

On Products of Strong Skolem Starters

Oleg Ogandzhanyants* Margarita Kondratieva† Nabil Shalaby‡

Department of Mathematics and Statistics
 Memorial University of Newfoundland
 St. John's, Newfoundland
 CANADA A1C 5S7

May 6, 2021

Abstract

In 1991, Shalaby conjectured that any \mathbb{Z}_n , where $n \equiv 1$ or $3 \pmod{8}$, $n \geq 11$, admits a strong Skolem starter. In 2018, the authors explicitly constructed some infinite “cardioidal” families of strong Skolem starters. No other infinite families of these combinatorial designs were known to date.

Statements regarding the products of starters, proven in this paper give a new way of generating strong or skew Skolem starters of composite orders. This approach extends our previous result by generating new infinite families that are not cardioidal.

The products that we introduce in this paper are multi-valued binary operations which produce 2-partitions of the set \mathbb{Z}_{nm}^* of integers modulo nm without zero, from a pair of 2-partitions of \mathbb{Z}_n^* and \mathbb{Z}_m^* , where $n, m \geq 3$ are odd integers. We prove several remarkable properties of these operations applied to starters in \mathbb{Z}_n and to some other combinatorial objects that are 2-partitions of \mathbb{Z}_n^* with additional restrictions, such as strong, skew, Skolem and cardioidal 2-partitions.

Keywords: strong starter; Skolem starter; skew starter; Room square; Steiner triple system; 2-partition.

1 Introduction

A *starter* in an additive abelian group G of odd order n is a partition of the set G^* of all non-zero elements of G into $q = (n - 1)/2$ pairs $\{\{s_i, t_i\}\}_{i=1}^q$ such that the elements $\pm(s_i - t_i)$, $i = 1, \dots, q$, comprise G^* .

*oleg.ogandzhanyants@mun.ca

†mkondra@mun.ca

‡nshalaby@mun.ca

Starters exist in any additive abelian group of odd order $n \geq 3$. For example, the partition $\{\{x, -x\} \mid x \in G, x \neq 0\}$ of G^* is a starter in G . For convenience, we will call a partition of a set of even cardinality into pairs a *2-partition* of this set.

In this paper, we will consider only cyclic additive abelian groups, more precisely, groups \mathbb{Z}_n of integers modulo n , where $n \geq 3$ is odd.

Definition 1.1. A 2-partition $S = \{\{x_i, y_i\}_{i=1}^q\}$ of \mathbb{Z}_n^* , $n = 2q + 1$, $q \geq 1$ is called
 (a) a starter in \mathbb{Z}_n , if

$$\{\pm(x_i - y_i) \pmod{n} \mid \{x_i, y_i\} \in S, 1 \leq i \leq q\} = \mathbb{Z}_n^*; \quad (1)$$

(b) strong, if

$$\hat{S} = \{(x_i + y_i) \pmod{n} \mid \{x_i, y_i\} \in S, 1 \leq i \leq q\} \subset \mathbb{Z}_n^* \quad \text{and} \quad |\hat{S}| = q; \quad (2)$$

(c) skew, if

$$\{\pm(x_i + y_i) \pmod{n} \mid \{x_i, y_i\} \in S, 1 \leq i \leq q\} = \mathbb{Z}_n^*; \quad (3)$$

(d) cardioidal ([14]), if all its pairs are cardioidal of order n , that is, if each pair of the partition

$$\{x, y\} = \{i, 2i \pmod{n}\} \quad (4)$$

for some $i \in \mathbb{Z}_n^*$;

(e) Skolem, if all its pairs are Skolem of order n , that is, if each pair $\{x, y\}$ of the partition is such that

$$y - x \leq q \pmod{n} \Leftrightarrow y > x. \quad (5)$$

Here we assume $1 < 2 < \dots < 2q$ to be the order of the non-zero integers modulo n .

We will refer to 2-partitions of \mathbb{Z}_n^* as 2-partitions of order n . A *strong starter* in \mathbb{Z}_n is a 2-partition of order n that possesses properties (1) and (2). A *skew starter* in \mathbb{Z}_n is a 2-partition of order n that possesses properties (1) and (3). Clearly, skew 2-partitions comprise a subset of strong 2-partitions. Consequently, any skew starter is strong. Also, it is known that if a partition is cardioidal, then it is Skolem [14]. All the other implications are absent, and a 2-partition may hold any combination of these properties independently from one another.

Example 1.2. The 2-partition $R = \{\{1, 2\}, \{3, 4\}\}$ of \mathbb{Z}_5^* is strong ($0 \neq 1 + 2 \neq 3 + 4 \neq 0 \pmod{5}$) and cardioidal ($i = 1$ and 4) but not a starter ($2 - 1 = 4 - 3$) and not skew ($\pm 3 = \mp 7 \pmod{5}$).

The 2-partition $Q = \{\{1, 3\}, \{2, 5\}, \{4, 6\}, \{7, 8\}\}$ of \mathbb{Z}_9^* is Skolem and skew: $\{\pm(1 + 3) \equiv \pm 4 \pmod{9}, \pm(2 + 5) \equiv \mp 2 \pmod{9}, \pm(4 + 6) \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{9}, \pm(7 + 8) \equiv \mp 3 \pmod{9}\} = \mathbb{Z}_9^*$. But it is not a starter ($3 - 1 = 6 - 4$), nor is it cardioidal as, for example, the pair $\{1, 3\}$ does not satisfy property (4).

The starter $T = \{\{2, 3\}, \{4, 6\}, \{5, 1\}\}$ in \mathbb{Z}_7 is strong and skew: $\{\pm(2 + 3) \equiv \pm 5 \pmod{7}, \pm(4 + 6) \equiv \pm 3 \pmod{7}, \pm(5 + 1) \equiv \mp 1 \pmod{7}\} = \mathbb{Z}_7^*$, but not Skolem, as the pair $\{5, 1\}$ does

not satisfy property (5).

The starter $S = \{\{1, 2\}, \{10, 12\}, \{3, 6\}, \{4, 8\}, \{11, 16\}, \{9, 15\}, \{7, 14\}, \{5, 13\}\}$ in \mathbb{Z}_{17} is strong as all the pairs yield pairwise different non-zero sums (mod 17) and Skolem [15]. However, S is not skew as, for example, the pairs $\{10, 12\}$ and $\{4, 8\}$ yield the sums 5 (mod 17) and 12 (mod 17), respectively, and $5 \equiv -12 \pmod{17}$. Neither S is cardioidal as, for example, the pair $\{5, 13\}$ does not satisfy property (4).

First, strong starters were introduced by Mullin and Stanton in 1968 [13] for constructing Room squares and Howell designs. In 1969, Mullin and Nemeth [12] gave a general construction for finding these starters in cyclic groups.

The question of the existence (or non-existence) of a strong starter in an abelian group is crucial in the theory of Room squares. We refer readers interested in constructions of strong starters to [2], [7], [12] and the references therein.

Strong starters in groups of order 3, 5 and 9 do not exist [3, p.144]. It is an open question whether there exists a strong starter in every cyclic group of an odd order exceeding 9. In 1981, Dinitz and Stinson [4] found (by a computer search) strong starters in the cyclic group of order n for all odd $7 \leq n \leq 999$, $n \neq 9$.

At present, the strongest known general statement on the existence of strong starters is the following [2, p.625]: *For any $n > 5$ coprime to 6, an abelian group of order n admits a strong starter.*

Skew starters give rise to special Room squares called *skew Room squares*, important combinatorial designs. It is known [2, p.627] that skew starters of order n do not exist, if $3|n$.

Skolem starters, the objects of our close attention, are defined only in \mathbb{Z}_n .

Definition 1.3. *Let $n = 2q + 1$, and $1 < 2 < \dots < 2q$ be the order of the non-zero integers modulo n . A starter in \mathbb{Z}_n is Skolem if it can be written as a set of ordered pairs $\{(s_i, t_i)\}_{i=1}^q$, where $t_i - s_i \equiv i \pmod{n}$ and $t_i > s_i$, $1 \leq i \leq q$.*

Skolem starters received their name [15] after Skolem sequences. A *Skolem sequence* of order q is a sequence (s_1, \dots, s_{2q}) of integers from $D = \{1, \dots, q\}$ such that for each $i \in D$ there is exactly one $j \in \{1, \dots, 2q\}$ such that $s_j = s_{j+i} = i$. Skolem sequences exist iff $q \equiv 0$ or $1 \pmod{4}$ [2]. They were originally used by Skolem in 1957 for the construction of Steiner triple systems [17].

Skolem sequences are widely applied in many areas such as triple systems, balanced ternary designs, factorization of complete graphs, starters, labelling graphs. Readers interested in these applications may address their attention to [5] and the references therein.

Given a Skolem sequence $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{2q})$, consider all pairs $\{i_k, j_k\}$ such that $j_k > i_k$ and $x_{i_k} = x_{j_k} = k$, $k = 1, \dots, q$. This set of pairs forms a partition of the set \mathbb{Z}_n^* of all non-zero elements of \mathbb{Z}_n , where $n = 2q + 1$. Since $j_k - i_k \equiv k \pmod{n}$, (and consequently, $i_k - j_k \equiv -k \pmod{n}$), $k = 1, \dots, q$, this set of pairs is a starter in \mathbb{Z}_n .

Example 1.4. Sequence $(1, 1, 5, 2, 4, 2, 3, 5, 4, 3)$ is a Skolem sequence of order 5: the length of the sequence is $2 \cdot 5 = 10$, and $x_1 = x_2 = 1, x_4 = x_6 = 2, x_7 = x_{10} = 3, x_5 = x_9 =$

4, $x_3 = x_8 = 5$, so 1's, 2's, 3's, 4's and 5's are one, two, three, four and five positions apart, respectively. This Skolem sequence yields a starter $T = \{\{1, 2\}, \{4, 6\}, \{7, 10\}, \{5, 9\}, \{3, 8\}\}$ in \mathbb{Z}_{11} .

Lemma 1.5. *A starter S in \mathbb{Z}_k is Skolem if and only if all its pairs are Skolem of order k . In other words, Skolem starters are partitions with properties (1) and (5).*

Proof. The lemma follows from Definitions 1.1 and 1.3. □

Clearly, Skolem starters in \mathbb{Z}_n are in one-to-one correspondence with Skolem sequences of order $q = (n - 1)/2$. Therefore, Skolem starters exist in \mathbb{Z}_n iff $n \equiv 1$ or $3 \pmod{8}$.

Strong Skolem starters are partitions with properties (1), (2), and (5). The value of strong Skolem starters of order $2q + 1$ is in their applicability in constructing Room squares and cubes of order $2q + 2$ on one hand, and *Steiner triple systems*, $\text{STS}(6q + 1)$, on the other. Recall that an $\text{STS}(v)$ is a collection of 3-subsets, called *blocks*, of a v -set S , such that every two elements of S occur together in exactly one of the blocks.

Theorem 1.6. (Shalaby, 1991 [15, pp.60 – 62].) *For $11 \leq n \leq 57, n \equiv 1$ or $3 \pmod{8}$, \mathbb{Z}_n admits a strong Skolem starter.*

Conjecture 1.7. (Shalaby, 1991 [15, p.62].) *Every \mathbb{Z}_n with $n \equiv 1$ or $3 \pmod{8}$ and $n \geq 11$, admits a strong Skolem starter.*

Up to 2018, there were known only finitely many strong Skolem starters. In 2018, Ogandzhanyants et al. explicitly constructed an infinite family of strong Skolem starters [14], proving the following

Theorem 1.8. *Let $n = \prod_{i=1}^m p_i^{k_i}$, where $p_i > 3$, $i = 1, \dots, m$, are pairwise distinct primes such that $\text{ord}_{p_i}(2) \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, and $k_i \in \mathbb{N}$, $i = 1, \dots, m$. Then \mathbb{Z}_n admits a skew Skolem starter.*

In addition, it was shown that all Skolem starters found in [14] are cardioidal starters, that is, they possess property (4), and no strong cardioidal starter lies outside of the family fully described in [14]. The discovery in [14] boosted up the attention of some other researchers towards the proof of Conjecture 1.7, see for example [19] and the references therein. They explored alternative approaches to constructing strong Skolem starters, but no infinite family of strong Skolem starters other than strong cardioidal starters has been found.

Theorems 3.10 and 4.2 stated and proved in this paper allow formation of new infinite families of strong (and skew) Skolem starters of composite orders, which are not cardioidal and thus significantly extends the previous result.

Gross [6, p.170] in 1974 indicated a way to produce a starter for the group $G \oplus H$, the direct sum of two finite abelian groups, given a starter for H and a set of starters for G . He showed that under certain conditions, strong starters for H and G give rise to a strong starter for $G \oplus H$. Our constructions of the products given in Definitions 2.4 and 3.23 are inspired by that paper. However, in contrast with Gross who focused on the existence of

strong starters and starters with adders in a general setting, our constructions are explicitly defined in cyclic groups \mathbb{Z}_n as we are concerned with skew and strong Skolem starters. In addition, most of our statements have a converse.

Our construction of products resembles the one, given by Turgeon in 1979 [18] for *additive sequences of permutations*, in the general context of difference sets. Indeed, Skolem starters could be treated as a very special case of difference sets. However, in this paper we avoid over-generalization and adapt the presentation specifically to our needs. Thus, we first apply the construction to 2-partitions in \mathbb{Z}_n^* without any restrictions imposed on them. Then we endow the 2-partitions with a certain property stated in Definition 1.1, apart from all other properties, and deduce the direct and inverse relationship of these properties for the resulting construction. Whereas the direct relations may also be concluded from the previous research on difference sets, the converse statements are our contribution to the topic.

The structure of this papers is as follows.

In Section 2, we introduce the notion of a product of a pair of 2-partitions of \mathbb{Z}_{2p+1}^* and \mathbb{Z}_{2q+1}^* respectively.

In Section 3, we focus our attention on starters and other special classes of 2-partitions. Subsection 3.1 gives some preliminaries. In Subsection 3.2, we prove several properties of the product of two 2-partitions and give an important intermediate result, Theorem 3.10. In Subsection 3.3, we generalize the initial definition of the product and compare its properties to the initial one. In Subsection 3.4, we show explicit ways to apply the products of Skolem starters.

In Section 4, we conclude with a discussion of several implications of the statements proved in this paper and give the main result of this paper, Theorem 4.2.

2 The product of 2-partitions: Construction

Lemma 2.1. *Let $n = 2q + 1$, $q \geq 1$. From any 2-partition $S = \{\{a_i, b_i\}\}_{i=1}^q$ of \mathbb{Z}_n^* , it is possible to make a set of ordered pairs $\bar{S} = \{(x_i, y_i)\}_{i=1}^q$, where either $x_i = a_i, y_i = b_i$ or $x_i = b_i, y_i = a_i$, for all $1 \leq i \leq q$, such that*

$$\cup_{i=1}^q \{\pm x_i\} = \mathbb{Z}_n^*. \quad (6)$$

Proof. Let us denote by $\{a, b\} \mapsto (x, y)$ the operation of making an ordered pair (x, y) from an unordered pair $\{a, b\}$ by setting $x \equiv a, y \equiv b \pmod{n}$, then removing $\{a, b\}$ from S and placing (x, y) in \bar{S} . Below we describe an explicit construction of \bar{S} .

First, for each pair $\{a_i, b_i\}$, where $b_i \equiv -a_i \pmod{n}$, if exists, set $\{a_i, -a_i\} \mapsto (x_i, y_i)$, and place it in the end of the list \bar{S} . From all other pairs remaining in S , pick any pair, say, $\{a_1, b_1\} \in S$, and set $\{a_1, b_1\} \mapsto (x_1, y_1)$. Then, find a pair which the element $-b_1$ belongs to. Without loss of generality (WLOG), let $a_k \equiv -b_1 \pmod{n}$. Then set $\{-b_1, b_k\} \mapsto (x_2, y_2)$. Then, find a pair which the element $-b_k$ belongs to. WLOG, let $a_j \equiv -b_k \pmod{n}$. Then set $\{-b_k, b_j\} \mapsto (x_3, y_3)$. And so on, until the element $-a_1$ appears in some pair $\{a_m, b_m\} \in S$, which will produce (x_l, y_l) , where $y_l \equiv -a_1 \pmod{n}$.

Note, that by the construction $x_2 \equiv -y_1 \pmod{n}$, $x_3 \equiv -y_2 \pmod{n}$, etc. Clearly, such a collection of pairs spans over the subset $\{x_1, y_1, -y_1, y_2, -y_2, \dots, -x_1\} \subset \mathbb{Z}_n^*$.

Finally, pick any remaining pair in S , give it an order and continue the process until all the pairs are ordered. □

Remark 2.2. Note that for the set \bar{S} described in Lemma 2.1, we automatically have:

$$\cup_{i=1}^q \{\pm y_i\} = \mathbb{Z}_n^*. \quad (7)$$

Example 2.3. For $q = 6$ and partition $\{\{1, 12\}; \{2, 3\}; \{4, 6\}; \{5, 7\}; \{8, 9\}; \{10, 11\}\}$ we form \bar{S} by making the following 3 clusters:

$$\bar{S} = \{(2, 3), (-3, -2), (4, 6), (-6, 5), (-5, -4), (1, -1) \pmod{13}\}$$

with property (6), as required:

$$\{\pm 2, \mp 3, \pm 4, \mp 6, \mp 5, \pm 1 \pmod{13}\} = \mathbb{Z}_{13}^*.$$

Let $S = \{\{a_i, b_i\}\}_{i=1}^q$ be a 2-partition of \mathbb{Z}_{2q+1}^* . Denote by \bar{S} a set of q ordered pairs of S , that obey property (6):

$$\bar{S} = \{(x_i, y_i)\}_{i=1}^q. \quad (8)$$

The existence of these sets is secured by Lemma 2.1. In addition, by \bar{S}' we denote the set $\bar{S}' = \{(-x_i, -y_i)\}_{i=1}^q$ and by \tilde{S} we denote a set of arbitrary ordered pairs of S .

Definition 2.4. Given two 2-partitions, S of \mathbb{Z}_{2q+1}^* and T of \mathbb{Z}_{2p+1}^* , let us form sets of ordered pairs \tilde{S} , and \bar{T} , \bar{T}' as specified above. Consider the set $W_{ST} = \{\{u_i, v_i\}\}_{i=1}^k$ of $k = 2qp + q + p$ pairs of the form

$$\{u, v\}, \quad \text{where } u = (2q + 1)r + x, \quad v = (2q + 1)t + y \quad (9)$$

divided in the following types:

(i) $(2p + 1)q$ pairs: one for each $(r, t) \in \bar{T} \cup \bar{T}' \cup \{(0, 0)\}$ and for each $(x, y) \in \tilde{S}$ and

(ii) p pairs: one for each $(r, t) \in \bar{T}$ and $x = y = 0$.

We will call the set of pairs W_{ST} a product of S and T .

Example 2.5. Let us construct the set W_{ST} for 2-partitions $S = T = \{1, 2\}$ of \mathbb{Z}_3^* . Here $q = p = 1$. Take $\tilde{S} = \bar{T} = \{(1, 2)\}$ and $\bar{T}' = \{(2, 1)\}$. So we have the pairs of the two types:

(i) $\{3 \times 0 + 1, 3 \times 0 + 2\} = \{1, 2\}$, $\{3 \times 1 + 1, 3 \times 2 + 2\} = \{4, 8\}$, $\{3 \times 2 + 1, 3 \times 1 + 2\} = \{7, 5\}$

(ii) $\{3 \times 1 + 0, 3 \times 2 + 0\} = \{3, 6\}$

The set of these four pairs constitutes W_{ST} .

Remark 2.6. The pairs of W_{ST} can be formed in various ways, depending on the choices made in the process of constructing \tilde{S} and \bar{T} from the 2-partitions S and T . So, a product of the two 2-partitions is not unique. Nevertheless, the properties proven below hold for W_{ST} regardless of the ordering choices.

We will consider an alternative construction of a product in Sections 3.3 and 3.4. As well, we will outline more possibilities in Section 4.

A product W_{ST} of two 2-partitions, S of \mathbb{Z}_n^* and T of \mathbb{Z}_m^* , as we will prove, preserves some properties of the factors. The most general one is given in Theorem 2.8 below. Prior to that we recall the following simple results needed in the proofs.

Lemma 2.7. *Let m, n be any natural numbers and a, b, c, d be integers.*

1. *If $a \equiv b \pmod{mn}$ then $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$ and $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$.*
2. *If $(an + c) \equiv (bn + c) \pmod{mn}$ then $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$.*
3. *If $(an + c) \equiv (bn + d) \pmod{n}$ then $c \equiv d \pmod{n}$.*
4. *Let X_c^n be a finite multiset of integers congruent modulo n to a given integer c , not necessarily all distinct. If $|X_c^n| > m$ then there exist $b, d \in X_c^n$ such that $b \equiv d \pmod{mn}$.*

Proof. 1. By definition, $a \equiv b \pmod{mn}$ means $(mn)|(a - b)$. But then $m|(a - b)$, so $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$, and $n|(a - b)$, so $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$.

2. Similarly, $(an + c) \equiv (bn + c) \pmod{mn}$ means $(mn)|((a - b)n)$. Then $m|(a - b)$, so $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$.

3. As well, $(an + c) \equiv (bn + d) \pmod{n}$ means $n|((a - b)n + (c - d))$. Then $n|(c - d)$, so $c \equiv d \pmod{n}$.

4. For any integer $0 \leq c < mn$ there are exactly m numbers $0 \leq a < mn$ congruent modulo n to c . Thus, for any multiset X_c^n of more than m integers, the Dirichlet principle implies the existence of $b \equiv d \pmod{mn}$. \square

Theorem 2.8. *Let $n, m \geq 3$ be odd integers and S and T be 2-partitions of \mathbb{Z}_n^* and \mathbb{Z}_m^* respectively. Their product W_{ST} (Definition 2.4) is a 2-partition of \mathbb{Z}_{mn}^* .*

Proof. Let $n = 2p + 1$ and $m = 2q + 1$, $p, q \geq 1$. Let us also establish the natural order in \mathbb{Z}_k : $0 < 1 < \dots < k - 1$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$. By definition, W_{ST} consists of $2pq + p + q$ pairs, totaling to $4pq + 2p + 2q = mn - 1$ elements, which equals the cardinality of \mathbb{Z}_{mn}^* . It remains to show that all these elements of W_{ST} are distinct modulo mn . Indeed, all the elements of the pairs of type (ii) are distinct as T is a 2-partition of \mathbb{Z}_m^* , and they are multiples of n . All the elements of the pairs for $r = t = 0$ and $(x, y) \in \tilde{S}$ are distinct and less than n because S is a 2-partition of \mathbb{Z}_n^* . All the remaining elements of the pairs of type (i) are greater than n and are not multiples of n . Assume for the sake of contradiction the possibility that among them there is a pair $\{u_1, v_1\}$ and a pair $\{u_2, v_2\}$ with a non-empty intersection. Here

$$u_1 = r_1n + x_1, v_1 = t_1n + y_1 \quad u_2 = r_2n + x_2, v_2 = t_2n + y_2, \quad (10)$$

and WLOG, we let $(x_i, y_i) \in \tilde{S}$, $i = 1, 2$, $(r_1, t_1) \in \bar{T}$, $(r_2, t_2) \in \bar{T}'$.

Let for example, $u_1 \equiv u_2 \pmod{mn}$, that is $(r_1n + x_1) \equiv (r_2n + x_2) \pmod{mn}$. Then, by Lemma 2.7(1&3), $x_1 \equiv x_2 \pmod{n}$. Therefore, by Lemma 2.7(2), $r_1 \equiv r_2 \pmod{m}$. But this is impossible due to property (6). Similar argument will lead to a contradiction if one assumes $v_1 \equiv v_2 \pmod{mn}$ or $u_1 \equiv v_2 \pmod{mn}$ or $u_2 \equiv v_1 \pmod{mn}$.

This proves that all $4pq + 2p + 2q = mn - 1$ elements appeared in the pairs of W_{ST} are distinct. Therefore W_{ST} is a 2-partition of \mathbb{Z}_{mn}^* . \square

3 The product of special classes of 2-partitions

3.1 Preliminaries

The 2-partitions of \mathbb{Z}_n^* we mainly concern with are strong and skew Skolem starters. Lemma 2.1 applies to starters in \mathbb{Z}_{2q+1} as they form a 2-partition of \mathbb{Z}_{2q+1}^* .

Before we get to the properties of the product of two starters, we present some additional definitions and a lemma which will be helpful in the sequel.

Definition 3.1. *A pair $\{x, y\} \in S$ is called a canonical pair of order k if $\{x, y\} = \{i, -i \pmod{k}\}$ for some $i \in \mathbb{Z}_k^*$. If all pairs of S are canonical, then S is called a canonical starter of order k .*

Definition 3.2. *Two 2-partitions S and S' in the same group are called conjugate if $\{x, y\} \in S$ implies $\{-x, -y\} \in S'$.*

Obviously, every 2-partition has a conjugate. Note that the 2-partition of \mathbb{Z}_n^* which is a canonical starter is always conjugate to itself. Moreover, a starter is canonical if and only if it is *self-conjugate*.

The following properties of conjugate 2-partitions are rather trivial as each of them follows immediately from the definitions of their counterparts, but very important:

Lemma 3.3. *If a 2-partition is either a starter, or canonical, or strong, or skew, or Skolem, or cardioidal, so is its conjugate.*

3.2 Properties of the product of two 2-partitions

In Example 2.5, the two 2-partitions we use are starters in \mathbb{Z}_3 . (We have no choice as the only 2-partition of \mathbb{Z}_3^* is a starter in \mathbb{Z}_3). And their product turns out to be a starter in $\mathbb{Z}_{3 \cdot 3} = \mathbb{Z}_9$.

Consider the product of two starters from different groups.

Example 3.4. Let us construct the set W_{ST} for starters $S = \{\{1, 4\}, \{2, 3\}\}$ in \mathbb{Z}_5 and $T = \{1, 2\}$ in \mathbb{Z}_3 . In this case $n = 5$, $m = 3$ and $q = 2$, $p = 1$.

Take $\bar{S} = \{(1, 4), (2, 3)\}$, $\bar{T} = \{(1, 2)\}$, $\bar{T}' = \{(2, 1)\}$.

Then we have the pairs of the two types:

- (i) $\{5 \times 0 + 1, 5 \times 0 + 4\} = \{1, 4\}$, $\{5 \times 0 + 2, 5 \times 0 + 3\} = \{2, 3\}$
 $\{5 \times 1 + 1, 5 \times 2 + 4\} = \{6, 14\}$, $\{5 \times 1 + 2, 5 \times 2 + 3\} = \{7, 13\}$
 $\{5 \times 2 + 1, 5 \times 1 + 4\} = \{11, 9\}$, $\{5 \times 2 + 2, 5 \times 1 + 3\} = \{12, 8\}$
- (ii) $\{5 \times 1 + 0, 5 \times 2 + 0\} = \{5, 10\}$

The set of these seven pairs constitutes W_{ST} . In fact, W_{ST} is a starter in $\mathbb{Z}_{3 \cdot 5} = \mathbb{Z}_{15}$.

This is not coincidental. A product of two starters is a starter. It turns out that the converse is true as well, that is, if W_{ST} is a starter, then both S and T are starters. The following theorem secures this property.

Theorem 3.5. *Let $n, m \geq 3$ be odd integers and S and T be 2-partitions of \mathbb{Z}_n^* and \mathbb{Z}_m^* respectively. Their product W_{ST} (Definition 2.4) is a starter in \mathbb{Z}_{mn} if and only if S is a starter in \mathbb{Z}_n and T is a starter in \mathbb{Z}_m .*

Proof. (a) Sufficiency.

Let S be a starter in \mathbb{Z}_n and T be a starter in \mathbb{Z}_m . In order to prove that W_{ST} is a starter in \mathbb{Z}_{mn} , we need to show that W_{ST} is a partition of \mathbb{Z}_{mn}^* into pairs $\{\{u_i, v_i\}\}_{i=1}^{(mn-1)/2}$ such that

$$\{\pm(u_i - v_i) \pmod{mn} \mid \{u_i, v_i\} \in W_{ST}\} = \mathbb{Z}_{mn}^*. \quad (11)$$

Now, let us look at the differences $\pm(u_k - v_k) \pmod{mn}$, $1 \leq k \leq \frac{mn-1}{2}$.

Since T is a starter in \mathbb{Z}_m , the pairs of type (ii) make all possible $m-1$ differences of the form $n\Delta$, where $\Delta \in \mathbb{Z}_m^*$.

Consider two distinct pairs $\{u_1, v_1\}$ and $\{u_2, v_2\}$ of type (i). Suppose, for the sake of contradiction, that $(u_1 - v_1) \equiv (u_2 - v_2) \pmod{mn}$.

Using notation (10), we have

$$[(r_1n + x_1) - (t_1n + y_1)] \equiv [(r_2n + x_2) - (t_2n + y_2)] \pmod{mn}. \quad (12)$$

By Lemma 2.7(1), equation (12) implies

$$[(r_1n + x_1) - (t_1n + y_1)] \equiv [(r_2n + x_2) - (t_2n + y_2)] \pmod{n}.$$

Then, by Lemma 2.7(3), we obtain

$$(x_1 - y_1) \equiv (x_2 - y_2) \pmod{n}.$$

Since S is a starter in \mathbb{Z}_n , it is possible if and only if $\{x_1, y_1\} = \{x_2, y_2\}$. WLOG, assume that this pair is ordered by $(x_1, y_1) = (x_2, y_2) = (x, y) \in \tilde{S}$. We have

$$((r_1n + x) - (t_1n + y)) \equiv ((r_2n + x) - (t_2n + y)) \pmod{mn}. \quad (13)$$

By Lemma 2.7(2), equation (13) implies $(r_1 - t_1) \equiv (r_2 - t_2) \pmod{m}$. Since T is a starter in \mathbb{Z}_m , it is possible if and only if $r_1 = r_2$ and $t_1 = t_2$, which contradicts our assumption that $\{u_1, v_1\}$ and $\{u_2, v_2\}$ are distinct pairs.

(b) Necessity.

Suppose that at least one of the 2-partitions S and T is not a starter of the corresponding group. Then to show that W_{ST} is not a starter, it suffices to find at least two pairs of W_{ST} which produce the same differences.

If T is not a starter then it contains at least two pairs $\{r_1, t_1\}, \{r_2, t_2\}$ such that $\{\pm(r_1 - t_1)\} = \{\pm(r_2 - t_2)\} \pmod{m}$. Consequently, two pairs $\{r_1n, t_1n\}, \{r_2n, t_2n\}$ in W_{ST} of type (ii) will yield the same differences modulo mn .

If S is not a starter then it contains at least two pairs $\{x_1, y_1\}, \{x_2, y_2\}$ such that $\{\pm(x_1 - y_1)\} = \{\pm(x_2 - y_2)\} \pmod{n}$. Then there are $2m$ pairs in W_{ST} of types (i), which produce differences congruent to $\pm(x_1 - y_1)$ modulo n . They are $\{x_1, y_1\}, \{x_2, y_2\}, \{r_in + x_1, t_in + y_1\}, \{r_in + x_2, t_in + y_2\}, \{-r_in + x_1, -t_in + y_1\}, \{-r_in + x_2, -t_in + y_2\}$, $1 \leq i \leq p$.

Hence, by Lemma 2.7 (4), we conclude that there are two pairs among these $2m$ pairs that satisfy $\{\pm(u_1 - v_1)\} = \{\pm(u_2 - v_2)\} \pmod{mn}$. So, (11) is impossible, which means W_{ST} is not a starter in \mathbb{Z}_{mn} . This completes the proof of necessity. \square

Next statement clarifies the conditions for obtaining a strong 2-partition.

Theorem 3.6. *Let $n, m \geq 3$ be odd integers and S and T be 2-partitions of \mathbb{Z}_n^* and \mathbb{Z}_m^* respectively. Then their product W_{ST} (Definition 2.4) is a strong 2-partition of \mathbb{Z}_{mn}^* if and only if S is strong and T is skew.*

Proof. (a) Sufficiency.

Let S be strong and T be skew. To show that W_{ST} is strong, we have to show that if $\{u_1, v_1\}$ and $\{u_2, v_2\}$ are two distinct pairs in W_{ST} then $u_1 + v_1 \not\equiv u_2 + v_2 \pmod{mn}$, and for any $\{u, v\} \in W_{ST}$ there holds $u + v \not\equiv 0 \pmod{mn}$.

Suppose, for the sake of contradiction,

$$u_1 + v_1 \equiv u_2 + v_2 \pmod{mn}. \quad (14)$$

Using notation (10), we have

$$(r_1 + t_1)n + x_1 + y_1 \equiv (r_2 + t_2)n + x_2 + y_2 \pmod{mn}.$$

Consequently, by Lemma 2.7(1), we obtain

$$(r_1 + t_1)n + x_1 + y_1 \equiv (r_2 + t_2)n + x_2 + y_2 \pmod{n}.$$

Then, by Lemma 2.7(3), $x_1 + y_1 \equiv x_2 + y_2 \equiv C \pmod{n}$. If $C = 0$ we get pairs of type (ii). Otherwise, since $\{x_i, y_i\} \in S$, $i = 1, 2$, and S is strong, we conclude $\{x_1, y_1\} = \{x_2, y_2\}$. In either case, by Lemma 2.7(2), (14) implies $r_1 + t_1 \equiv r_2 + t_2 \pmod{m}$. Here, the pairs $(r_1, t_1), (r_2, t_2)$ are from either set \bar{T} or \bar{T}' . By the hypothesis of the theorem, T is skew, which means that all sums of the pairs of T along with T' are different \pmod{m} . Thus, there are two options:

1. either $r_1 = r_2$ and $t_1 = t_2$,
2. or $r_1 = t_2$ and $t_1 = r_2$.

Case 1 contradicts our assumption that $\{u_1, v_1\}$ and $\{u_2, v_2\}$ are two distinct pairs in W_{ST} . Case 2 is impossible due to the following reason. Let $r_1 = t_2 = r$ and $t_1 = r_2 = t$. WLOG, assume $(r, t) \in \bar{T}$ and $(t, r) \in \bar{T}'$. But $(-r, -t) \in \bar{T}'$, and $r - t = (-t) - (-r)$, which implies that $t \equiv -r \pmod{m}$. The latter means that $\{-r, r\} \in T$, which contradicts our assumption that T is skew (T is not even strong in that case since $-r + r \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$).

Finally, let $\{u, v\} \in W_{ST}$, $u = rn + x, v = tn + y$. If $u + v \equiv 0 \pmod{mn}$, then by Lemma 2.7(1 & 3), $x + y \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$, which is impossible since S is strong.

This completes the proof that W_{ST} is a strong 2-partition \mathbb{Z}_{mn} .

(b) Necessity.

If S is not strong, then, regardless of the properties of T , there are two possible cases:

1. S contains a pair $\{x, y\}$ such that $x + y \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$. Then consider all the pairs of the type (i) and of the form $\{rn + x, tn + y\}$. There are exactly m such pairs. These m pairs along with $(m - 1)/2$ pairs of type (ii) yield $(3m - 1)/2$ sums in \mathbb{Z}_{mn} , which are congruent to 0 modulo n . But these sums can not be all different and non-zero modulo mn by Lemma 2.7(4). Thus W_{ST} is not strong.
2. S contains two pairs $\{x_1, y_1\}$ and $\{x_2, y_2\}$ such that $x_1 + y_1 \equiv x_2 + y_2 \equiv c \pmod{n}$. Then consider all the pairs of the type (i) and of the form $\{rn + x_i, tn + y_i\}$, $i = 1, 2$. There are $2m$ of them, and all of them yield sums in \mathbb{Z}_{mn} , which are congruent to c modulo n . By Lemma 2.7 (4), the sums can not be all different modulo mn . Thus W_{ST} is not strong.

If T is not skew, some of the pairs, say, $(r_1, t_1) \in \bar{T}$ and $(r_2, t_2) \in \bar{T}'$ yield the same sum \pmod{m} . Let us take a pair $(x, y) \in \tilde{S}$. Then pairs $\{r_1n + x, t_1n + y\}$ and $\{r_2n + x, t_2n + y\}$ produce the same sum modulo mn . Hence W_{ST} is not strong. □

Remark 3.7. Not every 2-partition of a composite order is a product of two 2-partitions. For example, there are known [7] strong starters of order $3p$ for some prime $p > 3$, but obtaining them by means of a product of two starters of orders 3 and p respectively would have contradicted Theorem 3.6.

The following Theorem clarifies the question whether or not W_{ST} is skew.

Theorem 3.8. *Let $n, m \geq 3$ be odd integers and S and T be 2-partitions of \mathbb{Z}_n and \mathbb{Z}_m respectively. Then their product W_{ST} (Definition 2.4) is skew if and only if both S and T are skew.*

Proof. (a) Sufficiency.

Let S and T be skew and let $\{u_1, v_1\}$ and $\{u_2, v_2\}$ be two arbitrary distinct pairs of W_{ST} . By Theorem 3.6, we know that W_{ST} is strong, that is, $u_1 + v_1 \not\equiv u_2 + v_2 \pmod{mn}$. To show that W_{ST} is skew, it remains to show that there holds

$$u_1 + v_1 \not\equiv -(u_2 + v_2) \pmod{mn}. \quad (15)$$

Suppose, for the sake of contradiction, that (15) is not true, that is, in notation (10),

$$r_1n + x_1 + t_1n + y_1 \equiv -(r_2n + x_2 + t_2n + y_2) \pmod{mn}.$$

By Lemma 2.7(1 & 3), we obtain $x_1 + y_1 \equiv -(x_2 + y_2) \pmod{n}$, which is impossible as S is skew, unless $\{\{u_i, v_i\}\}_{i=1}^2$ are pairs of type (ii). But then $(r_1n + t_1n) \equiv -(r_2n + t_2n) \pmod{mn}$, and hence, by Lemma 2.7(1) $(r_1 + t_1) \equiv -(r_2 + t_2) \pmod{m}$, which is impossible, since T is skew.

This contradiction implies that for any two distinct pairs in W_{ST} , $\{u_1, v_1\}$ and $\{u_2, v_2\}$, there holds (15). Therefore, W_{ST} is skew.

(b) Necessity.

By Theorem 3.6, if T is not skew, then W_{ST} is not skew.

Now, suppose, S is strong but not skew, then \bar{S} contains at least two pairs (x_1, y_1) and (x_2, y_2) such that $(x_1 + y_1) \equiv -(x_2 + y_2) \equiv c \pmod{n}$. It is clear that $c \not\equiv 0 \pmod{n}$, as S is strong.

There are m pairs $\{rn + x_1, tn + y_1\}$ of the type (i) in the starter W_{ST} . There are also m pairs $\{rn + x_2, tn + y_2\}$ of the type (i) in the conjugate 2-partition W'_{ST} .

These pairs yield $2m$ sums in \mathbb{Z}_{mn} , which are congruent to c modulo n . But they could not be all different modulo mn by Lemma 2.7 (4). We conclude that there are two pairs among these $2m$ pairs that satisfy $\{\pm(u_1 + v_1)\} = \{\pm(u_2 + v_2)\} \pmod{mn}$. Hence, W_{ST} is not skew. □

Finally, we deal with Skolem 2-partitions.

Theorem 3.9. *Let $n, m \geq 3$ be odd integers and S and T be 2-partitions of \mathbb{Z}_n and \mathbb{Z}_m respectively. Then their product W_{ST} (Definition 2.4) is a Skolem 2-partition of \mathbb{Z}_{mn} if and only if S and T are both Skolem 2-partitions of \mathbb{Z}_n and \mathbb{Z}_m respectively.*

Proof. Let us order $\mathbb{Z}_k : 0 < 1 < \dots < k - 1, k \in \mathbb{N}$.

(a) Sufficiency.

Let S and T be Skolem 2-partitions of orders n and m respectively. To show that W_{ST} is Skolem, we have to show that all its pairs $\{u, v\}$ are Skolem pairs of order mn , that is, $u < v$ and $v - u \leq \frac{mn-1}{2}$.

Let the pair $\{x^*, y^*\} \in S$ make the greatest difference in S , that is, $x^* < y^*$, $y^* - x^* \leq \frac{n-1}{2}$. As well, consider the pairs in $\{r^*, t^*\} \in T$ and $\{-t^*, -r^*\} \in T'$, which make the greatest difference $t^* - r^* = (-r^*) - (-t^*) \leq \frac{m-1}{2}$.

Each of the pairs $\{u, v\}$ in the form either (x_i, y_i) or $(r_j n, t_j n)$ is Skolem because for any $n \geq 1$ and $m \geq 1$ we have:

$$v - u \leq y^* - x^* \leq \frac{n-1}{2} < \frac{mn-1}{2}, \quad v - u \leq (t^* - r^*)n \leq \left(\frac{m-1}{2}\right)n = \frac{mn-n}{2} < \frac{mn-1}{2}.$$

For other pairs of type (i) we consider two cases:

1. $(x^*, y^*) \in \bar{S}$. Then a pair $\{u, v\} \in W_{ST}$, where $u = r^*n + x^* < v = t^*n + y^*$, makes the greatest possible difference among the pairs of W_{ST} ,

$$v - u = t^*n + y^* - (r^*n + x^*) \leq \frac{m-1}{2}n - \frac{n-1}{2} = \frac{mn-1}{2}.$$

2. $(y^*, x^*) \in \bar{S}$. Then a pair $\{u, v\} \in W_{ST}$, where $u = -r^*n + y^* > v = -t^*n + x^*$, makes the greatest possible difference among the pairs of W_{ST} ,

$$u - v = -r^*n + y^* - (-t^*n + x^*) \leq \frac{mn-1}{2}.$$

All other pairs of type (i) are clearly Skolem as they make no difference greater than $\frac{mn-1}{2}$.

(b) Necessity.

If T is not Skolem, then it contains a pair $\{r, t\}$ which is not Skolem, that is, given $r < t$, we have $t - r \geq \frac{m+1}{2}$. Then the corresponding pair of type (ii), $\{rn, tn\} \in W_{ST}$, yields a difference greater than $\frac{mn-1}{2}$:

$$tn - rn \geq \frac{m+1}{2}n = \frac{mn+n}{2} > \frac{mn-1}{2}.$$

If S is not Skolem, there is $\{x, y\} \in S$ which is not Skolem, that is, given $x < y$, $y - x \geq \frac{n+1}{2}$. Now, let us take the pair $\{r, t\} \in T$, such that $r < t$ and $t - r \geq \frac{m-1}{2}$. WLOG, assume $(x, y) \in \bar{S}$ and $(r, t) \in \bar{T}$. Then the pair $\{u, v\} \in W_{ST}$, $u = rn + x < v = tn + y$ makes the difference

$$v - u \geq \frac{m-1}{2}n + \frac{n+1}{2} = \frac{mn-n+n+1}{2} = \frac{mn+1}{2}.$$

That means $\{u, v\} \in W_{ST}$ is not a Skolem pair (5) of order mn and hence, by Lemma 1.5, W_{ST} is not Skolem. \square

Let us summarize the results of this subsection.

Theorem 3.10. *Let S and T be 2-partitions in \mathbb{Z}_n and \mathbb{Z}_m respectively.*

1. *If both S and T are Skolem starters and, in addition, S is strong and T is skew, then the product W_{ST} (Definition 2.4) is a strong Skolem starter in \mathbb{Z}_{nm} . Moreover, if S and T are both skew and Skolem in their groups, then W_{ST} is a skew Skolem starter in \mathbb{Z}_{nm} .*

2. *If the product W_{ST} of partitions S and T is a strong but not skew Skolem starter then S is a strong but not skew Skolem starter and T is a skew Skolem starter. If the product W_{ST} of partitions S and T is a skew Skolem starter then both S and T are skew Skolem starters.*

Proof. The statement follows from Theorems 3.5, 3.6, 3.9, 3.8. \square

Remark 3.11. The direct part of Theorem 3.10 can be obtained by combining the idea and constructions of Gross in [6], Turgeon in [18] and Chen et al [1]. The converse statement requires a more general consideration of the product of two partitions.

Example 3.12. Let us choose the strong (but not skew) Skolem starter S of order 17 from Example 1.2. Using Definition 2.4, it is possible to generate strong Skolem starters of orders $17m$, where m is one of the orders of the known skew Skolem starters. By Theorem 1.8, there are infinitely many such starters. Paper [14] gives an explicit way of constructing a family of cardioidal starters (4). It was proven in [14] that every cardioidal starter is skew unless its order is divisible by 3. Take for example the following cardioidal starter of order 11: $T = \{\{1, 2\}, \{7, 9\}, \{3, 6\}, \{4, 8\}, \{5, 10\}\}$. Since T is a skew Skolem starter, W_{ST} is a strong Skolem starter in $\mathbb{Z}_{17 \cdot 11} = \mathbb{Z}_{187}$.

Definition 2.4 can be modified in a variety of ways to achieve diversity of the obtained 2-partitions. For example, we could make an alternative construction of the pairs (9) of W_{ST} :

(i*) $2pq$ pairs: one for each $(x, y) \in \bar{S} \cup \bar{S}'$ and for each $(r, t) \in \tilde{T}$ and q pairs for $r = t = 0$ and $(x, y) \in \bar{S}$.

(ii*) p pairs: one for each $(r, t) \in \tilde{T}$ and $x = y = 0$.

The proofs of the statements, involving this way of constructing W_{ST} , will be analogous.

This modification, while significantly expanding the variety of the obtained starters, does not let us produce a strong starter as a product of two strong starters. For example, we cannot obtain a strong Skolem starter of order $17^2 = 289$ out of the starter S of order 17 used in Example 3.12. To achieve this objective, we have to further modify Definition 2.4.

3.3 The product of 2-partitions with a nucleus

In this section, we construct a family of products by introducing the following object.

Definition 3.13. *The set of ordered pairs $X_m = \{(u_i, v_i)\}_{i=1}^{m-1} \subset \mathbb{Z}_m^* \times \mathbb{Z}_m^*$ is called a nucleus of order m if $\cup_{i=1}^{m-1} u_i = \cup_{i=1}^{m-1} v_i = \mathbb{Z}_m^*$.*

Then we define a product with nucleus X_m .

Definition 3.14. *Let S and T be 2-partitions of \mathbb{Z}_n^* and \mathbb{Z}_m^* respectively, $n = 2q + 1$, $m = 2p + 1$, $q, p \geq 1$. Let X_m be a nucleus of order m . The set W_{ST}^X consisting of pairs of the form(9) divided in the following types:*

(i_X) mq pairs: one for each $(x, y) \in \tilde{S}$ and $(r, t) \in \{0, 0\} \cup X_m$;

(ii_X) p pairs: one for each $(r, t) \in \tilde{T}$ and $x = y = 0$,

is called the X -generated product of S and T .

Below we will show that different choices of nucleus X will lead to different properties of W_{ST}^X . It turns out that the use of a proper nucleus allows us to loosen the hypotheses of Theorems 3.6 and 3.10. Consequently, we can extend the family of strong Skolem starters and extend the list of orders n such that \mathbb{Z}_n admits a strong Skolem starter.

Theorem 3.15. *The set W_{ST}^X (Definitions 3.13 and 3.14) is a partition of \mathbb{Z}_{mn} .*

Proof. The proof is analogous to that of Theorem 2.8 as the set of ordered pairs X has all the properties of $\bar{T} \cup \bar{T}'$ used to prove that Theorem. (Similar proof of this statement was offered in [20]). \square

Definition 3.16. *A nucleus X_m of order m is called*

1. *subtractive in \mathbb{Z}_m , if $\{(u_i - v_i) \pmod{m}\}_{i=1}^{m-1} = \mathbb{Z}_m^*$;*
2. *skew in \mathbb{Z}_m , if $\{(u_i + v_i) \pmod{m}\}_{i=1}^{m-1} = \mathbb{Z}_m^*$;*
3. *Skolem in \mathbb{Z}_m , if it consists of Skolem pairs (5) of order m .*

Theorem 3.17. *The set W_{ST}^X (Definitions 3.13 and 3.14) is a starter in \mathbb{Z}_{mn} if and only if X_m is subtractive in \mathbb{Z}_m , and S and T are starters in \mathbb{Z}_n and \mathbb{Z}_m respectively.*

Proof. (a) Sufficiency

The proof of sufficiency is analogous to that of Theorem 3.5 as the set of ordered pairs X has all the properties of $\bar{T} \cup \bar{T}'$ used to prove the part (a) of that Theorem.

(b) Necessity

Since it is subtractive, one can rewrite the set X_m in the form $X_m = \cup_{i=1}^{(m-1)/2} P_i$, where $P_i = \{(u'_i, v'_i), (u''_i, v''_i)\}$ such that $(u'_i - v'_i) \equiv -(u''_i - v''_i) \pmod{m}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq (m-1)/2$. Suppose for some $i \neq j$, $P_i = P_j$. WLOG, assume $(u'_i - v'_i) \equiv (u'_j - v'_j) \pmod{m}$. Consequently, for a pair $(x, y) \in \tilde{S}$, we have $\{u'_i n + x, v'_i n + y\}$ and $\{u'_j n + x, v'_j n + y\}$ are in W_{ST}^X .

But these pairs of W_{ST}^X yield the same differences modulo mn . Hence, W_{ST}^X is not a starter. The rest of the proof is analogous to that of Theorem 3.5. \square

Thus, Theorems 2.8 and 3.5 are particular cases of Theorems 3.15 and 3.17 respectively, where X coincides with $\bar{T} \cup \bar{T}'$. The direct part of the statement of Theorem 3.5 was proven in [18] as the subtractive and Skolem nucleus can be viewed as a special case of a *perfect difference matrix*.

Theorem 3.18. *The set W_{ST}^X (Definitions 3.13 and 3.14) is a strong (skew) 2-partition of \mathbb{Z}_{mn}^* if and only if X_m is skew in \mathbb{Z}_m , S is strong (skew) 2-partition of \mathbb{Z}_n^* and T is a strong (skew) 2-partition of \mathbb{Z}_m^* .*

Proof. Here, in order to obtain a strong 2-partition W_{ST}^X , we do not require the second 2-partition T to be skew (unlike in Theorem 3.6), because now the pairs of type (i_X) are formed from the skew nucleus X_m and the strong first 2-partition S . The rest of the proof is analogous to those of Theorems 3.6 and 3.8. \square

Theorem 3.19. *The set W_{ST}^X (Definitions 3.13 and 3.14) is a Skolem 2-partition of \mathbb{Z}_{mn}^* if and only if X_m is Skolem in \mathbb{Z}_m and S and T are Skolem 2-partitions of in \mathbb{Z}_n^* and \mathbb{Z}_m^* respectively.*

Proof. The proof is analogous to that of Theorem 3.9. \square

Note that a nucleus X_m , which is both skew and subtractive, does not exist for some odd integer orders $m \leq 3$. This can be shown using the notion of a *strong permutation* of a set of elements in a group.

Remark 3.20. Recall that a permutation π is called strong if the maps $i \mapsto (\pi(i) - i)$ and $i \mapsto (\pi(i) + i)$ are permutations, too. In 1973, Wallis and Mullin proved [20] that if G is a group of odd order n , $3 \mid n$, and the 3-Sylow subgroup of G is cyclic, then G does not admit a strong permutation. We adjust this statement to our context.

Lemma 3.21. *A skew and subtractive nucleus X_m of order $m \geq 3$ exists if and only if $3 \nmid m$.*

Proof. (a) According to Definitions 3.13 and 3.16, the existence of a skew and subtractive X_m is equivalent to the existence of a strong permutation $i \mapsto \pi(i)$ of a set $\{0, 1, \dots, m-1\}$, given $0 \mapsto \pi(0) = 0$:

$$\pi : v_i \mapsto u_i, \quad 1 \leq i \leq m-1. \quad (16)$$

For the sake of contradiction, assume that $3 \mid m$. Then we can write $m = 3^t k$, $t \geq 1$, $3 \nmid k$. Assuming that π is a strong permutation of the elements of \mathbb{Z}_m , consider the sums:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_m^*} i^2 &\equiv \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_m^*} \pi(i)^2 \equiv \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_m^*} \pi(i)^2 + \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_m^*} i^2 + 2 \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_m^*} i\pi(i) \\ &\equiv \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_m^*} \pi(i)^2 + \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_m^*} i^2 - 2 \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_m^*} i\pi(i) \pmod{m}. \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

Taking the second line from the first one, we get $\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_m^*} i\pi(i) \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$. Hence, $\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_m^*} i^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$.

But since k , $(2 \cdot 3^t k - 1)$, $\frac{3^t k - 1}{2}$ are all coprime to 3, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{3^t k - 1} i^2 = \frac{3^t k(3^t k - 1)(2 \cdot 3^t k - 1)}{6} = \frac{3^{t-1} k(2 \cdot 3^t k - 1)(3^t k - 1)}{2} \not\equiv 0 \pmod{m}.$$

This is a contradiction. Thus, $3 \nmid m$.

(b) Let $3 \nmid m$. Then the permutation $\pi : i \mapsto 2i$ of the elements of \mathbb{Z}_m is clearly strong. (The use of this permutation for constructing strong starters was offered by Gross in [6].) The permutation yields the skew and subtractive nucleus, which we denote by C_m

$$X_m = C_m = \{(i, 2i \pmod{m}), 0 \leq i \leq m-1\}. \quad (18)$$

\square

Remark 3.22. A nucleus X_m of order m divisible by 3 may be skew, unless we require that it is also subtractive. For example, we can take $X_9 = \bar{Q} \cup \bar{Q}'$ of order 9, where Q is the 2-partition of \mathbb{Z}_9^* from Example 1.2. In this case, X_9 is skew and Skolem, but not subtractive.

Next subsection discusses the product with the nucleus C_m found in the proof of Lemma 3.21.

3.4 The cardioidal product

Definition 3.23. The set C_m (18) is called a cardioidal nucleus of order m .

For 2-partitions, S of \mathbb{Z}_m^* , and T of \mathbb{Z}_n^* , $m = 2q + 1$, $n = 2p + 1$, $q, p \geq 1$, the product introduced by Definition 3.14 with nucleus $X_m = C_m$ is called a cardioidal product of S and T . It is denoted by W_{ST}^c .

Lemma 3.24. If T is a cardioidal starter then $W_{ST} = W_{ST}^c$.

Proof. If T is a cardioidal starter (refer to Definition 1.1) then $\bar{T} \cup \bar{T}' = \{(i, 2i \pmod{m}), 1 \leq i \leq m - 1\}$. The rest follows from definitions 2.4 and 3.23. \square

Note, that if T is a cardioidal 2-partition of \mathbb{Z}_m^* , but not a starter, Theorem 3.24 does not work.

The following theorem clarifies when the cardioidal nucleus obeys the conditions of each theorem in Subsection 3.3.

Theorem 3.25. The cardioidal nucleus C_m is subtractive and Skolem for all odd $m \geq 3$. The cardioidal nucleus C_m is skew if and only if $3 \nmid m$.

Proof. The statement follows from Lemma 3.2 of [14], and Lemma 3.21. \square

Then, we have:

Theorem 3.26. If $3 \nmid m$ and S in \mathbb{Z}_n and T in \mathbb{Z}_m are strong (skew) Skolem starters, then so is W_{ST}^c .

Proof. The statement follows from Theorems 3.18, 3.19 and 3.25. \square

Remarkably, a pair of cardioidal starters does not necessarily produce a cardioidal starter. The following example demonstrates this idea.

Example 3.27. Consider the starter $R = \{\{1, 2\}, \{7, 9\}, \{3, 6\}, \{4, 8\}, \{5, 10\}\}$ in \mathbb{Z}_{11} . It is cardioidal as $2 \equiv 1 \cdot 2 \pmod{11}$, $7 \equiv 9 \cdot 2 \pmod{11}$, $6 \equiv 3 \cdot 2 \pmod{11}$, $8 \equiv 4 \cdot 2 \pmod{11}$, $10 \equiv 5 \cdot 2 \pmod{11}$.

But the product W_{RR} of R with itself contains the pair $\{7, 9\}$, which is not cardioidal (mod 121): $9 \cdot 2 \equiv 18 \not\equiv 7 \pmod{121}$ and $7 \cdot 2 \equiv 14 \not\equiv 9 \pmod{121}$.

Note that in this case $W_{RR} = W_{RR}^c$ by Lemma 3.24.

Let us indicate all possible cases, when a product W_{ST} of two cardioidal starters, S and T , is cardioidal.

Lemma 3.28. A product W_{ST} of two cardioidal starters, S in \mathbb{Z}_n and T in \mathbb{Z}_m , is cardioidal if and only if either $n = m = 3$ or $m > 3$ and $\tilde{S} = (1, 2)$.

Proof. 1. $n = m = 3$. The case $\tilde{S} = (1, 2)$ is given in Example 2.5. Choosing $\tilde{S} = (2, 1)$ does not change W_{ST} .

2. $n = 3$, $m > 3$ and $\tilde{S} = (1, 2)$. By Definition 2.4, the pairs of type (ii) are cardioidal as T is cardioidal. Consider a pair $\{u, v\} \in W_{ST}$ of type (i). We have $\{u, v\} = \{in + 1, jn + 2\}$, where $j \equiv 2i \pmod{m}$. Then $2(in + 1) \equiv jn + 2 \pmod{mn}$. That means that $\{u, v\}$ is cardioidal. Hence, W_{ST} is a cardioidal starter of order mn .
3. $n = 3$, $m > 3$ and $\tilde{S} = (2, 1)$. Then $\{5, 7\} \in W_{ST}$. But this pair is not cardioidal of order $mn > 9$. Hence, W_{ST} is not cardioidal.
4. $n > 3$. Then either $\{-2, -1\} \pmod{n}$ or $\{-4, -2\} \pmod{n}$ is a pair in S as it is cardioidal of order n . Regardless of what kind of starter T is, either $\{n - 2, n - 1\}$ or $\{n - 4, n - 2\}$ appears in W_{ST} . But neither of these pairs is cardioidal of order $mn > 9$. Hence, W_{ST} is not cardioidal. □

Note that all strong Skolem starters referred to in Theorem 1.8 and constructed in [14] are cardioidal. The paper [14] establishes that each strong cardioidal starter is skew, and hence, by Theorems 3.8, the product of strong cardioidal starters is a skew starter. For instance, W_{RR} from Example 3.27 is a skew starter in \mathbb{Z}_{121} (as well as $W_{RR'}$ and $W_{R'R'}$).

Theorem 1.8 says that \mathbb{Z}_n admits a (cardioidal) skew Skolem starter for all n from $\overline{C_2 \setminus \{3\}}$, i.e. from the multiplicative closure of the set $C_2 \setminus \{3\}$, where $C_2 = \{p \text{ prime} \mid \text{ord}_p(2) \equiv 2 \pmod{4}\}$. Although in [14], it was proved that skew cardioidal starters do not exist for orders other than indicated in Theorem 1.8, it was also shown that C_2 is infinite.

Therefore, Theorem 3.10 implies an explicit construction of an infinite family of skew Skolem starters of all composite orders from $\overline{C_2 \setminus \{3\}}$. This family is fully new because it consists of starters that are not cardioidal. This family, in its turn, gives rise to further explicit construction of infinitely many strong (and even skew) Skolem starters.

Meanwhile, Theorems 3.10 and 4.2 do not limit us to these composite orders. If we find, by some means, a strong Skolem starter S of an order $n \notin \overline{C_2 \setminus \{3\}}$, Theorems 3.6 and 3.18 pave an explicit way to construct a strong Skolem starter of any order nm , where $m \in \overline{C_2 \setminus \{3\}}$. The following example illustrates this idea.

Example 3.29. Consider a strong Skolem starter $S = \{\{25, 26\}, \{20, 22\}, \{21, 24\}, \{8, 12\}, \{18, 23\}, \{10, 16\}, \{7, 14\}, \{1, 9\}, \{2, 11\}, \{3, 13\}, \{4, 15\}, \{5, 17\}, \{6, 19\}\}$, found by Shalaby [15], and $T = \{\{1, 2\}, \{15, 17\}, \{13, 16\}, \{4, 8\}, \{5, 10\}, \{6, 12\}, \{7, 14\}, \{3, 11\}, \{9, 18\}\}$ is skew in \mathbb{Z}_{19} . Then both W_{ST} and W_{ST}^c are strong Skolem starters in $\mathbb{Z}_{27 \cdot 19} = \mathbb{Z}_{513}$. In general, we can construct a strong Skolem starter in any \mathbb{Z}_{27m} , $m \in \overline{C_2 \setminus \{3\}}$. Hence, we receive infinitely many strong Skolem starters of orders divisible by 3.

Moreover, by Theorem 3.26, given a strong Skolem starter S in \mathbb{Z}_n , where $3 \nmid n$, we can construct a strong Skolem starter of any order $n^t m$, $t \geq 1$, $m \in \overline{C_2 \setminus \{3\}}$. If, in addition, S is skew, then we can construct a skew Skolem starter of any order $n^t m$, $t \geq 1$, $m \in \overline{C_2 \setminus \{3\}}$.

Finally, we note a possibility of a product with a non-cardioidal nucleus, as shown in the following example.

Example 3.30. Let $R = W_{PQ}^c$, where P and Q are cardioidal starters of orders k and l respectively, $\{k, l\} \subset \overline{C_2 \setminus \{3\}}$. Then by Theorem 4.2 and Lemma 3.28, R is a skew Skolem starter, but not cardioidal. Consider $X = \bar{R} \cup \bar{R}'$. Clearly, X is a skew Skolem nucleus of order $n = kl$, and X is not cardioidal.

Let also S and T be strong Skolem starters of orders m and n respectively. Then by Theorem 4.2, W_{ST}^X is a strong Skolem starter of order mn . However, W_{ST}^X is not cardioidal. This can be shown by the reasoning similar to that given in Lemma 3.28, Case 4.

4 Conclusion

In this paper, we introduced products of two 2-partitions of \mathbb{Z}_n^* and \mathbb{Z}_m^* that give a 2-partition of \mathbb{Z}_{nm}^* . These binary multi-valued operations are interesting by themselves and deserve further investigations.

The products reveal a remarkable phenomenon: the resulting partition inherits some properties of the initial ones such as being a starter, or being strong, skew or Skolem. Moreover, in many cases, if the resulting partition has these properties then so do the initial ones. Our results, partly relying on findings of Gross [6], extend his results in the special case of cyclic groups \mathbb{Z}_n .

Not every property is passed from 2-partitions to their products. The product W_{ST} of two strong starters, by Theorem 3.6, does not lead to a strong starter unless one of the initial starters is skew. However, the product W_{ST}^X of two strong starters is a strong starter if the nucleus X_m is subtractive and skew, for example, if it is cardioidal C_m (18), where $3 \nmid m$.

Pursuing our particular interest in constructing (strong, skew) Skolem starters, we discuss the reverse side of the useful recursive tools to generate new Skolem starters out of the known ones, and hence, new Skolem sequences. (The latter, as it was briefly explained in Section 1, are undoubtedly valuable combinatorial objects.)

Remark 4.1. For strong (skew) Skolem starters S and T , we consider three specific choices of the nucleus X when forming the pairs of strong (skew) Skolem W_{ST}^X :

1. $X = \bar{T} \cup \bar{T}'$;
2. X is cardioidal of the same order as T ;
3. $X = \bar{R} \cup \bar{R}'$, where R is a (skew but not cardioidal) Skolem starter of the same order as T .

Based on Lemma 3.28, we can state that the main objective of the paper has been achieved: we constructed new family of strong Skolem starters that are not cardioidal.

Theorem 4.2. *There are infinitely many strong and there are infinitely many skew Skolem starters that are not cardioidal.*

Proof. The infinitude of the class of strong (skew) cardioidal starters is proven in [14]. The choices of nucleus outlined in Remark 4.1 in the construction of W_{ST}^X based on two strong (skew) cardioidal starters lead to a new strong (skew) Skolem starter which is not cardioidal. \square

Our new results give further support to Shalaby's conjecture stated in 1991.

Observe that the approach of constructing new strong, skew and Skolem starters outlined in this paper, has its limitations because not every starter in a group \mathbb{Z}_{mn} , is a product of starters in \mathbb{Z}_n and \mathbb{Z}_m respectively. For example, due to non-existence of Skolem starters of order 5 and 7 and non-existence of a strong starter of order 5, the strong Skolem starter of order 35 found in [15] can not be constructed as a product W_{ST}^X of two starters with a nucleus, as it would have contradicted Theorems 3.19 and 3.18.

Since the theorems of this paper establish equivalence conditions of existence of starters of certain types and orders, it helps to cut off some unsuccessful directions in their search. Finding a successful way in these cases is yet an open problem and a subject of further investigations.

References

- [1] Chen, K., Ge G., and Zhu L.: Starters and related codes, J. Statist. Plann. Inference, 86 (2000), 379–395
- [2] Colbourn, C.J. and Dinitz, J. H.: Handbook of Combinatorial Design (Second edition). Chapman and Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL, 2007
- [3] Dinitz, J.H. and Stinson, D.R.: Contemporary design theory: A collection of Surveys. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1992
- [4] Dinitz, J.H. and Stinson, D.R.: A fast algorithm for finding strong starters. SIAM J. Alg. Disc. Math., 2:1 (1981), 50–56
- [5] Fancetiè, N. and Mendelsohn, E.: A survey of Skolem-type sequences and Rosa's use of them. Math. Slovaca 59(2009), No. 1, 39–76
- [6] Gross, K.B.: A multiplication theorem for strong starters. Aeq. Math. 11, 169–173 (1974).
- [7] Horton, J.D.: Orthogonal starters in finite abelian groups. Discrete Mathematics, 79 (1989/90), 265–278
- [8] Ireland, K., Rosen, M.: A classical introduction to modern number theory (Second edition). Springer-Verlag, New York Inc., New York, 1990
- [9] Lan, L., Chang, Y. and Wang, L.: Construction of cyclic quaternary constant-weight codes of weight three and distance four. Designs, Codes and Cryptography, 86:5 (2018), 1063-1083

- [10] Linek, V., Jiang, Z.: Extended Langford Sequences with Small Defects. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A*, 84 (1998), 38–54
- [11] Linek, V., Mor, S., Shalaby, N.: Skolem and Rosa rectangles and related designs. *Discrete Mathematics*, 331 (2014), 53–73
- [12] Mullin, R.C. and Nemeth, E.: An existence theorem for Room squares. *Canad. Math. Bull.*, 12 (1969), 493–497
- [13] Mullin, R.C., Stanton, R. G.: Construction of Room Squares. *Ann. Math. Statist.*, 39:5 (1968), 1540–1548
- [14] O. Ogandzhanyants, M. Kondratieva and N. Shalaby: Strong Skolem starters, *J. Combin. Des.*27(2018), no. 1, 5–21
- [15] Shalaby, N.: Skolem sequences: generalizations and applications. Thesis (PhD). McMaster University (Canada), 1991
- [16] Simpson J.E.: Langford sequences: perfect and hooked. *Discrete Mathematics*, 44 (1983), 97–104
- [17] Skolem, T.: On certain distributions of integers in pairs with given differences. *Mathematica Scandinavica*, 5 (1957), 57–68
- [18] Turgeon, J. M. : An upper bound for the length of additive sequences of permutations, *Utilitas Math* 17 (1980), 189–196
- [19] Vázquez-Ávila, A.: On strong Skolem starters (2020), <https://arxiv.org/pdf/1907.05266.pdf>
- [20] Wallis W.D., Mullin R.C.: Recent advances on complementary and Room squares, *Proc. 4th Southeastern Conference on Combinatorics, Graph Theory and Computing* (1973), 521–531