

On chain recurrence classes of endomorphisms of \mathbb{P}^k

Johan Taffin

May 13, 2021

Abstract

We prove that the minimal chain recurrence classes of a holomorphic endomorphism of \mathbb{P}^k have finitely many connected components. We also obtain results on arbitrary classes. These strong constraints on the topological dynamics in the phase space are all deduced from the associated action on a space of currents.

Key words : Chain recurrent set, attractors.

MSC 2010 : 32H50, 37F10.

1 Introduction

The *chain recurrent set* is an important invariant set in topological dynamics which captures a large part of the non-trivial dynamics. For polynomial automorphisms of \mathbb{C}^2 , this set has been very well described by Bedford-Smillie in [BS91]. Our aim here is to initiate its study for holomorphic endomorphisms of \mathbb{P}^k and to understand what kinds of restrictions the holomorphic assumption implies in that setting.

Let $f: \mathbb{P}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^k$ be a holomorphic endomorphism. Recall that, following Conley [Con78], for $\epsilon > 0$ a sequence $(x_i)_{0 \leq i \leq n}$ is called an ϵ -pseudo-orbit between x and y in \mathbb{P}^k if $n \geq 1$, $x_0 = x$, $x_n = y$ and for all $0 \leq i < n$, $\text{dist}(f(x_i), x_{i+1}) < \epsilon$. We say that $x \succ y$ if for all $\epsilon > 0$ there exists an ϵ -pseudo-orbit between x and y . Then, the chain recurrent set is defined by

$$\mathcal{R}(f) := \{x \in \mathbb{P}^k \mid x \succ x\}.$$

This is a closed invariant set that contains the non-wandering set and thus all the periodic orbits. Moreover, \succ is a preorder on $\mathcal{R}(f)$ and the equivalence classes associated to it are called the *chain recurrence classes*: if $x \in \mathcal{R}(f)$ then its chain recurrence class $[x]$ consists of all $y \in \mathcal{R}(f)$ such that $x \succ y$ and $y \succ x$. The relation \succ becomes an order on the classes by saying that $[x] \succ [y]$ if $x \succ y$.

Our main result is the following theorem about minimal classes.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $f: \mathbb{P}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^k$ be a holomorphic endomorphism of algebraic degree d . If K is a chain recurrence class for f which is minimal with respect to \succ then K has finitely many connected components.*

In dimension $k = 1$, this result is a direct consequence of Montel's theorem. For $k = 2$, Fornæss-Weickert [FW99] proved the stronger fact that a minimal chain recurrence class (referred to as *attractor* there, following Ruelle's terminology [Rue89]) is either connected or an attracting periodic orbit (simply called *sink* in what follows). However, their main argument, which relies on pseudoconvexity, is specific to dimension 2. Our approach uses

the dynamics induced by f on some spaces of *currents* (see Section 3 for more details). Indeed, Theorem 1.1 is an unexpected consequence of [Taf18] where other results on minimal chain recurrence classes were obtained.

Theorem 1.2 ([Taf18]). *Let f be as in Theorem 1.1. The set of minimal chain recurrence classes of f is at most countable. And if K is such a class then there exist two integers $s \in \{0, 1, \dots, k\}$ and $n_0 \geq 1$ such that*

- *the topological entropy of $f|_K$ is $s \log d$,*
- *K is the disjoint union of minimal chain recurrence classes K_1, \dots, K_{n_0} for f^{n_0} ,*
- *on each K_i , there exists a measure ν_i which is mixing for f^{n_0} , of maximal entropy $s \log(d^{n_0})$ on K_i and with at least s positive Lyapunov exponents.*

Moreover, if $s = 0$ (i.e. if $f|_K$ has zero topological entropy) then K is a sink.

What we prove in Theorem 1.1 is that the sets K_i are connected. Let us make some remarks about these two theorems. First, without the minimality assumption Theorem 1.1 could be false. Indeed, the polynomial map $f(z) = z^2 + 1$ can be seen as an endomorphism of \mathbb{P}^1 and its Julia set is a chain recurrence class which is homeomorphic to a Cantor set. On the other hand, none of the results in Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2 holds in smooth dynamics. There exist diffeomorphisms with uncountably many minimal chain recurrence classes and other ones g with minimal classes which are *adding machine*, i.e. invariant set K such that

- K is a Cantor set,
- the topological entropy on K vanishes,
- for each $n \geq 1$, $g|_K^n$ does not admit a mixing invariant measure.

See [BD02] for the abundance of diffeomorphisms with both these properties in the C^1 -topology and [GvST89] for examples of analytic diffeomorphisms with the later property.

Observe that [Taf18] deals with “minimal quasi-attractors” which is synonymous to “minimal chain recurrence classes” (see Section 2). Here, we emphasize on the chain recurrent set as our goal in what follows is to give other results on non-minimal classes.

An easy consequence of classical equidistribution results is that the set of chain recurrence classes in \mathbb{P}^k always admits a unique maximal element. Indeed, the dynamics on the support of an ergodic measure is topologically transitive so this support is included in a unique chain recurrence class. Moreover, an endomorphism f of degree $d \geq 2$ always admits a unique measure of maximal entropy μ [BD01], called the *equilibrium measure*, and Fornæss-Sibony [FS95a] proved that Lebesgue almost every $y \in \mathbb{P}^k$ satisfies

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{d^{kn}} \sum_{f^n(a)=y} \delta_a = \mu.$$

This implies directly the following result.

Proposition 1.3. *If $[\mu]$ denotes the chain recurrence class associated to the equilibrium measure μ then every chain recurrence class K satisfies $[\mu] \succ K$.*

All the results above give some information on minimal or maximal classes. The remaining cases are related to the filtration of Julia sets. Recall that in [FS95b] Fornæss-Sibony defined for each $l \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ the *Julia set of order l* , \mathcal{J}_l , of f such that

$$\mathcal{J}_k \subset \dots \subset \mathcal{J}_1.$$

The largest one \mathcal{J}_1 corresponds to the standard Julia set, i.e. the complement of the *Fatou set* which is the largest open subset of \mathbb{P}^k where the family $(f^n)_{n \geq 1}$ is locally equicontinuous. On the other hand, the smallest one \mathcal{J}_k is the support of the equilibrium measure μ . In general, little is known about the dynamics on $\mathcal{J}_1 \setminus \mathcal{J}_k$.

Theorem 1.4. *Let $f: \mathbb{P}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^k$ be an endomorphism of degree d .*

- 1) *A chain recurrence class which is contained in the Fatou set is a sink.*
- 2) *If K is a class which is neither maximal nor minimal then there exist $s \in \{1, \dots, k-1\}$ and an ergodic measure ν of entropy $s \log d$ supported in $\mathcal{J}_s \setminus \mathcal{J}_{s+1}$ such that the associated class $[\nu]$ satisfies $K \succ [\nu]$.*
- 3) *In particular, if the chain recurrent set of f is not reduced to the union of the class $[\mu]$ and of sinks then there exists an ergodic measure with positive entropy supported in $\mathcal{J}_1 \setminus \mathcal{J}_k$.*
- 4) *If $\mathcal{R}(f)$ is reduced to the union of $[\mu]$ with sinks then the Julia set \mathcal{J}_1 is contained in $\mathcal{R}(f)$.*

As the measures ν_i appearing in Theorem 1.2, ν in **2)** corresponds to an *equilibrium measure associated to an attracting set* constructed in [Taf18]. Another way to formulate this point **2)** is that if a class is neither maximal nor minimal, it cannot admit only sinks as successors with respect to \succ : one of them must come from a non-trivial ergodic measure. Observe that the self-maps of \mathbb{P}^2 in the *elementary Desboves family* (see e.g. [BDM07] or [BT17]) satisfy the assumption of **4)**.

As we will see in Section 2, each chain recurrent class is obtained as intersections of *attracting sets* and *repelling sets*. All the constraints above come from the “attracting” part, using our previous work [Taf18]. It seems that the “repelling” part is more flexible and it would be interesting to build examples of chain recurrent sets with unknown phenomena in \mathbb{P}^k .

Organization of the paper. Section 2 gives the basic definitions around attracting sets and some of their interplays with chain recurrence classes. In Section 3, we recall the results from [Taf18] which are needed in the sequel. This section is technical but the idea is that the action of f on a well-chosen set of currents in a neighborhood of an attracting set does not seem more complicated than the action on the set of probability measures in a neighborhood of a finite union of sinks. Finally, Section 4 is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.4.

Acknowledgements. This work has been supported by the ANR grant Fatou ANR-17-CE40-0002-01, the EIPHI Graduate School (contract ANR-17-EURE-0002) and Bourgogne-Franche-Comté Region.

2 The chain recurrent set and attracting sets

We refer to the Introduction for the definition of the chain recurrent set. Here, we will explain its links with attracting sets.

Recall that if f is an endomorphism of \mathbb{P}^k then $A \subset \mathbb{P}^k$ is an *attracting set* if there exists a non-empty open set $U \subset \mathbb{P}^k$, called a *trapping region*, such that $\overline{f(U)} \subset U$ and

$$A = \bigcap_{n \geq 0} f^n(U).$$

It implies that A is a compact invariant set. The *basin of attraction* of A is

$$B(A) := \bigcup_{n \geq 0} f^{-n}(U),$$

and the *repelling set* associated to A is

$$A^* := \mathbb{P}^k \setminus B(A).$$

Finally, a *quasi-attractor* is a decreasing intersection of attracting sets.

One way to construct attracting sets and quasi-attractors is the following. For $x \in \mathbb{P}^k$ and $\epsilon > 0$, define

$$U_{x,\epsilon} := \{y \in \mathbb{P}^k \mid \text{there exists an } \epsilon\text{-pseudo-orbit from } x \text{ to } y\}.$$

Such a set is open, not empty and satisfies $\overline{f(U_{x,\epsilon})} \subset U_{x,\epsilon}$. It gives an attracting set

$$A_{x,\epsilon} := \bigcap_{n \geq 0} f^n(U_{x,\epsilon}) \tag{2.1}$$

and a quasi attractor

$$A_x := \bigcap_{\epsilon > 0} A_{x,\epsilon}. \tag{2.2}$$

These notions are related to the chain recurrent set in the following way. First, it is easy to check that

$$\mathcal{R}(f) = \bigcap (A \cup A^*),$$

where the intersection is over all the attracting sets of \mathbb{P}^k . Moreover, if $x, y \in \mathcal{R}(f)$ then $x \succ y$ is equivalent to $y \in A_x$ and we have the equality

$$[x] = A_x \setminus \left(\bigcup B(A) \right),$$

where the union is taken over all attracting sets A such that $x \notin A$. Hence, the class $[x]$ is minimal with respect to \succ if and only if $[x] = A_x$ which is equivalent to A_x minimal (with respect to inclusion) in the set of quasi-attractors.

3 Dynamics in the space of currents

The books [Dem12] and [DS10] are good introductions to positive closed currents and the latter gives an overview of their uses in dynamics.

In order to define the mass of currents, let fix a Kähler form ω on \mathbb{P}^k such that $\int_{\mathbb{P}^k} \omega^k = 1$. If E is a subset of \mathbb{P}^k and $p \in \{0, \dots, k\}$ then $\mathcal{C}_p(E)$ will designate the set of positive closed currents S of bidegree (p, p) such that $\text{supp}(S) \subset E$ and $\|S\| := \langle S, \omega^{k-p} \rangle = 1$.

From now on, f is an endomorphism of \mathbb{P}^k of algebraic degree $d \geq 2$. There exists a special element in $\mathcal{C}_1(\mathbb{P}^k)$ with respect to the dynamics of f , called the *Green current*, which can be defined by

$$T := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{d^n} f^{n*} \omega.$$

Fornæss-Sibony [FS95a] and Ueda [Ued94] proved that the support of T is exactly the Julia set of f . Moreover, for $l \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ the self-intersection T^l is well-defined and its support is called the *Julia set of order l* , $\mathcal{J}_l := \text{supp}(T^l)$. This gives a filtration of totally invariant sets (i.e. invariant by f^{-1})

$$\mathcal{J}_k \subset \dots \subset \mathcal{J}_1.$$

In some sense (and still conjecturally for $l \notin \{1, k\}$), if V is a generic algebraic set of pure codimension l then \mathcal{J}_l is the set where most of the volume of $f^{-n}(V)$ accumulates when n goes to infinity. The case $l = k$ is particular. The measure $\mu := T^k$, called the *equilibrium measure* of f , turns out to be the unique measure of maximal entropy for f [BD01] and it has a central role in bifurcation theory [BBD18]. The fact states above has been proven in this situation by Fornæss-Sibony [FS95a] with a pluripolar exceptional set E , then improved by Briend-Duval [BD01] and finally, Dinh-Sibony obtained the following sharp result.

Theorem 3.1 ([DS03]). *There exists a proper algebraic subset $E \subset \mathbb{P}^k$ such that if $a \in \mathbb{P}^k \setminus E$ then*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{d^{kn}} \sum_{y \in f^{-n}(a)} \delta_y = \mu.$$

As we have already said, this implies directly Proposition 1.3.

Proof of Proposition 1.3. Let $E \subset \mathbb{P}^k$ be the proper algebraic subset given by Theorem 3.1 and let $x \in \mathcal{J}_k := \text{supp}(\mu)$. Let K be a chain recurrence class, z be a point of K and $\epsilon > 0$. Since $\mathbb{P}^k \setminus E$ is dense, there is $a \in \mathbb{P}^k \setminus E$ such that $\text{dist}(a, z) < \epsilon$ and by Theorem 3.1, for $n \geq 1$ large enough there is $y \in f^{-n}(a)$ with $\text{dist}(f(x), y) < \epsilon$. This gives an ϵ -pseudo-orbit between x and z and thus, as $\epsilon > 0$ was arbitrary, $[\mu] \succ K$. \square

Relying on currents, Daurat [Dau14] defined the *dimension* of trapping regions, attracting sets and quasi-attractors.

Definition 3.2. *If E is a trapping region, an attracting set or a quasi-attractor then E is said to have dimension $s \in \{0, \dots, k\}$ and codimension $p := k - s$ if*

$$\mathcal{C}_p(E) \neq \emptyset \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{C}_{p-1}(E) = \emptyset.$$

Let $U \subset \mathbb{P}^k$ be a trapping region for f and $A = \bigcap_{n \geq 0} f^n(U)$ be the associated attracting set. By the above definition, if U has codimension p then f acts on the non-empty set $\mathcal{C}_p(U)$ by *normalized push-forward*

$$\Lambda := \frac{1}{d^{(k-p)}} f_*.$$

This notation has an ambiguity as it depends on p but we will only use it when the value of this codimension is clear. In this situation, it is natural to introduce the space of invariant current

$$\mathcal{I}_p(U) := \{S \in \mathcal{C}_p(U) \mid \Lambda S = S\}.$$

Another dynamically defined subset of $\mathcal{C}_p(U)$ will play an important role in Section 4. It has been introduced by Dinh in [Din07] and it is given by

$$\mathcal{D}_p(U) = \left\{ S \in \mathcal{C}_p(U) \mid \begin{array}{l} \text{there exists a sequence } (S_n)_{n \geq 1} \text{ in } \mathcal{C}_p(U) \text{ such that} \\ S = \Lambda^n S_n \end{array} \right\}.$$

It also corresponds to the set of all possible limit values of sequences of the form $(\Lambda^n S_n)_{n \geq 1}$ where $(S_n)_{n \geq 1}$ is a sequence in $\mathcal{C}_p(U)$.

Remark 3.3. In general, $\mathcal{I}_p(U)$ and $\mathcal{D}_p(U)$ do not coincide. For example, if U is a small neighborhood of an attracting cycle $\{a, b\}$ of period 2 then $\mathcal{I}_k(U) = \{(\delta_a + \delta_b)/2\}$ while $\mathcal{D}_k(U)$ is the set of convex combinations of δ_a and δ_b . In particular, here $(\delta_a + \delta_b)/2$ is not extremal in $\mathcal{D}_k(U)$.

The following lemma, obtained by Dinh [Din07, Proposition 4.7], can be used to construct elements in $\mathcal{C}_p(U)$.

Lemma 3.4. Let χ be a positive smooth function in \mathbb{P}^k . If S is a current in $\mathcal{C}_{k-l}(\mathbb{P}^k)$ then the sequence $d^{-ln}(f^n)_*(\chi S)$ has bounded mass and each of its limit values is a positive closed $(k-l, k-l)$ -current of \mathbb{P}^k of mass $c := \langle S \wedge T^l, \chi \rangle$.

In particular, we used this result to obtain the following equivalent form of Definition 3.2.

Proposition 3.5 ([Taf18]). Let E be a trapping region, an attracting set or a quasi-attractor. The dimension of E is s if and only if

$$E \cap \mathcal{I}_s \neq \emptyset \quad \text{and} \quad E \cap \mathcal{I}_{s+1} = \emptyset.$$

The fact that \mathcal{I}_{s+1} is disjoint from a dimension s trapping region U is crucial in the proof of the following theorem. In some sense, it says that there exists at least one element in $\mathcal{C}_p(U)$ with an attracting behavior.

Theorem 3.6 ([Taf18]). Let $U \subset \mathbb{P}^k$ be a trapping region of codimension p . There exist a trapping region $D_\tau \subset U$ and a current τ in $\mathcal{C}_p(D_\tau)$ such that

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \Lambda^n R = \tau$$

for all continuous currents R in $\mathcal{C}_p(D_\tau)$.

As this type of currents will play a central role in what follows, we coin the following definition.

Definition 3.7. Let V be a trapping region of codimension p . A current $S \in \mathcal{C}_p(V)$ is attracting on V for f if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \Lambda^n R = S,$$

for all continuous form R in $\mathcal{C}_p(V)$. A current in $\mathcal{C}_p(\mathbb{P}^k)$ is an attracting current if it is attracting on some trapping region of codimension p .

As the simple example of an attracting cycle of period 2 shows, it is not possible to remove the Cesàro mean in general. However, it turns out that the Cesàro mean is unnecessary if f is replaced by an iterate.

Theorem 3.8. Let U be a trapping region of codimension p . There exists $n_0 \geq 1$ such that if we replace f by f^{n_0} the three following equivalent conditions hold.

- 1) A current in $\mathcal{C}_p(U)$ is attracting for f if and only if it is attracting for all iterates f^n , $n \geq 1$.

2) If $\tau \in \mathcal{C}_p(U)$ is attracting for f on V then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Lambda^n R = \tau,$$

for all continuous form R in $\mathcal{C}_p(V)$.

3) The attracting currents in $\mathcal{C}_p(U)$ are extremal points in $\mathcal{D}_p(U)$.

Moreover, the set of attracting currents in $\mathcal{C}_p(U)$ is finite.

The point **3)** was not explicitly states in [Taf18] but the proof is exactly the same than the extremality part of Theorem 3.12 and Corollary 3.21 there, using the first part of the proof of Theorem 3.35 i.e. if $\tau \in \mathcal{C}_p(U)$ is attracting on $V \subset U$ for all iterates of f and if ϕ is a smooth (s, s) -form on U such that $dd^c \phi \geq 0$ then

$$\langle \tau, \phi \rangle = \max_{R \in \mathcal{D}_p(V)} \langle R, \phi \rangle.$$

Observe that, as it was point out in Remark 3.3, it is necessary to consider an iterate f^{n_0} in order to obtain **3)**.

The finiteness part in Theorem 3.8 is indeed the key point of this result which gives **1)**, **2)** or **3)**. It is also this point which allows to obtain results about quasi-attractors.

Corollary 3.9. *Let K be a minimal quasi-attractor of dimension s . There exists an integer $n_0 \geq 1$ such that if we replace f by f^{n_0} then K splits into n_0 quasi-attractors $K = K_1 \cup \dots \cup K_{n_0}$ such that each K_i is contained in a trapping region U_{K_i} which supports a unique attracting current $\tau_i \in \mathcal{C}_p(K_i)$ with*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{d^{ns}} (f^n)_* R = \tau_i$$

for all continuous currents R in $\mathcal{C}_p(U_{K_i})$.

Finally, we will also use the following corollary about equilibrium measures associated to quasi-attractors.

Corollary 3.10. *Let A be a quasi-attractor of dimension s and codimension $p := k - s$. There exists at least one attracting current τ in $\mathcal{C}_p(U)$ and the measure $\nu_\tau := \tau \wedge T^s$ is an ergodic measure of entropy $s \log d$ supported in $\mathcal{I}_s \setminus \mathcal{I}_{s+1}$ and it has at least s positive Lyapunov exponents. Moreover, if n_0 is the integer defined in Theorem 3.8 then ν_τ has at most n_0 ergodic components with respect to f^{n_0} , each of which is mixing.*

Observe that Theorem 1.2 is a combination of Corollary 3.9 with Corollary 3.10.

4 Consequences on the chain recurrent set

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.4. The former is a consequence of the following statement.

Theorem 4.1. *Let U be a trapping region of codimension p . Let $\tau \in \mathcal{C}_p(U)$ be an attracting current for all iterates f^n , $n \geq 1$. Then the support of τ is connected.*

Notice that the assumption on τ is not really restrictive since, by Theorem 3.8, any attracting current is an average of finitely many attracting currents for f^{n_0} with this property.

Proof. Let Ω be an open subset of U such that $X := \Omega \cap \text{supp}(\tau)$ is a non-empty compact set. Our aim is to prove that X has to be equal to $\text{supp}(\tau)$.

Let $s := k - p$ be the dimension of U and recall that $\Lambda := d^{-s}f_*$. Let $0 \leq \chi \leq 1$ be a smooth function supported in Ω such that $\chi = 1$ on a small neighborhood of X . For $n \geq 0$, define the current

$$S_n := \frac{(\chi \circ f^n)\tau}{\|\chi\tau\|}.$$

It is clear that these currents are positive and they are also of mass 1 since

$$\|S_n\| = \langle S_n, T^s \rangle = \frac{\langle T^s \wedge \tau, \chi \circ f^n \rangle}{\langle T^s \wedge \tau, \chi \rangle} = 1,$$

where the last equality comes from the invariance of the measure $\nu_\tau := T^s \wedge \tau$. Moreover,

$$\Lambda^n S_n = \frac{1}{d^{sn}} \frac{f_*^n(\chi \circ f^n \tau)}{\|\chi\tau\|} = \frac{\chi(\Lambda^n \tau)}{\|\chi\tau\|} = \frac{\chi\tau}{\|\chi\tau\|} = S_0.$$

Finally, we claim that each S_n are closed. This implies that S_0 is in $\mathcal{D}_p(U)$. If $S_0 \neq \tau$ (i.e. $\|\chi\tau\| < 1$) then the same holds for

$$R_0 := \frac{(1 - \chi)\tau}{\|(1 - \chi)\tau\|}$$

and thus

$$\tau = \|\chi\tau\|S_0 + \|(1 - \chi)\tau\|R_0$$

which contradicts to fact that, by Theorem 3.8, τ is extremal in $\mathcal{D}_p(U)$.

It remains to prove that S_n is closed for each $n \geq 0$. Let $n \geq 0$ and let $x \in \text{supp}(S_n)$. By the definition of S_n , this implies that $x \in \text{supp}(\tau)$ and $x \in \text{supp}(\chi \circ f^n)$. The first point gives, since $\text{supp}(\tau)$ is invariant by f , that $f^n(x) \in \text{supp}(\tau)$ and the latter that $f^n(x) \in \text{supp}(\chi)$, i.e. $f^n(x) \in \text{supp}(\tau) \cap \text{supp}(\chi) = X$. But $\chi = 1$ in a neighborhood of X and f^n is an open mapping thus $\chi \circ f^n = 1$ in a neighborhood of x . Hence, in this neighborhood S_n coincides with a constant times τ and thus is closed. \square

We can now deduce Theorem 1.1 using that minimal chain recurrence classes correspond exactly to minimal quasi-attractors.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. By Corollary 3.9, if we replace f by f^{n_0} then K is the union of n_0 quasi-attractors K_i each of which supports a unique attracting current satisfying the assumption of Theorem 4.1. We will show that each K_i is connected and to simplify the notations, we assume that $n_0 = 1$ and $K = K_i$.

Hence, K is a minimal quasi-attractor of dimension s , which supports an attracting current τ such that $\text{supp}(\tau)$ is connected. By definition, K is the intersection of a decreasing family of attracting sets $(A_i)_{i \geq 1}$. Each attracting set A_i has finitely many connected components that we denote by $A_{i,j}$. Since $\text{supp}(\tau) \subset K \subset A_i$ is connected, for each $i \geq 1$ there exists $j(i)$ such that $\text{supp}(\tau) \subset A_{i,j(i)}$. A first observation is that, since the sequence $(A_i)_{i \geq 1}$ is decreasing, the same holds for $(A_{i,j(i)})_{i \geq 1}$. On the other hand, the invariance of $\text{supp}(\tau)$ implies that $f(A_{i,j(i)}) = A_{i,j(i)}$ and it is easy to deduce from this that $A_{i,j(i)}$ is an attracting set for f . Hence $K' := \bigcap_{i \geq 1} A_{i,j(i)}$ is a quasi-attractor such that $\text{supp}(\tau) \subset K'$ and thus it has dimension s . Since $K' \subset K$, the minimality of K implies that $K' = K$. Finally, K' is a decreasing intersection of connected compact sets and thus it is also connected. \square

Remark 4.2. Notice that Corollary 3.9 and Theorem 4.1 indeed hold under the weaker assumption that K is minimal in the set of dimension s quasi-attractors. Hence, the above proof gives that such K has finitely many connected components.

In order to prove Theorem 1.4, we need the following lemma which is a direct consequence of Lemma 3.4 and will only be used with $l = 1$.

Lemma 4.3. Let $l \in \{1, \dots, k\}$. If $x \in \mathcal{J}_l$ then the dimension of the quasi-attractor A_x defined by (2.2) is larger or equal to l .

Proof. Let x be in \mathcal{J}_l . Let $\epsilon > 0$ and let $r > 0$ such that if $\text{dist}(y, z) < r$ then $\text{dist}(f(y), f(z)) < \epsilon$. In particular, if $\text{dist}(y, x) < r$ then $(x, f(y))$ is an ϵ -pseudo-orbit and every limit value of $(f^n(y))_{n \geq 1}$ belongs to $A_{x, \epsilon}$. This implies that if χ is a smooth, positive cut-off function such that $\chi = 1$ on the ball $B(x, r/2)$ and $\chi = 0$ outside $B(x, r)$ then every limit value of $d^{-nl} f_*^n(\chi \omega^{k-l})$ is supported in $A_{x, \epsilon}$. By Lemma 3.4, such limit value is a positive closed current of mass $c = \langle \omega^{k-l} \wedge T^l, \chi \rangle > 0$ and thus $\mathcal{C}_{k-l}(A_{x, \epsilon}) \neq \emptyset$.

Since this holds for each $\epsilon > 0$, it gives that $\mathcal{C}_{k-l}(A_x) \neq \emptyset$ and $\dim(A_x) \geq l$. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.4. Let K be a chain recurrence class of f and let x be an element of K . The proof of the point **1)** is elementary.

Assume that K is included in the Fatou set. Since K is compact, it must intersect a finite number of Fatou components and by invariance of K , f acts by permutation on them. Hence, by exchanging f by an iterate, we can assume that K is contained in an invariant Fatou component Ω . If K is minimal with respect to \succ then by Theorem 1.2 it has to be equal to a sink. Otherwise, there exists another class L such that $K \succ L$. Since K is invariant, it possesses two open neighborhoods, N_1 and N_2 , such that

$$\overline{N_1 \cup f(N_1)} \subset N_2 \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{N_2} \subset \Omega \setminus L.$$

Hence, if $\epsilon > 0$ is small enough then an ϵ -pseudo-orbit from K to L must pass through $\overline{N_2} \setminus N_1$ and the compactness of this set implies that there is $y \in \overline{N_2} \setminus N_1$ such that $x \succ y$. Moreover, y is not in K so there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that there is no ϵ -pseudo-orbit between y and K . Hence, y is in the basin $B(A_{y, \epsilon})$ of the attracting set $A_{y, \epsilon}$ (defined by (2.1)) and K is disjoint from this basin. By connectedness, the Fatou component Ω must intersect the boundary of $B(A_{y, \epsilon})$. This contradicts the fact that Ω is in the Fatou set.

Now, in order to prove **2)**, assume that K is neither maximal nor minimal. In particular, it is not equal to the class $[\mu]$ associated to the equilibrium measure μ and, by **1)**, it must intersect the Julia set \mathcal{J}_1 . Hence, by Lemma 4.3, the dimension s of the associated quasi-attractor A_x to $x \in K$ satisfies $1 \leq s \leq k-1$. Therefore, Corollary 3.10 gives a measure ν of entropy $s \log d$ supported on the intersection of A_x with $\mathcal{J}_s \setminus \mathcal{J}_{s+1}$. In particular, $K \succ [\nu]$. The proof of **3)** follows in the same way since we have only used that K is different from $[\mu]$ or a sink.

Finally, assume that $\mathcal{R}(f)$ is a union of the class $[\mu]$ with sinks. Since each quasi-attractor of dimension s gives rise to a chain recurrence class of entropy $s \log d$ by Corollary 3.10, this assumption on $\mathcal{R}(f)$ implies that the only quasi-attractors of f are of dimension 0 or k , i.e. sinks or \mathbb{P}^k . Therefore, if y is in \mathcal{J}_1 then by Lemma 4.3 the dimension of A_y is larger or equal to 1 thus $\dim(A_y) = k$ and $y \succ z$ for every z in \mathbb{P}^k . Hence, y belongs to the maximal chain recurrence class $[\mu] \subset \mathcal{R}(f)$. \square

References

- [BBD18] F. Berteloot, F. Bianchi, and C. Dupont. Dynamical stability and Lyapunov exponents for holomorphic endomorphisms of \mathbb{P}^k . *Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4)*, 51(1):215–262, 2018.
- [BD01] J.-Y. Briend and J. Duval. Deux caractérisations de la mesure d’équilibre d’un endomorphisme de $\mathbb{P}^k(\mathbb{C})$. *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.*, 93:145–159, 2001.
- [BD02] C. Bonatti and L. Díaz. On maximal transitive sets of generic diffeomorphisms. *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.*, 96:171–197 (2003), 2002.
- [BDM07] A. Bonifant, M. Dabija, and J. Milnor. Elliptic curves as attractors in \mathbb{P}^2 . I. Dynamics. *Experiment. Math.*, 16(4):385–420, 2007.
- [BS91] E. Bedford and J. Smillie. Polynomial diffeomorphisms of \mathbb{C}^2 . II. Stable manifolds and recurrence. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 4(4):657–679, 1991.
- [BT17] F. Bianchi and J. Taflin. Bifurcations in the elementary Desboves family. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 145(10):4337–4343, 2017.
- [Con78] C. Conley. *Isolated invariant sets and the Morse index*, volume 38 of *CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1978.
- [Dau14] S. Daurat. On the size of attractors in \mathbb{P}^k . *Math. Z.*, 277(3-4):629–650, 2014.
- [Dem12] J.-P. Demailly. Complex analytic and differential geometry. www-fourier.ujf-grenoble.fr/~demailly/books.html, 2012.
- [Din07] T.-C. Dinh. Attracting current and equilibrium measure for attractors on \mathbb{P}^k . *J. Geom. Anal.*, 17(2):227–244, 2007.
- [DS03] T.-C. Dinh and N. Sibony. Dynamique des applications d’allure polynomiale. *J. Math. Pures Appl. (9)*, 82(4):367–423, 2003.
- [DS10] T.-C. Dinh and N. Sibony. Dynamics in several complex variables: endomorphisms of projective spaces and polynomial-like mappings. In *Holomorphic dynamical systems*, volume 1998 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 165–294. Springer, Berlin, 2010.
- [FS95a] J.-E. Fornæss and N. Sibony. Complex dynamics in higher dimension. II. In *Modern methods in complex analysis (Princeton, NJ, 1992)*, volume 137 of *Ann. of Math. Stud.*, pages 135–182. Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 1995.
- [FS95b] J.-E. Fornæss and N. Sibony. Oka’s inequality for currents and applications. *Math. Ann.*, 301(3):399–419, 1995.
- [FW99] J.-E. Fornæss and B. Weickert. Attractors in \mathbb{P}^2 . In *Several complex variables (Berkeley, CA, 1995–1996)*, volume 37 of *Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ.*, pages 297–307. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1999.
- [GvST89] J.-M. Gambaudo, S. van Strien, and C. Tresser. Hénon-like maps with strange attractors: there exist C^∞ Kupka-Smale diffeomorphisms on S^2 with neither sinks nor sources. *Nonlinearity*, 2(2):287–304, 1989.

- [Rue89] D. Ruelle. *Elements of differentiable dynamics and bifurcation theory*. Academic Press Inc., Boston, MA, 1989.
- [Taf18] J. Taflin. Attracting currents and equilibrium measures for quasi-attractors of \mathbb{P}^k . *Invent. Math.*, 213:83–137, 2018.
- [Ued94] T. Ueda. Fatou sets in complex dynamics on projective spaces. *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, 46(3):545–555, 1994.

Johan Taflin
Université de Bourgogne-Franche-Comté
IMB, CNRS UMR 5584
Faculté des Sciences Mirande
9 avenue Alain Savary
F-21000 Dijon, France
johan.taflin@u-bourgogne.fr