

GENERIC PROPERTIES OF HOMEOMORPHISMS PRESERVING A GIVEN DYNAMICAL SIMPLEX

JULIEN MELLERAY

ABSTRACT. Given a dynamical simplex K on a Cantor space X , we consider the set G_K^* of all homeomorphisms of X which preserve all elements of K and have no nontrivial clopen invariant subset. Generalising a theorem of Yingst, we prove that for a generic element g of G_K^* the set of invariant measures of g is equal to K . We also investigate when there exists a generic conjugacy class in G_K^* and prove that this happens exactly when K has only one element, which is the unique invariant measure associated to some odometer; and that in that case the conjugacy class of this odometer is generic in G_K^* .

1. INTRODUCTION

This work is motivated by a recent article of Yingst [Y], concerning the generic behavior (in the sense of Baire category) of some homeomorphisms of the Cantor space, which here and throughout this paper we denote by X . We extend some results of [Y] to the setting of dynamical simplices; before explaining what those are, let us give some context.

Yingst considers some Bernoulli measures μ_r , i.e. measures on $\{0,1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ which are a countable product of measures $r\delta_0 + (1-r)\delta_1$ for some $r \in]0,1[$. Say that such a measure is *refinable* if for any two disjoint clopen subsets A_1, A_2 and any clopen subset B such that $\mu(A_1) + \mu(A_2) = \mu(B)$, there exist disjoint clopen subsets \tilde{A}_1, \tilde{A}_2 of B such that $\mu(A_1) = \mu(\tilde{A}_1)$ and $\mu(A_2) = \mu(\tilde{A}_2)$. Refinability of a full atomless measure is a weakening of the notion of *good measure*, considered by Akin [A] : a Borel probability measure μ on X is *good* if it is full, atomless and, for any clopens A, B such that $\mu(A) \leq \mu(B)$, there exists a clopen $\tilde{A} \subseteq B$ such that $\mu(\tilde{A}) = \mu(A)$. Glasner and Weiss [GW] proved that, whenever g is a strictly ergodic homeomorphism of X (i.e. g is minimal and with a unique invariant Borel probability measure), the unique g -invariant measure is good. Akin [A] established the converse implication: for any good measure μ on X , there exists a strictly ergodic homeomorphism g of X whose unique invariant Borel probability measure is μ .

Dougherty, Mauldin and Yingst [DMY] showed that μ_r is refinable if and only if r is a root of an integer polynomial p with $p(0) = \pm 1, p(1) = \pm 1$; μ_r is good if and only if it is refinable and r has no algebraic conjugate in $]0,1[$. An interesting phenomenon is that when μ_r is refinable, $s \in]0,1[$ is an algebraic conjugate of r and g is a homeomorphism of X such that $g_*\mu_r = \mu_r$, then also $g_*\mu_s = \mu_s$. Given Akin's theorem, one wonders whether, for any refinable Bernoulli measure μ_r , there exists a minimal homeomorphism g of X whose set of ergodic invariant Borel probability measures is equal to $\{\mu_s : s \text{ is an algebraic conjugate of } r \text{ in } [0,1]\}$; this holds true, and that is one of the main results of [Y].

To prove this result, Yingst uses Baire category techniques. Given a compact, convex subset K of the set of all Borel probability measures on X , denote

$$G_K = \{g \in \text{Homeo}(X) : \forall \mu \in K \ g_*\mu = \mu\}$$

and let G_K^* be the set of all $g \in G_K$ such that $g(U) \neq U$ for any nontrivial clopen set U .

Then G_K is a closed subgroup of the homeomorphism group of X , endowed with its unique Polish group topology; a neighborhood basis of the identity in G_K is given by the subgroups

$$G_{\mathcal{A}} = \{g \in G_K : \forall A \in \mathcal{A} \ g(A) = A\}$$

where \mathcal{A} ranges over all clopen partitions of X . Mapping a given clopen set to itself is an open condition in G_K , so G_K^* is a closed subset of G_K ; Yingst points out that $G_{\{\mu\}}^*$ is nonempty whenever μ is a refinable Bernoulli measure (this is true in greater generality, as we will see below).

Theorem ([Y, Theorem 1.1]). *Let P be an irreducible integer polynomial with $P(0) \pm 1$ and $P(1) = \pm 1$. Let R be the set of roots of P which lie in $]0, 1[$, and let $r \in R$.*

Then $G_{\{\mu_r\}}^$ is nonempty and for a generic element g of $G_{\mu_r}^*$, the set of all g -invariant probability measures is equal to the closed convex hull of $\{\mu_s : s \in R\}$.*

Similarly, assume that μ is a good measure on X . Then $G_{\{\mu\}}^$ is nonempty and for a generic element g of G_{μ}^* , the set of all g -invariant probability measures is equal to $\{\mu\}$.*

The results above, for refinable Bernoulli measures and general good measures, have a common generalization. Before stating it, we need to introduce some more background.

Definition (see [IM] and [M]). A compact, convex set K of Borel probability measures on X is a *dynamical simplex* if:

- All elements of K are full and atomless.
- For any two $A, B \in \text{Clopen}(X)$ such that $\mu(A) < \mu(B)$ for all $\mu \in K$, there exists a clopen $\tilde{A} \subset B$ such that $\mu(A) = \mu(\tilde{A})$ for all $\mu \in K$.

It follows from a theorem of Glasner and Weiss [GW, Lemma 2.5] that the set of invariant measures of any minimal homeomorphism of X is a dynamical simplex. When K is a singleton $\{\mu\}$, K is a dynamical simplex if, and only if, μ is a good measure.

Assuming that K has finitely many extreme points which are mutually singular, and K is a dynamical simplex, Dahl [D] proved that there exists a minimal homeomorphism g of X whose invariant measures are exactly the elements of K (the term *dynamical simplex* was also introduced by Dahl, though the definition was not quite formulated as above). This extends Akin's theorem about good measures. It turns out that the assumption that extreme points of K are mutually singular is redundant with the other assumptions, and that finite dimensionality may also be dispensed with. Indeed, it was proved by Ibarlucía and the author in [IM] (with the additional assumption of *approximate divisibility*, which was later shown to be superfluous in [M] but will play a part in our arguments) that for any dynamical simplex K there exists a minimal homeomorphism g whose invariant measures are exactly the elements of K .

Theorem 5.4 of [Y] (closely related to earlier work of Dougherty, Mauldin and Yingst [DMY]) shows that, whenever P is an irreducible integer polynomial with $P(0) = \pm 1$, $P(1) = \pm 1$, R is the set of roots of P contained in $]0, 1[$ and $r \in R$, the closed convex hull K_r of all μ_s , for $s \in R$, is a dynamical simplex; further, $G_{\{\mu_r\}} = G_{K_r}$. Thus Yingst's theorem may be seen as asserting that, for certain dynamical simplices K , for a generic element $g \in G_K^*$ the set of all g -invariant Borel probability measures coincides with K . As an aside, note that if $g \in G_K \setminus G_K^*$ then g must preserve some measure which does not have a full support, so the set of g -invariant Borel probability measures cannot be equal to K .

We prove here (Theorem 3.1 below) that the conclusion of Yingst's theorem holds for all dynamical simplices: whenever K is a dynamical simplex, a generic element of G_K^* has a set of invariant measures equal to K . We actually establish a more precise result, using the notion of a *saturated* homeomorphism.

The strategy of [IM] is based on the notion of a *Kakutani-Rokhlin partition*; we recall that, if g is a homeomorphism of X , a Kakutani-Rokhlin partition for g is a partition $(A_{i,j})_{0 \leq i \leq n, 0 \leq j \leq n_i}$ into clopen sets, such that for all i and all $0 \leq j < n_i$ one has $g(A_{i,j}) = A_{i,j+1}$. When g is minimal, one can build a refining sequence of such partitions whose atoms generate the clopen Boolean algebra (see for instance [IM] for more details and further references), and then we can think of these partitions as giving better and better approximations of g . The proof given in [IM] that any dynamical simplex can be realized as the set of invariant measures of some minimal homeomorphism works by building a refining sequence of clopen partitions, which turn out to be Kakutani-Rokhlin partitions of the desired minimal homeomorphism g . These partitions, which we call K -partitions, also play a major part in our approach here (see the next section for their definition). To ensure that g preserves K , we ask that $\mu(A_{i,j}) = \mu(A_{i,j+1})$ for any $\mu \in K$ whenever $A_{i,j}$ is an element of one of the Kakutani-Rokhlin partitions for g and $j < n_i$. One also needs to ensure that g does not preserve measures which are not in K .

Definition. Let K be a dynamical simplex, and $g \in G_K$. We say that g is *K -saturated* if, whenever $A, B \in \text{Clopen}(X)$ are such that $\mu(A) = \mu(B)$ for all $\mu \in K$, there exists a Kakutani-Rokhlin partition $(A_{i,j})_{0 \leq i \leq n, 0 \leq j \leq n_i}$ for g such that A, B are unions of atoms of this partition and for all i

$$|\{j: A_{i,j} \subseteq A\}| = |\{j: A_{i,j} \subseteq B\}|$$

It is proved in [IM] that, whenever K is a dynamical simplex and g is K -saturated, it follows that g is minimal and the set of invariant measures of g is equal to K ; and the construction of [IM] produces a K -saturated element of G_K .

We note that, for a given $A, B \in \text{Clopen}(X)$ such that $\mu(A) = \mu(B)$ for all $\mu \in K$, the set of all g admitting a Kakutani-Rokhlin partition with the above property is an open subset of G_K , hence K -saturated homeomorphisms form a G_δ subset of G_K^* . It is asked in [IM, Remark 2] whether one can determine the closure in G_K of the set of K -saturated homeomorphisms, and suggested that it might be equal to G_K^* , by analogy with [BDK, Theorem 5.9] which proves a similar result in $\text{Homeo}(X)$.

Our main result (proved in Section 3) confirms this suspicion.

Theorem 3.1. *Let K be a dynamical simplex. Then a generic element of G_K^* is K -saturated.*

In particular, for a generic element g of G_K^ the set of g -invariant Borel probability measures is equal to K .*

This generalises Yingst's result for good measures and refinable Bernoulli measures to all dynamical simplices; the possibility that such a generalization may be true is mentioned at the end of [Y].

Yingst mentions after the statement of his theorem that it "demonstrates a large class of uniquely or finitely ergodic homeomorphisms"; one then wonders how large that class is. Certainly, different dynamical simplices will yield non-conjugate uniquely or finitely ergodic homeomorphisms (when there is just one, or finitely many, extreme points), but it is not clear a priori whether two generic elements of G_K^* are conjugate. Thus we investigate when there exist comeager conjugacy classes in G_K^* and establish the following result.

Theorem 4.5. *There exists a comeager conjugacy class in G_K^* if, and only if K is a singleton $\{\mu\}$, and μ is the unique invariant probability measure for some odometer g . In that case, the conjugacy class of g is comeager in G_K^* .*

Acknowledgements. The author is grateful to A. Yingst for a careful reading of an earlier version of this article, as well as many valuable suggestions which helped improve the exposition.

2. SOME BACKGROUND ON DYNAMICAL SIMPLICES

Our argument is based on some terminology and results from [IM] and [M], which we recall now (what we call "K-partition" here is called "KR-partition" there). It probably helps to have some familiarity with Kakutani-Rokhlin partitions and the methods used in the aforementioned papers to follow the arguments, but we recall everything we need from these articles in order to establish our results.

We recall that a dynamical simplex is a compact, convex set K of probability measures on X such that all elements of K are atomless and have full support, and whenever $A, B \in \text{Clopen}(X)$ are such that $\mu(A) < \mu(B)$ for all $\mu \in K$ there exists a clopen $\tilde{A} \subset B$ such that $\mu(A) = \mu(\tilde{A})$ for all $\mu \in K$.

We fix a dynamical simplex K . Let $G_K = \{g \in \text{Homeo}(X) : \forall \mu \in K \ g_*\mu = \mu\}$ where as usual $g_*\mu(A) = \mu(g^{-1}A)$ for any Borel A .

If A, B are clopen and $\mu(A) = \mu(B)$ for all $\mu \in K$, then there exists $g \in G_K$ such that $g(A) = B$ [IM, Proposition 2.7]. If we assume instead that $\mu(A) < \mu(B)$ for all $\mu \in K$, then it follows from this fact and the definition of a dynamical simplex that there exists $g \in G_K$ such that $g(A) \subset B$.

Lemma 2.1 ([IM, Proposition 2.5]).

- For any nonempty $A \in \text{Clopen}(X)$, $\inf\{\mu(A) : \mu \in K\} > 0$.
- If d is any compatible metric, and $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists some $\delta > 0$ such that whenever B has diameter less than δ , one has $\mu(B) \leq \varepsilon$ for all $\mu \in K$.

Theorem 2.2 ([M, Corollary 2.6]). *K is approximately divisible, i.e. for any clopen A , any $\varepsilon > 0$ and any positive integer n there exists a clopen $B \subseteq A$ such that*

$$\forall \mu \in K \quad \mu(A) - \varepsilon \leq n\mu(B) \leq \mu(A)$$

The way we are going to use approximate divisibility is (using the same notations as above) by finding disjoint clopen $B_i \subseteq A$ such that

$$\forall \mu \in K \forall i \in \{1, \dots, n\} \quad \mu(B_i) = \mu(B_1) \quad \text{and} \quad \mu\left(A \setminus \bigsqcup_{i=1}^n B_i\right) \leq \varepsilon$$

Definition 2.3. A K -partition is a clopen partition $\mathcal{A} = (A_{i,j})_{i \in I_{\mathcal{A}}, 0 \leq j \leq n_i}$ such that

$$\forall i \in I_{\mathcal{A}} \forall j, k \leq n_i \forall \mu \in K \quad \mu(A_{i,j}) = \mu(A_{i,k})$$

We use the notation $F_{\mathcal{A}}$ to denote $\{(i, j) : i \in I_{\mathcal{A}}, 0 \leq j \leq n_i\}$.

We call a set $(A_{i,j})_{0 \leq j \leq n_i}$ a *column* of the partition; we say that $n_i + 1$ is the *height* of this column. The union of all $A_{i,0}$ is the *base* of the partition, and the union of all A_{i,n_i} is its *top*.

We say that a homeomorphism g is *compatible* with \mathcal{A} if $g(A_{i,j}) = A_{i,j+1}$ for all i and all $j < n_i(\mathcal{A})$.

Definition 2.4. A K -partition \mathcal{B} *refines* another K -partition \mathcal{A} if:

- For all $(i, j) \in F_{\mathcal{A}}$ there exists $(k, l) \in F_{\mathcal{B}}$ such that $A_{i,j} \subseteq B_{k,l}$.
- Whenever $j < n_i(\mathcal{A})$ and $A_{i,j} \subseteq B_{k,l}$, one has $l < n_k(\mathcal{B})$ and $A_{i,j+1} \subseteq B_{k,l+1}$.

If $A_{i,0}$ is contained in $B_{k,l}$ we say that $(B_{k,l}, \dots, B_{k,l+n_i(\mathcal{A})})$ is a *copy* of the column $(A_{i,0}, \dots, A_{i,n_i(\mathcal{A})})$ contained in $(B_{k,0}, \dots, B_{k,n_k(\mathcal{B})})$. Informally, one often says that \mathcal{B} has been obtained from \mathcal{A} by *cutting and stacking*.

When g is a minimal homeomorphism such that $g_*\mu = \mu$ for all $\mu \in K$, any Kakutani-Rokhlin partition for g is a K -partition.

The following proposition is the heart of the proof of the main result of [IM].

Proposition 2.5. *Let \mathcal{A} be a K -partition; there exists a K -saturated $g \in G_K$ which is compatible with \mathcal{A} .*

This proposition is not formally stated in [IM] (though it is implicit in Remark 2 of that paper) but is established as in the proof of [IM, Proposition 3.6] by an inductive argument based on the following lemma (which is a combination of Propositions 3.4 and 3.5 of [IM]).

Lemma 2.6. Let \mathcal{A} be a K -partition. Assume that U, V are clopen and such that $\mu(U) = \mu(V)$ for all $\mu \in K$. Let W be a nonempty clopen subset of $\text{top}(\mathcal{A})$.

Then there exists a K -partition \mathcal{B} refining \mathcal{A} , whose top is contained in W , such that U, V are both unions of atoms of \mathcal{B} and for all k one has

$$|\{l \in \{0, \dots, n_k(\mathcal{B})\} : B_{k,l} \subseteq U\}| = |\{l \in \{0, \dots, n_k(\mathcal{B})\} : B_{k,l} \subseteq V\}|$$

When U, V satisfy the conditions above, we say that (U, V) are \mathcal{B} -equivalent.

3. PROOF THAT A GENERIC ELEMENT OF G_K^* IS K -SATURATED

Throughout this section we fix a dynamical simplex K . We recall that G_K is the group of all homeomorphisms which preserve K , and G_K^* is the set of all $g \in G_K$ such that, for any nontrivial clopen set U , one has $g(U) \neq U$. Our aim is to prove the following theorem.

Theorem 3.1. *Let K be a dynamical simplex. Then a generic element of G_K^* is K -saturated.*

As pointed out in the introduction, K -saturated homeomorphisms form a G_{δ} subset of G_K^* (and we know that there exist K -saturated homeomorphisms by the main result of [IM], so G_K^* is also nonempty). So our aim really is to prove that the set of K -saturated homeomorphisms is dense in G_K^* .

Proof of Theorem 3.1. We fix $\varphi \in G_K^*$, and a clopen partition \mathcal{A} of X . Our goal is to prove that there exists a K -saturated element $\psi \in G_K$ such that $\psi(\alpha) = \varphi(\alpha)$ for all $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$.

The proof is going to proceed by building certain K -partitions, and we think of \mathcal{A} as a K -partition whose columns all have height equal to 1. Let \mathcal{B} be a K -partition which refines \mathcal{A} . For every atom $B_{i,j}$ of \mathcal{B} there exists an atom α of \mathcal{A} such that $B_{i,j} \subseteq \alpha$; we say that \mathcal{B} is *compatible with φ* if $B_{i,j+1} \subseteq \varphi(\alpha)$ whenever $j < n_i$. Note that then one must also have

$$\text{base}(\mathcal{B}) \subseteq \bigcup \{ \varphi(\alpha) : \alpha \in \mathcal{A} \text{ and } \alpha \cap \text{top}(\mathcal{B}) \neq \emptyset \}$$

Assume that \mathcal{B} refines \mathcal{A} and is compatible with φ , and let C be a column of \mathcal{B} ; $\text{top}(C)$ is contained in some atom of $\text{top}(\mathcal{A})$, which we denote by $\alpha(C)$. We endow the set of columns $\text{Col}(\mathcal{B})$ with a directed graph structure $\Gamma_{\mathcal{B}}$ (possibly, with loops), by declaring that

$$((C, D) \in \Gamma_{\mathcal{B}}) \Leftrightarrow (\varphi(\alpha(C)) \cap \text{base}(D) \neq \emptyset)$$

We say that \mathcal{B} is *admissible* if:

- \mathcal{B} refines \mathcal{A} and is compatible with φ .
- For any $C, D \in \text{Col}(\mathcal{B})$ there exists a path in $\Gamma_{\mathcal{B}}$ starting at C and ending at D .

Note that \mathcal{A} is admissible: if we fix $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$ and let U be the union of all elements of \mathcal{A} that one can reach from α by following a path in $\Gamma_{\mathcal{A}}$, then $\varphi(U) \subseteq U$, whence $U = X$ because $\varphi \in G_K^*$.

Denote by $N(\mathcal{B})$ the number of elements of \mathcal{A} which intersect $\text{top}(\mathcal{B})$. Our goal now is to prove that there exists \mathcal{B} which is compatible with φ and such that $N(\mathcal{B}) = 1$. Assuming that we manage to prove that, the proof is complete: by Proposition 2.5 there exists a saturated ψ which is compatible with \mathcal{B} . For every $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$ which does not intersect $\text{top}(\mathcal{B})$, we have $\varphi(\alpha) \subseteq \psi(\alpha)$, and $\mu(\alpha) = \mu(\psi(\alpha))$ for all $\mu \in K$; hence $\varphi(\alpha) = \psi(\alpha)$ for every such α . Since there is a unique element of \mathcal{A} which intersects $\text{top}(\mathcal{B})$, this implies that $\varphi(\alpha) = \psi(\alpha)$ for all $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$.

To complete the proof, it remains to prove that, if \mathcal{B} is admissible and $N(\mathcal{B}) > 1$, there exists an admissible \mathcal{C} such that $N(\mathcal{C}) < N(\mathcal{B})$.

We fix \mathcal{B} , and let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N$ denote the atoms of \mathcal{A} which intersect $\text{top}(\mathcal{B})$. For every column C of \mathcal{B} there exists i such that $\text{top}(C) \subseteq \alpha_i$; pick a column whose base intersects $\varphi(\alpha_2)$. Since this column must be linked in $\Gamma_{\mathcal{B}}$ to a column whose top is contained in α_1 , there exists a column C of \mathcal{B} whose base is not contained in $\varphi(\alpha_1)$ and whose top is contained in α_1 . Fix such a column C . Using a similar argument, we obtain the existence of a column D whose base intersects $\varphi(\alpha_1)$ and whose top is not contained in α_1 .

We cut D vertically to form a new column \tilde{D} (of a finer partition) whose base is contained in $\varphi(\alpha_1)$ (and top in some $\alpha_j, j \neq 1$), and cut C to form a new column \tilde{C} whose base does not intersect $\varphi(\alpha_1)$ but intersects every other $\varphi(\alpha_j)$ which intersects the base of C . We do this in such a way that $\mu(\text{base}(\tilde{C})) = \mu(\text{base}(\tilde{D}))$ for all $\mu \in K$ (see Lemma 2.1).

For every column E of \mathcal{B} whose base intersects $\varphi(\alpha_1)$ and whose top is contained in α_1 (including those that were left over after creating \tilde{C} and \tilde{D}), we form new columns by cutting the base of E ; one of these columns has base equal to

$\varphi(\alpha_1) \cap \text{base}(E)$, and the other $\text{base}(E) \setminus \varphi(\alpha_1)$ (if this is nonempty, otherwise no cutting is necessary). Call \mathcal{B}' the partition we have built so far.

Let k denote the number of columns of \mathcal{B}' whose base is contained in $\varphi(\alpha_1)$ and whose top is contained in α_1 (if $k = 0$, move to the next step). For every such column F , we choose a large integer M_F and use approximate divisibility to write

$$\text{base}(F) = \left(\bigsqcup_{i=1}^{M_F} F_i \right) \sqcup G$$

where

$$\forall \mu \in K \forall i, j \quad \mu(F_i) = \mu(F_j) \quad \text{and} \quad \mu(F_1) + \mu(G) < \frac{1}{k} \mu(\text{base}(\tilde{\mathcal{C}}))$$

We then form two new columns, \tilde{F}_1, \tilde{F}_2 , with \tilde{F}_1 being obtained by stacking M_F copies of F with base F_i on top of each other, and \tilde{F}_2 being the remainder (a copy of F with base G). Once we have done that for every such F , we may use the fact that K is a dynamical simplex to form (many) new columns by mapping a portion of the top of $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ onto the base of \tilde{F}_i , then the top of \tilde{F}_i into the base of $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}$. Again, we ensure that for every column H of this new, finer partition \mathcal{B}'' such that $\text{base}(H) \subset \text{base}(\tilde{\mathcal{C}})$, one has $\varphi(\alpha_j) \cap \text{base}(H) \neq \emptyset$ for every $j \geq 2$ such that $\varphi(\alpha_j) \cap \text{base}(\tilde{\mathcal{C}}) \neq \emptyset$.

In \mathcal{B}'' , any column whose top is contained in α_1 has a base which does not intersect $\varphi(\alpha_1)$. For every column I of \mathcal{B}'' such that $\text{base}(I) \cap \varphi(\alpha_1) \neq \emptyset$, we again cut I to form one column with base $\varphi(\alpha_1) \cap \text{base}(I)$ and the other with base $\text{base}(I) \setminus \varphi(\alpha_1)$ (if nonempty). Now, there are columns of three types: the base is contained in $\varphi(\alpha_1)$ and the top does not intersect α_1 (type 1); the base does not intersect $\varphi(\alpha_1)$ and the top is contained in α_1 (type 2); or the base does not intersect $\varphi(\alpha_1)$ and the top does not intersect α_1 . For any $\mu \in K$, the measure of the union of tops of columns of type 2 (denote it T) is equal to the measure of the union of bases of columns of type 1 (denote it B). So we may map T onto B , to form some new columns of a partition \mathcal{C} which refines \mathcal{B}' , hence also \mathcal{B} . Using Lemma 2.1 we ensure that the following condition holds:

If for some $j, k \geq 2$ $\varphi(\alpha_j)$ intersects the base of some column of type 2, and some column of type 1 has its top contained in α_k , then when mapping T to B we make sure to create at least one column whose base intersects $\varphi(\alpha_j)$ and whose top is contained in α_k .

By construction \mathcal{C} refines \mathcal{B} , \mathcal{C} is compatible with φ and $\text{top}(\mathcal{C}) \cap \alpha_1 = \emptyset$. It remains to prove that \mathcal{C} is admissible.

During the construction, we ensured that the following properties hold:

- Given any $i, j \geq 2$, if there exists a column of \mathcal{B} whose top is contained in α_i and base intersects $\varphi(\alpha_j)$, then such a column also exists in \mathcal{C} .
- Given any $i, j \geq 2$, if there exists a column of \mathcal{B} whose base intersects $\varphi(\alpha_i)$ and whose top is contained in α_1 , and another column of \mathcal{B} whose base intersects $\varphi(\alpha_1)$ and whose top is contained in α_j , then there exists a column of \mathcal{C} whose base intersects $\varphi(\alpha_i)$ and whose top is contained in α_j .

Now, let A, B be two columns of \mathcal{C} , with $\text{top}(A) \subseteq \alpha_i$ and $\text{base}(B) \cap \varphi(\alpha_j) \neq \emptyset$. Since \mathcal{B} is admissible, there exists a path $C_1, \dots, C_n \in \Gamma_{\mathcal{B}}$ and elements β_1, \dots, β_n of $\text{top}(\mathcal{A})$ such that:

- $\beta_1 = \alpha_i$ and $\beta_n = \alpha_j$.

- For all i , $\text{top}(C_i) \subseteq \beta_i$.
- For all $i \leq n-1$, $\varphi(\beta_i) \cap \text{base}(C_{i+1}) \neq \emptyset$.

There also exists such a sequence of columns in \mathcal{C} (we possibly have to “jump” over a column whose top is contained in α_1 , and we took care during the construction to ensure that this is possible), which proves that \mathcal{C} is admissible. \square

4. COMEAGER CONJUGACY CLASSES AND ODOMETERS

We again fix a dynamical simplex K ; given a K -partition \mathcal{A} , we consider

$$O_{\mathcal{A}} = \{g \in G_K^* : g \text{ is compatible with } \mathcal{A}\}$$

$$G_{\mathcal{A}} = \{g \in G_K : \forall A \in \mathcal{A} \ g(A) = A\}$$

It follows from the work done in the previous section that the sets $O_{\mathcal{A}}$ form a basis of the topology of G_K^* ; the subgroups $G_{\mathcal{A}}$ form a basis of neighborhoods of the identity in G_K .

In our context, we may formulate Rosendal’s criterion for the existence of comeager conjugacy classes for a Polish group action as follows (for a proof, see [BYMT, Proposition 3.2]).

Proposition 4.1. *There exists a comeager conjugacy class in G_K^* if, and only if*

- (1) *The action of G_K on G_K^* by conjugation is topologically transitive.*
- (2) *For any K -partition \mathcal{A} , there exists a K -partition \mathcal{B} refining \mathcal{A} such that, for any K -partitions \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D} refining \mathcal{B} there exists $g \in G_{\mathcal{A}}$ such that $gO_{\mathcal{C}}g^{-1} \cap O_{\mathcal{D}} \neq \emptyset$.*

We recall that a continuous action of a Polish group G on a Polish space X is *topologically transitive* if it admits a dense orbit or, equivalently in this context, if for any two nonempty open subsets U, V of X there exists $g \in G$ such that $g(U) \cap V \neq \emptyset$.

The first part of the above criterion turns out to be always satisfied.

Proposition 4.2. *The conjugacy class of any K -saturated element is dense in G_K^* .*

Proof. Fix a K -saturated g and a K -partition \mathcal{A} . By saturation of g , there exists a Kakutani-Rokhlin partition $\mathcal{B} = (B_{i,j})$ for g such that any two atoms of \mathcal{A} belonging to the same column of \mathcal{A} are \mathcal{B} -equivalent (see Lemma 2.6 for the definition). Thus, up to a reordering of the atoms within each of its columns, \mathcal{B} is a refinement of \mathcal{A} ; in other words, there exists for all i a bijection σ_i of $\{0, \dots, n_i(\mathcal{B})\}$ such that $(B_{i,\sigma_i(j)})$ refines \mathcal{A} .

We may find $h \in G_K$ such that for all i and all $j \in \{0, \dots, n_i(\mathcal{B})\}$ one has $h(B_{i,j}) = B_{i,\sigma_i(j)}$. Then $(B_{i,\sigma_i(j)})$ is a Kakutani-Rokhlin partition for hgh^{-1} , which proves that hgh^{-1} belongs to $O_{\mathcal{A}}$. \square

Our aim is now to prove that the second condition of Proposition 4.1 is satisfied exactly when K is a singleton $\{\mu\}$, and μ is the unique invariant measure of an odometer.

Given integers $n_1, \dots, n_k \geq 0$, with at least one being different from 0, we set

$$r(n_1, \dots, n_k) = \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^k n_i}(n_1, \dots, n_k)$$

Let $d = \gcd(n_1, \dots, n_k)$ and $n'_i = \frac{n_i}{d}$; observe that $r(n_1, \dots, n_k) = r(n'_1, \dots, n'_k)$ and that

$$r(n_1, \dots, n_k) = r(m_1, \dots, m_k) \Leftrightarrow (n'_1, \dots, n'_k) = (m'_1, \dots, m'_k)$$

From now until the end of the proof of Lemma 4.4, we fix a K -partition \mathcal{A} , with columns C_1, \dots, C_k . For any K -partition \mathcal{B} refining \mathcal{A} , and any column D of \mathcal{B} , we let $n_i(D)$ denote the number of copies of C_i contained in D .

We define $r(D) = r(n_1(D), \dots, n_k(D))$ and call it the *repartition* of D ; as above set $n'_i(D) = \frac{n_i(D)}{\gcd(n_1(D), \dots, n_k(D))}$

Lemma 4.3. Let \mathcal{B} be a K -partition refining \mathcal{A} ; assume that for all columns D_1, D_2 of \mathcal{B} one has $r(D_1) = r(D_2)$. Then there exists a K -partition \mathcal{C} refining \mathcal{A} and which has a single column.

Proof. By assumption, $n'_k(D)$ does not depend on the column D of \mathcal{B} , so we let $n'_k = n'_k(D)$ for some (any) column D of \mathcal{B} .

For any column D of \mathcal{B} , there exists some integer M such that D is made up of Mn'_1 copies of C_1, \dots, Mn'_k copies of C_k stacked on top of each other. Up to reordering, we see that there exists a K -partition refining \mathcal{A} such that each column is obtained by stacking n'_1 copies of C_1 on top of each other, then n'_2 copies of C_2, \dots , then n'_k copies of C_k ; and repeating this pattern some number M of times (where M depends on the column).

By separating at the beginning of each of these patterns, and putting the obtained subcolumns next to each other, we see that \mathcal{A} has a refinement where all columns are made up of exactly n'_1 copies of C_1, \dots, n'_k copies of C_k stacked on top of each other. We denote by N the common height of these columns. We have also ensured that the n'_1 copies of C_1 are at the bottom, followed by n'_2 copies of C_2 , etc.

Denote this refinement $\mathcal{B} = (B_{i,j})_{0 \leq i \leq m, 0 \leq j < N}$, and set for all $j \in \{0, \dots, N-1\}$

$$C_j = \bigsqcup_{0 \leq i \leq m} B_{i,j}$$

Then (C_0, \dots, C_{N-1}) is a K -partition which refines \mathcal{A} and has a single column. \square

Lemma 4.4. Assume that \mathcal{B} is a K -partition refining \mathcal{A} , and that there exist two columns D_1, D_2 of \mathcal{B} with $r(D_1) \neq r(D_2)$. Then there exist K -partitions \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D} refining \mathcal{B} such that for any $f \in G_{\mathcal{A}}$ one has $fO_{\mathcal{C}}f^{-1} \cap O_{\mathcal{D}} = \emptyset$.

Proof. To simplify notations below, we note that one can further refine \mathcal{B} in such a way that D_1, D_2 have the same height: if D_1 has height a and D_2 has height b , form a new partition with one column obtained by stacking b copies of D_1 on top of each other, and another by stacking a copies of D_2 on top of each other; this is possible as long as one chooses a small enough base for these columns. These new columns have the same repartitions as D_1 and D_2 . So we assume below that $\text{height}(D_1) = \text{height}(D_2) = h$.

We use the fact that D_1, D_2 have different repartitions to build incompatible (i.e. with the property in the lemma's statement) \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D} refining \mathcal{B} . The intuition is as follows: first choose \mathcal{C} so that every column of \mathcal{C} begins with many copies of D_1 ; then every K -partition \mathcal{E} refining \mathcal{C} will be such that every column contains many consecutive copies of D_1 , and to move from an atom of \mathcal{E} to an atom at the bottom of these many successive copies of D_1 one only needs to move by at most the largest height of any column of \mathcal{C} . So, if every column of a K -partition \mathcal{D} begins with many copies of D_2 (where "many" means in particular "more than the height

of any column of \mathcal{C}''), we expect \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} to be incompatible. To turn this intuition into a proof, we use a counting argument.

We now turn to the details of this argument. Denote by m_i the number of copies of C_i occurring in D_2 and set $S = \sum_{i=1}^k m_i$.

Consider some $g \in O_{\mathcal{A}}$, $x \in X$ and some $N \in \mathbf{N}$ bigger than the height of any column in \mathcal{A} . Let $i_1 < \dots < i_p$ be the indices in $\{0, \dots, N\}$ for which $g^{i_j}(x)$ belongs to the base of \mathcal{A} , and note that $x, \dots, g^{i_1-1}(x)$ all belong to the same column of \mathcal{A} , as do $g^{i_2}(x), \dots, g^{i_2+i_1-1}(x)$ for all $j \in \{1, \dots, p-1\}$ and $g^{i_p}(x), \dots, g^N(x)$. For $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$, we let $n_{i,N}(g; x)$ denote the number of times C_i has occurred in this sequence, and let

$$s_N(g; x) = \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^k n_{i,N}(g; x)} (n_{1,N}(g; x), \dots, n_{k,N}(g; x))$$

Fix an integer $s \geq 2$ such that $\frac{kh}{s-1} < \|r(D_1) - r(D_2)\|_{\infty}$. Using the fact that K is a dynamical simplex, we may build a K -partition \mathcal{C} refining \mathcal{B} and such that all the columns of \mathcal{C} begin with s copies of D_1 . Set $N = sh - 1$, and fix an integer M which is larger than the height of any column of \mathcal{C} (hence also $M \geq N$).

Note that for any $g \in O_{\mathcal{C}}$ and any $x \in X$ there exists some $i \in \{0, \dots, M-1\}$ such that $s_N(g; g^i(x)) = r(D_1)$. Indeed, there exists some $i \in \{0, \dots, M-1\}$ such that $g^i(x)$ belongs to the base of \mathcal{C} , and then $g^i(x), \dots, g^{N+i}(x)$ are going through s copies of D_1 .

Further, observe that the property mentioned in the previous paragraph is also true of fgf^{-1} for any $f \in G_{\mathcal{A}}$ and any $g \in O_{\mathcal{C}}$, since \mathcal{C} refines \mathcal{A} and f maps every atom of \mathcal{A} to itself.

Let \mathcal{D} be a K -partition refining \mathcal{B} and such that that all columns of \mathcal{D} begin with at least $3M$ copies of D_2 ; denote by U the base of \mathcal{D} . Consider $g \in O_{\mathcal{D}}$ and $x \in g^j(U)$ for some $j \in \{0, \dots, 2M-1\}$. There exists $j_1 \in \{0, \dots, h-1\}$ such that $g^{j_1}(x)$ belongs to the base of D_2 . Since the points $g^{j_1}(x), \dots, g^{j_1-1+(s-1)h}(x)$ visit $(s-1)$ copies of D_2 and make up all but h of the elements of $g(x), \dots, g^N(x)$, we see that for all $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ one has

$$(s-1)m_i \leq n_{i,N}(g; x) \leq (s-1)m_i + h$$

Denote $T = \sum_{i=1}^k n_{i,N}(g; x)$; by summing these inequalities (which is overkill, but that does not matter) we get

$$(s-1)S \leq T \leq (s-1)S + kh$$

Thus

$$\forall i \in \{1, \dots, k\} \quad \frac{(s-1)m_i}{(s-1)S + kh} - \frac{m_i}{S} \leq \frac{n_{i,N}(g; x)}{T} - \frac{m_i}{S} \leq \frac{(s-1)m_i + h}{(s-1)S} - \frac{m_i}{S}$$

The right-hand side of this inequality is smaller than $\frac{h}{s-1}$. Also

$$0 \leq \frac{m_i}{S} - \frac{(s-1)m_i}{(s-1)S + kh} = \frac{khm_i}{S((s-1)S + kh)} \leq \frac{kh}{(s-1)}$$

This shows that $\|r(D_2) - s_N(g; x)\|_{\infty} \leq \frac{kh}{s-1}$, thus $s_N(g; x) \neq r(D_1)$.

It follows that $s_N(g; g^i(x)) \neq r(D_1)$ for all $i \in \{0, \dots, M-1\}$ and all $x \in g^M(U)$. This proves that $g \notin fO_{\mathcal{C}}f^{-1}$ for any $f \in G_{\mathcal{A}}$; since g was an arbitrary element of $O_{\mathcal{D}}$, we obtain as promised that $fO_{\mathcal{C}}f^{-1} \cap O_{\mathcal{D}} = \emptyset$ for any $f \in G_{\mathcal{A}}$. \square

We briefly recall the definition of an odometer: fix a sequence $\bar{k} = (k_i)$ of integers ≥ 2 , and let

$$Y_{\bar{k}} = \prod_{i=0}^{+\infty} \{0, \dots, k_i - 1\}$$

Then $Y_{\bar{k}}$ is a Cantor space; the corresponding odometer is the map $T_{\bar{k}}: Y \rightarrow Y$ defined by “adding 1 with right-carry”. Formally, if $y \in Y$ is such that $y(i) < k_i - 1$ for some i , then one finds the smallest such i and sets

$$T_{\bar{k}}(y) = (\underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{\text{a string of } i \text{ zeroes}}, y(i) + 1, y_{i+1}, y_{i+2}, \dots)$$

If there is no such i , then we set $T_{\bar{k}}(y)(i) = 0$ for all i .

We say that map $g: X \rightarrow X$ is an odometer if there exists a sequence \bar{k} and a homeomorphism $h: Y_{\bar{k}} \rightarrow X$ such that $g = hT_{\bar{k}}h^{-1}$.

Note that for any odometer there is a natural sequence of Kakutani-Rokhlin partitions (\mathcal{A}_n) which have exactly one column each, obtained by taking as base of \mathcal{A}_n the set $\{y \in Y_{\bar{k}}: \forall i \leq n \ y(i) = 0\}$. It is easily seen that the existence of such a sequence actually characterizes odometers ([BDK, Theorem 4.6], a fact we will use below). This property also implies that odometers are strictly ergodic and saturated.

Theorem 4.5. *There exists a comeager conjugacy class in G_K^* if, and only if K is a singleton $\{\mu\}$, and μ is the unique invariant probability measure for some odometer g . In that case, the conjugacy class of g is comeager in G_K^* .*

Proof. Assume that there exists a comeager conjugacy class in G_K^* . Since (2) of Proposition 4.1 is satisfied, any K -partition \mathcal{A} admits a refinement \mathcal{B} with a unique column. Indeed, for \mathcal{B} to witness that this condition holds, Lemma 4.4 shows that it is necessary that all columns of \mathcal{B} have the same repartition, and we saw in Lemma 4.3 that if \mathcal{A} admits such a refinement then it admits one with a single column.

This allows us to build a K -saturated $g \in G_K$ with a sequence of Kakutani-Rokhlin partitions which each have exactly one column, following the same construction as in [IM]. Such a g is an odometer, hence $K = \{\mu\}$ where μ is the unique Borel invariant probability measure for g .

Conversely, let g be an odometer, and let μ be the unique g -invariant Borel probability measure. Since g is $\{\mu\}$ -saturated, we know from Proposition 4.2 that g has a dense conjugacy class in G_{μ}^* . Denote this conjugacy class by $\Omega(g)$.

Given a Kakutani-Rokhlin partition \mathcal{A} for g with one column, observe that

$$\{hgh^{-1}: h \in G_{\mathcal{A}}\} = \Omega(g) \cap O_{\mathcal{A}}$$

Indeed, inclusion from left-to-right is immediate. If $f \in \Omega(g) \cap O_{\mathcal{A}}$, then by looking at a sequence of Kakutani-Rokhlin partitions for f which refine \mathcal{A} , with just one column each, we can build $h \in G_{\mathcal{A}}$ conjugating g and f (the point is that Kakutani-Rokhlin partitions for g and f refining \mathcal{A} , each with one column and of the same height, look the same in terms of the copies of \mathcal{A} they contain).

Thus the map $G_K \rightarrow \Omega(g)$, $h \mapsto hgh^{-1}$ is open, and then Effros’ theorem (see e.g. [G, Theorem 3.2.4]) yields that $\Omega(g)$ is comeager in $\overline{\Omega(g)} = G_{\{\mu\}}^*$. \square

The following consequence of Theorem 4.5 was pointed out by A. Yingst.

Corollary 4.6 (Yingst). *Let K be a dynamical simplex. There does not exist a comeager conjugacy class in G_K^* exactly when some measure in K gives some clopen set an irrational measure.*

Proof. A measure μ is the unique invariant measure associated to an odometer iff μ is good and assigns a rational measure to every clopen set (see [A, Theorem 2.16]). This proves one implication above, as well as the converse implication in the particular case when K is a singleton.

Assume now that K is not a singleton, and fix $A \in \text{Clopen}(X)$, $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in K$ such that $\mu_1(A) \neq \mu_2(A)$. Since K is connected and $A \mapsto \mu(A)$ is continuous, there exists $\mu \in K$ such that $\mu(A)$ is irrational. \square

REFERENCES

- [A] E. Akin, *Good measures on Cantor space*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **357** (2005), no. 7, 2681–2722 (electronic). MR2139523 (2006e:37003)
- [BDK] S. Bezuglyi, A. H. Dooley, and J. Kwiatkowski, *Topologies on the group of homeomorphisms of a Cantor set*, Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal. **27** (2006), no. 2, 299–331. MR2237457
- [BYMT] I. Ben Yaacov, J. Melleray, and T. Tsankov, *Metrizible universal minimal flows of Polish groups have a comeagre orbit*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **27** (2017), no. 1, 67–77. MR3613453
- [D] H. Dahl, *Cantor minimal systems and of equivalence relations*, Ph.D. Thesis, 2008.
- [DMY] R. Dougherty, R. D. Mauldin, and A. Yingst, *On homeomorphic Bernoulli measures on the Cantor space*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **359** (2007), no. 12, 6155–6166. MR2336321
- [G] S. Gao, *Invariant descriptive set theory*, Pure and Applied Mathematics (Boca Raton), vol. 293, CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 2009. MR2455198 (2011b:03001)
- [GW] E. Glasner and B. Weiss, *Weak orbit equivalence of Cantor minimal systems*, Internat. J. Math. **6** (1995), no. 4, 559–579. MR1339645 (96g:46054)
- [IM] T. Ibarlucía and J. Melleray, *Dynamical simplices and minimal homeomorphisms*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **145** (2017), no. 11, 4981–4994. MR3692011
- [M] J. Melleray, *Dynamical simplices and Fraïssé theory*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems **39** (2019), no. 11, 3111–3126. MR4015144
- [Y] A. Q. Yingst, *A context in which finite or unique ergodicity is generic*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems (2020), 1–23.

UNIVERSITÉ CLAUDE BERNARD – LYON 1, INSTITUT CAMILLE JORDAN, CNRS UMR 5208, 43 BOULEVARD DU 11 NOVEMBRE 1918, 69622 VILLEURBANNE CEDEX, FRANCE