

SOME REMARKS ON THE MASLOV INDEX

WOLFGANG PITTSCH

ABSTRACT. It is a classical fact that Wall's index of a triplet of Lagrangians in a symplectic space over a field k defines a 2-cocycle μ_W on the associated symplectic group with values into the Witt group of k . Moreover, modulo the square of the fundamental ideal this is a trivial 2-cocycle. In this work we revisit this fact from the viewpoint of the theory of Sturm sequences and Sylvester matrices developed by J. Barge and J. Lannes in [Bar08]. This allows us in particular to give an explicit formula for the coboundary associated to the mod I^2 reduction of the cocycle μ_W which is valid on any field of characteristic different from 2.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let k be a field of characteristic different from 2. Let $W(k)$ be the Witt ring over k and let $I = \ker(W(k) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ be its fundamental ideal. Denote by $Sp_{2g}(k)$ the symplectic group of k , i.e. the orthogonal group associated to the symplectic bilinear form on k^{2g} , which is given by the $2g \times 2g$ matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 0_g & I_g \\ -I_g & 0_g \end{pmatrix}$. Recall that a *Lagrangian* in k^{2g} is a totally isotropic subspace of maximal rank for the symplectic form. Given three Lagrangians L_1, L_2, L_3 in k^{2g} , Wall [Wal69] associates to them an element $\mu_W(L_1, L_2, L_3) \in W(k)$, nowadays known as Wall's tertiary index. Fixing a Lagrangian L , this defines in turn a function $Sp_{2g}(k) \times Sp_{2g}(k) \rightarrow W(k)$ by the rule $(A, B) \mapsto \mu_W(L, AL, ABL)$ which is a 2-cocycle: *Maslov's cocycle*. The following is then a well-known result, for a proof we refer the reader for instance to [Par00]:

Theorem 1.1. *Let $L \subset k^{2g}$ be a Lagrangian. Then there exists a unique function: $\Phi : Sp_{2g}(k) \rightarrow W(k)/I^2$ such that:*

$$\forall A, B \in Sp_{2g}(k) \quad \mu_W(L, AL, ABL) = \Phi(AB) - \Phi(A) - \Phi(B) \text{ mod } I^2.$$

This statement amounts to saying that the Maslov cocycle modulo I^2 is trivial in a unique way. Indeed, any two trivialisations of μ_W differ by a group homomorphism $Sp(2g, k) \rightarrow W(k)/I^2$, and unicity of the trivialization is an elementary consequence from the fact that the symplectic is perfect, unless $g = 2$ and $k = \mathbb{F}_3$. In this case we have $Sp(2, \mathbb{F}_3) = SL_2(\mathbb{F}_3)$, the abelianization of this group is $\mathbb{Z}/3$ and is induced by the exceptional map $PSL_2(\mathbb{F}_3) \simeq \mathfrak{A}_4 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/3$ [Rob96, p. 78], nevertheless as $W(\mathbb{F}_3) \simeq W(\mathbb{F}_3)/I^2 \simeq \mathbb{Z}/4$ [Mil73, Lemma 1.5 p.87], here too the difference can only be the trivial homomorphism $Sp(2g(\mathbb{F}_3 \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_3)/I^2$.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 19G12; Secondary 11E81, 20E22.

Key words and phrases. Wall index, Maslov index, Sylvester matrix, Sturm sequence, Witt group.

Author supported by FEDER/MEC grant "Homotopy theory of combinatorial and algebraic structures" PID2020-116481GB-I00.

When $k = \mathbb{R}$, Turaev [Tur84] gave an explicit but a bit mysterious formula for the function Φ modulo I^2 as follows. Recall that $W(\mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{Z}$ and $I = 2\mathbb{Z}$. Let H stand for \mathbb{R}^{2g} endowed with its standard symplectic form ω . Given $f \in Sp_{2g}(\mathbb{R})$, Turaev observes that the bilinear form $B_f : u, v \rightsquigarrow \omega(u, (f - Id)v)$ defined on H induces a non-degenerated bilinear form on $(f - Id)H = H/\ker(f - Id)$, therefore the sign $\varepsilon(f)$ of the determinant of this induced form is well-defined. The function Φ is then given by the rule:

$$\Phi(f) = \dim(\text{Im}(f - Id)) + \varepsilon(f) - 1.$$

It seems that Turaev computations, and in particular the passage through the non-symmetric bilinear form B_f does not generalize to fields different from \mathbb{R} ; in this case it is worth pointing out the very nice geometric interpretation for the function Φ by Gilmer-Masbaum [Gil13].

In this note we show how the technology of Sturm sequences and Sylvester matrices due to Barge-Lannes and explained in [Bar08] allows to describe very explicitly this function Φ for any field k of characteristic $\neq 2$.

Acknowledgments: The author thanks J. Barge for numerous enlightening discussions on his work with J. Lannes and for allowing him to use with great liberty some of their yet unpublished work. This work has also benefited from fruitful exchanges with G. Masbaum.

2. GENERAL BACKGROUND

2.1. Witt Monoïd and Witt Group. We recall here some elementary facts about the Witt group of a field, for more ample information and in particular for the proofs of some of our claims we refer the reader to [Mil73] or [Lam05].

Given a k -vector space V , let us denote by $V^* = \text{Hom}_k(V, k)$ its dual space. Let then $\text{Sym}(k)$ denote the set of all symmetric bilinear forms defined on finite dimensional k -vector spaces up to isometry. An element in $\text{Sym}(k)$ is then a pair (P, α) , where P is a k -vector space of finite dimension and $\alpha : P \rightarrow P^*$ is a k -linear map that coincides with its dual map $\alpha^* : P^{**} \rightarrow P^*$ up to the canonical identification $P \simeq P^{**}$. The space P is by definition the *support* of α , if clear from the context we will omit the support from the notation and write simply α for (P, α) .

Recall that a bilinear form (P, α) is *non-degenerate* if and only if $\alpha : P \rightarrow P^*$ is an isomorphism, and that a symmetric bilinear form (P, α) is *neutral* if and only if it is non-degenerated and there exists a sub-vector space $I \subset P$ that coincides with its own orthogonal $I = I^\perp$.

The orthogonal sum of bilinear forms, which we denote by \oplus , endows the set $\text{Sym}(k)$ with the structure of a commutative monoïd. The neutral forms $\text{Neut}(k)$ form then a sub-monoïd in $\text{Sym}(k)$, the quotient $MW(k) = \text{Sym}(k)/\text{Neut}(k)$ is by definition the *Witt monoïd* of k . More precisely two objects Ob_1 and Ob_2 in $\text{Sym}(k)$ are equivalent if and only if there exist two neutral forms N_1 and N_2 such that $Ob_1 \oplus N_1 \sim Ob_2 \oplus N_2$, where we denote by $X \sim Y$ the fact that X and Y are isometric.

The Witt *group* $W(k)$ is then the image in $MW(k)$ of the sub-monoïd of $\text{Sym}(k)$ generated by the symmetric non-degenerated bilinear forms. Given that for a non-degenerated form β the orthogonal sum $\beta \oplus -\beta$ is neutral, we get indeed a group structure: the inverse of β is $-\beta$. The tensor product of bilinear forms then endows

$W(k)$ with a commutative multiplication compatible with the orthogonal sum and endows $W(k)$ with the structure of a unital commutative ring.

By definition there is an injection $W(k) \rightarrow MW(k)$, and as k is a field, we have a canonical retraction: $MW(k) \rightarrow W(k)$ called the *regularization* map; it sends the symmetric bilinear form (P, α) onto the induced form with support P/P^\perp , where $P^\perp = \{v \in P \mid \alpha(v, w) = 0 \ \forall w \in P\}$ is known as the *radical* of α . For a general bilinear form (P, α) , its class $\alpha \in W(k)$ will always refer to the class of its regularized form. Finally, given $a \in k^*$ we will denote by $\langle a \rangle$ the bilinear form on k with associated matrix $[a]$.

The ring $W(k)$ has a unique maximal ideal I such that $W(k)/I \simeq \mathbb{Z}/2$, its *fundamental ideal*, which is the kernel of the map "rank mod 2": $I = \ker(W(k) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2)$, that sends a bilinear form onto the mod 2 reduction of the dimension of its support. It is known that the fundamental ideal I is generated by the Pfister forms $\langle 1, -\lambda \rangle = \langle 1 \rangle + \langle -\lambda \rangle$, for $\lambda \in k^*$, cf. [Lam05, p. 316].

In this work we will be more particularly interested in the quotient $W(k)/I^2$, which is by definition part of an extension of abelian groups:

$$0 \longrightarrow I/I^2 \longrightarrow W(k)/I^2 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2 \longrightarrow 0.$$

The kernel I/I^2 is isomorphic to the multiplicative group of units in k up to the squares, $I/I^2 = k^*/(k^*)^2$ via the discriminant map, $\text{dis} : W(k) \rightarrow k^*/(k^*)^2$ that sends a non-degenerated bilinear form q of rank r onto $(-1)^{\frac{r(r-1)}{2}} \det(q)$.

This exact sequence does not usually split. For instance, by the Gauss algorithm for reducing quadratic forms, $W(\mathbb{R}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$, then $I = 2\mathbb{Z}$ and the extension is :

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/4 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2 \longrightarrow 0.$$

Nevertheless the pull-back of the extension along the canonical map $\mathbb{Z}/4 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2$ always splits.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & I/I^2 & \longrightarrow & \widetilde{W} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}/4 \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \parallel & & \downarrow & \swarrow \text{dotted} & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & I/I^2 & \longrightarrow & W(k)/I^2 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}/2 \longrightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

A section of the pull-back is induced by the morphism $\mathbb{Z}/4 \rightarrow W(k)/I^2$ that sends $n \in \mathbb{Z}/4$ to the diagonal form $n\langle 1 \rangle$. To check that this map is well-defined, observe that for all k the rank is of $4k\langle 1 \rangle$ is even, and its discriminant is $(-1)^{\frac{4k \times (4k-1)}{2}} = 1$.

Combined with the isomorphism $I/I^2 \simeq k^*/(k^*)^2$, the section induces a surjective morphism of groups::

$$\begin{aligned} F : k^*/(k^*)^2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/4 & \longrightarrow W(k)/I^2 \\ (\lambda, n) & \longmapsto \langle 1, -\lambda \rangle \oplus n\langle 1 \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

2.2. Lagrangian combinatorics. Fix an integer $g \geq 1$ and let $L = k^g$. Denote by $H(L)$ the k -vector space $L \oplus L^*$ together with the alternated bilinear form ω , known as the *symplectic form*:

$$\omega((x, \xi), (y, \eta)) = \langle x, \xi \rangle - \langle y, \eta \rangle.$$

The orthogonal group for ω is by definition the symplectic group $Sp_{2g}(k)$. Recall that a *Lagrangian* in the symplectic space $H(L)$ is a sub-vector space $\Lambda \subset H(L)$

that coincides with its own orthogonal $\Lambda = \Lambda^\perp$. In the set \mathcal{L} of all Lagrangian in $H(L)$, we have two canonical elements: L and L^* . For these we adopt the following convention: for any $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, the notation L_j will always refer to the Lagrangian L if $j = 0 \pmod{2}$ and to L^* if $j = 1 \pmod{2}$. Finally, if X and X' are two subvector spaces in $H(L)$ we will say that X and X' are *transverse* if and only if $X + X' = H(L)$, we will then write $X \pitchfork X'$.

The symplectic group has a natural left action on the set \mathcal{L} that is transitive, cf. for instance [Sou76, §2], the proof for $k = \mathbb{R}$ is valid for any field. We denote the pointwise stabilizer of L (resp. L^*) by \mathcal{S}_L (resp. \mathcal{S}_{L^*}). Written by blocks according to the decomposition $H(L) = L \oplus L^*$, an arbitrary element in \mathcal{S}_L is of the form:

$$E(q) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & q \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

where $q : L^* \rightarrow L$ is symmetric: $q = q^*$. Respectively elements in \mathcal{S}_{L^*} are of the form

$$E(q') = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ q' & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $q' : L \rightarrow L^*$ is again symmetric. We call elements in these subgroups \mathcal{S}_L and \mathcal{S}_{L^*} *elementary* (symplectic) matrices.

To distinguish identity matrix both subgroups we will write:

$$\underline{0} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{S}_L \text{ and } \bar{0} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{S}_{L^*}.$$

The free product $\mathcal{S}_L * \mathcal{S}_{L^*}$ comes with an evaluation morphism $E : \mathcal{S}_L * \mathcal{S}_{L^*} \rightarrow Sp_{2g}(k)$, which is surjective since k is a field. Indeed, it is well known that the symplectic group is generated by elementary matrices of the shape $E(q)$ as above and by matrices of the form:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & {}^t\alpha^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

where $\alpha \in GL_g(k)$. But over a field (cf. the Appendix) every invertible matrix is the product of two symmetric matrices, and if $\alpha = p^{-1}q$ is such a factorization then the following two equalities in $Sp_{2g}(k)$:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ q & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -q^{-1} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ q & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -q^{-1} \\ q & 0 \end{pmatrix} = m(q)$$

and

$$m(-p)m(q) = \begin{pmatrix} p^{-1}q & 0 \\ 0 & {}^t(p^{-1}q)^{-1} \end{pmatrix},$$

show that the elementary matrices suffice to generate the symplectic group.

Let Λ be a fixed Lagrangian, and denote by $\mathcal{L}_{\pitchfork\Lambda}$ the set of those Lagrangians that are transverse to Λ . The set $\mathcal{L}_{\pitchfork\Lambda}$ is canonically equipped with the structure of an affine space over the symmetric linear maps with support Λ^* . More precisely, given $M \in \mathcal{L}_{\pitchfork\Lambda}$ the following composition is then an isomorphism:

$$M \hookrightarrow H(L) \twoheadrightarrow H(L)/\Lambda \simeq \Lambda^*$$

and allows us to identify M with the dual of Λ . Under this identification, again writing symplectic matrices by blocks according to the decomposition $H(L) =$

$M \oplus \Lambda$ and by a slight abuse of notation, for any symmetric map $q : \Lambda^* \rightarrow \Lambda$ the following matrix belongs to $Sp_{2g}(k)$:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ q & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and the image of the point M translated by the vector q is then $E(q)M$. We point out that with this convention, in $H = L \oplus L^*$, if $q : L^* \rightarrow L$, then the Lagrangian transverse to the canonical Lagrangian L ,

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & q \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} L^*$$

is the image of L^* translated by $-q$.

The affine space structure tells us that given two elements $M, N \in \mathcal{L}_{\cap L}$, their difference $M - N$ is a well-defined element in \mathcal{S}_L which we denote by $d(N, M)$.

For any two Lagrangians there is yet another natural map:

Lemma 2.1. *Let Λ and M be two lagangians. The evaluation map*

$$\begin{aligned} e_{\Lambda, M} : \Lambda \times M &\longrightarrow k \\ (\ell, m) &\longmapsto \omega(\ell, m) \end{aligned}$$

is then a bilinear form, it induces by adjunction a linear map $\beta_{\Lambda, M} : \Lambda \rightarrow M^*$ that satisfies the following properties:

- (1) $\beta_{\Lambda, M} = -\beta_{M, \Lambda}^*$
- (2) $\text{Im } \beta_{\Lambda, M} = H(L)/(\Lambda + M)$
- (3) $\ker \beta_{\Lambda, M} = \Lambda \cap M$
- (4) $\beta_{\Lambda, M}$ is invertible if and only if $\Lambda \pitchfork M$.
- (5) If $\phi \in Sp_{2g}(k)$, then $\beta_{\Lambda, M} = (\phi|_M)^* \circ \beta_{\phi\Lambda, \phi M} \circ \phi|_{\Lambda}$

Proof. These are all immediate computations that using the definitions. □

3. LAGRANGIAN PATHS AND STURM SEQUENCES

In this section we reformulate some results from Appendix A in [Bar08] in terms of Lagrangian paths based at L_n (cf. Proposition C.1 *loc. cit.* which we state hereafter). All the results in this section are primary due to J. Barge and J. Lannes [Bar], their formulation is valid for a very general class of rings and not only for fields as in the present work.

A reduced word in the free product $\mathcal{S}_L * \mathcal{S}_{L^*}$ is by definition a sequence of symmetric linear maps $\underline{q} : (q_m, q_{m+1}, \dots, q_n)$ where $q_j : L_j \rightarrow L_{j+1}$. Such a sequence is said to be of *type* (m, n) and is called a *Sturm sequence*. Barge-Lannes [Bar08] associate to this sequence a symmetric linear map with support $L_m \oplus L_{m+1} \oplus \dots \oplus L_n$ defined by the following Sylvester matrix:

$$S(\underline{q}) = \begin{pmatrix} (-1)^m q_m & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 1 & (-1)^{m+1} q_{m+1} & 1 & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 1 & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & (-1)^{n-1} q_{n-1} & 1 \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 & (-1)^n q_n \end{pmatrix}$$

The difference map $d(M, N)$ and the map β defined in the previous section allow us to generalize this construction to matrices with more general supports:

Definition 3.1. Let Λ and M be two Lagrangians. A Lagrangian path of length n joining Λ to M is a sequence of $n + 2$ Lagrangians:

$$\Lambda = \Lambda_0, \Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_n, \Lambda_{n+1} = M$$

such that for each $0 \leq i \leq n$ we have $\Lambda_i \pitchfork \Lambda_{i+1}$.

If $\Lambda = M$ we call it a Lagrangian loop based at Λ .

If $\alpha = \Lambda_0, \dots, \Lambda_n, M$ and $\beta = M, \Lambda'_1, \dots, \Lambda_{m+1}$ are two Lagrangian paths, the first ending at M and the second starting at M , then their concatenation is the Lagrangian path $\alpha * \beta : \Lambda_0, \dots, \Lambda_n, M, \Lambda'_1, \dots, \Lambda_{m+1}$.

An arbitrary Lagrangian path has now an associated Sylvester matrix:

Definition 3.2. Let $\alpha : (\Lambda_0, \Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_n, \Lambda_{n+1})$ be a Lagrangian path. The Sylvester matrix of α is the matrix $S(\alpha)$ of the symmetric bilinear map with support $\Lambda_1^* \oplus \dots \oplus \Lambda_n^*$ whose block coefficients are given as follows:

$$\begin{cases} a_{i,i} = d_{\Lambda_i}(\Lambda_{i-1}, \Lambda_{i+1}) \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, n. \\ a_{i+1,i} = (\beta_{\Lambda_{i+1}, \Lambda_i})^{-1} : \Lambda_i^* \rightarrow \Lambda_{i+1} \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, n-1. \\ a_{i,i+1} = a_{i+1,i}^* = -(\beta_{\Lambda_i, \Lambda_{i+1}})^{-1} \end{cases}$$

and all other coefficients are zero. Writing $b_{\Lambda_{i+1}, \Lambda_i} = (\beta_{\Lambda_{i+1}, \Lambda_i})^{-1}$, the matrix $S(\alpha)$ is a trigonal matrix of the following form::

$$\begin{pmatrix} d_{\Lambda_1}(\Lambda_0, \Lambda_2) & b_{\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ -b_{\Lambda_2, \Lambda_1} & d_{\Lambda_2}(\Lambda_1, \Lambda_3) & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & b_{\Lambda_{n-1}, \Lambda_n} \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & -b_{\Lambda_n, \Lambda_{n-1}} & d_{\Lambda_n}(\Lambda_{n-1}, \Lambda_{n+1}) \end{pmatrix}$$

Let us now link the Sylvester matrix associated to a Lagrangian path and that associated to a Sturm sequence. Recall that since k is a field the action of the Symplectic group on the Lagrangians in k^{2g} is transitive. As the action of the stabilizer of a fixed Lagrangian Λ on the set $\mathcal{L}_{\pitchfork} \Lambda$ is again transitive, the action of $Sp_{2g}(k)$ is even transitive on the couples formed by mutually transverse Lagrangians.

Now, if

$$\alpha : (\Lambda_0, \Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_n, \Lambda_{n+1})$$

is a Lagrangian path and $\phi \in Sp_{2g}(k)$, then

$$\phi_*(\alpha) : (\phi(\Lambda_0), \phi(\Lambda_1), \dots, \phi(\Lambda_n), \phi(\Lambda_{n+1}))$$

is still a Lagrangian path, and the Sylvester matrices associated to $S(\alpha)$ and $S(\phi_*(\alpha))$ are obviously isometric. The link between Sturm sequences and Lagrangian paths is:

Proposition 3.3 (Prop. C.1 [Bar08]). Let m and n be two integers, with $m \leq n$ and let $\Lambda_{m-1}, \Lambda_m, \dots, \Lambda_n$ be a finite sequence of Lagrangians of the symplectic space $H(L)$ such that $\Lambda_{m-1} = L_{m-1}$. The following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) $\Lambda_{k-1} \pitchfork \Lambda_k$ for $m \leq k \leq n$
- (ii) There exists a Sturm sequence $(q_m, q_{m+1}, \dots, q_n)$ on L of type (m, n) such that

$$\Lambda_k = E(q_m, q_{m+1}, \dots, q_k) \cdot L_k \text{ for } m \leq k \leq n.$$

Moreover, if condition (i) is satisfied, then the Sturm sequence that appears in (ii) is unique.

Sketch of proof. We start with a Lagrangian path $\alpha : \Lambda_0, \Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_n, \Lambda_{n+1}$, and we fix an element $\phi \in Sp_{2g}(k)$ such that $\phi(\Lambda_0 \oplus \Lambda_1) = L_0 \oplus L_1$. The Sylvester matrix $S(\alpha)$ is then isometric to the Sylvester matrix of the path:

$$L_0, L_1, \Lambda_2, \dots, \Lambda_n, \Lambda_{n+1}.$$

By construction Λ_2 is transverse to L_1 and hence has the form $E(q_0)L_0$ for some $E(q_0) \in \mathcal{S}_{L^*}$. To this path we can therefore associate the same Sturm sequence that Proposition 3.3 associates to the sequence of Lagrangians:

$$L_1, E(q_0)L_0, \dots, \Lambda_n, \Lambda_{n+1}$$

Conversely, if $q : (q_m, \dots, q_n)$ is a Sturm sequence, the sequence of partial evaluations $Id, E(q_m), E(q_m q_{m+1}), \dots, E(q_m q_{m+1} \dots q_n) = E(q)$ define, term by term, an isomorphism of vector spaces:

$$L_{m-1} \oplus L_m \oplus \dots \oplus L_n \longrightarrow L_{m-1} \oplus E(q_m)L_m \oplus \dots \oplus E(q)L_n,$$

that induces an isometry between the Sylvester forms of the Lagrangian path:

$$L_{m-2}, L_{m-1}, E(q_m)L_m, E(q_m q_{m+1})L_{m+1}, \dots, E(q_m \dots q_n)L_n \quad (*)$$

and that of the sequence associated to the Sturm sequence $q : (q_m, \dots, q_n)$. The Lagrangian path (*) is said then to be *associated* to the Sturm sequence q .

The $-$ sign in front of the bilinear symmetric forms q_j for odd j that appear in the definition via Sturm sequences comes from the fact that for the canonical affine structure on $\mathcal{L}_{\cap L}$, the Lagrangian $E(q_j)L^*$ is the result of translating the Lagrangian L^* by $-q_j$.

The two constructions are clearly mutually inverses. □

The following result is the translation in the context of general Lagrangian paths of Proposition-Definition A.2.1 in [Bar08].

Proposition 3.4. *Let $\alpha : (\Lambda_0, \Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_n, \Lambda_{n+1})$ be a Lagrangian path and let $S(\alpha)$ denote its Sylvester matrix. The following are equivalent:*

- (1) *The bilinear form $S(\alpha)$ is non-degenerated.*
- (2) *The two Lagrangians Λ_0 and Λ_{n+1} are transverse.*

The next lemma is an avatar of Corollary-Definition A.4.4 in [Bar08], a detailed proof shall appear in [Bar].

Lemma 3.5 ("Shortcut Lemma"). *Let $\Lambda_0, \dots, \Lambda_{n+1}$ be a Lagrangian path, and let S denote its Sylvester matrix. Assume that there exist two indices $0 \leq i < j \leq n+1$ such that $\Lambda_i \cap \Lambda_j$ (one of our two preferred Lagrangians). Then we have two new Lagrangian paths:*

- a) *The sub-sequence $\Lambda_i \dots, \Lambda_j$, whose Sylvester matrix is $S(\Lambda_i, \dots, \Lambda_j)$,*
- b) *the shortened sequence $\Lambda_0, \dots, \Lambda_i, \Lambda_j, \dots, \Lambda_{n+1}$, whose Sylvester matrix is $S(\Lambda_0, \dots, \Lambda_i, \Lambda_j, \dots, \Lambda_{n+1})$.*

Then,

$$S \text{ is isomeric to } S(\Lambda_i, \dots, \Lambda_j) \oplus S(\Lambda_0, \dots, \Lambda_i, \Lambda_j, \dots, \Lambda_{n+1}).$$

As an example, and to show the flexibility that the definitions via Lagrangian sequences offer, we prove the following result, originally due to Barge-Lannes [Bar]:

Corollary 3.6. *Let $\Lambda_0, \Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_n, \Lambda_0$ be a Lagrangian loop and let M denote a Lagrangian that is transverse to Λ_0 . Then in $W(k) \subset MW(k)$ the following four Sylvester matrices are equal:*

$$\begin{array}{ll} (i) S(\Lambda_0, \Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_n) & (ii) S(\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_n, \Lambda_0) \\ (iii) S(\Lambda_0, \dots, \Lambda_n, \Lambda_0, M) & (iv) S(M, \Lambda_0, \Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_n, \Lambda_0) \end{array}$$

Proof. We apply the shortening lemma to the Lagrangians Λ_0 and Λ_n in the sequence $\Lambda_0, \Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_n, \Lambda_0, M$; this gives us an isometry:

$$S(\Lambda_0, \Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_n, \Lambda_0, M) = S(\Lambda_0, \Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_n) \oplus S(\Lambda_0, \Lambda_n, \Lambda_0, M)$$

The matrix $S(\Lambda_0, \Lambda_n, \Lambda_0, M)$ has as support the space $\Lambda_n^* \Lambda_0^*$ and has the form:

$$\begin{pmatrix} d(\Lambda_0, \Lambda_0) & \beta \\ \beta & d(M, \Lambda_0) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \beta \\ \beta & d(M, \Lambda_0) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since $\Lambda_0 \pitchfork N$, β is invertible, and this matrix represents a neutral form; this proves that (i) and (iii) are equal. The equality between (iii) and (ii) can be shown similarly using that Λ_1 and the second copy of Λ_0 in the sequence $\Lambda_0, \Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_n, \Lambda_0, M$ are transverse. Finally, the same argument but using that $\Lambda_0 \pitchfork \Lambda_n$ in the sequence $M, \Lambda_0, \dots, \Lambda_n, \Lambda_0$ shows that (iv) and (i) are equal. \square

4. TRIVIALITY MOD I^2 OF MASLOV'S COCYCLE

In Section 2.2 we introduced the evaluation morphism $E : \mathcal{S}_L * \mathcal{S}_{L^*} \rightarrow Sp_{2g}(k)$. If we denote by K its kernel, then we have a short exact sequence:

$$1 \longrightarrow K \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}_L * \mathcal{S}_{L^*} \longrightarrow Sp_{2g}(k) \longrightarrow 1$$

4.1. Four natural functions on K . Let (m, n) denote one of the 4 couples $(0, 0), (0, 1), (1, 0), (1, 1)$. Up to possibly adding to a word $w \in \mathcal{S}_L * \mathcal{S}_{L^*}$ either $\bar{0}$ or $\underline{0}$ at the beginning or at the end, we may assume that w is of the type (m, n) , i.e it can be identified with a Sturm sequence of type (m, n) . Let us define:

$$\begin{array}{ll} f_{m,n} : \mathcal{S}_L * \mathcal{S}_{L^*} & \longrightarrow W(k) \\ w & \longmapsto S(w), \text{ where } w \text{ is of type } (m, n). \end{array}$$

Proposition 4.1. *The 4 functions f_{00}, f_{01}, f_{10} and f_{11} are all well-defined.*

Proof. We only treat the case of the function f_{00} , the 3 other cases can be treated in a similar way. It is enough to show that the value of f_{00} on w is independent from the choice of the representative of type 00 of w . There are only two types of ambiguity in the choice of the representative:

- (1) Given a representative of type 00 we may add to it the word $\underline{0}\bar{0}$ at the beginning (resp. $\bar{0}\underline{0}$ at the end).
- (2) Inside a representative of type 00 we may find a sub-word of the form $a\bar{0}b$ with $a, b \in \mathcal{S}_L$ (resp. $a\underline{0}b$ with $a, b \in \mathcal{S}_{L^*}$)

Case 1) Let $w : w_2, w_3, \dots, w_{2n}$ be a Sturm sequence of type 00. We will only show the equality $f_{00}(\underline{0}\bar{0}w) = f_{00}(w)$, the other case is similar. Observe that

$$S(\underline{0}\bar{0}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

is a non-degenerated and neutral form. The Lagrangian path associated to $\underline{0}\bar{0}w$ is:

$$L_0, L_1, E(\underline{0})L_0, E(\underline{0}\bar{0})L_1, E(\underline{0}\bar{0}w_2)L_0, \dots, E(\underline{0}\bar{0}w)L_1.$$

since

$$L_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ w_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} L_1 \pitchfork \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ w_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} L_0 = E(\underline{0}\bar{0}w_2)L_0$$

the shorteneing Lemma 3.5, tells us that:

$$S(\underline{0}\bar{0}w) = S(\alpha) \oplus S(\beta) \quad (**)$$

where α is the Lagrangian path:

$$L_1, E(\underline{0})L_0, E(\underline{0}\bar{0})L_1, E(\underline{0}\bar{0}w_2)L_0$$

and β is the Lagrangian path:

$$L_0, L_1, E(\underline{0}\bar{0}w_2)L_0, \dots, E(\underline{0}\bar{0}w)L_1.$$

Since $E(\underline{0}) = E(\underline{0}\bar{0}) = Id$ the path α is simply:

$$L_1, L_0, E(\bar{0})L_1, E(\bar{0}w_2)L_0$$

which is associated to the Sturm sequence $(\bar{0}, w_2)$ and whose Sylvester matrix is neutral:

$$S(\alpha) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & w_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

In β one recognizes the Lagrangian path associated to the sequence w and equality $(**)$ in $W(k)$ states that:

$$S(\underline{0}\bar{0}w_2 \dots w_{2n}) = S(w_2 \dots w_{2n}).$$

Case 2) Once more we only treat the first of the two sub-cases. The Sturm sequence associated to the representative of type 00 is:

$$q_0, q_1, \dots, q_{2r}, \bar{0}, q_{2r+2}, \dots, q_{2n},$$

and its associated Lagrangian path is:

$$L_0, L_1, E(q_0)L_0, \dots, E(q_0 \dots q_{2r-1})L_1, E(q_0 \dots q_{2r})L_0, E(q_0 \dots q_{2r}\bar{0})L_1, \\ E(q_0 \dots q_{2r}\bar{0}q_{2r+2})L_0, \dots, E(q_0 \dots q_{2r}\bar{0}q_{2r+2} \dots q_{2n})L_0.$$

Simplifying the evaluations, taking into account that E is a group homomorphism and that $E(\bar{0}) = Id$, this path is exactly:

$$L_0, L_1, E(q_0)L_0, \dots, \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{q_0} \dots \mathbf{q_{2r-1}})\mathbf{L_1}, E(q_0 \dots q_{2r})L_0, E(q_0 \dots q_{2r})L_1, \\ \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{q_0} \dots (\mathbf{q_{2r}} + \mathbf{q_{2r+2}}))\mathbf{L_0}, \dots, E(q_0 \dots (q_{2r} + q_{2r+2}) \dots q_{2n})L_0.^1$$

Now,

$$L_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ q_{2r} + q_{2r+2} & 1 \end{pmatrix} L_1 = E(q_{2r} + q_{2r+2})L_1 \pitchfork E(q_{2r} + q_{2r+2})L_0$$

and hence $E(q_0 \dots q_{2r-1})L_1 \pitchfork E(q_0 \dots (q_{2r} + q_{2r+2}))L_0$.

We can therefore apply the shortening Lemma 3.5 to the two Lagrangians written in bold type.

The Sylvester matrix of the initial sequence is therefore isometric to the direct sum of the two Sylvester matrices of the Lagrangian path

$$L_0, L_1, E(q_0)L_0, \dots, E(q_0 \dots q_{2r-1})L_1,$$

¹If $r = 0$, by convention $E(q_0 \dots q_{2r-1}) = Id$.

$$E(q_0 \cdots (q_{2r} + q_{2r+2}))L_0, \dots, E(q_0 \cdots (q_{2r} + q_{2r+2}) \cdots q_{2n})L_0,$$

that is associated to the Sturm sequence:

$$q_0, q_1, \dots, q_{2r} + q_{2r+2}, \dots, q_{2n},$$

and of the path

$$E(q_0 \cdots q_{2r-1})L_1, E(q_0 \cdots q_{2r})L_0, E(q_0 \cdots q_{2r})L_1, E(q_0 \cdots (q_{2r} + q_{2r+2}))L_0.$$

Since $E(q_{2r})L_1 = L_1$, this last path is the image by $E(q_0 \cdots q_{2r})$ of the path

$$L_1, L_0, L_1, E(q_{2r+2})L_0.$$

whose Sylvester matrix is:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & q_{2r+2} \end{pmatrix}$$

and is clearly neutral. □

Given a sub-word k of w , which represents an element in the kernel K , the function f_{00} behaves almost as a group homomorphism would do:

Proposition 4.2. *Let $\underline{k} = k_0, \dots, k_{2k+1} \in K$ be a word of type 01 and let*

$$\underline{w} = q_0, \dots, q_{2r-1}, k_0, \dots, k_{2\ell+1}, q_{2\ell+2}, \dots, q_{2n}$$

*be an arbitrary word in $\mathcal{S}_L * \mathcal{S}_{L^*}$ of type 00 that contains \underline{k} as a sub-word. Then:*

$$f_{00}(\underline{w}) = f_{01}(\underline{k}) + f_{00}(q_0, \dots, q_{2r-1}, q_{2\ell+2}, \dots, q_{2n}).$$

Proof. We write down the Lagrangian path associated to the Sturm sequence \underline{w} :

$$\begin{aligned} &L_0, L_1, E(q_0)L_0, \dots, E(q_0 \cdots q_{2r-1})L_1, \\ &E(q_0 \cdots q_{2r-1}k_0)L_0, \dots, E(q_0 \cdots q_{2r-1}k_0 \cdots k_{2\ell+1})L_1, \\ &E(q_0 \cdots q_{2r-1}k_0 \cdots k_{2\ell+1}q_{2\ell+2})L_0, \dots, E(\underline{w})L_0. \end{aligned}$$

Since the sequence \underline{k} represents an element in K , the kernel of the evaluation map, we know that $E(\underline{k}) = Id$. In the Lagrangian path above, the last Lagrangian on the second line and the first Lagrangian in the third line are by definition transverse:

$$E(q_0 \cdots q_{2r-1}k_0 \cdots k_{2\ell+1})L_1 \pitchfork E(q_0 \cdots q_{2r-1}k_0 \cdots k_{2\ell+1}q_{2\ell+2})L_0$$

But $E(q_0 \cdots q_{2r-1}k_0 \cdots k_{2\ell+1}) = E(q_0 \cdots q_{2r-1})$, hence

$$E(q_0 \cdots q_{2r-1})L_1 \pitchfork E(q_0 \cdots q_{2r-1}k_0 \cdots k_{2\ell+1}q_{2\ell+2})L_0$$

We can now apply the shortening Lemma 3.5 to the last Lagrangian of the first line and to the first Lagrangian on the third line; this tells us that $f_{00}(\underline{w})$ is the sum of the classes of the Sylvester matrices associated to the two following Lagrangian paths. On the one hand:

$$\begin{aligned} &L_0, L_1, E(q_0)L_0, \dots, E(q_0 \cdots q_{2r-1})L_1, \\ &E(q_0 \cdots q_{2r-1}k_0 \cdots k_{2\ell+1}q_{2\ell+2})L_0, \dots, E(\underline{w})L_0. \end{aligned}$$

Since $E(\underline{k}) = Id$, this is nothing else than the Lagrangian path:

$$\begin{aligned} &L_0, L_1, E(q_0)L_0, \dots, E(q_0 \cdots q_{2r-1})L_1, \\ &E(q_0 \cdots q_{2r-1}q_{2\ell+2})L_0, \dots, E(q_0 \cdots q_{2r-1}q_{2\ell+2} \cdots q_{2n})L_0 \end{aligned}$$

whose class is by definition $f_{00}(q_0, \dots, q_{2r-1}, q_{2\ell+2}, \dots, q_{2n})$, and on the other hand the path:

$$E(q_0 \cdots q_{2r-1})L_1, E(q_0 \cdots q_{2r-1}k_0)L_0, \dots, \\ E(q_0 \cdots q_{2r-1}k_0 \cdots k_{2\ell+1})L_1, E(q_0 \cdots q_{2r-1}k_0 \cdots k_{2\ell+1}q_{2\ell+2})L_0.$$

By definition of the action of the symplectic group on Lagrangian paths, this path is the image by $E(q_0 \cdots q_{2r-1})$ of the Lagrangian path:

$$L_1, E(k_0)L_0, \dots, E(k_0 \cdots k_{2\ell+1})L_1, E(k_0 \cdots k_{2\ell+1}q_{2\ell+2})L_0.$$

Here again, as $E(k_0 \cdots k_{2\ell+1}) = Id$, $E(k_0 \cdots k_{2\ell+1})L_1 = L_1$ and we recognize a Lagrangian loop with an extra term to its right: $E(k_0 \cdots k_{2\ell+1}q_{2\ell+2})L_0 = E(q_{2\ell+2})L_0$. By Corollary 3.6, the Witt class of the Sylvester matrix associated to this path coincides with that of the Sylvester matrix associated to the path:

$$L_0, L_1, E(k_0)L_0, \dots, E(k_0 \cdots k_{2\ell+1})L_1$$

which by definition is $f_{01}(\underline{k})$. □

Remark 4.3. *If $\underline{k} \in K$ is a word of type 01, by applying Corollary 3.6 as in the last part of the above argument one can show that:*

$$f_{00}(\underline{k}\underline{0}) = f_{01}(\underline{k}) + f_{00}(\underline{0}) = f_{01}(\underline{k}),$$

because in $W(k)$, $f_{00}(\underline{0}) = 0$.

In particular all 4 functions f_{00} , f_{11} , f_{01} and f_{01} coincide on K .

This leads us to our next result:

Lemma 4.4. *The function $f_{01} : K \rightarrow W(k)$ is a group homomorphism invariant under the conjugation action of $\mathcal{S}_L * \mathcal{S}_{L^*}$; it takes values in I^2 , the square of the fundamental ideal.*

Proof. Let \underline{k} and $\underline{\ell}$ denote two elements in K , and fix for each of them a representative of type 01, respectively \underline{k}_{01} and $\underline{\ell}_{01}$. Compute:

$$\begin{aligned} f_{01}(\underline{k}_{01}\underline{\ell}_{01}) &= f_{00}(\underline{k}_{01}\underline{\ell}_{01}\underline{0}) \text{ by Remark 4.3} \\ &= f_{01}(\underline{k}_{01}) + f_{00}(\underline{\ell}_{01}\underline{0}) \text{ by Proposition 4.2} \\ &= f_{01}(\underline{k}_{01}) + f_{01}(\underline{\ell}_{01}) \text{ by Remark 4.3} \end{aligned}$$

To show invariance under conjugation, we fix a word $w_{00} \in \mathcal{S}_L * \mathcal{S}_{L^*}$ of type 00. Then $w_{00}\bar{0}k_{01}w_{00}^{-1}\bar{0}$ is a representative of type 01 of the conjugated of \underline{k} by \underline{w} , and as before:

$$\begin{aligned} f_{01}(w_{00}\bar{0}k_{01}w_{00}^{-1}\bar{0}) &= f_{00}(w_{00}\bar{0}k_{01}w_{00}^{-1}\bar{0}\underline{0}) \text{ by Remark 4.3} \\ &= f_{01}(k_{01}) + f_{00}(w_{00}\bar{0}w_{00}^{-1}\bar{0}\underline{0}) \text{ by Proposition 4.2} \end{aligned}$$

But $w_{00}\bar{0}w_{00}^{-1}\bar{0}\underline{0}$ is a representative of type 00 of $\underline{0}$, hence

$$f_{00}(w_{00}\bar{0}w_{00}^{-1}\bar{0}\underline{0}) = f_{00}(\underline{0}) = 0.$$

We finally have to show that for all $\underline{k} \in K$ we have $f_{01}(\underline{k}) \in I^2$. Given a representative $k_{01} = k_0, k_1 \dots k_{2r+1}$ of type 01 of \underline{k} , observe that the Sylvester matrix $S(k_{01})$ has as support the direct sum of $r+1$ copies of the pair $L \oplus L^*$, in particular this vector space has even dimension and hence the class of $S(k_{01})$ in $W(k)$ lies in $I = \ker(W(k) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2)$.

We need now to compute the discriminant of $S(k_{01})$. By definition of K ,

$$E(k_0 k_1 \cdots k_{2r+1}) = Id \in GL_g(k) \subset Sp_{2g}(k).$$

Now if, starting with a Sturm sequence of even length, one multiplies the associated elementary matrices in the symplectic group, and as a result one obtains a matrix that is diagonal by blocks as:

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & {}_t a^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \in GL_g(k) \subset Sp_{2g}(k)$$

Barge-Lannes [Bar08, Scholie 5.5.6 p.111] show then that the determinant of a is equal to the discriminant of the Sylvester matrix associated to the initial Sturm sequence. In our present case, this gives us as we wanted:

$$\text{dis}(S(k_{01})) = \det Id = 1.$$

□

4.2. A cocycle for the fundamental extension. Let us push-out our original exact sequence:

$$1 \longrightarrow K \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}_L * \mathcal{S}_{L^*} \longrightarrow Sp_{2g}(k) \longrightarrow 1$$

along the composite morphism $f_{01} : K \rightarrow I^2 \hookrightarrow W(k)$:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & K & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{S}_L * \mathcal{S}_{L^*} & \longrightarrow & Sp_{2g}(k) \longrightarrow 1 \\ & & \downarrow f_{01} & \swarrow f_{00} & \downarrow & & \parallel \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & W(k) & \longrightarrow & \Gamma & \longrightarrow & Sp_{2g}(k) \longrightarrow 1 \end{array}$$

We caution the reader that the diagonal arrow labeled f_{00} is of course not a group homomorphism. Invariance of f_{01} with respect to the conjugation action implies that the bottom extension is a central extension. Finally, commutativity of the upper left triangle allows us to write an explicit 2-cocycle for the bottom central extension:

Definition-Proposition 4.1. *Let $x, y \in Sp_{2g}(k)$ and choose arbitrary type 00 lifts of these elements, respectively denoted \tilde{x} and \tilde{y} , in $\mathcal{S}_L * \mathcal{S}_{L^*}$. Then the function:*

$$\mu(x, y) = f_{00}(\tilde{x}\tilde{0}\tilde{y}) - f_{00}(\tilde{x}) - f_{00}(\tilde{y})$$

is independent of the choice of the liftings and defines a 2-cocycle for the extension

$$0 \longrightarrow W(k) \longrightarrow \Gamma \longrightarrow Sp_{2g}(k) \longrightarrow 1.$$

Proof. Recall that Γ as a set is a quotient of the set $W(k) \times (\mathcal{S}_L * \mathcal{S}_{L^*})$. One checks from the definitions that the function which on a couple (w, \tilde{x}) takes the value

$$r(w, \tilde{x}) = w + f_{00}(\tilde{x})$$

is in fact a retraction of the extension. A direct and classical computation shows then that the 2-cocycle associated to this retraction is μ . □

The 2-cocycle μ has a natural interpretation as a sort of "Wall's index", and one can show that in fact it does coincide with the 2-cocycle one can define from Wall's index; this observation is originally due to and shall be proved in [Bar].

Let us now show a slight generalization of the first part of Lemma 4.4:

Lemma 4.5. *Let $\ell \in \mathcal{S}_L * \mathcal{S}_{L^*}$ denote the preimage of an element in $\text{Stab}(L)$ and let $z \in \mathcal{S}_L * \mathcal{S}_{L^*}$ be an arbitrary element, then:*

- (1) $f_{00}(\ell z) = f_{01}(\ell) + f_{00}(z)$;
- (2) $f_{00}(z\ell) = f_{00}(z) + f_{10}(\ell)$.

Proof. We only show the first equality, the proof of the second one follows along the same lines. Let

$$\ell_{01} : \ell_0, \ell_1, \dots, \ell_{2r+1}$$

and

$$z_{00} : z_0, z_1, \dots, z_{2s}$$

denote representatives of type 01 and 00 of ℓ and z respectively. Then $\ell_{01}z_{00}$ is by construction a representative of type 00 of ℓz , and it is enough to compute $f_{00}(\ell_{01}z_{00})$.

The sequence of Lagrangians associated to this element is:

$$L_0, L_1, E(\ell_0)L_0, \dots, E(\ell_0 \cdots \ell_{2r+1})L_1, E(\ell_{01}z_0)L_0, \dots, E(\ell_{01}z_{00})L_0.$$

As $L_0 \pitchfork L_1$ and since the action of the symplectic group on Lagrangians preserves transversality, $L_0 = E(\ell_{01})L_0 \pitchfork E(\ell_{01})L_1$, and we can apply the shortcut Lemma 3.5 between the first and the fourth Lagrangians in this Lagrangian path.

The Sylvester matrix $S(\ell_{01}z_{00})$ is therefore isometric to the direct sum of the following two Sylvester matrices:

- (1) On the one hand, the Sylvester matrix associated to the sequence of Lagrangians:

$$L_0, E(\ell_{01}z_0)L_0, \dots, E(\ell_{01}z_{00})L_0.$$

Again, as $E(\ell_{01})L_0 = L_0$, we see here the image under the symplectic element $E(\ell_{01})$ of the Lagrangian path:

$$L_0, E(z_0)L_0, \dots, E(z_{00})L_0.$$

The associated Sylvester matrix is therefore isometric to $S(z_{00})$, and its Witt class is by definition $f_{00}(z)$

- (2) On the other hand, the Sylvester matrix of the Lagrangian path:

$$L_0, L_1, E(\ell_0)L_0, \dots, E(\ell_0 \cdots \ell_{2r+1})L_1,$$

its Witt class is by definition $f_{01}(\ell_{01})$.

□

We can now show at least that the 2-cocycle μ behaves like the Maslov cocycle does with respect to the choice of three Lagrangians:

Proposition 4.6. *The value of μ in (x, y) only depends on the three Lagrangians $L, x^{-1}L$ and yL . More precisely it depends on x and y only via the classes of the elements x^{-1} and y in $Sp_{2g}(k)/\text{Stab}(L)$.*

Proof. Let us fix type 00 representatives x_{00} and y_{00} of some chosen preimages of our two elements $x, y \in Sp_{2g}(k)$. Let ℓ_{00} denote a type 00 representative of an element $\ell \in \text{Stab}(L)$. To multiply the element x^{-1} on the right by an element in $\text{Stab}(L)$ is equivalent to multiply x on the left by an element in $\text{Stab}(L)$. By definition of the 2-cocycle μ , to understand its dependency on x , it is enough to show that:

$$f_{00}(\ell_{00}\bar{0}x_{00}\bar{0}y_{00}) - f_{00}(\ell_{00}\bar{0}x_{00}) = f_{00}(x_{00}\bar{0}y_{00}) - f_{00}(x_{00}).$$

and this is an immediate consequence of Lemma 4.5. For the dependence of μ on y one proceeds in exactly the same way. \square

Observe in particular, that since the 2-cocycle μ is clearly normalized, i.e. $\mu(Id, y) = 0 = \mu(x, Id)$ we have that:

Corollary 4.7. *If $x \in Stab(L)$ or $y \in Stab(L)$ then $\mu(x, y) = 0$.*

Let us denote μ by μ_L to underscore the dependency on the Lagrangian L . Let V be another Lagrangian in $H(L)$, and let $\psi \in Sp_{2g}(k)$ be such that $\psi L = V$, we set then $V^* = \psi L^*$ and observe that of course V^* is a Lagrangian too and is transverse to V . By direct inspection, conjugation by ψ in the symplectic group induces morphisms $S_L \rightarrow S_V$ and $S_{L^*} \rightarrow S_{V^*}$, given respectively by $q \mapsto \psi^* q \psi$ and $q \mapsto \psi q \psi^*$. In particular we have a morphism $\Psi : \mathcal{S}_L * \mathcal{S}_{L^*} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_V * \mathcal{S}_{V^*}$ such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & K & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{S}_L * \mathcal{S}_{L^*} & \longrightarrow & Sp_{2g}(k) & \longrightarrow & 1 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \Psi & & \downarrow c_\psi & & \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & K & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{S}_V * \mathcal{S}_{V^*} & \longrightarrow & Sp_{2g}(k) & \longrightarrow & 1 \end{array}$$

Let us consider a Sturm sequence $q \in \mathcal{S}_L * \mathcal{S}_{L^*}$ and its image $\Psi(q) \in \mathcal{S}_V * \mathcal{S}_{V^*}$, their Sylvester matrices are isometric, an isometry being induced precisely by ψ . In particular for any two values of the indices i, j and any $\tilde{x} \in \mathcal{S}_L * \mathcal{S}_{L^*}$ we have that $f_{ij}^L(\tilde{x}) = f_{ij}^V(\Psi(\tilde{x})) \in W(k)$ and the push-out along f_{01} of both extensions above fit into a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & W(k) & \longrightarrow & \Gamma_L i & \longrightarrow & Sp_{2g}(k) & \longrightarrow & 1 \\ & & \parallel & & \downarrow \overline{\Psi} & & \downarrow c_\psi & & \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & W(k) & \longrightarrow & \Gamma_V & \longrightarrow & Sp_{2g}(k) & \longrightarrow & 1 \end{array}$$

As the cocycles μ_L and μ_V are defined from the functions f_{00}^L et f_{00}^V , the compatibilites we just described tell us that:

$$\forall x, y \in Sp_{2g}(k) \quad \mu_L(x, y) = \mu_V(\psi x \psi^{-1}, \psi y \psi^{-1}).$$

and finally, knowing that if $x^{-1}L = L$ or $yL = L$ then $\mu_L(x, y) = 0$, we get the following expansion of Corollary 4.7, which is a reformulation of the usual equivariance property for the Maslov cocycle:

Corollary 4.8. *Let $\psi, x, y \in Sp_{2g}(k)$, then the value of the index $\mu_L(x, y)$ only depends on the Lagrangians $\psi x^{-1}L, \psi L$ and ψyL . In particular, if two of these three Lagrangians L, xL and xyL are equal, then $\mu_L(x, y) = 0$.*

4.3. Triviality of μ modulo I^2 and its consequences. Let us now consider the mod I^2 reduction of the central extension defined by the 2-cocycle μ :

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
1 & \longrightarrow & K & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{S}_L * \mathcal{S}_{L^*} & \longrightarrow & Sp_{2g}(k) \longrightarrow 1 \\
& & \downarrow f_{01} & & \downarrow & & \parallel \\
0 & \longrightarrow & W(k) & \longrightarrow & \Gamma & \longrightarrow & Sp_{2g}(k) \longrightarrow 1 \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \parallel \\
0 & \longrightarrow & W(k)/I^2 & \longrightarrow & \bar{\Gamma} & \longrightarrow & Sp_{2g}(k) \longrightarrow 1
\end{array}$$

As $f_{01} : K \rightarrow W(k)$ factors through I^2 , the bottom extension trivially splits. Moreover, according to Proposition 4.2, the function $f_{00} : \mathcal{S}_L * \mathcal{S}_{L^*} \rightarrow W(k) \bmod I^2$ factors through $Sp_{2g}(k)$, and the shape of μ given in Definition-Proposition 4.1 tells us that:

Theorem 4.9. *The function $\Phi : Sp_{2g}(k) \rightarrow W(k)/I^2$ that to $x \in Sp_g(k)$ associates $f_{00}(x) \bmod I^2$ is the unique function on $Sp_{2g}(k)$ that satisfies the equation:*

$$\forall x, y \in Sp_{2g}(k) \quad \Phi(xy) - \Phi(x) - \Phi(y) = \mu(x, y) \bmod I^2.$$

We end by an observation on the function Φ that is both elementary and new. Recall that for any $a \in k^*$ then $\langle 1, -a \rangle$ denotes the associated Pfister form, and that the stabilizer of a Lagrangian L , $\text{Stab}_L \subset Sp_{2g}(k)$ is made of those matrices that according to the decomposition $k^{2g} = L \oplus L^*$ are of the form:

$$\begin{pmatrix} x & u \\ 0 & {}^t x^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

where $x \in GL_g(k)$ and u is a symmetric bilinear form on L^* . In particular there is a split short exact sequence:

$$1 \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}_{L^*} \longrightarrow \text{Stab}_L \longrightarrow GL_g(k) \longrightarrow 1.$$

A section is given by the function $h : x \mapsto h(x) = \begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & {}^t x^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$.

Proposition 4.10. *The restriction of Φ to the subgroup Stab_L is a morphism with values in the fundamental ideal I , it factors through the canonical projection $\text{Stab}_L \rightarrow GL_g(k)$; more precisely:*

$$\Phi\left(\begin{pmatrix} x & u \\ 0 & {}^t x^{-1} \end{pmatrix}\right) = \langle 1, -\det x \rangle \in I/I^2 = k^*/(k^*)^2.$$

Proof. That $\Phi|_{\text{Stab}_L}$ is a morphism is a direct consequence of Corollary 4.7.

The following relation among symplectic matrices:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & u^t x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & {}^t x^{-1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x & u \\ 0 & {}^t x^{-1} \end{pmatrix},$$

shows that to compute Φ it is enough to consider the cases where $u = 0$ on the one hand and $x = Id$ on the other.

- (1) Let us start with $u \neq 0$ and $x = Id$. Our matrix is therefore an element in \mathcal{S}_{L^*} , and we can use the Sturm sequence $\underline{0}, u, \underline{0}$ to compute the value of Φ . The associated Sylvester matrix is:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & -u & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

An immediate computation shows that this quadratic form, whose support is $L \oplus L^* \oplus L$ has as kernel L , embedded as the elements of the form $(x, 0, -x)$. Its regularization has therefore as support $L \oplus L^* \oplus L/L \simeq L \oplus L^*$. The regularized matrix of $S(\underline{0}u\underline{0})$ is then:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & -u \end{pmatrix}$$

which is neutral and therefore $\Phi(u) = 0$.

- (2) If $u = 0$. Recall that since we work over a field, given $x \in GL_g(k)$, there exist two symmetric forms p et q such that $x = p^{-1}q$.

Let us define

$$m(q) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ q & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -q^{-1} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ q & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then

$$m(-p)m(q) = \begin{pmatrix} p^{-1}q & 0 \\ 0 & {}_t(p^{-1}q)^{-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let us denote by r the type 00 Sturm sequence,

$$\underline{-p}, \overline{p^{-1}}, \underline{-p+q}, \overline{-q^{-1}}, \underline{q},$$

where we underline elements in \mathcal{S}_L^* and overline elements in \mathcal{S}_L . To compute $\Phi(h(x))$ we use the extended sequence $r\overline{0}\underline{0}$. Since x stabilizes L , and $r\overline{0}$ is a preimage of x , Lemma 4.5 shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(h(x)) &= f_{01}(r\overline{0}) + f_{00}(\underline{0}) \bmod I^2 \\ &= f_{01}(r\overline{0}) \bmod I^2 \end{aligned}$$

Since x also stabilizes L^* , $E(r\overline{0})L^* = L^* \cap L$ and the Sylvester matrix of $r\overline{0}$ is non-degenerated. The support of this quadratic form is $L \oplus L^* \oplus L \oplus L^* \oplus L \oplus L^*$ which has even dimension $6g$, and hence $\Phi(h(x)) \in I$.

We now have to compute the discriminant of $S(r\overline{0})$. As in the proof of Lemma 4.4, the evaluation of the components of the Sturm sequence $r\overline{0}$ gives by construction:

$$E(r\overline{0}) = h(x)$$

and as $r\overline{0}$ has an even number of elements, Scholie 5.2.6 in [Bar08] tells us exactly that:

$$\text{disc}(S(r\overline{0})) = \det(x^{-1}) = \det(x) \bmod (k^*)^2.$$

□

Let us now consider the group $Stab_{L \oplus L^*}$ of those elements that stabilize the decomposition $L \oplus L^*$ of $H(L)$. The elements of this group fall into two disjoint families:

- (1) Those elements of the form:

$$h(x) = \begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & {}_t x^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

with $x \in GL_g(k)$, they stabilize both Lagrangians separately.

(2) Those elements of the form

$$m(y) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -{}^t y^{-1} \\ y & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

with $y \in GL_g(k)$, that swap the two Lagrangians L and L^* .

These two types of elements together clearly generate the group $Stab_{L \oplus L^*}$.

Proposition 4.11. *The restriction of the function Φ to the subgroup $Stab_{L \oplus L^*}$ is a group homomorphism. Moreover*

$$\Phi\left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -{}^t y^{-1} \\ y & 0 \end{pmatrix}\right)$$

is the Witt class of the pair $((-1)^{\frac{g(g-1)}{2}} \det(y), 3g) \in (k^*/(k^*)^2, \mathbb{Z}/4)$ via the morphism F defined at the end of Section 2.1.

Proof. It is enough to show that $\forall v, w \in GL_g(k)$, $\Phi(m(v)m(w)) = \Phi(m(v)) + \Phi(m(w))$.

We apply the relation that characterizes Φ to the elements $m(v)$ and $m(v^{-1})m(w) = h(v^{-1}w)$; by Corollary 4.7:

$$0 = \mu(m(v), m(v^{-1})m(w)) = \Phi(m(w)) - \Phi(m(v)) - \Phi(m(v^{-1})m(w)),$$

which means that:

$$\Phi(m(w)) - \Phi(m(v)) = \Phi(m(v^{-1})m(w))$$

As this relation is satisfied for arbitrary v and w , it is enough to show that $\Phi(m(v)) = -\Phi(m(v^{-1}))$. For this we compute $\Phi(m(v))$ from relation (*). A representative of $m(v)$ is given by the Sturm sequence $v : \underline{v}, \overline{-v^{-1}}, \underline{v}$, whose associated Sylvester matrix is:

$$S(v) = \begin{pmatrix} v & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & v^{-1} & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & v \end{pmatrix}$$

since $E(v)L_0 = m(v)L_0 = L_1 \pitchfork L_0$, the Sylvester matrix $S(v)$ is non-degenerated, and its rank modulo 4 equals g . To compute the discriminant of $S(v)$, we calculate directly:

$$\begin{vmatrix} v & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & v^{-1} & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & v \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} v & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & v \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} v & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -v^{-1} & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & v \end{vmatrix} = (-1)^g \det(v)$$

and hence:

$$\text{disc}(S(v)) = (-1)^{\frac{3g(3g-1)}{2}} (-1)^g \det(v) = (-1)^{\frac{g(g-1)}{2}} \det(v).$$

□

More generally, Corollary 4.7 and Proposition 4.11 show that the value of Φ on the matrices on the left side of the following equalities in the symplectic group only depends on v and is computed using the two preceding Propositions:

$$\begin{pmatrix} v & x \\ -{}^t x^{-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x & v \\ 0 & -{}^t x^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -{}^t x^{-1} \\ x & v \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x & v \\ 0 & -{}^t x^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

Again by Corollary 4.7 and Proposition 4.10 we can compute Φ on the matrix:

$$\begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ hx & -{}^t x^{-1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ h & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & {}^t x^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

More precisely:

$$\Phi\left(\begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ v & {}^t x^{-1} \end{pmatrix}\right) = \langle 1, -\det x \rangle + [vx^{-1}]$$

where $[vx^{-1}]$ stands for the Witt class of the regularization of the quadratic form vx^{-1} with support L , and which is again symmetric.

APPENDIX A. A CLASSIC FACTORIZATION OF INVERTIBLE MATRICES

In this annex we show the following result, it is elementary but we were unable to find any satisfactory reference for it.

Proposition A.1. *Let k be a field and let $f : k^n \rightarrow k^n$ be an invertible linear endomorphism. Then there exist two symmetric bilinear forms on k^n , say p and q , such that $f = p^{-1}q$.*

On the matrix level, this says that if $G \in Gl_n(k)$ then there exist two symmetric matrices S and T such that $G = TS^{-1}$. We show the theorem in this equivalent form.

Proof. We start with the case where G is a companion matrix. Let $P(X) = X^d + \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} a_i X^i$ denote a unitary polynomial of degree $d \geq 1$. The companion matrix of P is

$$C(P) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & -a_0 \\ 1 & \ddots & & -a_1 \\ & \ddots & 0 & \vdots \\ & & 1 & -a_{d-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

This is the matrix, written in the canonical basis $1, \overline{X}, \dots, \overline{X}^{d-1}$, of the linear endomorphism $\mu_{\overline{X}}$ of the k -vector space $E_P = k[X]/(P(X))$ given by multiplication by \overline{X} . Let us inductively define a new basis for E_P , known as Hörner's basis, by setting:

$$\begin{cases} H_0 & = 1 \\ H_i & = \overline{X}H_{i-1} + a_{d-i} \text{ for all } i \in \{1, \dots, d-1\}. \end{cases}$$

In the basis (H_{d-1}, \dots, H_0) , the matrix for $\mu_{\overline{X}}$ is now:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & 0 & 1 \\ -a_0 & -a_1 & \cdots & -a_{d-1} \end{pmatrix} = {}^t C(P).$$

The change of basis matrix from Hörner's basis to the canonical basis is a symmetric matrix:

$$S := \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & a_2 & \cdots & a_{d-1} & 1 \\ a_2 & \cdots & a_{d-1} & 1 & \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & & \\ a_{d-1} & 1 & & & \\ 1 & & & & \end{pmatrix}$$

In particular ${}^tC(P) = S^{-1}C(P)S$

Now, by the Frobenius theorem any matrix is conjugated to a matrix that is diagonal by blocks, where each block is a companion matrix. As this block matrix is then conjugated via a symmetric matrix to its transpose, an immediate computation shows that G itself is conjugated via a symmetric matrix to its own transpose.

Finally, if ${}^tG = S^{-1}GS$, with S symmetric, we set $T = S({}^tG) = GS$ and compute:

$$\begin{aligned} {}^tT &= G({}^tS) \\ &= GS \\ &= T. \end{aligned}$$

We conclude that T itself is symmetric and observe that by construction $G = TS^{-1}$. \square

REFERENCES

- [Bar] J. Barge, J. et Lannes. In preparation.
- [Bar08] J. Barge, J. et Lannes. *Suites de Sturm, indice de Maslov et périodicité de Bott*, volume 267 of *Progress in Mathematics*. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2008.
- [Gil13] Gregor Gilmer, Patrick M. et Masbaum. Maslov index, Lagrangians, mapping class groups and TQFT. *Forum Math.*, 25(5):1067–1106, 2013.
- [Lam05] T. Lam. *Introduction to Quadratic Forms over Fields*, volume 67 of *Graduate Studies in Mathematics*. American Mathematical Society, 2005.
- [Mil73] Dale Milnor, John et Husemoller. *Symmetric bilinear forms*. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1973. *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, Band 73*.
- [Par00] R. et Sridharan R. Parimala, R. et Preeti. Maslov index and a central extension of the symplectic group. *K-Theory*, 19(1):29–45, 2000.
- [Rob96] Derek J. S. Robinson. *A course in the theory of groups*, volume 80 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, second edition, 1996.
- [Sou76] Jean-Marie Souriau. Construction explicite de l'indice de Maslov. Applications. pages 117–148. *Lecture Notes in Phys.*, Vol. 50, 1976.
- [Tur84] V. G. Turaev. A cocycle of the symplectic first Chern class and Maslov indices. *Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen.*, 18(1):43–48, 1984.
- [Wal69] C. T. C. Wall. Non-additivity of the signature. *Invent. Math.*, 7:269–274, 1969.

DEPARTAMENT DE MATEMÀTIQUES, UNIVERSITAT AUTÒNOMA DE BARCELONA, 08193 BELLATERRA (CERDANYOLA DEL VALLÉS)

Email address: pitsch@mat.uab.es