

Conflict-Free Coloring: Graphs of Bounded Clique Width and Intersection Graphs

Sriram Bhyravarapu¹, Tim A. Hartmann², Subrahmanyam Kalyanasundaram¹
and I. Vinod Reddy³

¹ Department of Computer Science and Engineering, IIT Hyderabad

² Department of Computer Science, RWTH Aachen

³ Department of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science, IIT Bhilai
cs16resch11001@iith.ac.in, hartmann@algo.rwth-aachen.de,
subruk@iith.ac.in, vinod@iitbhilai.ac.in

Abstract. Given an undirected graph, a conflict-free coloring (CFON*) is an assignment of colors to a subset of the vertices of the graph such that for every vertex there exists a color that is assigned to exactly one vertex in its open neighborhood. The minimum number of colors required for such a coloring is called the conflict-free chromatic number. The decision version of the CFON* problem is NP-complete even on planar graphs.

In this paper, we show the following results.

- The CFON* problem is fixed-parameter tractable with respect to the combined parameters clique width and the solution size.
- We study the problem on block graphs and cographs, which have bounded clique width. For both graph classes, we give tight bounds of three and two respectively for the CFON* chromatic number.
- We study the problem on the following intersection graphs: interval graphs, unit square graphs and unit disk graphs. We give tight bounds of two and three for the CFON* chromatic number for proper interval graphs and interval graphs. Moreover, we give upper bounds for the CFON* chromatic number on unit square and unit disk graphs.
- We also study the problem on split graphs and Kneser graphs. For split graphs, we show that the problem is NP-complete. For Kneser graphs $K(n, k)$, when $n \geq k(k+1)^2 + 1$, we show that the CFON* chromatic number is $k+1$.

We also study the closed neighborhood variant of the problem denoted by CFCN*, and obtain analogous results in some of the above cases.

1 Introduction

Given an undirected graph $G = (V, E)$, a *conflict-free coloring* is an assignment of colors to a subset of the vertices of G such that every vertex in G has a uniquely colored vertex in its neighborhood. The minimum number of colors required for such a coloring is called the *conflict-free chromatic number*. This problem was introduced in 2002 by Even, Lotker, Ron and Smorodinsky [11], motivated by the frequency assignment problem in cellular networks where base stations and

clients communicate with one another. To avoid interference, we require that there exists a base station with a unique frequency in the neighborhood of each client. Since the number of frequencies are limited and expensive, it is ideal to minimize the number of frequencies used.

This problem has been well studied [1,5,14,20,22] for nearly 20 years. Several variants of the problem have been studied. We focus on the following variant of the problem with respect to both closed and open neighborhoods, which are defined as follows.

Definition 1 (Conflict-Free Coloring). *A CFON* coloring of a graph $G = (V, E)$ using k colors is an assignment $C : V(G) \rightarrow \{0\} \cup \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ such that for every $v \in V(G)$, there exists a color $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ such that $|N(v) \cap C^{-1}(i)| = 1$. The smallest number of colors required for a CFON* coloring of G is called the CFON* chromatic number of G , denoted by $\chi_{ON}^*(G)$.*

The closed neighborhood variant, CFCN coloring, is obtained by replacing the open neighborhood $N(v)$ by the closed neighborhood $N[v]$ in the above. The corresponding chromatic number is denoted by $\chi_{CN}^*(G)$.*

In the above definition, vertices assigned the color 0 are treated as “uncolored”. Hence in a CFON* coloring (or CFCN* coloring), no vertex can have a vertex colored 0 as its uniquely colored neighbor. The *CFON* problem* (resp. *CFCN* problem*) is to compute the minimum number of colors required for a CFON* coloring (resp. CFCN* coloring) of a graph. Abel et al. in [1] showed that both the problems are NP-complete even for planar graphs. They also showed that eight colors are sufficient to CFON* color planar graphs, which was improved to four colors [16]. Further these problems have been studied on outerplanar graphs [4], and intersection graphs like string graphs, circle graphs [17], disk graphs, square graphs and interval graphs [12]. Continuing this line of work, we study these problems on various restricted graph classes such as block graphs, cographs, intervals graphs, unit square graphs, unit disk graphs, Kneser graphs and split graphs.

The parameterized complexity of conflict-free coloring, for both neighborhoods, has been of recent research interest. They are fixed-parameter tractable (FPT) when parameterized by tree width [2, 5], distance to cluster (distance to disjoint union of cliques) [21] and neighborhood diversity [14]. Further, with respect to distance to threshold graphs there is an additive approximation algorithm in FPT-time [21].⁴

We study CFON* and CFCN* problems for the parameter clique width, which generalizes all the above parameters. Specifically, for every graph G , $cw(G) \leq 3 \cdot 2^{tw(G)-1}$, where $tw(G)$ and $cw(G)$ denote the tree width of G and the clique width of G respectively [8]. Graphs with distance to cluster at most $k \in \mathbb{N}$, have clique width of at most $O(2^k)$ [23]. We show that the CFON* and CFCN* problems are FPT with respect to the combined parameters clique

⁴ Some of the above FPT results are shown for the “full-coloring variant” of the problem (as defined in Definition 2). Our clique width result can also be adapted for the full-coloring variant.

width and the number of colors used. Note that the previously mentioned FPT-results [2, 5, 14, 21] do not additionally need the solution size as a parameter.

1.1 Results

- In Section 3, we show fixed-parameter tractable algorithms for both CFON* CFCN* problems with respect to the combined parameters clique width w and the solution size k , that runs in $2^{O(w3^k)}n^{O(1)}$ time where n is the number of vertices of G .
- In Section 4, we discuss the results on block graphs and cographs. Both the graph classes are solvable in polynomial time, which follows from the clique width result.
 - For block graphs G , we show that $\chi_{ON}^*(G) \leq 3$. We show a block graph G that requires three colors making the above bound tight.
 - For cographs, we show that two colors are sufficient for a CFON* coloring. We also characterize cographs for which one color suffices.
- In Section 5, we show that for interval graphs G , $\chi_{ON}^*(G) \leq 3$. We show an interval graph that requires three colors making the above bound tight. Moreover, two colors are sufficient to CFON* color proper interval graphs. We also show that the CFCN* problem is polynomial time solvable on interval graphs.
- In Section 6, we study the problem on geometric intersection graphs like unit square graphs and unit disk graphs. We show that $\chi_{ON}^*(G) \leq 27$ for unit square graphs G . For unit disk graphs G , we show that $\chi_{ON}^*(G) \leq 51$. No upper bound was previously known.
- In Section 7, we study both the problems on Kneser graphs. We show that $k + 1$ colors are sufficient to the Kneser graphs $K(n, k)$, when $n \geq 3k - 1$. We also show that $\chi_{ON}^*(K(n, k)) \geq k + 1$ when $n \geq k(k + 1)^2 + 1$, thereby proving that $\chi_{ON}^*(K(n, k)) = k + 1$ when $n \geq k(k + 1)^2 + 1$. We also show that k colors are sufficient to CFCN* color a Kneser graph $K(n, k)$, when $n \geq 2k + 1$.
- In Section 8, we study both the problems on split graphs. We show that the CFON* problem is NP-complete and the CFCN* problem is polynomial time solvable.

2 Preliminaries

Throughout the paper, we assume that the graph G is connected. Otherwise, we apply the algorithm on each component independently. We also assume that G does not contain any isolated vertices as the CFON* problem is not defined for an isolated vertex. We use $[k]$ to denote the set $\{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ and $C : V(G) \rightarrow \{0\} \cup [k]$ to denote the color assigned to a vertex. A *universal vertex* is a vertex that is adjacent to all other vertices of the graph. In some of our algorithms and proofs, it is convenient to distinguish between vertices that are intentionally left uncolored,

and the vertices that are yet to be assigned any color. The assignment of color 0 is used to denote that a vertex is left “uncolored”.

To avoid clutter and to simplify notation, we use the shorthand notation vw to denote the edge $\{v, w\}$. The open neighborhood of a vertex $v \in V(G)$ is the set of vertices $\{w : vw \in E(G)\}$ and is denoted by $N(v)$. Given a conflict-free coloring C , a vertex $w \in N(v)$ is called a *uniquely colored neighbor* of v if $C(w) \neq 0$ and $\forall x \in N(v) \setminus \{w\}, C(w) \neq C(x)$. The closed neighborhood of v is the set $N(v) \cup \{v\}$, denoted by $N[v]$. The notion of uniquely colored neighbor in the closed neighborhood variant is analogous to the open neighborhood variant, and is obtained by replacing $N(v)$ by $N[v]$. We sometimes use the mapping $h : V \rightarrow V$ to denote the uniquely colored neighbor of a vertex. We also extend C for vertex sets by defining $C(V') = \bigcup_{v \in V'} C(v)$ for $V' \subseteq V(G)$. To refer to the multi-set of colors used in V' , we use $C_{\{\!\!\}\}(V')$. The difference between $C_{\{\!\!\}\}(V')$ and $C(V')$ is that we use multiset union in the former.

In many of the sections, we also refer to the full coloring variant of the conflict-free coloring problem, which is defined below.

Definition 2 (Conflict-Free Coloring – Full Coloring Variant). *A CFON coloring of a graph $G = (V, E)$ using k colors is an assignment $C : V(G) \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ such that for every $v \in V(G)$, there exists an $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ such that $|N(v) \cap C^{-1}(i)| = 1$. The smallest number of colors required for a CFON coloring of G is called the CFON chromatic number of G , denoted by $\chi_{ON}(G)$.*

The corresponding closed neighborhood variant is denoted CFCN coloring, and the chromatic number is denoted $\chi_{CN}(G)$.

A full conflict-free coloring, where all the vertices are colored with a non-zero color, is also a partial conflict-free coloring (as defined in Definition 1) while the converse is not true. It is clear that one extra color suffices to obtain a full coloring variant from a partial coloring variant. However, it is not always clear if the extra color is actually necessary.

For the theorems marked (\star), the full proof is presented in the Appendix.

3 FPT with Clique Width and Number of Colors

In this section, we study the conflict-free coloring problem with respect to the combined parameters clique width $cw(G)$ and number of colors k . We present FPT algorithms for both the CFON* and CFCN* problems.

Definition 3 (Clique width [8]). *Let $w \in \mathbb{N}$. A w -expression Φ defines a graph G_Φ where each vertex receives a label from $[w]$, using the following four recursive operations with indices $i, j \in [w]$, $i \neq j$:*

1. *Introduce, $\Phi = v(i)$: G_Φ is a graph consisting a single vertex v with label i .*
2. *Disjoint union, $\Phi = \Phi' \oplus \Phi''$: G_Φ is a disjoint union of $G_{\Phi'}$ and $G_{\Phi''}$.*
3. *Relabel, $\Phi = \rho_{i \rightarrow j}(\Phi')$: G_Φ is the graph $G_{\Phi'}$ where each vertex labeled i in $G_{\Phi'}$ now has label j .*

4. *Join*, $\Phi = \eta_{i,j}(\Phi')$: G_Φ is the graph $G_{\Phi'}$ with additional edges between each pair of vertices u of label i and v of label j .

The clique width of a graph G denoted by $\text{cw}(G)$ is the minimum number w such that there is a w -expression Φ that defines G .

In the following, we assume that a w -expression Ψ of G is given. There is an FPT-algorithm that, given a graph G and integer w , either reports that $\text{cw}(G) > w$ or outputs a $(2^{3w+2} - 1)$ -expression of G [19].

A w -expression Ψ is an *irredundant w -expression of G* , if no edge is introduced twice in Ψ . Given a w -expression of G , it is possible to get an irredundant w -expression of G in polynomial time [8]. For a coloring of G , a vertex v is said to be *conflict-free dominated* by the color c , if exactly one vertex in $N(v)$ is assigned the color c . In general, a vertex v is said to be conflict-free dominated by a set of colors S , if each color in S conflict-free dominates v . Also, a vertex v is said to *miss the color c* if there exists no vertex in $N(v)$ that is assigned the color c . In general, a vertex v is said to miss a set of colors T , if every color in T is missed by v .

Now, we prove the main theorem of this section.

Theorem 4. *Given a graph G , a w -expression of G and an integer k , it is possible to decide if $\chi_{ON}^*(G) \leq k$ in $2^{O(w3^k)} n^{O(1)}$ time.*

Proof. We give a dynamic program that works bottom-up over a given irredundant w -expression Ψ of G . For each subexpression Φ of Ψ and a coloring $C : V(G_\Phi) \rightarrow \{0, 1, \dots, k\}$ of G_Φ , we have a boolean table entry $d[\Phi; N; M]$ with

$$N = n_{1,0}, \dots, n_{1,k}, \dots, n_{w,0}, \dots, n_{w,k}, \text{ and}$$

$$M = M_1, \dots, M_w \quad \text{where for every } a \in [w], \quad M_a = m_{a,S_1,T_1}, \dots, m_{a,S_{3^k},T_{3^k}}$$

where S_ℓ, T_ℓ are all the possible disjoint subsets of the set of colors $[k]$. Note that there are 3^k many disjoint subsets $S_\ell, T_\ell \in [k]$.

Given some vertex-coloring of G_Φ , values of M and N have the following meaning.

N : For each label $a \in [w]$ and color $q \in \{0\} \cup [k]$, the variable $n_{a,q} \in \{0, 1, 2\}$. Let $n_{a,q}^*$ be the number of vertices with label a that are colored q . Then $n_{a,q}$ is equal to $n_{a,q}^*$ when limited to a maximum of two, in other words $n_{a,q} = \min\{2, n_{a,q}^*\}$.

M : For each label $a \in [w]$, and disjoint sets $S, T \subseteq [k]$, the variable $m_{a,S,T} \in \{0, 1\}$. The variable $m_{a,S,T}$ is equal to 1 if there is at least one vertex v with label a which is conflict-free dominated by exactly colors S and the set of colors that misses v is exactly T . If there is no such vertex, then $m_{a,S,T}$ is equal to 0.

For each subexpression Φ of Ψ , the boolean entry $d[\Phi; N; M]$ is set to TRUE if and only if there exists a vertex-coloring $C : V(G_\Phi) \rightarrow \{0\} \cup [k]$ that satisfies the variables $n_{a,q}$ and $m_{a,S,T}$, for each label $a \in [w]$, color $q \in \{0\} \cup [k]$ and disjoint subsets $S, T \subseteq [k]$. To decide if k colors are sufficient to CFON* color G , we consider the expression Ψ with $G_\Psi = G$. We answer ‘yes’ if and only if there exists an entry $d[\Psi; N; M]$ set to TRUE where $m_{a,\{ \}, T} = 0$ for each $a \in [w]$ and

for each $T \subseteq [k]$. This means there exists a coloring such that there is no label $a \in [w]$ with a vertex v that is not conflict-free dominated.

Now, we show how to compute $d[\Phi; N; M]$ at each operation.

1. $\Phi = v(i)$.

The graph G_Φ represents a node with one vertex v that is labelled $i \in [w]$.

For each color $q \in \{0\} \cup [k]$, we set the entry $d[\Phi; N; M] = \text{TRUE}$ if and only if $n_{i,q} = 1$, $m_{i,\{0\},[k]} = 1$ and all other entries of N and M are 0.

2. $\Phi = \Phi' \oplus \Phi''$.

The graph G_Φ results from the disjoint union of graphs $G_{\Phi'}$ and $G_{\Phi''}$.

We set $d[\Phi; N; M] = \text{TRUE}$ if and only if there exist entries $d[\Phi'; N'; M']$ and $d[\Phi''; N''; M'']$ such that $d[\Phi'; N'; M'] = \text{TRUE}$, $d[\Phi''; N''; M''] = \text{TRUE}$ and the following conditions are satisfied:

- (a) For each label $a \in [w]$ and color $q \in \{0\} \cup [k]$, $n_{a,q} = \min\{2, n'_{a,q} + n''_{a,q}\}$.
- (b) For each label $a \in [w]$ and disjoint $S, T \subseteq [k]$, $m_{a,S,T} = \min\{1, m'_{a,S,T} + m''_{a,S,T}\}$.

We may determine each table entry of $d[\Phi; N; M]$ for every N, M as follows. We initially set $d[\Phi; N; M]$ to FALSE for all N, M . We iterate over all combinations of table entries $d[\Phi'; N'; M']$ and $d[\Phi''; N''; M'']$. For each combination of TRUE entries $d[\Phi'; N'; M']$ and $d[\Phi''; N''; M'']$, we update the corresponding entry $d[\Phi; N; M]$ to TRUE. The corresponding entry $d[\Phi; N; M]$ has variables $n_{a,q}$ which is the sum of $n'_{a,q}$ and $n''_{a,q}$ limited by two, and variables $m_{a,S,T}$ which is the sum of $m'_{a,S,T}$ and $m''_{a,S,T}$ limited by one. Thus, to compute every entry for $d[\Phi; ;]$ we visit at most $(3^{w(k+1)}2^{w3^k})^2$ combinations of table entries and for each of those compute $w(k+1) + w3^k$ values for M and N .

3. $\Phi = \rho_{i \rightarrow j}(\Phi')$.

The graph G_Φ is obtained from the graph $G_{\Phi'}$ by relabelling the vertices of label i in $G_{\Phi'}$ with label j where $i, j \in [w]$. Hence, $n_{i,q} = 0$ for each $q \in \{0\} \cup [k]$ and $m_{i,S,T} = 0$ for each disjoint $S, T \subseteq [k]$.

We set $d[\Phi; N; M] = \text{TRUE}$ if and only if there exists an entry $d[\Phi'; N'; M']$ such that $d[\Phi'; N'; M'] = \text{TRUE}$ in $G_{\Phi'}$ that satisfies the following conditions:

- (a) For each color $q \in \{0\} \cup [k]$, each label $a \in [w] \setminus \{i, j\}$ and disjoint $S, T \subseteq [k]$, $n_{a,q} = n'_{a,q}$ and $m_{a,S,T} = m'_{a,S,T}$.
- (b) For each color $q \in \{0\} \cup [k]$, $n_{j,q} = \min\{2, n'_{i,q} + n'_{j,q}\}$ and $n_{i,q} = 0$.
- (c) For each disjoint $S, T \subseteq [k]$, $m_{j,S,T} = \min\{1, m'_{i,S,T} + m'_{j,S,T}\}$ and $m_{i,S,T} = 0$.

We may determine each table entry of $d[\Phi; N; M]$ for every N, M as follows. We initially set $d[\Phi; N; M]$ to FALSE for all N, M . We iterate over all the TRUE table entries $d[\Phi'; N'; M']$, and for each such entry we update the corresponding entry $d[\Phi; N; M]$ to TRUE, if applicable. To compute every entry for $d[\Phi; ;]$ we visit at most $3^{w(k+1)}2^{w3^k}$ table entries $d[\Phi'; ;]$ and for each of those compute $w(k+1) + w3^k$ values for M and N .

4. $\Phi = \eta_{i,j}(\Phi')$.

The graph G_Φ is obtained from the graph $G_{\Phi'}$ by connecting each vertex with label i with each vertex with label j where $i, j \in [w]$. Consider a vertex v labelled i in $G_{\Phi'}$ and let v contribute to the variable $m'_{i, \widehat{S}, \widehat{T}}$, which is v is conflict-free dominated by exactly \widehat{S} and the set of colors that misses v is exactly \widehat{T} . After this operation, the vertex v may contribute to the variable $m_{i,S,T}$ in G_Φ where the choice of the set S in G_Φ depends on the colors assigned to the vertices labelled j in $G_{\Phi'}$.

We set $d[\Phi; N; M] = \text{TRUE}$ if and only if there exists an entry $d[\Phi'; N'; M']$ such that $d[\Phi'; N'; M'] = \text{TRUE}$ in $G_{\Phi'}$ that satisfies the following conditions:

- (a) For each label $a \in [w]$ and color $q \in \{0\} \cup [k]$, $n_{a,q} = n'_{a,q}$.
- (b) For each label $a \in [w] \setminus \{i, j\}$ and disjoint $S, T \subseteq [k]$, $m_{a,S,T} = m'_{a,S,T}$.
- (c) For the label i and disjoint $S, T \subseteq [k]$, $m_{i,S,T} = 1$ if and only if there are disjoint subsets $\widehat{S}, \widehat{T} \subseteq [k]$ with $m'_{i, \widehat{S}, \widehat{T}} = 1$ such that
 - i. For each color $q \in S \cap \widehat{S}$, variable $n'_{j,q} = 0$.
 - ii. For each color $q \in S \setminus \widehat{S}$, variable $n'_{j,q} = 1$.
 - iii. For each color $q \in \widehat{S} \setminus S$, variable $n'_{j,q} \geq 1$.
 - iv. $S \setminus \widehat{S} \subseteq \widehat{T}$ and $T \subseteq \widehat{T}$.
 - v. For each color $q \in \widehat{T} \setminus (T \cup S)$, $n'_{j,q} = 2$.
- (d) For the label j , entry $m_{j,S,T}$ is computed in a symmetric fashion by swapping the labels i and j in (c).

It can be observed that each TRUE table entry $d[\Phi'; N'; M']$ sets exactly one entry $d[\Phi; N; M]$ to TRUE. We can determine each table entry of $d[\Phi; N; M]$ as follows. We initially set $d[\Phi; N; M]$ to FALSE for all N, M . We iterate over all the TRUE table entries $d[\Phi'; N'; M']$, and for each such entry we update the corresponding entry $d[\Phi; N; M]$ to TRUE, if applicable. To compute every entry for $d[\Phi; ;]$ we visit at most $3^{w(k+1)}2^{w3^k}$ table entries $d[\Phi'; ;]$ and for each of those compute $w(k+1) + w3^k$ values for M and N .

We described the recursive formula at each operation, that computes the value of each entry $d[; ;]$. The correctness of the algorithm easily follows from the description of the algorithm. The DP table consists of $3^{w(k+1)}2^{w3^k}$ entries at each node of the w -expression. The running time is dominated by the operations at the disjoint union node that requires $O(3^{2w(k+1)}2^{2w3^k}w(k+1+3^k)n^{O(1)})$ time. \square

Similarly, we obtain the following result for the CFCN* problem:

Theorem 5. *Given a graph G , a w -expression and an integer k , it is possible to decide if $\chi_{CN}^*(G) \leq k$ in $2^{O(w3^k)}n^{O(1)}$ time.*

Proof. The computation of the entries $d[; ;]$ at the disjoint union node, relabel node and join node is the same as discussed in Theorem 4. However, we replace

the open neighborhood $N(v)$ with the closed neighborhood $N[v]$ in the definitions of *conflict-free domination by a color c* and *missing a color c* . Now we discuss the computation of the entry $d[; ;]$ at the introduce node.

Introduce Node $\Phi = v(i)$: The graph G_Φ represents a node with one vertex v that is labelled i . For each color $q \in [k]$, we set the entry $d[\Phi; N; M] = \text{true}$ if each variable is 0 except $n_{i,q} = 1$ and $m_{i, \{q\}, [k] \setminus \{q\}} = 1$.

For the case when $q = 0$, we set the entry $d[\Phi; N; M] = \text{TRUE}$ if each variable is 0 except $n_{i,0} = 1$ and $m_{i, \{\}, [k]} = 1$. \square

By modifying the above algorithm, it is possible to obtain FPT algorithms for the full coloring variants (CFON and CFCN) of the problem. We merely have to restrict the entries of the dynamic program to entries without color 0.

Theorem 6. *The CFON and the CFCN problems are FPT when parameterized by the combined parameters clique width and the solution size.*

4 Block Graphs and Cographs

In this section, we study the problems on block graphs and cographs. Note that block graphs have clique width at most 3, and cographs have clique width at most 2. Hence, CFON* and CFCN* problems are polynomial time solvable on block graphs and cographs by Theorems 4 and 5 respectively. However, we present direct proofs for these problems on these graph classes. In particular we show that $\chi_{ON}^*(G) \leq 3$ and $\chi_{CN}^*(G) \leq 2$, for block graphs G . We show a block graph G such that $\chi_{ON}^*(G) = 3$, making the above bound tight. Next, we show that $\chi_{ON}^*(G), \chi_{CN}^*(G) \leq 2$, for cographs G .

Definition 7 (Block Graph). *A block graph is a graph in which every 2-connected component is a clique.*

For the CFON* problem, we give a tight upper bound of 3, in the following sense: we present a graph (see Fig. 1) that is not CFON*-colorable with colors $\{0, 1, 2\}$, where 0 is the dummy-color. Complementing this result, we show that there is an algorithm that colors a given block-graph with colors $\{1, 2, 3\}$, thus without the need of a dummy-color 0.

Lemma 8 (\star). *If G is a block graph, $\chi_{ON}(G) \leq 3$, hence $\chi_{ON}^*(G) \leq 3$.*

Proof (Proof Sketch). We give a constructive algorithm that given a block graph G outputs a CFON-coloring C using at most three colors 1, 2, 3. For convenience, let us also specify a mapping h that maps each vertex $v \in G$ to one of its uniquely colored neighbors $w \in N(v)$. We use the fact that block-graphs are exactly the diamond-free chordal graphs (a diamond is a K_4 with one edge removed) [3]. As usual, we assume that G is connected and contains at least one edge uv . Color $C(u) = 1$ and $C(v) = 2$. Color every vertex $w \in (N(u) \cup N(v)) \setminus \{u, v\}$ with $C(w) = 3$. Assign $h(w) = v$ for every $w \in N(v)$, and assign $h(w) = u$ for every $w \in N(u) \setminus N(v)$.

Let G_v contain every connected component of $G \setminus \{u, v\}$ that contains a vertex from $N(v)$. Similarly, let G_u contain every connected component of $G \setminus \{u, v\}$ that contains a vertex from $N(u) \setminus N(v)$.

Claim (\star). The sets $V(G_u)$ and $V(G_v)$ are disjoint.

We color every vertex $x \in V(G_v)$ in distance $2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, \dots$ from v in graph G_v with colors $1, 2, 3, 1, 2, 3, \dots$ periodically. We assign $h(x)$ for $x \in V(G_v)$ in distance $i \geq 2$ to v to an arbitrary neighbor $y \in N(x)$ that has distance $i - 1$ to v in graph G_v . Similarly we color every vertex $x \in V(G_u)$ in distance $2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, \dots$ from u in G_u with colors $2, 1, 3, 2, 1, 3, \dots$ periodically. Again, let $h(x)$ for $x \in V(G_u)$ in distance $i \geq 2$ to u map to an arbitrary neighbor $y \in N(x)$ in distance $i - 1$ to u in graph G_u .

The full proof is provided in Appendix A. \square

Lemma 9. *There is block graph G with $\chi_{ON}^*(G) > 2$.*




Fig. 1. A block graph G with $\chi_{ON}^*(G) > 2$.

Proof. Let G have vertex set $\{\ell, m, r\} \cup \bigcup_{i \in \{1,2,3\}} \{x_i^\ell, \bar{x}_i^\ell, x_i^r, \bar{x}_i^r\}$, see also Fig. 1. Let the edge set be defined by the set of maximal cliques $\{x_1^s, x_2^s, x_3^s, s, m\}$ and $\{x_s^i, \bar{x}_s^i\}$ for every $s \in \{\ell, r\}$ and $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. It is easy to see that G is a block graph. To prove that $\chi_{ON}^*(G) > 2$, assume, for the sake of contradiction, that there is χ_{ON}^* -coloring $C : V \rightarrow \{0, 1, 2\}$. Then there is a mapping h on V that assigns each vertex $v \in V(G)$ its uniquely colored neighbor $w \in N(v)$. Note that x_i^s , for $s \in \{\ell, r\}$ and $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, has to be colored 1 or 2, since it is the only neighbor of \bar{x}_i^s . Further, we may assume that $h(m) \in \{\ell, x_1^\ell\}$ and $C(h(m)) = 2$ because of symmetry.

First consider that $h(m) = \ell$ and $C(\ell) = 2$. Then $C(x_i^s) = 1$ for every $s \in \{\ell, r\}$ and $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. It follows that $h(\ell) = m$ and hence $C(m) = 2$. Then however $C_{\{\}}(N(x_1^\ell)) \supseteq \{\{1, 1, 2, 2\}\}$, a contradiction.

Thus it remains to consider that $h(m) = x_1^\ell$ and $C(x_1^\ell) = 2$. Then $C(x_i^s) = 1$ for every x_i^s with $(s, i) \in \{\ell, r\} \times [3] \setminus (\ell, 1)$. It follows that $h(r) = m$ and hence $C(m) = 2$. Then however $C_{\{\}}(N(\ell)) = \{\{1, 1, 2, 2\}\}$, also a contradiction.

Since both cases lead to a contradiction, it must be that $\chi_{ON}^*(G) > 2$. \square

Since a block graph G have clique width at most 3, and since $\chi_{ON}^*(G) \leq 3$, we may use Theorem 4 to decide the CFON* problem for block graphs in polynomial time.

Corollary 10. *For block graphs, CFON* is polynomial time solvable.*

By observing that the number of colors required is constant, we have the following analogous result on the CFCN* problem. However, we also present a direct proof using a characterization of block graphs G with $\chi_{CN}^*(G) = 1$.

Theorem 11. *The CFCN* problem is polynomial time solvable on block graphs.*

We first show that for block graph G , $\chi_{CN}^*(G) \leq 2$. Then we characterize block graphs which requires 1 color and otherwise. To show this, we use the *perfect independent dominating set*, which is defined as follows.

Definition 12 (Perfect Dominating Set). *A perfect dominating set is a set of vertices $S \subseteq V$ such that every vertex outside S has exactly one neighbor in S . A perfect independent dominating set is a perfect dominating set where S is an independent set.*

Lemma 13. *If G is a block graph, then $\chi_{CN}^*(G) \leq 2$.*

Proof. Given G , we consider its block decomposition. The *block decomposition*, denoted T_G , is a tree of the maximal 2-connected components of G . For details of block decomposition, the reader is referred to [10].

We choose an arbitrary block $r \in T_G$ as the root. Starting from r , we perform a level wise coloring to the blocks using the colors 1 and 2 alternately. For each block, we pick one vertex as the representative. We color the representative vertex alone and assign the remaining vertices the color 0.

For each block, the representative vertex serves as the uniquely colored neighbor. \square

Lemma 14. *For a graph G , $\chi_{CN}^*(G) = 1$ if and only if G has a perfect independent dominating set.*

Proof. Suppose G has a perfect independent dominating set S . We assign $C : V(G) \rightarrow \{1, 0\}$. For each vertex $v \in S$, we assign $C(v) = 1$. For the rest of vertices, we assign the color 0. The assignment C is a CFCN* coloring of G that uses only 1 color.

For the reverse direction, let $C : V(G) \rightarrow \{1, 0\}$ be a CFCN* coloring of G . Let V_1 be the set of vertices in G that are assigned the color 1. Since C is a CFCN* coloring, it follows that V_1 is an independent set and every vertex in $V \setminus V_1$ is adjacent to exactly one vertex in V_1 . Hence, V_1 is a perfect independent dominating set of G . \square

Proof (Proof of Theorem 11). If G is a block graph, we know that $1 \leq \chi_{CN}^*(G) \leq 2$ by Lemma 13. We use the above characterization to check if $\chi_{CN}^*(G) = 1$ or $\chi_{CN}^*(G) = 2$.

Given a block graph G , Yen and Lee [24] gave a linear time algorithm for computing a perfect independent dominating set of G . If there exists a perfect independent dominating set, the algorithm outputs the set, otherwise the algorithm outputs that there is no such set. Lemma 14, together with Yen and Lee’s result gives a linear time algorithm that tests for a given block graph G whether $\chi_{CN}^*(G) = 1$ or $\chi_{CN}^*(G) = 2$. \square

Definition 15 (Cograph [7]). *A graph G is a cograph if G consists of a single vertex, or if it can be constructed from a single vertex graph using the disjoint union and complement operations.*

Theorem 16. *The CFON* and the CFCN* problems are polynomial time solvable on cographs.*

We show that CFON* and CFCN* problems are polynomial time solvable on cographs. Recall that cographs have clique width at most 2. We show in the following that cographs can be colored by at most 2 colors, for both problems CFON* and CFCN*. Analogously as for the block graphs, Theorem 4 and Theorem 5 then already imply polynomial time algorithms for both problems. Here we present characterizations of cographs that require only 1 color, for both problems CFON* and CFCN*. This leads to more direct algorithms, which check if 1 color suffices by use of the characterizations.

We use the notion of modular decomposition [15], which was introduced by Gallai. A set $M \subseteq V(G)$ is called a *module* of G if all vertices of M have the same set of neighbors in $V(G) \setminus M$. The *trivial modules* are $V(G)$, and $\{v\}$ for all v . It has been shown [7] that the *modular decomposition* of a cograph G is a rooted tree M_G that has the following properties:

1. The leaves of M_G are the vertices of G .
2. For an internal node t of M_G , let $M(t)$ be the set of vertices of G that are leaves of the subtree of M_G rooted at t . ($M(t)$ forms a module in G).
3. For each internal node t of M_G there is a graph G_t (*representative graph*) with $V(G_t) = \{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_r\}$, where t_1, t_2, \dots, t_r are the children of t in M_G and for $1 \leq i < j \leq r$, t_i and t_j are adjacent in G_t if and only if there are vertices $u \in M(t_i)$ and $v \in M(t_j)$ that are adjacent in G .
4. G_t is either a clique or an independent set. The node t is labeled *series* if G_t is a clique or *parallel* if G_t is an independent set.

The modular decomposition of a graph can be computed in linear time [9].

Lemma 17. *If $G = (V, E)$ is a cograph, then $\chi_{ON}^*(G), \chi_{CN}^*(G) \leq 2$.*

Proof. Let r be the root of the modular decomposition tree M_G of G . Since G is connected, r is a series node. Let r_1, r_2, \dots, r_ℓ be the children of r . For each $i \in [\ell]$, we denote G_{r_i} as the cograph induced by the leaves of the subtree rooted at r_i . We consider two subgraphs $G_1 = G_{r_1}$ and $G_2 = G \setminus G_{r_1}$.

Now, we describe a coloring $C : V \rightarrow \{1, 2, 0\}$ that works as both CFCN* and CFON* coloring of G . Choose two arbitrary vertices $v \in G_1$ and $v' \in G_2$ and

assign $C(v) = 1$ and $C(v') = 2$. Remaining vertices in $V \setminus \{v, v'\}$ are assigned the color 0. Each vertex in G_1 has the vertex v' and each vertex in G_2 has the vertex v as its uniquely colored neighbor. \square

Now, we characterize graphs which requires one color for both the variants.

Lemma 18. *Let $G = (V, E)$ be a cograph. Then $\chi_{CN}^*(G) = 1$ if and only if G contains a universal vertex.*

Proof. We first prove the reverse direction. Let $v \in V$ be a universal vertex. We assign the color 1 to v and the color 0 to the remaining vertices. Each vertex in G has the vertex v as its uniquely colored neighbor.

For the forward direction, let $C : V \rightarrow \{1, 0\}$ be a CFCN* coloring of G . Let G_1 and G_2 be the subgraphs of G as defined in Lemma 17. We first show that exactly one vertex is colored 1 in G . Suppose not. Let $v, v' \in V$ be two vertices such that $C(v) = 1$ and $C(v') = 1$. If $v, v' \in V(G_1)$ (resp. $v, v' \in V(G_2)$), then none of the vertices in G_2 (resp. G_1) has a uniquely colored neighbor. If $v \in V(G_1)$ and $v' \in V(G_2)$, then the vertices v and v' do not have a uniquely colored neighbor. Hence there exists exactly one vertex, say w , that is colored 1. It follows that for each vertex in V , the vertex w is the uniquely colored neighbor. Hence w is a universal vertex. \square

Lemma 19. *Let $G = (V, E)$ be a cograph. Then $\chi_{ON}^*(G) = 1$ if and only if there exists two vertices $u, v \in V$ such that $\{u, v\} \in E$, $N(u) \cup N(v) = V$ and $N(u) \cap N(v) = \emptyset$.*

Proof. We first prove the reverse direction. Suppose $u, v \in V$ be two vertices satisfying the above conditions. We assign the color 1 to the vertices u, v and the color 0 to the vertices in $V \setminus \{u, v\}$. For each vertex in $N(u)$, we have the vertex u as its uniquely colored neighbor whereas for each vertex in $N(v)$, we have the vertex v as its uniquely colored neighbor.

For the forward direction, let $C : V \rightarrow \{1, 0\}$ be a CFON* coloring of G . As C is a CFON* coloring, there exists two vertices⁵ w_1 and w_2 such that $C(w_1) = C(w_2) = 1$ and $\{w_1, w_2\} \in E(G)$. Let G_1 and G_2 be the subgraphs of G as defined in Lemma 17. We first observe that either $w_1 \in V(G_1)$ and $w_2 \in V(G_2)$ or $w_2 \in V(G_1)$ and $w_1 \in V(G_2)$. Suppose not. WLOG let $w_1, w_2 \in V(G_2)$. Then each vertex in $V(G_1)$ is adjacent to two vertices colored 1 and hence there is no uniquely colored neighbor for them. Hence WLOG, let $w_1 \in V(G_1)$ and $w_2 \in V(G_2)$.

It is easy to see that $N(w_1) \cap N(w_2) = \emptyset$. Suppose not. If there exists a vertex $w \in N(w_1) \cap N(w_2)$, then w does not have a uniquely colored neighbor. Also $N(w_1) \cup N(w_2) = V$. Suppose not. If there exists a vertex $w \notin N(w_1) \cup N(w_2)$, then w does not have a colored neighbor. \square

Theorem 16 follows from Lemmas 17, 18 and 19.

⁵ In fact, the vertices w_1 and w_2 are the only vertices colored 1.

Since constant bounds for the partial coloring variants imply constant bounds for the full coloring variants and since block graphs and cographs have clique width at most 3, we have the following.

Theorem 20. *The CFON and the CFCN problems are polynomial time solvable on block graphs and cographs.*

5 Interval Graphs

In this section, we show three colors are sufficient and sometimes necessary to CFON* color an interval graph. For *proper interval graphs*, we show that two colors are sufficient. We also show that the CFCN* problem is polynomial time solvable on interval graphs.

Definition 21 (Interval Graph). *A graph $G = (V, E)$ is an interval graph if there exists a set \mathcal{I} of intervals on the real line such that there is a bijection $f : V \rightarrow \mathcal{I}$ satisfying the following: $\{v_1, v_2\} \in E$ if and only if $f(v_1) \cap f(v_2) \neq \emptyset$.*

For an interval graph G , we refer to the set of intervals \mathcal{I} as the *interval representation* of G . An interval graph G is a *proper interval graph* if it has an interval representation \mathcal{I} such that no interval in \mathcal{I} is properly contained in any other interval of \mathcal{I} . An interval graph G is a *unit interval graph* if it has an interval representation \mathcal{I} where all the intervals are of unit length. It is known that the class of proper interval graphs and unit interval graphs are the same [13].

Lemma 22. *If G is an interval graph, then $\chi_{ON}^*(G) \leq 3$.*

Proof. It was shown in [12] that $\chi_{CN}^*(G) \leq 2$ when G is an interval graph. We use similar ideas. Let \mathcal{I} be the set of intervals. For each interval $I \in \mathcal{I}$, we use $R(I)$ to denote its right end point.

We use the function $C : \mathcal{I} \rightarrow \{1, 2, 3, 0\}$ to assign colors. We assign the colors 1, 2 and 3 alternately, one in each iteration $1 \leq j \leq \ell$. We start with an interval I_1 for which $R(I_1)$ is the least and assign $C(I_1) = 1$. Choose an interval I_2 such that $I_2 \in N(I_1)$ and $R(I_2) \geq R(I), \forall I \in N(I_1)$. Assign $C(I_2) = 2$. For $j \geq 3$, we do the following. Choose an interval I_j such that $I_j \in N(I_{j-1})$ and $R(I_j) \geq R(I), \forall I \in N(I_{j-1})$. Assign the color $\{1, 2, 3\} \setminus \{C(I_{j-1}), C(I_{j-2})\}$ to the interval I_j . Note that the interval I_ℓ chosen in the last iteration ℓ , is such that $R(I_\ell)$ maximizes $R(I)$ amongst all $I \in \mathcal{I}$. All the uncolored intervals are assigned the color 0.

Observe that there is a path of intervals colored using the colors 1, 2 and 3. The interval I_j , for $1 \leq j \leq \ell - 1$, see the interval I_{j+1} as its uniquely colored neighbor. The interval I_ℓ sees $I_{\ell-1}$ as its uniquely colored neighbor. Each interval colored 0 will have a neighboring interval colored from $\{1, 2, 3\}$ as its uniquely colored neighbor. \square

The bound of $\chi_{ON}^*(G) \leq 3$ for interval graphs is tight. In particular, there is an interval graph G (see Fig. 2) that cannot be colored with three colors when excluding the dummy-color 0. That shows the stronger result $\chi_{ON}(G) > 3$, which implies that $\chi_{ON}^*(G) > 2$. We present the proof of Lemma 23 in Appendix B.




Fig. 2. On the left hand side, we have the graph G' , and on the right hand side we have an interval graph representation of G , a graph where $\chi_{ON}(G) > 3$. The graph G is obtained by replacing each vertex u, v, w, u^*, v^* of G' with a 3-clique and replacing $u', u'', v', v'', w', w''$ by a 4-clique.

Lemma 23 (\star). *There is an interval graph G such that $\chi_{ON}(G) > 3$ (and thus $\chi_{ON}^*(G) \geq 3$).*

Lemma 24. *If G is a proper interval graph, then $\chi_{ON}^*(G) \leq 2$.*

Proof. Let \mathcal{I} be a unit interval representation of G . We denote the left endpoint of an interval I by $L(I)$. We assign $C : \mathcal{I} \rightarrow \{1, 2, 0\}$ which will be a CFON* coloring.

At each iteration i , we pick two intervals $I_1^i, I_2^i \in \mathcal{I}$. The interval I_1^i is the interval whose $L(I_1^i)$ is the least among intervals for which C has not been assigned. The interval I_2^i is a neighbor of I_1^i , whose $L(I_2^i)$ is the greatest. It might be the case that C has been already assigned for all neighbors of I_1^i . This can happen only in the very last iteration of the algorithm. Depending on this, we have the following two cases.

– **Case 1: I_1^i has neighbors for which C is unassigned.**

We assign $C(I_1^i) = 1$ and $C(I_2^i) = 2$. All other intervals adjacent to I_1^i and I_2^i are assigned the color 0.

Now, we argue that C is a CFON* coloring. The intervals I_1^i and I_2^i act as the uniquely colored neighbors for each other. All intervals that are assigned 0 are adjacent to either I_1^i or I_2^i , and thus will have a uniquely colored neighbor. Notice that for every iteration i , the vertices I_1^i (or I_2^i) and I_1^{i+1} (or I_2^{i+1}) will have the same color. This is fine as there is no interval that intersects both I_1^i and I_1^{i+1} .

– **Case 2: C is already assigned for all the neighbors of I_1^i .**

As mentioned before, this can happen only during the last iteration $i = j$. In this case, I_1^j is the only interval for which C is yet to be assigned. Choose an interval $I_m \in N(I_2^{j-1}) \cap N(I_1^j)$. Such an I_m exists, else \mathcal{I} is disconnected. We reassign $C(I_1^{j-1}) = 0$, $C(I_2^{j-1}) = 1$, $C(I_m) = 2$ and assign $C(I_1^j) = 0$.

The assignment of colors in iterations $1 \leq i \leq j - 2$ are unchanged. Though $C(I_1^{j-1})$ is changed to 0, this does not affect any interval, since there are no intervals which depend only on I_1^{j-1} for their uniquely colored neighbor. If there was such an interval, this would contradict the choice of I_1^{j-1} .

For the intervals I_2^{j-1} and I_1^j , we have the interval I_m as the uniquely colored neighbor and for the interval I_m , we have the interval I_2^{j-1} as the uniquely colored neighbor. \square

It is known [12] that 2 colors suffice to CFCN* color an interval graph. We show that the CFCN* problem is polynomial time solvable on interval graphs using a characterization.

Theorem 25. *CFCN* problem is polynomial time solvable on interval graphs.*

Proof. For interval graphs G , it is known [12] that $\chi_{CN}^*(G) \leq 2$. We explain how to identify interval graphs that require 1 color.

Lemma 14 states that the graphs with $\chi_{CN}^*(G) = 1$ are those with perfect independent dominating set. Chang and Liu [6] showed that a perfect independent dominating set for interval graphs can be computed in polynomial time. \square

6 Unit Square and Unit Disk Intersection Graphs

Unit square (respectively, unit disk) intersection graphs are intersection graphs of unit sized squares (resp., disks) in the Euclidean plane. It is shown in [12] that $\chi_{CN}^*(G) \leq 4$ for a unit square intersection graph G . They also showed that $\chi_{CN}^*(G) \leq 6$ for a unit disk intersection graph G . We study the CFON* problem on these graphs and get the following constant upper bounds. To the best of our knowledge, no upper bound was previously known on unit square and unit disk graphs for CFON* coloring. Figure 3 is a unit square and unit disk graph.

Lemma 26. *Unit square intersection graphs of height 2 are CFON* 2-colorable.*

Proof. Let G be a unit square intersection graph of height 2. Note that vertices u and v are adjacent if and only if their X -coordinates differ by at most 2. Thus we may represent G as a unit interval graph by replacing every vertex v by an interval from $v_x - 1$ to $v_x + 1$. Then $\chi_{ON}^*(G) \leq 2$ as seen in Lemma 24. \square

Theorem 27. *If G is a unit square intersection graph, then $\chi_{ON}^*(G) \leq 27$.*

Proof. Each square is identified by its center, which is the point of intersection of its diagonals. By unit square, we mean that the distance between its center and its sides is 1 i.e., length of each side is 2. Sometimes we interchangeably use the term “vertex” for unit square. We first show that two colors are sufficient to CFON* color unit square intersection graphs of height at most 2. The approach for the general case is to divide the graph into horizontal stripes of height 2 and color the vertices in two phases. A stripe is the region between two horizontal lines of infinite length. We consider a unit square as belonging to a stripe if its center is contained in the stripe. If a unit square has its center on the horizontal line that separates two stripes then it is considered in the stripe below the line.

We say that a unit square intersection graph has *height 2*, if the centers of all the squares lie in a horizontal stripe of width 2. We first prove that two colors are sufficient to CFON* color all the unit square intersection graphs of height 2. Throughout, we denote the X -coordinate and the Y -coordinate of a vertex v with v_x and v_y respectively.




Fig. 3. A unit square graph G for which $\chi_{ON}^*(G) \geq 3$. The vertices x, y, z have to be assigned distinct non-zero colors. Note that G is also a unit disk graph.

We assign colors $C(v)$, for all the unit squares v of G in two phases. In phase 1, we use 6 colors $C : V \rightarrow \{0\} \cup \{c_{i,0}, c_{i,1} \mid i \in \{0, 1, 2\}\}$. WLOG we assume that the centers of all the squares have positive Y -coordinates. We partition the plane into horizontal stripes S_ℓ for $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ where each stripe is of height 2. We assign vertex v with Y -coordinate v_y to S_ℓ if $2(\ell - 1) < v_y \leq 2\ell$. Then $G[S_\ell]$ has height 2 such that Lemma 26 applies. We color vertices in S_ℓ accordingly using colors $c_{i,0}$ and $c_{i,1}$ where $i = \ell \bmod 3$. Then every vertex $u \in S_\ell$, that is not isolated in $G[S_\ell]$ has a uniquely colored neighbor v in $G[S_\ell]$. Every $w \notin S_\ell$ with color $C(w) = C(v)$ must be in a stripe S_{ℓ^*} with $|\ell - \ell^*| \geq 3$. Thus $w \notin N(u)$ and hence v is also a uniquely colored neighbor of u in G . It remains to identify uniquely colored neighbors for the vertices $u \in S_\ell$ which are isolated in $G[S_\ell]$. Let I be the set of these vertices.

In phase 2, we reassign colors to some of the vertices of G to ensure a uniquely colored neighbor for each vertex in I . For each vertex $v \in I$, choose an arbitrary *representative* vertex $r(v) \in N(v)$. Let $R = \{r(v) \mid v \in I\} \subseteq V(G)$ be the set of representative vertices. We assign $C : R \rightarrow \{c_{i,j} \mid i \in \{0, 1, 2\}, j \in \{2, 3, \dots, 8\}\}$ that replaces the color assigned in phase 1. Consider a stripe S_ℓ for $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$. We order the vertices $S_\ell \cap R$ non-decreasingly by their X -coordinate and sequentially color them with $c_{i,2}, \dots, c_{i,8}$ where $i = \ell \bmod 3$.

Total number of colors used: The number of colors used in phase 1 and phase 2 are 6 and 21 respectively, giving a total of 27.

Correctness: We now prove that the assigned coloring is a valid CFON* coloring. For this we need to prove the following,

- Each vertex in I has a uniquely colored neighbor.
- The coloring in phase 2 does not upset the uniquely colored neighbors (identified in phase 1) of the vertices in $V \setminus I$.

We first prove the following claim.

Claim. For each vertex $v \in V(G)$, all vertices in $N(v) \cap R$ are assigned distinct colors in phase 2.

Proof (Claim's proof). Let $v \in S_{\ell+1}$ (see Figure 4). Assume, for the sake of contradiction, that there are two vertices $u, w \in N(v) \cap R$ such that $C(u) = C(w)$.




Fig. 4. The vertex $v \in S_{\ell+1}$ is adjacent to two vertices u and w in S_{ℓ} , which are representative vertices for some isolated vertices. In the worst case, $|u_x - w_x| = 4$. The picture describes the positions of the isolated vertices whose representative vertex r is such that $u_x \leq r_x \leq w_x$.

Then u and w have to be from the same stripe that neighbors $S_{\ell+1}$. WLOG we may assume that $u, w \in S_{\ell}$, $\ell = 0 \pmod 3$ and $u_x \leq w_x$. We may further assume that $C(u) = C(w) = c_{0,2}$. Then there are eight vertices (including u and w), $R' \subseteq R \cap S_{\ell}$ that are assigned the colors $c_{0,2}, c_{0,3}, \dots, c_{0,8}, c_{0,2}$ and have X -coordinate between u_x and w_x . Note that $|u_x - v_x| \leq 2$ and $|w_x - v_x| \leq 2$. Vertices R' are the representative vertices of some eight vertices $I' \subseteq I$. By definition $I' \subseteq S_{\ell+1} \cup S_{\ell-1}$.

First, let us consider $I' \cap S_{\ell+1}$. We claim that there is at most one vertex $u' \in I' \cap S_{\ell+1}$ such that $u'_x < v_x$. Indeed any such vertex $u' \in I'$ must be adjacent to some representative $r \in R'$ with $|r_x - v_x| \leq 2$. Thus the distance between u'_x and v_x is at most 4 and hence there is at most one vertex in $I' \cap S_{\ell+1}$ with lower X -coordinate than v . Analogously, there is at most one vertex $w' \in I' \cap S_{\ell+1}$ such that $w'_x > v_x$. Considering the possibility that $v \in I'$, we have $|I' \cap S_{\ell+1}| \leq 3$.

Now, consider the vertices in $I' \cap S_{\ell-1}$. Again any vertex in $I' \cap S_{\ell-1}$ must be adjacent to some representative $r \in R'$ with $|r_x - v_x| \leq 2$. Thus the X -coordinates of the vertices in $I' \cap S_{\ell-1}$ differ by at most 8. Since the vertices in $I' \cap S_{\ell-1}$ are non-adjacent, we have that $|I' \cap S_{\ell-1}| \leq 4$. This contradicts the assumption that $|I'| = 8$. Thus all vertices $N(v) \cap R$ are assigned distinct colors. \square

We now proceed to the correctness part.

- **Every vertex $v \in I$ has a uniquely colored neighbor.**

Let $v \in S_{\ell+1} \cap I$. By the above claim, no two vertices in $N(v) \cap R$ are assigned the same color in phase 2. Moreover, since v is not isolated in G , we have $|N(v) \cap R| \geq 1$ such that it has a uniquely colored neighbor.

- **The coloring in phase 2 does not upset the uniquely colored neighbors of vertices in $V \setminus I$.**

Let $v \in V \setminus I$ and u be its uniquely colored neighbor after the phase 1 coloring. For v to not have a uniquely colored neighbor after phase 2 coloring, there exists a vertex $w \in N(v)$ such that $C(u) = C(w)$. This implies that both u and w are representative vertices for some vertices in I and they are re-colored in phase 2. This contradicts the above claim.

□

Theorem 28 (\star). *If G is a unit disk intersection graph, then $\chi_{ON}^*(G) \leq 51$.*

Proof. The proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 27. Hence we omit the proof. The full proof is given in Appendix C. □

Remark: We feel that the upper bound for unit disk graphs is loose and believe that it can be improved.

7 Kneser Graphs

In this section, we study the CFON* and the CFCN* colorings of Kneser graphs. For Kneser graphs $K(n, k)$, we show that $\chi_{ON}^*(K(n, k)) = k + 1$ when $n \geq k(k + 1)^2 + 1$ and show bounds for $\chi_{CN}^*(K(n, k))$.

Definition 29 (Kneser graph). *The Kneser graph $K(n, k)$ is the graph whose vertices are $\binom{[n]}{k}$, the k -sized subsets of $[n]$, and the vertices x and y are adjacent if and only if $x \cap y = \emptyset$ (when x and y are viewed as sets).*

Theorem 30. *When $n \geq k(k + 1)^2 + 1$, $\chi_{ON}^*(K(n, k)) = k + 1$.*

During the discussion, we shall use the words k -set or k -subset to refer to a set of size k . We shall sometimes refer to the k -subsets of $[n]$ and the vertices of $K(n, k)$ in an interchangeable manner. We also use the symbol $\binom{S}{k}$ to denote the set of all k -subsets of a set S .

Lemma 31. *$k + 1$ colors are sufficient to CFON* color $K(n, k)$ when $n \geq 3k - 1$.*

Proof. Consider the following assignment to the vertices of $K(n, k)$:

- For any vertex (k -set) v that is a subset of $\{1, 2, \dots, 2k - 1\}$, we assign $C(v) = \max_{\ell \in v} \ell - (k - 1)$.
- The set $\{2k, 2k + 1, \dots, 3k - 1\}$ is assigned the color $k + 1$.
- All the remaining vertices are assigned the color 0.

For example, for the Kneser graph $K(n, 3)$, we assign the color 1 to the vertex $\{1, 2, 3\}$, color 2 to the vertices $\{1, 2, 4\}, \{1, 3, 4\}, \{2, 3, 4\}$, color 3 to the vertices $\{1, 2, 5\}, \{1, 3, 5\}, \{1, 4, 5\}, \{2, 3, 5\}, \{2, 4, 5\}, \{3, 4, 5\}$, color 4 to the vertex $\{6, 7, 8\}$ and all the remaining vertices are assigned the color 0.

Now, we prove that the above coloring is a CFON* coloring. Let C_i be the set of all vertices assigned the color i . Notice that $C_1 \cup C_2 \cup \dots \cup C_k = \binom{[2k-1]}{k}$. Let w_{k+1} denote the k -set $\{2k, 2k + 1, \dots, 3k - 1\}$. Any vertex $v \in C_1 \cup C_2 \cup \dots \cup C_k$ is a neighbor of w_{k+1} . Since w_{k+1} is the lone vertex colored $k + 1$, it serves as the uniquely colored neighbor for any $v \in C_1 \cup C_2 \cup \dots \cup C_k$.

Now we have to show the presence of uniquely colored neighbors for vertices that have some elements from outside $\{1, 2, \dots, 2k - 1\}$. Let v be such a vertex. That is, $v \cap \{1, 2, \dots, 2k - 1\} \neq v$. Let $t = t(v)$ be the smallest nonnegative

integer such that $|\{1, 2, \dots, k+t\} \setminus v| = k$. Since v has at least one element from outside $\{1, 2, \dots, 2k-1\}$, t is at most $k-1$.

We claim that v has a lone neighbor colored $t+1$, and this neighbor is given by the set $\{1, 2, \dots, k+t\} \setminus v$. By the choice of t , this is the only neighbor of v that is colored $t+1$. It can be further observed that there are no neighbors of v that are assigned a color smaller than $t+1$. \square

Now we show that $k+1$ colors are necessary to CFON* color $K(n, k)$, when n is large enough. To show this, we need the full coloring variant of the problem defined in Definition 2, where every vertex is assigned a color that is not 0.

A CFON-coloring with k colors, clearly implies a coloring with k colors for the partial variant CFCN. A CFON*-coloring with k colors implies a CFON-coloring with $k+1$ colors, by simply using the color $k+1$ instead of the dummy-color 0. Thus, if a graph G has $k < \chi_{ON}^*(G) \leq k+1$, it is still open whether $\chi_{ON}(G) = k+1$. Of course, the same relationship between the partial and full coloring variant is true for the closed neighborhood version.

Lemma 32. *$k+2$ colors are necessary to CFON color $K(n, k)$ when $n \geq k(k+1)^2 + 1$.*

Proof. We prove this by contradiction. Suppose that $K(n, k)$ can be colored using the $k+1$ colors $1, 2, 3, \dots, k+1$. For each $1 \leq i \leq k+1$, let C_i denote the set of all vertices colored with the color i .

We will show that there exists a vertex x that does not have a uniquely colored neighbor, i.e., $|N(x) \cap C_i| \neq 1$, for all $1 \leq i \leq k+1$. We construct the vertex (k -set) x , by choosing elements in it as follows. Suppose there are C_i 's that are singleton, i.e., $|C_i| = 1$. For all the singleton C_i 's we choose a hitting set. In other words, we choose entries in x so as to ensure that x intersects with the vertices in all the singleton C_i 's. This partially constructed x may also intersect with vertices in other color classes. Some of the other C_i 's might become "effectively singleton", that is x may intersect with all the vertices in those C_i 's except one. We now choose further entries in x so as to hit these effectively singleton C_i 's too. Finally, we terminate this process when all the remaining C_i 's are not singleton.

At this stage, x can have potentially $k+1$ entries, one each to hit the $k+1$ color classes. However, the below claim shows that not all the color classes need to be hit.

Claim: There exists an i for which C_i does not become singleton/effectively singleton.

Proof of claim. Let $q = \binom{n}{k} / (k+1)$. If for all i , $|C_i| < q$, this implies that the total number of vertices is strictly less than $q(k+1) = \binom{n}{k}$. This is a contradiction. Hence there is at least one i , such that $|C_i| \geq q$. We show that this C_i does not become effectively singleton.

Let t be the number of entries in x when the above process terminates. Notice that each entry in x can cause x to intersect with at most $\binom{n-1}{k-1}$ other vertices. We have $t \leq k+1$ entries in x , so x can intersect with at most $(k+1)\binom{n-1}{k-1}$

vertices. When $n \geq k(k+1)^2 + 1$, it can be verified that $(k+1)\binom{n-1}{k-1} < q - 2$, leaving at least two vertices in C_i that do not intersect with x . \square

Due to the above claim, the number of entries in x is $t \leq k$. To fill up the remaining entries of x (if any), we consider the set(s) C_j that have not become effectively singleton. For each of these sets C_j , we choose two distinct vertices, say $y_j, y'_j \in C_j$. We choose the remaining entries of x so that $x \cap y_j = \emptyset$ and $x \cap y'_j = \emptyset$. The number of such sets C_j is at most $k+1$. So for choosing the remaining entries of x , we have at least $n - t - 2k(k+1)$ choices. Because $n > k^3$, we can choose such entries. \square

Proof (Proof of Theorem 30). Suppose k colors were sufficient to CFON* color $K(n, k)$. By assigning the uncolored vertices the color $k+1$, we obtain a CFON coloring of $K(n, k)$ that uses $k+1$ colors. This contradicts Lemma 32. \square

It is easy to see that a proper coloring of a graph G is also a CFCN* coloring. Since $\chi(K(n, k)) \leq n - 2k + 2$ [18], we have that $\chi_{CN}^*(K(n, k)) \leq n - 2k + 2$. We show the following:

Theorem 33. $\chi_{CN}^*(K(n, k)) \leq n - 2k + 1$, for $2k + 1 \leq n \leq 3k - 1$. For the case when $n \geq 3k$, we have $\chi_{CN}^*(K(n, k)) \leq k$.

Lemma 34. When $n \geq 2k + 1$, we have $\chi_{CN}^*(K(n, k)) \leq k$.

Proof. We assign the following coloring to the vertices of $K(n, k)$:

- For any vertex (k -set) v that is a subset of $\{1, 2, \dots, 2k - 1\}$, we assign $C(v) = \max_{\ell \in v} \ell - (k - 1)$.
- All the remaining vertices are assigned the color 0.

For $1 \leq i \leq k$, let C_i be the color class of the color i . Notice that $C_1 \cup C_2 \cup \dots \cup C_k = \binom{[2k-1]}{k}$. Since any two k -subsets of $\{1, 2, \dots, 2k - 1\}$ intersect, it follows that $\binom{[2k-1]}{k}$ is an independent set. Hence each of the color classes C_1, C_2, \dots, C_k are independent sets. So if v is colored with color i , where $1 \leq i \leq k$, it has no neighbors of its own color. Hence, it serves as its own uniquely colored neighbor.

If v is assigned the color 0, then $v \not\subseteq [2k - 1]$. That is, v has some elements from outside $[2k - 1] = \{1, 2, \dots, 2k - 1\}$. Let $t = t(v)$ be the smallest nonnegative integer such that $|\{1, 2, \dots, k + t\} \setminus v| = k$. Since v has at least one element from outside $\{1, 2, \dots, 2k - 1\}$, t is at most $k - 1$. It is easy to verify that the vertex corresponding to the set $\{1, 2, \dots, k + t\} \setminus v$ is the lone neighbor of v that is colored $t + 1$, and thus serves as the uniquely colored neighbor of v . \square

Lemma 35. $\chi_{CN}(K(2k + 1, k)) = 2$, for all $k \geq 1$.

Proof. Consider a vertex v of $K(2k + 1, k)$. If $v \cap \{1, 2\} \neq \emptyset$, we assign color 1 to v . Else, we assign color 2 to v .

Let C_1 and C_2 be the sets of vertices colored 1 and 2 respectively. Below, we discuss the unique colors for every vertex of $K(n, k)$.

- If $v \in C_1$ and $\{1, 2\} \subseteq v$, then v is the uniquely colored neighbor of itself. This is because all the vertices in C_1 contain either 1 or 2 and hence v has no neighbors in C_1 .
- Let $v \in C_1$ and $|v \cap \{1, 2\}| = 1$. WLOG, let $1 \in v$ and $2 \notin v$. In this case, v has a uniquely colored neighbor $w \in C_2$. The vertex w is the k -set $w = [2k + 1] \setminus (v \cup \{2\})$.
- If $w \in C_2$, w is the unique color neighbor of itself. This is because C_2 is an independent set. For two vertices $w, w' \in C_2$ to be adjacent, we need $|w \cup w'| = 2k$, but vertices in C_2 are subsets of $\{3, 4, 5, \dots, 2k + 1\}$, which has cardinality $2k - 1$.

□

Lemma 36. $\chi_{CN}(K(2k + d, k)) \leq d + 1$, for all $k \geq 1$.

Proof. We prove this by induction on d . The base case of $d = 1$ is true by Lemma 35. Suppose $K(2k + d, k)$ has a CFCN coloring that uses $d + 1$ colors. Let us consider $K(2k + d + 1, k)$. For all the vertices of $K(2k + d + 1, k)$ that appear in $K(2k + d, k)$ we use the same assignment as in $K(2k + d, k)$. The new vertices (the vertices that contain $2k + d + 1$) are assigned the new color $d + 2$. As all the new vertices contain $2k + d + 1$, they form an independent set. Hence each of the new vertices serve as their own uniquely colored neighbor.

The vertices of $K(2k + d + 1, k)$ already present in $K(2k + d, k)$ get new neighbors, but all the new neighbors are colored with the new color $d + 2$. Hence the unique color of the existing vertices are retained. □

Lemma 36 implies that $\chi_{CN}^*(K(n, k)) \leq \chi_{CN}(K(n, k)) \leq n - 2k + 1$, when $n \geq 2k + 1$. So, from Lemma 34 and Lemma 36 we get Theorem 33.

$$\chi_{CN}^*(K(n, k)) \leq \begin{cases} n - 2k + 1, & \text{for } 2k + 1 \leq n \leq 3k - 1 \\ k, & \text{for } n \geq 3k \end{cases}.$$

8 Split Graphs

In this section, we study the CFON* and the CFCN* colorings of split graphs. We show that CFON* problem is NP-complete and CFCN* problem is polynomial time solvable.

Definition 37 (Split Graph). A graph $G = (V, E)$ is a split graph if there exists a partition of $V = K \cup I$ such that the graph induced by K is a clique and the graph induced by I is an independent set.

Theorem 38. The CFON* problem is NP-complete on split graphs.

Proof. We give a reduction from the classical graph coloring problem⁶. Given an instance (G, k) of graph coloring, we construct an auxiliary graph $G_1 = (V_1, E_1)$

⁶ The coloring where every vertex is colored and adjacent vertices are colored differently.

from $G(V, E)$ such that $V_1 = V \cup \{x, y\}$ and $E_1 = E \cup \{xy, xv, yv\}, \forall v \in V$. Note that $N(x) = V \cup \{y\}$ and $N(y) = V \cup \{x\}$. Now we construct the graph $G_2 = (V_2, E_2)$ from G_1 such that

$$V_2 = V_1 \cup \{I_{uv} \mid uv \in E_1\} \cup \{I_v \mid v \in V_1\}, \text{ and}$$

$$E_2 = \{uv \mid u, v \in V_1\} \cup \{uI_{uv}, vI_{uv} \mid uv \in E_1\} \cup \{uI_u \mid u \in V_1\}.$$

Note that G_2 is a split graph (K, I) with the clique $K = V_1$ and $I = V_2 \setminus V_1$. The construction of the graph G_2 from G can be done in polynomial time. Let $I = I_1 \cup I_2$ where I_1 and I_2 represents the set of degree one vertices and the set of degree two vertices in V_2 respectively.

Now, we argue that $\chi(G) \leq k$ if and only if $\chi_{ON}^*(G_2) \leq k + 2$, where $k \geq 3$. We first prove the forward direction. Given a k -coloring C_G of G , we extend C_G to the coloring C_{G_2} for G_2 using $k + 2$ colors. For all vertices $v \in V \cap V_2$, $C_{G_2}(v) = C_G(v)$. We assign $C_{G_2}(x) = k + 1$, $C_{G_2}(y) = k + 2$. All vertices in $I_1 \cup I_2$ are left uncolored. Every vertex $v \in K \setminus \{x\}$ has x as its uniquely colored neighbor whereas the vertex y is the uniquely colored neighbor for x . For each vertex $I_{uv} \in I_2$, we have $N(I_{uv}) = \{u, v\}$ and $C_{G_2}(u) \neq C_{G_2}(v)$. Hence the vertices u and v act as the uniquely colored neighbors for I_{uv} . Each vertex $I_u \in I_1$ will have the vertex u as its uniquely colored neighbor.

Now, we prove the converse. Given a CFON* $(k + 2)$ -coloring C_{G_2} of G_2 , we show that C_{G_2} when restricted to the vertices of G gives a k -coloring C_G of G . Observe that each vertex in K is colored in any CFON* coloring of G_2 . This is because of the degree one vertices in I_1 . Further as x and y are universal vertices in G_2 , we have $C_{G_2}(x) \neq C_{G_2}(w)$ for all $w \in K \setminus \{x\}$ and $C_{G_2}(y) \neq C_{G_2}(w)$ for all $w \in K \setminus \{y\}$. For every edge $uv \in E(G)$, we have $C_{G_2}(u) \neq C_{G_2}(v)$ as $N(I_{uv}) = \{u, v\}$. Hence, the coloring C_{G_2} when restricted to the set $K \setminus \{x, y\} = V$ is a k -coloring of G . \square

Theorem 39. *The CFCN* problem is polynomial time solvable on split graphs.*

The proof of Theorem 39 is through a characterization. We first show that for split graphs G , $\chi_{CN}^*(G) \leq 2$. Then we characterize split graphs G for which $\chi_{CN}^*(G) = 1$ thereby proving Theorem 39.

Lemma 40. *If $G = (V, E)$ is a split graph, then $\chi_{CN}^*(G) \leq 2$.*

Proof. Let $V = K \cup I$ be a partition of vertices into a clique K and an independent set I . We use $C : V \rightarrow \{1, 2, 0\}$ to assign colors to the vertices of V . Choose an arbitrary vertex $u \in K$ and assign $C(u) = 2$. The remaining vertices (if any) in $K \setminus \{u\}$ are assigned the color 0. For every vertex $v \in I$, we assign $C(v) = 1$. Each vertex in I will have itself as the uniquely colored neighbor and every vertex in K will have the vertex u as the uniquely colored neighbor. \square

We now characterize split graphs that are CFCN* colorable using one color.

Lemma 41. *Let $G = (V, E)$ be a split graph with $V = K \cup I$, where K and I are the clique and independent sets respectively. We have $\chi_{CN}^*(G) = 1$ if and only if at least one of the following is true: (i) G has a universal vertex, or (ii) $\forall v \in K, |N(v) \cap I| = 1$.*

Proof. We first prove the reverse direction. If there exists a universal vertex $u \in V$, then we assign the color 1 to u and assign the color 0 to all vertices in $V \setminus \{u\}$. This is a CFCN* coloring.

Suppose⁷ $\forall v \in K, |N(v) \cap I| = 1$. We assign the color 1 to each vertex in I and color 0 to the vertices in K . Each vertex in I acts as the uniquely colored neighbor for itself and for its neighbor(s) in K .

For the forward direction, let $C : V \rightarrow \{1, 0\}$ be a CFCN* coloring of G . We further assume that $\exists y \in K, |N(y) \cap I| \neq 1$ and show that there exists a universal vertex. We assume that $|K| \geq 2$ and $|I| \geq 1$ (if either assumption is violated, G has a universal vertex). We first prove the following claim.

Claim. Exactly one vertex in K is assigned the color 1.

Proof. Suppose not. Let two vertices $v, v' \in K$ be such that $C(v) = C(v') = 1$. Then none of the vertices in K have a uniquely colored neighbor.

Suppose if all vertices in K are assigned the color 0. For vertices in I to have a uniquely colored neighbor, each vertex in I has to be assigned the color 1. By assumption, $\exists y \in K$ such that $|N(y) \cap I| \neq 1$. This means that y does not have a uniquely colored neighbor. \square

Now we show that there is a universal vertex in K .

By the above claim, there is a unique vertex $v \in K$ such that $C(v) = 1$. We will show that v is a universal vertex. Suppose not. Let $w' \notin N(v) \cap I$. For w' to have a uniquely colored neighbor, either w' or one of its neighbors in K has to be assigned the color 1. The latter is not possible because v is the lone vertex in K that is colored 1. If $C(w') = 1$, then its neighbor(s) in K does not have a uniquely colored neighbor because of the vertices w' and v . Hence, v is a universal vertex. \square

From Lemmas 40 and 41, we get Theorem 39.

9 Conclusion

We gave an FPT algorithm for conflict-free coloring for the combined parameters clique width w and number of colors k . Since the problem is NP-hard for constant number of colors k , it is unlikely to be FPT with respect to k only. However an interesting open question is whether this result can be strengthened to an FPT algorithm for parameter clique width w only. To the best of our knowledge, it is open whether there is some bound of any conflict-free chromatic number by

⁷ This case also captures the case when K is empty.

the clique width. If there exists such a bound, our algorithm would also be a fixed-parameter tractable algorithm for parameter w only.

Further we showed a constant upper bound of conflict-free chromatic numbers for several graph classes. For most of them we established matching or almost matching lower and upper bounds for their conflict-free chromatic numbers. For unit square and square disk graphs there still is a wide gap, and it would be interesting to improve those bounds.

Acknowledgment: We would like to thank Rogers Mathew for helpful discussions. We would also like to thank Alexander Hermans for his help on finding a lower bound example for interval graphs. The third author acknowledges DST-SERB (MTR/2020/000497) for supporting this research. The last author acknowledges DST-SERB (SRG/2020/001162) for funding to support this research.

References

1. Zachary Abel, Victor Alvarez, Erik D. Demaine, Sándor P. Fekete, Aman Gour, Adam Hesterberg, Phillip Keldenich, and Christian Scheffer. Conflict-free coloring of graphs. *SIAM Journal on Discrete Mathematics*, 32(4):2675–2702, 2018.
2. Akanksha Agrawal, Pradeesha Ashok, Meghana M. Reddy, Saket Saurabh, and Dolly Yadav. FPT algorithms for conflict-free coloring of graphs and chromatic terrain guarding. *CoRR*, abs/1905.01822, 2019.
3. Hans-Jürgen Bandelt and Henry Martyn Mulder. Distance-hereditary graphs. *J. Comb. Theory, Ser. B*, 41(2):182–208, 1986.
4. Sriram Bhyravarapu and Subrahmanyam Kalyanasundaram. Combinatorial bounds for conflict-free coloring on open neighborhoods. In *Graph-Theoretic Concepts in Computer Science - 46th International Workshop, WG 2020, Leeds, UK, June 24-26, 2020, Revised Selected Papers*, pages 1–13, 2020.
5. Hans L. Bodlaender, Sudeshna Kolay, and Astrid Pieterse. Parameterized complexity of conflict-free graph coloring. In *Algorithms and Data Structures - 16th International Symposium, WADS 2019, Edmonton, AB, Canada, August 5-7, 2019, Proceedings*, pages 168–180, 2019.
6. Maw-Shang Chang and Yi-Chang Liu. Polynomial algorithms for weighted perfect domination problems on interval and circular-arc graphs. *J. Inf. Sci. Eng.*, 11(4):549–568, 1994.
7. Derek G. Corneil, Helmut Lerchs, and L. Stewart Burlingham. Complement reducible graphs. *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, 3(3):163–174, 1981.
8. Bruno Courcelle and Stephan Olariu. Upper bounds to the clique width of graphs. *Discret. Appl. Math.*, 101(1-3):77–114, 2000.
9. Alain Cournier and Michel Habib. A new linear algorithm for modular decomposition. In *Colloquium on Trees in Algebra and Programming*, pages 68–84. Springer, 1994.
10. Reinhard Diestel. *Graph Theory*. Springer-Verlag Heidelberg, 2005.
11. Guy Even, Zvi Lotker, Dana Ron, and Shakhbar Smorodinsky. Conflict-free colorings of simple geometric regions with applications to frequency assignment in cellular networks. *SIAM J. Comput.*, 33(1):94–136, January 2004.

12. Sándor P. Fekete and Phillip Keldenich. Conflict-free coloring of intersection graphs. In *28th International Symposium on Algorithms and Computation, ISAAC 2017, December 9-12, 2017*, volume 92, pages 31:1–31:12, 2017.
13. Frédéric Gardi. The roberts characterization of proper and unit interval graphs. *Discrete Mathematics*, 307(22):2906 – 2908, 2007.
14. Luisa Gargano and Adele A. Rescigno. Complexity of conflict-free colorings of graphs. *Theor. Comput. Sci.*, 566(C):39–49, February 2015.
15. Michel Habib and Christophe Paul. A survey of the algorithmic aspects of modular decomposition. *Computer Science Review*, 4(1):41–59, 2010.
16. Fei Huang, Shanshan Guo, and Jinjiang Yuan. A short note on open-neighborhood conflict-free colorings of graphs. *SIAM Journal on Discrete Mathematics*, 34(3):2009–2015, 2020.
17. Chaya Keller, Alexandre Rok, and Shakhar Smorodinsky. Conflict-free coloring of string graphs. *Discrete & Computational Geometry*, pages 1–36, 2020.
18. László Lovász. Kneser’s conjecture, chromatic number, and homotopy. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A*, 25(3):319 – 324, 1978.
19. Sang-il Oum and Paul D. Seymour. Approximating clique-width and branch-width. *J. Comb. Theory, Ser. B*, 96(4):514–528, 2006.
20. Janos Pach and Gabor Tardos. Conflict-free colourings of graphs and hypergraphs. *Combinatorics, Probability and Computing*, 18(5):819–834, 2009.
21. I. Vinod Reddy. Parameterized algorithms for conflict-free colorings of graphs. *Theor. Comput. Sci.*, 745:53–62, 2018.
22. Shakhar Smorodinsky. Conflict-free coloring and its applications. In *Geometry—Intuitive, Discrete, and Convex*, pages 331–389. Springer, 2013.
23. Manuel Sorge and Mathias Weller. The graph parameter hierarchy. <https://manyu.pro/assets/parameter-hierarchy.pdf>. Accessed: 2021-03-09.
24. Chain-Chin Yen and R.C.T. Lee. The weighted perfect domination problem and its variants. *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, 66(2):147 – 160, 1996.

A Proof of Lemma 8

We give a constructive algorithm that given a block graph G outputs a CFON-coloring C using at most three colors 1, 2, 3. For convenience, let us also specify a mapping h that maps each vertex $v \in G$ to one of its uniquely colored neighbors $w \in N(v)$. We use the fact that block-graphs are exactly the diamond-free chordal graphs (a diamond is a K_4 with one edge removed) [3]. As usual, we assume that G is connected and contains at least one edge uv . Color $C(u) = 1$ and $C(v) = 2$. Color every vertex $w \in (N(u) \cup N(v)) \setminus \{u, v\}$ with $C(w) = 3$. Assign $h(w) = v$ for every $w \in N(v)$, and assign $h(w) = u$ for every $w \in N(u) \setminus N(v)$.

Let G_v contain every connected component of $G \setminus \{u, v\}$ that contains a vertex from $N(v)$. Similarly, let G_u contain every connected component of $G \setminus \{u, v\}$ that contains a vertex from $N(u) \setminus N(v)$.

Claim. The sets $V(G_u)$ and $V(G_v)$ are disjoint.

Proof. We first claim that $N(v) \setminus \{u\}$ and $N(u) \setminus N[v]$ are non-adjacent. Assume, for the sake of contradiction, that there are adjacent vertices $y \in N(v) \setminus \{u\}$ and

$z \in N(u) \setminus N[v]$. Note that v and z are non-adjacent by definition. Thus if also u and y are non-adjacent, then $uvyz$ induces a cycle of length four, in contradiction that G is chordal. Hence $uy \in E(G)$, but then $uvyz$ induces a diamond subgraph, in contradiction that G is diamond-free. Therefore $N(v) \setminus \{u\}$ and $N(u) \setminus N[v]$ are non-adjacent.

We now proceed to show that $V(G_u)$ and $V(G_v)$ are disjoint. Assume, for the sake of contradiction, that $V(G_u)$ and $V(G_v)$ are not disjoint. Since $N(v) \setminus \{u\}$ and $N(u) \setminus N[v]$ are non-adjacent, graph $G - \{u, v\}$ contains a vertex $x \in V(G) \setminus (N(u) \cup N(v))$ that is connected to $N(u)$ and $N(v)$. We may assume that x is adjacent to a vertex $y \in N(v)$ and is connected to some vertex $z \in N(u) \setminus N[v]$ by some shortest path P_z in graph $G - \{u, v\}$. Then P_z together with edge yx is a path of length at least two, which does not contain any chord since it is a shortest path. Further, $ylvz$ or $lyuz$ is a shortest path between y and z in graph $G[N(u) \cup N(v)]$, depending on whether $uy \in E(G)$. Let P' be this path, which has length at least two. Then P' together with P_z and the edge xy is a cycle of length at least four. It has no chords since u and v are not adjacent to vertices of P_z beside z . This contradicts the fact that G is chordal. \square

We color every vertex $x \in V(G_v)$ in distance $2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, \dots$ from v in graph G_v with colors $1, 2, 3, 1, 2, 3, \dots$ periodically. We assign $h(x)$ for $x \in V(G_v)$ in distance $i \geq 2$ to v to an arbitrary neighbor $y \in N(x)$ that has distance $i - 1$ to v in graph G_v . Similarly we color every vertex $x \in V(G_u)$ in distance $2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, \dots$ from u in G_u with colors $2, 1, 3, 2, 1, 3, \dots$ periodically. Again, let $h(x)$ for $x \in V(G_u)$ in distance $i \geq 2$ to u map to an arbitrary neighbor $y \in N(x)$ in distance $i - 1$ to u in graph G_u .

For correctness it remains to show that $C(h(x))$ for every vertex $x \in V(G)$ occurs only once in $N(x)$.

Consider a vertex $w \in N(v)$. Then $h(w) = v$ of color 2. Every other neighbor of w has color 1 or 3, and hence $h(w)$ is a uniquely colored neighbor.

Consider a vertex $w \in N(u) \setminus N(v)$. Then $h(w) = u$ of color 1. Every other neighbor of w has color 2 or 3, and hence $h(w)$ is a uniquely colored neighbor.

Consider a vertex $w \in V(G_v)$ with some distance $i \geq 2$ to v . Then $h(w)$ has distance $i - 1$ to v . Neighbors of w may only have distance $i - 1, i$ or $i + 1$ to v , and the neighbors at different distances to v have distinct colors. Thus to prove that $h(w)$ is a uniquely colored neighbor of w , we have to show that there is no $w' \in N(w) \setminus \{h(w)\}$ in distance $i - 1$ to v . Assume, for the sake of contradiction, that there is a neighbor $w' \in N(w) \setminus \{h(w)\}$. If w' and $h(w)$ have a common neighbor w'' in distance $i - 2$ to v , then $w''w \notin E(G)$ such that $w, h(w), w', w''$ induce a diamond subgraph or a cycle of length four, in contradiction to that G is chordal and diamond-free. Else, w' and $h(w)$ are connected to some w'' with maximal distance $j < i - 2$ to v , possibly v itself. Let P_v be the shortest path between w' and $h(w)$ going through w'' and using vertices with distance $\leq i - 2$ to v . Then P_v has distance at least three and contains no chord. Further, either $h(w)w'$ or $h(w)ww'$ is a path with no chord, which we denote as P' and which has length at least one. Then P_v together with P' induces a cycle of length at least four, in contradiction to that G is chordal.

B Proof of Lemma 23

We define the graph G , an interval representation seen in Figure 2, with the help of a preliminary graph $G' = (V', E')$. Let V' consists of vertices u, v, w and $u', u'', u^*, v', v'', w^*, w', w''$. Let E' be the edges which form the maximal cliques $\{u, u'\}, \{u, u''\}, \{u^*, u, v\}, \{v, v'\}, \{v, v''\}, \{w^*, v, w\}, \{w, w'\}, \{w, w''\}$. By this ordering of maximal cliques, we observe that G' is an interval graph.

The graph G is obtained by replacing each vertex u, v, w, u^*, w^* of G' with a 3-clique and replacing $u', u'', v', v'', w', w''$ by a 4-clique. Formally, that is $V(G) = \bigcup_{x \in V'} \{x_1, x_2, x_3\} \cup \{u'_4, u''_4, v'_4, v''_4, w'_4, w''_4\}$ and $E(G) = \bigcup_{vw \in E', i, j \in [4]} v_i w_j$ (for those where vertices v_i and w_j exists). Since G is an interval graph, also G' is an interval graph.

Now we show that G cannot be χ_{ON} -colored with 3 colors. Assume there is a χ_{ON} -coloring $C : V(G) \rightarrow \{1, 2, 3\}$. Let h map each vertex $x \in V(G)$ to a uniquely colored neighbor $y \in N(x)$. We use the notation $L_{uv} = \bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq 3} \{u_i, v_i\}$ and $L_{vw} = \bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq 3} \{v_i, w_i\}$.

Claim. $C(L_{uv})$ has at most one color that occurs more than once, symmetrically the same is true for $C(L_{vw})$.

Proof (Claim's Proof). Assume, for the sake of contradiction, that there are at least two colors that occur at least twice in $C(L_{uv})$, say 1 and 2.

Suppose that $3 \notin C(L_{uv})$. Notice that $h(u_1^*)$ must be colored 3, and also $h(u_1^*) \in \{u_2^*, u_3^*\}$. WLOG, let $h(u_1^*) = u_2^*$. This means $C(u_2^*) = 3$ and $C(u_3^*) \in \{1, 2\}$. By a similar reasoning $C(h(u_2^*)) = 3$. This forces $h(u_2^*) = u_1^*$ and $C(u_1^*) = 3$. However now $C_{\{\}}(N(u_3^*)) = \{\{1, 1, 2, 2, 3, 3\}\}$, and leaves u_3^* without a uniquely colored neighbor. Hence $1, 2, 3 \in C(L_{uv})$.

Note that if $C_{\{\}}(L_{uv}) = C_{\{\}}(N(u_1^*)) = \{\{1, 1, 2, 2, 3, 3\}\}$, then u_1^* cannot have a uniquely colored neighbor. Since 1 and 2 appear at least twice in $C(L_{uv})$, it follows that $C_{\{\}}(L_{uv}) = \{\{1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 3\}\}$ or $C_{\{\}}(L_{uv}) = \{\{1, 1, 2, 2, 2, 3\}\}$.

Now consider that either u_1, u_2, u_3 or v_1, v_2, v_3 is colored with 1,2,3. Consider that u_1, u_2, u_3 is colored 1,2,3, and hence v_1, v_2, v_3 is colored with 1 and 2. By symmetry we may assume that $C(u_1) = 1, C(u_2) = 2, C(u_3) = 3$ and $C(v_1) = 1, C(v_2) = 1, C(v_3) = 2$. Then $C_{\{\}}(N(u_3)) \supseteq \{\{1, 1, 1, 2, 2\}\}$ and thus $C(h(u_3)) = 3$. However, also $h(u_3) \in N(u_1)$ such that $C_{\{\}}(N(u_1)) \supseteq \{\{2, 3, 1, 1, 2, 3\}\}$ and u_1 cannot have a uniquely colored neighbor.

In the remaining cases, up to symmetry, $C(u_1) = 1, C(u_2) = 1, C(u_3) \in \{1, 2\}$ and $C(v_1) = 2, C(v_2) = 2, C(v_3) = 3$. We use the fact that $C_{\{\}}(N(v_3)) \supseteq \{\{1, 1, 2, 2\}\}$ and hence $C(h(v_3)) = 3$. Notice that $h(v_3) \notin \{u_1^*, u_2^*, u_3^*\}$. For instance, if $h(v_3) = u_1^*$, then $C_{\{\}}(N(u_3^*)) \supseteq \{\{1, 1, 2, 2, 3, 3\}\}$. Thus $h(v_3) \notin \{u_1^*, u_2^*, u_3^*\}$ and hence $h(v_3)$ is either in one of the 4-cliques replacing v' or v'' or possibly one of the six intervals of $w_1, w_2, w_3, w_1^*, w_2^*, w_3^*$. Hence in both cases, there are at least four vertices $x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 \in N(v_3) \cap N[h(v_3)]$ that are not part of L_{uv} . We may assume that $h(v_3) = x_1$. As mentioned above, $C(x_1) = 3$. Then $x \in \{x_2, x_3, x_4\}$ has $C_{\{\}}(N(x)) \supseteq C_{\{\}}(\{v_1, v_2, v_3\}) \cup C(x_1) = \{\{2, 2, 3, 3\}\}$. Therefore x_2 has either of x_3, x_4 as its uniquely colored neighbor, say $h(x_2) = x_3$,

which must be of color 1. Then in turn x_3 has either of x_2, x_4 as its uniquely colored neighbor, say $h(x_3) = x_2$, which must also be of color 1. Then, however, x_4 has $C_{\{\!\!\{\}}}(N(x_4)) \supseteq \{\!\!\{1, 1, 2, 2, 3, 3\}\!\!\}$ and therefore has no uniquely colored neighbor. \square

Claim. $|C(\{x_1, x_2, x_3\})| = 2$ for every $x \in \{u, v, w\}$.

Proof (Claim's Proof). First, let us assume, for the sake of contradiction, that $|C(\{u_1, u_2, u_3\})| = 1$, say with the coloring $C(\{u_1, u_2, u_3\}) = \{1\}$. Note that the neighborhood $N(\{u'_1, u'_2, u'_3, u'_4\}) \subseteq \{u_1, u_2, u_3, u'_1, u'_2, u'_3, u'_4\}$. It follows for the uniquely colored neighbors $h(\{u'_1, u'_2, u'_3, u'_4\}) \subseteq \{u'_1, u'_2, u'_3, u'_4\}$, which then must satisfy coloring $\{2, 3\} \subseteq C(\{u'_1, u'_2, u'_3, u'_4\})$. Analogously it follows that $\{2, 3\} \subseteq C(\{u''_1, u''_2, u''_3, u''_4\})$. Then we have the contradiction that $C_{\{\!\!\{\}}}(N(u_1)) \supseteq \{\!\!\{1, 1, 2, 2, 3, 3\}\!\!\}$. By symmetry the claim also follows for $x \in \{v, w\}$.

It remains to show that $|C(\{x_1, x_2, x_3\})| \neq 3$. Assume, for the sake of contradiction, that $C(\{u_1, u_2, u_3\}) = \{1, 2, 3\}$. They are adjacent to $\{v_1, v_2, v_3\}$ which as just observed have $|C(\{v_1, v_2, v_3\})| \geq 2$. Thus $C(L_{uv})$ has at least two colors that occur at least twice, in contradiction to the previous claim. Again, by symmetry the claim also follows for $x \in \{v, w\}$. \square

WLOG, we may now assume that $C_{\{\!\!\{\}}}(N(v_1)) = \{\!\!\{1, 3, 3\}\!\!\}$ with $C(v_1) = 1$. The previous two claims imply that $C_{\{\!\!\{\}}}(N(u_1)) = C_{\{\!\!\{\}}}(N(w_1)) = \{\!\!\{2, 3, 3\}\!\!\}$. Now $C(h(v_1)) = 1$ since we already now that $C_{\{\!\!\{\}}}(N(v_1)) \supseteq \{\!\!\{2, 2, 3, 3\}\!\!\}$. Observe that $h(v_1) \in N(v_2)$. Then however $C_{\{\!\!\{\}}}(v_2) \supseteq \{\!\!\{1\}\!\!\} \cup \{\!\!\{1, 2, 2, 3, 3\}\!\!\}$. A contradiction. Thus the coloring C is infeasible and G cannot be χ_{ON} -colored with 3 colors.

C Proof of Theorem 28

Each disk is identified by its center. By unit disk, we mean that its radius is 1. Sometimes we interchangeably use the term ‘‘vertex’’ for unit disk. We first show that two colors are sufficient to CFON* color unit disk intersection graphs of height at most $\sqrt{3}$. The approach for the general case is to divide the graph into horizontal stripes of height $\sqrt{3}$ and color the vertices in two phases. A stripe is the region between two horizontal lines of infinite length. We consider a unit disk as belonging to a stripe if its center is contained in the stripe. If a unit disk has its center on the horizontal line that separates two stripes then it is considered in the stripe below the line.

We say that a unit disk intersection graph has *height* $\sqrt{3}$, if the centers of all the disks lie in a horizontal stripe of width $\sqrt{3}$. We first prove that two colors are sufficient to CFON* color all the unit disk intersection graphs of height $\sqrt{3}$. Throughout, we denote the X -coordinate and the Y -coordinate of a vertex v with v_x and v_y respectively.

Lemma 42. *Unit disk intersection graphs of height $\sqrt{3}$ are CFON* 2-colorable.*

Proof. Let G be a unit disk intersection graph of height $\sqrt{3}$. Note that vertices u and v are adjacent if and only if their X -coordinates differ by at most 2. Thus we may represent G as a unit interval graph by replacing every vertex v by an interval from $v_x - 1$ to $v_x + 1$. Then $\chi_{ON}^*(G) \leq 2$ as seen in Lemma 24. \square

We assign colors $C(v)$, for all the unit disks v of G in two phases. In phase 1, we use 6 colors $C : V \rightarrow \{0\} \cup \{c_{i,0}, c_{i,1} \mid i \in \{0, 1, 2\}\}$. WLOG we assume that the centers of all the disks have positive Y -coordinates. We partition the plane into horizontal stripes S_ℓ for $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ where each stripe is of height $\sqrt{3}$. We assign vertex v with Y -coordinate v_y to S_ℓ if $\sqrt{3}(\ell - 1) < v_y \leq \sqrt{3}\ell$. Then $G[S_\ell]$ has height $\sqrt{3}$ such that Lemma 42 applies. We color vertices in S_ℓ accordingly using colors $c_{i,0}$ and $c_{i,1}$ where $i = \ell \bmod 3$. Then every vertex $u \in S_\ell$, that is not isolated in $G[S_\ell]$ has a uniquely colored neighbor v in $G[S_\ell]$. Every $w \notin S_\ell$ with color $C(w) = C(v)$ must be in a stripe S_{ℓ^*} with $|\ell - \ell^*| \geq 3$. Thus $w \notin N(u)$ and v is also a uniquely colored neighbor of u in G . It remains to identify uniquely colored neighbors for the vertices $u \in S_\ell$ which are isolated in $G[S_\ell]$. Let I be the set of these vertices.

In phase 2, we reassign colors to some of the vertices of G to ensure a uniquely colored neighbor for each vertex in I . For each vertex $v \in I$, choose an arbitrary *representative* vertex $r(v) \in N(v)$. Let $R = \{r(v) \mid v \in I\} \subseteq V(G)$ be the set of representative vertices. We assign $C : R \rightarrow \{c_{i,j} \mid i \in \{0, 1, 2\}, j \in \{2, 3, \dots, 16\}\}$ that replaces the color assigned in phase 1. Consider a stripe S_ℓ for $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$. We order the vertices $S_\ell \cap R$ non-decreasingly by their X -coordinate and sequentially color them with $c_{i,2}, \dots, c_{i,16}$ where $i = \ell \bmod 3$.

Total number of colors used: The number of colors used in phase 1 and phase 2 are 6 and 45 respectively, giving a total of 51.

Correctness: We now prove that the assigned coloring is a valid CFON* coloring. For this we need to prove the following,

- Each vertex in I has a uniquely colored neighbor.
- The coloring in phase 2 does not upset the uniquely colored neighbors (identified in phase 1) of the vertices in $V \setminus I$.

We first prove the following claim.

Claim. For each vertex $v \in V(G)$, all vertices in $N(v) \cap R$ are assigned distinct colors in phase 2.

Proof (Claim's proof). Let $v \in S_{\ell+1}$. Assume, for the sake of contradiction, that there are two vertices $u, w \in N(v) \cap R$ such that $C(u) = C(w)$, see also Figure 5. Then u and w have to be from the same stripe that neighbors $S_{\ell+1}$. WLOG let $u, w \in S_\ell$, $\ell = 0 \bmod 3$ and $u_x \leq w_x$. We may further assume that $C(u) = C(w) = c_{0,2}$. Then there are sixteen vertices (including u and w) $R' \subseteq R \cap S_\ell$ that are assigned the colors $c_{0,2}, c_{0,3}, \dots, c_{0,16}, c_{0,2}$ and have X -coordinate between u_x and w_x . Note that $|u_x - v_x| < 2$ and $|w_x - v_x| < 2$. This is because of the fact that $v \in S_{\ell+1}$, $u, w \in S_\ell$ and $u, w \in N(v)$. This implies that $|u_x - w_x| < 4$. Vertices R' are the representative vertices of some sixteen

vertices $I' \subseteq I$. By definition $I' \subseteq S_{\ell+1} \cup S_{\ell-1}$. Before we proceed to the rest of the proof, we observe the following.

Observation: Let p, q be vertices in a stripe S . If $p \notin N(q)$, then $|p_x - q_x| > 1$.

Proof. Note that $|q_y - p_y| < \sqrt{3}$ since p and q belong to the same stripe S . Because p and q are non-adjacent, they have Euclidean distance of more than 2. Then it follows that $|p_x - q_x| > 1$. \square

First, let us consider $I' \cap S_{\ell+1}$. We claim that there are at most three vertices $u', u'', u''' \in I' \cap S_{\ell+1}$ such that $u'_x, u''_x, u'''_x < v_x$. WLOG let $u'''_x < u''_x < u'_x$. By the above observation, we have $|u'_x - v_x| > 1$, $|u''_x - v_x| > 2$ and $|u'''_x - v_x| > 3$. For the sake of contradiction, assume that there is another vertex $u^* \in I' \cap S_{\ell+1}$ such that $u^*_x < v_x$. WLOG let $u^*_x < u'''_x$. Since $u^* \in I' \cap S_{\ell+1}$, it should be the case that $|u^*_x - u_x| \leq 2$. This is not the case because $|u^*_x - v_x| > 4$ and $|v_x - u_x| < 2$ implying $|u^*_x - u_x| > 2$. Analogously, there are at most three vertices $w', w'', w''' \in I' \cap S_{\ell+1}$ such that $w'''_x, w''_x, w'_x > v_x$. Considering the possibility that $v \in I'$, we have $|I' \cap S_{\ell+1}| \leq 7$.

Now, consider the vertices in $I' \cap S_{\ell-1}$. Again any vertex in $I' \cap S_{\ell-1}$ must be adjacent to some representative $r \in R'$ with $|r_x - v_x| < 2$. Thus the X -coordinates of the vertices in $I' \cap S_{\ell-1}$ differ by at most 8. Since the vertices in $I' \cap S_{\ell-1}$ are non-adjacent, we have that $|I' \cap S_{\ell-1}| \leq 8$. Together with the vertices in $I' \cap S_{\ell+1}$, we have that $|I'| = 15$. This contradicts the assumption that $|I'| = 16$. Thus all vertices $N(v) \cap R$ are assigned distinct colors. \square

We now proceed to the correctness part.

- **Every vertex $v \in I$ has a uniquely colored neighbor.**

Let $v \in S_{\ell+1} \cap I$. By the above claim, no two vertices in $N(v) \cap R$ are assigned the same color in phase 2. Moreover, since v is not isolated in G , we have $|N(v) \cap R| \geq 1$ such that it has a uniquely colored neighbor.

- **The coloring in phase 2 does not upset the uniquely colored neighbors of vertices in $V \setminus I$.**

Let $v \in V \setminus I$ and u be its uniquely colored neighbor after the phase 1 coloring. For v to not have a uniquely colored neighbor after phase 2 coloring, there exists a vertex $w \in N(v)$ such that $C(u) = C(w)$. This implies that both u and w are representative vertices for some vertices in I and they are re-colored in phase 2. This contradicts the above claim. \square




Fig. 5. The vertex $v \in S_{\ell+1}$ is adjacent to two vertices u and w in S_{ℓ} , which are representative vertices for some isolated vertices. The vertices $u''', w''' \in S_{\ell+1}$ and $u^*, w^* \in S_{\ell-1}$ belong to the set I' such that in the worst case (i) $|u_x - w_x| < 4$, (ii) $|u'''_x - v_x| < 3$, $|w'''_x - v_x| < 3$, (iii) $|u_x - u^*_x| < 2$, $|w_x - w^*_x| < 2$ and (iv) $|u^*_x - w^*_x| < 8$. Including u^* and w^* , there could be at most eight isolated vertices in $I' \cap S_{\ell-1}$ between u^*_x and w^*_x .