

# AMENABILITY AND ACYCLICITY IN BOUNDED COHOMOLOGY THEORY

MARCO MORASCHINI AND GEORGE RAPTIS

ABSTRACT. Johnson’s characterization of amenable groups states that a discrete group  $\Gamma$  is amenable if and only if  $H_b^{n \geq 1}(\Gamma; V) = 0$  for all dual normed  $\mathbb{R}[\Gamma]$ -modules  $V$ . In this paper, we extend the previous result to homomorphisms by proving the converse of the *Mapping Theorem*: a surjective homomorphism  $\varphi: \Gamma \rightarrow K$  has amenable kernel  $H$  if and only if the induced inflation map  $H_b^\bullet(K; V^H) \rightarrow H_b^\bullet(\Gamma; V)$  is an isometric isomorphism for every dual normed  $\mathbb{R}[\Gamma]$ -module  $V$ . In addition, we obtain an analogous characterization for the (smaller) class of surjective homomorphisms  $\varphi: \Gamma \rightarrow K$  with the property that the inflation maps in bounded cohomology are isometric isomorphisms for *all* normed  $\mathbb{R}[\Gamma]$ -modules. Finally, we also prove a characterization of the (larger) class of *boundedly acyclic* homomorphisms  $\varphi: \Gamma \rightarrow K$ , for which the restriction maps in bounded cohomology  $H_b^\bullet(K; V) \rightarrow H_b^\bullet(\Gamma; \varphi^{-1}V)$  are isomorphisms for every dual normed  $\mathbb{R}[K]$ -module  $V$ . We then extend the first and the third situations to spaces and obtain characterizations of *amenable* maps and *boundedly acyclic* maps in terms of the vanishing of the bounded cohomology of their homotopy fibers with respect to appropriate choices of coefficients.

## 1. INTRODUCTION AND STATEMENT OF RESULTS

Bounded cohomology  $H_b^\bullet(-; -)$  is a functional–analytic variant of ordinary singular cohomology which was first introduced by Johnson [14] and Trauber (unpublished) to address problems about Banach algebras. The theory of bounded cohomology grew into an independent and active research field after the pioneering work of Gromov [9] who extended the theory from groups to topological spaces, developed many of its fundamental properties, and explored its connections with geometry and group theory. Building on Gromov’s work, Ivanov [13, 12] gave a detailed account of the foundations of bounded cohomology which also emphasized the use of methods from homological algebra; the case of twisted coefficients was treated also by Noskov [20]. The theory of bounded cohomology was developed further and extended to topological groups by Burger and Monod [5, 19].

Among the first striking results of bounded cohomology theory is the following characterization of amenable groups due to Johnson [14]: *a discrete group  $\Gamma$  is amenable if and only if  $H_b^n(\Gamma; V) = 0$  for all dual normed  $\mathbb{R}[\Gamma]$ -module  $V$  and  $n \geq 1$*  (see also [7, Corollary 3.11]). We emphasize that this characterization holds specifically with respect to *dual normed*  $\mathbb{R}[\Gamma]$ -modules. In other words, the bounded cohomology groups  $H_b^n(\Gamma; V)$  of

an amenable group  $\Gamma$  do not vanish in general for arbitrary normed  $\mathbb{R}[\Gamma]$ -modules (see e.g. [20]). Moreover, the triviality of the bounded cohomology of  $\Gamma$  with trivial coefficients in  $\mathbb{R}$  does not suffice to characterize amenability; counterexamples were constructed by Matsumoto–Morita [18] and Löh [17].

The central role of amenability in the theory of bounded cohomology is further elucidated by Gromov’s *Mapping Theorem* [9, 12, 8]. We state here a strong version of this theorem for maps  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  and twisted coefficients on  $X$  [15, Corollary 2.8.5]; the complete proof can be obtained by combining, e.g. [19, Corollary 7.5.10], [16, Appendix B] and [12, Corollary 6.4]. We will review the definition and basic properties of bounded cohomology in **Section 2**.

**Theorem** (Mapping Theorem). *Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a map of based path-connected spaces such that  $f_*: \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \pi_1(Y)$  is surjective with amenable kernel  $H$ . Then, for all dual normed  $\mathbb{R}[\pi_1(X)]$ -modules  $V$ , the induced inflation map*

$$H_b^\bullet(f; \mathbb{I}_V): H_b^\bullet(Y; V^H) \rightarrow H_b^\bullet(X; V)$$

*is an isometric isomorphism. Here  $\mathbb{I}_V: V^H \rightarrow V$  denotes the inclusion of  $H$ -fixed points of  $V$ .*

We call a map  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  *amenable* if it satisfies the conclusion of the *Mapping Theorem* (see Definition 2.4.6). As a consequence of Johnson’s characterization and the *Mapping Theorem*, we observe that a map  $X \rightarrow *$  is amenable if and only if  $X$  has amenable fundamental group. Our first goal in this paper is to prove that the assumptions of the *Mapping Theorem* completely characterize amenable maps. This characterization may be regarded as a parametrized version of Johnson’s characterization of amenable groups. To clarify this viewpoint, let us first observe the following homotopy–theoretic reformulation of the assumptions on  $f_*$  in the *Mapping Theorem* (cf. [19, pp. 167–168]). Let  $F$  denote the *homotopy fiber* of  $f$ , that is, the fiber of a replacement of  $f$  by a fibration up to (weak) homotopy equivalence. The (weak) homotopy type of  $F$  is uniquely determined and there is a long exact sequence of homotopy groups (see, for example, [24]):

$$\cdots \rightarrow \pi_2(Y) \rightarrow \pi_1(F) \rightarrow \pi_1(X) \xrightarrow{f_*} \pi_1(Y) \rightarrow \pi_0(F) \rightarrow \pi_0(X) \rightarrow \cdots .$$

Since  $X$  is path-connected, it follows that  $F$  is path-connected if and only if  $f_*$  is surjective. Moreover,  $\pi_1(F)$  is a (central) extension of  $\ker(f_*)$  by an abelian group,

$$1 \rightarrow A \rightarrow \pi_1(F) \rightarrow \ker(f_*) \rightarrow 1,$$

where the abelian group  $A$  is given by the image of the homomorphism  $\pi_2(Y) \rightarrow \pi_1(F)$ . Therefore,  $\pi_1(F)$  is amenable if and only if  $\ker(f_*)$  is amenable [7, Propotion 3.4]. The following theorem partly reformulates the *Mapping Theorem* and also shows that the assumptions of the *Mapping Theorem* completely characterize amenable maps.

**Theorem A.** *Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a map between based path-connected spaces, let  $f_*: \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \pi_1(Y)$  be the induced homomorphism between the fundamental groups and let  $H$  be its kernel. Let  $F$  denote the homotopy fiber of  $f$  and suppose that  $F$  is path-connected (equivalently,  $f_*$  is surjective). Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1)  $f$  is an amenable map, that is, for all dual normed  $\mathbb{R}[\pi_1(X)]$ -modules  $V$ , the induced inflation map

$$H_b^\bullet(f; \mathbb{I}_V): H_b^\bullet(Y; V^H) \rightarrow H_b^\bullet(X; V)$$

is an isometric isomorphism.

- (2) For all dual normed  $\mathbb{R}[\pi_1(X)]$ -modules  $V$ , the induced inflation map

$$H_b^1(f; \mathbb{I}_V): H_b^1(Y; V^H) \rightarrow H_b^1(X; V)$$

is an isomorphism.

- (3)  $F$  has amenable fundamental group.

It follows from this characterization that a map  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is amenable if and only if  $f$  is *fiberwise* amenable, that is, if and only if the map  $F \rightarrow *$  is amenable. Using the *Mapping Theorem*, Theorem A will be obtained from an analogous characterization of *amenable* homomorphisms in the context of discrete groups (see Definition 2.4.6 and Theorem 3.1.3). More precisely, Theorem 3.1.3 will characterize surjective group homomorphisms  $\varphi: \Gamma \rightarrow K$  with amenable kernel in terms of bounded cohomology and conditions analogous to Theorem A – the statement for discrete groups corresponds to the special case of Theorem A for the maps  $B(\varphi): B(\Gamma) \rightarrow B(K)$ . The proof of this characterization for discrete groups is obtained from a “relative” version of Johnson’s characterization of amenability combined with the exact sequences in bounded cohomology that are induced by group extensions [19, Chapter 12]. We prove Theorem 3.1.3 and then deduce Theorem A in **Section 3**.

It is well-known that the vanishing of the bounded cohomology groups  $H_b^{n \geq 1}(\Gamma; V)$  for all normed  $\mathbb{R}[\Gamma]$ -modules  $V$  is a much stronger condition on  $\Gamma$  which actually characterizes the class of *finite* groups [7, 3.5]. In **Section 3**, we also prove the following generalization of this characterization to the context of group homomorphisms.

**Theorem B.** *Let  $\varphi: \Gamma \rightarrow K$  be a surjective homomorphism of discrete groups and let  $H$  be the kernel of  $\varphi$ . Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) For all normed  $\mathbb{R}[\Gamma]$ -modules  $V$ , the induced inflation map

$$H_b^\bullet(\varphi; \mathbb{I}_V): H_b^\bullet(K; V^H) \rightarrow H_b^\bullet(\Gamma; V)$$

is an isometric isomorphism.

- (2) For all Banach  $\Gamma$ -modules  $V$ , the induced inflation map

$$H_b^1(\varphi; \mathbb{I}_V): H_b^1(K; V^H) \rightarrow H_b^1(\Gamma; V)$$

is an isomorphism.

- (3)  $H$  is finite.

Once we have these characterizations, a natural question is to understand what happens if we only consider the weaker condition that the bounded cohomology of the homotopy fiber  $F$  (resp.  $H$ ) with coefficients in  $\mathbb{R}$  vanishes in positive degrees. (For any group  $\Gamma$ ,  $\mathbb{R}$  is regarded as an  $\mathbb{R}[\Gamma]$ -module with the trivial  $\Gamma$ -action.) Since there are many non-amenable groups with trivial bounded cohomology with  $\mathbb{R}$ -coefficients (e.g. the group of compactly supported homeomorphisms of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  [18] and the mitotic groups [17]), we know

that this class of maps (or homomorphisms) is strictly larger than the class of amenable maps (or homomorphisms). Additionally, in connection with the definition of acyclic map in classical homotopy theory (see, for example, [10, 22]), it seems natural to consider the corresponding class of maps for bounded cohomology: a map  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  of based path-connected spaces is *boundedly  $n$ -acyclic* if the induced restriction map

$$H_b^i(f; V): H_b^i(Y; V) \rightarrow H_b^i(X; f_*^{-1}V)$$

is an isomorphism for  $i \leq n$  and injective for  $i = n+1$ , for every dual normed  $\mathbb{R}[\pi_1(Y)]$ -module  $V$ . In analogy with the characterizations of acyclic maps for singular cohomology, we obtain the following characterizations for the class of boundedly  $n$ -acyclic maps.

**Theorem C.** *Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a map between based path-connected spaces, let  $F$  denote its homotopy fiber, and let  $n \geq 0$  be an integer or  $n = \infty$ . We denote by  $f_*: \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \pi_1(Y)$  the induced homomorphism between the fundamental groups. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1)  *$f$  is boundedly  $n$ -acyclic.*
- (2) *The induced restriction map*

$$H_b^i(f; V): H_b^i(Y; V) \rightarrow H_b^i(X; f_*^{-1}V)$$

*is surjective for every dual normed  $\mathbb{R}[\pi_1(Y)]$ -module  $V$  and for every  $0 \leq i \leq n$ .*

- (3)  *$F$  is path-connected and  $H_b^i(X; f_*^{-1}V) = 0$  for every relatively injective dual normed  $\mathbb{R}[\pi_1(Y)]$ -module  $V$  and for every  $1 \leq i \leq n$ .*
- (4)  *$H_b^0(F; \mathbb{R}) \cong \mathbb{R}$  and  $H_b^i(F; \mathbb{R}) = 0$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ .*

The proof of Theorem C will make essential use of the properties of bounded cohomology purely from the viewpoint of homological algebra. The drawback of the purely algebraic approach to bounded cohomology is that it neglects the metric structure of bounded cohomology. In particular, it seems likely that the statements in (3) and (4) of Theorem C fail in general to characterize the maps  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  for which the induced restriction maps are *isometric* isomorphisms in bounded cohomology; we do not know any specific counterexamples though. Still, it is reasonable to make full and unreserved use of this viewpoint and of the corresponding well-established methods of homological (and homotopical) algebra, especially, given that relatively few calculations of bounded cohomology groups are actually known. We hope that working with boundedly ( $n$ -)acyclic maps and the characterizations in Theorem C can lead to new and interesting examples of bounded cohomology equivalences outside situations which involve amenable groups.

Using the *Mapping Theorem*, the proof of Theorem C will again be deduced from an analogous statement which characterizes the homomorphisms  $\varphi: \Gamma \rightarrow K$  of discrete groups such that the restriction map

$$H_b^\bullet(\varphi; V): H_b^\bullet(K; V) \rightarrow H_b^\bullet(\Gamma; \varphi^{-1}V)$$

is an isomorphism for  $i \leq n$  and injective for  $i = n+1$ , for every dual normed  $\mathbb{R}[K]$ -module  $V$  (Theorem 4.1.1). Similarly to Theorem C(4), these turn out to be exactly the surjective homomorphisms  $\varphi: \Gamma \rightarrow K$  whose kernel  $H$  is *boundedly  $n$ -acyclic*, that is,  $H_b^i(H; \mathbb{R}) = 0$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Examples of such

groups are the amenable groups (by the *Mapping Theorem*), the group of compactly supported homeomorphisms of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  [18] and the mitotic groups [17] (for  $n = \infty$ ), as well as certain irreducible lattices [2] (known for finite  $n$ ). In **Section 4**, we prove Theorem 4.1.1 and then deduce Theorem C. Moreover, we use these characterizations to discuss the closure properties of the class of boundedly  $n$ -acyclic groups and the stability properties of boundedly  $n$ -acyclic maps under various standard homotopy–theoretic operations. Finally, we discuss an application to the vanishing of the relative simplicial volume of an oriented compact connected  $n$ -manifold  $(M, \partial M)$ , assuming that the inclusion  $\partial M \rightarrow M$  is boundedly  $(n - 1)$ -acyclic.

**Acknowledgements.** We thank Clara Löh for her helpful and interesting comments on this work and Francesco Fournier Facio for useful discussions about boundedly acyclic groups. This work was partially supported by *SFB 1085 – Higher Invariants* (University of Regensburg) funded by the DFG.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

**2.1. Bounded cohomology.** We briefly recall the definition and the basic properties of bounded cohomology of groups and spaces. We refer the reader to Gromov [9], Ivanov [13, 12], Frigerio [7], Löh [15] and Monod [19], for detailed expositions of the theory.

Let  $\Gamma$  be a discrete group. An  $\mathbb{R}[\Gamma]$ -module is a *normed*  $\mathbb{R}$ -module  $V := (V, \|\cdot\|_V)$  equipped with an action of  $\Gamma$  by  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear isometries. We say that  $V$  is a *trivial*  $\mathbb{R}[\Gamma]$ -module if the group  $\Gamma$  acts trivially on  $V$ .

Let  $X := (X, x)$  be a based path-connected topological space and let  $\Gamma := \pi_1(X, x)$  denote the fundamental group. We restrict throughout this paper to based topological spaces  $X$  equipped with a universal covering  $p: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$  and a basepoint  $\tilde{x} \in p^{-1}(x)$ . The group  $\Gamma$  acts continuously on  $\tilde{X}$  by deck transformations. We consider the real singular chain complex  $C_\bullet(\tilde{X}; \mathbb{R})$  as a normed  $\mathbb{R}[\Gamma]$ -module endowed with the  $\ell^1$ -norm. We recall that the  $\ell^1$ -norm of a singular  $\mathbb{R}$ -chain  $c = \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i \sigma_i \in C_\bullet(\tilde{X}; \mathbb{R})$  is defined by  $\|c\|_1 = \sum_{i=1}^k |\alpha_i|$ .

Let  $V$  be a normed  $\mathbb{R}[\Gamma]$ -module. Then, we can consider the normed  $\mathbb{R}[\Gamma]$ -module of bounded operators  $\mathcal{B}(C_\bullet(\tilde{X}; \mathbb{R}), V)$  (with the  $\ell^\infty$ -norm); this is equipped with the diagonal action of  $\Gamma$  given by

$$(g \cdot f)(c) = g \cdot f(g^{-1}c),$$

where  $g \in \Gamma$ ,  $f \in \mathcal{B}(C_\bullet(\tilde{X}; \mathbb{R}), V)$  and  $c \in C_\bullet(\tilde{X}; \mathbb{R})$ . We then denote by  $C_b^\bullet(X; V)$  the (normed) space of  $\Gamma$ -invariants  $\mathcal{B}(C_\bullet(\tilde{X}; \mathbb{R}), V)^\Gamma$  of  $\mathcal{B}(C_\bullet(\tilde{X}; \mathbb{R}), V)$ . Since the coboundary operators  $\delta^\bullet$  preserve  $\Gamma$ -invariant bounded cochains, we obtain the *bounded cochain complex*  $(C_b^\bullet(X; V), \delta^\bullet)$  of  $X$  with coefficients in  $V$ .

**Definition 2.1.1** (Bounded cohomology of spaces). Let  $X$ ,  $\Gamma$  and  $V$  be as above. The *bounded cohomology of  $X$  with coefficients in  $V$* , denoted by  $H_b^\bullet(X; V)$ , is the cohomology of the bounded cochain complex  $(C_b^\bullet(X; V), \delta^\bullet)$ .

**Remark 2.1.2** (Seminorm on bounded cohomology). The bounded cohomology groups are canonically endowed with a seminorm that is induced by

the  $\ell^\infty$ -norm. We will say that an isomorphism in bounded cohomology is *isometric* if it preserves the  $\ell^\infty$ -seminorm.

Using this definition of bounded cohomology for spaces, it is possible to define the bounded cohomology  $H_b^\bullet(\Gamma; V)$  of a discrete group  $\Gamma$  to be the corresponding bounded cohomology of a model for the classifying space  $B\Gamma$ . This definition is independent of the choice of a model because bounded cohomology is homotopy invariant [16, Appendix B]. We briefly also recall the usual definition of bounded cohomology of groups using the *standard resolution* (see, for example, [7]) – this will be needed later. Let  $\Gamma$  be a discrete group and let  $V$  be a normed  $\mathbb{R}[\Gamma]$ -module. We define

$$C^\bullet(\Gamma; V) := \{f: \Gamma^{\bullet+1} \rightarrow V\}$$

to be the group of  $n$ -cochains on  $\Gamma$  with coefficients in  $V$ ; these groups form the standard resolution  $(C^\bullet(\Gamma; V), \delta^\bullet)$  that is used in the definition of the group cohomology of  $\Gamma$  with coefficients in  $V$ . As before, we restrict to the *bounded cochains*  $C_b^\bullet(\Gamma; V) \subset C^\bullet(\Gamma; V)$ ,

$$C_b^\bullet(\Gamma; V) := \{f \in C^\bullet(\Gamma; V) \mid \|f\|_\infty < \infty\},$$

where  $\|f\|_\infty := \sup_{g_0, \dots, g_\bullet} \|f(g_0, \dots, g_\bullet)\|_V$  is the  $\ell^\infty$ -norm. Finally, we denote by

$$C_b^\bullet(\Gamma; V)^\Gamma \subset C_b^\bullet(\Gamma; V)$$

the  $\Gamma$ -invariant bounded functions; here,  $C_b^\bullet(\Gamma; V)$  is endowed with the corresponding diagonal  $\Gamma$ -action:

$$(g \cdot f)(g_0, \dots, g_\bullet) := g \cdot f(g^{-1}g_0, \dots, g^{-1}g_\bullet).$$

Note that the coboundary operators  $\delta^\bullet$  of  $C^\bullet(\Gamma; V)$  preserve  $\Gamma$ -equivariant bounded cochains, so we obtain the *bounded cochain complex*  $(C_b^\bullet(\Gamma; V)^\Gamma, \delta^\bullet)$  of  $\Gamma$  with coefficients in  $V$ .

**Definition 2.1.3** (Bounded cohomology of groups). Let  $\Gamma$  and  $V$  be as above. We define the *bounded cohomology of  $\Gamma$  with coefficients in  $V$* , denoted by  $H_b^\bullet(\Gamma; V)$ , to be the cohomology of the cochain complex of bounded  $\Gamma$ -invariant cochains  $(C_b^\bullet(\Gamma; V)^\Gamma, \delta^\bullet)$ .

**Remark 2.1.4** (Dual (normed) modules). Even though bounded cohomology with twisted coefficients is defined for *arbitrary*  $\mathbb{R}[\Gamma]$ -modules  $V$ , it is common in the literature to restrict to *dual*  $\mathbb{R}[\Gamma]$ -modules. We recall that a normed  $\mathbb{R}[\Gamma]$ -module  $V$  is a *dual*  $\mathbb{R}[\Gamma]$ -module if there exists a normed  $\mathbb{R}[\Gamma]$ -module  $W$  such that  $V$  is isomorphic to the topological dual of  $W$  (as  $\mathbb{R}[\Gamma]$ -modules). Note that a dual normed  $\mathbb{R}[\Gamma]$ -module is always a *Banach*  $\Gamma$ -module. The restriction to dual modules is a standard convention in the literature because it provides a convenient setting for many of the methods and techniques used in the theory (for example, in connection with actions by amenable groups [7, 12, 19]). However, it would be useful to understand more precisely the significance of the restriction to dual normed  $\mathbb{R}[\Gamma]$ -modules. For example, we do not know if the bounded cohomology of an amenable group  $\Gamma$  vanishes for all normed *trivial*  $\mathbb{R}[\Gamma]$ -modules (cf. Proposition 2.6.3).

**2.2. Restriction maps.** Bounded cohomology  $H_b^\bullet(X; V)$  (and  $H_b^\bullet(\Gamma; V)$ ) has several functoriality properties with respect to  $X$  and  $V$ . For a fixed  $X$  (or  $\Gamma$ ), bounded cohomology  $H_b^\bullet(X; -)$  (and  $H_b^\bullet(\Gamma; -)$ ) is clearly (covariantly) functorial with respect to bounded linear  $\Gamma$ -maps, and defines a functor with values in the category of graded seminormed  $\mathbb{R}$ -modules and bounded linear maps.

In this subsection, we recall some details about the functoriality of bounded cohomology in  $X$  (and  $\Gamma$ ) given by the *restriction homomorphisms*.

**Definition 2.2.1.** Let  $\Gamma$  and  $K$  be discrete groups and let  $\varphi: \Gamma \rightarrow K$  be a homomorphism. For every normed  $\mathbb{R}[K]$ -module  $V$ , we define the *pullback* (or restricted) normed  $\mathbb{R}[\Gamma]$ -module  $\varphi^{-1}V$  to be the normed module  $V$  equipped with the  $\Gamma$ -action:

$$g \cdot v = \varphi(g) \cdot v$$

for every  $g \in \Gamma$  and  $v \in V$ . Then precomposition with the homomorphism  $\varphi: \Gamma \rightarrow K$  induces the *restriction map*:

$$\varphi^* := H_b^\bullet(\varphi; V): H_b^\bullet(K; V) \rightarrow H_b^\bullet(\Gamma; \varphi^{-1}V).$$

**Notation 2.2.2.** In the special case of an inclusion  $\iota: H \rightarrow \Gamma$ , following Monod's notation [19, 8.6], we will write

$$\mathbf{res}^\bullet: C_b^\bullet(\Gamma; V)^\Gamma \rightarrow C_b^\bullet(H; V)^H$$

for the restriction map of cochain complexes, given by

$$\mathbf{res}^\bullet(\varphi)(h_0, \dots, h_\bullet) := \varphi(\iota(h_0), \dots, \iota(h_\bullet));$$

note that  $V$  denotes here both an  $\mathbb{R}[\Gamma]$ -module and the restricted  $\mathbb{R}[H]$ -module  $\iota^{-1}V$ . This map induces the corresponding restriction map and will be denoted in this case:

$$\mathbf{res}^\bullet: H_b^\bullet(\Gamma; V) \rightarrow H_b^\bullet(H; V).$$

Moreover, it is worth noticing that when we consider a group extension

$$1 \rightarrow H \xrightarrow{\iota} \Gamma \rightarrow K \rightarrow 1$$

the restriction map  $\mathbf{res}^\bullet: H_b^\bullet(\Gamma; V) \rightarrow H_b^\bullet(H; V)$  factors through the  $K$ -invariants  $H_b^\bullet(H; V)^K$ , where  $K$  acts by conjugation [19, Corollary 8.7.4].

**Definition 2.2.3.** Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a based map between based path-connected spaces, let  $f_*: \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \pi_1(Y)$  denote the induced homomorphism, and let  $\tilde{f}: (\tilde{X}, \tilde{x}) \rightarrow (\tilde{Y}, \tilde{y})$  be the unique based lift of the map  $f$ . For every normed  $\mathbb{R}[\pi_1(Y)]$ -module  $V$ , precomposition with the  $\pi_1$ -equivariant map  $\tilde{f}$  induces the *restriction map*:

$$f^* := H_b^\bullet(f; V): H_b^\bullet(Y; V) \rightarrow H_b^\bullet(X; f_*^{-1}V).$$

The restriction homomorphisms in bounded cohomology are analogous to the corresponding homomorphisms in singular cohomology with local coefficients. In analogy with the class of acyclic maps in homotopy theory [10, 22], we consider the corresponding class of maps (or homomorphisms) which are equivalences with respect to the restriction homomorphisms in bounded cohomology.

**Definition 2.2.4** (Boundedly  $n$ -acyclic maps/homomorphisms). Let  $n \geq 0$  be an integer or  $n = \infty$ .

- (a) A map  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  of based path-connected spaces is *boundedly  $n$ -acyclic* if the restriction map

$$H_b^i(f; V): H_b^i(Y; V) \rightarrow H_b^i(X; f_*^{-1}V)$$

is an isomorphism for  $i \leq n$  and injective for  $i = n + 1$  for all dual normed  $\mathbb{R}[\pi_1(Y)]$ -modules  $V$ .

- (b) A homomorphism  $\varphi: \Gamma \rightarrow K$  of discrete groups is *boundedly  $n$ -acyclic* if the restriction map

$$H_b^i(\varphi; V): H_b^i(K; V) \rightarrow H_b^i(\Gamma; \varphi^{-1}V)$$

is an isomorphism for  $i \leq n$  and injective for  $i = n + 1$  for all dual normed  $\mathbb{R}[K]$ -modules  $V$ .

- (c) We say that a map  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  of based path-connected spaces (resp. a homomorphism  $\varphi: \Gamma \rightarrow K$ ) is *boundedly acyclic* if it is boundedly  $\infty$ -acyclic.

Note that the class of boundedly  $n$ -acyclic maps/homomorphisms is closed under composition. The following proposition shows the close connection between boundedly  $n$ -acyclic maps and boundedly  $n$ -acyclic homomorphisms.

**Proposition 2.2.5.** *Let  $n \geq 0$  be an integer or  $n = \infty$ . A map  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  of based path-connected spaces is boundedly  $n$ -acyclic if and only if the induced homomorphism  $f_*: \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \pi_1(Y)$  is boundedly  $n$ -acyclic.*

*Proof.* Consider the following diagram of spaces

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{c_X} & B\pi_1(X) \\ \downarrow f & & \downarrow B(f_*) \\ Y & \xrightarrow{c_Y} & B\pi_1(Y) \end{array}$$

where the horizontal maps  $c_X$  and  $c_Y$  are the canonical maps to (some functorial model of) the classifying spaces of the respective fundamental groups. By the *Mapping Theorem* (with coefficients) [9, 12, 8, 15], the restriction homomorphisms associated to the horizontal maps  $c_X$  and  $c_Y$  induce (isometric) isomorphisms in bounded cohomology  $H_b^\bullet(B\pi_1(-); V) \rightarrow H_b^\bullet(-; V)$  for all dual normed modules  $V$ . Notice that since the classifying maps are  $\pi_1$ -isomorphisms,  $V$  is isomorphic as  $\mathbb{R}[\pi_1]$ -module to its pullback. So,  $f$  is boundedly  $n$ -acyclic if and only if  $B(f_*)$  is boundedly  $n$ -acyclic, and therefore the required result follows.  $\square$

**Remark 2.2.6.** The class of boundedly  $n$ -acyclic maps/homomorphisms does not satisfy the 2-out-of-3 property: there are composable homomorphisms  $\Gamma \xrightarrow{\varphi} K \xrightarrow{\varphi'} L$  such that  $\varphi'$  and  $\varphi'\varphi$  are boundedly  $n$ -acyclic but  $\varphi$  is not (e.g., for  $\Gamma$  and  $L$  the trivial group and  $K$  a mitotic group which is not amenable – see Proposition 2.6.3). On the other hand,  $\varphi'$  is boundedly  $n$ -acyclic if both  $\varphi$  and  $\varphi'\varphi$  are boundedly  $n$ -acyclic.



**2.3. Induction modules.** We recall next the analogue of the Eckmann-Shapiro lemma for the bounded cohomology of (discrete) groups (see [19, 10.1]). This identifies the bounded cohomology of a subgroup  $H \leq \Gamma$  with the bounded cohomology of  $\Gamma$  with coefficients in the induction module.

**Definition 2.3.1** (Induction module). Let  $\Gamma$  be a discrete group and let  $H \leq \Gamma$  be a subgroup. Let  $V$  be a (dual) normed  $\mathbb{R}[H]$ -module. The *induction module*  $\mathbf{I}_H^\Gamma V$  is the (dual) normed  $\mathbb{R}[\Gamma]$ -module  $\ell^\infty(\Gamma, V)^H$  of  $H$ -invariant bounded functions  $f: \Gamma \rightarrow V$ ; the  $\Gamma$ -action on  $\mathbf{I}_H^\Gamma V$  is given by right translation, i.e.,  $(g \cdot f)(x) = f(xg)$  for  $g, x \in \Gamma$ .

**Remark 2.3.2.** Suppose that  $V$  is a dual normed  $\mathbb{R}[\Gamma]$ -module, instead of just a dual normed  $\mathbb{R}[H]$ -module. Then, the dual normed  $\mathbb{R}[\Gamma]$ -module  $\mathbf{I}_H^\Gamma V$  is isomorphic to  $\ell^\infty(\Gamma/H, V)$  endowed with the following  $\Gamma$ -action:

$$(g \cdot f)(k) = gf(g^{-1}k)$$

for all  $k \in \Gamma/H$ ,  $g \in \Gamma$  and  $f \in \ell^\infty(\Gamma/H, V)$  [19, Remark 10.1.2(v)].

**Proposition 2.3.3** (Eckmann-Shapiro lemma [19, Proposition 10.1.3]). *Let  $\Gamma$  be a discrete group and let  $H \leq \Gamma$  be a subgroup. For every dual normed  $\mathbb{R}[H]$ -module  $V$ , there is a canonical isometric isomorphism:*

$$\mathbf{i}: H_b^\bullet(H; V) \xrightarrow{\cong} H_b^\bullet(\Gamma; \mathbf{I}_H^\Gamma V).$$

The construction of the induction module has several naturality properties (see [19, Proposition 10.1.5]). Given a diagram of homomorphisms between discrete groups

$$(\star) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} H & \subset & \Gamma \\ \varphi|_H \downarrow & & \downarrow \varphi \\ H' & \subset & K \end{array}$$

where the horizontal maps are inclusions of subgroups, and given a dual normed  $\mathbb{R}[H']$ -module  $V$ , then there is a natural transformation in  $V$  of dual normed  $\mathbb{R}[\Gamma]$ -modules

$$\Psi: \varphi^{-1} \mathbf{I}_{H'}^K V \rightarrow \mathbf{I}_H^\Gamma (\varphi|_H)^{-1} V, \quad f \rightarrow f \circ \varphi,$$

where  $f \in \ell^\infty(K, V)^{H'}$ . We record the following special case of  $\Psi$  for later use.

**Proposition 2.3.4.** *Let  $\varphi: \Gamma \rightarrow K$  be a surjective homomorphism of discrete groups and let  $H$  be its kernel. Assume that  $V$  is a dual normed  $\mathbb{R}$ -module. Then the comparison morphism of dual normed  $\mathbb{R}[\Gamma]$ -modules*

$$\Psi: \varphi^{-1} \mathbf{I}_{\{1\}}^K V \rightarrow \mathbf{I}_H^\Gamma (\varphi|_H)^{-1} V$$

*is an isometric isomorphism.*

*Proof.* Unwinding the definitions, the comparison map  $\Psi$  is identified in this case with the canonical isometric isomorphism of normed  $\mathbb{R}[\Gamma]$ -modules  $\ell^\infty(K, V) \xrightarrow{\cong} \ell^\infty(\Gamma, V)^H$ ,  $f \mapsto f \circ \varphi$ .  $\square$

The isomorphism of Proposition 2.3.3 is natural with respect to diagrams  $(\star)$  and restriction homomorphisms in the following sense:

**Proposition 2.3.5** (see [19, Proposition 10.1.5 (iv)]). *Consider a diagram of group homomorphisms*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H & \subset & \Gamma \\ \varphi|_H \downarrow & & \downarrow \varphi \\ H' & \subset & K \end{array}$$

where the horizontal maps are inclusions of subgroups and let  $V$  be a dual normed  $\mathbb{R}[H']$ -module. Then the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_b^\bullet(H'; V) & \xrightarrow[\mathfrak{i}]{\cong} & H_b^\bullet(K; \mathbf{I}_{H'}^K V) \\ \downarrow (\varphi|_H)^* & & \searrow \varphi^* \\ & & H_b^\bullet(\Gamma; \varphi^{-1} \mathbf{I}_{H'}^K V) \\ & & \swarrow H_b^\bullet(\text{id}_\Gamma; \Psi) \\ H_b^\bullet(H; (\varphi|_H)^{-1} V) & \xrightarrow[\mathfrak{i}]{\cong} & H_b^\bullet(\Gamma; \mathbf{I}_H^\Gamma (\varphi|_H)^{-1} V). \end{array}$$

**2.4. Inflation maps.** Bounded cohomology  $H_b^*(X; V)$  (or  $H_b^*(\Gamma; V)$ ) has additional functoriality properties in  $X$  (and  $\Gamma$ ) given by the *inflation homomorphisms*. These provide a refinement of the restriction homomorphisms of the previous subsection. In this subsection, we recall briefly some details about the functoriality of bounded cohomology given by these maps.

**Definition 2.4.1.** Let  $\Gamma$  be a discrete group and let  $H \trianglelefteq \Gamma$  be a normal subgroup. Given a normed  $\mathbb{R}[\Gamma]$ -module  $V$ , the normed module  $V^H$  of  $H$ -fixed points inherits the structure of an  $\mathbb{R}[\Gamma]$ -module from  $V$ ; moreover, this descends to an  $\mathbb{R}[\Gamma/H]$ -module. The inclusion  $I_V: V^H \rightarrow V$  is a map of  $\mathbb{R}[\Gamma]$ -modules. The restriction along the quotient homomorphism  $\varphi: \Gamma \rightarrow K := \Gamma/H$  together with the map  $I_V: V^H \rightarrow V$  of  $\mathbb{R}[\Gamma]$ -modules induce the *inflation map*:

$$\varphi^\# := H_b^\bullet(\varphi; I_V): H_b^\bullet(K; V^H) \rightarrow H_b^\bullet(\Gamma; V).$$

**Remark 2.4.2.** Given a surjective homomorphism  $\varphi: \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma/H$  and a normed  $\mathbb{R}[\Gamma/H]$ -module  $V$ , the inflation map  $H_b^\bullet(\varphi; I_{\varphi^{-1}V})$  agrees with the restriction map  $H_b^\bullet(\varphi; V)$ .

Inflation and restriction are related in low degrees via a useful exact sequence shown in [19, 12.4]. We will only need the following weak form of this exact sequence.

**Proposition 2.4.3.** *Let  $\varphi: \Gamma \rightarrow K$  be a surjective homomorphism of discrete groups with kernel  $H$  and let  $V$  be a Banach  $\Gamma$ -module. Then, the following sequence is exact:*

$$0 \rightarrow H_b^1(K; V^H) \xrightarrow{H_b^1(\varphi; I_V)} H_b^1(\Gamma; V) \xrightarrow{\text{res}^1} H_b^1(H; V)$$

*Proof.* This is the first part of a longer exact sequence which extends to degrees  $\leq 2, 3$  [19, Proposition 12.4.2]. Note that for this extended exact sequence,  $H_b^1(H; V)$  must be replaced by the  $K$ -invariants of  $H_b^1(H; V)$  with respect to the action that is induced by conjugation.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.4.4.** *Let  $\varphi: \Gamma \rightarrow K$  be a surjective homomorphism of discrete groups with kernel  $H$  and let  $V$  be a Banach  $\Gamma$ -module. If the inflation map  $H_b^1(\varphi; \mathbb{I}_V): H_b^1(K; V^H) \rightarrow H_b^1(\Gamma; V)$  is an isomorphism, then  $\text{res}^1: H_b^1(\Gamma; V) \rightarrow H_b^1(H; V)$  is the zero map.*

**Definition 2.4.5.** Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a based map between based path-connected spaces, let  $f_*: \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \pi_1(Y)$  denote the induced homomorphism, and let  $\tilde{f}: (\tilde{X}, \tilde{x}) \rightarrow (\tilde{Y}, \tilde{y})$  be the unique based lift of the map  $f$ . Suppose that  $f_*$  is surjective and let  $H$  denote its kernel. For every normed  $\mathbb{R}[\pi_1(X)]$ -module  $V$ , precomposition with  $\tilde{f}$  together with the map  $\mathbb{I}_V: V^H \rightarrow V$  of  $\mathbb{R}[\pi_1(X)]$ -modules induce the *inflation map*:

$$f^\# := H_b^\bullet(f; \mathbb{I}_V): H_b^\bullet(Y; V^H) \rightarrow H_b^\bullet(X; V).$$

Similarly to the case of boundedly acyclic maps (or homomorphisms) (Definition 2.2.4), we consider the corresponding class of maps (or homomorphisms) which are equivalences with respect to the inflation homomorphisms. Considering this class of maps is motivated especially by Gromov's *Mapping Theorem* (with coefficients) which provides examples of such maps.

**Definition 2.4.6** (Amenable maps/homomorphisms).

- (a) Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a map as in Definition 2.4.5. The map  $f$  is *amenable* if the inflation map

$$H_b^\bullet(f; \mathbb{I}_V): H_b^i(Y; V^H) \rightarrow H_b^\bullet(X; V)$$

is an isometric isomorphism for all dual normed  $\mathbb{R}[\pi_1(X)]$ -modules  $V$ .

- (b) A surjective homomorphism  $\varphi: \Gamma \rightarrow K$  of discrete groups with kernel  $H$  is *amenable* if the inflation map

$$H_b^\bullet(\varphi; \mathbb{I}_V): H_b^\bullet(K; V^H) \rightarrow H_b^\bullet(\Gamma; V)$$

is an isometric isomorphism for all dual normed  $\mathbb{R}[\Gamma]$ -modules  $V$ .

**Proposition 2.4.7.** *Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a map as in Definition 2.4.5. Then  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is amenable if and only if the induced homomorphism  $f_*: \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \pi_1(Y)$  is amenable.*

*Proof.* The proof is the same as the proof of Proposition 2.2.5.  $\square$

**Remark 2.4.8.** The class of amenable maps/homomorphisms satisfies the 2-out-of-3 property: given composable maps  $X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z$  between spaces (as in Def. 2.4.5), then the three maps  $f, g$ , and  $gf$  are amenable if any two of these are amenable. The proof uses Remark 2.4.2 for the less trivial case ( $f, gf$  amenable  $\Rightarrow g$  amenable).

**2.5. Acyclic resolutions.** If we ignore the metric structure, bounded cohomology theory of groups can be described as a (universal)  $\delta$ -functor in the classical sense of homological algebra. A foundational approach to bounded cohomology based on this observation is developed by Bühler [4, 3]. In this subsection, we recall the main algebraic properties of bounded cohomology from the viewpoint of homological algebra.

Let  $\mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbb{R}}^{\Gamma}$  denote the category of normed  $\mathbb{R}[\Gamma]$ -modules and bounded linear  $\Gamma$ -maps. In addition, let  $\mathbf{Csn}_{\mathbb{R}}$  denote the category of seminormed

$\mathbb{R}$ -modules and bounded linear maps. We begin by recalling the definition of *relatively injective* normed  $\mathbb{R}[\Gamma]$ -modules.

**Definition 2.5.1.** Let  $A$  and  $B$  be two normed  $\mathbb{R}[\Gamma]$ -modules. A morphism  $i: A \rightarrow B$  in  $\mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbb{R}}^{\Gamma}$  is *strongly injective* if there exists an  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear map  $\sigma: B \rightarrow A$  such that  $\|\sigma\| \leq 1$  and  $\sigma \circ i = \text{Id}_A$ .

A normed  $\mathbb{R}[\Gamma]$ -module  $V$  is *relatively injective* if the following property holds: For every strongly injective morphism  $i: A \rightarrow B$  and bounded linear  $\Gamma$ -map  $\alpha: A \rightarrow V$ , there exists a morphism  $\beta: B \rightarrow V$  in  $\mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbb{R}}^{\Gamma}$  such that  $\beta \circ i = \alpha$  and  $\|\beta\| \leq \|\alpha\|$ .

**Theorem 2.5.2.** Let  $\Gamma$  be a discrete group. Then the family of functors  $H_b^i(\Gamma; -): \mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbb{R}}^{\Gamma} \rightarrow \mathbf{Csn}_{\mathbb{R}}$ ,  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , satisfies the following properties:

- (1) (Normalization)  $H_b^0(\Gamma; V) \cong V^{\Gamma}$ , for all normed  $\mathbb{R}[\Gamma]$ -module  $V$ .
- (2) (Vanishing)  $H^i(\Gamma; V) = 0$ , for every  $i \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$  and every relatively injective normed  $\mathbb{R}[\Gamma]$ -module  $V$ .
- (3) (Long exact sequence) Let  $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$  be a short exact sequence of Banach  $\Gamma$ -modules. Then, there exists a natural family of continuous maps  $(\tau^{\bullet})$  such that the following sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow H^{\bullet}(\Gamma; A) \rightarrow H^{\bullet}(\Gamma; B) \rightarrow H^{\bullet}(\Gamma; C) \xrightarrow{\tau^{\bullet}} H^{\bullet+1}(\Gamma; A) \rightarrow \cdots$$

is exact.

*Proof.* We include a few comments for the convenience of the reader and refer to Bühler [4, 3] and Monod [19] for detailed proofs. (1) follows easily from the fact that  $C_b^0(\Gamma; V)^{\Gamma} \cong V^{\Gamma}$ . For (2), let  $V$  be a relatively injective normed  $\mathbb{R}[\Gamma]$ -module and consider the resolution  $0 \rightarrow V \xrightarrow{\text{Id}_V} V \rightarrow 0$ . Using the general properties of (strong) resolutions by relatively injective  $\mathbb{R}[\Gamma]$ -modules, it follows that this resolution can be used to compute the bounded cohomology with coefficients in  $V$  (see, for example, [7, Corollary 4.15]). As a consequence,  $H^i(\Gamma; V) = 0$ , for every  $i \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$ . (3): Since  $\Gamma$  is a discrete group, every Banach  $\Gamma$ -module is continuous in the sense of [19, Lemma 1.1.1] (cf. Remark 2.1.4). Then the result is a special case of [19, Proposition 8.2.1].  $\square$

Using these properties, it follows by standard methods of homological algebra that bounded cohomology can also be computed using resolutions by *boundedly acyclic modules*, instead of using the standard resolution or other strong resolutions by relatively injective modules. We emphasize that allowing this flexibility has the drawback that it neglects the seminorm on the bounded cohomology groups.

**Definition 2.5.3.** Let  $\Gamma$  be a discrete group and let  $n \geq 1$  be an integer or  $n = \infty$ . A normed  $\mathbb{R}[\Gamma]$ -module  $V \in \mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbb{R}}^{\Gamma}$  is *boundedly  $n$ -acyclic* if  $H_b^i(\Gamma; V) = 0$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . We say that  $V$  is *boundedly acyclic* if  $V$  is boundedly  $\infty$ -acyclic.

**Proposition 2.5.4.** Let  $\Gamma$  be a discrete group and let  $n \geq 1$  be an integer or  $n = \infty$ . Let  $V$  be a normed  $\mathbb{R}[\Gamma]$ -module and suppose that

$$0 \rightarrow V = I(-1) \rightarrow I(0) \rightarrow I(1) \rightarrow \cdots$$

is an exact sequence in  $\mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbb{R}}^{\Gamma}$  such that each  $I(j) \in \mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbb{R}}^{\Gamma}$  is a dual normed  $\mathbb{R}[\Gamma]$ -module and boundedly  $(n-j)$ -acyclic for every  $0 \leq j \leq n-1$ . Consider the cochain complex:

$$I^{\bullet, \Gamma} = (0 \rightarrow I(0)^{\Gamma} \rightarrow I(1)^{\Gamma} \rightarrow \dots).$$

Then there are preferred isomorphisms

$$H^i(I^{\bullet, \Gamma}) \cong H_b^i(\Gamma; V) \quad \text{for } 0 \leq i \leq n,$$

and a preferred injective map

$$H^{n+1}(I^{\bullet, \Gamma}) \hookrightarrow H_b^{n+1}(\Gamma; V).$$

*Proof.* The idea of the proof is standard in homological algebra; we include the details for completeness. The assertion holds for  $i = 0$ . For every  $j \geq 0$ , let  $Z^{j-1}I^{\bullet}$  denote the image of  $\delta^{j-1}: I(j-1) \rightarrow I(j)$ . Note that each  $I(j)$  is a dual normed  $\mathbb{R}[\Gamma]$ -module, therefore, a Banach  $\Gamma$ -module (Remark 2.1.4). Since the resolution  $0 \rightarrow V \rightarrow I^{\bullet}$  is exact, we also know that the spaces  $Z^{j-1}I^{\bullet}$  are Banach  $\Gamma$ -modules. This implies that the short exact sequences for  $0 \leq j \leq n-1$ :

$$0 \rightarrow Z^{j-1}I^{\bullet} \rightarrow I(j) \rightarrow Z^jI^{\bullet} \rightarrow 0$$

induce a long exact sequence in bounded cohomology (Theorem 2.5.2(3)):

$$\begin{aligned} \dots \rightarrow H_b^i(\Gamma; Z^jI^{\bullet}) \rightarrow H_b^{i+1}(\Gamma; Z^{j-1}I^{\bullet}) \rightarrow H_b^{i+1}(\Gamma; I(j)) \\ \rightarrow H_b^{i+1}(\Gamma; Z^jI^{\bullet}) \rightarrow \dots \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, if  $0 \leq j \leq n-2$ , using the fact that each  $I(j)$  is boundedly  $(n-j)$ -acyclic, i.e.  $H_b^i(\Gamma; I(j)) = 0$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n-j$ , we have that:

$$H_b^i(\Gamma; Z^{j-1}I^{\bullet}) \cong H_b^{i-1}(\Gamma; Z^jI^{\bullet})$$

for  $2 \leq i \leq n-j$ . This shows that for every  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , we have isomorphisms:

$$H_b^i(\Gamma; V) = H_b^i(\Gamma; Z^{-1}I^{\bullet}) \cong H_b^{i-1}(\Gamma; Z^0I^{\bullet}) \cong \dots \cong H_b^1(\Gamma; Z^{i-2}I^{\bullet}).$$

On the other hand, the long exact sequence in low degrees has the form:

$$0 \rightarrow H_b^0(\Gamma; Z^{j-1}I^{\bullet}) \rightarrow H_b^0(\Gamma; I(j)) \rightarrow H_b^0(\Gamma; Z^jI^{\bullet}) \rightarrow H_b^1(\Gamma; Z^{j-1}I^{\bullet}) \rightarrow 0$$

for  $0 \leq j \leq n-1$ . Hence, for every  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , we obtain the following identification (using Theorem 2.5.2(1)):

$$H_b^i(\Gamma; V) \cong H_b^1(\Gamma; Z^{i-2}I^{\bullet}) \cong \text{coker}(I(i-1)^{\Gamma} \rightarrow (Z^{i-1}I^{\bullet})^{\Gamma}).$$

Using the right exactness of  $(-)^{\Gamma}$ , the latter is then isomorphic to  $H^i(I^{\bullet, \Gamma})$ . This finishes the proof for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ .

Let us consider the case  $i = n+1 \geq 2$ . For  $0 \leq j \leq n-1$ , we have a long exact sequence (Theorem 2.5.2(3))

$$\dots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow H_b^{n-j}(\Gamma; Z^jI^{\bullet}) \xrightarrow{\tau} H_b^{n-j+1}(\Gamma; Z^{j-1}I^{\bullet}) \rightarrow H_b^{n-j+1}(\Gamma; I(j)) \rightarrow \dots$$

This shows that there exists a chain of injective maps

$$H_b^{n+1}(\Gamma; V) \supset H_b^n(\Gamma; Z^0I^{\bullet}) \supset H_b^{n-1}(\Gamma; Z^1I^{\bullet}) \supset \dots \supset H_b^1(\Gamma; Z^{n-1}I^{\bullet}).$$

Moreover, since the last space fits into the long exact sequence:

$$H_b^0(\Gamma; I(n)) = I(n)^{\Gamma} \rightarrow H_b^0(\Gamma; Z^nI^{\bullet}) = Z^nI^{\bullet\Gamma} \rightarrow H_b^1(\Gamma; Z^{n-1}I^{\bullet}) \rightarrow H_b^1(\Gamma; I(n))$$

we obtain:

$$H^{n+1}(I^\bullet, \Gamma) \cong \operatorname{coker}(I(n)^\Gamma \rightarrow (Z^n I^\bullet)^\Gamma) \subset H_b^1(\Gamma; Z^{n-1} I^\bullet),$$

whence the desired inclusion  $H^{n+1}(I^\bullet, \Gamma) \hookrightarrow H_b^{n+1}(\Gamma; V)$  follows.  $\square$

**Remark 2.5.5.** Assuming that the resolution  $I(\bullet)$  in Proposition 2.5.4 is strong [19, 7.1], we may also identify the maps in Proposition 2.5.4 directly using the standard resolution of  $V$ . Let

$$0 \rightarrow V = C_b^{-1}(\Gamma; V) \rightarrow C_b^0(\Gamma; V) \xrightarrow{\delta^0} C_b^1(\Gamma; V) \xrightarrow{\delta^1} \dots$$

be the standard resolution of  $V$  by relatively injective Banach  $\Gamma$ -modules as described earlier. There is an essentially unique morphism of resolutions  $(g^\bullet: I(\bullet) \rightarrow C_b^\bullet(\Gamma; V))$  of  $V$  (see, for example, [19, 7.2])

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & V & \xrightarrow{\epsilon} & I(0) & \xrightarrow{\delta^0} & I(1) & \xrightarrow{\delta^1} & \dots \\ & & \parallel & & \downarrow g^0 & & \downarrow g^1 & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & V & \xrightarrow{\epsilon} & C_b^0(\Gamma; V) & \xrightarrow{\delta^0} & C_b^1(\Gamma; V) & \xrightarrow{\delta^1} & \dots \end{array}$$

The maps in Proposition 2.5.4 are identified with the maps in cohomology that are induced by the morphism  $g^\bullet: (I(\bullet), \delta^\bullet) \rightarrow (C_b^\bullet(\Gamma; V), \delta^\bullet)$ , after first passing to the  $\Gamma$ -invariants. To see this, let  $Z^{j-1}C_b^\bullet$  denote the image of  $\delta^{j-1}: C_b^{j-1}(\Gamma; V) \rightarrow C_b^j(\Gamma; V)$ , and consider the associated morphisms of short exact sequences:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & Z^{j-1}I^\bullet & \longrightarrow & I(j) & \longrightarrow & Z^j I^\bullet & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow g^j & & \downarrow g^j & & \downarrow g^{j+1} & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & Z^{j-1}C_b^\bullet & \longrightarrow & C_b^j(\Gamma; V) & \longrightarrow & Z^j C_b^\bullet & \longrightarrow & 0. \end{array}$$

Then, applying the method of Proposition 2.5.4 to the standard resolution of  $V$  and using the functoriality of the long exact sequences in Theorem 2.5.2(3), we obtain the following identifications for  $0 \leq i \leq n$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^i(I(\bullet)^\Gamma, \delta^\bullet) \cong \operatorname{coker}(I(i-1)^\Gamma \rightarrow (Z^{i-1}I^\bullet)^\Gamma) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & H_b^i(\Gamma; Z^{-1}I^\bullet) \\ \downarrow H^i(g^\bullet) & & \parallel \\ H^i(C^\bullet(\Gamma; V)^\Gamma, \delta^\bullet) \cong \operatorname{coker}(C^{i-1}(\Gamma; V)^\Gamma \rightarrow (Z^{i-1}C^\bullet)^\Gamma) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & H_b^i(\Gamma; Z^{-1}C^\bullet) \end{array}$$

where the vertical maps are induced by  $g^\bullet$  and  $Z^{-1}I^\bullet = Z^{-1}C_b^\bullet = V$ . The case  $i = n + 1$  is similar. It is worth noticing that the construction also shows that  $H^i(g^\bullet)$  is norm non-increasing [7, Theorem 4.16].

The assumption that  $I(\bullet)$  is strong is related to the subtleties of the *exact* category of Banach  $\Gamma$ -modules and its derived category. An arbitrary resolution  $\epsilon: V \rightarrow I(\bullet)$  does not define an isomorphism in this derived category in general, even if each object  $I(\bullet)$  is boundedly acyclic. However, as we saw in Proposition 2.5.4, if each object  $I(\bullet)$  is boundedly acyclic, then any such resolution computes the bounded cohomology of the Banach  $\Gamma$ -module  $V$ . This additional flexibility is essentially a consequence of Theorem 2.5.2(3) which is valid for *arbitrary* short exact sequences of Banach  $\Gamma$ -modules; not just

those coming from the exact structure. We suspect that Theorem 2.5.2(3) does not hold if we regard bounded cohomology as taking values in the heart of the derived category of Banach  $\Gamma$ -modules with respect to its standard  $t$ -structure. We refer to [4] for a detailed account of the construction and properties of the derived category of Banach  $\Gamma$ -modules.

**2.6. Boundedly acyclic groups.** We consider the following class of discrete groups.

**Definition** (Boundedly  $n$ -acyclic groups). Let  $n \geq 1$  be an integer or  $n = \infty$ . The class  $\mathcal{BAc}_n$  of *boundedly  $n$ -acyclic groups* is the class of discrete groups  $\Gamma$  whose bounded cohomology groups (with coefficients in  $\mathbb{R}$ ) vanish in degrees  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , i.e.,  $H_b^i(\Gamma; \mathbb{R}) = 0$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . We say that  $\Gamma$  is a *boundedly acyclic group* if  $\Gamma$  is a boundedly  $\infty$ -acyclic group and we write  $\mathcal{BAc} := \mathcal{BAc}_\infty$ .

The family of boundedly acyclic groups was introduced by Löh [17] under the name of *groups with bounded cohomological dimension zero*. Our choice of terminology follows the definition of an *acyclic space* in homotopy theory (i.e., a space  $X$  with trivial reduced singular homology in all degrees).

**Example 2.6.1.** Amenable groups are boundedly acyclic groups. Moreover, the group of homeomorphisms with compact support of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  was shown to be boundedly acyclic by Matsumoto–Morita [18]. Later, following the methods of [18], Löh [17] showed that the class of mitotic groups is also contained in  $\mathcal{BAc}$  [17, Theorem 1.2]. Since there exist mitotic groups which contain non-abelian free subgroups [17, Page 2 and Section 3], it follows that  $\mathcal{BAc}$  is not closed under taking subgroups in general.

**Example 2.6.2.** Let  $\ell \geq 1$  be an integer. For every  $1 \leq i \leq \ell$ , let  $G_i$  be a discrete (or locally compact) group acting properly on a locally finite tree  $T_i$  and transitively on the boundary  $\partial T_i$ . A lattice  $\Gamma < G_1 \times \cdots \times G_\ell$  is *irreducible*, if its projection to any proper product of the  $G_i$ 's is surjective (dense). Bucher–Monod [2, Corollary 3] proved that every irreducible lattice  $\Gamma < G_1 \times \cdots \times G_\ell$  is boundedly  $(2\ell - 1)$ -acyclic.

**Proposition 2.6.3.** *Let  $\Gamma \in \mathcal{BAc}_n$ . Then  $H_b^i(\Gamma; V) = 0$  for every dual normed trivial  $\mathbb{R}[\Gamma]$ -module  $V$  and  $1 \leq i \leq n$ .*

*Proof.* We need to show that the cochain complex of  $\Gamma$ -invariants associated to standard resolution  $(V, C_b^\bullet(\Gamma; V), \delta^\bullet)$  of  $V$ :

$$0 \rightarrow C_b^0(\Gamma; V)^\Gamma \xrightarrow{\delta^0} C_b^1(\Gamma; V)^\Gamma \xrightarrow{\delta^1} C_b^2(\Gamma; V)^\Gamma \xrightarrow{\delta^2} \cdots$$

has vanishing cohomology in degrees  $1 \leq i \leq n$  when  $V$  is a dual normed  $\mathbb{R}$ -module with the trivial  $\Gamma$ -action. By assumption, this holds for  $V = \mathbb{R}$ . For the general case, let  $W$  be the normed  $\mathbb{R}[\Gamma]$ -module such that  $V$  is the topological dual  $\mathcal{B}(W)$  of  $W$ . Then we have a natural identification of  $\mathbb{R}[\Gamma]$ -modules

$$C_b^\bullet(\Gamma; V) \cong \mathcal{B}(W, C_b^\bullet(\Gamma; \mathbb{R}))$$

induced by

$$f \mapsto \left( w \mapsto ((\gamma_0, \cdots, \gamma_\bullet) \mapsto f(\gamma_0, \cdots, \gamma_\bullet)(w)) \right).$$

Using that the  $\Gamma$ -action on  $W$  is trivial, these identifications yield an identification of cochain complexes:

$$\mathcal{B}(W, C_b^\bullet(\Gamma; \mathbb{R}))^\Gamma \cong \mathcal{B}(W, C_b^\bullet(\Gamma; \mathbb{R})^\Gamma).$$

Then the result follows because  $C_b^\bullet(\Gamma; \mathbb{R})^\Gamma$  is contractible and the functor  $\mathcal{B}(W, -)$  preserves contractible cochain complexes of normed modules.  $\square$

### 3. PROOF OF THEOREM A

**3.1. Theorem A for discrete groups.** In this subsection, we will state and prove the analogue of Theorem A in the case of maps  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  which arise from homomorphisms  $\varphi: \Gamma \rightarrow K$  of discrete groups.

Let  $H$  be a subgroup of a discrete group  $\Gamma$ . We begin by recalling the definition of a *left  $H$ -invariant mean* on  $\ell^\infty(\Gamma)$ .

**Definition 3.1.1.** A *left  $H$ -invariant mean* on  $\ell^\infty(\Gamma)$  is a linear functional

$$m: \ell^\infty(\Gamma) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

such that

- (a)  $\inf_{g \in \Gamma} f(g) \leq m(f) \leq \sup_{g \in \Gamma} f(g)$  for every  $f \in \ell^\infty(\Gamma)$ ;
- (b)  $m(h \cdot f) = m(f)$  for every  $f \in \ell^\infty(\Gamma)$  and  $h \in H$ .

Here  $H$  acts on  $\ell^\infty(\Gamma)$  by the restriction of the standard  $\Gamma$ -action:

$$g \cdot f(g_0) = f(g^{-1}g_0)$$

for  $g, g_0 \in \Gamma$  and  $f \in \ell^\infty(\Gamma)$ .

The existence of a left  $H$ -invariant mean on  $\ell^\infty(\Gamma)$  is equivalent to the existence of a non-trivial  $H$ -invariant functional  $\xi \in \ell^\infty(\Gamma)'$ , where the latter denotes the topological dual of  $\ell^\infty(\Gamma)$  (cf. [7, Lemma 3.2]).

**Proposition 3.1.2.** *Let  $H$  be a subgroup of a discrete group  $\Gamma$ . Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1)  $H$  is amenable.
- (2) There exists a left  $H$ -invariant mean on  $\ell^\infty(\Gamma)$ .
- (3) There exists a non-trivial  $H$ -invariant functional  $\xi \in \ell^\infty(\Gamma)'$ .

*Proof.* The equivalence (1)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (2) is a classical result on the amenability of subgroups (see, for example, [6, Theorem 6]). (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3) is obvious: simply set  $\xi(f) := m(f)$ , where  $m: \ell^\infty(\Gamma) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a left  $H$ -invariant mean. The implication (3)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) is shown *verbatim* as in the classical absolute case, which can be found in [7]; indeed, the only difference in the present relative situation is working with  $H$ -invariance instead of  $\Gamma$ -invariance as in the absolute case.  $\square$

We are now ready to state and prove the version of Theorem A for discrete groups:

**Theorem 3.1.3.** *Let  $\varphi: \Gamma \rightarrow K$  be a surjective homomorphism of discrete groups and let  $H$  be the kernel of  $\varphi$ . Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1)  $\varphi$  is an amenable homomorphism.



(2) For all dual normed  $\mathbb{R}[\Gamma]$ -modules  $V$  the induced inflation map

$$H_b^1(\varphi; \mathbb{I}_V): H_b^1(K; V^H) \rightarrow H_b^1(\Gamma; V)$$

is an isomorphism.

(3)  $H$  is amenable.

*Proof.* We will prove the implications

$$(3) \Rightarrow (1) \Rightarrow (2) \Rightarrow (3).$$

*Proof of (3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1).* This is the Gromov's *Mapping Theorem* with twisted coefficients given by dual normed  $\mathbb{R}[\Gamma]$ -modules (see, for example, [19, Corollary 7.5.10]).

*Proof of (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2).* This is obvious.

*Proof of (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3).* Our proof follows the classical approach to characterize amenability using Johnson's class in bounded cohomology [14], [7, 3.4]. Recall that the Johnson's class is constructed as follows. Let  $(\ell^\infty(\Gamma)/\mathbb{R})'$  denote the topological dual of the quotient space  $\ell^\infty(\Gamma)/\mathbb{R}$ , where we identify  $\mathbb{R}$  with the subspace of constant functions. By definition  $(\ell^\infty(\Gamma)/\mathbb{R})'$  consists of those continuous linear functionals on  $\ell^\infty(\Gamma)$  which vanish on the constant functions. We consider the bounded 1-cocycle

$$J \in C_b^1(\Gamma; (\ell^\infty(\Gamma)/\mathbb{R})')$$

defined by  $J(g_0, g_1) = \delta_{g_1} - \delta_{g_0}$ . Since  $J$  is also  $\Gamma$ -invariant [7, 3.4], it defines a class in bounded cohomology

$$[J] \in H_b^1(\Gamma; (\ell^\infty(\Gamma)/\mathbb{R})')$$

called *the Johnson class*. We are interested in the image of the Johnson class under the restriction map

$$\text{res}^1: H_b^1(\Gamma; (\ell^\infty(\Gamma)/\mathbb{R})') \rightarrow H_b^1(H; (\ell^\infty(\Gamma)/\mathbb{R})').$$

By the construction of the restriction map, an explicit representative of  $\text{res}^1[J]$  is given by

$$\mathbf{res}^1(J)(h_0, h_1) := \delta_{h_1} - \delta_{h_0} \in C_b^1(H; (\ell^\infty(\Gamma)/\mathbb{R})')^H.$$

On the other hand, using assumption (2) and Corollary 2.4.4, it follows that  $\text{res}^1[J] = 0$ . This means that there exists

$$\alpha \in C_b^0(H; (\ell^\infty(\Gamma)/\mathbb{R})')^H$$

such that  $\delta\alpha = \text{res}^1(J)$ . Using the construction of  $J$ , we are going to show that there exists a non-trivial  $H$ -invariant functional  $\xi \in \ell^\infty(\Gamma)'$ . This will imply the required result in (3) by applying Proposition 3.1.2. For each  $h \in H$ , we define  $\hat{\alpha}(h) \in \ell^\infty(\Gamma)'$  to be the functional given by

$$\hat{\alpha}(h)(f) = \alpha(h)(\pi(f))$$

where  $\pi: \ell^\infty(\Gamma) \rightarrow \ell^\infty(\Gamma)/\mathbb{R}$  denotes the  $\Gamma$ -equivariant quotient map. This allows us to define our candidate non-trivial  $H$ -invariant continuous functional  $\xi \in \ell^\infty(\Gamma)'$  by

$$\xi := \delta_1 - \hat{\alpha}(1).$$

First,  $\xi \in \ell^\infty(\Gamma)'$  is non-trivial: for every non-zero constant function  $f$ , we have  $\hat{\alpha}(1)(f) = 0$  and  $\delta_1(f) \neq 0$ . Hence, it remains to prove that

$\xi$  is  $H$ -invariant. To this end, note first that  $\hat{\alpha}$  defines an element in  $C_b^0(H; \ell^\infty(\Gamma)')^H$ : For every  $h, h' \in H$  and  $f \in \ell^\infty(\Gamma)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} h' \cdot \hat{\alpha}(h)(f) &= \hat{\alpha}(h'^{-1}h)(h'^{-1}f) = \alpha(h'^{-1}h)(\pi(h'^{-1}f)) = \alpha(h'^{-1}h)(h'^{-1}\pi(f)) \\ &= h' \cdot \alpha(h)(\pi(f)) = \alpha(h)(\pi(f)) = \hat{\alpha}(h)(f). \end{aligned}$$

Note that we used the fact that  $\alpha$  is  $H$ -invariant.

Moreover, since  $\delta\alpha = \text{res}^1(J)$ , we also have

$$\delta_{h_1} - \delta_{h_0} = \hat{\alpha}(h_1) - \hat{\alpha}(h_0)$$

for all  $h_0, h_1 \in H$ . In particular, the following equality holds for all  $h \in H$ ,

$$\delta_h - \hat{\alpha}(h) = \delta_1 - \hat{\alpha}(1).$$

These computations imply that for all  $h \in H$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} h \cdot \xi &= h \cdot (\delta_1 - \hat{\alpha}(1)) = h \cdot \delta_1 - h \cdot (\hat{\alpha}(1)) \\ &= \delta_h - h \cdot (\hat{\alpha}(1)) = \delta_h - h(\hat{\alpha}(h^{-1}h)) \\ &= \delta_h - (h \cdot \hat{\alpha})(h) = \delta_h - \hat{\alpha}(h) \\ &= \delta_1 - \hat{\alpha}(1) = \xi. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of the existence of a non-trivial  $H$ -invariant continuous linear functional  $\xi$  on  $\ell^\infty(\Gamma)'$ . By Proposition 3.1.2, this implies that  $H$  is amenable, as required.  $\square$

### 3.2. Proof of Theorem A.

We recall first the statement:

**Theorem A.** *Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a map between based path-connected spaces, let  $f_*: \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \pi_1(Y)$  be the induced homomorphism between the fundamental groups and let  $H$  be its kernel. Let  $F$  denote the homotopy fiber of  $f$  and suppose that  $F$  is path-connected (equivalently,  $f_*$  is surjective). Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1)  $f$  is an amenable map.
- (2) For all dual normed  $\mathbb{R}[\pi_1(X)]$ -modules  $V$ , the induced inflation map

$$H_b^1(f; \mathbb{1}_V): H_b^1(Y; V^H) \rightarrow H_b^1(X; V)$$

*is an isomorphism.*

- (3)  $F$  has amenable fundamental group.

*Proof.* By Proposition 2.4.7, conditions (1) and (2) of Theorem A are equivalent respectively to the conditions (1) and (2) of Theorem 3.1.3 for  $f_*$ . Moreover, the long exact sequence of homotopy groups

$$\cdots \rightarrow \pi_2(Y) \rightarrow \pi_1(F) \rightarrow \pi_1(X) \xrightarrow{f_*} \cdots$$

shows that the kernel of the surjective homomorphism  $\pi_1(F) \rightarrow \ker(f_*)$  is abelian; therefore, also amenable. It follows that the condition (3) of Theorem A is equivalent to the condition of Theorem 3.1.3(3) for  $f_*$ . Then the result follows directly from Theorem 3.1.3.  $\square$

**3.3. Proof of Theorem B.** In the case of arbitrary coefficients, the analogue of Theorem 3.1.3 leads to a characterization of surjective homomorphisms whose kernel is finite. We recall the statement of Theorem B from the Introduction.

**Theorem B.** *Let  $\varphi: \Gamma \rightarrow K$  be a surjective homomorphism of discrete groups and let  $H$  be the kernel of  $\varphi$ . Then the following are equivalent:*

(1) *For all normed  $\mathbb{R}[\Gamma]$ -modules  $V$ , the induced inflation map*

$$H_b^\bullet(\varphi; \mathbb{I}_V): H_b^\bullet(K; V^H) \rightarrow H_b^\bullet(\Gamma; V)$$

*is an isometric isomorphism.*

(2) *For all Banach  $\Gamma$ -modules  $V$ , the induced inflation map*

$$H_b^1(\varphi; \mathbb{I}_V): H_b^1(K; V^H) \rightarrow H_b^1(\Gamma; V)$$

*is an isomorphism.*

(3)  *$H$  is finite.*

*Proof.* We will prove the implications

$$(3) \Rightarrow (1) \Rightarrow (2) \Rightarrow (3).$$

*Proof of (3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1).* Since finite subgroups are compact, this readily follows from the corresponding statement for topological groups in [19, Proposition 8.5.6]).

*Proof of (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2).* This is obvious.

*Proof of (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3).* Our proof follows Frigerio's characterization of finite groups [7, 3.5]. Let  $\ell^1(\Gamma)$  be the Banach  $\Gamma$ -module of summable real functions on  $\Gamma$ . Then, we restrict our attention to the kernel of the summation function

$$\sigma: \ell^1(\Gamma) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad f \mapsto \sum_{g \in \Gamma} f(g),$$

which is a Banach  $\Gamma$ -submodule of  $\ell^1(\Gamma)$ . We denote it by  $\ell_0^1(\Gamma)$ .

We now consider the analogous version of the Johnson's class in this setting. More precisely, let  $J \in C_b^1(\Gamma; \ell_0^1(\Gamma))$  be the cocycle defined by

$$J(g_0, g_1) = \delta_{g_1} - \delta_{g_0}, \quad \text{for all } g_0, g_1 \in \Gamma,$$

where  $\delta_g \in \ell^1(\Gamma)$  denotes the characteristic function for  $\{g\} \subset \Gamma$ .  $J$  is  $\Gamma$ -invariant (see [7, 3.5]), so it defines a class in bounded cohomology  $[J] \in H_b^1(\Gamma; \ell_0^1(\Gamma))$ . As in the proof of Theorem 3.1.3, we are going to study the image of the class  $[J]$  under the restriction map

$$\text{res}^1: H_b^1(\Gamma; \ell_0^1(\Gamma)) \rightarrow H_b^1(H; \ell_0^1(\Gamma)).$$

By assumption (2) and Corollary 2.4.4 we know that  $\text{res}^1[J] = 0$ , so there exists

$$\alpha \in C_b^0(H; \ell_0^1(\Gamma))^H$$

such that

$$(\dagger) \quad \alpha(h_1) - \alpha(h_0) = \delta_{h_1} - \delta_{h_0}, \quad \text{for all } h_0, h_1 \in H.$$

We can then use  $\alpha$  to define a non-trivial  $H$ -invariant summable function

$$\xi := \delta_1 - \alpha(1) \in \ell^1(\Gamma).$$

Note that  $\xi$  is non-trivial since  $\sigma(\xi) = \sigma(\delta_1) - \sigma(\alpha(1)) = 1$ . Moreover, for every  $h \in H$ , we have

$$h \cdot \xi = h \cdot (\delta_1 - \alpha(1)) = h \cdot \delta_1 - h \cdot \alpha(1) = \delta_h - \alpha(h) = \delta_1 - \alpha(1) = \xi,$$

where we used the  $H$ -invariance of  $\alpha$  and the formula (†). This shows that  $\xi \in \ell^1(\Gamma)^H$ .

Since  $\xi$  is  $H$ -invariant, it must be constant on each coset of  $H$  in  $\Gamma$ . Combining this fact with the non-triviality of  $\xi \in \ell^1(\Gamma)$ , it follows that the group  $H$  must be finite.  $\square$

**Remark 3.3.1.** We do not know a satisfactory extension of Theorem B to maps of spaces. Note that the argument that we used to deduce Theorem A from Theorem 3.1.3 cannot be applied in this case for two main reasons. First, it appears not to be known whether the *Mapping Theorem* (as stated in the Introduction) holds also for arbitrary twisted coefficients. It seems likely that the proof of [16, Theorem B.1 and Lemma B.4] would work as long as the higher homotopy groups of the spaces  $X$  and  $Y$  are finite. Second, given a map  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  (as in Theorem A), there is an exact sequence of groups

$$\cdots \rightarrow \pi_2(Y) \rightarrow \pi_1(F) \rightarrow \ker(f_*) \rightarrow 1;$$

therefore, whether the homomorphism  $\pi_1(F) \rightarrow \ker(f_*)$  satisfies the conditions of Theorem B will depend on the image of the homomorphism  $\pi_2(Y) \rightarrow \pi_1(F)$ . It would be interesting to find a suitable generalization of Theorem B in the context of spaces and explore possible connections with properties of the higher homotopy groups.

#### 4. PROOF OF THEOREM C

**4.1. Theorem C for discrete groups.** We will first prove the following algebraic version of Theorem C for discrete groups.

**Theorem 4.1.1.** *Let  $\varphi: \Gamma \rightarrow K$  be a homomorphism of discrete groups and let  $H$  denote its kernel. Let  $n \geq 0$  be an integer or  $n = \infty$ . Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1)  $\varphi$  is boundedly  $n$ -acyclic.
- (2) The induced restriction map

$$H_b^i(\varphi; V): H_b^i(K; V) \rightarrow H_b^i(\Gamma; \varphi^{-1}V)$$

is surjective for every dual normed  $\mathbb{R}[K]$ -modules  $V$  and for every  $0 \leq i \leq n$ .

- (3)  $\varphi$  is surjective and  $H_b^i(\Gamma; \varphi^{-1}V) = 0$  for every relatively injective dual normed  $\mathbb{R}[K]$ -module  $V$  and for every  $1 \leq i \leq n$ .
- (4)  $\varphi$  is surjective and  $H_b^i(H; \mathbb{R}) = 0$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ .

*Proof.* We will prove the implications

$$(1) \Rightarrow \cdots \Rightarrow (4) \Rightarrow (1).$$

*Proof of (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2).* This is obvious.

*Proof of (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3).* Suppose that  $\varphi$  induces a surjective map

$$H_b^i(\varphi; V): H_b^i(K; V) \rightarrow H_b^i(\Gamma; \varphi^{-1}V)$$

for every  $0 \leq i \leq n$  and every dual normed  $\mathbb{R}[K]$ -module  $V$ . Let  $V$  be a *relatively injective* dual normed  $\mathbb{R}[K]$ -module. Then  $H_b^0(K; V) \cong V^K$  and  $H_b^i(K; V) = 0$  for all  $i \geq 1$  (see Theorem 2.5.2(2)). Therefore,

$$H_b^i(\Gamma; \varphi^{-1}V) = 0$$

for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . It remains to prove that  $\varphi$  is surjective. Let  $K' := \text{im}(\varphi)$  denote the image of  $\varphi$ . Consider the set  $J := K/K'$  and the dual normed  $\mathbb{R}[K]$ -module  $V := \ell^\infty(J, \mathbb{R})$ . Then we have (using Theorem 2.5.2(1)):

$$H_b^0(K; V) \cong V^K \cong \mathbb{R} \quad \text{and} \quad H_b^0(\Gamma; \varphi^{-1}V) \cong (\varphi^{-1}V)^\Gamma = \varphi^{-1}V.$$

The map  $H_b^0(K; V) \rightarrow H_b^0(\Gamma; \varphi^{-1}V)$  is surjective only if  $J$  is a singleton, that is, only when  $\varphi$  is surjective.

*Proof of (3)  $\Rightarrow$  (4).* Assuming (3) we have that  $\varphi$  induces an isomorphism

$$H_b^i(\varphi; V): H_b^i(K; V) \rightarrow H_b^i(\Gamma; \varphi^{-1}V)$$

for every relatively injective dual normed  $\mathbb{R}[K]$ -module  $V$  and  $0 \leq i \leq n$ . To see this, note that  $H_b^i(K; V) = 0$  for  $i \geq 1$  (by Theorem 2.5.2(2)) and  $H_b^0(\varphi; V)$  is an isomorphism for every  $\mathbb{R}[K]$ -module  $V$  because  $\varphi$  is surjective (Theorem 2.5.2(1)).

We now consider the dual normed  $\mathbb{R}[K]$ -module

$$V := \mathbf{I}_{\{1\}}^K \mathbb{R} = \ell^\infty(K, \mathbb{R}).$$

Since  $\mathbb{R}$  can be interpreted as a dual normed  $\mathbb{R}[K]$ -module endowed with the trivial action, we can assume that  $V$  is endowed with the usual left action by  $K$  as discussed in Remark 2.3.2. Hence, the  $\mathbb{R}[K]$ -module  $V$  is relatively injective because  $K$  acts freely on itself [7, Definition 4.20 and Lemma 4.22]. Therefore,  $\varphi$  induces isomorphisms

$$\varphi^* = H_b^i(\varphi; V): H_b^i(K; V) \rightarrow H_b^i(\Gamma; \varphi^{-1}V)$$

for  $i \leq n$ . Let  $\{1\} \leq K$  be the trivial subgroup and consider the diagram of groups

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H & \subset & \Gamma \\ \varphi|_H \downarrow & & \downarrow \varphi \\ \{1\} & \subset & K. \end{array}$$

By Proposition 2.3.5, Proposition 2.3.4 (together with Theorem 2.5.2(3)) and Proposition 2.3.3, we have a commutative diagram as follows

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_b^i(\{1\}; \mathbb{R}) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & H_b^i(K; V) \\ \varphi|_H \downarrow & & \searrow \varphi^* \\ & & H_b^i(\Gamma; \varphi^{-1}V) \\ & & \swarrow \cong \\ & & H_b^i(\text{id}_\Gamma, \Psi) \\ H_b^i(H; \mathbb{R}) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & H_b^i(\Gamma; \ell^\infty(\Gamma, \mathbb{R})^H), \end{array}$$

for every  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . This diagram readily implies that  $H_b^i(H; \mathbb{R})$  is isomorphic to  $H_b^\bullet(\{1\}; V)$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , therefore  $H_b^i(H; \mathbb{R}) = 0$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , as required.

*Proof of (4)  $\Rightarrow$  (1).* Let  $V$  be a dual normed  $\mathbb{R}[K]$ -module. We will show that the induced restriction map

$$H_b^i(\varphi; V): H_b^i(K; V) \rightarrow H_b^i(\Gamma; \varphi^{-1}V)$$

is an isomorphism for  $0 \leq i \leq n$  and injective for  $i = n + 1$ . Let  $D_j = \ell^\infty(K^{j+1}, V)$  denote the relatively injective dual normed  $\mathbb{R}[K]$ -module and let  $W_j = \varphi^{-1}D_j$  denote the corresponding  $\mathbb{R}[\Gamma]$ -module. We also write  $D_{-1} = V$  and  $W_{-1} = \varphi^{-1}V$  respectively.

Since  $\varphi: \Gamma \rightarrow K$  is surjective, there is a canonical (isometric) isomorphism between the normed modules  $C_b^j(K; V)^K$  and  $W_j^\Gamma$  for all  $j \geq 0$ . Therefore it suffices to show that the resolution (= acyclic cochain complex) of normed  $\mathbb{R}[\Gamma]$ -modules:

$$(*) \quad 0 \rightarrow W_{-1} \rightarrow W_0 \rightarrow W_1 \rightarrow \dots$$

can be used to compute the bounded cohomology of  $\Gamma$  with coefficients in  $\varphi^{-1}V$  in the required range. Since the previous resolution is strong [19, Lemma 7.5.5 and Example 2.1.2(i)], by Proposition 2.5.4 and Remark 2.5.5, it suffices to prove that the dual normed  $\mathbb{R}[\Gamma]$ -module  $W_j$  satisfies

$$H_b^i(\Gamma; W_j) = 0$$

for  $1 \leq i \leq n$  and  $j \geq 0$ . Note that since  $H \trianglelefteq \Gamma$  is the kernel of  $\varphi$ , each module  $W_j$  is trivial as a (dual) normed  $\mathbb{R}[H]$ -module. (We will use the same notation  $W_j$  for the corresponding  $\mathbb{R}[H]$ -module in order to simplify the notation.) Therefore, by Proposition 2.6.3, we have  $H_b^i(H; W_j) = 0$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$  and  $j \geq -1$ . Using the Eckmann–Shapiro lemma (Proposition 2.3.3), we obtain the following identifications for all  $i \geq 0$  and  $j \geq -1$ :

$$\mathbf{i}: H_b^i(H; W_j) \cong H_b^i(\Gamma; \mathbf{I}_H^\Gamma W_j).$$

Since  $H$  acts trivially on  $W_j$  and  $\varphi$  is surjective, we may identify these induction modules as follows (Remark 2.3.2),

$$\mathbf{I}_H^\Gamma W_j \cong \ell^\infty(K, \ell^\infty(K^{j+1}, \varphi^{-1}V)) \cong \ell^\infty(K^{j+2}, \varphi^{-1}V) \cong W_{j+1},$$

which then readily implies (Theorem 2.5.2(3))

$$0 = H_b^i(H; W_j) \cong H_b^i(\Gamma; \mathbf{I}_H^\Gamma W_j) \cong H_b^i(\Gamma; W_{j+1})$$

for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$  and  $j \geq -1$ . This finishes the proof of (4)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) and completes the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

**Remark 4.1.2.** The following is a well-known open question: Does every surjective homomorphism  $\varphi: \Gamma \rightarrow K$  between discrete groups induce injective maps  $H_b^\bullet(\varphi): H_b^\bullet(K; \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H_b^\bullet(\Gamma; \mathbb{R})$  in all degrees? Bouarich [1] proved that this is always the case in degree 2 and Huber [11] showed that  $H_b^2(\varphi)$  is also isometric. On the other hand, by applying Theorem 4.1.1 (4)  $\Rightarrow$  (1), we conclude that the map

$$H_b^2(\varphi; V): H_b^2(K; V) \rightarrow H_b^2(\Gamma; \psi^{-1}V)$$

is injective for *all* dual normed  $\mathbb{R}[K]$ -module  $V$ ; this is because every discrete group is 1-acyclic [7, 2.1]. See also [19, Theorem 12.4.2].

**4.2. Applications to boundedly acyclic groups.** We may apply Theorem 4.1.1 to study the hereditary properties of the class  $\mathcal{BAc}_n$  of boundedly  $n$ -acyclic groups. We recall that this class of groups is not closed under taking subgroups in general (see Example 2.6.1). On the other hand, we may completely describe the situation for normal subgroups as an immediate consequence of Theorem 4.1.1 (3)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (4).

**Corollary 4.2.1.** *Let  $n \geq 1$  be an integer or  $n = \infty$ . Let  $\Gamma$  be a boundedly  $n$ -acyclic group, let  $H \trianglelefteq \Gamma$  be a normal subgroup, and let  $\varphi: \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma/H$  be the quotient homomorphism. Then  $H$  lies in  $\mathcal{BAc}_n$  if and only if*

$$H_b^i(\Gamma; \varphi^{-1}V) = 0$$

for every relatively injective dual normed  $\mathbb{R}[\Gamma/H]$ -module  $V$  and  $1 \leq i \leq n$ .

Moreover, using Theorem 4.1.1 (1)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (4), we also deduce easily the following result about the relationship between boundedly acyclic groups and group extensions.

**Corollary 4.2.2.** *Let  $n \geq 1$  be an integer or  $n = \infty$ . Let  $1 \rightarrow H \rightarrow \Gamma \rightarrow K \rightarrow 1$  be an extension of discrete groups. Then the following statements hold:*

- (1) *If  $H, K \in \mathcal{BAc}_n$ , then  $\Gamma$  also lies in  $\mathcal{BAc}_n$ .*
- (2) *If  $H, \Gamma \in \mathcal{BAc}_n$ , then  $K$  also lies in  $\mathcal{BAc}_n$ .*

**Example 4.2.3.** Corollary 4.2.2 allows us to construct new discrete groups in  $\mathcal{BAc}_n$  by taking extensions of a group in  $\mathcal{BAc}_n$  by the lattices described in Example 2.6.2.

**4.3. Proof of Theorem C.** We first recall the statement:

**Theorem C.** *Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a map between based path-connected spaces, let  $F$  denote its homotopy fiber, and let  $n \geq 0$  be an integer or  $n = \infty$ . We denote by  $f_*: \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \pi_1(Y)$  the induced homomorphism between the fundamental groups. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1)  *$f$  is boundedly  $n$ -acyclic.*
- (2) *The induced restriction map*

$$H_b^i(f; V): H_b^i(Y; V) \rightarrow H_b^i(X; f_*^{-1}V)$$

*is surjective for every dual normed  $\mathbb{R}[\pi_1(Y)]$ -module  $V$  and for every  $0 \leq i \leq n$ .*

- (3)  *$F$  is path-connected and  $H_b^i(X; f_*^{-1}V) = 0$  for every relatively injective dual normed  $\mathbb{R}[\pi_1(Y)]$ -module  $V$  and for every  $1 \leq i \leq n$ .*
- (4)  *$H_b^0(F; \mathbb{R}) \cong \mathbb{R}$  and  $H_b^i(F; \mathbb{R}) = 0$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ .*

*Proof.* The proof of Proposition 2.2.5 (using the *Mapping Theorem*) shows that the conditions (1) and (2) of Theorem C are equivalent respectively to the conditions (1) and (2) of Theorem 4.1.1 for the homomorphism  $f_*$ .

Since  $X$  is path-connected by assumption, the homotopy fiber  $F$  is path-connected if and only if  $f_*$  is surjective. Therefore, the condition (3) of

Theorem C is also equivalent to the condition of Theorem 4.1.1(3) for  $f_*$  – again, using the *Mapping Theorem*.

Finally, assuming that  $F$  is path-connected ( $\Leftrightarrow H_b^0(F; \mathbb{R}) \cong \mathbb{R}$ ), the canonical map  $F \rightarrow B(\pi_1(F))$  is amenable by the *Mapping Theorem*. Moreover, the long exact sequence of homotopy groups

$$\cdots \rightarrow \pi_2(Y) \rightarrow \pi_1(F) \rightarrow \pi_1(X) \xrightarrow{f_*} \cdots$$

shows that the kernel of the homomorphism  $\pi_1(F) \rightarrow \ker(f_*)$  is abelian. As a consequence of the *Mapping Theorem*, the homomorphism  $\pi_1(F) \rightarrow \ker(f_*)$  is also amenable. In particular, the condition (4) of Theorem C is equivalent to the condition of Theorem 4.1.1(4) for  $f_*$ . Then the result follows directly from Theorem 4.1.1.  $\square$

**Scholium 4.3.1.** We recall that a map  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  of path-connected spaces is called *acyclic* if its homotopy fiber  $F$  satisfies  $\tilde{H}_*(F; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ . Acyclic maps can be equivalently characterized as the maps  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  which induce isomorphisms in cohomology for all local coefficients of abelian groups on  $Y$  (see [10, 22] for more details and further characterizations). Thus, the equivalence (1)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (4) in Theorem C is the analogue of this classical characterization of acyclic maps.

We note that this characterization of acyclic maps can be shown using the Serre spectral sequence. A version of the Hochschild–Serre spectral sequence for the bounded cohomology of (not necessarily discrete) groups was established and investigated by Burger–Monod [5, 19]. There is a subtle point here which concerns the identification of the  $E_2$ -page; this is due to the fact that bounded cohomology groups (as seminormed spaces) are not Hausdorff in general (see [19, Chapter 12]). Still, it is possible to use the Hochschild–Serre spectral sequence directly for the implication (4)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) in Theorem 4.1.1 – our proof is, in fact, essentially not very different. Moreover, we expect that the Hochschild–Serre spectral sequence agrees with the hypercohomology spectral sequence associated with the resolution (\*), without any prior assumptions on the  $\mathbb{R}[\Gamma]$ -modules  $W_j$ . Finally, we note that using the *Mapping Theorem*, as we did in the deduction of Theorem C from Theorem 4.1.1, it is also possible to formulate an analogue of the Serre spectral sequence for the bounded cohomology of topological spaces.

**4.4. Stability properties of boundedly acyclic maps.** We discuss the stability properties of boundedly  $n$ -acyclic maps under various homotopy–theoretic constructions. First, the characterization of boundedly  $n$ -acyclic maps in Theorem C has the following immediate consequence.

**Corollary 4.4.1.** *The class of boundedly  $n$ -acyclic maps is closed under homotopy pullbacks. More precisely: given a homotopy pullback of based path-connected spaces*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X' & \longrightarrow & X \\ \downarrow f' & & \downarrow f \\ Y' & \longrightarrow & Y \end{array}$$

where  $f$  is boundedly  $n$ -acyclic, then so is  $f'$ .



*Proof.* This follows easily from Theorem C (1)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (4) because  $f$  and  $f'$  have the same homotopy fiber.  $\square$

Furthermore, even though bounded cohomology does not satisfy excision in general, the characterization (1)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (4) of Theorem C shows that boundedly ( $n$ -)acyclic maps satisfy the following *descent* property.

**Corollary 4.4.2.** *The class of boundedly  $n$ -acyclic maps is closed under glueing of equifibered maps. More precisely: given a diagram of based path-connected spaces*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X_1 & \longleftarrow & X_0 & \longrightarrow & X_2 \\ f_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow f_0 & & \downarrow f_2 \\ Y_1 & \longleftarrow & Y_0 & \longrightarrow & Y_2 \end{array}$$

where both squares are homotopy pullbacks and the three vertical maps are boundedly  $n$ -acyclic, then the induced map between the homotopy pushouts

$$f: X_1 \bigcup_{X_0}^h X_2 \rightarrow Y_1 \bigcup_{Y_0}^h Y_2$$

is also boundedly  $n$ -acyclic.

*Proof.* The homotopy fiber of  $f$  agrees (up to weak homotopy equivalence) with the common homotopy fiber of the maps  $f_i, i = 0, 1, 2$  (this is a classical result which can be found in [21]; see also [23] for a modern perspective on homotopical descent). Then the required result follows from Theorem C (1)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (4).  $\square$

Concerning the stability of boundedly  $n$ -acyclic maps with respect to homotopy pushouts, the situation is more delicate:

**Corollary 4.4.3.** *Let*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{g} & X' \\ \downarrow f & & \downarrow f' \\ Y & \longrightarrow & Y' \end{array}$$

be a homotopy pushout of based path-connected spaces, where  $f$  is boundedly  $n$ -acyclic. Let  $H := \ker(\pi_1(f))$  and let  $H'$  denote the image of  $H$  under  $\pi_1(g)$ . Then  $f'$  is boundedly  $n$ -acyclic if and only if the normal closure of  $H' \leq \pi_1(X')$  is boundedly  $n$ -acyclic.

*Proof.* By the van Kampen theorem, we obtain a pushout of groups after applying  $\pi_1$  to the given homotopy pushout. Note that  $\pi_1(f)$  is surjective; therefore, so is  $\pi_1(f')$ . By Proposition 2.2.5, the map  $f'$  is boundedly  $n$ -acyclic if and only if the homomorphism  $\pi_1(f')$  is boundedly  $n$ -acyclic. By Theorem 4.1.1, this holds if and only if  $\ker(\pi_1(f')) \in \mathcal{BAc}_n$ . Using the diagram of pushouts of groups

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} H & \longrightarrow & \pi_1(X) & \xrightarrow{\pi_1(g)} & \pi_1(X') \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi_1(f) & & \downarrow \pi_1(f') \\ \{*\} & \longrightarrow & \pi_1(Y) & \longrightarrow & \pi_1(Y') \end{array}$$

we observe that  $\ker(\pi_1(f'))$  is the normal closure of  $H' \leq \pi_1(X')$  and the result follows.  $\square$

**4.5. An application to simplicial volume.** We end this section with an application of Theorem C to *simplicial volume*. The simplicial volume is a homotopy invariant of compact manifolds introduced by Gromov [9], which measures the complexity of manifolds in terms of the “size” of their fundamental singular cycles. We recall that given an oriented compact manifold (possibly with non-empty boundary), a *(relative) fundamental cycle*  $c \in C_n(M, \partial M; \mathbb{R})$  is simply a representative of the *(relative) fundamental class*  $[M, \partial M] \in H_n(M, \partial M; \mathbb{R}) \cong \mathbb{R}$ .

**Definition 4.5.1.** Let  $M$  be an oriented compact connected  $n$ -dimensional manifold with (possibly empty) boundary  $\partial M$ . The *(relative) simplicial volume* of  $M$  is defined to be the following non-negative real number:

$$\|M, \partial M\| := \inf \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^k |\alpha_i| \mid \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i \sigma_i \text{ is a fundamental cycle of } (M, \partial M) \right\}.$$

In order to compute the simplicial volume of a compact manifold with boundary, it is convenient to extend the definition of bounded cohomology of spaces to the relative case. The relative bounded cochain complex is the subobject of the relative singular cochain complex  $C^\bullet(M, \partial M; \mathbb{R})$  which consists of bounded cochains,

$$C_b^\bullet(M, \partial M; \mathbb{R}) := \left\{ \varphi \in C^\bullet(M, \partial M; \mathbb{R}) \mid \sup_{\sigma: \Delta^n \rightarrow M} |\varphi(\sigma)| < \infty \right\}.$$

The cohomology of this cochain complex is the *relative bounded cohomology* of  $(M, \partial M)$ . There is a natural inclusion of cochain complexes

$$C_b^\bullet(M, \partial M; \mathbb{R}) \hookrightarrow C^\bullet(M, \partial M; \mathbb{R})$$

which induces a map in cohomology

$$\text{comp}_{(M, \partial M)}^\bullet: H_b^\bullet(M, \partial M; \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H^\bullet(M, \partial M; \mathbb{R})$$

called the *comparison map*. A useful relationship between the simplicial volume and the comparison map is given by the following elementary fact [9], [16, Proposition 5.15]:

**Lemma 4.5.2** (Duality principle). *Let  $M$  be an oriented compact connected  $n$ -manifold with (possibly empty) boundary  $\partial M$ . Then,*

$$\|M, \partial M\| > 0 \iff \text{comp}_{(M, \partial M)}^n \text{ is surjective.}$$

As an application of Theorem C and Lemma 4.5.2, we deduce the following:

**Corollary 4.5.3.** *Let  $M$  be an oriented compact connected  $n$ -manifold with connected boundary  $\partial M$ . Let  $i: \partial M \rightarrow M$  denote the boundary inclusion and let  $F$  denote its homotopy fiber. Suppose that  $H_b^0(F; \mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{R}$  and  $H_b^i(F; \mathbb{R}) = 0$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ . Then, we have:*

$$\|M, \partial M\| = 0 \iff \|\partial M\| = 0.$$

*Proof.* Since the homotopy fiber  $F$  satisfies condition (4) of Theorem C, it follows that  $i$  is a boundedly  $(n-1)$ -acyclic. In particular, the induced map

$$H_b^n(i): H_b^n(M; \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H_b^n(\partial M; \mathbb{R})$$

is injective. If we now consider the long exact sequence in bounded cohomology of the pair  $(M, \partial M)$  [7, 5.7],

$$\cdots \rightarrow H_b^{n-1}(\partial M; \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H_b^n(M, \partial M; \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H_b^n(M; \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H^n(\partial M; \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \cdots$$

we conclude that the connecting morphism  $H_b^{n-1}(\partial M; \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H_b^n(M, \partial M; \mathbb{R})$  must be surjective. Hence, we have a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_b^{n-1}(\partial M; \mathbb{R}) & \longrightarrow & H_b^n(M, \partial M; \mathbb{R}) \\ \downarrow \text{comp}_{\partial M}^{n-1} & & \downarrow \text{comp}_{(M, \partial M)}^n \\ H^{n-1}(\partial M; \mathbb{R}) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & H^n(M, \partial M; \mathbb{R}), \end{array}$$

where the top horizontal arrow is surjective and the lower horizontal arrow is an isomorphism. This shows that  $\text{comp}_{\partial M}^{n-1}$  is the zero map if and only if  $\text{comp}_{(M, \partial M)}^n$  is zero. This readily implies the required result by applying Lemma 4.5.2.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] A. Bouarich. Exactitude à gauche du foncteur  $H_b^n(-; \mathbb{R})$  de cohomologie bornée réelle. *Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse. 6<sup>e</sup> série*, 10(2):255–270, 2001. Cited on page: 22
- [2] M. Bucher and N. Monod. The bounded cohomology of  $SL_2$  over local fields and S-integers. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, 6:1601–1611, 2019. Cited on page: 5, 15
- [3] T. Bühler. A derived functor approach to bounded cohomology. *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris*, 346(11-12):615–618, 2008. Cited on page: 11, 12
- [4] T. Bühler. On the algebraic foundations of bounded cohomology. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 214:xxii+97, 2011. Cited on page: 11, 12, 15
- [5] M. Burger and N. Monod. Continuous bounded cohomology and applications to rigidity theory. *Geom. Funct. Anal. GAFA*, 12(2):219–280, 2002. Cited on page: 1, 24
- [6] P.-E. Caprace and N. Monod. Relative amenability. *Groups Geom. Dyn.*, 8:747–774, 2014. Cited on page: 16
- [7] R. Frigerio. *Bounded cohomology of discrete groups*, volume 227 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, 2017. 193 pp. Cited on page: 1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 12, 14, 16, 17, 19, 21, 23, 27
- [8] R. Frigerio and M. Moraschini. Gromov’s theory of multicomplexes with applications to bounded cohomology and simplicial volume. <https://arxiv.org/pdf/1808.07307.pdf> To appear in *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* Cited on page: 2, 8
- [9] M. Gromov. Volume and bounded cohomology. *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.*, 56:5–99, 1982. Cited on page: 1, 2, 5, 8, 26
- [10] J.-C. Hausmann and D. Husemoller. Acyclic maps. *Enseign. Math. (2)*, 25(1-2):53–75, 1979. Cited on page: 4, 7, 24
- [11] T. Huber. *Rotation quasimorphisms for surfaces*. PhD thesis, ETH Zürich, 2012. <http://e-collection.library.ethz.ch/eserv/eth:7059/eth-7059-02.pdf>. Cited on page: 22
- [12] N. V. Ivanov. Notes on the bounded cohomology theory. <https://arxiv.org/pdf/1708.05150>. Cited on page: 1, 2, 5, 6, 8
- [13] N. V. Ivanov. Foundations of the theory of bounded cohomology. volume 143, pages 69–109, 177–178. 1985. *Studies in topology*, V. Cited on page: 1, 5
- [14] B. E. Johnson. Cohomology in Banach algebras. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 127, 1972. Cited on page: 1, 17

- [15] C. Löh. Group cohomology and bounded cohomology. available online at <http://www.mathematik.uni-r.de/loeh/teaching/topologie3{ }ws0910/prelim.pdf>. Cited on page: 2, 5, 8
- [16] C. Löh.  $\ell^1$ -Homology and Simplicial Volume. PhD thesis, WWU Münster, 2007. <http://nbn-resolving.de/urn:nbn:de:hbz:6-37549578216>. Cited on page: 2, 6, 20, 26
- [17] C. Löh. A note on bounded-cohomological dimension of discrete groups. *J. Math. Soc. Japan (JMSJ)*, 69(2):715–734, 2017. Cited on page: 2, 3, 5, 15
- [18] S. Matsumoto and S. Morita. Bounded cohomology of certain groups of homeomorphisms. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 94:539–544, 1985. Cited on page: 2, 3, 5, 15
- [19] N. Monod. *Continuous bounded cohomology of locally compact groups*, volume 1758 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2001. Cited on page: 1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10, 12, 14, 17, 19, 22, 23, 24
- [20] G. A. Noskov. Bounded cohomology of discrete groups with coefficients. *Leningrad Math. J.*, 2(5):1067–1084, 1991. Cited on page: 1, 2
- [21] V. Puppe. A remark on “homotopy fibrations”. *Manuscripta Math.*, 12:113–120, 1974. Cited on page: 25
- [22] G. Raptis. Some characterizations of acyclic maps. *J. Homotopy Relat. Struct.*, 14(3):773–785, 2019. Cited on page: 4, 7, 24
- [23] C. Rezk. Toposes and homotopy toposes. *Preprint (2010)*. Available at <https://faculty.math.illinois.edu/~rezk/homotopy-topos-sketch.pdf>. Cited on page: 25
- [24] T. tom Dieck. *Algebraic topology*. EMS Textbooks in Mathematics. European Mathematical Society (EMS), Zürich, 2008. Cited on page: 2

M. MORASCHINI

FAKULTÄT FÜR MATHEMATIK, UNIVERSITÄT REGENSBURG, REGENSBURG, GERMANY

*Email address:* marco.moraschini@ur.de

G. RAPTIS

FAKULTÄT FÜR MATHEMATIK, UNIVERSITÄT REGENSBURG, REGENSBURG, GERMANY

*Email address:* georgios.raptis@ur.de