

**GENERATION OF THE SPECIAL LINEAR GROUP
BY ELEMENTARY MATRICES
IN SOME MEASURE BANACH ALGEBRAS**

AMOL SASANE

ABSTRACT. For a commutative unital ring R , and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\mathrm{SL}_n(R)$ denote the special linear group over R , and $\mathrm{E}_n(R)$ the subgroup of elementary matrices. Let \mathcal{M}^+ be the Banach algebra of all complex Borel measures on $[0, +\infty)$ with the norm given by the total variation, the usual operations of addition and scalar multiplication, and with convolution. It is shown that $\mathrm{SL}_n(A) = \mathrm{E}_n(A)$ for Banach subalgebras A of \mathcal{M}^+ that are closed under the operation $\mathcal{M}^+ \ni \mu \mapsto \mu_t$, $t \in [0, 1]$, where $\mu_t(E) := \int_E (1-t)^x d\mu(x)$ for $t \in [0, 1)$, and Borel subsets E of $[0, +\infty)$, and $\mu_1 := \mu(\{0\})\delta$, where $\delta \in \mathcal{M}^+$ is the Dirac measure. Many illustrative examples of such Banach algebras A are given. An example of a Banach subalgebra $A \subset \mathcal{M}^+$, that does not possess the closure property above, but for which $\mathrm{SL}_n(A) = \mathrm{E}_n(A)$ nevertheless holds, is also given.

1. INTRODUCTION

The aim of this article is to establish $\mathrm{SL}_n(R) = \mathrm{E}_n(R)$, where $\mathrm{SL}_n(R)$ is the special linear group over R , $\mathrm{E}_n(R)$ is the group of elementary matrices, and R is a certain Banach algebra of measures on the half-line $[0, +\infty)$. We elaborate on this below.

Definition 1.1 ($\mathrm{SL}_n(R)$ and $\mathrm{E}_n(R)$).

Let R be a commutative unital ring with multiplicative identity 1 and additive identity element 0. Let $n \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$.

- Let $R^{n \times n}$ denote the set of matrices over R with n rows and n columns. We denote by I_n the identity matrix in $R^{n \times n}$, i.e., the matrix with all diagonal entries equal to $1 \in R$ and off-diagonal entries $0 \in R$.
- The *special linear group* $\mathrm{SL}_n(R)$ denotes the group (with matrix multiplication) of all matrices $M \in R^{n \times n}$ whose entries belong to R and the determinant $\det M$ of M is 1. The *general linear group* $\mathrm{GL}_n(R)$ consists of all invertible matrices in $R^{n \times n}$.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 15A23; Secondary 43A10, 46J10, 20H25.

Key words and phrases. Convolution Banach algebras of Borel measures, factorisation by elementary matrices, commutative unital Banach algebras, K -theory.

- An *elementary matrix* $E_{ij}(\alpha)$ over R has the form $E_{ij} = I_n + \alpha \mathbf{e}_{ij}$, where $i \neq j$, $\alpha \in R$, and \mathbf{e}_{ij} is the $n \times n$ matrix whose entry in the i th row and j th column is 1, and all the other entries of \mathbf{e}_{ij} are zeros.
- $E_n(R)$ is the subgroup of $SL_n(R)$ generated by the elementary matrices.

A classical question in algebra is:

(**Q**) For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, is $SL_n(R) = E_n(R)$?

The answer depends on the ring R . For example:

- If $R = \mathbb{C}$, then the answer to (**Q**) is ‘Yes’, and is an exercise in linear algebra; see for instance [1, Chap.2, §2, Exercise 18(c), p.71].
- Let $R = \mathbb{C}[z_1, \dots, z_d]$. If $d = 1$, then the answer to (**Q**) is ‘Yes’, and follows using the Euclidean division algorithm in $\mathbb{C}[z]$. If $d = 2$, then the answer to (**Q**) is ‘No’, and a counterexample is (see [3]):

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 + z_1 z_2 & z_1^2 \\ -z_2^2 & 1 - z_1 z_2 \end{bmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbb{C}[z_1, z_2]) \setminus E_2(\mathbb{C}[z_1, z_2]).$$

For $d \geq 3$, the answer to (**Q**) is ‘Yes’, and this is the K_1 -analogue of Serre’s conjecture, which is the Suslin stability theorem [20].

- Rings of continuous real- or complex-valued functions on a topological space X were considered in [21].
- For the ring \mathcal{O}_X of holomorphic functions on Stein spaces in \mathbb{C}^d , the question (**Q**) was posed as an explicit open problem by Gromov in [7], and was solved in [9].
- The question (**Q**) for some rings of ‘sequences’ (with termwise operations) such as

$$\begin{aligned} \ell^\infty(\mathbb{Z}^d) & \text{ (bounded),} \\ c_0(\mathbb{Z}^d) & \text{ (converging to 0), and} \\ s'(\mathbb{Z}^d) & \text{ (at most polynomially growing),} \end{aligned}$$

were considered in [19]. The ring $s'(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ is isomorphic to the ring of periodic distributions on \mathbb{R}^d with the multiplication operation given by convolution.

- In [4], it was shown that for a unital commutative ring, if $n \geq 2$ and if the Bass stable rank of R is 1, then the answer to (**Q**) is ‘Yes’. Using this result, and a result from [11, §7] (Proposition 1.2 below), many examples of Banach algebras of holomorphic functions in one and several variables, like the polydisc/ball algebras, were considered for which the answer to (**Q**) is ‘Yes’.

In this article, we will consider certain Banach algebras of measures. Our main tool will be the known result stated as Proposition 1.2 below (see [11, §7]). Before stating this result, we elaborate on the Banach algebra structure of $A^{n \times n}$ for a Banach algebra A .

Let $(A, \|\cdot\|)$ be a commutative unital Banach algebra. Then $A^{n \times n}$ is a complex algebra with the usual matrix operations. Let $A^{n \times 1}$ denote the vector space of all column vectors of size n with entries from A and componentwise operations. Then $A^{n \times 1}$ is a normed space with the ‘Euclidean norm’ defined by

$$\|\mathbf{v}\|_2^2 := \|v_1\|^2 + \cdots + \|v_n\|^2 \quad \text{for all } \mathbf{v} = \begin{bmatrix} v_1 \\ \vdots \\ v_n \end{bmatrix} \in A^{n \times 1}.$$

If $M \in A^{n \times n}$, then the matrix multiplication map

$$A^{n \times 1} \ni \mathbf{v} \mapsto M\mathbf{v} \in A^{n \times 1}$$

is a continuous linear transformation, and we equip $A^{n \times n}$ with the induced operator norm, denoted by $\|\cdot\|$ again. Then $A^{n \times n}$ with this operator norm is a unital Banach algebra. Subsets of $A^{n \times n}$ are given the induced subspace topology. We now state the result from [11, §7].

Proposition 1.2.

Let A be a commutative unital Banach algebra, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $M \in \mathrm{SL}_n(A)$. Then the following are equivalent:

- $M \in \mathrm{E}_n(A)$.
- M is null-homotopic.

Definition 1.3 (Null-homotopic element of $\mathrm{SL}_n(A)$).

Let A be a commutative unital Banach algebra, and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. An element $M \in \mathrm{SL}_n(A)$ is *null-homotopic* if M is homotopic to the identity matrix I_n , that is there exists a continuous map $H : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}_n(A)$ such that $H(0) = M$ and $H(1) = I_n$.

The statement of our main result (Theorem 2.3) will be given in the following section, where we introduce the Banach algebras of complex Borel measures on $[0, +\infty)$ that we will consider. We will prove our main theorem in Section 4. Before giving the proof, we will collect some preliminary technical lemmas in Section 3. Finally, in Section 5, we give several examples of Banach subalgebras $A \subset \mathcal{M}^+$ that possess the property **(P)** given in Theorem 2.3, and we also give a nonexample.

2. BANACH ALGEBRAS OF MEASURES

Recall the classical Banach algebra of measures on a half-line; see for example [8, §4, pp.141-150] or [15, Chapter 6].

Definition 2.1 (\mathcal{M}^+).

Let \mathcal{M}^+ denote the set of all complex Borel measures on \mathbb{R} with support contained in $[0, +\infty)$. Then \mathcal{M}^+ is a complex vector space with addition $+$ and scalar multiplication \cdot defined as usual, and it becomes a complex algebra if we take the operation $*$ of convolution of measures as the operation of multiplication.

Given a Borel set $E \subset [0, +\infty)$, by a *partition of E* we mean a countable collection $(E_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of Borel subsets of E such that whenever $m \neq n$, we have $E_n \cap E_m = \emptyset$, and $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} E_n = E$. We define $|\mu|$ by

$$|\mu|(E) = \sup_{(E_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathcal{P}(E)} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\mu(E_n)|,$$

where $\mathcal{P}(E)$ is the collection of all partitions of the Borel set E . Then $|\mu|(E) \geq |\mu(E)|$, and $|\mu|$ is a positive measure defined on all Borel subsets of $[0, +\infty)$. The norm of an element $\mu \in \mathcal{M}^+$ is taken as the *total variation measure $|\mu|$ of $[0, +\infty)$* , i.e.,

$$\|\mu\| := \sup_{(E_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathcal{P}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\mu(E_n)|,$$

where \mathcal{P} is the set of all partitions of $[0, +\infty)$. Then $(\mathcal{M}^+, +, \cdot, *, \|\cdot\|)$ is a commutative unital complex Banach algebra. The unit element (i.e., identity with respect to convolution) is the *Dirac measure δ* , given by

$$\delta(E) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } 0 \in E, \\ 0 & \text{if } 0 \notin E. \end{cases}$$

Definition 2.2. If $\mu \in \mathcal{M}^+$ and $t \in [0, 1)$, define $\mu_t \in \mathcal{M}^+$ by

$$\mu_t(E) := \int_E (1-t)^x d\mu(x),$$

for all Borel sets $E \subset [0, +\infty)$. If $t = 1$, then define $\mu_1 \in \mathcal{M}^+$ by

$$\mu_1 := \mu(\{0\}) \cdot \delta.$$

We provide motivation for Definition 2.2 at the end of the next section in Remark 3.3. Our main result is the following.

Theorem 2.3. *Let A be a Banach subalgebra of \mathcal{M}^+ (with the induced norm), such that it has the following property:*

(P) For all $\mu \in A$, and all $t \in [0, 1]$, $\mu_t \in A$.

Then for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\text{SL}_n(A) = \text{E}_n(A)$.

3. PRELIMINARIES

For $\mu \in \mathcal{M}^+$, it can be seen that $\|\mu_t\| \leq \|\mu\|$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$. Also, $\delta_t = \delta$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$. Also, for $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{M}^+$, and $t \in [0, 1]$, we have

$$(\mu + \nu)_t = \mu_t + \nu_t. \quad (1)$$

The following two results were shown in [19], but we include their proofs for convenience, and to keep the discussion self-contained.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{M}^+$ and $t \in [0, 1]$. Then*

$$(\mu * \nu)_t = \mu_t * \nu_t.$$

Proof. If E is a Borel subset of $[0, +\infty)$, then

$$(\mu * \nu)_t(E) = \int_E (1-t)^x d(\mu * \nu)(x) = \iint_{\substack{x+y \in E \\ x, y \in [0, +\infty)}} (1-t)^{x+y} d\mu(x) d\nu(y).$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} (\mu_t * \nu_t)(E) &= \int_{y \in [0, +\infty)} \mu_t(E-y) d\nu_t(y) \\ &= \int_{y \in [0, +\infty)} \left(\int_{\substack{x \in E-y \\ x \in [0, +\infty)}} (1-t)^x d\mu(x) \right) d\nu_t(y) \\ &= \int_{y \in [0, +\infty)} (1-t)^y \left(\int_{\substack{x \in E-y \\ x \in [0, +\infty)}} (1-t)^x d\mu(x) \right) d\nu(y) \\ &= \iint_{\substack{x+y \in E \\ x, y \in [0, +\infty)}} (1-t)^{x+y} d\mu(x) d\nu(y). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

We will also use the following consequence of the Radon-Nikodym theorem (see for example [15, Theorem 6.12]): If $\mu \in \mathcal{M}^+$, then there exists ‘polar decomposition’ of μ , that is, there exists a measurable function h such that $|h(x)| = 1$ for all $x \in [0, +\infty)$, and $d\mu = h d|\mu|$.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $\mu \in \mathcal{M}^+$ and $t_0 \in [0, 1]$. Then*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow t_0} \mu_t = \mu_{t_0}.$$

Proof.

1° Consider first the case when $t_0 \in [0, 1)$. Given an $\epsilon > 0$, let $R > 0$ be large enough so that $|\mu|((R, +\infty)) < \epsilon$. Let $t \in [0, 1)$. There exists a Borel measurable function w such that

$$d(\mu_t - \mu_{t_0})(x) = e^{-iw(x)} d|\mu_t - \mu_{t_0}|(x).$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\mu_t - \mu_{t_0}\| &= |\mu_t - \mu_{t_0}|([0, +\infty)) = \int_{[0, +\infty)} e^{iw(x)} d(\mu_t - \mu_{t_0})(x) \\
&= \left| \int_{[0, +\infty)} e^{iw(x)} d(\mu_t - \mu_{t_0})(x) \right| \\
&= \left| \int_{[0, +\infty)} e^{iw(x)} \left((1-t)^x - (1-t_0)^x \right) d\mu(x) \right|.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\mu_t - \mu_{t_0}\| &\leq \left| \int_{[0, R]} e^{iw(x)} \left((1-t)^x - (1-t_0)^x \right) d\mu(x) \right| \\
&\quad + \left| \int_{(R, +\infty)} e^{iw(x)} \left((1-t)^x - (1-t_0)^x \right) d\mu(x) \right| \\
&\leq \max_{x \in [0, R]} \left| (1-t)^x - (1-t_0)^x \right| |\mu|([0, R]) + 2|\mu|((R, +\infty)) \\
&\leq \max_{x \in [0, R]} \left| (1-t)^x - (1-t_0)^x \right| |\mu|([0, +\infty)) + 2\epsilon.
\end{aligned}$$

But by the mean value theorem applied to the function $t \mapsto (1-t)^x$,

$$(1-t)^x - (1-t_0)^x = -(t-t_0)x(1-c)^{x-1} = -(t-t_0)x \frac{(1-c)^x}{1-c},$$

for some c (depending on x , t and t_0) in between t and t_0 . Since c lies between t and t_0 , and since both t and t_0 lie in $[0, 1)$, and $x \in [0, R]$, it follows that $(1-c)^x \leq 1$ and

$$\frac{1}{1-c} \leq \max \left\{ \frac{1}{1-t}, \frac{1}{1-t_0} \right\}.$$

Thus using the above, and the fact that $x \in [0, R]$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\max_{x \in [0, R]} \left| (1-t)^x - (1-t_0)^x \right| &= \max_{x \in [0, R]} |t-t_0|x(1-c)^x \frac{1}{1-c} \\
&\leq |t-t_0| \cdot R \cdot 1 \cdot \max \left\{ \frac{1}{1-t}, \frac{1}{1-t_0} \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\limsup_{t \rightarrow t_0} \left(\max_{x \in [0, R]} \left| (1-t)^x - (1-t_0)^x \right| |\mu|([0, +\infty)) \right) \\
&\leq \limsup_{t \rightarrow t_0} \left(|t-t_0| \cdot R \cdot \max \left\{ \frac{1}{1-t}, \frac{1}{1-t_0} \right\} \cdot |\mu|([0, +\infty)) \right) \\
&= 0 \cdot R \cdot \frac{1}{1-t_0} |\mu|([0, +\infty)) = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow t_0} \|\mu_t - \mu_{t_0}\| \leq 2\epsilon.$$

But the choice of $\epsilon > 0$ was arbitrary, and so

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow t_0} \|\mu_t - \mu_{t_0}\| = 0.$$

Since $\|\mu_t - \mu_{t_0}\| \geq 0$, we can conclude that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow t_0} \|\mu_t - \mu_{t_0}\| = 0.$$

2° Now let us consider the case when $t_0 = 1$. Assume initially that $\mu(\{0\}) = 0$. We will show that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 1} \mu_t = 0$$

in \mathcal{M}^+ . Given an $\epsilon > 0$, first choose a $r > 0$ small enough so that $|\mu|([0, r]) < \epsilon$. This is possible, since $\mu(\{0\}) = 0$. There exists a Borel measurable function w such that $d\mu_t(x) = e^{-iw(x)}d|\mu_t|(x)$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mu_t\| &= |\mu_t|([0, +\infty)) = \int_{[0, +\infty)} e^{iw(x)} d\mu_t(x) \\ &= \int_{[0, +\infty)} e^{iw(x)} (1-t)^x d\mu(x) = \left| \int_{[0, +\infty)} e^{iw(x)} (1-t)^x d\mu(x) \right| \\ &\leq \left| \int_{[0, r]} e^{iw(x)} (1-t)^x d\mu(x) \right| + \left| \int_{(r, +\infty)} e^{iw(x)} (1-t)^x d\mu(x) \right| \\ &\leq |\mu|([0, r]) + (1-t)^r |\mu|((r, +\infty)) \\ &\leq \epsilon + (1-t)^r |\mu|([0, +\infty)). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, $\limsup_{t \rightarrow 1} \|\mu_t\| \leq \epsilon$. As $\epsilon > 0$ was arbitrary,

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow 1} \|\mu_t\| = 0.$$

Since $\|\mu_t\| \geq 0$, we can conclude that $\lim_{t \rightarrow 1} \|\mu_t\| = 0$.

Finally, if $\mu(\{0\}) \neq 0$, then define $\nu := \mu - \mu(\{0\})\delta \in \mathcal{M}^+$. It is clear that $\nu(\{0\}) = 0$ and $\nu_t = \mu_t - \mu(\{0\})\delta$. Since

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 1} \nu_t = 0,$$

we obtain $\lim_{t \rightarrow 1} \mu_t = \mu(\{0\})\delta$ in \mathcal{M}^+ . □

In the final section, we will use the Laplace transform of measures $\mu \in \mathcal{M}^+$: For $\mu \in \mathcal{M}^+$, we define for $s \in \mathbb{C}_{\geq 0} := \{s \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Re}(s) \geq 0\}$,

$$\widehat{\mu}(s) = \int_{[0, +\infty)} e^{-sx} d\mu(x).$$

Let $d\mu = e^{iw(x)} d|\mu|(x)$ for a measurable function w . For all $s \in \mathbb{C}_{\geq 0}$,

$$|\widehat{\mu}(s)| = \left| \int_{[0, \infty)} e^{-sx} d\mu(x) \right| = \left| \int_{[0, \infty)} e^{-iw(x)} e^{-sx} d|\mu|(x) \right| \leq \int_{[0, \infty)} 1 d|\mu|(x) = \|\mu\|.$$

For $t \in [0, 1)$, $s \in \mathbb{C}_{\geq 0}$ and $\mu \in \mathcal{M}^+$, we have $\widehat{\mu}_t(s) = \widehat{\mu}(s - \log(1 - t))$:

$$\widehat{\mu}_t(s) = \int_{[0, \infty)} e^{-sx} (1 - t)^x d\mu(x) = \int_{[0, \infty)} e^{-sx} e^{x \log(1 - t)} d\mu = \widehat{\mu}(s - \log(1 - t)).$$

Also, $\mu_1 = \mu(\{0\})\delta$, and $\widehat{\delta}(s) = 1$ for all $s \in \mathbb{C}_{\geq 0}$, so that $\widehat{\mu}_1(s) = \mu(\{0\})$. As with the Laplace transforms of L^1 functions, the same proof, mutatis mutandis, shows $\widehat{\mu * \nu}(s) = \widehat{\mu}(s)\widehat{\nu}(s)$ for $s \in \mathbb{C}_{\geq 0}$ and $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{M}^+$.

We end this section with providing some motivation for Definition 2.2.

Remark 3.3. We want to create a homotopy taking $\mu \in \operatorname{SL}_n(\mathcal{M}^+)$ to I_n (with all diagonal entries equal to δ). In the case of disc algebra, one uses dilations $f \mapsto f(t \cdot)$, $t \in [0, 1]$ to take I_n to $f \in \operatorname{SL}_n(A(\mathbb{D}))$. Motivated by this, and bearing in mind that the Laplace transform $\widehat{\mu}$ of $\mu \in \mathcal{M}^+$ satisfies the following analogue of the Riemann-Lebesgue lemma (we include a proof of this in the Appendix)

$$\lim_{\mathbb{R} \ni s \rightarrow +\infty} \widehat{\mu}(s) = \mu(\{0\}),$$

it is natural to try and construct a homotopy by ‘translating’ the Laplace transform and then taking the inverse Laplace transform. As

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 1^-} -\log(1 - t) = +\infty,$$

we try

$$H(t) := \mu_t := \text{Inverse Laplace transform of } (s \mapsto \widehat{\mu}(s - \log(1 - t)))$$

To determine μ_t , we write

$$\int_{[0, +\infty)} e^{-(s - \log(1 - t))x} d\mu(x) = \int_{[0, +\infty)} e^{-sx} (1 - t)^x d\mu(x) = \int_{[0, +\infty)} e^{-sx} \mu_t(x),$$

where μ_t is as in Definition 2.2. *

4. PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREM

Proof. (of Theorem 2.3): We need to show $\mathrm{SL}_n(A) \subset \mathrm{E}_n(A)$. Let

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} \mu_{11} & \cdots & \mu_{1n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \mu_{n1} & \cdots & \mu_{nn} \end{bmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_n(A).$$

For $t \in [0, 1]$, define

$$H(t) = M_t = \begin{bmatrix} (\mu_{11})_t & \cdots & (\mu_{1n})_t \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ (\mu_{n1})_t & \cdots & (\mu_{nn})_t \end{bmatrix}.$$

Thanks to (1) and Lemma 3.1, as $M \in \mathrm{SL}_n(A)$, we have $M_t \in \mathrm{SL}_n(A)$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$, since

$$\delta = \delta_t = (\det M)_t = \det(M_t).$$

The continuity of $[0, 1] \ni t \mapsto M_t \in \mathrm{SL}_n(A)$ follows from Lemma 3.2. We note that $M_1 = C\delta$, where $C \in \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ is the constant matrix

$$C = \begin{bmatrix} \mu_{11}(\{0\}) & \cdots & \mu_{1n}(\{0\}) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \mu_{n1}(\{0\}) & \cdots & \mu_{nn}(\{0\}) \end{bmatrix}.$$

But $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ is path connected, and so there exists a homotopy, say $h : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{C})$, taking C to the identity matrix $I_n \in \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{C})$. Combining H with h , we obtain a homotopy $\tilde{H} : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}_n(A)$ that takes M to $I_n \in \mathrm{SL}_n(A)$:

$$\tilde{H}(t) = \begin{cases} H(2t) & \text{if } t \in [0, \frac{1}{2}], \\ h(2t-1)\delta & \text{if } t \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1]. \end{cases}$$

So $M \in \mathrm{SL}_n(A)$ is null-homotopic. By Proposition 1.2, $M \in \mathrm{E}_n(A)$. \square

5. EXAMPLES

5.1. The measure algebra \mathcal{M}^+ .

Trivially, the full algebra \mathcal{M}^+ has the property **(P)**. So for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathcal{M}^+) = \mathrm{E}_n(\mathcal{M}^+)$.

5.2. The Wiener-Laplace algebra $\delta\mathbb{C} + L^1[0, +\infty)$.

Consider the Wiener-Laplace algebra \mathcal{W}^+ of the half plane, of all functions defined in the half plane $\mathbb{C}_{\geq 0} := \{s \in \mathbb{C} : \mathrm{Re}(s) \geq 0\}$ that differ from the Laplace transform of an $L^1[0, +\infty)$ function by a constant.

The Wiener-Laplace algebra \mathcal{W}^+ is a Banach algebra with pointwise operations, and the norm $\|\widehat{f} + \alpha\|_{\mathcal{W}^+} = \|f\|_1 + |\alpha|$, for all $f \in L^1[0, +\infty)$, and $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, where

$$\|f\|_1 := \int_0^{+\infty} |f(x)| dx.$$

Then \mathcal{W}^+ is precisely the set of Laplace transforms of elements of the subalgebra $A = \delta\mathbb{C} + L^1[0, +\infty)$ of \mathcal{M}^+ consisting of all complex Borel measures $\mu = \mu_a + \alpha\delta$, where μ_a is absolutely continuous (with respect to the Lebesgue measure) and $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$. Recall that $\mu_a \in \mathcal{M}^+$ is absolutely continuous if there exists an $f \in L^1[0, +\infty)$ such that (with the Lebesgue measure on $[0, +\infty)$ denoted by dx) we have

$$\mu_a = \int_{\cdot} f dx, \text{ i.e. } \mu_a(E) = \int_E f(x) dx \text{ for all Borel } E \subset [0, +\infty).$$

The \mathcal{M}^+ -norm of $\mu \in A = \delta\mathbb{C} + L^1[0, +\infty) \subset \mathcal{M}^+$ is $\|\mu\| = \|f\|_1 + |\alpha|$ for

$$\mu = \alpha\delta + \int_{\cdot} f dx.$$

This Banach subalgebra $A = \delta\mathbb{C} + L^1[0, +\infty)$ of \mathcal{M}^+ has the property **(P)**, and so for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{SL}_n(\delta\mathbb{C} + L^1[0, +\infty)) &= E_n(\delta\mathbb{C} + L^1[0, +\infty)), \text{ and} \\ \text{SL}_n(\mathcal{W}^+) &= E_n(\mathcal{W}^+). \end{aligned}$$

5.3. Measures without a singular nonatomic part.

If $\tau \geq 0$, then we use the notation $\delta_{\{\tau\}} \in \mathcal{M}^+$ to denote the Dirac measure with support $\{\tau\}$, that is, for all Borel subsets $E \subset [0, +\infty)$,

$$\delta_{\{\tau\}}(E) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \tau \in E, \\ 0 & \text{if } \tau \notin E. \end{cases}$$

Define the subalgebra \mathcal{A}^+ of \mathcal{M}^+ to consist of all complex Borel measures that do not have a singular non-atomic part. Then \mathcal{A}^+ is precisely the set of all $\mu \in \mathcal{M}^+$ that have a decomposition

$$\mu = \int_{\cdot} f dx + \sum_{0=t_0 < t_1, t_2, t_3, \dots} f_n \delta_{\{t_n\}},$$

where

$$f \in L^1[0, \infty),$$

dx is the Lebesgue measure on $[0, +\infty)$,

$t_n \in [0, +\infty)$ for all $n = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots$,

$(f_n)_{n \geq 0}$ is an absolutely summable sequence of complex numbers,

$$\text{i.e., } \|(f_n)_{n \geq 0}\|_1 := \sup_{\substack{F \text{ finite} \\ F \subset \{0,1,2,3,\dots\}}} \sum_{n \in F} |f_n| < \infty.$$

Then the \mathcal{M}^+ -norm of $\mu \in \mathcal{A}^+ \subset \mathcal{M}^+$ reduces to

$$\|\mu\| = \|f\|_1 + \|(f_n)_{n \geq 0}\|_1 \text{ for } \mu = \int \cdot f dx + \sum_{0=t_0 < t_1, t_2, t_3, \dots} f_n \delta_{\{t_n\}} \in \mathcal{A}^+.$$

The Banach subalgebra \mathcal{A}^+ of \mathcal{M}^+ possesses the property **(P)**. Hence $\text{SL}_n(\mathcal{A}^+) = \text{E}_n(\mathcal{A}^+)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We remark that the Bass stable rank of \mathcal{A}^+ is ∞ (see [10]).

5.4. The analytic almost periodic Wiener algebra APW^+ .

Define the subalgebra \mathcal{A}_0^+ of \mathcal{M}^+ to be the set of all measures $\mu \in \mathcal{M}^+$ that are of the form

$$\mu = \sum_{0=t_0 < t_1, t_2, t_3, \dots} f_n \delta_{\{t_n\}},$$

where the sequence of coefficients $(f_n)_{n \geq 0}$ is absolutely summable. The \mathcal{M}^+ -norm of $\mu \in \mathcal{A}_0^+ \subset \mathcal{M}^+$ is given by $\|\mu\| = \|(f_n)_{n \geq 0}\|_1$ for $\mu \in \mathcal{A}_0^+$. Then the algebra \mathcal{A}_0^+ is a Banach subalgebra of \mathcal{M}^+ , and has the property **(P)**. Hence for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\text{SL}_n(\mathcal{A}_0^+) = \text{E}_n(\mathcal{A}_0^+)$.

Recall the classical Banach algebra APW^+ of almost periodic functions f , whose Bohr-Fourier coefficients $(f_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}}$, are summable, and $f_\lambda = 0$ for $\lambda < 0$. The algebra operations in APW^+ are defined point-wise, and the norm is given by

$$\|f\|_1 = \sum_{\lambda \geq 0} |f_\lambda|.$$

(See Subsection 5.5 below for a brief explanation of the key terms.) The Banach algebra \mathcal{A}_0^+ is isomorphic as a Banach algebra to APW^+ via the isomorphism

$$\mathcal{A}_0^+ \ni \mu = \sum_{0=t_0 < t_1, t_2, t_3, \dots} f_n \delta_{\{t_n\}} \mapsto \sum_{0=t_0 < t_1, t_2, t_3, \dots} f_n e^{it_n x} \in \text{APW}^+.$$

So for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\text{SL}_n(\text{APW}^+) = \text{E}_n(\text{APW}^+)$.

5.5. The algebra AP^+ of analytic almost period functions.

For $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, let $e_\lambda := e^{i\lambda \cdot} \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$. Let \mathcal{T} be the space of trigonometric polynomials, i.e., \mathcal{T} is the linear span of $\{e_\lambda : \lambda \in \mathbb{R}\}$. Define AP to be the closure of \mathcal{T} with respect to the $L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ -norm $\|\cdot\|_\infty$. Then AP is a Banach algebra with pointwise operations, and the norm $\|\cdot\|_\infty$. The space \mathcal{T} is equipped with the inner product

$$\langle p, q \rangle = \lim_{R \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{2R} \int_{-R}^R p(x) \overline{q(x)} dx \quad (p, q \in \mathcal{T}),$$

where $\bar{\cdot}$ denotes complex conjugation. The limit exists since

$$\langle e_\lambda, e_\mu \rangle = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \lambda = \mu, \\ 0 & \text{if } \lambda \neq \mu. \end{cases}$$

For $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and $f \in AP$, the *Bohr-Fourier coefficient* f_λ is defined as follows: If $(p_n)_n$ is a sequence in \mathcal{T} converging to f in AP , then

$$f_\lambda = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle p_n, e_{-\lambda} \rangle.$$

Define the *Bohr spectrum* of f to be the set $\sigma(f) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{R} : f_\lambda \neq 0\}$, which is at most countable. Let AP^+ be the subspace of AP given by

$$AP^+ = \{f \in AP : \sigma(f) \subset [0, +\infty)\}.$$

Each $f \in AP^+$ has a holomorphic extension to the upper half-plane

$$\mathbb{U} := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \text{Im}(z) > 0\}.$$

The set APW^+ is dense in AP^+ . We will use this, and the following consequence Proposition 1.2, to show that $SL_n(AP^+) = E_n(AP^+)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We remark that the Bass stable rank of AP^+ is ∞ ; see [12].

Theorem 5.1.

Let A be a commutative unital Banach algebra such that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $SL_n(A) = E_n(A)$. Let S be a Banach algebra containing the unit of A such that

- S is a full subalgebra of A , (i.e., if $S \cap GL_1(A) = GL_1(S)$),
- the inclusion map is continuous, and
- S is dense in A .

Then for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $SL_n(S) = E_n(S)$.

Proof. Let $f \in SL_n(A)$. As S is dense in A , we can find an element $g \in S$ such that

$$\|g - f\| < \frac{1}{\|f^{-1}\|}.$$

Then for all $t \in [0, 1]$,

$$\|tf^{-1}(g - f)\| < 1 \cdot \|f^{-1}\| \cdot \frac{1}{\|f^{-1}\|} = 1.$$

So $I_n + tf^{-1}(g - f) \in \text{GL}_n(A)$. As $g = f + g - f = f(I_n + f^{-1}(g - f))$, $g \in \text{GL}_n(A)$. Thus $\det g \in S \cap \text{GL}_1(A)$, giving $\det g \in \text{GL}_1(S)$. Also, $(I_n + tf^{-1}(g - f))^{-1}g \in \text{GL}_n(A)$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$, which implies

$$\Delta(t) := \det((I_n + tf^{-1}(g - f))^{-1}g) \in \text{GL}_1(A)$$

for all $t \in [0, 1]$. For $t \in [0, 1]$, define the matrix $H(t) \in A^{n \times n}$ to be the matrix obtained by scaling (any one, say) the first column of $(I_n + tf^{-1}(g - f))^{-1}g$ by $(\Delta(t))^{-1}$. Then

$$\det(H(t)) = (\Delta(t))^{-1} \det((I_n + tf^{-1}(g - f))^{-1}g) = (\Delta(t))^{-1} \Delta(t) = 1.$$

Hence $H(t) \in \text{SL}_n(A)$, and the map $[0, 1] \ni t \mapsto H(t) \in \text{SL}_n(A)$ is continuous. We have $H(1) = f$. Also, $H(0)$ is the matrix obtained by scaling the first column of g by $(\det g)^{-1}$. So $H(0) \in \text{SL}_n(S) = \text{E}_n(S)$. By Proposition 1.2, there exists a homotopy $h : [0, 1] \rightarrow \text{SL}_n(S)$ such that $h(0) = I_n$ and $h(1) = H(0)$. Thanks to the fact that the inclusion map for $S \subset A$ is continuous, $h : [0, 1] \rightarrow \text{SL}_n(A)$ is also continuous. By combining h and H , we get a homotopy $\tilde{H} : [0, 1] \rightarrow \text{SL}_n(A)$ such that $\tilde{H}(0) = I_n$ and $\tilde{H}(1) = H(1) = f$. By Proposition 1.2, $f \in \text{E}_n(A)$. Consequently, $\text{SL}_n(A) = \text{E}_n(A)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. \square

Corollary 5.2. *For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\text{SL}_n(\text{AP}^+) = \text{E}_n(\text{AP}^+)$*

Proof. For $f \in \text{APW}^+$, we have $\|f\|_\infty \leq \|f\|_1$, showing that the inclusion map is continuous. We have APW^+ is a dense subset of AP^+ . The full subalgebra assumption can be seen to hold by using the corona theorem for APW^+ (see e.g. [14, Theorem 2.4]). Moreover, we have seen in the previous subsection that $\text{SL}_n(\text{APW}^+) = \text{E}_n(\text{APW}^+)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. \square

5.6. Nonexample: $A \subset \mathcal{M}^+$ failing **(P), but $\text{SL}_n(A) = \text{E}_n(A)$.**

We show that while the property **(P)** is sufficient for the Banach subalgebra $A \subset \mathcal{M}^+$ for having $\text{SL}_n(A) = \text{E}_n(A)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, it is not necessary. We do this by constructing a Banach subalgebra A of \mathcal{M}^+ for which the property **(P)** does not hold, but for which $\text{SL}_n(A) = \text{E}_n(A)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. This Banach subalgebra was also considered in [18], but here we will show that its maximal ideal space has topological dimension 2, which will be used to show $\text{SL}_n(A) = \text{E}_n(A)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let dx denote the Lebesgue measure, and let $\mu \in \mathcal{M}^+$ be given by

$$\mu = \delta + \int (2x - 3)e^{-x} dx.$$

Then for $s \in \mathbb{C}_{\geq 0}$,

$$\widehat{\mu}(s) = \frac{s(s-1)}{(s+1)^2}.$$

For $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we denote the m -fold convolution $\mu * \cdots * \mu$ by μ^{*m} . Let $A \subset \mathcal{M}^+$ be the Banach subalgebra of \mathcal{M}^+ generated by δ, μ , that is, A is the closure of all ‘polynomials’ in μ :

$$p = c_0\delta + c_1\mu + c_2\mu^{*2} + \cdots + c_d\mu^{*d},$$

where d is any nonnegative integer, and c_0, c_1, \dots, c_d are any complex numbers.

Let us first show that A does not have the property **(P)**. We show that for a certain t , $\mu_t \notin A$. In fact, set $t = 1 - \frac{1}{e} \in (0, 1)$. Since the polynomials in μ are dense in A , given $\epsilon = \frac{1}{10} > 0$, there exists a $d \in \mathbb{N}$, and complex numbers c_0, c_1, \dots, c_d , such that

$$\|\mu_t - (c_0\delta + c_1\mu + c_2\mu^{*2} + \cdots + c_d\mu^{*d})\| < \epsilon.$$

But if $\nu := \mu_t - (c_0\delta + c_1\mu + c_2\mu^{*2} + \cdots + c_d\mu^{*d})$, then for $s \in \mathbb{C}_{\geq 0}$,

$$|\widehat{\mu}_t(s) - (c_0 + c_1\widehat{\mu}(s) + c_2(\widehat{\mu}(s))^2 + \cdots + c_d(\widehat{\mu}(s))^d)| = |\widehat{\nu}(s)| \leq \|\nu\| < \epsilon.$$

We have $-\log(1-t) = -\log \frac{1}{e} = 1$, so that the above gives

$$|\widehat{\mu}(s+1) - (c_0 + c_1\widehat{\mu}(s) + c_2(\widehat{\mu}(s))^2 + \cdots + c_d(\widehat{\mu}(s))^d)| < \epsilon.$$

Setting $s = 0$, this gives

$$\left| \frac{(0+1)(0+1-1)}{(0+1+1)^2} - c_0 \right| = |c_0| < \epsilon.$$

On the other hand, with $s = 1$, we obtain

$$\left| \frac{(1+1)(1+1-1)}{(1+1+1)^2} - c_0 \right| = \left| \frac{2}{9} - c_0 \right| < \epsilon.$$

Adding the last two inequalities gives the contradiction that

$$\frac{2}{9} \leq \left| \frac{2}{9} - c_0 \right| + |c_0| < 2\epsilon = \frac{2}{10}.$$

Hence A does not have the property **(P)**.

Finally, we show that for the Banach algebra A , $\text{SL}_n(A) = \text{E}_n(A)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. To do this, we will use the result from [2, Theorem 1.1(3)], which says that if the topological dimension $\dim M(R)$ of the maximal ideal space $M(R)$ of a commutative unital complex Banach algebra R is 0, 1 or 2, then $\text{SL}_n(R) = \text{E}_n(R)$. We will show that the topological dimension of the maximal ideal space $M(A)$ of our Banach algebra A is equal to 2. (Recall that for a normal space X , $\dim X \leq d$ if every finite open cover of X can be refined by an open cover whose order $\leq d+1$. If $\dim X \leq d$ and the statement $\dim X \leq d-1$ is false, then we say

that the *topological dimension* of X is $\dim X = d$.) We will need the following result; see [5, Theorem 1.4, page 68]:

Proposition 5.3. *Let B be a finitely generated Banach algebra, generated by b_1, \dots, b_m . Then the joint spectrum of b_1, \dots, b_m in B , namely the set $\sigma_B(b_1, \dots, b_m) = \{(\widehat{b_1}(\varphi), \dots, \widehat{b_m}(\varphi)) : \varphi \in M(B)\} \subset \mathbb{C}^m$, is homeomorphic to the maximal ideal space $M(B)$ of the Banach algebra B . (Here $\widehat{\cdot} : B \rightarrow C(M(B); \mathbb{C})$ denotes the Gelfand transform.)*

So in our case, it suffices to show that the joint spectrum of δ and μ in A has topological dimension 2. We observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_A(\delta, \mu) &= \{(1, \widehat{\mu}(\varphi)) : \varphi \in M(A)\} = \{1\} \times \{\widehat{\mu}(\varphi) : \varphi \in M(A)\} \\ &= \{1\} \times \sigma_A(\mu). \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Hence it is enough to show that $\sigma_A(\mu) \subset \mathbb{C} \simeq \mathbb{R}^2$ has topological dimension equal to 2. We recall the following result, which relates the spectrum of an element x of a subalgebra of a Banach algebra with the spectrum of x in the Banach algebra; see [16, Theorem 10.18, p. 238].

Proposition 5.4. *Let B be a unital Banach algebra, and S be a Banach subalgebra of B that contains the unit of B . If $x \in S$, then $\sigma_S(x)$ is the union of $\sigma_B(x)$ and a (possibly empty) collection of bounded components of the complement of $\sigma_B(x)$.*

We apply this with the Wiener-Laplace algebra $B = \mathbb{C}\delta + L^1[0, +\infty)$, $S = A$, and $x = \mu \in A \subset B = \mathbb{C}\delta + L^1[0, +\infty)$. The maximal ideal space of $B = \mathbb{C}\delta + L^1[0, +\infty)$ can be identified as a topological space with the subset

$$\{s \in (\mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}) : \text{and } \text{Re}(s) \geq 0\},$$

where we identify $\mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$ with the Riemann sphere; see for example [6, pp. 112-113]. This identification is done via the Laplace transform, as follows.

For $\nu = \alpha\delta + \int_0^\infty f(x) dx \in \mathbb{C}\delta + L^1[0, \infty)$, and $s \in \mathbb{C}_{\geq 0}$,

$$\widehat{\nu}(s) := \alpha + \int_0^\infty f(x)e^{-sx} dx, \quad \text{and} \quad \widehat{\nu}(\infty) := \alpha.$$

Consequently,

$$\sigma_B(\mu) = \left\{ \frac{s(s-1)}{(s+1)^2} : s \in (\mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}), \text{Re}(s) \geq 0 \right\} \stackrel{s = \frac{1+z}{1-z}}{=} \left\{ \frac{z+z^2}{2} : |z| \leq 1 \right\}.$$

It can be shown that this last set is the interior of a simple closed curve C shown as the bold curve in Figure 1. Thus the complement of $\sigma_B(\mu)$ has no bounded components. By Proposition 5.4 we conclude that

$\sigma_A(\mu) = \sigma_B(\mu)$. So $\sigma_A(\mu)$ has topological dimension equal to 2. From (2), also $\sigma_A(\delta, \mu) = \{1\} \times \sigma_A(\mu)$. So $\sigma_A(\delta, \mu)$ has topological dimension equal to 2 too. By Proposition 5.3, $\dim M(A)$ is equal to 2.




FIGURE 1. The simple closed curve C is depicted by the bold line. The bold curve C , with the dotted curve, is together the curve $\theta \mapsto (e^{i\theta} + e^{2i\theta})/2 : [0, 2\pi] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.

APPENDIX

Proposition 5.5. *Let $\mu \in \mathcal{M}^+$. Then $\lim_{\mathbb{R} \ni s \rightarrow +\infty} \widehat{\mu}(s) = \mu(\{0\})$.*

Proof. First suppose that μ satisfies $\mu(\{0\}) = 0$. Let $\epsilon > 0$. There exists an $r > 0$ such that $|\mu|([0, r]) < \epsilon$, thanks to the assumption that $\mu(\{0\}) = 0$. Let w be a Borel measurable function such that μ has the polar decomposition $d\mu(x) = e^{-iw(x)}d|\mu|(x)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} |\widehat{\mu}(s)| &= \left| \int_{[0, +\infty)} e^{-sx} e^{-iw(x)} d|\mu|(x) \right| \\ &\leq \left| \int_{[0, r]} e^{-sx} e^{-iw(x)} d|\mu|(x) \right| + \left| \int_{(r, +\infty)} e^{-sx} e^{-iw(x)} d|\mu|(x) \right| \\ &\leq \int_{[0, r]} 1 d|\mu|(x) + e^{-sr} \int_{(r, +\infty)} 1 d|\mu|(x) \leq \epsilon + e^{-sr} |\mu|((r, +\infty)). \end{aligned}$$

So $\limsup_{s \rightarrow +\infty} |\widehat{\mu}| \leq \epsilon$. As ϵ was arbitrary, $\limsup_{s \rightarrow +\infty} |\widehat{\mu}| \leq 0$. As $|\widehat{\mu}(s)| \geq 0$,

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow +\infty} |\widehat{\mu}(s)| = 0, \text{ and } \lim_{s \rightarrow +\infty} \widehat{\mu}(s) = 0.$$

If $\mu(\{0\}) \neq 0$, we complete the proof by considering $\nu := \mu - \mu(\{0\})\delta$, which satisfies $\nu(\{0\}) = 0$ and $\widehat{\nu} = \widehat{\mu} - \mu(\{0\})$. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Artin. *Algebra*. Prentice Hall, 1991.
- [2] A. Brudnyi. On the factorization of matrices over commutative Banach algebras. *Integral Equations Operator Theory*, 90, no. 1, Paper No. 6, 2018.
- [3] P. Cohn. On the structure of the GL_2 of a ring. *Institut des Hautes Études Scientifiques. Publications Mathématiques*, No. 30, 5-53, 1966.
- [4] E. Doubtsov and F. Kutzschebauch. Factorization by elementary matrices, null-homotopy and products of exponentials for invertible matrices over rings. *Analysis and Mathematical Physics*, 9:1005-1018, no. 3, 2019.
- [5] T.W. Gamelin. *Uniform algebras*. Prentice-Hall, Inc., Englewood Cliffs, N.J., 1969.
- [6] I. Gelfand, D. Raikov, G. Shilov. *Commutative normed rings*. Chelsea Publishing Company, 1964.
- [7] M. Gromov. Oka's principle for holomorphic sections of elliptic bundles. *Journal of the American Mathematical Society*, 2:851-897, no. 4, 1989.
- [8] E. Hille and R. Phillips. *Functional analysis and semi-groups*. Third printing of the revised edition of 1957. American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications, Vol. XXXI. American Mathematical Society, 1974.
- [9] B. Ivarsson and F. Kutzschebauch. Holomorphic factorization of mappings into $SL_n(\mathbb{C})$. *Annals of Mathematics (2)*, 175:45-69, no. 1, 2012.
- [10] K. Mikkola and A. Sasane. Bass and topological stable ranks of complex and real algebras of measures, functions and sequences. *Complex Analysis and Operator Theory*, 4:401-448, no. 2, 2010.
- [11] J. Milnor. *Introduction to algebraic K-theory*. Annals of Mathematics Studies, vol. 72. Princeton University Press, 1971.
- [12] R. Mortini and R. Rupp. The Bass and topological stable ranks for algebras of almost periodic functions on the real line. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 368:3059-3073, no. 5, 2016.
- [13] R. Mortini and A. Sasane. Some algebraic properties of the Wiener-Laplace algebra. *Journal of Applied Analysis*, 16:79-94, no. 1, 2010.
- [14] L. Rodman and I. Spitkovsky. Almost periodic factorization and corona theorem. *Indiana University Mathematics Journal*, 47:1243-1256, no. 4, 1998.
- [15] W. Rudin. *Real and complex analysis*. Third edition. McGraw-Hill, 1987.
- [16] W. Rudin. *Functional analysis*. Second edition. International Series in Pure and Applied Mathematics, McGraw-Hill, 1991.
- [17] A. Sasane. Extension to an invertible matrix in convolution algebras of measures supported in $[0, +\infty)$. In *Topics in operator theory. Volume 1. Operators, matrices and analytic functions*, Operator Theory Advances and Applications 202, pages 509-518, Birkhäuser Verlag, 2010.
- [18] A. Sasane. Contractibility of the maximal ideal space of algebras of measures in a half-space. *Operators and Matrices*, 4:103-118, no. 1, 2010.
- [19] A. Sasane. A potpourri of algebraic properties of the ring of periodic distributions. *Bulletin of the Belgian Mathematical Society. Simon Stevin*, 25:755-776, no. 5, 2018.
- [20] A. Suslin. The structure of the special linear group over rings of polynomials. *Izvestiya Akademii Nauk SSSR. Seriya Matematicheskaya*, 41:235-252, no. 2, 1977.

- [21] L. Vaserstein. Reduction of a matrix depending on parameters to a diagonal form by addition operations. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 103:741-746, no. 3, 1988.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, LONDON SCHOOL OF ECONOMICS, HOUGHTON
STREET, LONDON WC2A 2AE, UNITED KINGDOM
Email address: `A.J.Sasane@lse.ac.uk`