

SHARPLY TRANSITIVE SETS IN  $\mathrm{PGL}_2(K)$ 

SEAN EBERHARD

ABSTRACT. Here is a simplified proof that every sharply transitive subset of  $\mathrm{PGL}_2(K)$  is a coset of a subgroup.

Let  $G$  be a group acting on a set  $\Omega$  on the left. A subset  $S \subseteq G$  is called *sharply transitive*, or *regular*, if for every  $x, y \in \Omega$  there is a unique  $g \in G$  such that  $gx = y$ . For example, sharply transitive subsets of  $S_n$  can be identified with  $n \times n$  Latin squares, while sharply 2-transitive subsets, i.e., sets which are sharply transitive for the action on ordered pairs, can be identified with affine planes of order  $n$ .

In this note we consider  $G = \mathrm{PGL}_2(K)$  and its action on the projective line  $\mathbf{P}^1 = \mathbf{P}^1(K)$ , where  $K$  is a finite field of order  $q$ . It follows from Dickson’s classification of the subgroups of  $\mathrm{PSL}_2(K)$  that the only regular subgroups of  $\mathrm{PGL}_2(K)$  are

1. cyclic groups  $C_{q+1}$ ,
2. dihedral groups  $D_{(q+1)/2}$  ( $q$  odd),
3.  $A_4$  ( $q = 11$ ),
4.  $S_4$  ( $q = 23$ ),
5.  $A_5$  ( $q = 59$ )

(see [B] or [VM]), and there is a single conjugacy class of subgroups in each case. Remarkably, other than these subgroups and their cosets, there are no further regular subsets of  $G$ .

**Theorem 1** ([BL, T, BK]). *If  $S \subseteq \mathrm{PGL}_2(K)$  is sharply transitive on  $\mathbf{P}^1$  and  $1 \in S$  then  $S$  is a subgroup.*

This result was originally conjectured by Bonisoli in [B]. The complete proof is spread across several papers: in [BL, T], Bader, Lundardon, and Thas classified flocks of the hyperbolic quadric in  $\mathbf{P}^3$ , and the equivalence with regular sets was noted in [BK]. The original proof is somewhat involved. See [T2] for a more recent summary. A partly simplified proof is given by Durante and Siciliano [DS], but for the main technical step the reader is referred to [T]. Here we give a short, self-contained, direct proof avoiding the detour through flocks. Still, the interested reader will find close analogies with [DS, T].

The key step is the following lemma, which can be seen as a version of Segre’s “lemma of the tangents” (see [S, (2)]).

---

SE has received funding from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union’s Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (grant agreement No. 803711).

**Lemma 2.** *Suppose  $S$  is a regular subset of  $\mathrm{PGL}_2(K)$  containing the elements*

$$\begin{aligned} g_1 &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & b_1 \\ c_1 & d_1 \end{pmatrix}, & g_2 &= \begin{pmatrix} a_2 & 0 \\ c_2 & d_2 \end{pmatrix}, \\ g_3 &= \begin{pmatrix} a_3 & b_3 \\ 0 & d_3 \end{pmatrix}, & g_4 &= \begin{pmatrix} a_4 & b_4 \\ c_4 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$b_1 d_2 a_3 c_4 = c_1 a_2 d_3 b_4.$$

Here and below elements of  $\mathrm{PGL}_2(K)$  are written as matrices, understanding that the expression is determined only up to a scalar. Elements of  $\mathbf{P}^1$  will be written as  $(x : y)$ .

*Proof.* Assume first that  $g_1, g_2, g_3, g_4$  are distinct. Label the elements of  $S$  as

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_i & b_i \\ c_i & d_i \end{pmatrix} \quad (i = 1, \dots, q+1).$$

By regularity, the columns  $(a_i : c_i)$  and  $(b_i : d_i)$  both trace out  $\mathbf{P}^1$ . Since  $S^{-1}$  is also regular, the same is true of the rows  $(a_i : b_i)$  and  $(c_i : d_i)$ . By excluding 0 and  $\infty$  in each case, it follows that the products

$$\prod_{i \neq 1,2} a_i/b_i, \quad \prod_{i \neq 2,4} b_i/d_i, \quad \prod_{i \neq 3,4} d_i/c_i, \quad \prod_{i \neq 1,3} c_i/a_i$$

are each equal to the product of all the nonzero elements of  $K$ , which is  $-1$ . By multiplying these together we get

$$\frac{b_1 d_1}{d_1 c_1} \frac{d_2 c_2}{c_2 a_2} \frac{a_3 b_3}{b_3 d_3} \frac{c_4 a_4}{a_4 b_4} \prod_{i > 4} \frac{a_i}{b_i} \frac{b_i}{d_i} \frac{d_i}{c_i} \frac{c_i}{a_i} = 1.$$

Simplifying gives the claimed relation.

The only possible coincidences between  $g_1, g_2, g_3, g_4$  are  $g_1 = g_4$  and  $g_2 = g_3$ . In these cases the proof is similar.  $\square$

We can now prove Theorem 1. Let  $S \subseteq \mathrm{PGL}_2(K)$  be a regular set containing 1. Let  $g, h \in S \setminus \{1\}$ . It suffices to prove  $gh \in S$ . By regularity, for each  $x \in \mathbf{P}^1$  there is a unique  $k \in S$  such that

$$kh^{-1}x = gx.$$

Moreover  $k \neq h$ , because  $g$  has no fixed points (likewise  $k \neq g$ ). Since  $\mathbf{P}^1$  has size  $q+1$  and there are at most  $q$  possibilities for  $k$ , there is some  $k \in S$  such that  $g^{-1}kh^{-1}$  has at least two fixed points, say  $x$  and  $y$ . Since  $x$  and  $gx$  are distinct, we may coordinatize  $\mathbf{P}^1$  in such a way that

$$x = (1 : 0), \quad gx = (0 : 1).$$

Since  $h$  has no fixed points,  $h(1 : 0) \neq (1 : 0)$ , so there is some  $u \in \mathrm{PGL}_2(K)$  of the form

$$u = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & * \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

such that  $hu(0 : 1) = (1 : 0)$ . For this  $u$  we have

$$ku(0 : 1) = kh^{-1}(1 : 0) = g(1 : 0) = (0 : 1).$$

Thus  $Su$  is a regular set containing the elements

$$\begin{aligned} gu &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & b \\ 1 & * \end{pmatrix}, & ku &= \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ * & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \\ u &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & * \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & hu &= \begin{pmatrix} * & 1 \\ c & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

for unknown nonzero values  $a, b, c$  (and further unknown values hidden by  $*$ ). By the lemma, we must have  $a = bc$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} hk^{-1}g &= (hu)(ku)^{-1}(gu)u^{-1} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} * & 1 \\ c & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ * & a \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & b \\ 1 & * \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & * \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} a & * \\ 0 & bc \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & * \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $hk^{-1}g$  has at least two fixed points ( $x$  and  $y$ ), we must have  $hk^{-1}g = 1$ . Hence  $gh = k \in S$ , as required.

#### REFERENCES

- [B] A. Bonisoli, *The regular subgroups of the sharply 3-transitive finite permutation groups*, Combinatorics '86 (Trento, 1986), 1988, pp. 75–86. MR931307
- [BK] A. Bonisoli and G. Korchmáros, *Flocks of hyperbolic quadrics and linear groups containing homologies*, Geom. Dedicata **42** (1992), no. 3, 295–309. MR1164537
- [BL] L. Bader and G. Lunardon, *On the flocks of  $Q^+(3, q)$* , Geom. Dedicata **29** (1989), no. 2, 177–183. MR988267
- [DS] N. Durante and A. Siciliano, *(B)-geometries and flocks of hyperbolic quadrics*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A **102** (2003), no. 2, 425–431. MR1979544
- [S] B. Segre, *Ovals in a finite projective plane*, Canadian J. Math. **7** (1955), 414–416. MR71034
- [T1] J. A. Thas, *Flocks, maximal exterior sets, and inversive planes*, Finite geometries and combinatorial designs (Lincoln, NE, 1987), 1990, pp. 187–218. MR1079748
- [T2] ———, *Finite fields and Galois geometries*, Finite fields and applications, 2008, pp. 251–265. MR2436341
- [VM] R. C. Valentini and M. L. Madan, *A Hauptsatz of L. E. Dickson and Artin-Schreier extensions*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **318** (1980), 156–177. MR579390

SEAN EBERHARD, CENTRE FOR MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, WILBERFORCE ROAD, CAMBRIDGE CB3 0WB, UK

*Email address:* eberhard@maths.cam.ac.uk