

# COHOMOLOGY OF THE MODULI OF HIGGS BUNDLES VIA POSITIVE CHARACTERISTIC

MARK ANDREA DE CATALDO, DAVESH MAULIK, JUNLIANG SHEN, AND SIQING ZHANG

ABSTRACT. For any two degrees coprime to the rank, we construct a family of ring isomorphisms parameterized by  $\mathrm{GSp}(2g)$  between the cohomology of the moduli spaces of stable Higgs bundles which preserve the perverse filtrations. As consequences, we prove two structural results concerning the cohomology of Higgs moduli which are predicted by the P=W conjecture in non-abelian Hodge theory: (1) Galois conjugation for character varieties preserves the perverse filtrations for the corresponding Higgs moduli spaces. (2) The restriction of the Hodge–Tate decomposition for a character variety to each piece of the perverse filtration for the corresponding Higgs moduli space gives also a decomposition.

Our proof uses reduction to positive characteristic and relies on the non-abelian Hodge correspondence in characteristic  $p$  between Dolbeault and de Rham moduli spaces.

## CONTENTS

0.	Introduction	1
1.	Tautological classes	5
2.	Similitude groups	8
3.	Reduction to positive characteristic	10
4.	Calculations	15
	References	19

## 0. INTRODUCTION

We work over the complex numbers  $\mathbb{C}$  unless stated otherwise.

**0.1. Cohomology of the moduli of Higgs bundles.** Let  $C$  be a nonsingular irreducible projective curve of genus  $g \geq 2$ . For two coprime integers  $n$  and  $d$ , we denote by  $M_{\mathrm{Dol}}(n, d)$  the moduli of (slope-)stable Higgs bundles of rank  $n$  and degree  $d$ :

$$(\mathcal{E}, \theta) : \mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{\theta} \mathcal{E} \otimes \Omega_C, \quad \mathrm{rank}(\mathcal{E}) = n, \quad \mathrm{deg}(\mathcal{E}) = d.$$

It is a nonsingular quasi-projective variety which admits a Lagrangian fibration

$$h : M_{\mathrm{Dol}}(n, d) \rightarrow A := \bigoplus_{i=1}^n H^0(C, \Omega_C^{\otimes i}), \quad (\mathcal{E}, \theta) \mapsto \mathrm{char}(\theta) \in A$$

---

*Date:* May 10, 2021.

known as the Hitchin fibration [18, 19]. Here  $\text{char}(\theta)$  stands for (the coefficients of) the characteristic polynomial of the Higgs field  $\theta$ , *i.e.*,

$$\text{char}(\theta) = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n), \quad a_i := \text{trace}(\wedge^i \theta) \in H^0(C, \Omega_C^{\otimes i}).$$

The singular cohomology of  $M_{\text{Dol}}(n, d)$  carries an increasing filtration

$$P_0 H^*(M_{\text{Dol}}(n, d), \mathbb{C}) \subset P_1 H^*(M_{\text{Dol}}(n, d), \mathbb{C}) \subset \dots \subset H^*(M_{\text{Dol}}(n, d), \mathbb{C})$$

called *the perverse filtration* which is governed by the topology of the Hitchin fibration [5].

In 2010, de Cataldo, Hausel, and Migliorini [5] discovered a striking phenomenon, namely that the topology of the Hitchin fibration (particularly the perverse filtration) interacts surprisingly with the Hodge theory of the corresponding character variety via *non-abelian Hodge theory*. This is now referred to as *the P=W conjecture*.

More precisely, we consider the character variety  $M_{\text{B}}(n, d)$  of rank  $n$  and degree  $d$ :

$$M_{\text{B}}(n, d) := \left\{ a_k, b_k \in \text{GL}_n, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, g : \prod_{j=1}^g [a_j, b_j] = \zeta_n^d \cdot \text{Id}_n \right\} // \text{GL}_n, \quad \zeta_n := e^{\frac{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}{n}},$$

obtained as an affine GIT quotient with respect to the action by conjugation. Non-abelian Hodge theory [24] (see [15] for the twisted case) then induces a diffeomorphism between the moduli spaces  $M_{\text{Dol}}(n, d)$  and  $M_{\text{B}}(n, d)$ <sup>1</sup>, which identifies their cohomology rings:

$$(1) \quad H^*(M_{\text{Dol}}(n, d), \mathbb{C}) = H^*(M_{\text{B}}(n, d), \mathbb{C}).$$

The P=W conjecture refines (1) incorporating the perverse filtration for  $M_{\text{Dol}}(n, d)$  and the mixed Hodge structure for  $M_{\text{B}}(n, d)$ ; it predicts that

$$(2) \quad P_k H^*(M_{\text{Dol}}(n, d), \mathbb{C}) = W_{2k} H^*(M_{\text{B}}(n, d), \mathbb{C}) = W_{2k+1} H^*(M_{\text{B}}(n, d), \mathbb{C}), \quad \forall k$$

with  $W_{\bullet}$  the weight filtration.

The purpose of this paper is to explore new structures for the cohomology of the moduli space of Higgs bundles in view of the P=W conjecture, using tools in positive characteristic.

**0.2. Symmetries.** We recall the following 3 types of symmetries for  $H^*(M_{\text{Dol}}(n, d), \mathbb{C})$ . Let  $V := H^1(C, \mathbb{Z})$  be the lattice with the intersection pairing, and let  $V_{\mathbb{K}}$  be  $V \otimes \mathbb{K}$  for  $\mathbb{K}$  a field.

**0.2.1. Monodromy.** We fix  $n$  and  $d$ . By varying the curve  $C$ , we obtain that  $H^*(M_{\text{Dol}}(n, d), \mathbb{C})$  admits naturally an action of the monodromy group  $\text{Sp}(V)$  of genus  $g$  curves [1]. Furthermore, since the perverse filtration for the Hitchin fibration is locally constant [6], each piece of the perverse filtration  $P_k H^i(\mathcal{M}_{\text{Dol}}(n, d))$  is an  $\text{Sp}(V)$ -module.

---

<sup>1</sup>They are also called the Dolbeault and the Betti moduli spaces

0.2.2. *Galois.* We fix  $n$ , and consider two integers  $d, d'$  coprime to  $n$ . The Betti moduli spaces  $M_{\mathbb{B}}(n, d)$  and  $M_{\mathbb{B}}(n, d')$  are Galois conjugate via an automorphism of  $\mathbb{Q}[\zeta]$  sending  $\zeta^d$  to  $\zeta^{d'}$  [16, Section 4]. The Galois conjugation induces an isomorphism preserving the weight filtrations

$$\text{Gal} : H^*(M_{\mathbb{B}}(n, d), \mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^*(M_{\mathbb{B}}(n, d'), \mathbb{C}), \quad W_k \mapsto W_k,$$

which, passing through non-abelian Hodge (1), induces a ring isomorphism of the Dolbeault moduli spaces

$$\widetilde{\text{Gal}} : H^*(M_{\text{Dol}}(n, d), \mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^*(M_{\text{Dol}}(n, d'), \mathbb{C}).$$

The P=W conjecture (2) then predicts that  $\widetilde{\text{Gal}}$  preserves the perverse filtrations:

$$(3) \quad \widetilde{\text{Gal}} \left( P_k H^i(M_{\text{Dol}}(n, d), \mathbb{C}) \right) = P_k H^i(M_{\text{Dol}}(n, d'), \mathbb{C}), \quad \forall k, i.$$

0.2.3. *Weights.* The Hodge structure for  $M_{\mathbb{B}}(n, d)$  was shown to be of Hodge–Tate type [23], and we have a canonical decomposition

$$(4) \quad H^*(M_{\mathbb{B}}(n, d), \mathbb{C}) = \bigoplus_{i,k} \text{Hdg}_k^i, \quad \text{Hdg}_k^i := W_{2k} \cap F^k \left( H^i(M_{\mathbb{B}}(n, d), \mathbb{C}) \right).$$

The decomposition (4) can be characterized completely by a  $\mathbb{G}_m$ -action on the cohomology  $H^*(M_{\mathbb{B}}(n, d), \mathbb{C})$  so that  $\oplus_i \text{Hdg}_k^i$  is the  $k$ -weight space:

$$(5) \quad \lambda \cdot \alpha = \lambda^k \alpha, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{G}_m, \quad \alpha \in \text{Hdg}_k^i.$$

Note that (4) gives strong constraints for the cohomology of  $M_{\mathbb{B}}(n, d)$ . For example, as we discuss in the next section, the cohomology is generated by tautological classes which, by work of Shende, are homogeneous with respect to the  $\mathbb{G}_m$ -weights given by (5). Therefore, (4) forces the ideal of the relations between the tautological classes to be homogeneous with respect to the  $\mathbb{G}_m$ -weights given by (5). This is not obvious on the Dolbeault side.

0.3. **Tautological classes.** We construct operators between the cohomology of the moduli spaces  $M_{\text{Dol}}(n, d)$  of Higgs bundles with different degrees  $d$ , merging the three types of symmetries above. To describe these operators precisely, we recall the tautological classes [23, 8].

Let  $(\mathcal{U}, \theta)$  be a universal family over  $C \times M_{\text{Dol}}(n, d)$ . A natural way to construct cohomology classes on  $M_{\text{Dol}}(n, d)$  is to integrate the  $k$ -th components  $\text{ch}_k(\mathcal{U})$  over a class  $\gamma$  on  $C$ , so that the resulting class is determined by  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\gamma \in H^*(C, \mathbb{C})$ . While the choice of a universal family is not unique, we may normalize the universal family to get the tautological classes

$$(6) \quad c(\gamma, k) \in H^*(M_{\text{Dol}}(n, d), \mathbb{C}), \quad \gamma \in H^*(C, \mathbb{C}), \quad k \in \mathbb{N}$$

which are canonically defined; see [8, Section 0.3] and Section 1.1.

Markman [21] proved that the tautological classes (6) generate  $H^*(M_{\text{Dol}}(n, d), \mathbb{C})$  as a  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra. Shende further calculated the location of  $c(\gamma, k)$  in (4) via (1):

$$c(\gamma, k) \in \text{Hdg}_k^*.$$

Since the decomposition (4) is multiplicative by general mixed Hodge theory, we obtain a complete description of the weights of arbitrary products of tautological classes. In particular, the vector space  $\text{Hdg}_k^*$  is spanned by the classes

$$\cup_{i=1}^r c(\gamma_i, k_i), \quad \sum_i k_i = k.$$

**0.4. Main results.** We consider the similitude group

$$(7) \quad \text{GSp}(V_{\mathbb{C}}) = \left\{ A \in \text{GL}_{2g}(V_{\mathbb{C}}) \mid \langle Aw_1, Aw_2 \rangle = \lambda_A \langle w_1, w_2 \rangle \ \forall w_1, w_2 \in V_{\mathbb{C}} \right\} \subset \text{GL}_{2g}(V_{\mathbb{C}}).$$

Here  $\lambda_A$  is a uniquely determined non-zero constant depending only on  $A$ , and  $\langle -, - \rangle$  is the intersection pairing on  $H^1(C, \mathbb{C})$ . The symplectic group  $\text{Sp}(V_{\mathbb{C}}) \subset \text{GSp}(V_{\mathbb{C}})$  is characterized by  $\lambda_A = 1$ . The natural action of  $\text{GSp}(V_{\mathbb{C}})$  on  $V$  admits an extension to the total cohomology of the curve

$$H^*(C, \mathbb{C}) = H^0(C, \mathbb{C}) \oplus V_{\mathbb{C}} \oplus H^2(C, \mathbb{C})$$

which acts as id on  $H^2(C, \mathbb{C})$  and as multiplication by  $\lambda_A$  on  $H^0(C, \mathbb{C})$ . For  $A \in \text{GSp}(V_{\mathbb{C}})$  and  $\gamma \in H^*(C, \mathbb{C})$ , we use  $A\gamma$  to denote the class given by the action of  $A$  on  $\gamma$ .

**Theorem 0.1.** *Let  $d$  and  $d'$  be two integers coprime to  $n$ . For any  $A \in \text{GSp}(V_{\mathbb{C}})$ , there is a  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra isomorphism*

$$G_A : H^*(M_{\text{Dol}}(n, d), \mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^*(M_{\text{Dol}}(n, d'), \mathbb{C}), \quad G_A(c(\gamma, k)) = c(A\gamma, k)$$

*preserving the perverse filtrations*

$$G_A \left( P_k H^i(M_{\text{Dol}}(n, d), \mathbb{C}) \right) = P_k H^i(M_{\text{Dol}}(n, d'), \mathbb{C}), \quad \forall k, i.$$

We note that  $G_A$  in the theorem above is uniquely determined by  $A$  due to [21].

When  $d = d'$  and  $A \in \text{Sp}(V) \subset \text{GSp}(V_{\mathbb{C}})$ , Theorem 0.1 recovers the monodromy operators of (0.2.1).

When  $A = \text{id}$ , we see in Section 1.2 that  $G_A$  recovers the Galois conjugation of (0.2.2). Hence Theorem 0.1 implies (3) as predicted by the P=W conjecture.

**Theorem 0.2.** *The Galois conjugation  $\widetilde{\text{Gal}}$  preserves the perverse filtrations.*

The following consequence of Theorem 0.2 is immediate.

**Corollary 0.3.** *For fixed rank  $n$ , if the P=W conjecture holds for some degree  $d$  coprime to  $n$ , then it holds for arbitrary degree  $d'$  coprime to  $n$ .*

Now we come back to the case  $d = d'$ . We consider the action of the 1-dimensional sub-group

$$(8) \quad \mathbb{T} = \{ \lambda \text{Id}_{2g} \mid \lambda \neq 0 \} \subset \text{GSp}(V_{\mathbb{C}})$$

on  $H^*(M_{\text{Dol}}(n, d))$ . Since the induced  $\mathbb{T}$ -action on a class  $c(\gamma, k)$  with  $\gamma \in H^j(C, \mathbb{C})$  is

$$\lambda \cdot c(\gamma, k) = c(\lambda\gamma, k) = \lambda^{2-j} c(\gamma, k), \quad \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{T},$$

combining with the cohomological grading this recovers the weights given by (5). In fact, we obtain more information from (8), namely it follows from Theorem 0.1 that this  $\mathbb{T}$ -action preserves each piece of the perverse filtration. This yields the following theorem.

**Theorem 0.4.** *Under the non-abelian Hodge (1), the restriction of the decomposition (4) to each piece*

$$(9) \quad P_k H^i(M_{\text{Dol}}(n, d), \mathbb{C}) \subset H^i(M_{\text{Dol}}(n, d), \mathbb{C})$$

*of the perverse filtration yields a decomposition of the sub-vector space (9).*

In general, the restriction of a decomposition of a vector space  $Q = \oplus_i Q_i$  to a sub-vector space  $Q' \subset Q$  may fail to be a decomposition, *i.e.*, in general  $Q' \neq \oplus_i (Q' \cap Q_i)$ . Hence Theorems 0.2 and 0.4 provide strong evidence for the P=W conjecture.

Finally, the perverse filtration admits a natural splitting, known as the first Deligne splitting; the operators  $G_A$  are compatible with this splitting as well, as we explain in Section 3.5.

**0.5. Idea of the proof.** The proof of Theorem 0.1 proceeds in 2 steps. First, we show that the elements  $A \in \text{GSp}(V_{\mathbb{C}})$  satisfying the statement of Theorem 0.1 form a Zariski closed subset  $\mathcal{G} \subset \text{GSp}(V_{\mathbb{C}})$ . Note that at this point, it is not obvious at all that  $\mathcal{G}$  is non-empty. Then in the second step, we construct infinitely many  $A \in \mathcal{G}$  that form a Zariski dense subset of  $\text{GSp}(V_{\mathbb{C}})$ . This concludes the proof of Theorem 0.1.

As a key point, the infinitely many elements  $A \in \text{GSp}(V_{\mathbb{C}})$  for the second step are essentially constructed by reduction to positive characteristic with respect to different primes. Non-abelian Hodge theory in characteristic  $p$  [14, 11, 10] plays a crucial role.

**0.6. Acknowledgements.** M.A. de Cataldo is partially supported by the NSF grant DMS-1901975 and by a Simons Fellowship in Mathematics. J. Shen was supported by the NSF grant DMS-2000726. S. Zhang is partially supported by NSF grant DMS-1901975.

## 1. TAUTOLOGICAL CLASSES

In this section, we work over the complex numbers  $\mathbb{C}$ . We assume that  $\gcd(n, d) = 1$ .

**1.1. Tautological classes.** We first review the construction of the tautological classes

$$c(\gamma, k) \in H^*(M_{\text{Dol}}(n, d), \mathbb{C}), \quad \gamma \in H^*(C, \mathbb{C}), \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0},$$

following [8].

Let

$$p_C : C \times M_{\text{Dol}}(n, d) \rightarrow C, \quad p_M : C \times M_{\text{Dol}}(n, d) \rightarrow M_{\text{Dol}}(n, d),$$

be the projections. We say that a triple

$$(\mathcal{U}^\alpha, \theta) := (\mathcal{U}, \theta, \alpha)$$

is a *twisted universal family* over  $C \times M_{\text{Dol}}(n, d)$ , if  $(\mathcal{U}, \theta)$  is a universal family and

$$\alpha = p_C^* \alpha_1 + p_M^* \alpha_2 \in H^2(C \times M_{\text{Dol}}(n, d), \mathbb{C})$$

with  $\alpha_1 \in H^2(C, \mathbb{C})$  and  $\alpha_2 \in H^2(M_{\text{Dol}}(n, d), \mathbb{C})$ . For a twisted universal family  $(\mathcal{U}^\alpha, \theta)$ , we define the *twisted Chern character*  $\text{ch}^\alpha(\mathcal{U})$  as

$$\text{ch}^\alpha(\mathcal{U}) = \text{ch}(\mathcal{U}) \cup \exp(\alpha) \in H^*(C \times M_{\text{Dol}}(n, d), \mathbb{C}),$$

and we denote by

$$\text{ch}_k^\alpha(\mathcal{U}) \in H^{2k}(C \times M_{\text{Dol}}(n, d), \mathbb{C})$$

its degree  $2k$  part. The class  $\text{ch}^\alpha(\mathcal{U})$  is called *normalized* if

$$\text{ch}_1^\alpha(\mathcal{U})|_{p \times M_{\text{Dol}}(n, d)} = 0 \in H^2(M_{\text{Dol}}(n, d), \mathbb{C}), \quad \text{ch}_1^\alpha(\mathcal{U})|_{C \times q} = 0 \in H^2(C, \mathbb{C}),$$

with  $p \in M_{\text{Dol}}(n, d)$  and  $q \in C$  points. Since two universal families differ by the pull-back of a line bundle on  $M_{\text{Dol}}(n, d)$ , normalized classes on  $C \times M_{\text{Dol}}(n, d)$  exist and are uniquely determined. For any  $\gamma \in H^i(C, \mathbb{Q})$ , the *tautological class*  $c(\gamma, k)$  is defined by integrating the degree  $k$  normalized class

$$(10) \quad c(\gamma, k) := \int_\gamma \text{ch}_k^\alpha(\mathcal{U}) = p_{M*}(p_C^* \gamma \cup \text{ch}_k^\alpha(\mathcal{U})) \in H^{i+2k-2}(M_{\text{Dol}}(n, d), \mathbb{C}).$$

There is an alternative way [15] to obtain canonically defined classes in  $H^*(M_{\text{Dol}}(n, d), \mathbb{C})$  which we briefly review. We let  $\mathcal{T}$  be the projective bundle  $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{U})$  associated with *any* universal family  $(\mathcal{U}, \theta)$ , and we consider the characteristic classes  $\text{ch}_k(\mathcal{T})$ . If we assume that  $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n$  are the Chern roots of  $\mathcal{U}$ , then the Chern roots for  $\mathcal{T}$  are

$$\xi_1 - \bar{\xi}, \quad \xi_2 - \bar{\xi}, \quad \dots, \quad \xi_n - \bar{\xi},$$

with  $\bar{\xi}$  the average of the  $\xi_i$ . In particular  $c_1(\mathcal{T}) = 0$ . For any twisted universal family  $(\mathcal{U}^\alpha, \theta)$ , we have

$$(11) \quad \text{ch}^\alpha(\mathcal{U}) = \text{ch}(\mathcal{T}) \cup \exp\left(\frac{c_1(\mathcal{U})}{n} + \alpha\right),$$

and for a normalized class  $\text{ch}^\alpha(\mathcal{U})$  we have

$$\text{ch}_1^\alpha(\mathcal{U}) = c_1(\mathcal{U}) + n\alpha \in H^1(C, \mathbb{C}) \otimes H^1(M_{\text{Dol}}(n, d), \mathbb{C}).$$

Therefore the degree 1 tautological classes

$$(12) \quad c(\gamma, 1) \in H^1(M_{\text{Dol}}(n, d), \mathbb{C}), \quad \gamma \in H^1(C, \mathbb{C})$$

recover all the classes in  $H^1(M_{\text{Dol}}(n, d), \mathbb{C})$ , and by (11) any tautological class (10) can be expressed in terms of (12) and

$$(13) \quad \int_\gamma c_k(\mathcal{T}) \in H^*(M_{\text{Dol}}(n, d), \mathbb{C}), \quad \gamma \in H^*(C, \mathbb{C}), \quad k \geq 2.$$

*Remark 1.1.* Recall that we have the product formula (see [5] the equation following Remark 2.4.4):

$$H^*(M_{\text{Dol}}(n, d), \mathbb{C}) = H^*(\text{Jac}(C), \mathbb{C}) \otimes H^*(\hat{M}_{\text{Dol}}(n, d), \mathbb{C})$$

with  $\hat{M}_{\text{Dol}}(n, d)$  the  $\text{PGL}_n$ -Higgs moduli space and  $\text{Jac}(C)$  the Jacobian of the curve  $C$ . The classes (12) generate the first factor; in turn this is generated by the tautological classes associated with the normalized Poincaré line bundle over  $C \times \text{Jac}(C)$ . The classes (13) generate the second factor.

**1.2. Character varieties.** In [15], Hausel and Thaddeus described the tautological classes directly on the character variety  $M_{\text{B}}(n, d)$  side. By their description, these classes are preserved under the Galois conjugation (0.2.2).

To review this construction, we consider the map

$$\mu : \text{GL}_n^{2g} \rightarrow \text{GL}_n, \quad (a_1, \dots, a_g, b_1, \dots, b_g) \mapsto \prod_{j=1}^g [a_j, b_j],$$

so that  $M_{\text{B}}(n, d)$  is the geometric quotient of  $\mu^{-1}(\zeta_n^d \text{Id}_n)$  by the conjugation action. Now we follow [15, Section 1] to describe the principal  $\text{PGL}_n$ -bundle  $\mathcal{T}'_d$  corresponding to  $\mathcal{T}$  in Section 1.1.

Let  $\tilde{C} \rightarrow C$  be the universal cover with the natural  $\pi_1(C)$ -action on  $\tilde{C}$ . Then there is a free action of the group  $\pi_1(C) \times \text{GL}_n$  on the product

$$\text{PGL}_n \times \mu^{-1}(\zeta_n^d \text{Id}_n) \times \tilde{C},$$

given by

$$(p, g) \cdot (h, \rho, x) = ([g]\rho(p)h, [g]\rho[g]^{-1}, p \cdot x).$$

Here  $[g]$  is the projection of  $g \in \text{GL}_n$  to  $\text{PGL}_n$ , and we view  $\rho \in \mu^{-1}(\zeta_n^d \text{Id}_n)$  as a homomorphism  $\pi_1(C) \rightarrow \text{PGL}_n$ . The resulting quotient, denoted by  $\mathcal{T}'_d$ , gives the desired  $\text{PGL}_n$ -principal bundle over the product  $M_{\text{B}}(n, d) \times C$ . It corresponds to  $\mathcal{T} = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{U})$  on the Higgs side via the diffeomorphism given by non-abelian Hodge theory; we refer to [15, Section 5] for more details.

Furthermore, as an immediate consequence of the description of  $\mathcal{T}'_d$ , if we apply an automorphism of  $\mathbb{C}$  sending  $\zeta_n^d$  to  $\zeta_n^{d'}$ , the induced Galois conjugation sends the pair  $(M_{\text{B}}(n, d), \mathcal{T}'_d)$  to  $(M_{\text{B}}(n, d'), \mathcal{T}'_{d'})$ . In particular, Galois conjugation preserves each class (13) if we pass through the non-abelian Hodge correspondence. Switching back to the tautological classes  $c(\gamma, k)$ , we obtain the following characterization of the cohomological Galois conjugation

$$(14) \quad \widetilde{\text{Gal}} : H^*(M_{\text{Dol}}(n, d), \mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^*(M_{\text{Dol}}(n, d'), \mathbb{C});$$

see also [16, Remark 4.8].

**Proposition 1.2.** *For  $d$  and  $d'$  coprime to  $n$ , a morphism of  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebras*

$$H^*(M_{\text{Dol}}(n, d), \mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^*(M_{\text{Dol}}(n, d'), \mathbb{C})$$

is induced by Galois conjugation (14) if and only if it preserves the tautological classes (10)

$$c(\gamma, k) \in H^*(M_{\text{Dol}}(n, d), \mathbb{C}) \mapsto c(\gamma, k) \in H^*(M_{\text{Dol}}(n, d'), \mathbb{C}).$$

*Proof.* The *only if* direction is clear from the discussion above. The *if* direction follows from Markman's theorem [21] that  $c(\gamma, k)$  generate the total cohomology as a  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra.  $\square$

**1.3. Change the degree by  $n$ .** Let  $\mathcal{O}_C(1)$  be a degree 1 line bundle on the curve  $C$ . Tensor product with  $\mathcal{O}_C(1)$  induces an isomorphism between the moduli spaces

$$(15) \quad M_{\text{Dol}}(n, d) \xrightarrow{\cong} M_{\text{Dol}}(n, d+n), \quad (\mathcal{E}, \theta) \mapsto (\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{O}_C(1), \theta).$$

**Proposition 1.3.** *The isomorphism of the cohomology*

$$H^*(M_{\text{Dol}}(n, d), \mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^*(M_{\text{Dol}}(n, d+n), \mathbb{C})$$

*induced by (15) preserves the tautological classes*

$$c(\gamma, k) \in H^*(M_{\text{Dol}}(n, d), \mathbb{C}) \mapsto c(\gamma, k) \in H^*(M_{\text{Dol}}(n, d+n), \mathbb{C}).$$

*Proof.* Under the isomorphism (15), universal families for  $M_{\text{Dol}}(n, d)$  and  $M_{\text{Dol}}(n, d+n)$  are different by the pullback of  $\mathcal{O}_C(1)$  from  $C$ . Hence the isomorphism

$$H^*(C \times M_{\text{Dol}}(n, d), \mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^*(C \times M_{\text{Dol}}(n, d+n), \mathbb{C})$$

induced by (15) also preserves the normalized classes, and thus the tautological classes. The proposition follows.  $\square$

## 2. SIMILITUDE GROUPS

In this section, we work over the complex numbers  $\mathbb{C}$ . We fix two integers  $d, d'$  coprime to  $n$ .

**2.1. Good elements.** We call an element  $A \in \text{GSp}(V_{\mathbb{C}})$  *good* if there is an isomorphism of  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebras

$$G_A : H^*(M_{\text{Dol}}(n, d), \mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^*(M_{\text{Dol}}(n, d'), \mathbb{C})$$

satisfying that:

- (i)  $G_A(c(\gamma, k)) = c(A\gamma, k)$  for any  $\gamma \in H^*(C, \mathbb{C})$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ; and
- (ii)  $G_A(P_i H^j(M_{\text{Dol}}(n, d), \mathbb{C})) = P_i H^j(M_{\text{Dol}}(n, d'), \mathbb{C})$  for any  $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ .

We denote by  $\mathcal{G}$  the set of all good elements. It is clear that Theorem 0.1 is equivalent to the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.1.** *We have  $\mathcal{G} = \text{GSp}(V_{\mathbb{C}})$ .*

We prove some basic properties for  $\mathcal{G}$  in Section 2.3, and complete the proof of Theorem 2.1 in Sections 3 and 4.



**2.2. Proofs of Theorems 0.2 and 0.4.** Before proving Theorem 2.1, we first explain that Theorems 0.2 and 0.4 follow from Theorem 0.1 immediately.

Theorem 0.2 is deduced from Proposition 1.2, since  $\widetilde{\text{Gal}} = G_{\text{Id}_n}$ .

Now we prove Theorem 0.4. For fixed rank  $n$  and degree  $d$ , recall that the weight decomposition with respect to the action of the 1-dimensional sub-group (8) on the total cohomology  $H^*(M_{\text{Dol}}(n, d), \mathbb{C})$  recovers the Hodge–Tate decomposition (4) via non-abelian Hodge (1). By Theorem 0.1 we further know that each piece of the perverse filtration (9) is a  $\text{GSp}(V_{\mathbb{C}})$ -module, therefore the weight decomposition with respect to (8) on each piece  $P_k H^i(M_{\text{Dol}}(n, d), \mathbb{C})$  recovers

$$P_k H^i(M_{\text{Dol}}(n, d), \mathbb{C}) = \bigoplus_j \left( P_k H^i(M_{\text{Dol}}(n, d), \mathbb{C}) \cap \text{Hdg}_j^i \right).$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 0.4.  $\square$

### 2.3. Basic properties.

**Lemma 2.2.** *The set  $\mathcal{G} \subset \text{GSp}(V_{\mathbb{C}})$  is closed under the left- or right-action of  $\text{Sp}(V)$ .*

*Proof.* This is given by the monodromy symmetry (0.2.1). More precisely, for fixed  $d$ , a monodromy operator obtained by varying the curve  $C$  is of the form

$$H^*(M_{\text{Dol}}(n, d), \mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^*(M_{\text{Dol}}(n, d), \mathbb{C}), \quad c(\gamma, k) \mapsto c(M\gamma, k)$$

with  $M \in \text{Sp}(V)$ , and it follows from [6] that a monodromy operator preserves the perverse filtration. The lemma follows from composing  $G_A$  with a monodromy operator on the left or right.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.3.** *The set  $\mathcal{G} \subset \text{GSp}(V_{\mathbb{C}})$  is Zariski closed.*

*Proof.* For convenience, we pick a  $\mathbb{C}$ -basis  $e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{2g}$  of  $H^1(C, \mathbb{C})$ . So we have the  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra generators

$$(16) \quad c(1, k), \quad c(e_i, k), \quad c(\text{pt}, k), \quad i, k \in \mathbb{N}$$

of  $H^*(M_{\text{Dol}}(n, d), \mathbb{C})$  and  $H^*(M_{\text{Dol}}(n, d'), \mathbb{C})$ . In order to prove that  $\mathcal{G}$  is Zariski closed in  $\text{GSp}(V)$ , it suffices to show that (i) and (ii) are Zariski closed conditions for  $A \in \text{GSp}(V_{\mathbb{C}})$ .

We first treat (i). Any  $c(A\gamma, k)$  can be expressed in terms of the classes (16) with coefficients given by certain entries of the matrix  $A$ . Hence the condition that  $G_A$  is a  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra isomorphism sending  $c(\gamma, k)$  to  $c(A\gamma, k)$  is equivalent to that any relation between  $\{c(\gamma, k)\}$  on  $M_{\text{Dol}}(n, d)$  are sent to a relation between  $\{c(A\gamma, k)\}$  on  $M_{\text{Dol}}(n, d')$ , which is clearly a Zariski closed condition on the entries of  $A$ .

For (ii), we consider a filtered basis of each cohomology group with respect to the perverse filtration; that is, we require the basis to satisfy that each piece  $P_j$  of the perverse filtration is spanned by a subset of the basis. The condition (ii) can be expressed completely in terms of the filtered basis, namely, the image of every vector of the basis which lies in  $P_j$  is sent to a vector which is only a linear combination of the sub-basis giving a basis of  $P_j$ . In other

words, the condition (ii) is equivalent to the vanishing of certain coefficients for the linear transformation  $G_A$  under the filtered basis. By Markman [21] every vector of the filtered basis is expressed in terms of a linear combination of products of the classes (16). Hence coefficients for the linear transformation  $G_A$  under the filtered basis are polynomials in entries of the matrix  $A$ , whose vanishing is also a Zariski closed condition.  $\square$

Combining the two lemmas above, we get the following criterion for  $\mathcal{G} = \mathrm{GSp}(V_{\mathbb{C}})$ .

**Proposition 2.4.** *If the set*

$$\{\lambda_A \mid A \in \mathcal{G} \subset \mathrm{GSp}(V_{\mathbb{C}})\} \subset \mathbb{G}_m$$

*is infinite, then  $\mathcal{G} = \mathrm{GSp}(V_{\mathbb{C}})$ .*

*Proof.* Since the inclusion  $\mathrm{Sp}(V) \subset \mathrm{Sp}(V_{\mathbb{C}})$  is a Zariski dense subset by the Borel density theorem, we deduce from Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3 that  $\mathcal{G}$  is preserved by the right action of  $\mathrm{Sp}(V_{\mathbb{C}})$  on  $\mathrm{GSp}(V_{\mathbb{C}})$ . Hence it suffices to prove that the image of  $\mathcal{G}$  via the projection

$$\mathrm{GSp}(V_{\mathbb{C}}) \rightarrow \mathrm{GSp}(V_{\mathbb{C}})/\mathrm{Sp}(V_{\mathbb{C}})$$

is dense, where the quotient is with respect to the right action. This follows from the assumption of the proposition, since the similitude character  $\lambda_A$  defines an isomorphism  $\mathrm{GSp}(V_{\mathbb{C}})/\mathrm{Sp}(V_{\mathbb{C}}) = \mathbb{G}_m$ .  $\square$

### 3. REDUCTION TO POSITIVE CHARACTERISTIC

In this section, we work over fields in both characteristics 0 and  $p > 0$ . We will always use  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}$ -adic cohomology with the prime  $\ell$  and  $p$  coprime. In order to compare  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}$ -adic cohomology with singular cohomology with  $\mathbb{C}$ -coefficients, we fix an isomorphism  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C}$ .

Since in this section we fix the rank  $n$  but change the curve  $C$ , in order to indicate the dependence on the curve, we use  $M_{-}(C, d)$  to denote the moduli spaces that have been denoted by  $M_{-}(n, d)$  in the previous sections.

**3.1. Groechenig's non-abelian Hodge in positive characteristic.** Our main tool to construct elements in  $\mathcal{G}$  is to use the non-abelian Hodge correspondence in positive characteristic [14, 11, 10].

Let  $C_p$  be a curve over an algebraically closed field  $\mathbf{k}$  of positive characteristic  $p > 0$ . Throughout the rest of the paper, we assume that  $p$  is large enough so that it is coprime to the rank  $n$ . We denote by  $C_p^{(1)}$  the Frobenius twist of the curve  $C_p$  obtained from the base change of

$$\mathrm{Frob} : \mathbf{k} \rightarrow \mathbf{k}, \quad x \mapsto x^p,$$

and we denote by

$$\mathrm{Fr}_p : C_p \rightarrow C_p^{(1)}$$

the resulting (relative) Frobenius  $\mathbf{k}$ -morphism, which is finite of degree  $p$ .

We consider the moduli space  $M_{\text{Dol}}(C_p^{(1)}, d)$  which parameterizes (slope)-stable Higgs bundle of rank  $n$  and degree  $d$  over the curve  $C_p^{(1)}$ . Parallel to the case of  $\mathbb{C}$ , we have the Hitchin fibration

$$h_p : M_{\text{Dol}}(C_p^{(1)}, d) \rightarrow A(C_p^{(1)}) := \bigoplus_{i=1}^n H^0\left(C_p^{(1)}, \Omega_{C_p^{(1)}}^{\otimes i}\right),$$

sending a pair  $(\mathcal{E}, \theta)$  to (the coefficients of) its characteristic polynomial  $\text{char}(\theta)$ . Comparing with the characteristic 0 case, a new structure in characteristic  $p$  is the existence of a Hitchin type fibration, called the *Hitchin-de Rham* morphism, from the moduli space  $M_{\text{dR}}(C_p, dp)$  of (slope-)stable flat connections

$$(17) \quad \nabla : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \otimes \Omega_{C_p}, \quad \text{rank}(\mathcal{E}) = n, \quad \text{deg}(\mathcal{E}) = dp$$

to the Hitchin base  $A(C_p^{(1)})$  associated with the Frobenius twist  $C_p^{(1)}$ . More concretely, the  $p$ -curvature of a flat bundle gives rise to a morphism  $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \otimes \text{Fr}_p^* \Omega_{C_p^{(1)}}$ , whose characteristic polynomial induces the Hitchin-de Rham morphism

$$h_p^{(1)} : M_{\text{dR}}(C_p, dp) \rightarrow A(C_p^{(1)});$$

we refer to [20] and [14, Section 3] for more details for  $p$ -curvature and Hitchin-de Rham fibrations. Consequently, the cohomology of both moduli spaces  $M_{\text{Dol}}(C_p^{(1)}, d)$  and  $M_{\text{dR}}(C_p, dp)$  admit perverse filtrations induced by  $h_p$  and  $h_p^{(1)}$ , respectively.

Groechenig's version of the non-abelian Hodge theorem in characteristic  $p$  [14, Theorem 1.1], asserts that the two morphisms (Hitchin for  $C_p^{(1)}$ , and Hitchin-de Rham for  $C_p$ )

$$(18) \quad h_p : M_{\text{Dol}}(C_p^{(1)}, d) \rightarrow A(C_p^{(1)}), \quad h_p^{(1)} : M_{\text{dR}}(C_p, dp) \rightarrow A(C_p^{(1)})$$

are both proper and surjective, and are étale-locally equivalent over the base  $A(C_p^{(1)})$ . We remark that Chen-Zhu [11] proved a similar result for stacks (without semistability assertions) for arbitrary reductive groups.

By [20], the Hitchin-de Rham fibration (18) for  $C_p$  in degree  $dp$

$$(19) \quad h_p^{(1)} : M_{\text{dR}}(C_p, dp) \rightarrow A(C_p^{(1)})$$

is closely related to the Hitchin fibration for the Dolbeault moduli space for  $C_p$  in the same degree  $dp$ :

$$(20) \quad h_p : M_{\text{Dol}}(C_p, dp) \rightarrow A(C_p).$$

More precisely, there is a Hodge moduli space

$$\tau : M_{\text{Hod}}(C_p, dp) \rightarrow A(C_p^{(1)}) \times \mathbb{A}_t^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_t^1$$

parameterizing  $t$ -connections. In the coprime case  $(r, dp) = 1$ , [10] shows that: this is a smooth family over  $\mathbb{A}_t^1$ ; the fiber of  $\tau$  over  $t = 0 \in \mathbb{A}_t^1$  recovers (19); the fiber over  $t = 1$  recovers (20),

post-composed with the natural morphism between the Hitchin bases  $A(C_p^{(1)}) \xrightarrow{\cong} A(C_p)$ ; this latter is identified with the relative Frobenius of  $A(C_p)$ . See [9, 10] for details.

**3.2. Cohomological correspondences.** Note that the correspondence (18) is local in nature. Under the coprimality assumption  $(n, dp) = 1$  for rank and degree, de Cataldo and Zhang [10] established a series of *global* cohomological correspondences that we now describe.

The global nilpotent cones associated with the Dolbeault and the de Rham moduli spaces

$$(21) \quad N_{\text{Dol}}(C_p^{(1)}, d) := h_p^{-1}(0), \quad N_{\text{dR}}(C_p, dp) := h_p^{(1)-1}(0)$$

are isomorphic by the étale equivalence of (18). In fact, there is a distinguished isomorphism given by (32) as we will describe later. The cohomology rings of the global nilpotent cones (21) carry natural filtrations induced by the respective perverse filtrations and the decomposition theorem; see [10, Remark 2.3].

We have the following canonical commutative diagram of canonical ring isomorphisms (coefficients  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$  throughout)

$$(22) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} H^*(M_{\text{Dol}}(C_p, dp)) & \xleftarrow{\cong (d)} & H^*(M_{\text{dR}}(C_p, dp)) & \xleftarrow{\cong (c)} & H^*(N_{\text{dR}}(C_p, dp)) \\ \simeq \uparrow \Theta_M & & & & (b) \uparrow \simeq \\ H^*(M_{\text{Dol}}(C_p^{(1)}, d)) & \xrightarrow{\cong (a)} & & & H^*(N_{\text{Dol}}(C_p^{(1)}, d)), \end{array}$$

where  $(d; c; b; a)$  are as in [10, Theorems 2.1 and 3.5; Theorem 2.4; Proof of Theorem 2.5; Proof of Theorem 2.4], respectively; the closed embeddings  $M_{\text{Dol}}, M_{\text{dR}} \rightarrow M_{\text{Hod}}$  induce isomorphisms in cohomology, and the isomorphism  $(d)$  is the resulting composition;  $(a)$  and  $(c)$  are induced by restriction. The composition is

$$(23) \quad \Theta_M : H^*(M_{\text{Dol}}(C_p^{(1)}, d), \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^*(M_{\text{Dol}}(C_p, dp), \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell).$$

All these isomorphisms are filtered isomorphisms for the respective perverse filtrations.

**3.3. Lift to characteristic zero via finite fields.** Given a curve  $C$  over the complex numbers, for each prime  $p$  with  $p > n$  and  $p \neq \ell$ , we can use the canonical isomorphism  $\Theta_M$  to produce a *non-canonical* ring isomorphism

$$(24) \quad \tilde{\Theta}_M : H^*(M_{\text{Dol}}(C, d), \mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^*(M_{\text{Dol}}(C, dp), \mathbb{C}),$$

filtered with respect to the respective perverse filtrations.

The construction proceeds as follows. First, notice that, for given  $p$ , it suffices to construct  $\tilde{\Theta}_M$  for a specific curve  $C$  and then apply a monodromy argument, using [6], or [10, Proposition 3.3.(2)], to extend to arbitrary curves. Note that this introduces an ambiguity governed by the monodromy action of  $\text{Sp}(V)$

We will choose  $C$  to be the lift of a curve defined over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ . Let  $C_p$  be a smooth curve defined over the finite field  $\mathbb{F}_p$ ; here for notational convenience, we denote the base change of  $C_p$  to an algebraic closure  $\mathbf{k}$  of  $\mathbb{F}_p$  by the same symbol  $C_p$ . By our choice, we have that

$C_p = C_p^{(1)}$ . We can lift  $C_p$  to a morphism  $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Spec}R$  with  $R$  a complete strictly Henselian DVR of characteristic 0 with residue field  $\mathbf{k}$ , where the geometric generic fiber  $C$  is a connected nonsingular curve of genus  $g \geq 2$ .

Specialization morphisms associated with the smooth family  $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Spec}R$  induce canonical isomorphisms

$$(25) \quad H^*(C, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell) = H^*(C_p, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell), \quad H^*(M_{\text{Dol}}(C, d), \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell) = H^*(M_{\text{Dol}}(C_p, d), \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell),$$

where we apply [10, Proposition 3.3.(2)] for the second isomorphism. If we combine these with the canonical isomorphism  $\Theta_M$  (22) and tensoring with the fixed isomorphism  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbb{C}$ , we obtain the desired  $\tilde{\Theta}_M$ .

For our purpose, we need to calculate the action of an isomorphism (24) on the tautological classes  $c(\gamma, k)$ ; we do this now in Theorem 3.1.

Recall that  $C_p^{(1)} = C_p$ , so that the relative Frobenius  $\mathbf{k}$ -morphism  $\text{Fr}_p : C_p \rightarrow C_p^{(1)} = C_p$  is a self finite map of degree  $p$ . We consider the Frobenius pullback

$$\text{Fr}_p^* : H^*(C_p, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell) \rightarrow H^*(C_p, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell),$$

whose action on  $H^1(C_p, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$  has eigenvalues of length  $p^{1/2}$  (after any isomorphism  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbb{C}$ ). Using the fixed isomorphism  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbb{C}$ , and using the projection formula in cohomology for  $\text{Fr}^*$ , we obtain that for any  $\mu \in \mathbb{Q}$  the twisted Frobenius pullback induce an element (cf. (7))

$$A = p^\mu \text{Fr}_p^* \in \text{GSp}(V_{\mathbb{C}}), \quad \lambda_A = p^{2(\mu + \frac{1}{2})}.$$

Notice that, for  $\mu = -1$ , the action of  $A$  on the total cohomology  $H^*((C_p, \mathbb{C}))$  agrees with the extended action of  $\text{GSp}(V_{\mathbb{C}})$  defined in the first paragraph of Section 0.4.

The following theorem provides a complete description of the ring isomorphism (24) constructed using the smooth curve  $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Spec}R$ . More precisely, the isomorphism  $\tilde{\Theta}_M$  is obtained as follows: combine  $\Theta_M$  (22) (where in our case  $C_p = C_p^{(1)}$ ) with the righthand-side of (25) for the Dolbeault moduli spaces in degrees  $d$  and  $dp$  for the geometric generic fiber  $C$ ; all this followed by tensoring with  $\mathbb{C}$ .

Recall the tautological classes (10).

**Theorem 3.1.** *We consider the ring isomorphism (24) obtained from  $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Spec}R$ . It satisfies*

$$\tilde{\Theta}_M(c(\gamma, k)) = c(p^{-1} \text{Fr}_p^* \gamma, k), \quad \forall \gamma \in H^*(C, \mathbb{C}), \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

We complete the proof of Theorem 3.1 in Section 4. In this section, we first show that Theorem 3.1 implies Theorem 0.1.

**3.4. Theorem 3.1 implies Theorem 0.1.** Fix  $n, d, d'$  as in Theorem 0.1. It suffices to prove Theorem 2.1.

We first note that, if for one complex curve  $C$  of genus  $g \geq 2$  we find an isomorphism of the form  $G_A$  as in Theorem 0.1 for some element  $A \in \text{GSp}(V_{\mathbb{C}})$ , then by composing with parallel

transport operators we obtain an isomorphism of the same form for any nonsingular complex curve of genus  $g$ . Therefore, we may choose any curve to construct elements in  $\mathcal{G}$ .

For any prime  $p > n$  with

$$(26) \quad dp = d' \pmod{n},$$

we work with  $C$  and its reduction  $C_p$  as in Section 3.3. In view of Proposition 1.3, we obtain by Theorem 3.1 that

$$A_p := p^{-1}\mathrm{Fr}_p^* \in \mathrm{GSp}(V_{\mathbb{C}}).$$

In particular, since there are infinitely many primes  $p$  satisfying (26), we have constructed infinitely many elements  $A_p \in \mathrm{GSp}(V_{\mathbb{C}})$  with

$$\lambda_{A_p} = p^{-1} \in \mathbb{G}_m.$$

Theorem 2.1 is then deduced from Proposition 2.4.  $\square$

**3.5. Extension to Deligne splitting.** As we will recall briefly, the perverse filtration on the cohomology  $H^*(M_{\mathrm{Dol}}(n, d), \mathbb{C})$  admits a natural splitting, known as the first Deligne splitting [4, 13]. Conjecturally this splitting corresponds, via non-abelian Hodge theory, with the Hodge-Tate splitting of the weight filtration on  $H^*(M_B(n, d), \mathbb{C})$  in (4). In this section, we explain that the operators  $G_A$  of Theorem 0.1 are automatically compatible with this splitting.

We first review some background. Suppose we are given a triple  $(H, F, \eta)$  where  $H$  is a  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra,  $F$  an increasing filtration on  $H$  concentrated in degrees  $[0, 2r]$ , and  $\eta$  is an element of  $H$ . We say that  $\eta$  is an  $F$ -Lefschetz class if (i) multiplication by  $\eta$  maps  $F_k H$  to  $F_{k+2} H$  and (ii) multiplication by  $\eta^i$  induces isomorphisms  $\eta^i : Gr_{r-i}^F H \simeq Gr_{r+i}^F H$ . An  $F$ -Lefschetz class  $\eta$  on  $H$  induces, by means of an explicit linear algebra construction, a natural splitting of  $F$  called the first Deligne splitting [4]. Suppose we are given two such triples  $(H, F, \eta)$  and  $(H', F', \eta')$  and a ring isomorphism  $f : H \xrightarrow{\simeq} H'$ , which is a filtered isomorphism, for which  $f(\eta) = \eta'$ . It is clear that if  $\eta$  is an  $F$ -Lefschetz class then  $\eta'$  is an  $F'$ -Lefschetz class and moreover that  $f$  preserves the corresponding Deligne splittings.

In our setting,  $H = H^*(M_{\mathrm{Dol}}(n, d), \mathbb{C})$  is the cohomology of the Dolbeault moduli space equipped with its perverse filtration  $P$ , and the  $P$ -Lefschetz class  $\eta$  is a degree 2 cohomology class. In this case, as explained in [8, Remark 3.5], what is special about this construction is that the first Deligne splitting is *independent* of the choice of  $P$ -Lefschetz class  $\eta$ . In other words, we have naturally defined subspaces  $S_j \subset H$  for which

$$P_k = \bigoplus_{j \leq k} S_j.$$

By the last sentence of the previous paragraph, given two Dolbeault moduli spaces  $M_{\mathrm{Dol}}(n, d)$  and  $M_{\mathrm{Dol}}(n, d')$ , any ring isomorphism

$$H^*(M_{\mathrm{Dol}}(n, d), \mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{\simeq} H^*(M_{\mathrm{Dol}}(n, d'), \mathbb{C}),$$

which is a filtered isomorphism, automatically preserves the first Deligne splitting and the graded pieces  $S_j$ . In particular, this applies to the operators  $G_A$  constructed in this paper.

#### 4. CALCULATIONS

In this section, we prove Theorem 3.1.

**4.1. Isomorphisms.** Let  $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Spec}R$  be the smooth curve as in Section 3.3. We consider the relative degree  $d$  Dolbeault moduli space

$$(27) \quad M_{\text{Dol}}(\mathcal{C}/R, d) \rightarrow \text{Spec}R$$

that is smooth over  $R$ , and the Hodge moduli space that is smooth over  $\mathbb{A}_t^1$  ([10, Proposition 3.1]):

$$(28) \quad \tau : M_{\text{Hod}}(\mathcal{C}, dp) \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_t^1.$$

The morphism  $\tilde{\Theta}_M$  for Theorem 3.1 is obtained as a composition, by inserting the Dolbeault moduli spaces for  $C$  into (22), by using (25), and by keeping in mind that  $C_p = C_p^{(1)}$ ,

$$(29) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} H^*(M_{\text{Dol}}(\mathcal{C}, dp)) & \xleftarrow[(25) \ u_2]{\cong} & H^*(M_{\text{Dol}}(C_p, dp)) & \xleftarrow[(d)]{\cong} & H^*(M_{\text{dR}}(C_p, dp)) & \xleftarrow[(c)]{\cong} & H^*(N_{\text{dR}}(C_p, dp)) \\ \uparrow \tilde{\Theta}_M & & \uparrow \Theta_M & & & & \uparrow (b) \cong \\ H^*(M_{\text{Dol}}(\mathcal{C}, d)) & \xrightarrow[(25) \ u_1]{\cong} & H^*(M_{\text{Dol}}(C_p, d)) & \xrightarrow[(a)]{\cong} & & & H^*(N_{\text{Dol}}(C_p, d)). \end{array}$$

Here:  $u_1$  and  $u_2$  are the specialization maps (25) associated with (27), for degrees  $d$  and  $dp$  respectively; (a) and (c) are the natural restriction maps for the global nilpotent cones (21); (d) is the specialization map associated with (28); (b) is induced by the local non-abelian Hodge correspondence over  $0 \in A(C_p)$ ;  $\tilde{\Theta}_M$  is the composition.

For our purpose, we calculate each map with respect to the tautological classes.

*Remark 4.1.* We note that by [17, Lemma 3.1 and the proof of Corollary 3.2], the fact that the rank and the degree are coprime ensures that all the Dolbeault, de Rham, and Hodge moduli spaces above carry a *universal family*. In particular, we may define the tautological classes  $c(\gamma, k)$  for each cohomology in the chain above via a universal family.

**4.2. Restrictions and specializations.** We first show that restrictions  $(c, a)$  and specialization maps  $(u_1, d, u_2)$  preserve the tautological classes, *i.e.*, they send  $c(\gamma, k)$  to  $c(\gamma, k)$ .

This statement is clear for restriction maps, since the restriction of a universal family on  $M_{\text{Dol}}(C_p, d)$  (resp.  $M_{\text{dR}}(C_p, d)$ ) to the corresponding global nilpotent cone  $N_{\text{Dol}}(C_p, d)$  (resp.  $N_{\text{dR}}(C_p, d)$ ) is still a universal family.

For the specializations  $u_1$ , we consider a universal family  $\mathcal{U}$  (*c.f.* Remark 4.1) over the family

$$\mathcal{C} \times_{\text{Spec}R} M_{\text{Dol}}(\mathcal{C}/R, d).$$

By taking its Chern character, we obtain a cohomology class whose restriction to each fiber gives the Chern character of a universal family for that fiber. In particular, we have a specialization map

$$u'_1 : H^*(C \times M_{\text{Dol}}(C, d), \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^*(C_p \times M_{\text{Dol}}(C_p, d), \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell), \quad \text{ch}_k(\mathcal{U}_0) \mapsto \text{ch}_k(\mathcal{U}_p),$$

where  $\mathcal{U}_0$  and  $\mathcal{U}_p$  are the resulting universal families on the geometric fibers. After normalizing and taking Künneth components, we conclude that the specialization map  $u_1$  for the moduli spaces respects each tautological class,

$$u_1 : H^*(M_{\text{Dol}}(C, d), \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^*(M_{\text{Dol}}(C_p, d), \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell), \quad c(\gamma, k) \mapsto c(\gamma, k).$$

The proofs for (a) and  $u_2$  are similar and left to the reader.

**4.3. Global nilpotent cones.** Finally, we treat the morphism (b) in (29). In order to carry out the computation, we need the precise description of the non-abelian Hodge correspondence [14, Corollary 3.28, Lemma 3.46] for the global nilpotent cones, which we review briefly as follows.

Let  $D_{C_p}$  be the sheaf of (crystalline) differential operators on  $C_p$ . The pushforward of  $D_{C_p}$  along the Frobenius map  $\text{Fr}_p : C_p \rightarrow C_p$  satisfies

$$\text{Fr}_{p*} D_{C_p} = \pi_* \mathcal{D},$$

where  $\pi$  is the projection  $T^*C_p \rightarrow C_p$  and  $\mathcal{D}$  is an  $\mathcal{O}_{T^*C_p}$ -algebra; see [14, Lemma 2.8]. Moreover, by [14, Theorem 3.20], the restriction of  $\mathcal{D}$  to any spectral curve  $C_\alpha \subset T^*C_p$  splits as

$$(30) \quad \mathcal{D}|_{C_\alpha} = \mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{O}_{C_\alpha}}(V_\alpha)$$

with  $V_\alpha$  a rank  $p$  vector bundle only dependent on  $C_\alpha$ .

We denote by  $C_n \subset T^*C_p$  the spectral curve associated with  $0 \in A(C_p)$ ; equivalently, it is the  $n$ -th thickening of the 0-section  $C_p \subset T^*C_p$ . We use  $V_n$  to denote the bundle of splitting (30) for the spectral curve  $C_n$ . For  $(\mathcal{E}, \nabla) \in N_{\text{dR}}(C_p, dp)$ , we have

$$(31) \quad \text{Fr}_{p*} \mathcal{E} \simeq \pi_*(\mathcal{F} \otimes V_n)$$

where  $\mathcal{F}$  is a stable pure 1-dimensional sheaf supported on  $C_n$  and  $\pi_n : C_n \rightarrow C_p$  is the projection. By the classical BNR correspondence, the sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  gives rise to a Higgs bundle  $(\pi_* \mathcal{F}, \theta)$ . This induces the non-abelian Hodge correspondence for the global nilpotent cones:

$$(32) \quad \nu : N_{\text{dR}}(C_p, dp) \xrightarrow{\cong} N_{\text{Dol}}(C_p, d), \quad (\mathcal{E}, \nabla) \mapsto (\pi_* \mathcal{F}, \theta).$$

To globalize the construction above, we consider the degree  $p$  finite map

$$(33) \quad F := \text{Fr}_p \times \nu : C_p \times N_{\text{dR}}(C_p, dp) \rightarrow C_p \times N_{\text{Dol}}(C_p, d),$$

and the projection

$$\tilde{\pi} : C_n \times N_{\text{Dol}}(C_p, d) \rightarrow C_p \times N_{\text{Dol}}(C_p, d).$$



We also have the following natural projections:

$$\begin{aligned} p_1 : C_p \times N_{\mathrm{dR}}(C_p, dp) &\rightarrow C_p, & q_1 : C_p \times N_{\mathrm{dR}}(C_p, dp) &\rightarrow N_{\mathrm{dR}}(C_p, dp), \\ p_2 : C_p \times N_{\mathrm{Dol}}(C_p, d) &\rightarrow C_p, & q_2 : C_p \times N_{\mathrm{Dol}}(C_p, d) &\rightarrow N_{\mathrm{dR}}(C_p, d). \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be the pullback of  $V_n$  along the projection  $C_n \times N_{\mathrm{Dol}}(C_p, dp) \rightarrow C_n$ ; it is a vector bundle of rank  $p$ . Let  $(\mathcal{U}_{\mathrm{dR}}, \nabla)$  and

$$(\mathcal{U}_{\mathrm{Dol}}, \theta) = (\tilde{\pi}_* \mathcal{F}_n, \theta)$$

be universal families over the schemes at both sides of (33), where  $\mathcal{F}_n$  is a universal family over  $C_n \times N_{\mathrm{Dol}}(C_p, d)$ . The isomorphism (31) shows that the pushforward  $F_* \mathcal{U}_{\mathrm{dR}}$  and  $\tilde{\pi}_*(\mathcal{F}_n \otimes \mathcal{V})$  coincide after restricting over any closed point on  $N_{\mathrm{Dol}}(C_p, d)$ . Therefore, these two sheaves are different by a line bundle pulled back from  $N_{\mathrm{Dol}}(C_p, d)$ . Modifying the universal family  $\mathcal{F}_n$  by this line bundle, we may assume that the universal families  $\mathcal{U}_{\mathrm{dR}}$  and  $\mathcal{F}_n$  satisfy that

$$(34) \quad F_* \mathcal{U}_{\mathrm{dR}} \simeq \tilde{\pi}_*(\mathcal{F}_n \otimes \mathcal{V}).$$

Let  $\alpha_0 \in H^2(C \times N_{\mathrm{Dol}}(C_p, d), \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$  be a class of the form

$$(35) \quad \alpha_0 = p_2^* \alpha'_0 + q_2^* \alpha''_0.$$

For any  $\gamma \in H^*(C_p, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ , we compute the class

$$(36) \quad \Gamma = \int_{\gamma} \mathrm{ch}^{\alpha_0}(F_* \mathcal{U}_{\mathrm{dR}}) := q_{2*} (p_2^* \gamma \cup \mathrm{ch}(F_* \mathcal{U}_{\mathrm{dR}}) \cup \exp(\alpha_0)) \in H^*(N_{\mathrm{Dol}}(C_p, d), \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$$

in two different ways in Lemmas 4.3 and 4.4 below. Here we use the same notation as in Section 1.1 for twisted Chern characters  $\mathrm{ch}^\alpha(-)$ .

*Remark 4.2.* In this section, we say that a class on a product is of the form (35) if it is given by the sum of two classes pulled back from the two factors.

**Lemma 4.3.** *The class (36) can be expressed as*

$$\Gamma = \nu_* \int_{\mathrm{Fr}_p^* \gamma} \mathrm{ch}^{\mathrm{Fr}_p^* \alpha_0 + \alpha_1}(\mathcal{U}_{\mathrm{dR}})$$

where  $\alpha_1$  is a class of the form (35).

*Proof.* Applying Grothendieck–Riemann–Roch (GRR) to (33), we have

$$\mathrm{ch}(F_* \mathcal{U}_{\mathrm{dR}}) = F_* [\mathrm{ch}^{\alpha_1}(\mathcal{U}_{\mathrm{dR}})]$$

with  $\alpha_1$  of the form (35) contributed by the Todd class. Hence the projection formula yields

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma &= q_{2*} \left( p_2^* \gamma \cup F_* \left[ \text{ch}^{\text{Fr}_p^* \alpha_0 + \alpha_1}(\mathcal{U}_{\text{dR}}) \right] \right) \\ &= q_{2*} F_* \left( F^* p_2^* \gamma \cup \text{ch}^{\text{Fr}_p^* \alpha_0 + \alpha_1}(\mathcal{U}_{\text{dR}}) \right) \\ &= \nu_* q_{1*} \left( p_1^* \text{Fr}_p^* \gamma \cup \text{ch}^{\text{Fr}_p^* \alpha_0 + \alpha_1}(\mathcal{U}_{\text{dR}}) \right) \\ &= \nu_* \int_{\text{Fr}_p^* \gamma} \text{ch}^{\text{Fr}_p^* \alpha_0 + \alpha_1}(\mathcal{U}_{\text{dR}}).\end{aligned}$$

Here we have used  $q_2 \circ F = \nu \circ q_1$  and  $\text{Fr}_p \circ p_1 = p_2 \circ F$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 4.4.** *We have*

$$\Gamma = p \cdot \int_{\gamma} \text{ch}^{\beta}(\mathcal{U}_{\text{Dol}}),$$

where  $\beta$  is a class of the form (35).

*Proof.* First, we can take the splitting bundle  $V_n$  over  $C_n$  to be  $\pi_n^* \text{Fr}_{p*} \mathcal{O}_{C_p}$ . Indeed, by writing out the coordinate rings, we see that  $\pi_n^* \text{Fr}_{p*} \mathcal{O}_{C_p}$  has a natural  $\mathcal{D}$ -module structure induced by the natural  $D_{C_p}$ -module  $\mathcal{O}_{C_p}$  (endowed with the natural differential operator). Furthermore, since  $(\pi_n^* \text{Fr}_{p*} \mathcal{O}_{C_p})|_{C_p} = \text{Fr}_{p*} \mathcal{O}_{C_p}$ , the proof of [14, Corollary 3.45] implies that  $\pi_n^* \text{Fr}_{p*} \mathcal{O}_{C_p}$  is a splitting of the correct degree for the non-abelian Hodge correspondence that preserves semistability.

Therefore, the pullback  $\mathcal{V}$  of  $V_n$  is isomorphic to  $\tilde{\pi}^* p_2^* \text{Fr}_* \mathcal{O}_{C_p}$ . Combined with the identity  $\tilde{\pi}_* \mathcal{F}_n = \mathcal{U}_{\text{Dol}}$ , we obtain the isomorphism

$$\tilde{\pi}_*(\mathcal{F}_n \otimes \mathcal{V}) \cong \mathcal{U}_{\text{Dol}} \otimes p_2^* \text{Fr}_* \mathcal{O}_{C_p}.$$

By (34), we have

$$\begin{aligned}(37) \quad \text{ch}(F_* \mathcal{U}_{\text{dR}}) &= \text{ch}(\tilde{\pi}_*(\mathcal{F}_n \otimes \mathcal{V})) \\ &= \text{ch}(\mathcal{U}_{\text{Dol}}) \cup (p + p_2^* c_1(V)) = p \cdot \text{ch}^{\beta}(\mathcal{U}_{\text{Dol}}).\end{aligned}$$

Here  $\beta = \frac{p_2^* c_1(V)}{p}$  which by definition is of the form (35).  $\square$

**4.4. Proof of Theorem 3.1.** Combining Lemmas 4.3 and 4.4, we see that the isomorphism (b) in (29) sends

$$\int_{\gamma} \text{ch}^{\beta}(\mathcal{U}_{\text{Dol}}) \mapsto \int_{\frac{1}{p} \cdot \text{Fr}_p^* \gamma} \text{ch}^{\text{Fr}_p^* \alpha_0 + \alpha_1}(\mathcal{U}_{\text{dR}}).$$

To complete the proof of Theorem 3.1, it suffices to show that we may pick  $\alpha_0$  so that both twisted Chern characters above are normalized.

Since the choice of  $\alpha_0$  is arbitrary as long as it is of the form (35), we may choose a suitable  $\alpha_0$  so that  $\text{ch}^{\text{Fr}_p^* \alpha_0 + \alpha_1}(\mathcal{U}_{\text{dR}})$  is normalized, *i.e.*, the restrictions of  $\text{ch}_1^{\text{Fr}_p^* \alpha_0 + \alpha_1}(\mathcal{U}_{\text{dR}})$  to  $\text{pt} \times N_{\text{dR}}(C_p, dp)$  and  $C_p \times \text{pt}$  vanish.

With this choice of  $\alpha_0$ , we obtain that the filtered isomorphism  $\tilde{\Theta}_M$  sends classes

$$(38) \quad \int_{\gamma} \mathrm{ch}_k^{\tilde{\beta}}(\mathcal{U}_{Dol}) \in H^*(M_{Dol}(C, d), \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell})$$

with some  $\tilde{\beta} \in H^2(C \times M_{Dol}(C, d), \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell})$  of the form (35) to  $c(\gamma, k) \in H^*(M_{Dol}(C, dp), \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell})$ .

Now it suffices to show that the classes (38) in fact are the tautological classes  $c(\gamma, k)$ . This follows from [8, Lemma 4.7], since  $c(\gamma, k)$  has perversity  $k$  ([8, Theorems 0.4 and 0.5]) and  $\tilde{\Theta}_M$  preserve the perversity.  $\square$

*Remark 4.5.* For the last step in the proof above, actually we do not need the full strength of [8, Theorems 0.4 and 0.5]. As in the proof of [8, Lemma 4.7], we may use the fact that  $c(\gamma, 1)$  has perversity 1 to conclude that

$$\mathrm{ch}_1^{\tilde{\beta}}(\mathcal{U}_{Dol}) \in H^1(C, \mathbb{Q}_l) \otimes H^1(M_{Dol}(C, d)),$$

which is enough to deduce that  $\mathrm{ch}^{\tilde{\beta}}(\mathcal{U}_{Dol})$  is normalized.

## REFERENCES

- [1] N. A'Campo, *Tresses, monodromie et le groupe symplectique*, Comment. Math. Helv. 54 (1979), no. 2, 318–327.
- [2] A. A. Beilinson, J. Bernstein, and P. Deligne, *Faisceaux pervers*, Analysis and topology on singular spaces, I (Luminy, 1981), 5–171, Astérisque, 100, Soc. Math. France, Paris, 1982.
- [3] R. Bezrukavnikov, I. Mirković, and D. Rumynin, *Localization of modules for a semisimple Lie algebra in prime characteristic*, Ann. of Math. (2) 167 (2008), no. 3, 945–991, With an appendix by Bezrukavnikov and Simon Riche.
- [4] M. A. de Cataldo, *Hodge-theoretic splitting mechanisms for projective maps*, with an appendix containing a letter from P. Deligne, J. Singul. 7 (2013), 134–156.
- [5] M. A. de Cataldo, T. Hausel, and L. Migliorini, *Topology of Hitchin systems and Hodge theory of character varieties: the case  $A_1$* , Ann. of Math. (2) 175 (2012), no. 3, 1329–1407.
- [6] M. A. de Cataldo and D. Maulik, *The perverse filtration for the Hitchin fibration is locally constant*, arXiv:1808.02235.
- [7] M.A. de Cataldo, *A support theorem for the Hitchin fibration: the case of  $SL_n$* , Compos.Math. 153 1316–1347.
- [8] M. A. de Cataldo, D. Maulik, and J. Shen, *Hitchin fibrations, abelian surfaces, and the  $P = W$  conjecture*, arXiv 1909.11885.
- [9] M.A. de Cataldo, S. Zhang, *Projective completion of moduli of  $t$ -connections on curves in positive and mixed characteristic*, preprint.
- [10] M. A. de Cataldo, S. Zhang, *A cohomological non-abelian Hodge theorem in positive characteristic*, preprint.
- [11] T.-H. Chen, X. Zhu, *Non-Abelian Hodge Theory for algebraic curves in characteristic  $p$* , Geom. Funct. Anal. Vol. 25 (2015) 1706–1733.
- [12] W. Y. Chuang, D. E. Diaconescu, and G. Pan, *BPS states and the  $P=W$  conjecture*, Moduli spaces, 132–150, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., 411, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2014.
- [13] P. Deligne, *Décompositions dans la catégorie dérivée*, Motives (Seattle, WA, 1991), 115–128, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., 55, Part 1, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1994.

- [14] M. Groechenig, *Moduli of flat connections in positive characteristic*, Math. Res. Lett. 23 (2016), no. 4, 989–1047.
- [15] T. Hausel, M. Thaddeus, *Generators for the cohomology ring of the moduli space of rank 2 Higgs bundles*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 88 (2004), no. 3, 632–658.
- [16] T. Hausel, *Global topology of the Hitchin system*, Handbook of moduli. Vol. II, 29–69, Adv. Lect. Math. (ALM), 25, Int. Press, Somerville, MA, 2013.
- [17] J. Heinloth, *Lectures on the moduli stack of vector bundles on a curve*, Affine flag manifolds and principal bundles, 123–153, Trends Math., Birkhäuser/Springer Basel AG, Basel, 2010.
- [18] N. J. Hitchin, *The self-duality equations on a Riemann surface*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 55 (1987), no. 1, 59–126.
- [19] N. J. Hitchin, *Stable bundles and integrable systems*, Duke Math. J. 54 (1987) 91–114.
- [20] Y Laszlo and C Pauly, *On the Hitchin morphism in positive characteristic*, Internat. Math. Res. Notices (2001), no. 3, 129–143.
- [21] E. Markman, *Generators of the cohomology ring of moduli spaces of sheaves on symplectic surfaces*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 544 (2002), 61–82.
- [22] A. Mellit, *Poincaré polynomials of moduli spaces of Higgs bundles and character varieties (no punctures)*, Invent. Math. 221, (2020), 301–327.  
(2013), 2353–2367.
- [23] V. Shende, *The weights of the tautological classes of character varieties*, Int. Math. Res. Not. 2017, no. 22, 6832–6840.
- [24] C. T. Simpson, *Moduli of representations of the fundamental group of smooth projective varieties II*, Publ. Math. IHES. No. 80 (1994), 5–79.

STONY BROOK UNIVERSITY

*Email address:* `mark.decataldo@stonybrook.edu`

MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

*Email address:* `maulik@mit.edu`

MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

*Email address:* `jlshen@mit.edu`

STONY BROOK UNIVERSITY

*Email address:* `siqing.zhang@stonybrook.edu`