

K-homology and K-theory of pure Braid groups

Sara Azzali, Sarah L. Browne, Maria P. Gomez Aparicio,
Lauren C. Ruth, Hang Wang

May 18, 2021

Abstract

We produce an explicit description of the K-theory and K-homology of the pure braid group on n strands. We describe the Baum–Connes correspondence between the generators of the left- and right-hand sides for $n = 4$. Using functoriality of the assembly map and direct computations, we recover Oyono-Oyono’s result on the Baum–Connes conjecture for pure braid groups [OO01]. We also discuss the case of the full braid group B_3 .

1 Introduction

Given a locally compact group G , the Baum–Connes conjecture predicts a way of computing the K -theory of the reduced group C^* -algebra of G in terms of the equivariant K -homology of $\underline{E}G$, the classifying space for proper actions of G . More precisely, the conjecture, as formulated by Baum, Connes and Higson in [BCH94], states that the assembly map

$$\mu_i: K_i^G(\underline{E}G) \rightarrow K_i(C_r^*(G))$$

for $i = 0, 1$, is a group isomorphism for all locally compact groups.

The Baum–Connes conjecture has been proven for large classes of groups, including all semi-simple Lie groups and all groups satisfying Haagerup’s property ([Laf02], [HK01]). Many of the proofs are based on methods that use heavy machinery, such as the Dirac-dual Dirac method, introduced by Kasparov in the case of connected Lie groups and further developed by Higson and Kasparov in [HK01] to prove the conjecture for groups having Haagerup’s property.

In the case of semi-simple Lie groups, a first proof was given by Wassermann ([Was87]). This proof was based on the idea of giving a complete description of both sides of the assembly map and then proving explicitly that the correspondence was an isomorphism of groups. Indeed, the description of the K -theory of the reduced C^* -algebra of a semi-simple group can be made using the exhaustive work of Harish-Chandra on the classification of their reduced representations. For discrete groups, as no such classification exists, other approaches were needed and led to the development of very powerful techniques. For an account of the history of the conjecture and

the recent developments, we refer to the survey [GAJV19] and the references therein, as well as to the books [Val02, MV03].

In this paper, we study the Baum–Connes correspondence for the pure braid group on n strands. The conjecture for those groups is known to be true by the work of Oyono–Oyono [OO01].

Our paper fits into the context of the work of Isley [Isl11] followed by the works of Flores, Pooya and Valette [FPV17, PV18, Poo19], in which explicit computations of the Baum–Connes correspondence are given for certain discrete groups. We believe that these explicit computations contribute to a deeper understanding of the Baum–Connes correspondence.

It is important to mention that the conjecture also holds for full braid groups by the work of Schick ([Sch07]) using permanence properties of the conjecture shown by Chabert–Echterhoff in [CE01]. The conjecture holds in its strong form, with coefficients. Moreover, full braid groups have property RD (see for example [Cha17]). Explicit computations for full braid groups are more difficult, though, and other methods have to be used.

Therefore, the aim of this work is to compute the K -theory and K -homology arising in the Baum–Connes assembly map explicitly for the pure braid group on n strands and then to understand the correspondence of the generators under this map. The case when $n = 4$ is worked out explicitly as a typical example. In this case, the classifying space BP_4 can be given a model of the form $S^1 \times X$, where X is a 2-dimensional CW-complex. We can then apply a lemma from [MV03] that relates K -homology of X to its integer singular homology, leading us to the following result:

Theorem 1.1. *For the pure braid group P_4 the K -homology of EP_4 is*

$$K_0^{P_4}(EP_4) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^{12} \quad \text{and} \quad K_1^{P_4}(EP_4) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^{12}.$$

Matthey proved that the K -homology of a CW-complex of dimension ≤ 3 is isomorphic to its integral homology [Mat02]; but for higher number of strands, the classifying space of P_n admits a model of dimension $n - 1$, so that one cannot apply Matthey’s results to BP_n when $n \geq 5$.

In the general case, we proceed as follows. First we deduce the K -homology group up to torsion by means of existing results on the group homology of P_n . After that, we use an Atiyah–Hirzebruch spectral sequence to remove the torsion. We are then able to extend our first result to pure braid groups on n strands:

Theorem 1.2. *For the pure braid group P_n we have*

$$K_0^{P_n}(EP_n) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^{\frac{n!}{2}} \quad \text{and} \quad K_1^{P_n}(EP_n) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^{\frac{n!}{2}}.$$

For the right-hand side of the Baum–Connes correspondence, we use the Pimsner–Voiculescu six-term exact sequence in [MP80] and [PV82] to show the following:

Theorem 1.3. *For the pure braid group P_n we have*

$$K_0(C_r^*(P_n)) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^{\frac{n!}{2}} \quad \text{and} \quad K_1(C_r^*(P_n)) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^{\frac{n!}{2}}.$$

We explicitly describe the map on each of the generators in the case of P_4 . Next, using functoriality of the Baum–Connes assembly map, together with explicit computations, we recover Oyono-Oyono’s results for pure braid groups:

Theorem 1.4. *The Baum–Connes assembly map $\mu : K_i(BP_n) \rightarrow K_i(C_r^*(P_n))$ for the pure braid group P_n is an isomorphism.*

All our computations can be carried out explicitly, thanks to the iterated semidirect product structure of pure braid groups:

$$P_n = F_{n-1} \rtimes F_{n-1} \rtimes \cdots \rtimes F_1.$$

This also indicates that the rank of the K -groups grows as n increases.

The techniques we use for pure braid groups do not apply to full braid groups. Although the extension

$$1 \rightarrow P_n \rightarrow B_n \rightarrow S_n \rightarrow 1$$

implies that the braid group B_n contains the pure braid group P_n as a normal subgroup, its K -groups have fewer generators than the K -groups for P_n . In fact, using an existing result on the group homology of B_n , one knows that, up to torsion, both the even and odd K -homology groups for BB_n are \mathbb{Z} . Then the Baum–Connes conjecture says that, up to torsion, the K -theory of the reduced C^* -algebra of B_n is \mathbb{Z} as well. When $n = 3$, B_3 has the special structure of a free amalgamated product, which allows us to perform a direct calculation:

$$K_0(C_r^*(B_3)) = K_1(C_r^*(B_3)) \simeq \mathbb{Z}.$$

For $n = 4$ the K -theory of $C_r^*(B_4)$ is explicitly computed in the recent paper by Li, Omland, and Spielberg ([LOS21]). To our knowledge, the problem of directly computing K -theory for the full braid group C^* -algebra remains open.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we recall the structure and properties of braid and pure braid groups (in the appendix we give some of the corresponding diagrams that illustrate the structure of this groups). In Section 3 we describe the classifying space for P_4 explicitly, compute its K -homology and generalize to the case of P_n . In Section 4 we apply the Pimsner–Voiculescu six-term exact sequence to calculate the K -theory for the reduced group C^* -algebras for P_n with $n = 4$ as a typical example. In Section 5 we describe the Baum–Connes assembly map on each generator for P_4 and show that the map is an isomorphism for all n . In Section 6 we compute the example for B_3 on both sides of the assembly map and show that the map is an isomorphism.

Acknowledgments

We thank Alain Valette for the suggestion to examine K -theory and K -homology of pure braid groups. We thank the organisers of the Women in Operator Algebras Conference that took place at BIRS where this project started. HW acknowledges the support from Shanghai Rising-Star Program 19QA1403200 and NSFC-11801178. MGA was partially supported by ANR project Singstar.


2 Structure of braid and pure braid groups

Throughout the paper we will denote by $F_n(x_1 \dots x_n)$ the free group generated by x_1, \dots, x_n . Let us recall the definition and some properties of braid groups. We refer to [Bir74].

The Artin Braid Group on n letters, denoted by B_n , is a finitely-generated group with generators $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_{n-1}$ that satisfy the following relations:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_j \sigma_i &= \sigma_i \sigma_j & |i - j| > 1, \quad i, j \in \{1, \dots, n-1\} \\ \sigma_i \sigma_{i+1} \sigma_i &= \sigma_{i+1} \sigma_i \sigma_{i+1} & i \in \{1, \dots, n-2\} \end{aligned}$$

It can also be described as the group of equivalence classes of all braids on n strands. The generators are illustrated here for B_4 .



In this framework, composition of two elements is visualized as the concatenation of the corresponding braid pictures. The identity is represented visually by four straight lines.

Denote by S_n the symmetric group consisting of all permutations of n elements. The map

$$\begin{aligned} p : B_n &\rightarrow S_n \\ \sigma_i &\mapsto (i, i+1) \end{aligned}$$

is a group homomorphism, and the *pure braid group on n -strands* is by definition the kernel of p ; one denotes it by P_n . It is the subgroup of B_n where all strands start and end in the same order.

The following presentation of P_n is due to Artin (see [Bir74] Lemma 1.8.2.) We are conjugating in the reverse order of [Bir74], for the sake of compatibility with the diagrams in the appendix, so our presentations appears slightly different than the presentation in [Bir74].)

The generators if P_n are given in terms of the generators of B_n by

$$A_{ij} = \sigma_{j-1}\sigma_{j-2}\dots\sigma_{i+1}\sigma_i^2\sigma_{i+1}^{-1}\dots\sigma_{j-1}^{-1} \quad 1 \leq i < j \leq n$$

and they satisfy the relations

$$A_{rs}A_{ij}A_{rs}^{-1} = \begin{cases} A_{ij} & r < s < i < j, \quad i < r < s < j \\ A_{sj}^{-1}A_{ij}A_{sj} & r = i \\ (A_{rj}A_{ij})^{-1}A_{ij}(A_{rj}A_{ij}) & s = i \\ (A_{rj}A_{sj})^{-1}(A_{sj}A_{rj})A_{ij}(A_{sj}A_{rj})^{-1}(A_{rj}^{-1}A_{sj}) & r < i < s < j \end{cases}$$

Forgetting the n^{th} strand gives a surjective map $f : P_n \rightarrow P_{n-1}$ whose kernel is isomorphic to the free group on $n - 1$ generators. Therefore we have a short exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow F_{n-1} \rightarrow P_n \rightarrow P_{n-1} \rightarrow 1$$

and this short exact sequence is split, because we can always add a strand to a braid in P_{n-1} .

Denote the generators of F_{n-1} by A_{in} , $i = 1, \dots, n - 1$. These generators are given in terms of the generators of B_n by

$$A_{in} = \sigma_{n-1}\sigma_{n-2}\dots\sigma_{i+1}\sigma_i^2\sigma_{i+1}^{-1}\dots\sigma_{n-2}\sigma_{n-1}.$$

The split exact sequence above leads to the semidirect product decomposition

$$P_n \simeq F_{n-1} \rtimes_{\varphi} P_{n-1}$$

where the action φ of P_{n-1} on F_{n-1} is given by the map

$$\varphi : P_{n-1} \rightarrow \text{Aut}(F_{n-1})$$

defined by

$$\varphi(A_{rs})(A_{in}) = \begin{cases} A_{in} & r < s < i < n, \quad i < r < s < n \\ A_{sn}^{-1}A_{in}A_{sn} & r = i \\ (A_{rn}A_{in})^{-1}A_{in}(A_{rn}A_{in}) & s = i \\ (A_{rn}A_{sn})^{-1}(A_{sn}A_{rn})A_{in}(A_{sn}A_{rn})^{-1}(A_{rn}^{-1}A_{sn}) & r < i < s < n \end{cases}$$

Following this notation, we have that $P_n = F_{n-1}(A_{(n-1)n}, A_{(n-2)n}, \dots, A_{2n}, A_{1n}) \rtimes_{\varphi} P_{n-1}$.

Therefore the pure braid group can be realized as the iterated semidirect product of free groups:

$$P_n \simeq F_{n-1} \rtimes_{\varphi} P_{n-1} \simeq F_{n-1} \rtimes F_{n-2} \rtimes \dots \rtimes F_1.$$

The center of B_n is generated by

$$(\sigma_1\sigma_2\cdots\sigma_{n-1})^n$$

which can be expressed in terms of elements of P_n by

$$(A_{12})(A_{13}A_{23})\cdots(A_{1n}A_{2n}\cdots A_{(n-1)n}).$$

(This is illustrated in the appendix in the case $n = 4$.)

For $n = 3$, the generators of P_3 are

$$A_{13} = \sigma_1\sigma_2^2\sigma_1^{-1}, \quad A_{23} = \sigma_2^2, \quad A_{12} = \sigma_1^2$$

Let $\alpha_2 = A_{13}$, $\alpha_1 = A_{23}$ and $\sigma_1^2 = A_{12}$. Then P_3 has the presentation

$$P_3 = \langle \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \sigma_1^2 \mid \sigma_1^{-2}\alpha_1\sigma_1^2 = (\alpha_2\alpha_1)\alpha_1(\alpha_2\alpha_1)^{-1}, \quad \sigma_1^{-2}\alpha_2\sigma_1^2 = \alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_1^{-1} \rangle,$$

whence

$$P_3 \simeq F_2(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \rtimes \langle \sigma_1^2 \rangle.$$

P_3 is isomorphic to the semi-direct product of the free group generated by α_1 and α_2 and the group generated by σ_1^2 , where the action of σ_1^2 on $F(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$ is given by conjugation.

If $c = \sigma_1^2\alpha_1\alpha_2$, we can check that $\alpha_1c = c\alpha_1$ and $\alpha_2c = c\alpha_2$ so that

$$P_3 = F(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \times \langle c \rangle.$$

For $n = 4$, to simplify notation in the rest of the paper, we will denote the generators of P_4 as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_1^2 &= A_{12} & \beta_1 &= A_{34} = \sigma_3^2 \\ \alpha_1 &= A_{23} = \sigma_2^2 & \beta_2 &= A_{24} = \sigma_3\sigma_2^2\sigma_3^{-1} \\ \alpha_2 &= A_{13} = \sigma_2\sigma_1^2\sigma_2^{-1} & \beta_3 &= A_{14} = \sigma_3\sigma_2\sigma_1^2\sigma_2^{-1}\sigma_3^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

From the relations, and from the diagrams in the appendix, we have







$$P_4 \simeq F_3(\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3) \rtimes (F_2(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \times F(\sigma_1^2))$$

where the actions are given in the following remark.

Remark 2.1. In this paper, we will need to split off the center of P_4 in order to realize P_4 as the direct product of its center and a semidirect product of free groups. The center of P_4 is generated by $c = (\sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_3)^4 = \sigma_1^2\alpha_1\alpha_2\beta_1\beta_2\beta_3$, as illustrated in the appendix, and we have

$$P_4 \simeq (F(\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3) \rtimes F(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)) \times \langle c \rangle$$

where



1. $\alpha_1 \beta_1 \alpha_1^{-1} = (\beta_2 \beta_1)^{-1} \beta_1 (\beta_2 \beta_1)$
2. $\alpha_1 \beta_2 \alpha_1^{-1} = \beta_1^{-1} \beta_2 \beta_1$
3. $\alpha_1 \beta_3 \alpha_1^{-1} = \beta_3$
4. $\alpha_2 \beta_1 \alpha_2^{-1} = (\beta_3 \beta_1)^{-1} \beta_1 (\beta_3 \beta_1)$
5. $\alpha_2 \beta_2 \alpha_2^{-1} = (\beta_3 \beta_1)^{-1} \beta_1 \beta_3 \beta_2 (\beta_1 \beta_3)^{-1} (\beta_3 \beta_1)$
6. $\alpha_2 \beta_3 \alpha_2^{-1} = \beta_1^{-1} \beta_3 \beta_1$
7. $\sigma_1^2 \beta_1 \sigma_1^{-2} = \beta_1$
8. $\sigma_1^2 \beta_2 \sigma_1^{-2} = (\beta_3 \beta_2)^{-1} \beta_2 (\beta_3 \beta_2)$
9. $\sigma_1^2 \beta_3 \sigma_1^{-2} = \beta_2^{-1} \beta_3 \beta_2$
10. $\sigma_1^2 \alpha_1 \sigma_1^{-2} = (\alpha_2 \alpha_1)^{-1} \alpha_1 (\alpha_2 \alpha_1)$
11. $\sigma_1^2 \alpha_2 \sigma_1^{-2} = \alpha_1^{-1} \alpha_2 \alpha_1$

3 Classifying space and K -homology for P_n

In this section, we deal with the compactly supported Γ -equivariant K -homology of $\underline{E}\Gamma$, the space classifying Γ -proper actions for $\Gamma = P_n$.

As P_n is a discrete torsion-free group, $\underline{E}P_n$ coincides with EP_n , the universal cover of the classifying space BP_n , and $K_*^{P_n}(EP_n) \simeq K_*(BP_n)$.

We start with the case $n = 4$. We will give a model for BP_4 and compute its K -homology explicitly.

3.1 A model for BP_4

Let us give a model for BP_4 . Recall that

$$P_4 \simeq (F(\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3) \rtimes F(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)) \times \langle c \rangle,$$

the center of P_4 is generated by $c = (\sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_3)^4$, and the generators $\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3, \alpha_1, \alpha_2$ are subject to 6 relations:

$$\begin{aligned}
R1. \alpha_1\beta_1\alpha_1^{-1} &= (\beta_2\beta_1)^{-1}\beta_1(\beta_2\beta_1) & R4. \alpha_2\beta_1\alpha_2^{-1} &= (\beta_3\beta_1)^{-1}\beta_1(\beta_3\beta_1) \\
R2. \alpha_1\beta_2\alpha_1^{-1} &= \beta_1^{-1}\beta_2\beta_1 & R5. \alpha_2\beta_2\alpha_2^{-1} &= (\beta_3\beta_1)^{-1}(\beta_1\beta_3)\beta_2(\beta_1\beta_3)^{-1}(\beta_3\beta_1) \\
R3. \alpha_1\beta_3\alpha_1^{-1} &= \beta_3 & R6. \alpha_2\beta_3\alpha_2^{-1} &= \beta_1^{-1}\beta_3\beta_1
\end{aligned}$$

Conjugating the relation R5 by the last relation R6 we obtain:

$$R5'. \alpha_2(\beta_3\beta_2\beta_3^{-1})\alpha_2^{-1} = \beta_3\beta_2\beta_3^{-1}.$$

We may replace relation R5 by R5' without changing the presentation of the group. Then we find the pairs of relations R1 & R4, R2 & R6, R3 & R5' are of the same type.

Let X be the 2-CW complex associated to the group $F_3 \rtimes F_2$. That is, X consists of 1 0-cell p , 5 1-cells attached as loops on p , and 6 2-cells whose boundaries are given by the relations. Then $\pi_1(X) = F_3 \rtimes F_2$. Denote by \tilde{X} the universal cover of X . We want to show

Proposition 3.1. *The 2-CW complex X is a model for $B(F_3 \rtimes F_2)$.*

Proof. We first construct \tilde{X} and then show it is contractible (Lemma 3.3).

Step 1: We start from the 1-skeleton of X , denoted by $X^{(1)}$. This is a bouquet of 5 circles, and its universal covering $\tilde{X}^{(1)}$ is a tree, the Cayley graph of the free product $F_2(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) * F_3(\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3)$. The group $F_3 * F_2$ acts freely on $\tilde{X}^{(1)}$.

As the group $F_3 \rtimes F_2$ is the quotient of $F_2 * F_3$ by the six relations stated above,

$$F_3 \rtimes F_2 \simeq (F_3 * F_2) / \langle R1, R2, R3, R4, R5', R6 \rangle,$$

we shall modify $\tilde{X}^{(1)}$ so that relations act trivially. This is done in Step 2 by gluing 2-cells and by identification of branches.

Step 2: Note that every point in the Cayley graph $\tilde{X}^{(1)}$ is generic. Choose an arbitrary vertex $P \in \tilde{X}^{(1)}$ and define $Q := \alpha_1(P)$ and $R := \alpha_2(P)$ in $\tilde{X}^{(1)}$. The six relations R1, R2, R3, R4, R5', R6 require that the pair of points on both side of the following equations have to be identified:

$$\begin{aligned}
a) \alpha_1(\beta_2P) &= \beta_1^{-1}\beta_2\beta_1Q & d) \alpha_2(\beta_1P) &= \beta_1^{-1}\beta_3^{-1}\beta_1\beta_3\beta_1R \\
b) \alpha_1(\beta_1Q) &= \beta_1^{-1}\beta_2^{-1}\beta_1\beta_2\beta_1Q & e) \alpha_2(\beta_3\beta_2\beta_3^{-1}P) &= \beta_3\beta_2\beta_3^{-1}R \\
c) \alpha_1(\beta_3P) &= \beta_3Q & f) \alpha_2(\beta_3P) &= \beta_1^{-1}\beta_3\beta_1R
\end{aligned}$$

Attach 2-cells given by the six relations and identify the branches at the vertices being glued in the 2-cells. Performing this process for each vertex in $\tilde{X}^{(1)}$, we then obtain a 2-dimensional CW-complex, denoted \tilde{X}_0 .




Figure 1: 2 cell associated to R1




Figure 2: Identify branches over two identified points

Lemma 3.2. *The space \tilde{X}_0 constructed in Step 1 and Step 2 is the universal cover \tilde{X} of X .*

Proof. Let us go through the process for R1, as an example. Starting from P and following the expression $\alpha_1(\beta_2 P)$, we obtain the vertices P , $\beta_2 P$, and $\alpha_1(\beta_2 P)$; and starting from $Q = \alpha_1(P)$ and following the expression $\beta_1^{-1} \beta_2 \beta_1 Q$, we obtain vertices Q , $\beta_1 Q$, $\beta_2 \beta_1 Q$ and $\beta_1^{-1} \beta_2 \beta_1 Q$. So, by tracing out each letter in R1, one obtains a hexagon with 6 vertices. Fill in the interior of the hexagon with a 2-cell. See Figure 1.

Because the two points $\alpha_1(\beta_2 P)$ and $\beta_1^{-1} \beta_2 \beta_1 Q$ are identified in the hexagon, the branches rooted over the two points will be identified under the group action. See Figure 2 for an illustration.

In the left hand side of the Figure 3, the hexagon associated to R1 is the green hexagon relative to other relevant vertices in the space. See Figures 3, 4 and 5 for the typical 2-cell associated to each of the relations.

After the gluing of 2-cells on $\tilde{X}^{(1)}$ and identifications of branches, one can check that $F_3 \rtimes F_2$




Figure 3: 2-cells for Relations 1 and 2




Figure 4: 2-cells for Relations 3 and 4




Figure 5: 2-cells for Relations 5 and 6

acts freely on \tilde{X}_0 with quotient X . In fact, let $g \in F_3 \times F_2$ and suppose $gx = x$ for some vertex x in \tilde{X}_0 . Assume $g \neq e$. Then there exists $\tilde{g} \in F_3 * F_2$ such that $\pi(\tilde{g}) = g$, where $\pi : F_3 * F_2 \rightarrow F_3 \times F_2$. Let $\tilde{x} \in \tilde{X}^{(1)}$ such that $\pi(\tilde{x}) = x$. Because \tilde{g} is not the identity in $F_3 * F_2$ and $F_3 * F_2$ acts freely on $\tilde{X}^{(1)}$, we have $\tilde{g}\tilde{x} \neq \tilde{x}$. By definition, $\tilde{g}\tilde{x}$ and \tilde{x} are identified with the same point x in \tilde{X}_0 . So $\tilde{g}\tilde{x}$ and \tilde{x} can be connected by relations R1, R2, R3, R4, R5', R6. So \tilde{g} can be expressed as $R_{i_1} \cdots R_{i_k}$, and then $g = e$ in $F_3 \times F_2$, which is a contradiction. This shows that $F_3 \times F_2$ acts freely on \tilde{X}_0 .

By construction, the quotient of \tilde{X}_0 by $F_3 \times F_2$ is X . Therefore the lemma is proved. \square

Proposition 3.1 then follows from the lemma below.

Lemma 3.3. *The universal cover \tilde{X} is contractible.*

Proof. We shall define a uniform deformation of every 2-cell in \tilde{X} so that the resulting deformed 2-complex \tilde{X}' is contractible.

Let $P_0 = P$ be a 0-cell in \tilde{X} , and set $P_i = \beta_1^i(P)$ and $Q_i = \alpha_1(P_i)$. Here $\beta_1^i(P)$ means applying β_1 to P i times. Let c_i be the 2-cell containing P_i and Q_i associated to Relation 1. Define a homotopy of c_i by moving Q_i continuously to $\beta_2\beta_1(Q_i)$ through the path $Q_i \rightarrow \beta_1(Q_i) \rightarrow \beta_2\beta_1(Q_i)$. See Figure 6. Then c_i is continuously deformed to a rectangle c'_i , where $\cup_i c'_i \simeq \mathbb{R} \times [0, 1]$. This homotopy is uniform with respect to i . Similarly, one can define a homotopy for the 2-cells associated to Relation 6. (In this case, $\alpha_2(P_i)$ should be moved to $\beta_3\beta_1(\alpha_2(P_i))$).




Figure 6: Homotopy for cells c_i

Let $P'_0 = P$, $P'_i = \beta_2^i(P)$, and $Q'_i = \alpha_1(P_i)$. Let d_i be the 2-cell containing P'_i and Q'_i associated to Relation 2. Define a homotopy of d_i by moving each Q'_i continuously to $\beta_2\beta_1Q'_i$ through the path $Q'_i \rightarrow \beta_1Q'_i \rightarrow \beta_2\beta_1Q'_i$; see Figure 7. Then d_i deforms continuously to a rectangle d'_i , uniformly with respect to i , with $\cup_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} d'_i \simeq \mathbb{R} \times [0, 1]$.

Similarly, one can define a homotopy for the 2-cells associated to Relation 4. (In this case, $\alpha_2(P'_i)$ should be moved to $\beta_3\beta_1(\alpha_2(P_i))$).

Relation 3 gives rise to 2-cells in the shape of a rectangle. Let a be a rectangle containing P and α_1Q . Deform a by a homotopy carrying the edge l with vertices Q and β_3Q to $\beta_2\beta_1l$




Figure 7: Homotopy for cells d_i




Figure 8: Homotopy for cells e

through the path $l \rightarrow \beta_1 l \rightarrow \beta_2 \beta_1 l$.

For Relation 5, let $Q = \alpha_2(P)$. In the cell e containing P and Q and corresponding to Relation 5, move the edge l with vertices $\beta_3 \beta_2 \beta_3^{-1}(P)$ and $\beta_3 \beta_2 \beta_3^{-1}(Q)$ through the path $l \rightarrow \beta_3^{-1} l \rightarrow \beta_2^{-1} \beta_3^{-1} l$; see Figure 8. Then e is deformed to a 2-cell e' in the shape of a rectangle. Deform e' again by a homotopy carrying the edge l with vertices Q and $\beta_3^{-1} Q$ to $\beta_3 \beta_1 l$ through the path $l \rightarrow \beta_1 l \rightarrow \beta_3 \beta_1 l$.

After this process, we obtain a 2-CW complex \tilde{X}' . All the 2-cells in \tilde{X} are turned into rectangular-shaped 2-cells in \tilde{X}' . Algebraically, this process corresponds to the abelianization of all relations. Note that here we are using the special structure of the pure braid group: Indeed, the deformations can be done uniformly, because all relations in P_4 have the form

$$\alpha_i \beta_j \alpha_i^{-1} = C \beta_j C^{-1} \quad (3.1)$$

where C is a word depending on i, j , having finite letters chosen from $\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3$. The deformation from \tilde{X} to \tilde{X}' corresponds to replacing (3.1) by $\alpha_i \beta_j \alpha_i^{-1} = \beta_j$. Indeed, the relations determine the group

$$F_2 \times F_3 = \langle \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3 \mid \alpha_i \beta_j = \beta_j \alpha_i, \forall i, j \rangle.$$

Therefore we have shown that \tilde{X} is homotopic to

$$\tilde{X}' = E(F_2 \times F_3) = EF_2 \times EF_3$$

which is a contractible space. The lemma is then proved. □

This completes the proof of the proposition. □

3.2 K -homology of BP_4

We are ready to compute the K -homology of P_4 .

Theorem 3.4. *We have that*

$$K_0(BP_4) \simeq K_1(BP_4) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^{12}.$$

Hence, as P_4 is torsion-free, $K_0^{P_4}(\underline{EP}_4) \simeq K_1^{P_4}(\underline{EP}_4) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^{12}$.

To prove this theorem, we first observe the following two easy well-known facts:

Lemma 3.5. *For any finite CW complex X , we have*

$$K_i(X \times S^1) \simeq K_0(X) \oplus K_1(X) \quad \text{for } i = 0, 1.$$

Proof. Let i be 0 or 1. Note that $K_i(X \times S^1) \simeq K^i(C(X \times S^1)) \simeq K^i(C(X) \otimes C(S^1))$. As $C(S^1) \simeq C_0(\mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathbb{C}$, we have that

$$K^i(C(X) \otimes C(S^1)) \simeq K^i(C(X) \otimes C_0(\mathbb{R})) \oplus K^i(C(X)).$$

Noting that $K^i(C(X) \otimes C_0(\mathbb{R})) = K^{i+1}(C(X))$, the lemma is proved. \square

Lemma 3.6. *We have*

$$BP_4 \simeq B(F_3 \rtimes F_2) \times S^1.$$

Proof. We use the isomorphism $P_4 \simeq F_3 \times F_2 \times F_1$. By changing the representative of the generator of $F_1 \simeq \mathbb{Z}$ in $P_4 \simeq F_3 \times F_2 \times F_1$, we can obtain the trivial action of F_1 ; see Remark 2.1. Hence $P_4 \simeq (F_3 \rtimes F_2) \times \mathbb{Z}$. Thus

$$BP_4 \simeq B(F_3 \rtimes F_2) \times B\mathbb{Z} \simeq B(F_3 \rtimes F_2) \times S^1;$$

which proves the lemma. \square

Recall that a model of $B(F_3 \rtimes F_2)$ is given (Proposition 3.1) by the 2-dimensional CW complex X constructed in Lemma 3.2 (and associated to the group presentation of $F_3 \rtimes F_2$).

Lemma 3.7. *We have $K_0(X) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^7$ and $K_1(X) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^5$.*

Proof. By Lemma 4.1 in [MV03], because X is a 2-dimensional CW complex, we have

$$K_0(X) \simeq H_0(X) \oplus H_2(X) \quad K_1(X) \simeq H_1(X, \mathbb{Z}).$$

Note that $H_0(X, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}$, since X is connected; and

$$H_1(X, \mathbb{Z}) \simeq (F_3 \rtimes F_2) / [F_3 \rtimes F_2, F_3 \rtimes F_2] \simeq \mathbb{Z}^5.$$

To calculate $H_2(X, \mathbb{Z})$, one notes that all 6 relations are cycles (nontrivial and distinct), and there are at most 6 2-cells, so $H_2(X, \mathbb{Z}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^6$. The lemma is thus proved. \square

Proof of Theorem 3.4. Making use of the Lemmas, we have for $i = 0$ or 1 :

$$K_i^{P_4}(\underline{EP}_4) \simeq K_i(BP_4) \simeq K_0(B(F_3 \times F_2)) \oplus K_1(B(F_3 \times F_2)),$$

where the first isomorphism is due to P_4 being torsion-free, and the second isomorphism follows from Lemma 3.5. Then by Proposition 3.1 and Lemma 3.7, we have

$$K_i(B(F_3 \times F_2)) \simeq K_i(X) \simeq \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}^7 & i = 0 \\ \mathbb{Z}^5 & i = 1 \end{cases}.$$

The theorem then follows. □

3.3 K -homology of BP_n

In this section, we show that for $i = 0$ or 1 ,

$$K_i(BP_n) \simeq K_i(Y) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^{\frac{n!}{2}}, \quad (3.2)$$

where $Y = BF_{n-1} \times BF_{n-2} \times \cdots \times BF_1$.

Recall that rationally, the Chern character on K -homology for any finite CW complex X is an isomorphism:

$$K_0(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \simeq \bigoplus_i H_{2i}(X, \mathbb{Q}) \quad K_1(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \simeq \bigoplus_i H_{2i-1}(X, \mathbb{Q}). \quad (3.3)$$

Thus the second isomorphism in (3.2) holds up to torsion.

Lemma 3.8. *Let $Y = BF_{n-1} \times BF_{n-2} \times \cdots \times BF_1$. Then modulo torsion, we have*

$$K_0(Y) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^{\frac{n!}{2}} \quad \text{and} \quad K_1(Y) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^{\frac{n!}{2}}.$$

Proof. We need to show that $\sum_i \dim H_{2i}(Y) = \frac{n!}{2} = \sum_i \dim H_{2i-1}(Y)$. Observe that $H_i(Y, \mathbb{Q})$ is generated by i -cells in Y . Denote by a_k the number of k -cells in the CW-complex Y . It is enough to show that

$$\sum_i a_{2i} = \sum_i a_{2i-1} = \frac{n!}{2}.$$

Because BF_k has one 0-cell and k 1-cells, we have that for $Y = BF_{n-1} \times BF_{n-2} \times \cdots \times BF_1$,

$$a_0 = 1, \quad a_1 = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} i, \quad a_2 = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n-1} ij, \quad \dots, \quad a_{n-1} = 1 \cdot 2 \cdots (n-1).$$

That is, a^m is equal to the coefficient of t^m in the series $(1+t)(1+2t) \cdots (1+[n-1]t)$. Therefore we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} a_k = \prod_{l=1}^{n-1} (1+l) = \prod_{l=1}^n l = n!$$

and

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (-1)^k a_k = \prod_{l=1}^{n-1} (1-l) = 0.$$

The lemma is then proved. \square

The first isomorphism in (3.2) also holds up to torsion. In other words, the K -homology for BP_n can be computed rationally.

Proposition 3.9. *Up to torsion,*

$$K_0(BP_n) \simeq K_1(BP_n) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^{\frac{n!}{2}}.$$

Proof. The integer cohomology of BP_n of degree m is torsion-free, with the power over \mathbb{Z} being equal to the coefficient of t^m in the series $(1+t)(1+2t)\cdots(1+[n-1]t)$. This was first computed by Arnold [Arn69]; see also Example 3.2 of [ACC03]. Applying the proof of Lemma 3.8, we find that up to torsion,

$$K^0(BP_n) \simeq H^{even}(BP_n, \mathbb{Z}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^{\frac{n!}{2}} \quad K^1(BP_n) \simeq H^{odd}(BP_n, \mathbb{Z}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^{\frac{n!}{2}}.$$

Together with (3.3) and Poincaré duality, this gives the result. Note that BP_n is a Poincaré complex in the sense of Wall [Wal67] and hence satisfies the Poincaré duality theorem. To see that BP_n is a Poincaré complex, notice that BP_n is composed of iterated extensions of the BF_i s, and it is easy to verify that the BF_i s are Poincaré complexes. \square

Finally, the torsion in Proposition 3.9 can be removed using Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequences, analogous to Arnold's calculation of $H_*(BP_n)$ using Serre spectral sequences. Let us review some key steps and properties of pure braid groups in computing $H_*(BP_n)$. For more details, see [Wil].

It is well known that the ordered configuration space of n points in \mathbb{C} is a model for the classifying space of P_n :

$$BP_n = \{(z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n \mid z_i \neq z_j \text{ if } i \neq j\}.$$

Note that for $n = 4$, this model is homotopic to the model of BP_4 that we constructed explicitly earlier. Consider the map

$$\rho_n : BP_n \rightarrow BP_{n-1} \quad (z_1, \dots, z_n) \mapsto (z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}).$$

This is a fibration whose fiber is homeomorphic to the $(n-1)$ -times punctured plane, which is homotopic to a wedge of $n-1$ circles, i.e., $BF_n \rightarrow BP_n \rightarrow BP_{n-1}$. This can also be induced by the short exact sequence $1 \rightarrow F_{n-1} \rightarrow P_n \rightarrow P_{n-1} \rightarrow 1$. The map

$$i_n : BP_{n-1} \rightarrow BP_n \quad (z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}) \mapsto (z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}, \max|z_i| + 1)$$

gives rise to a splitting of the fibration ρ_n . Associated to a fibration, there is a monodromy action of $\pi_1(B)$ on the (co)homology of the fiber F . In our setting, it can be checked that $P_{n-1} = \pi_1(BP_{n-1})$ acts on the homology of BF_{n-1} trivially. Then the E_2 page of the Atiyah–Hirzebruch spectral sequence

$$E_2^{p,q}(BP_n) = H^p(BP_{n-1}, H^q(BF_{n-1}))$$

is a cohomology with untwisted coefficients in $H^q(BF_{n-1})$ and is calculated by

$$E_2^{p,q}(BP_n) = \begin{cases} H^p(BP_{n-1}) & q = 0 \\ H^p(BP_{n-1}) \otimes \mathbb{Z}^{n-1} & q = 1 \\ 0 & q > 1. \end{cases}$$

One can then show that the spectral sequences collapse on the E_2 page, and we obtain

$$H^k(BP_n) = \bigoplus_{p+q=k} E_2^{p,q}(BP_n) = E_2^{k,0}(BP_n) \oplus E_2^{k-1,1}(BP_n).$$

The group can then be calculated using induction.

Theorem 3.10. *We have*

$$K_0(BP_n) \simeq K_1(BP_n) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^{\frac{n!}{2}}.$$

Proof. From [MV03], there is an Atiyah–Hirzebruch spectral sequence

$$E_{p,q}^2(BP_n) = H_p(BP_{n-1}, K_q(BF_{n-1})) \Rightarrow K_{p+q}(BP_n).$$

Because the spectral sequence collapses on the E^2 page for similar reasons as above, one has

$$K_0(BP_n) \simeq \bigoplus_{p+q \equiv 0(2)} E_{p,q}^2(BP_n) \quad K_1(BP_n) \simeq \bigoplus_{p+q \equiv 1(2)} E_{p,q}^2(BP_n).$$

Because the monodromy action is trivial, the homology

$$E_{p,q}^2(BP_n) = H_p(BP_{n-1}, K_q(BF_{n-1}))$$

has no twisted coefficients and can thus be calculated by

$$H_p(BP_{n-1}, K_q(BF_{n-1})) = \begin{cases} H_p(BP_{n-1}) & q = 0 \\ H_p(BP_{n-1}) \otimes \mathbb{Z}^{n-1} & q = 1. \end{cases}$$

Therefore

$$K_0(BP_n) \simeq H_{\text{even}}(BP_{n-1}) \oplus (H_{\text{odd}}(BP_{n-1}) \otimes \mathbb{Z}^{n-1}) \quad (3.4)$$

$$K_1(BP_n) \simeq H_{\text{odd}}(BP_{n-1}) \oplus (H_{\text{even}}(BP_{n-1}) \otimes \mathbb{Z}^{n-1}). \quad (3.5)$$

Because $H_*(BP_n)$ is torsion-free for all n , from the above we obtain that $K_*(BP_n)$ is torsion-free as well. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} K_0(BP_n) &\simeq K_0(BP_{n-1}) \oplus (K_1(BP_{n-1}) \otimes \mathbb{Z}^{n-1}) \\ K_1(BP_n) &\simeq K_1(BP_{n-1}) \oplus (K_0(BP_{n-1}) \otimes \mathbb{Z}^{n-1}). \end{aligned}$$

The theorem then follows by induction. \square

Note that the calculation of the K -homology of $Y = BF_{n-1} \times \cdots \times BF_1$ is not used in the proof of the theorem, but the comparison to the K -homology of BP_n provides intuition for the cycles of BP_n that form a set of generators for $K_*(BP_n)$ in light of (3.4) and (3.5). By the fibration structure $BF_{n-1} \rightarrow BP_n \rightarrow BP_{n-1}$, and by induction, BP_n has a model of dimension $n - 1$, and every k -simplex of BP_n is labelled by $A_{j_1, i_1}, \dots, A_{j_k, i_k}$, where $1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_r \leq n$, $1 \leq j_l < i_l$, and $F_l(A_{1, l+1}, \dots, A_{l, l+1})$ is the free subgroup of P_n . They are cycles because of the pure braid group relations, and they correspond bijectively to cycles of Y . There is a canonical map $BP_n \rightarrow Y$ inducing an isomorphism on K -homology; see Section 5.4 for more details.

4 K -theory of the reduced group C^* -algebra of P_n

In this section, we compute the right-hand side of the Baum–Connes morphism for P_n . We shall use the Pimsner–Voiculescu six-term exact sequence, as it allows us to compute the K -theory of the reduced crossed product of a C^* -algebra with a free group [PV82]. Let A be a C^* -algebra endowed with an action of the free group on n generators $F_n = F_n(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ by automorphisms $\phi : F_n \rightarrow \text{Aut}(A)$. Following Pimsner and Voiculescu (see [PV82, Theorem 3.1, Theorem 3.5]), we have two six-term exact sequences

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} K_0(A) & \xrightarrow{w_n} & K_0(A \rtimes_{\phi', r} F_{n-1}) & \xrightarrow{k_*} & K_0(A \rtimes_{\phi, r} F_n) \\ \uparrow & & & & \downarrow \\ K_1(A \rtimes_{\phi, r} F_n) & \xleftarrow{k_*} & K_1(A \rtimes_{\phi', r} F_{n-1}) & \xleftarrow{w_n} & K_1(A) \end{array} \quad (4.1)$$

where ϕ' is the restriction of the action to F_{n-1} , $k : A \rtimes_{\phi', r} F_{n-1} \rightarrow A \rtimes_{\phi, r} F_n$ is the natural inclusion, $i : A \rightarrow A \rtimes_{\phi', r} F_{n-1}$ is the canonical inclusion, $w_n = i_* \circ (id_* - \phi(x_n^{-1})_*)$, and the vertical arrows correspond to the connecting homomorphisms of a sequence induced by a Toeplitz extension; and

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} (K_0(A))^n & \xrightarrow{\theta} & K_0(A) & \xrightarrow{\pi_*} & K_0(A \rtimes_r F_n) \\ \uparrow & & & & \downarrow \\ K_1(A \rtimes_r F_n) & \xleftarrow{\pi_*} & K_1(A) & \xleftarrow{\theta} & (K_1(A))^n \end{array} \quad (4.2)$$

where θ is the map

$$\theta(\gamma_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \gamma_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n (\gamma_i - \phi(x_i^{-1})_*(\gamma_j)) .$$

and the vertical arrows come from connecting homomorphisms of a Toeplitz extension.

Moreover, we recall that in [PV82], Pimsner and Voiculescu used these six-term exact sequences to give the following computation of the K -theory of the C^* -algebra of a free group:

$$K_0(C_r^*(F_n(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n))) \simeq \mathbb{Z}[1] \quad (4.3)$$

and

$$K_1(C_r^*(F_n(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n))) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^n[u_{\alpha_1}, \dots, u_{\alpha_n}] \quad (4.4)$$

where for $g \in F_n(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$, we denote by u_g the element in $C_r^*(F_n(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n))$ such that $u_g(h) = 1$ if $h = g$, and $u_g(h) = 0$ if $h \neq g$.

As the group F_n is K -amenable (see [Cun83] and [Cun82]),

$$K_0(C^*(F_n(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n))) \simeq \mathbb{Z}[1] \quad (4.5)$$

and

$$K_1(C^*(F_n(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n))) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^n[u_{\alpha_1}, \dots, u_{\alpha_n}] . \quad (4.6)$$

4.1 K -theory of $C_r^*(P_4)$

We will start with the case of $n = 4$. To compute the K -theory of $C_r^*(P_4)$, we will use the decomposition $P_4 = (F_3 \rtimes_{\varphi} F_2) \times \mathbb{Z}$, where the action φ is given by the relations in Remark 2.1. Its reduced C^* -algebra $C_r^*(P_4)$ is then isomorphic to $C_r^*(F_3 \rtimes F_2) \otimes C_r^*(\mathbb{Z})$, and by the Künneth formula we have the following decompositions:

$$K_0(C_r^*(P_4)) \simeq K_0(C_r^*(F_3 \rtimes F_2)) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} K_0(C_r^*(\mathbb{Z})) \oplus K_1(C_r^*(F_3 \rtimes F_2)) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} K_1(C_r^*(\mathbb{Z})),$$

$$K_1(C_r^*(P_4)) \simeq K_0(C_r^*(F_3 \rtimes F_2)) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} K_1(C_r^*(\mathbb{Z})) \oplus K_1(C_r^*(F_3 \rtimes F_2)) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} K_0(C_r^*(\mathbb{Z})) .$$

We then compute the K -theory of $C_r^*(F_3 \rtimes F_2)$. By the following well-known lemma, the former C^* -algebra is the reduced crossed product $C_r^*(F_3) \rtimes_r F_2$ (see [CELY17, Example 2.3.6], [Wil07, §3.3]).

Lemma 4.1. *Let H and N be discrete groups, and let $\phi : H \rightarrow \text{Aut}(N)$ be an action by group automorphisms. Then ϕ gives actions of H on the full and reduced group C^* -algebras $C^*(N)$ and $C_r^*(N)$ that are given by the formula $\phi_h(f)(n) = f(h^{-1}nh)$, for $f \in C_c(N)$, $h \in H$ and $n \in N$, and one has*

$$C_r^*(N \rtimes_{\phi} H) \simeq C_r^*(N) \rtimes_{\phi, r} H,$$

$$C^*(N \rtimes_{\phi} H) \simeq C^*(N) \rtimes_{\phi} H.$$

Applying Pimsner–Voiculescu’s first sequence to compute the K -theory of $C_r^*(F_3) \rtimes_r F_2$, where $F_3 = F_3(\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3)$ and $F_2 = F_2(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$, we get the following result.

Proposition 4.2. *The K -theory of $C_r^*(F_3) \rtimes_r F_2$ is as follows:*

$$K_0(C_r^*(F_3 \rtimes F_2)) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^7, \quad K_1(C_r^*(F_3 \rtimes F_2)) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^5.$$

Proof. Set $B := C_r^*(F_3) \rtimes_r F_2 = C_r^*(F_3(\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3)) \rtimes_r F_2(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$. From (4.1), we have the exact sequence:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} K_0(C_r^*(F_3(\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3))) & \xrightarrow{w_2} & K_0(C_r^*(F_3(\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3)) \rtimes_r F(\alpha_1)) & \xrightarrow{k_*} & K_0(B) \\ \uparrow & & & & \downarrow \\ K_1(B) & \xleftarrow{k_*} & K_1(C_r^*(F_3(\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3)) \rtimes_r F(\alpha_1)) & \xleftarrow{w_2} & K_1(C_r^*(F_3(\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3))) \end{array} \quad (4.7)$$

We claim that the maps $w_2 = i_* \circ (id_* - \varphi(\alpha_2^{-1})_*)$ are equal to zero. On K_0 , we have $\varphi(\alpha_2^{-1})_*([1]) = [1] = id_*([1])$, so $w_2([1]) = 0$; and on K_1 , for every $j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ we have $\varphi(\alpha_2^{-1})_*([u_{\beta_j}]) = [u_{\varphi(\alpha_2^{-1})(\beta_j)}] = [u_{\alpha_2^{-1}\beta_j\alpha_2}]$. By the braid group relations 4., 5. and 6. in Remark 2.1, we have $\alpha_2^{-1}\beta_j\alpha_2 = f_\beta\beta_jf_\beta^{-1}$, where f_β is a product of elements in $\{\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3\}$. Hence

$$[u_{\alpha_2^{-1}\beta_j\alpha_2}] = [u_{f_\beta\beta_jf_\beta^{-1}}] = [u_{\beta_j}] \text{ in } K_1(C_r^*(F_3(\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3)) \rtimes_r F(\alpha_1)),$$

so $w_2([u_{\beta_j}]) = 0$ for all j , and the claim is proved.

From (4.7), we get two short exact sequences:

$$0 \rightarrow K_0(C_r^*(F_3(\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3)) \rtimes_r F(\alpha_1)) \rightarrow K_0(B) \rightarrow K_1(C_r^*(F_3(\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3))) \rightarrow 0 \quad (4.8)$$

$$0 \rightarrow K_1(C_r^*(F_3(\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3)) \rtimes_r F(\alpha_1)) \rightarrow K_1(B) \rightarrow K_0(C_r^*(F_3(\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3))) \rightarrow 0 \quad (4.9)$$

We now use another PV sequence to compute $K_i(C_r^*(F_3(\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3)) \rtimes_r F(\alpha_1))$ for $i = 0, 1$. Set $B_1 := C_r^*(F_3(\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3)) \rtimes_r F(\alpha_1)$. We have from (4.2) that

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} K_0(C_r^*(F_3(\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3))) & \xrightarrow{\theta} & K_0(C_r^*(F_3(\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3))) & \longrightarrow & K_0(B_1) \\ \uparrow & & & & \downarrow \\ K_1(B_1) & \longleftarrow & K_1(C_r^*(F_3(\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3))) & \xleftarrow{\theta} & K_1(C_r^*(F_3(\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3))) \end{array} \quad (4.10)$$

where $\theta = id_* - \varphi(\alpha_1^{-1})_*$ is again the trivial map in K -theory, because by relations 1., 2. and 3. in Remark 2.1, we have that $\alpha_1^{-1}\beta_j\alpha_1 = f_\beta\beta_jf_\beta^{-1}$, where f_β is a product of elements in $\{\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3\}$, as above.

We get the short exact sequences

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow K_0(B_1) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}^3 \longrightarrow 0,$$

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}^3 \longrightarrow K_1(B_1) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow 0,$$

from which we deduce

$$K_0(B_1) \simeq K_1(B_1) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^4.$$

By inserting this in (4.8), we get

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}^4 \longrightarrow K_0(B) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}^3 \longrightarrow 0,$$

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}^4 \longrightarrow K_1(B) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow 0,$$

so we deduce

$$K_0(B) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^7, \quad K_1(B) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^5, \quad (4.11)$$

which proves the proposition. \square

By applying the Künneth formula to $P_4 = B \times \mathbb{Z}$, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 4.3. *The K -theory of $C_r^*(P_4)$ is given by*

$$K_0(C_r^*(P_4)) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^{12}, \quad K_1(C_r^*(P_4)) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^{12}.$$

4.2 Going from P_{n-1} to P_n

Before computing the K -theory of $C_r^*(P_n)$ for all n , let us explain how to compute the K -theory of $C_r^*(P_5)$. Recall that $P_n = F_{n-1} \rtimes P_{n-1}$, so that $P_5 = F_4 \rtimes P_4 = F_4 \rtimes F_3 \rtimes F_2 \times \mathbb{Z}$. We first compute the K -theory of $C_r^*(F_4 \rtimes F_3 \rtimes F_2)$. By Lemma 4.1, we have

$$C_r^*(F_4 \rtimes F_3 \rtimes F_2) \simeq (C^*(F_4 \rtimes F_3)) \rtimes_r F_2,$$

so the K -theory of $C_r^*(P_5)$ can be computed via a Pimsner–Voiculescu sequence once we know the K -theory of $C^*(F_4 \rtimes F_3)$, which is isomorphic to $C^*(F_4) \rtimes F_3$. As F_n is K -amenable for all n , we have $K_i(C^*(F_4) \rtimes F_3) \simeq K_i(C^*(F_4) \rtimes_r F_3)$, for $i = 0, 1$ (see (4.17)).

Next we compute the K -theory groups $K_i(C^*(F_4) \rtimes_r F_3)$ via Pimsner–Voiculescu sequences.

Letting $n = 3$ in (4.2), and using (4.6) and (4.5), we get the sequence below.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathbb{Z}^3 & \xrightarrow{\theta} & \mathbb{Z} & \longrightarrow & K_0(C^*(F_4) \rtimes_r F_3) \\ \uparrow & & & & \downarrow \\ K_1(C^*(F_4) \rtimes_r F_3) & \longleftarrow & \mathbb{Z}^4 & \xleftarrow{\theta} & (\mathbb{Z}^4)^3 \end{array}$$

Since again $\theta = 0$ on both K_0 and K_1 , we have

$$K_0(C^*(F_4) \rtimes_r F_3) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^{13}, \quad K_1(C^*(F_4) \rtimes_r F_3) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^7.$$

To compute the K-theory of $(C^*(F_4) \rtimes_r F_3) \rtimes_r F_2$, we apply (4.2)

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
(\mathbb{Z}^{13})^2 & \xrightarrow{\theta} & \mathbb{Z}^{13} & \longrightarrow & K_0(C^*(F_4) \rtimes_r F_3) \rtimes_r F_2 \\
\uparrow & & & & \downarrow \\
K_1(C^*(F_4) \rtimes_r F_3) \rtimes_r F_2 & \longleftarrow & \mathbb{Z}^7 & \xleftarrow{\theta} & (\mathbb{Z}^7)^2
\end{array}$$

and we get

$$K_0(C^*(F_4) \rtimes_r F_3) \rtimes_r F_2 \simeq \mathbb{Z}^{33}, \quad K_1(C^*(F_4) \rtimes_r F_3) \rtimes_r F_2 \simeq \mathbb{Z}^{27}.$$

By the Künneth formula, we finally obtain

$$K_0(C^*(F_4) \rtimes_r F_3) \rtimes_r F_2 \times \mathbb{Z} \simeq K_1(C^*(F_4) \rtimes_r F_3) \rtimes_r F_2 \times \mathbb{Z} \simeq \mathbb{Z}^{33} \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{27} \simeq \mathbb{Z}^{60}.$$

Let us now generalise this procedure to compute the K-theory of $C^*(F_n) \rtimes_r F_{n-1}$ in the following lemma.

Lemma 4.4. *The K-theory of $C^*(F_n) \rtimes_r F_{n-1}$ is given by*

$$K_0(C^*(F_n) \rtimes_r F_{n-1}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^{1+n(n-1)}, \quad K_1(C^*(F_n) \rtimes_r F_{n-1}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^{2n-1}.$$

Proof. We apply (4.2)

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
(K_0(C^*(F_n)))^{n-1} & \xrightarrow{\theta} & K_0(C^*(F_n)) & \longrightarrow & K_0(C^*(F_n) \rtimes_r F_{n-1}) \\
\uparrow & & & & \downarrow \\
K_1(C^*(F_n) \rtimes_r F_{n-1}) & \longleftarrow & K_1(C^*(F_n)) & \xleftarrow{\theta} & (K_1(C^*(F_n)))^{n-1}
\end{array} \tag{4.12}$$

the maps θ are trivial in K-theory, so for $i = 0, 1$ this gives

$$K_0(C^*(F_n) \rtimes_r F_{n-1}) \simeq K_0(C^*(F_n)) \oplus (K_1(C^*(F_n)))^{n-1}, \tag{4.13}$$

$$K_1(C^*(F_n) \rtimes_r F_{n-1}) \simeq K_1(C^*(F_n)) \oplus (K_0(C^*(F_n)))^{n-1}. \tag{4.14}$$

By the relations (4.6), we obtain the result. \square

4.3 K-theory of $C_r^*(P_n)$

We are now ready to compute the K-theory of $C_n^*(P_n)$ using Pimsner–Voiculescu sequences. We use the following remark.

Remark 4.5. Let A be a C^* -algebra whose K-theory is torsion-free. This means that there exist two integers a_0 and a_1 such that $K_0(A) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^{a_0}$ and $K_1(A) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^{a_1}$. If $\phi : F_k \rightarrow \text{Aut}(A)$ is an action of the free group F_k by automorphisms on A such that the induced map θ in the PV sequence is zero, then we obtain

$$K_0(A \rtimes_r F_k) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^{a_0 + k a_1}, \quad K_1(A \rtimes_r F_k) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^{a_1 + k a_0}.$$

In particular, the K-theory groups of $A \rtimes_r F_k$ are also torsion-free.

Proposition 4.6. *The K -theory of $C_r^*(P_n)$ is given by*

$$K_0(C_r^*(P_n)) \simeq K_1(C_r^*(P_n)) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^{\frac{n!}{2}}.$$

Proof. We set $Q_j := F_{n-1} \rtimes F_{n-2} \rtimes \cdots \rtimes F_{n-j}$, for $j = 1, \dots, n-2$.

We first show inductively that all the groups $K_*(C_r^*(Q_j))$ are torsion free. For $j = 1$, this holds true; at each subsequent step, the K -theory of $C_r^*(Q_j) \simeq C_r^*(F_{n-1} \rtimes F_{n-2} \rtimes \cdots \rtimes F_{n-j+1}) \rtimes_r F_{n-j}$ is computed as in Remark 4.5 via a Pimsner-Voiculescu sequence involving the K -theory of the reduced C^* -algebra $C_r^*(F_{n-1} \rtimes F_{n-2} \rtimes \cdots \rtimes F_{n-j+1})$. We repeatedly apply Pimsner-Voiculescu sequences (4.2) and we have

$$K_0(C_r^*(Q_i)) \simeq K_0(C_r^*(F_{n-i})) \oplus (K_1(C_r^*(Q_{i-1})))^{n-i} \quad (4.15)$$

$$K_1(C_r^*(Q_i)) \simeq K_1(C_r^*(F_{n-i})) \oplus (K_0(C_r^*(Q_{i-1})))^{n-i}. \quad (4.16)$$

To determine the rank, set

$$x_i := \text{rank } K_0(C_r^*(Q_i)), \quad y_i := \text{rank } K_1(C_r^*(Q_i)).$$

From (4.15), we have for $i = 2, \dots, n-2$

$$x_i = \text{rank } K_0(C_r^*(Q_{i-1}) \rtimes_r F_{n-i}) = x_{i-1} + y_{i-1}(n-i),$$

$$y_i = \text{rank } K_1(C_r^*(Q_{i-1}) \rtimes_r F_{n-i}) = y_{i-1} + x_{i-1} \cdot (n-i).$$

with $x_1 = 1$ and $y_1 = n-1$. This implies

$$x_i + y_i = x_{i-1} + y_{i-1} + (x_{i-1} + y_{i-1})(n-i).$$

Note that $P_n = Q_{n-2} \times \mathbb{Z}$; and by the Künneth formula, we have $\text{rank } K_*(C_r^*(P_n)) = x_{n-2} + y_{n-2}$.

The sum $s_i := x_i + y_i$ satisfies

$$s_i = s_{i-1}(n-i+1)$$

hence we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} s_{n-2} &= s_{n-3}(n-n+2+1) \\ &= s_2 \cdot (n-2)(n-3) \cdots 4 \cdot 3 \\ &= n(n-1) \cdots 4 \cdot 3 \\ &= \frac{n!}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Note that the K -theory of the maximal C^* -algebra of P_n coincides with the reduced one. This is a consequence of the K -amenability of P_n . See, for instance, [JV84, Corollary 3.6]: If G is K -amenable, then for every C^* -dynamical system (A, α, G) one has

$$K_i(A \rtimes_{\alpha, r} G) \simeq K_i(A \rtimes_{\alpha} G), \quad i = 0, 1. \quad (4.17)$$

Since free groups are K -amenable, by Lemma 4.1 one has immediately:

1. P_n is K -amenable.
2. The iterated semidirect products

$$\begin{aligned} Q_1 &:= F_{n-1} \\ Q_2 &:= F_{n-1} \rtimes F_{n-2} \\ &\vdots \\ Q_{n-2} &:= F_{n-1} \rtimes F_{n-2} \rtimes \cdots \rtimes F_2 . \end{aligned}$$

are K -amenable.

Therefore

$$K_0(C^*(P_n)) \simeq K_1(C^*(P_n)) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^{\frac{n!}{2}} .$$

5 Isomorphism of the Baum–Connes assembly map

Let us denote by $\Gamma = F(\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3) \rtimes F(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$ the group generated by $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3$ satisfying the 6 relations described in section 3.1. In this section, we use the structure of P_4 given by $P_4 \simeq \Gamma \times \mathbb{Z}$, where $\Gamma = F_3 \rtimes F_2$, and the Künneth theorem in K -theory, to reduce the proof of the Baum–Connes isomorphism for P_4 to that of Γ . We will use the explicit computations given in [Val02] of the Baum–Connes assembly map in small homological degree to write down the explicit image for Γ under the Baum–Connes assembly map. We will prove the following theorem.

Theorem 5.1. *The assembly map*

$$\mu_r : K_i(B\Gamma) \rightarrow K_i(C_r^*(\Gamma))$$

is an isomorphism for $i = 0$ (even-degree case) and $i = 1$ (odd-degree case).

5.1 Odd-degree Baum–Connes isomorphism for Γ

We will start with the case $i = 1$. Recall that $H_1(\Gamma, \mathbb{Z}) = \Gamma/[\Gamma, \Gamma]$ so that $H_1(\Gamma, \mathbb{Z})$ is the abelian group generated by $\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3, \alpha_1, \alpha_2$. There is a classical isomorphism $H_1(\Gamma, \mathbb{Z}) \simeq H_1(B\Gamma)$, where every generator of $H_1(\Gamma, \mathbb{Z})$ corresponds a unique 1-cycle coming from the 1-skeleton of the space $B\Gamma$. The correspondence is determined by the fact that $\Gamma = \pi_1(B\Gamma)$: Every element $\gamma \in \Gamma$ can be viewed as a pointed continuous map $\gamma : S^1 \rightarrow B\Gamma$, thus inducing a map in K -homology $\gamma_* : K_1(S^1) \rightarrow K_1(B\Gamma)$. Let D be the Dirac operator on S^1 and π the representation of $C(S^1)$ on $L^2(S^1)$ given by pointwise multiplication. Then the class of the cycle (π, D) is the generator of $K_1(S^1) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$; we denote it by $[D]$. Every element $\gamma \in \Gamma$ can then be mapped to the class of

the cycle $\gamma_*(\pi, D) = \gamma_*([D])$ in $K_1(B\Gamma)$ (see [Val02, Chapter 7]). Moreover, every element $\gamma \in \Gamma$ can be mapped to the invertible element $[\gamma] \in K_1(C_r^*(\Gamma))$, which is determined by the class of the Dirac element δ_γ in $C_c(\Gamma)$.

We are going to prove the following theorem.

Theorem 5.2. *Let Γ be the group $F(\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3) \times F(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$ generated by the elements $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3$ that satisfy the 6 relations described in Section 3.1. The Baum-Connes assembly map*

$$\mu_r : K_1(B\Gamma) \rightarrow K_1(C_r^*(\Gamma))$$

is an isomorphism with

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_r((\alpha_i)_*[D]) &= [\alpha_i], & i = 1, 2; \\ \mu_r((\beta_i)_*[D]) &= [\beta_i], & i = 1, 2, 3, \end{aligned}$$

where $[D]$ is the K -homology cycle given by the Dirac operator on the unit circle S^1 .

Following [Val02] (see page 58), define a morphism $\tilde{\beta}_a : \Gamma \rightarrow K_1(C_r^*(\Gamma))$ by sending $\gamma \in \Gamma$ to the invertible element $[\gamma]$ in $K_1(C_r^*(\Gamma))$. The map $\tilde{\beta}_a$ gives rise to a well-defined morphism

$$\beta_a : H_1(\Gamma, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow K_1(C_r^*(\Gamma)), \quad \gamma[\Gamma, \Gamma] \mapsto [\gamma].$$

The Dirac operator D on S^1 gives rise to a class in $K_1(S^1)$. For every group element $\gamma \in \Gamma$, denote by $\gamma : S^1 \rightarrow B\Gamma$ a 1-cycle representative for $[\gamma] \in H_1(B\Gamma)$.

Define a morphism $\tilde{\beta}_t : \Gamma \rightarrow K_1(B\Gamma)$ by sending γ to $\gamma_*[D]$. By [Val02, Proposition 7.1], $\tilde{\beta}_t$ descends to

$$\beta_t : H_1(\Gamma, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow K_1(B\Gamma), \quad [\gamma] \mapsto \gamma_*[D],$$

and we have the following lemma due to Natsume, which we will use to prove Theorem 5.2.

Lemma 5.3 ([Nat88], [Val02] Proposition 7.2). *The following diagram commutes.*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & H_1(\Gamma, \mathbb{Z}) & \\ \beta_t \swarrow & & \searrow \beta_a \\ K_1(B\Gamma) & \xrightarrow{\mu_r} & K_1(C_r^*(\Gamma)) \end{array}$$

That is, $\beta_a = \mu_r \circ \beta_t$.

Proof of Theorem 5.2. Consider the group homomorphism $\psi : \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma_{ab}$, where $\Gamma_{ab} = \Gamma/[\Gamma, \Gamma] \simeq \mathbb{Z}^5$ is generated by the cosets of $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3$. This map induces a morphism $\psi : B\Gamma \rightarrow B\mathbb{Z}^5$ and a morphism of group C^* -algebras $\psi : C^*(\Gamma) \rightarrow C^*(\mathbb{Z}^5)$. By the functoriality of the Baum-Connes assembly map, we have the following commutative diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_1(B\Gamma) & \xrightarrow{\mu} & K_1(C^*(\Gamma)) \\ \psi_* \downarrow & & \downarrow \psi_* \\ K_1(B\mathbb{Z}^5) & \xrightarrow{\mu'} & K_1(C^*(\mathbb{Z}^5)) \end{array}$$

where μ is the Baum-Connes assembly map defined at the level of the full C^* -algebra. From our calculation of $K_1(B\Gamma)$ (see Lemma 3.7), we see that $\psi_* : K_1(B\Gamma) \rightarrow K_1(B\mathbb{Z}^5)$ is an isomorphism. In fact, the following diagram is commutative by definition

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_1(\Gamma, \mathbb{Z}) & \longrightarrow & K_1(B\Gamma) \\ \simeq \downarrow & & \downarrow \psi_* \\ H_1(\mathbb{Z}^5, \mathbb{Z}) & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & K_1(B\mathbb{Z}^5) \end{array}$$

and the left and bottom arrows are isomorphisms. This shows that ψ_* is a surjective morphism. But ψ_* is a surjective morphism from \mathbb{Z}^5 to itself. So ψ_* is an isomorphism on K -homology.

As the Baum-Connes conjecture is known to be true for abelian groups, the map μ' is an isomorphism; so using the commutativity of the diagram, we get that the map $\psi_* : K_1(C^*(\Gamma)) \rightarrow K_1(C^*(\mathbb{Z}^5))$ is surjective. On the other hand, we have computed that $K_1(C^*(\Gamma)) \simeq K_1(C^*(\mathbb{Z}^5)) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^5$. Therefore, as a surjective homomorphism from \mathbb{Z}^5 to itself is an isomorphism, the map ψ_* is an isomorphism on K -theory as well, and hence μ is an isomorphism.

By commutativity of the diagram in Lemma 5.3, the map β_a is surjective; and, being a surjective morphism from \mathbb{Z}^5 to itself, it is an isomorphism. Thus, β_a is an isomorphism, mapping $\alpha_i[\Gamma, \Gamma]$ to $[\alpha_i]$ and $\beta_j[\Gamma, \Gamma]$ to $[\beta_j]$. Because μ is an isomorphism, we have that β_t is an isomorphism, mapping $[\alpha_i : S^1 \rightarrow B\Gamma] \in H_1(B\Gamma) \simeq H_1(\Gamma, \mathbb{Z})$ to $(\alpha_i)_*[D] \in K_1(B\Gamma)$. Therefore we know that an element in $K_1(B\Gamma)$ has the form of $(\alpha_i)_*[D]$, $i = 1, 2$; or $(\beta_j)_*[D]$, $j = 1, 2, 3$. The commutativity of the diagram of Lemma 5.3 then implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \mu((\alpha_i)_*[D]) &= [\alpha_i], & i = 1, 2; \\ \mu((\beta_i)_*[D]) &= [\beta_i], & i = 1, 2, 3. \end{aligned}$$

The theorem is then proved by noting the K -amenability of Γ . □

5.2 Even-degree Baum-Connes isomorphism for Γ

Recall that Γ is the group $F(\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3) \rtimes F(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$ whose generators $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3$ satisfy the 6 relations of Section 3.1. Each relation R_i corresponds to a surface Σ_i whose fundamental group is canonically related to R_i as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_1(\Sigma_1) &= \langle a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 \mid a_1 a_2 a_1^{-1} = (a_3 a_4)^{-1} a_4 (a_3 a_2) \rangle, \\ \pi_1(\Sigma_2) &= \langle b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4 \mid b_1 b_2 b_1^{-1} = b_3^{-1} b_4 b_3 b_4^{-1} b_2 \rangle, \\ \pi_1(\Sigma_3) &= \langle c_1, c_2 \mid c_1 c_2 c_1^{-1} = c_2 \rangle, \\ \pi_1(\Sigma_4) &= \langle d_1, d_2, d_3, d_4 \mid d_1 d_2 d_1^{-1} = (d_3 d_4)^{-1} d_4 (d_3 d_2) \rangle, \\ \pi_1(\Sigma_5) &= \langle e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4, e_5, e_6 \mid e_1 e_2 e_1^{-1} = (e_6 e_5)^{-1} e_5 e_6 e_2 (e_3 e_4)^{-1} (e_4 e_3) \rangle, \\ \pi_1(\Sigma_6) &= \langle f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4 \mid f_1 f_2 f_1^{-1} = f_3^{-1} f_4 f_3 f_4^{-1} f_2 \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\Gamma_i = \pi_1(\Sigma_i)$, and set $\tilde{\Gamma} := \Gamma_1 * \Gamma_2 * \cdots * \Gamma_6$, the free product of the Γ_i . By the van Kampen theorem, the group $\tilde{\Gamma}$ is the fundamental group of

$$\Sigma := \Sigma_1 \vee \Sigma_2 \vee \cdots \vee \Sigma_6$$

obtained by joining together a base point from each of the Σ_i . Then the mapping defined by

$$\begin{array}{ll} a_1, b_1, c_1 \mapsto \alpha_1 & a_3, b_2, b_4, e_2 \mapsto \beta_2 \\ d_1, e_1, f_1 \mapsto \alpha_2 & c_2, d_3, e_4, e_6, f_2, f_4 \mapsto \beta_3 \\ a_2, a_4, b_3, d_2, d_4, e_3, e_5, f_3 \mapsto \beta_1 & \end{array}$$

sends relations of $\tilde{\Gamma}$ to relations of Γ , and it determines a surjective morphism

$$f : \tilde{\Gamma} \rightarrow \Gamma. \quad (5.1)$$

The map f also leads to the morphism of full group C^* -algebras below.

$$f : C^*(\tilde{\Gamma}) \rightarrow C^*(\Gamma).$$

Let $X = B\Gamma$, and denote by X_1, \dots, X_6 the 2-simplices of X corresponding to each R_i . The union of the X_i is the 2-skeleton $X^{(2)}$; and since X is a space of dimension 2, we have $X^{(2)} = X$. The map f in (5.1) induces a map at the level of the classifying spaces $f : B\tilde{\Gamma} \rightarrow B\Gamma = X$. Taking the 2-skeleton, we obtain a continuous map

$$f : \Sigma \rightarrow X \quad (5.2)$$

such that $f(\Sigma_i) = X_i$. Applying the fundamental group functor for (5.2) recovers

$$f : \pi_1(\Sigma) \rightarrow \pi_1(X)$$

in (5.1). By the functoriality of the Baum–Connes assembly map, we have the following commutative diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_0(\Sigma) & \xrightarrow{\mu} & K_0(C^*(\tilde{\Gamma})) \\ f_* \downarrow & & f_* \downarrow \\ K_0(B\Gamma) & \xrightarrow{\mu} & K_0(C^*(\Gamma)). \end{array}$$

Note that the map in (5.2) gives rise to the two isomorphisms

$$H_0(\Sigma) \simeq H_0(B\Gamma) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$$

and

$$f_* : H_2(\Sigma) \rightarrow H_2(B\Gamma), \quad [\Sigma_i] \mapsto [X_i].$$

The existence of an inverse Chern character map

$$H_{\text{even}}(\Sigma) = H_0(\Sigma) \oplus H_2(\Sigma) \rightarrow K_0(\Sigma)$$

sending the generator $[\Sigma_i] \in H_2(\Sigma)$ to $[D_{\Sigma_i}] \in K_0(\Sigma)$, where D_{Σ_i} is the Dirac operator on Σ_i , which induces an isomorphism at the level of K -homology classes, allows one to construct a morphism

$$\beta_t : H_{\text{even}}(B\Gamma) \simeq H_{\text{even}}(\Sigma) \rightarrow K_0(\Sigma) \xrightarrow{f_*} K_0(B\Gamma),$$

taking the composition with f_* on K -homology,

By construction,

$$\beta_t([X_i]) = f_*[D_{\Sigma_i}]. \quad (5.3)$$

The map β_t is part of the lower-left of diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} H_{\text{even}}(B\Gamma) & \xleftarrow{\simeq} & H_{\text{even}}(\Sigma) & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & K_0(\Sigma) & \xrightarrow{\mu} & K_0(C^*(\tilde{\Gamma})) \\ & & & & \downarrow f_* & & \downarrow f_* \\ & & & & K_0(B\Gamma) & \xrightarrow{\mu_r} & K_0(C_r^*(\Gamma)) \end{array}$$

β_t (dotted arrow from $H_{\text{even}}(B\Gamma)$ to $K_0(B\Gamma)$)
 β_a (dotted arrow from $H_{\text{even}}(B\Gamma)$ to $K_0(C_r^*(\Gamma))$)

The upper-right of this diagram is defined to be β_a ; by definition, (see [Val02])

$$\beta_a([X_i]) = f_*(\mu([D_{\Sigma_i}])).$$

The commutativity of this diagram is implied by the following lemma.

Lemma 5.4 ([Val02] Prop. 7.3). *The diagram commutes with β_t rationally injective:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & H_{\text{even}}(\Gamma, \mathbb{Z}) & \\ \beta_t \swarrow & & \searrow \beta_a \\ K_0(B\Gamma) & \xrightarrow{\mu_r} & K_0(C_r^*(\Gamma)) \end{array}$$

That is, $\beta_a = \mu_r \circ \beta_t$.

Now, from our calculations (see Lemma 3.7), we have,

$$H_{\text{even}}(B\Gamma) \simeq K_0(B\Gamma) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^7$$

Knowing that β_t maps generators to generators from (5.3), and in view of the fact that β_t is rationally injective (see [Val02, Proposition 7.3]), β_t is an isomorphism. The commutativity $\beta_a = \mu_r \circ \beta_t$ hence implies that β_a is an isomorphism if and only if μ_r is an isomorphism.

Since $\beta_a = \mu_r \circ \beta_t$, we can also describe the map μ_r explicitly.

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_r : K_0(B\Gamma) &\rightarrow K_0(C_r^*(\Gamma)) \\ f_*[D_{\Sigma_i}] &\mapsto f_*\mu_r([D_{\Sigma_i}]) \end{aligned}$$

We are ready to prove the following theorem.

Theorem 5.5. *The assembly map*

$$\mu_r : K_0(B\Gamma) \rightarrow K_0(C_r^*(\Gamma))$$

is an isomorphism, with

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_r((f_*[D_{\Sigma_i}]) &= f_*(\mu[D_{\Sigma_i}]), & 1 \leq i \leq 6 \\ \mu_r(1) &= [1]. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Consider the trivial homomorphism $1: \Gamma \rightarrow \{e\}$. It induces a map $B\Gamma \rightarrow \{\text{pt}\}$ and a map $C^*(\Gamma) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that the K-homology and K-theory functor lead to two morphisms $1_* : K_0(B\Gamma) \rightarrow K_0(\text{pt})$ and $1_* : K_0(C^*(\Gamma)) \rightarrow K_0(\mathbb{C})$. The first morphism in K-homology is a surjective map capturing the 0-simplex of $B\Gamma$. The functoriality of the Baum–Connes assembly map gives rise to the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_0(B\Gamma) & \xrightarrow{\mu} & K_0(C^*(\Gamma)) \\ 1_* \downarrow & & 1_* \downarrow \\ K_0(\text{pt}) & \xrightarrow{\mu_0} & K_0(\mathbb{C}) \end{array}$$

where μ_0 is the identity map from \mathbb{Z} to itself. Let $i = 1$ or 2 , and let $j = 1, 2$, or 3 . Denote by ϕ_{ij} the surjective morphism given by

$$\phi_{ij} : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^2 \quad \alpha_p \mapsto \delta_{pi}\alpha_i, \quad \beta_p \mapsto \delta_{pj}\beta_j,$$

where δ_{ij} is the Kronecker delta. As above, it induces two maps

$$\phi_{ij} : B\Gamma \rightarrow B\mathbb{Z}^2 \simeq T^2, \quad \phi_{ij} : C^*(\Gamma) \rightarrow C^*(\mathbb{Z}^2),$$

where we denote by T the torus. Note that the map on the classifying space is given by collapsing all 1-cells which do not represent α_i or β_j to a point, and collapsing all 2-cells that do not represent the group relation involving $\alpha_i\beta_j\alpha_i^{-1}$ (denoted R_{ij}) to a point. Thus, the induced map on K-homology $\phi_{ij,*} : K_0(B\Gamma) \rightarrow K_0(T^2)$ is a surjective map that maps the 2-cell represented by R_{ij} to the Bott generator of $K_0(T^2)$. As above, we also have the induced map on K-theory $\phi_{ij,*} : K_0(C^*(\Gamma)) \rightarrow K_0(C^*(\mathbb{Z}^2))$ the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_0(B\Gamma) & \xrightarrow{\mu} & K_0(C^*(\Gamma)) \\ \phi_{ij,*} \downarrow & & \phi_{ij,*} \downarrow \\ K_0(T^2) & \xrightarrow{\mu_{ij}} & K_0(C^*(\mathbb{Z}^2)) \end{array}$$

Here μ_{ij} is the assembly map for \mathbb{Z}^2 . Putting 7 diagrams (involving 1_* and $\phi_{ij,*}$ where $i = 1, 2$

and $j = 1, 2, 3$) together, we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_0(B\Gamma) & \xrightarrow{\mu} & K_0(C^*(\Gamma)) \\ \phi_* \downarrow & & \downarrow \phi_* \\ K_0(\text{pt}) \oplus \left[\bigoplus_{i,j} \tilde{K}_0(T^2) \right] & \xrightarrow[\simeq]{\mu'} & K_0(\mathbb{C}) \oplus \left[\bigoplus_{i,j} \tilde{K}_0(C^*(\mathbb{Z}^2)) \right]. \end{array}$$

Here, $\tilde{K}_0(T^2)$ is the reduced K -homology, excluding elements generated by the trivial cycle from $K_0(T^2)$, and $\tilde{K}_0(C^*(\mathbb{Z}^2))$ is the reduced K -theory, eliminating elements generated by the trivial projection from $K_0(C^*(\mathbb{Z}^2))$. By construction, ϕ_* on K -homology (the left arrow) is an isomorphism. It is well known that μ' is an isomorphism for abelian groups \mathbb{Z}^2 and for the trivial group $\{e\}$. Together with the commutativity of the diagram, the map ϕ_* on K -theory (the right arrow) is surjective. Because ϕ_* is a surjective group homomorphism from \mathbb{Z}^7 to itself, we conclude that ϕ_* on K -theory is an isomorphism. Therefore the commutativity of the diagram implies that μ for Γ is an isomorphism. As the group Γ is K -amenable, we have $K_*(C^*(\Gamma)) \simeq K_*(C_r^*(\Gamma))$, hence we find that the assembly map μ_r is an isomorphism. \square

5.3 Isomorphism for P_4

Let us now recover Oyono-Oyono's theorem for P_4 using the Künneth formula.

Theorem 5.6. *The assembly map*

$$\mu_r : K_i(BP_4) \rightarrow K_i(C_r^*(P_4))$$

is an isomorphism for $i = 0$ or 1 .

Proof. Note that the isomorphism $P_4 \simeq \Gamma \times \mathbb{Z}$ implies that

$$\begin{aligned} K_i(BP_4) &\simeq K_0(B\Gamma) \otimes K_i(B\mathbb{Z}) \oplus K_1(B\Gamma) \otimes K_{i+1}(B\mathbb{Z}), \\ K_i(C_r^*(P_4)) &\simeq K_0(C_r^*(\Gamma)) \otimes K_i(C_r^*(\mathbb{Z})) \oplus K_1(C_r^*(\Gamma)) \otimes K_{i+1}(C_r^*(\mathbb{Z})). \end{aligned}$$

Following the definition of the assembly map in [Val02] by twisting Mishchenko line bundles, we have $\mu_r^{P_4}(x \otimes y) = \mu_r^\Gamma(x) \otimes \mu_r^\mathbb{Z}(y)$ for $x \in K_i(B\Gamma)$ and $y \in K_j(B\mathbb{Z})$, which are represented by Dirac-type operators. Then the assembly map for P_4 is an isomorphism if $\mu_r : K_i(B\Gamma) \rightarrow K_i(C_r^*(\Gamma))$ for $i = 0, 1$ is an isomorphism. The theorem thus follows from Theorems 5.2 and 5.5. \square

5.4 Baum–Connes isomorphism for P_n

Let us now prove the following theorem, which is originally due to Oyono-Oyono.

Theorem 5.7 ([OO01] Proposition 7.2). *The Baum–Connes assembly map for the pure braid group P_n*

$$\mu_r : K_i(BP_n) \rightarrow K_i(C_r^*(P_n))$$

is an isomorphism.

For $k \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$, let

$$F_k := F_k(A_{1,k+1}, A_{2,k+1}, \dots, A_{k,k+1})$$

be the free subgroup in P_n (see section 2). There is a canonical homomorphism

$$\rho : P_n \rightarrow F_1 \times F_2 \times \dots \times F_{n-1}, \quad A_{s,t} \mapsto (e, \dots, e, A_{s,t}, e, \dots, e)$$

where e is the identity element; here, $A_{s,t} \in F_{t-1}$. In particular, all relations in the presentation for P_n reduce to the form

$$\rho(A_{r,s})\rho(A_{i,j}) = \rho(A_{i,j})\rho(A_{r,s}), \quad i < j, \quad r < s, \quad s < j$$

in the image. The map ρ induces maps between the classifying spaces and the C^* -algebras:

$$B\rho : BP_n \rightarrow BF_1 \times \dots \times BF_{n-1}, \quad \rho : C^*(P_n) \rightarrow C^*(F_1) \otimes \dots \otimes C^*(F_{n-1}).$$

Consider the induced maps on K -homology and K -theory. By the functoriality of the Baum–Connes assembly map, one has the following commutative diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_i(BP_n) & \xrightarrow{\mu} & K_i(C^*(P_n)) \\ B\rho_* \downarrow & & \downarrow \rho_* \\ K_i(BF_1 \times \dots \times BF_{n-1}) & \xrightarrow[\simeq]{\mu'} & K_i(C^*(F_1) \otimes \dots \otimes C^*(F_{n-1})) \end{array} \quad (5.4)$$

Let us describe the map $B\rho$ as a morphism between CW-complexes. For $0 \leq r \leq n$, choose pairs of numbers (i_k, j_k) , where $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$, satisfying

$$1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_r \leq n, \quad 1 \leq j_k < i_k. \quad (5.5)$$

It can be checked that every r -simplex of BP_n depends uniquely on the pairs (i_k, j_k) , where $1 \leq k \leq r$. Denote the r -simplex by $[A_{j_1, i_1}, \dots, A_{j_r, i_r}]$. Note that for a fixed r , the number of distinct r -simplices in BP_n is equal to

$$\sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_r \leq n} (i_1 - 1) \cdots (i_r - 1) = a_r,$$

which is the rank of the free part of $H^r(BP_n)$. Recall that $a_0 + \dots + a_n = n!$, so that BP_n has $n!$ simplices in total.

Example 5.8. *The CW complex BP_4 has 1 0-simplex; 6 1-simplices $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3$; 11 2-simplices $R_1, R_2, R_3, R_4, R_5, R_6, c \times \alpha_1, c \times \alpha_2, c \times \beta_1, c \times \beta_2, c \times \beta_3$; and 6 3-simplices $R_i \times c$ for $i \in \{1, \dots, 6\}$.*

The map $B\rho : BP_n \rightarrow BF_1 \times \cdots \times BF_{n-1}$ is defined by sending the r -simplex $[A_{j_1, i_1}, \dots, A_{j_r, i_r}]$ in BP_n to the r -simplex

$$([A_{j_1, i_1}], \dots, [A_{j_r, i_r}]) \in BF_{i_1-1} \times \cdots \times BF_{i_r-1} \subset BF_1 \times \cdots \times BF_{n-1}.$$

Observe that $B\rho$ gives rise to an isomorphism

$$B\rho_* : H_i(BP_n) \rightarrow H_i(BF_1 \times \cdots \times BF_{n-1}).$$

Because the Chern character maps

$$\text{Ch} : K_{0/1}(BP_n) \rightarrow H_{\text{even/odd}}(BP_n)$$

$$\text{Ch} : K_{0/1}(BF_{i_r-1} \subset BF_1 \times \cdots \times BF_{n-1}) \rightarrow H_{\text{even/odd}}(BF_1 \times \cdots \times BF_{n-1})$$

are isomorphisms, and by the functoriality of the Chern character, we obtain an isomorphism on K -homology

$$B\rho_* : K_i(BP_n) \rightarrow K_i(BF_1 \times \cdots \times BF_{n-1}), \quad i = 0, 1.$$

Because the Baum–Connes conjecture holds for free groups, we obtain that μ' in (5.4) is an isomorphism. By the commutativity of (5.4), the map on K -theory

$$\rho_* : K_i(C^*(P_n)) \rightarrow K_i(C^*(F_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes C^*(F_{n-1}))$$

is surjective. It is an easy exercise to compute that $K_i(C^*(F_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes C^*(F_{n-1})) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^{\frac{n!}{2}}$. Thus ρ_* is a surjective morphism from $\mathbb{Z}^{\frac{n!}{2}}$ to itself. So ρ_* is in fact an isomorphism. Therefore μ is an isomorphism, by the commutativity of the diagram (5.4). Observing that P_n is K -amenable, Theorem 5.7 is then proved.

6 The full braid group on three stands B_3

In this section we consider full braid groups. The Baum–Connes correspondence for B_n is known to be an isomorphisms by the work of Schick ([Sch07]). We provide the explicit description in the case $n = 3$, modulo torsion.

6.1 K -homology of BB_n

Modulo torsion, the K -homology of BB_n is easier to compute using the rational isomorphism of the Chern character:

$$\text{Ch} : K_0(BB_n) \rightarrow \bigoplus_i H_{2i}(B_n, \mathbb{Z}), \quad \text{Ch} : K_1(BB_n) \rightarrow \bigoplus_i H_{2i-1}(B_n, \mathbb{Z}).$$

Arnold computed the integral cohomology ring of the braid groups:

$$H^0(B_n, \mathbb{Z}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}, \quad H^1(B_n, \mathbb{Z}) \simeq \mathbb{Z},$$

and $H^i(B_n, \mathbb{Z})$ is finite for $i > 1$; see [Arn69] and [Ver98]. By Poincaré duality, we obtain the following result.

Proposition 6.1. *Up to torsion,*

$$K_0(BB_n) \simeq K_1(BB_n) \simeq \mathbb{Z}.$$

Remark 6.2. Calculating $K_*(BB_n)$ is a challenging task, since $K_*(BB_n)$ may contain torsion. For example, in Example 5.10 in [LOS21], the K -theory of the reduced group C^* -algebra of B_4 is computed to be

$$K_0(C_r^*(B_4)) \simeq \mathbb{Z} \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}), \quad K_1(C_r^*(B_4)) \simeq \mathbb{Z}.$$

By the Baum-Connes isomorphism for the braid group, one knows that $K_0(BB_4)$ has torsion.

6.2 K-theory of $C_r^*(B_3)$

Let $B_3 = \langle \sigma_1, \sigma_2 \mid \sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_1 = \sigma_2\sigma_1\sigma_2 \rangle$ be the braid group on three strands. The center of this group is generated by $(\sigma_1\sigma_2)^3 = (\sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_1)^2$. Let $x = \sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_1$ and $y = \sigma_1\sigma_2$. Then B_3 can be presented alternatively as

$$B_3 \simeq \langle x, y \mid x^2 = y^3 \rangle,$$

where $\langle x^2 \rangle = \langle y^3 \rangle = Z(B_3)$. Setting $G = \langle x \rangle$, $H = \langle y \rangle$ and $K = \langle x^2 \rangle = \langle y^3 \rangle$, then

$$B_3 = \langle x \rangle *_{Z(B_3)} \langle y \rangle = G *_K H.$$

For an amalgamated free product, one has the following six-term exact sequence (See [Nat85] Theorem A1).

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} K_0(C_r^*(K)) & \xrightarrow{a} & K_0(C_r^*(G)) \oplus K_0(C_r^*(H)) & \xrightarrow{d} & K_0(C_r^*(B_3)) \\ \uparrow & & & & \downarrow \\ K_1(C_r^*(B_3)) & \xleftarrow{c} & K_1(C_r^*(G)) \oplus K_1(C_r^*(H)) & \xleftarrow{b} & K_1(C_r^*(K)) \end{array}$$

Note that $K_i(C_r^*(K)) = K_i(C_r^*(G)) = K_i(C_r^*(H)) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$. By definition,

$$\begin{aligned} a : \mathbb{Z} &\rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}, & a(x) &= (x, x); \\ b : \mathbb{Z} &\rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}, & b(x) &= (2x, 3x). \end{aligned}$$

Thus a and b are injective, and then c and d are surjective. Therefore we have

$$K_1(C_r^*(B_3)) \simeq \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/\text{im}(b) \simeq \mathbb{Z},$$

where the last isomorphism is due to the linear transformation

$$\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}, \quad (x, y) \mapsto (3x - 2y, -x + y)$$

in $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$. Similarly,

$$K_0(C_r^*(B_3)) \simeq \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/\text{im}(a) \simeq \mathbb{Z}.$$

Thus the following proposition is proved.

Proposition 6.3. *We have that*

1. $K_0(C_r^*(B_3)) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$ is generated by the unit of $C_r^*(B_3)$, and
2. $K_1(C_r^*(B_3)) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$ is generated by $[\sigma_1] = [\sigma_2]$.

Remark 6.4. The K -theory of $C_r^*(B_4)$ is computed in [LOS21], Example 5.10. At present, we are not aware of any direct method of computing $K_*(C_r^*(B_n))$ when $n \geq 5$.

The proof of the Baum–Connes isomorphism (rationally) for B_3 can be carried out analogous to Theorem 5.2 by considering the trivial morphism $B_3 \rightarrow \{e\}$, the quotient morphism $B_3 \rightarrow B_3/[B_3, B_3] \simeq \mathbb{Z}$, and these commutative diagrams:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_0(BB_3) & \xrightarrow{\mu} & K_0(C_r^*(B_3)) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ K_0(B\{e\}) & \xrightarrow{\mu'} & K_0(C_r^*(\{e\})) \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} K_1(BB_3) & \xrightarrow{\mu} & K_1(C_r^*(\Gamma)) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ K_1(B\mathbb{Z}) & \xrightarrow{\mu'} & K_1(C_r^*(\mathbb{Z})) \end{array}$$

Theorem 6.5. *The Baum–Connes assembly map*





$$K_i(BB_3) \rightarrow K_i(C_r^*(B_3)), \quad i = 0, 1$$

is an isomorphism rationally.



7 Appendix

In this appendix, we give some of diagrams that illustrate the structure of pure braid groups.


Generators of P_4




Relations for $F(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \times F(\sigma_1^2)$




Relations for $F(\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3) \times (F(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \times F(\sigma_1^2))$




$$\sigma_1^2 \beta_1 \sigma_1^{-2} = \beta_1$$




$$\sigma_1^2 \beta_2 \sigma_1^{-2} = (\beta_3 \beta_2)^{-1} \beta_2 (\beta_3 \beta_2)$$




$$\sigma_1^2 \beta_2 \sigma_1^{-2} = (\beta_3 \beta_2)^{-1} \beta_2 (\beta_3 \beta_2)$$




$$\alpha_1 \beta_1 \alpha_1^{-1} = (\beta_2 \beta_1)^{-1} \beta_1 (\beta_2 \beta_1)$$





$$\alpha_1 \beta_2 \alpha_1^{-1} = \beta_1^{-1} \beta_2 \beta_1$$




$$\alpha_1 \beta_3 \alpha_1^{-1} = \beta_3$$




$$\alpha_2 \beta_1 \alpha_2^{-1} = (\beta_3 \beta_1)^{-1} \beta_1 (\beta_3 \beta_1)$$




$$\alpha_2 \beta_3 \alpha_2^{-1} = \beta_1^{-1} \beta_3 \beta_1$$




$$\alpha_2 \beta_2 \alpha_2^{-1} = (\beta_3 \beta_1)^{-1} (\beta_1 \beta_3) \beta_2 (\beta_1 \beta_3)^{-1} (\beta_3 \beta_1)$$



The center of P_4



$$(\sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sigma_3)^4 = \sigma_1^2 \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \beta_1 \beta_2 \beta_3$$



These diagrams show that

$$F(\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3) \rtimes (F(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \rtimes \langle \sigma_1^2 \rangle) = (F(\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3) \rtimes F(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)) \times \langle \sigma_1^2 \alpha_2 \alpha_1 \beta_3 \beta_2 \beta_1 \rangle.$$

References

- [ACC03] A. Adem, D. Cohen, and F.R. Cohen. On representations and K -theory of the braid groups. *Math. Ann.*, 326(3):515–542, 2003.
- [Arn69] V. I. Arnol'd. The cohomology ring of the group of dyed braids. *Mat. Zametki*, 5:227–231, 1969.

- [BCH94] P. Baum, A. Connes, and N. Higson. Classifying space for proper actions and K -theory of group C^* -algebras. In *C^* -algebras: 1943–1993 (San Antonio, TX, 1993)*, volume 167 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 240–291. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1994.
- [Bir74] J. S. Birman. *Braids, links, and mapping class groups*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J.; University of Tokyo Press, Tokyo, 1974. *Annals of Mathematics Studies*, No. 82.
- [CE01] J. Chabert and S. Echterhoff. Permanence properties of the Baum-Connes conjecture. *Doc. Math.*, 6:127–183, 2001.
- [CELY17] J. Cuntz, S. Echterhoff, X. Li, and G. Yu. *K -theory for group C^* -algebras and semigroup C^* -algebras*, volume 47 of *Oberwolfach Seminars*. Birkhäuser/Springer, Cham, 2017.
- [Cha17] I. Chatterji. Introduction to the rapid decay property. In *Around Langlands correspondences*, volume 691 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 53–72. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2017.
- [Cun82] J. Cuntz. The K -groups for free products of C^* -algebras. In *Operator algebras and applications, Part I (Kingston, Ont., 1980)*, volume 38 of *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.*, pages 81–84. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1982.
- [Cun83] J. Cuntz. K -theoretic amenability for discrete groups. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 344:180–195, 1983.
- [FPV17] R. Flores, S. Pooya, and A. Valette. K -homology and K -theory for the lamplighter groups of finite groups. *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3)*, 115(6):1207–1226, 2017.
- [GAJV19] M. P. Gomez-Aparicio, P. Julg, and A. Valette. *The Baum-Connes conjecture: an extended survey*, pages 127–244. Springer International Publishing, Cham, 2019.
- [HK01] N. Higson and G. Kasparov. E -theory and KK -theory for groups which act properly and isometrically on Hilbert space. *Invent. Math.*, 144(1):23–74, 2001.
- [Isl11] O. Isley. K -theory and k -homology for semi-direct products of \mathbb{Z}_2 by \mathbb{Z} . Thèse de doctorat, Université de Neuchâtel, 2011.
- [JV84] P. Julg and A. Valette. K -theoretic amenability for $SL_2(\mathbf{Q}_p)$, and the action on the associated tree. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 58(2):194–215, 1984.
- [Laf02] V. Lafforgue. K -théorie bivariante pour les algèbres de Banach et conjecture de Baum-Connes. *Invent. Math.*, 149(1):1–95, 2002.

- [LOS21] X. Li, T. Omland, and J. Spielberg. C^* -algebras of right LCM one-relator monoids and Artin-Tits monoids of finite type. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 381(3):1263–1308, 2021.
- [Mat02] M. Matthey. Mapping the homology of a group to the K -theory of its C^* -algebra. *Illinois J. Math.*, 46(3):953–977, 2002.
- [MP80] D. Voiculescu M. Pimsner. Exact sequences for K -groups and Ext-groups of certain cross-product C^* -algebras. *J. Operator Theory*, 4(1):93–118, 1980.
- [MV03] G. Mislin and A. Valette. *Proper group actions and the Baum-Connes conjecture*. Advanced Courses in Mathematics. CRM Barcelona. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2003.
- [Nat85] Toshikazu Natsume. On $K_*(C^*(\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{Z})))$. Appendix to “ K -theory for certain group C^* -algebras” [Acta Math. **151** (1983), no. 3-4, 209–230; MR0723010 (86f:46076)] by E. C. Lance. *J. Operator Theory*, 13(1):103–118, 1985.
- [Nat88] Toshikazu Natsume. The Baum-Connes conjecture, the commutator theorem, and Rieffel projections. *C. R. Math. Rep. Acad. Sci. Canada*, 10(1):13–18, 1988.
- [OO01] H. Oyono-Oyono. Baum-Connes conjecture and group actions on trees. *K-Theory*, 24(2):115–134, 2001.
- [Poo19] S. Pooya. K -theory and K -homology of finite wreath products with free groups. *Illinois J. Math.*, 63(2):317–334, 2019.
- [PV82] M. Pimsner and D. Voiculescu. K -groups of reduced crossed products by free groups. *J. Operator Theory*, 8(1):131–156, 1982.
- [PV18] S. Pooya and A. Valette. K -theory for the C^* -algebras of the solvable Baumslag-Solitar groups. *Glasg. Math. J.*, 60(2), 2018.
- [Sch07] T. Schick. Finite group extensions and the Baum-Connes conjecture. *Geom. Topol.*, 11:1767–1775, 2007.
- [Val02] A. Valette. *Introduction to the Baum-Connes conjecture*. Lectures in Mathematics ETH Zürich. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2002. From notes taken by Indira Chatterji, With an appendix by Guido Mislin.
- [Ver98] V. V. Vershinin. Homology of braid groups and their generalizations. In *Knot theory (Warsaw, 1995)*, volume 42 of *Banach Center Publ.*, pages 421–446. Polish Acad. Sci. Inst. Math., Warsaw, 1998.
- [Wal67] C. T. C. Wall. Poincaré complexes. I. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 86:213–245, 1967.

- [Was87] A. Wassermann. Une démonstration de la conjecture de Connes-Kasparov pour les groupes de Lie linéaires connexes réductifs. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.*, 304(18):559–562, 1987.
- [Wil] J. Wilson. The geometry and topology of braid groups, available at, <http://www.math.lsa.umich.edu/~jchw/RTG-Braids.pdf>.
- [Wil07] D. P. Williams. *Crossed Products of C^* -Algebras*. Mathematical Surveys and Monographs. AMS, 2007.

SARA AZZALI, INSTITUT FÜR MATHEMATIK UND INFORMATIK, UNIVERSITÄT GREIFSWALD,
WALTHER-RATHENAU-STR. 47, 17489 GREIFSWALD GERMANY, sara.azzali@uni-greifswald.de

SARAH L. BROWNE, THE UNIVERSITY OF KANSAS, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, 1460
JAYHAWK BLVD, LAWRENCE, KS, 66045. slbrowne@ku.edu

MARIA PAULA GOMEZ APARICIO, UNIVERSITÉ PARIS-SACLAY, CNRS, LABORATOIRE DE
MATHÉMATIQUES D'ORSAY, 91405, ORSAY, FRANCE,
maria-paula.gomez-aporicio@universite-paris-saclay.fr

LAUREN C. RUTH, MERCY COLLEGE, 555 BROADWAY, DOBBS FERRY, NY 10522, USA
LRuth@mercy.edu

HANG WANG, SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, EAST CHINA NORMAL UNIVERSITY,
SHANGHAI, CHINA 200241 wanghang@math.ecnu.edu.cn