Doubled patterns with reversal are 3-avoidable

Pascal Ochem

LIRMM, CNRS, Université de Montpellier Montpellier, France ochem@lirmm.fr

January 7, 2022

Abstract

In combinatorics on words, a word w over an alphabet Σ is said to avoid a pattern p over an alphabet Δ if there is no factor f of wsuch that f = h(p) where $h : \Delta^* \to \Sigma^*$ is a non-erasing morphism. A pattern p is said to be k-avoidable if there exists an infinite word over a k-letter alphabet that avoids p. A pattern is *doubled* if every variable occurs at least twice. Doubled patterns are known to be 3-avoidable. Currie, Mol, and Rampersad have considered a generalized notion which allows variable occurrences to be reversed. That is, $h(V^R)$ is the mirror image of h(V) for every $V \in \Delta$. We show that doubled patterns with reversal are 3-avoidable. We also show that for every doubled pattern p, the growth rate of ternary words avoiding p is at least the growth rate of ternary square-free words. A previous version of this paper containing only the first result has been presented at WORDS 2021.

1 Introduction

The mirror image of the word $w = w_1 w_2 \dots w_n$ is the word $w^R = w_n w_{n-1} \dots w_1$. A pattern with reversal p is a non-empty word over an alphabet $\Delta = \{A, A^R, B, B^R, C, C^R \dots\}$ such that $\{A, B, C, \dots\}$ are the variables of p. An occurrence of p in a word w is a non-erasing morphism $h : \Delta^* \to \Sigma^*$ satisfying $h(X^R) = (h(X))^R$ for every variable X and such that h(p) is a factor of w. The avoidability index $\lambda(p)$ of a pattern with reversal p is the size of the smallest alphabet Σ such that there exists an infinite word w over Σ containing no occurrence of p. A pattern p such that $\lambda(p) \leq k$ is said to be k-avoidable. To emphasive that a pattern is without reversal (i.e., it contains no X^R), it is said to be *classical*. A pattern is *doubled* if every variable occurs at least twice.

Our aim is to strengthen the following result.

Theorem 1. [1, 6, 7] Every doubled pattern is 3-avoidable.

First, we extend it to patterns with reversal.

Theorem 2. Every doubled pattern with reversal is 3-avoidable.

Then we obtain a lower bound on the number of ternary words avoiding a doubled pattern. The factor complexity of a factorial language L over Σ is $f(n) = |L \cap \Sigma^n|$. The growth rate of L over Σ is $\lim_{n\to\infty} f(n)^{\frac{1}{n}}$. We denote by $GR_3(p)$ the growth rate of ternary words avoiding the doubled pattern p.

Theorem 3. For every doubled pattern p, $GR_3(p) \ge GR_3(AA)$.

Let v(p) be the number of distinct variables of the pattern p. In the proof of Theorem 1, the set of doubled patterns is partitioned as follows:

- 1. Patterns with $v(p) \leq 3$: the avoidability index of every ternary pattern has been determined [6].
- 2. Patterns shown to be 3-avoidable with the so-called power series method:
 - Patterns with $v(p) \ge 6$ [1]
 - Patterns with v(p) = 5 and prefix ABC or length at least 11 [7]
 - Patterns with v(p) = 4 and prefix ABCD or length at least 9 [7]
- 3. Ten sporadic patterns with $4 \leq v(p) \leq 5$ whose 3-avoidability cannot be deduced from the previous results: they have been shown to be 2-avoidable [7] using the method in [6].

The proof of Theorems 2 and 3 use the same partition. Sections 3 to 5 are each is devoted to one type of doubled pattern with reversal. Theorem 3 is proved in Section 6

2 Preliminaries

A word w is d-directed if for every factor f of w of length d, the word f^R is not a factor of w.

Remark 4. If a *d*-directed word contains an occurrence h of $X.X^R$ for some variable X, then $|h(X)| \leq d-1$.

A variable that appears only once in a pattern is said to be *isolated*. The formula f associated to a pattern p is obtained by replacing every isolated variable in p by a dot. The factors between the dots are called fragments. An occurrence of a formula f in a word w is a non-erasing morphism h such that the h-image of every fragment of f is a factor of w. As for patterns, the avoidability index $\lambda(f)$ of a formula f is the size of the smallest alphabet allowing the existence of an infinite word containing no occurrence of f. Recently, the avoidability of formulas with reversal has been considered by Currie, Mol, and Rampersad [3, 4] and me [8].

Recall that a formula is *nice* if every variable occurs at least twice in the same fragment. In particular, a doubled pattern is a nice formula with exactly one fragment.

The avoidability exponent AE(f) of a formula f is the largest real x such that every x-free word avoids f. Every nice formula f with $v(f) \ge 3$ variables is such that $AE(f) \ge 1 + \frac{1}{2v(f)-3}$ [11].

Let \simeq be the equivalence relation on words defined by $w \simeq w'$ if $w' \in \{w, w^R\}$. Avoiding a pattern up to \simeq has been investigated for every binary formulas [2]. Remark that for a given classical pattern or formula p, avoiding p up to \simeq implies avoiding simultaneously all the variants of p with reversal.

Recall that a word is (β^+, n) -free if it contains no repetition with exponent strictly greater than β and period at least n.

3 Formulas with at most 3 variables

For classical doubled patterns with at most 3 variables, all the avoidability indices are known. There are many such patterns, so it would be tedious to consider all their variants with reversal.

However, we are only interested in their 3-avoidability, which follows from the 3-avoidability of nice formulas with at most 3 variables [10].

Thus, to obtain the 3-avoidability of doubled patterns with reversal with at most 3 variables, we show that every minimally nice formula with at most 3 variables is 3-avoidable up to \simeq .

The minimally nice formulas with at most 3 variables, up to symmetries, are determined in [10] and listed in the following table. Every such formula f is avoided by the image by a q-uniform morphism of either any infinite $\left(\frac{5}{4}^{+}\right)$ -free word w_5 over Σ_5 or any infinite $\left(\frac{7}{5}^{+}\right)$ -free word w_4 over Σ_4 , depending on whether the avoidability exponent of f is smaller than $\frac{7}{5}$.

Formula f	$=f^{R}$	AE(f)	Word	q	d	freeness
ABA.BAB	yes	1.5	$g_a(w_4)$	9	9	$\left(\frac{131}{90}^+, 28\right)$
ABCA.BCAB.CABC	yes	1.3333333333	$g_b(w_5)$	6	8	$\left(\frac{4}{3}^{+}, 25\right)$
ABCBA.CBABC	yes	1.3333333333	$g_c(w_5)$	4	9	$\left(\frac{30}{23}^{+}, 18\right)$
ABCA.BCAB.CBC	no	1.381966011	$g_d(w_5)$	9	4	$\left(\frac{62}{45}^+, 37\right)$
ABA.BCB.CAC	yes	1.5	$g_e(w_4)^1$	9	4	$\left(\frac{67}{45}^+, 37\right)$
ABCA.BCAB.CBAC	yes^2	1.3333333333	$g_f(w_5)$	6	6	$\left(\frac{31}{24}^+, 31\right)$
ABCA.BAB.CAC	yes	1.414213562	$g_g(w_4)$	6	8	$\left(\frac{89}{63}^{+}, 61\right)$
ABCA.BAB.CBC	no	1.430159709	$g_h(w_4)$	6	7	$\left(\frac{17}{12}^+, 61\right)$
ABCA.BAB.CBAC	no	1.381966011	$g_i(w_5)$	8	7	$\left(\frac{127}{96}^+, 41\right)$
ABCBA.CABC	no	1.361103081	$g_j(w_5)$	6	8	$\left(\frac{4}{3}^{+}, 25\right)$
ABCBA.CAC	yes	1.396608253	$g_k(w_5)$	6	13	$\left(\frac{4}{3}^+, 25\right)$

In the table above, the columns indicate respectively, the considered minimally nice formula f, whether is equivalent to its reversed formula, the avoidability exponent of f, the infinite ternary word avoiding f, the value qsuch that the corresponding morphism is q-uniform, the value such that the avoiding word is d-directed, the suitable property of (β^+, n) -freeness used in the proof that f is avoided. We list below the corresponding morphisms.

¹The formula *ABA.BCB.CAC* seems also avoided up to \simeq by the Hall-Thue word, i.e., the fixed point of $0 \rightarrow 012$; $1 \rightarrow 02$; $2 \rightarrow 1$.

²We mistakenly said in [10] that ABCA.BCAB.CBAC is different from its reverse.

	a	g_b		g_c	g_d	a
g_a 002112201		021221		2011	020112122	g_e
		021121		1200	020101112	001220122
	001221122	020001		1120	020001222	001220112
	001220112	011102		0222	010121222	001120122
	001122012	011102		0012	000111222	001120112
		010222		0012	000111222	
	~			~		~
	g_f	g_g	g_h	g_i	g_j	g_k
	012220	021210	011120	01222112	2 021121	022110
	012111			01112022	2 012222	021111
	012012	011220	002211	01100022	2 011220	012222
	011222	002111	002121	01012220	011112	012021
010002		001222	001222	01012120	000102	011220

As an example, we show that ABCBA.CAC is avoided by $g_k(w_5)$. First, we check that $g_k(w_5)$ is $\left(\frac{4}{3}^+, 25\right)$ -free using the main lemma in [6], that is, we check the $\left(\frac{4}{3}^+, 25\right)$ -freeness of the g_k -image of every $\left(\frac{5}{4}^+\right)$ -free word of length at most $\frac{2\times\frac{4}{3}}{\frac{4}{3}-\frac{5}{4}} = 32$. Then we check that $g_k(w_5)$ is 13-directed by inspecting the factors of $g_k(w_5)$ of length 13. For contradiction, suppose that $g_k(w_5)$ contains an occurrence h of ABCBA.CAC up to \simeq . Let us write a = |h(A)|, b = |h(B)|, c = |h(C)|.

Suppose that $a \ge 25$. Since $g_k(w_5)$ is 13-directed, all occurrences of h(A) are identical. Then h(ABCBA) is a repetition with period $|h(ABCB)| \ge 25$. So the $\left(\frac{4}{3}^+, 25\right)$ -freeness implies the bound $\frac{2a+2b+c}{a+2b+c} \le \frac{4}{3}$, that is, $a \le b + \frac{1}{2}c$. In every case, we have

$$a \leqslant \max\left\{b + \frac{1}{2}c, 24\right\}.$$

Similarly, the factors h(BCB) and h(CAC) imply

$$b \leqslant \max\left\{\frac{1}{2}c, 24\right\}$$

and

$$c \leqslant \max\left\{\frac{1}{2}a, 24\right\}.$$

Solving these inequalities gives $a \leq 36$, $b \leq 24$, and $c \leq 24$. Now we can check exhaustively that $g_k(w_5)$ contains no occurrence up to \simeq satisfying these bounds.

Except for ABCBA.CBABC, the avoidability index of the nice formulas in the above table is 3. So the results in this section extend their 3avoidability up to \simeq .

4 The power series method

The so-called power series method has been used [1, 7] to prove the 3avoidability of many classical doubled patterns with at least 4 variables and every doubled pattern with at least 6 variables, as mentioned in the introduction.

Let p be such a classical doubled pattern and let p' be a doubled pattern with reversal obtained by adding some $-^R$ to p. Witout loss of generality, the leftmost appearance of every variable X of p remains free of $-^R$ in p'. Then we will see that p' is also 3-avoidable. The power series method is a counting argument that relies on the following observation. If the *h*-image of the leftmost appearance of the variable X of p is fixed, say $h(X) = w_X$, then there is exactly one possibility for the *h*-image of the other appearances of X, namely $h(X) = w_X$. This observation can be extended to p', since there is also exactly one possibility for $h(X^R)$, namely $h(X^R) = w_X^R$.

Notice that this straightforward generalization of the power series method from classical doubled patterns to doubled patterns with reversal cannot be extended to avoiding a doubled pattern up to \simeq . Indeed, if $h(X) = w_X$ for the leftmost appearance of the variable X and w_X is not a palindrome, then there exist two possibilities for the other appearances of X, namely w_X and w_X^R .

5 Sporadic patterns

Up to symmetries, there are ten doubled patterns whose 3-avoidability cannot be deduced by the previous results. They have been identified in [7] and are listed in the following table.

Doubled pattern	Avoidability exponent
ABACBDCD	1.381966011
ABACDBDC	1.333333333
ABACDCBD	1.340090632
ABCADBDC	1.292893219
ABCADCBD	1.295597743
ABCADCDB	1.327621756
ABCBDADC	1.302775638
ABACBDCEDE	1.366025404
ABACDBCEDE	1.302775638
ABACDBDECE	1.320416579

Let w_5 be any infinite $\left(\frac{5}{4}^+\right)$ -free word over Σ_5 and let h be the following 9-uniform morphism.

h(0) = 020022221 h(1) = 011111221 h(2) = 010202110 h(3) = 010022112h(4) = 000022121

First, we check that $h(w_5)$ is 7-directed and $\left(\frac{139}{108}^+, 46\right)$ -free. Then, using the same method as in Section 3, we show that $h(w_5)$ avoids up to \simeq these ten sporadic patterns simultaneously.

6 Growth rate of ternary words avoiding a doubled pattern

Theorem 1 obviously holds for p = AA. Without loss of generality, we do not need to consider a doubled pattern p that contains an occurrence of another doubled pattern. In particular, p is square-free. So we need to show that $GR_3(p)$ is at least $GR_3(AA)$, which is close to 1.30176 [12].

If p is 2-avoidable, then p is avoided by sufficiently many ternary words. By Lemma 4.1 in [6], $\lambda(p) = 2$ implies that $GR_3(p) \ge 2^{\frac{1}{2}} > GR_3(AA)$.

Moreover, for every doubled pattern p whose 3-avoidability has been obtained via the power series method, we even get $GR_3(p) > 2 > GR_3(AA)$.

According to the partition of the set of doubled patterns mentioned in the introduction, the two remarks above handle the case $v(p) \ge 4$. To handle the case $v(p) \le 3$, we explore (by manual backtracking) the space of square-free doubled patterns, using the 2-avoidability of *ABACBC* [6], *ABCACB* [6], and *ABCBABC* [5].

- [-]	
A	is unavoidable
AB	is unavoidable
ABA	is unavoidable
ABAC	is unavoidable
ABACA	is unavoidable
ABACAB	is unavoidable
ABACABA	is unavoidable
ABACABC	is 2-avoidable $(BACABC$ is the reverse of $ABCACB$)
ABACB	is unavoidable
ABACBA	is unavoidable
ABACBAB	is not doubled (it is the formula $ABA.BAB$)
ABACBABC	is 2-avoidable $(ACBABC \text{ is } ABCACB)$
ABACBC	is 2-avoidable
ABC	is unavoidable
ABCA	is unavoidable
ABCAB	is unavoidable
ABCABA	is unavoidable
ABCABAC	is 2-avoidable $(BCABAC \text{ is } ABCACB)$
ABCAC	is unavoidable
ABCACB	is 2-avoidable
ABCB	is unavoidable
ABCBA	is unavoidable
ABCBAB	is unavoidable
ABCBABC	is 2-avoidable
ABCBAC	is 2-avoidable (it is the reverse of $ABCACB$)

7 Conclusion

Unlike classical formulas, we know that there exist avoidable formulas with reversal of arbitrarily high avoidability index [8]. Maybe doubled patterns and nice formulas are easier to avoid. We propose the following open problems.

- Are there infinitely many doubled patterns up to \simeq that are not 2-avoidable?
- Is there a nice formula up to \simeq that is not 3-avoidable?

A first step would be to improve Theorem 2 by generalizing the 3-avoidability of doubled patterns with reversal to doubled patterns up to \simeq . Notice that the results in Sections 3 and 5 already consider avoidability up to \simeq . However, the power series method gives weaker results. Classical doubled patterns with at least 6 variables are 3-avoidable because

$$1 - 3x + \left(\frac{3x^2}{1 - 3x^2}\right)^{\alpha}$$

has a positive real root for $v \ge 6$. The (basic) power series for doubled patterns up to \simeq with v variables would be

$$1 - 3x + \left(\frac{6x^2}{1 - 3x^2} - \frac{3x^2 + 3x^4}{1 - 3x^4}\right)^v.$$

The term $\frac{6x^2}{1-3x^2}$ counts for twice the term $\frac{3x^2}{1-3x^2}$ in the classical setting, for h(V) and $h(V)^R$. The term $\frac{3x^2+3x^4}{1-3x^4}$ corrects for the case of palindromic h(V), which should not be counted twice. This power series has a positive real root only for $v \ge 10$. This leaves many doubled patterns up to \simeq whose 3-avoidability must be proved with morphisms.

Looking at the proof of Theorem 2, we may wonder if a doubled pattern with reversal is always easier to avoid than the corresponding classical pattern. This is not the case: backtracking shows that $\lambda(ABCA^R C^R B) = 3$, whereas $\lambda(ABCACB) = 2$ [6].

The proof of Theorem 3 suggests the following open problem:

• Is every square-free doubled pattern 2-avoidable?

It would imply Theorem 3 and it resembles the conjecture that there exist only finitely many 2-unavoidable doubled patterns [7, 9].

References

 J. Bell, T. L. Goh. Exponential lower bounds for the number of words of uniform length avoiding a pattern. *Inform. and Comput.* 205 (2007), 1295-1306.

- [2] J. Currie and L. Mol. The undirected repetition threshold and undirected pattern avoidance. *Theor. Comput. Sci.* 866 (2021), 56–69.
- [3] J. Currie, L. Mol, and N. Rampersad. A family of formulas with reversal of high avoidability index. *International Journal of Algebra and Computation* 27(5) (2017), 477–493.
- [4] J. Currie, L. Mol, and N. Rampersad. Avoidance bases for formulas with reversal. *Theor. Comput. Sci.* 738 (2018), 25–41.
- [5] L. Ilie, P. Ochem, and J.O. Shallit. A generalization of repetition threshold. *Theoret. Comput. Sci.* 92(2) (2004), 71–76.
- [6] P. Ochem. A generator of morphisms for infinite words. RAIRO: Theoret. Informatics Appl. 40 (2006), 427–441.
- [7] P. Ochem. Doubled patterns are 3-avoidable. *Electron. J. Combina*torics. 23(1) (2016), #P1.19.
- [8] P. Ochem. A family of formulas with reversal of arbitrarily high avoidability index. *Theoret. Comput. Sci.* 896 (2021), 168–170.
- [9] P. Ochem and A. Pinlou. Application of entropy compression in pattern avoidance. *Electron. J. Combinatorics.* 21(2) (2014), #RP2.7.
- [10] P. Ochem and M. Rosenfeld. On some interesting ternary formulas. *Electron. J. Combininatorics.* 26(1) (2019), #P1.12.
- [11] P. Ochem and M. Rosenfeld. Avoidability of palindrome patterns. *Electron. J. Combininatorics.* 28(1) (2021), #P1.4.
- [12] A. Shur. Growth rates of complexity of power-free languages. Theoret. Comput. Sci. 411(34-36) (2010), 3209–3223.