

A NOTE ON THE ZEROS OF JENSEN POLYNOMIALS

YOUNG-ONE KIM AND JUNGSEOB LEE

2021. 5. 12.

ABSTRACT. A recent result of Griffin, Ono, Rolin and Zagier on Jensen polynomials related with the Riemann zeta function is improved.

This note is concerned with the zeros of entire functions. Let f be an entire function. We denote the zero set of f by $\mathcal{Z}(f)$, that is, $\mathcal{Z}(f) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : f(z) = 0\}$; the order $\rho = \rho(f)$ of f is defined by

$$\rho = \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \log M(r; f)}{\log r},$$

where $M(r; f) = \max_{|z|=r} |f(z)|$; and f is said to be *real* if $f^{(n)}(0) \in \mathbb{R}$ for all n .

Throughout this note f denotes a real entire function of order $\rho < 2$, and in the case where f is even f_0 denotes the function such that $f(z) = f_0(z^2)$ for all z . In this case, f_0 is a real entire function of order $\rho/2 < 1$, $\mathcal{Z}(f_0) = \{z^2 : z \in \mathcal{Z}(f)\}$, and we have

$$f_0^{(k)}(0) = \frac{k!}{(2k)!} f^{(2k)}(0) \quad (k = 0, 1, 2, \dots).$$

Since f is a real entire function, we have $f(z) = 0$ if and only if $f(\bar{z}) = 0$; and since f is of order less than 2, it can be represented in the form

$$f(z) = cz^m e^{bz} \prod_j \left(1 - \frac{z}{a_j}\right) e^{z/a_j},$$

where $c, b \in \mathbb{R}$, m is a non-negative integer and $\sum |a_j|^{-2} < \infty$. As a consequence, there are real polynomials P_1, P_2, \dots such that $\mathcal{Z}(P_k) \subset \mathcal{Z}(f) \cup \mathbb{R}$ for all k , and $P_k \rightarrow f$ uniformly on compact sets in the complex plane. In particular, if $\mathcal{Z}(f) \subset \mathbb{R}$ then $\mathcal{Z}(f^{(n)}) \subset \mathbb{R}$ for every n unless $f^{(n)}$ is identically equal to zero. The Riemann Xi-function is given by

$$\Xi(iz) = \frac{1}{2} \left(z^2 - \frac{1}{4}\right) \pi^{-\frac{z}{2} - \frac{1}{4}} \Gamma\left(\frac{z}{2} + \frac{1}{4}\right) \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + z\right).$$

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 30C15, 30D15.

Key words and phrases. Zeros of polynomials and entire functions, Jensen polynomials, Riemann zeta function.

The first author was supported by the SNU Mathematical Sciences Division for Creative Human Resources Development.

It is an even real entire function of order 1, its zero set is contained in the infinite strip $\mathbb{S} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |\operatorname{Im} z| < 1/2\}$, and the Riemann hypothesis is the conjecture that $\mathcal{Z}(\Xi) \subset \mathbb{R}$, which is equivalent to that $\mathcal{Z}(\Xi_0) \subset [0, \infty)$.

A real polynomial is said to be *hyperbolic* if it has real zeros only. The Jensen polynomials $J(f; 1), J(f; 2), \dots$ of f are defined by

$$J(f; d)(z) = \sum_{k=0}^d \binom{d}{k} f^{(k)}(0) z^k \quad (d = 1, 2, \dots).$$

It is known [3,8] that $\mathcal{Z}(f) \subset \mathbb{R}$ if and only if all the Jensen polynomials of f are hyperbolic. Let d be a positive integer. If there is a non-negative integer N such that $J(f^{(n)}; d)$ is hyperbolic for every $n \geq N$, we denote the smallest such integer by $N(f; d)$, otherwise we write $N(f; d) = \infty$. One can easily check that the Riemann hypothesis holds if and only if $N(\Xi_0; d) = 0$ for all d . Griffin et al. [2] showed that $N(\Xi_0; d) < \infty$ for all d by establishing an asymptotic formula for the sequence $\langle J(\Xi_0^{(n)}; d) \rangle_{n=1}^{\infty}$ which relates (for large n) the zeros of $J(\Xi_0^{(n)}; d)$ with those of the d -th Hermite polynomial. We improve the result by applying some general theorems on real entire functions of order less than 2 with restricted zeros. However it should be remarked that our proof gives no information about the Jensen polynomials considered, except that they are hyperbolic.

Theorem 1. *Suppose that f is a transcendental real entire function of order $\rho < 2$ and $\mathcal{Z}(f) \subset \mathbb{S}$. Then for every $c > \rho$ we have $N(f; d) = O(d^{c/2})$ as $d \rightarrow \infty$. If f is even, we also have $N(f_0; d) = O(d^{c/2})$ as $d \rightarrow \infty$ for every $c > \rho$.*

Since Ξ is of order 1, it follows that $N(\Xi; d) = O(d^c)$ and $N(\Xi_0; d) = O(d^c)$ as $d \rightarrow \infty$ for every $c > 1/2$. Theorem 1 is a consequence of Theorems 2 and 3 below. Let $\tilde{\mathbb{S}} = \{z^2 : z \in \mathbb{S}\} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : (\operatorname{Im} z)^2 - 4^{-1} < \operatorname{Re} z\}$.

Theorem 2. *Suppose that f is a transcendental real entire function of order $\rho < 2$ and $\mathcal{Z}(f) \subset \mathbb{S}$. Then for every $c > \rho$ there is a positive integer n_1 such that*

$$(1) \quad \mathcal{Z}(f^{(n)}) \subset \left\{ z \in \mathbb{S} : |\operatorname{Re} z| \geq n^{1/c} \right\} \cup \mathbb{R} \quad (n \geq n_1).$$

If f is even, then for every $c > \rho$ there is a positive integer n_2 such that

$$\mathcal{Z}(f_0^{(n)}) \subset \left\{ z \in \tilde{\mathbb{S}} : \operatorname{Re} z \geq n^{2/c} \right\} \cup [0, \infty) \quad (n \geq n_2).$$

Proof. The first part follows from Theorem 2 in [4], and the second part is a consequence of Theorem 1 in [5] (with $k = 1/2$) applied to g given by $g(z) = f_0(z - 4^{-1})$. \square

For $\delta > 0$ we set $S(\delta) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |\operatorname{Im} z| \leq \delta|z|\}$.

Theorem 3. *Suppose that P and Q are real polynomials, $\delta > 0$, $\mathcal{Z}(P) \subset S(\delta)$, Q is hyperbolic and $\deg Q \leq \delta^{-2}$. Then the polynomial*

$$P(D)Q = \sum_{k=0}^{\deg P} \frac{P^{(k)}(0)}{k!} Q^{(k)}$$

is hyperbolic.

Proof. See [6]. \square

Corollary. *Suppose that P is a real polynomial, $\delta > 0$ and $\mathcal{Z}(P) \subset S(\delta)$. Then $J(P; d)$ is hyperbolic for $d \leq \delta^{-2}$.*

Proof. If $Q(z) = z^d$, then $J(P; d)(z) = z^d(P(D)Q)(z^{-1})$. \square

Proof of Theorem 1. Suppose that $c > \rho$. By Theorem 2, there is a positive integer n_1 such that (1) holds. Let d and n be positive integers such that

$$n \geq \max\{n_1, (d/4)^{c/2}\},$$

and put $\delta = 2^{-1}n^{-1/c}$. Then $d \leq \delta^{-2}$, and from (1) we can see that $|\operatorname{Im} z| \leq \delta|z|$ for all $z \in \mathcal{Z}(f^{(n)})$. Hence we have $\mathcal{Z}(f^{(n)}) \cup \mathbb{R} \subset S(\delta)$. Let P_1, P_2, \dots be real polynomials such that $\mathcal{Z}(P_k) \subset \mathcal{Z}(f^{(n)}) \cup \mathbb{R}$ for all k , and $P_k \rightarrow f^{(n)}$ uniformly on compact sets in the complex plane. Then $J(P_k; d) \rightarrow J(f^{(n)}; d)$ uniformly on compact sets in the complex plane, and the corollary to Theorem 3 implies that $J(P_k; d)$ is hyperbolic for every k . Therefore $J(f^{(n)}; d)$ is hyperbolic, and we conclude that

$$N(f; d) \leq \left\lceil \max\{n_1, (d/4)^{c/2}\} \right\rceil \quad (d = 1, 2, \dots).$$

This proves the first part of the theorem. The second part is analogously proved. \square

Remark. Since our argument deals with entire functions of order less than 2, it refines the proof of Theorem 3.6 in [1].

Suppose that $T > 0$ and $\mathcal{Z}(f) \subset \mathbb{S}(T) = \{z \in \mathbb{S} : |\operatorname{Re} z| \geq T\} \cup \mathbb{R}$. Then the same argument as in the proof of Theorem 1 shows that $J(f; d)$ is hyperbolic whenever $d \leq 1 + 4T^2$, because we have $\mathbb{S}(T) \subset S(\delta)$ with $\delta = (1 + 4T^2)^{-1/2}$. Since the condition $\mathcal{Z}(f) \subset \mathbb{S}(T)$ does not imply $\mathcal{Z}(f') \subset \mathbb{S}(T)$ in general, it is not clear whether the polynomials $J(f^{(n)}; d)$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, are hyperbolic for $d \leq 1 + 4T^2$. If f is even and $T \geq 1/2$, then it is the case.

Theorem 4. *Suppose that f is an even transcendental real entire function of order less than 2, $T \geq 1/2$ and $\mathcal{Z}(f) \subset \mathbb{S}(T)$. Then $J(f^{(n)}; d)$ is hyperbolic for $d \leq 1 + 4T^2$ and for every n .*

Proof. Let $\delta = (1 + 4T^2)^{-1/2}$, $\tilde{\delta} = 2\delta\sqrt{1 - \delta^2} (= T^{-1}(1 + 4^{-1}T^{-2})^{-1})$ and $\tilde{S} = \{z \in S(\tilde{\delta}) : \operatorname{Re} z \geq 0\}$. Then $\mathbb{S}(T) \subset S(\delta)$, and \tilde{S} is convex. Since $T \geq 1/2$, we have $0 < \delta \leq 2^{-1/2}$, and it follows that $z \in S(\delta)$ if and only if $z^2 \in \tilde{S}$.

We prove the theorem by showing that $\mathcal{Z}(f^{(n)}) \subset S(\delta)$ for every n . We have $\mathcal{Z}(f) \subset \mathbb{S}(T) \subset S(\delta)$, and this implies that $\mathcal{Z}(f_0) \subset \tilde{S}$. Since f_0 is of order less than 1 and \tilde{S} is convex, the Gauss-Lucas theorem implies that $\mathcal{Z}(f'_0) \subset \tilde{S}$. Hence $\mathcal{Z}(f') \subset S(\delta)$, because $f'(z) = 2zf'_0(z^2)$. We have

$$f''(z) = 2(f'_0(z^2) + 2z^2f''_0(z^2)).$$

If we write $g(z) = z(f'_0(z))^2$, then g is of order less than 1 and $\mathcal{Z}(g) \subset \tilde{S}$. Again, it follows from the Gauss-Lucas theorem that $\mathcal{Z}(g') \subset \tilde{S}$, that is, all the roots of the equation

$$f'_0(z)(f'_0(z) + 2zf''_0(z)) = 0$$

lie in \tilde{S} . Therefore $\mathcal{Z}(f'') \subset S(\delta)$. Since f'' is even, the result follows from an induction. \square

Remark. The proof shows that $\mathcal{Z}(f_0^{(n)}) \subset \tilde{S} \subset S(\tilde{\delta})$ for all n . Hence $J(f_0^{(n)}; d)$ is hyperbolic for $d \leq T^2(1 + 4^{-1}T^{-2})^2$ and for every n .

Currently, it is known [7] that $\mathcal{Z}(\Xi) \subset \mathbb{S}(T)$ for some $T \geq 3 \cdot 10^{12}$. Thus $J(\Xi^{(n)}; d)$ is hyperbolic for $d \leq 3.6 \cdot 10^{25}$ and for every n , and $J(\Xi_0^{(n)}; d)$ is hyperbolic for $d \leq 9 \cdot 10^{24}$ and for every n .

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Chasse, *Laguerre multiplier sequences and sector properties of entire functions*, Complex Var. Elliptic Equ. **58** (2013), 875–885.
- [2] M. Griffin, K. Ono, L. Rolin and D. Zagier, *Jensen polynomials for the Riemann zeta function and other sequences*, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **116** (2019), 11103–11110.
- [3] M.-H. Kim, Y.-O. Kim and J. Lee, *The convergence of a sequence of polynomials with restricted zeros*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **478** (2019), 1121–1132.
- [4] Y.-O. Kim, *Critical points of real entire functions and a conjecture of Polya*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **124** (1996), 819–830.
- [5] ———, *Critical zeros and nonreal zeros of successive derivatives of real entire functions*, J. Korean Math. Soc. **33** (1996), 657–667.
- [6] N. Obreschkoff, *Sur une généralisation du théorème de Poulain et Hermite pour les zéros réels des polynômes réels*, Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar. **12** (1961), 175–184.
- [7] D. Platt and T. Trudgian, *The Riemann hypothesis is true up to $3 \cdot 10^{12}$* , Bull. London Math. Soc. (to appear).
- [8] G. Pólya and I. Schur, *Über zwei Arten von Faktorenfolge in der Theorie der algebraischen Gleichungen*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **144** (1914), 89–113.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES AND RESEARCH INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS,
 SEOUL NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, SEOUL 08826, KOREA
E-mail address: kimyone@snu.ac.kr

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, AJOU UNIVERSITY, SUWON 16499, KOREA
E-mail address: jslee@ajou.ac.kr