

BOHR-ROGOSINSKI PHENOMENON FOR $\mathcal{S}^*(\psi)$ AND $\mathcal{C}(\psi)$

KAMALJEET GANGANIA AND S. SIVAPRASAD KUMAR

ABSTRACT. In Geometric function theory, occasionally attempts have been made to solve a particular problem for the Ma-Minda classes, $\mathcal{S}^*(\psi)$ and $\mathcal{C}(\psi)$ of univalent starlike and convex functions, respectively. Recently, a popular radius problem generally known as Bohr's phenomenon has been studied in various settings, however a little is known about Rogosinski radius. In this article, for a fixed $f \in \mathcal{S}^*(\psi)$ or $\mathcal{C}(\psi)$, the class of analytic subordinants $\mathcal{S}_f(\psi) := \{g : g \prec f\}$ is studied for the Bohr-Rogosinski phenomenon in a general setting. Its applications to the classes $\mathcal{S}^*(\psi)$ and $\mathcal{C}(\psi)$ are also shown.

2010 AMS Subject Classification. Primary 30C45, 30C50, Secondary 30C80.
Keywords and Phrases. Subordination, Radius problem, Bohr Radius, Bohr-Rogosinski radius.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let \mathcal{A} denote the class of analytic functions of the form $f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k$ in the open unit disk $\mathbb{D} := \{z : |z| < 1\}$. Using subordination [20], Ma and Minda [19] (also see [15]) introduced the unified class of univalent starlike and convex functions defined as follows:

$$\mathcal{S}^*(\psi) := \left\{ f \in \mathcal{A} : \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \prec \psi(z) \right\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{C}(\psi) := \left\{ f \in \mathcal{A} : 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \prec \psi(z) \right\},$$

where ψ is analytic and univalent with $\Re\psi(z) > 0$, $\psi'(0) > 0$, $\psi(0) = 1$ and $\psi(\mathbb{D})$ is symmetric about real axis. Note that $\psi \in \mathcal{P}$, the class of normalized Carathéodory functions. Also when $\psi(z) = (1+z)/(1-z)$, $\mathcal{S}^*(\psi)$ and $\mathcal{C}(\psi)$ reduces to the standard classes \mathcal{S}^* and \mathcal{C} of univalent starlike and convex functions.

In GFT, radius problems have a rich history which is being followed till today, see the recent articles [9, 10, 11, 13, 14, 15, 17, 28]. In 1914, Harald Bohr [8] proved the following remarkable radius problem related to the power series:

K. Gangania thanks to University Grant Commission, New-Delhi, India for providing Junior Research Fellowship under UGC-Ref. No.:1051/(CSIR-UGC NET JUNE 2017).

Theorem 1.1 (Bohr's Theorem, [8]). *Let $g(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k z^k$ be an analytic function in \mathbb{D} and $|g(z)| < 1$ for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$, then*

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |a_k| |z|^k \leq 1, \quad \text{for } |z| \leq \frac{1}{3}.$$

Bohr actually proved the above result for $r \leq 1/6$. Further Wiener, Riesz and Shur independently sharpened the result for $r \leq 1/3$. Presently, the Bohr inequality for functions mapping unit disk onto different domains, other than unit disk is an active area of research. For the recent development on Bohr-phenomenon, see the articles [2, 3, 6, 7, 21, 22, 23] and references therein. The concept of Bohr phenomenon in terms of subordination can be described as:

Definition 1.2 (Muhanna, [21]). Let $f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k z^k$ and $g(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_k z^k$ are analytic in \mathbb{D} and $f(\mathbb{D}) = \Omega$. For a fixed f , consider a class of analytic functions $S(f) := \{g : g \prec f\}$ or equivalently $S(\Omega) := \{g : g(z) \in \Omega\}$. Then the class $S(f)$ is said to satisfy Bohr-phenomenon, if there exists a constant $r_0 \in (0, 1]$ satisfying the inequality $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |b_k| r^k \leq d(f(0), \partial\Omega)$ for all $|z| = r \leq r_0$ and $g \in S(f)$, where $d(f(0), \partial\Omega)$ denotes the Euclidean distance between $f(0)$ and the boundary of $\Omega = f(\mathbb{D})$. The largest such r_0 is called the Bohr-radius.

In 2014, Muhanna et al. [23] proved the Bohr phenomenon for $S(W_\alpha)$, where $W_\alpha := \{w \in \mathbb{C} : |\arg w| < \alpha\pi/2, 1 \leq \alpha \leq 2\}$, which is a Concave-wedge domain (or exterior of a compact convex set) and the class $R(\alpha, \beta, h)$ defined by $R(\alpha, \beta, h) := \{f \in \mathcal{A} : f(z) := g(z) + \alpha z g'(z) + \beta z^2 g''(z) \prec h(z), g \in \mathcal{A}\}$, where h is a convex function (or starlike) and $R(\alpha, \beta, h) \subset S(h)$. In 2018, Bhowmik and Das [6] proved the Bohr-phenomenon for the classes: $S(f) = \{g \in \mathcal{A} : g \prec f \text{ and } f \in \mu(\lambda)\}$, where $\mu(\lambda) = \{f \in \mathcal{A} : |(z/f(z))^2 f'(z) - 1| < \lambda, 0 < \lambda \leq 1\}$ and $S(f) = \{g \in \mathcal{A} : g \prec f \text{ and } f \in \mathcal{S}^*(\alpha), 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1/2\}$, where $\mathcal{S}^*(\alpha)$ is the well-known class of starlike functions of order α .

In the aforesaid work, the role of the sharp coefficient's bound of f was prominent to achieve the respective Bohr radius for the class $S(f)$, see [3, 15, 16]. But in general, the sharp coefficient's bounds for functions in a given class are not available, for example see [9, 13, 14, 15, 28], thus certain power series inequalities are needed. In this direction, Bhowmik and das obtained the following important inequality to achieve the Bohr radius for the class $S(f)$, where $f \in \mu(\lambda)$ and $\mathcal{S}^*(\alpha), 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1/2\}$ respectively:

Lemma 1.1 ([6]). *let $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ and $g(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_k z^k$ be analytic in \mathbb{D} and $g \prec f$. Then*

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |b_k| r^k \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_n| r^n, \quad \text{for } |z| \leq \frac{1}{3}.$$

Motivated by the class $S(f)$, Kumar and Gangania in [16, Sec. 5] further used the above Lemma 1.1 in the absence of the sharp coefficient's bounds

of f to study the Bohr phenomenon for the class $S_f(\psi)$, which eventually holds for the class $\mathcal{S}^*(\psi)$:

Definition 1.3. Let $f \in \mathcal{S}^*(\psi)$ or $\mathcal{C}(\psi)$ be fixed. Then the class of subordinants functions g is defined as:

$$S_f(\psi) := \left\{ g(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k z^k : g \prec f \right\}.$$

Theorem 1.4. [16, Theorem 5.1] Let r_* be the Koebe-radius for the class $\mathcal{S}^*(\psi)$, $f_0(z)$ be given by the equation (2.2) and $g(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k z^k \in S_f(\psi)$. Assume $f_0(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} t_n z^n$ and $\hat{f}_0(r) = r + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |t_n| r^n$. Then $S_f(\psi)$ satisfies the Bohr-phenomenon

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |b_k| r^k \leq d(f(0), \partial\Omega), \quad \text{for } |z| = r \leq r_b,$$

where $r_b = \min\{r_0, 1/3\}$, $\Omega = f(\mathbb{D})$ and r_0 is the least positive root of the equation

$$\hat{f}_0(r) = r_*.$$

The result is sharp when $r_b = r_0$ and $t_n > 0$.

Note that Muhanna et al. [24] recently discussed the Bohr type of inequalities for the k -th section for the analytic functions $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ using the Bohr Operator

$$M_r(f) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_n| |z^n| = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_n| r^n.$$

Paulsen and Singh [25] using this operator provided an simple elementary proof of the Bohr's Theorem 1.1 and extended it to the Banach algebras (for the basic important discussion, see [24, 25]). Now for the simplicity and further discussion, we define the following basic operator for f , where $S^N(f(z)) = \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} a_n z^n$:

$$M_r^N(f) = \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} |a_n| |z^n| = \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} |a_n| r^n,$$

and thus the following observations hold for $|z| = r$ for each $z \in \mathbb{D}$

- (i) $M_r^N(f) \geq 0$, and $M_r^N(f) = 0$ if and only if $f \equiv 0$
- (ii) $M_r^N(f + g) \leq M_r^N(f) + M_r^N(g)$
- (iii) $M_r^N(\alpha f) = |\alpha| M_r^N(f)$ for $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$
- (iv) $M_r^N(f.g) \leq M_r^N(f).M_r^N(g)$
- (v) $M_r^N(1) = 1$.

Using this operator, we now can get similar type of results as obtained by Muhanna et al. [24] for the interim k -th sections $S_k^N(f(z)) = \sum_{n=N}^k a_n z^n$ and the function $S^N(f(z))$.

In analogy with Bohr's Theorem, there is also the notion of Rogosinski radius, however a little is known about Rogosinski radius as compared to Bohr radius, which is defined as follows, also see [18, 26, 27]:

Theorem 1.5 (Rogosinski Theorem). *If $g(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_k z^k$ with $|f(z)| < 1$, then for every $N \geq 1$ we have*

$$\left| \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} b_k z^k \right| \leq 1, \quad \text{for } |z| \leq \frac{1}{2}.$$

The radius $1/2$ is called the Rogosinski radius.

Kayumov et al. [12] considered a new quantity, called Bohr-Rogosinski sum, which is described as follows:

$$|g(z)| + \sum_{k=N}^{\infty} |b_k| |z|^k, \quad |z| = r.$$

For the case $N = 1$, note that this sum is similar to the Bohr's sum, where $g(0)$ is replaced by $|g(z)|$. We also refer the readers to see [1, 4]. Now we say the family $S(f)$ has Bohr-Rogosinski phenomenon, if there exists $r_N^f \in (0, 1]$ such that the inequality:

$$|g(z)| + \sum_{k=N}^{\infty} |b_k| |z|^k \leq |f(0)| + d(f(0), \partial\Omega)$$

holds for $|z| = r \leq r_N^f$. The largest such r_N^f is called the Bohr-Rogosinski radius. Authors [12] also proved the following interesting results:

Theorem 1.6. [12, Theorem 5-6] *Let $g \in S(f)$, where f is univalent in \mathbb{D} . Then for each $m, N \in \mathbb{N}$, the inequality*

$$|g(z^m)| + \sum_{k=N}^{\infty} |b_k| |z|^k \leq |f(0)| + d(f(0), \partial\Omega)$$

holds for $|z| = r \leq r_{m,N}^f$, where $r_{m,N}^f$ is the smallest positive root of:

$$4r^m - (1 - r^m)^2 + 4r^N(N(1 - r) + r) \left(\frac{1 - r^m}{1 - r} \right)^2 = 0.$$

The radius is sharp for the Koebe function $z/(1 - z)^2$. Moreover, if f is convex (univalent) in \mathbb{D} , then $r_{m,N}^f$ is the smallest positive root of:

$$3r^m - 1 + 2r^N \left(\frac{1 - r^m}{1 - r} \right) = 0.$$

The radius is sharp for the convex function $z/(1 - z)$.

Motivated by the above work, let us now introduce the Bohr-Rogosinski phenomenon for the class of analytic subordinants $S_f(\psi)$:

Definition 1.7. The class $S_f(\psi)$ has a Bohr-Rogosinski phenomenon, if there exists an $0 < r_0 \leq 1$ such that

$$|g(z)| + \sum_{k=N}^{\infty} |b_k| |z|^k \leq d(f(0), \partial\Omega)$$

for $|z| = r \leq r_0$, where $N \in \mathbb{N}$, $\Omega = f(\mathbb{D})$ and $d(f(0), \partial\Omega)$ denotes the Euclidean distance between $f(0)$ and the boundary of Ω .

Note that $\mathcal{S}^*(\psi) \subset \bigcup_{f \in \mathcal{S}^*(\psi)} S_f(\psi)$. Further, the connection between the Bohr-Rogosinski and Bohr phenomenon can be seen through Definition 1.7, if we replace $|g(z)|$ by $|g(z^m)|$, where $m \in \mathbb{N}$, and then consider the special case by taking $m \rightarrow \infty$ with $N = 1$. In Section 2, for a fixed $f \in \mathcal{S}^*(\psi)$ or $\mathcal{C}(\psi)$, the class of subordinants $S_f(\psi) := \{g : g \prec f\}$ is studied for the Bohr-Rogosinski phenomenon in general settings along with its applications to the standard classes of univalent starlike and convex functions.

2. BOHR-ROGOSINSKI PHENOMENON

The following fundamental result is an extension of the Lemma 1.1:

Lemma 2.1. *let $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ and $g(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_k z^k$ be analytic in \mathbb{D} and $g \prec f$, then*

$$\sum_{k=N}^{\infty} |b_k| r^k \leq \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} |a_n| r^n \quad (2.1)$$

for $|z| = r \leq \frac{1}{3}$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. Since $g \prec f$, we have $g(z) = f(\omega(z))$, where ω is a Schwarz function. For the case $\omega(z) = cz$, $|c| = 1$, the function g is a rotation of f or $g = f$, and the inequality (2.1) easily holds. So consider the case: $\omega(z) \neq cz$, $|c| = 1$. Now the coefficient b_k of the function g is given by: for any $k \geq N \in \mathbb{N}$

$$b_k = \sum_{n=N}^k a_n \beta_k^{(n)},$$

where the t -th power of the analytic function ω is represented as $\omega^t(z) = \sum_{l \geq t} \beta_l^{(t)} z^l$, $t \in \mathbb{N}$. Now we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=N}^m |b_k| r^k &= \sum_{k=N}^m \left| \sum_{n=N}^k a_n \beta_k^{(n)} \right| r^k \\ &\leq \sum_{k=N}^m \sum_{n=N}^k |a_n| |\beta_k^{(n)}| r^k \\ &= \sum_{n=N}^m |a_n| M_m^{(n)}(r), \end{aligned}$$

where $M_m^{(n)}(r) = \sum_{k=n}^m |\beta_k^{(n)}| r^k$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $|\omega^n(z)/z^n| < 1$ for any $n \geq 1$, using Bohr's Theorem 1.1 we have

$$\sum_{k=n}^m |\beta_k^{(n)}| r^{k-n} \leq \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} |\beta_k^{(n)}| r^{k-n} \leq 1, \quad r \leq \frac{1}{3},$$

that is, $M_m^{(n)}(r) \leq r^n$ holds for $r \leq 1/3$. Hence, for any $m \geq N \geq 1$ and $r \leq 1/3$

$$\sum_{k=N}^m |b_k| r^k \leq \sum_{n=N}^m |a_n| r^n.$$

The result now follows by taking $m \rightarrow \infty$. \square

Proof.[Alternate proof of the Lemma 2.1] Since $g(z) = f(\omega(z))$, where ω is the Schawrz function, we have

$$\begin{aligned} M_r^N(g) &= M_r^N \left(\sum_{k=N}^{\infty} a_k (\omega(z))^k \right) \\ &\leq \sum_{k=N}^{\infty} |a_k| (M_r(\omega(z)))^k \\ &\leq \sum_{k=N}^{\infty} |a_k| |z|^k \end{aligned}$$

for $|z| = r \leq 1/3$. \square

Remark 2.1. In Lemma 2.1, taking $N \rightarrow 1$ and the fact the $g(0) = f(0)$ we obtain Lemma 1.1.

Moreover, the following results is obtained using the properties of the operator $M_r^N(f)$ and Lemma 2.1:

Corollary 2.1. *Let the analytic functions f, g and h satisfies $g(z) = h(z)f(\omega(z))$ in \mathbb{D} , where ω is the Schawrz function. Assume $|h(z)| \leq \tau$ for $|z| < \tau \leq 1$. Then*

$$M_r^N(g) \leq \tau M_r^N(f), \quad 0 \leq |z| = r \leq \frac{\tau}{3}.$$

Corollary 2.2. *Let $\tau = 1$ in Theorem 2.1. Then*

$$M_r^N(g) \leq M_r^N(f), \quad 0 \leq |z| = r \leq \frac{1}{3}.$$

Lemma 2.2. ([19]) *Let $f \in \mathcal{S}^*(\psi)$ and $|z_0| = r < 1$. Then $f(z)/z \prec f_0(z)/z$ and*

$$-f_0(-r) \leq |f(z_0)| \leq f_0(r).$$

Equality holds for some $z_0 \neq 0$ if and only if f is a rotation of f_0 , where $z f_0(z)/f_0(z) = \psi(z)$ such that

$$f_0(z) = z \exp \int_0^z \frac{\psi(t) - 1}{t} dt. \quad (2.2)$$

Our next results discuss Bohr-Rogosinski phenomenon for the classes $S_f(\psi)$ and $\mathcal{S}^*(\psi)$, respectively.

Theorem 2.3. *Let r_* be the Koebe-radius for the class $\mathcal{S}^*(\psi)$, $f_0(z)$ be given by the equation (2.2) and $f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \in \mathcal{S}^*(\psi)$. Assume $f_0(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} t_n z^n$ and $\hat{f}_0(r) = r + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |t_n| r^n$. If $g \in S_f(\psi)$. Then*

$$|g(z^m)| + \sum_{k=N}^{\infty} |b_k| |z|^k \leq d(0, \partial\Omega) \quad (2.3)$$

holds for $|z| = r_b \leq \min\{\frac{1}{3}, r_0\}$, where $m, N \in \mathbb{N}$, $\Omega = f(\mathbb{D})$ and r_0 is the unique positive root of the equation:

$$\hat{f}_0(r^m) + \hat{f}_0(r) - p_{\hat{f}_0}(r) = r_*, \quad (2.4)$$

where

$$p_{\hat{f}_0}(r) = \begin{cases} 0, & N = 1; \\ r, & N = 2 \\ r + \sum_{n=2}^{N-1} |t_n| r^n, & N \geq 3 \end{cases}$$

The result is sharp when $r_b = r_0$ and $t_n > 0$.

Proof. Let $g(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k z^k \prec f(z)$, where $f \in \mathcal{S}^*(\psi)$. Now by Lemma 2.1, for $r \leq 1/3$, we have

$$\sum_{k=N}^{\infty} |b_k| r^k \leq \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} |a_n| r^n.$$

Again applying Lemma 2.1 on $f(z)/z \prec f_0(z)/z$ (Lemma 2.2), we get that

$$\sum_{k=N}^{\infty} |b_k| r^k \leq \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} |a_n| r^n \leq \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} |t_n| r^n, \quad r \leq \frac{1}{3}. \quad (2.5)$$

Now $g \prec f$ implies that $g(z) = f(\omega(z))$, which using the Lemma 2.2 yields

$$|g(z)| = |f(\omega(z))| \leq f_0(r)$$

for $|z| = r$, where ω is a Schwarz function. Moreover,

$$|g(z^m)| \leq \hat{f}_0(r^m). \quad (2.6)$$

Also, by letting r tends to 1 in Lemma 2.2, we obtain the Koebe-radius $r_* = -f_0(-1)$. Therefore, the open ball $\mathbb{B}(0, r_*) \subset f(\mathbb{D})$, which implies that for $|z| = 1$

$$r_* \leq d(0, \partial\Omega). \quad (2.7)$$

Now using the equations (2.5), (2.6) and (2.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |g(z^m)| + \sum_{k=N}^{\infty} |b_k| |z|^k &\leq \hat{f}_0(r^m) + \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} |t_n| r^n \\ &= \hat{f}_0(r^m) + \hat{f}_0(r) - p_{\hat{f}_0}(r) \\ &\leq r_* \\ &\leq d(0, \partial\Omega) \end{aligned}$$

holds whenever $|z| = r \leq \min\{\frac{1}{3}, r_0\}$, where r_0 is the smallest positive root of the equation:

$$G(r) := \hat{f}_0(r^m) + \hat{f}_0(r) - p_{\hat{f}_0}(r) - r_* = 0.$$

Note that $G(0) < 0$, and since $\hat{f}_0(1) \geq |f_0(1)| \geq r_*$, we see that

$$2\hat{f}_0(1) - \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} |t_n| - r_* = (\hat{f}_0(1) - \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} |t_n|) + (\hat{f}_0(1) - r_*) > 0$$

where $t_1 = 1$, which implies $G(1) > 0$. Clearly, for $0 \leq r \leq 1$

$$G'(r) = \hat{f}'_0(r^m) + (\hat{f}'_0(r) - p'_{\hat{f}_0}(r)) > 0,$$

which implies G is a continuous increasing function in $[0, 1]$. Thus $G(r) = 0$ has a root in the interval $(0, 1)$. The sharpness follows for the function f_0 as

$$f_0(r_b^m) + \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} t_n r_b^n = r_* = d(0, \partial\Omega)$$

when $r_b = r_0$ and $t_n > 0$. □

Remark 2.2. Let $\psi(z) = (1+z)/(1-z)$, then Theorem 2.3 reduces to [12, Theorem 5].

Remark 2.3. Observe that if we take $m \rightarrow \infty$ and $N = 1$, then Theorem 2.3 reduces to [16, Theorem 5.1].

Corollary 2.4. *Let r_* be the Koebe-radius for the class $\mathcal{S}^*(\psi)$, $f_0(z)$ be given by the equation (2.2). Assume $f_0(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} t_n z^n$ and $\hat{f}_0(r) = r + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |t_n| r^n$. If $f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \in \mathcal{S}^*(\psi)$. Then*

$$|f(z^m)| + \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} |a_n| |z|^n \leq d(0, \partial\Omega) \quad (2.8)$$

holds for $|z| = r_b \leq \min\{\frac{1}{3}, r_0\}$, where $m, N \in \mathbb{N}$, $\Omega = f(\mathbb{D})$ and r_0 is the unique positive root of the equation:

$$\hat{f}_0(r^m) + \hat{f}_0(r) - p_{\hat{f}_0}(r) = r_*,$$

where $p_{\hat{f}_0}$ is as defined in Theorem 2.3. The radius is sharp for the function f_0 when $r_b = r_0$ and $t_n > 0$.

Corollary 2.5. *Let $\psi(z) = 1 + \frac{4}{3}z + \frac{2}{3}z^2$, $f_0(r) = r \exp\left(\frac{4}{3}r + \frac{r^2}{3}\right)$ and $m = 1$. If $g \in \mathcal{S}_f(\psi)$. Then the inequality (2.3) holds for $|z| = r \leq r_N$, where $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $r_N (< 1/3)$ is the unique positive root of the equation:*

$$2r \exp\left(\frac{4}{3}r + \frac{r^2}{3}\right) - p_{f_0}(r) - \exp(-1) = 0,$$

where $p_{f_0} = p_{\hat{f}_0}$ is as defined in Theorem 2.3 with $|t_n| = t_n = f_0^n(0)/n!$. Moreover, if $f \in \mathcal{S}^*(\psi)$. Then the inequality (2.8) also holds for $r \leq r_N$. The radius r_N is sharp.

Remark 2.4. In Corollary 2.5, we observe that the radius r_N approaches $r_0 = 0.25588 \dots$ for large value of N , where r_0 is the unique positive root of

$$r \exp\left(\frac{4}{3}r + \frac{r^2}{3}\right) - \exp(-1) = 0.$$

Moreover, if $m \geq 2$ then the inequalities (2.3) and (2.8) hold for $r \leq 1/3$.

Corollary 2.6. *Let $\psi(z) = 1 + ze^z$ and $m = 1$. If $g \in S_f(\psi)$. Then the inequality (2.3) holds for $|z| = r \leq r_N = \{r_0, 1/3\}$, where $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and r_0 is the unique positive root of the equation:*

$$2r \exp(e^r - 1) - T(r) - \exp(e^{-1} - 1) = 0,$$

where

$$T(r) = \begin{cases} 0, & N = 1; \\ r, & N = 2; \\ \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} \frac{B_{n-1}}{(n-1)!} r^n, & N \geq 3 \end{cases}$$

and B_n are the bell numbers such that $B_{n+1} = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} B_k$. Moreover, if $f \in \mathcal{S}^*(\psi)$. Then the inequality (2.8) also holds for $r \leq r_N$. The radius $r_N < 1/3$ is sharp for $N \leq 3$.

Corollary 2.7. *Let $\psi(z) = 1 + \frac{z}{k} \left(\frac{k+z}{k-z}\right)$ with $k = \sqrt{2} + 1$. If $g \in S_f(\psi)$. Then the inequality (2.3) holds for $|z| = r \leq r_b = \min\{1/3, r_0\}$, where $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and r_0 is the unique positive root of the equation:*

$$\frac{r^m}{e^{r^m}} \left(\frac{k}{k-r^m}\right)^{2k} + \frac{r}{e^r} \left(\frac{k}{k-r}\right)^{2k} - p_{f_0}(r) - e \left(\frac{k}{k+1}\right)^{2k} = 0,$$

where $p_{f_0} = p_{\hat{f}_0}$ is as defined in Theorem 2.3 and $t_n = |t_n|$ are the Taylor coefficients of the function $f_0(r) = \frac{r}{e^r} \left(\frac{k}{k-r}\right)^{2k}$. Moreover, if $f \in \mathcal{S}^*(\psi)$. Then the inequality (2.8) also holds for $r \leq r_b$. The radius r_b is sharp when $m = 1$ and $N \leq 4$.

Since all the Taylor coefficients of the function $1 + \sin z$ are not positive, $\hat{f}_0 \neq f_0$. So we consider the radius r_N upto three decimal places only, which also reveals the connection of positive coefficients of ψ to the sharp Bohr-Rogosinski radius.

Corollary 2.8. *Let $\psi(z) = 1 + \sin z$ and $m = 1$. If $g \in S_f(\psi)$. Then the inequality (2.3) holds for $|z| = r \leq r_N$, where $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $r_N (< 1/3)$ is the unique positive root of the equation:*

$$2r \exp(Si(r)) - \exp(Si(-1)) - p_{f_0}(r) = 0,$$

where $f_0(r) = r \exp(Si(r))$, where $Si(x)$ is the Sin Integral defined as:

$$Si(x) := \int_0^x \frac{\sin(x)}{x} dx = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n x^{2n+1}}{(2n+1)(2n+1)!}$$

Moreover, if $f \in \mathcal{S}^*(\psi)$. Then the inequality (2.8) also holds for $r \leq r_N$.

Remark 2.5. In Corollary 2.8, the numerical computations reveal that the Bohr-Rogosinski radius $r_N \approx 0.290 * \dots < 1/3$ for any $N > 4$, where $*$ = 6 or 7. Also $r_N < 1/3$ for $N \leq 4$. Moreover, as $N \rightarrow \infty$, the required radius $r_0 \approx 0.290 * \dots$ is the unique positive root of

$$r \exp(Si(r)) - \exp(Si(-1)) = 0.$$

Next we discuss the Bohr-Rogosinski phenomenon for the celebrated Janowski class of univalent starlike functions. For this, we first need the following: for simplicity write $\mathcal{S}^*((1 + Dz)/(1 + Ez)) \equiv \mathcal{S}[D, E]$, where $-1 \leq E < D \leq 1$.

Lemma 2.3. [5, Theorem 3] *If $f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \in \mathcal{S}[D, E]$. Then for $n \geq 2$, the following sharp bounds occur:*

$$|a_n| \leq \prod_{k=0}^{n-2} \frac{|E - D + Ek|}{k + 1}.$$

Corollary 2.9. *Let $\psi(z) = (1 + Dz)/(1 + Ez)$, $-1 \leq E < D \leq 1$. If $f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \in \mathcal{S}^*(\psi)$. Then*

$$|f(z^m)| + \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} |a_n| |z|^n \leq d(0, \partial\Omega) \quad (2.9)$$

holds for $|z| = r \leq r_0$, where $m, N \in \mathbb{N}$, $\Omega = f(\mathbb{D})$ and r_0 is the unique positive root of the equations:

$$r^m (1 + Er^m)^{\frac{D-E}{E}} + A(r) + \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \prod_{k=0}^{n-2} \frac{|E - D + Ek|}{k + 1} r^n - (1 - E)^{\frac{D-E}{E}} = 0, \quad \text{if } E \neq 0,$$

where $A(r) = r$ for $N = 1$ and 0 otherwise, and

$$r^m e^{Dr^m} + r e^{Dr} - J(r) - e^{-D} = 0, \quad \text{if } E = 0,$$

where

$$J(r) = \begin{cases} 0, & N = 1; \\ r, & N = 2; \\ \sum_{n=2}^{N-1} \prod_{k=0}^{n-2} \frac{D}{k+1} r^n, & N \geq 3. \end{cases} \quad (2.10)$$

The radius r_0 is sharp.

Proof. Let us consider the function f_0 such that $z f_0'(z)/f_0(z) = (1 + Dz)/(1 + Ez)$, which is given by

$$f_0(z) = \begin{cases} z(1 + Ez)^{\frac{D-E}{E}}, & E \neq 0; \\ z e^{Dz}, & E = 0. \end{cases} \quad (2.11)$$

Now using the Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 2.3, we have

$$|f(z^m)| \leq f_0(r^m), \quad r_* = -f_0(-1)$$

and

$$\sum_{n=N}^{\infty} |a_n| |z|^n \leq \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \prod_{k=0}^{n-2} \frac{|E - D + Ek|}{k + 1} r^n, \quad N \geq 2.$$

Now proceeding as in Theorem 2.3, for r_0 as defined in the statement, the result follows. To prove the sharpness of the radius r_0 , we see that at $|z| = r = r_0$ and $f = f_0$ given in (2.11):

$$\begin{aligned}
& |f(z^m)| + \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} |a_n| |z|^n \\
&= \begin{cases} (r_0)^m (1 + E(r_0)^m)^{\frac{D-E}{E}} + A(r_0) + \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \prod_{k=0}^{n-2} \frac{|E-D+Ek|}{k+1} (r_0)^n, & E \neq 0; \\ (r_0)^m e^{D(r_0)^m} + (r_0) e^{Dr_0} - J(r_0), & E = 0. \end{cases} \\
&= \begin{cases} (1-E)^{\frac{D-E}{E}}, & E \neq 0; \\ e^{-D}, & E = 0. \end{cases} \\
&= -f_0(-1) \\
&= d(0, \partial\Omega),
\end{aligned}$$

where $J(r)$ is as defined in (2.10), and $A(r) = r$ for $N = 1$ and 0 otherwise for the case $E \neq 0$. \square

Remark 2.6. Taking $m \rightarrow \infty$ and $N = 1$ in Corollary 2.9, we obtain the Bohr radius for the class $\mathcal{S}[D, E]$, which covers many classical cases.

In Corollary 2.9, putting $D = 1 - 2\alpha$ and $E = -1$, where $0 \leq \alpha < 1$, we get the result for the class of univalent starlike functions of order α , that is, $\mathcal{S}^*(\alpha)$:

Corollary 2.10. *If $f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \in \mathcal{S}^*(\alpha)$. Then the inequality (2.9) holds for $|z| = r \leq r_0$, where $m, N \in \mathbb{N}$, $\Omega = f(\mathbb{D})$ and r_0 is the smallest positive root of the equations:*

$$\frac{r^m}{(1-r^m)^{2(1-\alpha)}} + A(r) + \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \prod_{k=0}^{n-2} \frac{k+2(1-\alpha)}{k+1} r^n - \frac{1}{4^{1-\alpha}} = 0,$$

where $A(r) = r$ for $N = 1$ and 0 otherwise. The radius r_0 is sharp.

Putting $\alpha = 0$ in Corollary 2.10, we get the following:

Corollary 2.11. *If $f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \in \mathcal{S}^*$. Then the inequality (2.9) holds for $|z| = r \leq r_0$, where $m, N \in \mathbb{N}$, $\Omega = f(\mathbb{D})$ and r_0 is the smallest positive root of the equations:*

$$4r^m - (1-r^m)^2 + 4r^N(N(1-r) + r) \left(\frac{1-r^m}{1-r} \right)^2 = 0.$$

The radius r_0 is sharp.

To proceed further, we need to recall the following fundamental result:

Lemma 2.4. [19] *Let $f \in \mathcal{C}(\psi)$. Then $zf''(z)/f'(z) \prec zl_0''(z)/l_0'(z)$ and $f'(z) \prec l_0'(z)$. Also, for $|z| = r$ we have*

$$-l_0(-r) \leq |f(z)| \leq l_0(r),$$

where

$$zl_0''(z)/l_0'(z) = \psi(z). \quad (2.12)$$

Now we discuss the results for the convex analogue $\mathcal{C}(\psi)$ of $\mathcal{S}^*(\psi)$.

Theorem 2.12. *Let r_* be the Koebe-radius for the class $\mathcal{C}(\psi)$, $l_0(z)$ be given by the equation (2.12) and $f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \in \mathcal{C}(\psi)$. Assume $l_0(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} l_n z^n$ and $\hat{l}_0(r) = r + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |l_n| r^n$. If $g \in \mathcal{S}_f(\psi)$. Then*

$$|g(z^m)| + \sum_{k=N}^{\infty} |b_k| |z|^k \leq d(0, \partial\Omega) \quad (2.13)$$

holds for $|z| = r_b \leq \min\{\frac{1}{3}, r_0\}$, where $m, N \in \mathbb{N}$, $\Omega = f(\mathbb{D})$ and r_0 is the unique positive root of the equation:

$$\hat{l}_0(r^m) + \hat{l}_0(r) - p_{\hat{l}_0}(r) = r_*,$$

where

$$p_{\hat{l}_0}(r) = \begin{cases} 0, & N = 1; \\ r, & N = 2; \\ r + \sum_{n=2}^{N-1} |l_n| r^n, & N \geq 3. \end{cases}$$

The result is sharp when $r_b = r_0$ and $l_n > 0$.

Proof. Let $g(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k z^k \prec f(z)$, where $f \in \mathcal{C}(\psi)$. From the Alexander relation, it is known that $f \in \mathcal{C}(\psi)$ if and only if

$$z f'(z) = \tilde{g}(z), \quad \text{or equivalently} \quad f(z) = \int_0^z \frac{\tilde{g}(t)}{t} dt$$

for some $\tilde{g} \in \mathcal{S}^*(\psi)$. Now by Lemma 2.1, for $r \leq 1/3$, we have

$$\sum_{k=N}^{\infty} |b_k| r^k \leq \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} |a_n| r^n = \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \frac{|\tilde{b}_n|}{n} r^n, \quad (2.14)$$

where \tilde{b}_n are the Taylor coefficients of \tilde{g} . Again applying Lemma 2.1 on $f'(z) \prec l'_0(z)$ (Lemma 2.4), we get that

$$M_{\tilde{g}}(r) - p_{\tilde{g}}(r) \leq M_h(r) - p_h(r), \quad r \leq \frac{1}{3}, \quad (2.15)$$

where $Mg(x) := \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |b_k| x^k$, and h is given by the relation $z l'_0(z) = h(z)$. Now using the equations (2.14) and (2.15), we have for $r \leq 1/3$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=N}^{\infty} |b_k| |z|^k &\leq \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \frac{|\tilde{b}_n|}{n} r^n \\ &= \int_0^r \frac{M_{\tilde{g}}(t) - p_{\tilde{g}}(t)}{t} dt \\ &\leq \int_0^r \frac{M_h(t) - p_h(t)}{t} dt = \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} |l_0| r^n \\ &= \hat{l}_0(r) - p_{\hat{l}_0}(r). \end{aligned} \quad (2.16)$$

Now $g \prec f$ implies that $g(z) = f(\omega(z))$, which using the Lemma 2.4 yields

$$|g(z)| = |f(\omega(z))| \leq l_0(r)$$

for $|z| = r$, where ω is a Schwarz function. Moreover,

$$|g(z^m)| \leq \hat{l}_0(r^m). \quad (2.17)$$

Also, by letting r tends to 1 in Lemma 2.4, we obtain the Koebe-radius $r_* = -l_0(-1)$. Therefore, the open ball $\mathbb{B}(0, r_*) \subset f(\mathbb{D})$, which implies that for $|z| = 1$

$$r_* \leq d(0, \partial\Omega). \quad (2.18)$$

Hence, using the inequalities (2.16), (2.17) and (2.18), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |g(z^m)| + \sum_{k=N}^{\infty} |b_k| |z|^k &\leq \hat{l}_0(r^m) + \hat{l}_0(r) - p_{\hat{l}_0}(r) \\ &\leq r_* \\ &\leq d(0, \partial\Omega) \end{aligned}$$

holds whenever $|z| = r \leq \min\{\frac{1}{3}, r_0\}$, where r_0 is the smallest positive root of the equation:

$$H(r) := \hat{l}_0(r^m) + \hat{l}_0(r) - p_{\hat{l}_0}(r) - r_* = 0.$$

Clearly, H is continuous and $H'(r) > 0$ for $0 \leq r \leq 1$. Note that $H(0) < 0$, and since $\hat{l}_0(1) \geq |l_0(1)| \geq r_*$, we see that

$$2\hat{l}_0(1) - \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} |l_n| - r_* = (\hat{l}_0(1) - \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} |l_n|) + (\hat{l}_0(1) - r_*) > 0,$$

which implies $H(1) > 0$. Thus $H(r) = 0$ has a root in the interval $(0, 1)$. The sharpness follows for the function l_0 as

$$l_0(r_b^m) + \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} l_n r_b^n = r_* = d(0, \partial\Omega)$$

when $r_b = r_0$ and $l_n > 0$. □

Remark 2.7. Let $\psi(z) = (1+z)/(1-z)$, then Theorem 2.12 reduces to [12, Theorem 6].

The following result is explicitly for the class $\mathcal{C}(\psi)$.

Corollary 2.13. *Let r_* be the Koebe-radius for the class $\mathcal{C}(\psi)$, $l_0(z)$ be given by the equation (2.12). Assume $l_0(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} l_n z^n$ and $\hat{l}_0(r) = r + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |l_n| r^n$. If $f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \in \mathcal{C}(\psi)$. Then*

$$|f(z^m)| + \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} |a_n| |z|^n \leq d(0, \partial\Omega) \quad (2.19)$$

holds for $|z| = r_b \leq \min\{\frac{1}{3}, r_0\}$, where $m, N \in \mathbb{N}$, $\Omega = f(\mathbb{D})$ and r_0 is the unique positive root of the equation:

$$\hat{l}_0(r^m) + \hat{l}_0(r) - p_{\hat{l}_0}(r) = r_*,$$

where $p_{\hat{l}_0}$ is as defined in Theorem 2.12. The radius is sharp for the function l_0 when $r_b = r_0$ and $l_n > 0$.

Remark 2.8. The special case of taking $m \rightarrow \infty$ and $N = 1$ in Theorem 2.13 and Corollary 2.13 establish the Bohr phenomenon for the classes $S_f(\psi)$ and $\mathcal{C}(\psi)$, respectively.

After some little computations when $\psi(z) = (1+z)/(1-z)$, the Corollary 2.13 yields:

Corollary 2.14. *If $f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \in \mathcal{C}$. Then the inequality (2.19) holds for $|z| = r \leq r_0$, where $m, N \in \mathbb{N}$, $\Omega = f(\mathbb{D})$ and r_0 is the unique positive root of the equations:*

$$3r^m - 1 + 2r^N \left(\frac{1 - r^m}{1 - r} \right) = 0.$$

The radius r_0 is sharp.

Corollary 2.15. *Let $\psi(z) = 1 + ze^z$ and $m = 1$. If $g \in S_f(\psi)$. Then the inequality (2.13) holds for $|z| = r \leq r_N$, where $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $r_N (< 1/3)$ is the unique positive root of the equation:*

$$2r(1 + re^r) \exp(e^r - 1) - H(r) - (1 - e^{-1})e^{e^{-1}-1} = 0,$$

where

$$H(r) = \begin{cases} 0, & N = 1; \\ r, & N = 2; \\ \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \binom{(n+1)B_n}{n!} r^{n+1}, & N \geq 3. \end{cases}$$

and B_n are the bell numbers such that $B_{n+1} = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} B_k$. Moreover, if $f \in \mathcal{C}(\psi)$. Then the inequality (2.19) also holds for $r \leq r_N$. The radius r_N is sharp.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

- [1] L. Aizenberg, Remarks on the Bohr and Rogosinski phenomena for power series, *Anal. Math. Phys.* **2** (2012), no. 1, 69–78.
- [2] R. M. Ali, R. W. Barnard and A. Yu. Solynin, A note on Bohr's phenomenon for power series, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **449** (2017), no. 1, 154–167.
- [3] R. M. Ali, N. K. Jain and V. Ravichandran, Bohr radius for classes of analytic functions, *Results Math.* **74** (2019), no. 4, Paper No. 179, 13 pp.
- [4] S. A. Alkhaleefah, I. R. Kayumov and S. Ponnusamy, Bohr-Rogosinski inequalities for bounded analytic functions, *Lobachevskii J. Math.* **41** (2020), no. 11, 2110–2119.
- [5] M. K. Aouf, On a class of p -valent starlike functions of order α , *Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci.* **10** (1987), no. 4, 733–744.
- [6] B. Bhowmik and N. Das, Bohr phenomenon for subordinating families of certain univalent functions, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **462** (2018), no. 2, 1087–1098.
- [7] H. P. Boas and D. Khavinson, Bohr's power series theorem in several variables, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **125** (1997), no. 10, 2975–2979.

- [8] H. Bohr, A Theorem Concerning Power Series, Proc. London Math. Soc. (2) **13** (1914), 1–5.
- [9] N. E. Cho, V. Kumar, S.S. Kumar and V. Ravichandran, Radius problems for starlike functions associated with the sine function, Bull. Iranian Math. Soc. **45** (2019), no. 1, 213–232.
- [10] K. Gangania and S. S. Kumar, On Certain Generalizations of $\mathcal{S}^*(\psi)$, Comput. Methods Funct. Theory (2021), <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40315-021-00386-5>.
- [11] W. Janowski, Extremal problems for a family of functions with positive real part and for some related families, Ann. Polon. Math. **23** (1970/71), 159–177.
- [12] I. R. Kayumov, D. M. Khammatova and S. Ponnusamy, Bohr-Rogosinski phenomenon for analytic functions and Cesáro operators, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **496** (2021), no. 2, 124824, 17 pp.
- [13] S. Kumar and V. Ravichandran, A subclass of starlike functions associated with a rational function, Southeast Asian Bull. Math. **40** (2016), no. 2, 199–212.
- [14] S. S. Kumar and G. Kamaljeet, A cardioid domain and starlike functions, Anal. Math. Phys. **11** (2021), no. 2, 54.
- [15] S. S. Kumar and G. Kamaljeet, On Geometrical Properties of Certain Analytic functions, Iran. J. Sci. Technol. Trans. A Sci. (2020), <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40995-021-01116-1>
- [16] S. S. Kumar and G. Kamaljeet, On Certain Generalizations of $\mathcal{S}^*(\psi)$, (2020), arXiv:2007.06069v1
- [17] S. S. Kumar and G. Kamaljeet, $\mathcal{S}^*(\psi)$ and $\mathcal{C}(\psi)$ -radii for some special functions, (2020), arXiv:2008.13499v1
- [18] E. Landau and D. Gaier, *Darstellung und Begründung einiger neuerer Ergebnisse der Funktionentheorie*, third edition, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1986.
- [19] W. C. Ma and D. Minda, A unified treatment of some special classes of univalent functions, in *Proceedings of the Conference on Complex Analysis (Tianjin, 1992)*, 157–169, Conf. Proc. Lecture Notes Anal., I, Int. Press, Cambridge, MA.
- [20] S. S. Miller and P. T. Mocanu, *Differential subordinations*, Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics, 225, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 2000.
- [21] Y. A. Muhanna, Bohr’s phenomenon in subordination and bounded harmonic classes, Complex Var. Elliptic Equ. **55** (2010), no. 11, 1071–1078.
- [22] Y. Abu Muhanna and R. M. Ali, Bohr’s phenomenon for analytic functions into the exterior of a compact convex body, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **379** (2011), no. 2, 512–517.
- [23] Y. Abu Muhanna, R. M. Ali, Z. C. Ng and S. F. M. Hasni, Bohr radius for subordinating families of analytic functions and bounded harmonic mappings, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **420** (2014), no. 1, 124–136.
- [24] Y. Abu Muhanna, R. M. Ali and S. K. Lee, The Bohr operator on analytic functions and sections, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **496** (2021), no. 2, 124837, 11 pp.
- [25] V.I. Paulsen, D. Singh, A simple proof of Bohr’s inequality, <https://www.math.uh.edu/~vern/bohrconf.pdf>.
- [26] W. Rogosinski, Über Bildschranken bei Potenzreihen und ihren Abschnitten, Math. Z. **17** (1923), no. 1, 260–276.

- [27] I. Schur, G. Szegő, Über die Abschnitte einer im Einheitskreise beschränkten Potenzreihe, Sitz.ber. Preuss. Akad. Wiss. Berl. Phys.-Math. Kl. (1925) 545–560.
- [28] K. Sharma, N. K. Jain and V. Ravichandran, Starlike functions associated with a cardioid, Afr. Mat. **27** (2016), no. 5-6, 923–939.

DEPARTMENT OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS, DELHI TECHNOLOGICAL UNIVERSITY,
DELHI-110042, INDIA

Email address: gangania.m1991@gmail.com

DEPARTMENT OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS, DELHI TECHNOLOGICAL UNIVERSITY,
DELHI-110042, INDIA

Email address: spkumar@dce.ac.in