

An Invitation to Tropical Alexandrov Curvature

Dedicated to Bernd Sturmfels on the occasion of his 60th birthday

Carlos Améndola^{1,†} and Anthea Monod²

1 Departments of Mathematics and Statistics, Technische Universität München, Germany

2 Department of Mathematics, Imperial College London, UK

† Corresponding e-mail: carlos.amendola@tum.de

Abstract

We study Alexandrov curvature in the plane with respect to the tropical metric. Alexandrov curvature is determined by a comparison of triangles in an arbitrary metric space with their corresponding triangles in Euclidean space; in our setting, we study triangles whose edges are given by tropical line segments. We find that the behavior of Alexandrov curvature with respect to the tropical metric is complicated. We show that positive and negative Alexandrov curvature can exist concurrently in the plane, but it can also be undefined. Our results show a tight connection between the Alexandrov curvature and the combinatorial type of the triangle, and in some cases the curvature is in fact determined by the type.

1 Introduction

Tropical geometry deals with piecewise linear and polyhedral structures that arise in the context of algebraic geometry. In algebraic geometry, the geometry of the zero sets of systems of polynomial equations is studied using commutative algebra; in tropical geometry, these polynomials are defined in the tropical semiring given as $(\mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}, \oplus, \odot)$ where for two elements $a, b \in \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$, $a \oplus b := \min\{a, b\}$ ¹ and $a \odot b := a + b$. The tropical setting also generates structures that are combinatorial in nature. In this paper, we present a combinatorial study in tropical geometry and show that it has quite surprising implications in metric geometry. Specifically, we study Alexandrov curvature in the plane with respect to the tropical metric, and find that the curvature may be positive, negative, and undefined in the same space.

Motivation and Related Work. Classical algebraic geometry studies the geometry of algebraic varieties and semialgebraic sets, which are sets of zeros of systems of polynomial equations and inequalities, using mainly commutative algebra. Typical characteristics studied are the degree, dimension, irreducible components, and identification of polynomials that vanish on the variety and generators of the ideal of the variety. While these characteristics indeed describe geometric aspects, they are concepts that may be defined in purely algebraic terms when working in the context of algebraically closed fields and schemes, which is often the case in classical algebraic geometry. Many of these characteristics, although related, do not translate immediately to classical metric geometry, which is concerned with characteristics pertaining to distance, shape, size, and relative position of objects. The curvature of a space is one such aspect in metric geometry.

Recent work seeks to bridge this gap, which is an important step to using algebraic theory in computational geometric settings, and even more applied work, such as data analysis (Brandt and Weinstein, 2019; Cifuentes et al., 2020; Di Rocco et al., 2020). We highlight in particular the work of Maddie Weinstein, which is in the same spirit as this paper and develops *metric algebraic geometry*—an important step to consolidating algebraic, metric, and differential geometry. Also notably, Türku Özlüm Çelik, Bernd Sturmfels and friends have reached across the divide by studying optimal transport—a field of study that is classically differential—in algebraic geometric settings (Çelik et al., 2020).

In our work, we study the *tropical metric* and the geometric notion of Alexandrov curvature associated with this metric. As its name implies, the tropical metric arises in the context of tropical geometry (Akian et al., 2011; Cohen et al., 2004). Tropical geometry is surprisingly relevant to phylogenetic trees in mathematical and computational biology; this connection was pioneered by David Speyer and Bernd Sturmfels,

¹We use the min convention, preferred by Diane Maclagan and Bernd Sturmfels in Maclagan and Sturmfels (2015).

who prove the existence of a homeomorphism between the space of phylogenetic trees and the Grassmannian interpreted tropically (Speyer and Sturmfels, 2004). The book written by Lior Pachter and Bernd Sturmfels also discusses tropical geometry in the general context of algebraic statistics for computational biology (Pachter and Sturmfels, 2005). More recently, Bo Lin, Bernd Sturmfels and friends revisited phylogenetic trees in the tropical geometric setting with the tropical metric (Lin et al., 2017). Since then, much effort has been invested in adapting tropical geometry to computational settings using the tropical metric, with the aim of developing tools and methods for statistics and data analysis of phylogenetic trees (Monod et al., 2018; Yoshida et al., 2019; Page et al., 2020). Also in tropical settings, an interest in differential geometric and probabilistic aspects has resulted in a study of optimal transport and Wasserstein distances with the tropical metric as ground metric (Lee et al., 2019).

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we introduce the tropical projective torus and tropical metric as our space and metric of interest. In particular, we present tropical line segments and a characterization of their behavior. In Section 3, we give the definition of Alexandrov curvature and two motivating examples for our study. We then explore the concept deeper in Section 4 and present our findings, exploring the role that the combinatorial type of a triangle plays in tropical curvature. Overall, we find that Alexandrov curvature in the tropical setting is not straightforward. We end with a discussion in Section 5 on future directions for study and the potential implications of tropical Alexandrov curvature on other computational work in tropical geometry.

2 The Tropical Projective Torus, Tropical Metric, and Tropical Line Segments

Many concepts and questions in classical algebraic geometry may be reinterpreted and studied in the tropical setting with interesting and relevant parallels. One such example is Gröbner bases, which are special generating sets of ideals in a polynomial ring over a field, and a fundamental tool in solving systems of polynomial equations. Reinterpreting Gröbner bases using valuations generates Gröbner complexes and universal Gröbner bases, which are analogously related to tropical bases (Maclagan and Sturmfels, 2015). The Gröbner complex is a polyhedral complex for a homogeneous ideal in the polynomial ring $K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ over a field K ; its ambient space is the *tropical projective torus*. The tropical projective torus is the space in which we work in this paper.

Definition 1. For $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, consider the equivalence relation

$$x \sim y \Leftrightarrow \text{all coordinates of } (x - y) \text{ are equal.}$$

The *tropical projective torus* $\mathbb{R}^n/\mathbb{R}\mathbf{1}$ is the quotient space given by the set of equivalence classes under \sim .

Notice that the tropical projective torus is the space that is constructed by identifying vectors that differ from each other by tropical scalar multiplication.

The tropical projective torus may also be characterized by a group action (Monod et al., 2018): let $G := \{(c, \dots, c) \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid c \in \mathbb{R}\}$ with coordinate-wise addition. G is an additive group that acts on \mathbb{R}^n by $g \circ x = (x_1 + g_1, x_2 + g_2, \dots, x_n + g_n)$ for $g \in G$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Each point in $\mathbb{R}^n/\mathbb{R}\mathbf{1}$ is exactly one orbit under the action of G on \mathbb{R}^n .

The tropical projective torus may be equipped with a metric, giving rise to a metric space. Our metric of interest in this paper is the *tropical metric*.

Definition 2. Let $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and let $[x], [y]$ be their representatives in the tropical projective torus. We define the tropical metric on $\mathbb{R}^n/\mathbb{R}\mathbf{1}$ as

$$\begin{aligned} d_{\text{tr}}([x], [y]) &:= \max_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} |(x_i - y_i) - (x_j - y_j)| \\ &= \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} (x_i - y_i) - \min_{1 \leq i \leq n} (x_i - y_i). \end{aligned}$$

The tropical metric is a rigorous and well-defined metric (see e.g., Monod et al., 2018).

The metric space $(\mathbb{R}^n/\mathbb{R}\mathbf{1}, d_{\text{tr}})$ can be identified with a normed linear space in the following manner. Consider the following map

$$\begin{aligned}\pi : \mathbb{R}^n/\mathbb{R}\mathbf{1} &\rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \\ [x] &\mapsto (x_2 - x_1, \dots, x_n - x_1);\end{aligned}$$

π is a linear isomorphism. We may define a norm on \mathbb{R}^{n-1} by

$$\|x\|_{\text{tr}} := \max(\max |x_i - x_j|, \max |x_i|);$$

denote the induced distance by \hat{d}_{tr} . Then

$$\begin{aligned}d_{\text{tr}}([x], [y]) &= \max\left(\max_{2 \leq i < j \leq n} |(x_i - y_i) - (x_j - y_j)|, \max_{2 \leq i \leq n} |x_i - y_i|\right) \\ &= \|\pi([x]) - \pi([y])\|_{\text{tr}} \\ &= \hat{d}_{\text{tr}}(\pi([x]), \pi([y]))\end{aligned}$$

and π is an isometry. The isometric embedding of the tropical projective torus into Euclidean space π makes $(\mathbb{R}^n/\mathbb{R}\mathbf{1}, d_{\text{tr}})$ particularly compatible with computational studies.

Remark 3. Note that $\mathbb{R}^n/\mathbb{R}\mathbf{1}$ is a vector space, since it is Euclidean space quotiented by a subspace, which is generated by the span of the $\mathbf{1}$ -vector.

Proposition 4. *For $n \geq 3$, $(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}, \hat{d}_{\text{tr}})$ is not a Hilbert space.*

Proof. Recall that in a normed space $(V, \|\cdot\|)$, if there is an inner product on V such that $\|x\|^2 = \langle x, x \rangle$ for all $x \in V$, then the parallelogram law

$$\|x + y\|^2 + \|x - y\|^2 = 2\|x\|^2 + 2\|y\|^2$$

must hold. However, consider $x = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$ and $y = (0, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$. Then

$$\|x\|_{\text{tr}} = \|y\|_{\text{tr}} = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \|x + y\|_{\text{tr}} = 1, \|x - y\|_{\text{tr}} = 2,$$

but $1^2 + 2^2 \neq 2(1^2 + 1^2)$, so the parallelogram law does not hold. \square

Corollary 5. *$(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}, \hat{d}_{\text{tr}})$ is not a $\text{CAT}(k)$ space for any $n \geq 3, k \in \mathbb{R}$.*

Proof. By Proposition 1.14 of Bridson and Haefliger (2013), a normed linear space is a $\text{CAT}(k)$ space for $k \in \mathbb{R}$ if and only if the norm is induced by an inner product. Since $(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}, \hat{d}_{\text{tr}})$ is not a Hilbert space, it is not $\text{CAT}(k)$ for any k . \square

This corollary has an immediate implication on the curvature of the tropical projective torus endowed with the tropical metric and rules out the vast literature of results on $\text{CAT}(k)$ spaces, (e.g., Jost, 2012; Ohta, 2012). In particular, we know that that $(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}, \hat{d}_{\text{tr}})$ is not a $\text{CAT}(0)$ space where geodesics are unique. In fact, there are infinitely many tropical geodesics between any two points in $(\mathbb{R}^n/\mathbb{R}\mathbf{1}, d_{\text{tr}})$, e.g., (e.g., Monod et al., 2018). This leads us to consider the following object.

Definition 6. The *tropical line segment* connecting $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n/\mathbb{R}\mathbf{1}$ is the set

$$\gamma_{xy} = \{\alpha \odot x \oplus \beta \odot y \mid \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}\},$$

where tropical addition is performed coordinate-wise.

When working with arbitrary points in the tropical projective torus rather than particular representatives of an equivalence class, we may write $x \in \mathbb{R}^n/\mathbb{R}\mathbf{1}$, rather than $[x]$. Since we are interested in studying curvature behavior using objects defined by points in this paper, we write simply x , as above in Definition 6 and from now on.




Figure 1: Types of Tropical Line Segment: (a) L1; (b) L2; (c) L3.

The tropical line segment between any two points in $\mathbb{R}^n/\mathbb{R}\mathbf{1}$ is unique and it is geodesic (e.g., Monod et al., 2018). We will often reparametrize the tropical line segment as

$$\gamma_{xy}(t) := t \odot x \oplus y \quad (1)$$

where $t := \alpha - \beta$. In other words, $\gamma_{xy}(t)$ is the tropical line segment connecting x to y , parametrized by $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Example 7. Fix points $b = (0, 0)$ and $c = (3, 2)$. The tropical line segment $\gamma_{bc}(t)$ connecting b and c is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{bc}(t) &= t \odot (0, 0, 0) \oplus (0, 3, 2) \\ &= \min((t + 0, 0), (t + 0, 3), (t + 0, 2)) \\ &= (\min(t, 0), \min(t, 3), \min(t, 2)). \end{aligned}$$

The length of $\gamma_{bc}(t)$ is

$$\begin{aligned} d_{\text{tr}}(b, c) &= \max(0, 0 - 3, 0 - 2) - \min(0, 0 - 3, 0 - 2) \\ &= 0 - (-3) \\ &= 3 \end{aligned}$$

so we have $t \in [0, 3]$, and

$$\gamma_{bc}(t) = \begin{cases} (0, t, t), & 0 \leq t < 2; \\ (0, t, 2), & 2 \leq t \leq 3. \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

The tropical line segment takes the form as in Figure 1(a).

The following complete characterization of the shape of a tropical line segment will be useful.

Lemma 8. *There are three types of tropical line segment between two points $a = (a_1, a_2)$ and $b = (b_1, b_2)$; without loss of generality, take $a_1 < b_1$. Each tropical line segment is characterized by inequalities on the coordinates and exhibits a unique bending point; the endpoints a, b and the bending point are joined by lines of slope 0, 1, or ∞ . Their explicit forms are given as follows:*

L1 For $a_2 < b_2$ and $a_1 - a_2 < b_1 - b_2$, the bending point is at $(b_2 - a_2, b_1 - a_1)$, $t = b_2 - a_2$, and the tropical line segment is:

$$\gamma_{ab}(t) = \begin{cases} (a_1 + t, a_2 + t), & 0 \leq t \leq b_2 - a_2; \\ (a_1 + t, b_2), & b_2 - a_2 \leq t \leq b_1 - a_1. \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

Its length is $b_1 - a_1$.

L2 For $a_2 < b_2$ and $a_1 - a_2 > b_1 - b_2$, the bending point is at $(b_1, a_2 + b_1 - a_1)$, $t = b_1 - a_1$, and the tropical line segment is:

$$\gamma_{ab}(t) = \begin{cases} (a_1 + t, a_2 + t), & 0 \leq t \leq b_1 - a_1; \\ (b_1, a_2 + t), & b_1 - a_1 \leq t \leq b_2 - a_2. \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

Its length is $b_2 - a_2$.

L3 For $a_2 > b_2$, the bending point is at (a_1, b_2) , $t = a_2 - b_2$, and the tropical line segment is:

$$\gamma_{ab}(t) = \begin{cases} (a_1, a_2 - t), & 0 \leq t \leq a_2 - b_2; \\ (a_1 + b_2 - a_2 + t, b_2), & a_2 - b_2 \leq t \leq a_2 - b_2 + b_1 - a_1. \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

Its length is $(a_2 - b_2) + (b_1 - a_1)$.

Proof. Consider type L1: from the defining inequality $a_1 - a_2 < b_1 - b_2$, we have that $a_1 - b_1 < a_2 - b_2$. We use this to find the length of this line segment by computing

$$\begin{aligned} d_{\text{tr}}(a, b) &= \max(0, a_1 - b_1, a_2 - b_2) - \min(0, a_1 - b_1, a_2 - b_2) \\ &= 0 - (a_1 - b_1) \\ &= b_1 - a_1. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

To compute the tropical line segment between a and b , we use (1) and the defining inequalities $a_1 < b_1$ and $a_2 < b_2$ to compute

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{ab}(t) &= (\min(t, 0), \min(a_1 + t, b_1), \min(a_2 + t, b_2)) \\ &= (0, a_1 + t, a_2 + t), \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

as long as $t \leq b_1 - a_1$. For $t \geq b_1 - a_1$, we still have $a_1 + t \leq b_1$ but now $b_2 \leq a_2 + t$, so

$$\gamma_{ab}(t) = (0, \min(a_1 + t, b_1), \min(a_2 + t, b_2)) = (0, a_1 + t, b_2),$$

giving, as desired,

$$\gamma_{ab}(t) = \begin{cases} (a_1 + t, a_2 + t), & 0 \leq t \leq b_2 - a_2; \\ (a_1 + t, b_2), & b_2 - a_2 \leq t \leq b_1 - a_1. \end{cases}$$

A similar computation with the defining inequality $a_1 - a_2 > b_1 - b_2$ for L2 gives the desired result, by noting that here, the direction of the inequality is reversed to that of L1.

For L3, we compute (6) and note that we now have $a_2 > b_2$ while $a_1 < b_1$, so

$$d_{\text{tr}}(a, b) = a_2 - b_2 - (a_1 - b_1) = (a_2 - b_2) + (b_1 - a_1).$$

An analogous computation as above gives the desired result for $\gamma_{ab}(t)$. \square

3 Alexandrov Curvature of $(\mathbb{R}^3/\mathbb{R}\mathbf{1}, d_{\text{tr}})$

We study curvature in the sense of Alexandrov as discussed by Ollivier (2011), which we adapt here for our study of curvature of the tropical projective torus with respect to the Euclidean plane. Specifically, let (X, d_X) be a geodesic space, then a *triangle* in X is given by three points $(a, b, c) \in X^3$, known as the *vertices* of triangle, together with three geodesic curves from a to b , b to c , and c to a , known as the *edges* or *sides* of the triangle, with lengths of these curves realizing the distances $d_X(a, b)$, $d_X(b, c)$, and $d_X(c, a)$, respectively. The *curvature criterion of Alexandrov* states that triangles become “skinnier” under negative curvature, and “fatter” under positive curvature; see Figure 2 for an example of a skinny triangle. “Skinniness” and “fatness” are measured by the distance between a vertex of the triangle and any point on its opposite edge in comparison to the Euclidean counterpart: for any triangle in X , there exists a *comparison triangle* (a', b', c') in the Euclidean plane whose sides have the same lengths as its counterpart in X . Such a comparison triangle is unique up to isometry.

Definition 9. (Ollivier, 2011, Definition 2.1) Let (X, d_X) be a geodesic space; let d_e denote the usual Euclidean metric. X is said to be a space of *curvature ≤ 0 in the sense of Alexandrov* (or the space has negative (nonpositive) Alexandrov curvature) if, for any small enough triangle (a, b, c) in X , and for any point x on the bc edge of this triangle, the following holds:

$$d_X(a, x) \leq d_e(a', x'), \quad (8)$$




Figure 2: An example of a skinny triangle in the sense of Alexandrov (Ollivier, 2011).

where (a', b', c') is the comparison triangle of (a, b, c) in the (flat, 0-curvature) Euclidean plane, and x' is a point on the $b'c'$ side corresponding to x ; i.e., such that $d_e(x', b') = d_X(x, b)$. Similarly, X is a space of curvature ≥ 0 in the sense of Alexandrov (or the space has positive (nonnegative) Alexandrov curvature) if, in the same situation, the following reverse inequality holds:

$$d_X(a, x) \geq d_e(a', x'). \quad (9)$$

We will say that a triangle is *skinny* or has *negative curvature* if it satisfies inequality (8), and is *fat* or has *positive curvature* if it satisfies inequality (9).

Alexandrov curvature is a natural generalization of, and compatible with, the Riemannian notion of sectional curvature, which relies on an inner product structure. By Proposition 4 above, $(\mathbb{R}^n/\mathbb{R}\mathbf{1}, d_{\text{tr}})$ does not comprise an inner product structure via its isometric embedding into $(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}, \hat{d}_{\text{tr}})$, so Alexandrov curvature is an appropriate alternative to study over sectional curvature in this setting.

In a $\text{CAT}(k)$ space for $k \leq 0$ (i.e., for curvature less than or equal to 0), *all* triangles, not only small ones, satisfy the comparison criterion (8) under equality. This fact motivates us to establish a similar result in the tropical setting, as follows.

Lemma 10. *For any triangle (a, b, c) in $(\mathbb{R}^n/\mathbb{R}\mathbf{1}, d_{\text{tr}})$ and its corresponding Euclidean comparison triangle (a', b', c') scaled by $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$, the Alexandrov curvature remains invariant.*

Proof. In $\mathbb{R}^n/\mathbb{R}\mathbf{1}$, a triangle (a, b, c) scaled by α is defined by the points $(\alpha a, \alpha b, \alpha c)$. The lengths of the edges of the scaled triangle are given by

$$\begin{aligned} d_{\text{tr}}(\alpha a, \alpha b) &= \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} (\alpha a_i - \alpha b_i) - \min_{1 \leq i \leq n} (\alpha a_i - \alpha b_i) \\ &= \alpha \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} (a_i - b_i) - \alpha \min_{1 \leq i \leq n} (a_i - b_i) \\ &= \alpha d_{\text{tr}}(a, b). \end{aligned}$$

A verbatim calculation gives the same result for the lengths of the other two edges of the triangle. By the same argument, the tropical distance from any vertex to its opposing edge is scaled by α . Since the corresponding Euclidean comparison triangle also scales in the same manner, i.e., $d_e(\alpha a', \alpha b') = \alpha d_e(a', b')$, the Alexandrov curvature remains invariant: in particular, the direction of either inequality (8) or (9) is preserved; i.e., it remains either negative or positive. \square

Remark 11. Scaling the vertices in Lemma 8 also scales the domain of the length parametrization. If we want to preserve the domain, we need to speed up or slow down the parametrization by taking the parameter $t' = \alpha t$.

An important consequence of Lemma 10 is that finding a “small enough” tropical triangle to study Alexandrov curvature becomes unnecessary: the size of the triangle is not important, and for a given tropical triangle, we may choose any copy of it to study curvature in $\mathbb{R}^n/\mathbb{R}\mathbf{1}$.

Let’s begin by computing Alexandrov curvature in $(\mathbb{R}^3/\mathbb{R}\mathbf{1}, d_{\text{tr}})$ for some example triangles.

Example 12. We compute the Alexandrov curvature of the tropical triangle depicted in Figure 3(a). Here, the vertices of the tropical triangle are $a = (1, 3)$, $b = (0, 0)$, and $c = (3, 2)$.




Figure 3: (a) Example of a skinny tropical triangle; (b) Corresponding Euclidean comparison triangle.

The lengths of the three edges of the tropical triangle are

$$\begin{aligned} d_{\text{tr}}(a, b) &= d_{\text{tr}}((0, 1, 3), (0, 0, 0)) = \max(0, 1, 3) - \min(0, 1, 3) = 3 - 0 = 3 \\ d_{\text{tr}}(b, c) &= d_{\text{tr}}((0, 0, 0), (0, 3, 2)) = \max(0, -3, -2) - \min(0, -3, -2) = 3 \\ d_{\text{tr}}(a, c) &= d_{\text{tr}}((0, 1, 3), (0, 3, 2)) = \max(0, -2, 1) - \min(0, -2, 1) = 3; \end{aligned}$$

i.e., it is a tropical equilateral triangle.

The comparison triangle in Euclidean space is depicted in Figure 3(b) has vertices at $a' = (\frac{3}{2}, \frac{3\sqrt{3}}{2})$, $b' = (0, 0)$, and $c' = (0, 3)$; it is an equilateral triangle with $d_e(a', b') = d_e(b', c') = d_e(a', c') = 3$.

Computing tropical and Euclidean distances between the vertex a and the bc edge. Notice that the bc edge connecting the vertex b to the vertex c is the tropical line segment computed in Example 7. We now compute the tropical distance between the vertex a and the bc edge (2) as

$$d_{\text{tr}}((0, 1, 3), \gamma_{bc}(t)) = \begin{cases} d_{\text{tr}}((0, 1, 3), (0, t, t)), & 0 \leq t < 2; \\ d_{\text{tr}}((0, 1, 3), (0, t, 2)), & 2 \leq t \leq 3. \end{cases}$$

When $0 \leq t < 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} d_{\text{tr}}((0, 1, 3), (0, t, t)) &= \max(0, 1-t, 3-t) - \min(0, 1-t, 3-t) \\ &= \begin{cases} (3-t) - 0 & = 3-t, & 0 \leq t < 1 \\ (3-t) - (1-t) & = 2, & 1 \leq t < 2. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

When $2 \leq t \leq 3$,

$$\begin{aligned} d_{\text{tr}}((0, 1, 3), (0, t, 2)) &= \max(0, 1-t, 1) - \min(0, 1-t, 1) \\ &= 1 - (1-t) = t. \end{aligned}$$

This gives

$$d_{\text{tr}}((0, 1, 3), \gamma_{bc}(t)) = \begin{cases} 3-t, & 0 \leq t < 1, \\ 2, & 1 \leq t < 2, \\ t, & 2 \leq t \leq 3. \end{cases} \quad (10)$$

To study Alexandrov curvature, we need to compare the tropical distance from a to any point on the tropical line segment $\gamma_{bc}(t)$ to the Euclidean distance from a' to any point on the $b'c'$ edge. We measure the position $t \in [0, 3]$ from a' and compute

$$h^2 := d_e^2(a', \gamma_{b'c'}(t)) = \left(\frac{3\sqrt{3}}{2}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{3}{2} - t\right)^2 = \frac{27}{4} + \left(\frac{3}{2} - t\right)^2;$$




Figure 4: Tropical distance function vs Euclidean distance function from Example 12.

notice that the minimum is achieved at $\frac{3}{2}$.

Comparing h^2 to (10), we find $d_{\text{tr}}^2((0, 1, 3), \gamma_{bc}(t)) \leq h^2$ and

$$d_{\text{tr}}(x, \gamma_{bc}(t)) \leq d_e(a', \gamma_{b'c'}(t)).$$

Figure 4 displays the curves of the squared tropical and Euclidean distances; we see that the squared Euclidean distance always lies above the squared tropical distance, meaning that the Euclidean distance is always greater than the tropical distance. We therefore conclude that Alexandrov curvature of the vertex a to the bc edge of this triangle assessed using the tropical distance from the vertex a to the tropical line segment $\gamma_{bc}(t)$ is negative.

Given that both triangles are equilateral under their respective metrics, a verbatim calculation performed on a relabeling of vertices and edges yields the same conclusion. Thus, the Alexandrov curvature of $(\mathbb{R}^3/\mathbb{R}\mathbf{1}, d_{\text{tr}})$ computed with respect to the triangle (a, b, c) is negative (nonpositive): this tropical triangle is skinnier than its Euclidean comparison triangle.




Figure 5: (a) Example of a fat tropical triangle; (b) Corresponding Euclidean comparison triangle.

Example 13. We compute the Alexandrov curvature of the tropical triangle depicted in Figure 5(a). Here, the vertices of the triangle are $a = (0, 2)$, $b = (1, 0)$, and $c = (3, 3)$.

The lengths of the three edges of the tropical triangle are

$$\begin{aligned} d_{\text{tr}}(a, b) &= d_{\text{tr}}((0, 0, 2), (0, 1, 0)) = \max(0, -1, 2) - \min(0, -1, 2) = 3 \\ d_{\text{tr}}(b, c) &= d_{\text{tr}}((0, 1, 0), (0, 3, 3)) = \max(0, -2, -3) - \min(0, -2, -3) = 3 \\ d_{\text{tr}}(a, c) &= d_{\text{tr}}((0, 0, 2), (0, 3, 3)) = \max(0, -3, -1) - \min(0, -3, -1) = 3; \end{aligned}$$

i.e., it is a tropical equilateral triangle.

The Euclidean comparison triangle is depicted in Figure 5(b) with vertices at $a' = (\frac{3}{2}, \frac{3\sqrt{3}}{2})$, $b' = (0, 0)$, and $c' = (0, 3)$, as above in Example 12.

Computing tropical and Euclidean distances between the vertex a and the bc edge. The bc edge is the tropical line segment connecting the vertex b to the vertex c :

$$\gamma_{bc}(t) = t \odot (0, 1, 0) \oplus (0, 3, 3) = (\min(t, 0), \min(t + 1, 3), \min(t, 3)).$$

For $t \in [0, 3]$, we have

$$\gamma_{bc}(t) = \begin{cases} (0, t + 1, t), & 0 \leq t < 2; \\ (0, 3, t), & 2 \leq t \leq 3. \end{cases}$$

The tropical distance between the vertex a and the bc edge is

$$d_{\text{tr}}((0, 0, 2), \gamma_{vw}(t)) = \begin{cases} d_{\text{tr}}((0, 0, 2), (0, t + 1, t)), & 0 \leq t < 2; \\ d_{\text{tr}}((0, 0, 2), (0, 3, t)), & 2 \leq t \leq 3. \end{cases}$$

When $0 \leq t < 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} d_{\text{tr}}((0, 0, 2), (0, t + 1, t)) &= \max(0, -t - 1, 2 - t) - \min(0, -t - 1, 2 - t) \\ &= 2 - t - (-t - 1) = 3. \end{aligned}$$

When $2 \leq t \leq 3$,

$$\begin{aligned} d_{\text{tr}}((0, 0, 2), (0, 3, t)) &= \max(0, -3, 2 - t) - \min(0, -3, 2 - t) \\ &= 0 - (-3) = 3. \end{aligned}$$

So $d_{\text{tr}}((0, 0, 2), \gamma_{vw}(t)) = 3$ for all $t \in [0, 3]$.




Figure 6: Tropical distance function vs Euclidean distance function from Example 13.

As in Example 12, we compare the tropical distance from a to any point on the tropical line segment $\gamma_{bc}(t)$ to the Euclidean distance from a' to any point on the $b'c'$ edge. We measure the position $t \in [0, 3]$ from u_0 and compute

$$h^2 := d_e^2(a', t) = \left(\frac{3\sqrt{3}}{2}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{3}{2} - t\right)^2 = \frac{27}{4} + \left(\frac{3}{2} - t\right)^2.$$




Figure 7: Euclidean Vertex-to-Edge Distance.

Since $d_{\text{tr}}^2((0,0,2), \gamma_{ac}(t)) = 9 \geq h^2$ for all t , we conclude that the Alexandrov curvature assessed using the tropical distance from the vertex a to the tropical line segment $\gamma_{bc}(t)$ is positive.

Again, given that both triangles are equilateral under their respective metrics, we may similarly to Example 12 conclude that the Alexandrov curvature of $(\mathbb{R}^3/\mathbb{R}\mathbf{1}, d_{\text{tr}})$ computed with respect to the triangle (a, b, c) is positive (nonnegative): this tropical triangle is fatter than its Euclidean comparison triangle.

Examples 12 and 13, together with Corollary 5, show that characterizing the curvature behavior of $(\mathbb{R}^n/\mathbb{R}\mathbf{1}, d_{\text{tr}})$ is not straightforward. They motivate the driving question of this paper: what is the general behavior of Alexandrov curvature in the plane under the tropical metric?

Since the definition of Alexandrov curvature relies on the Euclidean comparison triangle, here, we establish a first general result from Euclidean trigonometry that we will repeatedly use throughout this paper.

Lemma 14. *Consider a Euclidean triangle in the plane with vertices a', b', c' and opposite side lengths A, B, C respectively (Figure 7). If x is the point on the side $b'c'$ such that $\|a' - x\| = t$, then the distance h from b' to x is given by*

$$h^2 = t^2 + \frac{A^2 - B^2 - C^2}{B}t + C^2. \quad (11)$$

Proof. We obtain the result by applying the Law of Cosines twice. Let $\theta := \angle b'a'c'$; then $A^2 = B^2 + C^2 - 2BC \cos \theta$, from which we obtain

$$-2C \cos \theta = \frac{A^2 - B^2 - C^2}{B}. \quad (12)$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} h^2 &= t^2 + C^2 - 2tC \cos \theta \\ &= t^2 + C^2 + \frac{A^2 - B^2 - C^2}{B}t, \end{aligned}$$

by substituting (12), as desired. \square

4 Combinatorial Types of Tropical Triangles and their Curvature

Triangles in tropical geometry are interesting objects in their own right that have been previously studied, for example in Ansola and de la Puente (2009); in our work, they are fundamental. In this paper, our triangles have edges given by tropical line segments as defined in Definition 6: from Lemma 8, since there are only three possible types of tropical line segment, this restricts the number of combinatorial types of tropical triangles as a combination of the types of tropical line segments. Mike Develin and Bernd Sturmfels show that in the plane, there are five combinatorial types of tropical triangles (Develin and Sturmfels, 2004), illustrated in Figure 8. They proved in general that the combinatorial types of tropical complexes generated by a set of r vertices in $\mathbb{R}^n/\mathbb{R}\mathbf{1}$ are in natural bijection with the regular polyhedral subdivisions of the product of simplices $\Delta_{n-1} \times \Delta_{r-1}$. See also connections to point configurations in a Bruhat–Tits building by Dustin Cartwright, Bernd Sturmfels and friends (Cartwright et al., 2011).




Figure 8: Combinatorial Types of Tropical Triangles in the Plane: (a) T1; (b) T2; (c) T3; (d) T4; (e) T5.

In this section we explore the relationship between the combinatorial type of a tropical triangle and its Alexandrov curvature.

4.1 Regions of Skinniness and Fatness: Triangle Types T1 and T5

Examples 12 and 13 are a natural starting point to believe that triangles of types T1 and T5 are probably always skinny and fat, respectively. Towards that end, we note that the Examples 12 and 13 are particular cases with equilateral triangles, a very special condition, so we would like to relax this and find more general examples for scalene skinny and fat tropical triangles. These do exist.

Example 15. Take the vertex set

$$a = (0, 0), \quad b = (2, 4), \quad c = (5, 1).$$

This is a scalene triangle of type T1 illustrated in Figure 9(d); it has edge lengths $d_{\text{tr}}(a, b) = 4$, $d_{\text{tr}}(ac) = 5$, and $d_{\text{tr}}(b, c) = 6$. We compute all the tropical distances between each vertex to its opposite edge and compare them to their corresponding Euclidean distances.

The tropical distance from the vertex b to the ac edge is

$$d_{\text{tr}}(b, \gamma_{ac}(t)) = \begin{cases} 4 - t, & 0 \leq t \leq 1; \\ 3, & 1 \leq t \leq 2; \\ t + 1, & 2 \leq t \leq 5. \end{cases}$$

The squared Euclidean distance between the vertex b' and the $a'c'$ edge on the comparison triangle is

$$d_e(b', \gamma_{a'c'}(t)) = t^2 - t + 16.$$

Similarly, the tropical distance from the vertex c to the ab edge is

$$d_{\text{tr}}(c, \gamma_{ab}(t)) = \begin{cases} 5 - t, & 0 \leq t \leq 1; \\ 4, & 1 \leq t \leq 2; \\ t + 2, & 2 \leq t \leq 4, \end{cases}$$

while the squared Euclidean distance from the vertex c' to the $a'b'$ edge on the comparison triangle is

$$d_e(c', \gamma_{a'b'}(t)) = t^2 - \frac{5}{4}t + 25.$$

Finally, the tropical distance from the vertex a to the bc edge is

$$d_{\text{tr}}(a, \gamma_{bc}(t)) = \begin{cases} 4 - t, & 0 \leq t \leq 2; \\ 2, & 2 \leq t \leq 3; \\ t - 1, & 3 \leq t \leq 6, \end{cases}$$

and the corresponding squared Euclidean distance from the vertex a' to the $b'c'$ edge is

$$d_e(a', \gamma_{b'c'}(t)) = t^2 - \frac{27}{6}t + 16.$$

We see from the comparison plots of the squared tropical versus squared Euclidean distances in Figure 9 that this scalene tropical triangle is skinny, i.e., it has negative (nonpositive) Alexandrov curvature, since the curves for the tropical distances always lie below the curves for the Euclidean distances.




Figure 9: Tropical distance function vs. Euclidean distance function from Example 15: (a) vertex a to side bc ; (b) vertex b to side ac ; (c) vertex c to side ab ; (d) Type 1 tropical triangle studied in Example 15.

Example 15 shows that in general we need to check all three sides to compare distinct tropical distance functions to distinct Euclidean functions.

Example 16. Consider the vertex set

$$a = (0, 4), \quad b = (3, 0), \quad c = (5, 6).$$

This is a scalene triangle of type T5, illustrated in Figure 10(d); it has edge lengths $d_{\text{tr}}(a, b) = 7$, $d_{\text{tr}}(a, c) = 5$, and $d_{\text{tr}}(b, c) = 6$. Again, we compute all the tropical distances between each vertex to its opposite edge and compare them to their corresponding Euclidean distances.

The tropical distance from the vertex b to the ac edge is

$$d_{\text{tr}}((b_1, b_2), \gamma_{ac}(t)) = \begin{cases} 7, & 0 \leq t \leq 2; \\ 9 - t, & 2 \leq t \leq 3; \\ 6, & 3 \leq t \leq 5. \end{cases}$$

The squared Euclidean distance between the vertex b' and the $a'c'$ edge on the comparison triangle is

$$d_e^2(b', \gamma_{a'c'}(t)) = t^2 - \frac{38}{5}t + 49.$$

Similarly, the tropical distance from the vertex c to the ab edge is

$$d_{\text{tr}}((c_1, c_2), \gamma_{ab}(t)) = \begin{cases} 5, & 0 \leq t \leq 3; \\ t + 2, & 3 \leq t \leq 4; \\ 6, & 4 \leq t \leq 7, \end{cases}$$

while the squared Euclidean distance from the vertex c' to the $a'b'$ edge on the comparison triangle is

$$d_e^2(c', \gamma_{a'b'}(t)) = t^2 - \frac{38}{7}t + 25.$$

Finally, the tropical distance from the vertex a to the bc edge is

$$d_{\text{tr}}((a_1, a_2), \gamma_{bc}(t)) = \begin{cases} 7, & 0 \leq t \leq 2; \\ 9 - t, & 2 \leq t \leq 4; \\ 5, & 4 \leq t \leq 6, \end{cases}$$

and the corresponding squared Euclidean distance from the vertex a' to the $b'c'$ edge is

$$d_e^2(a', \gamma_{b'c'}(t)) = t^2 - 10t + 49.$$

From the comparison plots of the squared tropical versus squared Euclidean distances shown in Figure 10, we see that this scalene tropical triangle is fat, i.e., it has positive (nonnegative) Alexandrov curvature, since the curves for the tropical distances always lie above the curves for the Euclidean distances.




Figure 10: Tropical distance function vs. Euclidean distance function from Example 16 (a) vertex a to side bc ; (b) vertex b to side ac ; (c) vertex c to side ab ; (d) Type 5 tropical triangle studied in Example 16.

If we think of all tropical triangles as parametrized by their coordinates

$$(a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2, c_1, c_2) \in \mathbb{R}^6,$$

(including degenerate collinear cases), the conditions for having a positive or negative Alexandrov curvature are given by a set of linear and quadratic inequalities that depend on the specific configuration of the triangle. In particular, these regions are *semialgebraic sets*. We would like for these to have nonempty interior and in particular, that the set of triangles with a specific curvature is not of measure zero. We would like to wiggle the coordinates in Examples 12 and 13 and preserve the curvature: the plots from these examples (Figures 4 and 9) suggest that this is possible, because we see a clear gap between the curves of the squared tropical and Euclidean distances. From the examples themselves, this is not obvious since these are equilateral and there is only one Euclidean distance function to compare the tropical distance to. In Example 15, we actually find a configuration of vertices of type T1 that show that the curvature is still preserved, so we do still have negative curvature even with a generic scalene triangle. However, Example 16 illustrates that equality between Euclidean and tropical can be achieved in the middle of a segment, and wiggling in the wrong direction could lead to an inequality being violated, so there is a complex behavior.

Indeed, the directions of the inequalities may not be preserved throughout the whole segment and in fact there exist plenty of triangles where the Alexandrov curvature is not well-defined.

Example 17. Consider the tropical triangle defined by the vertices

$$a = (0, 0), \quad b = (448, 449), \quad c = (452, 256).$$

The tropical distance from the vertex b to the ac edge is

$$d_{\text{tr}}((b_1, b_2), \gamma_{ac}(t)) = \begin{cases} 449 - t, & 0 \leq t \leq 256; \\ 193, & 256 \leq t \leq 448; \\ t - 255, & 448 \leq t \leq 452, \end{cases}$$

while the squared corresponding Euclidean distances on the comparison triangle from vertex b' to the $a'c'$ edge is

$$d_e((b'), \gamma_{a'c'}(t)) = t^2 - \frac{91774}{113}t + 201601.$$

Here, the squared tropical distance begins below the corresponding squared Euclidean distance (i.e., the triangle starts out skinny), but then coincide, and the squared tropical distance then continues *greater* than the squared Euclidean distance (i.e., it becomes fat), as shown in Figure 11, before eventually dipping below the curve of the squared Euclidean distance again (i.e., it becomes skinny again). So, we have a change of sign in curvature over an interval and hence, Alexandrov curvature is not well-defined for this triangle. Note that the change of sign only occurs over a very small interval as shown in Figure 11(a) and magnified in Figure 11(b).




Figure 11: Tropical distance function vs Euclidean distance function from Example 17 for side ac and zoomed in version.

Example 18. Consider the vertex set

$$a = (0, 2), \quad b = (2, 0), \quad c = (3, 4).$$

This is an isosceles T5 triangle with edge lengths $d_{\text{tr}}(a, b) = d_{\text{tr}}(a, c) = 3$ and $d_{\text{tr}}(bc) = 4$.

The tropical distance from the vertex b to the ac edge is

$$d_{\text{tr}}((b_1, b_2), \gamma_{ac}(t)) = \begin{cases} 3, & 0 \leq t \leq 1; \\ 2 + t, & 1 \leq t \leq 2; \\ 4, & 2 \leq t \leq 3, \end{cases}$$

while the Euclidean distance between the vertex b' and the $a'c'$ edge on the comparison triangle is

$$d_e(b', \gamma_{a'c'}(t)) = t^2 - \frac{2}{3}t + 9.$$




Figure 12: Tropical distance function vs Euclidean distance function from Example 18.

Comparing the tropical and Euclidean distances, at the endpoints where $t = 0$ and $t = a_1 - c_1$, we have equality between the tropical and Euclidean distances, but at the breakpoints over $\gamma_{ac}(t)$,

$$3^2 < \frac{29}{3} \quad \text{and} \quad 4^2 > \frac{35}{3}.$$

This change in sign is illustrated in Figure 12. Thus, Alexandrov curvature is not well-defined for this tropical triangle.

There are a couple of characteristics of these examples to note. First, with regard to triangle type T1, notice that Examples 12 and 15 where Alexandrov curvature is well-defined study two different cases, defined by differing directions of inequality for the comparison of the differences $b_1 - a_1$ versus $c_2 - a_2$. Examples 15 and 17 are both in the case when $b_1 - a_1 > c_2 - a_2$.

Similarly, note that for triangle type T5, the Examples 13, 16, and 18 each also study different cases defined by inequalities. Contrary to triangle type T1 where there are only two cases, it turns out that there are six well-defined cases for triangle type T5. These are characterized by the location of the vertex with respect to the bending point on its opposite edge, i.e., whether the vertices lie above or below, or before or after, the bending point on the opposite edge.

4.2 Fatness Everywhere: Triangle type T3

In contrast to the previous Section 4.1 where we establish the existence of open regions for well-defined negative and positive Alexandrov curvature, we now give a definitive result for a setting where Alexandrov curvature is well-defined and positive (nonnegative) everywhere.

Theorem 19. *The tropical triangle of type T3 defined by the following set of inequalities always exhibits positive (nonnegative) Alexandrov curvature:*

$$a_1 < b_1 < c_1, \quad a_2 < b_2 < c_2, \quad c_1 - c_2 < b_1 - b_2.$$

In other words, the tropical triangle type T3 is always fat.




Figure 13: Two Cases for Triangle type T3: (a) $b_1 - a_1 < c_2 - a_2$; (b) $c_2 - a_2 < b_1 - a_1$.

Proof. We begin by considering the distance between the vertex a and the bc edge on the tropical triangle; note that the bc edge is a tropical line segment of Type L1 given in Lemma 8, so we compute

$$\begin{aligned} d_{\text{tr}}((a_1, a_2), \gamma_{bc}(t)) &= \begin{cases} d_{\text{tr}}((c_1, c_2), (a_1 + t, a_2 + t)), & 0 \leq t \leq b_2 - a_2; \\ d_{\text{tr}}((c_1, c_2), (a_1 + t, b_2)), & b_2 - a_2 \leq t \leq b_1 - a_1. \end{cases} \\ &= c_1 - a_1 - t, \end{aligned}$$

for all $0 \leq t \leq b_1 - a_1$.

Notice that for this type of tropical triangle, the Euclidean comparison triangle is degenerate: it is a single line where the vertices a' and c' are the endpoints, and the vertex b' lies on the line between a' and c' at distance $b_1 - a_1$ from the vertex a' .

On the comparison triangle, the Euclidean distance between the vertex a' and the $b'c'$ edge is $c_1 - a_1 - t$, which is exactly equal to the tropical distance between the vertex a and the bc edge and implies zero Alexandrov curvature. Recall from Definition 9 that the inequality (9) for fatness is weak, so here, it is also true that the tropical distance between the vertex a and the bc edge is greater than or equal to the Euclidean distance between the vertex a' and the $b'c'$ edge.

A similar computation follows for the distance between the vertex c and the ab edge on the tropical triangle, $d_{\text{tr}}(c, \gamma_{ab}(t))$, by noting that the tropical line segment joining vertices a and b is again of Type L1 from Lemma 8. The tropical distance between the vertex a and the bc edge on the tropical triangle and the Euclidean distance between the vertex a' and the $b'c'$ edge on the comparison triangle again coincide, implying zero Alexandrov curvature, or fatness of the tropical triangle when considering a weak inequality (9).

For the final vertex-to-edge comparison, $d_{\text{tr}}(b, \gamma_{ac}(t))$, notice that this triangle type has two cases, illustrated in Figure 13. We consider first consider the case illustrated in Figure 13(a), defined by the inequality $b_1 - a_1 < c_2 - a_2$. The tropical distance between the vertex b and any point on the ac edge is quite a bit more complicated; it is given by

$$d_{\text{tr}}((b_1, b_2), \gamma_{ac}(t)) = \begin{cases} b_1 - a_1 - t, & 0 \leq t \leq b_2 - a_2; \\ b_1 - b_2 + a_2 - a_1, & b_2 - a_2 \leq t \leq b_1 - a_1; \\ a_2 - b_2 + t, & b_1 - a_1 \leq t \leq c_2 - a_2; \\ c_2 - b_2, & c_2 - a_2 \leq t \leq b_1 + c_2 - b_2; \\ a_1 - b_1 + t, & b_1 + c_2 - b_2 \leq t \leq c_1 - a_1. \end{cases}$$

The tropical to Euclidean distance comparison is then $d_{\text{tr}}((b_1, b_2), \gamma_{ac}(t))$ to

$$\begin{aligned} d_e((b'_1, b'_2), \gamma_{a'c'}(t)) &= \begin{cases} b_1 - a_1 - t, & 0 \leq t \leq b_1 - a_1; \\ a_1 - b_1 - t, & b_1 - a_1 \leq t \leq c_1 - a_1; \end{cases} \\ &= |b_1 - a_1 - t|. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

We have equality of the tropical and Euclidean distances at the endpoints where $t = 0$ and $t = c_1 - a_1$, and

$$\begin{aligned} b_1 - b_2 + a_2 - a_1 &\geq b_1 - a_1 - t, \\ a_2 - b_2 + t &\geq a_1 - b_1 + t, \\ c_2 - b_2 &> a_1 - b_1 + t, \end{aligned}$$

using the fact that we are in the case when $b_1 - a_1 < c_2 - a_2$. Thus, we conclude that the tropical Alexandrov curvature is positive, and we have fatness (in comparison to the Euclidean case) when considering the distance between the vertex b and the ac edge of the tropical triangle.

We now turn to the case illustrated in Figure 13(b), defined by the inequality $c_2 - a_2 < b_1 - a_1$, and compute the tropical distance between the vertex b and any point on the ac edge:

$$d_{\text{tr}}((b_1, b_2), \gamma_{ac}(t)) = \begin{cases} b_1 - a_1 - t, & 0 \leq t \leq b_2 - a_2; \\ b_1 - b_2 + a_2 - a_1, & b_2 - a_2 \leq t \leq c_2 - a_2; \\ c_2 - b_2 + b_1 - a_1 - t, & c_2 - a_2 \leq t \leq b_1 - a_1; \\ c_2 - b_2, & b_1 - a_1 \leq t \leq b_1 + c_2 - b_2 - a_1; \\ a_1 - b_1 + t, & b_1 + c_2 - b_2 - a_1 \leq t \leq c_1 - a_1. \end{cases}$$

As above, we compare this to the comparison triangle where we take the Euclidean distance between the vertex b' and the $a'c'$ edge given by (13). Again, we have equality at the endpoints, and

$$\begin{aligned} b_1 - b_2 + a_2 - a_1 &\geq b_1 - a_1 - t, \\ c_2 - b_2 + b_1 - a_1 - t &\geq b_1 - a_1 - t, \\ c_2 - b_2 &> a_1 - b_1 + t, \end{aligned}$$

using the fact that we are in the case when $c_2 - a_2 < b_1 - a_1$. Thus, we again have fatness when considering the distance between the vertex b and the ab edge on the tropical triangle in this second case, which completes the proof that the Alexandrov curvature of tropical triangles of type T3 is always positive (nonnegative) and so these triangles are always fat. \square

Visually, fatness corresponds to the curves of the tropical distances of the tropical triangles always lying above, or coinciding with, the curves of the Euclidean distances of the comparison triangles. Here, on two of the three vertex to edge comparison, the two curves coincide, while the final b to ac edge coincides with the Euclidean distances at the beginning and end segments of the range of t , and in the middle, the curves of the tropical distance lie above the Euclidean distances. This is illustrated in Figure 14, with an example triangle given by vertices $a = (0, 0)$, $b = (3, 3)$, $c = (8, 3)$.

Remark 20. These tropical triangles fail to be flat because the tropical line segment between a and c is different than the concatenation of the two tropical line segments with endpoints as vertices a and c and the join as vertex b . However, this concatenation is also a valid geodesic. If we were to consider a triangle with this modified side, then we would actually obtain the tropical equivalent of the degenerate Euclidean comparison triangle. In this case, we have zero Alexandrov curvature and the tropical triangle would be flat.

4.3 Undefined Alexandrov Curvature: Triangle Types T2 and T4

While in the previous Section 4.2 we establish that Alexandrov curvature can be well-defined and positive (nonnegative) everywhere on the same combinatorial type, we now show that Alexandrov curvature can also be *never* well-defined on a combinatorial type. This happens if we use triangle types T2 and T4 to study Alexandrov curvature, illustrated in Figure 8(b) and (c), respectively.




Figure 14: Typical tropical distance function vs Euclidean distance function for Type T3.

Theorem 21. Consider a general triangle of type T2 given by the inequalities

$$a_1 < b_1 < c_1, \quad a_2 < c_2 < b_2, \quad a_1 - a_2 < b_1 - b_2.$$

Then any such triangle has no well-defined Alexandrov curvature.

Proof. First we see that the lengths of the sides of such a triangle are given by Lemma 8

$$\begin{aligned} d_{\text{tr}}((0, a_1, a_2), (0, b_1, b_2)) &= b_1 - a_1 \\ d_{\text{tr}}((0, a_1, a_2), (0, c_1, c_2)) &= c_1 - a_1 \\ d_{\text{tr}}((0, b_1, b_2), (0, c_1, c_2)) &= c_1 - b_1 + b_2 - c_2 \end{aligned}$$

Now we compute the distance of vertex c to the opposite side ab to obtain

$$d_{\text{tr}}((0, c_1, c_2), \gamma_{ab}(t)) = \begin{cases} c_1 - a_1 - t, & 0 \leq t \leq c_2 - a_2, \\ c_1 - a_1 + a_2 - c_2, & c_2 - a_2 \leq t \leq b_2 - a_2, \\ c_1 - a_1 - t + b_2 - c_2, & b_2 - a_2 \leq t \leq b_1 - a_1. \end{cases}$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 14, the Euclidean distance is given by

$$d_e^2(c', \gamma_{ab}(t)) = t^2 + \frac{(c_1 - b_1 + b_2 - c_2)^2 - (c_1 - a_1)^2 - (b_1 - a_1)^2}{b_1 - a_1} t + (c_1 - a_1)^2$$

Consider the beginning of the segment, when $0 \leq t \leq c_2 - a_2$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} d_e^2(c', \gamma_{ab}(t)) - d_{\text{tr}}^2(c, \gamma_{ab}(t)) &= d_e^2(c', \gamma_{ab}(t)) - ((c_1 - a_1) - t)^2 \\ &= \frac{(c_1 - b_1 + b_2 - c_2)^2 - (c_1 - a_1)^2 - (b_1 - a_1)^2}{b_1 - a_1} t + 2(c_1 - a_1)t \\ &= \frac{(c_1 - b_1 + b_2 - c_2)^2 - ((c_1 - a_1) - (b_1 - a_1))^2}{b_1 - a_1} t \\ &= \frac{(b_2 - c_2)(2(c_1 - b_1) + b_2 - c_2)}{b_1 - a_1} t > 0 \end{aligned}$$

where we have used that $b_2 - c_2 > 0$, $c_1 - b_1 > 0$, $b_1 - a_1 > 0$ and $t > 0$. If we now consider the end of

the segment, when $b_2 - a_2 \leq t \leq b_1 - a_1$, then (using part of the above calculation)

$$\begin{aligned}
d_e^2(c', \gamma_{ab}(t)) - d_{\text{tr}}^2(c, \gamma_{ab}(t)) &= d_e^2(c', \gamma_{ab}(t)) - ((c_1 - a_1 - t) + b_2 - c_2)^2 \\
&= \frac{(b_2 - c_2)(2(c_1 - b_1) + b_2 - c_2)}{b_1 - a_1} t - 2(c_1 - a_1 - t)(b_2 - c_2) - (b_2 - c_2)^2 \\
&= (b_2 - c_2) \frac{(2c_1 - 2a_1 + b_2 - c_2)t - (2(c_1 - a_1) + (b_2 - c_2))(b_1 - a_1)}{b_1 - a_1} \\
&= -\frac{(b_2 - c_2)(2(c_1 - a_1) + b_2 - c_2)(b_1 - a_1 - t)}{b_1 - a_1} < 0
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used that $b_2 - c_2 > 0$, $c_1 - a_1 > 0$, $b_1 - a_1 > 0$ and $b_1 - a_1 - t > 0$. Therefore, the comparison between the lengths of the Euclidean and tropical segments is not consistent throughout the whole side, and hence Alexandrov curvature for these triangles is undefined. \square

Visually, the undefined Alexandrov curvature corresponds to a change in the relative positions of the curves of the squared tropical and Euclidean distances; an example with vertex set $a = (0, 0)$, $b = (3, 2)$, $c = (4, 1)$ and the distances for the b (b') to ac ($a'c'$) edge is shown in Figure 15.




Figure 15: (a) Typical tropical distance function vs Euclidean distance function for type T2; (b) Tropical triangle T2.

We see a similar behavior for T4 triangles, defined by the following set of inequalities:

$$a_1 < b_1 < c_1, \quad b_2 < a_2 < c_2, \quad a_1 - a_2 < c_1 - c_2, \quad b_1 - b_2 < c_1 - c_2.$$

To compute the tropical distance function between vertex b and the ac edge we distinguish between two cases,

$$c_2 - a_2 \leq b_1 - a_1 \quad \text{and} \quad c_2 - a_2 \geq b_1 - a_1,$$

illustrated in Figure 16.

For example, the general expression for the tropical distance between the vertex b and the ac edge when $c_2 - a_2 \leq b_1 - a_1$ is

$$d_{\text{tr}}((b_1, b_2), \gamma_{ac}(t)) = \begin{cases} a_2 - b_2 + b_1 - a_1, & 0 \leq t \leq c_2 - a_2; \\ c_2 - b_2 + b_1 - a_1 - t, & c_2 - a_2 \leq t \leq b_1 - a_1; \\ c_2 - b_2, & b_1 - a_1 \leq t \leq c_2 - b_2 + b_1 - a_1; \\ a_1 + t - b_1, & c_2 - b_2 + b_1 - a_1 \leq t \leq c_1 - a_1. \end{cases}$$

The corresponding expression for the case $c_2 - a_2 \geq b_1 - a_1$ is the same at the initial and final parts of the segment, and just varies slightly in the middle.




Figure 16: Two cases for triangle type T4: (a) $c_2 - a_2 \leq b_1 - a_1$; (b) $c_2 - a_2 \geq b_1 - a_1$.

Theorem 22. Consider a general triangle of type T4 given by the inequalities

$$a_1 < b_1 < c_1, \quad b_2 < a_2 < c_2, \quad a_1 - a_2 < c_1 - c_2, \quad b_1 - b_2 < c_1 - c_2.$$

Then any such triangle has no well-defined Alexandrov curvature.

Proof. First we use Lemma 8 to compute the lengths of the sides of such a triangle. We have that ab is of type L3, while ac and bc are of type L1, so

$$\begin{aligned} d_{\text{tr}}((0, a_1, a_2), (0, b_1, b_2)) &= a_2 - b_2 + b_1 - a_1 \\ d_{\text{tr}}((0, a_1, a_2), (0, c_1, c_2)) &= c_1 - a_1 \\ d_{\text{tr}}((0, b_1, b_2), (0, c_1, c_2)) &= c_1 - b_1. \end{aligned}$$

We consider the distance of vertex c to the opposite side ab . As mentioned in the paragraph preceding the theorem, for small enough $t > 0$ this is given by $d_{\text{tr}}(b, \gamma_{ac}(t)) = a_2 - b_2 + b_1 - a_1$, while for large enough $t < c_1 - a_1$ it is given by $a_1 - b_1 + t$.

On the other hand, by Lemma 14, the square of the Euclidean distance $d_e^2(b', \gamma'_{ab}(t))$ is given by

$$t^2 + \frac{(c_1 - b_1)^2 - (a_2 - b_2 + b_1 - a_1)^2 - (c_1 - a_1)^2}{c_1 - a_1} t + (a_2 - b_2 + b_1 - a_1)^2.$$

At the beginning of the segment, we have

$$d_{\text{tr}}^2(c', \gamma_{ab}(t)) - d_e^2(c, \gamma_{ab}(t)) = t \left(-\frac{(c_1 - b_1)^2 - (a_2 - b_2 + b_1 - a_1)^2 - (c_1 - a_1)^2}{c_1 - a_1} - t \right)$$

Since $t > 0$ is small enough, we can use $t \leq b_1 - a_1$ to bound the second factor from below by

$$\begin{aligned} & -\frac{(c_1 - b_1)^2 - (a_2 - b_2 + b_1 - a_1)^2 - (c_1 - a_1)^2}{c_1 - a_1} - (b_1 - a_1) \\ &= \frac{(a_2 - b_2 + b_1 - a_1)^2 - (c_1 - b_1)^2}{c_1 - a_1} + (c_1 - a_1) - (b_1 - a_1) \\ &= \frac{(a_2 - b_2 + b_1 - a_1)^2 - (c_1 - b_1)^2 + (c_1 - b_1)(c_1 - a_1)}{c_1 - a_1} \\ &= \frac{(a_2 - b_2 + b_1 - a_1)^2 + (c_1 - b_1)(b_1 - a_1)}{c_1 - a_1} > 0 \end{aligned}$$

where we have used that $c_1 - a_1 > 0$, $c_1 - b_1 > 0$ and $b_1 - a_1 > 0$. Since $t > 0$ as well, we conclude that

$$d_{\text{tr}}^2(c', \gamma_{ab}(t)) - d_e^2(c, \gamma_{ab}(t)) > 0$$

for $t \leq \min\{c_2 - a_2, b_1 - a_1\}$. If we now consider the end of the segment, by a similar calculation as in the last part of the proof of Theorem 21,

$$\begin{aligned} d_e^2(c', \gamma_{ab}(t)) - d_{\text{tr}}^2(c, \gamma_{ab}(t)) &= d_e^2(c', \gamma_{ab}(t)) - (t - (b_1 - a_1))^2 \\ &= -\frac{(a_2 - b_2)(2(b_1 - a_1) + a_2 - b_2)(c_1 - a_1 - t)}{c_1 - a_1} < 0. \end{aligned}$$

where we have used that $a_2 - b_2 > 0$, $b_1 - a_1 > 0$, $c_1 - a_1 > 0$ and $c_1 - a_1 - t > 0$. This sign change implies that Alexandrov curvature for these triangles is undefined. \square

An example is given by the vertex set $a = (3, 4)$, $b = (6, 3)$, $c = (9, 5)$ with distance curves for the b (b') to ac ($a'c'$) edge illustrated in Figure 17.




Figure 17: Typical tropical distance function vs Euclidean distance function for type T4.

5 Discussion

In this paper, we study Alexandrov curvature in the plane with respect to the tropical metric and tropical line segments and find wildly varying curvature behavior in the sense of Alexandrov. Since Alexandrov curvature is defined in terms of triangles, given that there are five combinatorial types of tropical triangles in the plane, we explore the curvature with respect to each of the five types and find that there exists skinny, fat, and undefined curvature behavior. We establish that in general, Alexandrov curvature is never well-defined for triangle types T2 and T4, while triangle type T3 is always fat. Note, however, following Remark 20, that this triangle type seems to lean toward flatness. From our study, we find that a systematic characterization of Alexandrov curvature and a thorough understanding of exactly what are the driving factors influencing the curvature behavior are lacking. Concretely, we have the following main problem.

Problem 23. Find a concrete description of the semialgebraic sets in the coordinates, $(a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2, c_1, c_2) \in \mathbb{R}^6$ of an arbitrary tropical triangle, defining positive, negative and undefined curvature.

Interestingly, we notice that there seems to be no symmetry of the curvature behavior among the five combinatorial types of tropical triangles. In essence, our study concludes that two out of the five types always have undefined Alexandrov curvature, and one always has positive curvature.

By Lemma 10, Problem 23 can also be stated for projective coordinates

$$[a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2, c_1, c_2] \in \mathbb{P}^5.$$

This compactness gives rise to another interesting problem.

Problem 24. For statistically relevant probability measures, such as the uniform distribution, find the measure of the regions that define tropical triangles of positive and negative curvature.

The existence of probability measures on the space of phylogenetic trees as a subset of the tropical projective torus, known as *palm tree space*, was studied in Monod et al. (2018). It would be very interesting to investigate what implications tropical curvature has in this setting.

In addition to the intrinsic interest of understanding the behavior of this geometric characteristic, there are also practical reasons to establish the curvature behavior of the tropical projective torus with respect to the tropical metric. One important reason is to understand the applicability of computational algorithms, such as Sturm’s algorithm for computing Fréchet means on spaces of nonpositive curvature (Sturm et al., 2003). This has immediate impact on concrete data settings when considering palm tree space.

References

- Akian, M., S. Gaubert, V. Nițică, and I. Singer (2011). Best Approximation in Max-plus Semimodules. *Linear Algebra and its Applications* 435(12), 3261–3296.
- Ansola, M. and M. J. de la Puente (2009). A note on tropical triangles in the plane. *Acta Mathematica Sinica, English Series* 25(11), 1775.
- Brandt, M. and M. Weinstein (2019). Voronoi cells in metric algebraic geometry of plane curves. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1906.11337*.
- Bridson, M. R. and A. Haefliger (2013). *Metric spaces of non-positive curvature*, Volume 319. Springer Science & Business Media.
- Cartwright, D., M. Häbich, B. Sturmfels, and A. Werner (2011). Mustafin varieties. *Selecta Mathematica* 17(4), 757–793.
- Çelik, T. Ö., A. Janneshan, G. Montúfar, B. Sturmfels, and L. Venturello (2020). Optimal transport to a variety. In D. Slamanig, E. Tsigaridas, and Z. Zafeirakopoulos (Eds.), *Mathematical Aspects of Computer and Information Sciences*, Cham, pp. 364–381. Springer International Publishing.
- Cifuentes, D., K. Ranestad, B. Sturmfels, and M. Weinstein (2020). Voronoi cells of varieties. *Journal of Symbolic Computation*.
- Cohen, G., S. Gaubert, and J.-P. Quadrat (2004). Duality and Separation Theorems in Idempotent Semimodules. *Linear Algebra and its Applications* 379, 395–422. Special Issue on the Tenth ILAS Conference (Auburn, 2002).
- Develin, M. and B. Sturmfels (2004). Tropical convexity. *Documenta Mathematica* 9, 1–27.
- Di Rocco, S., D. Eklund, and M. Weinstein (2020). The bottleneck degree of algebraic varieties. *SIAM Journal on Applied Algebra and Geometry* 4(1), 227–253.
- Jost, J. (2012). *Nonpositive curvature: geometric and analytic aspects*. Birkhäuser.
- Lee, W., W. Li, B. Lin, and A. Monod (2019). Tropical Optimal Transport and Wasserstein Distances. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1911.05401*.
- Lin, B., B. Sturmfels, X. Tang, and R. Yoshida (2017). Convexity in Tree Spaces. *SIAM Journal on Discrete Mathematics* 31(3), 2015–2038.
- Maclagan, D. and B. Sturmfels (2015). *Introduction to Tropical Geometry (Graduate Studies in Mathematics)*. American Mathematical Society.
- Monod, A., B. Lin, R. Yoshida, and Q. Kang (2018). Tropical Geometry of Phylogenetic Tree Space: A Statistical Perspective. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1805.12400*.
- Ohta, S.-I. (2012). Barycenters in Alexandrov spaces of curvature bounded below. *Advances in Geometry* 12(4), 571–587.
- Ollivier, Y. (2011). A visual introduction to Riemannian curvatures and some discrete generalizations. *Analysis and Geometry of Metric Measure Spaces: Lecture Notes of the 50th Séminaire de Mathématiques Supérieures (SMS), Montréal* 56, 197–219.
- Pachter, L. and B. Sturmfels (2005). *Algebraic statistics for computational biology*, Volume 13. Cambridge university press.
- Page, R., R. Yoshida, and L. Zhang (2020, 06). Tropical principal component analysis on the space of phylogenetic trees. *Bioinformatics* 36(17), 4590–4598.
- Speyer, D. and B. Sturmfels (2004). The Tropical Grassmannian. *Advances in Geometry* 4(3).

Sturm, K.-T., T. Coulhon, A. Grigor'yan, et al. (2003). Probability measures on metric spaces of nonpositive. *Heat Kernels and Analysis on Manifolds, Graphs, and Metric Spaces: Lecture Notes from a Quarter Program on Heat Kernels, Random Walks, and Analysis on Manifolds and Graphs: April 16-July 13, 2002, Emile Borel Centre of the Henri Poincaré Institute, Paris, France* 338, 357.

Yoshida, R., L. Zhang, and X. Zhang (2019). Tropical principal component analysis and its application to phylogenetics. *Bulletin of mathematical biology* 81(2), 568–597.