

NON-ISOGENOUS ELLIPTIC CURVES AND HYPERELLIPTIC JACOBIANS

YURI G. ZARHIN

ABSTRACT. Let K be a field of characteristic different from 2, \bar{K} its algebraic closure. Let $n \geq 3$ be an odd prime such that 2 is a primitive root modulo n . Let $f(x)$ and $h(x)$ be degree n polynomials with coefficients in K and without repeated roots. Let us consider genus $(n-1)/2$ hyperelliptic curves $C_f : y^2 = f(x)$ and $C_h : y^2 = h(x)$, and their jacobians $J(C_f)$ and $J(C_h)$, which are $(n-1)/2$ -dimensional abelian varieties defined over K .

Suppose that one of the polynomials is irreducible and the other reducible. We prove that if $J(C_f)$ and $J(C_h)$ are isogenous over \bar{K} then both jacobians are abelian varieties of CM type with multiplication by the field of n th roots of 1.

1. DEFINITIONS, NOTATIONS, STATEMENTS

Let K be a field, \bar{K} its algebraic closure, and $\text{Gal}(K) = \text{Aut}(\bar{K}/K)$ the group of all automorphisms of the field extension \bar{K}/K . If $K_s \subset \bar{K}$ is the separable closure of K in \bar{K} then $\text{Gal}(K)$ coincides with the Galois group of (possibly infinite) Galois extension K_s/K .

If X is an abelian variety over K then we write $\text{End}(X)$ for the ring of its \bar{K} -endomorphisms and $\text{End}^0(X)$ for the corresponding \mathbb{Q} -algebra $\text{End}(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$. If Y is (may be another) abelian variety over K then we write $\text{Hom}(X, Y)$ for the group of all \bar{K} -homomorphisms from X to Y , which is a free commutative group of finite rank. It is well-known that $\text{Hom}(X, Y) = 0$ if and only if $\text{Hom}(Y, X) = 0$.

Let $f(x) \in K[x]$ be a nonconstant polynomial of degree n without repeated roots. We write $\mathfrak{R}_f \subset \bar{K}$ for the set of its roots, and $K(\mathfrak{R}_f) \subset \bar{K}$ for the splitting field of $f(x)$. Then \mathfrak{R}_f consists of n elements and

$$\mathfrak{R}_f \subset K(\mathfrak{R}_f) \subset K_s \subset \bar{K}.$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14H40, 14K05, 11G30, 11G10.

Key words and phrases. hyperelliptic curves, jacobians, isogenies of abelian varieties.

The author was partially supported by Simons Foundation Collaboration grant # 585711.

We write

$$\mathrm{Gal}(f) = \mathrm{Gal}(f/K) = \mathrm{Aut}(K(\mathfrak{R}_f)/K) = \mathrm{Gal}(K(\mathfrak{R}_f)/K)$$

for the Galois group of $f(x)$ over K . The group $\mathrm{Gal}(f/K)$ permutes elements of \mathfrak{R}_f and therefore can be identified with a certain subgroup of the group $\mathrm{Perm}(\mathfrak{R}_f)$ of all permutations of \mathfrak{R}_f . (The action of $\mathrm{Gal}(f/K)$ on \mathfrak{R}_f is *transitive* if and only if $f(x)$ is *irreducible* over K .) If we choose an order on the n -element set \mathfrak{R}_f then we get a group isomorphism between $\mathrm{Perm}(\mathfrak{R}_f)$ and the full symmetric group \mathbf{S}_n , which makes $\mathrm{Gal}(f/K)$ a certain subgroup of \mathbf{S}_n . We write $\mathrm{Alt}(\mathfrak{R}_f)$ for the only index 2 subgroup of $\mathrm{Perm}(\mathfrak{R}_f)$, which corresponds to the alternating (sub)group \mathbf{A}_n of \mathbf{S}_n under any isomorphism between $\mathrm{Perm}(\mathfrak{R}_f)$ and \mathbf{S}_n . Slightly abusing notation, we say that $\mathrm{Gal}(f)$ is \mathbf{S}_n (resp. \mathbf{A}_n) if it coincides with $\mathrm{Perm}(\mathfrak{R}_f)$ (resp. $\mathrm{Alt}(\mathfrak{R}_f)$).

Throughout the paper (unless otherwise stated) we assume that $\mathrm{char}(K) \neq 2$.

1.1. Elliptic curves. Let us assume that $n = 3$ (i.e., $f(x)$ is a cubic polynomial) and consider the elliptic curve

$$C_f : y^2 = f(x)$$

viewed as a one-dimensional abelian variety defined over K with the infinite point taken as the zero of group law. As usual, $j(C_f) \in K$ denotes the *j-invariant* of elliptic curve C_f .

Let $h(x) \in K[x]$ be another cubic polynomial without repeated roots and

$$C_h : y^2 = h(x)$$

be the corresponding elliptic curve (one-dimensional abelian variety) over K . It is well known that $j(C_f) = j(C_h)$ if and only if elliptic curves C_f and C_h are isomorphic over \bar{K} [17].

The aim of this paper is to discuss when C_f and C_h are *not* isogenous over \bar{K} . There are several known criteria for elliptic curves over number fields not to be isogenous that are based on their arithmetic properties (reductions, arithmetic properties of the corresponding *j*-invariants) [7, p. 645]. (See also [21, Th. 1.2] and [23, Sect. 2].) There are also recent results describing the “asymptotic behavior” of the number of elliptic curves with *j*-invariant in certain countable sets of complex numbers (e.g., the set $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-1}]$ of Gaussian integers) that are not isogenous to elliptic curves, whose *j*-invariants lie on a given real algebraic curve in $\mathbb{C} = \mathbb{R}^2$ [7, Th. 1.7 and Sect. 3]).

Here we discuss criteria that use the properties of $f(x)$ and $h(x)$ over arbitrary K only. Our main results are the following two assertions.

Theorem 1.1. *Let K be a field of characteristic different from 2. Let $f(x)$ and $h(x)$ be cubic polynomials over K without repeated roots. Suppose that exactly one of the two polynomials is irreducible.*

If C_f and C_h are isogenous over \bar{K} then both \mathbb{Q} -algebras $\text{End}^0(C_f)$ and $\text{End}^0(C_h)$ contain a subfield isomorphic to $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$. In particular, if $\text{char}(K) = 0$ then both C_f and C_h are isogenous over \bar{K} to the elliptic curve $y^2 = x^3 - 1$.

Theorem 1.2. *Let K be a field of characteristic different from 2. Let $f(x), h(x) \in K[x]$ be cubic polynomials without repeated roots that enjoy the following properties.*

- (i) *The splitting fields of $f(x)$ and $h(x)$ are linearly disjoint over K .*
- (ii) *$h(x)$ is irreducible over K .*
- (iii) *$\text{Gal}(f/K) = \mathbf{S}_3$, i.e., $K(\mathfrak{R}_f)$ has degree 6 over K .*

If C_f and C_h are isogenous over \bar{K} then $p = \text{char}(K)$ is a prime that is congruent to 2 modulo 3, and both C_f and C_h are supersingular elliptic curves.

Remark 1.3. *If $\text{char}(K) = 0$ then it follows from Theorem 1.2 that if cubic polynomials $f(x)$ and $h(x)$ enjoy properties (i), (ii), (iii) of Theorem 1.2 then C_f and C_h are not isogenous over \bar{K} . This assertion is a special case (with $m = n = 3$) of [21, Th. 1.2].*

Example 1.4. *Let $K = \mathbb{Q}$, $f(x) = x^3 - 5$, $h(x) = x^3 - 15x + 22$. Clearly, $K(\mathfrak{R}_f) = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3}, \sqrt[3]{5})$ is a sextic number field, i.e. $\text{Gal}(f/\mathbb{Q}) = \mathbf{S}_3$, and $h(x) = (x - 2)(x^2 + 2x - 11)$ is reducible over \mathbb{Q} . So, $f(x)$ and $h(x)$ satisfy the conditions of Theorem 1.1. It is well known that the endomorphism ring $\text{End}(C_f)$ is $\mathbb{Z} \left[\frac{-1 + \sqrt{-3}}{2} \right]$. It is also known [17, Appendix A, p. 483] that $\text{End}(C_h)$ is*

$$\mathbb{Z} + 2 \cdot \mathbb{Z} \left[\frac{-1 + \sqrt{-3}}{2} \right] \subset \mathbb{Z} \left[\frac{-1 + \sqrt{-3}}{2} \right].$$

Anyway, both C_f and C_h are CM elliptic curves. whose endomorphism algebras (over an algebraic closure $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$ of \mathbb{Q}) are isomorphic to $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$. Hence, C_f and C_h are isogenous to each other over $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$ and to $y^2 = x^3 - 1$. (Actually, C_f is even isomorphic to $y^2 = x^3 - 1$ over $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$).

Corollary 1.5. *Let $K = \mathbb{Q}$. Let $f(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ be an irreducible cubic polynomial and $h(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ a reducible cubic polynomial.*

Then precisely one of the following two conditions holds.

- (i) *Elliptic curves C_f and C_h are not isogenous over $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$ (and even over \mathbb{C}).*

(ii) Both $j(C_f)$ and $j(C_h)$ lie in a 3-element set

$$S = \{0, 2^4 3^3 5^3, -2^{15} 3 \cdot 5^3\} \subset \mathbb{Q}.$$

Proof of Corollary 1.5 (modulo Theorem 1.1). Indeed, if an elliptic curve C is defined over \mathbb{Q} then $\text{End}^0(C)$ contains $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$ (actually coincides with it) if and only if its j -invariant lies in S ([14], [17, Appendix A, Sect. 3]). Now the desired result follows from Theorem 1.1. \square

Corollary 1.6. *Let k be an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0 and K an overfield of k . Let $f(x) \in K[x]$ be an irreducible cubic polynomial with $\text{Gal}(f/K) = \mathbf{S}_3$. (E.g., $K = k(t)$ is the field of rational functions in one variable t over k and $f(x) = x^3 - x - t$). Let $h(x) \in K[x]$ be a reducible cubic polynomial without repeated roots.*

Then elliptic curves C_f and C_h are not isogenous over \bar{K} .

Proof of Corollary 1.6 (modulo Theorem 1.1). Clearly, $k \supset \bar{\mathbb{Q}}$. By Lemma 2.4 on p. 366 of [23], $j(C_f) \notin k$. In particular, $j(C_f) \notin \bar{\mathbb{Q}}$. This implies that C_f is not of CM type over \bar{K} , i.e.,

$$\text{End}(C_f) = \mathbb{Z}, \quad \text{End}^0(C_f) = \mathbb{Q}.$$

Now the desired result follows from Theorem 1.1. \square

We deduce Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 from more general results about non-isogenous hyperelliptic jacobians (Theorems 1.7 and 1.9 below) that will be stated in Subsection 1.2 and proven in Section 3.

1.2. Non-isogenous hyperelliptic jacobians. Throughout this subsection, $n \geq 3$ is an odd integer, $f(x)$ and $h(x)$ are degree n polynomials with coefficients in K and without repeated roots,

$$C_f : y^2 = f(x), \quad C_h : y^2 = h(x)$$

the corresponding genus $(n-1)/2$ hyperelliptic curves over K , whose jacobians we denote by $J(C_f)$ and $J(C_h)$ respectively. These jacobians are $(n-1)/2$ -dimensional abelian varieties defined over K .

Theorem 1.7. *Suppose that $n \geq 3$ is an odd prime such that 2 is a primitive root mod n . Let K be a field of characteristic different from 2. Let $f(x), h(x) \in K[x]$ be degree n polynomials without repeated roots. Suppose that one of the polynomials is irreducible and the other reducible.*

If abelian varieties $J(C_f)$ and $J(C_h)$ are isogenous over \bar{K} then both jacobians are abelian varieties of CM type over \bar{K} with multiplication by the n th cyclotomic field $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)$.

Remark 1.8. See [2, Th. 1.1] where the possible structure of $\text{End}^0(J(C_f))$ is described when K is a number field, $f(x)$ is a prime (odd) degree n irreducible polynomial over K and 2 is a primitive root mod n . (See also [19, 20].)

Theorem 1.9. *Suppose that $n \geq 3$ is an odd prime such that 2 is a primitive root mod n . Let K be a field of characteristic different from 2. Suppose that $f(x), h(x) \in K[x]$ are degree n polynomials without repeated roots that enjoy the following properties.*

- (i) $f(x)$ is irreducible over K and its Galois group $\text{Gal}(\mathfrak{R}_f) \subset \text{Perm}(\mathfrak{R}_f)$ is doubly transitive.
- (ii) $h(x)$ is irreducible over K .
- (iii) The splitting fields $K(\mathfrak{R}_f)$ and $K(\mathfrak{R}_h)$ of $f(x)$ and $h(x)$ are linearly disjoint over K .

Then the hyperelliptic jacobians $J(C_f)$ and $J(C_h)$ enjoy precisely one of the following properties.

- (1) *Abelian varieties $J(C_f)$ and $J(C_h)$ are not isogenous over \bar{K} . Even better,*
 $\text{Hom}(J(C_f), J(C_h)) = \{0\}, \text{Hom}(J(C_h), J(C_f)) = \{0\}.$
- (2) *$p = \text{char}(K) > 0$ and both $J(C_f)$ and $J(C_h)$ are supersingular abelian varieties. In addition, n does not divide $p - 1$.*

Remark 1.10. If $n = 3$ then C_f and C_h are elliptic curves that are canonically isomorphic to their jacobians. Clearly, \mathbf{S}_3 is a *doubly transitive* permutation group while 2 is a *primitive root mod 3*. Hence, Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 are special cases of Theorems 1.7 and 1.9 respectively.

Remark 1.11. If X and Y are abelian varieties over K then, by a theorem of Chow [5, 1], all their homomorphisms (and endomorphisms) are defined over K_s .

Example 1.12. Let $K = \mathbb{Q}$ and $n \geq 3$ is odd. Let

$$f(x) = x^n - x - 1, h(x) = x^n - 1.$$

By a theorem of Osada [11], $\text{Gal}(f) = \mathbf{S}_n$, which is doubly transitive. (The irreducibility of $f(x)$ was proven by Selmer [13]). On the other hand, $h(x)$ is obviously reducible over \mathbb{Q} . It is well known that $J(C_h)$ is an abelian variety of CM type with multiplication by the n th cyclotomic field $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)$. It was proven in [19] that $J(C_f)$ is absolutely simple (and even $\text{End}(J(C_f)) = \mathbb{Z}$). Hence, $J(C_f)$ and $J(C_h)$ are not isogenous over $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$ (and even over $\bar{\mathbb{C}}$), and

$$\text{Hom}(J(C_f), J(C_h)) = \{0\}, \text{Hom}(J(C_h), J(C_f)) = \{0\}.$$

Example 1.13. Let $K = \mathbb{Q}$ and $n \geq 3$ is an odd integer. Let

$$f(x) = x^n - 2, h(x) = x^n - 1.$$

By Eisenstein's criterion, the polynomial $f(x)$ is irreducible over the field \mathbb{Q}_2 of 2-adic numbers and therefore is irreducible also over \mathbb{Q} (see also [6, Ch. VI, Sect. 9, Th. 9.1]). Obviously, $h(x)$ is reducible over \mathbb{Q} . However, $J(C_f)$ and $J(C_h)$ are not only isogenous over $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$ but actually become isomorphic over $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$. On the other hand, both $J(C_f)$ and $J(C_h)$ are abelian varieties of CM type with multiplication by $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)$.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 1.2 we state criteria (Theorems 1.7 and 1.9) for hyperelliptic jacobians to be non-isogenous that may be viewed as natural generalizations of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2. In Section 2 we discuss Galois properties of points of order 2 on hyperelliptic jacobians. We also formulate there several useful auxiliary results about abelian varieties, matrix algebras of skew-fields and splitting fields of polynomials. Section 3 contains the proofs of Theorems 1.7 and 1.9. In Section 4 we prove auxiliary results from Section 2.

This paper may be viewed as a follow up of [21, 22].

Acknowledgements. I am grateful to Alexei Skorobogatov and Umberto Zannier for their interest in this topic. My special thanks go to Ken Ribet for useful comments that helped to improve the exposition.

2. ORDER 2 POINTS ON HYPERELLIPTIC JACOBIANS

Recall that $\text{char}(K) \neq 2$. We start with an arbitrary positive-dimensional abelian variety X over K . If d is a positive integer that is not divisible by $\text{char}(K)$ then we write $X[d]$ for the kernel of multiplication by d in $X(\bar{K})$. It is well known that

$$X[d] \subset X(K_s) \subset X(\bar{K});$$

in addition, $X[d]$ is a $\text{Gal}(K)$ -submodule of $X(K_s)$, which is isomorphic as a commutative group to $(\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z})^{2\dim(X)}$ [10]. We denote by

$$\tilde{\rho}_{d,X} : \text{Gal}(K) \rightarrow \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}}(X[d])$$

the corresponding (continuous) homomorphism defining the action of $\text{Gal}(K)$ on $X[d]$ and put

$$\tilde{G}_{d,X} := \tilde{\rho}_{d,X}(\text{Gal}(K)) \subset \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}}(X[d]).$$

Let us consider

$$G(d) := \ker(\tilde{\rho}_{d,X}) \subset \text{Gal}(K),$$

which is a closed *normal subgroup* of finite index (and therefore also open) in $\text{Gal}(K)$. Since $G(d)$ is open normal, the subfield of $G(d)$ -invariants in K_s

$$K(X[d]) := K_s^{G(d)}$$

is a finite Galois extension of K . Hence, $\tilde{G}_{d,X} = \text{Gal}(K)/G(d)$ coincides with the Galois group of $K(X[d])/K$. By definition, $K(X[d])$ coincides with the *field of definition* of all torsion points of order dividing d on X . For example, $X[2]$ is a $2\dim(X)$ -dimensional vector space over the 2-element field $\mathbb{F}_2 = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ and the inclusion $\tilde{G}_{2,X} \subset \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{F}_2}(X[2])$ defines a *faithful* linear representation of the group $\tilde{G}_{2,X}$ in the vector space $X[2]$.

Remark 2.1. We have

$$X[2] \subset X[4] \subset X(K_s).$$

Since multiplication by 2 in X is an *isogeny* [10], it is surjective and therefore

$$X[2] = 2 \cdot X[4].$$

This equality combined with the freeness of the $\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$ -module $X[4]$ implies that if $B : X[4] \rightarrow X[2]$ is any group homomorphism then there is a group homomorphism $A : X[4] \rightarrow X[4]$ such that

$$B(x) = 2A(x) \quad \forall x \in X[4]. \quad (1)$$

Remark 2.2. The surjectiveness of $\text{Gal}(K) \twoheadrightarrow \tilde{G}_{2,X}$ implies that the $\text{Gal}(K)$ -module $X[2]$ is simple (resp. absolutely simple) if and only if $\tilde{G}_{2,X}$ -module $X[2]$ is absolutely simple.

Remark 2.3. (i) Let $K(X[4])$ be the field of definition of all points of order 4 on X . Recall that $K(X[4])/K$ is a finite Galois field extension. Clearly,

$$K \subset K(X[2]) \subset K(X[4]) \subset K_s.$$

It is known that the Galois group $\text{Gal}(K(X[4])/K(X[2]))$ is a finite commutative group of exponent 2 or 1. For reader's convenience, let me give a short proof. Let

$$\sigma \in \text{Gal}(K(X[4])/K(X[2])) \subset \text{Gal}(K(X[4])/K) = \tilde{G}_{4,X}.$$

Then for each $x \in X[4]$ we have $2x \in X[2]$ and therefore $\sigma(2x) = 2x$. This implies that $2(\sigma(x) - x) = \sigma(2x) - 2x = 0$, i.e. $\sigma(x) - x = 0$. If we consider a group homomorphism

$$B : X[4] \rightarrow X[2], \quad x \mapsto \sigma(x) - x$$

then, in light of Remark 2.1 (especially, (1)), there exists a group homomorphism $A : X[4] \rightarrow X[4]$ such that

$$\sigma(x) - x = 2Ax \quad \forall x \in X[4].$$

This implies that for all $x \in X[4]$

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(x) &= x + 2Ax, \quad \sigma^2(x) = \sigma(x + 2Ax) = \\ &= (x + 2Ax) + 2A(x + 2Ax) = x + 4Ax + 4A^2x = x. \end{aligned}$$

This proves that all $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(K(X[4])/K(X[2]))$ have order dividing 2 and therefore $\text{Gal}(K(X[4])/K(X[2]))$ is a (finite) commutative group of exponent 2 or 1.

- (ii) All the endomorphisms of X are defined over $K(X[4])$ (a special case of theorem of A. Silverberg [16]). See [3, 12, 2] for further results about the field of definition of endomorphisms of abelian varieties.

The following two assertions will be used in the proof of Theorems 1.7 and 1.9.

Lemma 2.4. *Let ℓ be an odd prime such that 2 is a primitive root mod ℓ . Let $g = (\ell - 1)/2$. Suppose that $\dim(X) = g$ and the degree $[K(X[2]) : K]$ is divisible by ℓ .*

Then the $\text{Gal}(K)$ -module $X[2]$ is simple.

Proposition 2.5. *Let ℓ be an odd prime such that 2 is a primitive root mod ℓ . Let $g = (\ell - 1)/2$. Let X and Y be g -dimensional abelian varieties defined over K that are isogenous over \bar{K} . Suppose that $K(Y[2]) = K$ and the degree $[K(X[2]) : K]$ is divisible by ℓ .*

Then both X and Y are abelian varieties of CM type over \bar{K} with multiplication by $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_\ell)$.

We will prove Proposition 2.5 in Section 4.

Now let us turn to hyperelliptic jacobians. The following assertion will play a crucial role in the proof of Theorems 1.7 and 1.9.

Proposition 2.6. *Suppose that $n \geq 3$ is an odd prime and 2 is a primitive root mod n . Let $f(x) \in K[x]$ be a degree n polynomial without repeated roots.*

- (0) *There is a canonical isomorphism of finite groups*

$$\tilde{G}_{2,J(C_f)} \cong \text{Gal}(f/K).$$

In addition, $K(\mathfrak{R}_f)$ coincides with the field $K(J(C_f)[2])$ of definition of all points of order 2 on $J(C_f)$.

- (1) *If $f(x)$ is irreducible over K then $\text{Gal}(f)$ contains a cyclic subgroup H of order n and the $\text{Gal}(K)$ -module $J(C_f)[2]$ is simple.*

- (2) If $f(x)$ is irreducible over K and its Galois group $\text{Gal}(\mathfrak{R}_f) \subset \text{Perm}(\mathfrak{R}_f)$ is doubly transitive then the $\text{Gal}(K)$ -module $J(C_f)[2]$ is absolutely simple.
- (3) Suppose that $f(x)$ is irreducible over K and its Galois group $\text{Gal}(\mathfrak{R}_f) \subset \text{Perm}(\mathfrak{R}_f)$ is doubly transitive. Let $h(x) \in K[x]$ be a degree n polynomial without repeated roots that is irreducible over K .

If the splitting fields $K(\mathfrak{R}_f)$ and $K(\mathfrak{R}_h)$ of $f(x)$ and $h(x)$ are linearly disjoint over K then either

$$\text{Hom}(J(C_f), J(C_h)) = \{0\}, \quad \text{Hom}(J(C_h), J(C_f)) = \{0\}$$

or $\text{char}(K) > 0$ and both $J(C_f)$ and $J(C_h)$ are supersingular abelian varieties.

We prove Proposition 2.6 in Section 4.

2.1. Galois module $J(C_f)[2]$. In this subsection we discuss a well known explicit description of the Galois module $J(C_f)[2]$ for arbitrary (separable) $f(x)$ and (odd) n that will be used in the proof of Proposition 2.6. Let us start with the n -dimensional \mathbb{F}_2 -vector space

$$\mathbb{F}_2^{\mathfrak{R}_f} = \{\phi : \mathfrak{R}_f \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2\}$$

of all \mathbb{F}_2 -valued functions on $\mathfrak{R}_f \subset K_s$. The action of $\text{Perm}(\mathfrak{R}_f)$ on \mathfrak{R}_f provides $\mathbb{F}_2^{\mathfrak{R}_f}$ with the structure of faithful $\text{Perm}(\mathfrak{R}_f)$ -module, which splits into a direct sum

$$\mathbb{F}_2^{\mathfrak{R}_f} = \mathbb{F}_2 \cdot \mathbf{1}_{\mathfrak{R}_f} \oplus Q_{\mathfrak{R}_f}$$

of the one-dimensional subspace of constant functions $\mathbb{F}_2 \cdot \mathbf{1}_{\mathfrak{R}_f}$ and the $(n-1)$ -dimensional *heart* [4, 9]

$$Q_{\mathfrak{R}_f} = \{\phi : \mathfrak{R}_f \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2 \mid \sum_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{R}_f} \phi(\alpha) = 0\}$$

(here we use that n is odd.) Clearly, the $\text{Perm}(\mathfrak{R}_f)$ -module is faithful. It remains faithful if we view it as the $\text{Gal}(f)$ -module.

The field inclusion $K(\mathfrak{R}_f) \subset K_s$ induces the *surjective* continuous homomorphism

$$\text{Gal}(K) = \text{Gal}(K_s/K) \twoheadrightarrow \text{Gal}(K(\mathfrak{R}_f)/K) = \text{Gal}(f),$$

which gives rise to the natural structure of the $\text{Gal}(K)$ -module on $Q_{\mathfrak{R}_f}$ such that the image of $\text{Gal}(K)$ in $\text{Aut}_{\mathbb{F}_2}(Q_{\mathfrak{R}_f})$ coincides with

$$\text{Gal}(f) \subset \text{Perm}(\mathfrak{R}_f) \hookrightarrow \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{F}_2}(Q_{\mathfrak{R}_f}).$$

The surjectiveness implies that the $\text{Gal}(f)$ -module $Q_{\mathfrak{R}_f}$ is simple (resp. absolutely simple) if and only if it is simple (resp. absolutely simple) as the $\text{Gal}(K)$ -module.

It is well known (see, e.g., [8, 20]) that the $\text{Gal}(K)$ -module $J(C_f)[2]$ and $Q_{\mathfrak{R}_f}$ are canonically isomorphic. This implies that groups $\tilde{G}_{2,J(C_f)}$ and $\text{Gal}(f)$ are canonically isomorphic. It is also clear that $K(\mathfrak{R}_f)$ coincides with $K(J(C_f)[2])$. In addition, the $\text{Gal}(K)$ -module $J(C_f)[2]$ is simple (resp. absolutely simple) if and only if the $\text{Gal}(f)$ -module $Q_{\mathfrak{R}_f}$ is simple (resp. absolutely simple).

2.2. Useful algebraic results. We finish this section by stating two auxiliary elementary results that will be also used in the proofs of Theorems 1.7 and 1.9.

Lemma 2.7. *Let n be a prime, K an arbitrary field, and $h(x) \in K[x]$ a polynomial without repeated roots with $\deg(h) \leq n$. If $h(x)$ is reducible over K then the degree $[K(\mathfrak{R}_h) : K]$ is not divisible by n . More precisely, if l is a prime divisor of $[K(\mathfrak{R}_h) : K]$ then $l < n$.*

Lemma 2.8. *Let D be a finite-dimensional division algebra over an arbitrary field \mathcal{K} . Let m and r be positive integers. Let E be a commutative \mathcal{K} -subalgebra of $\text{Mat}_m(D)$ (with the same 1) that is isomorphic to a direct sum of r copies of \mathcal{K} .*

Then $r \leq m$.

We will prove Lemmas 2.7 and 2.8 in Section 4.

3. NON-ISOGENOUS HYPERELLIPTIC JACOBIANS: PROOFS

Proof of Theorem 1.7. We may assume that $f(x)$ is irreducible over K and $h(x)$ is reducible over K .

By Proposition 2.6(1),

$$\text{Gal}(K(J(C_f)[2]))/K = \text{Gal}(K(\mathfrak{R}_f)/K)$$

contains a cyclic subgroup H of order n . Replacing K by its overfield $K(\mathfrak{R}_f)^H$ (of H -invariants in $K(\mathfrak{R}_f)$), we may and will assume that

$$\text{Gal}(K(J(C_f)[2])/K) = \text{Gal}(K(\mathfrak{R}_f)/K)$$

is a cyclic group of (prime odd) order n . By Lemma 2.7, the degree of Galois extension $K(\mathfrak{R}_h)/K$ is not divisible by n . This implies that fields $K(J(C_f)[2])$ and $K(\mathfrak{R}_h)$ are linearly disjoint over K . Replacing K by its overfield $K(\mathfrak{R}_h)$, we may and will assume that

$$K(J(C_h)[2]) = K(\mathfrak{R}_h) = K$$

and $\text{Gal}(K(J(C_f)[2])/K)$ is a cyclic group of order n . In particular,

$$[K(J(C_f)[2]) : K] = n.$$

Now the desired result follows from Proposition 2.5 applied to $X = J(C_f)$ and $Y = J(C_h)$. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.9. In light of Proposition 2.6(3), we may and will assume that $p = \text{char}(K) > 0$ and both $J(C_f)$ and $J(C_h)$ are $(n-1)/2$ -dimensional supersingular abelian varieties, which are isogenous. We need to arrive to a contradiction, assuming that p divides $(n-1)$.

The linear disjointness of the splitting fields (property (iii)) means that

$$\text{Gal}(f/K(\mathfrak{R}_h)) = \text{Gal}(f)/K \subset \text{Perm}(\mathfrak{R}_f).$$

In particular, $f(x)$ remains irreducible over $K(\mathfrak{R}_h)$. So, replacing K by its overfield $K(\mathfrak{R}_h)$, we may and will assume that $f(x)$ is irreducible over K and $h(x)$ splits into a product of linear factors, i.e., the $\text{Gal}(K)$ -module $J(C_f)[2]$ is simple (thanks to Proposition 2.6(1)) while

$$K = K(\mathfrak{R}_h) = K(J(C_h)[2]).$$

The irreducibility of $f(x)$ implies that $[K(\mathfrak{R}_f) : K]$ is divisible by $\deg(f) = n$. Applying Proposition 2.5 to $\ell = n$ and $X = J(C_f), Y = J(C_h)$, we conclude that $\text{End}^0(J(C_h))$ contains an invertible element, say c , of multiplicative order n such that the \mathbb{Q} -subalgebra $\mathbb{Q}[c] \cong \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)$. The supersingularity of $J(C_h)$ implies that $\text{End}^0(J(C_h))$ is isomorphic to the matrix algebra $\text{Mat}_{(n-1)/2}(D_{p,\infty})$ of size $(n-1)/2$ over a definite quaternion algebra $D_{p,\infty}$ when $D_{p,\infty}$ is ramified precisely at p and ∞ . We obtain that $\text{Mat}_{(n-1)/2}(D_{p,\infty})$ contains a subfield (with the same 1) that is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)$. Tensoring by $D_{p,\infty}$ the field \mathbb{Q}_p of p -adic numbers (over \mathbb{Q}), we get the division (quaternion) algebra

$$\mathbb{H}_p := D_{p,\infty} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}_p$$

over \mathbb{Q}_p . Taking into account that p divides $n-1$, we obtain that the tensor product $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}_p$ is a commutative \mathbb{Q}_p -algebra that is isomorphic to a direct sum $\bigoplus_{i=1}^{n-1} \mathbb{Q}_p$ of $(n-1)$ copies of \mathbb{Q}_p . This implies that the central simple \mathbb{Q}_p -algebra

$$\text{Mat}_{(n-1)/2}(\mathbb{H}_p) = \text{Mat}_{(n-1)/2}(D_{p,\infty}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}_p$$

contains a certain \mathbb{Q}_p -subalgebra that is isomorphic to $\bigoplus_{i=1}^{n-1} \mathbb{Q}_p$. Since $(n-1) > (n-1)/2$, we get a contradiction to Lemma 2.8 applied to

$$\mathcal{K} = \mathbb{Q}_p, D = \mathbb{H}_p, m = \frac{n-1}{2} < r = (n-1).$$

This ends the proof. \square

4. PROOFS OF AUXILIARY RESULTS

Proof of Lemma 2.4. First,

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_2}(X[2]) = 2g = 2 \cdot \frac{\ell - 1}{2} = \ell - 1.$$

Second, since $[K(X[2]) : K]$ is divisible by prime ℓ , the Galois group $\tilde{G}_{2,X} = \text{Gal}(K(X[2])/K)$ contains a cyclic subgroup H_2 of order ℓ . Our assumptions on ℓ implies that the faithful representation of $H \cong \mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}$ in $(\ell - 1)$ -dimensional \mathbb{F}_2 -vector space $X[2]$ is irreducible, see [2, Lemma 2.8]. Since H is a subgroup of $\tilde{G}_{2,X}$, the $\tilde{G}_{2,X}$ -module $X[2]$ is also simple, which means that the $\text{Gal}(K)$ -module $X[2]$ is simple as well. \square

Proof of Proposition 2.5. There are field inclusions

$$K \subset K(X[2]) \subset K(X[4]). \quad (2)$$

Since $[K(X[2]) : K]$ is divisible by ℓ , the degree $[K(X[4]) : K]$ is also divisible by ℓ . Hence, the Galois group $\text{Gal}(K(X[4])/K)$ contains a cyclic subgroup H of order ℓ . Replacing K by its overfield $K(X[4])^H$ (of H -invariants), we may and will assume that $K(X[4])/K$ is a degree ℓ cyclic extension, i.e., a Galois extension with Galois group $\text{Gal}(K(X[4])/K) \cong \mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}$. On the other hand, the field inclusions (2) give rise to the short exact sequence of Galois groups

$$\{1\} \rightarrow \text{Gal}(K(X[4])/K(X[2])) \rightarrow \text{Gal}(K(X[4])/K) \rightarrow \text{Gal}(K(X[2])/K) \rightarrow \{1\}.$$

In particular, $\text{Gal}(K(X[4])/K(X[2]))$ is a subgroup of $\text{Gal}(K(X[4])/K)$ and therefore the order of $\text{Gal}(K(X[4])/K(X[2]))$ divides the order of $\text{Gal}(K(X[4])/K)$, which is *odd* ℓ . We know that $\text{Gal}(K(X[4])/K(X[2]))$ is a finite 2-group (see Remark 2.3). This implies that the order of $\text{Gal}(K(X[4])/K(X[2]))$ is 1. In light of the exact sequence, we get that $K(X[4]) = K(X[2])$; in particular, $\text{Gal}(K(X[2])/K)$ is a cyclic group of order ℓ and therefore $[K(X[2]) : K] = \ell$.

Recall (Remark 2.3) that $K(Y[4])/K(Y[2])$ is a finite Galois extension, whose degree is a power of 2. Since $K(Y[2]) = K$ and ℓ is an odd prime, fields $K(Y[4])$ and $K(X[4])$ are linearly disjoint over K . Replacing K by its overfield $K(Y[4])$, we may and will assume that $K = K(Y[4])$ and $K(X[4]) = K(X[2])$ is still a cyclic degree ℓ extension of K . In light of already proven Lemma 2.4, the $\text{Gal}(K)$ -module $X[2]$ is simple.

It follows from the theorem of Silverberg (see Remark 2.3 above) applied to X, Y and $X \times Y$ that all the endomorphisms of Y are defined over K and all the homomorphisms from X to Y are defined over $K(X[4]) = K(X[2])$.

Suppose that X and Y are isogenous over \bar{K} . Let $\phi : X \rightarrow Y$ be an isogeny, which as we know is defined over $K(X[4])$. We may assume that ϕ has the smallest possible degree; in particular, ϕ is *not* divisible by 2 in $\text{Hom}(X, Y)$. If ϕ is defined over K then it induces a *nonzero* homomorphism of $\text{Gal}(K)$ -modules $X[2] \rightarrow Y[2]$, which is nonzero, because ϕ is *not* divisible by 2. However, the module $X[2]$ is simple while the module $Y[2]$ is trivial, because $K(Y[2]) = K$. Hence, a nonzero homomorphism of these Galois modules does *not* exist and therefore ϕ is *not* defined over K . Since both X and Y are defined over K , to each $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(K(X[4])/K) \cong \mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}$ corresponds the isogeny $\sigma(\phi) : X \rightarrow Y$, which does *not* coincide with ϕ if σ is *not* the identity element of $\text{Gal}(K(X[4])/K)$. Since both ϕ and $\sigma(\phi)$ are isogenies from X to Y , there exists precisely one $a_\sigma \in \text{End}^0(Y)^*$ such that

$$\sigma(\phi) = a_\sigma \phi \text{ in } \text{Hom}(X, Y) \otimes \mathbb{Q}.$$

If $\tau \in \text{Gal}(K(X[4])/K)$ then

$$a_{\sigma\tau}(\phi) = (\sigma\tau)\phi = \sigma(\tau(\phi)) = \sigma(a_\tau\phi) = \sigma(a_\tau)\sigma(\phi).$$

Since all the endomorphisms of Y are defined over K , we have $\sigma(a_\tau) = a_\tau$ and therefore

$$a_{\sigma\tau}(\phi) = a_\tau\sigma(\phi) = a_\tau(a_\sigma\phi) = (a_\tau a_\sigma)\phi$$

for all $\sigma, \tau \in \text{Gal}(K(X[4])/K)$. Since $\text{Gal}(K(X[4])/K)$ is commutative,

$$a_{\tau\sigma}\phi = a_{\sigma\tau}\phi = (a_\tau a_\sigma)\phi.$$

Since ϕ is an isogeny, we get

$$a_{\tau\sigma} = a_\tau a_\sigma \quad \forall \sigma, \tau \in \text{Gal}(K(X[4])/K).$$

In other words, the map

$$\text{Gal}(K(X[4])/K) \rightarrow \text{End}^0(Y)^*, \quad \sigma \mapsto a_\sigma$$

is a group homomorphism, which, as we know, is nontrivial. Take any non-identity element $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(K(X[4])/K)$ and put

$$c = a_\sigma \in \text{End}^0(Y)^*.$$

Then c is a non-identity element of $\text{End}^0(Y)^*$. In addition, $c^\ell = 1$, because the order of σ is ℓ . Since ℓ is a prime, the quotient-algebra $\mathbb{Q}[T]/(T^\ell - 1)$ of the ring of polynomials $\mathbb{Q}[T]$ is isomorphic to the direct sum $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_\ell) \oplus \mathbb{Q}$. Hence, the \mathbb{Q} -subalgebra $\mathbb{Q}[c]$ of $\text{End}^0(Y)$ is isomorphic to a quotient of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_\ell) \oplus \mathbb{Q}$; in particular, it is a *semisimple commutative* \mathbb{Q} -(sub)algebra. Notice that

$$2\dim(Y) = \ell - 1 < (\ell - 1) + 1 = \dim_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_\ell) \oplus \mathbb{Q}).$$

It follows from [15, Ch. II, Prop. 1 on p. 36] that

$$\dim_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{Q}[c]) \leq 2\dim(Y) = \ell - 1 < \dim_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{\ell}) \oplus \mathbb{Q});$$

in particular, $\mathbb{Q}[c]$ is not isomorphic to $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{\ell}) \oplus \mathbb{Q}$. Since c has odd multiplicative order $\ell > 2$, $\mathbb{Q}[c]$ is not isomorphic to \mathbb{Q} . The only remaining possibility is that there is an isomorphism of \mathbb{Q} -algebras $\mathbb{Q}[c] \cong \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{\ell})$. This gives as a \mathbb{Q} -algebra embedding

$$\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{\ell}) \cong \mathbb{Q}[c] \subset \text{End}^0(Y).$$

Since

$$2\dim(Y) = \ell - 1 = [\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{\ell}) : \mathbb{Q}] = \dim_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{\ell})),$$

Y is an abelian variety of CM type over \bar{K} with multiplication by $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{\ell})$. Since X is \bar{K} -isogenous to Y , it is also of CM type with multiplication by $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{\ell})$. □

Proof of Proposition 2.6. Assertion (0) is already proven in Subsection 2.1. In order to prove (1), notice that the irreducibility of $f(x)$ means the transitivity of permutation group

$$\text{Gal}(f) = \text{Gal}(f/K) \subset \text{Perm}(\mathfrak{R}_f).$$

Since $n = \#(\mathfrak{R}_f)$ is a prime, the transitivity implies that n divides the order of $\text{Gal}(f)$. Let

$$H \subset \text{Gal}(f/K) \subset \text{Perm}(\mathfrak{R}_f)$$

be a cyclic subgroup of prime order n in $\text{Gal}(f/K)$ and $K_1 = K(\mathfrak{R}_f)^H$ the subfield of H -invariants in $K(\mathfrak{R}_f)$. Then

$$K \subset K_1 \subset K(\mathfrak{R}_f), \quad K_1(\mathfrak{R}_f) = K(\mathfrak{R}_f);$$

$$\text{Gal}(f/K_1) = H \subset \text{Gal}(f/K) \subset \text{Perm}(\mathfrak{R}_f).$$

Recall that n is a *prime* and 2 is a *primitive root* mod n , i.e., 2 mod n has order $n - 1$ in the multiplicative group $(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^*$. Since

$$\text{Gal}(f/K_1) \cong \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \quad \text{and} \quad n - 1 = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_2}(Q_{\mathfrak{R}_f}),$$

the $\text{Gal}(f/K_1)$ -module $Q_{\mathfrak{R}_f}$ is simple, thanks to [2, Lemma 2.8] (applied to $\ell = 2, p = n - 1$). Since $\text{Gal}(f/K_1) \subset \text{Gal}(f/K)$, the $\text{Gal}(f/K)$ -module $Q_{\mathfrak{R}_f}$ is also simple and therefore the $\text{Gal}(K)$ -module $Q_{\mathfrak{R}_f}$ is simple as well, which implies that the $\text{Gal}(K)$ -module $J(C_f)[2]$ is also simple, thanks to the arguments at the end of Subsection 2.1.

Let us prove (2). We are given that $\text{Gal}(f)$ is *doubly transitive*. Since n is *odd*, the centralizer $\text{End}_{\text{Gal}(f)}(Q_{\mathfrak{R}_f})$ coincides with \mathbb{F}_2 , thanks to [4, Satz 4a] (see also [8, p. 108]). This implies that the simple $\text{Gal}(f)$ -module $Q_{\mathfrak{R}_f}$ is absolutely simple and therefore the $\text{Gal}(K)$ -module

$J(C_f)[2]$ is also absolutely simple, thanks to the arguments at the end of Subsection 2.1.

Let us prove (3). We are given that fields $K(J(C_f)[2]) = K(\mathfrak{R}_f)$ and $K(J(C_h)[2]) = K(\mathfrak{R}_h)$ are linearly disjoint over K . By already proven assertions (1) and (2) combined with Remark 2.2, the $\text{Gal}(K)$ -module $J(C_f)[2]$ is absolutely simple while the $\text{Gal}(K)$ -module $J(C_h)[2]$ is simple. Now the desired result follows from Theorem 2.1 of [21] (applied to $\ell = 2$ and $X = J(C_f), Y = J(C_h)$).

□

Proof of Lemma 2.7. Since $h(x)$ is reducible, $\deg(h) \geq 2$. We will use induction by $m := \deg(h) \leq n$.

If $\deg(h) = 2$ and $h(x)$ is reducible over K then $h(x)$ is a product of (two) linear factors over K ; hence, $K(\mathfrak{R}_h) = K$ and $[K(\mathfrak{R}_h) : K] = 1$ is *not* divisible by any prime, including n .

Suppose that $m > 2$ and we know that our Lemma holds true for all reducible polynomials of degree $< m$ over any fields. Let us split $h(x)$ into a product over K

$$h(x) = u(x)v(x), \quad u(x), v(x) \in K[x]$$

where $u(x)$ is irreducible over K with $m_1 = \deg(u) < m \leq n$ and $v(x)$ is a non-constant polynomial of degree $\deg(v) = m_2 < m \leq n$. Then $K_1 = K(\mathfrak{R}_u)$ is a Galois field extension of K , whose degree $[K_1 : K]$ over K divides $m_1!$. Since $m_1 < m \leq n$, every prime divisor of $[K_1 : K]$ is strictly less than n .

On the other hand, obviously, $K_2 = K(\mathfrak{R}_h) = K_1(\mathfrak{R}_v)$. If $v(x)$ is reducible over K_1 then, by induction assumptions, every prime divisor of $[K_1(\mathfrak{R}_v) : K_1]$ is strictly less than n . If $v(x)$ is irreducible over K_1 then $K_2 = K_1(\mathfrak{R}_v)$ is a Galois extension of K_1 , whose degree $[K_2 : K_1]$ divides $m_2!$. Since $m_2 < m \leq n$, every prime divisor of $[K_2 : K_1]$ is strictly less than n as well. So, in both cases every prime divisor of $[K_2 : K_1]$ is strictly less than n .

Now, the degree

$$[K(\mathfrak{R}_f) : K] = [K_2 : K] = [K_2 : K_1] \cdot [K_1 : K]$$

is a product of two positive integers, each of which is either 1 or a product of primes that are strictly less than n . This implies that every prime divisor of the product $[K(\mathfrak{R}_f) : K]$ is strictly less than n . Since n is a prime, $[K(\mathfrak{R}_f) : K]$ is *not* divisible by n . □

Proof of Lemma 2.8. If $m = 1$, the assertion is obvious, because $D = \text{Mat}_1(D)$ has no zero divisors. So, we assume that $m > 1$. Suppose that

$r > m$. We need to arrive to a contradiction. There is a splitting of \mathbb{Q}_p -algebras $E = E_1 \oplus E_2$ such that there exist \mathcal{K} -algebra isomorphisms

$$\psi_1 : E_1 \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^{r-m+1} \mathcal{K}, \quad \psi_2 : E_2 = \bigoplus_{j=1}^{m-1} \mathcal{K}.$$

Let

$$\Delta : K \hookrightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^{r-m+1} \mathcal{K}$$

be the diagonal embedding. Let us put

$$E_0 := \psi_1^{-1} \Delta(\mathcal{K}) \subset E_1$$

Clearly, E_0 is a \mathcal{K} -subalgebra of E_1 isomorphic to \mathcal{K} , which has the same identity element as E_1 . This implies that the \mathcal{K} -subalgebra is

$$E_0 \oplus E_2 \subset E_1 \oplus E_2 \subset \text{Mat}_m(D)$$

is isomorphic to $\bigoplus_{j=1}^m \mathcal{K}$ and have the same identity element as E and therefore as $\text{Mat}_m(D)$. In particular, $E_0 \oplus E_2$ is isomorphic as a \mathcal{K} -algebra to the subalgebra of diagonal matrices with entries in \mathcal{K}

$$F = \bigoplus_{i=1}^m \mathcal{K} \subset \bigoplus_{i=1}^m D \subset \text{Mat}_m(D).$$

Clearly, the centralizer of F in $\text{Mat}_m(D)$ coincides with the subalgebra of diagonal matrices with entries in D

$$\bigoplus_{i=1}^m D \subset \text{Mat}_m(D).$$

By Skolem-Noether theorem, there is an invertible element s in $\text{Mat}_m(D)$ such that

$$F = s(E_0 \oplus E_2)s^{-1}.$$

This implies that sEs^{-1} lies in the centralizer of F , i.e., in $\bigoplus_{i=1}^m D$. This implies that $\bigoplus_{i=1}^m D$ contains a \mathcal{K} -subalgebra that is isomorphic to $\bigoplus_{i=1}^r \mathcal{K}$. Since D is division algebra, the number of idempotents in $\bigoplus_{i=1}^m D$ is (the number of subsets in $\{1, \dots, m\}$, i.e.) 2^m while the number of idempotents in $\bigoplus_{i=1}^r \mathcal{K}$ is (the number of subsets in $\{1, \dots, r\}$, i.e.) 2^r , which implies that $2^m \geq 2^r$, hence $m \geq r$. Since $r > m$, we get a desired contradiction. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] B. Conrad, *Chow's K/k -image and K/k -trace, and the Lang-Néron theorem*. Enseign. Math. **52** (2006), 37–108.
- [2] P. Goodman, *Restrictions on endomorphism rings of jacobians and their minimal fields of definition*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., <https://doi.org/10.1090/tran/8347> ; Article electronically published on April 20, 2021.
- [3] R. Guralnick and K.S. Kedlaya, *Endomorphism fields of abelian varieties*. Research in Number Theory **3** (2017), Paper No. 22, 10.
- [4] M. Klemm, *Über die Reduktion von Permutationsmoduln*. Math. Z. **143** (1975), 113–117.

- [5] S. Lang, *Abelian varieties*, 2nd edition. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1983.
- [6] S. Lang, *Algebra*, Revised Third Edition. Springer Science, New York, 2002.
- [7] D. Masser, U. Zannier, *Abelian varieties isogenous to no Jacobians*. *Ann. Math.* **191** (2020), 635–674.
- [8] Sh. Mori, *The endomorphism rings of some abelian varieties*. II, *Japanese J. Math.* **3** (1977), 105–109.
- [9] B. Mortimer, The modular permutation representations of the known doubly transitive groups. *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3) **41** (1980), 1–20.
- [10] D. Mumford, *Abelian varieties*, Second edition, Oxford University Press, London, 1974.
- [11] H. Osada, *The Galois groups of the polynomials $X^n + aX^l + b$* . *J. Number Theory* **25** (1987), no. 2, 230–238.
- [12] G. Rémond, *Degré de définition des endomorphismes d'une variété abélienne*. *J. European Math. Soc.* **22** (2020), 3059–3099.
- [13] E.S. Selmer, *On the irreducibility of certain trinomials*. *Math. Scand.* **4** (1956), 287–302.
- [14] J.-P. Serre, *Complex multiplication*, Chapter XIII. In *Algebraic Number Theory* (J.W. Cassels, A. Fröhlich, eds.), 2nd edition. London Mathematical Society, London, 2010.
- [15] G. Shimura, *Abelian varieties with complex multiplication and modular functions*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1998.
- [16] A. Silverberg, *Fields of definitions for homomorphisms of abelian varieties*. *J. Pure Applied Algebra* **77** (1992), 253–262.
- [17] J.H. Silverman, *Advanced topics in the Arithmetic of Elliptic Curves*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1994.
- [18] J.T. Tate, *Endomorphisms of abelian varieties over finite fields*. *Invent Math.* **2** (1966), 134–144.
- [19] Yu. G. Zarhin, *Hyperelliptic jacobians without complex multiplication*. *Math. Res. Letters* **7** (2000), 123–132.
- [20] Yu. G. Zarhin, *Hyperelliptic jacobians and modular representations*. In: *Moduli of abelian varieties* (C. Faber, G. van der Geer, F. Oort, eds.), pp. 473–490, *Progress in Math.*, Vol. **195**, Birkhäuser, Basel–Boston–Berlin, 2001.
- [21] Yu. G. Zarhin, *Homomorphisms of hyperelliptic jacobians*. *Trudy Math. Inst. Steklova* **241** (2003), 79–92; *Proc. Steklov Institute of Mathematics* **241** (2003), 90–104.
- [22] Yu. G. Zarhin, *Non-isogenous superelliptic jacobians*. *Math. Z.* **253** (2006), 537–554.
- [23] Yu. G. Zarhin, *Superelliptic jacobians*, p. 363–390. In: *Diophantine Geometry proceedings* (U. Zannier, ed). Edizioni Della Normale, Pisa 2007.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, PENNSYLVANIA STATE UNIVERSITY, UNIVERSITY PARK, PA 16802, USA

Email address: zarhin@math.psu.edu