

Join operation and \mathcal{A} -finite map-germs

Rodrigues Hernandez, M. E. and Ruas, M. A. S. *

Abstract

In this work we define some map-germs, called elementary joins, for the purpose of producing new \mathcal{A} -finite map-germs from them. In particular, we describe a general form of an \mathcal{A} -finite monomial map from $(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ to $(\mathbb{C}^p, 0)$ for $p \geq 2n$ of any corank in terms of elementary join maps. Our main tools are the delta invariant and some invariants of curves.

Keywords: *join operation, parameterized curves, analytic invariants.*

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 32S10, 58K40; Secondary 14J17, 32S05, 14H20

1 Introduction

The class of map-germs from $(\mathbb{K}^n, 0)$ to $(\mathbb{K}^{2n}, 0)$, where \mathbb{K} is \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C} , has been investigated by many authors using different approaches. For instance, Gaffney gives in [5] a formula for the number of double points at the origin of a stable perturbation of a map-germ $f : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^{2n}, 0)$ in terms of the zero dimensional Segre number of the double point ideal of f and the number of Whitney umbrella points of the composition of f with a generic projection from \mathbb{C}^{2n} to \mathbb{C}^{2n-1} . Klotz, Pop and Rieger classify \mathcal{A} -simple germs $(\mathbb{R}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^{2n}, 0)$ (see [10]) to show that all of them have a real deformation with the maximal number of real double-points. Symplectic invariants of curves and surfaces in this class of maps were also studied in [9] by Ishikawa and Janeczko. Parameterized singular surfaces in \mathbb{R}^4 appear in [2], where Birbrair, Mendes and Nuño-Ballesteros study relations between topological and metric properties, and also in [1] where Benedini, Ruas and Sinha study their second order geometry. In [15], the authors classify \mathcal{A} -finitely determined monomial surfaces in \mathbb{C}^4 .

Our main purpose is to present an “operation”, called join operation, among a finite number of map-germs in order to produce classes of \mathcal{A} -finitely determined (or \mathcal{A} -finite) map-germs from $(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ to $(\mathbb{C}^p, 0)$ with $p \geq 2n$. In particular, we provide families of these maps of any corank. For two map-germs F and G , this operation consists in joining them by means of a germ H , denoted $(F * G)_H$, defined as $(F * G)_H(x, y) := (F(x), G(y), H(x, y))$ which preserve in some sense the properties of F and G . Different type of operations to obtain new \mathcal{A} -finite germs from \mathbb{C}^n to \mathbb{C}^p from germs in lower dimensions were defined in [3], see also [13].

We define basic map-germs, called elementary joins, which are obtained by joining the identity and a parameterized curve or a finite number of parameterized curves. These maps are \mathcal{A} -finite (see Propositions 3.2 and 3.5). In Theorem 4.2 and Corollaries 4.3 and 4.6 we obtain

*The first author was partially supported by FAPESP Proc. 2019/07316–0 and the second author by FAPESP Proc. 2019/21181 – 0 and CNPq Proc. 305695/2019 – 3.

normal forms of some classes of \mathcal{A} -finite monomial map-germs which are completely characterized in terms of the elementary joins. The result in Corollary 4.3 generalizes for map-germs from \mathbb{C}^n to \mathbb{C}^{2n} the classification given in Theorem 2.4 in [15] for surfaces in \mathbb{C}^4 . We also give the general form of an \mathcal{A} -finite monomial map-germ $f : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^p, 0)$ for $p \geq 2n$ of any corank. More precisely, in Theorem 4.4, we prove that if f is a corank q monomial map-germ, then f is \mathcal{A} -finite if and only if f is \mathcal{A} -equivalent to the join of the identity in \mathbb{C}^{n-q} with q parameterized curves. As a corollary we obtain infinitely many families of \mathcal{A} -finite map-germs, not necessarily monomial. Two fundamental tools to obtain the previous results were the invariants: the semigroup associated to a parameterized curve and the delta invariant $\delta_f := \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\mathcal{O}_n}{f^*(\mathcal{O}_p)}$, defined in [15].

The monomial normal forms of Theorem 4.4 can be seen as \mathcal{A} -finite reflection maps, as defined in [14]. In addition, their images $f(\mathbb{C}^n)$ are non normal toric varieties in \mathbb{C}^p with isolated singularity at the origin, as they are the loci of a binomial ideal (see [4]). Conditions for the equisingularity of families of isolated non-normal singularities parameterized by this class of map-germs were investigated in [7].

In Section 5, we focus our study on some \mathcal{A} -invariants of map-germs $f : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^p, 0)$ for $p \geq 2n$. The delta invariant is also useful for verifying whether a map is \mathcal{A} -finitely determined, since δ_f is easier to be calculated than the \mathcal{A}_e -codimension of f ($\mathcal{A}_e \text{cod}(f)$). If $p = 2n$, we also have the number $d(f)$ of double points appearing in a stable perturbation of f . The results of this section provide estimates of δ_f and consequently for $\mathcal{A}_e \text{cod}(f)$ and some formulas for $d(f)$. In Subsection 5.1, we consider f \mathcal{A} -equivalent to (g_k, h) , where $g_k = \pi_k \circ f$ in which $\pi_k : \mathbb{C}^p \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^k$ is a canonical projection and $h : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^{p-k}, 0)$. Such decomposition can be interesting in the study of analytic invariants, if one knows some properties of g_k and h . In Theorem 5.5, we prove that $\mathcal{A}_e \text{cod}(f) \leq \mathcal{A}_e \text{cod}(g_k) + (p - k)\delta_f$, with a formula when g_k is a stable map. If the projection g_n of f is a fold map, we prove in Proposition 5.6 that $d(f)$ and δ_f coincide and we provide upper and lower bounds for $\mathcal{A}_e \text{cod}(f)$.

2 Basic notations of singularities

Analytical invariants play a central role in this work. The delta invariant of a map-germ and some invariants of the theory of curves such as the semigroup of values and its set of gaps are fundamental for the characterization of some classes of map-germs.

Let $\mathcal{O}(n, p)$ be the set of smooth map-germs $f : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^p$, which is a free \mathcal{O}_n -module of rank p , where \mathcal{O}_n is the local ring of function germs in \mathbb{C}^n at the origin, with maximal ideal \mathcal{M}_n . Denoting by $\mathcal{R} = \text{Diff}(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ and $\mathcal{L} = \text{Diff}(\mathbb{C}^p, 0)$ the groups of germs of diffeomorphisms at the origin, we consider the set $\mathcal{M}_n \mathcal{O}(n, p) = \{f \in \mathcal{O}(n, p); f(0) = 0\}$ under the action of the group $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{R} \times \mathcal{L}$. Two map-germs $f, g \in \mathcal{M}_n \mathcal{O}(n, p)$ are called \mathcal{A} -equivalent, denoted $f \underset{\mathcal{A}}{\sim} g$, if there exist $(\rho, \psi) \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $g = \psi \circ f \circ \rho^{-1}$.

The set of vector fields along f is defined by $\theta(f) = \{\sigma : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow T\mathbb{C}^p; \pi \circ \sigma = f\}$ such that $\pi : T\mathbb{C}^p \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^p, 0)$ is the natural projection. It is a free \mathcal{O}_n -module of rank p . The set of germs of vector fields in \mathbb{C}^n at the origin is a free \mathcal{O}_n -module of rank n , denoted by $\theta(n)$. Similarly, $\theta(p)$ denotes the \mathcal{O}_p -module of rank p of vector fields in \mathbb{C}^p at the origin. We define $tf : \theta(n) \rightarrow \theta(f)$ by $tf(\epsilon)(x) = df_x(\epsilon(x))$, where df is the differential of f and $wf : \theta(p) \rightarrow \theta(f)$ such that $wf(\eta) = f^*(\eta) := \eta \circ f$. The *extended tangent space* $T\mathcal{A}_e(f)$ to the \mathcal{A} -orbit $\mathcal{A}(f)$ at the point f is the complex vector space $T\mathcal{A}_e(f) = tf(\theta(n)) + wf(\theta(p))$. The *extended tangent space* $T\mathcal{L}_e(f)$ to the \mathcal{L} -orbit of f is defined as $T\mathcal{L}_e(f) = wf(\theta(p))$.

If \mathcal{G} is one of the groups of Mather $\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{L}$ or \mathcal{R} , a smooth map-germ $f : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^p, 0)$

is called \mathcal{G} -finitely determined (or \mathcal{G} -finite) if there exists $k < \infty$ such that for all smooth map-germs $g : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^p, 0)$ with $j^k f(0) = j^k g(0)$ we have that f is \mathcal{G} -equivalent to g , where $j^k f(0)$ denotes the k -jet of f at the origin.

Finitely determined map-germs in $\mathcal{M}_n \mathcal{O}(n, p)$ are particularly interesting for the class $p \geq 2n$. In this class of map-germs, the Mather-Gaffney geometric criterion of finite determinacy states that f is \mathcal{A} -finite if and only if there exists a neighborhood U at the origin in \mathbb{C}^n such that 0 is an isolated singularity of f and $f|_{U \setminus \{0\}}$ is one-to-one. Moreover, Gaffney proves that f is \mathcal{A} -finite if and only if f is \mathcal{L} -finite (see [16, Theorem 2.5]). This result can be algebraically translated in terms of the \mathcal{G}_e -codimension of f , defined as $\mathcal{G}_e \text{cod}(f) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\theta(f)}{T\mathcal{G}_e(f)}$, where $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{A}$ or \mathcal{L} . More precisely, by the Infinitesimal criterion of finite determinacy ([16, Theorem 1.2]), f is \mathcal{G} -finite if and only if \mathcal{G}_e -codimension of f is finite. Therefore, if $p \geq 2n$ then $\mathcal{A}_e \text{cod}(f)$ is finite if and only if $\mathcal{L}_e \text{cod}(f)$ is finite.

In [15] the authors consider the so-called delta invariant of f defined by $\delta_f := \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\mathcal{O}_n}{f^*(\mathcal{O}_p)}$. Notice that

$$\frac{\theta(f)}{wf(\theta(p))} \simeq \frac{\bigoplus_{i=1}^p \mathcal{O}_n}{f^*(\bigoplus_{i=1}^p \mathcal{O}_p)} \simeq \bigoplus_{i=1}^p \frac{\mathcal{O}_n}{f^*(\mathcal{O}_p)},$$

then it follows that $\mathcal{L}_e \text{cod}(f) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\theta(f)}{wf(\theta(p))} = p \cdot \delta_f$. Thus, for $p \geq 2n$ we obtain that f is \mathcal{A} -finite if and only if δ_f is finite. In other words, the finite determinacy of f is described in terms of the delta invariant, which is easier to be calculated than $\mathcal{A}_e \text{cod}(f)$. By a previous relation δ_f is an \mathcal{L} -invariant. More strongly, it is an \mathcal{A} -invariant. In fact, Gaffney in his thesis (see [16, Theorem 2.7(iv)]) proves that if $f, g \in \mathcal{O}(n, p)$ are \mathcal{A} -finite and $n < p$, then $f \underset{\mathcal{A}}{\sim} g$ if and only if the algebras $f^*(\mathcal{O}_p)$ and $g^*(\mathcal{O}_p)$ are isomorphic.

In what follows we introduce some tools of the theory of curves. For more details see [8].

Let $\phi_k : (\mathbb{C}, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^k, 0)$ be a parameterized curve in \mathbb{C}^k in which we assume (up to \mathcal{A} -equivalence) be given in the form

$$\phi_k(y) = (y^{m_1}, y^{m_2} + \sum_{i>m_2} a_{i2} y^i, \dots, y^{m_k} + \sum_{i>m_k} a_{ik} y^i) \quad (1)$$

with $1 < m_1 \leq m_2 \leq \dots \leq m_k$. We suppose that the map ϕ_k is primitive, that is, we cannot reparameterize it by a power of a new variable, or equivalently the greatest common divisor of the exponents of all components of ϕ_k is equal to 1. The integer m_1 is called the *multiplicity* of the curve.

• NUMERICAL SEMIGROUPS AND APÉRY SEQUENCE

A subset $\mathbf{S} \neq \{0\}$ is called a semigroup of \mathbb{N} if \mathbf{S} contains the element 0 and it is closed under addition. Any semigroup \mathbf{S} of \mathbb{N} is finitely generated, that is, there exist $v_1, \dots, v_r \in \mathbf{S}$ such that $\mathbf{S} := \langle v_1, \dots, v_r \rangle = \{\lambda_1 v_1 + \dots + \lambda_r v_r; \lambda_i \in \mathbb{N}, i = 1, \dots, r\}$. If $r \geq 2$ and $\text{gcd}(v_1, \dots, v_r) = 1$, then \mathbf{S} has a conductor, which means that there exists an element $c \in \mathbf{S}$ such that $c - 1 \notin \mathbf{S}$ and $c + \mathbb{N} \subset \mathbf{S}$. Consequently $\mathbb{N} \setminus \mathbf{S}$ is finite and its elements are called *gaps* of \mathbf{S} .

In order to describe the gaps of \mathbf{S} we introduce the notion of the *Apéry sequence* of \mathbf{S} with respect to an element $q \in \mathbf{S} \setminus \{0\}$, defined inductively by: $a_0 = 0$ and for $j = 1, \dots, q - 1$,

$$a_j = \min \left(\mathbf{S} \setminus \bigcup_{i=0}^{j-1} (a_i + q\mathbb{N}) \right). \quad (2)$$

For more details about these concepts see [8].

- SEMIGROUP AND GAPS ASSOCIATED TO A CURVE

Let $(\mathcal{C}, 0) \subset (\mathbb{C}^k, 0)$ be an analytic irreducible curve, parameterized by ϕ_k as in (1), with $\mathcal{O} := \mathbb{C}\{X_1, \dots, X_k\}/I$ the local ring of $(\mathcal{C}, 0)$, where I is the defining ideal of the curve. The value semigroup Γ associated to ϕ_k (or to the curve) is the set

$$\Gamma = \{\text{ord}_y(\phi_k^*(h)); h \in \mathcal{O} \setminus \{0\}\}.$$

If $\Gamma = \langle v_1, \dots, v_r \rangle$, since ϕ_k is a primitive parameterization, then $\gcd(v_1, \dots, v_r) = 1$ which implies that Γ has a conductor c . If we denote by $\overline{\mathcal{O}}$ the integral closure of \mathcal{O} in its field of fractions, then $\overline{\mathcal{O}} \simeq \mathbb{C}\{y\}$. The conductor ideal of \mathcal{O} in $\overline{\mathcal{O}}$ is defined by $(\mathcal{O} : \overline{\mathcal{O}}) = \{h \in \mathcal{O}; h\overline{\mathcal{O}} \subseteq \mathcal{O}\}$ which is an ideal of \mathcal{O} satisfying that $(\mathcal{O} : \overline{\mathcal{O}}) = \langle y^c \rangle$. Moreover, $(\mathcal{O} : \overline{\mathcal{O}})$ is also an ideal of $\overline{\mathcal{O}}$. In fact, the conductor ideal is the largest ideal of $\overline{\mathcal{O}}$ that is contained in \mathcal{O} .

We consider the Apéry set $B = \{a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{m_1-1}\}$ of Γ with respect to the multiplicity m_1 of ϕ_k . Both the semigroup and the set of gaps L of Γ can be determined in terms of the Apéry set B . In fact, any element $s \in \Gamma$ can be written as $s = a + \beta m_1$ for some $a \in B$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ and

$$L = \left\{ a_j - \beta_j m_1; j = 1, \dots, m_1 - 1, 1 \leq \beta_j \leq \left\lfloor \frac{a_j}{m_1} \right\rfloor \right\}, \quad (3)$$

where $\lfloor \cdot \rfloor$ denotes the integral part. The delta invariant of ϕ_k is given by

$$\delta_{\phi_k} = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\mathcal{O}_1}{\phi_k^*(\mathcal{O}_k)} = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\mathbb{C}\{y\}}{\phi_k^*(\mathcal{O})}.$$

Thus, the previous dimension coincides with the number of elements in \mathbb{N} that do not belong to the semigroup Γ , that is, with the number of gaps of Γ . Thus, ϕ_k is a primitive parameterized curve if and only if δ_{ϕ_k} is finite if and only if ϕ_k is \mathcal{A} -finite. For a parameterized plane curve ϕ_2 , the conductor c of the semigroup is the Milnor number μ of the defining equation of the curve. Moreover, in this case

$$c = \mu = 2 \cdot \delta_{\phi_2}.$$

Example 2.1. *The semigroup associated to the parameterized curve $(y^4, y^5 + y^6)$ is the set $\Gamma = \langle 4, 5 \rangle = \{0, 4, 5, 8, 9, 10, 12, 13, 14, \dots\}$, the conductor of Γ is $c = 12$ and its set of gaps is $L = \{1, 2, 3, 6, 7, 11\}$.*

3 Elementary join maps

In this section we define an “operation” between two map-germs F and G , called the join of F and G , in order to produce a new map-germ that carries, in a certain sense, the F and G structures.

Definition 3.1. *Let $F : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^{p_1}, 0)$ and $G : (\mathbb{C}^m, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^{p_2}, 0)$ with $p_1 \geq n$ and $p_2 \geq m$. The join of F and G (with respect to H), denoted by $(F * G)_H$, is a map from $(\mathbb{C}^{n+m}, 0)$ to $(\mathbb{C}^p, 0)$ such that*

$$(F * G)_H(x, y) = (F(x), G(y), H(x, y)),$$

for some map-germ $H : (\mathbb{C}^{n+m}, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^{p_3}, 0)$ in which $p = p_1 + p_2 + p_3$.

Naturally we can extend the above definition for a finite number of maps F_1, \dots, F_r with respect to H of the form: $(F_1 * \dots * F_r)_H(x_1, \dots, x_r) := (F_1(x_1), \dots, F_r(x_r), H(x_1, \dots, x_r))$.

The \mathcal{A} -class of $(F * G)_H$ is well defined in the sense that if $F \underset{\mathcal{A}}{\sim} \tilde{F}$ and $G \underset{\mathcal{A}}{\sim} \tilde{G}$, then $(F * G)_H \underset{\mathcal{A}}{\sim} (\tilde{F} * \tilde{G})_{\tilde{H}}$, for some map-germ \tilde{H} that is \mathcal{A} -equivalent to H .

Defining some special \mathcal{A} -finite map-germs that are given as a join of the identity and a parameterized curve or a join of \mathcal{A} -finite parameterized curves, we produce new families of \mathcal{A} -finite map-germs $f : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^p, 0)$ with $p \geq 2n$.

In the next two results, we provide two families of \mathcal{A} -finite maps of corank 1 and n , respectively, that allow us to characterize any \mathcal{A} -finite monomial map of corank q .

Proposition 3.2. *Let ϕ_k be the germ of a parameterized curve in \mathbb{C}^k as in (1) and I the identity in \mathbb{C}^{n-1} with $n, k \geq 2$. Then the join $(I * \phi_k)_H : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^{2n+k-2}, 0)$, with respect to $H(\underline{x}, y) = (x_1^{\lambda_1} y, \dots, x_{n-1}^{\lambda_{n-1}} y)$, that is,*

$$(I * \phi_k)_H(\underline{x}, y) := (\underline{x}, \phi_k(y), x_1^{\lambda_1} y, \dots, x_{n-1}^{\lambda_{n-1}} y)$$

is an \mathcal{A} -finite map-germ of corank 1, where $\underline{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})$ and $\lambda_i \geq 1$ for $i = 1, \dots, n-1$.

PROOF: The proof that $f := (I * \phi_k)_H$ is \mathcal{A} -finite follows a similar idea of [15, Theorem 2.4]. We can verify that f is one-to-one, since ϕ_k is a primitive parameterization and in $\mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{0\}$ the map df_x has maximal rank. Thus f is stable outside the origin, and therefore, \mathcal{A} -finite by Mather-Gaffney geometric criterion. However we give an algebraic proof in order to estimate the delta invariant of f .

Let Γ be the semigroup of ϕ_k with conductor c and $L = \mathbb{N} \setminus \Gamma$ the set of gaps of Γ . We will prove that δ_f is finite since the following elements belong to $f^*(\mathcal{O}_p)$, where $p := 2n + k - 2$:

(a) $x_1^{r_1} \cdots x_{n-1}^{r_{n-1}} y^s \in f^*(\mathcal{O}_p)$, for all $r_i \geq 0$ and $s = 0$ or $s \geq c$;

For each $i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$:

(b) $x_1^{r_1} \cdots x_i^{r_i} \cdots x_{n-1}^{r_{n-1}} y^s \in f^*(\mathcal{O}_p)$, with $s \in \Gamma$, $s < c$, $r_i \geq a\lambda_i$ for some a depending on s and for all $r_j \geq 0$ with $i \neq j \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$;

(c) $x_1^{r_1} \cdots x_i^{r_i} \cdots x_{n-1}^{r_{n-1}} y^l \in f^*(\mathcal{O}_p)$, with $l \in L$, $r_i \geq (m_1 - 1)\lambda_i$ and for all $r_j \geq 0$ with $i \neq j \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$.

In fact, it is clear that $x_1^{r_1} \cdots x_{n-1}^{r_{n-1}} \in f^*(\mathcal{O}_p)$ for all $r_i \geq 0$. On the other hand, by definition of the conductor of a semigroup, any integer $s \geq c$ satisfies $s \in \Gamma$ (see Section 2). We recall that the conductor ideal satisfies $(\mathcal{O} : \overline{\mathcal{O}}) = \langle y^c \rangle$. Since $(\mathcal{O} : \overline{\mathcal{O}})$ is an ideal of \mathcal{O} (and of $\overline{\mathcal{O}}$) we have for any $s \geq c$ that $y^s = y^{s-c} y^c \in (\mathcal{O} : \overline{\mathcal{O}}) \subset \mathcal{O}$. Moreover $\mathcal{O} \simeq \mathbb{C}\{\phi_k(y)\}$, thus there exists $h \in \mathbb{C}\{X_1, \dots, X_k\}$ such that $y^s = h(\phi_k) \in \phi_k^*(\mathcal{O}) \subset f^*(\mathcal{O}_p)$.

For item (b), if $s \in \Gamma$ with $s < c$, we only guarantee that there exists $h \in \mathbb{C}\{X_1, \dots, X_k\}$ such that $h(\phi_k) = y^s + h.o.t. \in \phi_k^*(\mathcal{O})$. But as we remarked before (3), we can write $s = a + \beta m_1$, for some a in the Apéry set B of Γ and $\beta \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. Thus for each $i = 1, \dots, n-1$ we have

$$x_i^{a\lambda_i} y^s = x_i^{a\lambda_i} y^{a+\beta m_1} = (x_i^{\lambda_i} y)^a (y^{m_1})^\beta \in f^*(\mathcal{O}_p).$$

Therefore, $x_1^{r_1} \cdots x_i^{r_i} \cdots x_{n-1}^{r_{n-1}} y^s \in f^*(\mathcal{O}_p)$, for any $r_i \geq a\lambda_i$ and $r_j \geq 0$ with $i \neq j \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$.

In (c), given $l \in L$ it follows by (3) that $l = a - \beta m_1$ for some $a \in B$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{N}$, where $1 \leq \beta \leq \lfloor \frac{a}{m_1} \rfloor$. Since $m_1 \lfloor \frac{a}{m_1} \rfloor \leq a < m_1 (\lfloor \frac{a}{m_1} \rfloor + 1)$ and denoting $d_{i1} = \lambda_i (a - m_1 \lfloor \frac{a}{m_1} \rfloor)$ for each $i = 1, \dots, m_1 - 1$ we have

$$x_i^{d_{i1}} y^l = (x_i^{\lambda_i} y)^{a - m_1 \lfloor \frac{a}{m_1} \rfloor} (y^{m_1})^{\lfloor \frac{a}{m_1} \rfloor - \beta} \in f^*(\mathcal{O}_p). \quad (4)$$

Thus $x_1^{r_1} \cdots x_i^{r_i} \cdots x_{n-1}^{r_{n-1}} y^l \in f^*(\mathcal{O}_p)$, for each $l \in L$ and $r_i \geq d_{i1}$, where d_{i1} depends on $l \in L$. These computations are sufficient to guarantee that δ_f is finite. More strongly, one has that the power of x_i does not depend on the gap. In fact, by the inequality $a + 1 \leq m_1 (\lfloor \frac{a}{m_1} \rfloor + 1)$ we have that $d_{i1} \leq (m_1 - 1) \lambda_i$ and therefore, by (4), for any $l \in L$

$$x_i^{(m_1-1)\lambda_i} y^l = x_i^{(m_1-1)\lambda_i - d_{i1}} \cdot (x_i^{d_{i1}} y^l) \in f^*(\mathcal{O}_p). \quad \blacksquare$$

Example 3.3. In this example we illustrate the previous result. Let $f : (\mathbb{C}^3, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^7, 0)$ be an \mathcal{A} -finite map-germ given by $f(x_1, x_2, y) = (x_1, x_2, y^4, y^6 + y^9, y^{11} + y^{18}, x_1^2 y, x_2 y)$. The semigroup associated to the parameterized curve $(y^4, y^6 + y^9, y^{11} + y^{18})$ is the set $\Gamma = \langle 4, 6, 11 \rangle$, the conductor and the set of gaps of Γ are $c = 14$ and $L = \{1, 2, 3, 5, 7, 9, 13\}$, respectively. From the proof of Propositions 3.2 and 5.1 and some calculations we obtain that a basis for $\frac{\mathcal{O}_3}{f^*(\mathcal{O}_7)}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma = \{ & y^l, x_1 y^l, x_2 y^2, x_2 y^3, x_1^2 y^2, x_1^2 y^3, x_1^3 y^2, x_1^3 y^3, x_2^2 y^3, x_1 x_2 y^2, \\ & x_1 x_2 y^3, x_1 x_2^2 y^3, x_1^2 x_2 y^3, x_1^3 x_2 y^3, x_1^4 y^3, x_1^5 y^3; \text{ for all } l \in L \}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\delta_f = 28$.

In Proposition 3.2, we obtain a family of \mathcal{A} -finite map-germs joining the identity and one parameterized curve. A possible question is: Given two parameterized curves ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 , is there a map H such that the map-germ $(\phi_1 * \phi_2)_H$ is \mathcal{A} -finite? We illustrate this problem with an example to motivate the next result in which we join a finite number of parameterized curves.

Example 3.4. Let $g(x, y) = (x^3, x^4, y^5, y^6, x^2 y, x y^3) \in \mathcal{O}(2, 6)$ be a corank 2 map-germ. The semigroups of the parameterized curves (x^3, x^4) and (y^5, y^6) are respectively $\Gamma_1 = \langle 3, 4 \rangle$ and $\Gamma_2 = \langle 5, 6 \rangle$ with its corresponding set of gaps $L_1 = \{1, 2, 5\}$ and $L_2 = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 7, 8, 9, 13, 14, 19\}$. It is possible to verify that $\delta_g = 48$, since a basis to $\frac{\mathcal{O}_2}{g^*(\mathcal{O}_6)}$ is the set

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma = \{ & x^{l_1}, y^{l_2}, xy, xy^2, xy^4, \dots, xy^7, xy^{10}, xy^{11}, xy^{12}, xy^{16}, xy^{17}, xy^{22}, x^2 y^2, \dots, x^2 y^5, x^2 y^8, \\ & x^2 y^9, x^2 y^{10}, x^2 y^{14}, x^2 y^{15}, x^2 y^{20}, x^3 y, x^3 y^2, x^3 y^3, x^3 y^7, x^3 y^8, x^3 y^{13}, x^4 y, x^4 y^4, x^5 y^2, \\ & x^5 y^4, x^6 y^2, x^7 y, x^9 y^2; \text{ for all } l_i \in L_i, i = 1, 2 \}. \end{aligned}$$

With the purpose of constructing an \mathcal{A} -finite family joining a finite number of curves, we will introduce some notation: for each $j = 1, \dots, n$ let $\phi_{k_j} : (\mathbb{C}, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^{k_j}, 0)$ be a primitive parameterized curve in \mathbb{C}^{k_j} , with multiplicity $m_j > 1$, given (up to \mathcal{A} -equivalence) by

$$\phi_{k_j}(y_j) = (y_j^{m_j}, y_j^{m_{j2}} + \sum_{i > m_{j2}} a_{i2} y_j^i, \dots, y_j^{m_{jk_j}} + \sum_{i > m_{jk_j}} a_{ik_j} y_j^i),$$

with $1 < m_j := m_{j1} \leq m_{j2} \leq \dots \leq m_{jk_j}$. We denote by $H_j : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^{n-1}, 0)$ the map-germ

$$H_j(\underline{y}) := (y_j^{\mu_{j1}} y_1, \dots, y_j^{\mu_{j(j-1)}} y_{j-1}, y_j^{\mu_{j(j+1)}} y_{j+1}, \dots, y_j^{\mu_{jn}} y_n), \quad (5)$$

where $\underline{y} := (y_1, \dots, y_n)$. For each $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ the exponent μ_{js} of y_j satisfy $\mu_{js} \geq 1$ for any $s \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ with $s \neq j$.

Proposition 3.5. *With the previous notations, let $H : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^{n(n-1)}, 0)$ be a map-germ given by $H(\underline{y}) = (H_1(\underline{y}), \dots, H_n(\underline{y}))$ with H_j as in (5) and $n \geq 2$. Then $(\phi_{k_1} * \dots * \phi_{k_n})_H : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^p, 0)$, that is,*

$$(\phi_{k_1} * \dots * \phi_{k_n})_H(\underline{y}) = (\phi_{k_1}(y_1), \dots, \phi_{k_n}(y_n), H(\underline{y}))$$

is an \mathcal{A} -finite map-germ of corank n in which $p = \sum_{j=1}^n k_j + n(n-1)$, $p \geq 2n$.

PROOF: The Mather-Gaffney geometric criterion can be used, as in Proposition 3.2, to prove that $g := (\phi_{k_1} * \dots * \phi_{k_n})_H$ is \mathcal{A} -finite. However, we also present an algebraic proof in this case. Let Γ_j be the semigroup of the parameterized curve ϕ_{k_j} with conductor c_j . We will verify that the following elements are in $g^*(\mathcal{O}_p)$:

- (a1) For each $s_i \geq c_i$, then $y_1^{s_1} \dots y_i^{s_i} \dots y_n^{s_n} \in g^*(\mathcal{O}_p)$ for any $s_j \geq c_j$ or $s_j = 0$ with $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, $i \neq j$;
- (b1) Given $s_i \in \Gamma_i$, $s_i < c_i$, $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, then $y_t^{s_t} y_i^{s_i} \in g^*(\mathcal{O}_p)$ for any $t \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, $t \neq i$, $s_t \geq c_t + a \cdot \mu_{ti}$ with a depending on s_i ;
- (c1) Given $l_i \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \Gamma_i$ with $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, then $y_t^{s_t} y_i^{l_i} \in g^*(\mathcal{O}_p)$ for any $t \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, $t \neq i$, $s_t \geq c_t + \alpha$ with α depending on l_i .

The item (a1) follows as in the proof of Proposition 3.2 (a). For (b1) taking $s_i = a + \beta m_i \in \Gamma_i$ with a in the Apéry sequence of Γ_i and $\beta \in \mathbb{N}$ (see the proof of Proposition 3.2 (b)), we have

$$y_t^{a \cdot \mu_{ti}} y_i^{s_i} = (y_t^{\mu_{ti}} y_i)^a (y_i^{m_i})^\beta \in g^*(\mathcal{O}_p).$$

Since $y_t^s \in \phi_{k_t}^*(\mathcal{O}_{k_t}) \subset g^*(\mathcal{O}_p)$ for all $s \geq c_t$, then for any $s_t \geq c_t + a \mu_{ti}$ it follows from the expression above that $y_t^{s_t} y_i^{s_i} = y_t^{s_t - a \mu_{ti}} \cdot (y_t^{a \mu_{ti}} y_i^{s_i}) \in g^*(\mathcal{O}_p)$.

In (c1), given $l_i \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \Gamma_i$, as in (3) we can write $l_i = a - \beta m_i$, for some a in the Apéry sequence of Γ_i and $1 \leq \beta \leq \lfloor \frac{a}{m_i} \rfloor$. Then for any $t \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ with $t \neq i$ and denoting $d_{ti} = \mu_{ti}(a - m_i \lfloor \frac{a}{m_i} \rfloor)$ we obtain (as in (4)) that

$$y_t^{d_{ti}} y_i^{l_i} = (y_t^{\mu_{ti}} y_i)^{a - m_i \lfloor \frac{a}{m_i} \rfloor} (y_i^{m_i})^{\lfloor \frac{a}{m_i} \rfloor - \beta} \in g^*(\mathcal{O}_p).$$

Thus for any $s_t \geq c_t + d_{ti}$ the elements $y_t^{s_t} y_i^{l_i} = y_t^{s_t - d_{ti}} (y_t^{d_{ti}} y_i^{l_i}) \in g^*(\mathcal{O}_p)$.

More generally, if we consider distinct $i_1, \dots, i_q \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, $s_\rho \in \Gamma_{i_\rho}$, with $s_\rho = 0$ or $s_\rho < c_{i_\rho}$ (where c_{i_ρ} is the conductor of Γ_{i_ρ}) for $\rho \in \{1, \dots, q\}$, then we can write $s_\rho = a_\rho + \beta_\rho m_{i_\rho}$ with a_ρ, β_ρ and m_{i_ρ} as before. Thus, for any $t \in \{1, \dots, n\} \setminus \{i_1, \dots, i_q\}$ we have

$$y_t^{(a_1 \mu_{ti_1} + \dots + a_q \mu_{ti_q})} y_{i_1}^{s_1} \dots y_{i_q}^{s_q} = (y_t^{\mu_{ti_1}} y_{i_1})^{a_1} \dots (y_t^{\mu_{ti_q}} y_{i_q})^{a_q} (y_{i_1}^{m_{i_1}})^{\beta_1} \dots (y_{i_q}^{m_{i_q}})^{\beta_q} \in g^*(\mathcal{O}_p).$$

Then, for all $r_t \geq c_t + a_1 \mu_{ti_1} + \dots + a_q \mu_{ti_q}$ we obtain $y_t^{r_t} y_{i_1}^{s_1} \dots y_{i_q}^{s_q} \in g^*(\mathcal{O}_p)$, and as consequence $y_1^{r_1} \dots y_n^{r_n} (y_t^{r_t} y_{i_1}^{s_1} \dots y_{i_q}^{s_q}) \in g^*(\mathcal{O}_p)$ for any $r_j \geq c_j$ or $r_j = 0$ for all $j \in \{1, \dots, n\} \setminus \{t, i_1, \dots, i_q\}$.

Finally, given $l_\rho = a_\rho - \beta_\rho m_{i_\rho} \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \Gamma_{i_\rho}$, $\rho = 1, \dots, q$, then for each $t \in \{1, \dots, n\} \setminus \{i_1, \dots, i_q\}$ and denoting $d_{ti_\rho} = \mu_{ti_\rho}(a_\rho - m_{i_\rho} \lfloor \frac{a_\rho}{m_{i_\rho}} \rfloor)$, as in the proof of (c1), $y_t^{d_{ti_\rho}} y_{i_\rho}^{l_\rho} \in g^*(\mathcal{O}_p)$. Thus

$$y_t^{(d_{ti_1} + \dots + d_{ti_q})} y_{i_1}^{l_1} \dots y_{i_q}^{l_q} = (y_t^{d_{ti_1}} y_{i_1}^{l_1}) \dots (y_t^{d_{ti_q}} y_{i_q}^{l_q}) \in g^*(\mathcal{O}_p).$$

Therefore, for all $r_t \geq c_t + d_{ti_1} + \dots + d_{ti_q}$ and for all $j \in \{1, \dots, n\} \setminus \{t, i_1, \dots, i_q\}$ satisfying $r_j \geq c_j$ or $r_j = 0$, the elements $y_1^{r_1} \dots y_n^{r_n} \cdot (y_t^{r_t} y_{i_1}^{l_1} \dots y_{i_q}^{l_q}) \in g^*(\mathcal{O}_p)$.

A general element in $g^*(\mathcal{O}_p)$ can be obtained as a combination of the previous elements. Thus, δ_g is finite. \blacksquare

Definition 3.6. The maps $(I * \phi_k)_H$ and $(\phi_{k_1} * \dots * \phi_{k_n})_H$ as in Proposition 3.2 and Proposition 3.5, respectively, are called elementary joins.

Remark 3.7. If $f := (I * \phi_k)_H$ and $g := (\phi_{k_1} * \dots * \phi_{k_n})_H$ are the elementary join maps, and p denotes its corresponding target dimension, then we can produce new \mathcal{A} -finite map-germs, for instance, $F(\underline{x}, y) = (f(\underline{x}, y), h(\underline{x}, y))$ and $G(\underline{y}) = (g(\underline{y}), k(\underline{y}))$, where $h, k \in \mathcal{O}_n$.

Example 3.8. Let $f_a(x_1, x_2, y) = (x_1, x_2, y^4, y^5, x_1y, x_2y, ax_1x_2y^3) \in \mathcal{O}(3, 7)$ be a corank 1 map-germ, where $a = 0$ or if $a \neq 0$ then, up to \mathcal{A} -equivalence, we take $a = 1$. In both cases, f_a is \mathcal{A} -finite. The semigroup of the parameterized curve (y^4, y^5) is $\Gamma = \langle 4, 5 \rangle$ and its set of gaps is $L = \{1, 2, 3, 6, 7, 11\}$ (see Example 2.1). Notice that a basis for $\frac{\mathcal{O}_3}{f_a^*(\mathcal{O}_7)}$ is

$$\beta = \{y^l, x_i y^2, x_i y^3, x_i y^7, x_1 x_2 y^3, x_i^2 y^3; i = 1, 2, \forall l \in L\}$$

if $a = 0$ and $\beta \setminus \{x_1 x_2 y^3\}$ if $a = 1$. Thus, $\delta_{f_0} = 15$ and $\delta_{f_1} = 14$.

4 General form of \mathcal{A} -finite monomial maps of corank q

Our purpose in this section is to give a general form for any map-germ $f : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^p, 0)$ with $p \geq 2n$, satisfying the properties that f is monomial and \mathcal{A} -finitely determined. Moreover we obtain normal forms of some classes of map-germs.

In the next lemma we prove that the class of parameterized curves appears naturally in the characterization of \mathcal{A} -finite monomial maps in $\mathcal{O}(n, p)$ for $p \geq 2n$.

Lemma 4.1. If $g : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^p, 0)$ with $p \geq 2n$ is a singular \mathcal{A} -finite monomial map-germ, then there exists at least one \mathcal{A} -finite parameterized monomial curve $\phi_k : (\mathbb{C}, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^k, 0)$ with $2 \leq k < p$ such that g is \mathcal{A} -equivalent to $f(\underline{x}, y) = (f_1(\underline{x}, y), \dots, f_{p-(n+k-1)}(\underline{x}, y), x_1^{\lambda_1} y, \dots, x_{n-1}^{\lambda_{n-1}} y, \phi_k(y))$, where $\underline{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})$.

PROOF: Denote by (x_1, \dots, x_n) the variables of $g = (g_1, \dots, g_p)$. By the hypothesis that δ_g is finite, we have that $\mathcal{M}_n^\beta \subset g^*(\mathcal{O}_p)$ for some $\beta \in \mathbb{N}$. In particular, $x_1^{\gamma_1}, \dots, x_n^{\gamma_n} \in g^*(\mathcal{O}_p)$ for all $\gamma_i \geq \beta$, $i = 1, \dots, n$. Since g is monomial, it follows that for each $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ there exists a component of g given by $x_i^{r_i}$ for some $r_i \geq 1$. Moreover, being g singular, then at least one variable, namely x_n , is such that $r_n \geq 2$, or else g is \mathcal{A} -equivalent to an immersion. Let us rename x_n and r_n respectively by y and m_1 .

In this way, in order to obtain all powers of the variable y of the form $y^b \in g^*(\mathcal{O}_p)$ for all $b \geq \beta$ with g monomial, it is necessary to have other components of g given as powers of y so that there is an \mathcal{A} -finite parameterized curve in y . Then there exists k with $1 < k < p$ such that g can be given by $g(\underline{x}, y) = (g_1(\underline{x}, y), \dots, g_{p-k}(\underline{x}, y), y^{m_1}, \dots, y^{m_k})$ with $\underline{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})$ and $g_i(\underline{x}, 0) = 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, p - k$ and $\gcd(m_1, \dots, m_k) = 1$. If we suppose $1 < m_1 < m_2 < \dots < m_k$, by the same argument that g is monomial and δ_g is finite, the elements $x_1^{\lambda_1} y, \dots, x_{n-1}^{\lambda_{n-1}} y$ appear as components of g , for some $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{N}$, $i = 1, \dots, n - 1$. Otherwise we could not obtain these elements in terms of other monomial components of g , since the multiplicity $m_1 > 1$. Then, g is \mathcal{A} -equivalent to $f(\underline{x}, y) = (f_1(\underline{x}, y), \dots, f_{p-(n+k-1)}(\underline{x}, y), x_1^{\lambda_1} y, \dots, x_{n-1}^{\lambda_{n-1}} y, \phi_k(y))$. ■

In Theorem 4.2 and Corollaries 4.3 and 4.6 we characterize some classes of \mathcal{A} -finite monomial map-germs in terms of elementary joins.

Theorem 4.2. Let $\phi_k(y) = (y^{m_1}, \dots, y^{m_k})$ be a parameterized monomial curve in \mathbb{C}^k , with $1 < m_1 < m_2 < \dots < m_k$, $\gcd(m_1, \dots, m_k) = 1$ and $n, k \geq 2$. Then, a singular monomial

map-germ $f : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^{2n+k-2}, 0)$ satisfying $f(\underline{0}, y) = (0, \dots, 0, \phi_k(y))$ is \mathcal{A} -finite if and only if f is \mathcal{A} -equivalent to

$$(I * \phi_k)_H(\underline{x}, y) = (\underline{x}, y^{m_1}, \dots, y^{m_k}, x_1^{\lambda_1} y, \dots, x_{n-1}^{\lambda_{n-1}} y),$$

where $\underline{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})$, I is the identity in \mathbb{C}^{n-1} and $\lambda_i \geq 1$ for $i = 1, \dots, n-1$.

PROOF: Notice that the sufficient condition follows from Proposition 3.2.

By hypothesis $f(\underline{x}, y) = (f_1(\underline{x}, y), \dots, f_{2n-2}(\underline{x}, y), \phi_k(y))$ with $f_i(\underline{0}, y) = 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, 2n-2$. From the proof of Lemma 4.1, there exist $n-1$ components of f of the form $x_i^{r_i}$ with $r_i \geq 1$ for $i = 1, \dots, n-1$. We claim that $r_i = 1$, for all $i = 1, \dots, n-1$. In fact, if we assume by contradiction that some r_i is greater than 1, say r_1 , we have an \mathcal{A} -finite parameterized curve in the variable x_1 as component of f . Suppose, without loss of generality, that such curve is given by $(x_1^{n_1}, x_1^{n_2})$ with $r_1 := n_1$, $1 < n_1 < n_2$ and $\gcd(n_1, n_2) = 1$. Then, by Lemma 4.1, the elements: $x_1^{n_1}, x_1^{n_2}, x_2^{s_2} x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}^{s_{n-1}} x_1, y^{s_1} x_1, y^{m_1}, \dots, y^{m_k}, x_1^{\lambda_1} y, \dots, x_{n-1}^{\lambda_{n-1}} y$ are components of f , for some $\lambda_i, s_i \in \mathbb{N}$, $i = 1, \dots, n-1$. But this is not possible, since the target dimension is $p = 2n + k - 2$. Then $r_1 = \dots = r_{n-1} = 1$ and we conclude that f is a corank one map. Therefore, f is \mathcal{A} -equivalent to a join of the identity I in \mathbb{C}^{n-1} and ϕ_k , with respect to the map $H : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^{n-1}, 0)$, $H(\underline{x}, y) = (x_1^{\lambda_1} y, \dots, x_{n-1}^{\lambda_{n-1}} y)$. ■

It is interesting to observe that if $f \in \mathcal{M}_n \mathcal{O}(n, 2n+k-2)$ is a singular monomial and \mathcal{A} -finite map-germ, then f cannot have as component a parameterized curve $\phi_j : (\mathbb{C}, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^j, 0)$ for $j > k$, by a target dimension argument.

As a consequence of Lemma 4.1 and Theorem 4.2, we extend for maps in $\mathcal{M}_n \mathcal{O}(n, 2n)$ the classification of parameterized monomial surfaces in \mathbb{C}^4 obtained in [15, Theorem 2.4].

Corollary 4.3. *Let $f : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^{2n}, 0)$ be a monomial map-germ with $n \geq 2$. Then, f is \mathcal{A} -finite if and only if f is \mathcal{A} -equivalent to*

(i) $(\underline{x}, y, 0, \dots, 0)$ (immersion);

(ii) $(\underline{x}, y^{m_1}, y^{m_2}, x_1^{\lambda_1} y, \dots, x_{n-1}^{\lambda_{n-1}} y)$, where $\lambda_i \geq 1$ for $i = 1, \dots, n-1$ and $\gcd(m_1, m_2) = 1$.

In what follows, we give a general form of an \mathcal{A} -finite monomial map in $\mathcal{O}(n, p)$ of corank q , for $p \geq 2n$. For each $j = 1, \dots, q$ the map $\phi_{k_j}(y_j) = (y_j^{m_j}, y_j^{m_{j2}}, \dots, y_j^{m_{jk_j}})$ denotes a primitive parameterized curve in \mathbb{C}^{k_j} with $1 < m_j := m_{j1} < m_{j2} < \dots < m_{jk_j}$ and if $\underline{x} := (x_1, \dots, x_{n-q})$ and $\underline{y} := (y_1, \dots, y_q)$, then $\underline{x}^{\lambda_{ij}} y_j := (x_1^{\lambda_{1j}} y_j, \dots, x_{n-q}^{\lambda_{(n-q)j}} y_j)$ and (as in (5))

$$H_j(\underline{y}) := (y_j^{\mu_{j1}} y_1, \dots, y_j^{\mu_{j(j-1)}} y_{j-1}, y_j^{\mu_{j(j+1)}} y_{j+1}, \dots, y_j^{\mu_{jq}} y_q),$$

where $\lambda_{ij} \geq 1$, $\mu_{jt} \geq 1$ for $i \in \{1, \dots, n-q\}$, $j, t \in \{1, \dots, q\}$ with $t \neq j$ and I denotes the identity map in \mathbb{C}^{n-q} .

Theorem 4.4. (General form of \mathcal{A} -finite monomial maps) *Let $f : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^p, 0)$ be a singular corank q monomial map-germ with $p \geq 2n$. Then f is \mathcal{A} -finite if and only if f is \mathcal{A} -equivalent to*

$$(I * \phi_{k_1} * \dots * \phi_{k_q})_H(\underline{x}, \underline{y}) = (I(\underline{x}), \phi_{k_1}(y_1), \dots, \phi_{k_q}(y_q), H(\underline{x}, \underline{y})), \quad (6)$$

where $H(\underline{x}, \underline{y}) = (\underline{x}^{\lambda_{i1}} y_1, \dots, \underline{x}^{\lambda_{iq}} y_q, H_1(\underline{y}), \dots, H_q(\underline{y}), h(\underline{x}, \underline{y}))$ for some monomial map $h(\underline{x}, \underline{y})$ such that h is zero on each coordinate axis. In particular, if all $\mu_{jt} = 1$ the map H can be given by

$$H(\underline{x}, \underline{y}) = (\underline{x}^{\lambda_{i1}} y_1, \dots, \underline{x}^{\lambda_{iq}} y_q, y_1 y_2, \dots, y_1 y_q, y_2 y_3, \dots, y_2 y_q, \dots, y_{q-1} y_q, h(\underline{x}, \underline{y})).$$

PROOF: It follows from the proofs of Propositions 3.2 and 3.5 that the map (6) is \mathcal{A} -finite.

Conversely, if f is a corank q map-germ, up to change of coordinates, we can assume that $f(\underline{x}, \underline{y}) = (\underline{x}, f_{n-q+1}(\underline{x}, \underline{y}), \dots, f_p(\underline{x}, \underline{y}))$. Since f is \mathcal{A} -finite and monomial, it follows from the proof of Lemma 4.1, that for each $j = 1, \dots, q$ there exists k_j with $1 < k_j \leq p - (n - q)$ such that f has as components an \mathcal{A} -finite parameterized curve in \mathbb{C}^{k_j} in the variable y_j , that is, $\phi_{k_j}(y_j) = (y_j^{m_j}, y_j^{m_{j2}}, \dots, y_j^{m_{jk_j}})$ where we suppose $1 < m_j < m_{j2} < \dots < m_{jk_j}$. If $m_j = 1$ for some $j = 1, \dots, q$, then the corank of f would be less than q . Thus, f is \mathcal{A} -equivalent to $(I * \phi_{k_1} * \dots * \phi_{k_q})_H$ for some map-germ H . Similarly as in the proof of Theorem 4.2, the hypotheses that f is monomial and δ_f finite imply that H has to be given by $H(\underline{x}, \underline{y}) = (\underline{x}^{\lambda_{i1}}y_1, \dots, \underline{x}^{\lambda_{iq}}y_q, H_1(\underline{y}), \dots, H_q(\underline{y}), h(\underline{x}, \underline{y}))$, for some monomial map-germ h that, up to \mathcal{A} -equivalence, is zero on each coordinate axis. ■

Remark 4.5. Notice that in Theorem 4.4, the components of h can be zero. Furthermore, if ϕ_{k_j} or h are not monomials, we still have that the map (6) is \mathcal{A} -finite, that is, combining elementary joins we produce a lot of \mathcal{A} -finite maps.

Interesting particular cases in Theorem 4.4 are when f is one of the elementary join maps:

- corank 1 : $(\underline{x}, y^{m_1}, \dots, y^{m_r}, x_1^{\lambda_1}y, \dots, x_{n-1}^{\lambda_{n-1}}y)$;
- corank n : $(\phi_{k_1}(y_1), \dots, \phi_{k_n}(y_n), H_1(\underline{y}), \dots, H_n(\underline{y}))$.

Corollary 4.6. Let $f : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^p, 0)$ be a singular corank q monomial map-germ, with $p \geq 2n$. If f is \mathcal{A} -finite, then $p \geq n(q+1) - q(q-1)/2$. In particular, if $p = n(q+1) - q(q-1)/2$, then f is \mathcal{A} -finite if and only if

$$f \underset{\mathcal{A}}{\sim} (I * \phi_{k_1} * \dots * \phi_{k_q})_H,$$

where for each $j = 1, \dots, q$ the map $\phi_{k_j}(y_j) = (y_j^{m_j}, y_j^{m_{j1}})$ is a primitive parameterized plane curve and $H(\underline{x}, \underline{y}) = (\underline{x}^{\lambda_{i1}}y_1, \dots, \underline{x}^{\lambda_{iq}}y_q, y_1y_2, \dots, y_1y_q, y_2y_3, \dots, y_2y_q, \dots, y_{q-1}y_q)$.

Notice that by the previous result, in order to have an \mathcal{A} -finite monomial map-germ in $\mathcal{M}_n\mathcal{O}(n, p)$ of corank n , then $p \geq \frac{n(n+3)}{2}$. But for corank 1 maps, we need $p \geq 2n$.

Example 4.7. Let $h(x, y, z) = (x, y^2, y^3, z^2, z^3, xy, xz, yz) \in \mathcal{O}(3, 8)$ be a corank 2 map-germ. In this case, a basis for $\frac{\mathcal{O}_3}{h^*(\mathcal{O}_8)}$ is $\{y, z, y^2z, yz^2\}$ and thus $\delta_h = 4$.

Remark 4.8. In Theorem 4.4, we obtain a general form of an \mathcal{A} -finite monomial map-germ from $(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ to $(\mathbb{C}^p, 0)$ for $p \geq 2n$. In this sense, an interesting question is whether we can describe all \mathcal{A} -finite monomial map-germs $F : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^{n+s}, 0)$ with $s = 1, \dots, n - 1$? The only classes we got in $\mathcal{O}(n, n + s)$ satisfying that the germ is \mathcal{A} -finite and monomial were the immersion and

$$F(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, y) = (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_{i_1}y, x_{i_2}y, \dots, x_{i_s}y, y^2),$$

for each $s = 1, \dots, n - 1$ and distinct $i_1, \dots, i_s \in \{1, \dots, n - 1\}$. Notice that F is a stable map, that is, $\mathcal{A}_e \text{cod}(F) = 0$. Moreover, F is a generalization of the cross-cap parameterized by $f(x, y) = (x, xy, y^2)$ (see [17]).

5 Estimating some analytical invariants

In this section, given f in $\mathcal{O}(n, p)$ with $p \geq 2n$ we estimate the \mathcal{A}_e -codimension and the delta invariant of f , for the classes of map-germs of the previous sections. When $p = 2n$, we obtain some formulas for the number of double points in a stable perturbation of f . In the last subsection, we study these invariants under some projection of f . This approach can be useful when the projection has good properties, such as being stable.

If $f \in \mathcal{M}_n \mathcal{O}(n, p)$ with $p \geq 2n$ satisfies $\delta_f < \infty$, then f is \mathcal{A} -finite. Moreover,

$$\mathcal{A}_e \text{cod}(f) \leq \mathcal{L}_e \text{cod}(f) = p \cdot \delta_f.$$

In this way, it is interesting to obtain some estimates for δ_f .

Let $F : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^{p_1}, 0)$, $G : (\mathbb{C}^m, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^{p_2}, 0)$ and $H : (\mathbb{C}^{n+m}, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^{p_3}, 0)$ with $p_1 \geq n$, $p_2 \geq m$ such that $K = (F * G)_H : (\mathbb{C}^{n+m}, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^p, 0)$ is a join of F and G with respect to H , that is, $K(x, y) = (F(x), G(y), H(x, y))$ where $p = p_1 + p_2 + p_3$.

Suppose $p_1 \geq 2n$, $p_2 \geq 2m$, δ_F and δ_G finite. If H is zero on each coordinate axis, then it is easy to see that

$$\delta_K \geq \delta_F + \delta_G. \quad (7)$$

For the next result, let $\phi_k(y) = (y^{m_1}, y^{m_2} + \sum_{i>m_2} a_{i2} y^i, \dots, y^{m_k} + \sum_{i>m_k} a_{ik} y^i)$ be an \mathcal{A} -finite parameterized curve and consider the following notations: the integers $\lambda_{\min} := \min_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} \{\lambda_i\}$,

$$\lambda := (m_1 - 1) \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \lambda_i - n + 1,$$

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa_1 &:= \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \lambda_i + \sum_{j=1}^{\lambda_{\min}} \binom{n+j-2}{j} - (n-1)\lambda_{\min} - n + 2, \\ \kappa_2 &:= \sum_{j=1}^{\lambda} \binom{n+j-2}{j} + 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \kappa_3 := \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \lambda_i - n. \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 5.1. *Let ϕ_k be the germ of a parameterized curve in \mathbb{C}^k as above with $n, k \geq 2$. Consider $f : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^{2n+k-2}, 0)$ the map-germ given by $f(\underline{x}, y) = (\underline{x}, \phi_k(y), x_1^{\lambda_1} y, \dots, x_{n-1}^{\lambda_{n-1}} y)$, where $\underline{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})$ and $\lambda_i \geq 1$ for $i = 1, \dots, n-1$. Then*

$$(i) \quad \kappa_1 \delta_{\phi_k} \leq \delta_f \leq \kappa_2 \delta_{\phi_k},$$

$$(ii) \quad (n-1)\kappa_3 \delta_{\phi_k} + k(m_1 - 2)(\kappa_3 + 2) \leq \mathcal{A}_e \text{cod}(f) \leq (2n + k - 2)\kappa_2 \delta_{\phi_k}.$$

PROOF: Denote $p = 2n + k - 2$ and c the conductor of the semigroup Γ of ϕ_k . It follows from the proof of Proposition 3.2 that $x_i^{r_i}, y^b, x_i^{p_i} y^s, x_i^{q_i} y^l \in f^*(\mathcal{O}_p)$, for all $r_i \in \mathbb{N}$, $b \geq c$, $s \in \Gamma$ for some p_i and $l \in L = \mathbb{N} \setminus \Gamma$ and $q_i \geq (m_1 - 1)\lambda_i$, with $i = 1, \dots, n-1$. Now we will analyse the element y^s with $s \in \Gamma$ and s less than the conductor c . If $s \in \Gamma$, then there exists $h \in \mathcal{O}$ such that $h \circ \phi_k(y) = y^s + a_{s+1} y^{s+1} + \dots \in \phi_k^*(\mathcal{O}_k) \subset f^*(\mathcal{O}_p)$ with $a_j \in \mathbb{C}$ for $j = s+1, \dots$. For each $j \in \Gamma \setminus \{0\}$ with $j > s$ in the expansion $h \circ \phi_k(y)$, there exists $h_j \in \mathcal{O}$ such that $h_j \circ \phi_k(y) = b_j y^j + \dots$, with $b_j \neq 0$. Thus

$$\bar{h} = h - \frac{a_j}{b_j} \sum_{j \in \Gamma} h_j \in \mathcal{O}$$

satisfy $\bar{h} \circ \phi_k(y) = y^s + a_{l_1} y^{l_1} + \dots + a_{l_t} y^{l_t}$ for some finite $l_1, \dots, l_t \in L$ and $a_{l_i} \in \mathbb{C}$, since $y^b \in f^*(\mathcal{O}_p)$ for $b \geq c$. Denoting β a basis of $\mathcal{O}_n / f^*(\mathcal{O}_p)$, we have that $y^l \in \beta$ for all $l \in L$. In

this way, by the expression of $\bar{h} \circ \phi_k$, we do not consider in β the element y^s , with $s \in \Gamma$. With a similar analysis $x_1^{r_1} \dots x_{n-1}^{r_{n-1}} y^s \notin \beta$ for $r_i \geq 0$ and $s \in \Gamma$.

Thus β is contained in $\{y^l, x_1^{r_1} \dots x_{n-1}^{r_{n-1}} y^l; \forall l \in L, 1 \leq d \leq \lambda\}$ with $d = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} r_i$ and $\lambda := (m_1 - 1) \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \lambda_i - n + 1$. Therefore

$$\delta_f \leq \delta_{\phi_k} + \#\{x_1^{r_1} \dots x_{n-1}^{r_{n-1}} y^l; \forall l \in L, 1 \leq d \leq \lambda\} = \kappa_2 \delta_{\phi_k}.$$

On the other hand, the elements $y^l, x_i^{r_i} y^l \in \beta$ for all $1 \leq r_i \leq \lambda_i - 1, l \in L$ and $i = 1, \dots, n-1$. Moreover $x_1^{r_1} \dots x_{n-1}^{r_{n-1}} y^l \in \beta$ for all $l \in L$ such that $1 \leq d \leq \lambda_{\min}$, eliminating the monomials $x_1^d y^l, \dots, x_{n-1}^d y^l$ that have been considered in β . Thus,

$$\delta_f \geq \#\{y^l, x_i^{r_i} y^l; \forall l \in L, 1 \leq r_i \leq \lambda_i - 1\} + \#\{x_1^{r_1} \dots x_{n-1}^{r_{n-1}} y^l; \forall l \in L, 1 \leq d \leq \lambda_{\min}\} - (n-1) \lambda_{\min} \delta_{\phi_k}$$

and we obtain $\delta_f \geq \kappa_1 \delta_{\phi_k}$.

The upper bound of $\mathcal{A}_e \text{cod}(f)$ is obtained from inequality $\mathcal{A}_e \text{cod}(f) \leq p \delta_f$ and item (i). The extended tangent space to the \mathcal{A} -orbit of f is given by

$$T\mathcal{A}_e(f) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & m_1 y^{m_1-1} \\ \vdots & \dots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & m_k y^{m_k-1} + \dots \\ \lambda_1 x_1^{\lambda_1-1} y & \dots & 0 & x_1^{\lambda_1} \\ \vdots & \dots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & \lambda_{n-1} x_{n-1}^{\lambda_{n-1}-1} y & x_{n-1}^{\lambda_{n-1}} \end{pmatrix} \left(\begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_1(\underline{x}, y) \\ \vdots \\ \epsilon_n(\underline{x}, y) \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \eta_1(f) \\ \vdots \\ \eta_p(f) \end{pmatrix} \right); \begin{matrix} \epsilon_i \in \mathcal{O}_n, \\ \eta_j \in \mathcal{O}_p, \\ i = 1, \dots, n, \\ j = 1, \dots, p \end{matrix} \right\}$$

We denote by e_i the i -th element of the canonical basis of $\theta(p)$. For the lower bound of the \mathcal{A}_e -codimension of f , note that the following sets are not contained in $T\mathcal{A}_e(f)$:

$$\{\{y^l, x_i^{r_i} y^l\} e_j; i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}, j \in \{n, \dots, n+k-1\}, 1 \leq r_i \leq \lambda_i - 1 \text{ and } 1 \leq l \leq m_1 - 2\}$$

and

$$\{\{x_i^{r_i} y^l\} e_{n+k+j-1}; i, j \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}, \forall l \in L, 1 \leq r_i \leq \lambda_i - 2 \text{ if } i = j \text{ and } 1 \leq r_i \leq \lambda_i - 1 \text{ if } i \neq j\}.$$

■

For the map $(\phi_{k_1} * \dots * \phi_{k_n})_H$ in Proposition 3.5 the estimate for the delta invariant is more general than the previous result. If Γ_j is the semigroup of ϕ_{k_j} with conductor c_j , we denote $c = \sum_{j=1}^n c_j$.

Proposition 5.2. *Let $g : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^p, 0)$ be the map-germ as in Proposition 3.5 given by*

$$g(\underline{y}) = (\phi_{k_1}(y_1), \dots, \phi_{k_n}(y_n), H_1(\underline{y}), \dots, H_n(\underline{y})),$$

where $H_j(\underline{y}) := (y_j^{\mu_j^1} y_1, \dots, y_j^{\mu_j^{j-1}} y_{j-1}, y_j^{\mu_j^{j+1}} y_{j+1}, \dots, y_j^{\mu_j^n} y_n)$, with $\underline{y} := (y_1, \dots, y_n)$ and for each $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ we have $t \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ with $t \neq j$ and $\mu_{jt} \geq 1$. Then

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \delta_{\phi_{k_j}} \leq \delta_g \leq \sum_{j=1}^c \binom{n+j-1}{j}.$$

PROOF: It follows from (7) the lower estimate for δ_g . On the other hand, if γ denotes a basis for $\mathcal{O}_n/g^*(\mathcal{O}_p)$, by the proof of Proposition 3.5, $\gamma \subset \{y_1^{s_1} \cdots y_n^{s_n}; 1 \leq d \leq c, d = \sum_{j=1}^n s_j\}$. Thus, we obtain the result. \blacksquare

A map-germ f is called \mathcal{A} -stable if $\theta(f) = T\mathcal{A}_e(f)$. Similarly as in [15, Proposition 2.1], it is possible to prove for $p \geq 2n$ that f is \mathcal{A} -stable if and only if $\delta_f = 0$.

Let $f : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^{2n}, 0)$ be an \mathcal{A} -finite map. We recall that a stable perturbation $f_t : U \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^{2n}, 0)$ of f , where U is a small neighborhood of the origin, has a finite number $d(f)$ of transverse double points (see [6]).

If f is an \mathcal{A} -finite corank one map-germ, it is well known that up to change of coordinates f is given by $f(\underline{x}, y) = (\underline{x}, f_n(\underline{x}, y), \dots, f_{2n}(\underline{x}, y))$, where $\underline{x} := (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})$. In this case, the number of double points of f is

$$d(f) := \frac{1}{2} \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\mathcal{O}_{n+1}}{\varphi^*(\mathcal{M}_{n+1})\mathcal{O}_{n+1}}, \quad (8)$$

where $\varphi : (\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, 0)$, $(\underline{x}, y, z) \mapsto (\varphi_n, \dots, \varphi_{2n})$ with $\varphi_i(\underline{x}, y, z) := \frac{f_i(\underline{x}, z) - f_i(\underline{x}, y)}{z - y}$, for each $i = n, \dots, 2n$ (see [10]). Moreover, if f is quasihomogeneous of type $(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n, d_n, \dots, d_{2n})$, where $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_{n-1}, \omega_n$ are the weights of the variables x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, y and d_n, \dots, d_{2n} are the weighted degrees of the components functions f_n, \dots, f_{2n} of f , respectively, then

$$d(f) = \frac{\prod_{i=n}^{2n} (d_i - \omega_n)}{2\omega_1 \dots \omega_{n-1} \omega_n^2}. \quad (9)$$

The previous formula was obtained by applying Bezout's generalized formula to φ with ω_n the weight of the variable z (see [10]).

Proposition 5.3. *Let $\phi_2(y) = (y^{m_1}, y^{m_2})$ with $1 < m_1 < m_2$, $\gcd(m_1, m_2) = 1$ and for each $i = 1, 2$ the map $h_i(\underline{x}, y) = y^{m_i} + \sum_{j>m_i} a_{ij}(\underline{x})y^j$ satisfies $a_{ij}(\underline{x}) \in \mathcal{M}_{n-1}$.*

1. *If $f(\underline{x}, y) = (\underline{x}, \underline{x}y, h_1(\underline{x}, y), h_2(\underline{x}, y))$, then $d(f) = \frac{(m_1-1)(m_2-1)}{2} = \delta_{\phi_2}$.*
2. *If $f(\underline{x}, y) = (\underline{x}, y^{m_1}, y^{m_2}, x_1^{\lambda_1}y, \dots, x_{n-1}^{\lambda_{n-1}}y)$, then $d(f) = \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \lambda_i\right) \delta_{\phi_2}$, for $\lambda_i \geq 1$.*

PROOF: By definition of $d(f)$ in (8), $\varphi_i(\underline{x}, y, z) = x_{i-n+1}$ for $i = n, \dots, 2n - 2$, and denoting $P_k(z, y) = \frac{z^{k+1} - y^{k+1}}{z - y}$ we have

$$\varphi_{2n+i-2}(\underline{x}, y, z) = P_{m_i-1}(z, y) + \sum_{j>m_i} a_{ij}(\underline{x})P_{j-1}(z, y)$$

for $i = 1, 2$.

We will prove that if $r, s \in \mathbb{N}$ with $\gcd(r, s) = 1$ then the ideal $\langle P_{r-1}(z, y), P_{s-1}(z, y) \rangle$ in $\mathcal{O}_{z,y}$ has finite codimension. In fact $(z - y)P_{r-1}(z, y) = z^r - y^r = \prod_{i=0}^{r-1} (z - \omega^i y)$ and $(z - y)P_{s-1}(z, y) = z^s - y^s = \prod_{i=0}^{s-1} (z - v^i y)$, with ω (resp. v) r -th (resp. s -th) primitive root of unity. Notice that η is a d -th root of unity, with $d = \gcd(r, s)$ if and only if η is a r -th and s -th root of unity, that is, the polynomials $z^r - y^r$ and $z^s - y^s$ have d common roots. In this way by hypothesis $(z - y)P_{r-1}(z, y) = (z - y)f_1$ and $(z - y)P_{s-1}(z, y) = (z - y)f_2$, where f_1 and f_2 don't have common roots. Therefore P_{r-1} and P_{s-1} have no common components which implies that the codimension of the ideal generated by them is finite.

Since

$$d(f) = \frac{1}{2} \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\mathcal{O}_{\underline{x}, y, z}}{\langle \underline{x}, \varphi_{2n-1}, \varphi_{2n} \rangle} = \frac{1}{2} \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\mathcal{O}_{y, z}}{\langle P_{m_1-1}(z, y), P_{m_2-1}(z, y) \rangle}$$

in which $\gcd(m_1, m_2) = 1$, we have that the polynomials $P_{m_i-1}, i = 1, 2$ have no common factor, then $d(f)$ is finite and by Bézout's Theorem we have the required formula, remembering that the delta invariant of ϕ_2 is $\delta_{\phi_2} = \frac{(m_1-1)(m_2-1)}{2}$.

For the item 2, the formula for $d(f)$ can be easily obtained by (9). ■

5.1 \mathcal{A} -invariants under projections

For the study of invariants of a map f under some projection of it, we only assume $f \in \mathcal{M}_n\mathcal{O}(n, p)$ in which $p \geq n$ and 0 is an isolated singularity of f .

Let $k \in \{1, \dots, p-1\}$ be fixed and $\pi_k : \mathbb{C}^p \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^k$ be a canonical projection, and $g_k = \pi_k \circ f$ such that $f \underset{\mathcal{A}}{\sim} (g_k, h)$, where $h : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^{p-k}, 0)$. Notice that $\theta(f) \simeq \theta(g_k) \oplus \theta(h)$. It is well known that $\omega \in T\mathcal{A}_e(f)$ if and only if there exist $\epsilon \in \theta(n)$ and $\eta \in \theta(p)$ such that $\omega = df(\epsilon) + \eta(f)$.

We consider the subspaces

$$T_k = \{\omega_k \in \theta(g_k); \omega_k = dg_k(\epsilon) + (\pi_k \circ \eta)(f), \epsilon \in \theta(n), \eta \in \theta(p)\} \text{ and}$$

$$T = \{\omega_h \in \theta(h); (0, \omega_h) \in T\mathcal{A}_e(f)\}$$

and their codimensions are respectively defined as $\text{cod } T_k = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\theta(g_k)}{T_k}$ and $\text{cod } T = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\theta(h)}{T}$.

Proposition 5.4. *Let $f : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^p, 0)$ with $p \geq n$, such that $f \underset{\mathcal{A}}{\sim} (g_k, h)$ as above, for some $k = 1, \dots, p-1$. If $\text{cod } T_k$ and $\text{cod } T$ are finite, then f is \mathcal{A} -finitely determined and*

$$\mathcal{A}_e \text{cod}(f) = \text{cod } T_k + \text{cod } T.$$

PROOF: The proof is similar to the Proposition 2.1 in [11] for divergents diagrams. If $\omega \in \theta(f)$, we denote by $\overline{\omega}$ the class of this element in the quotient $\theta(f)/T\mathcal{A}_e(f)$, similarly (with an abuse of notation) for $\theta(g_k)$ and $\theta(h)$. The result follows considering the following exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow \frac{\theta(h)}{T} \xrightarrow{i^*} \frac{\theta(f)}{T\mathcal{A}_e(f)} \xrightarrow{\pi^*} \frac{\theta(g_k)}{T_k} \longrightarrow 0,$$

where if $\overline{\omega_h} \in \theta(h)/T$ then $i^*(\overline{\omega_h}) = \overline{(0, \omega_h)}$ and given $\omega = (\omega_1, \dots, \omega_p) \in \theta(f)$ the projection $\pi^*(\overline{\omega}) = \overline{(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_k)}$. ■

In a natural way, we can extend the above result for multigerms.

For the germ $h : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^{p-k}, 0)$ we define the map $\overline{wh} : \theta(p) \rightarrow \theta(h)$ by $\overline{wh}(\eta) = (\eta_{k+1} \circ f, \dots, \eta_p \circ f)$, where $\eta := (\eta_1, \dots, \eta_p) \in \theta(p)$. Given $\omega_h \in T$ we have $(0, \omega_h) \in T\mathcal{A}_e(f)$, which implies that there exist $\epsilon \in \theta(n)$ and $\eta \in \theta(p)$ such that $dg_k(\epsilon) + (\pi_k \circ \eta)(f) = 0$ and $dh(\epsilon) + \overline{wh}(\eta) = \omega_h$. Let

$$\rho : \frac{\theta(h)}{\overline{wh}(\theta(p))} \longrightarrow \frac{\theta(h)}{T} \tag{10}$$

such that ρ of the class of $\omega_h \in \theta(h)$ in $\theta(h)/\overline{wh}(\theta(p))$ is the class of this element in $\theta(h)/T$.

In the next result we present a relation among $\mathcal{A}_e \text{cod}(f)$ and invariants of some projection g_k of f , that can be interesting if you have some information about g_k .

Theorem 5.5. *Consider $f : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^p, 0)$ with $p \geq 2n$ and $f \underset{\mathcal{A}}{\sim} (g_k, h)$. If g_k is \mathcal{A} -finitely determined and δ_f is finite, then*

$$(i) \mathcal{A}_e \text{cod}(f) \leq \mathcal{A}_e \text{cod}(g_k) + (p-k)\delta_f.$$

(ii) If g_k is stable, then $\mathcal{A}_e \text{cod}(f) = \text{cod } T = (p-k)\delta_f - \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \text{Ker}(\rho)$.

PROOF: (i) Notice that $\theta(h)/T$ is isomorphic to a submodule of $\bigoplus_{j=1}^{p-k} \mathcal{O}_n/f^*(\mathcal{O}_p)$ and therefore $\text{cod } T \leq (p-k)\delta_f < \infty$. On the other hand, the extended tangent space to g_k is

$$T\mathcal{A}_e(g_k) = \{\omega_k \in \theta(g_k); \omega_k = dg_k(\epsilon) + \eta(g_k), \epsilon \in \theta(n), \eta \in \theta(k)\},$$

which implies $\text{cod } T_k \leq \mathcal{A}_e \text{cod}(g_k)$. By Proposition 5.4, $\mathcal{A}_e \text{cod}(f) \leq \mathcal{A}_e \text{cod}(g_k) + (p-k)\delta_f$.

(ii) If g_k is stable, then $\mathcal{A}_e \text{cod}(g_k) = 0$ and consequently $\text{cod } T_k = 0$. Thus $\mathcal{A}_e \text{cod}(f) = \text{cod } T$. If ρ is as defined in (10), it follows by exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Ker}(\rho) \longrightarrow \frac{\theta(h)}{\overline{\omega}h(\theta(p))} \xrightarrow{\rho} \frac{\theta(h)}{T} \longrightarrow 0$$

that $\text{cod } T = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\theta(h)}{\overline{\omega}h(\theta(p))} - \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \text{Ker}(\rho)$. But $\frac{\theta(h)}{\overline{\omega}h(\theta(p))}$ is isomorphic to $\bigoplus_{j=1}^{p-k} \frac{\mathcal{O}_n}{f^*(\mathcal{O}_p)}$. \blacksquare

It is well known that the invariants δ_f and $d(f)$ coincide for parameterized plane curves, but this does not hold in general. In [15, Proposition 2.9] we proved that parameterized surfaces $f(x, y) = (x, x^\lambda y, y^{m_1}, y^{m_2})$ satisfy $d(f) \leq \delta_f$ with equality if and only if $m_1 = 2$.

We prove in the next proposition that these two invariants coincide for any $f \in \mathcal{O}(n, 2n)$ which has a stable projection equivalent to a fold. Moreover we obtain an estimate for $\text{Ker}(\rho)$ in Theorem 5.5 and consequently for the \mathcal{A}_e -codimension. For what follows, we denote the ring \mathcal{O}_n by $\mathcal{O}_{\underline{x}, y}$.

Proposition 5.6. *Let $f : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^p, 0)$ be an \mathcal{A} -finite map-germ for $p \geq 2n$. We suppose $f \underset{\mathcal{A}}{\sim} (g_n, h)$ such that $g_n(\underline{x}, y) = (\underline{x}, y^2)$. Thus*

1. if $p = 2n$, then $d(f) = \delta_f$;
2. if $p \geq 2n$, then $(p - 2n + 1)\delta_f \leq \mathcal{A}_e \text{cod}(f) \leq (p - n)\delta_f - 1$.

PROOF: We can consider change of coordinates such that $f \underset{\mathcal{A}}{\sim} (\underline{x}, y^2, yu_{n+1}(\underline{x}, y^2), \dots, yu_p(\underline{x}, y^2))$ for some $u_{n+1}, \dots, u_p \in \mathbb{C}\{\underline{x}, y\}$, as in [12].

If $p = 2n$, then by (8) we have that $\varphi_n(\underline{x}, y, z) = z + y$ and $\varphi_j(\underline{x}, y, z) = \frac{zu_j(\underline{x}, z^2) - yu_j(\underline{x}, y^2)}{z - y}$ for all $j = n + 1, \dots, 2n$. Identifying $z = -y$ we have $\varphi_j(\underline{x}, y, z) = u_j(\underline{x}, y^2)$. However $\mathcal{O}_{\underline{x}, y} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\underline{x}, y^2} \oplus y\mathcal{O}_{\underline{x}, y^2}$ thus

$$\langle u_{n+1}, \dots, u_{2n} \rangle_{\mathcal{O}_{\underline{x}, y}} = \langle u_{n+1}, \dots, u_{2n} \rangle_{\mathcal{O}_{\underline{x}, y^2}} \oplus \langle u_{n+1}, \dots, u_{2n} \rangle y\mathcal{O}_{\underline{x}, y^2}.$$

$$\text{Hence, } d(f) = \frac{1}{2} \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\mathcal{O}_{\underline{x}, y}}{\langle u_{n+1}, \dots, u_{2n} \rangle_{\mathcal{O}_{\underline{x}, y}}} = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\mathcal{O}_{\underline{x}, y^2}}{\langle u_{n+1}, \dots, u_{2n} \rangle_{\mathcal{O}_{\underline{x}, y^2}}}.$$

On the other hand, we can identify $f^*(\mathcal{O}_{2n})$ with $\mathcal{O}_{\underline{x}, y^2} \oplus \langle u_{n+1}, \dots, u_{2n} \rangle y\mathcal{O}_{\underline{x}, y^2}$ which implies

$$\delta_f = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\mathcal{O}_{\underline{x}, y^2} \oplus y\mathcal{O}_{\underline{x}, y^2}}{\mathcal{O}_{\underline{x}, y^2} \oplus \langle u_{n+1}, \dots, u_{2n} \rangle y\mathcal{O}_{\underline{x}, y^2}} = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\mathcal{O}_{\underline{x}, y^2}}{\langle u_{n+1}, \dots, u_{2n} \rangle_{\mathcal{O}_{\underline{x}, y^2}}} = d(f).$$

In order to prove the item 2, notice that it follows from Theorem 5.5 that $\mathcal{A}_e \text{cod}(f) = \text{cod } T$, since g_n is a stable map. But $\omega = (\omega_{n+1}, \dots, \omega_p) \in T$ if and only if $(0, \omega) \in T\mathcal{A}_e(f)$, that is, there

exist $\epsilon = (\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_n) \in \theta(n)$ and $\eta = (\eta_1, \dots, \eta_p) \in \theta(p)$ such that $\epsilon_i = -\eta_i(f)$ for $i = 1, \dots, n-1$ and $2y\epsilon_n = -\eta_n(f)$ which implies that for each j with $n+1 \leq j \leq p$,

$$\omega_j = - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left(y \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial x_i}(\underline{x}, y^2) \eta_i(f) \right) + \left(u_j(\underline{x}, y^2) + 2y^2 \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial y}(\underline{x}, y^2) \right) \epsilon_n(\underline{x}, y) + \eta_j(f)$$

satisfies $\gamma_j := u_j(\underline{x}, y^2)\epsilon_n(\underline{x}, y) - y \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial y}(\underline{x}, y^2)\eta_n(f) + \eta_j(f) \in f^*(\mathcal{O}_p)$. In fact, $y \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial y}(\underline{x}, y^2)$ is even in y and by isomorphism $\mathcal{O}_{\underline{x}, y} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\underline{x}, y^2} \oplus y\mathcal{O}_{\underline{x}, y^2}$ we can write $\epsilon_n(\underline{x}, y) = \epsilon_{n_1}(\underline{x}, y^2) + y\epsilon_{n_2}(\underline{x}, y^2)$. Thus

$$u_j(\underline{x}, y^2)\epsilon_n(\underline{x}, y) = u_j(\underline{x}, y^2)\epsilon_{n_1}(\underline{x}, y^2) + yu_j(\underline{x}, y^2)\epsilon_{n_2}(\underline{x}, y^2) \in f^*(\mathcal{O}_p)$$

because $u_j, \epsilon_{n_1}, \epsilon_{n_2}$ are even in y and $yu_j(\underline{x}, y^2) \in f^*(\mathcal{O}_p)$. It follows from (10) and the proof of Theorem 5.5 that $\omega \in \frac{T}{\overline{wh}(\theta(p))}$ if and only if $\omega \in M$, where

$$M := \frac{f^*(\mathcal{O}_p)\{v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}\} + \overline{wh}(\theta(p))}{\overline{wh}(\theta(p))}$$

is a $f^*(\mathcal{O}_p)$ -module finitely generated by

$$v_i = \begin{pmatrix} y \frac{\partial u_{n+1}}{\partial x_i} \\ \vdots \\ y \frac{\partial u_p}{\partial x_i} \end{pmatrix},$$

with $i = 1, \dots, n-1$. By abuse of notation we denote v_i its class in $\overline{wh}(\theta(p))$.

Notice that v_1, \dots, v_{n-1} are not all identically zero in M . In fact, since $p \geq 2n$ and f is \mathcal{A} (and therefore \mathcal{L}) finitely determined, for each $i = 1, \dots, n-1$ there exists $k_i \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $x_i^{\gamma_i} y \in f^*(\mathcal{O}_p)$ for all $\gamma_i \geq k_i$. This implies that writing $u_{n+i}(\underline{x}, y^2) = \phi_{n+i}(\underline{x}) + y^2 R_{n+i}(\underline{x}, y)$ there are at least $n-1$ maps ϕ_j 's not identically zero, that we can suppose they are $\phi_{n+1}, \dots, \phi_{2n-1}$. For each $i = 1, \dots, n-1$ there exists l_i such that $\phi_{l_i}(\underline{x}) = a_{l_i} x_i^{l_i} + \dots$ with $\text{ord}_{x_i} \phi_{l_i}(\underline{x}) \leq \text{ord}_{x_i} \phi_j(\underline{x})$ for all j with $l_i, j \in \{n+1, \dots, 2n-1\}$. Thus

$$\text{ord}_{x_i} \frac{y \partial u_{l_i}(\underline{x}, y^2)}{\partial x_i} < l_i$$

and, therefore, the l_i -coordinate of v_i not belongs to $f^*(\mathcal{O}_p)$, by minimality of the order of ϕ_{l_i} with respect to x_i . Consequently $v_i \notin \overline{wh}(\theta(p))$ and we conclude that $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \text{Ker}(\rho) \geq 1$.

If v_1, \dots, v_{n-1} are non zero in M , this means that each non-zero coordinate of v_i is an element of $\frac{\mathcal{O}_n}{f^*(\mathcal{O}_p)} \simeq \mathbb{C}\{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{\delta_f}\}$. Since f is \mathcal{L} -finite, for each $i = 1, \dots, n-1$ there exist (finite) $m_{i1}, \dots, m_{ir_i} \in f^*(\mathcal{O}_p)$ such that $m_{ij}v_i \notin \overline{wh}(\theta(p))$, with $1 \leq j \leq r_i$. But, in each non zero component of $v_i, m_{i1}v_i, \dots, m_{ir_i}v_i$ we have at most δ_f linearly independent elements in $\frac{\mathcal{O}_n}{f^*(\mathcal{O}_p)}$. According to these arguments we have $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} M \leq (n-1)\delta_f$. Therefore $1 \leq \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \text{Ker}(\rho) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{T}{\overline{wh}(\theta(p))} \leq (n-1)\delta_f$. The result follows from Theorem 5.5. \blacksquare

Corollary 5.7. *If v_1, \dots, v_{n-1} (as defined in the above proposition) are $f^*(\mathcal{O}_p)$ -linearly independent, then $\mathcal{A}_e \text{cod}(f) \leq (p-n)\delta_f - n + 1$.*

PROOF: If $v_1, \dots, v_{n-1} \in M$ are $f^*(\mathcal{O}_p)$ -linearly independent we have $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \text{Ker}(\rho) \geq n-1$. \blacksquare

Example 5.8. In this example we present \mathcal{A} -simple map-germs from the classification obtained in [10]. Notice that the estimates for the \mathcal{A}_e -codimension in Proposition 5.6 are sharp. In fact, the germs $f(x, y) = (x, xy, y^2, y^{2k+1})$ and $g(x, y) = (x, y^2, y^3, x^k y)$ satisfy $\delta_f = k = \delta_g$. Thus $\mathcal{A}_e \text{cod}(f) = k = \delta_f$ and $\mathcal{A}_e \text{cod}(g) = 2k - 1 = 2\delta_g - 1$.

Now, the map $f(x_1, x_2, y) = (x_1, x_2, y^2, x_1 x_2 y, (x_1^2 - x_2^2)y, y^3)$ illustrates the computation of $\text{Ker}(\rho)$. It is possible to prove that $\frac{\mathcal{O}_3}{f^*(\mathcal{O}_6)} \simeq \mathbb{C}\{y, x_1 y, x_2 y, x_1^2 y\}$, thus $\delta_f = 4$. In this case,

$$\text{Ker}(\rho) \simeq \frac{\mathbb{C}\{v_1, v_2, x_1 v_1, x_1 v_2\} + \overline{\omega}h(\theta(6))}{\overline{\omega}h(\theta(6))}$$

is a $f^*(\mathcal{O}_6)$ -module generated by $\{v_1, v_2\}$, where

$$v_1 = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 y \\ -2x_2 y \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad v_2 = \begin{pmatrix} x_2 y \\ 2x_1 y \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

By Theorem 5.5, we conclude that $\mathcal{A}_e \text{cod}(f) = 3\delta_f - \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \text{Ker}(\rho) = 12 - 4 = 8 < 3\delta_f - n + 1$.

References

- [1] Benedini Riul, P., Oset Sinha, R. and Ruas, M. A. S., *The geometry of corank 1 surfaces in \mathbb{R}^4* . Quart. J. Math. **70** (2019), 767–795.
- [2] Birbrair, L., Mendes, R. and Nuño-Ballesteros, J. J., *Metrically un-knotted corank 1 singularities of surfaces in \mathbb{R}^4* . J. Geom. Analysis **28** (2018), 3708–3717.
- [3] Cooper, T., Mond, D. and Wik Atique, R., *Vanishing topology of codimension 1 multi-germs over \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C}* . Comp. Math. **131** (2002), 121–160.
- [4] Eisenbud, D. and Sturmfels, B., *Binomial ideals*. Duke Math. J. **84** (1996), no. 1, 1–45.
- [5] Gaffney, T., \mathcal{L}^0 -equivalence of maps. Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. **128** (2000), 479–496.
- [6] Golubitsky, M. and Guillemin, V., *Stable Mappings and their Singularities*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics 14, NY. Springer-Verlag (1973).
- [7] Greuel, G.M., *Equisingular and equinormalizable deformations of isolated non-normal singularities*. Methods Appl. Anal. **24** (2017), no. 2, 215–276.
- [8] Hefez, A., *Irreducible Plane Curve Singularities*. Real and Complex Singularities. Eds D. Mond and M. J. Saia. Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math. 232, NY. Marcel Dekker (2003).
- [9] Ishikawa, G. and Janeczko, S., *Symplectic invariants of parametric singularities*. Advances Geom. Analysis, ALM, **21** (2011), 259–280.
- [10] Klotz, C., Pop, O. and Rieger, J. H., *Real double-points of deformations of \mathcal{A} -simple map-germs from \mathbb{R}^n to \mathbb{R}^{2n}* . Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. **142** (2007), 341–363.
- [11] Mancini, S., Ruas, M. A. S. and Teixeira, M. A., *On divergent diagrams of finite codimension*. Portugaliae Math. **59** (2002), no. 2, 179–194.

- [12] Mond, D., *On the classification of germs of maps from \mathbb{R}^2 to \mathbb{R}^3* . Proc. London Math. Soc., **50** (1985), no. 3, 333–369.
- [13] Oset Sinha, R., Ruas, M. A. S. and Wik Atique, R., *Classifying codimension two multigerms*. Math. Z. **278** (2014), 547–573.
- [14] Peñafort-Sanchis, G., *Reflection maps*. Math. Annalen **378** (2020), 559–598.
- [15] Rodrigues Hernandez, M. E. and Ruas, M. A. S., *Parametrized monomial surfaces in 4-space*. Quart. J. Math. **70** (2019), 473–485.
- [16] Wall, C. T. C., *Finite determinacy of smooth map-germs*. Bull. London Math. Soc. **13** (1981), no. 6, 481–539.
- [17] Whitney, H., *On singularities of mappings of Euclidean spaces. I. Mappings of the plane into the plane*. Annals Math. **62** (1955), no. 3, 374–410.

Rodrigues Hernandez, M. E.

merhernandes@uem.br

Universidade Estadual de Maringá, DMA, Av. Colombo 5790. Maringá-PR 87020-900, Brazil.

Ruas, M. A. S.

maasruas@icmc.usp.br

Universidade de São Paulo, ICMC, Caixa Postal 668, São Carlos-SP, 13560-970, Brazil.