

Radical layer length and syzygy-finite algebras ^{*†}

Junling Zheng [‡]

Department of Mathematics, China Jiliang University, Hangzhou, 310018, P. R. China

Abstract

Let Λ be an artin algebra. We obtain that Λ is syzygy-finite when the radical layer length of Λ is at most two; as two consequences, we give a new upper bound for the dimension of the bounded derived category of the category $\text{mod } \Lambda$ of finitely generated right Λ -modules in terms of the projective of certain class of simple right Λ -modules and also get the left big finitistic dimension conjecture holds.

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Preliminaries	2
2.1	The dimension of a triangulated category	2
2.2	Radical layer lengths and torsion pairs	3
2.3	Some facts	4
2.4	Short exact sequences and radical layer length	4
3	Main results	6

1 Introduction

Given a triangulated category \mathcal{T} , Rouquier introduced in [18] the dimension $\dim \mathcal{T}$ of \mathcal{T} under the idea of Bondal and van den Bergh in [6]. This dimension and the infimum of the Orlov spectrum of \mathcal{T} coincide, see [16, 4]. Roughly speaking, it is an invariant that measures how quickly the category can be built from one object. Many authors have studied the upper bound of $\dim \mathcal{T}$, see [4, 5, 7, 8, 12, 15, 18, 19, 21, 23, 22] and so on. There are a lot of triangulated categories having infinite dimension, for instance, Oppermann and Šťovíček proved in [15] that all proper thick subcategories of the bounded derived category of finitely generated modules over a Noetherian algebra containing perfect complexes have infinite dimension.

Let Λ be an artin algebra. Let $\text{mod } \Lambda$ be the category of finitely generated right Λ -modules and let $D^b(\text{mod } \Lambda)$ be the bounded derived category of $\text{mod } \Lambda$. The upper bounds for the dimensions of the bounded derived category of $\text{mod } \Lambda$ can be given in terms of the Loewy length $\text{LL}(\Lambda)$ and the global dimension $\text{gl.dim } \Lambda$ of Λ .

^{*}2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 18G25, 16E30, 16E10.

[†]Keywords: derived categories, left big finitistic dimension, radical layer length, syzygy-finite

[‡]Email: zhengjunling@cjlu.edu.cn

For a length-category \mathcal{C} , generalizing the Loewy length, Huard, Lanzilotta and Hernández introduced in [9, 11] the (radical) layer length associated with a torsion pair, which is a new measure for objects of \mathcal{C} . Let Λ be an artin algebra and \mathcal{V} a set of some simple modules in $\text{mod } \Lambda$. Let $t_{\mathcal{V}}$ be the torsion radical of a torsion pair associated with \mathcal{V} (see Section 3 for details). We use $\ell^{\ell^{\mathcal{V}}}(\Lambda)$ to denote the $t_{\mathcal{V}}$ -radical layer length of Λ . For a module M in $\text{mod } \Lambda$, we use $\text{pd } M$ to denote the projective dimensions of M ; in particular, set $\text{pd } M = -1$ if $M = 0$. For a subclass \mathcal{B} of $\text{mod } \Lambda$, the **projective dimension** $\text{pd } \mathcal{B}$ of \mathcal{B} is defined as

$$\text{pd } \mathcal{B} = \begin{cases} \sup\{\text{pd } M \mid M \in \mathcal{B}\}, & \text{if } \mathcal{B} \neq \emptyset; \\ -1, & \text{if } \mathcal{B} = \emptyset. \end{cases}$$

Note that \mathcal{V} is a finite set. So, if each simple module in \mathcal{V} has finite projective dimension, then $\text{pd } \mathcal{V}$ attains its (finite) maximum.

Now, let us list some results about the upper bound of the dimension of bounded derived categories.

Theorem 1.1. *Let Λ be an artin algebra and \mathcal{V} a set of some simple modules in $\text{mod } \Lambda$. Then we have*

- (1) ([19, Proposition 7.37]) $\dim D^b(\text{mod } \Lambda) \leq \text{LL}(\Lambda) - 1$;
- (2) ([19, Proposition 7.4] and [12, Proposition 2.6]) $\dim D^b(\text{mod } \Lambda) \leq \text{gl.dim } \Lambda$;
- (3) ([22, Theorem 3.8]) $\dim D^b(\text{mod } \Lambda) \leq (\text{pd } \mathcal{V} + 2)(\ell^{\ell^{\mathcal{V}}}(\Lambda) + 1) - 2$;
- (4) ([21]) $\dim D^b(\text{mod } \Lambda) \leq 2(\text{pd } \mathcal{V} + \ell^{\ell^{\mathcal{V}}}(\Lambda)) + 1$.

For an integer $m \geq 0$, we denote by $\Omega^m(X)$ the m -th syzygy of $X \in \text{mod } \Lambda$ and we denote by

$$\Omega^m(\text{mod } \Lambda) = \{M \mid M \text{ is a direct summand of } \Omega^m(N) \text{ for some } N \in \text{mod } \Lambda\}.$$

Following [20, P. 834], Λ is called *m -syzygy-finite* if there are only finitely many non-isomorphic indecomposable modules in $\Omega^m(\text{mod } \Lambda)$. If there is some nonnegative integer m , such that Λ is m -syzygy-finite, then Λ is said to be *syzygy-finite*.

The aim of this paper is to prove the following

Theorem 1.2. (see Theorem 3.4 and Corollary 3.7) *Let A be an artin algebra. Let $\mathcal{V} \subseteq \mathcal{S}^{<\infty}$. If $\ell^{\ell^{\mathcal{V}}}(A_A) \leq 2$, then A is $(\text{pd } \mathcal{V} + 2)$ -syzygy-finite and $\dim D^b(\text{mod } A) \leq \text{pd } \mathcal{V} + 3$ and the left big finitistic dimension conjecture holds.*

We also give examples to explain our results. In this case, we may be able to get a better upper bound on the dimension of the bounded derived category of $\text{mod } \Lambda$.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 The dimension of a triangulated category

We recall some notions from [18, 19, 14]. Let \mathcal{T} be a triangulated category and $\mathcal{I} \subseteq \text{Ob } \mathcal{T}$. Let $\langle \mathcal{I} \rangle$ be the full subcategory consisting of \mathcal{T} of all direct summands of finite direct sums of shifts

of objects in \mathcal{T} . Given two subclasses $\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_2 \subseteq \text{Ob}\mathcal{T}$, we denote $\mathcal{I}_1 * \mathcal{I}_2$ by the full subcategory of all extensions between them, that is,

$$\mathcal{I}_1 * \mathcal{I}_2 = \{X \mid X_1 \longrightarrow X \longrightarrow X_2 \longrightarrow X_1[1] \text{ with } X_1 \in \mathcal{I}_1 \text{ and } X_2 \in \mathcal{I}_2\}.$$

Write $\mathcal{I}_1 \diamond \mathcal{I}_2 := \langle \mathcal{I}_1 * \mathcal{I}_2 \rangle$. Then $(\mathcal{I}_1 \diamond \mathcal{I}_2) \diamond \mathcal{I}_3 = \mathcal{I}_1 \diamond (\mathcal{I}_2 \diamond \mathcal{I}_3)$ for any subclasses $\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_2$ and \mathcal{I}_3 of \mathcal{T} by the octahedral axiom. Write

$$\langle \mathcal{I} \rangle_0 := 0, \langle \mathcal{I} \rangle_1 := \langle \mathcal{I} \rangle \text{ and } \langle \mathcal{I} \rangle_{n+1} := \langle \mathcal{I} \rangle_n \diamond \langle \mathcal{I} \rangle_1 \text{ for any } n \geq 1.$$

Definition 2.1. ([18, Definiton 3.2]) *The **dimension** $\dim \mathcal{T}$ of a triangulated category \mathcal{T} is the minimal d such that there exists an object $M \in \mathcal{T}$ with $\mathcal{T} = \langle M \rangle_{d+1}$. If no such M exists for any d , then we set $\dim \mathcal{T} = \infty$.*

2.2 Radical layer lengths and torsion pairs

We recall some notions from [9]. Let \mathcal{C} be a **length-category**, that is, \mathcal{C} is an abelian, skeletally small category and every object of \mathcal{C} has a finite composition series. We use $\text{End}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathcal{C})$ to denote the category of all additive functors from \mathcal{C} to \mathcal{C} , and use rad to denote the Jacobson radical lying in $\text{End}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathcal{C})$. For any $\alpha \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathcal{C})$, set the α -**radical functor** $F_{\alpha} := \text{rad} \circ \alpha$.

Definition 2.2. ([9, Definition 3.1]) For any $\alpha, \beta \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathcal{C})$, we define the (α, β) -**layer length** $\ell_{\alpha}^{\beta} : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ via $\ell_{\alpha}^{\beta}(M) = \inf\{i \geq 0 \mid \alpha \circ \beta^i(M) = 0\}$; and the α -**radical layer length** $\ell^{\alpha} := \ell_{\alpha}^{F_{\alpha}}$.

For more information about radical layer length, we can see [11, 9, 22, 23].

Lemma 2.3. ([22, Lemma 2.6]) *Let $\alpha, \beta \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathcal{C})$. For any $M \in \mathcal{C}$, if $\ell_{\alpha}^{\beta}(M) = n$, then $\ell_{\alpha}^{\beta}(M) = \ell_{\alpha}^{\beta}(\beta^j(M)) + j$ for any $0 \leq j \leq n$; in particular, if $\ell^{\alpha}(M) = n$, then $\ell^{\alpha}(F_{\alpha}^n(M)) = 0$.*

Recall that a **torsion pair** (or **torsion theory**) for \mathcal{C} is a pair of classes $(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{F})$ of objects in \mathcal{C} satisfying the following conditions.

- (1) $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(M, N) = 0$ for any $M \in \mathcal{T}$ and $N \in \mathcal{F}$;
- (2) an object $X \in \mathcal{C}$ is in \mathcal{T} if $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, -)|_{\mathcal{F}} = 0$;
- (3) an object $Y \in \mathcal{C}$ is in \mathcal{F} if $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(-, Y)|_{\mathcal{T}} = 0$.

For a subfunctor α of $1_{\mathcal{C}}$, we write $q_{\alpha} := 1_{\mathcal{C}}/\alpha$. Let $(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{F})$ be a torsion pair for \mathcal{C} . Recall that the **torsion radical** t is a functor in $\text{End}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathcal{C})$ such that

$$0 \longrightarrow t(M) \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow q_t(M) \longrightarrow 0$$

is a short exact sequence and $q_t(M)(= M/t(M)) \in \mathcal{F}$.

2.3 Some facts

In this section, Λ is an artin algebra. Then $\text{mod } \Lambda$ is a length-category. For a module M in $\text{mod } \Lambda$, we use $\text{rad } M$ and $\text{top } M$ to denote the radical, socle and top of M respectively. For a subclass \mathcal{W} of $\text{mod } \Lambda$, we use $\text{add } \mathcal{W}$ to denote the subcategory of $\text{mod } \Lambda$ consisting of direct summands of finite direct sums of modules in \mathcal{W} , and if $\mathcal{W} = \{M\}$ for some $M \in \text{mod } \Lambda$, we write $\text{add } M := \text{add } \mathcal{W}$.

Let \mathcal{S}^∞ be the set of the simple modules with infinite projective dimension and $\mathcal{S}^{<\infty}$ be the set of the simple module with finite projective dimension. Let \mathcal{S} be the set of the simple modules in $\text{mod } \Lambda$, and let \mathcal{V} be a subset of \mathcal{S} and \mathcal{V}' the set of all the others simple modules in $\text{mod } \Lambda$, that is, $\mathcal{V}' = \mathcal{S} \setminus \mathcal{V}$. We write $\mathfrak{F}(\mathcal{V}) := \{M \in \text{mod } \Lambda \mid \text{there exists a finite chain}$

$$0 = M_0 \subseteq M_1 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq M_m = M$$

of submodules of M such that each quotient M_i/M_{i-1} is isomorphic to some module in $\mathcal{V}\}$. By [9, Lemma 5.7 and Proposition 5.9], we have that $(\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{V}}, \mathfrak{F}(\mathcal{V}))$ is a torsion pair, where

$$\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{V}} = \{M \in \text{mod } \Lambda \mid \text{top } M \in \text{add } \mathcal{V}'\}.$$

We use $t_{\mathcal{V}}$ to denote the torsion radical of the torsion pair $(\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{V}}, \mathfrak{F}(\mathcal{V}))$. Then $t_{\mathcal{V}}(M) \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{V}}$ and $q_{t_{\mathcal{V}}}(M) \in \mathfrak{F}(\mathcal{V})$ for any $M \in \text{mod } \Lambda$.

2.4 Short exact sequences and radical layer length

Lemma 2.4. *For any module $X \in \text{mod } \Lambda$. We have*

- (1) $t_{\mathcal{V}}(\Lambda_\Lambda)$ is a two side ideal and $t_{\mathcal{V}}(X) = X t_{\mathcal{V}}(\Lambda_\Lambda)$.
- (2) $\text{rad } X = X \text{rad}(\Lambda_\Lambda)$.
- (3) $\text{rad } t_{\mathcal{V}}(\Lambda_\Lambda) = t_{\mathcal{V}}(\Lambda_\Lambda) \text{rad}(\Lambda_\Lambda)$.
- (4) $t_{\mathcal{V}} \text{rad } t_{\mathcal{V}}(\Lambda_\Lambda) = t_{\mathcal{V}}(\Lambda_\Lambda) \text{rad}(\Lambda_\Lambda) t_{\mathcal{V}}(\Lambda_\Lambda)$.
- (5) $t_{\mathcal{V}} F_{t_{\mathcal{V}}}^i(\Lambda_\Lambda)$ is an ideal of Λ for each $i \geq 0$.

Proof. (1) See [9, Proposition 5.9(c)].

(2) See [3, Propostion 3.5].

(3) Let $X = t_{\mathcal{V}}(\Lambda_\Lambda)$ and By(2).

(4) By (1)(3).

(5) By (1)(2)(3)(4). □

Lemma 2.5. *For any module $X \in \text{mod } \Lambda$, we have $t_{\mathcal{V}} F_{t_{\mathcal{V}}}^i(X) = X(t_{\mathcal{V}} F_{t_{\mathcal{V}}}^i(\Lambda_\Lambda))$ for each $i \geq 0$.*

Proof. If $i = 0$, by Lemma 2.4(1).

Suppose that if $i = n$, we have $t_{\mathcal{V}} F_{t_{\mathcal{V}}}^n(X) = X(t_{\mathcal{V}} F_{t_{\mathcal{V}}}^n(\Lambda_\Lambda))$.

Now consider the case $i = n + 1$.

$$\begin{aligned}
t_{\mathcal{V}}F_{t_{\mathcal{V}}}^{n+1}(X) &= t_{\mathcal{V}}F_{t_{\mathcal{V}}}^n(F_{t_{\mathcal{V}}}(X)) \\
&= F_{t_{\mathcal{V}}}(X)t_{\mathcal{V}}F_{t_{\mathcal{V}}}^n(\Lambda_{\Lambda}) && \text{(by assumption)} \\
&= \text{rad}(t_{\mathcal{V}}(X))t_{\mathcal{V}}F_{t_{\mathcal{V}}}^n(\Lambda_{\Lambda}) && \text{(by } F_{t_{\mathcal{V}}} = \text{rad} \circ t_{\mathcal{V}}) \\
&= t_{\mathcal{V}}(X) \text{rad}(\Lambda_{\Lambda})t_{\mathcal{V}}F_{t_{\mathcal{V}}}^n(\Lambda_{\Lambda}) && \text{(by Lemma 2.4(2))} \\
&= t_{\mathcal{V}}(X) \text{rad}(t_{\mathcal{V}}F_{t_{\mathcal{V}}}^n(\Lambda_{\Lambda})) && \text{(by Lemma 2.4(2))} \\
&= t_{\mathcal{V}}(X)F_{t_{\mathcal{V}}}^{n+1}(\Lambda_{\Lambda}) && \text{(by } F_{t_{\mathcal{V}}} = \text{rad} \circ t_{\mathcal{V}}) \\
&= Xt_{\mathcal{V}}(\Lambda_{\Lambda})F_{t_{\mathcal{V}}}^{n+1}(\Lambda_{\Lambda}) && \text{(by Lemma 2.4(1))} \\
&= Xt_{\mathcal{V}}F_{t_{\mathcal{V}}}^{n+1}(\Lambda_{\Lambda}) && \text{(by Lemma 2.4(3)(4)).}
\end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 2.6. ([22, Lemma 3.3]) *The functor $t_{\mathcal{V}}$ preserve monomorphism and epimorphism.*

Lemma 2.7. *The functor rad preserve monomorphism and epimorphism.*

Proof. Note that rad preserve monomorphism (see [9, Lemma 3.6(a)]) and epimorphism (see [2, Chapter V, Lemma 1.1]). □

Lemma 2.8. *For each $i \geq 0$, $F_{t_{\mathcal{V}}}^i = \text{rad} \circ t_{\mathcal{V}}$ and $t_{\mathcal{V}}F_{t_{\mathcal{V}}}^i = \text{rad} \circ t_{\mathcal{V}}$ preserve monomorphism and epimorphism.*

Proof. By Lemma 2.6 and Lemma 2.7. □

By Definition 2.2, we have the following observation.

Lemma 2.9. *For any module $X \in \text{mod } \Lambda$, we have $t_{\mathcal{V}}F_{t_{\mathcal{V}}}^{\ell^{\mathcal{V}}(X)}(X) = 0$.*

Now, we give the main theorem in this paper.

Theorem 2.10. *Let $0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow M \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$ be an exact sequence in $\text{mod } \Lambda$. Then*

$$\max\{\ell^{\mathcal{V}}(L), \ell^{\mathcal{V}}(N)\} \leq \ell^{\mathcal{V}}(M) \leq \ell^{\mathcal{V}}(L) + \ell^{\mathcal{V}}(N).$$

In particular, if $\ell^{\mathcal{V}}(L) = 0$, then $\ell^{\mathcal{V}}(N) = \ell^{\mathcal{V}}(M)$; if $\ell^{\mathcal{V}}(N) = 0$, then $\ell^{\mathcal{V}}(L) = \ell^{\mathcal{V}}(M)$.

Proof. By Lemma 2.8, we know that $F_{t_{\mathcal{V}}} = \text{rad} \circ t_{\mathcal{V}}$ preserve monomorphism and epimorphism. Thus by [9, Lemma 3.4(b)(c)], we can obtain that $\ell^{\mathcal{V}}(L) \leq \ell^{\mathcal{V}}(M)$ and $\ell^{\mathcal{V}}(N) \leq \ell^{\mathcal{V}}(M)$, that is,

$$\max\{\ell^{\mathcal{V}}(L), \ell^{\mathcal{V}}(N)\} \leq \ell^{\mathcal{V}}(M).$$

Next, we will prove the second ' \leq '.

By Lemma 2.4(5), we know that $t_{\mathcal{V}}F_{t_{\mathcal{V}}}^i(\Lambda_{\Lambda})$ is an ideal of Λ for each $i \geq 0$. By assumption, we have $M/L \cong N$. Moreover, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(t_{\mathcal{V}}F_{t_{\mathcal{V}}}^{\ell^{\mathcal{V}}(N)}(M) + L)/L &= (M(t_{\mathcal{V}}F_{t_{\mathcal{V}}}^{\ell^{\mathcal{V}}(N)}(\Lambda_{\Lambda})) + L)/L && \text{(by Lemma 2.5)} \\
&= (M/L)(t_{\mathcal{V}}F_{t_{\mathcal{V}}}^{\ell^{\mathcal{V}}(N)}(\Lambda_{\Lambda})) \\
&\cong N(t_{\mathcal{V}}F_{t_{\mathcal{V}}}^{\ell^{\mathcal{V}}(N)}(\Lambda_{\Lambda})) && \text{(by } M/L \cong N) \\
&= t_{\mathcal{V}}F_{t_{\mathcal{V}}}^{\ell^{\mathcal{V}}(N)}(N) && \text{(by Lemma 2.5)} \\
&= 0 && \text{(by Lemma 2.9).}
\end{aligned}$$

That is, $t_{\mathcal{V}}F_{t_{\mathcal{V}}}^{\ell t_{\mathcal{V}}}(M) + L = L$. Moreover, $t_{\mathcal{V}}F_{t_{\mathcal{V}}}^{\ell t_{\mathcal{V}}}(M) \subseteq L$. And by Lemma 2.8 and Lemma 2.9, we have

$$t_{\mathcal{V}}F_{t_{\mathcal{V}}}^{\ell t_{\mathcal{V}}(L)}(t_{\mathcal{V}}F_{t_{\mathcal{V}}}^{\ell t_{\mathcal{V}}(N)}(M)) \subseteq t_{\mathcal{V}}F_{t_{\mathcal{V}}}^{\ell t_{\mathcal{V}}(L)}(L) = 0,$$

where we use the fact that $t_{\mathcal{V}}$ is idempotent, that is, $t_{\mathcal{V}}^2 = t_{\mathcal{V}}$. That is,

$$t_{\mathcal{V}}F_{t_{\mathcal{V}}}^{\ell t_{\mathcal{V}}(L)+\ell t_{\mathcal{V}}(N)}(M) = t_{\mathcal{V}}F_{t_{\mathcal{V}}}^{\ell t_{\mathcal{V}}(L)}(t_{\mathcal{V}}F_{t_{\mathcal{V}}}^{\ell t_{\mathcal{V}}(N)}(M)) \subseteq t_{\mathcal{V}}F_{t_{\mathcal{V}}}^{\ell t_{\mathcal{V}}(L)}(L) = 0.$$

Thus, $\ell t_{\mathcal{V}}(M) \leq \ell t_{\mathcal{V}}(L) + \ell t_{\mathcal{V}}(N)$ by Definition 2.2. \square

Remark 2.11. Note that the functions Loewy length LL and infinite layer length $\ell\ell^{\infty}$ are particular radical layer length, more details see [9, 11]. Corollary 2.12(1) is a classical result. The first “ \leq ” in Corollary 2.12(2) is first established in [11, Proposition 4.5(a)(b)].

Corollary 2.12. *Let $0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow M \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$ be an exact sequence in $\text{mod } \Lambda$. Then*

- (1) $\max\{\text{LL}(L), \text{LL}(N)\} \leq \text{LL}(M) \leq \text{LL}(L) + \text{LL}(N)$.
- (2) $\max\{\ell\ell^{\infty}(L), \ell\ell^{\infty}(N)\} \leq \ell\ell^{\infty}(M) \leq \ell\ell^{\infty}(L) + \ell\ell^{\infty}(N)$.
- (3) *if $\ell\ell^{\infty}(L) = 0$, then $\ell\ell^{\infty}(N) = \ell\ell^{\infty}(M)$; if $\ell\ell^{\infty}(N) = 0$, then $\ell\ell^{\infty}(L) = \ell\ell^{\infty}(M)$.*

Proof. (1)(2) are particular cases of Theorem 2.10.

- (3) if $\ell\ell^{\infty}(L) = 0$, by (2), we have

$$\ell\ell^{\infty}(N) = \max\{\ell\ell^{\infty}(L), \ell\ell^{\infty}(N)\} \leq \ell\ell^{\infty}(M) \leq \ell\ell^{\infty}(L) + \ell\ell^{\infty}(N) = \ell\ell^{\infty}(N),$$

that is, $\ell\ell^{\infty}(N) = \ell\ell^{\infty}(N)$. Similarly, if $\ell\ell^{\infty}(N) = 0$, then $\ell\ell^{\infty}(L) = \ell\ell^{\infty}(M)$. \square

3 Main results

Lemma 3.1. ([10, Lemma 3.6]) *Let $0 \rightarrow X \rightarrow Y \rightarrow Z \rightarrow 0$ be an exact sequence in $\text{mod } A$. Then we have the following:*

- (1) *if $\text{pd } Z$ is finite then, for any m with $\text{pd } Z \leq m$, there are projective A -modules P_m and P'_m such that $\Omega^m(X) \oplus P_m \cong \Omega^m(Y) \oplus P'_m$*
- (2) *if $\text{pd } X$ is finite then, for any m with $\text{pd } X \leq m$, there are projective A -modules P_m and P'_m such that $\Omega^{m+1}(Y) \oplus P_m \cong \Omega^{m+1}(Z) \oplus P'_m$*

The following lemma is a special case of [9, Lemma 6.3].

Lemma 3.2. ([9, Lemma 6.3]) *Let $\mathcal{V} \subseteq \mathcal{S}^{<\infty}$ and $M \in \text{mod } A$. If $t_{\mathcal{V}}(M) \neq 0$, then $\ell\ell^{\mathcal{V}}(\Omega t_{\mathcal{V}}(M)) \leq \ell\ell^{\mathcal{V}}(A_A) - 1$.*

Lemma 3.3. *Let $M, N \in \text{mod } A$. If $M \in \text{add}(N)$, then for any $n \geq 0$, we have $\Omega^n(M) \in \text{add}(\Omega^n(N))$.*

Proof. Since $M \in \text{add}(N)$, we can set $M \oplus L \cong N^s$ for some positive integer n and $L \in \text{mod } A$. Thus, $\Omega^n(M) \oplus \Omega^n(L) \cong \Omega^n(M \oplus L) \cong \Omega^n(N^s) \cong (\Omega^n(N))^s$. That is, $\Omega^n(M) \in \text{add}(\Omega^n(N))$. \square

Theorem 3.4. *Let $\mathcal{V} \subseteq \mathcal{S}^{<\infty}$. If $\ell\ell^{\mathcal{V}}(A_A) \leq 2$, then $\text{mod } A$ is $(\text{pd } \mathcal{V} + 2)$ -syzygy-finite.*

Proof. We set $\delta = \text{pd } \mathcal{V}$. If $\ell^{t\mathcal{V}}(A_A) = 0$. For any module $M \in \text{mod } A$, we have $\ell^{t\mathcal{V}}(M) \leq \ell^{t\mathcal{V}}(A_A) = 0$, that is, $\ell^{t\mathcal{V}}(M) = 0$. And then $M \in \mathfrak{F}(\mathcal{V})$, moreover, $\text{pd } M \leq \delta$.

Now consider the case $1 \leq \ell^{t\mathcal{V}}(A_A) = 2$. We have the following two canonical two short exact sequences

$$0 \longrightarrow t_{\mathcal{V}}(M) \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow q_{t_{\mathcal{V}}}(M) \longrightarrow 0, \quad (3.1)$$

$$0 \longrightarrow t_{\mathcal{V}}\Omega t_{\mathcal{V}}(M) \longrightarrow \Omega t_{\mathcal{V}}(M) \longrightarrow q_{t_{\mathcal{V}}}\Omega t_{\mathcal{V}}(M) \longrightarrow 0, \quad (3.2)$$

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{rad } t_{\mathcal{V}}\Omega t_{\mathcal{V}}(M) \longrightarrow t_{\mathcal{V}}\Omega t_{\mathcal{V}}(M) \longrightarrow \text{top } t_{\mathcal{V}}\Omega t_{\mathcal{V}}(M) \longrightarrow 0. \quad (3.3)$$

For any module $M \in \text{mod } A$.

If $\ell^{t\mathcal{V}}(\Omega t_{\mathcal{V}}(M)) = 0$, by Lemma 2.10 and sequence (3.2) we know that

$$\ell^{t\mathcal{V}}(t_{\mathcal{V}}\Omega t_{\mathcal{V}}(M)) = \ell^{t\mathcal{V}}(\Omega t_{\mathcal{V}}(M)) = 0;$$

and by Lemma 2.10 and sequence (3.3) we know that

$$0 \leq \ell^{t\mathcal{V}}(\text{rad } t_{\mathcal{V}}\Omega t_{\mathcal{V}}(M)) \leq \ell^{t\mathcal{V}}(\Omega t_{\mathcal{V}}(M)) = 0.$$

That is, $\ell^{t\mathcal{V}}(\text{rad } t_{\mathcal{V}}\Omega t_{\mathcal{V}}(M)) = 0$. And then $\text{pd } \text{rad } t_{\mathcal{V}}\Omega t_{\mathcal{V}}(M) \leq \delta$.

If $\ell^{t\mathcal{V}}(\Omega t_{\mathcal{V}}(M)) = 1$. By Lemma 2.10 and sequence (3.2) we have $\ell^{t\mathcal{V}}(t_{\mathcal{V}}\Omega t_{\mathcal{V}}(M)) = \ell^{t\mathcal{V}}(\Omega t_{\mathcal{V}}(M)) = 1$. By Lemma 2.3, we have

$$\ell^{t\mathcal{V}}(\text{rad } t_{\mathcal{V}}\Omega t_{\mathcal{V}}(M)) = \ell^{t\mathcal{V}}(t_{\mathcal{V}}\Omega t_{\mathcal{V}}(M)) - 1 = 1 - 1 = 0.$$

Thus, $\text{pd } \text{rad } t_{\mathcal{V}}\Omega t_{\mathcal{V}}(M) \leq \delta$.

By the short exact sequence (3.1) and Lemma 3.1(1), we have

$$\Omega^{\delta+1}t_{\mathcal{V}}(M) \oplus P_1 = \Omega^{\delta}(\Omega t_{\mathcal{V}}(M)) \oplus P_1 \cong \Omega^{\delta+1}(M) \oplus P_2. \quad (3.4)$$

By the short exact sequence (3.2) and Lemma 3.1(1), we have

$$\Omega^{\delta+1}(t_{\mathcal{V}}\Omega t_{\mathcal{V}}(M)) \oplus P_3 \cong \Omega^{\delta+1}(\Omega t_{\mathcal{V}}(M)) \oplus P_4 = \Omega^{\delta+2}t_{\mathcal{V}}(M) \oplus P_4. \quad (3.5)$$

By the short exact sequence (3.3) and Lemma 3.1(2), we have

$$\Omega^{\delta+1}(t_{\mathcal{V}}\Omega t_{\mathcal{V}}(M)) \oplus P_5 \cong \Omega^{\delta+1} \text{top } t_{\mathcal{V}}\Omega t_{\mathcal{V}}(M) \oplus P_6. \quad (3.6)$$

And then we have the following isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega^{\delta+2}(M) \oplus P_4 \oplus P_5 &\cong \Omega(\Omega^{\delta+1}(M) \oplus P_2) \oplus P_4 \oplus P_5 \\ &\cong \Omega(\Omega^{\delta+1}t_{\mathcal{V}}(M) \oplus P_1) \oplus P_4 \oplus P_5 \quad (\text{by (3.4)}) \\ &\cong \Omega^{\delta+2}(t_{\mathcal{V}}(M)) \oplus P_4 \oplus P_5 \\ &\cong (\Omega^{\delta+1}t_{\mathcal{V}}\Omega t_{\mathcal{V}}(M) \oplus P_3) \oplus P_5 \quad (\text{by (3.5)}) \\ &\cong (\Omega^{\delta+1}t_{\mathcal{V}}\Omega t_{\mathcal{V}}(M) \oplus P_5) \oplus P_3 \\ &\cong (\Omega^{\delta+1} \text{top } t_{\mathcal{V}}\Omega t_{\mathcal{V}}(M) \oplus P_6) \oplus P_3 \quad (\text{by (3.6)}) \\ &\in \text{add}(\Omega^{\delta+2}(A/\text{rad } A) \oplus A). \quad (\text{by Lemma 3.3}) \end{aligned}$$

By assumptions and Lemma 3.2, we always have $l\ell^{t\nu}(\Omega t_{\mathcal{V}}(M)) \leq 1$. Thus, for any module $M \in \text{mod } A$, we have $\Omega^{\delta+2}(M) \in \text{add}(\Omega^{\delta+2}(A/\text{rad } A) \oplus A)$. That is, $\text{mod } A$ is $(\delta + 2)$ -syzygy-finite. \square

Corollary 3.5. *If $l\ell^{\infty}(A_A) \leq 2$, then A is syzygy-finite.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{S}^{<\infty}$, we have $l\ell^{t\nu}(A_A) = l\ell^{\infty}(A_A) \leq 2$ by [9, Example 5.8(1)]. And then by 3.4, we know that A_A is syzygy-finite. \square

The notion of the left big finitistic dimension conjecture can be seen in [17].

Corollary 3.6. *Let A be a finite dimensional algebra over a field K . Let $\mathcal{V} \subseteq \mathcal{S}^{<\infty}$. If $l\ell^{t\nu}(A_A) \leq 2$, then*

$$\text{l.Fin.dim } A < \infty,$$

where $\text{l.Fin.dim } A = \sup\{\text{pd } M \mid M \text{ is a left } \Lambda\text{-module with } \text{pd } M < \infty\}$; that is, the left big finitistic dimension conjecture holds.

Proof. By [17, Definition 4.1, Definition 4.2, Corollary 7.3, Theorem 4.3] and Theorem 3.4. \square

As a consequence we have the following upper bound on the dimension $\dim D^b(\text{mod } A)$ of the bounded derived category of $\text{mod } A$ in the sense of Rouquier (see [19, 18, 12]). Here, we have an interesting corollary as follows

Corollary 3.7. *Let $\mathcal{V} \subseteq \mathcal{S}^{<\infty}$. Suppose that $l\ell^{t\nu}(A_A) \leq 2$. Then $\dim D^b(\text{mod } A) \leq \text{pd } \mathcal{V} + 3$.*

Proof. By Theorem 3.4 and [1, Corollary 3.6]. \square


Corollary 3.8. *Let A be an artin algebra. Let $\mathcal{V} \subseteq \mathcal{S}^{<\infty}$. If $l\ell^{t\nu}(A_A) \leq 2$, then*

$$\Psi \dim(\text{mod } A) < \infty,$$


where $\Psi \dim(\text{mod } A)$ is defined in [13].

Proof. By Corollary 3.5 and [13, Theorem 3.2]. \square

Example 3.9. ([22]) Consider the bound quiver algebra $\Lambda = kQ/I$, where k is an algebraically closed field and Q is given by



and I is generated by $\{\alpha_1^2, \alpha_1\alpha_{m+1}, \alpha_1\alpha_{m+2}, \alpha_1\alpha_2, \alpha_2\alpha_3 \cdots \alpha_m\}$ with $m \geq 10$. Then the indecomposable projective Λ -modules are



and $P(i+1) = \text{rad } P(i)$ for any $2 \leq i \leq m-1$.

We have

$$\text{pd } S(i) = \begin{cases} \infty, & \text{if } i = 1; \\ 1, & \text{if } 2 \leq i \leq m-1; \\ 0, & \text{if } m \leq i \leq m+2. \end{cases}$$

So $\mathcal{S}^\infty = \{S(1)\}$ and $\mathcal{S}^{<\infty} = \{S(i) \mid 2 \leq i \leq m+2\}$.

Let $\mathcal{V} := \{S(i) \mid 3 \leq i \leq m-1\} \subseteq \mathcal{S}^{<\infty}$. Then $\text{pd } \mathcal{V} = 1$ and $\ell^{\ell^{\mathcal{V}}}(\Lambda) = 2$ (see [22, Example 4.1])


(1) By Theorem 1.1(1), we have $\dim D^b(\text{mod } \Lambda) \leq \text{LL}(\Lambda) - 1 = m - 2$.

(2) By Theorem 1.1(3), we have $\dim D^b(\text{mod } \Lambda) \leq (\text{pd } \mathcal{V} + 2)(\ell^{\ell^{\mathcal{V}}}(\Lambda) + 1) - 2 = 7$.

(3) By Theorem 1.1(4), we have $\dim D^b(\text{mod } \Lambda) \leq 2(\text{pd } \mathcal{V} + \ell^{\ell^{\mathcal{V}}}(\Lambda)) + 1 = 7$.

(4) By Corollary 3.7, $\dim D^b(\text{mod } \Lambda) \leq \text{pd } \mathcal{V} + 3 = 4$. That is, we can get a better upper bound.

Example 3.10. ([23, Example 3.21]) Consider the bound quiver algebra $\Lambda = kQ/I$, where k is a field and Q is given by



and I is generated by $\{\alpha_i\alpha_{i+1} \mid n+1 \leq i \leq 2n-1\}$ with $n \geq 6$. Then the indecomposable

- [5] P. A. Bergh, S. Oppermann, and D. A. Jorgensen. The gorenstein defect category. *The Quarterly Journal of Mathematics*, 66(2):459–471, 2015.
- [6] A. I. Bondal and M. Van den Bergh. Generators and representability of functors in commutative and noncommutative geometry. *Moscow Mathematical Journal*, 3(1):1–36, 2003.
- [7] X.-W. Chen, Y. Ye, and P. Zhang. Algebras of derived dimension zero. *Communications in Algebra*, 36(1):1–10, 2008.
- [8] Y. Han. Derived dimensions of representation-finite algebras. *arXiv preprint arXiv:0909.0330*, 2009.
- [9] F. Huard, M. Lanzilotta, and O. M. Hernández. Layer lengths, torsion theories and the finitistic dimension. *Applied Categorical Structures*, 21(4):379–392, 2013.
- [10] F. Huard, M. Lanzilotta, and O. Mendoza. An approach to the finitistic dimension conjecture. *Journal of Algebra*, 319(9):3918–3934, 2008.
- [11] F. Huard, M. Lanzilotta, and O. Mendoza. Finitistic dimension through infinite projective dimension. *Bulletin of the London Mathematical Society*, 41(2):367–376, 2009.
- [12] H. Krause and D. Kussin. Rouquier’s theorem on representation dimension. *Contemporary Mathematics*, 406:95, 2006.
- [13] M. Lanzilotta and G. Mata. Igusa-Todorov functions for artin algebras. *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra*, 2017.
- [14] S. Oppermann. Lower bounds for Auslander’s representation dimension. *Duke Mathematical Journal*, 148(2):211–249, 2009.
- [15] S. Oppermann and J. Št’ovíček. Generating the bounded derived category and perfect ghosts. *Bulletin of the London Mathematical Society*, 44(2):285–298, 2012.
- [16] D. O. Orlov. Remarks on generators and dimensions of triangulated categories. *Moscow Mathematical Journal*, 9(1):143–149, 2009.
- [17] J. Rickard. Unbounded derived categories and the finitistic dimension conjecture. *Advances in Mathematics*, 354:106735, 2019.
- [18] R. Rouquier. Representation dimension of exterior algebras. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 165(2):357–367, 2006.
- [19] R. Rouquier. Dimensions of triangulated categories. *Journal of K-theory*, 1(2):193–256, 2008.
- [20] C. Wang and C. Xi. Finitistic dimension conjecture and radical-power extensions. *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra*, 221(4):832–846, 2017.
- [21] J. Zheng and Z. Huang. The derived and extension dimensions of abelian categories. *preprint*.

- [22] J. Zheng and Z. Huang. An upper bound for the dimension of bounded derived categories. *Journal of Algebra*, 556:1211–1228, 2020.
- [23] J. Zheng, X. Ma, and Z. Huang. The extension dimension of abelian categories. *Algebras and Representation Theory*, 23(3):693–713, 2020.