

HILBERT C^* -MODULES RELATED TO DISCRETE METRIC SPACES

V. MANUILOV

ABSTRACT. It is shown that the metric on the union of the sets X and Y defines a Hilbert C^* -module over the uniform Roe algebra of the space X with a fixed metric d_X . A number of examples of such Hilbert C^* -modules are described.

INTRODUCTION

Hilbert C^* -modules ([1]) are a natural generalization of Hilbert spaces, in which the “scalar” product takes values in some C^* -algebra instead of the field of complex numbers. Although many properties of Hilbert C^* -modules are similar to those of Hilbert spaces, there are several important differences, among which are the following: not every closed submodule is orthogonally complemented, and not every functional is defined as a “scalar” product by some element (Riesz theorem). If M is a (right) Hilbert C^* -module over the C^* -algebra A , then it is natural to call a bounded A -linear map of M into A an A -linear functional. The set of all such mappings constitutes the dual module M' , on which there is the structure of a right A -module, but, in general, there is no A -valued “scalar” product. Moreover, the bidual module M'' , dual to the module M' is a Hilbert C^* -module, and there is an isometric embedding $M \subset M'' \subset M'$ ([2], [3]).

The standard Hilbert C^* -module $l_2(A)$ is a (right) A -module of sequences $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, where $a_n \in A$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^* a_n$ converges in A (in the norm). In this case, the dual module $l_2(A)'$ consists of sequences $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, for which the partial sums $\sum_{n=1}^m a_n^* a_n$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, are uniformly bounded, but for the bidual module $l_2(A)''$ there is generally no good description (but the description of $l_2(A)''$ is known in the case when A is commutative [4]).

Another important difference between Hilbert C^* -modules and Hilbert spaces is that a finitely generated Hilbert C^* -module does not have to be free, and a countably generated Hilbert C^* -module does not have to be standard, for example, $C_0(0, 1)$ is not isomorphic to $l_2(C[0, 1])$ as modules over $C[0, 1]$. The purpose of this paper is to demonstrate the variety of Hilbert C^* -modules using the example of modules over uniform Roe algebras. Information about Hilbert C^* -modules can be found in [5], and about Roe algebras and underlying metric spaces in [6], [7].

1. HILBERT C^* -MODULES OVER UNIFORM ROE ALGEBRAS

We denote the algebra of bounded (respectively, compact) operators of the Hilbert space H by $\mathbb{B}(H)$ (respectively, $\mathbb{K}(H)$).

Let $X = (X, d_X)$ be a discrete countable metric space X with the metric d_X , $H_X = l^2(X)$ be the Hilbert space of square summable complex-valued functions on X with a standard orthonormal basis consisting of delta functions of points, δ_x , $x \in X$. A bounded operator T on H_X with the matrix $(T_{x,y})_{x,y \in X}$ with respect to the standard basis, i. e. $T_{x,y} = (\delta_x, T\delta_y)$, has a *propagation* not exceeding L if $d_X(x, y) \geq L$ implies that $T_{x,y} = 0$.

The research was supported by RSF, project No. 21-11-00080.

The $*$ -algebra of all bounded operators of finite propagation is denoted by $C_u[X]$, and its norm completion in $\mathbb{B}(H_X)$ is called the uniform Roe algebra $C_u^*(X)$.

For the set Y , let d be the metric on $X \sqcup Y$ coinciding on X with d_X , i. e. $d|_X = d_X$.

We denote by $M_{Y,d}$ the set of all bounded operators of finite propagation $T : H_X \rightarrow H_Y$, and by $M_{Y,d}$ its norm closure in the set $\mathbb{B}(H_X, H_Y)$ of all bounded operators from H_X to H_Y .

If the operators $T \in \mathbb{B}(H_X, H_Y)$ and $R \in \mathbb{B}(H_X)$ have a finite propagation with respect to the metrics d and d_X , respectively, then their composition TR obviously also has a finite propagation with respect to the metric d . It follows from the continuity of the composition that the action of the C^* -algebra $C_u^*(X)$ on $M_{Y,d}$ is well defined and provides the structure of a right $C_u^*(X)$ -module. Similarly, if $T, S \in \mathbb{B}(H_X, H_Y)$ are operators of finite propagation with respect to d , then S^*T has a finite propagation on $l^2(X)$ with respect to d_X , so one can define $\langle S, T \rangle = S^*T \in C_u^*(X)$ and extend it by continuity to a $C_u^*(X)$ -valued inner product on the module $M_{Y,d}$.

Lemma 1.1. *The module $M_{Y,d}$ is a Hilbert C^* -module over $C_u^*(X)$.*

Proof. Evidently, $\|T\|^2 = \|\langle T, T \rangle\|$. The remaining properties of Hilbert C^* -modules follow from associativity of operator multiplication. \square

Example 1.2. Let Y be a one-point space, $Y = \{y_0\}$. Any operator $T : H_X \rightarrow H_Y = \mathbb{C}$ is a functional on H_X and can be approximated by a functional with a finite number of nonzero coordinates; therefore, it is the limit of operators of finite propagation, i. e., M_{y_0} can be identified with functionals on H_X and, by the Riesz theorem, with H_X .

In [8] it was shown that the structure of a Hilbert C^* -module M extends to the dual module M' (making the latter a Hilbert C^* -module) if and only if the C^* -algebra A is monotone complete. Recall that monotone completeness of A means that any bounded increasing set $\{a_\alpha : \alpha \in I\}$ of self-adjoint elements of the C^* -algebra A has the least upper bound $a = \sup\{a_\alpha : \alpha \in I\}$ in A .

Theorem 1.3. *A metric space X is bounded if and only if the C^* -algebra $C_u^*(X)$ is monotone complete.*

Proof. If the space X is bounded, then any bounded operator $T : H_X \rightarrow H_X$ has a finite propagation, then $C_u^*(X) = \mathbb{B}(H_X)$ is a von Neumann algebra, hence is monotone complete.

Conversely, suppose that the space X is unbounded and that the algebra $C_u^*(X)$ is monotone complete. Find a sequence of pairs of different points $\{(x_n, y_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in X satisfying the condition $d_X(x_n, y_n) > n$. Suppose that the pairs of points $(x_1, y_1), \dots, (x_n, y_n)$ with the condition $d_X(x_i, y_i) > i$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, have already been found. If the estimate $d_X(x, y) \leq n + 1$ were fulfilled for any $x, y \neq x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n$, then the diameter of X would be finite. Hence, it is possible to find points $x_{n+1}, y_{n+1} \in X$ that do not coincide with any of the previous ones and satisfy the condition $d_X(x_{n+1}, y_{n+1}) \geq n + 1$. We find such pairs of points inductively for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Set

$$T_{x,y}^{(n)} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } (x, y) \in \{(x_i, y_i), (y_i, x_i) : i = 1, \dots, n\}; \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then the matrix $(T_{x,y}^{(n)})$ defines a bounded self-adjoint operator $T^{(n)}$ of finite rank, hence, a finite propagation, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $T \in C_u^*(X)$ be the least upper bound for the set $\{T^{(n)}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. Then $T_{x_n, y_n} \geq 1$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, which contradicts the fact that $T \in C_u^*(X)$. \square

Corollary 1.4. *A metric space X is bounded if and only if the structure of a Hilbert C^* -module extends from any Hilbert C^* -module M over $C_u^*(X)$ to its dual module M' .*

Recall that two metrics, d_1, d_2 on a space Z are *coarsely equivalent* [6] if there exists a monotonely increasing function φ on $[0, \infty)$ such that $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(t) = \infty$ and one has $d_1(z_1, z_2) \leq \varphi(d_2(z_1, z_2))$ and $d_2(z_1, z_2) \leq \varphi(d_1(z_1, z_2))$ for any $z_1, z_2 \in Z$.

Proposition 1.5. *Let d_1, d_2 are metrics on $X \sqcup Y$ with the same restriction on Y . They are coarsely equivalent if and only if $M_{Y, d_1} = M_{Y, d_2}$.*

Proof. If the metrics are roughly equivalent, then having a finite propagation with respect to one of them is equivalent to having a finite propagation with respect to the other.

Conversely, suppose the metrics are coarsely nonequivalent. Then there is a sequence of pairs of points (x_n, y_n) , $x_n \in X$, $y_n \in Y$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, such that for one metric the values $d_1(x_n, y_n)$ are uniformly bounded by some constant $C > 0$, while the other metric satisfies the estimate $d_2(x_n, y_n) \geq n$. We claim that each point x_k can occur in the sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ only a finite number of times. Indeed, if $x_k = x_{n_1} = x_{n_2} = \dots$ then

$$d_1(y_{n_i}, y_{n_1}) \leq d_1(x_k, y_{n_i}) + d_1(x_k, y_{n_1}) \leq 2C$$

for any $i \in \mathbb{N}$, while

$$d_2(y_{n_i}, y_{n_1}) \geq d_2(x_k, y_{n_i}) - d_2(x_k, y_{n_1}) \geq n_i - n_1,$$

i. e. is not bounded, but the metrics d_1, d_2 are equal on Y , and this contradiction shows that the point x_k can be repeated in the sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ only a finite number of times. Passing to a subsequence, we may assume that the sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ does not contain repeating points at all. The same may be assumed for the sequence $\{y_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$.

Set

$$T_{x,y} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } (x, y) \in \{(x_n, y_n) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}; \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then the matrix $(T_{x,y})$ defines a bounded operator T from H_X to H_Y . It has a finite propagation with respect to the metric d_1 , i. e. $T \in M_{Y, d_1}$. If $M_{Y, d_1} = M_{Y, d_2}$ then the operator T should be the limit of finite propagation operators with respect to the metric d_2 , but this is not the case. \square

The following statements are obvious.

Proposition 1.6. *If $d_1(x, y) \leq d_2(x, y)$ for any $x, y \in X \sqcup Y$ then $M_{Y, d_2} \subset M_{Y, d_1}$.*

Proposition 1.7. *If $Y = Y_1 \sqcup Y_2$ then $M_Y = M_{Y_1} \oplus M_{Y_2}$.*

2. THE CASE $Y = X$

Let $Y = X$. To avoid ambiguity, we will denote the first copy of X by X_0 and the second copy by X_1 . Accordingly, the point $x \in X$ will be denoted by $x_0 \in X_0$ if it lies in the first copy of X , and $x_1 \in X_1$ if it lies in the second copy. We will also identify $\mathbb{B}(H_{X_0}, H_{X_1})$ with the algebra $\mathbb{B}(H_X)$.

The set $S(X)$ of coarse equivalence classes of metrics on $X_0 \sqcup X_1$ has the natural structure of an inverse semigroup [9], where the composition of metrics is given by the formula

$$d_1 d_2(x_0, z_1) = \inf_{y \in X} [d_2(x_0, y_1) + d_1(y_0, z_1)],$$

the adjoint (pseudoinverse) metric is given by the formula $d^*(x_0, y_1) = d(y_0, x_1)$, the unit element is given by the metric $d(x_0, y_1) = d_X(x, y) + 1$ and the zero element is given by

the metric $d(x_0, y_1) = d_X(x, u) + d_X(y, u) + 1$ with a fixed point $u \in X$ (recall that for a metric on $X_0 \sqcup X_1$ it suffices to define distances between points lying in different copies of the space X).

It is clear that if the metrics d_1 and d_2 are coarsely equivalent then $M_{X,d_1} = M_{X,d_2}$. Thus, we have the Hilbert C^* -module $M_{X,d}$ for each coarse equivalence class $s = [d] \in S(X)$. The collection of these Hilbert C^* -modules forms a *Fell bundle* in the sense of Definition 2.1 from [10] (the mapping $M_{X,d_1} \otimes M_{X,d_2} \rightarrow M_{X,d_1 d_2}$ is given by composition, see [11]).

Example 2.1. Let $A \subset X$. Define the metric d^A on $X_0 \sqcup X_1$ by

$$\begin{aligned} d^A(x_i, y_i) &= d_X(x, y), \quad i = 0, 1; \\ d^A(x_0, y_1) &= \inf_{z \in A} [d_X(x, z) + d_X(y, z) + 1] \end{aligned}$$

for any $x, y \in X$.

Denote the k -neighborhood of A by $N_k(A)$, i. e.

$$N_k(A) = \{x \in X : d_X(x, A) \leq k\}.$$

For $B \subset X$, denote by $H_B = l_2(B) \subset l_2(X) = H_X$ the closed subspace in $l_2(X)$ generated by the functions $\delta_x, x \in B$. To simplify notation, we will identify an operator $T \in \mathbb{B}(H_B)$ with the operator in $\mathbb{B}(H_X)$ equal to T on H_B and equal to 0 on H_B^\perp .

Proposition 2.2. *The module M_{X,d^A} is canonically isomorphic to the norm closure of the set $\bigcup_{k=1}^\infty C_u^*(N_k(A))$.*

Proof. Let $T \in C_u^*(N_k(A))$ be an operator of propagation not exceeding L . If $T_{x_0, y_1} \neq 0$ then $d_X(x, y) \leq L$ and $x, y \in N_k(A)$, hence there exists a point $u \in A$ such that $d_X(x, u) \leq k + 1$. Then, taking $z = u$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} d^A(x_0, y_1) &= \inf_{z \in A} [d_X(x, z) + d_X(z, y) + 1] \leq d_X(x, u) + d_X(u, y) + 1 \leq \\ &\leq k + 1 + d_X(u, x) + d_X(x, y) + 1 \leq L + 2k + 3, \end{aligned}$$

i. e. T is of finite propagation, $T \in M_{X,d^A}$.

Let now $S \in M_{X_1, d^A}$ be an operator of propagation not exceeding L . If $S_{x_0, y_1} \neq 0$ then

$$d^A(x_0, y_1) = \inf_{z \in A} [d_X(x, z) + d_X(z, y) + 1] \leq L.$$

The triangle inequality implies that

$$d_X(x, y) \leq d_X(x, z) + d_X(z, y) \tag{2.1}$$

for any $z \in X$, hence, passing in (2.1) to the infimum with respect to $z \in X$, we get

$$d_X(x, y) \leq d^A(x_0, y_1) - 1 \leq L - 1.$$

As a metric is always non-negative, we have

$$d_X(x, A) = \inf_{z \in A} d_X(x, z) \leq \inf_{z \in A} [d_X(x, z) + d_X(z, y)] = d^A(x_0, y_1) - 1 \leq L - 1.$$

Similarly, we obtain that $d_X(y, A) \leq L$. Thus, $S_{x_0, y_1} \neq 0$ implies that $x, y \in N_L(A)$ and $d_X(x, y) \leq L - 1$, i. e. $S \in C_u^*(N_L(A))$. \square

Lemma 2.3. *Let $A \subset X$. If $X \setminus N_k(A)$ is not empty for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ then the submodule M_{X,d^A} in the module $C_u^*(X)$ is not orthogonally complemented.*

Proof. Let $B \subset X$, P_B a projection onto $l_2(B)$ in $l_2(X)$. Evidently, $P_{N_k(A)} \in M_{X,d^A}$ for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$. If $S \in C_u^*(X)$ is orthogonal to M_{X,d^A} then $P_{N_k(A)}S = 0$ for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, but, as $\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} N_k(A) = X$, the sequence $P_{N_k(A)}$ of projections is convergent to $1 = P_X$ with respect to the strong topology, whence $S = 0$.

By assumption, $M_{X,d^A} \neq C_u^*(X)$. Indeed, if $1 \in C_u^*(X)$ would belong to M_{X,d^A} then there would exist a sequence $T^{(k)} \in C_u^*(N_k(A))$ such that $T^{(k)}$ would converge to the unit with respect to the norm topology. But if $x \notin N_k(A)$ then $T^{(k)}\delta_x = 0$, so the convergence may take place only with respect to the strong topology, but not in norm. \square

Two extreme examples are the cases when $A = X$ and when A consists of a single point. In the first case $M_{X,d^X} = C_u^*(X)$, and the second case is given by the following statement.

Proposition 2.4. *Let $x_0 \in X$. If X is proper, i. e. if each ball contains only a finite number of points, then $M_{X,d^{\{x_0\}}} = \mathbb{K}(H_X)$.*

Proof. Properness of X means that the operator $T \in M_{Y,d^{\{x_0\}}}$ is of finite propagation if and only if it is of finite rank. Passing to the closure, we obtain the required statement. \square

3. CASE $Y = X \times \mathbb{N}$

Consider the case $Y = X \times \mathbb{N}$. Let us introduce the notation $\overline{\mathbb{N}} = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. For convenience, we write X_n instead of $X \times \{n\} \subset Y$, and $X_0 = X$. For the point $x \in X$, we denote the point $(x, n) \in X \times \mathbb{N}$, $n \in \overline{\mathbb{N}}$, by $x_n \in X_n$.

In this case $H_{X \times \mathbb{N}} = \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} H_{X_n}$. By $Q_n : H_{X \times \mathbb{N}} \rightarrow H_{X_n}$ we denote the projection onto the n -th direct summand. For $T : H_X \rightarrow H_{X \times \mathbb{N}}$, put $T_n = Q_n T : H_X \rightarrow H_{X_n}$. In what follows, we identify T with the sequence $(T_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$.

Consider first the metric d_1 on $X \sqcup X \times \mathbb{N} = X \times \overline{\mathbb{N}}$ given by the formula $d_1(x_n, y_m) = d_X(x, y) + |nm|$ for any $x, y \in X$, $n, m \in \overline{\mathbb{N}}$, i. e. coinciding on the factors X and $\overline{\mathbb{N}}$ with the metric d_X and with the standard metric on $\overline{\mathbb{N}}$, respectively.

Proposition 3.1. *The module $M_{X \times \mathbb{N}, d_1}$ coincides with the standard Hilbert C^* -module $l_2(C_u^*(X))$.*

Proof. Let the operator $T = (T_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ have a propagation not exceeding L . Then $T_n = 0$ for any $n > L$, therefore the sequence (T_n) consists of zeroes for $n > L$. On the other hand, any sequence $(T_1, T_2, \dots, T_n, 0, 0, \dots)$, where $T_i \in C_u^*(X)$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, lies in $M_{X \times \mathbb{N}, d_1}$. Thus, $M_{X \times \mathbb{N}, d_1}$ is the completion of the set of finitely supported sequences with elements from $C_u^*(X)$, and therefore coincides with $l_2(C_u^*(X))$. \square

As a second example, let us consider the metric d_0 on $X \sqcup X \times \mathbb{N}$ determined by the formulas $d_0(x_n, y_m) = d_X(x, y) + 1$ for $m \neq n$ and $d_0(x_n, y_n) = d_X(x, y)$ for any $x, y \in X$.

Theorem 3.2. *If the space X is bounded then the module $M_{X \times \mathbb{N}, d_0}$ coincides with $l_2(\mathbb{B}(H))' = l_2(C_u^*(X))'$. If the space X is unbounded then there exists an element $T \in M_{X \times \mathbb{N}, d_0}$ such that $T \notin l_2(C_u^*(X))''$.*

Proof. The first statement is obvious. Suppose that X is unbounded, then there is a sequence of pairs of distinct points $(x^k, y^k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that $d_X(x^k, y^k) > k$. Put $(T_n)_{x^k, y_n^k} = (T_n)_{y^k, x_n^k} = 1$, and all other matrix elements of the matrix of T_n are equal to zero; $(S_n)_{x^k, x_n^k} = (S_n)_{y^k, y_n^k} = 1$, and all other matrix elements of the matrix of S_n are equal to zero. Let $T = (T_1, T_2, \dots)$, $S = (S_1, S_2, \dots)$. Note that $T_n S_n = S_n$ for any

$n \in \mathbb{N}$, and that the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} T_n$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} S_n$ are convergent with respect to the strong operator topology in $\mathbb{B}(H_X)$.

The sequence $(T_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ defines an element of the dual module $l_2(C_u^*(X))'$. Indeed, each operator T_n has propagation $d_X(x^n, y^n)$, therefore, lies in $C_u^*(X)$, and the partial sums $\sum_{i=1}^N T_n^* T_n$ are uniformly bounded in N . However, this sequence does not lie in $M_{X \times \mathbb{N}, d_0}$ because the strong limit $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} T_n^* T_n$ does not lie in $C_u^*(X)$.

The sequence $(S_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ lies in $M_{X \times \mathbb{N}, d_0}$. Indeed, the propagation of each S_n , $n \in \mathbb{N}$, is equal to one, which means that the propagation of S is equal to one. Suppose that S lies in the second dual module $l_2(C_u^*(X))''$. There is a natural action of the first dual module on the second dual. Let $T(S) \in C_u^*(X)$ be the result of this action of T on S . This action extends the standard inner product $\langle T, S \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} T_i^* S_i$ when $T, S \in l_2(C_u^*(X))$, but in general the value of $T(S)$ is not related to the series $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} T_i^* S_i$, even if this series converges in some topology. Let $L_N \cong C_u^*(X)^N \subset l_2(C_u^*(X))$ be a free submodule of finite sequences of length N . Then $l_2(C_u^*(X)) = L_N \oplus L_N^{\perp}$, and similar decompositions into direct sums hold for the first and second dual modules of the module $l_2(C_u^*(X))$. Let $T = T_N + T'_N$, $S = S_N + S'_N$ be the corresponding decompositions of T and S , respectively. Let $K_M \subset H_X$ be the $2M$ -dimensional linear subspace generated by functions δ_{x_n} and δ_{y_n} , $n \leq M$. Let us fix $M \in \mathbb{N}$. Since the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} S_n^* S_n$ is convergent with respect to the strong topology, for any $\varepsilon > 0$ one can find $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\left| \left(\xi, \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} S_n^* S_n \eta \right) \right| < \varepsilon$$

for any unit vectors $\xi, \eta \in K_M$. Let P_M be the projection in H_X onto K_M . Evidently, $P_M \in C_u^*(X)$. Then

$$\|S'_N P_M\|^2 = \sup_{\xi, \eta \in K_M, \|\xi\| = \|\eta\| = 1} \left| \left(\xi, \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} P_M S_n^* S_n P_M \eta \right) \right| \leq \varepsilon,$$

hence

$$|(\xi, S'_N(T)\eta)| = |(\xi, P_M S'_N(T)\eta)| \leq \|S'_N P_M\| \|T\| \leq \varepsilon \|T\| = \varepsilon.$$

Let $\xi = \delta_{x_n}$, $\eta = \delta_{y_n}$, $M \geq n$. Then

$$(\delta_{x_n}, S_N(T)\delta_{y_n}) = \left(\sum_{n=1}^N S_n^* T_n \right)_{x_n, y_n} = T_{x_n, y_n} = 1$$

and

$$(\delta_{x_n}, S(T)\delta_{y_n}) = (\delta_{x_n}, S_N(T) + S'_N(T)\delta_{y_n}),$$

therefore, $|(\delta_{x_n}, S(T)\delta_{y_n}) - 1| \leq \varepsilon$. Thus, if $\varepsilon < \frac{1}{2}$ then $|(S(T))_{x_n, y_n}| \geq \frac{1}{2}$. As n was arbitrary, we have $S(T) \notin C_u^*(X)$, which gives a contradiction. \square

We don't know if it is true that $l_2(C_u^*(X))'' \subset M_{X \times \mathbb{N}, d_0}$.

4. CASE $X = \mathbb{N}^2$

One of the simplest unbounded spaces is the space $X = \mathbb{N}^2 = \{k^2 : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ with the standard metric. In particular, its asymptotic dimension [12] is zero. Here we consider some examples of Hilbert C^* -modules over the uniform Roe algebra of this space. This algebra has a simple description: it is the sum (but not a direct sum) of two $*$ -subalgebras: the subalgebra of compact operators and the subalgebra of diagonal operators, $C_u^*(X) = \mathbb{K}(H_X) + \mathbb{D}(H_X)$. Denote by $l_1(\overline{\mathbb{N}})$ the Banach space of absolutely summable sequences (t_0, t_1, t_2, \dots) , $t_i \in \mathbb{R}$, $i \in \overline{\mathbb{N}}$, with the standard l_1 -metric.

For convenience, we shall denote the point $k^2 \in X$ by x^k . Let

$$x^k = x_0^k = (k^2, 0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, 0, \dots), \quad X = X_0 = \{x_0^k : k \in \mathbb{N}\},$$

and let $X_n = \{x_n^k : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be the n -th copy of the space X . Consider several examples of metrics induced by various embeddings $Y = \bigsqcup_{n=1}^{\infty} X_n \subset l_1(\overline{\mathbb{N}})$.

Example 4.1. Let $x_n^k = (k^2, 0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, 0, \dots)$ for $k \geq n$, where 1 is the n -th coordinate. For $k < n$, we place the points x_n^k on the ray

$$t_0 = \dots = t_{n-1} = t_{n+1} = t_{n+2} = \dots = 0, \quad t_n \geq 0,$$

in such a way that the distance between them coincide with the metric d_X , i. e. $d(x_n^k, x_n^l) = d_X(x^k, x^l)$, $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$, where d is the metric on $\bigsqcup_{n=0}^{\infty} X_n$ induced by the metric on $l_1(\overline{\mathbb{N}})$. Then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_0^k, x_n^k) = \infty$, and $d(x_0^k, x_n^k) = 1$ for $k \geq n$.

Denote by $l_2(\mathbb{D}(H_X))'_0 \subset l_2(\mathbb{D}(H_X))'$ the submodule consisting of sequences $(D_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$,

$$D_n = \text{diag}(d_n^1, d_n^2, \dots) \in \mathbb{D}(H_X), \quad d_n^i \in \mathbb{C}, \quad i, n \in \mathbb{N},$$

such that $d_n^i = 0$ for $i < n$.

Proposition 4.2. $M_{X \times \mathbb{N}, d} = l_2(\mathbb{K}(H_X)) + l_2(\mathbb{D}(H_X))'_0$.

Proof. If $T = (T_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in l_2(\mathbb{K}(H_X))$ then for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist $K_1, \dots, K_n \in \mathbb{K}(H_X)$ such that $\|T - K\| < \varepsilon$, where $K = (K_1, \dots, K_n, 0, 0, \dots)$. As the propagation of the operator K is finite, we have $K \in M_{Y, d}$. If $T = (T_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in l_2(\mathbb{D}(H_X))'_0$ then the propagation of T equals one, hence, $T \in M_{X \times \mathbb{N}, d}$.

Let the propagation of $T \in M_{Y, d}$ does not exceed L . It follows from $T_{x_0^k, x_n^l} \neq 0$ that $d(x_0^k, x_n^l) \leq L$, hence, if $n \geq L$ then $k = l \geq n$. Denote by P_L the projection onto the linear span of the functions $\delta_{x_1}, \dots, \delta_{x_L}$. Let D_n be the diagonal part of T_n , i. e. the operator given by $D_n \delta_x = (T_n)_{x_0, x_n} \delta_{x_n}$, $x \in X$, and let $K_n = P_L(T_n - D_n)P_L$. Then the rank of K_n does not exceed L for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $K_n = 0$ for $n \geq L$. Set

$$K = (K_1, K_2, \dots), \quad D = (D_1, D_2, \dots), \quad T = K + D.$$

Let now $\{T^{(L)}\}_{L \in \mathbb{N}}$ be norm convergent to T , and let the propagation of $T^{(L)}$ does not exceed L . Then the diagonal parts $D^{(L)}$ of the operators $T^{(L)}$ are norm convergent to the diagonal part D of the operator T , and, therefore, the same holds for their compact parts: $K^{(L)} \rightarrow K$ as $L \rightarrow \infty$. Since $K_n^{(L)} = 0$ for $n > L$, the norm closure of the finite support sequence lies in $l_2(\mathbb{K}(H_X))$.

Let us show that the partial sums $\|\sum_{n=1}^N D_n^* D_n\|$ are uniformly bounded in N . If this would be false then for any $m > 0$ there would exist N_m such that $\|\sum_{n=1}^{N_m} D_n^* D_n\| > m$. As $D^{(L)}$ is norm convergent to D , there exists $L_0 > 0$ such that $\|D^{(L)}\| \leq \|D\| + 1$ for any $L \geq L_0$. But

$$\left\| \sum_{n=1}^{N_m} (D_n^{(L)})^* D_n^{(L)} \right\| \leq \|D^{(L)}\|^2 \leq (\|D\| + 1)^2.$$

Taking here $m > (\|D\| + 1)^2$, we obtain a contradiction. Thus, $D \in l_2(\mathbb{D}(H_X))'$. It is easy to see that D lies in the submodule $l_2(\mathbb{D}(H_X))'_0$. \square

Example 4.3. Let

$$x_n^k = \begin{cases} (k^2, 0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, 0, \dots) & \text{for } k < n; \\ (k^2 - n, 0, \dots, 0, n + 1, 0, 0, \dots) & \text{for } k \geq n, \end{cases}$$

where the non-zero entries are at the 0-th and n -th place. Let ρ be the metric on $\bigsqcup_{n=0}^{\infty} X_n$ induced by the metric on $l_1(\overline{\mathbb{N}})$. Then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_0^k, x_n^k) = \infty$, and $d(x_0^k, x_n^k) = 1$ for $k \geq n$.

Let $l_2(\mathbb{D}(H_X))'_1 \subset l_2(\mathbb{D}(H_X))'$ be a submodule consisting of sequences $(D_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$,

$$D_n = \text{diag}(d_n^1, d_n^2, \dots) \in \mathbb{D}(H_X), \quad d_n^i \in \mathbb{C}, \quad i, n \in \mathbb{N},$$

such that $d_n^i = 0$ for $i > n$.

Proposition 4.4. $M_{X \times \mathbb{N}, \rho} = l_2(\mathbb{K}(H_X)) + l_2(\mathbb{D}(H_X))'_1$.

Proof is similar to that of Proposition 4.2. □

Let a mapping $\varphi : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ satisfy the following conditions:

- 1) φ takes each value infinitely many times,
- 2) $\varphi(k) \leq k$ for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Example 4.5. Set

$$x_n^k = (k^2 - \varphi(k), 0, \dots, 0, \varphi(k), 0, 0, \dots),$$

where $\varphi(k)$ is the $(n+1)$ -th coordinate. The metric on $X_0 = \{x_0^k : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ coincides with the standard metric on $X = \mathbb{N}^2$. Let b be the metric on $\bigsqcup_{n=0}^{\infty} X_n$ induced by the metric on $l_1(\overline{\mathbb{N}})$. Let $\{k_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence such that $\varphi(k_i) = 1$ for any $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $b(x_0^{k_i}, x_n^{k_i}) = 2$ for any $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Put

$$(T_n)_{x_0^k, x_n^l} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } k = l = k_n; \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then $T = (T_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in M_{X \times \mathbb{N}, b}$.

REFERENCES

- [1] Paschke W.L. *Inner product modules over B^* -algebras*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **182**, 443–468 (1972).
- [2] Frank M. *Self-duality and C^* -reflexivity of Hilbert C^* -modules*. Zeitschr. Anal. Anw. **9**, 165–176 (1990).
- [3] Paschke W.L. *The double B -dual of an inner product module over a C^* -algebra B* . Canad. J. Math. **26**, 1272–1280 (1974).
- [4] Frank M., Manuilov V.M., Troitsky E.V. *A reflexivity criterion for Hilbert C^* -modules over commutative C^* -algebras*. New York J. Math. **16**, 399–408 (2010).
- [5] Manuilov V., Troitsky E. *Hilbert C^* - and W^* -modules and their morphisms*. J. Math. Sci. **98** (2), 137–201 (2000).
- [6] Nowak P.W., Guoliang Yu. *Large scale geometry*. EMS Textbooks in Math. European Math. Soc., Zürich (2012).
- [7] Roe J. *Lectures on coarse geometry*. Univ. Lect. Ser. **31**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence (2003).
- [8] Frank M. *Hilbert C^* -modules over monotone complete C^* -algebras*. Math. Nachr. **175**, 61–83 (1995).
- [9] Manuilov V. *Metrics on doubles as an inverse semigroup*. J. Geom. Anal., 31 (2021).
- [10] Exel R. *Noncommutative Cartan subalgebras of C^* -algebras*. New York, J. Math. **17**, 331–382 (2011).
- [11] Manuilov V. *Roe bimodules as morphisms of discrete metric spaces*. Russian J. Math. Phys. **26**, 470–478 (2019).
- [12] Gromov M. *Asymptotic Invariants of Infinite Groups*. Geometric Group Theory, Vol. 2. London Math. Soc. Lect. Note Ser. **182**, CUP, Cambridge (1993).

MOSCOW CENTER FOR FUNDAMENTAL AND APPLIED MATHEMATICS and MOSCOW STATE UNIVERSITY, LENINSKIE GORY 1, MOSCOW, 119991, RUSSIA

Email address: manuilov@mech.math.msu.su