An uniform version of Dvir and Moran's theorem

Gábor Hegedüs Óbuda University

Bécsi út 96/B, Budapest, Hungary, H-1032 hegedus.gabor@uni-obuda.hu

May 11, 2021

Abstract

Dvir and Moran proved the following upper bound for the size of a family \mathcal{F} of subsets of [n] with $\operatorname{Vdim}(\mathcal{F}\Delta\mathcal{F}) \leq d$.

Let $d \leq n$ be integers. Let \mathcal{F} be a family of subsets of [n] with $\operatorname{Vdim}(\mathcal{F}\Delta\mathcal{F}) \leq d$. Then

$$|\mathcal{F}| \le 2 \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor d/2 \rfloor} \binom{n}{k}.$$

Our main result is the following uniform version of Dvir and Moran's result.

Let $d \leq n$ be integers. Let \mathcal{F} be an uniform family of subsets of [n] with $\operatorname{Vdim}(\mathcal{F}\Delta\mathcal{F}) \leq d$. Then

$$|\mathcal{F}| \le 2 \binom{n}{\lfloor d/2 \rfloor}.$$

Denote by $\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{F}} \in \{0,1\}^n$ the characteristic vector of a set $F \subseteq [n]$.

Our proof is based on the following uniform version of Croot-Lev-Pach Lemma:

Let $0 \leq d \leq n$ be integers. Let \mathcal{H} be a k-uniform family of subsets of [n]. Let \mathbb{F} be a field. Suppose that there exists a polynomial $P(x_1, \ldots, x_n, y_1, \ldots, y_n) \in \mathbb{F}[x_1, \ldots, x_n, y_1, \ldots, y_n]$ with $\deg(P) \leq d$ such that $P(\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{F}}, \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{F}}) \neq 0$ for each $F \in \mathcal{H}$ and $P(\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{F}}, \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{G}}) = 0$ for each $F \neq G \in \mathcal{H}$. Then

$$|\mathcal{H}| \le 2 \binom{n}{\lfloor d/2 \rfloor}.$$

1 Introduction

Throughout this paper *n* denotes a positive integer, and [n] stands for the set $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$. We denote by $2^{[n]}$ the set of all subsets of [n]. Subsets of $2^{[n]}$ are called *set families*. Let $\binom{[n]}{m}$ denote the family of all subsets of [n] which have cardinality *m*, and $\binom{[n]}{\leq m}$ of all subsets that have size at most *m*.

A family \mathcal{F} of subsets of [n] is k-uniform, if |F| = k for each $F \in \mathcal{F}$.

Let \mathbb{F} be a field. $\mathbb{F}[x_1, \ldots, x_n] = \mathbb{F}[\mathbf{x}]$ denotes the ring of polynomials in the variables x_1, \ldots, x_n over \mathbb{F} . For a subset $F \subseteq [n]$ we write $\mathbf{x}_F = \prod_{j \in F} x_j$. In particular, $\mathbf{x}_{\emptyset} = 1$.

We denote by $\mathbf{v}_F \in \{0, 1\}^n$ the characteristic vector of a set $F \subseteq [n]$.

It is a challenging old problem to find strong upper bounds for the size of progression-free subsets in finite Abelian groups. Croot, Lev and Pach achieved recently a breakthrough in this research area and proved a new exponential upper bound for the size of three-term progression-free subsets in the groups $(\mathbb{Z}_4)^n$ (see [4]), where $n \geq 1$ is an arbitrary integer. They based their proof on the following simple statement (see [4] Lemma 1).

Proposition 1.1 Suppose that $n \ge 1$ and $d \ge 0$ are integers, P is a multilinear polynomial in n variables of total degree at most d over a field \mathbb{F} , and $A \subseteq \mathbb{F}^n$ is a subset with

$$|A| > 2\sum_{i=0}^{d/2} \binom{n}{i}.$$

If $P(\mathbf{a} - \mathbf{b}) = 0$ for all $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in A$, $\mathbf{a} \neq \mathbf{b}$, then $P(\mathbf{0}) = 0$.

Consider a family \mathcal{F} of subsets of [n]. We say that \mathcal{F} shatters $M \subseteq [n]$ if

$$\{F \cap M : F \in \mathcal{F}\} = 2^M$$

Define

$$\operatorname{sh}(\mathcal{F}) = \{ M \subseteq [n] : \mathcal{F} \text{ shatters } M \}.$$

We say that a family \mathcal{F} has VC-dimension m, if m is the maximum of the size of sets shattered by \mathcal{F} . We denote by $V\dim(\mathcal{F})$ the VC-dimension of a family \mathcal{F} .

The following result is fundamental in the theory of shattering.

Theorem (Sauer[10], Perles, Shelah[11], Vapnik, Chervonenkis[12]) Let \mathcal{F} be a family of subsets of [n] with $Vdim(\mathcal{F}) \leq d$. Then

$$|\mathcal{F}| \le \sum_{k=0}^d \binom{n}{k}$$

and the upper bound is sharp.

Let \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{G} be families of subsets of [n]. We denote by $\mathcal{F}\Delta \mathcal{G}$ the symmetric difference of these families:

$$\mathcal{F}\Delta\mathcal{G} := \{A\Delta B : A \in \mathcal{F}, B \in \mathcal{G}\}.$$

Dvir and Moran proved an upper bound for the size of a family \mathcal{F} of subsets of [n] with $\operatorname{Vdim}(\mathcal{F}\Delta\mathcal{F}) \leq d$. Their proof based on Proposition 1.1.

Theorem 1.2 Let $0 \le d \le n$ be integers. Let \mathcal{F} be a family of subsets of [n] with $\operatorname{Vdim}(\mathcal{F}\Delta\mathcal{F}) \le d$. Then

$$|\mathcal{F}| \le 2 \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor d/2 \rfloor} \binom{n}{k}.$$

Cambie, Girão and Kang proved the following improved version of Theorem 1.2 in [2].

Theorem 1.3 Let d < n be positive integers with $d \equiv r \pmod{2}$ for some $r \in \{0, 1\}$. Let \mathcal{F} be a family of subsets of [n] with $\operatorname{Vdim}(\mathcal{F}\Delta\mathcal{F}) \leq d$. Then

$$|\mathcal{F}| \le 2^r \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor d/2 \rfloor} \binom{n-r}{k}.$$

Kleitman's theorem (see [7]) is an immediate consequence of Theorem 1.3.

Corollary 1.4 Let d < n be positive integers with $d \equiv r \pmod{2}$ for some $r \in \{0, 1\}$. Let \mathcal{F} be a family of subsets of [n] with $\mathcal{F}\Delta \mathcal{F} \subseteq {[n] \choose \leq d}$. Then

$$|\mathcal{F}| \le 2^r \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor d/2 \rfloor} \binom{n-r}{k}.$$

Our main result is the following uniform version of Theorem 1.2.

Theorem 1.5 Let $d \leq n$ be integers. Let \mathcal{F} be an uniform family of subsets of [n] with $\operatorname{Vdim}(\mathcal{F}\Delta\mathcal{F}) \leq d$. Then

$$|\mathcal{F}| \le 2 \binom{n}{\lfloor d/2 \rfloor}.$$

We prove here a new uniform version of Proposition 1.1. The proof of Theorem 1.5 is based completely on this result.

Theorem 1.6 Let $0 \leq d \leq n$ be integers. Let \mathcal{H} be a k-uniform family of subsets of [n]. Let \mathbb{F} be a field. Suppose that there exists a polynomial $P(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \in \mathbb{F}[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}]$ with $deg(P) \leq d$ (here $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \ldots, x_n), \mathbf{y} = (y_1, \ldots, y_n)$) such that $P(\mathbf{v}_F, \mathbf{v}_F) \neq 0$ for each $F \in \mathcal{H}$ and $P(\mathbf{v}_F, \mathbf{v}_G) = 0$ for each $F \neq G \in \mathcal{H}$. Then

$$|\mathcal{H}| \le 2 \binom{n}{\lfloor d/2 \rfloor}.$$

In Section 2 we collected the preliminaries about Gröbner basis theory and standard monomials. In Section 3 we present our proofs. In Section 4 we give an interesting conjecture which strengthens our main result.

2 Preliminaries

Define $V(\mathcal{F})$ as the subset $\{\mathbf{v}_F : F \in \mathcal{F}\} \subseteq \{0,1\}^n \subseteq \mathbb{F}^n$ for any family of subsets $\mathcal{F} \subseteq 2^{[n]}$.

It is natural to consider the ideal $I(V(\mathcal{F}))$:

$$I(V(\mathcal{F})) := \{ f \in \mathbb{F}[\mathbf{x}] : f(\mathbf{v}) = 0 \text{ whenever } \mathbf{v} \in V(\mathcal{F}) \}.$$

It is easy to verify that we can identify the algebra $\mathbb{F}[\mathbf{x}]/I(V(\mathcal{F}))$ and the algebra of \mathbb{F} valued functions on $V(\mathcal{F})$. Consequently

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}} \mathbb{F}[\mathbf{x}]/I(V(\mathcal{F})) = |\mathcal{F}|.$$

We recall some basic facts about Gröbner basis theory and standard monomials. We refer to [1], [3] for details.

We say that a linear order \prec on the monomials is a *term order*, if 1 is the minimal element of \prec , and $\mathbf{uw} \prec \mathbf{vw}$ holds for any monomials $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}$ with $\mathbf{u} \prec \mathbf{v}$. The two most important term orders are the lexicographic order \prec_l and the deglex order \prec_d . Recall the definition of the deglex order: we have $\mathbf{u} \prec_d \mathbf{v}$ iff either deg $\mathbf{u} < \deg \mathbf{v}$, or deg $\mathbf{u} = \deg \mathbf{v}$, and $\mathbf{u} \prec_l \mathbf{v}$.

The *leading monomial* $\operatorname{Im}(f)$ of a nonzero polynomial $f \in \mathbb{F}[\mathbf{x}]$ is the \prec -largest monomial which appears with nonzero coefficient in f.

Let I be an ideal of $\mathbb{F}[\mathbf{x}]$. A finite subset $\mathcal{G} \subseteq I$ is a *Gröbner basis* of I if for every $f \in I$ there exists a $g \in \mathcal{G}$ such that $\operatorname{Im}(g)$ divides $\operatorname{Im}(f)$. It can be shown that \mathcal{G} is actually a basis of I, i.e. \mathcal{G} generates I as an ideal of $\mathbb{F}[\mathbf{x}]$ (cf. [3] Corollary 2.5.6). A well-known fact is (cf. [1, Corollary 1.6.5, Theorem 1.9.1]) that every nonzero ideal I of $\mathbb{F}[\mathbf{x}]$ has a Gröbner basis.

A monomial $\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{F}[\mathbf{x}]$ is a standard monomial for I if it is not a leading monomial for any $f \in I$. We denote by $\operatorname{sm}(I)$ the set of standard monomials of I.

Let $\mathcal{F} \subseteq 2^{[n]}$ be a set family. It is easy to check that the standard monomials of the ideal $I(\mathcal{F}) := I(V(\mathcal{F}))$ are square-free monomials.

It is a fundamental fact that $\operatorname{sm}(I)$ gives a basis of the \mathbb{F} -vector-space $\mathbb{F}[\mathbf{x}]/I$. This means that every polynomial $g \in \mathbb{F}[\mathbf{x}]$ can be uniquely written in the form h + f where $f \in I$ and h is a unique \mathbb{F} -linear combination of monomials from $\operatorname{sm}(I)$. Consequently if $g \in \mathbb{F}[\mathbf{x}]$ is an arbitrary polynomial and \mathcal{G} is a Gröbner basis of I, then we can reduce g with \mathcal{G} into a linear combination of standard monomials for I.

3 Proofs

Let $0 \leq k \leq n/2$, where k and n are integers. Let $\mathcal{M}_{k,n}$ stand for the set of all monomials \mathbf{x}_G such that $G = \{s_1 < s_2 < \ldots < s_j\} \subset [n]$ for which $j \leq k$ and $s_i \geq 2i$ holds for every $i, 1 \leq i \leq j$. We write \mathcal{M}_k instead of the more precise $\mathcal{M}_{k,n}$, if n is clear from the context. It is easy to check that

$$|\mathcal{M}_k| = \binom{n}{k}.$$

Let $\mathcal{D}_{k,n}$ denote the set of all sets $H = \{s_1 < s_2 < \ldots < s_j\} \subset [n]$ for which $j \leq k$ and $s_i \geq 2i$ holds for every $i, 1 \leq i \leq j$.

We described completely the standard monomials of the complete uniform families of all k element subsets of [n] in [6].

Theorem 3.1 Let \prec an arbitrary term order such that $x_n \prec \ldots \prec x_1$. Let $0 \leq k \leq n$ be integers and define $j := \min(k, n - k)$. Then

$$\operatorname{sm}(V\binom{[n]}{k}) = \mathcal{M}_{j,n}.$$

Corollary 3.2 Let $0 \le k \le n$ be integers and define j := min(k, n - k). Suppose that $d \le j$. Then

$$\mathcal{D}_{k,n} \cap \begin{pmatrix} [n] \\ \leq d \end{pmatrix} = \mathcal{D}_{d,n}$$

Let $0 \le k \le n$ be arbitrary integers. Define the vector system

$$\mathcal{F}(n,k,2) := V(\binom{[n]}{k}) \times V(\binom{[n]}{k}) \subseteq \{0,1\}^{2n}.$$

It is easy to verify the following Corollary from Theorem 3.1.

Corollary 3.3 Let \prec an arbitrary term order such that $x_n \prec \ldots \prec x_1$. Let $0 \leq k \leq n$ be integers and define $j := \min(k, n - k)$. Then

$$\operatorname{sm}(\mathcal{F}(n,k,2)) = \{ x_{M_1} \cdot y_{M_2} : M_1, M_2 \in \mathcal{D}_{j,n} \} \subseteq \mathbb{F}[\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}].$$

Mészáros and Rónyai proved the following result in [8] Lemma 1 (see also [9] Theorem 7).

Theorem 3.4 Let \prec an arbitrary term order such that $x_n \prec \ldots \prec x_1$. Let \mathcal{F} be a family of subsets of [n]. Then $\operatorname{sm}(V(\mathcal{F})) \subseteq \{x_U : U \in \operatorname{sh}(\mathcal{F})\}$.

Proof of Theorem 1.6:

Consider the matrix $M \in \mathbb{F}^{\mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H}}$, where $M_{(F,G)} := P(\mathbf{v}_F, \mathbf{v}_G)$ for each $F, G \in \mathcal{H}$.

It follows from the assumptions that M is a diagonal matrix, where nonzero elements stand in the diagonal, hence

$$\operatorname{rank}(M) = |\mathcal{H}|.$$

Let Q denote the reduction of P via the deglex Gröbner basis of $I(\mathcal{F}(n, k, 2))$. Then $\deg(Q) \leq \deg(P) \leq d$ and $M_{(F,G)} = Q(\mathbf{v}_F, \mathbf{v}_G)$ for each $F, G \in \mathcal{H}$.

Let j := min(k, n - k). It follows from Corollary 3.3 that we can write the polynomial Q into the form

$$Q(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \sum_{M_1, M_2 \in \mathcal{D}_{j,n}} c_{M_1, M_2} x_{M_1} \cdot y_{M_2} \in \mathbb{F}_2[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}],$$

where $c_{M_1,M_2} \in \mathbb{F}_2$ for each $M_1, M_2 \in \mathcal{D}_{j,n}$. After grouping the terms of the polynomial $Q(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ we get that

$$Q(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \sum_{M \in \mathcal{D}_{j,n} \cap \{U: |U| \le \lfloor d/2 \rfloor\}} c_M \mathbf{x}_M g_M(\mathbf{y}) + \sum_{J \in \mathcal{D}_{j,n} \cap \{U: |U| \le \lfloor d/2 \rfloor\}} d_J \mathbf{y}_J h_J(\mathbf{x}),$$

where $c_M, d_J \in \mathbb{F}_2, h_J(\mathbf{x}) \in \mathbb{F}_2[\mathbf{x}], g_M(\mathbf{y}) \in \mathbb{F}_2[\mathbf{y}]$ for each $J, M \in \mathcal{D}_{j,n}$. Then it follows from Corollary 3.2 that

$$Q(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \sum_{M \in \mathcal{D}_{\lfloor d/2 \rfloor, n}} c_M \mathbf{x}_M g_M(\mathbf{y}) + \sum_{J \in \mathcal{D}_{\lfloor d/2 \rfloor, n}} d_J \mathbf{y}_J h_J(\mathbf{x}),$$

Since

$$|\mathcal{D}_{\lfloor d/2 \rfloor,n}| = \binom{n}{\lfloor d/2 \rfloor},$$

hence we get that

$$\operatorname{rank}(M) \le 2 \binom{n}{\lfloor d/2 \rfloor}.$$

It follows from the equality $\operatorname{rank}(M) = |\mathcal{H}|$ that

$$|\mathcal{H}| \le 2 \binom{n}{\lfloor d/2 \rfloor}.$$

 \Box

Proof of Theorem 1.5:

Let $\mathbb{F} := GF(2)$. It follows from Theorem 3.4 that

$$\operatorname{sm}(V(\mathcal{F}\Delta\mathcal{F}),\prec_d) \subseteq \{x_U: \ U \in \operatorname{sh}(\mathcal{F}\Delta\mathcal{F})\}.$$

Since $\operatorname{Vdim}(\mathcal{F}\Delta\mathcal{F}) \leq d$, hence

$$\operatorname{sm}(V(\mathcal{F}\Delta\mathcal{F}),\prec_d) \subseteq \{x_U: |U| \le d\}.$$

Let \mathcal{G} denote a fixed deglex Gröbner basis of $I(V(\mathcal{F}\Delta\mathcal{F}))$. Denote by $g : V(\mathcal{F}\Delta\mathcal{F}) \to \mathbb{F}$ the function where $g(\mathbf{0}) = 1$ and $g(\mathbf{v}_T) = 0$ for each $T \in \mathcal{F}\Delta\mathcal{F} \setminus \{\emptyset\}$.

If we reduce g with the Gröbner basis \mathcal{G} , we get the polynomial $g' \in \mathbb{F}[\mathbf{x}]$. Clearly deg $(g') \leq d$, because g' is a linear combination of deglex standard monomials of $I(V(\mathcal{F}\Delta\mathcal{F}))$ and $\operatorname{sm}(V(\mathcal{F}\Delta\mathcal{F}), \prec_d) \subseteq \{x_U : |U| \leq d\}$. Since \mathcal{G} is a Gröbner basis of $I(V(\mathcal{F}\Delta\mathcal{F}))$, hence

$$g(\mathbf{v}_G) = g'(\mathbf{v}_G)$$

for each $G \in \mathcal{F} \Delta \mathcal{F}$.

Define the polynomial function $f: V(\mathcal{F}) \times V(\mathcal{F}) \to \mathbb{F}$ by

$$f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) := g'(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}).$$

Then

$$f(\mathbf{v}_F, \mathbf{v}_F) = g'(\mathbf{0}) = g(\mathbf{0}) = 1$$

for each $F \in \mathcal{F}$ and

$$f(\mathbf{v}_F, \mathbf{v}_G) = g'(\mathbf{v}_F + \mathbf{v}_G) = g'(\mathbf{v}_{F\Delta G}) = g(\mathbf{v}_{F\Delta G}) = 0$$

for each $F, G \in \mathcal{F}$, where $F \neq G$.

We can apply Theorem 1.6 with the choices $\mathcal{H} := \mathcal{F}$ and $P(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) := f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$.

4 Concluding remarks

We think that the next conjecture is the best form of Theorem 1.5.

Conjecture 1 Let d < n be positive integers with $d \equiv r \pmod{2}$ for some $r \in \{0, 1\}$. Let \mathcal{F} be an uniform family of subsets of [n] with with $\operatorname{Vdim}(\mathcal{F}\Delta\mathcal{F}) \leq d$. Then

$$|\mathcal{F}| \le 2^r \binom{n-r}{\lfloor d/2 \rfloor}.$$

References

- W. W. Adams and P. Loustaunau, An Introduction to Gröbner bases. AMS (1994).
- [2] S. Cambie, A. Girão and R. J. Kang, VC dimension and a union theorem for set systems. *Elect. Journal of Comb.*, 26, 1-8 (2019).
- [3] D. Cox, J. Little and D. O'Shea, *Ideals, Varieties, and Algorithms.* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1992)
- [4] E. Croot, V. Lev and P. Pach, Progression-free sets in Zⁿ₄. Annals of Math., 185, 331-337 (2017)
- [5] Z. Dvir and S. Moran, A Sauer-Shelah-Perles Lemma for Sumsets. Elect. Journal of Comb., 25(4), 4-8 (2018).
- [6] G. Hegedűs and L. Rónyai, Gröbner bases for complete uniform families, Journal of Alg. Comb. 17 (2003) 171–180.
- [7] D. J. Kleitman, On a combinatorial conjecture of Erdős. Journal of Comb. Theory, 1(2), 209-214 (1966).
- [8] T. Mészáros and L. Rónyai, Some combinatorial applications of Gröbner bases. In: Algebraic Informatics (Winkler, F. ed.), 4th International Conference, CAI 2011, Linz, Proceedings. 65–83, Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg (2011)
- [9] S. Moran and C. Rashtchian, Shattered Sets and the Hilbert Function. In 41st International Symposium on Mathematical Foundations of Computer Science (MFCS 2016) (Vol. 58, p. 70). Schloss Dagstuhl– Leibniz-Zentrum für Informatik.
- [10] N. Sauer, On the density of families of sets, J. Combin. Theory A 13, 145–147 (1972).
- S. Shelah, A combinatorial problem; stability and order for models and theories in infinitary languages, *Pacific Journal of Math.* 41 247–261 (1972)

[12] V. N. Vapnik, A. Ya. Chervonenkis, On the uniform convergence of relative frequencies of events to their probabilities, *Theory of Probability and Appl.* **XVI**, 264–280.(1971)