# THE TRACE FORMULA WITH RESPECT TO THE TWISTED GROVER MATRIX OF A MIXED DIGRAPH

Takashi KOMATSU Department of Bioengineering, School of Engineering, The University of Tokyo Bunkyo, Tokyo, 113-8656, JAPAN e-mail: komatsu@coi.t.u-tokyo.ac.jp Sho KUBOTA Department of Applied Mathematics, Faculty of Engineering, Yokohama National University, Hodogaya, Yokohama 240-8501, JAPAN e-mail: kubota-sho-bp@ynu.ac.jp Norio KONNO Department of Applied Mathematics, Faculty of Engineering, Yokohama National University, Hodogaya, Yokohama 240-8501, JAPAN e-mail: konno-norio-bt@ynu.ac.jp Iwao SATO National Institute of Technology, Oyama College, Oyama, Tochigi 323-0806, JAPAN e-mail: isato@oyama-ct.ac.jp

May 7, 2021

Running head tiltle: Trace formula for the twisted Grover matrix

The address for manuscript correspondence: Iwao Sato Oyama National College of Technology, Oyama, Tochigi 323-0806, JAPAN Tel: 0285-20-2176 Fax: 0285-20-2880 E-mail: isato@oyama-ct.ac.jp

### Abstract

We define a zeta function woth respect to the twisted Grover matrix of a mixed digraph, and present an exponential expression and a determinant expression of this zeta function. As an application, we give a trace formula with respect to the twisted Grover matrix of a mixed digraph.

Key words: zeta function, quantum walk, Selberg trace formula, regular graph

### 1 Introduction

The study of zeta functions of graphs was started by Ihara [6]. In [6], he defined the Ihara zeta functions of graphs, and showed that the reciprocals of the Ihara zeta functions of regular graphs are explicit polynomials. Hashimoto [5] treated multivariable zeta functions of bipartite graphs. Bass [2] generalized Ihara's result on the Ihara zeta function of a regular graph to an irregular graph G.

The trace formula for a connected graph G is closely related to the Ihara zeta function of G. Ahumada [1] gave a trace formula for a regular graph(c.f., [17,19]). McKay [13] determined the limiting probability density for the eigenvalues of a series of regular graphs. Sunada [16] presented the semicircle law for the distribution of eigenvalues of regular graphs when their girths and degrees are divergent.

The transition matrix of a discrete-time quantum walk on a graph is closely related to the Ihara zeta function of a graph. As a quantum counterpart of the classical random walk, a quantum walk has recently attracted much attention for various fields, and quantum walks on graphs have been studied by many researchers(see Konno [8], Venegas-Andraca [18], Portugal [14]). Konno [7] presented the limit theorem (the Konno distribution) of a two-state quantum walk on  $\mathbb{Z}$ . The Konno distribution is quite different from the normal distribution, but is similar to the arcsin law. Furthermore, various limit theorems for the probability of a quantum walk on a graph are obtained.

A discrete-time quantum walk is a quantum process on a graph whose state vector is governed by a matrix called the transition matrix. A relationship between the Grover walk and the Ihara zeta function of a graph was given by Ren et al. [15]. The Grover walk [4] is a discrete-time quantum walk on a graph which originates from the Grover algorithm. The Grover matrix that is the transition matrix of the Grover walk is a typical transition matrix of a discrete-time quantum walk on a graph. Konno and Sato [9] presented a formula for the characteristic polynomial of the Grover matrix of a graph. Konno, Mitsuhashi, Morita and Sato [10] presented a trace formula with respect to the Grover matrix of a regular graph. Kubota, Segawa and Taniguchi [11] introduced a twisted Grover matrix of a mixed digraph as a generalization of the Grover matrix of a graph, and gave its spectral analysis.

In this paper, we give a trace formula with respect to the twisted Grover matrix of a regular mixed digraph. In Section 2, we give a short review for the Ihara zeta function of a graph, and a trace formula with respect to the Ihara zeta function of a regular graph. In Section 3, we present a review for a zeta function and a trace formula with respect to the Grover matrix of a graph. In Section 4, we introduce a zeta function with respect to the twisted Grover matrix of a mixed digraph, and we present its determinant expression. Furthermore, we treat its poles in the case of a regular mixed digraph, and present an exponential expression and the Euler product of this zeta function. In Section 5, we present a trace formula with respect to the twisted Grover matrix of a regular mixed digraph.

For the Selberg trace formula, the reader is referred to [17,19], respectively.

### 2 Preliminaries

Graphs and digraphs treated here are finite, simple and unweighted. Let G be a connected graph and D the symmetric digraph corresponding to G. Set  $D(G) = \{(u, v), (v, u) \mid uv \in E(G)\}$ . We also refer D as a graph G. For  $e = (u, v) \in D(G)$ , u = o(e) and v = t(e) are the origin and the terminus of e, respectively. Furthermore, let  $e^{-1} = (v, u)$  be the inverse of e = (u, v).

A path P of length n in D(or G) is a sequence  $P = (e_1, \ldots, e_n)$  of n arcs such that  $e_i \in D(G), t(e_i) = o(e_{i+1})(1 \le i \le n-1)$ . Set  $|P| = n, o(P) = o(e_1)$  and  $t(P) = t(e_n)$ . Also, P is called an (o(P), t(P))-path. We say that a path  $P = (e_1, \cdots, e_n)$  has a backtracking if  $e_{i+1}^{-1} = e_i$  for some  $i(1 \le i \le n-1)$ . A (u, v)-path is called an *u*-cycle (or *u*-closed path) if u = v. The inverse cycle of a cycle  $C = (e_1, \ldots, e_n)$  is the cycle  $C^{-1} = (e_n^{-1}, \cdots, e_1^{-1})$ .

We introduce an equivalence relation between cycles. Two cycles  $C_1 = (e_1, \ldots, e_m)$ and  $C_2 = (f_1, \cdots, f_m)$  are called *equivalent* if  $f_j = e_{j+k}$  for all j, where the sum j + k is performed modulo m. The inverse cycle of C is in general not equivalent to C. Let [C] be the equivalence class that contains a cycle C. A cycle  $C = (e_1, \cdots, e_n)$  has a *tail* if  $e_n^{-1} = e_1$ . A cycle C is *reduced* if C has neither a backtracking nor a tail. Let  $B^r$  be the cycle obtained by going r times around a cycle B. Such a cycle is called a *multiple* of B. Furthermore, a cycle C is *prime* if it is not a multiple of a strictly smaller cycle. Note that each equivalence class of prime, reduced cycles of a graph G corresponds to a unique conjugacy class of the fundamental group  $\pi_1(G, v)$  of G at a vertex v of G. Then the *Ihara zeta function*  $\mathbf{Z}(G, u)$ of a graph G is defined to be the function of  $u \in C$  with |u| sufficiently small, given by

$$\mathbf{Z}(G, u) = \mathbf{Z}_G(u) = \prod_{[C]} (1 - u^{|C|})^{-1},$$

where [C] runs over all equivalence classes of prime, reduced cycles of G.

Let G be a connected graph with n vertices  $v_1, \ldots, v_n$ , and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . The adjacency matrix  $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A}(G) = (a_{ij})$  is the square matrix such that  $a_{ij} = 1$  if  $v_i$  and  $v_j$  are adjacent, and  $a_{ij} = 0$  otherwise. Let Spec(G) be the set of all eigenvalues of  $\mathbf{A}(G)$ . Let  $\mathbf{D} = (d_{ij})$  be the diagonal matrix with  $d_{ii} = \deg_G v_i$ , and  $\mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{D} - \mathbf{I}$ . The degree  $\deg_G v = \deg_V v$  of a vertex v in G is defined by  $\deg_G v = |\{w \mid vw \in E(G)\}|$ . A graph H is called k-regular if  $\deg_H v = k$  for each vertex  $v \in V(H)$ .

**Theorem 1 (Ihara)** Let G be a connected (q + 1)-regular graph with n vertices. Set  $Spec(G) = \{\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n\}$ . Then the reciprocal of the Ihara zeta function of G is

$$\mathbf{Z}(G,u)^{-1} = (1-u^2)^{m-n} \det(\mathbf{I}_n - u\mathbf{A}(G) + qu^2 \mathbf{I}_n) = (1-u^2)^{(q-1)n/2} \prod_{j=1}^n (1-\lambda_j u + qu^2)$$

where m = |E(G)|.

Let G be a connected (q + 1)-regular graph. Furthermore, let  $h(\theta)$  be a complex-valued function on  $\mathbb{R}$  that satisfies the following properties:

- 1.  $h(\theta + 2\pi) = h(\theta),$
- 2.  $h(-\theta) = h(\theta)$ ,

3.  $h(\theta)$  is analytically continuable to an analytic function over  $Im \ \theta < \frac{1}{2}\log q + \epsilon(\epsilon > 0)$ . For this  $h(\theta)$ , we define its *Fourier transform* by

$$\hat{h}(k) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} h(\theta) e^{\sqrt{-1}k\theta} d\theta,$$

where  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

**Theorem 2 (Ahumada)** Let G be a connected (q + 1)-regular graph with n vertices. Set  $Spec(G) = \{\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n\}$ . Let  $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_l$  be the eigenvalues of G for which  $1 - \lambda_j u + qu^2 = 0$  has imaginary roots. Furthermore, for each  $\lambda_i(1 \le i \le l)$ , let  $q^{-1/2}e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta_i}$  be a root of  $1 - \lambda_j u + qu^2 = 0$ . Then the following trace formula holds:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{l} h(\theta_i) = \frac{2nq(q+1)}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi} \frac{\sin^2\theta}{(q+1)^2 - 4q\cos^2\theta} h(\theta) d\theta + \sum_{[C]} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} |C| q^{-m|C|/2} \hat{h}(m |C|),$$

where [C] runs over all equivalence classes of prime, reduced cycles of G,

Let G be a connected (q+1)-regular graph with n vertices. Furthermore, let  $Spec(G) = \{\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n\}$ . By Theorem 1, the poles of  $\mathbf{Z}(u)$  are  $\pm 1$  and roots of  $1 - \lambda_j u + qu^2 = 0$   $(1 \leq j \leq n)$ . Therefore,  $u = q^{-1/2}e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}$  is a pole of  $\mathbf{Z}(u)$  if and only if  $\lambda = 2\sqrt{q}\cos\theta$  is an eigenvalue of G.

Let

$$\phi(\lambda) := \begin{cases} \frac{q+1}{2\pi} \frac{\sqrt{4q-\lambda^2}}{(q+1)^2 - \lambda^2} & \text{if } |\lambda| \le 2\sqrt{q}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise }. \end{cases}$$

Furthermore, the girth g(G) of a graph G is the minimum of the length of prime, reduced cycles in G.

**Theorem 3 (Sunada)** Let  $\{G_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be a family of (q+1)-regular graphs such that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} g(G_n) = \infty$ . For  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}(a < b)$ , let

$$\phi_n([a,b]) = |\{\lambda \in Spec(G_n) \mid a \le \lambda \le b\}|.$$

Then

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{|V(G_n)|} \phi_n([a, b]) = \int_a^b \phi(\lambda) d\lambda.$$

# 3 The Grover matrix of a graph and the trace formula with respect to it

Let G be a connected graph with n vertices band m edges. Then the Grover matrix  $\mathbf{U} = \mathbf{U}(G) = (U_{ef})_{e,f \in D(G)}$  of G is defined by

$$U_{ef} = \begin{cases} 2/d_{t(f)}(=2/d_{o(e)}) & \text{if } t(f) = o(e) \text{ and } f \neq e^{-1}, \\ 2/d_{t(f)} - 1 & \text{if } f = e^{-1}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

A quantum walk on G with the Grover matrix as the transition matrix is called a *Grover* walk on G.

We introduce the positive support  $\mathbf{F}^+ = (F_{ij}^+)$  of a real matrix  $\mathbf{F} = (F_{ij})$  as follows:

$$F_{ij}^{+} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } F_{ij} > 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

If the degree of each vertex of G is not less than 2, i.e.,  $\delta(G) \ge 2$ , then G is called a *md2* graph. We state a relationship between a discrete-time quantum walk and the Ihara zeta function of a graph was given by Ren et al. [15].

**Theorem 4 (Ren, Aleksic, Emms, Wilson and Hancock)** Let  $\mathbf{B} - \mathbf{J}_0$  be the Perron-Frobenius operator (or the edge matrix) of a simple md2 graph G. Let  $\mathbf{U}$  be the Grover matrix of G. Then the  $\mathbf{B} - \mathbf{J}_0$  is the positive support of the transpose of  $\mathbf{U}$ , i.e.,

$$\mathbf{B} - \mathbf{J}_0 = (\mathbf{U}^t)^+.$$

Note that

$$\mathbf{Z}(G, u)^{-1} = \det(\mathbf{I}_{2m} - u(\mathbf{B} - \mathbf{J}_0)), \ m = |E(G)| \ (see[5]).$$

Next, we recall a zeta function on the positive support of the Grover matrix of a graph. Let G be a connected graph with n vertices and m edges and  $\mathbf{U} = \mathbf{U}(G)$  the Grover matrix of G. By Theorem 4, we obtain the following result. **Proposition 1** Let G be a connected graph with m edges. Then

$$\mathbf{Z}(G,u)^{-1} = \det(\mathbf{I}_{2m} - u\mathbf{U}^+)$$

The Ihara zeta function of a graph is just a zeta function on the positive support of the Grover matrix of a graph.

Konno and Sato [9] presented a formula for the characteristic polynomial of U.

Let G be a connected graph with n vertices and m edges. Then the  $n \times n$  matrix  $\mathbf{T}(G) = (T_{uv})_{u,v \in V(G)}$  is given as follows:

$$T_{uv} = \begin{cases} 1/(\deg_G u) & \text{if } (u,v) \in D(G), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

This is the transition matrix of the simple random walk on G.

**Theorem 5 (Konno and Sato)** Let G be a connected graph with n vertices  $v_1, \ldots, v_n$  and m edges. Then, for the Grover matrix of G,

$$\det(\lambda \mathbf{I}_{2m} - \mathbf{U}) = (\lambda^2 - 1)^{m-n} \det((\lambda^2 + 1)\mathbf{I}_n - 2\lambda \mathbf{T}(G)) = \frac{(\lambda^2 - 1)^{m-n} \det((\lambda^2 + 1)\mathbf{D} - 2\lambda \mathbf{A}(G))}{d_{v_1} \cdots d_{v_n}}$$

Thus, we can express the spectra of the Grover matrix **U** by means of those of  $\mathbf{T}(G)$  (see [3]).

Corollary 1 (Emms, Hancock, Severini and Wilson) Let G be a connected graph with n vertices and m edges. The Grover matrix U has 2n eigenvalues of the form

$$\lambda = \lambda_T \pm i \sqrt{1 - \lambda_T^2},$$

where  $\lambda_T$  is an eigenvalue of the matrix  $\mathbf{T}(G)$ . The remaining 2(m-n) eigenvalues of  $\mathbf{U}$  are  $\pm 1$  with equal multiplicities.

Now, we propose a new zeta function of a graph. Let G be a connected graph with m edges. Then we define a zeta function  $\overline{\mathbf{Z}}(G, u)$  of G satisfying

$$\overline{\mathbf{Z}}(u)^{-1} = \overline{\mathbf{Z}}(G, u)^{-1} = \det(\mathbf{I}_{2m} - u\mathbf{U}).$$

By Theorem 5, we obtain the determinant expression for  $\overline{\mathbf{Z}}(u)$ .

**Corollary 2** Let G be a connected graph with n vertices  $v_1, \ldots, v_n$  and m edges. Then

$$\overline{\mathbf{Z}}(u)^{-1} = (1-u^2)^{m-n} \det((1+u^2)\mathbf{I}_n - 2u\mathbf{T}(G)) = \frac{(1+u^2)^{m-n} \det((1+u^2)\mathbf{D} - 2u\mathbf{A}(G))}{d_{v_1} \cdots d_{v_n}}$$

Next, we consider a regular graph. Let G be a connected (q+1)-regular graph. Then we have

$$\mathbf{D} = (q+1)\mathbf{I}_n.$$

The following result is a consequence of Corollary 2.

**Theorem 6 (Konno and Sato)** Let G be a connected (q+1)-regular graph with n vertices and m edges. Furthermore, set  $Spec(G) = \{\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n\}$ . Then,

$$\overline{\mathbf{Z}}(u)^{-1} = (q+1)^{-n}(1-u^2)^{m-n} \det((q+1)(1+u^2)\mathbf{I}_n - 2u\mathbf{A}(G))$$
$$= (1-u^2)^{m-n} \prod_{j=1}^n \left(u^2 - \frac{2\lambda_j}{q+1}u + 1\right).$$

Konno, Mitsuhashi, Morita and Sato [10] presented the trace formula for a regular graph G.

Now, let G be a connected (q + 1)-regular graph. Furthermore, let  $h(\theta)$  be a complex function on  $\mathbb{R}$  with period  $2\pi$ . The Fourier transform of  $h(\theta)$  is given by

$$\hat{h}(k) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} h(\theta) e^{\sqrt{-1}k\theta} d\theta,$$

where  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Furthermore, let  $\tilde{w} : D(G) \times D(G) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be defined such that

$$\tilde{w}(e,f) = \begin{cases} 2/(\deg_G t(e)) & \text{if } t(e) = o(f) \text{ and } f \neq e^{-1}, \\ 2/(\deg_G t(e)) - 1 & \text{if } f = e^{-1}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and let

$$\tilde{w}(C) = \tilde{w}(e_1, e_2)\tilde{w}(e_2, e_3)\cdots\tilde{w}(e_r, e_1), \ C = (e_1, e_2, \dots, e_r).$$

**Theorem 7 (Konno, Mitsuhashi, Morita and Sato)** Let G be a connected (q + 1)regular graph with n vertices and m edges. Suppose that q > 1. Set  $Spec(G) = \{\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n\}$ .
Furthermore, for each  $\lambda_j$   $(1 \le j \le n)$ , let  $z_j = e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta_j}$  be a root of the following quadratic
equation:

$$v^2 - \frac{2\lambda_j}{q+1}v + 1 = 0,$$

where  $\theta_j = 0$  if  $\lambda_j = q + 1$ . Then the following trace formula holds:

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} h(\theta_j) = \frac{m}{2} \int_0^{\pi} h(\theta) \frac{d\theta}{\pi} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{[C]} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |C| \tilde{w}(C)^k \hat{h}(k|C|),$$

where [C] runs over all equivalence classes of prime cycles of G.

### 4 The twisted Grover matrix of a mixed graph

Let G be a connected graph with m edges and  $A(G) \subset V(G) \times V(G)$ . Then the triple G = (V(G), E(G), A(G)) of V(G), E(G), A(G) is called the *mixed graph* (see [11,12]). Set  $A(G)^{-1} = \{e^{-1} \mid e \in A(G)\}$ . We define an  $n \times 2m$  matrix  $\mathbf{K} = (K_{ve})_{v \in V(G), e \in D(G)}$  and a  $2m \times 2m$  matrix  $\mathbf{C}$  as follows:

$$K_{ve} = \begin{cases} 1/\sqrt{\deg v} & \text{if } t(e) = v, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and

$$\mathbf{C} = 2\mathbf{K}^*\mathbf{K} - \mathbf{I}_{2m}.$$

Note that the (e, f)-entry  $C_{ef}$  of **C** is given by

$$C_{ef} = \begin{cases} 2/d_{t(e)} & \text{if } t(e) = t(f) \text{ and } f \neq e, \\ 2/d_{t(e)} - 1 & \text{if } f = e, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

Furthermore, we have

$$\mathbf{K}\mathbf{K}^* = \mathbf{I}_n$$

Next, let  $\theta: D(G) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be a function such that

$$\theta(e^{-1}) = -\theta(e)$$
 for each  $e \in A(G)$ 

and

$$\theta(e) = 0 \text{ for } e \in A(G) \cap A(G)^{-1}.$$

Then we define a  $2m \times 2m$  matrix  $\mathbf{S}_{\theta} = ((S_{\theta})_{ef})_{e,f \in D(G)}$  as follows:

$$(S_{\theta})_{ef} = \begin{cases} e^{i\theta(f)} & \text{if } f = e^{-1}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

Furthermore, let

$$\mathbf{U}_{\theta} = \mathbf{S}_{\theta} \mathbf{C}.$$

In the case of  $\theta \equiv 0$ , the matrix  $\mathbf{U}_{\theta}$  is equal to the Grover matrix of G. The matrix  $\mathbf{U}_{\theta}$  is called the *twisted Grover matrix* of G. Note that

$$(\mathbf{U}_{\theta})_{ef} = \begin{cases} 2e^{-i\theta(e)}/\deg t(f) & \text{if } t(f) = o(e) \text{ and } f \neq e^{-1}, \\ e^{-i\theta(e)}(2/\deg t(f) - 1) & \text{if } f = e^{-1}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Now, let an  $n \times n$  matrix  $\mathbf{H}_{\theta} = (H_{uv}^{(\theta)})_{u,v \in V(G)}$  be given as follows:

$$H_{uv}^{(\theta)} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } (u,v) \in A(G) \cap A(G)^{-1}, \\ e^{i\theta(u,v)} & \text{if } (u,v) \in A(G) \setminus A(G)^{-1}, \\ e^{-i\theta(v,u)} & \text{if } (u,v) \in A(G)^{-1} \setminus A(G), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise}, \end{cases}$$

Then the matrix  $\mathbf{H}_{\theta}$  is called the *generalized Hermitian adjacency matrix* of G. Furthermore, let

$$\mathbf{D}^{1/2} = \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{\deg v_1} & \cdots & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & \cdots & \sqrt{\deg v_n} \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$\tilde{\mathbf{H}}_{\theta} = \mathbf{D}^{-1/2} \mathbf{H}_{\theta} \mathbf{D}^{-1/2},$$

where  $V(G) = \{v_1, ..., v_n\}.$ 

Kubota, Segawa and Taniguchi [11] showed the following result.

### Lemma 1

$$\tilde{\mathbf{H}}_{\theta} = \mathbf{K} \mathbf{S}_{\theta} \mathbf{K}^*$$
.

Then we obtain a result for the twisted Grover matrix.

**Theorem 8** Let G be a connected graph with n vertices  $v_1, \ldots v_n$  and m edges, and  $A(G) \subset V(G) \times V(G)$ . Furthermore, let  $\theta : D(G) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be a function such that

$$\theta(e^{-1}) = -\theta(e), \ e \in A(G) \ and \ \theta(e) = 0, \ e \in A(G) \cap A(G)^{-1}.$$

Then, for the twisted Grover matrix of G,

$$\det(\mathbf{I}_{2m} - u\mathbf{U}_{\theta}) = (1 - u^2)^{m-n} \det((1 + u^2)\mathbf{I}_n - 2u\tilde{\mathbf{H}}_{\theta}) = \frac{(1 - u^2)^{m-n} \det((1 + u^2)\mathbf{D} - 2u\mathbf{H}_{\theta})}{d_{v_1} \cdots d_{v_n}}$$

**Proof.** Let  $A(G) \cap A(G)^{-1} = \{e_1, \dots, e_p, e_1^{-1}, \dots, e_p^{-1}\}$  and  $A(G) \setminus A(G)^{-1} = \{f_1, \dots, f_q\}$ , where p + q = m. Then we have

$$A(G)^{-1} \setminus A(G) = \{f_1^{-1}, \dots, f_q^{-1}\}.$$

Arrange arcs of G as follows:

$$e_1, e_1^{-1}, \dots, e_p, e_p^{-1}, f_1, f_1^{-1}, \dots, f_q, f_q^{-1}.$$

Thus, we have

$$\mathbf{S}_{\theta} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & & & & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & & & & \\ & \ddots & & & & \\ & & 0 & e^{-i\theta(f_1)} & \\ & & e^{i\theta(f_1)} & 0 & \\ 0 & & & \ddots \end{bmatrix}.$$

But, if  ${\bf A}$  and  ${\bf B}$  are an  $m\times n$  matrix and an  $n\times m$  matrix, then

$$\det(\mathbf{I}_m - \mathbf{AB}) = \det(\mathbf{I}_n - \mathbf{BA}).$$

Thus, we have

$$det(\mathbf{I}_{2m} - u\mathbf{U}_{\theta}) = det(\mathbf{I}_{2m} - u\mathbf{S}_{\theta}\mathbf{C})$$

$$= det(\mathbf{I}_{2m} - u\mathbf{S}_{\theta}(2\mathbf{K}^{*}\mathbf{K} - \mathbf{I}_{2m}))$$

$$= det(\mathbf{I}_{2m} + u\mathbf{S}_{\theta} - 2u\mathbf{S}_{\theta}\mathbf{K}^{*}\mathbf{K})$$

$$= det(\mathbf{I}_{2m} - 2u\mathbf{S}_{\theta}\mathbf{K}^{*}\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{I}_{2m} + u\mathbf{S}_{\theta})^{-1}) det(\mathbf{I}_{2m} + u\mathbf{S}_{\theta})$$

$$= det(\mathbf{I}_{n} - 2u\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{I}_{2m} + u\mathbf{S}_{\theta})^{-1}\mathbf{S}_{\theta}\mathbf{K}^{*}) det(\mathbf{I}_{2m} + u\mathbf{S}_{\theta}).$$

Here,

$$\det(\mathbf{I}_{2m} + u\mathbf{S}_{\theta})$$

$$= \det\left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 & u & & & & 0 \\ u & 1 & & & & \\ & \ddots & & & & \\ & & 1 & ue^{-i\theta(f_1)} & \\ & & ue^{i\theta(f_1)} & 1 & \\ 0 & & & \ddots \end{bmatrix}\right)$$

 $= (1-u^2)^m.$ 

Furthermore, we have

$$(\mathbf{I}_{2m} + u\mathbf{S}_{\theta})^{-1}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & u & & & 0 \\ u & 1 & & & \\ & \ddots & & \\ & & 1 & ue^{-i\theta(f_1)} \\ & & ue^{i\theta(f_1)} & 1 \\ 0 & & & \ddots \end{bmatrix}^{-1}$$

$$= \frac{1}{1-u^2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -u & & & 0 \\ -u & 1 & & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & 1 & -ue^{-i\theta(f_1)} \\ & & -ue^{i\theta(f_1)} & 1 \\ 0 & & & \ddots \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \frac{1}{1-u^2} (\mathbf{I}_{2m} - u\mathbf{S}_{\theta}).$$

Thus,

$$\det(\mathbf{I}_{2m} - u\mathbf{U}_{\theta})$$

$$= (1 - u^2)^m \det(\mathbf{I}_n - 2u/(1 - u^2)\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{I}_{2m} - u\mathbf{S}_{\theta})\mathbf{S}_{\theta}\mathbf{K}^*)$$

$$= (1 - u^2)^{m-n} \det((1 - u^2)\mathbf{I}_n - 2u\mathbf{K}\mathbf{S}_{\theta}\mathbf{K}^* + 2u^2\mathbf{K}\mathbf{S}_{\theta}^2\mathbf{K}^*).$$

Since

$$\mathbf{S}_{\theta}^2 = \mathbf{I}_{2m},$$

we have

$$\mathbf{K}\mathbf{S}_{ heta}^{2}\mathbf{K}^{*}=\mathbf{K}\mathbf{K}^{*}=\mathbf{I}_{n}.$$

By Lemma 1, it follows that

$$\det(\mathbf{I}_{2m} - u\mathbf{U}_{\theta})$$

$$= (1 - u^2)^{m-n} \det((1 + u^2)\mathbf{I}_n - 2u\mathbf{K}\mathbf{S}_{\theta}\mathbf{K}^*)$$

$$= (1 - u^2)^{m-n} \det((1 + u^2)\mathbf{I}_n - 2u\tilde{\mathbf{H}}_{\theta}).$$

By the definition of  $\tilde{\mathbf{H}}_{\theta}$ , we have

$$\det(\mathbf{I}_{2m} - u\mathbf{U}_{\theta})$$

$$= (1 - u^2)^{m-n} \det((1 + u^2)\mathbf{I}_n - 2u\mathbf{D}^{-1/2}\mathbf{H}_{\theta}\mathbf{D}^{-1/2})$$

$$= (1 - u^2)^{m-n} \det(\mathbf{D}^{-1}) \det((1 + u^2)\mathbf{D} - 2u\mathbf{H}_{\theta})$$

$$= \frac{(1 - u^2)^{m-n} \det((1 + u^2)\mathbf{D} - 2u\mathbf{H}_{\theta})}{d_{v_1} \cdots d_{v_n}}.$$

Q.E.D.

Substituting  $u = 1/\lambda$ , we obtain the following result.

**Corollary 3** Let G be a connected graph with n vertices  $v_1, \ldots v_n$  and m edges, and  $A(G) \subset V(G) \times V(G)$ . Furthermore, let  $\theta : D(G) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be a function such that

$$\theta(e^{-1}) = -\theta(e), \ e \in A(G) \ and \ \theta(e) = 0, \ e \in A(G) \cap A(G)^{-1}.$$

Then, for the twisted Grover matrix of G,

$$\det(\lambda \mathbf{I}_{2m} - \mathbf{U}_{\theta}) = (\lambda^2 - 1)^{m-n} \det((\lambda^2 + 1)\mathbf{I}_n - 2\lambda \tilde{\mathbf{H}}_{\theta}) = \frac{(\lambda^2 - 1)^{m-n} \det((\lambda^2 + 1)\mathbf{D} - 2\lambda \mathbf{H}_{\theta})}{d_{v_1} \cdots d_{v_n}}$$

Thus, we can express the spectra of the twisted Grover matrix  $\mathbf{U}_{\theta}$  by means of those of  $\tilde{\mathbf{H}}_{\theta}$ .

**Corollary 4** Let G be a connected graph with n vertices  $v_1, \ldots v_n$  and m edges, and  $A(G) \subset V(G) \times V(G)$ . Furthermore, let  $\theta : D(G) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be a function such that

$$\theta(e^{-1}) = -\theta(e), \ e \in A(G) \ and \ \theta(e) = 0, \ e \in A(G) \cap A(G)^{-1}.$$

The twisted Grover matrix  $\mathbf{U}_{\theta}$  has 2n eigenvalues of the form

$$\lambda = \lambda_H \pm i \sqrt{1 - \lambda_H^2},$$

where  $\lambda_H$  is an eigenvalue of the matrix  $\hat{\mathbf{H}}_{\theta}$ . The remaining 2(m-n) eigenvalues of  $\mathbf{U}_{\theta}$  are  $\pm 1$  with equal multiplicities.

**Proof**. By Corollary 3, we have

$$\det(\lambda \mathbf{I}_{2m} - \mathbf{U}_{\theta}) = (\lambda^2 - 1)^{m-n} \prod_{\lambda_H \in Spec(\tilde{\mathbf{H}}_{\theta})} ((\lambda^2 + 1) - 2\lambda_H \lambda).$$

Solving  $\lambda^2 - 2\lambda_H \lambda + 1 = 0$ , we obtain

$$\lambda = \lambda_H \pm i \sqrt{1 - \lambda_H^2}.$$

Q.E.D.

Now, we propose a new zeta function of a graph. Let G be a connected graph with m edges. Then we define a zeta function  $\mathbf{Z}_{\theta}(G, u)$  of G by

$$\mathbf{Z}_{\theta}(u)^{-1} = \mathbf{Z}_{\theta}(G, u)^{-1} = \det(\mathbf{I}_{2m} - u\mathbf{U}_{\theta}).$$

By Theorem 8, we obtain the determinant expression for  $\mathbf{Z}_{\theta}(u)$ .

**Corollary 5** Let G be a connected graph with n vertices  $v_1, \ldots, v_n$  and m edges. Then, for the twisted Grover matrix of G,

$$\mathbf{Z}_{\theta}(u)^{-1} = (1-u^2)^{m-n} \det((1+u^2)\mathbf{I}_n - 2u\tilde{\mathbf{H}}_{\theta}) = \frac{(1-u^2)^{m-n} \det((1+u^2)\mathbf{D} - 2u\mathbf{H}_{\theta})}{d_{v_1} \cdots d_{v_n}}.$$

Next, we consider a regular graph. Let G be a connected (q+1)-regular graph. Then we have

$$\mathbf{D} = (q+1)\mathbf{I}_n.$$

The following result is obtained from Corollary 5.

**Theorem 9** Let G be a connected (q + 1)-regular graph with n vertices and m edges. Furthermore, set  $Spec(\mathbf{H}_{\theta}) = \{\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n\}$ . Then,

$$\mathbf{Z}_{\theta}(u)^{-1} = (q+1)^{-n}(1-u^2)^{m-n} \det((q+1)(1+u^2)\mathbf{I}_n - 2u\mathbf{H}_{\theta})$$
$$= (1-u^2)^{m-n} \prod_{j=1}^n \left(u^2 - \frac{2\lambda_j}{q+1}u + 1\right).$$

By Theorem 9, we obtain the poles for  $\mathbf{Z}_{\theta}(u)$  of a regular graph.

**Corollary 6** Let G be a connected (q + 1)-regular graph with n vertices and m edges. The zeta function  $\mathbf{Z}_{\theta}(u)$  has 2n poles of the form

$$\lambda = \frac{\lambda_j \pm \sqrt{\lambda_j^2 - (q+1)^2}}{q+1},$$

where  $Spec(\mathbf{H}_{\theta}) = \{\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n\}$ . The remaining 2(m-n) poles of  $\mathbf{Z}_{\theta}(u)$  are  $\pm 1$  with equal multiplicities.

Now, we estimate the spectrum of the matrix  $\mathbf{H}_{\theta}$ .

**Proposition 2** Let G be a connected (q + 1)-regular graph with n vertices and m edges. Then, for any eigenvalue  $\lambda$  of  $\mathbf{H}_{\theta}$ , we have

$$|\lambda| \le q+1.$$

**Proof.** Similarly to the proof of Proposition 3.3 in [11], we have

$$|\mu| \leq 1$$

for each eigenvalue  $\mu$  of  $\mathbf{H}_{\theta}$ . But, we have

$$\tilde{\mathbf{H}}_{\theta} = \mathbf{D}^{-1/2} \mathbf{H}_{\theta} \mathbf{D}^{-1/2} = \frac{1}{q+1} \mathbf{H}_{\theta}.$$

Thus, each eigenvalue  $\lambda$  of  $\mathbf{H}_{\theta}$  is the following form:

$$\lambda = (q+1)\mu, \ \mu \in Spec(\mathbf{H}_{\theta}).$$

Therefore, it follows that

$$|\lambda| = (q+1)|\mu| \le q+1.$$

Q.E.D.

We use the following result.

**Lemma 2** Let G be a connected (q + 1)-regular graph with n vertices and m edges, and  $Spec(\mathbf{H}_{\theta}) = \{\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n\}$ . If  $|\lambda_j| < q + 1$   $(1 \le j \le n)$ , then

$$u^{2} - \frac{2\lambda_{j}}{q+1}u + (q+1) = (u - u_{j}^{+})(u - u_{j}^{-}),$$

where

$$u_j^{\pm} = \frac{\lambda_j \pm \sqrt{\lambda_j^2 - (q+1)^2}}{q+1}.$$

**Proof.** If  $|\lambda_j| < q+1$ , then we have

$$u_j^{\pm} = x \pm yi, \ x = \frac{\lambda_j}{q+1}, \ y = \frac{\sqrt{(q+1)^2 - \lambda_j^2}}{q+1},$$

 ${\rm i.e.},$ 

$$x^2 + y^2 = 1$$

Thus, if  $|\lambda_j| < q+1$ , then

$$u_{j}^{\pm}=e^{\pm i\theta_{j}}, i.e., \ u_{j}^{-}=1/u_{j}^{+}.$$

Therefore, we have

$$u^{2} - \frac{2\lambda_{j}}{q+1}u + (q+1) = (u - u_{j}^{+})(u - u_{j}^{-}).$$

Q.E.D.

Note that, if  $\lambda_j = q + 1$ , then  $u_j = 1$ .

We present the Euler product and the exponential expression for the new zeta function of a graph.

At first,

$$({}^{t}\mathbf{U}_{\theta})_{ef} = \begin{cases} 2e^{-\theta(f)}/\deg t(e) & \text{if } t(e) = o(f) \text{ and } f \neq e^{-1}, \\ e^{-i\theta(f)}(2/\deg t(e) - 1) & \text{if } f = e^{-1}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then we give three weight functions  $w: D(G) \times D(G) \longrightarrow \mathbf{C}$  as follows:

$$w(e, f) = \begin{cases} 2e^{-i\theta(f)}/\deg t(e) & \text{if } t(e) = o(f) \text{ and } f \neq e^{-1}, \\ e^{-i\theta(f)}(2/\deg t(e) - 1) & \text{if } f = e^{-1}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

For a cycle  $C = (e_1, e_2, \cdots, e_r)$ , let

$$w(C) = w(e_1, e_2) \cdots w(e_{r-1}, e_r) w(e_r, e_1).$$

**Theorem 10** Let G be a connected graph with n vertices  $v_1, \ldots v_n$  and m edges, and  $A(G) \subset V(G) \times V(G)$ . Furthermore, let  $\theta : D(G) \longrightarrow \mathbf{C}$  be a function such that

$$\theta(e^{-1}) = \theta(e)^{-1}, \ e \in A(G) \ and \ \theta(e) = 0, \ e \in A(G) \cap A(G)^{-1}.$$

Then the new zeta function of G is given by

$$\mathbf{Z}_{\theta}(u) = \prod_{[C]} (1 - w(C)u^{|C|})^{-1} = \exp(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{N_k}{k} u^k).$$

where [C] runs over all equivalence classes of prime cycles in G, and  $N_k$  is defined by

$$N_k = \sum \{ w(C) \mid C : a \text{ cycle of length } k \text{ in } G \}.$$

**Proof**. At first, we have

$$\mathbf{Z}_{\theta}(u)^{-1} = \det(\mathbf{I}_{2m} - u\mathbf{U}_{\theta}) = \det(\mathbf{I}_{2m} - u^{t}\mathbf{U}_{\theta}).$$

At first,

$$\log \mathbf{Z}_{\theta}(u) = \log \det(\mathbf{I}_{2m} - u^{t}\mathbf{U}_{\theta})^{-1} = -\mathrm{Tr}\log(\mathbf{I}_{2m} - u^{t}\mathbf{U}_{\theta}) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k}\mathrm{Tr}[(^{t}\mathbf{U}_{\theta})^{k}]u^{k}.$$

Since

$$w(e,f) = ({}^{t}\mathbf{U}_{\theta})_{ef}, \ e, f \in D(G),$$

we have

$$\operatorname{Tr}[({}^{t}\mathbf{U}_{\theta})^{k})] = \sum \{w(C) \mid C : a \text{ cycle of length } k \text{ in } G\} = N_{k}.$$

Hence,

$$\log \mathbf{Z}_{\theta}(u) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{N_k}{k} u^k.$$

Thus,

$$\mathbf{Z}_{\theta}(u) = \exp\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{N_k}{k} u^k\right).$$

Next, we consider the Euler product of the zeta function of G. For a cycle  $\tilde{C}$  with length k, the exists a prime cycle C of length p such that  $\tilde{C} = C^l$  and k = pl. Furthermore, note that

$$|[C]| = p.$$

Thus, we have

$$\log \prod_{[C]} (1 - w(C)u^{|C|})^{-1} = -\sum_{[C]} \log(1 - w(C)u^{|C|})$$
$$= \sum_{[C]} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{w(C)^k}{k} u^{k|C|},$$

where [C] runs over all equivalence classes of prime cycle in G. Therefore,

$$\frac{d}{du} \log \prod_{[C]} (1 - w(C)u^{|C|})^{-1} = u^{-1} \sum_{[C]} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |C|w(C)^k u^{k|C|}$$
$$= u^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{[C]} |C|w(C)^k u^{k|C|}$$
$$= u^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{C} w(C^k) u^{k|C|}$$
$$= u^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} N_k u^k.$$

Hence, it follows that

$$\log \prod_{[C]} (1 - w(C)u^{|C|})^{-1} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{N_k}{k} u^k,$$

and so

$$\prod_{[C]} (1 - w(C)u^{|C|})^{-1} = \exp(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{N_k}{k} u^k),$$

Thus,

$$\mathbf{Z}_{\theta}(u) = \prod_{[C]} (1 - w(C)u^{|C|})^{-1}.$$

Q.E.D.

## 5 The trace formulas for regular graphs

We present the trace formula for a regular graph G.

Now, let G be a connected (q + 1)-regular graph. Furthermore, let  $h(\theta)$  be a complex function on  $\mathbb{R}$  with period  $2\pi$ . The Fourier transform of  $h(\theta)$  is given by

$$\hat{h}(k) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} h(\theta) e^{\sqrt{-1}k\theta} d\theta,$$

where  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

**Theorem 11** Let G be a connected (q + 1)-regular graph with n vertices and m edges. Suppose that q > 1. Set  $Spec(\mathbf{H}_{\theta}) = \{\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n\}$ . Furthermore, for each  $\lambda_j (1 \le j \le n)$ , let  $z_j = e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta_j}$  be a root of the following quadratic equation:

$$v^2 - \frac{2\lambda_j}{q+1}v + 1 = 0,$$

where  $\theta_j = 0$  if  $\lambda_j = q + 1$ . Then the following trace formula holds:

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} h(\theta_j) = \frac{m}{2} \int_0^{\pi} h(\theta) \frac{d\theta}{\pi} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{[C]} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |C| w(C)^k \hat{h}(k|C|)$$

where [C] runs over all equivalence classes of prime cycles of G.

**Proof.** The argument is an analogue of Venkov and Nikitin's method [19]. At first, let

$$u_j = u_j^+ \ (1 \le j \le n).$$

Then we have  $u_j^- = 1/u_j$ . By Theorem 9 and Lemma 2, we have

$$\mathbf{Z}_{\theta}(u)^{-1} = (1-u^2)^{m-n} \prod_{j=1}^n (u^2 - \frac{2\lambda_j}{q+1}u + 1) = (1-u^2)^{m-n} \prod_{j=1}^n (u-u_j)(u-1/u_j).$$

Thus,

$$-\log \mathbf{Z}_{\theta}(u) = (m-n)\log(1-u^2) + \sum_{j=1}^{n}\log u(u+1/u - \frac{2\lambda_j}{q+1}).$$

Therefore,

$$-\frac{d}{du}\log \mathbf{Z}_{\theta}(u) = \frac{2(m-n)u}{u^2 - 1} + \frac{n}{u} + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{d}{du}\log \frac{1}{u}(u - u_j)(u - 1/u_j).$$
 (1)

Since G is (q + 1)-regular, we get

$$2m = n(q+1).$$

Thus, we have

$$\frac{2(m-n)u}{u^2-1} + \frac{n}{u} = \frac{(2m-n)u^2-n}{u(u-1)(u+1)} = \frac{n(qu^2-1)}{u(u-1)(u+1)}.$$
(2)

Next, by Theorem 10, we obtain

$$\mathbf{Z}_{\theta}(u) = \prod_{[C]} (1 - w(C)u^{|C|})^{-1}.$$

Then we have

$$\log \mathbf{Z}_{\theta}(u) = -\sum_{[C]} \log(1 - w(C)u^{|C|}) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k} w(C)^{k} u^{k|C|}.$$

Thus,

$$\frac{d}{du}\log \mathbf{Z}_{\theta}(u) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |C|w(C)^k u^{k|C|-1}.$$
(3)

By (1), (2) and (3), it follows that

$$-\sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{d}{du} \log \frac{1}{u} (u-u_j)(u-1/u_j) = \frac{n(qu^2-1)}{u(u-1)(u+1)} + \sum_{[C]} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |C| w(C)^k u^{k|C|-1}.$$
 (4)

Now, if  $u_j$  is not contained in  $\mathbb{R}$ , then  $|u_j| = 1$ , i.e.,  $u_j = e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta_j}$ . Moreover, let  $h(\theta)$  be an even complex function on  $\mathbb{R}$  with period  $2\pi$  which is analytically continuable to an analytic function over  $Im \ \theta < \epsilon(\epsilon > 0)$ . Furthermore, let  $C_1$  be the circle of radius r < 1 traced in the positive direction(counterclockwise),  $C_2$  the circle of radius R > 1 traced in the positive direction, and  $K = C_1 - C_2$ . Furthermore, we consider the following three contour integrals:

$$\begin{split} Q(h,j) &= -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{K} h(-\sqrt{-1}\log u) \frac{d}{du} \log \frac{1}{u} (u-u_{j})(u-1/u_{j}) du, \\ I(h) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{K} h(-\sqrt{-1}\log u) \frac{n(qu^{2}-1)}{u(u-1)(u+1)} du, \\ H(h,k) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{K} h(-\sqrt{-1}\log u) u^{k|C|-1} du. \end{split}$$

Then, by (4), we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} Q(h,j) = I(h) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |C| w(C)^{k} H(h,k).$$
(5)

By the property of the residue theorem, we have

$$Q(h,j) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{-K} h(-\sqrt{-1}\log u) \frac{d}{du} \log \frac{1}{u} (u-u_j)(u-1/u_j) du = h(\theta_j) + h(-\theta_j) = 2h(\theta_j)$$

since  $u_j = e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta_j}$  is contained in -K. Thus,

$$\lim_{r \to 1} Q(h, j) = 2h(\theta_j).$$
(6)

Furthermore, we have

$$H(h,k) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{C_1} h(-\sqrt{-1}\log u) u^{k|C|-1} du.$$

Set  $u = re^{\sqrt{-1}\theta} (0 \le \theta \le 2\pi)$ , where  $-\log r < \epsilon$ . Then

$$\begin{split} H(h,k) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_0^{2\pi} h(-\sqrt{-1}\log r e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}) r^{k|C|} e^{\sqrt{-1}k|C|\theta} \frac{\sqrt{-1}r e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}}{r e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}} d\theta \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} h(\theta - i\log r) r^{k|C|} e^{\sqrt{-1}k|C|\theta} d\theta \\ &= r^{k|C|} \hat{h}(k|C| - i\log r). \end{split}$$

Thus, we get

$$\lim_{r \to 1} H(h,k) = \hat{h}(k|C|).$$
(7)

Furthermore, we have

$$I(h) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{C_1} h(-\sqrt{-1}\log u) \frac{n(qu^2 - 1)}{u(u - 1)(u + 1)} du.$$

Set  $u = re^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}$   $(-\pi \le \theta \le \pi; r < 1)$ . Then

$$I(h) = \frac{n}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} h(\theta - i\log r) \frac{qr^2 e^{2i\theta} - 1}{(re^{i\theta} - 1)(re^{i\theta} + 1)} d\theta$$

But,

$$\lim_{r \to 1} I(h) = \frac{n}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} h(\theta) \frac{q e^{2i\theta} - 1}{(e^{i\theta} - 1)(e^{i\theta} + 1)} d\theta.$$

Thus, we get

$$\frac{qe^{2i\theta} - 1}{(e^{i\theta} - 1)(e^{i\theta} + 1)} = \frac{(q+1)(1 - \cos 2\theta) - i(q-1)\sin 2\theta}{2(1 - \cos 2\theta)} = \frac{q+1}{2} - i\frac{(q-1)\sin 2\theta}{2(1 - \cos 2\theta)}$$

Therefore,

$$\lim_{r \to 1} I(h) = \frac{n}{\pi} \{ \int_0^{\pi} h(\theta) \frac{q+1}{2} d\theta - \frac{i}{4} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} h(\theta) \frac{(q-1)\sin 2\theta}{2(1-\cos 2\theta)} d\theta \} = \frac{n(q+1)}{2\pi} \int_0^{\pi} h(\theta) d\theta.$$
(8)

By (5), (6), (7) and (8), it follows that

$$2\sum_{j=1}^{n} h(\theta_j) = \frac{n(q+1)}{2\pi} \int_0^{\pi} h(\theta) d\theta + \sum_{[C]} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |C| w(C)^k \hat{h}(k|C|).$$

Hence,

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} h(\theta_j) = \frac{m}{2} \int_0^{\pi} h(\theta) \frac{d\theta}{\pi} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{[C]} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |C| w(C)^k \hat{h}(k|C|).$$

Q.E.D.

### References

- G. Ahumada, Fonctions periodiques et formule des traces de Selberg sur les arbres, C. R. Acad. Sci. Parris Ser. I 305, no. 16 (1987), 709-712.
- [2] H. Bass, The Ihara-Selberg zeta function of a tree lattice, Internat. J. Math. 3 (1992), 717-797.
- [3] D. Emms, E. R. Hancock, S. Severini and R. C. Wilson, A matrix representation of graphs and its spectrum as a graph invariant, *Electr. J. Combin.* 13, R34 (2006)
- [4] L. K. Grover, A fast quantum mechanical algorithm for database search, Proceedings of the 28 th Annual ACM Sympojium on the Theory of Computing (STOC), : 212–219 (1996).
- [5] K. Hashimoto, Zeta Functions of Finite Graphs and Representations of p-Adic Groups, in "Adv. Stud. Pure Math.", Vol. 15, pp. 211-280, Academic Press, New York, 1989.

- [6] Y. Ihara, On discrete subgroups of the two by two projective linear group over p-adic fields, J. Math. Soc. Japan 18 (1966), 219-235.
- [7] N. Konno, Quantum random walks in one dimension, *Quantum Inf. Process.* 1 (2002), 345-354.
- [8] N. Konno, Quantum Walks. in: "Lecture Notes in Mathematics": Vol.1954, pp.309–452, Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg (2008)
- [9] N. Konno and I. Sato, On the relation between quantum walks and zeta functions, Quantum Inf. Process. 11 (2012), 341–349.
- [10] N. Konno, H. Mitsuhashi, H. Morita and I. Sato, The trace formula with respect to the Grover matrix of a graph, *Linear and Multilinear Algebra* (2020).
- [11] S. Kubota, E. Segawa and T. Taniguchi, Quantum walks defined by digraphs and generalized Hermitian adjacency matrices, *Quantum Inf. Process.* 20 (2021), 95.
- [12] S. Kubota, H. Sekido and H. Yata, Periodicity of quantum walks defined by mixed paths and mixed cycles, arXiv:2104.08424v1.
- [13] B. D. McKay, The expected eigenvalue distribution of a large regular graph, Lin. Alg. Appl. 40 (1981), 203-216.
- [14] R. Portugal, "Quantum Walks and Search Algorithms", 2nd edition, Springer, New York (2018).
- [15] P. Ren, T. Aleksic, D. Emms, R. C. Wilson and E. R. Hancock, Quantum walks, ihara zeta functions and cospectrality in regular graphs, *Quantum Inf. Process.* 10 (2011), 405-417.
- [16] T. Sunada, The Discrete and The Continuous, in "Sugaku Seminar" (in Japanese)., Vol. 40, pp. 48-51, Nippon Hyouronsha, Tokyo, 2001.
- [17] A. Terras, "Fourier Analysis on Finite Groups and Applications", Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge (1999).
- [18] S. E. Venegas-Andraca, Quantum walks: a comprehensive review, Quantum Inf. Process. 11 (2012), 1015–1106.
- [19] A. B. Venkov and A. M. Nikitin, The Selberg trace formula, Ramanujan graphs, and some problems of Mathematical Physics, St. Petersburg Math. J. 5 no. 3 (1994), 419-484.