

KOSZUL DUALITY FOR OPERADIC CATEGORIES

MICHAEL BATANIN AND MARTIN MARKL

ABSTRACT. The aim of this sequel to [10] is to set up the cornerstones of Koszul duality and Koszulity in the context of a large class of operadic categories. In particular, we will prove that operads, in the generalized sense of [7], governing important operad- and/or PROP-like structures such as the classical operads, their variants such as cyclic, modular or wheeled operads, and also diverse versions of PROPs such as properads, dioperads, $\frac{1}{2}$ PROPs, and still more exotic objects such as permutads and pre-permutads are binary quadratic, and describe their Koszul duals. We then prove, using the results of [11], that operads describing the most common structures are Koszul.

CONTENTS

Introduction	2
1. Recollections	4
2. Elementary morphisms and their chains	7
3. Markl operads	13
4. Markl operads and virtual isomorphisms	26
5. Free Markl operads	32
6. Quadratic Markl operads and duality	41
7. Modular and odd modular operads	43
8. Other operad-like structures	53
9. PROP-like structures and permutads	57
10. The cobar construction	64
11. The dual dg operad and Koszulity	73
Appendix A. Modular and odd modular operads, and Markl operads.	77

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 18D10, 18D20, 18D50, secondary 55U40, 55P48.

Key words and phrases. Operadic category, operad, PROP, Koszul duality, Koszulity.

The first author acknowledges the financial support of Australian Research Council (grant No. DP130101172).

The second author was supported by grant GA ĀR 18-07776S, Praemium Academiae and RVO: 67985840.

Both authors acknowledge the hospitality of the Max Planck Institute for Mathematics in Bonn where this work was initiated.

Index	81
References	82

INTRODUCTION

By [25, Definition 4.1.3], a classical quadratic operad \mathcal{P} is Koszul if the dual dg (abbreviating differential graded) operad $\mathbb{D}(\mathcal{P}^!)$ of its Koszul dual resolves \mathcal{P} . The operad $\mathbb{D}(\mathcal{P}^!)$ then provides, using a construction of [37], a canonical explicit L_∞ -algebra capturing deformations of \mathcal{P} -algebras via its moduli space of Maurer-Cartan elements. Moreover, algebras for $\mathbb{D}(\mathcal{P}^!)$ are strongly homotopy \mathcal{P} -algebras, whose salient feature is the transfer property over weak homotopy equivalences. The operad $\mathbb{D}(\mathcal{P}^!)$ also leads to the canonical (co)homology theory of \mathcal{P} -algebras, cf. [43, Section II.3.8]. This explains the prominent position of quadratic Koszul operads in the traditional operad theory. The aim of the present article, which is a continuation of [10], is to implant the theory of quadratic operads and their Koszulity worked out by Ginzburg and Kapranov in [25] into the context of operadic categories.

An important rôle will be played by free operads over operadic categories, both in the definition of quadraticity and of the dual dg operad needed for the formulation of the Koszul property. As we noticed for classical operads in [38], the construction of free operads is more structured if one uses, instead of the standard definition, a modified one. Let us explain what we mean by this.

Traditional operads in the spirit of May [45] are collections $\{\mathcal{P}(n)\}_{n \geq 1}$ of Σ_n -modules with composition laws

$$(1a) \quad \gamma : \mathcal{P}(k) \otimes \mathcal{P}(n_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{P}(n_k) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(n_1 + \cdots + n_k), \quad k, n_1, \dots, n_k \geq 1,$$

satisfying appropriate associativity and equivariance axioms; notice that we keep the agreement from [10] assuming that $\mathcal{P}(0)$ is empty. In [38, Definition 1.1] we however suggested a definition based on binary composition laws

$$(1b) \quad \circ_i : \mathcal{P}(m) \otimes \mathcal{P}(n) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(m + n - 1), \quad n \geq 1, \quad 1 \leq i \leq m.$$

It turned out that under some quite standard assumptions, for instance in the presence of units, augmentations or connectivity, the two notions are equivalent, see e.g. [38, Observation 1.2] or [39, Proposition 13], though there are structures possessing composition laws (1a) only [39, Example 19]. Operad-like structures based on ‘partial compositions’ in (1b) were later called Markl operads.

Operads over general operadic categories also exist in two disguises which are, under favorable conditions, equivalent – in the form where the compositions in all inputs are made simultaneously; this is how they were introduced in [7] – and in Markl form whose structure compositions are binary. The crucial advantage of the latter is, as in the classical case, that free Markl operads are naturally graded by the length of the chain of compositions. The theory of Markl operads and its relation to the original formulation of operad theory over operadic categories given in [7, Section 1] occupies a substantial part of the present article. In Section 5 we switch to Markl operads, and also in the rest of this introduction an operad will mean a Markl operad, typically denoted by \mathcal{M} in contrast to the generic notation \mathcal{P} for standard operads.

After having free operads turned on, we introduce quadratic operads as those isomorphic to free operads quotiented by quadratic relations. As in the classical setup, each quadratic operad \mathcal{M} possesses its Koszul dual $\mathcal{M}^!$. Given an operad \mathcal{M} , we define its dual dg operad $\mathbb{D}(\mathcal{M})$ and, for \mathcal{M} quadratic, construct the canonical morphism $can : \mathbb{D}(\mathcal{M}^!) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$. A quadratic operad \mathcal{M} will be called Koszul if can induces a component-wise isomorphism of homology. We finally prove that the most relevant operads are Koszul, by showing that their dual dg operads are isomorphic to their minimal models described in [11]. Here, as in the classical case [38, Proposition 2.6], this characterizes their Koszulity.

Koszulity of the semi-classical colored operad governing modular operads was established, in the setup of operads with colors in groupoids, in [46]. We believe that the advantage of our approach is that, after some heavy preparatory work has been done, everything is stripped to bare bones, with details that only complicate the picture, such as the groupoid actions, put under carpet. We hope that the pictures in (87)–(89) capturing the relations in a quadratic presentation of the operad for modular operads are convincing enough.

Plan of the paper

In Section 1 we recall from [10] some additional axioms of operadic categories required in the present paper. Markl operads in the context of operadic categories are introduced in Section 3. Section 4 then contains material needed for the definition of free Markl operads. While the underlying structure of a classical operad is a collection of spaces equipped with actions of symmetric groups, for general operadic categories the situation is subtler. The rôle of underlying collections is played by presheaves on the category $\mathbb{QO}_{\text{vrt}}(e)$ of virtual isomorphisms constructed in the first part of Section 4. The second part of that section describes the precise relation of Markl operads to the category $\mathbb{QO}_{\text{vrt}}(e)$. Free Markl operads are explicitly described in Section 5. Having free operads available, we introduce, in Section 6, quadratic operads and their Koszul duals.

The remaining sections are devoted to explicit calculations. In Section 7 we study the constant operad $\mathbf{1}_{\text{ggGrc}}$ whose algebras are modular operads. We show that this operad is binary quadratic and that its Koszul dual describes odd (aka twisted) modular operads. In Section 8 we make similar analysis for operads describing ordinary and cyclic operads, and pre-permutads. In Section 9 we continue the analysis for wheeled properads, dioperads, $\frac{1}{2}$ PROPs and permutads.

Section 10 contains the cobar construction and Section 11 the dual dg operad needed for the definition of Koszulity. Theorem 11.5 then establishes Koszulity of operads governing modular, cyclic and ordinary operads, and wheeled PROPs, respectively.

In Section 7 we need to refer to concrete axioms of modular and odd modular operads. Since the only source we are aware of where these axioms are listed in a concise and itemized form is the recent monograph [17], we decided to recall them in the Appendix. From the same reasons we also included itemized axioms of Markl operads so that the reader need not consult the ancient paper [38]. To help the reader navigating through the paper, we included an index of terminology and notation.

Conventions. Operadic categories and related notions were introduced in [7]; some basic concepts of that paper are recalled in [10, Section 1]. We will freely use the terminology and notation from there and, when necessary, refer to concrete definitions, diagrams, results or formulas in that sources. If not stated otherwise, ϕ^* will denote the image of a morphism ϕ under some presheaf.

Acknowledgment. We express our gratitude to Joachim Kock and the referee for useful suggestions and comments that led to substantial improvement of our paper. The second author is also indebted to Dominik Trnka for many useful remarks and corrections.

1. RECOLLECTIONS

We are going to recall from [10] some additional requirements on the base operadic category $\mathbf{0}$, formulated in the form of axioms, guaranteeing that free operads admit a nice explicit description. Intuitively, these axioms express that $\mathbf{0}$ is of combinatorial nature, close to various categories of graphs. The first axiom involves *quasibijections*, i.e. morphisms in $\mathbf{0}$ all of whose fibers are the chosen local terminal objects; in [7] we called them ‘trivial.’

Invertibility of quasibijections. *All quasibijections in $\mathbf{0}$ are invertible.*

We will refer to that axiom as to QBI. In the next axiom, abbreviated WBU, $\mathbf{0}_{\text{ord}}$ will denote the subcategory of $\mathbf{0}$ with the objects of $\mathbf{0}$, and morphisms $f : S \rightarrow T$ of $\mathbf{0}$ such that $|f| : |S| \rightarrow |T|$ is order-preserving.

Weak blow-up axiom. For any $f' : S' \rightarrow T$ in \mathbf{O}_{ord} and morphisms $\pi_i : f'^{-1}(i) \rightarrow F_i''$ in \mathbf{O} , $i \in |T|$, there exists a unique factorization of f'

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S' & \xrightarrow{\omega} & S'' \\ & \searrow f' & \swarrow f'' \\ & & T \end{array}$$

such that $f'' \in \mathbf{O}_{\text{ord}}$ and the maps ω_i between the fibers induced by ω satisfy $\omega_i = \pi_i$ for all $i \in |T|$.

Before we recall the strong version of the above axiom, we need to remind the reader of the notation introduced in a lemma of [10]:

Lemma 1.1 (Lemma 2.3 of [10]). Consider the commutative diagram in an operadic category

$$(2) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} S' & \xrightarrow{\pi} & S'' \\ f' \downarrow & \searrow f & \downarrow f'' \\ T' & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & T'' \end{array}$$

Let $j \in |T''|$ and $|\sigma|^{-1}(j) = \{i\}$ for some $i \in |T'|$. Diagram (2) determines:

- (i) the map $f'_j : f'^{-1}(j) \rightarrow \sigma^{-1}(j)$ whose unique fiber equals $f'^{-1}(i)$, and
- (ii) the induced map $\pi_j : f'^{-1}(j) \rightarrow f''^{-1}(j)$.

If $\sigma^{-1}(j)$ is trivial, in particular, if σ is a quasibijection, then π induces a map

$$(3) \quad \pi_{(i,j)} : f'^{-1}(i) \rightarrow f''^{-1}(j)$$

which is a quasibijection if π is.

In the situation of Lemma 1.1 with σ a quasibijection, the *derived sequence* is the sequence of morphisms

$$(4) \quad \left\{ \pi_{(i,j)} : f'^{-1}(i) \rightarrow f''^{-1}(j), j = |\sigma|(i) \right\}_{i \in |T'|}$$

consisting of quasibijections if π is a quasibijection. The derived sequence features in the following axiom abbreviated SBU.

Strong blow-up axiom. Consider the corner in an operadic category

$$(5) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & S' & \\ & \downarrow f' & \\ & T' & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\sigma} T'' \end{array}$$

in which σ is a quasibijection and $f' \in \mathbf{O}_{\text{ord}}$. Assume we are given objects F_j'' , $j \in |T''|$ together with a collection of maps

$$(6) \quad \left\{ \pi_{(i,j)} : f'^{-1}(i) \rightarrow F_j'', j = |\sigma|(i) \right\}_{i \in |T'|}.$$

Then the corner (5) can be completed uniquely into the commutative square

$$(7) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} S' & \xrightarrow{\pi} & S'' \\ f' \downarrow & & \downarrow f'' \\ T' & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\sigma} & T'' \end{array}$$

in which $f'' \in \mathbf{0}_{\text{ord}}$, $f''^{-1}(j) = F''_j$ for $j \in |T''|$, and such that derived sequence (4) induced by f'' coincides with (6).

Axiom (iii) of operadic categories says that the fiber of the unique morphism $T \rightarrow U$ to the chosen local terminal object U is T . The following axiom, abbreviated **UFib**, requires that this property characterizes the chosen local terminal objects.

Unique fiber axiom. *If the fiber of the unique morphism $! : T \rightarrow t$ to a local terminal object is T , then t is a chosen local terminal object.*

The following axiom **Fac** refers, besides of $\mathbf{0}_{\text{ord}}$ recalled above, to the subcategory $\mathbf{0}_{\text{qb}} \subset \mathbf{0}$ of quasibijections.

Factorizability. *An operadic category $\mathbf{0}$ is factorizable if each morphism $f \in \mathbf{0}$ decomposes, not necessarily uniquely, as $\phi\sigma$ for some $\phi \in \mathbf{0}_{\text{ord}}$ and $\sigma \in \mathbf{0}_{\text{qb}}$ or, symbolically, $\mathbf{0} = \mathbf{0}_{\text{ord}} \mathbf{0}_{\text{qb}}$.*

By **Rig** we abbreviate the following axiom.

Rigidity. *An operadic category $\mathbf{0}$ is rigid if the only isomorphism σ that makes*

$$(8) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} S & \xlongequal{\quad} & S \\ \phi \downarrow & & \downarrow \phi \\ T & \xrightarrow[\cong]{\sigma} & T \end{array}$$

with $\phi \in \mathbf{0}_{\text{ord}}$ commutative, is the identity $\mathbb{1}_T : T \rightarrow T$.

The last axiom recalled here and abbreviated **SGrad** involves a *grading*, which is, by definition, a map $e : \text{Objects}(\mathbf{0}) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ of sets with the property that

$$e(T) + e(F_1) + \cdots + e(F_k) = e(S)$$

for each $f : S \rightarrow T$ with fibers F_1, \dots, F_k . In this situation, the *grade* $e(f)$ of f is the difference $e(f) := e(S) - e(T)$.

Strict grading. *A graded operadic category $\mathbf{0}$ is strictly graded if a morphism $f \in \mathbf{0}$ is an isomorphism if and only if $e(f) = 0$.*

Finally, all operadic categories are assumed to be *constant-free*, which by definition requires that $|f| : |T| \rightarrow |S|$ is surjective for each morphism $f : T \rightarrow S$. With \mathbf{Fin} the operadic category of finite ordinals $\bar{n} = \{1, \dots, n\}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and their set-theoretic maps this means that the cardinality functor $|-| : \mathbf{0} \rightarrow \mathbf{Fin}$ factorizes through the operadic category $\mathbf{Fin}_{\text{semi}}$ of nonempty finite sets and their surjections.

2. ELEMENTARY MORPHISMS AND THEIR CHAINS

While, in [7, Definition 1.11], composition laws $\gamma_f : \mathcal{P}(f) \otimes \mathcal{P}(S) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(T)$ of an operad \mathcal{P} over an operadic category $\mathbf{0}$ are associated to an arbitrary morphism $f : T \rightarrow S$ in $\mathbf{0}$, composition laws of Markl operads are associated to morphisms with only one nontrivial fiber. The precise definition and properties of this class of morphisms are the subject of the first part of this section. The second part contains preparatory material for our construction of free Markl operads.

Definition 2.1. A morphism $\phi : T \rightarrow S \in \mathbf{0}_{\text{ord}}$ in a graded operadic category $\mathbf{0}$ is *elementary* if all its fibers are trivial (= chosen local terminal) except precisely one whose grade is ≥ 1 . If $\phi^{-1}(i)$ is, for $i \in |S|$, the unique nontrivial fiber, we will sometimes write ϕ as the pair (ϕ, i) . If we want to name the unique nontrivial fiber $F := \phi^{-1}(i)$ explicitly, we will write $F \triangleright_i T \xrightarrow{\phi} S$, or $F \triangleright T \xrightarrow{\phi} S$ when the concrete $i \in |S|$ is not important.

Notation. Assume that, in the setup of Lemma 1.1 with σ a quasibijection, the morphisms f', f'' are elementary, $f'^{-1}(a)$ is the only nontrivial fiber of f' , and $f''^{-1}(b)$ with $b := |\sigma|(a)$ the only nontrivial fiber of f'' . In this situation we denote by

$$(9) \quad \bar{\pi} := \pi_{(a,b)} : f'^{-1}(a) \rightarrow f''^{-1}(b)$$


the only nontrivial part of the derived sequence (4).

Remark 2.2. If π is a quasibijection, the only nontrivial fiber of f'' must be $f''^{-1}(b)$ with $b := |\sigma|(a)$. Indeed, the maps in (3) are quasibijections, so their fibers are, by definition, the chosen local terminal objects. When $f''^{-1}(j)$ is the chosen local terminal object, then the (unique) fiber of $\pi_{(i,j)}$ is $f'^{-1}(i)$, so it must be, by Axiom (iii) of an operadic category, a chosen local terminal object, too.

Corollary 2.3. *Assume the strong blow-up axiom and suppose that in (5) the map f' is elementary, with the unique fiber over $a \in |T'|$. Let $b := |\sigma|(a)$ and assume we are given a map $\bar{\pi} : f'^{-1}(a) \rightarrow F$. Then (5) can be uniquely completed into (7) in which f'' is elementary with the unique nontrivial fiber $f''^{-1}(b) = F$ such that $\bar{\pi}$ is the map (9).*

Proof. By SBU, (7) is uniquely determined by the maps between the fibers. The only map between nontrivial fibers is $\bar{\pi}$ while all maps between trivial ones are unique by the terminality of trivial objects, thus there is no room for choices of the induced maps between fibers. \square

Definition 2.4. Let $T \xrightarrow{(\phi,j)} S \xrightarrow{(\psi,i)} P$ be elementary morphisms. If $|\psi|(j) = i$ we say that the fibers of ϕ and ψ are *joint*. If $|\psi|(j) \neq i$ we say that ϕ and ψ have *disjoint fibers* or, more specifically, that the fibers of ϕ and ψ are *(i, j)-disjoint*, cf. the following picture.



Lemma 2.5. *If the fibers of ϕ and ψ of elementary morphisms in Definition 2.4 are joint, then the composite $\xi = \psi(\phi)$ is an elementary as well, with the nontrivial fiber over i , and the induced morphism $\phi_i : \xi^{-1}(i) \rightarrow \psi^{-1}(i)$ is elementary with the nontrivial fiber over j that equals $\phi^{-1}(j)$. For $l \neq i$ the morphism ϕ_l equals the identity $U_c \rightarrow U_c$ of trivial objects.*

If the fibers of ϕ and ψ are (i, j)-disjoint then the morphism $\xi = \psi(\phi)$ has exactly two nontrivial fibers and these are fibers over i and $k := |\psi|(j)$. Moreover, there is a canonical induced quasibijection:

$$(10a) \quad \phi_i : \xi^{-1}(i) \rightarrow \psi^{-1}(i) \in \mathbf{O}_{\text{ord}}$$

and the equality

$$(10b) \quad \xi^{-1}(k) = \phi^{-1}(j).$$

Proof. By Axiom (iv) of an operadic category, $\phi_i^{-1}(j) = \phi^{-1}(j)$, thus $e(\phi_i^{-1}(k)) \geq 1$. If $k \in |\psi|^{-1}(i)$ is such that $k \neq j$, $\phi_i^{-1}(k) = \phi^{-1}(k) = U_c$. Therefore ϕ_i is an elementary morphism.

Let us prove that ξ is elementary as well. For $i = k \in |P|$, we have $\phi_i : \xi^{-1}(i) \rightarrow \psi^{-1}(i)$, hence the grade of $\xi^{-1}(i)$ must be greater or equal to the grade of $\phi_i^{-1}(j) = \phi^{-1}(j)$, which is greater or equal 1. For $k \neq i$, $\phi_k : \xi^{-1}(k) \rightarrow \psi^{-1}(k) = U'$ has the unique fiber equal to

$\xi^{-1}(k)$. On the other hand for the unique l such that $|\psi|(l) = k$,

$$\phi_k^{-1}(l) = \phi^{-1}(l) = U'',$$

hence $\xi^{-1}(k) = U''$, so ξ is elementary.

Let us prove the second part of the lemma. If $l \neq i, k$ then $\phi_l : \xi^{-1}(l) \rightarrow \psi^{-1}(l) = U'$, where U' is a trivial object. So the unique fiber of ϕ_l equals $\xi^{-1}(l)$. Since $|\psi|$ is surjective, there exists $l' \in |S|$ such that $|\psi|(l') = l$ and such an l' is unique because ψ is elementary. Hence $\phi_l^{-1}(l') = \phi^{-1}(l') = U'$ and so $\xi^{-1}(l) = U'$. This proves that the only nontrivial fibers of ξ can be those over i and k . Their grades are clearly ≥ 1 .

Let us prove that ϕ_i is a quasibijection. If $l \in |\psi^{-1}(i)|$ then $\phi_i^{-1}(l) = \phi^{-1}(l)$. But $l \neq j$ since $|\psi|(l) = i \neq |\psi|(j)$ hence, $\phi^{-1}(l)$ is trivial. To prove that $\phi_i \in \mathbf{0}_{\text{ord}}$, notice that by Axiom (iii), $|\phi_i|$ is the map of sets $|\xi|^{-1} \rightarrow |\psi|^{-1}$ induced by the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} |T| & \xrightarrow{|\phi|} & |S| \\ & \searrow & \swarrow \\ & |P| & \end{array}$$

Regarding (10b), by Axiom (iv) we have $\phi^{-1}(j) = \phi_k^{-1}(j)$. But $\phi_k : \xi^{-1}(k) \rightarrow \psi^{-1}(k) = U''$ and hence its unique fiber equals to $\xi^{-1}(k)$. So, $\phi^{-1}(j) = \xi^{-1}(k)$. \square

Definition 2.6. We will call the pair $T \xrightarrow{(\phi, j)} S \xrightarrow{(\psi, i)} P$ of morphisms in Definition 2.4 with disjoint fibers *harmonic* if $\xi^{-1}(i) = \psi^{-1}(i)$ and the map ϕ_i in (10a) is the identity.

Corollary 2.7. *If the strong blow-up axiom is satisfied then all pairs with disjoint fibers are harmonic.*

Proof. The map ϕ_i in (10a) is a quasibijection in $\mathbf{0}_{\text{ord}}$, so it is the identity by [10, Corollary 2.4]. \square

Corollary 2.8. *Assume that*

$$(11) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} & & P' & & \\ & \nearrow^{(\phi', j)} & & \searrow^{(\psi', i)} & \\ T & & & & S \\ & \searrow_{(\phi'', l)} & & \nearrow_{(\psi'', k)} & \\ & & P'' & & \end{array}$$

is a commutative diagram of elementary morphisms. Assume that $\psi''|l| = i$ and $\psi'|j| = k$. Let F', F'', G', G'' be the only nontrivial fibers of $\phi', \phi'', \psi', \psi''$, respectively. Then one has canonical quasibijections

$$(12) \quad \sigma' : F' \longrightarrow G'' \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma'' : F'' \longrightarrow G'.$$

If both pairs in (11) are harmonic, then $F' = G''$, $F'' = G'$ and σ', σ'' are the identities.

Proof. Let $\xi : T \rightarrow S$ be the composite $\psi'\phi' = \psi''\phi''$. One has $G' = \psi'^{-1}(j)$, $G'' = \psi''^{-1}(k)$ and, by Lemma 2.5, $F' = \phi'^{-1}(j) = \xi^{-1}(k)$ and $F'' = \phi''^{-1}(l) = \xi^{-1}(i)$. We define

$$\sigma' : F' = \xi^{-1}(k) \xrightarrow{\phi''_k} \psi''^{-1}(k) = G'' \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma'' : F'' = \xi^{-1}(i) \xrightarrow{\phi'_i} \psi'^{-1}(i) = G'.$$

These maps are quasibijections by Lemma 2.5. The second part of the corollary follows directly from the definition of the harmonicity. \square

2.1. Chains of morphisms. This subsection contains an auxiliary material for the construction of free Markl operads in Section 5.

Proposition 2.9. *Consider a diagram*

$$(13) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} T' & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\sigma_T} & T'' \\ (\phi', j') \downarrow & & \downarrow (\phi'', j'') \\ P' & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\sigma_P} & P'' \\ (\psi', i') \downarrow & & \downarrow (\psi'', i'') \\ S' & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\sigma_S} & S'' \end{array}$$

whose vertical maps are elementary with disjoint fibers as indicated, and the horizontal maps are quasibijections. Denoting $k' := |\psi'|(|j'|)$, $k'' := |\psi''|(|j'')$, one has

$$(14) \quad |\sigma_S|(|i'|) = i'' \quad \text{and} \quad |\sigma_S|(|k'|) = k''.$$

Assume the strong blow-up axiom. If we are given a subdiagram of (13) consisting only of the morphisms ϕ' , ϕ'' , ψ' , ψ'' , σ_T and σ_S , i.e.

$$(15) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} T' & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\sigma_T} & T'' \\ (\phi', j') \downarrow & & \downarrow (\phi'', j'') \\ P' & & P'' \\ (\psi', i') \downarrow & & \downarrow (\psi'', i'') \\ S' & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\sigma_S} & S'' \end{array},$$

then the conditions (14) are also sufficient for the existence of a unique quasibijection σ_P as in (13).

Proof. The only nontrivial fiber of ψ' is $\psi'^{-1}(i')$ and the only nontrivial fiber of ψ'' is $\psi''^{-1}(i'')$ so, by Remark 2.2, $|\sigma_S|(|i'|) = i''$. By the same argument, $|\sigma_P|(|j'|) = j''$. Since $|-|$ is a functor, we have

$$k'' = |\psi''|(|\sigma_P|(|j'|)) = |\sigma_S|(|\psi'|(|j'|)) = |\sigma_S|(|k'|)$$

proving the first part of the proposition.

To prove the second part, denote by ξ' resp. by ξ'' the composite of the maps in the left resp. right column of (15). Since the left column of (15) is harmonic by Corollary 2.7, we may define a map $(\sigma_P)_{(i',i'')}$ by the commutativity of the diagram

$$(16) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \xi'^{-1}(i') & \xrightarrow{(\sigma_T)_{(i',i'')}} & \xi''^{-1}(i'') \\ \phi'_{i'} = \mathbb{1} \parallel & & \phi''_{i''} \downarrow \\ \psi'^{-1}(i') & \xrightarrow{(\sigma_P)_{(i',i'')}} & \psi''^{-1}(i''). \end{array}$$

The strong blow-up axiom produces a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P' & \xrightarrow{\sigma_P} & \tilde{P}'' \\ \psi' \downarrow & \sim & \downarrow \tilde{\psi}'' \\ S' & \xrightarrow{\sigma_S} & S'' \end{array}$$

in which, by construction, $\tilde{\psi}''$ is elementary with the only nontrivial fiber $\psi''^{-1}(i'')$ over i'' , and the map between nontrivial fibers induced by σ_P is $(\sigma_P)_{(i',i'')}$. Consider now two commutative diagrams

$$(17) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} T' & \xrightarrow{\sigma_P \phi'} & \tilde{P}'' \\ \xi' \downarrow & & \downarrow \tilde{\psi}'' \\ S' & \xrightarrow{\sigma_S} & S'' \end{array} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} T' & \xrightarrow{\phi'' \sigma_T} & P'' \\ \xi' \downarrow & & \downarrow \tilde{\psi}'' \\ S' & \xrightarrow{\sigma_S} & S''. \end{array}$$

In both diagrams, the right vertical map is elementary, with the only nontrivial fiber $\psi''^{-1}(i'')$. We will show that both $\sigma_P \phi'$ and $\phi'' \sigma_T$ induce the same map between nontrivial fibers. One has

$$(\sigma_P \phi')_{(i',i'')} = (\sigma_P)_{(i',i'')} \phi'_{i'}$$

while

$$(\phi'' \sigma_T)_{(i',i'')} = \phi''_{i''} (\sigma_T)_{(i',i'')}.$$

By the defining diagram (16), the right-hand sides of both equations coincide. By Corollary [10, Corollary 2.8], the diagrams in (17) are the same, therefore both squares in (13) with σ_P constructed above commute. This finishes the proof. \square

Lemma 2.10. *Assume the strong blow-up axiom. Let $\rho : S \rightarrow T \in \mathbf{0}_{\text{ord}}$ be elementary with the unique fiber F over $a \in |T|$. Suppose that we are given a chain of elementary morphisms*

$$(18a) \quad F \xrightarrow{\varphi_1} F_1 \xrightarrow{\varphi_2} F_2 \xrightarrow{\varphi_3} F_3 \xrightarrow{\varphi_4} \dots \xrightarrow{\varphi_{l-1}} F_{l-1}.$$

Then there exists a unique factorization

$$(18b) \quad S \xrightarrow{\rho_1} S_1 \xrightarrow{\rho_2} S_2 \xrightarrow{\rho_3} S_3 \xrightarrow{\rho_4} \dots \xrightarrow{\rho_{l-1}} S_{l-1} \xrightarrow{\rho_l} T$$

of ρ into elementary morphisms such that $(\rho_l \cdots \rho_s)^{-1}(a) = F_{s-1}$ for each $2 \leq s \leq l$, and $(\rho_s)_a = \varphi_s$ for each $1 \leq s < l$.

Proof. We will inductively construct maps in the commutative diagram

$$(19) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} S & \xrightarrow{\rho_1} & S_1 & \xrightarrow{\rho_2} & S_2 & \xrightarrow{\rho_3} & S_3 & \xrightarrow{\rho_4} & \cdots & \xrightarrow{\rho_{l-1}} & S_{l-1}. \\ \rho \downarrow & \nearrow \eta_1 & & \nearrow \eta_2 & & \nearrow \eta_3 & & \nearrow \eta_l & & & \\ T & & & & & & & & & & \end{array}$$

The strong blow-up axiom implies that the maps

$$\varphi_1 : F = \rho^{-1}(a) \rightarrow F_1, \quad \mathbb{1} : \rho^{-1}(i) = U_i \rightarrow U_i \text{ for } i \neq a,$$

uniquely determine a decomposition $\rho = \eta_1 \rho_1$. Clearly, η_1 is elementary with the unique fiber F_1 and we may apply the same reasoning to η_1 in place of ρ . The result will be a unique decomposition $\eta_1 = \eta_2 \rho_2$. Repeating this process $(l-1)$ times and defining $\rho_l := \eta_l$ finishes the proof. \square

Remark 2.11. Assume that, in (18a), the pair $(\varphi_t, \varphi_{t+1})$ has (i, j) -disjoint fibers for some $1 \leq t \leq l-2$. Then the corresponding pair (ρ_t, ρ_{t+1}) in (18b) has $(i+a-1, j+a-1)$ -disjoint fibers. This is an immediate consequence of Axiom (iv) of an operadic category.

Lemma 2.12. *Assume the strong blow-up axiom and suppose that, in the notation of Lemma 2.10, we are given two chains of elementary morphisms as in (18a) of the form*

$$(20a) \quad F \xrightarrow{\varphi_1} F_1 \xrightarrow{\varphi_2} \cdots \xrightarrow{\varphi_{u-1}} F_{u-1} \xrightarrow{\varphi'_u} F'_u \xrightarrow{\varphi'_{u+1}} F_{u+1} \xrightarrow{\varphi_{u+2}} \cdots \xrightarrow{\varphi_{l-1}} F_{l-1}$$

and

$$(20b) \quad F \xrightarrow{\varphi_1} F_1 \xrightarrow{\varphi_2} \cdots \xrightarrow{\varphi_{u-1}} F_{u-1} \xrightarrow{\varphi''_u} F''_u \xrightarrow{\varphi''_{u+1}} F_{u+1} \xrightarrow{\varphi_{u+2}} \cdots \xrightarrow{\varphi_{l-1}} F_{l-1}$$

such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & F'_u & \\ \varphi'_u \nearrow & & \searrow \varphi'_{u+1} \\ F_{u-1} & & F_{u+1} \\ \varphi''_u \searrow & & \nearrow \varphi''_{u+1} \\ & F''_u & \end{array}$$

commutes. Then the corresponding decompositions (18b) are of the form

$$(21a) \quad S \xrightarrow{\rho_1} S_1 \xrightarrow{\rho_2} \cdots \xrightarrow{\rho_{u-1}} S_{u-1} \xrightarrow{\rho'_u} S'_u \xrightarrow{\rho'_{u+1}} S_{u+1} \xrightarrow{\rho_{u+2}} \cdots \xrightarrow{\rho_{l-1}} S_{l-1} \xrightarrow{\rho_l} T$$

respectively

$$(21b) \quad S \xrightarrow{\rho_1} S_1 \xrightarrow{\rho_2} \cdots \xrightarrow{\rho_{u-1}} S_{u-1} \xrightarrow{\rho''_u} S''_u \xrightarrow{\rho''_{u+1}} S_{u+1} \xrightarrow{\rho_{u+2}} \cdots \xrightarrow{\rho_{l-1}} S_{l-1} \xrightarrow{\rho_l} T,$$

and the diagram

(22)

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & S'_u & & \\
 & \nearrow^{\rho'_u} & & \searrow^{\rho'_{u+1}} & \\
 S_{u-1} & & & & S_{u+1} \\
 & \searrow_{\rho''_u} & & \nearrow_{\rho''_{u+1}} & \\
 & & S''_u & &
 \end{array}$$

commutes.

Proof. We will rely on the notation used in the proof of Lemma 2.10. It is clear from the inductive construction described there that the initial parts of the canonical decompositions corresponding to (20a) resp. (20b) coincide and are equal to

$$S \xrightarrow{\rho_1} S_1 \xrightarrow{\rho_2} \dots \xrightarrow{\rho_{u-1}} S_{u-1}.$$

Consider the following two stages of the inductive construction in the proof of Lemma 2.10:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 S & \xrightarrow{\rho_1} & \dots & \xrightarrow{\rho_{u-1}} & S_{u-1} & \xrightarrow{\rho'_u} & S'_u & \xrightarrow{\rho'_{u+1}} & S'_{u+1} \\
 \rho \downarrow & & \nearrow_{\eta_{u-1}} & & \nearrow_{\eta'_u} & & \nearrow_{\eta'_{u+1}} & & \\
 T & & & & & & & &
 \end{array}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 S & \xrightarrow{\rho_1} & \dots & \xrightarrow{\rho_{u-1}} & S_{u-1} & \xrightarrow{\rho''_u} & S''_u & \xrightarrow{\rho''_{u+1}} & S''_{u+1} \\
 \rho \downarrow & & \nearrow_{\eta_{u-1}} & & \nearrow_{\eta''_u} & & \nearrow_{\eta''_{u+1}} & & \\
 T & & & & & & & &
 \end{array}$$

The maps η_{u-1} , η'_{u+1} and η''_{u+1} are elementary, with the nontrivial fibers F_{u-1} resp. F_{u+1} . By construction, the horizontal maps in the factorizations

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 S_{u-1} & \xrightarrow{\rho'_{u+1}\rho'_u} & S'_{u+1} \\
 \eta_{u-1} \downarrow & \nearrow_{\eta_{u+1}} & \\
 T & &
 \end{array}
 \quad \text{and} \quad
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 S_{u-1} & \xrightarrow{\rho''_{u+1}\rho''_u} & S''_{u+1} \\
 \eta_{u-1} \downarrow & \nearrow_{\eta_{u+1}} & \\
 T & &
 \end{array}$$

induce the same map between these nontrivial fibers, namely $\varphi'_{u+1}\varphi'_u = \varphi''_{u+1}\varphi''_u$. By the uniqueness of the strong blow-up, the diagrams in the above display coincide, so diagram (22) with $S_{u+1} = S'_{u+1} = S''_{u+1}$ commutes. It is obvious that the remaining parts of (21a) resp. (21b) are the same. \square

3. MARKL OPERADS

The aim of this section is to introduce Markl operads and their algebras in the context of operadic categories, and formulate assumptions under which these notions agree with the standard ones introduced in [7]. We assume that \mathcal{O} is a graded factorizable operadic category

in which all quasibijections are invertible, the strong blow-up and unique fiber axioms are fulfilled, and a morphism f is an isomorphism if and only if $e(f) = 0$; recall that by [10, Lemma 2.13] this happens if and only if all fibers of f are local terminal. In brief, we require

$$\text{Fac} \ \& \ \text{SBU} \ \& \ \text{QBI} \ \& \ \text{UFib} \ \& \ \text{SGrad}.$$

Denoting by $\mathbf{0}_{\text{iso}}$ the subcategory of $\mathbf{0}$ consisting of all isomorphisms we therefore have

$$\mathbf{0}_{\text{iso}} = \{f : S \rightarrow T; e(f) = 0\} = \{f : S \rightarrow T; e(F) = 0 \text{ for each fiber } F \text{ of } f\}.$$

Another consequence of our assumptions is that $T \in \mathbf{0}$ is local terminal if and only if $e(T) = 0$. Operads in this section will live in a cocomplete strict symmetric monoidal category \mathbf{V} with a strict monoidal unit \mathbb{k} and symmetry τ .

Definition 3.1. A *Markl 0-operad* in \mathbf{V} is a presheaf $\mathcal{M} : \mathbf{0}_{\text{iso}}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{V}$ with values in \mathbf{V} equipped, for each elementary morphism $F \triangleright T \xrightarrow{\phi} S$ as in Definition 2.1, with a ‘circle product’

$$(23) \quad \circ_{\phi} : \mathcal{M}(S) \otimes \mathcal{M}(F) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(T).$$

These operations must satisfy the following set of axioms.

- (i) Let $T \xrightarrow{(\phi, j)} S \xrightarrow{(\psi, i)} P$ be elementary morphisms such that $|\psi|(j) = i$ and let $\xi : T \rightarrow P$ be the composite $\psi\phi$. Then the diagram

$$(24) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & & \mathcal{M}(P) \otimes \mathcal{M}(\xi^{-1}(i)) \\ & \xrightarrow{\mathbb{1} \otimes \circ_{\phi_i}} & \searrow \circ_{\xi} \\ \mathcal{M}(P) \otimes \mathcal{M}(\psi^{-1}(i)) \otimes \mathcal{M}(\phi^{-1}(j)) & & \mathcal{M}(T) \\ & \xrightarrow{\circ_{\psi} \otimes \mathbb{1}} & \nearrow \circ_{\phi} \\ & & \mathcal{M}(S) \otimes \mathcal{M}(\phi^{-1}(j)) \end{array}$$

commutes.

- (ii) Let us consider the diagram

$$(25) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} & & P' & & \\ & \xrightarrow{(\phi', j)} & & \xrightarrow{(\psi', i)} & \\ T & & & & S \\ & \xrightarrow{(\phi'', l)} & & \xrightarrow{(\psi'', k)} & \\ & & P'' & & \end{array}$$

of elementary morphisms with disjoint fibers as in Corollary 2.8. Then the diagram

$$(26) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M}(S) \otimes \mathcal{M}(G') \otimes \mathcal{M}(F') & \xrightarrow{\circ_{\psi'} \otimes \mathbb{1}} & \mathcal{M}(P') \otimes \mathcal{M}(F') \\ \uparrow \mathbb{1} \otimes (\sigma''^{-1})^* \otimes \sigma'^* & & \downarrow \circ_{\phi'} \\ \mathcal{M}(S) \otimes \mathcal{M}(F'') \otimes \mathcal{M}(G'') & & \mathcal{M}(T) \\ \uparrow \mathbb{1} \otimes \tau & & \uparrow \circ_{\phi''} \\ \mathcal{M}(S) \otimes \mathcal{M}(G'') \otimes \mathcal{M}(F'') & \xrightarrow{\circ_{\psi''} \otimes \mathbb{1}} & \mathcal{M}(P'') \otimes \mathcal{M}(F'') \end{array}$$

in which $F' \triangleright T \xrightarrow{\phi'} P'$, $F'' \triangleright T \xrightarrow{\phi''} P'$, $G' \triangleright P' \xrightarrow{\psi'} S$ and $G'' \triangleright P'' \xrightarrow{\psi''} S$, and the maps $(\sigma''^{-1})^*$ and σ'^* are induced by quasibijections (12), commutes.

(iii) For every commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T' & \xrightarrow{\omega} & T'' \\ \downarrow \phi' & \cong & \downarrow \phi'' \\ S' & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & S'' \end{array}$$

where ω is an isomorphism, σ a quasibijection, and $F' \triangleright_i T' \xrightarrow{\phi'} S'$, $F'' \triangleright_j T'' \xrightarrow{\phi''} S''$, the diagram

$$(27) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M}(F'') \otimes \mathcal{M}(S'') & \xrightarrow{\circ_{\phi''}} & \mathcal{M}(T'') \\ \omega_{(i,j)}^* \otimes \sigma^* \downarrow & & \cong \downarrow \omega^* \\ \mathcal{M}(F') \otimes \mathcal{M}(S') & \xrightarrow{\circ_{\phi'}} & \mathcal{M}(T') \end{array}$$

in which $\omega_{(i,j)} : F' \rightarrow F''$ is the induced map (3) of fibers, commutes.

Markl operad \mathcal{M} is *unital* if one is given, for each trivial U , a map $\eta_U : \mathbb{k} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(U)$ such that the diagram

$$(28) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M}(U) \otimes \mathcal{M}(T) & \xrightarrow{\circ_!} & \mathcal{M}(T) \\ \eta_U \otimes \mathbb{1} \uparrow & & \parallel \\ \mathbb{k} \otimes \mathcal{M}(T) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \mathcal{M}(T) \end{array}$$

in which T is such that $e(T) \geq 1$ and $T \triangleright T \xrightarrow{!} U$ the unique map, commutes.

Since we assume the strong blow-up axiom, all pairs of morphisms with disjoint fibers are harmonic by Corollary 2.7. Thus in Axiom (ii) the morphism σ' and σ'' are the identities. Denoting $F := F' = G''$ and $G := G' = F''$, diagram (26) takes the form

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M}(S) \otimes \mathcal{M}(G) \otimes \mathcal{M}(F) & \xrightarrow{\circ_{\psi'} \otimes \mathbb{1}} & \mathcal{M}(P') \otimes \mathcal{M}(F) \\ \uparrow \mathbb{1} \otimes \tau & & \downarrow \circ_{\phi'} \\ & & \mathcal{M}(T) \\ & & \uparrow \circ_{\phi''} \\ \mathcal{M}(S) \otimes \mathcal{M}(F) \otimes \mathcal{M}(G) & \xrightarrow{\circ_{\psi''} \otimes \mathbb{1}} & \mathcal{M}(P'') \otimes \mathcal{M}(G). \end{array}$$

Let $\mathcal{O}_{\text{1trm}}$ be the operadic subcategory of \mathcal{O} consisting of its local terminal objects. Denote by $\mathbf{1}_{\text{trm}} : \mathcal{O}_{\text{1trm}} \rightarrow \mathbb{V}$ the constant functor, i.e. the functor such that $\mathbf{1}_{\text{trm}}(u) = \mathbb{k}$ for each local terminal $u \in \mathcal{O}$. Since $\mathcal{O}_{\text{1trm}}$ is equivalent, as a category, to the discrete groupoid of trivial objects, for a unital Markl operad \mathcal{M} the collection $\{\eta_U : \mathbb{k} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(U)\}$ of unit maps extends

uniquely into a transformation

$$(29) \quad \eta : \mathbf{1}_{\text{trm}} \rightarrow \iota^* \mathcal{M}$$

from the constant functor $\mathbf{1}_{\text{trm}}$ to the restriction of \mathcal{M} along the inclusion $\iota : \mathbf{0}_{\text{trm}} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{0}$. The component $\eta_u : \mathbb{k} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(u)$ of that extension is, for u local terminal, given by $\eta_u := !^* \eta_U$, where $! : u \rightarrow U$ is the unique map to a trivial U . Transformation (29) of course amounts to a family of maps $\eta_u : \mathbb{k} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(u)$ given for each local terminal $u \in \mathbf{0}$, such that the diagram

$$(30) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M}(u) & \xrightarrow{!^*} & \mathcal{M}(v) \\ \eta_u \uparrow & & \uparrow \eta_v \\ \mathbb{k} & \xlongequal{\quad} & \mathbb{k} \end{array}$$

commutes for each (unique) map $! : v \rightarrow u$ of local terminal objects.

For each T with $e(T) \geq 1$ and $F \triangleright T \xrightarrow{!} u$ with u a local terminal object, one has a map $\vartheta(T, u) : \mathcal{M}(F) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(T)$ defined by the diagram

$$(31) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M}(u) \otimes \mathcal{M}(F) & \xrightarrow{\circ_!} & \mathcal{M}(T) \\ !^* \otimes \mathbb{1} \uparrow & & \uparrow \vartheta(T, u) \\ \mathcal{M}(U) \otimes \mathcal{M}(F) & & \\ \eta_U \otimes \mathbb{1} \uparrow & & \\ \mathbb{k} \otimes \mathcal{M}(F) & \xlongequal{\cong} & \mathcal{M}(F). \end{array}$$

Notice that the composite of the maps in the left column equals $\eta_u \otimes \mathbb{1}$, where η_u is a component of the extension (29).

The unitality offers a generalization of Axiom (iii) of Markl operads which postulates for each commutative diagram

$$(32) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} T' & \xrightarrow{\omega} & T'' \\ \downarrow \phi' & \searrow \phi & \downarrow \phi'' \\ S' & \xrightarrow[\cong]{\sigma} & S'' \end{array}$$

where the horizontal maps are isomorphisms and the vertical maps are elementary, with $F' \triangleright_i T' \xrightarrow{\phi'} S'$, $F'' \triangleright_j T'' \xrightarrow{\phi''} S''$, the commutativity of the diagram

$$(33) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{M}(F) \otimes \mathcal{M}(S'') & \xleftarrow[\cong]{\omega_j^* \otimes \mathbb{1}} & \mathcal{M}(F'') \otimes \mathcal{M}(S'') & \xrightarrow{\circ_{\phi''}} & \mathcal{M}(T'') \\ \uparrow \vartheta(F, \sigma^{-1}(j)) \otimes \mathbb{1} & & & & \cong \downarrow \omega^* \\ \mathcal{M}(F') \otimes \mathcal{M}(S'') & \xrightarrow[\cong]{\mathbb{1} \otimes \sigma^*} & \mathcal{M}(F') \otimes \mathcal{M}(S') & \xrightarrow[\circ_{\phi'}]{} & \mathcal{M}(T') \end{array}$$

in which $F := \phi^{-1}(j)$ and $\omega_j : F \rightarrow F''$ is the induced map of fibers. Notice that if σ is a quasibijection, (33) implies (27).

Definition 3.2. Markl operad \mathcal{M} is *strictly unital* if all the maps $\vartheta(T, u)$ in (31) are identities. It is *1-connected* if the unit maps $\eta_U : \mathbb{k} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(U)$ are isomorphisms for each trivial U .

If \mathcal{M} is strictly unital, $\mathcal{M}(F) = \mathcal{M}(F')$ in (33), so this diagram assumes a particularly simple form, namely

$$(34) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M}(F'') \otimes \mathcal{M}(S'') & \xrightarrow{\circ_{\phi''}} & \mathcal{M}(T'') \\ \omega_j^* \otimes \sigma^* \downarrow \cong & & \cong \downarrow \omega^* \\ \mathcal{M}(F') \otimes \mathcal{M}(S') & \xrightarrow{\circ_{\phi'}} & \mathcal{M}(T'). \end{array}$$

The following lemma is an easy exercise on definitions.

Lemma 3.3. *A 1-connected Markl operad is strictly unital if and only if, for each $F \triangleright T \xrightarrow{!} u$ with u a local terminal object, $\mathcal{M}(F) = \mathcal{M}(T)$ and the diagram*

$$(35) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M}(u) \otimes \mathcal{M}(F) & \xrightarrow{\circ_!} & \mathcal{M}(T) \\ \uparrow !^* \otimes \mathbf{1} & & \parallel \\ \mathcal{M}(U) \otimes \mathcal{M}(F) & \xrightarrow{\circ_!} & \mathcal{M}(F), \end{array}$$

in which $!$ denotes unique maps between local terminal objects, commutes.

Let us introduce similar terminology for ‘standard’ $\mathbf{0}$ -operads. In this framework, $\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{trm}}$ will denote the constant \mathbf{trm} -operad. As for Markl operads, the collection $\{\eta_U : \mathbb{k} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(U)\}$ of unit maps of an $\mathbf{0}$ -operad \mathcal{P} extends uniquely into a transformation

$$(36) \quad \eta : \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{trm}} \rightarrow \iota^* \mathcal{P}$$

of \mathbf{trm} -operads. One has an obvious analog of diagram (31), and the strict unitality and 1-connectedness for $\mathbf{0}$ -operads is defined analogously. The main result of this section reads:

Theorem 3.4. *There is a natural forgetful functor from the category of strictly unital $\mathbf{0}$ -operads to the category of strictly unital Markl operads, which restricts to an isomorphism of the subcategories of 1-connected operads.*

Example 3.5. Constant-free May operads recalled in the introduction are operads over the operadic category $\mathbf{Fin}_{\text{semi}}$ of non-empty finite ordinals and their surjections. Let us analyze the meaning of the above definitions and results in this particular case. With respect to the canonical grading [10, Section 2], elementary morphisms in the operadic category of finite ordinals $\mathbf{Fin}_{\text{semi}}$ are precisely order-preserving surjections

$$\pi(m, i, n) : \overline{m+n-1} \rightarrow \overline{m}, \quad m \geq 1, \quad n \geq 2,$$

uniquely determined by the property that

$$(37) \quad |\pi(m, i, n)^{-1}(j)| = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } j \neq i, \\ n & \text{if } j = i. \end{cases}$$

Since $\bar{1}$ is the only local terminal object of $\mathbf{Fin}_{\text{semi}}$, the strict unitality is the same as the ordinary one and all isomorphisms are quasibijections. A $(\mathbf{Fin}_{\text{semi}})_{\text{iso}}$ -presheaf turns out to be a collection $\{\mathcal{M}(n)\}_{n \geq 1}$ of Σ_n -modules, and elementary maps (37) induce operations

$$\circ_i := \circ_{\pi(m, i, n)} : \mathcal{M}(m) \otimes \mathcal{M}(n) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(m + n - 1), \quad n \geq 1, \quad 1 \leq i \leq m,$$

which satisfy the standard axioms listed e.g. in [38, Definition 1.1] and recalled in the Appendix. Theorem 3.4 in this case states the well-known fact that the category of unital May operads with $\mathcal{P}(1) = \mathbb{k}$ is isomorphic to the category of unital Markl operads with $\mathcal{M}(1) = \mathbb{k}$.

Proof of Theorem 3.4. Let \mathcal{P} be a strictly unital $\mathbb{0}$ -operad with composition laws γ_f . If $\omega : T' \rightarrow T''$ is an isomorphism, we define $\omega^* : \mathcal{P}(T'') \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(T')$ by the diagram

$$(38) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{P}(T'') \otimes \mathcal{P}(\omega) & \xrightarrow{\gamma_\omega} & \mathcal{P}(T') \\ \uparrow \mathbb{1} \otimes \eta_\omega & & \uparrow \omega^* \\ \mathcal{P}(T'') \otimes \mathbb{k} & \xlongequal{\cong} & \mathcal{P}(T'') \end{array}$$

in which $\mathcal{P}(\omega)$ denotes the product $\mathcal{P}(u_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{P}(u_s)$ over the fibers u_1, \dots, u_s of ω and, likewise, $\eta_\omega := \eta_{u_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \eta_{u_s}$. It is simple to show that this construction is functorial, making \mathcal{P} an $\mathbb{0}_{\text{iso}}$ -presheaf in \mathcal{V} . In particular, ω^* is an isomorphism. For an elementary $F \triangleright_i T \xrightarrow{\phi} S$ we define $\circ_\phi : \mathcal{P}(S) \otimes \mathcal{P}(F) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(T)$ by the commutativity of the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{P}(S) \otimes \mathcal{P}(U_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{P}(U_{i-1}) \otimes \mathcal{P}(F) \otimes \mathcal{P}(U_{i+1}) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{P}(U_{|S|}) & \xrightarrow{\gamma_\phi} & \mathcal{P}(T) \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \circ_\phi \\ \mathcal{P}(S) \otimes \mathbb{k}^{\otimes(i-1)} \otimes \mathcal{P}(F) \otimes \mathbb{k}^{\otimes(|T|-i)} & \xlongequal{\cong} & \mathcal{P}(S) \otimes \mathcal{P}(F) \end{array}$$

in which the left vertical map is induced by the unit morphisms of \mathcal{P} and the identity automorphism of $\mathcal{P}(F)$. We claim that the $\mathbb{0}_{\text{iso}}$ -presheaf \mathcal{P} with operations \circ_ϕ defined above is a Markl operad.

It is simple to check that these \circ_ϕ 's satisfy the associativities (i) and (ii) of a Markl operad. To prove Axiom (iii), consider diagram (32) and invoke Axiom (i) of an operad over an operadic category, see [7, Definition 1.11] or [10, Section 1], once for $\phi = \sigma\phi'$ and once for $\phi = \phi''\omega$ in place of $h = fg$. We will get two commutative squares sharing the edge γ_ϕ .

Putting them side-by-side as in

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \bigotimes_k \mathcal{P}(\phi'_k) \otimes \mathcal{P}(\sigma) \otimes \mathcal{P}(S'') & \xrightarrow{\bigotimes_k \gamma_{\phi'_k} \otimes \mathbb{1}} & \mathcal{P}(\phi) \otimes \mathcal{P}(S'') & \xleftarrow{\bigotimes_i \gamma_{\omega_i} \otimes \mathbb{1}} & \mathcal{P}(\omega) \otimes \mathcal{P}(\phi'') \otimes \mathcal{P}(S'') \\
 \downarrow \mathbb{1} \otimes \gamma_\sigma & & \downarrow \gamma_\phi & & \downarrow \mathbb{1} \otimes \gamma_{\phi''} \\
 \mathcal{P}(\phi') \otimes \mathcal{P}(S') & \xrightarrow{\gamma_{\phi'}} & \mathcal{P}(T') & \xleftarrow{\gamma_\omega} & \mathcal{P}(\omega) \otimes \mathcal{P}(T'')
 \end{array}$$

produces the central hexagon in the diagram

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \boxed{\mathcal{P}(\phi'') \otimes \mathcal{P}(S'')} \xlongequal{\quad} \mathbb{k} \otimes \mathcal{P}(\phi'') \otimes \mathcal{P}(S'') \\
 \downarrow \cong \quad \downarrow \eta_\omega \otimes \mathbb{1} \otimes \mathbb{1} \\
 \boxed{\mathcal{P}(\phi) \otimes \mathcal{P}(S'')} \xleftarrow{\quad} \mathcal{P}(\omega) \otimes \mathcal{P}(\phi'') \otimes \mathcal{P}(S'') \\
 \downarrow \mathbb{1} \otimes \gamma_{\phi''} \\
 \boxed{\mathcal{P}(\omega) \otimes \mathcal{P}(T'')} \\
 \downarrow \gamma_\omega \quad \downarrow \eta_\omega \otimes \mathbb{1} \\
 \mathcal{P}(\phi') \otimes \mathbb{k} \otimes \mathcal{P}(S'') \xrightarrow{\mathbb{1} \otimes \gamma_\sigma} \boxed{\mathcal{P}(\phi') \otimes \mathcal{P}(S')} \xrightarrow{\gamma_{\phi'}} \boxed{\mathcal{P}(T')} \xleftarrow{\omega^*} \mathbb{k} \otimes \mathcal{P}(T'') \\
 \uparrow \mathbb{1} \otimes \gamma_{\phi'_k} \otimes \mathbb{1} \quad \uparrow \gamma_\phi \quad \downarrow \cong \\
 \bigotimes_k \mathcal{P}(\phi'_k) \otimes \mathcal{P}(\sigma) \otimes \mathcal{P}(S'') \quad \downarrow \mathbb{1} \otimes \sigma^* \\
 \mathcal{P}(\phi') \otimes \mathbb{k} \otimes \mathcal{P}(S'') \quad \downarrow \cong \\
 \boxed{\mathcal{P}(\phi') \otimes \mathcal{P}(S'')}
 \end{array}$$

The remaining arrows of this diagram are constructed using the \mathcal{O}_{iso} -presheaf structure of \mathcal{P} and the extended units. The boxed terms in the above diagram form the internal hexagon in

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \mathcal{P}(F) \otimes \mathcal{P}(S'') & \xleftarrow[\cong]{\omega_j^* \otimes \mathbb{1}} & \mathcal{P}(F') \otimes \mathcal{P}(S'') & \xrightarrow{\circ_{\phi''}} & \mathcal{P}(T'') \\
 \downarrow \vartheta(F, \sigma^{-1}(j)) \otimes \mathbb{1} & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \cong \quad \omega^* \\
 \mathcal{P}(\phi) \otimes \mathcal{P}(S'') & \xleftarrow{\cong} & \mathcal{P}(\phi') \otimes \mathcal{P}(S'') & \rightarrow & \mathcal{P}(\omega) \otimes \mathcal{P}(T'') \\
 \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \downarrow \\
 \mathcal{P}(\phi') \otimes \mathcal{P}(S'') & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \mathcal{P}(\phi') \otimes \mathcal{P}(S'') & \rightarrow & \mathcal{P}(T') \\
 \downarrow \mathbb{1} \otimes \sigma^* & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \cong \\
 \mathcal{P}(F') \otimes \mathcal{P}(S'') & \xrightarrow[\cong]{\mathbb{1} \otimes \sigma^*} & \mathcal{P}(F') \otimes \mathcal{P}(S') & \xrightarrow{\circ_{\phi'}} & \mathcal{P}(T')
 \end{array}$$

The commutativity of the outer hexagon follows from the commutativity of the inner one. We recognize in it diagram (33) with \mathcal{P} in place of \mathcal{M} . Since (33) implies (27) for σ a quasi-bijection, Axiom (iii) is verified. To check that the strict extended unit (36) is also the one for \mathcal{P} considered as a Markl operad is simple.

Conversely, let \mathcal{M} be a Markl operad. We are going to define, for each $f : S \rightarrow T$ with fibers F_1, \dots, F_s , the composition law

$$(39) \quad \gamma_f : \mathcal{M}(T) \otimes \mathcal{M}(F) \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}(S)$$

where, as several times before, $\mathcal{M}(F)$ denotes $\mathcal{M}(F_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{M}(F_s)$. If f is an isomorphism, all its fibers are local terminal, so $\mathcal{M}(F) \cong \mathbb{k}$ by the strict unitality and the 1-connectivity of \mathcal{M} . In this case we define γ_f as the composite

$$(40) \quad \mathcal{M}(T) \otimes \mathcal{M}(F) \cong \mathcal{M}(T) \xrightarrow{f^*} \mathcal{M}(S)$$

that uses the \mathcal{O}_{iso} -presheaf structure of \mathcal{M} .

Assume now that $f \in \mathcal{O}_{\text{ord}}$ and that all local terminal fibers of f are trivial. If f is an isomorphism it must be the identity by [10, Corollary 2.4]. If it is not the case, at least one fiber of f has grade ≥ 1 and we decompose f , using the strong blow-up axiom, into a chain of elementary morphisms. The operation γ_f will then be defined as the composite of \circ -operations corresponding to these elementary morphisms. Let us make this procedure more precise.

To understand the situation better, consider two elementary morphisms ϕ, ψ with (i, j) -disjoint fibers as in Lemma 2.5 and their composite $\xi = \psi \circ \phi$. Notice that by $\mathcal{M}(\xi) \cong \mathcal{M}(\xi^{-1}(i)) \otimes \mathcal{M}(\xi^{-1}(k))$ by the strict unitality and the 1-connectivity of \mathcal{M} . In this particular case we define γ_ξ by the commutativity of the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M}(P) \otimes \mathcal{M}(\xi^{-1}(i)) \otimes \mathcal{M}(\xi^{-1}(k)) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \mathcal{M}(P) \otimes \mathcal{M}(\xi) \\ \mathbb{1} \otimes (\phi_i^*)^{-1} \otimes \mathbb{1} \downarrow & & \downarrow \gamma_\xi \\ \mathcal{M}(P) \otimes \mathcal{M}(\psi^{-1}(i)) \otimes \mathcal{M}(\phi^{-1}(k)) & & \\ \mathbb{1} \otimes \circ_\psi \downarrow & & \\ \mathcal{M}(S) \otimes \mathcal{M}(\phi^{-1}(k)) & \xrightarrow{\circ_\phi} & \mathcal{M}(T) \end{array}$$

or, in shorthand, by $\gamma_\xi := \circ_\phi(\mathbb{1} \otimes \circ_\psi)$.

Now take $f : S \rightarrow T \in \mathcal{O}_{\text{ord}}$ whose fibers of grade ≥ 1 are F_1, \dots, F_k and the remaining fibers are trivial. Using the strong blow-up axiom we factorize f into a chain

$$(41) \quad S = S_1 \xrightarrow{\phi_1} S_2 \xrightarrow{\phi_2} \cdots \xrightarrow{\phi_k} S_k = T$$

in which each ϕ_i is elementary with the unique nontrivial fiber F_i , $1 \leq i \leq k$; we leave the details how to obtain such a factorization to the reader. We then define

$$\gamma_f := \circ_{\phi_1}(\circ_{\phi_2} \otimes \mathbb{1}) \cdots (\circ_{\phi_k} \otimes \mathbb{1}^{\otimes(k-1)}) : \mathcal{M}(T) \otimes \mathcal{M}(F_k) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{M}(F_1) \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}(S).$$

If $f : S \rightarrow T$ is a general morphism in \mathcal{O} , we use [10, Lemma 4.5] to factorize it as $f : S \xrightarrow{\omega} X \xrightarrow{\psi} T$ with an isomorphism ω and $\psi \in \mathcal{O}_{\text{ord}}$ whose all local terminal fibers are trivial. Notice that, due to the strict unitality and 1-connectivity, $\mathcal{M}(\psi) \cong \mathcal{M}(f)$. We then

define γ_f by the commutativity of the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M}(\psi) \otimes \mathcal{M}(T) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \mathcal{M}(f) \otimes \mathcal{M}(T) \\ \downarrow \gamma_\psi & & \downarrow \gamma_f \\ \mathcal{M}(X) & \xrightarrow{\omega^*} & \mathcal{M}(S). \end{array}$$

The extended units are given by the extended units of \mathcal{M} in the obvious way.

Our definition of the γ_f -operations does not depend on the choices – the commutativity of (33) that holds for unital Markl operads guarantees the independence on the factorization $f = \psi\omega$, while the commutativity of (25) the independence on the choice of the decomposition (41). We leave to the reader the tedious but straightforward verification that \mathcal{M} with the above composition laws forms an strictly unital $\mathbf{0}$ -operad. \square

We are going to adapt algebras over operads [7, Definition 1.20] to the realm of Markl operads. Recall that the *ith source* $s_i(T)$ of an object $T \in \mathbf{0}$ is the *ith* fiber of the identity automorphism of T , i.e. $s_i(T) := \mathbb{1}_T^{-1}(i)$ for $i \in |T|$. We denote by $s(T)$ the set of all sources of T . For an object $T \in \mathbf{0}$ we denote by $\pi_0(T) \in \pi_0(\mathbf{0})$ the connected component to which T belongs. Similarly, for a subset X of objects of $\mathbf{0}$,

$$\pi_0(X) := \{\pi_0(T) \mid T \in X\} \subset \pi_0(\mathbf{0}).$$

Finally, U_c will denote the chosen local terminal object of a component $c \in \pi_0(\mathbf{0})$.

Definition 3.6. An *algebra* over a 1-connected Markl operad \mathcal{M} in a symmetric monoidal category \mathbf{V} is a collection $A = \{A_c \mid c \in \pi_0(\mathbf{0})\}$ of objects of \mathbf{V} together with structure maps

$$(42) \quad \left\{ \alpha_T : \mathcal{M}(T) \otimes \bigotimes_{c \in \pi_0(s(T))} A_c \longrightarrow A_{\pi_0(T)} \right\}_{T \in \mathbf{0}}.$$

These operations are required to satisfy the following axioms.

(i) **Unitality:** for each component $c \in \pi_0(\mathbf{0})$ the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M}(U_c) \otimes A_c & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{U_c}} & A_c \\ \eta_{U_c} \uparrow & & \parallel \\ \mathbb{k} \otimes A_c & \xrightarrow{\cong} & A_c \end{array}$$

commutes.

- (ii) Equivariance: let $f : S \rightarrow T$ be an isomorphism with fibers u_1, \dots, u_s . For $1 \leq i \leq s$ denote $c_i := \pi_0(s_i(S))$ and $d_i := \pi_0(s_i(T))$. Then the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{M}(T) \otimes A_{c_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes A_{c_s} & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \mathcal{M}(T) \otimes \mathbb{k} \otimes A_{c_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbb{k} \otimes A_{c_s} \\
\downarrow f^* \otimes \mathbb{1}^{\otimes s} & & \downarrow \mathbb{1} \otimes \eta_{u_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \eta_{u_s} \\
\mathcal{M}(S) \otimes A_{c_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes A_{c_s} & & \mathcal{M}(T) \otimes \mathcal{M}(u_1) \otimes A_{c_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{M}(u_s) \otimes A_{c_s} \\
\downarrow \alpha_S & & \downarrow \mathbb{1} \otimes \alpha_{u_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \alpha_{u_s} \\
A_{\pi_0(S)} \xlongequal{\quad} A_{\pi_0(T)} & \xleftarrow{\alpha_T} & \mathcal{M}(T) \otimes A_{d_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes A_{d_s}
\end{array}$$

commutes.

- (iii) Associativity: for an elementary map $F \triangleright_i S \xrightarrow{\phi} T$, the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{M}(S) \otimes A_{c_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes A_{c_{i-1}} \otimes A_{c_i} \otimes \cdots \otimes A_{c_{t+s-1}} & \xrightarrow{\alpha_S} & A_{\pi_0(S)} \\
\uparrow \circ_{\phi} \otimes \mathbb{1}^{\otimes t+s-1} & & \parallel \\
\mathcal{M}(T) \otimes \mathcal{M}(F) \otimes A_{c_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes A_{c_{i-1}} \otimes A_{c_i} \otimes \cdots \otimes A_{c_{t+s-1}} & & \\
\downarrow \mathbb{1} \otimes \tau \otimes \mathbb{1}^{\otimes t-i} & & \\
\mathcal{M}(T) \otimes A_{c_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes A_{c_{i-1}} \otimes \mathcal{M}(F) \otimes A_{c_i} \otimes \cdots \otimes A_{c_{t+s-1}} & & \\
\downarrow \mathbb{1} \otimes \mathbb{1}^{\otimes i} \otimes \alpha_F \otimes \mathbb{1}^{\otimes t-i} & & \\
\mathcal{M}(T) \otimes A_{c_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes A_{c_{i-1}} \otimes A_{\pi_0(F)} \otimes \cdots \otimes A_{c_{t+s-1}} & \xrightarrow{\alpha_T} & A_{\pi_0(T)}
\end{array}$$

where $s = |S|$, $t = |T|$, $c_j := \pi_0(s_j)$ for $1 \leq j \leq s + t - 1$ and

$$\tau : \mathcal{M}(F) \otimes A_{c_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes A_{c_{i-1}} \longrightarrow A_{c_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes A_{c_{i-1}} \otimes \mathcal{M}(F)$$

the commutativity constraint in \mathbf{V} , commutes.

Notice that in the situation of item (ii) of Definition 3.6, $s_i(S) = s(u_i)$, $\pi_0(s_i(T)) = \pi_0(u_i)$ and $\pi_0(S) = \pi_0(T)$. Likewise in (iii),

$$(43) \quad \pi_0(s_j(T)) = \begin{cases} \pi_0(s_{|\phi|^{-1}(j)}(S)) & \text{if } j \neq i, \\ \pi_0(F) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proposition 3.7. *The category of algebras of a strictly unital 1-connected Markl operad \mathcal{M} is isomorphic to the category of algebras of the corresponding operad \mathcal{P} .*

Proof. An exercise in the axioms of operads and their algebras. □

Let us close this section by an useful reformulation of Definition 3.6 for algebras in the category of graded vector spaces and related facts.

Definition 3.8. An *algebra* over a 1-connected Markl operad \mathcal{M} in the category \mathbf{Vect} of graded \mathbb{k} -vector spaces is a collection $A = \{A_c \mid c \in \pi_0(\mathbf{0})\}$ together with structure maps

$$\mathcal{M}(T) \otimes \bigotimes_{c \in \pi_0(s(T))} A_c \ni x \otimes a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_s \longmapsto x(a_1, \dots, a_s) \in A_{\pi_0(T)}$$

given for each $T \in \mathbf{0}$. These operations are required to satisfy the following axioms.

(i) *Unitality*: for a local terminal u , $1 \in \mathbb{k} \cong \mathcal{M}(u)$ and $a \in A_{\pi_0(s(u))}$ denote $ua := 1(a)$. Then $Ua = a$ for U a chosen local terminal object.

(ii) *Equivariance*: for an isomorphism $f : S \rightarrow T$ with fibers u_1, \dots, u_s and $x \in \mathcal{M}(T)$,

$$f^*(x)(a_1, \dots, a_s) = x(u_1 a_1, \dots, u_s a_s).$$

(iii) *Associativity*: for an elementary map $F \triangleright_i S \xrightarrow{\phi} T$, $x \in \mathcal{M}(T)$ and $y \in \mathcal{M}(F)$,

$$\circ_{\phi}(x, y)(a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, a_i, \dots, a_{t+s-1}) = (-1)^{\varepsilon} \cdot x(a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, y(a_i, \dots), \dots, a_{t+s-1}),$$

where $\varepsilon := |y|(|a_1| + \cdots + |a_{i-1}|)$, $s = |S|$ and $t = |T|$.

Example 3.9. Following the terminology of [24] we call a Markl operad \mathcal{M} in \mathbf{Vect} such that $\mathcal{M}(T)$ is for each T a 1-dimensional vector space a *cocycle*. An important cocycle is the operad $\mathbf{1}_0$ such that $\mathbf{1}_0(T) := \mathbb{k}$ for each $T \in \mathbf{0}$, with all composition laws the identities. We will call, slightly imprecisely, $\mathbf{1}_0$ the *terminal 0-operad* since it is the linearization of the terminal 0-operad over the Cartesian monoidal category of sets.

Less trivial cocycles can be constructed as follows. We say that a graded vector space W is *invertible* if $W \otimes W^{-1} \cong \mathbb{k}$ for some $W^{-1} \in \mathbf{Vect}$. This clearly means that W is an iterated (de)suspension of the ground field \mathbb{k} . Suppose we are given a map $\mathfrak{l} : \pi_0(\mathbf{0}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Vect}$ that assigns to each $c \in \pi_0(\mathbf{0})$ an invertible graded vector space $\mathfrak{l}(c)$. With the notation used in (42) we introduce the cocycle $\mathfrak{D}_{\mathfrak{l}}$ by

$$\mathfrak{D}_{\mathfrak{l}}(T) := \mathfrak{l}(\pi_0(T)) \otimes \bigotimes_{c \in \pi_0(s(T))} \mathfrak{l}^{-1}(c)$$

with the trivial action of $\mathbf{0}_{\text{iso}}$. To define, for $F \triangleright_i S \xrightarrow{\phi} T$, the composition laws

$$\circ_{\phi} : \mathfrak{D}_{\mathfrak{l}}(F) \otimes \mathfrak{D}_{\mathfrak{l}}(T) \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}_{\mathfrak{l}}(S)$$

we need to specify a map

$$\mathfrak{l}(\pi_0(F)) \otimes \bigotimes_{c \in \pi_0(s(F))} \mathfrak{l}^{-1}(c) \otimes \mathfrak{l}(\pi_0(T)) \otimes \bigotimes_{c \in \pi_0(s(T))} \mathfrak{l}^{-1}(c) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{l}(\pi_0(S)) \otimes \bigotimes_{c \in \pi_0(s(S))} \mathfrak{l}^{-1}(c).$$

To do so, we notice that

$$\pi_0(s(F)) \sqcup \pi_0(s(T)) = \pi_0(s(S)) \sqcup \{\pi_0(s_i(T))\}, \quad \pi_0(S) = \pi_0(T) \quad \text{and} \quad \pi_0(F) = \pi_0(s_i(T)),$$

cf. (43). Keeping this in mind, the composition law \circ_ϕ is defined as the canonical isomorphism $\mathfrak{D}_\uparrow(F) \otimes \mathfrak{D}_\uparrow(T) \cong \mathfrak{D}_\uparrow(S)$.

Cocycles of the above form are called *coboundaries*. Notice that $\mathbf{1}_0 = \mathfrak{D}_\uparrow(T)$ with \uparrow the constant function such that $\uparrow(c) := \mathbb{k}$ for each $c \in \pi_0(s(T))$.

Markl operads in **Vect** form a symmetric monoidal category, with the monoidal structure given by the level-wise tensor product and $\mathbf{1}_0$ the monoidal unit. As an exercise on Definition 3.8 we recommend to prove the following very useful

Proposition 3.10. *The categories of $(\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathfrak{D}_\uparrow)$ -algebras and of \mathcal{M} -algebras in **Vect** are isomorphic. More precisely, there is a natural one-to-one correspondence between*

- \mathcal{M} -algebras with the underlying collection $A = \{A_c \mid c \in \pi_0(\mathbf{0})\}$, and
- $(\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathfrak{D}_\uparrow)$ -algebras with the underlying collection $A = \{A_c \otimes \uparrow^{-1}(c) \mid c \in \pi_0(\mathbf{0})\}$.

Proposition 3.10 should be compared to Lemma II.5.49 of [43]. In the classical operad theory, algebras can equivalently be described as morphism to the endomorphism operad. We are going to give similar description also in our setup. While the classical construction assigns the endomorphism operad $\mathcal{E}nd_V$ to a vector space V , here we start with a collection

$$(44) \quad V = \{V_c \mid c \in \pi_0(\mathbf{0})\}$$

of graded vector spaces indexed by the components of $\mathbf{0}$. We moreover assume that to each local terminal object $u \in \mathbf{0}$ we are given a linear map (denoted u again)

$$(45) \quad u : V_{\pi_0(s_1(u))} \rightarrow V_{\pi_0(u)}$$

such that, for each map $u \rightarrow v$ of local terminal objects with fiber t , the triangle

$$(46) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} V_{\pi_0(s_1(u))} & \xrightarrow{u} & V_{\pi_0(u)} \\ & \searrow t & \nearrow v \\ & & V_{\pi_0(t)} \end{array}$$

commutes. Since $\pi_0(s_1(u)) = \pi_0(s_1(t))$, $\pi_0(u) = \pi_0(v)$ and $\pi_0(s_1(v)) = \pi_0(t)$, the above diagram makes sense. We moreover assume that the maps corresponding to the chosen local terminal objects are the identities. For $T \in \mathbf{0}$ we put

$$\mathcal{E}nd_V(T) := \mathbf{Vect} \left(\bigotimes_{c \in \pi_0(s(T))} V_c, V_{\pi_0(T)} \right).$$

We define an action $\mathcal{E}nd_V(T) \ni \alpha \mapsto f^*(\alpha) \in \mathcal{E}nd_V(S)$ of an isomorphism $f : S \rightarrow T$ with fibers u_1, \dots, u_s by

$$(47) \quad f^*(\alpha)(a_1, \dots, a_s) := \alpha(u_1 a_1, \dots, u_s a_s), \quad a_1 \otimes \dots \otimes a_s \in \bigotimes_{c \in \pi_0(s(T))} V_c.$$

This turns $\mathcal{E}nd_V$ into a functor $\mathbf{0}_{\text{iso}}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Vect}$. The composition law

$$\circ_\phi : \mathcal{E}nd_V(F) \otimes \mathcal{E}nd_V(T) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}nd_V(S).$$

is, for an elementary morphism $F \triangleright_i S \xrightarrow{\phi} T$, defined as follows. Assume

$$\alpha : \bigotimes_{c \in \pi_0(s(F))} V_c \longrightarrow V_{\pi_0(F)} \in \mathcal{E}nd_V(F), \quad \beta : \bigotimes_{c \in \pi_0(s(T))} V_c \longrightarrow V_{\pi_0(T)} \in \mathcal{E}nd_V(T)$$

and notice that

$$\pi_0(s(S)) = \pi_0(s(F)) \sqcup (\pi_0(s(T)) \setminus \{\pi_0(s_i(T))\}) \quad \text{and} \quad \pi_0(F) = \pi_0(s_i(T)).$$

Then

$$\circ_\phi(\alpha \otimes \beta) : \bigotimes_{c \in \pi_0(s(S))} V_c \rightarrow V_{\pi_0(S)} \in \mathcal{E}nd_V(S)$$

is the map that makes the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \bigotimes_{c \in \pi_0(s(S))} V_c & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \bigotimes_{c \in \pi_0(s(F))} V_c \otimes \bigotimes_{c \in \pi_0(s(S)) \setminus \{\pi_0(s_i(T))\}} V_c \\ \downarrow \circ_\phi(\alpha \otimes \beta) & & \downarrow \alpha \otimes \mathbf{1} \\ & & V_{\pi_0(s_i(T))} \otimes \bigotimes_{c \in \pi_0(s(S)) \setminus \{\pi_0(s_i(T))\}} V_c \\ & & \downarrow \cong \\ & & \bigotimes_{c \in \pi_0(s(S))} V_c \\ & \xleftarrow{\beta} & \\ V_{\pi_0(S)} & & \end{array}$$

commuting. The result of the above construction is the Markl version of the *endomorphism operad*.

Notice that the components $\eta_u : \mathbb{k} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}nd_V(u)$ of transformation (29) for $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{E}nd_V$ are given by the maps in (45) as

$$\eta_u(1) := u : V_{\pi_0(s_1(u))} \rightarrow V_{\pi_0(u)} \in \mathcal{E}nd_V(u).$$

It is simple to verify that the commutativity of (30) is precisely (46). The induced maps

$$\vartheta(T, u) : \mathcal{E}nd_V(F) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}nd_V(T)$$

in (31) are given by the composite, $\vartheta(T, u)(\phi) := u\phi$, with the map (45).

Remark 3.11. The above analysis shows that the morphisms $\vartheta(T, u)$ need not be the identities for a general $\mathcal{E}nd_V$. Endomorphism operads are therefore examples of unital operads that need not be *strictly* unital.

We have the expected

Proposition 3.12. *There is a one-to-one correspondence between \mathcal{M} -algebras with the underlying collection (44) and morphisms $\mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}nd_V$ of Markl operads.*

Proof. Direct verification. □

4. MARKL OPERADS AND VIRTUAL ISOMORPHISMS

We introduce the category of virtual isomorphism \mathbf{O}_{vrt} related to an operadic category \mathbf{O} , its extension $\mathbf{O}_{\text{vrt}} \int \mathbf{O}_{\text{iso}}$, and its quotient \mathbf{QO}_{vrt} modulo virtual isomorphisms. In the presence of a grading e on \mathbf{O} we will further consider the subgroupoid $\mathbf{O}_{\text{vrt}}(e)$ of objects of grade ≥ 1 , the extension $\mathbf{O}_{\text{vrt}}(e) \int \mathbf{O}_{\text{iso}}$ and the related quotient $\mathbf{QO}_{\text{vrt}}(e)$. Presheaves on $\mathbf{QO}_{\text{vrt}}(e)$ will then serve as the underlying collections for Markl operads.

Throughout this section, \mathbf{O} will be a factorizable operadic category in which all quasi-bijections are invertible, and the blow-up and unique fiber axioms are fulfilled. Using the abbreviations of Section 1 we therefore require

$$\text{Fac \& SBU \& QBI \& UFib.}$$

As before we denote by \mathbf{O}_{1trm} the groupoid of local terminal objects in \mathbf{O} and by $\mathbf{O}_{\text{iso}} \subset \mathbf{O}$ the subcategory with the same objects as \mathbf{O} , and morphisms the isomorphisms of \mathbf{O} .

Let $T \in \mathbf{O}$ and let $t \in \mathbf{O}$ be a local terminal object in the connected component of T . We therefore have a unique morphism $T \rightarrow t$ with a unique fiber F , which will be expressed by the shorthand $F \blacktriangleright T \rightarrow t$. In this situation we write $F \rightsquigarrow T$ or $F \overset{t}{\rightsquigarrow} T$ if t needs to be specified, and speak about a *virtual morphism* from F to T . Notice that $F \rightsquigarrow T$ does not represent an ‘actual’ morphism $F \rightarrow T$; there in fact may not be any morphisms from F to T in \mathbf{O} , cf. Example 4.4 below.

The notation $F \blacktriangleright T \rightarrow t$ shall not be confused with $F \triangleright T \rightarrow t$ used before. The map $T \rightarrow t$ need not be an elementary morphism even when \mathbf{O} is graded, since we do not demand $e(T) \geq 1$ or any analog of this. The following statement shows that one may define a composition rule for virtual morphisms so that they form a groupoid.

Lemma 4.1. *Virtual morphisms in the operadic category \mathbf{O} form a groupoid \mathbf{O}_{vrt} .*

Proof. The virtual identity morphism for $T \in \mathbf{O}$ is $T \overset{U}{\rightsquigarrow} T$ associated to the unique morphism $T \blacktriangleright T \rightarrow U$ to the chosen trivial object U in the connected component of T . The composition of virtual morphisms is defined as follows.

Let $S \overset{t}{\rightsquigarrow} T \overset{r}{\rightsquigarrow} R$ be a chain of virtual morphisms. This means that S is the fiber of the unique morphism $\phi : T \rightarrow t$, and T is the fiber of $\psi : R \rightarrow r$, i.e. $S \blacktriangleright T \xrightarrow{\phi} t$ and $T \blacktriangleright R \xrightarrow{\psi} r$, $t \in \mathbf{O}_{\text{1trm}}$. By the blow-up axiom there exists a unique factorization of ψ as in the diagram

$$(48) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} R & \xrightarrow{\xi} & s \\ \psi \searrow & & \nearrow \delta \\ & r & \end{array}$$

such that ξ_1 , the induced map between the unique fibers, equals ϕ . From Axiom (iv) of an operadic category, $\xi^{-1}(1) = \xi_1^{-1}(1) = \phi^{-1}(1) = S$, that is $S \blacktriangleright R \xrightarrow{\xi} s$. We take the related virtual morphism $S \overset{s}{\rightsquigarrow} R$ as the composite of $S \overset{t}{\rightsquigarrow} T$ and $T \overset{r}{\rightsquigarrow} R$.

To prove the associativity of the composition of virtual morphisms we observe that the two possible compositions of three virtual morphisms give two morphisms to local terminal objects: $S \blacktriangleright Q \rightarrow q'$ and $S \blacktriangleright Q \rightarrow q''$. However, by [10, Lemma 2.17], $q' = q''$. The proof of the unitality is similar.

The invertibility of morphisms in $\mathbf{0}_{\text{vrt}}$ is established as follows. Consider a virtual morphism $S \overset{t}{\rightsquigarrow} T$ given by some $S \blacktriangleright T \xrightarrow{\phi} t \in \mathbf{0}$. The morphism ϕ has a unique factorization $T \rightarrow U \xrightarrow{\delta} t$ through a chosen local terminal object U ; δ is the inverse to the canonical isomorphism $t \rightarrow U$. Let s be the unique fiber of δ , i.e. $s \blacktriangleright U \xrightarrow{\delta} t$. The diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T & \longrightarrow & U \\ & \searrow \phi & \nearrow \delta \\ & & t \end{array}$$

induces a morphism of fibers $S \rightarrow s$ whose fiber is T , giving rise to a virtual morphism $T \overset{s}{\rightsquigarrow} S$. The composite $(T \overset{s}{\rightsquigarrow} S)(S \overset{t}{\rightsquigarrow} T)$ is a morphism $T \rightsquigarrow T$, i.e. the identity by the unique fiber axiom. The equality $(S \overset{t}{\rightsquigarrow} T)(T \overset{s}{\rightsquigarrow} S) = \mathbb{1}_T$ is established similarly. Thus $T \overset{s}{\rightsquigarrow} S$ is the inverse to $S \overset{t}{\rightsquigarrow} T$. \square

Lemma 4.2. *For any $R \in \mathbf{0}$ one has an isomorphism of groupoids $\mathbf{0}_{\text{vrt}}/R \cong (R/\mathbf{0}_{\text{1trm}})^{\text{op}}$. In particular, if there exists a morphism $S \rightsquigarrow T$ in $\mathbf{0}_{\text{vrt}}$ then it is unique.*

Proof. Assume that $S \blacktriangleright R \rightarrow t$. On the level of objects, the isomorphism of the lemma sends $S \overset{t}{\rightsquigarrow} R$ to t . To a morphism $S \overset{t}{\rightsquigarrow} T$ in $\mathbf{0}_{\text{vrt}}$ over R as in the proof of Lemma 4.1 we associate the (unique) map $\delta : s \rightarrow t$ in (48). It is easy to show that this construction gives rise to an isomorphism of categories. Notice that the second part of the statement also directly follows from [10, Lemma 2.17]. \square

Lemma 4.2 implies that $\mathbf{0}_{\text{vrt}}$ is equivalent as a category to a discrete set. The notation $S \rightsquigarrow T$ will denote the unique isomorphism from T to S , tacitly assuming its existence, and $S \rightsquigarrow T$ its inverse. As the next step towards our construction of \mathbf{QO}_{vrt} we extend $\mathbf{0}_{\text{vrt}}$ into a category $\mathbf{0}_{\text{vrt}} \int \mathbf{0}_{\text{iso}}$ which has the same objects as $\mathbf{0}$ but whose morphisms $T \rightarrow R$ are sequences

$$S \xrightarrow{\phi} T \rightsquigarrow R$$

where $\phi : S \rightarrow T \in \mathbf{0}_{\text{iso}}$ is an isomorphism in $\mathbf{0}$. To define the composition, consider a sequence

$$S \xrightarrow{\phi} T \rightsquigarrow R \xrightarrow{\psi} Q \rightsquigarrow P.$$

The virtual morphism $T \rightsquigarrow R$ is related to a morphism $T \blacktriangleright R \rightarrow r$ with a unique $r \in \mathcal{O}_{\text{trm}}$. The objects R and Q live in the same connected component, so we have a unique $D \blacktriangleright Q \rightarrow r$ and the associated virtual morphism $D \rightsquigarrow Q$. The diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R & \xrightarrow{\psi} & Q \\ & \searrow & \swarrow \\ & r & \end{array}$$

induces the morphism $\xi := \psi_1 : T \rightarrow D$ of fibers. We then define the composite

$$(R \xrightarrow{\psi} Q \rightsquigarrow P)(S \xrightarrow{\phi} T \rightsquigarrow R)$$

as the sequence

$$(49) \quad S \xrightarrow{\xi\phi} (Q \rightsquigarrow P)(D \rightsquigarrow Q).$$

The identity morphism of S is given by $S \xrightarrow{\mathbb{1}} S \rightsquigarrow S$. One can easily check that the above structure makes $\mathcal{O}_{\text{vrt}} \int \mathcal{O}_{\text{iso}}$ a category.

Let us show that $\mathcal{O}_{\text{vrt}} \int \mathcal{O}_{\text{iso}}$ is a groupoid. For a morphism $\Phi : S \xrightarrow{\phi} T \rightsquigarrow R$ in $\mathcal{O}_{\text{vrt}} \int \mathcal{O}_{\text{iso}}$ we consider the inverse $R \rightsquigarrow T$ to the virtual morphism $T \rightsquigarrow R$ and the inverse $\psi : T \rightarrow S$ of $\phi : S \rightarrow T \in \mathcal{O}$. It turns out that the composite

$$(T \xrightarrow{\psi} S \rightsquigarrow S)(R \xrightarrow{\mathbb{1}} R \rightsquigarrow T)$$

in $\mathcal{O}_{\text{vrt}} \int \mathcal{O}_{\text{iso}}$ represents the inverse to Φ .

We now consider the quotient $\mathbb{Q}\mathcal{O}_{\text{vrt}}$ of $\mathcal{O}_{\text{vrt}} \int \mathcal{O}_{\text{iso}}$ whose objects are classes of objects of $\mathcal{O}_{\text{vrt}} \int \mathcal{O}_{\text{iso}}$ with respect to the relation generated by virtual isomorphisms. That is, two objects are equivalent if there is a virtual isomorphism between them. More precisely, $\mathbb{Q}\mathcal{O}_{\text{vrt}}$ is defined by the pushout

$$(50) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{O}_{\text{vrt}} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{\text{vrt}} \int \mathcal{O}_{\text{iso}} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \pi_0(\mathcal{O}_{\text{vrt}}) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Q}\mathcal{O}_{\text{vrt}} \end{array}$$

in the category of groupoids. Since the left vertical functor is an equivalence and the top horizontal functor a cofibration of groupoids in Joyal's folklore model structure on the category of groupoids, the right vertical functor is an equivalence of groupoids, too.

It is easy to see that morphisms between objects in $\mathbb{Q}\mathcal{O}_{\text{vrt}}$ are equivalence classes of non-virtual isomorphisms in the following sense. Let $\phi' : T' \rightarrow S'$ and $\phi'' : T'' \rightarrow S''$ be two isomorphisms in \mathcal{O} . They are equivalent if there exist a local terminal object t such that ϕ''

is the induced fiber map in the diagram

$$(51) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} T' & \xrightarrow{\phi'} & S' \\ & \searrow & \swarrow \\ & t & \end{array}$$

Example 4.3. Assume that each connected component of $\mathbf{0}$ contains precisely one terminal object, i.e. all local terminal objects are the trivial (chosen) ones. Then $\mathbf{QO}_{\text{vrt}} \cong \mathbf{O}_{\text{iso}}$. This is the case of e.g. the category \mathbf{Fin} of finite ordinals or of the operadic category \mathbf{Per} in Subsection 9.4 governing permutads.

Example 4.4. In the operadic category $\mathbf{Bq}(\mathcal{C})$ recalled in [10, Example 1.5] two bouquets are virtually equivalent if they differ only in the last color. Notice that an ‘actual’ morphism $b' \rightarrow b''$ in $\mathbf{Bq}(\mathcal{C})$ between virtually equivalent bouquets exists if and only if $b' = b''$. The groupoid $\mathbf{QBq}(\mathcal{C})_{\text{vrt}}$ is the groupoid of strings (i_1, \dots, i_k) , $k \geq 1$, with morphisms arbitrary bijections.

Example 4.5. Two graphs in the operadic category \mathbf{Gr} of [10, Definition 3.12] are virtually equivalent if they differ only in the global orders of their leaves. Morphisms in $\mathbf{QGr}_{\text{vrt}}$ are isomorphisms of graphs which however need not preserve the global orders.

Assume that $\mathbf{0}$ possesses a grading $e : \mathbf{Objects}(\mathbf{0}) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$. In this case we denote by $\mathbf{O}_{\text{vrt}}(e) \subset \mathbf{O}_{\text{vrt}}$ the full subgroupoid with objects $T \in \mathbf{0}$ such that $e(T) \geq 1$. We construct $\mathbf{O}_{\text{vrt}}(e) \int \mathbf{O}_{\text{iso}}$ out of $\mathbf{O}_{\text{vrt}}(e)$ and \mathbf{O}_{iso} as before, and define its quotient $\mathbf{QO}_{\text{vrt}}(e)$ by replacing \mathbf{O}_{vrt} by $\mathbf{O}_{\text{vrt}}(e)$ in (50). In the following lemma \mathbf{V} denotes, as in Definition 3.1, a cocomplete strict symmetric monoidal category.

Lemma 4.6. *Each unital Markl $\mathbf{0}$ -operad \mathcal{M} with values in \mathbf{V} induces a covariant functor $\mathbf{O}_{\text{vrt}}(e) \rightarrow \mathbf{V}$, denoted \mathcal{M} again, which acts as \mathcal{M} on objects, and on virtual morphisms is defined by*

$$\mathcal{M}(F \rightsquigarrow T) := \vartheta(T, u),$$

where $\vartheta(T, u)$ is as in (31). Since $\mathbf{O}_{\text{vrt}}(e)$ is a groupoid, all maps $\vartheta(T, u)$ are invertible.

Proof. It follows from the unitality (28) of \mathcal{M} that $\mathcal{M}(T \rightsquigarrow T) = \mathbb{1}_T$. Let us verify the functoriality

$$(52) \quad \mathcal{M}(S \rightsquigarrow R) = \mathcal{M}(T \rightsquigarrow R)\mathcal{M}(S \rightsquigarrow T).$$

To this end we consider the commutative diagram

$$(53) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M}(T) \otimes \mathcal{M}(r) & \xrightarrow{\circ!} & \mathcal{M}(R) \\ \vartheta(T,r) \otimes \mathbb{1} \uparrow & & \circ! \uparrow \\ \mathcal{M}(S) \otimes \mathcal{M}(r) & \xrightarrow{\mathbb{1} \otimes \delta^*} & \mathcal{M}(S) \otimes \mathcal{M}(r') \\ \mathbb{1} \otimes \eta_r \uparrow & \nearrow \mathbb{1} \otimes \eta_{r'} & \\ \mathcal{M}(S) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{M}(S) \otimes \mathbb{k} & & \end{array}$$

Its upper square is (33) applied to the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R & \xlongequal{\quad} & R \\ \xi \downarrow & \searrow \psi & \downarrow \psi \\ r' & \xrightarrow{\delta} & r \end{array}$$

in place of (32), in which the symbols have the same meaning as in (48). The commutativity of the bottom triangle follows from the commutativity of (30). It follows from the definition of the maps $\vartheta(T, u)$ that the composite

$$\mathcal{M}(S) \cong \mathcal{M}(S) \otimes \mathbb{k} \xrightarrow{\mathbb{1} \otimes \eta_{r'}} \mathcal{M}(S) \otimes \mathcal{M}(r') \xrightarrow{\circ!} \mathcal{M}(R)$$

in (53) equals the left-hand side of (52), while the composite

$$\mathcal{M}(S) \cong \mathcal{M}(S) \otimes \mathbb{k} \xrightarrow{\mathbb{1} \otimes \eta_r} \mathcal{M}(S) \otimes \mathcal{M}(r) \xrightarrow{\vartheta(T,r) \otimes \mathbb{1}} \mathcal{M}(T) \otimes \mathcal{M}(r) \xrightarrow{\circ!} \mathcal{M}(R)$$

equals the right-hand side of (52). \square

Proposition 4.7. *The \mathcal{O}_{iso} -presheaf structure of a Markl \mathcal{O} -operad \mathcal{M} in \mathbf{V} combined with the functor $\mathcal{M} : \mathcal{O}_{\text{vrt}}(e) \rightarrow \mathbf{V}$ of Lemma 4.6 makes \mathcal{M} a $\mathcal{O}_{\text{vrt}}(e) \int \mathcal{O}_{\text{iso}}$ -presheaf via the formula*

$$(54) \quad \mathcal{M}(S \xrightarrow{\phi} T \rightsquigarrow R) := \phi^* \mathcal{M}(R \rightsquigarrow T)$$

where, in the right-hand side, $R \rightsquigarrow T$ denotes the inverse of $T \rightsquigarrow R$.

Proof. Since clearly $\mathcal{M}(T \xrightarrow{\mathbb{1}} T \rightsquigarrow T) = \mathbb{1}_T$, we need only to prove that

$$(55) \quad \mathcal{M}(S \xrightarrow{\phi} T \rightsquigarrow R) \mathcal{M}(R \xrightarrow{\psi} Q \rightsquigarrow P) = \mathcal{M}(S \xrightarrow{\xi\phi} (Q \rightsquigarrow P)(D \rightsquigarrow Q))$$

for the composite

$$(R \xrightarrow{\psi} Q \rightsquigarrow P)(S \xrightarrow{\phi} T \rightsquigarrow R) = S \xrightarrow{\xi\phi} (Q \rightsquigarrow P)(D \rightsquigarrow Q)$$

defined in (49). Evaluating both sides of (55) using (54) gives

$$\phi^* \mathcal{M}(R \rightsquigarrow T) \psi^* \mathcal{M}(P \rightsquigarrow Q) = (\xi\phi)^* \mathcal{M}(Q \rightsquigarrow D) \mathcal{M}(P \rightsquigarrow Q).$$

Since all the maps involved are isomorphisms, we easily see that (55) is equivalent to

$$(56) \quad \mathcal{M}(D \rightsquigarrow Q)(\xi^{-1})^* = (\psi^{-1})^* \mathcal{M}(T \rightsquigarrow R).$$

the fibers over t . To this end, consider the commutative diagram

$$(59) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} & & \phi'^* & & \\ & & \curvearrowright & & \\ \mathcal{M}(T') & \xleftarrow{\mathbb{1} \otimes \phi'^*} & \mathbb{k} \otimes \mathcal{M}(S') & \xrightarrow{\mathbb{1} \otimes \phi'^*} & \mathcal{M}(S') \\ \downarrow \vartheta(T', t) & \eta_u \otimes \mathbb{1} \downarrow & \downarrow \eta_u \otimes \mathbb{1} & & \downarrow \vartheta(S', t) \\ \mathcal{M}(T'') & \xleftarrow{\circ!} \mathcal{M}(u) \otimes \mathcal{M}(T') & \xleftarrow{\mathbb{1} \otimes \phi''^*} \mathcal{M}(u) \otimes \mathcal{M}(S') & \xrightarrow{\circ!} & \mathcal{M}(S'') \\ & & \phi''^* & & \\ & & \curvearrowleft & & \end{array}$$

in which the extreme left and right squares are instances of (31). The commutativity of the central square and of the upper part is clear. Finally, the commutativity of the lower part follows from axiom (27) of Markl operads. An easy diagram chase shows that the commutativity of (59) implies the commutativity of the middle square in

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \mathring{\mathcal{M}}([T']) & \xrightarrow[\cong]{\iota_{T'}} & \mathcal{M}(T') & \xleftarrow{\phi'^*} & \mathcal{M}(S') & \xleftarrow[\cong]{\iota_{S'}} & \mathring{\mathcal{M}}([S']) \\ \parallel & & \downarrow \vartheta(T', t) & & \downarrow \vartheta(S', t) & & \parallel \\ \mathring{\mathcal{M}}([T'']) & \xrightarrow[\cong]{\iota_{T''}} & \mathcal{M}(T'') & \xleftarrow{\phi''^*} & \mathcal{M}(S'') & \xleftarrow[\cong]{\iota_{S''}} & \mathring{\mathcal{M}}([S'']) \end{array}$$

The independence of $\mathring{\mathcal{M}}([\phi])$ on the choice of a representative of $[\phi]$ is now clear. \square

Remark 4.9. If \mathcal{M} is strictly unital, the definition in (58) via a colimit can be replaced by $\mathring{\mathcal{M}}([T]) := \mathcal{M}(T)$.

5. FREE MARKL OPERADS

This section is devoted to our construction of free strictly unital 1-connected Markl operads over $\mathbf{0}$ generated by $\mathbf{QO}_{\text{vrt}}(e)$ -presheaves. In the light of Theorem 3.4 this will also provide free (standard) $\mathbf{0}$ -operads. We require $\mathbf{0}$ to be a factorizable strictly graded operadic category in which all quasibijections are invertible, and the blow-up and unique fiber axioms are fulfilled, that is

$$\text{Fac} \ \& \ \text{SBU} \ \& \ \text{QBI} \ \& \ \text{UFib} \ \& \ \text{SGrad}.$$

Definition 5.1. $\mathbf{QO}_{\text{vrt}}(e)$ -presheaves in \mathbf{V} will be called *1-connected $\mathbf{0}$ -collections* in \mathbf{V} . We will denote by $\text{Coll}_1^{\mathbf{V}}(\mathbf{0})$, or simply $\text{Coll}_1^{\mathbf{V}}$ when $\mathbf{0}$ is understood, the corresponding category.

For $E \in \text{Coll}_1^{\mathbf{V}}$, we will often write simply $E[T]$ instead of $E([T])$. Notice that a 1-connected $\mathbf{0}$ -collection can equivalently be defined as a \mathbf{QO}_{vrt} -presheaf E such that $E(T) = 0$ if $e(T) = 0$. It follows that a 1-connected $\mathbf{0}$ -collection in \mathbf{V} is the same as an \mathbf{O}_{iso} -presheaf \mathcal{E} with values in \mathbf{V} such that

- (i) $\mathcal{E}(T) = 0$ if $e(T) = 0$ (1-connectivity),

- (ii) $\mathcal{E}(T) = \mathcal{E}(F)$ whenever $F \blacktriangleright T \xrightarrow{!} u$, and
- (iii) $\phi'^* = \phi''^*$, where ϕ' is as in (51) and ϕ'' is the induced map between the fibers.

Example 5.2. It follows from Example 4.3 that the category $\mathbf{Coll}_1^{\mathbb{V}}(\mathbf{Fin})$ is isomorphic to the category of 1-connected Σ -modules, i.e. sequences $\{E(n) \in \mathbb{V}\}_{n \geq 2}$, with actions of the symmetric groups Σ_n .

Proposition 5.3. *One has a forgetful functor $\square : \mathbf{SUMOp}_1^{\mathbb{V}}(\mathbb{0}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Coll}_1^{\mathbb{V}}(\mathbb{0})$ from the category of 1-connected strictly unital Markl $\mathbb{0}$ -operads to the category of 1-connected $\mathbb{0}$ -collections in \mathbb{V} defined on objects by*

$$(60) \quad \square \mathcal{M}([T]) := \begin{cases} \mathcal{M}(T) & \text{if } e(T) \geq 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. The functor \square is the composite of the functor $\mathcal{M} \mapsto \mathring{\mathcal{M}}$ of Proposition 4.8, cf. Remark 4.9, with the functor that replaces the values of the presheaf $\mathring{\mathcal{M}}$ by 0 on objects of grade zero. \square

In the rest of this section we construct a left adjoint $E \mapsto \mathbb{F}(E)$ to the forgetful functor of Proposition 5.3. Our strategy will be to construct a Markl $\mathbb{0}$ -operad $\mathbf{1Tw}$ with values in the category of groupoids \mathbf{Grp} , extend E to a functor $E : \mathbf{1Tw} \rightarrow \mathbb{V}$ and define $\mathbb{F}(E)$ as the colimit of this functor. The building blocks of the operad $\mathbf{1Tw}$ will be the towers

$$(61) \quad \mathcal{J} := T \xrightarrow{\tau_1} T_1 \xrightarrow{\tau_2} T_2 \xrightarrow{\tau_3} \dots \xrightarrow{\tau_{k-1}} T_{k-1} \xrightarrow{\tau_k} U_c$$

of elementary morphisms as in Definition 2.1, with τ_k the unique morphism to a chosen local terminal object U_c . Since τ_k bears no information, we will sometimes write the tower as

$$(62) \quad \mathcal{J} := T \xrightarrow{\tau_1} T_1 \xrightarrow{\tau_2} T_2 \xrightarrow{\tau_3} \dots \xrightarrow{\tau_{k-1}} T_{k-1}.$$

Let t_1, \dots, t_k be the unique nontrivial fibers of τ_1, \dots, τ_k ; notice that $t_k = T_{k-1}$. We will call t_1, \dots, t_k the *fiber sequence* of the tower \mathcal{J} . The number k is the *height* of the tower \mathcal{J} .

We will denote by $Tw(T)$ the set of all towers with the initial term T . A *morphism* $\sigma : \mathcal{J}' \rightarrow \mathcal{J}''$ of towers (61) is an array $\sigma = (\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_k)$ of isomorphisms as in

$$(63) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} T' & \xrightarrow[\cong]{\sigma_1} & T'' \\ \tau'_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow \tau''_1 \\ T'_1 & \xrightarrow[\cong]{\sigma_2} & T''_1 \\ \tau'_2 \downarrow & & \downarrow \tau''_2 \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \tau'_{k-1} \downarrow & & \downarrow \tau''_{k-1} \\ T'_{k-1} & \xrightarrow[\cong]{\sigma_k} & T''_{k-1}. \end{array}$$

Definition 5.4. A *labelled tower* is a pair (ℓ, \mathcal{J}) consisting of a tower \mathcal{J} as in (61) together with an isomorphism (the *labeling*) $\ell : X \rightarrow T$. We denote by $lTw(X)$ the set of all labelled towers of this form.

We will equip $lTw(X)$ with the structure of a groupoid with morphisms of two types. Each morphism $\sigma : \mathcal{J}' \rightarrow \mathcal{J}''$ of towers (61) determines a morphism $(\ell, \sigma) : (\ell, \mathcal{J}') \rightarrow (\sigma_1 \ell, \mathcal{J}'')$ of the *first type*. These morphisms compose as follows. Suppose that $(\ell, \sigma') : (\ell, \mathcal{J}') \rightarrow (\sigma'_1 \ell, \mathcal{J}'')$ and $(\sigma'_1 \ell, \sigma'') : (\sigma'_1 \ell, \mathcal{J}'') \rightarrow (\sigma''_1 \sigma'_1 \ell, \mathcal{J}''')$ are two such morphisms, then

$$(\sigma'_1 \ell, \sigma'')(\ell, \sigma') := (\sigma''_1 \sigma'_1 \ell, \sigma'' \sigma').$$

To define morphisms of the second type, consider two towers of elementary morphisms,

$$\mathcal{J}' := T \xrightarrow{\tau_1} T_1 \xrightarrow{\tau_2} \dots \xrightarrow{\tau_{u-1}} T_{u-1} \xrightarrow{\tau'_u} T'_u \xrightarrow{\tau'_{u+1}} T_{u+1} \xrightarrow{\tau_{u+2}} \dots \xrightarrow{\tau_{k-1}} T_{k-1}$$

and

$$\mathcal{J}'' := T \xrightarrow{\tau_1} T_1 \xrightarrow{\tau_2} \dots \xrightarrow{\tau_{u-1}} T_{u-1} \xrightarrow{\tau''_u} T''_u \xrightarrow{\tau''_{u+1}} T_{u+1} \xrightarrow{\tau_{u+2}} \dots \xrightarrow{\tau_{k-1}} T_{k-1},$$

as in (62). Their associated fiber sequences are clearly of the form

$$t_1, \dots, t_{u-1}, t'_u, t''_{u+1}, t_{u+2}, \dots, t_k \quad \text{resp.} \quad t_1, \dots, t_{u-1}, t''_u, t''_{u+1}, t_{u+2}, \dots, t_k.$$

Assume that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & T'_u & \\ \tau'_u \nearrow & & \searrow \tau'_{u+1} \\ T_{u-1} & & T_{u+1} \\ \tau''_u \searrow & & \nearrow \tau''_{u+1} \\ & T''_u & \end{array}$$

is as in (11), with $\phi' = \tau'_u$, $\phi'' = \tau''_u$, $\psi' = \tau'_{u+1}$ and $\psi'' = \tau''_{u+1}$. The above situation, by definition, determines an invertible morphism $(\ell, \mathcal{J}') \rightarrow (\ell, \mathcal{J}'')$ of the *second type*.

The composition of morphisms of the first and the second type is subject to the obvious commutativity relations. The resulting groupoid will be denoted $\mathbf{1Tw}(X)$.

Example 5.5. Since morphisms of both types preserve the height of towers, the groupoid $\mathbf{1Tw}(X)$ is graded,

$$\mathbf{1Tw}(X) = \coprod_{h \geq 1} \mathbf{1Tw}^h(X),$$

where $\mathbf{1Tw}^h(X)$ is the subgroupoid of labelled towers of height h . It is clear that $\mathbf{1Tw}^1(X)$ is the category $X/\mathbf{0}_{\text{iso}}$ of isomorphisms in $\mathbf{0}$ under X .

In $\mathbf{1Tw}^2(X)$, only morphisms of the first type exist. Therefore, labelled towers (ℓ', \mathcal{J}') and (ℓ'', \mathcal{J}'') are connected by a morphism if and only if there is a commuting diagram

(64)

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & X & \\
 \ell' \swarrow & & \searrow \ell'' \\
 T' & \xrightarrow[\cong]{\sigma_1} & T'' \\
 \tau' \downarrow & & \downarrow \tau'' \\
 T'_1 & \xrightarrow[\cong]{\sigma_2} & T''_1
 \end{array}$$

with isomorphisms σ_1 and σ_2 .

For an isomorphism $\omega : X' \xrightarrow{\cong} X''$ one has the induced map $\omega^* : \mathbf{1Tw}(X'') \rightarrow \mathbf{1Tw}(X')$ that sends the labelled tower $(\ell'', \mathcal{J}'') \in \mathbf{1Tw}(X'')$ into $(\ell''\omega, \mathcal{J}'') \in \mathbf{1Tw}(X')$ which clearly extends to a functor (denoted by the same symbol) $\omega^* : \mathbf{1Tw}(X'') \rightarrow \mathbf{1Tw}(X')$. This makes the collection of categories $\mathbf{1Tw}(X)$ a **Grp**-presheaf on $\mathbf{0}_{\text{iso}}$. Our next move will be to construct, for each $G \triangleright W \xrightarrow{\phi} X$, a functor

(65)

$$\circ_{\phi} : \mathbf{1Tw}(X) \times \mathbf{1Tw}(G) \rightarrow \mathbf{1Tw}(W).$$

As the first step in this construction we will prove that each labelled tower (ℓ, \mathcal{J}) can be functorially replaced by one in which ℓ is a quasibijection. To this end we prove a couple of auxiliary lemmas.

Lemma 5.6. *The factorization $\xi = \phi\sigma$, $\phi \in \mathbf{0}_{\text{ord}}$, $\sigma \in \mathbf{0}_{\text{qb}}$, of an isomorphism $\xi : A \rightarrow B$ guaranteed by the factorization axiom is unique, and both ϕ and σ are isomorphisms, too.*

Proof. Consider two factorizations, $\phi'\sigma'$ and $\phi''\sigma''$, of ξ . Since σ' and σ'' are quasibijections, they are invertible, so one may define u by the commutativity of the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & X' & \\
 \sigma' \nearrow & & \searrow \phi' \\
 A & & B \\
 \sigma'' \searrow & & \nearrow \phi'' \\
 & X'' & \\
 & \uparrow u & \\
 & \cong & \\
 & \uparrow & \\
 & \cong & \\
 & \downarrow & \\
 & \cong & \\
 & \downarrow u & \\
 & X'' & \\
 & \nearrow \phi'' & \\
 & & B
 \end{array}$$

By the left triangle, u is a quasibijection while it belongs to \mathbf{O}_{ord} by the right triangle. The uniqueness follows from [10, Corollary 2.6]. The invertibility of ϕ' and ϕ'' is clear. \square

Lemma 5.7. *Each corner*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{T} & \xrightarrow[\cong]{\omega} & T \\ & & \downarrow \phi \\ & & S \end{array}$$

in which ω is an isomorphisms from \mathbf{O}_{ord} and ϕ is elementary, can be functorially completed to the square

$$(66) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{T} & \xrightarrow[\cong]{\omega} & T \\ \tilde{\phi} \downarrow & & \downarrow \phi \\ \tilde{S} & \xrightarrow[\cong]{\tilde{\omega}} & S \end{array}$$

with $\tilde{\omega}$ an isomorphisms from \mathbf{O}_{ord} and $\tilde{\phi}$ elementary.

Proof. The map $\tilde{\phi} := \phi\omega$ belongs to \mathbf{O}_{ord} . It has precisely one fiber G such that $e(G) \geq 1$, its other fibers u_1, \dots, u_{s-1} are local terminal. The blow-up axiom produces a unique diagram (66) such that the maps of fibers induced by $\tilde{\omega}$ are

$$! : G \rightarrow U, ! : u_1 \rightarrow u_1, \dots, ! : u_{s-1} \rightarrow u_{s-1},$$

with U the chosen local terminal object of \mathbf{O} . It is clear that the maps thus constructed have the properties stated in the lemma. \square

Proposition 5.8. *Each $(\ell, \mathcal{J}) \in \mathbf{1Tw}(X)$ can be functorially replaced within its isomorphism class by some $(\tilde{\ell}, \tilde{\mathcal{J}})$ in which $\tilde{\ell}$ is a quasibijection.*

Proof. Let \mathcal{J} be as in (61) and $\ell : X \rightarrow T$ be an isomorphism. We decompose ℓ as $\sigma_1 \tilde{\ell}$, with $\tilde{\ell}$ a quasibijection and σ_1 an isomorphism in \mathbf{O}_{ord} . Lemma 5.7 gives a canonical square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{T} & \xrightarrow[\cong]{\sigma_1} & T \\ \tilde{\tau}_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow \tau_1 \\ \tilde{T}_1 & \xrightarrow[\cong]{\sigma_2} & T_1 \end{array}$$

in which $\tilde{\tau}_1$ is elementary and σ_2 an isomorphism in \mathbf{O}_{ord} . Repeating this process produces a tower $\tilde{\mathcal{J}}$ labelled by the quasibijection $\tilde{\ell} : X \rightarrow \tilde{T}$. \square

Proposition 5.8 implies that the graded category $\mathbf{1Tw}(X)$ contains a reflexive graded subcategory $\widetilde{\mathbf{1Tw}}(X)$ whose objects are towers (ℓ, \mathcal{J}) labelled by a quasibijection. Morphisms of the first type in $\widetilde{\mathbf{1Tw}}(X)$ are those $(\ell, \sigma) : (\ell, \mathcal{J}') \rightarrow (\sigma_1 \ell, \mathcal{J}'')$ in which σ_1 is a quasibijection. Morphisms of the second type are the same as those in $\mathbf{1Tw}(X)$.

Example 5.9. Labelled towers $(\ell', \mathcal{F}'), (\ell'', \mathcal{F}'') \in \widetilde{\mathbf{1Tw}}^2(X)$ are isomorphic if and only if there is the commuting diagram (64) in which the maps in the upper triangle are quasibijections.

Let $G \triangleright W \xrightarrow{\phi} X$ be elementary. Assume we are given a labelled tower $(\ell', \mathcal{F}) \in \mathbf{1Tw}(G)$, where

$$(67) \quad \mathcal{F} := F \xrightarrow{\varphi_1} F_1 \xrightarrow{\varphi_2} F_2 \xrightarrow{\varphi_3} \cdots \xrightarrow{\varphi_{l-1}} F_{l-1}$$

is a tower with the associated fibers f_1, \dots, f_l , with the labeling $\ell' : G \rightarrow F$. Assume we are also given a labeled tower $(\tilde{\ell}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}) \in \widetilde{\mathbf{1Tw}}(X)$, with $\tilde{\ell}$ a quasibijection. The blow-up axiom gives a unique diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} W & \xrightarrow{\ell''} & S \\ \phi \downarrow & \cong & \downarrow \rho \\ X & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\tilde{\ell}} & T \end{array}$$

in which $F \triangleright S \xrightarrow{\rho} T$ is elementary and ℓ'' an isomorphism inducing the map $\ell' : G \rightarrow F$ between the unique nontrivial fibers of ϕ and ρ , respectively. In this situation we have the composite tower

$$(68) \quad \mathcal{F} \circ_{\rho} \mathcal{F} := S \xrightarrow{\rho_1} S_1 \xrightarrow{\rho_2} S_2 \xrightarrow{\rho_3} \cdots \xrightarrow{\rho_{l-1}} S_{l-1} \xrightarrow{\rho_l} T \xrightarrow{\tau_1} T_1 \xrightarrow{\tau_2} T_2 \xrightarrow{\tau_3} \cdots \xrightarrow{\tau_{k-1}} T_{k-1}$$

whose initial part is (18b), and the composite labeled tower

$$(69) \quad (\ell, \mathcal{F}) \circ_{\phi} (\ell', \mathcal{F}) := (\ell'', \mathcal{F} \circ_{\rho} \mathcal{F}) \in \mathbf{1Tw}(W).$$

The above construction clearly extends to a functor

$$\circ_{\phi} : \widetilde{\mathbf{1Tw}}(X) \times \mathbf{1Tw}(G) \rightarrow \mathbf{1Tw}(W)$$

which, precomposed with the equivalence $\mathbf{1Tw}(X) \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathbf{1Tw}}(X)$ in the first variable, gives (65).

Let $E \in \mathbf{Coll}_1^{\vee}$ be a 1-connected collection. For a tower (62) we define

$$E(\mathcal{F}) := E[t_1] \otimes \cdots \otimes E[t_k] \in \mathbf{V}.$$

We will show how the rule $E(\ell, \mathcal{F}) := E(\mathcal{F})$ extends to a functor $E : \mathbf{1Tw}(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{V}$. Consider a morphism $(\ell, \sigma) : (\ell, \mathcal{F}') \rightarrow (\sigma_1 \ell, \mathcal{F}'')$ of the first type, with $\sigma : \mathcal{F}' \rightarrow \mathcal{F}''$ a map of towers as in (63). For each $0 \leq s \leq k$ one has the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T'_s & \xrightarrow[\cong]{\sigma_{s+1}} & T''_s \\ (\tau'_s, i) \downarrow & \searrow \tau_s & \downarrow (\tau''_s, j) \\ T'_{s-1} & \xrightarrow[\cong]{\sigma_s} & T''_{s-1} \end{array}$$

in which $\tau_s := \sigma_s \tau'_s = \tau''_s \sigma_{s+1}$ and where $T'_s := T'$, $T''_s := T''$ if $s = 0$. Lemma 1.1 provides us with

$$t'_s \triangleright \tau_s^{-1}(j) \xrightarrow{(\tau'_s)_j} \sigma_s^{-1}(j) \quad \text{and} \quad (\sigma_{s+1})_j : \tau_s^{-1}(j) \rightarrow t''_s$$

so we can define $\sigma_s^* : E[t_s''] \rightarrow E[t_s']$ as the composite

$$\sigma_s^* : E[t_s''] \xrightarrow{(\sigma_{s+1})_j^*} E[\tau_s^{-1}(j)] = E[t_s']$$

where the equality uses the fact that E is constant along virtual isomorphisms. This in turn induces a map

$$(70) \quad \sigma^* : E(\mathcal{J}'') = E[t_1'] \otimes \cdots \otimes E[t_k'] \longrightarrow E(\mathcal{J}') = E[t_1''] \otimes \cdots \otimes E[t_k'']$$

by $\sigma^* := \sigma_1^* \otimes \cdots \otimes \sigma_k^*$. Define finally $E(\ell, \sigma) : E(\ell, \mathcal{J}'') \rightarrow E(\sigma_1 \ell, \mathcal{J}')$ as $E(\ell, \sigma) := \sigma^*$.

Let us discuss morphisms of the second type. Corollary 2.8 gives identities

$$t'_u = t''_{u+1} \quad \text{and} \quad t''_u = t'_{u+1}.$$

We define the E -image of this map as the identification of

$$e_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes e''_{u+1} \otimes e'_{u+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_k \in E[t_1] \otimes \cdots \otimes E[t'_u] \otimes E[t'_{u+1}] \otimes \cdots \otimes E[t_k]$$

in $E(\mathcal{J}')$ with

$$e_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes e'_{u+1} \otimes e''_{u+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_k \in E[t_1] \otimes \cdots \otimes E[t''_u] \otimes E[t''_{u+1}] \otimes \cdots \otimes E[t_k]$$

in $E(\mathcal{J}'')$ given by the symmetry constraint in \mathbf{V} .

Lemma 5.10. *The diagram of functors*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \mathbf{V} & \\ E \otimes E \nearrow & & \nwarrow E \\ \mathbf{1Tw}(X) \times \mathbf{1Tw}(G) & \xrightarrow{\circ_\phi} & \mathbf{1Tw}(W) \end{array}$$

commutes for an arbitrary elementary morphism $G \triangleright W \xrightarrow{\phi} X$.

Proof. Assume that $(\ell, \mathcal{J}) \in \mathbf{1Tw}(X)$ and $(\ell', \mathcal{F}) \in \mathbf{1Tw}(G)$, with \mathcal{J} as in (62) and \mathcal{F} as in (67). Recall that then $(\ell, \mathcal{J}) \circ_\phi (\ell', \mathcal{F}) \in \mathbf{1Tw}(W)$ is given by formula (69). The crucial fact is that the fiber sequence of $\mathcal{J} \circ_\rho \mathcal{F}$ is

$$f_1, \dots, f_l, t_1, \dots, t_k,$$

where f_1, \dots, f_l resp. t_1, \dots, t_k is the fiber sequence of \mathcal{F} resp. of \mathcal{J} . The canonical isomorphism

$$E(\ell, \mathcal{J}) \otimes E(\ell', \mathcal{F}) \cong E((\ell, \mathcal{J}) \circ_\phi (\ell', \mathcal{F}))$$

then follows immediately from the definition of the functor E as given above. \square

Theorem 5.11. *Let $E \in \text{Coll}_1^{\mathbf{V}}$ be a 1-connected collection in \mathbf{V} . Then the formula*

$$(71) \quad \mathbb{F}(E)(X) := \begin{cases} \text{colim}_{(\ell, \mathcal{J}) \in \mathbf{1Tw}(X)} E(\ell, \mathcal{J}) & \text{if } e(X) \geq 1, \\ \mathbb{k} & \text{if } e(X) = 0, \end{cases}$$

defines a left adjoint $E \mapsto \mathbb{F}(E)$ to the forgetful functor \square of Proposition 5.3. Therefore $\mathbb{F}(E)$ is the free 1-connected strictly unital Markl operad generated by E .

Adjoining the ground field in (71) should be compared to adjoining the unit to the free nonunital operad in formula (II.1.58) of [43].

Proof of Theorem 5.11. Assume that $X \in \mathbf{0}$ is such that $e(X) \geq 1$. It is clear that the vector space $\mathbb{F}(E)(X)$ is graded by the height k of the underlying towers so that it decomposes as

$$(72) \quad \mathbb{F}(E)(X) \cong \bigoplus_{k \geq 1} \mathbb{F}^k(E)(X).$$

Elements of $\mathbb{F}^k(E)(X)$ are equivalence classes $[\ell, e]$ consisting of a labeling $\ell : X \xrightarrow{\cong} T$ and of an element $e \in E(\mathcal{T})$ associated with a labeled tower (ℓ, \mathcal{T}) as in Definition 5.4 of height k . For an isomorphism $\omega : Y \xrightarrow{\cong} X$ one puts $\omega^*[\ell, e] := [\ell\omega, e] \in \mathbb{F}^k(E)(Y)$. This turns $\mathbb{F}^k(E)$ into an $\mathbf{0}_{\text{iso}}$ -presheaf of vector spaces. Defining formally $\mathbb{F}^0(E)$ to be the trivial presheaf \mathbb{k} , one thus has a decomposition

$$\mathbb{F}(E) \cong \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} \mathbb{F}^k(E)$$

of $\mathbf{0}_{\text{iso}}$ -presheaves of vector spaces.

This in particular shows that $\mathbb{F}(E)$ is an $\mathbf{0}_{\text{iso}}$ -presheaf as required in the definition of Markl operad. The composition law

$$(73) \quad \circ_\phi : \mathbb{F}^k(E)(X) \otimes \mathbb{F}^l(E)(G) \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}^{k+l}(E)(W), \quad G \triangleright W \xrightarrow{\phi} X, \quad k, l \geq 1,$$

is defined as the colimit of the natural isomorphisms between the functors

$$E \otimes E : \mathbf{1Tw}(X) \times \mathbf{1Tw}(G) \rightarrow \mathbf{V} \quad \text{and} \quad E : \mathbf{1Tw}(W) \rightarrow \mathbf{V}$$

described in Lemma 5.10. One must also define the composition law in (73) for $k = 0$, i.e., specify a map

$$(74) \quad \circ_\phi : \mathbb{F}^l(E)(G) \cong \mathbb{F}^0(E)(X) \otimes \mathbb{F}^l(E)(G) \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}^l(E)(W).$$

Notice first that the grade of X must be zero, so by our assumptions on $\mathbf{0}$, X is a local terminal object. Consider an element $[\ell', e] \in \mathbb{F}^l(E)(G)$ with $\ell' : G \xrightarrow{\cong} F$ and $e \in E(\mathcal{F})$ with \mathcal{F} as in (67). Using the blow-up axiom we include $\phi : W \rightarrow X$ into the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} W & \xrightarrow{\ell''} & S \\ & \cong & \nearrow \\ & \searrow \phi & \rho \\ & & X \end{array}$$

in which ℓ'' induces the map $\ell' : G \xrightarrow{\cong} F$ between the fibers. Let \mathcal{S} be the tower as in (18b) with X in place of T . Then $(\ell'', \mathcal{S}) \in \mathbf{1Tw}(W)$. Since by construction the associated fiber

sequence of \mathcal{S} is the same as the associated fiber sequence of \mathcal{F} , one has $E(\mathcal{F}) = E(\mathcal{S})$, thus it makes sense to define \circ_ϕ in (74) by $\circ_\phi([\ell', e]) := [\ell'', e]$.

Notice that one cannot have $l = 0$ in (73), since the fiber of an elementary map has always positive grade. We leave to the reader to verify that the above constructions make $\mathbb{F}(E)$ a Markl operad.

Let us describe $\mathbb{F}^1(E)$ explicitly. As noticed in Example 5.5, $1\mathbf{Tw}^1(X)$ is the category $X/\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{iso}}$ of isomorphisms in \mathcal{O} under X . Elements of $\mathbb{F}^1(E)(X)$ are equivalence classes $[\omega, e]$ of pairs $\omega : X \xrightarrow{\cong} T$, $e \in E[T]$, modulo the identification $[\sigma\omega', e''] = [\omega', \sigma^*e'']$ for each diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & X & \\ \omega' \swarrow & & \searrow \omega'' \\ T' & \xrightarrow[\cong]{\sigma} & T'' \end{array}$$

of isomorphisms in \mathcal{O} . Since $1\mathbf{Tw}^1(X)$ is connected, with a distinguished object $\mathbb{1} : X \rightarrow X$, the map $i : E[X] \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^1(E)(X)$ given by $i(e) := [\mathbb{1}, e]$ for $e \in E[X]$, is an isomorphism of vector spaces. These isomorphisms assemble into an isomorphism $E \cong \mathbb{F}^1(E)$ of collections. Let us finally denote by $\iota : E \hookrightarrow \square\mathbb{F}(E)$ the composite

$$(75) \quad \iota : E \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbb{F}^1(E) \hookrightarrow \square\mathbb{F}(E).$$

To establish the freeness of Theorem 5.11 means to prove that, for each 1-connected strictly unital Markl operad \mathcal{M} and a map of collections $y : E \rightarrow \square\mathcal{M}$, there exists precisely one map $\hat{y} : \mathbb{F}(E) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ of strictly unital Markl operads making the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E & \xhookrightarrow{\iota} & \square\mathbb{F}(E) \\ & \searrow y & \downarrow \square\hat{y} \\ & & \square\mathcal{M} \end{array}$$

commutative.

Let us assume that such a map $\hat{y} : \mathbb{F}(E) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ exists and prove that it is unique. To this end consider an arbitrary element $[\ell, e] \in \mathbb{F}(E)(X)$ given by a pair $\ell : X \xrightarrow{\cong} T$, $e \in E(\mathcal{T})$ for a labelled tower $(\ell, \mathcal{T}) \in 1\mathbf{Tw}(X)$ as in Definition 5.4. For

$$e = e_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes e_k \in E[t_1] \otimes \cdots \otimes E[t_k]$$

it immediately follows from the definition of the operad structure of $\mathbb{F}(E)(X)$ that

$$[\ell, e] = \ell^*(e_1 \circ_{\tau_1} (e_2 \circ_{\tau_2} \cdots (e_{k-1} \circ_{\tau_{k-1}} e_k) \cdots))$$

where we used the notation

$$x \circ_{\tau_i} y := (-1)^{|x||y|} \circ_{\tau_i}(y \otimes x)$$

for $x \in E[T_i]$, $y \in E[t_i]$ and $1 \leq i \leq k-1$. We moreover considered e_1, \dots, e_k as elements of $\mathbb{F}^1(E)$ via the isomorphism $i : E \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbb{F}^1(E)$. Since \hat{y} is a morphism of operads, we have

$$(76) \quad \hat{y}([\ell, e]) = \ell^*(y(e_1) \circ_{\tau_1} (y(e_2) \circ_{\tau_2} \cdots (y(e_{k-1}) \circ_{\tau_{k-1}} y(e_k)) \cdots)).$$

On the other hand, one may verify that (76) indeed defines a morphism of operads with the required property. This finishes the proof. \square

6. QUADRATIC MARKL OPERADS AND DUALITY

The goal of this section is to introduce quadratic Markl operads over operadic categories and define their Koszul duals. The base monoidal category \mathbf{V} will be the category \mathbf{Vect} of graded vector spaces over a field \mathbb{k} of characteristic 0. All operads will be tacitly assumed to be strictly unital. The basic operadic category $\mathbf{0}$ shall fulfill the same additional axioms as in Section 5, plus the rigidity. In brief,

$$\text{Fac} \ \& \ \text{SBU} \ \& \ \text{QBI} \ \& \ \text{UFib} \ \& \ \text{SGrad} \ \& \ \text{Rig}.$$

Definition 6.1. An *ideal* \mathcal{J} in a Markl operad \mathcal{M} is a sub- $\mathbf{0}_{\text{iso}}$ -presheaf of \mathcal{M} which is simultaneously an ideal with respect to the circle products (23), i.e.

$$\circ_{\phi}(a \otimes b) \in \mathcal{J}(T) \text{ if } a \in \mathcal{J}(S) \text{ or } b \in \mathcal{J}(F).$$

For a sub- $\mathbf{0}_{\text{iso}}$ -presheaf R we denote by (R) the component-wise intersection of all ideals containing R . We call (R) the ideal *generated* by R .

Definition 6.2. A *quadratic data* consists of a 1-connected collection $E \in \mathbf{Coll}_1^{\mathbf{V}}$ and an sub- $\mathbf{0}_{\text{iso}}$ -presheaf R of $\mathbb{F}^2(E)$. A 1-connected Markl operad \mathcal{M} is *quadratic* if it is of the form

$$\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{F}(E)/(R).$$

It is *binary* if the generating collection E is such that $E[T] \neq 0$ implies that $e(T) = 1$.

Many examples of binary operads will be given in the following sections. Let us proceed to our generalization of the operadic Koszul duality of [25] for operads over general operadic categories.

We start by noticing that the piece $1\mathbf{Tw}^k(X)$ of height k of the groupoid $1\mathbf{Tw}(X)$ constructed in Section 5 decomposes into the coproduct

$$1\mathbf{Tw}^k(X) = \coprod_{c \in \pi_0(1\mathbf{Tw}^k(X))} 1\mathbf{Tw}_c^k(X)$$

over the set $\pi_0(\mathbf{1Tw}^k(X))$ of connected components of $\mathbf{1Tw}^k(X)$, which is thus also true for the k th piece of the X -component of the free operad,

$$(77) \quad \mathbb{F}^k(E)(X) = \bigoplus_{c \in \pi_0(\mathbf{1Tw}^k(X))} \mathbb{F}_c^k(E)(X).$$

Choose a labelled tower (ℓ^c, \mathcal{J}^c) in each connected component c of $\mathbf{1Tw}^k(X)$ and assume the notation

$$\mathcal{J}^c := T^c \xrightarrow{\tau_1^c} T_1^c \xrightarrow{\tau_2^c} T_2^c \xrightarrow{\tau_3^c} \cdots \xrightarrow{\tau_{k-1}^c} T_{k-1}^c,$$

with the associated fiber sequence t_1^c, \dots, t_k^c . Since there are no automorphisms of the first type of (ℓ^c, \mathcal{J}^c) in $\mathbf{1Tw}^k(X)$ by the rigidity of $\mathbf{0}$,

$$\mathbb{F}_c^k(E)(X) \cong E[t_1^c] \otimes \cdots \otimes E[t_k^c]$$

so we have an isomorphism of graded vector spaces

$$(78a) \quad \mathbb{F}^k(E)(X) \cong \bigoplus_{c \in \pi_0(\mathbf{1Tw}^k(X))} E[t_1^c] \otimes \cdots \otimes E[t_k^c],$$

cf. the similar presentation [43, formula (II.1.51)] for ‘ordinary’ free operads. In the light of Proposition 5.8, one may assume that the tower (ℓ^c, \mathcal{J}^c) in (77) belongs to $\widetilde{\mathbf{1Tw}}^k(X)$, therefore (78a) can be written as the direct sum

$$(78b) \quad \mathbb{F}^k(E)(X) \cong \bigoplus_{c \in \pi_0(\widetilde{\mathbf{1Tw}}^k(X))} E[t_1^c] \otimes \cdots \otimes E[t_k^c]$$

over isomorphism classes of objects of $\widetilde{\mathbf{1Tw}}^k(X)$.

Let $\uparrow E^*$ be the suspension of the componentwise linear dual of the collection E . With the above preliminaries, it is easy to define a pairing

$$(79) \quad \langle - | - \rangle : \mathbb{F}^2(\uparrow E^*)(X) \otimes \mathbb{F}^2(E)(X) \longrightarrow \mathbb{k}, \quad (\alpha, x) \longmapsto \alpha(x)$$

as follows. If $c' \neq c''$ we declare the subspaces $\mathbb{F}_{c'}^2(\uparrow E^*)(X)$ and $\mathbb{F}_{c''}^2(E)(X)$ of $\mathbb{F}^2(\uparrow E^*)(X)$ resp. $\mathbb{F}^2(E)(X)$ to be orthogonal. If $c := c' = c''$,

$$\mathbb{F}_c^2(\uparrow E^*)(X) \cong \uparrow E^*[t_1^c] \otimes \uparrow E^*[t_2^c] \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{F}_c^2(E)(X) \cong E[t_1^c] \otimes E[t_2^c]$$

and the pairing between $\mathbb{F}_c^2(\uparrow E^*)(X)$ and $\mathbb{F}_c^2(E)(X)$ is defined as the canonical evaluation

$$\uparrow E^*[t_1^c] \otimes \uparrow E^*[t_2^c] \otimes E[t_1^c] \otimes E[t_2^c] \longrightarrow \mathbb{k}.$$

We leave as an exercise to show that this definition does not depend on the choices of the representatives (ℓ^c, \mathcal{J}^c) .

Definition 6.3. Let \mathcal{M} be a quadratic Markl operad as in Definition 6.2. Its *Koszul dual* \mathcal{M}^\dagger is the quadratic Markl operad defined as

$$\mathcal{M}^\dagger = \mathbb{F}(\uparrow E^*) / (R^\perp),$$

where R^\perp denotes the component-wise annihilator of R in $\mathbb{F}^2(\uparrow E^*)$ under the pairing (79).

Definition 6.4. A quadratic Markl operad \mathcal{M} is *self-dual* if the associated categories of \mathcal{M} - and \mathcal{M}^\perp -algebras in \mathbf{Vect} are isomorphic.

Example 6.5. All assumptions of this section are met by the operadic category $\mathbf{Fin}_{\text{semi}}$ of finite non-empty sets and their surjections. The operads for this category are the classical constant-free operads for which Koszul duality is the classical heritage [25]. A similar example is the operadic category Δ_{semi} of non-empty ordered finite sets and their order-preserving surjections. Our theory in this case recovers Koszul duality for non- Σ operads. The terminal category $\mathbf{1}$ also satisfies the assumptions of this section. The only $\mathbf{1}$ -connected $\mathbf{1}$ -operad, i.e. an associative algebra, is however the ground field \mathbb{k} .


7. MODULAR AND ODD MODULAR OPERADS

In this section we analyze binary quadratic operads over the operadic category \mathbf{ggGrc} of connected genus-graded directed graphs introduced in [10, Example 4.18]. Recall that \mathbf{ggGrc} was constructed from the basic category \mathbf{Gr} of ordered graphs via the iterated Grothendieck construction combining a discrete operadic fibration and an opfibration, see the diagram in [10, (31)]. Since, as we established in [10, Section 3], the category \mathbf{Gr} is graded by the number of internal edges of graphs and satisfies **Fac**, **QBI** and **SBU**, \mathbf{ggGrc} has the same properties by the results collected in [10, Table 1]. Properties **UFib** and **SGrad** for \mathbf{ggGrc} can easily be checked directly, and therefore by [10, Remark 4.23], \mathbf{ggGrc} has all the properties required for Koszul duality, namely

$$\mathbf{Fac} \ \& \ \mathbf{SBU} \ \& \ \mathbf{QBI} \ \& \ \mathbf{UFib} \ \& \ \mathbf{Rig} \ \& \ \mathbf{SGrad}.$$


We will prove that the terminal operad $\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{ggGrc}}$ in the category \mathbf{ggGrc} is binary quadratic and describe its Koszul dual $\mathfrak{K}_{\mathbf{ggGrc}} := \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{ggGrc}}^\perp$. We then show that algebras for $\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{ggGrc}}$ are modular operads of [24] while algebras for $\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{ggGrc}}^\perp$ are their suitably twisted versions. We start by analyzing graphs in \mathbf{ggGrc} with small number of internal edges.

Example 7.1. Local terminal objects of \mathbf{ggGrc} are genus-graded corollas $c(\sigma)^g$ for a permutation $\sigma = (\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n) \in \Sigma_n$ and a genus $g \in \mathbb{N}$:




The chosen local terminal objects are the genus-graded corollas $c_n^g := c(\mathbb{1}_n)^g$ with $\mathbb{1}_n \in \Sigma_n$ the identity permutation.

Example 7.2. Any directed connected genus-graded graph with one internal edge and one vertex looks like $\xi(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k | \lambda_{k+1}, \lambda_{k+2})^g$ in



with half-edges labelled by a permutation $\{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{k+2}\}$ of $\{1, \dots, k+2\}$. Its automorphism group equals Σ_2 that interchanges the half-edges forming the loop. Any two graphs of this kind are isomorphic. In




we depict a general graph $\nu(\lambda_1^u, \dots, \lambda_k^u | \lambda_{k+1}^u, \lambda_{l+1}^v | \lambda_1^v, \dots, \lambda_l^v)^{g_u | g_v}$ with one internal edge and two vertices labelled by $u, v \in \{1, 2\}$ with genera $g_u, g_v \in \mathbb{N}$. Its global order is determined by a (k, l) -shuffle

$$\{l_1 < \dots < l_k, l_{k+1} < \dots < l_{k+l}\} = \{1, \dots, k+l\}.$$

Its half-edges adjacent to u are labelled by a permutation λ^u of $\{1, \dots, k + 1\}$, the half-edges adjacent to v by a permutation λ^v of $\{1, \dots, l + 1\}$. Two such graphs with the same global orders and the same genera are always isomorphic. There are no nontrivial automorphisms except for the case $k = l = 0$ and $g_u = g_v$ when the graph is an interval with no legs. Then one has the automorphism flipping it around its middle.

Example 7.3. A general graph $\xi(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k | \lambda_{k+1}, \lambda_{k+2} | \lambda_{k+3}, \lambda_{k+4})^g$ with two internal edges and one vertex is depicted in



Its local order at its single vertex is determined by a permutation λ of $\{1, \dots, k+4\}$. Its automorphism group equals the semidirect product $\Sigma_2 \rtimes (\Sigma_2 \times \Sigma_2)$. We leave the similar detailed analysis of the remaining graphs with two internal edges as an exercise.

Our next task will be to describe free operads over \mathbf{ggGrc} using formula (78b). As the first step towards this goal we describe isomorphism classes of labelled towers $(\ell, \mathcal{T}) \in \widetilde{\mathbf{1Tw}}^2(X)$ for the directed graph

$$X := \xi(1, \dots, k | k+1, k+2 | k+3, k+4)^g$$

i.e. for the graph in (82) with λ the identity. As observed in Example 5.9, it suffices to consider diagrams (64) in which all maps in the upper triangle are quasibijections. Since the graphs X, T' and T'' in that triangle have one vertex only, all ℓ', ℓ'' and σ_1 belong to $\Delta\mathbf{Gr}$, therefore they are the identities by [10, Corollary 2.4]. Isomorphism classes in $\widetilde{\mathbf{1Tw}}^2(X)$ are thus represented by maps

$$(83) \quad \tau : \xi(1, \dots, k | k+1, k+2 | k+3, k+4)^g \longrightarrow \xi(\nu_1, \dots, \nu_k | \nu_{k+1}, \nu_{k+2})^{g+1}$$

modulo the equivalence that identifies τ' with τ'' if and only if there exists an isomorphism σ such that $\tau'' = \sigma\tau'$. Notice that a map as in (83) is automatically elementary, and that all elementary maps from X decreasing the grade by 1 are of this form. Now define the ‘canonical’ maps

$$p_i : \xi(1, \dots, k | k+1, k+2 | k+3, k+4)^g \longrightarrow \xi(1, \dots, k | k+1, k+2)^{g+1}, \quad i = 1, 2,$$

by postulating that p_1 (resp. p_2) contracts the loop $\{k+1, k+2\}$ (resp. $\{k+3, k+4\}$) leaving the other loop unaffected. In other words, the injection ψ_1 (resp. ψ_2) of half-edges defining

p_1 (resp. p_2) is the order-preserving injection

$$(1, \dots, k+2) \hookrightarrow (1, \dots, k+4)$$

that misses the subset $\{k+1, k+2\}$ (resp. $\{k+3, k+4\}$).

We claim that for each τ in (83) there exist a unique $i \in \{1, 2\}$ and a unique isomorphism σ making the diagram

$$(84) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & \xi(1, \dots, k|k+1, k+2|k+3, k+4)^g & \\ & \swarrow p_i \quad \searrow \tau & \\ \xi(1, \dots, k|k+1, k+2)^{g+1} & \xrightarrow[\cong]{\sigma} & \xi(\nu_1, \dots, \nu_k|\nu_{k+1}, \nu_{k+2})^{g+1} \end{array}$$

commutative. Since, by definition, morphisms in \mathbf{ggGrc} preserve global orders, one has for the injections ψ_τ resp. ψ_σ of half-edges defining τ resp. σ ,

$$\psi_\tau(\nu_j) = \psi_\sigma(\nu_j) = j \quad \text{for } 1 \leq j \leq k.$$

Since ψ_τ must further preserve the involutions on the sets of half-edges, there are only two possibilities:

Case 1: $\psi_\tau\{\nu_{k+1}, \nu_{k+2}\} = \{k+3, k+4\}$. In this case we take $i = 1$ in (84) and define

$$\psi_\sigma(\nu_{k+1}) := \psi_\tau(\nu_{k+1}) - 2, \quad \psi_\sigma(\nu_{k+2}) := \psi_\tau(\nu_{k+2}) - 2.$$

It is clear that with this choice the diagram in (84) is commutative and that it is the only such a choice.

Case 2: $\psi_\tau\{\nu_{k+1}, \nu_{k+2}\} = \{k+1, k+2\}$. In this case we take $i = 2$ and define

$$\psi_\sigma(\nu_{k+1}) := \psi_\tau(\nu_{k+1}), \quad \psi_\sigma(\nu_{k+2}) := \psi_\tau(\nu_{k+2}).$$

Intuitively, in Case 1 the map τ contracts the loop $\{k+1, k+2\}$, in Case 2 the loop $\{k+3, k+4\}$. The isomorphism σ is in both cases uniquely determined by the behavior of τ on the non-contracted edge.

The above calculation shows that there are precisely two isomorphism classes of objects of $\widetilde{\mathbf{1Tw}}^2(X)$, namely those of p_1 and p_2 . Notice that


$$p_1^{-1}(1) = \xi(1, \dots, k, k+3, k+4|k+1, k+2)^g \quad \text{and} \quad p_2^{-1}(1) = \xi(1, \dots, k, k+1, k+2|k+3, k+4)^g.$$

Let $E \in \mathbf{Coll}_1^\vee$ be a 1-connected \mathbf{ggGrc} -collection as in Definition 5.1. Formula (78a) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{F}^2(E)(X) &\cong E[\xi(1, \dots, k, k+3, k+4|k+1, k+2)^g] \otimes E[\xi(1, \dots, k|k+1, k+2)^{g+1}] \\ &\oplus E[\xi(1, \dots, k, k+1, k+2|k+3, k+4)^g] \otimes E[\xi(1, \dots, k|k+1, k+2)^{g+1}]. \end{aligned}$$

It features *souls* of the relevant graphs, i.e. graphs obtained by amputating their legs. The E 's inside the dashed circles indicate the decoration of the fiber represented by the subgraph inside the circle, while the E 's outside the circles the decoration of the images. Thus the object on the left of (87) represents the first summand of (86) and the object on the right the second one. This description should be compared to the description of free ‘classical’ operads in terms of trees with decorated vertices, cf. [43, Section II.1.9]. Here we have graphs instead of trees and ‘nests’ of subgraphs ordered by inclusion in place of vertices.

Example 7.5. Using the same reasoning as in Examples 7.3 and 7.4, we can draw similar pictures describing $\mathbb{F}^2(E)(X)$ for X a graph with two internal edges and two vertices with genera g_1 and g_2 . Their souls are shown in (88a)–(88b) below



where, in order to ease the interpretation, we did not show the genera of the vertices. The picture in (88a) represents an analog of (86):

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{F}^2(E)(X) \cong & \{E[\nu(*, c|a, b|d, *)^{g_1|g_2}] \otimes E[\xi(*|c, d)^{g_1+g_2}]\} \\ & \oplus \{E[\nu(*, a|c, d|b, *)^{g_1|g_2}] \otimes E[\xi(*|a, b)^{g_1+g_2}]\} \end{aligned}$$

in which the notation $\nu(*, c|a, b|d, *)$ resp. $\nu(*, a|c, d|b, *)$ refers to the graph in (81b). The picture in (88b) symbolizes

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{F}^2(E)(X) \cong & \{E[\xi(*, b|u, v)^{g_1}] \otimes E[\nu(*|a, b|*)^{g_1|g_2+1}]\} \\ & \oplus \{E[\nu(*|a, b|u, v, *)^{g_1|g_2}] \otimes E[\xi(*|u, v)^{g_1+g_2}]\}. \end{aligned}$$

The last relevant case is when X is a directed graph with two internal edges and three vertices with genera g_1, g_2 and g_3 . The situation is portrayed in

$$(89) \quad \begin{array}{c} E \\ \text{---} \text{---} \text{---} \text{---} \text{---} \\ \text{---} \text{---} \text{---} \text{---} \text{---} \\ E \end{array} \oplus \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \text{---} \text{---} \text{---} \text{---} \\ \text{---} \text{---} \text{---} \text{---} \text{---} \\ E \end{array}$$

where again the genera of the vertices are not shown. The resulting formula is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{F}^2(E)(X) \cong & \{E[\nu(*|a, b|c, *)^{g_1|g_2}] \otimes E[\nu(*|c, d|*)^{g_1+g_2|g_3}]\} \\ & \oplus \{E[\nu(*, b|c, d|*)^{g_2|g_3}] \otimes E[\nu(*|a, b|*)^{g_1|g_2+g_3}]\}. \end{aligned}$$

The observations in Examples 7.4 and 7.5 easily generalize to descriptions of isomorphism classes of labeled towers in $l\mathbf{Tw}(\Gamma)$ for an arbitrary graph $\Gamma \in \mathbf{ggGrc}$. Since we will be primarily interested in free operads generated by *binary* collections, i.e. 1-connected collections that are trivial on graphs with more than one internal edge, we will consider only towers whose associated fiber sequence consists of graphs with one internal edge. Let

$$\Gamma \xrightarrow{\tau_1} \Gamma_1 \xrightarrow{\tau_2} \Gamma_2 \xrightarrow{\tau_3} \cdots \xrightarrow{\tau_{k-1}} \Gamma_{k-1}$$

be such a tower. By the definition of graph morphisms, one has the associated sequence

$$(90) \quad \text{edg}(\Gamma) \supset \text{edg}(\Gamma_1) \supset \cdots \supset \text{edg}(\Gamma_{k-1})$$

of inclusions of the sets of internal edges. Since the cardinalities of the sets in (90) decrease by one, there is an obvious one-to-one correspondence between sequences (90) and linear orders on $\text{edg}(\Gamma)$ such that $x > y$ if $y \in \text{edg}(\Gamma_i)$, $x \notin \text{edg}(\Gamma_i)$ for some i , $1 \leq i \leq k-1$. We formulate:

Proposition 7.6. *The isomorphism classes of labeled towers in $l\mathbf{Tw}(\Gamma)$ whose associated fiber sequence consists of graphs with one internal edge are in one-to-one correspondence with linear orders on $\text{edg}(\Gamma)$ modulo the relation \bowtie that interchanges two adjacent edges without a common vertex in Γ .*

Example 7.7. One has two isomorphism classes of towers for the graph in (82). In the notation of (87), let x be the edge $\{a, b\}$ and y the edge $\{c, d\}$. Then the picture on the left in that display corresponds to the order $x > y$ (x is contracted first), the one on the right to $y > x$.

Proof of Proposition 7.6. Using the same arguments as in Examples 7.4 and 7.5 we show that each tower can be replaced by an isomorphic one all of whose morphisms are pure contractions of internal edges, in the sense of [10, Definition 3.4]. Such towers are determined

by the order in which the edges are contracted. The relation \bowtie reflects morphisms of towers of the second type introduced in Section 5. \square

Theorem 7.8. *The terminal \mathbf{ggGrc} -operad $\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{ggGrc}}$ having $\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{ggGrc}}(\Gamma) := \mathbb{k}$ for each $\Gamma \in \mathbf{ggGrc}$ and constant composition laws is quadratic binary.*

Proof. Let us define a collection $E \in \mathbf{Coll}_1^{\vee}$ by

$$(91) \quad E[\Gamma] := \begin{cases} \mathbb{k} & \text{if } \Gamma \text{ has exactly one internal edge,} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

with the constant $\mathbf{QO}_{\text{vrt}}(e)$ -presheaf structure. As we already noticed, the quadratic part $\mathbb{F}^2(E)$ of the free operad may be nontrivial only for graphs with precisely two internal edges, i.e. those analyzed in Examples 7.4 and 7.5. For X as in (82), formula (86) describes $\mathbb{F}^2(E)(X)$ as the two-dimensional space $\mathbb{k} \oplus \mathbb{k}$ with the basis

$$(92) \quad b_1^1 := [1 \otimes 1] \oplus [0 \otimes 0] \quad \text{and} \quad b_2^1 := [0 \otimes 0] \oplus [1 \otimes 1].$$

For the situations portrayed in (88a)–(88b) and (89) we get similar spaces, with bases (b_1^t, b_2^t) , $2 \leq t \leq 4$. We define R to be the subspace of $\mathbb{F}^2(E)$ spanned by

$$(93) \quad r_1 := b_1^1 - b_2^1, \quad r_2 := b_1^2 - b_2^2, \quad r_3 := b_1^3 - b_2^3 \quad \text{and} \quad r_4 := b_1^4 - b_2^4$$

so that

- r_1 belongs to the direct sum in (87),
- r_2 belongs to the direct sum in (88a),
- r_3 belongs to the direct sum in (88b), and
- r_4 belongs to the direct sum in (89).

We are going to prove that

$$(94) \quad \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{ggGrc}} \cong \mathbb{F}(E)/(R).$$

By Proposition 7.6 combined with formula (78a), the vector space $\mathbb{F}(E)(\Gamma)$ is spanned by the set of total orders on $\text{edg}(\Gamma)$ modulo the relation \bowtie that interchanges arbitrary two edges $x, y \in \text{edg}(\Gamma)$ that *do not* share a common vertex in Γ .

All possible relative configurations of edges x, y that *do share* a common vertex are in (87)–(89). Relations in (93) guarantee that two orders that differ by the interchange $x \leftrightarrow y$ agree in the quotient (94). We conclude that all orders on $\text{edg}(\Gamma)$ are mutually equivalent modulo (R) , so $\mathbb{F}(E)/(R)(\Gamma) \cong \mathbb{k}$ as required. \square

Proposition 7.9. *Algebras over the terminal \mathbf{ggGrc} -operad $\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{ggGrc}}$ are modular operads.*

$\tilde{a}_{[\Gamma]}$ is determined by

$$\tilde{a}_{[\Gamma]}(1) : \mathcal{M}(k+2; g) \rightarrow \operatorname{colim}_{\sigma \in \Sigma_k} \mathcal{M}(k; g+1)_\sigma$$

which is the same as a collection of morphisms

$$\circ_{uv}^\sigma : \mathcal{M}(k+2; g) \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}(k; g+1), \quad u := \lambda_{k+1}, \quad v := \lambda_{k+2}, \quad \sigma \in \Sigma_k,$$

satisfying

$$\circ_{uv}^{\sigma\delta}(x) = \sigma \circ_{uv}^\delta(x), \quad x \in \mathcal{M}(k+2; g), \quad \sigma, \delta \in \Sigma_k.$$

The operation $\circ_{uv} := \circ_{uv}^{\mathbb{1}_k}$ is the skeletal version of the contraction (127b). The identity $\circ_{uv} = \circ_{vu}$ follows from the Σ_2 -symmetry of the graph Γ . In exactly the same manner, the graph in (81b) gives rise to the operations in (127a).

The map \tilde{a} determines a morphism (95) if and only if it sends the generators (93) of R to 0. The vanishing $\tilde{a}(r_i) = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq 4$ corresponds to the remaining axiom of modular operads:

Axiom (127h) corresponds to relation r_2 , Axiom (127i) corresponds to relation r_3 ,

Axiom (127f) corresponds to relation r_4 , Axiom (127g) corresponds to relation r_1 .

This finishes the proof. \square

Theorem 7.10. *The Koszul dual of the operad $\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{ggGrc}}$ is the operad $\mathfrak{K}_{\mathbf{ggGrc}}$ whose algebras are odd modular operads.*

Proof. The Koszul dual $\mathfrak{K}_{\mathbf{ggGrc}} := \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{ggGrc}}^\perp$ is, by definition, generated by the collection

$$\uparrow E^* := \begin{cases} \uparrow \mathbb{k} & \text{if } \Gamma \text{ has exactly one internal edge,} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We get the similar type of generators d_1^i, d_2^i , $1 \leq i \leq 4$, for $\mathbb{F}^2(\uparrow E^*)$ as in the proof of Theorem 7.8 except that now they will be in degree 2. The pairing (79) in this particular case is given by

$$\langle b_k^i \mid d_l^j \rangle = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i = j, k = l, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Therefore the annihilator R^\perp of the relations (93) is spanned by

$$o_1 := d_1^1 + d_2^1, \quad o_2 := d_1^2 + d_2^2, \quad o_3 := d_1^3 + d_2^3 \quad \text{and} \quad o_4 := d_1^4 + d_2^4.$$

Repeating the arguments in the proof of Theorem 7.8 we identify algebras over $\mathbb{F}(\uparrow E^*)/(R^\perp)$ with odd modular operads whose definition is recalled in Appendix A. \square

Remark 7.11. As observed in [10, Example 4.18], the category \mathbf{ggGrc} is similar to the category of graphs of [24, §2.15]. The difference is the presence of the local orders of graphs in \mathbf{ggGrc} manifested e.g. by the fact that, while the category in [24, §2.15] has only one local

terminal object for each arity n and genus g , the local terminal objects in \mathbf{ggGrc} are indexed by n, g and by a permutation $\sigma \in \Sigma_n$, cf. Example 7.1. The category of operads over the operadic category \mathbf{ggGrc} is however equivalent to the category hyperoperads in the sense of [24, §4.1]. Moreover, there is a canonical isomorphism between the category of algebras for a \mathbf{ggGrc} -operad and the category of algebras for the corresponding hyperoperad.

This relation enables one to compare the operad $\mathfrak{R}_{\mathbf{ggGrc}}$ of Theorem 7.10 to a similar object considered in [24]. Recall that the *determinant* $\det(S)$ of a finite set S is the top-dimensional piece of the exterior (Grassmann) algebra generated by the elements of S placed in degree $+1$. In particular, $\det(S)$ is a one-dimensional vector space concentrated in degree k , with k the cardinality of S . Mimicking the arguments in the second half of the proof of Theorem 7.8 one can establish that $\mathfrak{R}_{\mathbf{ggGrc}}(\Gamma) \cong \det(\text{edg}(\Gamma))$, the determinant of the set of internal edges of Γ . This relates $\mathfrak{R}_{\mathbf{ggGrc}}$ directly to the *dualizing cocycle* of [24, §4.8], cf. also Example II.5.52 of [43].

8. OTHER OPERAD-LIKE STRUCTURES

In this section we analyze other operad-like structures whose pasting schemes are obtained from the basic operadic category \mathbf{Gr} of graphs by means of the iterated Grothendieck construction. For all these categories the properties \mathbf{UFib} and \mathbf{SGrad} can be easily checked ‘manually.’ By the reasoning of the beginning of Section 7 they thus fulfill all the properties required for Koszul duality

8.1. Cyclic operads. Cyclic operads introduced in [23] are, roughly speaking, modular operads without the genus grading and contractions (127b). Explicitly, a cyclic operad is a functor $\mathcal{C} : \mathbf{Fin} \rightarrow \mathbf{Vect}$ along with operations

$$(98) \quad a \circ_b : \mathcal{C}(S_1 \sqcup \{a\}) \otimes \mathcal{C}(S_2 \sqcup \{b\}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}(S_1 \sqcup S_2)$$

defined for arbitrary disjoint finite sets S_1, S_2 and symbols a, b . These operations satisfy axioms (127c), (127e) and (127f) of modular operads (without the genus grading). Let \mathbf{Tr} be the full subcategory of \mathbf{Gr} consisting of graphs of genus zero whose geometric realizations are contractible, i.e. which are trees. The local terminal objects of \mathbf{Tr} are corollas $c(\sigma)$, $\sigma \in \Sigma_n$, as in (80) but without the genus labeling the vertex. The chosen local terminal objects are corollas $c_n := c(\mathbb{1}_n)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Theorem 8.1. *The terminal \mathbf{Tr} -operad $\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{Tr}}$ is binary quadratic. Its algebras are cyclic operads. Its Koszul dual $\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{Tr}}^!$ is the operad $\mathfrak{R}_{\mathbf{Tr}}$ governing anticyclic operads.*

Anticyclic operads introduced in [23, §2.11] are ‘odd’ versions of cyclic operads, see also [43, Definition II.5.20]. Due to the absence of the operadic units in our setup, the category of anticyclic operads is however isomorphic to the category of ordinary cyclic operads, via the isomorphism given by the suspension of the underlying collection.

Proof of Theorem 8.1. The proof is a simplified version of calculations in Section 7. The soul of the only graph in \mathbf{Tr} with one internal edge is the one on the left of (97) (without the genera, of course), the corresponding operation is (98). The souls of the only graphs in \mathbf{Tr} with two internal edges are portrayed in (89). Let E be the restriction of the collection (91) to the virtual isomorphism classes of trees in \mathbf{Tr} . If R denotes the subspace of $\mathbb{F}^2(E)$ spanned by r_2 in (93), then $\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{Tr}} \cong \mathbb{F}(E)/(R)$. The arguments are the same as in the proof of Theorem 7.8. With the material of Section 7 at hand, the identification of $\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{Tr}}$ -algebras with cyclic operads is immediate.

Algebras over $\mathfrak{K}_{\mathbf{Tr}} = \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{Tr}}^!$ can be analyzed in the same way as $\mathfrak{K}_{\mathbf{ggGrc}}$ -algebras in the proof of Theorem 7.10. $\mathfrak{K}_{\mathbf{Tr}}$ -algebras possess degree +1 operations

$$(99) \quad a \bullet b : \mathcal{C}(S_1 \sqcup \{a\}) \otimes \mathcal{C}(S_2 \sqcup \{b\}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}(S_1 \sqcup S_2)$$

satisfying non-genus graded variants of (128b), (128c) and (128d). The level-wise suspension $\uparrow \mathcal{C}$ with operations

$$a \circ b : \uparrow \mathcal{C}(S_1 \sqcup \{a\}) \otimes \uparrow \mathcal{C}(S_2 \sqcup \{b\}) \longrightarrow \uparrow \mathcal{C}(S_1 \sqcup S_2)$$

defined as the composite

$$\uparrow \mathcal{C}(S_1 \sqcup \{a\}) \otimes \uparrow \mathcal{C}(S_2 \sqcup \{b\}) \xrightarrow{\uparrow \otimes \uparrow} \mathcal{C}(S_1 \sqcup \{a\}) \otimes \mathcal{C}(S_2 \sqcup \{b\}) \xrightarrow{a \bullet b} \mathcal{C}(S_1 \sqcup S_2) \xrightarrow{\uparrow} \uparrow \mathcal{C}(S_1 \sqcup S_2)$$

can easily be shown to be an anticyclic operad [43, Definition II.5.20]. \square

As in Remark 7.11, one may observe that $\mathfrak{K}_{\mathbf{Tr}}(T)$ equals the determinant of the set of internal edges of the tree T . Our description of anticyclic operads as $\mathfrak{K}_{\mathbf{Tr}}$ -algebras is therefore parallel to the definition as \mathbb{T}_- -algebras given in [23, page 178].

8.2. Ordinary operads. Let us consider a variant \mathbf{RTr} of the operadic category \mathbf{Tr} consisting of trees that are rooted in the sense explained in [10, Example 4.9]. By definition, the output half-edge of each vertex is the minimal element in the local order; we will denote this minimal element in the context of rooted trees by 0. We use the same convention also for the smallest leg in the global order, i.e. for the root. Since \mathbf{RTr} was obtained from the basic operadic category \mathbf{Gr} by Grothendieck construction, it is again an operadic category sharing all nice properties of \mathbf{Gr} .

Theorem 8.2. *The terminal \mathbf{RTr} -operad $\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{RTr}}$ is binary quadratic. Its algebras are nonunital Markl operads recalled in Definition A.4 of Appendix A. The category of algebras over its Koszul dual $\mathfrak{K}_{\mathbf{RTr}} := \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{RTr}}^!$ is isomorphic to the category of Markl operads, via the isomorphism given by the suspension of the underlying collection.*

Proof. The soul of graphs in \mathbf{RTr} with one internal edge is the oriented interval consisting of two oriented half-edges as in



Since the label of the out-going half-edge is always the minimal one in the local order, we omit it from pictures and draw the internal edges as arrows acquiring the label of the in-going half-edge, see

$$(100) \quad \bullet \xrightarrow{i} \bullet .$$

Let E be an obvious modification of the constant collection (91) to the category \mathbf{RTr} . The display


$$(101) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ \bullet \quad \bullet \\ \text{---} \end{array} \oplus \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \bullet \quad \bullet \\ \text{---} \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ \uparrow \\ \bullet \\ \text{---} \\ \uparrow \\ \bullet \\ \text{---} \end{array} \oplus \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ \uparrow \\ \bullet \\ \text{---} \\ \uparrow \\ \bullet \\ \text{---} \end{array} \end{array}$$

features souls of rooted trees with two internal edges. It shows that $\mathbb{F}^2(E)$ has two families of bases, (b_1^1, b_2^1) corresponding to the direct sum in the left part and (b_1^2, b_2^2) corresponding to the direct sum on the right of the display. Let R be the subspace of $\mathbb{F}^2(E)$ spanned by the relations

$$r_1 := b_1^1 - b_2^1 \quad \text{and} \quad r_2 := b_1^2 - b_2^2.$$

The isomorphism $\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{RTr}} \cong \mathbb{F}(E)/(R)$ can be established as in the proof of Theorem 7.8.

To identify $\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{RTr}}$ -algebras with Markl operads we proceed as in the proof of Theorem 7.9. We start by realizing that the local terminal objects are rooted corollas $c^\uparrow(\sigma)$, $\sigma \in \Sigma_n$, shown in



while the chosen local terminal objects are $c_n^\uparrow := c^\uparrow(\mathbb{1}_n)$. The set $\pi_0(\mathbf{RTr})$ of connected components is therefore identified with the natural numbers \mathbb{N} . Analyzing action (45) of local terminal objects we conclude that the underlying collections for $\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{RTr}}$ -algebras are sequences $\mathcal{S}(n)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, of Σ_n -modules.

As in the proof of Theorem 7.9 we establish that the value of the generating collection E on graphs whose soul is the arrow in (100) produces partial compositions in (ii) of [38, Definition 1.1], that the relation r_1 expresses the parallel associativity, i.e. the first and the last cases of the relation in (129b) of the Appendix, and r_2 the sequential associativity, i.e. the middle case of that relation.

We are sure that at this stage the reader will easily describe the annihilator R^\perp of the space R of relations and identify algebras of the Koszul dual

$$\mathfrak{K}_{\mathbf{RTr}} := \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{RTr}}^\perp = \mathbb{F}(\uparrow E^*) / (R^\perp)$$

as structures with degree +1 operations

$$(102) \quad \bullet_i : \mathcal{S}(m) \otimes \mathcal{S}(n) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(m+n-1)$$

satisfying (129c) and the associativities (129b) with the minus sign. It can be verified directly that the level-wise suspension of such a structure is an ordinary Markl operad. However, a more conceptual approach based on coboundaries introduced in Example 3.9 is available.

As in the cases of modular and cyclic operads we notice that, for a rooted tree $T \in \mathbf{RTr}$, $\mathfrak{K}_{\mathbf{RTr}}(T) \cong \det(\text{edg}(T))$, the determinant of the set of internal edges of T . On the other hand, the correspondence that assigns to each vertex of T its out-going edge is an isomorphism

$$(103) \quad \text{edg}(T) \cong \{\text{vertices of } T\} \setminus \{\text{the root}\}$$

which implies that $\det(\text{edg}(T))$ is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{D}_\uparrow(T)$, where \mathfrak{D}_\uparrow is the coboundary with $\uparrow : \pi_0(\mathbf{RTr}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Vect}$ the constant function with value the desuspension $\downarrow \mathbb{k}$ of the ground field. Therefore

$$\mathfrak{K}_{\mathbf{RTr}} = \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{RTr}} \otimes \mathfrak{D}_\uparrow$$

and the identification of $\mathfrak{K}_{\mathbf{RTr}}$ -algebras with Markl operads via the suspension of the underlying collection follows from Proposition 3.10. \square

Similar statements can be proved also for the operadic categories \mathbf{PTr} and \mathbf{PRTr} of planar resp. planar rooted trees introduced in [10, Example 4.10]. The corresponding terminal operads $\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{PTr}}$ resp. $\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{PRTr}}$ will again be self-dual binary quadratic, with algebras non- Σ cyclic operads [43, page 257] resp. non- Σ Markl operads [43, Definition II.1.14]. We leave the details to the reader.

8.3. Pre-permutads. Pre-permutads introduced in [33] form a link between non- Σ operads and permutads. They are structures satisfying all axioms of Markl operads as recalled in Definition A.4 except the parallel associativity, i.e. the first and the last case of (129b). Pre-permutads are algebras over for certain operad over the category \mathbf{RTr} of rooted trees which is very far from being Koszul self-dual:

Theorem 8.3. *Pre-permutads are algebras over a binary quadratic \mathbf{RTr} -operad \mathbf{pp} . The category of algebras over its Koszul dual $\mathbf{pp}^!$ is isomorphic to the category of structures satisfying all axioms of Markl operads, except the associativity (129b) which is replaced by*

$$(f \circ_j g) \circ_i h = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } 1 \leq i < j, \\ f \circ_j (g \circ_{i-j+1} h) & \text{for } j \leq i < b + j, \\ 0 & \text{for } j + b \leq i \leq a + b - 1. \end{cases}$$

Proof. The generating collection E is the same as the one for ordinary Markl operads used in the proof of Theorem 8.2. Referring to the notation used in that proof, we define R to be the subspace of $\mathbb{F}^2(E)$ spanned by r_2 belonging to the direct sum on the right of (101). It is clear that $\mathbf{pp} \cong \mathbb{F}(E)/(R)$. Let d_1^1, d_2^1 resp. d_1^2, d_2^2 be the bases of $\mathbb{F}^2(\uparrow E^*)$ dual to b_1^1, b_2^1 resp. b_1^2, b_2^2 . Then the annihilator R^\perp is clearly spanned by

$$o := d_1^2 + d_2^2, d_1^1 \text{ and } d_2^1.$$

As before we identify algebras over $\mathbf{pp}^! = \mathbb{F}(\uparrow^* E)/R^\perp$ with structures equipped with degree +1 operations (102) satisfying


$$(f \bullet_j g) \bullet_i h = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } 1 \leq i < j, \\ -f \bullet_j (g \bullet_{i-j+1} h) & \text{for } j \leq i < b + j, \\ 0 & \text{for } j + b \leq i \leq a + b - 1, \end{cases}$$

whose first case corresponds to d_1^1 , the middle to o and the last one to d_2^1 . The level-wise suspension of this object is the structure described in the theorem. \square

9. PROP-LIKE STRUCTURES AND PERMUTADS

In this section we treat some important variants of PROPs governed by operadic categories that are sundry modifications of the category \mathbf{Whe} of connected directed oriented graphs introduced in [10, Example 4.19]. The orientation divides the set of half-edges adjacent to each vertex of the graphs involved into two subsets – inputs and outputs of that vertex. The local terminal objects in these categories will thus be the directed corollas $c(\frac{\sigma}{\lambda})$, $\sigma \in \Sigma_k$,

$\lambda \in \Sigma_l$, as in



The chosen local terminal objects are the directed corollas $c_l^k := c(\mathbb{1}_k, \mathbb{1}_l)$, $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$. The underlying collections of the corresponding algebras will be families

$$(104) \quad D(m, n), \quad m, n \in \mathbb{N},$$

of $\Sigma_m \times \Sigma_n$ -modules. We will see that the orientation of the underlying graphs implies that the corresponding terminal operads are self-dual.

9.1. Wheeled properads. These structures were introduced in [42] as an extension of Vallette’s properads [47] that allowed ‘back-in-time’ edges in order to capture traces and therefore also master equations of mathematical physics. Surprisingly, this extended theory is better behaved than the theory of properads in that their composition laws are iterated composites of elementary ones, that is, in terms of pasting schemes, of those given by contraction of a single edge.

The guiding operadic category for wheeled properads is the category **Whe** of connected oriented directed graphs. Since **Whe** was constructed in [10, Example 4.19] from the basic operadic category **Gr** by iterating Grothendieck construction and since it clearly satisfies the conditions **UFib** and **SGrad**, we conclude as in the previous sections that our theory of Koszul duality applies to it.

Theorem 9.1. *The terminal **Whe**-operad $\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{Whe}}$ is binary quadratic. Its algebras are wheeled properads introduced in [42, Definition 2.2.1]. The operad $\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{Whe}}$ is self-dual in the sense of Definition 6.4.*

Proof. The proof goes along the same lines as the proofs of similar statements in the previous sections, so we will be telegraphic. As before, for a wheeled graph Γ we put

$$\mathfrak{K}_{\mathbf{Whe}}(\Gamma) := \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{Whe}}^!(\Gamma) \cong \det(\text{edg}(\Gamma)),$$

the determinant of the set of internal edges of Γ . On the other hand, the correspondence that assigns to each vertex v of Γ the set $\text{out}(v)$ of its out-going edges defines an isomorphism

$$(105) \quad \text{edg}(\Gamma) \cong \bigcup_{v \in \text{Ver}(\Gamma)} \text{out}(v) \setminus \text{out}(\Gamma)$$

which implies that $\det(\text{edg}(\Gamma))$ is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{D}_\Gamma(\Gamma)$, where \mathfrak{D}_Γ is the coboundary with $\mathfrak{l} : \pi_0(\mathbf{RTr}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Vect}$ the function defined by


$$\mathfrak{l}(c_l^k) := \downarrow^k \mathbb{k},$$

the desuspension of the ground field iterated k times. Therefore

$$\mathfrak{K}_{\mathbf{Whe}} \cong \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{Whe}} \otimes \mathfrak{D}_\Gamma$$

which, by Proposition 3.10, implies the self-duality of $\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{Whe}}$.

It follows from the description of the local terminal objects in \mathbf{Whe} that the underlying structure of an $\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{Whe}}$ -algebra is a collection of bimodules as in (104). The composition laws are given by wheeled graphs with one internal edge whose souls are depicted in




We recognize them as the operations

$$(106a) \quad \circ_j^i : D(m, n) \otimes D(k, l) \longrightarrow D(m+k-1, n+l-1), \quad 1 \leq i \leq n, \quad 1 \leq j \leq k, \quad \text{and}$$

$$(106b) \quad \xi_j^i : D(m, n) \longrightarrow D(m-1, n-1), \quad 1 \leq i \leq m, \quad 1 \leq j \leq n$$

in formulas (16) and (17) of [42].

As in the previous cases, the axioms that these operations satisfy are determined by graphs with two internal edges whose souls are depicted in the following display.



The graphs with three vertices induce the parallel and sequential associativity of the ξ -operations, similar to that for Markl operads (129b). They were explicitly given in the dioperadic context as axioms (a) and (b) in [20, page 111].

The circles in (107) represent the rules of the type $\circ_1 \xi_2 = \circ_2 \xi_1$, where ξ_1 resp. ξ_2 is the operation corresponding to the shrinking of the edge labelled 1 resp. 2, and similarly for

\circ_1 and \circ_2 . The lollipops in (107) force the interchange rule $\xi_2 \circ_1 = \circ_1 \xi_2$, and the eyes the rule $\circ_1 \circ_2 = \circ_2 \circ_1$. To expand these remaining axioms into explicit forms similar to that on [20, page 111] would not be very helpful; we thus leave it as an exercise for a determined reader. \square

9.2. Dioperads. They were introduced in [20] as tools governing structures like Lie or infinitesimal bialgebras (called mock bialgebras in [36]). A short definition is that a dioperad is a wheeled properad without the ξ_j^i -operations (106b). The underlying operadic category is the category \mathbf{Dio} of directed simply connected oriented graphs introduced in [10, Example 4.20]. As before one may check that \mathbf{Dio} meets all requirements of our theory. One has the expected:

Theorem 9.2. *Dioperads are algebras over the terminal \mathbf{Dio} -operad $\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{Dio}}$, which is binary quadratic and self-dual.*

Proof. The proof is a simplified version of the wheeled case. The self-duality of $\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{Dio}}$ is established in precisely the same way as the self-duality of the terminal \mathbf{Whe} -operad $\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{Whe}}$; the existence of the relevant coboundary is given by isomorphism (105) which clearly holds in \mathbf{Dio} as well. The soul of graphs in \mathbf{Dio} with one internal edge is the oriented interval, with the corresponding operation as in (106a). The souls of graphs in \mathbf{Dio} with two internal edges are the three upper left graphs in (107). The resulting axioms are the parallel and sequential associativities which are the same as for ξ_j^i -operations of wheeled properads, see [20, §1.1]. \square

9.3. $\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{PROPs}$. These structures were introduced, following a suggestion of Kontsevich, in [44] as a link between dioperads and PROPs. A $\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{PROP}$ is a collection of bimodules (104) which is *stable* in that it fulfills

$$D(m, n) = 0 \quad \text{if } m + n < 3,$$

together with partial vertical compositions

$$\circ_i : D(m_1, n_1) \otimes D(1, l) \rightarrow D(m_1, n_1 + l - 1), \quad 1 \leq i \leq n_1, \quad \text{and}$$

$${}_j \circ : D(k, 1) \otimes D(m_2, n_2) \rightarrow D(m_2 + k - 1, n_2), \quad 1 \leq j \leq m_2,$$

that satisfy the axioms of vertical compositions in PROPs. The corresponding operadic category $\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{Gr}$ is introduced in [10, Example 4.21]. We have the expected statement whose proof is left to the reader.

Theorem 9.3. *$\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{PROPs}$ are algebras over the terminal $\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{Gr}$ -operad $\mathbf{1}_{\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{Gr}}$. This operad is binary quadratic and self-dual.*

The operadic categories considered so far in this section were based on graphs. Let us give one example where this is not the case.

9.4. Permutads. They are structures introduced by Loday and Ronco in [34] to handle the combinatorial structure of objects like the permutohedra. We will describe an operadic category \mathbf{Per} such that permutads are algebras over the terminal operad for this category.

Let \underline{n} denote the finite ordered set $(1, \dots, n)$, $n \geq 1$. Objects of \mathbf{Per} are surjections $\alpha : \underline{n} \twoheadrightarrow \underline{k}$, $n \geq 1$, and the morphisms are diagrams

$$(108) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \underline{n} & \xlongequal{\quad} & \underline{n} \\ \alpha' \downarrow & & \downarrow \alpha'' \\ \underline{k}' & \xrightarrow{\quad \gamma \quad} & \underline{k}'' \end{array}$$

in which γ is order-preserving (and necessarily a surjection).

The cardinality functor is defined by $|\alpha : \underline{n} \twoheadrightarrow \underline{k}| := k$. The i -th fiber of the morphism in (108) is the surjection $\gamma(\alpha')^{-1}(i) \twoheadrightarrow \gamma^{-1}(i)$, $i \in \underline{k}$. The only local terminal objects are $\underline{n} \rightarrow \underline{1}$, $n \geq 1$, which are also the chosen ones. The category \mathbf{Per} is graded by $e(\underline{n} \twoheadrightarrow \underline{k}) := k - 1$. All quasibijections, and isomorphisms in general, are the identities.

Theorem 9.4. *Algebras over the terminal \mathbf{Per} -operad $\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{Per}}$ are the permutads of [34]. The operad $\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{Per}}$ is binary quadratic. It is self-dual in the sense that the category of algebras over $\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{Per}}^!$ is isomorphic to the category of permutads via the functor induced by the suspension of the underlying collection.*

Proof. Let us give a quadratic presentation of the terminal operad $\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{Per}}$. As noticed in Example 4.3, the category $\mathbf{QO}_{\mathbf{vrt}}(e)$ of virtual isomorphisms related to \mathbf{Per} is isomorphic to the category $\mathbf{O}_{\mathbf{iso}}$ of isomorphisms in \mathbf{Per} . Since all isomorphisms in \mathbf{Per} are the identities, we infer that in fact $\mathbf{QO}_{\mathbf{vrt}}(e) \cong \mathbf{Per}_{\mathbf{disc}}$, the discrete category with the same objects as \mathbf{Per} . Therefore a $\mathbf{QO}_{\mathbf{vrt}}(e)$ -presheaf is just a rule that assigns to each $\alpha \in \mathbf{Per}$ a vector space $E(\alpha) \in \mathbf{Vect}$. Let us define a 1-connected \mathbf{Per} -collection, in the sense of Definition 5.1, by

$$(109) \quad E(\alpha) := \begin{cases} \mathbb{k} & \text{if } |\alpha| = 2, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and describe the free operad $\mathbb{F}(E)$ generated by E .


The first step is to understand the labelled towers in $lTw(\alpha)$. As all isomorphisms in \mathbf{Per} are the identities, the labeling is the identity map so these towers are of the form

$$\alpha := \alpha \xrightarrow{\tau_1} \alpha_1 \xrightarrow{\tau_2} \alpha_2 \xrightarrow{\tau_3} \dots \xrightarrow{\tau_{s-1}} \alpha_{s-1}.$$

Since the generating collection E is such that $E(\alpha) \neq 0$ only if $|\alpha| = 2$, we may consider only towers in which each τ_i , $1 \leq i \leq s - 1$, decreases the cardinality by one. For $\alpha : \underline{n} \rightarrow \underline{k}$, such a tower is a diagram

$$(110) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} \underline{n} & \xlongequal{\quad} & \underline{n} & \xlongequal{\quad} & \underline{n} & \xlongequal{\quad} & \cdots & \xlongequal{\quad} & \underline{n} \\ \alpha \downarrow & & \alpha_1 \downarrow & & \alpha_2 \downarrow & & & & \alpha_{k-1} \downarrow \\ \underline{k} & \xrightarrow{\nu_1} & \underline{k-1} & \xrightarrow{\nu_2} & \underline{k-2} & \xrightarrow{\nu_3} & \cdots & \xrightarrow{\nu_{k-2}} & \underline{2} \end{array}$$

with ν_1, \dots, ν_{k-2} order-preserving surjections. Notice that all vertical maps are determined by α and ν_1, \dots, ν_{k-2} . It will be convenient to represent \underline{k} by a linear graph with k vertices:



and denote by $\text{edg}(\underline{k})$ or $\text{edg}(\alpha)$ the set of $k - 1$ edges of this graph. In this graphical presentation, each ν_1, \dots, ν_{k-2} contracts one of the edges of our linear graph; thus ν_1, \dots, ν_{k-2} and therefore also the tower (110) is determined by the linear order on $\text{edg}(\underline{k})$ in which the edges are contracted. We readily get the following analog of Proposition 7.6, which we formulate as a separate claim so we can refer to it later in the proof.

Claim 9.5. *The isomorphism classes of labeled towers (110) are in one-to-one correspondence with the linear orders on $\text{edg}(\underline{k})$ modulo the relation \bowtie that interchanges two edges adjacent in this linear order that do not share a common vertex.*

Let us continue the proof of Theorem 9.4. By the above claim, $\mathbb{F}(E)(\alpha)$ equals the span of the set of linear orders on $\text{edg}(\underline{k})$ modulo the equivalence \bowtie . Let us inspect in detail its component $\mathbb{F}^2(E)(\alpha)$. It might be nonzero only for $\alpha : \underline{n} \rightarrow \underline{k} \in \text{Per}$ with $k = 3$, for which (110) takes the form

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \underline{n} & \xlongequal{\quad} & \underline{n} \\ \alpha \downarrow & & \alpha_1 \downarrow \\ \underline{3} & \xrightarrow{\nu} & \underline{2} \end{array}$$

and the relation \bowtie is vacuous.

There are two possibilities for the map ν and therefore also for α_1 . The map ν may either equal $\nu_{\{1,2\}} : \underline{3} \rightarrow \underline{2}$ defined by

$$\nu_{\{1,2\}}(1) = \nu_{\{1,2\}}(2) := 1, \quad \nu_{\{1,2\}}(3) := 2$$

which corresponds to the linear order



on $\text{edg}(3)$, or $\nu_{\{2,3\}} : \underline{3} \rightarrow \underline{2}$ defined by

$$\nu_{\{2,3\}}(1) := 1, \nu_{\{2,3\}}(2) = \nu_{\{2,3\}}(3) := 2,$$

corresponding to the order



The fiber sequence associated to $\nu_{\{1,2\}}$ is $\alpha|_{\alpha^{-1}\{1,2\}}, \nu_{\{1,2\}}\alpha$, the one associated to $\nu_{\{2,3\}}$ is $\alpha|_{\alpha^{-1}\{2,3\}}, \nu_{\{2,3\}}\alpha$; therefore

$$\mathbb{F}^2(E)(\alpha) \cong \{E(\alpha|_{\alpha^{-1}\{1,2\}}) \otimes E(\nu_{\{1,2\}}\alpha)\} \oplus \{E(\alpha|_{\alpha^{-1}\{2,3\}}) \otimes E(\nu_{\{2,3\}}\alpha)\}.$$

Since $E(\alpha|_{\alpha^{-1}\{1,2\}}) = E(\alpha|_{\alpha^{-1}\{2,3\}}) = E(\nu_{\{1,2\}}\alpha) = E(\nu_{\{2,3\}}\alpha) = \mathbb{k}$ by definition, $\mathbb{F}^2(E)(\alpha)$ has a basis formed by

$$b_1 := [1 \otimes 1] \oplus [0 \otimes 0] \quad \text{and} \quad b_2 := [0 \otimes 0] \oplus [1 \otimes 1].$$

Let R be the subspace of $\mathbb{F}^2(E)$ spanned by $b_2 - b_1$. Quotienting by the ideal (R) generated by R extends the relation \bowtie of Claim 9.5 by allowing edges that do share a common vertex, thus $\mathbb{F}(E)/(R)(\alpha) \cong \mathbb{k}$ for any α , in other words,

$$\mathbf{1}_{\text{Per}} \cong \mathbb{F}(E)/(R).$$

Now we describe $\mathbf{1}_{\text{Per}}$ -algebras. Since $\pi_0(\text{Per}) = \{1, 2, \dots\}$, their underlying collections are sequences of vector spaces $P(n)$, $n \geq 1$. As we saw several times before, the structure operations of $\mathbf{1}_{\text{Per}}$ -algebras are parametrized by the generating collection E , therefore, by (109), by surjections $r : \underline{n} \twoheadrightarrow \underline{2} \in \text{Per}$. If $n_i := |r^{-1}(i)|$, $i = 1, 2$, the operation corresponding to r is of the form

$$(111) \quad \circ_r : P(n_1) \otimes P(n_2) \rightarrow P(n_1 + n_2)$$

by (42). It is easy to verify that the vanishing of the induced map $\mathbb{F}(E) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}nd_P$ on the generator $b_2 - b_1$ of the ideal of relations (R) is equivalent to the associativity

$$(112) \quad \circ_t(\circ_s \otimes \mathbb{1}) = \circ_u(\mathbb{1} \otimes \circ_v)$$

with $s := \alpha|_{\alpha^{-1}\{1,2\}}$, $t := \nu_{\{1,2\}}\alpha$, $u := \alpha|_{\alpha^{-1}\{2,3\}}$ and $v := \nu_{\{2,3\}}\alpha$. We recognize it as the associativity of [34, Lemma 2.2] featuring in the biased definition of permutads.

It can be easily seen that $\mathfrak{K}_{\text{Per}}(\alpha) := \mathbf{1}_{\text{Per}}^!(\alpha) \cong \det(\text{edg}(\alpha))$. As in §8.2 we identify $\mathfrak{K}_{\text{Per}}$ -algebras as structures with degree +1 operations

$$\bullet_r : P(n_1) \otimes P(n_2) \rightarrow P(n_1 + n_2)$$

with r as in (111) satisfying an odd version

$$\bullet_t(\bullet_s \otimes \mathbb{1}) + \bullet_u(\mathbb{1} \otimes \bullet_v) = 0$$

of (112). It is elementary to show that the structure induced on the component-wise suspension of the underlying collection is that of a permutad. \square

In [41] we prove the following theorem:

Theorem 9.6. *The terminal P-operad $\mathbf{1}_{\text{per}}$ is Koszul.*

Its meaning is that the canonical map $\Omega(\mathbf{1}_{\text{per}}^!) \rightarrow \mathbf{1}_{\text{per}}$ from a suitably defined bar construction of $\mathbf{1}_{\text{per}}^!$ to $\mathbf{1}_{\text{per}}$ is a component-wise homology equivalence. In other words, the dg-Per operad $\Omega(\mathbf{1}_{\text{per}}^!)$ is the minimal model of $\mathbf{1}_{\text{per}}$; therefore, according to the philosophy of [38, Section 4], $\Omega(\mathbf{1}_{\text{per}}^!)$ -algebras are *strongly homotopy* permutads. An explicit description of these objects is given in [41] as well.

10. THE COBAR CONSTRUCTION

The assumptions on the base operadic category $\mathbf{0}$ are the same as in Section 5, i.e.

Fac & SBU & QBI & UFib & SGrad.

Notice that then $e(X) = 0$ if and only if $X \in \mathbf{0}$ is local terminal. Indeed, the unique map $! : X \rightarrow u$ to a local terminal object satisfies $e(!) = 0$, thus it is an isomorphism. All Markl operads are tacitly assumed to be strictly unital and 1-connected. The base monoidal category \mathbf{V} will be the category \mathbf{Vect} of graded vector spaces over a field \mathbb{k} of characteristic 0.

10.1. Derivations, cooperads. To simplify the notation, we will use the same symbol both for a (co)operad and for its underlying collection when the meaning is clear from the context.

Definition 10.1. A degree s *derivation* of a Markl operad \mathcal{M} is defined as a degree s endomorphism $\varpi : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ of the underlying collections such that, for each elementary morphism $F \triangleright T \xrightarrow{\phi} S$ and the related composition laws $\circ_{\phi} : \mathcal{M}(S) \otimes \mathcal{M}(F) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(T)$, one has the equality

$$(113) \quad \varpi \circ_{\phi} = \circ_{\phi}(\mathbb{1} \otimes \varpi) + \circ_{\phi}(\varpi \otimes \mathbb{1})$$

of maps $\mathcal{M}(S) \otimes \mathcal{M}(F) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(T)$.

In the following proposition, $\mathbb{F}(E)$ is the free Markl operad generated by a 1-connected collection E , which is considered as a subcollection of $\mathbb{F}(E)$ via the inclusion $\iota : E \hookrightarrow \mathbb{F}(E)$ in (75).

Proposition 10.2. *Each degree s map of collections $\zeta : E \rightarrow \mathbb{F}(E)$ uniquely extends into a degree s derivation ϖ of the free operad $\mathbb{F}(E)$ satisfying $\varpi|_E = \zeta$.*

Proof. Our proof follows the scheme of an analogous statement for algebras. To avoid cumbersome but conceptually insignificant sign issues, we assume that $s = 0$. The modification for a general s is indicated at the end of the proof. Given a Markl operad \mathcal{M} , we make the componentwise direct sum $\mathcal{M} \oplus \mathcal{M}$ of the underlying collections an operad with the composition laws \circ_ϕ^\oplus given by

$$\circ_\phi^\oplus(a' \oplus b', a'' \oplus b'') := \circ_\phi(a', a'') \oplus (\circ_\phi(a', b'') + (-1)^{|a''| \cdot |b'|} \circ_\phi(a'', b')),$$

for $a', a'' \in \mathcal{M}(S)$, $b', b'' \in \mathcal{M}(F)$, where \circ_ϕ is the composition law of \mathcal{M} associated to an elementary morphism $F \triangleright T \xrightarrow{\phi} S$. Then clearly $\varpi : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ is a degree 0 derivation if and only if the map $\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{M}} \oplus \varpi : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M} \oplus \mathcal{M}$ is a morphism of Markl operads.

Let $\iota : E \rightarrow \mathbb{F}(E)$ be the inclusion (75). The map $\iota \oplus \zeta : E \rightarrow \mathbb{F}(E) \oplus \mathbb{F}(E)$ extends into a unique operad morphism $\mathbb{F}(E) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}(E) \oplus \mathbb{F}(E)$ by the freeness of $\mathbb{F}(E)$. This extension is of the form $\mathbb{1} \oplus \varpi$, where ϖ is the wished-for derivation. If $s \neq 0$, we replace the direct sum $\mathcal{M} \oplus \mathcal{M}$ by $\mathcal{M} \oplus \uparrow^s \mathcal{M}$ and introduce the canonical Koszul signs. \square

Definition 10.3. A *Markl 0-cooperad* is a functor $\mathcal{W} : \mathbf{0}_{\text{iso}} \rightarrow \mathbf{V}$ equipped, for each elementary morphism $F \triangleright T \xrightarrow{\phi} S$ as in Definition 2.1, with the ‘partial coproduct’

$$(114) \quad \delta_\phi : \mathcal{W}(T) \rightarrow \mathcal{W}(S) \otimes \mathcal{W}(F).$$

These operations must fulfill the axioms obtained by inverting the arrows in the diagrams in Definition 3.1 of Markl operads.

Example 10.4. Assume that \mathcal{M} is a Markl operad whose components $\mathcal{M}(T)$, $T \in \mathbf{0}$, are either finite-dimensional, or non-negatively, or non-positively graded dg vector spaces of finite type. Then its component-wise linear dual $\mathcal{M}^* := \{\mathcal{M}(T)^*\}_{T \in \mathbf{0}}$ is a Markl cooperad. The partial coproducts (114) are given by dualizing the operations (23), i.e.

$$\delta_\psi := \circ_\psi^* : \mathcal{M}(T)^* \rightarrow (\mathcal{M}(S) \otimes \mathcal{M}(F))^* \cong \mathcal{M}(S)^* \otimes \mathcal{M}(F)^*.$$

The finitariness assumption guarantees that the inclusion $(\mathcal{M}(S) \otimes \mathcal{M}(F))^* \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}(S)^* \otimes \mathcal{M}(F)^*$ is an isomorphism. Since \mathcal{M} is a \mathbf{V} -presheaf on $\mathbf{0}_{\text{iso}}$, its dual is a functor $\mathcal{M}^* : \mathbf{0}_{\text{iso}} \rightarrow \mathbf{V}$ as required in our definition of a cooperad.

Markl cooperad \mathcal{W} is *counital* if one is given, for each trivial U , a ‘counit’ $\epsilon_U : \mathcal{W}(U) \rightarrow \mathbb{k}$ such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M}(T) & \xrightarrow{\delta_U} & \mathcal{M}(U) \otimes \mathcal{M}(T) \\ \parallel & & \downarrow \epsilon_U \otimes \mathbb{1} \\ \mathcal{M}(T) & \xlongequal{\cong} & \mathbb{k} \otimes \mathcal{M}(T) \end{array}$$

in which T is such that $e(T) \geq 1$ and $T \triangleright T \xrightarrow{!} U$ the unique map, commutes. By reversing the arrows of (31) we obtain the map $\vartheta(T, u) : \mathcal{M}(T) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(F)$ for each T with $e(T) \geq 1$ and $F \triangleright T \xrightarrow{!} u$, with u a local terminal object.

Definition 10.5. A counital Markl operad \mathcal{K} is *strictly counital* if all the maps $\vartheta(T, u)$ are the identities. It is *1-connected* if $\epsilon_U : \mathcal{K}(U) \rightarrow \mathbb{k}$ is an isomorphism for each trivial $U \in \mathbf{0}$.

We leave as an exercise to formulate an analog of Lemma 3.3 for cooperads. From this moment on, all Markl cooperads will be tacitly assumed to be *strictly counital* and *1-connected*. The main source of examples will be component-wise linear duals of Markl strictly unital 1-connected operads that satisfy the finitariness assumption of Example 10.4.

10.2. The cobar construction. The underlying collection of a Markl cooperad \mathcal{K} is a covariant functor $\mathbf{0}_{\text{iso}} \rightarrow \mathbf{V}$. Since $\mathbf{0}_{\text{iso}}$ is a groupoid, we may consider \mathcal{K} also as a \mathbf{V} -presheaf with the contravariant action of $\omega \in \mathbf{0}_{\text{iso}}$ given by $\omega^* := (\omega^{-1})_*$. With this convention in mind, the family $\overline{\mathcal{K}} = \{\mathcal{K}(T)\}_{T \in \mathbf{0}}$ defined by

$$\overline{\mathcal{K}}(T) := \begin{cases} \mathcal{K}(T) & \text{if } e(T) \geq 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and also its component-wise desuspension $\downarrow \overline{\mathcal{K}}$ becomes a 1-connected $\mathbf{0}$ -collection in the sense of Definition 5.1, so it makes sense to form the free operad $\mathbb{F}(\downarrow \overline{\mathcal{K}})$ which it generates. We denote the restrictions of the partial coproducts δ_ϕ of (114) to $\overline{\mathcal{K}}$ by

$$\overline{\delta}_\phi : \overline{\mathcal{K}}(T) \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{K}}(S) \otimes \overline{\mathcal{K}}(F), \text{ for } F \triangleright T \xrightarrow{\phi} S.$$

Note that $\overline{\mathcal{K}}$ with the above operations is an analog of the coaugmentation coideal of a coaugmented coalgebra featuring in the classical cobar construction. We finally define, for every elementary map $F \triangleright T \xrightarrow{\phi} S$, degree -1 operations

$$\overline{\delta}_\phi^\downarrow := (\uparrow \otimes \uparrow) \overline{\delta}_\phi \downarrow : \downarrow \overline{\mathcal{K}}(T) \rightarrow \downarrow \overline{\mathcal{K}}(S) \otimes \downarrow \overline{\mathcal{K}}(F).$$

The prominent rôle in the calculations below will be played by labeled towers of height 2. Recall that such a tower $\tau = (\ell, \mathfrak{T}) \in \mathbf{1Tw}^2(X)$ consists of an elementary morphism $\tau : T \rightarrow S$ and an isomorphism $\ell : X \xrightarrow{\cong} T$ which can always be replaced by a quasibijection. Its associated fiber sequence is the pair (F, S) , with F the unique nontrivial fiber of τ . We will denote such a tower by

$$(115) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & & F \\ & & \nabla \\ X & \xrightarrow{\ell} & T \\ & \tau & \downarrow \tau \\ & & S \end{array}$$

In the rest of this section we also assume that the groupoid $\mathbf{1Tw}^2(X)$ has, for each $X \in \mathbf{0}$, only *finitely many* connected components.

Let us denote by $\Omega(\mathcal{W})$ the free operad $\mathbb{F}(\downarrow\overline{\mathcal{W}})$ generated by the 1-connected collection $\downarrow\overline{\mathcal{W}}$, with the natural grading $\Omega(\mathcal{W}) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \Omega^n(\mathcal{W})$ inherited from $\mathbb{F}(\downarrow\overline{\mathcal{W}})$ by $\Omega^n(\mathcal{W}) := \mathbb{F}^n(\downarrow\overline{\mathcal{W}})$. We are going to introduce a degree -1 derivation $\partial_\Omega : \Omega(\mathcal{W}) \rightarrow \Omega(\mathcal{W})$ that squares to zero, thus making $\Omega(\mathcal{W})$ a differential graded Markl operad. Referring to Proposition 10.2, ∂_Ω will be defined as the unique extension of its restriction to the generators of $\Omega(\mathcal{W})$. For $x \in \downarrow\overline{\mathcal{W}}(X) \cong \Omega^1(\mathcal{W})(X)$ and $X \in \mathbf{0}$, this restriction is the finite sum

$$(116) \quad \partial_\Omega(x) = \sum_{[\tau] \in \pi_0(\mathbf{1Tw}^2(X))} \partial_\tau(x),$$

with τ running over representatives of the connected components of the groupoid $\mathbf{1Tw}^2(X)$. If $\tau = (\ell, \mathcal{J})$ as in (115) is such a representative, we put

$$(117) \quad \partial_\tau(x) := \ell^* \circ_\tau (\bar{\delta}_\tau^\downarrow(\ell_* x)) \in \Omega^2(\mathcal{W})(X),$$

where $\circ_\tau : \Omega(\mathcal{W})(S) \otimes \Omega(\mathcal{W})(F) \rightarrow \Omega(\mathcal{W})(T)$ is the partial composition in the operad $\Omega(\mathcal{W})$ associated to the elementary map τ . In detail, $\ell_* x \in \downarrow\overline{\mathcal{W}}(T)$, thus $\bar{\delta}_\tau^\downarrow(\ell_* x) \in \downarrow\overline{\mathcal{W}}(S) \otimes \downarrow\overline{\mathcal{W}}(F)$ which is canonically a subspace of $\Omega(\mathcal{W})(S) \otimes \Omega(\mathcal{W})(F)$, so the application of \circ_τ makes sense.

We must show that $\partial_\tau(x)$ does not depend on the choices of the representatives of the connected components of $\mathbf{1Tw}^2(X)$. Suppose thus that $\tau' = (\ell', \mathcal{J}')$ and $\tau'' = (\ell'', \mathcal{J}'')$ are two isomorphic labelled towers as in

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & X & \\ \ell' \swarrow & & \searrow \ell'' \\ T' & \xrightarrow{\sigma_1} & T'' \\ \tau' \downarrow & \cong & \downarrow \tau'' \\ S' & \xrightarrow{\sigma_2} & S'' \end{array}$$

with the associated fiber sequences (F', S') resp. (F'', S'') . Denote by $F = \phi^{-1}(j)$ the unique nontrivial fiber of the auxiliary morphism $\phi := \sigma_2 \tau' : T' \rightarrow S''$. By the strong counitality assumption, $\mathcal{W}(F) = \mathcal{W}(F'')$, so the dual of commutative diagram (34) leads to

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \downarrow\overline{\mathcal{W}}(S'') \otimes \downarrow\overline{\mathcal{W}}(F'') & \xleftarrow{\bar{\delta}_{\tau''}^\downarrow} & \downarrow\overline{\mathcal{W}}(T'') & \xleftarrow{\ell''_*} & \downarrow\overline{\mathcal{W}}(X) \\ \sigma_{2*} \otimes \sigma_{1j} \uparrow \cong & & \cong \uparrow \sigma_{1*} & & \\ \downarrow\overline{\mathcal{W}}(S') \otimes \downarrow\overline{\mathcal{W}}(F') & \xleftarrow{\bar{\delta}_{\tau'}^\downarrow} & \downarrow\overline{\mathcal{W}}(T') & \xleftarrow{\ell'_*} & \end{array}$$

We conclude from this diagram that $\bar{\delta}_{\tau'}^\downarrow(\ell'_*x) \in \downarrow\overline{\mathcal{M}}(\mathcal{T}')$ and $\bar{\delta}_{\tau''}^\downarrow(\ell''_*x) \in \downarrow\overline{\mathcal{M}}(\mathcal{T}'')$ are related by the isomorphism σ^* associated to (σ_2, σ_1) as in (70), thus $\partial_{\tau'}(x) = \ell'^* \circ_{\tau'}(\bar{\delta}_{\tau'}^\downarrow(\ell'_*x))$ and $\partial_{\tau''}(x) = \ell''^* \circ_{\tau''}(\bar{\delta}_{\tau''}^\downarrow(\ell''_*x))$ are the same.

Proposition 10.6. *The derivation $\partial_\Omega : \Omega(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow \Omega(\mathcal{M})$ introduced above squares to zero.*

Proof. It is simple to verify, using the defining equation (113), that the square of an odd-degree derivation is a derivation again. In particular, ∂_Ω^2 is a derivation, thus it suffices, by Proposition 10.2, to verify that $\partial_\Omega^2(x) = 0$ for $x \in \downarrow\overline{\mathcal{M}}(X)$, $X \in \mathbf{0}$, i.e. that

$$\sum_{\tau} \partial_\Omega \partial_\tau(x) = \sum_{\tau} \partial_\Omega \ell^* \circ_{\tau}(\bar{\delta}_{\tau}^\downarrow(\ell_*x)) = \sum_{\tau} \ell^* \partial_\Omega \circ_{\tau}(\bar{\delta}_{\tau}^\downarrow(\ell_*x)) = 0.$$

In the above display, as well as in the following ones, we will not specify the summation range where it is clear from the context. By the derivation rule (113) we have

$$\sum_{\tau} \ell^* \partial_\Omega \circ_{\tau}(\bar{\delta}_{\tau}^\downarrow(\ell_*x)) = \sum_{\tau} \ell^* \circ_{\tau}(\partial_\Omega \otimes \mathbb{1})(\bar{\delta}_{\tau}^\downarrow(\ell_*x)) + \sum_{\tau} \ell^* \circ_{\tau}(\mathbb{1} \otimes \partial_\Omega)(\bar{\delta}_{\tau}^\downarrow(\ell_*x)),$$

thus $\partial_\Omega^2(x)$ decomposes as $\partial_\Omega^2(x) = \partial_L^2(x) + \partial_R^2(x)$, where $\partial_L^2(x)$, resp. $\partial_R^2(x)$ is the left, resp. right, term at the left-hand side of the above equation.

Analyzing $\partial_L^2(x)$. Let us focus on $\partial_L^2(x)$ first. Expanding further using definitions, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_L^2(x) &= \sum_{\psi'} \ell_{\psi'}^* \circ_{\psi'}(\partial_\Omega \otimes \mathbb{1})(\bar{\delta}_{\psi'}^\downarrow(\ell_{\psi'}^*x)) = \sum_{\phi, \psi'} \ell_{\psi'}^* \circ_{\psi'}(\partial_\phi \otimes \mathbb{1})(\bar{\delta}_{\psi'}^\downarrow(\ell_{\psi'}^*x)) \\ &= \sum_{\phi, \psi'} \ell_{\psi'}^* \circ_{\psi'}(\ell_\phi^* \circ_\phi \bar{\delta}_\phi^\downarrow \ell_{\phi_*} \otimes \mathbb{1})(\bar{\delta}_{\psi'}^\downarrow(\ell_{\psi'}^*x)) \\ &= \sum_{\phi, \psi'} \ell_{\psi'}^* \circ_{\psi'}(\ell_\phi^* \otimes \mathbb{1})(\circ_\phi \otimes \mathbb{1})(\bar{\delta}_\phi^\downarrow \otimes \mathbb{1})(\ell_{\phi_*} \otimes \mathbb{1})(\bar{\delta}_{\psi'}^\downarrow(\ell_{\psi'}^*x)), \end{aligned}$$

where ψ' and ϕ run over the representatives of the isomorphism classes of labelled towers of the form

$$X \xrightarrow{\ell_{\psi'}} \begin{array}{c} F \\ \nabla \\ T' \end{array}, \quad H' \xrightarrow{\ell_\phi} \begin{array}{c} B \\ \nabla \\ H \end{array} \\ \psi' \downarrow \quad \phi \downarrow \\ H' \quad S$$

Consider now the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & F & \overset{\mathbb{1}}{\rightsquigarrow} & F \\
 & & \nabla & & \nabla \\
 X & \xrightarrow{\ell_{\psi'}} & T' & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\ell}_\phi} & T \\
 & & \psi' \downarrow & \text{SBU} & \downarrow \psi \\
 & & H' & \xrightarrow{\ell_\phi} & H \\
 & & & & \downarrow \phi \\
 & & & & S
 \end{array}$$

whose square was obtained using the strong blow-up axiom with the condition that the prescribed maps of fibers are the identities, which is symbolized by the wavy arrow decorated by $\mathbb{1}$. From (34) we conclude that $\circ_{\psi'}(\ell_\phi^* \otimes \mathbb{1}) = \tilde{\ell}_\phi^* \circ_\psi$ and, dually, $(\ell_{\phi_*} \otimes \mathbb{1})\delta_{\psi'} = \delta_\psi \tilde{\ell}_{\phi_*}$, thus also $(\ell_{\phi_*} \otimes \mathbb{1})\bar{\delta}_{\psi'}^\downarrow = \bar{\delta}_\psi^\downarrow \tilde{\ell}_{\phi_*}$, therefore

$$\partial_L^2(x) = \sum_{\phi, \psi'} (\tilde{\ell}_\phi \ell_{\psi'})^* \circ_{\psi'} (\circ_\phi \otimes \mathbb{1}) (\bar{\delta}_\phi^\downarrow \otimes \mathbb{1}) \bar{\delta}_\psi^\downarrow (\tilde{\ell}_\phi \ell_{\psi'})_*(x).$$

This can clearly be rewritten as

$$(118) \quad \partial_L^2(x) = \sum_{\phi, \psi} l_* \circ_\psi (\circ_\phi \otimes \mathbb{1}) (\bar{\delta}_\phi^\downarrow \otimes \mathbb{1}) \bar{\delta}_\psi^\downarrow l_*(x),$$

where ϕ, ψ runs over the representatives of the isomorphism classes of labelled towers as in

$$(119) \quad \begin{array}{ccc}
 X & \xrightarrow{\ell} & \begin{array}{c} F \\ \nabla \\ T \end{array}, \quad H & \xrightarrow{\mathbb{1}} & \begin{array}{c} B \\ \nabla \\ H \end{array} \\
 & & \psi \downarrow & & \downarrow \phi \\
 & & H & & S
 \end{array}$$

Let us further decompose

$$\partial_L^2(x) = \partial_L^2(x)' + \partial_L^2(x)'',$$

where $\partial_L^2(x)'$ resp. $\partial_L^2(x)''$ is the part of the sum in (118) running over ϕ and ψ with joint resp. disjoint fibers in the sense of Definition 2.4. We are going to show that $\partial_L^2(x)'' = 0$.

To start, let $k, i \in |S|$, $k \neq i$, and call (ϕ, ψ) a (k, i) -pair if there is $j \in \phi^{-1}(k)$ such that $\psi^{-1}(j)$ is the only nontrivial fiber of ψ , and $\phi^{-1}(i)$ the only nontrivial fiber of ϕ . This results in the decomposition

$$\partial_L^2(x)'' = \sum_{k \neq i} \partial_L^2(x)''_{(k, i)}$$

where $\partial_L^2(x)''_{(k, i)}$ is the part of the sum in (118) taken over (k, i) -pairs. Our next aim will be to prove that

$$(120) \quad \partial_L^2(x)''_{(k, i)} + \partial_L^2(x)''_{(i, k)} = 0,$$

for each $k \neq i \in |S|$, using the following

Claim. *There is a natural one-to-one correspondence $(\phi', \psi') \leftrightarrow (\phi'', \psi'')$ between isomorphism classes of (k, i) -pairs and isomorphism classes of (i, k) -pairs such that $\phi'\psi' = \phi''\psi''$.*

Assume that $T \xrightarrow{\psi'} H' \xrightarrow{\phi'} S$ is a (k, i) -pair. Then $\alpha := \phi\psi$ has precisely two nontrivial fibers, say F' over k and F'' over i . The weak blow-up axiom produces the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T & \xrightarrow{\psi''} & H'' \\ & \searrow \alpha & \swarrow \phi'' \\ & & S \end{array}$$

WBU

in which ϕ'' is elementary, with its only nontrivial fiber F' over k under the condition that the prescribed fiber maps ψ''_s , $s \in |S|$, are the unique maps to the chosen local terminal objects except ψ''_k which is the identity $\mathbb{1} : F' \rightarrow F'$. Then (ϕ'', ψ'') is an (i, k) -pair and the correspondence $(\phi', \psi') \mapsto (\phi'', \psi'')$ induces an isomorphism of isomorphism classes.

By Corollary 2.7, in the presence of the strong blow-up axiom, all pairs with disjoint fibers are harmonic. Therefore the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & H' & & \\ & (\psi', j) \nearrow & & \searrow (\phi', i) & \\ S & & & & T \\ & (\psi'', l) \searrow & & \nearrow (\phi'', k) & \\ & & H'' & & \end{array}$$

where (ϕ', ψ') and (ϕ'', ψ'') are as in the claim and $|\phi''(l)| = i$ leads, with the help of the dual of (26) in which $G' = F''$ and $G'' = F'$ due to the harmonicity, to

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{K}(H') \otimes \mathcal{K}(F') & \xrightarrow{\delta_{\phi'} \otimes \mathbb{1}} & \mathcal{K}(T) \otimes \mathcal{K}(F'') \otimes \mathcal{K}(F') \\ \delta_{\psi'} \uparrow & & \downarrow \mathbb{1} \otimes \text{transposition} \\ \mathcal{K}(S) & & \\ \delta_{\psi''} \downarrow & & \\ \mathcal{K}(H'') \otimes \mathcal{K}(F'') & \xrightarrow{\delta_{\phi''} \otimes \mathbb{1}} & \mathcal{K}(T) \otimes \mathcal{K}(F') \otimes \mathcal{K}(F'') \end{array}$$

As a simple application of the Koszul sign rule we obtain the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \downarrow \overline{\mathcal{K}}(H') \otimes \downarrow \overline{\mathcal{K}}(F') & \xrightarrow{\overline{\delta}_{\phi'}^\downarrow \otimes \mathbb{1}} & \downarrow \overline{\mathcal{K}}(T) \otimes \downarrow \overline{\mathcal{K}}(F'') \otimes \downarrow \overline{\mathcal{K}}(F') \\ \overline{\delta}_{\psi'}^\downarrow \uparrow & & \downarrow \mathbb{1} \otimes \text{transposition} \\ \downarrow \overline{\mathcal{K}}(S) & \text{commutes up to } -1 & \\ \overline{\delta}_{\psi''}^\downarrow \downarrow & & \\ \downarrow \overline{\mathcal{K}}(H'') \otimes \downarrow \overline{\mathcal{K}}(F'') & \xrightarrow{\overline{\delta}_{\phi''}^\downarrow \otimes \mathbb{1}} & \downarrow \overline{\mathcal{K}}(T) \otimes \downarrow \overline{\mathcal{K}}(F') \otimes \downarrow \overline{\mathcal{K}}(F'') \end{array}$$

commuting up to the multiplication by -1 . Combining it with diagram (26) applied to the composition laws of the free Markl operad $\Omega(\mathcal{K})$ we get

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \downarrow \overline{\mathcal{K}}(H') \otimes \downarrow \overline{\mathcal{K}}(F') & \xrightarrow{\overline{\delta}_{\phi'}^{\downarrow} \otimes \mathbb{1}} & \downarrow \overline{\mathcal{K}}(T) \otimes \downarrow \overline{\mathcal{K}}(F'') \otimes \downarrow \overline{\mathcal{K}}(F') \xrightarrow{\circ_{\phi'} \otimes \mathbb{1}} \downarrow \overline{\mathcal{K}}(H') \otimes \downarrow \overline{\mathcal{K}}(F') \\
 \uparrow \overline{\delta}_{\psi'}^{\downarrow} & & \downarrow \circ_{\psi'} \\
 \downarrow \overline{\mathcal{K}}(S) & \text{commutes up to } -1 & \downarrow \overline{\mathcal{K}}(S) \\
 \downarrow \overline{\delta}_{\psi''}^{\downarrow} & & \uparrow \circ_{\psi''} \\
 \downarrow \overline{\mathcal{K}}(H'') \otimes \downarrow \overline{\mathcal{K}}(F'') & \xrightarrow{\overline{\delta}_{\phi''}^{\downarrow} \otimes \mathbb{1}} & \downarrow \overline{\mathcal{K}}(T) \otimes \downarrow \overline{\mathcal{K}}(F') \otimes \downarrow \overline{\mathcal{K}}(F'') \xrightarrow{\circ_{\phi''} \otimes \mathbb{1}} \downarrow \overline{\mathcal{K}}(H'') \otimes \downarrow \overline{\mathcal{K}}(F'')
 \end{array}$$

expressing the equation

$$\circ_{\psi'}(\circ_{\phi'} \otimes \mathbb{1})(\overline{\delta}_{\phi'}^{\downarrow} \otimes \mathbb{1})\overline{\delta}_{\psi'}^{\downarrow} + \circ_{\psi''}(\circ_{\phi''} \otimes \mathbb{1})(\overline{\delta}_{\phi''}^{\downarrow} \otimes \mathbb{1})\overline{\delta}_{\psi''}^{\downarrow} = 0.$$

Invoking (118), we conclude that each summand of $\partial_L^2(x)''_{(k,i)}$ has its counterterm in $\partial_L^2(x)''_{(i,k)}$. This establishes (120), therefore $\partial_L^2(x)'' = 0$, so we may assume that the sum in (118) runs over isomorphism classes of pairs (119) with ϕ and ψ having *joint fibers*.

Analyzing $\partial_R^2(x)$. Let us perform similar analysis of $\partial_R^2(x)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \partial_R^2(x) &= \sum_{\alpha'} \ell_{\alpha'}^* \circ_{\alpha'} (\mathbb{1} \otimes \partial_{\Omega}) \overline{\delta}_{\alpha'}^{\downarrow} \ell_{\alpha'*}(x) = \sum_{\beta, \alpha'} \ell_{\alpha'}^* \circ_{\alpha'} (\mathbb{1} \otimes \partial_{\beta}) \overline{\delta}_{\alpha'}^{\downarrow} \ell_{\alpha'*}(x) \\
 &= \sum_{\beta, \alpha'} \ell_{\alpha'}^* \circ_{\alpha'} (\mathbb{1} \otimes \ell_{\beta}^* \circ_{\beta} \overline{\delta}_{\beta}^{\downarrow} \ell_{\beta*}) \overline{\delta}_{\alpha'}^{\downarrow} \ell_{\alpha'*}(x) \\
 &= \sum_{\beta, \alpha'} \ell_{\alpha'}^* \circ_{\alpha'} (\mathbb{1} \otimes \ell_{\beta}^*) (\mathbb{1} \otimes \circ_{\beta}) (\mathbb{1} \otimes \overline{\delta}_{\beta}^{\downarrow}) (\mathbb{1} \otimes \ell_{\beta*}) \overline{\delta}_{\alpha'}^{\downarrow} \ell_{\alpha'*}(x),
 \end{aligned}$$

where α' and β run over representatives of the isomorphism classes of labelled towers as in

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 X & \xrightarrow{\ell_{\alpha'}} & \begin{array}{c} A' \\ \nabla \\ T' \end{array}, \quad A' \xrightarrow{\ell_{\beta}} \begin{array}{c} F \\ \nabla \\ A \end{array} \\
 & & \begin{array}{ccc} \alpha' & \downarrow \alpha' & \beta \\ & S & \downarrow \beta \\ & & B \end{array}
 \end{array}$$

Let us construct, out of α' and β , the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & A' & \xrightarrow{\ell_{\beta}} & A \\
 & & \nabla & & \nabla \\
 X & \xrightarrow{\ell_{\alpha'}} & T' & \xrightarrow{\ell_{\beta}} & T \\
 & & \searrow \alpha' & \text{WBU} & \swarrow \alpha \\
 & & & & S
 \end{array}$$

whose triangle is given by the weak blow-up axiom under the condition that the prescribed map between the only nontrivial fibers is ℓ_{β} and the remaining maps of the fibers are the

identities. Using (34) and its dual as before, we rewrite $\partial_R^2(x)$ as

$$\partial_R^2(x) = \sum_{\beta, \alpha'} (\underline{\ell}_\beta \ell_{\alpha'})^* \circ_\alpha (\mathbb{1} \otimes \circ_\beta) (\mathbb{1} \otimes \bar{\delta}_\beta^\downarrow) \bar{\delta}_\alpha^\downarrow (\underline{\ell}_\beta \ell_{\alpha'})_*(x)$$

which is the same as

$$(121) \quad \partial_R^2(x) = \sum_{\beta, \alpha} \ell^* \circ_\alpha (\mathbb{1} \otimes \circ_\beta) (\mathbb{1} \otimes \bar{\delta}_\beta^\downarrow) \bar{\delta}_\alpha^\downarrow \ell_*(x),$$

where α and β run over representatives of the isomorphism classes of the towers as in

$$(122) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{\ell} & \begin{array}{c} A \\ \nabla \\ T \end{array}, & A & \xrightarrow{\mathbb{1}} & \begin{array}{c} F \\ \nabla \\ A \end{array} \\ & & \alpha & & \beta & & \downarrow \beta \\ & & S & & & & B \end{array}$$

The weak blow-up axiom produces a unique factorization $\alpha = \phi\psi$ in the diagram

$$(123) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} A & \overset{\beta}{\rightsquigarrow} & B \\ \nabla & & \nabla \\ S & \xrightarrow{\psi} & H \\ & \text{WBU} & \\ \alpha \searrow & & \swarrow \phi \\ & T & \end{array}$$

in which α is elementary and the map between the only nontrivial fibers of α resp. ϕ is $\beta : A \rightarrow B$, as symbolized by the wavy arrow. Notice that the pair (ϕ, ψ) has joint fibers. The dual of (24) gives

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \mathcal{K}(S) \otimes \mathcal{K}(A) & \\ \delta_\alpha \nearrow & & \searrow \mathbb{1} \otimes \delta_\beta \\ \mathcal{K}(T) & & \mathcal{K}(S) \otimes \mathcal{K}(B) \otimes \mathcal{K}(F) \\ \delta_\psi \searrow & & \nearrow \delta_\phi \otimes \mathbb{1} \\ & \mathcal{K}(H) \otimes \mathcal{K}(F) & \end{array}$$

which, combined with the Koszul sign rule, leads to

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \downarrow \mathcal{K}(S) \otimes \downarrow \mathcal{K}(A) & \\ \bar{\delta}_\alpha^\downarrow \nearrow & & \searrow \mathbb{1} \otimes \bar{\delta}_\beta^\downarrow \\ \downarrow \mathcal{K}(T) & \text{commutes up to } -1 & \downarrow \mathcal{K}(S) \otimes \downarrow \mathcal{K}(B) \otimes \downarrow \mathcal{K}(F) \\ \bar{\delta}_\psi^\downarrow \searrow & & \nearrow \bar{\delta}_\phi^\downarrow \otimes \mathbb{1} \\ & \downarrow \mathcal{K}(H) \otimes \downarrow \mathcal{K}(F) & \end{array}$$

which commutes up to the multiplication by -1 . Combining it with diagram (24) for the composition laws of the free operad $\Omega(\mathcal{M})$, we obtain the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & \downarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}(S) \otimes \downarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}(A) & & \downarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}(S) \otimes \downarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}(A) & \\
 \downarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}(T) & \xrightarrow{\overline{\delta}_\alpha^\downarrow} & & \xrightarrow{\mathbb{1} \otimes \circ_\beta} & \downarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}(T) \\
 & \text{commutes up to } -1 & \downarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}(S) \otimes \downarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}(B) \otimes \downarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}(F) & & \\
 & \downarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}(H) \otimes \downarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}(F) & \xrightarrow{\overline{\delta}_\phi^\downarrow \otimes \mathbb{1}} & \downarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}(H) \otimes \downarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}(F) & \\
 & & \xrightarrow{\circ_\phi \otimes \mathbb{1}} & & \xrightarrow{\circ_\psi}
 \end{array}$$

which translates into the equation

$$(124) \quad \circ_\psi (\circ_\phi \otimes \mathbb{1})(\overline{\delta}_\phi^\downarrow \otimes \mathbb{1})\overline{\delta}_\psi^\downarrow + \circ_\alpha (\mathbb{1} \otimes \circ_\beta)(\mathbb{1} \otimes \overline{\delta}_\beta^\downarrow)\overline{\delta}_\beta^\downarrow = 0.$$

Notice that the assignment $(\alpha, \beta) \mapsto (\phi, \psi)$ described by diagram (123) induces an isomorphism between the set of isomorphism classes of towers (122) and (119) with (ϕ, ψ) having joint fibers. Its inverse $(\phi, \psi) \mapsto (\alpha, \beta)$ produces α as the composite $\phi\psi$ while β is the induced map between the unique nontrivial fibers as in

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 A & \xrightarrow{\beta} & B \\
 \nabla & & \nabla \\
 S & \xrightarrow{\psi} & H \\
 \phi\psi \searrow & & \swarrow \phi \\
 & T &
 \end{array}$$

Therefore, by (124), each summand $\ell^* \circ_\psi (\circ_\phi \otimes \mathbb{1})(\overline{\delta}_\phi^\downarrow \otimes \mathbb{1})\overline{\delta}_\psi^\downarrow \ell_*(x)$ of (118) possesses its unique counterterm $\ell^* \circ_\alpha (\mathbb{1} \otimes \circ_\beta)(\mathbb{1} \otimes \overline{\delta}_\beta^\downarrow)\overline{\delta}_\beta^\downarrow \ell_*(x)$ in the sum (121), which proves that

$$\partial_\Omega^2(x) = \partial_L^2(x) + \partial_R^2(x) = 0$$

as claimed. \square

Definition 10.7. We call the dg operad $\Omega(\mathcal{M}) = (\Omega(\mathcal{M}), \partial_\Omega)$ the *cobar construction* of a Markl strictly counital 1-connected cooperad \mathcal{M} .

11. THE DUAL DG OPERAD AND KOSZULITY

The assumptions on the base operadic category $\mathbf{0}$ are the same as in Section 10. Let \mathcal{M} be a strictly unital 1-connected Markl operad satisfying the assumptions of Example 10.4, so that the component-wise linear dual $\mathcal{M}^* := \{\mathcal{M}(T)^*\}_{T \in \mathbf{0}}$ is a strictly counital 1-connected Markl cooperad.

Definition 11.1. The *dual dg operad* of a Markl operad \mathcal{M} as above is the dg operad

$$\mathbb{D}(\mathcal{M}) = (\mathbb{D}(\mathcal{M}), \partial_{\mathbb{D}}) := (\Omega(\mathcal{M}^*), \partial_\Omega),$$

the cobar construction of the component-wise linear dual of \mathcal{M} .

Assume that $\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{F}(E)/(R)$ is a quadratic Markl operad as in Definition 6.2 and $\mathcal{M}^!$ its Koszul dual, cf. Definition 6.3. To introduce the Koszulity, we start from the injection $\uparrow E \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}^!$ of collections defined as the composite

$$\uparrow E \hookrightarrow \mathbb{F}(\uparrow E) \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{F}(\uparrow E)/(R^\perp) = \mathcal{M}^!.$$

Its linear dual $\mathcal{M}^{!*} \twoheadrightarrow \uparrow E$ desuspends to a map $\pi : \downarrow \mathcal{M}^{!*} \twoheadrightarrow E$. The related twisting morphism $\downarrow \mathcal{M}^{!*} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$, defined as the composite

$$\downarrow \mathcal{M}^{!*} \xrightarrow{\pi} E \hookrightarrow \mathbb{F}(E) \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{F}(E)/(R) = \mathcal{M},$$

extends, by the freeness of $\mathbb{F}(\downarrow \mathcal{M}^{!*})$, into a morphism $\rho : \mathbb{F}(\downarrow \mathcal{M}^{!*}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ of Markl 0-operads. One verifies by direct calculation:

Proposition 11.2. *The morphism ρ induces the canonical map*

$$(125) \quad \text{can} : \mathbb{D}(\mathcal{M}^!) = (\mathbb{F}(\downarrow \mathcal{M}^{!*}), \partial_{\mathbb{D}}) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{M}, 0)$$

of Markl dg 0-operads.

Definition 11.3. A quadratic Markl 0-operad \mathcal{M} is *Koszul* if the canonical map (125) is a component-wise homology isomorphism.

Remark 11.4. In the ‘classical’ operad theory one proves that a quadratic operad is Koszul if and only if its Koszul dual is Koszul [25, Proposition 4.1.4]. We believe the same is true also in our setup, but postpone the proof for future work.

In the rest of this section we establish the Koszulity of some of the binary quadratic operads introduced in Sections 7–9. Namely, we prove the Koszulity of the operad $\mathbf{1}_{\text{ggGrc}}$ whose algebras are modular operads, of the operad $\mathbf{1}_{\text{Tr}}$ governing cyclic operads, of the operad $\mathbf{1}_{\text{RTr}}$ governing ordinary Markl operads and of the operad $\mathbf{1}_{\text{whe}}$ describing wheeled properads. The Koszulity of the operad $\mathbf{1}_{\text{Per}}$ for permutads was already established in [41, Corollary 49].

Theorem 11.5. *The binary quadratic operads $\mathbf{1}_{\text{ggGrc}}$, $\mathbf{1}_{\text{Tr}}$, $\mathbf{1}_{\text{RTr}}$ and $\mathbf{1}_{\text{whe}}$ are Koszul.*

Proof. We start with the most complex case of the terminal ggGrc -operad $\mathbf{1}_{\text{ggGrc}}$. Our strategy will be to show that its minimal model $\mathfrak{M}_{\text{ggGrc}}$ constructed in [11, Section 3] is isomorphic to the dual dg operad $\mathbb{D}(\mathfrak{K}_{\text{ggGrc}})$ of its Koszul dual $\mathfrak{K}_{\text{ggGrc}} = \mathbf{1}_{\text{ggGrc}}^!$, via an isomorphism compatible with the resolving maps $\mathfrak{M}_{\text{ggGrc}} \xrightarrow{\rho} \mathbf{1}_{\text{ggGrc}}$ resp. $\mathbb{D}(\mathfrak{K}_{\text{ggGrc}}) \xrightarrow{\text{can}} \mathbf{1}_{\text{ggGrc}}$.

It is not difficult to determine, using the presentation in the proof of Theorem 7.10, the operad structure of $\mathfrak{K}_{\text{ggGrc}}$. As we noticed in Remark 7.11, given a genus-graded connected graph $\Gamma \in \text{ggGrc}$, the corresponding piece $\mathfrak{K}_{\text{ggGrc}}(\Gamma)$ can be identified with the one-dimensional vector space $\det(\Gamma) := \det(\text{edg}(\Gamma))$, the determinant of the set of internal edges of Γ , placed in

degree $e(\Gamma) + 1$, where $e(\Gamma)$, the grade of Γ is, by definition, the number of internal edges. The unit map associated to a corolla $c \in \mathbf{ggGrc}$ is the canonical isomorphism

$$\mathbb{k} = \det(\emptyset) \cong \mathfrak{K}_{\mathbf{ggGrc}}(c).$$

Given two finite sets $S_1 = \{e_1^1, \dots, e_a^1\}$ and $S_2 = \{e_1^2, \dots, e_b^2\}$, we define the canonical isomorphism

$$\omega_{S_1, S_2} : \det(S_1 \sqcup S_2) \rightarrow \det(S_1) \otimes \det(S_2).$$

by

$$\omega_{S_1, S_2}(e_1^1 \wedge \dots \wedge e_a^1 \wedge e_1^2 \wedge \dots \wedge e_b^2) := (e_1^1 \wedge \dots \wedge e_a^1) \otimes (e_1^2 \wedge \dots \wedge e_b^2).$$

Notice that if $\Gamma \triangleright \Gamma' \xrightarrow{\tau} \Gamma''$ is an elementary morphism, there is a natural isomorphism

$$\text{edg}(\Gamma') \cong \text{edg}(\Gamma'') \sqcup \text{edg}(\Gamma)$$

of the sets of internal edges. The partial composition related to τ then equals

$$\circ_{\tau} : \mathfrak{K}_{\mathbf{ggGrc}}(\Gamma'') \otimes \mathfrak{K}_{\mathbf{ggGrc}}(\Gamma) \cong \det(\Gamma'') \otimes \det(\Gamma) \xrightarrow{\omega_{\text{edg}(\Gamma''), \text{edg}(\Gamma)}^{-1}} \det(\Gamma') \cong \mathfrak{K}_{\mathbf{ggGrc}}(\Gamma').$$

Our next step will be to describe the dual dg operad $\mathbb{D}(\mathfrak{K}_{\mathbf{ggGrc}})$ which, by definition, equals the bar construction $\Omega(\mathfrak{K}_{\mathbf{ggGrc}}^*)$ of the cooperad $\mathfrak{K}_{\mathbf{ggGrc}}^*$. Paying attention to the Koszul sign rule, we determine the cooperad structure operation of $\mathfrak{K}_{\mathbf{ggGrc}}^*$ related to τ as

$$\delta_{\tau} := (-1)^{(e(\Gamma'')+1)(e(\Gamma)+1)} \cdot \circ_{\tau}^* : \mathfrak{K}_{\mathbf{ggGrc}}^*(\Gamma') \rightarrow \mathfrak{K}_{\mathbf{ggGrc}}^*(\Gamma'') \otimes \mathfrak{K}_{\mathbf{ggGrc}}^*(\Gamma).$$

The underlying collection of the reduced cooperad $\overline{\mathfrak{K}_{\mathbf{ggGrc}}^*}$ is given by

$$\overline{\mathfrak{K}_{\mathbf{ggGrc}}^*}(\Gamma) := \begin{cases} \mathfrak{K}_{\mathbf{ggGrc}}^*(\Gamma) & \text{if } \Gamma \text{ has at least one internal edge,} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The desuspended operation

$$\bar{\delta}_{\tau}^{\downarrow} := (\downarrow \otimes \downarrow) \bar{\delta}_{\tau}^{\uparrow} : \downarrow \overline{\mathfrak{K}_{\mathbf{ggGrc}}^*}(\Gamma') \rightarrow \downarrow \overline{\mathfrak{K}_{\mathbf{ggGrc}}^*}(\Gamma'') \otimes \downarrow \overline{\mathfrak{K}_{\mathbf{ggGrc}}^*}(\Gamma)$$

is then, with the help of the identification $\overline{\mathfrak{K}_{\mathbf{ggGrc}}^*}(\Gamma) \cong \det(\Gamma)$ for Γ with at least one internal edge, described as

$$\bar{\delta}_{\tau}^{\downarrow} = (-1)^{(e(\Gamma'')+1)+(e(\Gamma'')+1)(e(\Gamma)+1)} \cdot \omega_{\text{edg}(\Gamma''), \text{edg}(\Gamma)} = (-1)^{e(\Gamma)(e(\Gamma'')+1)} \cdot \omega_{\text{edg}(\Gamma''), \text{edg}(\Gamma)}.$$

The new sign $(-1)^{(e(\Gamma'')+1)}$ is the contribution of the commutation of the first tensor factor of the image of $\bar{\delta}_{\tau}^{\uparrow}$ over the desuspension \downarrow .

Let $\tau = (\ell, \mathcal{J}) \in \mathbf{1Tw}^2(X)$ be the labelled tower

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & \Gamma \\ & & \nabla \\ X & \xrightarrow{\ell} & \Gamma' \\ & \tau & \downarrow \\ & & \Gamma'' \end{array}$$

We may assume that ℓ is a quasibijection by Proposition 5.8. Since quasibijections obviously act trivially on $\mathfrak{K}_{\mathbf{ggGrc}}$ and thus also on $\downarrow\overline{\mathfrak{K}_{\mathbf{ggGrc}}^*}$, formula (117) for the component ∂_{τ} of the differential (116) associated to τ reads

$$(126a) \quad \partial_{\tau}(x) = (-1)^{e(\Gamma)(e(\Gamma'')+1)} \cdot \circ_{\tau} \omega_{\text{edg}(\Gamma), \text{edg}(\Gamma'')}(x) \in \mathbb{F}^2(\downarrow\overline{\mathfrak{K}_{\mathbf{ggGrc}}^*})(X),$$

for $x \in \downarrow\overline{\mathfrak{K}_{\mathbf{ggGrc}}^*}(X) = \downarrow\overline{\mathfrak{K}_{\mathbf{ggGrc}}^*}(\Gamma)$. Thus $\mathbb{D}(\mathfrak{K}_{\mathbf{ggGrc}}) = (\mathbb{F}(\downarrow\overline{\mathfrak{K}_{\mathbf{ggGrc}}^*}), \partial_{\mathbb{D}})$, with $\partial_{\mathbb{D}}$ given by the right-hand side of (117) with ∂_{τ} as in (126a).

To describe the map $can : \mathbb{D}(\mathfrak{K}_{\mathbf{ggGrc}}) \rightarrow \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{ggGrc}}$ notice that, for $\Gamma \in \mathbf{ggGrc}$ with precisely one internal edge, $\downarrow\overline{\mathfrak{K}_{\mathbf{ggGrc}}^*}(\Gamma)$, being the desuspension of the dual of the determinant of a one-point set, is *canonically* isomorphic to \mathbb{k} placed in degree 0. The operad morphism can is the unique extension of the map $\downarrow\overline{\mathfrak{K}_{\mathbf{ggGrc}}^*} \rightarrow \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{ggGrc}}$ of collections whose component $\downarrow\overline{\mathfrak{K}_{\mathbf{ggGrc}}^*}(\Gamma) \rightarrow \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{ggGrc}}(\Gamma)$ is trivial if Γ has at least two internal edges, and which is the canonical isomorphism $\downarrow\overline{\mathfrak{K}_{\mathbf{ggGrc}}^*}(\Gamma) \cong \mathbb{k} \cong \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{ggGrc}}(\Gamma)$ if Γ has exactly one internal edge. This finishes the description of the dual dg operad $\mathbb{D}(\mathfrak{K}_{\mathbf{ggGrc}})$ and the associated canonical map.

Recall that the underlying non-dg operad of the minimal model $\mathfrak{M}_{\mathbf{ggGrc}}$ of $\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{ggGrc}}$ in [11] is the free operad $\mathbb{F}(D)$ generated by the collection D which in fact coincides with $\downarrow\overline{\mathfrak{K}_{\mathbf{ggGrc}}^*}$, so $\mathbb{D}(\mathfrak{K}_{\mathbf{ggGrc}}) = \mathfrak{M}_{\mathbf{ggGrc}}$ as non-dg operads. With this identification, the resolving map $\rho : \mathfrak{M}_{\mathbf{ggGrc}} \rightarrow \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{ggGrc}}$ equals the morphism $can : \mathbb{D}(\mathfrak{K}_{\mathbf{ggGrc}}) \rightarrow \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{ggGrc}}$ described above.

The differential ∂ of the minimal model translated to the formalism used in this article is given by the sum in the right-hand side of (116), but the component ∂_{τ} is now

$$(126b) \quad \partial_{\tau}(x) = (-1)^{e(\Gamma)} \cdot \circ_{\tau} \omega_{\text{edg}(\Gamma), \text{edg}(\Gamma'')}(x) \in \mathbb{F}^2(D)(X),$$

cf. formula (15b) of [11]. We see that expressions in (126a) resp. (126b) agree up to the sign factor $(-1)^{e(\Gamma'')e(\Gamma)}$. To compensate this discrepancy, we define a map $\chi : \overline{\mathfrak{K}_{\mathbf{ggGrc}}^*} \rightarrow D$ of collections by

$$\chi(x) := (-1)^{\frac{e(\Gamma)(e(\Gamma)-1)}{2}} \cdot x \text{ for } x \in \downarrow\overline{\mathfrak{K}_{\mathbf{ggGrc}}^*}(\Gamma)$$

and denote by the same symbol also its unique extension $\chi : \mathbb{F}(\downarrow\overline{\mathfrak{K}_{\mathbf{ggGrc}}^*}) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}(D)$ into the operad morphism. It is simple to verify that χ commutes with the differentials and that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{D}(\mathfrak{K}_{\mathbf{ggGrc}}) & \xrightarrow{can} & \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{ggGrc}} \\ \chi \cong \downarrow & \nearrow \rho & \\ \mathfrak{M}_{\mathbf{ggGrc}} & & \end{array}$$

of dg operads commutes. Since ρ is a component-wise homology isomorphism by [11, Theorem 27], so is can . This establishes the Koszulity of $\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{ggGrc}}$.

The proofs of the remaining cases are similar. One describes the dual dg operad by an obvious modification of the method above, and compares it with the corresponding minimal models described in Theorem 28 and Sections 3.4 and 3.5 of [11]. \square

APPENDIX A. MODULAR AND ODD MODULAR OPERADS, AND MARKL OPERADS.

In this part of the appendix we recall various operad-like structures referred to in this work. All definitions given here are standard today, see e.g. [24, 43], so the purpose is merely to fix the notation and terminology.

Let \mathbf{fSet} denote the category of finite sets. Recall that a *modular module* is a functor $\mathbf{fSet} \times \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbf{Vect}$, with \mathbb{N} interpreted as a discrete category with objects called *genera* in this context.

Definition A.1. A *modular operad* is a modular module

$$\mathcal{M} = \{ \mathcal{M}(S; g) \in \mathbf{Vect} \mid (S; g) \in \mathbf{fSet} \times \mathbb{N} \}$$

together with degree 0 morphisms (composition laws)

$$(127a) \quad a \circ_b : \mathcal{M}(S_1 \sqcup \{a\}; g_1) \otimes \mathcal{M}(S_2 \sqcup \{b\}; g_2) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(S_1 \sqcup S_2; g_1 + g_2)$$

defined for arbitrary disjoint finite sets S_1, S_2 , elements a, b , and arbitrary genera $g_1, g_2 \in \mathbb{N}$. There are, moreover, degree 0 contractions

$$(127b) \quad \circ_{uv} = \circ_{vu} : \mathcal{M}(S \sqcup \{u, v\}; g) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(S; g+1)$$

given for any finite set S , genus $g \in \mathbb{N}$, and elements u, v . These data are required to satisfy the following axioms.

- (i) For arbitrary isomorphisms $\rho : S_1 \sqcup \{a\} \rightarrow T_1$ and $\sigma : S_2 \sqcup \{b\} \rightarrow T_2$ of finite sets and genera $g_1, g_2 \in \mathbb{N}$, one has the equality

$$(127c) \quad \mathcal{M}(\rho|_{S_1} \sqcup \sigma|_{S_2}) \circ_{a \circ_b} = \circ_{\rho(a) \circ \sigma(b)} (\mathcal{M}(\rho) \otimes \mathcal{M}(\sigma))$$

of maps

$$\mathcal{M}(S_1 \sqcup \{a\}; g_1) \otimes \mathcal{M}(S_2 \sqcup \{b\}; g_2) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(T_1 \sqcup T_2 \setminus \{\rho(a), \sigma(b)\}; g_1 + g_2).$$

- (ii) For an isomorphism $\rho : S \sqcup \{u, v\} \rightarrow T$ of finite sets and a genus $g \in \mathbb{N}$, one has the equality

$$(127d) \quad \mathcal{M}(\rho|_S) \circ_{uv} = \circ_{\rho(u)\rho(v)} \mathcal{M}(\rho)$$

of maps $\mathcal{M}(S \sqcup \{u, v\}; g) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(T \setminus \{\rho(u), \rho(v)\}; g + s)$.

(iii) For S_1, S_2, a, b and g_1, g_2 as in (127a), one has the equality

$$(127e) \quad a \circ_b = b \circ_a \tau$$

of maps $\mathcal{M}(S_1 \sqcup \{a\}; g_1) \otimes \mathcal{M}(S_2 \sqcup \{b\}; g_2) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(S_1 \sqcup S_2; g_1 + g_2)$; here τ denotes the commutativity constraint in **Vect**.

(iv) For mutually disjoint sets S_1, S_2, S_3 , elements a, b, c, d and genera $g_1, g_2, g_3 \in \mathbb{N}$, one has the equality

$$(127f) \quad a \circ_b (\mathbb{1} \otimes c \circ_d) = c \circ_d (a \circ_b \otimes \mathbb{1})$$

of maps from $\mathcal{M}(S_1 \sqcup \{a\}; g_1) \otimes \mathcal{M}(S_2 \sqcup \{b, c\}; g_2) \otimes \mathcal{M}(S_3 \sqcup \{d\}; g_3)$ to the space $\mathcal{M}(S_1 \sqcup S_2 \sqcup S_3; g_1 + g_2 + g_3)$.

(v) For a finite set S , elements a, b, c, d and a genus $g \in \mathbb{N}$ one has the equality

$$(127g) \quad \circ_{ab} \circ_{cd} = \circ_{cd} \circ_{ab}$$

of maps $\mathcal{M}(S \sqcup \{a, b, c, d\}; g) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(S; g + 2s)$.

(vi) For finite sets S_1, S_2 , elements a, b, c, d and genera $g_1, g_2 \in \mathbb{N}$, one has the equality

$$(127h) \quad \circ_{ab} c \circ_d = \circ_{cd} a \circ_b$$

of maps $\mathcal{M}(S_1 \sqcup \{a, c\}; g_1) \otimes \mathcal{M}(S_2 \sqcup \{b, d\}; g_2) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(S_1 \sqcup S_2; g_1 + g_2 + s)$.

(vii) For finite sets S_1, S_2 , elements a, b, u, v , and genera $g_1, g_2 \in \mathbb{N}$, one has the equality

$$(127i) \quad a \circ_b (\circ_{uv} \otimes \mathbb{1}) = \circ_{uv} a \circ_b$$

of maps $\mathcal{M}(S_1 \sqcup \{a, u, v\}; g_1) \otimes \mathcal{M}(S_2 \sqcup \{b\}; g_2) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(S_1 \sqcup S_2; g_1 + g_2 + s)$.

Definition A.2. An *odd modular operad* is a modular module

$$\mathcal{O} = \{ \mathcal{O}(S; g) \in \mathbf{Vect} \mid (S; g) \in \mathbf{fSet} \times \mathbb{N} \}$$

together with degree +1 morphisms ($a \bullet_b$ -operations)

$$(128a) \quad a \bullet_b : \mathcal{O}(S_1 \sqcup \{a\}; g_1) \otimes \mathcal{O}(S_2 \sqcup \{b\}; g_2) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(S_1 \sqcup S_2; g_1 + g_2)$$

defined for arbitrary disjoint finite sets S_1, S_2 , elements a, b , and arbitrary $g_1, g_2 \in \mathbb{N}$. There are, moreover, degree 1 morphisms (the contractions)

$$\bullet_{uv} = \bullet_{vu} : \mathcal{O}(S \sqcup \{u, v\}; g) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(S; g + 1)$$

given for any finite set S , $g \in \mathbb{N}$, and elements u, v ; we are using the notation for composition laws of odd modular operads introduced in [27]. These data are required to satisfy the following axioms.

- (i) For arbitrary isomorphisms $\rho : S_1 \sqcup \{a\} \rightarrow T_1$ and $\sigma : S_2 \sqcup \{b\} \rightarrow T_2$ of finite sets and $g_1, g_2 \in \mathbb{N}$, one has the equality

$$(128b) \quad \mathcal{O}(\rho|_{S_1} \sqcup \sigma|_{S_2})_{a \bullet b} = {}_{\rho(a) \bullet \sigma(b)} \mathcal{O}(\rho) \otimes \mathcal{O}(\sigma)$$

of maps

$$\mathcal{O}(S_1 \sqcup \{a\}; g_1) \otimes \mathcal{O}(S_2 \sqcup \{b\}; g_2) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(T_1 \sqcup T_2 \setminus \{\rho(a), \sigma(b)\}; g_1 + g_2).$$

- (ii) For an isomorphism $\rho : S \sqcup \{u, v\} \rightarrow T$ of finite sets and $g \in \mathbb{N}$, one has the equality

$$\mathcal{O}(\rho|_S)_{\bullet uv} = {}_{\rho(u)\rho(v)} \mathcal{O}(\rho)$$

of maps $\mathcal{O}(S \sqcup \{u, v\}; g) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(T \setminus \{\rho(u), \rho(v)\}; g + 1)$.

- (iii) For S_1, S_2, a, b and g_1, g_2 as in (128a), one has the equality

$$(128c) \quad a \bullet b = b \bullet_a \tau$$

of maps $\mathcal{O}(S_1 \sqcup \{a\}; g_1) \otimes \mathcal{O}(S_2 \sqcup \{b\}; g_2) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(S_1 \sqcup S_2; g_1 + g_2)$.

- (iv) For mutually disjoint sets S_1, S_2, S_3 , elements a, b, c, d and $g_1, g_2, g_3 \in \mathbb{N}$, one has the equality

$$(128d) \quad a \bullet_b (\mathbb{1} \otimes c \bullet_d) = -c \bullet_d (a \bullet_b \otimes \mathbb{1})$$

of maps from $\mathcal{O}(S_1 \sqcup \{a\}; g_1) \otimes \mathcal{O}(S_2 \sqcup \{b, c\}; g_2) \otimes \mathcal{O}(S_3 \sqcup \{d\}; g_3)$ to the space $\mathcal{O}(S_1 \sqcup S_2 \sqcup S_3; g_1 + g_2 + g_3)$.

- (v) For a finite set S , elements a, b, c, d and $g \in \mathbb{N}$ one has the equality

$$\bullet_{ab} \bullet_{cd} = -\bullet_{cd} \bullet_{ab}$$

of maps $\mathcal{O}(S \sqcup \{a, b, c, d\}; g) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(S; g + 2)$.

- (vi) For finite sets S_1, S_2 , elements a, b, c, d and $g_1, g_2 \in \mathbb{N}$, one has the equality

$$\bullet_{ab} c \bullet_d = -\bullet_{cd} a \bullet_b$$

of maps $\mathcal{O}(S_1 \sqcup \{a, c\}; g_1) \otimes \mathcal{O}(S_2 \sqcup \{b, d\}; g_2) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(S_1 \sqcup S_2; g_1 + g_2 + 1)$.

- (vii) For finite sets S_1, S_2 , elements a, b, u, v , and $g_1, g_2 \in \mathbb{N}$, one has the equality

$$a \bullet_b (\bullet_{uv} \otimes \mathbb{1}) = -\bullet_{uv} a \bullet_b$$

of maps $\mathcal{O}(S_1 \sqcup \{a, u, v\}; g_1) \otimes \mathcal{O}(S_2 \sqcup \{b\}; g_2) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(S_1 \sqcup S_2; g_1 + g_2 + 1)$.

Remark A.3. Odd modular operads appeared in [24, Section 4] as *modular \mathfrak{K} -operads* for the dualizing cocycle \mathfrak{K} . The terminology we use was suggested by Ralph Kaufmann. A discussion of odd modular operads and similar structures can be found e.g. in [40].

Definition A.4. A *Markl's operad* is a collection $\mathcal{S} = \{\mathcal{S}(n)\}_{n \geq 0}$ of right $\mathbb{k}[\Sigma_n]$ -modules, together with \mathbb{k} -linear maps (\circ_i -compositions)

$$(129a) \quad \circ_i : \mathcal{S}(m) \otimes \mathcal{S}(n) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(m+n-1),$$

for $1 \leq i \leq m$ and $n \geq 0$. These data fulfill the following axioms.

(i) For each $1 \leq j \leq a$, $b, c \geq 0$, $f \in \mathcal{S}(a)$, $g \in \mathcal{S}(b)$ and $h \in \mathcal{S}(c)$,

$$(129b) \quad (f \circ_j g) \circ_i h = \begin{cases} (f \circ_i h) \circ_{j+c-1} g & \text{for } 1 \leq i < j, \\ f \circ_j (g \circ_{i-j+1} h) & \text{for } j \leq i < b+j, \\ (f \circ_{i-b+1} h) \circ_j g & \text{for } j+b \leq i \leq a+b-1. \end{cases}$$

(ii) For each $1 \leq i \leq m$, $n \geq 0$, $\tau \in \Sigma_m$ and $\sigma \in \Sigma_n$, let $\tau \circ_i \sigma \in \Sigma_{m+n-1}$ be given by inserting the permutation σ at the i th place in τ . Let $f \in \mathcal{S}(m)$ and $g \in \mathcal{S}(n)$. Then

$$(129c) \quad (f\tau) \circ_i (g\sigma) = (f \circ_{\tau(i)} g)(\tau \circ_i \sigma).$$

INDEX

- Algebra over a Markl operad, Definition 3.6 and Definition 3.8
- Cocycle, Example 3.9
- Cobar construction, Definition 10.7
- Coboundary, Example 3.9
- Constant-free operadic category, page 7, Section 1
- $\text{Coll}_1^{\vee}(\mathcal{O})$, category of 1-connected \mathcal{O} -collections, Definition 5.1
- Derivation of a Markl operad, Definition 10.1
- Derived sequence, equation (4)
- Dual dg Markl operad, Definition 11.1
- Elementary morphism, Definition 2.1
- Endomorphism operad, page 24, Section 3
- Factorizability, *Fac*, page 6, Section 1
- Free Markl operad, equation (71)
- Grading of an operadic category, page 6, Section 1
- Harmonic pair, Definition 2.6
- Invertibility of quasibijections, *QBI*, page 4, Section 1
- Koszul dual, Definition 6.3
- Labelled tower, Definition 5.4
- $1\text{Tw}(X)$, groupoid of labelled towers under X , page 35, Section 5
- Markl operad, Definition 3.1
- Markl cooperad, Definition 10.3
- $\mathcal{O}_{\text{iso}} \subset \mathcal{O}$, subcategory of isomorphisms, page 14, Section 3
- $\mathcal{O}_{\text{trm}} \subset \mathcal{O}$, subcategory of local terminal objects, page 15, Section 3
- $\mathcal{O}_{\text{ord}} \subset \mathcal{O}$, subcategory of order-preserving morphisms, page 4, Section 1
- $\mathcal{O}_{\text{qb}} \subset \mathcal{O}$, subcategory of quasibijections, page 6, Section 1
- \mathcal{O}_{vrt} , groupoid of virtual isomorphisms, page 26, Section 4
- $\mathcal{O}_{\text{vrt}}(e) \subset \mathcal{O}_{\text{vrt}}$, subgroupoid of objects of positive grade, page 29, Section 4
- Pairs with disjoint fibers, Definition 2.4
- Quadratic Markl operad, Definition 6.2
- $\mathbb{Q}\mathcal{O}_{\text{vrt}}$, quotient of $\mathcal{O}_{\text{vrt}} \int \mathcal{O}_{\text{iso}}$ by virtual isomorphisms, page 28, Section 4
- $\mathbb{Q}\mathcal{O}_{\text{vrt}}(e)$, quotient of $\mathcal{O}_{\text{vrt}}(e) \int \mathcal{O}_{\text{iso}}$ by virtual isomorphisms, page 29, Section 4
- Rigidity, *Rig*, page 6, Section 1
- Self-dual Markl operad, Definition 6.4
- Strict grading, *SGrad*, page 6, Section 1
- Strictly unital Markl operad, Definition 3.2
- Strictly counital Markl cooperad, Definition 10.5
- Strong blow-up axiom, *SBU*, page 5, Section 1
- $\text{SUMOP}_1^{\vee}(\mathcal{O})$, category of 1-connected strictly unital Markl \mathcal{O} -operads, page 33, Section 5
- Tower, equation (61)
- Unique fiber axiom, *UFib*, page 6, Section 1
- Virtual (iso)morphism, page 26, Section 4
- Weak blow-up axiom, *WBU*, page 5, Section 1
- 1-connected Markl operad, Definition 3.2

REFERENCES

- [1] C. Barwick. From operator categories to higher operads. *Geom. Topol.*, 22(4):1893–1959, 2018.
- [2] J.C. Baez and J. Dolan. Higher-dimensional algebra. III. n -categories and the algebra of opetopes. *Adv. Math.*, 135(2):145–206, 1998.
- [3] M.A. Batanin. Monoidal globular categories as a natural environment for the theory of weak n -categories. *Adv. Math.*, 136(1):39–103, 1998.
- [4] M.A. Batanin. Symmetrisation of n -operads and compactification of real configuration spaces. *Adv. Math.*, 211(2):684–725, 2007.
- [5] M.A. Batanin. The Eckmann-Hilton argument and higher operads. *Adv. Math.*, 217(1):334–385, 2008.
- [6] M.A. Batanin. Locally constant n -operads as higher braided operads. *Noncommut. Geom.*, 4(2):237–265, 2010.
- [7] M.A. Batanin and M. Markl. Operadic categories and duoidal Deligne’s conjecture. *Adv. Math.*, 285:1630–1687, 2015.
- [8] M.A. Batanin and C. Berger. Homotopy theory for algebras over polynomial monads. *Theory Appl. Categ.*, 32(6):148–253, 2017.
- [9] M.A. Batanin, J. Kock and M. Weber. Regular patterns, substitutes, Feynman categories and operads. *Theory Appl. Categ.*, 33(7):148–192, 2018.
- [10] M.A. Batanin and M. Markl. Operadic categories as a natural environment for Koszul duality. Available at [arXiv.org](https://arxiv.org).
- [11] M.A. Batanin and M. Markl and J. Obradović. Minimal models for graphs-related operadic algebras. Preprint [arXiv 2002.06640](https://arxiv.org/abs/2002.06640), 2020.
- [12] C. Berger and R.M. Kaufmann. Comprehensive factorization systems. *Tbilisi Math. J.*, 10(3):255–277, 2017.
- [13] C. Berger and I. Moerdijk. Resolution of coloured operads and rectification of homotopy algebras. In *Categories in algebra, geometry and mathematical physics*, volume 431 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 31–58. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2007.
- [14] J.M. Boardman and R.M. Vogt. *Homotopy Invariant Algebraic Structures on Topological Spaces*. Springer-Verlag, 1973.
- [15] P. Boavida de Brito and M. Weiss. Spaces of smooth embeddings and configuration categories. *J. Topol.*, 11(1): 65–143, 2018.
- [16] D. Borisov and Y. Manin. Internal cohomomorphisms for operads. Preprint [math.CT/0609748](https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0609748), September 2006.
- [17] M. Doubek, B. Jurčo, M. Markl and I. Sachs. *Algebraic Structure of String Field Theory*. Volume 973 of *Lecture Notes in Physics*. Springer Verlag 2020.
- [18] Z. Fiedorowicz. The symmetric bar construction Preprint, 1992.
- [19] N. Gambino and J. Kock. Polynomial functors and polynomial monads. *Math. Proc. Camb. Philos. Soc.*, 154(1):153–192, 2013.
- [20] W.L. Gan. Koszul duality for dioperads. *Math. Res. Lett.*, 10(1):109–124, 2003.
- [21] R. Garner, J. Kock and M. Weber. Operadic categories and décalage. *Adv. Math.*, 337:107440, 2021.
- [22] E. Getzler. Operads revisited. In *Algebra, arithmetic, and geometry: in honor of Yu. I. Manin. Vol. I*, volume 269 of *Progr. Math.*, pages 675–698. Birkhäuser Boston Inc., Boston, MA, January 2009. Preprint [math.CT/0701767](https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0701767).
- [23] E. Getzler and M.M. Kapranov. Cyclic operads and cyclic homology. In S.-T. Yau, editor, *Geometry, Topology and Physics for Raoul Bott*, volume 4 of *Conf. Proc. Lect. Notes. Geom. Topol.*, pages 167–201. International Press, 1995.
- [24] E. Getzler and M.M. Kapranov. Modular operads. *Compos. Math.*, 110(1):65–126, 1998.
- [25] V. Ginzburg and M.M. Kapranov. Koszul duality for operads. *Duke Math. J.*, 76(1):203–272, 1994.
- [26] R.M. Kaufmann and B.C. Ward. Feynman Categories. *Asterisque*, 387:x+161, 2017.
- [27] R.M. Kaufmann, B.C. Ward, and J.J. Zuniga. The odd origin of Gerstenhaber brackets, Batalin-Vilkovisky operators and the master equations. *J. Math. Phys.*, 56:103504, 2015.
- [28] J. Kock, A. Joyal, M.A. Batanin and J. Mascari. Polynomial functors and opetopes, *Adv. Math.*, 224(6):2690–2737, 2010.

- [29] S. Lack. Operadic categories and their skew monoidal categories of collections. *High. Struct.*, 2(1):1–29, 2018.
- [30] T.G. Lavers. The theory of vines. *Comm. Algebra*, 25:1257–1284, 1997.
- [31] J. Leray. Approche fonctorielle et combinatoire de la propétrade des algèbres double Poisson. PhD thesis, University of Angers, 2017.
- [32] J.-L. Loday. Algebras, operads, combinads. Slides of a talk given at HOGT Lille on 23th of March 2012.
- [33] J.-L. Loday and M.O. Ronco. Une dualité entre simplexes standards et polytopes de Stasheff. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.*, 333(2):81–86, 2001.
- [34] J.-L. Loday and M.O. Ronco. Permutads. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, 120(2):340–365, 2013.
- [35] S. Mac Lane. Natural associativity and commutativity. *Rice Univ. Studies*, 49(4):28–46, 1963.
- [36] M. Markl. Distributive Laws and Koszulness. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)*, 46(4):307–323, 1996.
- [37] M. Markl. Intrinsic brackets and the L_∞ -deformation theory of bialgebras. *J. Homotopy Relat. Struct.*, 5(1):177–212, 2010.
- [38] M. Markl. Models for operads. *Comm. Algebra*, 24(4):1471–1500, 1996.
- [39] M. Markl. Operads and PROPs. In *Handbook of algebra. Vol. 5*, pages 87–140. Elsevier/North-Holland, Amsterdam, 2008.
- [40] M. Markl. Odd structures are odd. *Adv. Appl. Clifford Algebr.*, 27(2):567–1580, 2017.
- [41] M. Markl. Permutads via operadic categories, and the hidden associahedron. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, 175, 105277, 2020.
- [42] M. Markl, S.A. Merkulov, and S. Shadrin. Wheeled PROPs, graph complexes and the master equation. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 213:496–535, 2009.
- [43] M. Markl, S. Shnider, and J.D. Stasheff. *Operads in algebra, topology and physics*. Volume 96 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2002.
- [44] M. Markl and A.A. Voronov. PROPPed-up graph cohomology. In *Algebra, arithmetic, and geometry: in honor of Yu. I. Manin. Vol. II*, volume 270 of *Progr. Math.*, pages 249–281. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2009.
- [45] J.P. May. *The geometry of iterated loop spaces*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1972. Lectures Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 271.
- [46] B. Ward. Massey products for graph homology. Preprint [arXiv:1903.12055](https://arxiv.org/abs/1903.12055), 2019.
- [47] B. Vallette. A Koszul duality for props. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 359(10):4865–4943, 2007.
- [48] M. Weber. Internal algebra classifiers as codescent objects of crossed internal categories. *Theory Appl. Categ.*, 30(50):1713–1792, 2015.
- [49] M. Weber. Operads as polynomial 2-monads. *Theory Appl. Categ.*, 30:1659–1712.
- [50] J. Yoshida. Group operads as crossed interval groups. Preprint [arXiv:1806.02014](https://arxiv.org/abs/1806.02014).

MAX PLANCK INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, BONN, GERMANY

Email address: bataninmichael@gmail.com

MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE OF THE ACADEMY, ŽITNÁ 25, 115 67 PRAGUE 1, THE CZECH REPUBLIC

Email address: markl@math.cas.cz