

Two new infinite classes of APN functions

Kangquan Li, Yue Zhou, Chunlei Li and Longjiang Qu

Abstract

In this paper, we present two new infinite classes of APN functions over $\mathbb{F}_{2^{2m}}$ and $\mathbb{F}_{2^{3m}}$, respectively. The first one is with bivariate form and obtained by adding special terms, $\sum(a_i x^{2^i} y^{2^i}, b_i x^{2^i} y^{2^i})$, to a known class of APN functions by Göloğlu over $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}^2$. The second one is of the form $L(z)^{2^m+1} + vz^{2^m+1}$ over $\mathbb{F}_{2^{3m}}$, which is a generalization of one family of APN functions by Bracken et al. [Cryptogr. Commun. 3 (1): 43-53, 2011]. The calculation of the CCZ-invariants Γ -ranks of our APN classes over \mathbb{F}_{2^8} or \mathbb{F}_{2^9} indicates that they are CCZ-inequivalent to all known infinite families of APN functions. Moreover, by using the code isomorphism, we see that our first APN family covers an APN function over \mathbb{F}_{2^8} obtained through the switching method by Edel and Pott in [Adv. Math. Commun. 3 (1): 59-81, 2009].

Index Terms

APN functions, Adding terms, Bivariate forms

1. INTRODUCTION

S-boxes are crucial nonlinear components in block ciphers. In order to resist some known attacks, S-boxes used in block ciphers are required to satisfy a variety of cryptographic criteria, such as low differential uniformity for the differential attacks [1]. The definition of the differential uniformity is as follows.

Definition 1. [23] *Let f be a function over \mathbb{F}_{2^n} . Then the differential uniformity of f is*

$$\delta_f = \max_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}^*, b \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}} \#\{z : z \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} \mid f(z+a) + f(z) = b\}.$$

It is well known that for even characteristic, the almost perfect nonlinear (APN for short) functions [23] with differential uniformity 2 provide the best resistance to the differential attacks. Moreover, for a quadratic function f over \mathbb{F}_{2^n} , f is APN if and only if the equation

$$f(z+a) + f(z) + f(a) = 0$$

Kangquan Li, Yue Zhou and Longjiang Qu are with the College of Liberal Arts and Sciences, National University of Defense Technology, Changsha, 410073, China and Hunan Engineering Research Center of Commercial Cryptography Theory and Technology Innovation. Chunlei Li is with the Department of Informatics, University of Bergen, Bergen N-5020, Norway. The work of Longjiang Qu was supported by the Nature Science Foundation of China (NSFC) under Grant (No. 62032009), National Key R&D Program of China (No. 2017YFB0802000). The work of Yue Zhou was supported by the Training Program for Excellent Young Innovators of Changsha (No. kq1905052). The work of Chunlei Li was supported by the Research Council of Norway (No. 247742/O70 and No. 311646/O70) and the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant (No. 61771021). **Emails:** likangquan11@nudt.edu.cn, gabelozhou@gmail.com, chunlei.li@uib.no and ljqu_happy@hotmail.com.

TABLE I
ALL KNOWN APN MONOMIALS OVER \mathbb{F}_{2^n}

Family	Function	Conditions	Ref.
Gold	z^{2^i+1}	$\gcd(i, n) = 1$	[18]
Kasami	$z^{2^{2i}-2^i+1}$	$\gcd(i, n) = 1$	[20]
Welch	z^{2^t+3}	$n = 2t + 1$	[15]
Niho-1	$z^{2^t+2^{t/2}-1}$	$n = 2t + 1, t \text{ even}$	[14]
Niho-2	$z^{2^t+2^{(3t+1)/2}-1}$	$n = 2t + 1, t \text{ odd}$	[14]
Inverse	$z^{2^{2t}-1}$	$n = 2t + 1$	[23]
Dobbertin	$z^{2^{4i}+2^{3i}+2^{2i}+2^i-1}$	$n = 5i$	[16]

has exactly two solutions $z = 0, a$ in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} for any $a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}^*$. The construction of new infinite classes of APN functions has been one of the most important topics in the study of cryptographic functions. For detailed information about APN functions, we invite the readers to consult Carlet's recent book [11, Ch. 11].

By far known APN functions are mostly represented in univariate and bivariate forms. While APN functions with bivariate forms can be also represented in univariate forms according to certain isomorphism between $\mathbb{F}_{2^{2m}}$ and $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}^2$, their corresponding univariate forms are usually more complex. In order to present a concise form of APN families, in the following we summarize all known APN families from their initial expressions. In this paper, we use z and x, y to denote the variables of univariate and bivariate forms, respectively. APN monomials are classical functions with univariate form. All known APN monomials can be found in Table I, which was conjectured to be complete [14]. For all known infinite classes of non-monomials APN functions with univariate form, please refer to Table II, in which Tr_m^n denotes the trace function from \mathbb{F}_{2^n} to \mathbb{F}_{2^m} for any $m \mid n$, i.e., $\text{Tr}_m^n(z) = z + z^{2^m} + \dots + z^{2^{(\frac{n}{m}-1)m}}$, and Tr_1^n is shortly denoted as Tr_n . Bivariate construction is a powerful method to get APN functions over $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}^2$. Carlet [10], Zhou and Pott [28], Taniguchi [25], Calderini, Budaghyan and Carlet [9] constructed different APN functions over $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}^2$ of the form $f(x, y) = (xy, G(x, y))$ by choosing distinct bivariate functions $G(x, y)$. Very recently, Göloğlu considered the construction of APN functions using bi-projective polynomials and obtained two classes of APN functions. All APN families of bivariate forms are listed in Table III, where $P_1(z) = z^{2^k+1} + az + b$ and $P_2(z) = (cz^{2^i+1} + bz^{2^i} + 1)^{2^{m/2}+1} + z^{2^{m/2}+1}$.

In this paper, we construct two new infinite families of APN functions. One class is inspired by the method of Dillon [13] and its generalization of Budaghyan and Carlet [4]. In [13], Dillon presented a way to construct APN functions of the form

$$f(z) = z(Az^2 + B^q + Cz^{2q}) + z^2(Dz^q + Ez^{2q}) + Gz^{3q} \quad (1)$$

over \mathbb{F}_{q^2} with $q = 2^m$. Particularly, in [4], Budaghyan and Carlet obtained an infinite family of APN hexanomials based on construction (1), i.e., F3 in Table II. Let $f_1(z) = Az^3 + Cz^{2q+1} + Dz^{q+2} + Gz^{3q}$. The coefficients for APNness of f_1 have been determined completely by Li, Li, Helleseth and Qu [21]. Later

TABLE II
ALL KNOWN APN INFINITE FAMILIES WITH UNIVARIATE FORMS (NON-MONOMIALS) OVER \mathbb{F}_{2^n}

No.	Function	Conditions	Ref.
F1- F2	$z^{2^s+1} + u^{2^k-1}z^{2^{ik}+2^{mk+s}}$	$n = pk, \gcd(k, 3) = \gcd(s, 3k) = 1,$ $p \in \{3, 4\}, i = sk \pmod{p}, m = p - i,$ $n \geq 12, u$ primitive in $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}^*$	[5]
F3	$sz^{2^i(q+1)} + z^{2^i+1} + z^{q(2^i+1)}$ $+ cz^{2^i q+1} + c^q z^{2^i+q} + z^{q+1}$	$q = 2^m, n = 2m, \gcd(i, m) = 1,$ $c \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}, s \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} \setminus \mathbb{F}_{2^m}, z^{2^i+1} + cz^{2^i} +$ $c^q z + 1$ has no solution x with $x^{q+1} = 1$	[4]
F4	$z^3 + a^{-1}\text{Tr}_n(a^3 z^9)$	$a \neq 0$	[6]
F5	$z^3 + a^{-1}\text{Tr}_3^n(a^3 z^9 + a^6 z^{18})$	$3 \mid n, a \neq 0$	[7]
F6	$z^3 + a^{-1}\text{Tr}_3^n(a^6 z^{18} + a^{12} z^{36})$	$3 \mid n, a \neq 0$	[7]
F7-F9	$uz^{2^s+1} + u^{2^m}z^{2^{-m}+2^{m+s}} +$ $vz^{2^{-m}+1} + wu^{2^m+1}z^{2^s+2^{m+s}}$	$n = 3m, \gcd(m, 3) = \gcd(s, 3m) = 1, v, w \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ $vw \neq 1, 3 \mid m + s, u$ primitive in $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}^*$	[2]
F10	$a^2 z^{2^{2m+1}+1} + b^2 z^{2^{m+1}+1} +$ $az^{2^{2m}+2} + bz^{2^m+2} + (c^2 + c)z^3$	$n = 3m, m$ odd, $L(z) = az^{2^{2m}} + bz^{2^m} + cz$ satisfies the conditions of Lemma 8 of [3]	[3]
F11	$z^3 + wz^{2^{i+1}} + w^2 z^{3 \cdot 2^m}$ $+ z^{2^{i+m}+2^m}$	$n = 2m, m$ odd, $3 \nmid m, w$ primitive in $\mathbb{F}_{2^2}, s = m - 2, (m - 2)^{-1} \pmod{n}$	[8]
F12	$a\text{Tr}_m^n(bz^3) + a^q\text{Tr}_m^n(b^3 z^9)$	$n = 2m, m$ odd, $q = 2^m, a \notin \mathbb{F}_q,$ b not a cube	[27]

TABLE III
ALL KNOWN APN FAMILIES WITH BIVARIATE FORMS OVER $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}^2$

No.	Function	Conditions	Ref.
F13	$(xy, x^{2^k+1} + \alpha x^{(2^k+1)2^i})$	$\gcd(k, m) = 1, m$ even, α non-cubic	[28]
F14	$(xy, x^{2^{3k}+2^{2k}} + ax^{2^{2k}}y^{2^k} + by^{2^k+1})$	$\gcd(k, m) = 1, P_1$ no root in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}	[25]
F15	$(xy, x^{2^i+1} + x^{2^{i+m/2}}y^{2^{m/2}} + bxy^{2^i} + cy^{2^i+1})$	m even, $\gcd(i, m) = 1, P_2$ no root in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}	[9]
F16	$(x^{2^i+1} + xy^{2^i} + y^{2^i+1}, x^{2^{2i}+1} + x^{2^{2i}}y + y^{2^{2i}+1})$	$\gcd(3i, m) = 1$	[19]
F17	$(x^{2^i+1} + xy^{2^i} + y^{2^i+1}, x^{2^{3i}}y + xy^{2^{3i}})$	$\gcd(3i, m) = 1, m$ odd	[19]

Chase and Lisoněk [12] proved that f_1 is APN if and only if f_1 is CCZ-equivalent to the Gold functions. Thus the APN hexanomials (F3 in Table II) obtained by Budaghyan and Carlet can be seen as the summation of a known APN function and $Bz^{q+1} + Ez^{2(q+1)}$. Inspired these observations, a natural idea is to try to find new APN functions over \mathbb{F}_{q^2} by adding special terms of the form $\sum c_i z^{2^i(q+1)}$, $c_i \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^*$ to known APN families. Note that for the bivariate form over \mathbb{F}_q^2 , $\sum c_i z^{2^i(q+1)}$ is actually of the form $\sum (a_i x^{2^i} y^{2^i}, b_i x^{2^i} y^{2^i})$, $a_i, b_i \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$. Finally, we find a new infinite family of APN functions over $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}^2$ by adding terms to F16 in Table III as follows

$$f(x, y) = (x^3 + xy^2 + y^3 + xy, x^5 + x^4y + y^5 + xy + x^2y^2), \quad (2)$$

where $\gcd(3, m) = 1$. It is interesting that by the code isomorphism, our APN family includes an APN

function over \mathbb{F}_{2^s} obtained through the switching method by Edel and Pott [17]. Another class is motivated from the following APN quadrinomial over $\mathbb{F}_{2^{3m}}$ obtained by Bracken et al. [2]

$$f(z) = uz^{2^s+1} + u^{2^m}z^{2^{-m}+2^{m+s}} + vz^{2^{-m}+1} + wu^{2^m+1}z^{2^s+2^{m+s}}.$$

We assume that $w \neq 0$. Choose $\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ satisfying $\gamma^{1-2^s} = w$, which always exists since $\gcd(2^m - 1, 2^s - 1) = 2^{\gcd(m,s)} - 1 = 1$. Then $f(\gamma z)^{2^m} = \gamma^{2^s+1}(L(z)^{2^m+1} + (vw+1)z^{2^m+1})$, where $L(z) = u^{2^m}z^{2^{m+s}} + z$. Thus f is linear equivalent to an APN family of the form $L(z)^{2^m+1} + vz^{2^m+1}$ with L a permutation and $v \neq 0$. By choosing a linearized permutation trinomial L , we propose another new infinite family of APN functions over $\mathbb{F}_{2^{3m}}$ as follows:

$$f(z) = L(z)^{2^m+1} + vz^{2^m+1}, \quad (3)$$

where $\gcd(s, m) = 1$, $v \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}^*$, $\mu \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{3m}}$ with $\mu^{2^{2m}+2^m+1} \neq 1$ and $L(z) = z^{2^{m+s}} + \mu z^{2^s} + z$ permuting $\mathbb{F}_{2^{3m}}$.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. We first in Section 2 discuss the issue of CCZ-equivalence that researchers may care about the most. Sections 3 and 4 prove the APNness of the functions in (2) and (3), respectively. Section 5 concludes the work of this paper and presents some related problems.

2. CCZ-EQUIVALENCE

Two functions f and g over \mathbb{F}_{2^n} are said to be *Carlet-Charpin-Zinoviev (CCZ) equivalent* if there is an affine permutation of $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}^2$ that maps the graph $G_f = \{(z, f(z)) : z \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}\}$ to the graph $G_g = \{(z, g(z)) : z \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}\}$. It is known that the CCZ equivalence the most general equivalence relation that preserves the differential uniformity of a function over \mathbb{F}_{2^n} . When an infinite class of APN functions is newly constructed, it is of great interest to investigate whether it is essentially new, i.e., it is CCZ-inequivalent to all known infinite classes of APN functions. On the other hand, it is very difficult to theoretically prove two APN functions are CCZ-inequivalent. Therefore, a common practice of examining the CCZ-equivalence of two functions f and g is to evaluate the code isomorphism for small parameters. To be more concrete, for a function over \mathbb{F}_{2^n} , an associated linear code \mathcal{C}_f is defined by the following generating matrix

$$\mathcal{C}_f = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ 0 & u & \cdots & u^{2^n-1} \\ f(0) & f(u) & \cdots & f(u^{2^n-1}) \end{pmatrix}$$

where u is a primitive element of \mathbb{F}_{2^n} . Two functions f and g are CCZ-equivalent if and only if \mathcal{C}_f and \mathcal{C}_g are isomorphic. Another common method is to compute the CCZ-invariants, i.e., properties that remain invariant under CCZ-equivalence of two functions in a fixed finite field. If two functions in question exhibit different values and/or properties regarding certain CCZ-invariant, then they must be CCZ-inequivalent. One CCZ-invariant is the Γ -rank [17], which is defined as the rank of the incidence matrix of a design $\text{dev}(G_f)$ for a function f over \mathbb{F}_{2^n} , whose set of points is $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}^2$ and set of blocks is $\{(z+a, f(z)+b) : z \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}\}$ for $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$.

In this section, we explain our newly constructed APN families are CCZ-inequivalent to all known APN classes by comparing the Γ -ranks of the representatives from all known APN families and ours over \mathbb{F}_{2^8} and \mathbb{F}_{2^9} , see Tables IV and V¹. In Table IV (resp. Table V), u and v denote any primitive element of \mathbb{F}_{2^8} (resp. \mathbb{F}_{2^9}) and \mathbb{F}_{2^4} , respectively. The ‘‘Ref.’’ denotes the corresponding known APN families in Tables I, II and III. The different values of Γ -ranks show that our newly found APN infinite class is new. Another fact worth mentioning is that by the code isomorphism, our first APN family in (2) covers one (No. 1.9) of APN functions over \mathbb{F}_{2^8} in [17, Table 9], which were obtained through the switching method by Edel and Pott. The covered APN function is

$$p(z) = z^3 + u\text{Tr}_8(u^{63}z^3 + u^{252}z^9) + u^{154}\text{Tr}_8(u^{68}z^3 + u^{235}z^9) + u^{35}\text{Tr}_8(u^{216}z^3 + u^{116}z^9),$$

where u is a primitive element of \mathbb{F}_{2^8} .

TABLE IV
CCZ-INEQUIVALENT REPRESENTATIVES FROM THE KNOWN APN FAMILIES AND OURS OVER \mathbb{F}_{2^8} AND THEIR Γ -RANKS

No.	Function	Γ -rank	Ref.
1	z^3	11818	Gold
2	z^9	12370	Gold
3	z^{57}	15358	Kasami
4	$z^3 + z^{17} + u^{48}z^{18} + z^3x^{33} + uz^{34} + z^{48}$	13200	F3
5	$z^3 + \text{Tr}_8(z^9)$	13800	F4
6	$z^3 + u^{-1}\text{Tr}_8(u^3z^9)$	13842	F4
7	$(xy, x^3 + vy^{12})$	13642	F13
8	$(xy, x^{12} + x^4y^2 + y^3)$	13700	F14
9	$(xy, x^{12} + x^4y^2 + v^7y^3)$	13798	F14
10	$(x^3 + xy^2 + y^3, x^5 + x^4y + y^5)$	13642	F16
11	$(xy, x^3 + x^2y + vx^4y^8 + v^5y^3)$	13960	F15
12	$(x^3 + xy^2 + y^3 + xy, x^5 + x^4y + y^5 + xy + x^2y^2)$	14034	this paper

3. A NEW INFINITE CLASS OF APN FUNCTIONS OVER $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}^2$

In this section, we will prove that the function in (2) is APN. Before that, we first give several useful lemmas. The following one is to determine the number of solutions of cubic equations over \mathbb{F}_{2^m} .

Lemma 2. [26] *Let $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}^*$ and define*

$$f(z) = z^3 + az + b, h(t) = t^2 + bt + a^3.$$

Let t_1, t_2 be two solutions of $h(t)$. Then

¹In Tables IV and V, the Γ -ranks of the representatives from all known APN families are retrieved from the website <https://boolean.h.uib.no/mediawiki/index.php/Tables>

TABLE V
CCZ-INEQUIVALENT REPRESENTATIVES FROM THE KNOWN APN FAMILIES AND OURS OVER \mathbb{F}_{2^9} AND THEIR Γ -RANKS

No.	Function	Γ -rank	Ref.
1	z^3	38470	Gold
2	z^5	41494	Gold
3	z^{17}	38470	Gold
4	z^{13}	58676	Kasami
5	z^{241}	61726	Kasami
6	z^{19}	60894	Welch
7	z^{255}	130816	Inverse
8	$z^3 + \text{Tr}_9(z^9)$	47890	F4
9	$z^3 + \text{Tr}_3^9(z^9 + z^{18})$	48428	F5
10	$z^3 + \text{Tr}_3^9(z^{18} + z^{36})$	48460	F5
11	$z^3 + u^{246}z^{10} + u^{47}z^{17} + u^{181}z^{66} + u^{428}z^{129}$	48596	F10
12	$(z^{16} + u^5z^2 + z)^9 + u^{73}z^9$	48558	this paper

- f has three zeros in \mathbb{F}_{2^m} if and only if $\text{Tr}_m\left(\frac{a^3}{b^2}\right) = \text{Tr}_m(1)$, t_1 and t_2 are cubes in \mathbb{F}_{2^m} (resp. $\mathbb{F}_{2^{2m}}$) when m is even (resp. odd).
- f has exactly one zero in \mathbb{F}_{2^m} if and only if $\text{Tr}_m\left(\frac{a^3}{b^2}\right) \neq \text{Tr}_m(1)$.
- f has no zeros in \mathbb{F}_{2^m} if and only if $\text{Tr}_m\left(\frac{a^3}{b^2}\right) = \text{Tr}_m(1)$, t_1 and t_2 are not cubes in \mathbb{F}_{2^m} (resp. $\mathbb{F}_{2^{2m}}$) when m is even (resp. odd).

The following two lemmas are very important for our proof.

Lemma 3. Let $\gcd(m, 3) = 1$ and $\omega \in \mathbb{F}_{2^2} \setminus \mathbb{F}_2$. Then ω is not cubic in \mathbb{F}_{2^m} (resp. $\mathbb{F}_{2^{2m}}$) if m is even (resp. odd).

Proof. We only consider the case where m is even. It suffices to show that the equation $z^3 = \omega$ has no solution in \mathbb{F}_{2^m} . If not, then $\omega^{\frac{2^m-1}{3}} = 1$, which means that $3 \mid \frac{2^m-1}{3}$, i.e., $9 \mid 2^m - 1$. It is a contradiction with the fact $\gcd(m, 3) = 1$. \square

Lemma 4. Let $\gcd(3, m) = 1$. Then

$$z^3 + z + 1 = 0$$

has no solution in \mathbb{F}_{2^m} .

Proof. If there exists some element $z \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ such that $z^3 + z + 1 = 0$, then $z \in \mathbb{F}_{2^3}$. Since $\gcd(3, m) = 1$, $z \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{\gcd(3, m)}} = \mathbb{F}_2$. However, it is clear that for $z = 0$ or 1 , $z^3 + z + 1 \neq 0$. \square

Since the resultant of polynomials will be used in our proof, we now recall some basic facts about the

resultant of two polynomials. Given two non-zero polynomials of degrees n and m respectively

$$u(x) = a_mx^m + a_{m-1}x^{m-1} + \cdots + a_0$$

and

$$v(x) = b_nx^n + b_{n-1}x^{n-1} + \cdots + b_0$$

with $a_m \neq 0, b_n \neq 0$ and coefficients in a field or in an integral domain R , their resultant $\text{Res}(u, v) \in R$ is the determinant of the following matrix:

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_m & a_{m-1} & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & a_0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a_m & a_{m-1} & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & a_0 & 0 & 0 \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & & & & & \ddots & \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & a_m & a_{m-1} & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & a_0 \\ b_n & b_{n-1} & \cdots & \cdots & b_0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & \ddots & \ddots & & & \ddots & & & & \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & b_n & b_{n-1} & \cdots & \cdots & b_0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

For a field K and two polynomials $F(x, y), G(x, y) \in K[x, y]$, we use $\text{Res}(F, G, y)$ to denote the resultant of F and G with respect to y . It is the resultant of F and G when considered as polynomials in the single variable y . In this case, $\text{Res}(F, G, y) \in K[x]$ belongs to the ideal generated by F and G , and thus any a, b satisfying $F(a, b) = 0$ and $G(a, b) = 0$ is such that $\text{Res}(F, G, y)(a) = 0$ (see [22]).

The main result in this section is as follows.

Theorem 5. *Let m be a positive integer with $\gcd(3, m) = 1$ and*

$$f(x, y) = (x^3 + xy^2 + y^3 + xy, x^5 + x^4y + y^5 + xy + x^2y^2).$$

Then $f(x, y)$ is APN over $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}^2$.

Proof. It suffices to show that for any $(a, b) \neq (0, 0) \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}^2$, the equation

$$f(x + a, y + b) + f(x, y) + f(a, b) = 0 \quad (4)$$

has exactly two solutions $(x, y) = (0, 0), (a, b)$ in $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}^2$. By a simple calculation, Eq. (4) is equivalent to the following equation system

$$\begin{cases} ax^2 + (a^2 + b^2 + b)x + (a + b)y^2 + (a + b^2)y = 0 & (5.1) \\ (a + b)x^4 + b^2x^2 + (a^4 + b)x + by^4 + a^2y^2 + (a^4 + a + b^4)y = 0. & (5.2) \end{cases}$$

Let

$$F(x, y, a, b) = ax^2 + (a^2 + b^2 + b)x + (a + b)y^2 + (a + b^2)y$$

and

$$G(x, y, a, b) = (a + b)x^4 + b^2x^2 + (a^4 + b)x + by^4 + a^2y^2 + (a^4 + a + b^4)y.$$

Then the resultant of F and G aiming at y equals

$$\text{Res}(F, G, y) = (a^3 + ab^2 + b^3)^2 x(x + a)H(x, a, b)H(x + a, a, b), \quad (6)$$

where

$$H(x, a, b) = x^3 + (a^2 + ab + a + b^2 + b + 1)x + a^3 + a^2b + a + b^3 + b^2 + 1.$$

First of all, we have $a^3 + ab^2 + b^3 \neq 0$ for any $(a, b) \neq (0, 0) \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}^2$. If not, then for some element $(a, b) \neq (0, 0) \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}^2$, $a^3 + ab^2 + b^3 = 0$. If $b = 0$, then the above equation becomes $a^3 = 0$, which is a contradiction with the fact $(a, b) \neq (0, 0)$. If $b \neq 0$, then we have $c^3 + c + 1 = 0$, where $c = \frac{a}{b} \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}$, which is in contradiction with Lemma 4. In addition, according to Eqs. (5), i.e., $F(x, y, a, b) = G(x, y, a, b) = 0$, we have $x = 0, a$ or $H(x, a, b) = 0$. Now we consider the equation

$$x^3 + Ax + B = 0, \quad (7)$$

where $A = a^2 + ab + a + b^2 + b + 1$ and $B = a^3 + a^2b + a + b^3 + b^2 + 1$. In the following, we prove that $B = 0$ if and only if $(a, b) = (1, 1)$. Plugging $a = a_1 + b$ into $B = 0$ and simplifying it, we get

$$a_1^3 + (b^2 + 1)a_1 + (b + 1)^3 = 0. \quad (8)$$

If $b = 1$, then $a_1 = 0$ and thus $a = a_1 + b = 1$. If $b \neq 1$, plugging $a_1 = (b + 1)a_2$ into Eq. (8) and simplifying it, we have $a_2^3 + a_2 + 1 = 0$, which has no solution in \mathbb{F}_{2^m} from Lemma 4. Thus $B = 0$ if and only if $(a, b) = (1, 1)$. In the following, we divide our proof into two cases: $(a, b) = (1, 1)$ and $(a, b) \neq (1, 1)$.

Case 1. If $(a, b) = (1, 1)$, then Eqs. (5) becomes

$$\begin{cases} x^2 + x = 0 & (9.1) \\ x^2 + y^4 + y^2 + y = 0. & (9.2) \end{cases}$$

From Eq. (9.1), we know $x = 0$ or 1 . If $x = 0$, plugging it into Eq. (9.2), we have $y^4 + y^2 + y = 0$ and then $y = 0$ by Lemma 4. If $x = 1$, together with Eq. (9.2), we get $y^4 + y^2 + y + 1 = 0$, which means $y = 1$. Thus in this case, Eqs. (5) has two solutions $(x, y) = (0, 0)$ and $(1, 1)$ in $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}^2$.

Case 2. If $(a, b) \neq (1, 1)$, then $B \neq 0$. Let $h(t) = t^2 + Bt + A^3$. By computation, we have

$$\frac{A^3}{B^2} = \frac{C}{B} + \frac{C^2}{B^2} + 1,$$

where

$$C = a^2b + a^2 + ab^2 + a + b^2 + b.$$

Thus $\text{Tr}_m\left(\frac{A^3}{B^2} + 1\right) = 0$ and the equation $h(t) = 0$ has two solutions $t_1 = C + \omega B$ and $t_2 = C + \omega^2 B$ in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}

(resp. $\mathbb{F}_{2^{2m}}$) if m is even (resp. odd), where $\omega \in \mathbb{F}_{2^2} \setminus \mathbb{F}_2$. Moreover, $t_1 = \omega(\omega^2 C + B) = \omega(a + \omega b + \omega^2)^3$, which is not cubic by Lemma 3. Thus from Lemma 2, the equation $x^3 + Ax + B = 0$ has no solution in \mathbb{F}_{2^m} . Hence from Eq. (6), we have $x = 0$ or a and then $y = 0$ or b , respectively.

All in all, Eqs. (5) has exactly two solutions $(x, y) = (0, 0), (a, b)$ in $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}^2$ for any $(a, b) \neq (0, 0) \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}^2$. Therefore, f is APN over $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}^2$. \square

4. A NEW INFINITE CLASS OF APN FUNCTIONS OVER $\mathbb{F}_{2^{3m}}$

In this section, we will show the univariate function defined in (3) is APN, namely the following theorem.

Theorem 6. *Let $\gcd(s, m) = 1$ and $v \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}^*$. Choose $\mu \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{3m}}$ such that $\mu^{2^{2m}+2^m+1} \neq 1$ and $L(z) = z^{2^{m+s}} + \mu z^{2^s} + z$ permutes $\mathbb{F}_{2^{3m}}$. Then $f(z) = L(z)^{2^m+1} + v z^{2^m+1}$ is APN over $\mathbb{F}_{2^{3m}}$.*

Before that, we first prove some important lemmas.

Lemma 7. *Let $\gcd(m, s) = 1$, $\mu \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{3m}}$ satisfy $\mu^{2^{2m}+2^m+1} \neq 1$ and $L_\beta(z) = z^{2^{m+s}} + \mu z^{2^s} + \beta z$ with $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}$. If L_1 permutes $\mathbb{F}_{2^{3m}}$, then so does L_β for any $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}$.*

Proof. It suffices to show that $L_\beta(a) \neq 0$ for any $a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{3m}}^*$. Otherwise, taking $\epsilon \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}$, replacing a by ϵa in $L_\beta(a) = 0$ and simplifying it, we get $a^{2^{m+s}} + \mu a^{2^s} + \beta \epsilon^{1-2^s} a = 0$. If $\beta = 0$, we have $a^{2^{m+s}} + \mu^{2^m} a^{2^s} = 0$, which is in contradiction with the condition $\mu^{2^{2m}+2^m+1} \neq 1$. If $\beta \neq 0$, let $\epsilon \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}^*$ satisfy $\epsilon^{2^s-1} = \beta$, which always exists since $\gcd(2^m - 1, 2^s - 1) = 2^{\gcd(m, s)} - 1 = 1$. Then the above equation becomes $L_1(a) = 0$ for some $a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{3m}}^*$, which is in contradiction with the condition that L_1 permutes $a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{3m}}^*$. \square

Lemma 8. *Let $\mu \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{3m}}$, $L(z) = z^{2^{m+s}} + \mu z^{2^s} + z$ and $L'(z) = z^{2^{m+s}} + \mu^{2^m} z^{2^m} + z$. Then L permutes $\mathbb{F}_{2^{3m}}$ if and only if so does L' .*

Proof. For the linear polynomial L , we denote by L^* its adjoint polynomial [24], i.e., $L^*(z) = z^{2^{2m-s}} + \mu^{2^{3m-s}} z^{2^{3m-s}} + z$. It is well known that L permutes $\mathbb{F}_{2^{3m}}$ if and only if so does L^* . Moreover, it is easy to check that $L'(z) = (L^*(z))^{2^{m+s}}$. Thus L permutes $\mathbb{F}_{2^{3m}}$ if and only if so does L' . \square

Let $\gcd(s, m) = 1$, $a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{3m}}$ and $v \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}^*$. Choose $\mu \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{3m}}^*$ such that $\mu^{2^{2m}+2^m+1} \neq 1$ and $L(z) = z^{2^{m+s}} + \mu z^{2^s} + z$ permutes $\mathbb{F}_{2^{3m}}$. Define

$$\begin{cases} A = L(a)a^{2^{2m+s}}, \\ B = (L(a)^{2^m} + \mu^{2^m} L(a))a^{2^{m+s}}, \\ C = (L(a) + va)a^{2^m}, \\ D = \mu L(a)^{2^m} a^{2^s}, \\ E = (L(a) + va)^{2^m} a. \end{cases} \quad (10)$$

and denote

$$\begin{cases} U_1 = D^{2^{2m}} E^{2^m+1} + AC^{2^{2m}} E^{2^m} + B^{2^m} C^{2^{2m}+1} \\ U_2 = A^{2^{2m}} E^{2^m+1} + BC^{2^{2m}} E^{2^m} + C^{2^{2m}+1} D^{2^m} \\ U_3 = B^{2^{2m}} E^{2^m+1} + C^{2^{2m}} DE^{2^m} + A^{2^m} C^{2^{2m}+1} \\ U_4 = C^{2^{2m}+2^m+1} + E^{2^{2m}+2^m+1}. \end{cases} \quad (11)$$

$$\begin{cases} V_1 = A^{2^{2m}+2} C^{2^m} + ABC^{2^m} D^{2^{2m}} + AB^{2^m+1} E^{2^{2m}} + A^2 D^{2^m} E^{2^{2m}} \\ V_2 = A^{2^{2m}+2} E^{2^m} + ABD^{2^{2m}} E^{2^m} + A^{2^{2m}+1} B^{2^m} C + ACD^{2^{2m}+2^m} \\ V_3 = A^{2^{2m}+1} B^{2^m} E + AB^{2^m+1} C^{2^{2m}} + A^2 C^{2^{2m}} D^{2^m} + AD^{2^{2m}+2^m} E \\ V_4 = (B^{2^m+1} + AD^{2^m})(AB^{2^{2m}} + D^{2^{2m}+1}) + (A^{2^{2m}+1} + BD^{2^{2m}})(A^{2^m+1} + B^{2^m} D). \end{cases} \quad (12)$$

The following lemma is crucial to the proof in this section.

Lemma 9. *Let A, B, C, D, E be defined as in (10), U_i, V_i with $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ be defined as in (11) and (12), respectively. Then for any $a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{3m}}^*$,*

- (i) $A + B + C + D + E = 0$, $ABCDE \neq 0$ and $C + E \neq 0$;
- (ii) $U_i V_i \neq 0$ with $i = 1, 2, 3$;
- (iii) $U_4 = V_4 = 0$;
- (iv) $U_2 V_1^{2^s} + U_1 V_2^{2^s} + U_3 V_1^{2^s} + U_1 V_3^{2^s} = 0$;
- (v) $U_2 V_1^{2^s} + U_1 V_2^{2^s} \neq 0$.

Proof. (i) It is readily seen that

$$A + B + C + D + E = L(a) \left(a^{2^{m+s}} + \mu a^{2^s} + a \right)^{2^m} + L^{2^m}(a) \left(a^{2^{m+s}} + \mu a^{2^s} + a \right) + a^{2^m+1} (v + v^{2^m}) = 0.$$

Next we show $ABCDE \neq 0$. First, the fact $AD \neq 0$ is clear since $A = L(a)a^{2^{m+s}}$, $D = \mu L(a)^{2^m} a^{2^s}$ and L permutes $\mathbb{F}_{2^{3m}}$. Second, let $H(x) = x^{2^m} + \mu^{2^m} x$. Then H permutes $\mathbb{F}_{2^{3m}}$ since $\mu^{2^{2m}+2^m+1} \neq 1$. In addition, it is easy to check that $B = H(L(a))a^{2^{m+s}}$ and thus $B \neq 0$ for any $a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{3m}}^*$. Third, $CE \neq 0$ is equivalent to $L(a) + va = a^{2^{m+s}} + \mu a^{2^s} + (v+1)a \neq 0$, which can be easily verified by Lemma 7.

Finally, if there exists some $a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{3m}}^*$ such that $C + E = (L(a) + va)a^{2^m} + (L(a) + va)^{2^m} a = 0$, then $L(a) + va = \eta a$ for some $\eta \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}^*$, which is also impossible by Lemma 7. Thus $C + E \neq 0$ for any $a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{3m}}^*$.

(ii) Let

$$U = \mu^{2^m} a^{2^{m+s}+1} + a^{2^{2m}+s+1} + a^{2^{m+s}+2^m} + \mu^{2^m+1} a^{2^{2m}+2^m+s} + \mu^{2^{2m}+1} a^{2^{2m}+s+2^m} + \mu a^{2^{2m}+s+2^{2m}}.$$

Plugging the expressions of A, B, C, D, E into that of U_1, U_2, U_3 and investigating their factorizations, we get

$$\begin{cases} U_1 = va^{2^{2m}+s+2^m} C^{2^{2m}} U^{2^{2m}} = va^{2^m} C^{2^{2m}} (a^{2^s} U)^{2^{2m}} \\ U_2 = va^{2^{m+s}+2^m} C^{2^{2m}} U^{2^m} = va^{2^m} C^{2^{2m}} (a^{2^s} U)^{2^m} \\ U_3 = va^{2^m+2^s} C^{2^{2m}} U = va^{2^m} C^{2^{2m}} (a^{2^s} U). \end{cases} \quad (13)$$

Moreover, let

$$V = a^{2^m+2^s} + \mu^{2^m} a^{2^{2m}+2^{m+s}} + \mu^{2^{2m}} a^{2^{2m+s}+2^m} + a^{2^{2m+s}+2^{2m}}$$

and

$$T = (\mu^{2^{2m}+2^m+1} + 1)a^{2^s} + \mu^{2^{2m}+2^m} a + \mu^{2^{2m}} a^{2^m} + a^{2^{2m}}.$$

Similarly, plugging the expressions of A, B, C, D, E into that of V_1, V_2, V_3 and investigating their factorizations, we obtain

$$\begin{cases} V_1 = va^{2^{2m+s+1}+2^{m+s}+2^{2m}} L(a)TV^{2^{2m}} = va^{2^{2m+s+1}+2^{m+s}} L(a)T(aV)^{2^{2m}} \\ V_2 = va^{2^{2m+s+1}+2^{m+s}+2^m} L(a)TV^{2^m} = va^{2^{2m+s+1}+2^{m+s}} L(a)T(aV)^{2^m} \\ V_3 = va^{2^{2m+s+1}+2^{m+s}+1} L(a)TV = va^{2^{2m+s+1}+2^{m+s}} L(a)T(aV). \end{cases} \quad (14)$$

Thus in order to show that $U_i V_i \neq 0$ with $i = 1, 2, 3$, it suffices to prove that $UVT \neq 0$. Let $P = a^{2^{2m+s}} + \mu^{2^m} a^{2^{m+s}}$. Then $P \neq 0$ for any $a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{3m}}^*$ since the binomial $z^{2^{2m+s}} + \mu^{2^m} z^{2^{m+s}}$ permutes $\mathbb{F}_{2^{3m}}$ trivially. In addition, we have

$$V = a^{2^{2m}} P + a^{2^m} P^{2^m}.$$

If there exists some $a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{3m}}^*$ such that $V = 0$, then $P = \tau a^{2^m}$ for some $\tau \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}^*$. Furthermore, we have $a^{2^{2m+s}} + \mu^{2^m} a^{2^{m+s}} + \tau a^{2^m} = 0$, i.e., $a^{2^{m+s}} + \mu a^{2^s} + \tau a = 0$, which is impossible by Lemma 7. Thus $V \neq 0$. Moreover, $U \neq 0$ due to a crucial observation

$$U = V^{2^{2m}} + \mu V,$$

which is a permutation in V over $\mathbb{F}_{2^{3m}}$. Finally, if there exists some $a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{3m}}^*$ such that $T = 0$, i.e.,

$$(\mu^{2^{2m}+2^m+1} + 1)a^{2^s} + \mu^{2^{2m}+2^m} a + \mu^{2^{2m}} a^{2^m} + a^{2^{2m}} = 0. \quad (15)$$

Raising (15) to the 2^m -th power, one gets

$$(\mu^{2^{2m}+2^m+1} + 1)a^{2^{m+s}} + \mu^{2^{2m}+1} a^{2^m} + \mu a^{2^{2m}} + a = 0. \quad (16)$$

Comparing (15) and (16), one can eliminate $\mu^{2^{2m}+1} a^{2^m} + \mu a^{2^{2m}}$ in (16) and obtains

$$(\mu^{2^{2m}+2^m+1} + 1)L(a) = 0,$$

which is impossible since $\mu^{2^{2m}+2^m+1} \neq 1$ and $L(a) \neq 0$ for any $a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{3m}}^*$.

(iii) It is trivial that $U_4 = C^{2^{2m}+2^m+1} + E^{2^{2m}+2^m+1} = 0$ due to the fact $E = C^{2^m} a^{1-2^{2m}}$. The statement $V_4 = 0$ holds due to the following four equations, which can be checked directly.

$$\begin{aligned} B^{2^m+1} + AD^{2^m} &= (L(a)^{2^m} + \mu^{2^m} L(a))^{2^m+1} a^{2^{2m+s}+2^{m+s}} + \mu^{2^m} L(a)^{2^{2m}+1} a^{2^{2m+s}+2^{m+s}} \\ &= a^{2^{2m+s}+2^{m+s}} L(a)^{2^m} \left(L(a)^{2^{2m}} + \mu^{2^{2m}} L(a)^{2^m} + \mu^{2^{2m}+2^m} L(a) \right), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} AB^{2^{2m}} + D^{2^{2m}+1} &= L(a)a^{2^{2m+s}}(L(a)^{2^m} + \mu^{2^m}L(a))^{2^{2m}}a^{2^s} + \mu^{2^{2m}+1}L(a)^{2^m+1}a^{2^{2m+s}+2^s} \\ &= a^{2^{2m+s}+2^s}L(a)\left(\mu L(a)^{2^{2m}} + \mu^{2^{2m}+1}L(a)^{2^m} + L(a)\right), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} A^{2^{2m}+1} + BD^{2^{2m}} &= L(a)^{2^{2m}+1}a^{2^{2m+s}+2^{m+s}} + (L(a)\mu^{2^m} + L(a)^{2^m})a^{2^{m+s}}\mu^{2^{2m}}L(a)a^{2^{2m+s}} \\ &= a^{2^{2m+s}+2^{m+s}}L(a)\left(L(a)^{2^{2m}} + \mu^{2^{2m}}L(a)^{2^m} + \mu^{2^{2m}+2^m}L(a)\right) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} A^{2^m+1} + B^{2^m}D &= L(a)^{2^m+1}a^{2^{2m+s}+2^s} + (L(a)\mu^{2^m} + L(a)^{2^m})^{2^m}a^{2^{2m+s}}\mu L(a)^{2^m}a^{2^s} \\ &= a^{2^{2m+s}+2^s}L(a)^{2^m}\left(\mu L(a)^{2^{2m}} + \mu^{2^{2m}+1}L(a)^{2^m} + L(a)\right). \end{aligned}$$

(iv) Plugging (13) and (14) into $U_2V_1^{2^s} + U_1V_2^{2^s} + U_3V_1^{2^s} + U_1V_3^{2^s}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &U_2V_1^{2^s} + U_1V_2^{2^s} + U_3V_1^{2^s} + U_1V_3^{2^s} \\ &= \Delta \left(a^{2^{m+s}}U^{2^m}(a^{2^{2m}}V^{2^{2m}})^{2^s} + a^{2^{2m+s}}U^{2^{2m}}(a^{2^m}V^{2^m})^{2^s} + a^{2^s}U(a^{2^{2m}}V^{2^{2m}})^{2^s} + a^{2^{2m+s}}U^{2^{2m}}(aV)^{2^s} \right) \\ &= a^{2^{2m+s}}\Delta \left(a^{2^{m+s}}U^{2^m}V^{2^{2m+s}} + a^{2^{m+s}}U^{2^{2m}}V^{2^{m+s}} + a^{2^s}UV^{2^{2m+s}} + a^{2^s}U^{2^{2m}}V^{2^s} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where $\Delta = v^{2^s+1}a^{2^{2m+2s+1}+2^{m+2s}+2^m}C^{2^{2m}}L(a)^{2^s}T^{2^s}$. Moreover, it is easy to check

$$a^{2^{2m+s}}U^{2^{2m}} + a^{2^{m+s}}U^{2^m} + a^{2^s}U = 0$$

and

$$a^{2^{2m}}V^{2^{2m}} + a^{2^m}V^{2^m} + aV = 0.$$

Thus we have

$$a^{2^{m+s}}U^{2^m}V^{2^{2m+s}} + a^{2^s}UV^{2^{2m+s}} = a^{2^{2m+s}}U^{2^{2m}}V^{2^{2m+s}}$$

and

$$a^{2^{m+s}}U^{2^{2m}}V^{2^{m+s}} + a^{2^s}U^{2^{2m}}V^{2^s} = a^{2^{2m+s}}U^{2^{2m}}V^{2^{2m+s}}.$$

Furthermore, we get

$$U_2V_1^{2^s} + U_1V_2^{2^s} + U_3V_1^{2^s} + U_1V_3^{2^s} = 0.$$

(v) By direct computations, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &U_2V_1^{2^s} + U_1V_2^{2^s} \\ &= \Gamma \left(a^{2^{m+s}}U^{2^m}(a^{2^{2m}}V^{2^{2m}})^{2^s} + a^{2^{2m+s}}U^{2^{2m}}(a^{2^m}V^{2^m})^{2^s} \right) \\ &= \Gamma a^{2^{2m+s}+2^{m+s}} \left(UV^{2^{m+s}} + U^{2^m}V^{2^s} \right)^{2^m}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\Gamma = v^{2^s+1}a^{2^{2m+2s+1}+2^{m+2s}+2^m}C^{2^{2m}}L(a)^{2^s}T^{2^s}$. Thus in order to prove $U_2V_1^{2^s} + U_1V_2^{2^s} \neq 0$, it suffices to show $UV^{2^{m+s}} + U^{2^m}V^{2^s} \neq 0$. If there exists some $a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{3m}}^*$ such that $UV^{2^{m+s}} + U^{2^m}V^{2^s} = 0$, then

$U = \gamma V^{2^s}$ for some $\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}^*$. In addition, since $U = V^{2^{2m}} + \mu V$, we obtain

$$V^{2^{2m}} + \mu V + \gamma V^{2^s} = 0.$$

Let $\epsilon \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ satisfy $\epsilon^{2^s-1} = \gamma$. Replacing V with ϵV in the above equation, we have $V^{2^{2m}} + \mu V + V^{2^s} = 0$, i.e.,

$$V^{2^{m+s}} + \mu^{2^m} V^{2^m} + V = 0,$$

which is impossible by Lemma 8 and the fact that L permutes $\mathbb{F}_{2^{3m}}$. \square

Now we give the proof of Theorem 6.

Proof. It suffices to show that for any $a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{3m}}^*$, the equation $f(az+a) + f(az) + f(a) = 0$ has exactly two solutions $z = 0, 1$ in $\mathbb{F}_{2^{3m}}$. More specifically, we need to show the equation

$$\begin{aligned} & (L(az) + L(a))^{2^{m+1}} + L(az)^{2^{m+1}} + L(a)^{2^{m+1}} + v(az+a)^{2^{m+1}} + v(az)^{2^{m+1}} + va^{2^{m+1}} \\ & = Az^{2^{2m+s}} + Bz^{2^{m+s}} + Cz^{2^m} + Dz^{2^s} + Ez = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

where A, B, C, D, E are defined as in (10), has exactly two solutions $z = 0, 1$. By Lemma 9 (i), we know $ABCDE \neq 0$ for any $a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{3m}}^*$. Raising (17) to its 2^m -th power and its 2^{2m} -th power, we have

$$A^{2^m} z^{2^s} + B^{2^m} z^{2^{2m+s}} + C^{2^m} z^{2^{2m}} + D^{2^m} z^{2^{m+s}} + E^{2^m} z^{2^m} = 0 \quad (18)$$

and

$$A^{2^{2m}} z^{2^{m+s}} + B^{2^{2m}} z^{2^s} + C^{2^{2m}} z + D^{2^{2m}} z^{2^{2m+s}} + E^{2^{2m}} z^{2^{2m}} = 0, \quad (19)$$

respectively. In the following, we will use the method of elimination twice and finally acquire two equations (21) and (22). After computing the summation of (17) multiplied by E^{2^m} and (18) multiplied by C and simplifying it, we get

$$(AE^{2^m} + B^{2^m}C)z^{2^{2m+s}} + C^{2^{m+1}}z^{2^{2m}} + (BE^{2^m} + CD^{2^m})z^{2^{m+s}} + (DE^{2^m} + A^{2^m}C)z^{2^s} + E^{2^{m+1}}z = 0. \quad (20)$$

Applying a similar operation on (19) and (20), we have

$$U_1 z^{2^{2m+s}} + U_2 z^{2^{m+s}} + U_3 z^{2^s} + U_4 z^{2^{2m}} = 0,$$

i.e.,

$$U_1 z^{2^{2m+s}} + U_2 z^{2^{m+s}} + U_3 z^{2^s} = 0, \quad (21)$$

where U_i with $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ are defined as in (11) and $U_4 = 0$ by Lemma 9 (iii). Similarly, by eliminating the terms $z^{2^{2m+s}}$ and $z^{2^{m+s}}$ from (17), (18) and (19), we obtain

$$V_1 z^{2^{2m}} + V_2 z^{2^m} + V_3 z + V_4 z^{2^s} = 0,$$

i.e.,

$$V_1^{2^s} z^{2^{m+s}} + V_2^{2^s} z^{2^{m+s}} + V_3^{2^s} z^{2^s} = 0, \quad (22)$$

where V_i with $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ are defined as in (12) and $V_4 = 0$ by Lemma 9 (iii).

Now the summation of (21) multiplied by $V_1^{2^s}$ and (22) multiplied by U_1 gives

$$(U_2 V_1^{2^s} + V_2^{2^s} U_1) z^{2^{m+s}} + (U_3 V_1^{2^s} + V_3^{2^s} U_1) z^{2^s} = 0,$$

i.e.,

$$(U_2 V_1^{2^s} + V_2^{2^s} U_1) (z^{2^{m+s}} + z^{2^s}) = 0$$

since $U_2 V_1^{2^s} + V_2^{2^s} U_1 + U_3 V_1^{2^s} + V_3^{2^s} U_1 = 0$ by Lemma 9 (iv). Moreover, by Lemma 9 (v), $U_2 V_1^{2^s} + V_2^{2^s} U_1 \neq 0$ and thus $z^{2^{m+s}} + z^{2^s} = 0$. In other words, $z \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}$. Plugging it into (17), we get

$$(C + E)(z^{2^s} + z) = 0.$$

By Lemma 9 (i), $C + E \neq 0$ and thus $z^{2^s} + z = 0$. Then $z \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{\gcd(m,s)}} = \mathbb{F}_2$.

In conclusion, the equation (17) has only two solutions $z = 0, 1$ in $\mathbb{F}_{2^{3m}}$ for any $a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{3m}}^*$ and then f is APN over $\mathbb{F}_{2^{3m}}$. \square

5. CONCLUSION AND FURTHER WORK

In this paper, we obtained two new infinite classes of APN functions over $\mathbb{F}_{2^{2m}}$ and $\mathbb{F}_{2^{3m}}$. The first one is with bivariate form. Moreover, we showed that our APN families are CCZ-inequivalent to all known infinite families of APN functions by their Γ -ranks over \mathbb{F}_{2^8} or \mathbb{F}_{2^9} . Furthermore, it is worth mentioning that one of our APN families covers an APN function over \mathbb{F}_{2^8} found by Edel and Pott in [17] using the switching method.

Notice that the newly found APN family over $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}^2$ belongs to the univariate form over \mathbb{F}_{q^2} with $q = 2^m$

$$f(z) = z^3 + Az^{3q} + Bz^{2q+1} + Cz^{q+2} + Dz^5 + Ez^{5q} + Fz^{4q+1} + Gz^{q+4} + Hz^{q+1} + Iz^{2(q+1)}.$$

Thus the next question is whether it is possible to obtain more new APN functions over \mathbb{F}_{q^2} from functions of the above form, or more generally,

$$\begin{aligned} f(z) &= z(Az^2 + Bz^4 + Cz^q + Dz^{2q} + Ez^{4q}) + z^2(Gz^4 + Hz^q + Iz^{2q} + Jz^{4q}) \\ &\quad + z^4(Kz^q + Lz^{2q} + Mz^{4q}) + z^q(Nz^{2q} + Pz^{4q}) + Qz^{6q}, \end{aligned}$$

which has been preliminarily discussed in [4]. Moreover, for the newly found APN family over $\mathbb{F}_{2^{3m}}$, there are two important problems worth studying: (1) We checked with a personal computer that for $m \leq 8$, there always exist elements μ and s such that $\mu^{2^{2m}+2^m+1} \neq 1$ and $L(z) = z^{2^{m+s}} + \mu z^{2^s} + z$ permutes $\mathbb{F}_{2^{3m}}$. Can a theoretical proof of the existence of such μ and s be given? (2) Study the CCZ-equivalence among the

APN functions $f(z) = (z^{2^{m+s}} + \mu z^{2^s} + z)^{2^m+1} + \nu z^{2^m+1}$ with different parameters μ, s, ν and determine a lower bound about the number of CCZ-inequivalent APN functions over $\mathbb{F}_{2^{3m}}$ of the above form.

REFERENCES

- [1] Eli Biham and Adi Shamir. Differential cryptanalysis of DES-like cryptosystems. *Journal of Cryptology*, 4(1):3–72, 1991.
- [2] Carl Bracken, Eimear Byrne, Nadya Markin, and Gary McGuire. A few more quadratic APN functions. *Cryptogr. Commun.*, 3(1):43–53, 2011.
- [3] Lilya Budaghyan, Marco Calderini, Claude Carlet, Robert S. Coulter, and Irene Villa. Constructing APN functions through isotopic shifts. *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, 66(8):5299–5309, 2020.
- [4] Lilya Budaghyan and Claude Carlet. Classes of quadratic APN trinomials and hexanomials and related structures. *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, 54(5):2354–2357, 2008.
- [5] Lilya Budaghyan, Claude Carlet, and Gregor Leander. Two classes of quadratic APN binomials inequivalent to power functions. *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, 54(9):4218–4229, 2008.
- [6] Lilya Budaghyan, Claude Carlet, and Gregor Leander. Constructing new APN functions from known ones. *Finite Fields Their Appl.*, 15(2):150–159, 2009.
- [7] Lilya Budaghyan, Claude Carlet, and Gregor Leander. On a construction of quadratic APN functions. In *2009 IEEE Information Theory Workshop*, pages 374–378, 2009.
- [8] Lilya Budaghyan, Tor Hellesest, and Nikolay Kaleyski. A new family of APN quadrinomials. *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, 66(11):7081–7087, 2020.
- [9] Marco Calderini, Lilya Budaghyan, and Claude Carlet. On known constructions of APN and AB functions and their relation to each other. *IACR Cryptol. ePrint Arch.*, 2020:1444, 2020.
- [10] Claude Carlet. Relating three nonlinearity parameters of vectorial functions and building APN functions from bent functions. *Des. Codes Cryptogr.*, 59(1-3):89–109, 2011.
- [11] Claude Carlet. *Boolean Functions for Cryptography and Coding Theory*. Cambridge University Press, 2020.
- [12] Benjamin Chase and Petr Lisoněk. Kim-type APN functions are affine equivalent to Gold functions. *CoRR*, abs/2009.05937, 2020.
- [13] John F. Dillon. APN polynomials and related codes. In *Polynomials over Finite Fields and Applications, Banff, Canada: Banff International Research Station, May 9-12, 1994, Proceedings*, 2006.
- [14] Hans Dobbertin. Almost perfect nonlinear power functions on $\text{GF}(2^n)$: The Niho case. *Inf. Comput.*, 151(1-2):57–72, 1999.
- [15] Hans Dobbertin. Almost perfect nonlinear power functions on $\text{GF}(2^n)$: The Welch case. *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, 45(4):1271–1275, 1999.
- [16] Hans Dobbertin. Almost perfect nonlinear power functions on $\text{GF}(2^n)$: a new case for n divisible by 5. In *Finite Fields and Applications*, pages 113–121. Springer, 2001.

- [17] Yves Edel and Alexander Pott. A new almost perfect nonlinear function which is not quadratic. *Adv. Math. Commun.*, 3(1):59–81, 2009.
- [18] Robert Gold. Maximal recursive sequences with 3-valued recursive cross-correlation functions (corresp.). *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, 14(1):154–156, 1968.
- [19] Faruk Göloğlu. Gold-hybrid functions. *Preprint*, 2020.
- [20] Tadao Kasami. The weight enumerators for several classes of subcodes of the 2nd order binary Reed-Muller codes. *Information and Control*, 18(4):369–394, 1971.
- [21] Kangquan Li, Chunlei Li, Tor Helleseth, and Longjiang Qu. A complete characterization of the APN property of a class of quadrimonomials. *CoRR*, abs/2007.03996, 2020.
- [22] Rudolf Lidl and Harald Niederreiter. *Finite Fields*. Cambridge University Press, 1997.
- [23] Kaisa Nyberg. Differentially uniform mappings for cryptography. In *Workshop on the Theory and Application of Cryptographic Techniques*, pages 55–64. Springer, 1993.
- [24] Alexander Pott, Enes Pasalic, Amela Muratovic-Ribic, and Samed Bajric. On the maximum number of bent components of vectorial functions. *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, 64(1):403–411, 2018.
- [25] Hiroaki Taniguchi. On some quadratic APN functions. *Des. Codes Cryptogr.*, 87(9):1973–1983, 2019.
- [26] Kenneth S Williams. Note on cubics over $\text{GF}(2^n)$ and $\text{GF}(3^n)$. *Journal of Number Theory*, 7(4):361–365, 1975.
- [27] Lijing Zheng, Haibin Kan, Yanjun Li, Jie Peng, and Deng Tang. Constructing new APN functions through relative trace functions. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2101.11535>, 2021.
- [28] Yue Zhou and Alexander Pott. A new family of semifields with 2 parameters. *Advances in Mathematics*, 234:43–60, 2013.