

Generic versions of a result in the theory of Hardy spaces

V. Nestoridis, E. Thirios

Abstract

We show generic existence of functions f in the Hardy space H^p ($0 < p < 1$) on the open unit disc whose primitive $F(f)$ satisfies the following.

- i) $F(f) \in H^q$, where $q = \frac{p}{1-p}$.
- (ii) For every $a > q$ and every $A, B \in \mathbb{R}$, $A < B$ it holds

$$\sup_{0 < r < 1} \int_A^B |F(f)(re^{i\theta})|^a d\theta = +\infty.$$

- iii) The functions f and $F(f)$ are totally unbounded and hence non-extendable.

Results of similar nature are valid when the space H^p is replaced by localized versions of it, $0 < p < 1$, or intersections of such spaces.

AMS: Classification number: 30H10

Keywords and phrases: Hardy spaces, primitive, Baire's theorem, topological genericity, algebraic genericity.

1. Introduction

If there exists an object with a “bad” property, then a general principle is that there are many such objects and their set is big in various senses. For instance the set of irrational real numbers is uncountable while the set of rationals Q is countable. Also $R \setminus Q$ is bigger than Q with respect to measure or category.

A classical result in the theory of Hardy spaces in the unit disc states that if $0 < p < 1$ and $f \in H^p$ then its primitive $F(f)$ belongs to H^q where $q = \frac{p}{1-p}$. Furthermore, if $a > q$, then there exists $g \in H^p$ such that $F(g) \notin H^a$. According to the previously mentioned general principle we show that there are a lot of functions $g \in H^p$ with $F(g) \notin H^a$ and that their set is a G_δ and dense subset of H^p . Furthermore we show that the function g may be chosen independently of a ($a > q$) and that generically their primitives do not belong, even not locally, to Hardy spaces of higher order $a > q$. We

also obtain similar results when H^p , $0 < p < 1$, is replaced by localized versions of it, or intersections of such spaces.

In order to prove the above results we use a result of M. Siskaki which roughly speaking states that under some assumptions, if an unbounded function exists, then their set is G_δ and dense in a topological vector space, not necessarily complete. Thus, our first result does not use the completeness of the Hardy spaces. However, more advanced results make use of Baire's theorem and we need the completeness of the space. Those results are results of topological genericity. We also prove a result of algebraic genericity; that is we show that the set of $g \in H^p$ ($0 < p < 1$) such that for a precise $a > q = \frac{p}{1-p}$ the primitive $F(g)$ does not belong to H^a , contains a vector subspace except 0 dense in H^p . We do not have obtained any result of spaceability; that is, that the above set contains an infinite dimensional closed vector subspace of H^p except 0.

The organization of the paper is as follows. Section 2. contains all preliminary results needed for the proofs of our results, which are contained in Section 3..

For the use of Baire's theorem in mathematical analysis we refer to [6], [4]. For algebraic genericity and spaceability we refer to [1], [2].

2. Preliminaries

Let $D = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ be the open unit disc. A holomorphic function $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ belongs to the Hardy space H^p ($0 < p < +\infty$) if $\sup_{0 < r < 1} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |f(re^{i\theta})|^p d\theta < +\infty$. It belongs to the Hardy space H^∞ if $\sup_{|z| < 1} |f(z)| < +\infty$. The space H^∞ endowed with supremum norm on D is a Banach space, but polynomials are not dense in this space. For $1 \leq p < +\infty$ the space H^p endowed with the norm

$$\|f\|_p = \sup_{0 < r < 1} \left\{ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |f(re^{i\theta})|^p d\theta \right\}^{1/p}$$

is also a Banach space. Then for $f, g \in H^p$ their distance is

$$d_p(f, g) = \sup_{0 < r < 1} \left\{ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |f(re^{i\theta}) - g(re^{i\theta})|^p d\theta \right\}^{1/p}, \quad 1 \leq p < +\infty.$$

For $0 < p < 1$ we endow H^p with the metric

$$d_p(f, g) = \sup_{0 < r < 1} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |f(re^{i\theta}) - g(re^{i\theta})|^p d\theta, \quad f, g \in H^p, \quad 0 < p < 1$$

and then H^p becomes a topological vector space endowed with a metric invariant by translation which is complete (F -space). For $0 < p < +\infty$ polynomials are dense in H^p .

Also convergence in H^p , $0 < p \leq +\infty$ implies uniform convergence on each compact subset of D . All above are well known; see for example [3], [8].

For $a, b \in (0, +\infty]$, $a < b$ we have $H^b \subset H^a$ and the injection map is continuous. Jensen's inequality implies that the map $a \rightarrow \sup_{0 < r < 1} \left\{ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |f(re^{i\theta})|^a d\theta \right\}^{1/a}$ is increasing. Obviously we also have $\sup_{0 < r < 1} \left\{ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |f(re^{i\theta})|^p d\theta \right\}^{1/p} \leq \sup_{|z| < 1} |f(z)|$.

Next for $0 < a \leq +\infty$ we consider the intersection $\bigcap_{p < a} H^p$.

Convergence in this space is equivalent with convergence in all spaces H^p , $p < a$. Equivalently, we consider a strictly increasing sequence p_n converging to a and the metric in $\bigcap_{p < a} H^p$ is defined by

$$d(f, g) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \frac{d_{p_n}(f, g)}{1 + d_{p_n}(f, g)}, \quad f, g \in \bigcap_{p < a} H^p.$$

This space is also complete, in fact an F -space. Obviously convergence in $\bigcap_{p < a} H^p$ implies uniform convergence on each compact subset of D .

Proposition 2.1. *Polynomials are dense in $\bigcap_{p < a} H^p$, for every a , $0 < a \leq +\infty$.*

For the proof it suffices for $f \in \bigcap_{p < a} H^p$ to control $d_{p_n}(f, P)$, for $n = 1, \dots, N$ for any finite N . Because of the monotonicity of the map $p \rightarrow \sup_{0 < r < 1} \left\{ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |f(re^{i\theta}) - P(re^{i\theta})|^p d\theta \right\}^{1/p}$ it suffices to control $d_{p_N}(f, P)$. But this is possible, because polynomials are dense in H^{p_N} since $p_N < +\infty$. Thus Proposition 2.1 holds.

Next we present localized versions of the previous spaces ([9], [10]).

Let $0 < p < +\infty$ and $A, B \in \mathbb{R}$, $A < B$. Then a holomorphic function $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ belongs to $H_{[A, B]}^p$ if $\sup_{0 < r < 1} \int_A^B |f(re^{i\theta})|^p \frac{d\theta}{B - A} < +\infty$ and to $H_{[A, B]}^\infty$ if $\sup_{0 < r < 1} \sup_{A \leq \theta \leq B} |f(re^{i\theta})| < +\infty$. Because of the monotonicity of the function

$a \rightarrow \sup_{0 < r < 1} \left\{ \int_A^B |f(re^{i\theta})|^a \frac{d\theta}{B - A} \right\}^{1/a}$ it follows $H_{[A, B]}^b \subset H_{[A, B]}^a$ for $0 < a < b \leq +\infty$.

Convergence in $H_{[A, B]}^p$ of a sequence f_n towards f , $f_n, f \in H_{[A, B]}^p$ is equivalent to uniform convergence on all compact subsets of D and

$$\sup_{0 < r < 1} \left\{ \int_A^B |f_n(re^{i\theta}) - f(re^{i\theta})|^p \frac{d\theta}{B - A} \right\}^{1/p} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow +\infty} 0 \quad \text{for } 0 < p < +\infty \quad \text{and}$$

$$\sup_{0 < r < 1} \sup_{A \leq \theta \leq B} |f_n(re^{i\theta}) - f(re^{i\theta})| \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow +\infty} 0 \quad \text{for } p = +\infty.$$

The metric giving this topology in $H_{[A,B]}^p$ is defined by

$$d_{p,[A,B]}(f, g) = \sup_{0 < r < 1} \left\{ \int_A^B |f_n(re^{i\theta}) - g(re^{i\theta})|^p \cdot \frac{d\theta}{B-A} \right\}^{1/p} \\ + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \frac{\sup_{|z| \leq 1 - \frac{1}{n}} |f(z) - g(z)|}{1 + \sup_{|z| \leq 1 - \frac{1}{n}} |f(z) - g(z)|} \quad \text{for } 1 \leq p < +\infty$$

$$d_{p,[A,B]}(f, g) = \sup_{0 < r < 1} \int_A^B |f(re^{i\theta}) - g(re^{i\theta})|^p \frac{d\theta}{B-A} \\ + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \frac{\sup_{|z| \leq 1 - \frac{1}{n}} |f(z) - g(z)|}{1 + \sup_{|z| \leq 1 - \frac{1}{n}} |f(z) - g(z)|} \quad \text{for } 0 < p < 1 \quad \text{and}$$

$$d_{\infty,[A,B]} = \sup_{0 < r < 1} \sup_{A \leq \theta \leq B} |f(z) - g(z)| \\ + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \frac{\sup_{|z| \leq 1 - \frac{1}{n}} |f(z) - g(z)|}{1 + \sup_{|z| \leq 1 - \frac{1}{n}} |f(z) - g(z)|} \quad \text{for } p = +\infty.$$

Obviously, convergence in $H_{[A,B]}^p$ implies uniform convergence on all compact subsets of D . Also for $0 < a < b \leq +\infty$ the injection map $H_{[AS,B]}^b \subset H_{[A,B]}^a$ is continuous. Finally these spaces are complete, in fact F -spaces and $H_{[A,B]}^p = H^p$ when $B - A \geq 2\pi$ and in general $H^p \subset H_{[A,B]}^p$, provided $A < B$.

Let $0 < a \leq +\infty$. Convergence in the space $\bigcap_{p < a} H_{[A,B]}^p$ is equivalent to convergence in all $H_{[A,B]}^p$ for $p < a$. A metric in $\bigcap_{p < a} H_{[A,B]}^p$ compatible with this topology is given by

$$d(f, g) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \frac{d_{p_n,[A,B]}(f, g)}{1 + d_{p_n,[A,B]}(f, g)}$$

where p_n is any strictly increasing sequence converging to a . This space is complete, in fact an F -space. Obviously convergence in $\bigcap_{p < a} H_{[A,B]}^p$ implies uniform convergence on all compact subsets of D .

Consider the function $\frac{1}{(1-z)}$. It is well known that for $p > 0$, this function belongs to H^p if and only if $p < 1$; see for example [3]. The same holds for $\frac{1}{e^{i\omega} - z}$ for any $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$. If f is holomorphic on the open unit disc we denote by $F(f)$ its primitive vanishing at 0; that is $F(f)(z) = \int_0^z f(\zeta) d\zeta$. The primitive of the function $\frac{1}{e^{i\omega} - z}$

is $-\log \frac{1}{e^{i\omega} - z}$ which belongs to H^p for all $p \in (0, +\infty)$, but not to H^∞ . Consider the function $\frac{1}{(e^{i\omega} - z)^\gamma}$, $\gamma > 0$. If $p > 0$, this function belongs to H^p , if and only if $p < \frac{1}{\gamma}$. For $\gamma = 1$ we mentioned that the primitive belongs to all H^p , $0 < p < +\infty$ but not to H^∞ . For $\gamma < 1$ the function $\frac{1}{(e^{i\omega} - z)^\gamma}$ belongs to H^1 ; hence, according to Hardy's inequality [3], its primitive has an absolutely convergent Taylor series on the closed unit disc and therefore, it is in H^∞ . For $1 < \gamma$ the function $\frac{1}{(e^{i\omega} - z)^\gamma}$, belongs to H^δ ($0 < \delta$) if and only if $0 < \delta < \frac{1}{\gamma}$ and the essential part of its primitive is $\frac{1}{(e^{i\omega} - z)^{\gamma-1}}$. This primitive belongs to H^β if and only if $\beta(\gamma - 1) < 1$, which is equivalent to $\beta < \frac{1}{\gamma - 1} = \frac{\frac{1}{\gamma}}{1 - \frac{1}{\gamma}}$.

Thus, if the function $\frac{1}{(e^{i\omega} - z)^\gamma}$, ($1 < \gamma$) belongs to an H^δ (which implies $0 < \delta < \frac{1}{\gamma}$), its primitive belongs to $H^{1-\delta}$ because $\frac{\delta}{1-\delta} < \frac{\frac{1}{\gamma}}{1 - \frac{1}{\gamma}}$. This is a particular case of the following more general result.

Theorem 2.2. ([3] Theorem 5.12). *Let $0 < p < 1$ and $f \in H^p$. Then its primitive $F(f)$ belongs to H^q , where $q = \frac{p}{1-p}$. Furthermore, for every $a > q$ there is a function g in H^p so that $F(g) \notin H^a$. Moreover, for every $W, Y, A, B \in \mathbb{R}$ with $W < Y$ and $A < B$ we can choose $g \in H^p \subset H^p_{[W, Y]}$ and $F(g) \notin H^a_{[A, B]}$. According to the previous discussion, it suffices to set $g(z) = \frac{1}{(e^{i\omega} - z)^\gamma}$ with $A < \omega < B$ and $\gamma \in \left[1 + \frac{1}{a}, \frac{1}{p}\right)$; the last interval is non-void because $a > q = \frac{p}{1-p}$ and $0 < p < 1$.*

In Section 3. we will show that the function g can be chosen independently of a ($a > q$) and A and B ($A < B$) and W and Y ($W < Y$). Certainly it will not be of the form $\frac{1}{(e^{i\omega} - z)^\gamma}$ and it will depend on p , $p \in (0, 1)$. Theorem 2.2 implies easily the following.

Proposition 2.3. *a) Let $0 < p < 1$ and $f \in \bigcap_{\beta < p} H^\beta$. Then $F(f) \in \bigcap_{\gamma < q} H^\gamma$, where $q = \frac{p}{1-p}$. Furthermore, if $W < Y$, $A < B$, and $a > q$ there is a function $g \in \bigcap_{\beta < p} H^\beta_{[W, Y]}$ such that $F(g) \notin H^a_{[A, B]}$.*

b) If $f \in \bigcap_{\beta < 1} H^\beta$, then $F(f) \in \bigcap_{\gamma < +\infty} H^\gamma$.

For part a) it suffices to set $g(z) = \frac{1}{(e^{i\omega} - z)^{1/p}}$ with $A < \omega < B$.

In Section 3. we will show that the function g in Proposition 2.3 a) can be chosen independently of W, Y, A, B and $a > q$ but it will depend on $p, o < p < 1$. In order to show that the set of these functions g is big will use a version of a result of M. Siskaki ([11]).

Proposition 2.4. *Let V be a topological vector space over the field \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C} . Let X be a non void set and \mathbb{C}^X the set of complex function defined on X .*

Let $T : V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^X$ be a linear map such that for every $x \in X$ the function $V \ni f \rightarrow T(f)(x) \in \mathbb{C}$ is continuous. Let $S = \{f \in V : T(f) \text{ is unbounded on } X\}$. Then, either $S = \emptyset$ or S is a G_δ and dense subset of V .

In [5] it was noticed that the previous result holds even if T is not linear but it satisfies

$$|T(f+g)(x)| \leq |T(f)(x)| + |T(g)(x)| \quad \text{andf} \quad |T(\lambda f)(x)| = |\lambda| |T(f)(x)|$$

for every scalar λ , every $f, g \in V$ and every $x \in X$.

The version that we will use is the following.

Proposition 2.5. *Let V be a topological vector space over the field \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C} . Let X be a non empty set and \mathbb{C}^X the set of complex functions defined on X . Let $T : V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^X$ be such that*

- 1) *For every $x \in X$ the function $V \ni f \rightarrow T(f)(x) \in \mathbb{C}$ is continuous.*
- 2) *$|T(f+g)(x)| \leq |T(f)(x)| + |T(g)(x)|$ for all $f, g \in V$ and $x \in X$.*
- 3) *For every $f \in V$ there is a function $\Psi : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ and a sequence $\lambda_n \in \mathbb{C} - \{0\}$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$ converging to 0 with $\Psi(\lambda_n) \neq 0$ for all $n = 1, 2, \dots$ and such that $|T(\lambda f)(x)| \geq \Psi(\lambda) |T(f)(x)|$ for every $x \in X$.*

We set $S = \{f \in V : T(f) \text{ is unbounded on } X\}$. Then, either $S = \emptyset$ or S is a G_δ and dense subset of V . In particular, the above holds if $\Psi(\lambda) \equiv |\lambda|^c$ for some constant $c \in (0, +\infty)$ independent of λ .

Proof. The proof that S is a G_δ is omitted, because it follows simply from (1) and is similar to the proof in [11].

Suppose $S \neq \emptyset$ and let $f \in S$. Thus, $T(f)$ is unbounded on X . If S is not dense, then there exist $g \in U$, U open in V with $U \cap S = \emptyset$ and $T(g)$ bounded on X . Therefore, there exists $M < +\infty$ such that $|T(g)(x)| < M$ for every $x \in X$.

Since V is a topological vector space it holds $g + \lambda_n f \rightarrow g$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$.

Since U is open, there exists n_0 such that for every $n \geq n_0$ it holds $g + \lambda_n f \in U$. Therefore, the function $T(g + \lambda_{n_0} f)$ is bounded on X .

According to our assumptions we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi(\lambda_{n_0}) |T(f)(x)| &\leq |T(\lambda_{n_0} f)(x)| = |T(g + \lambda_{n_0} f - g)(x)| \\ &\leq |T(g + \lambda_{n_0} f)(x)| + |T(g)(x)| < |T(g + \lambda_{n_0} f)(x)| + M \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in X$.

Since $\Psi(\lambda_{n_0}) \neq 0$ it follows that $T(f)$ is bounded on X , which contradicts the fact that $f \in S$. Thus, S is dense provided $S \neq \emptyset$. This completes the proof. ■

We close this section mentioning that a complex function defined on the open unit disc D of \mathbb{C} is called totally unbounded ([9], [10], [5], [7]) if it is unbounded on $D \cap D(\zeta_0, r)$ for every $r > 0$ and $\zeta_0 \in \partial D$ where $D(\zeta_0, r) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z - \zeta_0| < r\}$. If g is continuous on D , this is equivalent to $\sup_{0 < r < 1} \sup_{\theta \in [A, B]} |g(re^{i\theta})| = +\infty$ for every $A, B \in \mathbb{R}$, $A < B$. If g is holomorphic on D and totally unbounded, then g is non-extendable ([9], [10]).

3. The results

It is a general principle that if there exists an object with a “bad” property, then most of the objects have this property. In Theorem 2.2 we saw that for $0 < p < 1$ and $a > q = \frac{p}{1-p}$ there exists a function $g \in H^p$ such that its primitive $F(f)$ does not belong to H^a . We will show that the set of all such functions g in H^p is a G_δ and dense subset of H^p ; that is, we have topological genericity.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $0 < p < 1$, $a > q = \frac{p}{1-p}$, $A < B$ and $W < Y$. Then the set $\{f \in H^p_{[W, Y]} : F(f) \notin H^a_{[A, B]}\}$ is a G_δ and dense subset of $H^p_{[W, Y]}$.*

Proof of Prop. 3.1

Let $V = H^p_{[W, Y]}$, $X = (0, 1)$ and $T : V \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be given by

$$T(f, r) = \int_A^B |F(f)(re^{i\theta})|^a \frac{d\theta}{B-A}, \quad f \in V, \quad r \in X \quad \text{if } 0 < a < 1$$

and by

$$T(f, r) = \left\{ \int_A^B |F(f)(re^{i\theta})|^a \frac{d\theta}{B-A} \right\}^{1/a} \quad f \in V, \quad r \in X, \quad \text{if } a \geq 1.$$

Let $0 < r < 1$ and $z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = r$. Then $F(f)(z) = \int_0^z f(\zeta)d\zeta$, where the integral is over the segment $[0, z]$ which is subset of the compact disc $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| \leq r\} \subset D$. Because convergence in $H^p_{[W, Y]}$ implies uniform convergence of each compact subset of D , one easily can check that the assumptions of Proposition 2.5 are verified. Furthermore, according to Theorem 2.2 there exists $g \in V$, such that, $\sup_{r \in X} |T(g, r)| = +\infty$. It follows that the set $\{f \in V : \sup_{r \in X} |T(f, r)| = +\infty\}$ is a G_δ and dense subset of V . The proof is completed. ■

Proposition 3.2. *Let $0 < p < 1$, $a > q = \frac{p}{1-p}$ and $W < Y$. Then the set $\{f \in H_{[W,Y]}^p : \text{for every } A < B \text{ it holds } F(f) \notin H_{[A,B]}^a\}$ is G_δ and dense in $H_{[W,Y]}^p$.*

Proof. The assertion that for every $A < B$, $A, B \in \mathbb{R}$ it holds $F(f) \notin H_{[A,B]}^a$ is equivalent that for every $A < B$, A, B rational numbers it holds $F(f) \notin H_{[A,B]}^a$. According to Proposition 3.1 for every rational numbers A, B , $A < B$ the set $\{f \in H_{[W,Y]}^p : F(f) \notin H_{[A,B]}^a\}$ is a G_δ dense subset of the complete metric space $H_{[W,Y]}^p$. Baire's theorem implies that the denumerable intersection of these sets for all rational numbers A, B , $A < B$ is also a G_δ and dense subset of $H_{[W,Y]}^p$. This completes the proof. ■

Proposition 3.3. *Let $0 < p < 1$, $q = \frac{p}{1-p}$, $W < Y$ and $A < B$. Then the set $\{f \in H_{[W,Y]}^p : \text{for every } a > q \text{ it holds } F(f) \notin H_{[A,B]}^a\}$ is a G_δ and dense subset of $H_{[W,Y]}^p$.*

Proof. Because of the monotonicity of the function

$$a \rightarrow \sup_{0 < r < 1} \left\{ \int_A^B \left| F(f)(re^{i\theta}) \right|^a \frac{d\theta}{B-A} \right\}^{1/a}$$

the assertion that for every $a > q$ it holds $F(f) \notin H_{[A,B]}^a$ is equivalent to the assertion that for every rational number a , $a > q$ it holds $F(f) \notin H_{[A,B]}^a$.

Thus, the set of Proposition 3.3 is equal to the denumerable intersection for all rational numbers a , $a > q$ of the sets $\{f \in H_{[W,Y]}^p : F(f) \notin H_{[A,B]}^a\}$. According to Proposition 3.1 these sets are G_δ and dense in $H_{[W,Y]}^p$. Baire's theorem implies the result. ■

Theorem 3.4. *Let $0 < p < 1$ and $q = \frac{p}{1-p}$ and $W < Y$. Then the set $\{f \in H_{[W,Y]}^p : \text{for every } a > q \text{ and every } A, B \in \mathbb{R}, A < B \text{ it holds } F(f) \notin H_{[A,B]}^a\}$ is a G_δ and dense subset of $H_{[W,Y]}^p$.*

Proof. The set of Theorem 3.4 is equal to the intersection of the sets of Proposition 3.3 for all rational numbers A, B , $A > B$. Since these sets are G_δ and dense in the complete space $H_{[W,Y]}^p$ and the intersection is denumerable, Baire's theorem yields the result. ■

If $W - Y \geq 2\pi$, then $H_{[W,Y]}^p = H^p$. Combining in this case Theorem 3.4 with Theorem 2.2 we obtain the following.

Theorem 3.5. *Let $0 < p < 1$ and $q = \frac{p}{1-p}$. Then there is a function f in H^p such that its primitive $F(f)$ satisfies the following.*

$$i) \sup_{0 < r < 1} \int_0^{2\pi} |F(f)(re^{i\theta})|^q d\theta < +\infty.$$

ii) *For every $a > q$ and $A, B \in \mathbb{R}$, $A < B$ it holds $\sup_{0 < r < 1} \int_A^B |F(f)(re^{i\theta})|^a d\theta = +\infty$. Furthermore, the set of such functions f is a G_δ and dense subset of H^p .*

Remark 3.6. In the previous results the space $H_{[A,B]}^a$ $a > q$ may be replaced by the space $H_{[A,B]}^\infty$ and similar results hold. For the proof of the analogue of Proposition 3.1 when $a = +\infty$ it suffices to consider $V = H_{[W,Y]}^p$, $0 < p < 1$, $X = \{re^{i\theta} : 0 < r < 1, A \leq \theta \leq B\}$ and $T(f, re^{i\theta}) = F(f)(re^{i\theta})$, $f \in V$, $re^{i\theta} \in X$ and use the fact that there exists a function $g \in H^p \subset H_{[W,Y]}^p$ such that $\sup_{z \in X} |F(f)(z)| = +\infty$. It suffices to

consider $g(z) = \frac{1}{e^{i\omega} - z}$ with $A < \omega < B$. Thus, in Theorem 3.4 when we say “for every $a > q$ ” it is the same to say “for every a in $(q, +\infty]$ ” and Theorem 3.5 has the following equivalent formulation.

“Let $0 < p < 1$ and $q = \frac{p}{1-p}$. Then there is a function f in H^p , such that its primitive $F(f)$ satisfies the following

$$\text{i) } \sup_{0 < r < 1} \int_0^{2\pi} |F(f)(re^{i\theta})|^q d\theta < +\infty.$$

$$\text{ii) } \text{For every } a \in (q, +\infty) \text{ and } A, B \in \mathbb{R}, A < B \text{ it holds } \sup_{0 < r < 1} \int_A^B |F(f)(re^{i\theta})|^a d\theta = +\infty \text{ and}$$

iii) The primitive $F(f)$ is totally unbounded on the open unit disc.

Furthermore, the set of such functions f is a G_δ and dense subset of H^p ”.

We note that for such functions f and $F(f)$ are non extendable on the open unit disc.

Next we replace the space $H_{[W,Y]}^p$ by the space $\bigcap_{\beta < p} H_{[W,Y]}^\beta$, $0 < p \leq 1$. Then, Theorem 2.2 implies that if $f \in \bigcap_{\beta < p} H^\beta$ then $F(f) \in \bigcap_{\gamma < q} H^\gamma$ with $q = \frac{p}{1-p}$. Let $A, B, A < B$ be given and consider the function $g = \frac{1}{(e^{i\omega} - z)^{1/p}}$ where $A < \omega < B$. Then $g \in \bigcap_{\beta < p} H^\beta \subset \bigcap_{\beta < p} H_{[W,Y]}^\beta$ and $F(g) \notin H_{[A,B]}^q$. Thus, all the previous results, extend in this case. The analogue of Theorem 3.4 is the following.

Theorem 3.7. Let $0 < p \leq 1$, $q = \frac{p}{1-p}$ and $W, Y \in \mathbb{R}$ with $W < Y$. Then the set $\left\{ f \in \bigcap_{\beta < p} H_{[W,Y]}^\beta : \text{for every } A < B \text{ and for every } a \geq q \text{ it holds } F(f) \notin H_{[A,B]}^a \right\}$ is a G_δ and dense subset of the space $\bigcap_{\beta < p} HYp_{[W,Y]}$.

Remark 3.8. If $W - Y \geq 2\pi$, then automatically $F(f) \in \bigcap_{\gamma < q} H^\gamma$ according to Proposition 2.3.

Remark 3.9. If $p = 1$ and $W - Y \geq 2\pi$, then Theorem ?? takes the form “The set $\left\{ f \in \bigcap_{\beta < 1} H^\beta : F(f) \text{ is totally unbounded on the open unit disc} \right\}$ is a G_δ and dense

subset of the space $\bigcap_{\beta < 1} H^{\beta}$. This is in contrast with the situation in H^1 , where for every $f \in H^1$ the primitive $F(f)$ is bounded on the open unit disc, as it follows from Hardy's inequality ([3],[8]).

We close with an algebraic genericity result.

Theorem 3.10. *Let $0 < p < 1$, $a > q = \frac{p}{1-p}$ and $A < B$. Then the set $\{f \in H^p : F(f) \notin H^a\}$ contains a dense vector subspace of H^p except zero.*

Proof. Let $f_j, j = 1, 2, \dots$ be an enumeration of all polynomials with coefficients with rational real and imaginary parts. The sequence $f_j, j = 1, 2, \dots$ is dense in H^p [3]. Let $A < \omega_j < \omega_{j+1} < \frac{A+B}{2}$, be a sequence converging to $\frac{A+B}{2}$. We also assume that $\frac{A+B}{2} - 2\pi < \omega_1$. Let $\varphi_j, j = 1, 2, \dots$ be a function the form $\varphi_j = \frac{1}{(e^{i\omega_j} - z)^\gamma}$, where the exponent $\gamma > 0$ is such that $\varphi_j \in H^p$ and $F(\varphi_j) \notin H^a$.

Let $c_j \neq 0$ be close enough to 0, so that $d(c_j\varphi_j, 0) < \frac{1}{j}$ where d is the metric in H^p . Then the sequence $c_j\varphi_j + f_j, j = 1, 2, \dots$ is dense in H^p , because H^p does not contain isolated points.

Let F be the linear span of the sequence $c_j\varphi_j + f_j, j = 1, 2, \dots$. Then F is a dense vector subspace of H^p . It remains to show that for every non zero element f of F we have $F(f) \notin H^a$.

Let $f = \beta_1(c_1\varphi_1 + f_1) + \dots + \beta_m(c_m\varphi_m + f_m)$ with $\beta_m \neq 0$. Let I be a closed interval centered at ω_m and not containing any other $\omega_j, j \neq m$. Then for $j \neq m$ we have $\sup_{0 < r < 1} \int_I |F(c_j\varphi_j + f_j)(re^{i\theta})|^a d\theta < +\infty$ while $\sup_{0 < r < 1} \int_I |F(c_m\varphi_m + f_m)(re^{i\theta})|^a d\theta = +\infty$. It follows that $F(f) \notin H^a$. This completes the proof. ■

In a similar way one can prove the following, because polynomials are dense in $\bigcap_{\beta < p} H^\beta$ (see Prop 2.1).

Theorem 3.11. *Let $0 < p \leq 1$, $q = \frac{p}{1-p}$ and $A < B$. Then the set $\left\{f \in \bigcap_{\beta < p} H^\beta : F(f) \notin H^q\right\}$ contains a dense vector subspace of $\bigcap_{\beta < p} H^\beta$ except zero.*

Acknowledgement We would like to thank I. Deliyanni, T. Hatziafratis and A. Siskakis for helpful communications.

References

- [1] R. M. Aron, L. Bernal-Gonzalez, D. M. Pellegrino and J. B. Seoanne-Sepúlveda, Lineability, The Search for Linearity in Mathematics, Monographs and Research Notes in Mathematics, CRC Press.

- [2] L. Bernal - Gonzalez, D. Pellegrino and J. B. Seoanne-Sepúlveda, Linear subsets of non-linear sets in topological vector spaces, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (New Series)* 51 (2014), no 1, 71 - 130.
- [3] P. L. Duren, *Theory of H_p spaces*, Academic Press, New York and London, 1970.
- [4] K. - G. Grosse Erdmann, Universal families and hypercyclic operators, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* 36 (1999), 345-381.
- [5] T. Hatziafratis, K. Kioulafa, V. Nestoridis, On Bergman type spaces of holomorphic functions and density, on these spaces, of certain classes of singular functions, *Complex Var. Elliptic Equ*, 63 (2018) no 7-8, 1011-1032, see also arXiv:1705.00423.
- [6] J. - P. Kahane, Baire's category theorem and trigonometric series, *J. Anal. Math.* 80 (2000) 143 - 182.
- [7] K. Kioulafa, On Hardy type spaces in strictly pseudoconvex domains and the density, in these spaces, of certain classes of singular functions, *JMAA* 484 (2020) article 123697 see also arxiv: 1905.04676.
- [8] P. Koosis, *Introduction of H_p spaces*, Cambridge University Press, 1980.
- [9] V. Nestoridis, A. G. Siskakis, A. Stavrianidi and S. Vlachos, Generic non extendability and total unboundedness in function spaces, *JMAA* 475 (2019) Issue 2, 1720 - 1731, see also arxiv: 1811.04408.
- [10] V. Nestoridis, From Universality to generic non extendability and total unboundedness of holomorphic functions, *Anal. Math. Phys.* 9 (2019), no 2, 887 - 897.
- [11] M. Siskaki, Boundedness of derivatives and anti-derivatives of holomorphic functions as a rare phenomenon, *JMAA* 426 (2018) no 2, 1073 - 1086 see also arxiv: 1611.05386.

V. Nestoridis

National and Kapodistrian University of Athens

Department of Mathematics

Panepistemiopolis, 157 84

Athens, Greece

vnestor@math.uoa.gr

E.Thirios@dei.com.gr