A SMOOTH COMPLEX RATIONAL AFFINE SURFACE WITH UNCOUNTABLY MANY REAL FORMS

ANNA BOT

Abstract. We exhibit a smooth complex rational affine surface with uncountably many real forms.

CONTENTS

1. INTRODUCTION

The study of real forms of a complex algebraic variety has seen substantial progress; the finiteness of isomorphism classes over $\mathbb R$ of real forms has been studied for example for abelian varieties [\[BS64\]](#page-12-1), projective algebraic surfaces of Kodaira dimension greater than or equal to one [\[DIK00,](#page-12-2) Appendix D], minimal projective algebraic surfaces [\[DIK00,](#page-12-2) Appendix D], Del Pezzo surfaces [\[Rus02\]](#page-12-3) and compact hyperkähler manifolds [\[CF19\]](#page-12-4). Lesieutre constructed in [\[Les18\]](#page-12-5) the first example of a complex projective variety with infinitely many nonisomorphic real forms, which was later extended by Dinh and Oguiso [\[DO19\]](#page-12-6) to any complex projective variety of dimension *d* greater or equal to two of Kodaira dimension *d*−2, and by Dinh, Oguiso and Yu [\[DOY20\]](#page-12-7) to the case of rational projective varieties of dimension greater or equal to three.

In the situation of rational affine varieties, Dubouloz, Freudenberg and Moser-Jauslin [\[DFMJ20\]](#page-12-8) constructed rational affine varieties of dimension greater or equal to four with at least countably infinitely many pairwise nonisomorphic real forms. To elucidate the situation for rational affine surfaces, we prove the following:

Theorem 1.1. *There exists a smooth complex rational affine surface with uncountably many pairwise nonisomorphic real forms.*

It is worth noting that the case of a projective rational surface is more elusive, and so far we were only able to prove in $[Bot21]$ that we can find for any positive integer r a smooth complex

²⁰²⁰ *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14J50, 14R05, 14J26, 14P05.

Key words and phrases. Rational surfaces, affine surfaces, real forms, real structures

The author acknowledges support by the Swiss National Science Foundation Grant "Geometrically ruled surfaces" 200020-192217.

2 ANNA BOT

projective rational surface with at least *r* pairwise nonisomorphic real forms. Whether there exists one with infinitely many pairwise nonisomorphic real forms, or if all smooth complex projective rational surfaces have finitely many, is, to the best of our knowledge, still an open question and the most intriguing remaining case, as posed for example by [\[DIK00,](#page-12-2) pages 232- 233], [\[Ben16a,](#page-12-10) Problem, page 1128], [\[DO19,](#page-12-6) page 943] or [\[DOY20,](#page-12-7) Question 1.5].

The rational affine surface of Theorem [1.1](#page-0-1) is a so-called *Gizatullin surface* (see Section [3\)](#page-2-0). The construction of this surface and the real forms in Theorem [1.1](#page-0-1) leans on [\[BD15\]](#page-12-11) by Blanc and Dubouloz, where it is proven to have a "huge" automorphism group. Note that real forms are in a one-to-one correspondence with real structures, so we may instead of real forms study real structures. The theory behind the correspondence will be sketched in Section [2](#page-1-0) and the set-up of the variety we look at will be discussed in Section [3.](#page-2-0) The real structures we choose will arise from some particular cases of reversions described in [\[BD15\]](#page-12-11), which we will give using explicit birational maps of \mathbb{P}^2 in Section [4.](#page-5-0) In Section [5,](#page-10-0) we describe the candidates for the inequivalent real structures and prove Theorem [1.1.](#page-0-1)

We fix $\mathbb C$ as the base field, and omit $\mathbb C$ from the notation where clear.

Acknowledgements. I would like to express my thanks to my PhD advisor Jérémy Blanc for introducing me to this topic and discussing it with me.

2. Real structures

A real form of a complex algebraic variety X is a real algebraic variety X_0 together with a $\mathbb{C}\text{-isomorphism } X_0 \times_{\text{Spec } \mathbb{R}} \text{Spec } \mathbb{C} \stackrel{\sim}{\to} X$. Instead of real forms, one may study real structures, as the two are closely linked. A real structure on a complex algebraic variety *X* is an anti-regular involution $\rho: X \to X$; by anti-regularity we mean that the diagram

$$
X \xrightarrow{\rho} X
$$

\n
$$
\downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow
$$

\n
$$
\text{Spec } \mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{z \mapsto \overline{z}} \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}
$$

commutes. If *X* and X' are isomorphic complex varieties, then a real structure ρ on X and a real structure ρ' on X' are equivalent if there exists an isomorphism $\psi: X \stackrel{\sim}{\to} X'$ such that $\rho' = \psi \rho \psi^{-1}$.

Along the lines of for example [\[Rus02,](#page-12-3) Prop. 1.1] or [\[Ben16b,](#page-12-12) Thm. 4.1], one can show that if *n* is even, there is, up to equivalence, only one real structure on \mathbb{P}^n , namely

$$
[z_0: \ldots :z_n] \mapsto [\overline{z_0}: \ldots : \overline{z_n}].
$$

If, however, $n = 2k + 1$ is odd, there are two, up to equivalence:

$$
[z_0 : \ldots : z_n] \mapsto [\overline{z_0} : \ldots : \overline{z_n}],
$$

$$
[z_0 : z_1 : \ldots : z_n] \mapsto [-\overline{z_1} : \overline{z_0} : \ldots : -\overline{z_{2k+1}} : \overline{z_{2k}}].
$$

Therefore, any real structure on \mathbb{P}^2 is equivalent to

$$
\widehat{\rho}: [z_0:z_1:z_2] \mapsto [\overline{z_0}:\overline{z_1}:\overline{z_2}]. \tag{2.1}
$$

It is much harder to determine the equivalence classes of real structures on affine *n*-space: On the affine line, there is only one equivalence class containing the complex conjugation, and due to Kambayashi [\[Kam75\]](#page-12-13), the real structures on \mathbb{A}^2 are all equivalent to $(x_1, x_2) \mapsto (\overline{x_1}, \overline{x_2})$, but already the equivalence classes of real structures on \mathbb{A}^3 are, to our knowledge, not yet classified.

Real structures and real forms correspond to one another closely: On the one hand, considering a real form X_0 with complex isomorphism $\varphi : X_0 \times_{\text{Spec } R} \text{Spec } \mathbb{C} \stackrel{\sim}{\to} X$, we can set $\rho := \varphi^{-1} \rho_0 \varphi$ for the real structure $\rho_0 := id \times \text{Spec}(z \mapsto \overline{z})$ on $X_0 \times_{\text{Spec } \mathbb{R}} \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}$. On the other hand, given a real structure ρ on a complex variety *X*, the variety $X_0 \coloneqq X/\langle \rho \rangle$ is a real form of *X*. In fact, there is an equivalence between the category of quasi-projective complex varieties with real structure (X, ρ) and the category of real quasi-projective algebraic varieties (see for example [\[Ben16b\]](#page-12-12), Chapter 3*.*1, for more details). Thus, knowing all real structures on a given complex variety is the same as knowing all real forms of it, and with the theorem below, we may instead of real forms study real structures:

Theorem 2.1 ([\[Ben16b,](#page-12-12) Thm. 3*.*17])**.** *Any two real forms of a complex quasi-projective variety X are* R*-isomorphic if and only if their associated real structures are equivalent.*

Since we will use the blow-up, we also need:

Proposition 2.2 ([\[Sil89,](#page-12-14) II.6.1]). Let Y be a complex projective surface and $\hat{\rho}$ a real structure *on Y . The blow-up* π : $X \rightarrow Y$ *in a real point of Y or in a pair of complex conjugated points of Y* allows one to give *X* a real structure ρ *in a natural way such that* π *is real, meaning* $\pi \rho = \hat{\rho} \pi$.

This implies that the real structure $\hat{\rho}$ in [\(2.1\)](#page-1-1) lifts to a real structure ρ on the blow-up of \mathbb{P}^2 in real points or in pairs of conjugates. All in all, the isomorphism classes of real forms of this blow-up is therefore in bijection with the equivalence classes of real structures on the blow-up. The aim is therefore to find suitable real structures.

3. The rational affine surface

The rational affine surface we propose is obtained by considering a *projective* rational surfaces with a boundary, such that the complement of the boundary is affine. For a fixed $\alpha \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{C}$ $\{0,1\}$, this projective rational surface X_{α} arises as successive blow-ups of \mathbb{P}^2 ; for notational convenience, any strict transform of a curve will be named identically to the curve.

First, in \mathbb{P}^2 , call *F* the line $z = 0$ and *L* the line $y = 0$. Blow up the point $[1:0:0]$ and call the exceptional curve above it *C*. Now, on this Hirzebruch surface \mathbb{F}_1 , blow up the three points on *L* corresponding to $[0:0:1]$, $[1:0:1]$ and $[\alpha:0:1]$. Denote the exceptional curve above $[0:0:1]$ by E_2 , the one above $[1:0:1]$ by E_1 and the one above $[\alpha:0:1]$ by E_α . Finally, blow up the two points on E_2 corresponding to the tangent directions $x = 0$ and $x - y = 0$, and call the exceptional curves above them A_1 and A_2 , respectively. The resulting rational projective surface will be called X_α . See Figure [1](#page-3-0) for more clarity on the construction. Denote the birational morphism $X_{\alpha} \to \mathbb{P}^2$ obtained by this sequence of six blow-ups by $\pi_{\alpha}: X_{\alpha} \to \mathbb{P}^2$.

Note that on X_{α} , the curves F, C, L and E_2 — drawn with thick lines in Figure [1](#page-3-0) — have self-intersection 0, -1 , -3 and -3 , respectively. As they successively only intersect in one point, the chain $B_\alpha \coloneqq F \triangleright C \triangleright L \triangleright E_2$ is called a zigzag, a term coined and studied extensively by Gizatullin and Danilov [\[Giz71,](#page-12-15) [GD75,](#page-12-16) [GD77\]](#page-12-17), or more recently by Flenner, Kaliman and Zaidenberg [\[FKZ08\]](#page-12-18), or Blanc and Dubouloz [\[BD15\]](#page-12-11). Using their terminology, the pair (X_α, B_α) is called a *standard pair of type* $(0, -1, -3, -3)$. Also, we will see in Lemma [3.3](#page-4-0) that $X_{\alpha} \setminus B_{\alpha}$ is an affine surface, and since $X_\alpha \setminus B_\alpha$ admits a completion by a chain of smooth rational curves,

FIGURE 1. Construction of the affine rational surface X_α .

namely the zigzag B_α described above, $X_\alpha \setminus B_\alpha$ is what is known as a *Gizatullin surface*, see [\[FKZ08\]](#page-12-18).

We say that two standard pairs of the form (X_{α}, B_{α}) , (X_{β}, B_{β}) are isomorphic if there exists an isomorphism $X_{\alpha} \stackrel{\sim}{\to} X_{\beta}$ that sends the zigzag B_{α} to B_{β} . If such an isomorphism of pairs exists, then β depends on α :

Lemma 3.1. *Two standard pairs* (X_{α}, B_{α}) *,* (X_{β}, B_{β}) *constructed above are isomorphic if and only if*

- (i) $\beta = \alpha$, in which case the automorphisms possible are the identity and the lift of the *map* $[x : y : z] \mapsto [x - y : -y : z]$ *, or*
- (ii) $\beta = \frac{1}{\alpha}$ $\frac{1}{\alpha}$, *in which case the isomorphisms occurring are the lifts of* $[x:y:z] \mapsto [x:y:\alpha z]$ $and [x:y:z] \mapsto [x-y:-y:\alpha z].$

Proof. Write $B_{\alpha} := F \triangleright C \triangleright L \triangleright E_2$ and $B_{\beta} := F' \triangleright C' \triangleright L' \triangleright E'_2$ for the zigzags of X_{α} and X_{β} . Any isomorphism of pairs $(X_\alpha, B_\alpha) \stackrel{\sim}{\to} (X_\beta, B_\beta)$ sends the zigzag B_α to B_β . As an isomorphism preserves the self-intersection, it must send F to F' , and C to C' . Furthermore, it sends the point $C \cap L$ to the point of intersection of C' with the next curve in B_β ; thus, an isomorphism must send *L* to *L'*, and consequently E_2 to E'_2 .

We claim that apart from *C*, *L*, E_{α} , E_1 , E_2 , A_1 and A_2 , there are no more irreducible curves of negative self-intersection. Indeed, suppose there exists a further irreducible curve of negative self-intersection. Then it is the strict transform of an irreducible curve in \mathbb{P}^2 of degree d passing through the points $[1 : 0 : 0]$, $[1 : 0 : 1]$, $[\alpha : 0 : 1]$ and $[0 : 0 : 1]$ with some multiplicities m_1, \ldots, m_4 , respectively, and with multiplicity m_5, m_6 at the points infinitely near to $[0:0:1]$ blown up by π_{α} . Note that $m_5 + m_6 \leq m_4$. As the curve on X_{α} is of self-intersection less or equal to -1 , we find $d^2 - \sum_{n=1}^{6}$ $\sum_{i=1}^{8} m_i^2 \leq -1$. Furthermore, the genus of the curve is nonnegative and given by $g = \frac{(d-1)(d-2)}{2} - \sum_{i=1}^{6}$ *i*=1 *mi*(*mi*−1) $\frac{n_i-1}{2}$, and the intersection of the curve with the line *L* gives us $d-\sum^4$ $\sum_{i=1}^{n} m_i = 0$. Then 6 6

$$
1 \le 2g + \left(\sum_{i=1}^{6} m_i^2 - d^2\right) = -3d + 2 + \sum_{i=1}^{6} m_i \le -2d + 2 + m_5 + m_6,
$$

which implies $2d \leq 1 + m_5 + m_6$. Since $m_5 + m_6 \leq m_4 \leq d$, we obtain $2d \leq d+1$, and thus $d \leq 1$. However, any such line would have to pass through at least two of the four points $[1:0:0], [1:0:1], [\alpha:0:1]$ and $[0:0:1]$, which implies that it is in fact the line *L*, which is not possible.

Thus, any isomorphism of pairs $(X_\alpha, B_\alpha) \stackrel{\sim}{\to} (X_\beta, B_\beta)$ does not only send the zigzag B_α onto the zigzag B_β , but also the pairs of (-1) -curves (E_1, E_α) and (A_1, A_2) onto (E_1, E_β) and (A'_1, A'_2) on X_{β} . So, for an isomorphism of pairs $(X_{\alpha}, B_{\alpha}) \stackrel{\sim}{\rightarrow} (X_{\beta}, B_{\beta})$ there exists an automorphism of \mathbb{P}^2 lifting to this isomorphism, which sends the line $z = 0$, the points $[1:0:0]$ and $[0:0:1]$ to $z = 0$, $[1:0:0]$ and $[0:0:1]$, respectively. Therefore, the automorphism on \mathbb{P}^2 is given by $[x:y:z] \mapsto [ax+by:cy:z]$, with $a, b, c \in \mathbb{C}$, $ac \neq 0$. Furthermore, E_1 and E_α are sent to E_1 and E_β — however, either assignment is possible. Similarly, A_1 and A_2 of X_α are sent to A'_1 and A'_{2} of X_{β} , where once more we cannot know in which order.

On \mathbb{P}^2 , the above implies that there are four cases to distinguish in order to determine a, b and *c*, namely by whether $x = 0$ and $x - y = 0$ are sent to themselves, respectively, or whether they are switched; and similarly by how the pairs $([\alpha:0:1],[1:0:1])$, $([\beta:0:1],[1:0:1])$ are mapped to one another.

Consider first the case where $x = 0$ and $x - y = 0$ are sent onto themselves, respectively. This implies $b = 0$ and $a = c$. If $\alpha : 0 : 1$ is mapped to $\beta : 0 : 1$ and $\beta : 0 : 1$ to itself, we will find $\beta = \alpha$ and the automorphism being the identity. If by contrast, $[\alpha : 0 : 1]$ is mapped to $[1:0:1]$, and $[1:0:1]$ to $[\beta:0:1]$, then $a\alpha = 1$ and $a = \beta$, which implies $\beta = a = \frac{1}{\alpha}$ $\frac{1}{\alpha}$, and thus the automorphism being $[x : y : z] \mapsto [x : y : \alpha z]$.

Now consider $x = 0$ and $x - y = 0$ being mapped to one another: from this, we deduce $ax + by = v_1(x - y)$ and $ax + (b - c)y = v_2x$ with $v_1, v_2 \in \mathbb{C}^\times$. Therefore, $b = c = -a$. Again, we first analyse the situation when $\alpha: 0:1$ is mapped to $\beta: 0:1$ and $\beta: 0:1$ to itself; then $a\alpha = \beta$ and $a = 1$, thus $\alpha = \beta$ and the map is $[x : y : z] \mapsto [x - y : -y : z]$. Lastly, if [α : 0 : 1] is mapped to [1 : 0 : 1], and [1 : 0 : 1] to [β : 0 : 1], then again $a\alpha = 1$ and $a = \beta$. This shows that $\beta = \frac{1}{\alpha}$ $\frac{1}{\alpha}$ and the map being $[x : y : z] \mapsto [x - y : -y : \alpha z].$

This finishes the proof of the lemma. \Box

Therefore, if we write $[(X_{\alpha}, B_{\alpha})]$ for the equivalence class of isomorphic pairs, there are precisely two isomorphic pairs in that equivalence class, namely (X_{α}, B_{α}) and $(X_{\frac{1}{\alpha}}, B_{\frac{1}{\alpha}})$, with isomorphisms given in Lemma [3.1.](#page-3-1)

Next, we prove that $X_\alpha \setminus B_\alpha$ is an affine surface. In order to do so, consider the following affine surface in \mathbb{A}^4 :

Definition 3.2. For all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, 1\}$, consider the affine surface $S_{\alpha, \beta} \subset \mathbb{A}^4 =$ $Spec(\mathbb{C}(x, y, u, v))$ given by the equations

$$
yu = x(x - 1)(x - \alpha),
$$

\n
$$
xv = u(u - 1)(u - \beta),
$$

\n
$$
yv = (x - 1)(x - \alpha)(u - 1)(u - \beta).
$$
\n(3.1)

Note that $S_{\alpha,\beta}$ and $S_{\beta,\alpha}$ are isomorphic via $(x, y, u, v) \mapsto (u, v, x, y)$.

Lemma 3.3. For all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, 1\}$, the morphism $\eta_{\alpha, \beta}: S_{\alpha, \beta} \to \mathbb{P}^2$, $(x, y, u, v) \mapsto [x : y : 1]$ *induces an isomorphism* $\pi_{\alpha}^{-1} \eta_{\alpha,\beta} : S_{\alpha,\beta} \overset{\sim}{\to} X_{\alpha} \setminus B_{\alpha}$.

Proof. We prove first that the restriction of $\eta_{\alpha,\beta}$ is an isomorphism $S_{\alpha,\beta} \setminus \{y=0\} \stackrel{\sim}{\to} \mathbb{P}^2 \setminus \{yz=0\}$ 0}. Note that the image of $S_{\alpha,\beta}$ under $\eta_{\alpha,\beta}$ lies in $\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus \{z=0\}$. Now, if $y \neq 0$, we find, using

$$
\qquad \qquad \Box
$$

6 ANNA BOT

(3.1), that
$$
u = \frac{x(x-1)(x-\alpha)}{y}
$$
 and $v = \frac{(x-1)(x-\alpha)(u-1)(u-\beta)}{y}$, and that for such u and v, we get
\n
$$
xv = x \frac{(x-1)(x-\alpha)(u-1)(u-\beta)}{y} = \frac{x(x-1)(x-\alpha)}{y}(u-1)(u-\beta) = u(u-1)(u-\beta).
$$

Therefore, given $y \neq 0$ and any $x \in \mathbb{C}$, we can find unique *u* and *v* such that $(x, y, u, v) \in S_{\alpha, \beta}$, showing the isomorphism between $S_{\alpha,\beta} \setminus \{y=0\}$ and $\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus \{yz=0\}.$

Since $\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus \{yz = 0\}$ is isomorphic to $X_\alpha \setminus (B_\alpha \cup E_1 \cup E_\alpha \cup A_1 \cup A_2)$, it remains to analyse what happens on $\{y = 0\}$ in S_α . If $y = 0$, we obtain $x \in \{0, 1, \alpha\}$ with the first equation of [\(3.1\)](#page-4-1). We consider the different cases: If $x = 0$, then $u \in \{1, \beta\}$ with the second and third equations of (3.1) , and $v \in \mathbb{C}$ is free. Thus, these are two curves isomorphic to \mathbb{A}^1 . Similarly, we analyse $x = 1$ and $x = \alpha$, for which we see that that $v = \frac{u(u-1)(u-\beta)}{r}$ $\frac{a}{x}$ and *u* is free in \mathbb{C} , and hence again, these are two curves isomorphic to \mathbb{A}^1 . Therefore, the zero locus of *y* on $S_{\alpha,\beta}$ is the disjoint union of four curves isomorphic to \mathbb{A}^1 .

One can check in local coordinates that these four curves correspond to $A_1 \setminus E_2$, $A_2 \setminus E_2$, $E_1 \setminus L$ and $E_\alpha \setminus L$ in $X_\alpha \setminus B_\alpha$.

4. Reversions

A *birational map of standard pairs* $(X_\alpha, B_\alpha) \dashrightarrow (X_\beta, B_\beta)$ is a birational map $X_\alpha \dashrightarrow X_\beta$ that restricts to an isomorphism of the affine surfaces $X_{\alpha} \setminus B_{\alpha} \stackrel{\sim}{\to} X_{\beta} \setminus B_{\beta}$. Such maps are either isomorphisms of pairs or may be decomposed into maps called fibered modifications and reversions, as stated in Proposition 1*.*2*.*4 of [\[BD15\]](#page-12-11), and proved in Theorem 3*.*0*.*2 and Lemma 3*.*2*.*4 of [\[BD11\]](#page-12-19):

Proposition 4.1 ([\[BD15\]](#page-12-11), [\[BD11\]](#page-12-19))**.**

- (i) *Any birational map of standard pairs is either an isomorphism of pairs or can be decomposed into a finite sequence* $\varphi_n \cdots \varphi_1$, where each φ_i is either a fibered modification *or a reversion; the length of the decomposition is n.*
- (ii) *If a birational map is not an isomorphism, then a decomposition as above of minimal length is unique up to isomorphisms of the intermediate pairs in the decomposition.*
- (iii) *A decomposition* $\varphi_n \cdots \varphi_1$ *of minimal length is reduced if for every* $i = 1, \ldots, n-1$ *, the induced birational map* $\varphi_{i+1}\varphi_i$ *is neither a reversion, nor a fibered modification nor an isomorphism.* A composition $\varphi_{i+1}\varphi_i$ is not reduced if and only if one of the following *holds:*
	- *(a)* φ_i *and* φ_{i+1} *are both fibered modifications, or*
	- *(b)* φ_i *and* φ_{i+1} *are both reversions, and* φ_i^{-1} *and* φ_{i+1} *have the same proper base points, or*
	- *(c)* φ_i *and* φ_{i+1} *are both reversions,* φ_i^{-1} *and* φ_{i+1} *do not have the same proper base points but each irreducible component of the respective zigzag has self-intersection greater or equal to* -2 *.*

In (a) , $\varphi_{i+1}\varphi_i$ *is either a fibered modification or an isomorphism of pairs, in* (b) , $\varphi_{i+1}\varphi_i$ *is an isomorphism of pairs, and in* (c) , $\varphi_{i+1}\varphi_i$ *is a reversion.*

Note that the last case [\(c\)](#page-5-3) can not appear in our construction, since B_α contains two curves of self-intersection −3. Also, we will not need to further understand the fibered modifications, as the real structures we will construct later are reversions.

We describe these reversions in detail for our surfaces X_α (see [\[BD15\]](#page-12-11), Definition 1.2.2, for the general case). Parametrise $F \setminus C$ by the unique isomorphism $\tau : \mathbb{A}^1 \to F \setminus C \subset X_\alpha$ such that for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{A}^1$, we have $\pi_{\alpha} \circ \tau(\lambda) = [\lambda : 1 : 0].$

- (i) Fix $\lambda \in F \setminus C$. Blow down the curve *C* and blow up the point λ . Call this map ψ_0 , the newly obtained exceptional curve E'_{2} , and the new zigzag $E'_{2} \triangleright F \triangleright L \triangleright E_{2}$, which is of type (−1*,* 0*,* −2*,* −3).
- (ii) The next map φ_1 is given by the blow-up of the intersection of E'_2 and F followed by the blow-down of *F*. Denote by $E'_2 \triangleright D \triangleright L \triangleright E_2$ the newly obtained zigzag of type $(-2, 0, -1, -3)$, where *D* is the new curve produced.
- (iii) Blow down *L* and blow up the intersection of E'_{2} and *D*; call this composition ψ_{1} , the new curve obtained *C*^{\prime}, and the resulting zigzag $E_2' \triangleright L' \triangleright D \triangleright E_2$ of type $(-3, -1, 0, -2)$.
- (iv) The subsequent map φ_2 is defined by the blow-up of $L' \cap D$ and the blow-down of *D*, resulting in a zigzag $E'_2 \triangleright L' \triangleright F' \triangleright E_2$ of type $(-3, -2, 0, -1)$.
- (v) Lastly, blow down E_2 and blow up the intersection of $L' \cap F'$ to obtain the zigzag $E'_{2} \triangleright L' \triangleright C' \triangleright F'$ of type $(-3, -3, -1, 0)$, where we called C' the exceptional curve above $L' \cap F'$. Denote this final map by ψ_2 .

The *reversion of* (X_α, B_α) *based at* $\lambda \in F \backslash C$ is thus the composition $\psi_2 \varphi_2 \psi_1 \varphi_1 \psi_0 : (X_\alpha, B_\alpha) \longrightarrow$ (Y, B') , where *B'* is the zigzag $F' \triangleright C' \triangleright L' \triangleright E'_2$ $F' \triangleright C' \triangleright L' \triangleright E'_2$. See Figure 2 for a pictorial description of a reversion.

Figure 2. Reversion.

We are only interested in a very specific reversion, which we describe in the following proposition. This reversion lends itself to this situation as it is an involution.

Proposition 4.2. *Fix* $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, \pm 1\}$. *Write* $\lambda(t) \coloneqq \frac{1}{1-t}$ *, set* $\varphi_{\alpha,\beta}$ *as the map*

$$
[x:y:z] \mapsto [-\frac{1}{\alpha\lambda(\beta)}(x-\lambda(\beta)y)(x-\lambda(\beta)y-z)(x-\lambda(\beta)y-\alpha z) : (x-\lambda(\beta)y)yz:yz^2], (4.1)
$$

and denote $\sigma : [x : y : z] \mapsto [y : x : z]$. Then the composition $r_{\alpha,\beta} := \pi_{\alpha}^{-1} \varphi_{\beta,\alpha}^{-1} \sigma \varphi_{\alpha,\beta} \pi_{\beta}$ is a *reversion* $(X_{\alpha}, B_{\alpha}) \dashrightarrow (X_{\beta}, B_{\beta})$ based at $\lambda(\beta)$, with inverse based at $\lambda(\alpha)$ *.*

Furthermore, if we take $\beta = \alpha$ *and write* $r_{\alpha} \coloneqq r_{\alpha,\alpha}$ *, then* $r_{\alpha}^2 = id$ *.*

Proof. First, we prove that $\varphi_{\alpha,\beta}$ is the blow-up of the points $[\lambda(\beta):1:0], [1:0:1], [\alpha:0:1],$ $[0:0:1]$ plus the point infinitely near to $[\lambda(\beta):1:0]$ corresponding to the tangent direction $z = 0$, followed by the blow-down of the strict transforms of the three lines through $[\lambda(\beta) : 1 : 0]$ and each of the $[1:0:1]$, $[\alpha:0:1]$, $[0:0:1]$, and the lines *F*, *L*.

Observe that $\varphi_{\alpha,\beta}$ is birational, as its inverse is given by

$$
[x:y:z]\mapsto [-\alpha\lambda(\beta)xyz+\lambda(\beta)y(y-z)(y-\alpha z):y(y-z)(y-\alpha z):-\alpha\lambda(\beta) x z^2].
$$

To find the base points of $\varphi_{\alpha,\beta}$, one can calculate directly that the points $[\lambda(\beta):1:0]$, $[1:0:1]$, $[\alpha:0:1], [0:0:1]$ are all proper base points, as they are the common solutions of the entries of $\varphi_{\alpha,\beta}$. Then, locally, we see that only $[\lambda(\beta) : 1 : 0]$ can have a base point infinitely near, and this one corresponds to the tangent direction $z = 0$. Denote the surface obtained after the blow-ups of the base points by $Y_{\alpha,\beta}$.

FIGURE 3. Decomposition of $\varphi_{\alpha,\beta}$ into blow-ups and blow-downs.

Also using the explicit form of $\varphi_{\alpha,\beta}$, we see that the lines $x - \lambda(\beta)y = 0$, $x - \lambda(\beta)y - z = 0$, $x - \lambda(\beta)y - \alpha z = 0$, $y = 0$ and $z = 0$ are contracted to the points $[0 : 0 : 1]$, $[0 : 1 : 1]$, $[0 : \alpha : 1]$, $[1 : 0 : 0]$ and the point infinitely near to $[1 : 0 : 0]$ corresponding to the direction $z = 0$, respectively. Call this sequence of contractions $\nu : Y_{\alpha,\beta} \to \mathbb{P}^2$. Furthermore, denote the lines $x - \lambda(\beta)y = 0$, $x - \lambda(\beta)y - z = 0$ and $x - \lambda(\beta)y - \alpha z = 0$ by B_0 , B_1 and B_2 , respectively. Thus, $\varphi_{\alpha,\beta}$ decomposes into blow-ups and blow-downs as claimed. See Figure [3](#page-7-0) for a visual description of the map $\varphi_{\alpha,\beta}$.

Denote the decomposition of ψ_0 by $\psi_0 = \tau_3^{-1}\mu$, compare with Figure [4.](#page-8-0) We observe that we can decompose the map π_α into first the blow-up τ_1 of the three points $[1:0:1]$, $[\alpha:0:1]$ and $[0:0:1]$, followed by the blow-up τ_2 of the points infinitely near to $[0:0:1]$ corresponding to *x* = 0 and *x* − *y* = 0, and lastly μ ; thus, $\pi_{\alpha} = \tau_1 \tau_2 \mu$. We also give names to the two morphisms resolving φ_1 , namely $\varphi_1 = \xi_2 \tau_4^{-1}$, compare also with Figure [4.](#page-8-0) Denote the surface obtained after the blow-up τ_4 by $Z_{\alpha,\beta}$; this is the surface that dominates φ_1 . Call ξ_1 the contraction of *L* on the target of φ_1 and $W_{\alpha,\beta}$ the resulting surface after this contraction, compare with Figure [4.](#page-8-0) Set $\chi := \varphi_{\alpha,\beta} \tau_1 \tau_2 \tau_3 \varphi_1^{-1} \xi_1^{-1} : W_{\alpha,\beta} \to \mathbb{P}^2$. We would like to prove that χ is a birational morphism contracting five curves.

As we have proven above, the birational morphism $Y_{\alpha,\beta} \to \mathbb{P}^2$ that blows up the five base points of $\varphi_{\alpha,\beta}$ can be decomposed into the blow-up τ_1 of the three points $[1:0:1]$, $[\alpha:0:1]$

FIGURE 4. The maps φ_1 and ψ_0 .

and $[0:0:1]$, followed by the blow-up ω_0 of two points corresponding to $[\lambda(\beta):0:1]$ and the point infinitely near to it in the direction of *F*. As the morphism $Z_{\alpha,\beta} \to \mathbb{P}^2$ is a sequence of blow-ups of these 5 points and two additional ones, corresponding to the points infinitely near of $[0:0:1]$ in the direction of $x - y = 0$ and $x = 0$, we have a birational morphism $\omega_1: Z_{\alpha,\beta} \to Y_{\alpha,\beta}$ that makes the diagram commutative (see Figure [5\)](#page-9-0), and corresponds to the contraction of the two blue curves of $Z_{\alpha,\beta}$, which we denoted by A_1 , A_2 , compare with Figure [1.](#page-3-0)

We now observe that the morphism ξ_2 contracts the (-1) -curve $F \subset Z_{\alpha,\beta}$, and that it is followed by the birational morphism ξ_1 that contracts *L*, which has self-intersection (−2) on *Z*_{α,β} and becomes a (−1)-curve after applying $ξ_2$. The morphism $Y_{\alpha,\beta}$ → \mathbb{P}^2 which is the blow up of the base points of the inverse of $\varphi_{\alpha,\beta}$ can be decomposed as the contraction ω_0' of *L* and *F* and the contraction χ_1 of the three curves B_0 , B_1 , B_2 , compare with Figure [3](#page-7-0) and Figure [5.](#page-9-0) The morphism $\omega_0' \omega_1$ then contracts *F*, *L* and the two blue curves A_1 , A_2 of $Z_{\alpha,\beta}$, and thus is equal to $\chi_2\xi_1\xi_2$, for some birational morphism χ_2 that contracts A_1 , A_2 , the two blue curves. We obtain that $\chi = \chi_1 \chi_2$ is a birational morphism, as desired (see Figure [5\)](#page-9-0).

Write $\psi_1 = \xi_1'^{-1}\xi_1$, where we introduced ξ_1 before, and ξ_1' i_1 is the blow-up of the intersection of E'_2 and *D*, compare also with Figure [2.](#page-6-0) If we swap α with β and consider $\varphi_2^{-1}\psi_2^{-1}$, we see that we can conduct the same analysis as before but with F' , C' , L' , E'_{2} , E'_{1} , E'_{β} , A'_{1} , A'_{2} , B'_{0} , *B*[′]₁, *B*[′]₂ instead. We obtain a morphism *χ*[′] : $W_{\alpha,\beta}$ → \mathbb{P}^2 with $\chi' = \varphi_{\beta,\alpha} \pi_{\beta} \psi_2 \varphi_2 \xi_1^{\prime -1}$. In Figure [6,](#page-10-1) the strict transforms of E'_{1} and E'_{β} are teal.

FIGURE 5. The maps $\varphi_1\psi_0$ and $\varphi_{\alpha,\beta}$.

We prove that both morphisms χ and χ' are given by the linear system $|D|$ and contract the same five curves, compare also with Figure [6.](#page-10-1) For this, we show that the curves B_1, B_2 in *W*_{α,β} are the only irreducible curves of self-intersection -1 intersecting E'_{2} but not E_{2} and not *D*; indeed, any other irreducible curve would blow-down via χ to an irreducible curve on \mathbb{P}^2 , which has to intersect *D*. Similarly, we see that A_1 , A_2 in $W_{\alpha,\beta}$ are the only irreducible curves of self-intersection -1 intersecting E_2 but not E'_2 and not D . Finally, B_0 is the only -1 curve intersecting both E_2 and E'_2 , and not intersecting D , and the five curves B_0 , B_1 , B_2 , A_1 , A_2 do not intersect. Thus, we see that the two morphisms χ and χ' are induced by the linear system |*D*|, and that $B_0 = B'_0$, $\{B_1, B_2\} = \{A'_1, A'_2\}$ and $\{A_1, A_2\} = \{B'_1, B'_2\}$. Hence, χ and χ' blow down the same curves, and we therefore obtain an automorphism $\sigma := \chi' \chi^{-1}$.

Since $B_0 = B'_0$, we find that $\sigma([0:0:1]) = [0:0:1]$. Furthermore, the intersection of E_2 and *D* gets mapped to $[1:0:0]$ by χ , and to $[0:1:0]$ by χ' , thus $\sigma([1:0:0]) = [0:1:0]$. Similarly, we find $\sigma([0:1:0]) = [0:0:1]$. Therefore, σ is of the form $[x:y:z] \mapsto [\mu_2 y : \mu_1 x : z]$, for $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}.$

We would like to determine the points to which χ blows down A_1 and A_2 , and similarly to which points χ' blows down A'_1 , A'_2 . For this, consider image of the blow-up of the point $[0:0:1]$ under $\varphi_{\alpha,\beta}$, given by $\mathbb{A}^2 \to \mathbb{P}^2$, $(u, v) \mapsto [uv:v:1]$, where $(u, v) = (0, 0)$ corresponds to the tangent direction $x = 0$ and $(u, v) = (1, 0)$ corresponds to the tangent direction $x - y = 0$. A direct calculation shows that $\{\chi(A_1), \chi(A_2)\} = \{[1 : 0 : 1], [\beta : 0 : 1]\},\$ and analogously $\{\chi'(A'_1), \chi'(A'_2)\} = \{[1:0:1], [\alpha:0:1]\},$ compare with Figure [7.](#page-10-2)

FIGURE 6. Analysis of the maps ψ_1 and σ .

We check the two possibilities: First, assume $\sigma([0:1:1]) = [\alpha:0:1]$ and $\sigma([0:\alpha:1]) =$ $[1:0:1]$. This implies $\alpha^2 = 1$, which cannot be since we excluded precisely such α . Thus $\sigma([0 : \alpha : 1]) = [\alpha : 0 : 1]$ and $\sigma([0 : 1 : 1]) = [1 : 0 : 1]$, which implies $\mu_1 = 1$. Similarly, we obtain $\mu_2 = 1$, and thus $\sigma : [x : y : z] \mapsto [y : x : z]$, as desired.

FIGURE 7. The automorphism σ .

To prove the second part of the proposition, observe that if we choose $\beta = \alpha$, we obtain

$$
r_{\alpha,\alpha}=\pi_\alpha^{-1}\varphi_{\alpha,\alpha}^{-1}\sigma\varphi_{\alpha,\alpha}\pi_\alpha,
$$

and therefore that $r_{\alpha} \coloneqq r_{\alpha,\alpha}$ is an involution, since σ is one.

5. Inequivalent real structures

Notation 5.1. Denote the reversion $r_{\alpha,\alpha}$ given in Proposition [4.2](#page-6-1) by r_{α} . Furthermore, if $\rho_{\alpha}: X_{\alpha} \to X_{\alpha}$ is the lift of the real structure given in [\(2.1\)](#page-1-1), we set $\overline{\varphi} := \rho_{\beta} \varphi \rho_{\alpha}$ for any birational map $\varphi: X_{\alpha} \to X_{\beta}$.

Lemma 5.2. *If* $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0, \pm 1\}$ *, then* $\rho_{\alpha} r_{\alpha}$ *is a real structure.*

Proof. Using Proposition [4.2,](#page-6-1) if α is real, then the reversion r_{α} is defined over \mathbb{R} , as $\lambda(t) = \frac{1}{1-t}$ lies in R if and only if *t* does. Hence, since ρ_{α} is anti-regular, $\rho_{\alpha}r_{\alpha}$ is also anti-regular.

Next, we want to show $(\rho_\alpha r_\alpha)^2 = id$. Since r_α is real, this is equivalent to showing $r_\alpha^2 = id$, which is the second statement of Proposition [4.2.](#page-6-1)

So for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0, \pm 1\}$, there exists a real structure $\rho_\alpha r_\alpha$ on X_α , and thus on the affine surface $X_{\alpha} \setminus B_{\alpha}$. We know that for any other (X_{β}, B_{β}) , the affine surface $X_{\alpha} \setminus B_{\alpha}$ is isomorphic

to $X_{\beta} \setminus B_{\beta}$ by Proposition [4.2,](#page-6-1) as any reversion from (X_{α}, B_{α}) to (X_{β}, B_{β}) is a birational map of pairs. Therefore, the only part still missing is proving that on two different surfaces, the real structures chosen are not equivalent.

Proposition 5.3. *Consider* $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0, \pm 1\}$ *with* $\beta \notin \{\alpha, \frac{1}{\alpha}\}$ *. Then* $\rho_{\alpha} r_{\alpha}$ *and* $\rho_{\beta} r_{\beta}$ *are inequivalent real structures.*

Proof. Suppose by contradiction that there exists some birational map of standard pairs φ : $(X_\alpha, B_\alpha) \dashrightarrow (X_\beta, B_\beta)$ such that $\varphi \rho_\alpha r_\alpha = \rho_\beta r_\beta \varphi$. Applying Lemma [3.1,](#page-3-1) the map φ cannot be an isomorphism of pairs, as we assumed $\beta \notin {\{\alpha, \frac{1}{\alpha}\}}$. Thus, φ has length at least one. By Proposition [4.1,](#page-5-4) we can decompose it into a reduced expression $\varphi = \varphi_n \cdots \varphi_1$, with $n \geq 1$ and where $\varphi_i: (X'_{i-1}, B'_{i-1}) \dashrightarrow (X'_{i}, B'_{i})$ is either a fibered modification or a reversion, between standard pairs defined over C. We can assume *n* to be minimal. This situation is as follows:

$$
(X_{\alpha},B_{\alpha})=(X'_{0},B'_{0})\xrightarrow{0}_{\alpha}\left(X'_{1},B'_{1}\right)\xrightarrow{0}_{\alpha}\cdots\xrightarrow{0}_{\alpha-1}\left(X'_{n-1},B'_{n-1}\right)\xrightarrow{0}_{\alpha}\left(X'_{n},B'_{n}\right)=(X_{\beta},B_{\beta})
$$

We embed each complex projective surface X'_{i} into a projective space \mathbb{P}^{n_i} , denote by $\rho_i \colon X'_{i} \to$ \overline{X}'_i the anti-regular involution $[z_0 : \cdots : z_{n_i}] \mapsto [\overline{z_0} : \cdots : \overline{z_{n_i}}]$, and write \overline{B}'_i \int_{i} as the image of B'_{i} . Hence, (\overline{X}'_i) i ['], \overline{B}'_i if is again a standard pair. For $i = 0$ and $i = n$, we get (\overline{X}_i^i) i, \overline{B}'_i $\binom{'}{i} = (X'_i, B'_i)$ and $\rho'_0 = \rho_\alpha, \ \rho'_n = \rho_\beta$. We obtain a commutative diagram

$$
(X_{\alpha}, B_{\alpha}) \xrightarrow{\equiv} (X'_{0}, B'_{0}) \xrightarrow{\varphi_{1}} (X'_{1}, B'_{1}) \xrightarrow{\varphi_{2}} \cdots \xrightarrow{\varphi_{n-1}} (X'_{n-1}, B'_{n-1}) \xrightarrow{\varphi_{n}} (X'_{n}, B'_{n}) \xrightarrow{\equiv} (X_{\beta}, B_{\beta})
$$
\n
$$
\downarrow \rho'_{\alpha} \qquad \qquad \downarrow \rho'_{1} \qquad \qquad \downarrow \rho'_{n-1} \qquad \qquad \downarrow \rho'_{n}
$$
\n
$$
(X_{\alpha}, B_{\alpha}) \xrightarrow{\equiv} (X'_{0}, B'_{0}) \xrightarrow{\overline{\varphi}_{1}} (\overline{X}'_{1}, \overline{B}'_{1}) \xrightarrow{\varphi_{2}} \cdots \xrightarrow{\overline{\varphi}_{n-1}} (\overline{X}'_{n-1}, \overline{B}'_{n-1}) \xrightarrow{\overline{\varphi}_{n}} (\overline{X}'_{n}, \overline{B}'_{n}) \xrightarrow{\equiv} (X_{\beta}, B_{\beta})
$$

for some fibered modifications and reversions $\overline{\varphi_i}$: (\overline{X}'_i) \overline{B}'_i _{*i*−1}, \overline{B}'_i $\binom{i}{i-1}$ -→ $\left(\overline{X}'_i\right)$ i ['], \overline{B}'_i i_j , where $i = 1, ..., n$. The equality $\varphi \rho_\alpha r_\alpha = \rho_\beta r_\beta \varphi$ may then be rewritten only with fibered modifications and reversions:

$$
\overline{\varphi_n} \cdots \overline{\varphi_1} \, r_\alpha \, \varphi_1^{-1} \cdots \varphi_n^{-1} = r_\beta.
$$

As the right hand side has length one and the left side at least three, we know by Proposition [4.1](#page-5-4) that the left hand side has a nonreduced composition. As $\varphi_{i+1}\varphi_i$ is reduced for $i=1,\ldots,n-1$, so are $\overline{\varphi}_{i+1}\overline{\varphi}_i$ and $\varphi_i^{-1}\varphi_{i+1}^{-1}$. Hence, either $\overline{\varphi_1}r_\alpha$ or $r_\alpha\varphi_1^{-1}$ is not reduced, which implies, by Proposition [4.1,](#page-5-4) that either $\overline{\varphi_1}r_\alpha$: $(X_\alpha, B_\alpha) \dashrightarrow (\overline{X}'_1)$ T_1, \overline{B}_1) or $r_\alpha \varphi_1^{-1}$: (X_1', B_1') -- \rightarrow (X_α, B_α) is an isomorphism of pairs.

As $(\rho_1'^{-1}\overline{\varphi_1}r_\alpha\rho_\alpha)^{-1} = (\varphi_1r_\alpha)^{-1} = r_\alpha^{-1}\varphi_1^{-1} = r_\alpha\varphi_1^{-1}$, the first case holds if and only if the second one holds. Write $\overline{\varphi_1}r_\alpha = \theta$, with θ an isomorphism of pairs. Then $\overline{\varphi_1}r_\alpha\varphi_1^{-1} = \theta r_\alpha\overline{\theta}^{-1}$, and thus we found a birational map of standard pairs $\varphi' \coloneqq \varphi_n \cdots \varphi_2 \overline{\theta}$ such that $\overline{\varphi'} \rho_\alpha r_\alpha (\varphi')^{-1} = r_\beta$ is of length $n-1$. This is a contradiction to the minimality of *n*.

We have all ingredients necessary to prove Theorem [1.1:](#page-0-1)

Proof of Theorem [1.1.](#page-0-1) By Lemma [3.3,](#page-4-0) $X_{\alpha} \setminus B_{\alpha}$ with α running over $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0, \pm 1\}$ are rational affine surfaces. They are isomorphic over \mathbb{C} , using the reversion between X_{α} and X_{β} given in Proposition [4.2,](#page-6-1) or using the isomorphisms $X_{\alpha} \setminus B_{\alpha} \stackrel{\sim}{\to} S_{\alpha,\beta} \stackrel{\sim}{\to} X_{\beta} \setminus B_{\beta}$ of Lemma [3.3.](#page-4-0) Take $\alpha, \beta \in (0,1)$ with $\alpha \neq \beta$. By Lemma [5.2,](#page-10-3) the compositions $\rho_{\alpha}r_{\alpha}$ and $\rho_{\beta}r_{\beta}$ are real structures, and since $\beta \neq \alpha, \frac{1}{\alpha}$, they are not equivalent by Proposition [5.3.](#page-11-0) There are thus uncountably many nonequivalent real structures on the rational affine surface $X_{\alpha} \backslash B_{\alpha}$, which by Theorem [2.1](#page-2-1)

implies that there are uncountably nonisomorphic many real forms on $X_{\alpha} \setminus B_{\alpha}$. This proves the theorem. \Box

REFERENCES

- [BD11] J. Blanc and A. Dubouloz. Automorphisms of A 1 -fibered affine surfaces. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 363(11):5887–5924, 2011. [4,](#page-5-0) [4.1](#page-5-4)
- [BD15] J. Blanc and A. Dubouloz. Affine surfaces with a huge group of automorphisms. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, (2):422–459, 2015. [1,](#page-0-1) [3,](#page-3-0) [4,](#page-5-0) [4.1,](#page-5-4) [4](#page-5-3)
- [Ben16a] M. Benzerga. Real structures on rational surfaces and automorphisms acting trivially on Picard groups. *Math. Z.*, 282(3-4):1127–1136, 2016. [1](#page-0-1)
- [Ben16b] M. Benzerga. *Structures réelles sur les surfaces rationnelles*. PhD thesis, Université d'Angers, Angers, 2016. [2,](#page-1-0) [2,](#page-1-1) [2.1](#page-2-1)
- [Bot21] A. Bot. Real forms on rational surfaces, 2021. [1](#page-0-1)
- [BS64] A. Borel and J.-P. Serre. Théorèmes de finitude en cohomologie galoisienne. *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 39:111–164, 1964. [1](#page-0-0)
- [CF19] A. Cattaneo and L. Fu. Finiteness of Klein actions and real structures on compact hyperkähler manifolds. *Math. Ann.*, 375(3-4):1783–1822, 2019. [1](#page-0-0)
- [DFMJ20] A. Dubouloz, G. Freudenburg, and L. Moser-Jauslin. Smooth rational affine varieties with infinitely many real forms. *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik*, 1(ahead-of-print), 2020. [1](#page-0-0)
- [DIK00] A. Degtyarev, I. Itenberg, and V. Kharlamov. *Real Enriques surfaces*, volume 1746 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2000. [1,](#page-0-0) [1](#page-0-1)
- [DO19] T.-C. Dinh and K. Oguiso. A surface with discrete and nonfinitely generated automorphism group. *Duke Math. J.*, 168(6):941–966, 2019. [1,](#page-0-0) [1](#page-0-1)
- [DOY20] T.-C. Dinh, K. Oguiso, and X. Yu. Projective rational manifolds with non-finitely generated discrete automorphism group and infinitely many real forms. *arXiv e-prints*, page arXiv:2002.04737, February 2020. [1,](#page-0-0) [1](#page-0-1)
- [FKZ08] H. Flenner, S. Kaliman, and M. Zaidenberg. Uniqueness of \mathbb{C}^* - and \mathbb{C}_+ -actions on Gizatullin surfaces. *Transform. Groups*, 13(2):305–354, 2008. [3](#page-3-0)
- [GD75] M. H. Gizatullin and V. I. Danilov. Automorphisms of affine surfaces. I. *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.*, 39(3):523–565, 703, 1975. [3](#page-3-0)
- [GD77] M. H. Gizatullin and V. I. Danilov. Automorphisms of affine surfaces. II. *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.*, 41(1):54–103, 231, 1977. [3](#page-3-0)
- [Giz71] M. H. Gizatullin. Quasihomogeneous affine surfaces. *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.*, 35:1047–1071, 1971. [3](#page-3-0)
- [Kam75] T. Kambayashi. On the absence of nontrivial separable forms of the affine plane. *J. Algebra*, 35:449– 456, 1975. [2](#page-1-1)
- [Les18] Lesieutre, J. A projective variety with discrete, non-finitely generated automorphism group. *Invent. Math.*, 212(1):189–211, 2018. [1](#page-0-0)
- [Rus02] F. Russo. The antibirational involutions of the plane and the classification of real del Pezzo surfaces. In *Algebraic geometry*, pages 289–312. de Gruyter, Berlin, 2002. [1,](#page-0-0) [2](#page-1-0)
- [Sil89] R. Silhol. *Real algebraic surfaces*, volume 1392 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1989. [2.2](#page-2-2)

Anna Bot (annakatharina.bot@unibas.ch),

Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, University of Basel, 4051 Basel, Switzerland