

# GRADED FILTRATIONS OF GRADED MODULES WITH RESPECT TO ASSOCIATED PRIMES AND HILBERT COEFFICIENTS

SHINYA KUMASHIRO

**ABSTRACT.** The notion of Bourbaki filtrations is a generalization of composition series for modules of finite length, and is useful to study the Hilbert function. However, a graded version of Bourbaki filtrations cannot control the shifts. In this paper, we construct another graded filtration of graded modules that can control the shifts by using associated prime ideals. We also investigate the Hilbert function of ideals of reduction number 2 or 3 by applying our filtration to the Sally modules.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Associated prime ideals play an important role in the study of commutative Noetherian rings. The notion of associated prime ideals is strongly related to primary decomposition and non-zerodivisors, and is common knowledge in the field of commutative rings. Details on general propositions regarding associated prime ideals can be found in, for example, [1, Chapter IV]. *Bourbaki filtrations* are one of the important results in the study of associated prime ideals ([1, Chapter IV, Section 1, 4. Theorems 1 and 2]). This result is a generalization of the composition series for modules of finite length, and can be applied to demonstrate the multiplicative formula on Hilbert functions. Furthermore, a graded version of Bourbaki filtrations were presented in [1, Chapter IV, Section 3, 1. Proposition 2.]. However, because the filtrations cannot control the shifts, we could only evaluate the leading coefficients of the Hilbert function.

In this study, we consider another graded filtration that can control the shifts for finitely generated graded modules generated in a single degree. Let us present our notation to state our results precisely. Let  $R = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} R_n$  be a standard graded Noetherian ring of dimension  $d \geq 2$  such that  $R_0$  is an Artinian local ring with the unique maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$ . Suppose that  $\mathfrak{m}R$  is a prime ideal, and set  $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{m}R$ . Recall that for a finitely generated graded  $R$ -module  $M$  of dimension  $s$ , there exist the integers  $e_0(M), e_1(M), \dots, e_{s-1}(M)$  such that

$$\ell_{R_0}(M_n) = e_0(M) \binom{n+d-1}{d-1} - e_1(M) \binom{n+d-2}{d-2} + \dots + (-1)^{s-1} e_{s-1}(M)$$

for  $n \gg 0$  ([2, Theorem 4.1.3]), where  $\ell(*)$  denotes the length.  $e_0(M), e_1(M), \dots, e_{s-1}(M)$  are called the *Hilbert coefficients* of  $M$ . Then, the first main theorem of this paper can be stated as follows:

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $M$  be a finitely generated graded  $R$ -module generated in single degree  $t$ . Suppose that  $\dim_R M = d$  and  $\text{Ass}_R M = \text{Min}_R M$ . Then, the following hold true.*

(a)  $e_0(M) = \ell_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}) \cdot e_0(R/\mathfrak{p})$  and  $e_1(M) \leq t e_0(M) + \ell_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}) \cdot e_1(R/\mathfrak{p})$ .

---

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 13D40, 13A30, 13H10.

*Key words and phrases.* associated prime, graded filtration, Hilbert coefficient, Sally module.

The author was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Numbers JP19J10579 and JP21K13766.

(b) Consider the following conditions:

(i)  $e_1(M) = te_0(M) + \ell_{R/\mathfrak{p}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}) \cdot e_1(R/\mathfrak{p})$ .

(ii) There exists a family  $\{M^i\}_{0 \leq i \leq i_0}$  of graded residue modules of  $M$  such that

$$0 \rightarrow (R/\mathfrak{p})(-t) \rightarrow M = M^0 \rightarrow M^1 \rightarrow 0,$$

$$0 \rightarrow (R/\mathfrak{p})(-t) \rightarrow M^1 \rightarrow M^2 \rightarrow 0,$$

(1.1.1)

⋮

$$0 \rightarrow (R/\mathfrak{p})(-t) \rightarrow M^{i_0-2} \rightarrow M^{i_0-1} \rightarrow 0, \text{ and}$$

$$0 \rightarrow (R/\mathfrak{p})(-t) \rightarrow M^{i_0-1} \rightarrow M^{i_0} = 0 \rightarrow 0$$

are exact.

Then, (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) holds. (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) also holds if  $R/\mathfrak{p}$  satisfies Serre's condition  $(S_2)$ .

We can further provide an application of Theorem 1.1 to the Hilbert function of the associated graded rings. Let  $(A, \mathfrak{m})$  be a Cohen–Macaulay local ring of dimension  $d \geq 2$ , and let  $I$  be an  $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary ideal of  $A$ . Suppose that  $A/\mathfrak{m}$  is infinite. Let

$$\mathcal{R}(I) = A[It] \subseteq A[t] \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{G}(I) = \mathcal{R}(I)/I\mathcal{R}(I) \cong \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} I^n/I^{n+1}$$

be the Rees algebra of  $I$  and the associated graded ring of  $I$ , respectively, where  $A[t]$  is the polynomial ring over  $A$ . Then,  $\ell_A(A/I^{n+1})$  agrees with a polynomial of degree  $d$ , that is, there exist the integers  $e_0(I), e_1(I), \dots, e_d(I)$  such that

$$\ell_A(A/I^{n+1}) = e_0(I) \binom{n+d}{d} - e_1(I) \binom{n+d-1}{d-1} + \dots + (-1)^d e_d(I)$$

for  $n \gg 0$  because  $\ell_A(A/I^{n+1}) - \ell_A(A/I^n) = \ell_A(\mathcal{G}(I)_n)$  for all  $n \geq 0$ .  $e_0(I), e_1(I), \dots, e_d(I)$  are called the *Hilbert coefficients* of  $I$ . The problem here is to reveal the relation between the Hilbert coefficients of  $I$  and the structures of  $\mathcal{R}(I)$  and  $\mathcal{G}(I)$ . One of the most famous results in this direction is the following obtained by Northcott, Huneke, and Ooishi.

**Fact 1.2.** ([13, 6, 14]) Under the above assumptions,  $\ell_A(A/I) \geq e_0(I) - e_1(I)$  holds. The equality holds if and only if  $I^2 = QI$  for some (any) minimal reduction  $Q \subseteq I$ . When this is the case, the Rees algebra of  $I$  and the associated graded ring of  $I$  are Cohen–Macaulay rings.

The second aim of this paper is to study the next step, i.e., the case of reduction number two. Note that the *reduction number*, the minimal integer  $n \geq 0$  satisfying  $I^{n+1} = QI^n$ , depends on the choice of a minimal reduction  $Q$  in general (see for example [5, 10, 11]).

**Theorem 1.3.** (Theorem 3.3) *In addition to the above assumptions, suppose that  $I^3 = QI^2$  for some minimal reduction  $Q \subseteq I$ . Then,  $\ell_A(A/I) \geq e_0(I) - e_1(I) + e_2(I)$  holds. The equality holds if and only if  $\text{depth } \mathcal{G}(I) \geq d - 1$ .*

Theorem 1.3 provides a complete answer to the problem discussed in [9] and improves its main result (see [9, Theorem 3.3]). As surprisingly, it is known that the converse inequality  $\ell_A(A/I) \leq e_0(I) - e_1(I) + e_2(I)$  holds if  $I$  is either integrally closed or Ratliff–Rush closed of height two ([17, 8]). Hence, Theorem 1.3 also provides a deeper understanding of a result of Corso–Polini–Rossi ([3, Theorem 3.6]). We further examine the case of reduction number three under the assumption that  $I$  is integrally closed (Theorem 3.12).

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we construct a graded filtration and establish Theorem 1.1. In Section 3, we demonstrate the application of Theorem 1.1 to the Sally module, which describes a correction term of the Hilbert function, and prove Theorem 1.3.

## 2. GRADED FILTRATION OF GRADED MODULES

The aim of this section is to construct a graded filtration arising from associated prime ideals. We maintain the following setting throughout this section.

**Setting 2.1.** Let  $R = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} R_n = R_0[R_1]$  be a standard graded Noetherian ring such that  $R_0$  is an Artinian local ring. Let  $M = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} M_n$  be a finitely generated graded  $R$ -module. Set  $R_0 = (A, \mathfrak{m})$ . Suppose that  $\mathfrak{m}R$  is a prime ideal, and set  $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{m}R$ .

- Remark 2.2.** (a)  $\mathfrak{m}^\ell M = 0$  for  $\ell \gg 0$ .  
 (b)  $\text{Min } R = \{\mathfrak{p}\}$ .  
 (c) The following are equivalent:  
 (i)  $\dim_R M = \dim R$  and  $\text{Ass}_R M = \text{Min}_R M$ .  
 (ii)  $\text{Ass}_R M = \{\mathfrak{p}\}$ .

*Proof.* (a): Because  $(A, \mathfrak{m})$  is an Artinian local ring,  $\ell_A(M_n) < \infty$ . It follows that  $\mathfrak{m}^\ell M = 0$  for  $\ell \gg 0$  because  $M$  is finitely generated.

(b): One hand, we have that  $\sqrt{(0)} = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Spec } R} \mathfrak{q} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$ . On the other hand,  $\mathfrak{m}^\ell R = 0$  for  $\ell \gg 0$  by (a); hence,  $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq \sqrt{(0)}$ . It follows that  $\mathfrak{p} = \sqrt{(0)} = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Spec } R} \mathfrak{q}$ , i.e.,  $\text{Min } R = \{\mathfrak{p}\}$ .

(c)(i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii): Because  $\dim_R M = \dim R$ ,  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Min}_R M$ . It follows that  $\text{Ass}_R M = \text{Min}_R M = \{\mathfrak{p}\}$  by (b).

(c)(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i): This is clear.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.3.** (cf. [1, Chapter IV, Section 1, 1. Proposition 4.]) *Let  $\Phi$  be a subset of  $\text{Ass}_R M$ . Then, there exists a graded exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow M \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0,$$

where  $L$  and  $N$  are graded  $R$ -modules, such that  $\text{Ass}_R L = \text{Ass}_R M \setminus \Phi$  and  $\text{Ass}_R N = \Phi$ .

*Proof.* This is a graded version of [1, Chapter IV, Section 1, 1. Proposition 4.], and the proof is essentially the same. However, we include the proof for the sake of completeness. We may assume that  $\Phi \subsetneq \text{Ass}_R M$ . Then

$$S = \left\{ X \mid \begin{array}{l} X \text{ is a finitely generated graded } R\text{-submodule of } M \text{ such that} \\ \text{Ass}_R X \subseteq \text{Ass}_R M \setminus \Phi \end{array} \right\}$$

is a non-empty set. Hence, we can choose a maximal element  $L$  with respect to the inclusion. We demonstrate that  $\text{Ass}_R M/L \subseteq \Phi$ . Indeed, if this holds, then the assertion holds because  $\text{Ass}_R M \subseteq \text{Ass}_R L \cup \text{Ass}_R M/L$ . Assume the contrary. Then, there exist  $\mathfrak{q} = (0) :_R x \in \text{Ass}_R M/L \setminus \Phi$ , where  $x$  is a homogeneous element of degree  $t$ , and an inclusion  $0 \rightarrow (R/\mathfrak{q})(-t) \rightarrow M/L$  by [2, Lemma 1.5.6(b)(ii)]. It follows that

$$\text{Ass}_R(L + Rx) \subseteq \text{Ass}_R M/L \setminus \Phi$$

by  $0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow L + Rx \rightarrow (R/\mathfrak{q})(-t) \rightarrow 0$ . This contradicts the maximality of  $L$ . Hence,  $\text{Ass}_R M/L \subseteq \Phi$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.4.** *Suppose that  $\text{Ass}_R M = \{\mathfrak{p}\}$ . If  $M_t \neq 0$  for  $t \in \mathbb{Z}$ , then there exists a graded inclusion  $0 \rightarrow (R/\mathfrak{p})(-t) \rightarrow M$ .*

*Proof.* Set  $S = \{(0) :_R x \mid 0 \neq x \in M_t\}$ , and choose a maximal element  $I = (0) :_R x$ . For  $a, b \in A$ , assume that  $ab \in I$  and  $a \notin I$ . Then, we obtain that  $ax \neq 0$  and  $I \subseteq (0) :_R ax$ . Because of the maximality of  $I$ , we obtain that  $I = (0) :_R ax$ , thus  $b \in I$ . It follows that  $I$  contains a unique prime ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$  of  $A$ , i.e.,  $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq I$ . In contrast, because  $S$  is a subset of  $S' = \{(0) :_R x \mid 0 \neq x \in M\}$  and any maximal element of  $S'$  is an associated prime ideal of  $M$  ([1, Chapter IV, Section 1, 1. Proposition 2]),  $I \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$ . Hence,  $I = \mathfrak{p} = (0) :_R x$  for  $x \in M_t$ , and thus  $(R/\mathfrak{p})(-t) \cong Rx \subseteq M$ .  $\square$

The combination of Lemmas 2.3 and 2.4 provides a family of graded commutative diagrams as follows:

**Proposition 2.5.** *Suppose that  $\text{Ass}_R M = \{\mathfrak{p}\}$  and  $M = RM_t$ . Then, there exist families of graded modules  $\{M^i\}_{0 \leq i \leq i_0}$  and  $\{X^i\}_{0 \leq i \leq i_0-1}$  such that  $M^0 = M$ ,  $M^{i_0} = 0$ , and the following three assertions hold true for all  $0 \leq i \leq i_0 - 1$ .*

- (a)  $M^i$  satisfies the same assumption as  $M$ , i.e.,  $\text{Ass}_R M^i = \{\mathfrak{p}\}$  and  $M^i = RM_t^i$ ,
- (b)  $\mathfrak{p} \notin \text{Ass}_R X^i$ , and
- (c) there exists a graded commutative diagram

$$(2.5.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} & & & & 0 & & \\ & & & & \downarrow & & \\ & & & & X^i & & \\ & & & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & (R/\mathfrak{p})(-t) & \longrightarrow & M^i & \longrightarrow & N^i \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & & & \downarrow & & \\ & & & & M^{i+1} & & \\ & & & & \downarrow & & \\ & & & & 0 & & \end{array} .$$

Furthermore, we then have  $i_0 = \ell_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}})$ .

*Proof.* Because  $\text{Ass}_R M = \{\mathfrak{p}\}$  and  $M_t \neq 0$ , the combination of Lemmas 2.3 and 2.4 provides the graded commutative diagram as desired. By construction,  $\ell_A(M_t^{i+1}) < \ell_A(M_t^i)$ ; hence, this process will end within a finite number of steps. It remains to show that  $i_0 = \ell_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}})$ . Indeed, by localizing (2.5.1) at  $\mathfrak{p}$ , we obtain that  $\ell_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}) = i_0 \cdot \ell_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}((R/\mathfrak{p})_{\mathfrak{p}}) = i_0$ .  $\square$

Now we can prove Theorem 1.1, which characterizes when  $X^i = 0$  in (2.5.1) for all  $0 \leq i \leq i_0 - 1$  in terms of the Hilbert coefficients.

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* (a): By Proposition 2.5, we have that

$$\ell_A(M_n) = j_0 \cdot \ell_A((R/\mathfrak{p})_{n-t}) + \sum_{i=0}^{j_0-1} \ell_A(X_n^i)$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , where  $j_0 = \ell_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}})$ . Because  $\mathfrak{p} \notin \text{Ass}_R X^i$ ,  $\ell_A(X_n^i)$  is a polynomial of degree  $< d - 1$  for  $n \gg 0$ . Let  $e(X^i)$  denote the coefficient of  $\binom{n+d-2}{d-2}$  in the polynomial ( $e(X^i)$  can be 0). Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \ell_A(M_n) &= j_0 \left( e_0(R/\mathfrak{p}) \binom{n-t+d-1}{d-1} - e_1(R/\mathfrak{p}) \binom{n-t+d-2}{d-2} + \cdots + (-1)^{d-1} e_{d-1}(R/\mathfrak{p}) \right) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=0}^{j_0-1} \left( e(X^i) \binom{n+d-2}{d-2} + (\text{polynomial of degree } < d-2) \right) \\ &= j_0 \cdot e_0(R/\mathfrak{p}) \binom{n+d-1}{d-1} + \left( j_0 \cdot e_0(R/\mathfrak{p})(-t) - j_0 \cdot e_1(R/\mathfrak{p}) + \sum_{i=0}^{j_0-1} e(X^i) \right) \binom{n+d-2}{d-2} \\ &\quad + (\text{polynomial of degree } < d-2) \end{aligned}$$

for  $n \gg 0$ . It follows that

$$e_0(M) = j_0 e_0(R/\mathfrak{p}) \quad \text{and} \quad e_1(M) = j_0 e_0(R/\mathfrak{p})t + j_0 e_1(R/\mathfrak{p}) - \sum_{i=0}^{j_0-1} e(X^i).$$

Hence,  $e_1(M) \leq t e_0(M) + \ell_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}) \cdot e_1(R/\mathfrak{p})$  because  $e(X^i) \geq 0$ .

(b) (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii): Suppose that  $R/\mathfrak{p}$  satisfies Serre's condition  $(S_2)$ . Because  $\sum_{i=0}^{j_0-1} e(X^i) = 0$ , we obtain that  $\dim_R X^i < d - 1$  for all  $0 \leq i \leq j_0 - 1$ . Assume that  $X^i \neq 0$ , and choose  $\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Ass}_R X^i$ . Then, by localizing (2.5.1) at  $\mathfrak{q}$ , we obtain that  $\text{depth}_{R_{\mathfrak{q}}} N_{\mathfrak{q}}^i = 0$ . Note that  $\text{ht}_{R_{\mathfrak{q}}} \mathfrak{q} \geq 2$  because  $\dim_R X^i < d - 1$  and  $R/\mathfrak{p}$  is catenary. Hence,  $\text{depth}_{R_{\mathfrak{q}}}(R/\mathfrak{p})_{\mathfrak{q}} \geq 2$  because  $R/\mathfrak{p}$  satisfies  $(S_2)$ . It follows that  $\text{depth}_{R_{\mathfrak{q}}} M_{\mathfrak{q}}^i = 0$ , i.e.,  $\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Ass}_R M^i$ . This contradicts  $\text{Ass}_R M^i = \{\mathfrak{p}\}$ . Therefore,  $X^i = 0$  for all  $0 \leq i \leq j_0 - 1$ .

(b) (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i): We need only to prove that  $i_0 = \ell_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}})$ . Indeed, by (1.1.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \ell_A(M_n) &= i_0 \cdot \ell_A((R/\mathfrak{p})_{n-t}) \\ &= i_0 e_0(R/\mathfrak{p}) \binom{n+d-1}{d-1} + (i_0 e_0(R/\mathfrak{p})(-t) - i_0 e_1(R/\mathfrak{p})) \binom{n+d-2}{d-2} \\ &\quad + (\text{polynomial of degree } < d-2) \end{aligned}$$

for  $n > 0$ . Hence,  $i_0 = \ell_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}})$  by (a); hence,  $e_1(M) = t e_0(M) + \ell_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}) \cdot e_1(R/\mathfrak{p})$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 2.6.** *Let  $R = R_0[R_1]$  be a standard graded Noetherian domain of dimension  $\geq 2$  such that  $R_0$  is a field. Let  $M$  be a finitely generated graded torsionfree  $R$ -module such that  $M = RM_t$ . Then,  $e_1(M) = t \cdot e_0(M) + e_1(R) \cdot \text{rank}_R M$  if and only if  $M$  is an  $R$ -free module.*

*Proof.* We have only to show the ‘‘only if’’ part. Indeed,  $M$  has a graded filtration (1.1.1) by Theorem 1.1. It follows that the filtration is split because  $R/\mathfrak{p} = R$ .  $\square$

**Example 2.7.** (a) Let  $R = K[X, Y]$  be the polynomial ring over a field  $K$  with  $\deg X = \deg Y = 1$ . Set  $M = R^2 / \langle \begin{pmatrix} X \\ Y \end{pmatrix} \rangle$ . Then,  $\text{Ass}_R M = \{(0)\}$  and there exist graded exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow R \rightarrow M \rightarrow R/(X) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \rightarrow R \rightarrow M \rightarrow R/(Y) \rightarrow 0.$$

In particular, graded commutative diagrams (2.5.1) of  $M$  are not unique.

- (b) Let  $(A, \mathfrak{m})$  be an Artinian local ring, and let  $R = A[X_1, \dots, X_d]$  be the polynomial ring over  $A$  with  $\deg X_j = 1$  for  $1 \leq j \leq d$ . Set  $i_0 = \ell_A(A)$ , and choose elements  $0 = f_0, f_1, \dots, f_{i_0} \in A$  such that  $(f_0, \dots, f_{i+1})/(f_0, \dots, f_i) \cong A/\mathfrak{m}$  for  $0 \leq i \leq i_0 - 1$ . Then, a graded filtration (1.1.1) of  $R$  can be obtained as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\rightarrow (R/\mathfrak{m}R) \xrightarrow{f_1} R \rightarrow R^1 \rightarrow 0, \\ 0 &\rightarrow (R/\mathfrak{m}R) \xrightarrow{f_2} R^1 \rightarrow R^2 \rightarrow 0, \\ &\quad \vdots \\ 0 &\rightarrow (R/\mathfrak{m}R) \xrightarrow{f_{i_0-1}} R^{i_0-2} \rightarrow R^{i_0-1} \rightarrow 0, \text{ and} \\ 0 &\rightarrow (R/\mathfrak{m}R) \xrightarrow{f_{i_0}} R^{i_0-1} \rightarrow R^{i_0} = 0 \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* (a): Let  $\bar{*}$  denote the image of  $* \in R^2$  in  $M$ . It is straightforward to verify that the map  $R \rightarrow M$ , where  $1 \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ , induces  $0 \rightarrow R \rightarrow M \rightarrow R/(X) \rightarrow 0$ . By symmetry, we also obtain that  $0 \rightarrow R \rightarrow M \rightarrow R/(Y) \rightarrow 0$ . It follows that  $\text{Ass}_R M = \{(0)\}$ .

(b): Because  $f_i$  is a socle of  $R_0^{i-1} = A/(f_0, \dots, f_{i-1})$ ,  $\mathfrak{m}R \subseteq (0) :_R f_i$ . It follows that  $(0) :_R f_i = \mathfrak{m}R$  because  $\text{Ass}_R R^{i-1} = \{\mathfrak{m}R\}$ .  $\square$

### 3. APPLICATION TO SALLY MODULES

Throughout this section, let  $(A, \mathfrak{m})$  be a Cohen–Macaulay local ring of dimension  $d \geq 2$ , and let  $I$  be an  $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary ideal of  $A$ . Suppose that the residue field  $A/\mathfrak{m}$  is infinite. Then, there exists a parameter ideal  $Q \subseteq I$  such that  $Q$  is a reduction of  $I$ , that is,  $I^{n+1} = QI^n$  for some  $n \geq 0$ . Let

$$\mathcal{R}(I) = A[It] \subseteq A[t] \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{G}(I) = \mathcal{R}(I)/I\mathcal{R}(I) \cong \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} I^n/I^{n+1},$$

be the *Rees algebra* of  $I$  and the *associated graded ring* of  $I$ , respectively, where  $A[t]$  is the polynomial ring over  $A$ . To investigate the Hilbert coefficients of  $I$  relating to the structures of  $\mathcal{R}(I)$  and  $\mathcal{G}(I)$ , we further define the finitely generated graded  $\mathcal{R}(Q)$ -module

$$S = \mathcal{S}_Q(I) = I\mathcal{R}(I)/I\mathcal{R}(Q) \cong \bigoplus_{n \geq 1} I^{n+1}/Q^n I.$$

$S$  is called the *Sally module* of  $I$  with respect to  $Q$  ([19]). The importance of the notion of Sally modules is to describe a correction term of the Hilbert function of  $I$ . We summarize the properties of Sally modules below.

**Proposition 3.1.** [4, Lemma 2.1 and Proposition 2.2]) *The following hold true:*

(a) *We have*

$$\ell_A(A/I^{n+1}) = e_0(I) \binom{n+d}{d} - [e_0(I) - \ell_A(A/I)] \binom{n+d-1}{d-1} - \ell_A(S_n)$$

*for all  $n \geq 0$ .*

- (b) *Let  $\mathcal{M} = \mathfrak{m}\mathcal{R}(Q) + \mathcal{R}(Q)_+$  denote the graded maximal ideal of  $\mathcal{R}(Q)$ . Then, for  $n > 0$ ,  $(S/\mathcal{M}S)_n = 0$  if and only if  $I^{n+1} = QI^n$ .*
- (c)  *$\mathfrak{m}^\ell S = 0$  for  $\ell \gg 0$ .*
- (d)  *$\text{Ass}_{\mathcal{R}(Q)} S \subseteq \{\mathfrak{m}\mathcal{R}(Q)\}$ . In particular, either  $S = 0$  or  $\dim_{\mathcal{R}(Q)} S = d$  holds.*

- (e)  $\text{depth } \mathcal{G}(I) \geq d - 1$  if and only if either  $S = 0$  or  $S$  is a ( $d$ -dimensional) Cohen–Macaulay  $\mathcal{R}(Q)$ -module. If  $S$  is neither 0 nor a Cohen–Macaulay  $\mathcal{R}(Q)$ -module, then  $\text{depth } \mathcal{G}(I) = \text{depth}_{\mathcal{R}(Q)} S - 1$ .

We regard  $S$  as an  $\mathcal{R}(Q)/\mathfrak{m}^\ell \mathcal{R}(Q)$ -module for  $\ell \gg 0$  by Proposition 3.1(c). Thereafter, according to Proposition 3.1(d), we will apply Theorem 1.1 to the Sally module. Let

$$r = \text{red}_Q I = \min\{n \geq 0 \mid I^{n+1} = QI^n\}$$

denote the *reduction number* of  $I$  with respect to  $Q$ .

**Proposition 3.2.** *Suppose that  $r \geq 2$ . Then, the following are true:*

- (a)  $\ell_A(A/I) \geq e_0(I) - e_1(I) + \frac{e_2(I)}{r-1}$  holds.  
 (b)  $\ell_A(A/I) = e_0(I) - e_1(I) + \frac{e_2(I)}{r-1}$  implies that  $r = 2$ .

*Proof.* (a): Let  $S' = \mathcal{R}(Q)S_{r-1}$  denote the submodule of  $S$  generated in degree  $r - 1$ . Then, because  $S'_n = S_n$  for all  $n \geq r - 1$ , we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \ell_A(A/I^{n+1}) &= e_0(I) \binom{n+d}{d} - [e_0(I) - \ell_A(A/I)] \binom{n+d-1}{d-1} - \ell_A(S'_n) \\ &= e_0(I) \binom{n+d}{d} - [e_0(I) - \ell_A(A/I) + e_0(S')] \binom{n+d-1}{d-1} \\ &\quad + e_1(S') \binom{n+d-2}{d-2} + \cdots + (-1)^d e_{d-1}(S') \end{aligned}$$

for  $n \gg 0$ . Hence,  $e_1(I) = e_0(I) - \ell_A(A/I) + e_0(S')$  and  $e_2(I) = e_1(S')$ . We have  $e_1(S') \leq (r-1)e_0(S')$  by Theorem 1.1; hence,  $e_1(I) \geq e_0(I) - \ell_A(A/I) + \frac{e_2(I)}{r-1}$ .

(b): Note that  $\mathcal{R}(Q)/\mathfrak{m}\mathcal{R}(Q) \cong (A/\mathfrak{m})[X_1, X_2, \dots, X_d]$  is a Cohen–Macaulay ring and thus satisfies Serre’s condition  $(S_2)$ . Hence,  $\ell_A(A/I) = e_0(I) - e_1(I) + \frac{e_2(I)}{r-1}$  is equivalent to saying that  $S'$  has a graded filtration (1.1.1). It follows that  $S'$  is a  $d$ -dimensional Cohen–Macaulay  $\mathcal{R}(Q)$ -module. Then, by the exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow S' \rightarrow S \rightarrow S/S' \rightarrow 0$ ,  $\text{depth}_{\mathcal{R}(Q)} S = 0$  if  $S \neq S'$ . This contradicts  $\text{Ass}_{\mathcal{R}(Q)} S \subseteq \{\mathfrak{m}\mathcal{R}(Q)\}$ . Therefore,  $S = S'$ , and hence,  $r = 2$  by Proposition 3.1(b).  $\square$

By focusing on the case of  $r = 2$ , we obtain the second main result of this study.

**Theorem 3.3.** *Suppose that  $I^3 = QI^2$ . Then,  $\ell_A(A/I) \geq e_0(I) - e_1(I) + e_2(I)$  holds. Furthermore, the following are equivalent:*

- (a)  $\ell_A(A/I) = e_0(I) - e_1(I) + e_2(I)$ ,  
 (b)  $S$  has a filtration (1.1.1), and  
 (c)  $\text{depth } \mathcal{G}(I) \geq d - 1$ .

When this is the case,

$$\ell_A(A/I^{n+1}) = e_0(I) \binom{n+d}{d} - e_1(I) \binom{n+d-1}{d-1} + e_2(I) \binom{n+d-2}{d-2} \quad \text{for all } n \geq 0.$$

*Proof.* We may assume that  $r = 2$  by [13, 6, 14]. The former inequality follows from Proposition 3.2. The implications (a)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (b)  $\Rightarrow$  (c) follow from Theorem 1.1 and Proposition 3.1(e).

(c)  $\Rightarrow$  (a): If  $\text{depth } \mathcal{G}(I) \geq d - 1$ , then we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} e_1(I) &= \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} \ell_A(I^{i+1}/QI^i) = \ell_A(I/Q) + \ell_A(I^2/QI) \quad \text{and} \\ e_2(I) &= \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} i \cdot \ell_A(I^{i+1}/QI^i) = \ell_A(I^2/QI) \end{aligned}$$

(see for example [16, Theorem 2.5]). It follows that  $\ell_A(A/I) = e_0(I) - e_1(I) + e_2(I)$ .  $\square$

Note that Theorem 3.3 improves [9, Theorem 3.3]. Furthermore, in contrast to Theorem 3.3, it is known that the converse inequality  $\ell_A(A/I) \leq e_0(I) - e_1(I) + e_2(I)$  holds if  $I$  is either an integrally closed ideal or a Ratliff–Rush closed ideal of height two ([17, 8]). Hence, by combining Theorem 3.3 and [17, 8], we obtain the following.

**Corollary 3.4.** (cf. [3, Theorem 3.6]) *Suppose that  $I^3 = QI^2$  and either*

- (a)  *$I$  is an integrally closed ideal or*
- (b)  *$d = 2$  and  $I$  is a Ratliff–Rush closed ideal in the sense of [12, Chapter VIII, Notation].*

*Then,  $\text{depth } \mathcal{G}(I) \geq d - 1$  and  $\ell_A(A/I^{n+1}) = e_0(I) \binom{n+d}{d} - e_1(I) \binom{n+d-1}{d-1} + e_2(I) \binom{n+d-2}{d-2}$  for all  $n \geq 0$ .*

The following lemmas are useful for providing examples of Theorem 3.3. Let  $\bar{*}$  denote the integral closure of  $*$ .

**Lemma 3.5.** *Assume that  $I^2 = \bar{I}^2 = Q\bar{I}$ . Then,  $I^3 = QI^2$  and  $\ell_A(I^2/QI) = d \cdot \ell_A(\bar{I}/I)$ . In particular,  $\text{red}_Q I = 2$  if  $I \neq \bar{I}$ .*

*Proof.* By assumption, we obtain that  $I^3 = I \cdot \bar{I}^2 = I \cdot Q\bar{I} = QI^2$ . The latter equality follows from

$$\ell_A(I^2/QI) = \ell_A(Q\bar{I}/QI) = \ell_A(Q/QI) - \ell_A(Q/Q\bar{I}) = d \cdot (\ell_A(A/I) - \ell_A(A/\bar{I})),$$

where the last equality follows from the isomorphisms  $Q/QI \cong (Q/Q^2) \otimes_A A/I \cong (A/I)^{\oplus d}$  and  $Q/Q\bar{I} \cong (A/\bar{I})^{\oplus d}$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.6.** (a) *Let  $R = K[X, Y]$  be the polynomial ring over a field  $K$  with  $\deg X = \deg Y = 1$ . Let  $I_0$  be a monomial ideal generated in single degree  $t$ , and let  $Q_0 = (X^t, Y^t)$ . Suppose that  $Q_0 \subseteq I_0$ . Then,  $\overline{Q_0} = \overline{I_0} = (X, Y)^t$  and  $\text{red}_{Q_0} \overline{I_0} = 1$ .*

(b) *Let  $A = K[[X, Y]]$  be the formal power series ring over a field  $K$  with the maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m} = (X, Y)$ . Let  $0 = n_1 < n_2 < \cdots < n_s = t$  be integers, and let  $I$  be an ideal generated by*

$$Y^t = X^{n_1} Y^{t-n_1}, X^{n_2} Y^{t-n_2}, \dots, X^{n_s} Y^{t-n_s} = X^t.$$

*Then,  $Q = (X^t, Y^t)$  is a reduction of  $I$ . Furthermore, if  $Q \subsetneq I \subsetneq \mathfrak{m}^t$  and  $I^2 = \mathfrak{m}^{2t}$ , then  $\text{red}_Q I = 2$ .*

*Proof.* (a): To prove the former equality, because  $Q_0 \subseteq I_0 \subseteq (X, Y)^t$ , we need only to demonstrate that  $(X, Y)^t \subseteq \overline{Q_0}$ . Indeed, this follows from the fact that  $(X^s Y^{t-s})^t \in Q_0^t$  for all  $0 \leq s \leq t$ . The latter inequality follows from  $\overline{I_0}^2 = (X, Y)^{2t} = Q_0(X, Y)^t = Q_0 \overline{I_0}$ .

(b): This follows from (a) and Lemma 3.5.  $\square$



**Example 3.7.** Let  $A = K[[X, Y]]$  be the formal power series ring over a field  $K$ . Set  $Q = (X^7, Y^7)$  and  $I = Q + (X^6Y, X^5Y^2, X^2Y^5, XY^6)$ . Then,  $\text{red}_Q I = 2$  and

$$\ell_A(A/I^{n+1}) = \begin{cases} 31 & (n = 0) \\ 49\binom{n+2}{2} - 21\binom{n+1}{1} & (n \geq 1). \end{cases}$$

It follows that  $\ell_A(A/I) = 31 > e_0(I) - e_1(I) + e_2(I) = 28$ ; hence,  $\text{depth } \mathcal{G}(I) = 0$ .

*Proof.* Set  $\mathfrak{m} = (X, Y)$ . It is routine to prove that  $I^2 = \mathfrak{m}^{14}$ , and this demonstrates that  $\text{red}_Q I = 2$  by Lemma 3.6. It is thus proven that  $\ell_A(A/I^{n+1}) = \ell_A(A/\mathfrak{m}^{7n+7}) = 49\binom{n+2}{2} - 21\binom{n+1}{1}$  for all  $n \geq 1$ .  $\square$

**Example 3.8.** Let  $s > 0$ , and let  $A = K[[XY^i \mid 0 \leq i \leq 2s + 1]]$  be a subring of the formal power series ring  $K[[X, Y]]$  over a field  $K$ . Then,  $A$  is a Cohen–Macaulay local normal domain of dimension 2 (for example, [2, Theorem 6.3.5]). Set  $I = (XY^i \mid 0 \leq i \leq s) + (XY^{2s+1})$  and  $Q = (X, XY^{2s+1})$ . Then, the following hold true:

- (a)  $I^2 \subseteq Q$  and  $\ell_A(I^2/QI) = s$ . In particular,  $\mathcal{G}(I)$  is not a Cohen–Macaulay ring.
- (b)  $I^3 = QI^2$ .
- (c)  $\ell_A(A/I^{n+1}) = (2s + 1)\binom{n+2}{2} - 2s\binom{n+1}{1} + s$  for all  $n \geq 0$ .
- (d)  $\text{depth } \mathcal{G}(I) = 1$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\mathfrak{m}$  denote the maximal ideal of  $A$ .

(a): It is easy to verify that  $I^2 \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^2 \subseteq Q$ . It follows that  $\mathfrak{m}I^2 \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^2I \subseteq QI \subseteq I^2$ . Hence,

$$I^2 = (X^2Y^i \mid 0 \leq i \leq 3s + 1 \text{ or } i = 4s + 2) = QI + (X^2Y^i \mid s + 1 \leq i \leq 2s)$$

demonstrates that  $\ell_A(I^2/QI) = s$ . Thus,  $I^2 \cap Q = I^2 \neq QI$ ; hence,  $\mathcal{G}(I)$  is not a Cohen–Macaulay ring by [18].

(b): This is straightforward.

(c): By induction on  $n$ , we obtain

$$I^n = (X^nY^i \mid 0 \leq i \leq (2s + 1)(n - 1) + s \text{ or } i = (2s + 1)n).$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \ell_A(A/I^{n+1}) &= \ell_A(A/\mathfrak{m}^{n+1}) + s = \sum_{k=0}^n [(2s + 1)k + 1] + s \\ &= (2s + 1)\binom{n + 2}{2} - 2s\binom{n + 1}{1} + s \end{aligned}$$

for all  $n \geq 0$ .

(d): Because  $\ell_A(A/I^{n+1})$  agrees with the polynomial of (c) at  $n = 0$ ,  $\text{depth } \mathcal{G}(I) > 0$  according to Theorem 3.3. Therefore,  $\text{depth } \mathcal{G}(I) = 1$  by (a).  $\square$

In the remainder of this paper, we present the case in which  $I$  is integrally closed. Set

$$L = \mathcal{L}_Q(I) = \mathcal{R}(Q)S_1 \cong \bigoplus_{n \geq 1} Q^{n-1}I^2/Q^nI \quad \text{and}$$

$$C = \mathcal{C}_Q(I) = (I^2\mathcal{R}(I)/I^2\mathcal{R}(Q))(-1) \cong \bigoplus_{n \geq 2} I^{n+1}/Q^{n-1}I^2.$$

Then, we have the graded exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow S \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$$

of  $\mathcal{R}(Q)$ -modules. This is certainly part of the filtration of the Sally module defined by Vaz Pinto [20]. This filtration is effective if  $I^2 = Q \cap I$  as follows:

**Proposition 3.9.** ([15, Propositions 2.2 and 2.8, and Lemma 2.11]) *Suppose that  $Q \cap I^2 = QI$  holds. Then, we have the following.*

(a) *We have*

$$\begin{aligned} \ell_A(A/I^{n+1}) = & e_0(I) \binom{n+d}{d} - [e_0(I) - \ell_A(A/I) + \ell_A(I^2/QI)] \binom{n+d-1}{d-1} \\ & + \ell_A(I^2/QI) \binom{n+d-2}{d-2} - \ell_A(C_n) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $n \geq 0$ .

- (b)  $\text{Ass}_{\mathcal{R}(Q)} C \subseteq \{\mathfrak{m}\mathcal{R}(Q)\}$ . In particular, either  $C = 0$  or  $\dim_{\mathcal{R}(Q)} C = d$  holds.  
(c)  $\text{depth } \mathcal{G}(I) \geq d-1$  if and only if either  $C = 0$  holds or  $C$  is a ( $d$ -dimensional) Cohen–Macaulay  $\mathcal{R}(Q)$ -module. If  $C$  is neither 0 nor a Cohen–Macaulay  $\mathcal{R}(Q)$ -module, then  $\text{depth } \mathcal{G}(I) = \text{depth}_{\mathcal{R}(Q)} C - 1$ .

**Remark 3.10.** ([7, Theorem 1]) If  $I$  is an integrally closed ideal, then  $Q \cap I^2 = QI$  holds.

Therefore, by applying Theorem 1.1 to  $C$ , we can prove the following in the same manner as in Proposition 3.2 and Theorem 3.3.

**Proposition 3.11.** *Suppose that  $I$  is integrally closed and  $r \geq 3$ . Then, the following are true:*

- (a)  $\ell_A(A/I) \geq e_0(I) - e_1(I) + \frac{(r-2)\ell_A(I^2/QI) + e_2(I)}{r-1}$  holds.  
(b)  $\ell_A(A/I) = e_0(I) - e_1(I) + \frac{(r-2)\ell_A(I^2/QI) + e_2(I)}{r-1}$  implies that  $r = 3$ .

**Theorem 3.12.** *Suppose that  $I$  is integrally closed and  $I^4 = QI^3$ . Then,  $\ell_A(A/I) \geq e_0(I) - e_1(I) + \frac{\ell_A(I^2/QI) + e_2(I)}{2}$  holds. Furthermore, the following are equivalent:*

- (a)  $\ell_A(A/I) = e_0(I) - e_1(I) + \frac{\ell_A(I^2/QI) + e_2(I)}{2}$ ,  
(b)  $C$  has a filtration (1.1.1), and  
(c)  $\text{depth } \mathcal{G}(I) \geq d-1$ .

When this is the case,

$$\ell_A(A/I^{n+1}) = e_0(I) \binom{n+d}{d} - e_1(I) \binom{n+d-1}{d-1} + e_2(I) \binom{n+d-2}{d-2} - e_3(I) \binom{n+d-3}{d-3}$$

for all  $n \geq 1$  (If  $d = 2$ , we consider that  $\binom{n+d-3}{d-3} = 0$ ).

**Example 3.13.** ([15, Theorem 5.2]) Let  $m > 0$ ,  $d \geq 2$ , and  $D = K[[\{X_j\}_{1 \leq j \leq m}, \{Y_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq d}, \{Z_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq d}]]$  be the formal power series ring over a field  $K$ . Let  $\mathfrak{a} = (X_1, \dots, X_m) \cdot (X_1, \dots, X_m, Y_1, \dots, Y_d) + (Y_i Y_j \mid 1 \leq i, j \leq d, i \neq j) + (Y_i^3 - Z_i X_m \mid 1 \leq i \leq d)$ .

Set  $A = D/\mathfrak{a}$ . We denote by  $x_*, y_*, z_*$  the image of  $X_*, Y_*, Z_*$  into  $A$ . Let  $\mathfrak{m}$  be the maximal ideal of  $A$  and  $Q = (z_1, \dots, z_d)$ . Then, the following assertions are true:

- (a)  $A$  is a Cohen–Macaulay local ring of dimension  $d$ .  
(b)  $\mathfrak{m}^4 = Q\mathfrak{m}^3$ .

- (c)  $e_0(\mathfrak{m}) = m + 2d + 1, e_1(\mathfrak{m}) = m + 3d + 1, e_2(\mathfrak{m}) = d + 1, e_i(\mathfrak{m}) = 0$  for all  $3 \leq i \leq d$ , and  $\ell_A(\mathfrak{m}^2/Q\mathfrak{m}) = d$ . Hence,

$$\ell_A(A/\mathfrak{m}) = 1 > e_0(\mathfrak{m}) - e_1(\mathfrak{m}) + \frac{\ell_A(\mathfrak{m}^2/Q\mathfrak{m}) + e_2(\mathfrak{m})}{2} = \frac{1}{2}.$$

- (d)  $\text{depth } \mathcal{G}(\mathfrak{m}) = 0$ .

**Acknowledgments.** The author is grateful to Kazuho Ozeki and Ryotaro Isobe for giving helpful comments. In particular, Kazuho Ozeki improved Theorem 1.1(b)(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) and Ryotaro Isobe gave the proof of Theorem 3.3(c)  $\Rightarrow$  (a).

#### REFERENCES

- [1] N. BOURBAKI, Commutative Algebra Chapter 1-7, *Hermann*, 1972.
- [2] W. BRUNS, J. HERZOG, Cohen–Macaulay Rings, Cambridge University Press (1993).
- [3] A. CORSO, C. POLINI, M. E. ROSSI, Depth of associated graded rings via Hilbert coefficients of ideals, *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra*, **201** (2005), 126–141.
- [4] S. GOTO, K. NISHIDA, K. OZEKI, Sally modules of rank one, *Michigan Mathematical Journal*, **57** (2008), 359–381.
- [5] S. HUCKABA, Reduction numbers of ideals of higher analytic spread, *Mathematical Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society*, **102** (1987), 49–57.
- [6] C. HUNEKE, Hilbert functions and symbolic powers, *Michigan Mathematical Journal*, **34** (1987), 293–318.
- [7] S. ITOH, Integral closures of ideals generated by regular sequences, *Journal of Algebra*, **117** (1988), 390–401.
- [8] S. ITOH, Hilbert coefficients of integrally closed ideals, *Journal of Algebra*, **176** (1995), 638–652.
- [9] S. KUMASHIRO, Ideals of reduction number two, *Israel Journal of Mathematics*, (to appear).
- [10] T. MARLEY, The coefficients of the Hilbert polynomial and the reduction number of an ideal, *Journal of the London Mathematical Society*, **40** (1989), 1–8.
- [11] T. MARLEY, The reduction number of an ideal and the local cohomology of the associated graded ring, *Proceeding of the American mathematical society*, **117** (1993), 335–341.
- [12] S. MCADAM, Asymptotic prime divisors, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, **1023** (1983), Springer-Verlag Berlin-Heidelberg.
- [13] D. G. NORTHCOTT, A note on the coefficients of the abstract Hilbert function, *Journal of the London Mathematical Society*, **35** (1960), 209–214.
- [14] A. OOISHI,  $\Delta$ -genera and sectional genera of commutative rings, *Hiroshima Mathematical Journal*, **17** (1987), 361–372.
- [15] K. OZEKI, M. E. ROSSI, The structure of the Sally module of integrally closed ideals, *Nagoya Mathematical Journal*, **227** (2017), 49–76.
- [16] M. E. ROSSI, G. VALLA, Hilbert functions of filtered modules, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, (2010).
- [17] J. SALLY, Ideals whose Hilbert function and Hilbert polynomial agree at  $n = 1$ , *Journal of Algebra*, **157** (1993), 534–547.
- [18] P. VALABREGA, G. VALLA, Forms rings and regular sequences, *Nagoya Mathematical Journal*, **72** (1978), 93–101.
- [19] W. V. VASCONCELOS, Hilbert functions, analytic spread, and Koszul homology, *Commutative algebra: Syzygies, multiplicities, and birational algebra (South Hadley, 1992)*, *Contemporary Mathematics*, **159**, 410–422, Amer. Math., Soc., Providence, RI, 1994.
- [20] M. VAZ PINTO, Hilbert functions and Sally modules, *Journal of Algebra*, **192** (1997), 504–523.

NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY (KOSEN), OYAMA COLLEGE, 771 NAKAKUKI, OYAMA, TOCHIGI, 323-0806, JAPAN

Email address: skumashiro@oyama-ct.ac.jp