

Rainbow perfect matchings for 4-uniform hypergraphs

Hongliang Lu*

School of Mathematics and Statistics

Xi'an Jiaotong University

Xi'an, Shaanxi 710049, China

Yan Wang

Xingxing Yu†

School of Mathematics

Georgia Institute of Technology

Atlanta, GA 30332, USA

Abstract

Let n be a sufficiently large integer with $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ and let $F_i \subseteq \binom{[n]}{4}$ where $i \in [n/4]$. We show that if each vertex of F_i is contained in more than $\binom{n-1}{3} - \binom{3n/4}{3}$ edges, then $\{F_1, \dots, F_{n/4}\}$ admits a rainbow matching, i.e., a set of $n/4$ edges consisting of one edge from each F_i . This generalizes a deep result of Khan on perfect matchings in 4-uniform hypergraphs.

1 Introduction

A *hypergraph* is a family of subsets (called *edges*) of a nonempty set whose elements are the *vertices* of the hypergraph. For a hypergraph H , we use $V(H)$ to denote its vertex set, and $E(H)$ to denote its edge set, and let $e(H) := |E(H)|$. We say that a hypergraph H is *k-uniform* for some positive integer k if all edges of H have the same size k . A *k-uniform* hypergraph is also known as a *k-graph*.

A *matching* in a hypergraph H is a set of pairwise disjoint edges of H . Finding maximum matchings in *k-graphs* is NP-hard for $k \geq 3$, see [21]. Hence, it is of interest to find good sufficient conditions for the existence of a large matching in *k-graphs*. The most well known open problem in this area is the following conjecture made by Erdős [9] in 1965: For positive integers k, n, t , if H is a *k-graph* of order n and $e(H) > \max \left\{ \binom{kt-1}{k}, \binom{n}{k} - \binom{n-t+1}{k} \right\}$ then H has a matching of size t . This bound on $e(H)$ is tight because of the complete *k-graph*

*Partially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under grant No.11871391 and Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities

†Partially supported by NSF grant DMS-1954134

on $kt - 1$ vertices and the k -graph on n vertices in which every edge intersects a fixed set of $t - 1$ vertices. There have been recent activities on this conjecture, see [5, 6, 10–13, 18, 33].

One type of conditions that have been used to ensure the existence of large matchings is the so-called “Dirac-type conditions”, which involves degrees of sets of vertices. Our work in this paper falls into this category. For convenience, let $[k] := \{1, \dots, k\}$ for any positive integer k , and let $\binom{S}{k} := \{T \subseteq S : |T| = k\}$ for any set S and positive integer k . Let H be a hypergraph. For any $T \subseteq V(H)$, the *degree* of T in H , denoted by $d_H(T)$, is the number of edges of H containing T . For any integer $l \geq 0$, $\delta_l(H) := \min\{d_H(T) : T \in \binom{V(H)}{l}\}$ denotes the minimum l -degree of H . Hence, $\delta_0(H) = e(H)$. Note that $\delta_1(H)$ is often called the *minimum vertex degree* of H .

For integers n, k, s, d satisfying $0 \leq d \leq k - 1$, $n \equiv 0 \pmod{k}$, and $0 \leq s \leq n/k$, $m_d^s(k, n)$ denotes the minimum integer m such that every k -graph H on n vertices with $\delta_d(H) \geq m$ has a matching of size s . Rödl, Ruciński, and Szemerédi [37] determined $m_{k-1}^{n/k}(k, n)$ for large n , which has motivated a large amount of work, see [16, 17, 26–28, 39]. For instance, Treglown and Zhao [39] extended this result by determining $m_d^{n/k}(k, n)$ for all $d \geq k/2$. On the other hand, it seems more difficult to determine $m_d^{n/k}(k, n)$ when $d < k/2$. Kühn, Osthus, and Treglown [28] and, independently, Khan [26] determined $m_1^{n/3}(3, n)$. Khan [27] further determined $m_1^{n/4}(4, n)$. The main work in this paper is to prove a more general result which implies Khan’s result and uses different techniques.

Let $\mathcal{F} = \{F_1, \dots, F_t\}$ be a family of hypergraphs. A set of t pairwise disjoint edges, one from each F_i , is called a *rainbow matching* for \mathcal{F} . (In this case, we also say that \mathcal{F} or $\{F_1, \dots, F_t\}$ *admits* a rainbow matching.) There has been a lot of interest in studying rainbow versions of matching problems, see [1–4, 12, 18–20, 24, 25, 32, 34, 36]. For instance, Aharoni and Howard [3] made the following conjecture, which first appeared in Huang, Loh, and Sudakov [18]: Let t be a positive integer and $\mathcal{F} = \{F_1, \dots, F_t\}$ such that, for $i \in [t]$, $F_i \subseteq \binom{[n]}{k}$ and $e(F_i) > \left\{ \binom{kt-1}{k}, \binom{n}{k} - \binom{n-t+1}{k} \right\}$; then \mathcal{F} admits a rainbow matching. Huang, Loh, and Sudakov [18] showed that this conjecture holds for $n > 3k^2t$. Frankl and Kupavskii [12] improved this lower bound to $n \geq 12tk \log(e^2t)$, which was further improved by Lu, Wang and Yu [30] to $n \geq 2kt$. Keevash, Lifshitz, Long and Minzer [22, 23] independently verified this conjecture for $n > Ckt$ for some (large and unspecified) constant C . Recently, Kupavskii [29] gave the concrete dependencies on the parameters by showing the conjecture holds for $n > 3ekt$ with $t > 10^7$.

For 3-graphs, Lu, Yu, and Yuan [32] proved that, for sufficiently large n with $n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$, if $\delta_1(F_i) > \binom{n-1}{2} - \binom{2n/3}{2}$ for $i \in [n/3]$ then \mathcal{F} has a rainbow matching. This implies the result of Kühn, Osthus, and Treglown [28] and Khan [27] on perfect matchings in 3-graphs.

In this paper, we prove the following result on rainbow matchings in 4-graphs, which gives Khan’s result [27] on perfect matchings in 4-graphs as a special case.

Theorem 1.1 *Let n be a sufficiently large integer with $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$. Let $\mathcal{F} = \{F_1, \dots, F_{n/4}\}$ such that, for $i \in [n/4]$, $F_i \subseteq \binom{[n]}{4}$ and $\delta_1(F_i) > \binom{n-1}{3} - \binom{3n/4}{3}$. Then \mathcal{F} admits a rainbow matching.*

The bound on $\delta_1(F_i)$ in Theorem 1.1 is sharp. To see this, let k, m, n be positive integers,

such that $k \geq 2$ and $2 \leq m \leq n/k$. Let

$$H_k(n, m) = \left\{ e \in \binom{[n]}{k} : e \cap [m] \neq \emptyset \text{ and } e \cap ([n] \setminus [m]) \neq \emptyset \right\}.$$

and

$$H_k^*(n, m) = \left\{ e \in \binom{[n]}{k} : e \cap [m] \neq \emptyset \right\}.$$

Then $\delta_1(H_k(n, m)) = \delta_1(H_k^*(n, m)) = \binom{n-1}{k-1} - \binom{n-1-m}{k-1}$ and $H_k(n, m)$ has no matching of size $m+1$. It follows that when $n \equiv 0 \pmod{k}$, we have $\delta_1(H_k(n, n/k-1)) = \binom{n-1}{k-1} - \binom{n-n/k}{k-1}$ and $\{H_k(n, n/k-1), \dots, H_k(n, n/k-1)\}$ admits no rainbow matching.

We prove Theorem 1.1 by working with a 5-graph $H(\mathcal{F})$ obtained from $\mathcal{F} = \{F_1, \dots, F_{n/4}\}$:

The vertex set of $H(\mathcal{F})$ is $[n] \cup \{x_1, \dots, x_{n/4}\}$ and the edge set of $H(\mathcal{F})$ is $\bigcup_{i=1}^{n/4} \{e \cup \{x_i\} : e \in E(F_i)\}$. Clearly, \mathcal{F} admits a rainbow matching if and only if $H(\mathcal{F})$ has a perfect matching.

For convenience, we say that a $(k+1)$ -graph H is $(1, k)$ -partite if there exists a partition of $V(H)$ into sets V_1, V_2 (called *partition classes*) such that for any $e \in E(H)$, $|e \cap V_1| = 1$ and $|e \cap V_2| = k$. A $(1, k)$ -partite $(k+1)$ -graph with partition classes V_1, V_2 is *balanced* if $k|V_1| = |V_2|$. Thus, for instance, $H(\mathcal{F})$ above is a balanced $(1, 4)$ -partite 5-graph with partition classes $X, [n]$.

More generally, let $\mathcal{F} = \{F_1, \dots, F_m\}$ be a family of n -vertex k -graphs on a common vertex set V and let $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_m\}$ be a set disjoint from V . We use $\mathcal{H}_{n,m}^k(\mathcal{F})$ to represent the balanced $(1, k)$ -partite $(k+1)$ -graph with partition classes X, V and edge set $\bigcup_{i=1}^m \{e \cup \{x_i\} : e \in E(F_i)\}$. If $F_i = H_k(n, m)$ (or $H_k^*(n, m)$) for all $i \in [m]$, then we write $\mathcal{H}_k(n, m)$ (or $\mathcal{H}_k^*(n, m)$) for $\mathcal{H}_{n,m}^k(\mathcal{F})$ (or $\mathcal{H}_{n,m}^*(\mathcal{F})$). Now Theorem 1.1 is a direct consequence of the following result.

Theorem 1.2 *Let n be an integer such that $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ and n is sufficiently large. Let H be a balanced $(1, 4)$ -partite 5-graph with partition classes $X, [n]$ such that $\delta_1(N_H(x)) > \binom{n-1}{3} - \binom{3n/4}{3}$ for all $x \in X$. Then H admits a perfect matching.*

Our proof of Theorem 1.2 is divided into two parts by considering whether H is close to $\mathcal{H}_4(n, n/4)$ or not. For any real $\varepsilon > 0$ and two k -graphs H_1, H_2 on the same vertex set V , we say that H_2 is ε -close to H_1 if there exists an isomorphic copy H_2' of H_2 with $V(H_2') = V$ such that $|E(H_1) \setminus E(H_2')| < \varepsilon|V(H_1)|^k$.

In Section 2, we prove Theorem 1.2 for the case when H is close to $\mathcal{H}_4(n, n/4)$. In fact, we prove Theorem 1.2 for $(1, k)$ -partite $(k+1)$ -graphs that are close to $\mathcal{H}_k(n, n/k)$, for all $k \geq 2$. To prove Theorem 1.2 for the case when H is not close to $\mathcal{H}_4(n, n/4)$, we will need to find a small ‘‘absorbing’’ matching in H , and this part is done in Section 3. In Section 4, we show that if H is not close to $\mathcal{H}_4(n, n/4)$ then we can find a subgraph of H that is almost regular (in terms of vertex degree) and has maximum 2-degree bounded above by $n^{0.1}$. We make use of a recent stability result of Gao, Lu, Ma, and Yu [15] for 3-graphs (see Lemma 4.2) and another result there on almost regular spanning subgraphs. We then complete the proof using a result of Pippenger and Spencer.

2 Hypergraphs close to $\mathcal{H}_k(n, n/k)$

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.2 for the case when $H_{n, n/k}^k(\mathcal{F})$ is ε -close to $\mathcal{H}_k(n, n/k)$ for some sufficiently small ε .

We first prove Theorem 1.2 for those balanced $(1, k)$ -partite $(k+1)$ -graphs H in which, for each vertex $v \in V(H)$, most edges of H containing v also belong to $\mathcal{H}_k(n, n/k)$. More precisely, given $\alpha > 0$ and two $(k+1)$ -graphs H_1, H_2 on the same vertex set, a vertex $v \in V(H_1)$ is α -bad with respect to H_2 if $|N_{H_2}(v) \setminus N_{H_1}(v)| > \alpha|V(H_1)|^k$. (A vertex $v \in V(H_1)$ is α -good with respect to H_2 if it is not α -bad with respect to H_2 .) So if v is α -good with respect to H_2 then all but at most $\alpha|V(H_1)|^k$ of the edges containing v in H_2 also lie in H_1 .

Lemma 2.1 *Let $k \geq 2$ be an integer, $0 < \alpha < (10^k k^k (k+1)!)^{-1}$, and let n be an integer with $1/n \ll \alpha$ and $n \equiv 0 \pmod{k}$. If H is a balanced $(1, k)$ -partite $(k+1)$ -graph on the same vertex set as $\mathcal{H}_k(n, n/k)$ and every vertex of H is α -good with respect to $\mathcal{H}_k(n, n/k)$, then H has a perfect matching.*

Proof. Let $X, [n]$ denote the partition classes of H , and let $W = [n/k]$ and $U = [n] \setminus W$. Let M denote a matching in H such that $|e \cap X| = |e \cap W| = 1$ for each $e \in M$ and, subject to this, $|M|$ is maximum. Let $U' = U \setminus V(M)$, $W' = W \setminus V(M)$, and $X' = X \setminus V(M)$. We may assume $|M| < n/k$; for otherwise, the assertion of the lemma is true.

Note that $|M| \geq n/2k$. For, suppose $|M| < n/2k$. Then $|U'|/(k-1) = |W'| = |X'| \geq n/2k$. By the maximality of $|M|$, H has no edge contained in $X' \cup W' \cup U'$. Hence, for any $u \in U'$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |N_{\mathcal{H}_k(n, n/k)}(u) \setminus N_H(u)| \\ & \geq |X'| |W'| \binom{|U'|}{k-2} \\ & \geq (n/2k)(n/2k)((k-1)n/2k - k + 3)^{k-2}/(k-2)! \\ & \geq \frac{n^k}{4k^2 5^{k-2} (k-2)!} \quad (\text{since } n \geq 20k^2 \text{ and } k \geq 2) \\ & > \alpha \left(\frac{(k+1)n}{k} \right)^k = \alpha |V(H)|^k \quad (\text{since } \alpha < k^k / (4k^2 5^{k-2} (k-2)! (k+1)^k)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, u is not α -good with respect to $\mathcal{H}_k(n, n/k)$, a contradiction.

Fix $x \in X'$, $u_1, \dots, u_{k-1} \in U'$, and $w \in W'$. Write $S = \{x, w, u_1, \dots, u_{k-1}\}$. If there exist distinct $e_1, \dots, e_k \in M$ such that $H[S \cup (\cup_{i=1}^k e_i)]$ has a matching M' of size $k+1$ such that for any $f \in M'$, $|f \cap X| = 1 = |f \cap W|$, then $(M \setminus \{e_i : i \in [k]\}) \cup M'$ contradicts the choice of M . So such M' does not exist for any choice of distinct $e_1, \dots, e_k \in M$. This implies that there exists a $(k+1)$ -subset f of $V(H)$ such that $f \subseteq S \cup (\cup_{i=1}^k e_i)$, $|f \cap X| = 1 = |f \cap W'|$, $|f \cap e_i| = 1$ for $i \in [k]$, but $f \notin E(H)$.

Hence there exists $v \in S$ such that

$$|N_{\mathcal{H}_k(n, n/k)}(v) \setminus N_H(v)| > \frac{1}{k+1} \binom{n/2k}{k} > \frac{(n/2k - k + 1)^k}{(k+1)!} > \frac{(n/3k)^k}{(k+1)!} > \alpha n^k,$$

since $n > 6k(k-1)$ and $\alpha < (3^k k^k (k+1)!)^{-1}$. This is a contradiction. \square

To achieve the goal of this section, we need a result from [32].

Lemma 2.2 (Lu, Yu, and Yuan [32]) *Let n, t, k be positive integers such that $n > 2k^4 t$. For $i \in [t]$, let $G_i \subseteq \binom{[n]}{k}$ such that $\delta_1(G_i) > \binom{n-1}{k-1} - \binom{n-t}{k-1}$. Then $\{G_1, \dots, G_t\}$ admits a rainbow matching.*

Lemma 2.3 *Let $k \geq 3$ be an integer, $0 < \varepsilon < (10k)^{-6}$, and let n be an integer with $n \equiv 0 \pmod{k}$ and $n \geq 20k^2$. Let H be a balanced $(1, k)$ -partite $(k+1)$ -graph with partition classes $X, [n]$ and $V(H) = V(\mathcal{H}_k(n, n/k))$. If H is ε -close to $\mathcal{H}_k(n, n/k)$ and $\delta_1(N_H(x)) > \binom{n-1}{k-1} - \binom{n-n/k}{k-1}$ for all $x \in X$, then H has a perfect matching.*

Proof. Let $W = [n/k]$ and $U = [n] \setminus [n/k]$ be the partition classes of $H_k(n, [n/k])$ in $\mathcal{H}_k(n, n/k)$. Let B denote the set of $\sqrt{\varepsilon}$ -bad vertices in H with respect to $\mathcal{H}_k(n, n/k)$. Since H is ε -close to $\mathcal{H}_k(n, n/k)$, we have $|B| \leq 2(k+1)\sqrt{\varepsilon}n$; otherwise,

$$\begin{aligned} |E(\mathcal{H}_k(n, n/k)) \setminus E(H)| &\geq \frac{1}{k+1} \sum_{v \in V(H)} |N_{\mathcal{H}_k(n, n/k)}(v) \setminus N_H(v)| \\ &> 2(k+1)\sqrt{\varepsilon}n \cdot \frac{1}{k+1} \sqrt{\varepsilon} |V(H)|^k \geq \varepsilon |V(H)|^{k+1}, \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction.

Let $U^b = U \cap B$, $X^b = X \cap B$, and $W^b = W \cap B$. Let $W^g = W \setminus W^b$. For convenience, write $q = |X^b|$, $w^b = |W^b|$, and $r = q + w^b$. Moreover, let x_1, \dots, x_r be distinct such that $X^b = \{x_1, \dots, x_q\}$, let $W' \subseteq W^g$ be a set of size $n/k - r$, and let $G_i = N_H(x_i) - W'$ for $i \in [r]$. Then, for $i \in [r]$,

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_1(G_i) &\geq \delta_1(N_H(x_i)) - \left(\binom{n-1}{k-1} - \binom{n-|W'| - 1}{k-1} \right) \\ &> \binom{n-|W'| - 1}{k-1} - \binom{n-n/k}{k-1} \\ &= \binom{n-n/k+r-1}{k-1} - \binom{n-n/k}{k-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by Lemma 2.2 (with $n-n/k+r$ as n and r as t), $\{G_1, \dots, G_r\}$ admits a rainbow matching, say $M_0 = \{e_i \in E(G_i) : i \in [r]\}$. Now $M'_0 = \{e_i \cup \{x_i\} : i \in [r]\}$ is a matching in H covering X^b . (Note this is the only place in this proof that requires the degree condition in the statement.)

Let $H_1 = H - V(M'_0)$. Since $r \leq |B| \leq 2(k+1)\sqrt{\varepsilon}n$ and $\varepsilon < (10k)^{-6}$, every vertex in $X \setminus V(M'_0)$ is $\varepsilon^{1/3}$ -good with respect to $\mathcal{H}_k(n, n/k) - V(M'_0)$. Choose η such that $0 < \varepsilon \ll \eta \ll 1/k$, and let

$$B' := \{v \in B \setminus V(M'_0) : |\{e \in E(H) : v \in e \text{ and } |e \cap W^g| = 1\}| \geq \eta n^k\}.$$

Since $|B'| \leq |B| \leq 2(k+1)\sqrt{\varepsilon}n$ and $\varepsilon \ll \eta$, we may greedily pick a matching M_1 in $H - V(M'_0)$ such that $B' \subseteq V(M_1)$ and every edge in M_1 contains at least one vertex from B' and exactly one vertex from W^g .

Now consider $H_2 = H_1 - V(M_1)$. Note that since $n > 20k^2$,

$$\begin{aligned}\delta_1(H_2) &\geq \delta_1(H) - (k+1)|M'_0 \cup M_1|n^{k-1} \\ &> \frac{n}{k} \left(\binom{n-1}{k-1} - \binom{n-n/k}{k-1} \right) - 2(k+1)^2 \sqrt{\varepsilon} n^k.\end{aligned}$$

Thus, for each $v \in B \setminus V(M'_0 \cup M_1)$, the number of edges of H_2 containing v and no vertex of W^g is at least

$$\begin{aligned}\delta_1(H_2) - \eta n^k &- \sum_{i=2}^{k-1} \frac{n}{k} \binom{|W^g|}{i} \binom{n - |W^g| - 1 - k|M'_0 \cup M_1|}{k-1-i} \\ &\geq \frac{n}{k} \left(\binom{n-1}{k-1} - \binom{n-n/k}{k-1} \right) - 2(k+1)^2 \sqrt{\varepsilon} n^k - \eta n^k - \frac{n}{k} \sum_{i=2}^{k-1} \binom{n/k}{i} \binom{n-n/k-1}{k-1-i} \\ &\geq \frac{n}{k} \left(\binom{n-1}{k-1} - \binom{n-n/k}{k-1} \right) - 2(k+1)^2 \sqrt{\varepsilon} n^k - \eta n^k \\ &\quad - \frac{n}{k} \left(\binom{n-1}{k-1} - \binom{n-n/k-1}{k-1} - \binom{n/k}{1} \binom{n-n/k-1}{k-2} \right) \\ &= \frac{n}{k} \left(\frac{n}{k} - 1 \right) \binom{n-n/k-1}{k-2} - 2(k+1)^2 \sqrt{\varepsilon} n^k - \eta n^k \\ &> \eta n^k \quad (\text{since } \varepsilon \ll \eta \ll 1/k).\end{aligned}$$

Hence, we may greedily pick a matching M_2 in H_2 such that every edge in M_2 contains at least one vertex from $B \setminus V(M'_0 \cup M_1)$ and no vertex from W^g .

It is easy to see that $|M'_0 \cup M_1 \cup M_2| \leq 2(k+1)\sqrt{\varepsilon}n$. Hence, every vertex of $H_2 - V(M_2)$ is $\varepsilon^{1/4}$ -good with respect to $\mathcal{H}_k(n, n/k) - V(M'_0 \cup M_1 \cup M_2)$. Thus for every vertex $u \in U \setminus V(M'_0 \cup M_1 \cup M_2)$, the number of edges containing u and exactly two vertices of $W \setminus V(M'_0 \cup M_1 \cup M_2)$ as well as avoiding $V(M'_0 \cup M_1 \cup M_2)$ is at least

$$\frac{n}{k} \binom{n/k}{2} \binom{n-n/k-1}{k-3} - \varepsilon^{1/4} \left(n + \frac{n}{k} \right)^k - (k+1)|M'_0 \cup M_1 \cup M_2|n^{k-1} > \eta n^k.$$

Thus we may greedily pick a matching M'_2 such that $|M'_2| = |M_2|$ and every edge of M'_2 contains exactly two vertices from W^g .

Put $M := M'_0 \cup M_1 \cup M_2 \cup M'_2$ and $m := |M|$. Let $H_3 := H - V(M) = H_2 - V(M_2 \cup M'_2)$. One can see that every vertex of H_3 is $\varepsilon^{1/5}$ -good with respect to $\mathcal{H}_k(n - km, n/k - m) = \mathcal{H}_k(n, m) - V(M)$. By Lemma 2.1, H_3 contains a perfect matching, say M_3 . Now $M_3 \cup M$ is a perfect matching in H . \square

3 Absorbing matching

To deal with balanced $(1, k)$ -partite $(k+1)$ -graphs that are not close to $\mathcal{H}_k(n, n/k)$, we need to find a small matching that can “absorb” small sets of vertices. To find such a matching, we need to use Chernoff inequality to bound deviations, see [7].

Lemma 3.1 (Chernoff inequality for small deviation) *Let $X = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$, where each random variable X_i has Bernoulli distribution with expectation p_i . For $\alpha \leq 3/2$,*

$$\mathbb{P}(|X - \mathbb{E}X| \geq \alpha \mathbb{E}X) \leq 2e^{-\frac{\alpha^2}{3} \mathbb{E}X}.$$

In particular, when $X \sim \text{Bi}(n, p)$ and $\lambda < \frac{3}{2}np$, then

$$\mathbb{P}(|X - np| \geq \lambda) \leq e^{-\Omega(\lambda^2/(np))}.$$

We now prove a $(1, k)$ -partite version of the absorption lemma for $(1, 3)$ -partite 4-graphs proved in [32]. Our proof follows along the same lines as in [32].

Lemma 3.2 *Let $k \geq 3$ be an integer and $0 < b < 1/k$ be a constant. There exists an integers $n_1 = n_1(k, b)$ such that the following holds for any integer $n \geq n_1$: Let H be a $(1, k)$ -partite $(k+1)$ -graph with partition classes $X, [n]$ such that $|X| = n/k$ and $\delta_1(N_H(x)) > (1/2 + b) \binom{n-1}{k-1}$ for $x \in X$. Then for any c satisfying $0 < c < \min\{(\frac{k^k cn}{6b^k (k!)^k})^2, (2k^3(k+1)c^2)^{-10}\}$, there exists a matching M in H such that $|M| \leq 2kcn$ and, for any balanced subset $S \subseteq V(H)$ with $|S| \leq (k+1)c^{1.5}n/2$, $H[V(M) \cup S]$ has a perfect matching.*

Proof. For balanced $R \in \binom{V(H)}{k+1}$ and balanced $Q \in \binom{V(H)}{k(k+1)}$, we say that Q is R -absorbing if both $H[Q]$ and $H[Q \cup R]$ have perfect matchings. For each balanced $R \in \binom{V(H)}{k+1}$, let $\mathcal{L}(R)$ denote the collection of all R -absorbing sets in H .

Claim 1. For each balanced $R \in \binom{V(H)}{k+1}$, $|\mathcal{L}(R)| \geq b^k \binom{n}{k}^{k+1} / (2(k^2)!).$

Let $R \in \binom{V(H)}{k+1}$ be a fixed balanced set, and let $R \cap X = \{x\}$. Note that the number of edges in H containing x and intersecting $R \setminus \{x\}$ is at most $k \binom{n}{k-1}$. Thus, since $\delta_1(N_H(x)) > (1/2 + b) \binom{n-1}{k-1}$, the number of edges $e \in E(H)$ with $x \in e$ and $e \cap R = \{x\}$ is at least

$$\frac{n(1/2 + b) \binom{n-1}{k-1}}{k} - k \binom{n}{k-1} \geq \frac{1}{2} \binom{n}{k}.$$

Fix a choice of $e \in E(H)$ with $x \in e$ and $e \cap R = \{x\}$, and write $R \setminus \{x\} = \{u_1, \dots, u_k\}$ and $e \setminus \{x\} = \{v_1, \dots, v_k\}$. Let $W_0 = e \setminus \{x\}$. For each pair $\{u_j, v_j\}$, we choose a k -set U_j disjoint from $W_{j-1} \cup R$ such that both $U_j \cup \{u_j\}$ and $U_j \cup \{v_j\}$ are edges in H , and let $W_j := U_j \cup W_{j-1}$. If W_k is defined then W_k gives an absorbing $k(k+1)$ -set for R .

Note that for $j \in [k]$ there are $k+1+jk$ vertices in $W_{j-1} \cup R$. Thus, the number of edges in H containing u_j (respectively, v_j) and another vertex in $W_{j-1} \cup R$ is at most $(k+1+jk) \binom{n}{k-2} \frac{n}{k} < (k+1)n \binom{n}{k-2}$. Since $\delta_1(N_H(x)) > (1/2 + b) \binom{n-1}{k-1}$ for $x \in X$, the number of sets U_j for which $U_j \cap (W_{j-1} \cup R) = \emptyset$ and both $U_j \cup \{u_j\}$ and $U_j \cup \{v_j\}$ are edges in H is at least

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{n}{k} \left(2(1/2 + b) \binom{n-1}{k-1} - \binom{n-1}{k-1} \right) - 2(k+1)n \binom{n}{k-2} \\ &= 2b \binom{n}{k} - 2(k+1)n \binom{n}{k-2} \\ &> b \binom{n}{k} \end{aligned}$$

because n is sufficiently large.

To summarize, the number of W_k defined above from e is at least $(b\binom{n}{k})^k$. Hence, there are at least $\frac{1}{2}\binom{n}{k}(b\binom{n}{k})^k$ absorbing, ordered $k(k+1)$ -sets for R , with at most $(k^2)!$ of them corresponding to a single R -absorbing set. Therefore,

$$\mathcal{L}(R) \geq \frac{\frac{1}{2}\binom{n}{k}(b\binom{n}{k})^k}{(k^2)!} = \frac{b^k\binom{n}{k}^{k+1}}{2(k^2)!}.$$

This completes the proof of Claim 1.

Now, let c be a fixed constant with $0 < c < \min\{(\frac{b^k k^k}{6(k!)^k})^2, (2k^3(k+1)c^2)^{-10}\}$, and choose a family \mathcal{G} of balanced $k(k+1)$ -sets of $V(H)$ by selecting each of the $\binom{n/k}{k}\binom{n}{k^2}$ balanced $k(k+1)$ -subsets of $V(H)$ independently with probability

$$p := \frac{cn}{\binom{n/k}{k}\binom{n}{k^2}}.$$

Then $\mathbb{E}(|\mathcal{G}|) = cn$ and $\mathbb{E}(|\mathcal{L}(R) \cap \mathcal{G}|) = p|\mathcal{L}(R)| \geq b^k\binom{n}{k}^{k+1}cn / (2(k^2)!\binom{n/k}{k}\binom{n}{k^2})$. It follows from Lemma 3.1 that, with probability $1 - o(1)$,

$$|\mathcal{G}| \leq 2cn \tag{1}$$

and, for all balanced $(k+1)$ -sets R ,

$$|\mathcal{L}(R) \cap \mathcal{G}| \geq p|\mathcal{L}(R)|/2 \geq \frac{b^k k^k cn}{6(k!)^k} \geq c^{1.5}n. \tag{2}$$

Furthermore, the expected number of intersecting pairs of $k(k+1)$ -sets in \mathcal{G} is at most

$$\binom{n/k}{k}\binom{n}{k^2}k(k+1) \left(\binom{n/k-1}{k-1}\binom{n}{k^2} + \binom{n/k}{k}\binom{n-1}{k^2-1} \right) p^2 \leq 2k^3(k+1)c^2n \leq c^{1.9}n.$$

Thus, using Markov's inequality, we derive that with probability at least $1/2$

$$\mathcal{G} \text{ contains at most } 2c^{1.9}n \text{ intersecting pairs of } k(k+1)\text{-sets.} \tag{3}$$

Hence, there exists a family \mathcal{G} satisfying (1), (2), and (3). Delete one $k(k+1)$ -set from each intersecting pair in such a family \mathcal{G} , and remove all non-absorbing $k(k+1)$ -sets from \mathcal{G} . The resulting family, call it \mathcal{G}' , consists of pairwise disjoint balanced, absorbing $k(k+1)$ -sets, and satisfies

$$|\mathcal{L}(R) \cap \mathcal{G}'| \geq c^{1.5}n/2,$$

for all balanced $(k+1)$ -sets R .

Since \mathcal{G}' consists only of absorbing $k(k+1)$ -sets, $H[V(\mathcal{G}')]$ has a perfect matching, say M . By (1), $|M| \leq 2kcn$. For a balanced set $S \subseteq V(H)$ of size $|S| \leq (k+1)c^{1.5}n/2$, we partition S into balanced $(k+1)$ -sets R_1, \dots, R_t , where $t \leq c^{1.5}n/2$. Since $|\mathcal{L}(R_i) \cap \mathcal{G}'| \geq c^{1.5}n/2$, there are distinct absorbing $k(k+1)$ -set Q_1, \dots, Q_t in \mathcal{G}' such that Q_i is an R_i -absorbing set for $i \in [t]$. Now $\mathcal{H}[V(M) \cup S]$ has a perfect matching which consists of a perfect matching from each $H[Q_i \cup R_i]$. \square

4 Fractional Perfect Matchings

To deal with hypergraphs that are not close to $\mathcal{H}_4(n, n/4)$, we need to control the independence number of those hypergraphs. This is done in the same way as in [32] by applying the hypergraph container result in [8, 38].

First, we need the following lemma, which is more general and slightly stronger than Lemma 4.2 in [32] but with very similar proof. Let H be a hypergraph, $\lambda > 0$ be a real number, and \mathcal{A} be a family of subsets of $V(H)$. We say that H is (\mathcal{A}, λ) -dense if $e(H[A]) \geq \lambda e(H)$ for every $A \in \mathcal{A}$.

Lemma 4.1 *Let ε be a constant such that $0 < \varepsilon \ll 1$, and let n, k be integers such that $k \geq 3$ and $n \geq 40k^2/\varepsilon$. Let $a_1 = \varepsilon/8k, a_2 = \varepsilon/8k^3$, and $a_3 < \varepsilon/(2^k \cdot k! \cdot 8k)$. Let H be a $(1, k)$ -partite $(k+1)$ -graph with vertex partition classes $X, [n]$ with $|X| = n/k$. Suppose $d_H(\{x, v\}) \geq \binom{n-1}{k-1} - \binom{n-n/k}{k-1} - a_3 n^{k-1}$ for any $x \in X$ and $v \in [n]$, and $|E(\mathcal{H}_k(n, n/k)) \setminus E(H_0)| \geq \varepsilon e(\mathcal{H}_k(n, n/k))$ for any isomorphic copy H_0 of H with $V(H_0) = V(\mathcal{H}_k(n, n/k))$. Then H is (\mathcal{A}, a_1) -dense, where $\mathcal{A} = \{A \subseteq V(H) : |A \cap X| \geq (1/k - a_1)n \text{ and } |A \cap [n]| \geq (1 - 1/k - a_2)n\}$.*

Proof. We prove this by way of contradiction. Suppose that there exists $A \subseteq V(H)$ such that $|A \cap X| \geq (1/k - a_1)n$, $|A \cap [n]| \geq (1 - 1/k - a_2)n$, and $e(H[A]) \leq a_1 e(H)$. Without loss of generality, we may choose A such that $|A \cap X| = (1/k - a_1)n$ and $|A \cap [n]| = (1 - 1/k - a_2)n$. Let $U \subseteq [n]$ such that $A \cap [n] \subseteq U$ and $|U| = n - n/k$. Let $A_1 = A \cap X$, $A_2 = X \setminus A$, $B_1 = A \cap [n]$, and $B_2 = U \setminus A$. Relabel the vertices of H in $[n]$ if necessary, so that $U = [n] \setminus [n/k]$.

Let H_0 denote the isomorphic copy of H with the same partition classes $X, [n]$ as $\mathcal{H}_k(n, n/k)$. We derive a contradiction by showing that $|E(\mathcal{H}_k(n, n/k)) \setminus E(H_0)| < \varepsilon e(\mathcal{H}_k(n, n/k))$. Note that

$$e(\mathcal{H}_k(n, n/k)) = \frac{n}{k} \left(\binom{n}{k} - \binom{n-n/k}{k} - \binom{n/k}{k} \right) \geq \frac{n}{k} \binom{n}{k} / k,$$

and, further,

$$e(\mathcal{H}_k(n, n/k)) \geq \frac{n}{k} \binom{n}{k} / k = \frac{n^2}{k^3} \binom{n-1}{k-1} > \frac{n^2}{k^3} \binom{n-n/k}{k-1} > \frac{n^3}{k^4} \binom{n-n/k}{k-2}. \quad (4)$$

Also, since $n > 2k$,

$$e(\mathcal{H}_k(n, n/k)) \geq \frac{n}{k} \binom{n}{k} / k > \frac{n^{k+1}}{2^k \cdot k! \cdot k^2}. \quad (5)$$

Consider $x \in A_1$ and $v \in [n] \setminus [n/k]$. Let $E_{H_0}(B_1, \{x, v\}) = \{e \in E(H_0) : \{x, v\} \subseteq e \subseteq B_1 \cup \{x, v\}\}$. Note that for $v \in B_1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |\{e \in E(H_0) : \{x, v\} \subseteq e \text{ and } e \cap [n/k] \neq \emptyset\}| \\ & \geq d_{H_0}(\{x, v\}) - |\{e \in E(H_0 - [n/k]) : \{x, v\} \subseteq e \text{ and } e \cap B_2 \neq \emptyset\}| - |E_{H_0}(B_1, \{x, v\})| \\ & \geq \left(\binom{n-1}{k-1} - \binom{n-n/k}{k-1} - a_3 n^{k-1} \right) - a_2 n \binom{n-n/k}{k-2} - |E_{H_0}(B_1, \{x, v\})|. \end{aligned}$$

For $v \in B_2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& |\{e \in E(H_0) : \{x, v\} \subseteq e \text{ and } e \cap [n/k] \neq \emptyset\}| \\
& \geq d_{H_0}(\{x, v\}) - |\{e \in E(H_0 - [n/k]) : \{x, v\} \subseteq e\}| \\
& \geq \left(\binom{n-1}{k-1} - \binom{n-n/k}{k-1} - a_3 n^{k-1} \right) - \binom{n-n/k}{k-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

So we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{x \in A_1} \sum_{v \in [n] \setminus [n/k]} |\{e \in E(H_0), : \{x, v\} \subseteq e \text{ and } e \cap [n/k] \neq \emptyset\}| \\
& \geq \sum_{x \in A_1} \sum_{v \in [n] \setminus [n/k]} \left(\binom{n-1}{k-1} - \binom{n-n/k}{k-1} - a_3 n^{k-1} - a_2 n \binom{n-n/k}{k-2} \right) \\
& \quad - \sum_{x \in A_1} \sum_{v \in B_2} \binom{n-n/k}{k-1} - \sum_{x \in A_1} \sum_{v \in B_1} |E_{H_0}(B_1, \{x, v\})| \\
& = \sum_{x \in A_1} \sum_{v \in [n] \setminus [n/k]} \left(\binom{n-1}{k-1} - \binom{n-n/k}{k-1} - a_3 n^{k-1} - a_2 n \binom{n-n/k}{k-2} \right) \\
& \quad - |A_1| |B_2| \binom{n-n/k}{k-1} - |E(H_0[A])|. \tag{6}
\end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
a_2 \frac{n^3}{k^2} \binom{n-n/k}{k-2} - |A_1| |B_2| \binom{n-n/k}{k-1} & > a_2 \frac{n^2}{k} \left(\frac{n}{k} \binom{n-n/k}{k-2} - \binom{n-n/k}{k-1} \right) \\
& > a_2 \frac{n^2}{k} \left(\frac{n}{k} \binom{n-n/k-1}{k-2} - \binom{n-n/k}{k-1} \right) \\
& = 0,
\end{aligned}$$

That is,

$$a_2 \frac{n^3}{k^2} \binom{n-n/k}{k-2} - |A_1| |B_2| \binom{n-n/k}{k-1} > 0. \tag{7}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& |E(\mathcal{H}_k(n, n/k)) \setminus E(H_0)| \\
= & \sum_{x \in A_1} |\{e \in E(\mathcal{H}_k(n, n/k)) \setminus E(H_0) : x \in e\}| + \sum_{x \in A_2} |\{e \in E(\mathcal{H}_k(n, n/k)) \setminus E(H_0) : x \in e\}| \\
\leq & \sum_{x \in A_1} \sum_{v \in [n] \setminus [n/k]} |\{e \in E(\mathcal{H}_k(n, n/k)) \setminus E(H_0) : \{x, v\} \subseteq e\}| + |A_2| \cdot e(H_k(n, n/k)) \\
\leq & \sum_{x \in A_1} \sum_{v \in [n] \setminus [n/k]} \left(\binom{n-1}{k-1} - \binom{n-n/k}{k-1} - |\{e \in E(H_0) : \{x, v\} \subseteq e \text{ and } e \cap [n/k] \neq \emptyset\}| \right) \\
& + |A_2| \cdot e(H_k(n, n/k)) \\
\leq & \sum_{x \in A_1} \sum_{v \in [n] \setminus [n/k]} \left(a_3 n^{k-1} + a_2 n \binom{n-n/k}{k-2} \right) + |A_1| |B_2| \binom{n-n/k}{k-1} + |E(H_0[A])| \\
& + k a_1 \cdot e(\mathcal{H}_k(n, n/k)) \quad (\text{by (6)}) \\
\leq & \frac{n}{k} \left(n - \frac{n}{k} \right) \left(a_3 n^{k-1} + a_2 n \binom{n-n/k}{k-2} \right) + |A_1| |B_2| \binom{n-n/k}{k-1} + a_1 e(H_0) + k a_1 \cdot e(\mathcal{H}_k(n, n/k)) \\
< & \frac{n^2}{k} \left(a_3 n^{k-1} + a_2 n \binom{n-n/k}{k-2} \right) + a_1 e(H_0) + k a_1 \cdot e(\mathcal{H}_k(n, n/k)) \quad (\text{by (7)}) \\
< & a_1 e(H_0) + \left(2^k \cdot k! \cdot k a_3 + k^3 a_2 + k a_1 \right) \cdot e(\mathcal{H}_k(n, n/k)) \quad (\text{by (4) and (5)}) \\
\leq & a_1 \frac{n}{k} \binom{n}{k} + \left(2^k \cdot k! \cdot k a_3 + k^3 a_2 + k a_1 \right) \cdot e(\mathcal{H}_k(n, n/k)) \\
\leq & \left(k a_1 + 2^k \cdot k! \cdot k a_3 + k^3 a_2 + k a_1 \right) \cdot e(\mathcal{H}_k(n, n/k)) \quad (\text{by (5)}) \\
\leq & \varepsilon \cdot e(\mathcal{H}_k(n, n/k)),
\end{aligned}$$

a contradiction. \square

To prove a fractional matching lemma, we need a recent result of Gao, Lu, Ma, and Yu [15] on Erdős' matching conjecture for stable graphs. For a hypergraph H , let $\nu(H)$ denote the size of maximum matching in H . Łuczak and Mieczkowska [33] proved that there exists a positive integer n_1 such that for integers m, n with $n \geq n_1$ and $1 \leq m \leq n/3$, if H is an n -vertex 3-graph with $e(H) > \max\{\binom{n}{3} - \binom{n-m+1}{3}, \binom{3m-1}{3}\}$ then $\nu(H) \geq m$. The result of Gao, Lu, Ma, and Yu [15] may be viewed as a stability version of this Łuczak-Mieczkowska result.

For sets $e = \{u_1, \dots, u_k\} \subseteq [n]$ and $f = \{v_1, \dots, v_k\} \subseteq [n]$ with $u_i < u_{i+1}$ and $v_i < v_{i+1}$ for $i \in [k-1]$, we write $e \leq f$ if $u_i \leq v_i$ for all $i \in [k]$. A hypergraph H with $V(H) = [n]$ and $E(H) \subseteq \binom{[n]}{k}$ is said to be *stable* if, for any $e, f \in \binom{[n]}{k}$ with $e \leq f$, $f \in E(H)$ implies $e \in E(H)$. The following is a special case (when $m = 3n/4$) of Lemma 4.2 in [15]. Note that one of the extremal configurations of Lemma 4.2 in [15], namely $\mathcal{D}(n, m, 3)$ (the 3-graph with vertex set $[n]$ and edge set $\binom{[3n/4-1]}{3}$) does not occur here.

Lemma 4.2 (Gao, Lu, Ma, and Yu) *For any $\eta > 0$ there exists $n_0 > 0$ with the following properties: Let n be an integer with $n \geq n_0$, and let H be a stable 3-graph on the vertex set $[n]$. If $e(H) > \binom{n}{3} - \binom{3n/4}{3} - \eta^4 n^3$ and $\nu(H) < n/4$, then H is η -close to $H_3^*(n, n/4-1)$.*

We will use perfect fractional matchings in a hypergraph H not close to $\mathcal{H}_4(n, n/4 - 1)$ to obtain an almost regular subgraph of H . A *fractional matching* in H is a function $f : E(H) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$, where \mathbb{R}^+ is the set of non-negative reals, such that $\sum_{v \in e} f(e) \leq 1$ for all $v \in V(H)$, and it is *perfect* if $\sum_{v \in e} f(e) = 1$ for all $v \in V(H)$. We write $\nu_f(H) = \max\{\sum_{e \in E(H)} f(e) : f \text{ is a fractional matching in } H\}$.

Lemma 4.3 *For any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $0 < \rho \ll \varepsilon$ and $n_0 = n_0(\varepsilon)$ such that, for any integer n with $n \geq n_0$ and $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, the following holds: Let H be a balanced $(1, 4)$ -partite 5-graph with partition classes $X, [n]$, such that $d_H(\{x, v\}) \geq \binom{n-1}{3} - \binom{3n/4}{3} - 3\rho n^3$ for any $x \in X$ and $v \in [n]$ and H contains no independent set S with $|S \cap X| \geq n/4 - \varepsilon n$ and $|S \cap [n]| \geq 3n/4 - \varepsilon n$, then H contains a fractional perfect matching.*

Proof. Let $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_{n/4}\}$ and let $\omega : V(H) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ be a minimum fractional vertex cover of H , that is, $\sum_{v \in e} \omega(v) \geq 1$ for all $e \in E(H)$ and, subject to this, $\omega(H) := \sum_{v \in V(H)} \omega(v)$ is minimum. We may assume that the vertices in X and $[n]$ are labeled such that $\omega(x_1) \geq \dots \geq \omega(x_{n/4})$ and $\omega(1) \geq \dots \geq \omega(n)$.

Let H' be the $(1, 4)$ -partite 5-graph with vertex set $V(H)$ and edge set

$$E(H) \cup \left\{ e \in \binom{V(H)}{5} : |e \cap X| = 1 \text{ and } \sum_{v \in e} \omega(v) \geq 1 \right\}.$$

Thus, by definition, ω is also a vertex cover of H' . Moreover, since $E(H) \subseteq E(H')$, ω is also minimum fractional vertex cover of H' . Hence, by linear programming duality, we have $\nu_f(H) = \omega(H) = \omega(H') = \nu_f(H')$. Therefore, it suffices to show that H' has a perfect matching. First, we show that H' is stable.

- (1) Let $T_1 = \{x_{i_1}, i_2, i_3, i_4, i_5\}$ and $T_2 = \{x_{j_1}, j_2, j_3, j_4, j_5\}$ be balanced 5-element subsets of $V(H)$, with $x_{i_l}, x_{j_l} \in X$ and $i_l \geq j_l$ for $l \in [5]$. Then $T_2 \in E(H')$ implies that $T_1 \in E(H')$.

Since $i_l \geq j_l$ for $l \in [5]$, we have $\omega(x_{i_1}) \geq \omega(x_{j_1})$ and $\omega(i_l) \geq \omega(j_l)$ for $2 \leq l \leq 5$. Note that $\sum_{v \in T_2} \omega(v) \geq 1$ as $T_2 \in E(H')$. Thus $\sum_{v \in T_1} \omega(v) \geq \sum_{v \in T_2} \omega(v) \geq 1$. Hence, $T_1 \in E(H')$ by the definition of H' , which completes the proof of (1).

Let G be the 3-graph with vertex set $[n - 1]$ and edge set $N_H(\{x_{n/4}, [n]\})$. We may assume that

- (2) G has no matching of size $n/4$.

For, suppose G has a matching of size $n/4$, say $M = \{e_1, \dots, e_{n/4}\}$. Partition $V(H) \setminus V(M)$ into 2-sets $f_1, \dots, f_{n/4}$, such that $|f_i \cap X| = 1$ for all $i \in [n/4]$. By (1), $M \subseteq N_H(f_i)$. Thus $M' = \{e_i \cup f_i : i \in [n/4]\}$ is a perfect matching in H , completing the proof of (2).

Since $d_H(\{x, v\}) \geq \binom{n-1}{3} - \binom{3n/4}{3} - 3\rho n^3$ for any $x \in X$ and $v \in [n]$, $e(G) > \binom{n-1}{3} - \binom{3n/4}{3} - 3\rho n^3$. Hence, by (2) and by Lemma 4.2, G is η -close to $H_3^*(n - 1, n/4 - 1)$ with respect to the partition $[n - 1] \setminus [n/4 - 1], [n/4 - 1]$, where $\eta = (3\rho)^{1/4}$. Let $Y = [n/4 - \lceil \eta n \rceil]$. We claim that

(3) for every $y \in Y$, $d_G(y) \geq \binom{n-1}{2} - 4\sqrt{\eta}n^2$.

For, otherwise, since G is stable, $d_G(z) < \binom{n-1}{2} - 4\sqrt{\eta}n^2$ for $z \in Z := [n/4-1] \setminus [n/4 - \lceil \eta n \rceil]$. Hence,

$$|E(H_3^*(n-1, n/4-1)) \setminus E(G)| \geq \frac{1}{3} \sum_{z \in Z} \left(\binom{n-1}{2} - d_G(z) \right) \geq \frac{1}{3} (\sqrt{\eta}n-1) 4\sqrt{\eta}n^2 > \eta(n-1)^3,$$

a contradiction which completes the proof of (3).

Since H contains no independent set S such that $|S \cap X| \geq n/4 - \varepsilon n$ and $|S \cap [n]| \geq 3n/4 - \varepsilon n$, we may greedily find a matching M_1 of size $\lceil \sqrt{\eta}n \rceil$ in $H - Y$.

Next we greedily construct a matching M_2 of size $|Y|$ in $G - V(M_1)$ such that $|e \cap Y| = 1$ for all $e \in M_2$. For $y \in Y$, note that

$$|\{e \in E(G) : y \in e \text{ and } e \cap V(M_1) \neq \emptyset\}| \leq 3|M_1|n < 3\lceil \sqrt{\eta}n \rceil n \leq 4\lceil \sqrt{\eta}n \rceil n^2.$$

By (3), $d_G(1) - 4\sqrt{\eta}n^2 \geq \binom{n-1}{2} - 8\sqrt{\eta}n^2 > 0$; so there exists an edge $e_1 \in E(G) \setminus V(M_1)$ such that $|e_1 \cap Y| = 1$. Now suppose we have found a matching $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_r\}$ in $G - V(M_1)$ such that $|e_i \cap Y| = 1$ for all $i \in [r]$. If $r = n/4 - \lceil \sqrt{\eta}n \rceil$, then $\{e_1, \dots, e_r\}$ gives the desired matching M_2 . So assume $r < |Y|$. Write $G_r := (G - V(M_1)) - (\cup_{i=1}^r e_i)$. Let $v \in Y \setminus (V(M_1) \cup (\cup_{i=1}^r e_i))$. Note that $|[n] \setminus (Y \cup V(M_1) \cup (\cup_{i=1}^r e_i))| > n/4$. Since $d_G(v) > \binom{n-1}{2} - 4\sqrt{\eta}n^2$, the number of edges e in G with $v \in e$ and $e \setminus \{v\} \subseteq [n] \setminus (Y \cup V(M_1) \cup (\cup_{i=1}^r e_i))$ is at least

$$\left(\binom{|[n] \setminus (Y \cup V(M_1) \cup (\cup_{i=1}^r e_i))|}{2} - 4\sqrt{\eta}n^2 \right) > \binom{n/4}{2} - 4\sqrt{\eta}n^2 > 0,$$

So there exists an edge e_{r+1} in G_r such that $|e_{r+1} \cap Y| = 1$, contradicting the maximality of r .

Let $M_2 = \{e_1, \dots, e_{n/4 - \lceil \sqrt{\eta}n \rceil}\}$. Note $V(H) \setminus (V(M_1) \cup V(M_2))$ contains $n/4 - \lceil \sqrt{\eta}n \rceil$ vertex-disjoint 2-set, say $f_1, \dots, f_{n/4 - \lceil \sqrt{\eta}n \rceil}$, such that $|f_i \cap X| = 1$ for $i \in [n/4 - \lceil \sqrt{\eta}n \rceil]$. By (1), $M_2 \subseteq N_{H'}(f_i)$ for $i \in [n/4 - \lceil \sqrt{\eta}n \rceil]$. Write $M'_2 = \{f_i \cup e_i : i \in [n/4 - \lceil \sqrt{\eta}n \rceil]\}$. Then $M_1 \cup M'_2$ is a perfect matching in H . \square

5 Random Rounding

We need a result of Lu, Yu, and Yuan [31] on the independence number of a subgraph of a balanced $(1, k)$ -partite $(k+1)$ -graph induced by a random subset of vertices. It is stated for in [31] for $(1, 3)$ -partite 4-graphs, but the same proof (which uses the hypergraph container result) also works for $(1, k)$ -partite $(k+1)$ -graphs.

Lemma 5.1 (Lu, Yu, and Yuan) *Let $l, \varepsilon', \alpha_1, \alpha_2$ be positive reals, let $\alpha > 0$ with $\alpha \ll \min\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2\}$, let k, n be positive integers, and let H be a $(1, k)$ -partite $(k+1)$ -graph with partition classes Q, P such that $k|Q| = |P| = n$, $e(H) \geq ln^{k+1}$, and $e(H[F]) \geq \varepsilon' e(H)$ for all $F \subseteq V(H)$ with $|F \cap P| \geq \alpha_1 n$ and $|F \cap Q| \geq \alpha_2 n$. Let $R \subseteq V(H)$ be obtained by taking each vertex of H uniformly at random with probability $n^{-0.9}$. Then, with probability at least $1 - n^{O(1)} e^{-\Omega(n^{0.1})}$, every independent set J in $H[R]$ satisfies $|J \cap P| \leq (\alpha_1 + \alpha + o(1))n^{0.1}$ or $|J \cap Q| \leq (\alpha_2 + \alpha + o(1))n^{0.1}$.*

We also need Janson's inequality to provide an exponential upper bound for the lower tail of a sum of dependent zero-one random variables. See Theorem 8.7.2 in [7].

Lemma 5.2 (Janson [7]) *Let Γ be a finite set and $p_i \in [0, 1]$ be a real for $i \in \Gamma$. Let Γ_p be a random subset of Γ such that the elements are chosen independently with $\mathbb{P}[i \in \Gamma_p] = p_i$ for $i \in \Gamma$. Let S be a family of subsets of Γ . For every $A \in S$, let $I_A = 1$ if $A \subseteq \Gamma_p$ and 0 otherwise. Define $X = \sum_{A \in S} I_A$, $\lambda = \mathbb{E}[X]$, and $\Delta = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{A \neq B} \sum_{A \cap B \neq \emptyset} \mathbb{E}[I_A I_B]$. Then, for $0 \leq t \leq \lambda$, we have*

$$\mathbb{P}[X \leq \lambda - t] \leq \exp\left(-\frac{t^2}{2\lambda + 4\Delta}\right).$$

Now, we use Chernoff bound and Janson's inequality to prove a result on several properties of certain random subgraphs.

Lemma 5.3 *Let n, k be integers such that $n \geq k \geq 3$, let H be a $(1, k)$ -partite $(k+1)$ -graph with partition classes A, B and $k|A| = |B| = n$, and let $A_3 \subseteq A$ and $A_4 \subseteq B$ with $|A_i| = n^{0.99}$ for $i = 3, 4$. Take $n^{1.1}$ independent copies of R and denote them by R^i , $1 \leq i \leq n^{1.1}$, where R is chosen from $V(H)$ by taking each vertex uniformly at random with probability $n^{-0.9}$ and then deleting $O(n^{0.06})$ vertices so that $|R| \in (k+1)\mathbb{Z}$ and $k|R \cap A| = |R \cap B|$. For each $S \subseteq V(H)$, let $Y_S := |\{i : S \subseteq R^i\}|$. Then, with probability at least $1 - o(1)$, all of the following statements hold:*

- (i) $Y_{\{v\}} = (1 \pm n^{-0.01})n^{0.2}$ for all $v \in V(H)$.
- (ii) $Y_{\{u, v\}} \leq 2$ for all $\{u, v\} \subseteq V(H)$.
- (iii) $Y_e \leq 1$ for all $e \in E(H)$.
- (iv) For all $i = 1, \dots, n^{1.1}$, we have $|R_i \cap A| = (1/k \pm o(n^{-0.04}))n^{0.1}$ and $|R_i \cap B| = (1 \pm o(n^{-0.04}))n^{0.1}$,
- (v) Suppose ρ is a constant with $0 < \rho < 1$ such that $d_H(\{x, v\}) \geq \binom{n-1}{k-1} - \binom{n-n/k}{k-1} - \rho n^{k-1}$ for all $x \in A$ and $v \in B$. Then for $1 \leq i \leq n^{1.1}$, and for $x \in R_i \cap A$ and $v \in R_i \cap B$, we have

$$d_{R_i}(\{x, v\}) > \binom{|R_i \cap B| - 1}{k-1} - \binom{|R_i \cap B| - |R_i \cap B|/k}{k-1} - 3\rho |R_i \cap B|^{k-1},$$

- (vi) $|R_i \cap A_j| = |A_j|n^{-0.9} \pm n^{0.06}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n^{1.1}$ and $j \in \{3, 4\}$.

Proof. For $1 \leq i \leq n^{1.1}$ and $j \in \{3, 4\}$, $\mathbb{E}[|R_i \cap A|] = n^{0.1}/k$, $\mathbb{E}[|R_i \cap B|] = n^{0.1}$ and $\mathbb{E}[|R_i \cap A_j|] = n^{-0.9}|A_j|$. Recall the assumptions $|A_3| = |A_4| = n^{0.99}$. By Lemma 3.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}\left(\left||R_i \cap A| - n^{0.1}/k\right| \geq n^{0.06}\right) &\leq e^{-\Omega(n^{0.02})}, \\ \mathbb{P}\left(\left||R_i \cap B| - n^{0.1}\right| \geq n^{0.06}\right) &\leq e^{-\Omega(n^{0.02})}, \text{ and} \\ \mathbb{P}\left(\left||R_i \cap A_j| - |A_j|n^{-0.9}\right| \geq n^{0.06}\right) &\leq e^{-\Omega(n^{0.03})}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, with probability at least $1 - O(n^{1.1})e^{-\Omega(n^{0.02})}$, (iv) and (vi) hold.

For every $v \in V(H)$, $\mathbb{E}[Y_{\{v\}}] = n^{1.1} \cdot n^{-0.9} = n^{0.2}$. By Lemma 3.1 again,

$$\mathbb{P}(|Y_{\{v\}}| - n^{0.2}| \geq n^{0.19}) \leq e^{-\Omega(n^{0.18})}.$$

Hence, with probability at least $1 - O(n)e^{-\Omega(n^{0.18})}$, (i) holds.

For positive integers p, q , let $Z_{p,q} = \left| S \in \binom{V(H)}{p} : Y_S \geq q \right|$. Then

$$\mathbb{E}[Z_{p,q}] \leq \binom{n}{p} \binom{n^{1.1}}{q} (n^{-0.9})^{pq} \leq n^{p+1.1q-0.9pq}.$$

So $\mathbb{E}[Z_{2,3}] \leq n^{-0.1}$ and $\mathbb{E}[Z_{k,2}] \leq n^{2.2-0.8k} \leq n^{-0.2}$ for $k \geq 3$. Hence by Markov's inequality, (ii) and (iii) hold with probability at least $1 - o(1)$.

Finally we show (v). Suppose for all $x \in A$ and $v \in B$, $d_H(\{x, v\}) \geq \binom{n-1}{k-1} - \binom{n-n/k}{k-1} - \rho n^{k-1}$. We see that, for $1 \leq i \leq n^{1.1}$ and for $x \in R_i \cap A$ and $v \in R_i \cap B$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[d_{R_i}(\{x, v\})] &> \binom{n-1}{k-1} n^{-0.9(k-1)} - \binom{n-n/k}{k-1} n^{-0.9(k-1)} - \rho n^{k-1} n^{-0.9(k-1)} \\ &> \binom{n^{0.1}-1}{k-1} - \binom{n^{0.1}-n^{0.1}/k}{k-1} - \rho n^{0.1(k-1)}. \end{aligned}$$

By (iv), with probability at least $1 - O(n^{1.1})e^{-\Omega(n^{0.02})}$, for all $i = 1, \dots, n^{1.1}$, we have $|R_i \cap B| = (1 + o(n^{-0.04}))n^{0.1}$. Thus for all $x \in R_i \cap A$ and $v \in R_i \cap B$,

$$\mathbb{E}[d_{R_i}(\{x, v\})] > \binom{|R_i \cap B|}{k-1} - \binom{|R_i \cap B| - |R_i \cap B|/k}{k-1} - 2\rho |R_i \cap B|^{k-1}.$$

We wish to apply Lemma 5.2 with $\Gamma = B$, $\Gamma_p = R_i \cap B$ and $S = \binom{N_H(\{x, v\}) \cap B}{k-1}$. We define

$$\Delta = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{b_1, b_2 \in S, b_1 \neq b_2, b_1 \cap b_2 \neq \emptyset} \mathbb{E}[I_{b_1} I_{b_2}] \leq \frac{1}{2} |R_i \cap B|^{2k-3}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{P}\left(d_{R_i}(\{x, v\}) \leq \binom{|R_i \cap B|}{k-1} - \binom{|R_i \cap B| - |R_i \cap B|/k}{k-1} - 3\rho |R_i \cap B|^{k-1}\right) \\ &\leq \mathbb{P}\left(d_{R_i}(\{x, v\}) \leq \mathbb{E}[d_{R_i}(\{x, v\})] - \rho |R_i \cap B|^{k-1}\right) \\ &\leq \exp\left(-\frac{(\rho |R_i \cap B|^{k-1})^2}{2\mathbb{E}(d_{R_i}(\{x, v\})) + 4\Delta}\right) \quad (\text{by Lemma 5.2}) \\ &\leq \exp\left(-\frac{\rho^2 |R_i \cap B|^{2k-2}}{2\binom{|R_i \cap B|}{k-1} + 2|R_i \cap B|^{2k-3}}\right) \\ &\leq \exp(-\Omega(n^{0.1})). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, with probability at least $1 - O(n^{1.1})e^{-\Omega(n^{0.1})}$, (v) holds.

By applying union bound, (i) – (v) all hold with probability $1 - o(1)$. \square

Now we prove that when the hypergraph H in Theorem 1.2 is not close to $\mathcal{H}_4(n, n/4)$ then H contains an almost regular spanning subgraph.

Lemma 5.4 *Let $0 < \rho \ll \varepsilon \ll 1$ be reals, and $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ be sufficiently large. Let H be a balanced $(1, 4)$ -partite 5-graph with partition classes $X, [n]$ such that $|X| = n/4$. Suppose that $d_H(\{x, v\}) > \binom{n-1}{3} - \binom{3n/4}{3} - \rho n^3$ for all $x \in X$ and $v \in [n]$. If H is not ε -close to $\mathcal{H}_4(n, n/4)$, then there exists a spanning subgraph H' of H such that the following conditions hold:*

- (1) *For all $x \in V(H')$, with at most $n^{0.99}$ exceptions, $d_{H'}(x) = (1 \pm n^{-0.01})n^{0.2}$;*
- (2) *For all $x \in V(H')$, $d_{H'}(x) < 2n^{0.2}$;*
- (3) *For any two distinct $x, y \in V(H')$, $d_{H'}(\{x, y\}) < n^{0.19}$.*

Proof. Let $A_3 \subseteq X$ and $A_4 \subseteq [n]$ with $|A_i| = n^{0.99}$ for $i = 3, 4$. Let $R_1, \dots, R_{n^{1.1}}$ be defined as in Lemma 5.3. By (iv) of Lemma 5.3, we have, for all $i = 1, \dots, n^{1.1}$,

$$|R_i \cap X| = (1/4 + o(n^{-0.04}))n^{0.1} \text{ and } |R_i \cap [n]| = (1 + o(n^{-0.04}))n^{0.1}.$$

By (v) of Lemma 5.3, we have, for $1 \leq i \leq n^{1.1}$ and for $x \in X \cap R_i$ and $v \in [n] \cap R_i$,

$$d_{R_i}(\{x, v\}) > \binom{|R_i \cap [n]|}{3} - \binom{3|R_i \cap [n]|/4}{3} - 3\rho|R_i \cap [n]|^3;$$

By (iv) and (vi) of Lemma 5.3, we may choose $I_i \subseteq R_i \cap (A_3 \cup A_4)$ such that for $i = 1, \dots, n^{1.1}$, $R'_i := R_i \setminus I_i$ is balanced and $|R'_i| = (1 - o(1))|R_i|$.

Let $a_1 = \varepsilon/32, a_2 = \varepsilon/512$, and $a_3 < \varepsilon(2^4 \cdot 4! \cdot 32)^{-1}$. By applying Lemma 4.1 to H, a_1, a_2, a_3 , we see that H is (\mathcal{F}, a_1) -dense, where

$$\mathcal{F} = \{U \subseteq V(H) : |U \cap X| \geq (1/4 - a_1)n, |U \cap [n]| \geq (3/4 - a_2)n\}.$$

Now we apply Lemma 5.1 to H_1 with $l = (3 \cdot 4^3 \cdot 4!)^{-1}$, $\alpha_1 = 1/4 - a_1$, $\alpha_2 = 3/4 - a_2$, and $\varepsilon' = a_1$. Therefore, with probability at least $1 - n^{O(1)}e^{-\Omega(n^{0.1})}$, for any independent set S of R'_i , $|S \cap R'_i \cap X| \leq (1/4 - a_1 + o(1))n^{0.1}$ or $|S \cap R'_i \cap [n]| \leq (3/4 - a_2 + o(1))n^{0.1}$.

By applying Lemma 4.3 to each $H[R'_i]$, we see that each $H[R'_i]$ contains a fractional perfect matching ω_i . Let $H^* = \cup_{i=1}^{n^{1.1}} R'_i$. We select a generalized binomial subgraph H' of H^* by letting $V(H') = V(H)$ and independently choosing edge e from $E(H^*)$, with probability $\omega_{i_e}(e)$ if $e \subseteq R'_{i_e}$. (By (iii) of Lemma 5.3, for each $e \in E(H^*)$, i_e is uniquely defined.)

Note that since w_i is a fractional perfect matching of $H[R'_i]$ for $1 \leq i \leq n^{1.1}$, $\sum_{e \ni v} w_i(e) \leq 1$ for $v \in R'_i$. By (i) of Lemma 5.3 and by Lemma 3.1, $d_{H'}(v) = (1 \pm n^{-0.01})n^{0.2}$ for any vertex $v \in V(H) \setminus (\cup_{i=1}^{n^{1.1}} I_i) \subseteq V(H) \setminus (A_3 \cup A_4)$ and $d_{H'}(v) \leq (1 + n^{-0.01})n^{0.2} < 2n^{0.2}$ for vertex $v \in \cup_{i=1}^{n^{1.1}} I_i$. By (ii) of Lemma 5.3, $d_{H'}(\{x, y\}) \leq 2 < n^{0.19}$ for any $\{x, y\} \in \binom{V(H)}{2}$. Therefore, H' is the desired hypergraph. \square

We also need the following lemma attributed to Pippenger and Spencer [35] (see Theorem 4.7.1 in [7]), which extends a result of Frankl and Rödl [14].

Lemma 5.5 (Pippenger and Spencer [35], 1989) *For every integer $k \geq 2$ and reals $r > 1$ and $a > 0$, there are $\gamma = \gamma(k, r, a) > 0$ and $d_0 = d_0(k, r, a)$ such that for every positive integer n and $D \geq d_0$ the following holds: Every k -uniform hypergraph $H = (V, E)$ on a set V of n vertices in which all vertices have positive degrees and which satisfies the following conditions:*

- (1) For all vertices $x \in V$ but at most γn of them, $d(x) = (1 \pm \gamma)D$;
- (2) For all $x \in V$, $d(x) < rD$;
- (3) For any two distinct $x, y \in V$, $d(x, y) < \gamma D$;

contains a matching of size at least $(1 - (k - 1)a)(n/k)$.

6 Proof of Theorem 1.2

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let $0 < \rho' \ll \rho \ll \eta \ll \varepsilon \ll 1$. By Lemma 2.3, we may assume that H is not ε -close to $\mathcal{H}_4(n, n/4)$. By Lemma 3.2, there exists a matching M_1 with $|M_1| \leq \rho n$ such that for any balanced set S with $|S| \leq \rho' n$, $H[V(M_1) \cup S]$ has a perfect matching.

Let $H_1 = H - V(M_1)$. Then H_1 is not $(\varepsilon/2)$ -close to $\mathcal{H}_4(n - 4|M_1|, n/4 - |M_1|)$. Write $n_1 = |[n] \setminus V(M_1)|$. Furthermore, for all $x \in V(H_1) \cap X$ and $v \in V(H_1) \cap [n]$,

$$d_{H_1}(\{x, v\}) \geq \binom{n-1}{3} - \binom{3n/4}{3} - 4|M_1|n^2 > \binom{n_1-1}{3} - \binom{3n_1/4}{3} - 10\rho n_1^3.$$

By Lemma 5.4, H_1 has a spanning subgraph H'_1 such that the following conditions hold:

- (1) For all $x \in V(H'_1)$, with at most $n_1^{0.99}$ exceptions, $d_{H'_1}(x) = (1 \pm n_1^{-0.01})n_1^{0.2}$;
- (2) For all $x \in V(H'_1)$, $d_{H'_1}(x) < 2n_1^{0.2}$;
- (3) For any two distinct $x, y \in V(H'_1)$, $d_{H'_1}(\{x, y\}) < n_1^{0.19}$.

By Lemma 5.5, H'_1 has a matching, say M_2 , covering all but at most $\rho' n$ vertices. Write $S = V(H_1) \setminus V(M_2)$. Recall $H[V(M_1) \cup S]$ has a perfect matching M'_1 . Now $M'_1 \cup M_2$ gives a desired perfect matching. \square

References

- [1] R. Aharoni and E. Berger, Rainbow matchings in r -partite r -graphs, *Electron. J. Combin.*, **16** (2009), #R119.
- [2] R. Aharoni and D. Howard, A rainbow r -partite version of the Erdős-Ko-Rado theorem, *Comb. Probab. Comput.*, **26** (2017), 321–337.
- [3] R. Aharoni and D. Howard, Size conditions for the existence of rainbow matchings, Preprint.
- [4] J. Akiyama and P. Frankl, On the size of graphs with complete-factors, *J. Graph Theory*, **9** (1985), 197–201.
- [5] N. Alon, H. Huang, and B. Sudakov, Nonnegative k -sums, fractional covers, and probability of small deviations, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B*, **102** (2012), 784–796.

- [6] N. Alon, P. Frankl, H. Huang, V. Rödl, A. Rucinski, and B. Sudakov, Large matchings in uniform hypergraphs and the conjectures of Erdős and Samuels, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, **119** (2012), 1200–1215.
- [7] N. Alon and J. Spencer, *The Probabilistic Method*, Fourth Edition, 2015.
- [8] J. Balogh, R. Morris, and W. Samotij, Independent sets in hypergraphs, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **28** (2015), 669–709.
- [9] P. Erdős, A problem on independent r -tuples, *Ann. Univ. Sci. Budapest. Eötvös Sect. Math.*, **8** (1965), 93–95.
- [10] P. Frankl, Improved bounds for Erdős’ matching conjecture, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, **120** (2013), 1068–1072.
- [11] P. Frankl, On the maximum number of edges in a hypergraph with given matching number, *Discrete Appl. Math.*, **216** (2017), 562–581.
- [12] P. Frankl and A. Kupavskii, Simple juntas for shifted families, *Discrete Analysis* 2020:14, 18 pp.
- [13] P. Frankl, T. Łuczak and K. Mieczkowska, On matchings in hypergraphs, *Electron. J. Combin.*, **19** (2012), #R42.
- [14] P. Frankl and V. Rödl, Near perfect coverings in graphs and hypergraphs, *Europ. J. Combin.* **6** (1985), 317–326.
- [15] J. Gao, H. Lu, J. Ma and X. Yu, On the rainbow matching conjecture for 3-uniform hypergraphs, arxiv: 2011.14363.
- [16] J. Han, Y. Kohayakawa and Y. Person, Near-perfect clique-factors in sparse pseudo-random graphs, arxiv: 1806.00493.
- [17] J. Han, On perfect matchings in k -complexes, arxiv: 1911.10986.
- [18] H. Huang, P. Loh, and B. Sudakov, The size of a hypergraph and its matching number, *Comb. Probab. Comput.*, **21** (2012), 442–450.
- [19] H. Huang and Y. Zhao, Degree versions of the Erdős-Ko-Rado theorem and Erdős hypergraph matching conjecture, *J. Combin. Theory, Ser. A*, **150** (2017), 233–247
- [20] F. Joos and J. Kim, On a rainbow version of Diracs theorem, *Bull. London Math. Soc.*, **52** (2020) 498–504.
- [21] R. M. Karp, Reducibility among combinatorial problems, *Complexity of Computer Computations* (R. E. Miller; J. W. Thatcher; J. D. Bohlinger (eds.)) (1972) New York: Plenum. pp. 85–103.
- [22] P. Keevash, N. Lifshitz, E. Long and D. Minzer, Hypercontractivity for global functions and sharp thresholds, arXiv:1906.05568.

- [23] P. Keevash, N. Lifshitz, E. Long and D. Minzer, Global hypercontractivity and its applications, arXiv:2103.04604.
- [24] S. Kiselev and A. Kupavskii, Rainbow matchings in k -partite hypergraphs, *Bull. London Math. Soc.*, <https://doi.org/10.1112/blms.12423>
- [25] N. Keller and N. Lifshitz, The Junta method for hypergraphs and the Erdős-Chvátal simplex conjecture, arXiv:1707.02643.
- [26] I. Khan, Perfect matchings in 3-uniform hypergraphs with large vertex degree, *SIAM J. Discrete Math.*, **27** (2013), 1021–1039.
- [27] I. Khan, Perfect matchings in 4-uniform hypergraphs, *J. Combin. Theory, Ser. B*, **116** (2016), 333–366.
- [28] D. Kühn, D. Osthus, and A. Treglown, Matchings in 3-uniform hypergraphs, *J. Combin. Theory, Ser. B*, **103** (2013), 291–305.
- [29] A. Kupavskii, Rainbow version of the Erdős matching conjecture via concentration, arXiv:2104.08083.
- [30] H. Lu, Y. Wang and X. Yu, A better bound on the size of rainbow matchings, arXiv:2004.12561v3.
- [31] H. Lu, X. Yu, and X. Yuan, Nearly perfect matchings in uniform hypergraphs, arXiv:1911.07431.
- [32] H. Lu, X. Yu and X. Yuan, Rainbow matchings for 3-uniform hypergraphs, arXiv:2004.12558.
- [33] T. Łuczak and K. Mieczkowska. On Erdős extremal problem on matchings in hypergraphs, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, **124** (2014), 178–194.
- [34] M. Matsumoto and N. Tokushige, The exact bound in the Erdős-Ko-Rado theorem for cross-intersecting families, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, **52** (1989), 90–97.
- [35] N. Pippenger and J. Spencer, Asymptotic behaviour of the chromatic index for hypergraphs, *J. Combin. Theory, Ser. A*, **51** (1989), 24–42.
- [36] L. Pyber, A new generalization of the Erdős-Ko-Rado theorem, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, **43** (1986), 85–90.
- [37] V. Rödl, A. Ruciński, and E. Szemerédi, Perfect matchings in uniform hypergraphs with large minimum degree, *European J. Combin.*, **27** (2006), 1333–1349.
- [38] D. Saxton and A. Thomason, Hypergraph containers, *Invent. Math.*, **201** (2015), 925–992.
- [39] A. Treglown and Y. Zhao, Exact minimum degree thresholds for perfect matchings in uniform hypergraphs II, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, **120** (2013), 1463–1482.