets $\widehat{S}, \widehat{T} \subseteq [k]$ with $m'_{i,\widehat{S},\widehat{T}} = 1$ such that
 - i. For each color $q \in S \cap \widehat{S}$, variable $n'_{j,q} = 0$.
 - ii. For each color $q \in S \setminus \widehat{S}$, variable $n'_{j,q} = 1$.
 - iii. For each color $q \in \widehat{S} \setminus S$, variable $n'_{j,q} \geq 1$.
 - iv. $S \setminus \widehat{S} \subseteq \widehat{T}$ and $T \subseteq \widehat{T}$.
 - v. For each color $q \in \widehat{T} \setminus (T \cup S)$, $n'_{j,q} = 2$.
- (d) For the label j , entry $m_{j,S,T}$ is computed in a symmetric fashion by swapping the labels i and j in (c).

It can be observed that each TRUE table entry $d[\Phi'; N'; M']$ sets exactly one entry $d[\Phi; N; M]$ to TRUE. We can determine each table entry of $d[\Phi; N; M]$ as follows. We initially set $d[\Phi; N; M]$ to FALSE for all N, M . We iterate over all the TRUE table entries $d[\Phi'; N'; M']$, and for each such entry we update the corresponding entry $d[\Phi; N; M]$ to TRUE, if applicable. To compute every entry for $d[\Phi; ;]$ we visit at most $3^{w(k+1)}2^{w3^k}$ table entries $d[\Phi'; ;]$ and for each of those compute $w(k+1) + w3^k$ values for M and N .

We described the recursive formula at each operation, that computes the value of each entry $d[; ;]$. The correctness of the algorithm easily follows from the description of the algorithm. The DP table consists of $3^{w(k+1)}2^{w3^k}$ entries at each node of the w -expression. Since we assume that there are at most n disjoint unions and nw^2 other operations, it is easy to see that the running time is dominated by the operations at all the disjoint unions that requires in total $O(3^{2w(k+1)}2^{2w3^k}w(k+1+3^k)n)$ time. The time bound then follows. \square

Similarly, we obtain the following result for the CFCN* problem:

Theorem 5. *Given a graph G , a w -expression and an integer k , it is possible to decide if $\chi_{CN}^*(G) \leq k$ in $2^{O(w3^k)}n^{O(1)}$ time.*

Proof. The computation of the entries $d[; ;]$ at the disjoint union node, relabel node and join node is the same as discussed in Theorem 4. However, we replace the open neighborhood $N(v)$ with the closed neighborhood $N[v]$ in the definitions of *conflict-free domination by a color c* and *missing a color c* . Now we discuss the computation of the entry $d[; ;]$ at the introduce node.

Introduce Node $\Phi = v(i)$: The graph G_Φ represents a node with one vertex v that is labeled i . For each color $q \in [k]$, we set the entry $d[\Phi; N; M] = \text{TRUE}$ if each variable is 0 except $n_{i,q} = 1$ and $m_{i,\{q\},[k] \setminus \{q\}} = 1$.

For the case when $q = 0$, we set the entry $d[\Phi; N; M] = \text{TRUE}$ if each variable is 0 except $n_{i,0} = 1$ and $m_{i,0,[k]} = 1$. \square

By modifying the above algorithm, it is possible to obtain FPT algorithms for the full coloring variants (CFON and CFCN) of the problem. We merely have to restrict the entries of the dynamic program to entries without color 0.

Theorem 6. *The CFON and the CFCN problems are FPT when parameterized by the combined parameters clique width and the solution size.*

3.1 Graphs of bounded clique width and unbounded χ_{CN} and χ_{ON}

An open question is then whether there exists an FPT algorithm with respect to only the clique width. One approach to this question is to bound the CFON and the CFCN chromatic numbers by a function of the clique width. However, this turns out to be false, even for graphs of clique width three. Specifically, we inductively construct graphs G_2, G_3, \dots, G_k such that G_i requires at least i colors, but has clique width at most 3. This bound is tight, since graphs of clique width at most 2, i.e. co-graphs, have bounded CFON and the CFCN numbers, as shown in Theorems 14 and 16 in the next section. In the following, we consider the full coloring variant. Let us first consider a CFCN-coloring.

Theorem 7. *For any given integer $k \geq 2$, there exists a graph G_k of clique width at most 3 with $\chi_{CN}(G_k) \geq k$.*




Fig. 2. G_3 (left) and G_4 (right) have clique-width 3 but cannot be CFCN-colored with 2 and 3 colors, respectively. Each $G_i, i \geq 2$ stands for a copy of the graph G_i . Every vertex in an ellipse is adjacent to every vertex that is connected to that ellipse.

Proof. We construct graphs $G_i, i \geq 2$ inductively. Graph G_{k+1} is such that it cannot be CFCN-colored with k colors. Thus at least $k + 1$ colors are required.

- Let G_2 be the graph consisting of a single edge.
- Let G_{k+1} , for $k \geq 2$, consist of 2^k bottom vertices $B = \{b_0, \dots, b_{2^k-1}\}$, which form a clique. Let T be the full binary tree with k levels and with leaves B : That is, T consists of $k + 1$ levels L_0, \dots, L_k , where level L_i contains 2^{k-i}

vertices $b_0^i, \dots, b_{2^{k-i}-1}^i$ for $0 \leq i \leq k$. Each vertex b_j^i has children b_{2j}^{i-1} and b_{2j+1}^{i-1} for $1 \leq i \leq k$ and $0 \leq j < 2^{k-i}$. Then we identify the bottom vertices B with the leaves L_0 , which is $b_j^0 = b_j$ for $0 \leq j < 2^k$. For a non-leaf x of T , let $B(x) \subseteq B$ be the set of descendants of x among the leaves B . Let $\mathcal{B} = \{B(x) \mid x \in V(T) \setminus L_0\}$ be the family of such sets. For every set $S \in \mathcal{B}$, introduce two disjoint copies of G_k and make them adjacent to S , i.e., all the vertices in the two copies of G_k are adjacent to all the vertices in S . See Fig 2 for illustrations.

Inductively we show that G_k has clique width at most 3. That is, there is a 3-expression Φ_k where G_{φ_k} equals G when ignoring the labels. Let us use the labels $\{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\}$ instead of numbers, since numbers are already used for colors.

- Graph G_2 , a single edge, can be constructed using 2 labels.
- Consider graph G_{k+1} . By the induction hypothesis, there is a 3-expression Φ_k that describes G_k . We may assume that every vertex of G_{Φ_k} has label β since we can add final re-label operations. Let vertex sets B and T with levels L_0, \dots, L_k be as in the construction of G_{k+1} . We show the following properties for every node $x \in L_i$ of T by induction on the level $i = 0, \dots, k$:
 - There is a 3-expression $\Phi_{k+1,x}$ where $G_{\Phi_{k+1,x}}$ equals the induced subgraph of G_{k+1} that contains $B(x)$ and the copies of G_k with neighborhood $B(x)$; and
 - $B(x)$ has label α and the copies of G_k have label γ .

Then $\Phi_{k+1,r}$, where r is the root of T , is the desired 3-expression.

- For the induction basis, $i = 0$ and $x \in L_0$, hence x is some leaf b_i : Simply introduce the single vertex of label α .
- For the induction step, $i \geq 1$ and $x \in L_i$. Then x has some children y and z in level L_{i-1} . Thus by induction hypothesis there are 3-expressions $\Phi_{k+1,y}$ and $\Phi_{k+1,z}$ with the properties described above. We construct $\Phi_{k,x}$: Join $\Phi_{k+1,y}$ and $\Phi_{k+1,z}$ and add all edges between $B(y)$ and $B(z)$. To do so we actually join $\rho_{\alpha \rightarrow \beta}(\Phi_{k+1,y})$ and $\Phi_{k+1,z}$, add all edges between α and β , and then re-label β to α again. Introduce two copies of G_k by joining twice with Φ_k (that has label β only). Then add all edges between $B(x) = B(y) \cup B(z)$ (of label α) and the new copies of G_k (of label β). Now we have introduced all vertices of the restricted version of G_{k+1} as described above. It remains to satisfy the labeling. Thus, as the last step, re-label β to γ .

Lastly, we show by induction that G_{k+1} has no *CFCN*-coloring with only k colors, for every $k \geq 1$. For the induction basis, consider G_2 , a single edge. There, a 1-coloring is not possible.

For the induction step, $G_k \rightsquigarrow G_{k+1}$, assume for the sake of contradiction, that there is a *CFCN*-coloring $c : V(G_{k+1}) \rightarrow \{1, \dots, k\}$.

We first show that each set $S \in \mathcal{B}$ contains a uniquely colored vertex $f(S)$. Formally, there is a mapping $f : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow B$ such that for each set $S \in \mathcal{B}$ there is a vertex $f(S) = v \in S$ such that $c(v) \neq c(v')$ for every other vertex $v' \in S \setminus \{v\}$. Recall that G_{k+1} contains two copies C_1, C_2 of G_k where each $C_i, i \in \{1, 2\}$ has

$N(C_i) \setminus C_i = S$. Now assume, for the sake of contradiction, that S contains no uniquely colored vertex. Let c_i be the coloring c restricted to vertices $V(C_i)$, for $i \in \{1, 2\}$. Then c_i is a CFCN-coloring of graph C_i , for $i \in \{1, 2\}$. By induction hypothesis, the restricted coloring c_i maps to all k colors. Hence in $V(C_1) \cup V(C_2)$ every of the k colors occurs twice. However, then every vertex in $u \in S$ has every color $\{1, \dots, k\}$ at least twice in its neighborhood. A contradiction to that u have a uniquely colored neighbor. Therefore, each set $S \in \mathcal{B}$ contains a uniquely colored vertex $f(S)$.

Now, by symmetry we may assume that the uniquely colored element of set B is $f(B) = b_{2^k-1}$ and b_{2^k-1} is colored with color k . Then the subset $\{b_0, \dots, b_{2^{k-1}-1}\} \in \mathcal{B}$ may only consists of vertices of color $1, \dots, k-1$. Again by symmetry, we may assume that this subset $\{b_0, \dots, b_{2^{k-1}-1}\}$ has uniquely colored element $f(\{b_0, \dots, b_{2^{k-1}-1}\}) = b_{2^{k-1}-1}$ and vertex $b_{2^{k-1}-1}$ is colored with $k-1$. By repeating this argument, we eventually obtain that $f(\{b_1, b_2\})$ must be colors with color 1 only. A contradiction to that $\{b_1, b_2\} \in \mathcal{B}$ has a uniquely colored element. Therefore, G_{k+1} cannot be colored with just k colors. \square

To show that the CFON coloring number is also unbounded even for graphs with clique width three, we can define a sequence of graphs G'_2, G'_3, \dots analogously as we did for CFCN coloring. That is, each graph G'_{k+1} for $k \geq 2$ has clique width at most three and cannot be CFCN colored with only k colors. Let G'_2 be a triangle, which cannot be CFON-colored with only one color and which has clique width at most 3. We construct G'_{k+1} using copies of G'_k in the same way as we constructed G_{k+1} using copies of G_k . Again, inductively it follows that G'_{k+1} has clique width at most 3. Also, by the same induction step as before, it follows that G'_{k+1} cannot be CFON colored with only k colors. We also provide an alternative construction in Lemma 15.

Theorem 8. *For any given integer $k \geq 2$, there exists a graph G_k of clique width at most 3 with $\chi_{ON}(G_k) \geq k$.*

4 Classes of Graphs with Bounded Clique Width

One consequence of Theorem 4 (or Theorem 5) is that if both clique width and the CFON* (or CFCN*, respectively) chromatic numbers are bounded, then there exists a polynomial algorithm to solve the CFON* (or CFCN*, respectively) problem. Theorems 7 and 8 show that even when the clique width is at most 3, the CFON* and CFCN* chromatic numbers can be unbounded. However, in this section, we discuss some classes of graphs with clique width at most 3, where the CFON* or CFCN* chromatic number is bounded.

Firstly, if we consider the graphs with clique width at most 2, these are exactly cographs [7].

Definition 9 (Cograph [6]). *A graph G is a cograph if G consists of a single vertex, or if it can be constructed from a single vertex graph using the disjoint union and complement operations.*

We will show that the cographs have CFCN* and CFON* chromatic numbers at most 2 (Lemmas 14 and 16).

These graphs are a special case of distance hereditary graphs, whose clique width is at most 3 [16].

Definition 10 (Distance hereditary graph [18]). *A graph G is distance hereditary if for every connected induced subgraph H of G , the distance (i.e., the length of a shortest path) between any pair of vertices in H is the same as that in G .*

Bandelt and Mulder [3] showed that for any connected distance hereditary graph G , there exists a one-vertex extension sequence (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n) , defined as follows. Denote by $G[i]$ the induced subgraph of G on $\{v_1, \dots, v_i\}$. The sequence (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n) is a *one-vertex extension sequence* if $G[2] = K_2$, and for any $i \geq 3$, $G[i]$ can be formed by adding v_i to $G[i-1]$ and adding edges such that for some $j < i$, one of the following holds:

- v_i is only adjacent to v_j (we call v_i is a *pendant* of v_j);
- v_i is adjacent to all the neighbors of v_j (we call v_i is a *false twin* of v_j); or
- v_i is adjacent to v_j and all the neighbors of v_j (we call v_i is a *true twin* of v_j).

Note that if the pendant operation is absent, then we have exactly cographs. In other words, cographs are exactly the distance hereditary graphs that can be constructed from a single vertex by the true twin and false twin operations [3]. If the true twin operation is absent, then we obtain bipartite distance hereditary graphs. Lastly, if the false twin operation is missing, we obtain a subclass of graphs that contain block graphs [24].

Definition 11 (Block Graph). *A block graph is a graph in which every 2-connected component (i.e., a maximal subgraph which cannot be disconnected by the deletion of one vertex) is a clique.*

It turns out that the distance hereditary graphs in general have a bounded CFCN* chromatic number but an unbounded CFON* chromatic number. However, for the subclasses of cographs and block graphs, the CFON* chromatic number is bounded.

4.1 CFCN* chromatic number

In this section, we discuss the CFCN* chromatic number of distance hereditary graphs and some subclasses.

Lemma 12. *If G is a distance hereditary graph G , then $\chi_{CN}^*(G) \leq 3$.*

Proof. Suppose (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n) is a one-vertex extension sequence of G . We will devise an iterative algorithm to provide a CFCN* coloring with colors 0, 1, 2, 3.

We use $N_i(v)$ and $N_i[v]$ to respectively refer to the open and closed neighborhoods of a vertex v in the graph $G[i]$, where $i \in [n]$ is the current iteration of the algorithm.

For each vertex v , we will specify a tuple $\mathcal{C}(v) = (a, b)$, where $a \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ is the color of v , and $b \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ will be shown later to correspond to the color of the uniquely colored neighbor of v . In fact, we will maintain the following two invariants at the end of every iteration $i \in [n]$ of the coloring algorithm:

- **Invariant 1:** For all $v \in G[i]$, if $\mathcal{C}(v) = (a, b)$, then b is the color of the uniquely colored neighbor of v in $G[i]$.
- **Invariant 2:** For all $v \in G[i]$, if $\mathcal{C}(v) = (a, a)$, then either (*) all vertices w in $N_i(v)$ satisfy $\mathcal{C}(w) = (0, d)$ where d is a fixed color with $d \neq a$, or (**) there is a vertex with a unique (nonzero) color among the vertices in $N_i(v)$.

We are now ready to describe the coloring scheme. Recall that v_1 and v_2 are adjacent to one another. We assign $\mathcal{C}(v_1) = (1, 2)$ and $\mathcal{C}(v_2) = (2, 1)$. For $i \geq 3$, we consider these cases, where we assume $\mathcal{C}(v_j) = (a, b)$ for some $a \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ and $b \in \{1, 2, 3\}$:

- **Case 1a:** v_i is a pendant of a vertex v_j and $a = b$. If $\mathcal{C}(w) = (0, d)$ for all w in $N_{i-1}(v_j)$ and $d \neq a$, we assign $\mathcal{C}(v_i) = (x, a)$, where x is the color in $\{1, 2, 3\} \setminus \{a, d\}$. Otherwise, we assign $\mathcal{C}(v_i) = (0, a)$.
- **Case 1b:** v_i is a pendant of v_j and $a \neq b$. If $a \neq 0$, we assign $\mathcal{C}(v_i) = (0, a)$. Otherwise, we assign $\mathcal{C}(v_i) = (x, x)$, for an arbitrary color x in $\{1, 2, 3\} \setminus \{b\}$.
- **Case 2a:** v_i is a true twin of v_j and $a = b$. If $\mathcal{C}(w) = (0, d)$ for all w in $N_{i-1}(v_j)$ and $d \neq a$, we assign $\mathcal{C}(v_i) = (x, a)$, where x is an arbitrary color in $\{1, 2, 3\} \setminus \{a, d\}$. Otherwise, we assign $\mathcal{C}(v_i) = (0, a)$.
- **Case 2b:** v_i is a true twin of v_j and $a \neq b$. We assign $\mathcal{C}(v_i) = (0, b)$.
- **Case 3a:** v_i is a false twin of v_j and $a = b$. If $\mathcal{C}(w) = (0, d)$ for all w in $N_{i-1}(v_j)$ and $d \neq a$, we assign $\mathcal{C}(v_i) = (a, a)$. Otherwise, there exists a vertex u with a unique color $x \neq 0$ among the vertices in $N_{i-1}(v_j)$. We assign $\mathcal{C}(v_i) = (0, x)$.
- **Case 3b:** v_i is a false twin of v_j , and $a \neq b$. We assign $\mathcal{C}(v_i) = (0, b)$.

We prove the invariants by induction. Then Invariant 1 for iteration $i = n$ implies that the coloring above is a CFCN* coloring.

These invariants are trivially true for the base case of $i = 2$. For the inductive step, we observe for vertex $u \notin N_i[v_i]$, there is no change in the open neighborhood of u , and hence the invariants hold for u by the inductive hypothesis. In the cases where $\mathcal{C}(v_i) = (0, z)$ for $z \neq 0$, then Invariant 2 does not apply to v_i . Further, for a vertex $w \in N_i(v_i)$, the color 0 of v_i does not interfere with the uniquely colored neighbor of w (i.e., Invariant 1 is satisfied for w). In addition, if $\mathcal{C}(w) = (y, y)$ for some y , then regardless of whether Invariant 2 (*) or (**) applies to w in $G[i-1]$, the coloring of v_i implies that the same invariant applies to w in $G[i]$. On one hand, if Invariant 2(*) applies to w , then all neighbours of w in $G[i-1]$ have color 0. Since v_i is also colored 0, Invariant 2(*) then still applies to w in $G[i]$. On the other hand, if Invariant 2(**) applies to w , then

there is a unique neighbour u of w in $G[i-1]$ with color x . Since v_i is colored 0, this neighbour u remains the unique neighbour of w with color x in $G[i]$. Hence, Invariant 2(**) applies to w in $G[i]$. Therefore, in summary,

- If we assign the color 0 to the new vertex v_i , then we only need to check that Invariant 1 hold for v_i .
- Otherwise, we need to verify the two invariants for all vertices in $N_i[v_i]$.

For Case 1a, in the first subcase (when $\mathcal{C}(w) = (0, d)$ for all w in $N_{i-1}(v_j)$ and $d \neq a$), we need to verify the invariants for v_i and v_j . For v_i , Invariant 2 does not apply, while Invariant 1 holds, since v_j with color a is the uniquely colored neighbor of v_i . For v_j , since v_i has a different color than a , the uniquely colored neighbor of v_j remains unchanged (in fact, it is v_j itself), i.e., Invariant 1 holds for v_j . Invariant 2(**) applies to v_j , because v_i becomes the only vertex in $N_i(v_j)$ with color x assigned to it. In the other subcase, where v_i is assigned the color 0, we only need to check that the Invariant 1 holds for v_i . This is clearly true, as v_j with the color a is the uniquely colored neighbor of v_i .

For Case 1b, we first check for v_i . When $a \neq 0$, the uniquely colored neighbor of v_i is v_j , and Invariant 2 does not apply to v_i . When $a = 0$, the uniquely colored neighbor of v_i is itself. Further, Invariant 2 applies for v_i since (*) holds. It remains to check for v_j , and we only need to do so when v_i is not colored 0, i.e. when $a = 0$. Since v_i has color $x \neq b$, the uniquely colored neighbor of v_j remains unchanged. Invariant 2 does not apply to v_j , because $0 = a \neq b$.

For Case 2a, we first consider the subcase where $a = b$ and $\mathcal{C}(w) = (0, d)$ for all w in $N_{i-1}(v_j)$ and $d \neq a$. As $x \notin \{a, d\}$, v_i does not interfere with the uniquely colored neighbors of $w \in N_{i-1}[v_j]$. Further, v_j is the uniquely colored neighbor of itself and of v_i . Hence, Invariant 1 holds for w , v_j , and v_i . Invariant 2 does not apply to w and v_i . Further, v_i is the only vertex with color x among the vertices in $N_i(v_j)$. Hence, Invariant 2(**) holds for v_j . In the other subcase of Case 2a, v_i has color 0, and hence we only need to verify that Invariant 1 holds for v_i . Since $N_i[v_i] = N_i[v_j]$, v_j serves as the uniquely colored neighbor for both v_i and v_j .

For Case 2b, we only need to check that Invariant 1 holds for v_i . Since $N_i[v_i] = N_i[v_j]$, the uniquely colored neighbor of v_j will serve as the uniquely colored neighbor of v_i as well.

For Case 3a, if $\mathcal{C}(w) = (0, d)$ for all w in $N_{i-1}(v_j)$ and $d \neq a$, then since we color v_i with $a \neq d$, v_i does not interfere with the uniquely colored neighbors of w , and v_i is the uniquely colored neighbor of itself. Hence, Invariant 1 holds for w and v_i . Invariant 2 does not apply to w , while v_i satisfies Invariant 2 (*) because v_j satisfies it. In the other subcase, since Invariant 2 applied to v_j in $G[i-1]$, there exists a vertex u with a unique color $x \neq 0$ among the vertices in $N_{i-1}(v_j) = N_i(v_j)$. Since $N_i(v_j) = N_i(v_i)$, u is then the uniquely colored neighbor of v_i . Since v_i is colored with 0, we do not need to check the invariants further.

Lastly, for Case 3b, we only need to verify that Invariant 1 holds for v_i . Since $N_i(v_i) = N_i(v_j)$, v_i shares the same the uniquely colored neighbor with v_j , and this unique color is b . \square

Together with the facts that distance hereditary graphs have clique width at most 3 and that the chromatic numbers for the full and partial conflict-free coloring differ by at most 1, Theorems 5, 6 and Lemma 12 imply the following corollary.

Corollary 13. *For distance hereditary graphs, the CFCN* and CFCN problems are polynomial time solvable.*

In the following lemma, we show that we need fewer colors when we restrict the operations used to construct the distance hereditary graphs.

Lemma 14. *For a distance hereditary graph G , if G can be built from a vertex without one of the pendant, true twin, and false twin operations, then $\chi_{CN}^*(G) \leq 2$. In particular, this holds for cographs and block graphs.*

Proof. We refer to the construction with the cases in the proof of Lemma 12.

If the pendant operation is absent (i.e., G is a cograph), observe that we do not need Cases 1a and 1b. Further, by an easy induction on i , we can see that for all v_i , if $\mathcal{C}(v_i) = (a, b)$ then $a \neq b$. This means that only Cases 2b and 3b apply, and therefore all vertices other than v_1 and v_2 are colored 0. Hence, 2 colors suffice, and this coloring is also a CFON* coloring.

If the true twin operation is absent, the graph is bipartite. We can color one part of the bipartition with color 1 and the other part with color 2. Since all vertices with the same color are not adjacent to each other, each vertex is its own uniquely colored neighbor.

If the false twin operation is absent (this subclass includes the block graphs), we modify the coloring scheme as follows. Recall that v_1 and v_2 are adjacent to one another. We assign $\mathcal{C}(v_1) = (1, 2)$ and $\mathcal{C}(v_2) = (2, 1)$.

For $i \geq 3$, we consider two cases, where we assume $\mathcal{C}(v_j) = (a, b)$ for some $a \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ and $b \in \{1, 2\}$:

- **Case 1:** v_i is a pendant of a vertex v_j . If $a \neq 0$, we assign $\mathcal{C}(v_i) = (0, a)$. Otherwise, we assign $\mathcal{C}(v_i) = (x, x)$, where $x \in \{1, 2\} \setminus \{b\}$.
- **Case 2:** v_i is a true twin of v_j . We assign $\mathcal{C}(v_i) = (0, b)$.

Note that the color assignments above are similar to those in Case 1b and Case 2b in the proof of Lemma 12.

We will prove by induction that at the end of every iteration $i \in [n]$, every vertex has a uniquely colored neighbor in $G[i]$. This holds for the base case $i = 2$. For the inductive step, it is easy to see that if v_i has color 0, then we only need to show the claim for v_i , and otherwise, we have to show the claim also for all vertices in $N_i[v_i]$ (recall that this refers to the neighborhood of v_i in $G[i]$). With this knowledge, we go through the two cases. In Case 1, if $a \neq 0$, v_j is the uniquely colored neighbor of v_i . If $a = 0$, v_i is its own uniquely colored neighbor. Further, as its color x is different than b , the uniquely colored neighbor of v_j remains unchanged. In Case 2, $N_i[v_i] = N_i[v_j]$, and hence v_i and v_j share the same uniquely colored neighbor whose color is b . \square

From Lemma 12, we have that $\chi_{CN}^*(G) \leq 2$, when G is a block graph. This bound is tight when G is a bull graph, illustrated in Figure 3.




Fig. 3. Bull graph G with $\chi_{CN}^*(G) = 2$.

4.2 CFON* chromatic number

In contrast to the closed neighborhood setting, the class of distance hereditary graphs has unbounded CFON chromatic number and consequently also unbounded CFON* chromatic number. In this section, we consider the CFON* chromatic number of distance hereditary graphs and some subclasses.

Lemma 15. *For any $k \geq 1$, there exists a bipartite distance hereditary graph G such that $\chi_{ON}(G) \geq k$.*




Fig. 4. Illustration for the proof of Lemma 15: G_4 must be CFON colored with at least 4 colors. Edges incident to b_0^4 are dashed only for clarity.

Proof. Consider a family of graphs G_2, G_3, \dots , see Figure 4 where the graph G_4 is illustrated. Each graph G_k , for $k \geq 2$, is bipartite with the vertex sets A and B that satisfy the following:

- Set A consists of 2^{k-1} vertices $a_0, \dots, a_{2^{k-1}-1}$.
- Set B consists of vertices in k levels L_1, \dots, L_k . Level L_i contains 2^{k-i} vertices $b_0^i, \dots, b_{2^{k-i}-1}^i$, for $i \in [k]$.
- There are 2^{k-1} edges between each level L_i and A in a binary fashion. To be precise, the vertex b_j^i is connected with vertices a_t for $t = 2^{i-1}j, \dots, 2^{i-1}(j+1) - 1$.

We can construct G_k recursively, starting from the graph of only one vertex called the root. The construction is as follows:

- For $k = 2$, we add a pendant to the root, i.e., G_2 is the graph of an edge.
- For $k \geq 3$, we call the root a_0 . We then add b_0^k as a pendant of a_0 . Next, we add a false twin of a_0 , called $a_{2^{k-2}}$. After that, we create two copies of G_{k-1} rooted at a_0 and $a_{2^{k-2}}$.

We will show that the CFON chromatic number of G_k is at least k . This holds trivially for $k = 2$. We consider the case where $k \geq 2$. Observe that b_0^k needs to have a neighbor with a unique color. WLOG, we color $a_{2^{k-1}-1}$ with the color c_k . Next, b_0^{k-1} also needs a neighbor with a unique color. Note that this color must be different than c_k , because all neighbors of b_0^{k-1} are neighbors of b_0^k , while $a^{2^{k-1}-1}$ is not a neighbor of b_0^{k-1} . WLOG, we color $a^{2^{k-2}-1}$ with the color c_{k-1} . Repeating the above argument, we can see that we need at least k colors. \square

Although in general, a distance hereditary graph can have arbitrary large CFON* chromatic number, we show that this number is bounded for two subclasses, as in the following two lemmas.

Lemma 16. *If G is a cograph, then $\chi_{ON}^*(G) \leq 2$.*

Proof. As observed in the proof of Lemma 14, the coloring scheme there gives a CFON* coloring with $\{0, 1, 2\}$. Note that in that coloring, v_1 has color 1, v_2 color 2, and all other vertices color 0. \square

Lemma 17. *If G is a block graph, $\chi_{ON}(G) \leq 3$, hence $\chi_{ON}^*(G) \leq 3$.*

Proof. We prove by induction on $|V(G)|$. Trivially, if $|V(G)| \leq 3$, then $\chi_{ON}^*(G) \leq 3$. For the inductive step, if G is 2-connected, then by definition of a block graph, G is a clique. We can color two vertices with two different colors and all other vertices with the third color. It is easy to see that this is a valid CFON coloring.

Now suppose G is not 2-connected. Then there exists a vertex v whose removal disconnects the graph, and a connected component C satisfies that $V(C) \cup \{v\}$ induces a 2-connected component in G , i.e., a clique. (This component is sometimes called a leaf block, for example, in [32].)

Consider the induced subgraph G' of G obtained by removing $V(C)$ from G . It is easy to see that G' is also a block graph. Hence, applying the inductive hypothesis, we can obtain a CFON coloring of G' with 3 colors. Let c_1 be the color of v and c_2 be the color of its uniquely colored neighbor. We apply the same coloring of G' to the vertices in G , where we additionally color all vertices in C with the color other than c_1 and c_2 . Certainly, this does not invalidate the uniquely colored neighbor of v . No other vertices in G' is connected to a vertex of C in G . Further, all vertices in C have v as their uniquely colored neighbor. Hence, this is a valid CFON coloring of G with 3 colors. \square

We show that the above result is tight.

Lemma 18. *There is a block graph G with $\chi_{ON}^*(G) > 2$.*

Proof. Let G have vertex set $\{\ell, m, r\} \cup \bigcup_{i \in \{1, 2, 3\}} \{x_i^\ell, \bar{x}_i^\ell, x_i^r, \bar{x}_i^r\}$, see also Fig. 5. Let the edge set be defined by the set of maximal cliques $\{x_1^s, x_2^s, x_3^s, s, m\}$ and $\{x_s^i, \bar{x}_s^i\}$ for every $s \in \{\ell, r\}$ and $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. It is easy to see that G is a block graph. To prove that $\chi_{ON}^*(G) > 2$, assume, for the sake of contradiction, that




Fig. 5. A block graph G with $\chi_{ON}^*(G) > 2$.

there is χ_{ON}^* coloring $C : V \rightarrow \{0, 1, 2\}$. Then there is a mapping h on V that assigns each vertex $v \in V(G)$ its uniquely colored neighbor $w \in N(v)$. Note that x_i^s , for $s \in \{\ell, r\}$ and $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, has to be colored 1 or 2, since it is the only neighbor of \bar{x}_i^s . Further, we may assume that $h(m) \in \{\ell, x_1^\ell\}$ and $\mathcal{C}(h(m)) = 2$ because of symmetry.

First consider that $h(m) = \ell$ and $\mathcal{C}(\ell) = 2$. Then $\mathcal{C}(x_i^s) = 1$ for every $s \in \{\ell, r\}$ and $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. It follows that $h(\ell) = m$ and hence $\mathcal{C}(m) = 2$. Then however $C_{\{\#\}}(N(x_1^\ell)) \supseteq \{\{1, 1, 2, 2\}\}$, a contradiction.

Thus it remains to consider that $h(m) = x_1^\ell$ and $\mathcal{C}(x_1^\ell) = 2$. Then $\mathcal{C}(x_i^s) = 1$ for every x_i^s with $(s, i) \in \{\ell, r\} \times [3] \setminus (\ell, 1)$. It follows that $h(r) = m$ and hence $\mathcal{C}(m) = 2$. Then however $C_{\{\#\}}(N(\ell)) = \{\{1, 1, 2, 2\}\}$, also a contradiction.

Since both cases lead to a contradiction, it must be that $\chi_{ON}^*(G) > 2$. \square

Coupled with the fact that distance hereditary graphs have clique width at most 3, Theorems 4, 6, Lemma 16 and Lemma 17 imply the following corollary.

Corollary 19. *For cographs and block graphs, the CFON* and CFON problems are polynomial time solvable.*

5 Interval Graphs

In this section, we consider interval graphs. We prove that three colors are sufficient and sometimes necessary to CFON* color an interval graph. For *proper interval graphs*, we show that two colors are sufficient. Note that the results for CFCN* coloring have been shown by Fekete and Keldenich [12].

On the algorithmic side, we show that the CFCN* problem is polynomial time solvable on interval graphs.

Definition 20 (Interval Graph). *A graph $G = (V, E)$ is an interval graph if there exists a set \mathcal{I} of intervals on the real line such that there is a bijection $f : V \rightarrow \mathcal{I}$ satisfying the following: $\{v_1, v_2\} \in E$ if and only if $f(v_1) \cap f(v_2) \neq \emptyset$.*

For an interval graph G , we refer to the set of intervals \mathcal{I} as the *interval representation* of G . An interval graph G is a *proper interval graph* if it has an interval representation \mathcal{I} such that no interval in \mathcal{I} is properly contained in any other interval of \mathcal{I} . An interval graph G is a *unit interval graph* if it has an interval representation \mathcal{I} where all the intervals are of unit length. It is known that the class of proper interval graphs and unit interval graphs are the same [13].

Lemma 21. *If G is an interval graph, then $\chi_{ON}^*(G) \leq 3$.*

Proof. It was shown in [12] that $\chi_{CN}^*(G) \leq 2$ when G is an interval graph. We use similar ideas. Let \mathcal{I} be the set of intervals. For each interval $I \in \mathcal{I}$, we use $R(I)$ to denote its right endpoint.

We use the function $C : \mathcal{I} \rightarrow \{1, 2, 3, 0\}$ to assign colors. We assign the colors 1, 2 and 3 alternately, one in each iteration $1 \leq j \leq \ell$. We start with an interval I_1 for which $R(I_1)$ is the least and assign $C(I_1) = 1$. Choose an interval I_2 such that $I_2 \in N(I_1)$ and $R(I_2) \geq R(I), \forall I \in N(I_1)$. Assign $C(I_2) = 2$. For $j \geq 3$, we do the following. Choose an interval I_j such that $I_j \in N(I_{j-1})$ and $R(I_j) \geq R(I), \forall I \in N(I_{j-1})$. Assign the color $\{1, 2, 3\} \setminus \{C(I_{j-1}), C(I_{j-2})\}$ to the interval I_j . Note that the interval I_ℓ is chosen in the last iteration ℓ , such that $R(I_\ell)$ maximizes $R(I)$ amongst all $I \in \mathcal{I}$. All the uncolored intervals are assigned the color 0.

Observe that there is a path of intervals colored using the colors 1, 2 and 3. The interval I_j , for $1 \leq j \leq \ell - 1$, see the interval I_{j+1} as its uniquely colored neighbor. The interval I_ℓ sees $I_{\ell-1}$ as its uniquely colored neighbor. Each interval colored 0 will have a neighboring interval colored from $\{1, 2, 3\}$ as its uniquely colored neighbor. \square

The bound of $\chi_{ON}^*(G) \leq 3$ for interval graphs is tight. In particular, there is an interval graph G (see Figure 6) that cannot be colored with three colors when excluding the dummy color 0. That shows the stronger result $\chi_{ON}(G) > 3$, which implies that $\chi_{ON}^*(G) > 2$.




Fig. 6. On the left hand side, we have the graph G' , and on the right hand side we have an interval graph representation of G , a graph where $\chi_{ON}(G) > 3$. The graph G is obtained by replacing each vertex u, v, w, u^*, v^* of G' with a 3-clique and replacing each of $u', u'', v', v'', w', w''$ with a 4-clique.

Lemma 22. *There is an interval graph G such that $\chi_{ON}(G) > 3$ (and thus $\chi_{ON}^*(G) \geq 3$).*

Proof. We define the graph G , an interval representation seen in Figure 6, with the help of a preliminary graph $G' = (V', E')$. Let V' consists of vertices u, v, w and $u', u'', u^*, v', v'', w^*, w', w''$. Let E' be the edges which form the maximal cliques $\{u', u\}, \{u'', u\}, \{u^*, u, v\}, \{v, v'\}, \{v, v''\}, \{w^*, v, w\}, \{w, w'\}, \{w, w''\}$. By this ordering of maximal cliques, we observe that G' is an interval graph.

The graph G is obtained by replacing each vertex u, v, w, u^*, w^* of G' with a 3-clique and replacing $u', u'', v', v'', w', w''$ by a 4-clique. Formally, that is $V(G) =$

$\bigcup_{x \in V'} \{x_1, x_2, x_3\} \cup \{u'_4, u''_4, v'_4, v''_4, w'_4, w''_4\}$ and $E(G) = \bigcup_{vw \in E', i, j \in [4]} v_i w_j$ (for those where vertices v_i and w_j exist). Since G is an interval graph, G' is also an interval graph.

Now we show that G cannot be CFON colored with 3 colors. Assume there is a CFON coloring $C : V(G) \rightarrow \{1, 2, 3\}$. Let h map each vertex $x \in V(G)$ to a uniquely colored neighbor $y \in N(x)$.

Claim. $|C(\{x_1, x_2, x_3\})| = 2$ for every $x \in \{u, v, w\}$.

Proof (Claim's Proof). First, let us assume, for the sake of contradiction, that $|C(\{u_1, u_2, u_3\})| = 1$, say with the coloring $C(\{u_1, u_2, u_3\}) = \{1\}$. Note that the neighborhood $N(\{u'_1, u'_2, u'_3, u'_4\}) \subseteq \{u_1, u_2, u_3, u'_1, u'_2, u'_3, u'_4\}$. It follows that the uniquely colored neighbors $h(\{u'_1, u'_2, u'_3, u'_4\}) \subseteq \{u'_1, u'_2, u'_3, u'_4\}$, which then must satisfy coloring $\{2, 3\} \subseteq C(\{u'_1, u'_2, u'_3, u'_4\})$. Analogously it follows that $\{2, 3\} \subseteq C(\{u''_1, u''_2, u''_3, u''_4\})$. Then we have the contradiction that $C_{\{\mathbb{N}\}}(N(u_1)) \supseteq \{\{1, 1, 2, 2, 3, 3\}\}$. By symmetry the claim also follows for $x \in \{v, w\}$.

It remains to show that $|C(\{x_1, x_2, x_3\})| \neq 3$. For the sake of contradiction, assume WLOG that $C(u_1) = 1, C(u_2) = 2$, and $C(u_3) = 3$. These vertices are adjacent to $\{v_1, v_2, v_3\}$, which as just observed have $|C(\{v_1, v_2, v_3\})| \geq 2$. If $|C(\{v_1, v_2, v_3\})| = 3$, then $C_{\{\mathbb{N}\}}(\{u_1, u_2, u_3, v_1, v_2, v_3\}) = C_{\{\mathbb{N}\}}(N(u_1^*) \setminus \{u_2^*, u_3^*\}) = \{\{1, 1, 2, 2, 3, 3\}\}$, and hence, u_1^* cannot have a uniquely colored neighbor. If $|C(\{v_1, v_2, v_3\})| = 2$, then WLOG, we assume $C(v_1) = 1, C(v_2) = 1, C(v_3) = 2$. Then $C_{\{\mathbb{N}\}}(N(u_3)) \supset \{\{1, 1, 2, 2\}\}$ and thus $C(h(u_3)) = 3$. However, since $h(u_3) \in N(u_1)$, $C_{\{\mathbb{N}\}}(N(u_1)) \supset \{\{1, 1, 2, 2, 3, 3\}\}$ and u_1 cannot have a uniquely colored neighbor.

By symmetry, the claim also follows for $x \in \{v, w\}$. \square

WLOG, we may now assume that $C(v_1) = 1, C(v_2) = 2, C(v_3) = 2$. If $3 \notin C(\{u_1, u_2, u_3\})$, then $h(u_1^*)$ must be colored 3 and be either u_2^* or u_3^* . WLOG, let $h(u_1^*) = u_2^*$. This means $C(u_2^*) = 3$ and $C(u_3^*) \in \{1, 2\}$. By a similar reasoning $C(h(u_2^*)) = 3$. This forces $h(u_2^*) = u_1^*$ and $C(u_1^*) = 3$. However now $C_{\{\mathbb{N}\}}(N(u_3^*)) \supset \{\{1, 1, 2, 2, 3, 3\}\}$, and leaves u_3^* without a uniquely colored neighbor. Hence, $3 \in C(\{u_1, u_2, u_3\})$, analogously, $3 \in C(\{w_1, w_2, w_3\})$.

However, v_1 is now adjacent to at least two vertices of color 3 and two of color 2. Hence, v_1 must be adjacent to exactly one vertex with color 1. This implies either $1 \notin C(\{u_1, u_2, u_3\})$ or $1 \notin C(\{w_1, w_2, w_3\})$. WLOG, suppose $1 \notin C(\{u_1, u_2, u_3\})$. Hence, by the claim above, $2, 3 \in C(\{u_1, u_2, u_3\})$.

However, v_2 is then adjacent to two vertices with color 1 (i.e., v_1 and $h(v_1)$), two vertices of color 2 (i.e., v_3 and one in $\{u_1, u_2, u_3\}$), two vertices of color 3 (i.e., a vertex in $\{u_1, u_2, u_3\}$ and one in $\{v_1, v_2, v_3\}$). That means it does not have a uniquely colored neighbor, a contradiction. Therefore, G cannot be CFON colored with 3 colors. \square

Lemma 23. *If G is a proper interval graph, then $\chi_{ON}^*(G) \leq 2$.*

Proof. Let \mathcal{I} be a unit interval representation of G . We denote the left endpoint of an interval I by $L(I)$. We iteratively assign $C : \mathcal{I} \rightarrow \{1, 2, 0\}$ which will be a CFON* coloring.

At each iteration i , we pick two intervals $I_1^i, I_2^i \in \mathcal{I}$. The interval I_1^i is the interval whose $L(I_1^i)$ is the least among intervals for which C has not been assigned. The choice of I_2^i depends on the two following cases.

- **Case 1:** I_1^i has a neighbor for which C is unassigned.
 We choose I_2^i that has the greatest left endpoint among the intervals in $N(I_1^i)$ without an assigned color. We assign $C(I_1^i) = 1$ and $C(I_2^i) = 2$. All other intervals adjacent to I_1^i and I_2^i are assigned the color 0.
- **Case 2:** C is already assigned for all the neighbors of I_1^i .
 Note that this cannot happen for $i = 1$; otherwise, the graph has an isolated vertex. Choose an interval $I_m \in N(I_2^{i-1}) \cap N(I_1^i)$. Such an I_m exists, because otherwise \mathcal{I} is disconnected. We reassign $C(I_1^{i-1}) = 0$, $C(I_m) = 1$ and assign $C(I_1^i) = 0$.

It is easy to see that for any iteration i , after we assign a color for I_1^i , all intervals whose left endpoint is smaller than $L(I_1^i)$ have been assigned a color (which may be the color 0). Therefore, Case 2 can only happen at the last iteration $i = j$.

We prove by induction on i that that C is a CFON* coloring for the induced subgraph containing I_1^i, I_2^i , their neighbors, and all intervals whose left endpoints on the left of any of them. For the base case $i = 1$, the subgraph only contains I_1^1, I_2^1 , and their neighbors. The claim then holds by construction.

For the inductive step for $i > 1$, we first consider the situation when Case 1 applies at iteration i . Note that the vertices I_1^i (resp. I_2^i) and I_1^{i-1} (resp. I_2^{i-1}) have the same color. However, because of the unit length of the intervals and the choice of the two intervals in each iteration, it is easy to see that no interval intersects both I_1^i and I_1^{i-1} (resp. I_2^i and I_2^{i-1}). Therefore, all intervals colored in the previous iterations still keep their uniquely colored neighbors. Further, the intervals I_1^i and I_2^i act as the uniquely colored neighbors for each other. Lastly, as every interval has unit length, all neighbors of I_1^i that are assigned 0 in iteration i are also neighbors of I_2^i . Therefore, I_2^i is the uniquely colored neighbor of all vertices that are assigned 0 in this iteration.

Now suppose Case 2 applies to iteration i , i.e., we are at the last iteration $i = j$. As argued above, before the reassignment in this iteration, only I_2^{j-1} depends only on I_1^{j-1} for its uniquely colored neighbor. Therefore, the color reassignment of I_1^{j-1} only affects I_2^{j-1} . However, this is not an issue, because the interval I_m is now the new uniquely colored neighbor of I_2^{j-1} . Further, it is also the uniquely colored neighbor of I_j^1 . What remains to be shown is that the color reassignment of I_m does not interfere with the uniquely colored neighbor of any other vertex. Because I_j^1 is not a neighbor of I_{j-1}^2 but I_m , and because the intervals have unit length, we must have $L(I_m) > L(I_{j-1}^2)$. Therefore, no neighbor of I_m is adjacent to another vertex colored 1 in an iteration before $i - 1$. \square

As mentioned above, 2 colors suffice to CFCN* color an interval graph [12]. We show that the CFCN* problem is polynomial time solvable on interval graphs using a characterization.

Theorem 24. *CFCN* problem is polynomial time solvable on interval graphs.*

To show this, we use the *perfect independent dominating set*, defined as follows.

Definition 25 (Perfect Independent Dominating Set). *A perfect dominating set is a set of vertices $S \subseteq V$ such that every vertex outside S has exactly one neighbor in S . A perfect independent dominating set is a perfect dominating set where S is an independent set.*

This problem is also called 1-perfect code in the literature and is NP-hard, even in the case of planar 3-regular graphs [21]. However, it is solvable in polynomial time for interval graphs [5].

The following lemma relates CFCN* coloring with 1 color to perfect independent dominating set.

Lemma 26. *For a graph G , $\chi_{CN}^*(G) = 1$ if and only if G has a perfect independent dominating set.*

Proof. Suppose G has a perfect independent dominating set S . We assign $C : V(G) \rightarrow \{1, 0\}$, such that $C(v) = 1$ if and only if $v \in S$. By the definition of S , it is easy to see that the assignment C is a CFCN* coloring of G .

For the reverse direction, let $C : V(G) \rightarrow \{1, 0\}$ be a CFCN* coloring of G . Let V_1 be the set of vertices in G that are assigned the color 1. Since C is a CFCN* coloring, it follows that V_1 is an independent set and every vertex in $V \setminus V_1$ is adjacent to exactly one vertex in V_1 . Hence, V_1 is a perfect independent dominating set of G . \square

Proof (Proof of Theorem 24). For interval graphs G , it is shown in [12] that $\chi_{CN}^*(G) \leq 2$. Lemma 26 states that the graphs with $\chi_{CN}^*(G) = 1$ are those with a perfect independent dominating set. Chang and Liu [5] showed that a perfect independent dominating set for interval graphs can be computed in polynomial time. The theorem then follows. \square

6 Unit Square and Unit Disk Intersection Graphs

Unit square (or unit disk) intersection graphs are intersection graphs of unit sized axis-aligned squares (or disks, respectively) in the Euclidean plane. It is shown in [12] that $\chi_{CN}^*(G) \leq 4$ for a unit square intersection graph G . They also showed that $\chi_{CN}^*(G) \leq 6$ for a unit disk intersection graph G . We study the CFON* problem on these graphs and get the following constant upper bounds. To the best of our knowledge, no upper bound was previously known on unit square and unit disk graphs for CFON* coloring. Figure 7 is a unit square and unit disk graph.




Fig. 7. A unit square graph G for which $\chi_{ON}^*(G) \geq 3$. The vertices x, y, z have to be assigned distinct non-zero colors. Note that G is also a unit disk graph.

6.1 Unit Square Intersection Graphs

We first discuss the unit square intersection graphs. Consider a unit square representation of such a graph. Each square is identified by its center, which is the intersection point of its diagonals. By unit square, we mean that the distance between its center and its sides is 1, i.e., the length of each side is 2. Sometimes we interchangeably use the term “vertex” for unit square. A *stripe* is the region between two horizontal lines, and the height of the stripe is the distance between these two lines. We consider a unit square as belonging to a stripe if its center is contained in the stripe. If a unit square has its center on the horizontal line that separates two stripes then it is considered in the stripe below the line. We say that a unit square intersection graph has *height* h , if the centers of all the squares lie in a stripe of height h .

Lemma 27. *Unit square intersection graphs of height 2 are CFON* 2-colorable.*

Proof. Let G be a unit square intersection graph of height 2. Note that vertices u and v are adjacent if and only if their X -coordinates differ by at most 2. Thus we may represent G as a unit interval graph by replacing every vertex v by an interval from $v_x - 1$ to $v_x + 1$. Then $\chi_{ON}^*(G) \leq 2$ as seen in Lemma 23. \square

Theorem 28. *If G is a unit square intersection graph, then $\chi_{ON}^*(G) \leq 27$.*

Proof. The approach is to divide the graph into horizontal stripes of height 2 and color the vertices in two phases. Throughout, we denote the X -coordinate and the Y -coordinate of a vertex v with v_x and v_y respectively.

We assign colors $C(v)$, for all the unit squares v of G in two phases. In phase 1, we use 6 colors $C : V \rightarrow \{0\} \cup \{c_{i,0}, c_{i,1} \mid i \in \{0, 1, 2\}\}$. WLOG, we assume that the centers of all the squares have positive Y -coordinates. We partition the plane into horizontal stripes S_ℓ for $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ where each stripe is of height 2. We assign vertex v with Y -coordinate v_y to S_ℓ if $2(\ell-1) < v_y \leq 2\ell$. Let $G[S_\ell]$ be the graph induced by the vertices belonging to the stripe S_ℓ . Then $G[S_\ell]$ has height 2. By Lemma 27, we can color vertices in S_ℓ accordingly using colors $c_{i,0}$ and $c_{i,1}$ where $i = \ell \bmod 3$. Then every vertex $u \in S_\ell$ that is not isolated in $G[S_\ell]$ has




Fig. 8. The vertex $v \in S_{\ell+1}$ is adjacent to two vertices u and w in S_{ℓ} , which are representative vertices for some isolated vertices. In the worst case, $|u_x - w_x| = 4$. The picture describes the positions of the isolated vertices whose representative vertex r is such that $u_x \leq r_x \leq w_x$.

a uniquely colored neighbor v in $G[S_{\ell}]$. Every $w \notin S_{\ell}$ with color $C(w) = C(v)$ must be in a stripe S_{ℓ^*} with $|\ell - \ell^*| \geq 3$. Thus $w \notin N(u)$ and hence v is also a uniquely colored neighbor of u in G . It remains to identify uniquely colored neighbors for the vertices $u \in S_{\ell}$ which are isolated in $G[S_{\ell}]$. Let I be the set of these vertices.

In phase 2, we reassign colors to some of the vertices of G to ensure a uniquely colored neighbor for each vertex in I . For each vertex $v \in I$, choose an arbitrary *representative vertex* $r(v) \in N(v)$. Let $R = \{r(v) \mid v \in I\} \subseteq V(G)$ be the set of representative vertices. We assign $C : R \rightarrow \{c_{i,j} \mid i \in \{0, 1, 2\}, j \in \{2, 3, \dots, 8\}\}$ that replaces the color assigned in phase 1. Consider a stripe S_{ℓ} for $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$. We order the vertices $S_{\ell} \cap R$ non-decreasingly by their X -coordinate and sequentially color them with $c_{i,2}, \dots, c_{i,8}$ where $i = \ell \bmod 3$.

Total number of colors used: The numbers of colors used in phase 1 and phase 2 are 6 and 21 respectively, giving a total of 27.

Correctness: We now prove that the assigned coloring is a valid CFON* coloring. For this we need to prove the following,

- Each vertex in I has a uniquely colored neighbor.
- The coloring in phase 2 does not upset the uniquely colored neighbors (identified in phase 1) of the vertices in $V \setminus I$.

We first prove the following claim.

Claim. For each vertex $v \in V(G)$, all vertices in $N(v) \cap R$ are assigned distinct colors in phase 2.

Proof (Claim's proof). Let $v \in S_{\ell+1}$ (see Figure 8). Assume, for the sake of contradiction, that there are two vertices $u, w \in N(v) \cap R$ such that $C(u) = C(w)$. Then u and w have to be from the same stripe that neighbors $S_{\ell+1}$. WLOG, we may assume that $u, w \in S_{\ell}$, $\ell = 0 \bmod 3$ and $u_x \leq w_x$. We may further assume that $C(u) = C(w) = c_{0,2}$. Then there are eight vertices (including u and w), $R' \subseteq R \cap S_{\ell}$, that are assigned the colors $c_{0,2}, c_{0,3}, \dots, c_{0,8}, c_{0,2}$ and have X -coordinate between u_x and w_x . Note that $|u_x - v_x| \leq 2$ and $|w_x - v_x| \leq 2$.

Vertices R' are the representative vertices of some eight vertices $I' \subseteq I$. By definition, $I' \subseteq S_{\ell+1} \cup S_{\ell-1}$.

First, let us consider $I' \cap S_{\ell+1}$. We claim that there is at most one vertex $u' \in I' \cap S_{\ell+1}$ such that $u'_x < v_x$. Indeed any such vertex $u' \in I'$ must be adjacent to some representative $r \in R'$ with $|r_x - v_x| \leq 2$. Thus the distance between u'_x and v_x is at most 4 and hence there is at most one vertex in $I' \cap S_{\ell+1}$ with lower X -coordinate than v . Analogously, there is at most one vertex $w' \in I' \cap S_{\ell+1}$ such that $w'_x > v_x$. Considering the possibility that $v \in I'$, we have $|I' \cap S_{\ell+1}| \leq 3$.

Now, consider the vertices in $I' \cap S_{\ell-1}$. Again any vertex in $I' \cap S_{\ell-1}$ must be adjacent to some representative $r \in R'$ with $|r_x - v_x| \leq 2$. Thus the X -coordinates of the vertices in $I' \cap S_{\ell-1}$ differ by at most 8. Since the vertices in $I' \cap S_{\ell-1}$ are non-adjacent, we have that $|I' \cap S_{\ell-1}| \leq 4$. This contradicts the assumption that $|I'| = 8$. Thus all vertices $N(v) \cap R$ are assigned distinct colors. \square

We now proceed to the correctness proof.

- **Every vertex $v \in I$ has a uniquely colored neighbor.**
 Let $v \in S_{\ell+1} \cap I$. By the above claim, no two vertices in $N(v) \cap R$ are assigned the same color in phase 2. Moreover, since v is not isolated in G , we have $|N(v) \cap R| \geq 1$ such that it has a uniquely colored neighbor.
- **The coloring in phase 2 does not upset the uniquely colored neighbors of vertices in $V \setminus I$.**
 Let $v \in V \setminus I$ and u be its uniquely colored neighbor after the phase 1 coloring. For v to not have a uniquely colored neighbor after phase 2 coloring, there exists a vertex $w \in N(v)$ such that $C(u) = C(w)$. This implies that both u and w are representative vertices for some vertices in I and they are re-colored in phase 2. This contradicts the above claim. \square

6.2 Unit Disk Intersection Graphs

In this section, we prove a constant upper bound for CFON* coloring unit disk intersection graphs. Consider a unit disk representation of such a graph. Each disk is identified by its center. By unit disk, we mean that its radius is 1. Sometimes we interchangeably use the term “vertex” for unit disk. We consider a unit disk as belonging to a stripe if its center is contained in the stripe. If a unit disk has its center on the horizontal line that separates two stripes then it is considered in the stripe below the line.

We say that a unit disk intersection graph has *height* $\sqrt{3}$, if the centers of all the disks lie in a horizontal stripe of width $\sqrt{3}$. The approach is to divide the graph into horizontal stripes of height $\sqrt{3}$ and color the vertices in two phases. Throughout, we denote the X -coordinate and the Y -coordinate of a vertex v with v_x and v_y respectively.

Theorem 29. *If G is a unit disk intersection graph, then $\chi_{ON}^*(G) \leq 54$.*

Proof. The proof of this theorem will be similar to the proof of Theorem 28, but different in the following three aspects:

- In Theorem 28, we used the result that unit square graphs of height 2 are CFON* 2-colorable. In this theorem, we will use the result that unit disk intersection graphs of height $\sqrt{3}$ are CFCN* 2-colorable, and not CFON* 2-colorable.
- In Theorem 28, the set I for which we needed to identify the uniquely colored neighbor was the set of isolated vertices in the respective stripe. In this theorem, the set I will be the set of vertices colored in phase 1.
- In Theorem 28, the phase 2 coloring involved considering the representative vertices in the order of their X -coordinate. For the phase 2 coloring of this theorem, we consider the vertices in I in the order of their X -coordinate and then color their representative vertices.

We will use the following lemma from [12].

Lemma 30 (Theorem 5 in [12]). *Unit disk intersection graphs of height $\sqrt{3}$ are CFCN* 2-colorable. Further, the horizontal distance between two colored vertices is greater than 1.*

Note that the above lemma pertains to CFCN* coloring and not CFON* coloring. The second sentence in the above lemma is not stated in the statement of Theorem 5 in [12], but rather in its proof. We will use the CFCN* coloring used in the above stated lemma in the process of arriving at a CFON* bound for unit disk intersection graphs. Below we reproduce the coloring process used in the proof of the above lemma in [12].

Proof (Coloring process used in Lemma 30). Let $G = (V, E)$ be a unit disk intersection graph such that the centers of all the disks in G lie in a stripe of height $\sqrt{3}$. The vertices $V(G)$ are processed according to their non-decreasing X -coordinate. A vertex v is *covered* if and only if either it is colored or has a colored neighbor. At each step of the algorithm, we choose a vertex v that covers all uncovered vertices to its left, and assign the color 1 (or 2) if the previous colored vertex was assigned the color 2 (or 1). At the end, each uncolored vertex is assigned the color 0. It follows from the algorithm that the horizontal distance between any two colored vertices is greater than 1. The reader is directed to [12] for the correctness of the algorithm. \square

We assign colors $C(v)$, for all the unit disks v of G in two phases. In phase 1, we use 6 non-zero colors $C : V \rightarrow \{0\} \cup \{c_{i,0}, c_{i,1} \mid i \in \{0, 1, 2\}\}$. WLOG we assume that the centers of all the disks have positive Y -coordinates. We partition the plane into horizontal stripes S_ℓ for $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ where each stripe is of height $\sqrt{3}$. We assign vertex v with Y -coordinate v_y to S_ℓ if $\sqrt{3}(\ell-1) < v_y \leq \sqrt{3}\ell$. Let $G[S_\ell]$ be the graph induced by the vertices belonging to the stripe S_ℓ . Then $G[S_\ell]$ has height $\sqrt{3}$. We CFCN* color vertices in S_ℓ accordingly using (nonzero) colors $c_{i,0}, c_{i,1}$ where $i = \ell \bmod 3$, by Lemma 30. Let I be the set of all colored vertices after this phase. Our goal is to CFON* color all the vertices. Any vertex not in I has a uniquely colored neighbor that is not itself (after phase 1), and hence we only need to identify uniquely colored neighbors for vertices in I .

In phase 2, we reassign colors to some vertices of G to ensure a uniquely colored neighbor for each vertex in I . For each vertex $v \in I$, choose an arbitrary *representative vertex* $r(v) \in N(v)$. Note that two vertices in I may share the same representative vertex. Let $R = \{r(v) \mid v \in I\}$ be the set of representative vertices. We assign $C' : R \rightarrow \{c'_{i,j} \mid i \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}, j \in \{0, 1, \dots, 7\}\}$. Consider a stripe S_ℓ for $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$. We order the vertices $S_\ell \cap I$ non-decreasingly by their X -coordinate. We consider the vertices sequentially in that order. If the representative vertex of the current vertex has not yet been colored in phase 2, we color the representative vertex with a color in $\{c'_{i,0}, \dots, c'_{i,7} \mid i \equiv \ell \pmod{6}\}$ in a cyclic manner (i.e., the first vertex to be colored will take color $c'_{i,0}$, and the next $c'_{i,1}$, and so on). Note that we consider the vertices as per the order in $S_\ell \cap I$, and not as per the order in $S_\ell \cap R$.

Total number of colors used: The number of colors used in phase 1 and phase 2 are 6 and 48 respectively, giving a total of 54.

Correctness: We now prove that the assigned coloring is a valid CFON* coloring, by showing that every vertex has a uniquely colored neighbor.

Firstly, we consider a vertex v not in I and in $G[S_\ell]$ for some ℓ . By definition of the set I , v is adjacent to a vertex u colored by C , after phase 1. Suppose u is not recolored in phase 2. Then since C is a CFCN* coloring of $G[S_\ell]$, v is adjacent to a uniquely colored neighbor $u \neq v$ in $G[S_\ell]$. By the coloring, the distance between v and other vertices in another stripe with the same coloring as u is at least $2\sqrt{3} > 2$. Hence, u is the uniquely colored neighbor of v in G .

Now suppose u is recolored in phase 2 to some color $c'_{i,j}$. For the sake of contradiction, suppose that v is also adjacent to another vertex w with the same color $c'_{i,j}$. Then u and w must be the representative vertices of two vertices a and b in I that are in stripes S_ℓ and $S_{\ell'}$, respectively, such that $\ell \equiv \ell' \pmod{6}$. Since (a, u, v, w, b) forms a path in G , and since two adjacent vertices in G have Euclidean distance at most 2, we conclude that a and b are at the distance of at most 8. If $\ell \neq \ell'$, then $|\ell - \ell'| \geq 6$. This implies $|a_y - b_y| \geq 5\sqrt{3} > 8$, a contradiction. Hence, a and b are in the same stripe. Because u and w have the same color, there must be 7 other vertices in I between a and b in terms of the X -coordinate. By Lemma 30, this implies $|a_x - b_x| > 8$, another contradiction. Hence, v cannot be adjacent to two vertices of the same color.

Lastly, we consider a vertex v in I . Then the representative u of v is colored by C' . With the same argument as above, we can conclude that v is not adjacent to another vertex with the same color. \square

Remark: We believe that the upper bound for unit disk graphs is loose and can be improved.

7 NP-completeness of Unit Square and Unit Disk Intersection Graphs

In this section, we show that the CFON* problem is NP-hard for unit disk and unit square intersection graphs. The idea of the proofs is similar to the NP-completeness proofs in [4, 12] for the CFCN* problem. We prove the following

for unit disk intersection graphs. A similar result can be obtained for unit square intersection graphs.

Theorem 31. *It is NP-complete to determine if a unit disk intersection graph G can be CFON* colored using one color.*

Proof. Given a unit disk intersection graph $G = (V, E)$, and a coloring using one color, we can verify in polynomial time whether the coloring is a valid CFON* coloring. We now prove the NP-hardness aspect of the problem by giving a reduction from POSITIVE PLANAR 1-IN-3-SAT. This version of 3-SAT has the additional conditions that all literals are positive, the clause-variable incidence graph is planar, and the satisfiability (called *1-in-3-satisfiability*) requires each clause to have exactly one variable assigned to true; see Mulzer and Rote [25]. Given a Boolean formula ϕ in an instance of the problem above, we will construct a unit disk intersection graph $G(\phi)$. We show that $G(\phi)$ has a CFON* coloring if and only if ϕ is 1-in-3-satisfiable.

We now explain the construction of $G(\phi)$. Let $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ be the variables and $\{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k\}$ be the clauses of the formula ϕ . Each variable x_j , $1 \leq j \leq n$, is represented by a cycle of length $8k$. We start with an arbitrary vertex and designate the vertex as a_{1j} . The next three consecutive vertices (in anti-clockwise direction) are designated as b_{1j} , c_{1j} and d_{1j} . Every fourth vertex from a_{1j} , b_{1j} , c_{1j} and d_{1j} are denoted by a_{ij} , b_{ij} , c_{ij} and d_{ij} respectively, where $i \in \{2, \dots, 2k\}$, see Figure 9(right).

The clause gadget is illustrated in Figure 9(left). Each clause t_ℓ , $1 \leq \ell \leq k$ is represented by a *clause vertex* c_ℓ (illustrated by the thick vertex in the figure). c_ℓ is connected to a tree of five vertices, as shown in Figure 9(left). The purpose of this tree is to ensure that c_ℓ is not colored, and at the same time, the uniquely colored neighbor of c_ℓ is not in this tree. This can easily be seen, since the two shaded vertices in the figure are forced to be colored. Additionally, there are three paths connecting the clause vertex c_ℓ with the three corresponding variable gadgets; for each variable x_j of the clause t_ℓ , a path connects c_ℓ with the vertex a_{yj} of the corresponding gadget, for some suitable $y \in [2k]$ which we will discuss at the end. The length of each path (defined as the number of vertices excluding c_ℓ and the vertex $a_{\ell j}$ in the variable gadget) is a multiple of 4. For illustration, we show a path of length 4 in Figure 10.

We now show that $G[\phi]$ is CFON* colorable using one color if and only if ϕ is 1-in-3-satisfiable.

Colorability implies Satisfiability: We start from the clause gadgets. Let $m_\ell^1, m_\ell^2, m_\ell^3 \in N(c_\ell)$ be the vertices that connects the clause vertex c_ℓ to each of the variable gadgets. As mentioned before, one of these vertices has to be the uniquely colored neighbor of c_ℓ in any CFON* coloring. WLOG, let m_ℓ^1 be the colored vertex in $N(c_\ell)$. Along the path from m_ℓ^1 to g_ℓ^1 which connects to the vertex $a_{y_1 j_1}$ in the corresponding variable gadget, in any CFON* coloring using one color, we have two colored vertices followed by two uncolored vertices followed by two colored vertices and so on starting from m_ℓ^1 . In particular, the vertex g_ℓ^1 is uncolored, and $a_{y_1 j_1}$ is colored (to be the uniquely colored neighbor of g_ℓ^1).




Fig. 9. The clause gadget is on the left. The thick disk indicates the clause vertex c_ℓ and the dotted disks indicate the connection with the variable gadgets. The shaded vertices force the clause vertex to not draw its uniquely colored neighbor from within the clause gadget. On the right, we have the variable gadget for x_j .




Fig. 10. Path connecting the clause vertex c_ℓ to the vertex $a_{y_i j_i}$ in the variable gadget of x_{j_i} . The dotted vertices are a part of the clause gadget. The vertices m_ℓ^i and e_ℓ^i are colored if the variable x_{j_i} is true. Else, the vertices e_ℓ^i and f_ℓ^i are colored. Every fourth vertex from the initial colored vertices are colored along the path, starting from either $\{m_\ell^i, e_\ell^i\}$ or $\{e_\ell^i, f_\ell^i\}$.

Along the path from m_ℓ^2 (resp. m_ℓ^3) to its corresponding variable gadget, we have two vertices colored followed by two uncolored vertices, then followed by two colored vertices and so on starting from $N(m_\ell^2) \setminus \{c_\ell\}$ (resp. $N(m_\ell^3) \setminus \{c_\ell\}$) in the path to the corresponding variable gadget. This ensures that the last vertex g_ℓ^i in the path where $i \in \{2, 3\}$ is uncolored and its neighbor, say $a_{y_i j_i}$, in the corresponding variable gadget is also uncolored.

Further, observe that g_ℓ^i for $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ is uncolored, and hence, the vertex $a_{y_i j_i}$ has its uniquely colored neighbor within the variable gadget of x_{j_i} . Because of this, we also have the same coloring pattern along the cycle of any variable gadget, i.e. an alternation between a pair of colored vertices and a pair of uncolored vertices. This implies that in a valid CFON* coloring using one color, for each variable gadget of a variable x_j , all the a_{yj} are either colored or uncolored for $1 \leq y \leq 2k$. In addition, as argued above, if a_{yj} is connected to a clause vertex c_ℓ , then a_{yj} is colored if and only if the corresponding adjacent vertex m_ℓ^i of c_ℓ is colored.

Therefore, in the CFON* coloring, if all the vertices a_{yj} are colored in the gadget x_j for each $1 \leq y \leq 2k$, we set x_j to be true in ϕ . Else, we set x_j to be false. The arguments above imply that for each clause gadget c_ℓ , exactly one of the variable gadgets x_j connecting to c_ℓ has all vertices a_{yj} colored. This translates to that exactly one variable is set to true in each clause, making ϕ 1-in-3-satisfiable.

Satisfiability implies Colorability: For each variable x_j in ϕ that is set to true, color all vertices a_{yj} and b_{yj} for each $1 \leq y \leq 2k$. Else, color all vertices b_{yj} and c_{yj} for each $1 \leq y \leq 2k$. In either case, the remaining vertices in the gadget are left uncolored. Such a coloring ensures that every vertex in x_j has a uniquely colored neighbor from x_j . The case when all vertices a_{yj} and b_{yj} are colored is illustrated in Figure 10.

In the case when all vertices a_{yj} are colored for $1 \leq y \leq 2k$, suppose a vertex $a_{y'j}$ is adjacent to a vertex g_ℓ^i along the path to a clause gadget t_ℓ . Since $a_{y'j}$ is colored and already has a uniquely colored neighbor, g_ℓ^i is left uncolored along with its other neighbor in $N(g_\ell^i) \setminus \{a_{y'j}\}$ along the path. We now color the next two vertices on the path, leave the next two vertices uncolored and so on till we reach m_ℓ^i . This forces the vertices m_ℓ^i and $N(m_\ell^i) \setminus \{c_\ell\}$ to be colored.

The other case when all a_{yj} are left uncolored in x_j ensures all its connecting paths to the clause gadgets ending at m_ℓ^i will be uncolored and have a uniquely colored neighbor in $N(m_\ell^i) \setminus \{c_\ell\}$.

Since ϕ is positive planar 1-in-3-satisfiable, each clause t_ℓ has exactly one variable set to true which ensures exactly one colored neighbor of c_ℓ and that neighbor leads the path to the variable gadget which is set to true. We have a CFON* coloring using one color according to the above rules.

Given that ϕ is a POSITIVE PLANAR 1-IN-3-SAT, we now argue that the graph $G(\phi)$ is a unit disk intersection graph and can be constructed in polynomial time. Though the arguments are similar to the arguments in [12], for the sake of completeness, we explicitly provide them here. We first transform all the curved edges in the embedding of ϕ 's clause-variable incidence graph into straight line segments with vertices placed on an $O(n+k) \times O(n+k)$ grid. Fraysseix, Pach, and Pollack [9] showed that such a straight line segment embedding of ϕ can be obtained in polynomial time. This embedding is enlarged to make sure that, when the vertices of the embedding are replaced by their respective gadgets, the gadgets are sufficiently far apart. The clause vertex in the embedding is replaced

by the clause vertex in the gadget while the variable vertex is replaced with the center of the variable gadget marked by a cross (\times) in Figure 9.

The edges between variables and clauses are replaced by paths of length divisible by 4. We can perform some local shifting modifications to ensure that the path length is divisible by 4. In case of multiple options for a_{yj} when connecting a clause gadget to a variable gadget x_j , we choose the one which is closest, while ensuring that each vertex a_{yj} of each variable gadget is connected to at most one clause gadget. Note that we may have to bend the some paths while trying to make the connections, while ensuring that the connecting paths between clause gadgets and variable gadgets do not intersect. \square

Theorem 32. *It is NP-complete to determine if a unit square intersection graph can be CFON* colored using one color.*

Proof. The reduction is from POSITIVE PLANAR 1-IN-3 SAT. The proof of this theorem is similar to the proof of Theorem 31 where we consider unit squares whenever we say unit disks. \square

8 Kneser Graphs

In this section, we study the CFON* and the CFCN* colorings of Kneser graphs.

Definition 33 (Kneser graph). *The Kneser graph $K(n, k)$ is the graph whose vertices are $\binom{[n]}{k}$, the k -sized subsets of $[n]$, and the vertices x and y are adjacent if and only if $x \cap y = \emptyset$ (when x and y are viewed as sets).*

Observe that for $n < 2k$, $K(n, k)$ has no edges, and for $n = 2k$, $K(n, k)$ is a perfect matching. Since we are only interested in connected graph, we assume $n \geq 2k + 1$. For this value range of n , we show that $\chi_{ON}^*(K(n, k)) \leq k + 1$. Further, we prove that this is tight for $n \geq 2k^2 + k$. We conjecture that this bound is tight for all $n \geq 2k + 1$. In addition, we also show an upper bound for $\chi_{CN}^*(K(n, k))$.

Theorem 34. *For $n \geq 2k^2 + k$, $\chi_{ON}^*(K(n, k)) = k + 1$.*

The above theorem is an immediate corollary of the two lemmas below. During the discussion, we shall use the words k -set or k -subset to refer to a set of size k . We shall sometimes refer to the k -subsets of $[n]$ and the vertices of $K(n, k)$ in an interchangeable manner. We also use the symbol $\binom{S}{k}$ to denote the set of all k -subsets of a set S .

Lemma 35. *$k + 1$ colors are sufficient to CFON* color $K(n, k)$ for $n \geq 2k + 1$.*

Proof. Consider the following assignment to the vertices of $K(n, k)$:

- For any vertex (k -set) v that is a subset of $\{1, 2, \dots, 2k\}$, we assign $C(v) = \max_{\ell \in v} \ell - (k - 1)$.
- All the remaining vertices are assigned the color 0.

For example, for the Kneser graph $K(n, 3)$, we assign the color 1 to the vertex $\{1, 2, 3\}$, color 2 to the vertices $\{1, 2, 4\}, \{1, 3, 4\}, \{2, 3, 4\}$, color 3 to the vertices $\{1, 2, 5\}, \{1, 3, 5\}, \{1, 4, 5\}, \{2, 3, 5\}, \{2, 4, 5\}, \{3, 4, 5\}$, color 4 to the vertices $\{1, 2, 6\}, \{1, 3, 6\}, \{1, 4, 6\}, \{1, 5, 6\}, \{2, 3, 6\}, \{2, 4, 6\}, \{2, 5, 6\}, \{3, 4, 6\}, \{3, 5, 6\}, \{4, 5, 6\}$, and color 0 to all the remaining vertices.

Now, we prove that the above coloring is a CFON* coloring. Let C_i be the set of all vertices assigned the color i . Notice that $C_1 \cup C_2 \cup \dots \cup C_{k+1} = \binom{[2k]}{k}$. In other words, all the colored vertices form $K(2k, k)$, which, as observed at the beginning of this section, is a perfect matching. By construction, each matching is between a vertex with color $k + 1$ and a vertex with a different color. Hence, they are the uniquely colored neighbor of one another.

Now we have to show the presence of uniquely colored neighbors for vertices that have some elements from outside $[2k]$. Let v be such a vertex. That is, $v \cap [2k] \neq v$. Let $t = t(v)$ be the smallest nonnegative integer such that $|[k + t] \setminus v| = k$. Since v has at least one element from outside $[2k]$, t is at most $k - 1$.

By construction, the vertex $u = [k + t] \setminus v$ has color $t + 1$ and is adjacent to v . Also by construction, $[k + t]$ contains exactly k entries not in v and all these k entries are in u . Hence, for another vertex with color $t + 1$, all of its k entries are in $[k + t]$ and at least one of them is contained in v . This implies that no other neighbors of v have color $t + 1$, and u is the uniquely colored neighbor of v . \square

Now we show that $k + 1$ colors are necessary to CFON* color $K(n, k)$, when n is large enough.

Lemma 36. $k + 1$ colors are necessary to CFON* color $K(n, k)$ when $n \geq 2k^2 + k$.

Proof. We prove this by contradiction. Suppose that $K(n, k)$ can be colored using the k colors $1, 2, 3, \dots, k$, besides the color 0. For each $1 \leq i \leq k$, let C_i denote the set of all vertices colored with the color i .

We will show that there exists a vertex x that does not have a uniquely colored neighbor, i.e., $|N(x) \cap C_i| \neq 1$, for all $1 \leq i \leq k$. We construct the vertex (k -set) x , by choosing elements in it as follows. Suppose there are C_i 's that are singleton, i.e., $|C_i| = 1$. For all the singleton C_i 's we choose a hitting set. In other words, we choose entries in x so as to ensure that x intersects with the vertices in all the singleton C_i 's. This partially constructed x may also intersect with vertices in other color classes. Some of the other C_i 's might become "effectively singleton", that is x may intersect with all the vertices in those C_i 's except one. We now choose further entries in x so as to hit these effectively singleton C_i 's too. Finally, we terminate this process when all the remaining C_i 's are not singleton.

At this stage, if x has exactly k entries, then all the C_i 's are hit, and hence no colored vertices are adjacent to x . Hence, x has no colored neighbors.

Otherwise, the number of entries in x is $t < k$. To fill up the remaining entries of x , we consider the set(s) C_j that have not become effectively singleton. For each of these sets C_j , we choose two distinct vertices, say $y_j, y'_j \in C_j$. We choose the remaining entries of x so that $x \cap y_j = \emptyset$ and $x \cap y'_j = \emptyset$. The number of such sets C_j is $k - t$. So for choosing the remaining entries of x , we have at least

$n - t - 2k(k - t)$ choices for the remaining $k - t$ entries. Because $n \geq 2k^2 + k$, we can choose such entries. \square

Next, we consider the CFCN* coloring of Kneser graphs. Observe that since the chromatic number of $K(n, k)$ is $n - 2k + 2$ [23], we have that $\chi_{CN}(K(n, k)) \leq n - 2k + 2$. We show the following:

Theorem 37. *When $2k + 1 \leq n \leq 3k - 1$, we have $\chi_{CN}^*(K(n, k)) \leq n - 2k + 1$. When $n \geq 3k$, we have $\chi_{CN}^*(K(n, k)) \leq k$.*

Lemma 38. *When $n \geq 2k + 1$, we have $\chi_{CN}^*(K(n, k)) \leq k$.*

Proof. We assign the following coloring to the vertices of $K(n, k)$:

- For any vertex (k -set) v that is a subset of $\{1, 2, \dots, 2k - 1\}$, we assign $C(v) = \max_{\ell \in v} \ell - (k - 1)$.
- All the remaining vertices are assigned the color 0.

For $1 \leq i \leq k$, let C_i be the color class of the color i . Notice that $C_1 \cup C_2 \cup \dots \cup C_k = \binom{[2k-1]}{k}$. Since any two k -subsets of $\{1, 2, \dots, 2k - 1\}$ intersect, it follows that $\binom{[2k-1]}{k}$ is an independent set. Hence each of the color classes C_1, C_2, \dots, C_k are independent sets. So if v is colored with color i , where $1 \leq i \leq k$, it has no neighbors of its own color. Hence, it serves as its own uniquely colored neighbor.

If v is assigned the color 0, then $v \not\subseteq [2k - 1]$. That is, v has some elements from outside $[2k - 1] = \{1, 2, \dots, 2k - 1\}$. Let $t = t(v)$ be the smallest nonnegative integer such that $|\{1, 2, \dots, k + t\} \setminus v| = k$. Since v has at least one element from outside $\{1, 2, \dots, 2k - 1\}$, t is at most $k - 1$. It is easy to verify that the vertex corresponding to the set $\{1, 2, \dots, k + t\} \setminus v$ is the lone neighbor of v that is colored $t + 1$, and thus serves as the uniquely colored neighbor of v . \square

Lemma 39. $\chi_{CN}(K(2k + 1, k)) = 2$, for all $k \geq 1$.

Proof. Consider a vertex v of $K(2k + 1, k)$. If $v \cap \{1, 2\} \neq \emptyset$, we assign color 1 to v . Else, we assign color 2 to v .

Let C_1 and C_2 be the sets of vertices colored 1 and 2 respectively. Below, we discuss the unique colors for every vertex of $K(n, k)$.

- If $v \in C_1$ and $\{1, 2\} \subseteq v$, then v is the uniquely colored neighbor of itself. This is because all the vertices in C_1 contain either 1 or 2 and hence v has no neighbors in C_1 .
- Let $v \in C_1$ and $|v \cap \{1, 2\}| = 1$. WLOG, let $1 \in v$ and $2 \notin v$. In this case, v has a uniquely colored neighbor $w \in C_2$. The vertex w is the k -set $w = [2k + 1] \setminus (v \cup \{2\})$.
- If $w \in C_2$, w is the unique color neighbor of itself. This is because C_2 is an independent set. For two vertices $w, w' \in C_2$ to be adjacent, we need $|w \cup w'| = 2k$, but vertices in C_2 are subsets of $\{3, 4, 5, \dots, 2k + 1\}$, which has cardinality $2k - 1$.

\square

Lemma 40. $\chi_{CN}(K(2k+d, k)) \leq d+1$, for all $k \geq 1$.

Proof. We prove this by induction on d . The base case of $d = 1$ is true by Lemma 39. Suppose $K(2k+d, k)$ has a CFCN coloring that uses $d+1$ colors. Let us consider $K(2k+d+1, k)$. For all the vertices of $K(2k+d+1, k)$ that appear in $K(2k+d, k)$ we use the same assignment as in $K(2k+d, k)$. The new vertices (the vertices that contain $2k+d+1$) are assigned the new color $d+2$. As all the new vertices contain $2k+d+1$, they form an independent set. Hence each of the new vertices serve as their own uniquely colored neighbor.

The vertices of $K(2k+d+1, k)$ already present in $K(2k+d, k)$ get new neighbors, but all the new neighbors are colored with the new color $d+2$. Hence the unique colors of the existing vertices are retained. \square

Lemma 40 implies that $\chi_{CN}^*(K(n, k)) \leq \chi_{CN}(K(n, k)) \leq n - 2k + 1$, when $n \geq 2k + 1$. So, from Lemma 38 and Lemma 40 we get Theorem 37.

$$\chi_{CN}^*(K(n, k)) \leq \begin{cases} n - 2k + 1, & \text{for } 2k + 1 \leq n \leq 3k - 1 \\ k, & \text{for } n \geq 3k \end{cases}.$$

9 Split Graphs

In this section, we study the CFON* and the CFCN* colorings of split graphs. We show that CFON* problem is NP-complete and CFCN* problem is polynomial time solvable.

Definition 41 (Split Graph). A graph $G = (V, E)$ is a split graph if there exists a partition of $V = K \cup I$ such that the graph induced by K is a clique and the graph induced by I is an independent set.

Theorem 42. The CFON* problem is NP-complete on split graphs.

Proof. We give a reduction from the classical graph coloring problem. Given an instance (G, k) of graph coloring, we construct an auxiliary graph $G_1 = (V_1, E_1)$ from $G(V, E)$ such that $V_1 = V \cup \{x, y\}$ and $E_1 = E \cup \{xy\} \cup \bigcup_{v \in V} \{xv, yv\}$. Note that $N(x) = V \cup \{y\}$ and $N(y) = V \cup \{x\}$. Now we construct the graph $G_2 = (V_2, E_2)$ from G_1 such that

$$V_2 = V_1 \cup \{I_{uv} \mid uv \in E_1\} \cup \{I_v \mid v \in V_1\}, \text{ and}$$

$$E_2 = \{uv \mid u, v \in V_1\} \cup \{uI_{uv}, vI_{uv} \mid uv \in E_1\} \cup \{uI_u \mid u \in V_1\}.$$

Note that G_2 is a split graph (K, I) with the clique $K = V_1$ and $I = V_2 \setminus V_1$. The construction of the graph G_2 from G can be done in polynomial time. Let $I = I_1 \cup I_2$ where I_1 and I_2 represents the set of degree one vertices and the set of degree two vertices in I respectively.

Now, we argue that $\chi(G) \leq k$ if and only if $\chi_{CN}^*(G_2) \leq k+2$, where $k \geq 3$. We first prove the forward direction. Given a k -coloring C_G of G , we extend C_G to the coloring C_{G_2} for G_2 using $k+2$ colors. For all vertices $v \in V$, $C_{G_2}(v) = C_G(v)$.

We assign $C_{G_2}(x) = k+1$, $C_{G_2}(y) = k+2$. All vertices in $I_1 \cup I_2$ are left uncolored. Every vertex $v \in K \setminus \{x\}$ has x as its uniquely colored neighbor whereas the vertex y is the uniquely colored neighbor for x . For each vertex $I_{uv} \in I_2$, we have $N(I_{uv}) = \{u, v\}$ and $C_{G_2}(u) \neq C_{G_2}(v)$. Hence the vertices u and v act as the uniquely colored neighbors for I_{uv} . Each vertex $I_u \in I_1$ will have the vertex u as its uniquely colored neighbor.

Now, we prove the converse. Given a CFON* $(k+2)$ -coloring C_{G_2} of G_2 , we show that C_{G_2} when restricted to the vertices of G gives a k -coloring C_G of G . Observe that each vertex in K is colored in any CFON* coloring of G_2 , because it is adjacent to a degree-one vertex in I_1 . For every edge $uv \in E_1$, we have $C_{G_2}(u) \neq C_{G_2}(v)$ as $N(I_{uv}) = \{u, v\}$. This implies x and y do not share the same color with each other nor with other vertices in V . It also implies that for every edge $uv \in E$, we have $C_{G_2}(u) \neq C_{G_2}(v)$. Hence, the coloring C_{G_2} when restricted to the set $K \setminus \{x, y\} = V$ is a k -coloring of G . \square

Theorem 43. *The CFCN* problem is polynomial time solvable on split graphs.*

The proof of Theorem 43 is through a characterization. We first show that for split graphs G , $\chi_{CN}^*(G) \leq 2$. Then we characterize split graphs G for which $\chi_{CN}^*(G) = 1$ thereby proving Theorem 43.

Lemma 44. *If $G = (V, E)$ is a split graph, then $\chi_{CN}^*(G) \leq 2$.*

Proof. Let $V = K \cup I$ be a partition of vertices into a clique K and an independent set I . We use $C : V \rightarrow \{1, 2, 0\}$ to assign colors to the vertices of V . Choose an arbitrary vertex $u \in K$ and assign $C(u) = 2$. The remaining vertices (if any) in $K \setminus \{u\}$ are assigned the color 0. For every vertex $v \in I$, we assign $C(v) = 1$. Each vertex in I will have itself as the uniquely colored neighbor and every vertex in K will have the vertex u as the uniquely colored neighbor. \square

We now characterize split graphs that are CFCN* colorable using one color.

Lemma 45. *Let $G = (V, E)$ be a split graph with $V = K \cup I$, where K and I are the clique and independent set respectively. We have $\chi_{CN}^*(G) = 1$ if and only if at least one of the following is true: (i) G has a universal vertex, or (ii) $\forall v \in K, |N(v) \cap I| = 1$.*

Proof. We first prove the reverse direction. If there exists a universal vertex $u \in V$, then we assign the color 1 to u and assign the color 0 to all vertices in $V \setminus \{u\}$. This is a CFCN* coloring.

Suppose $\forall v \in K, |N(v) \cap I| = 1$. (Note that K cannot be empty because we assume G to be connected.) We assign the color 1 to each vertex in I and color 0 to the vertices in K . Each vertex in I acts as the uniquely colored neighbor for itself and for its neighbor(s) in K .

For the forward direction, let $C : V \rightarrow \{1, 0\}$ be a CFCN* coloring of G . We further assume that $\exists y \in K, |N(y) \cap I| \neq 1$ and show that there exists a universal vertex. We assume that $|K| \geq 2$ and $|I| \geq 1$ (if either assumption is violated, G has a universal vertex). We first prove the following claim.

Claim. Exactly one vertex in K is assigned the color 1.

Proof. Suppose not. Let two vertices $v, v' \in K$ be such that $C(v) = C(v') = 1$. Then none of the vertices in K have a uniquely colored neighbor.

Suppose if all vertices in K are assigned the color 0. For vertices in I to have a uniquely colored neighbor, each vertex in I has to be assigned the color 1. By assumption, $\exists y \in K$ such that $|N(y) \cap I| \neq 1$. This means that y does not have a uniquely colored neighbor. \square

Now we show that there is a universal vertex in K .

By the above claim, there is a unique vertex $v \in K$ such that $C(v) = 1$. We will show that v is a universal vertex. Suppose not. Let $w' \notin N(v) \cap I$. For w' to have a uniquely colored neighbor, either w' or one of its neighbors in K has to be assigned the color 1. The latter is not possible because v is the lone vertex in K that is colored 1. If $C(w') = 1$, then its neighbor(s) in K does not have a uniquely colored neighbor because of the vertices w' and v . Hence, v is a universal vertex. \square

By Lemmas 44, 45, and the fact that conditions in the latter lemma can be checked in polynomial time, we obtain Theorem 43.

10 Conclusion

We gave an FPT algorithm for conflict-free coloring for the combined parameters clique width w and number of colors k . Since the problem is NP-hard for constant number of colors k , it is unlikely to be FPT with respect to k only. As we have shown in Theorems 7 and 8, the conflict-free chromatic numbers are not bounded by a function of the clique width. So it is unlikely that our result can be strengthened to a FPT algorithm for parameter clique width w only. However, it is unclear whether such a FPT algorithm exists.

Recently, Gonzalez and Mann [17] showed that both open neighborhood and closed neighborhood variants are polynomial time solvable when mim-width and k are constants. In particular, they design XP algorithms in terms of mim-width and k . Since mim-width generalizes clique width, it is interesting to see if there exists a FPT algorithm parameterized by mim-width and k .

Further, we showed a constant upper bound of conflict-free chromatic numbers for several graph classes. For most of them we established matching or almost matching lower bounds for their respective conflict-free chromatic numbers. For unit square and square disk graphs there is still a wide gap, and it would be interesting to improve those bounds.

Acknowledgment: We would like to thank Stefan Lendl, Rogers Mathew, and Lasse Wulf for helpful discussions. We would also like to thank Alexander Hermans for his help on finding a lower bound example for interval graphs. The fourth author acknowledges DST-SERB (MTR/2020/000497) for supporting this research. The last author acknowledges DST-SERB (SRG/2020/001162) for funding to support this research.

References

1. Zachary Abel, Victor Alvarez, Erik D. Demaine, Sándor P. Fekete, Aman Gour, Adam Hesterberg, Phillip Keldenich, and Christian Scheffer. Conflict-free coloring of graphs. *SIAM Journal on Discrete Mathematics*, 32(4):2675–2702, 2018.
2. Akanksha Agrawal, Pradeesha Ashok, Meghana M. Reddy, Saket Saurabh, and Dolly Yadav. FPT algorithms for conflict-free coloring of graphs and chromatic terrain guarding. *CoRR*, abs/1905.01822, 2019.
3. Hans-Jürgen Bandelt and Henry Martyn Mulder. Distance-hereditary graphs. *J. Comb. Theory, Ser. B*, 41(2):182–208, 1986.
4. Hans L. Bodlaender, Sudeshna Kolay, and Astrid Pieterse. Parameterized complexity of conflict-free graph coloring. In *Algorithms and Data Structures - 16th International Symposium, WADS 2019, Edmonton, AB, Canada, August 5-7, 2019, Proceedings*, pages 168–180, 2019.
5. Maw-Shang Chang and Yi-Chang Liu. Polynomial algorithms for weighted perfect domination problems on interval and circular-arc graphs. *J. Inf. Sci. Eng.*, 11(4):549–568, 1994.
6. Derek G. Corneil, Helmut Lerchs, and L. Stewart Burlingham. Complement reducible graphs. *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, 3(3):163–174, 1981.
7. Bruno Courcelle and Stephan Olariu. Upper bounds to the clique width of graphs. *Discret. Appl. Math.*, 101(1-3):77–114, 2000.
8. Marek Cygan, Fedor V. Fomin, Lukasz Kowalik, Daniel Lokshtanov, Dániel Marx, Marcin Pilipczuk, Michal Pilipczuk, and Saket Saurabh. *Parameterized Algorithms*. Springer, 2015.
9. Hubert de Fraysseix, János Pach, and Richard Pollack. How to draw a planar graph on a grid. *Combinatorica*, 10:41–51, 1990.
10. Rodney G Downey and Michael R Fellows. *Fundamentals of parameterized complexity*, volume 4. Springer, 2013.
11. Guy Even, Zvi Lotker, Dana Ron, and Shakhar Smorodinsky. Conflict-free colorings of simple geometric regions with applications to frequency assignment in cellular networks. *SIAM J. Comput.*, 33(1):94–136, January 2004.
12. Sándor P. Fekete and Phillip Keldenich. Conflict-free coloring of intersection graphs. *International Journal of Computational Geometry & Applications*, 28(03):289–307, 2018.
13. Frédéric Gardi. The roberts characterization of proper and unit interval graphs. *Discrete Mathematics*, 307(22):2906 – 2908, 2007.
14. Luisa Gargano and Adele Rescigno. Collision-free path coloring with application to minimum-delay gathering in sensor networks. *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, 157:1858–1872, 04 2009.
15. Luisa Gargano and Adele A. Rescigno. Complexity of conflict-free colorings of graphs. *Theor. Comput. Sci.*, 566(C):39–49, February 2015.
16. Martin Charles Golumbic and Udi Rotics. On the clique-width of some perfect graph classes. volume 11, pages 423–443. 2000. Selected papers from the Workshop on Theoretical Aspects of Computer Science (WG 99), Part 1 (Ascona).
17. Carolina Lucía Gonzalez and Felix Mann. On d-stable locally checkable problems on bounded mim-width graphs. *CoRR*, abs/2203.15724, 2022.
18. Edward Howorka. A characterization of distance-hereditary graphs. *Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2)*, 28(112):417–420, 1977.
19. Fei Huang, Shanshan Guo, and Jinjiang Yuan. A short note on open-neighborhood conflict-free colorings of graphs. *SIAM Journal on Discrete Mathematics*, 34(3):2009–2015, 2020.

20. Chaya Keller, Alexandre Rok, and Shakhar Smorodinsky. Conflict-free coloring of string graphs. *Discrete & Computational Geometry*, pages 1–36, 2020.
21. Jan Kratochvíl. Regular codes in regular graphs are difficult. *Discrete Math.*, 133(1-3):191–205, 1994.
22. Prasad Krishnan, Rogers Mathew, and Subrahmanyam Kalyanasundaram. Pliable index coding via conflict-free colorings of hypergraphs. In *IEEE International Symposium on Information Theory, ISIT 2021, Melbourne, Australia, July 12-20, 2021*, pages 214–219. IEEE, 2021.
23. László Lovász. Kneser’s conjecture, chromatic number, and homotopy. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A*, 25(3):319 – 324, 1978.
24. L. Markenzon and C. F. E. M. Waga. Characterizing block graphs in terms of one-vertex extensions. *TEMA Tend. Mat. Apl. Comput.*, 20(2):323–330, 2019.
25. Wolfgang Mulzer and Günter Rote. Minimum-weight triangulation is NP-hard. *J. ACM*, 55(2):Art. 11, 29, 2008.
26. Sang-il Oum and Paul D. Seymour. Approximating clique-width and branch-width. *J. Comb. Theory, Ser. B*, 96(4):514–528, 2006.
27. Vinodh P Vijayan and E. Gopinathan. Design of collision-free nearest neighbor assertion and load balancing in sensor network system. *Procedia Computer Science*, 70:508–514, 12 2015.
28. Janos Pach and Gabor Tardos. Conflict-free colourings of graphs and hypergraphs. *Combinatorics, Probability and Computing*, 18(5):819–834, 2009.
29. I. Vinod Reddy. Parameterized algorithms for conflict-free colorings of graphs. *Theor. Comput. Sci.*, 745:53–62, 2018.
30. Shakhar Smorodinsky. Conflict-free coloring and its applications. In *Geometry—Intuitive, Discrete, and Convex*, pages 331–389. Springer, 2013.
31. Manuel Sorge and Mathias Weller. The graph parameter hierarchy. <https://manyu.pro/assets/parameter-hierarchy.pdf>. Accessed: 2021-03-09.
32. Douglas B. West. *Introduction to graph theory*. Prentice Hall, Inc., Upper Saddle River, NJ, 1996.