

POLYNOMIAL ERGODIC AVERAGES FOR CERTAIN
COUNTABLE RING ACTIONS

ANDREW BEST

Beijing Institute of Mathematical Sciences and Applications
Beijing, China

ANDREU FERRÉ MORAGUES

Department of Mathematics
Nicolaus Copernicus University
Toruń, Poland

(Communicated by Alejandro Maass)

ABSTRACT. A recent result of Frantzikinakis in [17] establishes sufficient conditions for joint ergodicity in the setting of \mathbb{Z} -actions. We generalize this result for actions of second-countable locally compact abelian groups. We obtain two applications of this result. First, we show that, given an ergodic action $(T_n)_{n \in F}$ of a countable field F with characteristic zero on a probability space (X, \mathcal{B}, μ) and a family $\{p_1, \dots, p_k\}$ of independent polynomials, we have

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|\Phi_N|} \sum_{n \in \Phi_N} T_{p_1(n)} f_1 \cdots T_{p_k(n)} f_k = \prod_{j=1}^k \int_X f_j d\mu,$$

where $f_i \in L^\infty(\mu)$, (Φ_N) is a Følner sequence of $(F, +)$, and the convergence takes place in $L^2(\mu)$. This yields corollaries in combinatorics and topological dynamics. Second, we prove that a similar result holds for totally ergodic actions of suitable rings.

1. Introduction. In [5], Bergelson asked whether, for a totally ergodic¹ system (X, \mathcal{B}, μ, T) , the following statement holds:

(*) For any family of independent² polynomials $p_1, \dots, p_k \in \mathbb{Z}[n]$ and any functions $f_1, \dots, f_k \in L^\infty(\mu)$, one has

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N T^{p_1(n)} f_1 \cdots T^{p_k(n)} f_k = \int_X f_1 d\mu \cdots \int_X f_k d\mu,$$

where convergence takes place in $L^2(\mu)$.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 37A44; Secondary: 28D15, 05D10, 37B05.

Key words and phrases. Joint ergodicity, independent polynomials, field actions, ring actions, locally compact abelian groups, Gowers–Host–Kra seminorms, equidistribution.

¹We say that a measure-preserving system (X, \mathcal{B}, μ, T) is *totally ergodic* if T^a is ergodic for all $a \in \mathbb{N}$.

²Let R be a countable ring. We say that a family of polynomials $\{p_1, \dots, p_k\} \subset R[n]$ is *independent* if for any $(b_1, \dots, b_k) \in R^k \setminus \{0\}$, the polynomial $\sum_{i=1}^k b_i p_i$ is not constant.

This question was answered in the affirmative in [18]. In fact, (*) holds if and only if T is totally ergodic.

Recently, the ergodic theory of actions by groups other than the integers has garnered some attention—see, for example, [9], [11], [27], [12], [26], [2], and [1].

In this spirit, we consider in this article a version of Bergelson’s question for more general actions. In order to formulate this question, we need a definition. Let G be a countable abelian group. We say that a sequence (Φ_N) of nonempty subsets of G is a *Følner sequence* in G if, for every $g \in G$, we have

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\Phi_N \Delta (g + \Phi_N)|}{|\Phi_N|} = 0,$$

where Δ denotes the symmetric difference, i.e. $A \Delta B = (A \setminus B) \cup (B \setminus A)$.

Problem. *Let (X, \mathcal{B}, μ) be a probability space. For which (countable) ring actions $(T_r)_{r \in R}$ on (X, \mathcal{B}, μ) is the following statement (**) true?*

(**) *For any family of independent polynomials $p_1, \dots, p_k \in R[n]$, any Følner sequence³ (Φ_N) , and any functions $f_1, \dots, f_k \in L^\infty(\mu)$, one has*

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|\Phi_N|} \sum_{n \in \Phi_N} T_{p_1(n)} f_1 \cdots T_{p_k(n)} f_k = \prod_{j=1}^k \int_X f_j \, d\mu,$$

where convergence takes place in $L^2(\mu)$.

As in Bergelson’s question, we continue to require that the polynomials be independent. This assumption cannot be removed; see Remark 5.8.

By analogy with the case of \mathbb{Z} -actions, one would expect total ergodicity to be necessary and sufficient for (**) to hold.

In fact, we will show that, for countable fields of zero characteristic, (**) holds if and only if the action is ergodic, and that, for certain suitable rings, (**) holds if and only if the action is totally ergodic. These terms will be defined in Section 1.2.

Our results can be understood in a common framework that we now describe.

1.1. Sufficient conditions for joint ergodicity of sequences. Let us review one of the main results of Frantzikinakis in [17]. Given an ergodic measure-preserving system (X, \mathcal{B}, μ, T) , a family of sequences $a_1, \dots, a_\ell : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is *jointly ergodic* for (X, \mathcal{B}, μ, T) if, for all $f_1, \dots, f_\ell \in L^\infty(\mu)$,

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N T^{a_1(n)} f_1 \cdots T^{a_\ell(n)} f_\ell = \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} \int_X f_i \, d\mu$$

holds in $L^2(\mu)$.

Note that the aforementioned question of Bergelson in [5] can obviously be reformulated in terms of joint ergodicity of sequences given by independent polynomials.

Inspired by an argument of Peluse [24] and Peluse and Prendiville [25], Frantzikinakis shows in [17] that a family of sequences a_1, \dots, a_ℓ is jointly ergodic for (X, \mathcal{B}, μ, T) provided that two conditions hold:

³A perceptive reader will notice that we did not mention Følner sequences in (*). In fact, (*) is equivalent to a version which also quantifies over all Følner sequences of \mathbb{Z} , not just $\Phi_N = \{1, \dots, N\}$.

1. The sequences a_1, \dots, a_ℓ are *good for equidistribution for the system* (X, \mathcal{B}, μ, T) , meaning that

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N e^{2\pi i(a_1(n)\alpha_1 + \dots + a_\ell(n)\alpha_\ell)} = 0$$

holds for any $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_\ell \in [0, 1)$, not all zero, which are such that there exist non-constant functions $f_j : X \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^1$, with $Tf_j = e^{2\pi i\alpha_j} f_j$, $j = 1, \dots, \ell$.

2. The sequences a_1, \dots, a_ℓ are *good for seminorm⁴ estimates for the system* (X, \mathcal{B}, μ, T) , meaning that there exists $s \in \mathbb{N}$ such that whenever $f_1, \dots, f_\ell \in L^\infty(\mu)$ satisfy $\|f_l\|_s = 0$ for some $l \in \{1, \dots, \ell\}$, then

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N T^{a_1(n)} f_1 \dots T^{a_\ell(n)} f_\ell = 0$$

holds in $L^2(\mu)$, provided that f_{l+1}, \dots, f_ℓ are eigenfunctions, i.e., belong to $\{f \in L^\infty(\mu) : |f| = 1 \text{ and } Tf = e^{2\pi it} f \text{ for some } t \in [0, 1)\}$.

For our purposes, it will be useful to create a far-reaching generalization of Frantzikinakis's result from the setting of \mathbb{Z} -actions to the setting of actions of second-countable locally compact abelian groups G . We state this generalization as Theorem 1.1 below; the precise definitions of the relevant terms we generalize from \mathbb{Z} to G will be left to Sections 2 and 3.

Theorem 1.1. *Let G be a second-countable locally compact abelian group. Let (Φ_N) be a Følner sequence. Let $(X, \mu, (T_g)_{g \in G})$ be an ergodic system and $a_1, \dots, a_\ell : G \rightarrow G$ be mappings that are good for seminorm estimates and equidistribution for $(X, \mu, (T_g)_{g \in G})$. Then, for any $f_1, \dots, f_\ell \in L^\infty(\mu)$ we have:*

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_{n \in \Phi_N} T_{a_1(n)} f_1 \dots T_{a_\ell(n)} f_\ell = \int_X f_1 d\mu \dots \int_X f_\ell d\mu \quad (1)$$

in $L^2(\mu)$.

This result is proved in Section 3. Some intermediate results require relatively substantial changes compared to the case of \mathbb{Z} -actions. Some proofs of intermediate results are omitted when their adaptations are routine. As with the proof of Frantzikinakis's theorem, our proof of Theorem 1.1 uses only “soft” tools from ergodic theory and eschews Host–Kra structure theory (see, for example, [19, Chapter 21] for a detailed description) and equidistribution results on nilmanifolds.

1.2. Answering the Problem, and related corollaries. We will apply Theorem 1.1 to deduce Theorems 1.2 and 1.6, which provide answers to the Problem above. Thus, we need only verify that the necessary equidistribution results and seminorm estimates hold for sequences given by families of independent polynomials.

To facilitate discussion, we recall two definitions. Let G be a countable abelian group. An action $(T_g)_{g \in G}$ on a probability space (X, \mathcal{B}, μ) is *ergodic* if any $f \in L^2(\mu)$ with $T_g f = f$ for all $g \in G$ is constant, and *totally ergodic* if every subaction on a finite index subgroup $H \leq G$ is ergodic.

⁴These seminorms $\|\cdot\|_s$ are the Gowers–Host–Kra seminorms, whose definition and basic properties will be given in Section 3.1.

1.2.1. *Countable fields of characteristic zero.* Our first application of Theorem 1.1 will be to ergodic actions of countable fields of characteristic zero. One might wonder why we choose to work with fields first; a few comments are in order.

It is well known that fields of characteristic zero do not have additive subgroups of finite index. Indeed, this algebraic property means that ergodic actions of such fields are totally ergodic⁵. This coincidence allows us to obtain a positive answer to the Problem for any such ergodic action.

Only requiring ergodicity (instead of total ergodicity) will turn out to have many interesting combinatorial and topological-dynamical consequences that we cannot obtain otherwise.

We will prove the following theorem in Section 4. Recall that the notion of independent polynomials was defined in footnote 2 above.

Theorem 1.2. *Let F be a countable field with characteristic zero. Let $(X, \mu, (T_n)_{n \in F})$ be an ergodic F -system. Let (Φ_N) be a Følner sequence in $(F, +)$, let $k \in \mathbb{N}$, let $p_1, \dots, p_k \in F[x]$ be independent polynomials, and let $f_1, \dots, f_k \in L^\infty(\mu)$ be functions. Then*

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|\Phi_N|} \sum_{n \in \Phi_N} T_{p_1(n)} f_1 \cdots T_{p_k(n)} f_k = \prod_{j=1}^k \int_X f_j d\mu$$

in $L^2(\mu)$.

It is worth mentioning that an alternative approach to proving Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 1.6 would be to use an appropriate analog of the structure theory of Host–Kra characteristic factors à la [18]. However, an essential ingredient to this approach is a suitable description of said factors, which is not yet available in this generality. Obtaining such a description remains an open problem.

An application of the Furstenberg correspondence principle (see Section 4 for details) allows us to deduce the following corollary from Theorem 1.2. We first recall a notion of largeness. Let G be a countable abelian group. Given a subset $E \subseteq G$, the *upper Banach density* of E , denoted by $d^*(E)$, is defined by

$$d^*(E) := \sup\{\bar{d}_{(\Phi_N)}(E) : (\Phi_N) \text{ is a Følner sequence in } G\},$$

where, for a given Følner sequence (Φ_N) , we put

$$\bar{d}_{(\Phi_N)}(E) := \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|E \cap \Phi_N|}{|\Phi_N|}.$$

Corollary 1.3. *Let F be a countable field with characteristic zero. Let $E \subseteq F$ with $d^*(E) > 0$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and $p_1, \dots, p_k \in F[x]$ be independent polynomials. Then, for each $\varepsilon > 0$, the set $\{n \in F : d^*(E \cap (E - p_1(n)) \cap \cdots \cap (E - p_k(n))) > d^*(E)^{k+1} - \varepsilon\}$ is syndetic⁶.*

Because of the strength of Theorem 1.2, we also have a corollary in topological dynamics. We say that $(X, d, (T_n)_{n \in F})$ is a *minimal topological dynamical system* if (X, d) is a compact metric space, the maps $T_n : X \rightarrow X$, $n \in F$, form an action of F on X by homeomorphisms, and for each $x \in X$ we have

$$\overline{\{T_n x : n \in F\}} = X.$$

⁵This fact has manifested before; see, e.g., [22].

⁶We say that a set $S \subseteq F$ is *syndetic* if $F = \bigcup_{n \in K} (S - n)$, where K is a finite subset of F .

Corollary 1.4. *Let F be a countable field with characteristic zero. Let $(X, d, (T_n)_{n \in F})$ be a minimal topological dynamical system, where (X, d) is a compact metric space. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $p_1, \dots, p_k \in F[x]$ be a family of independent polynomials. Then there exists a dense G_δ set $X_0 \subseteq X$ such that for every $x \in X_0$ we have*

$$\overline{\{(T_{p_1(n)}x, \dots, T_{p_k(n)}x) : n \in F\}} = \underbrace{X \times \dots \times X}_{k \text{ times}}.$$

1.2.2. “Good” rings. Our second application of Theorem 1.1 will be to totally ergodic actions of a suitable class of rings which we call good rings and which we now define.

Definition 1.5. Let R be a commutative ring. We say that R is *good* if it is a countable integral domain with characteristic zero, and every non-zero ideal has finite index in R .

Defining this class of rings is meant to capture the properties of the ring \mathbb{Z} that are desirable from the perspective of applications to ergodic theory. Good rings include countable fields of characteristic zero and also rings of integers of number fields. Our application of Theorem 1.1 in the case of good rings is similar to that of countable fields of characteristic zero, but requires some slight modifications.

Let us comment on the assumptions embedded in this definition. Countability is assumed for convenience. Working with rings of characteristic zero is meant to avoid technical trouble arising from torsion; the positive characteristic case is unknown to the authors. Similarly, in several places our argument requires non-zero ideals to have finite index; it is not known whether this assumption may be dispensed with. Lastly, it can be shown that (**) does not hold for countable rings with characteristic zero such that every non-zero ideal has finite index that are *not* integral domains. We will prove the following theorem in Section 5.

Theorem 1.6. *Let R be a good ring. Let $(X, \mu, (T_r)_{r \in R})$ be a totally ergodic R -system. Let (Φ_N) be a Følner sequence in $(R, +)$, let $k \in \mathbb{N}$, let $p_1, \dots, p_k \in R[x]$ be independent polynomials, and let $f_1, \dots, f_k \in L^\infty(\mu)$ be functions. Then*

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|\Phi_N|} \sum_{n \in \Phi_N} T_{p_1(n)}f_1 \cdots T_{p_k(n)}f_k = \prod_{j=1}^k \int_X f_j \, d\mu$$

in $L^2(\mu)$.

Remark 1.7. As mentioned above, rings of integers of number fields are good rings. Therefore, Theorem 1.6 recovers [1, Theorem 3.1] as a special case (see also item 2 in their abstract).

Let R be a countable ring with characteristic zero, and let $(T_n)_{n \in R}$ be an ergodic R -action on a probability space (X, \mathcal{B}, μ) . We will now sketch a proof of the fact that (**) can only hold if the action $(T_n)_{n \in R}$ is totally ergodic (it is obvious that ergodicity is necessary).

Suppose to the contrary that (**) holds for the ergodic action $(T_n)_{n \in R}$, and assume that it is not totally ergodic. By assumption, we can find a finite index additive subgroup $J \subseteq R$, and a non-constant $f \in L^2(\mu)$ such that $T_n f = f$ for all $n \in J$. Since this f has finite orbit in $L^2(\mu)$ under $(T_n)_{n \in R}$, we can write

$$f = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} c_j f_j \quad \text{in } L^2(\mu)$$

for some complex numbers c_j , not all zero, some functions $f_j : X \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^1$ with $T_n f_j = \chi_j(n) f_j$, where $\chi_j : R \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^1$ are distinct characters. It is easy to check that the f_j are an orthogonal family, which implies that for each $j \in \mathbb{N}$, $\chi_j(n) = 1$ for all $n \in J$. Moreover, since J is of finite index, one can show that each χ_j only takes finitely many values, which are necessarily roots of unity (that may depend on j).

Taking a suitable f_j , we see there exists a non-constant function $g : X \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^1$ such that $T_n g = \chi(n) g$, for some character $\chi : R \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^1$ taking values on finitely many roots of unity. Note that since R has zero characteristic, we can find a natural number $a \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\chi(an) = 1$ for all $n \in R$. Finally, consider the polynomial $p(n) = an$ (which is non-trivial, since R has characteristic zero). We have assumed that **(**)** holds; hence, from just the $k = 1$ situation of **(**)**, it follows that for any Følner sequence (Φ_N) in R we have

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_{n \in \Phi_N} T_{an} g = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_{n \in \Phi_N} \chi(an) g = g.$$

However, $\int_X g \, d\mu = 0$, a contradiction.

1.3. Outline of the paper. This article is organized as follows. In Section 2 we introduce notation and recall some general facts in ergodic theory. In Section 3, after some preparation, we prove Theorem 1.1. In Section 4, we obtain Theorem 1.2 via Theorem 1.1, which requires us to verify two conditions are met. We do this in Theorems 4.1 and 4.2, and the section ends with a few corollaries in combinatorics and topological dynamics. Lastly, Section 5 is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.6 via Theorem 1.1.

2. Preliminaries.

2.1. Notation. We put $\mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$. Let G be a second-countable locally compact abelian group⁷. For any subset $A \subseteq G$ with $0 < m(A) < \infty$ and any bounded function $a : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ we write

$$\mathbb{E}_{n \in A} a(n) := \frac{1}{m(A)} \int_A a(n) \, dm(n),$$

where m is a Haar measure on G .

Definition 2.1. Let G be a second-countable locally compact abelian group with a Haar measure m .⁸ We say that a sequence (Φ_N) of nonempty subsets of G is a Følner sequence in G if, for every $g \in G$, we have

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{m(\Phi_N \Delta (g + \Phi_N))}{m(\Phi_N)} = 0.$$

(Note that the definition is independent of the choice of Haar measure.)

We use the notation $o_{M; N \rightarrow \infty}(1)$ to denote a quantity that, for fixed $M \in \mathbb{N}$, tends to 0 as $N \rightarrow \infty$.

Let G be a second-countable locally compact abelian group. A *measure-preserving system*, or simply a *system*, is a quadruple $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu, (T_g)_{g \in G})$ for which (X, \mathcal{B}, μ) is a probability space⁹, the map $T_g : X \rightarrow X$ is an invertible measure-preserving transformation for each $g \in G$, and $T_g \circ T_h = T_{gh}$ for all $g, h \in G$. We typically omit

⁷It is classical that such groups possess Følner sequences.

⁸Once the Haar measure m has been fixed, we use $|A|$ and $m(A)$ interchangeably for measurable $A \subseteq G$.

⁹All our spaces are standard, i.e. measurably isomorphic to an interval with Lebesgue measure together with (at most) countably many disjoint atoms.

the σ -algebra \mathcal{B} and write $(X, \mu, (T_g)_{g \in G})$. As usual, for $f \in L^\infty(\mu)$ and $g \in G$, we denote by $T_g f$ the function $f \circ T_g$.

We say that $(X, \mu, (T_g)_{g \in G})$ is ergodic if the only functions $f \in L^1(\mu)$ satisfying $T_g f(x) = f(x)$ for μ -a.e. $x \in X$ for all $g \in G$ are the constant functions.

2.2. Background in ergodic theory. We will need two variants of the van der Corput trick.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $\{x_g\}_{g \in G}$ be a bounded family of elements of a Hilbert space indexed by a second-countable locally compact abelian group G , and let (Φ_N) be a Følner sequence in G . Then, for all $M, N \in \mathbb{N}$,*

$$\|\mathbb{E}_{n \in \Phi_N} x_n\|^2 \leq \mathbb{E}_{h' \in \Phi_M} \mathbb{E}_{h \in \Phi_M - h'} \mathbb{E}_{n \in \Phi_N + h'} \langle x_{n+h}, x_n \rangle + o_{M; N \rightarrow \infty}(1).$$

Proof. We slightly adapt the proof of [2, Lemma 1.8]. Let $M \in \mathbb{N}$ and notice that

$$\|\mathbb{E}_{n \in \Phi_N} x_n\|^2 \leq \|\mathbb{E}_{m \in \Phi_M} \mathbb{E}_{n \in \Phi_N} x_{n+m}\|^2 + \sup_{g \in G} \|x_g\|^2 \mathbb{E}_{m \in \Phi_M} \frac{|\Phi_N \Delta (\Phi_N + m)|}{|\Phi_N|}.$$

By Jensen's inequality, the right-hand side is bounded above by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_{n \in \Phi_N} \|\mathbb{E}_{m \in \Phi_M} x_{n+m}\|^2 + o_{M; N \rightarrow \infty}(1) \\ = \mathbb{E}_{n \in \Phi_N} \mathbb{E}_{m_1 \in \Phi_M} \mathbb{E}_{m_2 \in \Phi_M} \langle x_{n+m_1}, x_{n+m_2} \rangle + o_{M; N \rightarrow \infty}(1). \end{aligned}$$

To obtain the desired inequality, simply change variables and use Fubini's theorem (we can do this because the Haar measure is σ -finite) to exchange the expectations. \square

The following variant comes from [23, Lemma 4]. We note that the proof given in [23] applies to countable abelian groups G without changes.

Lemma 2.3. *Let $\{x_g\}_{g \in G}$ be a bounded family of elements of a Hilbert space indexed by a countable abelian group G , and let (Φ_N) be a Følner sequence in G .*

1. *For any finite set $S \subset G$,*

$$\limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \|\mathbb{E}_{g \in \Phi_N} x_g\|^2 \leq \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_{(h, h') \in S^2} \mathbb{E}_{g \in \Phi_N} \langle x_{g+h}, x_{g+h'} \rangle \in \mathbb{R}.$$

2. *There exists a Følner sequence (Θ_M) in G^3 such that*

$$\limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \|\mathbb{E}_{g \in \Phi_N} x_g\|^2 \leq \limsup_{M \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_{(g, h, h') \in \Theta_M} \langle x_{g+h}, x_{g+h'} \rangle \in \mathbb{R}.$$

We will also make use of the following version of the mean ergodic theorem; see [6, Theorem 4.15] for a reference.

Theorem 2.4 (Mean ergodic theorem for countable abelian groups). *Let G be a countable abelian group acting on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} by unitary transformations $U_g : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$. Then, for any $f \in \mathcal{H}$ and any Følner sequence (Φ_N) of G , we have $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_{g \in \Phi_N} U_g f = Pf$ in norm, where P is the orthogonal projection onto the invariant subspace $\{f' \in \mathcal{H} : U_g f' = f' \text{ for all } g \in G\}$.*

2.3. Joint ergodicity.

Definition 2.5. Let G be a second-countable locally compact abelian group. Let (Φ_N) be a Følner sequence. We say that a collection of mappings $a_1, \dots, a_\ell : G \rightarrow G$ is

- (i) *jointly ergodic (along (Φ_N)) for the system $(X, \mu, (T_g)_{g \in G})$* if, for all functions $f_1, \dots, f_\ell \in L^\infty(\mu)$, we have

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_{n \in \Phi_N} T_{a_1(n)} f_1 \cdots T_{a_\ell(n)} f_\ell = \prod_{j=1}^{\ell} \int_X f_j d\mu,$$

where convergence takes place in $L^2(\mu)$.

- (ii) *jointly ergodic (along (Φ_N))* if it is jointly ergodic for every ergodic system.

Remark 2.6. When the group G is countable, it is more convenient to think of the mappings $f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, as well as the functions $h : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, as sequences (in analogy with the terminology in [17]).

Definition 2.7. Let G be a second-countable locally compact abelian group. If $(X, \mu, (T_g)_{g \in G})$ is a system, we let

$$\text{Spec}((T_g)_{g \in G}) := \{\alpha \in \text{Hom}(G, \mathbb{T}) : T_g f = e(\alpha(g))f \text{ for some non-zero } f \in L^2(\mu)\},$$

where as usual $e(x) := \exp(2\pi i x)$ for real x , and we let

$$\mathcal{E}((T_g)_{g \in G}) := \{f \in L^\infty(\mu) : |f| = 1 \text{ and } T_g f = e(\alpha(g))f \text{ for some } \alpha \in \text{Hom}(G, \mathbb{T})\}$$

denote the eigenfunctions of modulus 1.

When convenient, we also write $T_g f = \chi(g)f$ for a character $\chi \in \hat{G}$ and say that χ is in the spectrum of G .

The seminorms $\|\cdot\|_s$, which appear in the next definition, are defined in Section 3.1.

Definition 2.8. Let G be a second-countable locally compact abelian group. We say that a collection of mappings $a_1, \dots, a_\ell : G \rightarrow G$ is:

- (i) *good for seminorm estimates for the system $(X, \mu, (T_g)_{g \in G})$ (along (Φ_N))* if there exists $s \in \mathbb{N}$ such that whenever $f_1, \dots, f_\ell \in L^\infty(\mu)$ satisfies $\|f_i\|_s = 0$ for some $i \in \{1, \dots, \ell\}$ and $f_{l+1}, \dots, f_\ell \in \mathcal{E}((T_g)_{g \in G})$, then

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_{n \in \Phi_N} T_{a_1(n)} f_1 \cdots T_{a_\ell(n)} f_\ell = 0,$$

where convergence takes place in $L^2(\mu)$. It is *good for seminorm estimates* if it is good for seminorm estimates for every ergodic system.

- (ii) *good for equidistribution for the system $(X, \mu, (T_g)_{g \in G})$ (along (Φ_N))* if for all $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_\ell \in \text{Spec}((T_g)_{g \in G})$, not all of them trivial, we have

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_{n \in \Phi_N} e(\alpha_1(a_1(n)) + \cdots + \alpha_\ell(a_\ell(n))) = 0. \quad (2)$$

It is *good for equidistribution (along (Φ_N))* if it is good for equidistribution (along Φ_N) for every system, or equivalently, if 2 holds for all homomorphisms $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_\ell : G \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$, not all of them trivial.

3. Sufficient conditions for joint ergodicity. We first define some notation and prove some basic results about seminorms, following the work of [17].

3.1. Ergodic seminorms and related results.

Definition 3.1. Let G be a second-countable locally compact abelian group. Let $(X, \mu, (T_g)_{g \in G})$ be a system and $f \in L^\infty(\mu)$. Let $\underline{n} := (n_1, \dots, n_s) \in G^s$, $\underline{n}' := (n'_1, \dots, n'_s) \in G^s$, $\varepsilon := (\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_s) \in \{0, 1\}^s$, and $z \in \mathbb{C}$. We put

- (i) $\varepsilon \cdot \underline{n} := \sum_{i=1}^s \varepsilon_i n_i$.
- (ii) $|\underline{n}| := \sum_{i=1}^s n_i$ and $|\underline{\varepsilon}| := \sum_{i=1}^s \varepsilon_i$.
- (iii) $\mathcal{C}^l z := z$ if l is even and $\mathcal{C}^l z := \bar{z}$ if l is odd.
- (iv) $\underline{n}^\varepsilon := (n_1^{\varepsilon_1}, \dots, n_s^{\varepsilon_s})$, where $n_j^0 = n_j$, $n_j^1 = n'_j$ for $j = 1, \dots, s$. Note that this notation will always be used in a context where \underline{n}' is understood.
- (v) $\Delta_{n_1} \cdots \Delta_{n_s} f := \prod_{\varepsilon \in \{0,1\}^s} \mathcal{C}^{|\varepsilon|} T_{\varepsilon \cdot \underline{n}} f$. For example, $\Delta_n f = f \cdot T_n \bar{f}$.
- (vi) $\Delta_{\underline{n}} f := \Delta_{n_1} \cdots \Delta_{n_s} f$.

For a given system $(X, \mu, (T_g)_{g \in G})$, in complete analogy to the \mathbb{Z} case, or indeed the \mathbb{Z}^d case, the so-called Gowers–Host–Kra seminorms $\| \cdot \|_s$ for $s \in \mathbb{N}$ can be defined. We are going to recall the inductive definition next, starting with $\| \cdot \|_0$, which we define as follows for $f \in L^\infty(\mu)$:

$$\|f\|_0 := \int_X f \, d\mu,$$

and for $s \geq 0$ we put

$$\|f\|_{s+1}^{2^{s+1}} := \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_{n \in \Phi_N} \|\Delta_n f\|_s^{2^s}, \quad (3)$$

where (Φ_N) is any Følner sequence in G . (Note that with our definition, $\| \cdot \|_0$ is not a seminorm, but $\| \cdot \|_s$ is for $s \geq 1$). The fact that the limit in 3 exists can be shown using the mean ergodic theorem for G -actions repeatedly. Furthermore, for any $f \in L^\infty(\mu)$, the mean ergodic theorem and the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality imply that $\|f\|_s \leq \|f\|_{s+1}$ for all $s \geq 1$.

If one repeatedly applies the inductive definition 3, one may express $\|f\|_s^{2^s}$ as an iterated limit. By [8, Lemmas 1.1, 1.2] and [27, Theorem 1.1], such an iterated limit may be combined in a suitable way without changing the value of the limit. To give two examples, one may obtain

$$\|f\|_s^{2^s} = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_{\underline{n} \in \Phi_N^s} \int_X \Delta_{\underline{n}} f \, d\mu$$

and, if one stops earlier,

$$\|f\|_s^{2^s} = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_{\underline{n} \in \Phi_N^{s-2}} \|\Delta_{\underline{n}} f\|_2^4. \quad (4)$$

An alternative way to define the seminorms is via the introduction of some measures on product spaces. Namely, given the system $(X, \mu, (T_n)_{n \in G})$, we consider the sequence of systems $(X^{[k]}, \mu^{[k]}, (T_n^{[k]})_{n \in G})$, for $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. These are defined inductively as follows: $(X^{[0]}, \mu^{[0]}, (T_n^{[0]})_{n \in G}) := (X, \mu, (T_n)_{n \in G})$, and assuming that $(X^{[k]}, \mu^{[k]}, (T_n^{[k]})_{n \in G})$ has been defined, we let \mathcal{I}_k be the σ -algebra of $(T_n^{[k]})_{n \in G}$ -invariant subsets of $X^{[k]}$. Let I_k be the factor associated to \mathcal{I}_k . Then, $(X^{[k+1]}, \mu^{[k+1]})$ is defined as the relative product $(X^{[k]}, \mu^{[k]}) \times_{I_k} (X^{[k]}, \mu^{[k]})$ with the natural action $(T_n^{[k+1]})_{n \in G} = (T_n^{[k]} \times T_n^{[k]})_{n \in G}$. In particular, for $F, H \in L^\infty(X^{[k]})$ we have

$$\int_{X^{[k+1]}} F \otimes H \, d\mu^{[k+1]} = \int_{X^{[k]}} \mathbb{E}[F|I_k] \cdot \mathbb{E}[H|I_k] \, d\mu^{[k]}.$$

This allows for the following alternative definition of the ergodic seminorms. For $f \in L^\infty(\mu)$ and $s \geq 0$, we have¹⁰

$$\|f\|_s := \left(\int_{X^{[s]}} \bigotimes_{\varepsilon \in \{0,1\}^s} \mathcal{C}^{|\varepsilon|} f \, d\mu^{[s]} \right)^{\frac{1}{2^s}},$$

where $\{0,1\}^0$ is taken to be the singleton $\{0\}$.

In the sequel, we will need the following lemma. We denote by $\mathcal{K}((T_g)_{g \in G})$ the Kronecker factor of $(X, \mu, (T_g)_{g \in G})$.

Lemma 3.2. *Let G be a second-countable locally compact abelian group. Let $(X, \mu, (T_g)_{g \in G})$ be an ergodic system and $f \in L^\infty(\mu)$. Let $\tilde{f} := \mathbb{E}[f | \mathcal{K}((T_g)_{g \in G})]$. Then*

$$\|f\|_2 = \|\tilde{f}\|_2.$$

Proof. Note that by the definition of the seminorms, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_2^4 &= \lim_{N_1 \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_{n_1 \in \Phi_{N_1}} \lim_{N_2 \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_{n_2 \in \Phi_{N_2}} \int_X f \cdot T_{n_1} \bar{f} \cdot T_{n_2} \bar{f} \cdot T_{n_1+n_2} f \, d\mu = \\ &= \lim_{N_1 \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_{n_1 \in \Phi_{N_1}} \int_{X \times X} (f \otimes \bar{f}) \cdot (T \times T)_{n_1} (\bar{f} \otimes f) \, d\mu \, d\mu. \quad (5) \end{aligned}$$

Using the Jacobs–de Leeuw–Glicksberg decomposition¹¹, we see by ergodicity that the last term in the equality 5 is 0 in case $f \in L^2(\mu)_{\text{wm}}$ (as it implies that $f \otimes \bar{f}$ is in the weak mixing component of the product space). Thus, $\|f\|_2^4 = \|Pf\|_2^4$, where P is the projection onto the compact component of $L^2(\mu)$. It can be shown that $Pf = \tilde{f}$ μ -a.e., so taking 4th roots completes the proof. \square

This lemma allows us to generalize [17, Proposition 3.1] to derive the following proposition.

Proposition 3.3. *Let G be a second-countable locally compact abelian group. Let $(X, \mu, (T_g)_{g \in G})$ be an ergodic system and $f \in L^\infty(\mu)$ be a function with $\|f\|_{L^\infty(\mu)} \leq 1$. Then*

$$\|f\|_2^4 \leq \sup_{\chi \in \mathcal{E}((T_g)_{g \in G})} \operatorname{Re} \left(\int_X f \cdot \chi \, d\mu \right).$$

Proof. By Lemma 3.2 we have

$$\|f\|_2 = \|\tilde{f}\|_2.$$

Since $(T_g)_{g \in G}$ is ergodic, $\mathcal{K}((T_g)_{g \in G})$ has an orthonormal basis of eigenfunctions of modulus one. Furthermore, since (X, \mathcal{B}, μ) is standard (defined in footnote 8), such a base is countable; suppose it is $(\chi_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$. The rest of the proof is as in [17, Proposition 3.2] verbatim, so we do not reproduce it here. \square

We may use the previous proposition to deduce the following one.

¹⁰Given $f_\varepsilon \in L^\infty(\mu)$, we use the notation $\bigotimes_{\varepsilon \in \{0,1\}^s} f_\varepsilon := \prod_{\varepsilon \in \{0,1\}^s} f_\varepsilon(x_\varepsilon)$, in the product space X^{2^s} .

¹¹There is a hint of this decomposition (when $G = \mathbb{Z}$) in the work of Koopman and von Neumann [21]. See [13, Section 16.3] for a more modern treatment.

Proposition 3.4. *Let G be a second-countable locally compact abelian group. Let (Φ_N) be a Følner sequence. Let $(X, \mu, (T_g)_{g \in G})$ be an ergodic system and $f \in L^\infty(\mu)$ be such that $\|f\|_{s+2} > 0$ for some $s \geq 0$. Then there exist $\chi_{\underline{n}} \in \mathcal{E}((T_g)_{g \in G})$, $\underline{n} \in G^s$, such that*

$$\liminf_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_{\underline{n} \in \Phi_N^s} \operatorname{Re} \left(\int_X \Delta_{\underline{n}} f \cdot \chi_{\underline{n}} d\mu \right) > 0.$$

Proof. Without loss of generality we will assume that $\|f\|_{L^\infty(\mu)} \leq 1$. We begin with an application of 4 to see that the initial hypothesis is equivalent to:

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_{\underline{n} \in \Phi_N^s} \|\Delta_{\underline{n}} f\|_2^4 > 0.$$

A simple application of Proposition 3.3 shows that for some $a > 0$ we have

$$\liminf_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_{\underline{n} \in \Phi_N^s} \sup_{\chi \in \mathcal{E}((T_g)_{g \in G})} \operatorname{Re} \left(\int_X \Delta_{\underline{n}} f \cdot \chi d\mu \right) \geq a.$$

Now, for each $\underline{n} \in G^s$, choose $\chi_{\underline{n}} \in \mathcal{E}((T_g)_{g \in G})$ such that

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\int_X \Delta_{\underline{n}} f \cdot \chi_{\underline{n}} d\mu \right) \geq \sup_{\chi \in \mathcal{E}((T_g)_{g \in G})} \operatorname{Re} \left(\int_X \Delta_{\underline{n}} f \cdot \chi d\mu \right) - \frac{a}{10},$$

which completes the proof. \square

Up to straightforward changes in notation we also have the following lemma (cf. [17, Lemma 3.3]):

Lemma 3.5. *Let G be a second-countable locally compact abelian group. Let (Φ_N) be a Følner sequence. Let $(X, \mu, (T_g)_{g \in G})$ be a system, and for any $s \in \mathbb{N}$ let $f_\varepsilon \in L^\infty(\mu)$ be bounded by 1 for $\varepsilon \in \{0, 1\}^s$, and $g_{\underline{n}} \in L^\infty(\mu)$ for $\underline{n} \in G^s$. Let $\underline{1} := (1, \dots, 1)$. Then for every $N \in \mathbb{N}$ we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \mathbb{E}_{\underline{n} \in \Phi_N^s} \int_X \prod_{\varepsilon \in \{0, 1\}^s} T_{\varepsilon \cdot \underline{n}} f_\varepsilon \cdot g_{\underline{n}} d\mu \right|^{2^s} \\ & \leq \mathbb{E}_{\underline{n}, \underline{n}' \in \Phi_N^s} \int_X \Delta_{\underline{n} - \underline{n}'} f_{\underline{1}} \cdot T_{-\underline{n}} \left(\prod_{\varepsilon \in \{0, 1\}^s} C^{|\varepsilon|} g_{\underline{n}^\varepsilon} \right) d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

The analogue of [17, Lemma 3.4] also holds in our context, but the proof has to be adapted at a certain stage:

Lemma 3.6. *Let G be a second-countable locally compact abelian group. Let $(X, \mu, (T_g)_{g \in G})$ be an ergodic system and $f \in L^\infty(\mu)$ be such that $\|f\|_s = 0$ for some $s \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $(\Phi_N), (\Psi_N)$ be Følner sequences in G . For $j = 1, \dots, s$, $N \in \mathbb{N}$, let $b_{j,N}(n_1, \dots, n_s) \in \ell^\infty(G^s)$ be mappings that do not depend on the variable n_j and are bounded by 1, and let*

$$c_N(\underline{n}) := \prod_{j=1}^s b_{j,N}(\underline{n}), \quad \underline{n} \in \Phi_N^s, N \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then,

$$\lim_{D \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \mathbb{E}_{\underline{n} \in \Phi_N^{s-1}} \mathbb{E}_{d \in \Psi_D} c_N(\underline{n}, d) \cdot \Delta_{\underline{n}, d} f \right\|_{L^2(\mu)} = 0.$$

Proof. Using the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality, together with the fact that $b_{s,N}$ does not depend on n_s and is bounded by 1 and the fact that

$$\Delta_{\underline{n},d}f = T_d(\Delta_{\underline{n}}\bar{f}) \cdot (\Delta_{\underline{n}}f), \quad \underline{n} \in G^{s-1}, d \in G,$$

it suffices to show that

$$\lim_{D \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_{\underline{n} \in \Phi_N^{s-1}} \left\| \mathbb{E}_{n'_s \in \Psi_D} \prod_{j=1}^{s-1} b_{j,N}(\underline{n}, n'_s) \cdot T_{n'_s}(\Delta_{\underline{n}}\bar{f}) \right\|_{L^2(\mu)}^2 = 0, \quad (6)$$

relabeling d as n'_s .

By Lemma 2.2 for the average over n'_s and composing with $T_{-n'_s}$, for any $M \in \mathbb{N}$, the left-hand side of 6 is bounded above by

$$\lim_{D \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_{\underline{n} \in \Phi_N^{s-1}} \mathbb{E}_{h' \in \Phi_M} \mathbb{E}_{n_s \in \Phi_{M-h'}} \mathbb{E}_{n'_s \in \Psi_{D+h'}} \prod_{j=1}^{s-1} b_{j,N}(\underline{n}, n'_s + n_s) \cdot \bar{b}_{j,N}(\underline{n}, n'_s) \int_X T_{n_s}(\Delta_{\underline{n}}\bar{f}) \cdot \Delta_{\underline{n}}f \, d\mu,$$

which is bounded above by

$$\sup_{\substack{(H_N), (\Theta_D) \\ \text{Følner sequences}}} \left| \lim_{D \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_{\underline{n} \in \Phi_N^{s-1}} \mathbb{E}_{n_s \in H_M} \mathbb{E}_{n'_s \in \Theta_D} \prod_{j=1}^{s-1} b_{j,N}(\underline{n}, n'_s + n_s) \cdot \bar{b}_{j,N}(\underline{n}, n'_s) \int_X T_{n_s}(\Delta_{\underline{n}}\bar{f}) \cdot \Delta_{\underline{n}}f \, d\mu \right|.$$

Since M is arbitrary, it is thus enough to show that for all Følner sequences $(H_N), (\Theta_N)$ we have

$$\lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{D \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_{\underline{n} \in \Phi_N^{s-1}} \mathbb{E}_{n_s \in H_M} \mathbb{E}_{n'_s \in \Theta_D} \prod_{j=1}^{s-1} b_{j,N}(\underline{n}, n'_s + n_s) \cdot \bar{b}_{j,N}(\underline{n}, n'_s) \int_X T_{n_s}(\Delta_{\underline{n}}\bar{f}) \cdot \Delta_{\underline{n}}f \, d\mu = 0,$$

so it is enough to show that

$$\lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{D \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_{n'_s \in \Theta_D} \left| \mathbb{E}_{\underline{n} \in \Phi_N^{s-1}} \mathbb{E}_{n_s \in H_M} \prod_{j=1}^{s-1} b_{j,N}(\underline{n}, n'_s + n_s) \cdot \bar{b}_{j,N}(\underline{n}, n'_s) \int_X T_{n_s}(\Delta_{\underline{n}}\bar{f}) \cdot \Delta_{\underline{n}}f \, d\mu \right| = 0.$$

Thus, as in [17, Lemma 3.4], it is enough to show that for any choice of $n'_{s,D} \in \Theta_D, D \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$\lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_{\underline{n} \in \Phi_N^{s-1}} \mathbb{E}_{n_s \in H_M} \prod_{j=1}^{s-1} b_{j,N}(\underline{n}, n'_{s,D} + n_s) \cdot \bar{b}_{j,N}(\underline{n}, n'_{s,D}) \int_X T_{n_s}(\Delta_{\underline{n}}\bar{f}) \cdot \Delta_{\underline{n}}f \, d\mu = 0,$$

and by the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality it is actually enough to show that

$$\lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \mathbb{E}_{\underline{n} \in \Phi_N^{s-1}} \mathbb{E}_{n_s \in H_M} \prod_{j=1}^{s-1} b'_{j,N}(\underline{n}, n_s) \cdot \Delta_{\underline{n}, n_s} f \right\|_{L^2(\mu)} = 0,$$

where $b'_{j,N}(\underline{n}, n_s) := b_{j,N}(\underline{n}, n'_{s,D} + n_s) \cdot \bar{b}_{j,N}(\underline{n}, n'_{s,D})$. Note that we now have a product of $s - 1$ mappings $b'_{j,N}$ that do not depend on the variable n_j . If we keep iterating this process, we will find that it is enough to show

$$\lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_{\underline{n} \in \Phi_N^{s-1}} \mathbb{E}_{n_s \in H_M} \int_X \Delta_{\underline{n}, n_s} f \, d\mu = 0$$

for any choice of Følner sequences $(\Phi_N), (H_N)$. This now follows from the assumption that $\|f\|_s = 0$, as the choice of Følner sequence does not affect the definition. \square

3.2. Proof of Theorem 1.1. We follow the approach in [17] and obtain the following result. It is restated here in a more complicated way for technical reasons.

Theorem 1.1. *Let G be a second-countable locally compact abelian group. Let (Φ_N) be a Følner sequence. Let $(X, \mu, (T_g)_{g \in G})$ be an ergodic system and $a_1, \dots, a_\ell : G \rightarrow G$ be mappings that are good for seminorm estimates and equidistribution for $(X, \mu, (T_g)_{g \in G})$. Then, for every $l \in \{0, 1, \dots, \ell\}$ we have:*

(P_l) *If $f_1, \dots, f_\ell \in L^\infty(\mu)$ are such that $f_j \in \mathcal{E}((T_g)_{g \in G})$ for $j = l + 1, \dots, \ell$, then*

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_{n \in \Phi_N} T_{a_1(n)} f_1 \cdots T_{a_\ell(n)} f_\ell = \int_X f_1 \, d\mu \cdots \int_X f_\ell \, d\mu \quad (7)$$

in $L^2(\mu)$.

In order to prove Theorem 1.1 we need some auxiliary results. The first one is an easy proof by contradiction as in [17, Proposition 4.2], so we merely give the statement.

Proposition 3.7. *Let G be a second-countable locally compact abelian group. Let $a_1, \dots, a_\ell : G \rightarrow G$ be mappings, (Φ_N) be a Følner sequence, $(X, \mu, (T_g)_{g \in G})$ be a system, and $f_1, \dots, f_\ell \in L^\infty(\mu)$ be such that*

$$\limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \mathbb{E}_{n \in \Phi_N} T_{a_1(n)} f_1 \cdots T_{a_\ell(n)} f_\ell \right\|_{L^2(\mu)} > 0.$$

Let $l \in \{1, \dots, \ell\}$. Then we can find a Følner subsequence (Φ_{N_k}) and $g_k \in L^\infty(\mu)$ with $\|g_k\|_{L^\infty(\mu)} \leq 1$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, such that for

$$\tilde{f}_l := \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_{n \in \Phi_{N_k}} T_{-a_l(n)} g_k \cdot \prod_{j=1, j \neq l}^{\ell} T_{a_j(n) - a_l(n)} \bar{f}_j, \quad (8)$$

where the limit is a weak limit (so $\tilde{f}_l \in L^\infty(\mu)$), we have

$$\limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \mathbb{E}_{n \in \Phi_N} T_{a_l(n)} \tilde{f}_l \cdot \prod_{j=1, j \neq l}^{\ell} T_{a_j(n)} f_j \right\|_{L^2(\mu)} > 0.$$

Furthermore, if $\ell = l = 1$ and $\int f_1 \, d\mu = 0$, then we can choose \tilde{f}_1 of the form 8 and such that $\int \tilde{f}_1 \, d\mu = 0$.

For the next result, the proof given in [17, Proposition 4.3] works for our setting almost verbatim, so we do not reproduce it.

Proposition 3.8. *Let G be a second-countable locally compact abelian group. Let $(X, \mu, (T_g)_{g \in G})$ be an ergodic system, $f_{n,k} \in L^\infty(\mu)$, $k \in \mathbb{N}, n \in G$, be bounded by 1, and $f \in L^\infty(\mu)$ be defined by*

$$f := \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_{n \in \Phi_{N_k}} f_{n,k}$$

for some Følner sequence (Φ_{N_k}) , where the average is assumed to converge weakly. If $\|f\|_{s+2} > 0$ for some $s \geq 0$, then there exist $a > 0$, a subset Λ of G^s with $\underline{d}_{(\Phi_N^s)}(\Lambda) > 0$, and $\chi_{\underline{n}} \in \mathcal{E}((T_g)_{g \in G})$, $\underline{n} \in G^s$,¹² such that

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\int_X \Delta_{\underline{n}} f \cdot \chi_{\underline{n}} \right) > a, \quad \underline{n} \in \Lambda,$$

and

$$\liminf_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_{n, n' \in \Phi_N^s} \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_{n \in \Phi_{N_k}} \operatorname{Re} \left(\int_X \Delta_{\underline{n}-\underline{n}'} f_{n,k} \cdot \chi_{\underline{n}, \underline{n}'} \cdot 1_{\Lambda'}(\underline{n}, \underline{n}') \right) > 0,$$

where

$$\chi_{\underline{n}, \underline{n}'} := T_{-\underline{n}} \left(\prod_{\varepsilon \in \{0,1\}^s} \mathcal{C}^{|\varepsilon|} \chi_{\underline{n}^\varepsilon} \right),$$

and

$$\Lambda' := \{(\underline{n}, \underline{n}') \in G^{2s} : \underline{n}^\varepsilon \in \Lambda \text{ for all } \varepsilon \in \{0,1\}^s\}.$$

We now move to the most important intermediate result in this section:

Proposition 3.9. *Let G be a second-countable locally compact abelian group. Let (Φ_N) be a Følner sequence. Let $(X, \mu, (T_g)_{g \in G})$ be an ergodic system and $a_1, \dots, a_\ell : G \rightarrow G$ be good for equidistribution for the system $(X, \mu, (T_g)_{g \in G})$. Suppose that property (P_{l-1}) of Theorem 1.1 holds for some $l \in \{1, \dots, \ell\}$. Let f_1, \dots, f_ℓ be as in Proposition 3.7, and let $(\Phi_{N_k}), g_k, k \in \mathbb{N}$, and \tilde{f}_l be as in the conclusion of that proposition. Further assume that $f_{l+1}, \dots, f_\ell \in \mathcal{E}((T_g)_{g \in G})$. Suppose that $\|\tilde{f}_l\|_{s'+2} > 0$ for some $s' \geq 0$. Then $\int_X \tilde{f}_l d\mu \neq 0$.*

Proof. Suppose without loss of generality that the functions f_1, \dots, f_ℓ are bounded by 1. We will show that if $\|\tilde{f}_l\|_{s+2} > 0$ for some $s \geq 0$, then $\|\tilde{f}_l\|_{s+1} > 0$. Applying this $s' + 1$ times, it follows that $\|\tilde{f}_l\|_1 > 0$, so $\int_X \tilde{f}_l d\mu \neq 0$ by ergodicity. Since $\|\tilde{f}_l\|_{s+2} > 0$, we can use Proposition 3.8 for \tilde{f}_l with

$$f_{n,k} := T_{-a_l(n)} g_k \cdot \prod_{j \in \{1, \dots, \ell\}, j \neq l} T_{a_j(n) - a_l(n)} \tilde{f}_j, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}, n \in G.$$

Thus, there exist $a > 0$, a subset Λ of G^s with positive lower density (along (Φ_N^s)), $\chi_{\underline{n}} \in \mathcal{E}((T_g)_{g \in G})$, $\underline{n} \in G^s$, such that

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\int_X \Delta_{\underline{n}} \tilde{f}_l \cdot \chi_{\underline{n}} \right) > a, \quad \underline{n} \in \Lambda, \tag{9}$$

¹²We choose $\chi_{\underline{n}}, \underline{n} \in G^s$, arbitrarily if $\underline{n} \notin \Lambda$.

and

$$\liminf_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_{\underline{n}, \underline{n}' \in \Phi_N^s} \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_{n \in \Phi_{Nk}} \operatorname{Re} \left(\int_X T_{-a_l(n)}(\Delta_{\underline{n}-\underline{n}'} g_k) \prod_{j \in \{1, \dots, \ell\}, j \neq l} T_{a_j(n)-a_l(n)}(\Delta_{\underline{n}-\underline{n}'} \bar{f}_j) \cdot \chi_{\underline{n}, \underline{n}'} \cdot 1_{\Lambda'}(\underline{n}, \underline{n}') d\mu \right) > 0, \quad (10)$$

where

$$\chi_{\underline{n}, \underline{n}'} := T_{-\lfloor \underline{n} \rfloor} \left(\prod_{\varepsilon \in \{0,1\}^s} \mathcal{C}^{|\varepsilon|} \chi_{\underline{n}^\varepsilon} \right), \quad \underline{n}, \underline{n}' \in G^s,$$

and

$$\Lambda' := \{(\underline{n}, \underline{n}') \in G^{2s} : \underline{n}^\varepsilon \in \Lambda \text{ for all } \varepsilon \in \{0,1\}^s\}.$$

We start by analyzing 10. After composing with $T_{a_l(n)}$ we get

$$\liminf_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_{\underline{n}, \underline{n}' \in \Phi_N^s} \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_{n \in \Phi_{Nk}} \operatorname{Re} \left(\int_X (\Delta_{\underline{n}-\underline{n}'} g_k) \prod_{j \in \{1, \dots, \ell\}, j \neq l} T_{a_j(n)}(\Delta_{\underline{n}-\underline{n}'} \bar{f}_j) \cdot T_{a_l(n)} \chi_{\underline{n}, \underline{n}'} \cdot 1_{\Lambda'}(\underline{n}, \underline{n}') d\mu \right) > 0.$$

Let

$$g_{j, \underline{n}, \underline{n}'} := \Delta_{\underline{n}-\underline{n}'} \bar{f}_j, \quad j \in \{1, \dots, \ell\}, \quad j \neq l, \quad \underline{n}, \underline{n}' \in G^s,$$

and

$$g_{l, \underline{n}, \underline{n}'} := \chi_{\underline{n}, \underline{n}'}, \quad \underline{n}, \underline{n}' \in G^s.$$

Using Cauchy–Schwarz we deduce that

$$\liminf_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_{\underline{n}, \underline{n}' \in \Phi_N^s} 1_{\Lambda'}(\underline{n}, \underline{n}') \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \mathbb{E}_{n \in \Phi_{Nk}} \prod_{j=1}^{\ell} T_{a_j(n)} g_{j, \underline{n}, \underline{n}'} \right\|_{L^2(\mu)} > 0.$$

Since

$$1_{\Lambda'}(\underline{n}, \underline{n}') = \prod_{\varepsilon \in \{0,1\}^s} 1_{\Lambda}(\underline{n}^\varepsilon) \leq 1_{\Lambda}(\underline{n})$$

and the set Λ has positive lower density, we get

$$\liminf_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_{\underline{n}' \in \Phi_N^s} \mathbb{E}_{\underline{n} \in \Lambda \cap \Phi_N^s} \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \mathbb{E}_{n \in \Phi_{Nk}} \prod_{j=1}^{\ell} T_{a_j(n)} g_{j, \underline{n}, \underline{n}'} \right\|_{L^2(\mu)} > 0. \quad (11)$$

By assumption, $f_j \in \mathcal{E}((T_g)_{g \in G})$ for $j = l+1, \dots, \ell$, so it follows that $g_{j, \underline{n}, \underline{n}'} \in \mathcal{E}((T_g)_{g \in G})$ for $j = l+1, \dots, \ell$, $\underline{n}, \underline{n}' \in G^s$. Moreover, since $\chi_{\underline{n}} \in \mathcal{E}((T_g)_{g \in G})$ for all $\underline{n} \in G^s$, we get that $g_{l, \underline{n}, \underline{n}'} \in \mathcal{E}((T_g)_{g \in G})$ for all $\underline{n}, \underline{n}' \in G^s$. By assumption, property (P_{l-1}) of Theorem 1.1 holds, so 11 remains unchanged if for $j = 1, \dots, l-1$ we replace the functions $g_{j, \underline{n}, \underline{n}'}, \underline{n}, \underline{n}' \in G^s$, by their integrals. Now, for some group homomorphisms $\alpha_{\underline{n}} : G \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ we have

$$T_{a_l(n)} \chi_{\underline{n}} = e(\alpha_{\underline{n}}(a_l(n))) \chi_{\underline{n}}, \quad n \in G, \underline{n} \in G^s,$$

where $\alpha_{\underline{n}}$ is in the spectrum of $(T_g)_{g \in G}$, $\underline{n} \in G^s$. Thus,

$$T_{a_l(n)} g_{l, \underline{n}, \underline{n}'} = e(\beta_{\underline{n}, \underline{n}'}(a_l(n))) g_{l, \underline{n}, \underline{n}'},$$

where

$$\beta_{\underline{n}, \underline{n}'} := \sum_{\varepsilon \in \{0,1\}^s} (-1)^{|\varepsilon|} \alpha_{\underline{n}^\varepsilon}.$$

For $j = l+1, \dots, \ell$, $\underline{n}, \underline{n}' \in G^s$, the eigenvalues of the eigenfunctions $g_{j, \underline{n}, \underline{n}'}$ are of the form $e(\alpha_{j, \underline{n}, \underline{n}'})$, where $\alpha_{j, \underline{n}, \underline{n}'} : G \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ are in the spectrum of the G -action. Thus,

$$\liminf_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_{\underline{n}' \in \Phi_N^s} \mathbb{E}_{\underline{n} \in \Lambda \cap \Phi_N^s} \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left| \mathbb{E}_{n \in \Phi_{N_k}} e \left(\beta_{\underline{n}, \underline{n}'}(a_l(n)) + \sum_{j=l+1}^{\ell} \alpha_{j, \underline{n}, \underline{n}'}(a_j(n)) \right) \right| > 0. \quad (12)$$

From 12 we can find elements $\underline{n}'_N \in \Phi_N^s$, and subsets Λ_N of $\Lambda \cap \Phi_N^s$ with

$$\liminf_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\Lambda_N|}{|\Phi_N|^s} > 0, \quad N \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (13)$$

and such that

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left| \mathbb{E}_{n \in \Phi_{N_k}} e(\beta_{\underline{n}, \underline{n}'_N}(a_l(n)) + \sum_{j=l+1}^{\ell} \alpha_{j, \underline{n}, \underline{n}'_N}(a_j(n))) \right| > 0, \quad \underline{n} \in \Lambda_N.$$

Since the mappings a_1, \dots, a_ℓ are good for equidistribution for $(X, \mu, (T_g)_{g \in G})$ and, for every $j = l+1, \dots, \ell$, $\underline{n} \in \Lambda_N$, and $N \in \mathbb{N}$, the homomorphisms $\beta_{\underline{n}, \underline{n}'_N}$, $\alpha_{j, \underline{n}, \underline{n}'_N}$ are in the spectrum of $(T_g)_{g \in G}$, we deduce that

$$\beta_{\underline{n}, \underline{n}'_N} = 0, \quad \underline{n} \in \Lambda_N, N \in \mathbb{N},$$

that is, it is the trivial homomorphism. Now, if $\underline{n}_N^\varepsilon := (n_1^{\varepsilon_1}, \dots, n_s^{\varepsilon_s})$, where $n_j^0 := n_j$ and $n_j^1 := n'_{j,N}$ for $j = 1, \dots, s$, on recalling the definition of $\beta_{\underline{n}, \underline{n}'}$, we observe

$$\alpha_{\underline{n}} = - \sum_{\varepsilon \in \{0,1\}^s \setminus \underline{0}} (-1)^{|\varepsilon|} \alpha_{\underline{n}_N^\varepsilon}, \quad \underline{n} \in \Lambda_N, N \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (14)$$

This implies that $\alpha_{\underline{n}}$ can be expressed as a sum of mappings, each of which depends only on $s-1$ variables from n_1, \dots, n_s . Since 9 holds for all $\underline{n} \in \Lambda_N \subseteq \Lambda$, $N \in \mathbb{N}$, and 13 holds, we deduce that

$$\liminf_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_{\underline{n} \in \Lambda_N} \operatorname{Re} \left(\int_X \Delta_{\underline{n}} \tilde{f}_l \cdot \chi_{\underline{n}} d\mu \right) > 0.$$

Composing with $T_{n'_s}$ and averaging n'_s over Φ_N , together with the fact that

$$T_{n'_s} \chi_{\underline{n}} = e(\alpha_{\underline{n}}(n'_s)) \cdot \chi_{\underline{n}}, \quad \underline{n} \in G^s, n'_s \in G,$$

and then using the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality, we deduce that

$$\liminf_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_{\underline{n} \in \Lambda_N} \left\| \mathbb{E}_{n'_s \in \Phi_N} T_{n'_s}(\Delta_{\underline{n}} \tilde{f}_l) \cdot e(\alpha_{\underline{n}}(n'_s)) \right\|_{L^2(\mu)} > 0.$$

Using Lemma 2.2 for the average over n'_s and composing with $T_{-n'_s}$ on the integrals that arise we deduce that for each $M \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{Re} \left(\mathbb{E}_{\underline{n} \in \Phi_N^s} \mathbb{E}_{h' \in \Phi_M} \mathbb{E}_{h \in \Phi_M - h'} e(\alpha_{\underline{n}}(h)) \cdot 1_{\Lambda_N}(\underline{n}) \int_X \Delta_{(\underline{n}, n_{s+1})} \tilde{f}_l d\mu \right) > 0.$$

By subadditivity of \limsup (and the pigeonhole principle), we can choose $h'_M \in G$ such that

$$\limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{Re} \left(\mathbb{E}_{\underline{n} \in \Phi_N^s} \mathbb{E}_{h \in \Phi_M - h'_M} e(\alpha_{\underline{n}}(h)) \cdot 1_{\Lambda_N}(\underline{n}) \int_X \Delta_{(\underline{n}, n_{s+1})} \tilde{f}_l \, d\mu \right) > 0. \quad (15)$$

Let (H_M) be the Følner sequence defined by $H_M := \Phi_M - h'_M$. Then, [15](#) implies

$$\liminf_{M \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{Re} \left(\mathbb{E}_{\underline{n} \in \Phi_N^s} \mathbb{E}_{n_{s+1} \in H_M} c_N(\underline{n}, n_{s+1}) \cdot 1_{\Lambda_N}(\underline{n}) \int_X \Delta_{(\underline{n}, n_{s+1})} \tilde{f}_l \, d\mu \right) > 0,$$

where

$$c_N(\underline{n}, n_{s+1}) := e(\alpha_{\underline{n}}(n_{s+1})), \quad \underline{n} \in \Phi_N^s, n_{s+1} \in H_M, N, M \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (16)$$

Hence, by the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality we deduce that

$$\liminf_{M \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \mathbb{E}_{\underline{n} \in \Phi_N^s} \mathbb{E}_{n_{s+1} \in H_M} c_N(\underline{n}, n_{s+1}) \cdot 1_{\Lambda_N}(\underline{n}) \cdot \Delta_{(\underline{n}, n_{s+1})} \tilde{f}_l \right\|_{L^2(\mu)} > 0.$$

Using [14](#) and [16](#) we get that for all $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\underline{n} \in \Lambda_N$ we have

$$c_N(\underline{n}, n_{s+1}) = \prod_{j=1}^s b_{j,N}(\underline{n}, n_{s+1}), \quad \underline{n} \in \Lambda_N, n_{s+1} \in H_M, N, M \in \mathbb{N},$$

where $b_{j,N}$ do not depend on the variable n_j , for $j = 1, \dots, s, N \in \mathbb{N}$, and are bounded by 1. Since it is also the case that $1_{\Lambda_N}(\underline{n})$ does not depend on the variable n_{s+1} , we deduce from [Lemma 3.6](#) that

$$\|\tilde{f}_l\|_{s+1} > 0,$$

completing the proof. \square

We are now ready to give a proof of [Theorem 1.1](#).

Proof of [Theorem 1.1](#). Fix an ergodic system $(X, \mu, (T_g)_{g \in G})$, a positive integer $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$, and mappings $a_1, \dots, a_\ell : G \rightarrow G$.

First suppose that $\ell = 1$. The assumption that the sequence a_1 is good for equidistribution for the system $(X, \mu, (T_g)_{g \in G})$ implies property (P_0) in the formulation of [Theorem 1.1](#) given at the beginning of this subsection. Next, still assuming $\ell = 1$, we show that property (P_0) implies property (P_1) . Assume to the contrary that property (P_0) holds and there exists $f_1 \in L^\infty(\mu)$ such that $\int f_1 \, d\mu = 0$ but

$$\limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \mathbb{E}_{n \in \Phi_N} T_{a_1(n)} f_1 \right\|_{L^2(\mu)} > 0.$$

By [Proposition 3.7](#), there exists $\tilde{f}_1 \in L^\infty(\mu)$ of the form [8](#) satisfying $\int \tilde{f}_1 \, d\mu = 0$ and

$$\limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \mathbb{E}_{n \in \Phi_N} T_{a_1(n)} \tilde{f}_1 \right\|_{L^2(\mu)} > 0.$$

Since the sequence a_1 is good for seminorm estimates for $(X, \mu, (T_g)_{g \in G})$, we deduce that $\|\tilde{f}_1\|_s > 0$ for some $s \geq 1$. If $s = 1$, by ergodicity we have $\int_X \tilde{f}_1 \, d\mu \neq 0$, a contradiction. Otherwise, $s \geq 2$. Then, since the assumptions of [Proposition 3.9](#) are satisfied, we deduce that $\int \tilde{f}_1 \, d\mu \neq 0$, the same contradiction.

Now suppose that $\ell \geq 2$. We show that property (P_l) holds by induction on $l \in \{0, \dots, \ell\}$.

Assume first that $l = 0$. In this case, $T_g f_j = e(\alpha_j(g))f_j$ for some $\alpha_j : G \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$, $j = 1, \dots, \ell$. If $\alpha_j = 0$ for $j = 1, \dots, \ell$, then by ergodicity, $T_g f_j = \int_X f_j d\mu$, in which case 7 is obvious. Thus, to see that 7 holds, it suffices to show that

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_{n \in \Phi_N} e(\alpha_1(a_1(n)) + \dots + \alpha_\ell(a_\ell(n))) = 0$$

for $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_\ell \in \text{Spec}((T_g)_{g \in G})$, not all of them zero. This holds by the equidistribution assumption on a_1, \dots, a_ℓ .

For $l \in \{1, \dots, \ell\}$, we assume that the property (P_{l-1}) of Theorem 1.1 holds. We will show that property (P_l) holds. Note that it suffices to assume that at least one of the functions f_j , $j \in \{1, \dots, \ell\} \setminus \{l\}$, has zero integral, by adding and subtracting the respective integrals for each f_j . The only noteworthy term is of the form $\prod_{j \in \{1, \dots, \ell\} \setminus \{l\}} \int_X f_j d\mu \cdot \mathbb{E}_{n \in \Phi_N} T_{a_l(n)} f_l$, which by the $l = 1$ case converges in $L^2(\mu)$ to $\prod_{j \in \{1, \dots, \ell\}} \int_X f_j d\mu$.

Our goal is to show that

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_{n \in \Phi_N} T_{a_1(n)} f_1 \cdots T_{a_\ell(n)} f_\ell = 0,$$

where convergence takes place in $L^2(\mu)$. Arguing by contradiction, suppose that

$$\limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \mathbb{E}_{n \in \Phi_N} T_{a_1(n)} f_1 \cdots T_{a_\ell(n)} f_\ell \right\|_{L^2(\mu)} > 0.$$

Using Proposition 3.7, the same holds replacing f_l with the function \tilde{f}_l defined by the weak limit in 8, namely

$$\tilde{f}_l := \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_{n \in \Phi_{N_k}} T_{-a_l(n)} g_k \cdot \prod_{j=1, j \neq l}^{\ell} T_{a_j(n) - a_l(n)} \tilde{f}_j, \quad (17)$$

for some Følner subsequence (Φ_{N_k}) , $g_k \in L^\infty(\mu)$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, with all the functions bounded by 1.

Since $f_{l+1}, \dots, f_\ell \in \mathcal{E}((T_g)_{g \in G})$ and the mappings a_1, \dots, a_ℓ are good for semi-norm estimates for the system $(X, \mu, (T_g)_{g \in G})$, we deduce that $\|\tilde{f}_l\|_s > 0$ for some $s \in \mathbb{N}$. If $s = 1$, by ergodicity we have $\int_X \tilde{f}_l d\mu \neq 0$. Otherwise, $s \geq 2$. Then, since the assumptions of Proposition 3.9 are satisfied, we again have $\int_X \tilde{f}_l d\mu \neq 0$.

In either case, using 17, one obtains

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_{n \in \Phi_{N_k}} \int_X T_{-a_l(n)} g_k \cdot \prod_{j \in \{1, \dots, \ell\}, j \neq l} T_{a_j(n) - a_l(n)} \tilde{f}_j d\mu \neq 0.$$

Composing with $T_{a_l(n)}$ and using the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality, we get that

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \mathbb{E}_{n \in \Phi_{N_k}} \prod_{j \in \{1, \dots, \ell\}, j \neq l} T_{a_j(n)} f_j \right\|_{L^2(\mu)} > 0. \quad (18)$$

Since at least one of the functions f_j , for $j \in \{1, \dots, \ell\} \setminus \{l\}$, has zero integral, and $f_{l+1}, \dots, f_\ell \in \mathcal{E}((T_g)_{g \in G})$, using property (P_{l-1}) of Theorem 1.1 (with $f_l := 1 \in \mathcal{E}((T_g)_{g \in G})$), we would find that the limit of the left-hand side of 18 is zero, a contradiction. We conclude that property (P_l) holds. \square

4. Joint ergodicity of independent polynomial field actions. Throughout this section, we will work with fields F with characteristic zero.

4.1. Equidistribution. The purpose of this short subsection is to prove the following result:

Theorem 4.1. *Let F be a countable field with characteristic zero. Let $\chi_1, \dots, \chi_k \in \hat{F}$ not all trivial. Let (Φ_N) be a Følner sequence in F and let $p_1, \dots, p_k \in F[x]$ be linearly independent polynomials with $p_i(0) = 0$, $i = 1, \dots, k$. Then*

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_{n \in \Phi_N} \chi_1(p_1(n)) \cdots \chi_k(p_k(n)) = 0. \quad (19)$$

Proof. We may assume without loss of generality that there are no non-trivial relations between the characters χ_i . Indeed, suppose such a relation existed, so that there exist distinct r_1, \dots, r_t , $s \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ and (not necessarily distinct) elements $a_{r_1}, \dots, a_{r_t}, a_s \in F$, $a_s \neq 0$, such that

$$\chi_{r_1}(a_{r_1}n) \cdots \chi_{r_t}(a_{r_t}n) = \chi_s(a_s n)$$

holds for all $n \in F$. Clearly, we may as well assume that not all of a_{r_1}, \dots, a_{r_t} are zero, for otherwise, $\chi_s(p_s(n)) = \chi_s\left(a_s \cdot \frac{p_s(n)}{a_s}\right) = 1$, which would imply that we could eliminate the character χ_s from the averages we are considering. Thus, we may assume that not all of a_{r_1}, \dots, a_{r_t} are zero. Then, we notice that

$$\chi_s(p_s(n)) = \chi_s\left(a_s \cdot \frac{p_s(n)}{a_s}\right) = \chi_{r_1}\left(\frac{a_{r_1}p_s(n)}{a_s}\right) \cdots \chi_{r_t}\left(\frac{a_{r_t}p_s(n)}{a_s}\right),$$

which implies that the averages in 19 can be simplified to

$$\mathbb{E}_{n \in \Phi_N} \prod_{i \neq s} \chi_i(\tilde{p}_i(n)),$$

where $\tilde{p}_i := p_i$ if $i \notin \{r_1, \dots, r_t\}$ and $\tilde{p}_i := p_i + \frac{a_i}{a_s} p_s$ if $i \in \{r_1, \dots, r_t\}$. It is straightforward to check that the family of polynomials \tilde{p}_i is linearly independent, because the family of p_i is.

Thus, it is enough to show that 19 holds under the extra assumption that the characters χ_i do not satisfy any non-trivial relation.

After reordering and relabeling if necessary, we may assume that for some $t \in \{1, \dots, k\}$, p_t, \dots, p_k are all of degree $d := \max_{1 \leq i \leq k} \deg p_i$. Applying [10, Theorem 2.12], another convenient form of the van der Corput trick, $d - 1$ times, we see that it suffices to show that for all $h_1, \dots, h_{d-1} \in F \setminus \{0\}$ we have

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_{n \in \Phi_N} \chi_t(\Delta_{h_1} \cdots \Delta_{h_{d-1}} p_t(n)) \cdots \chi_k(\Delta_{h_1} \cdots \Delta_{h_{d-1}} p_k(n)) = 0, \quad (20)$$

where, given $p \in F[x]$ and $h \in F \setminus \{0\}$, we put $(\Delta_h p)(n) := p(n+h) - p(n)$. Since F has characteristic 0, we can find $a_{h_1, \dots, h_{d-1}; i} \in F \setminus \{0\}$, $b_{h_1, \dots, h_{d-1}; i} \in F$ such that $\Delta_{h_1} \cdots \Delta_{h_{d-1}} p_i(n) = a_{h_1, \dots, h_{d-1}; i} n + b_{h_1, \dots, h_{d-1}; i}$. Thus, to show 20 holds, it is enough to check that

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_{n \in \Phi_N} \chi_t(a_{h_1, \dots, h_{d-1}; t} n) \cdots \chi_k(a_{h_1, \dots, h_{d-1}; k} n) = 0.$$

Since the characters under consideration do not satisfy any non-trivial relation, it is clearly enough to check that for any $\chi \in \hat{F} \setminus \{1\}$ we have

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_{n \in \Phi_N} \chi(n) = 0.$$

This is straightforward: suppose that z is a limit point of the sequence $(\mathbb{E}_{n \in \Phi_N} \chi(n))_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$. Since χ is a non-trivial character, there exists $q \in F$ such that $\chi(q) \neq 1$. Suppose that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_{n \in \Phi_{N_k}} \chi(n) = z.$$

Since (Φ_{N_k}) is still a Følner sequence, we have that

$$z = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_{n \in \Phi_{N_k}} \chi(n+q) = z\chi(q).$$

Given that $\chi(q) \neq 1$, we see that $z = 0$. The sequence $(\mathbb{E}_{n \in \Phi_N} \chi(n))_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$ is in the closed disk of radius 1 in \mathbb{C} , which is compact. This implies that at least one limit point must exist for $(\mathbb{E}_{n \in \Phi_N} \chi(n))_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$, and it must be 0. No other limit point is possible, so the sequence must converge to 0, completing the proof. \square

4.2. Seminorm estimates. The purpose of this subsection is to show that families of independent polynomials $p_1, \dots, p_k \in F[x]$ are good for seminorm estimates, one of the key requirements of Theorem 1.1. Thus, we want to show the following theorem, which proves a slightly stronger property.

Theorem 4.2. *Let F be a countable field with characteristic zero. Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$. For any $r, b \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for any family of nonconstant, essentially distinct¹³ polynomials $p_1, \dots, p_r : F^d \rightarrow F$ of degree less than b and any $f_1, \dots, f_r \in L^\infty(X)$ with $\|f_1\|_k = 0$, one has*

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{g} \in \Phi_N} T_{p_1(\mathbf{g})} f_1 \cdots T_{p_r(\mathbf{g})} f_r = 0$$

in $L^2(X)$ for any Følner sequence (Φ_N) in $(F^d, +)$.

Remark 4.3. We will only care about $d = 1$, but the method we use here, introduced in [23], makes use of the “dimension increment trick”, so we will show the result for F^d .

A stepping stone in proving Theorem 4.2 is the following proposition.

Proposition 4.4. *Let F be a countable field with characteristic zero. Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $p_1, \dots, p_r : F^d \rightarrow F$ be essentially distinct polynomials of degree one¹⁴. Then there exists a constant C such that for any $f_1, \dots, f_r \in L^\infty(X)$, we have*

$$\limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{g} \in \Phi_N} T_{p_1(\mathbf{g})} f_1 \cdots T_{p_r(\mathbf{g})} f_r \right\|_{L^2(X)} \leq C \|f_1\|_r \prod_{i=2}^r \|f_i\|_{L^\infty(X)}$$

for any Følner sequence (Φ_N) in F^d .

Corollary 4.5. *With assumptions as in Proposition 4.4, if additionally $\|f_1\|_{r+1} = 0$, then we have*

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{g} \in \Phi_N} T_{p_1(\mathbf{g})} f_1 \cdots T_{p_r(\mathbf{g})} f_r = 0$$

in $L^2(X)$ for any Følner sequence (Φ_N) in F^d .

We prove two lemmas before showing Proposition 4.4.

Lemma 4.6. *Let F be a countable field with characteristic zero. Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $p : F^d \rightarrow F$ be a degree one polynomial. Then for any $f \in L^\infty(X)$, we have*

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{g} \in \Phi_N} T_{p(\mathbf{g})} f \right\|_{L^2(X)} \leq \|f\|_1$$

for any Følner sequence (Φ_N) in F^d .

¹³We say that a family of polynomials $p_1, \dots, p_r \in F[x_1, \dots, x_d]$ is *essentially distinct* if $p_i - p_j$ is a non-constant polynomial for $i \neq j$.

¹⁴We use degree to mean total degree.

Proof. In coordinates, we write $p(\mathbf{g}) = p(g_1, \dots, g_d) = a_1g_1 + \dots + a_dg_d + a_0$ for $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_d \in F$, not all zero. Since $\|T_{a_0}f\|_1 = \|f\|_1$, we may replace f by $T_{a_0}f$; thus assume $a_0 = 0$. Since F is a countable field, F^d is a countable amenable group under addition. Since p is linear, we may define a group action of $(F^d, +)$ on $L^2(X)$ by $U_{\mathbf{g}}(f') := T_{p(\mathbf{g})}f'$ for each $f' \in L^2(X)$ and $\mathbf{g} \in F^d$. By the mean ergodic theorem (Theorem 2.4),

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{g} \in \Phi_N} T_{p(\mathbf{g})}f = P_1f$$

in norm, where P_1 projects onto $\{f' : T_{a_1g_1 + \dots + a_dg_d}f' = f' \text{ for all } g_1, \dots, g_d \in F\}$, which we observe equals $\{f' : T_gf' = f' \text{ for all } g \in F\}$ since F is a field. Thus, we have by the mean ergodic theorem that, for any Følner sequence (Ψ_N) in F ,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \|\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{g} \in \Phi_N} T_{p(\mathbf{g})}f\|_{L^2(X)}^2 &= \|P_2f\|_{L^2(X)}^2 \\ &= \langle P_2f, P_2f \rangle \\ &= \langle f, P_2f \rangle \\ &= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_{g \in \Psi_N} \int_X f \cdot T_g \bar{f} \, d\mu \\ &= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_{g \in \Psi_N} \|\Delta_g f\|_0 = \|f\|_1^2, \end{aligned}$$

proving the first lemma. \square

Lemma 4.7. *Let F be a countable field with characteristic zero. Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $p : F^d \rightarrow F$ be a degree one polynomial with $p(\mathbf{0}) = 0$. For any $f \in L^\infty(X)$, for any integer $k \geq 0$, and any Følner sequence (Φ_N) in F^d , we have*

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{g} \in \Phi_N} \|f \cdot T_{p(\mathbf{g})}\bar{f}\|_k^{2^k} = \|f\|_{k+1}^{2^{k+1}}.$$

Proof. The main idea in this lemma is the same as in Lemma 4.6, namely that two apparently different invariant subspaces turn out to be the same because F is a field. Unfolding definitions, letting (Ψ_N) be a Følner sequence in F , and agreeing to discuss the marked equality afterwards, we calculate

$$\begin{aligned} &\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{g} \in \Phi_N} \|f \cdot T_{p(\mathbf{g})}\bar{f}\|_k^{2^k} \\ &= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{g} \in \Phi_N} \int_{X^{[k]}} \bigotimes_{\varepsilon \in \{0,1\}^k} \mathcal{C}^{|\varepsilon|} (f \cdot T_{p(\mathbf{g})}\bar{f}) \, d\mu^{[k]} \\ &= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{g} \in \Phi_N} \int_{X^{[k]}} \left(\bigotimes_{\varepsilon \in \{0,1\}^k} \mathcal{C}^{|\varepsilon|} f \right) \cdot \left(\bigotimes_{\varepsilon \in \{0,1\}^k} T_{p(\mathbf{g})}\mathcal{C}^{|\varepsilon|} \bar{f} \right) d\mu^{[k]} \\ &= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{g} \in \Phi_N} \int_{X^{[k]}} \left(\bigotimes_{\varepsilon \in \{0,1\}^k} \mathcal{C}^{|\varepsilon|} f \right) \cdot T_{p(\mathbf{g})}^{[k]} \left(\bigotimes_{\varepsilon \in \{0,1\}^k} \mathcal{C}^{|\varepsilon|} \bar{f} \right) d\mu^{[k]} \\ &\stackrel{*}{=} \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_{g \in \Psi_N} \int_{X^{[k]}} \left(\bigotimes_{\varepsilon \in \{0,1\}^k} \mathcal{C}^{|\varepsilon|} f \right) \cdot T_g^{[k]} \left(\bigotimes_{\varepsilon \in \{0,1\}^k} \mathcal{C}^{|\varepsilon|} \bar{f} \right) d\mu^{[k]} \\ &= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_{g \in \Psi_N} \|f \cdot T_g \bar{f}\|_k^{2^k} \\ &= \|f\|_{k+1}^{2^{k+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

For the marked equality, consider the following. Since p is linear, we may define a group action of $(F^d, +)$ on $L^2(X^{[k]})$ by $U_{\mathbf{g}}(f') := T_{p(\mathbf{g})}^{[k]}f'$ for each $f' \in L^2(X^{[k]})$ and $\mathbf{g} \in F^d$. By the mean ergodic theorem (Theorem 2.4),

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{g} \in \Phi_N} \int_{X^{[k]}} \left(\bigotimes_{\varepsilon \in \{0,1\}^k} \mathcal{C}^{|\varepsilon|} f \right) \cdot T_{p(\mathbf{g})}^{[k]} \left(\bigotimes_{\varepsilon \in \{0,1\}^k} \mathcal{C}^{|\varepsilon|} \bar{f} \right) d\mu^{[k]} = \\ \int_{X^{[k]}} \left(\bigotimes_{\varepsilon \in \{0,1\}^k} \mathcal{C}^{|\varepsilon|} f \right) \cdot P_1 \left(\bigotimes_{\varepsilon \in \{0,1\}^k} \mathcal{C}^{|\varepsilon|} \bar{f} \right) d\mu^{[k]}, \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

where P_1 is the orthogonal projection onto the subspace $\{f' : T_{p(\mathbf{g})}^{[k]}f' = f' \text{ for all } \mathbf{g} \in F^d\}$, which we observe equals $\{f' : T_g^{[k]}f' = f' \text{ for all } g \in F\}$. Thus, since $U_g(f') := T_g^{[k]}f'$ for each $f' \in L^2(X^{[k]})$ and $g \in F$ defines a group action of $(F, +)$ on $L^2(X^{[k]})$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_{g \in \Psi_N} \int_{X^{[k]}} \left(\bigotimes_{\varepsilon \in \{0,1\}^k} \mathcal{C}^{|\varepsilon|} f \right) \cdot T_g^{[k]} \left(\bigotimes_{\varepsilon \in \{0,1\}^k} \mathcal{C}^{|\varepsilon|} \bar{f} \right) d\mu^{[k]} = \\ \int_{X^{[k]}} \left(\bigotimes_{\varepsilon \in \{0,1\}^k} \mathcal{C}^{|\varepsilon|} f \right) \cdot P_2 \left(\bigotimes_{\varepsilon \in \{0,1\}^k} \mathcal{C}^{|\varepsilon|} \bar{f} \right) d\mu^{[k]}, \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

where P_2 is the orthogonal projection onto the subspace $\{f' : T_g^{[k]}f' = f' \text{ for all } g \in F\}$. Since $P_1 = P_2$, the marked equality follows from 21 and 22, proving the second lemma. \square

Proof of Proposition 4.4. We induct on r . The case $r = 1$ follows from Lemma 4.6. Let $r \geq 2$ and assume that for any $p_1, \dots, p_{r-1} : F^d \rightarrow F$ essentially distinct polynomials of degree one there exists C such that for any $f_1, \dots, f_{r-1} \in L^\infty(X)$ we have

$$\limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{g} \in \Phi_N} T_{p_1(\mathbf{g})} f_1 \cdots T_{p_{r-1}(\mathbf{g})} f_{r-1} \right\|_{L^2(X)} \leq C \|f_1\|_{r-1} \prod_{i=2}^{r-1} \|f_i\|_{L^\infty(X)}$$

for any Følner sequence (Φ_N) in F^d .

Let $p_1, \dots, p_r : F^d \rightarrow F$ be essentially distinct polynomials of degree one, and let (Φ_N) be a Følner sequence in F^d . We would want to show there exists C such that for any $f_1, \dots, f_r \in L^\infty(X)$ we have

$$\limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{g} \in \Phi_N} T_{p_1(\mathbf{g})} f_1 \cdots T_{p_r(\mathbf{g})} f_r \right\|_{L^2(X)} \leq C \|f_1\|_r \prod_{i=2}^r \|f_i\|_{L^\infty(X)}.$$

It suffices to consider only the case when $p_i(\mathbf{0}) = 0$ and $\|f_i\|_{L^\infty(X)} \leq 1$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$. However, note that under the latter assumption, it actually suffices to show there exists C such that for any $f_1, \dots, f_r \in L^\infty(X)$ we have

$$\limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{g} \in \Phi_N} T_{p_1(\mathbf{g})} f_1 \cdots T_{p_r(\mathbf{g})} f_r \right\|_{L^2(X)} \leq C \|f_1\|_r,$$

so let us show this.

Applying part (1) of Lemma 2.3 with $x_{\mathbf{g}} = T_{p_1(\mathbf{g})}f_1 \cdots T_{p_r(\mathbf{g})}f_r \in L^2(X)$ for $\mathbf{g} \in F^d$, exploiting the F -invariance of μ and the linearity of our p_i 's, and using Cauchy–Schwarz, we see that for any finite subset $S \subset F^d$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{g} \in \Phi_N} T_{p_1(\mathbf{g})}f_1 \cdots T_{p_r(\mathbf{g})}f_r \right\|_{L^2(X)}^2 \\
& \leq \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_{(\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{h}') \in S^2} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{g} \in \Phi_N} \int_X \prod_{i=1}^r T_{p_i(\mathbf{g}+\mathbf{h})}f_i \prod_{i=1}^r T_{p_i(\mathbf{g}+\mathbf{h}')} \bar{f}_i \, d\mu \\
& = \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_{(\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{h}') \in S^2} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{g} \in \Phi_N} \\
& \quad \int_X \left(\prod_{i=1}^{r-1} T_{(p_i-p_r)(\mathbf{g})} (T_{p_i(\mathbf{h})}f_i \cdot T_{p_i(\mathbf{h}')} \bar{f}_i) \right) (T_{p_r(\mathbf{h})}f_r \cdot T_{p_r(\mathbf{h}')} \bar{f}_r) \, d\mu \\
& \leq \mathbb{E}_{(\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{h}') \in S^2} \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{g} \in \Phi_N} \prod_{i=1}^{r-1} T_{(p_i-p_r)(\mathbf{g})} (T_{p_i(\mathbf{h})}f_i \cdot T_{p_i(\mathbf{h}')} \bar{f}_i) \right\|_{L^2(X)}.
\end{aligned}$$

By the inductive hypothesis, there exists C' (independent of the f_i 's and of (Φ_N)) such that for any $\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{h}' \in F^d$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{g} \in \Phi_N} \prod_{i=1}^{r-1} T_{(p_i-p_r)(\mathbf{g})} (T_{p_i(\mathbf{h})}f_i \cdot T_{p_i(\mathbf{h}')} \bar{f}_i) \right\|_{L^2(X)} \\
& \leq C' \|T_{p_1(\mathbf{h})}f_1 \cdot T_{p_1(\mathbf{h}')} \bar{f}_1\|_{r-1} \prod_{i=2}^{r-1} \|T_{p_i(\mathbf{h})}f_i \cdot T_{p_i(\mathbf{h}')} \bar{f}_i\|_{L^\infty(X)} \\
& \leq C' \|T_{p_1(\mathbf{h})}f_1 \cdot T_{p_1(\mathbf{h}')} \bar{f}_1\|_{r-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, after combining the above displays and applying F -invariance of $\|\cdot\|_{r-1}$ and Jensen's inequality, we get for any finite subset $S \subset F^d$ that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{g} \in \Phi_N} T_{p_1(\mathbf{g})}f_1 \cdots T_{p_r(\mathbf{g})}f_r \right\|_{L^2(X)} \\
& \leq (C' \mathbb{E}_{(\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{h}') \in S^2} \|T_{p_1(\mathbf{h})}f_1 \cdot T_{p_1(\mathbf{h}')} \bar{f}_1\|_{r-1})^{1/2} \\
& = (C')^{1/2} (\mathbb{E}_{(\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{h}') \in S^2} \|f_1 \cdot T_{p_1(\mathbf{h}'-\mathbf{h})} \bar{f}_1\|_{r-1})^{1/2} \\
& \leq (C')^{1/2} \left(\mathbb{E}_{(\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{h}') \in S^2} \|f_1 \cdot T_{p_1(\mathbf{h}'-\mathbf{h})} \bar{f}_1\|_{r-1}^{2^{r-1}} \right)^{2^{-r}}.
\end{aligned}$$

To finish, let (Ψ_N) be a Følner sequence in F^d . Then $(\Psi_N \times \Psi_N)$ is a Følner sequence in F^{2d} and $(\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{h}') \mapsto p(\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{h}') := p_1(\mathbf{h}' - \mathbf{h})$ is a degree one polynomial from F^{2d} to F with $p(\mathbf{0}) = 0$, so letting $S = \Psi_N$ successively for each N and applying Lemma 4.7, we conclude

$$\limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{g} \in \Phi_N} T_{p_1(\mathbf{g})}f_1 \cdots T_{p_r(\mathbf{g})}f_r \right\|_{L^2(X)} \leq (C')^{1/2} \|f_1\|_r,$$

completing the induction. \square

We now prepare to execute the PET induction, a technique introduced in [4]. Here we follow the presentation of Leibman in [23].

Fix $d \in \mathbb{N}$. A *system* P is a finite collection of polynomials on F^d , whose *degree* $\deg P$ is defined to be the maximum of the total degrees of these polynomials. A system $P = \{p_1, \dots, p_r\}$ is *standard* if all p_i are nonconstant and essentially distinct, i.e. $p_i - p_j$ is not constant for $i \neq j$, and also $\deg p_1 = \deg P$. If p

and q are polynomials on F^d , define p to be equivalent to q iff $\deg p = \deg q$ and $\deg(p - q) < \deg p$. This defines an equivalence relation on the set of all such polynomials, which thus partitions a given system P into equivalence classes. The degree of an equivalence class is the common degree of its polynomials. For a system P , define its *weight* $\omega(P)$ as the vector $(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_{\deg P})$, where ω_i is the number of equivalence classes of degree i in P .¹⁵ For two given integer vectors $\omega = (\omega_1, \dots, \omega_m)$ and $\omega' = (\omega'_1, \dots, \omega'_{m'})$, define $\omega < \omega'$ iff either condition

- (1) $m < m'$
- (2) $m = m'$ and there is an $n \leq m$ such that $\omega_n < \omega'_n$ and $\omega_i = \omega'_i$ for $n < i \leq m$

is met. This defines a well ordering on the set of weights of systems. We plan to induct on this well ordering of weights. One final agreement before we start: We say a property holds *for almost all* $\mathbf{g} \in F^d$ if the set of elements of F^d for which it does not hold is contained in the set of zeroes of a nontrivial polynomial on F^d . The reason for this is that the set of zeros of a nontrivial polynomial p , say E , has zero upper Banach density. Indeed, by equation (5) right after [3, Remark 1.1] to show that $d^*(E) = 0$ it is enough to show that for any tuple of Følner sequences $(\Phi_{1,N}, \dots, \Phi_{d,N})$ we have $\bar{d}_{(\Phi_{1,N} \times \dots \times \Phi_{d,N})}(E) = 0$. This is straightforward: for fixed $(u_1, \dots, u_{d-1}) \in \Phi_{1,N} \times \dots \times \Phi_{d-1,N}$, the set of $v \in F$ such that $p(u_1, \dots, u_{d-1}, v) = 0$ has cardinality bounded above by the degree of the polynomial in v (which is in turn bounded above by some constant C independent of the choice of u_1, \dots, u_{d-1}). Thus, $\frac{|E \cap (\Phi_{1,N} \times \dots \times \Phi_{d,N})|}{|\Phi_{1,N} \times \dots \times \Phi_{d,N}|} \leq \frac{C}{|\Phi_{d,N}|}$, which goes to 0 as $N \rightarrow \infty$.

We now show the following.

Proposition 4.8. *Let F be a countable field with characteristic zero. Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$. For any $r \in \mathbb{N}$ and any integer vector $\omega = (\omega_1, \dots, \omega_\ell)$, there is a $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for any standard system $P = \{p_1, \dots, p_r : F^d \rightarrow F\}$ of weight ω and any $f_1, \dots, f_r \in L^\infty(X)$ with $\|f_1\|_k = 0$, we have*

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{g} \in \Phi_N} T_{p_1(\mathbf{g})} f_1 \cdots T_{p_r(\mathbf{g})} f_r = 0$$

in $L^2(X)$ for any Følner sequence (Φ_N) in F^d .

Proof. We proceed by induction on the weight of the standard system. The base case handles all weights corresponding to systems of degree one and follows by Corollary 4.5. Let $P = \{p_1, \dots, p_r\}$ be a standard system with $\deg P \geq 2$ and weight ω . By strong induction, there exists¹⁶ $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for any standard system $\{q_1, \dots, q_s\}$ with $s \leq 2r$ with weight $\omega' < \omega$ and any $\tilde{f}_1, \dots, \tilde{f}_s \in L^\infty(X)$ with $\|\tilde{f}_1\|_k = 0$, we have

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{g} \in \Phi_N} T_{q_1(\mathbf{g})} \tilde{f}_1 \cdots T_{q_s(\mathbf{g})} \tilde{f}_s = 0$$

in $L^2(X)$ for any Følner sequence (Φ_N) in F^d .

Choose $i_0 \in \{2, \dots, r\}$ such that p_{i_0} has the minimal degree in our given system P . In case all polynomials in P have the same degree, if possible, choose i_0 to be such that p_{i_0} is not equivalent to p_1 . For each $\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{h}' \in F^d$, define the system

$$P_{\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{h}'} := \{p_i(\mathbf{g} + \mathbf{h}), p_i(\mathbf{g} + \mathbf{h}') : \deg p_i > 1\} \cup \{p_i(\mathbf{g} + \mathbf{h}') : \deg p_i = 1\},$$

¹⁵We will not need to count the number of equivalence classes of degree 0.

¹⁶There are finitely many possible weights ω' of systems of $s \leq 2r$ polynomials such that $\omega' < \omega$, and the seminorms $\|\cdot\|_k$ form a nondecreasing sequence.

where $p_i(\mathbf{g} + \mathbf{h})$ and $p_i(\mathbf{g} + \mathbf{h}')$ are viewed as polynomials in \mathbf{g} . Order $P_{\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{h}'}$ = $\{q_{\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{h}', 1}, \dots, q_{\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{h}', s}\}$ in some way so that $q_{\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{h}', 1}(\mathbf{g}) = p_1(\mathbf{g} + \mathbf{h})$ and $q_{\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{h}', s}(\mathbf{g}) = p_{i_0}(\mathbf{g} + \mathbf{h}')$. Then $P_{\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{h}'}$ is a standard system for almost all $(\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{h}') \in F^{2d}$. Moreover, we have not changed the equivalence classes P had: For any $\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{h}' \in F^d$ and $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$, the polynomials $p_i(\mathbf{g} + \mathbf{h})$ and $p_i(\mathbf{g} + \mathbf{h}')$ are equivalent to $p_i(\mathbf{g})$, so it follows that $\omega(P_{\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{h}'}) = \omega(P) = \omega$ always.

Next, for any \mathbf{h}, \mathbf{h}' , define the system

$$P'_{\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{h}'} := \{q_{\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{h}', 1} - q_{\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{h}', s}, \dots, q_{\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{h}', s-1} - q_{\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{h}', s}\}.$$

We will apply the induction hypothesis to these, so we check the following. For almost all $(\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{h}') \in F^{2d}$, $P_{\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{h}'}$ is standard. This follows first since the nonconstant and essentially distinct nature of the $q_{\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{h}', j}$, $j \in \{1, \dots, s-1\}$ implies the same for $q_{\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{h}', j} - q_{\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{h}', s}$, $j \in \{1, \dots, s-1\}$. Second, if p_{i_0} is not equivalent to p_1 , then p_{i_0} has been chosen either to have smaller degree than p_1 or to have the same degree but not reduce the degree of p_1 on subtracting, so that $\deg(q_{\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{h}', 1} - q_{\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{h}', s}) = \deg p_1 = \deg P_{\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{h}'}$ for all \mathbf{h}, \mathbf{h}' ; and if p_{i_0} is equivalent to p_1 , then $\deg(q_{\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{h}', 1} - q_{\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{h}', s}) = \deg p_1 - 1 = \deg P_{\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{h}'}$ for almost all \mathbf{h}, \mathbf{h}' . Finally, we have reduced the weight: For all $(\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{h}') \in F^{2d}$, we have $\omega(P'_{\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{h}'}) < \omega$. This follows since the equivalence classes in $P'_{\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{h}'}$ and their degrees are the same as those in $P_{\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{h}'}$, with one exception: The class in $P_{\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{h}'}$ to which $q_{\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{h}', s}$ belongs has either vanished or been split into some new classes of lesser degree.

Now we can combine everything. Let $f_1, \dots, f_r \in L^\infty(X)$ with $\|f_1\|_k = 0$ and let (Φ_N) be a Følner sequence in F^d . We may assume that $\|f_2\|_{L^\infty(X)}, \dots, \|f_r\|_{L^\infty(X)} \leq 1$. By part (1) of Lemma 2.3 applied to $x_{\mathbf{g}} = \prod_{i=1}^r T_{p_i(\mathbf{g})} f_i \in L^2(X)$ for $\mathbf{g} \in F^d$, for any finite set $S \subset F^d$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{g} \in \Phi_N} \prod_{i=1}^r T_{p_i(\mathbf{g})} f_i \right\|_{L^2(X)}^2 \\ & \leq \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_{(\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{h}') \in S^2} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{g} \in \Phi_N} \int_X \prod_{i=1}^r T_{p_i(\mathbf{g} + \mathbf{h})} f_i \cdot \prod_{i=1}^r T_{p_i(\mathbf{g} + \mathbf{h}')} \bar{f}_i \, d\mu \\ & = \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_{(\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{h}') \in S^2} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{g} \in \Phi_N} \\ & \quad \int_X \prod_{\deg p_i > 1} (T_{p_i(\mathbf{g} + \mathbf{h})} f_i \cdot T_{p_i(\mathbf{g} + \mathbf{h}')} \bar{f}_i) \prod_{\deg p_i = 1} T_{p_i(\mathbf{g} + \mathbf{h}')} (f_i \cdot T_{p_i(\mathbf{h}) - p_i(\mathbf{h}')} \bar{f}_i) \, d\mu \\ & = \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_{(\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{h}') \in S^2} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{g} \in \Phi_N} \int_X \prod_{j=1}^s T_{q_{\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{h}', j}(\mathbf{g})} \tilde{f}_{\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{h}', j} \, d\mu \\ & = \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_{(\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{h}') \in S^2} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{g} \in \Phi_N} \int_X \left(\prod_{j=1}^{s-1} T_{(q_{\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{h}', j} - q_{\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{h}', s})(\mathbf{g})} \tilde{f}_{\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{h}', j} \right) \cdot \tilde{f}_{\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{h}', s} \, d\mu \\ & \leq \mathbb{E}_{(\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{h}') \in S^2} \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{g} \in \Phi_N} \prod_{j=1}^{s-1} T_{(q_{\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{h}', j} - q_{\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{h}', s})(\mathbf{g})} \tilde{f}_{\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{h}', j} \right\|_{L^2(X)} \end{aligned}$$

where the first equality is a rearrangement, the second equality follows on setting (for $\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{h}' \in F^d$) $q_{\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{h}', 1}, \dots, q_{\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{h}', s}$ to be the polynomials of the system $P_{\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{h}'}$ and

setting $\tilde{f}_{\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{h}', j}$ to be either f_i or $f_i \cdot T_{p_i(\mathbf{h}) - p_i(\mathbf{h}')} \bar{f}_i$ for appropriate¹⁷ i , the third equality follows from invariance, and the last inequality is Cauchy–Schwarz. Note that since $\deg p_1 = \deg P \geq 2$, we have $\tilde{f}_{\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{h}', 1} = f_1$. Thus, by the induction hypothesis applied to $P'_{\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{h}'}$, we have

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{g} \in \Phi_N} \prod_{j=1}^{s-1} T_{(q_{\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{h}', j} - q_{\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{h}', s})}(\mathbf{g}) \tilde{f}_{\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{h}', j} \right\|_{L^2(X)} = 0$$

for almost all $(\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{h}') \in F^{2d}$. For the remaining $(\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{h}') \in F^{2d}$, the norm in the previous display is bounded by 1, which implies that

$$\inf_{S \subset F^d \text{ finite}} \mathbb{E}_{(\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{h}') \in S^2} \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{g} \in \Phi_N} \prod_{j=1}^{s-1} T_{(q_{\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{h}', j} - q_{\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{h}', s})}(\mathbf{g}) \tilde{f}_{\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{h}', j} \right\|_{L^2(X)} = 0,$$

by taking F to be the successive terms of a Følner sequence. Combined with the previous displayed inequality, this completes the proof¹⁸. \square

Proof of Theorem 4.2. For standard systems, Proposition 4.8 does it. We reduce the nonstandard case to this one. Let $P = \{p_1, \dots, p_r\}$ be a nonstandard system of nonconstant essentially distinct polynomials $F^d \rightarrow F$ of degree at most b , let $f_1, \dots, f_r \in L^\infty(X)$ and let (Φ_N) be a Følner sequence in F^d . By part (2) of Lemma 2.3 applied to $x_{\mathbf{g}} = \prod_{i=1}^r T_{p_i(\mathbf{g})} f_i \in L^2(X)$ for $\mathbf{g} \in F^d$, there exists a Følner sequence (Θ_M) in F^{3d} such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{g} \in \Phi_N} \prod_{i=1}^r T_{p_i(\mathbf{g})} f_i \right\|_{L^2(X)}^2 \\ & \leq \limsup_{M \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_{(\mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h}, \mathbf{h}') \in \Theta_M} \int \prod_{i=1}^r T_{p_i(\mathbf{g} + \mathbf{h})} f_i \cdot \prod_{i=1}^r T_{p_i(\mathbf{g} + \mathbf{h}')} \bar{f}_i \, d\mu \\ & = \limsup_{M \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_{(\mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h}, \mathbf{h}') \in \Theta_M} \int \prod_{i=1}^r T_{p_i(\mathbf{g} + \mathbf{h}) + q(\mathbf{g})} f_i \cdot \prod_{i=1}^r T_{p_i(\mathbf{g} + \mathbf{h}') + q(\mathbf{g})} \bar{f}_i \, d\mu \\ & \leq \limsup_{M \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \mathbb{E}_{(\mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h}, \mathbf{h}') \in \Theta_M} \prod_{i=1}^r T_{p_i(\mathbf{g} + \mathbf{h}) + q(\mathbf{g})} f_i \cdot \prod_{i=1}^r T_{p_i(\mathbf{g} + \mathbf{h}') + q(\mathbf{g})} \bar{f}_i \right\|_{L^2(X)}, \end{aligned}$$

where q is any polynomial $F^d \rightarrow F$ of degree b . The system

$\{p_1(\mathbf{g} + \mathbf{h}) + q(\mathbf{g}), \dots, p_r(\mathbf{g} + \mathbf{h}) + q(\mathbf{g}), p_1(\mathbf{g} + \mathbf{h}') + q(\mathbf{g}), \dots, p_r(\mathbf{g} + \mathbf{h}') + q(\mathbf{g})\}$
of polynomials $F^{3d} \rightarrow F$ is standard, of degree b , with $2r$ elements. Thus by Proposition 4.8 there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ depending on r and b such that

$$\lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_{(\mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h}, \mathbf{h}') \in \Theta_M} \prod_{i=1}^r T_{p_i(\mathbf{g} + \mathbf{h}) + q(\mathbf{g})} f_i \cdot \prod_{i=1}^r T_{p_i(\mathbf{g} + \mathbf{h}') + q(\mathbf{g})} \bar{f}_i = 0$$

in $L^2(X)$ whenever $\|f_1\|_k = 0$. \square

¹⁷To clarify, set the function $\tilde{f}_{\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{h}', j}$ to be f_i when $q_{\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{h}', j}$ is of the form $p_i(\mathbf{g} + \mathbf{h})$ or $p_i(\mathbf{g} + \mathbf{h}')$ with $\deg p_i > 1$, otherwise set it to be $f_i \cdot T_{p_i(\mathbf{h}) - p_i(\mathbf{h}')} \bar{f}_i$.

¹⁸It follows from the proof that the step of the seminorm k depends only on the degree of the polynomials p_1, \dots, p_r and d .

Remark 4.9. An alternative approach to proving Theorem 4.2 is to adapt the proof of [16, Lemma 4.7] without the use of brackets. In doing so, we would not need to work with polynomials of several variables.

4.3. Proof of Theorem 1.2. We are now in a position to prove the main result of this paper:

Theorem 1.2. *Let F be a countable field with characteristic zero. Let $(X, \mu, (T_n)_{n \in F})$ be an ergodic F -system. Let (Φ_N) be a Følner sequence, let $k \in \mathbb{N}$, let $p_1, \dots, p_k \in F[x]$ be independent polynomials, and let $f_1, \dots, f_k \in L^\infty(\mu)$ be functions. Then*

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_{n \in \Phi_N} T_{p_1(n)} f_1 \cdots T_{p_k(n)} f_k = \prod_{j=1}^k \int_X f_j d\mu$$

in $L^2(\mu)$.

Proof. Without loss of generality we may assume that $p_i(0) = 0$, $i = 1, \dots, k$, since μ is invariant under $(T_n)_{n \in F}$. Note that a family of independent polynomials $p_1, \dots, p_k \in F[x]$ is essentially distinct. Apply Theorems 1.1, 4.1, and 4.2. \square

As a corollary, we can give a version of Theorem 1.2 for systems that are not necessarily ergodic:

Corollary 4.10. *Let F be a countable field with characteristic zero. Let $(X, \mu, (T_n)_{n \in F})$ be an F -system. Let (Φ_N) be a Følner sequence, let $k \in \mathbb{N}$, let $p_1, \dots, p_k \in F[x]$ be independent polynomials, and let $f_1, \dots, f_k \in L^\infty(\mu)$ be functions. Then*

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_{n \in \Phi_N} T_{p_1(n)} f_1 \cdots T_{p_k(n)} f_k = \prod_{j=1}^k \mathbb{E}[f_j | \mathcal{I}((T_n)_{n \in F})] \quad (23)$$

in $L^2(\mu)$, where $\mathcal{I}((T_n)_{n \in F})$ is the σ -algebra of sets that are invariant under the F -action $(T_n)_{n \in F}$.

Proof. Let $\mu = \int_X \mu_x d\mu(x)$ be the ergodic decomposition of μ with respect to the action $(T_n)_{n \in F}$, so μ_x is ergodic for μ -a.e. $x \in X$. Recall that one has

$$\mathbb{E}[f_i | \mathcal{I}((T_n)_{n \in F})](x) = \int_X f_i d\mu_x,$$

where the equality holds μ -a.e. Therefore, it suffices to show that

$$\int_X \left| \mathbb{E}_{n \in \Phi_N} T_{p_1(n)} f_1 \cdots T_{p_k(n)} f_k - \prod_{i=1}^k \int_X f_i d\mu_x \right|^2 d\mu(x) \rightarrow 0 \quad (24)$$

as $N \rightarrow \infty$. Note that using the ergodic decomposition, the convergence in 24 holds if and only if

$$\int_X \left(\int_X \left| \mathbb{E}_{n \in \Phi_N} T_{p_1(n)} f_1 \cdots T_{p_k(n)} f_k - \prod_{i=1}^k \int_X f_i d\mu_x \right|^2 d\mu_x(x) \right) d\mu(x) \rightarrow 0. \quad (25)$$

To see that 25 holds, apply Theorem 1.2 to the term in parentheses in equation 25 to deduce (since μ -a.e. measure μ_x is ergodic) that the aforementioned term (seen as a pointwise limit function of x) is equal to 0 almost everywhere. Since it is bounded by assumption on the functions f_i , 25 follows from the dominated convergence theorem. \square

4.4. Corollaries. We now deduce some consequences of the results in the previous subsection.

Corollary 1.3. *Let F be a countable field with characteristic zero. Let $E \subseteq F$ with $d^*(E) > 0$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and $p_1, \dots, p_k \in F[x]$ be independent polynomials. Then, for each $\varepsilon > 0$, the set $\{n \in F : d^*(E \cap (E - p_1(n)) \cap \dots \cap (E - p_k(n))) > d^*(E)^{k+1} - \varepsilon\}$ is syndetic.*

Proof. This follows from an ergodic Furstenberg correspondence principle. Indeed, by [7, Theorem 2.8] there exists an ergodic measure-preserving system $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu, (T_n)_{n \in F})$ and a set $A \in \mathcal{B}$ with $\mu(A) = d^*(E)$ such that for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $n_1, \dots, n_k \in F$, we have

$$d^*(E \cap (E - n_1) \cap \dots \cap (E - n_k)) \geq \mu(A \cap T_{-n_1}A \cap \dots \cap T_{-n_k}A).$$

By Theorem 1.2 it follows that for every Følner sequence (Φ_N) we have

$$\liminf_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_{n \in \Phi_N} d^*(E \cap (E - p_1(n)) \cap \dots \cap (E - p_k(n))) \geq d^*(E)^{k+1}.$$

Finally, apply [2, Lemma 1.9]. \square

In particular, we can apply Corollary 1.3 to obtain the following consequence.

Corollary 4.11. *Let F be a countable field with characteristic zero. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $p_1, \dots, p_k \in F[x]$ be a family of independent polynomials and suppose that we have colored F into r distinct colors: $F = \bigsqcup_{i=1}^r C_i$ (here the union is disjoint). Then, there exists a color i_0 such that the set $\{n \in F : C_{i_0} \cap (C_{i_0} - p_1(n)) \cap \dots \cap (C_{i_0} - p_k(n)) \neq \emptyset\}$ is syndetic.*

We also have a result in topological dynamics.

Corollary 1.4. *Let F be a countable field with characteristic zero. Let $(X, d, (T_n)_{n \in F})$ be a minimal topological dynamical system. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $p_1, \dots, p_k \in F[x]$ be a family of independent polynomials. Then there exists a dense G_δ set $X_0 \subseteq X$ such that for every $x \in X_0$ we have*

$$\overline{\{(T_{p_1(n)}x, \dots, T_{p_k(n)}x) : n \in F\}} = \underbrace{X \times \dots \times X}_{k \text{ times}}. \quad (26)$$

Proof. Let μ be an ergodic $(T_n)_{n \in F}$ -invariant measure on X . Since $(T_n)_{n \in F}$ is minimal, it follows that $\mu(U) > 0$ for any non-empty open subset of X . Thus, for any non-empty open sets U, V_1, \dots, V_k , Theorem 1.2 implies that the set

$$\{n \in F : U \cap T_{-p_1(n)}V_1 \cap \dots \cap T_{-p_k(n)}V_k \neq \emptyset\} \quad (27)$$

is infinite (even syndetic). To finish, we adapt [20, Lemma 2.4] to our setting. Let \mathcal{F} be a countable basis for the compact metric space (X, d) , and let

$$X_0 := \bigcap_{V_1, \dots, V_k \in \mathcal{F}} \bigcup_{n \in F} (T_{-p_1(n)}V_1 \cap \dots \cap T_{-p_k(n)}V_k).$$

We have that X_0 is a countable intersection of open sets which are dense by 27, so by the Baire category theorem, it is a dense G_δ set of points of X , each of which satisfies 26. \square

Using the fact that any topological dynamical system contains a minimal subsystem (which follows from Zorn's lemma), we see that Corollary 1.4 readily implies the following.

Corollary 4.12. *Let F be a countable field with characteristic zero. Let $(X, d, (T_n)_{n \in F})$ be a topological dynamical system, where (X, d) is a compact metric space. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $p_1, \dots, p_k \in F[x]$ be a family of independent polynomials. Then, there exists a non-empty subset $F \subseteq X$ such that for each $x \in F$ we have*

$$\overline{\{(T_{p_1(n)}x, \dots, T_{p_k(n)}x) : n \in F\}} = \overline{\{T_nx : n \in F\}} \times \dots \times \overline{\{T_nx : n \in F\}}$$

Remark 4.13. With some minor modifications, the results of this section can be extended to locally compact second-countable fields F with characteristic zero, such as \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C} . We present the case of countable F with characteristic zero for the sake of simplicity in exposition.

5. Joint ergodicity for totally ergodic actions of certain rings. In this section, we sketch the proof of Theorem 1.6. First, we recall some relevant definitions.

Definition 5.1. Let R be a commutative ring. We say that R is *good* if it is a countable integral domain with characteristic zero, and every non-zero ideal has finite index in R .

For good rings, we define total ergodicity in the following manner.

Definition 5.2. Let R be a good ring and let $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu, (T_r)_{r \in R})$ be a measure preserving system. We say that $(T_r)_{r \in R}$ is *totally ergodic* if, for every finite index subgroup $J \subseteq (R, +)$, the action $(T_n)_{n \in J}$ is ergodic.

Let R be a good ring. If $(T_r)_{r \in R}$ is a totally ergodic action on a probability space (X, \mathcal{B}, μ) , then, in particular, for every Følner sequence (Φ_N) in R , every $r \in R \setminus \{0\}$, and every function $f \in L^2(\mu)$, one has

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_{n \in \Phi_N} T_{rn} f = \int_X f d\mu,$$

where the convergence holds in $L^2(\mu)$. This observation justifies the following remark.

Remark 5.3. Let R be a good ring. If $(T_r)_{r \in R}$ is a totally ergodic action on a probability space (X, \mathcal{B}, μ) , and $f \in \mathcal{E}((T_r)_{r \in R})$, where $T_r f = \chi(r)f$, for some $\chi \in \hat{R}$, then

$$\chi(b \cdot) \neq 1$$

for all $b \in R \setminus \{0\}$. We will refer to characters $\chi \in \hat{R}$ with this property as “irrational characters”.

For convenience, we restate Theorem 1.6 below.

Theorem 1.6. *Let R be a good ring. Let $(X, \mu, (T_r)_{r \in R})$ be a totally ergodic R -system. Let (Φ_N) be a Følner sequence in $(R, +)$, let $k \in \mathbb{N}$, let $p_1, \dots, p_k \in R[x]$ be independent polynomials, and let $f_1, \dots, f_k \in L^\infty(\mu)$ be functions. Then*

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|\Phi_N|} \sum_{n \in \Phi_N} T_{p_1(n)} f_1 \cdots T_{p_k(n)} f_k = \prod_{j=1}^k \int_X f_j d\mu$$

in $L^2(\mu)$.

Remark 5.4. Let K be a number field. It is classical that its ring of integers \mathcal{O}_K satisfies the definition of a good ring. Thus, Theorem 1.6 recovers, without the use of structure theory, [1, Theorem 3.1] as a special case (see also item 2 in their abstract).

We will now provide a sketch of the proof of Theorem 1.6. Once again, we use Theorem 1.1, which reduces matters to proving an equidistribution result and establishing relevant seminorm estimates.

First, we show the equidistribution result.

Theorem 5.5. *Let R be a good ring. Let $\chi_1, \dots, \chi_k \in \hat{R}$ be irrational characters, not all trivial. Let (Φ_N) be a Følner sequence in R and let $p_1, \dots, p_k \in R[x]$ be linearly independent polynomials with $p_i(0) = 0$, $i = 1, \dots, k$. Then*

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_{n \in \Phi_N} \chi_1(p_1(n)) \cdots \chi_k(p_k(n)) = 0. \quad (28)$$

Proof. Assume without loss of generality that $\deg(p_i) \leq \deg(p_{i+1})$, $i = 1, \dots, k-1$, and that all involved characters are non-trivial (or we delete them beforehand). Let $t \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ be such that p_t, p_{t+1}, \dots, p_k are all of the highest degree in the family p_1, \dots, p_k . We will reduce matters to the case where χ_t, \dots, χ_k have no non-trivial relations; namely, for any $b_t, \dots, b_k \in R$, not all zero, it is not the case that

$$\chi_t(b_t \cdot) \cdots \chi_k(b_k \cdot) \equiv 1. \quad (29)$$

Indeed, assume that this was not the case, and thus, that we could find $b_t, \dots, b_k \in R$, not all zero, such that 29 holds. Without loss of generality, $b_k \neq 0$. Since R is a good ring, the ideal (b_k) has finite index in R ; let $r_1, \dots, r_s \in R$ be such that $\bigcup_{i=1}^s (b_k) + r_i = R$. We claim that, in order to prove that 28 holds, it is enough to show that for any Følner sequences $(\Psi_N(i))$, $i = 1, \dots, s$, we have

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^s \mathbb{E}_{n \in \Psi_N(i)} \chi_1(p_1(b_k n + r_i)) \cdots \chi_k(p_k(b_k n + r_i)) = 0.$$

Indeed, for each $N \in \mathbb{N}$, putting $A_N(i) := \{x \in R : b_k x \in \Phi_N - r_i\}$, we can write Φ_N as the disjoint union $\bigcup_{i=1}^s b_k A_N(i) + r_i$. (Note that $A_N(i) = \frac{(\Phi_N - r_i) \cap J}{b_k}$, where J denotes the ideal (b_k) .) Then, for any bounded function $f : R \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, we have

$$\mathbb{E}_{n \in \Phi_N} f(n) = s \sum_{i=1}^s \mathbb{E}_{n \in A_N(i)} f(b_k n + r_i) + o_N(1),$$

provided that $\frac{|A_N(i)|}{s|\Phi_N|} \xrightarrow{N \rightarrow \infty} 1$ for each $i \in \{1, \dots, s\}$.

Consequently, we need only check that, for each $i \in \{1, \dots, s\}$, $(A_N(i))$ is a Følner sequence with $\frac{|A_N(i)|}{s|\Phi_N|} \xrightarrow{N \rightarrow \infty} 1$. Let $t \in R$ and note that

$$\frac{|A_N(i) \cap (A_N(i) + t)|}{|A_N(i)|} = \frac{|(\Phi_N - r_i) \cap (\Phi_N - r_i + b_k t) \cap J|}{|(\Phi_N - r_i) \cap J|} \quad (30)$$

since R is an integral domain.

Now, since (Φ_N) is a Følner sequence and $[R : J] = s < \infty$, we can “cancel” the intersections with J appearing on the right-hand side of 30. Indeed, notice that

$$\begin{aligned} |\Phi_N| &= \sum_{i=1}^s |\Phi_N \cap (J + r_i)| = \sum_{i=1}^s |(\Phi_N - r_i) \cap J| \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^s |\Phi_N \cap J| + o(|\Phi_N|) = s|\Phi_N \cap J| + o(|\Phi_N|). \end{aligned}$$

Using this fact and the Følner nature of (Φ_N) , we see that $(A_N(i))$ is a Følner sequence with the desired property.

Thus, it suffices to show that for each $i \in \{1, \dots, s\}$ and every Følner sequence (Ψ_N) we have

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_{n \in \Psi_N} \chi_1(p_{1,i}(n)) \cdots \chi_k(b_k p_{k,i}(n)) = 0,$$

where $p_{j,i}(n) = p_j(b_k n + r_i) - p_j(r_i)$, $j = 1, \dots, k-1$, and $p_{k,i}(n) = \frac{p_k(b_k n + r_i) - p_k(r_i)}{b_k}$. It is clear that, for each i , the new family of polynomials $p_{j,i}$ is linearly independent and is such that $p_{j,i}(0) = 0$. Now, $\chi_k(b_k p_{k,i}(n)) = \chi_t(-b_t p_{k,i}(n)) \cdots \chi_{k-1}(-b_{k-1} p_{k,i}(n))$, so we can delete the character χ_k from the averages under consideration.

It is important to note that this process cannot delete all characters associated to polynomials with the maximum degree. Indeed, any irrational $\chi \in \hat{R}$ is such that

$$\chi(b \cdot) \neq 1$$

for all $b \in R \setminus \{0\}$, so even if we could reduce it to only one non-trivial irrational character, the fact that such characters are irrational means that this process will not delete it. We conclude by applying the same reasoning as in the proof of Theorem 4.1, since it does not make use of the fact that F is a field. \square

Second, we prove that families of independent polynomials over good rings are good for seminorm estimates. It is easy to see that most of the results in Section 4 hold for good rings as well. Here are the only two lemmas that require serious modification:

Lemma 5.6. *Let R be a good ring. Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $p : R^d \rightarrow R$ be a degree one polynomial. Then there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that any $f \in L^\infty(X)$, we have*

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{g} \in \Phi_N} T_{p(\mathbf{g})} f \right\|_{L^2(X)} \leq c \|f\|_2$$

for any Følner sequence (Φ_N) in R^d .

Proof. In coordinates, we write $p(\mathbf{g}) = p(g_1, \dots, g_d) = a_1 g_1 + \cdots + a_d g_d + a_0$ for $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_d \in R$. Since $\|T_{a_0} f\|_2 = \|f\|_2$, we may replace f by $T_{a_0} f$; thus assume $a_0 = 0$. Since R is a good ring, R^d is a countable amenable group under addition. Since p is linear, we may define a group action of $(R^d, +)$ on $L^2(X)$ by $U_{\mathbf{g}}(f') := T_{p(\mathbf{g})} f'$ for each $f' \in L^2(X)$ and $\mathbf{g} \in R^d$. By the mean ergodic theorem (Theorem 2.4),

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{g} \in \Phi_N} T_{p(\mathbf{g})} f = P_1 f$$

in norm, where P_1 projects onto $\{f' : T_{a_1 g_1 + \cdots + a_d g_d} f' = f' \text{ for all } g_1, \dots, g_d \in R\}$, which we observe equals $\{f' : T_g f' = f' \text{ for all } g \in J\}$, where J is the ideal generated by a_1, \dots, a_d . Thus, we have by the mean ergodic theorem that, for any

Følner sequence (Ψ_N) in R ,

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{g} \in \Phi_N} T_{p(\mathbf{g})} f \right\|_{L^2(X)}^2 &= \|P_1 f\|_{L^2(X)}^2 \\
&= \langle P_1 f, P_1 f \rangle \\
&= \langle f, P_1 f \rangle \\
&= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_{g \in \Psi_N \cap J} \int_X f \cdot T_g \bar{f} \, d\mu \\
&= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_{g \in \Psi_N \cap J} \|\Delta_g f\|_0 \\
&\leq \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\Psi_N|}{|\Psi_N \cap J|} \cdot \mathbb{E}_{g \in \Psi_N} \|\Delta_g f\|_1 \\
&\leq [R : J] \cdot (\mathbb{E}_{g \in \Psi_N} \|\Delta_g f\|_1^2)^{1/2} \\
&= [R : J] \cdot \|f\|_2,
\end{aligned}$$

proving the first lemma. \square

Lemma 5.7. *Let R be a good ring. Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $p : R^d \rightarrow R$ be a degree one polynomial with $p(\mathbf{0}) = 0$. Then there exists $c > 0$ such that for any $f \in L^\infty(X)$, for any integer $k \geq 0$, and any Følner sequence (Φ_N) in R^d , we have*

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{g} \in \Phi_N} \|f \cdot T_{p(\mathbf{g})} \bar{f}\|_k^{2k} \leq c \|f\|_{k+1}^{2k+1}.$$

Proof. The main idea in this lemma is the same as in Lemma 5.6. Unfolding definitions, letting (Ψ_N) be a Følner sequence in R , and agreeing to discuss the marked equality afterwards, we calculate

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{g} \in \Phi_N} \|f \cdot T_{p(\mathbf{g})} \bar{f}\|_k^{2k} \\
&= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{g} \in \Phi_N} \int_{X^{[k]}} \bigotimes_{\varepsilon \in \{0,1\}^k} \mathcal{C}^{|\varepsilon|} (f \cdot T_{p(\mathbf{g})} \bar{f}) \, d\mu^{[k]} \\
&= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{g} \in \Phi_N} \int_{X^{[k]}} \left(\bigotimes_{\varepsilon \in \{0,1\}^k} \mathcal{C}^{|\varepsilon|} f \right) \cdot \left(\bigotimes_{\varepsilon \in \{0,1\}^k} T_{p(\mathbf{g})} \mathcal{C}^{|\varepsilon|} \bar{f} \right) \, d\mu^{[k]} \\
&= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{g} \in \Phi_N} \int_{X^{[k]}} \left(\bigotimes_{\varepsilon \in \{0,1\}^k} \mathcal{C}^{|\varepsilon|} f \right) \cdot T_{p(\mathbf{g})}^{[k]} \left(\bigotimes_{\varepsilon \in \{0,1\}^k} \mathcal{C}^{|\varepsilon|} \bar{f} \right) \, d\mu^{[k]} \\
&\stackrel{*}{=} \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_{g \in \Psi_N \cap J} \int_{X^{[k]}} \left(\bigotimes_{\varepsilon \in \{0,1\}^k} \mathcal{C}^{|\varepsilon|} f \right) \cdot T_g^{[k]} \left(\bigotimes_{\varepsilon \in \{0,1\}^k} \mathcal{C}^{|\varepsilon|} \bar{f} \right) \, d\mu^{[k]} \\
&= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_{g \in \Psi_N \cap J} \|f \cdot T_g \bar{f}\|_k^{2k} \\
&\leq \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\Psi_N|}{|\Psi_N \cap J|} \cdot \mathbb{E}_{g \in \Psi_N} \|f \cdot T_g \bar{f}\|_k^{2k} \\
&= [R : J] \cdot \|f\|_{k+1}^{2k+1}.
\end{aligned}$$

For the marked equality, consider the following. Since p is linear, we may define a group action of $(R^d, +)$ on $L^2(X^{[k]})$ by $U_{\mathbf{g}}(f') := T_{p(\mathbf{g})}^{[k]} f'$ for each $f' \in L^2(X^{[k]})$

and $\mathbf{g} \in R^d$. By the mean ergodic theorem (Theorem 2.4),

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{g} \in \Phi_N} \int_{X^{[k]}} \left(\bigotimes_{\varepsilon \in \{0,1\}^k} \mathcal{C}^{|\varepsilon|} f \right) \cdot T_{p(\mathbf{g})}^{[k]} \left(\bigotimes_{\varepsilon \in \{0,1\}^k} \mathcal{C}^{|\varepsilon|} \bar{f} \right) d\mu^{[k]} = \\ \int_{X^{[k]}} \left(\bigotimes_{\varepsilon \in \{0,1\}^k} \mathcal{C}^{|\varepsilon|} f \right) \cdot P_1 \left(\bigotimes_{\varepsilon \in \{0,1\}^k} \mathcal{C}^{|\varepsilon|} \bar{f} \right) d\mu^{[k]}, \quad (31) \end{aligned}$$

where P_1 is the orthogonal projection onto the subspace $\{f' : T_{p(\mathbf{g})}^{[k]} f' = f' \text{ for all } \mathbf{g} \in R^d\}$, which we observe equals $\{f' : T_g^{[k]} f' = f' \text{ for all } g \in J\}$, where J is the ideal generated by the coefficients of p . Using the mean ergodic theorem for the action along J , equality 31, and the fact that a Følner sequence intersected with J is a Følner sequence for J completes the proof. \square

We close this section with an example showcasing the necessity of independence of the polynomials p_1, \dots, p_k in Theorem 1.6. For the sake of concreteness, we will consider the case when $k = 2$, $p_1(n) = n$, and $p_2(n) = an$ for some $a \in R \setminus \{0\}$, but it will be apparent from the method that we could extend this to an arbitrary family of polynomials which is subject to a non-trivial linear relation.

Remark 5.8. Let R be a countable ring, and let $X := bR$ be the Bohr compactification¹⁹ of R . Consider the measure-preserving system $(X, \text{Borel}(X), (T_n)_{n \in R}, \mu)$, where $T_n x = x + n$ for any $x \in X$ (recall that R is densely embedded in X), and μ is the unique Haar probability measure on X . Let $a \in R \setminus \{0\}$. Let $\chi \in \hat{R}$ be non-trivial, and consider $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^1$ defined as the unique extension of $\chi(a \cdot) : F \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^1$, and similarly $g : X \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^1$ extends $\bar{\chi} : F \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^1$. Now let (Φ_N) be a Følner sequence in F . Notice that for each $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and for every $x \in X$ we have

$$\mathbb{E}_{n \in \Phi_N} f(T_n x) g(T_{an} x) = f(x) g(x),$$

as the equality is true if $x \in R$, which is a dense subset of X . On the other hand, since f is an extension of a non-trivial character to X , it is actually the case that $f \in \hat{X}$, and $f \neq 1$. This implies that

$$\int_X f d\mu = 0,$$

but of course $|f(x)g(x)| = 1$ for all $x \in X$ by construction, which shows that we do not have convergence to $\int_X f d\mu \cdot \int_X g d\mu$ if $p_1(n) = n$ and $p_2(n) = an$.

Acknowledgments. We would like to thank Nikos Frantzikinakis for many helpful discussions and comments, including a technical correction to the definition of “good for seminorm estimates” that we made after publication; this correction does not affect the validity of any applications in the published version of the article. We would also like to thank Ethan Ackelsberg for a correction to the formulation of Theorem 1.2.

¹⁹Given an abelian topological group $(G, +)$, the Bohr compactification bG of G is the maximal compact Hausdorff abelian group that is a compactification of G and is such that any continuous homomorphism $\varphi : G \rightarrow K$ from G to a compact Hausdorff group K admits a unique extension from bG to K . (These properties characterize bG up to isomorphism.)

REFERENCES

- [1] E. Ackelsberg and V. Bergelson, Polynomial multiple recurrence and large intersections in rings of integers, preprint, [arXiv:2107.07626](#).
- [2] E. Ackelsberg, V. Bergelson and A. Best, [Multiple recurrence and large intersections for abelian group actions](#), *Discrete Anal.*, **2021**, Paper No. 18, 91 pp.
- [3] M. Beiglböck, V. Bergelson and A. Fish, [Sumset phenomenon in countable amenable groups](#), *Adv. Math.*, **223** (2010), 416–432.
- [4] V. Bergelson, [Weakly mixing PET](#), *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, **7** (1987), 337–349.
- [5] V. Bergelson, [Ergodic Ramsey theory—an update](#), in *Ergodic Theory of \mathbf{Z}^d Actions (Warwick, 1993–1994)*, 1–61, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., 228, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1996.
- [6] V. Bergelson, Ergodic theory and Diophantine problems, in *Topics in Symbolic Dynamics and Applications (Temuco, 1997)*, 167–205, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., 279, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2000.
- [7] V. Bergelson and A. Ferré Moragues, [An ergodic correspondence principle, invariant means and applications](#), *Israel J. Math.*, **245** (2021), 921–962.
- [8] V. Bergelson and A. Leibman, [Cubic averages and large intersections](#), in *Recent Trends in Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems*, 5–19, Contemp. Math., 631, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2015.
- [9] V. Bergelson, A. Leibman and R. McCutcheon, [Polynomial Szemerédi theorems for countable modules over integral domains and applications](#), *J. Anal. Math.*, **95** (2005), 243–296.
- [10] V. Bergelson and J. Moreira, [Van der Corput’s difference theorem: Some modern developments](#), *Indag. Math. (N.S.)*, **27** (2016), 437–479.
- [11] V. Bergelson, T. Tao and T. Ziegler, [Multiple recurrence and convergence results associated to \$\mathbb{F}_p^{\omega}\$ -actions](#), *J. Anal. Math.*, **127** (2015), 329–378.
- [12] P. Durcik, R. Greenfeld, A. Iseli, A. Jamneshan and J. Madrid, [An uncountable ergodic Roth theorem and applications](#), [arXiv:2101.00685](#).
- [13] T. Eisner, B. Farkas, M. Haase and R. Nagel, *Operator Theoretic Aspects of Ergodic Theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 272, Springer, Cham, 2015.
- [14] G. B. Folland, *A Course in Abstract Harmonic Analysis*, Studies in Advanced Mathematics, CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 1995.
- [15] N. Frantzikinakis, [A multidimensional Szemerédi theorem for Hardy sequences of different growth](#), *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **367** (2015), 5653–5692.
- [16] N. Frantzikinakis, [Multiple recurrence and convergence for Hardy sequences of polynomial growth](#), *J. Anal. Math.*, **112** (2010), 79–135.
- [17] N. Frantzikinakis, [Joint ergodicity of sequences](#), [arXiv:2102.09967v2](#).
- [18] N. Frantzikinakis and B. Kra, [Polynomial averages converge to the product of integrals](#), *Israel J. Math.*, **148** (2005), 267–276.
- [19] B. Host and B. Kra, *Nilpotent Structures in Ergodic Theory*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, 236, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2018.
- [20] W. Huang, S. Shao and X. Ye, [Topological correspondence of multiple ergodic averages of nilpotent group actions](#), *J. Anal. Math.*, **138** (2019), 687–715.
- [21] B. O. Koopman and J. von Neumann, [Dynamical systems of continuous spectra](#), *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, **18** (1932), 255–263.
- [22] P. G. Larick, [Results in Polynomial Recurrence for Actions of Fields](#), Ph.D thesis, The Ohio State University, 1998.
- [23] A. Leibman, [Convergence of multiple ergodic averages along polynomials of several variables](#), *Israel J. Math.*, **146** (2005), 303–315.
- [24] S. Peluse, [On the polynomial Szemerédi theorem in finite fields](#), *Duke Math. J.*, **168** (2019), 749–774.
- [25] S. Peluse and S. Prendiville, [Quantitative bounds in the non-linear Roth theorem](#), [arXiv:1903.02592](#).
- [26] O. Shalom, [Multiple ergodic averages in abelian groups and Khintchine type recurrence](#), *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, Published electronically: December 20, 2021.
- [27] P. Zorin-Kranich, [Norm convergence of multiple ergodic averages on amenable groups](#), *J. Anal. Math.*, **130** (2016), 219–241.

Received October 2021; revised January 2022; early access February 2022.

E-mail address: best.221@osu.edu

E-mail address: ferremoragues.1@osu.edu