# **NEWTON POLYHEDRA AND WHITNEY EQUISINGULARITY FOR ISOLATED DETERMINANTAL SINGULARITIES**

THAÍS M. DALBELO, LUIZ HARTMANN, AND MAICOM VARELLA

Abstract. Using Newton polyhedra and non-degeneracy of matrices we present conditions which guarantees the Whitney equisingularity of families of isolated determinantal singularities and we compute the local Euler obstruction of an isolated determinantal singularity. We use equivalence of matrices in order to present examples of these results and to obtain versions of them in terms of a single Newton polyhedron.

## **CONTENTS**



## 1. Introduction

<span id="page-0-0"></span>We divide this work into three main tasks. The first task is to provide conditions in terms of Newton polyhedra which guarantee the Whitney equisingularity of a family of isolated determinantal singularities. For this purpose, in Section 2 we present some concepts and definitions regarding isolated determinantal singularities and Newton polyhedra and, in Section 3, we apply [Nn[OOT](#page-27-0)18, Theorem 5.3] to a family of isolated determinantal

*Date*: January 24, 2023.

<sup>2020</sup> *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 32S30; Secondary 32S10.

*Key words and phrases.* Polar multiplicity, vanishing Euler characteristic, Whitney equisingularity, Newton polyhedra.

During this work T. M. Dalbelo was partially supported by FAPESP-Grant 2019/21181- 0. L. Hartmann was partially supported by FAPESP-Grant 2021/09534-4. M. Varella was supported by CAPES-Brazil Finance code 001 and DAAD.

singularities to obtain the following theorem, which is the main result of this work.

<span id="page-1-0"></span>**Theorem 1.1.** Let  $\{(X_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathsf{t}}}^{\mathsf{s}},0)\}_{\mathsf{t}\in\mathsf{D}}$ , be a d-dimensional family of determinantal sin*gularities, defined by the germ of matrices*  $A_t = ((a_{ij})_t) : (\mathbb{C}^m, 0) \to (M_{n,k}, 0)$ *with holomorphic entries. Suppose that* X s A<sup>0</sup> *has an isolated singularity at* 0 *and, for all*  $t \in D$ *, the matrix*  $A_t$  *satisfies the following conditions:* 

- (i) the Newton polyhedra  $\Delta_j^t$  of  $(a_{ij})_t$  are convenient and independent of  $t$ ;
- (ii) the matrix  $A_t$  is strongly Newton non-degenerate (Definition [2](#page-3-0).5).

Then the family  $\left\{ (X_{A_t}^s, 0) \right\}_{t \in D}$  is Whitney equisingular.

The second task is to present, in Section 4, a formula to compute the local Euler obstruction of an isolated determinantal singularity in terms of Newton polyhedra. In this part, we strongly use the results introduced by Esterov [Esto7]. In his work, Esterov presents a formula to compute the Euler characteristic of the Milnor fiber of a function defined on an isolated determinantal singularity in terms of Newton polyhedra, as a particular case of his results on resultantal singularities.

The third task is to present more examples, where we can apply the previous results. In Section 5, we use the equivalence of matrices to encounter matrices which define the same determinantal singularities where the condition on the Newton polyhedron being convenient is more satisfiable and also to simplify these results. Using this equivalence we obtain a formula to compute the local Euler obstruction in terms of a single Newton polyhedra.

<span id="page-1-1"></span>**Theorem 1.2.** *Let* X n A *be an isolated determinantal singularity defined by the*  $germ A : (\mathbb{C}^m, 0) \to (M_{n,k}, 0)$ *, where* A *has holomorphic entries and Newton polyhedron* Δ<sub>*A</sub>.* Suppose that Δ<sub>*A*</sub> is convenient, if there exists a generic linear</sub> *form*  $l : (\mathbb{C}^m, 0) \to (\mathbb{C}, 0)$  *with a convenient Newton polyhedron such that*  $l$  *is Newton non-degenerate with respect to* A *and*  $\Delta$ <sub>A</sub> *(Definition* [5](#page-15-0).7*)*, then

$$
Eu_{X_A^n}(0) = \sum_{q = k - n + 1}^k \sum_{\stackrel{I \subset \{1,\ldots,m\} \\ |I| \geq q + 1}} \sum_{a = 1}^{|I| - q} (-1)^{|I| + k - n} \binom{|I| + q - a - 2}{n + q - k - 1} \\ \times \binom{|I| - a - 1}{q - 1} \binom{k}{q} \cdot |I|! \cdot (L^I)^{\alpha} (\widetilde{\Delta}_A^I)^{|I| - \alpha},
$$

where L is the standard m-dimensional simplex and  $\widetilde{\Delta} = \mathbb{R}^\mathfrak{m}_+ \setminus \Delta$ .

In Section 6, we introduce some applications of the results presented along this work, such as Whitney equisingularity for a family of functions

defined on isolated determinantal singularities and a Le-Greuel type for- ˆ mula for the vanishing Euler characteristic of an isolated determinantal singularity.

## 2. Determinantal singularities and Newton polyhedra

<span id="page-2-0"></span>2.1. **Determinantal singularities.** Determinantal varieties have been widely studied by researchers in Commutative Algebra and Algebraic Geometry (see [BrH[e98](#page-26-2), BrV[e98](#page-26-3)]). In Singularity Theory there are countless articles with the purpose of studying those varieties, we can quote, for in-stance, Ebeling and Gusein-Zade [EB[GZ](#page-26-4)09], Frühbis-Krüger and Neumer [\[FKN](#page-26-5)E10], Nuño-Ballesteros, Oréfice-Okamoto and Tomazella [[NBOOT](#page-27-1)13], Pereira and Ruas [PERU14] and Zach [ZAC20].

Consider  $M_{n,k}$  the set of complex matrices of size  $n \times k$  and  $M_{n,k}^s$  the subset consisting of the matrices with rank less than s, where  $0 < s \leq$  $n \leq k$  are integers. The set  $M^s_{n,k}$  is an irreducible subvariety of  $M_{n,k}$  with codimension (n − s + 1)(k − s + 1), which is called *generic determinantal variety,* and  $M^{s-1}_{n,k}$  is its singular set.

Let  $A : (\mathbb{C}^m, 0) \to (M_{n,k}, 0)$  be a holomorphic map germ defined by  $A(x) = (a_{ij}(x))$  with  $a_{ij} \in \mathcal{O}_m$ , where  $\mathcal{O}_m$  is the ring of holomorphic functions in  $\mathbb{C}^{\mathfrak{m}}$ , for  $1 \leq i \leq n$  and  $1 \leq j \leq k$ .

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $(X_A^s, 0) \subset (\mathbb{C}^m, 0)$  be the germ of the variety defined by  $X^s_A = A^{-1}(M^s_{n,k})$ . We say that  $X^s_A$  is a determinantal variety of type  $(n, k; s)$  in (C <sup>m</sup>, 0) *if its dimension is equal to*

$$
m - (n - s + 1)(k - s + 1).
$$

The analytical structure of  $X_A^s$  is the one defined by A and  $M_{n,k}^s$ , *i.e.*, given by the s size of  $A(x)$ . When  $s = 1$ , the determinantal variety  $X_A^s$  is a complete intersection variety.

**Definition 2.2.** *Let*  $(X^s_A, 0)$  ⊂  $(\mathbb{C}^m, 0)$  *be a determinantal variety of type*  $(n, k; s)$ *satisfying the condition*

$$
s = 1 \text{ or } m < (n - s + 2)(k - s + 2).
$$

The variety  $(X_\mathrm{A}^\mathrm{s},0)$  is said to be an isolated determinantal singularity (IDS) if  $X_\mathrm{A}^\mathrm{s}$ *is smooth at* x *and rank*  $A(x) = s - 1$  *for all*  $x \neq 0$  *in a neighbourhood of the origin.*

**Remark 2.3.** Isolated determinantal singularities are G-determined (see [P[er10](#page-27-4)]), then they have a polynomial representative.

2.2. **Newton polyhedra.** The Newton polyhedra of polynomial functions are important objects which can be very useful to compute some invariants, such as the Milnor number, local Euler obstruction, multiplicities, among others.

The monomial  $x_1^{a_1} \cdots x_m^{a_m}$  is denoted by  $x^a$ , where  $a = (a_1, \ldots, a_m) \in \mathbb{Z}^m$ . We denote by  $\mathbb{R}^m_+$ , the positive orthant of  $\mathbb{R}^m$ . A subset  $\Delta \subset \mathbb{R}^m_+$  is called a *Newton polyhedron* when there exists some P  $\subset \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{\mathfrak{m}}$  such that  $\Delta$  is the convex hull of the set  $\{p + \nu : p \in P \text{ and } \nu \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{m}\}$ . In this case,  $\Delta$  is said to be the Newton polyhedron determined by P. If  $\Delta$  touches all the coordinate axes, we say that ∆ is *convenient*.

For a face  $\Gamma$  of a polyhedron  $\Delta$  and a function  $f = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} c_{\alpha} x^{\alpha}$ , we denote the polynomial  $\sum_{\alpha \in \Gamma} c_{\alpha} x^{\alpha}$  by  $f|_{\Gamma}$ .

**Definition 2.4.** *If*  $f \in \mathcal{O}_m$  *is a germ of a polynomial function*  $f(x) = \sum$ p∈Z<sup>m</sup> +  $c_p x^p$ ,

*then the support of* f *is*  $supp(f) := {p \in \mathbb{Z}_+^m \, | \, c_p \neq o}$ *. The Newton polyhedron of* f  $\Delta_f$  is the Newton polyhedron determined by  $supp(f)$ .

In the following, we present the Newton non-degeneracy conditions adapted to determinantal singularities. The Newton non-degeneracy conditions were first defined in [Esto7, Definition 1.16] for determinantal singularities given by the maximal minors of a matrix. Here we relax the maximal condition.

<span id="page-3-0"></span>**Definition 2.5.** *Let*  $A = (a_{ij}) : (\mathbb{C}^m, 0) \to (M_{n,k}, 0)$  *be a germ of a holomorphic* matrix, which defines a determinantal singularity  $\mathsf{X}_\mathsf{A}^{\mathsf{s}}$  of type  $(\mathsf{n},\mathsf{k};\mathsf{s})$ . We denote *by*  $\Delta_j \subset \mathbb{R}_+^m$  the Newton polyhedron of  $a_{ij}$ , for all  $i = 1, \ldots, n$ , .

(i) *The matrix* A *is said to be Newton non-degenerate if, for each collection of* faces  $\Gamma_{\rm j}\subset \Delta_{\rm j}$  such that the sum  $\sum_{\rm j}\Gamma_{\rm j}$  is a bounded face of the polyhedron  $\sum_{j} \Delta_j$  *and for every subset*  $\mathcal{I} \subset \{1, \ldots, n\}$ *, with*  $|\mathcal{I}| \ge n - s + 1$ *, the matrix*

$$
(\alpha_{ij}|_{\Gamma_j})_{i\in\mathcal{I},\;j\in\{1,\ldots,k\}}
$$

*defines a determinantal variety of type* ( $|\mathcal{I}|$ , k;  $|\mathcal{I}| - n + s$ ) *in*  $(\mathbb{C} \setminus 0)$ <sup>m</sup>;

- (ii) *The matrix* A *is said to be strongly Newton non-degenerate if for each*  $\textit{collection of faces $\Gamma_{\rm j}\subset\Delta_{\rm j}$ such that the sum $\sum_{\rm j}\Gamma_{\rm j}$ is a bounded face of the}.$ polyhedron  $\sum_j \Delta_j$ , the polynomial matrix  $(\overline{a_{ij}}|_{\Gamma_j})$  defines a non-singular *determinantal variety of type* (n, k; s) *in* (C \ 0) m*;*
- (iii) *A function*  $f : (\mathbb{C}^m, 0) \to (\mathbb{C}, 0)$  *is said to be Newton non-degenerate with respect to* A, if, for each collection of faces  $\Gamma_i \subset \Delta_i$  and  $\Gamma_0 \subset \Delta_f$  $s$ uch that the sum  $\sum_{\mathfrak{j}=0}^{\mathfrak{k}}\mathsf{\Gamma}_{\mathfrak{j}}$  is a bounded face of the polyhedron  $\sum_{\mathfrak{j}=0}^{\mathfrak{k}}\Delta_{\mathfrak{j}}$ , *the restriction of the 1-form* df|<sup>Γ</sup><sup>0</sup> *to the determinantal variety of type*  $(n, k; s)$ , defined by the matrix  $(a_{ij}|_{\Gamma_j})$  in  $(\mathbb{C} \setminus 0)^m$ , has no zeros, which means that f|<sub>Γo</sub> has no critical points in this determinantal variety.

The set of all convex bounded polyhedra in  $\mathbb{R}^m$  is denoted by M. The *mixed volume* is defined in [\[GKZ](#page-26-6)08, Definition 2.6] as the unique symmetric multi-linear function

$$
MV: \underbrace{\mathcal{M} \times \times \mathcal{M}}_{m} \to \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{m!},
$$

which satisfies  $MV(\Gamma, \ldots, \Gamma) = Vol(\Gamma)$  for every polyhedron  $\Gamma \in \mathcal{M}$ , where Vol(Γ) is the usual Euclidean volume of Γ. More explicitly, we have

$$
MV(\Gamma_1,\ldots,\Gamma_m)=\frac{1}{m!}\sum_{r=1}^m(-1)^{m-r}\sum_{1\leq i_1\leq \cdots\leq i_r\leq m}Vol(\Gamma_{i_1}+\cdots+\Gamma_{i_r}),
$$

where  $\Gamma_{i_1} + \cdots + \Gamma_{i_r}$  is the Minkowiski sum of the sets  $\Gamma_{i_1}, \ldots, \Gamma_{i_r}$ .

For brevity, we denote the mixed volume

$$
MV(\underbrace{\Gamma_1,\ldots,\Gamma_1}_{\alpha_1},\ldots,\underbrace{\Gamma_r,\ldots,\Gamma_r}_{\alpha_r})=\Gamma_1^{\alpha_1}\cdots\Gamma_r^{\alpha_r}.
$$

For a set  $I \subset \{1, \ldots, m\}$ , let  $\mathbb{R}^I$  be a coordinate plane given by the equations  $x_i = 0$ , for  $i \notin I$ . Given a polyhedron  $\Delta \subset \mathbb{R}^m_+$ , we denote by  $\widetilde{\Delta}^I$  the intersection  $\mathbb{R}^I \cap \widetilde{\Delta}$ , where  $\widetilde{\Delta} = \mathbb{R}^m_+ \setminus \Delta$ .

## 3. Whitney equisingularity

<span id="page-4-0"></span>In this section, we use the information given by Newton polyhedra and Newton non-degeneracy to present conditions which guarantee the Whitney equisingularity of a family of isolated determinantal singularities.

The relation between Whitney equisingularity and Newton polyhedra was strongly studied by Briançon (on unpublished notes), for a family of Newton non-degenerate isolated hypersurface singularities and by Eyral and Oka ( $[EYOK17]$ ), for families of non-degenerate non-isolated hypersurfaces.

The concept of Whitney equisingularity is strongly related to the polar multiplicities for many important classes of spaces. For instance, in [GAF93, GAF96], Gaffney showed that a family of d-dimensional isolated complete intersection singularities (ICIS)  $\{(X_t, 0)\}$  of any dimension d is Whitney equisingular if, and only if, the polar multiplicities  $m_i(X_t, 0)$ ,  $i = 0, \ldots, d$  are constant on this family.

The polar multiplicities  $m_i(X, 0)$ , for  $i = 0, ..., d - 1$  are defined for any variety  $(X, 0)$  (see [LÊTE81]), while  $m_d(X, 0)$  was defined, initially, only for ICIS in [GAF93]. Using topological and geometric information from generic linear projections, Pereira and Ruas  $[PERU14]$  and Nuño-Ballesteros, Oréfice-Okamoto and Tomazella  $[NBOOT13]$  $[NBOOT13]$  $[NBOOT13]$  defined the top polar multiplicity  $m_d(X_A^s, 0)$  for an IDS  $X_A^s$ . In [NN[OOT](#page-27-0)18], the authors

proved that a family of IDS  $\left\{(X_{A_t}^s, 0)\right\}$  is Whitney equisingular if, and only if,  $m_i(X_{A_t}^s, 0)$ ,  $i = 0, \ldots, d$ , do not depend on t.

Given an IDS  $(X_A^s, 0)$ , the top polar multiplicity  $m_d(X_A^s, 0)$  is related to the local Euler obstruction of  $X_A^s$  (for more details on the local Euler obstruction see Section 4). In fact, in  $[NBOOT13, Theorem 4.5]$  $[NBOOT13, Theorem 4.5]$ , the authors proved

<span id="page-5-2"></span>
$$
m_d(X_A^s, 0) = \nu(X_A^s, 0) + (-1)^{d-1} Eu_{X_A^s}(0) + 1,
$$
\n(3.1)

where  $v(X_A^s, 0)$  is the vanishing Euler characteristic of  $X_A^s$ ,  $Eu_{X_A^s}(0)$  is the local Euler obstruction of  $X_A^n$  at 0 and  $d = \dim X_A^s$ . In the following we present the definition of vanishing Euler characteristic of an IDS.

**Definition 3.1.** *The vanishing Euler characteristic of an IDS* X s <sup>A</sup>*, denoted by* ν(X s <sup>A</sup>, 0)*, is defined as*

$$
\nu(X_A^s, 0) = (-1)^d (\chi(\widetilde{X_A^s}) - 1),
$$

 $\alpha$  *where*  $X^s_A$  *is the generic fiber of the determinantal smoothing*[1](#page-5-0) *of*  $X^s_A$ ,  $\chi(\cdot)$  *denotes the Euler characteristic and*  $d = \dim X_A^s$ .

For more details on the vanishing Euler characteristic see [\[NBOOT](#page-27-1)13, Definition 3.2].

When  $X_A^s$  has codimension 2 the vanishing Euler characteristic coincides with the Milnor number defined by Pereira and Ruas in  $[PERU14]$ . Moreover, the vanishing Euler characteristic has a Lê-Greuel type formula expressing  $v(X_A^s, 0)$  in terms of the top polar multiplicity and the vanishing Euler characteristic of a generic section (see [\[NBOOT](#page-27-1)13, Theorem 4.3 and Definition 4.4]), *i.e.,* the following equality holds

<span id="page-5-1"></span>
$$
m_d(X_A^s, 0) = \nu(X_A^s, 0) + \nu(X_A^s \cap p^{-1}(0), 0), \qquad (3.2)
$$

where  $p : (\mathbb{C}^m, 0) \to (\mathbb{C}, 0)$  is a generic linear function and  $d = \dim X_A^s$ .

We recall some notions about determinantal deformations. Consider a map germ  $A : (\mathbb{C}^m \times \mathbb{C}, 0) \to (M_{n,k}, 0)$  such that  $A(x, 0) = A(x)$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathfrak{m}}$ . When  $X^s_A$  is a determinantal variety, the projection

$$
\begin{array}{rcl} \pi\colon & (X^s_{\mathcal{A}},0) & \rightarrow & (\mathbb{C},0) \\ & (x,t) & \mapsto & t \end{array}
$$

is called a *determinantal deformation of*  $X_A^s$  . If we fix a small enough representative A :  $B_{\varepsilon} \to (M_{n,k}, 0)$ , where  $B_{\varepsilon}$  is the open ball centred at the origin with radius  $\varepsilon > 0$ , then we set  $A_t(x) := \mathcal{A}(x, t)$  and  $X_{A_t}^s = A_t^{-1}(M_{n,k}^s)$ .

If  $X_{\mathcal{A}_{t}}^{s}$  is a determinantal deformation of  $(X_{\mathcal{A}_{0}}^{s},0)$  as above, we say that:

<span id="page-5-0"></span><sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>For the definition see [\[NBOOT](#page-27-1)13, Definition 3.3].

- (i)  $X_{A_t}^s$  is origin preserving if  $0 \in S(X_{A_t}^s)$ , for all t in D, where  $S(X_{A_t}^s)$ denotes the singular set of  $X_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{t}}}^s$  and  $D \subset \mathbb{C}$  is a disc around the origin. Then  $\{(\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{A}_{t}}^s, 0)\}_{t\in\mathbf{D}}$  is called a 1*-parameter family* of IDS;
- (ii)  $\{(X_{A_t}^s, 0)\}_{t \in D}$  is a good family if there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  with  $S(X_{A_t}^s) = \{0\}$ on  $B_{\varepsilon}$ , for all t in D;
- (iii)  $\{(X_{A_t}^s, 0)\}_{t \in D}$  is Whitney equisingular if it is a good family and  $\{X^s_{\mathcal{A}}\setminus\mathsf{T},\mathsf{T}\}\$  satisfies the Whitney conditions, where  $\mathsf{T} = \{0\} \times \mathsf{D}.$

To prove the main result we need some concepts and results in order to guarantee an uniformity condition on the family. The following concept was first introduced by Eyral and Oka [EYOK17, OKA97] for hypersurfaces and complete intersection singularities and we extend it to determinantal singularities.

**Definition 3.2.** *Let*  $f : (\mathbb{C}^m, 0) \to (\mathbb{C}, 0)$  *be a germ of an analytic function and*  $I \subset \{1,\ldots,m\}$ . We say that  $\mathbb{C}^I = \{(x_1,\ldots,x_m) \in \mathbb{C}^m : x_i = 0 \}$ , i  $\notin I$  *is an admissible coordinate subspace for* f *if* f|<sup>C</sup>I∩<sup>U</sup> *is not constantly zero, where* U *is a neighbourhood of the origin in* C m*.*

**Definition 3.3.** *Let*  $A = (a_{ij}) : (\mathbb{C}^m, 0) \to (M_{n,k}, 0)$  *be a germ of a holomorphic* map, we say that  $\mathbb{C}^{\text{I}}$  is an admissible coordinate space for A if  $\mathbb{C}^{\text{I}}$  is admissible for *each*  $a_{ii}$ ,  $j = 1, ..., k$  *and*  $i = 1, ..., n$ .

Along this work, we will use the following notation:

- (i)  $A^I = (a^I_{ij})$ , where  $a^I_{ij} = a_{ij}|_{\mathbb{C}^I \cap U}$ ;
- (ii)  $A^{*I} = (\alpha_{ij}^{*I})$ , where  $\alpha_{ij}^{*I} = \alpha_{ij}|_{\mathbb{C}^{*I} \cap U}$  and  $\mathbb{C}^{*I} = \{(x_1, ..., x_m) \in \mathbb{C}^m :$  $x_i = 0 \Leftrightarrow i \notin I$ .

In the following Lemma we extend [O[ka97](#page-27-6), Proposition 7] to determinantal singularities and using the ideas of Eyral and Oka  $[EvOK17, Propo-$ sition [3](#page-6-0).1], we prove Proposition 3.5.

<span id="page-6-1"></span>**Lemma** 3.4. Let  $A : (\mathbb{C}^m, 0) \to (M_{n,k}, 0)$  be a germ of a strongly Newton non*degenerate matrix. If* C I *is an admissible coordinate subspace for* A*, then* A<sup>I</sup> *is a germ of a strongly Newton non-degenerate matrix.*

**Proof.** For each  $j = 1,...,k$ , let  $\sigma_j$  be a face of  $\Delta_{a_{ij}^I}$  such that  $\sum \sigma_j = \sigma_j$ is a bounded face of  $\sum \Delta_{{\bf q}_{ij}^{\rm I}}$ . Since  $\Delta_{{\bf q}_{ij}^{\rm I}}=\Delta_{{\bf q}_{ij}}\cap {\mathbb R}^{\rm I}$ ,  $\sigma_j$  is also a face of  $\Delta_{a_{ij}}$  such that  $\sigma$  is a bounded face of  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \Delta_{a_{ij}}$  for each  $j = 1, \ldots, k$ . Thus,  $(a_{ij}^{I})_{\sigma}$  defines a non-singular determinantal variety in  $(\mathbb{C} \setminus 0)^m$ . Hence,  $A^{I}$ is strongly Newton non-degenerate.

<span id="page-6-0"></span>**Proposition 3.5.** *Suppose that for all t sufficiently small, the following two conditions are satisfied:*

(i) *the matrix*  $A_t = ((a_{ii})_t)$  *is strongly Newton non-degenerate;* 

(ii) *the Newton polyhedron*  $\Delta_j^t$  *of*  $(a_{ij})_t$  *is independent of t, for all j* = 1, . . . , k*.*

*Then there exists a positive number* R > 0 *such that for any admissible coordinate*  $subspace \ \mathbb{C}^I$  of  $\mathcal{A}_0$  and any  $t$  sufficiently small, the set  $X_{\mathcal{A}_t}^s \cap \mathbb{C}^{*I} \cap \mathcal{B}_R$  is nonsingular, where  $B_R$  is the open ball with center at the origin  $0 \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathfrak{m}}$  and radius R*.*

**Proof.** As  $\Delta_j^t$  is independent of t, the set of admissible systems is also independent of t and, since there are only finitely many subsets  $I \subset \{1, \ldots, m\}$ , it suffices to show the result for a fixed  $I = \{1, \ldots, r\}$ ,  $r \leq m$ .

We argue by contradiction. Suppose that for all  $R > 0$  the intersection  $X^s_{\mathcal{A}_t} \cap \mathbb{C}^{*I} \cap B_R$  has a singular point and consider the sequence  $\{(t_R, z_R)\}$  of points in  $X^s_A \cap (D \times \mathbb{C}^{*I})$  converging to  $(0,0)$ , where  $z_R$  is a singularity of  $X_{\mathcal{A}_{t}}^{s} \cap \mathbb{C}^{*I} \cap B_{R}$ . Then  $(0,0)$  is in the closure of the set

$$
W = \{ (t,z) \in D \times \mathbb{C}^{*I} : z \in X_{\mathcal{A}_t}^s \text{ and } z \text{ is a singular point of } X_{\mathcal{A}_t}^s \}.
$$

Then, by the curve selection lemma [MIL68], there exists an analytic curve

$$
p: [0, \epsilon] \rightarrow W
$$
  
q  $\mapsto$  (t(q), z(q))

such that  $p(q) = (t(q), z_1(q), \ldots, z_r(q), 0, \ldots, 0)$ , for all  $q \neq 0$ , and  $p(0) =$  $(0, 0)$ . For  $1 \le i \le r$ , consider the Taylor expansions

$$
t(q) = t_0 q^{\nu} + \cdots, \qquad z_i(q) = a_i q^{w_i} + \cdots,
$$

where  $t_0, a_i \neq 0$  and  $v, w_i > 0$ . Here, the three centered dots stand for the higher order terms. Choose  $a = (a_1, \ldots, a_r, 0, \ldots, 0) \in \mathbb{C}^{*I}$  and  $w =$  $(w_1,\ldots,w_r,0,\ldots,0) \in \mathbb{N}^r \setminus \{0\}$  and consider the face  $\sigma_j$  of  $(\Delta_j^{t(q)})$  $(\Delta_j^{\mathfrak{b}})^I=(\Delta_j^{\mathfrak{0}})^I$ defined as the set where the map

$$
V_w : \qquad (\Delta_j^{t(q)})^I \qquad \to \qquad \mathbb{R}_+ \\ \qquad x := (x_1, \ldots, x_r, 0, \ldots, 0) \qquad \mapsto \qquad \sum_{i=1}^r x_i w_i
$$

takes its minimal value  $d_j$ .

Now, note that

<span id="page-7-1"></span>
$$
(a_{ij})_t(z) = ((a_{ij})_t)|_{\sigma_j} + \sum_{\alpha \notin \sigma_j} c_{\alpha} z^{\alpha}.
$$
 (3.3)

Consider a monomial component

<span id="page-7-0"></span>
$$
(c_{\alpha}z^{\alpha})|_{\sigma_j}=c_{\alpha}z_1^{\alpha_1}\dots z_r^{\alpha_r}
$$
 (3.4)

of the face function  $a_{ij}|_{\sigma_j}$ . Then over the curve p we have

$$
(c_{\alpha}z(q)^{\alpha})|_{\sigma_j}=c_{\alpha}(a_1q^{w_1}+\cdots)^{\alpha_1}\ldots(a_rq^{w_r}+\cdots)^{\alpha_r}=c_{\alpha}a_1^{\alpha_1}\ldots a_r^{\alpha_r}q^{d_j}+\cdots.
$$

Therefore,

<span id="page-8-1"></span>
$$
((\alpha_{ij})_{t(q)}|_{\mathbb{C}^{*I}})|_{\sigma_j}(z(q))=((\alpha_{ij})_{t(q)}|_{\mathbb{C}^{*I}})|_{\sigma_j}(a)q^{d_j}+\cdots.
$$
 (3.5)

Taking the derivative of Eq.  $(3.4)$  $(3.4)$  $(3.4)$ , we have

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial z_1}(c_{\alpha}z^{\alpha})=\alpha_1c_{\alpha}z_1^{\alpha_1}\ldots z_1^{\alpha_1-1}\ldots z_r^{\alpha_r}.
$$

Then, over the curve p

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial z_1}(c_{\alpha}z(q)^{\alpha})|_{\sigma_j} = \alpha_1 c_{\alpha}(a_1 q^{w_1} + \cdots)^{\alpha_1} \cdots (a_1 q^{w_1} + \cdots)^{\alpha_1 - 1} \cdots (a_r q^{w_r} + \cdots)^{\alpha_r}
$$
\n
$$
= \alpha_1 a_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots a_1^{\alpha_1 - 1} \cdots a_r^{\alpha_r} q^{d_j - w_1} + \cdots
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1}(c_{\alpha}z(q)^{\alpha})|_{\sigma_j}(a) \cdot q^{d_j - w_1} + \cdots
$$

Thus, the following equation holds

<span id="page-8-0"></span>
$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial z_l}((\alpha_{ij})_{t(q)}|_{\mathbb{C}^{*I}})|_{\sigma_j}(z(q)) = \frac{\partial}{\partial z_l}((\alpha_{ij})_{t(q)}|_{\mathbb{C}^{*I}})|_{\sigma_j}(\alpha) \cdot q^{d_j - w_l} + \cdots. \qquad (3.6)
$$

It follows from Eq.  $(3.3)$  $(3.3)$  $(3.3)$  that

$$
((\alpha_{ij})_{t(q)}|_{\mathbb{C}^{*I}})(z(q))=((\alpha_{ij})_{t(q)}|_{\mathbb{C}^{*I}})|_{\sigma_j}(z(q))+\sum_{\alpha\notin \sigma_j}c_{\alpha}z(q)^{\alpha}
$$

and

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial z_l}((\alpha_{ij})_{t(q)}|_{\mathbb{C}^{*I}})(z(q))=\frac{\partial}{\partial z_l}((\alpha_{ij})_{t(q)}|_{\mathbb{C}^{*I}})|_{\sigma_j}(z(q))+\frac{\partial}{\partial z_l}(\sum_{\alpha\notin \sigma_j}c_{\alpha}z(q)^{\alpha}).
$$

By Eq.  $(3.6)$  $(3.6)$  $(3.6)$ 

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial z_l}((\alpha_{ij})_{t(q)}|_{\mathbb{C}^{*I}})(z(q))=\frac{\partial}{\partial z_l}((\alpha_{ij})_{t(q)}|_{\mathbb{C}^{*I}})|_{\sigma_j}(\alpha)\cdot q^{d_j-w_l}+\cdots.
$$

Since  $w_i \neq 0$  for  $i = 1, \ldots, r$ , then each  $\sigma_j$ ,  $j = 1, \ldots, k$ , is a bounded face of  $\Delta_j$  and, since  $\sigma_j$  is the face where the function  $\mathbf{U}_{w}$  takes its minimal value,  $\sum_{j=1}^k \sigma_j$  is a face of  $\sum_{j=1}^k \Delta_j$  where  $l_w : \sum_{j=1}^k (\Delta_j^{\mathsf{t}(q)})$  $(\mathbf{f}^{(q)})^{\mathrm{I}} \to \mathbb{R}_+$  defined by  $\sum_{i=1}^r x_i w_i$  also takes its minimal value. Therefore,  $\sum_{j=1}^k \sigma_j$  is a bounded face of  $\sum_{j=1}^k \Delta_j$ .

Consider the  $n \times k$  matrix

$$
(A_{t(q)}^{*I})|_{\sigma}(z(q))=((\mathfrak{a}_{ij})_{t(q)}|_{\mathbb{C}^{*I}})|_{\sigma_j}(z(q))\stackrel{Eq. (3.5)}{=} (((\mathfrak{a}_{ij})_{t(q)}|_{\mathbb{C}^{*I}})|_{\sigma_j}(\mathfrak{a})q^{d_j}+\cdots)_{n\times k}.
$$

Since  $z(\mathfrak{q})$  belongs to the determinantal singularity  $X^s_{A^s_{t(\mathfrak{q})}}$ , which is defined by the s size minors of  $\mathcal{A}^{*I}_{t(q)}$ , then for each set  $\mathcal{I} \subset \{1,\ldots,n\}$  and  $\mathcal{J} \subset$  $\{1, \ldots, k\}$  such that  $|\mathcal{I}| = |\mathcal{J}| = s$  we have the zero polynomial

$$
\begin{aligned} \text{det}(((\!(\!(\!(\alpha_{ij})_{t(q)}|_{\mathbb{C}^{*1}})|_{\sigma_j}(\alpha)q^{d_j}+\cdots)_{i\in\mathcal{I},\,j\in\mathcal{J}}) \\ =\text{det}(((\!(\!(\alpha_{ij})_{t(q)}|_{\mathbb{C}^{*1}})|_{\sigma_j}(\alpha))_{i\in\mathcal{I},\,j\in\mathcal{J}})q^{\sum_{j\in\mathcal{J}}d_j}+\cdots=0, \end{aligned}
$$

which implies that all the coefficients of this polynomial are equal to zero, in particular

$$
det(((\alpha_{ij})_{t(q)}|_{\mathbb{C}^{*I}})|_{\sigma_j}(\alpha))_{i\in\mathcal{I},\,j\in\mathcal{J}})=0.
$$

Taking  $q \to 0$  for each  $\mathcal I$  and  $\mathcal J$  as above,  $t(q) \to 0$  and, therefore, the point a belongs to the determinantal singularity  $X_{\mathcal{A}_{0}^{*}}^{s}$ .

Furthermore, by Eq. ([3](#page-8-0).6)

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial z_l}(\det(((\{a_{ij}\}_{t(q)}|_{\mathbb{C}^{*I}})|_{\sigma_j}(z(q)))_{i\in\mathcal{I},\,j\in\mathcal{J}}))=\\ \frac{\partial}{\partial z_l}(\det(((\{a_{ij}\}_{t(q)}|_{\mathbb{C}^{*I}})|_{\sigma_j}(\alpha))_{i\in\mathcal{I},\,j\in\mathcal{J}}))\cdot q^{(\sum_{j\in\mathcal{J}}d_j)-w_l}+\cdots.
$$

Then the Jacobian matrix of the function given by the s size minors of the matrix  $(((\alpha_{ij})_{t(q)}|_{\mathbb{C}^{*}})|_{\sigma_j}(\alpha)q^{d_j}+\cdots)_{i\in\mathcal{I},\,j\in\mathcal{J}}$  is the  $\binom{k}{q}$  $\binom{\text{R}}{\text{q}}\binom{\text{n}}{\text{s}}\times\text{m}$  matrix

$$
(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_l}(det(((\mathfrak{a}_{ij})_{t(q)}|_{\mathbb{C}^{*I}})|_{\sigma_j}(\mathfrak{a}))_{i\in\mathcal{I},\,j\in\mathcal{J}})\cdot q^{(\sum_{j\in\mathcal{J}}d_j)-w_l}+\cdots)_{\mathcal{I}\subset\{1,\dots,n\},\,\mathcal{J}\subset\{1,\dots,k\}}.
$$

Since  $z(\mathfrak{q})$  is a singularity of the determinantal variety  $X^s_{A^{*I}_{t(\mathfrak{q})}}$ , this matrix has rank less than  $(k - s + 1)(n - s + 1)$  then, by the same argument as before, the Jacobian matrix

$$
(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_l}(\det(((\{a_{ij}\}_{t(q)}|_{\mathbb{C}^{*I}})|_{\sigma_j}(\mathfrak{a}))_{i\in\mathcal{I},j\in\mathcal{J}})))_{\mathcal{I}\subset\{1,\dots,n\},\,\mathcal{J}\subset\{1,\dots,k\}}
$$

has also rank less than  $(k - s + 1)(n - s + 1)$ .

Taking again q  $\rightarrow$  0, t(q)  $\rightarrow$  0 and, therefore, the point a is a singularity of the determinantal variety  $X^s_{A_0^{*1}}$ . This implies that the matrix  $A_0^{*1}$  is not Newton non-degenerate which contradicts Lemma [3](#page-6-1).4. □

<span id="page-9-0"></span>**Corollary 3.6.** *In addition to the conditions of Proposition* [3](#page-6-0).5*, if the Newton* polyhedra ∆ $^{\rm t}$  of  $({\rm a}_{\rm ij})_{\rm t}$  are convenient, then there exists a positive number <code>R</code> > 0 *such that for any* t *sufficiently small, the set* X s <sup>A</sup><sup>t</sup> ∩B<sup>R</sup> *is smooth outside the origin,* where  $B_R$  is the open ball with center at the origin  $0 \in \mathbb{C}^m$  and radius R.

Finally, we have all the tools necessary to prove Theorem [1](#page-1-0).1.

**Proof.** (of Theorem [1](#page-1-0).1) Firstly, since the Newton polyhedra  $\Delta_j^t$  are convenient and independent of t for each  $j = 1, ..., k$  and the matrix  $A_t$  is strongly Newton non-degenerate, then by Corollary [3](#page-9-0).6, there exists a positive number R such that for any t sufficiently small, the set  $X_{A_t}^s \cap B_R$  is smooth outside the origin, where  $B_R$  is the open ball with center at the origin and radius R. Therefore, this family is good.

Moreover, since  $X_{A_t}^s \cap B_R$  is smooth outside the origin, we have  $\chi(X_{A_t}^s \cap B_R)$  $B_R$ ) = 1, consequently, by [NN[OOT](#page-27-0)18, Corollary 4.3]

$$
\nu(X_{A_t}^s,0)=\nu(X_{A_0}^s,0).
$$

Now, for each  $t \in D$  consider a linear form  $h_t : (\mathbb{C}^m, 0) \to (\mathbb{C}, 0)$ , which is generic with respect to  $X^s_{A_t}$ . Then,  $X^s_{A_t} \cap (h_t)^{-1}(0)$  is a determinantal singularity defined by  $(A_t|_{h_t})^{-1}(M_{n,k}^s)$ . Thus, we can define a family of determinantal singularities considering the map  $\widetilde{A}$  : ( $\mathbb{C}^{m-1}$ , 0)  $\rightarrow$   $(M_{n,k}, 0)$ with  $\hat{\mathcal{A}}(x,t) = \mathcal{A}_t|_{h_t}(x)$  and  $\hat{\mathcal{A}}(x,0) = \mathcal{A}_0|_{h_0}(x)$ . Since  $X_{\mathcal{A}_t}^s \cap B_R$  is smooth outside the origin and  $h_t$  is generic for all  $t \in D$ , the set  $X_{A_t}^s \cap (h_t)^{-1}(0) \cap B_R$ is also smooth outside the origin. Hence, again by [NN[OOT](#page-27-0)18, Corollary 4.3]

$$
\nu(X_{A_t}^s \cap (h_t)^{-1}(0),0) = \nu(X_{A_0}^s \cap (h_0)^{-1}(0),0).
$$

Therefore, by Eq.  $(3.2)$  $(3.2)$  $(3.2)$ 

$$
m_d(X_{A_t}^s, 0) = m_d(X_{A_0}^s, 0).
$$
 (3.7)

We can proceed exactly in the same way to obtain

$$
\mathbf{v}(X_{A_t}^s \cap H_t^1 \cap \cdots \cap H_t^1, \mathbf{0}) = \mathbf{v}(X_{A_0}^s \cap H_0^1 \cap \cdots \cap H_0^1, \mathbf{0}),
$$

where  $H_t^i$  are generic hyperplanes for  $i = 1, \ldots, l$ . Hence,

<span id="page-10-0"></span>
$$
\mathfrak{m}_d(X_{A_t}^s \cap H_t^1 \cap \cdots \cap H_t^l, 0) = \mathfrak{m}_d(X_{A_0}^s \cap H_0^1 \cap \cdots \cap H_0^l, 0).
$$
 (3.8)

In addition, by  $[GG]R19$ , Lemma 2.6], we have

<span id="page-10-1"></span>
$$
m_{d-l}(X_{A_t}^s \cap H_t^1 \cap \dots \cap H_t^l, 0) = m_{d-l}(X_{A_t}^s, 0), \qquad (3.9)
$$

for  $l = 2, \ldots, d$ . Combining both Eq. ([3](#page-10-1).8) and (3.9), we have

$$
\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{m}_{d-l}(X^s_{A_t},0)&=\mathfrak{m}_{d-l}(X^s_{A_t}\cap H^1_t\cap\cdots\cap H^l_t,0)\\&=\mathfrak{m}_{d-l}(X^s_{A_0}\cap H^1_0\cap\cdots\cap H^l_0,0)\\&=\mathfrak{m}_{d-l}(X^s_{A_0},0),\end{aligned}
$$

for all  $l = 2, \ldots, d$ .

Lastly, since  $m_d(X_{A_t}^s, 0) = m_d(X_{A_0}^s, 0)$  and  $v(X_{A_t}^s, 0) = v(X_{A_0}^s, 0)$ , by Eq. ([3](#page-5-2).1), we have

$$
Eu_{X_{A_t}^s}(0)=Eu_{X_{A_0}^s}(0).
$$

For the polar multiplicity  $m_{d-1}(X_{A_t}^s, 0)$ , we use the last equation together with the Lê and Teissier formula (see Eq.  $(4.1)$  $(4.1)$  $(4.1)$  below) to obtain

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{d-1}(-1)^k m_k(X_{A_t}^s,0)=Eu_{X_{A_t}^s}(0)=Eu_{X_{A_0}^s}(0)=\sum_{k=0}^{d-1}(-1)^k m_k(X_{A_0}^s,0).
$$

In addition,  $m_j(X_{A_t}^s, 0) = m_j(X_{A_0}^s, 0)$ ,  $j = 0, 1, ..., d-2$ , then

$$
\mathfrak{m}_{d-1}(X_{A_t}^s,0)=\mathfrak{m}_{d-1}(X_{A_0}^s,0).
$$

Therefore,  $m_j(X_{A_t}^s, 0) = m_j(X_{A_0}^s, 0)$ , for all  $0 \le j \le d$ . Hence, by [NN[OOT](#page-27-0)18, Theorem 5.3], the family  $\{(X_{\lambda_t}^s, 0)\}_{t \in D}$  is Whitney equisingular.

In Section 5, we use equivalence of matrices to introduce a method which allows us to encounter examples where we can apply Theorem [1](#page-1-0).1 in an easier manner.

## 4. Local Euler obstruction

<span id="page-11-0"></span>The Euler obstruction at a point  $x \in X$ , denoted by Eu<sub>X</sub>(x), was defined by MacPherson [MAC74], using 1-forms and Nash modification. An equivalent definition of the local Euler obstruction was given by Brasselet and Schwartz in the context of index of vector fields  $[BRSC81]$ . Lê and Teissier [ $L \hat{E}$ TE $81$ ] related the local Euler obstruction of X with its polar multiplicities. More precisely, if X is a d-dimensional germ at zero of a reduced analytic space in  $\mathbb{C}^m$ , then

<span id="page-11-1"></span>
$$
Eu_X(0) = \sum_{k=0}^{d-1} (-1)^k m_k(X, 0), \qquad (4.1)
$$

where  $m_k(X, 0)$  is the k<sup>th</sup>-polar multiplicity of X at zero. Brasselet, Lê and Seade [\[BLS](#page-26-12)00] presented a Lefschetz type formula for  $Eu_{\chi}(0)$  (Theorem [4](#page-11-2).1).

Let  $(X, 0) \subset (\mathbb{C}^m, 0)$  be a d-dimensional germ of a reduced equidimensional analytic variety on an open set  $U \subset \mathbb{C}^{\mathfrak{m}}$ . Consider  $\mathcal{V} = \{V_{i}\}_{i=1}^{q}$  $_{i=0}^{q}$  a Whitney stratification of U adapted to  $X$  (i.e.  $X$  is a union of strata) and assume that  $V_0 = \{0\}$  is a stratum. We choose a representative small enough  $X$  of  $(X, 0)$  such that 0 belongs to the closure of all strata. We also assume that the strata  $V_0, \ldots, V_q$  are connected, the analytic sets  $\overline{V_1}, \ldots, \overline{V_q}$ are reduced and  $V_q = X_{reg}$ .

<span id="page-11-2"></span>**Theorem 4.1** ([\[BLS](#page-26-12)00])**.** *Let* (X, 0) *be an equidimensional reduced algebraic variety and*  $\mathcal{V} = \{V_i\}_{i=1}^q$ i=0 *a Whitney stratification of* X*, then for each generic linear form l, there exists*  $\varepsilon_0$  *such that for any*  $\varepsilon$  *with*  $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$  *and*  $t_0 \neq 0$  *sufficiently* 

*small, the Euler obstruction of* (X, 0) *is equal to*

$$
Eu_X(0)=\sum_{i=0}^q \chi(V_i\cap B_\epsilon\cap l^{-1}(t_0))\cdot Eu_X(V_i),
$$

*where* B<sup>ε</sup> *is a ball with center at* 0 *and radius* ε*,* χ(·) *is the Euler characteristic,* Eu<sub>X</sub>(V<sub>i</sub>) is the Euler obstruction of X at a point of V<sub>i</sub>,  $\mathfrak{i}=0,\ldots,$   $\mathfrak{q}$  and  $0<|\mathfrak{t}_0|\ll$ ε ≪ 1*.*

We apply Theorem  $4.1$  $4.1$  and [Esto7, Theorem 1.12] to an isolated determinantal singularity in order to obtain the following result.

**Theorem 4.2.** *Let* X n <sup>A</sup> *be an isolated determinantal singularity defined by the*  $germ A : (\mathbb{C}^m, 0) \to (M_{n,k}, 0)$ *, where* A *has holomorphic entries. Suppose that the Newton polyhedra*  $\Delta_i$  *of*  $a_{i,j}$  *are convenient, if there exists a generic linear form*  $l : (\mathbb{C}^m, 0) \to (\mathbb{C}, 0)$  *with a convenient Newton polyhedron such that* l *is Newton non-degenerate with respect to* A*, then*

$$
Eu_{X_{A}^n}(0)=\sum_{\{j_1,\ldots,j_q\}\subset \{1,\ldots,k\}}\sum_{\stackrel{I\subset \{1,\ldots,m\}}{\|I|\geq q+1}}\sum_{\alpha=1}^{|I|-q}(-1)^{|I|+k-n}{|I|+q-\alpha-2\choose n+q-k-1}\times\sum_{\stackrel{\alpha_{j_1},\ldots,\alpha_{j_q}\in \mathbb{N}}{a_{j_1}+\cdots+a_{j_q}=|I|-q}}|I|!\cdot (L^I)^{\alpha}(\widetilde{\Delta}^I_{j_1})^{\alpha_{j_1}}\cdots (\widetilde{\Delta}^I_{j_q})^{\alpha_{j_q}}.
$$

**Proof.** Since  $X_A^n$  has an isolated singularity at the origin, the partition

$$
\mathcal{V} = \{\!\{0\}, X_A^n \setminus \{0\}\!\}
$$

is a Whitney stratification of  $X_{\mathcal{A}}^n$ . Thus, if  $l : (\mathbb{C}^m, 0) \to (\mathbb{C}, 0)$  is a generic linear form, by Theorem [4](#page-11-2).1

$$
\begin{aligned} Eu_{X_A^n}(0)&=\chi(\{0\}\cap B_\epsilon\cap l^{-1}(t_0))\cdot Eu_{X_A^n}(\{0\})\\&+\chi((X_A^n\setminus\{0\})\cap B_\epsilon\cap l^{-1}(t_0))\cdot Eu_{X_A^n}(X_A^n\setminus\{0\}).\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand, as  $t_0 \neq 0$ , then  $\{0\} \cap B_{\varepsilon} \cap l^{-1}(t_0) = \emptyset$ . Therefore,

$$
\chi(\{0\}\cap B_\epsilon\cap l^{-1}(t_0))=0.
$$

Moreover, the stratum  $X_A^n \setminus \{0\}$  is the smooth part of  $X_A^n$ , then  $Eu_{X_A^n}(X_A^n \setminus$  $\{0\}$  = 1. Consequently,

$$
Eu_{X_A^n}(0)=\chi(X_A^n\setminus\{0\}\cap B_\epsilon\cap l^{-1}(t_0)).
$$

Therefore, the formula follows by [Esto7, Theorem 1.12].  $\square$ 

We will also compute the local Euler obstruction for some examples in the following, for that, we will use some techniques introduced in Section 5.

#### 14 THAÍS M. DALBELO, LUIZ HARTMANN, AND MAICOM VARELLA

# 5. Equivalent matrices and invariants of determinantal singularities

The purpose of this section is to present a method which allows us to compute more concrete examples where we can apply both the results from the previous sections and the results from Esterov [EsTO7]. We apply row and column operations to the germ of a matrix  $A = (a_{ij})$  in order to obtain a new matrix  $A$  such that up to constants all the monomial components of each  $a_{ij}$  will appear on each entry of  $\tilde{A}$  and, therefore, the Newton polyhedron of each entry of  $A$  will be the same. After this process, the condition that the Newton polyhedron of each column of the matrix  $\overline{A}$  is convenient will be more satisfiable and we can also simplify the formulas for the invariants.

## 5.1. **Equivalent matrices and Newton polyhedra.**

**Definition 5.1.** *The germs of matrices*  $A, \widetilde{A}: (\mathbb{C}^m, 0) \to (M_{n,k}, 0)$  *are said to be equivalent if they belong to the same equivalence class of the following relation:*

$$
A \sim \widetilde{A} \Leftrightarrow \exists P \in GL(n; \mathbb{C}), \exists Q \in GL(k; \mathbb{C}) : \widetilde{A} = P \cdot A \cdot Q,
$$

*where*  $GL(l; \mathbb{C})$  *is the group of invertible matrices in*  $M_{l,l}(\mathbb{C})$ *.* 

Given a matrix  $A \in M_{n,k}$ , let  $I_s(A)$  be the ideal generated by the s size minors of A. It is well known that for the matrices  $P \in GL(n;\mathbb{C})$  and  $Q \in GL(k;\mathbb{C})$ , we have  $I_s(A) = I_s(P \cdot A \cdot Q)$  (see [BR[ML](#page-26-13)88, Chapter XVI, Sections 7-8]). The reason is that A represents a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear map  $\mathbb{C}^k \to \mathbb{C}^n$ and the entries of the matrix  $\wedge^s : \wedge^s(\mathbb{C}^n) \to \wedge^s(\mathbb{C}^k)$  are the s-size minors of A, where  $\wedge^s$  is the exterior product of vectors taking s at a time. Since  $\wedge^{s}(PA) = \wedge^{s}(P) \wedge^{s}(A)$ , then  $I_{s}(PA) \subseteq I_{s}(P)I_{s}(A) \subseteq I_{s}(A)$  and  $I_{s}(PA) \subseteq$  $I_s(P)$ . Therefore,  $I_s(A) = I_s(P^{-1}(PA)) \subseteq I_s(PA)$  and, consequently,  $I_s(PA) =$  $I_s(A)$ . Similarly,  $I_s(AQ) = I_s(A)$ .

Therefore, if the germs  $A, \widetilde{A} : (\mathbb{C}^m, 0) \to (M_{n,k}, 0)$  are equivalent, then  $X^s_A = X^s_{\tilde{A}}.$ 

Furthermore, the action  $GL(n;\mathbb{C}) \times GL(k;\mathbb{C})$  on the space of matrices  $M_{n,k}(\mathcal{O}_m)$  is a subgroup of the G-action (see [DAM84, PER10]). Since the invariants such as polar multiplicities, Euler obstruction, vanishing Euler characteristic only depend on the  $G$ -equivalence class, the equivalence of matrices does not alter them.

<span id="page-13-0"></span>

**Definition 5.2.** *If*  $A = (a_{ij}) : (\mathbb{C}^m, 0) \rightarrow (M_{n,k}, 0)$  *is a germ of a matrix with polynomial entries, we denote by*

$$
supp(A):=\bigcup_{\begin{array}{l}i\in\{1,\ldots,n\}\\j\in\{1,\ldots,k\}\end{array}}supp(a_{ij}).
$$

*The Newton polyhedron of A, which we denote by*  $\Delta_A$ *, is the Newton polyhedron determined by* supp(A)*.*

Given a germ of a matrix  $A$ , there is always a germ  $A$  equivalent to A such that the Newton polyhedron of each entry of A is equal to  $\Delta_A$ . Since both the matrices  $A$  and  $\overline{A}$  define the same determinantal singularity, whenever we need the Newton polyhedron of each entry of a matrix A and A is Newton non-degenerate, we can replace them by  $\Delta_A$ .

**Definition 5.3.** *Let* A *be a germ of a matrix with polynomial entries. We say that* A is equivalent to A *with respect to*  $\Delta_A$ , if A is equivalent to A and the Newton *polyhedron of each entry of*  $\overline{A}$  *is equal to*  $\Delta_{\overline{A}}$ *.* 

<span id="page-14-0"></span>**Example 5.4.** Consider the determinantal singularity given by the germ  $A:({\mathbb C}^4,0) \to (M_{2,3},0)$ , where

$$
A = \left[ \begin{array}{cc} x - z & y - w & z - w \\ y - w & z - w & w + x \end{array} \right].
$$

None of the entries of A has convenient Newton polyhedron  $\Delta_{i,j}$ . Now, consider the matrices

<span id="page-14-1"></span>
$$
P = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } Q = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}.
$$
 (5.1)

We obtain the germ  $\widetilde{A} = P \cdot A \cdot Q$ , given by

$$
\widetilde{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 2x + 2y + z - 3w & 2x + 3y + 2z - 5w & 3x + 2y + 2z - 3w \\ 3x + 3y + 2z - 4w & 3x + 4y + 4z - 7w & 5x + 3y + 3z - 3w \end{bmatrix}.
$$

The Newton  $\Delta_{i,j}$  of each entry of  $\widetilde{A}$  is equal to  $\Delta_A$  and its complement in  $\mathbb{R}^4_+$  is the 4-dimensional standard simplex, therefore it is convenient.

Using the matrix  $\tilde{A}$  instead of  $A$  may affect the Newton non-degeneracy conditions. In the following we exemplify this fact with some examples.

**Example** 5.5. In Example 5.[4](#page-14-0), both matrices A and  $\tilde{A}$  are Newton nondegenerate. Therefore, we can apply the results to  $A$ .

Now, consider the determinantal singularity given by the germ of the matrix

$$
B = \left[ \begin{array}{cc} z & -y^2 & -x^3 \\ 0 & x & y \end{array} \right].
$$

Using the matrices P and Q from Eq.  $(5.1)$  $(5.1)$  $(5.1)$ , we obtain the germ  $\overline{B} = P \cdot B \cdot Q$ given by the matrix

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccc} x+y+z-x^3-y^2 & 2x+y+z-x^3-2y^2 & x+2y+z-2x^3-y^2 \\ 2x+2y+z-x^3-y^2 & 4x+2y+z-x^3-2y^2 & 2x+4y+z-2x^3-y^2 \end{array}\right].
$$

The matrix  $B$  is Newton non-degenerate, but the matrix  $\overline{B}$  is not Newton non-degenerate.

**Remark 5.6.** This behavior of the non-degeneracy with respect to the row and column operation is in some sense expected, since it is well known that the non-degeneracy depends, for instance, on the coordinate system of the source (see  $[OKA97, Remark 1.4.1]$ ).

Motivated by this example, we present the following definition.

<span id="page-15-0"></span>**Definition 5.7.** *Let*  $A = (a_{ij}) : (\mathbb{C}^m, 0) \to (M_{n,k}, 0)$  *be a germ of a matrix with polynomial entries.*

- (i) The matrix A is Newton non-degenerate with respect to  $\Delta_A$  if for every *bounded face* Γ *of* ∆<sup>A</sup> *the matrix* A|<sup>Γ</sup> *is Newton non-degenerate;*
- (ii) *The matrix* A *is strongly Newton non-degenerate with respect to*  $\Delta_A$  *if for every bounded face* Γ *of* ∆<sup>A</sup> *the matrix* A|<sup>Γ</sup> *is strongly Newton nondegenerate;*
- (iii) *The germ of a function* f *is Newton non-degenerate with respect to* A *and* ∆<sup>A</sup> *if for every bounded face* Γ *of* ∆<sup>A</sup> *the function* f|<sup>Γ</sup> *is Newton non-degenerate with respect to the matrix* A|<sup>Γ</sup> *.*

Using this ideas we show, in the next paragraphs, how we can compute invariants using only one Newton polyhedron.

5.2. **Multiplicity.** In [E[st07](#page-26-1)], Esterov presented a formula to compute the multiplicity of a determinantal variety  $X_A^n$  when A is Newton non-degenerate and the Newton polyhedron  $\Delta_i$  of  $a_{ij}$  is convenient, for all  $j = 1, \ldots, k$ . Using this result and equivalence of matrices we present the next result, which depends only on the Newton polyhedron of A.

<span id="page-15-1"></span>**Proposition 5.8.** Let  $A = (a_{ij}) : (\mathbb{C}^m, 0) \rightarrow (M_{n,k}, 0)$  be a germ of a matrix *with polynomial entries and a convenient Newton polyhedron*  $\Delta$ <sub>A</sub>*. If* A *is Newton non-degenerate with respect to*  $\Delta$ <sub>*A</sub>*, then A *defines the germ of a determinantal*</sub> *singularity* X n A *, whose multiplicity is*

$$
\mathfrak{m}(X_A^n,0)=\binom{k}{k-n+1}\cdot m!\cdot\widetilde{\Delta}_A^{k-n+1}L^{m-k+n-1}.
$$

**Proof.** Let  $\overline{A}$  be a germ of a matrix equivalent to  $A$  with respect to  $\Delta_A$ . In [Estop, Theorem 1.9], it is proved that  $X_{\tilde{A}}^n$  is a determinantal singularity and it has multiplicity

<span id="page-16-0"></span>
$$
m(X_{\tilde{A}}^n,0)=\sum_{0< j_0<\cdots< j_{k-n}\leq k}m!\cdot\widetilde{\Delta}^1_{j_0}\cdots\widetilde{\Delta}^1_{j_{k-n}}L^{m-k+n-1}, \hspace{1cm} (5.2)
$$

where  $\Delta_j$  is the Newton polyhedron of the function  $\tilde{a}_{ij} : \mathbb{C}^m \to \mathbb{C}$ . Since  $X_A^n = X_{\overline{A}}^n$  and  $\Delta_j = \Delta_A$ , for all  $j = 1, \ldots, k$ , by Equation ([5](#page-16-0).2), the multiplicity of  $X_{\tilde{A}}^n = X_{\tilde{A}}^n$  is

$$
\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{m}(X_\mathsf{A}^\mathsf{n},0)=\mathfrak{m}(X_{\widetilde{\mathsf{A}}}^\mathsf{n},0)=&\sum_{0< j_0<\cdots< j_{k-n}\leq k}\mathfrak{m}!\cdot\widetilde{\Delta}_\mathsf{A}^{k-n+1}L^{m-k+n-1}\\ &=\binom{k}{k-n+1}\cdot\mathfrak{m}!\cdot\widetilde{\Delta}_\mathsf{A}^{k-n+1}L^{m-k+n-1}.\end{aligned}
$$

The last proposition allows us to compute more examples.

**Example 5.9.** Consider A the germ given by the matrix

$$
A = \begin{bmatrix} x - z^3 & y^2 - w^3 & z^3 - w^3 \\ y^2 - w^3 & z^3 - w^3 & w^3 + x \end{bmatrix}
$$

and P and Q from Eq. ([5](#page-14-1).1), then we obtain the germ  $\widetilde{A} = P \cdot A \cdot Q$ , given by the matrix

$$
\begin{bmatrix} 2x + 2y^2 + z^3 - 3w^3 & 2x + 3y^2 + 2z^3 - 5w^3 & 3x + 2y^2 + 2z^3 - 3w^3 \\ 3x + 3y^2 + 2z^3 - 4w^3 & 3x + 4y^2 + 4z^3 - 7w^3 & 5x + 3y^2 + 3z^3 - 3w^3 \end{bmatrix}.
$$

The Newton polyhedron of each entry of A  $\Delta$ <sub>A</sub> is convenient and the matrix  $\hat{A}$  is Newton non-degenerate, then the matrix  $A$  is Newton nondegenerate with respect to  $\Delta_A$ . By Proposition [5](#page-15-1).8,  $X_A^2$  is a determinantal singularity and its multiplicity is

$$
m(X_A^2, 0) = {3 \choose 2} \cdot 4! \cdot \widetilde{\Delta}_A^2 L^2 = {3 \choose 2} = 3 \cdot 4! \cdot \frac{2}{4!} = 6.
$$

We observe that, we could not apply Theorem [Esto7, Theorem 1.9] to the matrix A. However, we can apply to a germ  $\overline{A}$ , which is equivalent to  $\overline{A}$ with respect to  $\Delta_A$ . This is the same as applying Proposition [5](#page-15-1).8 directly to the matrix A.

Now, we compute the multiplicity of a determinantal singularity defined by a matrix A such that  $\Delta_A = L$ . This class of determinantal singularities includes the ones defined by matrices with linear entries, which is very important, for instance, in  $[AHRU19]$ , the authors find a class of essentially isolated determinantal singularities defined by a matrix with homogeneous entries using a matrix with linear entries.

**Corollary 5.10.** *Under the conditions of the Proposition* [5](#page-15-1).8*, if the germ* A :  $(\mathbb{C}^m, 0) \to (M_{n,k}, 0)$  *is such that*  $\widetilde{\Delta}_A = L$ *, then the multiplicity of*  $X_A^n$  *is given by* 

$$
\mathfrak{m}(X_A^n,0)=\binom{k}{k-n+1}.
$$

**Example 5.11.** Consider the germ A, given in Example [5](#page-14-0).4. The Newton polyhedron  $\Delta_A$  is convenient, A is Newton non-degenerate with respect to  $\Delta_A$  and  $\Delta_A = L$ . By the last corollary,  $X_A^2$  is a determinantal singularity and its multiplicity is

$$
\mathfrak{m}(X^2_A,0)=\binom{3}{2}=3.
$$

In the following, we present an example where the matrix A is not Newton non-degenerate with respect to  $\Delta_{A}$ , but we use the equivalence of matrices to find an equivalent matrix which is Newton non-degenerate, using one Newton polyhedra for the first two columns of A and another Newton polyhedron for the last column.

**Example 5.12.** Consider the germ A given by the matrix

$$
A = \left[\begin{array}{ccc} z+w-y & y+x+z & x-y-w \\ x-y-w & w-z-y & y^2+(z+w-y)^k \end{array}\right]
$$

and the matrix

$$
Q = \left[ \begin{array}{cc} 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 3 \end{array} \right].
$$

Then, we have the equivalent matrix  $\widetilde{A} = Q \cdot A$  given by

$$
\begin{bmatrix} 2x - 3y + z + w & x - y - z + w & x - y + y^2 + 2(z + w - y)^k - w \\ 3x - 4y + z + 2w & x - 2y - 2z + 2w & x - y + 2y^2 + 3(z + w - y)^k - w \end{bmatrix}.
$$

The matrix  $\widetilde{A}$  is Newton non-degenerate, the complement of the Newton polyhedra of the first two columns of  $A$  are the 4-dimensional standard simplex L and of the last column  $\widetilde{\Delta}$  is the convex hull of the vertices  $\{(1, 0, 0, 0), (0, 1, 0, 0), (0, 0, k, 0), (0, 0, 0, 1), (0, 0, 0, 0)\}.$  Therefore, we can apply  $[Es<sub>TO7</sub>, Theorem 1.9]$  to  $A$  and obtain

$$
m(X_{\mathcal{A}}^n, 0) = L^4 + \tilde{\Delta}^1 L^3 + \tilde{\Delta}^1 L^3 = 3.
$$

5.3. **Local Euler obstruction.** Using the same process, we present Proposition  $5.13$  $5.13$ , where we apply [Esto7, Theorem 1.12] to a germ of an equivalent matrix.

<span id="page-18-0"></span>**Proposition 5.13.** *Let* X n A *be a determinantal singularity given by the matrix*  $A = (a_{ij}) : (\mathbb{C}^m, 0) \to (M_{n,k}, 0)$ , where A has holomorphic entries and Newton *polyhedron*  $\Delta_A$ *. Suppose that*  $\Delta_A$  *is convenient and*  $m \leq 2(k - n + 2)$ *.* 

- i) If A is strongly Newton non-degenerate with respect to  $\Delta_{\mathsf{A}}$ , then  $X^n_{\mathsf{A}}$  is *smooth outside the origin.*
- ii) *If the Newton polyhedron*  $\Delta_f$  *of a germ* f : ( $\mathbb{C}^m$ , 0)  $\rightarrow$  ( $\mathbb{C}$ , 0) *is convenient* and f *is Newton non-degenerate with respect to* A *and*  $\Delta$ <sub>A</sub>, *then the Euler characteristic of the Milnor fiber of* f|<sup>X</sup> n A *is given by*

$$
\chi(F_0)=\sum_{q=k-n+1}^k\sum_{\stackrel{I\subset \{1,\ldots,m\}}{|I|\geq q+1}}\sum_{\alpha=1}^{|I|-q}(-1)^{|I|+k-n}\binom{|I|+q-\alpha-2}{n+q-k-1}\\\times\binom{|I|-\alpha-1}{q-1}\binom{k}{q}\cdot |I|!\cdot(\widetilde{\Delta}^I_f)^{\alpha}(\widetilde{\Delta}^I_A)^{|I|-\alpha}.
$$

**Proof.** Let  $\widetilde{A}$  be a germ equivalent to A with respect to  $\Delta_A$ . Esterov [Estop, Theorem 1.12] shows that, the determinantal singularity  $X_A^n = X_{\overline{A}}^n$ is smooth outside the origin and

$$
\begin{aligned}\chi(F_0)&=\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N},\;I\subset\{1,\ldots,m\}\atop{\{j_1,\ldots,j_q\}\subset\{1,\ldots,k\}}}(-1)^{|I|+k-n}\binom{|I|+q-\alpha-2}{n+q-k-1}\\\times&\sum_{\alpha_{j_1},\ldots,\alpha_{j_q}\in\mathbb{N}\atop{\alpha_{j_1}+\cdots+\alpha_{j_q}=\|I|- \alpha}}|I|!\cdot(\widetilde{\Delta}_f^I)^{\alpha}(\widetilde{\Delta}_{j_1}^I)^{\alpha_{j_1}}\cdots(\widetilde{\Delta}_{j_q}^I)^{\alpha_{j_q}},\end{aligned}
$$

where  $\Delta_j$  is the Newton polyhedron of  $\tilde{a}_{ij}$ . Since  $\Delta_A = \Delta_j$ , for all  $j \in$  $\{1, \ldots, k\}$ , we have

$$
\chi(F_0)=\sum_{\overset{a\in \mathbb{N},\ I\subset \{1,\ldots,m\}}{\{j_1,\ldots,j_q\}\subset \{1,\ldots,k\}}(-1)^{|I|+k-n}\binom{|I|+q-a-2}{n+q-k-1}}{\underset{\overset{a_{j_1},\ldots,a_{j_q}\in \mathbb{N}}{\times}}{\sum}}{|I|!\cdot (\widetilde{\Delta}^I_f)^{\alpha}(\widetilde{\Delta}^I_A)^{a_{j_1}}\cdots (\widetilde{\Delta}^I_A)^{a_{j_q}}}.
$$

Furthermore, the number of combinations for the sum  $a_{j_1} + \cdots + a_{j_q} = |I| - a$ is  $\binom{|\mathbf{I}| - \mathbf{a} - 1}{\mathbf{a}}$  $q - 1$  $\overline{ }$ , then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}\chi(F_0)&=\sum_{\overset{\alpha\in \mathbb{N},\; I\subset \{1,\ldots,m\}}{ \{j_1,\ldots,j_q\}\subset \{1,\ldots,k\}} } (-1)^{|I|+k-n}\binom{|I|+q-\alpha-2}{n+q-k-1} \\ &\times\binom{|I|-\alpha-1}{q-1}\binom{k}{q}\cdot |I|!\cdot (\widetilde{\Delta}^I_f)^{\alpha}(\widetilde{\Delta}^I_A)^{|I|-\alpha}.\end{aligned}
$$

We assume  $\binom{r}{s}$  $S(s) = 0$  for  $r \notin \{0, \ldots, s\}$ , then, all terms in this sum are equal to zero, except the terms with  $|I| - a \ge q > k - n$ . Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned} \chi(F_0)&=\sum_{q=k-n+1}^k\sum_{\stackrel{I\subset \{1,\ldots,m\}}{I| \geq q+1}}\sum_{a=1}^{|I|-q}(-1)^{|I|+k-n}\binom{|I|+q-a-2}{n+q-k-1} \\ &\times\binom{|I|-a-1}{q-1}\binom{k}{q}\cdot |I|!\cdot(\widetilde{\Delta}^I_f)^{\mathfrak{a}}(\widetilde{\Delta}^I_A)^{|I|-a}. \end{aligned}
$$

As a consequence of Proposition 5.[13](#page-18-0), we can also compute the local Euler obstruction of a determinantal variety with isolated singularity using the Newton polyhedron of A, which is the Theorem [1](#page-1-1).2.

As an application of Theorem [1](#page-1-1).2, in the following example, we compute the local Euler obstruction of an ICIS in terms of a single Newton polyhedron.

**Example 5.14**. Let  $(X, 0) \subset (\mathbb{C}^m, 0)$  be an ICIS defined by the polynomial functions  $f_1, \ldots, f_k$ , where  $f_i : (\mathbb{C}^m, 0) \to (\mathbb{C}, 0)$  for  $i = 1, \ldots, k$ . Since X is an ICIS, X is also a determinantal singularity given by  $X = X_A^1$ , where  $A = [f_1 \cdots f_k]$ . Let  $l : (\mathbb{C}^m, 0) \to (\mathbb{C}, 0)$  be a generic linear form. If  $\Delta_A$ and  $\Delta_l$  are convenient and l is Newton non-degenerate with respect to A and  $\Delta$ <sub>A</sub>, then the local Euler obstruction of X is

$$
Eu_X(0)=\sum_{I\subset \{1,\ldots,m\}\atop{|I|\geq k+1}}\sum_{\alpha=1}^{|I|-k}(-1)^{|I|+k-1}\cdot\binom{|I|-\alpha-1}{k-1}\cdot|I|!\cdot (L^I)^{\alpha}(\widetilde{\Delta}^I_A)^{|I|-\alpha}.
$$

For instance, consider the ICIS  $X_A^1$  where  $A : (\mathbb{C}^3, 0) \to (M_{1,2}, 0)$  is defined by  $A(x, y, z) = \begin{bmatrix} x^2 + y^2 & xy + z^k \end{bmatrix}$  and the generic linear form l :  $(\mathbb{C}^3,0)$  →  $(\mathbb{C},0)$  given by l(x, y, z) = -x - y - z. The function l is Newton non-degenerate with respect to A and  $\Delta_{A}$ , therefore

$$
\text{Eu}_{X_A^1}(0) = \binom{1}{1}\cdot 3! \cdot (L)^1 (\widetilde{\Delta}_A)^2 = 3! \cdot \frac{4}{3!} = 4.
$$

Another way to make this computation is using Eq.  $(4.1)$  $(4.1)$  $(4.1)$ . Since,  $X_A^1$  is a curve, then

$$
Eu_{X^1_A}(0) = m_0(X^1_A, 0) = 4.
$$

**Remark 5.15.** In the last example, we can see that the local Euler obstruction can be independent of k in the  $A_k$ ,  $D_k$  and  $S_k$  series of singularities. There are many cases where the local Euler obstruction depends on characteristics which are not related to every exponent of every monomial. For instance, the Euler obstruction of affine toric surfaces depends only in the minimum dimension of the embbeding (see [\[GS](#page-27-9)79]) and the local Euler obstruction of images of stable maps with corank 1 is always 1 (see [JPS[a06](#page-27-10)]).

In the following we present a class of IDS for which the local Euler obstruction is given just as a sum of binomial coefficients.

<span id="page-20-0"></span>**Corollary 5.16.** *When a germ* A *satisfies the conditions for Theorem* [1](#page-1-1).2 *and*  $\Delta_\text{A} =$  L, we have the following formula for the local Euler obstruction of  $\text{X}^\text{n}_\text{A}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Eu}_{X_A^n}(0)=\sum_{q=k-n+1}^k \sum_{|I|=q+1}^m \sum_{a=1}^{|I|-q}(-1)^{|I|+k-n}\binom{|I|+q-a-2}{n+q-k-1} \\ \times\binom{|I|-a-1}{q-1}\binom{k}{q}\binom{m}{|I|}.\end{aligned}
$$

Note that, if the germ A has linear entries, then  $\tilde{\Delta}_A$  is the standard simplex.

**Example 5.17.** Consider A the germ given in Example [5](#page-14-0).4. Since the matrix A have linear entries,  $\Delta_A = L$ . Now, consider the generic linear form l : (C<sup>4</sup>, 0) → (C, 0) given by l(x, y, z, w) = x - 3y + 2z - 2w. The linear form l is Newton non-degenerate with respect to A and  $\Delta$ <sub>A</sub>. Then, by Corollary 5.[16](#page-20-0),

$$
\begin{array}{ll} \displaystyle Eu_{X_A^2}(0)=\sum_{q=2}^3\sum_{|I|=q+1}^4\sum_{a=1}^{|I|-q}(-1)^{|I|+1}\binom{|I|+q-a-2}{q-2}\binom{|I|-a-1}{q-1}\binom{3}{q}\binom{4}{|I|} \\ \displaystyle\qquad=-1.\end{array}
$$

5.4. **Whitney equisingularity.** Lastly, we can also present examples of Theorem [1](#page-1-0).1 using the Newton polyhedron of a matrix, instead of a Newton polyhedron for each entry of it.

<span id="page-20-1"></span>**Corollary 5.18.** Let  $\left\{ (X_{A_t}^s, 0) \right\}_{t \in D'}$  be a d-dimensional family of determinantal *singularities, defined by the germ of matrices*  $A_t = (a_{ij}^t) : (\mathbb{C}^m, 0) \to (M_{n,k}, 0)$ *with holomorphic entries. Suppose that* X s A<sup>0</sup> *has an isolated singularity at* 0 *and, for all*  $t \in D$ *, the matrix*  $A_t$  *satisfies the following conditions:* 

- (i) the Newton polyhedron  $\Delta_{\text{A}_t}$  of  $\text{A}_t$  is convenient and independent of  $\text{t}$ ;
- (ii) the matrix  $A_t$  is strongly Newton non-degenerate with respect to  $\Delta_{A_t}.$

Then the family  $\left\{ \left( X_{A_{t}}^{s},0\right) \right\} _{t\in\mathbb{D}}$  is Whitney equisingular.

Using Corollary 5.[18](#page-20-1), Example [5](#page-14-0).4 and the elements from the previous sections, we present an example of a Whitney equisingular family.

**Example 5.19.** Let  $\{(X_{\mathcal{A}_t}^2, 0)\}_{t \in \mathcal{D}}$  be the family of 2-dimensional determinantal singularities defined by the germ  $A_t: (\mathbb{C}^4,0) \to (M_{2,3},0)$ , with

$$
A_t=\left[\begin{array}{ccc} x-z & y+ty^2-w & z-w \\ y-w & z-w & w+x \end{array}\right].
$$

For all  $t \in D$ , the matrix  $A_t$  is strongly Newton non-degenerate with respect to  $\Delta_{\lambda_{\bf t}}$ ,  $\Delta_{\lambda_{\bf t}}$  is convenient and independent of t. Then, by Corollary 5.[18](#page-20-1),  $\left\{ (X_{\mathcal{A}_{t}}^{2}, 0) \right\}_{t \in \mathbb{D}}$  is Whitney equisingular.

## 6. Applications

<span id="page-21-0"></span>Let  $X_A^s$  be a determinantal singularity defined by the matrix  $A : (\mathbb{C}^m, 0) \to$  $(M_{n,k}, 0)$  and  $f : (X_{\mathcal{A}}^s, 0) \to (\mathbb{C}, 0)$  be a function with isolated singularity at the origin. Since  $f^{-1}(0)$  is a hypersurface,  $\dim X_A^s \cap f^{-1}(0) = \dim X_{A_t}^s - 1$ . In general  $X^s_A \cap f^{-1}(0)$  is not determinantal, since the variety  $X^s_A \cap f^{-1}(0)$  is not always given by a matrix  $A^f \in M_{n,k}$ . When there exists such matrix,  $X_{A^f}^s = X_A^s \cap f^{-1}(0) \subset \mathbb{C}^{m-1}$  is said to be a *determinantal fiber*. If  $X_{A^f}^s$  is a determinantal fiber then  $X_{\mathcal{A}^f}^s \subset \mathbb{C}^{m-1}$  is determinantal.

<span id="page-21-1"></span>**Remark 6.1.** Let  $f(x) = \sum$ p∈Z<sup>m</sup>  $c_p x^p$  be a polynomial function and suppose that there exists  $p = (0, \ldots, 0, p_i, 0, \ldots, 0) \in \text{supp(f)}$  such that for all  $r \neq p \in \text{supp}(f), r = (r_1, \ldots, r_{i-1}, 0, r_{i+1}, \ldots, r_m).$  Consider a matrix A :  $(\mathbb{C}^m, 0) \to (M_{n,k}, 0)$  such that for all  $q = (q_1, \ldots, q_{i-1}, q_i, q_{i+1}, \ldots, q_m) \in$  $supp(A)$ , we have  $q_i = \lambda_i p_i$ . If at least one  $\lambda_i \neq 0$ , then  $X^s_{A^f} = X^s_A \cap f^{-1}(0) \subset$  $\mathbb{C}^{m-1}$  is a determinantal fiber defined by the matrix  $\hat{A}^f$  :  $(\mathbb{C}^{m-1},0) \rightarrow$  $(M_{n,k}, 0).$ 

We can write the support of  $A<sup>f</sup>$  in terms of the support of A and f, however, this is not an easy task in general. In the following, we present a case, where this can be done in a simpler manner: given a point  $p =$  $(p_1, \ldots, p_m) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^m$ , we denote by  $\hat{p}_i = (\overline{p}_1, \ldots, p_{i-1}, p_{i+1}, \ldots, p_m) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^{m-1}$ . Now, let  $f(x) = c_{p_i}x_i^{p_i} + \sum$  $\widehat{\mathfrak{p}}_{\mathfrak{i}}{\in}\mathbb{Z}_{+}^{\mathfrak{m}-1}$  $c_{\hat{p}_i}x^{\hat{p}_i}$  and let A be a germ of a matrix such

that

$$
supp(A) = \{ (0, ..., 0, p_i, 0, ..., 0), (q_1, ..., q_{i-1}, 0, q_{i+1}, ..., q_m) : q_j \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \}.
$$

Therefore,  $supp(A^f) = \{\hat{k}_i : k \in supp(A) \cup supp(f)\}\$  and  $\Delta_{A^f}$  is the Newton polyhedron determined by  $\text{supp}(A^f)$ .

Note that, whenever  $f : (\mathbb{C}^m, 0) \to (\mathbb{C}, 0)$  is a regular function at the origin, we can make a change of coordinates and assume that  $f(x_1, \ldots, x_m) =$  $x_m$ . Therefore, we are in a particular case of Remark [6](#page-21-1).1. Then  $X_A^s \cap f^{-1}(0)$ is a determinantal fiber. In this case,

$$
supp(A^f)=\{\hat{p}_m:p=(p_1,\ldots,p_m)\in supp(A)\}
$$

and  $\Delta_{\lambda^f} = \Delta_{\lambda} \cap {\mathbb R}^{m-1}_+$ . However, as we can see in Example [6](#page-22-0).2, regular functions are not the only functions which make  $X_A^s \cap f^{-1}(0)$  a determinantal fiber.

<span id="page-22-0"></span>**Example 6.2.** Let  $X^2_A$  be the determinantal singularity defined by the germ  $A: (\mathbb{C}^6,0) \to (M_{2,3},0)$ , where

$$
A = \left[ \begin{array}{cc} x & y & v \\ z & w & x + u^k \end{array} \right],
$$

and the function  $f : (\mathbb{C}^6, 0) \to (\mathbb{C}, 0)$  defined by  $f(x, y, z, w, v, u) = x^2 + y^2 +$  $z^2 + w^2 + v^2 - u^k$ , for  $k \ge 2$ . Then, the variety  $X^2_{A^f} \cap f^{-1}(0) \subset \mathbb{C}^5$  is given by the matrix

$$
Af = \begin{bmatrix} x & y & v \\ z & w & x + x^2 + y^2 + z^2 + w^2 + v^2 \end{bmatrix}.
$$

Therefore,  $X_A^2 \cap f^{-1}(0) = X_{A^f}^2$  is a determinantal fiber.

6.1. **Whitney equisingularity for family of functions.** Let  $\{f_t : (X_{\mathcal{A}_t}^s, 0) \to (X_{\mathcal{A}_t}^s, 0) \}$  $(\mathbb{C}, 0)$ <sub>t∈D</sub> be a good family of functions defined on a family {( $X_{A_t}^s$ , 0)} of IDS such that  $X^s$  $\frac{s}{A_t^{f_t}}$  is a determinantal fiber for all  $t \in D$ . In [\[CNBOOT](#page-26-16)20], the authors proved that this family is Whitney equisingular if, and only if, all the polar multiplicities  $m_i(X_{A_t}^s, 0), i = 0, ..., d$  and  $m_i(X_{\mu}^s, 0)$  $\sum_{\substack{\lambda_1^{\epsilon} \downarrow}}^s 0, \lambda_1^{\epsilon} =$  $0, \ldots, d-1$  are constant on the family.

Let  $A: (\mathbb{C}^m \times \mathbb{C}, 0) \to (M_{n,k}, 0)$  be a determinantal deformation of  $X_A^s$ and

$$
\begin{array}{rcl} F:& (X_{\mathcal{A}}^s,0) & \rightarrow & (\mathbb{C}\times \mathbb{C},0)\\ & (x,t) & \mapsto & F(x,t):=(f_t(x),t)\end{array}
$$

be an unfolding of f. Then, we say that:

- (i) F is *origin preserving* if  $0 \in X_{A_t}^s$  and  $f_t(0) = 0$  for all t small enough. Then F is a 1-parameter family of map germs  $\{f_t : (X_{A_t}^s, 0) \rightarrow (C_t, \lambda) \}$  $(C, 0)$ <sub>t∈D</sub>, where D is an open disc around the origin;
- (ii)  $\{f_t: (X_{\mathcal{A}_t}^s, 0) \to (\mathbb{C}, 0)\}_{t \in \mathbb{D}}$  is a *good family* if there is a representative of F defined in D  $\times$  U, such that  $X^s_{A_t}\setminus 0$  is smooth and  $f_t$  is regular on  $X_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathsf{t}}}^s \setminus \mathfrak{0}$ , for any  $\mathsf{t} \in \mathsf{D}$ , where D and U are neighbourhoods of the origin in  $\mathbb C$  and  $\mathbb C^m$ , respectively;

### 24 THAÍS M. DALBELO, LUIZ HARTMANN, AND MAICOM VARELLA

(iii)  $\{f_t: (X_{\mathcal{A}_t}^s, 0) \to (\mathbb{C}, 0)\}_{t \in \mathbb{D}}$  is *Whitney equisingular* if it is a good family and there is a representative as in item (ii) which admits a regular stratification<sup>[2](#page-23-0)</sup>given by  $\mathcal{V} = \{X^s_\mathcal{A} \setminus F^{-1}(T), F^{-1}(T) \setminus S, S\}$  in the source and  $V' = \{ (\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathsf{T}, \mathsf{T} \} \text{ in the target, where } S = D \times 0 \subset \mathsf{T} \}$  $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}^m$  and  $T = D \times 0 \subset \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}$ .

Consider the unfoldings  $A: (\mathbb{C}^m \times \mathbb{C}, 0) \to (M_{n,k}, 0)$  and  $F: (\mathbb{C}^m \times \mathbb{C}, 0) \to (M_{n,k}, 0)$  $(\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}, 0)$  of  $A : (\mathbb{C}^m, 0) \to (M_{n,k}, 0)$  and  $f : (\mathbb{C}^m, 0) \to (\mathbb{C}, 0)$ , respectively. Suppose that both  $X^s_{A_t}$  and F are origin preserving, both  $\{(X^s_{A_t}, 0)\}_{t \in D}$  and  ${f_t: (X_{\mathcal{A}_t}^s, 0) \to (\mathbb{C}, 0)}_{t \in \mathbb{D}}$  are good families and  $X_{\mathcal{A}_t}^s \cap f_t^{-1}(0)$  is a determinantal fiber for all  $t \in D$ . Then  $X_s^s$  $\frac{s}{\lambda_t^{\epsilon_t}}$  is a determinantal deformation of  $X^s_{\lambda_t}$  $A_0^{f_0}$ and

- $(i)$   $X^s$  $A_t^{\epsilon_t}$  is origin preserving and  $\{ (X_A^s)$  $\left\{ \mathbf{A}_{\text{t}}^{\text{s}}\text{,0}\right\} \right\} _{\text{t}\in\text{D}}$  is a 1-parameter family of IDS;
- (ii)  $\{ (X^s,$  $\mathbf{A}_{\text{t}}^{\text{s}}$ , 0) $\mathbf{A}_{\text{t}}^{\text{s}}$  is a good family.

<span id="page-23-1"></span>**Corollary 6.3.** Let  $\left\{ (X_{A_t}^s, 0) \right\}_{t \in D}$  be a family of determinantal singularities de*fined by the germ of matrices*  $A_t$ :  $(\mathbb{C}^m, 0) \rightarrow (M_{n,k}, 0)$  *and*  $F$ :  $(X_A^s, 0) \rightarrow$  $(C \times C, 0)$  an unfolding. If  $f_t$  is Newton non-degenerate with respect to  $A_t$  and  $\Delta_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{t}}}$ ,  $\Delta_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{t}}}$  and  $\Delta_{\mathsf{f}_{\mathbf{t}}}$  are convenient and independent of **t** and  $\mathsf{X}_{\mathbf{t}}^{\mathbf{s}}$ <sup>s</sup><br>A<sup>t</sup>t is a determinantal fiber, for all  $t \in D$ , then the family  $\{f_t: (X_{\mathcal{A}_t}^s, 0) \to (\mathbb{C}, 0)\}_{t \in D}$  is Whitney *equisingular.*

Proof. Since  $X^s_{\lambda}$  $\frac{s}{A_{\text{t}}^{\text{f}} t}$  is a determinantal fiber, for all  $\text{t} \in$  D, by [\[CNBOOT](#page-26-16)20, Theorem 3.19], the family  $\{f_t: (X_{A_t}^s, 0) \to (\mathbb{C}, 0)\}_{t \in D}$  is Whitney equisingular if, and only if, the families  $\{(X_{\mathcal{A}_t}^s, 0)\}_{t \in \mathcal{D}}$  and  $\Big\{(X_{\mathcal{A}_t}^s, 0)\Big\}_{t \in \mathcal{D}}$  $\left\{\begin{matrix} s_{A_{t}^{f_t}}, 0 \end{matrix}\right\}$ t∈D are Whitney equisingular. By Corollary 5.[18](#page-20-1), the family  $\{(X_{A_t}^s, 0)\}_{t \in D}$  is Whitney equisingular. Furthermore,  $\mathsf{f}_\mathsf{t}$  is Newton non-degenerate with respect to  $\mathsf{A}_\mathsf{t}$  and  $\Delta_{A_t}$ , then the matrix  $A_t^{f_t}$  is strongly Newton non-degenerate. Lastly, since  $\Delta_{f_t}$  and  $\Delta_{A_t}$  are independent of t, then  $\Delta_{A^f_t}$  is independent of t. Moreover,  $\Delta_{A_t}$  and  $\Delta_{f_t}$  are convenient, then  $\Delta_{A^f_t}$  is convenient, for all  $t \in D$ . By Proposition 5.[18](#page-20-1), the family  $\left\{ (X_s^s, \ldots, X_s^s, \$  $\left\{\begin{matrix} s_{A_{t}^{f_t}}, 0 \end{matrix}\right\}$ is Whitney equisingular. Consequently, the family  $\{f_t: (X_{\mathcal{A}_t}^s, 0) \to (\mathbb{C}, 0)\}_{t \in D}$  is Whitney equisingular.  $\Box$ 

**Example 6.4.** Consider the family  $\{f_t: (X_{\lambda_t}^2, 0) \to (\mathbb{C}, 0)\}_{t \in D}$ , where  $X_{\lambda_t}^2$  is defined by the matrix

$$
A_t = \left[\begin{array}{ccc} x-z & y+ty^2-w & z-w \\ y-w & z-w & w+x \end{array}\right]
$$

<span id="page-23-0"></span><sup>2</sup>By a regular stratification, we mean a Whitney stratification where F satisfies the Thom condition (see [M[as96](#page-27-11)]).

and  $f_t(x, y, z, w) = x - 3y + ty^3 + 2z - 2w$ , for t sufficient small. For each t,  $X^2$  $A_t^{2} \cap f_t^{-1}(0) \subset \mathbb{C}^3$  is a family of determinantal fibers, given by t

$$
A_t^{f_t} = \begin{bmatrix} y + ty^3 + w & y + ty^2 - w & z - w \\ y - w & z - w & y + ty^3 + z + 2w \end{bmatrix}.
$$

Also, we have

 $supp(A) = \{(1, 0, 0, 0), (0, 1, 0, 0), (0, 2, 0, 0), (0, 0, 1, 0), (0, 0, 0, 1)\},\$  $supp(f) = \{(1, 0, 0, 0), (0, 1, 0, 0), (0, 3, 0, 0), (0, 0, 1, 0), (0, 0, 0, 1)\},\$  $supp(A^f) = \{((1, 0, 0), (0, 1, 0), (0, 2, 0), (0, 0, 1), (0, 3, 0)\}.$ 

Since  $f_t$  is Newton non-degenerate with respect to  $A_t$  and  $\Delta_{A_t}$  and the Newton polyhedra  $\Delta_{A_t}$  and  $\Delta_{f_t}$  are convenient and independent of t and , for all  $t \in D$ , by Corollary [6](#page-23-1).3, this family is Whitney equisingular.

6.2. **Constancy of Morse points.** In [ANn[OOT](#page-26-17)16] it is presented a formula relating the local Euler obstruction of f to the vanishing Euler characteristic of the fiber  $X^s_{A^f}$ , where  $f: (X^s_A, 0) \to (\mathbb{C}, 0)$  is an analytic function germ with isolated singularity on an IDS.

Using the results of [ANN[OOT](#page-26-17)16, Du[GJ](#page-26-18)14] and the hypothesis that  $X_{A<sup>f</sup>}^n$ is a determinantal fiber, we establish a Lê-Greuel type formula for germs of functions  $f, g: X_A^n \to \mathbb{C}$  with stratified isolated singularity.

To present the next result it is necessary the following definition.

**Definition 6.5.** *Let* V *be a good stratification*[3](#page-24-0) *of* X *relative to* f*. We say that*  $g : (X,0) \to (\mathbb{C},0)$  *is prepolar with respect to* V *at the origin if the origin is a stratified singularity of* g*.*

Let  $f : (\mathbb{C}^m, 0) \to (\mathbb{C}, 0)$  be a holomorphic function germ such that  $f|x_{\hat{x}} : X_{\hat{A}}^s \to \mathbb{C}$  has isolated singularity at the origin. The authors define in [\[NBOOT](#page-27-1)13] an invariant which provides geometrical and topological information of the Milnor fiber of f. In the following we present the definition of this invariant.

**Definition 6.6.** The vanishing Euler characteristic of the fiber  $(X_A^s \cap f^{-1}(0), 0)$  is *defined by*

$$
\nu(X_A^s\cap f^{-1}(0),0)=(-1)^{\dim X_A^s-1}(\chi(\widetilde{X_A^s}\cap B_\epsilon\cap \widetilde{f}^{-1}(c))-1),
$$

where  $X_{\rm A}^{\rm s}$  is the generic fiber of the determinantal smoothing of  $X_{\rm A}^{\rm s}$ ,  $\rm f$  is a morsifi*cation of* f *and*  $1 \gg \varepsilon \gg |c| > 0$  *sufficiently general.* 

<span id="page-24-0"></span><sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>The concept of good stratification can be found on [MAs96].

<span id="page-25-2"></span>**Corollary 6.7.** Let  $X_A^n$  be a d-dimensional IDS given by a germ of a matrix A :  $(\mathbb{C}^m, 0) \to (M_{n,k}, 0)$ ,  $f : (X_n^n, 0) \to (\mathbb{C}, 0)$  *be a function with isolated stratified*  $singularity$  at the origin and  $g : (X^n_A, 0) \to (\mathbb{C}, 0)$  *be a prepolar function with* respect to a good stratification  $\mathcal V$  of  $X^n_{\mathcal A}$  relative to  $f$  at 0. Suppose that  $X^n_{\mathcal A^g}$  is a *determinantal fiber, then*

$$
\nu(X_A^n\cap f^{-1}(0))+\nu(X_{A^g}^n\cap f^{-1}(0))=n_{reg},
$$

*where*  $n_{\text{rea}}$  *is the number of Morse points which appear in a stratified morsification of* f *in a small neighbourhood of* 0*.*

**Proof.** Since  $X_A^n$  is an IDS,  $X_{A^g}^n$  is a determinantal fiber and g is a prepolar function with respect to a good stratification  $\mathcal V$  of  $X^n_{\mathcal A}$  relative to f at 0, both  $X_A^n$  and  $X_{A9}^n$  are IDS. Thus, by [ANN[OOT](#page-26-17)16, Proposition 3.7], the following equations hold

<span id="page-25-0"></span>
$$
\nu(X_A^n \cap f^{-1}(0)) = (-1)^{d-1} \big[ (\chi(X_A^n \cap f^{-1}(t_0) \cap B_{\epsilon}) - 1 \big], \tag{6.1}
$$

<span id="page-25-1"></span>
$$
\mathbf{v}(X_{A^g}^n \cap f^{-1}(0)) = (-1)^{d-2} \big[ (\chi(X_{A^g}^n \cap f^{-1}(\mathbf{t}_0) \cap B_{\varepsilon}) - 1 \big]. \tag{6.2}
$$

Furthermore, adding Eq.  $(6.1)$  $(6.1)$  $(6.1)$ ,  $(6.2)$  and applying  $[D\upsilon G]$ 14, Theorem 4.4]

$$
(-1)^{d-1}\big[(\chi(X_A^n\cap f^{-1}(t_0)\cap B_\epsilon)-(\chi(X_{A^g}^n\cap f^{-1}(t_0)\cap B_\epsilon)\big]=n_{reg}.
$$

Therefore,

$$
\nu(X_A^n \cap f^{-1}(0)) + \nu(X_{A^g}^n \cap f^{-1}(0)) = (-1)^{d-1}(-1)^{d-1}n_{reg} = n_{reg}.
$$

<span id="page-25-3"></span>**Corollary 6.8.** *Under the assumptions of Corollary* [6](#page-25-2).7*, suppose that* ∆<sup>f</sup> *,* ∆<sup>A</sup> *and* ∆A<sup>g</sup> *are convenient and the function* f *is Newton non-degenerate with respect to* A and  $\Delta$ <sub>A</sub> and with respect to A<sup>9</sup> and  $\Delta$ <sub>A9</sub>. Then,  $\mathfrak{n}_{\text{reg}}$  is given in terms of mixed *volumes of*  $\Delta_{\text{f}}$ ,  $\Delta_{\text{A}}$  and  $\Delta_{\text{A}}$ <sub>9</sub>.

**Proof.** It follows directly from Proposition  $\frac{5.13}{10.5}$  $\frac{5.13}{10.5}$  $\frac{5.13}{10.5}$  and Corollary [6](#page-25-2).7.

As a consequence of this result, it is possible to guarantee that  $n_{reg}$  is constant on families.

**Corollary 6.9.** *Under the assumptions of Corollaries* [6](#page-25-2).7 *and* [6](#page-25-3).8*, suppose that,* for all  $\mathsf{t}\in\mathsf{D}$ , the function  $\mathsf{f}_\mathsf{t}$  is Newton non-degenerate with respect to  $\mathsf{A}_\mathsf{t}$  and  $\Delta_{\text{A}_t}$  and with respect to  $\mathsf{A}^{\text{g}}_{\text{t}}$  $_{\rm t}^{\rm g}$  and  $\Delta_{\rm A_{\rm t}^{\rm g}}$ *. If*  $\Delta_{\rm f_{\rm t}}$ *,*  $\Delta_{\rm g_{\rm t}}$  and  $\Delta_{\rm A_{\rm t}}$  are convenient and in*dependent of t, where*  $\{f_t, g_t : (X_{A_t}^n, 0) \to (C, 0)\}_{t \in D}$  *and*  $\{(X_{A_t}^n, 0)\}_{t \in D}$  *are families of functions and IDS, respectively, then*  $n_{reg}$  *is constant for*  $t \in D$ *.* 

Acknowledgments. The authors are grateful to Bruna Oréfice Okamoto (UFSCar) for helpful conversations about determinantal varieties and for her help with some examples. We would also like to thank Anne Frühbis-Krüger (Oldenburg University) and Matthias Zach for their help in solving some examples and especially with the simplification of the formula from Proposition 5.[13](#page-18-0).

# <span id="page-26-0"></span>**REFERENCES**

<span id="page-26-18"></span><span id="page-26-17"></span><span id="page-26-16"></span><span id="page-26-15"></span><span id="page-26-14"></span><span id="page-26-13"></span><span id="page-26-12"></span><span id="page-26-11"></span><span id="page-26-10"></span><span id="page-26-9"></span><span id="page-26-8"></span><span id="page-26-7"></span><span id="page-26-6"></span><span id="page-26-5"></span><span id="page-26-4"></span><span id="page-26-3"></span><span id="page-26-2"></span><span id="page-26-1"></span>

<span id="page-27-11"></span><span id="page-27-10"></span><span id="page-27-9"></span><span id="page-27-8"></span><span id="page-27-7"></span><span id="page-27-5"></span><span id="page-27-1"></span>

<span id="page-27-6"></span><span id="page-27-4"></span><span id="page-27-3"></span><span id="page-27-2"></span><span id="page-27-0"></span>*URL*: http://www.dm.ufscar.br/profs/hartmann

Universidade Federal de Sao˜ Carlos, Brazil

*Email address*: maicomvarella@estudante.ufscar.br