# A note on the Nielsen realization problem for connected sums of $S^2 \times S^1$

# Bruno P. Zimmermann Università degli Studi di Trieste Dipartimento di Matematica e Geoscienze 34127 Trieste, Italy

**Abstract.** We consider finite group-actions on 3-manifolds  $\mathcal{H}_g$  obtained as the connected sum of g copies of  $S^2 \times S^1$ , with free fundamental group  $F_g$  of rank g. We prove that, for g > 1, a finite group of diffeomorphisms of  $\mathcal{H}_g$  inducing a trivial action on homology is cyclic and embeds into an  $S^1$ -action on  $\mathcal{H}_g$ . As a consequence, no nontrivial element of the twist subgroup of the mapping class group of  $\mathcal{H}_g$  (generated by Dehn twists along embedded 2-spheres) can be realized by a periodic diffeomorphism of  $\mathcal{H}_g$  (in the sense of the Nielsen realization problem). We also discuss when a finite subgroup of the outer automorphism group  $\mathrm{Out}(F_g)$  of the fundamental group of  $\mathcal{H}_g$  can be realized by a group of diffeomorphisms of  $\mathcal{H}_g$ .

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 57M60, 57M27, 57S25 Key words and phrases: 3-manifold; connected sums of  $S^2 \times S^1$ ; finite group action;

mapping class group; outer automorphism group of the fudamental group; Nielsen realization problem

### 1. Introduction

All finite group-actions in the present paper will be faithful, smooth and orientationpreserving, all manifolds orientable. We are interested in finite group-actions on connected sums  $\mathcal{H}_g = \sharp_g(S^2 \times S^1)$  of g copies of  $S^2 \times S^1$ ; we will call  $\mathcal{H}_g$  a closed handle of genus g in the following. The fundamental group of  $\mathcal{H}_g$  is the free group  $F_g$  of rank g. Considering induced actions on the fundamental group and on the first homology  $H_1(\mathcal{H}_g) \cong \mathbb{Z}^g$ , there are canonical maps

$$\mathrm{Diff}(\mathcal{H}_g) \to \mathrm{Out}(F_g) \to \mathrm{GL}(g,\mathbb{Z})$$

where  $\mathrm{Diff}(\mathcal{H}_g)$  denotes the orientation-preserving diffeomorphism group of  $\mathcal{H}_g$  and  $\mathrm{Out}(F_g) = \mathrm{Aut}(F_g)/\mathrm{Inn}(F_g)$  the outer automorphism group of its fundamental group.

**Theorem 1.** Let G be a finite group acting on a closed handle  $\mathcal{H}_g$  of genus g > 1 such that the induced action on the first homology of  $\mathcal{H}_g$  is trivial. Then G is cyclic and a subgroup of an  $S^1$ -action on  $\mathcal{H}_g$ ; in particular, all elements of G are isotopic to the identity.

For a description and classification of circle-actions on 3-manifolds and closed handles, see [14].

Denoting by  $Mod(\mathcal{H}_g)$  the mapping class group of isotopy classes of orientation-preserving diffeomorphisms of  $\mathcal{H}_g$ , there are induced maps

$$\operatorname{Mod}(\mathcal{H}_q) \to \operatorname{Out}(F_q) \to \operatorname{GL}(g,\mathbb{Z}).$$

Let Twist( $\mathcal{H}_g$ ) denote the subgroup of  $\operatorname{Mod}(\mathcal{H}_g)$  generated by all Dehn twists along embedded 2-spheres in  $\mathcal{H}_g$  (i.e., by cutting along a 2-sphere and regluing after twisting by one full turn around an axis; since such a twist represents a generator of  $\pi_1(SO(3)) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$ , its square is isotopic to the identity). By classical results of Laudenbach [6],[7] there is a short exact sequence

$$1 \to \operatorname{Twist}(\mathcal{H}_q) \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Mod}(\mathcal{H}_q) \to \operatorname{Out}(F_q) \to 1;$$

moreover  $\operatorname{Twist}(\mathcal{H}_g) \cong (\mathbb{Z}_2)^g$  is generated by the sphere twists around the core spheres  $S^2 \times *$  of the g different  $S^2 \times S^1$  summands of  $\mathcal{H}_g$  (twists around seperating 2-spheres instead are isotopic to the identity). It is proved in [1] that  $\operatorname{Mod}(\mathcal{H}_g)$  is isomorphic to a semidirect product  $\operatorname{Twist}(\mathcal{H}_g) \rtimes \operatorname{Out}(F_g)$ . Theorem 1 has the following consequence (in the sense of the *Nielsen realization problem*).

Corollary 1. No nontrivial element of the twist group  $Twist(\mathcal{H}_g)$  can be realized (represented) by a periodic diffeomorphism of  $\mathcal{H}_g$ .

For g > 1 this follows from Theorem 1 but the methods apply also to the case g = 1 of  $\mathcal{H}_1 = S^2 \times S^1$ , using the fact that  $S^2 \times S^1$  is a geometric 3-manifold belonging to the  $(S^2 \times \mathbb{R})$ -geometry (one of Thurston's eight 3-dimensional geometries, see [15]), and that finite group-actions on  $S^2 \times S^1$  are geometric ([10, Theorem 8.4]).

For a solution of the Nielsen realization problem for aspherical and Haken 3-manifolds, see [21] (here finite groups of mapping classes can always be realized, except for a purely algebraic obstruction in the case of Seifert fiber spaces where, however, a finite inflation of the group can always be realized).

By [6], homotopic diffeomorphisms of  $\mathcal{H}_g$  are isotopic but this does not remain true for arbitrary connected sums of 3-manifolds. By [4], twists around separating 2-spheres in a 3-manifold may or may not be homotopic to the identity, moreover by [3] there are sphere-twists which are homotopic but not isotopic to the identity (see also the discussion in the introduction of [1]). As an example, considering a connected sum  $M = M_1 \sharp M_2$  of two closed hyperbolic 3-manifolds  $M_1$  and  $M_2$ , the sphere-twist around the connecting 2-sphere is not homotopic to the identity; also, it cannot be realized by a periodic map (e.g., if  $M_1$  or  $M_2$  does not admit a nontrivial periodic map then also the connected sum  $M = M_1 \sharp M_2$  has no periodic maps).

There arises naturally the question of which finite subgroups of  $\operatorname{Out}(F_g)$  can be realized by a finite group of diffeomorphisms of  $\mathcal{H}_g$ . Finite groups G of diffeomorphisms of  $\mathcal{H}_g$ which act faithfully on the fundamental group (i.e., inject into  $\operatorname{Out}(F_g)$ ) are considered in [17] where, for  $g \geq 15$ , the quadratic upper bound  $|G| \leq 24g(g-1)$  for their orders is obtained. Since  $\operatorname{Out}(F_g)$  has finite subgroups of larger orders, these subgroups cannot be realized by finite groups of diffeomorphisms (by [16] the maximal order of a finite subgroup of  $\operatorname{Out}(F_g)$  is  $2^g g!$ , for g > 2). A precise result is as follows (we refer to [17, section 2] for definitions and the proof).

**Theorem 2.** Let G be a finite subgroup of  $\operatorname{Out}(F_g)$  and  $1 \to F_g \to E \to G \to 1$  the corresponding group extension associated to G. Then G can be realized by an isomorphic group of diffeomorphisms of  $\mathcal{H}_g$  if and only if E is isomorphic to the fundamental group  $\pi_1(\Gamma, \mathcal{G})$  of a finite graph of finite groups  $(\Gamma, \mathcal{G})$  in normal form associated to a closed handle-orbifold (in particular, the vertex groups  $(\Gamma, \mathcal{G})$  have to be isomorphic to finite subgroups of SO(4) and the edge groups to finite subgroups of SO(3)).

We note that, for a finite group G acting on a closed handle  $\mathcal{H}_g$ , the quotient  $\mathcal{H}_g/G$  has the structure of a closed handle-orbifold (see [17]). Analogous results on finite groupactions on 3-dimensional handlebodies are obtained in [8] and [12] (and in [9] for finite group-actions on handlebodies in arbitrary dimensions).

The case g = 2 is special. By well-known results,

$$\operatorname{Out}(F_2) \cong \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}^2) \cong \operatorname{GL}(2,\mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{D}_6 *_{\mathbb{D}_2} \mathbb{D}_4,$$

so up to conjugation the maximal finite subgroups of  $\operatorname{Out}(F_2)$  are the dihedral groups  $\mathbb{D}_6$  and  $\mathbb{D}_4$  of orders 12 and 8, and both can be realized by diffeomorphisms of the torus with one boundary component (hence, if the realizations of the amalgamated subgroups  $\mathbb{D}_2$  coincide, one obtains a realization of the whole group  $\operatorname{Out}(F_2) \cong \mathbb{D}_6 *_{\mathbb{D}_2} \mathbb{D}_4$ ). Considering the product with a closed interval, one obtains realizations on the handlebody  $V_2$  of genus 2 and also on its double  $\mathcal{H}_2$  along the boundary.

Concerning the case g = 3, by [18] there are exactly five maximal finite subgroups of  $Out(F_3)$  up to conjugation; by an easy application of Theorem 2, all of these maximal finite subgroups can be realized by diffeomorphisms of the closed handle  $\mathcal{H}_3$  of genus 3 (but not of a handlebody  $V_3$  of genus 3).

## 2. Proof of Theorem 1

Let G be a finite group acting faithfully and orientation-preservingly on a closed handle  $\mathcal{H}_g = \sharp_g(S^2 \times S^1)$  of genus g. By the equivariant sphere theorem (see [10] for an approach by minimal surface techniques, [2] and [5] for topological-combinatorial proofs), there exists an embedded, homotopically nontrivial 2-sphere  $S^2$  in  $\mathcal{H}_g$  such that  $x(S^2) = S^2$ 

or  $x(S^2) \cap S^2 = \emptyset$  for all  $x \in G$ . We cut  $\mathcal{H}_g$  along the system of disjoint 2-spheres  $G(S^2)$ , by removing the interiors of G-equivariant regular neighbourhoods  $S^2 \times [-1,1]$  of these 2-spheres, and call each of these regular neighbourhoods  $S^2 \times [-1,1]$  a 1-handle. The result is a collection of 3-manifolds with 2-sphere boundaries, with an induced action of G. We close each of the 2-sphere boundaries by a 3-ball and extend the action of G by taking the cone over the center of each of these 3-balls, so G permutes these 3-balls and their centers. The result is a finite collection of closed handles of lower genus on which G acts (cf. [17]). Applying inductively the procedure of cutting along 2-spheres, we finally end up with a finite collection of 3-spheres or 0-handles (closed handles of genus 0). Note that the construction gives a finite graph  $\Gamma$  on which G acts whose vertices correspond to the 0-handles and whose edges to the 1-handles. Note that  $\Gamma$  has no free edges, i.e. edges with one vertex of valence 1.

On each 3-sphere (0-handle) there are finitely many points which are the centers of the attached 3-balls (their boundaries are the 2-spheres along which the 1-handles are attached). For each of these 3-spheres, let  $G_v$  denote its stabilizer in G (by the geometrization of finite group-actions on 3-manifolds, one may assume that the action of a stabilizer  $G_v$  on the corresponding 3-sphere is orthogonal but this is not needed for the following). Denoting by  $G_e$  the stabilizer in G of a 1-handles  $S^2 \times [-1,1]$ , we can assume that each stabilizer  $G_e$  preserves the product structure of  $S^2 \times [-1,1]$  of the corresponding 1-handle (by choosing small equivariant regular neighbourhoods of the 2-spheres). If some element of a stabilizer  $G_e$  acts as a reflection on [-1,1], we split the 1-handle into two 1-handles by introducing a new 0-handle obtained from a small regular neighbourhood  $S^2 \times [-\epsilon, \epsilon]$  of  $S^2 \times \{0\}$  by closing up with two 3-balls. Hence we can assume that each stabilizer  $G_e$  of a 1-handle  $S^2 \times [-1,1]$  does not interchange its two boundary 2-spheres; that is, G acts without inversions on the graph  $\Gamma$ .

Suppose now that g > 1 and that the induced action of G on the first homology of  $\mathcal{H}_g$  and hence also of  $\Gamma$  is trivial. As before, G acts without inversions on  $\Gamma$  and  $\Gamma$  has no free edges. We will prove in next Proposition that under these hypotheses the action of G on  $\Gamma$  is trivial, that is each element of G acts as the identity on  $\Gamma$ . Hence G fixes each vertex and each edge of  $\Gamma$ .

Since G fixes each 1-handle  $S^2 \times [-1,1]$ , it maps each 2-sphere  $S^2 \times \{0\}$  to itself. By construction, G does not interchange the two sides of such a 2-sphere and acts faithfully on it (otherwise some element of G would act trivially on an invariant regular neighbourhood of such a 2-sphere and then act trivially also on all of  $\mathcal{H}_g$  (well-known in particular for smooth actions)). It follows that G is isomorphic to a finite subgroup of the orthogonal group SO(3), i.e. cyclic  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ , dihedral  $\mathbb{D}_{2n}$ , tetrahedral  $\mathbb{A}_4$ , octahedral  $\mathbb{S}_4$  or dedecahedral  $\mathbb{A}_5$ . It is easy to see that an orientation-preserving action of  $\mathbb{D}_{2n}$ ,  $\mathbb{A}_4$ ,  $\mathbb{S}_4$  or  $\mathbb{A}_5$  on  $S^3$  has at most two global fixed points around which a 1-handle can be attached; but then the graph  $\Gamma$  would be a segment or a circle, that is  $g \leq 1$ . Since g > 1, G is a cyclic group which acts by rotations around an axis  $S^1$  in each 0-handle

 $S^3$ . By the positive solution of the Smith-conjecture [13], each of these axes is a trivial knot in  $S^3$ , and hence the action of the cyclic group G embeds into an  $S^1$ -action on each 0-handle. Since these  $S^1$ -actions on the 0-handles extend to the connecting 1-handles  $S^2 \times [-1, 1]$ , the cyclic G-action on  $\mathcal{H}_q$  embeds into an  $S^1$ -action.

To complete the proof of Theorem 1, it remains to prove the following Proposition (which may be considered as an analogue of Theorem 1 for finite graphs).

**Proposition.** Let G be a finite group acting faithfully on a finite connected graph  $\Gamma$  without free edges and of genus g > 1 (or cycle rank, or rank of its free fundamental group). Then also the induced action of G on the first homology  $H_1(\Gamma) \cong \mathbb{Z}^g$  of  $\Gamma$  is faithful.

Proof. By subdividing edges, we can assume that G acts without inversion of edges on  $\Gamma$ . Suppose that an element  $x \in G$  acts trivially on the first homology of  $\Gamma$ . Then its Lefschetz number is 1-g which, by the Hopf trace formula, is equal to the Euler characteristic of the fixed point set of x which is a subgraph  $\Gamma'$  of  $\Gamma$  (since G acts without inversions of edges). The graph  $\Gamma$  of genus g has Euler characteristic 1-g; passing from  $\Gamma'$  to  $\Gamma$  by adding successively the missing edges, the Euler characteristic remains unchanged (when adding a free edge) or decreases. Since  $\Gamma$  has no free edges, this implies  $\Gamma' = \Gamma$ , and hence x acts trivally on  $\Gamma$ . This completes the proof of the Proposition.

By [19, proof of Satz 3.1], each finite subgroup of  $Out(F_g)$  can be realized by an action of the group on a finite graph  $\Gamma$  without free edges (this is a version of the *Nielsen realization problem for finite graphs* which several years later was "rediscovered" by various authors); the Proposition implies then the following well-known result.

Corollary 2. The canonical projection  $\operatorname{Out}(F_g) \to \operatorname{GL}(g,\mathbb{Z})$  is injective on finite subgroups of  $\operatorname{Out}(F_q)$ .

We note that not all finite subgroups of  $GL(g,\mathbb{Z})$  are induced in this way by finite subgroups of  $Out(F_g)$ ; in fact, for g = 2, 4, 6, 7, 8, 9 and 10 there are finite subgroups of  $GL(g,\mathbb{Z})$  of orders larger than  $2^g g!$  (which, by [16], is the maximal order of a finite subgroup of  $Out(F_g)$ )). On the other hand, there are also small cyclic subgroups of  $GL(g,\mathbb{Z})$  which cannot be realized in this way, see the discussion in [20, section 5].

### References

- [1] T. Brendle, N. Broaddus, A. Putman, The mapping class group of connected sums of  $S^2 \times S^1$ , arXiv 2012:01529
- [2] M.J. Dunwoody, An equivariant sphere theorem, Bull. London Math. Soc. 17 (1985), 437-448
- [3] J.L. Friedman, D.M. Witt, Homotopy is not istopy for homeomorphisms of 3-manifolds, Topology 25 (1986), 35-44
- [4] H. Hendriks, Applications de la théorie d'obstruction en dimension 3, Bull. Soc. Math. France Mém. 53 (1977), 81-196
- [5] W. Jaco, J.H. Rubinstein, PL equivariant surgery and invariant decompositions of 3manifolds, Adv. in Math. 73 (1989), 149-191
- [6] F. Laudenbach, Sur le 2-sphères d'une variété de diemsion 3, Ann. Math. 97 (1973), 57-81
- [7] F. Laudenbach, Topologie de la dimension trois: homotopie et isotopie, Société Mathématique de France, Paris 1974
- [8] D. McCullough, A. Miller, B. Zimmermann, *Group actions on handlebodies*, Proc. London Math. Soc. 59 (1989), 373-415
- [9] M. Mecchia, B. Zimmermann, On finite groups of isometries of handlebodies in arbitrary dimensions and finite extensions of Schottky groups, Fund. Math. 230 (2015), 237-249
- [10] W.H. Meeks, P. Scott, Finite group actions on 3-manifolds, Invent. math. 86 (1986), 287-346
- [11] W.H. Meeks, L. Simon, S.T. Yau, Embedded minimal surfaces, exotic spheres, and manifolds with positive Ricci curvature, Ann. of Math. 116 (1982), 621-659
- [12] A. Miller, B. Zimmermann, Large groups of symmetries of handlebodies, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 106 (1989), 829-838
- [13] J.W. Morgan, H. Bass, The Smith Conjecture, Academic Press 1984
- [14] F. Raymond, Classification of actions of the circle on 3-manifolds, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 131 (1968), 51-78
- [15] P. Scott, The geometries of 3-manifolds, Bull. London Math. Soc. 15 (1983), 401-487
- [16] S. Wang, B. Zimmermann, The maximum order finite groups of outer automorphisms of free groups, Math. Z. 216 (1994), 83-87
- [17] B. Zimmermann, On finite groups acting on a connected sum of 3-manifolds  $S^2 \times S^1$ , Fund. Math. 226 (2014), 131-142
- [18] B. Zimmermann, Finite groups of outer automorphism groups of free groups, Glasgow Math. J. 38 (1996), 275-282

- [19] B. Zimmermann, Über Homöomorphismen n-dimensionaler Henkelkörper und endliche Erweiterungen von Schottky-Gruppen, Comm. Math. Helv. 56 (1981), 474-486
- [20] B. Zimmermann, Lifting finite groups of outer automorphisms of free groups, surface groups and their abelianizations, Rend. Istit. Mat. Univ. Trieste 37 (2005), 273-282 (electronic version under http://rendiconti.dmi.units.it)
- [21] B. Zimmermann, Das Nielsensche Realisierungsproblem für hinreichend grosse 3-Mannigfaltigkeiten, Math. Z. 180 (1982), 349-359

### Erratum to:

A note on the Nielsen realization problem for connected sums of  $S^2 \times S^1$ 

Bruno P. Zimmermann

Department of Mathematics, University of Trieste, Italy

In the recent paper [1], we considered the Nielsen realization problem for finite subgroups of the mapping class groups  $\operatorname{Mod}(\mathcal{H}_g)$  of the 3-manifolds  $\mathcal{H}_g = \sharp_g(S^2 \times S^1)$  obtained as the connected sums of g copies of  $S^2 \times S^1$  (called *closed handles of genus* g in [1]). Denoting by  $\operatorname{Twist}(\mathcal{H}_g)$  the subgroup of  $\operatorname{Mod}(\mathcal{H}_g)$  generated by all Dehn twists along embedded 2-spheres in  $\mathcal{H}_g$ , there is a short exact sequence

$$1 \to \operatorname{Twist}(\mathcal{H}_g) \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Mod}(\mathcal{H}_g) \to \operatorname{Out}(\pi_1(\mathcal{H}_g)) \to 1,$$

and  $\operatorname{Twist}(\mathcal{H}_g) \cong (\mathbb{Z}_2)^g$  is generated by the twists around non-separating 2-spheres in  $\mathcal{H}_g$ . As a consequence of the main theorem, it is claimed in [1] that no non-trivial element of the twist group  $\operatorname{Twist}(\mathcal{H}_g)$  can be realized (represented) by a periodic diffeomorphism of  $\mathcal{H}_g$  (in the sense of the Nielsen realization problem); the proof of the main theorem in [1] is incomplete and the theorem has to be modified as follows.

**Theorem.** Let G be a finite group of orientation-preserving diffeomorphisms of a closed handle  $\mathcal{H}_g$  of genus g > 1. If G induces the trivial action on the first homology of  $\mathcal{H}_g$  then G is a cyclic group.

It is further claimed in [1] that G is a subgroup of an  $S^1$ -action on  $\mathcal{H}_g$  but the proof is incomplete since, in general, the various  $S^1$ -actions on the building blocks (0-handles) of  $\mathcal{H}_g$  don't fit together along the 1-handles to define an  $S^1$ -action on all of  $\mathcal{H}_g$ .

Corollary. A non-cyclic subgroup of the twist group  $Twist(\mathcal{H}_g)$  cannot be realized by diffeomorphisms of  $\mathcal{H}_g$ .

It is a consequence of the main theorem in a recent preprint by Lei Chen and Bena Tshishiku [2] that cyclic subgroups of Twist( $\mathcal{H}_g$ ) can be realized by diffeomorphisms; more generally, the authors show that, for every closed oriented 3-manifold M which is a connected sum, a subgroup G of the twist group can be realized if and only if G is cyclic and M is a connected sum of lens spaces (including  $S^2 \times S^1$ ).

## References

- [1] Bruno Zimmermann, A note on the Nielsen realization problem for connected sums of  $S^2 \times S^1$ , Rend. Istit. Mat. Univ. Trieste 53, 2021 (electronic versions in: rendiconti.dmi.units.it, arXiv:2105.04901)
- [2] Lei Chen, Bena Tshishiku, Nielsen realization for twists on 3-manifolds, preprint 2022 (arXiv:2204.04820)